

SYSCO CORP
Form 10-K
August 26, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended June 28, 2014

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-6544

Sysco Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|---|---|
| Delaware | 74-1648137 |
| (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | (IRS employer identification number) |
| 1390 Enclave Parkway | 77077-2099 |
| Houston, Texas | (Zip Code) |
| (Address of principal executive offices) | |

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code:

(281) 584-1390

Securities Registered Pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Name of each exchange on

The aggregate market value of the voting stock of the registrant held by stockholders who were not affiliates (as defined by regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission) of the registrant was approximately \$20,952,930,000 as of December 28, 2013 (based on the closing sales price on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape on December 27, 2013, as reported by The Wall Street Journal (Southwest Edition)). As of August 13, 2014, the registrant had issued and outstanding an aggregate of 586,765,938 shares of its common stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE:

Portions of the company's 2014 Proxy Statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission no later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K are incorporated by reference into Part III.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page No. |
|--|----------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. Business | 1 |
| Item 1A. Risk Factors | 6 |
| Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments | 14 |
| Item 2. Properties | 15 |
| Item 3. Legal Proceedings | 16 |
| Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures | 16 |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities | 16 |
| Item 6. Selected Financial Data | 19 |
| Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 20 |
| Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 49 |
| Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data | 53 |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | 103 |
| Item 9A. Controls and Procedures | 103 |
| Item 9B. Other Information | 103 |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | 103 |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | 103 |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | 103 |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence | 103 |
| Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services | 104 |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. Exhibits | 104 |
| Signatures | 105 |

PART I

Item 1. Business

Unless this Form 10-K indicates otherwise or the context otherwise requires, the terms “we,” “our,” “us,” “Sysco,” or “the company” as used in this Form 10-K refer to Sysco Corporation together with its consolidated subsidiaries and divisions.

Overview

Sysco Corporation, acting through its subsidiaries and divisions, is the largest North American distributor of food and related products primarily to the foodservice or food-away-from-home industry. We provide products and related services to approximately 425,000 customers, including restaurants, healthcare and educational facilities, lodging establishments and other foodservice customers.

Founded in 1969, Sysco commenced operations as a public company in March 1970 when the stockholders of nine companies exchanged their stock for Sysco common stock. Since our formation, we have grown from \$115.0 million to \$46.5 billion in annual sales, both through internal expansion of existing operations and through acquisitions.

Sysco’s fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest to June 30th. This resulted in a 52-week year ending June 28, 2014 for fiscal 2014, June 29, 2013 for fiscal 2013 and June 30, 2012 for fiscal 2012.

Sysco Corporation is organized under the laws of Delaware. The address and telephone number of our executive offices are 1390 Enclave Parkway, Houston, Texas 77077-2099, (281) 584-1390. This annual report on Form 10-K, as well as all other reports filed or furnished by Sysco pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, are available free of charge on Sysco’s website at www.sysco.com as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed with or furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Proposed Merger with US Foods

In the second quarter of fiscal 2014, Sysco announced an agreement to merge with US Foods, Inc. (US Foods). US Foods is a leading foodservice distributor in the United States (U.S.) that markets and distributes fresh, frozen and dry food and non-food products to more than 200,000 foodservice customers including independently owned single location restaurants, regional and national chain restaurants, healthcare and educational institutions, hotels and motels, government and military organizations and retail locations. Following the completion of the proposed merger, the combined company will continue to be named Sysco and headquartered in Houston, Texas.

As of the time the merger agreement was announced in December 2013, Sysco agreed to pay approximately \$3.5 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprised of \$3 billion of Sysco common stock and \$500 million of cash. As part of the transaction, Sysco will also assume or refinance US Foods' net debt, which was approximately \$4.7 billion as of September 28, 2013, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.2 billion at the time of the merger announcement. As of August 13, 2014, the merger consideration is estimated as follows: approximately \$3.7 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprised of \$3.2 billion of Sysco common stock valued using the seven day average through August 13, 2014, and \$500 million of cash. US Foods' net debt to be assumed or refinanced was approximately \$4.8 billion as of June 28, 2014, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.5 billion as of August 13, 2014. The value of Sysco's common stock and the amount of US Foods' net debt will fluctuate. As such, the components of the transaction and total enterprise value noted above will not be finalized until the merger is consummated.

We have secured a fully committed bridge financing and expect to issue longer-term financing prior to closing. After completion of the transaction, the equity holders of US Foods will own approximately 87 million shares, or roughly 13%, of Sysco. A representative from each of US Foods' two majority shareholders will join Sysco's Board of Directors upon closing. This merger is currently pending a regulatory review process by the Federal Trade Commission. We expect the transaction to close by the end of the third quarter or in the fourth quarter of calendar 2014. Under certain conditions, including lack of regulatory approval, Sysco would be obligated to pay \$300 million to the owners of US Foods if the merger were cancelled, which would be recognized as an expense.

Operating Segments

Sysco provides food and related products to the foodservice or food-away-from-home industry. Under the accounting provisions related to disclosures about segments of an enterprise, we have aggregated our operating companies into a number of segments, of which only Broadline and SYGMA are reportable segments as defined by accounting standards. Broadline operating companies distribute a full line of food products and a wide variety of non-food products to their customers. SYGMA operating companies distribute a full line of food products and a wide variety of non-food products to chain restaurant customer locations. Our other segments include our specialty produce and lodging industry products segments, a company that distributes specialty imported products, a company that distributes to international customers and the company's Sysco Ventures platform, a suite of technology

solutions that help support the business needs of Sysco's customers. Specialty produce companies distribute fresh produce and, on a limited basis, other foodservice products. Our lodging industry products company distributes personal care guest amenities, equipment, housekeeping supplies, room accessories and textiles to the lodging industry. Selected financial data for each of our reportable segments, as well as financial information concerning geographic areas, can be found in Note 21, "Business Segment Information," in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8.

Customers and Products

Sysco's customers in the foodservice industry include restaurants, hospitals and nursing homes, schools and colleges, hotels and motels, industrial caterers and other similar venues where foodservice products are served. Services to our customers are supported by similar physical facilities, vehicles, material handling equipment and techniques, and administrative and operating staffs.

The products we distribute include:

- a full line of frozen foods, such as meats, seafood, fully prepared entrees, fruits, vegetables and desserts;
- a full line of canned and dry foods;
- fresh meats and seafood;
- dairy products;
- beverage products;
- imported specialties; and
- fresh produce.

We also supply a wide variety of non-food items, including:

- paper products such as disposable napkins, plates and cups;
- tableware such as china and silverware;
- cookware such as pots, pans and utensils;
- restaurant and kitchen equipment and supplies; and
- cleaning supplies.

A comparison of the sales mix in the principal product categories during the last three years is presented below:

2014 2013 2012

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|-----|---|-----|---|-----|---|
| Fresh and frozen meats | 19 | % | 19 | % | 19 | % |
| Canned and dry products | 18 | | 19 | | 19 | |
| Frozen fruits, vegetables, bakery and other | 13 | | 14 | | 14 | |
| Dairy products | 11 | | 10 | | 10 | |
| Poultry | 10 | | 10 | | 10 | |
| Fresh produce | 8 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Paper and disposables | 7 | | 8 | | 8 | |
| Seafood | 5 | | 5 | | 5 | |
| Beverage products | 4 | | 4 | | 4 | |
| Janitorial products | 2 | | 2 | | 2 | |
| Equipment and smallwares | 2 | | 1 | | 1 | |
| Medical supplies (1) | 1 | | - | | - | |
| | 100 | % | 100 | % | 100 | % |

(1) Sales are less than 1% of total for years shown with a “-“.

Our distribution centers, which we refer to as operating companies, distribute nationally-branded merchandise, as well as products packaged under our private brands. Products packaged under our private brands have been manufactured for Sysco according to specifications that have been developed by our quality assurance team. In addition, our quality assurance team certifies the manufacturing and processing plants where these products are packaged, enforces our quality control standards and identifies supply sources that satisfy our requirements.

We believe that prompt and accurate delivery of orders, competitive pricing, close contact with customers and the ability to provide a full array of products and services to assist customers in their foodservice operations are of primary importance in the marketing and distribution of foodservice products to our customers. Our operating companies offer daily delivery to certain customer locations and have the capability of delivering special orders on short notice. Through our approximately 12,800 sales and marketing representatives and support staff of Sysco and our operating companies, we stay informed of the needs of our customers and acquaint them with new products and services. Our operating companies also provide ancillary services relating to foodservice distribution,

such as providing customers with product usage reports and other data, menu-planning advice, food safety training and assistance in inventory control, as well as access to various third party services designed to add value to our customers' businesses.

No single customer accounted for 10% or more of Sysco's total sales for the fiscal year ended June 28, 2014.

We estimate that our sales by type of customer during the past three fiscal years were as follows:

| Type of Customer | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Restaurants | 62 % | 61 % | 63 % |
| Healthcare | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Education, government | 9 | 8 | 8 |
| Travel, leisure, retail | 8 | 8 | 8 |
| Other (1) | 12 | 13 | 11 |
| Totals | 100 % | 100 % | 100 % |

(1) Other includes cafeterias that are not stand alone restaurants, bakeries, caterers, churches, civic and fraternal organizations, vending distributors, other distributors and international exports. None of these types of customers, as a group, exceeded 5% of total sales in any of the years for which information is presented.

Sources of Supply

We purchase from thousands of suppliers, both domestic and international, none of which individually accounts for more than 10% of our purchases. These suppliers consist generally of large corporations selling brand name and private label merchandise, as well as independent regional brand and private label processors and packers. Purchasing is generally carried out through both centrally developed purchasing programs and direct purchasing programs established by our various operating companies.

We administer a consolidated product procurement program designed to develop, obtain and ensure consistent quality food and non-food products. The program covers the purchasing and marketing of Sysco Brand merchandise, as well as products from a number of national brand suppliers, encompassing substantially all product lines. Sysco's operating companies purchase product from the suppliers participating in these consolidated programs and from other suppliers, although Sysco Brand products are only available to the operating companies through these consolidated programs. We also focus on increasing profitability by lowering operating costs and by lowering aggregate inventory

levels, which reduces future facility expansion needs at our broadline operating companies, while providing greater value to our suppliers and customers. This includes the construction and operation of regional distribution centers (RDCs), which aggregate inventory demand to optimize the supply chain activities for certain products for all Sysco broadline operating companies in the region. Currently, we have two RDCs in operation, one in Virginia and one in Florida.

Working Capital Practices

Our growth is funded through a combination of cash flow from operations, commercial paper issuances and long-term borrowings. See the discussion in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Liquidity and Capital Resources” at Item 7 regarding our liquidity, financial position and sources and uses of funds.

Credit terms we extend to our customers can vary from cash on delivery to 30 days or more based on our assessment of each customer’s credit worthiness. We monitor each customer’s account and will suspend shipments if necessary.

A majority of our sales orders are filled within 24 hours of when customer orders are placed. We generally maintain inventory on hand to be able to meet customer demand. The level of inventory on hand will vary by product depending on shelf-life, supplier order fulfillment lead times and customer demand. We also make purchases of additional volumes of certain products based on supply or pricing opportunities.

We take advantage of suppliers’ cash discounts where appropriate and otherwise generally receive payment terms from our suppliers ranging from weekly to 30 days or more.

Corporate Headquarters and Shared Services Center

Our corporate staff makes available a number of services to our operating companies. Members of the corporate staff possess experience and expertise in, among other areas, accounting and finance, treasury, legal, cash management, information technology, employee benefits, engineering, real estate and construction, risk management and insurance, sales and marketing, payroll, human resources, training and development, strategy, and tax compliance services. The corporate office also makes available warehousing and distribution services, which provide assistance in operational best practices including space utilization, energy conservation, fleet management and work flow.

Our shared services center performs support services for employees, suppliers and customers, payroll administration, human resources, customer and vendor contract administration, financial services such as vendor payments, invoicing, cash application, certain credit services, accounting and sales and use tax administration, procurement and maintenance support and sales support for some of our operating companies.

Capital Improvements

To maximize productivity and customer service, we continue to modernize, expand and construct new distribution facilities. During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, approximately \$523.2 million, \$511.9 million and \$784.5 million, respectively, were invested in delivery fleet, facilities, technology and other capital asset enhancements. From time to time, we dispose of assets in the normal course of business; we consider proceeds from these asset sales to be an offset to capital expenditures. During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, capital expenditures, net of proceeds from sales of assets, were \$497.4 million, \$496.3 million and \$776.3 million, respectively. We estimate our capital expenditures, net of proceeds from sales of assets, in fiscal 2015 should be in the range of \$500 million to \$550 million. During the three years ended June 28, 2014, capital expenditures were financed primarily by internally generated funds, our commercial paper program and bank and other borrowings. We expect to finance our fiscal 2015 capital expenditures from the same sources.

Employees

As of June 28, 2014, we had approximately 50,300 full-time employees, approximately 17% of whom were represented by unions, primarily the International Brotherhood of Teamsters. Contract negotiations are handled by each individual operating company. Approximately 21% of our union employees are covered by collective bargaining agreements that have expired or will expire during fiscal 2015 and are subject to renegotiation. Since June 28, 2014, there have been no contract renegotiations. We consider our labor relations to be satisfactory.

Competition

Industry sources estimate that there are more than 15,000 companies engaged in the distribution of food and non-food products to the foodservice industry in the U.S. Our customers may also choose to purchase products directly from wholesale or retail outlets, including club, cash and carry and grocery stores, or negotiate prices directly with our suppliers. Online retailers and e-commerce companies are also participants in the foodservice industry. While we compete primarily with local and regional distributors, some organizations compete with us on a multi-region basis. In addition, these local, regional and multi-regional distributors can create purchasing cooperatives and marketing groups to enhance their competitive abilities by expanding their product mix, improving purchasing power and extending their geographic capabilities. We believe that the principal competitive factors in the foodservice industry are effective customer contacts, the ability to deliver a wide range of quality products and related services on a timely and dependable basis and competitive prices. Our customers are accustomed to purchasing from multiple suppliers and channels concurrently. Product needs, service requirements and price are just a few of the factors they

evaluate when deciding where to purchase. Customers can choose from many broadline foodservice distributors, specialty distributors that focus on specific categories such as produce, meat or seafood, other wholesale channels, club stores, cash and carry stores, grocery stores and numerous online retailers. Since switching costs are very low, customers can make supplier and channel changes very quickly. There are few barriers to market entry. Existing foodservice competitors can extend their shipping distances, and add truck routes and warehouses relatively quickly to serve new markets or customers.

We consider our primary market to be the foodservice market in the U.S. and Canada and estimate that we serve about 17.4% of this approximately \$255 billion annual market based on a measurement as of the end of calendar 2013. We believe, based upon industry trade data, that our sales to the U.S. and Canada food-away-from-home industry were the highest of any foodservice distributor during fiscal 2014. While adequate industry statistics are not available, we believe that in most instances our local operations are among the leading distributors of food and related non-food products to foodservice customers in their respective trading areas. We believe our competitive advantages include our more than 7,000 marketing associates, our diversified product base, which includes a differentiated group of high quality Sysco brand products, the diversity in the types of customers we serve, our economies of scale and our multi-region portfolio in the U.S. and Canada, which mitigates some of the impact of regional economic declines that may occur over time. We believe our liquidity and access to capital provides us the ability to continuously invest in business improvements. We are the only distributor in the food-away-from-home industry in the U.S. with publicly traded equity. While our public company status provides us with some advantages over many of our competitors, including access to capital, we believe it also provides us with some disadvantages that most of them do not have in terms of additional costs related to complying with regulatory requirements.

Government Regulation

Our company is required to comply, and it is our policy to comply, with all applicable laws in the numerous countries throughout the world in which we do business. In many jurisdictions, compliance with competition laws is of special importance to us, and our operations may come under special scrutiny by competition law authorities due to our competitive position in those jurisdictions. In general, competition laws are designed to protect businesses and consumers from anti-competitive behavior.

In the U.S., as a marketer and distributor of food products, we are subject to the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act and regulations promulgated thereunder by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA). The FDA regulates food safety through various statutory and regulatory mandates, including manufacturing and holding requirements for foods through good manufacturing practice regulations, hazard analysis and critical control point (HACCP) requirements for certain foods, and the food and color additive approval process. The agency also specifies the standards of identity for certain foods, prescribes the format and content of information required to appear on food product labels, regulates food contact packaging and materials, and maintains a Reportable Food Registry for the industry to report when there is a reasonable probability that an article of food will cause serious adverse health consequences. For certain product lines, we are also subject to the Federal Meat Inspection Act, the Poultry Products Inspection Act, the Perishable Agricultural Commodities Act, the Packers and Stockyard Act and regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) to interpret and implement these statutory provisions. The USDA imposes standards for product safety, quality and sanitation through the federal meat and poultry inspection program. The USDA reviews and approves the labeling of these products and also establishes standards for the grading and commercial acceptance of produce shipments from our suppliers. We are also subject to the Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act of 2002, which imposes certain registration and record keeping requirements on facilities that manufacture, process, pack or hold food for human or animal consumption.

We and our products are also subject to state and local regulation through such measures as the licensing of our facilities; enforcement by state and local health agencies of state and local standards for our products; and regulation of our trade practices in connection with the sale of our products. Our facilities are subject to inspections and regulations issued pursuant to the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Act by the U.S. Department of Labor. These regulations require us to comply with certain manufacturing, health and safety standards to protect our employees from accidents and to establish hazard communication programs to transmit information on the hazards of certain chemicals present in products we distribute.

We are also subject to regulation by numerous federal, state and local regulatory agencies, including, but not limited to, the U.S. Department of Labor, which sets employment practice standards for workers, and the U.S. Department of Transportation, which regulates transportation of perishable and hazardous materials and waste, and similar state, provincial and local agencies. In addition, we are also subject to the U.S. False Claims Act, and similar state statutes, which prohibit the submission of claims for payment to the government that are false and the knowing retention of overpayments.

The U.S. Foreign Corrupt Practices Act (FCPA) prohibits bribery of public officials to obtain or retain business in foreign jurisdictions. The FCPA also requires us to keep accurate books and records and to maintain internal accounting controls to detect and prevent bribery and to ensure that transactions are properly authorized. We have implemented and continue to develop a robust anti-corruption compliance program applicable to our global operations to detect and prevent bribery and to comply with these and other anti-corruption laws in countries where we operate.

Outside the U.S., our business is subject to numerous similar statutes and regulations, as well as other legal and regulatory requirements.

All of our company's facilities and other operations in the U.S. and elsewhere around the world are subject to various environmental protection statutes and regulations, including those relating to the use of water resources and the discharge of wastewater. Further, most of our distribution facilities have ammonia-based refrigeration systems and tanks for the storage of diesel fuel and other petroleum products which are subject to laws regulating such systems and storage tanks. Our policy is to comply with all such legal requirements. We are subject to other federal, state, provincial and local provisions relating to the protection of the environment or the discharge of materials; however, these provisions do not materially impact the use or operation of our facilities.

General

We have numerous trademarks that are of significant importance, including the SYSCO® trademark and our privately-branded product trademarks that include the SYSCO® trademark. These trademarks and the private brands on which they are used are widely recognized within the foodservice industry. Approximately half of our privately-branded sales are from products labeled with our SYSCO® trademark without any other trademark. We believe the loss of the SYSCO® trademark would have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. Our U.S. trademarks are effective for a ten-year period and the company generally renews its trademarks before their expiration dates unless a particular trademark is no longer in use. The company does not have any material patents or licenses.

We are not engaged in material research and development activities relating to the development of new products or the improvement of existing products.

Our sales do not generally fluctuate significantly on a seasonal basis; therefore, the business of the company is not deemed to be seasonal.

As of June 28, 2014, we operated 194 distribution facilities throughout the U.S., Bahamas, Canada, Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following discussion of “risk factors” identifies the most significant factors that may adversely affect our business, operations, financial position or future financial performance. This information should be read in conjunction with Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and related notes contained in this report. The following discussion of risks is not all inclusive, but is designed to highlight what we believe are the most significant factors to consider when evaluating our business. These factors could cause our future results to differ from our expectations expressed in the forward-looking statements identified on page 48 and from historical trends.

Merger-Related Risks

The closing and consummation of the merger with US Foods, Inc. (US Foods) is subject to regulatory approval and the satisfaction of certain conditions, and we cannot predict whether the necessary conditions will be satisfied or waived and the requisite regulatory approvals received.

The completion of the merger with US Foods is subject to regulatory approvals, including anti-trust approval, and customary conditions, including, without limitation:

- the approval of the stockholders of US Foods;
- the expiration or termination of the applicable waiting period under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Antitrust Improvements Act of 1976, as amended;
- the accuracy of the representations and warranties in the merger agreement and compliance with the respective covenants of the parties, subject to certain qualifiers;
- the absence of any law, proceeding, order or injunction that prohibits the consummation of the merger;
- the absence of certain governmental actions;
- the absence of a material adverse effect on US Foods; and
- the receipt by US Foods of a customary tax opinion with respect to the merger.

Sysco and US Foods may fail to secure the requisite approvals in a timely manner or on terms desired or anticipated, and the merger with US Foods may not close in the anticipated time frame, if at all. Sysco has no control over certain conditions in the merger agreement, and cannot predict whether such conditions will be satisfied or waived. Regulatory authorities may impose conditions on the completion of the merger or require changes to the

terms of the transaction. Such conditions or changes may prevent the closing of the merger or cause the merger to be delayed, and delays may cause Sysco to incur additional, potentially burdensome transaction costs.

Sysco and US Foods may be required to accept certain remedies in order to obtain regulatory approval for the merger, and any such remedies could reduce the projected benefits of the merger and negatively impact the combined company.

The imposition of remedies as a condition to obtaining regulatory approval for the transaction could limit the revenues of the combined company and negatively impact the combined company. The potential remedies may negatively impact the projected benefits of the proposed merger, along with the business, financial condition and competitiveness of Sysco, as the combined company. Even if regulatory approval for the merger is obtained, any remedies could result in the total revenues of the combined post-merger entity being less than the combined historical revenues of Sysco and US Foods.

Termination of the merger agreement or failure to consummate the merger with US Foods could adversely impact Sysco and, under certain conditions, could require Sysco to make a termination payment of \$300 million, which could adversely impact Sysco's stock price and would adversely impact Sysco's liquidity and financial condition.

The merger agreement contains certain termination rights, including the right of either party to terminate the merger agreement if the merger has not occurred by March 8, 2015, subject to extension under certain circumstances. Furthermore, if the merger agreement is terminated due to a failure to obtain required antitrust approvals, in certain circumstances Sysco will be required to pay US Foods a termination fee of \$300 million. The payment of such fee would have an adverse impact on our liquidity and financial condition. In addition, if the merger agreement is terminated, we may suffer other negative consequences. Our business may be negatively impacted by our management having focused its attention on acquiring US Foods instead of pursuing other advantageous business opportunities or plans. Furthermore, we will incur substantial expenses and costs related to the merger, whether or not it is consummated, including legal, accounting and advisory fees. Also, failure to consummate the merger may result in negative market reactions, and may have an adverse impact on Sysco's stock price and future financial results.

Business uncertainties during the pendency of the proposed merger may adversely impact our current business operations and relationships with employees, vendors and customers.

Prospective suppliers, customers or other third parties may delay or decline to enter into agreements with us as a result of the uncertainties surrounding the proposed merger, and we may also lose current suppliers and customers as a result of these uncertainties. Furthermore, uncertainties as to the effect of the merger transaction may adversely impact employee morale, and impede our ability to retain key employees. The loss of key employees or union-related work stoppages could impact our ability to successfully integrate the businesses of Sysco and US Foods and fully realize the anticipated benefits of the merger.

The pending merger and our current pre-merger integration planning efforts may divert resources from Sysco's day-to-day operations and ongoing efforts related to other strategies and initiatives.

The pending merger and our current pre-merger integration planning efforts may divert our management's attention from day-to-day business operations and the execution and pursuit of strategic plans and initiatives, including the initiatives related to our Business Transformation Project, which has and will continue to require a substantial amount of resources. The diversion of management attention from ongoing business operations and strategic efforts could result in performance shortfalls, which could adversely impact Sysco's business and operations.

The integration of the businesses of Sysco and US Foods may be more difficult, costly or time consuming than expected, and the merger may not result in any or all of the anticipated benefits, including cost synergies.

The success of the merger between Sysco and US Foods, including the realization of the anticipated benefits, will depend, in part, on the ability of Sysco, as the combined company, to successfully integrate the businesses of Sysco and US Foods. Failure to effectively integrate the businesses could adversely impact the expected benefits of the merger, including cost synergies stemming from supply chain efficiencies, merchandising activities and overlapping general and administrative functions.

The integration of two large independent companies will be complex, and we will be required to devote significant management attention and incur substantial costs to integrate Sysco's and US Foods' business practices, policies, cultures and operations. This diversion of our management's attention from day-to-day business operations and the execution and pursuit of strategic plans and initiatives, including the initiatives related to our Business Transformation Project, could result in performance shortfalls, which could adversely impact the combined company's business, operations and financial results. The integration process could also result in the loss of key employees, which could adversely impact the combined company's future financial results.

Furthermore, during the integration planning process and after the closing of the merger, we may encounter additional challenges and difficulties, including those related to, without limitation, managing a larger combined company; streamlining supply chains, consolidating corporate and administrative infrastructures and eliminating overlapping operations; retaining our existing vendors and customers; unanticipated issues in integrating information technology, communications and other systems; and unforeseen and unexpected liabilities related to the merger or US Foods' business. Delays encountered in the integration could adversely impact the business, financial condition and operations of the combined company.

We may not be able to retain some of US Foods' vendors and customers after the proposed merger, which could negatively impact the anticipated benefits of the merger.

US Foods' vendors or customers may have termination rights that are triggered upon completion of the merger, and such vendors or customers may decide to not renew their existing relationship with us, and may instead select one of our competitors. If we are unable to retain and maintain these vendor and customer relationships, then the business, financial condition and operations of Sysco, as the combined company, could be adversely impacted.

Consummation of the merger will require Sysco to incur significant additional indebtedness, which could adversely impact our financial condition and may hinder our ability to obtain additional financing and pursue other business and investment opportunities.

In connection with the merger, Sysco will assume or refinance all of US Foods' outstanding debt, which was approximately \$4.8 billion, as of June 28, 2014. Any refinancing of US Foods' indebtedness is expected to be financed with a combination of new debt and cash on Sysco's balance sheet. Sysco has secured fully committed bridge financing.

Incurrence of additional indebtedness could have negative consequences, including increasing our vulnerability to adverse economic and industry conditions, and limiting our ability to obtain additional financing and implement and pursue strategic initiatives and opportunities. Additionally, if we do not achieve the expected benefits and cost savings from the merger with US Foods, or if the financial performance of Sysco, as the combined company, does not meet current expectations, then our ability to service the debt will be adversely impacted. Our credit ratings may also be impacted as a result of the incurrence of additional acquisition-related indebtedness. Currently, certain credit rating agencies have put us on watch for a potential downgrade.

The merger will dilute the ownership interests of Sysco's existing stockholders.

At the time of the consummation of the proposed merger, Sysco will issue approximately 87 million shares, or roughly 13% of Sysco's outstanding common stock after the transaction is completed. As a result, the ownership amounts of Sysco's pre-merger stockholders will be diluted. Generally, dilution will impact a stockholder's ownership percentage and ability to influence voting results, and will likely reduce earnings per share, which could impact stock price.

Industry and General Economic Risks

Periods of significant or prolonged inflation or deflation affect our product costs and may negatively impact our profitability.

Volatile food costs have a direct impact on our industry. Periods of product cost inflation may have a negative impact on our profit margins and earnings to the extent that we are unable to pass on all or a portion of such product cost increases to our customers, which may have a negative impact on our business and our profitability. In addition, periods of rapidly increasing inflation may negatively impact our business due to the timing needed to pass on such increases, the impact of such inflation on discretionary spending by consumers and our limited ability to increase prices in the current, highly competitive environment. Conversely, our business may be adversely impacted by periods of product cost deflation, because we make a significant portion of our sales at prices that are based on the cost of products we sell plus a percentage margin. As a result, our profit levels may be negatively impacted during periods of product cost deflation, even though our gross profit percentage may remain relatively constant.

Our results of operations and financial condition may be directly, adversely affected by unfavorable conditions in the US economy and local markets, as well as negative trends in consumer confidence.

The foodservice distribution industry, which is characterized by relatively low profit margins with limited demand growth expected in the near-term, is especially susceptible to negative trends and economic uncertainty. The United States (U.S.) has experienced an uneven economic environment over the past several years. Despite job growth experiencing a positive trend, it has yet to translate into a significant impact on overall unemployment. The housing segment is struggling to maintain consistent, positive trends, and this segment historically has been a key driver of economic growth. These factors indicate that consumer disposable income is increasing modestly. In addition, our results of operations are substantially affected by local operating and economic conditions, which can vary substantially by market. The difficult economic conditions can affect us in the following ways:

- Unfavorable conditions can depress sales and/or gross margins in a given market.
-

Food cost and fuel cost inflation experienced by the consumer can lead to reductions in the frequency of dining out and the amount spent by consumers for food-away-from-home purchases, which could negatively impact our business by reducing demand for our products.

- Heightened uncertainty in the financial markets negatively affects consumer confidence and discretionary spending, which can cause disruptions with our customers and suppliers.
- Liquidity issues and the inability of our customers, vendors and suppliers to consistently access credit markets to obtain cash to support operations can cause temporary interruptions in our ability to conduct day-to-day transactions involving the payment to or collection of funds from our customers, vendors and suppliers.

The uncertainty in the economic environment has adversely affected the rate of improvement in both business and consumer confidence and spending, and uncertainty about the long-term investment environment could further depress capital investment and economic activity.

Competition in our industry may adversely impact our margins and our ability to retain customers, and makes it difficult for us to maintain our market share, growth rate and profitability.

The foodservice distribution industry is fragmented and highly competitive, with local, regional, multi-regional distributors and specialty competitors. Furthermore, barriers to entry by new competitors, or geographic or product line expansion by existing competitors, are low. Additionally, increased competition from non-traditional sources (such as club stores and commercial wholesale outlets with lower cost structures), and group purchasing organizations have served to further increase pressure on the industry's profit margins, and continued margin pressure within the industry may have a material adverse impact on our operating results and profitability. Finally, demand for food-away-from-home products is volatile and price sensitive, imposing limits on our customers' ability to absorb cost increases. New and increased competitive sources may result in increased focus on pricing and on limiting price increases, or may require increased discounting. Such competition or other industry pressures may result in margin erosion and/or make it difficult for us to attract and retain customers.

Although our sales historically have grown faster than the market, industry growth rates have slowed over the past several years, and industry sources expect the lower growth rates to continue in the near-term. These trends have placed pressure on our profit margins and made it more difficult to leverage our cost structure and pass along cost increases. We expect these trends to continue for

the foreseeable future. If we are unable to effectively differentiate ourselves from our competitors, our market share, sales and profitability, through increased expenditures or decreased prices, could be adversely impacted.

We may not be able to fully compensate for increases in fuel costs, and forward purchase commitments intended to contain fuel costs could result in above market fuel costs.

Volatile fuel prices have a direct impact on our industry. We require significant quantities of fuel for our delivery vehicles and are exposed to the risk associated with fluctuations in the market price for fuel. The price and supply of fuel can fluctuate significantly based on international, political and economic circumstances, as well as other factors outside our control, such as actions by the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries, or OPEC, and other oil and gas producers, regional production patterns, weather conditions and environmental concerns. On average, on-highway diesel fuel prices decreased approximately 1% in fiscal 2014 and increased approximately 2% in 2013, respectively, as compared to the prior year. The cost of fuel affects the price paid by us for products, as well as the costs we incur to deliver products to our customers. Although we have been able to pass along a portion of increased fuel costs to our customers in the past, there is no guarantee that we will continue to be able to do so in the future. If fuel costs increase further in the future, we may experience difficulties in passing all or a portion of these costs along to our customers, which may have a negative impact on our business and our profitability. We routinely enter into forward purchase commitments for a portion of our projected monthly diesel fuel requirements at prices equal to the then-current forward price for diesel. If fuel prices decrease significantly, these forward purchases may prove ineffective and result in our paying higher than market costs for a portion of our diesel fuel.

Business and Operational Risks

Our ability to meet our long-term strategic objectives to grow the profitability of our business depends largely on the success of the Business Transformation Project.

Our multi-year Business Transformation Project consists of:

- the design and deployment of an Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system to implement an integrated software system to support a majority of our business processes and further streamline our operations;
- initiatives to lower our cost structure; and
- initiatives to lower our product costs.

Successfully managing deployment is critical to our business success. While we expect all of the components of the Business Transformation Project to enhance our value proposition to customers and suppliers and improve our long-term profitability, there can be no assurance that we will realize our expectations within the time frame we have established, if at all.

The actual cost of the ERP system may be greater than currently expected and continued delays in the execution of deployment may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

ERP implementations are complex and time-consuming projects that involve substantial investments in system software and implementation activities over a multi-year timeframe. Our cost estimates related to our ERP system are based on assumptions which are subject to wide variability, require a great deal of judgment, and are inherently uncertain. Thus, the actual costs of the project in fiscal 2015 (and beyond) may be greater than currently expected. We have encountered, and we may continue to encounter, the need for changes in design or revisions of the project calendar and budget, including incurring expenses at an earlier or later time than currently anticipated. For example, we deployed our ERP system to five additional locations in fiscal 2014 and are continuing to experience improved functionality in many areas compared to past deployments; however, while the majority of the system functionality is performing as designed, we have continued to identify issues that we want to address before we continue deploying to additional locations.

In addition, implementation of the ERP system requires significant management attention and resources over an extended period of time and any significant design errors or delays in the implementation of the systems could materially and adversely affect our operating results. In addition, because the implementation is expected to continue to involve a significant financial commitment, our business, results of operations and liquidity may also be adversely affected if the ERP system, and the associated process changes, do not prove to be cost effective or do not result in the cost savings and other benefits at the levels that we anticipate. There can be no guarantee that we will be able to realize the intended results of the system software and implementation activities.

We may not realize anticipated benefits from our operating cost reduction efforts.

We have implemented a number of cost reduction initiatives that we believe are necessary to position our business for future success and growth. Our future success and earnings growth will be significantly impacted by our ability to achieve a lower cost structure and operate efficiently in the highly competitive food and beverage industry, particularly in an environment of increased competitive activity and low growth rates. A variety of factors could cause us not to realize some of the expected cost savings,

including, among other things, delays in the anticipated timing of activities related to our cost savings initiatives, lack of sustainability in cost savings over time and unexpected costs associated with operating our business. If we are unable to realize the anticipated benefits from our cost cutting efforts, we could become cost disadvantaged in the marketplace, and our competitiveness and our profitability could decrease. Furthermore, even if we realize the anticipated benefits of our cost reduction efforts, we may experience an adverse impact on our employees, customers and suppliers, which could negatively affect our sales and profits.

We may not realize the full anticipated benefits from our category management initiative.

We are in the midst of deploying our category management initiative which encompasses a rigorous process whereby we use market data and customer insights to optimize the product assortment available to our customers, strengthen strategic relationships with our suppliers, drive product innovation and increase our sales and profit margins. If our sales associates are not able to effectively gain acceptance of the new product assortment from our customers or are not able to absorb the significant administrative and process changes required, then we may not be able to successfully execute the category management initiative in the timeline we anticipate and we may not capture all of the financial and other benefits that we anticipate.

Conditions beyond our control can interrupt our supplies and increase our product costs.

We obtain substantially all of our foodservice and related products from third-party suppliers. For the most part, we do not have long-term contracts with our suppliers committing them to provide products to us; however, we believe the number of long-term contracts will increase as we progress with our category management initiative. Although our purchasing volume can provide benefits when dealing with suppliers, suppliers may not provide the foodservice products and supplies needed by us in the quantities and at the prices requested. We are also subject to delays caused by interruption in production and increases in product costs based on conditions outside of our control. These conditions include work slowdowns, work interruptions, strikes or other job actions by employees of suppliers, short-term weather conditions or more prolonged climate change, crop and other agricultural conditions, water shortages, transportation interruptions, unavailability of fuel or increases in fuel costs, product recalls, competitive demands and natural disasters or other catastrophic events (including, but not limited to food-borne illnesses). Further, increased frequency or duration of extreme weather conditions could also impair production capabilities, disrupt our supply chain or impact demand for our products. Input costs could increase at any point in time for a large portion of the products that we sell for a prolonged period. Our inability to obtain adequate supplies of foodservice and related products as a result of any of the foregoing factors or otherwise could mean that we could not fulfill our obligations to customers, and customers may turn to other distributors.

Adverse publicity about us or lack of confidence in our products could negatively impact our reputation and reduce earnings.

Maintaining a good reputation and public confidence in the safety of the products we distribute is critical to our business, particularly to selling Sysco Brand products. The Sysco brand name, trademarks and logos and our reputation are powerful sales and marketing tools, and we devote significant resources to promoting and protecting them. Anything that damages our reputation or the public's confidence in our products, whether or not justified, including adverse publicity about the quality, safety, sustainability or integrity of our products or relating to activities by our operations, employees, suppliers or agents could tarnish our reputation and reduce the value of our brand, and could adversely affect our revenues and profits.

Reports, whether true or not, of food-borne illnesses (such as e-coli, avian flu, bovine spongiform encephalopathy, hepatitis A, trichinosis, salmonella, listeria or swine flu) and injuries caused by food tampering could also severely injure our reputation or negatively impact the public's confidence in our products. If patrons of our restaurant customers become ill from food-borne illnesses, our customers could be forced to temporarily close restaurant locations and our sales and profitability would be correspondingly decreased. In addition, instances of food-borne illnesses or food tampering or other health concerns (even those unrelated to the use of Sysco products), or public concern regarding the safety of our products, can result in negative publicity about the food service distribution industry and cause our sales and profitability to decrease dramatically.

Damage to our reputation and loss of brand equity could reduce demand for our products and services. This reduction in demand, together with the dedication of time and expense necessary to defend our reputation, will have an adverse effect on our financial condition, liquidity and results of operations, as well as require additional resources to rebuild our reputation and restore the value of our brand. Our business prospects, financial condition and results of operations could be adversely affected if our public image or reputation were to be tarnished by negative publicity including dissemination via print, broadcast or social media, or other forms of Internet-based communications. Adverse publicity about regulatory or legal action against us could damage our reputation and image, undermine our customers' confidence and reduce short-term or long-term demand for our products and services, even if the regulatory or legal action is unfounded or not material to our operations. Any of these events could have a material negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition.

If sales to our locally-managed customers grow at a lower rate than sales to our corporate-managed customers, our operating margins may decline and our corporate-managed customers will increase their proportion of our total sales, thus subjecting us to greater risk if we lose one or more of these customers and possibly enabling these larger customers to exert greater pressure on us to reduce our prices and/or expand our services.

Our sales to corporate-managed customers have generally grown at a faster rate than our sales to locally-managed locations. Gross margin from our corporate-managed customers is generally lower than that of our locally-managed customers because we typically sell higher volumes of products to these customers and provide a relatively lower level of value-added services than we do to locally-managed customers. If sales to our locally-managed customers do not grow at the same or a greater rate as sales to our corporate-managed customers, our operating margins may decline.

Moreover, if sales to our corporate-managed customers increase at a faster pace of growth than sales to our locally-managed customers, we will become more dependent on corporate-managed customers as they begin to represent a greater proportion of our total sales. Additionally, the loss of sales to the larger of these corporate-managed customers could have a material negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition. Additionally, as a result of our greater dependence on these customers, we could be pressured by them to lower our prices and/or offer expanded or additional services at the same prices. In that event, we would need to achieve additional cost savings to offset these price reductions and/or cost increases or our gross margins and profitability could be materially adversely affected. We may be unable to change our cost structure and pricing practices rapidly enough to successfully compete in such an environment.

Expanding into international markets and complementary lines of business presents unique challenges, and our expansion efforts with respect to international operations and complementary lines of business may not be successful.

In addition to our domestic activities, an element of our strategy includes the possibility of further expansion of operations into international markets and the establishment of international procurement organizations. Our ability to successfully operate in international markets may be adversely affected by local laws and customs, legal and regulatory constraints, including compliance with the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, political and economic conditions and currency regulations of the countries or regions in which we currently operate or intend to operate in the future. Risks inherent in our existing and future international operations also include, among others, the costs and difficulties of managing international operations, difficulties in identifying and gaining access to local suppliers, suffering possible adverse tax consequences, maintaining product quality and greater difficulty in enforcing intellectual property rights. Additionally, foreign currency exchange rates and fluctuations thereof may have an adverse impact on our future costs or on future sales and cash flows from our international operations.

Another element of our strategy includes the possibility of expansion into businesses that are closely related or complementary to, but not currently part of, our core foodservice distribution business. Our ability to successfully operate in these complementary business markets may be adversely affected by legal and regulatory constraints, including compliance with regulatory programs to which we become subject. Risks inherent in branching out into such complementary markets also include the costs and difficulties of managing operations outside of our core

business, which may require additional skills and competencies, as well as difficulties in identifying and gaining access to suppliers or customers in new markets.

If we fail to comply with requirements imposed by applicable law or other governmental regulations, we could become subject to lawsuits, investigations and other liabilities and restrictions on our operations that could significantly and adversely affect our business.

We are subject to governmental regulation at the federal, state, international, national, provincial and local levels in many areas of our business, such as food safety and sanitation, minimum wage, overtime, wage payment, wage and hour and employment discrimination, immigration, human health and safety, and due to the services we provide in connection with governmentally funded entitlement programs. From time to time, both federal and state governmental agencies have conducted audits of our billing practices as part of investigations of providers of services under governmental contracts, or otherwise. We also receive requests for information from governmental agencies in connection with these audits. While we attempt to comply with all applicable laws and regulations, we cannot represent that we are in full compliance with all applicable laws and regulations or interpretations of these laws and regulations at all times or that we will be able to comply with any future laws, regulations or interpretations of these laws and regulations.

If we fail to comply with applicable laws and regulations or encounter disagreements with respect to our contracts subject to governmental regulations, including those referred to above, we may be subject to investigations, criminal sanctions or civil remedies, including fines, injunctions, prohibitions on exporting, seizures or debarments from contracting with the government. The cost of compliance or the consequences of non-compliance, including debarments, could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations. In addition, governmental units may make changes in the regulatory frameworks within which we operate that may require either the corporation as a whole or individual businesses to incur substantial increases in costs in order to comply with such laws and regulations.

Product liability claims could materially impact our business.

We, like any other seller of food, face the risk of exposure to product liability claims in the event that the use of products sold by Sysco causes injury or illness. We cannot be sure that consumption of our products will not cause a health-related illness in the future or that we will not be subject to claims or lawsuits relating to such matters. Further, even if a product liability claim is unsuccessful or is not fully pursued, the negative publicity surrounding any assertion that our products caused illness or injury could adversely affect our reputation with existing and potential customers and our corporate and brand image. With respect to product liability claims, we believe we have sufficient primary or excess umbrella liability insurance. However, this insurance may not continue to be available at a reasonable cost or, if available, may not be adequate to cover all of our liabilities. We generally seek contractual indemnification and insurance coverage from parties supplying our products, but this indemnification or insurance coverage is limited, as a practical matter, to the creditworthiness of the indemnifying party and the insured limits of any insurance provided by suppliers. If Sysco does not have adequate insurance or contractual indemnification available, product liability relating to defective products could materially reduce our net earnings and earnings per share.

We must finance and integrate acquired businesses effectively.

Historically, a portion of our growth has come through acquisitions. If we are unable to integrate acquired businesses successfully or realize anticipated economic, operational and other benefits and synergies in a timely manner, our earnings per share may be materially adversely impacted. Integration of an acquired business may be more difficult when we acquire a business in a market in which we have limited expertise, or with a culture different from Sysco's. A significant expansion of our business and operations, in terms of geography or magnitude, could strain our administrative and operational resources. Significant acquisitions may also require the issuance of material additional amounts of debt or equity, which could materially alter our debt-to-equity ratio, increase our interest expense and decrease earnings per share, and make it difficult for us to obtain favorable financing for other acquisitions or capital investments. See "--The integration of the businesses of Sysco and US Foods may be more difficult, costly or time consuming than expected, and the merger may not result in any or all of the anticipated benefits, including cost synergies."

We need access to borrowed funds in order to grow, and any default by us under our indebtedness could have a material adverse impact on cash flow and liquidity.

A substantial part of our growth historically has been the result of acquisitions and capital expansion. We anticipate additional acquisitions and capital expansion in the future. As a result, our inability to finance acquisitions and capital expenditures through borrowed funds could restrict our ability to expand. Moreover, any default under the documents governing our indebtedness could have a significant adverse effect on our cash flows, as well as the market value of our common stock. See "--Consummation of the merger will require Sysco to incur significant additional indebtedness, which could adversely impact our financial condition and may hinder our ability to obtain additional financing and pursue other business and investment opportunities."

Our level of indebtedness and the terms of our indebtedness could adversely affect our business and liquidity position.

As of June 28, 2014, we had approximately \$3 billion of total indebtedness. We have a Board-approved commercial paper program allowing us to issue short-term unsecured notes in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1.3 billion; a revolving credit facility supporting our U.S. and Canadian commercial paper programs in the amount of \$1.5 billion set to expire on December 29, 2018; certain uncommitted bank lines of credit providing for unsecured borrowings for working capital of up to \$95.0 million; and a €100.0 million (Euro) multicurrency revolving credit facility for use by our Irish subsidiary set to expire September 24, 2014, which is subject to extension. Our indebtedness may further increase from time to time for various reasons, including fluctuations in operating results, working capital needs, capital expenditures and potential acquisitions or joint ventures. Our increased level of indebtedness and the ultimate cost of such indebtedness could have a negative impact on our liquidity, cost of capital and financial results. In the future, our cash flow and capital resources may not be sufficient for payments of interest on and principal of our debt, and any alternative financing measures available may not be successful and may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations. See "--Consummation of the merger will require Sysco to incur significant additional indebtedness, which could adversely impact our financial condition and may hinder our ability to obtain additional financing and pursue other business and investment opportunities."

Our liquidity can be negatively impacted by payments required to appeal tax assessments with certain tax jurisdictions.

Certain tax jurisdictions require partial to full payment of audit assessments or the posting of letters of credit in order to proceed to the appeals process. Sysco has posted approximately \$32.5 million in letters of credit in order to appeal the Canadian Revenue Agency assessments of transfer pricing adjustments relating to our cross border procurement activities through our former purchasing cooperative on our 2004 and 2005 fiscal years. If assessed on later years currently under examination using these same positions, we could have to pay cash or post additional letters of credit of as much as \$129.0 million, in order to appeal these further assessments. If significant further payments are required, the company's financial condition or cash flows could be adversely affected.

We rely on technology in our business and any technology disruption or delay in implementing new technology could have a material negative impact on our business.

Our ability to decrease costs and increase profits, as well as our ability to serve customers most effectively, depends on the reliability of our technology network. We use software and other technology systems, among other things, to generate and select orders, to load and route trucks, to make purchases, manage our warehouses and to monitor and manage our business on a day-to-day basis. Any disruption to these computer systems could adversely impact our customer service, decrease the volume of our business and result in increased costs and lower profits.

Furthermore, process changes will be required as we continue to use our existing warehousing, delivery, and payroll systems to support operations as we implement the ERP system. While Sysco has invested and continues to invest in technology security initiatives and disaster recovery plans, these measures cannot fully insulate us from technology disruption that could result in adverse effects on operations and profits.

A cybersecurity incident and other technology disruptions could negatively impact our business and our relationships with customers.

We use computers in substantially all aspects of our business operations. We also use mobile devices, social networking and other online activities to connect with our employees, suppliers, business partners and our customers. Such uses give rise to cybersecurity risks, including security breach, espionage, system disruption, theft and inadvertent release of information. Our business involves the storage and transmission of numerous classes of sensitive and/or confidential information and intellectual property, including customers' and suppliers personal information, private information about employees, and financial and strategic information about the company and its business partners. Further, as the company pursues its strategy to grow through acquisitions and to pursue new initiatives that improve our operations and cost structure, the company is also expanding and improving its information technologies, resulting in a larger technological presence and corresponding exposure to cybersecurity risk. If we fail to assess and identify cybersecurity risks associated with acquisitions and new initiatives, we may become increasingly vulnerable to such risks. Additionally, while we have implemented measures to prevent security breaches and cyber incidents, our preventative measures and incident response efforts may not be entirely effective. The theft, destruction, loss, misappropriation, or release of sensitive and/or confidential information or intellectual property, or interference with our information technology systems or the technology systems of third parties on which we rely, could result in business disruption, negative publicity, brand damage, violation of privacy laws, loss of customers, potential liability and competitive disadvantage.

We may be required to pay material amounts under multiemployer defined benefit pension plans.

We contribute to several multiemployer defined benefit pension plans based on obligations arising under collective bargaining agreements covering union-represented employees. Approximately 10% of our current employees are participants in such multiemployer plans. In fiscal 2014, our total contributions to these plans were approximately

\$76 million, which included payments for withdrawal liabilities of \$41 million. The costs of providing benefits through such plans have increased in recent years. The amount of any increase or decrease in our required contributions to these multiemployer plans will depend upon many factors, including the outcome of collective bargaining, actions taken by trustees who manage the plans, government regulations, the actual return on assets held in the plans and the potential payment of a withdrawal liability if we choose to exit. Based upon the information available to us from plan administrators, we believe that several of these multiemployer plans are underfunded. The unfunded liabilities of these plans may result in increased future payments by us and the other participating employers. Underfunded multiemployer pension plans may impose a surcharge requiring additional pension contributions. Our risk of such increased payments may be greater if any of the participating employers in these underfunded plans withdraws from the plan due to insolvency and is not able to contribute an amount sufficient to fund the unfunded liabilities associated with its participants in the plan. Based on the latest information available from plan administrators, we estimate our share of the aggregate withdrawal liability on the multiemployer plans in which we participate could have been as much as \$245 million as of June 28, 2014. A significant increase to funding requirements could adversely affect the company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Our funding requirements for our company-sponsored qualified pension plan may increase should financial markets experience future declines.

At the end of fiscal 2012, we decided to freeze future benefit accruals under the company-sponsored qualified pension plan (Retirement Plan) as of December 31, 2012 for all U.S.-based salaried and non-union hourly employees. Effective January 1, 2013, these employees were eligible for additional contributions under an enhanced, defined contribution plan. While these actions will serve to limit future growth in our pension liabilities, we had a sizable pension obligation of \$3.2 billion as of June 28, 2014; therefore, financial market factors could impact our funding requirements. Although recent pension funding relief legislation has served to defer some required funding, additional contributions may be required if our plan is not fully funded when the provisions that provided the relief are phased out. See Note 14, "Company-Sponsored Employee Benefit Plans" to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 for a discussion of the funded status of the Retirement Plan.

The amount of our annual contribution to the Retirement Plan is dependent upon, among other things, the returns on the Retirement Plan's assets and discount rates used to calculate the plan's liability. Our Retirement Plan holds investments in both equity and fixed income securities. Fluctuations in asset values can cause the amount of our anticipated future contributions to the plan to increase. The projected liability of the Retirement Plan will be impacted by the fluctuations of interest rates on high quality bonds in the public markets as these are inputs in determining our minimum funding requirements. Specifically, decreases in these interest rates may have an adverse impact on our funding obligations. To the extent financial markets experience future declines similar to those experienced in fiscal 2008 through the beginning of fiscal 2010, and/or interest rates on high quality bonds in the public markets decline, our required contributions may increase for future years as our funded status decreases, which could have an adverse impact on our liquidity.

Failure to successfully renegotiate union contracts could result in work stoppages.

As of June 28, 2014, approximately 8,800 employees at 52 operating companies were members of 59 different local unions associated with the International Brotherhood of Teamsters and other labor organizations. In fiscal 2015, 13 agreements covering approximately 1,900 employees have expired or will expire. Since June 28, 2014, there have been no contract renegotiations. Failure of our operating companies to effectively renegotiate these contracts could result in work stoppages. Although our operating subsidiaries have not experienced any significant labor disputes or work stoppages to date, and we believe they have satisfactory relationships with their unions, a work stoppage due to failure of multiple operating subsidiaries to renegotiate union contracts could have a material adverse effect on us.

A shortage of qualified labor could negatively impact our business and materially reduce earnings.

The future success of our operations, including the achievement of our strategic objectives, depends on our ability to identify, recruit, develop and retain qualified and talented individuals, and any shortage of qualified labor could significantly affect our business. Our employee recruitment, development and retention efforts may not be successful and there may be a shortage of qualified individuals in future periods. Any such shortage would decrease Sysco's ability to effectively serve our customers and achieve our strategic objectives. Such a shortage would also likely lead to higher wages for employees and a corresponding reduction in our net earnings.

Our authorized preferred stock provides anti-takeover benefits that may not be viewed as beneficial to stockholders.

Under our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, Sysco's Board of Directors is authorized to issue up to 1,500,000 shares of preferred stock without stockholder approval. Issuance of these shares could make it more difficult for anyone to acquire Sysco without approval of the Board of Directors, depending on the rights and preferences of the stock issued. In addition, if anyone attempts to acquire Sysco without approval of the Board of Directors of Sysco, the existence of this undesignated preferred stock could allow the Board of Directors to adopt a shareholder rights plan without obtaining stockholder approval, which could result in substantial dilution to a potential acquirer. As a result, hostile takeover attempts that might result in an acquisition of Sysco, that could otherwise have

been financially beneficial to our stockholders, could be deterred.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

14

Item 2. Properties

The table below shows the number of distribution facilities occupied by Sysco in each state, province or country and the aggregate square footage devoted to cold and dry storage as of June 28, 2014.

| Location | Number of Facilities | Cold Storage (Square Feet in thousands) | Dry Storage (Square Feet in thousands) | Segment Served* |
|----------------------|----------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Alabama | 2 | 184 | 130 | BL |
| Alaska | 1 | 41 | 28 | BL |
| Arizona | 2 | 129 | 107 | BL, O |
| Arkansas | 2 | 131 | 88 | BL, O |
| California | 17 | 1,386 | 1,254 | BL, S, O |
| Colorado | 4 | 274 | 213 | BL, S, O |
| Connecticut | 3 | 156 | 110 | BL, O |
| District of Columbia | 2 | 52 | 42 | BL |
| Florida | 14 | 1,166 | 887 | BL, S, O |
| Georgia | 5 | 267 | 417 | BL, S, O |
| Idaho | 3 | 95 | 92 | BL |
| Illinois | 6 | 409 | 408 | BL, S, O |
| Indiana | 1 | 100 | 109 | BL |
| Iowa | 1 | 93 | 95 | BL |
| Kansas | 1 | 177 | 171 | BL |
| Kentucky | 1 | 92 | 106 | BL |
| Louisiana | 1 | 134 | 113 | BL |
| Maine | 1 | 59 | 50 | BL |
| Maryland | 2 | 318 | 255 | BL |
| Massachusetts | 2 | 249 | 229 | BL, S |
| Michigan | 3 | 320 | 300 | BL, S |
| Minnesota | 3 | 233 | 195 | BL |
| Mississippi | 1 | 95 | 69 | BL |
| Missouri | 2 | 106 | 94 | BL, S |
| Montana | 1 | 120 | 121 | BL |
| Nebraska | 1 | 143 | 129 | BL |
| Nevada | 3 | 199 | 154 | BL, O |
| New Jersey | 4 | 140 | 453 | BL, O |
| New Mexico | 1 | 120 | 108 | BL |
| New York | 4 | 417 | 361 | BL, O |
| North Carolina | 6 | 332 | 303 | BL, S, O |
| North Dakota | 1 | 46 | 59 | BL |
| Ohio | 6 | 407 | 423 | BL, S, O |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-----------------------------|----|-------|-------|----------|
| Oklahoma | 3 | 176 | 156 | BL, S, O |
| Oregon | 3 | 177 | 154 | BL, S |
| Pennsylvania | 5 | 542 | 405 | BL, S |
| Rhode Island | 1 | 2 | - | BL |
| South Carolina | 1 | 191 | 98 | BL |
| Tennessee | 5 | 406 | 426 | BL, O |
| Texas | 18 | 1,131 | 1,235 | BL, S, O |
| Utah | 1 | 161 | 107 | BL |
| Virginia | 3 | 627 | 418 | BL |
| Washington | 1 | 134 | 92 | BL |
| Wisconsin | 3 | 287 | 299 | BL, O |
| Bahamas | 1 | 90 | 23 | BL |
| Alberta, Canada | 3 | 206 | 199 | BL |
| British Columbia, Canada | 8 | 289 | 271 | BL, O |
| Manitoba, Canada | 1 | 78 | 74 | BL |
| New Brunswick, Canada | 2 | 85 | 41 | BL |
| Newfoundland, Canada | 1 | 33 | 41 | BL |
| Nova Scotia, Canada | 1 | 45 | 50 | BL |
| Ontario, Canada | 10 | 549 | 442 | BL, O |
| Quebec, Canada | 7 | 152 | 239 | BL |
| Saskatchewan, Canada | 1 | 40 | 54 | BL |

| Location | Number of Facilities | Cold Storage (Square Feet in thousands) | Dry Storage (Square Feet in thousands) | Segment Served* |
|------------------|----------------------|--|---|-----------------|
| Ireland | 6 | 109 | 68 | BL |
| Northern Ireland | 1 | 2 | 8 | BL |
| Puerto Rico | 1 | 8 | - | O |
| Total | 194 | 13,710 | 12,573 | |

* Segments served include Broadline (BL), SYGMA (S) and Other (O).

We own approximately 21,717,000 square feet of our distribution facilities (or 82.6% of the total square feet), and the remainder is occupied under leases expiring at various dates from fiscal 2015 to fiscal 2032, exclusive of renewal options.

We own our approximately 625,000 square foot headquarters office complex in Houston, Texas. In addition, we own our approximately 669,000 square foot shared services complex in Cypress, Texas.

We are currently constructing expansions, replacement or fold-out facilities for our distribution facilities in Phoenix, Arizona; Jacksonville, Florida; and Dublin, Ireland. These operating companies, in the aggregate, accounted for approximately 2% of fiscal 2014 sales.

As of June 28, 2014, our fleet of approximately 9,400 delivery vehicles consisted of tractor and trailer combinations, vans and panel trucks, most of which are either wholly or partially refrigerated for the transportation of frozen or perishable foods. We own approximately 94% of these vehicles and lease the remainder.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Repurchases of Equity Securities

The principal market for Sysco's common stock (SYY) is the New York Stock Exchange. The table below sets forth the high and low sales prices per share for our common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange Composite Tape and the cash dividends declared for the periods indicated.

| | Common Stock Prices | | Dividends Declared Per Share |
|----------------|------------------------|----------|------------------------------------|
| | High | Low | |
| Fiscal 2013: | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 31.41 | \$ 28.23 | \$ 0.27 |
| Second Quarter | 32.40 | 29.75 | 0.28 |
| Third Quarter | 35.62 | 30.55 | 0.28 |
| Fourth Quarter | 35.40 | 33.07 | 0.28 |
| Fiscal 2014: | | | |
| First Quarter | \$ 36.05 | \$ 31.37 | \$ 0.28 |
| Second Quarter | 43.40 | 31.13 | 0.29 |
| Third Quarter | 37.08 | 34.07 | 0.29 |
| Fourth Quarter | 37.92 | 35.31 | 0.29 |

The number of record owners of Sysco's common stock as of August 13, 2014 was 11,575.

We made the following share repurchases during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014:

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased (1) | (b) Average Price Paid per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | (d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------------------|--|--|
| Month #1 March 30 – April 26 | - | \$ - | - | 11,655,197 |
| Month #2 April 27 – May 24 | 2,357 | 37.19 | - | 11,655,197 |
| Month #3 May 25 – June 28 | - | - | - | 11,655,197 |
| Total | 2,357 | \$ 37.19 | - | 11,655,197 |

(1) The shares purchased in Month #2 represented shares tendered by individuals in connection with stock option exercises.

In August 2013, our Board of Directors approved the repurchase of up to 20,000,000 shares for an aggregate purchase price not to exceed \$720 million. The authorization expires on August 23, 2015. Pursuant to the repurchase program, shares may be acquired in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions at the company's discretion, subject to market conditions and other factors.

The Board of Directors has authorized us to enter into agreements from time to time to extend our ongoing repurchase program to include repurchases during company announced "blackout periods" of such securities in compliance with Rule 10b5-1 promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act).

Stock Performance Graph

The following performance graph and related information shall not be deemed "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Exchange Act, each as amended, except to the extent that Sysco specifically incorporates such information by reference into such filing.

The following stock performance graph compares the performance of Sysco's Common Stock to the S&P 500 Index and to the S&P 500 Food/Staple Retail Index for Sysco's last five fiscal years.

The graph assumes that the value of the investment in our Common Stock, the S&P 500 Index, and the S&P 500 Food/Staple Retail Index was \$100 on the last trading day of fiscal 2009, and that all dividends were reinvested. Performance data for Sysco, the S&P 500 Index and the S&P 500 Food/Staple Retail Index is provided as of the last trading day of each of our last five fiscal years.

| | 6/27/09 | 7/3/10 | 7/2/11 | 6/30/12 | 6/29/13 | 6/28/14 |
|----------------------------------|---------|--------|--------|---------|---------|---------|
| Sysco Corporation | \$100 | \$129 | \$148 | \$145 | \$172 | \$197 |
| S&P 500 | 100 | 114 | 152 | 158 | 190 | 237 |
| S&P 500 Food/Staple Retail Index | 100 | 101 | 131 | 151 | 183 | 221 |

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

| | Fiscal Year | | | | 2010 | |
|---|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | (53 Weeks) | |
| | (In thousands except for per share data) | | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 46,516,712 | \$ 44,411,233 | \$ 42,380,939 | \$ 39,323,489 | \$ 37,243,495 | |
| Operating income | 1,587,122 | 1,658,478 | 1,890,632 | 1,931,502 | 1,975,868 | |
| Earnings before income taxes | 1,475,624 | 1,547,455 | 1,784,002 | 1,827,454 | 1,849,589 | |
| Income taxes | 544,091 | 555,028 | 662,417 | 675,424 | 669,606 | |
| Net earnings | \$ 931,533 | \$ 992,427 | \$ 1,121,585 | \$ 1,152,030 | \$ 1,179,983 | |
| Net earnings: | | | | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.91 | \$ 1.96 | \$ 1.99 | |
| Diluted earnings per share | 1.58 | 1.67 | 1.90 | 1.96 | 1.99 | |
| Dividends declared per share | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.07 | \$ 1.03 | \$ 0.99 | |
| Total assets | \$ 13,167,950 | \$ 12,678,208 | \$ 12,137,207 | \$ 11,427,190 | \$ 10,336,436 | |
| Capital expenditures | 523,206 | 511,862 | 784,501 | 636,442 | 594,604 | |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 304,777 | \$ 207,301 | \$ 254,650 | \$ 207,031 | \$ 7,970 | |
| Long-term debt | 2,384,167 | 2,639,986 | 2,763,688 | 2,279,517 | 2,472,662 | |
| Total long-term debt | 2,688,944 | 2,847,287 | 3,018,338 | 2,486,548 | 2,480,632 | |
| Shareholders' equity | 5,266,695 | 5,191,810 | 4,685,040 | 4,705,242 | 3,827,526 | |
| Total capitalization | \$ 7,955,639 | \$ 8,039,097 | \$ 7,703,378 | \$ 7,191,790 | \$ 6,308,158 | |
| Ratio of long-term debt to capitalization | 33.8 | % 35.4 | % 39.2 | % 34.6 | % 39.3 | % |

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Our discussion below of our results includes certain non-GAAP financial measures that we believe provide important perspective with respect to underlying business trends and results and provides meaningful supplemental information to both management and investors that is indicative of the performance of the company's underlying operations and facilitates comparison on a year-over-year basis. Other than free cash flow, any non-GAAP financial measure will be denoted as an adjusted measure and exclude the impact from executive retirement plans restructuring, multiemployer pension withdrawals, severance charges, merger and integration costs associated with our pending US Foods, Inc. (US Foods) merger, change in estimate for self-insurance costs, charges from a liability for a settlement, facility closure charges, amortization of US Foods financing costs and an acquisition related charge specific to fiscal 2013, collectively defined as (Certain Items). The comparison of our fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 periods also excludes the impact of our Business Transformation Project. More information on the rationale for the use of these measures and reconciliations to GAAP numbers can be found under "Non-GAAP Reconciliations."

Due to the inherent uncertainties concerning the impact of the pending US Foods acquisition (see discussion in Risk Factors in Part 1, Item 1A.), it is impracticable for us to provide projections that fully anticipate all possible impacts of the acquisition. For that reason, forward-looking disclosures in this MD&A and elsewhere describe anticipated future trends and results of only our current operations, excluding any potential impact from the US Foods acquisition unless specifically noted.

Overview

Sysco distributes food and related products to restaurants, healthcare and educational facilities, lodging establishments and other foodservice customers. Our operations are primarily located throughout the United States (U.S.), Bahamas, Canada, Republic of Ireland and Northern Ireland and include broadline companies (which include our custom-cut meat operations), SYGMA (our chain restaurant distribution subsidiary), specialty produce companies, hotel supply operations, a company that distributes specialty imported products, a company that distributes to international customers and our Sysco Ventures platform, our suite of technology solutions that help support the business needs of our customers.

We consider our primary market to be the foodservice market in the U.S., Canada and Ireland and estimate that we serve about 17.4% of this approximately \$255 billion annual market based on a measurement as of the end of calendar 2013. We use industry data obtained from various sources including Technomic, Inc., the Canadian Restaurant and Foodservices Association and the Irish Food Board to calculate this measurement. Industry sources adjust measurements of the market size periodically to align with governmental census data. As a result, our measurement used for calendar 2012 was adjusted to 17.1%. According to industry sources, the foodservice, or food-away-from-home, market represents approximately 48% of the total dollars spent on food purchases made at the consumer level in the U.S. as of the end of calendar 2013.

Industry sources estimate the total foodservice market in the U.S. experienced a real sales increase of approximately 1.1% in calendar year 2013 and 1.2% in calendar year 2012. Real sales changes do not include the impact of inflation or deflation.

Highlights

The foodservice industry remained under pressure in fiscal 2014. While the economy continues to slowly recover, the magnitude of recovery is modest and the outlook for certain fundamental drivers of the economy is mixed. This creates a challenging business environment for us and our customers; however, we continue to implement transformational change on a broad scale which is enhancing the products and services we provide our customers and helping us to operate more efficiently. Our sales and gross profits grew modestly, and our expense management performance was favorable overall despite cost pressures in our delivery operations. Our improvements largely resulted from our Business Transformation Project initiatives, which helped drive our North American Broadline cost per case lower than in fiscal 2013. The impact of Certain Items also contributed to lower operating income in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013.

Comparison of results from fiscal 2014 to fiscal 2013:

- Sales increased 4.7%, or \$2.1 billion to \$46.5 billion.
- Operating income decreased 4.3%, or \$71.4 million, to \$1.6 billion.
- Adjusted operating income decreased 0.9%, or \$16.2 million, to \$1.7 billion.
- Net earnings decreased 6.1%, or \$60.9 million, to \$0.9 billion.
- Adjusted net earnings decreased 1.8%, or \$19.4 million, to \$1.0 billion.
- Basic earnings per share in fiscal 2014 was \$1.59, a 5.4% decrease from the comparable prior year period amount of \$1.68 per share. Diluted earnings per share in fiscal 2014 was \$1.58, a 5.4% decrease from the comparable prior year period amount of \$1.67 per share.
- Adjusted diluted earnings per share was \$1.76 in fiscal 2014, a 1.1% decrease from the comparable prior year amount of \$1.78 per share.

See “Non-GAAP Reconciliations” for an explanation of these non-GAAP financial measures.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2014, we announced an agreement to merge with US Foods. This merger is currently pending a regulatory review process.

Trends and Strategy

Trends

General economic conditions and consumer confidence can affect the frequency of purchases and amounts spent by consumers for food-away-from-home and, in turn, can impact our customers and our sales. Consumers continue to spend their disposable income in an increasingly disciplined manner. We believe these conditions have contributed to a slow rate of recovery in the foodservice market. While these trends can be cyclical in nature, greater consumer confidence will be required to reverse the trend. According to industry sources, real sales growth for the total foodservice market in the U.S. is expected to be modest over the long-term. We believe these industry trends reinforce the need for us to transform our business to reduce our overall cost structure and provide greater value to our customers. Our long-term sales growth expectation is 4% to 6% annually, which assumes a modest rate of inflation similar to historical levels.

Our gross margin performance has been influenced by multiple factors. The modest level of growth in the foodservice market has created additional competitive pricing pressures which is in turn negatively impacting gross profits. Sales to our locally-managed customers, including independent restaurant customers, have not grown at the same rate as sales to our corporate-managed customers. Gross margin from our corporate-managed customers is generally lower than other types of customers due to higher volumes sold to these customers. Our locally-managed customers comprise a significant portion of our overall volumes and an even greater percentage of profitability because of the high level of value added services we typically provide to this customer group. As a result, our gross margins have declined. The disparity in the growth rate between these customer types moderated in the last half of fiscal 2014 with locally-managed sales growth trending at similar rates as corporate-managed customers. Inflation can be a factor that contributes to gross margin pressure. Our inflation rates were relatively stable over the first three quarters of fiscal 2014, however it increased in the fourth quarter, all quarters being compared to the past year. Fourth quarter fiscal 2014 inflation was seen primarily in the meat, seafood and dairy categories which represent more than one-third of our annual sales. While we cannot predict whether inflation will continue at current levels, periods of high inflation, either overall or in certain product categories, can have a negative impact on us and our customers, as high food costs can be difficult to pass on to our customers and inflation can reduce consumer spending in the food-away-from-home market, and may negatively impact our sales, gross profit, operating income and earnings.

We have experienced higher operating expenses this fiscal year as compared to fiscal 2013, stemming from higher case volumes, some of which is attributable to our acquired operations, increased depreciation and amortization, increased delivery costs and higher corporate expenses. These were partially offset by lower Business Transformation Project expenses and benefits from Business Transformation Project initiatives. We have experienced a decrease in pay-related expenses in the selling and information technology areas due to initiatives from our Business Transformation Project. The benefits in the selling and information technology areas have largely been realized and are not expected to recur in fiscal 2015. Other areas of pay-related expense have increased primarily from acquired companies and within delivery areas of our business a portion of which can be attributable to volume increases. Our retirement-related expenses consist primarily of costs from our company-sponsored qualified pension plan (Retirement Plan), our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) and our defined contribution plan. Our Retirement Plan was substantially frozen and the SERP was completely frozen in fiscal 2013, and our defined contribution plan was enhanced with greater benefits. The net impact of these actions is a reduction in retirement-related costs for fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. The benefits in the retirement-related expense have largely been realized and the amount of cost decrease is not expected to recur at the same magnitude in fiscal 2015 as in fiscal 2014. Corporate office expenses have risen in fiscal 2014 and will continue to rise in fiscal 2015 as we expand our corporate capabilities including a new revenue management function, organizational changes that drive greater functional support in our broadline operations and additional investments in technology. We have incurred additional costs in connection with the proposed merger with US Foods announced in the second quarter of fiscal 2014 primarily from integration planning and due diligence costs. We anticipate incurring additional costs as we begin planning for integration of the two companies as well as other financing costs incurred in connection with the proposed merger. The proposed merger is undergoing regulatory review by the Federal Trade Commission and we estimate the merger will close by the end of the third quarter or in the fourth quarter of calendar 2014.

Strategy

We are focused on optimizing our core broadline business in the U.S., Bahamas, Canada and Ireland, while continuing to explore appropriate opportunities to profitably grow our market share and create shareholder value by expanding beyond our core business. Day-to-day, our business decisions are driven by our mission to market and deliver great products to our customers with exceptional service, with the aspirational vision of becoming each of our customers' most valued and trusted business partner. We have identified five components of our strategy to help us achieve our mission and vision:

- Profoundly enrich the experience of doing business with Sysco: Our primary focus is to help our customers succeed. We believe that by building on our current competitive advantages, we will be able to further differentiate our offering to customers. Our competitive advantages include our sales force of over 7,000 marketing associates; our diversified product base, which includes quality-assured Sysco brand products; the suite of services we provide to our customers such as business reviews and menu analysis; and our multi-regional presence in the U.S. and Canada. In addition, we have a portfolio of businesses spanning broadline, specialty meat, chain restaurant distribution, specialty produce, hotel amenities, specialty import and export which serves our customers' needs across a wide array of business segments. Through our Sysco Ventures platform, we are developing a suite of technology solutions that help support the administrative needs of our customers. We believe this strategy of enriching the experience of doing business with Sysco will increase customer retention and profitably accelerate sales growth with both existing and new customers.
- Continuously improve productivity in all areas of our business: Our multi-year Business Transformation Project is designed to improve productivity and reduce costs. An integrated software system is included in this project and will support a majority of our business processes to further streamline our operations and reduce costs. These systems are commonly referred to as Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems. We view the technology as an important enabler of this project; however the larger outcome of this project will be from transformed processes that standardize portions of our operations. This includes a shared business service center to centrally manage certain back-office functions that are currently performed at a majority of our operating companies. This project includes other components to lower our cost structure through improved productivity without impacting our service to our customers. We continue to optimize warehouse and delivery activities across the organization to achieve a more efficient delivery of products to our customers and we seek to improve sales productivity and lower general and administrative costs. We also have a product cost reduction and category management initiative to use market data and customer insights to make changes to product pricing and product assortment.
- Expand our portfolio of products and services by initiating a customer-centric innovation program: We continually explore opportunities to provide new and improved products, technologies and services to our customers.
- Explore, assess and pursue new businesses and markets: This strategy is focused on identifying opportunities to expand the core business through growth in new international markets and in adjacent areas that complement our core foodservice distribution business. As a part of our ongoing strategic analysis, we regularly evaluate business opportunities, including potential acquisitions, joint ventures and sales of assets and businesses.
- Develop and effectively integrate a comprehensive, enterprise-wide talent management process: Our ability to drive results and grow our business is directly linked to having the best talent in the industry. We are committed to the continued enhancement of our talent management programs in terms of how we recruit, select, train and develop our associates throughout Sysco, as well as succession planning. Our ultimate objective is to provide our associates with outstanding opportunities for professional growth and career development.

The five components of our strategy discussed above are designed to drive sustainable profitable growth, increase asset optimization and free cash flow and increase operating margins. Consistent with these three objectives, in the second quarter of fiscal 2014, we announced an agreement to merge with US Foods. US Foods is a leading foodservice distributor in the U.S. that markets and distributes fresh, frozen and dry food and non-food products to more than 200,000 foodservice customers including independently owned single location restaurants, regional and

national chain restaurants, healthcare and educational institutions, hotels and motels, government and military organizations and retail locations. Following the completion of the proposed merger, the combined company will continue to be named Sysco and headquartered in Houston, Texas. At closing, Sysco is expected to have annual sales of approximately \$65 billion and with successful integration, we believe at least \$600 million in estimated annual synergies can be obtained in the combined company over a three to four year time period. Expenses to achieve synergies are estimated to be \$700 million to \$800 million to occur over a three year time frame once the acquisition has closed. We anticipate some level of capital expenditures primarily for internal use software and other computer equipment; however, amounts have not been estimated at this time.

As of the time the merger agreement was announced in December 2013, Sysco agreed to pay approximately \$3.5 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprised of \$3 billion of Sysco common stock and \$500 million of cash. As part of the transaction, Sysco will also assume or refinance US Foods' net debt, which was approximately \$4.7 billion as of September 28, 2013, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.2 billion at the time of the merger announcement. As of August 13, 2014, the merger consideration is estimated as follows: approximately \$3.7 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprised of \$3.2 billion of Sysco common stock valued using the seven day average through August 13, 2014 and \$500 million of cash. US Foods' net debt to be assumed or refinanced was approximately \$4.8 billion as of June 28, 2014, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.5 billion as of August 13, 2014. The value of Sysco's common stock and the amount of US Foods' net debt will fluctuate. As such, the components of the transaction and total enterprise value noted above will not be finalized until the merger is consummated.

We have secured a fully committed bridge financing and expect to issue longer-term financing prior to closing. After completion of the transaction, the equity holders of US Foods will own approximately 87 million shares, or roughly 13%, of Sysco. A representative from each of US Foods' two majority shareholders will join Sysco's Board of Directors upon closing. This merger is currently pending a regulatory review process by the Federal Trade Commission. We expect the transaction to close by the end of the third quarter or in the fourth quarter of calendar 2014. Under certain conditions, including lack of regulatory approval, Sysco would be obligated to pay \$300 million to the owners of US Foods if the merger were cancelled, which would be recognized as an expense.

Business Transformation Project

Our multi-year Business Transformation Project consists of:

- the design and deployment of an ERP system to implement an integrated software system to support a majority of our business processes and further streamline our operations;
- initiatives to lower our operating cost structure; and
- initiatives to lower our product cost including a category management initiative to use market data and customer insights to lower product pricing and enhance our product assortment to drive sales growth.

With respect to our ERP system, we successfully installed a major scheduled update to the system and have deployed the system to twelve locations as of the end of July 2014. In fiscal 2015, we will implement a software version upgrade, finalize information technology-related US Foods merger integration planning and sequencing decisions and enhance the scalability of our shared service center's processes to prepare for more conversions in the future. Our goal with integration planning is to sequence the decisions of our ERP implementation to help us achieve the most synergies in a timely manner.

We are seeking to lower our operating cost structure through various initiatives. These include routing optimization to reduce routes and miles driven, while improving on-time deliveries. This initiative is expected to be complete by the end of fiscal 2015. We made substantial progress on our fleet and equipment optimization initiative aimed at reducing costs and optimizing our capital spend. We expect to complete the rationalization of our fleet by the end of fiscal 2015. Driver and warehouse pay structures are being enhanced including tools to more effectively manage labor costs. We are also piloting a program to increase the amount of recycled material in our operations. We expect to roll out this program across the country by the end of the second quarter of fiscal 2015, which should also reduce costs.

We also seek to lower our product costs through various initiatives such as our category management initiative. This initiative is designed to lower our total product costs and to align our product assortment with customer demand. We are using market data and customer insights to make changes to our product assortment while building strategic partnerships with our suppliers to grow our sales and our suppliers' sales. We believe there are opportunities to more effectively provide the products that our customers want, commit to greater volumes with our suppliers and create mutual benefits for all parties. We believe that procuring greater quantities with select vendors will result in reduced prices for our product purchases. In fiscal 2014, we launched several product categories, developed and implemented

field-ready sales tools and enhanced customer support and improved communication and coordination with the field. By the end of fiscal year 2015, we expect to have launched all of the categories in the scope of this initiative. We continue to believe this initiative will provide benefits to our customers and savings for us over the next few years.

The following tables outline our Business Transformation Project expenditures, that are attributable to our ERP system implementation and shared service support center, for the periods presented:

| | 2014 | 2013 | Change in Dollars |
|---------------------|---------------|----------|-------------------------|
| | (In millions) | | |
| Operating expense | \$ 277.0 | \$ 330.5 | \$ (53.5) |
| Capital expenditure | 33.4 | 20.0 | 13.4 |
| Amortization | (87.5) | (76.8) | (10.7) |
| Cash outlay | \$ 222.9 | \$ 273.7 | \$ (50.8) |

| | 2013 | 2012 | Change in Dollars |
|---------------------|---------------|----------|-------------------------|
| | (In millions) | | |
| Operating expense | \$ 330.5 | \$ 193.1 | \$ 137.4 |
| Capital expenditure | 20.0 | 146.2 | (126.2) |
| Amortization | (76.8) | (17.1) | (59.7) |
| Cash outlay | \$ 273.7 | \$ 322.2 | \$ (48.5) |

The decrease in expenses in fiscal 2014 was due to lower employee costs that were attributed to our Business Transformation Project due to a change in allocation for employees that are not dedicated full time to the project. Only full time employee costs are included in fiscal 2014, while fiscal 2013 included all employee costs. Additional contributors to the decrease in fiscal 2014 include an increased level of capitalization on amounts spent for system improvements and reduced level of spend with consultants in fiscal 2014. The increase in expenses in 2013 was largely attributable to deployment costs and software amortization, which began in the first quarter of fiscal 2013 and totaled \$76.8 million. Our cash outlay for our Business Transformation Project, which excludes non-cash expenses such as software amortization, has decreased in fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 primarily due to lower levels of spend on internal labor and consultants.

Our goal for our Business Transformation Project is to generate approximately \$550 million to \$650 million in annual benefits to be achieved by fiscal 2015. In fiscal 2014, we exceeded our benefit goals and believe we will exceed our goals again in fiscal 2015.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth the components of our consolidated results of operations expressed as a percentage of sales for the periods indicated:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Sales | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % |
| Cost of sales | 82.4 | 82.0 | 81.6 |
| Gross profit | 17.6 | 18.0 | 18.4 |
| Operating expenses | 14.2 | 14.3 | 13.9 |
| Operating income | 3.4 | 3.7 | 4.5 |
| Interest expense | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.3 |
| Other expense (income), net | (0.0) | (0.0) | (0.0) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 3.2 | 3.4 | 4.2 |
| Income taxes | 1.2 | 1.2 | 1.6 |
| Net earnings | 2.0 % | 2.2 % | 2.6 % |

The following table sets forth the change in the components of our consolidated results of operations expressed as a percentage increase or decrease over the prior year:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|---------------|-------|-------|
| Sales | 4.7 % | 4.8 % |
| Cost of sales | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| Gross profit | 2.3 | 2.8 |

| | | |
|---------------------------------|---------|----------|
| Operating expenses | 4.0 | 7.6 |
| Operating income | (4.3) | (12.3) |
| Interest expense | (3.7) | 13.3 |
| Other expense (income), net (1) | (29.9) | 158.2 |
| Earnings before income taxes | (4.6) | (13.3) |
| Income taxes | (2.0) | (16.2) |
| Net earnings | (6.1) % | (11.5) % |
| Basic earnings per share | (5.4) % | (12.0) % |
| Diluted earnings per share | (5.4) | (12.1) |
| Average shares outstanding | (0.6) | 0.3 |
| Diluted shares outstanding | (0.4) | 0.6 |

(1) Other expense (income), net was income of \$12.2 million in fiscal 2014, \$17.5 million in fiscal 2013 and \$6.8 million in fiscal 2012.

Sales

Sales for fiscal 2014 were 4.7% higher than fiscal 2013. Sales for fiscal 2014 increased as a result of product cost inflation and the resulting increase in selling prices, case volume growth, and sales from acquisitions that occurred within the last 12 months. Our sales growth in fiscal 2014 was greater with our corporate-managed customers as compared to sales growth with our locally-managed customers. We believe our locally-managed customer growth has been negatively influenced by market conditions including lower

consumer spend. The disparity in the growth rate between these customer types moderated in the last half of fiscal 2014, with locally-managed sales growth trending at similar rates as corporate-managed customers. Changes in product costs, an internal measure of inflation or deflation, were estimated as inflation of 2.1% during fiscal 2014, driven mainly by inflation in the meat, seafood and dairy categories. Case volumes including acquisitions within the last 12 months improved 3.4% in fiscal 2014. Case volumes excluding acquisitions within the last 12 months improved 2.2% in fiscal 2014. Our case volumes represent our results from our Broadline and SYGMA segments combined. Sales from acquisitions within the last 12 months favorably impacted sales by 1.4% in fiscal 2014. The changes in the exchange rates used to translate our foreign sales into U.S. dollars negatively impacted sales by 0.7% in fiscal 2014.

Sales for fiscal 2013 were 4.8% higher than fiscal 2012. Sales for fiscal 2013 increased as a result of product cost inflation and the resulting increase in selling prices, sales from acquisitions that occurred within the last 12 months and case volume growth. Our sales growth in fiscal 2013 was greater with our corporate-managed customers as compared to sales growth with our locally-managed customers. We believe our locally-managed customer sales growth was negatively influenced by lower consumer sentiment. Case volumes excluding acquisitions within the last 12 months improved 1.3% in fiscal 2013. Our case volumes represent our results from our Broadline and SYGMA segments only. Sales from acquisitions within the last 12 months favorably impacted sales by 1.5% for fiscal 2013. Case volumes including acquisitions within the last 12 months improved approximately 2.6% in fiscal 2013. Changes in product costs, an internal measure of inflation or deflation, were estimated as inflation of 2.2% during fiscal 2013. The changes in the exchange rates used to translate our foreign sales into U.S. dollars did not have a significant impact on sales when compared to fiscal 2012.

Operating Income

Cost of sales primarily includes our product costs, net of vendor consideration, and includes in-bound freight. Operating expenses include the costs of facilities, product handling, delivery, selling and general and administrative activities. Fuel surcharges are reflected within sales and gross profit; fuel costs are reflected within operating expenses.

Fiscal 2014 vs. Fiscal 2013

The following table sets forth the change in the components of operating income and adjusted operating income expressed as a percentage increase or decrease over the prior year:

| | | Change in | % |
|------|------|-----------|--------|
| | | Dollars | Change |
| 2014 | 2013 | | |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------------|-------------|---------|
| Gross profit | \$ 8,181,035 | \$ 7,996,607 | \$ 184,428 | 2.3 % |
| Operating expenses | 6,593,913 | 6,338,129 | 255,784 | 4.0 |
| Operating income | \$ 1,587,122 | \$ 1,658,478 | \$ (71,356) | (4.3) % |
| Gross profit | \$ 8,181,035 | \$ 7,996,607 | \$ 184,428 | 2.3 % |
| Adjusted operating expenses (Non-GAAP) | 6,444,076 | 6,243,414 | 200,662 | 3.2 |
| Adjusted operating income (Non-GAAP) | \$ 1,736,959 | \$ 1,753,193 | \$ (16,234) | (0.9) % |

The decrease in operating income was impacted by an increase in \$55.1 million in operating expenses attributable to Certain Items. Operating income and adjusted operating income for fiscal 2014 were lower than fiscal 2013 primarily from a lower rate of growth in our gross profit, increased expenses from higher case volumes, some of which is attributable to our acquired operations, increased depreciation and amortization, increased delivery costs and higher corporate expenses. These were partially offset by lower Business Transformation Project expenses and benefits from Business Transformation Project initiatives. As a percentage of sales, we experienced favorable expense management due in part to benefits from our Business Transformation Project initiatives.

Gross profit dollars increased in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013 primarily due to increased sales volumes. The first half of fiscal 2014 contained weaker gross profit growth of 1.2% as compared to the same period in fiscal 2013. Inflation and locally-managed customers case growth was lower in the first half of fiscal 2014. Inflation increased as did local-managed customers case growth in the second half of fiscal 2014. Gross profits grew at a greater rate of 3.4% in the second half of fiscal 2014 as compared to the same time period in fiscal 2013 as result of these factors and in part from our Business Transformation Project initiatives. Gross margin, which is gross profit as a percentage of sales, was 17.59% in fiscal 2014, a decline of 42 basis points from the gross margin of 18.01% in fiscal 2013. This decline in gross margin was partially the result of weak restaurant traffic and increased competition resulting from a slow-growth market. Increased sales to lower margin corporate-managed customers also contributed to the decline in fiscal 2014. These customers purchase higher volumes and therefore margins tend to be lower with this customer group than our locally-managed customers. Our locally-managed customers comprise a significant portion of our overall volumes and an even greater percentage of profitability because of the high level of value added services we typically provide to this customer group. The disparity in the growth rate between these customer types moderated in the last half of fiscal 2014 with locally-managed sales growth

trending at similar rates as corporate-managed customers. If sales from our locally-managed customers do not grow at the same rate as sales from these corporate-managed customers, our gross margins may continue to decline. Our inflation rates were relatively stable over the first three quarters of fiscal 2014, however it increased in the fourth quarter, all quarters being compared to the past year. Fourth quarter fiscal 2014 inflation was seen primarily in the meat, seafood and dairy categories which represent more than one-third of our annual sales. While we cannot predict whether inflation will continue at current levels, periods of high inflation, either overall or in certain product categories, can have a negative impact on us and our customers, as high food costs can be difficult to pass on to our customers and inflation can reduce consumer spending in the food-away-from-home market, and may negatively impact our sales, gross profit, operating income and earnings.

Operating expenses for fiscal 2014 increased 4.0%, or \$255.8 million, over fiscal 2013. Adjusted operating expenses for fiscal 2014 increased 3.2%, or \$200.7 million, over fiscal 2013. These increases were primarily due to increased expenses from higher case volumes, some of which is attributable to our acquired operations, increased depreciation and amortization, increased delivery costs and higher corporate expenses. These were partially offset by lower Business Transformation Project expenses and benefits from Business Transformation Project initiatives. We believe favorable expense management, partially from our Business Transformation Project initiatives, helped to keep our operating expense increases from being greater. Sysco's operating expenses are impacted by certain charges and adjustments, which we refer to as Certain Items, and which resulted in an increase in operating expenses of \$55.1 million in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. More information on the rationale of the use of these measures and reconciliations to GAAP numbers can be found under "Non-GAAP Reconciliations."

Operating Expenses Impacting Adjusted Operating Income

Our operating expenses increased in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013 partially due to expenses from our acquired operations, expenses attributable to volume growth and increased delivery costs. Pay-related expenses represent a significant portion of our operating costs, contributed to the increase in each of these three categories of expenses and contributed to cost increases in our corporate expenses. Pay-related expenses, excluding labor costs associated with our Business Transformation Project, US Foods integration planning and retirement-related expenses, increased by \$74.4 million in fiscal 2014 over fiscal 2013. The increase was primarily due to costs from companies acquired in the last 12 months as well as increased delivery and warehouse compensation, partially attributable to case growth. Pay-related costs have also increased at our corporate office as certain employee costs attributed to our Business Transformation Project in fiscal 2013 are no longer attributed to the Business Transformation Project in fiscal 2014 due to a change in allocation methodology. In fiscal 2013, we allocated internal associates based upon estimates of the percentage of time they spent on the project. In fiscal 2014, only associates that are dedicated full time to the project are included in Business Transformation Project costs. These increases were partially offset by reduced sales compensation, information technology compensation and lower provisions for management incentive plans. Benefits from our Business Transformation Project initiatives have helped in lowering the rate of growth in these expenses particularly in our sales area for fiscal 2014. During fiscal 2013, we streamlined our sales management organization and modified marketing associate compensation plans. Fiscal 2014 was also impacted by a reduction in pay in the information technology area, resulting from the restructuring of this department in fiscal 2013, which reduced headcount in this area.

Depreciation and amortization expense, excluding the increase related to our Business Transformation Project described below, increased by \$32.8 million in fiscal 2014 over fiscal 2013. The increase was primarily related to depreciation on assets that were not placed in service in fiscal 2013 that were in service in fiscal 2014.

Our retirement-related expenses consist primarily of costs from our Retirement Plan, SERP and our defined contribution plans. As a part of our Business Transformation Project initiatives, our Retirement Plan was substantially frozen and the SERP was completely frozen in fiscal 2013, and our defined contribution plans were enhanced with greater benefits. The net impact in fiscal 2014 of our retirement-related expenses as compared to fiscal 2013 was a decrease of \$86.8 million, consisting of a \$133.6 million decrease in our net company-sponsored pension costs and approximately \$6.2 million for other costs, partially offset by \$53.0 million increased costs from our defined contribution plans. The benefits in the retirement-related expense have largely been realized and the amount of cost decrease is not expected to recur at the same magnitude in fiscal 2015 as compared to fiscal 2014.

In addition to the increases in our corporate office expenses from pay-related expenses noted above, other sources of cost increases in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013 were due to increasing the capabilities of various departments within our corporate office. A subset of our business technology costs has been attributable to our Business Transformation Project. Expenses related to our Business Transformation Project, inclusive of pay-related and software amortization expense, were \$277.0 million in fiscal 2014 and \$330.5 million in fiscal 2013, representing a decrease of \$53.5 million. The decrease in fiscal 2014 resulted from a reduction in certain employee costs that were attributed to our Business Transformation Project in fiscal 2013 that are no longer attributed to the Business Transformation Project in fiscal 2014 due to a change in allocation methodology. In fiscal 2013, we allocated internal associates based upon estimates of the percentage of time they spent on the project. In fiscal 2014, only associates that are dedicated full time to the project are included in Business Transformation Project costs. Additional contributors to the decreases include an increased level of capitalization on amounts spent for system improvements to enhance stability and scalability and reduced level of spend with consultants as compared to the comparable period in fiscal 2013. The decrease in fiscal 2014 was partially offset by an increase in depreciation and amortization expense related to the Business Transformation Project of \$10.7 million in fiscal 2014

over fiscal 2013. We expect our corporate office expenses to continue to rise in fiscal 2015 as we expand our corporate capabilities including a new revenue management function, organizational changes that drive greater functional support in our broadline operations and additional investments in technology.

Cost per case is an important metric management uses to measure our expense performance. This metric is calculated by taking the total operating expense of our North American Broadline companies, divided by the number of cases sold. Adjusted cost per case is calculated similarly, however the operating expense component excludes charges from executive retirement plans restructuring, multiemployer pension plans and severance, which are the Certain Items applicable to these companies, divided by the number of cases sold. Our corporate expenses are not included in the cost per cases metrics because the metric is a measure of efficiency in our operations. We seek to grow our sales and either minimize or reduce our costs on a per case basis. Our cost per case was a decrease of \$0.10 per case in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. Our adjusted cost per case calculated on a non-GAAP basis decreased \$0.06 in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013, primarily from reduced pay-related expenses from our sales and information technology areas and lower retirement-related expenses, partially offset by increased costs from delivery pay-related expenses. We expect our cost per case in fiscal 2015 to be similar to fiscal 2014. More information on the rationale for the use of these measures and reconciliations can be found under “Non-GAAP Reconciliations.”

Certain Items Within Operating Expenses

Sysco’s results of operating expenses are impacted by Certain Items which are expenses that can be difficult to predict and can be unanticipated. More information on the rationale for the use of these measures and reconciliations to GAAP numbers can be found under “Non-GAAP Reconciliations.” Our significant Certain Items applicable for fiscal 2014 included costs in connection with the proposed merger with US Foods, a change in the estimate of our self insurance reserve and a liability for a settlement. Our significant Certain Items applicable for fiscal 2013 related to withdrawal liabilities from multiemployer pension plans, severance charges and costs from restructuring executive retirement plans. Costs from restructuring executive retirement plans are discussed below under Fiscal 2013 vs. Fiscal 2012.

We have incurred additional costs in connection with the proposed merger with US Foods announced in the second quarter of fiscal 2014 primarily from integration planning and due diligence costs. These costs totaled \$90.6 million in fiscal 2014. We anticipate incurring additional costs as we continue planning for integration of the two companies as well as other financing costs incurred in connection with the proposed merger.

From time to time, we may voluntarily withdraw from multiemployer pension plans to minimize or limit our future exposure to these plans. In fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, we recorded provisions of \$1.5 million and \$41.9 million, respectively, related to multiemployer pension plan withdrawals.

Sysco maintains a self-insurance program covering portions of workers’ compensation, general and vehicle liability and property insurance costs. The amounts in excess of the self-insured levels are fully insured by third party

insurers. Liabilities associated with these risks are estimated in part by considering historical claims experience, medical cost trends, demographic factors, severity factors and other actuarial assumptions. In the second quarter of fiscal 2014, based on the historical trends of increased costs primarily attributable to our worker's compensation claims, we increased our estimates of our self-insurance reserve to a higher point in an estimated range of liability as opposed to our past position at the lower end of the range. This resulted in a charge of \$23.8 million in fiscal 2014.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2014, Sysco was made aware of certain alleged violations of California law relating to its use of remote storage units in the delivery of products. These are commonly referred to as drop sites. As of June 28, 2014, we have recorded a liability for a settlement of \$20 million. In July 2014, Sysco agreed to a \$19.4 million settlement, which includes a payment of \$15.0 million in penalties, \$3.3 million to fund four California Department of Public Health investigator positions for five years, a \$1.0 million donation to food banks across California, and \$0.1 million in legal fees. The cash portion of the settlement was paid in August 2014 and the donations to the food banks will occur in fiscal 2015. In the first quarter of fiscal 2014, we eliminated the use of drop sites across Sysco. During fiscal 2014, we introduced mandatory, annual food safety training for all employees across Sysco. We are implementing additional and improved food safety reporting, monitoring and compliance controls across our operations to ensure adherence to our policies.

Fiscal 2013 vs. Fiscal 2012

The following table sets forth the change in the components of operating income and adjusted operating income expressed as a percentage increase or decrease over the prior year:

| | 2013 | 2012 | Change in Dollars | % Change | |
|--|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|---|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | | |
| Gross profit | \$ 7,996,607 | \$ 7,779,274 | \$ 217,333 | 2.8 | % |
| Operating expenses | 6,338,129 | 5,888,642 | 449,487 | 7.6 | |
| Operating income | \$ 1,658,478 | \$ 1,890,632 | \$ (232,154) | (12.3) | % |
| Gross profit | \$ 7,996,607 | \$ 7,779,274 | \$ 217,333 | 2.8 | % |
| Adjusted operating expenses (Non-GAAP) | 5,912,870 | 5,659,165 | 253,705 | 4.5 | |
| Adjusted operating income (Non-GAAP) | \$ 2,083,737 | \$ 2,120,109 | \$ (36,372) | (1.7) | % |

The decrease in operating income in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012 was primarily driven by increased expenses, including charges related to our Business Transformation Project and increased pay-related expenses. The decrease in adjusted operating income in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012 was primarily driven by increased expenses, including increased pay-related expenses.

Gross profit dollars increased in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012 primarily due to increased sales. Gross margin was 18.01% in fiscal 2013, a decline of 35 basis points from the gross margin of 18.36% in fiscal 2012. This decline in gross margin was partially the result of increased growth from corporate-managed customers. Gross margin from these types of customers is generally lower than other types of customers. Increased competition resulting from a slow-growth market also contributed to the decline in gross margins.

We estimate that Sysco's product cost inflation was 2.2% during fiscal 2013. Based on our product sales mix for fiscal 2013, we were most impacted by higher levels of inflation in the poultry and meat product categories.

Operating expenses for fiscal 2013 increased 7.6%, or \$449.5 million, over fiscal 2012, primarily due to increased expenses from our Business Transformation Project, pay-related expenses, charges related to multiemployer pension plan withdrawals, depreciation and amortization expense and fuel. Adjusted operating expenses increased 4.5%, or \$253.7 million, in fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012. The increase in adjusted operating expenses was primarily due to increased pay-related expenses, depreciation and amortization expense and fuel.

Operating Expenses Impacting Adjusted Operating Income

Pay-related expenses, excluding labor costs associated with our Business Transformation Project and retirement-related expenses, increased by \$48.3 million in fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012. The increase was primarily due to added costs from companies acquired in the last 12 months and increased delivery and warehouse compensation. Delivery and warehouse compensation includes activity-based pay which increases when our case volumes increase. Additionally, pay rates were higher particularly in geographies where oil and gas exploration occurs due to labor shortages. These increases were partially offset by reduced sales and information technology pay-related expenses as a result of some of our Business Transformation Project initiatives. During fiscal 2013, we streamlined our sales management organization and modified marketing associate compensation plans. We also restructured our information technology department during the mid-point of fiscal 2013, reducing headcount as a result.

Our retirement-related expenses consist primarily of costs from our Retirement Plan, SERP and our defined contribution plan. The net impact in fiscal 2013 of our recurring retirement-related expenses, excluding charges noted below related to the executive retirement plans restructuring, was an increase of \$10.3 million as compared to fiscal 2012. This net increase consisted of \$46.0 million increased recurring costs from the defined contribution plan, a \$33.1 million decrease in our recurring net company-sponsored pension costs and a decrease of approximately \$2.6 million for other costs. At the end of fiscal 2012, Sysco decided to freeze future benefit accruals under the Retirement Plan as of December 31, 2012 for all U.S.-based salaried and non-union hourly employees. Effective January 1, 2013, these employees were eligible for additional contributions under an enhanced, defined contribution plan. Absent the Retirement Plan freeze, net company-sponsored pension costs would have increased \$106.9 million in fiscal 2013.

During fiscal 2013, we approved a plan to restructure our executive nonqualified retirement program including the SERP and our executive deferred compensation plan. A non-qualified defined contribution plan became effective on January 1, 2013 as a replacement plan and benefits were frozen under the SERP at the end of fiscal 2013. We believe this restructuring more closely aligned our executive plans with our non-executive plans. Additional non-recurring costs related to the restructuring are discussed below under "Certain Items Within Operating Expenses."

Depreciation and amortization expense, excluding the increase related to our Business Transformation Project described below, increased by \$36.0 million in fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012. The increase was primarily related to assets that were not placed in service in fiscal 2012 that were in service in fiscal 2013, primarily from new facilities, property from new acquisitions and expansions.

Fuel costs increased by \$18.9 million in fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012. The increase was primarily due to increased contracted diesel prices and increased gallon usage. Our costs per gallon increased 2.8% in fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012. Our activities to mitigate fuel costs include reducing miles driven by our trucks through improved routing techniques, improving fleet utilization by adjusting idling time and maximum speeds and using fuel surcharges. We routinely enter into forward purchase commitments for a portion of our projected monthly diesel fuel requirements with a goal of mitigating a portion of the volatility in fuel prices.

Our fuel commitments will result in either additional fuel costs or avoided fuel costs based on the comparison of the prices on the fixed price contracts and market prices for the respective periods. In fiscal 2013, the forward purchase commitments resulted in an estimated \$17.8 million of avoided fuel costs as the fixed price contracts were generally lower than market prices for the contracted volumes. In fiscal 2012, the forward purchase commitments resulted in an estimated \$20.2 million of avoided fuel costs as the fixed price contracts were generally lower than market prices for the contracted volumes.

Our cost per case was an increase of \$0.03 per case in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. Our adjusted cost per case calculated on a non-GAAP basis decreased by \$0.01 per case as compared to fiscal 2012 primarily from reduced pay-related expenses from our sales and information technology areas, partially offset by increased costs from delivery and warehouse pay-related expenses, increased retirement-related expenses and fuel increases.

Certain Items Within Operating Expenses

Our results of operating expenses are impacted by Certain Items which are expenses that can be non-recurring or not a part of our everyday operations. See more information on the rationale of the use of these measures and reconciliations to GAAP numbers can be found under “Non-GAAP Reconciliations.”

Expenses related to our Business Transformation Project, inclusive of pay-related and software amortization expense, were \$330.5 million in fiscal 2013 and \$193.1 million in fiscal 2012, representing an increase of \$137.4 million. The increase in fiscal 2013 resulted in part from the initiation of software amortization as the system was placed into service in August 2012. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense related to the Business Transformation Project was \$59.6 million in fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012. Our project was not in the deployment stage during any period of fiscal 2012; therefore, a greater portion of the costs were capitalized in fiscal 2012.

As a result of executive retirement plan restructuring discussed above, we incurred \$21.0 million in charges in fiscal 2013. These charges are in addition to the recurring retirement-related expenses discussed above.

From time to time, we may voluntarily withdraw from multiemployer pension plans to minimize or limit our future exposure to these plans. In fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, we recorded provisions of \$41.9 million and \$21.9 million, respectively, related to multiemployer pension plan withdrawals.

Net Earnings

Net earnings decreased 6.1% in fiscal 2014 from fiscal 2013 due primarily to the changes in operating income discussed above. Adjusted net earnings decreased 1.8% during fiscal 2014.

Net earnings for fiscal 2013 decreased 11.5% over fiscal 2012. This decrease was primarily due to changes in operating income discussed above. Adjusted net earnings increased 0.1% during fiscal 2013.

The effective tax rate of 36.87% for fiscal 2014 was negatively impacted primarily by two items. First, we recorded tax expense of \$6.2 million related to a non-deductible penalty that the company incurred. Second, we recorded net tax expense of \$5.2 million for tax and interest related to various federal, foreign and state uncertain tax positions. This negative impact was partially offset by the recording of \$5.7 million of tax benefit related to disqualifying dispositions of Sysco stock pursuant to share-based compensation arrangements. Indefinitely reinvested earnings taxed at foreign statutory rates less than our domestic tax rate also had the impact of reducing the effective tax rate.

The effective tax rate of 35.87% for fiscal 2013 was favorably impacted primarily by two items. First, we recorded a tax benefit of \$14.0 million related to changes in estimates for the prior year domestic tax provision. Second, we recorded a tax benefit of \$8.8 million related to disqualifying dispositions of Sysco stock pursuant to share-based compensation arrangements. The effective tax rate was negatively impacted by the recording of \$5.7 million in tax and interest related to various federal, foreign and state uncertain tax

positions. Indefinitely reinvested earnings taxed at foreign statutory rates less than our domestic tax rate also had the impact of reducing the effective tax rate.

The effective tax rate for fiscal 2012 was 37.13%. Indefinitely reinvested earnings taxed at foreign statutory tax rates less than our domestic tax rate had the impact of reducing the effective tax rate.

Earnings Per Share

Basic earnings per share in fiscal 2014 was \$1.59, a 5.4% decrease from the fiscal 2013 amount of \$1.68 per share. Diluted earnings per share in fiscal 2014 was \$1.58, a 5.4% decrease from the fiscal 2013 amount of \$1.67 per share. This decrease was primarily the result of the factors discussed above. Adjusted diluted earnings per share in fiscal 2014 was \$1.76, a decrease of 1.1% from the comparable prior year period amount of \$1.78.

Basic earnings per share in fiscal 2013 was \$1.68, a 12.0% decrease from the comparable prior year period amount of \$1.91 per share. Diluted earnings per share in fiscal 2013 was \$1.67, a 12.1% decrease from the comparable prior year period amount of \$1.90 per share. This decrease was primarily the result of the factors discussed above. Adjusted diluted earnings per share in fiscal 2013 was \$2.14, a decrease of 0.5% from the comparable prior year period amount of \$2.15.

All earnings per share metrics for fiscal 2013 were partially impacted from greater shares outstanding. Sysco experienced a greater number of stock option exercises in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012, which increased the number of shares outstanding.

Non-GAAP Reconciliations and Adjusted Cost per Case

Sysco's results of operations are impacted by costs from executive retirement plans restructuring charges, multiemployer pension (MEPP) charges, severance charges, merger and integration costs associated with our pending US Foods merger, a fiscal 2013 acquisition related charge, change in estimate for self-insurance costs, charges from a liability for a settlement, facility closure charges and amortization of US Foods financing costs. Management believes that adjusting its operating expenses, operating income, interest expense, net earnings and diluted earnings per share to remove the impact of these items provides an important perspective with respect to underlying business trends and results and provides meaningful supplemental information to both management and investors that is indicative of the performance of the company's underlying operations and facilitates comparison on a year-over-year basis.

Additionally, the comparison of Sysco's results of operations of fiscal 2013 to fiscal 2012 was impacted by costs from the Business Transformation Project (BTP costs), as the level of costs in each year was significantly different. As such, operating expenses, operating income, interest expense, net earnings and diluted earnings per share are adjusted below to facilitate comparison on a year-over-year basis of fiscal 2013 to fiscal 2012. In fiscal 2014, BTP costs were not considered Certain Items as the costs of this project became a part of our general corporate expense; as a result, our fiscal 2013 period excludes BTP costs when comparing to fiscal 2014, however it includes BTP costs when comparing to fiscal 2012.

The company uses these non-GAAP measures when evaluating its financial results as well as for internal planning and forecasting purposes. These financial measures should not be used as a substitute in assessing the company's results of operations for periods presented. An analysis of any non-GAAP financial measure should be used in conjunction with results presented in accordance with GAAP. As a result, in the tables below, where applicable, each period presented is adjusted to remove the costs described above. In the tables below, individual components of diluted earnings per share may not add to the total presented due to rounding. Adjusted diluted earnings per share is calculated using adjusted net earnings divided by diluted shares outstanding.

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

Set forth below is a reconciliation of actual operating expenses, operating income, interest expense, net earnings and diluted earnings per share to adjusted results for these measures for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013:

| | 2014 | 2013 | Change in Dollars | % Change |
|--|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | (In thousands, except for share and per share data) | | | |
| Operating expenses (GAAP) | \$ 6,593,913 | \$ 6,338,129 | \$ 255,784 | 4.0 % |
| Impact of restructuring executive retirement plans | (3,329) | (20,990) | 17,661 | (84.1) |
| Impact of MEPP charge | (1,451) | (41,876) | 40,425 | (96.5) |
| Impact of severance charges | (7,202) | (23,206) | 16,004 | (69.0) |
| Impact of US Foods merger and integration costs | (90,571) | - | (90,571) | |
| Impact of FY13 acquisition-related charge | - | (5,998) | 5,998 | |
| Impact of change in estimate of self insurance | (23,841) | - | (23,841) | |
| Impact of settlement liability | (20,000) | - | (20,000) | |
| Impact of facility closure charges | (3,443) | (2,645) | (798) | 30.2 |
| Adjusted operating expenses (Non-GAAP) | \$ 6,444,076 | \$ 6,243,414 | \$ 200,662 | 3.2 % |
| Operating Income (GAAP) | \$ 1,587,122 | \$ 1,658,478 | \$ (71,356) | (4.3) % |
| Impact of restructuring executive retirement plans | 3,329 | 20,990 | (17,661) | (84.1) |
| Impact of MEPP charge | 1,451 | 41,876 | (40,425) | (96.5) |
| Impact of severance charges | 7,202 | 23,206 | (16,004) | (69.0) |
| Impact of US Foods merger and integration costs | 90,571 | - | 90,571 | |
| Impact of FY13 acquisition-related charge | - | 5,998 | (5,998) | |
| Impact of change in estimate of self insurance | 23,841 | - | 23,841 | |
| Impact of settlement liability | 20,000 | - | 20,000 | |
| Impact of facility closure charges | 3,443 | 2,645 | 798 | 30.2 |
| Adjusted operating income (Non-GAAP) | \$ 1,736,959 | \$ 1,753,193 | \$ (16,234) | (0.9) % |
| Interest expense (GAAP) | \$ 123,741 | \$ 128,495 | \$ (4,754) | (3.7) % |
| Impact of US Foods financing costs | (6,790) | - | (6,790) | |
| Adjusted operating income (Non-GAAP) | \$ 116,951 | \$ 128,495 | \$ (11,544) | (9.0) % |
| Net earnings (GAAP) (1) | \$ 931,533 | \$ 992,427 | \$ (60,894) | (6.1) % |
| Impact of restructuring executive retirement plans | 2,102 | 13,461 | (11,359) | (84.4) |
| Impact of MEPP charge | 916 | 26,855 | (25,939) | (96.6) |
| Impact of severance charges | 4,546 | 14,882 | (10,336) | (69.5) |
| Impact of US Foods merger and integration costs | 57,176 | - | 57,176 | |
| Impact of FY13 acquisition-related charge | - | 5,998 | (5,998) | |
| Impact of change in estimate of self insurance | 15,050 | - | 15,050 | |
| Impact of settlement liability | 18,156 | - | 18,156 | |
| Impact of facility closure charges | 2,173 | 1,696 | 477 | 28.1 |
| Impact of US Foods financing costs | 4,286 | - | 4,286 | |
| Adjusted net earnings (Non-GAAP) (1) | \$ 1,035,938 | \$ 1,055,319 | \$ (19,381) | (1.8) % |
| Diluted earnings per share (GAAP) (1) | \$ 1.58 | \$ 1.67 | \$ (0.09) | (5.4) % |
| Impact of restructuring executive retirement plans | - | 0.02 | (0.02) | |
| Impact of MEPP charge | - | 0.05 | (0.05) | |
| Impact of severance charges | 0.01 | 0.03 | (0.02) | (66.7) |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Impact of US Foods merger and integration costs | 0.10 | - | 0.10 | |
| Impact of FY13 acquisition-related charge | - | 0.01 | (0.01) | |
| Impact of change in estimate of self insurance | 0.03 | - | 0.03 | |
| Impact of settlement liability | 0.03 | - | 0.03 | |
| Impact of facility closure charges | - | - | - | |
| Impact of US Foods financing costs | 0.01 | - | 0.01 | |
| Adjusted diluted earnings per share (Non-GAAP) (1) | \$ 1.76 | \$ 1.78 | \$ (0.02) | (1.1) % |
| Diluted shares outstanding | 590,216,220 | 592,675,110 | | |

31

(1) The net earnings and diluted earnings per share impacts are shown net of tax, except as noted below. The aggregate tax impact of adjustments for executive retirement plans restructuring charges, MEPP charges, severance charges, merger and integration costs associated with our pending US Foods merger, a fiscal 2013 acquisition related charge, change in estimate for self-insurance costs, charges from a liability for a settlement, facility closure charges and amortization of US Foods financing costs was \$67.2 million and \$37.8 million for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013, respectively. Amounts are calculated by multiplying the operating income impact of each item by the respective year's effective tax rate, with the exception of the charges from the settlement liability, which has an estimated non-deductible portion, and the fiscal 2013 acquisition-related charge, which has no tax impact.

Set forth below is a reconciliation of actual operating expenses, operating income, net earnings and diluted earnings per share to adjusted results for these measures for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012:

| | 2013 | 2012 | Change in Dollars | % Change | |
|--|---|--------------|----------------------|-------------|---|
| | (In thousands, except for share and per share data) | | | | |
| Operating expenses (GAAP) | \$ 6,338,129 | \$ 5,888,642 | \$ 449,487 | 7.6 | % |
| Impact of BTP costs | (330,544) | (193,126) | (137,418) | 71.2 | |
| Impact of MEPP charge | (41,876) | (21,899) | (19,977) | 91.2 | |
| Impact of severance charges | (23,206) | (14,452) | (8,754) | 60.6 | |
| Impact of restructuring executive retirement plans | (20,990) | - | (20,990) | | |
| Impact of acquisition-related charge | (5,998) | - | (5,998) | | |
| Impact of facility closure charges | (2,645) | - | (2,645) | | |
| Adjusted operating expenses (Non-GAAP) | \$ 5,912,870 | \$ 5,659,165 | \$ 253,705 | 4.5 | % |
| Operating Income (GAAP) | \$ 1,658,478 | \$ 1,890,632 | \$ (232,154) | (12.3) | % |
| Impact of BTP costs | 330,544 | 193,126 | 137,418 | 71.2 | |
| Impact of MEPP charge | 41,876 | 21,899 | 19,977 | 91.2 | |
| Impact of severance charges | 23,206 | 14,452 | 8,754 | 60.6 | |
| Impact of restructuring executive retirement plans | 20,990 | - | 20,990 | | |
| Impact of acquisition-related charge | 5,998 | - | 5,998 | | |
| Impact of facility closure charges | 2,645 | - | 2,645 | | |
| Adjusted operating income (Non-GAAP) | \$ 2,083,737 | \$ 2,120,109 | \$ (36,372) | (1.7) | % |
| Net earnings (GAAP) (1) | \$ 992,427 | \$ 1,121,585 | \$ (129,158) | (11.5) | % |
| Impact of BTP costs | 211,978 | 121,418 | 90,560 | 74.6 | |
| Impact of MEPP charge | 26,855 | 13,768 | 13,087 | 95.1 | |
| Impact of severance charges | 14,882 | 9,086 | 5,796 | 63.8 | |
| Impact of restructuring executive retirement plans | 13,461 | - | 13,461 | | |
| Impact of acquisition-related charge | 5,998 | - | 5,998 | | |
| Impact of facility closure charges | 1,696 | - | 1,696 | | |
| Adjusted net earnings (Non-GAAP) (1) | \$ 1,267,297 | \$ 1,265,857 | \$ 1,440 | 0.1 | % |
| Diluted earnings per share (GAAP) (1) | \$ 1.67 | \$ 1.90 | \$ (0.23) | (12.1) | % |
| Impact of BTP costs | 0.36 | 0.21 | 0.15 | 71.4 | |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Impact of MEPP charge | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.03 | 150.0 |
| Impact of severance charges | 0.03 | 0.02 | 0.01 | 50.0 |
| Impact of restructuring executive retirement plans | 0.02 | - | 0.02 | |
| Impact of acquisition-related charge | 0.01 | - | 0.01 | |
| Impact of facility closure charges | - | - | - | |
| Adjusted diluted earnings per share (Non-GAAP) (1) | \$ 2.14 | \$ 2.15 | \$ (0.01) | (0.5) % |
| Diluted shares outstanding | 592,675,110 | 588,991,441 | | |

(1) The net earnings and diluted earnings per share impacts are shown net of tax, except as noted below. The aggregate tax impact of adjustments for Business Transformation Project, MEPP charge, severance charges, executive retirement plans restructuring, and facility closure charges was \$150.3 million and \$85.2 million for fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, respectively. The fiscal 2013 acquisition-related charge had no tax impact.

Cost per case is an important metric management uses to measure our expense performance. This metric is calculated by taking the total operating expense of our North American Broadline companies, divided by the number of cases sold. Adjusted cost per case is calculated similarly, however the operating expense component excludes charges from executive retirement plans restructuring, multiemployer pension plans and severance which are the Certain Items applicable to these companies, divided by the number of cases sold. Our corporate expenses are not included in the cost per cases metrics because the metric is a measure of efficiency in our operations. We seek to grow our sales and either minimize or reduce our costs on a per case basis. Our North American Broadline companies represent approximately 80% of our of total sales and 80% of our total operating expenses prior to corporate expenses. Sysco considers adjusted cost per case to be a measure that provides useful information to management and investors about Sysco's expense management. An analysis of any non-GAAP financial measure should be used in conjunction with results presented in accordance with GAAP. In the table that follows, the change in adjusted cost per case is reconciled to cost per case for the periods presented.

| | Fiscal 2014 change from Fiscal 2013 | Fiscal 2013 change from Fiscal 2012 |
|---|--|--|
| (Decrease) Increase in cost per case | \$ (0.10) | \$ 0.03 |
| Impact of Certain Items (1) | 0.04 | (0.04) |
| (Decrease) in adjusted cost per case (Non-GAAP basis) | \$ (0.06) | \$ (0.01) |

(1) For all periods, the impact of Certain Items excludes charges from executive retirement plans restructuring, multiemployer pension plans and severance. For the fiscal 2014 comparison to fiscal 2013, the majority relates to multiemployer pension plans in the amount of \$0.04 per case attributable to charges taken in fiscal 2013 that did not recur at the same magnitude in fiscal 2014. For the fiscal 2013 comparison to fiscal 2012, the majority relates to multiemployer pension plans in the amount of \$0.03 per case attributable to charges taken in fiscal 2013 that did not recur at the same magnitude in fiscal 2012, and the remainder relates to severance charges.

Segment Results

We have aggregated our operating companies into a number of segments, of which only Broadline and SYGMA are reportable segments as defined in accounting provisions related to disclosures about segments of an enterprise. The accounting policies for the segments are the same as those disclosed by Sysco within the Financial Statements and Supplementary Data within Part II Item 8 of this Form 10-K. Intersegment sales represent specialty produce and imported specialty products distributed by the Broadline and SYGMA operating companies.

Management evaluates the performance of each of our operating segments based on its respective operating income results. Corporate expenses and adjustments generally include all expenses of the corporate office and Sysco's shared service center. These also include all share-based compensation costs and expenses related to the company's Business Transformation Project. While a segment's operating income may be impacted in the short-term by increases or decreases in gross profits, expenses, or a combination thereof, over the long-term each business segment is expected to increase its operating income at a greater rate than sales growth. This is consistent with our long-term goal of leveraging earnings growth at a greater rate than sales growth.

The following table sets forth the operating income of each of our reportable segments and the other segment expressed as a percentage of each segment's sales for each period reported and should be read in conjunction with Note 21, "Business Segment Information" to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8:

| | Operating Income as a Percentage of Sales | | |
|-----------|--|-------|-------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Broadline | 6.6 % | 6.6 % | 7.0 % |
| SYGMA | 0.6 | 0.9 | 1.1 |
| Other | 3.2 | 3.6 | 3.8 |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the change in the selected financial data of each of our reportable segments and the other segment expressed as a percentage increase over the prior year and should be read in conjunction with Note 21, “Business Segment Information” to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8:

| | 2014 | | 2013 | |
|-----------|-------|------------------|-------|------------------|
| | Sales | Operating Income | Sales | Operating Income |
| Broadline | 4.4 % | 3.1 % | 5.0 % | (0.6) % |
| SYGMA | 6.9 | (26.9) (1) | 0.8 | (14.7) (1) |
| Other | 6.7 | (5.0) | 14.4 | 8.3 |

(1) SYGMA had operating income of \$38.0 million in fiscal 2014, \$52.0 million in fiscal 2013 and \$61.0 million in fiscal 2012.

The following table sets forth sales and operating income of each of our reportable segments, the other segment, and intersegment sales, expressed as a percentage of aggregate segment sales, including intersegment sales, and operating income, respectively. For purposes of this statistical table, operating income of our segments excludes corporate expenses and adjustments of \$1,020.3 million in fiscal 2014, \$894.3 million in fiscal 2013 and \$677.6 million in fiscal 2012 that are not charged to our segments. This information should be read in conjunction with Note 21, “Business Segment Information” to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8:

| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|--------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|---------|--------------------------|
| | Sales | Segment Operating Income | Sales | Segment Operating Income | Sales | Segment Operating Income |
| Broadline | 81.0 % | 94.9 % | 81.3 % | 94.1 % | 81.2 % | 94.1 % |
| SYGMA | 13.3 | 1.5 | 13.0 | 2.0 | 13.5 | 2.4 |
| Other | 6.3 | 3.6 | 6.2 | 3.9 | 5.7 | 3.5 |
| Intersegment sales | (0.6) | - | (0.5) | - | (0.4) | - |
| Total | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % |

Broadline Segment

The Broadline reportable segment is an aggregation of the company’s U.S., Canadian, Caribbean and European Broadline segments. Broadline operating companies distribute a full line of food products and a wide variety of non-food products to both traditional and chain restaurant customers, hospitals, schools, hotels, industrial caterers and other venues where foodservice products are served. These companies also provide custom-cut meat

operations. Broadline operations have significantly higher operating margins than the rest of Sysco's operations. In fiscal 2014, the Broadline operating results represented approximately 81.0% of Sysco's overall sales and 94.9% of the aggregate operating income of Sysco's segments, which excludes corporate expenses.

There are several factors which contribute to these higher operating results as compared to the SYGMA and Other operating segments. We have invested substantial amounts in assets, operating methods, technology and management expertise in this segment. The breadth of its sales force, geographic reach of its distribution area and its purchasing power allow us to benefit from this segment's earnings.

Sales

Sales for fiscal 2014 were 4.4% higher than fiscal 2013. Sales for fiscal 2014 increased as a result of sales from acquisitions that occurred within the last 12 months, case volume growth and product cost inflation and the resulting increase in selling prices. Our sales growth in fiscal 2014 was greater with our corporate-managed customers as compared to sales growth with our locally-managed customers. We believe our locally-managed customer growth has been negatively influenced market conditions including lower consumer spend. The disparity in the growth rate between these customer types moderated in the last half of fiscal 2014 with locally-managed sales growth trending at similar rates as corporate-managed customers. Sales from acquisitions within the last 12 months favorably impacted sales by 1.7% in fiscal 2014. Changes in product costs, an internal measure of inflation or deflation, were estimated as inflation of 2.0% during fiscal 2014, driven mainly by inflation in the meat, seafood and dairy categories. The exchange rates used to translate our foreign sales into U.S. dollars negatively impacted sales by 0.8% in fiscal 2014.

Sales were 5.0% greater in fiscal 2013 than fiscal 2012. Sales for fiscal 2013 increased as a result of product cost inflation and the resulting increase in selling prices, sales from acquisitions that occurred within the last 12 months and improving case volumes. Our sales growth in fiscal 2013 has been greater with our corporate-managed customers as compared to sales growth with our locally-managed customers. We believe our locally-managed customer sales growth has been negatively influenced by lower consumer sentiment. Sales from acquisitions within the last 12 months contributed 1.6% to the overall sales comparison for fiscal 2013. Changes in product costs, an internal measure of inflation or deflation, were estimated as inflation of 2.3% in fiscal 2013. The

changes in the exchange rates used to translate our foreign sales into U.S. dollars did not have a significant impact on sales when compared to fiscal 2012.

Operating Income

Fiscal 2014 vs. Fiscal 2013

Operating income increased by 3.1% in fiscal 2014 over fiscal 2013. Fiscal 2014 included \$1.5 million in charges related to withdrawals from multiemployer pension plans, as compared to \$41.9 million in charges in fiscal 2013. We also experienced growth in our gross profits, increased expenses from higher case volumes, some of which is attributable to our acquired operations, increased depreciation and amortization and increased delivery costs. These were partially offset by benefits from Business Transformation Project initiatives included lower sales organization costs and retirement-related expenses. As a percentage of sales, we experienced favorable expense management partially from benefits from our Business Transformation Project initiatives.

Gross profit dollars increased in fiscal 2014 primarily due to increased sales; however, gross profit dollars increased at a lower rate than sales. Gross profits grew at a greater rate in the second half of fiscal 2014 as compared to the same time period in fiscal 2013 as result of increased inflation, higher locally-managed customer case growth and in part from our Business Transformation Project initiatives. This decline in gross margin was partially the result of weak restaurant traffic and increased competition resulting from a slow-growth market. Increased sales to lower margin corporate-managed customers also contributed to the decline in fiscal 2014. These customers purchase higher volumes and therefore margins tend to be lower with this customer group than our locally-managed customers. Our locally-managed customers comprise a significant portion of our overall volumes and an even greater percentage of profitability because of the high level of value added services we typically provide to this customer group. The disparity in the growth rate between these customer types moderated in the last half of fiscal 2014 with locally-managed sales growth trending at similar rates as corporate-managed customers. If sales from our locally-managed customers do not grow at the same rate as sales from these corporate-managed customers, our gross margins may continue to decline. Our inflation rates were relatively stable over the first three quarters of fiscal 2014; however, it increased in the fourth quarter, all quarters being compared to the past year. Fourth quarter fiscal 2014 inflation was seen primarily in the meat, seafood and dairy categories which represent more than one-third of our annual sales. While we cannot predict whether inflation will continue at current levels, periods of high inflation, either overall or in certain product categories, can have a negative impact on us and our customers, as high food costs can be difficult to pass on to our customers and inflation can reduce consumer spending in the food-away-from-home market, and may negatively impact our sales, gross profit, operating income and earnings.

Operating expenses for the Broadline segment increased in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. Fiscal 2014 included \$1.5 million in charges related to withdrawals from multiemployer pension plans, as compared to \$41.9 million in charges in fiscal 2013. The increase in expenses for fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013 was driven largely by expenses from acquired operations, expenses attributable to volume growth and increased depreciation and amortization expense. Pay-related expenses increased primarily from added costs from companies acquired in the last 12 months as well as increased delivery compensation, partially attributable to case growth. Depreciation and

amortization increased primarily from assets that were not placed in service in fiscal 2013 that were in service in fiscal 2014. These increases were partially offset by reduced sales and information technology pay-related expenses. Retirement-related costs decreased primarily from the plan freezes that occurred in fiscal 2013. Our expense on a cost per case basis decreased as compared to fiscal 2013 primarily from reduced pay-related expenses from our sales and information technology areas and lower retirement-related expenses, partially offset by increased costs from delivery pay-related expenses.

Fiscal 2013 vs. Fiscal 2012

Operating income decreased by 0.6% in fiscal 2013 from fiscal 2012. This decrease was driven by operating expenses increasing more than gross profit dollars.

Gross profit dollars increased in fiscal 2013 primarily due to increased sales; however, gross profit dollars increased at a lower rate than sales. This decline in gross margin was partially the result of increased growth from corporate-managed customers. Gross margin from these types of customers is generally lower than from other types of customers. Increased competition resulting from a slow-growth market also contributed to the decline in gross margins. Our Broadline segment experienced product cost inflation in fiscal 2013. Based on our product sales mix during fiscal 2013, we were most impacted by higher levels of inflation in the poultry and meat product categories.

Operating expenses for the Broadline segment increased in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. The expense increases in fiscal 2013 were driven largely by charges related to multiemployer pension plan withdrawals, pay-related expenses including severance costs, depreciation and amortization expense and fuel. The increase in pay-related expenses was primarily due to increased delivery and warehouse compensation, partially attributable to case growth, and added costs from companies acquired in the last 12 months. Delivery and warehouse compensation includes activity-based pay which will increase when our case volumes increase. Additionally, pay rates have been higher particularly in geographies where oil and gas exploration occurs. These increases were partially offset by reduced sales and information technology pay-related expenses. Our enhanced defined contribution plan became

effective January 1, 2013 and contributed to the increase in operating expenses. Depreciation and amortization increased primarily from assets that were not placed in service in fiscal 2012 that were in service in fiscal 2013, primarily from new facilities, property from new acquisitions and expansions. Fuel costs were \$16.7 million higher in fiscal 2013 than in fiscal 2012.

In fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012, we recorded provisions of \$41.9 million and \$21.9 million, respectively, related to multiemployer pension plan withdrawals.

Our fiscal 2013 cost per case, excluding charges related to withdrawals from multiemployer pension plans, decreased as compared to fiscal 2012 primarily from reduced pay-related expenses from our sales and information technology areas, partially offset by increased costs from delivery and warehouse pay-related expenses, increased retirement-related expenses and fuel increases.

SYGMA Segment

SYGMA operating companies distribute a full line of food products and a wide variety of non-food products to certain chain restaurant customer locations. SYGMA operations have traditionally had lower operating income as a percentage of sales than Sysco's other segments. This segment of the foodservice industry has generally been characterized by lower overall operating margins as the volume that these customers command allows them to negotiate for reduced margins. These operations service chain restaurants through contractual agreements that are typically structured on a fee per case delivered basis.

Sales

Sales were 6.9% greater in fiscal 2014 than in fiscal 2013. The increase was primarily due to new customers. Other contributors to the increase were product cost inflation and the resulting increase in selling prices and case volume increases from existing customers. We do not expect sales growth to continue at the same level in fiscal 2015 as compared to fiscal 2014, primarily due to the expectation of fewer new customers in fiscal 2015 as well as competitive pricing pressures.

Sales were 0.8% greater in fiscal 2013 than in fiscal 2012. The increase was primarily due to product cost inflation and the resulting increase in selling prices, partially offset by case volume declines. Case volumes were challenged from low levels of growth from existing customers and from lost customers.

One chain restaurant customer (The Wendy's Company) accounted for approximately 23% of the SYGMA segment sales for the fiscal year ended June 28, 2014. SYGMA maintains multiple regional contracts with varied expiration dates with this customer. While the loss of this customer would have a material adverse effect on SYGMA, we do not believe that the loss of this customer would have a material adverse effect on Sysco as a whole.

Operating Income

Operating income decreased by 26.9% in fiscal 2014 from fiscal 2013. Gross profit dollars increased 2.8% while operating expenses increased 6.5% in fiscal 2014 over fiscal 2013. Gross profit dollar growth was lower than sales growth primarily due to reduced fuel surcharges. Operating expenses increased in fiscal 2014 largely due to increased delivery costs including pay-related expenses. Also contributing to the increase in expense were startup costs related to new customers and expenses incurred for a facility consolidation. Operating income is not expected to decrease at the same rate in fiscal 2015 as compared to fiscal 2014 as startup costs for new customers should be at lower amounts than those experienced in fiscal 2014. We continue to focus on increasing profitability while remaining responsive to our customers' needs.

Operating income decreased by 14.7% in fiscal 2013 from fiscal 2012. Gross profit dollars decreased 0.9% while operating expenses increased 1.2% in fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012. These gross profit results largely reflect the sluggish sales environment. Operating expenses increased in fiscal 2013 largely due to increased delivery costs including pay-related expenses. Our enhanced defined contribution plan became effective January 1, 2013 and contributed to the increase in pay-related expense.

Other Segment

"Other" financial information is attributable to our other operating segments, including our specialty produce and lodging industry products segments, a company that distributes specialty imported products, a company that distributes to international customers and our Sysco Ventures platform, our suite of technology solutions that help support the business needs of our customers. These operating segments are discussed on an aggregate basis as they do not represent reportable segments under segment accounting literature.

On an aggregate basis, our "Other" segment has had a lower operating income as a percentage of sales than Sysco's Broadline segment. Sysco has acquired some of the operating companies within this segment in relatively recent years. These operations generally operate in a niche within the foodservice industry except for our lodging industry supply company. Each individual operation is also generally smaller in sales and scope than an average Broadline operation and each of these operating segments is considerably smaller in sales and overall scope than the Broadline segment. In fiscal 2014, in the aggregate, the "Other" segment

represented approximately 6.3% of Sysco's overall sales and 3.6% of the aggregate operating income of Sysco's segments, which excludes corporate expenses and adjustments.

Operating income decreased 5.0%, or \$4.9 million, in fiscal 2014 as compared to fiscal 2013. The decrease in operating income was largely due to startup costs from our Sysco Ventures operations, partially offset by increased earnings from our specialty produce and lodging industry products segments. Additionally, retirement-related expenses were greater for these companies for fiscal 2014 as our enhanced defined contribution plan became effective January 1, 2013, and some of these operations were not a part of prior benefit plans.

Operating income increased 8.3% for fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012. The increase in operating income was primarily driven by earnings from our lodging industry products segment, our specialty import business, that was acquired in the third quarter of fiscal 2012, and our company that distributes to international customers. An additional item partially offsetting the increase in operating income was an increase in retirement-related expense for these companies. Our enhanced defined contribution plan became effective January 1, 2013 and contributed to increased expense at these companies.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Highlights

Comparisons of the cash flows from fiscal 2014 to fiscal 2013:

- Cash flows from operations were \$1.49 billion this year compared to \$1.51 billion last year.
- Capital expenditures totaled \$523.2 million this year compared to \$511.9 million last year.
- Free cash flow was \$995.4 million this year compared to \$1.0 billion last year (See Non-GAAP reconciliation below under the heading "Free Cash Flow.")
- Cash used for acquisition of businesses was \$79.3 million this year compared to \$397.4 million last year.
- Net bank borrowings were a net borrowing of \$34.5 million this year compared to a net borrowing of \$95.5 million last year.
- Proceeds from exercises of share-based compensation awards was \$255.6 million this year compared to \$628.7 million last year.
- Treasury stock purchases were \$332.4 million this year compared to \$721.6 million last year.
- Dividends paid were \$667.2 million this year compared to \$648.3 million last year.

Sources and Uses of Cash

Sysco's strategic objectives include continuous investment in our business; these investments are funded by a combination of cash from operations and access to capital from financial markets. Our operations historically have produced significant cash flow. Cash generated from operations is generally allocated to:

- working capital requirements;
- investments in facilities, systems, fleet, other equipment and technology;
- return of capital to shareholders, including cash dividends and share repurchases;
- acquisitions compatible with our overall growth strategy;
- contributions to our various retirement plans; and
- debt repayments.

Any remaining cash generated from operations may be invested in high-quality, short-term instruments. As a part of our ongoing strategic analysis, we regularly evaluate business opportunities, including potential acquisitions and sales of assets and businesses, and our overall capital structure. Any transactions resulting from these evaluations may materially impact our liquidity, borrowing capacity, leverage ratios and capital availability.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2014, we announced an agreement to merge with US Foods. As of the time the merger agreement was announced in December 2013, Sysco agreed to pay approximately \$3.5 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprised of \$3 billion of Sysco common stock and \$500 million of cash. As part of the transaction, Sysco will also assume or refinance US Foods' net debt, which was approximately \$4.7 billion as of September 28, 2013, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.2 billion at the time of the merger announcement. As of August 13, 2014, the merger consideration is estimated as follows: approximately \$3.7 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprised of \$3.2 billion of Sysco common stock valued using the seven day average through August 13, 2014 and \$500 million of cash. US Foods' net debt to be assumed or refinanced was approximately \$4.8 billion as of June 28, 2014, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.5 billion as of August 13, 2014. The value of Sysco's common stock and the amount of US Foods' net debt will fluctuate. As such, the components of the transaction and total enterprise value noted above will not be finalized until the merger is consummated. We have secured a fully committed bridge financing and expect to issue longer-term financing prior to closing. After completion of the transaction, the equity holders of US Foods will own approximately 87 million shares, or roughly

13%, of Sysco. This merger is currently pending a regulatory review process by the Federal Trade Commission and we estimate the merger will close by the end of the third quarter or in the fourth quarter of calendar 2014. Under certain conditions, including lack of regulatory approval, Sysco would be obligated to pay \$300 million to the owners of US Foods if the merger were cancelled.

We continue to generate substantial cash flows from operations and remain in a strong financial position, however our liquidity and capital resources can be influenced by economic trends and conditions that impact our results of operations. Uncertain economic conditions and uneven levels of consumer confidence and the resulting pressure on consumer disposable income have lowered our sales growth and impacted our cash flows from operations. Competitive pressures in a low growth environment have also lowered our gross margins which in turn can cause our cash flows from operations to decrease. We believe our mechanisms to manage working capital, such as credit monitoring, optimizing inventory levels and maximizing payment terms with vendors, and our mechanisms to manage the items impacting our gross profits have been sufficient to limit a significant unfavorable impact on our cash flows from operations. We believe these mechanisms will continue to prevent a significant unfavorable impact on our cash flows from operations. As of June 28, 2014, we had \$413.0 million in cash and cash equivalents, approximately 32% of which was held by our international subsidiaries generated from our earnings of international operations. If these earnings were transferred among countries or repatriated to the U.S., such amounts may be subject to additional tax obligations; however, we do not currently anticipate the need to relocate this cash.

We believe the following sources will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash requirements for the next twelve months, while maintaining sufficient liquidity for normal operating purposes:

- our cash flows from operations;
- the availability of additional capital under our existing commercial paper programs, supported by our revolving credit facility, and bank lines of credit;
- our ability to access capital from financial markets, including issuances of debt securities, either privately or under our shelf registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC).

Due to our strong financial position, we believe that we will continue to be able to effectively access the commercial paper market and long-term capital markets, if necessary. We believe our cash flows from operations will improve over the long-term due to benefits from our Business Transformation Project and initiatives to improve our working capital management and cash flows from the operations of US Foods once acquired.

Cash Flows

Operating Activities

Fiscal 2014 vs. Fiscal 2013

We generated \$1.49 billion in cash flow from operations in fiscal 2014, as compared to \$1.51 billion in fiscal 2013. This decrease of \$18.8 million, or 1.2%, was largely attributable to a negative comparison on pension expense and contributions, reduced net earnings, a negative comparison on multiemployer pension withdrawal provisions and payments and an unfavorable comparison on prepaid expenses. Partially offsetting these unfavorable comparisons was a favorable comparison on working capital, several significant accruals in fiscal 2014 and an increase in non-cash depreciation and amortization.

Included in the change in other long-term liabilities was a negative comparison on pension expense and contributions, which contributed \$65.0 million to the unfavorable comparison on cash flow from operations for fiscal 2014 to fiscal 2013. Pension expense was \$4.8 million and pension contributions were \$24.8 million in fiscal 2014, which resulted in a decrease to other long-term liabilities. Pension expense was \$138.3 million and pension contributions were \$93.6 million in fiscal 2013, which resulted in an increase to other long-term liabilities.

Included in the change in accrued expenses was a negative comparison of \$49.4 million on multiemployer withdrawal provisions and payments. Fiscal 2014 included a provision for multiemployer pension withdrawal of \$1.5 million and payments of \$40.8 million, which resulted in a decrease to accrued expenses. Fiscal 2013 included a provision for multiemployer pension withdrawal of \$41.9 million and payments of \$31.8 million, which resulted in an increase to accrued expenses. Partially offsetting the unfavorable impact of the multiemployer accrual comparison were several significant accruals unique to fiscal 2014, which contributed \$48.5 million to cash flow from operations for fiscal 2014 to fiscal 2013.

Changes in working capital, specifically accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable, had a favorable comparison of \$129.7 million on the comparison of cash flow from operations for fiscal 2014 to fiscal 2013. There was a favorable comparison on accounts payable, which was partially offset by unfavorable comparisons on accounts receivable and inventory. Accounts receivable increased in both periods as a result of increases in sales. Our sales growth in fiscal 2014 has been greater with our corporate-managed customers and payment terms for these types of customers are traditionally longer than average. This mix of longer-term receivables contributed to the unfavorable comparison on cash flow from fiscal 2014 to fiscal 2013. Inventory increased in both

periods as a result of increases in sales. However, inventory turnover improved in fiscal 2014, as compared to a deterioration of inventory turnover in fiscal 2013, due to working capital improvements in inventory. Fiscal 2014 also included an increase in inventory in transit, which offset the favorable comparison due to working capital improvements, resulting in an overall unfavorable comparison on cash flow from fiscal 2014 to fiscal 2013. Accounts payable increased in both periods as a result of increases in sales. The year-over-year impact of the change in accounts payable is favorable to cash flow from operations due to working capital improvements in accounts payable as well as an increase in fiscal 2014 in accounts payable related to inventory in transit.

Fiscal 2013 vs. Fiscal 2012

We generated \$1.5 billion in cash flow from operations in fiscal 2013, as compared to \$1.4 billion in fiscal 2012. The increase of \$107.4 million or 7.6%, was largely attributable to a favorable comparison year-over-year on the settlement payments made to the Internal Revenue Service (IRS), an increase in non-cash depreciation and amortization expense and a favorable comparison for multiemployer and company-sponsored pension expense and contributions. These decreases were partially offset by a reduction in net earnings, the redemption of some of our corporate-owned life insurance (COLI) policies in fiscal 2012 and a reduction in taxes. Changes in working capital, including accounts receivable, inventory and accounts payable, did not have a significant impact on the comparison of cash flow from operations from fiscal 2013 to fiscal 2012. These items are more fully described below.

In fiscal 2012, we paid \$212 million in settlement payments to the IRS. We completed these settlement payments in fiscal 2012, which resulted in a favorable comparison in cash flow from operations related to this item in fiscal 2013. Excluding the IRS settlement payment comparison, the combined impact of changes in deferred taxes and changes in accrued income taxes was a decrease of \$171.5 in cash flow from operations in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. This decrease resulted primarily from decreased tax expense of \$107.4 million year over year and an increase in non-IRS tax payments of \$59.6 million which were primarily foreign tax payments related to a one-time transaction as well as increased earnings in these jurisdictions.

The increase in non-cash depreciation and amortization expense of \$95.6 million was primarily related to assets that were not in service in fiscal 2012 that were in service in fiscal 2013. These assets include our software related to our Business Transformation Project, which was placed into service in August 2012, as well as various new facilities and expansions.

Multiemployer and company-sponsored pension expense and contributions resulted in a favorable comparison of \$69.9 million in cash flow from operations in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012. Provisions for multiemployer pension withdrawals increased \$20.0 million in fiscal 2013 as compared to fiscal 2012, and payments for withdrawals decreased \$1.8 million. Company-sponsored pension contributions decreased \$68.9 million year over year, which was partially offset by a decrease in company-sponsored pension expense of \$20.8 million.

The comparison of cash flow from operations from fiscal 2013 to fiscal 2012 was negatively impacted by an unfavorable change of \$56.4 million in other assets. This unfavorable change resulted primarily from an increase in cash in the prior year from the redemption of approximately \$75 million of our COLI policies. These COLI policies were maintained to meet a portion of our obligations under the SERP and were replaced by less volatile corporate-owned real estate assets as part of our plan to reduce the market-driven COLI impact on our earnings. There was no similar redemption in fiscal 2013. Other miscellaneous changes in other assets partially offset this decrease year over year.

Investing Activities

Fiscal 2014 capital expenditures included:

- fleet replacements;
- construction of fold-out facilities in Ontario, Canada and Dublin, Ireland;
- replacement or significant expansion of facilities in Phoenix, Arizona; Sacramento, California; Philadelphia, Pennsylvania and Harrisonburg, Virginia; and
- investments in technology.

Fiscal 2013 capital expenditures included:

- fleet replacements;
- construction of a fold-out facility in southern California;
- replacement or significant expansion of facilities in Atlanta, Georgia; British Columbia, Canada; Boston, Massachusetts and Columbia, South Carolina; and
- investments in technology.

Fiscal 2012 capital expenditures included:

- replacement or significant expansion of facilities in San Diego, California; Boston, Massachusetts; Lincoln, Nebraska; Syracuse, New York and central Texas;
- construction of fold-out facilities in southern California and Long Island, New York;
- the continued remodeling of our shared services facility purchased in fiscal 2010;
- fleet replacements; and
- investments in technology including our Business Transformation Project.

The level of capital expenditures in fiscal 2014 was mostly consistent with fiscal 2013, representing a small increase of \$11.3 million. Capital expenditures in fiscal 2013 decreased by \$272.6 million from fiscal 2012 primarily due to less investment in technology in fiscal 2013 related to our Business Transformation Project due to the initiation of the project's deployment phase in August 2012. Capital expenditures in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 for our Business Transformation Project were \$33.4 million, \$20.0 million and \$146.2 million, respectively.

We estimate our capital expenditures, net of proceeds from sales of assets, in fiscal 2015 should be in the range of \$500 million to \$550 million. Fiscal 2015 expenditures will include facility, fleet and other equipment replacements and expansions; new facility construction, including fold-out facilities; and investments in technology.

During fiscal 2014, in the aggregate, the company paid cash of \$79.3 million for operations acquired during fiscal 2014 and for contingent consideration related to operations acquired in previous fiscal years. During fiscal 2014, we acquired for cash operations in Meridian, Idaho; Landover, Maryland; St. Louis, Missouri; Cleveland, Ohio and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania.

During fiscal 2013, in the aggregate, the company paid cash of \$397.4 million for operations acquired during fiscal 2013 and for contingent consideration related to operations acquired in previous fiscal years. During fiscal 2013, we acquired for cash foodservice operations in Nassau, Bahamas; San Francisco, California; San Jose, California; Stockton, California; Ontario, Canada; Quebec, Canada; Orlando, Florida; Dublin, Ireland; St. Cloud, Minnesota; Co. Down, Northern Ireland; Greenville, Ohio and Houston, Texas.

During fiscal 2012, in the aggregate, the company paid cash of \$110.6 million for operations acquired during fiscal 2012 and for contingent consideration related to operations acquired in previous fiscal years. During fiscal 2012, we acquired for cash broadline foodservice operations in Sacramento, California; Quebec, Canada; New Haven, Connecticut; Grand Rapids, Michigan; Minneapolis, Minnesota; Columbia, South Carolina and Spokane, Washington. In addition, Sysco acquired for cash a company that distributes specialty imported products headquartered in Chicago, Illinois.

Free Cash Flow

Free cash flow represents net cash provided from operating activities less purchases of plant and equipment plus proceeds from sales of plant and equipment. Sysco considers free cash flow to be a non-GAAP liquidity measure that provides useful information to management and investors about the amount of cash generated by the business after the purchases and sales of buildings, fleet, equipment and technology, which may potentially be used to pay for, among other things, strategic uses of cash including dividend payments, share repurchases and acquisitions. We do not mean to imply that free cash flow is necessarily available for discretionary expenditures, however, as it may be necessary that we use it to make mandatory debt service or other payments. As a result of decreased cash provided by operating activities and increased capital spending, partially offset by increased proceeds from sales of plant and equipment, free cash flow for fiscal 2014 decreased 2.0%, or \$19.9 million, to \$995.4 million as compared to fiscal 2013. Increased cash provided by operating activities, partially offset by increased capital spending, resulted in free cash flow for fiscal 2013 increasing 61.7%, or \$387.4 million, to \$1.0 billion as compared to fiscal 2012.

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

Free cash flow should not be used as a substitute in assessing the company's liquidity for the periods presented. An analysis of any non-GAAP financial measure should be used in conjunction with results presented in accordance with GAAP. In the tables that follow, free cash flow for each period presented is reconciled to net cash provided by operating activities.

| | 2014 | 2013 | Change in Dollars | % Change |
|--|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities (GAAP) | \$ 1,492,815 | \$ 1,511,594 | \$ (18,779) | (1.2) % |
| Additions to plant and equipment | (523,206) | (511,862) | (11,344) | (2.2) |
| Proceeds from sales of plant and equipment | 25,790 | 15,527 | 10,263 | 66.1 |
| Free Cash Flow (Non-GAAP) | \$ 995,399 | \$ 1,015,259 | \$ (19,860) | (2.0) % |

| | 2013 | 2012 | Change in Dollars | % Change |
|--|------------------------|--------------|----------------------|-------------|
| | (Dollars in thousands) | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities (GAAP) | \$ 1,511,594 | \$ 1,404,180 | \$ 107,414 | 7.6 % |
| Additions to plant and equipment | (511,862) | (784,501) | 272,639 | 34.8 |
| Proceeds from sales of plant and equipment | 15,527 | 8,185 | 7,342 | 89.7 |
| Free Cash Flow (Non-GAAP) | \$ 1,015,259 | \$ 627,864 | \$ 387,395 | 61.7 % |

Financing Activities

Equity Transactions

Proceeds from exercises of share-based compensation awards were \$255.6 million in fiscal 2014, \$628.7 million in fiscal 2013 and \$99.4 million in fiscal 2012. The level of proceeds in each year is directly related to the number of options exercised in each year. The level of option exercises, and thus proceeds, will vary from period to period and is largely dependent on movements in our stock price.

We traditionally have engaged in Board-approved share repurchase programs. The number of shares acquired and their cost during the past three fiscal years were 10,059,000 shares for \$332.4 million in fiscal 2014, 21,672,403 shares for \$721.6 million in fiscal 2013 and 10,000,000 shares for \$272.3 million in fiscal 2012. No additional shares

were repurchased through August 13, 2014, resulting in a remaining authorization by our Board of Directors to repurchase up to 11,655,197 shares, based on the trades made through that date. Our share repurchase strategy is to purchase enough shares to keep our average shares outstanding relatively constant over time, excluding the impact of the 87 million shares to be issued upon closing of the potential merger with US Foods. The number of shares we repurchase in fiscal 2015 will be dependent on many factors, including the level of future stock option exercises as well as competing uses for available cash.

We have made dividend payments to our shareholders in each fiscal year since our company's inception over 40 years ago. We target a dividend payout of 40% to 50% of net earnings. We paid in excess of that range in fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013 primarily due to increased expenses from our Certain Items. We believe, as we realize benefits from our Business Transformation Project, our dividend payout will return to this targeted range. Dividends paid were \$667.2 million, or \$1.14 per share, in fiscal 2014, \$648.3 million, or \$1.10 per share, in fiscal 2013 and \$622.9 million, or \$1.06 per share, in fiscal 2012. In May 2014, we declared our regular quarterly dividend for the first quarter of fiscal 2015 of \$0.29 per share, which was paid in July 2014.

In November 2000, we filed with the SEC a shelf registration statement covering 30,000,000 shares of common stock to be offered from time to time in connection with acquisitions. As of August 13, 2014, 29,477,835 shares remained available for issuance under this registration statement.

Debt Activity and Borrowing Availability

Short-term Borrowings

We have uncommitted bank lines of credit, which provided for unsecured borrowings for working capital of up to \$95.0 million, of which none was outstanding as of June 28, 2014 or August 13, 2014.

The company's Irish subsidiary, Pallas Foods, has a multicurrency revolving credit facility, which provides for capital needs for the company's European subsidiaries. In September 2013, this facility was extended and increased to €100.0 million (Euro). This facility provides for unsecured borrowings and expires September 24, 2014, but is subject to extension. Outstanding borrowings under this facility were €52.0 million (Euro) as of June 28, 2014 and August 13, 2014.

On June 30, 2011, a Canadian subsidiary of Sysco entered into a short-term demand loan facility for the purpose of facilitating a distribution from the Canadian subsidiary to Sysco, and Sysco concurrently entered into an agreement with the bank to guarantee the loan. The amount borrowed was \$182.0 million and was repaid in full on July 4, 2011.

Commercial Paper and Revolving Credit Facility

We have a Board-approved commercial paper program allowing us to issue short-term unsecured notes in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1.3 billion.

Sysco and one of its subsidiaries, Sysco International, ULC, have a revolving credit facility supporting the company's U.S. and Canadian commercial paper programs. The facility provides for borrowings in both U.S. and Canadian dollars. Borrowings by Sysco International, ULC under the agreement are guaranteed by Sysco, and borrowings by Sysco and Sysco International, ULC under the credit agreement are guaranteed by the wholly-owned subsidiaries of Sysco that are guarantors of the company's senior notes and debentures. In January 2014, Sysco and Sysco International, ULC extended and increased the size of the revolving credit facility described above that supports the company's U.S. and Canadian commercial paper programs. The facility was increased to \$1.5 billion with an expiration date of December 29, 2018, but is subject to further extension. The other terms and conditions of the extended facility are substantially the same.

As of June 28, 2014, commercial paper issuances outstanding were \$130.0 million. As of August 13, 2014, commercial paper issuances outstanding were \$387.6 million. During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, aggregate outstanding commercial paper issuances and short-term bank borrowings ranged from approximately zero to \$770.5 million, zero to \$330.0 million, and zero to \$563.1 million, respectively. During each of fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, our aggregate commercial paper issuances and short-term bank borrowings had a weighted average interest rate of 0.16%.

Bridge Facility

In December 2013, we secured a commitment for an unsecured bridge facility in the amount of \$3.3865 billion in connection with our proposed merger with US Foods (discussed further under Strategy). In January 2014, this bridge facility commitment was replaced with a \$3.3865 billion bridge term loan agreement with multiple lenders. We may borrow up to \$3.3865 billion in term loans on the closing date of the US Foods acquisition to fund the acquisition, refinance certain indebtedness of US Foods and pay related fees and expenses. The facility expires on March 8, 2015, but is subject to extension if regulatory approvals have not yet been obtained. Borrowings under the bridge term loan agreement are guaranteed by the same subsidiaries of Sysco that guarantee the company's revolving credit facility, and in certain circumstances, may also be guaranteed by US Foods after closing of the merger. As an alternative to using our bridge facility, we currently intend to issue longer-term financing prior to the closing of the transaction.

Fixed Rate Debt

Included in current maturities of long-term debt as of June 28, 2014 are the 0.55% senior notes totaling \$300.0 million, which mature in June 2015. It is our intention to fund the repayment of these notes at maturity through cash on hand, cash flow from operations, issuances of commercial paper, senior notes or a combination thereof.

In February 2012, we filed with the SEC an automatically effective well-known seasoned issuer shelf registration statement for the issuance of an indeterminate amount of common stock, preferred stock, debt securities and guarantees of debt securities that may be issued from time to time.

In June 2012, we repaid the 6.1% senior notes totaling \$200.0 million at maturity utilizing a combination of cash flow from operations and commercial paper issuances.

In May 2012, we entered into an agreement with a notional amount of \$200.0 million to lock in a component of the interest rate on our then forecasted debt offering. We designated this derivative as a cash flow hedge of the variability in the cash outflows of interest payments on a portion of the then forecasted June 2012 debt issuance due to changes in the benchmark interest rate. In June 2012, in conjunction with the issuance of the \$450.0 million senior notes maturing in fiscal 2022, we settled the treasury lock, locking in the effective yields on the related debt. Upon settlement, we received cash of \$0.7 million, which represented the fair value of the swap agreement at the time of settlement. This amount is being amortized as an offset to interest expense over the 10-year term of the debt, and the unamortized balance is reflected as a gain, net of tax, Accumulated other comprehensive loss.

In June 2012, we issued 0.55% senior notes totaling \$300.0 million due June 12, 2015 (the 2015 notes) and 2.6% senior notes totaling \$450.0 million due June 12, 2022 (the 2022 notes) under its February 2012 shelf registration. The 2015 and 2022 notes, which were priced at 99.319% and 98.722% of par, respectively, are unsecured, are not subject to any sinking fund requirement and include a redemption provision which allows Sysco to retire the notes at any time prior to maturity at the greater of par plus accrued interest or an amount designed to ensure that the note holders are not penalized by early redemption. Proceeds from the notes will be utilized over a period of time for general corporate purposes, which may include acquisitions, refinancing of debt, working capital, share repurchases and capital expenditures.

In February 2013, we repaid the 4.2% senior notes totaling \$250.0 million at maturity utilizing a combination of cash flow from operations and cash on hand.

In August 2013, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement that effectively converted \$500 million of fixed rate debt maturing in fiscal 2018 to floating rate debt. This transaction was entered into with the goal of reducing overall borrowing cost and was designated as a fair value hedge against the changes in fair value of fixed rate debt resulting from changes in interest rates.

In January 2014, we entered into two forward starting swap agreements with notional amounts totaling \$2.0 billion. We designated these derivatives as cash flow hedges of the variability in the expected cash outflows of interest payments on 10-year and 30-year debt forecasted to be issued in fiscal 2015 due to changes in the benchmark interest rates.

In March 2014, Sysco repaid the 4.6% senior notes totaling \$200.0 million at maturity utilizing a combination of cash flow from operations and commercial paper issuances.

Total Debt

Total debt as of June 28, 2014 was \$2.8 billion of which approximately 74% was at fixed rates with a weighted average of 4.6% and an average life of 13 years, and the remainder was at floating rates with a weighted average of 2.7% and an average life of three years. Certain loan agreements contain typical debt covenants to protect note holders, including provisions to maintain the company's long-term debt to total capital ratio below a specified level. We are currently in compliance with all debt covenants.

Other

As part of normal business activities, we issue letters of credit through major banking institutions as required by certain vendor and insurance agreements. In addition, in connection with our audits in certain tax jurisdictions, we have posted letters of credit in order to proceed to the appeals process. As of June 28, 2014, letters of credit outstanding were \$45.7 million.

Other Considerations

Multiemployer Plans

As discussed in Note 15, “Multiemployer Employee Benefit Plans”, to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8, we contribute to several multiemployer defined benefit pension plans based on obligations arising under collective bargaining agreements covering union-represented employees.

Under certain circumstances, including our voluntary withdrawal or a mass withdrawal of all contributing employers from certain underfunded plans, we would be required to make payments to the plans for our proportionate share of the multiemployer plan’s unfunded vested liabilities. We believe that one of the above-mentioned events is reasonably possible with certain plans in which we participate and estimate our share of withdrawal liability for these plans could have been as much as \$90.0 million as of June 28, 2014 and August 13, 2014, based on the latest available information available as of each date. This estimate excludes plans for which we have recorded withdrawal liabilities or where the likelihood of the above-mentioned events is deemed remote. Due to the lack of current information, we believe our current share of the withdrawal liability could materially differ from this estimate.

As of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, Sysco had approximately \$1.4 million and \$40.7 million, respectively, in liabilities recorded related to certain multiemployer defined benefit plans for which Sysco’s voluntary withdrawal had already occurred.

Required contributions to multiemployer plans could increase in the future as these plans strive to improve their funding levels. In addition, pension-related legislation in the U.S. requires underfunded pension plans to improve their funding ratios within prescribed intervals based on the level of their underfunding. We believe that any unforeseen requirements to pay such increased contributions, withdrawal liability and excise taxes would be funded through cash flow from operations, borrowing capacity or a combination of these items.

Potential Contingencies Impacting Liquidity

Certain tax jurisdictions require partial to full payment on audit assessments or the posting of letters of credit in order to proceed to the appeals process. Sysco has posted approximately \$32.5 million in letters of credit, representing a partial payment of the audit assessments, in order to appeal the Canadian Revenue Agency assessments of transfer pricing adjustments relating to our cross border procurement activities through our former purchasing cooperative on our 2004 and 2005 fiscal years. We are protesting these adjustments through appeals and competent authority. If assessed on later years currently under examination using these same positions, we could have to pay cash or post additional letters of credit of as much as \$129.0 million, in order to appeal these further assessments.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have no off-balance sheet arrangements.

Contractual Obligations

The following table sets forth, as of June 28, 2014, certain information concerning our obligations and commitments to make contractual future payments:

| | Payments Due by Period | | | | More Than 5 Years |
|--|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | Total (In thousands) | < 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | |
| Recorded Contractual Obligations: | | | | | |
| Revolving credit facility borrowings | \$ 70,975 | \$ 70,975 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - |
| Commercial paper | 129,999 | 129,999 | - | - | - |
| Long-term debt | 2,526,305 | 300,196 | 1,356 | 754,020 | 1,470,733 |
| Capital lease obligations | 32,640 | 4,581 | 6,757 | 4,944 | 16,358 |
| Deferred compensation (1) | 80,910 | 8,428 | 13,259 | 9,940 | 49,283 |
| SERP and other postretirement plans (2) | 299,582 | 26,608 | 56,568 | 59,809 | 156,597 |
| Unrecognized tax benefits and interest (3) | 85,878 | | | | |
| Unrecorded Contractual Obligations: | | | | | |
| Interest payments related to debt (4) | 1,486,128 | 106,876 | 210,065 | 191,814 | 977,373 |
| Operating lease obligations | 161,838 | 43,065 | 56,960 | 25,729 | 36,084 |
| Purchase obligations (5) | 4,603,890 | 3,404,821 | 990,450 | 208,619 | - |
| US Foods merger consideration (6) | 5,316,110 | 500,000 | - | - | 4,816,110 |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 14,794,255 | \$ 4,595,549 | \$ 1,335,415 | \$ 1,254,875 | \$ 7,522,538 |

(1)The estimate of the timing of future payments under the Executive Deferred Compensation Plan involves the use of certain assumptions, including retirement ages and payout periods.

(2)Includes estimated contributions to the unfunded SERP and other postretirement benefit plans made in amounts needed to fund benefit payments for vested participants in these plans through fiscal 2024, based on actuarial assumptions.

(3)Unrecognized tax benefits relate to uncertain tax positions recorded under accounting standards related to uncertain tax positions. As of June 28, 2014, we had a liability of \$49.2 million for unrecognized tax benefits for all tax jurisdictions and \$36.7 million for related interest that could result in cash payment. We are not able to reasonably estimate the timing of non-current payments or the amount by which the liability will increase or decrease over time. Accordingly, the related non-current balances have not been reflected in the "Payments Due by Period" section of the table.

(4)Includes payments on floating rate debt based on rates as of June 28, 2014, assuming amount remains unchanged until maturity, and payments on fixed rate debt based on maturity dates. The impact of our outstanding fixed-to-floating interest rate swap on the fixed rate debt interest payments is included as well based on the floating rates in effect as of June 28, 2014.

(5)For purposes of this table, purchase obligations include agreements for purchases of product in the normal course of business, for which all significant terms have been confirmed, including minimum quantities resulting from our category management initiative. As we progress with this initiative, our purchase obligations are increasing. Such amounts included in the table above are based on estimates. Purchase obligations also includes amounts committed with various third party service providers to provide information technology services for period up to fiscal 2019 (See discussion under Note 20, "Commitments and Contingencies", to the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8) and fixed fuel purchase commitments. Purchase obligations exclude full requirements electricity contracts where no stated minimum purchase volume is required.

(6)In the second quarter of fiscal 2014, the company announced an agreement to merge with US Foods. Sysco has agreed to pay approximately \$3.7 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprising \$3.2 billion of Sysco common stock valued using the seven day average through August 13, 2014 and \$500 million of cash. As part of the transaction, Sysco will also assume or refinance US Foods' net debt, which is currently approximately \$4.8 billion as of June 28, 2014, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.5 billion. The table above includes the cash payment and the assumption or refinancing of US Foods' net debt. The value of Sysco's common stock and the amount of US Foods' net debt will fluctuate. As such, the components of the transaction and total

enterprise value noted above will not be finalized until the merger is consummated. Under certain conditions, including lack of regulatory approval, Sysco would be obligated to pay \$300 million to the owners of US Foods if the merger were cancelled.

The Retirement Plan has no estimated contributions through fiscal 2024 to meet ERISA minimum funding requirements based on actuarial assumptions. These assumptions include the extension of funding relief included in the Highway and Transportation Funding Act of 2014.

Certain acquisitions involve contingent consideration, typically payable only in the event that certain operating results are attained or certain outstanding contingencies are resolved. Aggregate contingent consideration amounts outstanding as of June 28, 2014 were \$70.6 million. This amount is not included in the table above.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, sales and expenses in the accompanying financial statements. Significant accounting policies employed by Sysco are presented in the notes to the financial statements.

Critical accounting policies and estimates are those that are most important to the portrayal of our financial condition and results of operations. These policies require our most subjective or complex judgments, often employing the use of estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain. We have reviewed with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors the development and selection of the critical accounting policies and estimates and this related disclosure. Our most critical accounting policies and estimates pertain to the allowance for doubtful accounts receivable, self-insurance programs, company-sponsored pension plans, income taxes, vendor consideration, goodwill and intangible assets and share-based compensation.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We evaluate the collectability of accounts receivable and determine the appropriate reserve for doubtful accounts based on a combination of factors. We utilize specific criteria to determine uncollectible receivables to be written off, including whether a customer has filed for or has been placed in bankruptcy, has had accounts referred to outside parties for collection or has had accounts past due over specified periods. Allowances are recorded for all other receivables based on analysis of historical trends of write-offs and recoveries. In addition, in circumstances where we are aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligation, a specific allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded to reduce the receivable to the net amount reasonably expected to be collected. Our judgment is required as to the impact of certain of these items and other factors as to ultimate realization of our accounts receivable. If the

financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, additional allowances may be required.

Self-Insurance Program

We maintain a self-insurance program covering portions of workers' compensation, general liability and vehicle liability costs. The amounts in excess of the self-insured levels are fully insured by third party insurers. We also maintain a fully self-insured group medical program. Liabilities associated with these risks are estimated in part by considering historical claims experience, medical cost trends, demographic factors, severity factors and other actuarial assumptions. Projections of future loss expenses are inherently uncertain because of the random nature of insurance claims occurrences and could be significantly affected if future occurrences and claims differ from these assumptions and historical trends. In an attempt to mitigate the risks of workers' compensation, vehicle and general liability claims, safety procedures and awareness programs have been implemented.

Company-Sponsored Pension Plans

Amounts related to defined benefit plans recognized in the financial statements are determined on an actuarial basis. Two of the more critical assumptions in the actuarial calculations are the discount rate for determining the current value of plan benefits and the expected rate of return on plan assets. Our Retirement Plan was frozen in fiscal 2013 and is only open to a small number of employees. Our SERP was frozen in fiscal 2013. Due to these plan freezes, our assumption for the rate of increase in future compensation is no longer a critical assumption.

For guidance in determining the discount rates, we calculate the implied rate of return on a hypothetical portfolio of high-quality fixed-income investments for which the timing and amount of cash outflows approximates the estimated payouts of the pension plan. The discount rate assumption is reviewed annually and revised as deemed appropriate. The discount rate for determining fiscal 2014 net pension costs for the Retirement Plan, which was determined as of the June 29, 2013 measurement date, increased 51 basis points to 5.32%. The discount rate for determining fiscal 2014 net pension costs for the SERP, which was determined as of the June 29, 2013 measurement date, increased 98 basis points to 4.94%, as compared to the discount rate upon the remeasurement of the plan during fiscal 2013. The combined effect of these discount rate changes decreased our net company-sponsored pension costs for all plans for fiscal 2014 by an estimated \$5 million. The discount rate for determining fiscal 2015 net pension costs for the Retirement Plan, which was determined as of the June 28, 2014 measurement date, decreased 58 basis points to 4.74%. The discount rate for determining fiscal 2015 net pension costs for the SERP, which was determined as of the June 28, 2014 measurement date, decreased

35 basis points to 4.59%. The combined effect of these discount rate changes will increase our net company-sponsored pension costs for all plans for fiscal 2015 by an estimated \$7 million. A 100 basis point increase (or decrease) in the discount rates for fiscal 2015 would decrease (or increase) Sysco's net company-sponsored pension cost by approximately \$11 million. Now that Sysco's pension plans are frozen, net company-sponsored pension cost is not as sensitive to discount rate changes as compared to when these plans were active.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets of the Retirement Plan was 7.75% for fiscal 2014 and fiscal 2013. The expectations of future returns are derived from a mathematical asset model that incorporates assumptions as to the various asset class returns, reflecting a combination of historical performance analysis and the forward-looking views of the financial markets regarding the yield on bonds, historical returns of the major stock markets and returns on alternative investments. Although not determinative of future returns, the effective annual rate of return on plan assets, developed using geometric/compound averaging, was approximately 7.6%, 6.8%, 13.4%, and 15.0%, over the 20-year, 10-year, 5-year and 1-year periods ended December 31, 2013, respectively. In addition, in eight of the last 15 years, the actual return on plan assets has exceeded 10%. The rate of return assumption is reviewed annually and revised as deemed appropriate.

The expected return on plan assets impacts the recorded amount of net pension costs. The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets of the Retirement Plan is 7.75% for fiscal 2015. A 100 basis point increase (decrease) in the assumed rate of return for fiscal 2015 would decrease (increase) Sysco's net company-sponsored pension costs for fiscal 2015 by approximately \$29 million.

Pension accounting standards require the recognition of the funded status of our defined benefit plans in the statement of financial position, with a corresponding adjustment to accumulated other comprehensive income, net of tax. The amount reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the recognition of the funded status of our defined benefit plans as of June 28, 2014 was a charge, net of tax, of \$686.0 million. The amount reflected in accumulated other comprehensive loss related to the recognition of the funded status of our defined benefit plans as of June 29, 2013 was a charge, net of tax, of \$575.2 million.

We made cash contributions to our company-sponsored pension plans of \$24.8 million and \$93.6 million in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. There was no contribution to the Retirement Plan in fiscal 2014, as there was no minimum required contribution for the calendar 2013 plan year to meet ERISA minimum funding requirements. The \$70.0 million contribution to the Retirement Plan in fiscal 2013 was voluntary, as there was no minimum required contribution for the calendar 2012 plan year to meet ERISA minimum funding requirements. There are no required contributions to the Retirement Plan to meet ERISA minimum funding requirements in fiscal 2015. The estimated fiscal 2015 contributions to fund benefit payments for the SERP plan are approximately \$26 million.

Income Taxes

The determination of our provision for income taxes requires significant judgment, the use of estimates and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. Our provision for income taxes primarily reflects a combination of income earned and taxed in the various U.S. federal and state, as well as foreign jurisdictions. Jurisdictional tax law changes, increases or decreases in permanent differences between book and tax items, accruals or adjustments of accruals for unrecognized tax benefits or valuation allowances, and our change in the mix of earnings from these taxing jurisdictions all affect the overall effective tax rate.

Our liability for unrecognized tax benefits contains uncertainties because management is required to make assumptions and to apply judgment to estimate the exposures associated with our various filing positions. We believe that the judgments and estimates discussed herein are reasonable; however, actual results could differ, and we may be exposed to losses or gains that could be material. To the extent we prevail in matters for which a liability has been established, or pay amounts in excess of recorded liabilities, our effective income tax rate in a given financial statement period could be materially affected. An unfavorable tax settlement generally would require use of our cash and may result in an increase in our effective income tax rate in the period of resolution. A favorable tax settlement may be recognized as a reduction in our effective income tax rate in the period of resolution.

Vendor Consideration

We recognize consideration received from vendors when the services performed in connection with the monies received are completed and when the related product has been sold by Sysco. There are several types of cash consideration received from vendors. In many instances, the vendor consideration is in the form of a specified amount per case or per pound. In these instances, we will recognize the vendor consideration as a reduction of cost of sales when the product is sold. In some instances, vendor consideration is received upon receipt of inventory in our distribution facilities. We estimate the amount needed to reduce our inventory based on inventory turns until the product is sold. Our inventory turnover is usually less than one month; therefore, amounts deferred against inventory do not require long-term estimation. In the situations where the vendor consideration is not related directly to specific product purchases, we will recognize these as a reduction of cost of sales when the earnings process is complete, the related service is performed and the amounts realized. Historically, adjustments to our estimates related to vendor consideration have not been significant.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets represent the excess of consideration paid over the fair value of tangible net assets acquired. Certain assumptions and estimates are employed in determining the fair value of assets acquired, including goodwill and other intangible assets, as well as determining the allocation of goodwill to the appropriate reporting unit.

In addition, annually in our fourth quarter or more frequently as needed, we assess the recoverability of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles by determining whether the fair values of the applicable reporting units exceed the carrying values of these assets. The reporting units used in assessing goodwill impairment are our 12 operating segments as described in Note 21, "Business Segment Information," to the Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8. The components within each of our 12 operating segments have similar economic characteristics and therefore are aggregated into 12 reporting units.

We arrive at our estimates of fair value using a combination of discounted cash flow and earnings multiple models. The results from each of these models are then weighted and combined into a single estimate of fair value for each of our 12 operating segments. We primarily use a 60% weighting for our discounted cash flow valuation and 40% for the earnings multiple models giving greater emphasis to our discounted cash flow model because the forecasted operating results that serve as a basis for the analysis incorporate management's outlook and anticipated changes for the businesses consistent with a market participant. The primary assumptions used in these various models include estimated earnings multiples of comparable acquisitions in the industry including control premiums, earnings multiples on acquisitions completed by Sysco in the past, future cash flow estimates of the reporting units, which are dependent on internal forecasts and projected growth rates, and weighted average cost of capital, along with working capital and capital expenditure requirements. When possible, we use observable market inputs in our models to arrive at the fair values of our reporting units. We update our projections used in our discounted cash flow model based on historical performance and changing business conditions for each of our reporting units.

Our estimates of fair value contain uncertainties requiring management to make assumptions and to apply judgment to estimate industry economic factors and the profitability of future business strategies. Actual results could differ from these assumptions and projections, resulting in the company revising its assumptions and, if required, recognizing an impairment loss. There were no impairments of goodwill or indefinite-lived intangibles recorded as a result of assessment in fiscal 2014, 2013 or 2012. Our past estimates of fair value for fiscal 2013 and 2012 have not been materially different when revised to include subsequent years' actual results. Sysco has not made any material changes in its impairment assessment methodology during the past three fiscal years. We do not believe the estimates used in the analysis are reasonably likely to change materially in the future but we will continue to assess the estimates in the future based on the expectations of the reporting units. In the fiscal 2014 assessment, our estimates of fair value did not require additional analysis. However, we would have performed additional analysis to determine if an impairment existed for our Caribbean Broadline, European Broadline and Sysco Ventures reporting units if our estimates of fair value were decreased by 11% to 23%. As of June 28, 2014, these reporting units had goodwill aggregating \$275.5 million. For the remainder of our reporting units, which as of June 28, 2014 had goodwill aggregating \$1.7 billion, we would have performed additional analysis to determine if an impairment existed for a reporting unit if the estimated fair value for any of these reporting units had declined by greater than 25%.

Certain reporting units (Caribbean Broadline, European Broadline, specialty produce, custom-cut meat, lodging industry products, imported specialty products, international distribution operations and our Sysco Ventures platform) have a greater proportion of goodwill recorded to estimated fair value as compared to the U.S. Broadline, Canada Broadline or SYGMA reporting units. This is primarily due to these businesses having been more recently acquired, and as a result there has been less history of organic growth than in the U.S. Broadline, Canadian Broadline and SYGMA reporting units. In addition, these businesses also have lower levels of cash flow than the U.S. Broadline reporting unit. As such, these reporting units have a greater risk of future impairment if their operations were to suffer a significant downturn.

Share-Based Compensation

Sysco provides compensation benefits to employees and non-employee directors under several share-based payment arrangements including various employee stock option plans, a non-employee director plan and the Employees' Stock Purchase Plan.

As of June 28, 2014, there was \$64.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to share-based compensation arrangements. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.39 years.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of Sysco's stock, implied volatilities from traded options on Sysco's stock and other factors. We utilize historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination behavior within the valuation model; separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior are considered separately for valuation purposes. Expected dividend yield is estimated based on the historical pattern of dividends and the average stock price for the year preceding the option grant. The risk-free rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The fair value of each restricted stock unit award granted with a dividend equivalent is based on the company's stock price as of the date of grant. For restricted stock units granted without dividend equivalents, the fair value is reduced by the present value of expected dividends during the vesting period.

The fair value of the stock issued under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan is calculated as the difference between the stock price and the employee purchase price.

The fair value of restricted stock granted to employees or non-employee directors is based on the stock price on grant date. The application of a discount to the fair value of a restricted stock grant is dependent upon whether or not each individual grant contains a post-vesting restriction.

The compensation cost related to these share-based awards is recognized over the requisite service period. The requisite service period is generally the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award. The compensation cost related to stock issuances resulting from employee purchases of stock under the Employees' Stock Purchase Plan is recognized during the quarter in which the employee payroll withholdings are made.

Our share-based awards are generally subject to graded vesting over a service period. We will recognize compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

In addition, certain of our share-based awards provide that the awards continue to vest as if the award holder continued to be an employee or director if the award holder meets certain age and years of service thresholds upon retirement. In these cases, we will recognize compensation cost for such awards over the period from the grant date to the date the employee or director first becomes eligible to retire with the options continuing to vest after retirement.

Our option grants include options that qualify as incentive stock options for income tax purposes. In the period the compensation cost related to incentive stock options is recorded, a corresponding tax benefit is not recorded as it is assumed that we will not receive a tax deduction related to such incentive stock options. We may be eligible for tax deductions in subsequent periods to the extent that there is a disqualifying disposition of the incentive stock option. In such cases, we would record a tax benefit related to the tax deduction in an amount not to exceed the corresponding cumulative compensation cost recorded in the financial statements on the particular options multiplied by the statutory tax rate.

Forward-Looking Statements

Certain statements made herein that look forward in time or express management's expectations or beliefs with respect to the occurrence of future events are forward-looking statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements are based on management's current expectations and estimates. Forward-looking statements provide current expectations of future events based on certain assumptions and include any statement that does not directly relate to any historical or current fact. Forward-looking statements can also be identified by words such as "future," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "predicts," "will," "would," "could," "can," and similar terms. Forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and our actual results may differ significantly from the results discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that might cause such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K under the heading "Risk Factors," which are incorporated herein by reference. Due to the inherent uncertainties concerning the impact of the pending US Foods acquisition, it is impracticable for us to provide projections that fully anticipate all possible impacts of the acquisition. For that reason, forward-looking disclosures in the Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and elsewhere in this Form 10-K describe anticipated future trends and results of only our current operations, excluding any potential impact from the US Foods acquisition unless specifically noted. Completion of the US Foods acquisition is likely to materially impact these projections with respect to Sysco as a whole. We assume no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason, except as required by law.

In addition to the Risk Factors discussed in Part I, Item 1A of this Form 10-K, the success of Sysco's strategic initiatives could be affected by conditions in the economy and the industry and internal factors, such as the ability to control expenses, including fuel costs. Our expectations regarding cost per case may be impacted by factors beyond our control, including actions by our competitors and/or customers. Company-sponsored pension plan liabilities are impacted by a number of factors including the discount rate for determining the current value of plan benefits, the assumption for the rate of increase in future compensation levels and the expected rate of return on plan assets. The amount of shares repurchased in a given period is subject to a number of factors, including available cash and our general working capital needs at the time. Meeting our dividend target objectives depends on our level of earnings, available cash and the success of our Business Transformation Project. Our plans with respect to growth in international markets and adjacent areas that complement our core business are subject to our other strategic initiatives, the allocation of resources, and plans and economic conditions generally. Legal proceedings and the adequacy of insurance are impacted by events, circumstances and individuals beyond the control of Sysco. The need for additional borrowing or other capital is impacted by factors that include the impact of the US Foods acquisition, capital expenditures or acquisitions in excess of those currently anticipated, levels of stock repurchases, or other unexpected cash requirements. Plans regarding the repayment of debt are subject to change at any time based on management's assessment of the overall needs of the company. Capital expenditures may vary from those projected based on

changes in business plans and other factors, including risks related to the implementation of our Business Transformation Project and our regional distribution centers, the timing and successful completions of acquisitions, construction schedules and the possibility that other cash requirements could result in delays or cancellations of capital spending. Our ability to finance capital expenditures as anticipated may be influenced by our results of operations, the completion of the US Foods acquisition, our borrowing capacity, share repurchases, dividend levels and other factors. The anticipated impact of compliance with laws and regulations also involves the risk that estimates may turn out to be materially incorrect, and laws and regulations, as well as methods of enforcement, are subject to change.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

We do not utilize financial instruments for trading purposes. Our use of debt directly exposes us to interest rate risk. Floating rate debt, where the interest rate fluctuates periodically, exposes us to short-term changes in market interest rates. Fixed rate debt, where the interest rate is fixed over the life of the instrument, exposes us to changes in market interest rates reflected in the fair value of the debt and to the risk that we may need to refinance maturing debt with new debt at higher rates.

We manage our debt portfolio to achieve an overall desired position of fixed and floating rates and may employ interest rate swaps as a tool to achieve that position. The major risks from interest rate derivatives include changes in the interest rates affecting the fair value of such instruments, potential increases in interest expense due to market increases in floating interest rates and the creditworthiness of the counterparties in such transactions.

Fiscal 2014

As of June 28, 2014, we had \$130.0 million of commercial paper outstanding. Total debt as of June 28, 2014 was \$2.8 billion, of which approximately 74% was at fixed rates of interest, including the impact of our interest rate swap agreement.

In August 2013, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement that effectively converted \$500.0 million of fixed rate debt maturing in fiscal 2018 (the fiscal 2018 swap) to floating rate debt. This transaction was entered into with the goal of reducing overall borrowing cost. The major risks from interest rate derivatives include changes in interest rates affecting the fair value of such instruments, potential increases in interest expense due to market increases in floating interest rates and the creditworthiness of the counterparties in such transactions. This transaction was designated as a fair value hedges since the swap hedges against the changes in fair value of fixed rate debt resulting from changes in interest rates. As of June 28, 2014, the fiscal 2018 swap was recognized as an asset within the

consolidated balance sheet at fair value within other assets of \$4.8 million. The fixed interest rate on the hedged debt is 5.25% and the floating interest rate on the swap is six-month LIBOR which resets every six months in arrears.

In January 2014, in contemplation of securing financing and hedging interest rate risk relating to our assumption or refinancing of US Foods Inc. net debt that will occur upon closing of the proposed merger (discussed in Note 4, "Acquisitions"), we entered into two forward starting swap agreements with notional amounts totaling of \$2.0 billion. We designated these derivatives as cash flow hedges of the variability in the cash outflows of interest payments on 10-year and 30-year debt expected to be issued in fiscal 2015. These forward starting swaps were recognized as a liability within the consolidated balance sheet at fair value within accrued expenses of \$133.5 million.

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

The following tables present our interest rate position as of June 28, 2014. All amounts are stated in United States (U.S.) dollar equivalents.

| Interest Rate Position as of June 28, 2014 | | | | | | | | |
|--|---------|-------|-------|---------|---------|------------|-----------|------------|
| Principal Amount by Expected Maturity | | | | | | | | |
| Average Interest Rate | | | | | | | | |
| | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value |
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| U.S. \$ | | | | | | | | |
| Denominated: | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed Rate | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Debt | 303,012 | 2,603 | 2,076 | 1,540 | 251,076 | 1,473,230 | 2,033,537 | |
| Average | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Interest Rate | 1.8 | 5.2 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.5 | 5.1 | 5.5 | |
| Floating Rate | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Debt (1) | 129,999 | - | - | 503,587 | - | - | 633,586 | |
| Average | % | | | % | | | % | % |
| Interest Rate | 0.2 | - | - | 3.7 | - | - | 2.9 | |
| Canadian \$ | | | | | | | | |
| Denominated: | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed Rate | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Debt | 1,716 | 1,723 | 1,368 | 1,351 | 1,410 | 13,862 | 21,430 | |
| Average | % | % | % | % | % | % | % | % |
| Interest Rate | 7.4 | 7.5 | 9.1 | 9.6 | 9.8 | 9.7 | 9.3 | |
| Euro € | | | | | | | | |
| Denominated: | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed Rate | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Debt | 49 | 342 | - | - | - | - | 391 | |
| Average | % | % | | | | | % | % |
| Interest Rate | 3.8 | 3.8 | - | - | - | - | 3.8 | |
| Floating Rate | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Debt | 70,975 | - | - | - | - | - | 70,975 | |
| Average | % | | | | | | % | % |
| Interest Rate | 1.0 | - | - | - | - | - | 1.0 | |

(1) Includes fixed rate debt that has been converted to floating rate debt through an interest rate swap agreement.

| Interest Rate Position as of June 28, 2014 | | | | | | | |
|--|------|------|------|------|------------|-------|------------|
| Notional Amount by Expected Maturity | | | | | | | |
| Average Interest Swap Rate | | | | | | | |
| 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value |

(Dollars in thousands)

Interest Rate Swaps

Related To Debt:

| | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------------|------|------|------------|----------|
| Pay Variable/Receive | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 500,000 | \$ 4,828 |
|----------------------|------|------|------|------------|------|------|------------|----------|

Fixed

Average Variable

Rate Paid:

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------|---|---|---|-----|---|---|---|-----|---|
| Rate A Plus | - | - | - | 3.2 | % | - | - | 3.2 | % |
| Fixed Rate Received | - | - | - | 5.3 | % | - | - | 5.3 | % |

Interest Rate Swaps

Related To

Forecasted

Debt Issuance:

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------|--------------|
| Pay Fixed/Receive | \$ 2,000,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 2,000,000 | \$ (133,466) |
|-------------------|--------------|------|------|------|------|------|--------------|--------------|

Variable

| | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| Fixed Rate Paid | Rate B | - | - | - | - | - | Rate B |
|-----------------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|

| | | | | | | | |
|------------------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|
| Average Variable | Rate C | - | - | - | - | - | Rate C |
|------------------|--------|---|---|---|---|---|--------|

Rate

Received

Rate A – six-month LIBOR

Rate B - Fixed rate on \$1.0 billion swap on 10-year forecasted debt expected to be issued in fiscal 2015 is 3.381%. Fixed rate on \$1.0 billion swap on 30-year forecasted debt expected to be issued in fiscal 2015 is 3.896%.

Rate C – three-month LIBOR

Fiscal 2013

As of June 29, 2013, we had \$95.5 million of commercial paper outstanding. Total debt as of June 29, 2013 was \$2.9 billion, of which approximately 88% was at fixed rates of interest, including the impact of our interest rate swap agreement.

In fiscal 2010, we entered into an interest rate swap agreement that effectively converted \$200 million of fixed rate debt maturing in fiscal 2014 (the fiscal 2014 swap) to floating rate debt. This transaction was entered into with the goal of reducing overall borrowing cost and was designated as a fair value hedge since the swap hedges against the changes in fair value of fixed rate debt resulting from changes in interest rates. As of June 29, 2013, the fiscal 2014 swap was recognized as an asset within the consolidated balance sheet at fair value within prepaid expenses and other current assets of \$3.0 million. The fixed interest rate on the hedged debt is 4.6% and the floating interest rate on the swap is three-month LIBOR which resets quarterly.

The following tables present our interest rate position as of June 29, 2013. All amounts are stated in U.S. dollar equivalents.

Interest Rate Position as of June 29, 2013

Principal Amount by Expected Maturity

Average Interest Rate

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value |
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------------|-------|------------|
|--|------|------|------|------|------|------------|-------|------------|

(Dollars in thousands)

U.S. \$

Denominated:

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|----------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Fixed Rate Debt | \$ 3,251 | \$ 301,632 | \$ 1,856 | \$ 1,149 | \$ 498,867 | \$ 1,719,270 | \$ 2,526,025 | \$ 2,838,162 |
|-----------------|----------|------------|----------|----------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| Average Interest Rate | 4.4% | 0.8% | 4.4% | 4.2% | 5.4% | 5.2% | 4.7% | |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|

| | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|------------|
| Floating Rate Debt (1) | \$ 297,690 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 297,690 | \$ 301,364 |
|------------------------|------------|------|------|------|------|------|------------|------------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|---|---|---|---|---|------|--|
| Average Interest Rate | 1.5% | - | - | - | - | - | 1.5% | |
|-----------------------|------|---|---|---|---|---|------|--|

Canadian \$

Denominated:

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Fixed Rate Debt | \$ 1,396 | \$ 1,520 | \$ 1,430 | \$ 1,345 | \$ 1,312 | \$ 15,410 | \$ 22,413 | \$ 25,183 |
|-----------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|

| | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|
| Average Interest Rate | 8.0% | 7.7% | 8.6% | 9.1% | 9.7% | 9.7% | 9.4% | |
|-----------------------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|--|

Euro €

Denominated:

| | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|--------|------|------|------|------|----------|----------|
| | \$ 464 | \$ 695 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 1,159 | \$ 1,302 |
|--|--------|--------|------|------|------|------|----------|----------|

| Fixed Rate Debt | Average Interest Rate | 3.8 | % | 3.8 | % | - | - | - | - | 3.8 | % | |
|-----------------------|--------------------------|-----------|---|------|---|------|---|------|---|-----------|---|-----------|
| Floating Rate Debt | Average Interest Rate | \$ 41,632 | | \$ - | | \$ - | | \$ - | | \$ 41,632 | | \$ 41,632 |
| | Average Interest Rate | 1.0 | % | - | % | - | % | - | % | 1.0 | % | |

(1) Includes fixed rate debt that has been converted to floating rate debt through interest rate swap agreements.

Interest Rate Position as of June 29, 2013
Notional Amount by Expected Maturity
Average Interest Swap Rate

| | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Value |
|---|------------|------|------|------|------|------------|------------|---------------|
| (Dollars in thousands) | | | | | | | | |
| Interest Rate Swaps Related To Debt: | | | | | | | | |
| Pay Variable/Receive Fixed | \$ 200,000 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 200,000 | \$ 2,988 |
| Average Variable Rate Paid: | | | | | | | | |
| Rate A Plus | 2.1 | % | - | - | - | - | 2.1 | % |
| Fixed Rate Received | 4.6 | % | - | - | - | - | 4.6 | % |

Rate A – three-month LIBOR

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

The majority of our foreign subsidiaries use their local currency as their functional currency. To the extent that business transactions are not denominated in a foreign subsidiary's functional currency, we are exposed to foreign currency exchange rate risk. We will also incur gains and losses within our shareholders' equity due to the translation of our financial statements from foreign currencies into U.S. dollars. Our income statement trends may be impacted by the translation of the income statements of our foreign subsidiaries into U.S. dollars. The exchange rates used to translate our foreign sales into U.S. dollars negatively impacted sales by 0.7% in fiscal 2014 when compared to fiscal 2013. The changes in the exchange rates used to translate our foreign sales into U.S. dollars did not have a significant impact on sales in fiscal 2013 when compared to fiscal 2012. The impact to our operating income, net earnings and earnings per share was not material in fiscal 2014 or fiscal 2013. A 10% unfavorable change in the fiscal 2014 weighted year-to-date exchange rate and the resulting impact on our financial statements would have negatively

impacted fiscal 2014 sales by 1.1% and would not have materially impacted our operating income, net earnings and earnings per share. We do not routinely enter into material agreements to hedge foreign currency exchange rate risks.

51

Fuel Price Risk

Due to the nature of our distribution business, we are exposed to potential volatility in fuel prices. The price and availability of diesel fuel fluctuates due to changes in production, seasonality and other market factors generally outside of our control. Increased fuel costs may have a negative impact on our results of operations in three areas. First, the high cost of fuel can negatively impact consumer confidence and discretionary spending and thus reduce the frequency and amount spent by consumers for food-away-from-home purchases. Second, the high cost of fuel can increase the price we pay for product purchases and we may not be able to pass these costs fully to our customers. Third, increased fuel costs impact the costs we incur to deliver product to our customers. During each of fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, fuel costs related to outbound deliveries represented approximately 0.7% of sales. Fuel costs, excluding any amounts recovered through fuel surcharges, incurred by Sysco increased by approximately \$16.5 million in fiscal 2014 from fiscal 2013 and by \$18.9 million in fiscal 2013 over fiscal 2012.

Our activities to mitigate fuel costs include reducing miles driven by our trucks through our routing optimization project, improving fleet utilization by adjusting idling time and maximum speeds and using fuel surcharges. We routinely enter into forward purchase commitments for a portion of our projected monthly diesel fuel requirements. As of June 28, 2014, we had forward diesel fuel commitments totaling approximately \$187 million through June 2015. These contracts will lock in the price of approximately 60% to 65% of our fuel purchase needs for the contracted periods at prices slightly lower than the current market price for diesel. Our remaining fuel purchase needs will occur at market rates unless contracted for a fixed price at a later date. Using current, published quarterly market price projections for diesel and estimates of fuel consumption, a 10% unfavorable change in diesel prices from the market price would result in a potential increase of \$20 million to \$30 million in our fuel costs.

Investment Risk

Our company-sponsored qualified pension plan (Retirement Plan) holds investments in public and private equity, fixed income securities and real estate funds. The amount of our annual contribution to the plan is dependent upon, among other things, the return on the plan's assets and discount rates used to calculate the plan's liability. Fluctuations in asset values can cause the amount of our anticipated future contributions to the plan to increase and can result in a reduction to shareholders' equity on our balance sheet as of fiscal year-end, which is when this plan's funded status is measured. Also, the projected liability of the plan will be impacted by the fluctuations of interest rates on high quality bonds in the public markets. To the extent the financial markets experience declines, our anticipated future contributions and funded status will be affected for future years. A 10% unfavorable change in the value of the investments held by our company-sponsored Retirement Plan at the plan's fiscal year end (December 31, 2013) would not have a material impact on our anticipated future contributions for fiscal 2015; however, this unfavorable change would increase our pension expense for fiscal 2015 by \$31.0 million and would reduce our shareholders' equity on our balance sheet as of June 28, 2014 by \$181.0 million.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

SYSCO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| Consolidated Financial Statements: | |
| Report of Management on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting | 54 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting | 55 |
| Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Consolidated Financial Statements | 56 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 57 |
| Consolidated Results of Operations | 58 |
| Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income | 59 |
| Changes in Consolidated Shareholders' Equity | 60 |
| Consolidated Cash Flows | 61 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 62 |

All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the information is set forth in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

REPORT OF MANAGEMENT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The management of Sysco Corporation (“Sysco”) is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the company. Sysco’s internal control system is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Sysco’s management assessed the effectiveness of Sysco’s internal control over financial reporting as of June 28, 2014. In making this assessment, it used the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control — Integrated Framework (1992). Based on this assessment, management concluded that, as of June 28, 2014, Sysco’s internal control over financial reporting was effective based on those criteria.

Ernst & Young LLP has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of Sysco’s internal control over financial reporting as of June 28, 2014.

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Sysco Corporation

We have audited Sysco Corporation (a Delaware Corporation) and subsidiaries' (the "Company") internal control over financial reporting as of June 28, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) (the COSO criteria). Sysco Corporation's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Report of Management on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) prove reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Sysco Corporation maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of June 28, 2014, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of the Company as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, and the related consolidated results of operations, statements of comprehensive income, shareholders' equity, and cash flow for each of the three years in the period ended June 28, 2014 of Sysco Corporation and subsidiaries and our report dated August 25, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Houston, Texas

August 25, 2014

55

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM
ON CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Sysco Corporation

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sysco Corporation (a Delaware Corporation) and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, and the related consolidated results of operations, statements of comprehensive income, changes in shareholders' equity and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 28, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of the Company at June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended June 28, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of June 28, 2014, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 framework) and our report dated August 25, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Houston, Texas

August 25, 2014

SYSCO

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| | (In thousands except for share data) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 413,046 | \$ 412,285 |
| Accounts and notes receivable, less allowances of \$49,902 and \$47,345 | 3,398,713 | 3,183,114 |
| Inventories | 2,602,018 | 2,396,188 |
| Deferred income taxes | 141,225 | 150,472 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 83,745 | 61,925 |
| Prepaid income taxes | 43,225 | 17,704 |
| Total current assets | 6,681,972 | 6,221,688 |
| Plant and equipment at cost, less depreciation | 3,985,618 | 3,978,071 |
| Other assets | | |
| Goodwill | 1,950,672 | 1,884,235 |
| Intangibles, less amortization | 177,227 | 205,719 |
| Restricted cash | 145,412 | 145,328 |
| Other assets | 227,049 | 243,167 |
| Total other assets | 2,500,360 | 2,478,449 |
| Total assets | \$ 13,167,950 | \$ 12,678,208 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities | | |
| Notes payable | \$ 70,975 | \$ 41,632 |
| Accounts payable | 2,831,028 | 2,428,215 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,160,850 | 985,476 |
| Current maturities of long-term debt | 304,777 | 207,301 |
| Total current liabilities | 4,367,630 | 3,662,624 |
| Other liabilities | | |
| Long-term debt | 2,384,167 | 2,639,986 |
| Deferred income taxes | 121,580 | 280,483 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 1,027,878 | 903,305 |
| Total other liabilities | 3,533,625 | 3,823,774 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock, par value \$1 per share | | |
| Authorized 1,500,000 shares, issued none | - | - |
| Common stock, par value \$1 per share | | |
| Authorized 2,000,000,000 shares, issued 765,174,900 shares | 765,175 | 765,175 |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|
| Paid-in capital | 1,139,218 | 1,059,624 |
| Retained earnings | 8,770,751 | 8,512,786 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (642,663) | (446,937) |
| Treasury stock, 179,050,186 and 179,068,430 shares, at cost | (4,765,786) | (4,698,838) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 5,266,695 | 5,191,810 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 13,167,950 | \$ 12,678,208 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

SYSCO

CONSOLIDATED RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

| | Year Ended | | |
|-------------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|
| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands except for share and per share data) | | |
| Sales | \$ 46,516,712 | \$ 44,411,233 | \$ 42,380,939 |
| Cost of sales | 38,335,677 | 36,414,626 | 34,601,665 |
| Gross profit | 8,181,035 | 7,996,607 | 7,779,274 |
| Operating expenses | 6,593,913 | 6,338,129 | 5,888,642 |
| Operating income | 1,587,122 | 1,658,478 | 1,890,632 |
| Interest expense | 123,741 | 128,495 | 113,396 |
| Other expense (income), net | (12,243) | (17,472) | (6,766) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 1,475,624 | 1,547,455 | 1,784,002 |
| Income taxes | 544,091 | 555,028 | 662,417 |
| Net earnings | \$ 931,533 | \$ 992,427 | \$ 1,121,585 |
| Net earnings: | | | |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.91 |
| Diluted earnings per share | 1.58 | 1.67 | 1.90 |
| Average shares outstanding | 585,988,084 | 589,397,807 | 587,726,343 |
| Diluted shares outstanding | 590,216,220 | 592,675,110 | 588,991,441 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$ 1.15 | \$ 1.11 | \$ 1.07 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

SYSCO

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | Year Ended | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 931,533 | \$ 992,427 | \$ 1,121,585 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income: | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | (3,106) | (33,191) | (81,003) |
| Items presented net of tax: | | | |
| Amortization of cash flow hedges | 385 | 386 | 426 |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedges | (82,215) | - | 445 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 6,970 | 11,310 | 3,093 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss (gain), net | 9,968 | 44,610 | 36,860 |
| Amortization of transition obligation | - | 88 | 93 |
| Prior service cost arising in current year | 214 | (33,203) | (5,363) |
| Actuarial (loss) gain, net arising in current year | (127,942) | 225,929 | (357,459) |
| Total other comprehensive (loss) income | (195,726) | 215,929 | (402,908) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 735,807 | \$ 1,208,356 | \$ 718,677 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

SYSCO

CHANGES IN CONSOLIDATED SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| | Common Stock | | Paid-in | Retained | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | Treasury Stock | | Totals |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|------------|
| | Shares | Amount | Capital | Earnings | Loss | Shares | Amounts | |
| (In thousands except for share data) | | | | | | | | |
| Balance as of July 2, 2011 | 765,174,900 | \$ 765,175 | \$ 887,754 | \$ 7,681,669 | \$ (259,958) | 173,597,346 | \$ (4,369,398) | \$ 4,705,2 |
| Net earnings | | | | 1,121,585 | | | | 1,121,5 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | (81,003) | | | (81,003) |
| Amortization of cash flow hedges, net of tax | | | | | 426 | | | 426 |
| Settlement of cash flow hedge, net of tax | | | | | 445 | | | 445 |
| Reclassification of pension and other postretirement benefit plans amounts to net earnings, net of tax | | | | | 40,046 | | | 40,046 |
| Pension funded status adjustment, net of tax | | | | | (362,822) | | | (362,82 |
| Dividends declared | | | | (628,024) | | | | (628,02 |
| Treasury stock purchases | | | | | | 10,000,000 | (272,299) | (272,29 |
| Share-based compensation awards | | | 51,425 | | | (4,368,963) | 110,019 | 161,44 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | 765,174,900 | \$ 765,175 | \$ 939,179 | \$ 8,175,230 | \$ (662,866) | 179,228,383 | \$ (4,531,678) | \$ 4,685,0 |
| Net earnings | | | | 992,427 | (33,191) | | | 992,427 |
| | | | | | | | | (33,191) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | | | | | |
| Amortization of cash flow hedges, net of tax | | | | | | 386 | | | 386 |
| Reclassification of pension and other postretirement benefit plans amounts to net earnings, net of tax | | | | | | 56,008 | | | 56,008 |
| Pension funded status adjustment, net of tax | | | | | | 192,726 | | | 192,726 |
| Dividends declared | | | | | (654,871) | | | | (654,871) |
| Treasury stock purchases | | | | | | | 21,897,403 | (729,333) | (729,333) |
| Share-based compensation awards | | | 120,445 | | | | (22,057,356) | 562,173 | 682,618 |
| Balance as of June 29, 2013 | 765,174,900 | \$ 765,175 | \$ 1,059,624 | \$ 8,512,786 | \$ (446,937) | 179,068,430 | \$ (4,698,838) | \$ | 5,191,833 |
| Net earnings | | | | 931,533 | | | | | 931,533 |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | | | | | | (3,106) | | | (3,106) |
| Amortization of cash flow hedges, net of tax | | | | | | 385 | | | 385 |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedges, net of tax | | | | | | (82,215) | | | (82,215) |
| Reclassification of pension and other postretirement benefit plans amounts to net earnings, net of tax | | | | | | 16,938 | | | 16,938 |
| | | | | | | (127,728) | | | (127,728) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|-------------|------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|----------------|--|--------------|
| Pension funded status adjustment, net of tax | | | | | | | | | |
| Dividends declared | | | | (673,568) | | | | | (673,568) |
| Treasury stock purchases | | | | | | 9,834,000 | (324,665) | | (324,665) |
| Share-based compensation awards | | | 79,594 | | | (9,852,244) | 257,717 | | 337,311 |
| Balance as of June 28, 2014 | 765,174,900 | \$ 765,175 | \$ 1,139,218 | \$ 8,770,751 | \$ (642,663) | 179,050,186 | \$ (4,765,786) | | \$ 5,266,666 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

SYSCO

CONSOLIDATED CASH FLOWS

| | Year Ended | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 | June 30, 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 931,533 | \$ 992,427 | \$ 1,121,585 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Share-based compensation expense | 74,328 | 70,147 | 70,319 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 556,062 | 512,548 | 416,943 |
| Deferred income taxes | (30,665) | (28,129) | (177,906) |
| Provision for losses on receivables | 34,429 | 35,243 | 33,359 |
| Other non-cash items | 2,875 | 2,485 | (958) |
| Additional investment in certain assets and liabilities, net of effect of businesses acquired: | | | |
| (Increase) in receivables | (236,320) | (193,755) | (106,834) |
| (Increase) in inventories | (195,845) | (180,277) | (99,218) |
| (Increase) decrease in prepaid expenses and other current assets | (24,787) | 21,704 | (6,478) |
| Increase in accounts payable | 392,720 | 204,861 | 32,893 |
| Increase in accrued expenses | 55,838 | 67,015 | 37,821 |
| (Decrease) increase in accrued income taxes | (18,672) | (38,017) | 71,251 |
| Decrease in other assets | 23,552 | 182 | 56,538 |
| (Decrease) increase in other long-term liabilities | (63,753) | 49,716 | (45,120) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation arrangements | (8,480) | (4,556) | (15) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 1,492,815 | 1,511,594 | 1,404,180 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Additions to plant and equipment | (523,206) | (511,862) | (784,501) |
| Proceeds from sales of plant and equipment | 25,790 | 15,527 | 8,185 |
| Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired | (79,338) | (397,447) | (110,601) |
| (Increase) in restricted cash | (84) | (18,100) | (16,712) |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (576,838) | (911,882) | (903,629) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Bank and commercial paper borrowings (repayments), net | 34,499 | 95,500 | (181,975) |
| Other debt borrowings | 36,830 | 61,467 | 744,597 |
| Other debt repayments | (229,507) | (294,514) | (205,638) |
| Debt issuance costs | (22,175) | - | (4,641) |
| Cash received from settlement of cash flow hedge | - | - | 722 |
| Proceeds from common stock reissued from treasury for share-based compensation awards | 255,613 | 628,652 | 99,439 |
| Treasury stock purchases | (332,381) | (721,616) | (272,299) |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|------------|
| Dividends paid | (667,217) | (648,253) | (622,869) |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based compensation arrangements | 8,480 | 4,556 | 15 |
| Net cash used for financing activities | (915,858) | (874,208) | (442,649) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash | 642 | (2,086) | (8,800) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 761 | (276,582) | 49,102 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 412,285 | 688,867 | 639,765 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 413,046 | \$ 412,285 | \$ 688,867 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | | |
| Interest | \$ 128,861 | \$ 131,665 | \$ 114,067 |
| Income taxes | 591,334 | 620,132 | 772,493 |

See Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Business and Consolidation

Sysco Corporation, acting through its subsidiaries and divisions, (Sysco or the company), is engaged in the marketing and distribution of a wide range of food and related products primarily to the foodservice or food-away-from-home industry. These services are performed for approximately 425,000 customers from 194 distribution facilities located throughout the United States (U.S.), Bahamas, Canada, Ireland and Northern Ireland.

Sysco's fiscal year ends on the Saturday nearest to June 30th. This resulted in a 52-week year ending June 28, 2014 for fiscal 2014, June 30, 2013 for fiscal 2013 and June 30, 2012 for fiscal 2012.

The accompanying financial statements include the accounts of Sysco and its consolidated subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and account balances have been eliminated.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, sales and expenses. Actual results could differ from the estimates used.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash includes cash equivalents such as time deposits, certificates of deposit, short-term investments and all highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less, which are recorded at fair value.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist primarily of trade receivables from customers and receivables from suppliers for marketing or incentive programs. Sysco determines the past due status of trade receivables based on contractual terms with each customer. Sysco evaluates the collectability of accounts receivable and determines the appropriate reserve for doubtful accounts based on a combination of factors. The company utilizes specific criteria to determine uncollectible receivables to be written off including whether a customer has filed for or been placed in bankruptcy, has had accounts referred to outside parties for collection or has had accounts past due over specified periods. Allowances are recorded for all other receivables based on an analysis of historical trends of write-offs and recoveries. In addition, in circumstances where the company is aware of a specific customer's inability to meet its financial obligation to Sysco, a specific allowance for doubtful accounts is recorded to reduce the receivable to the net amount reasonably expected to be collected.

Inventories

Inventories consisting primarily of finished goods include food and related products and lodging products held for resale and are valued at the lower of cost (first-in, first-out method) or market. Elements of costs include the purchase price of the product and freight charges to deliver the product to the company's warehouses and are net of certain cash or non-cash consideration received from vendors (see "Vendor Consideration").

Plant and Equipment

Capital additions, improvements and major replacements are classified as plant and equipment and are carried at cost. Depreciation is recorded using the straight-line method, which reduces the book value of each asset in equal amounts over its estimated useful life, and is included within operating expenses in the consolidated results of operations. Maintenance, repairs and minor replacements are charged to earnings when they are incurred. Upon the disposition of an asset, its accumulated depreciation is deducted from the original cost, and any gain or loss is reflected in current earnings.

Certain internal and external costs related to the acquisition and development of internal use software being built within our Business Transformation Project are capitalized within plant and equipment during the application development stages of the project. Amortization commenced in August 2012 on the majority of the software in the project when it entered the deployment stage. Costs continue to be capitalized for added software that is in the application development stage of the project.

Applicable interest charges incurred during the construction of new facilities and development of software for internal use are capitalized as one of the elements of cost and are amortized over the assets' estimated useful lives. Interest capitalized for the past three fiscal years was \$1.1 million in fiscal 2014, \$4.2 million in fiscal 2013 and \$20.8 million in fiscal 2012.

Long-Lived Assets

Management reviews long-lived assets for indicators of impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Cash flows expected to be generated by the related assets are estimated over the asset's useful life based on updated projections on an undiscounted basis. If the evaluation indicates that the carrying value of the asset may not be recoverable, the potential impairment is measured using fair value.

Goodwill and Intangibles

Goodwill and intangibles represent the excess of cost over the fair value of tangible net assets acquired. Goodwill and intangibles with indefinite lives are not amortized. Goodwill is assigned to the reporting units that are expected to benefit from the synergies of a business combination. The recoverability of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangibles is assessed annually, or more frequently as needed when events or changes have occurred that would suggest an impairment of carrying value, by determining whether the fair values of the applicable reporting units exceed their carrying values. The reporting units used to assess goodwill impairment are the company's 12 operating segments as described in Note 21, "Business Segment Information." The components within each of the 12 operating segments have similar economic characteristics and therefore are aggregated into 12 reporting units. The evaluation of fair value requires the use of projections, estimates and assumptions as to the future performance of the operations in performing a discounted cash flow analysis, as well as assumptions regarding sales and earnings multiples that would be applied in comparable acquisitions.

Intangibles with definite lives are amortized over their useful lives in a manner consistent with underlying cash flow, which generally ranges from two to ten years. Management reviews finite-lived intangibles for indicators of impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. Cash flows expected to be generated by the finite-lived intangibles are estimated over the intangible asset's useful life based on updated projections on an undiscounted basis. If the evaluation indicates that the carrying value of the finite-lived intangible asset may not be recoverable, the potential impairment is measured at fair value.

Restricted Cash

Sysco is required by its insurers to collateralize a part of the self-insured portion of its workers' compensation and liability claims. Sysco has chosen to satisfy these collateral requirements by depositing funds in insurance trusts or by issuing letters of credit. All amounts in restricted cash at June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013 represented funds deposited in insurance trusts.

Derivative Financial Instruments

All derivatives are recognized as assets or liabilities within the consolidated balance sheets at fair value at their gross values. Gains or losses on derivative financial instruments designated as fair value hedges are recognized immediately in the consolidated results of operations, along with the offsetting gain or loss related to the underlying hedged item.

Gains or losses on derivative financial instruments designated as cash flow hedges are recorded as a separate component of shareholders' equity from inception of the hedges to their settlement, at which time gains or losses are reclassified to the Consolidated Results of Operations in conjunction with the recognition of the underlying hedged item.

In the normal course of business, Sysco enters into forward purchase agreements for the procurement of fuel and electricity. Certain of these agreements meet the definition of a derivative. However, the company elected to use the normal purchase and sale exemption available under derivatives accounting literature; therefore, these agreements are not recorded at fair value.

Investments in Corporate-Owned Life Insurance

Investments in corporate-owned life insurance (COLI) policies are recorded at their cash surrender values as of each balance sheet date. Changes in the cash surrender value during the period are recorded as a gain or loss within operating expenses. The company does not record deferred tax balances related to cash surrender value gains or losses for the policies that Sysco has the intent to hold these policies to maturity. Deferred tax balances are recorded for those policies that Sysco intends to redeem prior to maturity. The total amounts related to the company's investments in COLI policies included in other assets in the consolidated balance sheets were \$161.9 million and \$159.3 million at June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, respectively.

Treasury Stock

The company records treasury stock purchases at cost. Shares removed from treasury are valued at cost using the average cost method.

Foreign Currency Translation

The assets and liabilities of all foreign subsidiaries are translated at current exchange rates. Related translation adjustments are recorded as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss).

Revenue Recognition

The company recognizes revenue from the sale of a product when it is considered to be realized or realizable and earned. The company determines these requirements to be met at the point at which the product is delivered to the customer. The company grants certain customers sales incentives such as rebates or discounts and treats these as a reduction of sales at the time the sale is recognized. Sales tax collected from customers is not included in revenue but rather recorded as a liability due to the respective taxing authorities. Purchases and sales of inventory with the same counterparty that are entered into in contemplation of one another are considered to be a single nonmonetary transaction. As such, the company records the net effect of such transactions in the consolidated results of operations within sales.

Vendor Consideration

Sysco recognizes consideration received from vendors when the services performed in connection with the monies received are completed and when the related product has been sold by Sysco as a reduction to cost of sales. There are several types of cash consideration received from vendors. In many instances, the vendor consideration is in the form of a specified amount per case or per pound. In these instances, Sysco will recognize the vendor consideration as a reduction of cost of sales when the product is sold. In the situations in which the vendor consideration is not related directly to specific product purchases, Sysco will recognize these as a reduction of cost of sales when the earnings process is complete, the related service is performed and the amounts are realized.

Shipping and Handling Costs

Shipping and handling costs include costs associated with the selection of products and delivery to customers. Included in operating expenses are shipping and handling costs of approximately \$2,612.4 million in fiscal 2014, \$2,539.6 million in fiscal 2013 and \$2,396.2 million in fiscal 2012.

Insurance Program

Sysco maintains a self-insurance program covering portions of workers' compensation, general and vehicle liability and property insurance costs. The amounts in excess of the self-insured levels are fully insured by third party insurers. The company also maintains a fully self-insured group medical program. Liabilities associated with these risks are estimated in part by considering historical claims experience, medical cost trends, demographic factors, severity factors and other actuarial assumptions.

Share-Based Compensation

Sysco recognizes expense for its share-based compensation based on the fair value of the awards that are granted. The fair value of stock options is estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. Option pricing methods require the input of highly subjective assumptions, including the expected stock price volatility. The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards are based on the company's stock price on the date of grant. Measured compensation cost is recognized ratably over the vesting period of the related share-based compensation award. Cash flows resulting from tax deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) are classified as financing cash flows on the consolidated cash flows statements.

Income Taxes

Sysco recognizes deferred tax assets and liabilities based on the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured pursuant to tax laws using rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The impact on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rate is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. Valuation allowances are established when necessary to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount more likely than not to be realized.

Sysco recognizes a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that has greater than a 50% likelihood of being realized upon settlement. To the extent interest and penalties may be assessed by taxing authorities on any underpayment of income tax, estimated amounts required by the accounting guidance related to uncertain tax positions have been accrued and are classified as a component of income taxes in the consolidated results of operations.

The determination of the company's provision for income taxes requires significant judgment, the use of estimates and the interpretation and application of complex tax laws. The company's provision for income taxes primarily reflects a combination of income earned and taxed in the various U.S. federal and state, as well as various foreign jurisdictions. Jurisdictional tax law changes, increases or decreases in permanent differences between book and tax items, accruals or adjustments of accruals for tax contingencies or valuation allowances, and the company's change in the mix of earnings from these taxing jurisdictions all affect the overall effective tax rate.

Acquisitions

Acquisitions of businesses are accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, and the financial statements include the results of the acquired operations from the respective dates of acquisition.

The purchase price of the acquired entities is allocated to the net assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on the estimated fair value at the dates of acquisition, with any excess of cost over the fair value of net assets acquired, including intangibles, recognized as goodwill. The balances included in the consolidated balance sheets related to recent acquisitions are based upon preliminary information and are subject to change when final asset and liability valuations are obtained. Subsequent changes to the preliminary balances are reflected retrospectively, if material. Material changes to the preliminary allocations are not anticipated by management.

Reclassifications

Prior year amounts within the consolidated balance sheets and consolidated cash flows have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation as it relates to the presentation of certain accounts payable, accrued expenses, deferred taxes and other long-term liabilities balances within these statements. Prior year amounts within the consolidated results of operations have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation as it relates to the classification of certain amounts within cost of sales and operating expenses within this statement. The impact of these reclassifications was immaterial to all periods presented.

2. CHANGES IN ACCOUNTING

Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment

In July 2012, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2012-02, "Testing Indefinite-Lived Intangible Assets for Impairment." This update amends Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) 350, "Intangibles—Goodwill and Other" to allow entities an option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is necessary to perform the quantitative impairment test. Under that option, an entity no longer would be required to calculate the fair value of the intangible asset unless the entity determines, based on that qualitative assessment, that it is more likely than not that its fair value is less than its carrying amount. The amendments in this update were effective for annual and interim impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2012, which was fiscal 2014 for Sysco. The adoption of this update in the first quarter of fiscal 2014 did not result in a change to the company's interim consideration of impairment of indefinite-lived intangible assets. This update did not have an impact on the company's annual testing for impairment of indefinite-lived intangibles in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014.

Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-02, "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income." This update amends ASC 220, "Comprehensive Income" to require an entity to report the effect of significant reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the respective line items in net earnings if the amount is being reclassified in its entirety to net earnings. For other amounts that are not being reclassified in their entirety to net earnings, an entity is required to cross-reference other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts. The amendments in this update were effective prospectively for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2012. The additional disclosures required by this update are included in Note 17, "Comprehensive Income."

3. NEW ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists

In July 2013, the FASB issued ASU 2013-11, "Presentation of an Unrecognized Tax Benefit When a Net Operating Loss Carryforward, a Similar Tax Loss, or a Tax Credit Carryforward Exists." This update amends ASC 740, "Income Taxes," to require that in certain cases, an unrecognized tax benefit, or portion of an unrecognized tax benefit, should be presented in the financial statements as a reduction to a deferred tax asset for a net operating loss carryforward, a similar tax loss, or a tax credit carryforward

when such items exist in the same taxing jurisdiction. The amendments in this update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2013, which is fiscal 2015 for Sysco. Early adoption is permitted. The amendments should be applied prospectively to all unrecognized tax benefits that exist at the effective date, and retrospective application is permitted. Sysco is currently evaluating the impact this update will have on its financial statements.

Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, "Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity." This update amends ASC 205, "Presentation of Financial Statements," and ASC 360, "Property, Plant, and Equipment," primarily to change the criteria for when a disposal is required to be reported as a discontinued operation. A disposal of a component of an entity or a group of components of an entity is required to be reported in discontinued operations if the disposal represents a strategic shift that has or will have a major effect on the entity's operations or financial results. The amendments in this update specify presentation and disclosure requirements for discontinued operations as well as disclosure requirements for other disposals that do not qualify as discontinued operations. The amendments in this update are effective for all disposals or classifications as held for sale, including upon acquisition, of a component of an entity that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014 and interim periods within those years, which is fiscal 2016 for Sysco. Early adoption is permitted. Sysco is currently evaluating the impact this update will have on its financial statements.

Revenue from Contracts with Customers

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers." This update creates ASC 606, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers," and supercedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC 605, "Revenue Recognition." Additionally, other sections of the ASC were amended to be consistent with the guidance in this update. The core principle of ASC 606 is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods and services. A five-step revenue recognition model is to be applied to achieve this core principle. ASC 606 also specifies comprehensive disclosures to help users of financial statements understand the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue that is recognized. The amendments in this update are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period, which is fiscal 2018 for Sysco. Early adoption is not permitted. Sysco is currently evaluating the impact this update will have on its financial statements.

4. ACQUISITIONS

During fiscal 2014, in the aggregate, the company paid cash of \$79.3 million, net of cash acquired, for operations acquired during fiscal 2014 and for contingent consideration related to operations acquired in previous fiscal years. During fiscal 2014, Sysco acquired for cash operations in Meridian, Idaho; Landover, Maryland; St. Louis, Missouri; Cleveland, Ohio and Philadelphia, Pennsylvania. The fiscal 2014 acquisitions were immaterial, individually and in the aggregate, to the consolidated financial statements.

Certain acquisitions involve contingent consideration typically payable over periods up to three years only in the event that certain outstanding contingencies are resolved. As of June 28, 2014, aggregate contingent consideration amounts outstanding relating to acquisitions was \$70.6 million, of which \$55.2 million was recorded as earnout liabilities as of June 28, 2014.

In the second quarter of fiscal 2014, the company announced an agreement to merge with US Foods, Inc. (US Foods). US Foods is a leading foodservice distributor in the U.S. that markets and distributes fresh, frozen and dry food and non-food products to more than 200,000 foodservice customers including independently owned single location restaurants, regional and national chain restaurants, healthcare and educational institutions, hotels and motels, government and military organizations and retail locations. Following completion of the proposed merger, the combined company will continue to be named Sysco and headquartered in Houston, Texas.

As of the time the merger agreement was announced in December 2013, Sysco agreed to pay approximately \$3.5 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprised of \$3 billion of Sysco common stock and \$500 million of cash. As part of the transaction, Sysco will also assume or refinance US Foods' net debt, which was approximately \$4.7 billion as of September 28, 2013, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.2 billion at the time of the merger announcement. As of August 13, 2014, the merger consideration is estimated as follows: approximately \$3.7 billion for the equity of US Foods, comprised of \$3.2 billion of Sysco common stock valued using the seven day average through August 13, 2014, and \$500 million of cash. US Foods' net debt to be assumed or refinanced was approximately \$4.8 billion as of June 28, 2014, bringing the total enterprise value to \$8.5 billion as of August 13, 2014. The value of Sysco's common stock and the amount of US Foods' net debt will fluctuate. As such, the components of the transaction and total enterprise value noted above will not be finalized until the merger is consummated.

Sysco has secured a fully committed bridge financing that could be used for funding a portion of the purchase price. After completion of the transaction, the equity holders of US Foods will own approximately 87 million shares, or roughly 13% of Sysco. A

representative from each of US Foods' two majority shareholders will join Sysco's Board of Directors upon closing. This merger is currently pending a regulatory review process by the Federal Trade Commission. The company estimates the merger will close by the end of the third quarter or in the fourth quarter of calendar 2014. Under certain conditions, including lack of regulatory approval, Sysco would be obligated to pay \$300 million to the owners of US Foods if the merger were cancelled.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (i.e., an exit price). The accounting guidance includes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- Level 1 – Unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Level 2 – Inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities that are observable either directly or indirectly for substantially the full term of the asset or liability; and
- Level 3 – Unobservable inputs for the asset or liability, which include management's own assumption about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, including assumptions about risk.

Sysco's policy is to invest in only high-quality investments. Cash equivalents primarily include time deposits, certificates of deposit, commercial paper, high-quality money market funds and all highly liquid instruments with original maturities of three months or less. Restricted cash consists of investments in high-quality money market funds.

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets measured at fair value.

- Time deposits and commercial paper included in cash equivalents are valued at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. These are included within cash equivalents as a Level 2 measurement in the tables below.
- Money market funds are valued at the closing price reported by the fund sponsor from an actively traded exchange. These are included within cash equivalents and restricted cash as Level 1 measurements in the tables below.
- The interest rate swap agreements, discussed further in Note 9, "Derivative Financial Instruments," are valued using a swap valuation model that utilizes an income approach using observable market inputs including interest rates, LIBOR swap rates and credit default swap rates. These are included within prepaid expenses and other current assets, other assets and accrued expenses as Level 2 measurements in the tables below.

The following tables present the company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013:

| | Assets and Liabilities Measured at Fair Value as of June 28, 2014 | | | |
|---------------------------------|--|------------|-------|------------|
| | | | Level | |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | 3 | Total |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 2,770 | \$ 131,966 | \$ - | \$ 134,736 |
| Restricted cash | 145,412 | - | - | 145,412 |
| Other assets | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreement | - | 4,828 | - | 4,828 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 148,182 | \$ 136,794 | \$ - | \$ 284,976 |
| Liabilities: | | | | |
| Accrued expenses | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreement | \$ - | \$ 133,466 | \$ - | \$ 133,466 |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ - | \$ 133,466 | \$ - | \$ 133,466 |

| | Assets Measured at Fair Value as of June 29, 2013 | | | |
|---|---|------------|------|------------|
| | Level | | | Total |
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | 3 | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 1,160 | \$ 132,731 | \$ - | \$ 133,891 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreement | - | 2,988 | - | 2,988 |
| Restricted cash | 145,328 | - | - | 145,328 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 146,488 | \$ 135,719 | \$ - | \$ 282,207 |

The carrying values of accounts receivable and accounts payable approximated their respective fair values due to the short-term maturities of these instruments. The fair value of Sysco's total debt is estimated based on the quoted market prices for the same or similar issue or on the current rates offered to the company for debt of the same remaining maturities and is considered a Level 2 measurement. The fair value of total debt approximated \$3,023.1 million and \$3,207.6 million as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, respectively. The carrying value of total debt was \$2,759.9 million and \$2,888.9 million as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, respectively.

6. ALLOWANCE FOR DOUBTFUL ACCOUNTS

A summary of the activity in the allowance for doubtful accounts appears below:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 47,345 | \$ 42,919 | \$ 42,436 |
| Charged to costs and expenses | 34,429 | 35,243 | 33,359 |
| Customer accounts written off, net of recoveries | (31,721) | (30,824) | (32,318) |
| Other adjustments | (151) | 7 | (558) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 49,902 | \$ 47,345 | \$ 42,919 |

7. PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

A summary of plant and equipment, including the related accumulated depreciation, appears below:

| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 | Estimated Useful Lives |
|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------|------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Plant and equipment, at cost: | | | |
| Land | \$ 431,694 | \$ 411,853 | |
| Buildings and improvements | 3,816,387 | 3,656,846 | 10-30 years |
| Fleet and equipment | 2,726,415 | 2,633,497 | 3-10 years |
| Computer hardware and software | 1,109,379 | 1,054,260 | 3-7 years |
| | 8,083,875 | 7,756,456 | |
| Accumulated depreciation | (4,098,257) | (3,778,385) | |
| Net plant and equipment | \$ 3,985,618 | \$ 3,978,071 | |

The capitalized direct costs for the internal use software portion of the company's Business Transformation Project are included within "computer hardware and software" in the table above in the amount of \$355.2 million and \$417.7 million, net of accumulated amortization, as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, respectively. The majority of this internal use software related to the Business Transformation Project was placed into service and began amortization in August of fiscal 2013.

Depreciation expense, including capital leases, for the past three years was \$493.8 million in 2014, \$473.5 million in 2013 and \$384.9 million in 2012.

8. GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLES

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill and the amount allocated by reportable segment for the years presented are as follows:

| | Broadline | SYGMA | Other | Total |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|------------|--------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Carrying amount as of June 30, 2012 | \$ 1,220,112 | \$ 32,609 | \$ 412,890 | \$ 1,665,611 |
| Goodwill acquired during year | 203,393 | - | 24,005 | 227,398 |
| Currency translation/other | (8,663) | - | (111) | (8,774) |
| Carrying amount as of June 29, 2013 | 1,414,842 | 32,609 | 436,784 | 1,884,235 |
| Goodwill acquired during year | 49,608 | - | 13,225 | 62,833 |
| Currency translation/other | 3,649 | - | (45) | 3,604 |
| Carrying amount as of June 28, 2014 | \$ 1,468,099 | \$ 32,609 | \$ 449,964 | \$ 1,950,672 |

Amortizable intangible assets acquired during fiscal 2014 were \$12.7 million with a weighted-average amortization period of six years. By intangible asset category, the amortizable intangible assets acquired during fiscal 2014 were customer relationships of \$5.3 million with a weighted-average amortization period of seven years, non-compete agreements of \$3.5 million with a weighted-average amortization period of five years and other intangibles of \$3.9 million with a weighted-average amortization period of five years.

The following table presents details of the company's amortizable intangible assets:

| | June 28, 2014 | | | June 29, 2013 | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 246,019 | \$ (124,223) | \$ 121,796 | \$ 274,410 | \$ (125,250) | \$ 149,160 |
| Non-compete agreements | 33,164 | (10,629) | 22,535 | 29,460 | (4,655) | 24,805 |
| Trademarks | 12,063 | (3,200) | 8,863 | 11,618 | (1,580) | 10,038 |
| Other | 13,498 | (2,070) | 11,428 | 9,556 | (159) | 9,397 |
| Total amortizable intangible assets | \$ 304,744 | \$ (140,122) | \$ 164,622 | \$ 325,044 | \$ (131,644) | \$ 193,400 |

Intangible assets that have been fully amortized have been removed in the schedule above in the period full amortization is reached.

The following table presents details of the company's indefinite-lived intangible assets:

| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Trademarks | \$ 11,639 | \$ 11,353 |
| Licenses | 966 | 966 |
| Total indefinite-lived intangible assets | \$ 12,605 | \$ 12,319 |

Amortization expense for the past three years was \$42.2 million in 2014, \$32.1 million in 2013 and \$24.9 million in 2012. The estimated future amortization expense for the next five fiscal years on intangible assets outstanding as of June 28, 2014 is shown below:

| | Amount (In thousands) |
|------|-----------------------------|
| 2015 | \$ 39,724 |
| 2016 | 31,729 |
| 2017 | 27,105 |
| 2018 | 23,914 |
| 2019 | 14,114 |

9. DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

Sysco manages its debt portfolio to achieve an overall desired position of fixed and floating rates and may employ interest rate swaps from time to time to achieve this position. The company does not use derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

In fiscal 2010, the company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that effectively converted \$200.0 million of fixed rate debt maturing in fiscal 2014 to floating rate debt; this swap was settled upon maturity of the senior notes in March 2014. In addition, in August 2013, the company entered into an interest rate swap agreement that effectively converted \$500.0 million of fixed rate debt maturing in fiscal 2018 to floating rate debt. These transactions were entered into with the goal of reducing overall borrowing cost and increasing floating interest rate exposure. These transactions were designated as fair value hedges against the changes in fair value of fixed rate debt resulting from changes in interest rates.

In May 2012, the company entered into a treasury lock agreement with a notional amount of \$200.0 million. The company designated this derivative as a cash flow hedge of the variability in the cash outflows of interest payments on a portion of the then forecasted June 2012 debt issuance due to changes in the benchmark interest rate. In June 2012, in conjunction with the issuance of the \$450.0 million senior notes maturing in fiscal 2022, the company settled the treasury lock, locking in the effective yields on the related debt. Upon settlement, the company received cash of \$0.7 million, which represented the fair value of the swap agreement at the time of settlement. This amount is being amortized as an offset to interest expense over the 10-year term of the debt, and the unamortized balance is reflected as a gain, net of tax, in accumulated other comprehensive loss.

In January 2014, the company entered into two forward starting swap agreements with notional amounts totaling \$2.0 billion. The company designated these derivatives as cash flow hedges of the variability in the expected cash outflows of interest payments on 10-year and 30-year debt due to changes in the benchmark interest rates for debt the company expects to issue in fiscal 2015. Prior to issuance of the debt, the effective portion of gains and losses on these cash flow hedges is recorded to Other comprehensive income (loss). Once the interest rate swap agreements are settled upon issuance of the debt, the cumulative gain or loss recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income will be amortized through interest expense over the term of the issued debt.

The location and the fair value of derivative instruments in the consolidated balance sheet as of each fiscal year-end are as follows:

| Asset Derivatives | Fair | Liability Derivatives | |
|------------------------|-------|------------------------|------------|
| Balance Sheet Location | Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

(In thousands)

Interest rate swap agreements:

| | | | | |
|---------------|---|----------|------------------|------------|
| June 28, 2014 | Other assets | \$ 4,828 | Accrued expenses | \$ 133,466 |
| June 29, 2013 | Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 2,988 | N/A | N/A |

The location and effect of derivative instruments and related hedged items on consolidated comprehensive income for each fiscal year presented on a pre-tax basis are as follows:

| | Location of (Gain) or Loss Recognized in Comprehensive Income | Amount of (Gain) or Loss Recognized in Comprehensive Income | | |
|---------------------------------|---|---|------------|------------|
| | | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Fair Value Hedge Relationships: | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | Interest expense | \$ (10,879) | \$ (4,492) | \$ (7,900) |
| Cash Flow Hedge Relationships: | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | Other comprehensive income | 133,466 | N/A | N/A |
| Treasury lock agreement | Other comprehensive income | N/A | N/A | (722) |
| Interest rate contracts | Interest expense | 625 | 626 | 692 |

70

Hedge ineffectiveness represents the difference between the changes in the fair value of the derivative instruments and the changes in fair value of the fixed rate debt attributable to changes in the benchmark interest rate. Hedge ineffectiveness is recorded directly in earnings within interest expense and was immaterial for fiscal 2014, fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012. The interest rate swaps do not contain credit-risk-related contingent features.

10. SELF-INSURED LIABILITIES

Sysco maintains a self-insurance program covering portions of workers' compensation, general and vehicle liability and property insurance costs. The amounts in excess of the self-insured levels are fully insured by third party insurers. The company also maintains a fully self-insured group medical program. A summary of the activity in self-insured liabilities appears below:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 147,598 | \$ 129,749 | \$ 129,671 |
| Charged to costs and expenses | 375,267 | 352,374 | 318,828 |
| Payments | (328,389) | (334,525) | (318,750) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 194,476 | \$ 147,598 | \$ 129,749 |

11. DEBT AND OTHER FINANCING ARRANGEMENTS

Sysco's debt consists of the following:

| | | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Multicurrency revolving credit facility borrowings, interest averaging 1.0% as of | \$ 70,975 | \$ 41,632 |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

June 28, 2014 and 1.0% as of June 29, 2013

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Commercial paper, interest averaging 0.2% as of June 28, 2014 and 0.1% as of June 29, 2013 | 129,999 | 95,500 |
| Senior notes, interest at 4.6%, maturing in fiscal 2014 | - | 202,190 |
| Senior notes, interest at 0.55%, maturing in fiscal 2015 | 299,354 | 298,669 |
| Senior notes, interest at 5.25%, maturing in fiscal 2018 | 503,587 | 498,414 |
| Senior notes, interest at 5.375%, maturing in fiscal 2019 | 249,200 | 249,031 |
| Senior notes, interest at 2.6%, maturing in fiscal 2022 | 445,417 | 444,844 |
| Debentures, interest at 7.16%, maturing in fiscal 2027 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Debentures, interest at 6.5%, maturing in fiscal 2029 | 224,665 | 224,641 |
| Senior notes, interest at 5.375%, maturing in fiscal 2036 | 499,684 | 499,669 |
| Senior notes, interest at 6.625%, maturing in fiscal 2039 | 246,006 | 245,845 |
| Capital leases and other debt, interest averaging 7.5% and maturing at various dates to fiscal 2029 as of June 28, 2014 and 7.4% and maturing at various dates to fiscal 2029 as of June 29, 2013 | 41,032 | 38,484 |
| Total debt | 2,759,919 | 2,888,919 |
| Less current maturities of long-term debt | (304,777) | (207,301) |
| Less notes payable | (70,975) | (41,632) |
| Net long-term debt | \$ 2,384,167 | \$ 2,639,986 |

As of June 28, 2014, the principal payments required to be made during the next five fiscal years on long-term debt, excluding notes payable and commercial paper, are shown below:

| | Amount (In thousands) |
|------|-----------------------------|
| 2015 | \$ 304,777 |
| 2016 | 4,669 |
| 2017 | 3,444 |
| 2018 | 506,478 |
| 2019 | 252,486 |

Short-term Borrowings

As of June 28, 2014, Sysco had uncommitted bank lines of credit, which provided for unsecured borrowings for working capital of up to \$95.0 million. There were no borrowings outstanding under these lines of credit as of June 28, 2014 or June 29, 2013, respectively.

The company's Irish subsidiary, Pallas Foods, has a multicurrency revolving credit facility, which provides for capital needs for the company's European subsidiaries. In September 2013, this facility was extended and increased to €100.0 million (Euro). This facility provides for unsecured borrowings and expires September 24, 2014, but is subject to extension. Outstanding borrowings under this facility were €52.0 million (Euro) and €32.0 million (Euro) as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, respectively, located within Notes payable on the consolidated balance sheet.

On June 30, 2011, a Canadian subsidiary of Sysco entered into a short-term demand loan facility for the purpose of facilitating a distribution from the Canadian subsidiary to Sysco, and Sysco concurrently entered into an agreement with the bank to guarantee the loan. As of July 2, 2011, the amount outstanding under the facility was \$182.0 million. The interest rate under the facility was 2.0% and payable on the due date. The loan was repaid in full on July 4, 2011.

Commercial Paper and Revolving Credit Facility

Sysco has a Board-approved commercial paper program allowing the company to issue short-term unsecured notes in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$1,300.0 million.

Sysco and one of its subsidiaries, Sysco International, ULC, have a revolving credit facility supporting the company's U.S. and Canadian commercial paper programs. The facility provides for borrowings in both U.S. and Canadian dollars. Borrowings by Sysco International, ULC under the agreement are guaranteed by Sysco, and borrowings by Sysco and Sysco International, ULC under the credit agreement are guaranteed by the wholly-owned subsidiaries of Sysco that are guarantors of the company's senior notes and debentures. In January 2014, Sysco and Sysco International, ULC, extended and increased the size of the revolving credit facility described above that supports the company's U.S. and Canadian commercial paper programs. The facility was increased to \$1.5 billion with an expiration date of December 29, 2018, but is subject to further extension. The other terms and conditions of the extended facility are substantially the same. Commercial paper issuances outstanding were \$130.0 million and \$95.5 million as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, respectively, and were classified as long-term debt, as the company's commercial paper programs are supported by the long-term revolving credit facility described above.

During fiscal 2014, 2013, and 2012, aggregate outstanding commercial paper issuances and short-term bank borrowings ranged from approximately zero to \$770.5 million, zero to \$330.0 million, and zero to \$563.1 million,

respectively.

Bridge Facility

In December 2013, Sysco secured a commitment for an unsecured bridge facility in the amount of \$3.3865 billion in connection with its proposed merger with US Foods (discussed further in Note 4, Acquisitions). In January 2014, this bridge facility commitment was replaced with a \$3.3865 billion bridge term loan agreement with multiple lenders. Sysco may borrow up to \$3.3865 billion in term loans on the closing date of the US Foods acquisition to fund the acquisition, refinance certain indebtedness of US Foods and pay related fees and expenses. The facility expires on March 8, 2015, but is subject to extension if regulatory approvals have not yet been obtained. Borrowings under the bridge term loan agreement are guaranteed by the same subsidiaries of Sysco that guarantee the company's revolving credit facility, and in certain circumstances, may also be guaranteed by US Foods after closing of the merger.

Fixed Rate Debt

In February 2012, Sysco filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) an automatically effective well-known seasoned issuer shelf registration statement for the issuance of an indeterminate amount of common stock, preferred stock, debt securities and guarantees of debt securities that may be issued from time to time.

In June 2012, Sysco repaid the 6.1% senior notes totaling \$200.0 million at maturity utilizing a combination of cash flow from operations and commercial paper issuances.

In June 2012, Sysco issued 0.55% senior notes totaling \$300.0 million due June 12, 2015 (the 2015 notes) and 2.6% senior notes totaling \$450.0 million due June 12, 2022 (the 2022 notes) under its February 2012 shelf registration. The 2015 and 2022 notes, which were priced at 99.319% and 98.722% of par, respectively, are unsecured, are not subject to any sinking fund requirement and include a redemption provision which allows Sysco to retire the notes at any time prior to maturity at the greater of par plus accrued interest or an amount designed to ensure that the note holders are not penalized by early redemption. Proceeds from the notes will be utilized over a period of time for general corporate purposes, which may include acquisitions, refinancing of debt, working capital, share repurchases and capital expenditures.

In February 2013, Sysco repaid the 4.2% senior notes totaling \$250.0 million at maturity utilizing a combination of cash flow from operations and cash on hand.

In March 2014, Sysco repaid the 4.6% senior notes totaling \$200.0 million at maturity utilizing a combination of cash flow from operations and commercial paper issuances.

The 5.25% senior notes due February 12, 2018, the 5.375% senior notes due March 17, 2019, the 6.5% debentures due August 1, 2028, the 5.375% senior notes due September 21, 2035 and the 6.625% senior notes due March 17, 2039 are unsecured, are not subject to any sinking fund requirement and include a redemption provision that allows Sysco to retire the debentures and notes at any time prior to maturity at the greater of par plus accrued interest or an amount designed to ensure that the debenture and note holders are not penalized by the early redemption.

The 7.16% debentures due April 15, 2027 are unsecured, are not subject to any sinking fund requirement and are no longer redeemable prior to maturity.

Total Debt

Total debt as of June 28, 2014 was \$2,759.9 million, of which approximately 74% was at fixed rates with a weighted average of 4.6% and an average life of 13 years, and the remainder was at floating rates with a weighted average of 2.7% and an average life of three years. Certain loan agreements contain typical debt covenants to protect note holders, including provisions to maintain the company's long-term debt to total capital ratio below a specified level. Sysco is currently in compliance with all debt covenants.

Other

As of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, letters of credit outstanding were \$45.7 million and \$42.2 million, respectively.

12. LEASES

Sysco has obligations under capital and operating leases for certain distribution facilities, vehicles and computers. Total rental expense under operating leases was \$92.3 million, \$84.4 million, and \$83.0 million in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Contingent rentals, subleases and assets and obligations under capital leases are not significant.

Aggregate minimum lease payments by fiscal year under existing long-term operating leases are as follows:

| | Amount (In thousands) |
|------------|-----------------------------|
| 2015 | \$ 43,065 |
| 2016 | 32,890 |
| 2017 | 24,070 |
| 2018 | 15,055 |
| 2019 | 10,674 |
| Thereafter | 36,084 |

13. OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES

The following table presents details of the company's other long-term liabilities:

| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
|--|------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Qualified pension plan | \$ 270,189 | \$ 136,808 |
| Supplemental executive retirement plan | 438,288 | 409,024 |
| Other | 319,401 | 357,473 |
| Total | \$ 1,027,878 | \$ 903,305 |

14. COMPANY-SPONSORED EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Sysco has company-sponsored defined benefit and defined contribution retirement plans for its employees. Also, the company provides certain health care benefits to eligible retirees and their dependents.

Defined Contribution Plans

In December 2012, the company amended its defined contribution 401(k) Plan to be a Safe Harbor plan, a plan that treats all employees' benefits equally within the plan, under Sections 401(k) and 401(m) of the Internal Revenue Code with respect to non-union employees and those union employees whose unions adopted the Safe Harbor Plan provisions. Effective January 1, 2013, the new Safe Harbor Plan provides that the company will make a non-elective contribution each pay period equal to 3% of a participant's compensation. Additionally, the company will make matching contributions of 50% of a participant's pre-tax contribution on the first 5% of the participant's compensation contributed by the participant. Certain employees are also eligible for a transition contribution, and the company may also make discretionary contributions. For union employees who are members of unions that did not adopt the Safe Harbor Plan provisions, the plan provides that under certain circumstances the company may make matching contributions of up to 50% of the first 6% of a participant's compensation.

Prior to the adoption of the Safe Harbor Plan in January 2013, the company's defined contribution 401(k) plan provided that, under certain circumstances, the company may make matching contributions of up to 50% of the first 6% of a participant's compensation.

The company also has a nonqualified, unfunded Management Savings Plan (MSP) available to key management personnel who are participants in the Management Incentive Plan. Participants may defer up to 50% of their annual salary and up to 100% of their annual bonus. The company will make a non-elective contribution each pay period equal to 3% of a participant's compensation. Additionally, the company will make matching contributions of 50% of a participant's pre-tax contribution on the first 5% of the participant's eligible compensation that is deferred. Certain employees are also eligible for a transition contribution, and the company may also make discretionary contributions. All company contributions to the MSP are limited by the amounts contributed by the company to the participant's 401(k) account.

Sysco's expense related to its defined contribution plans was \$118.6 million in fiscal 2014, \$65.3 million in fiscal 2013, and \$17.2 million in fiscal 2012.

Defined Benefit Plans

Sysco maintains a qualified pension plan (Retirement Plan) that pays benefits to employees at retirement, using formulas based on a participant's years of service and compensation. At the end of fiscal 2012, Sysco approved a plan to freeze future benefit accruals under the Retirement Plan as of December 31, 2012 for all U.S.-based salaried and non-union hourly employees. Effective January 1, 2013, these employees were eligible for additional contributions under the company's defined contribution 401(k) plan.

In addition to receiving benefits upon retirement under the company's Retirement Plan, key management personnel who are participants in the Management Incentive Plan will receive benefits under a Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP). This plan is a nonqualified, unfunded supplementary retirement plan. In November 2012, Sysco approved a plan to restructure its executive nonqualified retirement program including the SERP. Future benefit accruals have been frozen under this plan as of June 29, 2013, for all participants.

Also, the company provides certain health care benefits to eligible retirees and their dependents.

Funded Status

Accumulated pension assets measured against the obligation for pension benefits represents the funded status of a given plan. The funded status of Sysco's company-sponsored defined benefit plans is presented in the table below. The caption "Pension Benefits" in the tables below includes both the Retirement Plan and the SERP.

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Plans | |
|--|------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Change in benefit obligation: | | | | |
| Benefit obligation at beginning of year | \$ 3,089,022 | \$ 3,164,974 | \$ 14,248 | \$ 12,954 |
| Service cost | 9,657 | 70,166 | 546 | 541 |
| Interest cost | 160,436 | 148,561 | 748 | 614 |
| Amendments | (347) | 53,902 | - | - |
| Curtailements | - | (72,967) | - | - |
| Actuarial (gain) loss, net | 492,720 | (201,517) | (3,280) | 188 |
| Total disbursements | (79,780) | (74,097) | 349 | (49) |
| Benefit obligation at end of year | 3,671,708 | 3,089,022 | 12,611 | 14,248 |
| Change in plan assets: | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets at beginning of year | 2,518,009 | 2,234,869 | - | - |
| Actual return on plan assets | 474,538 | 263,675 | - | - |
| Employer contribution | 24,752 | 93,562 | (349) | 49 |
| Total disbursements | (79,780) | (74,097) | 349 | (49) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | 2,937,519 | 2,518,009 | - | - |
| Funded status at end of year | \$ (734,189) | \$ (571,013) | \$ (12,611) | \$ (14,248) |

As a result of the SERP freeze discussed above in November 2012, the liabilities of this plan were remeasured using a discount rate of 3.96%. A curtailment gain of \$73.0 million was recognized as a component of actuarial losses (net of tax) within other comprehensive income with an offsetting reduction to benefits obligations to accumulated benefits. Further, an \$8.3 million loss was recognized in the income statement arising from the write-off of prior service costs. In addition to the plan freeze, participants will be fully vested in their frozen benefits on their date of freeze. This resulted in an increase in the benefit obligation of \$48.6 million which was reflected as unrecognized prior service cost in other comprehensive income. This amount will amortize into pension expense over the next seven years. The SERP benefit obligation resulting after these changes on the date of the approved plan was \$486.6 million.

In order to meet a portion of its obligations under the SERP, Sysco has contributed to a rabbi trust COLI policies on the lives of participants and corporate-owned real estate assets. These assets are not included as plan assets or in the funded status amounts in the tables above and below. As they are held in a rabbi trust, these assets are available to satisfy the claims of the company's creditors in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency of the company. The life

insurance policies on the lives of the participants had carrying values of \$96.5 million as of June 28, 2014 and \$95.0 million as of June 29, 2013. Sysco is the sole owner and beneficiary of such policies.

The amounts recognized on Sysco's consolidated balance sheets related to its company-sponsored defined benefit plans are as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Plans | |
|---|------------------|------------------|----------------------------|------------------|
| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Current accrued benefit liability (Accrued expenses) | \$ (25,712) | \$ (25,181) | \$ (313) | \$ (380) |
| Non-current accrued benefit liability (Other long-term liabilities) | (708,477) | (545,832) | (12,298) | (13,868) |
| Net amount recognized | \$ (734,189) | \$ (571,013) | \$ (12,611) | \$ (14,248) |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

Accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) as of June 28, 2014 consists of the following amounts that had not, as of that date, been recognized in net benefit cost:

| | Pension Benefits | Other Postretirement Plans | Total |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Prior service cost | \$ 60,306 | \$ 898 | \$ 61,204 |
| Actuarial losses (gains) | 1,058,651 | (6,287) | 1,052,364 |
| Total | \$ 1,118,957 | \$ (5,389) | \$ 1,113,568 |

Accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) as of June 29, 2013 consists of the following amounts that had not, as of that date, been recognized in net benefit cost:

| | Pension Benefits | Other Postretirement Plans | Total |
|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Prior service cost | \$ 71,798 | \$ 1,067 | \$ 72,865 |
| Actuarial losses (gains) | 864,000 | (3,151) | 860,849 |
| Total | \$ 935,798 | \$ (2,084) | \$ 933,714 |

The accumulated benefit obligation, which does not consider any salary increases for the remaining active union employees in the Retirement Plan, for the company-sponsored defined benefit pension plans was \$3,660.2 million and \$3,079.1 million as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, respectively.

Information for plans with accumulated benefit obligation/aggregate benefit obligation in excess of fair value of plan assets is as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | Other Postretirement Plans | |
|---|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|------------------|
| | June 28, 2014 (1) | June 29, 2013 (1) | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Accumulated benefit obligation/aggregate benefit obligation | \$ 3,660,227 | \$ 3,079,068 | \$ 12,611 | \$ 14,248 |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | 2,937,519 | 2,518,009 | - | - |

(1) Information under Pension Benefits as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013 includes both the Retirement Plan and the SERP.

Components of Net Benefit Costs and Other Comprehensive Income

The components of net company-sponsored pension costs for each fiscal year are as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Service cost | \$ 9,657 | \$ 70,166 | \$ 108,223 |
| Interest cost | 160,436 | 148,561 | 147,512 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (192,795) | (171,201) | (161,605) |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 11,145 | 9,899 | 4,806 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 16,327 | 72,624 | 60,166 |
| Curtailment loss | - | 8,293 | - |
| Net pension costs | \$ 4,770 | \$ 138,342 | \$ 159,102 |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

The components of other postretirement benefit costs for each fiscal year are as follows:

| | Other Postretirement Plans | | |
|--|----------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Service cost | \$ 546 | \$ 541 | \$ 457 |
| Interest cost | 748 | 614 | 632 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 168 | 168 | 215 |
| Amortization of actuarial gain | (143) | (203) | (331) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | - | 141 | 153 |
| Net other postretirement benefit costs | \$ 1,319 | \$ 1,261 | \$ 1,126 |

Other changes in plan assets and benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) related to company-sponsored pension plans for each fiscal year are as follows:

| | Pension Benefits | | |
|---|------------------|------------|--------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | \$ 11,145 | \$ 18,192 | \$ 4,806 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 16,327 | 72,624 | 60,166 |
| Prior service cost arising in current year | 347 | (53,902) | (8,706) |
| Actuarial (loss) gain arising in current year | (210,978) | 366,957 | (579,366) |
| Net pension costs | \$ (183,159) | \$ 403,871 | \$ (523,100) |

Other changes in benefit obligations recognized in other comprehensive (loss) income related to other postretirement plans for each fiscal year are as follows:

| | Other Postretirement Plans | | |
|---|----------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | \$ 168 | \$ 168 | \$ 215 |
| Amortization of actuarial gain | (143) | (203) | (331) |
| Amortization of transition obligation | - | 141 | 153 |
| Actuarial (loss) gain arising in current year | 3,280 | (188) | (925) |
| Net pension costs | \$ 3,305 | \$ (82) | \$ (888) |

Amounts included in accumulated other comprehensive loss (income) as of June 28, 2014 that are expected to be recognized as components of net company-sponsored benefit cost during fiscal 2015 are:

| | Pension | Other | |
|--|----------------|----------------|-----------|
| | Benefits | Postretirement | Total |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | \$ 11,111 | \$ 169 | \$ 11,280 |
| Amortization of actuarial losses (gains) | 19,871 | (435) | 19,436 |
| Total | \$ 30,982 | \$ (266) | \$ 30,716 |

Employer Contributions

The company made cash contributions to its company-sponsored pension plans of \$24.8 million and \$93.6 million in fiscal years 2014 and 2013, respectively. There were no required contributions to the Retirement Plan to meet ERISA minimum funding requirements in fiscal 2014. The \$70.0 million contribution to the Retirement Plan in fiscal 2013 was voluntary, as there were no required contributions to meet ERISA minimum funding requirements in fiscal 2013. There are no required contributions to the Retirement Plan to meet ERISA minimum funding requirements in fiscal 2015. The company's contributions to the SERP and other post-retirement plans are made in the amounts needed to fund current year benefit payments. The estimated fiscal 2015 contributions to fund benefit payments for the SERP and other postretirement plans are \$26.3 million and \$0.3 million, respectively.

Estimated Future Benefit Payments

Estimated future benefit payments for vested participants, based on actuarial assumptions, are as follows:

| | Pension Benefits (In thousands) | Other Postretirement Plans |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2015 | \$ 94,616 | \$ 313 |
| 2016 | 104,096 | 516 |
| 2017 | 114,891 | 780 |
| 2018 | 125,671 | 984 |
| 2019 | 136,345 | 1,151 |
| Subsequent five years | 844,181 | 6,377 |

Assumptions

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations as of year-end were:

| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| Discount rate — Retirement Plan | 4.74 % | 5.32 % |
| Discount rate — SERP | 4.59 | 4.94 |
| Discount rate — Other Postretirement Plans | 4.74 | 5.32 |
| Rate of compensation increase — Retirement Plan | 3.89 | 3.89 |

As benefit accruals under the SERP were frozen as of June 29, 2013, due to the plan freeze discussed above, future pay is not projected in the determination of the benefit obligation as of June 28, 2014 or June 29, 2013.

Weighted-average assumptions used to determine net company-sponsored pension costs and other postretirement benefit costs for each fiscal year were:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------|--------|
| Discount rate — Retirement Plan | 5.32 % | 4.81 % | 5.94 % |
| Discount rate — SERP | 4.94 | 3.96 (1) | 5.93 |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------|------|------|
| Discount rate — Other Postretirement Plans | 5.32 | 4.81 | 5.94 |
| Expected rate of return — Retirement Plan | 7.75 | 7.75 | 7.75 |
| Rate of compensation increase — Retirement Plan | 3.89 | 5.30 | 5.30 |

(1) The SERP was remeasured in November 2012 as a result of the plan freeze discussed above. The rate in the table above reflects the discount rate as of this remeasurement.

As benefit accruals under the SERP were frozen as of June 29, 2013, due to the plan freeze discussed above, future pay is not projected in the determination of net pension costs related to the SERP for fiscal 2014. For determining the net pension costs related to the SERP for fiscal 2013 and 2012, the SERP calculations utilized an age-graded salary growth assumption.

A healthcare cost trend rate is not used in the calculations of postretirement benefit obligations because Sysco subsidizes the cost of postretirement medical coverage by a fixed dollar amount, with the retiree responsible for the cost of coverage in excess of the subsidy, including all future cost increases.

For guidance in determining the discount rate, Sysco calculates the implied rate of return on a hypothetical portfolio of high-quality fixed-income investments for which the timing and amount of cash outflows approximates the estimated payouts of the company-sponsored pension plans. The discount rate assumption is reviewed annually and revised as deemed appropriate. The discount rate to be used for the calculation of fiscal 2015 net company-sponsored benefit costs for the Retirement Plan is 4.74%. The discount rate to be used for the calculation of fiscal 2015 net company-sponsored benefit costs for the SERP is 4.59%. The discount rate to be used for the calculation of fiscal 2015 net company-sponsored benefit costs for the Other Postretirement Plans is 4.74%.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption is net return on assets assumption, representing gross return on assets less plan expenses. The expected return is derived from a mathematical asset model that incorporates assumptions as to the various asset class returns, reflecting a combination of rigorous historical performance analysis and the forward-looking views of the financial markets regarding the yield on bonds, the historical returns of the major stock markets and returns on alternative investments.

The rate of return assumption is reviewed annually and revised as deemed appropriate. The expected long-term rate of return to be used in the calculation of fiscal 2015 net company-sponsored benefit costs for the Retirement Plan is 7.75%.

Plan Assets

Investment Strategy

The company's overall strategic investment objectives for the Retirement Plan are to preserve capital for future benefit payments and to balance risk and return commensurate with ongoing changes in the valuation of plan liabilities. Over time, the company intends to decrease the risk of the Retirement Plan's investments in order to preserve the Retirement Plan's funded status. In order to accomplish these objectives, the company oversees the Retirement Plan's investment objectives and policy design, decides proper plan asset class strategies and structures, monitors the performance of plan investment managers and investment funds and determines the proper investment allocation of pension plan contributions and withdrawals. The company has created an investment structure for the Retirement Plan that takes into account the nature of the Retirement Plan's liabilities. This structure ensures the Retirement Plan's investments are diversified within each asset class, in addition to being diversified across asset classes with the intent to build asset class portfolios that are structured without strategic bias for or against any subcategories within each asset class. The company has also created a set of investment guidelines for the Retirement Plan's investment managers to specify prohibited transactions, including borrowing of money except for real estate, private equity or hedge fund portfolios where leverage is a key component of the investment strategy and permitted in the investments' governing documents, the purchase of securities on margin unless fully collateralized by cash or cash equivalents or short sales, pledging, mortgaging or hypothecating of any securities, except for loans of securities that are fully collateralized, market timing transactions and the direct purchase of the securities of Sysco or the investment manager. The purchase or sale of derivatives for speculation or leverage is also prohibited; however, investment managers are allowed to use derivative securities so long as they do not increase the risk profile or leverage of the manager's portfolio.

The company's target and actual investment allocation as of June 28, 2014 is as follows:

| | Target Asset Allocation | Actual Asset Allocation |
|----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| U.S. equity | 29 % | 39 % |
| International equity | 29 | 25 |
| Long duration fixed income | 27 | 26 |
| High yield fixed income | 5 | 4 |
| Alternative investments | 10 | 6 |
| | | 100 % |

Sysco's investment strategy is implemented through a combination of balanced and specialist investment managers, passive investment funds and actively-managed investment funds. U.S. equity consists of both large-cap and small-to-mid-cap securities. Long duration fixed income investments include U.S. government and agency securities, corporate bonds from diversified industries, asset-backed securities, mortgage-backed securities, other debt securities and derivative securities. High yield fixed income consists of below investment grade corporate debt securities and may include derivative securities. Alternative investments may include private equity, private real estate, hedge funds, timberland, and commodities investments. Investment funds are selected based on each fund's stated investment strategy to align with Sysco's overall target mix of investments. Actual asset allocation is regularly reviewed and periodically rebalanced to the target allocation when considered appropriate. As of June 28, 2014, actual asset allocation varied from the stated target in certain categories, as the company had not yet completed rebalancing of the portfolio to the current target asset allocation, particularly in the alternative investments category. Until the rebalancing is complete, the company has chosen to invest these amounts in U.S. and international equities.

As discussed above, the Retirement Plan's investments in equity, fixed income and alternative investments provide a range of returns and also expose the plan to investment risk. However, the investment policies put in place by the company require diversification of plan assets across issuers, industries and countries. As such, the Retirement Plan does not have significant concentrations of risk in plan assets.

Fair Value of Plan Assets

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (i.e. an exit price). See Note 5, "Fair Value Measurements," for a description of the fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used for assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

Cash and cash equivalents: Valued at amortized cost, which approximates fair value. Cash and cash equivalents is included as a Level 2 measurement in the table below.

Equity securities: Valued at the closing price reported on the exchange market. If a stock is not listed on a public exchange, such as an American Depositary Receipt or some preferred stocks, the stock is valued using an evaluated bid price based on a compilation of observable market information. Inputs used include yields, the underlying security “best price”, adjustments for corporate actions and exchange prices of underlying and common stock of the same issuer. Equity securities valued at the closing price reported on the exchange market are classified as a Level 1 measurement in the table below; all other equity securities are included as a Level 2 measurement.

Fixed income securities: Valued using evaluated bid prices based on a compilation of observable market information or a broker quote in a non-active market. Inputs used vary by type of security, but include spreads, yields, rate benchmarks, rate of prepayment, cash flows, rating changes and collateral performance and type. All fixed income securities are included as a Level 2 measurement in the table below.

Investment funds: Funds holding debt, equity and exchange-traded real estate securities are valued at the net asset value (NAV) provided by the manager of each fund. The NAV is calculated as the underlying net assets owned by the fund, divided by the number of shares outstanding. The NAV is based on the fair value of the underlying securities within the fund. Non-exchange traded real estate funds are valued based on the proportionate interest held by the Retirement Plan, which is based on the valuations of the underlying real estate investments held by each fund. Each real estate investment is valued on the basis of a discounted cash flow approach. Inputs used include future rental receipts, expenses and residual values from a market participant view of the highest and best use of the real estate as rental property. The private equity funds are valued based on the proportionate interest held by the Retirement Plan, which is based on the valuations of the underlying private equity investments held by each fund. Indirectly-held investments are valued utilizing the latest financial reports supplied by the fund’s portfolio investments. Directly-held investments are valued initially based on transaction price and are adjusted utilizing available market data and investment-specific factors, such as estimates of liquidation value, prices of recent transactions in the same or similar issuer, current operating performance and future expectations of the particular investment, changes in market outlook and the financing environment. Investment funds holding debt, equity and exchange traded real-estate securities are included as a Level 2 measurement in the table below. The non-exchange traded real estate funds and private equity funds are included as Level 3 measurements.

Derivatives: Valuation method varies by type of derivative security.

- Credit default and interest rate swaps: Valued using evaluated bid prices based on a compilation of observable market information. Inputs used for credit default swaps include spread curves and trade data about the credit quality of the counterparty. Inputs used for interest rate swaps include benchmark yields, swap curves, cash flow analysis, and interdealer broker rates. Credit default and interest rate swaps are included as a Level 2 measurement in the table below.
- Foreign currency contracts: Valued using a standardized interpolation model that utilizes the quoted prices for standard-length forward foreign currency contracts and adjusts to the remaining term outstanding on the contract being valued. Foreign currency contracts are included as a Level 2 measurement in the table below.
- Futures and option contracts: Valued at the closing price reported on the exchange market for exchange-traded futures and options. Over-the-counter options are valued using pricing models that are based on observable market information. Exchange-traded futures and options are included as a Level 1 measurement in the table below; over-the-counter options are included as a Level 2 measurement.

The following table presents the fair value of the Retirement Plan's assets by major asset category as of June 28, 2014:

| | Assets Measured at Fair Value as of June 28, 2014 | | | |
|--|---|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ - | \$ 51,066 | \$ - | \$ 51,066 |
| U.S. equity: | | | | |
| U.S. large-cap 1 | 218,165 | 777,627 | - | 995,792 |
| U.S. small-cap | 135,781 | - | - | 135,781 |
| International equity 2 | - | 717,022 | - | 717,022 |
| Long duration fixed income: | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | - | 568,419 | - | 568,419 |
| U.S. government and agency securities | - | 171,617 | - | 171,617 |
| Other | - | 4,907 | - | 4,907 |
| Derivatives, net 3 | (127) | 352 | - | 225 |
| High yield fixed income 2 | - | 102,041 | - | 102,041 |
| Alternative investments: | | | | |
| Real estate 2 | - | 114,250 | 35,403 | 149,653 |
| Private equity 2 | - | - | 31,204 | 31,204 |
| Total investments at fair value | \$ 353,819 | \$ 2,507,301 | \$ 66,607 | \$ 2,927,727 |
| Other 4 | | | | 9,792 |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | | | | \$ 2,937,519 |

1 Include direct investments and investment funds.

2 Include investments in investment funds only.

3 Include credit default swaps, interest rate swaps and futures. The fair value of asset positions totaled \$0.8 million; the fair value of liability positions totaled \$0.6 million.

4 Include primarily plan receivables and payables, net.

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

The following table presents the fair value of the Retirement Plan's assets by major asset category as of June 29, 2013:

| | Assets Measured at Fair Value as of June 29, 2013 | | | |
|--|---|--------------|-----------|--------------|
| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents 1 | \$ - | \$ 88,812 | \$ - | \$ 88,812 |
| U.S. equity: | | | | |
| U.S. large-cap 1 | 189,548 | 531,667 | - | 721,215 |
| U.S. small-to-mid-cap 1 | 99,518 | - | - | 99,518 |
| International equity 2 | - | 745,262 | - | 745,262 |
| Long duration fixed income: | | | | |
| Diversified fixed income 2 | - | 264,139 | - | 264,139 |
| U.S. government and agency securities | - | 123,253 | - | 123,253 |
| Corporate bonds | - | 117,565 | - | 117,565 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | - | 8,316 | - | 8,316 |
| Municipal bonds | - | 23,840 | - | 23,840 |
| Sovereign debt | - | 16,744 | - | 16,744 |
| Other 1 | - | 13,277 | - | 13,277 |
| Derivatives, net 3 | (249) | (687) | - | (936) |
| High yield fixed income 2 | - | 226,955 | - | 226,955 |
| Alternative investments: | | | | |
| Real estate 2 | - | - | 64,845 | 64,845 |
| Private equity 2 | - | - | 14,375 | 14,375 |
| Total investments at fair value | \$ 288,817 | \$ 2,159,143 | \$ 79,220 | \$ 2,527,180 |
| Other 4 | | | | (9,171) |
| Fair value of plan assets at end of year | | | | \$ 2,518,009 |

1 Include direct investments and investment funds.

2 Include investments in investment funds only.

3 Include credit default swaps, interest rate swaps, foreign currency contracts, futures and options. The fair value of asset positions totaled \$0.4 million; the fair value of liability positions totaled \$1.3 million.

4 Include primarily plan receivables and payables, net.

The following table sets forth a summary of changes in the fair value of the Retirement Plan's Level 3 assets for each fiscal year:

| Real Estate Funds | Private Equity Funds | Total Level 3 Measurements |
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|
|-------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | (In thousands) | | |
|---|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | \$ 51,097 | \$ 5,295 | \$ 56,392 |
| Actual return on plan assets: | | | |
| Relating to assets still held at the reporting date | 6,696 | 1,327 | 8,023 |
| Relating to assets sold during the period | - | - | - |
| Purchases and sales, net | 7,052 | 7,753 | 14,805 |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | - | - | - |
| Balance, June 29, 2013 | \$ 64,845 | \$ 14,375 | \$ 79,220 |
| Actual return on plan assets: | | | |
| Relating to assets still held at the reporting date | 3,044 | 1,931 | 4,975 |
| Relating to assets sold during the period | 3,307 | 1,767 | 5,074 |
| Purchases and sales, net | (35,793) | 13,131 | (22,662) |
| Transfers in and/or out of Level 3 | - | - | - |
| Balance, June 28, 2014 | \$ 35,403 | \$ 31,204 | \$ 66,607 |

15. MULTIEMPLOYER EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Sysco contributes to several multiemployer defined benefit pension plans in the U.S. and Canada based on obligations arising under collective bargaining agreements covering union-represented employees. Sysco does not directly manage these multiemployer plans, which are generally managed by boards of trustees, half of whom are appointed by the unions and the other half by other employers contributing to the plan. Approximately 10% of Sysco's current employees are participants in such multiemployer plans as of June 28, 2014.

The risks of participating in these multiemployer plans are different from single-employer plans in the following aspects:

- Assets contributed to the multiemployer plan by one employer may be used to provide benefits to employees of other participating employers.
- If a participating employer stops contributing to the plan, the unfunded obligations of the plan may be borne by the remaining participating employers.
- If Sysco chooses to stop participating in some of its multiemployer plans, Sysco may be required to pay those plans an amount based on the underfunded status of the plan, referred to as a withdrawal liability.

Based upon the information available from plan administrators, management believes that several of these multiemployer plans are underfunded. In addition, pension-related legislation in the U.S. requires underfunded pension plans to improve their funding ratios within prescribed intervals based on the level of their underfunding. As a result, Sysco expects its contributions to these plans to increase in the future. In addition, if a U.S. multiemployer defined benefit plan fails to satisfy certain minimum funding requirements, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) may impose a nondeductible excise tax of 5% on the amount of the accumulated funding deficiency for those employers contributing to the fund.

Withdrawal Activity

Sysco has voluntarily withdrawn from various multiemployer pension plans. Total withdrawal liability provisions recorded were \$1.5 million in fiscal 2014, \$41.9 million in fiscal 2013 and \$21.9 million in fiscal 2012. As of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, Sysco had approximately \$1.4 million and \$40.7 million, respectively, in liabilities recorded related to certain multiemployer defined benefit plans for which Sysco's voluntary withdrawal had already occurred. Recorded withdrawal liabilities are estimated at the time of withdrawal based on the most recently available valuation and participant data for the respective plans; amounts are subsequently adjusted to the period of payment to reflect any changes to these estimates. If any of these plans were to undergo a mass withdrawal, as defined by the Pension Benefit Guaranty Corporation, within the two plan years following the plan year in which we completely

withdraw from that plan, Sysco could have additional liability. The company does not currently believe any mass withdrawals are probable to occur in the applicable two-plan year time frame relating to the plans from which Sysco has voluntarily withdrawn.

Potential Withdrawal Liability

Under current law regarding multiemployer defined benefit plans, a plan's termination, Sysco's voluntary withdrawal, or the mass withdrawal of all contributing employers from any underfunded multiemployer defined benefit plan would require Sysco to make payments to the plan for Sysco's proportionate share of the multiemployer plan's unfunded vested liabilities. Generally, Sysco does not have the greatest share of liability among the participants in any of the plans in which it participates. Sysco believes that one of the above-mentioned events is reasonably possible for certain plans in which it participates and estimates its share of withdrawal liability for these plans could have been as much as \$90.0 million as of June 28, 2014. This estimate excludes plans for which Sysco has recorded withdrawal liabilities or where the likelihood of the above-mentioned events is deemed remote. This estimate is based on the information available from plan administrators, which had a valuation date of December 31, 2012. As the valuation date for all of these plans was December 31, 2012, the company's estimate reflects the condition of the financial markets as of that date. Due to the lack of current information, management believes Sysco's current share of the withdrawal liability could materially differ from this estimate.

Plan Contributions

Sysco's contributions to multiemployer defined benefit pension plans were as follows for each fiscal year:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Individually significant plans | \$ 30,402 | \$ 28,816 | \$ 29,497 |
| All other plans | 45,627 | 36,923 | 38,611 |
| Total contributions | \$ 76,029 | \$ 65,739 | \$ 68,108 |

Payments for voluntary withdrawals included in contributions were \$40.8 million, \$31.8 million and \$33.6 million in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Individually Significant Plans

The information in the following tables relates to multiemployer defined benefit pension plans which Sysco has determined to be individually significant to the company. To determine individually significant plans, the company evaluated several factors, including Sysco's significance to the plan in terms of employees and contributions, the funded status of the plan and the size of company's potential withdrawal liability if it were to voluntarily withdraw from the plan.

The following table provides information about the funded status of individually significant plans:

- The "EIN-PN" column provides the Employer Identification Number (EIN) and the three-digit plan number (PN).
- The "Pension Protection Act Zone Status" columns provide the two most recent Pension Protection Act zone statuses available from each plan. The zone status is based on information that the company received from the plan's administrators and is certified by each plan's actuary. Among other factors, plans in the red zone are generally less than 65% funded, plans in the orange zone are both less than 80% funded and have an accumulated funding deficiency or are expected to have a deficiency in any of the next six plan years, plans in the yellow zone are less than 80% funded and plans in the green zone are at least 80% funded.
- The "FIP/RP Status" column indicates whether a financial improvement plan (FIP) for yellow/orange zone plans or a rehabilitation plan (RP) for red zone plans is pending or implemented in the current year or was put in place in a prior year. A status of "Pending" indicates a FIP/RP has been approved but actual period covered by the FIP/RP has not begun. A status of "Implemented" means the period covered by the FIP/RP began in the current year or is ongoing.
- The "Surcharge Imposed" column indicates whether a surcharge was paid during the most recent annual period presented for the company's contributions to each plan in the red zone. If the company's current collective bargaining agreement (CBA) with a plan satisfies the requirements of a pending but not yet implemented RP, then the payment of surcharges is not required and "No" will be reflected in this column. If the company's current collective bargaining agreement (CBA) with a plan does not yet satisfy the requirements of a pending but not yet implemented RP, then the payment of surcharges is required and "Yes" will be reflected in this column.

| Pension Fund | EIN-PN | Pension Protection Act Zone Status | | FIP/RP Status | Surcharge Imposed | Expiration Date(s) of CBA(s) |
|--|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------------------|
| | | As of 12/31/14 | As of 12/31/13 | | | |
| Western Conference of Teamsters Pension Plan | 91-6145047-001 | Green | Green | N/A | N/A | 4/26/14 to 11/7/20 (1) |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--------|--------|-------------|-----|------------------------|
| Teamsters Pension Trust Fund of Philadelphia and Vicinity | 23-1511735-001 | Yellow | Yellow | Implemented | N/A | 7/31/16 to 7/20/20 (2) |
| New York State Teamsters Conference Pension and Retirement Fund | 16-6063585-074 | Red | Red | Implemented | No | 4/30/17 |
| Truck Drivers and Helpers Local Union No. 355 Retirement Pension Fund | 52-6043608-001 | Yellow | Yellow | Implemented | N/A | 3/1/15 |
| Minneapolis Food Distributing Industry Pension Plan | 41-6047047-001 | Green | Green | Implemented | N/A | 8/8/15 |

(1) Sysco is party to 23 CBAs that require contributions to the Western Conference of Teamsters Pension Trust. Each agreement covers anywhere from less than 1% to 10% of the total contributions Sysco is required to pay the fund.

(2) Sysco is party to three CBAs that require contributions to the Teamsters Pension Trust Fund of Philadelphia and Vicinity. One agreement expires July 31, 2016 and covers approximately 5% of the total Contribution Sysco is required to pay the fund. The remaining two agreements expire July 20, 2020 and cover the remaining 95% of the total contributions Sysco is required to pay the fund.

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

The following table provides information about the company's contributions to individually significant plans:

- The "Sysco Contributions" columns provide contribution amounts based on Sysco's fiscal years, which may not coincide with the plans' fiscal years.
- The "Sysco 5% of Total Plan Contributions" columns indicate whether Sysco was listed in the plan's most recently filed Form 5500s as providing more than five percent of the total contributions to the plan, and the plan year-end is noted. As of August 13, 2014, Form 5500s were not available for plan years ending December 31, 2013.

| | Sysco Contributions | | | Sysco 5% of Total Plan Contributions | |
|---|---------------------|-----------|-----------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | Year Ending 12/31/12 | Year Ending 12/31/11 |
| Pension Fund | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Western Conference of Teamsters Pension Plan | \$ 21,893 | \$ 20,561 | \$ 19,829 | No | No |
| Teamsters Pension Trust Fund of Philadelphia and Vicinity | 1,977 | 2,256 | 2,227 | No | No |
| New York State Teamsters Conference Pension and Retirement Fund | 1,444 | 1,399 | 1,395 | No | No |
| Truck Drivers and Helpers Local Union No. 355 Retirement Pension Fund | 1,874 | 1,624 | 1,490 | Yes | Yes |
| Minneapolis Food Distributing Industry Pension Plan | 3,214 | 2,976 | 4,556 | Yes | Yes |

For all of the plans noted in the table above, minimum contributions outside of the agreed upon contractual rate are not required.

Other Postretirement Benefit Plans

In addition to the contributions to the defined benefit pension plans described above, Sysco also contributes to several multiemployer plans that provide other postretirement benefits based on obligations arising under collective bargaining agreements covering union-represented employees. These plans may provide medical, pharmacy, dental, vision, mental health and other benefits to active employees and retirees as determined by the trustees of each plan.

Sysco contributed to these plans \$29.7 million in fiscal 2014, \$30.6 million in fiscal 2013 and \$25.5 million in fiscal 2012. There have been no significant changes that affect the comparability of fiscal 2014, fiscal 2013 and fiscal 2012 contributions.

16. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share has been computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding for each respective year. Diluted earnings per share has been computed by dividing net earnings by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during those respective years adjusted for the dilutive effect of share-based awards outstanding using the treasury stock method.

A reconciliation of the numerators and the denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the periods presented follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|---|-------------|--------------|
| | (In thousands, except for share and per share data) | | |
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net earnings | \$ 931,533 | \$ 992,427 | \$ 1,121,585 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted-average basic shares outstanding | 585,988,084 | 589,397,807 | 587,726,343 |
| Dilutive effect of share-based awards | 4,228,136 | 3,277,303 | 1,265,098 |
| Weighted-average diluted shares outstanding | 590,216,220 | 592,675,110 | 588,991,441 |
| Basic earnings per share: | \$ 1.59 | \$ 1.68 | \$ 1.91 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | \$ 1.58 | \$ 1.67 | \$ 1.90 |

The number of options that were not included in the diluted earnings per share calculation because the effect would have been anti-dilutive was approximately 2,100,000, 18,200,000 and 49,100,000 for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Dividends declared were \$673.6 million, \$654.9 million and \$628.0 million in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Included in dividends declared for each year were dividends declared but not yet paid at year-end of approximately \$171.6 million, \$165.8 million and \$159.4 million in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

17. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Comprehensive income is net earnings plus certain other items that are recorded directly to shareholders' equity, such as foreign currency translation adjustments, amounts related to cash flow hedging arrangements and certain amounts related to pension and other postretirement plans. Comprehensive income was \$735.8 million, \$1,208.4 million and \$718.7 million in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

A summary of the components of other comprehensive income (loss) and the related tax effects for each of the years presented is as follows:

| | | 2014 | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Location of Expense | | | | |
| (Income) Recognized | | | | |
| in Net Earnings | | Before Tax | Tax | Net of Tax |
| | | Amount | | Amount |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Pension and other postretirement benefit | | | | |
| plans: | | | | |
| Reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | Operating expenses | \$ 11,313 | \$ 4,343 | \$ 6,970 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss (gain), net | Operating expenses | 16,184 | 6,216 | 9,968 |
| Total reclassification adjustments | | 27,497 | 10,559 | 16,938 |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Prior service cost arising in current year | N/A | 347 | 133 | 214 |
| Net actuarial gain arising in current year | N/A | (207,698) | (79,756) | (127,942) |
| Total other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments | | (207,351) | (79,623) | (127,728) |
| Foreign currency translation: | | | | |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | N/A | (3,106) | - | (3,106) |
| Interest rate swaps: | | | | |
| Reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Amortization of cash flow hedges | Interest expense | 625 | 240 | 385 |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Change in fair value of cash flow hedges | N/A | (133,466) | (51,251) | (82,215) |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | | \$ (315,801) | \$ (120,075) | \$ (195,726) |

| | | 2013 | | |
|--|--------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| Location of Expense | | | | |
| (Income) Recognized | | Before | | |
| in Net Earnings | | Tax | Tax | Net of Tax |
| | | Amount | | Amount |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Pension and other postretirement benefit | | | | |
| plans: | | | | |
| Reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | Operating expenses | \$ 18,360 | \$ 7,050 | \$ 11,310 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss (gain), net | Operating expenses | 72,421 | 27,811 | 44,610 |
| Amortization of transition obligation | Operating expenses | 141 | 53 | 88 |
| Total reclassification adjustments | | 90,922 | 34,914 | 56,008 |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Prior service cost arising in current year | N/A | (53,902) | (20,699) | (33,203) |
| Net actuarial gain arising in current year | N/A | 366,769 | 140,840 | 225,929 |
| Total other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments | | 312,867 | 120,141 | 192,726 |
| Foreign currency translation: | | | | |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | N/A | (33,191) | - | (33,191) |
| Interest rate swaps: | | | | |
| Reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Amortization of cash flow hedges | Interest expense | 626 | 240 | 386 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | | \$ 371,224 | \$ 155,295 | \$ 215,929 |

| | Location of Expense (Income) Recognized in Net Earnings | 2012 | | Net of Tax Amount |
|---|---|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| | | Before Tax Amount | Tax | |
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Pension and other postretirement benefit plans: | | | | |
| Reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Amortization of prior service cost | Operating expenses | \$ 5,021 | \$ 1,928 | \$ 3,093 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss (gain), net | Operating expenses | 59,835 | 22,975 | 36,860 |
| Amortization of transition obligation | Operating expenses | 153 | 60 | 93 |
| Total reclassification adjustments | | 65,009 | 24,963 | 40,046 |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Prior service cost arising in current year | N/A | (8,706) | (3,343) | (5,363) |
| Net actuarial gain arising in current year | N/A | (580,291) | (222,832) | (357,459) |
| Total other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments | | | | |
| | | (588,997) | (226,175) | (362,822) |
| Foreign currency translation: | | | | |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | N/A | (81,003) | - | (81,003) |
| Interest rate swaps: | | | | |
| Reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Amortization of cash flow hedges | Interest expense | 692 | 266 | 426 |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments: | | | | |
| Settlement of cash flow hedge | N/A | 722 | 277 | 445 |
| Total other comprehensive income (loss) | | \$ (603,577) | \$ (200,669) | \$ (402,908) |

The following table provides a summary of the changes in accumulated other comprehensive (loss) income for the years presented:

| Pension and Other | Foreign Currency | Interest Rate | Total |
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------|
|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|-------|

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | Postretirement Benefit Plans, net of tax (In thousands) | Translation | Swap, net of tax | |
|---------------------------------------|---|-------------|---------------------|--------------|
| Balance as of July 2, 2011 | \$ (501,125) | \$ 251,752 | \$ (10,585) | \$ (259,958) |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments | (362,822) | (81,003) | 445 | (443,380) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated | | | | |
| other comprehensive loss | 40,046 | - | 426 | 40,472 |
| Balance as of June 30, 2012 | (823,901) | 170,749 | (9,714) | (662,866) |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments | 192,726 | (33,191) | - | 159,535 |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated | | | | |
| other comprehensive loss | 56,008 | - | 386 | 56,394 |
| Balance as of June 29, 2013 | (575,167) | 137,558 | (9,328) | (446,937) |
| Other comprehensive income before | | | | |
| reclassification adjustments | (127,728) | (3,106) | (82,215) | (213,049) |
| Amounts reclassified from accumulated | | | | |
| other comprehensive loss | 16,938 | - | 385 | 17,323 |
| Balance as of June 28, 2014 | \$ (685,957) | \$ 134,452 | \$ (91,158) | \$ (642,663) |

18. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

Sysco provides compensation benefits to employees and non-employee directors under several share-based payment arrangements including various employee stock option plans, a non-employee director plan and the Employees' Stock Purchase Plan.

Stock Incentive Plans

In November 2013, Sysco's Long-term Incentive Plan (2013 Plan) was adopted and reserved up to 55,600,000 shares of Sysco common stock for share-based awards to employees, non-employee directors and key advisors. Of the 55,600,000 authorized shares, 45,000,000 were new shares approved with the 2013 Plan and 10,600,000 were from remaining shares authorized and available for grant under the amended 2007 Stock Incentive Plan as of the date of the approval of the 2013 Plan. No further grants will be made from the 2007 Plan. Of the 55,600,000 authorized shares, the full 55,600,000 shares may be issued as options or stock appreciation rights and up to 17,500,000 shares may be issued as restricted stock, restricted stock units or other types of stock-based awards. To date, Sysco has issued options and restricted stock units under this plan. Vesting requirements for awards under this plan will vary by individual grant and may include either time-based vesting or time-based vesting subject to acceleration based on performance criteria for fiscal periods of at least one year. The contractual life of all options granted under this plan will be no greater than ten years. As of June 28, 2014, there were 49,207,002 remaining shares authorized and available for grant in total under the 2013 Plan, of which the full 49,207,002 shares may be issued as options or stock appreciation rights, or as a combination of up to 16,272,900 shares that may be issued as restricted stock, restricted stock units or other types of stock-based awards, with the remainder available for issuance as options or stock appreciation rights.

Sysco has also granted employee options under several previous employee stock option plans for which previously granted options remain outstanding as of June 28, 2014. No new options will be issued under any of the prior plans, as future grants to employees will be made through the 2013 Plan or subsequently adopted plans. Awards under these plans are subject to time-based vesting with vesting periods that vary by individual grant. The contractual life of all options granted under these plans is seven years.

In November 2009, Sysco's 2009 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan was adopted and provides for the issuance of up to 750,000 shares of Sysco common stock for share-based awards to non-employee directors. The authorized shares may be granted as restricted stock, restricted stock units, elected shares or additional shares. Vesting requirements for awards under these plans vary by individual grant and include either time-based vesting or vesting based on performance criteria. As of June 28, 2014, there were a total of 415,412 remaining shares authorized and available for grant under the 2009 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan.

Stock Options

Sysco's option awards are subject to graded vesting over a service period. Sysco recognizes compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award.

In addition, certain of Sysco's options provide that the options continue to vest as if the optionee continued to be an employee or director if the optionee meets certain age and years of service thresholds upon retirement. In these cases, Sysco will recognize compensation cost for such awards over the period from the grant date to the date the employee or director first becomes eligible to retire with the options continuing to vest after retirement.

The fair value of each option award is estimated as of the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model. The weighted average assumptions for the periods indicated are noted in the following table. Expected volatility is based on historical volatility of Sysco's stock, implied volatilities from traded options on Sysco's stock and other factors. Sysco utilizes historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination behavior within the valuation model; separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior are considered separately for valuation purposes. Expected dividend yield is estimated based on the historical pattern of dividends and the average stock price for the year preceding the option grant. The risk-free rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

The following weighted-average assumptions were used for each fiscal year presented:

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|---|-----------|---|-----------|---|
| | 2014 | | 2013 | | 2012 | |
| Dividend yield | 3.5 | % | 3.7 | % | 3.7 | % |
| Expected volatility | 20.4 | | 20.7 | | 23.4 | |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.1 | | 0.7 | | 1.0 | |
| Expected life | 7.2 years | | 5.4 years | | 5.4 years | |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

The following summary presents information regarding outstanding options as of June 28, 2014 and changes during the fiscal year then ended with regard to options under all stock incentive plans:

| | Shares Under Option | Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands) |
|----------------------------------|---------------------|---|--|--|
| Outstanding as of June 29, 2013 | 31,556,789 | \$ 29.07 | | |
| Granted | 5,575,645 | 33.31 | | |
| Exercised | (7,754,053) | 29.99 | | |
| Forfeited | (1,096,146) | 28.86 | | |
| Expired | (812,324) | 31.86 | | |
| Outstanding as of June 28, 2014 | 27,469,911 | \$ 29.59 | 4.74 | \$ 226,830 |
| Vested or expected to vest as of | | | | |
| June 28, 2014 | 27,250,629 | \$ 29.58 | 4.72 | \$ 225,328 |
| Exercisable as of June 28, 2014 | 9,604,094 | \$ 28.51 | 2.72 | \$ 89,660 |

The total number of employee options granted was 5,575,645, 6,212,716 and 7,015,952 in fiscal years 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. During fiscal 2014, 2,159,698 options were granted to 11 executive officers and 3,415,947 options were granted to approximately 167 other key employees. During fiscal 2013, 2,351,720 options were granted to 11 executive officers and 3,860,996 options were granted to approximately 152 other key employees. During fiscal 2012, 2,898,854 options were granted to 11 executive officers and 4,117,098 options were granted to approximately 180 other key employees.

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$4.64, \$3.20 and \$3.69, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$19.1 million, \$24.1 million and \$8.3 million, respectively.

Restricted Stock Units

During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, 1,322,709, 1,722,835 and 1,528,734 restricted stock units, respectively, were granted to employees, the majority of which will vest ratably over a three-year period. Some of these restricted stock units were granted with dividend equivalents. The fair value of each restricted stock unit award granted with a dividend equivalent is based on the company's stock price as of the date of grant. For restricted stock unit awards

granted without dividend equivalents, the fair value was reduced by the present value of expected dividends during the vesting period. The weighted average grant-date fair value per share of restricted stock units granted during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$33.39, \$29.75 and \$27.35, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock units vested during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$39.4 million, \$27.6 million and \$11.8 million, respectively.

Non-Employee Director Awards

During fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, 43,119, 48,069 and 63,657 shares, respectively, of restricted awards were granted to non-employee directors that will vest over a one-year period. Non-employee directors may elect to receive these awards in restricted stock shares that will vest at the end of the award's stated vesting period or as deferred units which convert into shares of Sysco common stock upon a date selected by the non-employee director that is subsequent to the award's stated vesting date. The fair value of the restricted awards is based on the company's stock price as of the date of grant. The weighted average grant-date fair value of the shares granted during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$33.40, \$29.96 and \$27.65, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock shares vested and deferred units distributed during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$1.4 million, \$1.9 million and \$2.2 million, respectively. Restricted stock shares are valued on their vesting date. Vested deferred units are valued on their subsequent conversion and distribution date.

Non-employee directors may elect to receive up to 100% of their annual directors' fees in Sysco common stock on either an annual or deferred basis. Sysco provides a matching grant of 50% of the number of shares received for the stock election subject to certain limitations. As a result of such elections, a total of 24,565, 26,702 and 31,397 shares with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$34.59, \$30.38 and \$28.46 per share were issued in fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, in the form of fully vested common stock or deferred units. The total fair value of common stock issued as a result of election shares and deferred units distributed during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012 was \$0.8 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. Common stock shares are valued on their vesting date. Vested deferred units are valued on their subsequent conversion and distribution date.

As of June 28, 2014, there were 84,869 fully vested deferred units outstanding that will convert into shares of Sysco common stock upon dates selected by the respective non-employee directors.

Summary of Nonvested Awards

The following summary presents information regarding outstanding nonvested awards as of June 28, 2014 and changes during the fiscal year then ended with regard to these awards under all stock incentive plans. Award types represented include: restricted stock units granted to employees and restricted awards granted to non-employee directors.

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share |
|-------------------------------|-------------|---|
| Nonvested as of June 29, 2013 | 2,933,807 | \$ 28.90 |
| Granted | 1,365,986 | 33.39 |
| Vested | (1,202,913) | 28.67 |
| Forfeited | (178,475) | 29.50 |
| Nonvested as of June 29, 2013 | 2,918,405 | \$ 31.06 |

Employees' Stock Purchase Plan

Sysco has an Employees' Stock Purchase Plan that permits employees to invest in Sysco common stock by means of periodic payroll deductions at a discount of 15% from the closing price on the last business day of each calendar quarter. The total number of shares which may be sold pursuant to the plan may not exceed 79,000,000 shares, of which 2,454,932 remained available as of June 28, 2014.

During fiscal 2014, 1,315,535 shares of Sysco common stock were purchased by the participants, as compared to 1,470,271 shares purchased in fiscal 2013 and 1,661,758 shares purchased in fiscal 2012. The weighted average fair value of employee stock purchase rights issued pursuant to the Employees' Stock Purchase Plan was \$5.17, \$4.78 and \$4.33 per share during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The fair value of the stock purchase rights was calculated as the difference between the stock price at date of issuance and the employee purchase price.

All Share-Based Payment Arrangements

The total share-based compensation cost that has been recognized in results of operations was \$74.3 million, \$70.1 million and \$70.3 million for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included within operating expenses in the consolidated results of operations. The total income tax benefit recognized in results of operations for share-based compensation arrangements was \$28.1 million, \$29.9 million and \$21.7 million for fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of June 28, 2014, there was \$64.9 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to share-based compensation arrangements. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.39 years.

Cash received from option exercises and purchases of shares under the Employees' Stock Purchase Plan was \$255.6 million, \$628.7 million and \$99.4 million during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from option exercises totaled \$16.6 million, \$24.0 million and \$3.0 million during fiscal 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

19. INCOME TAXES

Income Tax Provisions

For financial reporting purposes, earnings before income taxes consists of the following:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|---------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| U.S. | \$ 1,287,371 | \$ 1,351,947 | \$ 1,606,928 |
| Foreign | 188,253 | 195,508 | 177,074 |
| Total | \$ 1,475,624 | \$ 1,547,455 | \$ 1,784,002 |

The income tax provision for each fiscal year consists of the following:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| U.S. federal income taxes | \$ 433,795 | \$ 439,667 | \$ 540,861 |
| State and local income taxes | 55,736 | 69,759 | 77,064 |
| Foreign income taxes | 54,560 | 45,602 | 44,492 |
| Total | \$ 544,091 | \$ 555,028 | \$ 662,417 |

The current and deferred components of the income tax provisions for each fiscal year are as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|----------|----------------|------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Current | \$ 574,760 | \$ 582,889 | \$ 840,745 |
| Deferred | (30,669) | (27,861) | (178,328) |
| Total | \$ 544,091 | \$ 555,028 | \$ 662,417 |

The deferred tax provisions result from the effects of net changes during the year in deferred tax assets and liabilities arising from temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes.

Deferred Tax Assets and Liabilities

Significant components of Sysco's deferred tax assets and liabilities are as follows:

| | June 28, 2014 | June 29, 2013 |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Excess tax depreciation and basis differences of assets | \$ 416,417 | \$ 455,752 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | 211,434 | 208,229 |
| Other | 15,171 | 18,127 |
| Total deferred tax liabilities | 643,022 | 682,108 |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-------------|------------|
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Net operating tax loss carryforwards | 20,123 | 19,149 |
| Benefit on unrecognized tax benefits | 22,170 | 23,833 |
| Pension | 287,046 | 224,990 |
| Share-based compensation | 41,262 | 39,316 |
| Deferred compensation | 33,280 | 34,951 |
| Self-insured liabilities | 65,002 | 47,538 |
| Receivables | 47,688 | 48,236 |
| Inventory | 62,799 | 63,509 |
| Cash flow hedge | 56,826 | 5,815 |
| Other | 26,471 | 44,760 |
| Total deferred tax assets | 662,667 | 552,097 |
| Total net deferred tax (assets) liabilities | \$ (19,645) | \$ 130,011 |

The company's net operating tax loss carryforwards as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013 consisted primarily of state net operating tax loss carryforwards. The state net operating tax loss carryforwards outstanding as of June 28, 2014 expire in fiscal years 2017 through 2033. There were no valuation allowances recorded for the state tax loss carryforwards as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013 because management believes it is more likely than not that these benefits will be realized based on utilization forecasts.

Effective Tax Rates

Reconciliations of the statutory federal income tax rate to the effective income tax rates for each fiscal year are as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|
| U.S. statutory federal income tax rate | 35.00 % | 35.00 % | 35.00 % |
| State and local income taxes, net of any | | | |
| applicable federal income tax benefit | 2.82 | 2.59 | 2.65 |
| Foreign income taxes | (1.66) | (1.22) | (1.07) |
| Other | 0.71 | (0.50) | 0.55 |
| | 36.87 % | 35.87 % | 37.13 % |

The effective tax rate of 36.87% for fiscal 2014 was negatively impacted primarily by two items. First, the company recorded tax expense of \$6.2 million related to a non-deductible penalty that the company incurred. Second, the company recorded net tax expense of \$5.2 million for tax and interest related to various federal, foreign and state uncertain tax positions. This negative impact was partially offset by the recording of \$5.7 million of tax benefit related to disqualifying dispositions of Sysco stock pursuant to share-based compensation arrangements. Indefinitely reinvested earnings taxed at foreign statutory rates less than our domestic tax rate also had the impact of reducing the effective tax rate.

The effective tax rate of 35.87% for fiscal 2013 was favorably impacted primarily by two items. First, the company recorded a tax benefit of \$14.0 million related to changes in estimates for the prior year domestic tax provision. Second, the company recorded a tax benefit of \$8.8 million related to disqualifying dispositions of Sysco stock pursuant to share-based compensation arrangements. The effective tax rate was negatively impacted by the recording of \$5.7 million in tax and interest related to various federal, foreign and state uncertain tax positions. Indefinitely reinvested earnings taxed at foreign statutory rates less than our domestic tax rate also had the impact of reducing the effective tax rate.

The effective tax rate for fiscal 2012 was 37.13%. Indefinitely reinvested earnings taxed at foreign statutory rates less than our domestic tax rate had the impact of reducing the effective tax rate.

Uncertain Tax Positions

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending amount of gross unrecognized tax benefits, excluding interest and penalties, is as follows:

| | 2014 | 2013 |
|--|----------------|------------|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at beginning of year | \$ 108,337 | \$ 103,988 |
| Additions for tax positions related to prior years | 2,128 | 15,431 |
| Reductions for tax positions related to prior years | (41,802) | (2,030) |
| Additions for tax positions related to the current year | - | - |
| Reductions for tax positions related to the current year | - | - |
| Reductions due to settlements with taxing authorities | (19,483) | (9,052) |
| Reductions due to lapse of applicable statute of limitations | - | - |
| Unrecognized tax benefits at end of year | \$ 49,180 | \$ 108,337 |

As of June 28, 2014, the gross amount of liability for accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits was \$36.7 million. The expense recorded for interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in fiscal 2014 was \$14.8 million. In the fourth quarter of fiscal 2014, we reclassified a receivable that would arise upon the resolution of an unrecognized tax benefit from a gross position in other assets to a net position in other long-term liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet due to a change in circumstances related to transfer pricing positions.

As of June 29, 2013, \$11.6 million of the gross liability for unrecognized tax benefits was netted within prepaid income taxes due to expected payment in fiscal 2014. As of June 29, 2013, the gross amount of liability for accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits was \$36.8 million, of which \$5.8 million was netted within prepaid income taxes due to expected payment in fiscal 2014. The expense recorded for interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in fiscal 2013 was \$5.0 million.

If Sysco were to recognize all unrecognized tax benefits recorded as of June 28, 2014, approximately \$35.1 million of the \$49.2 million reserve would reduce the effective tax rate. If Sysco were to recognize all unrecognized tax benefits recorded as of June 29, 2013, approximately \$42.0 million of the \$108.3 million reserve would reduce the effective tax rate. It is reasonably possible that the amount of the unrecognized tax benefits with respect to certain of the company's unrecognized tax positions will increase or decrease

in the next twelve months either because Sysco's positions are sustained on audit or because the company agrees to their disallowance. Items that may cause changes to unrecognized tax benefits primarily include the consideration of various filing requirements in various states and the allocation of income and expense between tax jurisdictions. In addition, the amount of unrecognized tax benefits recognized within the next twelve months may decrease due to the expiration of the statute of limitations for certain years in various jurisdictions; however, it is possible that a jurisdiction may open an audit on one of these years prior to the statute of limitations expiring. At this time, an estimate of the range of the reasonably possible change cannot be made.

The IRS has open audits for Sysco's 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009 federal income tax returns. As of June 28, 2014, Sysco's tax returns in the majority of the state and local jurisdictions and Canada are no longer subject to audit for the years before 2008. However, in Canada, the company remains open to transfer pricing adjustments back to 2003 for some entities. Certain tax jurisdictions require partial to full payment on audit assessments or the posting of letters of credit in order to proceed to the appeals process. Although the outcome of tax audits is generally uncertain, the company believes that adequate amounts of tax, including interest and penalties, have been accrued for any adjustments that may result from those open years.

Other

Undistributed income of certain consolidated foreign subsidiaries at June 28, 2014 amounted to \$1,104.1 million for which no deferred U.S. income tax provision has been recorded because Sysco intends to permanently reinvest such income in those foreign operations. An estimate of any U.S. income or foreign withholding taxes that may be applicable upon actual or deemed repatriation is not practical due to the complexities associated with the hypothetical calculation.

20. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Legal Proceedings

Sysco is engaged in various legal proceedings which have arisen but have not been fully adjudicated. The likelihood of loss for these legal proceedings, based on definitions within contingency accounting literature, ranges from remote to reasonably possible to probable. When probable and reasonably estimable, the losses have been accrued. Based on estimates of the range of potential losses associated with these matters, management does not believe the ultimate resolution of these proceedings, either individually or in the aggregate, will have a material adverse effect upon the consolidated financial position or results of operations of the company. However, the final results of legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty and if the company failed to prevail in one or more of these legal matters, and the associated realized losses were to exceed the company's current estimates of the range of potential losses, the company's consolidated financial position or results of operations could be materially adversely affected in future periods.

During the first quarter of fiscal 2014, Sysco was made aware of certain alleged violations of California law relating to its use of remote storage units in the delivery of products. These are commonly referred to as drop sites. As of June 28, 2014, Sysco has recorded a liability for a settlement of \$20 million. In July 2014, Sysco agreed to a \$19.4 million settlement, which includes a payment of \$15.0 million in penalties, \$3.3 million to fund four California Department of Public Health investigator positions for five years, a \$1.0 million donation to food banks across California, and \$0.1 million in legal fees. The cash portion of the settlement was paid in August 2014 and the donations to the food banks will occur in fiscal 2015.

Fuel Commitments

Sysco routinely enters into forward purchase commitments for a portion of its projected diesel fuel requirements. As of June 28, 2014, we had forward diesel fuel commitments totaling approximately \$187.2 million through June 2015.

Other Commitments

Sysco has committed to aggregate product purchases for resale in order to benefit from a centralized approach to purchasing. A majority of these agreements expire within one year; however, certain agreements have terms through fiscal 2018. These agreements commit the company to a minimum volume at various pricing terms, including fixed pricing, variable pricing or a combination thereof. Minimum amounts committed to as of June 28, 2014 totaled approximately \$2,830.0 million. Minimum amounts committed to by year are as follows:

| | Amount (In thousands) |
|------|-----------------------------|
| 2015 | \$ 2,101,096 |
| 2016 | 634,985 |
| 2017 | 93,283 |
| 2018 | 667 |

Sysco has contracts with various third party service providers to receive information technology services. The services have been committed for periods up to fiscal 2019 and may be extended. As of June 28, 2014, the total remaining cost of the services over that period is expected to be approximately \$624.7 million. A portion of this committed amount may be reduced by Sysco utilizing less than estimated resources and can be increased by Sysco utilizing more than estimated resources. Certain agreements allow adjustments for inflation. Sysco may also cancel a portion or all of the services provided subject to termination fees that decrease over time. If Sysco were to terminate all of the services in fiscal 2015, the estimated termination fees incurred in fiscal 2015 would be approximately \$22.5 million.

21. BUSINESS SEGMENT INFORMATION

The company has aggregated its operating companies into a number of segments, of which only Broadline and SYGMA are reportable segments as defined in the accounting literature related to disclosures about segments of an enterprise. The Broadline reportable segment is an aggregation of the company's U.S., Canadian, Caribbean and European Broadline segments. Broadline operating companies distribute a full line of food products and a wide variety of non-food products to both traditional and chain restaurant customers, hospitals, schools, hotels, industrial caterers and other venues where foodservice products are served. These companies also provide custom-cut meat operations. SYGMA operating companies distribute a full line of food products and a wide variety of non-food products to certain chain restaurant customer locations. "Other" financial information is attributable to the company's other operating segments, including the company's specialty produce and lodging industry segments, a company that distributes specialty imported products and a company that distributes to international customers and the company's Sysco Ventures platform, a suite of technology solutions that help support the business needs of Sysco's customers.

The accounting policies for the segments are the same as those disclosed by Sysco for its consolidated financial statements. Intersegment sales represent specialty produce and imported specialty products distributed by the Broadline and SYGMA operating companies. Management evaluates the performance of each of our operating segments based on its respective operating income results. Corporate expenses generally include all expenses of the corporate office and Sysco's shared service center. These also include all share-based compensation costs and expenses related to the company's Business Transformation Project.

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

The following table sets forth the financial information for Sysco's business segments:

| | Fiscal Year | | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Sales: | | | |
| Broadline | \$ 37,709,391 | \$ 36,129,463 | \$ 34,420,851 |
| SYGMA | 6,177,804 | 5,780,103 | 5,735,673 |
| Other | 2,925,789 | 2,741,537 | 2,396,113 |
| Intersegment sales | (296,272) | (239,870) | (171,698) |
| Total | \$ 46,516,712 | \$ 44,411,233 | \$ 42,380,939 |
| Operating income: | | | |
| Broadline | \$ 2,475,659 | \$ 2,402,215 | \$ 2,416,225 |
| SYGMA | 38,048 | 52,016 | 60,967 |
| Other | 93,668 | 98,564 | 91,048 |
| Total segments | 2,607,375 | 2,552,795 | 2,568,240 |
| Corporate expenses and adjustments | (1,020,253) | (894,317) | (677,608) |
| Total operating income | 1,587,122 | 1,658,478 | 1,890,632 |
| Interest expense | 123,741 | 128,495 | 113,396 |
| Other expense (income), net | (12,243) | (17,472) | (6,766) |
| Earnings before income taxes | \$ 1,475,624 | \$ 1,547,455 | \$ 1,784,002 |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | | |
| Broadline | \$ 307,500 | \$ 313,611 | \$ 298,852 |
| SYGMA | 28,164 | 28,059 | 27,706 |
| Other | 30,471 | 28,194 | 24,745 |
| Total segments | 366,135 | 369,864 | 351,303 |
| Corporate | 189,927 | 142,684 | 65,640 |
| Total | \$ 556,062 | \$ 512,548 | \$ 416,943 |
| Capital expenditures: | | | |
| Broadline | \$ 299,207 | \$ 284,016 | \$ 525,368 |
| SYGMA | 34,671 | 18,078 | 30,961 |
| Other | 78,235 | 47,744 | 41,669 |
| Total segments | 412,113 | 349,838 | 597,998 |
| Corporate | 111,093 | 162,024 | 186,503 |
| Total | \$ 523,206 | \$ 511,862 | \$ 784,501 |
| Assets: | | | |
| Broadline | \$ 8,956,911 | \$ 10,228,722 | \$ 8,067,912 |
| SYGMA | 513,587 | 485,520 | 475,877 |
| Other | 1,034,775 | 944,140 | 877,207 |
| Total segments | 10,505,273 | 11,658,382 | 9,420,996 |
| Corporate | 2,662,677 | 1,019,826 | 2,716,211 |
| Total | \$ 13,167,950 | \$ 12,678,208 | \$ 12,137,207 |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

The sales mix for the principal product categories for each fiscal year is as follows:

| | Fiscal Year | | |
|---|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Fresh and frozen meats | \$ 8,809,148 | \$ 8,242,423 | \$ 7,929,235 |
| Canned and dry products | 8,383,007 | 8,310,634 | 7,948,187 |
| Frozen fruits, vegetables, bakery and other | 6,196,362 | 6,023,990 | 5,757,871 |
| Dairy products | 4,956,895 | 4,669,986 | 4,456,634 |
| Poultry | 4,814,949 | 4,580,445 | 4,188,787 |
| Fresh produce | 3,725,108 | 3,540,027 | 3,332,504 |
| Paper and disposables | 3,438,074 | 3,364,965 | 3,295,483 |
| Seafood | 2,401,021 | 2,167,588 | 2,076,848 |
| Beverage products | 1,671,000 | 1,643,034 | 1,591,540 |
| Janitorial products | 1,050,187 | 1,013,488 | 952,569 |
| Equipment and smallwares | 678,454 | 637,680 | 613,590 |
| Medical supplies | 392,507 | 216,973 | 237,691 |
| Total | \$ 46,516,712 | \$ 44,411,233 | \$ 42,380,939 |

Information concerning geographic areas is as follows:

| | Fiscal Year | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | (In thousands) | | |
| Sales: (1) | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 40,612,963 | \$ 38,985,715 | \$ 37,596,862 |
| Canada | 4,923,672 | 4,698,814 | 4,246,611 |
| Other | 980,077 | 726,704 | 537,466 |
| Total | \$ 46,516,712 | \$ 44,411,233 | \$ 42,380,939 |
| Long-lived assets:(2) | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 3,520,449 | \$ 3,593,346 | \$ 3,564,854 |
| Canada | 347,440 | 307,605 | 291,304 |
| Other | 117,729 | 77,120 | 27,592 |
| Total | \$ 3,985,618 | \$ 3,978,071 | \$ 3,883,750 |

(1) Represents sales to external customers from businesses operating in these countries.

(2) Long-lived assets represents net property, plant and equipment reported in the country in which they are held.

22. SUPPLEMENTAL GUARANTOR INFORMATION – SUBSIDIARY GUARANTEES

On January 19, 2011, the wholly-owned U.S. Broadline subsidiaries of Sysco Corporation entered into full and unconditional guarantees of all outstanding senior notes and debentures of Sysco Corporation. Borrowings under the company's revolving credit facility supporting the company's U.S. and Canadian commercial paper programs and the company's US Foods acquisition bridge facility are also covered under these guarantees. As of June 28, 2014, Sysco had a total of approximately \$2,655.0 million in senior notes, debentures and commercial paper outstanding that was covered by these guarantees. All subsidiary guarantors are 100%-owned by the parent company, all guarantees are full and unconditional and all guarantees are joint and several, except that the guarantee of any subsidiary guarantor with respect to a series of senior notes or debentures may be released under certain customary circumstances. If we exercise our defeasance option with respect to the senior notes or debentures of any series, then any subsidiary guarantor effectively will be released with respect to that series. Further, each subsidiary guarantee will remain in full force and effect until the earliest to occur of the date, if any, on which (1) the applicable subsidiary guarantor shall consolidate with or merge into Sysco Corporation or any successor of Sysco Corporation and (2) Sysco Corporation or any successor of Sysco Corporation consolidates with or merges into the applicable subsidiary guarantor.

The following condensed consolidating financial statements present separately the financial position, comprehensive income and cash flows of the parent issuer (Sysco Corporation), the guarantors (the majority of Sysco's U.S. Broadline subsidiaries) and all other non-guarantor subsidiaries of Sysco (Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries) on a combined basis with eliminating entries.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
June 28, 2014

| | Sysco (In thousands) | Certain U.S. Broadline Subsidiaries | Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated Totals |
|--|-------------------------|---|--|----------------|------------------------|
| Current assets | \$ 254,766 | \$ 3,928,660 | \$ 2,498,546 | \$ - | \$ 6,681,972 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 8,013,214 | - | - | (8,013,214) | - |
| Plant and equipment, net | 496,953 | 1,783,262 | 1,705,403 | - | 3,985,618 |
| Other assets | 344,045 | 524,468 | 1,631,847 | - | 2,500,360 |
| Total assets | \$ 9,108,978 | \$ 6,236,390 | \$ 5,835,796 | \$ (8,013,214) | \$ 13,167,950 |
| Current liabilities | \$ 793,240 | \$ 1,008,366 | \$ 2,566,024 | \$ - | \$ 4,367,630 |
| Intercompany payables (receivables) | 20,107 | (239,539) | 219,432 | - | - |
| Long-term debt | 2,348,558 | 14,094 | 21,515 | - | 2,384,167 |
| Other liabilities | 680,378 | 328,185 | 140,895 | - | 1,149,458 |
| Shareholders' equity | 5,266,695 | 5,125,284 | 2,887,930 | (8,013,214) | 5,266,695 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 9,108,978 | \$ 6,236,390 | \$ 5,835,796 | \$ (8,013,214) | \$ 13,167,950 |

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
June 29, 2013

| | Sysco | Certain U.S. Broadline Subsidiaries | Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated Totals |
|--|----------------|---|--|----------------|------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Current assets | \$ 276,856 | \$ 3,757,486 | \$ 2,187,346 | \$ - | \$ 6,221,688 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 8,429,887 | - | - | (8,429,887) | - |
| Plant and equipment, net | 540,860 | 1,885,908 | 1,551,303 | - | 3,978,071 |
| Other assets | 325,045 | 534,713 | 1,618,691 | - | 2,478,449 |
| Total assets | \$ 9,572,648 | \$ 6,178,107 | \$ 5,357,340 | \$ (8,429,887) | \$ 12,678,208 |
| Current liabilities | \$ 637,070 | \$ 887,271 | \$ 2,138,283 | \$ - | \$ 3,662,624 |
| Intercompany payables (receivables) | 594,928 | (1,003,219) | 408,291 | - | - |
| Long-term debt | 2,606,612 | 10,422 | 22,952 | - | 2,639,986 |
| Other liabilities | 542,228 | 467,470 | 174,090 | - | 1,183,788 |
| Shareholders' equity | 5,191,810 | 5,816,163 | 2,613,724 | (8,429,887) | 5,191,810 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 9,572,648 | \$ 6,178,107 | \$ 5,357,340 | \$ (8,429,887) | \$ 12,678,208 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
Year Ended June 28, 2014

| | Sysco | Certain U.S. Broadline Subsidiaries | Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated Totals |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|----------------|------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Sales | \$ - | \$ 30,741,979 | \$ 16,979,494 | \$ (1,204,761) | \$ 46,516,712 |
| Cost of sales | - | 24,990,377 | 14,550,061 | (1,204,761) | 38,335,677 |
| Gross profit | - | 5,751,602 | 2,429,433 | - | 8,181,035 |
| Operating expenses | 804,177 | 3,520,577 | 2,269,159 | - | 6,593,913 |
| Operating income (loss) | (804,177) | 2,231,025 | 160,274 | - | 1,587,122 |
| Interest expense (income) | 232,140 | (102,086) | (6,313) | - | 123,741 |
| Other expense (income), net | (7,434) | 217 | (5,026) | - | (12,243) |
| Earnings (losses) before income taxes | (1,028,883) | 2,332,894 | 171,613 | - | 1,475,624 |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (379,369) | 860,184 | 63,276 | - | 544,091 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 1,581,047 | - | - | (1,581,047) | - |
| Net earnings | 931,533 | 1,472,710 | 108,337 | (1,581,047) | 931,533 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (195,726) | - | (3,106) | 3,106 | (195,726) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 735,807 | \$ 1,472,710 | \$ 105,231 | \$ (1,577,941) | \$ 735,807 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
Year Ended June 29, 2013

| | Sysco | Certain U.S. Broadline Subsidiaries | Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated Totals |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---|--|----------------|------------------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | | |
| Sales | \$ - | \$ 30,162,329 | \$ 15,335,180 | \$ (1,086,276) | \$ 44,411,233 |
| Cost of sales | - | 24,385,677 | 13,115,225 | (1,086,276) | 36,414,626 |
| Gross profit | - | 5,776,652 | 2,219,955 | - | 7,996,607 |
| Operating expenses | 694,323 | 3,610,907 | 2,032,899 | - | 6,338,129 |
| Operating income (loss) | (694,323) | 2,165,745 | 187,056 | - | 1,658,478 |
| Interest expense (income) | 298,474 | (177,421) | 7,442 | - | 128,495 |
| Other expense (income), net | (12,864) | (4,554) | (54) | - | (17,472) |
| Earnings (losses) before income taxes | (979,933) | 2,347,720 | 179,668 | - | 1,547,455 |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (351,474) | 842,062 | 64,440 | - | 555,028 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 1,620,886 | - | - | (1,620,886) | - |
| Net earnings | 992,427 | 1,505,658 | 115,228 | (1,620,886) | 992,427 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | 215,929 | - | (33,191) | 33,191 | 215,929 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 1,208,356 | \$ 1,505,658 | \$ 82,037 | \$ (1,587,695) | \$ 1,208,356 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Comprehensive Income
Year Ended June 30, 2012

| | Sysco (In thousands) | Certain U.S. Broadline Subsidiaries | Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Eliminations | Consolidated Totals |
|---------------------------------------|-------------------------|---|--|----------------|------------------------|
| Sales | \$ - | \$ 29,100,106 | \$ 14,131,162 | \$ (850,329) | \$ 42,380,939 |
| Cost of sales | - | 23,374,199 | 12,077,795 | (850,329) | 34,601,665 |
| Gross profit | - | 5,725,907 | 2,053,367 | - | 7,779,274 |
| Operating expenses | 527,888 | 3,534,382 | 1,826,372 | - | 5,888,642 |
| Operating income (loss) | (527,888) | 2,191,525 | 226,995 | - | 1,890,632 |
| Interest expense (income) | 396,374 | (281,193) | (1,785) | - | 113,396 |
| Other expense (income), net | (6,993) | (1,244) | 1,471 | - | (6,766) |
| Earnings (losses) before income taxes | (917,269) | 2,473,962 | 227,309 | - | 1,784,002 |
| Income tax (benefit) provision | (340,592) | 918,607 | 84,402 | - | 662,417 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 1,698,262 | - | - | (1,698,262) | - |
| Net earnings | 1,121,585 | 1,555,355 | 142,907 | (1,698,262) | 1,121,585 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss) | (402,908) | - | (81,003) | 81,003 | (402,908) |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 718,677 | \$ 1,555,355 | \$ 61,904 | \$ (1,617,259) | \$ 718,677 |

Condensed Consolidating Cash Flows
Year Ended June 28, 2014

| | Sysco | Certain U.S. Broadline Subsidiaries | Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidated Totals |
|--|--------------|---|--|------------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used for): | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ (504,119) | \$ 1,541,062 | \$ 455,872 | \$ 1,492,815 |
| Investing activities | (51,290) | (171,979) | (353,569) | (576,838) |
| Financing activities | (919,627) | 3,872 | (103) | (915,858) |
| Effect of exchange rate on cash | - | - | 642 | 642 |
| Intercompany activity | 1,426,402 | (1,369,478) | (56,924) | - |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (48,634) | 3,477 | 45,918 | 761 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period | 207,591 | 24,295 | 180,399 | 412,285 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | \$ 158,957 | \$ 27,772 | \$ 226,317 | \$ 413,046 |

Condensed Consolidating Cash Flows
Year Ended June 29, 2013

| | Sysco | Certain U.S. Broadline Subsidiaries | Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidated Totals |
|--|--------------|---|--|------------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used for): | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ (449,417) | \$ 1,705,950 | \$ 255,061 | \$ 1,511,594 |
| Investing activities | (105,314) | (140,217) | (666,351) | (911,882) |
| Financing activities | (887,707) | (15,666) | 29,165 | (874,208) |
| Effect of exchange rate on cash | - | - | (2,086) | (2,086) |
| Intercompany activity | 1,178,922 | (1,560,250) | 381,328 | - |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (263,516) | (10,183) | (2,883) | (276,582) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period | 471,107 | 34,478 | 183,282 | 688,867 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | \$ 207,591 | \$ 24,295 | \$ 180,399 | \$ 412,285 |

Condensed Consolidating Cash Flows
Year Ended June 30, 2012

| | Sysco | Certain U.S. Broadline Subsidiaries | Other Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries | Consolidated Totals |
|----------------------------------|-------|---|--|------------------------|
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Net cash provided by (used for): | | | | |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Operating activities | \$ (413,535) | \$ 1,674,817 | \$ 142,898 | \$ 1,404,180 |
| Investing activities | (222,483) | (367,909) | (313,237) | (903,629) |
| Financing activities | (58,168) | (2,038) | (382,443) | (442,649) |
| Effect of exchange rate on cash | - | - | (8,800) | (8,800) |
| Intercompany activity | 859,780 | (1,302,546) | 442,766 | - |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 165,594 | 2,324 | (118,816) | 49,102 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period | 305,513 | 32,154 | 302,098 | 639,765 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | \$ 471,107 | \$ 34,478 | \$ 183,282 | \$ 688,867 |

23. QUARTERLY RESULTS (UNAUDITED)

Financial information for each quarter in the years ended June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013 is set forth below:

| | Fiscal 2014 Quarter Ended | | | | Fiscal Year |
|------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | September 28 | December 28 | March 29 | June 28 | |
| | (In thousands except for per share data) | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 11,714,267 | \$ 11,237,969 | \$ 11,277,484 | \$ 12,286,992 | \$ 46,516,712 |
| Cost of sales | 9,648,780 | 9,273,018 | 9,282,743 | 10,131,136 | 38,335,677 |
| Gross profit | 2,065,487 | 1,964,951 | 1,994,741 | 2,155,856 | 8,181,035 |
| Operating expenses | 1,587,289 | 1,613,174 | 1,662,116 | 1,731,334 | 6,593,913 |
| Operating income | 478,198 | 351,777 | 332,625 | 424,522 | 1,587,122 |
| Interest expense | 30,528 | 29,784 | 32,224 | 31,205 | 123,741 |
| Other expense (income), net | (4,534) | (4,211) | 3,718 | (7,216) | (12,243) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 452,204 | 326,204 | 296,683 | 400,533 | 1,475,624 |
| Income taxes | 166,614 | 115,369 | 115,746 | 146,362 | 544,091 |
| Net earnings | \$ 285,590 | \$ 210,835 | \$ 180,937 | \$ 254,171 | \$ 931,533 |
| Per share: | | | | | |
| Basic net earnings | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.31 | \$ 0.43 | \$ 1.59 |
| Diluted net earnings | 0.48 | 0.36 | 0.31 | 0.43 | 1.58 |
| Dividends declared | 0.28 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 0.29 | 1.15 |
| Market price — high/low | 36-31 | 43-31 | 37-34 | 38-35 | 43-31 |

| | Fiscal 2013 Quarter Ended | | | | Fiscal Year |
|------------------------------|--|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| | September 29 | December 29 | March 30 | June 29 | |
| | (In thousands except for per share data) | | | | |
| Sales | \$ 11,086,916 | \$ 10,796,890 | \$ 10,926,371 | \$ 11,601,056 | \$ 44,411,233 |
| Cost of sales | 9,057,121 | 8,844,780 | 8,983,889 | 9,528,836 | 36,414,626 |
| Gross profit | 2,029,795 | 1,952,110 | 1,942,482 | 2,072,220 | 7,996,607 |
| Operating expenses | 1,551,013 | 1,569,459 | 1,605,280 | 1,612,377 | 6,338,129 |
| Operating income | 478,782 | 382,651 | 337,202 | 459,843 | 1,658,478 |
| Interest expense | 30,868 | 32,242 | 34,215 | 31,170 | 128,495 |
| Other (income), net | (2,477) | (1,753) | (3,410) | (9,832) | (17,472) |
| Earnings before income taxes | 450,391 | 352,162 | 306,397 | 438,505 | 1,547,455 |
| Income taxes | 163,793 | 130,793 | 104,980 | 155,462 | 555,028 |
| Net earnings | \$ 286,598 | \$ 221,369 | \$ 201,417 | \$ 283,043 | \$ 992,427 |
| Per share: | | | | | |
| Basic net earnings | \$ 0.49 | \$ 0.38 | \$ 0.34 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 1.68 |

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Diluted net earnings | 0.49 | 0.38 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 1.67 |
| Dividends declared | 0.27 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 0.28 | 1.11 |
| Market price — high/low | 31-28 | 32-30 | 36-31 | 35-33 | 36-28 |

Percentage change — 2014 vs. 2013:

| | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Fiscal Year |
|--------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|
| Sales | 6 % | 4 % | 3 % | 6 % | 5 % |
| Operating income | - | (8) | (1) | (8) | (4) |
| Net earnings | - | (5) | (10) | (10) | (6) |
| Basic net earnings per share | - | (5) | (9) | (10) | (5) |
| Diluted net earnings per share | (2) | (5) | (9) | (9) | (5) |

Financial results are impacted by accounting changes and the adoption of various accounting standards. See Note 2, “Changes in Accounting.”

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Sysco's management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 28, 2014. The term "disclosure controls and procedures," as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding the required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Sysco's disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of June 28, 2014, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, Sysco's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Management's report on internal control over financial reporting is included in the financial statement pages at page 54.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the fiscal quarter ended June 28, 2014 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item will be included in our proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the following captions, and is incorporated herein by reference thereto: “Corporate Governance,” “Executive Officers,” “Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance,” “Report of the Audit Committee” and “Board of Directors Matters.”

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item will be included in our proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the following captions, and is incorporated herein by reference thereto: “Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” “Report of the Compensation Committee,” “Director Compensation” and “Executive Compensation.”

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item will be included in our proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the following captions, and is incorporated herein by reference thereto: “Stock Ownership” and “Equity Compensation Plan Information.”

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

The information required by this item will be included in our proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the following caption, and is incorporated herein by reference thereto: “Corporate Governance – Certain Relationships and Related Person Transactions” and “Corporate Governance – Director Independence.”

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this item will be included in our proxy statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders under the following caption, and is incorporated herein by reference thereto: "Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm."

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits

(a) The following documents are filed, or incorporated by reference, as part of this Form 10-K:

1. All financial statements. See Index to Consolidated Financial Statements on page 53 of this Form 10-K.
2. All financial statement schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the information is set forth in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto within Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.
3. Exhibits.

The exhibits listed on the Exhibit Index immediately preceding such exhibits, which is hereby incorporated herein by reference, are filed or furnished as part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Sysco Corporation has duly caused this Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on this 25th day of August, 2014.

SYSCO CORPORATION

By /s/ WILLIAM J. DELANEY
William J. DeLaney
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of Sysco Corporation in the capacities indicated and on the date indicated above.

PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE, FINANCIAL & ACCOUNTING OFFICERS:

/s/ WILLIAM J. DELANEY President and Chief Executive Officer
William J. DeLaney (principal executive officer)

/s/ ROBERT C. KREIDLER Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Robert C. Kreidler (principal financial officer)

/s/ JOEL T. GRADE Senior Vice President - Finance and Chief Accounting Officer
Joel T. Grade (principal accounting officer)

DIRECTORS:

/s/ JOHN M. CASSADAY /s/ JOSEPH A. HAFNER, JR.
John M. Cassaday Joseph A. Hafner, Jr.

/s/ JUDITH B. CRAVEN /s/ HANS-JOACHIM KOERBER
Judith B. Craven Hans-Joachim Koerber

/s/ WILLIAM J. DELANEY /s/ NANCY S. NEWCOMB
William J. DeLaney Nancy S. Newcomb

/s/ LARRY C. GLASSCOCK /s/ RICHARD G. TILGHMAN
Larry C. Glasscock Richard G. Tilghman

/s/ JONATHAN GOLDEN /s/ JACKIE M. WARD
Jonathan Golden Jackie M. Ward

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits.

- 2.1 —Agreement and Plan of Merger, dated as of December 8, 2013, by and among Sysco Corporation, USF Holding Corp., Scorpion Corporation I, Inc. and Scorpion Company II, LLC, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to Form 8-K filed on December 10, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 3.1 —Restated Certificate of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(a) to Form 10-K for the year ended June 28, 1997 (File No. 1-6544).
- 3.2 —Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation increasing authorized shares, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(e) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 27, 2003 (File No. 1-6544).
- 3.3 —Form of Amended Certificate of Designation, Preferences and Rights of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3(c) to Form 10-K for the year ended June 29, 1996 (File No. 1-6544).
- 3.4 —Amended and Restated Bylaws of Sysco Corporation dated November 14, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.01 to Form 8-K filed on November 20, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 4.1 —Senior Debt Indenture, dated as of June 15, 1995, between Sysco Corporation and First Union National Bank of North Carolina, Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(a) to Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed June 6, 1995 (File No. 33-60023).
- 4.2 —Third Supplemental Indenture, dated as of April 25, 1997 between Sysco Corporation and First Union National Bank of North Carolina, Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(g) to Form 10-K for the year ended June 28, 1997 (File No. 1-6544).
- 4.3 —Fifth Supplemental Indenture, dated as of July 27, 1998 between Sysco Corporation and First Union National Bank, Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(h) to Form 10-K for the year ended June 27, 1998 (File No. 1-6544).
- 4.4 —Seventh Supplemental Indenture, including form of Note, dated March 5, 2004 between Sysco Corporation, as Issuer, and Wachovia Bank, National Association (formerly First Union National Bank of North Carolina), as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(j) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 27, 2004 (File No. 1-6544).
- 4.5 —Eighth Supplemental Indenture, including form of Note, dated September 22, 2005 between Sysco Corporation, as Issuer, and Wachovia Bank, National Association, as Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibits 4.1 and 4.2 to Form 8-K filed on September 20, 2005 (File No. 1-6544).
- 4.6 —Ninth Supplemental Indenture, including form of Note, dated February 12, 2008 between Sysco Corporation, as Issuer, and the Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on February 12, 2008 (File

No. 1-6544).

- 4.7 —Tenth Supplemental Indenture, including form of Note, dated February 12, 2008 between Sysco Corporation, as Issuer, and the Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on February 12, 2008 (File No. 1-6544).
 - 4.8 —Form of Eleventh Supplemental Indenture, including form of Note, dated March 17, 2009 between Sysco Corporation, as Issuer, and the Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on March 13, 2009 (File No. 1-6544).
 - 4.9 —Form of Twelfth Supplemental Indenture, including form of Note, dated March 17, 2009 between Sysco Corporation, as Issuer, and the Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on March 13, 2009 (File No. 1-6544).
 - 4.10 —Form of Guarantee of Indebtedness of Sysco Corporation under Exhibits 4.1 through 4.9 as executed by Sysco's U.S. Broadline subsidiaries, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on January 20, 2011 (File No. 1-6544).
 - 4.11 —Thirteenth Supplemental Indenture, including form of Initial Guarantee, dated February 17, 2012 between Sysco Corporation, as Issuer, the Trustee and the Initial Guarantors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(o) to Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on February 17, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
-

- 4.12 —Fourteenth Supplemental Indenture, including form of Note, dated June 12, 2012 among Sysco, the Guarantors and the Trustee relating to the 2015 Notes, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
- 4.13 —Fifteenth Supplemental Indenture, including form of Note, dated June 12, 2012 among Sysco, the Guarantors and the Trustee relating to the 2022 Notes, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form 8-K filed on June 12, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
- 4.14 —~~Indenture~~ dated May 23, 2002 between Sysco International, Co., Sysco Corporation and Wachovia Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to Registration Statement on Form S-4 filed August 21, 2002 (File No. 333-98489).
- 4.15 —Form of Supplemental Indenture No. 1, dated July 2, 2010, between Sysco International, ULC, as successor by conversion and name change to Sysco International Co., Sysco Corporation, as Guarantor, and the Trustee, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.12 to Form 10-K for the year ended July 3, 2010 filed on August 31, 2010 (File No. 1-6544).
- 4.16 —Agreement of Resignation, Appointment and Acceptance, dated February 13, 2007, by and among Sysco Corporation and Sysco International Co., a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sysco Corporation, U.S. Bank National Association and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4(h) to Registration Statement on Form S-3 filed on February 6, 2008 (File No. 333-149086).
- 10.1 —Credit Agreement dated December 29, 2011 between Sysco Corporation, Sysco International, ULC, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch, and certain Lenders and Guarantors party thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2011 filed on February 7, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.2 —First Amendment, dated November 29, 2012, in the form of a Maturity Date Extension Letter Agreement, amending that certain Credit Agreement dated December 29, 2011 between Sysco Corporation, Sysco International, ULC, JP Morgan Chase Bank, N.A., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch, and certain Lenders and Guarantors party thereto, incorporated , by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 29, 2012 filed on February 5, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.3 —Second Amendment, dated as of January 31, 2014, to the Credit Agreement dated December 29, 2011 by and among Sysco Corporation, Sysco International, ULC, JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., Toronto Branch and the lenders party thereto, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 28, 2013 filed on February 4, 2014 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.4 —Amended and Restated Issuing and Paying Agency Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2006, between Sysco Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2006 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.5# —Amendment, dated as of June 18, 2009, to Amended and Restated Issuing And Paying Agency Agreement, dated April 13, 2006, between Sysco Corporation and JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association.
- 10.6 —Commercial Paper Dealer Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2006, between Sysco Corporation and J.P. Morgan Securities Inc., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2006 (File No. 1-6544).

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.7 —Commercial Paper Dealer Agreement, dated as of April 13, 2006, between Sysco Corporation and Goldman, Sachs & Co., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K filed on April 19, 2006 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.8 —Demand Facility Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2011, between SFS Canada I, LP and The Toronto-Dominion Bank, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-K for the year ended July 2, 2011 filed on August 30, 2011 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.9 —Guaranty Agreement, dated as of June 30, 2011, between Sysco Corporation and The Toronto-Dominion Bank, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to Form 10-K for the year ended July 2, 2011 filed on August 30, 2011 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.10 —364-Day Bridge Term Loan Agreement, dated January 31, 2014, among Sysco Corporation, the Guarantors party thereto, the Lenders party thereto and Goldman Sachs Bank USA, as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 28, 2013 filed on February 4, 2014 (File No. 1-6544).
-

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.11+ —Stockholders Agreement, dated as of December 8, 2013, by and among Sysco Corporation, Clayton Dubilier & Rice LLC, Kohlberg, Kravis Roberts & Co. L.P. and the stockholders named therein, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 28, 2013 filed on February 4, 2014 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.12† —Sixth Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 2, 2010 filed on November 9, 2010 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.13† —First Amendment to the Sixth Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 filed on May 8, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.14† —Seventh Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 29, 2012 filed on February 4, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.15† —Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Executive Deferred Compensation Plan, effective June 29, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to Form 10-K for the year ended June 29, 2013 filed on August 27, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.16† —Tenth Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended October 2, 2010 filed on November 9, 2010 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.17† —First Amendment to Tenth Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to Form 10-K for the year ended July 2, 2011 filed on August 30, 2011 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.18† —Second Amendment to Tenth Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 filed on May 8, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.19† —Eleventh Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 29, 2012 filed on February 4, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.20† —Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, including the Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation MIP Retirement Program, attached as Appendix I, effective as of June 29, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to Form 10-K for the year ended June 29, 2013 filed on August 27, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.21† —First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 29, 2014 filed on May 6, 2014 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.22† —Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation MIP Retirement Program, effective as of June 29, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to Form 10-K for the year ended June 29, 2013 filed on August 27, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.23† —First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation MIP Retirement Program, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 29, 2014 filed on May 6, 2014 (File No. 1-6544).

- 10.24† —Sysco Corporation Management Savings Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 29, 2012 filed on February 4, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.25† —Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Management Savings Plan, effective as of June 29, 2013, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to Form 10-K for the year ended June 29, 2013 filed on August 27, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.26 † —First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Management Savings Plan., incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 29, 2014 filed on May 6, 2014 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.27† —Form of Stock Option Grant Agreement issued to executive officers on September 2, 2004 under the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 8-K filed on September 9, 2004 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.28† —2004 Stock Option Plan, incorporated by reference to Appendix B to the Sysco Corporation Proxy Statement filed September 24, 2004 (File No. 1-6544).
-

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.29† —2007 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, incorporated by reference to Annex B to the Sysco Corporation Proxy Statement filed October 8, 2009 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.30† —Form of Stock Option Grant Agreement issued to executive officers under the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 29, 2007 filed on February 5, 2008 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.31† —Form of Stock Option Grant Agreement issued to Robert C. Kreidler effective October 5, 2009 under the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 26, 2009 filed on November 3, 2009 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.32† —Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement issued to executive officers under the 2007 Stock Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 26, 2009 filed on November 3, 2009 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.33 †—Sysco Corporation 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to Form S-8 filed on November 15, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.34† —Form of Stock Option Grant Agreement issued to executive officers under the Sysco Corporation 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 28, 2013 filed on February 4, 2014 (File No. 16544).
- 10.35 †—Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement issued to executive officers under the 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 28, 2013 filed on February 4, 2014 (File No. 16544).
- 10.36† —Form of Sysco Protective Covenants Agreement (RSU Grant) issued to executive officers in connection with a Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement issued under the 2013 Long-Term Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 28, 2013 filed on February 4, 2014 (File No. 16544).
- 10.37† —First Amended and Restated 2008 Cash Performance Unit Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 26, 2009 filed on November 3, 2009 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.38† —2009 Management Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Annex C to the Sysco Corporation Proxy Statement filed on October 8, 2009 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.39† —Form of Fiscal Year 2013 Bonus Award under the 2009 Management Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 29, 2012 filed on November 6, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.40† —Form of Fiscal Year 2014 Bonus Award under the 2009 Management Incentive Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 2013 filed on November 5, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.41†#—~~Description of Compensation Arrangements with Named Executive Officers.~~
- 10.42† —Description of Sysco Corporation’s Executive Relocation Expense Reimbursement Policy, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January 1, 2011 filed on February 8, 2011 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.43† —Amended and Restated Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to Appendix B to Proxy Statement filed on September 24, 2001 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.44† —Form of Retainer Stock Agreement for issuance to Non-Employee Directors under the Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(a) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended January

1, 2005 filed on February 10, 2005 (File No. 1-6544).

- 10.45† —2009 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to Annex A to the Sysco Corporation Proxy Statement filed October 8, 2009 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.46† —Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement under the 2009 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 2011 filed on May 10, 2011 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.47† —Form of Restricted Stock Grant Agreement under the 2009 Non-Employee Directors Stock Plan for those individuals who elected to defer receipt of shares under the 2009 Board of Directors Stock Deferral Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended April 2, 2011 filed on May 10, 2011 (File No. 1-6544).
-

Edgar Filing: SYSCO CORP - Form 10-K

- 10.48† —Second Amended and Restated Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan dated April 1, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(aa) to Form 10-K for the year ended June 29, 2002 filed on September 25, 2002 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.49† —First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan dated July 12, 2002, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(bb) to Form 10-K for the year ended June 29, 2002 filed on September 25, 2002 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.50† —Second Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10(k) to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2005 filed on February 9, 2006 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.51† —Third Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 filed on May 8, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.52† —Second Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation 2005 Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.59 to Form 10-K for the year ended July 28, 2008 filed on August 26, 2008 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.53† —First Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Sysco Corporation 2005 Board of Directors Deferred Compensation Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2012 filed on May 8, 2012 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.54† —2009 Board of Directors Stock Deferral Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 26, 2009 filed on February 2, 2010 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.55†#—Description of Compensation Arrangements with Non-Employee Directors.
- 10.56† —Form of Indemnification Agreement with Non-Employee Directors, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.61 to Form 10-K for the year ended July 28, 2008 filed on August 26, 2008 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.57† —Offer of Employment by Sysco Corporation to Wayne Shurts dated September 13, 2012, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.66 to Form 10-K for the year ended June 29, 2013 filed on August 27, 2013 (File No. 1-6544).
- 10.58†#—Offer of Employment by Sysco Corporation to Thomas Bene dated February 28, 2013.
- 12.1# —Statement regarding Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 21.1# —Subsidiaries of the Registrant.
- 23.1# —Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 31.1# —CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2# —CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1# —CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2# —CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101.1# —The following financial information from Sysco Corporation’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 28, 2014 filed with the SEC on August 25, 2014, formatted in XBRL includes: (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 28, 2014 and June 29, 2013, (ii) Consolidated Results of Operations for the periods ended June 28, 2014, June 29, 2013 and June 30, 2012, (iii) Consolidated Shareholders’ Equity for the periods ended June 28, 2014, June 29, 2013 and June 30, 2012, (iv) Consolidated Cash Flows for the periods ended June 28, 2014, June 29, 2013 and June 30, 2012, and (v) the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

† Executive Compensation Arrangement pursuant to 601(b)(10)(iii)(A) of Regulation S-K

Filed Herewith

+ Confidential treatment has been granted for certain portions of this exhibit pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Note: Debt instruments of Sysco Corporation and its subsidiaries defining the rights of long-term debt holders in principal amounts not exceeding 10% of Sysco Corporation’s consolidated assets have been omitted and will be provided to the Securities and Exchange Commission upon request.