

VALOR GOLD CORP.
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2013

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (D) OF THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

VALOR GOLD CORP.
(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation)

333-171277
(Commission File
Number)

45-5215796
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

200 S. Virginia Street
8th Floor
Reno, NV
(Address of principal executive
offices)

89501
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (888) 734-4361

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: VALOR GOLD CORP. - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>	Accelerated filer	<input type="radio"/>
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if smaller reporting company)	<input type="radio"/>	Smaller reporting company	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)
Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. 77,362,502 shares of common stock are issued and outstanding as of May 9, 2013.

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page No.
PART I. - FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1.	3
Financial Statements.	
Consolidated Balance Sheets	3
Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited)	4
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited)	5
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements.	6
Item 2.	19
Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.	
Item 3.	23
Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.	
Item 4.	24
Controls and Procedures.	
PART II – OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	25
Legal Proceedings.	
Item 2.	25
Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.	
Item 3.	25
Defaults upon Senior Securities.	
Item 4.	25
Mine Safety Disclosures.	
Item 5.	25
Other Information.	
Item 6.	25
Exhibits.	

OTHER PERTINENT INFORMATION

Unless specifically set forth to the contrary, “Valor Gold Corp.,” “Valor,” “we,” “us,” “our” and similar terms refer to Valor Gold Corp., a Delaware corporation, and subsidiaries.

Item 1. Financial Statements

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	March 31, 2013 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash	\$ 140,134	\$ 812,671
Prepaid expenses	844,375	1,127,205
Total Current Assets	984,509	1,939,876
Other assets:		
Property and equipment, net	2,337	-
Deposits	118,000	118,000
Total Other Assets	120,337	118,000
Total Assets	\$ 1,104,846	\$ 2,057,876
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
CURRENT LIABILITIES:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 650,891	\$ 671,195
Total Liabilities	650,891	671,195
STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY :		
Preferred stock, \$0.0001 par value; 50,000,000 authorized Convertible Series A Preferred stock (\$.0001 Par Value; 5,000,000 Shares Authorized; 5,000,000 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012)	500	500
Common stock (\$.0001 Par Value; 200,000,000 Shares Authorized; 77,362,500 and 77,112,500 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively)	7,737	7,712
Additional paid-in capital	11,292,637	10,812,491
Accumulated deficit	(10,846,919)	(9,434,022)
Total Stockholders' Equity	453,955	1,386,681
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity	\$ 1,104,846	\$ 2,057,876

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 (Unaudited)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	For the Period from April 28, 2011 (Inception) to March 31, 2013 (Unaudited)
Revenues	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Operating expenses:			
Exploration cost	370,668	51,585	2,653,877
Compensation and related taxes	356,067	38,443	2,809,739
Consulting fees	574,984	1,641	2,425,265
Impairment expense	-	-	2,400,000
Professional fees	53,418	408	282,436
General and administrative expenses	57,760	7,303	264,046
Total operating expenses	1,412,897	99,380	10,835,363
Loss from operations	(1,412,897)	(99,380)	(10,835,363)
Other expense			
Interest expense	-	-	(11,556)
Total other expenses	-	-	(11,556)
Loss before provision for income taxes	(1,412,897)	(99,380)	(10,846,919)
Provision for income taxes	-	-	-
Net loss	\$ (1,412,897)	\$ (99,380)	\$ (10,846,919)
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES			
Basic and Diluted	77,187,500	25,000,000	45,476,868
NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE:			
OUTSTANDING - Basic and Diluted	(0.02)	(0.00)	(0.24)

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2013 (Unaudited)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 (Unaudited)	For the Period from April 28, 2011 (Inception) to March 31, 2013 (Unaudited)
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:			
Net loss	\$(1,412,897)	(99,380)	(10,846,919)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss from operations to net cash used in operating activities:			
Common stock issued for services	276,096	-	2,938,096
Depreciation	81	-	81
Amortization of prepaid expense in connection with the issuance of common stock issued for prepaid services	287,900	-	328,525
Stock-based compensation in connection with options granted	71,625	-	222,022
Impairment expense	-	-	2,400,000
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Prepaid expenses	127,380	35,734	(65,450)
Deposits	-	(5,000)	(118,000)
Accounts payable and accrued expense	(20,304)	(24,243)	650,891
NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(670,119)	(92,889)	(4,490,754)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:			
Purchase of property and equipment	(2,418)	-	(2,418)
Cash paid in connection with the recapitalization of the Company	-	-	(2,000,000)
NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(2,418)	-	(2,002,418)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:			
Contributed capital	-	-	2,000,030
Net proceeds from sale of common and preferred stock	-	-	5,561,000
Payment on note payable	-	-	(500,000)
Advance to related parties, net of proceeds from repayment by related party	-	(279,383)	(427,724)
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	-	(279,383)	6,633,306
NET (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH	(672,537)	(372,272)	140,134

CASH - beginning of period	812,671	380,072	-
CASH - end of period	\$ 140,134	\$ 7,800	\$ 140,134

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:

Cash paid for:

Interest	\$-	\$-	\$ 11,556
Income taxes	\$-	\$-	\$-

NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:

Note payable issued in connection with the recapitalization of the Company	\$-	\$-	\$ 500,000
Distribution to former parent company and its subsidiary prior to Merger included in the Recapitalization of the Company	\$-	\$-	\$ 427,724

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 1 – ORGANIZATION AND DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS

Organization

Valor Gold Corp. (the “Company”), formerly Felafel Corp., was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on June 2, 2009. On March 27, 2012, the Company filed an Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation in order to change its name to Valor Gold Corp. and to increase its authorized capital stock.

On May 24, 2012, the Company entered into an Agreement and Plan of Merger (the “Merger Agreement”) with (i) Red Battle Corp. (“Red Battle”), a Delaware corporation and owner of all of the outstanding membership interests of each of Arttor Gold LLC (“Arttor Gold”), and Noble Effort Gold LLC (“Noble Effort”), (ii) Pershing Gold Corporation (“Pershing”), a Nevada corporation and owner of all of the outstanding capital stock of Red Battle, and (iii) Valor Gold Acquisition Corp., the Company’s newly formed, wholly-owned Delaware subsidiary (“Acquisition Sub”). Upon closing of the transaction contemplated under the Merger Agreement (the “Merger”), Acquisition Sub merged with and into Red Battle, and Red Battle, as the surviving corporation, became the Company’s wholly-owned subsidiary. In consideration for the Merger, the Company paid Pershing, as Red Battle’s sole shareholder, (i) 25,000,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock; (ii) \$2,000,000 in cash; and (iii) a promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000. As a result of the Merger, the Company acquired certain business and operations from Pershing primarily consisting of junior gold exploration mining claims and related rights held by Arttor Gold and Noble Effort. At the effective time of the Merger, the Company discontinued its prior business and operations and revised its business purpose to pursue the business and operations through its Arttor Gold and Noble Effort subsidiaries as its sole business (see Note 3).

Prior to the Merger, the Company was a shell company with minimal operations.

The Merger was accounted for as a reverse-merger and recapitalization. Red Battle was the acquirer for financial reporting purposes and the Company was the acquired company. Consequently, the assets and liabilities and the operations reflected in the historical financial statements prior to the Merger were those of Red Battle and its wholly owned subsidiaries and was recorded at the historical cost basis of Red Battle, and the consolidated financial statements after completion of the Merger included the assets and liabilities of the Company and Red Battle, historical operations of Red Battle and operations of the Company from the closing date of the Merger.

Arttor Gold, a Nevada limited liability company, was formed and organized on April 28, 2011. Arttor Gold operates as a U.S. based junior gold exploration and mining company. For the period from April 28, 2011 (Inception) to March 31, 2013, Arttor Gold had no revenues and recorded transactions related to Arttor Gold’s preliminary exploration activities. Arttor Gold has the rights to explore on two Carlin-type gold properties located in Lander County, Nevada, known as Red Rock and North Battle Mountain. The liability of the member of Arttor Gold is limited to the member’s capital contributions.

Noble Effort, a Nevada limited liability company, was formed in June 2011 to explore potential acquisitions of natural resources properties suitable for exploration and development. On May 24, 2012, Arttor Gold and Pershing assigned its rights to explore the Centerra property to Noble Effort pursuant to an Assignment and Assumption of Lease Agreement.

Going Concern

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a going concern basis which assumes the Company will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of business for the foreseeable future. The Company has incurred losses since inception resulting in an accumulated deficit of approximately \$10.8 million as of March 31, 2013, negative cash flows from operating activities and net loss of approximately \$670,000 and \$1.4 million, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2013. The Company anticipates further losses in the development of its business raising substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon the Company generating profitable operations in the future and/or to obtain the necessary financing to meet its obligations and repay its liabilities arising from normal business operations when they come due. The Company's ability to raise additional capital through the future issuances of common stock is unknown. The obtainment of additional financing, the successful development of the Company's contemplated plan of operations, and its transition, ultimately, to the attainment of profitable operations are necessary for the Company to continue operations. The ability to successfully resolve these factors raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements of the Company do not include any adjustments that may result from the outcome of these aforementioned uncertainties.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of presentation and Principles of Consolidations

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America ("US GAAP") and present the consolidated financial statements of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries as of March 31, 2013. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in the consolidation. All adjustments (consisting of normal recurring items) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of March 31, 2013, and the results of operations and cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2013 have been included. The results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2013 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year. The accounting policies and procedures employed in the preparation of these consolidated financial statements have been derived from the audited financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2012, which are contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on March 28, 2013. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2012 was derived from those financial statements.

Use of estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the balance sheet, and revenues and expenses for the period then ended. Actual results may differ significantly from those estimates. Significant estimates made by management include, but are not limited to the assumptions used to calculate fair value of options granted and common stock issued for services.

Cash and cash equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company places its cash with a high credit quality financial institution. The Company's account at this institution is insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC") up to \$250,000. At March 31, 2013, no amounts exceeded the limits. To reduce its risk associated with the failure of such financial institution, the Company evaluates at least annually the rating of the financial institution in which it holds deposits.

Exploration Stage Company

The Company has been in the exploration stage since its formation and has not yet realized any revenues from its planned operations. The Company has not commenced business operations. The Company is an exploration stage company as defined in Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 915 "Development Stage Entities".

Fair value of financial instruments

The Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 820, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures", for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis. ASC 820 establishes a common definition for fair value to be applied to existing US GAAP that require the use of fair value

measurements which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure about such fair value measurements.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Additionally, ASC 820 requires the use of valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs. These inputs are prioritized below:

- Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted market prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities
- Level 2: Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data
- Level 3: Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data, which require the use of the reporting entity's own assumptions.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

The carrying amounts reported in the balance sheet for cash, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximate their estimated fair market value based on the short-term maturity of this instrument.

In addition, FASB ASC 825-10-25 "Fair Value Option" was effective for January 1, 2008. ASC 825-10-25 expands opportunities to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value.

Prepaid expenses

Prepaid expenses of \$844,375 and \$1,127,205 at March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively, consist primarily of costs paid for future services which will occur within a year. Prepaid expenses include prepayments in cash and equity instruments for public relation services, prepaid insurance and prepaid mining claim fees which are being amortized over the terms of their respective agreements.

Mineral Property

Costs of lease, exploration, carrying and retaining unproven mineral lease properties are expensed as incurred. The Company has chosen to expense all mineral exploration costs as incurred given that it is still in the exploration stage. Once the Company has identified proven and probable reserves in its investigation of its properties and upon development of a plan for operating a mine, it would enter the development stage and capitalize future costs until production is established. When a property reaches the production stage, the related capitalized costs will be amortized, using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the probable-proven reserves. When the Company has capitalized mineral properties, these properties will be periodically assessed for impairment of value and any diminution in value.

Exploration costs

Exploration costs, which include maintenance, development and exploration of mineral claims, are expensed as incurred. When it is determined that a mineral deposit can be economically developed as a result of establishing proven and probable reserves, the costs incurred after such determination will be capitalized and charged to operations on a unit-of-production method based on estimated recoverable reserves.

Bond

The Company posted a surface management bond with Bureau of Land Management ("BLM") for a total of \$118,000 and was included in deposits as reflected in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets as of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively.

Impairment of long-lived assets

The Company accounts for the impairment or disposal of long-lived assets according to the ASC 360 “Property, Plant and Equipment”. The Company continually monitors events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying amounts of long-lived assets, including mineral rights, may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated future net undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. When necessary, impaired assets are written down to estimated fair value based on the best information available. Estimated fair value is generally based on either appraised value or measured by discounting estimated future cash flows. Considerable management judgment is necessary to estimate discounted future cash flows. Accordingly, actual results could vary significantly from such estimates. The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Basic and Diluted Net Loss per Share

Net loss per common share is calculated in accordance with ASC Topic 260: Earnings Per Share (“ASC 260”). Basic loss per share is computed by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. The computation of diluted net loss per share does not include dilutive common stock equivalents in the weighted average shares outstanding as they would be anti-dilutive. The Company has 300,000 options outstanding at March 31, 2013 and were excluded from the computation of diluted shares outstanding as they would have had an anti-dilutive impact on the Company’s net loss. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted loss per share:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2013	Three Months Ended March 31, 2012
Numerator:		
Net loss	\$(1,412,897)	\$(99,380)
Denominator:		
Basic and diluted loss per share (weighted-average shares)	77,187,500	25,000,000
Basic and diluted loss per share	\$(0.02)	\$(0.00)

Income taxes

The Company accounts for income taxes pursuant to the provision of ASC 740-10, “Accounting for Income Taxes” which requires, among other things, an asset and liability approach to calculating deferred income taxes. The asset and liability approach requires the recognition of deferred tax assets and liabilities for the expected future tax consequences of temporary differences between the carrying amounts and the tax bases of assets and liabilities. A valuation allowance is provided to offset any net deferred tax assets for which management believes it is more likely than not that the net deferred asset will not be realized.

The Company follows the provision of the ASC 740-10 related to Accounting for Uncertain Income Tax Position. When tax returns are filed, it is highly certain that some positions taken would be situated upon examination by the taxing authorities, while others are subject to uncertainty about the merits of the position taken or the amount of the position that would be ultimately sustained. In accordance with the guidance of ASC 740-10, the benefit of a tax position is recognized in the financial statements in the period during which, based on all available evidence, management believes it is most likely that not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including the resolution of appeals or litigation processes, if any. Tax positions taken are not offset or aggregated with other positions. Tax positions that meet the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold are measured as the largest amount of tax benefit that is more than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the applicable taxing authority. The portion of the benefits associated with tax positions taken that exceeds the amount measured as described above should be reflected as a liability for uncertain tax benefits in the accompanying balance sheet along

with any associated interest and penalties that would be payable to the taxing authorities upon examination.

The Company believes its tax positions are all highly certain of being upheld upon examination. As such, the Company has not recorded a liability for uncertain tax benefits.

The Company has adopted ASC 740-10-25 Definition of Settlement, which provides guidance on how an entity should determine whether a tax position is effectively settled for the purpose of recognizing previously unrecognized tax benefits and provides that a tax position can be effectively settled upon the completion and examination by a taxing authority without being legally extinguished. For tax position considered effectively settled, an entity would recognize the full amount of tax benefit, even if the tax position is not considered more likely than not to be sustained based solely on the basis of its technical merits and the statute of limitations remains open. The federal and state income tax returns of the Company are subject to examination by the IRS and state taxing authorities, generally for three years after they were filed.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 2 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (continued)

Revenue Recognition

The Company has not generated revenue. The Company will recognize revenue when all the conditions for revenue recognition are met: (i) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, (ii) collection of the fee is probable, (iii) the sales price is fixed and determinable and (iv) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered.

Related parties

Parties are considered to be related to the Company if the parties directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, control, are controlled by, or are under common control with the Company. Related parties also include principal owners of the Company, its management, members of the immediate families of principal owners of the Company and its management and other parties with which the Company may deal if one party controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests. The Company discloses all related party transactions. All transactions shall be recorded at fair value of the goods or services exchanged. Property purchased from a related party is recorded at the cost to the related party and any payment to or on behalf of the related party in excess of the cost is reflected as compensation or distribution to related parties depending on the transaction.

Recent accounting pronouncements

Accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

NOTE 3 – MERGER AGREEMENT

On May 24, 2012, the Company entered into a Merger Agreement with (i) Red Battle, owner of all of the outstanding membership interests of each of Arttor Gold, and Noble Effort (ii) Pershing, owner of all of the outstanding capital stock of Red Battle, and (iii) Acquisition Sub (see Note 1). Upon closing of the Merger, Acquisition Sub merged with and into Red Battle, and Red Battle, as the surviving corporation, became the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary. In consideration for the foregoing, the Company paid Pershing, as Red Battle's sole shareholder, (i) \$2,000,000 in cash (the "Cash Consideration"), (ii) a 5% promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000 due 18 months following the issuance date (the "Note") and (iii) 25,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock (the "Stock Consideration", and, together with the Cash Consideration and the Note, the "Merger Consideration"). As further consideration, (i) Arthur Leger entered into an NSR Agreement with Pershing Royalty Company, the wholly owned subsidiary of Pershing, granting Pershing Royalty Company a 1% royalty on certain claims; (ii) Mr. Leger agreed to cancel 1,750,000 shares of Pershing's common stock held by Mr. Leger prior to the Merger; and (iii) Mr. Leger agreed to defer certain royalty payments under the terms of lease agreements with Arttor Gold related to the Red Rock and North Battle Mineral Prospect claims.

Under the terms of the Note, all outstanding principal, together with all accrued but unpaid interest, is payable upon the earlier of: (i) the closing of one or more private placements of the Company's securities in which the Company

receives gross proceeds of at least \$7,500,000 or (ii) 18 months following the issuance of the Note. In November 2012, the Company repaid \$500,000 and accrued interest of \$11,556 to Pershing.

As a result of the Merger, the Company acquired certain business and operations from Pershing primarily consisting of junior gold exploration mining claims and related rights in Pershing's two Lander County, Nevada exploration properties, Red Rock Mineral Prospect (including Centerra Prospect) and North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect held by Arttor Gold and Noble Effort.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 4 – MINERAL PROPERTIES

North Battle Mountain and Red Rock Mineral Prospects

Arttor Gold has the rights to explore the North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect located in Lander County, Nevada.

The North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect is located in Lander County, Nevada, 11 miles north of the town of Battle Mountain in north central Nevada. As of March 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the property consists of 72 unpatented lode mining claims and encompasses 1440 acres.

The Red Rock Mineral Prospect is located in Lander County, Nevada, 26 miles south of the town of Battle Mountain. As of March 31, 2013, the property consists of unpatented lode mining claims, totaling 355 claims and encompassing approximately 7,000 acres. In August 2011, the Company was granted the exclusive right to explore, mine and develop any and all metals, ores and other minerals on the properties located within the Red Rock Properties under a mining lease agreement with Centerra (US) Inc. and the Company refers to this property as Centerra Gold Prospect. The Centerra Gold Prospect is located in Lander County, Nevada, 26 miles south of the town of Battle Mountain and consists of 24 unpatented lode mining claims and encompasses approximately 480 acres.

In November 2012, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the “Asset Purchase Agreement”) with X-Cal USA, Inc. and Paramount Gold & Silver Corp. (collectively the “Sellers”) pursuant to which the Sellers sold certain properties and mining claims to the Company in consideration for 6 million shares of the Company’s common stock as well as the assumption of certain royalty obligation and reimbursement of \$21,000 of annual maintenance fees with respect to mining claims paid in September 2012. The acquisition includes Paramount’s Reese River Gold Project which is an early stage exploration prospect consisting of 148 unpatented lode mining claims totaling 2,960 acres which are situated along the highly-productive Battle Mountain Mineral trend in Nevada. These claim blocks border the NE section of Red Rock. This acquisition expands the Red Rock district holdings to 527 claims or 10,440 acres. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded impairment of mining rights of \$2,400,000 in connection with these mining claims (see Note 7). The Company recognizes an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. Such costs were impaired as the associated mineral properties do not currently have any identified proven and probable reserves.

As of March 31, 2013, the North Battle Mountain and Red Rock Mineral Prospects including the Centerra Gold Prospect consist of a total of 599 unpatented lode mining claims.

The exploration rights to most of these properties are held through two amended and restated mining leases dated July 15, 2011 (the “Leger Leases”) between Arttor Gold and Art Leger, formerly Pershing's and Arttor Gold’s Chief Geologist and consultant, and currently Vice President of Exploration and Chief Geologist of the Company, who located the mining claims in 2004, and an additional mining lease dated August 22, 2011 (the “Centerra Lease”) between Arttor Gold and Centerra (US) Inc. (see Note 6). The Leger Leases grant us the exclusive right to explore, mine and develop gold, silver, palladium, platinum and other minerals on the properties for a term of ten years, and may be renewed in ten year increments. The terms of the Leger Leases may not exceed 99 years.

The North Battle Mountain and Red Rock Mineral Prospects properties do not currently have any reserves and all activities undertaken and currently proposed are exploratory in nature.

NOTE 5– RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Parties are considered to be related to the Company if the parties directly or indirectly, through one or more intermediaries, control, are controlled by, or are under common control with the Company. Related parties also include principal owners of the Company, its management, members of the immediate families of principal owners of the Company and its management and other parties with which the Company may deal if one party controls or can significantly influence the management or operating policies of the other to an extent that one of the transacting parties might be prevented from fully pursuing its own separate interests. The Company discloses all related party transactions. All transactions shall be recorded at fair value of the goods or services exchanged. Property purchased from a related party is recorded at the cost to the related party and any payment to or on behalf of the related party in excess of the cost is reflected as compensation or distribution to related parties depending on the transaction.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 5– RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (continued)

Barry Honig, a shareholder of the Company, is a member of Pershing's Board of Directors. Prior to the Merger, certain entities under Mr. Honig's control and family members held 5,600,003 shares of the Company. Additionally, one of the shareholders of Pershing (the "Pershing Shareholder") held 750,000 shares of the Company prior to the Merger. Contemporaneously with the closing of the Merger, the Pershing Shareholder purchased 1,250,000 shares of the Company's common stock in the Company's Private Placement. Additionally, entities under Mr. Honig's control purchased 5,000,000 shares of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock in the Private Placement.

Assuming the conversion into common stock of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock, the interest in the Company of the Pershing Shareholder and entities controlled by Mr. Honig shall account for 18% upon the closing of the Merger. In addition to being large shareholders of Pershing and the Company, both the Pershing Shareholder and Mr. Honig/entities controlled by Mr. Honig, directly and indirectly, may influence Pershing's decisions with respect to voting of the 22,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock as of March 31, 2013, owned by Pershing through their investments in both the Company and Pershing. Mr. Honig has served as co-Chairman of Pershing and currently is a director of Pershing. Accordingly, Pershing and Mr. Honig are considered to be founders and "promoters" of the Company as defined under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"). As a result of the aforementioned, the Pershing Shareholder and Mr. Honig/entities controlled by Mr. Honig being among the largest shareholders of the Company and Pershing, there may exist certain conflicts of interest with respect to the business and affairs of each of these companies. The Company believes that such Pershing Shareholder and Mr. Honig/entities controlled by Mr. Honig are independent private investors who have no agreements, arrangements or understandings with respect to the ownership or control over any of these companies. The Company also considered the guidance in EITF 02-5 "Common Control", that the Merger was not treated as a common control transaction as there were no group of shareholders that holds more than 50% of the voting ownership interest of each entity with contemporaneous written evidence of an agreement to vote a majority of the entities' shares in concert exists.

The Company also considered the guidance in EITF 02-5 "Common Control", that the Merger was not treated as a common control transaction as there were no group of shareholders that holds more than 50% of the voting ownership interest of each entity with contemporaneous written evidence of an agreement to vote a majority of the entities' shares in concert exists.

NOTE 6 – COMMITMENTS

Royalty Agreement - F.R.O.G. Consulting, LLC

On May 24, 2011, Arttor Gold entered into two lease agreements with F.R.O.G. Consulting, LLC, an affiliate of one of the former members of Arttor Gold, for the Red Rock Mineral Prospect and the North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect. The leases grant the exclusive right to explore, mine and develop gold, silver, palladium, platinum and other minerals on the properties for a term of ten (10) years and may be renewed in ten (10) year increments. The terms of the Leases may not exceed ninety-nine (99) years. Arttor Gold may terminate these leases at any time.

Arttor Gold is required under the terms of the property lease to make annual lease payments. Arttor Gold is also required to make annual claim maintenance payments to BLM and to the county in which its property is located in

order to maintain its rights to explore and, if warranted, to develop its property. If Arttor Gold fails to meet these obligations, it will lose the right to explore for gold on its property.

Until production is achieved, Arttor Gold’s lease payments (deemed “advance minimum royalties”) consist of an initial payment of \$5,000 upon signing of each lease, followed by annual payments according to the following schedule for each lease:

Due Date of Advance Minimum Royalty Payment	Amount of Advance Minimum Royalty Payment
2nd Anniversary (May 24, 2013 –see note below)	\$ 50,000
3rd Anniversary (May 24, 2014)	\$ 45,000
4th Anniversary (May 24, 2015)	\$ 80,000
5th Anniversary and annually thereafter during the term of the lease (May 24, 2016 to May 24, 2021)	The greater of \$100,000 or the U.S. dollar equivalent of 90 ounces of gold

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 6 – COMMITMENTS (continued)

In the event that Arttor Gold produces gold or other minerals from these leases, Arttor Gold's lease payments will be the greater of (i) the advance minimum royalty payments according to the table above, or (ii) a production royalty equal to 3% of the gross sales price of any gold, silver, platinum or palladium that Arttor Gold recovers and 1% of the gross sales price of any other minerals that Arttor Gold recovers. Arttor Gold has the right to buy down the production royalties on gold, silver, platinum and palladium by payment of \$2,000,000 for the first one percent (1%). All advance minimum royalty payments constitute prepayment of production royalties to F.R.O.G Consulting LLC, on an annual basis. If the total dollar amount of production royalties due within a calendar year exceed the dollar amount of the advance minimum royalty payments due within that year, Arttor Gold may credit all uncredited advance minimum royalty payments made in previous years against fifty percent (50%) of the production royalties due within that year.

The Leger Leases also require Arttor Gold to spend a total of \$100,000 on work expenditures on each property for the period from lease signing until December 31, 2012 and \$200,000 on work expenditures on each property per year in 2013 and annually thereafter. The Company has fulfilled the 2012 obligation and has spent approximately \$1,230,000 of expenditures for both properties. The Company has spent approximately \$371,000 of exploration expenditures for both properties during the three months ended March 31, 2013.

Arttor Gold is required to make annual claim maintenance payments to BLM and to the counties in which its property is located. If Arttor Gold fails to make these payments, it will lose its rights to the property. As of the date of this Report, the annual maintenance payments are approximately \$152 per claim, consisting of payments to BLM and to the counties in which Arttor Gold's properties are located. Arttor Gold's property consists of an aggregate of 305 lode claims under the lease agreement with F.R.O.G Consulting LLC. The aggregate annual claim maintenance costs are currently approximately \$46,000.

On July 15, 2011, Arttor Gold entered into amended and restated lease agreements for the Red Rock Mineral Prospect and the North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect by and among Arthur Leger (the "Lessor") and F.R.O.G. Consulting, LLC (the "Payment Agent") (collectively the "Parties") in order to carry out the original intentions of the Parties and to correct the omissions and errors in the original lease, dated May 24, 2011. In the original lease, the Parties intended to identify Arthur Leger as the owner and lessor of the Red Rock Mineral Prospect and the North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect and to designate the Payment Agent as the entity responsible for collecting and receiving all payments on behalf of Lessor. Lessor is the sole member of the Payment Agent and owns 100% of the outstanding membership interests of the Payment Agent.

All other terms and conditions of the original lease remain in full force and effect. Lessor is the former Chief Geologist of Pershing and Arttor Gold and currently a director and Vice President of Exploration and Chief Geologist of the Company.

On May 24, 2012, Mr. Leger agreed to defer receipt from Arttor Gold of the Advance Minimum Royalty Payment in the amount of \$15,000 due on the first anniversary of the lease related to each of North Battle and Red Rock until the second anniversary date of each such lease. Total payment on the second anniversary date will be \$50,000 for each lease.

Royalty Agreement – Centerra (U.S.) Inc.

In August 2011, Arttor Gold, entered into lease agreement with Centerra (U.S.) Inc. (“Centerra”). The lease grants the exclusive right to explore, mine and develop any and all metals, ores and other minerals on the properties which consist of 24 unpatented mining claims located Lander County, Nevada for a term of ten (10) years and may be renewed in ten (10) year increments. Arttor Gold may terminate these leases at any time.

Arttor Gold is required under the terms of our property lease to make annual lease payments. Arttor Gold is also required to make annual claim maintenance payments to BLM and to the county in which its property is located in order to maintain its rights to explore and, if warranted, to develop its property. If Arttor Gold fails to meet these obligations, it will lose the right to explore for gold on its property. Until production is achieved, Arttor Gold’s lease payments (deemed “advance minimum royalties”) consist of an initial payment of \$13,616 upon signing of the lease, followed by annual payments according to the following schedule for each lease:

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 6 – COMMITMENTS (continued)

Due Date of Advance Minimum Royalty Payment	Amount of Advance Minimum Royalty Payment
1st Anniversary (August 2012 - paid)	\$ 12,000
On or before each of the 2nd and 3rd Anniversary (August 2013 and August 2014)	15,000
On or before each of the 4th and 5th Anniversary (August 2015 and August 2016)	20,000
On or before each of the 6th and 7th Anniversary (August 2017 and August 2018)	25,000
On or before each of the 8th and 9th Anniversary (August 2019 and August 2020)	30,000
10th Anniversary and subsequent anniversaries so long the agreement shall remain in effect (August 2021)	40,000

In the event that Arttor Gold produces gold or other minerals from these leases, Arttor Gold agrees to pay lessor a production royalty equal to 4% of net smelter returns for all products extracted, produced and sold from this property after recoupment of the advance minimum royalty payments previously made to lessor pursuant to the payment table above. No production royalty shall be payable on rock, dirt, limestone, or similar materials used by lessee in its operations. Arttor Gold has the right to buy down the production royalties by payment of \$1,500,000 for the first one percent (1%) on or before completion of a positive feasibility study and another one percent (1%) by making cash payment of \$2,500,000 on or before achievement of commercial production. The leases also requires

Arttor Gold to spend a total of \$100,000 on work expenditures on this property for the period from lease signing until 5th anniversary, \$150,000 on work expenditures on this property for the period from the 6th anniversary until 10th anniversary and \$200,000 on work expenditures on this property per year on the 11th anniversary and annually thereafter. The Company has fulfilled the 2012 obligation and has spent approximately \$183,000 of expenditures under the Centerra lease. Arttor Gold is required to make annual claim maintenance payments to the BLM and to the counties in which its property is located. If Arttor Gold fails to make these payments, it will lose its rights to the property. In August 2012, the Company paid the first annual lease payment of \$12,000.

On May 24, 2012 Pershing and Arttor Gold transferred their interests in the Centerra lease to Noble Effort pursuant to an Assignment and Assumption of Lease Agreement.

On May 24, 2012, Mr. Leger and Pershing Royalty Company entered into the NSR Agreement (see Note 3). Under the terms of the NSR Agreement, Mr. Leger will pay Pershing Royalty Company a non-participating, non-executory perpetual royalty of one percent of the Net Smelter Returns from all Valuable Minerals (as defined in the NSR Agreement) mined and removed from certain claims and sold or deemed to have been sold.

Assumed Royalty Agreement from X-Cal USA Inc.

In November 2012, the Company assumed certain royalty obligation pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement (see Note 4) with X-Cal USA, Inc. and Paramount Gold & Silver Corp. The royalty obligation on production is equal to 2% of net returns from the production and sale of valuable minerals from the mineral claims acquired from the Sellers.

Geological Advisory Board Agreements

In August 2012, the Board approved the creation of a Geological Advisory Board and appointed Odin Christensen and Winthrop A. Rowe. Mr. Christensen and Mr. Rowe shall receive \$10,000 per annum in consideration for their services on the Geological Advisory Board. At March 31, 2013, accrued director fee's amounted to \$5,000 and is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 7– STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common Stock

On March 27, 2012, the Company filed an Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation in order to increase the Company's authorized capital stock from 200,000,000 shares to 250,000,000 shares, which shall be divided into two classes as follows: 200,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, and 50,000,000 shares of "blank check" preferred stock, par value \$0.0001 per share. Also on March 27, 2012, the board of directors of the Company authorized a 7.5 for one forward split of the outstanding common stock in the form of a dividend, whereby an additional 6.5 shares of common stock were issued for each one share of common stock held by each shareholder of record April 9, 2012. On May 17, 2012, the Company filed a certificate of designation of preferences, rights and limitations of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock designating and authorizing the issuance of 5,000,000 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series A Preferred Stock").

On May 24, 2012, the Company entered into an Agreement of Conveyance, Transfer and Assignment of Assets and Assumption of Obligations (the "Conveyance Agreement"), whereby the Company transferred all of the pre-Merger assets and liabilities to the Company's newly formed wholly-owned subsidiary, Felafel Holdings, Inc. ("SplitCo"). Thereafter, pursuant to a stock purchase agreement, the Company transferred all of the outstanding capital stock of SplitCo to certain former shareholders of the Company in exchange for the cancellation of 52,500,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock that they owned, with 25,000,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock held by persons who acquired such shares prior to the Merger remaining outstanding.

On May 24, 2012, the Company issued 25,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock to Pershing in connection with the Merger Agreement between Red Battle, Pershing and Acquisition Sub (see Note 3). Additionally, the Company paid Pershing, as Red Battle's sole shareholder, \$2,000,000 in cash and a promissory note in the principal amount of \$500,000 which has been recorded against paid in capital. The Company also recorded \$427,724 against paid in capital which represents a distribution to former parent company and its subsidiary prior to Merger. The Merger was accounted for as a reverse-merger and recapitalization of the Company.

On May 24, 2012, the Company sold 5,000,000 shares of Series A preferred stock to certain investors for an aggregate purchase price of \$2,000,000 or a purchase price of \$0.40 per share.

Between May 24, 2012 and June 27, 2012, the Company sold an aggregate 6,087,500 shares of common stock to certain investors for an aggregate purchase price of \$2,435,000 or a purchase price of \$0.40 per share.

On May 24, 2012, the Company and DRC Partners LLC entered into an agreement (the "DRC Consulting Agreement") pursuant to which the consultant agreed to provide investor relations services to the Company for consideration consisting of a (i) one-time fee of \$10,000 and (ii) 100,000 shares of Common Stock per month. In May 2012, the Company issued such 100,000 shares and valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant (based on the recent selling price of the Company's common stock at private placements) at approximately \$0.40 per share or \$40,000. In September 2012, Company issued such 300,000 shares for investor relations services rendered and valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant approximately \$0.61 per share or \$182,000. As of March 31, 2013, the Company recorded accrued expenses of \$547,864 due to such consultant which

represents 700,000 shares due for the months from September 2012 to March 2013.

Additionally, on May 24, 2012, the Company and Interactive Investors, Inc. entered into an agreement (the “Interactive Consulting Agreement”) pursuant to which the consultant agreed to provide investor relations services to the Company for consideration consisting of (i) a one- time fee of \$1,750,000 (the “Cash Consideration”) and (ii) 1,000,000 shares of the Company’s Common Stock. In May 2012, the Company issued such 1,000,000 shares and valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant (based on the recent selling price of the Company’s common stock at private placements) at \$0.40 per share and valued at \$400,000. In May 2012, the Interactive Consulting Agreement was amended whereby the Cash Consideration was decreased to \$1,000,000 from \$1,750,000. In December 2012, the Company renegotiated the Consulting Agreement whereby the Cash Consideration was further decreased to \$500,000 and such consultant refunded back \$500,000 to the Company. The Company has paid its obligation under the Interactive Consulting Agreement.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 7– STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (continued)

On May 24, 2012, the Company appointed Arthur Leger, David Rector and Oliver-Barret Lindsay as directors of the Company. In addition, Arthur Leger was appointed as the Company's new Chief Executive Officer, President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and Secretary. The Company issued 4,000,000 shares of Common Stock to Mr. Leger, of which 2,000,000 shall vest immediately, 1,000,000 which shall vest upon the discovery of 500,000 ounces of gold on the Company's properties and the remaining 1,000,000 which shall vest on the discovery of an additional 500,000 ounces of gold on the Company's properties. The Company issued 5,000,000 shares of Common Stock to Mr. Rector, of which 3,000,000 shall vest immediately, 1,000,000 which shall vest upon the discovery of 500,000 ounces of gold on the Company's properties and the remaining 1,000,000 which shall vest on the discovery of an additional 500,000 ounces of gold on the Company's properties. The Company issued 100,000 shares of Common Stock and options to purchase 400,000 shares of Common Stock to Mr. Lindsay. The shares of Common Stock issued to Mr. Lindsay shall vest immediately and, commencing six months from the date of issuance, one fourth of the options shall vest every six months provided that Mr. Lindsay remains on the Company's board of directors. Mr. Rector is currently a director of Pershing. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$2,040,000 in connection with the vested restricted stock grants.

On January 3, 2013, Mr. Lindsay resigned from his position as the Director of the Company. Consequently, during the three months ended March 31, 2013, 400,000 options were forfeited in accordance with the resignation of the former director.

In November 2012, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Asset Purchase Agreement") with X-Cal USA, Inc. and Paramount Gold & Silver Corp. (collectively the "Sellers") pursuant to which the Sellers sold certain properties and mining claims to the Company in consideration for 6 million shares of the Company's common stock as well as the assumption of certain royalty obligation and reimbursement of \$21,000 of annual maintenance fees with respect to mining claims paid in September 2012. The Company valued the 6 million common shares at the fair market value on the date of grants at approximately \$0.40 per share (based on the recent selling price of the Company's common stock at private placements) or \$2,400,000 and was recorded into mineral rights. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recorded an impairment expense of \$2,400,000 in connection with these mining claims.

Between October 29, 2012 and November 9, 2012, the Company sold an aggregate of 3,025,000 units (the "Units") with gross proceeds to the Company of \$1,210,000 to certain accredited investors pursuant to a subscription agreement (the "Subscription Agreement"). Each Unit was sold for a purchase price of \$0.40 per Unit and consisted of: (i) one share of the Company's common stock and (ii) a five-year warrant to purchase seventy-five (75%) percent of the number of shares of common stock purchased at an exercise price of \$0.55 per share (2,268,750 warrants), subject to adjustment upon the occurrence of certain events such as stock splits and dividends. The warrants may be exercised on a cashless basis if at any time there is no effective registration statement covering the resale of the shares of common stock underlying the warrants. The Company paid placement agent fees of \$76,000 and related legal fees of \$8,000 in cash in connection with the sale of the Units.

In December 2012, the Company entered into a 1 year consulting agreement pursuant to which the consultant agreed to provide public relations services to the Company. In consideration for the services, the Company issued 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock and valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at \$0.65 per share or \$975,000. In connection with issuance of these common shares, the Company recorded public relations expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 of \$243,750 with a remaining prepaid expense at March 31, 2013 of \$690,625 to be amortized over the remaining consulting agreement term.

Effective January 17, 2013, Arthur Leger resigned as the President, Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Secretary and Treasurer of the Company. Immediately upon Mr. Leger's resignation, on January 17, 2013, David Rector, a current director of the Company, was appointed as the Company's interim Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Leger remains a director of the Company and was appointed as the Company's Vice President of Exploration and Chief Geologist.

In consideration for Mr. Rector's services as interim Chief Executive Officer, the Company's Board of Directors awarded Mr. Rector a restricted stock grant under its 2012 Equity Incentive Plan equal to 3,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock, which shall vest in three equal installments on January 17, 2014, January 17, 2015 and January 17, 2016. Additionally, the Board of Directors agreed to pay Mr. Rector an annual salary of \$185,000.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 7– STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY (continued)

On January 17, 2013, the Board of Directors appointed James Davidson as a director of the Company. In connection with his appointment as a director, the Company’s Board of Directors awarded Mr. Davidson a restricted stock grant under the Company’s 2012 Equity Incentive Plan equal to 500,000 shares of the Company’s common stock which shall vest in two equal installments on January 17, 2014 and January 17, 2015.

During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded stock-based compensation expense of \$276,096 in connection with the vested restricted stock grants discussed above.

In March 2013, the Company entered into a 90 day consulting agreement pursuant to which the consultant agreed to provide public relations services to the Company. In consideration for the services, the Company issued 250,000 shares of the Company’s common stock and valued these common shares at the fair market value on the date of grant at approximately \$0.53 per share or \$132,450. In connection with issuance of these common shares, the Company recorded public relations expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 of \$44,150 with a remaining prepaid expense at March 31, 2013 of \$88,300 to be amortized over the remaining consulting agreement term.

Stock Options

In October 2012, the Board approved the Company's 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, which reserves 7,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance thereunder in the form of qualified incentive stock options, non-qualified stock options and restricted stock grants, issuable to the Company's officers, directors, employees and consultants. In October 2012, the holders of a majority of the Company’s outstanding capital stock approved the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan.

In October 2012, the Company granted 300,000 10-year options to purchase shares of common stock exercisable at \$0.40 per share to a consultant of the Company pursuant to a consulting agreement for business advisory services. The stock options shall vest 25% every three months and were granted under the Company’s 2012 Equity Incentive Plan. The 300,000 options were valued on the grant date at approximately \$0.96 per option or a total of \$286,500 using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: stock price of \$1.05 per share, volatility of 116% (estimated using volatilities of similar companies), expected term of 6 years, and a risk free interest rate of 0.62%. During the three months ended March 31, 2013, the Company recorded stock based consulting expense of \$71,624. At March 31, 2013, there was a total of \$143,252 of unrecognized compensation expense related to this non-vested option-based compensation arrangements.

A summary of the stock options as of March 31, 2013 and changes during the period are presented below:

	Number of Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
Balance at December 31, 2012	-	-	-
Granted	700,000	0.40	9.55

Edgar Filing: VALOR GOLD CORP. - Form 10-Q

Exercised	-	-	-
Forfeited	(400,000)	0.40	9.39
Cancelled	-	-	-
Balance outstanding at March 31, 2013	300,000	\$ 0.40	9.55
Options exercisable at end of year	150,000	\$ 0.40	
Options expected to vest	150,000		
Weighted average fair value of options granted during the period		\$ -	

Stock options outstanding at March 31, 2013 as disclosed in the above table have approximately \$12,000 intrinsic value at the end of the period.

VALOR GOLD CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES
(FORMERLY FELAFEL CORP.)
(AN EXPLORATION STAGE COMPANY)
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
MARCH 31, 2013

NOTE 7– STOCKHOLDERS’ EQUITY (continued)

Stock Warrants

A summary of the status of the Company's outstanding stock warrants as of March 31, 2013 and changes during the period then ended is as follows:

	Number of Warrants	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)
Balance at December 31, 2012	2,268,750	\$0.55	4.84
Granted	-	-	-
Cancelled	-	-	-
Forfeited	-	-	-
Exercised	-	-	-
Balance at March 31, 2013	2,268,750	\$0.55	4.59
Warrants exercisable at March 31, 2013	2,268,750	\$0.55	4.59
Weighted average fair value of warrants granted during the three months ended March 31, 2013		\$-	

NOTE 8– SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

On April 16, 2013, the Company’s management made a determination that it would be in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to explore additional business opportunities and strategic alliances. This decision followed an analysis of the Company’s current mining prospects coupled with the current economic climate relating to the gold market in general, which has experienced a significant downturn. The Company plans on continuing its current business (as a junior exploration company) while exploring new strategic and developmental opportunities, including acquisitions, strategic alliances, consolidations or other partnering arrangements. Additionally, the Company may explore new opportunities in other business sectors that may be divergent from the Company’s historical business focus as an exploration stage gold and minerals company, in which case, the Company may choose to divest its historical business. To date, the Company has not entered into any binding agreements or made any formal decision regarding any of the foregoing.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This Report on Form 10-Q and other written and oral statements made from time to time by us may contain so-called "forward-looking statements," all of which are subject to risks and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "expects," "plans," "will," "forecasts," "projects," "intends," "estimates," and other words having similar meaning. One can identify them by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. These statements are likely to address our growth strategy, financial results and product and development programs. One must carefully consider any such statement and should understand that many factors could cause actual results to differ from our forward looking statements. These factors may include inaccurate assumptions and a broad variety of other risks and uncertainties, including some that are known and some that are not. No forward looking statement can be guaranteed and actual future results may vary materially.

Information regarding market and industry statistics contained in this Report is included based on information available to us that we believe is accurate. It is generally based on industry and other publications that are not produced for purposes of securities offerings or economic analysis. We have not reviewed or included data from all sources, and cannot assure investors of the accuracy or completeness of the data included in this Report. Forecasts and other forward-looking information obtained from these sources are subject to the same qualifications and the additional uncertainties accompanying any estimates of future market size, revenue and market acceptance of products and services. We do not assume any obligation to update any forward-looking statement. As a result, investors should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Recent Events

On April 16, 2013, our management made a determination that it would be in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to explore additional business opportunities and strategic alliances. This decision followed an analysis of the Company's current mining prospects coupled with the current economic climate relating to the gold market in general, which has experienced a significant downturn. We plan on continuing our current business (as a junior exploration company) while exploring new strategic and developmental opportunities, including acquisitions, strategic alliances, consolidations or other partnering arrangements. Additionally, we may explore new opportunities in other business sectors that may be divergent from our historical business focus as an exploration stage gold and minerals company, in which case, we may choose to divest our historical business. To date, we have not entered into any binding agreements or made any formal decision regarding any of the foregoing.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management believes the following critical accounting policies affect the significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of the financial statements.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and present the financial statements of the Company and our wholly-owned subsidiary. In the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated.

Exploration Stage Company

We have been in the exploration stage since our formation and have not yet realized any revenues from our planned operations. We have not commenced business operations. We are an exploration stage company as defined in Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 915 “Development Stage Entities”.

Use of Estimates

In preparing the consolidated financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated balance sheet, and revenues and expenses for the period then ended. Actual results may differ significantly from those estimates.

Mineral Property Acquisition and Exploration Costs

Costs of lease, exploration, carrying and retaining unproven mineral lease properties are expensed as incurred. We have chosen to expense all mineral exploration costs as incurred given that it is still in the exploration stage. Exploration costs, which include maintenance, development and exploration of mineral claims, are expensed as incurred. Once we have identified proven and probable reserves in our investigation of our properties and upon development of a plan for operating a mine, we would enter the development stage and capitalize future costs until production is established. When a property reaches the production stage, the related capitalized costs will be amortized, using the units-of-production method over the estimated life of the probable-proven reserves. When we have capitalized mineral properties, these properties will be periodically assessed for impairment of value and any diminution in value. To date, we have not established the commercial feasibility of any exploration prospects; therefore, all costs are being expensed.

Long-Lived Assets

We review for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of assets may not be recoverable, pursuant to guidance established in ASC 360-10-35-15, "Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets". We recognize an impairment loss when the sum of expected undiscounted future cash flows is less than the carrying amount of the asset. The amount of impairment is measured as the difference between the asset's estimated fair value and its book value.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by FASB that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements upon adoption.

Results of Operations

Valor Gold Corp.'s business began on April 28, 2011. We are an exploration stage company with no operations and have generated no revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012.

Operating Expenses

Total operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2012, were approximately \$1.4 million and \$99,000, respectively. The \$1.3 million increase in operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2013 includes approximately \$356,000 of compensation expense related primarily to a stock based compensation expense of approximately \$276,000; \$371,000 in exploration expenses on our North Battle Mountain and Red Rock properties, \$575,000 in consulting fees related primarily to stock based consulting expenses of \$72,000 and investor relation expenses of \$447,000, \$53,000 of professional fees primarily related to accounting and legal services and \$58,000 in general and administrative expenses primarily for rent and office expenses.

Net Loss

As a result of the operating expense and other expense discussed above, we reported a net loss of approximately \$1.4 million and \$99,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. We reported a net loss of approximately \$10.8 million for the period from April 28, 2011 (Inception) to March 31, 2013.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

At March 31, 2013, our cash and cash equivalents totaled approximately \$140,000 compared to \$813,000 at December 31, 2012. At March 31, 2013 we have working capital of \$333,618. The decrease in cash was primarily attributable to approximately \$371,000 in exploration costs, \$56,500 of cash based investor relations and consulting fees, \$81,000 of cash based compensation, \$53,000 in professional fees, \$58,000 in general and administrative expenses .

We spent approximately \$371,000 on exploration activities during the three months ended March 31, 2013. We will require external funding not only to pursue our exploration program, explore additional business opportunities and strategic alliances and also to maintain our operations beginning in May 2013. For the remainder of fiscal year 2013, we plan to spend approximately \$2 million on our gold exploration expenses for our North Battle Mountain, Red Rock and Centerra Gold prospect properties and approximately \$1,000,000 on public company expenses and general and administrative expenses. We will not have sufficient capital to fund our exploration program as it is currently planned or to fund the acquisition and exploration of new properties through 2013 and will need to raise additional funds. The public company expenses include accounting and legal costs. We expect that the legal and accounting costs of becoming a public company will continue to impact our liquidity and we may need to obtain funds to pay those expenses. Those fees will be higher if our business volume and activity increases.

During 2013, our primary objective is to raise funds through the sale of our securities. We believe that our exploration program may vary depending on the amount of funds we are able to raise.

We believe we will need to raise approximately \$3 million to implement our planned operations and pay for our gold exploration expenses for our North Battle Mountain, Red Rock and Centerra Gold prospect properties, property maintenance costs, working capital and general corporate expenses for the next 12 months. In order to fund our planned operations, we believe we will need to raise approximately \$5 million for our operations for more than 12 months.

We will need to raise additional funds, particularly if we are unable to generate positive cash flow as a result of our operations. We estimate that based on current plans and assumptions, that our available cash is insufficient to satisfy our cash requirements for the next 12 months. We presently have no other alternative source of working capital. We may not have sufficient working capital to fund the expansion of our operations and to provide working capital necessary for our ongoing operations and obligations for the next 12 months. We have no revenues and do not expect to have revenues for 2013. Therefore our future operations will be dependent on our ability to secure additional financing. Financing transactions may include the issuance of equity or debt securities, obtaining credit facilities, or other financing mechanisms. We may be unable to secure additional financing on terms acceptable to us, or at all. Our inability to raise additional funds on a timely basis could prevent us from achieving our business objectives and could have a negative impact on our business, financial condition, results of operations and the value of our securities. If we raise additional funds by issuing additional equity or convertible debt securities, the ownership of existing stockholders may be diluted and the securities that we may issue in the future may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of the current holders of our common stock. Such securities may also be issued at a discount to the market price of our common stock, resulting in possible further dilution to the market or book value per share of common stock. If we raise additional funds by issuing debt, we could be subject to debt covenants that could place limitations on our operations and financial flexibility and we may need to pledge our assets as collateral. The inability to obtain additional capital may restrict our ability to grow and may reduce our ability to continue to conduct business operations. If we are unable to obtain additional financing, we will likely be required to curtail our development plans and possibly cease our operations. Our ability to pursue our planned activities is contingent on our ability to raise funds in this offering. If we do not raise sufficient funds in this offering, then we may not be able to implement our business strategy in the timeframe or manner we have envisioned.

Contractual Obligations

We have certain fixed contractual obligations and commitments that include future estimated payments. Changes in our business needs, cancellation provisions, changing interest rates, and other factors may result in actual payments differing from the estimates. We cannot provide certainty regarding the timing and amounts of payments. We have presented below a summary of the most significant assumptions used in our determination of amounts presented in the

tables, in order to assist in the review of this information within the context of our combined financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

The following table summarizes our contractual obligations as of March 31, 2013:

	Total	Payments Due by Period			
		Less than 1 year	1-3 Years	4-5 Years	6 Years +
Contractual Obligations:					
Royalty agreements – minimum payments	\$ 1,790,000	\$ 115,000	\$ 505,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 720,000
Total Contractual Obligations:	\$ 1,790,000	\$ 115,000	\$ 505,000	\$ 450,000	\$ 720,000

Royalty Agreement - F.R.O.G. Consulting, LLC

On May 24, 2011, Arttor Gold, entered into two lease agreements with F.R.O.G. Consulting, LLC, an affiliate of one of the former members of Arttor Gold, for the Red Rock Mineral Property and the North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect. The leases grant the exclusive right to explore, mine and develop gold, silver, palladium, platinum and other minerals on the properties for a term of ten (10) years and may be renewed in ten (10) year increments. The terms of the Leases may not exceed ninety-nine (99) years. Arttor Gold may terminate these leases at any time.

Arttor Gold is required under the terms of the property lease to make annual lease payments. Arttor Gold is also required to make annual claim maintenance payments to Federal Bureau of Land Management and to the county in which its property is located in order to maintain its rights to explore and, if warranted, to develop its property. If Arttor Gold fails to meet these obligations, it will lose the right to explore for gold on its property.

Until production is achieved, Arttor Gold's lease payments (deemed "advance minimum royalties") consist of an initial payment of \$5,000 upon signing of each lease, followed by annual payments according to the following schedule for each lease:

Due Date of Advance Minimum Royalty Payment	Amount of Advance Minimum Royalty Payment
1st Anniversary (May 24, 2012)	\$ 15,000
2nd Anniversary (May 24, 2013)	\$ 35,000
3rd Anniversary (May 24, 2014)	\$ 45,000
4th Anniversary (May 24, 2015)	\$ 80,000
5th Anniversary and annually thereafter during the term of the lease (May 24, 2016 to May 24, 2021)	The greater of \$100,000 or the U.S. dollar equivalent of 90 ounces of gold

In the event that Arttor Gold produces gold or other minerals from these leases, Arttor Gold's lease payments will be the greater of (i) the advance minimum royalty payments according to the table above, or (ii) a production royalty equal to 3% of the gross sales price of any gold, silver, platinum or palladium that Arttor Gold recovers and 1% of the gross sales price of any other minerals that Arttor Gold recovers. Arttor Gold has the right to buy down the production royalties on gold, silver, platinum and palladium by payment of \$2,000,000 for the first one percent (1%). All advance minimum royalty payments constitute prepayment of production royalties to FROG, on an annual basis. If the total dollar amount of production royalties due within a calendar year exceed the dollar amount of the advance minimum royalty payments due within that year, Arttor Gold may credit all uncredited advance minimum royalty payments made in previous years against fifty percent (50%) of the production royalties due within that year. The Leases also requires Arttor Gold to spend a total of \$100,000 on work expenditures on each property for the period from lease signing until December 31, 2012 and \$200,000 on work expenditures on each property per year in 2013 and annually thereafter.

Arttor Gold is required to make annual claim maintenance payments to the Bureau of Land Management and to the counties in which its property is located. If Arttor Gold fails to make these payments, it will lose its rights to the property. Currently, the annual maintenance payments are approximately \$152 per claim, consisting of payments to the Bureau of Land Management and to the counties in which Arttor Gold's properties are located. Arttor Gold's property consists of an aggregate of 305 lode claims. The aggregate annual claim maintenance costs are currently approximately \$46,000.

On July 15, 2011, Arttor Gold entered into amended and restated lease agreements for the Red Rock Mineral Property and the North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect by and among Arthur Leger and F.R.O.G. Consulting, LLC (the "Payment Agent") in order to carry out the original intentions of the Parties and to correct the omissions and errors in

the original lease, dated May 24, 2011. In the original lease, the parties intended to identify Arthur Leger as the owner and lessor of the Red Rock Mineral Property and the North Battle Mountain Mineral Prospect and to designate the Payment Agent as the entity responsible for collecting and receiving all payments on behalf of Mr. Leger. Mr. Leger is the sole member of the Payment Agent and owns 100% of the outstanding membership interests of the Payment Agent. All other terms and conditions of the original lease remain in full force and effect.

On May 24, 2012, Mr. Leger agreed to defer receipt from Arttor Gold of the Advance Minimum Royalty Payment in the amount of \$15,000 due on the first Anniversary of the lease related to each of North Battle and Red Rock until the second Anniversary date of each such lease. Total payment on the second Anniversary date will be \$50,000.

Royalty Agreement – Centerra (U.S.) Inc.

In August 2011, Arttor Gold, entered into lease agreements with Centerra (U.S.) Inc. (“Centerra”). The leases grant the exclusive right to explore, mine and develop any and all metals, ores and other minerals on the properties which consist of 24 unpatented mining claims located Lander County, Nevada for a term of ten (10) years and may be renewed in ten (10) year increments. Arttor Gold may terminate these leases at any time.

Arttor Gold is required under the terms of our property lease to make annual lease payments. Arttor Gold is also required to make annual claim maintenance payments to the BLM and to the county in which its property is located in order to maintain its rights to explore and, if warranted, to develop its property. If Arttor Gold fails to meet these obligations, it will lose the right to explore for gold on its property. Until production is achieved, Arttor Gold’s lease payments (deemed “advance minimum royalties”) consist of an initial payment of \$13,616 upon signing of the lease, followed by annual payments according to the following schedule for each lease:

Due Date of Advance Minimum Royalty Payment	Amount of Advance Minimum Royalty Payment
1st Anniversary (August 2012)	\$ 12,000
On or before each of the 2nd and 3rd Anniversary (August 2013 and August 2014)	15,000
On or before each of the 4th and 5th Anniversary (August 2015 and August 2016)	20,000
On or before each of the 6th and 7th Anniversary (August 2017 and August 2018)	25,000
On or before each of the 8th and 9th Anniversary (August 2019 and August 2020)	30,000
10th Anniversary and subsequent anniversaries so long the agreement shall remain in effect (August 2021)	40,000

In the event that Arttor Gold produces gold or other minerals from these leases, Arttor Gold agrees to pay lessor a production royalty of equal to 4% of net smelter returns for all products extracted, produced and sold from this property after recoupment of the advance minimum royalty payments previously made to lessor pursuant to the payment table above. No production royalty shall be payable on rock, dirt, limestone, or similar materials used by lessee in its operations. Arttor Gold has the right to buy down the production royalties by payment of \$1,500,000 for the first one percent (1%) on or before completion of a positive feasibility study and another one percent (1%) by making cash payment of \$2,500,000 on or before achievement of commercial production. The Leases also requires Arttor Gold to spend a total of \$100,000 on work expenditures on this property for the period from lease signing until 5th anniversary, \$150,000 on work expenditures on this property for the period from the 6th anniversary until 10th anniversary and \$200,000 on work expenditures on this property per year on the 11th anniversary and annually thereafter. Arttor Gold is required to make annual claim maintenance payments to the Bureau of Land Management and to the counties in which its property is located. If Arttor Gold fails to make these payments, it will lose its rights to the property.

On May 24, 2012, each of Pershing and Arttor Gold assigned its interest in the Centerra lease to Noble Effort.

Off-balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any other financial guarantees or other commitments to guarantee the payment obligations of any third parties. We have not entered into any derivative contracts that are indexed to our shares and classified as stockholder's equity or that are not reflected in our consolidated financial statements. Furthermore, we do not have any retained or contingent interest in assets transferred to an unconsolidated entity that serves as credit, liquidity or market risk support to such entity.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not required for smaller reporting companies.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures,” as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer, who is also our Chief Financial Officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that disclosure controls and procedures, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the disclosure controls and procedures are met. Additionally, in designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions.

With respect to the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operations of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based upon this evaluation, the Company’s management has concluded that certain disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of March 31, 2013 due to the Company’s limited internal resources and lack of ability to have multiple levels of transaction review. However, to the extent possible, we will implement procedures to assure that the initiation of transactions, the custody of assets and the recording of transactions will be performed by separate individuals. We believe that the foregoing steps will remediate the significant deficiency identified above, and we will continue to monitor the effectiveness of these steps and make any changes that our management deems appropriate.

Management is in the process of determining how best to change our current system and implement a more effective system to ensure that information required to be disclosed in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q has been recorded, processed, summarized and reported accurately. Our management acknowledges the existence of this problem, and intends to develop procedures to address them to the extent possible given limitations in financial and manpower resources. While management is working on a plan, no assurance can be made at this point that the implementation of such controls and procedures will be completed in a timely manner or that they will be adequate once implemented.

Changes in Internal Controls.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended March 31, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

None.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

In March 2013, we issued 250,000 shares of Common Stock to a consultant in consideration for certain consulting services pursuant to a consulting agreement. The securities were sold and/or issued only to “accredited investors,” as such term is defined in the Securities Act in a transaction that did not involve any underwriters, underwriting discounts or commissions, or any public offering were not registered under the Securities Act or the securities laws of any state, and were offered and sold in reliance on the exemption from registration afforded by Section 4(2) under the Securities Act and corresponding provisions of state securities laws.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

None.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1 Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*

32.1 Certification pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*

101.ins XBRL Instance Document**

101.sch XBRL Taxonomy Schema Document**

101.cal XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Document**

101.def XBRL Taxonomy Linkbase Document**

101.lab XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document**

101.pre XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document**

* Filed herein

101** The following materials from Valor Gold Corp.’s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013 are formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Statements of Income, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow, (iii) the Consolidated Balance Sheets, and (iv) the Notes to the Consolidated Financial statements tagged as blocks of text.

** In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the XBRL related information in Exhibit 101 to this Amendment to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2013 shall not be deemed to be “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, except as shall be expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

VALOR GOLD CORP.

Date: May 10, 2013

By:

/s/ David Rector
David Rector
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)