

GOLD FIELDS LTD

Form 6-K

May 05, 2008

FORM 6-K

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of May 2008

Commission File Number 1-31318

Gold Fields Limited

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

24 St. Andrews Rd.

Parktown, 2193

South Africa

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F..x... Form 40-F.....

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): _____

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): _____

Indicate by check mark whether by furnishing the information contained in this Form, the registrant is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No ..x...

If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82- _____

Directors: A J Wright (Chairman), I D Cockerill

†
(Chief Executive Officer), K Ansah

, N J Holland

†
(Chief Financial Officer), J G Hopwood, G Marcus, J
M McMahon

†
, D N Murray,
D M J Ncube, R L Pennant-Rea

†
, P J Ryan, C I von Christierson

†
British,

Ghanaian

Corporate Secretary: C Farrel
Gold Fields Limited
Reg. 1968/004880/06
24 St Andrews Road
Parktown, 2193

Postnet Suite 252
Private Bag X30500
Houghton, 2041
South Africa

Tel +27 11 644-2400
Fax +27 11 484-0639
www.goldfields.co.za

Enquires

Reidwaan Wookay

Tel
+27 11 644-2665

Fax
+27 11 484-0639

Mobile 084 878 4566

Andrew Davidson

Tel
+27 11 644-2638

Fax
+27 11 484-0639

Mobile 082 667 7203

MEDIA RELEASE

**Mining operations to resume at
South Deep on Thursday**

Johannesburg 5 May, 2008: Gold Fields Limited (“Gold Fields”) (NYSE, JSE, DIFX: GFI) reports today that normal mining operations will only resume in mining areas at 95 level and above at the South Deep Gold Mine near Westonaria on Thursday morning, May 8, 2008 after a Day of Mourning and a Memorial Service which is to be held at the Twin Shaft Complex on Wednesday, May 7.

At a meeting at the Department of Minerals and Energy (DME) in Johannesburg today with mine management and trade unions, the Chief Inspector of Mines agreed that the conditions of a Section 54 order had been met and the mine could now recommence mining operations.

Underground working at South Deep had been suspended, except for essential services for emergency purposes, since 17h40 on May 1 when the Section 54 was issued following the shaft accident that tragically claimed nine lives.

The Section 54 required that all winding operations at South Deep, Kloof and Driefontein Gold Mines be suspended pending assessment of the integrity of the winding ropes. This order was fully complied with, and subsequent to the issue thereof, only essential services for emergency purposes were conducted at South Deep.

Normal winding operations have resumed at Kloof and Driefontein Gold Mines.

Gold Fields is committed to assisting the DME in its investigation into the accident at South Deep on May 1, 2008.

Enquiries

Andrew Davidson
Office 011-644-2638
Mobile 0826677203
ends

About Gold Fields

Gold Fields Limited is one of the world’s largest unhedged producers of gold with attributable production of more than four million ounces per annum from eight operating mines in South Africa, Ghana and Australia.

-2-

A ninth mine, the Cerro Corona Gold/Copper mine in Peru, is expected to commence production by mid 2008 at an initial

rate of approximately 400,000 gold equivalent ounces per annum.

The company has total attributable ore reserves of 92 million ounces and mineral resources of 252 million ounces.

Gold Fields employs some 53,000 permanent employees across its operations and is listed on the JSE Limited South Africa

(primary listing), the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and the Dubai International Financial Exchange (DIFX). All of Gold

Fields' operations are ISO14001 certified. For more information please visit the Gold Fields website at www.goldfields.co.za

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: 5 May 2008

GOLD FIELDS LIMITED

By:

Name: Mr W J Jacobsz

Title: Senior Vice President: Investor

Relations and Corporate Affairs

rgin-left:10px; text-indent:-10px">Total current assets 40,906 48,101

Property and equipment, net

5,624 5,476

Intangible assets

7,037 7,037

Other assets

176 144

\$53,743 60,758

Liabilities and Stockholders Equity

Current liabilities:

Accounts payable

\$2,211 1,779

Accrued expenses

5,743 6,046

Current portion of long-term debt

113 113

Income taxes payable

933 1,325

Total current liabilities

9,000 9,263

Long-term debt, excluding current portion

Edgar Filing: GOLD FIELDS LTD - Form 6-K

1,379 1,464
Deferred income taxes
550 550

Total liabilities
10,929 11,277

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders' equity:

Common stock, \$.01 par value; authorized shares totaling 20,000,000; issued and outstanding shares totaling 3,865,174 as of March 31, 2003 and 4,434,899 as of June 30, 2002

39 44

Additional paid-in capital

17,513 26,702

Retained earnings

25,198 22,720

Accumulated other comprehensive income

64 15

Total stockholders' equity
42,814 49,481

\$53,743 60,758

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**INVIVO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Income**
(in thousands, except per share data)
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Sales	\$ 13,015	10,908	36,392	30,727
Cost of goods sold	6,493	5,213	17,872	14,404
Gross profit	6,522	5,695	18,520	16,323
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general, and administrative	4,520	4,185	13,078	11,716
Research and experimental	765	763	2,318	2,331
Total operating expenses	5,285	4,948	15,396	14,047
Income from operations	1,237	747	3,124	2,276
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	157	38	530	159
Interest expense	(15)	(17)	(47)	(69)
Other, net	20	(33)	49	(33)
Income from continuing operations before income taxes	1,399	735	3,656	2,333
Income tax expense	476	315	1,179	857
Net income from continuing operations	\$ 923	420	2,477	1,476
Discontinued operations:				
Income from discontinued operations net of income tax of \$99 and \$347		98		511
Loss on disposal of subsidiary, net of income tax benefit of \$223		(288)		(288)
Net income	\$ 923	230	2,477	1,699
Basic net income per share data:				
Continuing operations	\$ 0.22	0.09	0.56	0.33
Discontinued operations		(0.04)		0.05
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.22	0.05	0.56	0.38
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (basic)	4,219,863	4,428,043	4,386,073	4,425,137
Diluted net income per share data:				
Continuing operations	0.21	0.09	0.54	0.32

Edgar Filing: GOLD FIELDS LTD - Form 6-K

Discontinued operations		(0.04)		0.05
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.21	0.05	0.54	0.37
Weighted-average common shares outstanding (diluted)	4,464,087	4,598,986	4,611,154	4,567,903

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**INVIVO CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
(in thousands)
(UNAUDITED)**

	For the Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2003	2002
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 2,478	1,699
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Loss on disposal of discontinued operation		288
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	2	32
Depreciation and amortization	827	719
Deferred income taxes	(462)	(265)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Trade receivables	(1,492)	1,852
Inventories	(1,896)	347
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(231)	(337)
Accrued expenses	(303)	419
Accounts payable	432	121
Income taxes payable	(392)	72
Current liabilities of discontinued operations		(964)
Current assets of discontinued operations		579
	<u>(1,037)</u>	<u>4,562</u>
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Sale (purchase) of short-term investments, net	10,941	(2,095)
Restricted cash	162	
Capital expenditures	(1,049)	(1,460)
Other assets	(32)	
Net investing activities of discontinued operations		116
	<u>10,022</u>	<u>(3,439)</u>
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Repurchase of common stock	(9,844)	
Exercise of stock options	650	68
Payments under long-term debt and capital leases	(85)	(111)
	<u>(9,279)</u>	<u>(43)</u>
Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(294)	1,080
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	1,006	270
	<u>\$ 712</u>	<u>1,350</u>

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**INVIVO CORPORATION****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. GENERAL**

The consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2003 and the related consolidated statements of income for the three and nine-month periods ended March 31, 2003 and 2002; and the consolidated statements of cash flows for the nine-month periods ended March 31, 2003 and 2002 of Invivo Corporation (the Company) are unaudited. The consolidated financial statements reflect, in the opinion of management, all adjustments necessary to present fairly the financial position and results of operations as of the end of and for the periods indicated. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The financial statements and notes are presented as permitted by Form 10-Q, and do not contain certain information included in the Company's annual consolidated financial statements and notes.

2. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company has adopted the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 131, Disclosure About Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information. SFAS 131 establishes standards for the reporting by public business enterprises of information about operating segments, products and services, geographic areas, and major customers. The method for determining what information to report is based on the way that management organizes the operating segments within the Company for making operating decisions and assessing financial performance. As a result of the sales of Sierra Precision and Lumidor Safety, previously wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company operating in the Company's industrial instrumentation segment, the Company currently operates in one segment.

3. DEBT AND BANK BORROWINGS

The Company renewed its \$1,000,000 bank line of credit on January 1, 2003. The Company's revolving bank line of credit is unsecured. At March 31, 2003, \$1,000,000 was available under the line of credit.

4. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The components of comprehensive income, net of tax, are as follows:

(in thousands)	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,		NINE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net income	\$ 923	\$ 230	\$2,477	\$1,699
Change in unrealized gain on short-term investments	(47)		22	
Change in foreign currency translation	13	7	28	(4)
Comprehensive Income	\$ 889	\$ 237	\$2,527	\$1,695

Table of Contents

5. NET INCOME PER COMMON SHARE

The following table presents the calculation for basic and diluted net income per common share:

	THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,		NINE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
BASIC:				
Weighted average common Shares outstanding	4,219,863	4,428,043	4,386,073	4,425,137
Net Income	\$ 923	\$ 230	\$ 2,477	\$ 1,699
Basic net income per common share	\$ 0.22	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.56	\$ 0.38
DILUTED:				
Weighted average common Shares outstanding (basic)	4,219,863	4,428,043	4,386,073	4,425,137
Dilutive stock options	244,224	170,943	225,081	142,766
Weighted average common Shares outstanding (diluted)	4,464,087	4,598,986	4,611,154	4,567,903
Net Income	\$ 923	\$ 230	\$ 2,477	\$ 1,699
Diluted net income per common share	\$ 0.21	\$ 0.05	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.37

6. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Sierra Precision

On May 10, 2002, the Company completed its sale of substantially all of the assets and the transfer of certain liabilities of Sierra Precision, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The final sales price was approximately \$4.9 million. Excluded from the transaction were substantially all the liabilities of Sierra Precision. In addition, the Company entered into an agreement to not compete with the business of Sierra Precision for a period of three years. Sierra Precision's operating results have been segregated and reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and cash flows, and related notes for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2002. Revenue from the discontinued operations of Sierra Precision for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2002 was \$1,552,000 and \$4,928,000, respectively. Income, net of income tax, from the discontinued operations of Sierra Precision for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2002 was \$26,000 and \$218,000, respectively.

Lumidor Safety Corporation

On May 30, 2002, the Company sold substantially all of the assets and transferred certain liabilities of Lumidor Safety Corporation (Lumidor), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The final sales price was approximately \$12 million, of which \$1.35 million is being held in escrow for a period of one year to secure indemnification obligations of Lumidor. In addition, the Company entered into an agreement not to compete with the business of Lumidor for a period of five years. Lumidor's operating results have been segregated and reported as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of income and cash flows, and related notes for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2002. Revenue from the discontinued operations of Lumidor for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2002 was \$1,702,000 and \$5,411,000, respectively. Income, net of income tax, from the discontinued operations of Lumidor for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2002 was \$72,000 and \$293,000, respectively.

7. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION DISCLOSURE

Edgar Filing: GOLD FIELDS LTD - Form 6-K

The Company has established stock option plans to provide for the granting of stock options to employees (including officers and directors) at prices not less than the fair market value of the Company's common stock at the date of grant. Options vest ratably over

Table of Contents

four years and expire in ten years. The Company accounts for those plans under the recognition and measurement principles of APB Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*, and related Interpretations. No stock-based employee compensation cost is reflected in net income, as all options granted under those plans had an exercise price equal to the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of grant. In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123*. This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of Statement No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements.

The following table illustrates the effect on net income and earnings per share for the interim periods presented if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of FASB Statement No. 123, to stock-based employee compensation. The fair value of options issued under the plans was determined at the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option pricing model with the following assumptions: no dividend yield; volatility factor of the expected market price of the Company's stock of 77%; a forfeiture rate of 5%; a weighted-average expected life of options of five years; and a risk-free interest rate of 3.1% and 4.4% for 2003 and 2002, respectively. For purposes of pro forma disclosures, the estimated fair value of the options is amortized to expense over the options' vesting period.

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2003	2002	2003	2002
Net income, as reported	\$ 923	\$ 230	\$ 2,477	\$ 1,699
Deduct: Total stock-based employee compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of related tax effects	(222)	(234)	(552)	(701)
Pro forma net income	\$ 701	\$ (4)	\$ 1,925	\$ 998
Earnings per share:				
Basic as reported	\$.22	\$.05	\$.56	\$.38
Basic pro forma	\$.17	\$.00	\$.44	\$.23
Diluted as reported	\$.21	\$.05	\$.54	\$.37
Diluted pro forma	\$.16	\$.00	\$.42	\$.22

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On April 3, 2003, the Company purchased all of the capital stock of Medical Data Electronics Inc. (MDE), from SensorMedics Corporation under a Stock Purchase Agreement. SensorMedics Corporation is a wholly-owned subsidiary of VIASYS Healthcare Inc., a publicly traded healthcare technology company. MDE is a manufacturer of wireless patient monitoring products. The final purchase price was approximately \$9.4 million, of which (i) approximately \$472,000 is being held in escrow for a period of 90 days to secure indemnification obligations of MDE with respect to its adjusted working capital and (ii) approximately \$944,000 is being held in escrow for a period of one year to secure other indemnification obligations of MDE. The purchase price was arrived at through negotiation between the parties, considering inventory levels and the value of fixed assets, revenues and earnings, and other factors. The Company funded the purchase price from its existing balances of cash and short-term investments.

The Company's revenues and expenses will increase as a result of the MDE acquisition. The Company is in the early stages of integrating MDE's operations with its existing manufacturing, sales and marketing, administrative and research and experimental activities and cannot predict with certainty the proportionate impact of incorporating the MDE business.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

THREE AND NINE MONTH PERIODS ENDED MARCH 31, 2003 AND 2002

Sales of \$13,015,000 for the third quarter ended March 31, 2003 increased 19.3% compared \$10,908,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2002. Sales for the nine months ended March 31, 2003 increased 18.4% to \$36,392,000 compared with \$30,727,000 for the comparable period last year. The increases were due to continued growth in sales of the Company's magnetic resonance imaging, or MRI, vital signs monitors along with an increase in sales of general patient monitoring products. The increase in sales of general patient monitoring products was due to sales of three new products, the M12 bedside monitor introduced in the first quarter of fiscal 2003, the Centurion 2000 central station monitoring system introduced in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2002 and the Magnitude AS anesthesia delivery system for the MRI introduced in the second quarter of fiscal 2003.

Gross Profit

The gross profit margin decreased for the three months ended March 31, 2003 to 50.1% from 52.2% and decreased to 50.9% from 53.1% for the nine months ended March 31, 2003 in each case as compared to the comparable prior year periods. The decreases in the quarter and for the nine months were primarily attributable to sales of the Magnitude AS anesthesia delivery system. The Magnitude AS is sold under an exclusive distributor agreement with an original equipment manufacturer, Draeger Medical, Inc. providing for lower gross profit margins than vital signs monitors sold by the Company. The Company's gross profit margins on the MRI vital signs monitor did not change materially for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2003.

Operating Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2003 increased 8.0% or \$335,000 and 11.6% or \$1,362,000, respectively, from the previous fiscal periods. Selling, general and administrative expenses were 34.7% and 35.9% of sales for the three and nine month periods ended March 31, 2003 compared with 38.4% and 38.1% for the comparable periods in fiscal 2002. The increases in these expenditures for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2003 were primarily due to higher administrative expenses in support of the increase in sales as well as higher insurance costs, increased legal and professional expenses, and an increase in the provision for bad debt. The increase for these periods were also attributable to increased selling expenses primarily as a result of higher wages and commissions on the higher sales volume along with increased promotional activities.

Research and experimental expenses for the three month period ended March 31, 2003 remained stable at \$765,000 or 5.9% of sales as compared to \$763,000 or 7.0% of sales for three month period ended March 31, 2002. Research and experimental expenses for the nine month period ended March 31, 2003 decreased slightly to \$2,318,000 or 6.4% of sales as compared to \$2,331,000 or 7.6% of sales for the comparable period in fiscal 2002. Substantial material expenditures for the development of the next generation vital signs monitors, in the prior fiscal year's second quarter, accounted for the decrease in the year to date research and experimental spending levels in fiscal 2003. The Company plans to continue its efforts in developing new products and enhancing its existing ones

Table of Contents

and expects future research and experimental expenditures as a percentage of sales to be in the range of the third quarter of fiscal 2003 levels.

Other Income and Expense

Interest income was \$157,000 for the third quarter of fiscal 2003 as compared to \$38,000 for the comparable prior year period. For the first nine months of fiscal 2003 interest income was \$530,000 as compared to \$159,000 for the comparable prior year period. The increase was due to the larger cash and short-term investment balances in fiscal 2003 as compared to the prior year.

Provision for Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the first nine months of fiscal 2003 was 32.2% as compared to 36.7% for the comparable prior year period. The decrease in the effective rate was primarily due to the effect of federal tax-exempt interest income from short-term investments.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Working capital at March 31, 2003 decreased to \$31,906,000 from \$38,837,900 at June 30, 2002. This decrease was primarily the result of the Company's tender offer for 650,000 shares of its common stock at a purchase price of \$15.00 per share in February of 2003. The aggregate purchase price including expenses for payment for the shares tendered was approximately \$9.9 million, which the Company funded from available cash and short-term investments.

Net cash used in operating activities was \$1,037,000 for the nine months ended March 31, 2003 compared with \$4,562,000 provided by operating activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2002. This increase in net cash used in operating activities was largely the result of changes in operating assets and liabilities, particularly accounts receivable, inventories, accrued expenses and income taxes payable.

Capital expenditures were \$1,049,000 for the first nine months of fiscal 2003 compared to \$1,460,000 for the respective prior year period. Capital expenditures were primarily related to sales demonstration equipment for the medical business sales force. Cash used in investing activities in the first nine months of fiscal 2003 consisted of primarily of the stock repurchase described above.

The Company's acquisition of MDE subsequent to March 31, 2003 required cash payments, including expenses, of approximately \$9.4 million and will result in the Company's retaining cash and short-term investments of approximately \$8.0 to \$9.0 million. The Company believes that the remaining cash balance, along with its borrowing capacity, will be sufficient to support its working capital and capital expenditure requirements throughout fiscal 2003.

The Company renewed its \$1,000,000 revolving bank line of credit on January 1, 2003. The line of credit is unsecured. At March 31, 2003, \$1,000,000 was available under the line of credit.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect its reported amounts of assets and liabilities, revenues and expenses and related disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to allowance for doubtful accounts, inventory reserves, warranty obligations, intangible assets, income taxes, revenue recognition and contingencies and litigation. The estimates are based on the information that is currently available to the Company and on various other assumptions that management believes to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could vary from those estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

For a discussion of the Company critical accounting policies, please see *Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates* in Item 7 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In August 2001, the FASB issued Statement No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets* (SFAS 144). SFAS 144 supersedes SFAS 121, *Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of*, and provides new rules on asset impairment and a single accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of. Although retaining many of the fundamental recognition and measurement provisions of SFAS 121, the new rules significantly change

Table of Contents

the criteria that would have to be met to classify an asset as held-for-sale. The new rules also supersede the provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, Reporting the Results of Operations-Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions, with regard to reporting the effects of a disposal of a segment of a business and require expected future operating losses from discontinued operations to be displayed in discontinued operations in the period(s) in which the losses are incurred. SFAS 144 is effective in fiscal 2003, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 145, Rescission of SFAS Nos. 4, 44 and 64, Amendment of SFAS 13, and Technical Corrections (SFAS 145). SFAS 145 revises the criteria for classifying the extinguishments of debt as extraordinary and the accounting treatment of certain lease modifications. SFAS 145 is effective in fiscal 2003, and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On July 30, 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities (SFAS 146). SFAS 146 establishes accounting guidelines for the recognition and measurement of a liability for the cost associated with an exit or disposal activity initially at its fair value in the period in which the liability is incurred, rather than at the date of a commitment to an exit or disposal plan. This standard is effective January 1, 2003 for all exit or disposal activities initiated after that date and is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In November 2002, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness to Others, an interpretation of FASB Statements No. 5, 57 and 107 and a rescission of FASB Interpretation No. 34*. This Interpretation elaborates on the disclosures to be made by a guarantor in its interim and annual financial statements about its obligations under guarantees issued. The Interpretation also clarifies that a guarantor is required to recognize, at inception of a guarantee, a liability for the fair value of the obligation undertaken. The initial recognition and measurement provisions of the Interpretation are applicable to guarantees issued or modified after December 31, 2002 and did not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2002, the FASB issued SFAS No. 148, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 123*. This Statement amends FASB Statement No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, to provide alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change to the fair value method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation. In addition, this Statement amends the disclosure requirements of Statement No. 123 to require prominent disclosures in both annual and interim financial statements. Certain of the disclosure modifications are required for interim periods beginning after December 15, 2002 and are included in the notes to these consolidated financial statements.

In January 2003, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an interpretation of ARB No. 51*. This Interpretation addresses the consolidation by business enterprises of variable interest entities as defined in the Interpretation. The Interpretation applies immediately to variable interests in variable interest entities created after January 31, 2003, and to variable interests in variable interest entities obtained after January 31, 2003. The application of this Interpretation is not expected to have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward-looking statements regarding the Company's plans, expectations, estimates and beliefs. Actual results could differ materially from those discussed in, or implied by, these forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements are identified by words such as believe, anticipate, expect, intend, plan, will, may and other similar expressions. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. The Company is not obligated to update or revise these forward-looking statements to reflect new events or circumstances. Factors that could cause actual results, events or circumstances to differ from forward-looking statements made in this report include those set forth in the following Risk Factors section.

RISK FACTORS

THE COMPANY IS DEPENDENT ON A CONCENTRATED LINE OF PRODUCTS

The Company's future financial performance will be dependent on its patient monitor product lines, which include a limited number of products. In the MRI monitoring market, the growth of the market for the Company's MRI monitors is heavily dependent on the

Table of Contents

continued acceptance of MRI technology as a diagnostic tool. In the general patient monitoring market, future growth of the Company's Millennia and M12 monitors is dependent on the Company's ability to further penetrate an already competitive market. By virtue of its recent acquisition of MDE, the Company acquired additional patient monitor products and therefore continues to be subject to the risk of concentration in this industry.

In addition, the recent consolidation in the medical care provider market has resulted in a number of very large purchasers of medical devices. These large purchasers typically prefer to establish relationships with medical device manufacturers that have broad and diverse product lines.

The failure of the Company's products to continue to gain market acceptance, the market's transition away from any existing line of products or a continued consolidation of the medical care provider market could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY FACES SUBSTANTIAL LEVELS OF COMPETITION

The Company has encountered and will continue to encounter significant competition in the sale of its products. The Company's general patient monitoring competitors include a number of large multinational corporations. Some of these competitors may be able to adapt more quickly to new or emerging technologies and changes in customer requirements, or to devote greater resources to the development, promotion and sale of their products than the Company can. In the MRI patient monitoring market, the Company has enjoyed a significant first-to-market advantage over its competitors. However, competitors have introduced products that compete with the Company's MRI vital signs monitoring products. In addition, as the market for MRI vital signs monitoring products expands it may attract competitors with greater resources.

Additionally, competition may increase if new companies enter the Company's markets or if existing competitors expand their product lines or intensify efforts within existing product lines. The introduction of competitive products may result in a decrease in the Company's market share and in a decrease in the prices at which the Company is able to sell its products. The Company's market share could also be adversely affected by increasing concentration in the medical care provider market. Any decrease in the Company's market share or decrease in the prices at which the Company is able to sell its products could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY'S FINANCIAL RESULTS MAY FLUCTUATE

The Company's financial results may fluctuate significantly from period to period because of a variety of factors, many of which are beyond its control. These factors include:

- increased competition, including possible future competition in the MRI monitor market
- changes in the Company's pricing policies and those of its competitors
- changes in the Company's operating expenses or capital expenditures
- timing and market acceptance of new and upgraded product introductions by the Company and its competitors
- introduction of alternative technologies by the Company and its competitors
- effect of potential acquisitions
- other general economic factors

Fluctuations caused by these and other factors could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY IS SUBJECT TO A SIGNIFICANT RISK OF NEW LAWS RELATED TO HEALTH CARE

Changes in the law or new interpretations of existing laws may have a significant effect on the Company's costs of doing business and the amount of reimbursement the Company receives from both government and third-party payors. In addition, economic forces,

Table of Contents

regulatory influences and political initiatives are subjecting the health care industry to fundamental changes. Federal, state and local government representatives are likely to continue to review and assess alternative health care delivery systems and payment methods. The Company expects ongoing public debate on these issues. Any of these efforts or reforms could have a material adverse affect on the Company's business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY'S BUSINESS IS SUBJECT TO TECHNOLOGICAL CHANGE AND INTRODUCTION OF NEW PRODUCTS

Technological change, evolving industry standards and new product introductions and enhancements characterize the markets for the Company's products. Many of the Company's existing products and products under development are technologically innovative, and therefore require significant planning, design, development and testing. These activities require the Company to make significant capital commitments and investments. In addition, industry standards may change on short notice and new products and technologies may render existing products and technologies uncompetitive. Additionally, the products that the Company is currently developing, and those that the Company develops in the future, may not be technologically feasible or accepted by the marketplace or they may not be completed in an acceptable time frame. Technological change could prevent the Company from achieving the benefits it expects from research initiatives and could also result in a loss from existing products.

THE COMPANY FACES RISKS ASSOCIATED WITH ACQUISITIONS

The Company acquired all of the capital stock of MDE in April 2003 and may make additional acquisitions in the future. Acquisitions involve numerous risks, including difficulties in the integration of the operations, services, technologies, products and personnel of the acquired companies, diversion of management's attention from other business concerns, overvaluation of the acquired companies, potential loss of key employees and customers of the acquired companies and lack of acceptance by the marketplace of the acquired companies' products or services. Some of the acquired products or technologies may require significant additional development before they can be marketed and may not generate sufficient revenue to offset expenses associated with the acquisitions. Future acquisitions may also result in dilution to existing stockholders, the use of a substantial portion of the Company's cash and investment balances, the incurrence of debt, large one-time write-offs and the creation of goodwill or other intangible assets that could result in significant impairment charges or amortization expense. Moreover, the Company may face exposure to litigation and other unanticipated contingent liabilities of the acquired companies. Any of these problems or factors with respect to the acquisition of MDE or any other acquisition completed by the Company could result in a material adverse effect to the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations.

THE COMPANY CURRENTLY IS INVOLVED IN LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company's medical device subsidiary, Invivo Research, was one of two third-party defendants named in a lawsuit in June of 1994 by Southern Nevada Surgical Center and Surgex Southern Nevada, Inc. in Nevada State District Court. The underlying action in this matter stemmed from an incident involving a surgical patient undergoing a procedure at the Southern Nevada Surgical Center. The patient suffered a serious permanent brain injury. A lawsuit was filed on behalf of the patient against the surgical center and the anesthesiologist who monitored the patient. The defendants in that action made a substantial settlement to the patient. Southern Nevada Surgical Center (SNSC) and Surgex were seeking indemnity and contribution of approximately \$14 million from the manufacturer of the anesthetic gas machine and Invivo Research, which manufactured the vital signs monitor used in this procedure. SNSC and Surgex alleged that both the anesthetic gas machine and the vital signs monitor were defective. The Company believes that the vital signs monitor operated properly and was properly designed for its intended function.

On August 18, 1999, the Nevada District Court granted the Company's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute. The Order granted dismissal of the SNSC and Surgex contribution claims, without prejudice, based upon Nevada law that provides that an action must be brought to trial within five years of the date of the filing of the original action. The dismissal is being appealed.

In April of 1997, the plaintiff's insurer, CNA, filed an action with identical causes in the same Nevada State Court. This second action was removed by the Company to U.S. District Court. The action by CNA was dismissed by the District Court on January 19, 2000 as the District Court found CNA did not have standing as the real party of interest. CNA appealed the decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. A three-member panel of the Ninth Circuit reversed the dismissal and remanded the case back to Federal District Court on July 30, 2001. The Company appealed this decision and requested a decision from the full panel of the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit, without issuing an opinion, unanimously voted to deny the Petition for Rehearing in this matter. The action was remanded to the U.S. District Court for further proceedings.

Table of Contents

In December of 2002, the Company's insurer agreed to globally settle the U.S. District Court action and Nevada District Court action for an amount within the insurance policy's applicable limits, in order to protect the Company from exposure.

In January of 2003, the U.S. District Court granted a Motion for Summary Judgment, which had been filed by the Company in October 2002, and the matter was dismissed. CNA filed a Motion for Reconsideration and in March of 2003 the motion was denied. In April of 2003, CNA filed a Notice of Appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

In November, 1999, four individuals previously employed by the Company's Invivo Research subsidiary filed a multi-plaintiff lawsuit against the Company in the Middle District Court of Florida alleging violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. Since this filing, three additional individuals have chosen to opt-in to this case, one of the individuals has subsequently voluntarily dismissed all claims with prejudice and a second individual has filed a voluntary motion for dismissal from the case. The remaining plaintiffs are claiming entitlement to back pay and front pay in an aggregate amount of approximately \$2 million. If they are successful, they would be also be entitled to liquidated (double) damages with respect to back pay, to their attorneys' fees and costs and to prejudgment interest. The Company believes that Invivo Research has substantial defenses to the plaintiffs' allegations and intends to defend itself vigorously in this matter. The Company further believes that even if the plaintiffs were successful in pursuit of their claims, that the proper amount of damages would be substantially less than the amount alleged. The trial in this matter is currently set to begin in May of 2003.

In addition to the litigation described above, the Company is also currently a defendant in other litigation matters and may from time to time be subject to new litigation and third party claims. Litigation is by its nature costly and may divert management's attention, either of which could adversely affect the Company's operating results. In addition, if any current or future litigation is determined adversely, the Company's operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

THE COMPANY FACES PRODUCT LIABILITY AND PRODUCT RECALL RISKS

With respect to all of its products, and particularly its medical devices, the Company faces the risk of potentially large product liability claims. The malfunction or misuse of its products could potentially result in serious harm to a patient. In addition, the Company may be required to indemnify its distributors and customers for similar claims made against them.

Claims could be made against the Company even if its products did not contribute to the injury that was sustained. Frequently, the Company's products are used with products developed by other manufacturers. Even if its products are not the cause of the injury, the Company may not be able to prove that some other product malfunction or human error caused a claimant's injury.

The Company has had product liability claims made against it in the past and may have further claims made against it in the future. While the Company is insured for certain product liability claims, not all claims will be covered and the level of its insurance may not be sufficient to protect it from the full amount of a successful claim. In addition, the Company may not be able to obtain adequate amounts of insurance at an acceptable cost. Claims made against the Company that are not insured, or that exceed the amount of the Company's coverage, could have a material adverse effect on its business and results of operations.

Similarly, the Company's products are subject to the potential of being recalled by government agencies for actual or potential deficiencies or problems. Any such recall would likely be expensive and would have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and results of operations.

THE COMPANY FACES INCREASED RISKS OF INTERNATIONAL OPERATIONS

International sales have accounted for over 20% of the Company's sales for each of the past three years and may increase over time. International sales are subject to a number of risks, including the following:

fluctuations in exchange rates may affect the demand for products and services the Company provides in foreign markets

adverse changes in local economic conditions could depress the demand for the Company's products

agreements may be difficult to enforce and receivables difficult to collect through a foreign country's legal system

foreign customers may have longer payment cycles

Table of Contents

foreign countries may impose additional withholding taxes or otherwise tax the Company's foreign income, impose tariffs, or adopt other restrictions on foreign trade

U.S. export licenses may be difficult to obtain

the protection of intellectual property in foreign countries may be more difficult than in the United States

acts of terrorism or war may have an adverse impact on foreign markets

Any of these factors could have a material adverse impact on the Company's business and results of operations.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company's sales are primarily denominated in U.S. dollars and as a result, the Company has relatively little exposure to foreign currency exchange risk with respect to its sales. The Company does not currently hedge against exchange foreign currency rate fluctuations. The effect of an immediate 10% change in exchange rates would not have a material impact on the Company's future operating results or cash flows.

The Company's exposure to market risk for a change in interest rates relates primarily to its investment portfolio. As of March 31, 2003, the Company's short-term investments consisted of available-for-sale securities of \$16.4 million. These fixed income marketable securities included corporate bonds, municipal bonds and mutual bond funds, all of which are of high investment grade. The securities are subject to interest rate risk and will decline in value if the market interest rates increase. If the market interest rates were to increase immediately and uniformly by 10% from levels as of March 31, 2003, the decline in the fair value of the portfolio would not be material to the Company's financial position.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. Regulations under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 require public companies to maintain disclosure controls and procedures, which are defined to mean a company's controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. The Company's chief executive officer and chief financial officer, based on their evaluation of the effectiveness of its disclosure controls and procedures within 90 days before the filing date of this report, concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective for this purpose.

Changes in Internal Controls. There were no significant changes in the Company's internal controls or, to the Company's knowledge, in other factors that could significantly affect these controls subsequent to the date of the evaluation referenced above.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1: LEGAL PROCEEDINGS:

1.) The Company's medical device subsidiary, Invivo Research, was one of two third-party defendants named in a lawsuit in June of 1994 by Southern Nevada Surgical Center and Surgex Southern Nevada, Inc. in Nevada State District Court. The underlying action in this matter stemmed from an incident involving a surgical patient undergoing a procedure at the Southern Nevada Surgical Center. The patient suffered a serious permanent brain injury. A lawsuit was filed on behalf of the patient against the surgical center and the anesthesiologist who monitored the patient. The defendants in that action made a substantial settlement to the patient. Southern Nevada Surgical Center (SNSC) and Surgex were seeking indemnity and contribution of approximately \$14 million from the manufacturer of the anesthetic gas machine and Invivo Research, which manufactured the vital signs monitor used in this procedure. SNSC and Surgex alleged that both the anesthetic gas machine and the vital signs monitor were defective. The Company believes that the vital signs monitor operated properly and was properly designed for its intended function and intends to defend itself vigorously in this matter.

On August 18, 1999, the Nevada District Court granted the Company's Motion to Dismiss for Failure to Prosecute. The Order granted dismissal of the SNSC and Surgex contribution claims, without prejudice, based upon Nevada law that provides that an action must be brought to trial within five years of the date of the filing of the original action. The dismissal is being appealed.

Table of Contents

In April of 1997, the plaintiff's insurer, CNA, filed an action with identical causes in the same Nevada State Court. This second action was removed by the Company to U.S. District Court. The action by CNA was dismissed by the District Court on January 19, 2000 as the District Court found CNA did not have standing as the real party of interest. CNA appealed the decision to the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. A three-member panel of the Ninth Circuit reversed the dismissal and remanded the case back to Federal District Court on July 30, 2001. The Company appealed this decision and requested a decision from the full panel of the Ninth Circuit. The Ninth Circuit, without issuing an opinion, unanimously voted to deny the Petition for Rehearing in this matter. The action was remanded to the U.S. District Court for further proceedings.

In December of 2002, the Company's insurer agreed to globally settle the U.S. District Court action and Nevada District Court action for an amount within the insurance policy's applicable limits, in order to protect the Company from exposure.

In January of 2003, the U.S. District Court granted a Motion for Summary Judgment, which had been filed by the Company in October 2002, and the matter was dismissed. CNA filed a Motion for Reconsideration and in March of 2003 the motion was denied. In April of 2003, CNA filed a Notice of Appeal with the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals.

2.) In November, 1999, four individuals previously employed by the Company's Invivo Research subsidiary filed a multi-plaintiff lawsuit against the Company in the Middle District Court of Florida alleging violations of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act. Since this filing, three additional individuals have chosen to opt-in to this case, one of the individuals has subsequently voluntarily dismissed all claims with prejudice and a second individual has filed a voluntary motion for dismissal from the case. The remaining plaintiffs are claiming entitlement to back pay and front pay in an aggregate amount of approximately \$2 million. If they are successful, they would be also be entitled to liquidated (double) damages with respect to back pay, to their attorneys' fees and costs and to prejudgment interest. The Company believes that Invivo Research has substantial defenses to the plaintiffs' allegations and intends to defend itself vigorously in this matter. The Company further believes that even if the plaintiffs were successful in pursuit of their claims, that the proper amount of damages would be substantially less than the amount alleged. The trial in this matter is currently set to begin in May of 2003.

ITEM 2: CHANGES IN SECURITIES:

Not Applicable.

ITEM 3: DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES:

Not Applicable.

ITEM 4: SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITIES HOLDERS:

Not Applicable.

ITEM 5: OTHER INFORMATION:

Not Applicable.

ITEM 6: EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

- (a) Exhibit 99.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.*

* As contemplated by SEC Release No. 33-8212, this exhibit is furnished with this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and is not deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not incorporated by reference in any filing of Invivo Corporation under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filings.

- (b) Reports on Form 8-K:

None.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

INVIVO CORPORATION

Date: May 15, 2003

By: /s/ JOHN F. GLENN

Vice President of Finance
and Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and
Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, James B. Hawkins, President and Chief Executive Officer of Invivo Corporation certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Invivo Corporation (the Registrant);
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report.
4. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the Registrant and we have:
 - a.) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b.) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant's disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the Evaluation Date); and
 - c.) Presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the Registrant's auditors and the audit committee of Registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a.) All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the Registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the Registrant's auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b.) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant's internal controls; and
6. The Registrant's other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: May 15, 2003

By: /s/ JAMES B. HAWKINS

James B. Hawkins
President and Chief Executive Officer

Table of Contents

CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER CERTIFICATION

I, John F. Glenn, Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Invivo Corporation certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of Invivo Corporation (the Registrant);
2. Based on my knowledge, this quarterly report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this quarterly report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this quarterly report.
4. The Registrant s other certifying officers and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-14 and 15d-14) for the Registrant and we have:
 - a.) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures to ensure that material information relating to the Registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this quarterly report is being prepared;
 - b.) Evaluated the effectiveness of the Registrant s disclosure controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this quarterly report (the Evaluation Date); and
 - c.) Presented in this quarterly report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures based on our evaluation as of the Evaluation Date;
5. The Registrant s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation, to the Registrant s auditors and the audit committee of Registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent function):
 - a.) All significant deficiencies in the design or operation of internal controls which could adversely affect the Registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial data and have identified for the Registrant s auditors any material weaknesses in internal controls; and
 - b.) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant s internal controls; and
6. The Registrant s other certifying officers and I have indicated in this quarterly report whether or not there were significant changes in internal controls or in other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the date of our most recent evaluation, including any corrective actions with regard to significant deficiencies and material weaknesses.

Date: May 15, 2003

By: /s/ JOHN F. GLENN

John F. Glenn
Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer