

CURTISS WRIGHT CORP  
Form DEF 14A  
March 25, 2015  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, D.C. 20549**

**SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of  
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant  x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant  o

Check the appropriate box:

o Preliminary Proxy Statement

o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

x Definitive Proxy Statement

o Definitive Additional Materials

o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

**CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION**

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

x No fee required.

Edgar Filing: CURTISS WRIGHT CORP - Form DEF 14A

o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Dear Valued Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of stockholders of Curtiss-Wright Corporation to be held on Thursday, May 7, 2015, at The Ballantyne Hotel & Lodge, 10000 Ballantyne Commons Parkway, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277, commencing at 10:00 a.m. local time.

The Notice of Annual Meeting and the Proxy Statement which follow this letter provide information concerning matters to be considered and acted upon at the annual meeting. We will present a brief report on our business followed by a question and answer period at the annual meeting.

In accordance with rules adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission, we are using the internet as our primary means of furnishing proxy materials to our stockholders. Accordingly, most stockholders will not receive paper copies of our proxy materials. We will instead send our stockholders a notice with instructions for accessing the proxy materials and voting electronically over the internet or by telephone. The notice also provides information on how stockholders may request paper copies of our proxy materials. We believe electronic delivery of our proxy materials will help us reduce the environmental impact and costs of printing and distributing paper copies and improve the speed and efficiency by which our stockholders can access these materials.

We know that many of you are unable to attend the annual meeting in person. The proxies that we solicit give you the opportunity to vote on all matters that are scheduled to come before the annual meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend, you can be sure that your shares are represented by promptly voting and submitting your proxy by phone or by internet as described in the following materials, or if you request that proxy materials be mailed to you, by completing, signing, dating, and returning your proxy card enclosed with those materials in the postage-paid envelope provided to you.

On behalf of your Board of Directors, management, and our employees, I would like to express our appreciation for your continued support.

Sincerely,

DAVID C. ADAMS  
*Chairman and Chief Executive Officer*

---

**CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION**  
**13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277**

**NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS**

To the holders of the common stock of Curtiss-Wright Corporation:

Notice is hereby given that the annual meeting of stockholders (the Annual Meeting ) of Curtiss-Wright Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the Company ), will be held on Thursday, May 7, 2015, at The Ballantyne Hotel & Lodge, 10000 Ballantyne Commons Parkway, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277, commencing at 10:00 a.m. local time, for the following purposes:

- (1) To elect ten Directors;
- (2) To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for 2015;
- (3) To hold an advisory (non-binding) vote on Executive Compensation; and
- (4) To consider and transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting.

Only record holders of the Company's common stock at the close of business on March 10, 2015, the record date for the Annual Meeting, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting. A list of stockholders will be available for examination by any stockholder(s) at the Annual Meeting and at the offices of the Company, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277, during the ten days preceding the Annual Meeting date.

All stockholders are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting in person. Stockholders who plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person are nevertheless requested to vote their shares electronically over the Internet or by telephone, or if you receive a proxy card in the mail, by mailing the completed proxy card to make certain that their vote will be represented at the Annual Meeting should they be prevented unexpectedly from attending.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

March 25, 2015 PAUL J. FERDENZI  
*Vice President, Corporate Secretary  
and General Counsel*

**IMPORTANT: WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE PROMPTLY SUBMIT YOUR PROXY ELECTRONICALLY OVER THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE, OR IF YOU RECEIVE A PAPER PROXY CARD, PLEASE FILL IN, SIGN AND PROMPTLY RETURN YOUR PROXY CARD IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE**

***Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on Thursday, May 7, 2015. A Notice and Proxy Statement and combined Business Review/2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K to security holders are available at: [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com).***

---

**CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION**  
**13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277**

**PROXY STATEMENT**

**PURPOSE**

This Proxy Statement is being furnished in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors of Curtiss-Wright Corporation, a Delaware corporation (the Company), for use at the annual meeting of stockholders of the Company (the Annual Meeting) to be held on Thursday, May 7, 2015, at 10:00 a.m. local time, at The Ballantyne Hotel & Lodge, 10000 Ballantyne Commons Parkway, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277, and at any adjournments thereof.

**INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS**

Pursuant to the rules adopted by the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC), the Company is furnishing proxy materials to its stockholders primarily via the internet, rather than mailing paper copies of these materials to each stockholder. On or about March 27, 2015, the Company will mail to each stockholder (other than those stockholders who previously had requested paper delivery of proxy materials) a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access and review the proxy materials, including a Notice and Proxy Statement and the Company's combined Business Review/2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC, on the internet and how to access a proxy card to vote on the internet or by telephone. The Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials also contains instructions on how to request a paper copy of the proxy materials. If you received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials by mail, you will not receive a paper copy of the proxy materials unless you request one. If you would like to receive a paper copy of the proxy materials, please follow the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. You can also choose to receive future proxy materials by email by following the instructions included in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. This will help the Company reduce the environmental impact and costs of printing and distributing paper copies and improve the speed and efficiency by which the Company's stockholders can access these materials. If you choose to receive future proxy materials by email, you will receive an email next year with instructions containing a link to those materials and a link to the proxy voting site. Your election to receive proxy materials by email will remain in effect until you revoke it. The Company may at its discretion voluntarily choose to mail or deliver a paper copy of the proxy materials, including a Notice and Proxy Statement and the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC, to one or more stockholders.

**INFORMATION CONCERNING THE ANNUAL MEETING**

*Mailing and Solicitation.* A Notice and Proxy Statement and combined Business Review/2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K and accompanying form of proxy card set forth in Appendix A hereto are being distributed or made available via the internet to the Company's stockholders on or about March 27, 2015. For information about stockholders' eligibility to vote at the Annual Meeting, please see *Record Date and Outstanding Stock* below. The cost of the solicitation of proxies will be paid by the Company. The solicitation is to be made primarily by mail but may be supplemented by telephone calls and personal solicitation by officers and other employees of the Company. The Company will reimburse banks and nominees for their expenses in forwarding proxy materials to the Company's beneficial owners.

*Proxies.* Whether or not you plan to attend the Annual Meeting, the Company requests that you vote prior to the Annual Meeting: (i) via the internet, by following the instructions provided in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, (ii) via telephone, by following the instructions provided in the Notice of Internet Availability of

Proxy Materials, or (iii) via mail, by completing, signing, dating and mailing a paper proxy card in a postage-paid return envelope, which a stockholder can request as outlined in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. A control number, contained in the

---

Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, is designed to verify your identity and allow you to vote your shares, and confirm that your voting instructions have been properly recorded.

If your shares are registered directly in your name, you are the holder of record of these shares and the Company is sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials directly to you. As the holder of record, you have the right to vote by one of the three ways mentioned above or in person at the Annual Meeting. If your shares are held in street name, your bank, broker or other nominee is sending to you a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials. As a holder in street name, you have the right to direct your bank, broker, or other nominee how to vote by submitting voting instructions in the manner directed by your bank, broker, or other nominee. If your shares are held in street name and you wish to vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a proxy issued in your name from your bank, broker, or other nominee and bring that proxy to the Annual Meeting.

*Broker non-votes.* Under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ), a bank, broker, or other nominee who holds shares in street name for customers is precluded from exercising voting discretion with respect to the approval of non-routine matters (so called broker non-votes ) in the absence of specific instructions from such customers. The (1) election of Directors (see Proposal One) and (2) advisory (non-binding) vote on Executive Compensation (See Proposal Three) are considered non-routine matters under applicable NYSE rules and, therefore, a bank, broker, or other nominee is not entitled to vote the shares of Company common stock unless the beneficial owner has given instructions. As such, there may be broker non-votes with respect to these proposals. On the other hand, the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 (see Proposal Two) is considered a routine matter under applicable NYSE rules, therefore, a bank, broker, or other nominee will have discretionary authority to vote the shares of Company common stock if the beneficial owner has not given instructions and no broker non-votes will occur with respect to this proposal.

*Voting In Accordance With Instructions.* The shares represented by your properly submitted proxy received by mail, telephone, internet or in person will be voted in accordance with your instructions. If you are a registered holder and you do not specify in your properly submitted proxy how the shares represented thereby are to be voted, your shares will be voted:

- (1) FOR the election as Directors of the nominees proposed (see Proposal One),
- (2) FOR the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2015 (see Proposal Two), and
- (4) FOR the compensation

of the  
Company's  
named  
executive  
officers under  
the proposal  
regarding the  
advisory  
(non-binding)  
vote on  
Executive  
Compensation  
(see Proposal  
Three).

If your shares are held in street name and you do not specify how the shares represented thereby are to be voted, your bank, broker, or other nominee may exercise its discretionary authority to vote on Proposal Two only.

The Board of Directors is not aware of any other matters to be presented for action at the Annual Meeting, but if other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting, shares represented by properly completed proxies received by mail, telephone, internet, or in person will be voted in accordance with the judgment of the persons named as proxies.

*Signatures in Certain Cases.* If a stockholder is a corporation or unincorporated entity such as a partnership or limited liability company, the enclosed proxy should be signed in its corporate or other entity name by an authorized officer or person and his or her title should be indicated. If shares are registered in the name of two or more trustees or other persons, the proxy must be signed by a majority of them. If shares are registered in the name of a decedent, the proxy should be signed by the executor or administrator and his or her title should follow the signature.

*Revocation of Proxies.* Whether the proxy is submitted via the internet, telephone, or mail, stockholders have the right to revoke their proxies at any time before a vote is taken. If your shares are registered in your name, you may revoke your proxy (1) by notifying the Corporate Secretary of the Company in writing at the Company's address given above, (2) by executing a new proxy bearing a later date or by submitting a new proxy by telephone or the internet on a later date, provided the new proxy is received by Broadridge Financial Solutions Inc. (which will have a representative present at



the Annual Meeting) before the vote, (3) by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person, or (4) by any other method available to stockholders by law. If your shares are held in street name, you should contact the record holder to obtain instructions if you wish to revoke your vote before the Annual Meeting.

*Record Date and Outstanding Stock.* The close of business on March 10, 2015 has been fixed as the record date of the Annual Meeting, and only stockholders of record at that time will be entitled to vote. The only capital stock of the Company outstanding is the common stock, par value \$1.00 per share (the Common Stock). As of March 10, 2015, there were 47,694,379 shares of Common Stock outstanding constituting all the capital stock of the Company entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. Each stockholder is entitled to one vote for each share of Common Stock held.

*Quorum.* The presence, in person or by properly executed proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is necessary to constitute a quorum at the Annual Meeting.

*Required Vote.*

Election of Directors: A plurality of the Common Stock present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting will elect as Directors the nominees proposed (see Proposal One). However, under our corporate governance guidelines, in an uncontested election where the only nominees are those recommended by the Board, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes withheld from his or her election than votes for his or her election is required to tender his or her resignation following certification of the stockholder vote. The Committee on Directors and Governance is required to make recommendations to the Board with respect to any such letter of resignation. The Board is required to take action with respect to this recommendation and to disclose their decision-making process. Full details of this policy are set out under Proposal One: Election of Directors on page 3 of this Proxy Statement.

Ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP: The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock present in person or represented by proxy is required to approve the ratification of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm.

Advisory (non-binding vote) on Executive Compensation: The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of Common Stock present in person or represented by proxy and eligible to vote on the proposal is required to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers.

Calculating Votes. Under the Delaware General Corporation Law, an abstaining vote and a broker non-vote are counted as present and entitled to vote and are, therefore, included for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions will not be counted as having voted either for or against the election of directors listed in Proposal One. With respect to Proposals Two and Three, abstentions will be counted as votes against such Proposals. Broker non-votes will not be counted as having voted either for or against any of the Proposals.

*Dissenter's Rights of Appraisal.* The stockholders have no dissenter's rights of appraisal under the Delaware General Corporation Law, the Company's Restated Certificate of Incorporation or the Company's Amended and Restated By-Laws with respect to the matters to be voted on at the Annual Meeting.

## **PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS**

### **General Information**

At the date of this Proxy Statement, the Board of Directors of the Company (the Board or Board of Directors) consists of eleven members, ten of whom are non-employee Directors. However, Martin R. Benante, who is presently a

Director of the Company and was the former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, has advised the Board of his decision to retire from the Board and his term will expire effective just prior to the Annual Meeting. Mr. Benante served on the Board with great distinction.

After extensive discussion, the Board voted on February 10, 2015 to retain John R. Myers and Dr. William W. Sihler as directors for one additional year despite the Board's vote on May 7, 2011 to retain Messrs. Myers and Sihler as directors for only one year beyond reaching their 75th birthday (the Board previously voted on February 12, 2013 to retain Mr. Myers for an additional year beyond that one year extension and again on February 11, 2014 to retain Messrs. Myers and Sihler for an additional year beyond that one year extension). The Board believes that this action is in the best interest of the Company as it was concerned that this higher than typical turnover in having to replace two directors in the same year along with the retirement of Mr. Benante would disrupt the leadership transition and management reorganization of the Executive Chairman position from Martin R. Benante to current Chairman and Chief Executive Officer David C. Adams. This action also retains continuity in Board experience so that the Board's operations would not be adversely affected in having to replace Messrs. Myers and Sihler and also allows Mr. Adams the benefit of working with Messrs. Myers and Sihler and having an extended opportunity to learn about Board practices and culture.

The Committee on Directors and Governance of the Board of Directors has recommended and our full Board of Directors has nominated David C. Adams, Dean M. Flatt, S. Marce Fuller, Dr. Allen A. Kozinski, John R. Myers, John B. Nathman, Robert J. Rivet, Dr. William W. Sihler, Albert E. Smith, and Stuart W. Thorn, each currently serving Directors, to be elected to the Board for a one year term. Each nominee has indicated his or her willingness to serve. In the event that any nominee should become unavailable for election, the persons named in the proxy may vote for the election of a substitute nominee.

Directors will be elected by a plurality of votes properly cast (in person or by proxy) at the Annual Meeting. This means that a person will be elected who receives the first through tenth highest number of votes, even if he or she receives less than a majority of the votes cast. Therefore, stockholders who do not vote or withhold their vote from one or more of the proposed nominees and do not vote for another person, will not affect the outcome of the election provided that a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting. However, under our corporate governance guidelines, in an uncontested election of Directors where the only nominees are those recommended by the Board (which is the case for the election of Directors at this Annual Meeting), any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes withheld from his or her election than votes for his or her election (a Majority Withheld Vote) is required to tender his or her resignation following certification of the stockholder vote. The Committee on Directors and Governance must promptly consider the resignation offer and a range of possible responses based on the circumstances that led to the Majority Withheld Vote, if known, and make a recommendation to the Board. The Board will act on the Committee on Directors and Governance recommendation within 90 days following certification of the stockholder vote. Thereafter, the Board will promptly disclose its decision-making process and decision regarding whether to accept the Director's resignation (or the reason(s) for rejecting the resignation offer, if applicable) in a Form 8-K filed with the SEC. Any Director who tenders his or her resignation pursuant to this provision will not participate in the Committee on Directors and Governance recommendation or the Board action regarding whether to accept or reject the resignation offer.

As further discussed in the section titled *Broker non-votes* on page 2 of this Proxy Statement, if you own shares of Common Stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record, you must instruct your bank, broker, or other holder of record how to vote in order for them to vote your shares of Common Stock so that your vote can be counted on this Proposal One.

### **Information Regarding Nominees**

Set forth below is information with respect to the nominees for Directors. Such information includes the principal occupation of each nominee for Director during, at least, the past five years, as well as a brief description of the particular experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that qualify the nominee to serve as a Director of the Company.

*David C. Adams*, age 61, has served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company since January 1, 2015. Prior to this, he served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company from August 2013. He served as President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company from October 2012; Co-Chief Operating Officer from November 2008; President of Curtiss-Wright Controls

from June 2005; and Vice President of the Company from November 2005. He has been a Director of the Company since August 2013.

Mr. Adams has been an employee of the Company for more than 14 years, serving in increasing levels of strategic, operational, and managerial responsibility, as discussed above. Mr. Adams' ability to grow the Company and in-depth knowledge of the Company's business segments and industries in which they operate, as evidenced by the Company's strong growth during his tenure as Chief Operating Officer and Chief Executive Officer provides the Company a competitive advantage in continuing to improve long-term performance and increase stockholder value.

*Dean M. Flatt*, age 64, served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Honeywell International Inc.'s Defense and Space business from July 2005 to July 2008. Prior to that, he served as President of Honeywell International Inc.'s Aerospace Electronics Systems business from December 2001 to July 2005, and also served as President of Honeywell International Inc.'s Specialty Materials and Chemicals business from July 2000 to December 2001. Since May 2010, he has been a member of the Operating Executive Board of JF Lehman & Company, a private equity investment firm. Further, he is serving as a director of Ducommun Incorporated and Industrial Container Services, Inc. (also serving as Chairperson of the Compensation Committee) since January 2009 and January 2012, respectively, and is serving as non-executive Chairman of National Technical Systems, Inc. since January 2014. He has been a Director of the Company since February 2012, and serves as a member of the Committee on Directors and Governance and the Executive Compensation Committee.

Mr. Flatt has an in-depth understanding of the aerospace industry, evidenced by his past employment in high level managerial positions at Honeywell International Inc., a leading global supplier of aerospace products, one of the Company's major markets. In addition, Mr. Flatt has extensive experience in evaluating new business opportunities gained while serving on the executive board of a private equity firm. Furthermore, Mr. Flatt has extensive managerial experience in operating a business at the director level, serving as a current director of Ducommun Incorporated, Industrial Container Services, Inc., and National Technical Systems, Inc. Mr. Flatt's ability to lead a company at one of the highest levels of management, coupled with his in-depth knowledge of the aerospace industry and private equity investing provides the Company with a competitive advantage in seeking new opportunities and platforms for its aerospace industry products and services, as well as strengthening the ability of the Company to select strategic acquisitions..

*S. Marce Fuller*, age 54, was the President and Chief Executive Officer of Mirant Corporation from July 1999 to October 2005, and a Director of Mirant Corporation from July 1999 until January 2006. She served as a Director of Earthlink, Inc. from January 2002 to April 2014. At Earthlink, she served as Chairperson of the Audit Committee, Leadership and Compensation Committee, and Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, and as Lead Independent Director. She has been a Director of the Company since 2000 and serves as Chairperson of the Executive Compensation Committee and as a member of the Audit Committee.

Ms. Fuller has an in-depth understanding of the power generation industry, evidenced by her past employment at Southern Energy and Mirant Corporation, both leading power generation companies. At these companies, Ms. Fuller served at times in increasing levels of managerial responsibility, beginning with Vice President at Southern Energy and then as President and Chief Executive Officer of both Southern Energy and Mirant Corporation. Ms. Fuller's ability to lead a company at the highest level of management, coupled with her in-depth knowledge of the power generation industry, one of the Company's largest markets, provides the Company a competitive advantage in seeking new opportunities and platforms for its power generation industry products and services.

*Dr. Allen A. Kozinski*, age 73, served as Group Vice President, Global Refining of BP PLC from 1998 through 2002. He has been a Director of the Company since 2007 and serves as Chairperson of the Committee on Directors and Governance and as a member of the Finance Committee.

Dr. Kozinski has an in-depth understanding of the oil and gas industry, evidenced by his past employment at Amoco Corporation and BP, both leading oil and gas companies. At these companies, Dr. Kozinski served at times in increasing levels of managerial responsibility, beginning with business unit manager and then Vice President, Technology, Engineering and International Development at Amoco, and Group Vice President, Global Refining at BP. Dr. Kozinski's ability to lead a company's

business segment at a high level of management, coupled with his in-depth knowledge of the oil and gas industry, one of the Company's end markets, provides the Company a competitive advantage in seeking new opportunities and platforms for its industrial products and services.

*John R. Myers*, age 78, served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Tru-Circle Corporation from June 1999 to July 2003. From 1993 to 1999 he was a limited partner of Carlisle Enterprises, a private equity group, and since 2005 he has served as an Operating Partner of First Atlantic Capital Corporation, a private equity group. From 1994 to May 2002 he served as a Director of Iomega Corporation. He has been a Director of the Company since 1996 and serves as a member of the Executive Compensation Committee and the Committee on Directors and Governance. Since May 2014, he is also serving as Lead Independent Director of the Company for a term of one year expiring in May 2015, or until his successor is appointed.

Mr. Myers has extensive managerial experience in operating a business at both the officer and director level, evidenced by his service at both Tru-Circle Corporation and Iomega Corporation. In addition, Mr. Myers has an in-depth understanding of the aerospace industry gained while employed by Tru-Circle Corporation, Garrett Aviation Services, and Textron Lycoming Engines, one of the Company's major markets. Furthermore, Mr. Myers has extensive experience in evaluating new business opportunities gained while working at private equity investment companies. Mr. Myers's ability to lead a company at the highest level of management and his knowledge of the aerospace industry and private equity investing provides the Company with a competitive advantage in seeking new opportunities and platforms for its aerospace industry products and services, as well as strengthening the ability of the Company to select strategic acquisitions.

*Admiral (Ret.) John B. Nathman*, age 66, served as commander of U.S. Fleet Forces Command from February 2005 to May 2007. From August 2004 to February 2005, he served as Vice Chief of Naval Operations in the U.S. Navy. From August 2002 to August 2004, he served as Deputy Chief of Naval Operations for Warfare Requirements and Programs at the Pentagon. From October 2001 to August 2002, he served as Commander, Naval Air Forces. From August 2000 to October 2001, he served as Commander of Naval Air Forces, U.S. Pacific Fleet. He has been a Director of the Company since 2008 and serves as a member of the Finance Committee and the Committee on Directors and Governance.

Admiral Nathman's strong leadership, coupled with an in-depth understanding of U.S. government spending, especially defense spending and military products, evidenced by 37 years of service in high-level commands in the United States Navy, provides the Company a competitive advantage in seeking new opportunities and platforms for its defense industry products and services.

*Robert J. Rivet*, age 61, was Executive Vice President, Chief Operations and Administrative Officer of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. from October 2008 to February 2011, and was Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer of Advanced Micro Devices, Inc. from September 2000 until October 2009. From 2009 to 2011, he also served as a Director of Globalfoundries Inc. He has been a Director of the Company since 2011 and serves as Chairperson of the Audit Committee and as a member of the Executive Compensation Committee.

Mr. Rivet has an in-depth understanding of the preparation and analysis of financial statements based upon his 35 years of financial experience, including nine years as Chief Financial Officer of Advanced Micro Devices. In addition, Mr. Rivet led numerous acquisition and divestiture activities while at Advanced Micro Devices and Motorola Corporation. Mr. Rivet's extensive financial knowledge will be an invaluable asset to the Board in its oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements and the financial reporting process. Additionally, his in-depth understanding of high-technology industries such as the semiconductor business, and experience in mergers and acquisitions provides the Company a competitive advantage in seeking new strategic business opportunities and acquisitions.

*Dr. William W. Sihler*, age 77, has been the Ronald E. Trzcinski Professor of Business Administration, Darden Graduate School of Business Administration, University of Virginia since 1984. Since 1992, he has served as Director, President, and Treasurer of Southeastern Consultants Group, Ltd. He has been a Director of the Company since 1991 and serves as a member of the Audit



Committee and a member of the Finance Committee. He also served as Lead Independent Director of the Company from June 2013 to May 2014.

Dr. Sihler's in-depth understanding of financial analysis and financial management, and his ability to assess risk, developed over 44 years from teaching financial analysis and financial management courses at graduate school, is an invaluable asset to the Board in order for it to effectively evaluate risk and oversee financial management for the Company.

*Albert E. Smith*, age 65, served as Chairman of Tetra Tech, Inc. from March 2006 to January 2008 and has been a director of Tetra Tech since May 2005. He has been a director of CDI Corp. since October 2008. From 2002 to 2005, he served as a member of the Secretary of Defense's Science Board. Mr. Smith was employed at Lockheed Martin Corp. from August 1985 to January 2005. Mr. Smith served as an Executive Vice President of Lockheed Martin from September 1999 until June 2005. He has been a Director of the Company since 2006 and serves as Chairperson of the Finance Committee and as a member of the Committee on Directors and Governance.

Mr. Smith has an in-depth understanding of the aerospace industry, evidenced by his past employment at Lockheed Martin, a leading aerospace company. At Lockheed, Mr. Smith served in high level managerial positions. In addition, Mr. Smith has extensive managerial experience in operating a business at the director level, serving as a current director of Tetra Tech and CDI Corp., both public companies. Mr. Smith's experience as a director at other public companies and ability to lead a company at one of the highest levels of management, coupled with his in-depth knowledge of the aerospace industry, one of the Company's largest markets, provides the Company a competitive advantage in seeking new opportunities and platforms for its aerospace industry products and services.

*Stuart W. Thorn*, age 58, has been the President and Chief Executive Officer of Southwire Company since 2002. Prior to this position, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Southwire Company from 2001. From 1998 to 2001, he served as President and Chief Operating Officer and from 1997 to 1998 he served as Chief Financial Officer of Beaulieu of America, Inc. From 1995 to 1997, he served as Vice President, International Finance, of Campbell Soup Company, Inc. He has been a Director of the Company since 2014 and serves as a member of the Audit Committee and the Finance Committee.

Mr. Thorn's extensive managerial experience in operating a business at the highest level of management, gained as a long-serving chief executive officer, and substantial financial expertise, gained while having served in various senior financial officer roles, is a valuable asset to the Company in assisting its operational improvement strategy and in supporting the Board in its oversight of the integrity of the Company's financial statements and the financial reporting process.

### **Directorships at Public Companies**

The following table sets forth any directorships at other public companies and registered investment companies held by each nominee for Director at any time during the past five years.

<b>Name of Director</b>	<b>Company</b>
Dean M. Flatt	Ducommun Incorporated
S. Marce Fuller	Earthlink, Inc.
Albert E. Smith	Tetra Tech Inc. CDI Corporation

### **Family Relationships**

There are no family relationships between any of the Company's Directors, executive officers, or persons nominated or chosen by the Company to become a director or executive officer.

**Certain Legal Proceedings**

None of the Company's Directors, executive officers, or persons nominated or chosen by the Company to become a director has been, during the past ten years: (i) involved in any bankruptcy

petition filed by or against such person or any business of which such person was a general partner or executive officer, either at the time of the bankruptcy or within two years prior to that time; (ii) convicted of any criminal proceeding or subject to a pending criminal proceeding (excluding traffic violations and other minor offenses); (iii) subject to any order, judgment, or decree, not subsequently reversed, suspended, or vacated, of any court of competent jurisdiction or Federal or State authority, permanently or temporarily enjoined, barred, suspended, or otherwise limited from involvement in any type of business, securities, futures, commodities, or banking activities; (iv) found by a court of competent jurisdiction (in a civil action), the Securities and Exchange Commission or the Commodity Futures Trading Commission to have violated a federal or state securities or commodities law, and the judgment has not been reversed, suspended, or vacated; (v) subject of, or a party to, any Federal or State judicial or administrative order, judgment, decree, or finding, not subsequently reversed, suspended or vacated, related to an alleged violation of securities or commodities law or regulation; any law or regulation respecting financial institutions or insurance companies; or any law or regulation prohibiting mail or wire fraud or fraud in connection with any business entity; or (vi) the subject of, or a party to, any sanction or order, not subsequently reversed, suspending, or vacated, of any self-regulatory organization, any registered entity of the Commodity Exchange Act or any equivalent exchange, association, entity, or organization that has disciplinary authority over its members or persons associated with a member.

### **Compensation of Directors**

For information concerning compensation of our Directors, please see Compensation of Directors on page 45 of this Proxy Statement.

## **RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

### **THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF EACH OF THE NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR**

## **STRUCTURE AND PRACTICES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

### **Corporate Governance Guidelines and Compliance**

The Board of Directors has adopted corporate governance guidelines that provide the framework for the governance of the Company. The corporate governance guidelines are available within the Corporate Governance section of the Company's website at [www.curtisswright.com](http://www.curtisswright.com) or by sending a request in writing to the Corporate Secretary, Curtiss-Wright Corporation, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277.

The corporate governance guidelines address, among other things, standards for Director independence, meetings of the Board, executive sessions of the Board, committees of the Board, the compensation of Directors, duties of Directors to the Company and its stockholders, and the Board's role in management succession. The Board reviews these principles and other aspects of governance annually.

### **Meetings of the Board**

The Board has regularly scheduled meetings each year and special meetings are held as necessary. In addition, management and the Directors communicate informally on a variety of topics, including suggestions for Board or committee agenda items, recent developments, and other matters of interest to the Directors. Each Director has full access to management.

A meeting of the Company's non-employee Directors in executive session without any employee Directors or members of management present is scheduled at every regularly scheduled Board meeting. During 2014, the non-employee Directors met nine times in executive session. In May 2014, John R. Myers was appointed by the Board to serve as Lead Independent Director for such executive sessions for a period of one year expiring in May 2015, or until his successor is appointed. Previously, Dr. William W. Sihler served as Lead Independent Director for such executive sessions, whose term

had expired. The Lead Independent Director reviews the agenda items from the meeting with all non-employee Directors and leads discussions with the independent Board members and coordinates follow up discussions with management. For a further discussion on the position of Lead Independent Director, please read the section titled *Board Leadership Structure* on page 11 of this Proxy Statement.

Directors are expected to attend all meetings of the Board and each committee on which they serve. In 2014, the Board held 15 meetings and committees of the Board held a total of 16 meetings. During 2014, no Director attended less than 75% of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board of Directors or of the committee or committees on which he or she served, which were held during the period that he or she served.

The Company does not have a formal policy with respect to Director attendance at the annual meeting of stockholders. The Company believes that the potential expense involved with requiring all non-employee Directors to attend the annual meeting of stockholders outweighs the benefit of such attendance because meeting agenda items are generally uncontested, nearly all shares voted are voted by proxy, and stockholder attendance at the meetings is traditionally very low. Accordingly, no non-employee Directors attended the Company's 2014 annual meeting of stockholders. David C. Adams, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, did attend the Company's 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and will attend the Company's 2015 annual meeting of stockholders where he will be available for questions.

### **Communication with the Board**

Stockholders, employees, and other interested parties wishing to contact the Board directly may initiate in writing any communication with: (i) the Board, (ii) any committee of the Board, (iii) the non-employee Directors as a group, or (iv) any individual non-employee Director by sending the communication to Dr. William W. Sihler, c/o Southeastern Consultants Group, Ltd., P.O. Box 5645, Charlottesville, Virginia, 22905. The name of any specific intended Board recipient should be noted in the communication. However, prior to forwarding any correspondence, Dr. Sihler will review such correspondence and, in his discretion, not forward certain items if they are deemed to be of a commercial nature or sent in bad faith.

### **Director Independence**

The corporate governance guidelines provide independence standards generally consistent with the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. These standards specify the criteria by which the independence of the Company's Directors will be determined and require annually the Board to determine affirmatively that each independent Director has no material relationship with the Company other than as a Director. The Board has adopted the standards set out in the corporate governance guidelines, which are posted within the Corporate Governance section of the Company's website at [www.curtisswright.com](http://www.curtisswright.com), for its evaluation of the materiality of any Director relationship with the Company. To assist in the Board's determination, each Director completed materials designed to identify any relationship that could affect the Director's independence. On the basis of those materials and the standards described above, the Board has determined that the following Directors are independent as required by the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and the Board's corporate governance guidelines: Dean M. Flatt, S. Marce Fuller, Dr. Allen A. Kozinski, John R. Myers, John B. Nathman, Robert J. Rivet, Dr. William W. Sihler, Albert E. Smith, and Stuart W. Thorn. Mr. Adams does not meet the corporate governance guidelines independence test and NYSE independence listing standards due to his current position as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company. There were no other transactions, relationships, or arrangements not otherwise disclosed that were considered by the Board of Directors in determining whether any of the Directors are independent.

All members of the Audit Committee, the Executive Compensation Committee, the Finance Committee, and the Committee on Directors and Governance are independent Directors as defined in the New York Stock Exchange

listing standards and in the standards in the Company's corporate governance guidelines.

## Code of Conduct

The corporate governance guidelines contain a code of conduct that applies to every Director. The Company also maintains a code of conduct that applies to every employee, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Corporate Controller. The Company designed the corporate governance guidelines and the code of conduct to ensure that its business is conducted in a consistently legal and ethical manner. The corporate governance guidelines include policies on, among other things, conflicts of interest, corporate opportunities, and insider trading. The Company's code of conduct applicable to its employees includes policies on, among other things, employment, conflicts of interest, financial reporting, the protection of confidential information, and insider trading and requires strict adherence to all laws and regulations applicable to the conduct of the Company's business. The Company will disclose any waivers of the codes of conduct pertaining to Directors or senior financial executives on its website at [www.curtisswright.com](http://www.curtisswright.com) in accordance with applicable law and the requirements of the NYSE corporate governance standards. To date, no waivers have been requested or granted. The Company's code of conduct is available within the Corporate Governance section of the Company's website at [www.curtisswright.com](http://www.curtisswright.com) or by sending a request in writing to the Corporate Secretary, Curtiss-Wright Corporation, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277.

## Board Committees

The Board of Directors has an Audit Committee, an Executive Compensation Committee, a Committee on Directors and Governance, and a Finance Committee. The Board has adopted a written charter for each of these committees. The full text of each charter is available within the Corporate Governance section of the Company's website at [www.curtisswright.com](http://www.curtisswright.com) or by sending a request in writing to the Corporate Secretary, Curtiss-Wright Corporation, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277. The current membership of each committee is as follows:

<b>Director</b>	<b>Audit Committee</b>	<b>Executive Compensation Committee</b>	<b>Committee on Directors and Governance</b>	<b>Finance Committee</b>
Dean M. Flatt		X	X	
S. Marce Fuller	X	X(1)		
Dr. Allen A. Kozinski			X(1)	X
John R. Myers		X	X	
John B. Nathman			X	X
Robert J. Rivet	X(1)	X		
Dr. William W. Sihler	X			X
Albert E. Smith			X	X(1)
Stuart W. Thorn	X			X

(1) Denotes Chairperson

*Audit Committee.* The Audit Committee presently consists of four directors. The Audit Committee met five times during 2014. The Audit Committee assists the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibility relating to the integrity of the Company's financial statements and the financial reporting process; the systems of internal accounting and financial controls; the performance of the Company's internal audit function; the annual independent audit of the Company's financial statements; the performance, qualifications, and independence of its independent registered public accounting firm; risk assessment and management; and the Company's compliance and ethics programs.

Each member of the Audit Committee meets the independence requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, Rule 10A-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and the Company's corporate governance guidelines. In accordance

with New York Stock Exchange requirements, the Board in its business judgment has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is financially literate, knowledgeable, and qualified to review financial statements. The Board has also determined



that at least one member of the Audit Committee, Robert J. Rivet, is an audit committee financial expert as defined in the rules of the SEC.

*Executive Compensation Committee.* The Executive Compensation Committee presently consists of four directors. The Executive Compensation Committee met five times during 2014. Each member of the Executive Compensation Committee meets the independence requirements of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's corporate governance guidelines.

The Executive Compensation Committee determines the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer and recommends to the full Board the compensation levels for the remaining executive officers of the Company. The Executive Compensation Committee also oversees the administration of the Company's executive compensation programs and reviews and evaluates compensation arrangements to assess whether they could encourage undue risk taking. In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Executive Compensation Committee may retain a consultant. For a discussion concerning the process and procedures for the consideration and determination of executive compensation and the role of executive officers and compensation consultants in determining or recommending the amount or form of compensation, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 17 of this Proxy Statement.

*Committee on Directors and Governance.* The Committee on Directors and Governance presently consists of five directors. The Committee on Directors and Governance met three times during 2014. The Committee on Directors and Governance develops policy on the size and composition of the Board, criteria for Director nomination, procedures for the nomination process, and compensation paid to Directors. The committee identifies and recommends candidates for election to the Board. Each member of the Committee on Directors and Governance meets the independence requirements of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's corporate governance guidelines.

*Finance Committee.* The Finance Committee presently consists of five directors. The Finance Committee met three times during 2014. The Finance Committee, among other things, advises the Board regarding the capital structure of the Company, the Company's dividend and stock repurchase policies, and the investment managers and policies relating to the Company's defined benefit plans. Each member of the Finance Committee meets the independence requirements of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's corporate governance guidelines.

## **Board Leadership Structure**

The Company is focused on strong corporate governance practices and values independent Board oversight as an essential component of strong corporate performance to enhance stockholder value. The Company's commitment to independent oversight is demonstrated by the independence of all directors, except our Chairman. In addition, as discussed above, all of the members of the Board's Audit Committee, Finance Committee, Executive Compensation Committee, and Committee on Directors and Governance are independent.

The Board believes that each business is unique, and that therefore, the appropriate board leadership structure will depend upon each company's unique circumstances and needs at the time. Historically, the positions of Board Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Company were held by the same individual. This pattern changed temporarily during 2013-2014 as former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer Martin R. Benante began a twenty-one month phased retirement. In 2013, Mr. Benante retired from the Chief Executive Officer position and David C. Adams, the then Company's President and Chief Operating Officer, was promoted to the positions of President and Chief Executive Officer and was also appointed as a member of the Board. Mr. Benante remained as Executive Chairman until January 1, 2015 at which time he retired from his position of Executive Chairman and Mr. Adams assumed the role as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Benante will continue to serve as a member of the Board until his retirement from the Board effective just prior to the 2015 Annual Meeting. The Board believes that the temporary division of the Chief Executive Officer and Board Chairman positions during 2013-2014 contributed to the

smooth transition of the Company's top executive leadership position to Mr. Adams, enabling him to focus his time and energy on running the day-to-day operations of the Company at a time when he was relatively new to the role, while at the same time ensuring that Mr. Benante's valuable experience, wise judgment, and service would remain available to the Company during the transition period. Following completion of the

management transition at the end of 2014, the Board concluded that it was appropriate to return to having the positions of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer residing in one individual, Mr. Adams. Mr. Adams has been an employee of the Company for more than fourteen years, having served in increasing levels of strategic, operational, and managerial responsibility. He possesses in-depth managerial and operational knowledge of the Company and its industries, as well as the issues, opportunities, and challenges it faces. Thus, he is best positioned to provide direction and highlight issues that ensure the Board of Directors' time and attention are focused on the most critical matters. In addition, the Board has determined that this leadership structure is optimal because it believes that having one leader serving as both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer fosters decisive leadership, accountability, effective decision-making, and alignment on corporate strategy. Having one person serve as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer also enhances the Company's ability to communicate its message and strategy clearly and consistently to its stockholders, employees, customers, and suppliers. In light of Mr. Adams' experience and knowledge of the Company's business and industries, his ability to speak as both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer provides the Company with strong unified leadership.

Mr. Adams fulfills his responsibilities in chairing the Board through close interaction with the Lead Independent Director. In May 2014, the Board appointed John R. Myers to serve in that capacity for a period of one year expiring in May 2015, or until his successor is appointed. Previously, Dr. William W. Sihler served as Lead Independent Director for such executive sessions, whose term had expired. Prior to Dr. Sihler serving as Lead Independent Director, the Board appointed a Lead Independent Director for each non-employee Director executive session on a rotating basis, with the members of the Board alternating in the position for each meeting. The Board has structured the role of its Lead Independent Director to strike an appropriate balance between well-focused and independent leadership on the Board. The Lead Independent Director serves as the focal point for independent Directors regarding resolving conflicts with the Chief Executive Officer, or other independent Directors, and coordinating feedback to the Chief Executive Officer on behalf of independent Directors regarding business issues and Board management. The Lead Independent Director is expected to foster a cohesive Board that supports and cooperates with the Chief Executive Officer's ultimate goal of creating stockholder value. In this regard, the Lead Independent Director's responsibilities include convening and presiding over executive sessions attended only by non-employee Directors, communicating to the Chief Executive Officer the substance of discussions held during those sessions to the extent requested by the participants, serving as a liaison between the Chairman and the Board's independent Directors on sensitive issues, consulting with the Chairman on meeting schedules and agendas, including the format and adequacy of information the Directors receive and the effectiveness of the meeting process, overseeing the Board's self-evaluation process, and presiding at meetings of the Board in the event of the Chairman's unavailability.

The Board believes this governance structure and these practices ensure that strong and independent directors will continue to effectively oversee the Company's management and key issues related to long-term business plans, long-range strategic issues, risks, and integrity.

### **Board Role in Risk Oversight**

The Board of Directors oversees risk to help ensure a successful business at the Company. While the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and other members of the Company's senior leadership team are responsible for the day-to-day management of risk, the Board of Directors is responsible for appraising the Company's major risks and ensuring that appropriate risk management and control procedures are in place.

The Company relies on a comprehensive risk management process to aggregate, monitor, measure, and manage risk. The risk management process is designed to enable the Board to establish a mutual understanding with management of the effectiveness of the Company's risk management practices and capabilities, to review the Company's risk exposure, and to elevate certain key risks for discussion at the Board level. The Company's risk management process is

overseen by its Chief Risk Officer. The Chief Risk Officer regularly updates the Audit Committee on the Company's risk management process. The Chairperson of the Audit Committee then reports to the full Board on the risks associated with the Company's operations.

While the Board has the ultimate oversight responsibility for risk management processes, various committees of the Board composed entirely of independent directors also have responsibility for aspects of risk management. The Audit Committee of the Board, acting pursuant to its written charter, serves as the principal agent of the Board in fulfilling the Board's oversight of risk assessment and management. The Audit Committee also performs a central oversight role with respect to financial reporting and compliance risks. The Executive Compensation Committee considers risks in connection with its design of compensation programs for the Company's employees, including the executive officers. The Finance Committee is responsible for assessing risks related to financing matters such as pension plans, capital structure, and equity and debt issuances. The Committee on Directors and Governance oversees risk related to the Company's overall governance, including Board and committee composition, Board size and structure, Director independence, ethical and business conduct, and the Company's corporate governance profile and ratings.

The Board and its committees are kept informed by various reports on risk identification and mitigation provided to them on a regular basis, including reports made at the Board and Committee meetings by management. For example, the Company's Chief Risk Officer and internal audit function maintain oversight over the key areas of the Company's financial processes and controls, and report periodically directly to the Audit Committee for the purpose of assessing and evaluating major strategic, operational, regulatory, information management, and external risks in the Company's business. The Audit Committee then reviews with management such risks and the steps management has taken to monitor, mitigate, and control such risks.

The Board believes that its leadership structure facilitates its oversight of risk by combining Board committees and majority independent Board composition with an experienced Chairman and Chief Executive Officer who has detailed knowledge of the Company's business, history, and the complex challenges it faces. The Chairman and Chief Executive Officer's in-depth understanding of these matters and involvement in the day-to-day management of the Company positions him to promptly identify and raise key risks to the Board and focus the Board's attention on areas of concern. The independent committee chairs and other Directors also are experienced professionals or executives who can and do raise issues for Board consideration and review and are not hesitant to challenge management. The Board believes there is a well-functioning and effective balance between the non-management Directors and the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer that enhances risk oversight.

### **Stockholder Recommendations and Nominations for Directors**

*Stockholder Recommendations.* The Committee on Directors and Governance will consider stockholder recommendations for Director nominees. A stockholder desiring the committee to consider his or her Director recommendation should deliver a written submission to the Committee on Directors and Governance in care of the Corporate Secretary, Curtiss-Wright Corporation, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277. Such submission must include:

- (1) the name and address of such stockholder,
- (2) the name of such nominee,
- (3) the nominee's written consent to serve if elected,
- (4) documentation demonstrating that the nominating stockholder is indeed a stockholder of the Company, including the number of shares of stock owned,
- (5) a representation (i) that the stockholder is a holder of record of the stock of the Company entitled to vote at such meeting and whether he or she intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting, and (ii) whether the stockholder intends or is part of a group that intends to deliver a proxy statement to the Company's stockholders respecting such nominee or otherwise solicit proxies respecting such nominee,
- (6) a description of any derivative instruments the stockholder owns for which the Company's shares are the underlying security or any other direct or indirect opportunity the stockholder has to profit from any increase or decrease in the value of the Company's stock,

- (7) a description of the extent to which the stockholder has entered into any transaction or series of transactions, including hedging, short selling, borrowing shares, or lending shares, with the effect or intent to mitigate loss to or manage or share risk or benefit of changes in the value

- or price of share of stock of the Company for, or to increase or decrease the voting power or economic interest of, such stockholder with respect to any shares of stock of the Company,
- (8) a description of any proxy, contract, arrangement, understanding, or relationship under which the stockholder has a right to vote any of shares of stock of the Company or influence the voting over any such shares,
  - (9) a description of any rights to dividends on the shares of stock of the Company the stockholder has that are separated or separable from the underlying shares of stock of the Company,
  - (10) a description of any performance-related fees (other than asset-based fee) the stockholder is entitled to based on any increase or decrease in the value of the shares of stock of the Company or related derivative instruments,
  - (11) to the extent known, the name and address of any other stockholder(s) supporting the nomination on the date of the stockholder's submission of the nomination to the Committee on Directors and Governance,
  - (12) any information relating to the nominee and his or her affiliates that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy solicitation for the election of Directors of the Company pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, and
  - (13) a description of all direct and indirect compensation, and other material monetary agreements, arrangements and understandings during the past three years, and any other material relationships between such nominating stockholder or beneficial owner, if any, on the one hand, and the nominee and his or her respective affiliates or associates, or others acting in concert therewith, on the other hand.

In addition, such submission must be accompanied by a written questionnaire with respect to the background and qualification of the nominee and the background of any other person or entity on whose behalf the nomination is being made. Further, the nominee must also provide a written representation and agreement that such nominee (i) is not and will not become party to (x) any agreement, arrangement, or understanding as to how such prospective nominee will act or vote on any issue or question that has not been disclosed to the Company, or (y) any agreement, arrangement, or understanding as to how such prospective nominee will act or vote on any issue or question that could limit or interfere with such nominee's ability to comply with such nominee's fiduciary duties, (ii) is not and will not become party to any agreement, arrangement, or understanding with respect to any direct or indirect compensation, reimbursement, or indemnification in connection with service or action as a director, that has not been disclosed to the Company, and (iii) in such person's individual capacity and on behalf of any beneficial owner on whose behalf the nomination is being made, would be in compliance with all applicable corporate governance, conflict of interest, confidentiality, and stock ownership and trading policies and guidelines of the Company. The Committee may require additional information from the nominee to perform its evaluation.

In its assessment of each potential nominee, the Committee on Directors and Governance will review the nominee's judgment, experience, independence, and understanding of the Company's business; the range of talent and experience already represented on the Board; and such other factors that the committee determines are pertinent in light of the current needs of the Company. The committee will also take into account the ability of a nominee to devote the time and effort necessary to fulfill his or her responsibilities as a Company Director.

The Committee on Directors and Governance does not have a formal written policy with regard to considering diversity in identifying nominees for directors, but when considering director candidates it seeks individuals with backgrounds and qualities that, when combined with those of the Company's other directors, provide a blend of skills, experience, and cultural knowledge that will further enhance the Board's effectiveness. Diversity considerations for a director nominee may vary at any time according to the particular areas of expertise being sought as a complement to the existing Board composition. When the need arises, the Company engages independent search firms to identify potential director nominees according to the criteria set forth by the Committee and assist the Committee in identifying and evaluating a diverse pool of qualified candidates.

The Committee on Directors and Governance annually evaluates the performance of the Board, each of the committees, and each of the members of the Board. It also reviews the size of the Board and whether it would be beneficial to add additional members and/or any new skills or expertise, taking into account the overall operating efficiency of the Board and its committees. If the Board has a vacancy, or if the Committee determines that it would be beneficial to add an additional member, the Committee will take into account the factors identified above and all other factors which the Committee in its best judgment deems relevant at such time.

*Stockholder Nominations.* A stockholder desiring to nominate a person as Director should deliver a written submission in accordance with the Company's By-laws to the Corporate Secretary, Curtiss-Wright Corporation, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277. Such submission must include the items listed above under Stockholder Recommendations and Nominations for Directors. Stockholder submissions for Director nominees at the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders must be received by the Corporate Secretary of the Company no earlier than January 8, 2016 and no later than February 7, 2016. Nominee recommendations that are made by stockholders in accordance with these procedures will receive the same consideration as recommendations initiated by the Committee on Directors and Governance.

*The following report of the Audit Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates this report by reference therein.*

#### **Audit Committee Report**

The Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors consists of four non-employee directors, each of whom the Board has determined (i) meets the independence criteria specified by the SEC and the requirements of Sections 303A.07(a) and applicable sections of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards and (ii) is financially literate in accordance with the requirements of Section 303A.07(b) of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. The Audit Committee annually reviews and reassesses its written charter, as well as selects and retains the Company's independent registered public accounting firm.

Management is responsible for the financial reporting process, including its system of internal controls, and for the preparation of consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Our independent accountants are responsible for auditing those financial statements. The Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring and reviewing these processes. The Audit Committee does not have the duty or responsibility to conduct auditing or accounting reviews or procedures. None of the members of the Audit Committee may be employees of the Company. Additionally, the Audit Committee members may not represent themselves to be accountants or auditors for the Company, or to serve as accountants or auditors by profession or experts in the fields of accounting or auditing for the Company. Therefore, the Audit Committee has relied, without independent verification, on management's representation that the financial statements have been prepared with integrity and objectivity and in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and on the representations of the independent accountants included in their report on the Company's financial statements.

The oversight performed by the Audit Committee does not provide it with an independent basis to determine that management has maintained appropriate accounting and financial reporting principles or policies, or appropriate internal controls and procedures designed to assure compliance with accounting standards and applicable laws and regulations. Furthermore, the discussions that the Audit Committee has with management and the independent accountants do not assure that the financial statements are presented in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, that the audit of the financial statements has been carried out in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards, or that our independent accountants are in fact independent.



As more fully described in its charter, the Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing the internal controls and financial reporting processes, as well as the independent audit of the financial statements by the independent registered public accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP. As part of fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated

financial statements for fiscal year 2014 with management and discussed with management the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles, the reasonableness of significant judgments, and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements. The Audit Committee also discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP the matters required to be discussed by the statement on Auditing Standards No. 16, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ( PCAOB ). The Audit Committee has also discussed and considered the independence of Deloitte & Touche LLP with representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP, reviewing as necessary all relationships and services that might bear on the objectivity of Deloitte & Touche LLP, and received the written disclosures and the letter required under Rule 3526 of the PCAOB (Communications with Audit Committees Concerning Independence) from Deloitte & Touche LLP. The Audit Committee provided to Deloitte & Touche LLP full access to the Audit Committee to meet privately and Deloitte & Touche LLP was encouraged to discuss any matters they desired with the Audit Committee and/or the full Board of Directors.

The opinion of Deloitte & Touche LLP is filed separately in the 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K and should be read in conjunction with the reading of the financial statements.

Based upon the Audit Committee s review and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee has recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements and footnotes be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, for filing with the SEC.

AUDIT COMMITTEE OF  
THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Robert J. Rivet, *Chairperson*  
S. Marce Fuller  
Dr. William W. Sihler  
Stuart W. Thorn

## COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

This Compensation Discussion and Analysis ( CD&A ) details the Executive Compensation Committee s ( Committee ) decisions regarding the compensation programs and practices as they relate to the Company s Named Executive Officers ( NEOs ). In 2014, the Company s NEOs included:

Martin R. Benante, Former Executive Chairman (retired),  
David C. Adams, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,  
Glenn E. Tynan, Vice President and Chief Financial Officer,  
Thomas P. Quinly, Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, and  
Paul J. Ferdenzi, Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary

On January 1, 2015, the Company transitioned to a new Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Adams. As part of this planned transition, Mr. Benante retired as Executive Chairman and Chairman of the Board, effective January 1, 2015. Mr. Benante will continue to serve as a member of the Company s Board of Directors up until the Company s 2015 Annual Meeting of stockholders on May 7, 2015, at which point Mr. Benante will retire from the board.

### **2014 Company Performance**

Historically, Curtiss-Wright grew its portfolio of products and services through acquisitions supplemented by organic growth. In 2014, the Company concentrated on growing sales organically and increasing the margins of its existing lines of business by:

- Leveraging the critical mass and powerful suite of capabilities it built over the past decade
- Driving operational excellence
- Improving its financial discipline
- Divesting low margin, non-strategic businesses

The intent of these strategies is to achieve top quartile performance among its peer groups. They are tied to a much more balanced capital deployment strategy all part of the Company s effort to improve the competitiveness of Curtiss-Wright over the long term and generate stronger returns for stockholders.

The Company s performance reinforced its strategy. The Company s financial performance in 2014 was strong, resulting in total shareholder return (TSR) at the 81<sup>st</sup> percentile, which is top quartile of our peer group. TSR is the change in Company share price plus dividends from the beginning of the measurement period to the end.

Highlights of the Company s 2014 performance include: Operating income increased 19% and operating margin increased 140 basis points to 12.6%, based on improvements in the Company s Commercial/Industrial and Energy segments.

Net earnings from continuing operations increased 22% to \$170 million, or \$3.46 per diluted share.

Working capital increased \$196 million, or 24%.

Organic sales increased \$33 million, or 2%.

Free cash flow, defined as cash flow from operations less capital expenditures, increased 60% to \$265 million for 2014, equating to a much improved 156% cash conversion (based on net earnings from continuing operations).

Cash conversion is calculated as free cash flow divided by earnings from continuing operations.

The following chart illustrates how the Company compares against the peer group using TSR as of December 31, 2014:

**2014 Incentive Payouts**

Incentive awards earned by the NEOs for fiscal 2014 reflect the Company's strong operating performance and the Company's commitment to pay for performance.

2014 annual incentive awards were on average 160% of target for the NEOs with incentives based on Company (80%) and individual (20%) performance.

Cash-based performance units for the 2012-2014 performance period were earned on average at 128.2% of target. Performance share unit payout for the 2012-2014 performance period was 179% of target.

Base salaries were increased only for Mr. Adams, Mr. Quinly, and Mr. Ferdenzi due to their promotions.

**Pay and Performance Alignment**

In 2014, the Committee requested that Farient Advisors, LLC, the Committee's independent external compensation consultant, evaluate the relationship between the Company's CEO pay and performance. Farient Advisors utilized the Company's peer group and their alignment methodology to test whether or not the Company's Total Direct Performance-Adjusted Compensation™ (PAC™) for the CEO is (1) reasonable relative to the Company's revenue size, peer group, and TSR performance and (2) sensitive to the Company's TSR over time. PAC measures compensation outcomes after performance has occurred, rather than target compensation, which represents expected compensation before performance has occurred. Farient Advisors compared the CEO's PAC (including actual salary, actual short-term incentive awards, and performance-adjusted long-term incentive values) over rolling 3-year periods to TSR for the same rolling 3-year periods, and tested the results against those same variables for the peer group companies. The Company's PAC was then compared to a range of values, as indicated by the upper and lower boundaries on the chart below. This range is known as the Alignment Zone, and it indicates the reasonable range of pay outcomes for the performance delivered based on the Company's size and the historical pay-for-performance experience of the peer group. All PAC values on the chart, current and historical, for both the Company as well as for the companies in the peer group, are adjusted to reflect the Company's size of approximately \$2.2 billion in revenue as of December 31, 2014.

As shown in the chart below, Farient Advisors' analysis indicates that the CEO's compensation outcomes for the three-year periods ending 2012-2014 were reasonable relative to the Company's peers and the performance delivered, underscoring the appropriateness of the executive compensation program.

**Curtiss-Wright Corp CEO Total Direct PAC<sup>TM</sup>  
Pay for Performance Alignment for Three Year  
Periods Ending in Year Shown**

**Compensation Practices and Policies**

The Committee frequently reviews the Company’s executive compensation programs to ensure they support the Company’s compensation philosophy and objectives. The Committee continues to implement and maintain best practices for executive compensation. Listed below are some of the best practices the Company follows and the practices that the Company does not include in its program:

**Best Practices Followed**

Align pay and performance using measures of financial and operating performance and relative TSR

Balance short-term and long-term incentives using multiple performance measures

Caps on incentives

Significant stock ownerships guidelines for NEOs and Board members including a 50% mandatory hold on net shares until ownership guidelines are met for NEOs

A claw back policy on all incentive compensation

An independent external compensation consultant to review and advise on executive compensation

**Consideration of Say on Pay Results**

At the 2014 Annual Meeting of stockholders, approximately 97% of shares voted were voted in favor of the Company’s executive pay programs (commonly known as Say on Pay). Stockholder input is important to the Committee; therefore, it regularly solicits input from the Company’s major stockholders on the Company’s executive compensation programs. Since 2011, the Committee has made changes each year to respond to stockholder input and strengthen the link between executive pay and performance. The Committee believes that the 2014 voting results as well as the investor feedback indicate stockholders’ approval of the NEO’s compensation levels, objectives, program design, and rationale.

**Overview of the 2014 Executive Compensation Program**

*Compensation Philosophy*

The Company’s compensation philosophy and objectives will support and enable:

Curtiss-Wright’s vision of achieving top quartile performance

Performance achieved through incentives

- Target P50 pay (or total direct compensation – TDC) in aggregate with base pay aligned with market
- Realized pay aligned with performance – objective is P75 for P75 performance

**Practices Curtiss-Wright Does Not Follow**

Employment agreements

Executive compensation practices that encourage excessively risky business decisions

Short sales, hedging, or pledging of Curtiss-Wright stock

Reloaded, re-priced or backdated stock options

Tax gross-ups on change-in-control benefits for executives hired after January 2008

Dividends on unvested or unearned performance shares

Excessive perquisites

Excessive severance and/or change in control provisions

Incentive Metrics and Targets dictated by Company needs that are:

- Evaluated annually based on financial performance and outlook
- Modified in terms of weighting and mix as Curtiss-Wright advances towards top quartile
- Reviewed and assessed as business conditions change with exceptions possible when aligned with strategic purposes

Long Term Incentives including equity as a key component thereby providing shareholder alignment  
Compensation to be a tool for retention and management and leadership development

*Compensation mix*

To reinforce the Company's pay for performance philosophy, more than two-thirds of targeted total direct compensation for each NEO is contingent upon performance and, therefore, fluctuates with the Company's financial results and share price. The Committee targets total direct compensation opportunities for the executive group on average to the 50th percentile (median) of the Company's relevant market and peer data with actual upside and downside pay tied to corresponding performance.

*2014 Target Compensation Mix and Pay at Risk*

Performance-based compensation includes: annual incentives, performance share units, and cash-based performance units, which account for approximately 61% of the CEO's total target compensation and 55% of the total compensation for the remaining NEO's.

*Competitive market data and peer group data*

The Committee analyzed competitive market data from two sources:

1. Peer group; and
2. Survey data

The Committee utilizes both peer group and industry data weighted equally as the primary source for the CEO and CFO. The peer group data is most representative of competitors with similar product lines, markets/ industries and relative revenue size. Peer group performance therefore is a key relative measure for the Company's annual incentive plan and performance-based long-term incentive plan



metrics. In 2013, the Committee, with guidance from Farient Advisors and Management, modified the peer group for performance periods commencing January 1, 2014. The final peer group selected by the Committee consists of the following 23 companies:

AAR Corp.	Hexcel Corp.
Actuant Corporation	IDEX Corporation
Applied Industrial Technologies, Inc.	Kaman Corporation
Barnes Group Inc.	Moog Inc.
BE Aerospace Inc.	Mueller Water Products, Inc.
CIRCOR International, Inc.	Orbital Sciences Corp.
Crane Co.	Rockwell Collins Inc.
Cubic Corporation	Spirit AeroSystems Holdings Inc.
EnPro Industries, Inc.	Teledyne Technologies Inc.
Esterline Technologies Corp.	Triumph Group, Inc.
Flowserve Corp.	Woodward, Inc.
GenCorp Inc.	

For the other NEOs, the Committee placed more focus on nationally recognized executive survey data from Towers Watson, Aon Hewitt, and Mercer. The Committee believes that due to the large sample size, the surveys provide more reliable, less volatile compensation data than the smaller peer group.

#### *Roles in determining 2014 Executive Compensation*

Summarized in the table below are roles and responsibilities for executive compensation:

<b>Groups Involved</b>	<b>Roles and Responsibilities</b>
Executive Compensation Committee	<p>Recommends to the full Board the compensation levels for the executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer and determines the compensation of the Chief Executive Officer</p> <p>Oversees the administration of the Company's executive compensation programs</p> <p>Reviews overall executive compensation philosophy and policies</p> <p>Oversees cost and design of the Company's retirement and recommends changes to the full Board</p> <p>Oversees and directs the activities of the outside compensation consultant and ensures the independence of the consultant</p> <p>Reviews and evaluates compensation arrangements to assess whether they could encourage undue risk taking</p>
Board Members	<p>Determines the compensation of the executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer</p> <p>Oversees design and cost changes to the retirement plans</p>
Committee Consultant Farient Advisors	<p>Provides advice on executive and board director compensation matters</p> <p>Provides information on competitive market trends in executive compensation</p> <p>Provides proposals for compensation programs, program design, including measures, goal-setting and pay and performance alignment and other topics as the Committee deems appropriate</p>

Is directly accountable to the Committee, which has sole authority to engage, dismiss, and approve the terms of engagement of the compensation consultant. During 2014, Farient Advisors did not provide any other services to the Company.

<b>Groups Involved</b>	<b>Roles and Responsibilities</b>
CEO	Evaluates performance of the executive officers other than his own Makes recommendations to the Committee regarding base salary, annual incentive compensation targets, long-term cash incentive compensation targets, and long-term equity compensation for the executive officers other than himself
Other Executives: CFO, GC, Corp. VP HR	Makes recommendations to the CEO and Committee regarding annual and long-term incentive plan design and performance metrics  Provides information and recommendations regarding board director pay with oversight by Farient Advisors

During 2014, Farient Advisors did not provide any other services to the Company. It is the Committee's and the Company's belief that the services provided by Farient Advisors are independent and free from any conflict of interest.

**2014 Compensation Components and Compensation Philosophy**

The table below summarizes each of the Company's 2014 compensation components and its role in the Company's executive compensation program.

<b>Compensation Component</b>	<b>Role in the Executive Compensation Program</b>
Base Salary	Provides fixed compensation based on responsibility level, position held, and market value
Annual Incentive Compensation	Motivates and rewards achieving annual financial and operational business objectives that are linked to the Company's overall business strategy
Long-Term Incentive Program	Motivates NEOs to achieve longer term financial goals that drive total stockholder return through three components: 1. Performance-based restricted stock units (TSR based) 2. Cash-based performance units, and 3. Time-based restricted stock units Promotes stock ownership and aligns potential incentive payments with stockholder interests Rewards for achieving longer-term (3 year) business objectives that are linked to the Company's overall business strategy and total return to stockholders and encourages retention and motivates
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	Allows substantially all full-time employees with the ability to set aside money to purchase stock of the Company Promotes stock ownership and aligns employees with stockholder interests
Executive Deferred Compensation Plan	Permits deferral of compensation in excess of 401(k) statutory limits for tax advantaged savings Provides executives with a comparable savings opportunity as that of other employees
Restoration (Pension and Savings) Plans	Provides competitive retirement benefit  Promotes long-term retention of key executives by providing an increasing value tied directly to length of service

Note: The Company's traditional pension plan is closed to new entrants. It will cease to provide accruals to existing participants at the end of 2028

**Compensation**

<b>Component</b>	<b>Role in the Executive Compensation Program</b>
Limited Executive Perquisites	Provides a competitive level; business-related benefit to the Company and assists with key aspects of employment: health and financial wellness
Post-Employment Agreements	Delivers temporary income following an NEO's involuntary termination of employment. In the case of change in control, provides continuity of management
Special Retention Agreements	Provides stability and retention of key executives to support the Company's succession planning at the top management level

**2014 Compensation Decisions and the Basis for Decisions**

*Base Salary*

Base salary is intended to compensate the NEOs for performance of core job responsibilities and duties. Base salary drives other pay components in that it is used to determine target values for annual incentive compensation, long-term incentive compensation, retirement benefit calculations, severance protection, and change-in-control benefits.

The Committee evaluates NEO salaries annually and makes recommendations to the Board that reflect the value of the position measured by competitive market data, the NEOs' individual performance, and the individual's longer-term intrinsic value to the Company.

For 2014, base salaries were in line with the 50th percentile and accordingly not increased (except for Messrs. Adams, Quinly and Ferdenzi due to promotions). Effective January 1, 2015, the Committee increased Mr. Adams' salary to be closer to the 50th percentile of his peers.

*Annual Incentive Compensation*

For 2014, the NEOs participated in the 2005 Curtiss-Wright Modified Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended (MICP), approved by the Company stockholders in May 2011.

The Company believes that an important portion of the overall cash compensation for the NEOs should be contingent upon the successful achievement of certain annual corporate financial and individual goals and objectives that contribute to enhanced shareholder value over time. Accordingly, 80% of the NEO's annual incentive target is tied to financial performance, while the remaining 20% is tied to significant individual goals and objectives.

For the 2014 MICP, the Committee, in consultation with management and Fairfient Advisors, selected three financial measures and key individual performance-based objectives for all NEOs. As summarized in the table below, for the financial performance metric, thirty percent (30%) of the award was based on the attainment of a corporate operating income (OI) goal; thirty percent (30%) on the attainment of an operating margin (OM) goal, twenty percent (20%) on the attainment of a working capital (WC) goal; and twenty percent (20%) on the performance against individual performance-based objectives.

Summarized below are the 2014 MICP goals, weightings, and the rationale for inclusion of each goal:

<b>Goal</b>	<b>Weighting</b>	<b>Rationale</b>
OI	30%	Requires management to increase profitability Is understandable, measurable, and reflects management's performance Is a key driver of Company business strategy Is correlated with the Company's TSR
OM	30%	Requires management to achieve profitability goals through effective margins Is understandable, measurable, and reflects management performance Is a key driver of overall Company success and TSR
WC	20%	Requires management to reduce its working capital as a percentage of sales because every one percent decrease in WC equals \$23MM in free cash flow
Individual	20%	Provides some portion of the annual incentive based on performance objectives for which each executive is directly responsible Allows for differentiation of awards based on individual contributions Supports leadership development and succession planning

**MICP Formula**

$$\text{Payout} = (30\% \text{ of Target} \times \text{OI Performance Rating}) + (30\% \text{ of Target} \times \text{OM Rating}) + (30\% \text{ of Target} \times \text{WC Rating}) + (20\% \text{ of Target} \times \text{Individual Rating})$$

Any adjustments are reviewed by Fariant Advisors and approved by the Committee as well as audited by the Company's internal audit department. These adjustments ensure that management makes decisions based on the best interests of the Company and stockholders rather than the possible effects on compensation.

*Goal Setting Process*

Annual MICP financial performance goals are developed through a rigorous goal setting process to test the validity of the Company's performance objectives. In reviewing and setting performance targets, the Committee considers the Company's five year strategic plan, annual budget, and the Company's compensation structure. Financial performance targets are established using historical and planned Company performance, historical peer performance, and analyst estimates of prospective performance. Individual goals are developed independently between the respective NEO and the CEO and then presented, along with their rationale, to the Committee for consideration and approval. The CEO's individual goals are established with the Committee's input and approval while the Board approves all other NEOs' individual goals. All goals are tied to strategic business needs for the coming year and are pushed down through the organization to align all incentive pay participants with Company goals and objectives. The Committee believes that this approach provides consistency and continuity in the execution of the Company's short term goals as well as a strategic tie to the accomplishment of the Company's long-term objectives.

The goals set by the Committee are designed to provide 50th percentile pay for 50th percentile performance. For pay above the 50th percentile there must be a corresponding level of performance.

2014 Annual Incentive Compensation (MICP) Payout

For 2014, the range of OI (\$) performance was:

<b>OI (\$) Range of Performance</b>	<b>Corporate</b>
Threshold	\$ 213,600,000
Target	\$ 267,000,000
Maximum	\$ 293,600,000

For 2014, the target range of OM performance was:

<b>OM (%) Range of Performance</b>	<b>Corporate</b>
Threshold	9.3%
Target	10.1%
Maximum	11.1%

For 2014, the target range of WC (% of Sales) performance was:

<b>WC Range of Performance</b>	<b>Corporate</b>
Threshold	34.6%
Target	32.0%
Maximum	28.0%

No incentive is paid if performance falls below Threshold and payouts are capped at 200% even if performance exceeds the maximum target.

Individual objectives are generally measurable and weighted based on their relative importance to the goals of the business unit and the overall success of the Company. Individual objectives can be quantitative or more subjective as long as they support operational success and reflect management's strategy. The Committee reviews each NEO's individual performance and provides a rating between one and five for each stated objective. A three equates to 100% achievement; a five represents the maximum or 200% achievement; and a two represents 50% (threshold) achievement. A participant will not receive an award for a rating of less than two. Each objective is multiplied by its weighting and then totaled for an overall rating. The overall rating is then multiplied against 20% of the NEO's target award to derive a payout.

<b>Goal</b>	<b>Mr. Benante</b>		<b>Mr. Adams</b>		<b>Mr. Tynan</b>		<b>Mr. Ferdenzi</b>		<b>Mr. Quinly</b>	
	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Rating</b>	<b>Weight</b>	<b>Rating</b>
Provide mentoring to new CEO	100%	3.5								
Increase investor relations participation			50%	4.0						
Drive talent management programs			50%	4.0					34%	4.0
Capital allocation strategy transformation efficiencies					40%	3.5				
Finance and information technology transformation efficiencies					60%	3.5				

Reduction in outside counsel legal spend			50%	3.9		
Enhance contract terms and conditions of sale			50%	3.7		
Global risk management					33%	4.0
Staff professional development					33%	4.0
<b>Total Score</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.0</b>	

In order to assess the NEOs' individual performance, the Committee is provided with detailed supporting documentation. In awarding a rating to each NEO, the Committee analyzes this supporting justification and also takes into account the Company's overall performance and the assessment of the Chief Executive Officer.



In assessing each NEO's performance against his individual goals, the Committee considered the following:

**Named**

**Executive Officer Accomplishments**

Martin R. Benante	His effort to effectively transition his leadership role and responsibilities to Mr. Adams and to mentor Mr. Adams in his newly assigned role as Chief Executive Officer.
David C. Adams	His effort to establish and maintain effective lines of communications with stockholders and the investment community. His effort to develop future leadership within the Company.
Glenn E. Tynan	His effort in developing and implementing an internal financial performance tracking system to support the Company's strategy of operating margin improvement. The Company's improved financial performance as well as Mr. Tynan's role in achieving the Company's financial results such as by reducing costs through the creation of Centers of Excellence.
Paul J. Ferdenzi	His effort in managing Company expenses by reducing legal department spend on outside counsel through rate reductions. His effort in reducing the Company's contractual liability with its customers by enhancing the Company's standard terms and conditions of sale.
Thomas P. Quinly	The content and quality of the management development programs that were designed as well as the number of employees trained through the programs. His effort in developing and implementing strategic plans to manage risk. His effort to develop future operational leadership within the Company.

The following table details the 2014 MICP payout to each NEO based on actual financial results for the Company versus target and each NEO's 2014 individual performance rating. With regards to the financial payout for the Company, the Company exceeded its targets on two of the three financial measures, which resulted in the payouts in the table below.

In no event may MICP awards for participants be increased on a discretionary basis; however, the Committee does have the discretion to decrease the amount of any award paid to any participant under the MICP. For 2014, the Committee exercised no such downward discretion.

NEO	Target %	Goal	Weight	Actual Result	2014 MICP Payout as % of Target	2014 MICP Payout (\$)
Mr. Benante	105%	Individual Portion	20%	3.5	125%	\$ 254,625
		OI Portion	30%	\$298M	195%	\$ 611,100
		OM Portion	30%	12.6%	200%	\$ 611,100
		WC Portion	20%	32.7%	83%	\$ 136,170
		<b>Total Payout</b>	<b>100%</b>			
Mr. Adams	100%	Individual Portion	20%	4.0	150%	\$ 244,616
		OI Portion	30%	\$298M	195%	\$ 489,231
		OM Portion	30%	12.6%	200%	\$ 489,231
		WC Portion	20%	32.7%	83%	\$ 136,170
		<b>Total Payout</b>	<b>100%</b>			
Mr. Tynan	75%	Individual Portion	20%	3.5	125%	\$ 100,113
		OI Portion	30%	\$298M	195%	\$ 240,271
		OM Portion	30%	12.6%	200%	\$ 240,271
		WC Portion	20%	32.7%	83%	\$ 66,875
		<b>Total Payout</b>	<b>100%</b>			
Mr. Quinly	75%	Individual Portion	20%	4.0	150%	\$ 135,000
		OI Portion	30%	\$298M	195%	\$ 270,000
		OM Portion	30%	12.6%	200%	\$ 270,000
		WC Portion	20%	32.7%	83%	\$ 75,150
		<b>Total Payout</b>	<b>100%</b>			
Mr. Ferdenzi	60%	Individual Portion	20%	3.8	140%	\$ 63,582
		OI Portion	30%	\$298M	195%	\$ 136,246
		OM Portion	30%	12.6%	200%	\$ 136,246
		WC Portion	20%	32.7%	83%	\$ 37,922
		<b>Total Payout</b>	<b>100%</b>			

Key Changes to the 2015 Annual Incentive Compensation Design

In 2015 the MICP goals will remain working capital as a percent of sales, operating income, operating margin, and individual goals. However, the weighting of working capital will increase to 30% while the weighting of the operating income goal will decrease to 20%. This heightens the focus on working capital as a percent of sales to increase free cash flow.

*Long-Term Incentive Program*

The Company's long-term incentive plan ( LTIP ) is designed to ensure its executive officers and key employees are focused on longer term stockholder value creation through incentive compensation that rewards for longer term (i.e., three years or more) performance.

In determining the 2014 LTIP grants, the Committee considered the following factors:

Remaining focused on creating stockholder value to more closely align pay with performance

Achieving the 50th percentile in competitive pay for each executive position overall

Rewarding each individual for their direct contribution to revenue and the profitability of the business

Listed below are the 2014 target LTIP values for the NEOs:

<b>NEO</b>	<b>2014 LTIP Value as % of Salary</b>
Mr. Benante	200%
Mr. Adams	270%
Mr. Tynan	185%
Mr. Quinly	200%
Mr. Ferdenzi	120%

If the Company delivers performance that achieves target levels, these percentages will result in vested values that approximate the 50th percentile of LTIP payments made by the Company's peer group and general industry for comparable performance. LTIP grant values for the NEOs were allocated in the following manner: 40% in performance share units (PSUs) based on TSR performance relative to peers, 30% in time-based restricted stock units (RSUs), and 30% in cash-based performance units (PUs). Mr. Benante was not granted RSUs due to his pending retirement. The Committee established this allocation with the advice of Farient Advisors to align the NEOs' long-term interests with stockholder interests while at the same time balancing the need to reward the NEOs for achieving targeted long-term Company performance, while also encouraging retention.

#### Key Changes to the 2015 LTIP Design and Grants in 2014

There were no changes made to the LTIP award mix for 2015. In November 2014, the LTIP grants for NEOs consisted of equity-based performance share units (PSUs), cash-based performance units (PUs), and time-based restricted stock units (RSUs). The Committee believes the award mix summarized in the (table below) provides the proper amount of leverage by the LTIP program. The Company used a set of LTIP components to balance the multiple interests of 1) significant pay at risk, 2) stockholder interests, 3) retention, and 4) internal and external performance goals. The three components chosen each accomplish a different mission in terms of incenting NEO performance.

#### **2014 LTIP Incentive Mix (Grants made in November 2014)**

<b>Long-Term Incentive Component (Weight)</b>	<b>Performance Condition/Vesting Schedule</b>	<b>Objective of Design</b>
PSUs (40%)	Three-year relative TSR	Aligns pay with relative TSR Aligns NEOs with TSR
PUs (30%)	Three-year ROIC (weighted 60%) and three year organic sales growth (weighted 40%)	Focus on internal goals linked to long-term business strategy Use of cash to mitigate dilution and burn rate concerns
RSUs (30%)	Cliff vest 100% on the third anniversary of the date of grant	Retention  Stock ownership Aligns NEOs with TSR

#### Performance Share Units

The target number of PSUs granted is calculated by multiplying the total dollar value of the LTIP grant by the percentage of LTIP grant allocated to PSUs and dividing by 100% of the closing price of the Company's common stock as reported on the New York Stock Exchange on the date of the grant.

The payout is determined based on the table below in relation to peer performance.

	<b>PSUs 2015-2017</b>	
	<b>Performance</b>	
	<b>Period</b>	
	<b>TSR vs. Peer</b>	<b>Payout as a % of Target</b>
	<b>Percentile Rank</b>	<b>(1)</b>
Maximum	≥ 75th	200%
Target	50th	100%
Threshold	25th	25%
Below Threshold	< 25th	0%

(1) Linear interpolation for performance between disclosed payout levels  
Cash-Based Performance Units

The target number of PUs granted is calculated by multiplying the total dollar value of the LTIP grant by the percentage of LTIP grant allocated to PUs.

The performance targets are based 40% on three-year absolute organic sales growth and 60% on three-year absolute ROIC. The Company believes that organic sales growth and ROIC are long term drivers of stockholder value.

ROIC is calculated as net operating profit after tax (excluding interest expense and other income) divided by average capital (beginning of year and end of year debt plus equity).

Organic Sales growth is defined as the increase or decrease in sales from the year preceding the performance period to the sales at the end of the performance period divided by the sales from the year preceding the performance period, in each case excluding the effects of foreign currency translation as well as acquisitions and divestitures for which there is no comparable period.

The number of units vesting can range from 0% to 200% of target. Performance targets for each goal are established at the beginning of the performance period.

#### Restricted Stock Units

The number of RSUs granted is calculated in the same manner as PSUs and cliff vest in three years.

#### Timing of LTIP Grants

All LTIP grants are historically approved at the Company's November Board of Directors meeting. The meeting date for this and all other regularly scheduled Board of Directors and Committee meetings are selected and approved approximately 18 months in advance. The establishment of a grant date approximately 18 months in advance precludes the ability to time equity grants to coincide with a historically low share price.

#### 2014 Long Term Incentive Compensation Payout (Paid in early 2015)

#### Performance Share Units

In February 2015, a PSU payout was made for the November 2011 PSU grants covering performance for 2012-2014. The payout for PSUs for the performance period 2012-2014 was a 179% payout based on achievement of relative TSR of 81.4 percentile in its peer group.

In April 2014, a PSU payout was made for the November 2010 PSU grants covering performance for 2011-2013. The Company did not report this payout in the 2014 Proxy Statement because information for peer group performance was not yet available and relative performance could not be determined. The Company subsequently reported the payout in a Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in May 2014.

The following table details the Company's three-year average annual net income versus target for the performance period 2011-2013<sup>1</sup>. In no event may awards for participants be increased on a discretionary basis; however, the Committee does have the discretion to decrease the amount of any award paid to any participant under the PSU plan if the Committee in its judgment determines that such action is warranted due to unusual events that cause higher than justifiable results.

1  
Adjustments  
were made  
following  
disclosure in  
the 2014  
Proxy  
Statement to  
be consistent  
with  
adjustments  
made to other  
incentive  
based  
executive  
compensation  
plans.

Entity	2011 Net Income (\$000)			2012 Net Income (\$000)			2013 Net Income (\$000)		
	Actual	Target	Actual as % of Target	Actual	Target	Actual as % of Target	Actual	Target	Actual as % of Target
Controls	\$ 65,387	\$ 56,821	115.1%	\$ 68,995	\$ 67,012	103.0%	\$ 77,775	\$ 71,673	108.5%
Flow Control	\$ 74,735	\$ 82,165	91.0%	\$ 65,034	\$ 89,453	72.7%	\$ 88,685	\$ 96,162	92.2%
Surface Technologies	\$ 26,060	\$ 17,001	153.3%	\$ 45,963	\$ 27,347	168.1%	\$ 32,545	\$ 33,531	97.1%
Total Corporate	\$ 146,215	\$ 128,332	113.9%	\$ 139,157	\$ 148,698	93.6%	\$ 154,646	\$ 152,190	101.6%

The following table details results for the Company's 2011-2013 net income (NI) as a percentage of sales versus peer group (relative ROS). Results are expressed as the Company's percentile ranking versus the peer group.

Entity	ROS			
	2011	2012	2013	Average
Total Corporate	53.2%	48.9%	46.8%	50.2%

The results of the three year average annual NI versus target and three year average relative ROS are then compared to a payout matrix and a payout result (%) is determined. Payout percentages that result are as follows:

Entity	3 YR		
	Avg. NI vs. Target %	3 YR Avg. Relative ROS	Payout %
Controls	109%	50.2%	80%
Flow Control	85%	50.2%	68%
Surface Technologies	139%	50.2%	95%
Total Corporate	103%	50.2%	77%

Messrs. Benante and Tynan were tied to the consolidated totals of NI as a percent of targeted NI. Mr. Adams was tied 75% to Controls and 25% to Surface Technologies NI as a percent of targeted NI for those respective business units and Mr. Quinly was tied to NI as a percent of targeted NI for Controls. Mr. Ferdenzi did not receive a payout since he had not received a PSU award in November 2010. Since peer proxy data are only available publicly for the consolidated NI and sales data, all participants are tied to consolidated corporate NI as a percent of sales versus the peer group.

As summarized in the table above, actual performance for the Company as a whole was 103% NI as a percent of targeted NI and 50th percentile NI as a percent of sales versus the peer group, resulting in a payout of 77% of target for Messrs. Benante and Tynan. Actual performance for the Controls Business Segment and Surface Technologies Business Segment was 109% and 139% of NI as a percent of targeted NI, respectively, resulting in a payout of 82% of target for Mr. Adams. Actual performance for the Controls Business Segment was 109% of NI as a percent of targeted NI resulting in a payout of 80% of target for Mr. Quinly. Shown below is the PSU payout table for the performance period 2011-2013:

**2011-2013 Target**

**Payout**



	<b>US Dollar Value</b>	<b>Number of Shares</b>	<b>Payout %</b>	<b>US Dollar Value</b>	<b>Number of Shares</b>
Adams	\$ 439,714	14,716	82%	\$ 747,130	12,068
Benante	\$ 1,091,277	36,522	77%	\$ 1,741,033	28,122
Tynan	\$ 387,753	12,977	77%	\$ 618,667	9,993
Quinly	\$ 221,142	7,401	80%	\$ 366,569	5,921

*Cash-Based Performance Units*

In March 2015, a cash-based performance unit payout was made to Messrs. Benante, Adams, Tynan, and Quinly based on the 2011 Performance Unit grants covering the 2012-2014 performance period. Awards were based upon achievement of the Company's three-year sales growth relative to peers and its three-year relative return on capital (ROC). Sales growth is defined as the increase or decrease in sales over the performance period determined by dividing the sales at the end of the

2  
Adjustments  
were made  
following  
disclosure in  
the 2014  
Proxy  
Statement to  
be consistent  
with  
adjustments  
made to other  
incentive  
based  
executive  
compensation  
plans.

performance period by the sales from the year preceding the performance period. ROC is defined as cumulative net income divided by average net debt plus equity (at beginning and end of performance period).

Payout for Messrs. Adams, Benante, Quinly and Tynan is calculated based upon performance relative to the Company's Peer Group. P50 performance equals a target payout and P90 performance equals a 200% (the maximum) payout. Mr. Ferdenzi received his cash-based performance unit payout in February 2015. His award was not based on relative performance because at the time of the grant he was not a NEO. The NEO awards are listed in the Summary Compensation Table on page 39 of this Proxy Statement under the heading Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation and detailed below.

The following table details results for the Company's 2012-2014 PU payouts granted in November 2011. The performance period 2012-2014 resulted in performance against peers at the 77<sup>th</sup> percentile for relative revenue growth and 50<sup>th</sup> percentile for relative ROC. This equates to a total payout percentage of 128.2%.

	<b>Target Performance</b>	<b>Performance</b>
	<b>Units</b>	<b>Unit Payout</b>
Adams	\$ 541,152	\$ 693,757
Benante	\$ 1,105,800	\$ 1,417,636
Tynan	\$ 434,280	\$ 556,747
Quinly	\$ 320,580	\$ 410,984
Ferdenzi	\$ 152,500	\$ 179,550

#### *Employee Stock Purchase Plan*

The Company's NEOs, along with substantially all other full time Company employees, are eligible to participate in the Curtiss-Wright Corporation Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). The purpose of the ESPP is to encourage employees of the Company and its subsidiaries to increase their ownership in the Company's Common Stock. To achieve this purpose, the ESPP provides all participating employees with the opportunity to purchase the Company's Common Stock through a payroll deduction at a 15% discount of the market value of the stock, unless (i) the employee owns more than 5% of the Company's Common Stock or (ii) the employee has the right to purchase under all Company employee stock purchase plans Company Common Stock that would accrue at a rate which exceeds \$25,000 in fair market value for each calendar year in which such right to purchase is outstanding. The ESPP is offered in six-month *offering periods* commencing on January 1 and July 1. At the end of each offering period, participant contributions are used to purchase a number of shares of common stock (subject to IRS limits), in an amount equal to 85% of the fair market value of the common stock on the last day of each offering period. An employee who elects to participate in the ESPP will have payroll deductions made on each payday during the six-month period.

During 2014, Messrs. Benante, Adams, and Ferdenzi participated in the ESPP. Mr. Benante purchased 385 shares of Common Stock under the plan, Mr. Adams purchased 385 shares of Common Stock under the plan, and Mr. Ferdenzi purchased 374 shares of Common Stock under the plan.

#### *Executive Deferred Compensation Plan*

The NEOs are also eligible to participate in the Company's non-qualified executive deferred compensation plan that allows participants to defer compensation in excess of certain statutory limits that apply to traditional and 401(k) pension plans. Each participant may defer up to 25% of their base salary; 50% of their annual performance bonus; and 50% of the cash portion of their long term cash award. The rate of interest is determined each year according to the average rate on 30-year Treasury bonds for November of the previous calendar year, plus 2.0%. Thus, the rate

fluctuates annually. The average 30-year Treasury bond rate for November 2013 was 3.8% and money in the Plan earned 5.8% for 2014. Earnings begin accruing upon deposit and are compounded daily. Earnings are posted to the participants account on the final day of each month. *See Deferred Compensation Plans section in this Proxy Statement.* In 2014, the following NEOs participated in the executive deferred compensation plan: Messrs. Benante, Adams, Tynan, Quinly and Ferdenzi.

### *Pension Plans*

The NEOs also participate in the Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan ( Retirement Plan ) and the Curtiss-Wright Corporation Restoration Plan. This is consistent with the Company's philosophy that compensation should promote the long-term retention and financial health of key executives and employees and be competitive with industry peers. The Company's retirement plans integrate other components of the Company's executive compensation program by generally including base salary and cash incentive compensation in determining retirement plan benefits.

The Retirement Plan is a tax qualified, defined benefit plan made up of two separate benefits: (1) a traditional, final average pay (FAP) formula component (this benefit was closed to new entrants as of February 1, 2010 and has a 15 sunset period commencing on January 1, 2014) and (2) a cash balance component (this benefit was closed to future participants and accruals as of January 1, 2014). Both plans are non-contributory and employees hired prior to its close participate in one or both of the benefits, including the NEOs.

On September 1, 1994, the Company amended and restated the Retirement Plan, and any benefits accrued as of August 31, 1994 were transferred into the amended Retirement Plan. The Retirement Plan, as amended, provides for an annual benefit at age 65 of 1.5% times the five year final average compensation in excess of social security covered compensation, plus 1% of the highest sixty (60) months final average compensation out of the last one hundred twenty (120) months of service up to social security covered compensation, in each case multiplied by the participant's years of service after September 1, 1994, not to exceed 35. Funds contributed to the Cash Balance portion of the Plan before it was frozen are credited to a notional cash balance account that grows with interest based on the rates each December for 30-Year Treasury Bonds.

The Retirement Plan provides a total retirement benefit equal to the August 31, 1994, accrued benefit, indexed to reflect increases in compensation, as defined by the plan, from that date forward plus the benefit accrued after September 1, 1994 under the amended final average pay formula and the cash balance component.

As of August 31, 1994, the following monthly pension benefits had been accrued for those employees that participated in the plan prior to the plan merger in 1994: Mr. Benante, \$137. Mr. Tynan, Mr. Ferdenzi, Mr. Adams, and Mr. Quinly commenced their employment with the Company after September 1, 1994, and therefore did not accrue a monthly pension under the Retirement Plan prior to September 1, 1994; however, they, along with the other NEOs, continue to accrue a benefit under the amended Retirement Plan.

The Company maintains an unfunded, non-qualified Retirement Benefits Restoration Plan (the Restoration Plan ) under which participants in the Retirement Plan whose compensation or benefits exceed the limits imposed by I.R.C. Sections 401(a) (17) and 415 will receive a supplemental retirement benefit that restores the amount that would have been payable under the Retirement Plan except for the application of such limits.

The Restoration Plan benefits are not funded. In the event of a change in control, the Company has agreed to fund a Rabbi Trust in place through an agreement between the Company and PNC Bank, N.A., dated January 30, 1998, which provides for the payment of the Company's obligation under the Restoration Plan.

Since the Company provided a traditional final average pay benefit under the Retirement Plan, the Company did not offer any Company-source contributions to NEOs under the Company's 401(k) savings Plan. However, NEOs can elect to defer up to 75% of their own annual cash compensation per year on a tax deferred basis subject to the IRS Elective Deferral limit within the Plan. For 2014, the after-tax Plan contribution limit for a highly compensated employee was limited to 2.0%.

### *Executive Perquisites*

In addition to the standard benefit plans offered to all employees, the NEOs are eligible for a limited number of executive perquisites that are consistent with market practices. Perquisites include financial planning and income tax preparation, a Company automobile or automobile allowance, and executive physicals for the executive and his or her spouse. The Committee has determined that the

overall level of perquisites the Company provides to its NEOs is reasonable and consistent with that of its peers.

*Limited Use of Retention Agreement*

On October 12, 2006, the Company entered into a restricted stock unit agreement with Mr. Adams. Mr. Adams received a grant of 31,948 restricted stock units with equivalent value of \$1,000,000 on September 26, 2006, the date the Board of Directors approved the material terms of the agreement to be offered to Mr. Adams. The agreement provides for the entire grant to vest on October 12, 2016 (ten years from the date the agreement was executed), provided that Mr. Adams does not voluntarily leave the employ of Curtiss-Wright or Mr. Adams is not otherwise terminated for Cause, as defined in the agreement. On or prior to December 31, 2015, Mr. Adams may elect to convert said stock units to an equivalent number of shares of Curtiss-Wright Common Stock or defer the conversion of the stock units in accordance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code for a period not greater than five (5) years. The agreement also provides for immediate vesting and conversion of the stock units upon Mr. Adams death or disability and in the event of a Change in Control of Curtiss-Wright.

On October 23, 2007, the Company entered into an additional restricted stock unit agreement with Mr. Adams on substantially the same terms as his existing agreement providing for a grant of 21,182 restricted stock units with equivalent value of \$1,000,000 on September 24, 2007, the date the Board of Directors approved the material terms of the agreement. The restricted stock units in Mr. Adams' 2007 restricted stock unit agreement also vest on October 12, 2016 under the same terms and conditions set forth in his 2006 restricted stock unit agreement.

These agreements were entered into as part of the succession planning process to ensure the Company had viable internal CEO candidates at the time of Mr. Benante's retirement. Given Mr. Adams' current performance as well as his performance in prior positions, it is the Committee's view that Mr. Adams is an attractive candidate for positions outside of Curtiss-Wright. The agreements that have been approved by the Committee are justified and intended to retain Mr. Adams for an extended period of time.

On April 1, 2014, the Company entered into a restricted stock unit agreement with Mr. Quinly. Mr. Quinly received a grant of 28,818 restricted stock units pursuant to the terms and conditions of the LTIP. Each unit is the equivalent of one share of Curtiss-Wright Common Stock, the equivalent of \$1,000,000 in value as of the closing price of Curtiss-Wright's Common Stock on April 1, 2014, the date the Board of Directors approved the material terms of the agreement to be offered to Mr. Quinly. The agreement provides for the entire grant to vest on April 1, 2021 (eight years from the date the agreement was executed), provided that Mr. Quinly does not voluntarily leave the employ of Curtiss-Wright or Mr. Quinly is not otherwise terminated for any reason by the Company. On or prior to December 31, 2020, Mr. Quinly may elect to convert said stock units to an equivalent number of shares of Curtiss-Wright Common Stock or defer the conversion of the stock units in accordance with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code for a period not greater than five (5) years. The agreement also provides immediate vesting and conversion of the stock units upon Mr. Quinly's death or disability and in the event of a Change in Control of Curtiss-Wright.

*Policies concerning equity-based and other long-term incentive compensation*

*Equity Ownership and Other Requirements for Senior Executives*

To further align the linkage between the interests of the NEOs and those of its stockholders, the Company requires the CEO and all other NEOs to own Company stock denominated as a multiple of their annual salaries as follows: five times annual salary for the CEO and three times annual salary for other NEOs.

All share-based long-term incentive plan grants, including any vested stock options (post-2005 grants), are subject to the Guidelines and 50% of the net proceeds of a stock based grant vested or exercised (current market value of shares

less the strike price) must be retained in Company stock.

There is no fixed timeframe to achieve the Guidelines. However, until the Guidelines are satisfied, the NEO is only permitted to sell 50% of the value to accommodate the cost of taxes. Once the ownership thresholds are fully met and maintained, the holding limits are removed on any and all earned and vested shares above the ownership guideline.

#### Clawback Policy

In the event the amount of any incentive compensation award is based on materially inaccurate financial statements or any other materially inaccurate performance metric criteria, or if a participant is one of the individuals subject to automatic forfeiture under Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and has committed an offense subject to forfeiture under such statute, the participant must reimburse the Company that portion of the incentive compensation award that was based on the inaccurate data or as provided for in such statute.

#### Prohibition of Hedging and Pledging

The Company also maintains an insider trading policy for all its employees, including the NEOs, and members of the Board of Directors. The policy specifically prohibits employees from engaging in any transaction in which they may profit from short-term speculative swings in the value of the Company's securities or those securities of any targeted acquisitions. This includes short sales (selling borrowed securities that the seller hopes can be purchased at a lower price in the future) or short sales against the box (selling owned, but not delivered securities), and hedging transactions such as zero-cost collars and forward sale contracts.

#### *Other Policies*

#### Use of Tax Gross-up

The Company's existing NEO Change-in-Control agreements (except for Mr. Quinly and Mr. Ferdenzi who became executive officers after 2008) provide for a supplemental cash payment to the extent necessary to preserve the level of benefits in the event of the imposition of excise taxes payable by a participant in respect of *excess parachute payments* under Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company has not entered into a new agreement that includes tax gross-ups since 2008 and is committed to excluding this provision in any future agreements.

#### Tax Deductibility

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code generally disallows a tax deduction to public corporations for compensation over \$1,000,000 paid for any fiscal year to the Company's CEO and up to three other executive officers other than the CFO. However, certain performance-based compensation is exempt from the deduction limit if specific requirements are met. The Committee intends to structure awards to executive officers under the Company's MICP and equity awards program to qualify for this exemption. However, when appropriate, the Committee believes that stockholder interests are best served if the Committee's discretion and flexibility in awarding compensation is not restricted, even though some compensation awards may result in non-deductible compensation expenses.

*The following report of the Executive Compensation Committee does not constitute soliciting material and should not be deemed filed or incorporated by reference into any other Company filing under the Securities Act or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent the Company specifically incorporates this report by reference therein.*

### **Executive Compensation Committee Report**

The Executive Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed this CD&A (included in this Proxy Statement) with Management. Based upon the Executive Compensation Committee's review and discussions referred to above,



the Executive Compensation Committee recommended that the

Board of Directors include this CD&A in the Company's Proxy Statement for the year ended December 31, 2014, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE  
OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

S. Marce Fuller, *Chairperson*

Dean M. Flatt

John R. Myers

Robert J. Rivet

**Risk Consideration in the Overall Compensation Program for 2014**

In 2014, the Executive Compensation Committee, with the assistance of Management and the oversight of Fairient Advisors, assessed the Company's executive and broad-based compensation and benefits programs to determine if the programs' provisions and operations create undesired or unintentional risk of a material nature. The Executive Compensation Committee concluded in this risk assessment that these programs have been designed and administered in a manner that discourages undue risk-taking by employees, including a number of features of the programs that are designed to mitigate risk, including:

Limits on annual and long-term performance awards, thereby defining and capping potential payouts

Proportionately greater award opportunity derived from the long-term incentive program compared to annual incentive plan, creating a greater focus on sustained Company performance over time

Use of three distinct long-term equity incentive vehicles—restricted stock units, long-term cash-based performance units, and performance shares—that vest over a number of years, thereby providing strong incentives for sustained operational and financial performance

Use of balanced measures, including top and bottom line measures, income and balance sheet statement measures, and short- and long-term measurement periods

Stock ownership guidelines for senior executives that ensure alignment with stockholder interests over the long term

Incorporation of an individual performance score, ranging from one 1.0 to five 5.0, as a key factor in the total annual incentive calculation, thereby enabling the Committee to direct a zero payout for the 20%

individual-performance component (the executive can still get a payout for the 30% corporate operating income performance component, the 30% corporate operating margin performance component, and the 20% working capital as a percentage of sales performance component) to any executive in any year if the individual executive is deemed to have sufficiently poor performance or is found to have engaged in activities that pose a financial, operational or other undue risk to the Company

A formal clawback policy applicable to both cash and equity compensation of senior executives

For the foregoing reasons, the Committee has concluded that the Company's compensation policies and practices do not encourage excessive and unnecessary risk-taking, and that the level of risk is appropriate for the best interests of stockholders.

*Post-Employment Agreements*

Severance Agreements

The Company has At-will severance agreements with Messrs. Adams, Tynan, Quinly, and Ferdenzi. In the case of involuntary termination of employment other than termination for cause (as defined in the agreements), failure to comply with the terms and conditions of the agreement, voluntary resignation of employment by the employee, and

voluntary retirement by the employee, these

agreements provide for the payment of severance pay in an amount equal to one year of base salary to be paid at the time of termination, as well as the continued availability of certain employee health and welfare benefits for a period of one year following termination. The agreements provide that such pay and benefits also would be made available in the case of voluntary retirement or termination of employment that is the direct result of a significant change in the terms or conditions of employment, including a reduction in compensation or job responsibilities. At the employee's option, the severance pay may be received over the two-year period following termination, in which case the employee benefits would continue in effect for the same period. The agreements further provide that the payment of severance pay and the availability of benefits are contingent upon a number of conditions, including the employee's performance of his or her obligations pursuant to the agreement, specifically to provide consulting services, release the Company from any employment related claims, and not compete with the Company for a period of 12 months.

Change-in-Control Agreements

The Company has Change-in-Control severance protection agreements with Messrs. Adams, Tynan, Quinly, and Ferdenzi. The agreements with Messrs. Adams, Tynan, and Quinly, provide for payment of severance pay equal to three times while Mr. Ferdenzi provides for two times the sum of the executive's base salary and the greater of (i) the annual target incentive grant in the year the executive is terminated or (ii) the annual incentive paid under the annual incentive plan immediately prior to the executive's termination. These amounts shall be paid in a single lump sum cash payment within ten (10) days after the executive's termination date. The agreements also call for the continued availability of certain employee benefits for a period of three years following termination of employment.

All agreements have a double trigger, i.e. severance may be paid in the event that (1) there is a change-in-control of the Company, as that term is defined in the agreements, and (2) the covered executive's employment is formally or constructively terminated by the Company within twenty-four months following the change-in-control. Accordingly, if the Company terminates the employment without cause of an NEO during the two year period following a change-in-control, or if the NEO terminates their employment with the Company with good reason, then the NEO is entitled to certain compensation and benefits provided for in the agreement. The agreements define cause as (a) a conviction of a felony, (b) intentionally engaging in illegal or willful misconduct that demonstrably and materially injures the Company, or (c) intentional and continual failure to substantially perform assigned duties which failure continues after written notice and a 30 day cure period. The agreements also define good reason as (a) adverse change in status, title, position, or responsibilities, (b) reduction in salary, (c) relocation of more than 25 miles, (d) the Company's failure to pay the covered individual in accordance with its compensation policies; or (e) a reduction in benefits. The agreements for all NEOs except Mr. Quinly and Mr. Ferdenzi are grandfathered to renew automatically each year. Consistent with best practices, all future executive officer change-in-control agreements elected as executive officers after January 1, 2008, must be approved and renewed annually by the Committee.

The following table shows the *potential* incremental value transfer to the NEOs under various employment related scenarios.

### Potential Post-Employment Payment

Termination Scenario	David C. Adams	Martin R. Benante	Glenn E. Tynan	Thomas P. Quinly	Paul J. Ferdenzi
If Retirement or Voluntary Termination Occurred on December 31, 2014 (a) (b)	\$ 3,892,901	\$ 6,949,307	\$ 2,373,697	\$ 2,004,561	\$
If Termination for Cause Occurred on December 31, 2014 (c)	\$ 575,800	\$ 0	\$ 587,820	\$ 440,152	\$
If Termination Without Cause Occurred on December 31, 2014 (d)	\$ 8,493,347	\$ 7,919,307	\$ 2,916,597	\$ 2,604,561	\$ 390,0
If "Change In Control" Termination Occurred on December 31, 2014 (e)	\$ 17,616,499	\$ 17,884,968	\$ 8,015,746	\$ 8,071,027	\$ 2,443,1
If Death Occurred on December 31, 2014 (f)(g)	\$ 9,144,868	\$ 7,749,307	\$ 3,860,352	\$ 4,154,967	\$ 1,715,1

- (a) Mr. Benante is eligible for Full Retirement. Messrs. Adams, Tynan, and Quinly are eligible for Early Retirement. Mr. Ferdenzi is not yet eligible for Early Retirement.
- (b) Includes (1) intrinsic value of any unvested/unearned cash-based performance units, restricted stock units, and performance shares on December 31, 2014 that would vest after the date of termination or retirement, (2) incremental value on measurement date (December 31, 2014) of vested benefit under the Curtiss-Wright Retirement Plan ( Retirement Plan ) and the Curtiss-Wright Restoration Plan ( Restoration Plan ), assuming the executive elects immediate payout.
- (c) Includes incremental value on measurement date (December 31, 2014) of vested benefit under the Curtiss-Wright Retirement Plan ( Retirement Plan ) and the Curtiss-Wright Restoration Plan ( Restoration Plan ), assuming the executive elects immediate payout.
- (d) Includes (1) intrinsic value of any unvested/unearned cash-based performance units, restricted stock units, and performance shares on December 31, 2014 that would vest after the date of termination for retirement-eligible executives, (3) severance payout, (4) accelerated vesting of retention agreement for Mr. Adams, (5) incremental value on measurement date (December 31, 2014) of vested benefit under the Curtiss-Wright Retirement Plan ( Retirement Plan ) and the Curtiss-Wright Restoration Plan ( Restoration Plan ), assuming the executive elects immediate payout.
- (e) Includes (1) change-in-control severance payout, (2) accelerated vesting of retention agreements for Messrs. Quinly and Adams, (3) present value of any accelerated vesting of cash-based performance units, performance shares, and restricted stock units on December 31, 2014, (4) incremental value on measurement date (December 31, 2014) of vested benefit under the Curtiss-Wright Retirement Plan ( Retirement Plan ) and the Curtiss-Wright Restoration Plan ( Restoration Plan ) including additional three years of benefit accrual per change-in-control agreements, assuming the executive elects immediate payout, and (5) gross-up payment per change-in-control agreements.
- (f) Includes (1) accelerated vesting of retention agreements for Messrs. Quinly and Adams, (2) present value of any accelerated vesting of cash-based performance units, performance shares, and restricted stock units on December 31, 2014, (3) incremental value on measurement date (December 31, 2014) of vested benefit under the Curtiss-Wright Retirement Plan ( Retirement Plan ) and the Curtiss-Wright Restoration Plan ( Restoration Plan ), assuming the executive elects immediate payout, and (4) value of Company-paid basic life insurance policy.
- (g)

Depending on circumstances of death, all employees may also be eligible for Accidental Death and Dismemberment (AD&D) insurance payment and Business Travel Accident insurance payment.

The following table sets forth information concerning the total compensation of the former Executive Chairman (retired), Chief Executive Officer, Chief Operating Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and the other NEO of the Company who had the highest aggregate total compensation for the Company's fiscal year ended December 31, 2014.

### Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (a)	Bonus (b)	Stock Awards (\$)			Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation		Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (g)
				Performance Share Units (c)	Restricted Stock Units (d)	Option Awards	Annual Plan (e)	Long-Term Plan (f)	
David Adams Chairman and Chief Executive Officer	2014	\$ 815,385	\$ 0	\$ 1,020,000	\$ 765,000	\$ 0	\$ 1,359,247	\$ 693,757	\$ 1,573,474
	2013	\$ 690,154	\$ 207,805	\$ 810,000	\$ 607,500	\$ 0	\$ 746,195	\$ 568,734	\$ 415,044
	2012	\$ 586,477	\$ 0	\$ 622,368	\$ 466,776	\$ 0	\$ 539,432	\$ 492,515	\$ 1,165,944
Martin R. Benante Former Executive Chairman (retired)	2014	\$ 970,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,646,915	\$ 1,417,636	\$ 1,662,594
	2013	\$ 970,000	\$ 0	\$ 776,000	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 1,234,422	\$ 1,320,413	\$ 0
	2012	\$ 970,000	\$ 0	\$ 1,047,600	\$ 785,700	\$ 0	\$ 905,447	\$ 1,030,219	\$ 2,076,294
Glenn E. Tynan Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2014	\$ 533,935	\$ 0	\$ 401,746	\$ 301,310	\$ 0	\$ 647,529	\$ 556,747	\$ 868,134
	2013	\$ 517,000	\$ 0	\$ 382,580	\$ 286,935	\$ 0	\$ 458,322	\$ 469,178	\$ 40,714
	2012	\$ 517,000	\$ 0	\$ 382,580	\$ 286,935	\$ 0	\$ 362,159	\$ 369,023	\$ 967,344
Thomas P. Quinly Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	2014	\$ 600,000	\$ 0	\$ 480,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 0	\$ 750,150	\$ 410,984	\$ 565,054
	2013	\$ 464,846	\$ 0	\$ 480,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 0	\$ 461,244	\$ 263,145	\$ 142,864
	2012	\$ 411,000	\$ 0	\$ 314,500	\$ 235,875	\$ 0	\$ 305,476	\$ 208,845	\$ 411,784
Paul J. Ferdenzi Vice President, General	2014	\$ 378,461	\$ 0	\$ 187,200	\$ 140,400	\$ 0	\$ 373,996	\$ 179,550	\$ 390,624

Counsel,  
and  
Corporate  
Secretary

- (a) Includes amounts deferred under the Company's Savings and Investment Plan and Executive Deferred Compensation Plan.
- (b) Represents a discretionary award paid to Mr. Adams on February 14, 2014.
- (c) Includes grants of performance share units as part of the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan in November of each year. The values shown represent the grant date fair value of the grants at target. Performance share units have a maximum payout of 200% of target.
- (d) Includes grants of time-based restricted stock units as part of the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan in November of each year. The values shown represent the grant date fair value of the grants at target.
- (e) Includes payments made based on the Company's annual Modified Incentive Compensation Plan (MICP) for performance during the year.
- (f) Includes the maturity of cash-based performance unit grants made under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan.
- (g) Represents annual change in the actuarial accumulated present value (APV) of accumulated pension benefits.
- (h) Includes personal use of company car, payments for executive physicals, financial counseling, premium payments for executive life insurance paid by the Company during the covered fiscal year for term life insurance and accidental death and disability insurance.

The Company's executive officers are not employed through formal employment agreements. It is the philosophy of the Committee to promote a competitive at-will employment environment, which would be impaired by lengthy employment arrangements. The Committee provides proper long-term compensation incentives with competitive salaries and bonuses to ensure that senior management remains actively and productively employed with the Company.



The Company believes perquisites for executive officers should be limited in scope and value and aligned with peer group practices as described earlier. As a result, the Company has historically given nominal perquisites. The below table generally illustrates the perquisites the Company provides to its NEOs.

The Company also maintains a policy concerning executive automobiles under which certain officers of the Company are eligible to use Company leased automobiles or receive an equivalent automobile allowance. The NEOs participate in this program. The Company maintains the service and insurance on Company leased automobiles. In addition to the Company automobile policy, the Company also provides all executive officers with financial planning and tax preparation services through Wachovia Financial Services. Not all executive officers utilize these services on an annual basis. Finally, all executive officers and their spouses are provided annual physicals through the Mayo Clinic at any one of the clinic's three locations.

### Perquisites and Benefits

Name	Automobile (a)	Financial Planning	Executive Physical	Insurance Premiums
David C. Adams	\$ 18,656	\$ 10,480	\$ 7,792	\$ 3,500
Martin R. Benante	\$ 22,097	\$ 15,288	\$ 15,658	\$ 3,968
Glenn E. Tynan	\$ 15,108	\$ 11,046	\$ 0	\$ 2,375
Thomas P. Quinly	\$ 4,489	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 2,375
Paul J. Ferdenzi	\$ 10,014	\$ 11,036	\$ 14,685	\$ 1,005

(a) Represents the personal use of Company-leased automobiles. Mr. Quinly transitioned from an automobile allowance to a leased automobile in May 2014.

The Company's executive officers are entitled to receive medical benefits, life and disability insurance benefits, and to participate in the Company's Savings and Investment Plan, Defined Benefit Plan, Employee Stock Purchase Plan, flexible spending accounts, and disability plans on the same basis as other full-time employees of the Company.

The Company also offers a nonqualified executive deferred compensation plan, in accordance with Section 409A of the Code, whereby eligible executives, including the NEOs, may elect to defer additional cash compensation on a tax deferred basis. The deferred compensation accounts are maintained on the Company's financial statements and accrue interest at the rate of (i) the average annual rate of interest payable on United States Treasury Bonds of 30 years maturity as determined by the Federal Reserve Board, plus (ii) 2%. Earnings are credited to executives' accounts on a monthly basis.

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name	Plan Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards				Estimated Future Payouts Under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units
			Number of Units	Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Max (\$)	Threshold (#)	Target (#)	Max (#)	
David C. Adams	MICP (b)	11/11/2014		\$ 446,250	\$ 892,500	\$ 1,785,000				
	LTI (c)	11/11/2014	765,000	\$ 382,500	\$ 765,000	\$ 1,530,000				
	LTI (d)	11/11/2014					7,226	14,452	28,904	
	LTI (e)	11/11/2014								10,839
Martin R. Benante	(a) MICP (b)	11/11/2014								
	LTI (c)	11/11/2014								
	LTI (d)	11/11/2014								
	LTI (e)	11/11/2014								
Glenn E. Tynan	MICP (b)	11/11/2014		\$ 203,588	\$ 407,175	\$ 814,350				
	LTI (c)	11/11/2014	301,310	\$ 150,655	\$ 301,310	\$ 602,620				
	LTI (d)	11/11/2014					2,846	5,692	11,384	
	LTI (e)	11/11/2014								4,269
Thomas P. Quinly	MICP (b)	11/11/2014		\$ 225,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 900,000				
	LTI (c)	11/11/2014	360,000	\$ 180,000	\$ 360,000	\$ 720,000				
	LTI (d)	11/11/2014					3,401	6,801	13,602	
	LTI (e)	11/11/2014								5,101
Paul J. Ferdenzi	MICP (b)	11/11/2014		\$ 126,750	\$ 253,500	\$ 507,000				
	LTI (c)	11/11/2014	140,400	\$ 70,200	\$ 140,400	\$ 280,800				
	LTI (d)	11/11/2014					1,326	2,652	5,304	
	LTI (e)	11/11/2014								1,989

(a) Mr. Benante did not receive any plan-based award grants in 2014 due to his anticipated retirement.

(b) Values in this row represent the Company's annual Modified Incentive Compensation Plan (MICP) that was approved on November 11, 2014 for performance during fiscal 2015. The incentive threshold, target, and

maximum are expressed above as a percentage of annualized base salary on December 31, 2014.

- (c) Values in this row represent grants of cash-based performance units made under the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan.
- (d) Values in this row represent grants of performance share units as part of the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan.
- (e) Values in this row represent grants of restricted stock units as part of the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. The NEOs are paid dividends on restricted stock awards only. These dividends are reinvested into the restricted stock awards and are subject to the same limitations and restrictions as the original restricted stock award. The plan specifically prohibits the re-pricing of options and requires that any equity-based grants be issued based on the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as reported by the NYSE on the date of the grant.

The Committee granted cash-based performance units, performance shares, and restricted stock units in November 2014 to the NEOs. The cash-based performance units and performance shares units will mature in December 2017 and will be paid in early 2018 if the financial goals are attained. The values shown in the table reflect the potential value at a target value of one dollar per unit payable at the end of the three-year performance period and one stock unit convertible into one share of Common Stock if the objectives are attained. The chart also reflects the fact that each stock unit may be worth a maximum of approximately two dollars or two shares if all performance targets are substantially exceeded, or nothing at all if performance thresholds are not met.

The following table sets forth the outstanding equity awards of the NEOs. Some of the grants disclosed below are not yet vested and are subject to forfeiture under certain conditions.

### Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End

Name	Option Awards					Stock Awards				
	Number of Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Underlying Securities Exercised (#)	Number of Underlying Securities Unexercised (#)	Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) (a)	Market Value of Shares or Units that Have Not Vested (\$) (a)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Rights that Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$)	
David C. Adams	31,421	0	0	\$ 30.12	11/15/2018	31,948	2,255,209(b)			
	30,679	0	0	\$ 30.90	11/17/2019	21,182	1,495,237(b)	12,311	869,033(c)	
	34,414	0	0	\$ 29.88	11/15/2020	14,167	1,000,049	18,889	1,333,375(d)	
						11,889	839,245	15,852	1,118,993(e)	
						10,839	765,125	14,452	1,020,167(f)	
Martin R. Benante	72,816	0	0	\$ 54.00	11/16/2017					
	77,342	0	0	\$ 30.12	11/15/2018			25,155	1,775,691(c)	
	75,516	0	0	\$ 30.90	11/17/2019			31,794	2,244,338(d)	
	85,412	0	0	\$ 29.88	11/15/2020	23,846	1,683,289	15,186	1,071,980(e)	
Glenn E. Tynan	10,246	0	0	\$ 54.00	11/16/2017			9,879	697,359(c)	
	27,704	0	0	\$ 30.12	11/15/2018	8,709	614,768	11,611	819,620(d)	
	27,050	0	0	\$ 30.90	11/17/2019	5,616	396,433	7,487	528,507(e)	
	30,349	0	0	\$ 29.88	11/15/2020	4,269	301,349	5,692	401,798(f)	
Thomas P. Quinly	3,354	0	0	\$ 27.92	11/15/2015					
	4,867	0	0	\$ 36.73	11/19/2016					
	4,318	0	0	\$ 54.00	11/16/2017	24,818	1,751,903(b)	7,293	514,813(c)	
	15,218	0	0	\$ 30.12	11/15/2018	7,293	514,813	9,545	673,782(d)	
	14,859	0	0	\$ 30.90	11/17/2019	7,159	505,354	9,394	663,122(e)	
	17,308	0	0	\$ 29.88	11/15/2020	7,046	497,377	6,801	480,083(f)	
Paul J. Ferdenzi	2,518	0	0	\$ 27.92	11/15/2015					
	1,973	0	0	\$ 36.73	11/19/2016					

Edgar Filing: CURTISS WRIGHT CORP - Form DEF 14A

1,821	0	0	\$ 54.00	11/16/2017			1,911	134,897(c)
4,566	0	0	\$ 30.12	11/15/2018	2,868	202,452	3,824	269,936(d)
4,681	0	0	\$ 30.90	11/17/2019	1,850	130,592	2,466	174,075(e)
5,302	0	0	\$ 29.88	11/15/2020	1,989	140,404	2,652	187,205(f)

- (a) Represents unvested restricted stock units granted as part of the Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan. Stock price used to determine value is \$70.59, the closing price of Company common stock on December 31, 2014.
- (b) Represents retention grants of restricted stock units for Mr. Adams and Mr. Quinly. Stock price used to determine value is \$70.59, the closing price of Company common stock on December 31, 2014.
- (c) Represents cash value at target of outstanding performance-based share units granted November 15, 2011 as part of the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan. Stock price used to determine value is \$70.59, the closing price of Company common stock on December 31, 2014. Performance shares were earned as common stock in February 2015 contingent upon the extent to which previously established performance objectives are achieved over the three year period ending at the close of business on December 31, 2014. These shares are no longer outstanding as of the date of this filing.
- (d) Represents cash value at target of outstanding performance-based share units granted December 20, 2012 as part of the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan. Stock price used to determine value is \$70.59, the closing price of Company common stock on December 31, 2014. Performance-based share units will be earned as common stock early in 2016 contingent upon the extent to which previously established performance objectives are achieved over the three year period ending at the close of business on December 31, 2015.
- (e) Represents cash value at target of outstanding performance-based share units granted November 12, 2013 as part of the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan. Stock price used to

determine value is \$70.59, the closing price of Company common stock on December 31, 2014.

Performance-based share units will be earned as common stock early in 2017 contingent upon the extent to which previously established performance objectives are achieved over the three year period ending at the close of business on December 31, 2016.

- (f) Represents cash value at target of outstanding performance-based share units granted November 11, 2014 as part of the Company's Long Term Incentive Plan. Stock price used to determine value is \$70.59, the closing price of Company common stock on December 31, 2014. Performance-based share units will be earned as common stock early in 2018 contingent upon the extent to which previously established performance objectives are achieved over the three year period ending at the close of business on December 31, 2017.

The following table sets forth information regarding options exercised and stock vested during calendar year 2014.

### Option Exercises and Stock Vested

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards (a)	
	Number of Shares Acquired Upon Exercise (#)	Value Realized Upon Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired Upon Vesting (#)	Value Realized Upon Exercise (\$)
David C. Adams	29,588	\$ 821,979	593,471	\$ 2,214,919
Martin R. Benante	124,895	\$ 4,475,199	1,374,422	\$ 4,898,517
Glenn E. Tynan	23,559	\$ 919,102	489,337	\$ 1,809,275
Thomas P. Quinly	2,836	\$ 123,481	276,571	\$ 1,162,306
Paul J. Ferdenzi			130,446	\$ 336,843

- (a) Stock Awards includes the vesting of the November 15, 2010 Performance Share Unit grant (for performance period 2011-2013) and the November 15, 2011 Restricted Stock grant plus reinvested dividends.

### Deferred Compensation Plans

The following table shows the deferred compensation activity for the NEOs during 2014. This table does not include the nonqualified Restoration Plan since these totals are provided separately in the Pension Benefit Table below.

### Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Earnings in Last Fiscal Year (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year End (\$)
David C. Adams	\$ 113,747	\$ 0	\$ 228,725	\$0	\$ 4,086,237
Martin R. Benante	\$ 462,704	\$ 0	\$ 237,979	\$0	\$ 4,353,838
Glenn E. Tynan	\$ 95,445	\$ 0	\$ 36,366	\$0	\$ 670,169
Thomas P. Quinly	\$ 380,622	\$ 0	\$ 23,271	\$0	\$ 522,647
Paul J. Ferdenzi	\$ 104,098	\$ 0	\$ 6,905	\$0	\$ 159,365

(a) Amounts reported in this column represent deferral of salary and incentive payments deferred in 2014, and such amounts are also included in the corresponding columns of the Summary Compensation Table.

**Total Pension Benefit Payable to Executive Officers**

The estimated total pension benefit payable under the Curtiss-Wright Retirement Plan, and the nonqualified Curtiss-Wright Restoration Plan described above in Pension Plans to the NEOs at retirement age 65 is also described in the following table as a total lump sum payable from each of these plans, based on benefits earned through December 31, 2014. Participants must choose to

receive benefits under the Retirement Plan and the Restoration Plan either through annuity payments or as a lump sum.

#### Qualified Pension Benefit

Name	Plan Name (a)	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (b) (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
David C. Adams	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	15	1,665,432	\$ 0
Martin R. Benante	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	37	4,164,052	\$ 0
Glenn E. Tynan	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	15	901,071	\$ 0
Thomas P. Quinly	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	10	609,784	\$ 0
Paul J. Ferdenzi	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	16	747,060	\$ 0

- (a) The Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan is a defined benefit pension plan providing qualified retirement benefits to eligible employees of the Curtiss-Wright Corporation. Benefits are based on a formula which takes account of service and the average of the highest five years of a participant's pay within the last 10 years of employment. Normal retirement is the later of age 65 or three years of service. Unreduced early retirement benefits may be payable if age is greater than 55 and the sum of age and service exceeds 80.
- (b) The present value of the accumulated benefit was determined as of December 31, 2014, the measurement date used for pension disclosure in the Company's financial statements pursuant to Accounting Standard Codification 715.

#### Non-Qualified Pension Benefit

Name	Plan Name (a)	Number of Years Credited Service	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (b) (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
David C. Adams	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	15	3,618,782	\$ 0
Martin R. Benante	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	37	11,767,263	\$ 0
Glenn E. Tynan	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	15	2,703,982	\$ 0
Thomas P. Quinly	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	10	1,174,405	\$ 0
Paul J. Ferdenzi	Curtiss-Wright Corporation Retirement Plan	16	610,679	\$ 0



- (a) The Curtiss-Wright Corporation Restoration Plan is a non-qualified retirement plan established to provide benefits that would have been payable under the C-W Retirement Plan but for the limitations imposed by the provisions of the Internal Revenue Code and Employee Retirement Income Security Act. All participants of the C-W Retirement Plan are eligible to participate in the Restoration Plan. Restoration benefits are payable at the same time and otherwise in accordance with the terms and conditions applicable under the C-W Retirement Plan.
- (b) The present value of the accumulated benefit was determined as of December 31, 2014, the measurement date used for pension disclosure in the Company's financial statements pursuant to Accounting Standard Codification 715.

The Plan benefit formula is described earlier. Elements of compensation that are included in the calculation of a benefit are base salary earned and short and long-term cash incentives earned. The Company has not adopted a policy prohibiting special benefits under the plans. However, historically the Company has not provided any additional years of credited service to any participants in the Plan.

### COMPENSATION OF DIRECTORS

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the compensation earned by or granted to each non-employee director who served on the Company's Board of Directors in 2014. Mr. Adams, the only current director who is an employee of the Company, is not compensated for his services as a Board member. Mr. Benante, currently a non-employee director, retired as Executive Chairman and Chairman of the Board effective January 1, 2015 and was not compensated for his service as a Board member in 2014.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash \$(a)	Stock Awards \$(b)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified All Other Compensation		Total
					Nonqualified Compensation Earnings (\$)	(\$)(c)	
Dean M. Flatt	\$ 84,600	\$ 70,000			\$	881	\$ 155,481
S. Marce Fuller Allen A. Kozinski	\$ 108,600	\$ 70,000			\$	881	\$ 179,481
John R. Myers	\$ 90,300	\$ 70,000			\$	881	\$ 161,181
John B. Nathman	\$ 98,400	\$ 70,000			\$	881	\$ 169,281
Robert J. Rivet	\$ 82,800	\$ 70,000			\$	881	\$ 153,681
William W. Sihler	\$ 108,600	\$ 70,000			\$	881	\$ 179,481
Albert E. Smith	\$ 86,400	\$ 70,000			\$	1,064	\$ 157,464
Stuart W. Thorn	\$ 92,100	\$ 70,000			\$	881	\$ 162,981
	\$ 75,600	\$ 105,000			\$	1,470	\$ 182,070

- (a) Represents all fees earned or paid in cash for services as a director, including annual retainer, lead director fee, board meeting fees, and committee chairman retainers paid in cash, stock, or a combination of the two at the election of the Director, and includes amounts deferred.
- (b) The values shown represent the aggregate grant date fair value for 2014 computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. In February 2014, each non-employee Director was awarded 1,179 shares of restricted common stock as annual stock grant, each having a full fair value of \$70,000 based on the market value of the common stock on the grant date pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718. In February 2014, Mr. Thorn also received 590 shares of restricted common stock as an award for newly elected members to the Board of Directors having a full fair value of \$35,000 based on the market value of the common stock on the grant date pursuant to FASB ASC Topic 718. The aggregate number of stock awards outstanding at December 31, 2014 are as follows: Flatt 5,765; Fuller 4,873; Kozinski 4,873; Myers 4,893; Nathman 4,873; Rivet 5,913; Sihler 4,873; Smith 4,873; and Thorn, 1,769.
- (c) Represents premium payments paid by the Company during the covered fiscal year for term life insurance and dividends paid on (x) annual restricted common stock grant and (y) annual retainer, board meeting fees, and committee chairman retainers paid in stock at the election of the Director.

In 2014, each non-employee director of the Company was paid an annual retainer of \$45,000 plus \$1,800 for each meeting of the Board of Directors and committees he or she personally attended or participated in by telephone conference call. The chairpersons of the Finance Committee and Committee on Directors and Governance of the Board of Directors were paid an additional annual retainer of \$7,500. The chairpersons of Audit and Executive Compensation Committees of the Board of Directors were paid an additional annual retainer of \$15,000. The lead independent director was paid an additional annual retainer of \$12,000. Based on peer and market data and advice

from Fariant Advisors, beginning in 2015, (i) the annual retainer was increased to \$55,000, (ii) meeting fees were eliminated and replaced with a committee retainer of \$12,500 per committee, (iii) the annual retainer for the chairpersons of the Finance Committee and Committee on Directors and Governance of the Board of Directors was increased to \$10,000, (iv) the annual equity award was increased to \$90,000 from \$70,000, and (iv) the lead independent director annual retainer was increased to \$20,000. Pursuant to the Company's 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan, the Company's non-employee Directors may elect to receive their annual retainer and meeting fees in the form of Company Common Stock,

cash, or both and may elect to defer the receipt of such stock or cash. Each non-employee Director is also eligible for group term life insurance coverage in the amount of \$150,000 for which the Company pays the premiums. The premiums paid on this insurance coverage for each Director is reported as income to the Director. In addition, each Director is also eligible for executive physicals at the Mayo clinic for the Director and his or her spouse, which the Company pays the cost and whose total value for each Director is generally less than \$10,000.

In addition to the annual retainer and meeting fees described above, under the Company's 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan, the Company, acting through the Committee on Directors and Governance has the authority to make equity grants to non-employee Directors. Effective February 2015, each non-employee Director, other than Mr. Benante who will be retiring from the Board effective just prior to the Annual Meeting, was granted 1,309 shares of restricted Common Stock based on a market value of \$90,000 on the grant date with such shares subject to forfeiture based upon failing to remain on the Board for a three year period. The Company grants each newly-appointed Director upon appointment a grant of restricted Common Stock valued at \$35,000 based on the market value of the Common Stock on the grant date with such shares subject to forfeiture based upon failing to remain on the Board for a five year period. In 2014, but effective January 1, 2015, the Directors adopted a policy that each Director must accumulate a total position in the Company's Common Stock with a value of five times (previously three times) the annual retainer.

#### **Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance**

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act and the rules thereunder of the SEC require the Company's Directors, Officers, and beneficial owners of more than 10% of the Common Stock to file reports of their ownership and changes in ownership of Common Stock with the Commission. SEC regulations require that the Company be furnished with copies of these reports. Personnel of the Company generally prepare these reports on behalf of the Directors and Officers on the basis of information obtained from each Director and Officer. Based solely on a review of these reports and on such information from the Directors and Officers, the Company believes that all reports required by Section 16(a) of the Securities and Exchange Act to be filed during the year ended December 31, 2014 were filed on time. A Form 5 for Albert E. Smith reporting exempt transactions covering exempt purchases of Common Stock on March 25, 2014, June 24, 2014, October 1, 2014, and November 24, 2014 through a dividend reinvestment account was filed on February 18, 2015.

#### **Certain Relationships and Related Transactions**

The Company's legal department is primarily responsible for identifying relationships and transactions in which the Company and a director, executive officer or more than 5% stockholder of the Company, including any of their immediate family members, and any entity owned or controlled by them, are participants to determine whether any of these related persons had or will have a direct or indirect material interest. In order to identify potential related person transactions, the Company's legal department annually prepares and distributes to all directors and executive officers a written questionnaire which includes questions intended to elicit information about any related person transactions.

The Company's corporate governance guidelines, applicable to Directors, and the Company's code of conduct, applicable to all employees of the Company, including executive officers (copies of which may be viewed within the Corporate Governance section of the Company's website at [www.curtisswright.com](http://www.curtisswright.com) and are available in print, without charge, upon written request to the Company's Corporate Secretary), prohibits such individuals from engaging in specified activities without prior approval. These activities typically relate to conflict of interest situations where a director, executive officer or immediate family member may have significant financial or business interests in another company competing with or doing business with the Company, or who stands to benefit in some way from such a relationship or activity. If a director or executive officer believes that, as a result of a transaction with the Company, he or she has an actual or potential conflict of interest with the Company, he or she must promptly notify the Company's General Counsel. In case of a transaction involving a director, he or she must also notify the Chairperson

of the Committee on Directors and

Governance (or in case of a transaction involving the Chairperson of the Committee on Directors and Governance, notify the members of the Committee on Directors and Governance).

The Board of Directors has responsibility for reviewing and approving or ratifying related person transactions. To the extent that a proposed related-person transaction may involve a director, such individual may not participate in any decision by the Board that in any way relates to the matter that gives rise to the conflict of interest.

Neither the corporate governance guidelines nor code of conduct specify the standards to be applied by the Board of Directors in reviewing transactions with related persons. However, the Company expects that in general the Board of Directors will consider all of the relevant facts and circumstances, including, if applicable, but not limited to: (i) the benefits to the Company; (ii) the impact on a Director's independence in the event the related person is a Director, an immediate family member of a Director, or an entity in which a Director is a partner, shareholder, or executive officer; (iii) the availability of other sources for comparable products or services; (iv) the terms of the transaction; and (v) the terms available for similar transactions with unrelated third parties.

During fiscal year 2014, there were no proceedings to which any of our Directors, executive officers, affiliates, holders of more than five (5%) percent of our Common Stock, or any associate (as defined in the Proxy Rules) of the foregoing were adverse to the Company. During fiscal year 2014, none of our Directors, executive officers, holders of more than five (5%) percent of our Common Stock, or any members of their immediate family had a direct or indirect material interest in any transactions or series of transactions with the Company in which the amount involved exceeded or exceeds \$120,000.

#### **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

During fiscal year 2014:

None of the members of the Executive Compensation Committee was an officer (or former officer) or employee of the Company.

None of the members of the Executive Compensation Committee or any members of their immediate family entered into (or agreed to enter into) any transaction or series of transactions with the Company in which the amount involved exceeded or exceeds \$120,000.

None of the Company's executive officers served on the compensation committee (or another board committee with similar functions or, if there was no such committee, the entire board of Directors) of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served on the Company's Executive Compensation Committee.

None of the Company's executive officers was a Director of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served on the Company's Executive Compensation Committee.

None of the Company's executive officers served on the compensation committee (or another board committee with similar functions or, if there was no such committee, the entire board of Directors) of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served as a Director on the Company's Board of Directors.

#### **Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management**

The following table sets forth information as of February 13, 2015 for the beneficial ownership of common stock by (a) each stockholder who, to the Company's knowledge, is the beneficial owner of more than 5% of the outstanding shares of any class of Common Stock, (b) each current Director of the Company, (c) each nominee for election as a Director of the Company, (d) each of the executive officers of the Company named in the Summary Compensation Table above (the "Named Executive Officers"), and (e) all current Directors and executive officers of the Company as a group. The percentages in the third column are based on 47,684,909 shares of Common Stock outstanding on February 13, 2015. In each case, except as otherwise indicated in the footnotes to the table, the



shares shown in the second column are owned directly or indirectly by the individuals or members of the group named in the first column, with sole voting and dispositive power. For purposes of this table, beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the federal securities laws and regulations. Inclusion in the table of shares not owned directly by the Director or Named Executive Officer does not constitute an admission that such shares are beneficially owned by the Director or Named Executive Officer for any other purpose.

<b>Name of Beneficial Owner</b>	<b>Number of Shares Beneficially Owned</b>	<b>Percentage of Class</b>
BlackRock, Inc.	4,196,541 (a)	8.8%
Singleton Group LLC	3,762,960 (b)	7.9%
GAMCO Asset Management Inc.	3,482,049 (c)	7.3%
The Vanguard Group	2,895,168 (d)	6.0%
David C. Adams	272,472 (e)(f)(g)	*
Martin R. Benante	464,886 (e)(f)(g)	*
Paul J. Ferdenzi	34,723 (e)(f)(g)	*
Dean M. Flatt	7,074 (e)(h)	*
S. Marce Fuller	19,805 (e)(i)	*
Dr. Allen A. Kozinski	24,494 (e)(h)	*
John R. Myers	15,312 (e)(h)	*
John B. Nathman	13,242 (e)(h)	*
Thomas P. Quinly	134,123 (e)(f)(g)	*
Robert J. Rivet	2,864 (e)(h)	*
Dr. William W. Sihler	6,724 (e)	*
Albert E. Smith	19,155 (e)(h)(i)	*
Stuart W. Thorn	590 (e)(h)	*
Glenn E. Tynan	172,538 (e)(f)(g)	*
Directors and Executive Officers as a group (17 persons)	1,218,209 (j)	2.6%

\* Less than 1%.

- (a) Address is 40 East 52<sup>nd</sup> Street, New York, New York, 10022. The information as to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock by BlackRock, Inc. was obtained from Amendment No. 5, dated January 12, 2015, to its statement on Schedule 13G, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such report discloses that at December 31, 2014, BlackRock, Inc. possessed sole voting and sole dispositive power with respect to 4,070,567 and 4,196,541 shares of Common Stock, respectively.
- (b) Address is 11661 San Vicente Boulevard, Suite 915, Los Angeles, California, 90049. The information as to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock by Singleton Group LLC was obtained from Amendment No. 2, dated August 17, 2007, to its statement on Schedule 13D, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such report discloses that at August 17, 2007: (1) the Singleton Group LLC possessed shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,762,960 shares of Common Stock, (2) Christina Singleton Mednick possessed shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,762,960 shares of Common Stock, (3) William W. Singleton possessed shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,762,960 shares of Common Stock, and (4) Donald E. Rugg possessed shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 3,762,960 shares of Common Stock and sole voting and dispositive power with respect to 56 shares of Common Stock.
- (c) Address is One Corporate Center, Rye, New York, 10580. The information as to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock by GAMCO Asset Management Inc. was obtained from Form 13F, dated February 5, 2015, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such Form 13F disclosed that at December 31, 2014, it possessed sole voting power with respect to 2,176,049 shares of Common Stock and no voting power with



respect to 132,000 shares of Common Stock. Also, pursuant to Form 13F, dated February 5, 2015, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, Gabelli Funds, LLC, an affiliate of GAMCO Asset Management Inc., disclosed that at

- December 31, 2014, it possessed sole voting power with respect to 1,306,000 shares of Common Stock.
- (d) Address is 100 Vanguard Boulevard, Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355. The information as to the beneficial ownership of Common Stock by The Vanguard Group was obtained from Amendment No. 2, dated February 9, 2015, to its statement on Schedule 13G, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such report discloses that at December 31, 2014, The Vanguard Group: (1) possessed sole voting power with respect to 66,677 shares of Common Stock, (2) sole dispositive power with respect to 2,831,991 shares of Common Stock, and (3) possessed shared dispositive power with respect to 63,177 shares of Common Stock
  - (e) Address is c/o Curtiss-Wright Corporation, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277.
  - (f) Includes shares of Common Stock that the Named Executive Officers have the right to acquire through the exercise of stock options within 60 days of February 13, 2015 as follows: David C. Adams, 122,822; Martin R. Benante, 311,086; Paul J. Ferdenzi, 20,861; Thomas P. Quinly, 59,924; and Glenn E. Tynan, 95,349.
  - (g) Includes shares of time-based restricted Common Stock (granted under the Company's 2005 Long-Term Incentive Plan and 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as applicable) that vest on the third anniversary of the date of grant as follows: David C. Adams, 90,340; Martin R. Benante, 24,215; Paul J. Ferdenzi, 6,766; Thomas P. Quinly, 44,291; and Glenn E. Tynan, 18,774.
  - (h) Includes shares of restricted Common Stock owned by the Directors as follows (and subject to forfeiture under the Company's 2005 Stock Plan for Non-Employee Directors and 2014 Omnibus Incentive Plan, as applicable): Dean M. Flatt, 5,287; Allen A. Kozinski, 4,395; John R. Myers, 4,395; John B. Nathman, 3,086; Robert J. Rivet, 2,827; Albert E. Smith, 4,395; and Stuart W. Thorn, 590.
  - (i) Share total rounded down to the next whole number of shares respecting fractional shares purchased pursuant to a broker dividend reinvestment plan.
  - (j) Includes shares of Common Stock as indicated in the preceding footnotes.

**PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF THE INDEPENDENT  
REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed the firm of Deloitte & Touche LLP to act as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for its fiscal year ending December 31, 2015, subject to the ratification by the Company's stockholders as required by the By-laws of the Company. The Board of Directors requests that stockholders ratify such appointment. If the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP, our Audit Committee will appoint another independent registered public accounting firm to perform such duties for the current fiscal year and submit the name of such firm for ratification by our stockholders at the next Annual Meeting of stockholders.

Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting to make such statements and answer such questions as are appropriate.

Ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP will require the affirmative vote of at least a majority in voting interest of the stockholders present in person or by proxy and voting at the Annual Meeting, assuming the presence of a quorum. As further discussed in the section titled *Broker non-votes* on page 2 of this Proxy Statement, if you own shares of Common Stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record and you do not instruct your bank, broker or other holder of record on how to vote on this routine proposal, your bank, broker or other holder of record will nevertheless have authority to vote your shares on this routine proposal in your banks', brokers' or other holders' of record discretion.

**RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS  
RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS THE COMPANY'S  
INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM  
FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2015**

**Disclosure about Fees**

The following table presents the aggregate fees billed by our independent registered public accountants, Deloitte & Touche LLP, and their respective affiliates for the audit of our annual financial statements for the calendar years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, as well as other services provided during those periods:

	<b>2014</b>	<b>2013</b>
<b>Audit Fees (a)</b>	\$ 4,137,000	\$ 4,275,000
<b>Audit-Related Fees (b)</b>	\$ 42,000	\$ 28,000
<b>Tax Fees (c)</b>	\$ 120,000	\$ 399,000
<b>All Other Fees (d)</b>		
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,299,000</b>	<b>\$ 4,702,000</b>

- (a) Audit Fees consist of fees billed for services rendered for the annual audit of our consolidated financial statements, audit of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, review of condensed consolidated financial statements included in the Company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, and services that are normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements.
- (b) Audit-Related Fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements that are not reported under the caption Audit Fees. The fees for 2014 are for activities related to discontinued operations and a pension plan audit for one of our subsidiaries. The fees for 2013 relate to due diligence in connection with potential acquisitions and a pension plan audit for one of our subsidiaries.
- (c) Tax Fees consist of fees billed for services rendered for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning. The fees for 2014 and 2013 relate principally to preparation of tax returns and other tax compliance services directly related to such returns.
- (d) All Other Fees consist of fees billed for products and services other than fees as reported in the above three categories.

**Pre-Approval Policy for Audit and Non-Audit Services**

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy to pre-approve audit and permissible non-audit services provided by the independent accountants. The Audit Committee will consider annually and, if appropriate, approve the scope of the audit services to be performed during the fiscal year as outlined in an engagement letter proposed by the independent accountants. To facilitate the prompt handling of certain matters, the Audit Committee delegates to the Chief Financial Officer the authority to approve in advance all audit and non-audit services below \$250,000 to be provided by the independent accountants so long as no individual service exceeds \$50,000. For permissible non-audit services, we submit to the Audit Committee, at least quarterly, a list of services and a corresponding budget estimate that we recommend the Audit Committee engage the independent accountant to provide. We routinely inform the Audit

Committee as to the extent of services provided by the independent accountants in accordance with this pre-approval policy and the fees incurred for the services performed to date. During fiscal year 2014, all of the Audit-Related Fees, Tax Fees, and All Other Fees in the table above were approved by the Audit Committee. The Company believes that none of the time expended on Deloitte & Touche LLP's engagement to audit the Company's financial statements

for fiscal 2014 and 2013 was attributable to work performed by individuals other than Deloitte & Touche LLP's full-time, permanent employees.

### **PROPOSAL THREE: ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

#### **Overview**

The Board of Directors is committed to excellence in governance. As part of that commitment, and as required by Section 14A(a)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Board of Directors is providing the stockholders with an opportunity to provide an advisory vote on executive compensation (commonly known as a "say on pay" proposal). The Board of Directors recognizes that providing stockholders with an advisory vote on executive compensation may produce useful information on investor sentiment with regard to the Company's executive compensation programs. At the 2014 Annual Meeting of stockholders, approximately 97% of the shares voted were voted in favor of the advisory resolution concerning the compensation of the Named Executive Officers. The Company's next Say on Pay vote after this Annual Meeting will occur at the 2016 Annual Meeting of stockholders. The Company will continue to hold this vote annually until another vote on frequency occurs, which will be no later than the 2017 Annual Meeting of stockholders. The Company's executive compensation program and practices are fully described in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section and other table and narrative disclosures in this Proxy Statement.

#### **Compensation Objectives**

As generally described in the above "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement, the Company's executive compensation program is designed to attract and retain high quality executives and to align the interest of management with the interests of stockholders by rewarding both short and long term performance.

#### **Company Performance**

The Company performed strongly in 2014, including the following results. Incentive awards earned by the Named Executive Officers for fiscal 2014 reflect the Company's strong operating performance and the Company's commitment to pay for performance. The Company believes that its performance-oriented executive compensation program played an important role in the Company's 2014 successes.

Operating income increased 19% and operating margin increased 140 basis points to 12.6%, based on improvements in the Company's Commercial/Industrial and Energy segments.

Net earnings from continuing operations increased 22% to \$170 million, or \$3.46 per diluted share.

Working capital increased \$196 million, or 24%.

Organic sales increased \$33 million, or 2%.

Free cash flow, defined as cash flow from operations less capital expenditures, increased 60% to \$265 million for 2014, equating to a much improved 156% cash conversion (based on net earnings from continuing operations).

Cash conversion is calculated as free cash flow divided by earnings from continuing operations.

The Company urges its stockholders to read the above "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement, which describes in more detail how the Company's executive compensation policies and procedures operate and are designed to achieve the Company's compensation objectives, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and related compensation tables and narratives which provide detailed information on the compensation of the Named Executive Officers. The Executive Compensation Committee believes that the policies and procedures articulated in the above "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement are effective in achieving the Company's goals and that the compensation of the Named Executive Officers reported in this Proxy Statement has

supported and contributed to the Company's success.

The Board recommends that stockholders continue to support this compensation program by voting on the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the stockholders of Curtiss-Wright Corporation approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to the Company's Named Executive Officers, as disclosed in the Proxy Statement for the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Summary Compensation Table, and the related compensation tables and accompanying narrative disclosure therein.

This vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the Executive Compensation Committee or the Board of Directors. It will not overrule any decisions made by the Board of Directors or the Executive Compensation Committee, or require the Board of Directors or the Executive Compensation Committee to take any specific action. The Board of Directors and the Executive Compensation Committee value the opinions of the stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the Named Executive Officers compensation as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, the Board of Directors will consider the stockholder concerns and the Executive Compensation Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

Adoption of this resolution will require the affirmative vote of at least a majority in voting interest of the stockholders present in person or by proxy (and eligible to vote) and voting at the Annual Meeting, assuming the presence of a quorum. As further discussed in the section titled *Broker non-votes* on page 2 of this Proxy Statement, if you own shares of Common Stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record, you must instruct your bank, broker or other holder of record how to vote in order for them to vote your shares of Common Stock so that your vote can be counted on this Proposal Three.

#### **RECOMMENDATION OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE FOR APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF THE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT**

#### **HOUSEHOLDING OF ANNUAL DISCLOSURE DOCUMENTS**

The SEC has adopted rules governing the delivery of annual disclosure documents that permit us to send a single set of our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, and for those stockholders that received a paper copy of the proxy materials in the mail, a single set of our annual report and proxy statement, to any household at which two or more stockholders reside if we believe that the stockholders are members of the same family, unless we have received contrary instructions from one or more of the stockholders. This rule benefits both stockholders and the Company. It reduces the volume of duplicate information received and helps to reduce our expenses. Each stockholder will continue to receive a separate proxy card if they received a paper copy of the proxy materials in the mail. If your household received a single set of such disclosure documents for this year, but you would prefer to receive your own copy now or in the future, please contact our transfer agent, Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., by calling their toll-free number, 1-800-542-1061, or writing to Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717. A separate copy of such disclosure documents will be promptly provided to you upon receipt of your request. Stockholders sharing an address who are receiving multiple copies of the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or our proxy statement and annual report, as applicable, and who wish to receive a single copy of such materials in the future, please contact Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. as indicated above.

**DEADLINE FOR RECEIPT OF STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS  
FOR 2016 ANNUAL MEETING**

Pursuant to regulations of the SEC, stockholders who intend to submit proposals for inclusion in our proxy materials for the 2016 Annual Meeting must do so no later than November 29, 2015. This requirement is separate from the SEC's other requirements that must be met to have a stockholder proposal included in our proxy statement. In addition, this requirement is independent of certain other notice requirements of our Amended and Restated By-laws described below. All stockholder proposals



and notices should be submitted to Corporate Secretary, Curtiss-Wright Corporation, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277. The attached proxy card grants the proxy holder discretionary authority to vote on any matter raised and presented at the Annual Meeting. Pursuant to amended SEC Rule 14a-4(c)(1), we will exercise discretionary voting authority to the extent conferred by proxy with respect to stockholder proposals received after February 5, 2016.

If a stockholder of record wishes to nominate Directors or bring other business to be considered by stockholders at the 2016 Annual Meeting, such proposals may only be made in accordance with the following procedure. Under our current Amended and Restated By-laws, nominations of Directors or other proposals by stockholders must be made in writing to our offices no later than February 7, 2016 and no earlier than January 8, 2016. However, if the date of the 2016 Annual Meeting is advanced by more than 30 days or delayed by more than 70 days from the anniversary date of the 2015 Annual Meeting, then such nominations and proposals must be delivered in writing to the Company no earlier than 120 days prior to the 2016 Annual Meeting and no later than the close of business on the later of (i) the 90th day prior to the 2016 Annual Meeting, or (ii) the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of the 2016 Annual Meeting is first made.

Please note that these requirements relate only to matters proposed to be considered for the 2016 Annual Meeting. They are separate from the SEC's requirements to have stockholder proposals included in the Company's 2016 proxy statement.

#### **2014 ANNUAL REPORT ON FORM 10-K**

Any stockholder wishing to receive, without charge, a copy of the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K (without exhibits) filed with the SEC, should write to the Corporate Secretary, Curtiss-Wright Corporation, 13925 Ballantyne Corporate Place, Suite 400, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277. Exhibits to the Form 10-K will be furnished upon written request and payment of the Company's expenses in furnishing such documents. The Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K is also available free of charge through the Investor Relations section of the Company's website at [www.curtisswright.com](http://www.curtisswright.com).

**OTHER MATTERS WHICH MAY BE PRESENTED FOR ACTION AT THE MEETING**

The Board of Directors does not intend to present for action at this Annual Meeting any matter other than those specifically set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting. If any other matter is properly presented for action at the Annual Meeting, it is the intention of persons named in the proxy to vote thereon in accordance with their judgment pursuant to the discretionary authority conferred by the proxy.

By Order of the Board of Directors

Paul J. Ferdenzi  
*Corporate Secretary*

Dated: March 25, 2015

**Appendix A**

***CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION  
C/O BROADRIDGE  
P.O. BOX 1342  
BRENTWOOD, NY 11717***

**SCAN TO  
VIEW MATERIALS & VOTE**

**VOTE BY INTERNET - [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com) or scan the QR Barcode above**

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

**VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903**

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time the day before the cut-off date or meeting date. Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

**VOTE BY MAIL**

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

**VOTE IN PERSON**

You may vote these shares in person by attending the annual meeting. Directions to the meeting are available at [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com).

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M81639-P60286

**KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR  
RECORDS  
DETACH AND RETURN THIS PORTION  
ONLY**



corporation or partnership, please sign in full corporate or partnership name by authorized officer.

Signature  
[PLEASE  
SIGN     Date     Signature (Joint Owners) Date  
WITHIN  
BOX]

**Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on Thursday, May 7, 2015. A Notice and Proxy Statement and combined Business Review/2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K to security holders are available at: [www.proxyvote.com](http://www.proxyvote.com)**

M81640-P60286

**CURTISS-WRIGHT CORPORATION**  
**Annual Meeting of Stockholders**  
**May 7, 2015 10:00 AM**  
**This proxy is solicited by the Board of Directors**

The undersigned hereby constitutes and appoints DAVID C. ADAMS, GLENN E. TYNAN and HARRY JAKUBOWITZ, and each of them, as proxies of the undersigned, with full power to appoint his substitute, and authorizes each of them to represent and to vote all shares of common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, of Curtiss-Wright Corporation (the "Company") which the undersigned is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held on Thursday, May 7, 2015, at The Ballantyne Hotel & Lodge, 10000 Ballantyne Commons Parkway, Charlotte, North Carolina 28277, commencing at 10:00 a.m. local time, or any adjournment or postponement thereof, with all the powers the undersigned would have if personally present, respecting the matters listed on the reverse side as described in the accompanying proxy statement and, in their discretion, on other matters which may properly come before the meeting. When properly executed, this proxy will be voted in the manner directed herein by the undersigned stockholder(s).

If no direction is given, this proxy will be voted FOR the Director nominees listed in Proposal One; and FOR Proposals Two and Three. In their discretion, the proxies are each authorized to vote upon such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournments or postponements thereof. A stockholder wishing to vote in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations need only sign and date this proxy and return it in the enclosed envelope.

The undersigned hereby acknowledge(s) receipt of a copy of the accompanying Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders, the proxy statement with respect thereto, the Company's 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, and Business Review to Stockholders and hereby revoke(s) any proxy or proxies heretofore given. This proxy may be revoked at any time before it is exercised.

Address Changes/Comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

**Continued and to be signed on reverse side**