NORTHFIELD LABORATORIES INC /DE/ Form 10-Q January 09, 2007

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SEXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES				
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM	TO				
COMMISSION FILE NORTHFIELD LAB					
(Exact name of registrant a					
DELAWARE	36-3378733				
(State or other jurisdiction	(I.R.S. Employer				
of incorporation or organization)	Identification Number)				
1560 SHERMAN AVENUE, SUITE 1000,					
EVANSTON,	60201-4800				
ILLINOIS	(Zip Code)				
(Address of principal executive offices)	• •				
REGISTRANT S TELEPHONE NUMBER,	INCLUDING AREA CODE: (847) 864-3500				
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to	such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes b No o				
Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large a	accelerated filer, an accelerated filer or a non-accelerated				
filer.					
Large accelerated filer o Accelerated Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell of Act) Yes o No b	ompany (as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Exchange				
As of November 30, 2006, Registrant had 26,814,475 sha	res of common stock outstanding				

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM PART I

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

PART II

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

SIGNATURES

Letter Regarding Unaudited Interim Financial Information

Certification of Steven A. Gould, M.D.

Certification of John J. Hinds

Certification of Steven A. Gould, M.D.

Certification of John J. Hinds

Table of Contents

to revise these forward-looking statements.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This Quarterly Report contains forward-looking statements concerning, among other things, our prospects, clinical and regulatory developments affecting our potential product and our business strategies. These forward-looking statements are identified by the use of such terms as intends, expects, plans, estimates, anticipates, forecasts, believes and similar terms.

These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those predicted by the forward-looking statements because of various factors and possible events, including those discussed under Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and those matters discussed under Legal Proceedings in this Quarterly Report. Because these forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties, actual results may differ significantly from those predicted in these forward-looking statements. You should not place undue weight on these statements. These statements speak only as of the date of this document or, in the case of any document incorporated by reference, the date of that document. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to Northfield or any person acting on our behalf are qualified by the cautionary statements in this section and in our Annual Report. We will have no obligation

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders

Northfield Laboratories Inc.:

We have reviewed the balance sheet of Northfield Laboratories Inc. (a company in the development stage) as of November 30, 2006, and the related statements of operations for the three-month periods ended November 30, 2005, and the statements of operations and cash flows for the six-month periods ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005 and for the period from June 19, 1985 (inception) through November 30, 2006. We have also reviewed the statements of shareholders equity (deficit) for the six-month period ended November 30, 2006 and for the period from June 19, 1985 (inception) through November 30, 2006. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the balance sheet of Northfield Laboratories Inc. as of May 31, 2006, and the related statements of operations, shareholders—equity (deficit), and cash flows for the year then ended and for the period from June 19, 1985 (inception) through May 31, 2006 (not presented herein); and in our report dated August 11, 2006, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying balance sheet as of May 31, 2006 and in the accompanying statements of operations, cash flows and shareholders equity (deficit) for the period from June 19, 1985 (inception) through May 31, 2006 is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the statements from which it has been derived.

(signed) KPMG LLP

Chicago, IL January 9, 2007

Part I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements.

NORTHFIELD LABORATORIES INC.

(a company in the development stage)
Balance Sheets
November 30, 2006 and May 31, 2006

	ovember 30, 2006 (unaudited)	May 31, 2006
Assets		
Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Restricted cash Marketable securities Prepaid expenses Other assets	\$ 30,839,591 227,458 20,792,724 782,334 38,129	39,304,602 926,492 33,679,022 813,104
Total current assets	52,680,236	74,723,220
Property, plant, and equipment Accumulated depreciation	21,562,127 (13,090,764)	15,654,049 (14,575,118)
Net property, plant, and equipment Other assets	8,471,363 19,550	1,078,931 68,941
	\$ 61,171,149	75,871,092
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity		
Current liabilities: Accounts payable Accrued expenses Accrued compensation and benefits Government grant liability Other	\$ 3,641,577 93,870 986,412 227,458 6,021	4,481,804 134,006 742,038 926,492 249,580
Total liabilities	4,955,338	6,533,920
Shareholders equity: Preferred stock, \$.01 par value. Authorized 5,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding		
	268,162	267,777

Common stock, \$.01 par value. Authorized 60,000,000 shares; issued 26,816,192 at November 30, 2006 and 26,777,655 at May 31, 2006			
Additional paid-in capital		243,275,100	241,240,276
Deficit accumulated during the development stage Deferred compensation	((187,302,058)	(172,136,429) (9,059)
		56,241,204	69,362,565
Less cost of common shares in treasury; 1,717 shares and 1,717 shares, respectively		(25,393)	(25,393)
Total shareholders equity		56,215,811	69,337,172
	\$	61,171,149	75,871,092
See accompanying notes to financial statements and accountants review report	t.		

NORTHFIELD LABORATORIES INC.

(a company in the development stage)
Statement of Operations

Three and six months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005 and for the cumulative period from June 19, 1985 (inception) through November 30, 2006

		Three mor		Six months end	Cumulative from June 19, 1985 through	
Revenues license income	(ı \$	2006 unaudited)	2005 (unaudited)	2006 (unaudited)	2005 (unaudited)	November 30, 2006 (unaudited) 3,000,000
Costs and expenses: Research and development General and administrative		5,625,231 2,700,999	5,573,302 1,459,825	11,451,344 5,264,648	10,667,176 2,848,819	159,232,541 60,540,548
		8,326,230	7,033,127	16,715,992	13,515,995	219,773,089
Other income and expenses: Interest income Interest expense		723,175	764,016	1,550,363	1,466,158	29,629,186 83,234
	\$	723,175	764,016	1,550,363	1,466,158	29,545,952
Net loss before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		(7,603,055)	(6,269,111)	(15,165,629)	(12,049,837)	(187,227,137)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle						74,921
Net loss	\$	(7,603,055)	(6,269,111)	(15,165,629)	(12,049,837)	(187,302,058)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.28)	(0.23)	(0.57)	(0.45)	(16.60)

Shares used in calculation of per share data basic and

diluted 26,800,028 26,758,538 26,790,669 26,754,947 11,281,878

See accompanying notes to financial statements and accountants review report.

Table of Contents

NORTHFIELD LABORATORIES INC.

(a company in the development stage)
Statements of Shareholders Equity (Deficit)
Six months ended November 30, 2006 and the cumulative period from June 19, 1985 (inception) through November 30, 2006

D e l			Series A	convertible	Series B	convertible			Deficit accumulated		
Preferred stock Nu anggere ga of	Common t N umber			red stock Aggregate		red stock Aggregate		lditional paid-in	during the development	Deferred compen-Tr	easur
shænesount	of shares	amount	of shares	amount	of shares	amount	(capital	stage	sation s	hares
ck 7, \$	3,500,000	\$ 35,000		\$		\$	\$	(28,000)	\$	\$	\$
0											
			250,000	250,000				670,850	(607,688)		
36	3,500,000	35,000	250,000	250,000				642,850	(607,688) (2,429,953)		
n k											
1							2	2,340,000		(2,340,000))
n										720,000	
7	3,500,000	35,000	250,000	250,000	200,633	200,633		2,982,850 6,882,502	(3,037,641)	(1,620,000))

1								(3,057,254)	
n									566,136
58 ck r	3,500,000	35,000	250,000	250,000	200,633	200,633	9,865,352	(6,094,895)	(1,053,864)
of of	413,020	4,130					9,749,870		
ck of	1,250,000	12,500	(250,000)	(250,000)			237,500		
ck s	1,003,165	10,032			(200,633)	(200,633)	190,601		
ck r	47,115	471					93,759		
89									
of	175,525 87,760	1,755 878					4,976,855 2,488,356		

7,443,118 (791,206) n k 683,040 (683,040)1 800,729 n 6,476,585 35,728,451 64,766 (6,886,101)(936,175)(3,490,394)n k 699,163 (699,163)546,278 n 64,766 (10,376,495)6,476,585 36,427,614 (1,089,060)(5,579,872)

Table of Contents 12

n

435,296

1	6,476,585	64,766	36,427,614 (15,956,367)	(653,764)
nts				
	90,000	900	503,100 (7,006,495)	
n				
n				254,025
2	6,566,585	65,666	36,930,714 (22,962,862)	(399,739)
nts				
	15,000	150	106,890	
ck r				
93				
of	374,370	3,744	5,663,710	
n			(8,066,609)	
n				254,025
3	6,955,955	69,560	42,701,314 (31,029,471)	(145,714)
	, ,	,	(7,363,810)	
ck				
4				
of	2,500,000	25,000	14,163,851	
	, ,,,,,,,,,	,		
n			(85,400)	85,400
n				267
4	9,455,955	94,560	56,779,765 (38,393,281)	(60,047)
	7, 4 JJ,7JJ	74 ,200	56,779,765 (38,393,281) (7,439,013)	(00,047)
	Table of Conte	nts		13

375,000	3,750		2,261,250	
10,000	100		71,300	
187,570	1,875		373,264	
			(106,750)	106,750
				(67,892
\$ 10,028,525 \$		\$ acial statements and a	\$ \$59,378,829 \$(45,832,294)	\$ (21,189

May 31, 1996

13,586,155

135,862

NORTHFIELD LABORATORIES INC.

(a company in the development stage)
Statements of Shareholders Equity (Deficit)
Six months ended November 30, 2006 and the cumulative period from June 19, 1985 (inception) through November 30, 2006

				Series Serie A B	es		Deficit			Total
	Preferred			nver tibh vert refer pd efer			accumulated			share-
	stock Nu hrdgere gate of	Commor Number		stock stock Angled yattleer of of		dditional paid-in	during the development	Deferred compen-	Treasury	holders equity
	shænesount	of shares	amountsl	n ancsoshranc s	ount	capital	stage	sation	shares	(deficit)
Net loss Issuance of common stoc at \$17.75 per share on August 9, 199 (net of issuan costs of	95		\$	\$ \$	\$		\$ (4,778,875)	\$	\$	6 (4,778,875)
\$3,565,125) Issuance of common stoc at \$17.75 per share on September 11 1995 (net of issuance costs	Ι,	2,925,000	29,250		2	48,324,374				48,353,624
of \$423,238) Exercise of stock options		438,750	4,388			7,360,187				7,364,575
\$2.00 per sha Exercise of stock options	re	182,380	1,824			362,937				364,761
\$6.38 per sha Exercise of stock options	re	1,500	15			9,555				9,570
\$7.14 per sha Cancellation stock options Amortization deferred	of of	10,000	100			71,300 (80,062)		80,062		71,400
compensation Balance at	1							(62,726))	(62,726)

Table of Contents 15

115,427,120

(50,611,169)

(3,853)

64,947,960

Net loss Exercise of				(4,245,693)		(4,245,693)
stock options at \$0.20 per share Exercise of stock options at	263,285	2,633	50,025			52,658
\$2.00 per share Exercise of	232,935	2,329	463,540			465,869
stock options at \$7.14 per share Amortization of deferred compensation	10,000	100	71,300		2,569	71,400 2,569
Balance at May 31, 1997 Net loss Exercise of	14,092,375	140,924	116,011,985	(54,856,862) (5,883,378)	(1,284)	61,294,763 (5,883,378)
stock options at \$7.14 per share Amortization of deferred	5,000	50	35,650			35,700
compensation					1,284	1,284
Balance at May 31, 1998 Net loss Non-cash	14,097,375	140,974	116,047,635	(60,740,240) (7,416,333)		55,448,369 (7,416,333)
compensation Exercise of stock options at			14,354			14,354
\$7.14 per share Exercise of stock warrants	17,500	175	124,775			124,950
at \$8.00 per share	125,000	1,250	998,750			1,000,000
Balance at May 31, 1999	14,239,875	142,399	117,185,514	(68,156,573)		49,171,340
Net loss Non-cash compensation Exercise of stock options at			57,112	(9,167,070)		(9,167,070) 57,112
\$13.38 per share	2,500	25	33,425			33,450
Balance at May 31, 2000	14,242,375	142,424	117,276,051	(77,323,643)		40,094,832

Table of Contents 16

Net loss

(10,174,609)

(10,174,609)

Non-cash compensation Exercise of stock options at						
\$6.38 per share Exercise of stock options at \$10.81 per	6,000	60	38,220			38,280
share	17,500	175	189,000			189,175
Balance at May 31, 2001 Net loss	14,265,875	142,659	117,503,271	(87,498,252) (10,717,360)		30,147,678 (10,717,360)
Balance at May 31, 2002 Net loss	14,265,875	142,659	117,503,271	(98,215,612) (12,250,145)		19,430,318 (12,250,145)
Balance at May 31, 2003 Issuance of common stock at \$5.60 per share on	14,265,875	142,659	117,503,271	(110,465,757)		7,180,173
July 28, 2003 (net of costs of issuance of \$909,229) Issuance of common stock to directors at \$6.08 per share	1,892,857	18,928	9,671,843			9,690,771
on October 30, 2003 Deferred	12,335	123	74,877			75,000
compensation related to stock grants Amortization of	25,500	255	190,995		(191,250)	
deferred compensation Issuance of common stock at \$5.80 per share on Ianuary 29, 2004 (net of costs of					35,630	35,630
issuance of \$1,126,104)	2,585,965 237,008	25,860 2,370	13,846,633 1,255,853			13,872,493 1,258,223

Issuance of common stock at \$5.80 per share on February 18, 2004 (net of costs of issuance of \$116,423) Issuance of common stock at \$5.80 per share on April 15, 2004							
(net of costs of issuance of \$192,242) Issuance of common stock at \$12.00 per share on May 18, 2004	409,483	4,095	2,178,664				2,182,759
(net of costs of issuance of \$1,716,831.36) Exercise of	1,954,416	19,544	21,716,616				21,736,160
stock options at \$6.38 per share Net loss	15,000	150	95,550	(14,573,798)			95,700 (14,573,798)
Balance at May 31, 2004 Deferred compensation	21,398,439	213,984	166,534,302	(125,039,555)	(155,620)		41,553,111
related to stock grants Amortization of	5,500	55	71,055		(71,110)		
deferred compensation Exercise of stock options between \$5.08					122,121		122,121
and \$14.17 per share Cost of shares in treasury,	167,875	1,679	1,739,585				1,741,264
1,717 shares Issuance of common stock to directors at \$12.66 per	5,925	59	74,941			(25,393)	(25,393) 75,000

Table -4.0	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-	-7				40
onale	2,750	28	26,640				26,668
and \$11.09 per share	8,000	80	65,075				65,155
stock options at \$10.66, \$5.15							
Exercise of							
2006	1,406	14	14,986				15,000
February 24,							
\$10.67 per share on							
to director at							
common stock							
Issuance of	1,133	12	1 1,500				12,000
2005	1,135	12	14,988				15,000
share on October 3,							
\$13.21 per							
to director at							
common stock							
2005 Issuance of	5,750	57	74,943				75,000
September 29,	E 750	£7	74.042				75 000
share on							
\$13.05 per							
to directors at							
Issuance of common stock							
share	2,875	29	29,295				29,324
\$10.66 per	 .	6.5					20.55
\$7.13 and							
stock options at							
compensation Exercise of					95,550		95,550
deferred					95,550		95,550
Amortization of							
May 31, 2005	26,752,739	267,527	240,997,444	(145,361,011)	(104,609)	(25,393)	95,773,958
Balance at							
Net loss				(20,321,456)			(20,321,456)
\$4,995,689)	5,175,000	51,750	72,577,561	/ - 0 - - · ·-			72,629,311
issuance of							
costs of							
2005 (net of							
share on February 9,							
at \$15.00 per							
common stock							
Issuance of							
2004							
September 21,							
share on							

Exercise of stock options at \$10.66 and \$7.13 per share Exercise of stock options at						
\$5.15 and \$7.13 per share Net loss	3,000	30	16,905	(26,775,418)		16,935 (26,775,418)
Balance at May 31, 2006 Eliminate remaining deferred	26,777,655	267,777	241,240,276	(172,136,429)	(9,059) (25,393)	69,337,172
compensation (unaudited) Exercise of stock options at					9,059	9,059
\$5.15 and \$7.13 per share (unaudited) Exercise of stock options at	2,750	28	17,105			17,133
\$7.13 per share (unaudited) Issuance of	750	7	5,348			5,355
common stock to directors at \$13.03 per share on September 20, 2006						
(unaudited) Exercise of stock options at \$11.44 per	6,912	69	89,931			90,000
share (unaudited) Exercise of stock options at \$5.15, \$11.92 and \$13.21 per	10,000	100	114,300			114,400
share (unaudited) Exercise of stock options at \$5.08 and	3,125	31	24,646			24,677
\$6.08 per share (unaudited)	15,000	150	81,050 1,702,444			81,200 1,702,444
Table of Conte	ents					20

Share-based compensation (unaudited)
Net loss

(unaudited) (15,165,629) (15,165,629)

Balance at November 30, 2006

(unaudited) \$ 26,816,192 \$ 268,162 \$ \$ \$243,275,100 \$ (187,302,058) \$ (25,393) \$ 56,215,811

See accompanying notes to financial statements and accountants review report.

NORTHFIELD LABORATORIES INC.

(a company in the development stage)
Statements of Cash Flows
Six months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005
and the cumulative period from June 19, 1985
(inception) through November 30, 2006

Cumulative

	Six months end	from June 19, 1985 through	
	2006 (unaudited)	2005 (unaudited)	November 30, 2006 (unaudited)
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (15,165,629)	(12,049,837)	(187,302,058)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			
Marketable security amortization	(696,573)	(971,514)	(2,994,776)
Depreciation and amortization	245,815	123,043	19,190,586
Stock based compensation	1,801,503	155,768	5,862,527
Loss of sale of equipment			66,359
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Prepaid expenses	30,770	290,882	(991,545)
Other assets	11,262	34,588	(1,878,589)
Accounts payable	(840,227)	(899,519)	3,641,577
Accrued expenses	(40,136)	(3,164)	93,870
Government grant liability	(699,034)		227,458
Accrued compensation and benefits	244,374	557,936	986,412
Other liabilities	(243,559)	(14,806)	6,021
Net cash used in operating activities	(15,351,434)	(12,776,623)	(163,092,158)
Cash flows from investing activities: Purchase of property, plant, equipment, and capitalized			
engineering costs Proceeds from sale of land and equipment	(7,638,247)	(235,644)	(27,586,560) 1,863,023
Proceeds from matured marketable securities Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	58,000,000	99,170,000	675,646,352 7,141,656
Purchase of marketable securities	(44,417,129)	(49,357,247)	(700,591,736)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	5,944,624	49,577,109	(43,527,265)

Cash flows from financing activities:

Proceeds from issuance of common stock Payment of common stock issuance costs Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock Proceeds from sale of stock options to purchase common shares Proceeds from issuance of notes payable Repayment of notes payable	242,765	29,324	236,367,900 (14,128,531) 6,644,953 7,443,118 1,500,000 (140,968)
Net cash provided by financing activities	242,765	29,324	237,686,472
Net increase (decrease) in cash	(9,164,045)	36,829,810	31,067,049
Cash at beginning of period Restricted cash	39,304,602 699,034	6,800,405	(227,458)
Cash at end of period	\$ 30,839,591	43,630,215	30,839,591
Supplemental Schedule of Noncash Financing Activities: Exercise of stock option, 5,000 shares in exchange for 1,717 treasury shares See accompanying notes to financial statements and acco	\$ untants review repo	ort.	25,393

NORTHFIELD LABORATORIES INC.

(a company in the development stage)
Notes to Financial Statements

November 30, 2006 (unaudited)

(1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The interim financial statements presented are unaudited but, in the opinion of management, have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applied on a basis consistent with those of the annual financial statements. Such interim financial statements reflect all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) necessary for a fair presentation of the financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented. The results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal years. The interim financial statements should be read in connection with the audited financial statements for the year ended May 31, 2006.

(2) USE OF ESTIMATES

Our management has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities to prepare these financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

(3) COMPUTATION OF NET LOSS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding and excludes the dilutive effect of unexercised common stock equivalents. Diluted earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding and includes the dilutive effect of unexercised common stock equivalents. Because we reported net losses for all periods presented, basic and diluted per share amounts are the same. As of November 30, 2006, we have 1,599,483 options and 212,392 warrants that were excluded from the diluted net loss per share calculation because their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

(4) SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company s Nonqualified Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors (Directors Plan) lapsed on May 31, 2004. Following the termination of the plan, all options outstanding prior to plan termination may be exercised in accordance with their terms. As of November 30, 2006 options to purchase a total of 60,000 shares of the Company s common stock at prices between \$4.09 and \$13.38 per share were outstanding under this plan. These options expire between 2008 and 2012, ten years after the date of grant.

With an effective date of October 1, 1996, the Company established the Northfield Laboratories Inc. 1996 Stock Option Plan (the 1996 Option Plan). Following the termination of the plan, all options outstanding prior to plan termination may be exercised in accordance with their terms. This plan provides for the granting of stock options to the Company s directors, officers, key employees, and consultants. Stock options to purchase a total of 500,000 shares of common stock are available under the 1996 Option Plan. During the quarters ended November 30, 2006 and 2005, the Company did not grant any options from this plan. As of November 30, 2006, options to purchase a total of 274,500 shares of the Company s common stock at prices between \$9.56 and \$15.41 were outstanding under this plan. These options expire between 2007 and 2008, ten years after the date of grant.

With an effective date of June 1, 1999, the Company established the Northfield Laboratories Inc. 1999 Stock Option Plan (the 1999 Option Plan). This plan provides for the granting of stock options to the Company s directors, officers, key employees, and consultants. Stock options to purchase a total of 500,000 shares of common stock are available under the 1999 Option Plan. During the quarters ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005, the Company did not grant any options from this plan to purchase shares of common stock. As of November 30, 2006, options to purchase a total of 286,375 shares of the Company s common stock at prices between \$3.62 and \$14.17 per share were outstanding under this plan. These options expire in 2013, ten years after the date of grant.

With an effective date of January 1, 2003, the Company established the New Employee Stock Option

Table of Contents

Plan (the New Employee Plan). This plan provides for the granting of stock options to the Company s new employees. Stock options to purchase a total of 350,000 shares are available under the New Employee Plan. During the quarter ended November 30, 2006, the Company granted no options to purchase shares of common stock under this plan. During the quarter ended November 30, 2005, the Company granted 5,000 options to purchase shares of common stock at a price of \$12.70 per share. As of November 30, 2006, options to purchase a total of 105,000 shares of the Company s common stock at prices between \$3.62 and \$18.55 per share were outstanding under this plan. These options expire between 2014 and 2016, ten years after the date of grant.

With an effective date of September 17, 2003, the Company established and shareholders approved the 2003 Equity Compensation Plan with 750,000 available share awards. This plan provides for the granting of stock, stock options and various other types of equity compensation to the Company's employees, non-employee directors and consultants. On September 29, 2005, the number of available share awards was increased to 2,250,000 by shareholder approval. During the quarter ended November 30, 2006, the Company granted 62,500 options to purchase shares of common stock at prices between \$13.03 and \$14.68. During the quarter ended November 30, 2005, the Company granted 145,000 options to purchase shares of common stock at prices between \$12.70 and \$13.22. At November 30, 2006, options to purchase a total of 1,086,000 shares of the Company's common stock at prices between \$5.94 and \$18.55 were outstanding under this plan. These options expire between 2014 and 2016, ten years after the date of grant.

The service period for option plans is generally four years, with shares vesting at a rate of 25% each year.

Restricted stock awards are granted to key members of the Company s management team. Restricted stock awards granted to employees, beginning with shares granted in 2003, vest 50% on their first anniversary and in their entirety on the second anniversary of the award. At November 30, 2006, there were no shares of unvested restricted stock. All restricted stock vested in the 2nd quarter of 2007. No restricted shares were granted in the six months ended November 30, 2006, and 2,750 shares vested during the six months ended November 30, 2006. We measure the fair value of restricted stock based upon the market price of the underlying common stock at the date of grant. At November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005, the amount of related deferred compensation reflected in shareholders—equity was \$0 and \$38,839, respectively. The amortization of deferred compensation for the three-month period ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005 was \$94 and \$32,705, respectively. The amortization of deferred compensation for the six-month period ended November 30, 2006, and November 30, 2005, was \$9,059 and \$155,700, respectively.

The Company issued shares from authorized but unissued common shares upon share option exercises and restricted stock grants.

Effective June 1, 2006, we adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 123 (revised), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123R). Among its provisions, SFAS 123R requires us to recognize compensation expense for equity awards over the vesting period based on their grant-date fair value. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, we utilized the intrinsic-value based method of accounting under APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees and related interpretations, and adopted the disclosure requirements of SFAS No. 123,

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123). Under the intrinsic-value based method of accounting, compensation expense for stock options granted to our employees was measured as the excess of the fair value of the Company s common stock at the grant date over the amount the employee must pay for the stock.

We adopted SFAS 123R in the first quarter of fiscal 2007 using the modified prospective approach. Under this transition method, the measurement and our method of amortization of costs for share-based payments granted prior to, but not vested as of June 1, 2006, is based on the same estimate of the grant-date fair value and the same amortization method that was previously used in our SFAS 123 pro forma disclosure. Results for prior periods have not been restated as provided for under the modified prospective approach. For equity awards granted after the date of adoption, we will amortize share-based compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting term.

Table of Contents

Compensation expense is recognized only for share-based payments expected to vest. We estimate forfeitures at the date of grant based on our historical experience and future expectations. Prior to the adoption of SFAS 123R, the effect of forfeitures on the pro forma expense amounts was recognized based on actual forfeitures.

The Company does not recognize a tax benefit related to share based compensation due to the historical net operating losses and related valuation allowance.

The effect of adopting SFAS 123R and the impact of the expense on basic earnings per share for the three and six months ended November 30, 2006 was \$.04 and \$.06, respectively, and the related charge recognized in Statement of Operations in the three and six months ended November 30, 2006 was \$1.1 million and \$1.7 million, respectively.

The following table shows the effect on net income for three and six months ended November 30, 2005 had compensation expense been recognized based upon the estimated fair value on the grant date of awards, in accordance with SFAS 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure.

	Three months Ended November 30, 2005		Six months Ended ovember 30, 2005
Net loss as reported	(6,269,111)	\$	(12,049,837)
Add: Stock based compensation expense included in statements of operations	122,705	•	155,770
Deduct: Total stock based compensation expense determined under the fair value method for all awards	(1,144,955)		(1,642,070)
Pro forma net loss	\$ (7,291,361)	\$	(13,536,137)
Basic and diluted earnings per share: As reported	(.23)		(.45)
Pro forma	(.27)		(.51)

As of November 30, 2006, there was approximately \$5,047,556 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the incentive plans. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.97 years.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model. The table below outlines the weighted average assumptions for options granted during the three and six months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005.

	Three Mo	nths Ended	Six Months Ended		
	November 30, 2006	November 30, 2005	November 30, 2006	November 30, 2005	
Fair value	\$ 580,000	\$ 1,144,955	\$ 1,090,890	\$ 1,642,070	
Expected volatility	72.6%	73.5%	73.1%	71.6%	
Risk-free interest rate	5.0%	4.2%	5.0%	4.2%	
Dividend yield					
Expected lives	6.7 years	7.0 years	6.8 years	7.2 years	

The expected term of the options represents the estimated period of time until exercise and is based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior. Expected stock price volatility is based on historical volatility of the Company s stock. The

risk free interest rate is based on the implied yield available on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with equivalent remaining term.

Table of Contents

On June 30, 2006, the Company issued 5,000 options to purchase shares of common stock to one individual at a price of \$9.65 per share. On July 6, 2006, the Company issued 33,000 options to purchase shares of common stock to 22 individuals at a price of \$10.94 per share. On July 21, 2006, the Company issued 2,000 options to purchase share of common stock to one individual at a price of \$11.59 per share. On August 14, 2006, the Company issued 25,000 options to purchase shares of common stock to one individual at a price of \$10.87 per share. On September 20, 2006, the company issued 60,000 options to purchase shares of common stock to six individuals at a price of \$13.03 per share. On October 11, 2006, the Company issued 2,500 options to one individual at a price of \$14.68 per share. For all options other than the September 20, 2006 option grant, the Company will expense the share-based compensation over the vesting period of the options, which is four years. The options granted on September 20, 2006, vested immediately.

The weighted average grant-date fair value of options granted during the six months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005 was \$1,090,890 and \$1,642,070, respectively. The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the three months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005 was \$580,000 and \$1,144,955, respectively.

The following table summarizes the Company s option activity during the six months ended November 30, 2006:

			Rang	e of	A	eighted verage xercise	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Terms	Aggregate Intrinsic
	Shares	E	xercise	Prices		Price	(years)	Value
Outstanding at May 31, 2006	1,747,375	\$	3.62	\$19.00	\$	11.11		
Granted at Fair Value	65,000	\$	9.65	\$11.59	\$	10.83		
Exercised	3,500	\$	5.15	\$ 7.13	\$	6.43		
Expired	0							
Cancelled	16,000	\$	5.15	\$15.15	\$	12.80		
Outstanding at August 31, 2006	1,791,875	\$	3.62	\$19.00	\$	11.10	6.06	\$ 2,797,666
Exercisable at August 31, 2006	533,500	\$	3.62	\$15.90	\$	11.24	6.06	\$ 353,500
Granted at Fair Value	62,500	\$	13.03	\$14.68	\$	13.10		
Exercised	28,125	\$	5.08	\$13.21	\$	7.83		
Expired	5,000	\$		11.44	\$	11.44		
Cancelled	9,375	\$	10.66	\$22.02	\$	17.07		
Outstanding at November 30,								
2006	1,811,875	\$	3.62	\$19.00	\$	11.18	6.23	\$8,312,282
Exercisable at November 30,								
2006	983,625	\$	3.62	\$15.90	\$	9.54	6.23	\$5,663,189
			•					

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above is before taxes and based on a weighted average exercise price of \$11.18 and \$9.54, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005 was \$195,541 and \$0, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the six months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005 was \$201,911 and \$10,058, respectively. The total fair value of options vested during the three months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2005 was \$1,108,658 and \$1,022,250, respectively. The total fair value of options vested during the six months ended November 30, 2006 and November 30, 2006 was \$1,702,442 and \$1,486,300, respectively.

(5) RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARD

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation defines the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of FIN 48 will have on its financial position and results of operations.

In November 2006, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB 108 requires registrants to quantify misstatements using both the balance sheet and income statement approaches and to evaluate whether either approach results in quantifying an error that is material in light of the relevant quantitative and qualitative factors. SAB 108 is effective for annual financial statements covering the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of SAB 108 will have a material impact on its financial position and results of operations.

(6) RESTRICTED CASH

As of November 30, 2006, the Company had \$227,458 in restricted cash from a government grant. The funds are being used in accordance with the terms of the grant and all funds will be used during the current fiscal year. The Company accounts for the lapse in cash s restriction when grant expenditures are incurred. The Company recognizes the funds as a contra-expense or a reduction in the asset carrying value based on the type of grant expenditure incurred.

(7) MARKETABLE SECURITIES

The Company, at November 30, 2006, is invested in high grade commercial paper. The Company has the intent and ability to hold these securities until maturity and all securities have a maturity of less than one year.

The fair market value of the Company s marketable securities was \$20,788,486 at November 30, 2006, which included gross unrealized holding losses of \$4,238. The fair market value of the Company s marketable securities was \$33,677,649 at May 31, 2006, which included gross unrealized holding losses of \$1,373. All of these marketable securities are scheduled to mature in less than one year.

(8) PROPERTY, PLANT & EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost and are depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the respective assets. Leasehold improvements are amortized using the straight-line method over the lesser of the life of the asset or the term of the lease, generally five years.

On June 23, 2006, the Company purchased its previously leased manufacturing facility for \$6,731,000. With the purchase, the lease for the facility has been canceled, the asset retirement obligation was terminated, and the lease deposit of \$49,200 was refunded to the Company.

(9) LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Between March 17, 2006 and May 15, 2006, ten separate complaints were filed, each purporting to be on behalf of a class of the Company s shareholders, against the Company and Dr. Steven A. Gould, the Company s Chief Executive Officer. Those putative class actions have been consolidated in a case pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division. The Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint was filed on September 8, 2006, and added Richard DeWoskin, the Company s former Chief Executive Officer, as a defendant. That complaint alleges, among other things, that during the period from March 19, 2001 through March 20, 2006, the named defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false or misleading statements and omissions about the Company s elective surgery clinical trial and business prospects in violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. Plaintiffs allege that those allegedly false and misleading statements and omissions caused the purported class to purchase the Company s common stock at artificially inflated prices. As relief, the complaint seeks, among other things, a declaration that the action be certified as a proper class action, unspecified compensatory damages (including interest) and payment of costs and expenses (including fees for legal counsel and experts). On November 20, 2006, Northfield, Dr. Steven A. Gould, and Richard DeWoskin filed motions to dismiss the complaint, and on December 22, 2006, the plaintiffs filed a response in opposition to those motions to dismiss. The briefing on the motions to dismiss continues. The putative class action is at an early stage and it is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of any of the matters or their potential effect, if any, on the Company or the clinical development or future commercialization of PolyHeme. Given the early stage of these proceedings, no amounts have been accrued for the resolution of this matter. The Company intends to defend vigorously against the allegations stated in the Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint.

On March 13, 2006, the SEC notified the Company that it is conducting an informal inquiry, and requested that the Company voluntarily provide the Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, with certain categories of documents from 1998 to the present primarily relating to the Company spublic disclosures concerning the clinical development of PolyHeme. Since that time, the SEC has sent the Company additional requests for documents and information, and has modified its initial requests. The Company is cooperating with the SEC and has been providing the SEC with the requested documents and information on a rolling basis.

On March 17, 2006, the Company also received a letter from Senator Charles E. Grassley, Chairman of the Senate Committee on Finance, requesting that the Company provide certain categories of documents relating to the Phase III clinical trauma trial as well as documents relating to correspondence with FDA. Since that time, the Company has produced documents to the Committee, and the Committee has sought additional documents from the Company.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

RECENT DEVELOPMENTS

On December 19, 2006 we reported preliminary top-line data from our pivotal Phase III trauma trial assessing the efficacy and safety of PolyHeme. However, because of discrepancies in the initial data, the database will be unlocked and corrected by the Company s Contract Research Organization, or CRO, prior to finalizing the statistical analyses.

The study was conducted to seek an indication for the use of PolyHeme that addresses a critical unmet medical need. This was an active control dual superiority/non-inferiority trial, comparing the survival of PolyHeme patients to those who receive standard treatment, namely saltwater plus blood. The non-inferiority boundary was based on the potential to provide a benefit in situations where a transfusion of blood was indicated but not available.

The primary efficacy endpoint of the study is a dual superiority and non-inferiority test of Day 30 mortality in the modified intent to treat, or MITT, population (those who were randomized and received some treatment). In order to achieve the superiority endpoint, the upper limit of the confidence interval, or CI, surrounding the observed difference in mortality between the treatment and control groups needed to be less than zero; for the non-inferiority endpoint, the upper limit of the CI needed to be 7% or less. Once again, the non-inferiority boundary is based on the potential to provide a benefit in situations where transfusion of blood is indicated but not available.

The preliminary results indicate that in the MITT population the upper limit of the CI exceeded the 7% threshold by 0.3%. However, in the pre-specified per protocol, or PP, population (those who both received the correct treatment and did not otherwise violate the protocol), the upper limit of the CI was below the threshold, at 5.8%.

The primary safety endpoints in the study were Day 1 mortality, Day 30 mortality, and durable serious adverse events (SAEs). Durable serious adverse events were pre-defined as SAEs occurring in any subject which results in a permanently disabling outcome. The statistical test for these endpoints is inferiority to control.

With respect to Day 1 and Day 30 mortality in the MITT population, the preliminary analysis reveals there was no statistically significant difference between the treatment and control groups. There were 33 deaths (9.5%) in the PolyHeme group and 27 deaths (7.4%) in the control group on Day 1. The PP population was characterized by fewer deaths in the PolyHeme group (19 versus 21) and identical mortality rates (6.8%). There were two durable SAEs in each group.

Once the preliminary study data have been verified and any discrepancies corrected, we will issue a press release describing the final study data. It is possible that the final results may differ from the preliminary results that we provided. In addition, we expect that additional safety data will be provided by our CRO with the final results. This information will also be announced through a press release.

Based on the preliminary results, we will continue to move forward towards submission of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, to FDA. We will review the final data from our trial and submit those data to FDA once we receive the complete analysis.

Since Northfield s incorporation in 1985, we have devoted substantially all of our efforts and resources to the research, development and clinical testing of PolyHeme. We have incurred operating losses during each year of our operations since inception and expect to incur substantial additional operating losses for the next several years. From Northfield s inception through November 30, 2006, we have incurred operating losses totaling \$187,302,000.

Table of Contents

We will be required to prepare and submit a BLA to FDA and obtain regulatory approval from FDA before PolyHeme can be sold commercially. The FDA regulatory process is subject to significant risks and uncertainties. We therefore cannot at this time reasonably estimate the timing of any future revenues from the commercial sale of PolyHeme. The costs incurred by Northfield to date and during each period presented below in connection with our development of PolyHeme are described in the Statements of Operations in our financial statements.

Our success will depend on several factors, including our ability to obtain FDA regulatory approval of PolyHeme and our manufacturing facilities, obtain sufficient quantities of blood to manufacture PolyHeme in commercial quantities, manufacture and distribute PolyHeme in a cost-effective manner, enforce our patent positions and raise sufficient capital to fund these activities. We have experienced significant delays in the development and clinical testing of PolyHeme. We cannot ensure that we will be able to achieve these goals or that we will be able to realize product revenues or profitability on a sustained basis or at all.

We urge you to review the Risk Factors section in our most recent Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission for a discussion of certain of these risks and uncertainties.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

We reported no revenues for either of the three and six-month periods ended November 30, 2006 or 2005. From Northfield s inception through November 30, 2006, we have reported total revenues of \$3,000,000, all of which were derived from licensing fees.

OPERATING EXPENSES

Operating expenses for our second fiscal quarter ended November 30, 2006 totaled \$8,326,000, an increase of \$1,293,000 from the \$7,033,000 reported in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Measured on a percentage basis, second quarter fiscal 2007 operating expenses exceeded second quarter fiscal 2006 expenses by 18.4%. The increase is primarily driven by share based compensation expense as we adopted SFAS 123R in the current fiscal year. See Share Based Compensation within the notes to our unaudited financial statements included in this report. Also included in the current quarter operating expenses was an increase in professional fees and incurred expenses relating to our legal proceedings. See Legal Proceedings within Part II, Item 1, included in this report.

Research and development expenses during the second quarter of fiscal 2007 totaled \$5,625,000, and remained essentially level with the \$5,573,000 reported in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. Included in the current quarter research and development expenses was an increase in salaries and benefits expense related to headcount increasing to 78 as of November 30, 2006 from 62 as of November 30, 2005. The increase in headcount is directly related to the reporting of data from our trial to FDA, as well as our preparation for FDA review of our planned manufacturing facility. This increase was offset by a decrease in spending for outside clinical expenses related to our Phase III trial as enrollment was completed in the first fiscal quarter of 2007.

We reported preliminary top-line data of our pivotal Phase III trial in December 2006 and continue the significant task of data assembly, analysis and reporting to FDA. Preparing the Biologics License Application for PolyHeme will continue through fiscal 2007. At the same time, we will continue to prepare for FDA s review of our planned manufacturing facility. We expect to expand the use of external resources to complete these tasks in a timely manner.

General and administrative expenses in the second quarter of fiscal 2007 totaled \$2,701,000, which is an increase of \$1,241,000, or 85.0%, from the \$1,460,000 of general and administrative expenses reported in the second quarter of fiscal 2006. The increased expenses in the second quarter of fiscal 2007

Table of Contents

compared to the second quarter of fiscal year 2006 were primarily due to increased share based compensation expense with the adoption of SFAS 123R in the first quarter of fiscal 2007. Also included in the current fiscal quarter is an increase for professional service fees and expenses related to our ongoing legal proceedings.

We anticipate significant general and administrative expense increases for the remainder of fiscal 2007. Additional share based compensation expense, legal expenses as well as other professional service costs, such as market research and corporate communications, are expected.

For the six-month period ended November 30, 2006, operating expenses of \$16,716,000 exceeded the operating expenses of \$13,516,000 incurred in the six-month period ended November 30, 2005. The dollar increase was \$3,200,000 and the percentage increase equaled 23.6%. The increases were primarily driven by shared based compensation and legal expenses. In addition, we experienced increases in salaries and benefits as we expanded our internal capabilities through increased headcount.

Research and development expenses for the six-month period ended November 30, 2006 totaled \$11,451,000, which represents a \$784,000, or 7.3%, increase from the comparable expenses of \$10,667,000 incurred in the six-month period ended November 30, 2005. The increased expenses this fiscal year are share based compensation and salaries and benefits.

General and administrative expenses for the six-month period ended November 30, 2006 totaled \$5,265,000, which is an increase of \$2,776,000, or 97.4%, from the \$2,849,000 of general and administrative expenses reported for the six-month period ended November 30, 2005. The increased expenses this fiscal year are share based compensation and professional fees related to legal expenses.

INTEREST INCOME

Interest income for the three-month period ended November 30, 2006 totaled \$723,000, a decrease of \$41,000 from the \$764,000 in interest income reported in the three-month period ended November 30, 2005. Although we had a significantly lower level of cash and marketable securities available to invest during the current fiscal quarter, higher short-term interest rates offset most of the negative impact.

Interest income for the six-month period ended November 30, 2006 totaled \$1,550,000, an increase of \$84,000 from \$1,466,000 in interest income reported in the six-month period ended November 30, 2005. The decrease in cash balances was more than offset by the increase in short-term interest rates. We continue to invest our funds only in high grade, short-term instruments.

NET LOSS

Our net loss for the three-month period ended November 30, 2006 totaled \$7,603,000, or \$0.28 per share, compared to a net loss of \$6,269,000, or \$0.23 per share, for the three-month period ended November 30, 2005. In dollar terms, the loss increased by \$1,334,000, or 21.2%, primarily as a result of share based compensation expense as we adopted SFAS 123R in the current fiscal year. Also included in the current fiscal quarter is an increase for professional service fees and expenses related to our ongoing legal proceedings.

On a fiscal year to date basis, we reported a loss of \$15,166,000, or \$0.57 per share, compared to a prior year six-month loss of \$12,050,000, or \$0.45 per share. The increased net loss of \$3,116,000, or 25.8%, was primarily the result of share based compensation, legal expenses and salaries and benefits expense.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

From Northfield s inception through November 30, 2006, we have used cash in operating activities and for the purchase of property, plant, equipment and engineering services in the amount of \$190,679,000.

Table of Contents

For the six months ended November 30, 2006 and 2005, these cash expenditures totaled \$22,990,000 and \$13,012,000, respectively. The current fiscal year six-month increase in cash utilization is due primarily to the Company s purchase of its previously leased manufacturing facility for \$6,731,000. Other contributing factors are our increased salaries and benefit expense related to our expanded infrastructure, as well as professional fees and expense related to our legal proceedings.

We have financed our research and development and other activities to date through the public and private sale of equity securities and, to a more limited extent, through the license of product rights. As of November 30, 2006, we had cash and marketable securities totaling \$51,633,000. As previously reported, we have been successful in securing a \$1.4 million federal appropriation as part of the Defense Appropriation Bill in 2005 and a \$3.5 million federal appropriation as part of the Fiscal 2006 Defense Appropriation Bill. As of November 30, 2006, we have received \$1,235,000 of these funds.

We are currently utilizing our cash resources at a rate of approximately \$28 million per year. Based on our current estimates, we believe our existing capital resources would be sufficient to permit us to conduct our operations, including the preparation and submission of a BLA to FDA, for approximately 21 to 24 months. As of the date of this report, a decision to launch our planned manufacturing facility construction project and expansion of our manufacturing, sales, marketing and distribution capabilities, has been deferred until we have final data from our pivotal Phase III trial and have submitted that data to FDA.

We may in the future issue additional equity or debt securities or enter into collaborative arrangements with strategic partners, which could provide us with additional funds or absorb expenses we would otherwise be required to pay. We are also pursuing potential sources of additional government funding. Any one or a combination of these sources may be utilized to raise additional capital. We believe our ability to raise additional capital or enter into a collaborative arrangement with a strategic partner will depend primarily on the results of our clinical trial, as well as general conditions in the business and financial markets.

Our capital requirements may vary materially from those now anticipated because of the timing of final results of our clinical testing of PolyHeme, the establishment of relationships with strategic partners, changes in the scale, timing or cost of our planned commercial manufacturing facility, competitive and technological advances, the FDA regulatory process, changes in our marketing and distribution strategy and other factors.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported therein. We believe the following critical accounting policy reflects our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

NET DEFERRED TAX ASSETS VALUATION

We record our net deferred tax assets in the amount that we expect to realize based on projected future taxable income. In assessing the appropriateness of our valuation, assumptions and estimates are required, such as our ability to generate future taxable income. In the event we were to determine that it was more likely than not we would be able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of their carrying value, an adjustment to recognize the deferred tax assets would increase income in the period such determination was made. As of November 30, 2006, we have recorded a 100% valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets.

Table of Contents

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

The following table reflects a summary of our contractual cash obligations as of November 30, 2006:

		LESS THAN	
C 1011	TOTAL	ONE VEAD	1-3
Contractual Obligations	TOTAL	ONE YEAR	YEARS
Lease Obligations (1)	\$ 905,152	\$ 356,819	548,333
Other Obligations (2)	\$ 1,230,000	\$ 1,230,000	
Total Contractual Cash Obligation	\$ 2,135,152	\$ 1,586,819	\$ 548,333

(1) The lease for our Evanston headquarters is cancelable with six months notice combined with a termination payment equal to three months base rent at any time after February 14, 2009. If the lease is cancelled as of February 15, 2009, unamortized broker commissions of \$17,470 would

(2) Represents
payments
required to be
made upon
termination of
employment
agreements with
two of our
executive
officers. The
employment

also be due.

contracts renew automatically unless terminated. Figures shown represent compensation payable upon the termination of the employment agreements for reasons other than death. disability, cause or voluntary termination of employment by the executive officer other than for good reason. Additional payments may be required under the employment agreements in connection with a termination of employment of the executive officers following a change in

control of Northfield.

RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued FASB Interpretation (FIN) 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) 109, Accounting for Income Taxes. This Interpretation defines the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is

Accounting for Income Taxes . This Interpretation defines the minimum recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of FIN 48 will have on its financial position and results of operations.

In November 2006, the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) 108, Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements. SAB 108 requires registrants to quantify misstatements using both the balance sheet and income statement approaches and to evaluate whether either approach results in quantifying an error that is material in light of the relevant quantitative and qualitative factors. SAB 108 is effective for annual financial statements covering the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006. The Company does not anticipate that the adoption of SAB 108 will have a material impact on its

financial position and results of operations.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK.

We currently do not have any foreign currency exchange risk. We invest our cash and cash equivalents in government securities, certificates of deposit and money market funds. These investments are subject to interest rate risk. However, due to the nature of our short-term investments, we believe that

Table of Contents

the financial market risk exposure is not material. A one percentage point decrease in the interest rate received on our cash and marketable securities of \$51,633,000 at November 30, 2006 would decrease interest income by \$516,330 on an annual basis.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Based on their evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this report, our Chief Executive Officer and Vice President Finance have concluded that Northfield s disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Between March 17, 2006 and May 15, 2006, ten separate complaints were filed, each purporting to be on behalf of a class of the Company s shareholders, against the Company and Dr. Steven A. Gould, the Company s Chief Executive Officer. Those putative class actions have been consolidated in a case pending in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois Eastern Division. The Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint was filed on September 8, 2006, and added Richard DeWoskin, the Company s former Chief Executive Officer, as a defendant. That complaint alleges, among other things, that during the period from March 19, 2001 through March 20, 2006, the named defendants made or caused to be made a series of materially false or misleading statements and omissions about the Company s elective surgery clinical trial and business prospects in violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder and Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act. Plaintiffs allege that those allegedly false and misleading statements and omissions caused the purported class to purchase the Company s common stock at artificially inflated prices. As relief, the complaint seeks, among other things, a declaration that the action be certified as a proper class action, unspecified compensatory damages (including interest) and payment of costs and expenses (including fees for legal counsel and experts). On November 20, 2006, Northfield, Dr. Steven A. Gould, and Richard DeWoskin filed motions to dismiss the complaint, and on December 22, 2006, the plaintiffs filed a response in opposition to those motions to dismiss. The briefing on the motions to dismiss continues. The putative class action is at an early stage and it is not possible at this time to predict the outcome of any of the matters or their potential effect, if any, on the Company or the clinical development or future commercialization of PolyHeme. Given the early stage of these proceedings, no amounts have been accrued for the resolution of this matter. The Company intends to defend vigorously against the allegations stated in the Consolidated Amended Class Action Complaint.

On March 13, 2006, the SEC notified the Company that it is conducting an informal inquiry, and requested that the Company voluntarily provide the SEC with certain categories of documents from 1998 to the present primarily relating to the Company s public disclosures concerning the clinical development of PolyHeme. Since that time, the SEC has sent the Company additional requests for documents and information, and has modified its initial requests. The Company is cooperating with the SEC and has been providing the SEC with the requested documents and information on a rolling basis.

On March 17, 2006, the Company also received a letter from Senator Charles E. Grassley, Chairman of the Senate Committee on Finance, requesting that the Company provide certain categories of documents relating to the Phase III clinical trauma trial as well as documents relating to correspondence with FDA. Since that time, the Company has produced documents to the Committee, and the Committee has sought additional documents from the Company.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

The following indicates changes to the risk factors previously disclosed in Northfield s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2006:

We are required to receive FDA approval before we may sell PolyHeme commercially, data from our clinical trials to date may not be adequate to obtain FDA approval, and we may be required to conduct additional clinical trials in the future.

On December 19, 2006, we reported preliminary top-line data from our pivotal Phase III trauma trial assessing the safety and efficacy of PolyHeme. The primary efficacy endpoint in the study is a dual superiority and non-inferiority test of Day 30 mortality in the modified intent to treat, or MITT, population. In order to achieve the superiority endpoint, the upper limit of the confidence interval, or CI, surrounding the observed difference in mortality between the treatment and control groups needed to be less than zero. For the noninferiority endpoint, the upper limit of the CI needed to be 7% or less. The noninferiority boundary is based on the potential to provide a benefit in situations where transfusion of blood is indicated but not available.

The preliminary results we received from our Contract Research Organization indicated that in the primary MITT population (those who were randomized and received some treatment) the upper limit of the CI exceeded the 7% threshold by 0.3%. In the pre-specified per protocol population (those who both received the correct treatment and did not otherwise violate the protocol), the upper limit of the CI was below the threshold, at 5.8%.

Because of discrepancies in the preliminary trial data we received, the database has been unlocked and will be corrected by the Company s Contract Research Organization prior to finalizing the statistical analyses. Once the preliminary study data have been verified and any discrepancies corrected, we will issue a press release describing the final study data. It is possible that the final results may differ from the preliminary results announced by Northfield. In addition, we expect that additional safety data from our trial will be reported once it is provided to us by our Contract Research Organization.

Based on our preliminary results, we will continue to move forward towards submission of a Biologics License Application, or BLA, to FDA. The preparation of a BLA is a complex and time-consuming process and there can be no assurance that we will be able to submit our BLA in a timely manner. If the completion of our BLA takes longer than expected, FDA approval for the commercial sale of PolyHeme may be substantially delayed.

Once we submit our BLA, there can be no assurance that the submission will be accepted for filing or that FDA may not issue a refusal to file, or RTF, if it believes the filing is inadequate or incomplete. FDA previously issued an RTF to us in 2001 when we submitted a BLA based on data from our prior Phase II trauma trials. Northfield submitted an application for Fast Track for PolyHeme in August. Fast Track does not apply to a product alone, but to a combination of the product and a specific indication for use. Northfield s pivotal Phase III trial is atypical in that it has dual primary endpoints of superiority and non-inferiority. The breadth of the label indication that Northfield intends to seek for PolyHeme will thus be dependent upon the outcome of the pivotal trial. Therefore, Northfield and FDA agreed that the decision would be deferred until the endpoint, which will be the basis of the Fast Track designation, has been determined. We also plan to seek priority review of our BLA filing. Even if FDA accepts our BLA filing, there can be no assurance that FDA will grant PolyHeme Fast Track designation or will grant the BLA priority review. There can also be no assurance that FDA will determine that the trial data included in our BLA are sufficient to demonstrate that PolyHeme is safe or that we have achieved the clinical endpoints for effectiveness that are part of the trial protocol for our pivotal Phase III trial. FDA may accordingly refuse to approve PolyHeme for commercial sale or may require us to conduct additional clinical trials of PolyHeme in order to obtain approval. Even if FDA approval for the commercial sale of PolyHeme is obtained, it may include significant limitations on the indicated uses for which PolyHeme may be marketed. FDA requires a separate approval for each proposed indication for the use of PolyHeme in the United States. If we want to expand PolyHeme s indications, we will have to design additional clinical trials, submit the trial designs to FDA for review and complete those trials successfully.

Our business, financial condition and results of operations are critically dependent on receiving FDA approval of PolyHeme. A significant delay in achieving or failure to achieve FDA approval for commercial sales of PolyHeme would have a material adverse effect on us and could result in the cessation of our business.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

Our annual meeting of stockholders was held on September 20, 2006 for the purpose of electing directors and ratifying the appointment of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm. Proxies for the meeting were solicited pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and there was not solicitation in opposition to management solicitation. Each of the nominees for director, as listed in the Company solicitation, was elected with the number of votes set forth below.

Nominee		For	Withheld
Steven A. Gould, M.D.		23,994,437	1,029,596
John F. Bierbaum		24,756,760	267,273
Bruce S. Chelberg		23,543,084	1,480,949
Alan L. Heller		24,771,074	252,959
Paul M. Ness, M.D.		23,484,952	1,539,081
David A. Savner		24,714,607	309,426
Edward C. Wood, Jr.		24,756,986	258,047
The aforesaid nominees have been elected as Directors.			
The results of other matters voted upon at the annual meeting	g are as follows:		
Proposal	For	Against	Abstain
The proposal to ratify the appointment of KPMG LLP		-	
as independent registered public accounting firm for the			
Company to serve for the Company s 2007 fiscal year			
was approved.	24,785,317	144,904	93,812

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits.

Exhibit 15	Letter regarding unaudited interim financial information
Exhibit 31.1	Certification of Steven A. Gould, M.D., pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Exhibit 31.2	Certification of John J. Hinds, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
Exhibit 32.1	Certification of Steven A. Gould, M.D., pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
Exhibit 32.2	Certification of John J. Hinds, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Company in the capacities indicated on January 9, 2007.

Signature Title

/s/ Steven A. Gould, M.D. Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive

Officer

Steven A. Gould, M.D.

/s/ John J. Hinds Vice President of Finance

John J. Hinds