

TENNANT CO
Form 10-Q
October 28, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2013

OR
 TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission File Number 1-16191

TENNANT COMPANY
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)
Minnesota
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

41-0572550
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

701 North Lilac Drive
P.O. Box 1452
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440
(Address of principal executive offices)
(Zip Code)

(763) 540-1200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

As of October 15, 2013, there were 18,471,651 shares of Common Stock outstanding.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	Page
Item 1. <u>Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings</u>	<u>3</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u>	<u>4</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	<u>5</u>
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	<u>6</u>
<u>Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>1. Basis of Presentation</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>2. Newly Adopted Accounting Pronouncements</u>	<u>7</u>
<u>3. Management Actions</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>4. Acquisitions and Divestitures</u>	<u>8</u>
<u>5. Inventories</u>	<u>9</u>
<u>6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets</u>	<u>10</u>
<u>7. Debt</u>	<u>11</u>
<u>8. Warranty</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>9. Fair Value Measurements</u>	<u>13</u>
<u>10. Retirement Benefit Plans</u>	<u>14</u>
<u>11. Commitments and Contingencies</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>12. Income Taxes</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>13. Share-Based Compensation</u>	<u>15</u>
<u>14. Earnings Per Share</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>15. Segment Reporting</u>	<u>16</u>
<u>16. Related Party Transactions</u>	<u>17</u>
Item 2. <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	<u>17</u>
Item 3. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 4. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	<u>25</u>
PART II - OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	<u>25</u>
Item 2. <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	<u>26</u>
Item 6. <u>Exhibits</u>	<u>27</u>
<u>Signatures</u>	<u>28</u>

Table of Contents

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

TENNANT COMPANY

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except shares and per share data)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net Sales	\$188,541	\$178,268	\$556,871	\$551,473
Cost of Sales	106,679	100,705	314,745	309,640
Gross Profit	81,862	77,563	242,126	241,833
Operating Expense:				
Research and Development Expense	7,970	7,353	23,309	21,558
Selling and Administrative Expense	57,663	57,193	174,083	177,326
Gain on Sale of Business	—	(784)) —	(784)
Total Operating Expense	65,633	63,762	197,392	198,100
Profit from Operations	16,229	13,801	44,734	43,733
Other Income (Expense):				
Interest Income	67	229	295	871
Interest Expense	(440)) (640)) (1,318)) (2,021)
Net Foreign Currency Transaction Losses	(303)) (385)) (1,046)) (1,496)
Other (Expense) Income, Net	(157)) 99	(238)) 175
Total Other Expense, Net	(833)) (697)) (2,307)) (2,471)
Profit Before Income Taxes	15,396	13,104	42,427	41,262
Income Tax Expense	4,779	4,359	12,497	13,522
Net Earnings	\$10,617	\$8,745	\$29,930	\$27,740
Earnings per Share:				
Basic	\$0.58	\$0.47	\$1.64	\$1.49
Diluted	\$0.56	\$0.46	\$1.59	\$1.45
Weighted Average Shares Outstanding:				
Basic	18,267,828	18,468,546	18,288,083	18,594,508
Diluted	18,811,638	19,040,875	18,823,745	19,154,844
Cash Dividend Declared per Common Share	\$0.18	\$0.17	\$0.54	\$0.51

See accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

TENNANT COMPANY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
 (Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30		September 30	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Net Earnings	\$10,617	\$8,745	\$29,930	\$27,740
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	2,695	1,424	(1,229) (433
Pension adjustments	93	246	1,007	750
Total Other Comprehensive Income (Loss), net of tax	2,788	1,670	(222) 317
Comprehensive Income	\$13,405	\$10,415	\$29,708	\$28,057

See accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

TENNANT COMPANY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
 (Unaudited)

(In thousands, except shares and per share data)	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$65,309	\$53,940
Restricted Cash	404	187
Accounts Receivable, less Allowances of \$4,605 and \$4,399, respectively	139,813	138,147
Inventories	67,390	58,136
Prepaid Expenses	12,111	11,309
Deferred Income Taxes, Current Portion	8,986	11,339
Other Current Assets	1,696	388
Total Current Assets	295,709	273,446
Property, Plant and Equipment	305,381	294,910
Accumulated Depreciation	(220,899) (208,717
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	84,482	86,193
Deferred Income Taxes, Long-Term Portion	12,830	10,989
Goodwill	19,246	19,717
Intangible Assets, Net	19,411	21,393
Other Assets	7,303	9,022
Total Assets	\$438,981	\$420,760
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Short-Term Borrowings and Current Portion of Long-Term Debt	\$3,935	\$2,042
Accounts Payable	49,295	47,002
Employee Compensation and Benefits	31,096	33,021
Income Taxes Payable	1,349	785
Other Current Liabilities	40,512	38,844
Total Current Liabilities	126,187	121,694
Long-Term Liabilities:		
Long-Term Debt	28,042	30,281
Employee-Related Benefits	25,988	25,873
Deferred Income Taxes, Long-Term Portion	2,834	3,325
Other Liabilities	4,701	4,533
Total Long-Term Liabilities	61,565	64,012
Total Liabilities	187,752	185,706
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 11)		
Shareholders' Equity:		
Preferred Stock, \$0.02 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding	—	—
Common Stock, \$0.375 par value; 60,000,000 shares authorized; 18,466,504 and 18,464,450 shares issued and outstanding, respectively	6,864	6,924
Additional Paid-In Capital	28,828	22,398
Retained Earnings	246,093	236,065
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	(30,556) (30,333
Total Shareholders' Equity	251,229	235,054
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$438,981	\$420,760

See accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

5

Table of Contents

TENNANT COMPANY
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
 (Unaudited)

(In thousands)	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2013	2012
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net Earnings	\$29,930	\$27,740
Adjustments to reconcile Net Earnings to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation	13,178	13,239
Amortization	1,914	2,096
Deferred Income Taxes	(4) (731
Share-Based Compensation Expense	5,106	7,175
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts and Returns	1,153	1,528
Gain on Sale of Business	—	(784
Other, Net	155	130
Changes in Operating Assets and Liabilities:		
Accounts Receivable	(6,551) 1,756
Inventories	(11,798) (3,097
Accounts Payable	2,826	(2,348
Employee Compensation and Benefits	(2,620) (2,767
Other Current Liabilities	1,716	(84
Income Taxes	940	4,902
U.S. Pension Plan Contributions	—	(1,288
Other Assets and Liabilities	863	(4,185
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	36,808	43,282
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchases of Property, Plant and Equipment	(11,380) (11,110
Proceeds from Disposals of Property, Plant and Equipment	97	280
Acquisition of Business, Net of Cash Acquired	(750) (750
Proceeds from Sale of Business	3,520	1,014
(Increase) Decrease in Restricted Cash	(224) 3,089
Net Cash Used for Investing Activities	(8,737) (7,477
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Short-Term Borrowings	1,500	—
Payment of Long-Term Debt	(938) (2,450
Purchases of Common Stock	(16,626) (18,567
Proceeds from Issuance of Common Stock	5,994	2,798
Excess Tax Benefit on Stock Plans	2,944	1,213
Dividends Paid	(9,918) (9,508
Net Cash Used for Financing Activities	(17,044) (26,514
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	342	1,069
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	11,369	10,360
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	53,940	52,339
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$65,309	\$62,699
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash Paid for Income Taxes	\$8,093	\$10,319
Cash Paid for Interest	\$1,189	\$1,905

Supplemental Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities:

Capital Expenditures Funded Through Capital Leases	\$330	\$847
Capital Expenditures in Accounts Payable	\$873	\$1,676

See accompanying Notes to the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

TENNANT COMPANY

NOTES TO THE CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except shares and per share data)

1. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements have been prepared in accordance with the Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) requirements for interim reporting, which allows certain footnotes and other financial information normally required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America to be condensed or omitted. In our opinion, the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position and results of operations.

These statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. The results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

2. Newly Adopted Accounting Pronouncements

Offsetting Assets and Liabilities Disclosures

In December 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued updated accounting guidance on disclosures about offsetting assets and liabilities. This update adds certain additional disclosure requirements about financial instruments and derivative instruments that are subject to netting arrangements. The new disclosures were required for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after January 1, 2013. This guidance affects disclosure and did not have an impact on our results of operations or financial position.

Testing Intangibles for Impairment

In July 2012, the FASB issued updated accounting guidance on the periodic testing of indefinite-lived intangible assets for impairment. This updated accounting guidance permits us to make a qualitative assessment of whether it is more likely than not that an indefinite-lived intangible asset's fair value is less than its carrying amount before applying the two-step goodwill impairment test. If we determine through this qualitative analysis that it is not more likely than not that the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its carrying value, it is not necessary to calculate annually the fair value of an indefinite-lived intangible asset. This guidance was effective for fiscal periods beginning after September 15, 2012; however, early adoption is permitted. This guidance did not have an impact on our results of operations or financial position as we do not currently hold any indefinite-lived intangible assets.

Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, the FASB issued new disclosure requirements for items reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The requirements do not change the existing accounting and reporting for net income or other comprehensive income. The requirements were effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2012. The requirements did not impact our results of operations or financial position.

Table of Contents

3. Management Actions

2012 Action - During the third quarter of 2012, we implemented a restructuring action. A pre-tax charge of \$760 was recognized in the third quarter as a result of this action. The pre-tax charge consisted primarily of severance and was included within Selling and Administrative Expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability balances is as follows:

	Severance and Related Costs
2012 restructuring action	\$760
Cash payments	(414)
Foreign currency adjustments	27
December 31, 2012 balance	\$373
2013 utilization:	
Cash payments	(310)
Foreign currency adjustments	(2)
September 30, 2013 balance	\$61

2013 Action - During the first quarter of 2013, we implemented a restructuring action. A pre-tax charge of \$1,440 was recognized in the first quarter as a result of this action. The pre-tax charge consisted primarily of severance and was included within Selling and Administrative Expense in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings.

A reconciliation of the beginning and ending liability balances is as follows:

	Severance and Related Costs
2013 restructuring action	\$1,440
Cash payments	(971)
Foreign currency adjustments	32
September 30, 2013 balance	\$501

4. Acquisitions and Divestitures

Acquisitions

On May 31, 2011, we acquired Water Star, Inc. ("Water Star"), a Newbury, Ohio firm specializing in electrochemistry for \$4,456. The total purchase price of \$4,456 was comprised of \$2,956 paid at closing and two \$750 installment payments which were paid in cash on the first and second anniversary dates of the acquisition. The first installment payment was made on May 31, 2012 and the second and final installment payment was made on May 31, 2013. These installment payments were not contingent on any future services or other financial targets. This acquisition is consistent with our strategy to expand our intellectual property in support of our long-term vision to deliver sustainable, breakthrough innovations.

The components of the purchase price of the business combination described above have been allocated as follows:

Current Assets	\$426
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	167
Identified Intangible Asset	3,800
Goodwill	472
Total Assets Acquired	4,865
Current Liabilities	409
Total Liabilities Assumed	409
Net Assets Acquired	\$4,456

Table of Contents

Divestitures

On July 31, 2012, we entered into a Share Purchase Agreement (“SPA”) with M&F Management and Financing GmbH (“M&F”) for the sale of ownership of our subsidiary, Tennant CEE GmbH, and our minority interest in a joint venture, OOO Tennant. In exchange for the ownership of these entities, we received €815, or \$1,014 as of the date of sale, in cash and financed the remaining purchase price of €6,166. A total of €2,126 will be received in equal quarterly payments during 2013, of which €532, or \$720, remains as of September 30, 2013 and the remaining €3,225 will be received in equal installments on the first, second and third anniversary dates of the divestiture of which €2,150, or \$2,909, remains as of September 30, 2013. As a result of this divestiture, we recorded a pre-tax gain of \$784 during the third quarter of 2012 in our Profit from Operations in the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. The first three quarterly and the first anniversary payments were received as of September 30, 2013.

M&F is now a master distributor of Tennant products in the Central Eastern Europe, Middle East and Africa markets. In addition, as further discussed in Note 16, at the time of the transaction, M&F was a related party of ours. We have identified M&F as a variable interest entity (“VIE”) and have performed a qualitative assessment that considered M&F’s purpose and design, our involvement and the risks and benefits and determined that we are not the primary beneficiary of this VIE. The only financing we have provided to M&F was related to the SPA as noted above and there are no arrangements that would require us to provide significant financial support in the future.

The assets and liabilities transferred under the SPA on the date of sale were as follows:

Accounts Receivable	\$4,398
Inventory	4,271
Other Current Assets	87
Current Assets	8,756
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net	170
Total Assets Divested	8,926
Current Liabilities	1,121
Total Liabilities Divested	1,121
Net Assets Divested	\$7,805

5. Inventories

Inventories are valued at the lower of cost or market. Inventories at September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Inventories carried at LIFO:		
Finished goods	\$37,796	\$33,546
Raw materials, production parts and work-in-process	13,555	14,291
LIFO reserve	(27,608) (27,608
Total LIFO inventories	23,743	20,229
Inventories carried at FIFO:		
Finished goods	31,582	25,623
Raw materials, production parts and work-in-process	12,065	12,284
Total FIFO inventories	43,647	37,907
Total inventories	\$67,390	\$58,136

The LIFO reserve approximates the difference between LIFO carrying cost and FIFO.

Table of Contents

6. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The changes in the carrying value of Goodwill for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were as follows:

	Goodwill	Accumulated Impairment Losses	Total
Balance as of December 31, 2012	\$68,585	\$(48,868)) \$19,717
Foreign currency fluctuations	(475)) 4	(471)
Balance as of September 30, 2013	\$68,110	\$(48,864)) \$19,246

The balances of acquired Intangible Assets, excluding Goodwill, as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 were as follows:

	Customer Lists	Trade Name	Technology	Total
Balance as of September 30, 2013				
Original cost	\$23,538	\$4,758	\$7,283	\$35,579
Accumulated amortization	(11,130)) (1,858)) (3,180)) (16,168)
Carrying value	\$12,408	\$2,900	\$4,103	\$19,411
Weighted-average original life (in years)	15	14	13	
Balance as of December 31, 2012				
Original cost	\$23,817	\$4,657	\$7,197	\$35,671
Accumulated amortization	(9,907)) (1,565)) (2,806)) (14,278)
Carrying value	\$13,910	\$3,092	\$4,391	\$21,393
Weighted-average original life (in years)	15	14	13	

Amortization expense on Intangible Assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 was \$633 and \$1,914, respectively. Amortization expense on Intangible Assets for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2012 was \$663 and \$2,095, respectively.

Estimated aggregate amortization expense based on the current carrying value of amortizable Intangible Assets for each of the five succeeding years and thereafter is as follows:

Remaining 2013	\$478
2014	1,912
2015	1,896
2016	1,894
2017	1,863
Thereafter	11,368
Total	\$19,411

Table of Contents

7. Debt

Debt outstanding is summarized as follows:

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
Short-Term Debt:		
Credit facility borrowings	\$1,500	\$—
Long-Term Debt:		
Bank borrowings	12	22
Credit facility borrowings	30,000	30,000
Notes payable	—	750
Collateralized borrowings	13	39
Capital lease obligations	452	1,512
Total Debt	31,977	32,323
Less: Current Portion	(3,935) (2,042
Long-Term Portion	\$28,042	\$30,281

As of September 30, 2013, we had committed lines of credit totaling \$125,000 and uncommitted lines of credit totaling \$87,706. There was \$10,000 in outstanding borrowings under our JPMorgan facility, \$20,000 in outstanding borrowings under our Prudential facility and \$1,500 under the facility with HSBC Shanghai as of September 30, 2013. In addition, we had stand alone letters of credit of \$2,009 outstanding and bank guarantees in the amount of \$492. Commitment fees on unused lines of credit for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were \$230.

Our most restrictive covenants are part of our 2011 Credit Agreement (as defined below) with JPMorgan (as defined below), which are the same covenants in the Shelf Agreement (as defined below) with Prudential (as defined below), and require us to maintain an indebtedness to EBITDA ratio of not greater than 3.00 to 1 and to maintain an EBITDA to interest expense ratio of no less than 3.50 to 1 as of the end of each quarter. As of September 30, 2013, our indebtedness to EBITDA ratio was 0.41 to 1 and our EBITDA to interest expense ratio was 46.53 to 1.

Credit Facilities

JPMorgan Chase Bank, National Association

On April 25, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to our 2011 Credit Agreement which amends the Credit Agreement, dated as of May 5, 2011, with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N. A. (“JPMorgan”), as administrative agent and collateral agent, U.S. Bank National Association, as syndication agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and RBS Citizens, N.A., as co-documentation agents, and the Lenders (including JPMorgan) from time to time party thereto (the “2011 Credit Agreement”). Under the original terms, the 2011 Credit Agreement provides us and certain of our foreign subsidiaries access to a senior unsecured credit facility until May 5, 2016, in the amount of \$125,000, with an option to expand by up to \$62,500 to a total of \$187,500. Borrowings may be denominated in U.S. Dollars or certain other currencies. The 2011 Credit Agreement contains a \$100,000 sublimit on borrowings by foreign subsidiaries.

Under the original terms of the 2011 Credit Agreement, the fee for committed funds ranges from an annual rate of 0.25% to 0.40%, depending on our leverage ratio. Eurocurrency borrowings under the 2011 Credit Agreement bear interest at a rate per annum equal to adjusted LIBOR plus an additional spread of 1.50% to 2.10%, depending on our leverage ratio. Alternate Base Rate (“ABR”) borrowings bear interest at a rate per annum equal to the greatest of (a) the prime rate, (b) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% and (c) the adjusted LIBOR rate for a one month period plus 1.0%, plus, in any such case, an additional spread of 0.50% to 1.10%, depending on our leverage ratio.

Effective April 25, 2013, Amendment No. 1 to the 2011 Credit Agreement principally provides the following changes to the 2011 Credit Agreement:

- extends the maturity date of the 2011 Credit Agreement to March 1, 2018;
- changes the fees for committed funds under the 2011 Credit Agreement to an annual rate ranging from 0.20% to 0.35%, depending on our leverage ratio;
- changes Eurocurrency borrowings per annum to adjusted LIBOR plus an additional spread of 1.30% to 1.90%, depending on our leverage ratio;

Table of Contents

changes the ABR rate at which borrowings bear interest to a rate per annum equal to the greatest of (a) the prime rate, (b) the federal funds rate plus 0.50% and (c) the adjusted LIBOR rate for a one month period plus 1.0%, plus, in any such case, an additional spread of 0.30% to 0.90%, depending on our leverage ratio; and changes related to new or recently revised financial regulations.

The 2011 Credit Agreement gives the lenders a pledge of 65% of the stock of certain first tier foreign subsidiaries.

The obligations under the 2011 Credit Agreement are also guaranteed by certain first tier domestic subsidiaries.

The 2011 Credit Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants, including but not limited to covenants restricting our ability to incur indebtedness and liens and merge or consolidate with another entity.

Further, the 2011 Credit Agreement contains the following covenants:

- a covenant requiring us to maintain an indebtedness to EBITDA ratio as of the end of each quarter of not greater than 3.00 to 1;

- a covenant requiring us to maintain an EBITDA to interest expense ratio as of the end of each quarter of no less than 3.50 to 1;

- a covenant restricting us from paying dividends or repurchasing stock if, after giving effect to such payments, our leverage ratio is greater than 2.00 to 1, in such case limiting such payments to an amount ranging from \$50,000 to \$75,000 during any fiscal year based on our leverage ratio after giving effect to such payments; and

- a covenant restricting our ability to make acquisitions, if, after giving pro-forma effect to such acquisition, our leverage ratio is greater than 2.75 to 1, in such case limiting acquisitions to \$25,000.

As of September 30, 2013, we were in compliance with all covenants under the 2011 Credit Agreement. There was \$10,000 in outstanding borrowings under this facility at September 30, 2013, with a weighted average interest rate of 1.49%.

Prudential Investment Management, Inc.

On May 5, 2011, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to our Private Shelf Agreement (“Amendment No. 1”), which amends the Private Shelf Agreement, dated as of July 29, 2009, with Prudential Investment Management, Inc.

(“Prudential”) and Prudential affiliates from time to time party thereto (the “Shelf Agreement”). The Shelf Agreement provides us and our subsidiaries access to an uncommitted, senior unsecured, maximum aggregate principal amount of \$80,000 of debt capital.

Amendment No. 1 principally provides the following changes to the Shelf Agreement:

- elimination of the security interest in our personal property and subsidiaries;

- an amendment to the Maximum Leverage Ratio to not greater than 3.00 to 1 for any period ending on or after March 31, 2011;

- an amendment to our restriction regarding the payment of dividends or repurchase of stock to restrict us from paying dividends or repurchasing stock if, after giving effect to such payments, our leverage ratio is greater than 2.00 to 1, in such case limiting such payments to an amount ranging from \$50,000 to \$75,000 during any fiscal year based on our leverage ratio after giving effect to such payments; and

- an amendment to Permitted Acquisitions restricting our ability to make acquisitions, if, after giving pro-forma effect to such acquisition, our leverage ratio is greater than 2.75 to 1, in such case limiting acquisitions to \$25,000.

On July 24, 2012, we entered into Amendment No. 2 to our Private Shelf Agreement (“Amendment No. 2”), which amends the Shelf Agreement. The principal change effected by Amendment No. 2 is an extension of the Issuance Period for Shelf Notes under the Shelf Agreement. The Issuance Period now expires on July 24, 2015.

As of September 30, 2013, there was \$20,000 in outstanding borrowings under this facility, consisting of the \$10,000 Series A notes issued in March 2011 with a fixed interest rate of 4.00% and a 7 year term serially maturing from 2014 to 2018 and the \$10,000 Series B notes issued in June 2011 with a fixed interest rate of 4.10% and a 10 year term serially maturing from 2015 to 2021. We were in compliance with all covenants under the Shelf Agreement as of September 30, 2013.

The Royal Bank of Scotland Citizens, N.A.

On September 14, 2010, we entered into an overdraft facility with The Royal Bank of Scotland Citizens, N.A. in the amount of 2,000 Euros, or approximately \$2,706. There was no balance outstanding on this facility as of September 30, 2013.

Table of Contents

HSBC Bank (China) Company Limited, Shanghai Branch

On June 20, 2012, we entered into a banking facility with the HSBC Bank (China) Company Limited, Shanghai Branch in the amount of \$5,000. There was \$1,500 in outstanding borrowings on this facility as of September 30, 2013, with a fixed interest rate of 4.4%.

Notes Payable

On May 31, 2011, we incurred \$1,500 in debt related to installment payments due to the former owners of Water Star in connection with our acquisition of Water Star, of which none remains outstanding as of September 30, 2013.

8. Warranty

We record a liability for warranty claims at the time of sale. The amount of the liability is based on the trend in the historical ratio of claims to sales, the historical length of time between the sale and resulting warranty claim, new product introductions and other factors. Warranty terms on machines generally range from one to four years.

The changes in warranty reserves for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30	
	2013	2012
Beginning balance	\$9,357	\$8,759
Additions charged to expense	8,239	9,384
Reserve divested	—	(236)
Foreign currency fluctuations	(50)	(37)
Claims paid	(7,704)	(8,647)
Ending balance	\$9,842	\$9,223

9. Fair Value Measurements

Estimates of fair value for financial assets and financial liabilities are based on the framework established in the accounting guidance for fair value measurements. The framework defines fair value, provides guidance for measuring fair value and requires certain disclosures. The framework discusses valuation techniques, such as the market approach (comparable market prices), the income approach (present value of future income or cash flow) and the cost approach (cost to replace the service capacity of an asset or replacement cost). The framework utilizes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value into three broad levels. The following is a brief description of those three levels:

Level 1: Observable inputs such as quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets and quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions.

Our population of assets and liabilities subject to fair value measurements at September 30, 2013 is as follows:

	Fair Value	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
Assets:				
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	\$18	\$—	\$18	\$—
Total Assets	\$18	\$—	\$18	\$—
Liabilities:				
Foreign currency forward exchange contracts	\$534	\$—	\$534	\$—
Total Liabilities	\$534	\$—	\$534	\$—

Our foreign currency forward exchange contracts are valued based on quoted forward foreign exchange prices at the reporting date.

Table of Contents

We use derivative instruments to manage exposures to foreign currency only in an attempt to limit underlying exposures from currency fluctuations and not for trading purposes. Gains or losses on forward foreign exchange contracts that hedge foreign currency-denominated assets and liabilities are recognized in Other Current Assets and Other Current Liabilities within the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and are recognized in Other Income (Expense), Net under Net Foreign Currency Transaction Losses within the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings. As of September 30, 2013, the fair value of such contracts outstanding was an asset of \$18 and a liability of \$534. As of September 30, 2012, the fair value of such contracts outstanding was an asset of \$53 and a liability of \$169. We recognized a net gain of \$969 and a net gain of \$1,059 on these contracts during the first nine months of 2013 and 2012, respectively. At September 30, 2013 and 2012, the notional amounts of foreign currency forward exchange contracts outstanding were \$40,902 and \$39,814, respectively.

The carrying amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets for Cash and Cash Equivalents, Accounts Receivable, Other Current Assets, Accounts Payable and Other Current Liabilities approximate fair value. The fair value of our Long-Term Debt approximates cost based on the borrowing rates currently available to us for bank loans with similar terms and remaining maturities.

Warrants issued with secured

12% notes			170,128			170,128
Net loss				(4,268,858)		(4,268,858)
Balance at December 31, 2008	70,624,232	70,624	54,821,379	(59,267,928)	-	(4,375,925)
Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle			(150,000)	127,778		(22,222)
Balance at January 1, 2009, as adjusted	70,624,232	70,624	54,671,379	(59,140,150)	-	(4,398,147)
Share-based compensation			104,097			104,097
Warrants issued with secured						
12% notes			53,044			53,044
Net loss				(761,844)		(761,844)
Balance at March 31, 2009	70,624,232	\$ 70,624	\$ 54,828,520	\$ (59,901,994)	\$ -	\$ (5,002,850)

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
(A Development Stage Company)
Condensed Statements of Cash Flows
(Unaudited)

	Three months ended March 31,		Cumulative period from August 6, 2001 (inception) to March 31, 2009
	2009	2008	
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (761,844)	\$ (1,579,347)	\$ (58,722,350)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Equity in losses of Hedrin JV	135,786	19,873	385,786
Share-based compensation	104,097	192,854	3,932,970
Interest and amortization of OID and issue costs on Secured 12% Notes	119,060	-	157,634
Change in fair value of derivative	70,000	-	(57,778)
Shares issued in connection with in-licensing agreement	-	-	232,500
Warrants issued to consultant	-	-	83,670
Amortization of intangible assets	-	-	145,162
Gain on sale of marketable equity securities	-	-	(76,032)
Depreciation	1,576	7,912	223,506
Non cash portion of in-process research and development charge	-	-	11,721,623
Loss on impairment and disposition of intangible assets	-	-	2,462,108
Other	-	-	23,917
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of acquisitions:			
Decrease/(increase) in restricted cash	215,870	-	(514,629)
Decrease/(increase) in prepaid expenses and other current assets	(14,850)	(17,693)	5,678
Decrease/(increase) in other assets	-	-	(49,895)
Increase/(decrease) in accounts payable	(397,820)	(293,262)	564,689
Increase/(decrease) in accrued expenses	(117,672)	208,038	216,079
Net cash used in operating activities	(645,797)	(1,461,625)	(39,265,362)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Purchase of property and equipment	-	(13,620)	(239,608)
Cash paid in connection with acquisitions	-	-	(26,031)
Net cash provided from the purchase and sale of short-term investments	-	-	435,938
Proceeds from sale of license	-	-	200,001
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	-	(13,620)	370,300
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Proceeds from the Hedrin JV agreement	500,000	1,958,683	3,199,176
Sale of warrant	-	-	150,000
Repayment of Secured 10% Notes	(70,000)	-	-
Proceeds from sale of Secured 12% Notes	340,270	-	1,345,413
Repayments of notes payable to stockholders	-	-	(884,902)

Edgar Filing: TENNANT CO - Form 10-Q

Proceeds (costs) related to sale of common stock, net	-	-	25,896,262
Proceeds from sale of preferred stock, net	-	-	9,046,176
Proceeds from exercise of warrants and stock options	-	-	138,219
Other, net	-	-	235,214
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	770,270	1,958,683	39,125,558
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	124,473	483,438	230,496
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	106,023	649,686	-
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 230,496	\$ 1,133,124	\$ 230,496
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:			
Interest paid	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 26,033
Supplemental disclosure of noncash investing and financing activities:			
Investment in Hedrin JV	\$ 500,000	\$ 250,000	\$ 750,000
Warrants issued with Secured 12% Notes	53,044	-	223,172
Common stock issued in satisfaction of accounts payable	-	-	770,000
Imputed and accrued preferred stock dividend	-	-	1,179,644
Conversion of preferred stock to common stock	-	-	1,067
Preferred stock dividends paid by issuance of shares	-	-	759,134
Issuance of common stock for acquisitions	-	-	13,389,226
Issuance of common stock in connection with in-licensing agreement	-	-	232,500
Marketable equity securities received in connection with sale of license	-	-	359,907
Warrants issued to consultant	-	-	83,670
Net liabilities assumed over assets acquired in business combination	-	-	(675,416)
Cashless exercise of warrants	-	-	33

See accompanying notes to financial statements.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Manhattan” or the “Company”) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, the unaudited condensed financial statements do not include all information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed financial statements reflect all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for a fair presentation. Interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2009 or for any other interim period. These unaudited condensed financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008, which are included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for such year. The condensed balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 has been derived from the audited financial statements included in the Form 10-K for that year.

As of March 31, 2009, the Company has not generated any revenues from the development of its products and is therefore still considered to be a development stage company.

Segment Reporting

The Company has determined that it operates in only one segment currently, which is biopharmaceutical research and development.

Income Taxes

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted the provisions of Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Interpretation No. 48 (“FIN 48”), “Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB No. 109”. The implementation of FIN 48 had no impact on the Company’s financial statements as the Company has no unrecognized tax benefits. The Company’s policy is to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense.

Equity in Joint Venture

The Company accounts for its investment in joint venture (see Note 6) using the equity method of accounting. Under the equity method, the Company records its pro-rata share of joint venture income or losses and adjusts the basis of its investment accordingly.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 160, “Noncontrolling interest in Consolidated Financial Statements” (“SFAS 160”). SFAS 160 requires all entities to report noncontrolling (minority) interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation

and expands disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of SFAS 160 did not have any impact on the Company's financial statements.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In February 2008, the FASB issued two Staff Positions on SFAS 157: (1) FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-1 ("FAS 157-1"), "Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement Under Statement 13," and (2) FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2 ("FAS 157-2"), "Effective Date of FASB Statement No 157." FAS 157-1 excludes SFAS 13, "Accounting for Leases", as well as other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements on lease classification or measurement under SFAS 13, from SFAS 157's scope. FAS157-2 partially defers SFAS 157's effective date. The adoption of FAS 157-1 and FAS 157-2 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FAS") No. 157-3 "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active" ("FAS 157-3"), which is effective upon issuance for all financial statements that have not been issued. FAS 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS 157, in a market that is not active. FAS 157-3 did not have any impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161 "Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 amends SFAS 133 by requiring expanded disclosures about an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments. SFAS 161 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 161 did not have any impact on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, "Business Combinations" ("SFAS 141R"). The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles with international accounting standards. SFAS 141R applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The adoption of SFAS 141(R) did not have any impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 07-5, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or an Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock" ("EITF 07-5"). EITF 07-5 provides that an entity should use a two step approach to evaluate whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to its own stock, including evaluating the instrument's contingent exercise and settlement provisions. It also clarifies the impact of foreign currency denominated strike prices and market-based employee stock option valuation instruments on the evaluation. EITF 07-5 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of EITF 07-5 had an impact on the Company's financial statements (see Note 10 to our financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2009).

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP No. 157-4, "Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly," ("FSP FAS 157-4") which provides guidance on determining when there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability, when a transaction is not orderly, and how that information must be incorporated into a fair value measurement. FSP SFAS 157-4 also requires expanded disclosures on valuation techniques and inputs and specifies the level of aggregation required for all quantitative disclosures. The provisions of FSP SFAS 157-4 are effective for the Company's quarter ending June 30, 2009. The Company does not expect this FSP to have a material impact on its financial statements.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP SFAS No. 115-2 and No. 124-2, "Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments," which makes the guidance on other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities more operational and requires additional disclosures when a company records an other-than-temporary impairment. FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. We will be required to adopt the principles of FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 in the second quarter of 2009. We do not expect the adoption to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

2. LIQUIDITY

The Company incurred a net loss of \$761,844 and negative cash flows from operating activities of \$645,797 for the three month period ended March 31, 2009 and \$1,579,347 and negative cash flows from operating activities of \$1,461,625 for the three month period ended March 31, 2008. The net loss applicable to common shares from date of inception, August 6, 2001, to March 31, 2009 amounts to \$59,901,994.

The Company received approximately \$1.8 million in February 2008, approximately \$0.9 million in June 2008 and \$0.5 million in February 2009 from a joint venture agreement. This joint venture agreement is more fully described in Note 6. The Company received \$70,000 in Secured 10% Notes in September 2008 which was repaid in full in February 2009. The Company received \$1.0 million in November and December 2008 and \$0.3 million in February 2009 from the sale of Secured 12% Notes. These notes are more fully described in Notes 7 and 8.

Management believes that the Company will continue to incur net losses through at least March 31, 2010 and for the foreseeable future thereafter. Based on the resources of the Company available at March 31, 2009, management believes that the Company has sufficient capital to fund its operations through the end of 2009. Management believes that the Company will need additional equity or debt financing or will need to generate positive cash flow from a joint venture agreement, see Note 6, or generate revenues through licensing of its products or entering into strategic alliances to be able to sustain its operations into 2010. Furthermore, the Company will need additional financing thereafter to complete development and commercialization of our products. There can be no assurances that we can successfully complete development and commercialization of our products.

The Company's continued operations will depend on its ability to raise additional funds through various potential sources such as equity and debt financing, collaborative agreements, strategic alliances and its ability to realize the full potential of its technology in development. Additional funds may not become available on acceptable terms, and there can be no assurance that any additional funding that the Company does obtain will be sufficient to meet the Company's needs in the long-term.

These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

3. COMPUTATION OF NET LOSS PER COMMON SHARE

Basic net loss per common share is calculated by dividing net loss applicable to common shares by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net loss per common share is the same as basic net loss per common share, since potentially dilutive securities from stock options and stock warrants would have an antidilutive effect because the Company incurred a net loss during each period presented. The amounts of potentially dilutive securities excluded from the calculation were 95,358,343 and 19,685,161 shares at March 31,

2009 and 2008, respectively. These amounts do not include the 55,555,555 shares issuable upon the exercise of the put or call rights issued in connection with the Hedrin JV (see Note 6) which were subject to anti-dilution rights upon the issuance of warrants with the Secured 12% Notes (see Note 8).

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

4. SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), "Share-Based Payment," ("Statement 123(R)") for employee options using the modified prospective transition method. Statement 123(R) revised Statement 123 to eliminate the option to use the intrinsic value method and required the Company to expense the fair value of all employee options over the vesting period. Under the modified prospective transition method, the Company recognized compensation cost for the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 which includes a) period compensation cost related to share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested, as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of Statement 123; and b) period compensation cost related to share-based payments granted on or after January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with Statement 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective method, the Company has not restated prior period results.

The Company recognizes compensation expense related to stock option grants on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. For the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recognized share-based employee compensation cost of \$104,097 and \$192,854, respectively, in accordance with Statement 123(R). The Company did not capitalize any share-based compensation cost.

Options granted to consultants and other non-employees are accounted for in accordance with EITF No. 96-18 "Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods or Services". Accordingly, such options are recorded at fair value at the date of grant and subsequently adjusted to fair value at the end of each reporting period until such options vest, and the fair value of the options, as adjusted, is amortized to consulting expense over the related vesting period. As a result of adjusting consultant and other non-employee options to fair value as of March 31, 2009 and 2008 respectively, net of amortization, the Company recognized an increase to general and administrative and research and development expenses of \$378 for the three month period ended March 31, 2009 and an increase to general and administrative and research and development expenses of \$546 for the three month period ended March 31, 2008. The Company has allocated share-based compensation costs to general and administrative and research and development expenses as follows:

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
General and administrative expense:		
Share-based employee compensation cost	\$ 103,719	\$ 140,043
Share-based consultant and non-employee cost	38	-
	103,757	140,043
Research and development expense		
Share-based employee compensation cost	-	52,265
Share-based consultant and non-employee cost	340	546
	340	52,811
Total share-based cost	\$ 104,097	\$ 192,854

To compute compensation expense in 2009 and 2008 the Company estimated the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes model. The Company based the expected volatility assumption on a volatility index of peer companies as the Company did not have a sufficient number of years of historical volatility of its common stock for the application of Statement 123 (R). The expected term of options granted represents the

period of time that options are expected to be outstanding. The Company estimated the expected term of stock options by the simplified method as prescribed in Staff Accounting Bulletin Nos. 107 and 110. The expected forfeiture rates are based on the historical employee forfeiture experiences. To determine the risk-free interest rate, the Company utilized the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant with a term consistent with the expected term of the Company's awards. The Company has not declared a dividend on its common stock since its inception and has no intentions of declaring a dividend in the foreseeable future and therefore used a dividend yield of zero.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following table shows the weighted average assumptions the Company used to develop the fair value estimates for the determination of the compensation charges in 2009 and 2008:

	Three months ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Expected volatility	94%	93%
Dividend yield	-	-
Expected term (in years)	6	6
Risk-free interest rate	1.88%	2.81

The Company has shareholder-approved incentive stock option plans for employees under which it has granted non-qualified and incentive stock options. In December 2003, the Company established the 2003 Stock Option Plan (the "2003 Plan"), which provided for the granting of up to 5,400,000 options to officers, directors, employees and consultants for the purchase of stock. In August 2005, the Company increased the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2003 Plan by 2,000,000 shares. In May 2007, the Company increased the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2003 Plan by 3,000,000 shares. At March 31, 2009, 10,400,000 shares were authorized for issuance. The options have a maximum term of 10 years and vest over a period determined by the Company's Board of Directors (generally 3 years) and are issued at an exercise price equal to or greater than the fair market value of the shares at the date of grant. The 2003 Plan expires on December 10, 2013 or when all options have been granted, whichever is sooner. At March 31, 2009, options to purchase 9,496,596 shares were outstanding, 27,776 shares of common stock were issued and there were 875,628 shares reserved for future grants under the 2003 Plan.

In July 1995, the Company established the 1995 Stock Option Plan (the "1995 Plan"), which provided for the granting of options to purchase up to 130,000 shares of the Company's common stock to officers, directors, employees and consultants. The 1995 Plan was amended several times to increase the number of shares reserved for stock option grants. In June 2005 the 1995 Plan expired and no further options can be granted. At March 31, 2009 options to purchase 1,137,240 shares were outstanding and no shares were reserved for future stock option grants under the 1995 Plan.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

A summary of the status of the Company's stock options as of March 31, 2009 and changes during the period then ended is presented below:

	Shares	Weighted average exercise price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	10,633,836	\$ 0.938		
Granted	-			
Exercised	-			
Cancelled	-			
Outstanding at March 31, 2009	10,633,836	\$ 0.938	6.690	\$ -
Exercisable at March 31, 2009	9,108,010	\$ 1.022	6.370	\$ -
Weighted-average fair value of options granted during the three month period ended March 31, 2009	None issued			

As of March 31, 2009, the total compensation cost related to nonvested option awards not yet recognized is \$321,569. The weighted average period over which it is expected to be recognized is approximately 1 year.

5. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Swiss Pharma

The Company has been involved in an arbitration proceeding in Switzerland with Swiss Pharma Contract LTD ("Swiss Pharma"), a clinical site that the Company used in one of its obesity trials. On September 5, 2008, the sole arbitrator in Switzerland rendered an award in favor of Swiss Pharma, awarding to Swiss Pharma a total of approximately \$646,000 which amount includes a contract penalty of approximately \$323,000, a final services invoice of approximately \$48,000, reimbursement of certain of Swiss Pharma's legal and other expenses incurred in the arbitration process of approximately \$245,000, reimbursement of arbitration costs of approximately \$13,000 and interest through September 5, 2008 of approximately \$17,000. Further, the arbitrator ruled that the Company must pay interest of 5% per annum on approximately \$371,000, the sum of the contract penalty of approximately \$323,000 and the final services invoice of approximately \$48,000, from October 12, 2007 until paid.

The Company had previously recognized a liability to Swiss Pharma in the amount of approximately \$104,000 for the final services invoice. The remainder of the award, approximately \$542,000, was expensed in September 2008. The Company will continue to accrue interest at 5% per annum on the approximate \$371,000 until such amount has been settled.

The Company does not have sufficient cash or other current assets to satisfy the arbitrator's award.

On January 22, 2009, the Company received notice that Swiss Pharma submitted a petition to the Supreme Court of New York State, County of New York seeking to confirm and to enter a judgment on the arbitration award. On February 17, 2009, the Company filed an answer to that complaint. A hearing has not yet been scheduled.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Employment Agreement

The Company has an employment agreement with one employee for the payment of an annual base salary of \$300,000 as well as performance based bonuses. This agreement has a remaining term of three months and a remaining obligation of \$83,000 as of March 31, 2009. As per the terms of the Secured 12% Notes sold in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009 management, comprised of the two employees, including one under contract, has agreed to reduce their salaries effective as of October 1, 2008. If the Company sells at least \$1.5 million but less than \$2 million of Secured 12% Notes then their salaries shall be reduced by 20%. The Company sold \$1.725 million of Secured 12% Notes, management therefore was paid 80% of their salaries during the fourth quarter of 2008. Also as per the terms of the Secured 12% Notes the reduction in management's salaries shall be reduced to 10% if the Company realizes gross proceeds of \$500,000 or more from other sources and there will be no reduction if the Company realizes gross proceeds of \$1,000,000 or more from other sources. In February 2009 the Company received a \$500,000 milestone payment from the Hedrin JV; therefore management's salaries are currently reduced by 10%.

6. JOINT VENTURE

In February 2008, the Company and Nordic Biotech Advisors ApS through its investment fund Nordic Biotech Venture Fund II K/S ("Nordic") entered into a 50/50 joint venture agreement (the "Hedrin JV Agreement") to develop and commercialize the Company's North American rights (under license) to its Hedrin product.

Pursuant to the Hedrin JV Agreement, Nordic formed a new Danish limited partnership, Hedrin Pharmaceuticals K/S, (the "Hedrin JV") and provided it with initial funding of \$2.5 million and the Company assigned and transferred its North American rights in Hedrin to the Hedrin JV in return for a \$2.0 million cash payment from the Hedrin JV and equity in the Hedrin JV representing 50% of the nominal equity interests in the Hedrin JV. At closing the Company recognized an investment in the Hedrin JV of \$250,000 and an exchange obligation of \$2,054,630. The exchange obligation represents the Company's obligation to Nordic to issue the Company's common stock in exchange for all or a portion of Nordic's equity interest in the Hedrin JV upon the exercise by Nordic of the put issued to Nordic in the Hedrin JV Agreement transaction. The put is described below.

The original terms of the Hedrin JV Agreement also provided that should the Hedrin JV be successful in achieving a payment milestone, namely that by September 30, 2008, the FDA determines to treat Hedrin as a medical device, Nordic will purchase an additional \$2.5 million of equity in the Hedrin JV, whereupon the Hedrin JV will pay the Company an additional \$1.5 million in cash and issue additional equity in the JV valued at \$2.5 million, thereby maintaining the Company's 50% ownership interest in the Hedrin JV. These terms have been amended as described below.

In June 2008, the Hedrin JV Agreement was amended (the "Hedrin JV Amended Agreement"). Under the amended terms Nordic invested an additional \$1.0 million, for a total of \$3.5 million, in the Hedrin JV and made an advance of \$250,000 to the Hedrin JV and the Hedrin JV made an additional \$1.0 million payment, for a total of \$3.0 million, to the Company. The Hedrin JV also distributed additional ownership equity sufficient for each of the Company and Nordic to maintain their ownership interest at 50%. The FDA classified Hedrin as a Class III medical device in February 2009. Under the amended terms, upon attaining this classification of Hedrin by the FDA, Nordic invested an additional \$1.25 million, for a total investment of \$5 million, into the Hedrin JV, the Hedrin JV paid an additional \$0.5 million, for a total of \$3.5 million, to the Company and the \$250,000 that Nordic advanced to the Hedrin JV in June became an equity investment in the Hedrin JV by Nordic. The Hedrin JV is now obligated to issue to the

Company and Nordic additional ownership interest in the Hedrin JV, thereby maintaining each of the Company's and Nordic's 50% ownership interest in the Hedrin JV.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In February 2009, the Company's exchange obligation increased by \$1,000,000 and the Company's investment in the Hedrin JV increased by \$500,000 as a result of the investment by Nordic of an additional \$1.25 million into the Hedrin JV, the reclassification of the advance made by Nordic in June 2008 to the Hedrin JV of \$250,000 into an equity interest and the payment of \$500,000 by the Hedrin JV to the Company. At March 31, 2009, the Company's exchange obligation is \$3,949,176.

During the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company recognized \$135,786 and \$19,873, respectively, of equity in the losses of the Hedrin JV. This reduced the carrying value of its investment in the Hedrin JV to \$364,214 at March 31, 2009. As of March 31, 2009, the Hedrin JV had cumulative losses since inception of \$771,572, the Company's share of the losses is \$385,786, equity in losses of Hedrin JV previous recognized was \$250,000 leaving a \$135,786 share of the cumulative losses of the Hedrin JV to be recognized by the Company at March 31, 2009.

Nordic has an option to put all or a portion of its equity interest in the Hedrin JV to the Company in exchange for the Company's common stock. The shares of the Company's common stock to be issued upon exercise of the put will be calculated by multiplying the percentage of Nordic's equity in the Hedrin JV that Nordic decides to put to the Company multiplied by the dollar amount of Nordic's investment in Limited Partnership divided by \$0.09, as adjusted from time to time. The put option is exercisable immediately and expires at the earlier of ten years or when Nordic's distributions from the Limited Hedrin JV exceed five times the amount Nordic invested in the Hedrin JV.

The Company has an option to call all or a portion of Nordic's equity interest in the Hedrin JV in exchange for the Company's common stock. The Company cannot begin to exercise its call until the price of the Company's common stock has closed at or above \$1.40 per share for 30 consecutive trading days. During the first 30 consecutive trading day period in which the Company's common stock closes at or above \$1.40 per share the Company can exercise up to 25% of its call option. During the second 30 consecutive trading day period in which the Company's common stock closes at or above \$1.40 per share the Company can exercise up to 50% of its call option on a cumulative basis. During the third 30 consecutive trading day period in which the Company's common stock closes at or above \$1.40 per share the Company can exercise up to 75% of its call option on a cumulative basis. During the fourth 30 consecutive trading day period in which the Company's common stock closes at or above \$1.40 per share the Company can exercise up to 100% of its call option on a cumulative basis. The shares of the Company's common stock to be issued upon exercise of the call will be calculated by multiplying the percentage of Nordic's equity in the Limited Partnership that the Company calls, as described above, multiplied by the dollar amount of Nordic's investment in the Hedrin JV divided by \$0.09. Nordic can refuse the Company's call by either paying the Company up to \$1.5 million or forfeiting all or a portion of their put, calculated on a pro rata basis for the percentage of the Nordic equity interest called by the Company.

The Hedrin JV is responsible for the development and commercialization of Hedrin for the North American market and all associated costs including clinical trials, if required, regulatory costs, patent costs, and future milestone payments owed to T&R, the licensor of Hedrin.

The Hedrin JV has engaged the Company to provide management services to the Limited Partnership in exchange for a management fee. For the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company has recognized \$108,845 and \$51,496, respectively, of other income from management fees earned from the Hedrin JV which is included in the Company's condensed statements of operations for the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 as a component of interest and other income.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Nordic paid to the Company a non-refundable fee of \$150,000 at the closing for the right to receive a warrant covering 11.1 million shares of the Company's common stock, as adjusted due to the 12% Notes Transaction, see note 11, exercisable for \$0.09 per share, as adjusted due to the 12% Notes Transaction, see note 11. The warrant is issuable 90 days from closing, provided Nordic has not exercised all or a part of its put, as described below. The Company issued the warrant to Nordic on April 30, 2008. The per share exercise price of the warrant was initially based on the volume weighted average price of the Company's common stock for the period prior to the signing of the Hedrin JV Agreement and has been subsequently adjusted due to the 12% Notes Transaction, see Note 8.

The Hedrin JV's Board consists of 4 members, 2 appointed by the Company and 2 appointed by Nordic. Nordic has the right to appoint one of the directors as chairman of the Board. The chairman has certain tie breaking powers.

Nordic has the right to nominate a person to serve on the Company's Board of Directors. Nordic has nominated a person, however, that person has declined to stand for appointment to the Company's Board of Directors.

The Company granted Nordic registration rights for the shares to be issued upon exercise of the warrant, the put or the call. The Company filed an initial registration statement on May 1, 2008. The registration statement was declared effective on October 15, 2008. The Company is required to file additional registration statements, if required, within 45 days of the date the Company first knows that such additional registration statement was required. The Company is required to use commercially reasonable efforts to cause the additional registration statements to be declared effective by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") within 105 calendar days from the filing date (the "Effective Date"). If the Company fails to file a registration statement on time or if a registration statement is not declared effective by the SEC within 105 days of filing the Company will be required to pay to Nordic, or its assigns, an amount in cash, as partial liquidated damages, equal to 0.5% per month of the amount invested in the Hedrin JV by Nordic until the registration statement is declared effective by the SEC. In no event shall the aggregate amount payable by the Company exceed 9% of the amount invested in the Hedrin JV by Nordic.

The Company was required to file an additional registration statement with 45 days of Nordic's investment of an additional \$1.25 million in the Hedrin JV in February 2009. The Company did not meet this requirement as it had our registration statements pending. The Company has requested a waiver until May 31, 2009 of Nordic's registration rights in order to meet this obligation. Nordic has verbally agreed to the waiver.

The profits of the Hedrin JV will be shared by the Company and Nordic in accordance with their respective equity interests in the Limited Partnership, which are currently 50% to each, except that Nordic will get a minimum distribution from the Hedrin JV equal to 5% on Hedrin sales, as adjusted for any change in Nordic's equity interest in the Limited Partnership. If the Hedrin JV realizes a profit equal to or greater than a 10% royalty on Hedrin sales, then profits will be shared by the Company and Nordic in accordance with their respective equity interests in the Limited Partnership. However, in the event of a liquidation of the Limited Partnership, Nordic's distribution in liquidation will be at least equal to the amount Nordic invested in the Hedrin JV (\$5 million) plus 10% per year, less the cumulative distributions received by Nordic from the Hedrin JV. Further, in no event shall Nordic's distribution in liquidation be greater than assets available for distribution in liquidation.

7. SECURED 10% NOTES PAYABLE

In September 2008, Manhattan entered into a series of Secured 10% Notes (the "Secured 10% Notes") with certain of our directors, officers and an employee (the "Secured 10% Note Holders") for aggregate of \$70,000. Principal and

interest on the Secured 10% Notes shall be paid in cash on March 10, 2009 unless paid earlier by us. Pursuant to the Secured 10% Notes, we also issued to the Secured 10% Note Holders 5-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 140,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.20 per share. Manhattan granted to the Secured 10% Note Holders a continuing security interest in certain specific refunds, deposits and repayments due Manhattan and expected to be repaid to Manhattan in the next several months. At December 31, 2008 accrued and unpaid interest on the Secured 10% Notes amounted to \$1,764 and is reflected in the accompanying balance sheet as of December 31, 2008 as a component of accrued expenses. The Secured 10% Notes plus interest were repaid on February 4, 2009.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

8. SECURED 12% NOTES PAYABLE

On November 19, 2008, December 23, 2008 and February 3, 2009, the Company completed the first, second and final closings on a financing transaction (the "12% Notes Transaction"). The Company sold \$1,725,000 of 12% senior secured notes (the "Secured 12% Notes") and issued warrants to the investors to purchase 57.5 million shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.09 per share. The warrants expire on December 31, 2013. Net proceeds of \$1.4 million were realized from the three closings. In addition, \$78,000 of issuance costs were paid outside of the closings. Per the terms of the 12% Notes Transaction the net proceeds were paid into a deposit account (the "Deposit Account") and are to be paid out to the Company in monthly installments of \$113,300 retroactive to October 1, 2008 and a one-time payment of \$200,000. Per the terms of the 12% Notes Transaction the monthly installments are to be used exclusively to fund the current operating expenses of the Company and the one-time payment was to be used for trade payables incurred prior to October 1, 2008. The Company received \$362,000 of such monthly installments and the one-time payment of \$200,000 during the three month period ended March 31, 2009. The remaining balance in the Deposit Account at March 31, 2009 of approximately \$515,000 is reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as of March 31, 2009 as restricted cash.

National Securities Corporation ("National") was the placement agent for the 12% Notes Transaction. National's compensation for acting as placement agent is a cash fee of 10% of the gross proceeds received, a non-accountable expense allowance of 1.5% of the gross proceeds, reimbursement of certain expenses and a warrant to purchase such number of shares of the Company's common stock equal to 15% of the shares underlying the warrants issued to the investors. The Company paid National a total of \$202,000 in placement agent fees, a non-accountable expense allowance and reimbursement of certain expenses, of which \$47,000 was paid during the three month period ended March 31, 2009. In addition, the Company issued warrants to purchase 8.6 million shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.09 per share. These warrants were valued at \$29,110 and are a component of Secured 12% notes payable issue costs. The warrants expire on December 31, 2013.

The Secured 12% Notes mature two years after issuance. Interest on the Secured 12% Notes is compounded quarterly and payable at maturity. At March 31, 2009, accrued and unpaid interest on the Secured 12% Notes amounted to approximately \$63,000 and is reflected in the accompanying balance sheet at March 31, 2009 as interest payable on secured 12% notes payable. The Secured 12% Notes are secured by a pledge of all of the Company's assets except for its investment in the Hedrin JV. The asset pledge includes the cash balance in the Deposit Account. In addition, to provide additional security for the Company's obligations under the notes, the Company entered into a default agreement, which provides that upon an event of default under the notes, the Company shall, at the request of the holders of the notes, use reasonable commercial efforts to either (i) sell a part or all of the Company's interests in the Hedrin joint venture or (ii) transfer all or part of the Company's interest in the Hedrin JV to the holders of the notes, as necessary, in order to fulfill the Company's obligations under the notes, to the extent required and to the extent permitted by the applicable Hedrin joint venture agreements.

In connection with the private placement, the Company, the placement agent and the investors entered into a registration rights agreement. Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, we agreed to file a registration statement to register the resale of the shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants issued to the investors in the private placement, within 20 days of the final closing date and to cause the registration statement to be declared effective within 90 days (or 120 days upon full review by the Securities and Exchange Commission). During the three month period ended March 31, 2009 we filed the registration statement, received a comment letter from the SEC and responded to the comment letter from the SEC. The registration statement was declared effective on April 17, 2009.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The issuance to the investors of warrants to purchase shares of the Company's common stock at \$0.09 per share changes the number of shares represented by the Nordic Put and the number of shares and exercise price of the Nordic Warrant. The Nordic Put and Nordic Warrant were issued at a value of \$0.14 per share and were issued with anti-dilution rights. The issuance of any securities at a value of less than \$0.14 per share activates Nordic's anti-dilution rights. The Nordic Put and the Nordic Warrant are now exercisable at a price of \$0.09 per share. The following table shows the effect of Nordic's anti-dilution rights.

	Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Nordic's Put	Additional Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Nordic's Put, if Certain Conditions Are Met	Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Nordic's Warrant	Total Shares Issuable Upon Exercise of Nordic's Put and Warrant
Before the 12% Notes Transaction	26,785,714	8,928,572	7,142,857	42,857,143
Antidilution shares	14,880,953	4,960,317	3,968,254	23,809,524
After the 12% Notes Transaction	41,666,667	13,888,889	11,111,111	66,666,667

The conditions for the additional shares becoming issuable upon the exercise of Nordic's Put were met during the three month period ended March 31, 2009

The Company incurred a total of approximately \$424,000 of costs in the issuance of the \$1,725,000 of Secured 12% Notes sold in 2008. These costs were capitalized and are being amortized over the life of the Secured 12% Notes into interest expense. During the three month period ended March 31, 2009, the amount amortized into interest expense was approximately \$50,000. The remaining unamortized balance of approximately \$358,000 is reflected in the accompanying balance sheet as of March 31, 2009 as a non-current asset, secured 12% notes payable issue costs.

The Company recognized an original issue discount (the "OID") of approximately \$194,000 on the issuance of the Secured 12% Notes sold for the value of the warrants issued to the investors. The OID is being amortized over the life of the Secured 12% Notes into interest expense. During the three month period ended March 31, 2009 the amount amortized into interest expense was approximately \$22,000. The remaining unamortized balance of approximately \$165,000 has been netted against the face amount of the Secured 12% Notes in the accompanying balance sheet as of March 31, 2009. As per the terms of the 12% Notes Transaction the Company's officers agreed to certain modifications of their employment agreements (see Note 5).

9. LICENSE AGREEMENTS

Altoderm License Agreement

On April 3, 2007, the Company entered into a license agreement for "Altoderm" (the "Altoderm Agreement") with T&R. Pursuant to the Altoderm Agreement, the Company acquired an exclusive North American license to certain patent rights and other intellectual property relating to Altoderm, a topical skin lotion product candidate using sodium cromoglicate for the treatment of atopic dermatitis.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC
(A Development Stage Company)

NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In February 2009, the Company terminated the Altoderm Agreement for convenience. The Company has no further financial liability or commitment to T&R under the Altoderm Agreement.

Altolyn License Agreement

On April 3, 2007, the Company and T&R also entered into a license agreement for "Altolyn" (the "Altolyn Agreement"). Pursuant to the Altolyn Agreement, the Company acquired an exclusive North American license to certain patent rights and other intellectual property relating to Altolyn, an oral formulation product candidate using sodium cromoglicate for the treatment of mastocytosis, food allergies, and inflammatory bowel disorder..

In February 2009, the Company terminated the Altolyn Agreement for convenience. The Company has no further financial liability or commitment to T&R under the Altolyn Agreement.

10. DERIVATIVE LIABILITY

In April 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued EITF 07-05, Determining whether an Instrument (or Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock, ("EITF 07-05"). EITF 07-05 provides guidance on determining what types of instruments or embedded features in an instrument held by a reporting entity can be considered indexed to its own stock for the purpose of evaluating the first criteria of the scope exception in paragraph 11(a) of SFAS 133. EITF 07-05 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of EITF 07-5's requirements can affect the accounting for warrants and many convertible instruments with provisions that protect holders from a decline in the stock price (or "down-round" provisions). For example, warrants with such provisions will no longer be recorded in equity. Down-round provisions reduce the exercise price of a warrant or convertible instrument if a company either issues equity shares for a price that is lower than the exercise price of those instruments or issues new warrants or convertible instruments that have a lower exercise price. We evaluated whether warrants to acquire stock of the Company contain provisions that protect holders from declines in the stock price or otherwise could result in modification of the exercise price under the respective warrant agreements. We determined that the warrant issued to Nordic in April 2008 contained such provisions, thereby concluding they were not indexed to the Company's own stock and were reclassified from equity to derivative liabilities.

In accordance with EITF 07-5, the Company, estimated the fair value of these warrants as of January 1, 2009 to be \$22,222 by recording a reduction in paid in capital of \$150,000 and a decrease in deficit accumulated during the development stage of \$127,778. The effect of this adjustment is recorded as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principles in our condensed statements of stockholder's equity (deficiency). As of March 31, 2009 the fair value of this derivative was \$92,222. The change of \$70,000 in fair value during the three month period ended March 31, 2009 is reported as a non-cash charge in our condensed statement of operations as a component of other (income) expense.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

You should read the following discussion of our results of operations and financial condition in conjunction with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (the "Annual Report") and our financial statements for the three month period ended March 31, 2009 included elsewhere in this report.

We were incorporated in Delaware in 1993 under the name "Atlantic Pharmaceuticals, Inc." and, in March 2000, we changed our name to "Atlantic Technology Ventures, Inc." In 2003, we completed a "reverse acquisition" of privately held "Manhattan Research Development, Inc". In connection with this transaction, we also changed our name to "Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc." From an accounting perspective, the accounting acquirer is considered to be Manhattan Research Development, Inc. and accordingly, the historical financial statements are those of Manhattan Research Development, Inc.

During 2005 we merged with Tarpan Therapeutics, Inc. ("Tarpan"). Tarpan was a privately held New York based biopharmaceutical company developing dermatological therapeutics. Through the merger, we acquired Tarpan's primary product candidate, Topical PTH (1-34) for the treatment of psoriasis. In consideration for their shares of Tarpan's capital stock, the stockholders of Tarpan received an aggregate of approximately 10,731,000 shares of our common stock, representing approximately 20% of our then outstanding common shares. This transaction was accounted for as a purchase of Tarpan by the Company.

We are a specialty healthcare product company focused on developing and commercializing pharmaceutical treatments for underserved patient populations. We aim to acquire rights to these technologies by licensing or otherwise acquiring an ownership interest, funding their research and development and eventually either bringing the technologies to market or out-licensing. In the short term we are focusing our efforts on the commercialization of the two product candidates we currently have in development: HedrinTM, through the Hedrin JV, a novel, non-insecticide treatment of pediculitis (head lice) and a topical product for the treatment of psoriasis. Longer term we intend to acquire and commercialize low risk, quick to market products, specifically products that could be marketed over-the-counter ("OTC"), treat everyday maladies, are simple to manufacture, and/or could be classified as medical devices by the FDA.

This discussion includes "forward-looking" statements that reflect our current views with respect to future events and financial performance. We use words such as we "expect," "anticipate," "believe," and "intend" and similar expressions to identify forward-looking statements. Investors should be aware that actual results may differ materially from our expressed expectations because of risks and uncertainties inherent in future events, particularly those risks identified under the heading "Risk Factors" following Item 1 in the Annual Report, and should not unduly rely on these forward looking statements.

Results Of Operations

Three-month Period ended March 31, 2009 vs 2008

	Quarters ended March 31,		Increase	% Increase
	2009	2008	(decrease)	(decrease)
Costs and expenses:				
Research and development:				
Share-based compensation	\$ -	\$ 53,000	\$ (53,000)	-100.00%
Other research and development expenses	45,000	747,000	(702,000)	-93.98%
Total research and development expenses	45,000	800,000	(755,000)	-94.38%
General and administrative:				
Share-based compensation	104,000	140,000	(36,000)	-25.71%
Other general and administrative expenses	408,000	674,000	(266,000)	-39.47%
Total general and administrative expenses	512,000	814,000	(302,000)	-37.10%
Other income/(expense)	(205,000)	35,000	(240,000)	-685.71%
Net loss	\$ 762,000	\$ 1,579,000	\$ (817,000)	-51.74%

During each of the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, we did not recognize any revenues. We are considered a development stage company and do not expect to have revenues relating to our products candidates prior to March 31, 2010, if at all.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009 research and development expense was \$45,000 as compared to \$800,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. This decrease of \$755,000, or 94%, is primarily due to there being no active product development projects during the 2009 period, as the Hedrin product is being developed by the Hedrin JV and as we have ceased development of all other products due to lack of funds and other factors.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009 general and administrative expense was \$512,000 as compared to \$814,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. This decrease of \$302,000, or 37%, is primarily comprised of a decrease in share-based compensation of \$36,000 and a decrease in other general and administrative expenses of \$266,000.

For the quarter ended March 31, 2009 other income/(expense) was \$(205,000) as compared to \$35,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. This change of \$(240,000), or 686%, is primarily due to increases in equity in losses of from the Hedrin JV of \$116,000, a change in fair value of a derivative of \$70,000 and interest expense of \$125,000 offset by an increase in interest and other income of \$72,000.

Net loss for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 was \$762,000 as compared to \$1,579,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2008. This decrease of \$817,000, or 52%, is primarily due to decreases in research and development expenses of \$755,000 and in general and administrative expenses of \$302,000 offset by a change in other income/(expense) of \$(240,000).

Liquidity and Capital Resources

From inception to March 31, 2009, we incurred a deficit during the development stage of \$59,902,000 primarily as a result of our net losses, and we expect to continue to incur additional losses through at least March 31, 2010 and for the foreseeable future. These losses have been incurred through a combination of research and development activities related to the various technologies under our control and expenses supporting those activities.

We have financed our operations since inception primarily through equity and debt financings and a joint venture transaction. During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, we had a net increase in cash and cash equivalents of \$124,000. This increase resulted largely from net cash provided by financing activities of \$770,000 partially offset by net cash used in operating activities of \$646,000. Total liquid resources as of March 31, 2009 were \$230,000 compared to \$106,000 at December 31, 2008.

Our current liabilities as of March 31, 2009 were \$993,000 compared to \$1,486,000 at December 31, 2008, a decrease of \$493,000. As of March 31, 2009, we had working capital deficit of \$195,000 compared to working capital deficit of \$612,000 at December 31, 2008.

The Company received net proceeds of approximately \$340,000 in February 2009 from the final closing of the sale of the 12% Secured Notes and approximately \$500,000 in February 2009 from a joint venture agreement. The Company also repaid \$70,000 in Secured 10% Notes in February 2009.

Our available working capital and capital requirements will depend upon numerous factors, including progress of our research and development programs, our progress in and the cost of ongoing and planned nonclinical and clinical testing, the timing and cost of obtaining regulatory approvals, the cost of filing, prosecuting, defending, and enforcing patent claims and other intellectual property rights, in-licensing activities, competing technological and market developments, changes in our existing collaborative and licensing relationships, the resources that we devote to developing manufacturing and commercializing capabilities, the status of our competitors, our ability to establish collaborative arrangements with other organizations and our need to purchase additional capital equipment.

Our continued operations will depend on whether we are able to raise additional funds through various potential sources, such as equity and debt financing, other collaborative agreements, strategic alliances, and our ability to realize the full potential of our technology in development. Such additional funds may not become available on acceptable terms and there can be no assurance that any additional funding that we do obtain will be sufficient to meet our needs in the long term. Through March 31, 2009, a significant portion of our financing has been through private placements of common stock and warrants. Unless our operations generate significant revenues and cash flows from operating activities, we will continue to fund operations from cash on hand and through the similar sources of capital previously described. We can give no assurances that any additional capital that we are able to obtain will be sufficient to meet our needs. We believe that we will continue to incur net losses and negative cash flows from operating activities for the foreseeable future.

Based on the resources of the Company available at March 31, 2009, management believes that the Company has sufficient capital to fund its operations through 2009. Management believes that the Company will need additional equity or debt financing or will need to generate positive cash flow from the Hedrin joint venture, or generate revenues through licensing of its products or entering into strategic alliances to be able to sustain its operations into 2010. Furthermore, the Company will need additional financing thereafter to complete development and commercialization of its products. There can be no assurances that we can successfully complete development and commercialization of our products.

These matters raise substantial doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

We have reported net losses of \$762,000 and \$1,579,000 for the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The net loss attributable to common shares from date of inception, including preferred stock dividends, August 6, 2001 to March 31, 2009, amounts to \$59,902,000. Management believes that we will continue to incur net losses through at least March 31, 2010.

Joint Venture Agreement

We and Nordic Biotech Venture Fund II K/S, or Nordic, entered into a joint venture agreement on January 31, 2008, which was amended on February 18, 2008 and on June 9, 2008. Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, in February 2008, (i) Nordic contributed cash in the amount of \$2.5 million to H Pharmaceuticals K/S (formerly Hedrin Pharmaceuticals K/S), a newly formed Danish limited partnership, or the Hedrin JV, in exchange for 50% of the equity interests in the Hedrin JV, and (ii) we contributed certain assets to North American rights (under license) to our Hedrin product to the Hedrin JV in exchange for \$2.0 million in cash and 50% of the equity interests in the Hedrin JV. On or around June 30, 2008, in accordance with the terms of the joint venture agreement, Nordic contributed an additional \$1.25 million in cash to the Hedrin JV, \$1.0 million of which was distributed to us and equity in the Hedrin JV was distributed to each of us and Nordic sufficient to maintain our respective ownership interests at 50%.

Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, upon the classification by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or the FDA, of Hedrin as a Class II or Class III medical device, Nordic was required to contribute to the Hedrin JV an additional \$1.25 million in cash, \$0.5 million of which was to be distributed to us and equity in the Hedrin JV was to be distributed to each of us and Nordic sufficient to maintain our respective ownership interests at 50%. The FDA notified the Hedrin JV that Hedrin has been classified as a Class III medical device and in February 2009, Nordic made the \$1.25 million investment in the Hedrin JV, the Hedrin JV made the \$0.5 million milestone payment to us and equity in the Hedrin JV was distributed to us and Nordic sufficient to maintain our respective ownership interests at 50%.

The Hedrin JV is responsible for the development and commercialization of Hedrin for the North American market and all associated costs including clinical trials, if required, regulatory costs, patent costs, and future milestone payments owed to Thornton & Ross Ltd., or T&R, the licensor of Hedrin. The Hedrin JV has engaged us to provide management services to the Hedrin JV in exchange for an annualized management fee, which for the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 was approximately \$109,000 and \$51,000, respectively.

The profits of the Hedrin JV will be shared by us and Nordic in accordance with our respective equity interests in the Hedrin JV, of which we each currently hold 50%, except that Nordic is entitled to receive a minimum return each year from the Hedrin JV equal to 6% on Hedrin sales, as adjusted for any change in Nordic's equity interest in the Hedrin JV, before any distribution is made to us. If the Hedrin JV realizes a profit in excess of the Nordic minimum return in any year, then such excess shall first be distributed to us until our distribution and the Nordic minimum return are in the same ratio as our respective equity interests in the Hedrin JV and then the remainder, if any, is distributed to Nordic and us in the same ratio as our respective equity interests. However, in the event of a liquidation of the Hedrin JV, Nordic's distribution in liquidation must equal the amount Nordic invested in the Hedrin JV (\$5 million) plus 10% per year, less the cumulative distributions received by Nordic from the Hedrin JV before any distribution is made to us. If the Hedrin JV's assets in liquidation exceed the Nordic liquidation preference amount, then any excess shall first be distributed to us until our distribution and the Nordic liquidation preference amount are in the same ratio as our respective equity interests in the Hedrin JV and then the remainder, if any, is distributed to Nordic and us in the same ratio as our respective equity interests. Further, in no event shall Nordic's distribution in liquidation be greater than assets available for distribution in liquidation.

Pursuant to the terms of the joint venture agreement, Nordic has the right to nominate one person for election or appointment to our board of directors. The Hedrin JV's board of directors consists of four members, two members appointed by us and two members appointed by Nordic. Nordic has the right to appoint one of the directors as chairman of the board. The chairman has certain tie breaking powers.

Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, Nordic has the right to put all or a portion of its interest in the Hedrin JV in exchange for such number of shares of our common stock equal to the amount of Nordic's investment in the Hedrin JV divided by \$0.09, as adjusted for the sale of the Secured 12% Notes in the fourth quarter of 2008, and as further adjusted from time to time for stock splits and other specified events, multiplied by a conversion factor, which is (i) 1.00 for so long as Nordic's distributions from the Hedrin JV are less than the amount of its investment, (ii) 1.25 for so long as Nordic's distributions from the Hedrin JV are less than two times the amount of its investment but greater than or equal to the amount of its investment amount, (iii) 1.50 for so long as Nordic's distributions from the Hedrin JV are less than three times the amount of its investment but greater than or equal to two times the amount of its investment amount, (iv) 2.00 for so long as Nordic's distributions from the Hedrin JV are less than four times the amount of its investment but greater than or equal to three times the amount of its investment amount and (v) 3.00 for so long as Nordic's distributions from Hedrin JV are greater than or equal to four times the amount of its investment. The put right expires upon the earlier to occur of (i) February 25, 2018 and (ii) 30 days after the date when Nordic's distributions from the Hedrin JV exceed five times the amount Nordic has invested in the Hedrin JV (or 10 days after such date if we have provided Nordic notice thereof).

Pursuant to the joint venture agreement, we have the right to call all or a portion of Nordic's equity interest in the Hedrin JV in exchange for such number of shares of our common stock equal to the portion of Nordic's investment in the Hedrin JV that we call by the dollar amount of Nordic's investment as of such date in the Hedrin JV, divided by \$0.09, as adjusted for the sale of the Secured 12% Notes in the fourth quarter of 2008, and as further adjusted from time to time for stock splits and other specified events. The call right is only exercisable by us if the price of our common stock has closed at or above \$1.40 per share for 30 consecutive trading days. During the first 30 consecutive trading days in which our common stock closes at or above \$1.40 per share, we may exercise up to 25% of the call right. During the second 30 consecutive trading days in which our common stock closes at or above \$1.40 per share, we may exercise up to 50% of the call right on a cumulative basis. During the third consecutive 30 trading days in which our common stock closes at or above \$1.40 per share, we may exercise up to 75% of the call right on a cumulative basis. During the fourth consecutive 30 days in which our common stock closes at or above \$1.40 per share, we may exercise up to 100% of the call right on a cumulative basis. Nordic may refuse the call, either by paying \$1.5 million multiplied by the percentage of Nordic's investment being called or forfeiting an equivalent portion of the put right, calculated on a pro rata basis for the percentage of the Nordic equity interest called by us. The call right expires on February 25, 2013. For purposes of Nordic's right to put, and our right to call, all or a portion of Nordic's equity interest in the Hedrin JV, the amount of Nordic's investment is currently \$5,000,000.

In connection with our joint venture agreement, on February 25, 2008, Nordic paid us a non-refundable fee of \$150,000 in exchange for the right to receive a warrant to purchase up to 11,111,111 shares of our common stock at \$0.09 per share, as adjusted for the sale of the Secured 12% Notes in the fourth quarter of 2008, and as further adjusted from time to time for stock splits and other specified events, if Nordic did not exercise all or part of its put right on or before April 30, 2008. As of April 30, 2008, Nordic had not exercised all or any portion of its put right and we issued the warrant to Nordic.

In connection with the joint venture agreement, we and Nordic entered into a registration rights agreement, on February 25, 2008, as modified pursuant to a letter agreement, dated September 17, 2008, pursuant to which we agreed to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, by no later than 10 calendar days following the date on which our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 is required to be filed with the SEC, which was subsequently waived by Nordic until May 1, 2008, an initial registration statement registering the resale by Nordic of any shares of our common stock issuable to Nordic through the exercise of the warrant or the put right. We filed an initial registration statement on May 1, 2008, which was declared effective on October 15, 2008.

We also have agreed to file with the SEC any additional registration statements which may be required no later than 45 days after the date we first know such additional registration statement is required; provided, however, that (i) in the case of the classification by the FDA of Hedrin as a Class II or Class III medical device described above and the payment in full by Nordic of the related final milestone payment of \$1.25 million, the registration statement with respect to the additional shares of our common stock relating to such additional investment must be filed within 45 days after achievement of such classification; and (ii) in the event we provide Nordic with notice of exercise of our right to call all or a portion of Nordic's equity interest in the Hedrin JV, a registration statement with respect to the shares of our common stock payable to Nordic in connection with such call right (after giving effect to any reduction in the number of such shares resulting from Nordic's refusal of all or a portion of such call in accordance with the terms of our joint venture agreement) must be filed within 16 days after delivery of such notice to Nordic. If we fail to file a registration statement on time or if a registration statement is not declared effective by the SEC within 105 days of the required filing date, or otherwise fail to diligently pursue registration with the SEC in accordance with the terms of the registration rights agreement, we will be required to pay as partial liquidated damages and not as a penalty, to Nordic or its assigns, an amount equal to 0.5% of the amount invested in the Hedrin JV by Nordic pursuant to the joint venture agreement per month until the registration rights agreement is declared effective by the SEC; provided, however, that in no event shall the aggregate amount payable by us exceed 9% of the amount invested in the Hedrin JV by Nordic under the joint venture agreement.

The Company was required to file an additional registration statement with 45 days of Nordic's investment of an additional \$1.25 million in the Hedrin JV in February 2009. The Company did not meet this requirement as it had our registration statements pending. The Company has requested a waiver until May 31, 2009 of Nordic's registration rights in order to meet this obligation. Nordic has verbally agreed to the waiver.

Secured 10% Notes Payable

On September 11, 2008, we issued secured 10% promissory notes to certain of our directors and officers and an employee for aggregate principal amount of \$70,000. Principal and interest on the notes are payable in cash on March 10, 2009 unless paid earlier by the Company. In connection with the issuance of the notes, the Company issued to the noteholders 5-year warrants to purchase an aggregate of 140,000 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$0.20 per share. We granted to the noteholders a continuing security interest in certain specific refunds, deposits and repayments due to us and expected to be repaid to us in the next several months. The secured 10% notes were repaid in February 2009 along with interest thereon.

Secured 12% Notes Payable

On February 3, 2009, we completed a private placement of 345 units, with each unit consisting of Secured 12% Notes in the principal amount of \$5,000 and a warrant to purchase up to 166,667 shares of our common stock at an exercise price of \$.09 per share which expires on December 31, 2013, for aggregate gross proceeds of \$1,725,000. The private placement was completed in three closings which occurred on November 19, 2008 with respect to 207 units, December 23, 2008 with respect to 56 units and February 3, 2009 with respect to 82 units.

To secure our obligations under the notes, we entered into a security agreement and a default agreement with the investors. The security agreement provides that the notes will be secured by a pledge of our assets other than (i) our interest in the Hedrin joint venture, including, without limitation, our interest in H Pharmaceuticals K/S and H Pharmaceuticals General Partner ApS, (ii) our rent deposit for our former office space, (iii) our refund of a prepayment and (iv) our tax refund for the 2007 fiscal year from the State of New York and City of New York. In addition, to provide additional security for our obligations under the notes, we entered into a default agreement, which provides that upon an event of default under the notes, we shall, at the request of the holders of the notes, use our reasonable commercial efforts to either (i) sell a part or all of our interests in the Hedrin joint venture or (ii) transfer all

or part of our interest in the Hedrin JV to the holders of the notes, as necessary, in order to fulfill our obligations under the notes, to the extent required and to the extent permitted by the applicable Hedrin joint venture agreements.

In connection with the private placement, we, the placement agent and the investors entered into a registration rights agreement. Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, we agreed to file a registration statement to register the resale of the shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of the warrants issued to the investors in the private placement, within 20 days of the final closing date and to cause the registration statement to be declared effective within 90 days (or 120 days upon full review by the SEC). During the three month period ended March 31, 2009, we filed the registration statement, received a comment letter from the SEC, responded to the SEC comment letter and re-filed the registration statement. The registration statement was declared effective by the SEC on April 17, 2009.

Commitments

General

We often contract with third parties to facilitate, coordinate and perform agreed upon research and development of our product candidates. To ensure that research and development costs are expensed as incurred, we record monthly accruals for clinical trials and nonclinical testing costs based on the work performed under the contracts.

These contracts typically call for the payment of fees for services at the initiation of the contract and/or upon the achievement of certain milestones. This method of payment often does not match the related expense recognition resulting in either a prepayment, when the amounts paid are greater than the related research and development costs recognized, or an accrued liability, when the amounts paid are less than the related research and development costs recognized.

Swiss Pharma Contract LTD, or Swiss Pharma, a clinical site that we used in one of our obesity trials, gave notice to us that Swiss Pharma believed it was entitled to receive an additional payment of \$322,776 for services in connection with that clinical trial. The contract between us and Swiss Pharma provided for arbitration in the event of a dispute, such as this claim for an additional payment. On March 10, 2008, Swiss Pharma filed for arbitration with the Swiss Chamber of Commerce. As we did not believe that Swiss Pharma was entitled to additional payments, we defended our position in arbitration. On April 2, 2008, we filed our statement of defense and counterclaim for recovery of costs incurred by us as a result of Swiss Pharma's failure to meet agreed upon deadlines under our contract. On June 3, 2008, a hearing was held before the arbitrator. On September 5, 2008, the arbitrator rendered an award in favor of Swiss Pharma, awarding to Swiss Pharma a total of approximately \$646,000 which amount includes a contract penalty of approximately \$323,000, a final services invoice of approximately \$48,000, reimbursement of certain of Swiss Pharma's legal and other expenses incurred in the arbitration process of approximately \$245,000, reimbursement of arbitration costs of approximately \$13,000 and interest through September 5, 2008 of approximately \$17,000. Further, the arbitrator ruled that we must pay interest at the rate of 5% per annum on approximately \$371,000, the sum of the contract penalty of approximately \$323,000 and the final services invoice of approximately \$48,000, from October 12, 2007 until paid. We had previously recognized a liability to Swiss Pharma in the amount of \$104,000 for the final services invoice. The remainder of the award was expensed in 2008. On January 22, 2009, we received notice that Swiss Pharma submitted a petition to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York seeking to confirm and to enter a judgment on the Arbitration Award. On February 17, 2009, we filed an answer to Swiss Pharma's petition. A hearing has not yet been scheduled. We will continue to accrue interest at the rate of 5% per annum on the approximate \$371,000 amount until such amount has been settled. We do not have sufficient cash or other current available assets to satisfy the arbitrator's award.

Development Commitments

At present the Company has no development commitments.

Research and Development Projects

Hedrin

In collaboration with Nordic and through the Hedrin JV we are developing Hedrin for the treatment of pediculosis (head lice). To date, Hedrin has been clinically studied in 326 subjects and is currently marketed as a device in Western Europe and as a pharmaceutical in the United Kingdom (U.K.).

In a randomized, controlled, equivalence clinical study conducted in Europe by T&R, Hedrin was administered to 253 adult and child subjects with head louse infestation. The study results, published in the British Medical Journal in June 2005, demonstrated Hedrin's equivalence when compared to the insecticide treatment, phenothrin, the most widely used pediculicide in the U.K. In addition, according to the same study, the Hedrin-treated subjects experienced significantly less irritation (2%) than those treated with phenothrin (9%).

An additional clinical study published in the November 2007 issue of PLoS One, an international, peer-reviewed journal published by the Public Library of Science (PLoS), demonstrated Hedrin's superior efficacy compared to a U.K. formulation of malathion, a widely used insecticide treatment in both Europe and North America. In this randomized, controlled, assessor blinded, parallel group clinical trial, 73 adult and child subjects with head lice infestations were treated with Hedrin or malathion liquid. Using intent-to-treat analysis, Hedrin achieved a statistically significant cure rate of 70% compared to 33% with malathion liquid. Using the per-protocol analysis Hedrin achieved a highly statistically significant cure rate of 77% compared to 35% with malathion. In Europe it has been widely documented that head lice had become resistant to European formulations of malathion, and we believe this resistance had influenced these study results. To date, there have been no reports of resistance to U.S. formulations of malathion. Additionally, Hedrin treated subjects experienced no irritant reactions, and Hedrin showed clinical equivalence to malathion in its ability to inhibit egg hatching. Overall, investigators and study subjects rated Hedrin as less odorous, easier to apply, and easier to wash out, and 97% of Hedrin treated subjects stated they were significantly more inclined to use the product again versus 31% of those using malathion.

Two new, unpublished Hedrin studies were completed by T&R in 2008. In the first, Hedrin achieved a 100% kill rate in vitro, including in malathion resistant head lice. In the other, a clinical field study conducted in Manisa province, a rural area of Western Turkey, Hedrin was administered to 36 adult and child subjects with confirmed head lice infestations. Using per protocol analysis, Hedrin achieved a 97% cure rate. Using intent-to-treat analysis, Hedrin achieved a 92% cure rate since 2 subjects were eliminated due to protocol violations. No subjects reported any adverse events.

In the U.S., Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, through the Hedrin JV, is pursuing the development of Hedrin as a medical device. In January 2009, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration ("FDA") Center for Devices and Radiological Health ("CDRH") notified H Pharmaceuticals that Hedrin had been classified as a Class III medical device. A Class III designation means that a Premarket Approval ("PMA") Application will need to be obtained before Hedrin can be marketed in the U.S. The Company expects to be required to complete at least one clinical trial as part of that PMA Application.

To date, we have incurred \$1,084,000 of project costs for the development of Hedrin. None of these costs were incurred during the three month period ended March 31, 2009. We do not expect to incur any future costs as the Hedrin JV is now responsible for all costs associated with Hedrin.

Topical PTH (1-34).

As a result of our merger with Tarpan Therapeutics in 2005, we hold an exclusive, worldwide license to develop and commercialize Topical PTH (1-34) for the treatment of psoriasis. Tarpan acquired the exclusive, worldwide rights pursuant to a 2004 license agreement with IGI, Inc ("IGI").

In April 2006, we encountered a stability issue with the original topical PTH (1-34) product which utilized IGI's Novosome® formulation technology. In order to resolve that stability issue we created a new topical gel version of PTH (1-34) and filed new patent applications in the U.S. for this new proprietary formulation.

In September 2007, the U.S. FDA accepted our Investigational New Drug (“IND”) application for this new gel formulation of Topical PTH (1-34), and in October 2007, we initiated and began dosing subjects in a Phase 2a clinical study of Topical PTH (1-34) for the treatment of psoriasis. This U.S., multi-center, randomized, double-blind, vehicle-controlled, parallel group study was designed to evaluate safety and preliminary efficacy of Topical PTH (1-34) in patients with mild to moderate psoriasis. Approximately 54 subjects were enrolled and randomized to receive one of two dose levels of Topical PTH (1-34), or the gel vehicle (placebo), for an 8 week treatment period. In this study the vehicle was the topical gel (“GEL”) without the active ingredient, PTH (1-34). In July 2008, we announced the results of the Phase 2a study where Topical PTH (1-34) failed to demonstrate a statistically significant or clinically meaningful improvement in psoriasis.

In July 2008 we announced the results of a Phase 2a clinical study where PTH (1-34) failed to show statistically or clinically meaningful improvements in psoriasis as compared to the vehicle (placebo). The Company has conducted no further clinical activities with PTH (1-34) and intends to return the project to IGI under the terms of the license agreement.

The gel vehicle (placebo) used in the above-mentioned study is the Company's proprietary topical GEL and it unexpectedly showed evidence of psoriasis improving properties. At the end of week 2, 15% of study subjects treated with the GEL achieved a clear or almost clear state. At the end of week 4, 20% of subjects treated with the GEL had achieved a clear or almost clear state, and at the end of week 8, 25% of subjects had achieved a clear or almost clear state. The Company owns worldwide rights to this topical GEL and is exploring the possibility of developing it as an OTC product for mild psoriasis.

To date, we have incurred \$6,504,000 of project costs related to our development of Topical PTH (1-34). These project costs have been incurred since April 1, 2005, the date of the Tarpan Therapeutics acquisition. None of these costs were incurred during the three month period ended March 31, 2009.

Summary of Contractual Commitments

Employment Agreement

The Company has an employment agreement with one employee for the payment of an annual base salary of \$300,000 as well as performance based bonuses. This agreement has a remaining term of three months and a remaining obligation of \$83,000 as of March 31, 2009. As per the terms of the Secured 12% Notes sold in the fourth quarter of 2008 and the first quarter of 2009 management, comprised of the two employees, including one under contract, has agreed to reduce their salaries effective as of October 1, 2008. If the Company sells at least \$1.5 million but less than \$2 million of Secured 12% Notes then their salaries shall be reduced by 20%. The Company sold \$1.725 million of Secured 12% Notes, management therefore was paid 80% of their salaries during the fourth quarter of 2008. Also as per the terms of the Secured 12% Notes the reduction in management's salaries shall be reduced to 10% if the Company realizes gross proceeds of \$500,000 or more from other sources and there will be no reduction if the Company realizes gross proceeds of \$1,000,000 or more from other sources. In February 2009 the Company received a \$500,000 milestone payment from the Hedrin JV; therefore management's salaries are currently reduced by 10%.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have not entered into any off-balance sheet arrangements.

Critical Accounting Policies

In December 2001, the SEC requested that all registrants discuss their most "critical accounting policies" in management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations. The SEC indicated that a "critical accounting policy" is one which is both important to the portrayal of the company's financial condition and results and requires management's most difficult, subjective or complex judgments, often as a result of the need to make estimates about the effect of matters that are inherently uncertain.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect certain reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the

reported amounts of expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Research and Development Expenses

All research and development costs are expensed as incurred and include costs of consultants who conduct research and development on behalf of the Company and its subsidiaries. Costs related to the acquisition of technology rights and patents for which development work is still in process are expensed as incurred and considered a component of research and development costs.

The Company often contracts with third parties to facilitate, coordinate and perform agreed upon research and development of a new drug. To ensure that research and development costs are expensed as incurred, the Company records monthly accruals for clinical trials and preclinical testing costs based on the work performed under the contracts.

These contracts typically call for the payment of fees for services at the initiation of the contract and/or upon the achievement of certain milestones. This method of payment often does not match the related expense recognition resulting in either a prepayment, when the amounts paid are greater than the related research and development costs expensed, or an accrued liability, when the amounts paid are less than the related research and development costs expensed.

Share-Based Compensation

We have stockholder-approved stock incentive plans for employees, directors, officers and consultants. Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for the employee, director and officer plans using the intrinsic value method under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board (“APB”) Opinion No. 25, “Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees” and related interpretations, as permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS” or “Statement”) No. 123, “Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation.”

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted SFAS No. 123(R), “Share-Based Payment,” (“Statement 123(R)”) for employee options using the modified prospective transition method. Statement 123(R) revised Statement 123 to eliminate the option to use the intrinsic value method and required the Company to expense the fair value of all employee options over the vesting period. Under the modified prospective transition method, the Company recognizes compensation cost for the three month periods ended March 31, 2009 and 2008 which includes a) period compensation cost related to share-based payments granted prior to, but not yet vested, as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of Statement 123; and b) period compensation cost related to share-based payments granted on or after January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with Statement 123(R). In accordance with the modified prospective method, the Company has not restated prior period results.

New Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 160, “Noncontrolling interest in Consolidated Financial Statements” (“SFAS 160”). SFAS 160 requires all entities to report noncontrolling (minority) interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 establishes a single method of accounting for changes in a parent's ownership interest in a subsidiary that do not result in deconsolidation and expands disclosures in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The adoption of SFAS 160 did not have any impact on our financial statements.

In February 2008, the FASB issued two Staff Positions on SFAS 157: (1) FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-1 (“FAS 157-1”), “Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement Under Statement 13,” and (2) FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2 (“FAS 157-2”), “Effective Date of FASB Statement No 157.” FAS 157-1 excludes SFAS 13, “Accounting for Leases”, as well as other accounting pronouncements that address fair value measurements on lease classification or measurement under SFAS 13, from SFAS 157’s scope. FAS157-2 partially defers SFAS 157’s effective date. The adoption of FAS 157-1 and FAS 157-2 did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-3 "Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset is Not Active" ("FAS 157-3"), which is effective upon issuance for all financial statements that have not been issued. FAS 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS 157, in a market that is not active. FAS 157-3 did not have any impact on our financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161 "Disclosures About Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities - an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133" ("SFAS 161"). SFAS 161 amends SFAS 133 by requiring expanded disclosures about an entity's derivative instruments and hedging activities. SFAS 161 requires qualitative disclosures about objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about fair value amounts of and gains and losses on derivative instruments, and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative instruments. SFAS 161 is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 161 did not have any impact on our financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), a revised version of SFAS No. 141, “Business Combinations” (“SFAS 141R”). The revision is intended to simplify existing guidance and converge rulemaking under U.S. generally accepted accounting principles with international accounting standards. SFAS 141R applies prospectively to business combinations where the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2008. An entity may not apply it before that date. The adoption of SFAS 141(R) did not have any impact on our financial statements.

In June 2008, the FASB ratified EITF Issue No. 07-5, "Determining Whether an Instrument (or an Embedded Feature) Is Indexed to an Entity's Own Stock" (“EITF 07-5”). EITF 07-5 provides that an entity should use a two step approach to evaluate whether an equity-linked financial instrument (or embedded feature) is indexed to its own stock, including evaluating the instrument's contingent exercise and settlement provisions. It also clarifies the impact of foreign currency denominated strike prices and market-based employee stock option valuation instruments on the evaluation. EITF 07-5 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The adoption of EITF 07-5 had an impact on our financial statements (see Note 10 to our financial statements for the period ended March 31, 2009).

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position (“FSP”) No. 157-4, “Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not Orderly,” (“FSP FAS 157-4”) which provides guidance on determining when there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for an asset or liability, when a transaction is not orderly, and how that information must be incorporated into a fair value measurement. FSP SFAS 157-4 also requires expanded disclosures on valuation techniques and inputs and specifies the level of aggregation required for all quantitative disclosures. The provisions of FSP SFAS 157-4 are effective for the Company’s quarter ending June 30, 2009. We do not expect this FSP to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued Staff Position (“FSP”) No. 115-2 and No. 124-2, (“FSP FAS 155-2” and “FSP FAS 124-2”)“Recognition and Presentation of Other-Than-Temporary Impairments,” which makes the guidance on other-than-temporary impairments of debt securities more operational and requires additional disclosures when a

company records an other-than-temporary impairment. FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 are effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. We will be required to adopt the principles of FSP FAS 115-2 and FAS 124-2 in the second quarter of 2009. We do not expect the adoption to have a material effect on our financial statements.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk

Our exposure to market risk is confined to our cash and cash equivalents. We have attempted to minimize risk by investing in high-quality financial instruments, primarily money market funds with no security having an effective duration longer than 90 days. If the market interest rate decreases by 100 basis points or 1%, the fair value of our cash and cash equivalents portfolio would have minimal to no impact on the carrying value of our portfolio. We did not hold any derivative instruments as of March 31, 2009, and we have never held such instruments in the past.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of March 31, 2009, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based upon that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of that date were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports we file under the Securities and Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported on an accurate and timely basis.

The Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that disclosure controls or internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all errors or all instances of fraud, even as the same are improved to address any deficiencies. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with policies or procedures. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected.

Because of the inherent limitation of a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of a simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls.

Changes in Internal Control

During the quarter ended March 31, 2009, there were no changes in internal controls over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over financial reporting.

Part II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Swiss Pharma Contract LTD, or Swiss Pharma, a clinical site that we used in one of our obesity trials, gave notice to us that Swiss Pharma believed it was entitled to receive an additional payment of \$322,776 for services in connection with that clinical trial. The contract between us and Swiss Pharma provided for arbitration in the event of a dispute, such as this claim for an additional payment. On March 10, 2008, Swiss Pharma filed for arbitration with the Swiss Chamber of Commerce. As we did not believe that Swiss Pharma was entitled to additional payments, we defended our position in arbitration. On April 2, 2008, we filed our statement of defense and counterclaim for recovery of costs incurred by us as a result of Swiss Pharma's failure to meet agreed upon deadlines under our contract. On June 3, 2008, a hearing was held before the arbitrator under the auspices of the Swiss Chamber of Commerce. On September 5, 2008, the arbitrator rendered an award in favor of Swiss Pharma, awarding to Swiss Pharma a total of \$646,000 which amount includes a \$323,000 contract penalty, a final services invoice of \$48,000, reimbursement of certain of Swiss Pharma's legal and other expenses incurred in the arbitration process of \$245,000, reimbursement of arbitration costs of \$13,000 and interest through September 5, 2008 of \$17,000. Further, the arbitrator ruled that we must pay interest at the rate of 5% per annum on \$371,000, the sum of the \$323,000 contract penalty and the final services invoice of \$48,000, from October 12, 2007 until paid. We had previously recognized a liability to Swiss Pharma in the amount of \$104,000 for the final services invoice. The remainder of the award was expensed in 2008. On January 22, 2009, we received notice that Swiss Pharma submitted a petition to the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York seeking to confirm and to enter a judgment on the Arbitration Award. On February 17, 2009, we filed an answer to Swiss Pharma's petition. A hearing has not yet been scheduled. We will continue to accrue interest at the rate of 5% per annum on the \$371,000 until such amount has been settled. We do not have sufficient cash or other current available assets to satisfy the arbitrator's award.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We have not had material changes to our risk factor disclosure in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 under the caption "Risk Factors" following Item 1 of such report.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MANHATTAN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.

Date: May 15, 2009

By: /s/ Douglas Abel
Douglas Abel
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: May 15, 2009

By: /s/ Michael G. McGuinness
Michael G. McGuinness
Chief Operating and Financial Officer

Index to Exhibits Filed with this Report

Exhibit No. Description

- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer.
- 31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer.
- 32.1 Certifications pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Douglas Abel, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the “Registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant’s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant’s other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant’s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant’s internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2009

/s/ Douglas Abel
Douglas Abel
President and Chief Executive Officer

CERTIFICATIONS

I, Michael G. McGuinness, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (the "Registrant");
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The Registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) for the Registrant and have:
 - (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - (b) designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles; and
 - (c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - (d) Disclosed in this report any change in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the Registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The Registrant's other certifying officer(s) and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: May 15, 2009

/s/ Michael G. McGuinness
Michael G. McGuinness
Chief Operating and Financial Officer

CERTIFICATION
OF
CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER AND CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, each of the undersigned officers of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. do hereby certify that, to the best of their knowledge: (a) the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc. for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 (the "Report") fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and (b) information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Manhattan Pharmaceuticals, Inc.

Dated: May 15, 2009 /s/ Douglas Abel
Douglas Abel
President and Chief Executive
Officer

Dated: May 15, 2009 /s/ Michael G. McGuinness
Michael G. McGuinness
Chief Operating and Financial
Officer
