

ISCO INTERNATIONAL INC

Form 10-Q

November 14, 2003

**Table of Contents**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549**

**Form 10-Q**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2003.

TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934.

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

**Commission file number 000-22302**

**ISCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

<b>Delaware</b>	<b>36-3688459</b>
_____ (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)	_____ (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
<b>451 Kingston Court Mt. Prospect, Illinois</b>	<b>60056</b>
_____ (Address of Principal Executive Offices)	_____ (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Are Code **(847) 391-9400**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicated by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

<u>Class</u>	<u>Outstanding at October 31, 2003</u>
Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share	148,124,927
Preferred Stock Purchase Rights	

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

Item 1. Financial Statements.

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Item 5. Other Information.

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.

302 Certification of Chief Executive Officer

302 Certification of Chief Financial Officer

Section 906 Certification

---

**Table of Contents****Table of Contents**

<b>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</b>	<b>1</b>
Item 1. Financial Statements	1
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2003 (unaudited) and December 31, 2002	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the three months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002, and the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002	
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity (unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2003	
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 and 2002	
Notes to condensed consolidated financial statements (unaudited)	
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations	11
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	14
Item 4. Controls and Procedures	14
<b>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</b>	<b>14</b>
Item 1. Legal Proceedings	14
Item 5. Other Information	16
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K	16

---

**Table of Contents****PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements.**

**ISCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
	(unaudited)	
<b>Assets:</b>		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 307,734	\$ 216,119
Inventories	918,861	896,572
Accounts receivable, net	342,298	1,551,912
Prepaid expenses, settlement receivable, and other	118,947	471,918
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total current assets	1,687,840	3,136,521
Property and equipment:		
Property and equipment	8,971,688	8,968,732
Less: accumulated depreciation	(8,058,189)	(7,463,289)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net property and equipment	913,499	1,505,443
Restricted certificates of deposit	91,099	114,508
Intangible assets, net	14,466,047	14,426,528
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total assets	\$ 17,158,485	\$ 19,183,000
	<hr/>	<hr/>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity:</b>		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 133,122	\$ 193,458
Accrued liabilities	3,109,317	1,609,236
Current debt	4,000,000	
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total current liabilities	7,242,439	1,802,694
Other long-term debt, less current portion		2,000,000
Stockholders equity:		
Preferred stock; 300,000 shares authorized; No shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively		
Common stock (\$.001 par value); 250,000,000 shares authorized; 148,124,927 and 147,944,927 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2003 and December 31, 2002, respectively	148,125	147,945
Additional paid-in capital (net of unearned compensation)	159,545,429	158,172,391
Accumulated deficit	(149,777,508)	(142,940,030)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total stockholders equity	9,916,046	15,380,306
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 17,158,485	\$ 19,183,000
	<hr/>	<hr/>

## Edgar Filing: ISCO INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

NOTE: The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2002 has been derived from the audited financial statements for that date, but does not include all of the information and accompanying notes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements.

See the accompanying Notes which are an integral part of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ISCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
**(UNAUDITED)**

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net sales	\$ 377,500	\$ 429,645	\$ 1,948,952	\$ 2,127,005
Costs and expenses:				
Cost of sales	297,995	541,304	1,259,942	2,668,189
Research and development	232,281	659,287	756,293	2,357,633
Selling and marketing	214,246	387,522	713,545	1,723,013
General and administrative	904,395	1,653,416	5,204,238	6,058,902
Total costs and expenses	1,648,917	3,241,529	7,934,018	12,807,737
Operating loss	(1,271,417)	(2,811,884)	(5,985,066)	(10,680,732)
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	940	6,241	4,104	59,915
Interest expense	(302,720)		(856,516)	(168,602)
Other income (expense), net	(301,780)	6,241	(852,412)	(108,687)
Net loss	\$ (1,573,197)	\$ (2,805,643)	\$ (6,837,478)	\$ (10,789,419)
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.02)	\$ (0.05)	\$ (0.08)
Weighted average number of common shares outstanding	147,986,340	147,944,927	147,972,619	141,764,798

See the accompanying Notes which are an integral part of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ISCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**  
**Nine Months ended September 30, 2003**

(UNAUDITED)

	Common Stock		Additional Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Unearned Compen- sation	Total
	Number of Shares	Amount				
Balance at December 31, 2002	147,944,927	\$ 147,945	\$ 158,672,239	\$(142,940,030)	\$(499,848)	\$ 15,380,306
Exercise of DSU s	30,000	30	(30)			
Exercise of stock options	150,000	150	16,350			16,500
Cancellation of Options and DSU s			(308,448)		308,448	
Compensation Expense for non-employee stock options/other stock compensation			520,686		191,400	712,086
Non-cash warrant expense			644,632			644,632
Net Loss				(6,837,478)		(6,837,478)
Balance at September 30, 2003	148,124,927	\$ 148,125	\$ 159,545,429	\$(149,777,508)		\$ 9,916,046

See the accompanying Notes which are an integral part of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.



**Table of Contents**

**ISCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(UNAUDITED)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2003	2002
<b>Operating Activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$(6,837,478)	\$(10,789,419)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization, excluding goodwill	628,496	698,582
Non-cash warrant expense	644,632	
Non-cash compensation expense	712,086	306,900
Changes in operating assets and liabilities	2,980,040	(1,177,543)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,872,224)	(10,961,480)
<b>Investing Activities:</b>		
Decrease / (Increase) in restricted certificates of deposit	23,409	(9,750)
Payment of patent costs	(73,114)	(177,061)
Acquisition of property and equipment, net	(2,956)	(124,707)
Net cash used in investing activities	(52,661)	(311,518)
<b>Financing Activities:</b>		
Proceeds from Shareholder Rights Offering		19,764,985
Credit Line Proceeds	2,000,000	
Exercise of stock options	16,500	311
Payments on other long-term debt		(9,425,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	2,016,500	10,340,296
Increase/(Decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	91,615	(932,702)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	216,119	1,720,697
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 307,734	\$ 787,995

See the accompanying Notes which are an integral part of the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

**Table of Contents**

**ISCO INTERNATIONAL, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)**

**Note 1 Basis of Presentation**

The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ISCO International, Inc. and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Spectral Solutions, Inc. and Illinois Superconductor Canada Corporation (collectively referred to as the Company). All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying interim unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and notes required by US GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of results for the interim periods have been included. These interim financial statements and notes included herein should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements and notes therein for the year ended December 31, 2002 included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for any subsequent quarter or for the entire year ending December 31, 2003.

**Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

As of the reporting date, the Company had recorded unamortized goodwill assets resulting from the acquisitions of Spectral Solutions, Inc. and the Adaptive Notch Filter division of Lockheed Martin Canada, Inc., both during 2000. Beginning January 1, 2002, goodwill is no longer to be amortized but rather to be tested for impairment on an annual basis and between annual tests whenever there is an indication of potential impairment. Impairment losses would be recognized whenever the implied fair value of goodwill is determined to be less than its carrying value. During 2002, the Company completed the process of evaluating goodwill for impairment under SFAS No. 142. As the fair value, using quoted market prices for the Company, exceeded the carrying amount, the goodwill was determined to be not impaired. During September 2003, the Company performed an evaluation of goodwill for impairment and no impairment was determined to exist, thus no write-down was required.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123. This Statement amends SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-based Compensation, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123 to require more prominent disclosures in both the annual and interim financial statements about the method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation and the effect of the method on reported amounts. The amendments to SFAS 123 in paragraphs 2(a)-2(e) of this Statement are effective for financial statements for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2002. The amendment to SFAS 123 in paragraph 2(f) of this Statement and the amendment to Opinion 28 in paragraph 3 are effective for financial reports containing condensed financial statements for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002. The Company does not expect that adoption of this statement will have a material effect on its results of operations or financial position.

**Table of Contents**

The Company has a stock-based employee compensation plan, which is more fully described in note 5. The Company applies APB Opinion 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related Interpretations in accounting for its plans. Stock expense for the first nine months of 2003 and 2002, respectively, is the result of options issued with an exercise price below the underlying stock's market price. The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, using the assumptions described in Note 5, to its stock-based employee plans:

	Three Months	Ended September 30,
	2003	2002
Net loss, as reported	\$ 1,573	\$ 2,805
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss, net of related tax effects	89	103
Less: Total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value based method for awards granted, modified, or settled, net of related tax effects	19	488
Pro forma net loss	\$ 1,503	\$ 3,190
Loss per share:		
Basic as reported	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02
Basic pro forma	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02
Diluted as reported	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02
Diluted pro forma	\$ 0.01	\$ 0.02
	Nine Months	Ended September 30,
	2003	2002
Net loss, as reported	\$ 6,837	\$ 10,789
Add: Stock-based employee compensation expense included in reported net loss, net of related tax effects	712	308
Less: Total stock-based employee compensation determined under fair value based method for awards granted, modified, or settled, net of related tax effects	1,513	1,437
Pro forma net loss	\$ 7,638	\$ 11,918

Loss per share:			
Basic	as reported	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.08
Basic	pro forma	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.08
Diluted	as reported	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.08
Diluted	pro forma	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.08

In January 2003, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No.46, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an interpretation of ARB No. 51 ( FIN 46 ). FIN 46 requires that if an entity has a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity, the assets, liabilities and results of activities of the variable interest entity should be included in the consolidated financial statements of the entity. FIN 46 requires that its provisions are effective immediately for all arrangements entered into after January 31, 2003. For those arrangements entered into prior to February 1, 2003, the FIN 46 provisions are required to be adopted at the beginning of the first interim or annual period beginning after December 15, 2003.

**Table of Contents**

The Company does not expect that the provisions of FIN 46 will have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In April 2003, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ( SFAS ) No. 149, Amendment of Statement 133 on Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 149 amends and clarifies financial accounting and reporting for derivative instruments, including certain derivative instruments embedded in other contracts and for hedging activities under SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 149 is effective for contracts entered into or modified after June 30, 2003. The Company believes that the adoption of SFAS No. 149 will not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In May 2003, the FASB issued SFAS No. 150, Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of both Liabilities and Equity. SFAS No. 150 establishes standards for how an issuer classifies and measures certain financial instruments with characteristics of both liabilities and equity. It requires that an issuer classify a financial instrument that is within its scope as a liability. SFAS No. 150 is effective for financial instruments entered into or modified after May 31, 2003, and otherwise is effective at the beginning of the first interim period beginning after June 15, 2003. The Company believes that the adoption of SFAS No. 150 will not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

**Note 2. Realization of Assets**

The accompanying financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company has sustained substantial losses from operations in recent years, and such losses have continued through the (unaudited) quarter ended September 30, 2003. In addition, the Company has used, rather than provided, cash in its operations.

In view of the matters described in the preceding paragraph, recoverability of a major portion of the recorded asset amounts shown in the accompanying balance sheet is dependent upon continued operations of the Company, which in turn is dependent upon the Company's ability to meet its operational and financing requirements on a continuing basis, to maintain present financing, and to succeed in its future operations. The financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be unable to continue in existence.

The Company has incurred, and continues to incur, losses from operations. For the years ended December 31, 2002, 2001, and 2000, the Company incurred net losses of \$13,077,832, \$28,189,603, and \$18,796,183, respectively. The Company incurred an additional net loss of \$1,573,197 during the third quarter 2003, and \$6,837,478 during the nine months ended September 30, 2003. During the past two years, the Company implemented strategies to reduce its cash used in operating activities. The Company's strategy included the consolidation of its manufacturing and research and development facilities and a targeted reduction of the employee workforce, increasing the efficiency of the Company's processes, focusing development efforts on products with a greater probability of commercial sales, expanding its outsourcing of manufacturing strategy, reducing professional fees and discretionary expenditures, and negotiating favorable payment arrangements with suppliers and service providers.

To date, the Company has financed its operations primarily through public and private equity and debt financings. The Company's liabilities currently exceed its cash and liquid assets, even with the inclusion of the remaining balance of the credit line, which is uncommitted in nature. The Company intends to attempt to augment its existing capital position by utilizing the credit line and to seek other sources of capital or debt financing. There can be no assurance that the line of credit or other funding

**Table of Contents**

sources will be available to the Company. If the Company is unable to obtain necessary funding in the immediate future then either its operations may be significantly curtailed or it may not be able to continue as a going concern.

**Note 3 Net Loss Per Share**

The Company follows SFAS No. 128, Earnings Per Share, which requires a dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share on the face of the statements of operations. Basic and diluted net loss per share is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding. Common shares issuable upon the exercise of options and warrants are not included in the per share calculations since the effect of their inclusion would be antidilutive. Excluded from this calculation, as indicated, were approximately 8.0 million outstanding options (both vested and unvested).

**Note 4 Inventories**

Inventories consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2003	December 31, 2002
Raw Materials	\$502,000	\$631,000
Work in process	176,000	40,000
Finished product	241,000	226,000
	<u>\$919,000</u>	<u>\$897,000</u>

**Note 5 Stock Options and Equity Transactions**

On August 19, 1993, the Board of Directors adopted the 1993 Stock Option Plan (the Plan) for employees, consultants, and directors who are not also employees of the Company (outside directors). The maximum number of shares issuable under the Plan, as amended, in 2002 was 14,011,468.

For employees and consultants, the Plan provides for granting of Incentive Stock Options (ISOs) and Nonstatutory Stock Options (NSOs). In the case of ISOs, the exercise price shall not be less than 100% (110% in certain cases) of the fair value of the Company's common stock, as determined by the committee, on the date of grant. In the case of NSOs, the exercise price shall not be less than 25% (110% in certain cases) of the fair value of the Company's common stock, as determined by the Committee, on the date of grant. The term of options granted to employees and consultants will be for a period not to exceed 10 years (five years in certain cases). Options granted under the Plan generally vest over a four year period (one-fourth of options granted vest after one year from the grant date and the remaining options vest ratably each month thereafter). In addition, the Committee may authorize option grants with vesting provisions that are not based solely on employees' rendering of additional service to the Company.

For outside directors, NSOs only will be granted with an exercise price of 100% of the fair value of the stock, as determined by the Committee, on the date of grant. The Plan provides that each outside director will be automatically granted NSOs on the date of their initial election to the board of directors. On the date of the annual meeting of the stockholders of the Company, each outside director who is elected, reelected, or continues to serve as a director, shall be granted additional NSOs, except for those outside directors who are first elected to the Board of Directors at the meeting or three months prior. The options granted vest ratably over one or two years, based on the date of grant, and expire after ten years from the grant date.

**Table of Contents**

The Company entered into stock option agreements with certain employees and a consultant prior to the adoption of the Plan. These stock options expire 10 years from the date of grant. Exercise prices were determined by the Board of Directors and represented estimated fair values of the Company's common stock at the grant date.

On May 10, 1999, the Board of Directors granted to each employee of the Company (other than the executive officers of the Company) (collectively, the Non-Executive Employees) the option to (i) reduce the exercise prices of up to a maximum of 15,000 of the unexercised stock options previously granted to such Non-Executive Employee under the Plan to \$.5625 per share (the closing price of the Company's Common Stock on May 10, 1999) and (ii) cause all of such stock options not otherwise scheduled to become fully vested on or before May 10, 2000 to become fully vested on such date. As a result thereof, an aggregate of 279,550 stock options previously granted under the Plan were amended as described in the preceding sentence. In addition, on May 10, 1999 the Board of Directors granted to the executive officers and certain Non-Executive Employees of the Company additional non-statutory stock options to purchase an aggregate of 343,575 shares of the Company's Common Stock under the Plan. Such stock options became fully vested on the first anniversary of the date of grant, with exercise prices of \$.5625 per share and expire 10 years from the date of grant.

On July 1, 2000, Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 44, Accounting for Certain Transactions Involving Stock Compensation, an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 25 (FIN 44) was adopted by the Company. FIN 44 requires that stock options that have been modified to reduce the exercise price be subject to variable accounting. The Company accounts for employee stock options under APB Opinion No. 25 and non-employee stock options under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock Based Compensation (SFAS No. 123).

On May 10, 1999, as described above, the Company re-priced certain stock options granted to employees and in accordance with US GAAP, at that time, the Company accounted for the re-priced stock options as fixed. As a result of adopting FIN 44, the Company is required to apply variable accounting to these options. If the market price of the Company's common stock increases above the July 1, 2000 market price, the Company will have to recognize additional compensation expense equal to the increase in stock price multiplied by the number of re-priced options. No additional expense will be recognized if the stock does not exceed the July 1, 2000 value. However, the impact cannot be determined as it is dependent on the change in the market price of the common stock from July 1, 2000 until the stock options are exercised, forfeited, or expire unexercised. Because the stock price on September 30, 2003 was below that of July 1, 2000, no expense has been recognized during the period.

On February 5, 2001, the Company's board of directors authorized the re-pricing of certain out of the money stock options granted to employees during the calendar year of 2000 to the closing share price on such date, or \$1.9375 per share. This re-pricing causes these options to be subject to variable accounting as described in FIN 44. Because the stock price on September 30, 2003, was lower than the re-priced strike price no gain or loss was recognized during the period.

On April 1, 2002, the Company's board of directors authorized the re-pricing of certain out of the money stock options granted to employees. A new strike price of \$0.81 per share was established, provided the respective employees remain with the Company for at least six months following the re-pricing date. In addition, certain stock options granted to directors were repriced, with a new strike price of \$1.00 per share. As the stock price on September 30, 2003, was lower than the re-priced strike price no gain or loss was recognized during the period.

On July 17, 2000, the Company granted an option to a non-Company advisor in connection with the establishment of a sales office in Japan to purchase 200,000 shares of common stock at \$4.9375 per share, the price of the common stock on the date of the grant. According to the Black-Scholes valuation

**Table of Contents**

model, the value of the option was \$4.53 per share. The option vested 25% immediately, with the balance vesting pro-rata over a three-year period. \$906,000 of non-cash compensation expense was to be amortized during the life of the options. This arrangement was terminated during December 2001, as a result of a change in the structure of the Japanese sales office. The cumulative compensation expense charged for these services through termination was \$545,000.

On February 15, 2000, the Company's board of directors granted to certain executive level employees an aggregate of 440,000 deferred stock units ( DSUs ) under the Company's 1993 Amended and Restated Stock Option Plan (the Plan ). The DSUs represent the right to receive an equivalent number of restricted shares of the Company's common stock. On the date of the grant, the DSUs were set to vest at the rate of 10% on the first anniversary of the date of the grant, with the balance vesting at a rate of 20%, 30%, and 40% at the second, third, and fourth anniversary dates, respectively. The executive level employees had the right to elect to defer receipt of the common stock subject to the DSUs to a later date. In the third quarter of 2000, the Company began to recognize compensation expense for the DSUs over the vesting period (4 years) based on their intrinsic value of \$1,925,000, which was the number of DSUs multiplied by the closing price of the Company's common stock on July 18, 2000, the measurement date (\$4.38 per share). As of July 3, 2003, all DSUs granted under this plan had been either received or cancelled. As a result of these transactions, unamortized deferred charges of \$308,448 were eliminated. No additional expense is expected for this purpose.

On February 15, 2002, the Company completed a Shareholder Rights Offering. Approximately \$20 million was raised from existing shareholders as of the recording date in exchange for the issuance of approximately 40 million shares of the Company's common stock. A portion of the proceeds were then used to repay in full \$9.8 million of debt and related accrued interest, as well as the payment of various other accrued expenses.

During 2002, the Company's Board of Directors granted 2,172,000 new stock options to the Company's employees, including officers (option prices are generally, but not always, set at the closing price of the Company's common stock as of the date of each respective grant). Those grants included the issuance of 900,000 stock options to the CEO during December 2002 that vested immediately and were priced at 25% of the average closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the American Stock Exchange for the trading days between December 2, 2002 and the trading day prior to the date of grant, which was a \$0.20 discount to the closing price of the Company's common stock as of the date of grant. Consequently, \$180,000 of compensation expense was recognized during December 2002, in order to account for this discount. On January 2, 2003, the Company's Board of Directors granted 2,800,000 new stock options to six of the Company's employees, including officers. 950,000 of these options vested immediately, while the remaining 1,850,000 vest monthly in 12 installments. All of the options granted on January 2, 2003 were granted at a similar discount as described above, ultimately valued at a \$0.22 discount to the closing price of the Company's common stock as of the date of the grant. A charge of \$102,000 was recognized during the third quarter 2003 to recognize the value of the discount.

During July 2003, the Board of Directors cancelled approximately 2.8 million outstanding options held by certain Company employees, including officers.

During the first nine months of 2003, in addition to the disclosure above, the Company's board of directors granted 690,000 stock options to the Company's employees and non-employee Board members. These grants were issued at the closing market price on the date of grant.

**Note 6 Debt and Financial Position**

As of the reporting date, the Company had drawn \$4 million of debt financing under a credit line. During October 2002, the Company entered into an Uncommitted Line of Credit with its two largest



## **Table of Contents**

shareholders, an affiliate of Elliott Associates, L.P. (Manchester Securities Corporation) and Alexander Finance, L.P. This line is intended to provide up to \$4 million to the Company. This line is uncommitted, such that each new borrowing under the facility would be subject to the approval of the lenders. Borrowings on this line bear an interest rate of 9.5% and are collateralized by all the assets of the Company. Outstanding loans under this agreement would be required to be repaid on a priority basis should the Company receive new funding from other sources. Additionally, the lenders were entitled to receive warrants to the extent funds were drawn down on the line. The warrants bear a strike price of \$0.20 per share of common stock and expire on April 15, 2004. The credit line matures and is due, including accrued interest thereon, on March 31, 2004. Due to an agreement between the parties that did not provide warrants with respect to the most recent \$2 million in borrowings, a maximum of 10 million warrants may be issued as a result of this transaction, presuming certain antidilutive features are not triggered.

According to existing accounting pronouncements and SEC guidelines, the Company has allocated the proceeds of these borrowings between their debt and equity components. As a result of these borrowings during 2002, the Company will record a non-cash charge of \$1.2 million through the outstanding term of the warrants (April, 2004). \$217,000 and \$645,000 of that amount were recorded during the third quarter and first nine months of 2003, respectively.

Subsequent to the reporting date, and as announced during October 2003, the Company entered into an agreement with its lenders to supplement the credit line with an additional \$2 million, \$1 million of which was drawn immediately and \$1 million available to be drawn upon the Company's request and subject to the approval of the lenders. This supplemental facility bears a 14% rate of interest and is due October 31, 2004. Unlike the previous credit line, the supplemental facility does not include any stock warrants. The term of the previous credit line was not affected by this supplement, and as such the \$4 million borrowed under that line, plus accrued interest, is due March 31, 2004.

The Company's liabilities exceed its cash and liquid assets even with the inclusion of the remaining balance of the credit line, which is uncommitted in nature. There can be no assurance that other funding mechanisms, whether identified or not, will allow the Company to access additional funds as required.

## **Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations.**

### **General**

The following is a discussion and analysis of the historical results of operations and financial condition of the Company and factors affecting the Company's financial resources. This discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, including the notes thereto, set forth herein under Part I.-Financial Information and Item 1. Financial Statements and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2002. This discussion contains forward-looking statements which involve certain risks, uncertainties and contingencies which could cause the Company's actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed, or implied, by such forward-looking statements. Such factors include those described in Risk Factors included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended. The forward-looking statements included in this report may prove to be inaccurate. In light of the significant uncertainties inherent in these forward-looking statements, you should not consider this information to be a guarantee by the Company or any other person that our objectives and plans will be achieved.

The Company provides a wide array of interference-management solutions for the wireless telecommunications industry. The Company uses both our patented and proprietary adaptive notch filter

## **Table of Contents**

( ANF ) technology and our patented and proprietary high temperature superconductor ( HTS ) technology to monitor and suppress in-band and out-of-band interference in a wireless base station. The Company also employs alternative technologies, such as its RF<sup>2</sup> product and various service offerings, to improve wireless network performance.

The continuing development of, and expansion in, sales of the Company's interference management solutions product lines will require a commitment of additional funds. The actual amount of the Company's future funding requirements will depend on many factors. The Company has an immediate need for funds. The Company's continued operations are therefore dependent upon its continued ability to raise funds through the issuance of its securities or borrowings, and its ability to acquire assets or satisfy liabilities by the issuance of stock. Management is currently seeking debt or equity financing. There is no guarantee that funding sources will be available to the Company. If the Company is unable to obtain necessary funding then either its operations may be significantly curtailed or it may not be able to continue as a going concern.

The Company was founded in 1989 by ARCH Development Corporation, an affiliate of the University of Chicago, to commercialize superconductor technologies initially developed by Argonne National Laboratory. The Company was incorporated in Illinois on October 18, 1989 and reincorporated in Delaware on September 23, 1993. On June 25, 2001, the Company changed its name from Illinois Superconductor Corporation to ISCO International, Inc. The Company's principal offices are located at 451 Kingston Court, Mount Prospect, IL 60056 and telephone number is (847) 391-9400.

## **Results of Operations**

### *Three Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002*

The Company's net sales decreased \$52,000, or 12%, to \$378,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2003 from \$430,000 for the same period in 2002. This decrease was primarily due to spending patterns in the industry. The Company anticipates its unit volume and related revenue to increase during the fourth quarter of 2003 as compared to the third quarter of 2003, due to existing and/or expected customer orders.

Cost of sales decreased by \$243,000, or 45%, to \$298,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2003 from \$541,000 for the same period in 2002. The decrease in cost of sales was due primarily to cost controls associated with the Company's outsourced manufacturing program, and to a lesser extent to the decrease in sales volume, as well as to other cost control measures adopted during the previous year.

The Company's research and development expenses decreased by \$427,000, or 65%, to \$232,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2003, from \$659,000 for the same period in 2002. The streamlining of certain processes, including related headcount reduction and other cost control measures, were the primary reasons for this reduction.

Selling and marketing expenses decreased by \$174,000, or 45%, to \$214,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2003, from \$388,000 for the same period in 2002. This decrease was due to cost reductions implemented during the previous year including the consolidation of the Dallas sales office into corporate headquarters in Mount Prospect, IL.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$749,000, or 45%, to \$904,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2003, from \$1,653,000 for the same period in 2002. This decrease was attributable to cost reduction programs as well as decreased legal expenses incurred with respect to the patent litigation with Superconductor Technologies, Inc.

Goodwill amortization and impairment issues are further detailed in Note 1.

**Table of Contents**

*Nine Months Ended September 30, 2003 and 2002*

The Company's net sales decreased \$178,000, or 8%, to \$1,949,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 from \$2,127,000 for the same period in 2002. This decrease was due to changes in spending patterns within the industry. The Company has broadened its product and customer bases and expects improvement in net sales beginning during the fourth quarter 2003.

Cost of sales decreased by \$1,408,000, or 53%, to \$1,260,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003 from \$2,668,000 for the same period in 2002. The decrease in cost of sales was due to the implementation of the Company's outsourced manufacturing program and other cost control measures adopted during the previous year, and to a lesser extent to the decrease in sales volume.

The Company's research and development expenses decreased by \$1,602,000, or 68%, to \$756,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, from \$2,358,000 for the same period in 2002. The consolidation of the Colorado and Canadian facilities into the Mount Prospect facility including related headcount reduction, as well as streamlining processes and other cost control measures, were the primary reasons for this reduction.

Selling and marketing expenses decreased by \$1,009,000, or 59%, to \$714,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, from \$1,723,000 for the same period in 2002. This decrease was due to cost reductions implemented during the previous year including the consolidation of the Dallas sales office into corporate headquarters in Mount Prospect, IL.

General and administrative expenses decreased by \$855,000, or 14%, to \$5,204,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2003, from \$6,059,000 for the same period in 2002. This decrease was attributable to cost control measures adopted during the previous year. Expenses are expected to continue to diminish due to the completion of the trial court phase of the Company's patent litigation with Superconductor Technologies, Inc.

Goodwill amortization and impairment issues are further detailed in Note 1.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At September 30, 2003, the Company's cash and cash equivalents were \$308,000, an increase of \$92,000 from the balance at December 31, 2002 of \$216,000. This increase was due to the timing of cash flows and various expenses offset by the draw of \$2 million on the Company's credit line during 2003.

Subsequent to the reporting date, and as announced during October 2003, the Company entered into an agreement with its lenders to supplement the credit line with an additional \$2 million, \$1 million of which was drawn immediately and \$1 million available to be drawn upon the Company's request and subject to the approval of the lenders. This supplemental facility bears a 14% rate of interest and is due October 31, 2004. Unlike the previous credit line, the supplemental facility does not include any stock warrants. The term of the previous credit line was not affected by this supplement, and the \$4 million borrowed under that line, plus accrued interest, is due March 31, 2004.

The continuing development of, and expansion in, sales of the Company's interference management solutions product lines will require a commitment of additional funds. The actual amount of the Company's future funding requirements will depend on many factors, including: the amount and timing of future revenues, the level of product marketing and sales efforts to support the Company's commercialization plans, the magnitude of the Company's research and product development programs, the ability of the Company to improve product margins, the cost of additional plant and equipment for manufacturing and the costs involved in protecting the Company's patents or other intellectual property.

**Table of Contents**

The Company has financed its operations primarily through public and private equity and debt financings. The Company's liabilities exceed its cash and liquid assets on hand even with the inclusion of the remaining balance of the credit line, which is uncommitted in nature. The Company's continued operations are therefore dependent upon its continued ability to raise funds through the issuance of its securities or borrowings, and its ability to acquire assets or satisfy liabilities by the issuance of stock. Management is currently seeking other debt or equity financing. There can be no assurance that the line of credit or other funding sources will be available to the Company. If the Company is unable to obtain necessary funding then either its operations may be significantly curtailed or it may not be able to continue as a going concern.

**Critical Accounting Policies**

Refer to the Company's Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 2002 for information regarding the Company's Critical Accounting Policies.

**Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

The Company does not have any material market risk sensitive instruments.

**Item 4. Controls and Procedures.**

(a) An evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer, or CEO, and Chief Financial Officer, or CFO, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined under Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act) as of September 30, 2003. Based on that evaluation, the Company's management, including the CEO and CFO, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported as specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

(b) There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation of such controls that occurred during the Company's most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

**Item 1. Legal Proceedings.**

*Laves Litigation*

On July 17, 2000 former President and CEO Edward W. Laves filed a two-count Complaint (the Complaint) in the Law Division of the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois. Laves named as Defendants the Company and three directors and sued for breach of contract (Count I) and for violation of the Illinois Wage Payment and Collection Act ( Wage Act ) (Count II). Laves claimed the Defendants constructively terminated him and then failed to pay severance benefits under his employment agreement. On April 8, 2002, Laves was given leave to amend his Complaint and add Count III against the individual director Defendants for tortious interference with contractual obligations. According to the Complaint,

**Table of Contents**

Laves seeks damages against the company in an amount estimated to exceed \$12 million, plus attorney's fees under the Wage Act and pre-and post-judgment interest. He seeks the same damages against the individual director Defendants, plus \$5,000,000 for punitive damages. Discovery closed on October 15, 2003. On November 10, 2003, Laves voluntarily dismissed his claims for emotional harm damages, and the trial judge denied summary judgment motions by the Plaintiff and by the Defendants. The trial is scheduled to begin on March 22, 2004. ISCO considers these claims without merit and is vigorously defending against them.

*Patent Litigation*

In July 2001, the Company filed suit in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against Conductus, Inc. and Superconductor Technologies, Inc. alleging infringement of U.S. Patent No. 6,263,215, entitled "Cryoelectronically Cooled Receiver Front End for Mobile Radio Systems" (the "215 patent"). This suit alleges that Conductus and Superconductor Technologies' base station front-end systems containing cryogenically cooled superconducting filters infringe this patent. The Company seeks a permanent injunction enjoining Conductus and Superconductor Technologies from marketing, selling or manufacturing these products, as well as triple damages and attorneys fees. Conductus and Superconductor Technologies denied these allegations and asked the court to enter a judgment that the patent is invalid and not infringed. Conductus and Superconductor Technologies also asserted the defense of inequitable conduct and a counterclaim for a declaration that the patent is unenforceable as well as federal and state law counterclaims, including claims of unfair competition. Conductus and Superconductor Technologies sought both compensatory and punitive damages as well as attorneys fees and costs.

On March 26, 2002, the Company replied to Conductus and Superconductor Technologies' Second Amended Answer and Counterclaims and filed counterclaims alleging that Conductus and Superconductor Technologies also infringe U.S. Patent No. 6,104,934 entitled "Cryoelectronic Receiver Front End" and U.S. Patent No. 6,205,340 B1 entitled "Cryoelectronic Receiver Front End For Mobile Radio Systems". On April 17, 2002, the court dismissed these (the Company's) counterclaims without prejudice to the Company's right to assert these counterclaims in a separate action.

On February 10, 2003, the court disposed of various motions for summary judgment filed by each party. The court denied Superconductor Technologies' motion for summary judgment of invalidity of the 215 patent as well as Conductus' motion for summary judgment limiting computation of damages to a reasonable royalty for sales to Dobson Communications, Inc. On Superconductor Technologies' motion for summary judgment of non-infringement, the court granted the motion with respect to claim 13 of the 215 patent and otherwise denied the motion with respect to each of the other asserted claims. With regard to Conductus' motion for summary judgment of non-infringement, the court granted the motion with respect to claim 13 of the 215 patent and otherwise denied the motion with respect to each of the other asserted claims. In addition, the court denied Conductus' motion for summary judgment of invalidity of all asserted claims for causes of action existing prior to the date of issuance of the certificate of correction and of invalidity of claim 13. The court also denied the Company's motions for summary judgment that Superconductor Technologies' internal projects are not prior art to the 215 patent and to dismiss the defendants' counterclaims alleging unfair competition and interference with business relations.

On April 3, 2003, the jury returned with its verdict. The jury rejected the Company's positions and determined its patent to be invalid. Additionally, the jury determined that inequitable conduct had occurred and subsequently awarded defendants \$3.87 million in damages from the Company. The Company was severely disappointed by this verdict and engaged in the post-trial motion process to overturn it. On August 21, 2003, the court issued its ruling on the post-trial motions. The court overturned the jury's determination of unfair competition on the part of the Company and denied all requests for damages, including the \$3.87 million jury award cited above. The court did not, however,

**Table of Contents**

overturn the jury determinations of patent invalidity and unenforceability based on inequitable conduct and denied ISCO's motion for a new trial.

During September 2003, the Company filed an appeal of this verdict requesting the reinstatement of its patent and the rights inherent within that patent, and Superconductor Technologies, Inc. filed a cross-appeal requesting reinstatement of the jury award and attorney's fees.

The Company intends to continue to prosecute its claims vigorously on appeal and continue to defend against defendants' counterclaims. The Company believes the patent to be valid, the counterclaims asserted against the Company to be without merit, and that it is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders to pursue this matter vigorously.

In November 2001, the Company filed suit against Dobson Communications, Inc. for allegedly infringing this patent. The action has been stayed, per agreement between the parties, until resolution of the matter between the Company and Conductus and Superconductor Technologies. The parties have agreed that Dobson Communications will be bound by any and all final, non-appealable determinations, holdings or findings with respect to all liability issues in the Company's case against Conductus.

**Item 5. Other Information.**

Mr. James Fuentes joined the Company's Board of Directors in November 2003 and was appointed to the audit committee. Mr. Fuentes is Founder, President and CEO of Clarity Communication Systems, Inc., Aurora, Illinois, a wireless software and systems development company formed in 1998.

Mr. Mark Brodsky, a board member since 1999, resigned from the Company's Board of Directors in August 2003. Mr. Brodsky is a senior portfolio manager of Elliott Management Corporation (Elliott Management), a company that provides services for the benefit of Elliott Associates, L.P. and Elliott International, L.P., two of the Company's largest shareholders. Additionally, Mr. Dan Gropper, a portfolio manager at Elliott Management, who has served on the Company's Board of Directors since 2002, will not stand for re-election to the Board at the Company's annual meeting of stockholders to be held on December 15, 2003.

**Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.**

(a) Exhibits: A list of exhibits is set forth in the Exhibit Index found on page 14 of this report.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K:

On July 22, 2003, the Company announced it had borrowed \$300,000 from the line of credit agreement with affiliates of its two largest shareholders which was entered on October 23, 2002 and also announced its financial results for its second quarter ended June 30, 2003.

On August 21, 2003, the Company announced it had borrowed the \$700,000 from the line of credit agreement with affiliates of its two largest shareholders which was entered on October 23, 2002 and that the entire \$4 million credit facility had been completely utilized by the Company.

On August 25, 2003, the Company announced that the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware issued a ruling related to its pending motions to overturn the jury findings with regard to the validity and enforceability of the Company's patent (U.S. Patent No. 6,263,215, entitled Cryoelectronically Cooled

**Table of Contents**

Receiver Front End for Mobile Radio Systems ) and allegations of inequitable conduct and unfair competition which had resulted in a damage award against the Company.

**Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized on the 14th day of November, 2003.

**ISCO International, Inc.**

By: /s/ Amr Abdelmonem

Amr Abdelmonem  
Chief Executive Officer (Principal  
Executive Officer)

By: /s/ Frank J. Cesario

Frank J. Cesario  
Chief Financial Officer (Principal  
Financial and Accounting Officer)

17

---

**Table of Contents**

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

- 10.1 Amended and Restated Loan Agreement dated October 24, 2003 between ISCO International, Inc., Manchester Securities Corporation, and Alexander Finance L.P. incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.8 of the Company s 8-K filed with the SEC on October 27, 2003
- 10.2 Amended and Restated Security Agreement dated October 24, 2003 between ISCO International, Inc., Spectral Solutions, Inc., Illinois Superconductor Canada Corporation, Manchester Securities Corporation, and Alexander Finance L.P. incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.9 of the Company s 8-K filed with the SEC on October 27, 2003
- 10.3 Secured 14% Grid Note dated October 24, 2003 between ISCO International, Inc. and Alexander Finance L.P. in the principal amount of \$876,200 incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.10 of the Company s 8-K filed with the SEC on October 27, 2003
- 10.4 Secured 14% Grid Note dated October 24, 2003 between ISCO International, Inc. and Manchester Securities Corporation in the principal amount of \$1,123,800 incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.11 of the Company s 8-K filed with the SEC on October 27, 2003
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Guaranty of Spectral Solutions, Inc. incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.12 of the Company s 8-K filed with the SEC on October 27, 2003
- 10.6 Amended and Restated Guaranty of Illinois Superconductor Canada Corporation incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.13 of the Company s 8-K filed with the SEC on October 27, 2003
- 31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.