

BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS INC

Form 424B3

May 22, 2012

Filed Pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)

File Numbers 333-172508, 333-173976

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 3

Bioanalytical Systems, Inc.

5,506 6% Series A Convertible Preferred Shares

(and 2,753,000 Common Shares underlying the 6% Series A Convertible Preferred Shares)

2,753,000 Warrants

(and 2,753,000 Common Shares underlying the Warrants)

Prospectus Supplement No. 3

to Prospectus dated May 6, 2011

This Prospectus Supplement No. 3 supplements our Prospectus dated May 6, 2011.

This Prospectus Supplement No. 3 contains our Quarterly Report, on Form 10-Q for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 we filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 14, 2012. This Prospectus Supplement No. 3 is not complete without, and may not be delivered or used except in connection with, the Prospectus. This Prospectus Supplement No. 3 is qualified by reference to the Prospectus, except to the extent that the information in this Prospectus Supplement No. 3 updates and supersedes the information contained in the Prospectus, including any supplements or amendments thereto.

Pursuant to Rule 429 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, our Prospectus, dated May 6, 2011, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission May 6, 2011, as supplemented by this Prospectus Supplement No. 3, is a

combined prospectus and relates to shares registered under Registration Statement Nos. 333- 172508 and 333-173976.

Our common shares are quoted on the NASDAQ Capital Market under the symbol "BASI". The last reported sale price of our common shares on May 21, 2012 was \$1.11 per share. There is no established public trading market for our Series A preferred shares or our warrants and we do not expect such a market to develop.

See the "Risk Factors" section beginning on page 6 of the Prospectus for a discussion of certain risks that you should consider before investing in our securities.

NEITHER THE U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR DETERMINED IF THIS PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT IS TRUTHFUL OR COMPLETE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

The date of this Prospectus Supplement No. 3 is May 22, 2012.

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the transition period from _____ to _____.

Commission File Number 000-23357

BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS, INC.

(Exact name of the registrant as specified in its charter)

INDIANA

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

35-1345024

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

2701 KENT AVENUE

47906

WEST LAFAYETTE, INDIANA

(Zip code)

(Address of principal executive offices)

(765) 463-4527

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES NO

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). YES NO

As of May 10, 2012, 7,276,976 of the registrant's common shares were outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|------|
| PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited): | |
| Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 | 3 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for the Three and Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 | 4 |
| Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 | 5 |
| Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements | 6 |
| Item 2 Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 11 |
| Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk | 23 |
| Item 4 Controls and Procedures | 23 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1A Risk Factors | 23 |
| Item 5 Other Information | 23 |
| Item 6 Exhibits | 24 |
| Signatures | 25 |

BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS, INC.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(In thousands, except share amounts)

| | March 31, 2012 (Unaudited) | September 30, 2011 |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 853 | \$ 2,963 |
| Accounts receivable | | |
| Trade | 3,333 | 4,073 |
| Unbilled revenues and other | 1,315 | 1,116 |
| Inventories | 1,854 | 1,636 |
| Refundable income taxes | 6 | — |
| Prepaid expenses | 429 | 585 |
| Total current assets | 7,790 | 10,373 |
| Property and equipment, net | 20,329 | 20,399 |
| Goodwill | 1,383 | 1,383 |
| Intangible assets, net | 38 | 54 |
| Debt issue costs | 80 | 75 |
| Other assets | 58 | 62 |
| Total assets | \$ 29,678 | \$ 32,346 |
| Liabilities and shareholders' equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 2,560 | \$ 1,764 |
| Accrued expenses | 2,134 | 1,762 |
| Customer advances | 3,678 | 3,571 |
| Income tax accruals | 16 | 56 |
| Revolving line of credit | 1,100 | 1,346 |
| Current portion of capital lease obligation | 545 | 613 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 6,199 | 735 |
| Total current liabilities | 16,232 | 9,847 |
| Capital lease obligation, less current portion | 1,051 | 1,071 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | — | 5,842 |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred shares, authorized 1,000,000 shares, no par value: | | |
| 1,935 Series A shares at \$1,000 stated value issued and outstanding at March 31, 2012 and 2,135 at September 30, 2011 | 1,935 | 2,135 |

Edgar Filing: BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 424B3

Common shares, no par value:

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Authorized 19,000,000 shares; 7,124,404 issued and outstanding at March 31, 2012 and 6,945,631 at September 30, 2011 | 1,743 | 1,698 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 19,725 | 19,408 |
| Accumulated deficit | (11,081) | (7,706) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 73 | 51 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 12,395 | 15,586 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 29,678 | \$ 32,346 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS, INC.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

(Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service revenue | \$ 5,279 | \$ 6,446 | \$ 10,890 | \$ 12,589 |
| Product revenue | 1,687 | 1,977 | 3,592 | 3,924 |
| Total revenue | 6,966 | 8,423 | 14,482 | 16,513 |
| Cost of service revenue | 5,066 | 4,833 | 10,322 | 9,501 |
| Cost of product revenue | 757 | 793 | 1,535 | 1,499 |
| Total cost of revenue | 5,823 | 5,626 | 11,857 | 11,000 |
| Gross profit | 1,143 | 2,797 | 2,625 | 5,513 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Selling | 996 | 774 | 1,994 | 1,459 |
| Research and development | 162 | 111 | 340 | 223 |
| General and administrative | 1,626 | 1,262 | 3,234 | 2,643 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,784 | 2,147 | 5,568 | 4,325 |
| Restructuring charges | 64 | — | 64 | — |
| Operating income (loss) | (1,705) | 650 | (3,007) | 1,188 |
| Interest expense | (179) | (168) | (368) | (403) |
| Other income | — | 1 | — | 8 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (1,884) | 483 | (3,375) | 793 |
| Income taxes | — | — | — | — |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,884) | \$ 483 | \$ (3,375) | \$ 793 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment | 23 | 29 | 22 | 13 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (1,861) | \$ 512 | \$ (3,353) | \$ 806 |

Edgar Filing: BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 424B3

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Basic net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.27) | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.48) | \$ 0.16 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.27) | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.48) | \$ 0.16 |

Weighted common shares outstanding:

| | | | | |
|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Basic | 7,034 | 4,915 | 6,989 | 4,915 |
| Diluted | 7,034 | 5,080 | 6,989 | 5,025 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS, INC.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(In thousands)

(Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|--|-------------------------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Operating activities: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(3,375) | \$793 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,145 | 1,049 |
| Employee stock compensation expense | 73 | 75 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 46 | 10 |
| Gain on interest rate swaps | — | (31) |
| Loss (gain) on sale of property and equipment | 3 | (9) |
| Deferred income taxes | — | (8) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 495 | (315) |
| Inventories | (218) | 107 |
| Refundable income taxes | (46) | — |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 151 | (27) |
| Accounts payable | 886 | 272 |
| Accrued expenses | 372 | (82) |
| Customer advances | 107 | (49) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities | (361) | 1,785 |
| Investing activities: | | |
| Capital expenditures | (817) | (352) |
| Net cash used by investing activities | (817) | (352) |
| Financing activities: | | |
| Payments of long-term debt | (378) | (1,390) |
| Payments on revolving line of credit | (14,680) | (15,155) |
| Borrowings on revolving line of credit | 14,434 | 15,074 |
| Payments on capital lease obligations | (311) | (319) |
| Net cash used by financing activities | (935) | (1,790) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes | 3 | 7 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (2,110) | (350) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 2,963 | 1,422 |

| | | |
|--|-------|---------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$853 | \$1,072 |
|--|-------|---------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Amounts in thousands except per share data or as otherwise indicated)

(Unaudited)

1. DESCRIPTION OF THE BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Bioanalytical Systems, Inc. and its subsidiaries (“We,” the “Company” or “BASi”) engage in contract laboratory research services and other services related to pharmaceutical development. We also manufacture scientific instruments for life sciences research, which we sell with related software for use in industrial, governmental and academic laboratories. Our customers are located throughout the world.

We have prepared the accompanying unaudited interim condensed consolidated financial statements pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) regarding interim financial reporting. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles (“GAAP”), and therefore should be read in conjunction with our audited consolidated financial statements, and the notes thereto, for the year ended September 30, 2011. In the opinion of management, the condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 include all adjustments which are necessary for a fair presentation of the results of the interim periods and of our financial position at March 31, 2012. The results of operations for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results for the year ending September 30, 2012.

2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The 2008 Stock Option Plan (“the Plan”) is used to promote our long-term interests by providing a means of attracting and retaining officers, directors and key employees and aligning their interests with those of our shareholders. The Plan is described more fully in Note 9 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2011. All options granted under the plan had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common shares on the date of grant. We expense the estimated fair value of stock options over the vesting periods of the grants. We recognize expense for awards subject to graded vesting using the straight-line attribution method, reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates and an adjustment is recognized at that time. The assumptions used are detailed in Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements in our Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2011. Stock based compensation expense for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 was \$26 and \$73, respectively. Stock based compensation expense for the three and six months ended March 31, 2011 was \$21 and \$75, respectively.

Edgar Filing: BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 424B3

A summary of our stock option activity for the six months ended March 31, 2012 is as follows (in thousands except for share prices):

| | Options (shares) | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value |
|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|
| Outstanding - October 1, 2011 | 673 | \$ 2.65 | \$ 1.83 |
| Exercised | - | - | - |
| Granted | - | - | - |
| Terminated | (102) | 5.06 | 2.95 |
| Outstanding - March 31, 2012 | 571 | \$ 2.22 | \$ 1.63 |

3. INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

We compute basic income (loss) per share using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding.

The Company has three categories of dilutive potential common shares: the Series A preferred shares issued in May 2011 in connection with the registered direct offering, the Warrants issued in connection with the same offering in May 2011, and shares issuable upon exercise of options. We compute diluted earnings per share using the if-converted method for preferred stock and the treasury stock method for stock options and warrants. Shares issuable upon exercise of options were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 because they were anti-dilutive. Warrants for 2,753 common shares and 1,044 common shares issuable upon conversion of preferred shares were not considered in computing diluted earnings per share for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 because they were also anti-dilutive.

The following table reconciles our computation of basic income (loss) per share to diluted income (loss) per share:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---------------------------------|---------|-------------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders | \$ (1,884) | \$ 483 | \$ (3,375) | \$ 793 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 7,034 | 4,915 | 6,989 | 4,915 |
| Basic net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.27) | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.48) | \$ 0.16 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share: | | | | |
| Diluted net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders | \$ (1,884) | \$ 483 | \$ (3,375) | \$ 793 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 7,034 | 4,915 | 6,989 | 4,915 |
| Dilutive stock options/shares | — | 165 | — | 110 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 7,034 | 5,080 | 6,989 | 5,025 |
| Diluted net income (loss) per share | \$ (0.27) | \$ 0.10 | \$ (0.48) | \$ 0.16 |

4. INVENTORIES

Inventories consisted of the following:

Edgar Filing: BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 424B3

| | March 31, 2012 | September 30, 2011 |
|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 1,538 | \$ 1,352 |
| Work in progress | 366 | 379 |
| Finished goods | 333 | 309 |
| | \$ 2,237 | \$ 2,040 |
| Obsolescence reserve | (383) | (404) |
| | \$ 1,854 | \$ 1,636 |

7

5. SEGMENT INFORMATION

We operate in two principal segments - research services and research products. Our Services segment provides research and development support on a contract basis directly to pharmaceutical companies. Our Products segment provides liquid chromatography, electrochemical and physiological monitoring products to pharmaceutical companies, universities, government research centers and medical research institutions. Our accounting policies in these segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies found in Note 2 to Consolidated Financial Statements in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2011.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------|----------|-------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Service | \$ 5,279 | \$ 6,446 | \$ 10,890 | \$ 12,589 |
| Product | 1,687 | 1,977 | 3,592 | 3,924 |
| | \$ 6,966 | \$ 8,423 | \$ 14,482 | \$ 16,513 |
| Operating income (loss): | | | | |
| Service | \$ (1,430) | \$ 479 | \$ (2,696) | \$ 713 |
| Product | (275) | 171 | (311) | 475 |
| | \$ (1,705) | \$ 650 | \$ (3,007) | \$ 1,188 |

6. INCOME TAXES

We use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. We recognize the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates in income in the period that includes the enactment date. We record valuation allowances based on a determination of the expected realization of tax assets.

We recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination based on the technical merits of the position. We measure the amount of the accrual for which an exposure exists as the largest amount of benefit determined on a cumulative probability basis that we believe is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement of the position.

At March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we had a \$16 liability for uncertain income tax positions.

We record interest and penalties accrued in relation to uncertain income tax positions as a component of income tax expense. Any changes in the liability for uncertain tax positions would impact our effective tax rate. We do not expect the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits to significantly change in the next twelve months.

We file income tax returns in the U.S., several U.S. States, and the United Kingdom. We remain subject to examination by taxing authorities in the jurisdictions in which we have filed returns for years after 2008.

We have an accumulated net deficit in our UK subsidiary. Consequently, United States deferred tax assets on such earnings have not been recorded. Also, a valuation allowance was established in fiscal 2009 against the U.S. deferred income tax balance. We had previously recorded a valuation allowance on the UK subsidiary deferred income tax balance.

7.

DEBT

Mortgages and note payable

We have notes payable to Regions Bank (“Regions”) aggregating approximately \$6,170. Regions notes payable currently include two outstanding mortgages on our facilities in West Lafayette and Evansville, Indiana, which total \$5,010. The mortgages mature in November 2012 with an interest rate fixed at 4.1% and monthly principal payments of approximately \$38 plus interest.

On November 29, 2010, we executed amendments on two loans with Regions. Regions agreed to accept a \$500 principal payment on the note payable maturing on December 18, 2010 and a \$500 principal payment on one mortgage maturing on February 11, 2011. The principal payments were made on December 17, 2010 and February 11, 2011, respectively. Upon receipt of these two payments, Regions incorporated the two loans into a replacement note payable for \$1,341 maturing on November 1, 2012. The replacement note payable bears interest at a per annum rate equal to the 30-day LIBOR plus 300 basis points (minimum of 4.5%) with monthly principal payments of approximately \$14 plus interest. The replacement note payable is secured by real estate at our West Lafayette and Evansville, Indiana locations. At March 31, 2012, the replacement note payable had a balance of \$1,160.

As part of the amendment, Regions also agreed to amend the loan covenants for the related debt to be more favorable to us. Regions requires us to maintain certain ratios including a fixed charge coverage ratio and total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio. The fixed charge coverage ratio calculation has been adjusted with a ratio required of not less than 1.25 to 1.00. Also, the total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio has been adjusted to not greater than 2.10 to 1.00. Provided we comply with the revised covenant ratios, which are common to such agreements, the amendment removes limitations on the Company’s purchase of fixed assets. We were not in compliance with the fixed charge coverage covenant at March 31, 2012 due to lower than expected income. Regions waived compliance with this covenant on May 8, 2012. As a result of our results in the first six months of fiscal 2012, we will likely be out of compliance with the fixed charge coverage covenant for the third fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2012, as our covenants are calculated on a fiscal year cumulative basis. We intend to seek a waiver of this covenant from Regions prior to June 30, 2012. Failure to obtain such waiver could accelerate the maturity of the loans and cause a cross default with our other lender.

The Regions loans both contain cross-default provisions with each other and with the revolving line of credit with Entrepreneur Growth Capital LLC (“EGC”) described below.

The mortgages and replacement note payable with Regions mature in the first quarter of fiscal 2013, and, thus, we have reported the full balance as current. We intend to refinance the amounts in lieu of making balloon payments for the remaining principal balances. We may be unsuccessful in renegotiating the terms of the debt or those terms may

be unfavorable to us. For these reasons, if we are unsuccessful at refinancing our long-term debt, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Revolving Line of Credit

On January 13, 2010, we entered into a \$3,000 revolving line of credit agreement (“Credit Agreement”) with EGC, which we use for working capital and other purposes. On December 23, 2010, we negotiated an amendment to this Credit Agreement. The term of the Credit Agreement, as amended, expires on January 31, 2013. If we prepay prior to the expiration of the term, then we are subject to an early termination fee equal to the minimum interest charges of \$15 for each of the months remaining until expiration.

Borrowings bear interest at an annual rate equal to Citibank’s Prime Rate plus five percent (5%), or 8.25% as of March 31, 2012, with minimum monthly interest of \$15. Interest is paid monthly. The line of credit also carries an annual facilities fee of 2% and a 0.2% collateral monitoring fee. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are secured by a blanket lien on our personal property, including certain eligible accounts receivable, inventory, and intellectual property assets, and a second mortgage on our West Lafayette and Evansville real estate and all common stock of our U.S. subsidiaries and 65% of the common stock of our non-United States subsidiary. Borrowings are calculated based on 75% of eligible accounts receivable. Under the Credit Agreement, the Company has agreed to restrict advances to subsidiaries, limit additional indebtedness and capital expenditures and comply with certain financial covenants outlined in the Credit Agreement. The December 2010 amendment reduced the minimum tangible net worth covenant requirement from \$9,000 to \$8,500. The Credit Agreement also contains cross-default provisions with the Regions loans and any future EGC loans. At March 31, 2012, we were in compliance with the minimum tangible net worth covenant requirement.

At March 31, 2012, we had available borrowing capacity of \$1,821 on this line, of which \$1,100 was outstanding.

Settlement of Contingent Liability

In June of 2008, as part of selling our Baltimore Clinical Pharmacology Research Unit, we subleased the building space it occupied to the purchaser of the assets. We remained contingently liable for the rent payments of \$800 per year through 2015 in the event the sublessor did not perform. In 2009, the purchaser ceased operations in Baltimore and sought to renegotiate the terms of its sublease. In March of 2010, a settlement was reached with the landlord of the building which canceled the sublessor's and our obligations under the lease in exchange for a cash payment from the sublessor. We agreed to contribute \$250 to the settlement, payable in twenty-five monthly installments of \$10 without interest. We recorded the discounted liability of \$216 in March 2010 and recognized the related expense in general and administrative expenses. At March 31, 2012, the balance of this liability was \$29.

8. FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The carrying amounts for cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, inventories, prepaid expenses and other assets, accounts payable and other accruals approximate their fair values because of their nature and respective duration. The fair value of the revolving credit facility and certain long-term debt is equal to their carrying values due to the variable nature of their interest rates. The other long-term fixed rate debt agreements were initiated in February 2011. Our interest rate swap expired under its terms in fiscal 2011.

9. RESTRUCTURING

In March 2012, we announced a plan to restructure our bioanalytical laboratory operations. We are consolidating our laboratory in McMinnville, Oregon into our 117,000 square foot headquarters facility in West Lafayette, Indiana. This plan is being initiated to dramatically reduce operating costs and strengthen our ability to meet clients' needs by improving laboratory utilization. We expect to incur approximately \$545 in total expenses related to this consolidation. Of this amount, one-time employee termination benefits will amount to \$287. Other expenses expected to be incurred in connection with the restructuring include lease payments, temporary housing and relocation, travel, and moving of laboratory equipment. For the second fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2012, we have incurred \$64 in restructuring costs as outlined in the table below. The remaining charges will predominantly be recorded in the third fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2012.

| | Total Costs Expected to be Incurred | Total Incurred as of March 31, 2012 | Estimated future expense |
|---|---|---|-----------------------------|
| One-time termination benefits | \$ 287 | \$ 32 | \$ 255 |
| Equipment moving costs and method transfers | 106 | 20 | 86 |

| | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------|-------|--------|
| Travel and relocation costs | 75 | 12 | 63 |
| Lease related costs | 47 | - | 47 |
| Other costs | 30 | - | 30 |
| | \$ 545 | \$ 64 | \$ 481 |

At the same time as the announcement regarding the Oregon laboratory consolidation, we included plans to evaluate the financial performance of our bioanalytical laboratory in Warwickshire, UK. These action plans are still being evaluated as of March 31, 2012.

10. NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In May 2011, the FASB issued updated fair value measurement and disclosure guidance that clarifies how to measure fair value and requires additional disclosures regarding Level 3 fair value measurements, as well as any transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements. The updated accounting guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011 on a prospective basis. The Company adopted this guidance in the current quarter with no material impact on the consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an accounting standards update that amends the two-step goodwill impairment test by permitting an entity to first assess qualitative factors in determining whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. If the entity determines that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, then performing the two-step goodwill impairment test is unnecessary. The amendment is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning on or after December 15, 2011 on a prospective basis, early adoption is permitted. The Company will consider adopting the guidance when completing its annual impairment test during the fourth quarter of 2012 and does not believe the adoption of this guidance will have an impact on its consolidated financial statements.

ITEM 2 - MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This report contains statements that constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Those statements appear in a number of places in this Report and may include statements regarding our intent, belief or current expectations with respect to, but are not limited to (i) our strategic plans; (ii) trends in the demand for our products and services; (iii) trends in the industries that consume our products and services; (iv) our ability to develop new products and services; (v) our ability to make capital expenditures and finance operations; (vi) global economic conditions, especially as they impact our markets; (vii) our cash position; (viii) our ability to integrate a new sales and marketing team and (ix) our ability to refinance our outstanding indebtedness. Readers are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors, many of which are beyond our control.

In addition, we have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. Although we believe that the assumptions on which the forward-looking statements contained herein are based are reasonable, actual events may differ from those assumptions, and as a result, the forward-looking statements based upon those assumptions may not accurately project future events. The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included or incorporated by reference elsewhere in this Report. The forward-looking statements contained herein may be affected by risks and uncertainties, including those discussed in Item 1A, Risk Factors contained in our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in

the forward-looking statements.

The following amounts are in thousands, unless otherwise indicated.

General

We are an international contract research organization providing drug discovery and development services. Our clients and partners include pharmaceutical, biotechnology, academic and governmental organizations. We apply innovative technologies and products and a commitment to quality to help clients and partners accelerate the development of safe and effective therapeutics and maximize the returns on their research and development investments. We offer an efficient, variable-cost alternative to our clients' internal product development programs. Outsourcing development work to reduce overhead and speed drug approvals through the Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") is an established alternative to in-house development among pharmaceutical companies. We derive our revenues from sales of our research services and drug development tools, both of which are focused on determining drug safety and efficacy. The Company has been involved in the research of drugs to treat numerous therapeutic areas for over 35 years.

We support the preclinical and clinical development needs of researchers and clinicians for small molecule and large biomolecule drug candidates. We believe our scientists have the skills in analytical instrumentation development, chemistry, computer software development, physiology, medicine, analytical chemistry and toxicology to make the services and products we provide increasingly valuable to our current and potential clients. Our principal clients are scientists engaged in analytical chemistry, drug safety evaluation, clinical trials, drug metabolism studies, pharmacokinetics and basic research at many of the small start-up biotechnology companies and the largest global pharmaceutical companies.

Our business is largely dependent on the level of pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies' efforts in new drug discovery and approval. Our services segment is a direct beneficiary of these efforts, through outsourcing by these companies of research work. Our products segment is an indirect beneficiary of these efforts, as increased drug development leads to capital expansion, providing opportunities to sell the equipment we produce and the consumable supplies we provide that support our products.

Developments within the industries we serve have a direct, and sometimes material, impact on our operations. Currently, many large pharmaceutical companies have major "block-buster" drugs that are nearing the end of their patent protections. This puts significant pressure on these companies both to develop new drugs with large market appeal, and to re-evaluate their cost structures and the time-to-market of their products. Contract research organizations ("CRO's") have benefited from these developments, as the pharmaceutical industry has turned to out-sourcing to both reduce fixed costs and to increase the speed of research and data development necessary for new drug applications. The number of significant drugs that have reached or are nearing the end of their patent protection has also benefited the generic drug industry. Generic drug companies provide a significant source of new business for CROs as they develop, test and manufacture their generic compounds.

A significant portion of innovation in the pharmaceutical industry is now being driven by biotech and small, venture capital funded, drug development companies. Many of these companies are "single-molecule" entities, whose success depends on one innovative compound. While several of the biotech companies have reached the status of major pharmaceuticals, the industry is still characterized by smaller entities. These developmental companies generally do not have the resources to perform much of the research within their organizations, and are therefore dependent on the CRO industry for both their research and for guidance in preparing their FDA submissions. These companies have provided significant new opportunities for the CRO industry, including us. They do, however, provide challenges in selling, as they frequently have only one product in development, which causes CROs to be unable to develop a flow of projects from a single company. These companies may expend all their available funds and cease operations prior to fully developing a product. Additionally, the funding of these companies is subject to investment market fluctuations, which changes as the risk profiles and appetite of investors change.

Research services are capital intensive. The investment in equipment and facilities to serve our markets is substantial and continuing. While our physical facilities are adequate to meet market needs for the near term, rapid changes in automation, precision, speed and technologies necessitate a constant investment in equipment and software to meet market demands. We are also impacted by the heightened regulatory environment and the need to improve our

business infrastructure to support our increasingly diverse operations, which will necessitate additional capital investment. Our ability to generate capital to reinvest in our capabilities, both through operations and financial transactions, is critical to our success. While we are currently committed to fully utilizing recent additions to capacity, sustained growth will require additional investment in future periods. Our financial position could limit our ability to make such investments.

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act

In March 2010, the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (the “Act”) was enacted by the U.S. Congress and signed into law by the President. The purpose of the legislation is to extend medical insurance coverage to a higher percentage of U.S. citizens. Many of the provisions in the Act have delayed effective dates over the next decade, and will require extensive regulatory guidance. Companies in our principal client industry, pharmaceuticals, will be required under the Act to provide additional discounts on medicines provided under Medicare and Medicaid to assist in the funding of the program; however, government estimates are that over 31 million additional citizens will eventually be covered by medical insurance as a result of the Act, which should expand the markets for their products. It is premature to accurately predict the impacts these and other competing forces will have on our basic client market, drug development. Additionally, the Act does not directly impact spiraling health care costs in the U.S., which could lead to additional legislation impacting our target markets in the future.

We maintain an optional health benefits package for all of our full-time employees, which is largely paid by our contributions with employees paying a portion of the cost, generally less than 20% of the total. Based on our current understanding of the Act, we do not anticipate significant changes to our programs or of their costs to the Company or our employees as a result of the Act.

We are exploring options in plan funding, delivery of benefits and employee wellness in our continuing effort to obtain maximum benefit for our health care expenditures, while maintaining quality programs for our employees. We do not expect these efforts to have a material financial impact on the Company.

Executive Overview

Our revenues are dependent on a relatively small number of industries and clients. As a result, we closely monitor the market for our services. In the first six months of fiscal 2012, we experienced a decline in the demand for our products and services as compared to the first six months of fiscal 2011. We believe in the fundamentals of the market and that it will rebound in future periods. For the remainder of fiscal 2012, we plan to focus on sales execution, operational excellence and building strategic partnerships with pharmaceutical and biotechnology companies, to differentiate our company and create value for our clients and shareholders. We will also focus on the successful consolidation of our bioanalytical laboratory from Oregon into our headquarters in Indiana and will continue to evaluate actions for improving the financial performance of our laboratory in the U.K.

We review various metrics to evaluate our financial performance, including period-to-period changes in new orders, revenue, margins and earnings. In the first six months of fiscal 2012, we had a decline in new authorizations of 27.9% over the same period in fiscal 2011. Gross margin and earnings declined in the current fiscal year due to lower revenues of 12.3% and higher operating expenses of 28.7%. We do not expect these trends to continue in the remainder of fiscal 2012 and have instituted several programs to reduce spending until increases can be supported by improvements in operations. For a detailed discussion of our revenue, margins, earnings and other financial results for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, see "Results of Operations" below.

As of March 31, 2012, we had \$853 of cash and cash equivalents as compared to \$2,963 of cash and cash equivalents at the end of fiscal 2011. In the first six months of fiscal 2012, we used \$361 of cash in operations mainly due to the net loss for the period. Our accounts receivable and unbilled revenues balances decreased \$495 from the prior fiscal year primarily due to a decline in revenues as well as increased efforts to collect outstanding receivables. Our mortgage loans with Regions Bank are due in November 2012, during our first fiscal quarter of 2013. We intend to refinance the remaining balances of these mortgage loans in lieu of making balloon payments. If we are unable to successfully refinance the mortgage loans, we may be unable to continue to fund our operations.

We believe that the development of innovative new drugs is going through an evolution, evidenced by the significant reduction of expenditures on research and development at several major international pharmaceutical companies, accompanied by increases in outsourcing and investments in smaller start-up companies that are performing the early development work on new compounds. Many of these companies are funded by either venture capital or pharmaceutical investment, or both, and generally do not build internal staffs that possess the extensive scientific and regulatory capabilities to perform the various activities necessary to progress a drug candidate to the filing of an Investigative New Drug (“IND”) application with the FDA.

While continuing to maintain and develop our relationships with large pharmaceutical companies, we intend to aggressively promote our services to developing businesses, which will require us to expand our existing capabilities to provide services early in the drug development process, and to consult with clients on regulatory strategy and compliance leading to their FDA filings. We have recently launched our Enhanced Drug Discovery services as part of this strategy, utilizing our proprietary Culex® technology to provide early experiments in our laboratories that previously would have been conducted in the sponsor’s facilities. As we move forward, we must balance the demands of the large pharmaceutical companies with the personal touch needed by smaller biotechnology companies to develop a competitive advantage. We intend to accomplish this through the use of and expanding upon our existing project management skills, strategic partnerships and relationship management.

Critical Accounting Policies

“Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and “Liquidity and Capital Resources” discuss the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. Preparation of these financial statements requires management to make judgments and estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. Certain significant accounting policies applied in the preparation of the financial statements require management to make difficult, subjective or complex judgments, and are considered critical accounting policies. We have identified the following areas as critical accounting policies.

Revenue Recognition

The majority of our service contracts involve the processing of bioanalytical samples for pharmaceutical companies. These contracts generally provide for a fixed fee for each assay method developed or sample processed and revenue is recognized under the specific performance method of accounting. Under the specific performance method, revenue and related direct costs are recognized when services are performed. Other service contracts generally consist of preclinical studies for pharmaceutical companies. Service revenue is recognized based on the ratio of direct costs incurred to total estimated direct costs under the proportional performance method of accounting. Losses on contracts are provided in the period in which the loss becomes determinable. Revisions in profit estimates are reflected on a cumulative basis in the period in which such revisions become known. The establishment of contract prices and total contract costs involves estimates made by the Company at the inception of the contract period. These estimates could change during the term of the contract which could impact the revenue and costs reported in the consolidated financial statements. Projected losses on contracts are provided for in their entirety when known. Revisions to estimates have not been material. Service contract fees received upon acceptance are deferred and classified within customer advances, until earned. Unbilled revenues represent revenues earned under contracts in advance of billings.

Product revenue from sales of equipment not requiring installation, testing or training is recognized upon shipment to customers. One product includes internally developed software and requires installation, testing and training, which occur concurrently. Revenue from these sales is recognized upon completion of the installation, testing and training when the services are bundled with the equipment sale.

Long-Lived Assets, Including Goodwill

Long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, and purchased intangibles subject to amortization, are reviewed for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If the carrying amount of an asset exceeds its estimated future cash flows, an impairment charge is recognized by the amount by which the carrying amount of the asset exceeds the fair value of the asset.

We carry goodwill at cost. Other intangible assets with definite lives are stated at cost and are amortized on a straight-line basis over their estimated useful lives. All intangible assets acquired that are obtained through contractual or legal right, or are capable of being separately sold, transferred, licensed, rented, or exchanged, are recognized as an asset apart from goodwill. Goodwill is not amortized.

Goodwill is tested annually for impairment, and more frequently if events and circumstances indicate that the asset might be impaired, using a two-step process. Our reporting units with goodwill are Vetronics, which is included in our Products segment, McMinnville, Oregon and Evansville, Indiana, which are both included in our Services segment, based on the discrete financial information available which is reviewed by management. We utilize a cash flow approach in estimating the fair value of the reporting units, where the discount rate reflects a weighted average cost of capital rate. The cash flow model used to derive fair value is sensitive to the discount rate and sales growth assumptions used.

Considerable management judgment is necessary to evaluate the impact of operating and macroeconomic changes and to estimate future cash flows. Assumptions used in our impairment evaluations, such as forecasted sales growth rates and our cost of capital or discount rate, are based on the best available market information. Changes in these estimates or a continued decline in general economic conditions could change our conclusion regarding an impairment of goodwill and potentially result in a non-cash impairment loss in a future period. The assumptions used in our impairment testing could be adversely affected by certain of the risks discussed in "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of our 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. In March 2012, we announced a plan to restructure our bioanalytical laboratory operations. We are consolidating our laboratory in McMinnville, Oregon into our 117,000 square foot headquarters facility in West Lafayette, Indiana. Because we expect to retain key employees and customers from the Oregon facility, we did not and do not expect in the future to record any changes to the value of goodwill assigned to the Oregon reporting unit. There were no other significant events since the timing of our impairment test at the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 that have triggered additional impairment testing.

At March 31, 2012, remaining recorded goodwill was \$1,383, and the net balance of other intangible assets was \$38.

Stock-Based Compensation

We recognize the cost resulting from all share-based payment transactions in our financial statements using a fair-value-based method. We measure compensation cost for all share-based awards based on estimated fair values and recognize compensation over the vesting period for awards. We recognized stock-based compensation expense related to stock options of \$26 and \$73 for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, respectively. We recognized expense of \$21 and \$75 for the three and six months ended March 31, 2011, respectively.

We use the binomial option valuation model to determine the grant date fair value. The determination of fair value is affected by our stock price as well as assumptions regarding subjective and complex variables such as expected employee exercise behavior and our expected stock price volatility over the term of the award. Generally, our assumptions are based on historical information and judgment is required to determine if historical trends may be indicators of future outcomes. We estimated the following key assumptions for the binomial valuation calculation:

- *Risk-free interest rate.* The risk-free interest rate is based on U.S. Treasury yields in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option.
- *Expected volatility.* We use our historical stock price volatility on our common stock for our expected volatility assumption.
- *Expected term.* The expected term represents the weighted-average period the stock options are expected to remain outstanding. The expected term is determined based on historical exercise behavior, post-vesting termination

patterns, options outstanding and future expected exercise behavior.

•*Expected dividends.* We assumed that we will pay no dividends.

Employee stock-based compensation expense recognized in the first six months of fiscal 2012 and 2011 was calculated based on awards ultimately expected to vest and has been reduced for estimated forfeitures. Forfeitures are revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates and an adjustment will be recognized at that time.

Changes to our underlying stock price, our assumptions used in the binomial option valuation calculation and our forfeiture rate as well as future grants of equity could significantly impact compensation expense to be recognized in fiscal 2012 and future periods.

Income Taxes

As described in Note 6 to the condensed consolidated financial statements, we use the asset and liability method of accounting for income taxes. We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. We measure deferred tax assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. We recognize the effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates in income in the period that includes the enactment date. We record valuation allowances based on a determination of the expected realization of tax assets.

We recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination based on the technical merits of the position. We measure the amount of the accrual for which an exposure exists as the largest amount of benefit determined on a cumulative probability basis that we believe is more likely than not to be realized upon ultimate settlement of the position.

We record interest and penalties accrued in relation to uncertain income tax positions as a component of income tax expense. Any changes in the accrued liability for uncertain tax positions would impact our effective tax rate. Over the next twelve months we do not anticipate resolution to the carrying value of our reserve. Interest and penalties are included in the reserve.

As of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we had a \$16 liability for uncertain income tax positions, respectively.

We file income tax returns in the U.S., several U.S. states, and the foreign jurisdiction of the United Kingdom. We remain subject to examination by taxing authorities in the jurisdictions in which we have filed returns for years after 2008.

We have an accumulated net deficit in our UK subsidiary. Consequently, United States deferred tax assets on such earnings have not been recorded. Also, a valuation allowance was established in fiscal 2009 against the U.S. deferred income tax balance. We had previously recorded a valuation allowance on the UK subsidiary deferred income tax balance.

Results of Operations

The following table summarizes the condensed consolidated statement of operations as a percentage of total revenues:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | Six Months Ended March 31, | |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service revenue | 75.8 % | 76.5 % | 75.2 % | 76.2 % |
| Product revenue | 24.2 | 23.5 | 24.8 | 23.8 |
| Total revenue | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of service revenue <i>(a)</i> | 96.0 | 75.0 | 94.8 | 75.5 |
| Cost of product revenue <i>(a)</i> | 44.9 | 40.1 | 42.7 | 38.2 |
| Total cost of revenue | 83.6 | 66.8 | 81.9 | 66.6 |
| Gross profit | 16.4 | 33.2 | 18.1 | 33.4 |
| Total operating expenses | 40.0 | 25.5 | 38.4 | 26.2 |
| Restructuring expenses | 0.9 | — | 0.4 | — |
| Operating income (loss) | (24.5) | 7.7 | (20.7) | 7.2 |
| Other expense | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Income (loss) before income taxes | (27.1) | 5.7 | (23.2) | 4.8 |
| Income taxes | — | — | — | — |
| Net income (loss) | (27.1)% | 5.7 % | (23.2)% | 4.8 % |

(a) Percentage of service and product revenues, respectively

Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 Compared to Three Months Ended March 31, 2011*Service and Product Revenues*

Revenues for the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2012 decreased 17.3% to \$6,966 compared to \$8,423 for the same period last year.

Our Service revenue decreased 18.1% to \$5,279 in the current quarter compared to \$6,446 for the prior year period primarily as a result of lower bioanalytical analysis and toxicology revenues. Study delays by clients, lower new bookings in the current fiscal year and price declines contributed to the decline in bioanalytical analysis revenues. The decline in toxicology revenues stems mainly from the cancellation of a large contract expected to earn revenues in the current quarter. This decline was slightly offset by higher pharmaceutical analysis revenues, included in Other laboratory services in the table below, as new bookings and volumes of studies have increased from the prior calendar year.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | % |
| Bioanalytical analysis | \$2,780 | \$3,498 | \$ (718) | -20.5 % |
| Toxicology | 1,748 | 2,448 | (700) | -28.6 % |
| Other laboratory services | 751 | 500 | 251 | 50.2 % |

Sales in our Products segment decreased 14.7% in the current fiscal quarter from \$1,977 to \$1,687 when compared to the same period in the prior fiscal year. The majority of the decrease stems from lower sales of our Culex®, in-vivo sampling products in the current fiscal quarter due to a slight order decline and the delayed installation of two systems into our third fiscal quarter.

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|----------------------------------|------------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | % |
| Culex®, in-vivo sampling systems | \$772 | \$1,177 | \$ (405) | -34.4 % |
| Analytical instruments | 776 | 749 | 27 | 3.6 % |
| Other instruments | 139 | 51 | 88 | 172.5 % |

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues for the current quarter was \$5,823 or 83.6% of revenue, compared to \$5,626, or 66.8% of revenue for the prior year period.

Cost of Service revenue as a percentage of Service revenue increased to 96.0% in the current quarter from 75.0% in the comparable period last year. The principal cause of this increase was the revenue decline which led to lower absorption of the fixed costs in our Service segment. A significant portion of our costs of productive capacity in the Service segment are fixed. Thus, decreases in revenues lead to increases in costs as a percentage of revenue. We also experienced increases in costs due to additional depreciation of capital additions, compensation increases and an 8% increase in the number of employees.

Costs of Product revenue as a percentage of Product revenue in the current quarter increased to 44.9% from 40.1% in the comparable prior year period. This increase is mainly due to a change in the mix of products sold in the current quarter compared to the prior year quarter.

Operating Expenses

Selling expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 increased 28.6% to \$996 from \$774 for the comparable period last year. This increase was primarily driven by an increase in the number of sales personnel and higher spending for marketing and advertising expenditures and consulting services as we implement our new sales and marketing strategy. In our first fiscal quarter, we expanded the sales team for our European operations and increased our advertising spending for targeted audiences.

Research and development expenses for the second quarter of fiscal 2012 increased 45.9% over the comparable period last year to \$162 from \$111. The increase was primarily due to an increase in spending for consulting services and operating supplies on new products we are developing.

General and administrative expenses for the current quarter increased 28.8% to \$1,626 from \$1,262 for the comparable prior year period. The principal reasons for the increase were an accrual for severance liability for our previous CFO, compensation increases instituted in our third fiscal quarter of 2011, higher consulting and accountants' fees and increased costs of product liability insurance.

Other Income (Expense)

Other expense for the current fiscal quarter increased slightly to \$179 from \$167 for the same quarter of the prior fiscal year.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was 0.0%. No net benefits have been provided on taxable losses in the current fiscal year. We continue to maintain a full valuation allowance on our U.S. and UK subsidiary deferred income tax balances.

Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 Compared to Six Months Ended March 31, 2011

Service and Product Revenues

Revenues for the six months ended March 31, 2012 decreased 12.3% to \$14,482 compared to \$16,513 for the same period last year.

Our Service revenue decreased 13.5% to \$10,890 in the first six months of fiscal 2012 compared to \$12,589 for the prior year period primarily as a result of decreases in bioanalytical analysis and toxicology revenues. Study delays by clients, lower new bookings in the current fiscal year and price declines contributed to the decline in bioanalytical analysis revenues. The decline in toxicology revenues stems mainly from the cancellation of a large contract expected to earn revenues in the current fiscal year. This decline was slightly offset by higher pharmaceutical analysis revenues, included in Other laboratory services in the table below, as new bookings and volumes of studies have increased from the prior calendar year.

| | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | % |
| Bioanalytical analysis | \$5,636 | \$7,296 | \$(1,660) | -22.8% |

Edgar Filing: BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS INC - Form 424B3

| | | | | |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| Toxicology | 3,666 | 4,401 | (735) | -16.7% |
| Other laboratory services | 1,588 | 892 | 696 | 78.0 % |

Sales in our Products segment declined 8.5% in the first six months of fiscal 2012 from \$3,924 to \$3,592 when compared to the same period in the prior year. The majority of the decline stems from lower sales of our Culex®, in-vivo sampling systems over the same period of the prior fiscal year for the reasons cited in the discussion of the current quarter. The following table shows more detail for our Product revenue.

| | Six Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|--------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | Change | % |
| Culex®, in-vivo sampling systems | \$1,943 | \$2,266 | \$ (323) | -14.3% |
| Analytical instruments | 1,301 | 1,447 | (146) | -10.1% |
| Other instruments | 348 | 211 | 137 | 64.9 % |

Cost of Revenues

Cost of revenues for the first six months of fiscal 2012 was \$11,857 or 81.9% of revenue, compared to \$11,000, or 66.6% of revenue, for the comparable period in the prior year.

Cost of Service revenue as a percentage of Service revenue increased to 94.8% in the first six months of fiscal 2012 from 75.5% in the comparable period last year. The principal cause of this increase was the revenue decline which led to lower absorption of the fixed costs in our Service segment. A significant portion of our costs of productive capacity in the Service segment are fixed. Thus, decreases in revenues lead to increases in costs as a percentage of revenue. We also experienced increases in costs due to additional depreciation of capital additions and compensation increases.

Cost of Product revenue as a percentage of Product revenue in the six months ending March 31, 2012 increased to 42.7% from 38.2% in the comparable period in the prior year. This increase is mainly due to a change in the mix of products sold in fiscal 2012.

Operating Expenses

Selling expenses for the six months ended March 31, 2012 increased 36.7% to \$1,994 from \$1,459 for the comparable period last year. This increase was primarily driven by an increase in the number of sales personnel and higher spending for marketing and advertising expenditures and consulting services as we implement our new sales and marketing strategy. We expanded the sales team for our European operations and increased our advertising spending for targeted audiences.

Research and development expenses for the first half of fiscal 2012 increased 52.5% from the comparable period of the prior year to \$340 from \$223. The increase was primarily due to an increase in spending for consulting services and operating supplies on new products we are developing as well as compensation increases instituted in our third fiscal quarter of 2011.

General and administrative expenses for the first six months of fiscal 2012 increased 22.4% to \$3,234 from \$2,643 for the comparable period in the prior year. The principal reasons for the increase were an accrual for severance liability for our previous CFO, compensation increases instituted in our third fiscal quarter of 2011, higher consulting and accountants' fees, increased costs of product liability insurance and higher software maintenance costs.

Other Income (Expense)

Other expense for the first six months of fiscal 2012 decreased to \$368 from \$395 for the same period of the prior year. The decrease resulted mainly from lower mortgage interest in fiscal 2012 as a result of the two separate \$500 principal payments made in fiscal 2011.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for the six months ended March 31, 2012 was 0.0%. No net benefits have been provided on taxable losses in the current fiscal year. We continue to maintain a full valuation allowance on our U.S. and UK subsidiary deferred income tax balances.

Restructuring Activities

In March 2012, we announced a plan to restructure our bioanalytical laboratory operations. We are consolidating our laboratory in McMinnville, Oregon into our 117,000 square foot headquarters facility in West Lafayette, Indiana. This plan is being initiated to dramatically reduce operating costs and strengthen our ability to meet clients' needs by improving laboratory utilization. We expect to incur approximately \$545 in total expenses related to this consolidation. Of this amount, one-time employee termination benefits will amount to \$287. Other expenses expected to be incurred in connection with the restructuring include lease payments, temporary housing and relocation, travel, and moving of laboratory equipment. For the second fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2012, we have incurred \$64 of these restructuring costs. The remaining charges will predominantly be recorded in the third fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2012.

At the same time as the announcement regarding the Oregon laboratory consolidation, we included plans to evaluate the financial performance of our bioanalytical laboratory in Warwickshire, UK. These action plans are still being evaluated as of March 31, 2012.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Comparative Cash Flow Analysis

At March 31, 2012, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$853, compared to \$2,963 at September 30, 2011.

Net cash used by operating activities was \$361 for the six months ended March 31, 2012 compared to cash provided by operating activities of \$1,785 for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities in the current fiscal year partially results from our current operating loss versus operating income in the prior year period. Other contributing factors to the cash used by operations were \$1,145 of depreciation and amortization, a net decrease in accounts receivable of \$495 and a net increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses of \$1,258. Included in cash provided by operating activities for the first half of fiscal 2011 are non-cash charges of \$1,049 for depreciation and amortization, an increase in accounts receivable of \$315 and an increase in accounts payable of \$272. The impact on operating cash flow of other changes in working capital was not material.

We have experienced slower new order activity in fiscal 2012, primarily for our Services. Operating expenses have increased 28.7% in the current fiscal year versus the prior year period, but we expect the increase in spending levels to ease in the remainder of fiscal 2012 with several programs in place to reduce spending and cost savings resulting from restructuring activities discussed earlier.

Investing activities used \$817 in the first half of fiscal 2012 due to capital expenditures as compared to \$352 in the first half of fiscal 2011. Our principal investments included a waste-water treatment facility at one of our sites, renovation costs associated with a health care clinic at our corporate headquarters, new laboratory equipment, replacements and upgrades in all of our facilities, as well as general building and information technology infrastructure expenditures at all sites. Going forward in fiscal 2012, we have instituted a freeze on all capital expenditures until such spending is supported by revenue growth.

Financing activities used \$935 in the first six months of fiscal 2012 as compared to \$1,790 used for the first six months of fiscal 2011. The main use of cash in the first half of fiscal 2012 was for long-term debt and capital lease payments of \$689 as well as net payments on our line of credit of \$246. In the first six months of fiscal 2011, we had long-term debt and capital lease payments of \$1,628, including the two \$500 principal payments to Regions discussed below, as well as net payments on our line of credit of \$81.

Capital Resources

We have notes payable to Regions aggregating approximately \$6,170 and a \$3,000 line of credit with Entrepreneur Growth Capital LLC (“EGC”). The EGC line of credit is subject to availability limitations that may substantially reduce or eliminate our borrowing capacity at any time.

Regions notes payable currently include two outstanding mortgages on our facilities in West Lafayette and Evansville, Indiana, which total \$5,010. The mortgages mature in November 2012 with an interest rate fixed at 4.1% and monthly principal payments of approximately \$38 plus interest.

On November 29, 2010, we executed amendments on two loans with Regions. Regions agreed to accept a \$500 principal payment on the note payable maturing on December 18, 2010 and a \$500 principal payment on one mortgage maturing on February 11, 2011. The principal payments were made on December 17, 2010 and February 11, 2011, respectively. Upon receipt of these two payments, Regions incorporated the two loans into a replacement note payable for \$1,341 maturing on November 1, 2012. The replacement note payable bears interest at a per annum rate equal to the 30-day LIBOR plus 300 basis points (minimum of 4.5%) with monthly principal payments of approximately \$14 plus interest. The replacement note payable is secured by real estate at our West Lafayette and Evansville, Indiana locations. At March 31, 2012, the replacement note payable had a balance of \$1,160.

As part of the amendment, Regions also agreed to amend the loan covenants for the related debt to be more favorable to us. Regions requires us to maintain certain ratios including a fixed charge coverage ratio and total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio. The fixed charge coverage ratio calculation has been adjusted with a ratio required of not less than 1.25 to 1.00. Also, the total liabilities to tangible net worth ratio has been adjusted to not greater than 2.10 to 1.00. Provided we comply with the revised covenant ratios, which are common to such agreements, the amendment removes limitations on the Company’s purchase of fixed assets. We were not in compliance with the fixed charge coverage covenant at March 31, 2012 due to lower than expected income, which we do not expect to continue into the remainder of fiscal 2012. Regions waived compliance with this covenant on May 8, 2012. As a result of our results in the first six months of fiscal 2012, we will likely be out of compliance with the fixed charge coverage covenant for the third fiscal quarter ending June 30, 2012, as our covenants are calculated on a fiscal year cumulative basis. We intend to seek a waiver of this covenant from Regions prior to June 30, 2012. Failure to obtain such waiver could accelerate the maturity of the loans and cause a cross default with our other lender.

Borrowings under our credit agreements are collateralized by substantially all assets related to our operations and all common stock of our U.S. subsidiaries and 65% of the common stock of our non-United States subsidiaries. Under the terms of our credit agreements, we have agreed to restrict advances to subsidiaries and limit additional indebtedness. The Regions loan agreements both contain cross-default provisions with each other and with the revolving line of credit with EGC described below.

The mortgages and replacement note payable with Regions mature in the first quarter of fiscal 2013. We intend to refinance the amounts in lieu of making balloon payments for the remaining principal balances. We may be unsuccessful in renegotiating the terms of the debt or those terms may be unfavorable to us. For these reasons, if we are unsuccessful at refinancing our long-term debt, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Revolving Line of Credit

On January 13, 2010, we entered into a \$3,000 revolving line of credit agreement (“Credit Agreement”) with EGC to replace the PNC Bank line of credit that expired on January 15, 2010. We entered into an amendment of the Credit Agreement in December 2010. The term of the Credit Agreement, as amended, expires on January 31, 2013. If we prepay prior to the expiration of the term, then we are subject to an early termination fee equal to the minimum interest charges of \$15 for each of the months remaining until expiration.

Borrowings bear interest at an annual rate equal to Citibank’s Prime Rate plus five percent (5%), or 8.25% as of March 31, 2012, with minimum monthly interest of \$15. Interest is paid monthly. The line of credit also carries an annual facilities fee of 2% and a 0.2% collateral monitoring fee. Borrowings under the Credit Agreement are secured by a blanket lien on our personal property, including certain eligible accounts receivable, inventory, and intellectual property assets, and a second mortgage on our West Lafayette and Evansville real estate and all common stock of our U.S. subsidiaries and 65% of the common stock of our non-United States subsidiary. Borrowings are calculated based on 75% of eligible accounts receivable. Under the Credit Agreement, the Company has agreed to restrict advances to subsidiaries, limit additional indebtedness and capital expenditures and comply with certain financial covenants outlined in the Credit Agreement.

The December 2010 amendment reduced the minimum tangible net worth covenant requirement from \$9,000 to \$8,500. The Credit Agreement also contains cross-default provisions with the Regions loans and any future EGC loans. At March 31, 2012, we were in compliance with the minimum tangible net worth covenant requirement.

Based on our current business activities and cash on hand, we expect to borrow on our revolving credit facility in fiscal 2012 to finance working capital. To conserve cash, we have instituted several programs to reduce discretionary

and capital expenditures until such spending is supported by revenue growth. As of March 31, 2012, we had \$1,821 of total borrowing capacity with the line of credit, of which \$1,100 was outstanding, and \$853 of cash on hand. This compares to a borrowing capacity of \$2,462 at September 30, 2011. The decline in the borrowing capacity for the first six months of fiscal 2012 is due to the decline in revenues, which lowers our receivables balance.

For the second half of fiscal 2012, we expect to see improvement in the volume of new bookings, but little improvement in pricing. Based on our expected increase in revenue, the availability on our line of credit and the cash generated from our successful equity offering in May 2011, we believe that we will have the liquidity required to meet our fiscal 2012 operating needs and debt obligations. Should operations materially fail to meet our expectations for the remainder of the fiscal year, we may not be able to comply with all of our debt covenants, requiring that we obtain a waiver at that time. If that situation arises, we will be required to negotiate with our lending bank again to obtain loan modifications or waivers as described above. We cannot predict whether our lenders will provide those waivers, if required, what the terms of any such waivers might be or what impact any such waivers will have on our liquidity, financial condition or results of operations.

ITEM 3 – QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

A smaller reporting company is not required to provide the information required by this Item 3.

ITEM 4 - CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

At the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Based on that evaluation, the Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 31, 2012.

During the current fiscal year, we instituted an additional level of review of covenant compliance calculations. There were no other changes in our internal control over financial reporting, as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act, during the first half of fiscal 2012 that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

ITEM 1A - RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2011, including those under the heading "Risk Factors" appearing in Item 1A of Part I of the Form 10-K and other information contained in this Quarterly Report before investing in our securities. Realization of any of these risks could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, cash flows and results of operations.

ITEM 5 - OTHER INFORMATION

On May 8, 2012, Seth Hamot notified the Company of his resignation from his position on the Board of Directors. The resignation was effective on May 9, 2012. Mr. Hamot's resignation was not the result of any disagreement with the Company or its Board of Directors on any matter relating to the registrant's operations, policies or practices.

On May 9, 2012, Richard Johnson was unanimously elected to the Board of Directors as an independent, Class II director. Mr. Johnson filled the vacancy on the Board of Directors resulting from Mr. Hamot's resignation. Mr. Johnson's term will expire with the other Class II directors at the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders. Mr. Johnson was also appointed to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

As a director of the Company, Mr. Johnson will receive compensation as a non-employee director in accordance with the Company's non-employee director compensation practices described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 29, 2011, as amended. This compensation generally consists of an annual retainer in the amount of \$3,300, meeting attendance fees of \$1,000 for each board meeting (\$500 for a telephonic meeting), and meeting attendance fees of \$500 for each committee meeting (\$250 for a telephonic meeting).

ITEM 6 - EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits:

| Number | Description of Exhibits |
|--------|---|
| (3) | 3.1 Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation of Bioanalytical Systems, Inc. as amended through May 9, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form-10Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011). |
| | 3.2 Second Amended and Restated Bylaws of Bioanalytical Systems, Inc., as subsequently amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 of Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2009). |
| (10) | 10.1 Severance Agreement between Michael R. Cox and Bioanalytical Systems Inc., dated March 31, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 for Form 8-K filed April 5, 2012). |
| | 10.2 Employment Agreement between Jacqueline M. Lemke and Bioanalytical Systems Inc., effective April 9, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 for Form 8-K filed April 5, 2012). |
| | 10.3 Employee Incentive Stock Option Agreement between Anthony S. Chilton and Bioanalytical Systems, Inc., dated April 9, 2012.* |
| | 10.4 Non-Qualified Employee Stock Option Agreement between Jacqueline M. Lemke and Bioanalytical Systems, Inc., dated April 9, 2012.* |
| | 10.5 Waiver letter, dated May 8, 2012, from Regions Bank.* |
| (31) | 31.1 Certification of Anthony S. Chilton.* |
| | 31.2 Certification of Jacqueline M. Lemke.* |
| (32) | 32.1 Written Statement of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (18 U.S.C. Section 1350) .* |
| | 101 XBRL data file.* |

* Previously filed.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized:

BIOANALYTICAL SYSTEMS, INC.
(Registrant)

Date: May 14, 2012 By: /s/ Anthony S. Chilton
Anthony S. Chilton

President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 14, 2012 By: /s/ Jacqueline M. Lemke
Jacqueline M. Lemke
Vice President, Finance, Chief Financial Officer