BRPP LLC
Form F-4/A
February 09, 2012

Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 8, 2012
Registration No. 333-177693

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Amendment No. 1
to
Form F-4
REGISTRATION STATEMENT
UNDER
THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933

Reynolds Group Holdings Limited

New Zealand	**2673**	**Not applicable**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	*(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)*	*(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)*

Reynolds Group Issuer Inc.

Delaware	**2673**	**27-1086981**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	*(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)*	*(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)*

Reynolds Group Issuer LLC

Delaware	**2673**	**27-1087026**
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	*(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)*	*(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)*

Reynolds Group Issuer (Luxembourg) S.A.

Luxembourg	**2673**	**Not applicable**

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	*(Primary Standard Industrial Classification Code Number)*	*(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)*

(See table of additional registrants on following page.)

Reynolds Group Holdings Limited
Level Nine
148 Quay Street
Auckland 1140 New Zealand
Attention: Joseph Doyle
+64 (9) 366-6259
(Address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of registrants principal executive offices)

Reynolds Group Issuer Inc.
c/o National Registered Agents, Inc.
160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101,
Dover, Delaware 19904
(804) 281-2630
(Name, address, including zip code, and telephone number, including area code, of agent for service)

With a copy to:

Steven J. Slutzky, Esq.
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP
919 Third Avenue
New York, New York 10022
(212) 909-6000

Approximate date of commencement of proposed sale to the public: As soon as practicable after this Registration Statement becomes effective.

If this Form is filed to register additional securities for an offering pursuant to Rule 462(b) under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If this Form is a post-effective amendment filed pursuant to Rule 462(d) under the Securities Act, check the following box and list the Securities Act registration statement number of the earlier effective registration statement for the same offering. o

If applicable, place an X in the box to designate the appropriate rule provision relied upon in conducting this transaction:

Exchange Act Rule 13e-4(i) (Cross-Border Issuer Tender Offer) o

Exchange Act Rule 14d-1(d) (Cross-Border Third-Party Tender Offer o

CALCULATION OF REGISTRATION FEE

Title of Each Class of Securities to be Registered	Amount to be Registered	Proposed Aggregate Offering Price per Note(1)	Amount of Registration Fee(5)
7.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2016	$1,125,000,000	$1,125,000,000	$128,925.00
7.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2016	450,000,000	450,000,000	$73,043.75(2)
8.500% Senior Notes due 2018	$1,000,000,000	$1,000,000,000	$114,600.00
7.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2019	$1,500,000,000	$1,500,000,000	$171,900.00
9.000% Senior Notes due 2019	$1,500,000,000	$1,500,000,000	$171,900.00
7.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2019	$1,500,000,000	$1,500,000,000	$171,900.00
9.875% Senior Notes due 2019	$1,000,000,000	$1,000,000,000	$114,600.00
6.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2021	$1,000,000,000	$1,000,000,000	$114,600.00
8.250% Senior Notes due 2021	$1,000,000,000	$1,000,000,000	$114,600.00
Guarantees of 7.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2016(3)	$1,125,000,000		None(4)
Guarantees of 7.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2016(3)	450,000,000		None(4)
Guarantees of 8.500% Senior Notes due 2018(3)	$1,000,000,000		None(4)
Guarantees of 7.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2019	$1,500,000,000		None(4)
Guarantees of 9.000% Senior Notes due 2019	$1,500,000,000		None(4)
Guarantees of 7.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2019	$1,500,000,000		None(4)
Guarantees of 9.875% Senior Notes due 2019	$1,000,000,000		None(4)
Guarantees of 6.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2021	$1,000,000,000		None(4)
Guarantees of 8.250% Senior Notes due 2021	$1,000,000,000		None(4)

(1) Estimated solely for the purpose of calculating the registration fee in accordance with Rule 457(f) promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933.

(2) The amount of the registration fee was calculated based on the noon buying rate on October 28, 2011 of 1 = $1.4164.

(3) See the following page for a table of guarantor registrants.

(4) Pursuant to Rule 457(n) promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, no separate filing fee is required for the guarantors.

(5) Previously paid.

The Registrants hereby amend this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act or

until this Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to said Section 8(a), may determine.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF ADDITIONAL REGISTRANTS

Exact Name of Additional Registrant as Specified in its Charter*	State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization	I.R.S. Employer Identification Number
Whakatane Mill Australia Pty Limited	Australia	Not Applicable
SIG Austria Holding GmbH	Austria	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc GmbH	Austria	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc GmbH & Co KG	Austria	Not Applicable
Closure Systems International (Brazil) Sistemas de Vedação Ltda.	Brazil	Not Applicable
SIG Beverages Brasil Ltda.	Brazil	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc do Brasil Ltda.	Brazil	Not Applicable
CSI Latin American Holdings Corporation	The British Virgin Islands	Not Applicable
Evergreen Packaging Canada Limited	Canada	Not Applicable
Pactiv Canada Inc.	Canada	Not Applicable
CSI Closure Systems Manufacturing de Centro America, Sociedad de Responsabilidad Limitada	Costa Rica	Not Applicable
Bakers Choice Products, Inc.	Delaware	54-1440852
BCP/Graham Holdings L.L.C.	Delaware	52-2076130
Blue Ridge Holding Corp.	Delaware	13-4058526
Blue Ridge Paper Products Inc.	Delaware	56-2136509
Closure Systems International Americas, Inc.	Delaware	13-4307216
Closure Systems International Holdings Inc.	Delaware	77-0710458
Closure Systems International Inc.	Delaware	25-1564055
Closure Systems International Packaging Machinery Inc.	Delaware	25-1533420
Closure Systems Mexico Holdings LLC	Delaware	74-3242904
CSI Mexico LLC	Delaware	74-3242901
CSI Sales & Technical Services Inc.	Delaware	77-0710454
Evergreen Packaging Inc.	Delaware	20-8042663
Evergreen Packaging USA Inc.	Delaware	76-0240781
Evergreen Packaging International (US) Inc.	Delaware	33-0429774
Graham Packaging Company Inc.	Delaware	52-2076126
GPC Holdings LLC	Delaware	45-2814255
Pactiv Factoring LLC	Delaware	36-4402363
Pactiv Germany Holdings, Inc.	Delaware	36-4423878
Pactiv International Holdings Inc.	Delaware	76-0531623
Pactiv LLC	Delaware	36-2552989
Pactiv Management Company LLC	Delaware	36-2552989
Pactiv Retirement Administration LLC	Delaware	32-0286913
Pactiv RSA LLC	Delaware	36-4402361
PCA West Inc.	Delaware	76-0254972
Prairie Packaging, Inc.	Delaware	36-3461752
PWP Holdings, Inc.	Delaware	74-3183918
PWP Industries, Inc.	Delaware	74-3183917
RenPac Holdings Inc.	Delaware	45-3464426

Reynolds Consumer Products Holdings LLC	Delaware	77-0710450
Reynolds Consumer Products Inc.	Delaware	77-0710443
Reynolds Flexible Packaging Inc.	Delaware	77-0710437

Exact Name of Additional Registrant as Specified in its Charter*	State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization	I.R.S. Employer Identification Number
Reynolds Food Packaging LLC	Delaware	20-1902916
Reynolds Group Holdings Inc.	Delaware	27-1086869
Reynolds Manufacturing, Inc.	Delaware	45-3412370
Reynolds Packaging Holdings LLC	Delaware	77-0710439
Reynolds Packaging Kama Inc.	Delaware	36-3916292
Reynolds Packaging LLC	Delaware	20-1902976
Reynolds Presto Products Inc.	Delaware	76-0170620
Reynolds Services Inc.	Delaware	27-0147082
SIG Combibloc Inc.	Delaware	56-1374534
SIG Holding USA, LLC	Delaware	22-2398517
Closure Systems International Deutschland GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
Closure Systems International Holdings (Germany) GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
Omni-Pac Ekco GmbH Verpackungsmittel	Germany	Not Applicable
Omni-Pac GmbH Verpackungsmittel	Germany	Not Applicable
Pactiv Deutschland Holdinggesellschaft mbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Beteiligungs GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Beverages Germany GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc Holding GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc Systems GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc Zerspanungstechnik GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Euro Holding AG & Co. KGaA	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Information Technology GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG International Services GmbH	Germany	Not Applicable
SIG Asset Holdings Limited	Guernsey	Not Applicable
Closure Systems International (Hong Kong) Limited	Hong Kong	Not Applicable
Evergreen Packaging (Hong Kong) Limited	Hong Kong	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc Limited	Hong Kong	Not Applicable
CSI Hungary Manufacturing and Trading Limited Liability Company Kft.	Hungary	Not Applicable
Closure Systems International Holdings (Japan) KK	Japan	Not Applicable
Closure Systems International Japan, Limited	Japan	Not Applicable
Southern Plastics Inc.	Louisiana	72-0631453
Beverage Packaging Holdings (Luxembourg) I S.A.	Luxembourg	Not Applicable
Beverage Packaging Holdings (Luxembourg) III S.à r.l.	Luxembourg	Not Applicable
Evergreen Packaging (Luxembourg) S.à r.l.	Luxembourg	Not Applicable
Bienes Industriales del Norte, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
CSI en Ensenada, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
CSI en Saltillo, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
CSI Tecniservicio, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
Evergreen Packaging Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
Grupo Corporativo Jaguar, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
Grupo CSI de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable

Pactiv Foodservice Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable

Exact Name of Additional Registrant as Specified in its Charter*	State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization	I.R.S. Employer Identification Number
Pactiv Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
Reynolds Metals Company de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
Técnicos de Tapas Innovativas, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
Servicios Industriales Jaguar, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
Servicio Terrestre Jaguar, S.A. de C.V.	Mexico	Not Applicable
Ultra Pac, Inc.	Minnesota	41-1581031
Closure Systems International B.V.	The Netherlands	Not Applicable
Evergreen Packaging International B.V.	The Netherlands	Not Applicable
Reynolds Consumer Products International B.V.	The Netherlands	Not Applicable
Reynolds Packaging International B.V.	The Netherlands	Not Applicable
Newspring Industrial Corp.	New Jersey	22-3256117
Whakatane Mill Limited	New Zealand	Not Applicable
BRPP, LLC	North Carolina	56-2206100
Dopaco, Inc.	Pennsylvania	23-2106485
SIG allCap AG	Switzerland	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc Group AG	Switzerland	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc Procurement AG	Switzerland	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc (Schweiz) AG	Switzerland	Not Applicable
SIG Reinag AG	Switzerland	Not Applicable
SIG Schweizerische Industrie-Gesellschaft AG	Switzerland	Not Applicable
SIG Technology AG	Switzerland	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc Ltd.	Thailand	Not Applicable
Closure Systems International (UK) Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
IVEX Holdings, Ltd.	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
J. & W. Baldwin (Holdings) Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
Kama Europe Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
Omni-Pac U.K. Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
Reynolds Consumer Products (UK) Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
Reynolds Subco (UK) Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
SIG Combibloc Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
SIG Holdings (UK) Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable
The Baldwin Group Limited	United Kingdom	Not Applicable

* The address and telephone number for each of the additional registrants is c/o Reynolds Group Holdings Limited Level Nine, 148 Quay Street, Auckland 1140 New Zealand, Attention: Joseph Doyle, telephone: +64 (9) 366-6259. The name and address, including zip code, of the agent for service for each additional registrant is Reynolds Group Issuer Inc. c/o National Registered Agents, Inc., 160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101, Dover, Delaware 19904, telephone: (804) 281-2630.

Table of Contents

The information contained in this prospectus is not complete and may be changed. We may not complete this exchange offer or issue these securities until the registration statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission is effective. This prospectus is not an offer to sell these securities nor a solicitation of an offer to buy these securities in any jurisdiction where such offer or sale is not permitted.

SUBJECT TO COMPLETION, DATED FEBRUARY 8, 2012
PROSPECTUS

Reynolds Group Issuer Inc.
Reynolds Group Issuer LLC
Reynolds Group Issuer (Luxembourg) S.A.

Offer to Exchange

$1,125,000,000 Outstanding 7.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2016 and Related Guarantees for
$1,125,000,000 Registered 7.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2016 and Related Guarantees

450,000,000 Outstanding 7.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2016 and Related Guarantees for
450,000,000 Registered 7.750% Senior Secured Notes due 2016 and Related Guarantees

$1,000,000,000 Outstanding 8.500% Senior Notes due 2018 and Related Guarantees for
$1,000,000,000 Registered 8.500% Senior Notes due 2018 and Related Guarantees

$1,500,000,000 Outstanding 7.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 and Related Guarantees for
$1,500,000,000 Registered 7.125% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 and Related Guarantees

$1,500,000,000 Outstanding 9.000% Senior Notes due 2019 and Related Guarantees for
$1,500,000,000 Registered 9.000% Senior Notes due 2019 and Related Guarantees

$1,500,000,000 Outstanding 7.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 and Related Guarantees for
$1,500,000,000 Registered 7.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2019 and Related Guarantees

$1,000,000,000 Outstanding 9.875% Senior Notes due 2019 and Related Guarantees for
$1,000,000,000 Registered 9.875% Senior Notes due 2019 and Related Guarantees

$1,000,000,000 Outstanding 6.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 and Related Guarantees for
$1,000,000,000 Registered 6.875% Senior Secured Notes due 2021 and Related Guarantees

and

$1,000,000,000 Outstanding 8.250% Senior Notes due 2021 and Related Guarantees for
$1,000,000,000 Registered 8.250% Senior Notes due 2021 and Related Guarantees

Reynolds Group Issuer Inc., or the US Issuer, Reynolds Group Issuer LLC, or the US Co-Issuer, and Reynolds Group Issuer (Luxembourg) S.A., or the Lux Issuer, which collectively we refer to as the Issuers, are offering to exchange the old notes, as defined in this prospectus, for a like principal amount of new notes, as defined in this prospectus. We refer to this offer as the exchange offer.

The terms of the new notes of each series are identical in all material respects to the terms of the old notes of the same series, except that, among other differences, the new notes are registered under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, which we refer to as the Securities Act, and the transfer restrictions and registration rights relating to the old notes will not apply to the new notes. The old notes and the new notes are joint and several obligations of the Issuers. See Description of the 2009 Notes General, Description of the May 2010 Notes General, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes General, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes General, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes General, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes General, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes General and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes General.

The exchange offer will expire at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on , 2012, which date and time we refer to as the expiration date, unless the Issuers extend the expiration date, in which case expiration date means the latest date and time to which the exchange offer is extended. You should read the section called The Exchange Offer for further information on how to exchange your old notes for new notes.

The old notes and the new notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed (subject to certain customary guarantee release provisions set forth in the indentures governing the notes), on a joint and several basis, by Reynolds Group Holdings Limited, or RGHL, Beverage Packaging Holdings (Luxembourg) I S.A., or BP I, and certain of BP I s subsidiaries that, subject to certain exceptions, are borrowers under, or guarantee the Senior Secured Credit Facilities (as defined herein) of RGHL, BP I and certain subsidiaries of BP I, which collectively we refer to as the guarantors. Each guarantor is 100% owned by RGHL. The registration statement, of which this prospectus forms a part, registers the guarantees as well as the notes. Both the senior secured notes and the senior notes and the related guarantees are senior obligations of the Issuers and the guarantors and the senior secured notes are secured on a first lien priority basis by existing and future assets of certain of the guarantors, including RGHL and certain of its subsidiaries, as described in this prospectus. In the event of enforcement of the liens securing the senior secured notes, the proceeds thereof will be applied (subject to repaying certain agent and transfer fees and costs of enforcement) first to repay on a ratable basis the senior secured notes and the other indebtedness secured on a first lien priority basis by those liens, including under BP I s and its subsidiaries senior secured credit facilities. The priority of all liens securing the senior secured notes and the related guarantees is subject to certain exceptions and prior permitted liens.

See Risk Factors beginning on page 53 for a discussion of risk factors that you should consider prior to tendering your old notes in the exchange offer.

Each broker-dealer that receives new notes for its own account pursuant to the exchange offer must acknowledge that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of such new notes. The letter of transmittal states that by so acknowledging and by delivering a prospectus, a broker-dealer will not be deemed to admit that it is an underwriter within the meaning of the Securities Act. This prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, may be used by a broker-dealer in connection with resales of new notes received in exchange for the old notes where such old notes were acquired by such broker-dealer as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities. The Issuers have agreed that, for a period of 180 days after the expiration date, they will make this prospectus available to any exchanging dealer or initial purchaser and for a period of 90 days after the expiration day to any broker-dealer for use in connection with any such resale. See Plan of Distribution.

Neither the Securities and Exchange Commission nor any state securities commission has approved or disapproved of these securities or passed upon the adequacy or accuracy of this prospectus. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

The date of this prospectus is , 2012

NOTICE TO EEA INVESTORS

In relation to each Member State of the European Economic Area which has implemented the Prospectus Directive (each, a Relevant Member State), with effect from and including the date on which the Prospectus Directive is implemented in that Relevant Member State (the Relevant Implementation Date) there shall be no offer of notes to the public in that Relevant Member State prior to the publication of a prospectus in relation to the notes which has been approved by the competent authority in that Relevant Member State or, where appropriate, approved in another Relevant Member State and notified to the competent authority in that Relevant Member State, all in accordance with the Prospectus Directive, except, with effect from and including the Relevant Implementation Date, an offer of notes may be made to the public in that Relevant Member State at any time:

to any legal entity which is a qualified investor as defined in the Prospectus Directive;

to fewer than 100 or, if the Relevant Member State has implemented the relevant provision of the 2010 PD Amending Directive, 150, natural or legal persons (other than qualified investors as defined in the Prospectus Directive) as permitted under the Prospectus Directive subject to obtaining the prior consent of the representatives for any such offer; or

in any other circumstances which do not require the publication by the Issuers or any guarantor of a prospectus pursuant to Article 3(2) of the Prospectus Directive.

For the purposes of this provision, the expression an offer of notes to the public in relation to any of the notes in any Relevant Member State means the communication in any form and by any means of sufficient information on the terms of the offer and the notes to be offered so as to enable an investor to decide to purchase or subscribe for the notes, as this definition may have been amended in the Relevant Member State, and the expression Prospectus Directive means Directive 2003/71/EC and includes any relevant implementing measure in each Relevant Member State.

NOTICE TO CERTAIN NON-US INVESTORS

Austria. The notes may be offered and sold in the Republic of Austria only in accordance with the provisions of Capital Markets Act *(Kapitalmarktgesetz)*, the Banking Act *(Bankwesengesetz)*, the Securities Supervision Act 2007 *(Wertpapieraufsichtsgesetz 2007)* of Austria and any other applicable Austrian law governing the offer and sale of the notes in the Republic of Austria. The notes have not been admitted for a public offer in Austria either under the provisions of the Capital Markets Act *(Kapitalmarktgesetz)*, or the Investment Funds Act *(Investmentfondsgesetz)* or the Stock Exchange Act *(Börsegesetz)*. Neither this document nor any other document in connection with the notes is a prospectus according to the Capital Markets Act *(Kapitalmarktgesetz)*, the Stock Exchange Act *(Börsegesetz)* or the Investment Funds Act *(Investmentfondsgesetz)* and has therefore not been drawn up, audited, approved, pass-ported and/or published in accordance with the aforesaid acts. Consequently, the notes may not be, and are not being, offered, (re-)sold or otherwise transferred directly or indirectly by way of a public offering in the Republic of Austria. No steps may be taken that would constitute a public offer of the notes in Austria and the offer of the notes may not be advertised publicly in the Republic of Austria.

Brazil. The notes have not been, and will not be, registered with the Brazilian Securities Commission (*Comissão de Valores Mobiliários*). The notes may not be offered or sold in Brazil, except in circumstances that do not constitute a public offering or unauthorized distribution under Brazilian laws and regulations. The notes are not being offered into Brazil. Documents relating to the offering of the notes, as well as information contained therein, may not be supplied to the public in Brazil, nor be used in connection with any offer for subscription or sale of the notes to the public in

Brazil.

Denmark. This prospectus does not constitute a prospectus under Danish law or regulations and has not been and will not be filed with or approved by the Danish Financial Supervisory Authority or any other regulatory authority in Denmark, and the notes have not been and are not intended to be listed on a Danish stock exchange or a Danish authorized market place. Furthermore, the notes have not been and will not be offered to the public in Denmark. Consequently, this prospectus may not be made available nor may the notes otherwise be marketed or offered for sale directly or indirectly in Denmark, except to qualified investors within the meaning of, or otherwise in compliance with an exemption set forth in, Executive Order No. 306 of April 28, 2005.

France. The notes have not been and will not be offered or sold, directly or indirectly, to the public in France *(offre au public de titres financiers)*, and no offering or marketing materials relating to the notes must be made available or distributed in any way that would constitute, directly or indirectly, an offer to the public in France.

The notes may only be offered or sold in France to qualified investors *(investisseurs qualifiés)* and/or to a limited group of investors *(cercle restreint d investisseurs)* as defined in and in accordance with articles L.411-1, L.411-2 and D.411-1 to D.411-3 of the French Code monétaire et financier and article 211-2 of the Règlement Général of the French financial market authority *(Autorité des Marchés Financiers)*.

Prospective investors are informed that:

this prospectus has not been submitted for clearance to the *Autorité des Marchés Financiers*;

in compliance with article D.411-1 of the French *Code monétaire et financier*, any investors subscribing for the notes should be acting for their own account; and

the direct and indirect distribution or sale to the public of the notes acquired by them may only be made in compliance with articles L.411-1, L.411-2, L.412-1 and L.621-8 of the French *Code monétaire et financier*.

Germany. The notes may be offered and sold in the Federal Republic of Germany only in accordance with the provisions of the Securities Prospectus Act of the Federal Republic of Germany *(Wertpapierprospektgesetz, WpPG)* and any other applicable German law. This prospectus has not been and will not be filed with or approved by the German Financial Services Supervisory Authority *(Bundesanstalt für Finanzdienstleistungsaufsicht, BaFin)* or any other regulatory authority in Germany, and the notes have not been and will not be admitted for public offering in Germany. Consequently, in Germany the notes will only be available to, and this prospectus and any other offering material in relation to the notes is directed only at, persons who are qualified investors *(qualifizierte Anleger)* within the meaning of Section 2 No. 6 of the Securities Prospectus Act. Any resale of the notes in Germany may only be made in accordance with the Securities Prospectus Act and other applicable German laws.

Hungary. The offering of the notes is not a public offering in the Republic of Hungary. Therefore, no license has been and will be issued by the Hungarian Financial Supervisory Authority or any other authority for the public offering of the notes in Hungary. Any marketing, subsequent transfer or on-sale of the notes must be carried out in accordance with the private placement exemptions of the Capital Markets Act (Act CXX of 2001) and any other applicable Hungarian law.

Ireland. This document does not comprise a prospectus for the purposes of the Investment Funds, Companies and Miscellaneous Provisions Act 2005 of Ireland, the Prospectus (Directive 2003\71\EC) Regulations 2005 of Ireland or the Prospectus Rules issued by the Central Bank of Ireland in March 2006. No person may: (i) underwrite the issue of, or place, the notes, otherwise than in conformity with the provisions of the Irish Investment Intermediaries Act 1995 (as amended), including, without limitation, Sections 9 and 23 thereof and any codes of conduct rules made under Section 37 thereof, and the provisions of the Investor Compensation Act 1998; (ii) underwrite the issue of, or place, the notes, otherwise than in conformity with the provisions of the Irish Central Bank Acts 1942-2003 (as amended) and any codes of conduct rules made under Section 117(1) thereof; and (iii) underwrite the issue of, or place, or otherwise act in Ireland in respect of, the notes, otherwise than in conformity with the provisions of the Irish Market Abuse (Directive 2003/6/EC) Regulations 2005 and any rules issued by The Central Bank of Ireland pursuant thereto.

Italy. The offering of the notes has not been registered with the *Commissione Nazionale per le Società e la Borsa* (CONSOB) (the Italian Securities Exchange Commission), in accordance with Italian securities legislation and, accordingly, in the Republic of Italy the notes may not be offered, sold or delivered, nor may copies of the prospectus or of any other document relating to the notes be distributed in the Republic of Italy, except:

to professional investors *(operatori qualificati)*, as defined in Article 31, second paragraph, of CONSOB Regulation No. 11522 of July 1, 1998 (Regulation 11522), as amended; or

in circumstances which are exempted from the rules on solicitation of investments pursuant to Article 100 of Legislative Decree No. 58 of February 24, 1998 (the Financial Services Act) and Article 33, first paragraph, of CONSOB Regulation No. 11971 of May 14, 1999, as amended; and

provided, however, that any such offer, sale or delivery of notes or distribution of copies of this prospectus or any other document relating to the notes in the Republic of Italy must:

be made by an investment firm, bank or financial intermediary permitted to conduct such activities in the Republic of Italy in accordance with Legislative Decree No. 385 of September 1, 1993 (the Banking Act), the Financial Services Act, Regulation 11522 and any other applicable laws and regulations;

be conducted in accordance with any relevant limitations or procedural requirements that CONSOB may impose upon the offer or sale of the notes, and

be made in compliance with any and all other applicable laws and regulations.

Grand Duchy of Luxembourg. The notes may not be offered or sold within the territory of the Grand Duchy of Luxembourg unless:

a prospectus has been duly approved by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier in accordance with the Law of 10 July 2005 on prospectuses for securities as amended from time to time (the Prospectus Law) and implementing Directive 2003/71/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 4 November 2003 on the prospectus to be published when securities are offered to the public or admitted to trading (the Prospectus Directive) and any Luxembourg law which will implement Directive 2010/73/EU of 24 November 2010 (the PD Amending Directive) if Luxembourg is a home member state (as defined in the Prospectus Law); or

if Luxembourg is not the home member State, the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier has been notified by the competent authority in the home member state that the prospectus has been duly approved in accordance with the Prospectus Directive and the PD Amending Directive; or

the offer is made to (i) legal entities which are authorized or regulated to operate in the financial markets or, if not so authorized or regulated, whose corporate purpose is solely to invest in securities, or (ii) a legal entity which has two or more of (1) an average of at least 250 employees during the financial year; (2) a total balance sheet of more than 43,000,000; and (3) an annual net turnover of more than 50,000,000 as shown in its last annual or consolidated published accounts, or the offer benefits from any other exemption to or constitutes a transaction otherwise not subject to, the requirement to publish a prospectus.

Spain. The notes may not be offered or sold in Spain except in accordance with the requirements of the Spanish Securities Market Law *(Ley 24/1988, de 28 de julio, del Mercado de Valores)*, as amended and restated, and Royal Decree 1310/2005 *(Real Decreto 1310/2005, de 4 de noviembre de 2005, en materia de admisión a negociación de valores en mercados secundarios oficiales, de ofertas públicas de venta o suscripción y del folleto exigible a tales efectos)*, as amended and restated, and the decrees and regulations made thereunder. The notes may not be sold, offered or distributed to persons in Spain except in circumstances which do not constitute an offer of securities in Spain within the meaning of the Spanish Securities Market Law and further relevant legislation. This prospectus has not been registered with the

Spanish Securities Market Commission *(Comisión Nacional del Mercado de Valores)* and therefore it is not intended for the offering or sale of the notes in Spain.

Switzerland. The exchange notes may be offered in Switzerland on the basis of a private placement and not as a public offering. The exchange notes will neither be listed on the SIX Swiss Exchange nor are they subject to Swiss Law. This prospectus does not constitute a prospectus within the meaning of Art. 1156 of the Swiss Federal Code of Obligations, Art. 27, et seq. of the Listing Rules of the SIX Swiss Exchange or the listing rules of any other stock exchange or regulated trading facility in Switzerland, and does not comply with the Directive for notes of Foreign Borrowers of the Swiss Bankers Association. We will not apply for a listing of the exchange notes on any Swiss stock exchange or other Swiss regulated market and this prospectus may not comply with the information required under the Swiss Federal Code of Obligations or the relevant listing rules. Neither this document nor any other offering or marketing material relating to the exchange notes or this offering may be publicly distributed or otherwise made publicly available in Switzerland.

Neither this document nor any other offering or marketing material relating to the offering of the exchange notes, the issuers of the exchange notes or the exchange notes have been or will be registered with the Swiss Financial Market Supervisory Authority (FINMA) or any other Swiss authority for any purpose whatsoever.

United Kingdom. This document is only being distributed to and is only directed at (1) persons who are outside the United Kingdom or (2) to investment professionals falling within Article 19(5) of the Financial Services and Markets Act 2000 (Financial Promotion) Order 2005 (the Order) or (3) high net worth entities, and other persons to whom it may lawfully be communicated falling within Article 49(2) (a) to (d) of the Order (all such persons together being referred to as relevant persons). The exchange notes are only available to, and any invitation, offer or agreement to subscribe, purchase or otherwise acquire such exchange notes will be engaged in only with, relevant persons. Any person who is not a relevant person should not act or rely on this document or any of its contents.

MARKET DATA

We operate in markets for which it is difficult to obtain precise and current industry and market information. All statements made in this prospectus regarding our position in the markets in which we operate, including market data, certain economics data and forecasts, were estimated or derived based upon assumptions we deem reasonable and from our own research, surveys or studies conducted by third parties, and other industry or general publications. There is no single third party source for any of our market shares or total market size. Industry publications and surveys generally state that they have obtained information from sources believed to be reliable. While we believe that each of these studies and publications is reliable, we have not independently verified data from third-party sources, nor have we ascertained the underlying economic assumptions relied upon therein. Similarly, we believe our internal research with respect to our markets is reliable, but it has not been verified by any independent sources. Historical data on the food and beverage packaging manufacturing market do not have a universally recognized authoritative source.

In addition, in many cases we have made statements in this prospectus regarding our markets and our position in such markets based on our experience and investigation of market conditions. None of our internal surveys or information has been verified by any independent sources.

TRADEMARKS

As used in this prospectus, Combibloc®, Combifit™, Combishape®, Diamond®, Evergreen Packaging®, Kordite®, Presto®, Reynolds®, Reynolds Wrap®, Hefty®, Hefty® Baggies®, Hefty® Cinch Sak®, Hefty® EZ Foil®, Hefty® Odor Block®, Hefty® OneZip®, Hefty® The Gripper®, Hefty® Zoo Pals®, Monosorb®, SurShot®, Escape®, G-Lite® and SlingShot™ are trademarks of our different businesses. This prospectus also refers to brand names, trademarks or service marks of other companies. All brand names and other trademarks or service marks cited in this prospectus are the property of their respective holders.

We have not authorized anyone to give you any information or to make any representations about the transactions we discuss in this prospectus other than those contained in this prospectus. If you are given any information or representation about these matters that is not discussed in this prospectus, you must not rely on that information. This prospectus is not an offer to sell or a solicitation of an offer to buy securities anywhere or to anyone where or to whom we are not permitted to offer to sell securities under applicable law.

In making an investment decision, investors must rely on their own examination of our business and the terms of the offering, including the merits and risks involved. These securities have not been recommended by any federal or state securities commission or regulatory authority. Furthermore, the foregoing authorities have not confirmed the accuracy or determined the adequacy of this document. Any representation to the contrary is a criminal offense.

In connection with the exchange offer, we have filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, a registration statement on Form F-4, under the Securities Act, relating to the new notes to be issued in the exchange offer. As permitted by SEC rules, this prospectus does not contain all the information included in the registration statement. For a more complete understanding of the exchange offer, you should refer to the registration statement, including its exhibits.

The public may read and copy any reports or other information that we file with the SEC. Such filings are available to the public over the Internet at the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov. The SEC s Internet address is included in this prospectus as an inactive textual reference only. You may also read and copy any document that we file with the SEC at its public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. You may obtain information on the operation of the public reference room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. You may also obtain a copy of the registration statement relating to the exchange offer and other information that we file with the SEC at no cost by calling us or writing to us at the following address:

Reynolds Group Holdings Limited
Level Nine
148 Quay Street
Auckland 1140 New Zealand
Attention: Joseph Doyle
+64 (9) 366-6259

In order to obtain timely delivery of such materials, you must request documents from us no later than five business days before you must make your investment decision or at the latest by , 2012.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EX-4.13.9
EX-4.13.10
EX-4.13.11
EX-4.13.12
EX-4.13.13
EX-4.13.14
EX-4.13.15
EX-4.13.16
EX-4.13.17
EX-4.13.18
EX-4.13.19
EX-4.13.20
EX-4.13.21
EX-4.13.22
EX-4.13.23
EX-4.13.24
EX-4.13.25
EX-4.13.26
EX-4.13.27
EX-4.13.28
EX-4.13.29
EX-4.13.30
EX-4.13.31
EX-4.13.32
EX-4.13.33
EX-4.14
EX-4.14.1
EX-4.14.2
EX-4.14.3
EX-4.14.4
EX-4.15
EX-4.15.1
EX-4.15.2
EX-4.15.3
EX-4.15.4
EX-4.15.5
EX-4.15.6
EX-5.1
EX-5.2
EX-5.3
EX-5.4
EX-5.5
EX-5.6
EX-5.7
EX-5.8
EX-5.9
EX-5.10
EX-5.11
EX-5.12
EX-5.13
EX-5.14
EX-5.15
EX-5.16
EX-5.17
EX-5.18
EX-5.19
EX-5.20
EX-5.21
EX-5.22
EX-5.23
EX-5.24
EX-5.25

EX-10.1.2
EX-10.1.3
EX-10.1.4
EX-10.1.5
EX-10.1.6
EX-10.1.7
EX-10.1.8
EX-10.1.9
EX-10.1.10
EX-10.1.11
EX-10.1.12
EX-10.1.13
EX-10.1.14
EX-10.1.15
EX-10.1.16
EX-10.1.17
EX-10.1.18
EX-10.1.19
EX-10.1.20
EX-10.1.21
EX-10.1.22
EX-10.1.23
EX-10.1.24
EX-10.1.25
EX-10.1.26
EX-10.1.27
EX-10.1.28
EX-10.1.29
EX-10.1.30
EX-10.1.31
EX-10.1.32
EX-10.1.33
EX-10.1.34
EX-10.1.35
EX-10.1.36
EX-10.1.37
EX-10.1.38
EX-10.1.39
EX-10.1.40
EX-10.1.41
EX-10.1.42
EX-10.1.43
EX-10.1.44
EX-10.1.45
EX-10.1.46
EX-10.1.47
EX-10.1.48
EX-10.1.49
EX-10.1.50
EX-10.1.51
EX-10.1.52
EX-10.1.53
EX-10.1.54
EX-10.1.55
EX-10.1.56
EX-10.1.57
EX-10.1.58
EX-10.1.59
EX-10.1.60
EX-10.1.61
EX-10.1.62
EX-10.1.63

EX-10.1.64
EX-10.1.65
EX-10.1.66
EX-10.1.67
EX-10.1.68
EX-10.1.69
EX-10.1.70
EX-10.1.71
EX-10.1.72
EX-10.1.73
EX-10.1.74
EX-10.1.75
EX-10.1.76
EX-10.2.2
EX-10.2.3
EX-10.2.4
EX-10.2.5
EX-10.2.6
EX-10.2.7
EX-10.2.8
EX-10.2.9
EX-10.2.10
EX-10.2.11
EX-10.2.12
EX-10.2.13
EX-10.2.14
EX-10.2.15
EX-10.2.16
EX-10.2.17
EX-10.2.18
EX-10.2.19
EX-10.2.20
EX-10.2.21
EX-10.2.22
EX-10.2.23
EX-10.2.24
EX-10.2.25
EX-10.2.26
EX-10.2.27
EX-10.2.28
EX-10.2.29
EX-10.2.30
EX-10.2.31
EX-10.2.32
EX-10.2.33
EX-10.2.34
EX-10.2.35
EX-10.2.36
EX-10.2.37
EX-10.2.38
EX-10.2.39
EX-10.2.40
EX-10.2.41
EX-10.2.42
EX-10.2.43
EX-10.2.44
EX-10.2.45
EX-10.2.46
EX-10.2.47
EX-10.2.48
EX-10.2.49
EX-10.2.50

EX-10.2.51
EX-10.2.52
EX-10.2.53
EX-10.2.54
EX-10.2.55
EX-10.2.56
EX-10.2.57
EX-10.2.58
EX-10.2.59
EX-10.2.60
EX-10.2.61
EX-10.2.62
EX-10.2.63
EX-10.2.64
EX-10.2.65
EX-10.2.66
EX-10.2.67
EX-10.2.68
EX-10.2.69
EX-10.2.70
EX-10.2.71
EX-10.2.72
EX-10.2.73
EX-10.2.74
EX-10.2.75
EX-10.2.76
EX-10.2.77
EX-10.2.78
EX-10.2.79
EX-10.2.80
EX-10.2.81
EX-10.2.82
EX-10.2.83
EX-10.2.84
EX-10.2.85
EX-10.2.86
EX-10.2.87
EX-10.3.2
EX-10.3.3
EX-10.3.4
EX-10.3.5
EX-10.3.6
EX-10.3.7
EX-10.3.8
EX-10.3.9
EX-10.3.10
EX-10.3.11
EX-10.3.12
EX-10.3.13
EX-10.3.14
EX-10.3.15
EX-10.3.16
EX-10.3.17
EX-10.3.18
EX-10.3.19
EX-10.3.20
EX-10.3.21
EX-10.3.22
EX-10.3.23
EX-10.3.24
EX-10.3.25
EX-10.3.26

EX-10.3.27
EX-10.3.28
EX-10.3.29
EX-10.3.30
EX-10.3.31
EX-10.3.32
EX-10.3.33
EX-10.3.34
EX-10.3.30
EX-10.3.36
EX-10.3.37
EX-10.3.38
EX-10.3.39
EX-10.3.40
EX-10.3.41
EX-10.3.42
EX-10.3.43
EX-10.3.44
EX-10.3.45
EX-10.3.46
EX-10.3.47
EX-10.3.48
EX-10.3.49
EX-10.3.50
EX-10.3.51
EX-10.3.52
EX-10.3.53
EX-10.3.54
EX-10.3.55
EX-10.3.56
EX-10.3.57
EX-10.3.58
EX-10.3.59
EX-10.3.60
EX-10.3.61
EX-10.3.62
EX-10.3.63
EX-10.3.64
EX-10.3.65
EX-10.3.66
EX-10.3.67
EX-10.3.68
EX-10.3.69
EX-10.3.70
EX-10.3.71
EX-10.3.72
EX-10.3.73
EX-10.3.74
EX-10.3.75
EX-10.3.76
EX-10.3.77
EX-10.3.78
EX-10.3.79
EX-10.3.80
EX-10.3.81
EX-10.3.82
EX-10.3.83
EX-10.3.84
EX-10.3.85
EX-10.3.86
EX-10.3.87
EX-10.4.11

EX-10.5
EX-10.5.1
EX-10.5.2
EX-10.5.3
EX-10.5.4
EX-10.5.5
EX-10.5.6
EX-10.5.7
EX-10.5.8
EX-10.5.9
EX-10.5.10
EX-10.5.11
EX-10.6
EX-10.7
EX-10.8
EX-10.9
EX-10.10
EX-10.11
EX-10.11
EX-10.13
EX-10.14
EX-10.15
EX-10.16
EX-10.17
EX-10.18
EX-10.19
EX-10.20
EX-10.21
EX-10.22
EX-10.23
EX-10.24
EX-10.25
EX-10.26
EX-10.27
EX-10.28
EX-10.29
EX-10.30
EX-10.31
EX-10.32
EX-10.33
EX-10.34
EX-10.35
EX-10.36
EX-10.37
EX-10.318
EX-10.39
EX-10.40
EX-10.41
EX-10.42
EX-10.43
EX-10.44
EX-10.45
EX-10.46
EX-10.47
EX-10.48
EX-10.49
EX-10.50
EX-10.51
EX-10.52
EX-10.53
EX-10.54
EX-10.55

Table of Contents

SUMMARY

This summary highlights selected information contained elsewhere in this prospectus. You should read this entire prospectus carefully, including Summary Presentation of Financial Information, Risk Factors, Special Note of Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements, and Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

In this prospectus, unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires (a) references to we, us or our are to RGHL and its consolidated subsidiaries, (b) references to Graham Packaging are to Graham Packaging Company Inc. and, unless the context otherwise requires, its consolidated subsidiaries and (c) references to the RGHL Group are to RGHL and its consolidated subsidiaries. We describe the six segments that comprise the RGHL Group following the consummation of the Graham Packaging Acquisition ((i) our aseptic carton packaging segment, or SIG, (ii) our fresh carton packaging, liquid packaging board, carton board and freesheet segment, or Evergreen, (iii) our caps and closures segment, or Closures, (iv) our consumer products segment, or Reynolds Consumer Products, (v) our foodservice packaging segment, or Pactiv Foodservice, and (vi) our custom blow molded plastic container segment, or Graham Packaging) as if they were the RGHL Group s segments for all historical periods described in this prospectus, unless otherwise indicated.

For a discussion of the terms used to describe our acquisition transactions (e.g. Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer, Graham Packaging Acquisition, Dopaco Acquisition, Pactiv Acquisition, Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition, Evergreen Acquisition, RGHL Acquisition, SIG Acquisition and Initial Evergreen Acquisition) and related financings pursuant to which we acquired several businesses, refer to The Transactions.

For ease of reference, you may also refer to the Glossary of Selected Terms for many of the defined terms used in this prospectus.

Our Company

We are a leading global manufacturer and supplier of consumer food, beverage and foodservice packaging products. We sell our products to customers globally, including to a diversified mix of leading multinational companies, large national and regional companies and small local businesses. We primarily serve the consumer food, beverage and foodservice market segments.

Our Segments

We operate through six segments: SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products, Pactiv Foodservice and Graham Packaging.

SIG Overview

SIG is a leading manufacturer of aseptic carton packaging systems for both beverage and liquid food products, ranging from juices and milk to soups and sauces. Aseptic carton packaging, most prevalent in Europe and Asia, is designed to allow beverages or liquid food to be stored for extended periods of time without refrigeration. SIG supplies complete aseptic carton packaging systems, which include aseptic filling machines, aseptic cartons, spouts, caps and closures and related services. SIG has a large global customer base with its largest presence in Europe.

Evergreen Overview

Evergreen is a vertically integrated, leading manufacturer of fresh carton packaging for beverage products, primarily serving the juice and milk end-markets. Fresh carton packaging, most predominant in North America, is designed for beverages that require a cold-chain distribution system, and therefore have a more limited shelf life than beverages in aseptic carton packaging. Evergreen supplies integrated fresh carton packaging systems, which can include fresh cartons, spouts and filling machines. Evergreen produces liquid packaging board for its internal requirements and to sell to other manufacturers. Evergreen also produces

1

coated groundwood primarily for catalogs, inserts, magazine and commercial printing, as well as uncoated freesheet primarily for envelope, specialty and offset printing paper. Evergreen has a large customer base and operates primarily in North America.

Closures Overview

Closures is a leading manufacturer of plastic beverage caps and closures, primarily serving the carbonated soft drink, non-carbonated soft drink and bottled water segments of the global beverage market. Closures products also serve the liquid dairy, food, beer and liquor and automotive fluid markets. In addition to supplying plastic caps and closures, Closures also offers high speed rotary capping equipment, which secure caps on a variety of packaging, and related services. Closures has a large global customer base with its largest presence in North America.

Reynolds Consumer Products Overview

Reynolds Consumer Products is a leading U.S. manufacturer of branded and store branded consumer products such as foil, wraps, waste bags, food storage bags, and disposable tableware and cookware. These products are typically used by consumers in their homes and are sold through a variety of retailers, including grocery stores, mass-merchandisers, warehouse clubs, drug stores, discount chains and military channels. Reynolds Consumer Products has a large customer base and operates primarily in North America.

Pactiv Foodservice Overview

Pactiv Foodservice is a leading manufacturer of foodservice and food packaging products. Pactiv Foodservice offers a comprehensive range of products including tableware items, takeout service containers, clear rigid-display packaging, microwaveable containers, foam trays, dual-ovenable paperboard containers, cups, molded fiber egg cartons, meat and poultry trays, plastic film and aluminum containers. Pactiv Foodservice distributes its foodservice and food packaging products through foodservice distributors, food processors, supermarket distributors, supermarkets and restaurants. Pactiv Foodservice has a large customer base and operates primarily in North America.

Graham Packaging Overview

Graham Packaging, including the operations and activities of Graham Packaging Holdings Company, or Graham Holdings, is a worldwide leader in the design, manufacture and sale of value-added, custom blow molded plastic containers for branded consumer products. Graham Packaging has a large customer base and operates primarily in North America.

Risk Factors

Our ability to successfully operate our business is subject to certain risks, including those that are generally associated with operating in the packaging industry. These risks include, but are not limited to, the following:

- risks related to the future costs of energy, raw materials and freight;

- risks related to our substantial outstanding third-party indebtedness of approximately $17,320.2 million as of September 30, 2011 after giving pro forma effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer;

- risks related to our ability to service our current and future indebtedness for which we spent approximately $1,026.8 million to service our indebtedness for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 after giving pro forma effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer;

risks related to our aluminum hedging activities and other hedging activities which may result in significant losses and in period-to-period earnings volatility;

risks related to our suppliers for raw materials and any interruption in our supply of raw materials;

risks related to downturns in our target markets;

- risks related to dependence on the protection of our intellectual property and the development of new products;
- risks related to the consolidation of our customer bases, competition and pricing pressure;
- risks related to the impact of a loss of one of our key manufacturing facilities;
- risks related to our exposure to environmental liabilities and potential changes in legislation or regulation;
- risks related to complying with environmental, health and safety laws or as a result of satisfying any liability or obligation imposed under such laws;
- risks related to changes in consumer lifestyle, eating habits, nutritional preferences and health-related and environmental concerns that may harm our business and financial performance;
- risks related to other factors discussed or referred to in this prospectus, including in the section titled Risk Factors.

We operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. Investing in the notes involves substantial risk. You should consider carefully all of the information in this prospectus and, in particular, you should evaluate the specific risk factors set forth in the Risk Factors section of this prospectus in evaluating the exchange offer and making a decision whether to invest in the new notes.

Our Strategic Owner

We are part of a group of private companies based in New Zealand that are wholly-owned by Mr. Graeme Hart, our strategic owner.

Between January 31, 2007 and August 1, 2007, entities beneficially owned by Mr. Graeme Hart acquired the businesses that now constitute our Evergreen segment in a series of transactions for $618.4 million. On May 4, 2010, we acquired the equity of the businesses that now constitute our Evergreen segment from these entities for a total purchase price of $1,612.1 million (including certain post-closing adjustments). The purchase price was paid to entities controlled by Mr. Graeme Hart.

Through a series of acquisitions that occurred from February 29, 2008 to July 31, 2008, certain entities beneficially owned by Mr. Graeme Hart acquired from Alcoa Inc. the businesses that now constitute our Closures segment, our Reynolds consumer products business and our Reynolds foodservice packaging business for a total enterprise value of $2,690.1 million (including certain post-closing adjustments).

On November 5, 2009, we acquired the equity of the businesses that now constitute our Closures segment for a total purchase price of $707.8 million (including certain post-closing adjustments) and our Reynolds consumer products business for a total purchase price of $984.5 million (including certain post-closing adjustments) from these entities. The purchase price was paid to entities controlled by Mr. Graeme Hart.

On September 1, 2010, we acquired the equity of the businesses that now constitute our Reynolds foodservice packaging business from these entities for a total purchase price of $341.0 million (including certain post-closing adjustments). The purchase price was paid to entities controlled by Mr. Graeme Hart.

In each case, the difference between the consideration paid to initially acquire a business from a third-party and the consideration paid by the RGHL Group to acquire the same business from entities that are beneficially owned by Mr. Graeme Hart reflects changes in fair value. The changes in fair value relate to the realization of the cost savings initiatives and operational synergies combined with improvements in industry and general market conditions. Cash payments made by us to acquire these businesses either reduced our available cash or were funded by increases in the principal amount of our outstanding indebtedness.

Table of Contents

RGHL

Reynolds Group Holdings Limited was incorporated under the Companies Act 1993 of New Zealand on May 30, 2006. Its registered office is located at Level Nine, 148 Quay Street, Auckland 1140 New Zealand, and its telephone number is +64 (9) 366-6259.

The Issuers

US Issuer is a corporation, incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware, United States, on September 29, 2009 as an indirect special purpose finance subsidiary of RGHL to facilitate the offering of the notes. Other than its financing activities as a co-issuer of the notes, US Issuer has no material assets, operations or revenue. Accordingly, we have not included any financial statements or other information about the US Issuer. Its registered office is located at 160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101, Dover, Delaware 19904, and its telephone number is (804) 281-2630.

US Co-Issuer is a limited liability company formed under the laws of the State of Delaware, United States, on September 17, 2009 as an indirect special purpose finance subsidiary of RGHL to facilitate the offering of the notes. Other than its financing activities as a co-issuer of the notes, US Co-Issuer has no material assets (other than certain intercompany loans), operations or revenue. Accordingly, we have not included any financial statements or other information about the US Co-Issuer. Its registered office is located at 160 Greentree Drive, Suite 101, Dover, Delaware 19904, and its telephone number is (804) 281-2630.

Lux Issuer is a public limited liability company (société anonyme), formed under the laws of Luxembourg on September 24, 2009 as an indirect special purpose finance subsidiary of RGHL to facilitate the offering of the notes. Other than its financing activities as a co-issuer of the notes, Lux Issuer has no material assets (other than certain intercompany loans), operations or revenue. Accordingly, we have not included any financial statements or other information about the Lux Issuer. Its registered office is located at 6C Rue Gabriel Lippmann, L-5365 Munsbach, Grand Duchy of Luxembourg, and its telephone number is +352-26-258-8883.

Corporate Structure

RGHL is a holding company that conducts its business operations through its controlled entities. The following diagram provides a simplified overview of our corporate structure. For a detailed list of RGHL s controlled entities (including the guarantors of the notes), their country of incorporation and the proportion of ownership and voting interest held, directly or indirectly, in them by RGHL, refer to Annex A to this prospectus. Unless indicated below, all depicted entities are issuers or guarantors of the notes.

The following diagram sets forth a summary of our corporate structure and certain financing arrangements. The 7.750% senior secured notes due 2016, or the 2009 Notes, the 8.500% senior notes due 2018, or the May 2010 Notes, the 7.125% senior secured notes due 2019, or the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes, the 9.000% senior notes due 2019, or the October 2010 Senior Notes, which together with the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes, we refer to as the October 2010 Notes, the 6.875% senior secured notes due 2021, or the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes, the 8.250% senior notes due 2021, or the February 2011 Senior Notes, which together with the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes, we refer to as the February 2011 Notes, the 7.875% senior secured notes due 2019, or the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes, and the 9.875% senior notes due 2019, or the August 2011 Senior Notes, which together with the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes, we refer to as the August 2011 Notes, are being registered in connection with this offering.

The 8.0% senior notes due 2016 issued by Beverage Packaging Holdings (Luxembourg) S.A., or BP II, or the 2007 Senior Notes, the 9.5% senior subordinated notes due 2017 issued by BP II, or the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes, which together with the 2007 Senior Notes, we refer to as the 2007 Notes, the notes and debentures issued by Pactiv Corporation (now known as Pactiv LLC), or Pactiv, the 9.875% senior notes due 2014 issued by certain subsidiaries of Graham Holdings, or the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes, the 8.25% senior notes due 2017 issued by certain subsidiaries of Graham Holdings, or the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes, the 8.25% senior notes due 2018 issued by certain subsidiaries of Graham Holdings, or the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes, which together with the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes, we refer to as the Graham Packaging Notes, are not part of and are not being registered in connection with this offering.

For a summary of the debt obligations referenced in this diagram, see Description of Certain Other Indebtedness and Intercreditor Agreements, Description of the 2009 Notes, Description of the May 2010 Notes, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes.

Table of Contents

Summary of the Terms of the Exchange Offer

The old notes were issued in private placement offerings made only to qualified institutional buyers pursuant to Rule 144A under the Securities Act, or Rule 144A, and to persons outside the United States pursuant to Regulation S under the Securities Act, or Regulation S, and accordingly were exempt from registration under the Securities Act. See The Exchange Offer.

Notes Offered	$1,125,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new 2009 Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. 450,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new 2009 Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. $1,000,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new May 2010 Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. $1,500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new October 2010 Senior Secured Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. $1,500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new October 2010 Senior Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. $1,000,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new February 2011 Senior Secured Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. $1,000,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new February 2011 Senior Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. $1,500,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new August 2011 Senior Secured Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. $1,000,000,000 aggregate principal amount of new August 2011 Senior Notes, which have been registered under the Securities Act. We refer to (i) the outstanding 2009 Notes, the May 2010 Notes, October 2010 Notes, the February 2011 Notes and the August 2011 Notes as the old notes and the corresponding series of notes registered pursuant to this exchange offer as the new notes, (ii) the 2009 Notes, the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes, the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes and the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes as the senior secured notes, (iii) the May 2010 Notes, the October 2010 Senior Notes, the February 2011 Senior Notes and the August 2011 Senior Notes as the senior notes and (iv) the old notes and the new notes as the notes. The terms of the new notes of each series are identical in all material respects to the terms of the old notes of the same series, except that the new notes are registered under the Securities Act and will not be subject to restrictions on transfer or provisions relating to additional interest, will bear a different CUSIP and ISIN number than the old notes of the same

series, will not entitle their holders to registration rights and will be subject to terms relating to book-entry procedures and administrative terms relating to transfers that differ from those of the old notes.

The Exchange Offer	You may exchange old notes and the related guarantees of each series for a like principal amount of new notes and the related guarantees of the same series.
Resale of New Notes	Based on interpretations by the staff of the SEC as set forth in no-action letters issued to third parties (including Exxon Capital Holdings Corporation (available May 13, 1988), Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated (available June 5, 1991), K-111 Communications Corporation (available May 14, 1993) and Shearman & Sterling (available July 2, 1993)), we believe that the new notes issued pursuant to the exchange offer may be offered for resale, resold and otherwise transferred by any holder of such new notes, other than any such holder that is a broker-dealer or an affiliate of us within the meaning of Rule 405 under the Securities Act, without compliance with the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act, provided that: such new notes are acquired in the ordinary course of business; at the time of the commencement of the exchange offer such holder has no arrangement or understanding with any person to participate in a distribution of such new notes; and such holder is not engaged in and does not intend to engage in a distribution of such new notes. By tendering old notes as described in The Exchange Offers Procedures for Tendering , you will be making representations to this effect. If you fail to satisfy any of these conditions, you cannot rely on the position of the SEC set forth in the interpretive letters referred to above and you must comply with the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act in connection with a resale of the new notes. You should read the discussion under the heading The Exchange Offer for further information regarding the exchange offer and resale of the new notes.
Registration Rights Agreement	We have undertaken the exchange offer pursuant to the terms of the registration rights agreements that the Issuers entered into with the initial purchasers of the old notes. See The Exchange Offer Purpose of the Exchange Offer.
Consequences of Failure to Exchange the Old Notes	You will continue to hold old notes that remain subject to their existing transfer restrictions if: you do not tender your old notes; or you tender your old notes and they are not accepted for exchange. With some limited exceptions, we will have no obligation to register the old notes after we consummate the exchange offer. See The Exchange

	Offer Terms of the Exchange Offer and The Exchange Offer Consequences of Failure to Exchange.
Expiration Date	The exchange offer will expire at 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on , 2012, unless we extend it, in which case expiration

	date means the latest date and time to which the exchange offer is extended.
Interest on the New Notes	The new notes of each series will accrue interest from the last interest payment date on which interest was paid on the old notes of the same series or, if no interest has been paid on the old notes of the same series, from the date of original issue of the old notes of the same series.
Conditions to the Exchange Offer	The exchange offer is subject to several customary conditions. We will not be required to accept for exchange, or to issue new notes in exchange for, any old notes, and we may terminate or amend the exchange offer, if we determine at any time before the expiration date that the exchange offer would violate applicable law, any applicable interpretation of the SEC or its staff or any order of any governmental agency or court of competent jurisdiction. The foregoing conditions are for our sole benefit and, except those conditions related to the receipt of government regulatory approvals necessary to consummate the exchange offer, will be satisfied or waived by us at or before the expiration of the exchange offer. In addition, we will not accept for exchange any old notes tendered, and no new notes will be issued in exchange for any such old notes, if at any time any stop order is threatened or in effect with respect to: the registration statement of which this prospectus constitutes a part; or the qualification of the indenture governing the relevant notes under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, as amended, which we refer to as the Trust Indenture Act. See The Exchange Offer Conditions. We reserve the right to terminate or amend the exchange offer at any time prior to the expiration date upon the occurrence of any of the foregoing events. If we amend the exchange offer in a manner that we determine to constitute a material change, including the waiver of a material condition, we will promptly disclose the amendment in a manner reasonably calculated to inform the holders of outstanding notes of that amendment and we will extend the exchange offer if necessary so that at least five business days remain in the offer following notice of the material change.
Procedures for Tendering Old Dollar Denominated Notes	If you wish to participate in any of the exchange offers, you must submit required documentation and effect a tender of old notes pursuant to the procedures for book-entry transfer (or other applicable procedures), all in accordance with the instructions described in this prospectus and in the relevant letter of transmittal or electronic acceptance instruction. See The Exchange Offers Procedures for Tendering.
Procedures for Tendering Old Euro Denominated Notes	Pursuant to their internal guidelines, Euroclear and Clearstream will automatically exchange old euro notes for new euro notes on behalf of the holders of the old euro notes. **If you do not wish to**

9

	participate in the exchange offer, the registered holder of old euro notes on the records of Euroclear or Clearstream must electronically instruct Euroclear or Clearstream, as the case may be, to Take No Action ; otherwise such old euro notes will be tendered in the exchange offer, and you will be deemed to have agreed to be bound by the terms of the letter of transmittal. The exchange for old euro notes so tendered will only be made after a timely confirmation of a book-entry transfer of old euro notes into the exchange agent s account, and timely receipt by the exchange agent of an agent s message. **Holders that cannot make the representations contained in the letter of transmittal must electronically instruct Euroclear or Clearstream, as the case may be, to Take No Action.**
Guaranteed Delivery Procedures	None.
Withdrawal Rights	Tenders of old notes may be withdrawn at any time prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date. To withdraw a tender of old notes, a notice of withdrawal must be received by the exchange agent at its address set forth in The Exchange Offer Exchange Agent prior to the expiration date. See The Exchange Offer Withdrawal of Tenders.
Acceptance of Old Notes and Delivery of New Notes	Except in some circumstances, any and all old notes that are validly tendered in the exchange offer prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date will be accepted for exchange. The new notes issued pursuant to the exchange offer will be delivered promptly after such acceptance. We reserve the absolute right to reject any and all old notes not properly tendered or any old notes which, if accepted, would, in the opinion of counsel for us, be unlawful. See The Exchange Offer Terms of the Exchange Offer and The Exchange Offer Acceptance of Old Notes for Exchange; Delivery of New Notes.
Certain U.S. Federal Tax Considerations	We believe that the exchange of the old notes for the new notes will not constitute a taxable exchange for U.S. federal income tax purposes. See Tax Considerations Certain U.S. Tax Considerations.
Exchange Agent	The Bank of New York Mellon is serving as the exchange agent for the notes.

Summary of the Terms of the New Notes

The terms of the new notes of each series are identical in all material respects to the terms of the old notes of the same series, except that the new notes:

are registered under the Securities Act and therefore will not be subject to restrictions on transfer;

will not be subject to provisions relating to additional interest;

will bear a different CUSIP and ISIN number than the old notes of the same series;

will not entitle their holders to registration rights; and

will be subject to terms relating to book-entry procedures and administrative terms relating to transfers that differ from those of the old notes.

Issuers	The new notes will be the joint and several obligations of Reynolds Group Issuer Inc., Reynolds Group Issuer LLC and Reynolds Group Issuer (Luxembourg) S.A.
Maturity Date	Each new note will mature on the same date as the old note for which it is being exchanged.
Interest Rates and Payment Dates	Each new note will bear interest accruing at the same coupon rate and payable at the same times as the old note for which it is being exchanged.
Guarantees	The old notes are and the new notes will be fully and unconditionally guaranteed (subject to certain customary guarantee release provisions set forth in the indentures governing the notes) on a senior and joint and several basis by RGHL, BP I and, subject to certain conditions and exceptions, by certain subsidiaries of BP I that are or will be borrowers under or guarantee or will guarantee the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. Non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries do not and will not guarantee the notes. Each guarantor is 100% owned by RGHL. See Description of the 2009 Notes Note Guarantees, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Senior Secured Note Guarantees, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Senior Secured Note Guarantees, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Senior Secured Note Guarantees, Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Covenants Future Note Guarantors, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Secured Note Guarantors, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Secured Note Guarantors, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Secured Note Guarantors, Description of the May 2010 Notes Note Guarantees, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Senior Note Guarantees, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Senior Note Guarantees, Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Senior Note Guarantees, Description of the May 2010 Notes Certain Covenants

Future Note Guarantors, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Note Guarantors, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Note Guarantors and Description of the August 2011 Senior

11

Table of Contents

Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Note Guarantors. The laws of certain jurisdictions may limit the enforceability of certain guarantees with respect to both the senior secured notes and senior notes, and security with respect to the senior secured notes. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Structure, the Guarantees, the Collateral and the Notes and Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations.

We refer to our senior secured credit facilities, which consist of \$2,313.4 million in senior secured term loans, 248.8 million in senior secured term loans, and a \$120.0 million and 80.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility and, following the consummation of the Graham Packaging Transaction, \$2,000 million in incremental U.S. term loans borrowed to finance the Graham Packaging Acquisition, as the Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

Ranking

Senior Secured Notes

Each series of senior secured notes is a senior secured obligation of the Issuers and:

is effectively senior to all existing and future unsecured indebtedness of the Issuers to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such series of senior secured notes;

ranks *pari passu* in right of payment with all existing and future senior indebtedness of the Issuers, including indebtedness under, or in respect to their guarantees of, each other series of senior secured notes, the senior notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities;

is effectively subordinated to the other First Lien Obligations (as defined in Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Definitions, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions and Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions) of the Issuers, including amounts outstanding under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, to the extent such First Lien Obligations are secured by property that does not also secure such series of senior secured notes to the extent of the value of all such property;

is senior in right of payment to all existing and future subordinated indebtedness of the Issuers, including the Issuers respective guarantees of the 2007 Senior Notes and the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes; and

is effectively subordinated to all claims of creditors, including trade creditors, and claims of preferred stockholders (if any) of each of the subsidiaries of RGHL (including BP II and Graham Holdings) that is not a guarantor (including claims of the holders of the Graham Packaging Notes against Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries).

Table of Contents

The guarantees related to each series of senior secured notes are senior obligations of each guarantor and:

are effectively senior to all existing and future unsecured indebtedness of the guarantors that have provided security interests in respect of their assets to the extent of the value of the collateral securing such series of senior secured notes;

rank *pari passu* in right of payment with all existing and future senior indebtedness of such guarantor, including indebtedness under, or in respect to its guarantee of, each other series of senior secured notes, the senior notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities;

are effectively subordinated to the other First Lien Obligations (as defined in Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Definitions, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions and Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions) of such guarantor (including indebtedness of such guarantor outstanding under, or with respect to its guarantee of, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities) to the extent such First Lien Obligations are secured by property that does not also secure the senior secured notes to the extent of the value of all such property; and

are senior in right of payment to all existing and future subordinated indebtedness of such guarantor, including such guarantor s guarantee of the 2007 Senior Notes and the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes.

Senior Notes

Each series of senior notes is a senior obligation of the Issuers and:

ranks *pari passu* in right of payment with all existing and future senior indebtedness of the Issuers, including indebtedness under, or in respect to their guarantees of, each other series of senior notes, the senior secured notes, the 2007 Senior Notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities;

is effectively subordinated to all existing and future secured indebtedness of the Issuers, including amounts outstanding under the 2007 Notes, each other series of senior notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, to the extent of the value of the property securing such indebtedness;

is senior in right of payment to all existing and future subordinated indebtedness of the Issuers, including the Issuers respective guarantees of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes; and

is effectively subordinated to all claims of creditors, including trade creditors, and claims of preferred stockholders, if any, of each of the subsidiaries of RGHL (including BP II and Graham Holdings) that is not a guarantor (including claims of the holders of the Graham Packaging Notes against Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries).

The guarantees related to each series of senior notes are senior obligations of each guarantor and:

rank *pari passu* in right of payment with all existing and future senior indebtedness of such guarantor, including indebtedness under, or in respect of its guarantee of, each other series of senior notes, the senior secured notes, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the 2007 Senior Notes;

are effectively subordinated to all existing and future secured indebtedness of such guarantor, including indebtedness of such guarantor outstanding under, or with respect to its guarantee of, the 2007 Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, to the extent of the value of the property securing such indebtedness; and

are senior in right of payment to all existing or future subordinated indebtedness of such guarantor, including such guarantor s guarantee of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes.

As of September 30, 2011, on a pro forma basis after giving effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer, the RGHL Combined Group would have had:

$10,461.7 million aggregate principal amount of outstanding secured indebtedness. The RGHL Combined Group would have had 58.0 million and $42.8 million of availability under the revolving credit facility under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the ability to incur up to 62.0 million of secured indebtedness under certain local facilities; and

$16,685.4 million of indebtedness outstanding other than subordinated indebtedness, whether secured or unsecured, consisting of amounts outstanding under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the senior notes, the senior secured notes and the 2007 Senior Notes, Pactiv s notes and debentures, the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes, the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes (in each case, including without duplication, the guarantees with respect thereto), certain local facilities and certain other local overdraft and local working capital facilities.

RGHL Combined Group refers to RGHL and its consolidated subsidiaries, including Graham Packaging, Dopaco and Pactiv, as a combined company, following the consummation of, and after giving pro forma effect to the Graham Packaging Transaction, the Dopaco Acquisition, the Pactiv Transaction, the Refinancing Transactions and the financing portion of the Evergreen Transaction. For information regarding the Graham Packaging Transaction, the Dopaco Acquisition, the Pactiv Transaction, the Refinancing Transactions and the Evergreen Transaction, see The Transactions.

The senior notes and the related guarantees will constitute Senior Indebtedness (as defined in Description of the May 2010 Notes Certain Definitions, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Certain Definitions, Description of the February

2011 Senior Notes Certain Definitions and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Certain Definitions) for purposes of the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes and, as such, in a liquidation, dissolution or bankruptcy of the Issuers or the note guarantors, holders of the senior notes and related guarantees will be entitled to receive payment in full of such senior notes and related guarantees before holders of the guarantees of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes are entitled to receive any payment, other than certain permitted junior securities, in respect of such guarantees.

However, because the senior notes and related guarantees will not, unlike the senior secured notes, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the 2007 Senior Notes, constitute Designated Senior Indebtedness for purposes of the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes, the holders thereof have more rights than the holders of senior notes. Thus, holders of senior notes and related guarantees are not entitled to the benefit of certain provisions in the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes relating to the subordination of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes that provide rights only to holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness (as defined therein), not Senior Indebtedness, including among other things, the benefits of delivering payment blockage notices or enforcing the turnover provisions of the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes. Accordingly, holders of senior notes may recover less than holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness as a result thereof. See Description of the May 2010 Notes Ranking, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Ranking, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Ranking and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Ranking.

Each series of senior notes and related guarantees rank *pari passu* in right of payment with the guarantees of each other series of senior notes, the 2007 Senior Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, in each case, including the guarantees with respect thereto. Therefore, in the event that an Issuer or a guarantor of a series of senior notes becomes a debtor in a United States bankruptcy case, claims of holders of such series of senior notes and related guarantees will rank *pari passu* in right of payment with the claims of holders of the other series of senior notes and related guarantees, and in the event that claims under the 2007 Senior Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities are not fully secured, claims of holders of such series senior notes and related guarantees will rank *pari passu* in right of payment with the unsecured portion of claims of holders of the guarantees of the 2007 Senior Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, in each case, including the guarantees with respect thereto.

In addition, in such an event, we expect that claims of holders of senior notes and related guarantees will be senior in right of payment to the claims of holders of the guarantees of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes. However, because of the differences in

15

the rights of the holders of the senior notes and the holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness, there can be no guarantee that a bankruptcy court would enforce the contractual subordination of the 2007 Subordinated Notes in favor of the senior notes in the same manner as the contractual subordination of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes in favor of the 2007 Senior Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities under such circumstances.

As of September 30, 2011, on a pro forma basis after giving effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer, the RGHL Combined Group would have had:

$4,313.4 million and 248.8 million of indebtedness outstanding under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities;

$5,125.0 million and 450.0 million of indebtedness outstanding under the secured notes;

$4,500.0 million of indebtedness outstanding under the senior notes;

480.0 million of indebtedness outstanding under the 2007 Senior Notes;

420.0 million of indebtedness outstanding under the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes;

$1,041.1 million of indebtedness outstanding under Pactiv s notes and debentures; and

$387.5 million of indebtedness outstanding under the Graham Packaging Notes.

Security

Senior Secured Notes

Subject to the terms of the security documents, each series of senior secured notes and the related guarantees are secured by a security interest granted on a first priority basis (subject to certain exceptions and to permitted liens) in certain assets of RGHL, BP I and certain of BP I s subsidiaries. These security interests are, subject to certain exceptions, of equal priority with the liens on such assets securing each other series of senior secured notes, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and other future first lien obligations. BP II has also granted a second and third priority security interest in respect of the proceeds loans in relation to the 2007 Notes.

The collateral consists of substantially all the assets of the Issuers and the guarantors, including their capital stock and the capital stock of their direct subsidiaries, real property, bank accounts, investments, receivables, equipment and inventory, intellectual property and insurance policies, but excluding, among others (i) real property with a value equal to or less than

5 million or in which such entity has only a leasehold interest, (ii) a number of Pactiv s real properties, which are estimated to have a book value as of September 30, 2011 of approximately $80.9 million, (iii) intellectual property with a value of less than 1 million (unless subject to all-asset security documents),

Table of Contents

(iv) insurance policies that are not material to the RGHL Group as a whole, (v) equity of inactive subsidiaries with a book value of less than $100,000 and (vi) equity of subsidiaries that are not guarantors, are organized in jurisdictions in which no guarantor is organized and have (a) gross assets below 1.0% of the consolidated total assets of the RGHL Group and (b) EBITDA below 1.0% of the consolidated EBITDA of the RGHL Group.

The pledge of the securities of any first tier non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries is also limited to 100% of their non-voting capital stock and 65% of their voting capital stock. First-tier non-U.S. subsidiaries refers to the subsidiaries of RGHL that are domiciled outside the United States that are directly owned by subsidiaries of RGHL that are domiciled in the United States. The senior secured notes are not secured by a pledge of (i) any of the assets of the non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries or (ii) the capital stock of non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries (other than first tier non-U.S. subsidiaries).

Liens on assets are also limited to the extent deemed necessary to comply with legal limitations, avoid significant tax disadvantages, comply with certain third party arrangements, satisfy fiduciary duties of directors and minimize fees, taxes and duties. Liens over assets are also not granted to the extent granting of such lien would have a material adverse effect on the ability of the relevant Issuer or guarantor to conduct business in the ordinary course.

In addition, the indentures governing the senior secured notes provide that any portion of the capital stock and other securities of any of our subsidiaries will be excluded from the collateral to the extent that it exceeds the maximum amount of such capital stock or other security that can be pledged to secure the senior secured notes without causing such subsidiary to be required to file separate financial statements with the SEC. This collateral cutback provision does not apply to BP I with respect to any series of senior secured notes and Graham Holdings with respect to the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes. Under the SEC regulations in effect as of the issue date of the new senior secured notes, if the par value, book value or market value, whichever is greatest, of the capital stock or other securities of a subsidiary pledged as part of the collateral is greater than or equal to 20% of the aggregate principal amount of one of the series of senior secured notes then outstanding, such a subsidiary would be required to provide separate financial statements to the SEC. As a result, pursuant to the collateral cutback provision, the value of the capital stock of any of our subsidiaries that is equal to or greater than 20% of the aggregate principal amount of one of the series of senior secured notes would be excluded from the collateral securing such series of senior secured notes.

We estimate that the aggregate book value and market value of our subsidiaries, as of September 30, 2011 and measured in accordance with

IFRS after giving effect to consolidation, are approximately $1,416 million and $4,955 million, respectively, which is equivalent to the book value and market value of our subsidiary

BP I the ultimate parent of all of our other subsidiaries (other than BP II). While the capital stock of BP I s subsidiaries that is pledged to secure the senior secured notes is generally subject to the collateral cutback provision described above, the capital stock of BP I, and the capital stock of Graham Holdings only with respect to the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes, is not subject to the collateral cutback provision. Accordingly, the aggregate book value or market value of the capital stock of our pledged subsidiaries is equivalent to the book value or market value of BP I.

The granting of a lien in an asset and the priority of any lien are subject to exceptions. We estimate that the assets of RGHL and its subsidiaries that are part of the collateral securing the secured notes have a book value greater than the amount of our outstanding secured indebtedness, which totaled $10,461.7 million, as of September 30, 2011 and measured in accordance with IFRS. See Description of the 2009 Notes Security, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Security, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Security, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Security, Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Definitions Agreed Security Principles, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions Agreed Security Principles, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions Agreed Security Principles, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions Agreed Security Principles, Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Covenants Future Collateral, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Collateral, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Collateral, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Collateral, Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Covenants Liens, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Liens, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Liens, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Liens, Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Definitions Permitted Liens, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions Permitted Liens, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions Permitted Liens, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Definitions Permitted Liens and Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Structure, the Guarantees, the Collateral and the Notes .

Senior Notes — Not applicable.

Intercreditor Agreements

Senior Secured Notes — We are party to two intercreditor agreements that govern the relative rights of the obligors under our existing and future financing arrangements with respect to the collateral: (1) our intercreditor agreement, dated May 11, 2007, as amended from

	time to time, which sets forth the relative rights and obligations with respect to the holders of the senior secured notes, the lenders and other secured parties (including certain local facility providers and hedging counterparties) under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the holders of the 2007 Notes, or the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement , and (2) our intercreditor agreement, dated November 5, 2009, as amended from time to time, which sets forth the relative rights and obligations with respect to the holders of the senior secured notes, the lenders and other secured parties (including certain local facility providers and hedging counterparties) under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, or the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement.
Senior Notes	Not applicable.

Optional Redemption

Senior Secured Notes	The Issuers may redeem some or all of the 2009 Notes at any time and from time to time on or after October 15, 2012, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus. Prior to October 15, 2012, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the 2009 Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the 2009 Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date plus the applicable make-whole premium described in this prospectus. See Description of the 2009 Notes Optional Redemption. In addition, at any time prior to October 15, 2012, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the 2009 Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 107.750%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. See Description of the 2009 Notes Optional Redemption. The Issuers may redeem some or all of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes at any time and from time to time on or after October 15, 2014, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus. Prior to October 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date plus the applicable make-whole premium described in this prospectus. See Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption. In addition, at any time prior to October 15, 2013, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 107.125%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. See Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption. The Issuers may redeem some or all of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes at any time and from time to time on or after February 15, 2016, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus. Prior to February 15,

2016, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes at a

	redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date plus the applicable make-whole premium described in this prospectus. See Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption. In addition, at any time prior to February 15, 2014 the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 106.875%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. See Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption.
	The Issuers may redeem some or all of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes at any time and from time to time on or after August 15, 2015, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus. Prior to August 15, 2015, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date plus the applicable make-whole premium described in this prospectus. See Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption. In addition, at any time prior to August 15, 2014 the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 107.875%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. See Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption.
Senior Notes	The Issuers may redeem some or all of the May 2010 Notes at any time and from time to time on or after May 15, 2014, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus. Prior to May 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the May 2010 Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the May 2010 Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date plus the applicable make-whole premium described in this prospectus. See Description of the May 2010 Notes Optional Redemption. In addition, at any time prior to May 15, 2013, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the May 2010 Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 108.500%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. See Description of the May 2010 Notes Optional Redemption.
	The Issuers may redeem some or all of the October 2010 Senior Notes at any time and from time to time on or after October 15, 2014, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus. Prior to October 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the October 2010 Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the October 2010 Senior Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date plus the applicable make-whole premium

described in this prospectus. See

	Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Optional Redemption. In addition, at any time prior to October 15, 2013, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the October 2010 Senior Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 109.000%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. See Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Optional Redemption. The Issuers may redeem some or all of the February 2011 Senior Notes at any time and from time to time on or after February 15, 2016, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus. Prior to February 15, 2016, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the February 2011 Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the February 2011 Senior Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date plus the applicable make-whole premium described in this prospectus. See Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Optional Redemption. In addition, at any time prior to February 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the February 2011 Senior Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 108.250%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. See Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Optional Redemption. The Issuers may redeem some or all of the August 2011 Senior Notes at any time and from time to time on or after August 15, 2015, at the redemption prices described in this prospectus. Prior to August 15, 2015, the Issuers may redeem some or all of the August 2011 Senior Notes at a redemption price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the August 2011 Senior Notes plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date plus the applicable make-whole premium described in this prospectus. See Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Optional Redemption. In addition, at any time prior to August 15, 2014, the Issuers may redeem up to 35% of the aggregate principal amount of the August 2011 Senior Notes with the proceeds of certain equity offerings at a redemption price of 109.875%, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the applicable redemption date. See Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Optional Redemption.
Redemption for Taxation Reasons	In the event of certain developments affecting taxation, the Issuers may redeem all, but not less than all, of each series of the notes at 100% of the outstanding principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of redemption. See Description of the 2009 Notes Redemption for Taxation Reasons, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Redemption for Taxation Reasons, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Redemption for Taxation Reasons, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Redemption for Taxation Reasons, Description of the May 2010 Notes Redemption for Taxation Reasons, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Redemption for Taxation Reasons, Description of the

February 2011 Senior Notes Redemption for

Taxation Reasons and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Redemption for Taxation Reasons.

Change of Control

If a change of control occurs, each holder of the notes may require us to repurchase all or a portion of such holder s notes at a purchase price of 101% of the principal amount of such notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of repurchase. The term Change of Control is defined under Description of the 2009 Notes Change of Control, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Change of Control, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Change of Control, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Change of Control, Description of the May 2010 Notes Change of Control, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Change of Control, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Change of Control and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Change of Control.

Certain Covenants

Separate indentures govern the terms of each series of the senior secured notes and the senior notes. The indentures governing each series of notes contain covenants that, among other things, limit the ability of BP I, BP II and their restricted subsidiaries to:

incur additional indebtedness and issue disqualified and preferred stock;

make restricted payments, including dividends or other distributions;

create certain liens;

sell assets;

in the case of BP I, BP II and their respective restricted subsidiaries, enter into arrangements that limit any restricted subsidiary s ability to pay dividends or other payments to BP I, BP II, or any other restricted subsidiary;

engage in transactions with affiliates;

consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all of their assets and the assets of their subsidiaries on a consolidated basis; and

with respect to the senior secured notes, impair the security interests.

These covenants are subject to a number of important limitations and exceptions as described under Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Covenants, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants, Description of the May 2010 Notes Certain Covenants, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Certain Covenants, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Certain

	Covenants and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Certain Covenants.
No Public Market	The new notes will be new securities for which there is currently no public market.

Governing Law of the Indentures, the Notes, the Guarantees, the Intercreditor Agreements and the Security Documents	The indentures, the senior secured notes, the senior notes, the related guarantees, and certain of the intercreditor agreements are governed by the laws of the State of New York. The intercreditor agreements not governed by the laws of the State of New York are governed by the laws of England. For the avoidance of doubt, the provisions of articles 86 to 94-8 of the Luxembourg law of August 10, 1915, as amended, on commercial companies are excluded. The security documents related to the senior secured notes, are, in most cases, governed by the laws of the jurisdiction in which the relevant Issuer or guarantor is organized with certain exceptions including, as necessary, in respect of security over equity interests, bank accounts and receivables or security documents in respect of property located in Quebec. Accordingly, the security documents are subject to the laws of multiple jurisdictions. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Structure, the Guarantees, the Collateral and the Notes Enforcing your rights as a holder of the notes or under the guarantees, or with respect to the senior secured notes, the security, across multiple jurisdictions may be difficult, Description of the 2009 Notes Governing Law, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Governing Law, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Governing Law, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Governing Law and Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations.

Presentation of Financial Information

The segments that comprise the RGHL Group have not been owned, directly or indirectly, by a single company that consolidates their financial results or operates them as a single combined business for all the periods for which financial results are presented in this prospectus. RGHL, through an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary, acquired (i) SIG, on May 11, 2007 as part of the SIG Acquisition, (ii) our Reynolds consumer products business and Closures, on November 5, 2009, as part of the RGHL Transaction, (iii) Evergreen, on May 4, 2010, as part of the Evergreen Transaction, (iv) our Reynolds foodservice packaging business, on September 1, 2010, as part of the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition, (v) Pactiv on November 16, 2010, as part of the Pactiv Transaction, (vi) Dopaco, on May 2, 2011, as part of the Dopaco Acquisition and (vii) Graham Packaging, on September 8, 2011, as part of the Graham Packaging Acquisition. We are in the process of combining our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses with our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, to form integrated Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. We are also in the process of combining Dopaco with our Pactiv Foodservice segment. Graham Packaging has become the sixth segment of the RGHL Group. In addition, as a result of the Initial Evergreen Acquisition, the beverage packaging business of International Paper Company, or IP s Bev Pack Business, is our predecessor for accounting purposes.

The table below summarizes the financial statements and information that are presented herein as well as the applicable accounting standards pursuant to which such financials statements and information were prepared:

	Interim Financial Information		Annual Financial Information				
	2011	2010	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
RGHL Group	Financial Statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and as of September 30, 2011 (Unaudited IFRS)****	Financial Statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited IFRS)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 (Audited IFRS)*	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Audited IFRS)	Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 (Audited IFRS)**	Selected financial information as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007 (Audited IFRS)***	N/A
					Financial Statements as of December 31, 2008 (Audited IFRS)**		
BP I(1)	Financial Statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30,	Financial Statements for the three and nine month periods	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December	Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 (Audited	N/A	N/A

2011 and as of September 30, 2011 (Unaudited IFRS)****	ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited IFRS)	31, 2010 (Audited IFRS)*	31, 2009 (Audited IFRS)	IFRS)**

	Interim Financial Information		Annual Financial Information				
	2011	2010	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
					Financial Statements as of December 31, 2008 (Audited IFRS)**		
Beverage Packaging Holdings Group(2)	Financial Statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and as of September 30, 2011 (Unaudited IFRS)****	Financial Statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited IFRS)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 (Audited IFRS)*	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Audited IFRS)	Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 (Audited IFRS)**	N/A	N/A
					Financial Statements as of December 31, 2008 (Audited IFRS)**		
RGHL Group Predecessor	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Selected financial information as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 (Audited U.S. GAAP)
North American Operations of IP s Bev Pack Business	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Selected financial information for the one month period from January 1, 2007 to	N/A

	January 31, 2007 (Audited U.S. GAAP)

Table of Contents

	Interim Financial Information		Annual Financial Information				
	2011	2010	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Pactiv(3)	N/A	Financial Statements as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited U.S. GAAP)	Financial information of Pactiv for the period from January 1, 2010 to November 15, 2010, as extracted from Pactiv s accounting records (Unaudited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2007 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	N/A
Dopaco(3)	Financial Statements as of and for the 126-day period ended May 1, 2011 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	Financial information of Dopaco for the nine month period ended September 26, 2010 (Unaudited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 26, 2010 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements for the year ended December 27, 2009 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	N/A	N/A	N/A
Graham Packaging(3)	Financial Statements for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and as of June 30, 2011 (Unaudited U.S. GAAP)	Financial information for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2008 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	N/A	N/A
	Financial information of Graham Packaging for the period from July 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011, as				Financial Statements as of December 31, 2008 (Audited U.S. GAAP)		

extracted
from Graham
Packaging s
accounting
records
(Unaudited
U.S. GAAP)

26

Table of Contents

	Interim Financial Information		Annual Financial Information				
	2011	2010	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006
Graham Holdings(1)	Financial Statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the periods from September 8, 2011 through September 30, 2011 (successor); from July 1, 2011 through September 7, 2011 (predecessor); and from January 1, 2011 through September 7, 2011 (predecessor) (Unaudited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 (Unaudited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	Financial Statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 (Audited U.S. GAAP) Financial Statements as of December 31, 2008 (Audited U.S. GAAP)	N/A	N/A

(1) The financial statements of BP I and Graham Holdings are included in this prospectus pursuant to Rule 3-16 of Regulation S-X because the book value of the capital stock of BP I constitutes a substantial portion of the collateral of each series of senior secured notes being registered and the book value of the partners capital (deficit) of Graham Holdings constitutes a substantial portion of the collateral of our August 2011 Senior Secured Notes being registered.

(2) The financial statements of the Beverage Packaging Holdings Group, which consists of BP I, BP I s consolidated subsidiaries and BP II, are included in this prospectus to satisfy reporting requirements under the indentures governing the notes.

(3) The financial statements of Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging are included in this prospectus pursuant to Rule 3-05 of Regulation S-X because each of these acquired businesses constitutes a significant subsidiary.

* Includes the operations of Pactiv for the period from November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

** Includes a full year of operations for Evergreen and SIG and ten months of operations for Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice.

*** Includes 11 months of operations for Evergreen (including five months of operations of Blue Ridge Holding Corp. and its consolidated subsidiaries) and seven months of operations for SIG.

**** Includes the operations of Dopaco for the period from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011 and Graham Packaging for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011.

Financial statements not included in this prospectus.

RGHL

On January 31, 2007, Rank Group Limited, an entity that is wholly-owned by our strategic owner, Mr. Graeme Hart, commenced the acquisition of IP s Bev Pack Business. This process occurred in stages from January 31, 2007 to April 30, 2007. See The Transactions The Initial Evergreen Acquisition. On May 4, 2010, Rank Group s investment in Evergreen (which was IP s Bev Pack Business prior to the Initial Evergreen Acquisition) was acquired by the RGHL Group. See The Transactions The Evergreen Transaction.

Through the purchase of Evergreen, the RGHL Group became the owner of IP s Bev Pack Business which is our predecessor for accounting purposes. Prior to the Initial Evergreen Acquisition, the RGHL Group had no significant operations.

In May 2007, RGHL acquired SIG Combibloc Group AG (formerly known as SIG Holding AG), or SIG Combibloc, a company that was listed on the SIX Swiss Exchange, pursuant to a public tender offer that was concluded on May 11, 2007 and a subsequent squeeze-out of minority shareholders that was completed on November 7, 2007. See The Transactions The SIG Transaction.

In 2008, as part of the Reynolds Acquisition, certain affiliated entities that are ultimately owned by Mr. Graeme Hart, acquired the closures, consumer products and food and flexible packaging business of Alcoa Inc., or Alcoa that became our Reynolds consumer products business and Closures segment following the RGHL Transaction and our Reynolds foodservice packaging business following the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition. See The Transactions The Reynolds Acquisition. On November 5, 2009, RGHL acquired Closures and the Reynolds consumer products business from such affiliated entities. See The Transactions The RGHL Transaction. Separately on September 1, 2010, RGHL acquired the Reynolds foodservice packaging business from such affiliated entities. See The Transactions The Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition.

On November 16, 2010, RGHL acquired Pactiv for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $5.8 billion. In connection with the Pactiv Acquisition, we also paid additional amounts for the cancellation of outstanding stock options and other equity-based awards. We are in the process of combining our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses with our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, to form integrated Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. Pactiv had historically prepared its financial statements in accordance with the generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, or U.S. GAAP. See The Transactions The Pactiv Transaction.

On May 2, 2011, RGHL acquired Dopaco from Cascades Inc. The consideration for the acquisition was $395.2 million in cash. The purchase price was paid from existing cash of the RGHL Group. Dopaco s combined financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus were prepared on a carve-out basis and are in accordance with U.S. GAAP. See The Transactions The Dopaco Acquisition.

On September 8, 2011, RGHL acquired Graham Packaging Company Inc., or Graham Company, for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $4.5 billion. In connection with the Graham Packaging Acquisition, we also paid additional amounts for the cancellation of outstanding stock options and other equity-based awards and the satisfaction of income tax receivable agreements with certain of Graham Company s pre-initial public offering shareholders. Graham Company had historically prepared its financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Graham Holdings, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of RGHL and Graham Company, suspended its reporting obligations under the Exchange Act and has ceased to file any reports with the SEC, but will continue to make such reports available to holders of Graham Packaging Notes as required by the related indentures. See The Transactions The Graham Packaging Transaction.

Our Evergreen, SIG and Closures segments and our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses, which are part of our Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments, have been under common ownership and control through entities ultimately 100% owned by Mr. Graeme Hart for over three years, but they have not been owned, directly or indirectly, by a single company that consolidated their financial results or operated them as a single combined business for that period of time. We have determined that the Evergreen Acquisition, RGHL Acquisition and Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition constituted business combinations of entities under common control. International Financial Reporting Standards, or IFRS, as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board, or IASB, are silent on the accounting required for business combinations involving entities that are under common control, but requires that entities develop and consistently apply an accounting policy for such transactions. Accordingly, we have chosen to account for RGHL s acquisitions of Evergreen, Closures and the Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses, which were acquired from entities under the common control of our ultimate shareholder, Mr. Graeme Hart, using the carry-over or book value method. Under the carry-over or book value method, the business combination does not change the historical carrying value of the assets and liabilities in the business acquired. The excess of the purchase price over the consolidated carrying value of net assets acquired is recognized directly in equity. No additional goodwill separately arose as a result of the Evergreen Transaction, the RGHL Transaction or the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition.

We account for business combinations under common control from the date Mr. Graeme Hart, our strategic owner and sole ultimate shareholder, originally obtained control of each of the businesses presented.

We account for business combinations, other than business combinations under common control, using the purchase method of accounting. Under the purchase method of accounting, the purchase price is required to be allocated to the underlying tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair market values as of the date of the acquisition, with any excess purchase price allocated to goodwill. We have accounted for the Pactiv Acquisition, the Dopaco Acquisition and the Graham Packaging Acquisition using the purchase method of accounting.

The audited financial statements of the RGHL Group as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The audited financial statements of the RGHL Group as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for the year ended December 31, 2007 are not included in this prospectus. The unaudited interim condensed financial statements of the RGHL Group as of September 30, 2011 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The selected historical financial data of the RGHL Group Predecessor as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006, have been derived from the consolidated financial statements of IP s Bev Pack Business, which are not included in this prospectus. The selected financial data of the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business for the period from January 1 to January 31, 2007 have been derived from the North America operations of IP s Bev Pack Business audited combined financial statements, which are not included in this prospectus.

Pactiv

The audited consolidated financial statements of Pactiv as of December 31, 2008 and 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2008 and 2009 are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The interim consolidated financial statements of Pactiv as of September 30, 2010 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2010, included in this prospectus, are unaudited. Pactiv has historically prepared its financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Upon the consummation of the Pactiv Acquisition, Pactiv no longer separately reports its financial statements, but rather, its financial results are included in the RGHL Group s financial statements in accordance with the RGHL Group s accounting principles and policies.

Dopaco

The audited carve-out combined financial statements of Dopaco as of May 1, 2011 and December 26, 2010 and for the 126-day period ended May 1, 2011 and the years ended December 26, 2010 and December 27, 2009 are included elsewhere in this prospectus. Dopaco s combined financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus were prepared on a carve-out basis and are in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Following the consummation of the Dopaco Acquisition, Dopaco no longer separately reports its financial statements, but rather, beginning from May 2, 2011, its financial results are included in the RGHL Group s financial statements in accordance with the RGHL Group s accounting principles and policies.

Graham Packaging

The audited financial statements of Graham Packaging as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The audited financial statements of Graham Packaging as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, are not included in this prospectus. The balance sheet of Graham Packaging as of December 31, 2006 is unaudited. The interim financial statements of Graham Packaging as of June 30, 2011 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2011, included elsewhere in this prospectus, are unaudited. Graham Packaging s financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Following the consummation of the Graham Packaging Acquisition, Graham Packaging no longer separately reports its financial statements, but rather, beginning on September 8, 2011, its financial results are included in the RGHL Group s financial statements in accordance with the RGHL Group s accounting principles and policies.

Graham Holdings

The audited financial statements of Graham Holdings as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 are included elsewhere in this prospectus. The audited financial statements of Graham Holdings as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2007, are not included in this prospectus. The interim financial statements of Graham Holdings as of September 30, 2011 and for the periods from September 8, 2011 through September 30, 2011 (successor); from July 1, 2011 through September 7, 2011 (predecessor); and from January 1, 2011 through September 7, 2011 (predecessor) and the three and nine months ended September 30, 2010 (predecessor), included elsewhere in this prospectus, are unaudited. Graham Holdings financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Graham Holdings financial results, excluding amounts allocable to non-controlling interests, are included in Graham Packaging s financial statements. Following the consummation of the Graham Packaging Acquisition, the non-controlling interests ceased to exist.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

In this prospectus, we utilize certain non-GAAP financial measures and ratios, including earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization, or EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA, each with the meanings and as calculated as set forth in Summary Summary Historical and Pro Forma Combined Financial Information, as well as leverage and coverage ratios and the aggregation of predecessor and successor period financial statements, that in each case are not recognized under IFRS or U.S. GAAP. These measures are presented as we believe that they and similar measures are widely used in the markets in which we operate as a means of evaluating a company s operating performance and financing structure and, in certain cases, because those measures are used to determine compliance with covenants in our debt agreements. They may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies and are not measurements under IFRS, U.S. GAAP or other generally accepted accounting principles, nor should they be considered as substitutes for the information contained in our historical financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS and U.S. GAAP, as applicable, included in this prospectus. See Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Business Our unaudited pro forma financial information is not intended to reflect what our actual results of operations and financial condition would have been had the RGHL Group been a consolidated company with Graham Packaging, Dopaco and Pactiv for the periods presented and, therefore these results may not be indicative of our future operating performance and Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Structure, the Guarantees, the Collateral and the Notes The calculation of EBITDA pursuant to the indentures governing the notes permits certain estimates and assumptions that may differ materially from actual results, and the estimated savings expected from our cost saving plans may not be achieved.

Currency Presentation

References in this prospectus to dollars or $ are to the lawful currency of the United States of America. References in this prospectus to euro or are to the single currency of the participating Member States in the Third Stage of European Economic and Monetary Union of the Treaty Establishing the European Community, as amended from time to time.

IFRS does not require that our financial reporting be presented in a particular currency. Based on our current business mix and other facts and circumstances that our board of directors considers relevant, we have determined that the dollar is currently the most appropriate currency for our financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Summary of Certain Differences Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP

The financial information of the RGHL Group and the summary unaudited pro forma combined financial information presented in this prospectus has been prepared and presented in accordance with IFRS. Certain differences exist between IFRS and U.S. GAAP, some of which may be material to the financial information herein. Certain financial information related to Graham Packaging, Dopaco and Pactiv has been preliminarily converted from U.S. GAAP to IFRS. See Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information.

The table below summarizes certain differences between IFRS and U.S. GAAP that may be material. We have not prepared a reconciliation of the consolidated financial statements and related footnote disclosures between IFRS and U.S. GAAP and have not quantified such differences. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the following summary is complete.

If we had undertaken any such quantification or reconciliation, other accounting and disclosure differences may have come to our attention that are not identified below. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the identified differences in the summary below represent all principal differences between IFRS and U.S. GAAP relating to the RGHL Group. The differences highlighted below reflect only those differences in accounting policies in force at the time of the preparation of the IFRS financial information. We have not attempted to identify future differences between U.S. GAAP and IFRS as a result of prescribed changes in accounting standards or transactions or events that may occur in the future and that could have a significant impact on the presentation below. You should consult your own professional advisor for an understanding of the differences between IFRS and U.S. GAAP, and how these differences might affect the financial information presented in this prospectus.

Topic	IFRS	U.S. GAAP
Business Combinations	Business combinations are accounted for on the basis of the purchase method. However, this excludes businesses brought together to form a joint venture, business combinations involving businesses or entities under common control or involving two or more mutual entities and business combinations in which separate entities or businesses are brought together to form a reporting entity by contract alone without obtaining an ownership interest. IFRS provides a choice in respect of the initial measurement, as at the date of acquisition, of non-controlling interests (previously referred to as minority interests). The initial recognition of a non-controlling interest can be measured at either: (a) its percentage of the fair value of the net assets of the acquired entity; or (b) its percentage of the fair value of the identifiable net assets of the acquired entity. This election is applied on an acquisition by acquisition basis. The cost of an intangible asset acquired in a business combination is its fair value. Fair value reflects market participants views about the probability of future economic benefits. Fair value is measured using valuation techniques if there is no active market for the acquired intangible asset. There is no specific guidance under IFRS on valuation approaches for intangible assets. Unlike under U.S. GAAP, push down accounting, whereby fair value adjustments are recognized in the financial statements of the acquiree, is not required.	Business combinations are accounted for by the purchase method only. In the event of combinations of entities under common control the accounting for the combination is done on a historical cost basis in a manner similar to a pooling of interests for all periods presented. Unlike IFRS, U.S. GAAP requires that the initial measurement as of the date of acquisition of non-controlling interests represents the percentage of the fair value of the net assets of the acquired entity. Like IFRS, intangible assets acquired in a business combination are recognized initially at fair value. Fair value reflects market participants views about the probability of future economic benefits, and fair value is measured using valuation techniques if there is no active market for the acquired intangible asset. However, unlike IFRS, U.S. GAAP includes guidance on valuation approaches for identifiable intangible assets. Under U.S. GAAP, push down accounting is required whereby fair value adjustments are recognized in the financial statements of the acquiree.

Table of Contents

Topic	IFRS	U.S. GAAP
Post-Retirement Benefits	A liability is recognized for an employer s obligation under a defined benefit plan. The liability and expense are measured actuarially using the projected unit credit method. If plan assets exceed the defined benefit obligation, the amount of any net asset recognized is limited to available future benefits from the plan and unrecognized actuarial losses and past service costs. The discount rate to be used for determining defined benefit obligations is by reference to market yields at the balance sheet date in high-quality corporate bonds of a currency and term consistent with the currency and term of the post-employment benefit obligations. Actuarial gains and losses are recognized either in profit or loss using the corridor approach, whereby gains and losses are not recognized until they exceed 10% of the greater of the plan assets or funding obligations, or immediately in other comprehensive income. Amounts recognized in other comprehensive income are not subsequently recorded within profit or loss. When recognized in the profit or loss, the gains and losses are recognized over the employees expected average remaining service lives, although faster recognition is permitted. If the benefit has vested, immediate recognition is required. Plan assets should always be measured at fair value and fair value should be used to determine the expected return on plan assets.	Like IFRS, a liability is recognized for an employer s obligation under a defined benefit plan. The liability and expense generally are measured actuarially using the projected unit credit method for pay-related plans. However, unlike IFRS, the liability and expense are measured for non-pay-related plans using the traditional unit credit method which excludes the impact of future increases in salary. Additionally, unlike IFRS, U.S. GAAP does not restrict the recognition of an asset in respect of a defined benefit plan. Under U.S. GAAP, the discount rate to be used for determining defined benefit obligations is based on the rate at which the obligation could be effectively settled. SEC guidance directs entities to look to the rate of return on high-quality fixed-income investments with similar durations to those of the benefit obligation and further defines high-quality as an investment which has received one of the two highest ratings given by recognized rating agencies. U.S. GAAP permits entities to either record actuarial gains and losses in profit or loss during the period they were incurred or to defer actuarial gains and losses through the use of the corridor approach or any systematic method that results in faster recognition than the corridor approach. Regardless of whether actuarial gains and losses are recognized immediately or are amortized in a systematic fashion, they are ultimately recorded within the profit or loss. Like IFRS, plan assets should be measured at fair value for balance sheet recognition and for disclosure purposes. However, unlike IFRS, for the purposes of determining the expected return on plan assets, plan assets can be measured at either fair value or a calculated value that

		recognizes changes in fair value in a systematic and rational manner over not more than five years.
Consolidation	Consolidation is based on a control model. Control is the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. For control to exist an entity must have the ability to have majority power and be receiving benefits. IFRS requires control to be assessed using a power-to-control model or a de facto control model. Potential voting rights that are currently exercisable are considered in assessing control.	Consolidation is based on a controlling financial interest model, which differs in certain respects from IFRS. For non-variable interest entities, control is the continuing power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity, like IFRS. However, unlike IFRS, there is no explicit linkage between control and ownership benefits. Potential voting rights are not considered in assessing control for non-variable interest entities under U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents

Topic	IFRS	U.S. GAAP
	IFRS requires that uniform accounting policies are used throughout the consolidated group. A special purpose entity, or SPE , is an entity created to accomplish a narrow and well-defined objective. SPEs are consolidated when the substance of the relationship between an entity and the SPE indicates that the SPE is controlled by that entity. Control may arise through the predetermination of the activities of the SPE or otherwise. The application of the control concept requires judgment of all relevant factors, including the purpose of the SPE, any autopilot mechanisms, where the majority of the benefits go and what entity retains the majority of residual or ownership risks. IFRS does not have a concept of variable interest entities, or VIEs , or qualifying SPEs, or QSPEs .	There is no requirement to use uniform accounting policies within the consolidated group under U.S. GAAP. Although U.S. GAAP has the concepts of VIEs and QSPEs, which may meet the definition of an SPE under IFRS, the control model that applies to VIEs and QSPEs differs from the control model that applies to SPEs under IFRS. Additionally, unlike IFRS, entities are evaluated as VIEs based on the amount and characteristics of their equity investment at risk and not on whether they have a narrow and well-defined objective.
Goodwill	After the initial recognition, the goodwill acquired in a business combination is measured at cost less any accumulated impairment loss. Goodwill is not required to be amortized. An impairment review of Cash Generating Units, or CGUs , with allocated goodwill is required annually or whenever an indication of impairment exists. The impairment review does not need to take place at the balance sheet date. If newly acquired goodwill is allocated to a CGU that has already been tested for impairment during the period, a further impairment test is required before the balance sheet date. A one-step impairment test is performed. The recoverable amount of the CGU (i.e. the higher of its fair value less costs to sell and its value in use) is compared to its carrying amount. The impairment loss is recognized in operating results as the excess of the carrying amount over the recoverable amount. Impairment is allocated first to goodwill. Allocation is made on a pro rata basis to the CGU s assets if the impairment loss exceeds the book value of goodwill.	Like IFRS, goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment annually. Goodwill is reviewed for impairment, at the reporting unit level, at least annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the recoverability of the carrying amount should be assessed. A two-step impairment test is required: (1) The fair value and the carrying amount of the reporting unit including goodwill are compared. Goodwill is considered to be impaired if the fair value of the reporting unit is less than its book value; and (2) If goodwill is determined to be impaired based on step one, goodwill impairment is measured as the excess of the carrying amount of goodwill over its implied fair value. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined by calculating the fair value of the various assets and liabilities included in the reporting unit in the same manner as goodwill is determined in a business combination. The impairment charge is included as a reduction to operating income.

Topic	IFRS	U.S. GAAP
Property, Plant and Equipment	Property, plant and equipment comprises tangible items held for use in the production or supply of goods or services, for rental to others, or for administrative purposes, that are expected to be used during more than one accounting period. Software that is not integral to the operation of the related hardware does not qualify as property, plant and equipment. Instead it is classified as an intangible asset. Fixed assets are recorded at cost or as revalued to market. If carried at revalued amounts, assets should be annually revalued to match the carrying amount of such assets with the fair values. Foreign exchange gains or losses relating to the procurement of property, plant and equipment, under very restrictive conditions, can be capitalized as part of the asset. Estimates of useful life and residual value, and the method of depreciation, are reviewed at least at each annual reporting date. Any changes are accounted for prospectively as a change in estimate. When an item of property, plant and equipment comprises individual components for which different depreciation methods or rates are appropriate, each component is depreciated separately. Borrowing costs that are directly attributable to the acquisition, construction, or production of a qualifying asset form part of the cost of that asset.	Property, plant and equipment is defined similarly to IFRS; however, under U.S. GAAP computer software is often included in property, plant and equipment. Unlike IFRS, revaluation of fixed assets is prohibited under U.S. GAAP, except in connection with purchase accounting. All foreign exchange gains or losses relating to the payables for the procurement of property, plant and equipment are recorded in the income statement. Unlike IFRS, estimates of useful life and residual value, and the method of depreciation, are reviewed only when events or changes in circumstances indicate that the current estimates or depreciation method no longer are appropriate. Any changes are accounted for prospectively as a change in estimate. Component depreciation is permitted by U.S. GAAP, but not required. Like IFRS, borrowing costs incurred while a qualifying asset is being prepared for its intended use form part of the cost of that asset. However, U.S. GAAP allows for more judgment in determination of the capitalization rate that could lead to differences in the amount of costs capitalized.
Impairment Testing	An entity shall assess at each reporting date whether there is any indication that an asset/CGU may be impaired. The impairment loss is the difference between the asset s/CGU s carrying amount and its recoverable amount. The recoverable amount is the higher of the asset s/CGU s fair value less costs to sell and its value in use. Value in use is the present value of estimated future cash flows expected to arise from the continuing use of an asset	Like IFRS, impairment testing is required when there is an indication of impairment. An impairment loss shall be recognized only if the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) is not recoverable and exceeds its fair value. The carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) is not recoverable if it exceeds the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the

and from its disposal at the end of its useful life. The impairment loss recognized in prior periods for an asset shall be reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the asset s/CGU s recoverable amount since the last impairment loss was recognized. Impairment losses on goodwill recognized in a prior period cannot be reversed.	asset (asset group). An impairment loss shall be measured as the amount by which the carrying amount of a long-lived asset (asset group) exceeds its fair value (which is determined based on discounted cash flows). Unlike IFRS, reversal of impairment losses recognized in a prior period is prohibited under U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents

Topic	IFRS	U.S. GAAP
Stock-Based Compensation	The fair value of shares and options awarded to employees is recognized over the period to which the employees services relate. The award is presumed to be for past services if it is unconditional without any performance criteria. An entity should treat each installment of a graded vesting award as a separate share option grant. This means that each installment will be separately measured and attributed to expense, resulting in accelerated recognition of total expense. Employers social security liability arising from share-based payment transactions is recognized over the same period or periods as the share-based payment charge.	Like IFRS, the fair value of stock-based compensation is recognized over the requisite service period, which may be explicit, implicit or derived depending on the terms of the awards (e.g. service conditions, market conditions, performance conditions or a combination of conditions). Unlike IFRS, entities are allowed to make an accounting policy choice regarding recognition of an award with service conditions and a graded vesting schedule. Specifically, an entity can elect to recognize compensation expense: on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for each separately vesting portion of the award as if the award was in substance multiple awards; or on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period for the entire award (i.e. over the requisite service period of the last separately vesting portion of the award). Employer payroll taxes due on employee stock-based compensation are recognized as an expense on the date of the event triggering the measurement and payment of the tax to the taxing authority (generally the exercise date and vesting date for options and restricted stock, respectively).
Leases	A finance lease is a lease that transfers substantially all of the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of the leased asset from the lessor to the lessee; title to the asset may or may not transfer. IFRS applies a substance over legal form approach and requires judgment. An operating lease is a lease other than a finance lease.	Similar concepts are generally applied under U.S. GAAP when determining whether a lease is a capital (finance) lease to a lessee. However, U.S. GAAP provides explicit quantitative thresholds that define when certain of these criteria are met. An operating lease is a lease other than a finance lease.

Table of Contents

Topic	IFRS	U.S. GAAP
Income Taxes	Income taxes are calculated using the tax rates that are either enacted or substantively enacted at the balance sheet date. Deferred tax assets should be recognized when it is probable (i.e. more likely than not) that they will be utilized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are classified as non-current on the balance sheet. A deferred tax liability (asset) is recognized for the difference in tax bases between jurisdictions as a result of an intra-group transfer of assets. Unlike U.S. GAAP, IFRS does not specifically address uncertain tax positions. In certain circumstances where the uncertain tax positions lead to future expected payments to settle, they may be recognized as part of current tax liabilities using a probability weighted or best estimate approach.	Income taxes are calculated using enacted tax rates at the balance sheet date. Deferred tax assets are recognized in full, with valuation allowances established to reduce the asset to an amount considered more likely than not to be realized. Unlike IFRS, deferred tax assets and liabilities are separated into current and non-current based on the nature of assets and liabilities causing a temporary difference and reported as such in the balance sheet if an entity presents a classified balance sheet. Unlike IFRS, a deferred tax liability (asset) is not recognized for the difference in tax bases between jurisdictions as a result of an intra-group transfer of assets. U.S. GAAP has specific guidance for accounting for and disclosure of uncertain tax positions which requires that they be measured using a cumulative probability approach. Uncertain tax positions are reported in other non-current liabilities.
Financial Instruments	A derivative is defined as a financial instrument (1) whose value changes in response to changes in a specified underlying security, (2) requires little or no net investment and (3) is settled at a future date. Evaluating whether a transfer of a financial asset qualifies for derecognition requires consideration of whether substantially all risks and rewards and, in certain circumstances control, has been transferred. IFRS does not allow the use of the short-cut method and, therefore, requires for all hedge accounting relationships that an entity demonstrate at inception and in subsequent periods that the hedge is expected to be highly effective. An embedded derivative is separated from the host contract if it is determined that the	Derivatives are defined similarly to IFRS; however, U.S. GAAP also requires that the derivative contract provide for net settlement. The derecognition model for transfers of financial assets focuses on surrendering control over the transferred assets. The transferor has surrendered control over transferred assets only if certain conditions are met. Unlike IFRS, U.S. GAAP provides for the use of a short-cut (effectiveness is assumed) method for applying hedge accounting when certain conditions are met. Like IFRS, determining whether an embedded derivative is clearly and closely related to the host contract requires the nature of the host contract and the

embedded derivative is not closely related to the host contract. An evaluation of the nature (i.e. economic risks and characteristics) of the host contract and the underlying derivative must be made.	underlying derivative to be considered. However, the U.S. GAAP guidance for the term clearly and closely related differs from the IFRS guidance and as a result, certain embedded derivatives recognized under IFRS may not be recognized under U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents

Topic	IFRS	U.S. GAAP
Inventories	Inventories are measured at the lower of cost and net realizable value. The cost of inventory is determined using the FIFO (first-in, first-out) or weighted average cost method. The LIFO (last-in, first-out) method is prohibited. The same cost formula is applied to all inventories having a similar nature and use to the entity. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price less the estimated costs of completion and sale. If the net realizable value of an item that has been written down increases subsequently, then the write-down is reversed.	Inventories are measured at the lower of cost and market. Unlike IFRS, the cost of inventory can be determined using the LIFO method in addition to the FIFO or weighted average method. The same cost formula need not be applied to all inventories having a similar nature and use to the entity. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price less the estimated costs of completion and sale. Unlike IFRS, market is replacement cost limited by net realizable value (ceiling) and net realizable value less a normal profit margin (floor). Under U.S. GAAP, a write-down of inventory to market is not reversed for subsequent recoveries in value.
Provisions	Provisions relating to present obligations from past events are recorded if an outflow of resources is probable and can be reliably estimated. The amount recognized as a provision is the best estimate of the expenditure required to settle the present obligation at the balance sheet date. The anticipated cash flows are discounted using a pre-tax discount rate (or rates) that reflect(s) current market assessments of the time value of money and those risks specific to the liability if the effect is material. If a range of estimates is predicted and no amount in the range is more likely than any other amount in the range, the mid-point of the range is used to measure the liability.	Specific rules exist for the recognition of employee termination costs, environmental liabilities and loss contingencies. Unlike IFRS, if a range of estimates is present and no amount in the range is more likely than any other amount in the range, the minimum (rather than the mid-point) amount is used to measure the liability. Unlike IFRS, a provision is only discounted when the timing of the cash flows is fixed. Differences may arise in the selection of the discount rate, particularly in the area of asset retirement obligations.
Debt Issuance Costs	Debt issuance costs are capitalized and presented in the balance sheet as a deduction from the carrying value of the borrowings. The deferred costs are amortized to the income statement using the effective interest method.	Like IFRS, debt issuance costs are capitalized. However, unlike IFRS, debt issuance costs are classified on the balance sheet as an asset. Like IFRS, the deferred costs are amortized to the income statement using the effective interest method.

Table of Contents

Summary Historical and Pro Forma Combined Financial Information

The following tables set forth (i) summary unaudited RGHL Combined Group pro forma financial information, as of the dates and for the periods indicated and (ii) summary historical RGHL Group financial information, as of the dates and for the periods indicated.

The summary historical and pro forma combined financial information should be read together with the respective financial statements and the notes thereto, along with the Glossary of Selected Terms, Summary Presentation of Financial Information, Risk Factors, Capitalization, Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information, Selected Historical Consolidated and Historical Combined Financial Data, and Operating and Financial Review and Prospects. You should regard the summary financial information below only as an introduction and should base your investment decision on a review of the entire prospectus.

RGHL Group

On January 31, 2007, Rank Group commenced the acquisition of IP s Bev Pack Business. This process occurred in stages from January 31, 2007 to April 30, 2007. See The Transactions The Initial Evergreen Acquisition.

On May 4, 2010, Rank Group s investment in Evergreen (which was IP s Bev Pack Business prior to the Initial Evergreen Acquisition) was acquired by the RGHL Group. See The Transactions The Evergreen Transaction. As a result of the Evergreen Transaction, we refer to IP s Bev Pack Business prior to January 31, 2007 as the RGHL Group Predecessor. Prior to the Initial Evergreen Acquisition, the RGHL Group had no significant operations.

RGHL acquired SIG Combibloc on May 11, 2007 pursuant to a public tender offer and a subsequent squeeze-out of minority shareholders that was completed on November 7, 2007. See The Transactions The SIG Transaction.

In 2008, as part of the Reynolds Acquisition, certain affiliated entities that are ultimately owned by our strategic owner, Graeme Hart, acquired the closures, consumer products and food and flexible packaging business of Alcoa that became our Reynolds consumer products business and Closures segment following the RGHL Transaction and our Reynolds foodservice packaging business following the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition. See The Transactions The Reynolds Acquisition. On November 5, 2009, RGHL acquired Closures and the Reynolds consumer products business from such affiliated entities. See The Transactions The RGHL Transaction. Separately on September 1, 2010, RGHL acquired the Reynolds foodservice packaging business from such affiliated entities. See The Transactions The Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition.

On November 16, 2010, RGHL acquired Pactiv for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $5.8 billion. We are in the process of combining our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses with our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, to form integrated Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. See The Transactions The Pactiv Transaction.

On May 2, 2011, RGHL acquired Dopaco from Cascades Inc. The consideration for the acquisition was $395.2 million in cash. The purchase price was paid from existing cash of the RGHL Group. See The Transactions The Dopaco Acquisition.

On September 8, 2011, RGHL acquired Graham Company for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $4.5 billion. See The Transactions The Graham Packaging Transaction.

Our Evergreen, SIG and Closures segments and our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses, which are part of our Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments, have been under common ownership and control through entities ultimately 100% owned by Mr. Graeme Hart, our strategic owner, for over three years, but they have not been owned, directly or indirectly, by a single company that consolidated their financial results or operated them as a single combined business for that period of time. We have determined that the Evergreen Acquisition, the RGHL Acquisition and the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition constituted business combinations of entities under common control. IFRS is silent on the accounting required for business combinations involving entities that are under common control, but requires that entities develop and consistently apply an accounting policy for such transactions. Accordingly, we have chosen to account for RGHL s acquisitions of Evergreen, Closures and the Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses, which were acquired from entities under the common control of our ultimate shareholder, Mr. Graeme Hart, using the carry-over or book value method. Under the carry-over or book value method, the business combination does not change the historical carrying value of the assets and liabilities in the business acquired. The excess of the purchase price over the consolidated carrying value of net assets acquired is recognized directly in equity. No additional goodwill separately arose as a result of the Evergreen Transaction, the RGHL Transaction or the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition.

We account for business combinations under common control from the date Mr. Graeme Hart, our strategic owner and sole ultimate shareholder, originally obtained control of each of the businesses presented.

We account for business combinations, other than business combinations under common control, using the purchase method of accounting. We have accounted for the Pactiv Acquisition, the Dopaco Acquisition and the Graham Packaging Acquisition using the purchase method of accounting.

The summary historical financial information of the RGHL Group as of December 31, 2010 and 2009 and for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 has been derived from the RGHL Group audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus. The summary historical financial data of the RGHL Group as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 has been derived from the RGHL Group s unaudited interim condensed financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Pro Forma Combined Financial Information

The summary unaudited pro forma combined financial information is based on the historical financial information of the RGHL Group, Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging, each of which is included elsewhere in this prospectus, as adjusted to illustrate the impact of the financing components of the Evergreen Transaction, the Pactiv Transaction, the Refinancing Transactions, the Dopaco Acquisition and the Graham Packaging Transaction (collectively, the Pro Forma Transactions). For further information regarding the Pro Forma Transactions, see The Transactions. The unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet gives effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer as if it had been completed as of September 30, 2011. The unaudited pro forma combined income statements give effect to the Pro Forma Transactions as if they had been completed as of January 1, 2010.

The RGHL Group incurred costs associated with completing the Pactiv Acquisition. In addition, the RGHL Group expects to incur approximately $125.0 million of additional costs by the end of 2012 related to the integration of the Pactiv businesses, of which $99.7 million has been incurred through September 30, 2011. Because these costs are not recurring or capital in nature, they are not reflected in the unaudited pro forma combined income statements included elsewhere in this prospectus, except to the extent the costs were incurred as of September 30, 2011 and are reflected in the historical financial statements of the RGHL Group. These costs will be substantial and could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

The RGHL Group incurred costs associated with completing the Graham Packaging Acquisition. In addition, the RGHL Group expects to incur cash outlays of approximately $75.0 million of additional costs by the end of 2013 to achieve the expected cost savings and synergies from the Graham Packaging Acquisition. Cash outlays include both expenses and capital expenditures associated with integrating Graham Packaging into RGHL s operations and are separate from the costs associated with the Graham Packaging Acquisition. Expenses incurred under our planned integration program generally will include exit, disposal, severance and other costs. The costs will be substantial and could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Table of Contents

The unaudited pro forma adjustments are based upon current available information and assumptions that we believe to be reasonable. The pro forma adjustments and related assumptions are described in the accompanying notes presented on the following pages.

The summary historical financial and pro forma information is for informational purposes only and is not intended to represent or to be indicative of the results of operations or financial position that the RGHL Group or the pro forma combined group would have reported had the Pro Forma Transactions been completed as of the dates set forth in this unaudited pro forma combined financial information and should not be taken as being indicative of our future consolidated results of operations or financial position. The actual results may differ significantly from those reflected in the unaudited pro forma combined financial information for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, differences between the assumptions used to prepare the unaudited pro forma combined financial information and actual amounts. As a result, the unaudited pro forma combined financial information does not purport to be indicative of what the financial condition or results of operations would have been had the Pro Forma Transactions been completed on the applicable dates of the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

The unaudited pro forma combined income statements do not include adjustments for (i) any prospective revenue or cost saving synergies that may be achieved, in addition to those reflected in the historical financial information, since the completion of the Pactiv Transaction, the Dopaco Acquisition, the Graham Packaging Acquisition or as a result of any of the other acquisitions we have completed, or (ii) the impact of costs directly related to the Pro Forma Transactions or any of the other acquisitions we have completed. In addition, the unaudited pro forma combined financial information does not give effect to any of the adjustments made to derive the RGHL Combined Group Adjusted EBITDA, which are each described under Summary Summary Historical and Pro Forma Combined Financial Information.

We have adjusted the financial data of Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging for the periods presented by applying IFRS in all material respects to such financial data. We have not attempted to quantify all differences that would have been identified if the complete historical Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging financial information, as presented, had been prepared in accordance with IFRS. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the conversion as described is a complete summary of all the differences that would result had a full exercise been undertaken. Had we undertaken such conversion, other accounting and disclosure differences may have come to our attention that are not identified below, some of which may be material. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the identified differences below represent all principal adjustments to Pactiv s, Dopaco s and Graham Packaging s financial data necessary to present them on an IFRS basis consistent with the RGHL Group s financial statements. For a discussion of certain differences between IFRS and U.S. GAAP see Summary Summary of Certain Differences Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP.

Table of Contents

Summary Unaudited RGHL Combined Group Pro Forma Financial Information

	RGHL Combined Group(1)		
	For the Year Ended December 31,	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2010	2011
	(IFRS) (In $ millions)		
Income Statement			
Revenue	**$ 12,904.0**	**$ 9,488.6**	**$ 10,557.6**
Cost of sales	(10,412.8)	(7,626.3)	(8,755.4)
Gross profit	**2,491.2**	**1,862.3**	**1,802.2**
Other income	104.9	74.2	68.3
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses	(471.5)	(337.0)	(341.7)
General and administration expenses	(813.9)	(574.5)	(577.6)
Other expenses	(196.5)	(62.5)	(464.3)
Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax (equity method)	19.0	13.9	13.6
Profit (loss) from operating activities	**1,133.2**	**976.4**	**500.5**
Financial income	66.7	17.4	32.8
Financial expenses	(1,721.1)	(1,285.4)	(1,276.3)
Net financial expenses	**(1,654.4)**	**(1,268.0)**	**(1,243.5)**
Profit (loss) before income tax	**(521.2)**	**(291.6)**	**(743.0)**
Income tax benefit (expense)	129.1	30.2	58.9
Profit (loss) from continuing operations before non-recurring charges directly attributable to the Pro Forma Transactions	**$ (392.1)**	**$ (261.4)**	**$ (684.1)**

	RGHL Combined Group as of September 30, 2011 (IFRS) (In $ millions)
Balance Sheet Data	
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 570.9
Trade and other receivables current	1,596.7
Inventories	1,950.5
Property, plant and equipment	4,659.4

Investment property		26.0
Intangibles		12,442.2
Other assets		890.3
Total assets		**22,136.0**
Trade and other payables current		2,014.5
Borrowings current		557.9
Borrowings non-current		16,737.7
Other liabilities		3,038.3
Total liabilities		**22,348.4**
Net assets (liabilities)	**$**	**(212.4)**

	RGHL Combined Group(1)		
	For the Year Ended December 31,	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2010	2011
	(IFRS) (In $ millions except ratios)		
Pro Forma Other Financial Data:			
Total Capital Expenditure	$ 626.2	$ 271.3	$ 303.2
RGHL Combined Group EBITDA(2)	2,082.1	1,672.4	1,313.8
RGHL Combined Group Adjusted EBITDA(3)	2,380.0	1,728.8	1,860.9
Pro Forma Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(4)			

(1) Refer to Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information for details regarding the basis of preparation and description of the pro forma adjustments.

(2) RGHL Combined Group EBITDA is defined as profit (loss) from continuing operations for the period plus income tax expenses, net financial expenses, depreciation of property, plant and equipment and amortization of intangible assets. EBITDA is not a measure of our financial condition, liquidity or profitability and should not be considered as a substitute for profit (loss) from continuing operations for the period, operating profit or any other performance measures derived in accordance with IFRS or as a substitute for cash flow from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity in accordance with IFRS. Additionally, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for management s discretionary use, as it does not take into account certain items such as interest and principal payments on our indebtedness, depreciation and amortization expense, working capital needs, tax payments and capital expenditures. We believe that the inclusion of EBITDA in this prospectus is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about our operating performance and to provide a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies. We additionally believe that issuers of high yield debt securities also present EBITDA because investors, analysts and rating agencies consider these measures useful. Because not all companies calculate EBITDA identically, this presentation of the RGHL Combined Group EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures used by other companies. The following table reconciles the RGHL Combined Group EBITDA calculation presented above to our profit (loss) from continuing operations for the periods presented:

	RGHL Combined Group(1)		
	For the Year Ended December 31,	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2010	2011
	(IFRS) (In $ millions except ratios)		
Profit (loss) from continuing operations	**$ (392.1)**	**$ (261.4)**	**$ (684.1)**

Income tax (benefit) expense		(129.1)		(30.2)		(58.9)
Net financial expenses		1,654.4		1,268.0		1,243.5
Depreciation and amortization		948.9		696.0		813.3
RGHL Combined Group EBITDA(2)	**$**	**2,082.1**	**$**	**1,672.4**	**$**	**1,313.8**

(3) RGHL Combined Group Adjusted EBITDA, a measure used by our management to measure operating performance, is defined as RGHL Combined Group EBITDA, adjusted to exclude certain items of a significant or unusual nature, including but not limited to acquisition costs, non-cash pension income, restructuring costs, unrealized gains or losses on derivatives, gains or losses on the sale of non-strategic assets, asset impairments and write downs and equity method profit not distributed in cash. Adjusted EBITDA is not a presentation made in accordance with IFRS, is not a measure of financial condition, liquidity or profitability and should not be considered as an alternative to profit (loss) from continuing operations for the period determined in accordance with IFRS or operating cash flows determined in accordance with IFRS. The determination of Adjusted EBITDA contains a number of estimates and

assumptions that may prove to be incorrect and differ materially from actual results. See Risk Factors. Additionally, Adjusted EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for management s discretionary use, as it does not take into account certain items such as interest and principal payments on our indebtedness, depreciation and amortization expense, working capital needs, tax payments, and capital expenditures. We believe that the inclusion of Adjusted EBITDA in this prospectus is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about our operating performance and to provide a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies. We additionally believe that issuers of high yield debt securities also present Adjusted EBITDA and other pro forma measures of Adjusted EBITDA because investors, analysts and rating agencies consider these measures useful. Because not all companies calculate Adjusted EBITDA identically, this presentation of Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to the similarly titled measures of other companies. The following table reconciles the RGHL Combined Group EBITDA calculation presented above to the RGHL Combined Group Adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented:

	RGHL Combined Group (IFRS) (In $ millions)		
	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
RGHL Combined Group EBITDA	**$ 2,082.1**	**$ 1,672.4**	**$ 1,313.8**
Adjustment related to settlement of a lease obligation(a)	(1.6)	(1.6)	
Restructuring costs(b)	18.0	5.3	79.6
Termination of supply agreement(c)	7.0		
Black Liquor Credit(d)	(10.3)	(0.3)	
Related party management fees(e)	0.8	0.8	
Impairment of non-current assets(f)	62.6	9.4	13.5
Equity method joint venture profit not distributed in cash(g)	(14.8)	(10.8)	(8.4)
Consulting fees for business optimization projects(h)	14.1	13.8	34.1
Non-cash pension expense (income)(i)	(48.8)	(38.7)	(30.8)
Effect of purchase price accounting on inventories and leases(j)	63.8		30.8
VAT and Customs duties on historical imports(k)	9.8	9.3	5.6
Gain on sale of businesses and investment properties(l)	(16.1)	(13.1)	(5.2)
Business interruption costs(m)	1.8	2.1	1.9
Costs related to business acquisitions and integrations(n)	52.1	9.9	68.2
Closure Systems International Americas, Inc. gain on acquisition(o)	(9.8)	(9.8)	
Pactiv change of control payments(p)	63.0	5.5	
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives(q)	(3.8)	0.4	25.0
Non-cash inventory charge(r)			3.6
SEC registration costs(s)			1.6
Gain from modification of retiree medical plan benefits(t)			(17.6)
ITR agreements(u)	5.0	1.7	233.7
Fees relating to Graham Packaging s terminated related party monitoring agreement(v)	1.5	1.1	0.7
Graham Packaging IPO and merger related costs(w)	39.6	39.6	
Graham Packaging acquisition and integration expenses(x)	20.3	11.3	4.2

Graham Packaging transaction related expenses(y)			89.3
Graham Packaging reorganization and other costs(z)	16.0	14.1	11.0
Other(aa)	27.7	6.4	6.3
RGHL Combined Group Adjusted EBITDA	**$ 2,380.0**	**$ 1,728.8**	**$ 1,860.9**

(a) Reflects the reversal of excess reserves for Baco leasing obligations that were settled in 2010.

(b) Reflects restructuring costs relating to cost saving programs associated with implementing workforce reductions and plant closures.

(c) Reflects amounts paid to settle the termination of a supply contract at Pactiv Foodservice.

(d) Reflects tax credits, net of related expenses, received for the use of alternative fuel mixtures to produce energy to operate the Evergreen business during the 2009 and 2010 years. See Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

(e) Reflects an expense for management fees relating to executives of Evergreen.

(f) Reflects impairment charges relating to the write-down of non-current assets to their recoverable amount in the RGHL Group and Graham Packaging.

(g) Reflects adjustments to deduct equity accounted results of joint ventures to the extent that they are not distributed in cash of the RGHL Group, Dopaco and Graham Packaging.

(h) Reflects consulting fees in connection with the implementation of a new project at our Evergreen segment and costs incurred at our Reynolds consumer products business and our Pactiv Foodservice segment designed to optimize business processes, including the purchase of raw material and other inputs.

(i) Reflects non-cash pension expense or income included in results of operations.

(j) Reflects the fair value adjustment to inventories and leases as a result of the purchase price accounting exercise against cost of sales.

(k) Reflects customs duties and VAT taxes on historical imports.

(l) Reflects a total gain on sale of businesses of $16.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, comprised of $8.3 million on disposal of the Reynolds foodservice packaging business s interest in its envelope window film operations, $6.0 million on other business disposals and the gain on sale of investment properties of $1.8 million at SIG. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the gain on sale was $13.1 million, comprised of $9.1 million on disposal of Reynolds foodservice packaging business s interest in its envelope window film operations in January 2010, $2.3 million on other business disposals and $1.7 million on the sale of investment properties. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the gain on sale of business was $5.2 million on disposal of one of Closures European businesses.

(m) Reflects business interruption costs (net of insurance recoveries in 2011 and 2010) at:

SIG in 2011 as a result of hail damage at its plant in Wittenberg, Germany;

Closures in 2011 as a result of an earthquake in Japan and in 2010 as a result of an earthquake in Chile; and

Reynolds consumer products business in 2009 as a result of flood damage and related insurance recoveries in 2010 and 2011.

(n) Reflects costs incurred by the RGHL Group related to business acquisitions and to the integration of Pactiv and Graham Packaging.

(o) Reflects the difference between the net assets acquired and consideration paid on the acquisition of Closure Systems International Americas Inc.

(p) Represents payments made to executives and members of management of Pactiv as a result of the change in control events associated with the Pactiv Acquisition.

(q) Reflects the adjustments for unrealized gains or losses on derivatives.

(r) Reflects a non-cash charge related to changing a technique in computing the monthly inventory standards at the Pactiv Foodservice and Reynolds Consumer Products segments.

(s) Reflects the cost incurred by the RGHL Group related to the SEC registration process.

(t) Represents the gain from modification of retiree medical plan benefits.

(u) Reflects amounts in respect of the ITR agreements, which were terminated as a result of the Graham Packaging Acquisition.

(v) Represents annual fees paid to Donald C. Graham, his family and affiliated entities and Graham Packaging s financial sponsors in connection with a monitoring agreement.

(w) Represents costs related to the termination of the monitoring agreement, IPO bonus payments and other IPO related costs at Graham Packaging.

(x) Represents costs related to the acquisition and integration of the Liquid Entities, China Roots Packaging PTE Ltd. (China Roots) and other entities by Graham Packaging.

(y) Represents costs related to the terminated merger with Silgan Holdings Inc. and the subsequent acquisition costs by the RGHL Group.

(z) Represents costs related to the OnTech arbitration, plant closures, employee severance and other costs.

(aa) Represents the reversal of business closure costs, stock-based compensation expense, non-cash equity income from non-consolidated entities and Venezuelan hyper-inflationary accounting for Graham Packaging, curtailment costs and a legal settlement at Pactiv Foodservice and certain expenses associated with historical Pactiv and Graham Packaging operations.

(4) For purposes of calculating the pro forma ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings represent income before income taxes from continuing operations before adjustments for minority interests and equity from affiliates plus fixed charges and distributed income of equity investees. Fixed charges include the sum of (a) interest expensed and capitalized, (b) amortized premiums, discounts and capitalized expenses related to indebtedness, and (c) an estimate of the interest within rental expense. This ratio does not have the same definition as any similarly titled ratio with respect to the notes. For the periods presented, the ratio coverage was less than 1.0x. The RGHL Combined Group would have needed to generate additional earnings of $546.3 million, $305.8 million and $753.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, respectively, to achieve a coverage of 1.0x.

Summary Historical RGHL Group Financial Information

	RGHL Group				
	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008(*)	2009( )	2010(**)	2010( )	2011***( )
	(IFRS) (In $ millions)				
Income Statement					
Revenue	**$ 6,012.8**	**$ 5,910.0**	**$ 6,774.0**	**$ 4,596.7**	**$ 8,279.4**
Cost of sales	(5,309.2)	(4,691.3)	(5,523.8)	(3,741.7)	(6,825.1)
Gross profit	**703.6**	**1,218.7**	**1,250.2**	**855.0**	**1,454.3**
Other income	93.6	201.0	102.1	72.0	67.8
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses	(228.5)	(210.7)	(230.7)	(152.9)	(266.1)
General and administration expenses	(334.3)	(366.8)	(392.4)	(270.5)	(435.9)
Other expenses	(246.4)	(95.9)	(80.0)	(42.0)	(224.3)
Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax (equity	6.3	11.4	18.1	13.2	13.5

method)					
Profit (loss) from operating activities	**(5.7)**	**757.7**	**667.3**	**474.8**	**609.3**
Financial income	164.5	20.9	65.6	16.5	31.6
Financial expenses	(408.8)	(513.2)	(751.7)	(456.2)	(1,085.8)
Net financial income (expenses)	**(244.3)**	**(492.3)**	**(686.1)**	**(439.7)**	**(1,054.2)**
Profit (loss) before income tax	**(250.0)**	**265.4**	**(18.8)**	**35.1**	**(444.9)**
Income tax benefit (expense)	63.1	(148.7)	(77.7)	(71.1)	62.0
Profit (loss) from continuing operations for the period	**$ (186.9)**	**$ 116.7**	**$ (96.5)**	**$ (36.0)**	**$ (382.9)**

* Represents a full year of operations for the SIG and Evergreen segments and 10 months of operations for the Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

** Represents a full year of operations for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include operations of our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the period from November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

*** Includes the operations of Dopaco for the period from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011 and Graham Packaging for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011.

Derived from the audited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

Derived from the unaudited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

	RGHL Group			
	As of December 31,			As of September 30,
	2008(*)	2009( )	2010(**)	2011( )
	(IFRS) (In $ millions)			
Balance Sheet Data				
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 386.6	$ 515.5	$ 663.8	$ 1,046.0
Trade and other receivables	709.6	683.1	1,150.2	1,596.7
Inventories	828.1	755.6	1,280.6	1,950.5
Property, plant and equipment	1,939.5	1,825.0	3,266.2	4,659.4
Intangible assets	3,361.1	3,279.1	8,747.9	12,442.2
Other assets	701.3	703.2	866.9	919.3
Total assets	**7,926.2**	**7,761.5**	**15,975.6**	**22,614.1**
Trade and other payables	710.2	760.7	1,245.8	2,014.5
Borrowings current	2,361.1	112.3	140.9	1,035.1
Borrowings non-current	2,544.4	4,841.8	11,701.7	16,737.7
Other liabilities	1,284.1	943.3	2,623.3	3,038.3
Total liabilities	**6,899.8**	**6,658.1**	**15,711.7**	**22,825.6**
Net assets (liabilities)	**$ 1,026.4**	**$ 1,103.4**	**$ 263.9**	**$ (211.5)**

* Represents balance sheet data for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments, included in the RGHL Group s annual audited financial statements which are not included elsewhere in this prospectus.

** Represents balance sheet data for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include balance sheet data for our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses.

Derived from the audited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

Derived from the unaudited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

Table of Contents

	RGHL Group				
	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008(*)	2009( )	2010(**) (IFRS) (In $ millions)	2010( )	2011(***)
Other Financial Data					
Total capital expenditures	$ 288.4	$ 292.4	$ 336.9	$ 202.9	$ 346.5
RGHL Group EBITDA(1)	470.7	1,259.4	1,171.1	816.7	1,257.1
RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA(2)	784.8	1,130.3	1,250.6	818.6	1,456.1
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(3)		1.6		1.1	
Cash Flow Statement Data					
Net cash flows from (used in) operating activities	450.6	769.8	383.2	423.5	163.2
Net cash flows from (used in) investing activities	(2,721.7)	(135.3)	(4,588.2)	(138.7)	(2,387.9)
Net cash flows from (used in) financing activities	2,347.3	(500.6)	4,345.0	(344.8)	2,608.1

* Represents a full year of operations for the SIG and Evergreen segments and 10 months of operations for the Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

** Represents data for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include data for our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the period from November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

*** Includes the operations of Dopaco for the period from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011 and Graham Packaging for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011.

Derived from the audited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

Derived from the unaudited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

The following table reconciles the RGHL Group EBITDA calculations presented above to our profit (loss) from continuing operations for the period presented:

RGHL Group				
Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
2008*	2009	2010** (IFRS) (In $ millions)	2010	2011***

Profit (loss) from continuing operations	**$ (186.9)**	**$ 116.7**	**$ (96.5)**	**$ (36.0)**	**$ (382.9)**
Income tax (benefit) expense	(63.1)	148.7	77.7	71.1	(62.0)
Net financial expenses	244.3	492.3	686.1	439.7	1,054.2
Depreciation and amortization	476.4	501.7	503.8	341.9	647.8
RGHL Group EBITDA(1)	**$ 470.7**	**$ 1,259.4**	**$ 1,171.1**	**$ 816.7**	**$ 1,257.1**

* Represents a full year of operations for the SIG and Evergreen segments and 10 months of operations for the Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

** Represents data for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include data for our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the period from November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

*** Includes the operations of Dopaco for the period from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011 and Graham Packaging for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011.

Derived from the audited financials statements of the RGHL Group.

Derived from the unaudited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

(1) RGHL Group EBITDA is defined as profit (loss) from continuing operations before income tax expenses, net financial expenses, depreciation of property, plant and equipment and amortization of intangible assets. EBITDA is not a measure of our financial condition, liquidity or profitability and should not be considered as a substitute for profit (loss) for the year, operating profit or any other performance measures derived in accordance with IFRS or as a substitute for cash flow from operating activities as a measure of our liquidity in accordance with IFRS. Additionally, EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for management s discretionary use, as it does not take into account certain items such as interest and principal payments on our indebtedness, depreciation and amortization expense, working capital needs, tax payments, and capital expenditures. We believe that the inclusion of EBITDA in this prospectus is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about our operating performance and to provide a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies. We additionally believe that issuers of high yield debt securities also present EBITDA because investors, analysts and rating agencies consider these measures useful. Because not all companies calculate EBITDA identically, this presentation of the RGHL Group EBITDA may not be comparable to other similarly titled measures of other companies.

(2) RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA, a measure used by our management to measure operating performance, is defined as RGHL Group EBITDA, adjusted to exclude certain items of a significant or unusual nature, including but not limited to acquisition costs, non-cash pension income, restructuring costs, unrealized gains or losses on derivatives, gains or losses on the sale of non-strategic assets, asset impairments and write downs and equity method profit not distributed in cash.

Adjusted EBITDA is not a presentation made in accordance with IFRS, is not a measure of financial condition, liquidity or profitability and should not be considered as an alternative to profit (loss) for the period determined in accordance with IFRS or operating cash flows determined in accordance with IFRS. The determination of Adjusted EBITDA contains a number of estimates and assumptions that may prove to be incorrect and differ materially from actual results. See Risk Factors. Additionally, Adjusted EBITDA is not intended to be a measure of free cash flow for management s discretionary use, as it does not take into account certain items such as interest and principal payments on our indebtedness, depreciation and amortization expense, working capital needs, tax payments, and capital expenditures. We believe that the inclusion of Adjusted EBITDA in this prospectus is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about our operating performance and to provide a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies. We additionally believe that issuers of high yield debt securities also present Adjusted EBITDA and other pro forma measures of Adjusted EBITDA because investors, analysts and rating agencies consider these measures useful. The following table reconciles the RGHL Group EBITDA calculation presented above to RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA for the periods presented:

	RGHL Group				
	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008(*)	2009( )	2010(**)	2010( )	2011(***)
	(IFRS) (In $ millions)				

RGHL Group EBITDA	**$ 470.7**	**$ 1,259.4**	**$ 1,171.1**	**$ 816.7**	**$ 1,257.1**
Adjustment related to settlement of a lease obligation(a)			(1.6)	(1.6)	
Restructuring costs(b)	78.9	57.9	8.7	5.3	79.6
Termination of supply agreement(c)			7.0		
Black Liquor Credit(d)		(214.1)	(10.3)	(0.3)	
Related party management fees(e)	3.4	2.5	0.8	0.8	
Impairment of non-current assets(f)		12.9	28.7	5.7	10.5

	RGHL Group				
	Year Ended December 31,			Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008(*)	2009( )	2010(**)	2010( )	2011(***)
			(IFRS)		
			(In $ millions)		
Equity method joint venture profit not distributed in cash(g)	(6.3)	(10.0)	(14.2)	(10.3)	(8.3)
Consulting fees for business optimization projects(h)		13.2	8.2	9.0	34.1
Non-cash pension expense (income)(i)			(5.2)		(30.8)
Korean insurance claim(j)		(2.0)			
Venezuela receivable(k)		1.4			
Legal costs related to the acquisition of Blue Ridge Paper Products, Inc.(l)		1.2			
Write-down of assets held for sale(m)		0.7			
Transition costs(n)	10.2	23.6			
Effect of purchase price adjustment on inventories and leases(o)	30.5		63.8		30.8
VAT and Customs duties on historical imports(p)	2.2	3.5	9.8	9.3	5.6
Gain on sale of non-current assets(q)	(1.9)				
Gain on sale of businesses and investment properties(r)			(16.1)	(13.1)	(5.2)
Business interruption costs(s)		5.2	1.8	2.1	1.9
Costs related to business acquisitions and integrations(t)			12.0	4.4	68.2
Closure Systems International Americas, Inc. gain on acquisition(u)			(9.8)	(9.8)	
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives(v)	160.1	(129.0)	(3.8)	0.4	25.0
Realized losses on derivatives novated with related party(w)	32.8				
Plant realignment costs(x)		2.1			
Loss on sale of Baco assets(y)		1.2			
Elimination of historical Reynolds Consumer hedging policy(z)	4.2	95.3			
Inventory write-off(aa)		5.3			
Business closure costs (reversal)(bb)			(0.3)		
Non-cash inventory charge(cc)					3.6
SEC registration costs(dd)					1.6
Gain from modification of retiree medical plan benefits(ee)					(17.6)
RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA	**$ 784.8**	**$ 1,130.3**	**$ 1,250.6**	**$ 818.6**	**$ 1,456.1**

* Represents a full year of operations for the SIG and Evergreen segments and 10 months of operations for the Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

** Represents data for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include data for our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the period from November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

*** Includes the operations of Dopaco for the period from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011 and Graham Packaging for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011.

Derived from the audited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

Derived from the unaudited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

(a) Reflects the reversal of excess reserves for Baco leasing obligations that were settled in 2010.

(b) Reflects restructuring costs relating to cost saving programs associated with implementing workforce reductions and plant closures, as disclosed in note 11 of the RGHL Group audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 and note 8 of the RGHL Group unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

(c) Reflects amounts paid to settle the termination of a supply contract at Pactiv Foodservice.

(d) Reflects tax credits, net of related expenses, received for the use of alternative fuel mixtures to produce energy to operate the Evergreen business during the 2009 and 2010 years. See Operating and Financial Review and Prospects.

(e) Reflects an expense for management fees relating to executives of Evergreen.

(f) Reflects impairment charges relating to the write-down of non-current assets to their recoverable amount, predominantly in relation to the sale of a plant in Venezuela at Evergreen in 2009, impairment charges relating to the write-down of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets to their recoverable amount in relation to the sale or closure of certain of Pactiv Foodservice s operations in 2010 and 2011, and impairment charges relating to the write-down of investment properties at SIG in 2011.

(g) Reflects adjustments to deduct equity accounted results of joint ventures to the extent that they are not distributed in cash, as disclosed in the reconciliation of the profit for the period with the net cash from operating activities of the RGHL Group audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the RGHL Group unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

(h) Reflects consulting fees in connection with the implementation of a new project at our Evergreen segment and costs incurred at our Reynolds consumer products business and our Pactiv Foodservice segment designed to optimize business processes, including the purchase of raw material and other inputs.

(i) Reflects non-cash pension expense or income included in results of operations.

(j) Reflects the settlement in 2009 of an insurance claim for costs in connection with a fraud in the Korean business, which occurred at Evergreen in 2007.

(k) Reflects write-off of related party receivables in the Venezuela operations.

(l) Reflects an expense for legal fees related to the acquisition of Blue Ridge Paper Products, Inc. in 2007, which were incurred subsequent to the initial purchase accounting adjustments.

(m) Reflects write-down on assets held for sale.

(n) Reflects incremental costs incurred by RGHL associated with transitioning the Reynolds consumer products business from Alcoa, including costs related to IT systems and duplicative shared services during the transition period.

(o) Reflects the fair value adjustment to inventories and leases as a result of the purchase price accounting exercise against cost of sales.

(p) Reflects customs duties and VAT taxes on historical imports.

(q) Reflects the gain on sale of non-current assets of $1.9 million in 2008.

(r) Reflects a total gain on sale of businesses of $16.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, comprised of $8.3 million on disposal of the Reynolds foodservice packaging business s interest in its envelope window film operations, $6.0 million on other business disposals and the gain on sale of investment properties of $1.8 million at SIG. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the gain on sale was $13.1 million, comprised of $9.1 million on disposal of Reynolds foodservice packaging business s interest in its envelope window film operations in January 2010, $2.3 million on other business disposals and $1.7 million on the sale of investment properties. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the gain on sale of business was $5.2 million on disposal of one of Closures European businesses.

(s) Reflects business interruption costs (net of insurance recoveries) at:

SIG in 2011 as a result of hail damage at its plant in Wittenberg, Germany;

Closures in 2011 as a result of an earthquake in Japan and in 2010 as a result of an earthquake in Chile; and

Reynolds consumer products business in 2009 as a result of flood damage and related insurance recoveries in 2010 and 2011.

(t) Reflects costs incurred by the RGHL Group related to business acquisitions.

(u) Reflects the difference between the net assets acquired and consideration paid on the acquisition of Closure Systems International Americas Inc. (see note 34 of the RGHL Group audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010).

(v) Reflects the adjustments for unrealized gains or losses on derivatives.

(w) Reflects realized losses of $32.8 million on derivatives novated with a related party in 2008.

(x) Reflects plant realignment costs in 2009.

(y) Reflects a loss of $1.2 million on sale of Baco assets in 2009.

(z) Reflects the impact of the elimination of the historical hedging policy in 2008 and 2009.

(aa) Reflects a write-off of inventory in the Reynolds foodservice packaging business from restructuring and business rationalization activities.

(bb) Reflects the closure costs associated with certain of the RGHL Group s South American operations.

(cc) Reflects a non-cash charge related to changing a technique in computing the monthly inventory standards at the Pactiv Foodservice and Reynolds Consumer Products segments.

(dd) Reflects the reversal of costs incurred by the RGHL Group related to the SEC registration process.

(ee) Represents the gain from modification of retiree medical plan benefits.

(3) For purposes of calculating the ratio of earnings to fixed charges, earnings represent income before income taxes from continuing operations before adjustments for minority interests and equity from affiliates plus fixed charges and distributed income of equity investees. Fixed charges include the sum of (a) interest expensed and capitalized, (b) amortized premiums, discounts and capitalized expenses related to indebtedness, and (c) an estimate of the interest within rental expense. This ratio does not have the same definition as any similarly titled ratio with respect to the notes. For certain periods presented where the ratio coverage was less than 1.0x, the RGHL Group would have needed to generate additional earnings of $257.6 million, $33.7 million and $453.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2010 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, respectively, to achieve a coverage of 1.0x.

RISK FACTORS

You should carefully consider the following risk factors, in addition to the other information presented in this prospectus, including all the financial statements and related notes, in evaluating our business and an investment in the notes. Any of the following risks, as well as other risks and uncertainties, could harm our business and financial results and cause the value of the notes to decline, which in turn could cause you to lose all or part of your investment. The risks below are not the only ones facing our company. Additional risks not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial also may materially and adversely impair our business, financial condition or results of operations.

Risks Related to Our Business

The RGHL Group s lack of an operating history as a single company combining all of the RGHL Group s segments, including the businesses of Dopaco and Graham Packaging, and the challenge of integrating previously independent businesses make evaluating our business and our future financial prospects difficult.

The RGHL Group s lack of an operating history as a single company combining all of the RGHL Group s segments, including the businesses of Dopaco and Graham Packaging, makes evaluating our business and our future financial prospects difficult. Our potential for future business success and operating profitability must be considered in light of the risks, uncertainties, expenses and difficulties typically encountered by recently organized or combined companies.

In this prospectus, we have presented financial statements of the RGHL Group, Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging.

Although the financial statements of the RGHL Group included in this prospectus reflect the operations of our SIG, Evergreen and Closures segments and the operations of our Reynolds foodservice packaging business and Reynolds consumer products business, which are part of our Pactiv Foodservice and Reynolds Consumer Products segments, we did not operate these businesses during all of the periods presented, even though they are presented as combined in the RGHL Group financial statements. These businesses have been under common ownership and control through entities ultimately 100% owned by Mr. Graeme Hart for several years. However, these businesses were not owned, directly or indirectly, by a single company that consolidated their financial results or managed them on a combined basis prior to the completion of the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition on September 1, 2010.

In addition, the RGHL Group s financial statements reflect the operations of our Pactiv foodservice packaging and Hefty consumer products businesses only for the period of November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011. We are in the process of combining our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses with our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, to form integrated Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

We acquired Dopaco on May 2, 2011 and, as a result, its results are only reflected in the RGHL Group s financial statements from May 2, 2011. We are in the process of combining Dopaco with our Pactiv Foodservice segment.

We acquired Graham Packaging on September 8, 2011 and, as a result, its results are only reflected in the RGHL Group s financial statements from September 8, 2011.

Our unaudited pro forma combined financial information is not intended to reflect what our actual results of operations and financial condition would have been had the RGHL Group been a consolidated company with Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging for the periods presented, and therefore these results may not be indicative of our future operating performance.

Because we acquired Graham Packaging on September 8, 2011, Dopaco on May 2, 2011 and Pactiv on November 16, 2010, our historical financial information does not consolidate the financial results for the RGHL Group, Graham Packaging, Dopaco and Pactiv for all the periods presented. The financial results of Graham Packaging, Dopaco and Pactiv are only reflected in the historical financial statements of the RGHL Group from the dates they were acquired by RGHL. The historical financial statements consist of the financial statements of the RGHL Group, the separate financial statements of Pactiv for periods prior to the Pactiv Transaction, the separate financial statements for Dopaco prior to the Dopaco Acquisition and the separate financial statements for Graham Packaging prior to the Graham Packaging Acquisition, each included elsewhere in this prospectus. In addition, Pactiv s, Dopaco s and Graham Packaging s historical financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus are presented in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which differs in certain respects from IFRS, the accounting principles used by the RGHL Group. In adjusting U.S. GAAP financial information to IFRS for purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined financial information presented in this prospectus, we have not attempted to quantify all the differences that would have been identified if the complete historical Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging financial statements had been prepared in accordance with IFRS. The conversion provided for in such pro forma financial statements may not be a complete summary of all the differences that would result had a full exercise been undertaken. Accordingly, the identified differences may not represent all the material adjustments to the relevant financial information necessary to present the financial statements on an IFRS basis consistent with the RGHL Group s financial statements.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information presented in this prospectus is for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to, and does not purport to, represent what our actual results or financial condition would have been if each of the Pro Forma Transactions had occurred on the relevant dates. In addition, such unaudited pro forma combined financial information is based in part on certain assumptions regarding the Graham Packaging Transaction that the RGHL Group believes are reasonable. The unaudited pro forma combined financial information has been prepared using the purchase method of accounting, pursuant to which the purchase price in connection with acquisitions is required to be allocated to the underlying tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair market values as of the date of the acquisition, with any excess purchase price allocated to goodwill. The preliminary allocation of the purchase price in connection with the Graham Packaging Acquisition and the Dopaco Acquisition as reflected in the unaudited pro forma combined financial information is based upon our preliminary estimates of the values of assets acquired and liabilities assumed. For more information, see Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information. The final purchase price allocations may be different than those reflected in the preliminary pro forma purchase price allocations, and the differences may be material.

In addition, the RGHL Group incurred costs associated with completing the Graham Packaging Acquisition and the Pactiv Acquisition. We expect to incur approximately $125.0 million of additional costs to achieve the expected cost savings and synergies from the Pactiv Acquisition. We expect to incur approximately $75.0 million of costs to achieve the expected cost savings and synergies from the Graham Packaging Acquisition. Because these costs are not recurring and certain costs are capital in nature, they are not reflected in the unaudited pro forma combined income statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Accordingly, the historical and pro forma financial information included in this prospectus does not reflect what the RGHL Group s results of operations and financial condition would have been had the RGHL Group been a consolidated entity with Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging during all periods presented, or what our results of operations and financial condition will be in the future.

Other important information about the presentation of our financial information is included under the heading Summary Presentation of Financial Information. Although EBITDA, along with Adjusted EBITDA, as the case may be, is derived from the financial statements of the RGHL Group, Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging, the calculation of Adjusted EBITDA contains a number of estimates and assumptions that may prove to be incorrect and may differ materially from actual results. For example, raw materials pricing, synergies, cost savings and the determination of foreign currency conversions contain significant estimates and assumptions. Although we believe these estimates and assumptions are reasonable and correct, investors should not place undue reliance upon Adjusted EBITDA as an indicator of current and future performance given how it is calculated and the possibility that actual results may differ from the underlying estimates and assumptions.

Our business and financial performance may be harmed by future increases in raw material, energy and freight costs.

Raw material costs historically have represented a significant portion of our cost of sales, so changes in raw material prices may impact our results of operations. The primary raw materials for our aseptic and fresh carton packaging, foodservice, closures and consumer products are plastic resin (particularly high-density polyethylene, or HDPE, polypropylene, or PP, polyethylene, or PE, polystyrene, or PS, and polyethylene terephthalate, or PET), cartonboar aluminum and inks. The primary raw material for the construction of filling and capping machines is stainless steel and the primary raw materials for our liquid packaging board and paper production are wood fiber, chemicals and PE. Aluminum, plastic resin, wood fiber and stainless steel are all commodities that are subject to cyclical price fluctuations. For example, in recent years, the price of PE resin, which has historically been correlated with global oil prices, increased significantly. PE resin prices reached a record high in September 2008. Resin prices then declined until February 2009 and were stable for a number of months before starting to increase in the second half of 2009. Resin prices during 2010 were significantly higher than 2009. Prices increased through the first half of 2010, stabilized in the third quarter and have since started to increase again. Consistent with the trend in commodity markets, aluminum prices increased significantly in 2008, declined between late-2008 and mid-2009, increased through the end of 2009, fluctuated during 2010, increased in the first quarter of 2011 and declined in the second and third quarters of 2011.

In addition to our dependence on primary raw materials, we are also dependent on different sources of energy for our operations, such as coal, fuel oil, electricity and natural gas. If some of our large contracts were to be terminated for any reason or not renewed upon expiration, or if market conditions were to substantially change resulting in a significant increase in the price of coal, fuel oil, electricity and/or natural gas, we may not be able to find alternative, comparable suppliers or suppliers capable of providing coal, fuel, electricity and/or natural gas on terms or in amounts satisfactory to us. As a result of any of these events, our business, financial condition and operating results may suffer.

We are also dependent on third parties for the transportation of our raw materials as well as the products we sell. In certain jurisdictions, we are exposed to import duties and freight costs, the latter of which is influenced by carrier availability and the fluctuating costs of oil and other transportation costs.

Fluctuation in raw material costs can adversely affect our business because most of our purchases of raw materials are at market prices, through contracts tied to market prices or in the spot market, and our ability to pass cost increases through to our customers may be limited or delayed. While we sometimes enter into hedging agreements for some of our raw materials and energy sources, such as aluminum and natural gas, to minimize the impact of such fluctuations, we generally have not entered into hedging arrangements for plastic resin or other raw materials and energy sources. SIG s and many of Evergreen s and Closures contracts do not provide for price adjustment mechanisms that allow us to pass through changes in raw material prices to our customers. Although many of Reynolds Consumer Products store branded products are sold under agreements with resin price adjustment mechanisms, sales agreements for its Reynolds branded products, which represent the majority of its total aluminum foil products, do not provide for any such mechanisms for aluminum prices. Pactiv Foodservice sells its products either by purchase order or pursuant to formal contacts, which contracts often contain raw material cost pass-through mechanisms. In accordance with its agreements with customers and industry practice, Graham Packaging passes through to its customers changes in resin prices by means of corresponding changes in product pricing. Even where our contracts provide for price adjustments based on changes in raw material costs, such adjustments are not immediate and may not fully offset our increased costs. As a result, we often are not able to pass on price increases to our customers on a timely basis, if at all, and so do not always recover the lost margin from the price increases. Due to differences in timing between our sales to customers and purchase of raw materials from suppliers, there is often a lead-lag impact during which margins are negatively impacted for the short term in periods of rising raw material prices and positively impacted in periods of falling material prices. Moreover, an increase in the selling prices for the products we produce resulting from a pass-through of increased raw material costs or freight costs could have an adverse impact on the volume of units we sell and decrease our revenue.

Table of Contents

Our operating results depend upon a steady supply of wood fiber and any impairment in our ability to procure wood fiber at cost-effective prices may adversely affect our business, financial condition and operating results.

Evergreen does not own or control any timberlands and must buy its fiber either through supply agreements or on the open market. One of Evergreen s supply agreements for wood fiber, which expires on May 14, 2014, currently accounts for 22% of its total requirements for the supply of wood chips and the prices that Evergreen pays for wood fiber under that agreement at any particular time may be greater or less than spot market prices. Evergreen also has agreements with numerous other suppliers to purchase wood fiber at market prices. If any of these agreements were to be terminated for any reason, or not renewed upon expiration, or if market conditions were to substantially change, we may not be able to find alternative, comparable suppliers or suppliers capable of providing our wood fiber needs on terms or in amounts satisfactory to us. As a result, our business, financial condition and operating results could suffer.

In addition, the cost and availability of wood fiber have at times fluctuated greatly because of weather, economic or general industry conditions. From time to time, timber harvesting may be limited by natural events, such as fire, insect infestation, disease, ice storms, excessive rainfall and windstorms, or by harvesting restrictions. Production levels within the forest products industry are also affected by such factors as currency fluctuations, duties and finished lumber prices. All of these factors can increase the price we pay for wood fiber from our existing suppliers or from any new suppliers and we may not be able to immediately pass on raw material price increases to our customers, if at all. Due to differences in the timing of the pricing mechanism trigger points between our sales and purchase contracts, there is often a lead-lag impact during which margins are negatively impacted for the short term in periods of rising raw material prices and positively impacted in periods of falling raw material prices. Therefore, selling prices of our finished products may not increase in response to raw material price increases. Our operating results may be materially and adversely affected if we are unable to pass any raw material price increases through to our customers.

We depend on a small number of suppliers for our raw materials and any interruption in our supply of raw materials would harm our business and financial performance.

Most of our raw material requirements are sourced from a relatively small number of suppliers. In addition, we do not have written contracts with some of our suppliers and many of our contracts can be terminated on short notice. As a consequence, we are highly dependent on these suppliers for an uninterrupted supply of our key raw materials. Such supply could be disrupted for a wide variety of reasons, many of which are beyond our control. Any interruption in the supply of raw materials could have an adverse impact on our business and results of operations. In addition, SIG relies on a small number of suppliers for its cartonboard requirements for its aseptic carton packaging business. Specifically, SIG purchases nearly all of its cartonboard requirements from Stora Enso Oyj. SIG has purchased cartonboard from Stora Enso Oyj for several years, generally pursuant to written contracts, but from time to time without a written contract in place. SIG s current contract with Stora Enso Oyj expires on December 31, 2013. However, if Stora Enso Oyj is unwilling or unable to continue to supply cartonboard to SIG and SIG is unable to obtain a replacement supplier or manufacturer within a reasonable amount of time, SIG may experience a significant interruption to its production of aseptic carton packaging sleeves, which may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our ability to expand our operations could be adversely affected if we lose access to additional blow molding equipment.

Graham Packaging s access to blow molding equipment is important to its ability to expand its operations. Graham Packaging has access to a broad array of blow molding equipment and suppliers. However, if we fail to continue to have access to this new blow molding equipment or these suppliers, our ability to expand our operations may be materially and adversely affected until alternative sources of technology can be arranged.

Our business and financial performance may be adversely affected by downturns in the target markets that we serve.

Many of our products are packaging for products manufactured by other companies, so demand for our products is directly affected by consumer consumption of the products sold in the packages we produce. General economic conditions affect consumption in SIG s, Evergreen s and Closures primary end-use markets, including beverage products, such as milk, other dairy products, juices, bottled water and carbonated and non-carbonated soft drink markets, as well as the liquid food market. Reynolds Consumer Products depends on the market conditions in the retail industry and consumer demand for its products, such as aluminum foil, wraps, and bags, which are also affected by general economic conditions. Similarly, demand for our Pactiv Foodservice products depends on the market conditions in the foodservice industry and consumer demand for their products.

Downturns or periods of economic weakness or increased prices in these consumer markets have resulted in the past, and could result in the future, in decreased demand for our products. In particular, our business has been in the past, and could be in the future, adversely affected by any economic downturn that results in difficulties for any of our major customers, including retailers. For example, the continuing uncertainty about future economic conditions globally, and in the United States and Europe in particular, could negatively impact our customers and adversely affect our results of operations. These conditions are beyond our control and may have an impact on our sales and results of operations. Macro-economic issues involving the broader financial markets, including the housing and credit systems and general liquidity issues in the securities markets, have negatively impacted the economy and may negatively affect our growth. In addition, weak economic conditions and declines in consumer spending and consumption have in the past harmed, and may in the future harm, our operating results. For example, during the latter part of 2008, melamine contamination in China impacted a significant number of milk products; as a result, consumer confidence within the Chinese market significantly declined resulting in lower milk sales. In Russia, the recent economic downturn significantly reduced the demand for liquid packaging in the juice division in 2008 and 2009. In the United States, the economic downturn also reduced demand for branded consumer products such as waste and storage bags, with customers shifting towards purchases of lower priced store branded products.

Increased competition could reduce our sales and profitability and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

All of our segments operate in highly competitive markets. Some of our segments, such as SIG and Evergreen, operate in markets with a limited number of key global competitors. Certain of those competitors have a significantly higher market share than we do globally or in the geographic markets in which we compete and may have substantially greater financial and other resources than we do. The global beverage caps and closures market is highly fragmented, with Closures being one of a relatively small number of key global participants. Reynolds Consumer Products faces significant competition in all of its product lines from numerous national and regional companies of various sizes and cost structures. The foodservice market is also highly fragmented, with Pactiv Foodservice being one of the few participants with a product range that spans most of the foodservice product categories. Some competitors offer a more specialized variety of packaging materials and concepts and may serve more geographic regions through various distribution channels.

We believe that the aseptic and fresh carton packaging, paper and beverage caps and closures businesses are highly competitive, and product pricing is a key competitive factor. Besides product pricing, we also compete by offering customers volume rebates, marketing allowances and extended payment terms for purchases of our filling machines. As a result, unless we are able to control our operating costs, our gross margin may be adversely affected. In addition, it is possible that we will lose customers in the future, which would adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Although capital costs in many of our businesses, particularly in the aseptic and fresh carton packaging and beverage caps and closures industries, are high and there are intellectual property and technological barriers to entry, we also face the threat of competition in the future from new entrants from other segments in the packaging market or outside the packaging market, as well as from existing suppliers. We also face potential competition, particularly in emerging markets like Russia and East Asia, from companies that supply carton sleeves to customers who already own filling machines. These competitors do not incur the capital costs associated with the production and supply of filling machines and are, therefore, able to provide carton sleeves at a lower cost. As a result, to the extent there are new entrants, it may become difficult for us to increase or even maintain our prices. In addition to other aseptic and fresh carton packaging suppliers, our aseptic and fresh carton packaging business also faces competition from packaging made from PET and other substrates. The prices that we can charge for our products and systems are therefore constrained by the availability and cost of substitutes. For example, in the German market, PET substitution in the juice segment has impacted adversely our results of operations. Some customers or potential customers of our caps and closures business, especially in emerging markets, might explore the option to self-manufacture caps and closures, which may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We also compete in the paper, cup stock and ovenable packaging board markets. Some of our competitors in these markets have lower costs than we do and may be less adversely affected than we are by price declines or by increases in raw material costs. In addition, several of our competitors in these markets have significantly greater financial and other resources and a lower product cost basis than we have and thus can better withstand adverse economic or market conditions. Moreover, changes within the paper industry, including the consolidation of producers of products that compete with us and consolidation within the distribution channels for our products, have occurred, and may continue to occur, and may adversely affect our business and financial performance.

Reynolds Consumer Products is subject to intense competition in a marketplace dominated by large retailers. We compete with diverse manufacturers of consumer products including large and well established multinational companies, as well as regional and local companies. Our principal customers are grocery stores, mass-merchants, clubs, discount stores and drug stores. The rapid growth of these large retailers, together with changes in consumer purchasing patterns, have contributed to the formation of dominant multi-category retailers that have strong negotiating power with suppliers. Current trends among such retailers include fostering high levels of competition among suppliers, demanding innovative new products from suppliers and requiring suppliers to maintain or reduce product prices and deliver products with shorter lead times. Other trends include consumers shifting purchasing channels by moving away from grocery stores and towards clubs and mass-merchants and retailers importing products directly from foreign sources and sourcing and selling products under their own store brands, which compete with our Reynolds and Hefty branded products.

Pactiv Foodservice is subject to intense competition mainly from significantly smaller competitors, many of whom have lower fixed costs. Certain competitors offer a more specialized variety of packaging materials and concepts. Our success in obtaining business in the foodservice market is driven primarily by our breadth of product offerings, price, product features, performance, speed to market, distribution capabilities and value-added services.

Graham Packaging operates in a competitive environment. In the past, Graham Packaging has encountered pricing pressures in its markets and could experience further declines in prices of plastic packaging as a result of competition. Although Graham Packaging has been able over time to partially offset pricing pressures by reducing its cost structure and making the manufacturing process more efficient, Graham Packaging may not be able to continue to do so in the future.

The combination of these market influences has created an intensely competitive environment in which our customers continuously evaluate their suppliers, often resulting in downward pricing pressures and the need for large, consumer-meaningful brands, continuous introduction and commercialization of innovative new products, continuing improvements in customer service and the maintenance of strong relationships with large, high-volume purchasers. We also face intense competition from consumer product companies, as most of our products compete with other widely advertised brands within each product category and with store branded products. We also face the risk of changes in the strategy or structure of our major retailer customers, such as overall store and inventory reductions and retailer consolidation. The intense competition in the retail sector combined with the current economic environment may result in a number of retailers experiencing financial difficulty or failing in the future. As a result of these factors, we may experience reduced sales and profitability and a limited ability to recover our cost increases through price increases.

We are affected by seasonality and cyclicality in certain of our businesses.

Demand for beverages and consequently the related packaging, caps and closures, may be affected by adverse weather conditions, especially during the summer months when prolonged periods of unseasonably cool or wet weather in a particular market may affect sales volumes and therefore our financial condition and the results of our operations. In addition, demand for our consumer products, and in some instances our packaging products, typically increases during the holiday season which leads to increased sales in the fourth quarter, and our school milk carton business is typically stronger during the North American school semesters and decreases during the holiday periods.

The market for non-packaging paper products, such as Evergreen s coated groundwood or uncoated free sheet products, is highly cyclical and sensitive to changes in general business conditions, industry capacity, consumer preferences and other factors. We have no control over these factors and they can significantly influence our financial performance. Many of our products in the paper segment are commodities and thus are readily substitutable and are subject to robust competition. The prices for our products may fluctuate substantially in the future, and continued or sustained weakness in prices or continued or sustained downturns in market conditions could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and operating results.

Our business and financial performance may be harmed by changes in consumer lifestyle, eating habits, nutritional preferences and health-related and environmental concerns.

Many of our products are used by consumers in connection with food or beverage products. Any reduction in consumer demand for these product types as a result of lifestyle, environmental, nutritional or health considerations could have a significant impact on our customers and hence on our financial condition and results of operations. For example, there have been recent concerns about the environmental impact resulting from the manufacturing, shipping and/or disposal of resin-based products, such as plastic water bottles and polystyrene containers and packaging that are considered harmful to the environment by consumers. Product stewardship and resource sustainability concerns, including the recycling of products and product packaging and restrictions on the use of potentially harmful materials in products, have received increased attention in recent years and are likely to play an increasing role in brand management and consumer purchasing decisions. In addition, changes in consumer lifestyle, such as the gradual decline of home cooking, may result in decreasing demand for certain of our consumer products and increasing demand for our foodservice products. Our financial position and results of operations might be adversely affected to the extent that such environmental concerns or changes in consumer lifestyle reduce demand for our products.

If Reynolds Consumer Products does not continue to develop and maintain consumer-meaningful brands, our results of operations may suffer.

The ability of Reynolds Consumer Products to compete successfully increasingly depends on its ability to develop and maintain consumer-meaningful brands. The development and maintenance of such brands requires significant investment in product innovation, brand-building, advertising and marketing initiatives. Reynolds Consumer Products focuses on developing innovative products to address consumers unmet needs as well as introducing store branded products that emulate other popular branded consumer products and may increase its expenditures for advertising and other brand-building or marketing initiatives; however, these initiatives may not deliver the desired results which could adversely affect our business in the future.

If we fail to maintain satisfactory relationships with our major customers, our results of operations could be adversely affected.

Many of our customers are large and possess significant market leverage, which results in significant downward pricing pressure, and generally constrains our ability to pass on price increases. Evergreen s and Closures products are sold under multi-year supply agreements with many of their customers, while Reynolds Consumer Products generally sells its branded products pursuant to informal trading policies and its store branded products under one year or multi-year contracts. Pactiv Foodservice sells the majority of its products under contracts ranging from a few months to one year, with the balance sold pursuant to purchase orders or informal trading policies. In addition, we do not have written contracts with some of our customers and many of our contracts can be terminated on short notice. Graham Packaging s sales are made pursuant to long-term customer purchase orders and contracts which typically vary in length with terms up to ten years. The contracts are requirements contracts which do not obligate the customer to purchase any given amount of product from Graham Packaging. Prices under Graham Packaging s arrangements are tied to market standards and therefore vary with market conditions. SIG, Evergreen and Closures typically offer their major customers a variety of incentives to purchase their filling and capping machines or lease their filling machines. If our major customers reduce purchasing volumes or stop purchasing our products, our business and results of operations would likely be adversely affected. It is possible that we will lose customers in the future, which may adversely affect our business and results of operations.

We could incur significant costs in complying with environmental, health and safety laws or permits or as a result of satisfying any liability or obligation imposed under such laws or permits.

Our operations are subject to various federal, state, local and foreign environmental, health and safety laws and regulations. Among other things, these laws regulate the emission or discharge of materials into the environment, govern the use, storage, treatment, disposal and management of hazardous substances and wastes, protect the health and safety of our employees and the end-users of our products, regulate the materials used in and the recycling of products and impose liability for the costs of investigating and remediating, and damages resulting from, present and past releases of hazardous substances. Violations of these laws and regulations or non-compliance with any conditions contained in any environmental permit can result in substantial fines or penalties, injunctive relief, requirements to install pollution or other controls or equipment, civil and criminal sanctions, permit revocations and/or facility shutdowns. We could be held liable for the costs to address contamination of any real property we have ever owned, operated or used as a disposal site. We also could incur fines, penalties, sanctions or be subject to third-party claims for property damage, personal injury or nuisance or otherwise as a result of violations of or liabilities under environmental laws or in connection with releases of hazardous or other materials. In addition, changes in, or new interpretations of, existing laws, regulations or enforcement policies, the discovery of previously unknown contamination, or the imposition of other environmental liabilities or obligations in the future, including additional investigation or other obligations with respect to any potential health hazards of our products or business activities or the imposition of new permit requirements, may lead to additional compliance or other costs that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

For a discussion of risks and liabilities relating to the Canton mill s wastewater discharge permit, including challenges filed to such permit and the potential implications thereof, see Business Description of Business by Segment Evergreen Regulatory.

Moreover, as environmental issues, such as climate change, have become more prevalent, federal, state and local governments, as well as foreign governments, have responded, and are expected to continue to respond, to these issues with increased legislation and regulation, which could negatively affect us. For example, the United States Congress has considered legislation to reduce emissions of greenhouse gases. In addition, the United States Environmental Protection Agency, or EPA, is regulating certain greenhouse gas emissions under existing laws such as the Clean Air Act. These and other foreign, federal and state climate change initiatives may cause us to incur additional direct costs in complying with new environmental legislation or regulations, such as costs to upgrade or replace equipment, as well as increased indirect costs resulting from our suppliers, customers or both incurring additional compliance costs that could get passed through to us or impact product demand. Additionally, the EPA is continuing the development of other new standards and programs that may be applicable to our operations. For example, the EPA has issued but is currently reconsidering regulations under the Clean Air Act governing emissions from industrial boilers. These or other rules promulgated in the future could also result in additional material costs to us.

In addition, a number of governmental authorities, both in the United States and abroad, have considered, or are expected to consider, legislation aimed at reducing the amount of plastic wastes disposed. Programs have included, for example, mandating certain rates of recycling and/or the use of recycled materials, imposing deposits or taxes on plastic packaging material and requiring retailers or manufacturers to take back packaging used for their products. Legislation, as well as voluntary initiatives similarly aimed at reducing the level of plastic wastes, could reduce the demand for certain plastic packaging, result in greater costs for plastic packaging manufacturers or otherwise impact our business. Some consumer products companies, including some of our customers, have responded to these governmental initiatives and to perceived environmental concerns of consumers by using containers made in whole or in part of recycled plastic. Future legislation and initiatives could adversely affect us in a manner that would be material.

We may be unable to achieve some or all of the benefits that we expect to achieve from our restructuring and cost savings programs.

We may not be able to realize some or all of the cost savings and other adjustments we expect to achieve in the future as a result of our restructuring and cost savings programs in the time frame we anticipate. For a more detailed description of these cost savings measures and other adjustments expected, refer to Operating and Financial Review and Prospects. A variety of factors could cause us not to realize some of the expected cost savings, including, among others, delays in the anticipated timing of activities related to our cost savings programs, lack of sustainability in cost savings over time, unexpected costs associated with operating our business and our ability to eliminate duplicative back office overhead and redundant selling, general and administrative functions, obtain procurement related savings, rationalize our distribution and warehousing networks, rationalize manufacturing capacity and shift production to more economical facilities and our ability to avoid labor disruptions in connection with any integration, particularly in connection with any headcount reduction. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and the year ended December 31, 2010, we incurred costs of $0.7 million and $11.4 million, respectively, at SIG, nil and $1.8 million, respectively, at Evergreen, $3.3 million and $2.6 million, respectively, at Closures, $30.1 million and $6.4 million, respectively, at Reynolds Consumer Products, $58.1 million and nil, respectively, at Pactiv Foodservice and $21.6 million and nil, respectively, at corporate to implement our cost savings programs. We expect to incur cash outlays of approximately $125.0 million by the end of 2012 related to the integration of the Pactiv businesses, of which $99.7 million has been incurred through September 30, 2011. We expect to incur cash outlays of approximately $75.0 million by the end of 2013 related to the integration of Graham Packaging. In addition, Graham Packaging completed its acquisition of certain entities on September 23, 2010. We anticipate incurring costs of approximately $26.0 million by the end of 2013 to achieve the anticipated costs savings in connection with Graham Packaging s acquisition of such entities.

Our insurance may not protect us against business and operating risks.

We maintain insurance for some, but not all, of the potential risks and liabilities associated with our business. For some risks, we may not obtain insurance if we believe the cost of available insurance is excessive relative to the risks presented. As a result of market conditions, premiums and deductibles for certain insurance policies can increase substantially, and in some instances, certain insurance policies are economically unavailable or available only for reduced amounts of coverage. For example, we will not be fully insured against all risks associated with pollution and other environmental incidents or impacts. Moreover, we may not be able to maintain adequate insurance in the future at rates we consider reasonable or obtain or renew insurance against certain risks. Any significant uninsured liability may require us to pay substantial amounts which would adversely affect our cash position and results of operations.

We are involved in a number of legal proceedings that could result in substantial liabilities for us.

We are involved in several legal proceedings. It is difficult to predict with certainty the cost of defense or the outcome of these proceedings and their impact on our business, including remedies or damage awards. The outcomes of these legal proceedings and other contingencies could require us to take or refrain from taking certain actions, which actions or inactions could adversely affect our operations or could require us to pay substantial amounts of money or restrict our operations. If liabilities or fines resulting from these proceedings are substantial or exceed our expectations, our business, financial condition or results of operations may be adversely affected.

Loss of any of our key manufacturing facilities could have an adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operation.

While we manufacture most of our products in a large number of diversified facilities, and maintain insurance covering these facilities, a loss of the use of all or a portion of any of our key manufacturing facilities due to an accident, labor issues, weather conditions, natural disaster or otherwise, may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. After the consolidation of Reynolds Consumer Products Richmond and Louisville manufacturing facilities in late 2009, we can only perform the foil rolling phase of our foil manufacturing process in our Louisville plant and the melting and casting phase in our Malvern facility. Loss or disruption of either of these two facilities would significantly interrupt our production process and adversely affect our business and results of operation. For example, we experienced a flood at one of our locations in 2009, which required us to suspend production at that facility for a short period of time. Similarly, we were affected by earthquakes in Chile in 2010, which caused one of Closures facilities to suspend its operations for approximately two months.

Loss of our key management and other personnel, or an inability to attract new management and other personnel, could impact our business.

We depend on our senior executive officers and other key personnel to operate our businesses and on our in-house technical experts to develop new products and technologies and to service our customers. The loss of any of these officers or other key personnel could adversely affect our operations. Competition for qualified employees among companies that rely heavily on engineering and technology is intense, and the loss of qualified employees or an inability to attract, retain and motivate additional highly skilled employees required for the operation and expansion of our business could hinder our ability to conduct research and development activities successfully or develop and support marketable products.

Future government regulations and judicial decisions affecting products we produce or the products contained in or sealed with the packaging, caps or closures we produce could significantly reduce demand for our products.

Government regulations and judicial decisions that affect the products we produce or the products contained in or sealed with the packaging, caps or closures we produce could significantly reduce demand for our products. For example, German legislation has been passed that requires a deposit to be paid for certain disposable beverage packages. It is possible that in the future our products may become subject to such deposit requirements if the recycling of our products falls below acceptable thresholds. Future legislation could also limit the use of our products or impose certain taxes on the use of our products. Such legislation could significantly reduce demand for many of our products and adversely affect our sales.

Changes to health and food safety regulations could increase costs and may also have a material adverse effect on our sales if, as a result, the public s attitude towards our consumer products or the end products for which we provide packaging, caps or closures is substantially affected.

Significant consolidation among our customers or the loss of a significant customer could decrease demand for our products or our profitability.

Consolidation among our customers could adversely affect our profitability. Over the last ten years, we have observed a trend toward consolidation among our customers in the food and beverage industry and in the retail and foodservice industries, and we expect that this trend will continue. In particular, consolidation among our customers could increase their ability to apply price pressure, and thereby force us to reduce our selling prices or lose sales, which would impact our results of operations. Following a consolidation, our customers in the food and beverage industry may also close production facilities or switch suppliers of packaging, caps or closures which could impact sales of our filling and capping machines and other products, while our customers in the retail industry may close stores, reduce inventory or switch suppliers of consumer products.

Additionally, Reynolds Consumer Products, Pactiv Foodservice and Graham Packaging rely on a relatively small number of customers for a significant portion of their revenue. In 2010, Reynolds Consumer Products top ten customers accounted for 65% of our Reynolds consumer products and Hefty consumer products businesses combined revenue for the full year, with one customer accounting for 26% of such revenue. In 2010, Pactiv Foodservice s top ten customers accounted for approximately 57% of our Reynolds foodservice packaging and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses combined revenue for the full year, and each of two customers accounted for approximately 13% of such revenue. In 2010, Graham Packaging s top twenty customers accounted for 69% of its net sales for the year, with one customer accounting for approximately 10% of such net sales. The loss of any of our significant customers could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Supply of faulty or contaminated products could harm our reputation and business.

We have control measures and systems in place to ensure the maximum safety and quality of our products is maintained. The consequences of not being able to do so, due to accidental or malicious raw material contamination, or due to supply chain contamination caused by human error or faulty equipment, could be severe. Such consequences may include adverse effects on consumer health, reputation, loss of customers and market share, financial costs or loss of revenue. In addition, if any of our competitors or customers supply faulty or contaminated products to the market, or if manufacturers of the end-products that utilize our packaging produce faulty or contaminated products, our industry, or our end-products industries, could be negatively impacted, which could have adverse effects on our business. For example, in China during the latter part of 2008, melamine contamination by milk producers impacted a significant number of milk products; as a result, consumer confidence within the Chinese market significantly declined resulting in a downturn in milk sales, which had a negative impact on our sales of beverage packaging products in China.

In addition, if any of our products are found to be defective, we could be required to recall such products, which could result in adverse publicity, significant expenses and a disruption in sales and could affect our reputation and that of our products. Although we maintain product liability insurance coverage, potential product liability claims may exceed the amount of insurance coverage or potential product liability claims may be excluded under the terms of the policy. For example, various Graham Packaging products have recently been subject to recalls. Consequently, Graham Packaging has been held liable for costs resulting from such recalls, some of which were not covered by Graham Packaging s insurance.

Developments in electronic data transmission as well as rising postal costs could weaken demand for our paper products.

Recent trends in electronic data transmission and storage and in the use of the internet have tended to reduce the demand for paper products, particularly traditional print media. These trends could hurt our paper business. In addition, there has also been a trend toward on-line invoice payment. An increase in the cost of postage, or an increased availability and acceptance of on-line invoice payment options, could lessen demand for paper.

Currency exchange rate fluctuations could adversely affect our results of operations.

Our business is exposed to fluctuations in exchange rates. Although our reporting currency is U.S. dollars, we operate in different geographical areas and transact in a range of currencies in addition to dollars. Our other significant transacting currencies are the euro, the Brazilian real, the British pound, the Canadian dollar, the Chinese yuan renminbi, the Japanese yen, the Korean won, the Mexican peso, the New Zealand dollar, or NZ$, the Polish zloty, the Russian ruble, the Singapore dollar, the Swiss franc, the Taiwanese dollar and the Thai baht. Where possible, we try to minimize the impact of exchange rate fluctuations by transacting in local currencies so as to create natural hedges. We cannot assure you, however, that we will be successful in protecting against these risks. Under certain circumstances in which we are unable to naturally offset our exposure to these currency risks, we enter into derivative transactions to reduce such exposures. Nevertheless, exchange rate fluctuations may either increase or decrease our revenue and expenses as reported in dollars. Given the volatility of exchange rates, particularly as a result of uncertainty surrounding the euro due to the European debt crisis, we may not be able to manage our currency transaction risks effectively, and volatility in currency exchange rates may materially adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

We may not be successful in adequately protecting our intellectual property rights, including our unpatented proprietary know-how and trade secrets, or in avoiding claims that we infringed on the intellectual property rights of others.

In addition to relying on the patent and trademark rights granted under the laws of countries in Europe, the United States and various other countries in which we operate, we rely on unpatented proprietary know-how and trade secrets and employ various methods, including confidentiality agreements with employees, consultants, customers, vendors and potential acquisition candidates, to protect our know-how and trade secrets. However, these precautions and our patents and trademarks may not afford complete protection against infringement by third parties, and there can be no assurance that others will not independently develop the know-how and trade secrets. Patent and trademark rights are territorial; thus, the patent and trademark protection we do have will only extend to those countries in which we have been issued patents and have registered trademarks. Even so, the laws of certain countries do not protect our intellectual property rights to the same extent as do the laws of various European countries and the United States. Further, we may not be able to deter current and former employees, contractors and other parties from breaching confidentiality agreements and misappropriating proprietary information. It is possible that third parties may copy or otherwise obtain and use our information and proprietary technology without authorization or otherwise infringe on our intellectual property rights. Infringement of our intellectual property may adversely affect our results of operations and make it more difficult for us to establish a strong market position in countries which may not afford adequate protection of intellectual property. Additionally, we have licensed, and may license in the future, patents, trademarks, trade secrets and similar proprietary rights to third parties. While we attempt to ensure that our intellectual property and similar proprietary rights are protected when entering into business relationships, third parties may take actions that could materially and adversely affect our rights or the value of our intellectual property, similar proprietary rights or reputation. If necessary, we also rely on litigation to enforce our intellectual property rights and contractual rights, and, if not successful, we may not be able to protect the value of our intellectual property. Any litigation could be protracted and costly and could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations regardless of its outcome.

Our success depends in part on our ability to obtain, or license from third parties, patents, trademarks, trade secrets and similar proprietary rights without infringing on the proprietary rights of third parties. Although we believe that our intellectual property rights are sufficient to allow us to conduct our business without incurring liability to third parties, our products may infringe on the intellectual property rights of such persons and we may be subject to claims asserting infringement of intellectual property rights. No assurance can be given that we will not be subject to such additional claims seeking damages, the payment of royalties or licensing fees and/or injunctions against the sale of our products. Any such litigation could be protracted and costly and could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

If we are unable to stay abreast of changing technology in our industry, our profits may decline.

Our businesses are subject to frequent and sometimes significant changes in technology, and if we fail to anticipate or respond adequately to such changes, or do not have sufficient capital to invest in these developments, our profits may decline. Our future financial performance will depend in part upon our ability to develop and market new products and to implement and utilize technology successfully to improve our business operations. We cannot predict all the effects of future technological changes. The cost of implementing new technologies could be significant, and our ability to potentially finance these technological developments may be adversely affected by our debt servicing requirements or our inability to obtain the financing we require to develop or acquire competing technologies.

Employee slowdowns, strikes and similar actions could have a material adverse effect on our business and operations.

A significant proportion of our employees in several locations globally are subject to collective bargaining agreements. Many of our employees in Asia, Europe, Mexico and South America are represented by works councils. In addition, the transportation and delivery of raw materials to our manufacturing facilities and of our products to our customers by workers that are members of labor unions is critical to our business. In many cases, before we take significant actions with respect to our production facilities, such as workforce reductions or closures, we must reach agreement with applicable labor unions and employee works councils. The failure to maintain satisfactory relationships with our employees and their representatives, or prolonged labor disputes, slowdowns, strikes or similar actions could have a material adverse effect on our business and results of operations.

We face risks associated with certain pension obligations.

We have pension plans that cover many of our employees, former employees, and employees of formerly affiliated businesses. Many of these pension plans are defined benefit pension plans, pursuant to which the participants receive defined payment amounts regardless of the value or investment performance of the assets held by such plans. Deterioration in the value of plan assets, including equity and debt securities, resulting from a general financial downturn or otherwise, could cause an increase in the underfunded status of our defined benefit pension plans, thereby increasing our obligation to make contributions to the plans, which in turn would reduce the cash available for our business.

In addition, at the time of the Pactiv spin-off from Tenneco Inc. in 1999, Pactiv became the sponsor of Tenneco Inc. (now Pactiv) pension plans. These plans cover most of Pactiv s employees as well as employees (or their beneficiaries) of certain companies previously owned by Tenneco but that are not currently owned by Pactiv. As a result, while persons who are not current Pactiv employees do not accrue benefits under the plans, the total number of individuals/beneficiaries covered by these plans is much larger than if only Pactiv personnel were participants. For this reason, the impact of the pension plans on Pactiv s net income and cash from operations is greater than the impact typically found at similarly sized companies. Changes in the following factors can have a disproportionate effect on Pactiv s results compared with similarly sized companies: (i) assumptions regarding the long-term rate of return on pension assets and other factors, (ii) interest rate used to discount projected benefit obligations, (iii) level of amortization of actuarial gains and losses, (iv) governmental regulations relating to funding of retirement plans in the United States and foreign countries and (v) financial market performance. As of December 31, 2010, Pactiv s U.S. pension plan was underfunded by $463.3 million and subsequent financial market performance and decreases in interest rates may have significantly increased this deficit. Future contributions to our pension plans, including Pactiv s U.S. pension plan, could reduce the cash otherwise available to operate our business and could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

We may not be able to successfully integrate Pactiv, Graham Packaging (including certain entities it acquired prior to the Graham Packaging Acquisition) or other businesses we have acquired in the past or may acquire in the future, and we may not be able to realize anticipated cost savings, revenue enhancements or other synergies from such acquisitions.

Our ability to successfully implement our business plan and achieve targeted financial results depends on our ability to successfully integrate Pactiv, Graham Packaging (including certain entities it acquired prior to the Graham Packaging Acquisition) or other businesses we have acquired in the past or may acquire in the future. See The Transactions. Acquisitions inherently involve risks, including those associated with assimilating and integrating different business operations, corporate cultures, personnel, infrastructure and technologies or products and increasing the scope, geographic diversity and complexity of operations. There may be additional costs or liabilities associated with the acquisitions that we have consummated in recent years that we did not anticipate at the time such acquisitions were consummated, including an unexpected loss of key employees or customers and hiring additional management and other critical personnel. These acquisitions may also be disruptive to our ongoing business and may not be successfully received by our customers. Any of these risks could adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We may be subject to lawsuits in connection with the Graham Packaging Transaction, including actions taken by holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes, and any such lawsuits may adversely affect our financial condition.

We may be subject to lawsuits in connection with the Graham Packaging Transaction, including actions taken by holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes. For example, we received several correspondence from counsel purporting to represent a group of holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes. These correspondence threaten that a number of holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes are prepared to file a lawsuit alleging, among other things, that entry into the $2,078.0 million senior secured intercompany note and our decision not to redeem the

Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes in connection with the Graham Packaging Transaction breaches the terms of the indentures governing such notes. Among other things, we understand that the Graham noteholders may allege that the terms of the senior secured intercompany note violate the restricted payments covenant and the transactions with affiliates covenant of the indentures governing the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes. We understand that the Graham noteholders may also claim that the Graham Packaging Acquisition constitutes a de facto optional redemption of the applicable indentures and that the failure to offer, tender or pay to the Graham noteholders the related make-whole premium required for an optional redemption is therefore a violation of the optional redemption provision of the indentures governing the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes. We believe that these claims have no merit and intend to contest them vigorously if pursued. If the threatened litigation were successful, it could result in a declaration of default under the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes, which could adversely affect our financial condition, including by triggering defaults under our other indebtedness.

Changes in global conditions could adversely affect our business and results of operations.

Our financial results could be substantially affected by global market risks in the countries outside the United States in which we have manufacturing facilities or sell our products. Our business and results of operations are materially affected by conditions in the European economy. Adverse economic conditions in Europe have adversely affected consumer confidence and, as a result, have impacted demand for our packaging products that are used for discretionary consumer products sold in that region. There can be no assurance that a continuing economic downturn in Europe would not result in further adverse effects that may be material to our cash flows, competitive position, financial condition, results of operations, or our ability to access capital. In addition, we have substantial manufacturing facilities in certain countries that are exposed to economic and political instability. For example, Evergreen ceased operations in Venezuela due to political turmoil in the region. Other downturns in economic activity, adverse foreign tax consequences or any change in social, political or labor conditions in any of these countries or regions could negatively affect our financial results.

Our third-party equipment leasing arrangements may increase our exposure to credit risk from customer defaults.

SIG enters into arrangements under which filling machines are sold to third-party finance companies that lease the machines to their customers. In the event that a customer defaults under the terms of its lease, under certain circumstances, these finance companies could require us to repurchase the filling machine. As a result, we are exposed to the credit risk of our customers under these leasing arrangements. The potential obligation to buy back filling machines exposed the RGHL Group to a potential maximum liability of $18.9 million as of September 30, 2011, $32.3 million as of December 31, 2010 and $86.8 million as of December 31, 2009. If we have to repurchase filling machines, we may have to utilize our available cash or our availability under our revolving credit facility.

We expect to pursue and execute acquisitions, which, if not successful, could adversely affect our business.

As part of our strategy, we plan to consider the acquisition of other companies, assets and product lines that either complement or expand our existing business. These acquisitions may be significant in size, scope or otherwise. However, we may not be able to continue to grow through acquisitions and cannot assure you that we will be able to consummate any acquisitions or that any future acquisitions will be consummated at acceptable prices and terms or that the acquired businesses will be successfully integrated into our current operations. Acquisitions involve a number of specific risks, including:

- the diversion of management s attention to the assimilation of the acquired companies and their employees and on the management of expanding operations;
- the incorporation of acquired products into our product lines;
- demands on our operational and financial systems;
- demands on our financial resources;

possible adverse effects on our operating results;

the potential loss of customers of the acquired business;

the inability to retain key employees of the acquired business; and

failure to achieve the results we anticipate from such acquisitions.

Table of Contents

There are or may be liabilities associated with the businesses we have acquired or may acquire. Acquisitions have the risk that the obligations and liabilities of an acquired company may not be adequately released, indemnified or reflected in the historical financial statements of such company and the risk that such historical financial statements may contain errors. We may also become responsible for liabilities that we failed or were unable to discover in the course of performing due diligence procedures in connection with our historical acquisitions and any future acquisitions. When possible, we require the sellers to indemnify us against certain undisclosed liabilities; however, we cannot be certain that these indemnification rights that we have obtained, or will obtain in the future, will be enforceable, collectible or sufficient in amount, scope or duration to fully offset the possible liabilities associated with the business or property acquired. Any of these liabilities, individually or in the aggregate, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition or results of operations.

In addition, we may not be able to successfully integrate future acquisitions without substantial costs, delays or other problems. The costs or the failure of any such integration effort could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

We have given warranties and indemnities to the purchasers in connection with business disposals, and agreed in some instances to non-compete provisions, which have not yet expired and may give rise to claims against us or our controlled entities or limit our ability to engage in business in certain geographical areas.

From time to time we have disposed of segments or elements of our businesses, and we may dispose of other segments or elements of our businesses in the future. As part of these types of transactions, we are generally required to indemnify the purchasers of such businesses for various liabilities, and the resulting indemnification obligations may be significant. These types of transactions may also restrict our ability to engage in certain operations or conduct business in certain geographical areas for a certain period of time. Some of the time periods within which a claim can be brought under warranty and indemnity provisions have not expired, and we have experienced several indemnity claims based on disposal transactions. If any material claims in respect of these types of dispositions are successfully brought against us in the future, such claims may have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of our operations.

Conditions in the global capital and credit markets and the economy in general may have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial position.

The global capital and credit markets have recently undergone a period of unprecedented volatility and disruption and the global economy recently experienced a recession. Our results of operations and financial position were, and may continue to be, negatively affected by adverse changes in the global capital and credit markets and the economy in general, both in the United States and elsewhere around the world. Economic conditions may also adversely affect the ability of our lenders, customers and suppliers to continue to conduct their respective businesses and may affect our ability to operate our production facilities in an economical manner. Many of our customers rely on access to credit to fund their operations. The inability of our customers to access credit facilities may adversely affect our business by reducing our sales, increasing our exposure to accounts receivable bad debts and reducing our profitability.

Concerns about consumer confidence, the availability and cost of credit, reduced consumer spending and business investment, the volatility and strength of global capital and credit markets and inflation have affected, and may continue to affect, the business and economic environment and ultimately the profitability of our business. Economic downturns characterized by higher unemployment, lower family income, lower corporate earnings, lower business investment and lower consumer spending have resulted, and may continue to result, in decreased demand for our products. We are unable to predict the likely duration or severity of any disruption in global capital and credit markets and the economy in general, all of which are beyond our control and may have a significant impact on our business, results of operations, cash flows and financial position.

The impairment of our trade receivable financings could adversely impact our liquidity.

SIG currently sells, and our other segments may in the future sell, a significant portion of its trade receivables through factoring programs to finance our working capital needs. At September 30, 2011 and at December 31, 2010, 41% and 46%, respectively, of SIG s trade receivables were subject to non-recourse factoring programs. The factoring programs are an important source of liquidity, even though the SIG program is not reflected on our balance sheet.

Our access to factoring programs depends on the availability of receivables insurance and on our credit rating and those of our customers and insurers. We may be unable to continue to utilize factoring programs or may only be able to do so on less desirable terms if either we are unable to obtain or renew receivables insurance or our credit rating or the credit ratings of our customers or insurers are negatively impacted. An inability to utilize factoring programs would slow our conversion of trade receivables to cash and increase our working capital requirements, which could require us to use revolver availability or cash on hand or seek alternative sources of financing which may not be available or may be more expensive than our existing financing.

The impairment of financial institutions may adversely affect us.

We, our customers and our suppliers have transactions and borrowing arrangements with U.S. and foreign commercial banks and other financial institutions, some of which may be exposed to ratings downgrade, bankruptcy, lack of liquidity, default or similar risks, especially in times of financial market turmoil. A ratings downgrade, bankruptcy, receivership, default or similar event involving such institutions may adversely affect the institution s performance under letters of credit, limit our access to capital, impact the ability of our suppliers to provide us with raw materials needed for our production, impact the ability of our customers to meet obligations to us or adversely affect our liquidity, future business and results of operations.

The international scope of our operations and our corporate and financing structure may expose us to potentially adverse tax consequences.

We are subject to taxation in and to the tax laws and regulations of multiple jurisdictions as a result of the international scope of our operations and our corporate and financing structure. We are also subject to intercompany pricing laws, including those relating to the flow of funds among our companies pursuant to, for example, purchase agreements, licensing agreements or other arrangements. Adverse developments in these laws or regulations, or any change in position regarding the application, administration or interpretation of these laws or regulations in any applicable jurisdiction, could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. In addition, the tax authorities in any applicable jurisdiction, including the United States, may disagree with the positions we have taken or intend to take regarding the tax treatment or characterization of any of our transactions, including the tax treatment or characterization of our indebtedness, including the notes, intercompany loans and guarantees. If any applicable tax authorities, including the U.S. tax authorities, were to successfully challenge the tax treatment or characterization of any of our transactions, it could result in the disallowance of deductions, the imposition of withholding taxes on internal deemed transfers or other consequences that could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our aluminum hedging activities may result in significant losses and in period-to-period earnings volatility.

We regularly enter into hedging transactions to limit our exposure to raw material price risks primarily relating to aluminum purchases. For example, in the past, our hedging strategies have proven to be ineffective and as a result of changes in the fair value of outstanding aluminum hedging contracts, the Reynolds consumer products business of our Reynolds Consumer Products segment incurred an unrealized loss of $130.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2008, an unrealized gain of $101.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 and an unrealized gain of

$2.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 on derivative financial instruments. In October 2009, Reynolds Consumer Products terminated its previous hedging policy, which was not necessarily aligned with its production requirements. After the termination of its previous hedging policy, Reynolds Consumer Products adopted a new hedging policy. Under the new policy, Reynolds Consumer Products hedges a smaller portion of its aluminum purchases for a shorter average term than under its previous policy, which the RGHL Group believes is more appropriate for the business and is designed to reduce the impact of changing aluminum prices on the RGHL Group s results of operations. See Operating and Financial Review and Prospects Key Factors Influencing Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations Aluminum Hedging. If, in the future, our hedging strategies prove to be ineffective or if we fail to effectively monitor and manage our hedging activities, we could incur significant losses which could adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

Our accounting and other management systems resources may not be adequately prepared to meet financial reporting and other requirements in the future. Our failure to achieve and maintain effective controls could adversely affect our business, financial position and results of operations.

Before we acquired certain of the businesses that now comprise our segments, the financial results of such businesses were reported under U.S. GAAP. Following the acquisition of such businesses, we reported our consolidated results, which include the financial results of such acquired businesses, under IFRS. In addition, we have never been directly subject to the reporting and other requirements of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or the Exchange Act.

The changes in reporting required as a result of the acquisition of certain businesses that now comprise our segments, changes in reporting required as a result of the Dopaco Acquisition and the Graham Packaging Acquisition and the additional reporting obligations under the respective indentures governing the notes and the 2007 Notes and the agreement governing the Senior Secured Credit Facilities have placed, and will place, significant additional demand on our management and administrative and operational resources, including our accounting resources. Any additional reporting and other requirements of the Exchange Act will place further demand on our management and administrative and operational resources, including our accounting resources. In the future, we may not be able to timely prepare and deliver the financial statements required by the Exchange Act and the indentures governing the notes and the agreement governing the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. Such failure would constitute an event of default under the notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and could affect our business, financial position and results of operations.

We have had material weaknesses in our internal control over financial reporting in the past. If material weaknesses are detected in the future and if we fail to remediate these material weaknesses or if we fail to maintain effective internal controls over financial reporting, our business could be materially and adversely affected.

We have had material weakness in our internal controls over financial reporting in the past. For example, certain of our business operations were acquired through transactions that resulted in them being carved out from other companies. In the process of undertaking these carve-out acquisitions, certain accounting and internal control functions that were performed by the seller s corporate and shared services functions were not acquired or were provided by the seller on a limited basis through transitional service arrangements.

During the financial statement audits for the Reynolds consumer products business of our Reynolds Consumer Products segment and our Closures segment for the year ended December 31, 2008, our auditors identified and reported to us in management letters, dated October 14, 2009 for the Reynolds consumer products business of our Reynolds Consumer Products segment and July 21, 2009 for the Closures segment, four material weaknesses in our internal control for the Reynolds consumer products business of our Reynolds Consumer Products segment and two material weaknesses in our internal control for Closures in addition to other significant deficiencies in each case. During the re-issuance of their audit opinion on the financial statements for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008 in connection with the Evergreen Transaction, Evergreen s auditors for such periods identified and reported in a management letter dated April 23, 2010, a material weakness in Evergreen s internal control. In addition, Evergreen s auditors for the year ended December 31, 2009 identified and reported in a management letter, dated April 23, 2010, a material weakness in Evergreen s internal control.

The four material weaknesses for the Reynolds consumer products business of our Reynolds Consumer Products segment related to inadequate account reconciliation processes, inappropriate accounting for aluminum derivatives contracts under IFRS, inadequate controls for our inventory costing and valuation and an aggregation of various control weaknesses related to international operations of the Reynolds consumer products business of our Reynolds Consumer Products segment. The two material weaknesses for Closures related to inappropriate accounting for certain contracts under the applicable derivatives accounting policy and the aggregation of various control weaknesses related to Closures international operations. The material weakness for Evergreen in each of the 2007, 2008 and 2009 fiscal years related to inadequate preparation and review of Evergreen s consolidated statements of cash flows, which resulted in misstatements not being detected in a timely manner and the improper classification of certain cash flow items, including certain related party borrowings. As a consequence of the material weakness for the 2007 and 2008 fiscal periods, Evergreen restated its historical statements of cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2008.

Beginning in the second half of 2009, we initiated a number of activities aimed at addressing the material weaknesses of, and enhancing the overall control environment within, the RGHL Group, including our Closures segment and the Reynolds consumer products business of our Reynolds Consumer Products segment. Separately, Evergreen developed and executed a remediation plan for its material weakness. Based on the actions taken with respect to these remediation plans, these material weaknesses were remediated as of December 31, 2010.

If we discover material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in the future, our ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information accurately and within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the SEC, and to prevent fraud, will be adversely affected, and our financial statements could prove to be unreliable. The discovery of further material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in the future could require the restatement of prior period operating results. Any of the foregoing could negatively affect the market price and trading liquidity of the notes, result in a breach of the covenants under our debt agreements, cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, subject us to regulatory investigations and penalties and generally materially and adversely impact our business, financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Risks Related to Our Structure, the Guarantees, the Collateral and the Notes

Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our ability to fulfill our obligations under the notes.

We have a substantial amount of outstanding third-party indebtedness which totaled $17,797.4 million as of September 30, 2011 and would have totaled $17,320.2 million on a pro forma basis after giving effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer. Refer to note 14 of the RGHL Group s unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, included elsewhere in this prospectus, for details of the RGHL Group s borrowings as of September 30, 2011 and see Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Financial Information for information relating to our pro forma indebtedness.

Our substantial indebtedness could have significant consequences for you. For example, it could:

- make it more difficult for us to generate sufficient cash to satisfy our obligations with respect to the notes and our other indebtedness;
- increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and market conditions;
- limit our ability to obtain additional financing necessary for our business;
- require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments in relation to indebtedness, reducing the amount of cash flow available for other purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, acquisitions and other general corporate purposes;

- require us to sell debt or equity securities or to sell some of our core assets, possibly on unfavorable terms, to meet debt payment obligations;

- restrict us from making strategic acquisitions or exploiting business opportunities;

- limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and industry;

- place us at a possible competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt;

- expose us to risks that are inherent in interest rate and currency fluctuations because certain of our indebtedness bears variable rates of interest and is in various currencies; and subject us to financial and other restrictive covenants, and, if we fail to comply with these covenants and that failure is not waived or cured, could result in an event of default under our indebtedness.

Despite our substantial indebtedness we may be able to incur substantially more debt.

Despite our substantial indebtedness we may be able to incur or issue substantial additional debt in the future. Although restrictions on the incurrence of additional debt are contained in the indentures governing the notes and the 2007 Notes, in the terms of our Senior Secured Credit Facilities and in our other financing arrangements, these restrictions are subject to a number of qualifications and exceptions. Also, these restrictions do not prevent us from incurring obligations that do not constitute indebtedness as defined in such restrictions, such as certain contingent obligations incurred in the ordinary course of business and deferred or prepaid revenues or marketing fees.

Our ability to incur indebtedness depends, in part, upon our satisfaction of certain financial covenants in the indentures governing the notes and the 2007 Notes and in the terms of our Senior Secured Credit Facilities. Under the indentures governing the notes and the 2007 Notes, we may incur additional indebtedness either by satisfying certain incurrence tests or by incurring such additional indebtedness under certain specific categories of permitted debt. Indebtedness may be incurred under the incurrence tests if the fixed charge coverage ratio is at least 2.00 to 1.00 on a pro forma basis and, (i) under the indentures that govern our senior secured notes, the liens securing first lien secured indebtedness do not exceed a 3.50 to 1.00 senior secured leverage ratio and (ii) under the indentures that govern our senior notes and the 2007 Notes, the liens securing any secured indebtedness do not exceed a 4.50 to 1.00 secured leverage ratio.

Under the credit agreement governing the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, we may incur additional indebtedness either by satisfying certain incurrence tests or by incurring such additional indebtedness under certain specific categories of permitted debt. Incremental senior secured indebtedness under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and senior secured notes in lieu thereof are permitted to be incurred up to an aggregate principal amount of $750 million, subject to pro forma compliance with the Senior Secured Credit Facilities financial covenants. In addition, we may incur incremental senior secured indebtedness under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and senior secured notes in an unlimited amount so long as our senior secured leverage ratio does not exceed 3.50 to 1.00 on a pro forma basis and (in the case of incremental senior secured indebtedness under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities only) we are in pro forma compliance with the Senior Secured Credit Facilities financial covenants. The incurrence of unsecured indebtedness, including the issuance of senior notes, and unsecured subordinated indebtedness is also permitted subject to pro forma compliance with the Senior Secured Credit Facilities financial covenants.

The amount of indebtedness that we can incur at any point in time will vary materially as a result of historical and pro forma changes in our earnings, cash flows and performance against agreed ratios and other results and factors.

Restrictive covenants in the notes and our other indebtedness could adversely affect our business by limiting our operating and strategic flexibility.

The respective indentures governing the notes contain restrictive covenants that limit our ability to, among other things:

incur or guarantee additional indebtedness or issue preferred stock or disqualified stock, including to refinance existing indebtedness;

pay dividends or make distributions in respect of capital stock;

purchase or redeem capital stock;

make certain investments or certain other restricted payments;

create or incur liens;

sell assets;

agree to limitations on the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to make distributions;

enter into transactions with affiliates; and

effect a consolidation, amalgamation or merger.

The respective indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes contain similar restrictions.

These restrictive covenants could have an adverse effect on our business by limiting our ability to take advantage of financing, mergers and acquisitions, joint ventures or other corporate opportunities. In addition, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities contain, and our future indebtedness may contain, other and more restrictive covenants and also prohibit us from prepaying certain of our other indebtedness, including the notes and the 2007 Notes, prior to discharge of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or such future indebtedness. The senior secured notes and the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement also contain restrictions on our ability to prepay the 2007 Notes prior to the redemption of the senior secured notes and, in the case of the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. The Senior Secured Credit Facilities require us to maintain leverage ratios and interest coverage ratios. Our future indebtedness may contain similar or other financial ratios set at levels determined by us and our future lenders. The ability to meet those financial ratios could be affected by a deterioration in our operating results, as well as by events beyond our control, including increases in raw material prices and unfavorable economic conditions, and we cannot assure you that those ratios will be met. It may be necessary to obtain waivers or amendments with respect to covenants under the indentures governing the notes, the terms of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or our future indebtedness from time to time, but we cannot assure you that we will be able to obtain such waivers or amendments. A breach of any of these covenants, ratios or restrictions could result in an event of default under the indentures governing the notes and the 2007 Notes, the terms of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or our future indebtedness and any of our other indebtedness or result in cross-defaults under certain of our indebtedness. Upon the occurrence of an event of default under the indentures governing the notes, the terms of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or such other indebtedness, the lenders could terminate their commitment to lend and elect to declare all amounts outstanding under such indebtedness, together with accrued interest, to be immediately due and payable. If the lenders accelerate the payment of that indebtedness or foreclose on the assets securing that indebtedness, including the collateral, we cannot assure you that our assets would be sufficient to repay in full that indebtedness and our other indebtedness then outstanding, including the notes.

Our ability to generate the significant amount of cash needed to pay interest and principal on the notes and service our other debt and the ability to refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness or obtain additional financing depends on many factors beyond our control.

Our ability to generate sufficient cash flow from operations to make scheduled payments on, or to refinance obligations under, our debt will depend on our financial and operating performance, which, in turn, will be subject to prevailing economic and competitive conditions and to financial and business-related factors, many of which may be beyond our control. See Risks Related to Our Business above.

As of September 30, 2011, after giving pro forma effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer, we would have had $17,320.2 million of outstanding third-party indebtedness. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, after giving pro forma effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer, annual cash interest obligations on our Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the notes, and our other indebtedness would have been $1,026.8 million. If our cash flow and capital resources are insufficient to fund our debt service obligations, we may be forced to reduce working capital levels, reduce or delay capital expenditures, sell assets, seek to obtain additional equity capital or restructure all or a portion of our debt. In the future, our cash flow and capital resources may not be sufficient to allow us to make payments of principal and interest on our debt. Any alternative measures we may take may not be successful or be on commercially reasonable terms and may not permit us to meet our scheduled debt service obligations, including the payment of interest or principal in respect of the notes. In addition, we may want or need to refinance some or all of our indebtedness prior to maturity, including the Graham Packaging Notes. We cannot assure you that we will be able to refinance any of our indebtedness or obtain additional financing, particularly because of our anticipated high levels of debt, prevailing market conditions and the debt incurrence restrictions imposed by the agreements governing our debt. In the absence of sufficient cash flow and capital resources, we could face substantial liquidity problems and may be required to dispose of material assets or operations to meet our debt service and other obligations. The indentures governing the notes and the 2007 Notes, the terms of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the agreements governing our other debt restrict, and our future indebtedness is likely to restrict, both our ability to dispose of assets and the use of proceeds from any such disposition. We cannot assure you that we will be able to consummate any asset sales, or if we do, what the timing of the sales will be or whether the proceeds that we realize will be adequate to meet our debt service obligations when due or that we will be contractually permitted to apply such proceeds for that purpose. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations, or to implement any of these alternative measures, would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

The notes and the 2007 Notes will not have the benefit of guarantees and the senior secured notes will not have the benefit of security from Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries.

Graham Company and its subsidiaries are indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of RGHL. However, Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries do not and will not guarantee the notes or the 2007 Notes, or provide security with respect to the senior secured notes, and Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries operate as a separate credit group within the RGHL Group capital structure. No holder of the notes or the 2007 Notes will have a claim as a creditor against Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries, and the indebtedness and other liabilities, if any, whether secured or unsecured, of Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries is effectively senior to the claims of the holders of the notes and the 2007 Notes with respect to the assets of Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries.

Although Graham Company will guarantee the notes and the 2007 Notes, the value of Graham Company s guarantee is limited because it does not have significant independent operations, Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries do not guarantee the notes and the 2007 Notes, and any payments to Graham Company from Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries are limited by covenants in the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes.

Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries operate as a separate credit group within the RGHL Group capital structure, which may limit our ability to manage the RGHL Group and Graham Packaging as a combined business. In addition, the RGHL Group s access to the cash flow of Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries may be limited to interest and principal payments under an intercompany loan agreement between certain subsidiaries of Graham Holdings and a subsidiary of RGHL, which could adversely affect our ability to fulfill our obligations under the notes.

Graham Company and its subsidiaries are indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of RGHL. However, Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries operate as a separate credit group within the RGHL Group capital structure and are subject to all the covenants contained in the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes that remain outstanding after the Graham Packaging Transaction and the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer. As a result,

- certain intercompany dealings between Graham Holdings or its subsidiaries and the RGHL Group may have to satisfy the Transactions with Affiliates covenant of the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes;

- any transfer or sale of assets from Graham Holdings or its subsidiaries to the RGHL Group may need to satisfy the Asset Sales covenant in such indentures; and

- dividends and other restricted payments (including certain investments) by Graham Holdings or its subsidiaries will need to comply with the Restricted Payments covenant in such indentures.

The foregoing may limit the RGHL Group s flexibility and ability to effectively manage Graham Packaging and the RGHL Group as a combined business.

In addition, RGHL, through one of its subsidiaries, loaned $2,078 million on the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition to certain subsidiaries of Graham Holdings pursuant to an intercompany loan agreement and evidenced by senior secured intercompany notes. The proceeds of the loan advanced pursuant to such agreement were used on the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition to repay Graham Packaging s senior secured credit facilities (including related fees and expenses) and the proceeds of loans advanced thereafter may be used to repay certain other indebtedness of Graham Packaging. See The Transactions The Graham Packaging Transaction Senior Secured Intercompany Loan Agreement. We pledged the notes evidencing the intercompany loans for the benefit of the holders of the senior secured notes, the holders of the 2007 Senior Notes and the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. The RGHL Group s access to the cash flow of Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries may be limited by the covenants contained in the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes that remain outstanding after the Graham Packaging Acquisition. As a result, our access to Graham Packaging s cash flow may be limited to interest and principal payments under the intercompany loan agreement.

Graeme Hart, our strategic owner, controls us through a number of holding companies, including Packaging Holdings Limited, and may have conflicts of interest with the holders of our debt or us in the future.

Graeme Hart indirectly owns through Packaging Holdings Limited all of our common stock and the actions he is able to undertake as our sole ultimate shareholder may differ from or adversely affect the interests of our debt holders. Because Mr. Hart ultimately controls our voting shares and those of all of our subsidiaries, he has and will continue to have the power, among other things, to affect our legal and capital structure and our day-to-day operations, as well as to elect our directors and those of our subsidiaries, to change our management and to approve any other changes to our operations. Additionally, Mr. Hart is in the business of making investments in companies and may from time to time acquire and hold interests in businesses that compete, directly or indirectly, with us. Mr. Hart may also pursue acquisition opportunities that may be complementary to our business and, as a result, those acquisition opportunities may not be available to us. Finally, because none of our securities are listed on a securities exchange in the U.S., we are not subject to certain of the corporate governance requirements of a U.S. securities exchange, including any requirement to have any independent directors.

An increase in interest rates would increase the cost of servicing our debt and could reduce our profitability.

A significant portion of our outstanding debt, including the indebtedness we have incurred under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and, potentially, our future indebtedness, bears interest at variable rates. As of September 30, 2011, net of hedging instruments, we had $4,707.7 million of variable rate debt outstanding. As a result, an increase in interest rates, whether because of an increase in market interest rates or an increase in our cost of borrowing, would increase the cost of servicing this debt and could materially reduce our profitability and adversely affect our ability to meet our obligations under the notes. The impact on us of such an increase would be more significant than it would be on some other companies because of our substantial debt.

The notes are joint and several obligations of a Luxembourg-based société anonyme (limited liability company), a United States-based corporation and a United States-based limited liability company, each having no independent operations or subsidiaries, and as a result, the Issuers ability to service the notes is dependent on cash flow generated by members of the RGHL Group and their ability and willingness to make distributions to the Issuers.

US Issuer is a finance company with no operations of its own, and it has no material assets. US Co-Issuer is a finance company with no operations of its own, and its only material assets are certain intercompany proceeds loans to which it is a party. Lux Issuer is a finance company with no operations of its own, and its only material assets are certain intercompany proceeds loans to which it is a party. As a result of the foregoing, the Issuers cash flows and their ability to service their indebtedness, including their ability to pay the interest and principal amount in respect of the notes when due, depend on the performance of the RGHL Group and the ability of members of the RGHL Group to provide funds to the Issuers.

Accordingly, repayment of the Issuers indebtedness, including the notes, depends on the generation of cash flow by the RGHL Group, and (if they are not guarantors of the notes) the ability of RGHL Group members to make such cash available to the Issuers whether by dividend, debt repayment, investment, loan, advance or otherwise. Unless they are guarantors of the notes, members of the RGHL Group do not have any obligation to pay amounts due on such notes or to make funds available for that purpose. Our subsidiaries may not be able to make payments to each Issuer to enable it to make payments in respect of its indebtedness, including the notes. Each subsidiary is a distinct legal entity and, under certain circumstances, legal and contractual restrictions may limit the Issuers ability to obtain cash from our subsidiaries. While the indentures governing the notes will limit the ability of our subsidiaries to incur consensual restrictions on their ability to pay dividends or make other intercompany payments to the Issuers, these limitations are subject to certain qualifications and exceptions. In the event that the Issuers do not receive payments from our subsidiaries, they may be unable to make required principal and interest payments on their indebtedness, including the notes.

In addition, any payment of interest, dividends, distributions, debt repayments, investments, loans or advances by our subsidiaries to the Issuers could be subject to restrictions on such payments under applicable local law, monetary transfer restrictions, withholding taxes and foreign currency exchange regulations in the jurisdictions in which the subsidiaries operate or under arrangements with local partners.

The issuer of the 2007 Notes is a finance subsidiary that has no revenue generating operations and depends on payments received under proceeds loans to make payments on the 2007 Notes.

The issuer of the 2007 Notes is a finance subsidiary that was formed in connection with the offering of the 2007 Notes. The issuer of the 2007 Notes is not permitted to engage in any activities other than the issuance of the 2007 Notes, shares, any additional notes and any other permitted debt and activities that are incidental to or necessary or convenient to the foregoing. The issuer of the 2007 Notes has no subsidiaries and its only material asset and potential source of income is its right to receive payments under its loans to BP I of the proceeds of the 2007 Notes (the 2007 Proceeds Loans). The ability of the issuer of the 2007 Notes to make payments on the 2007 Notes is therefore dependent on the payments received under the 2007 Proceeds Loans and other funds that may be received from BP I and its subsidiaries. However, there is no obligation on the part of BP I and its subsidiaries to provide funds to the issuer of the 2007 Notes other than the guarantees mentioned below and the 2007 Proceeds Loans. If payments on the 2007 Proceeds Loans are not made by BP I, for whatever reason, the issuer of the 2007 Notes may not have funds available to it that would permit it to make payments on the 2007 Notes. In such circumstances, the holders of the 2007 Notes would have to rely upon claims for payment under the guarantees and recovery, if any, under the pledges of the 2007 Proceeds Loans (which are not first ranking), which claims and recoveries would be subject to a number of significant risks, including those described below.

BP I, the borrower under the 2007 Proceeds Loans, is an intermediate holding company that is an indirect parent company of our operating subsidiaries. BP I has no material assets other than shares of its subsidiaries and certain intercompany loans, payables and receivables. As a consequence of the foregoing, BP I s ability to make payments under the 2007 Proceeds Loans and, in turn, the issuer of the 2007 Notes ability to make payments on the 2007 Notes, will be substantially dependent upon dividends, loans and other intercompany payments from BP I s subsidiaries. BP I s subsidiaries may not be able to generate sufficient cash to make such payments or have adequate distributable reserves to distribute funds to BP I to enable it to make payments on the 2007 Proceeds Loans. Furthermore, the ability of BP I s subsidiaries to distribute earnings to BP I by way of dividends, distributions, interest returns on investments, including repayment of loans and other payments, is subject to various restrictions arising under applicable corporate law (which, for example, limit the amount that may be paid as a dividend out of the retained profit of the relevant entity) and contained in the debt instruments of such subsidiaries, including restrictions imposed by the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the notes and other existing indebtedness. Future indebtedness of BP I s subsidiaries will also likely limit the ability to make such payments.

The receivables under the 2007 Proceeds Loans are pledged to secure indebtedness under and in connection with the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the senior secured notes on a basis that ranks ahead of the security over such receivables that was granted for the benefits of the holders of the 2007 Notes. In addition, receivables under the 2007 Proceeds Loans are pledged to secure the indebtedness under the 2007 Senior Notes on a basis that ranks ahead of the security over such receivables that was granted for the benefit of the holders of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes.

The 2007 Proceeds Loans are also subject to subordination provisions similar to those applicable to the senior subordinated guarantees of the 2007 Senior Notes and the subordinated guarantees of the 2007 Senior

Table of Contents

Subordinated Notes, including payment blockage, standstill on enforcement and turnover provisions in favor of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the senior secured notes.

A failure to comply with the debt covenants in the agreements governing our indebtedness could lead to an acceleration of our debt and possibly bankruptcy.

The Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the notes, the 2007 Notes and our other indebtedness, including the Graham Packaging Notes, require us, and our future indebtedness is also likely to require us, to meet certain covenants. A default under any of our debt instruments could result in the accelerated repayment of our debt and possibly bankruptcy. This will negatively impact our ability to fulfill our obligations on the notes and you will not recover your investment in the notes.

The RGHL Group is required to comply with covenants under its various debt agreements, which may be subject to multiple interpretations.

The RGHL Group is subject to covenants under its various debt agreements, such as the indentures governing the notes, the 2007 Notes and the Graham Packaging Notes and the credit agreement governing the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. These covenants may be subject to multiple interpretations, and, from time to time, parties to our debt agreements may disagree with our interpretation of these covenants. Disagreements with respect to the interpretation of these covenants may result in allegations of noncompliance which could result in a default or event of default under our indebtedness, either of which could materially adversely affect our financial condition. For example, we received several correspondence from counsel purporting to represent a group of holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes. These correspondence threaten that a number of holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes are prepared to file a lawsuit alleging, among other things, that entry into the $2,078.0 million senior secured intercompany note and our decision not to redeem the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes in connection with the Graham Packaging Transaction breaches the terms of the indentures governing such notes. We believe that these claims have no merit and intend to contest them vigorously. If the threatened litigation were successful, it could result in a declaration of default under the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes, which could adversely affect our financial condition, including by triggering defaults under our other indebtedness.

If we default on our obligations to pay our other indebtedness, we may not be able to make payments on the notes.

Any default under the agreements governing our indebtedness that is not cured or waived, as applicable, by the required lenders or noteholders thereunder, and the remedies sought by the holders of such indebtedness, could prevent us from making payments of principal, premium, if any, or interest on the notes and could substantially decrease the market value of the notes. In the event of any such default, the holders of such indebtedness could elect to declare all outstanding amounts thereunder to be due and payable, together with accrued and unpaid interest, and this may also cause a cross default in our other indebtedness. If our operating performance declines, and we breach our covenants under the agreements governing such indebtedness, we may need to seek waivers from the noteholders and the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, or holders of our other indebtedness to avoid being in default. We may not be able to obtain a waiver from the required number of lenders or noteholders. If this occurs, we would be in default under such indebtedness, the lenders or noteholders could exercise their rights as described above, and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation.

We may be unable to raise the funds necessary to finance the change of control repurchase offers required by the respective indentures governing the notes and similar requirements in the agreements governing our other indebtedness.

If a specified change of control occurs in relation to us, the Issuers and the issuer of the 2007 Notes would be required to make an offer to purchase all of the outstanding notes at a price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, to the date of purchase. The occurrence of a change of control under the notes would require that the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, and may require that any of our future indebtedness, be immediately repaid or that we make an offer to repurchase it, possibly at a premium or subject to penalties. The Issuers and the issuer of the 2007 Notes may be dependent on RGHL and its subsidiaries for the funds necessary to cure the events of default, or fund any mandatory prepayment or redemption caused by such change of control event. RGHL and its subsidiaries may not have sufficient financial resources to purchase all of the notes that are tendered upon a change of control offer or to redeem such notes. A failure by the Issuers and the issuer of the 2007 Notes to purchase the notes after a change of control in accordance with the terms of the indentures requiring such purchases would result in a default under the agreement governing the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the indentures governing the notes and the 2007 Notes and may result in a default under any future indebtedness.

The occurrence of a change of control may not be under our control and may occur at any time. For example, Packaging Finance Limited, the direct parent of RGHL, has pledged 100% of its shares in RGHL to certain lenders in connection with a financing arrangement. Consequently, it is possible that such lenders may enforce the pledge against Packaging Finance Limited and foreclose on the RGHL shares for reasons outside of our control. Such foreclosure may result in a change of control under the terms of the indentures governing the notes. In the event of a change of control, we cannot assure you that we will have sufficient assets to satisfy all of our obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the notes, the 2007 Notes, any future indebtedness and any other debt requiring repayment upon such event.

The terms of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities limit, and our future indebtedness may limit, our right to purchase or redeem certain indebtedness. In addition, the senior secured notes contain restrictions on our ability to repay the 2007 Notes. In the event any purchase or redemption is prohibited, we may seek to obtain waivers from the required lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or our future lenders to permit the required repurchase or redemption, but the required lenders do not have, and our future lenders are unlikely to have, any obligation to grant, and may refuse to grant, such a waiver.

Each series of our notes, the 2007 Notes, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and certain of Graham Packaging s and Pactiv s indebtedness will mature in close proximity to each other, which may limit our ability to repay all amounts owing on the notes at maturity or borrow or otherwise raise the amounts necessary to repay such amounts.

The August 2011 Notes will mature on August 15, 2019, the February 2011 Notes will mature on February 15, 2021, the October 2010 Notes will mature on April 15, 2019, the May 2010 Notes will mature on May 15, 2018, the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes will mature on October 1, 2018, the term loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities will mature on February 9, 2018, Pactiv s 6.40% notes due 2018, or the Pactiv 2018 Notes, will mature on January 15, 2018, Pactiv s 8.125% Debentures due 2017 will mature on June 15, 2017, the 2009 Notes will mature on October 15, 2016, the 2007 Senior Notes will mature on December 15, 2016, the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes will mature on January 1, 2017, the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes will mature on June 15, 2017, the revolving facilities under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities will mature on November 5, 2014 and the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes will mature on October 7, 2014. As a result, we may not have sufficient cash to repay all amounts owing on the notes, the 2007 Notes, the Graham Packaging Notes or Pactiv s notes and debentures at maturity. Given that each series of our notes, the 2007 Notes, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and certain of Pactiv s indebtedness will mature in close proximity to each other, there can be no assurance that we will have the ability to borrow or otherwise raise the amounts necessary to repay such amounts, and the prior maturity of such other indebtedness may make it difficult to refinance our notes and our other indebtedness.

We may be unable to raise the funds necessary to refinance Pactiv s 5.785% notes due 2012.

Pactiv s 5.785% notes due 2012, or the Pactiv 2012 Notes, have a maturity date of July 15, 2012 and, consequently, may need to be refinanced prior to their maturity. If our access to capital markets or our ability to enter new financing arrangements is reduced for any reason, we may not be able to refinance the Pactiv 2012 Notes on satisfactory terms or at all, which would adversely affect our business and results of operations. As of September 30, 2011, $249.3 million in aggregate principal amount of the Pactiv 2012 Notes remained outstanding.

Not all of our subsidiaries guarantee the notes and the 2007 Notes, and the notes, the 2007 Notes and the related guarantees will be structurally subordinated to all of the claims of creditors of those non-guarantor subsidiaries.

The notes are guaranteed by RGHL, BP I, and, subject to certain conditions and exceptions, certain subsidiaries of BP I that are borrowers under or guarantee or are expected to guarantee the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, as well as, in the case of the 2007 Notes, the Issuers. The issuer of the 2007 Notes does not guarantee the notes or the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. In addition, Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries do not and will not guarantee the notes or the 2007 Notes. In the future, other subsidiaries will be required to guarantee the notes only under certain limited circumstances. See Description of the 2009 Notes Certain Covenants Future Note Guarantors, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Secured Note Guarantors, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Secured Note Guarantors, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Secured Note Guarantors, Description of the May 2010 Notes Certain Covenants Future Note Guarantors, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Note Guarantors, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Note Guarantors and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Certain Covenants Future Senior Note Guarantors. The indentures governing the notes do not limit the transfer of assets to, or the making of investments in, any of our restricted subsidiaries, including our non-guarantor subsidiaries.

In the event that any non-guarantor subsidiary becomes insolvent, is liquidated, reorganized or dissolved, or is otherwise wound up other than as part of a solvent transaction, the assets of such non-guarantor subsidiary will be used first to satisfy the claims of its creditors, including its trade creditors, banks and other lenders. Only the residual equity value will be available to the Issuers, the issuer of the 2007 Notes and any other guarantor, and only to the extent the Issuers or any guarantor are parent companies of such non-guarantor subsidiary. Consequently, the notes and each guarantee of the notes will be structurally subordinated to claims of creditors of non-guarantor subsidiaries. The indentures governing the notes permit our subsidiaries, including our non-guarantor subsidiaries, to incur additional debt (subject to certain conditions and limitations with respect to restricted subsidiaries) and do not limit their ability to incur trade payables and similar liabilities.

Fraudulent conveyance laws and other similar limitations may adversely affect the validity and enforceability of the notes, the guarantees and, as applicable, the related security.

The notes, the 2007 Notes, the related guarantees and any security securing the senior secured notes or the related guarantees may be subject to claims that they should be limited or voided in favor of our existing and future creditors under applicable law, including laws in Australia, Austria, Brazil, British Virgin Islands, Canada, Costa Rica, Germany, Guernsey, Hong Kong, Hungary, Japan, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Switzerland, Thailand, England and Wales and the United States. In addition, the enforcement of the notes and the guarantees and the amount that can be recovered under a security interest in respect of any asset is limited to the extent of the amount which can be guaranteed by a particular guarantor, security provider, the Issuers or the issuer of the 2007 Notes without rendering the applicable guarantee or security voidable or otherwise ineffective under applicable law. Moreover, the enforcement of the notes, guarantees or security against any Issuer, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, a relevant guarantor or security provider will be subject to certain defenses available to the Issuers, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, guarantors or security providers generally under (i) the laws of New York, which govern the notes and the guarantees, (ii) the laws governing the relevant security document, and (iii) laws applicable to companies and other corporate entities in the jurisdiction in which the relevant Issuer, the issuer of the 2007 Notes or guarantor or, if applicable, security provider is organized. These laws and defenses include those that relate to fraudulent conveyance or transfer, fraudulent or voidable preference, financial assistance, corporate purpose or benefit, preservation of share capital, thin capitalization, unlawful dividend and defenses affecting the rights of creditors or other stakeholders generally. See Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations for additional information.

78

Although laws differ significantly among jurisdictions, in general, under fraudulent conveyance and similar laws, a court could subordinate or void any note obligation, guarantee or security obligation if it found that at the time any Issuer, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, guarantor or security provider, as applicable, issued the notes or incurred obligations under a guarantee or any security, such Issuer, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, guarantor or security provider did so with the intent of preferring, hindering, delaying or defrauding current or future creditors, or received less than reasonably equivalent value or fair consideration for issuing the notes, incurring the guarantee or providing the security, as applicable, and:

- was insolvent or was rendered insolvent by reason of the incurrence of the indebtedness constituting the notes or the guarantee or providing the security, as applicable;
- was engaged, or about to engage, in a business or transaction for which its assets constituted unreasonably small capital;
- intended to incur, or believed that it would incur, debts beyond its ability to pay as such debts matured;
- was a defendant in an action for money damages, or had a judgment for money damages docketed against it if, in either case, after final judgment the judgment is unsatisfied; or
- in the case of a guarantee or security, the guarantee or security was not in the best interests or for the benefit of the guarantor or security provider.

The measure of insolvency for purposes of the foregoing considerations will vary depending upon the law of the jurisdiction that is being applied in the relevant legal proceeding. Generally, however, an issuer, a guarantor or a security provider could be considered insolvent if:

- it has failed to pay an amount that is due and in relation to which the creditor has served a written demand;
- it has failed to pay its liabilities generally as they become due;
- the sum of its debts, including contingent liabilities, is greater than its assets, at a fair valuation; or
- the present fair saleable value of its assets is less than the amount required to pay the probable liability on its total existing debts and liabilities, including contingent liabilities, as they become absolute and mature.

We cannot give you any assurance as to what standards a court would use to determine whether the issuer of the 2007 Notes or any Issuer, guarantor or security provider was solvent at the relevant time, or whether, notwithstanding the standard used, the notes or the applicable guarantee or security would not be avoided on other grounds, including those described above.

Laws similar to those described above may also apply to any future guarantee or security granted by one of our subsidiaries. For information about certain insolvency and other local law considerations of different jurisdictions that we or our subsidiaries are subject to, see Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations.

Insolvency laws could limit your ability to enforce your rights under the notes, the 2007 Notes, the guarantees and, in the case of the senior secured notes, the security.

Any insolvency proceedings with regard to any Issuer, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, a guarantor or, if applicable, a security provider would most likely be based on and governed by the insolvency laws of the jurisdiction under which the relevant entity is organized. As a result, in the event of insolvency with regard to any of these entities, the claims of holders of the notes against any Issuer, a guarantor or a security provider may be subject to the insolvency laws of its jurisdiction of organization. The provisions of such insolvency laws differ substantially from each other, including with respect to rights of creditors, priority of claims and procedure and may contain provisions that are unfavorable to holders of notes. In addition, there can be no assurance as to how the insolvency laws of these jurisdictions will be applied in cross-border insolvency proceedings. See Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations.

As a general matter, under insolvency law, any Issuer s, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, any guarantor s or any security provider s liabilities in respect of the notes and the guarantees and, if applicable, security, may, in the event of insolvency or similar proceedings, rank junior to certain of such Issuer s, the issuer of the 2007

Notes or guarantor s or any security provider s debts that are entitled to priority under the laws of such jurisdiction. Debts entitled to priority may include (i) amounts owed in respect of employee pension schemes, (ii) certain amounts owed to employees, (iii) amounts owed to governmental agencies, including tax authorities, and (iv) expenses of an insolvency practitioner. In addition, in some jurisdictions, an examiner or administrator or similar party may be legally required to consider the interest of third parties (including, for example, employees) or the best interests of the relevant company in connection with the proceedings. In certain cases, the ability of a holder to collect interest accruing on the notes in respect of any period after the commencement of liquidation proceedings and a holder s rights in respect of the guarantees may be limited.

Enforcing your rights as a holder of the notes or under the guarantees, or with respect to the senior secured notes, the security, across multiple jurisdictions may be difficult.

The notes are joint and several obligations of the Issuers. The 2007 Notes were offered by an entity organized under the laws of Luxembourg. The notes and 2007 Notes are guaranteed by certain of our subsidiaries which are organized under the laws of Australia, Austria, Brazil, British Virgin Islands, Canada, Costa Rica, Germany, Guernsey, Hong Kong, Hungary, Japan, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Switzerland, Thailand, England and Wales and the United States. Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries do not and will not guarantee the notes or grant security interests with respect to the senior secured notes. The Issuers, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, BP I and certain of its subsidiaries have also granted security over certain of their assets to secure the obligations of the Issuers and the issuer of the 2007 Notes (as applicable) under the senior secured notes, the 2007 Notes and the related guarantees. In the event of bankruptcy, insolvency or a similar event, proceedings could be initiated in any of these jurisdictions or in the jurisdiction of organization of a future guarantor. The rights of holders under the notes, the guarantees and the security granted in respect of the senior secured notes will be subject to the laws of several jurisdictions and holders of the senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes may not be able to enforce effectively their rights in multiple bankruptcy, insolvency and other similar proceedings. Moreover, such multi-jurisdictional proceedings are typically complex and costly for creditors and often result in substantial uncertainty and delay in the enforcement of creditors rights. See Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations.

In addition, the bankruptcy, insolvency, foreign exchange, administration and other laws of the various jurisdictions in which the Issuers, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, guarantors and security providers are located may be materially different from or in conflict with one another and those of the United States, including in respect of creditors rights, priority of creditors, the ability to obtain post-petition interest and the duration of the insolvency proceeding. The consequences of the multiple jurisdictions involved in the transaction could trigger disputes over which jurisdiction s law should apply and choice of law disputes which could adversely affect the ability of noteholders to enforce their rights and to collect payment in full under the notes, the guarantees and any security. See Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations.

The beneficial owners of the senior secured notes are not party to any of the security documents. Therefore, in certain jurisdictions, such as Germany, Austria, Switzerland, Hungary and the Netherlands, there are risks regarding the enforceability of the security interests granted by an Issuer or guarantor in favor of the noteholders. In order to mitigate this risk the collateral agents have entered or will enter, as applicable, into a parallel debt undertaking pursuant to which the collateral agents hold the secured claims in an amount equal to the principal amount of the senior secured notes plus certain other amounts for the benefit of the trustee and the holders of the senior secured notes. Accordingly, the rights of the holders of senior secured notes are not directly secured by the pledges of the collateral but through this parallel claim. The parallel claim is acknowledged by the applicable issuer or guarantor by way of a parallel debt undertaking to the relevant collateral agent. The parallel debt undertaking secures the senior secured notes and the relevant guarantees and the collateral secures claims under the parallel debt undertaking. There is uncertainty as to the enforceability of this procedure in many jurisdictions, including Germany, Austria, Switzerland, Hungary and the Netherlands. For example, this procedure has not yet been tested under German, Austrian, Swiss, Hungarian or Dutch law, and we cannot assure you that it will eliminate or mitigate the risk of unenforceability posed by German, Austrian, Swiss, Hungarian, or Dutch law or the law of any other jurisdiction where parallel debt is used. See Enforcement of Civil Liabilities and Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations.

You may be unable to enforce judgments obtained in the United States and foreign courts against us, certain of the guarantors or our or their respective directors and executive officers.

Many of our directors and executive officers and most of the guarantors as well as the Lux Issuer and the issuer of the 2007 Notes are, and will continue to be, non-residents of the United States, and most of the assets of these companies are located outside of the United States. As a consequence, you may not be able to effect service of process on the Lux Issuer, the issuer of the 2007 Notes and guarantors located outside the United States or the non-United States resident directors and officers in the United States or to enforce judgments of United States courts in any civil liabilities proceedings under the United States federal securities laws. Moreover, any judgment obtained in the United States against the non-resident directors, the executive officers, the Lux Issuer, the issuer of the 2007 Notes or the guarantors, including judgments with respect to the payment of principal, premium, if any, and interest on the notes, may not be collectible in the United States. There is also uncertainty about the enforceability in the courts of certain jurisdictions, including judgments obtained in the United States against certain of the guarantors, whether or not predicated upon the federal securities laws of the United States. See Enforcement of Civil Liabilities.

In particular, Lux Issuer and the issuer of the 2007 Notes are public limited liability companies (société anonyme) organized under the laws of Luxembourg. Certain of their officers and directors may be residents of various jurisdictions outside the United States. All or a substantial portion of their assets may be located outside the United States. As a result, it may be difficult for investors to effect service of process within the United States upon such persons or to enforce judgments obtained against such persons in United States courts and predicated upon the civil liability provisions of the United States federal securities laws.

In addition, since the United States and Luxembourg are not currently party to a treaty with respect to the mutual recognition and enforcement of civil judgments, a judgment obtained against a Luxembourg company in the United States courts in a dispute with respect to which the parties have validly agreed that such courts are to have jurisdiction, will not be directly enforced by the courts in Luxembourg. In order to obtain a judgment which is enforceable in Luxembourg, the claim must be re-litigated before a competent court of Luxembourg. The relevant Luxembourg court will have discretion to attach such weight to a judgment of the courts of the United States as it deems appropriate based on Luxembourg case law. The courts of Luxembourg may recognize the binding effect of a final, conclusive and enforceable money judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction in the United States provided that certain conditions as set forth in Article 678 *et seq.* of the Luxembourg New Code of Civil Procedure are satisfied. As a result, even if a favorable judgment is obtained against the Lux Issuer or the issuer of the 2007 Notes in the United States, such judgment might not be enforced by the courts in Luxembourg and may need to be re-litigated in Luxembourg. See Enforcement of Civil Liabilities Luxembourg.

The calculation of EBITDA pursuant to the indentures governing the notes permits certain estimates and assumptions that may differ materially from actual results, and the estimated savings expected from our cost saving plans may not be achieved.

Although all of the combined and stand-alone EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA presentations included in this prospectus are derived from our or our acquired companies financial statements, pro forma or historical, as the case may be, the various combined and stand-alone calculations of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA presented in this prospectus permit certain estimates and assumptions that may differ materially from actual results. Although we believe these estimates and assumptions are reasonable, investors should not place undue reliance upon any of these calculations given how they are calculated and the possibility that the underlying estimates and assumptions ultimately may not reflect actual results.

Potential investors should regard the assumptions and projections with considerable caution and are urged to evaluate the potential for our results to deviate from the assumptions set out in Summary Summary Historical and Pro Forma

Combined Financial Information and the implications of deviations in different assumptions on other assumptions and on our income and cash flows.

We have not presented individual financial statements or summary financial data for the guarantors of the notes (other than RGHL, BP I and Graham Holdings), the Issuers, the issuer of the 2007 Notes or other members of the RGHL Group and are not required to do so in the future under the indentures governing the notes.

We have not presented individual financial statements or summary financial data for the guarantors of the notes (other than RGHL, BP I and Graham Holdings), the Issuers, the issuer of the 2007 Notes or other members of the RGHL Group in this prospectus and may not be required to do so in the future under the indentures governing the notes. The absence of financial statements for the Issuers, the issuer of the 2007 Notes and the guarantors (other than RGHL, BP I and Graham Holdings) may make it difficult for holders of the notes to assess the financial condition or results of the Issuers and the guarantors or their compliance with the covenants in the indentures governing the notes.

Non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries have not and will not guarantee the notes or the 2007 Notes and the senior secured notes have only been secured by a limited pledge of certain of such foreign subsidiaries capital stock, with no pledge of the assets of any non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries.

Non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries have not and will not guarantee the notes or the 2007 Notes, and the notes and 2007 Notes are and will be structurally subordinated to all claims of creditors, including trade creditors, of such non-U.S. subsidiaries.

In addition, with respect to the senior secured notes, the pledge of the securities of any first tier non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries will be limited to 100% of their non-voting capital stock and 65% of their voting capital stock. There will be no pledge of the capital stock of non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries other than first-tier non-U.S. subsidiaries. The senior secured notes have not and will not be secured by a pledge of the assets of any non-U.S. subsidiary of our U.S. subsidiaries. Accordingly, the senior secured notes are and will be effectively subordinated to such non-U.S. subsidiaries secured liabilities and obligations to the extent of the value of any assets that secure such liabilities and obligations.

We are not required to reorganize our corporate structure such that any non-U.S. subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries will provide a guarantee or a pledge of their assets or such that a pledge of 100% of their voting capital stock can be granted.

Certain jurisdictions may impose withholding taxes on payments under the notes, guarantees or security documents or impose foreign exchange restrictions which may alter or reduce the amount recoverable by noteholders.

Payments made under the notes, guarantees or security granted by guarantors, security providers and the Issuers in certain jurisdictions may be subject to withholding tax, the amount of which will vary depending on the residency of the recipient, the availability of double-tax treaty relief and your legal relationship with the relevant guarantor, Issuer or security provider. In certain circumstances holders may be entitled to receive additional amounts in respect of such withholding tax, other than withholding tax imposed or levied by or on behalf of the United States or any political subdivision or governmental authority thereof or therein having power to tax. See Description of the 2009 Notes Withholding Taxes, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Withholding Taxes, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Withholding Taxes, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Withholding Taxes, Description of the May 2010 Notes Withholding Taxes, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Withholding Taxes, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Withholding Taxes and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Withholding Taxes. In addition, government or central bank approvals may be required in order for a guarantor, the Issuer or a security provider organized under the laws of certain jurisdictions, such as Thailand, to remit payments outside that jurisdiction under its guarantee or security.

82

In addition, foreign exchange controls applicable in certain jurisdictions may limit the amount of local currency that can be converted into other currencies, including dollars, upon enforcement of a guarantee or security interest.

You may face currency exchange risks by investing in the notes.

If you measure your investment returns in a currency other than the currency in which the notes or the 2007 Notes are denominated (dollars or euros, as the case may be), investment in such notes entails foreign currency exchange-related risks due to, among other factors, possible significant changes in the value of the dollar or the euro, as applicable, relative to the currency you use to measure your investment returns, caused by economic, political and other factors which affect exchange rates and over which we have no control. Depreciation of the dollar or the euro, as applicable, against the currency in which you measure your investment returns would cause a decrease in the effective yield of the notes and the 2007 Notes below their stated coupon rates and could result in a loss to you when the return on the notes is translated into the currency in which you measure your investment returns. There may be tax consequences for you as a result of any foreign exchange gains or losses resulting from your investment in the notes or the 2007 Notes. You should consult your tax advisor concerning the tax consequences to you of acquiring, holding and disposing of the notes or the 2007 Notes.

Our access to capital markets, our ability to enter into new financing arrangements and our business operations could be significantly impaired if our credit ratings are downgraded.

Downgrades in our credit ratings could adversely affect our ability to access the capital markets and/or lead to increased borrowing costs in the future, although the interest rates on our current indebtedness would not be affected. Some rating agencies that provide corporate ratings on us or provide ratings on our debt may downgrade their corporate or debt ratings with respect to us. In addition, perceptions of us by investors, producers, other businesses and consumers could also be significantly impaired.

Because each guarantor s or security provider s liability under its guarantee or security may be reduced to zero, avoided or released under certain circumstances, you may not receive any payments from some or all of the guarantors or security providers.

The notes and the 2007 Notes have the benefit of the guarantees of and, with respect to the senior secured notes, security from RGHL, BP I and certain of its subsidiaries, including the Issuers. In addition, the 2007 Notes have the benefit of security from RGHL and the issuer of the 2007 Notes. Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries do not and will not guarantee the notes or the 2007 Notes or grant security interests with respect to the senior secured notes. However, the guarantees and, with respect to the senior secured notes, the security, are limited to the maximum amount that the guarantors or the security providers are permitted to guarantee and secure under applicable law. As a result, a guarantor s or, with respect to the senior secured notes, a security provider s liability under a guarantee or a grant of security could be reduced to zero depending on the amount of other obligations of such entity. Further, under certain circumstances, a court under applicable fraudulent conveyance and transfer statutes or other applicable laws could void the obligations under a guarantee or, with respect to the senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes, in respect of security, or subordinate the guarantee or security to other obligations of the guarantor or security provider. See Fraudulent conveyance laws and other limitations on the enforceability of the notes, the guarantees and, as applicable, the related security, may adversely affect the validity and enforceability of the notes, the guarantees and, as applicable, the related security. In addition, you will lose the benefit of a particular guarantee and security if it is released under certain circumstances described under Description of the 2009 Notes Note Guarantees, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Senior Secured Note Guarantees, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Senior Secured Note Guarantees, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Senior Secured Note Guarantees, Description of the May 2010 Notes Note Guarantees, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Senior Note Guarantees, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Senior Note Guarantees and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Senior Note Guarantees.

As a result, an entity s liability under its guarantee or, with respect to the senior secured notes, its security, could be materially reduced or eliminated depending upon the amounts of its other obligations and upon applicable laws. In particular, in certain jurisdictions, a guarantee or security interest granted by a company that is not in the company s corporate interests or where the burden of that guarantee or security exceeds the benefit to the company may not be valid and enforceable. It is possible that a creditor of an entity or the insolvency administrator in the case of an insolvency of an entity may contest the validity and enforceability of the guarantee or security and that the applicable court may determine that the guarantee or security should be limited or voided. In the event that any guarantees or security are deemed invalid or unenforceable, in whole or in part, or to the extent that agreed limitations on the guarantee or secured obligation apply, the notes would rank pari passu with, or be effectively subordinated to, all liabilities of the applicable guarantor, including trade payables of such guarantor.

Relevant local insolvency laws may not be as favorable to you as U.S. bankruptcy laws and may preclude holders of the notes and the 2007 Notes from recovering payments due.

Certain members of the RGHL Group that are either an issuer or guarantors or, with respect to the senior secured notes, security providers (subject to certain exceptions) are organized under the laws of Australia, Austria, Brazil, British Virgin Islands, Canada, Costa Rica, Germany, Guernsey, Hong Kong, Hungary, Japan, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Switzerland, Thailand or England and Wales. The procedural and substantive provisions of the insolvency laws of these countries may not be as favorable to creditors as the provisions of U.S. law.

See Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations for a description of the insolvency laws in Australia, Austria, Brazil, British Virgin Islands, Canada, Germany, Guernsey, Hungary, Japan, Luxembourg, Mexico, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Switzerland, Thailand and England and Wales that could limit the enforceability of the guarantees or, with respect to the senior secured notes, the security.

In the event that any one or more of the Issuers, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, the guarantors, security providers, any future guarantors or security providers or any other of our subsidiaries experience financial difficulty, it is not possible to predict with certainty in which jurisdiction or jurisdictions insolvency or similar proceedings would be commenced, or the outcome of such proceedings. Pursuant to the European Union regulation on insolvency proceedings, any insolvency proceeding with regard to any Issuer, the issuer of the 2007 Notes, security provider or guarantor located within the European Union would most likely be held in, based on and governed by the insolvency laws of the jurisdiction of the relevant entity s center of main interests , which will not necessarily be the country in which it is incorporated. We cannot assure you as to how that regulation will be applied in insolvency proceedings relating to several jurisdictions within the European Union.

Primary note obligations, guarantees and security provided by entities organized in jurisdictions not summarized in this prospectus and, in the case of security governed by the laws of a jurisdiction not summarized in this prospectus, are also subject to material limitations pursuant to their terms, by statute or otherwise. Any enforcement of the primary note obligations, the guarantees and security after bankruptcy or an insolvency event in such other jurisdictions will possibly be subject to the insolvency laws of the relevant entity s jurisdiction of organization or other jurisdictions. The insolvency and other laws of each of these jurisdictions may be materially different from, or in conflict with, each other, including in the areas of rights of creditors, the ability to void preferential transfer, priority of governmental and other creditors, ability to obtain post-petition interest and duration of the proceeding. The application of these laws, or any conflict among them, could call into question whether any particular jurisdiction s laws should apply, adversely affect your ability to enforce your rights under the guarantees and security in these jurisdictions and limit any amounts that you may receive.

Most assets of the guarantors guaranteeing the senior notes are subject to control by creditors with liens securing the senior secured notes, the 2007 Notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. If there is a default, the value of the assets may not be sufficient to repay the priority creditors and the holders of the senior notes.

The senior notes are unsecured but are guaranteed by certain subsidiaries of RGHL. Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries do not and will not guarantee the senior notes. Most of the assets of the guarantors of the senior notes are pledged, on a priority basis, for the benefit of the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and for the benefit of the holders of the senior secured notes. In addition, the 2007 Notes have the benefit of a second lien (in the case of the 2007 Senior Notes) and a third lien (in the case of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes) on (i) the 2007 Proceeds Loans and (ii) BP I s stock. This may give holders of the 2007 Notes a benefit in a bankruptcy that would not be available to the holders of the senior notes and the holders of the senior notes could recover less as a result thereof. The indentures governing the notes and the 2007 Notes, as well as the terms of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, allow the incurrence of additional senior secured indebtedness in the future. In the event of an insolvency or liquidation, or if payment under the senior secured notes, the 2007 Senior Notes, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or any other secured debt is accelerated, the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, holders of the senior secured notes, holders of the 2007 Notes and holders of any other secured debt will be entitled to exercise the remedies available to a secured lender under applicable law in addition to any remedies that may be available under documents pertaining to the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the senior secured notes, the 2007 Senior Notes or any other secured debt and will be paid out of the assets pledged as collateral before these assets are made available to holders of the senior notes. In such event, the proceeds from the sale of such assets may not be sufficient to satisfy our obligations under the senior notes.

The holders of the senior notes have fewer rights than the holders of our Designated Senior Indebtedness.

The senior notes and the related guarantees constitute Senior Indebtedness for purposes of the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes and, as such, in a liquidation, dissolution or bankruptcy of the Issuers or the note guarantors, holders of the senior notes and the related note guarantees will be entitled to receive payment in full of such notes and note guarantees before holders of the guarantees of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes are entitled to receive any payment, other than certain permitted junior securities, in respect of such guarantees.

However, because the senior notes and related note guarantees do not, unlike the senior secured notes, the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the 2007 Senior Notes, constitute Designated Senior Indebtedness for purposes of the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes, the holders thereof have more rights than the holders of the senior notes. Thus, holders of the senior notes and related note guarantees are not entitled to the benefit of certain provisions in the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes relating to the subordination of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes that provide rights only to holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness, not Senior Indebtedness, including, among other things, the benefits of delivering payment blockage notices or enforcing the turnover provisions of the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes. Accordingly, holders of the senior notes may recover less than holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness as a result thereof. See Description of the May 2010 Notes Ranking, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Ranking, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Ranking and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Ranking.

The senior notes and related note guarantees rank pari passu in right of payment with the guarantees of the 2007 Senior Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, and in each case, the related guarantees. Therefore, in the event that an Issuer or a note guarantor becomes a debtor in a United States bankruptcy case and in the event that claims under the 2007 Senior Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities are not fully secured, claims of holders of the senior notes and note guarantees will rank pari passu in right of payment with the unsecured portion of claims of holders of the guarantees of the 2007 Senior Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, and, in each case, the related guarantees.

Table of Contents

In addition, in such an event, we expect that claims of holders of the senior notes and related note guarantees will be senior in right of payment to the claims of holders of the guarantees of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes. However, because of the differences in the rights of the holders of the senior notes and the holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness, there can be no guarantee that a bankruptcy court would enforce the contractual subordination of the 2007 Subordinated Notes in favor of the senior notes in the same manner as it would enforce the contractual subordination of the 2007 Subordinated Notes in favor of the 2007 Senior Notes, the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

Holders of the senior secured notes will not control certain decisions regarding collateral.

The trustee and collateral agent for the holders of the senior secured notes and the administrative agent under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities have entered into the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement. The First Lien Intercreditor Agreement provides, among other things, that the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities will control substantially all matters related to the collateral that secures the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, which collateral also secures the senior secured notes, and the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities may direct the collateral agents to foreclose on or take other actions with respect to such collateral with which holders of the senior secured notes may disagree or that may be contrary to the interests of holders of the senior secured notes. In addition, the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement provides that, to the extent any collateral securing our obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities is released to satisfy such creditor s claims in connection with such a foreclosure, the liens on such collateral securing the senior secured notes will also automatically be released without any further action by the trustee, collateral agents or the holders of the senior secured notes and the holders of the senior secured notes will agree to waive certain of their rights relating to such collateral in connection with a bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding involving us or any guarantor of the senior secured notes. The First Lien Intercreditor Agreement provides that the holders of the senior secured notes may not take any actions to direct foreclosures or take other remedial actions following an event of default under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or the senior secured notes for at least 90 days and longer if the administrative agent under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities takes action to direct foreclosures or other actions following such event of default.

After the discharge of the obligations with respect to the Senior Secured Credit Facilities whether on enforcement or repayment, at which time the parties to the Senior Secured Credit Facilities will no longer have the right to direct the actions of any collateral agent with respect to the collateral pursuant to the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement, that right passes to the authorized representative of holders of the next largest outstanding principal amount of indebtedness secured by a first lien on the collateral.

In addition, subject to certain conditions, the security documents generally allow us and our subsidiaries to remain in possession of, retain exclusive control over, freely operate, and collect, invest and dispose of any income from, the collateral. This may impact the type and quality of the security interest granted in respect of the collateral. In addition, to the extent we sell any assets that constitute collateral, the proceeds from such sale will be subject to a lien securing the senior secured notes only to the extent such proceeds would otherwise constitute collateral securing the senior secured notes under the security documents. To the extent the proceeds from any sale of collateral do not constitute collateral under the security documents, the pool of assets securing the notes would be reduced and the senior secured notes would not be secured by the proceeds of the sale.

The rights of the holders of the 2007 Notes to proceeds from the pledges securing the 2007 Notes rank behind priority pledges over the same collateral.

The obligations under the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Notes are secured by a second-priority security interest in the capital stock of BP I and the receivables under the 2007 Proceeds Loans. The obligations under the indenture governing the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes are secured by a third-priority security interest in such collateral.

These security interests rank behind the first-priority security interest in that collateral in respect of the obligations under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the senior secured notes. In addition, certain other future indebtedness can be secured by security interests in the collateral that secures the obligations under the indentures governing the 2007 Notes. The distribution of any proceeds realized on enforcement of the security interests in the collateral in respect of the 2007 Notes will be made in accordance with the terms, including the subordination provisions, of the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement and the indentures governing the 2007 Notes. It is possible that the amount realized upon enforcement of the security interest in the collateral in respect of the 2007 Notes may not be sufficient to pay all of the indebtedness secured by the security interests in the collateral, and that holders of the 2007 Notes will not recover the full amounts due to them under the 2007 Notes (or any amounts at all).

Under the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement, the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement and the indentures governing the 2007 Notes, the pledges of the collateral can be released in a variety of circumstances, including the release and retaking of security in order to secure other indebtedness with such collateral. Such a release and retake is likely to restart any applicable preference or hardening periods applicable to such security interests under relevant insolvency laws.

There may not be sufficient collateral to satisfy our obligations under all or any of the senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes.

Much of our assets are not and will not be collateral for the senior secured notes, or our other secured indebtedness, and the collateral for the 2007 Notes is even more limited, and no appraisals of the fair market value of any assets that are collateral were prepared in connection with the offerings of the senior secured notes or the 2007 Notes. The assets that will be excluded from the collateral include all assets of foreign subsidiaries of our U.S. subsidiaries and a number of Pactiv s real properties. The value of the collateral at any time will depend on market and other economic conditions, including the availability of suitable buyers for the collateral. The book value of our assets may not be indicative of the fair market value of such assets, which could be substantially lower. In addition, a substantial portion of our assets will not constitute collateral for the senior secured notes, the 2007 Notes (which as noted above are secured only by limited collateral) or our other secured indebtedness. Accordingly, the value of the collateral securing our indebtedness, including the senior secured notes, the 2007 Senior Notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and our other indebtedness that shares in the collateral, could be substantially less than the aggregate principal amount of our secured indebtedness. By their nature, some or all of the pledged assets may be illiquid and may have no readily ascertainable market value or market. The value of the assets pledged as collateral for the senior secured notes or our other secured indebtedness could be impaired in the future as a result of changing economic conditions in the relevant jurisdictions, changing legal regimes, our failure to implement our business strategy, competition and other future trends. In the event of a foreclosure, liquidation, bankruptcy or similar proceeding, the proceeds from any sale or liquidation of the collateral may be insufficient to pay our obligations under the senior secured notes, the 2007 Notes or our other secured indebtedness.

Most of the collateral is subject to the prior or equal claims of other creditors which could diminish any recovery from the collateral. Certain other creditors may have, or in the case of the 2007 Notes, do have, permitted liens which rank prior to the liens of the noteholders in the collateral. In addition, certain other creditors may have permitted liens which rank junior to the liens of the noteholders in the collateral, such as, in the case of the senior secured notes, the collateral securing the 2007 Senior Notes. The indentures governing the notes also permit us to incur additional indebtedness that may share in the collateral on a senior or equal lien priority basis. Any additional obligations secured by a lien on the collateral securing the senior secured notes or the 2007 Notes, whether effectively or actually senior to or equal with the lien in favor of the senior secured notes or the 2007 Notes, will adversely affect the relative position of the holders of such senior secured notes or the 2007 Notes with respect to the collateral securing such notes. In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding against us, the proceeds of the enforcement against the collateral will be used first to pay the secured parties under any indebtedness secured on a senior lien priority basis over the collateral in full before making any payments on the senior secured notes, the 2007 Notes and any other indebtedness with an equal lien on the collateral. Any senior secured notes or 2007 Notes remaining outstanding will be general unsecured claims that are equal in right of payment with our other unsecured unsubordinated or subordinated indebtedness, as relevant. The presence of junior liens may also impair the value recoverable from collateral. As noted above, the guarantees of the 2007 Notes primarily represent unsecured obligations of the guarantors.

The value of the collateral securing the senior secured notes may not be sufficient to secure post-petition interest.

In the event of a bankruptcy, liquidation, dissolution, reorganization or similar proceeding against any issuer, guarantor or security provider located in the United States, holders of the notes will only be entitled to post-petition interest under the U.S. federal bankruptcy code to the extent that the value of their security interest in the collateral is greater than their pre-bankruptcy claim. Holders of the senior secured notes may be deemed to have an unsecured claim to the extent that our obligations in respect of the senior secured notes exceed the fair market value of the collateral securing the senior secured notes. As a result, holders of the senior secured notes that have a security interest in collateral with a value equal to or less than their pre-bankruptcy claim will not be entitled to post-petition interest under the bankruptcy code. In addition, it is possible that the bankruptcy trustee, the debtor-in-possession or competing creditors will assert that the fair market value of the collateral with respect to the senior secured notes on the date of the bankruptcy filing was less than the then-current principal amount of the senior secured notes. Upon a finding by a bankruptcy court that the notes are under-collateralized, the claims in the bankruptcy proceeding with respect to the senior secured notes would be bifurcated between a secured claim and an unsecured claim, and the unsecured claim would not be entitled to the benefits of security in the collateral. Other consequences of a finding of under-collateralization would be, among other things, a lack of entitlement for holders of the senior secured notes to receive post-petition interest and a lack of entitlement for holders of the unsecured portion of the senior secured notes to receive other adequate protection under U.S. federal bankruptcy laws. In addition, if any payments of post-petition interest had been made at the time of such a finding of under-collateralization, those payments could be re-characterized by the bankruptcy court as a reduction of the principal amount of the secured claim with respect to the senior secured notes. No appraisal of the fair market value of the collateral was prepared in connection with the offerings of the senior secured notes and we therefore cannot assure you that the value of the noteholders interest in the collateral equals or exceeds the principal amount of the senior secured notes. See There may not be sufficient collateral to satisfy our obligations under all or any of the senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes. In addition, in certain other jurisdictions, holders of senior secured notes may not be entitled to post-petition interest. See Certain Insolvency and Other Local Law Considerations.

The pledge of the securities of our subsidiaries that secures the senior secured notes, subject to certain exceptions, will automatically be released to the extent and for so long as that pledge would require the filing of separate financial statements with the SEC for that subsidiary. As a result of any such release, the senior secured notes could be secured by less collateral than our other first-lien indebtedness, including the Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

The senior secured notes are secured by a pledge of the stock and other securities of certain of our subsidiaries held by the Issuers or the guarantors of the senior secured notes. Under the SEC regulations in effect as of the issue date of the senior secured notes, if the par value, book value as carried by us or market value, whichever is greatest, of the capital stock, other securities or similar items of a subsidiary pledged as part of the collateral is greater than or equal to 20% of the aggregate principal amount of one of the series of senior secured notes then outstanding, such a subsidiary would be required to provide separate financial statements to the SEC. The indentures governing the senior secured notes provide that any portion of the capital stock and other securities of any of our subsidiaries will be excluded from the collateral to the extent that it exceeds the maximum amount of such capital stock or other security that can be pledged to secure the senior secured notes without causing such subsidiary to be required to file separate financial statements with the SEC pursuant to Rule 3-16 of Regulation S-X or another similar rule, except that, with respect to each series of senior secured notes, such exclusion will not apply to shares of BP I at any time and, with respect to our August 2011 Senior Secured Notes, will not apply to shares of Graham Holdings or any of its subsidiaries (other than the general partner of Graham Packaging Company, L.P. so long as its principal assets consist solely of a 1% interest in Graham Packaging Company, L.P.) at any time Graham Holdings (or its successors and assigns) and Graham Packaging Company, L.P. (or its successors and assigns) are not guarantors of the senior secured notes. As a result, holders of the senior secured notes could lose a portion or all of their security interest in the capital stock or other securities of those subsidiaries during that period. We conduct substantially all of our business through our subsidiaries, many of which have capital stock with a value in excess of 20% of the aggregate principal amount of the senior secured notes. Accordingly, the pledge of stock and securities with respect to each such subsidiary will be limited in value to less than 20% of the aggregate principal amount of the senior secured notes. To the extent that the euro denominated and dollar denominated 2009 Notes are not treated as a single class for purposes of Rule 3-16 of Regulation S-X, the foregoing collateral limits would apply to each class separately, which could lead to different security interests in the stock securing the euro denominated and dollar denominated 2009 Notes. As a result, holders of the senior secured notes could lose a portion or all of their security interest in the capital stock or other securities of those subsidiaries during that period. It may be more difficult, costly and time-consuming for holders of the senior secured notes to foreclose on the assets of a subsidiary than to foreclose on its capital stock or other securities, so the proceeds realized upon any such foreclosure could be significantly less than those that would have been received upon any sale of the capital stock or other securities of such subsidiary. In addition, the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities are not subject to such limitation and may have security interests which are substantially more valuable as a result thereof.

The collateral securing the senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes may be diluted under certain circumstances.

The collateral that secures the senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes, subject to certain limited exceptions, also secures obligations under our Senior Secured Credit Facilities. In addition, this collateral may secure additional senior indebtedness that we or our restricted subsidiaries incur in the future, subject to restrictions on our or their ability to incur debt and liens under the indentures governing the notes and other agreements governing our indebtedness. Your rights would be diluted by any increase in the amount of indebtedness secured by this collateral.

In addition, the collateral securing the 2007 Senior Notes on a second priority basis and the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes on a third priority basis secures the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities on a first priority basis. As set out in the previous paragraph, the indebtedness which benefits from such first ranking security may be increased, effectively diluting the value of that collateral for the 2007 Notes and reducing the possibility that there will be proceeds from the enforcement of the security in respect of such collateral available for the 2007 Notes. The indentures governing the 2007 Notes also permit other indebtedness to share in the second and third ranking security in respect of the collateral, and any such sharing would dilute the rights of the holders of the 2007 Notes with respect to such collateral.

The collateral is subject to casualty risk.

Even if we maintain insurance, there are certain losses that may be either uninsurable or not economically insurable, in whole or part. Insurance proceeds may not compensate us fully for our losses. If there is a complete or partial loss of any collateral, the insurance proceeds may not be sufficient to satisfy all of our obligations, including the senior secured notes, the 2007 Notes and related guarantees.

We may not complete lien searches on the collateral securing the senior secured notes.

As of the date of this prospectus, we may not have completed lien searches on the collateral securing the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes in those jurisdictions where it is possible to conduct such lien searches. Such lien searches could reveal a prior lien or multiple prior liens on the collateral securing the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes and such liens may prevent or inhibit the collateral agents from foreclosing on the liens securing the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes and may impair the value of the collateral securing the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes. We cannot guarantee that the completed lien searches will not reveal any prior liens on the collateral securing the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes or that there are no prior liens in jurisdictions where lien searches are not possible. Any prior lien could be significant, could be prior to the liens securing the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes and could have an adverse effect on the ability of the collateral agents to realize or foreclose upon the collateral securing the August

2011 Senior Secured Notes.

Any security granted over collateral might be avoided by a trustee in bankruptcy.

Any security granted over collateral in favor of any collateral agents, including pursuant to security documents delivered after the date of the indentures governing the senior secured notes, might be avoided by the grantor, as debtor-in-possession, or by its trustee in bankruptcy if certain events or circumstances exist or occur, including, among others, if the grantor is insolvent at the time of granting the security or becomes insolvent as a result of entering into the security or associated documentation, including a guarantee, or a bankruptcy proceeding in respect of the security provider is commenced within a specified number of days following the granting of the security.

In the event that the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement is found to be invalid or unenforceable, the liens in favor of a series of senior secured notes in some foreign jurisdictions will not rank pari passu with the liens in favor of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the liens in favor of the rest of the senior secured notes.

The security documents that create the liens in favor of the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities with respect to certain foreign collateral rely on the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement for establishing the relative priorities of the holders of the senior secured notes and the lenders and other secured parties under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. Because the priority of a series of senior secured notes with respect to such foreign collateral as compared to the other series of senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities depends, in certain instances, on the enforceability of the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement. If the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement is found to be invalid or unenforceable, the liens in favor a series of senior secured notes, in certain jurisdictions, will not rank pari passu with the liens in favor of the rest of the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. In such a situation the claims of the holders of such series of senior secured notes will be effectively subordinated to claims of the holders of the rest of the senior secured notes and lenders and other secured parties under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities to the extent of the value of the assets secured by such liens.

Security interests in respect of the collateral may be adversely affected by the failure to perfect security interests in certain collateral presently owned or acquired in the future.

The security interest in the collateral securing the senior secured notes includes assets now owned or, to the extent permitted by applicable laws, acquired or arising in the future. Applicable law requires that certain property and rights acquired after the grant of a general security interest can only be perfected at the time such property and rights are acquired and identified. There can be no assurance that the trustee or any collateral agent will monitor, or that we will inform the relevant trustee or any collateral agent of, the future acquisition of property and rights that constitute collateral, and that the necessary action will be taken to properly create or perfect the security interest in such after-acquired collateral. Such failure may result in the loss of the security interest therein or the priority of the security interest in favor of the senior secured notes against third parties. In addition, we are not required to take certain perfection steps in respect of particular assets, whether owned now or acquired in the future, in certain jurisdictions for cost or commercial reasons or such perfection steps may only occur at the time of enforcement. For example, although certain of our trade receivables may be assigned by way of security, we are not required, and do not intend, to notify the obligor of such receivables of the existence of such security, which may impair the effectiveness of the security interest.

Certain of the jurisdictions where you will have the benefit of a security interest in collateral securing the senior secured notes or the 2007 Notes do not have public, or other third party, registers where liens, pledges or other forms of security interests may be centrally recorded and if they do have such registers, registration may not be compulsory to protect a secured party s interests or any registration may not be made or, when made, may not be effective to create priority over other security granted prior to the registration being made. As a result, in these jurisdictions the trustee or collateral agent must rely on any representations and warranties

given by us that there are no liens, pledges or applicable other security interests already in place. There can be no assurance that we will accurately inform the relevant trustee or any collateral agent of the status of the collateral securing the senior secured notes or the 2007 Notes and the value of the security interest may be adversely affected thereby.

In addition, in certain jurisdictions security interests created over particular assets can only be perfected by possession of the asset by the secured party. The terms of the security documents may not require possession to be granted to the secured party until enforcement, meaning that the security interest will remain unperfected until possession is granted.

Rights of holders of the senior secured notes may be adversely affected by bankruptcy proceedings in the United States.

The right of the collateral agents to repossess and dispose of the collateral securing the senior secured notes upon acceleration is likely to be significantly impaired by U.S. federal bankruptcy law if bankruptcy proceedings are commenced by or against us prior to or possibly even after any collateral agent has repossessed and disposed of the collateral. Under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, a secured creditor, such as any collateral agent, is prohibited from repossessing its security from a debtor in a bankruptcy case, or from disposing of security repossessed from a debtor, without bankruptcy court approval. Moreover, U.S. bankruptcy law permits the debtor to continue to retain and to use collateral, and the proceeds, products, rents or profits of the collateral, even though the debtor is in default under the applicable debt instruments, provided that the secured creditor is given adequate protection. The meaning of the term adequate protection may vary according to circumstances, but it is intended in general to protect the value of the secured creditor s interest in the collateral and may include cash payments or the granting of additional security, if and at such time as the court in its discretion determines, for any diminution in the value of the collateral as a result of the stay of repossession or disposition or any use of the collateral by the debtor during the pendency of the bankruptcy case. In view of the broad discretionary powers of a bankruptcy court, it is impossible to predict how long payments under the senior secured notes could be delayed following commencement of a bankruptcy case, whether or when any collateral agent would repossess or dispose of the collateral, or whether or to what extent holders of the senior secured notes would be compensated for any delay in payment of loss of value of the collateral through the requirements of adequate protection. Furthermore, in the event the bankruptcy court determines that the value of the collateral is not sufficient to repay all amounts due on the senior secured notes, the holders of the senior secured notes would have undersecured claims as to the difference. U.S. federal bankruptcy laws do not permit the payment or accrual of interest, costs and attorneys fees for undersecured claims during the debtor s bankruptcy case.

Security providers may own assets outside the respective jurisdictions in which they were formed.

The guarantors, security providers and issuers granting security in respect of the senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes may own collateral located outside the respective jurisdictions in which such guarantors, security providers or issuers were formed. Where this is the case, the relevant security documents may not purport to create security interests over such collateral. In circumstances where the security documents purport to create security interests over such collateral, such security interests may not be effective, or the enforcement of such security interests in the jurisdiction in which the collateral is located may not be possible.

The use of collateral agents may diminish the rights that a secured creditor would otherwise have with respect to the collateral.

In most cases, the collateral will be taken in the name of a collateral agent for the benefit of the holders of the relevant notes and the relevant trustee. As a result, any collateral agent may effectively control actions

with respect to collateral which may impair the rights that a noteholder would otherwise have as a secured creditor. Any collateral agent may take actions that a noteholder disagrees with or may fail to take actions that a noteholder wishes to pursue. For example, a collateral agent could decide to credit bid using the value of a noteholder s secured claim even if such noteholder would not individually have done so.

Furthermore, any collateral agent may fail to act in a timely manner which could impair the recovery of noteholders.

In addition, in instances where any collateral agent cannot, or it is impractical for it to, hold a security interest, a gratuitous bailee may hold the security interest for the benefit of the noteholders. The holders will have no rights against any such gratuitous bailee.

The collateral agents may not be able to possess certain collateral on enforcement and may also be prevented from holding security interests in certain collateral.

Applicable laws may restrict the ability of a foreign entity that holds a security interest in particular collateral from taking possession of that collateral on enforcement. In addition, certain jurisdictions restrict the ability of foreign entities to hold the benefit of security interests over certain assets. This may mean that any collateral agent may be unable to benefit from security interests in certain collateral and may also restrict the ability of such collateral agent to transfer collateral into its name on enforcement.

Intercompany movements of collateral may diminish the assets that serve as collateral and the priority of noteholder liens with respect to collateral.

We are generally permitted to freely move assets within the RGHL Group subject to certain restrictions. However, following the consummation of the Graham Packaging Acquisition, Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries operate as a separate credit group within the RGHL Group capital structure and certain intercompany dealings between Graham Holdings or its subsidiaries and the RGHL Group may have to satisfy the Transactions with Affiliates covenant and other covenants of the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes. In addition, not all members of the RGHL Group are guarantors, security providers or issuers or grant security over the same type of assets. If collateral is transferred to an entity that is not an issuer, security provider, or guarantor, the interests of the noteholders will cease to be secured by such assets.

If collateral is moved to another entity that is an issuer, security provider or guarantor, the asset may cease to be collateral or your priority in the asset may be impaired. If a type of collateral is transferred to a guarantor that does not grant security interests, as is the case with respect to guarantors organized in Japan, Costa Rica and Australia, or does not grant security interests with respect to that particular type of asset, then the noteholders will lose the benefit of such collateral. Even if the asset continues as collateral in the hands of the recipient entity, there may be hardening periods or notification requirements before the security interest becomes effective or the security interest might not be as beneficial to noteholders as it was in the possession of the transferring entity.

The senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes are subject to complex intercreditor agreements governing the relationship between numerous creditors with respect to rights to payments and collateral across several jurisdictions, and there is no certainty as to how or if any court would enforce the intercreditor agreements.

The relationship among the holders of the senior secured notes and the 2007 Notes and our other creditors is governed by two intercreditor agreements. The relationship among the holders of the senior secured notes, the lenders and other secured parties under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and creditors

under any other series of future first lien indebtedness is governed by the First Lien Intercreditor Agreement which is governed by New York law. See Description of Certain Other Indebtedness and Intercreditor Agreements First Lien Intercreditor Agreement. The relationship among the holders of the senior secured notes and the lenders and other secured parties under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities on the one hand and the holders of the 2007 Notes on the other hand is subject to the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement, which is governed by English law. See Description of Certain Other Indebtedness and Intercreditor Agreements 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement.

These intercreditor agreements collectively govern the relationship among certain of our creditors which are located in several countries and have disparate interests. In addition, they govern creditor rights with respect to payment obligations from members of the RGHL Group and collateral located in different countries. Due to the complexity of the arrangements, there is no certainty how a court would interpret the interaction among them. The complexity may also increase the time required to resolve any disputes among creditors and may impair or delay any recovery under the notes and guarantees. Also, given that the arrangements govern matters in several countries, there is no certainty to what extent, if at all, any court would enforce the provisions.

The guarantees of the 2007 Notes are subordinated to senior indebtedness of the guarantors.

Although the 2007 Notes benefit from guarantees from certain members of the RGHL Group, those guarantees are expressly subordinated in right of payment to indebtedness of the companies providing those guarantees that is senior to the guarantees of the 2007 Notes, including indebtedness in respect of the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, and in the case of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes, the senior notes. The subordination provisions in respect of the 2007 Notes are set forth in the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement and the indentures governing the 2007 Notes. Generally, the guarantees of the 2007 Senior Notes are senior subordinated guarantees and are subordinated to the senior guarantees of the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. The guarantees of the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes are subordinated guarantees and are subordinated to the senior guarantees of the notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, the senior subordinated guarantees of the 2007 Senior Notes and any other indebtedness that ranks pari passu with such indebtedness. The guarantees of the 2007 Notes are subordinated to other senior indebtedness, and holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness, including holders of indebtedness in respect of the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, have the benefit of subordination provisions under the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement and the indentures governing the 2007 Notes. See The holders of the Senior Notes have fewer rights than the holders of our Designated Senior Indebtedness. The indentures governing the 2007 Notes also permit us to incur certain additional indebtedness, which may be senior indebtedness. If we, or any member of the RGHL Group that is a guarantor, security provider or a material company under the senior secured notes or the Senior Secured Credit Facilities is declared bankrupt or insolvent, or if there is a payment default under, or an acceleration of, senior indebtedness under the senior secured notes or the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, BP I and any other member of the RGHL Group that is a borrower, issuer, security provider or guarantor under the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities will be required to pay the creditors thereunder in full before the issuer of the 2007 Notes may use any of our assets to pay holders of the 2007 Notes. Accordingly, there may not be enough assets to pay holders of the 2007 Notes after paying the holders of such senior indebtedness. In addition, the creditors in respect of the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the holders of other Designated Senior Indebtedness may prevent a guarantor from making payments to the issuer of the 2007 Notes under the loans of the proceeds of the 2007 Notes in the event of a payment default or for a period of up to 179 days in the case of a non-payment event of default under such senior indebtedness.

Furthermore, no enforcement action under the guarantees of the 2007 Notes may be taken unless:

- holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness have first accelerated that indebtedness or taken certain enforcement action;

93

- certain insolvency events in respect of the guarantors are continuing; or
- an event of default under the applicable indenture governing the 2007 Notes has occurred and 179 days have elapsed since notice has been given to the agent under the Designated Senior Indebtedness concerning such event of default.

The guarantees of the 2007 Notes are subject to release in a variety of circumstances on the terms provided for in the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement and the indentures governing the 2007 Notes, including in the event of certain enforcement actions taken by the creditors in respect of the senior secured notes and the Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

The indentures governing the 2007 Notes permit the trustee and the security agent under the indentures governing the 2007 Notes to agree without the consent of the holders of the 2007 Notes to an amendment to the 2007 UK Intercreditor Agreement or a new intercreditor agreement in favor of holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness.

As a result of the subordination provisions described above, in the event of a liquidation, bankruptcy or other insolvency of a guarantor, holders of the 2007 Notes may recover less, ratably, than creditors of the guarantors who are holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness. As a result of the obligation to deliver amounts received in trust to holders of Designated Senior Indebtedness, holders of the 2007 Notes may recover less, ratably, than trade creditors of the guarantors.

There is currently no public market for the notes. We cannot assure you that an active trading market will develop for the notes, in which case your ability to transfer the notes, as applicable, will be limited.

The new notes are new securities for which there presently is no established public market. We cannot give you any assurance as to the development or maintenance of any active trading market for the notes or, if a market does develop for the notes, the liquidity of such market, your ability to sell your notes or the price at which you may be able to sell your notes. Future prices of the notes will depend on many factors, including:

- our operating performance and financial conditions;
- the interest of securities dealers in making a market; and
- the market for similar securities.

In addition, the liquidity of the trading markets for the new notes, and the market prices quoted for the new notes, may be adversely affected by changes in the overall market for high-yield securities and by changes in our financial performance or in the prospects of companies in our industry generally. As a result, you cannot be certain that active trading markets will develop for the notes or, if such markets develop, that they will be maintained.

Historically, the market for non-investment grade debt has been subject to disruptions that have caused substantial volatility in the prices and liquidity of securities similar to the notes. The market, if any, for the new notes may be subject to similar disruptions and any such disruptions may adversely affect the value of the notes.

Table of Contents

Since the outstanding old notes will continue to have restrictions on transfer and cannot be sold without registration under securities laws or exemptions from registration requirements, you may have difficulty selling the old notes that you do not exchange.

If a large number of the old notes are exchanged for the new notes issued in the exchange offer, it may be difficult for holders of outstanding old notes that are not exchanged in the exchange offer to sell their old notes, since those old notes may not be offered or sold unless they are registered or unless there are exemptions from registration requirements under the Securities Act or state laws that apply to them. In addition, if there are only a small number of old notes outstanding, there may not be a very liquid market for those old notes. There may be few investors that will purchase unregistered securities for which there is not a liquid market.

In addition, if you do not tender your outstanding old notes or if we do not accept some outstanding old notes, those old notes will continue to be subject to the existing restrictions on transfer and exchange set forth in the indenture.

You may not receive the new notes in the exchange offer if the exchange offer procedures are not properly followed.

We will issue the new notes in exchange for your old notes only if you properly tender the old notes before expiration of the exchange offer. Neither we nor the exchange agent are under any duty to give notification of defects or irregularities with respect to the tenders of the old notes for exchange. If you are the beneficial holder of old notes that are held through your broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee, and you wish to tender such notes in the exchange offer, then you should promptly contact the person through whom your old notes are held and instruct that person to tender your old notes on your behalf.

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE OF CAUTION REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This prospectus includes forward-looking statements. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our goals, beliefs, plans or current expectations, taking into account the information currently available to our management. Forward-looking statements are not statements of historical fact. For example, when we use words such as believe , anticipate , expect , estimate , intend , should , would , could , may , will or other words tha of future events or outcomes, we are making forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements on our management s current view with respect to future events and financial performance. These views reflect the best judgment of our management but involve a number of risks and uncertainties which could cause actual results to differ materially from those predicted in our forward-looking statements and from past results, performance or achievements. Although we believe that the estimates and the projections reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, such estimates and projections may prove to be incorrect, and our actual results may differ from those described in our forward-looking statements as a result of the following risks, uncertainties and assumptions, among others:

risks related to acquisitions, including completed and future acquisitions, such as the risks that we may be unable to complete an acquisition in the timeframe anticipated, on its original terms, or at all, or that we may not be able to achieve some or all of the benefits that we expect to achieve from such acquisitions, including risks related to integration of our acquired businesses;

risks related to the future costs of energy, raw materials and freight;

risks related to our substantial indebtedness and our ability to service our current and future indebtedness;

risks related to our aluminum hedging activities and other hedging activities which may result in significant losses and in period-to-period earnings volatility;

risks related to our suppliers for raw materials and any interruption in our supply of raw materials;

risks related to downturns in our target markets;

risks related to increases in interest rates which would increase the cost of servicing our debt;

risks related to dependence on the protection of our intellectual property and the development of new products;

risks related to exchange rate fluctuations;

risks related to the consolidation of our customer bases, competition and pricing pressure;

risks related to the impact of a loss of one of our key manufacturing facilities;

risks related to our exposure to environmental liabilities and potential changes in legislation or regulation;

risks related to complying with environmental, health and safety laws or as a result of satisfying any liability or obligation imposed under such laws;

risks related to changes in consumer lifestyle, eating habits, nutritional preferences and health-related and environmental concerns that may harm our business and financial performance;

risks related to restrictive covenants in the notes and our other indebtedness which could adversely affect our business by limiting our operating and strategic flexibility;

risks related to operating Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries as a separate credit group within the RGHL Group capital structure;

- risks related to our dependence on key management and other highly skilled personnel; and

- risks related to other factors discussed or referred to in this prospectus, including in the section titled Risk Factors.

The risks described above and the risks disclosed in or referred to in the Risk Factors section in this prospectus are not exhaustive. Other sections of this prospectus describe additional factors that could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations. Moreover, we operate in a very competitive and rapidly changing environment. New risk factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for us to predict all such risk factors, nor can we assess the impact of all such risk factors on our business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Given these risks and uncertainties, you are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date hereof. Except as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. All subsequent written and oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or to persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements referred to above and included elsewhere in this prospectus.

THE EXCHANGE OFFER

The following contains a summary of the material provisions of the exchange offer being made pursuant to the registration rights agreements with respect to each series of the old notes, each among the issuers, certain guarantors and the initial purchasers of the old notes, which we collectively refer to as the registration rights agreements. Reference is made to the provisions of the registration rights agreements, which have been filed as exhibits to the registration statement. Copies are available as set forth under the heading Where You Can Find More Information.

The terms of the new notes are identical in all material respects to the terms of the old notes, except that the new notes are registered under the Securities Act and will not be subject to restrictions on transfer or provisions relating to additional interest, will bear a different CUSIP or ISIN number from the old notes, will not entitle their holders to registration rights and will be subject to terms relating to book-entry procedures and administrative terms relating to transfers that differ from those of the old notes.

Purpose of the Exchange Offer

We sold the old notes to initial purchasers who subsequently sold the old notes to qualified institutional buyers under Rule 144A of the Securities Act and to certain sophisticated investors in offshore transactions in reliance on Regulation S of the Securities Act. The exchange offer will give holders of old notes and related guarantees the opportunity to exchange the old notes for new notes and related guarantees that have been registered under the Securities Act. The new notes will be substantially similar in all material respects to the old notes.

Under the registration rights agreements, we have agreed to use our commercially reasonable efforts to cause the registration statement, of which this prospectus is a part, to become effective under the Securities Act within 365 days of the date of original issue of the old notes. We have also agreed to use our commercially reasonable efforts to keep the exchange offer open for the period required by applicable law, including pursuant to any applicable interpretation by the staff of the SEC, but in any event for at least 20 business days.

We did not file the exchange offer registration statement for the 2009 Notes by November 5, 2010, for the May 2010 Notes by May 4, 2011 or for the October 2010 Notes by October 15, 2011. Consequently, we were required to pay additional interest on the 2009 Notes from November 5, 2010 until November 5, 2011 and have been required to pay additional interest on the May 2010 Notes beginning on May 4, 2011 and on the October 2010 Notes beginning on October 15, 2011, pursuant to the applicable registration rights agreements.

We paid $10.2 million of additional interest on the 2009 Notes from November 5, 2010 until November 5, 2011. We paid $2.1 million of additional interest on the May 2010 Notes as of November 15, 2011, which was the most recent interest payment date on the May 2010 Notes, and will continue to accrue additional interest until the earlier of the effectiveness of the registration statement or May 4, 2012. We did not pay any additional interest on the October 2010 Notes as of October 15, 2011, which was the most recent interest payment date on the October 2010 Notes, but will accrue additional interest from October 15, 2011 until the earlier of the effectiveness of the registration statement or October 15, 2012.

Terms of the Exchange Offer

Upon the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this prospectus and in the letter of transmittal, all old notes validly tendered and not withdrawn prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date will be accepted for exchange. We will issue new notes in exchange for an equal principal amount of outstanding old notes accepted in the exchange offer. Old dollar denominated notes may be tendered only in denominations of $100,000 and in integral multiples of $1,000 in excess thereof and old euro denominated notes in minimum denominations of 50,000 and in integral multiples of 1,000 in excess thereof. This prospectus, together with the letter of transmittal, is being sent to all registered holders as of , 2012. The exchange offer is not conditioned upon any minimum principal amount of old notes being tendered for exchange. However, our obligation to accept old notes for exchange pursuant to the exchange offer is subject to certain customary conditions as set forth below under Conditions.

Old notes shall be deemed to have been accepted as validly tendered when, as and if we have given oral or written notice of such acceptance to the exchange agent. The exchange agent will act as agent for the tendering holders of old notes for the purposes of receiving the new notes and delivering new notes to such holders.

Based on interpretations by the staff of the SEC as set forth in no-action letters issued to third parties (including Exxon Capital Holdings Corporation (available May 13, 1988), Morgan Stanley & Co. Incorporated (available June 5, 1991), K-111 Communications Corporation (available May 14, 1993) and Shearman & Sterling (available July 2, 1993)), we believe that the new notes issued pursuant to the exchange offer may be offered for resale, resold and otherwise transferred by any holder of such new notes, other than any such holder that is a broker-dealer or an affiliate of us within the meaning of Rule 405 under the Securities Act, without compliance with the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act, provided that:

- such new notes are acquired in the ordinary course of business;
- at the time of the commencement of the exchange offer such holder has no arrangement or understanding with any person to participate in a distribution of such new notes; and
- such holder is not engaged in and does not intend to engage in a distribution of such new notes.

We have not sought, and do not intend to seek, a no-action letter from the SEC, with respect to the effects of the exchange offer, and there can be no assurance that the staff of the SEC would make a similar determination with respect to the new notes as it has in previous no-action letters.

By tendering old notes in exchange for relevant new notes (including, in the case of holders who hold notes through Euroclear or Clearstream, by not affirmatively objecting to the tendering of such notes on your behalf), you will represent to us that:

- any new notes to be received by you will be acquired in the ordinary course of business;
- you have no arrangements or understandings with any person to participate in the distribution of the old notes or new notes within the meaning of the Securities Act;
- you are not engaged in and do not intend to engage in a distribution of the new notes; and
- you are not our affiliate, as defined in Rule 405 under the Securities Act.

Each broker-dealer that receives new notes for its own account in exchange for old notes, where such old notes were acquired by such broker-dealer as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities, must acknowledge that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of such new notes. See Plan of Distribution. If you are not a broker-dealer, you will be required to represent that you are not engaged in and do not intend to engage in the distribution of the new notes. Whether or not you are a broker-dealer, you must also represent that you are not acting on behalf of any person that could not truthfully make any of the foregoing representations contained in this paragraph. If you are unable to make the foregoing representations, you may not rely on the applicable interpretations of the staff of the SEC and must comply with the registration and prospectus delivery requirements of the Securities Act in connection with any secondary resale transaction unless such sale is made pursuant to an exemption from such requirements.

The letter of transmittal states that by so acknowledging and by delivering a prospectus, a broker-dealer will not be deemed to admit that it is an underwriter within the meaning of the Securities Act. This prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, may be used by a broker-dealer in connection with resales of new notes received in exchange for old notes where such old notes were acquired by such broker-dealer as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities. The Issuers have agreed that, for a period of (i) in the case of an exchange dealer or initial purchaser, 180 days after the expiration date and (ii) in the case of any broker-dealer, 90 days after the expiration date, it will make this prospectus available to any such exchange dealer, initial purchaser or broker-dealer for use in connection with any such resale. See Plan of Distribution.

Upon consummation of the exchange offer, any old notes not tendered will remain outstanding and continue to accrue interest, but, with limited exceptions, holders of old notes who do not exchange their old notes for new notes pursuant to the exchange offer will no longer be entitled to registration rights and will not be able to offer or sell their old notes unless such old notes are subsequently registered under the Securities Act, except pursuant to an exemption from or in a transaction not subject to the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws. With limited exceptions, we will have no obligation to effect a subsequent registration of the old notes.

Expiration Date; Extensions; Amendments; Termination

The expiration date for the exchange offer shall be 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on , 2012, unless we, in our sole discretion, extend the exchange offer, in which case the expiration date for the exchange offer shall be the latest date to which the exchange offer is extended.

To extend an expiration date, we will notify the exchange agent of any extension by oral or written notice and will notify the holders of the relevant old notes by means of a press release or other public announcement prior to 9:00 a.m., New York City time, on the next business day after the previously scheduled expiration date for the exchange offer. Such notice to noteholders will disclose the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding notes that have been tendered as of the date of such notice and may state that we are extending the exchange offer for a specified period of time.

In relation to the exchange offer, we reserve the right to:

(1) delay acceptance of any old notes due to an extension of the exchange offer, to extend the exchange offer or to terminate the exchange offer and not permit acceptance of old notes not previously accepted if any of the conditions set forth under Conditions shall have occurred and shall not have been waived by us prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date, by giving oral or written notice of such delay, extension or termination to the exchange agent; or

(2) amend the terms of the exchange offer in any manner deemed by us to be advantageous to the holders of the old notes.

Any such delay in acceptance, extension, termination or amendment will be followed as promptly as practicable by oral or written notice of such delay, extension, termination or amendment to the exchange agent. If we amend the exchange offer in a manner that we determine to constitute a material change, including the waiver of a material condition, we will promptly disclose the amendment in a manner reasonably calculated to inform the holders of outstanding notes of that amendment and we will extend the exchange offer if necessary so that at least five business days remain in the offer following notice of the material change.

Without limiting the manner in which we may choose to make public an announcement of any delay, extension or termination of the exchange offer, we shall have no obligations to publish, advertise or otherwise communicate any such public announcement, other than by making a timely release to an appropriate news agency.

Interest on the New Notes

The new notes will accrue interest from the last interest payment date on which interest was paid on the corresponding old note surrendered in exchange for such new note to the day before the consummation of the exchange offer or, if no interest has been paid on the old notes, from the date of original issuance of the old notes, and thereafter, provided that if an old note is surrendered for exchange on or after a record date for an interest payment that will occur on or after the date of such exchange and as to which interest will be paid, interest on the new note received in exchange for such old note will accrue from the date of such interest payment date. No additional interest will be paid on old notes tendered and accepted for exchange except as provided in the applicable registration rights agreement.

Procedures for Tendering

All of the old notes were issued in book-entry form, and all of the old notes are currently represented by one or more global certificates held for the account of a nominee of The Depository Trust Company, DTC, in the case of the old dollar denominated notes, and a common depositary for Euroclear Bank S.A./N.V., Euroclear, or Clearstream Banking S.A., Clearstream, in the case of the old euro denominated notes. If you desire to tender old notes, you may tender such old notes to the exchange agent by (i) transmitting an agent s message to the exchange agent through the facilities of DTC, Euroclear or Clearstream, as applicable or (ii) submitting a signed letter of transmittal, if an agent s message is not delivered and the tenders of old notes are to be made by book-entry transfer to the account of the exchange agent at DTC, together with a confirmation of book-entry transfer of the old notes and any other required documents.

Any beneficial owner whose old notes are held of record by a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee and who wishes to take action with respect to the old notes should contact such nominee promptly and instruct such entity to tender old notes on such beneficial owner s behalf.

The term agent s message means a message, transmitted by DTC, Euroclear or Clearstream and received by the exchange agent and forming part of a book-entry confirmation, which states that the book-entry transfer facility has received an express acknowledgement from a participant tendering old notes that are the subject of such book-entry confirmation that such participant has received and agrees to be bound by the terms of the letter of transmittal, and that we may enforce such agreement against such participant.

How to Tender if You Are a Euroclear or Clearstream Participant

Pursuant to their internal guidelines, Euroclear and Clearstream will automatically exchange old euro notes for new euro notes on behalf of the holders of the old euro notes. **If you do not wish to participate in the exchange offer, the registered holder of old euro notes on the records of Euroclear or Clearstream must electronically instruct Euroclear or Clearstream, as the case may be, to Take No Action ;** otherwise such old euro notes will be tendered in the exchange offer, and you will be deemed to have agreed to be bound by the terms of the letter of transmittal. The exchange for old euro notes so tendered will only be made after a timely confirmation of a book-entry transfer of old euro notes into the exchange agent s account, and timely receipt by the exchange agent of an agent s message.

Holders that cannot make the representations contained in the letter of transmittal must electronically instruct Euroclear or Clearstream, as the case may be, to Take No Action.

How to Tender if You Are a DTC Participant

To tender in the exchange offer, you must:

complete, sign and date the letter of transmittal, or a facsimile of such letter of transmittal, have the signatures on such letter of transmittal guaranteed if required by such letter of transmittal, and mail or otherwise deliver such letter of transmittal or such facsimile, together with any other required documents, to the exchange agent prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date; or

comply with the ATOP procedures of DTC described below.

In addition, either:

certificates of old notes must be received by the exchange agent along with the applicable letter of transmittal; or

a timely confirmation of a book-entry transfer of old notes, if such procedures are available, into the exchange agent s account at DTC, pursuant to the procedure for book-entry transfer described below, must be received by the exchange agent prior to the expiration date with the letter of transmittal.

There is no procedure for guaranteed delivery of old notes.

Book-Entry Transfer

Promptly after the date of this prospectus, the exchange agent for the notes will make a request to establish an account with respect to the old notes at DTC as book-entry transfer facility for tenders of the old notes. Any financial institution that is a participant in DTC s systems may make book-entry delivery of the old notes by causing DTC to transfer such old notes into the exchange agent s account for such notes at DTC in accordance with DTC procedures for transfer. In addition, although delivery of old notes may be effected through book-entry transfer at DTC, the letter of transmittal or facsimile thereof with any required signature guarantees, or an agent s message, and any other required documents, must, in any case, be transmitted to and received by the exchange agent at one of the addresses set forth below under Exchange Agent prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the applicable expiration date.

DTC s Automated Tender Offer Program

The exchange agent and DTC have confirmed that any financial institution that is a participant in the book-entry transfer facility may utilize DTC s ATOP to tender old notes.

Any participant in DTC may make book-entry delivery of old notes by causing DTC to transfer such old notes into the exchange agent s account for the relevant notes in accordance with the book-entry transfer facility s ATOP procedures for transfer. However, the exchange for the old notes so tendered will only be made after a book-entry confirmation of the book-entry transfer of such old notes into the exchange agent s account for the relevant notes, and timely receipt by the exchange agent of an agent s message and any other documents required by the letter of transmittal.

Signature Guarantees

Signatures on a letter of transmittal or a notice of withdrawal, as the case may be, must be guaranteed by any member firm of a registered national securities exchange or of the National Association of Securities Dealers, Inc., a commercial bank or trust company having an office or correspondent in the United States or an eligible guarantor institution within the meaning of Rule 17Ad-15 under the Exchange Act (each an Eligible Institution) unless the old notes tendered pursuant to such letter of transmittal or notice of withdrawal, as the case may be, are tendered (1) by a registered holder of old notes who has not completed the box entitled Special Issuance Instructions or Special Delivery Instructions on the letter of transmittal or (2) for the account of an Eligible Institution.

If a letter of transmittal is signed by trustees, executors, administrators, guardians, attorneys-in-fact, officers of corporations or others acting in a fiduciary or representative capacity, such persons should so indicate when signing, and unless waived by us, submit with such letter of transmittal evidence satisfactory to us of their authority to so act.

Determination of Validity

We will only issue new notes in exchange for old notes that are timely and properly tendered. The method of delivery of old notes, letter of transmittal and all other required documents is at your election and risk. Rather than mail these items, we recommend that you use an overnight or hand-delivery service. If such delivery is by mail, it is recommended that registered mail, properly insured, with return receipt requested, be used. In all cases, sufficient time should be allowed to assure timely delivery and you should carefully follow the instructions on how to tender the old notes. No old notes, letters of transmittal or other required documents should be sent to us. Delivery of all old notes, if applicable, letters of transmittal and other documents must be made to the exchange agent at its address set forth below under Exchange Agent. You may also request your respective brokers, dealers, commercial banks, trust companies or nominees to effect such tender on your behalf. Neither we nor the exchange agent are required to tell you of any defects or irregularities with respect to your old notes or the tenders thereof.

Table of Contents

Your tender of old notes will constitute an agreement between you and us in accordance with the terms and subject to the conditions set forth in this prospectus and in the letter of transmittal. Any beneficial owner whose old notes are registered in the name of a broker, dealer, commercial bank, trust company or other nominee and who wishes to tender should contact such registered holder promptly and instruct such registered holder to tender on his behalf.

All questions as to the validity, form, eligibility, time of receipt and withdrawal of the tendered old notes will be determined by us in our sole discretion, such determination being final and binding on all parties. We reserve the absolute right to reject any and all old notes not properly tendered or any old notes which, if accepted, would, in the opinion of counsel for us, be unlawful. We also reserve the absolute right to waive any irregularities or defects with respect to tender as to particular old notes. Our interpretation of the terms and conditions of the exchange offer, including the instructions in the letter of transmittal, will be final and binding on all parties. Unless waived, any defects or irregularities in connection with tenders of old notes must be cured within such time as we shall determine. Neither we, the exchange agent nor any other person shall be under any duty to give notification of defects or irregularities with respect to tenders of old notes, nor shall any of them incur any liability for failure to give such notification. Tenders of old notes will not be deemed to have been made until such irregularities have been cured or waived. Any old notes received by the exchange agent that are not properly tendered and as to which the defects or irregularities have not been cured or waived will be returned without cost to such holder by the exchange agent, unless otherwise provided in the letter of transmittal, promptly following the expiration date.

Other Transactions Relating to the Old Notes

In addition, we reserve the right in our sole discretion, subject to the provisions of the applicable indenture pursuant to which the notes are issued:

- to purchase or make offers for any old notes that remain outstanding subsequent to the expiration date or, as set forth under Conditions, to terminate the exchange offer;

- to redeem the old notes as a whole or in part at any time and from time to time, as set forth under Description of the 2009 Notes Optional Redemption, Description of the May 2010 Notes Optional Redemption, Description of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption, Description of the October 2010 Senior Notes Optional Redemption, Description of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption, Description of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes Optional Redemption, Description of the February 2011 Senior Notes Optional Redemption and Description of the August 2011 Senior Notes Optional Redemption; and

- to the extent permitted under applicable law, purchase the old notes in the open market, in privately negotiated transactions or otherwise.

The terms of any such purchases or offers could differ from the terms of the exchange offer.

Broker-Dealers

Each broker-dealer that receives new notes for its own account in exchange for old notes must acknowledge that it will deliver a prospectus in connection with any resale of such new notes. This prospectus, as it may be amended or supplemented from time to time, may be used by a broker-dealer in connection with resales of new notes received in exchange for old notes which the broker-dealer acquired as a result of market-making activities or other trading activities. See Plan of Distribution.

Acceptance of Old Notes for Exchange; Delivery of New Notes

Upon satisfaction or waiver of all of the conditions to the exchange offer all old notes properly tendered will be accepted promptly after the expiration date, and the new notes will be issued promptly after the expiration date. See Conditions. For purposes of the exchange offer, old notes shall be deemed to have been accepted as validly tendered for exchange when, as and if we have given oral or written notice thereof to

103

the exchange agent. For each old note accepted for exchange, the holder of such note will receive a new note having a principal amount equal to that of the surrendered old note.

In all cases, issuance of new notes for old notes that are accepted for exchange pursuant to the exchange offer will be made only after timely receipt by the exchange agent of:

- certificates for such old notes or a timely book-entry confirmation of such old notes into the exchange agent s account at the book-entry transfer facility;
- a properly completed and duly executed letter of transmittal; and
- all other required documents.

If any tendered old notes are not accepted for any reason set forth in the terms and conditions of the exchange offer, such unaccepted or such non-exchanged old notes will be returned without expense to the tendering holder of such notes, if in certificated form, or credited to an account maintained with such book-entry transfer facility promptly after the expiration or termination of the exchange offer.

Withdrawal of Tenders

Tenders of old notes may be withdrawn at any time prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.

For a withdrawal to be effective, a written notice of withdrawal must be received by the exchange agent prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date at the address set forth below under Exchange Agent. Any such notice of withdrawal must:

- specify the name of the person having tendered the old notes to be withdrawn;
- identify the old notes to be withdrawn, including the principal amount of such old notes;
- in the case of old notes tendered by book-entry transfer, specify the number of the account at the book-entry transfer facility from which the old notes were tendered and specify the name and number of the account at the book-entry transfer facility to be credited with the withdrawn old notes and otherwise comply with the procedures of such facility;
- contain a statement that such holder is withdrawing its election to have such old notes exchanged;
- be signed by the holder in the same manner as the original signature on the letter of transmittal by which such old notes were tendered, including any required signature guarantees, or be accompanied by documents of transfer to have the trustee with respect to the old notes register the transfer of such old notes in the name of the person withdrawing the tender; and
- specify the name in which such old notes are registered, if different from the person who tendered such old notes.

All questions as to the validity, form, eligibility and time of receipt of such notice will be determined by us, in our sole discretion, such determination being final and binding on all parties. Any old notes so withdrawn will be deemed not to have been validly tendered for exchange for purposes of the exchange offer. Any old notes which have been tendered for exchange but which are not exchanged for any reason will be returned to the tendering holder of such notes without cost to such holder, in the case of physically tendered old notes, or credited to an account maintained with the book-entry transfer facility for the old notes promptly after withdrawal, rejection of tender or termination of the exchange offer. Properly withdrawn old notes may be retendered by following one of the procedures described under Procedures for Tendering above at any time on or prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.

Conditions

Notwithstanding any other provision in the exchange offer, we shall not be required to accept for exchange, or to issue new notes in exchange for, any old notes and may terminate or amend the exchange offer if at any time prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date, we determine in our reasonable judgment that the exchange offer violates applicable law, any applicable interpretation of the staff of the SEC or any order of any governmental agency or court of competent jurisdiction.

The foregoing conditions are for our sole benefit and may be asserted by us regardless of the circumstances giving rise to any such condition or may be waived by us in whole or in part at any time and from time to time, prior to the expiration date, in our reasonable discretion. Our failure at any time to exercise any of the foregoing rights prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date shall not be deemed a waiver of any such right and each such right shall be deemed an ongoing right which may be asserted at any time and from time to time prior to 5:00 p.m., New York City time, on the expiration date.

In addition, we will not accept for exchange any old notes tendered, and no new notes will be issued in exchange for any such old notes, if at any such time any stop order shall be threatened or in effect with respect to the registration statement of which this prospectus constitutes a part or the qualification of the indenture governing the notes under the Trust Indenture Act. Pursuant to the registration rights agreement, we are required to use our commercially reasonable efforts to obtain the withdrawal of any order suspending the effectiveness of the registration statement at the earliest possible time.

Exchange Agent

The Bank of New York Mellon has been appointed as exchange agent for the exchange offers for the notes. The Bank of New York Mellon also acts as trustee under the indentures governing the old notes, which are the same indentures that will govern the new notes. Questions and requests for assistance and requests for additional copies of this prospectus or of letters of transmittal should be directed to the exchange agent addressed as follows:

Deliver To:

	By registered or certified mail, hand delivery or overnight courier:	*By facsimile: (Eligible Institutions Only)*	*To confirm by telephone or for information call:*
For Dollar Denominated Notes:	The Bank of New York Mellon Corporate Trust Reorganization Unit 101 Barclay Street, Floor 7E New York, NY 10286	+1 212 298 1915 Attention: Mrs. Carolle Montreuil	+1 212 815 5920
For Euro Denominated Notes:	The Bank of New York Mellon One Canada Square 40th Floor London E145AL United Kingdom	+44 207 964 2536 Attn: Event Administration	+44 207 964 4958

Fees and Expenses

The expenses of soliciting tenders pursuant to the exchange offer will be borne by us. The principal solicitation for tenders pursuant to the exchange offer is being made by mail; however, additional solicitations may be made by telegraph, telephone, telecopy or in person by our officers and regular employees.

We will not make any payments to or extend any commissions or concessions to any broker or dealer. We will, however, pay the exchange agent reasonable and customary fees for its services and will reimburse the exchange agent for its reasonable out-of-pocket expenses in connection therewith. We may also pay brokerage houses and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries the reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by them in forwarding copies of the prospectus and related documents to the beneficial owners of the old notes and in handling or forwarding tenders for exchange.

The expenses to be incurred by us in connection with the exchange offer will be paid by us, including fees and expenses of the exchange agent and trustee and accounting, legal, printing and related fees and expenses.

We will pay all transfer taxes, if any, applicable to the exchange of old notes pursuant to the exchange offer. If, however, new notes or old notes for principal amounts not tendered or accepted for exchange are to be registered or issued in the name of any person other than the registered holder of the old notes tendered, or if tendered old notes are registered in the name of any person other than the person signing the letter of transmittal, or if a transfer tax is imposed for any reason other than the exchange of old notes pursuant to the exchange offer, then the amount of any such transfer taxes imposed on the registered holder or any other person will be payable by the tendering holder. If satisfactory evidence of payment of such taxes or exemption therefrom is not submitted with the letter of transmittal, the amount of such transfer taxes will be billed directly to such tendering holder.

Accounting Treatment

The new notes will be recorded as carrying the same value as the old notes, which is face value, as reflected in our accounting records on the date of the exchange. Accordingly, we will not recognize any gain or loss for accounting purposes as a result of the exchange offer. The expenses of the exchange offer will be expensed.

Consequences of Failure to Exchange

Holders of old notes who do not exchange their old notes for new notes pursuant to the exchange offer will continue to be subject to the restrictions on transfer of such old notes as set forth in the legend on such old notes as a consequence of the old notes having been issued pursuant to exemptions from, or in transactions not subject to, the registration requirements of the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws. In general, the old notes may only be offered or sold pursuant to an exemption from the registration requirements of the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws or in a transaction not subject to the Securities Act and applicable state securities laws. We do not currently anticipate that we will register the old notes under the Securities Act. To the extent that old notes are tendered and accepted pursuant to the exchange offer, there may be little or no trading market for untendered and tendered but unaccepted old notes. The restrictions on transfer will make the old notes less attractive to potential investors than the new notes.

THE TRANSACTIONS

The Graham Packaging Transaction

Graham Packaging Acquisition

On September 8, 2011, a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of RGHL merged with and into Graham Company, with Graham Company surviving the merger as an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of RGHL. We refer to this acquisition as the Graham Packaging Acquisition. Graham Company s stockholders received $25.50 in cash for each share of Graham Company common stock, for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $4.5 billion.

We financed the Graham Packaging Acquisition with (i) the $1,500.0 million principal amount of August 2011 Senior Secured Notes, (ii) $500.0 million principal amount of the August 2011 Senior Notes, (iii) the $2,000.0 million principal amount of the incremental term loans under new incremental senior secured credit facilities, or the New Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities, and (iv) available cash. We used the proceeds from the issuance of the additional $500.0 million principal amount of August 2011 Senior Notes to repurchase the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes that tendered in connection with the change of control offers for such notes. See Change of Control Offer.

We refer to the financing arrangements related to the Graham Packaging Acquisition as the Graham Packaging Acquisition Financing Arrangements.

Graham Packaging Tender Offers and Consent Solicitations

The issuers of the Graham Packaging Notes, Graham Packaging Company, L.P. and GPC Capital Corp. I, commenced tender offers for any and all of the outstanding Graham Packaging Notes and also solicited the consents of holders of each series of the Graham Packaging Notes to make certain amendments to the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes. We refer to these tenders offers and consent solicitations as the Graham Packaging Tender Offers.

The Graham Packaging Tender Offers collectively offered holders of Graham Packaging Notes an opportunity to receive consideration that represented a premium to the consideration that they would have received if they were to require the issuers of the Graham Packaging Notes to purchase such notes in a change of control offer resulting from the Graham Packaging Acquisition, assuming a 30 day notice period following the change of control, and to provide RGHL and its affiliates with Permitted Holder status under the indentures governing the Graham Packaging Notes that is substantially similar to the status that they would have if a change of control offer were consummated.

On July 19, 2011, Graham Packaging announced that it had received the requisite consents from holders of the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes to adopt the proposed amendments that were the subject of the related Graham Packaging Tender Offer. On August 25, 2011, the issuers of the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes purchased $20.5 million aggregate principal amount of Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes that were tendered. Accordingly, the indenture governing the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes no longer requires the issuers of such notes to make a change of control offer with respect to the consummation of the Graham Packaging Acquisition.

Graham Packaging did not receive the requisite consents from holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes or the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes with respect to the proposed amendments. On August 4, 2011 the Graham Packaging Tender Offers related to the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes expired.

We have received several correspondence from counsel purporting to represent a group of holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes. These correspondence threaten that a number of holders of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes are prepared to file a lawsuit alleging, among other things, that entry into the senior secured intercompany note and our decision not to redeem the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes in connection with the Graham Packaging Transaction breaches the terms of the indentures governing such notes. Among other things, we understand that the Graham noteholders may allege that the terms of the senior secured intercompany note violate the restricted payments covenant and the transactions with affiliates covenant of the indentures governing the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes. We understand that the Graham noteholders may also claim that the Graham Packaging Acquisition constitutes a de facto optional redemption of the applicable indentures and that the failure to offer, tender or pay to the Graham noteholders the related make-whole premium required for an optional redemption is therefore a violation of the optional redemption provision of the indentures governing the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes. We believe that these claims have no merit and intend to contest them vigorously if pursued.

Senior Secured Intercompany Loan Agreement

In connection with the Graham Packaging Acquisition, RGHL, through one of its subsidiaries, loaned $2,078.0 million to certain subsidiaries of Graham Holdings pursuant to an intercompany loan agreement evidenced by a senior secured intercompany note. The proceeds of the loan made on the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition were used to repay Graham Packaging s senior secured credit facilities, to pay related fees and expenses and to pay transaction costs associated with the Graham Packaging Transaction. In the future, additional intercompany loans may be advanced pursuant to such intercompany loan agreement to be used to repay certain indebtedness of Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries, including repayment of the Graham Packaging Notes at maturity. The loan made on the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition and certain additional intercompany loans which the lender has committed to advance, including those funded to repay the Graham Packaging Notes at maturity and to repay principal on the initial loan made on the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition, bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR (subject to a LIBOR floor of 1.50%), plus 4.50% per annum. Other loans requested after the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition, but not committed to be advanced, are expected to bear interest at a rate equal to LIBOR (subject to a LIBOR floor) plus a margin to be agreed at the time of any such advance. All of the loans committed to be made pursuant to the intercompany loan agreement, including the loan made on the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition, will mature on or about October 15, 2018. The principal of the loan made on the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition is subject to quarterly amortization at a rate equal to 7.5% per annum, which increases to 10% per annum commencing in January 2013. Such amortization payments are due quarterly and may be funded through committed additional loans under the intercompany loan agreement. The intercompany loan agreement contains a cash flow sweep covenant under which the borrowers are required to make periodic cash sweep payments to repay the principal balance of the loans, based on 50% of excess cash flow. We received a fairness opinion from an independent financial advisor with respect to the terms of the intercompany loan agreement and transactions contemplated thereby, including the loans committed to be made pursuant thereto on or after the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition.

The guarantors of Graham Packaging s former senior secured credit facilities guarantee the senior secured intercompany note. Reynolds Group Holdings Inc., an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of RGHL and the lender under the intercompany loan agreement, has a first priority perfected security interest in certain assets of Graham Holdings and its subsidiaries, and the senior secured intercompany note evidencing the intercompany loan was pledged for the benefit of the holders of the senior secured notes and the lenders under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

The Senior Subordinated Note

Certain subsidiaries of Graham Holdings issued a $20.5 million subordinated note to Reynolds Group Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of RGHL, on August 24, 2011. The terms of the subordinated note, including the date of maturity and the interest rate, are substantially the same as the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes. The proceeds of the subordinated note were used to purchase Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes tendered in connection with the Graham Packaging Tender Offers.

Table of Contents

Change of Control Offer

On September 16, 2011, Graham Packaging commenced a change of control offer with respect to the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and Graham Packaging 2018 Notes to repurchase for cash at a purchase price equal to 101% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of purchase, as required by the applicable indentures. Holders of $239.8 million aggregate principal amount of Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and $230.6 million aggregate principal amount of Graham Packaging 2018 Notes tendered their notes in the change of control offer prior to its expiration on October 17, 2011, and the tendered notes were purchased on October 20, 2011. We refer to this change of control offer as the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer.

We refer to the Graham Packaging Acquisition, the Graham Packaging Acquisition Financing Arrangements and the other related transactions, including the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer, as the Graham Packaging Transaction.

The Dopaco Acquisition

On May 2, 2011, we acquired Dopaco from Cascades Inc. The consideration for the acquisition was $395.2 million in cash. The purchase price was paid from existing cash of the RGHL Group. We refer to this acquisition as the Dopaco Acquisition. We are in the process of combining Dopaco with our Pactiv Foodservice segment.

The Refinancing Transactions

On February 1, 2011, the Issuers issued $1,000.0 million principal amount of February 2011 Senior Secured Notes and $1,000.0 million principal amount of February 2011 Senior Notes. Proceeds from the offering of the February 2011 Notes were used to fully repay the Original Tranche D Term Loans, and the remaining proceeds have been or will be used for general corporate purposes.

On February 9, 2011, we entered into an amended and restated credit agreement and borrowed $2,325.0 million in U.S. term loans and 250.0 million in European term loans. The proceeds from the term loans under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities were applied to refinance all term loans outstanding under the original senior secured credit facilities which consisted of (i) $1,035.0 million of U.S. term loans, or the Original U.S. Term Loans, which were borrowed on November 5, 2009; (ii) $800.0 million of U.S. Tranche C term loans, or the Original Tranche C Term Loans, which were borrowed on May 4, 2010; (iii) $500.0 million of U.S. Tranche A term loans, or the Original Tranche A Term Loans, and $1,520.0 million of U.S. Tranche D term loans, or the Original Tranche D Term Loans, which were borrowed on November 16, 2010; (iv) 250.0 million of European term loans, or the Original European Term Loans, which were borrowed on November 5, 2009; (v) a U.S. revolving credit facility of $120.0 million; and (vi) a European revolving credit facility of 80.0 million. This refinancing resulted in reducing the interest rates and extending the repayment terms and maturity date of our term loans.

We refer to these refinancing transactions as the Refinancing Transactions.

The Pactiv Transaction

On November 16, 2010, a wholly-owned indirect subsidiary of RGHL merged with and into Pactiv, with Pactiv surviving the merger as an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of RGHL. We refer to this merger as the Pactiv Acquisition. Pactiv s stockholders received $33.25 in cash for each share of Pactiv common stock, for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $5.8 billion.

In connection with the Pactiv Acquisition, we commenced an offer to purchase and consent solicitation with respect to the Pactiv 2018 Notes. Pursuant to such tender offer, Pactiv purchased for cash $234.3 million in aggregate principal amount of tendered Pactiv 2018 Notes, with $15.7 million in aggregate principal amount remaining outstanding as of September 30, 2011. Pursuant to such tender offer, Pactiv obtained the requisite consents to eliminate the covenant requiring Pactiv to make an offer to purchase the Pactiv 2018 Notes if a change of control triggering event occurs, as defined in the applicable indenture.

We also commenced a change of control offer with respect to the Pactiv 2012 Notes, as required by the applicable indenture. Pursuant to the change of control offer, Pactiv purchased for cash $0.7 million in aggregate principal amount of tendered Pactiv 2012 Notes. As of September 30, 2011, $249.3 million in aggregate principal amount of the Pactiv 2012 Notes remained outstanding.

We financed the Pactiv Acquisition with (i) the $1,500.0 million principal amount of October 2010 Senior Secured Notes, (ii) the $1,500.0 million principal amount of October 2010 Senior Notes, (iii) the $2,020.0 million principal amount of the Original Tranche A Term Loans and Original Tranche D Term Loans and (iv) $322.0 million in cash contributed to RGHL. See Description of Certain Other Indebtedness and Intercreditor Agreements.

We refer to the Pactiv Acquisition and the related financing and other transactions as the Pactiv Transaction.

The Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition

On September 1, 2010, certain indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of RGHL acquired the equity of the Reynolds foodservice packaging business from an affiliated entity that is beneficially owned by our strategic owner, Mr. Graeme Hart. The total purchase price was $341.0 million (including certain post-closing adjustments), which we financed with available cash. We refer to this acquisition as the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition. See Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Acquisitions Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition.

The Evergreen Transaction

On May 4, 2010, certain indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries of RGHL acquired the equity of the business that constitutes our Evergreen segment from affiliated entities that are beneficially owned by our strategic owner, Mr. Graeme Hart, for a total purchase price of $1,612.1 million (including certain post-closing adjustments). We refer to this acquisition as the Evergreen Acquisition. See Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Acquisitions Evergreen Acquisition.

On the same date, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of RGHL acquired the assets and liabilities associated with the Whakatane paper mill from Carter Holt Harvey Limited, a New Zealand Company and an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of Rank Group, or CHH , for a total purchase price of $45.6 million (including certain post-closing adjustments). We refer to this acquisition as the Whakatane Acquisition. After the consummation of the Whakatane Acquisition, the Whakatane paper mill became a part of our SIG segment. See Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Acquisitions Whakatane Acquisition.

We financed the Evergreen Acquisition and the Whakatane Acquisition with (i) the $1,000.0 million principal amount of the May 2010 Notes, (ii) the $800.0 million principal amount of the Original Tranche C Term Loans and (iii) available cash. On the date of the closing of the acquisitions, certain credit facilities of the acquired businesses were fully repaid.

We refer to the Evergreen Acquisition, the Whakatane Acquisition and the related financing and other transactions as the Evergreen Transaction.

The RGHL Transaction

On November 5, 2009, Beverage Packaging Holdings III S.a.r.l, or BP III, acquired the equity of the business that constitutes our Closures segment from an affiliated entity that is beneficially owned by our strategic owner, Mr. Graeme Hart, for a total purchase price of $707.8 million (including certain post-closing adjustments). We refer to this acquisition as the Closures Acquisition. See Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Acquisitions Closures Acquisition.

On the same date, BP III acquired the equity of the Reynolds consumer products business from an affiliated entity that is beneficially owned by our strategic owner Mr. Graeme Hart, for a total purchase price of $984.5 million (including certain post-closing adjustments). We refer to this acquisition as the Reynolds Consumer

110

Acquisition and together with the Closures Acquisition as the RGHL Acquisition. See Shareholders and Related Party Transactions Related Party Transactions Acquisitions Reynolds Consumer Acquisition.

We financed the RGHL Acquisition with (i) a $544.0 million cash contribution by RGHL to BP I, (ii) the $1,125.0 million and the 450.0 million principal amount of 2009 Notes, (iii) the $1,035.0 million principal amount of the Original U.S. Term Loans, (iv) the 250.0 million principal amount of the Original European Term Loans, and (v) 116.0 million of cash from SIG.

We refer to the RGHL Acquisition and the related financing and other transactions as the RGHL Transaction.

The Reynolds Acquisition

Through a series of acquisitions that occurred from February 29, 2008 to July 31, 2008, certain entities that are ultimately owned by our strategic owner, Mr. Graeme Hart, acquired Alcoa s closures, consumer products and food and flexible packaging businesses for $2.7 billion in cash (including certain post-closing adjustments). We refer to this acquisition as the Reynolds Acquisition.

The businesses acquired pursuant to the Reynolds Acquisition became our Closures segment and Reynolds consumer products business following the RGHL Transaction and our Reynolds foodservice packaging business following the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition. See The RGHL Transaction and The Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition.

The SIG Transaction

On May 11, 2007, RGHL consummated a public tender offer for all publicly traded shares of SIG Combibloc at a price of CHF 435 per share. At that time, SIG Combibloc was listed on the SIX Swiss Exchange. Following the consummation of the tender offer (the rights to which were assigned to BP III), RGHL, through its indirect subsidiary BP III, held 98.3% of the SIG Combibloc shares. RGHL, indirectly through BP III, completed a squeeze-out of the remaining publicly owned shares of SIG Combibloc on November 7, 2007 and SIG Combibloc became a wholly-owned subsidiary of BP III. The aggregate purchase price for 100% of the SIG Combibloc shares was 1.7 billion. As of December 31, 2007, BP III held all of the shares of SIG Combibloc. The shares of SIG Combibloc were delisted from the SIX Swiss Exchange on November 2, 2007. We refer to this acquisition as the SIG Acquisition.

The purchase of the SIG Combibloc shares, the refinancing of certain existing indebtedness and the payment of related fees and expenses were financed with the proceeds of a 740 million term loan made available under SIG Combibloc s senior credit facilities (which were repaid in full and terminated in connection with the RGHL Transaction), the proceeds of a 770 million bridge facility and 405 million in equity contributions by affiliates of RGHL. The bridge facility was subsequently repaid with the proceeds of the 2007 Notes and SIG Combibloc s senior credit facilities were prepaid in an amount of 130 million with the balance of the proceeds of the 2007 Notes. For additional information regarding the 2007 Notes, see Description of Certain Other Indebtedness and Intercreditor Agreements.

We refer to the acquisition of SIG and the related financing and other transactions as the SIG Transaction.

The Initial Evergreen Acquisition

Through a series of acquisitions that occurred from January 31, 2007 to April 30, 2007, certain entities that were ultimately owned by our strategic owner, Mr. Graeme Hart, acquired IP s Bev Pack Business for $496.7 million in cash (including certain post-closing adjustments). We refer to this acquisition as the Initial Evergreen Acquisition.

The businesses acquired pursuant to the Initial Evergreen Acquisition became part of our Evergreen segment following the Evergreen Acquisition, and IP s Bev Pack Business became our predecessor for accounting purposes. See The Evergreen Transaction.

The Initial Evergreen Acquisition was financed with a total of $425.0 million drawn under a facility agreement.

USE OF PROCEEDS

The exchange offer is intended to satisfy our obligations under the registration rights agreements we entered into in connection with the private offerings of the old notes. We will not receive any cash proceeds from the issuance of the new notes under the exchange offer. In consideration for issuing the new notes of each series as contemplated by this prospectus, we will receive old notes of the same series in like principal amount, the terms of which are identical in all material respects to the new notes of the same series, subject to limited exceptions. Old notes surrendered in exchange for new notes will be retired and canceled and cannot be reissued. Accordingly, the issuance of the new notes will not result in any increase in our indebtedness or capital stock.

The net proceeds from the sale of the outstanding 2009 Notes were approximately $1,043 million and 436 million. We used the proceeds from the issuance of the 2009 Notes together with cash contributed by RGHL to BP I, as common equity, funds from the Original U.S. Term Loans and the Original European Term Loans and available cash (i) to partially finance the RGHL Acquisition, (ii) to repay existing indebtedness of RGHL and the acquired businesses and (iii) to pay related fees and expenses. The Original U.S Term Loans and the Original European Term Loans would have matured in 2015. At the time of repayment, the U.S. Term Loans had interest rates between 6.25% and 6.75% and the Original European Term Loans had an interest rate of 6.75%.

The net proceeds from the sale of the May 2010 Notes were approximately $972.0 million. We used the proceeds from the issuance of the May 2010 Notes together with the funds from the Original Tranche C Term Loans and available cash (i) to finance the Evergreen Acquisition, (ii) to finance the Whakatane Acquisition, (iii) to repay term loans under certain credit facilities of the acquired businesses, which would have expired in 2012 and had interest rates ranging from 1.37% to 3.82% and (iv) to pay related fees and expenses related to the foregoing. The remaining proceeds of the May 2010 Notes and the Original Tranche C Term Loans were available for general corporate purposes.

The net proceeds from the sale of the October 2010 Notes were approximately $2,959 million. We used the proceeds from the issuance of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes and October 2010 Senior Notes together with cash contributed by RGHL s shareholder, funds from the Original Tranche A Term Loans and Original Tranche D Term Loans and available cash (i) to finance the Pactiv Acquisition, (ii) to repay certain Pactiv indebtedness including the purchase of $0.7 million in aggregate principal amount of Pactiv 2012 Notes, $234 million in aggregate principal amount of Pactiv 2018 Notes and $130 million of borrowings under Pactiv s asset securitization program which would have expired in 2012 and had an interest rate at the time of repayment of 1.46% and (iii) to pay related fees and expenses to the foregoing. The remaining proceeds of the October 2010 Notes and the Original Tranche A Term Loans and Original Tranche D Term Loans were available for general corporate purposes.

The net proceeds from the sale of the February 2011 Notes were $1,966 million. We used the net proceeds from the issuance of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes and the February 2011 Senior Notes to repay the Original Tranche D Term Loans under the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities. The Original Tranche D Term Loans would have matured in 2016. At the time of repayment, the Original Tranche D Term Loans had an interest rate of 6.5%. The remaining $456.3 million was used for general corporate purposes, including to finance the Dopaco Acquisition.

The net proceeds from the sale of the August 2011 Notes were $2,421 million. We used the proceeds from the issuance of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes and the August 2011 Senior Notes, together with the funds from the New Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities and available cash (i) to finance the Graham Packaging Transaction, which included the repayment of term loans under Graham Packaging s credit facility which would have expired between 2012 and 2016 and had interest rates at the time of repayment ranging from 6.00% to 6.75%, and (ii) to pay related fees and expenses related to the foregoing. In addition, we used proceeds to repurchase the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and Graham Packaging 2018 Notes tendered in connection with the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer.

SELECTED HISTORICAL CONSOLIDATED AND HISTORICAL COMBINED FINANCIAL DATA

RGHL Group

The following tables set forth the selected historical combined financial data of the RGHL Group Predecessor (prepared on a U.S. GAAP basis) and the selected historical financial data of the RGHL Group Successor (prepared on an IFRS basis). On January 31, 2007, Rank Group, through its indirect wholly-owned subsidiary Evergreen Packaging New Zealand Limited, commenced the acquisition of IP s Bev Pack Business. The acquisition occurred in stages from January 31, 2007 to April 30, 2007. Prior to the Initial Evergreen Acquisition, the RGHL Group had no significant operations. We refer to IP s Bev Pack Business prior to January 31, 2007 as the RGHL Group Predecessor and the RGHL Group as the RGHL Group Successor for purposes of the presentation of the financial information below.

The selected historical combined financial data of the RGHL Group Predecessor as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 have been derived from the RGHL Group Predecessor s audited combined financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP which are not included in this prospectus. The selected historical financial data of the RGHL Group Successor as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for the period from January 31, 2007 to December 31, 2007 have been derived from the RGHL Group Successor s audited financial statements which are not included in this prospectus. The selected historical financial data of the RGHL Group Successor as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 have been derived from the RGHL Group Successor s audited financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Given the potential for differences between IFRS and U.S. GAAP, caution is required when comparing financial data across periods. Furthermore, certain presentations and classifications in the RGHL Group Predecessor financial statements that were prepared based on U.S. GAAP are inconsistent with the RGHL Group Successor IFRS presentations. See Summary Presentation of Financial Information and Summary Summary of Certain Differences Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP.

The following data should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes, and other information included elsewhere in this prospectus, including Operating and Financial Review and Prospects and Risk Factors .

IFRS Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data as of December 31, 2009 and 2010 and for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2009 and 2010 have been derived from the audited IFRS financial statements of the RGHL Group Successor included elsewhere in this prospectus. The following selected financial data as of December 31, 2007 and 2008 and for the year ended December 31, 2007 have been derived from audited IFRS financial statements of the RGHL Group Successor that are not included in this prospectus. The following selected financial data for the nine months ended September, 2010 and 2011 have been derived from the unaudited IFRS financial statements of the RGHL Group Successor.

	RGHL Group Successor					
	Year Ended December 31,				Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2007*	2008**	2009	2010***	2010	2011****
	(IFRS) (In $ millions)					
Income Statement						
Revenue	$ 2,041.5	$ 6,012.8	$ 5,910.0	$ 6,774.0	$ 4,596.7	$ 8,279.4
Cost of sales	(1,774.6)	(5,309.2)	(4,691.3)	(5,523.8)	(3,741.7)	(6,825.1)
Gross profit	**266.9**	**703.6**	**1,218.7**	**1,250.2**	**855.0**	**1,454.3**
Other income	155.4	93.6	201.0	102.1	72.0	67.8
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses	(60.0)	(228.5)	(210.7)	(230.7)	(152.9)	(266.1)
General and administration expenses	(178.2)	(334.3)	(366.8)	(392.4)	(270.5)	(435.9)
Other expenses	(40.4)	(246.4)	(95.9)	(80.0)	(42.0)	(224.3)
Share of profits of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax (equity method)	3.6	6.3	11.4	18.1	13.2	13.5
Profit from operating activities	**147.3**	**(5.7)**	**757.7**	**667.3**	**474.8**	**609.3**
Financial income	14.4	164.5	20.9	65.6	16.5	31.6
Financial expenses	(302.8)	(408.8)	(513.2)	(751.7)	(456.2)	(1,085.8)
Net financial expenses	**(288.4)**	**(244.3)**	**(492.3)**	**(686.1)**	**(439.7)**	**(1,054.2)**
Profit (loss) before income tax	**(141.1)**	**(250.0)**	**265.4**	**(18.8)**	**35.1**	**(444.9)**
Income tax benefit (expense)	30.0	63.1	(148.7)	(77.7)	(71.1)	62.0
Profit (loss) from continuing operations for the period	**$ (111.1)**	**$ (186.9)**	**$ 116.7**	**$ (96.5)**	**$ (36.0)**	**$ (382.9)**
Other operating data (unaudited)						
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(1)	*****	*****	1.6	*****	1.1	*****

* Represents 11 months of operations for the Evergreen segment and seven months of operations for the SIG segment.

** Represents a full year of operations for the SIG and Evergreen segments and 10 months of operations for the Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

*** Represents a full year of operations for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include operations of our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the period from November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

**** Includes the operations of Dopaco for the period from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011 and Graham Packaging for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011.

***** Due to pre-tax losses in 2007, 2008 and 2010, the ratio coverage was less than 1.0x. The RGHL Group Successor would have needed to generate additional earnings of $144.7 million, $257.6 million and $33.7 million in 2007, 2008 and 2010, respectively, in order to achieve a coverage of 1.0x. Similarly, the RGHL Group would have needed to generate additional earnings of $453.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 to achieve a coverage of 1.0x.

Derived from the audited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

Derived from the unaudited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

(1) The ratio of earnings to fixed charges is calculated by dividing earnings before income taxes from continuing operations by fixed charges of continuing operations. For the periods presented, fixed charges consisted of interest expense, amortization and the write-off of financing costs and original issue discount, and management s estimate of interest within rent expense using an approximate interest factor.

	RGHL Group Successor				
	As of December 31,				As of September 30,
	2007*	2008**	2009	2010***	2011
			(IFRS)		
			(In $ millions)		
Balance Sheet Data					
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 339.5	$ 386.6	$ 515.5	$ 663.8	$ 1,046.0
Trade and other receivables	483.6	709.6	683.1	1,150.2	1,596.7
Inventories	401.3	828.1	755.6	1,280.6	1,950.5
Property, plant and equipment	1,241.6	1,939.5	1,825.0	3,266.2	4,659.4
Intangible assets	1,910.4	3,361.1	3,279.1	8,747.9	12,442.2
Other assets	636.0	701.3	703.2	866.9	919.3
Total assets	**5,012.4**	**7,926.2**	**7,761.5**	**15,975.6**	**22,614.1**
Trade and other payables current	360.7	710.2	760.7	1,245.8	2,014.5
Borrowings current	912.2	2,361.1	112.3	140.9	1,035.1
Borrowings non-current	2,986.6	2,544.4	4,841.8	11,701.7	16,737.7
Other liabilities	822.7	1,284.1	943.3	2,623.3	3,038.3
Total liabilities	**5,082.2**	**6,899.8**	**6,658.1**	**15,711.7**	**22,825.6**
Net assets (liabilities)	**$ (69.8)**	**$ 1,026.4**	**$ 1,103.4**	**$ 263.9**	**$ (211.5)**

* Represents balance sheet data for the SIG and Evergreen segments.

** Represents balance sheet data for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

*** Represents balance sheet data for the SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include balance sheet data for our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively.

Derived from the audited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

Derived from the unaudited financial statements of the RGHL Group.

Table of Contents

U.S. GAAP Selected Financial Data

The following selected historical financial data as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006 have been derived from the audited U.S. GAAP financial statements of the RGHL Group Predecessor which are not included in this prospectus. The selected historical financial data of the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business for the one month period from January 1, 2007 to January 31, 2007 have been derived from the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business audited combined financial statements which are not included in this prospectus.

	RGHL Group Predecessor Year Ended December 31, 2006*	North American Operations of IP s Bev Pack Business Period from January 1 to January 31, 2007**
	(U.S. GAAP) (In $ millions)	
Income Statement		
Net sales	$ 843.9	$ 62.1
Costs and expenses		
Cost of products sold (exclusive of depreciation and amortization included below)	(630.6)	(43.9)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(88.0)	(4.0)
Distribution expenses	(39.8)	(3.1)
Depreciation and amortization	(47.7)	(3.0)
Tax other than income	(2.7)	(0.8)
Goodwill impairment and other charges	(27.4)	(1.3)
Sale of business IPI Japan	12.1	
Reversal of reserves no longer required	4.0	
Operating income	**23.8**	**6.0**
Interest income	0.7	
Interest expense	(1.3)	(0.1)
Other income net	0.4	0.2
Income before income taxes, minority interest expense and equity earnings	**23.6**	**6.1**
Income tax expense	(20.4)	N/A
Minority interest expense net of tax	(1.1)	N/A
Equity earnings net of tax	0.4	N/A
Net income	**$ 2.5**	**$ N/A**
Other operating data (unaudited)		
Ratio of earnings to fixed charges(1)	N/A	N/A

* Derived from the financial statements of the RGHL Group Predecessor.

** Derived from the financial statements of the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business which did not include accounting for income tax expense, minority interest expense net of tax, equity earnings net of tax, or net income.

(1) The information required to calculate the ratio of earnings to fixed charges is not available for the periods presented.

		RGHL Group Predecessor As of December 31, 2006* (U.S. GAAP) (In $ millions)
Balance Sheet Data		
Cash and temporary investments	$	39.7
Accounts receivable net		88.8
Inventories		164.6
Property, plant and equipment net		356.8
Total assets		**697.2**
Accounts payable		53.6
Long-term debt non-current		17.8
Total liabilities		**125.2**
Total equity	**$**	**572.0**

* Derived from the financial statements of the RGHL Group Predecessor.

The selected historical financial data of the RGHL Group Predecessor and the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business are not directly comparable to the selected financial data of the RGHL Group Successor for a variety of reasons including, among other items, the following:

The selected historical financial data of the RGHL Group Predecessor and the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business, which are not included in this prospectus, have been derived from their audited financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The RGHL Group Successor s primary financial statements, which are not included in this prospectus, are presented in accordance with IFRS. See Summary Summary of Certain Differences Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP.

The selected historical financial data of the RGHL Group Predecessor and the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business are not necessarily indicative of the conditions that would have existed or the results of operations if the RGHL Group Predecessor or the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business had been operated as a stand-alone company during the periods presented.

The selected historical financial data for the one month period ended January 31, 2007 represents the results of the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business only.

Some of the operations represented in the selected financial data of the RGHL Group Predecessor and the North American operations of IP s Bev Pack Business are not reflected in the selected historical financial data of the RGHL Group Successor as such operations were not acquired by Rank Group.

UNAUDITED PRO FORMA COMBINED FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following unaudited pro forma combined financial information is based on the historical financial information of the RGHL Group, Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging, each of which is included elsewhere in this prospectus, as adjusted to illustrate the impact of the financing components of the Evergreen Transaction, the Pactiv Transaction, the Refinancing Transactions, the Dopaco Acquisition and the Graham Packaging Transaction (collectively, the Pro Forma Transactions). For further information regarding the Pro Forma Transactions, see the section titled The Transactions. The unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet gives effect to the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer as if it had been completed as of September 30, 2011. The unaudited pro forma combined income statements give effect to the Pro Forma Transactions as if they had been completed as of January 1, 2010.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is prepared in accordance with IFRS.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information has been compiled from the following sources with the following unaudited adjustments:

IFRS financial information for the RGHL Group under the column titled Historical RGHL Group has been derived without adjustment from the RGHL Group audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the RGHL Group unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, each of which is included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The column titled Adjustments for the Full Period Effect of the Financing Components of the Historical 2010 and 2011 Financing Transactions in the unaudited pro forma combined income statements reflects the adjustments associated with the financing components of the Graham Packaging Transaction, the Refinancing Transactions, the financing components of the Pactiv Transaction and the financing components of the Evergreen Transaction. Specifically, this column gives effect to (i) the issuance of the August 2011 Notes, the drawings under the New Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities and incremental interest on the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, (ii) the issuance of the February 2011 Notes, the drawings under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and the repayment of the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities, that were completed during February 2011, (iii) the issuance of the October 2010 Notes and the drawing of the Original Tranche A Term Loans and the Original Tranche D Term Loans in connection with the Pactiv Transaction, that were completed during October and November 2010, (iv) the issuance of the May 2010 Notes and the drawing of the Original Tranche C Term Loans in connection with the Evergreen Transaction, that were completed during May 2010 and (v) the transaction fees and expenses associated with these transactions. The basis for these adjustments is explained in the notes accompanying the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

U.S. GAAP financial information for Pactiv under the column titled Historical Pactiv Group has been derived from Pactiv s unaudited accounting records for the period from January 1, 2010 to November 15, 2010, which incorporate the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010, which is included elsewhere in this prospectus and which has been reclassified to conform with the RGHL Group reporting format.

The column titled Adjustments to Historical Pactiv Results on Conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS, Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Pactiv Acquisition reflects certain adjustments to convert Pactiv s U.S. GAAP financial information to IFRS, to align Pactiv s U.S. GAAP accounting policies with the RGHL Group s IFRS accounting policies and to reflect the impact of these adjustments on periods prior to the acquisition by the RGHL Group. The basis for these adjustments is explained in the notes accompanying the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

U.S. GAAP financial information for Dopaco under the column titled Historical Dopaco has been derived from Dopaco s audited combined financial statements as of and for the 126-day period ended May 1, 2011 and as of and for the year ended December 26, 2010, each of which is included elsewhere in this prospectus, and Dopaco s unaudited interim combined financial statements for the nine month period ended September 26, 2010, which is not included elsewhere in this prospectus, and each of which has been reclassified to conform with the RGHL Group reporting format.

The column titled Adjustments to Historical Dopaco Results on Conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS, Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Dopaco Acquisition reflects certain adjustments to convert Dopaco s U.S. GAAP financial information to IFRS, to align Dopaco s U.S. GAAP accounting policies with the RGHL Group s IFRS accounting policies and to reflect management s preliminary assessment of the provisional impact of fair values on periods prior to the acquisition by the RGHL Group. The basis for these adjustments is explained in the notes accompanying the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

U.S. GAAP financial information for Graham Packaging under the column titled Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted has been derived from Graham Packaging s audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus, Graham Packaging s unaudited accounting records for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 8, 2011, which incorporate the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010, which are included in this prospectus, and the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2009, which are not included elsewhere in this prospectus, each of which has been reclassified to conform with the RGHL Group reporting format.

The column titled Adjustments to Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted Results on Preliminary Conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS reflects certain adjustments to convert Graham Packaging s U.S. GAAP financial information to IFRS and to align Graham Packaging s U.S. GAAP accounting policies with the RGHL Group s IFRS accounting policies. The basis for these adjustments is explained in the notes accompanying the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

The column titled Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Graham Packaging Acquisition reflects management s preliminary assessment of the adjustments to reflect the provisional impact of fair values on periods prior to the acquisition of Graham Packaging by the RGHL Group and the impact of the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer. The basis for these adjustments is explained in the notes accompanying the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

We have adjusted the financial data of Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging for the periods presented by applying IFRS in all material respects to such financial data. We have not attempted to quantify all differences that would have been identified if the complete historical Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging financial information, as presented, had been prepared in accordance with IFRS. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the conversion as described is a complete summary of all the differences that would result had a full U.S. GAAP to IFRS conversion exercise been undertaken. Had we undertaken such conversion exercise, other accounting and disclosure differences may have come to our attention that are not identified below, some of which may be material. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the identified differences below represent all material adjustments to Pactiv s, Dopaco s and Graham Packaging s financial data necessary to present them on an IFRS basis consistent with the RGHL Group s financial statements. For a discussion of certain differences between IFRS and U.S. GAAP see Summary of Certain Differences Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP.

The unaudited pro forma adjustments are based upon current available information and assumptions that we believe to be reasonable. The pro forma adjustments and related assumptions are described in the notes accompanying the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information is for informational purposes only and is not intended to represent or to be indicative of the results of operations or financial position that the RGHL Group or the pro forma combined group would have reported had the Pro Forma Transactions been completed as of the dates set forth in this unaudited pro forma combined financial information and should not be taken as being indicative of our future consolidated results of operations or financial position. The actual results may differ significantly from those reflected in the unaudited pro forma combined financial information for a number of reasons, including, but not limited to, differences between the assumptions used to prepare the unaudited pro forma combined financial information and actual amounts. As a result, the unaudited pro forma combined financial information does not purport to be indicative of what the financial condition or results of operations would have been had the Pro Forma Transactions been completed on the applicable dates of the unaudited pro forma combined financial information.

With respect to the fair value and other adjustments related to the Pactiv Transaction, the unaudited pro forma combined financial information has been prepared using the purchase method of accounting as if the Pactiv Transaction had been completed as of January 1, 2010 for the purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined income statements and as of November 16, 2010 (the date of the Pactiv Acquisition) for purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet. Under the purchase method of accounting, the purchase price is required to be allocated to the underlying tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair market values as of the date of the Pactiv Acquisition, with any excess purchase price allocated to goodwill. As of December 31, 2010, the RGHL Group audited financial statements and as of September 30, 2011, the RGHL Group unaudited interim condensed financial statements include the effects of the allocation of the purchase price from the date of the Pactiv Acquisition. In accordance with IFRS, we have finalized and presented the impact of the fair values from the date of acquisition, which also includes confirmation of the remaining useful lives of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets.

With respect to the preliminary fair value and other adjustments related to the Dopaco Acquisition, the unaudited pro forma combined financial information has been prepared using the purchase method of accounting as if the Dopaco Acquisition had been completed as of January 1, 2010 for the purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined income statements and as of May 2, 2011 (the date of the Dopaco Acquisition) for purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet. Under the purchase method of accounting, the purchase price is required to be allocated to the underlying tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair market values as of the date of the Dopaco Acquisition, with any excess purchase price allocated to goodwill. The allocation of the purchase price as reflected in the unaudited pro forma combined financial information is based upon management s internally developed estimates of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as if the Dopaco Acquisition had been completed as of the above dates. This allocation of the purchase price depends upon certain estimates and assumptions, all of which are preliminary and have been made solely for the purpose of developing the unaudited pro forma combined financial information. We continue to review the appraisals necessary to assess the fair values of the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the related allocation of the purchase price upon the closing of the Dopaco Acquisition. In accordance with the requirements of IFRS 3(R), we will complete the appraisals necessary to finalize the required purchase price allocation within one year of the closing date of the Dopaco Acquisition, at which time the final allocation of the purchase price will be determined. The final purchase price allocation may be different than that reflected in the pro forma purchase price allocation, and those differences may be material.

With respect to the preliminary fair value and other adjustments related to the Graham Packaging Transaction, the unaudited pro forma combined financial information has been prepared using the purchase method of accounting as if the Graham Packaging Transaction had been completed as of January 1, 2010 for the purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined income statements and as of September 8, 2011 (the date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition) for purposes of the unaudited pro forma combined balance sheet. Under the purchase method of accounting, the purchase price is required to be allocated to the underlying tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their respective fair market values as of the

Table of Contents

date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition, with any excess purchase price allocated to goodwill. The allocation of the purchase price as reflected in the unaudited pro forma combined financial information is based upon management s internally developed estimates of the fair value of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed as if the Graham Packaging Acquisition had been completed as of the above dates. This allocation of the purchase price depends upon certain estimates and assumptions, all of which are preliminary and have been made solely for the purpose of developing the unaudited pro forma combined financial information. We have commenced the appraisals necessary to assess the fair values of the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the related allocation of the purchase price upon the closing of the Graham Packaging Acquisition. In accordance with the requirements of IFRS 3(R), we will complete the appraisals necessary to finalize the required purchase price allocation within one year of the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition, at which time the final allocation of the purchase price will be determined. The final purchase price allocation may be different than that reflected in the pro forma purchase price allocation, and those differences may be material.

As specified in The Transactions , the Evergreen Transaction was completed on May 4, 2010. The Evergreen Transaction involved the repayment of certain then-existing indebtedness and the incurrence of new indebtedness under the Original Tranche C Term Loans and the issuance of the May 2010 Notes. The unaudited pro forma combined income statements include pro forma adjustments to illustrate the impact of the financing components of the Evergreen Transaction as if they had been completed as of January 1, 2010.

The unaudited pro forma combined income statements do not include adjustments for (i) any prospective revenue or cost saving synergies that may be achieved, in addition to those reflected in the historical financial information, since the completion of the Pactiv Transaction, the Dopaco Acquisition or the Graham Packaging Acquisition or as a result of any of the other acquisitions we have completed or (ii) the impact of non-recurring items directly related to the Pro Forma Transactions or any of the other acquisitions we have completed. In addition, the unaudited pro forma combined financial information does not give effect to any of the adjustments made to derive the RGHL Combined Group Adjusted EBITDA, which are each described under Summary Summary Historical and Pro Forma Combined Financial Information.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information only shows profit (loss) from continuing operations before non-recurring charges directly attributable to the Pro Forma Transactions and therefore excludes the results of Pactiv s discontinued operations as reflected in Pactiv s historical financial information.

The unaudited pro forma combined financial information should be read in conjunction with the Glossary of Selected Terms, Summary Presentation of Financial Information, Risk Factors, The Transactions, Operating and Financial Review and Prospects and the historical financial statements and the notes thereto, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Balance Sheet as of September 30, 2011

	Historical RGHL Group(1)	Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Graham Packaging Acquisition(9)	Pro Forma RGHL Combined Group(10)(11)(12)
		(In $ millions)	
Assets			
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 1,046.0	$ (475.1)(e)	$ 570.9
Trade and other receivables	1,596.7		1,596.7
Derivatives	1.5		1.5
Assets held for sale	92.3		92.3
Current tax assets	39.2		39.2
Inventories	1,950.5		1,950.5
Other assets	87.1		87.1
Total current assets	**4,813.3**	**(475.1)**	**4,338.2**
Non-current receivables	317.0		317.0
Investments in associates and joint ventures	120.1		120.1
Deferred tax assets	36.5		36.5
Property, plant and equipment	4,659.4		4,659.4
Investment property	26.0		26.0
Intangible assets	12,442.2		12,442.2
Derivatives	46.4	(3.0)(g)	43.4
Other assets	153.2		153.2
Total non-current assets	**17,800.8**	(3.0)	**17,797.8**
Total assets	**$ 22,614.1**	**$ (478.1)**	**$ 22,136.0**
Liabilities			
Bank overdrafts	$ 9.1	$	$ 9.1
Trade and other payables	2,014.5		2,014.5
Borrowings	1,035.1	(477.2)(f)	557.9
Current tax liabilities	159.3		159.3
Derivatives	15.5		15.5
Employee benefits	229.6		229.6
Provisions	110.0		110.0
Total current liabilities	**3,573.1**	**(477.2)**	**3,095.9**

Non-current payables	34.2		34.2
Borrowings	16,737.7		16,737.7
Deferred tax liabilities	1,389.6		1,389.6
Employee benefits	965.1		965.1
Provisions	125.9		125.9
Total non-current liabilities	**19,252.5**		**19,252.5**
Total liabilities	**22,825.6**	**(477.2)**	**22,348.4**
Net assets (liabilities)	**$ (211.5)**	**$ (0.9)**	**$ (212.4)**
Share capital	$ 1,695.0	$	$ 1,695.0
Reserves	(1,282.0)		(1,282.0)
Retained earnings (accumulated losses)	(646.1)	(0.9)	(647.0)
Equity (deficit) attributable to the equity holder of the parent entity	**(233.1)**	**(0.9)**	**(234.0)**
Minority interests	21.6		21.6
Total equity (deficit)	**$ (211.5)**	**$ (0.9)**	**$ (212.4)**

Table of Contents

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Income Statement for the Year Ended December 31, 2010

torical GHL oup(1)	Adjustments for the Full Period Effect of the Financing Components of the Historical 2010 and 2011 Financing Transactions(2)	Historical Pactiv Group(3)	Adjustments to Historical Pactiv Results on Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS, Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Pactiv Acquisition(4)	Historical Dopaco(5)	Adjustments to Historical Dopaco Results on Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS, Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Dopaco Acquisition(6)	Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted(7)	Adjustments to Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted and Results on Preliminary Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS(8)	Prelimi Fai Valu and Othe Adjustn for the Graha Packag Acquisit
6,774.0	$	$ **3,172.1**	$ **2.2(a)(h)**	$ **456.2**	$ **(13.2)(d)**	$ **2,512.7**	$	$
5,523.8)		(2,441.2)	14.6(a)(b)(g)(h)	(390.6)	21.8(a)(b)(d)	(2,076.3)		(1
1,250.2		**730.9**	**16.8**	**65.6**	**8.6**	**436.4**		**(1**
102.1		2.0		0.4		0.4		
(230.7)		(181.7)		(7.5)		(51.6)		
(392.4)		(241.0)	(29.3)(a)(c)(g)	(25.1)	(6.6)(a)(b)	(71.3)	0.8(a)	(49
(80.0)		(29.5)		(7.2)		(79.8)		
18.1		1.0	(0.7)(a)	0.6				

667.3		**281.7**	**(13.2)**	**26.8**	**2.0**	**234.1**	**0.8**	**(6**
65.6						0.7	0.4(b)	
(751.7)	(835.8)(a)(b)(c)(d)	(86.3)	22.4(b)(d)(f)(i)			(223.7)		15
(686.1)	**(835.8)**	**(86.3)**	**22.4**			**(223.0)**	**0.4**	**15**
(18.8)	**(835.8)**	**195.4**	**9.2**	**26.8**	**2.0**	**11.1**	**1.2**	**8**
(77.7)	257.4(e)	(64.3)	(3.7)(e)	(0.7)	(0.7)(c)	50.7	(0.4)(c)	(3
(96.5)	**$ (578.4)**	**$ 131.1**	**$ 5.5**	**$ 26.1**	**$ 1.3**	**$ 61.8**	**$ 0.8**	**$ 5**

123

Table of Contents

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Income Statement for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010

storical GHL oup(1)	Adjustments for the Full Period Effect of the Financing Components of the Historical 2010 and 2011 Financing Transactions(2)	Historical Pactiv Group(3)	Adjustments to Historical Pactiv Results on Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS, Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Pactiv Acquisition(4)	Historical Dopaco(5)	Adjustments to Historical Dopaco Results on Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS, Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Dopaco Acquisition(6)	Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted(7)	Adjustments to Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted and Results on Preliminary Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS(8)	Prelim Fai Valu and Oth Adjustr for the Grah Packa Acquisit
4,596.7	$	$ 2,694.0	$ 1.1(a)(h)	$ 338.3	$ (10.3)(d)	$ 1,868.8	$	$
3,741.7)		(2,069.0)	12.7(a)(b)(g)(h)	(290.1)	17.0(a)(b)(d)	(1,533.9)		(2
855.0		625.0	13.8	48.2	6.7	334.9		(2
72.0		2.0		0.2				
(152.9)		(124.0)		(5.6)		(54.5)		
(270.5)		(143.0)	(23.0)(a)(c)(g)	(19.4)	(5.0)(a)(b)	(75.3)	0.6(a)	(3
(42.0)						(20.5)		
13.2		1.0	(0.8)(a)	0.5				

474.8				**361.0**		**(10.0)**		**23.9**		**1.7**		**184.6**		**0.6**		**(6**
16.5								0.1				0.5		0.3(b)		
(456.2)		(706.7)(a)(b)(c)(d)		(74.0)		19.0(b)(d)(f)(i)						(169.1)				10
(439.7)		**(706.7)**		**(74.0)**		**19.0**		**0.1**				**(168.6)**		**0.3**		**10**
35.1		**(706.7)**		**287.0**		**9.0**		**24.0**		**1.7**		**16.0**		**0.9**		**4**
(71.1)		215.1(e)		(84.0)		(3.3)(e)		(3.0)		(0.6)(c)		(7.1)		(0.3)(c)		(1
(36.0)	**$**	**(491.6)**	**$**	**203.0**	**$**	**5.7**	**$**	**21.0**	**$**	**1.1**	**$**	**8.9**	**$**	**0.6**	**$**	**2**

Unaudited Pro Forma Combined Income Statement for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011

Historical RGHL Group(1)	Adjustments for the Full Period Effect of the Financing Components of the Historical 2010 and 2011 Financing Transactions(2)	Historical Pactiv Group(3)	Adjustments to Historical Pactiv Results on Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS, Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Pactiv Acquisition(4)	Historical Dopaco(5)	Adjustments to Historical Dopaco Results on Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS, Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Dopaco Acquisition(6)	Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted(7)	Adjustments to Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted and Results on Preliminary Conversion from US GAAP to IFRS(8)	Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Graham Packaging Acquisition(9)
$ **8,279.4**	$	$	$ (a)(h)	$ **152.5**	$ **(4.2)**(d)	$ **2,129.9**	$	$
(6,825.1)			(a)(b)(g)(h)	(132.7)	7.2(a)(b)(d)	(1,816.7)		11.9(b)(
1,454.3				**19.8**	**3.0**	**313.2**		**11.9**
67.8				0.1		0.4		
(266.1)				(2.9)		(72.7)		
(435.9)			(a)(c)(g)	(11.5)	(2.2)(a)(b)	(100.6)	0.5(a)	(27.9)(b)
(224.3)				(0.1)		(239.9)		
13.5			(a)	0.1				

t

	609.3				**5.5**	**0.8**	**(99.6)**	**0.5**	**(16.0)**
	31.6				0.1		0.8	0.3(b)	
s	(1,085.8)	(171.9)(a)(b)(c)(d)		(b)(d)(f)(i)			(142.5)		123.9(a)
	(1,054.2)	**(171.9)**			**0.1**		**(141.7)**	**0.3**	**123.9**
re	**(444.9)**	**(171.9)**			**5.6**	**0.8**	**(241.3)**	**0.8**	**107.9**
	62.0	63.0(e)		(e)	(0.5)	(0.3)(c)	(26.2)	(0.3)(c)	(38.8)(d)
e	**$ (382.9)**	**$ (108.9)**	**$**	**$**	**$ 5.1**	**$ 0.5**	**$ (267.5)**	**$ 0.5**	**$ 69.1**

Table of Contents

(1) Historical RGHL Group

The historical financial information of the RGHL Group is derived from:

The unaudited historical balance sheet of the RGHL Group as of September 30, 2011, which is included elsewhere in this prospectus; and

The audited historical income statement of the RGHL Group for the year ended December 31, 2010, and the unaudited historical income statements for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

(2) Adjustments for the Full Period Effect of the Financing Components of the Historical 2010 and 2011 Financing Transactions

The following table summarizes the components of the net adjustment to financial expenses:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	
		2010	2011
		(In $ millions)	
Evergreen Transaction(a)	$ (85.4)	$ (85.4)	$
Pactiv Transaction(b)	(348.5)	(320.0)	
Refinancing Transactions(c)	20.4	15.4	89.4
Graham Packaging Transaction(d)	(422.3)	(316.7)	(261.3)
Net adjustment to financial expenses	**$ (835.8)**	**$ (706.7)**	**$ (171.9)**

(a) Evergreen Transaction

As part of the Evergreen Transaction, the RGHL Group (a) issued the May 2010 Notes, (b) entered into an amendment to the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities under which it incurred the Original Tranche C Term Loans, (c) repaid certain then-existing indebtedness of Evergreen and (d) incurred certain fees and expenses.

Table of Contents

The unaudited pro forma combined income statements include an adjustment to illustrate the impact of the financing components of the Evergreen Transaction as if they had been completed as of January 1, 2010, comprising:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
		(In $ millions)	
Interest expense on the May 2010 Notes(i)	$ (29.3)	$ (29.3)	$
Amortization of the May 2010 Notes issuance costs(ii)	(0.6)	(0.6)	
Interest expense on the Original Tranche C Term Loans(iii)	(15.3)	(15.3)	
Amortization of the Original Tranche C Term Loans original issue discount and issuance costs(iv)	(0.2)	(0.2)	
Adjustment for interest expense on the credit facilities repaid in connection with the Evergreen Transaction(v)	8.3	8.3	
Foreign exchange gains (losses) on the May 2010 Notes and related intercompany loans(vi)	(52.5)	(52.5)	
Removal of foreign exchange (gains) losses on the CHH Senior Credit Facilities(vii)	4.2	4.2	
Net adjustment to give full period effect to financial expenses	**$ (85.4)**	**$ (85.4)**	**$**

(i) Reflects the incremental cash interest expense of 8.5% on the $1,000.0 million principal amount of the May 2010 Notes.

(ii) Reflects the incremental non-cash amortization on the aggregate $32.9 million of debt issuance costs associated with the May 2010 Notes. This non-cash expense has been calculated using the effective interest rate method.

(iii) Reflects the incremental cash interest expense of 6.25% based on an adjusted LIBOR floor of 1.50% and a margin of 4.75% on the $800.0 million Original Tranche C Term Loans borrowed as part of the Evergreen Transaction. LIBOR did not exceed the LIBOR floor during the term of the Original Tranche C Term Loans. As noted in note (c) below, this debt was repaid in February 2011.

(iv) Reflects the incremental non-cash amortization on the aggregate $2.0 million of original issue discount and the aggregate $23.3 million of debt issuance costs arising from the $800.0 million Original Tranche C Term Loans borrowed as part of the Evergreen Transaction.

(v) Represents the reversal of historical interest expense and amortization of deferred debt issuance costs for certain facilities that were repaid or extinguished in connection with the Evergreen Transaction. These facilities included the CHH Senior Credit Facilities which is further described below.

(vi)

The May 2010 Notes are denominated in dollars. Lux Issuer, the co-issuer of the May 2010 Notes, issued $483.0 million of the May 2010 Notes. Even though the RGHL Group presentation currency is the dollar, Lux Issuer maintains its accounting records in euro which is its functional currency. For an explanation of the RGHL Group accounting policies relating to foreign currency translation, refer to note 3 of the RGHL Group audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. Furthermore, certain intercompany loans within the RGHL Group that arose from the on-lending of a portion of the proceeds from the issuance of the May 2010 Notes are in a currency other than the currency in which Lux Issuer and the borrowers of the intercompany loans maintain their accounting records. Based on historical exchange rate movements, these different currencies result in unrealized foreign exchange (gains) and losses. Under IFRS, these unrealized foreign exchange (gains) and losses are recognized within the income statement as a component of net financial expenses. Currency markets are volatile and there is no assurance that these results are indicative of the foreign exchange (gains) and losses that will be recognized in future periods.

(vii) CHH Senior Credit Facilities refers to certain senior credit facilities that were drawn by Evergreen Packaging Inc. and Evergreen Packaging Canada Limited, which became wholly-owned subsidiaries of the RGHL Group as part of the Evergreen Transaction. At the time of the Evergreen Transaction, Evergreen Packaging Inc. issued equity to settle its and Evergreen Packaging Canada Limited s liabilities under the CHH Senior Credit Facilities. The facilities were denominated in dollars, NZ dollars and Canadian dollars. Evergreen Packaging Inc. maintains its accounting records in dollars and recognized foreign exchange gains (losses) within the income statement as a component of net financial expenses on the revaluation of the facilities drawn in NZ dollars. This adjustment reverses the impact of those foreign exchange gains (losses) in the pro forma financial information.

(b) Pactiv Transaction

As part of the Pactiv Transaction, the RGHL Group (a) issued the October 2010 Notes, (b) entered into an amendment to the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities under which it incurred the Original Tranche A Term Loans and the Original Tranche D Terms Loans in November 2010 and (c) incurred certain fees and expenses.

The unaudited pro forma combined income statements include the net adjustment to financial expenses as if the Pactiv Transaction had been completed as of January 1, 2010, comprising:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
		(In $ millions)	
Interest expense on the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes(i)	$ (84.6)	$ (80.2)	$
Interest expense on the October 2010 Senior Notes(ii)	(106.9)	(101.3)	
Amortization of the October 2010 Notes issuance costs(iii)	(6.1)	(5.7)	
Total interest expense on the October 2010 Notes	**(197.6)**	**(187.2)**	
Interest expense on the Original Tranche A Term Loans and Original Tranche D Term Loans(iv)	(113.8)	(97.5)	
Amortization of the Original Tranche A Term Loans and Original Tranche D Term Loans issuance costs(v)	(10.0)	(8.6)	
Incremental interest expense on the Original U.S. Term Loans, the Original European Term Loans and the Original Tranche C Term Loans as a result of the amendment request(vi)	(8.7)	(8.2)	
Foreign exchange (gains) losses on the October 2010 Notes and new intercompany loans(vii)	(18.4)	(18.5)	
Net adjustment to financial expenses	**$ (348.5)**	**$ (320.0)**	**$**

(i) Reflects the incremental cash interest expense of 7.125% on the $1,500.0 million principal amount of the October 2010 Senior Secured Notes.

(ii) Reflects the incremental cash interest expense of 9.000% on the $1,500.0 million principal amount of the October 2010 Senior Notes.

(iii) Reflects the non-cash amortization expense on the aggregate $86.4 million of debt issuance costs associated with the October 2010 Notes. This non-cash expense has been calculated using the effective interest rate method.

(iv) Reflects the incremental cash interest expense of 6.25% and 6.50% for the Original Tranche A Term Loans and the Original Tranche D Term Loans, respectively (based on an adjusted LIBOR ($ tranche) floor of 1.75% and a margin of 4.50%, and on an adjusted LIBOR ($ tranche) floor of 1.75% and a margin of 4.75%, respectively). LIBOR did not exceed the LIBOR floor during the terms of the Original Tranche A Term Loans and Original Tranche C Term Loans. As noted in note (c) below, this debt was repaid in February 2011.

(v) Reflects the non-cash amortization expense on the aggregate $22.0 million of original issue discount and the aggregate $40.8 million of debt issuance costs associated with the Original Tranche A Term Loans and the Original Tranche D Term Loans. This non-cash expense has been calculated using the effective interest rate method.

(vi) Reflects the incremental interest arising on the Original U.S. Term Loans, the Original European Term Loans and the Original Tranche C Term Loans as a result of the amendment to the related credit agreement permitting the RGHL Group to incur the Original Tranche A Term Loans and the Original Tranche D Term Loans. Under the terms of the amendment, the interest on each existing term loan under the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities was increased by 0.50%. This additional interest was incremental to the interest incurred on the Original Tranche A Term Loans and the Original Tranche D Term Loans.

(vii) The October 2010 Notes are denominated in dollars. The Lux Issuer issued $725.0 million of the October 2010 Senior Notes. Even though the RGHL Group presentation currency is the dollar, Lux Issuer maintains its accounting records in euro which is its functional currency. For an explanation of the RGHL Group accounting policies relating to foreign currency translation, refer to note 3 of the RGHL Group audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus. Furthermore, certain intercompany loans within the RGHL Group arose from the on-lending of a portion of the proceeds from the issuance of the October 2010 Notes. These loans are in a currency other than the currency in which the Lux Issuer and the borrowers of the intercompany loans maintain their accounting records. Based on historical exchange rate movements, these different currencies result in unrealized foreign exchange (gains) and losses. Under IFRS, these unrealized foreign exchange (gains) and losses are recognized within the income statement as a component of net financial expenses. Currency markets are volatile and there is no assurance that these results are indicative of the foreign exchange (gains) and losses that will be recognized in future periods.

(c) Refinancing Transactions

As part of the Refinancing Transactions which were completed during February 2011, the RGHL Group (a) issued the February 2011 Notes with a portion of the gross proceeds used to repay in full the Original Tranche D Term Loans, (b) entered into the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and drew the proceeds which were applied to refinance all of the remaining term loans (the Original Tranche A Term Loans, the Original U.S. Term Loans, the Original Tranche C Term Loans and Original European Term Loans) outstanding under the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities with the remaining proceeds available for general corporate purposes and (c) incurred certain fees and expenses.

The unaudited pro forma combined income statements include the adjustments to illustrate the Refinancing Transactions as if they had been completed as of January 1, 2010 comprising:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
		(In $ millions)	
Interest expense on the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes(i)	$ (68.8)	$ (51.6)	$ (5.7)
Interest expense on the February 2011 Senior Notes(ii)	(82.5)	(61.9)	(6.9)
Amortization of the February 2011 Notes issuance costs(iii)	(2.3)	(1.7)	(0.2)
Total interest expense on the February 2011 Notes	**(153.6)**	**(115.2)**	**(12.8)**
Interest expense on the Senior Secured Credit Facilities (Dollar)(iv)	(98.8)	(74.1)	(10.7)
Interest expense on the Senior Secured Credit Facilities (Euro)(iv)	(17.8)	(13.3)	(1.9)
Amortization of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities issuance costs(v)	(2.4)	(1.8)	(0.2)
Total interest expense on the Senior Secured Credit Facilities	**(119.0)**	**(89.2)**	**(12.8)**
Adjustment for interest expense and unamortized debt issuance costs on the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities repaid(vi)	272.8	205.0	29.0
Adjustment for amortization of original issue discount and issuance costs on the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities repaid(vi)	20.2	14.8	86.0
Net adjustment to financial expenses	**$ 20.4**	**$ 15.4**	**$ 89.4**

(i) Reflects the incremental cash interest expense of 6.875% on the $1,000.0 million principal amount of the February 2011 Senior Secured Notes.

(ii) Reflects the incremental cash interest expense of 8.250% on the $1,000.0 million principal amount of the February 2011 Senior Notes.

(iii) Reflects the non-cash amortization expense on the aggregate $34.4 million of debt issuance costs associated with the February 2011 Notes. This non-cash expense has been calculated using the effective interest rate method.

(iv) Reflects the incremental cash interest expense of 4.25% and 5.00% for the USD and Euro tranches of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, respectively (based on an adjusted LIBOR floor of 1.00% and a margin of 3.25%, and on an adjusted LIBOR floor of 1.50% and a margin of 3.50%, respectively).

(v) Reflects the non-cash amortization expense on the aggregate $16.9 million of debt issuance costs associated with the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. This non-cash expense has been calculated using the effective interest rate method.

Table of Contents

(vi) Reflects the adjustment for interest expense and non-cash amortization expenses with respect to the debt issuance costs and original issue discount associated with the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities repaid as part of the Refinancing Transactions. The adjustments are calculated as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
		(In $ millions)	
Interest expense on the Original Tranche C Term Loans per footnote 2(a)(iii) above	$ 15.3	$ 15.3	$
Interest expense on the Original Tranche A Term Loans and Original Tranche D Term Loans per footnote 2(b)(iv) above	113.8	97.5	
Incremental interest expense on the Original U.S. Term Loans, the Original European Term Loans and the Original Tranche C Term Loans as a result of the amendment request per footnote 2(b)(vi) above	8.7	8.2	
Actual interest expense related to the Original US Term Loans and the Original European Term Loans as recorded in the historical RGHL financial statements	135.0	84.0	29.0
Adjustment for interest expense and unamortized debt issuance costs on the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities repaid	**$ 272.8**	**$ 205.0**	**$ 29.0**
Amortization of the Original Tranche C Term Loans original issue discount and issuance costs per footnote 2(a)(iv) above	$ 0.2	$ 0.2	$
Amortization of the Original Tranche A Term Loans and the Original Tranche D Term Loans issuance costs per footnote 2(b)(v) above	10.0	8.6	
Actual amortization of debt issuance costs related to the Original US Term Loans and the Original European Term Loans as recorded in the historical RGHL financial statements	10.0	6.0	86.0
Adjustment for amortization of original issue discount and issuance costs on the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities repaid	**$ 20.2**	**$ 14.8**	**$ 86.0**

(d) Graham Packaging Transaction

As part of the Graham Packaging Transaction, the RGHL Group (i) entered into an amendment to the Senior Secured Credit Facilities under which it agreed to certain new terms including incremental interest on the term loans of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities and drew $2,000.0 million under the Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities,

(ii) issued the August 2011 Notes and (iii) incurred certain fees and expenses.

The unaudited pro forma combined income statements include the net adjustment to financial expenses as if the Graham Packaging Transaction had been completed as of January 1, 2010, comprising:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
		(In $ millions)	
Interest expense on the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes(i)	$ (118.1)	$ (88.6)	$ (71.9)
Interest expense on the August 2011 Senior Notes(ii)	(98.8)	(74.1)	(60.1)
Amortization of the August 2011 Notes issuance costs and original issue discount(iii)	(5.1)	(3.8)	(3.0)
Total interest expense on the August 2011 Notes	**(222.0)**	**(166.5)**	**(135.0)**
Interest expense on the New Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities(iv)	(130.0)	(97.5)	(89.9)
Incremental interest expense on the Senior Secured Credit Facilities(v)	(58.5)	(43.9)	(28.4)
Interest expense on the new related party loan with Reynolds Treasury (NZ) Limited(vi)	(1.7)	(1.3)	(1.1)
Amortization of the New Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities issuance costs and original issue discount(vii)	(10.1)	(7.5)	(6.9)
Net adjustment to financial expenses	**$ (422.3)**	**$ (316.7)**	**$ (261.3)**

(i) Reflects an interest rate of 7.875% on the $1,500.0 million principal amount of the August 2011 Senior Secured Notes. Interest is paid in dollars.

(ii) Reflects an interest rate of 9.875% on the $1,000.0 million principal amount of the August 2011 Senior Notes. Interest is paid in dollars.

(iii) Reflects non-cash amortization expense of an assumed $62.2 million of aggregate debt issuance costs and original issue discount of $17.8 million associated with the August 2011 Notes. This non-cash expense has been calculated using the effective interest rate method.

(iv) The interest rates used for pro forma purposes are based on the rates in effect upon the closing of the Graham Packaging Transaction. The interest rate on the term loans under the Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities was 6.50% on the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition (based on an adjusted LIBOR ($ tranche) floor of 1.25% and a margin of 5.25%). Each 0.125% increase in the assumed interest rates used in the pro forma income statement would increase interest expense on the term loans under the Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities by $2.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2010, by $1.9 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and by $1.7 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. As the interest rate assumed for the purposes of the pro forma financial information is at the LIBOR floor, a 0.125% decrease in the assumed interest rates used in the pro forma income statement would not change interest expense on the term loans under the Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

(v) Reflects the incremental interest of 6.50% on the Dollar Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities (based on an adjusted LIBOR floor of 1.25% and a margin of 5.25%) and the incremental interest of 6.75% on the Euro Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities (based on an adjusted EURIBOR floor of 1.50% and a margin of 5.25%), both as of the closing date of the Graham Packaging Acquisition. Each 0.125% increase in the assumed interest rates used in the pro forma income statement would increase the incremental interest expense on the Dollar Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities by $2.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2010, by $2.2 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and by $2.0 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. Each 0.125% increase in the assumed interest rates used in the pro forma income statement would increase the incremental interest expense on the Euro Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities by $0.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2010, by $0.4 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and by $0.3 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. As the interest rate assumed for the purposes of the pro forma financial information is at the LIBOR and EURIBOR floors, respectively, a 0.125% decrease in the assumed interest rates used in the pro forma income statement would not change interest expense on the term loans under the Dollar Tranche or the Euro Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities.

(vi) Reflects an interest rate of 6.875% on the principal amount of the related party loan with Reynolds Treasury (NZ) Limited of $25.0 million.

(vii) Reflects non-cash amortization expense with respect to an assumed aggregate $67.2 million of debt issuance costs and the $20.0 million of original issue discount associated with the term loans under the Incremental Senior Secured Credit Facilities. This non-cash expense has been calculated using the effective interest rate method.

(e) Income Tax Benefit (Expense)

Represents the net adjustment to income tax benefit (expense) as if the financing components of the Evergreen Transaction, the Pactiv Transaction, the Refinancing Transactions and the Graham Packaging Transaction had been completed as of January 1, 2010. The tax expense has been calculated using respective local statutory tax rates which range from 28% to 37%. A portion of the tax adjustment arising from the net adjustment to financial expenses has not been recognized as this potential tax benefit would be generated by entities that are unable to satisfy the criteria required for the recognition of a tax loss asset.

(3) Historical Pactiv Group

The historical financial information is derived from Pactiv s unaudited accounting records for the period from January 1, 2010 to November 15, 2010, which incorporates the unaudited consolidated financial statements for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2010, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The historical consolidated financial information of Pactiv is prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. For the purpose of presenting the unaudited historical information in a reporting format that is consistent with that of the RGHL Group, certain components of Pactiv s income statements have been reclassified.

The following reclassifications have been made in the income statement for the period from January 1, 2010 to November 15, 2010:

Depreciation and amortization expenses of $183.0 million as reported by Pactiv on the face of the income statement have been reclassified into Cost of sales ($133.6 million), General and administration expenses ($33.8 million) and Other expenses ($15.6 million) based on the use of the assets to which the depreciation and amortization charges relate; and

Selling, general and administrative expenses of $387.0 million as reported by Pactiv on the face of the income statement have been reclassified into Selling, marketing and distribution expenses ($146.0 million) and General and administration expenses ($241.0 million) based on the nature of the expenses.

The following reclassifications have been made in the income statement for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010:

Depreciation and amortization expenses of $145.0 million as reported by Pactiv on the face of the income statement have been reclassified into Cost of sales ($114.0 million) and General and administration expenses ($31.0 million) based on the use of the assets to which the depreciation and amortization charges relate;

Selling, general and administrative expenses of $236.0 million as reported by Pactiv on the face of the income statement have been reclassified into Selling, marketing and distribution expenses

Table of Contents

($124.0 million) and General and administration expenses ($112.0 million) based on the nature of the expenses; and

Other income of $1.0 million has been reclassified into Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax.

(4) Adjustments to Historical Pactiv Results on Conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS, Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Pactiv Acquisition

The following table presents the impact on income from continuing operations as historically reported by Pactiv resulting from the conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS, the fair value impact of purchase price accounting and other adjustments associated with the Pactiv Acquisition:

	For the Period January 1 to November 15, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
		(In $ millions)	
Net adjustments for the conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS			
Consolidation of controlled entity(a)	$ 1.2	$ 0.8	$
Lease classification(b)			
Employee benefits(c)	1.6	2.7	
Derivative financial instruments(d)	(0.9)	(0.8)	
Income tax (expense)(e)	(1.0)	(1.0)	
Net change to profit from continuing operations due to conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS adjustments	0.9	1.7	
Net adjustments for the fair value impact of the Pactiv Transaction			
Amortization of fair value adjustment to existing Pactiv borrowings(f)	8.4	7.1	
Fair value adjustments to historical depreciation and amortization(g)	(16.7)	(14.1)	
Income tax (expense)(e)	3.1	2.6	
Net change to profit from continuing operations due to fair value adjustments	(5.2)	(4.4)	
Other net adjustments associated with the Pactiv Transaction			
Elimination of historical intercompany sales and cost of sales(h)			
Elimination of historical interest on certain Pactiv external borrowings(i)	15.6	13.3	
Income tax expense(e)	(5.8)	(4.9)	
	9.8	8.4	

Net change to profit from continuing operations due to other adjustments					
Total net adjustment due to the conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS, fair value and other adjustments for the Pactiv Acquisition	**$**	**5.5**	**$**	**5.7**	**$**

134

Table of Contents

Adjustments to the Historical Pactiv Balances and Results on Conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS

The historical financial information of Pactiv was prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. For the purpose of presenting the unaudited pro forma combined financial information, the reclassified income statement data for the period from January 1, 2010 to November 15, 2010 and for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 have been adjusted to IFRS on a basis by applying, in all material respects, the accounting policies of the RGHL Group as of January 1, 2010. In converting this data, management has made adjustments to amounts previously reported in its financial information under U.S. GAAP. IFRS and U.S. GAAP are not directly comparable. We have not attempted to quantify all differences that would have been identified if the complete historical Pactiv financial statements had been prepared in accordance with IFRS. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the conversion as described is a complete summary of all the differences that would result had a full exercise been undertaken. Had we undertaken such a conversion to IFRS, other accounting and disclosure differences may have come to our attention that are not identified below, some of which may be material. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the identified differences below represent all material adjustments to Pactiv s financial information necessary to present the income statement information on an IFRS basis consistent with the RGHL Group s financial statements. See Summary Summary of Certain Differences Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP. An explanation of how the conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS has affected Pactiv s shareholders equity and profit from continuing operations is set out below:

(a) Consolidation of controlled entity

Under IFRS, consolidation is based on a control model. Control is the power to govern the financial and operating policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. Under U.S. GAAP, consolidation is based on a controlling financial interest model, which differs in certain respects from IFRS.

An entity in which Pactiv had a non-controlling interest was not consolidated in Pactiv s financial results under U.S. GAAP. However, under IFRS Pactiv is deemed to have control of this entity; therefore the entity is consolidated. The net adjustment to profit from continuing operations as a result of consolidating this entity is an increase in profit from continuing operations of $1.2 million for the period from January 1, 2010 to November 15, 2010 and an increase of $0.8 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010. The following table provides details of the components of this net adjustment:

	For the Period January 1 to November 15, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
		(In $ millions)	
Revenue	$ 25.8	$ 21.7	$
Cost of sales	(22.4)	(18.8)	
General and administration expenses	(1.5)	(1.3)	
Share of profit of joint ventures, net of income tax (equity method)	(0.7)	(0.8)	
Net adjustment to profit from continuing operations	**$ 1.2**	**$ 0.8**	**$**

(b) Leases

Under IFRS, a finance lease is a lease that transfers substantially all the risks and rewards incidental to ownership of the leased asset from the lessor to the lessee. IFRS applies a substance over legal form approach and requires judgment. The concepts for determining whether a lease is a capital (finance) lease are generally the same under U.S. GAAP and IFRS. However, U.S. GAAP provides explicit quantitative thresholds that define when certain of these criteria are met and in practice this can lead to certain arrangements that are classified as finance leases under IFRS that do not meet the quantitative thresholds of U.S. GAAP to be treated as a capital (finance) lease.

Table of Contents

Certain leases in Pactiv s lease portfolio that had been treated as operating leases under U.S. GAAP meet the requirements to be classified as finance leases under IFRS.

The adjustment to the income statement reflects the reversal of operating lease expense previously recognized under U.S. GAAP, and the recognition of depreciation expense associated with the capitalized asset and interest expense associated with the liability for future lease payments. The following table provides details of the components of this net adjustment:

	For the Period January 1 to November 15, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
		(In $ millions)	
Cost of sales reversal of lease expense	$ 1.6	$ 1.5	$
Cost of sales recognition of depreciation expense	(0.9)	(0.8)	
Cost of sales net impact	0.7	0.7	
Finance expense interest on liability for future lease payments	(0.7)	(0.7)	
Net adjustment to profit from continuing operations	**$**	**$**	**$**

(c) Employee benefits

A number of Pactiv s defined benefit pension plans require actuarial valuations to determine pension income (expense) and the plan s net asset or liability position.

Under U.S. GAAP, Pactiv determined the return on plan assets by applying the expected return on plan assets to a calculated value of assets that recognizes changes in fair value in a systematic and rational manner of not more than five years. Under IFRS, the return on plan assets is determined by applying the expected return on plan assets to the fair value of plan assets as of the measurement date. This difference in methodology impacts the calculation of expected return on plan assets, a component of net pension expense.

Under U.S. GAAP, Pactiv s net pension income (expense) included the amortization of unrecognized actuarial gains and losses. On transition to IFRS, all unrecognized actuarial gains and losses may be recognized directly in retained earnings. Accordingly, the IFRS periodic pension expense has no amortization component.

The following table presents the components of the net adjustment to pension income (expense) and also the allocation of this adjustment in the pro forma income statement:

	For the Period January 1 to November 15,	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,

	2010	2010 (In $ millions)	2011
Reversal of unrecognized net actuarial loss	$ (64.5)	$ (56.0)	$
Adjustment to expected return on plan assets	66.1	58.7	
Net adjustment to pension expense	1.6	2.7	
Recognized as:			
Decrease to general and administration expenses	1.6	2.7	
Net adjustment to profit from continuing operations	**$ 1.6**	**$ 2.7**	**$**

Table of Contents

(d) Derivative financial instruments

Gains on termination of interest rate swaps were deferred upon termination of the swaps by Pactiv in 1999 and 2007 and were amortized into the income statement over the remaining term of the related debt. Under IFRS, these gains would have been recognized in the income statement on termination.

The preliminary conversion adjustment for derivative financial instruments is reflected in the income statement to reverse the amortization of the deferred net gain, increasing financial expenses by $0.9 million and $0.8 million for the period from January 1, 2010 to November 15, 2010 and the nine months ended September 30, 2010, respectively.

(e) Represents the adjustment to income tax expense in the pro forma income statement to reflect the tax effect of the U.S. GAAP to IFRS, fair value and other adjustments at a statutory rate of 37%.

Fair Values for the Pactiv Transaction

The Pactiv Acquisition was an acquisition of a business from third parties. Accordingly, IFRS requires that the RGHL Group recognize the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as part of the Pactiv Acquisition at their fair values. Goodwill is then recognized for the excess of the consideration paid over the net of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed measured at their fair values.

The RGHL Group audited financial statements as of December 31, 2010 and the RGHL Group unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011, each of which is included elsewhere in this prospectus, include the effects of the final allocation of the purchase price as of the date of acquisition.

The following adjustments reflect the impact on the historical Pactiv income statements resulting from the fair value adjustments arising as a result of the acquisition of Pactiv by the RGHL Group.

(f) Represents the adjustment to net financial expenses arising as a result of the fair value adjustments recognized for the indebtedness assumed as part of the Pactiv Transaction, as if the Pactiv Transaction had been completed as of January 1, 2010, comprising:

	For the Period January 1 to November 15, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
		(In $ millions)	
Amortization of fair value adjustments to existing Pactiv borrowings(i)	$ 8.4	$ 7.1	$
Net adjustment to financial expenses	**$ 8.4**	**$ 7.1**	**$**

(i) Represents the annualized amortization credit in respect of the fair value adjustment to the existing Pactiv borrowings that remain outstanding following the Pactiv Transaction.

(g) Represents the income statement impact of the fair value adjustments for property, plant and equipment and finite life intangible assets as part of the acquisition of Pactiv by the RGHL Group.

To recognize the impact of the transaction as if it had been completed as of January 1, 2010, depreciation expense would decrease and amortization expense would increase in the pro forma combined income statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, and for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
	(In $ millions)		
Amortization of intangible assets (excluding goodwill)	$ (57.3)	$ (49.6)	$
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	40.6	35.5	
Total	**$ (16.7)**	**$ (14.1)**	**$**
Recognized in:			
Cost of sales	$ 12.7	$ 10.3	$
General and administration expenses	(29.4)	(24.4)	
Total	**$ (16.7)**	**$ (14.1)**	**$**

Due to the final assessment of the acquired property, plant and equipment, the estimated weighted useful life of depreciable property, plant and equipment has decreased from a historical value of 14.1 years to 12.3 years. The significant reduction in the fair value of the assets acquired (when compared to the predecessor historical gross book values) has resulted in pro forma depreciation being less than the amount recorded in Pactiv s historical financial statements.

In addition, pro forma amortization expense has increased compared to the amount that was recorded in Pactiv s historical financial statements as a result of the final fair value assessment of the acquired identifiable amortizable intangible assets combined with the weighted average useful life of 18.5 years.

Other adjustments for the Pactiv Transaction

The following other adjustments reflect the impact on the historical Pactiv income statements resulting from the elimination of historical intercompany sales and cost of sales between the RGHL Group and Pactiv and the repayment of certain of Pactiv s external borrowings, which occurred in connection with the Pactiv Transaction.

(h) Represents the elimination of historical intercompany sales and cost of sales between the RGHL Group and Pactiv for the year ended December 31, 2010 and the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, calculated as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011

	(In $ millions)				
Revenue	$	(23.6)	$	(20.6)	$
Cost of sales		23.6		20.6	
Gross profit	**$**		**$**		**$**

Table of Contents

(i) Represents the adjustment to net financial expenses for the Pactiv historical indebtedness that was repaid as a component of the Pactiv Transaction, as if the Pactiv Transaction had been completed as of January 1, 2010, comprising:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
	(In $ millions)		
Elimination of the historical interest on repaid Pactiv external borrowings	$ 15.6	$ 13.3	$
Net adjustment to financial expenses	**$ 15.6**	**$ 13.3**	**$**

(5) Historical Dopaco

The historical financial information of Dopaco is derived from:

the audited historical combined financial statements of Dopaco as of and for the year ended December 26, 2010 and as of and for the 126-day period ended May 1, 2011, each of which is included elsewhere in this prospectus; and

the unaudited combined financial statements of Dopaco as of and for the nine months ended September 26, 2010, which are not included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The historical financial information extracted from the combined financial statements of Dopaco is prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. For the purpose of presenting the historical information of Dopaco in a reporting format that is consistent with that of the RGHL Group, certain components of Dopaco s combined statement of earnings have been reclassified.

The following reclassifications have been made in the combined statement of earnings for the year ended December 26, 2010:

The balance of Realized foreign currency exchange gain of $0.4 million has been reclassified to Other income ;

Selling and administrative expenses of $32.6 million as reported by Dopaco on the face of the income statement have been reclassified to Selling, marketing and distribution expenses ($7.5 million) and General and administration expenses ($25.1 million) based on the nature of the expenses; and

Share of results of significantly influenced company of $0.6 million has been reclassified to Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax .

The following reclassifications have been made in the combined statement of earnings for the nine month period ended September 26, 2010:

The balance of "Interest income" of $0.1 million has been reclassified to "Financial income";

The balance of "Realized foreign currency exchange gain" of $0.2 million has been reclassified to "Other income";

"Selling and administrative expenses" of $25.0 million as reported by Dopaco on the face of the income statement have been reclassified to "Selling, marketing and distribution expenses" ($5.6 million) and "General and administration expenses" ($19.4 million) based on the nature of the expenses; and

"Share of results of significantly influenced company" of $0.5 million has been reclassified to "Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax".

The following reclassifications have been made in the combined statement of earnings for the 126-day period ended May 1, 2011:

The balance of Interest income of $0.1 million has been reclassified to Financial income ;

The balance of Realized foreign currency exchange gain of $0.1 million has been reclassified to Other income ; and

Selling and administrative expenses of $14.4 million as reported by Dopaco on the face of the income statement have been reclassified to Selling, marketing and distribution expenses ($2.9 million) and General and administration expenses ($11.5 million) based on the nature of the expenses.

Share of results of significantly influenced company of $0.1 million has been reclassified to Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax .

(6) Adjustments to Historical Dopaco Results on Conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS, Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Dopaco Acquisition

Adjustments to Historical Dopaco Balances and Results on Conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS

The historical financial information extracted from the audited combined balance sheet as of May 1, 2011, the audited combined statements of earnings for the year ended December 26, 2010 and for the 126-day period ended May 1, 2011, and the unaudited interim combined statement of earnings for the nine month period ended September 26, 2010, were prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. Based on our analysis, we have not identified any material differences between U.S. GAAP and IFRS for Dopaco s financial information for any of the periods presented. We have not attempted to quantify all of the differences that would have been identified if the complete historical combined Dopaco financial statements had been prepared in accordance with IFRS. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that all the differences have been identified that would result if a full conversion exercise had been undertaken. Had we undertaken a complete conversion exercise to IFRS, other accounting and disclosure differences may have come to our attention that are not identified below, some of which may be material. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the extracted financial information presentation is consistent with the RGHL Group s financial statements.

Preliminary Fair Value Adjustments for the Dopaco Acquisition

The Dopaco Acquisition was an acquisition of a business from third parties. Accordingly, IFRS requires that the RGHL Group recognize the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as part of the Dopaco Acquisition at their fair values. Goodwill is then recognized for the excess of the consideration paid over the net of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed measured at their fair values.

The Dopaco Acquisition closed on May 2, 2011. The RGHL Group unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of and for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus, include the effects of the preliminary allocation of the purchase price. In accordance with IFRS, we are in the process of reviewing and finalizing the preliminary fair values. This process will be completed no later than May 2, 2012. The final purchase price allocation may be different than that reflected in the RGHL Group unaudited interim condensed financial statement as of September 30, 2011 or the financial information presented in this pro forma financial information and those differences may be material.

The following adjustments reflect the impact on the historical Dopaco results from the fair value adjustments arising as a result of the acquisition of Dopaco by the RGHL Group:

(a) Reflects the preliminary fair value adjustment to property, plant and equipment. As part of the preliminary assessment of the purchase price accounting for the Dopaco Acquisition, management has identified on a preliminary basis the following categories of property, plant and equipment and assessed the preliminary fair value and estimated useful lives as follows:

Type of Property, Plant and Equipment	**Preliminary Fair Values (In $ millions)**		**Estimated Useful life**
Machinery and equipment	$	122.3	2 to 12 years
Building and improvements		8.8	35 years
Land		0.6	Indefinite
Preliminary fair value of property, plant and equipment	**$**	**131.7**	
Less existing property, plant and equipment		(151.7)	
Adjustment to property, plant and equipment	**$**	**(20.0)**	

The table below illustrates the effect of a 10% increase or decrease to the preliminary fair value of the acquired property, plant and equipment on the pro forma financial statements. Such increases or decreases would result in a corresponding but equal change in the preliminary value of goodwill.

	(In $ millions)	
Estimated preliminary fair values	$	131.7
Effect of a 10% increase in property, plant and equipment		144.9
Effect of a 10% decrease in property, plant and equipment		118.6

Using estimated average useful lives, an increase or decrease of 10% to the preliminary fair values of the acquired property, plant and equipment would result in a corresponding increase or decrease to depreciation expense by $1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $1.0 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

As a result of the preliminary assessment of the property, plant and equipment, the estimated weighted useful life has increased to 11.1 years from a historical value of 6.0 years, resulting in lower pro forma depreciation expense than was recorded in Dopaco s historical financial information.

For the purpose of the pro forma income statements, depreciation has been calculated using the remaining estimated average useful lives of each class of asset. A change in the remaining estimated average useful lives of each class of property, plant and equipment would change depreciation expense. An increase of one year in the remaining estimated weighted useful lives would decrease depreciation expense by $1.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $1.0 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011. A decrease of one year would increase depreciation expense by $2.1 million in the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $1.6 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

(b) Reflects impact of the preliminary fair value adjustments to identifiable intangible assets to reflect the value of customer relationships, trade names, patents, favorable leaseholds and emission reduction credits.

As part of its preliminary assessment of the purchase price accounting for the Dopaco Acquisition, management has identified on a preliminary basis the following significant identifiable intangible assets and assessed there preliminary fair values and estimated useful lives as follows:

Customer relationships

Customer relationships represent the value attributable to purchased long-standing business relationships which have been cultivated over the years with customers.

Trade name

The Dopaco trade name is a business to business trade name under which the products are sold. The preliminary value of the trade name is being amortized over 5 years as it is a defensible intangible asset.

Table of Contents

For the purpose of the pro forma income statements, amortization has been calculated based on the estimated average useful lives of the finite life intangible assets recognized on acquisition. A change in the remaining estimated average useful lives of each class of intangible asset would change amortization expense. An increase of one year in the remaining estimated average useful lives would decrease amortization expense by $1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $0.8 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011. A decrease of one year would increase amortization expense by $1.3 million in the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $1.0 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

Type of Identifiable Intangible Assets		**Preliminary Fair Values (In $ millions)**	**Estimated Useful life**
Customer relationships	$	40.4	14 to 19 years
Trade names		22.9	5 years
Patents		3.7	10 years
Favorable leasehold		1.0	3 to 6 years
Emission reduction credit		0.9	Indefinite
Preliminary fair value of identifiable intangible assets		68.9	
Less existing intangible assets (excluding goodwill)		(15.8)	
Adjustment to identifiable intangible assets	**$**	**53.1**	

The table below illustrates the effect of a 10% increase or decrease to the preliminary fair values of the acquired identifiable intangible assets on the pro forma financial information. Such increases or decreases would result in a corresponding but equal change in the preliminary value of goodwill.

	(In $ millions)
Estimated preliminary fair values	$ 68.9
Effect of a 10% increase in identifiable intangible assets	75.8
Effect of a 10% decrease in identifiable intangible assets	62.0

Using estimated average useful lives, an increase or decrease of 10% to the preliminary fair values of the acquired identifiable intangible assets would result in a corresponding increase or decrease to amortization expense by $0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $0.6 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

As a result of the preliminary assessment of the identifiable intangible assets, the estimated weighted useful life is 12.2 years.

Dopaco s historical depreciation and amortization expense has been adjusted in the pro forma income statements based on the preliminary estimated fair values of $131.7 million associated with property, plant and equipment, of which $128.7 million are depreciable over their respective estimated useful lives, and $68.9 million associated with identifiable intangible assets, of which $68.0 million are amortizable over their respective estimated useful lives. To recognize the impact of the Dopaco Acquisition as if it had been completed as of January 1, 2010, depreciation expense would decrease and amortization expense would increase in the pro forma combined income statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
	(In $ millions)		
Amortization of intangible assets (excluding goodwill)	$ (7.0)	$ (5.3)	$ (2.4)
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	9.0	7.0	3.2
Total	**$ 2.0**	**$ 1.7**	**$ 0.8**
Recognized in:			
Cost of sales	$ 8.6	$ 6.7	$ 3.0
General and administration expenses	(6.6)	(5.0)	(2.2)
Total	**$ 2.0**	**$ 1.7**	**$ 0.8**

(c) Reflects the tax effect of the above preliminary fair value adjustments determined using a statutory tax rate of 34%.

Preliminary Other Adjustments for the Dopaco Acquisition

The following other adjustments reflect the impact on the historical Dopaco income statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011 resulting from the elimination of the historical intercompany sales and cost of sales between the RGHL Group and Dopaco.

(d) Represents the elimination of historical intercompany sales and cost of sales between the RGHL Group and Dopaco, as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
	(In $ millions)		
Revenue	$ (13.2)	$ (10.3)	$ (4.2)
Cost of sales	13.2	10.3	4.2
Gross profit	**$**	**$**	**$**

(e) The preliminary values of assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities recognized on the Dopaco Acquisition are estimated fair values. The fair values of the items listed below have been determined on a provisional basis pending completion of independent valuations and management s review of the underlying reconciliations and supporting data, except as noted below:

		(In $ millions)
Cash and cash equivalents*	$	2.5
Trade and other receivables		32.9
Assets held for sale*		2.5
Deferred tax assets		3.8
Inventories		58.4
Property, plant and equipment		131.7
Intangible assets (excluding goodwill)		68.9
Goodwill on acquisition		182.8
Other current and non-current assets		6.6
Bank overdrafts		(4.4)
Trade and other payables		(20.0)
Deferred tax liability		(43.1)
Provisions and employee benefits		(27.4)
Net assets acquired	**$**	**395.2**

* Fair value finalized.

(7) Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted

The historical financial information of Graham Packaging is derived from:

the unaudited accounting records for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011, which incorporates the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2011, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus (the composition of which is shown below); and

the audited historical consolidated statement of operations of Graham Packaging for the year ended December 31, 2010, which is included elsewhere in this prospectus, and the unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2010, which are not included elsewhere in this prospectus.

	Historical Graham Packaging Income Statements as Adjusted		
	For the period from January 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011	**For the period from July 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011**	**For the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011**
	(In $ millions)		

Revenue	$	**1,577.7**	$	**552.2**	$	**2,129.9**
Cost of sales		(1,338.3)		(478.4)		(1,816.7)
Gross profit		**239.4**		**73.8**		**313.2**
Other income (expense)		0.4				0.4
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses		(47.5)		(25.2)		(72.7)
General and administration expenses		(65.7)		(34.9)		(100.6)
Other expenses		(16.0)		(223.9)		(239.9)
Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax (equity method)						

	Historical Graham Packaging Income Statements as Adjusted		
	For the period from January 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011 (In $ millions)	For the period from July 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011	For the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011
Profit (loss) from operating activities	**$ 110.6**	**$ (210.2)**	**$ (99.6)**
Financial income	0.6	0.2	0.8
Financial expenses	(106.2)	(36.3)	(142.5)
Net financial expenses	**$ (105.6)**	**$ (36.1)**	**$ (141.7)**
Profit (loss) before income tax	**$ 5.0**	**$ (246.3)**	**$ (241.3)**
Income tax benefit (expense)	(23.6)	(2.6)	(26.2)
Profit (loss) from continuing operations before non-recurring charges directly attributable to the Pro Forma Transactions	**$ (18.6)**	**$ (248.9)**	**$ (267.5)**

The historical consolidated financial information of Graham Packaging is prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. For the purpose of presenting the historical information in a reporting format that is consistent with that of the RGHL Group, certain components of Graham Packaging s income statements have been reclassified.

The following reclassifications have been made in the consolidated statements of operations for the year ended December 31, 2010:

Other income (expense) net of ($2.6 million) as reported by Graham Packaging on the face of the income statement has been reclassified to Other income $0.4 million and Other expenses ($3.0 million);

The balance of Asset impairment charges of $9.6 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ;

The balance of Net loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment of $3.7 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ;

Interest expense of $185.6 million has been reclassified to Financial expenses ;

Net loss on debt extinguishment of $31.1 million and Write off in accumulated other comprehensive income related to interest rate swaps of $7.0 million have been reclassified to Financial expenses ;

Increase in income tax receivable obligations of $5.0 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ; and

- Selling, general and administrative expenses of $181.4 million have been reclassified to Selling, marketing and distribution expenses ($51.6 million), General and administration expenses ($71.3 million) and Other expenses ($58.5 million) based on the nature of the expenses.

The following reclassifications have been made in the consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010:

- Other income (expense) net of ($2.6 million) as reported by Graham Packaging on the face of the income statement has been reclassified to Other expenses ;
- The balance of Asset impairment charges of $3.7 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ;
- The balance of Net loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment of $2.1 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ;
- Interest expense of $131.0 million has been reclassified to Financial expenses ;
- Net loss on debt extinguishment of $31.1 million and Write off in accumulated other comprehensive income related to interest rate swaps of $7.0 million have been reclassified to Financial expenses ;
- Increase in income tax receivable obligations of $1.7 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ; and

Selling, general and administrative expenses of $140.2 million have been reclassified to Selling, marketing and distribution expenses ($54.5 million), General and administration expenses ($75.3 million) and Other expenses ($10.4 million) based on the nature of the expenses.

The following reclassifications have been made in the consolidated statement of operations for the period from January 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011:

Other income (expense) net of $0.4 million as reported by Graham Packaging on the face of the income statement has been reclassified to Other income ;

The balance of Asset impairment charges of $2.5 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ;

The balance of Net loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment of ($0.1 million) has been reclassified to Other expenses ;

Interest expense of $106.2 million has been reclassified to Financial expenses ;

Increase in income tax receivable obligations of $12.6 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ; and

Selling, general and administrative expenses of $114.2 million have been reclassified to Selling, marketing and distribution expenses ($47.5 million), General and administration expenses ($65.7 million) and Other expenses ($1.0 million) based on the nature of the expenses.

The following reclassifications have been made in the consolidated statement of operations for the period from July 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011:

Other income (expense) net of ($0.6 million) as reported by Graham Packaging on the face of the income statement has been reclassified to Other expense ;

The balance of Asset impairment charges of $0.5 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ;

The balance of Net loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment of $0.5 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ;

Interest expense of $36.3 million has been reclassified to Financial expenses ;

Increase in income tax receivable obligations of $221.1 million has been reclassified to Other expenses ; and

Selling, general and administrative expenses of $61.3 million have been reclassified to Selling, marketing and distribution expenses ($25.2 million), General and administration expenses ($34.9 million) and Other expenses ($1.2 million) based on the nature of the expenses.

(8) Adjustments to Historical Graham Packaging as Adjusted and Results on Preliminary Conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS

The historical financial information of Graham Packaging was prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. For the purpose of presenting the unaudited pro forma combined financial information, the reclassified income statement information for the year ended December 31, 2010, for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011 has been converted to IFRS on a preliminary basis by applying, in all material respects, the accounting policies of the RGHL Group as of January 1, 2010. In converting this data, management has made adjustments to amounts previously reported in Graham Packaging s financial statements under U.S. GAAP. IFRS and U.S. GAAP are not directly comparable. We have not attempted to quantify all differences that would have been identified if the complete historical Graham Packaging financial statements had been prepared in accordance with IFRS. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the conversion as described is a complete summary of all the differences that would result had a full exercise been undertaken. Had we undertaken such conversion, other accounting and disclosure differences may have come to our attention that are not identified below, some of which may be material. Accordingly, we cannot assure you that the identified differences below represent all material adjustments to Graham Packaging s financial statements necessary to present them on an IFRS basis consistent with the RGHL Group s financial statements. See Summary Summary of Certain Differences

Table of Contents

Between IFRS and U.S. GAAP. An explanation of how the preliminary conversion of Graham Packaging from U.S. GAAP to IFRS has affected pro forma profit from continuing operations is set out below:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
	(In $ millions)		
Income (loss) from continuing operations as reported under U.S. GAAP	$ 61.8	$ 8.9	$ (267.5)
Adjustments for the preliminary conversion from U.S. GAAP to IFRS			
Employee benefits(a)	0.8	0.6	0.5
Interest on note receivable in equity(b)	0.4	0.3	0.3
Income tax expense(c)	(0.4)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Change in results	0.8	0.6	0.5
Profit (loss) after income taxes under IFRS	**$ 62.6**	**$ 9.5**	**$ (267.0)**

(a) Employee benefits

Graham Packaging has certain defined benefit pension plans that require actuarial valuations to determine pension income (expense) and the plan s net asset or liability position.

Under U.S. GAAP, Graham Packaging s net pension income (expense) included the amortization of unrecognized actuarial gains and losses. On transition to IFRS, all unrecognized actuarial gains and losses may be recognized directly in retained earnings. Accordingly, the IFRS periodic pension expense has no amortization component.

The following table presents the components of the net adjustment to pension income (expense) and also the allocation of this adjustment in the pro forma income statements:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	2011
	(In $ millions)		
Reversal of unrecognized net actuarial loss	$ (0.8)	$ (0.6)	$ (0.6)
Reversal of amortized prior service costs and net loss	1.6	1.2	1.1
Net adjustment to pension expense	0.8	0.6	0.5

Recognized as:						
(Increase) decrease to general and administration expenses		0.8		0.6		0.5
Net adjustment to profit from continuing operations	**$**	**0.8**	**$**	**0.6**	**$**	**0.5**

There is no impact on net assets arising from this adjustment.

(b) Financial income

This amount represents the interest income earned on the note receivable for ownership interest. Interest income of $0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 (nine months ended September 30, 2010 and for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011: $0.3 million and $0.3 million, respectively) was reclassified from equity to financial income.

The net adjustment to profit from continuing operations as a result of this adjustment was an increase of $0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010, an increase of $0.3 million for the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and an increase of $0.3 million for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011.

(c) Income tax expense

The adjustments to income tax expense in the pro forma income statements reflect the tax effect of the U.S. GAAP to IFRS adjustments. The tax adjustment has been calculated using Graham Packaging s assumed statutory tax rate of 36%.

(9) Preliminary Fair Value and Other Adjustments for the Graham Packaging Acquisition

The Graham Packaging Acquisition was an acquisition of a business from third parties. Accordingly, IFRS requires that the RGHL Group recognize the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed as part of the Graham Packaging Acquisition at their fair values. Goodwill is then recognized as the excess of the consideration paid over the net of the identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed measured at their fair values.

The Graham Packaging Acquisition closed on September 8, 2011. The RGHL Group s unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011, which are included elsewhere in this prospectus, include the effects of the preliminary allocation of the purchase price. In accordance with IFRS, we are in the process of reviewing and finalizing the preliminary fair values. This process will be completed no later than September 8, 2012. The final purchase price allocation may be different than that reflected in the RGHL Group s unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 or the financial information presented in this pro forma financial information, and those differences may be material.

The following adjustments reflect the impact on the historical Graham Packaging results from the fair value adjustments arising from the Graham Packaging Acquisition and the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer:

(a) Represents the adjustment to net financial expenses resulting from the repayment of certain historical indebtedness of Graham Packaging in connection with the Graham Packaging Transaction:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2010	2010	2011
	(In $ millions)		
Elimination of historical interest, amortization of debt issuance costs and original issue discount on Graham Packaging s senior secured credit facilities, a portion of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes, a portion of the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes and a portion of the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes(i)	$ 152.8	$ 100.7	$ 123.1
Amortization of fair value adjustment to existing Graham Packaging borrowings(ii)	1.2	0.9	0.8
Net adjustment to financial expenses	**$ 154.0**	**$ 101.6**	**$ 123.9**

(i)

Represents the elimination of historical interest, amortization of debt issuance costs and original issue discount on Graham Packaging s former senior secured credit facilities for the year ended December 31, 2010 of $124.8 million (nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011: $83.2 million and $94.4 million, respectively), the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes of $20.8 million (nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011: $15.9 million and $14.0 million, respectively), the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes of $5.6 million (nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011: $0.5 million and $13.6 million, respectively), and the Graham Packaging Senior Subordinated Notes of $1.6 million (nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and for the period from January 1, 2011 to September 7, 2011: $1.1 million and $1.1 million, respectively).

(ii) Represents the accretion to the non-cash interest expense on the amortization of the fair value adjustment to the Graham Packaging borrowings that remain outstanding following the Graham Packaging Transaction. Each $10.0 million change in this fair value adjustment would change the nine month interest expense by $1.6 million and the annual interest expense by $2.1 million.

(b) Reflects the impact of the preliminary fair value adjustments to property, plant and equipment:

Type of Property, Plant and Equipment	Preliminary Fair Values (In $ millions)	Estimated Useful life
Machinery and equipment	$ 1,091.7	1 to 10 years
Buildings	203.3	25 years
Capital work in progress	85.5	Not applicable
Land	57.5	Indefinite
Preliminary fair value of property, plant and equipment	$ 1,438.0	
Less existing property, plant and equipment after U.S. GAAP to IFRS adjustment	(1,204.6)	
Adjustment to property, plant and equipment	**$ 233.4**	

The table below illustrates the effect of a 10% increase or decrease to the preliminary fair values of the acquired property, plant and equipment on the pro forma financial statements. Such increases or decreases would result in a corresponding offsetting but equal change in the preliminary value of goodwill.

	(In $ millions)
Estimated preliminary fair values	$ 1,438.0
Effect of a 10% increase in property, plant and equipment	1,581.8
Effect of a 10% decrease in property, plant and equipment	1,294.2

An increase or decrease of 10% to the preliminary fair values of the acquired property, plant and equipment would result in a corresponding increase or decrease to depreciation expense by $15.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $11.5 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

As a result of the preliminary assessment of the property, plant and equipment, the estimated weighted average useful life has increased to 11.5 years from a historical value of 6.0 years, resulting in lower pro forma depreciation expense than was recorded in Graham Packaging s historical financial statements.

For the purpose of the pro forma income statements, depreciation has been calculated based on the revised fair value using the remaining estimated average useful lives of each class of asset. A change in the remaining estimated average useful lives of each class of property, plant and equipment would change depreciation expense. Using estimated average useful lives, an increase of one year in the remaining estimated average useful lives would decrease depreciation expense by $21.6 million in the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $16.2 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011. A decrease of one year would increase depreciation expense by $36.4 million in the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $27.3 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

(c) Reflects the impact of the preliminary fair value adjustment to identifiable intangible assets.

As part of its preliminary assessment of the purchase price accounting for the Graham Packaging Acquisition, management has identified on a preliminary basis the following significant identifiable intangible assets and assessed their preliminary fair values and estimated useful lives as follows:

Trade name

The Graham Packaging trade name has been valued as a business to business trade name with an indefinite life.

Customer relationships

Graham Packaging s operations are characterized by contractual arrangements with customers for the supply of finished packaging products. The separately identifiable intangible asset reflects the estimated value that is attributable to the existing contractual arrangement and the value that is expected from the on-going relationship beyond the existing contractual period.

Technology

Graham Packaging s operation includes certain proprietary knowledge and processes that have been internally developed. The business operates in product categories where customers and end-users value the technology and innovation that Graham Packaging s custom plastic containers offer as an alternative to traditional packaging materials.

Type of Identifiable Intangible Assets	Preliminary Fair Values (In $ millions)	Estimated Useful life
Trade names	$ 292.4	Indefinite
Customer relationships	1,036.5	17 years
Technology	349.9	10-15 years
Preliminary fair value of identifiable intangible assets	1,678.8	
Less existing intangible assets (excluding goodwill) after U.S. GAAP to IFRS adjustment	(210.0)	
Adjustment to identifiable intangible assets	**$ 1,468.8**	

The table below illustrates the effect of a 10% increase or decrease to the preliminary fair values of the acquired identifiable intangible assets on the pro forma financial statements. Such increases or decreases would result in a corresponding but equal change in the preliminary value of goodwill.

	(In $ millions)
Estimated preliminary fair values	$ 1,678.8
Effect of a 10% increase in identifiable intangible assets	1,846.7
Effect of a 10% decrease in identifiable intangible assets	1,510.9

An increase or decrease of 10% to the preliminary fair values of the acquired identifiable intangible assets would result in a corresponding increase or decrease to amortization expense by $8.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $6.3 million for the each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

As a result of the preliminary assessment of the identifiable intangible assets, the estimated weighted useful life is 16.5 years.

For the purpose of the pro forma income statements, amortization has been calculated based on the estimated average useful lives of the finite life intangible assets recognized on acquisition. A change in the remaining estimated average useful lives of each class of intangible asset would change amortization expense. Using estimated average useful lives, an increase of one year in the remaining estimated average useful lives would decrease amortization expense by $4.8 million in the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $3.6 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011. A decrease of one year would increase amortization expense by $5.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2010 and by $4.1 million for each of the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011.

Graham Packaging s historical depreciation and amortization expense has been adjusted in the pro forma income statements based on preliminary estimated fair values of $1,438.0 million associated with property, plant and equipment, of which $1,295.0 million are depreciable over their estimated useful lives, and $1,678.8 million associated with identifiable intangible assets, of which $1,386.4 million are amortizable over their respective estimated useful lives. To recognize the transaction as if it had been completed as of January 1, 2010, depreciation would decrease and amortization expense would increase in the pro forma combined income statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
	(In $ millions)		
Amortization of intangible assets (excluding goodwill)	$ (72.2)	$ (57.3)	$ (37.7)
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment	5.9	(2.9)	21.7
Total	**$ (66.3)**	**$ (60.2)**	**$ (16.0)**
Recognized in:			
Cost of sales	$ (17.3)	$ (21.3)	$ 11.9
General and administration expenses	(49.0)	(38.9)	(27.9)
Total	**$ (66.3)**	**$ (60.2)**	**$ (16.0)**

(d) Represents the tax effect of the above preliminary fair value adjustments. This tax adjustment has been calculated using Graham Packaging s assumed statutory tax rate of 36%.

(e) Represents the net adjustment to cash for the repayment of a portion of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes (including the change of control premium) and a portion of the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes (including the change of control premium).

(f) Represents the net adjustment to current borrowings for the repayment of a portion of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes, a portion of the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes and a portion of the associated embedded derivatives in connection with each of these series of notes.

(g) Represents the portion of the embedded derivative asset extinguished in connection with the repayment of a portion of the Graham Packaging 2017 Notes and the Graham Packaging 2018 Notes.

(h) The preliminary fair values for the assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities recognized as a result of the Graham Packaging Acquisition are listed below and have been determined on a provisional basis, pending completion of independent valuations and management s further assessment and review.

	Preliminary Values on Acquisition (In $ millions)
Cash and cash equivalents	$ 145.6
Trade and other receivables	337.6

Inventories		299.8
Current tax assets		3.3
Assets held for sale		6.6
Investments in associates		1.4
Deferred tax assets		7.4
Property, plant and equipment		1,438.0
Intangible assets (excluding goodwill)		1,678.8
Goodwill on acquisition		2,012.0
Derivative assets		9.4
Other current and non-current assets		19.0
Trade and other payables		(694.5)
Current tax liabilities		(9.8)
Borrowings		(2,852.0)
Deferred tax liabilities		(405.3)
Provisions and employee benefits		(200.5)
Net assets acquired	**$**	**1,796.8**

(10) Pro Forma RGHL Combined Group

Represents the historical RGHL Group adjusted for the full period impact of the financing components of the Evergreen Transaction, the Pactiv Transaction, the Refinancing Transactions and the financing component of the Graham Packaging Transaction (as described in note 2 above), the Pactiv Transaction (as described in notes 3 and 4 above), the Dopaco Acquisition (as described in notes 5 and 6 above) and the Graham Packaging Transaction (as described in notes 7, 8 and 9 above).

(11) Pro Forma RGHL Combined Group Depreciation and Amortization

The pro forma income statement includes both cost of sales and general and administration expenses, and included in each of these line items are depreciation and amortization expense. The following table presents the calculation of the pro forma depreciation and amortization expense derived from the applicable accounting records for the respective time periods:

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011
	(In $ millions)		
RGHL Group	$ 503.8	$ 341.9	$ 647.8
Pactiv	186.1	159.8	
Dopaco	21.6	16.2	7.2
Graham Packaging	237.4	178.1	158.3
Total for the period	**$ 948.9**	**$ 696.0**	**$ 813.3**

152

Table of Contents

(12) Pro Forma RGHL Combined Group Borrowings

The following table identifies as of September 30, 2011 on a pro forma basis following the consummation of the Graham Packaging Transaction, the components of our current and non-current borrowings, net of the respective unamortized issuance costs and original issue discounts:

		(In $ millions)
August 2011 Senior Secured Notes(i)	$	1,467.6
August 2011 Senior Notes(ii)		972.0
February 2011 Senior Secured Notes(iii)		999.1
February 2011 Senior Notes(iv)		993.2
October 2010 Senior Secured Notes(v)		1,471.8
October 2010 Senior Notes(vi)		1,465.4
May 2010 Notes(vii)		980.0
2009 Notes(viii)		1,665.7
Senior Secured Credit Facilities(ix)		4,563.4
2007 Senior Notes(x)		633.5
2007 Senior Subordinated Notes(xi)		553.7
Existing Pactiv Indebtedness(xii)		1,052.8
Existing Graham Packaging Indebtedness(xiii)		399.9
New related party loan with Reynolds Treasury (NZ) Limited(xiv)		23.7
Finance lease obligations		28.9
Other borrowings		24.9
Total borrowings	**$**	**17,295.6**
Fixed rate borrowings	$	12,683.6
Variable rate borrowings		4,612.0
Total borrowings	**$**	**17,295.6**
Current borrowings	$	557.9
Non-current borrowings		16,737.7
Total borrowings	**$**	**17,295.6**

(i) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of $1,500.0 million of August 2011 Senior Secured Notes, net of $10.8 million of original issue discount, $33.8 million of debt issuance costs, plus $12.2 million of embedded derivatives.

(ii) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of $1,000.0 million of August 2011 Senior Notes, net of $6.7 million of original issue discount, $27.5 million of debt issuance costs, plus $6.2 million of embedded derivatives.

(iii)

Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of $1,000.0 million of February 2011 Senior Secured Notes, net of $15.2 million of unamortized debt issuance costs, plus $14.3 million of embedded derivatives.

(iv) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of $1,000.0 million of February 2011 Senior Notes, net of $17.5 million of unamortized debt issuance costs, plus $10.7 million of embedded derivatives.

(v) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of $1,500.0 million of October 2010 Senior Secured Notes, net of $36.4 million of unamortized debt issuance costs, plus $8.2 million of embedded derivatives.

(vi) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of $1,500.0 million of October 2010 Senior Notes, net of $43.6 million of unamortized debt issuance costs, plus $9.0 million of embedded derivatives. As a portion of the dollar denominated October 2010 Senior Notes were issued by the Lux issuer, which uses the euro as its functional currency, a portion of the proceeds of these notes are exposed to changes in foreign exchange rates. A 5% strengthening of the euro against the dollar at December, 31, 2010, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 would have decreased financial expenses by $34.3 million, $33.4 million and $36.6 million, respectively, whereas a 5% weakening of the euro against the dollar would have increased financial expenses by $37.8 million, $36.9 million and $40.4 million, respectively. On translation of the euro functional currency results of the Lux issuer to the RGHL Group s reporting currency, these changes would have an equal but offsetting effect on the foreign currency translation reserve, which is a component of equity.

(vii) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of $1,000.0 million of May 2010 Notes, net of $28.3 million of unamortized debt issuance costs, plus $8.3 million of embedded derivatives. As a portion of the May 2010 Notes were issued by the Lux Issuer, which uses the euro as its functional currency, a portion of the proceeds of these notes are exposed to changes in foreign exchange rates. A 5% strengthening of the euro against the dollar at December 31, 2010, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 would have decreased financial expenses by $22.4 million, $21.7 million and $23.8 million, respectively, whereas a 5% weakening of the euro against the dollar would have an increased financial expenses by $24.5 million, $24.0 million and $26.2 million, respectively. On translation of the euro functional currency results of the Lux issuer to the RGHL Group s reporting currency, these changes would have an equal but offsetting effect on the foreign currency translation reserve, which is a component of equity.

(viii) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amounts of $1,125.0 million and 450.0 million of 2009 Notes, net of $17.8 million of original issue discount and $61.8 million of unamortized debt issuance costs, plus $11.3 million of embedded derivatives. As a portion of the dollar denominated 2009 Notes were issued by the Lux Issuer, which uses the euro as its functional currency, a portion of the proceeds of these notes are exposed to changes in foreign exchange rates. A 5% strengthening of the euro against the dollar at December 31, 2010, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 would have decreased financial expenses by $23.0 million, $22.5 million and $24.6 million, respectively, whereas a 5% weakening of the euro against the dollar would have an increased financial expenses by $25.4 million, $24.9 million and $27.2 million, respectively. On translation of the euro functional currency results of Lux issuer to the RGHL Group s reporting currency, these changes would have an equal but offsetting effect on the foreign currency translation reserve, which is a component of equity. With reference to the euro denominated 2009 Notes, a 5% strengthening of the euro against the dollar at December 31, 2010, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 would have decreased the foreign currency translation reserve, which is a component of equity, by $29.9 million, $30.6 million and $30.3 million, respectively, whereas a 5% weakening of the euro against the dollar would have an equal but opposite effect.

(ix) Reflects the balances outstanding under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities, net of $66.8 million of unamortized debt issuance costs and $19.8 million of original issue discount. Each 0.125% increase in the assumed interest rates used in the pro forma income statement would increase the incremental interest expense on the Dollar Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities by $2.9 million in the year ended December 31, 2010, by $2.2 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and by $2.0 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. Each 0.125% increase in the assumed interest rates used in the pro forma income statement would increase the incremental interest expense on the Euro Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities by $0.5 million in the year ended December 31, 2010, by $0.4 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2010 and by $0.3 million in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. As the interest rate assumed for the purposes of the pro forma financial information is at the LIBOR floor, a 0.125% decrease in the assumed interest rates used in the pro forma income statement would not change interest expense on the term loans under the Dollar Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities or the Euro Tranche of the Senior Secured Credit Facilities. As a portion of indebtedness under the Senior Secured Credit Facilities has been drawn in the euro by entities with the euro as their functional currency a 5% strengthening of the euro against the dollar at

December 31, 2010, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 would have decreased the foreign currency translation reserve, which is a component of equity, by

$16.6 million, $17.0 million and $16.8 million, respectively, whereas a 5% weakening of the euro against the dollar would have an equal but opposite effect.

(x) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of 480.0 million of 2007 Senior Notes, net of $16.1 million of unamortized debt issuance costs. As the 2007 Senior Notes have been issued as euro denominated notes by entities with the euro as their functional currency, a 5% strengthening of the euro against the dollar at December 31, 2010, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 would have decreased the foreign currency translation reserve, which is a component of equity, by $31.9 million, $32.7 million and $32.3 million, respectively, whereas a 5% weakening of the euro against the dollar would have the opposite effect.

(xi) Reflects the proceeds from the aggregate principal amount of 420.0 million of 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes, net of $14.7 million of unamortized debt issuance costs. As the 2007 Senior Subordinated Notes have been issued as euro denominated notes by entities with the euro as their functional currency, a 5% strengthening of the dollar against the euro at December 31, 2010, September 30, 2010 and September 30, 2011 would have decreased the foreign currency translation reserve, which is a component of equity, by $27.9 million, $28.6 million and $28.2 million, respectively, whereas a 5% weakening of the dollar against the euro would have the opposite effect.

(xii) Reflects the notes as previously issued by Pactiv and the remaining balance outstanding at September 30, 2011.

(xiii) Reflects the Graham Packaging indebtedness outstanding at September 30, 2011, less amounts repaid prior to or in connection with the Graham Packaging Transaction, including in connection with the Graham Packaging Change of Control Offer.

(xiv) Reflects the new related party loan issued by Reynolds Treasury (NZ) Limited.

Our total pro forma third-party indebtedness of $17,320.2 million includes (i) total interest bearing borrowings of $17,608.1 million, (ii) derivative liabilities of $15.5 million, (iii) bank overdrafts of $9.1 million, (iv) offset by debt issuance costs and original issue discounts of $416.8 million, (v) plus embedded derivative assets of $87.0 million and (vi) plus preliminary fair value adjustments of $17.3 million.

OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS

The following discussion of our historical financial statements covers certain periods before the consummation of the Graham Packaging Transaction on September 8, 2011 and does not reflect the results generated by Graham Company or the impact that the Graham Packaging Transaction may have on the RGHL Group for those periods. The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Business Description of Business and our historical financial statements and the notes thereto, in each case included elsewhere in this prospectus. The following discussion and analysis also includes forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied by the forward-looking statements with respect to us. Our actual results could differ materially from those discussed in these forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to these differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed below and elsewhere in this prospectus. See Special Note of Caution Regarding Forward-Looking Statements and Risk Factors.

Overview

RGHL was incorporated in New Zealand under the Companies Act 1993 on May 30, 2006. We are a leading global manufacturer and supplier of consumer food and beverage packaging and storage products. We operate through six segments: SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products, Pactiv Foodservice and Graham Packaging. We acquired these businesses in a series of transactions.

Recent Acquisitions and Integration

Pactiv Acquisition

On November 16, 2010, we acquired Pactiv for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $5.8 billion. We funded the purchase consideration and the repayment of certain borrowings that were acquired through a combination of additional borrowings, an equity contribution from Mr. Graeme Hart, our strategic owner, and existing cash of the RGHL Group.

The Pactiv Acquisition brought together two strong consumer and foodservice packaging platforms, increased our product, geographic and customer diversification and created an extensive and diverse distribution network. We believe our products are complementary, providing us with opportunities to generate incremental revenue through cross-selling and category expansion. We are in the process of combining our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses with our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, to form integrated Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. We also expect to realize significant cost savings by consolidating facilities, eliminating duplicative operations, improving supply chain management and achieving other efficiencies. For example, from the date of the Pactiv Acquisition to the date of this prospectus, we have announced the closure of eight manufacturing sites in North America. Once we fully integrate the businesses acquired in the Pactiv Acquisition, we expect to generate annual operational synergies and cost savings of approximately $225 million by the end of 2012. In order to achieve these synergies and cost savings, we expect to incur cash outlays of approximately $125 million by the end of 2012, of which we have incurred $100 million through September 30, 2011. Expenses incurred under our integration program generally include severance, exit, disposal and other costs associated with combining the consumer and foodservice packaging platforms. We believe that our efforts to achieve these objectives have yielded satisfactory results to date.

The valuation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed in connection with the Pactiv Acquisition has been finalized. In accordance with IFRS 3 (Revised), Business Combinations , all adjustments resulting from the finalization of the purchase accounting have been recognized retrospectively as of the date of the acquisition. For details of changes to previously reported provisional values of certain assets acquired and liabilities assumed, refer to note 34 of the RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Table of Contents

The Dopaco Acquisition

On May 2, 2011, we acquired Dopaco from Cascades Inc. Dopaco is a manufacturer of paper cups and folding cartons for the quick-service restaurant and foodservice industries in the United States and Canada. The purchase consideration for the acquisition was $395.2 million in cash. The consideration was funded from the existing cash of the RGHL Group. Dopaco s product lines complement and enhance our existing product lines, allowing us to offer a broader product range and develop additional customer relationships. Dopaco s business is being integrated into the Pactiv Foodservice segment. Once we fully integrate the businesses, we expect to generate annual operational synergies and cost savings of approximately $30 million by the end of 2012. In order to achieve these synergies and cost savings, we expect to incur cash outlays of approximately $40 million by the end of 2012, of which we have incurred $5 million through September 30, 2011. Expenses incurred under our integration program generally include severance and other costs.

The Graham Packaging Acquisition

On September 8, 2011, we acquired Graham Company for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $4.5 billion. We financed the purchase of shares, the repayment of certain of Graham Packaging s indebtedness and associated transaction costs, with $4.5 billion of new indebtedness. Graham Packaging is reported as a separate segment within the RGHL Group.

Graham Packaging is a leading global supplier of value-added rigid plastic containers for the hot food, specialty beverage and consumer products markets. The Graham Packaging Acquisition brings together two strong packaging platforms. We expect to realize significant cost savings by optimizing procurement of certain raw materials, consolidating facilities, eliminating duplicative operations and overhead, improving supply chain management and achieving other efficiencies. Once we fully integrate Graham Packaging, we expect to generate annual operational synergies and cost savings of approximately $75 million by the end of 2013. In order to achieve these synergies and cost savings, we expect to incur cash outlays of approximately $75 million by the end of 2013. Expenses incurred under our integration program generally will include severance, exit, disposal, and other costs.

Refer to note 18 of the RGHL Group s interim unaudited financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, included elsewhere in this prospectus for additional information related to the Graham Packaging Acquisition, the Dopaco Acquisition and the Pactiv Acquisition.

Our Segments

We currently report our financial results in six segments: SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products, Pactiv Foodservice and Graham Packaging. IFRS 8 Operating Segments requires operating segments to be identified as components of our combined operations for which discrete financial information is available and whose operating results are regularly reviewed by our Chief Operating Decision Maker, or CODM, in order to allocate resources to the applicable components and to assess our performance. The RGHL Group CODM are the executive officers and directors of RGHL.

The CODM assesses the performance of the operating segments based on Adjusted EBITDA. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as net profit before income tax expense, net financial expenses, and depreciation and amortization, adjusted to exclude certain items of a significant or unusual nature, including but not limited to acquisition costs, non-cash pension income, restructuring costs, unrealized gains or losses on derivatives, gains or losses on the sale of non-strategic assets, asset impairments and write downs and equity method profit not distributed in cash. Adjusted EBITDA is the measure reported to the CODM for the purpose of resource allocation and assessment of segment performance.

Our SIG segment is a leading manufacturer of aseptic carton packaging systems for both beverage and liquid food products, ranging from juices and milk to soups and sauces. Aseptic carton packaging, most prevalent in Europe and Asia, is designed to allow beverages or liquid food to be stored for extended periods of time without refrigeration.

Our Evergreen segment is a vertically integrated, leading manufacturer of fresh carton packaging for beverage products, primarily serving the juice and milk end-markets. Fresh carton packaging, most predominant in North America, is designed for beverages that require a cold-chain distribution system, and therefore have a more limited shelf life than beverages in aseptic carton packaging.

Our Closures segment is a leading manufacturer of plastic beverage caps and closures, primarily serving the carbonated soft drink, non-carbonated soft drink and bottled water segments of the global beverage market.

Our Reynolds Consumer Products segment (which has included our Hefty consumer products business since the consummation of the Pactiv Acquisition) is a leading U.S. manufacturer of branded and store branded consumer products such as foil, wraps, waste bags, food storage bags, and disposable tableware and cookware.

Our Pactiv Foodservice segment (which has included our Pactiv foodservice packaging business since the consummation of the Pactiv Acquisition and the Dopaco operations since the consummation of the Dopaco Acquisition) is a leading manufacturer of foodservice and food packaging products. Pactiv Foodservice offers a comprehensive range of products including tableware items, takeout service containers, clear rigid-display packaging, microwaveable containers, foam trays, dual-ovenable paperboard containers, cups, molded fiber egg cartons, meat and poultry trays, plastic film and aluminum containers. Pactiv Foodservice distributes its foodservice and food packaging products through foodservice distributors, food processors, supermarket distributors, supermarkets and restaurants.

Our Graham Packaging segment is a worldwide leader in the design, manufacture, and sale of value-added, custom blow molded plastic containers for branded consumer products.

Our SIG, Evergreen and Closures segments, as well as our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses, have been under common ownership and control through entities ultimately 100% owned by Mr. Graeme Hart, our strategic owner, for over three years. These entities, however, were not owned, directly or indirectly, by a single company that consolidated their financial results or managed them on a combined basis prior to the consummation of the RGHL Transaction on November 5, 2009, the Evergreen Transaction on May 4, 2010 and the Reynolds Foodservice Acquisition on September 1, 2010.

Accounting Principles

Our financial statements are prepared in accordance with IFRS and IFRIC Interpretations as issued by the IASB.

Reporting Currency

IFRS does not require our financial statements to be presented in a particular currency. Our financial statements are presented in US dollars which is the reporting currency of the RGHL Group. In accordance with IAS 21, the figures are translated from the functional currency of a given entity into dollars using the following principles: (a) the assets and liabilities for each statement of financial position are translated at the closing exchange rate as of the reporting date, (b) income and expense items for each profit or loss item are translated at average exchange rates during the period, (c) items of other comprehensive income are translated at average exchange rates during the period and (d) share capital is translated at historical exchange rates.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our critical accounting policies are those that we believe are most important to the presentation of our financial position and results and that require the most difficult, subjective or complex judgments. In many cases, the accounting treatment of a particular transaction is specifically dictated by IFRS with no need for the application of judgment. For more information, see note 4 to the RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus. In certain circumstances, however, the preparation of our financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires us to use our judgment to make certain estimates and assumptions. These estimates affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. We believe the policies described below are our most critical accounting policies.

Accounting for Business Combinations

Acquisition of Businesses from Third Parties

We account for business combinations, where the business is acquired from an unrelated third party, under the purchase method of accounting, which requires the acquired assets, including separately identifiable intangible assets, and assumed liabilities to be recorded as of the acquisition date at their respective fair values. Any excess of the purchase price over the fair value of assets, including separately identifiable intangible assets and liabilities acquired, is allocated to goodwill. Goodwill is allocated to the appropriate segments which benefited from the business combination when the goodwill arose.

The allocation of the purchase price to the fair value of acquired assets and liabilities involves assessments of the expected future cash flows associated with individual assets and liabilities and appropriate discount rates as of the date of the acquisition. Where appropriate, we consult with external advisors to assist with the determination of fair value. For non-observable market values, fair value has been determined using accepted valuation principles (e.g., relief from royalty method). Subsequent changes in our assessments may trigger an impairment loss that would be recognized in the statement of comprehensive income.

Goodwill and acquired indefinite life intangible assets are not amortized. Other acquired intangible assets with finite lives are amortized on a straight line basis over the period of expected benefit. For more information, see note 3.9(g) to the RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

The results of operations for businesses acquired are included in our financial statements from the date of acquisition.

On November 16, 2010, we acquired Pactiv Corporation for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $5.8 billion. The valuation of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed has been finalized. In accordance with IFRS 3(Revised), Business Combinations , all adjustments resulting from the finalization of the purchase accounting have been recognized retrospectively as of the date of the acquisition. For details of changes to previously reported provisional values of certain assets acquired and liabilities assumed, refer to note 34 of the RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

On September 8, 2011, we acquired Graham Packaging for a total enterprise value, including net debt, of $4.5 billion. In respect of this acquisition, we believe that the key areas of subjectivity in allocating the purchase consideration involve determining the acquisition date fair value of identifiable intangible assets and property, plant and equipment.

Management has identified separately identifiable intangible assets in existence as of the date of acquisition. Using market participant assumptions and recognized valuation techniques, provisional values have been determined for these intangible assets. These valuation techniques require various assumptions including future levels of profitability, assumed royalty rates for relief from royalty valuations, and appropriate discount rates to present value the estimated cash flows. An assessment of useful lives is also required to determine future amortization expense.

The preliminary valuation of separately identifiable intangible assets is $1,678.8 million. All of the assumptions and the resulting valuation are currently being evaluated by management. We estimate that the effect of a 10% increase, or decrease, in the preliminary valuation of identifiable intangible assets would increase, or decrease, the preliminary valuation by $167.9 million to $1,846.7 million or $1,510.9 million, respectively. Any such increase or decrease would result in a corresponding change in the preliminary value of goodwill. We estimate that an increase or decrease of 10% in the preliminary fair values of all of the acquired identifiable intangible assets would result in a corresponding increase or decrease of $8.4 million in annual amortization expense. A change in the preliminary useful lives of finite life intangible assets would change amortization expense. We estimate that an increase, or decrease, of one year in the remaining estimated average useful lives of all finite life intangible assets would decrease by $4.8 million, or increase by $5.5 million, annual amortization expense, respectively.

The preliminary valuation of property, plant and equipment is $1,438.0 million. All of the assumptions and the resulting valuation are currently being evaluated by management. We estimate that the effect of a 10% increase, or decrease, in the preliminary valuation of property, plant and equipment would increase, or decrease, the preliminary valuation by $143.8 million to $1,581.8 million or $1,294.2 million, respectively. Any such increase or decrease would result in a corresponding change in the preliminary value of goodwill. We estimate that an increase or decrease of 10% in the preliminary fair values of all of the acquired property, plant and equipment would result in a corresponding increase or decrease of $15.3 million in annual depreciation expense. A change in the preliminary useful lives of depreciable property, plant and equipment would change depreciation expense. An increase, or decrease, of one year in the remaining estimated average useful lives of all depreciable items of property, plant and equipment would decrease by $21.6 million, or increase by $36.4 million, annual depreciation expense, respectively.

Acquisition of Businesses from Entities under Common Control

IFRS is silent on the accounting required for business combinations involving entities that are under common control.

We have chosen to account for business combinations where the business is acquired from an entity that is under the common control of our ultimate shareholder using the carry-over or book value method. Under the carry-over or book value method, the business combination does not change the historical carrying value of the assets and liabilities of the business acquired. The excess of the purchase price over the carrying value of the share capital acquired is recognized directly in equity. No additional goodwill is recognized as a result of these transactions.

We account for business combinations under common control prospectively from the date Mr. Graeme Hart, our strategic owner, originally obtained control of each of the businesses presented.

Between January 31, 2007 and August 1, 2007, entities beneficially owned by Mr. Graeme Hart acquired the businesses that now constitute our Evergreen segment in a series of transactions for $618.4 million. On May 4, 2010, we acquired the equity of the businesses that now constitute our Evergreen segment from these entities for a total purchase price of $1,612.1 million (including certain post-closing adjustments). The purchase price was paid to entities controlled by Mr. Graeme Hart.

Through a series of acquisitions that occurred from February 29, 2008 to July 31, 2008, certain entities beneficially owned by Mr. Graeme Hart acquired from Alcoa Inc. the businesses that now constitute our Closures segment, our Reynolds consumer products business and our Reynolds foodservice packaging business for a total enterprise value of $2,690.1 million (including certain post-closing adjustments).

On November 5, 2009, we acquired the equity of the businesses that now constitute our Closures segment for a total purchase price of $707.8 million (including certain post-closing adjustments) and our Reynolds consumer products business for a total purchase price of $984.5 million (including certain post-closing adjustments) from these entities. The purchase price was paid to entities controlled by Mr. Graeme Hart.

On September 1, 2010, we acquired the equity of the businesses that now constitute our Reynolds foodservice packaging business from these entities for a total purchase price of $341.0 million (including certain post-closing adjustments). The purchase price was paid to entities controlled by Mr. Graeme Hart.

In each case, the difference between the consideration paid to initially acquire the business from a third-party and the consideration paid by the RGHL Group to acquire the same business from entities that are beneficially owned by Mr. Graeme Hart reflects changes in fair value. The changes in fair value relate to the realization of the cost savings initiatives and operational synergies combined with improvements in industry and general market conditions. Cash payments made by us to acquire these businesses either reduced our available cash or increased the principal amount of our outstanding indebtedness.

Table of Contents

Employee Benefits

We make contributions to defined benefit pension plans, which define the level of pension benefit an employee will receive on retirement. We operate defined benefit plans in several countries including the United States. We also operate post-employment medical benefit plans in the United States. Amounts recognized under these plans are determined using actuarial methods that require us to make certain assumptions regarding variables such as discount rate, rate of compensation increase, return on assets and future healthcare costs. Where appropriate, we consult with third-party actuaries regarding these assumptions at least annually. Changes in these key assumptions, including the expected rate of return on plan assets and the discount rate, can have a significant impact on our defined benefit obligations, future funding requirements and post-employment benefit costs recognized. While we believe that our assumptions of future returns are reasonable and appropriate, significant differences in actual experience or inaccuracies in assumptions may materially affect our benefit plan obligations and future benefit plan expense. Holding all other assumptions constant, a one-half percentage point change in the rate of return assumption would impact our pre-tax pension income by approximately $24 million annually. Similarly, holding all other assumptions constant, a one-half percentage point change in the discount rate would impact our pre-tax pension income by $11 million annually. For more information, see note 27 of the RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Impairment of Goodwill, Intangible Assets, Property, Plant and Equipment and Investment Properties

We assess the carrying values of goodwill, identifiable intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and investment properties in accordance with the requirements of IFRS. Goodwill and intangibles with indefinite useful lives are assessed for impairment at least annually. Other non-current assets are tested when a trigger event may indicate the existence of impairment. If any such indication of impairment exists, the asset s recoverable amount is determined.

The recoverable amount of an asset is the greater of its fair value less costs to sell such an asset and its value in use. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset. In assessing the fair value less costs to sell, the forecasted future EBITDA to be generated by the asset or segment being assessed is multiplied by earnings multiples that reflect recent sales and purchase transactions in the same industry. We consult with external advisors to assist with the determination of these earnings multiples. For an asset that does not generate largely independent cash flows, the recoverable amount is determined for the cash-generating unit to which the asset belongs. The carrying value of an asset or cash-generating unit in our statement of financial position cannot exceed its recoverable amount. For 2008, 2009 and 2010, the recoverability analysis for each of the cash-generating units was based on fair value less costs to sell.

In estimating future cash flows, we make estimates with respect to the useful lives of our assets. Changes in circumstances, including the relative cost efficiency of our production facilities, may cause us to change these estimates from time to time. In addition, because these are estimates, the actual useful life of an asset may be different from our estimate.

An impairment loss is recognized whenever the carrying amount of an asset, its cash-generating unit or its segment exceeds its recoverable amount. Impairment losses are recognized in the statement of comprehensive income.

As of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 we had $17,127.6 million and $12,081.7 million, respectively, of goodwill, other intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and investment properties recorded on our statement of financial position. Any impairment in the value of goodwill, intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and investment properties would result in a reduction in the carrying value of such assets in the statement of financial position and an expense recognized in our statement of comprehensive income. We performed our last annual impairment test as of December 31, 2010, and determined that all cash generating units had estimated recoverable amounts that were substantially in excess of their carrying values. We did not identify any indicators of impairment as of September 30, 2011.

Income Taxes

We are subject to income taxes in numerous jurisdictions. There are many transactions and calculations for which the ultimate tax determination is uncertain during the ordinary course of business. As a result, significant judgment is required in determining our worldwide provision and liability for income taxes. We recognize liabilities for tax issues based on estimates of whether additional taxes will be due and on our best interpretation of the relevant tax laws then in effect. In cases where the final outcome of these tax matters is different from the amounts that were initially recorded, the differences impact the current and deferred income tax provision for the period in which the determination is made.

We recognize deferred tax assets to the extent that it is probable that future taxable profits will allow the deferred tax assets to be recovered. This is based on estimates of taxable income in each jurisdiction in which we operate and the period over which deferred tax assets are recoverable. In the event that actual results differ from these estimates in future periods and depending on the tax strategies that we may have been able to implement, changes to the recognition of deferred tax assets could be required, and thus could impact our financial position and results of operations.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue from the sale of goods when the risks and rewards of ownership have transferred to customers which occurs either when products are shipped or when they are delivered and/or installed at a customer location. The recognition of revenue is dependent on the terms of the individual arrangements of a sale. In arriving at net sales, we estimate the amount of deductions from sales that are likely to be earned or taken by customers in conjunction with incentive programs or the amount of consumer incentives to be utilized. These incentives include volume rebates and early payment discounts for consumer programs. In addition, in certain of our businesses, we pay slotting fees and participate in customer pricing programs that provide price discounts to the ultimate end users of our products in the form of redeemable coupons. Estimates for each of these programs are based on historical and current market trends which are affected by the business seasonality and competitiveness of promotional programs being offered. Estimates are reviewed quarterly for possible revisions. The costs for all such programs are accounted for as a reduction in revenues. In the event that future sales deduction trends vary significantly from past or expected trends, reported sales may increase or decrease by a material amount.

Other

We have made certain other estimates that, while not involving the same degree of judgment as the estimates described above, are important to understanding our financial statements. These estimates are in the areas of measuring our obligations related to our legal and warranty accruals, restructuring accruals and self-insurance accruals.

Key Factors Influencing Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Acquisitions, Substantial Leverage and Other Transaction-Related Effects

The six segments in which we operate have all been acquired through a series of transactions.

Our results of operations, financial position and cash flows are significantly impacted by the effects of these acquisitions which were financed primarily through borrowings with related interest costs, including transaction-related debt commitment fees and recurring interest costs. In addition, from time to time, we refinance our borrowings which also can have a significant impact on the results of our operations.

As of September 30, 2011, we had total borrowings of $17,772.8 million ($11,842.6 million as of December 31, 2010). For more information regarding our external borrowings, refer to note 14 of the RGHL Group unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, included elsewhere in this prospectus. Our future results of operations, including our net financial expenses, will be significantly affected by our substantial indebtedness.

The servicing of this indebtedness has had and will continue to have an impact on our cash flows and cash balance. For more information, refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources.

Discontinued Operations

The disposal of the SIG Beverages business was completed on April 2, 2008. This disposal constituted a discontinued operation. Under IFRS 5, we are required to present and disclose information that enables users of our financial statements to evaluate the financial impact of discontinued operations and disposals of non-current assets. In general terms, a discontinued operation is a component that either has been disposed of or is classified as held for sale and represents a separate major line of business or geographical area of operations or is part of a single coordinated plan to dispose of a separate major line or geographical area of operations.

For the year ended December 31, 2008, the profit from discontinued operations, net of income tax, was $44.0 million, which included a $37.7 million gain, net of income tax, on the sale of SIG Beverages.

In accordance with IFRS 5, these operations are treated as discontinued operations for all periods presented.

Restructuring and Cost Saving Programs

We have completed a number of restructuring and cost saving programs over the past three years in order to reduce our operating costs. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we incurred restructuring charges of $79.6 million and business integration and operational process engineering-related consultancy costs of $58.7 million. These costs are largely related to workforce reductions, improving supply chain management, achieving other efficiencies and consolidation of facilities at our Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

As discussed under Overview Recent Acquisitions and Integration , we expect to incur additional restructuring costs as well as integration costs through the end of 2013 that will largely relate to the continuing integration of our Reynolds consumer products and Reynolds foodservice packaging businesses with our Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses as well as the integration of the Dopaco business into the Pactiv Foodservice segment and the integration of Graham Packaging into the RGHL Group. Outlays related to integration include both expenses and capital expenditures associated with combining the new acquisitions with the RGHL Group s operations and generally include severance, exit, disposal and other costs associated with combining the businesses. We expect to realize cost savings and operational synergies by the end of 2013 by consolidating facilities, eliminating duplicative operations, improving supply chain management and achieving other efficiencies. For additional information related to the quantification of the synergies to be achieved and cash outlays, refer to Overview Recent Acquisitions and Integration.

Raw Materials and Energy Prices

Our results of operations are impacted by changes in the costs of our raw materials. The primary raw materials used to manufacture our products are resins, aluminum, fiber (principally raw wood and wood chips) and paperboard (principally cartonboard and cupstock). We also use commodity chemicals, steel and energy, including fuel oil, electricity, natural gas and coal, to manufacture our products. The prices for raw materials, particularly resins and aluminum, have fluctuated significantly in recent years.

Principal raw materials by segment are as follows (in order of cost significance):

SIG cartonboard, resin, aluminum;

Evergreen fiber, resin;

Closures resin;

Reynolds Consumer Products resin, aluminum;

Pactiv Foodservice resin, aluminum, paperboard; and

Graham Packaging resin.

Table of Contents

Historical index prices of resin, aluminum and paperboard from January 1, 2008 through September 30, 2011 are shown in the charts below. The following charts present index prices and do not represent the prices at which we purchased these raw materials.

Source: Chemical Market Associates Inc.

Resin prices can fluctuate significantly with fluctuations in crude oil and natural gas prices, as well as changes in refining capacity and the demand for other petroleum-based products.

Table of Contents

Source: Platts Metal Weekly

Aluminum prices have been historically volatile as aluminum is a cyclical commodity with prices subject to global market factors. These factors include speculative activities by market participants, production capacity, strength or weakness in key end markets such as housing and transportation, political and economic conditions and production costs in major production regions.

The prices of cupstock and cartonboard may fluctuate widely due to external conditions such as weather, product scarcity, currency and commodity market fluctuations and changes in governmental policies and regulations.

Purchases of most of our raw materials are based on negotiated rates with suppliers, which are tied to published indices. Typically, we do not enter into long-term purchase contracts that provide for fixed quantities or prices for our principal raw materials. However, our significant purchasing power enables us to optimize the prices we pay for our raw materials.

Changes in raw material prices impact our results of operations. Revenue is directly impacted by changes in raw material costs as a result of raw material cost pass-through mechanisms in many of the customer pricing agreements entered into by each of our segments other than SIG and branded products sold by our Reynolds Consumer Products segment. Generally, the contractual price adjustments do not occur simultaneously with commodity price fluctuations, but rather on a mutually agreed upon schedule. Due to differences in timing between purchases of raw materials and sales to customers, there is often a lead-lag effect, during which margins are negatively impacted in periods of rising raw material costs and positively impacted in periods of falling raw material costs. Historically, the average lag time in implementing raw material cost pass-through mechanisms (where contractually permitted) has been approximately three months.

The prices for some of our raw materials, particularly resins and aluminum, have fluctuated significantly in recent years. Prices for raw wood and wood chips fluctuate to a lesser extent than the prices of resins and aluminum. Raw wood and wood chips are typically purchased from sources close to our mills and, as a result, prices are established based on local conditions. Potential price fluctuations may occur due to poor weather conditions and local competitive conditions.

Volatility in resin, aluminum and paper prices has had an effect on our results of operations. Historically, raw material price increases have resulted in increases in cost of sales and any subsequent pass-through to customers has resulted in increases in revenue. Raw material cost decreases and any subsequent pass-through to customers have historically had an opposite effect on cost of sales and revenue.

Contracts for branded products sold by Reynolds Consumer Products do not contain raw material cost pass-through mechanisms. We use price increases, where possible, to mitigate the effects of raw material costs increases for customers that are not subject to raw material cost pass-through agreements.

Management expects continued volatility in raw material prices as a result of the continued uncertainty in the global economic environment, and such volatility may impact our results of operations. We continue to take steps to minimize the impact of the volatility of raw material prices through commodity hedging, fixed supplier pricing, reducing the lag time in contractual raw material cost pass-through mechanisms and entering into additional indexed customer contracts that include raw material cost pass-through provisions. While fluctuations in commodity costs can impact our working capital requirements, we do not expect commodity price volatility to significantly impact our financial condition given management s continuous efforts to minimize these impacts.

Our segments are also sensitive to energy-related cost movements, particularly those that affect transportation and utility costs. In particular, our Evergreen segment is susceptible to price fluctuations in natural gas, as it incurs significant natural gas costs to convert raw wood and wood chips to paper products and liquid packaging board. Historically, we have been able to mitigate the effect of higher energy-related costs with productivity improvements and other cost reductions.

Hedging Activities

Our business is exposed to commodity and other price risk principally from the purchase of resin, aluminum, natural gas, electricity and cartonboard. From time to time we enter into hedging agreements for some of our raw materials and energy sources to minimize the impact of price fluctuations. We use various strategies to manage cost exposures on certain raw material purchases with the objective of obtaining more predictable costs for these commodities. We generally enter into commodity financial instruments or derivatives to hedge commodity prices primarily related to aluminum, resin and natural gas, including resin futures, aluminum swaps and natural gas swaps.

Under our hedging policy, Reynolds Consumer Products may hedge a small portion of its aluminum and resin purchases for a short average term which we believe is appropriate for the business and is designed to reduce the impact of changing aluminum and resin prices on our results of operations. Pactiv Foodservice may selectively enter into aluminum hedges for short contract periods at the request of customers who want to mitigate the risk of changes in raw material costs in their purchase pricing. Aluminum hedging entered into by our Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments had historically impacted our results of operations due to the volume of the derivative contracts entered into and the changes to the fair values of these contracts from period to period.

The realized gains or losses arising from derivative instruments are recognized in cost of sales while the unrealized gains or losses associated with derivative instruments are recognized in other income/expenses.

While we currently employ the hedging strategy discussed above, we may decide to increase or decrease our level of hedging depending on management s assessment of current market conditions.

Black Liquor Credit and Cellulosic Biofuel Producer Credits

Black Liquor Credit was an excise tax credit that benefited companies that used alternative fuel mixtures for energy production to operate their businesses in the United States. Black Liquor Credit, equal to $0.50 per gallon of alternative fuel contained in the applicable mixture, was refundable to the taxpayer. In May 2009, Evergreen s application to register as an alternative fuel mixer at its Canton and Pine Bluff facilities was approved. For the year ended December 31, 2009, Evergreen filed claims for alternative fuel mixture credits covering eligible periods from

January 2009 to December 2009, totaling $235.0 million. As a result of these claims, for the year ended December 31, 2009, Evergreen recognized a reduction of $214.1 million in its cost of sales, which equates to the claim value net of applicable expenses. The tax credit, as it related to alternative fuel mixtures, expired on December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

During 2010, the Internal Revenue Service issued an IRS General Counsel Memo which further clarified how to determine the volume of alternative fuel mixture used in the production process that qualified for the tax credit. Based on these clarifications and related studies commissioned by management, Evergreen determined that an additional claim was available related to the volume of Black Liquor during 2009. As a result of these claims, for the year ended December 31, 2010, Evergreen recognized a reduction of $10.3 million in its cost of sales, which equates to the claim value net of applicable expenses.

On July 9, 2010, the IRS published Chief Counsel Advice Memorandum 2010-002, concluding that Black Liquor sold or used before January 1, 2010 qualifies for the Cellulosic Biofuel Producer Credits, or CBPC. In October 2010, the IRS provided additional guidance on the qualification of CBPC. The CBPC is separate from the Black Liquor Credit recognized by Evergreen in 2009 and 2010. The CBPC allows for a tax credit equal to $1.01 for each gallon of qualified biofuel produced and used by Evergreen and not claimed as a Black Liquor Credit. Based upon this guidance, it was determined that Evergreen qualified for the CBPC in regards to Black Liquor Credit produced in 2009 that was not included in the calculation of the original Black Liquor Credit. Evergreen recorded a $29.3 million CBPC credit to income tax expense in 2010.

The benefits of the Black Liquor Credit were recognized in the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. The results for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 are not impacted by the Black Liquor Credit and no impact is expected for future periods based on current US tax legislation.

Pricing and Product Mix

Our results of operations are impacted by changes in our pricing and product mix.

SIG

Carton sleeves comprise substantially all of SIG s sales. The sales mix of SIG s carton sleeve products has changed in recent years, and changes in product mix have had an impact on SIG s total revenue. Over the past few years, SIG increased sales volumes of smaller-size carton sleeves to its customers in the Asian markets and mid-size carton sleeves to its customers in the South American markets, where it has expanded its presence, while sales volumes of large-size and mid-size carton sleeves to its customers in established European markets have been stable.

Although the sales prices of SIG s carton sleeves differ depending upon the size of the sleeve, with smaller sleeve sizes having lower prices, standard gross profit margins are more or less consistent across all sleeve sizes.

SIG s overall operating margins for its aseptic packaging business have been under pressure due to increasing regional competition from the entry of new manufacturers in the aseptic packaging market and from the trend of substituting cartonboard packaging with PET packaging.

Further, as discussed under Key Factors Influencing Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations Raw Materials and Energy Prices, SIG s contracts do not provide for price adjustment mechanisms to pass through changes in raw material prices to its customers. As a result, SIG s operating margins are negatively impacted during periods of raw material cost increases and positively impacted during periods of raw material cost decreases.

Evergreen

Evergreen s products include cartons, liquid packaging board and paper products, representing approximately 48%, 26% and 26%, respectively, of total Evergreen revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Revenues and gross margins from Evergreen s carton and liquid packaging board are typically stable as the majority of customers have long term contracts, which help reduce sales volatility. Many carton and liquid packaging board sales contracts include raw material cost pass-through mechanisms that help reduce long term sales volatility despite any short term impact on revenues and gross margins due to the lag time between the purchase of raw materials and the pass through of raw material price fluctuations to customers.

Paper products are generally not sold under contract agreements. Paper products revenues and gross margins are subject to market conditions. As such, sales of paper products and the related gross margins can fluctuate from period to period.

Closures

Plastic closures comprise approximately 85% of Closures revenue. Closures sells both short height one-piece and and two-piece plastic closures. The short height closure is lighter in weight and liner-less, resulting in a lower cost structure when compared to the traditional standard height two-piece closure. Although prices are generally lower on the short height closures, the lighter weight and reduced material costs have resulted in higher operating margins for this product.

In an effort to increase customer conversion to short height closures and to gain market share, customer pricing was structured such that customers shared in a portion of the raw material cost savings. While Closures led the market on customer conversion to short height closures beginning in 2009, competitors quickly followed into this market. With increased competition, Closures has been facing downward pricing pressure as contracts are renewed.

Reynolds Consumer Products

Reynolds Consumer Products primarily produces waste and storage products, cooking products and tableware products, which would have represented approximately 37%, 30% and 29%, respectively, of Reynolds Consumer Products pro forma revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010 as though Pactiv had been acquired on January 1, 2010. There is no significant difference in profit margins across the product lines sold by Reynolds Consumer Products. Some of Reynolds Consumer Products customer contracts provide for raw material cost pass-through mechanisms on resin based products. These raw material cost pass-through mechanisms have partly compensated for the significant raw material price increases of the last two years. There is no pass-through mechanism for changes in the price of branded products produced by the Reynolds Consumer Products segment. However, Reynolds Consumer Products has used price increases from time to time to mitigate the effect of raw material cost increases on aluminum.

Pactiv Foodservice

Pactiv Foodservice produces, among other things, clear plastics, foam, tableware, specialty packaging, paper and aluminum products, which would have represented approximately 29%, 22%, 17%, 13%, 7% and 5%, respectively, of Pactiv Foodservice s pro forma revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010 as though Pactiv had been acquired on January 1, 2010. Pactiv Foodservice s domestic sales growth has been primarily driven by robust growth in strategic, value-added products and material types which has more than offset slight sales declines in mature product lines.

The majority of sales of Pactiv Foodservice s product lines are covered by agreements that include raw material cost pass-through mechanisms. The time periods for pricing adjustments vary with three months being the most common time period. Through these contracts and market-based price increases, Pactiv Foodservice has been able to offset most raw material cost increases.

Graham Packaging

Graham Packaging primarily produces packaging for food and beverages, households, automotive lubricants, and personal care/specialty, representing approximately 63%, 18%, 13% and 6%, respectively, of total annual revenue for the year ended December 31, 2010. The product category with the largest opportunity for growth is food and beverage, due to the industry s continued conversion to plastic packaging, including the demand for containers for juice and juice drinks, nutritional beverages, beer, yogurt drinks, liquor, teas, sports drinks/isotonics, vitamin enhanced waters, snacks, sauces, jellies and jams. Much of the growth in this area in recent years has been in the sale of smaller sized containers.

The majority of sales of Graham Packaging s products are covered by agreements that include raw material cost pass-through mechanisms. The time periods for pricing adjustments vary with three months being the most common time period. Through these contracts and market-based price increases, Graham Packaging has been able to offset most raw material cost increases.

Effect of Currency Fluctuations

Our SIG, Closures, Pactiv Foodservice and Graham Packaging segments operate in a number of geographical areas and transact business in a range of currencies. As a result, these segments are affected more by currency fluctuations than our Evergreen and Reynolds Consumer Products segments, which predominantly operate in North America. In addition to the dollar, the currencies in which our transactions primarily are denominated are the euro, Swiss franc, Canadian dollar, Thai baht, Chinese yuan renminbi, Brazilian real, British pound, Japanese yen, Mexican peso, Polish zloty and New Zealand dollar. Exchange rate fluctuations can therefore either increase or decrease revenue and expense items when reported in dollars. For most financial periods, the impact on revenue due to fluctuations in exchange rates has been partially offset by the impact on expenses, as most of our business units incur revenue and expenses in their respective local currencies, creating a natural hedge to currency fluctuations.

Seasonality and Working Capital Fluctuations

Our business is impacted by seasonal fluctuations.

SIG

SIG s operations are moderately seasonal. SIG s customers are principally engaged in providing products such as beverages and food that are generally less sensitive to seasonal effects, although SIG experiences some seasonality as a result of increased consumption of juices and tea during the summer months in Europe. SIG therefore typically experiences a greater level of carton sleeve sales in the second and third quarters. Sales in the fourth quarter can increase due to additional purchases by customers prior to the end of the year to achieve annual volume rebates that SIG offers.

Evergreen

Evergreen s operations are moderately seasonal. Evergreen s customers are principally engaged in providing products that are generally less sensitive to seasonal effects, although Evergreen does experience some seasonality as a result of increased consumption of milk by school children during the North American academic year. Evergreen therefore typically experiences a greater level of carton product sales in the first and fourth quarters when North American schools are in session.

Closures

Closures operations are moderately seasonal. Closures experiences some seasonality as a result of increased consumption of bottled beverages during the summer months. In order to avoid capacity shortfalls in the summer months, Closures customers typically begin building inventories in advance of the summer season. Therefore, Closures typically experiences a greater level of closure sales in the second and third quarters in the Northern Hemisphere, which represented 82% of total revenue in 2010, and in the fourth and first quarters in the Southern Hemisphere, which represented 18% of total revenue in 2010.

Reynolds Consumer Products

Reynolds Consumer Products operations are moderately seasonal based on the different product lines. Sales in cooking products are typically higher in the fourth quarter of the year, primarily due to the holiday use of Reynolds Wrap foil and cooking products, Reynolds Oven Bags and Reynolds Parchment Paper. Sales

Table of Contents

in waste and storage products are typically higher in the second half of the year in North America, coinciding with the harvest season and outdoor fall cleanup.

Pactiv Foodservice

Pactiv Foodservice s operations are moderately seasonal, peaking during the summer and fall months in the Northern Hemisphere when the favorable weather, harvest, and upcoming holiday season lead to increased consumption. Pactiv Foodservice therefore typically experiences a greater level of sales in the second through fourth quarters.

Graham Packaging

Graham Packaging s operations historically have not been seasonal.

Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our financial statements included elsewhere in this prospectus. Detailed comparisons of revenue and results are presented in the discussions of the operating segments, which follow the RGHL Group results discussion. Results for interim periods may not be indicative of the results for the full year.

Nine Month Period Ended September 30, 2011 Compared with the Nine Month Period Ended September 30, 2010

Reynolds Group Holdings Limited

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2011(1)	**% of Revenue**	**2010(2)**	**% of Revenue**	**Change**	**% Change**
	(in $ million, except for %)					
Revenue	**8,279.4**	100.0%	**4,596.7**	100.0%	3,682.7	80.1%
Cost of sales	(6,825.1)	(82.4)%	(3,741.7)	(81.4)%	(3,083.4)	82.4%
Gross profit	**1,454.3**	17.6%	**855.0**	18.6%	599.3	70.1%
Other income	67.8	0.8%	72.0	1.6%	(4.2)	(5.8)%
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses/General and administration expenses	(702.0)	(8.5)%	(423.4)	(9.2)%	(278.6)	65.8%
Other expenses	(224.3)	(2.7)%	(42.0)	(0.9)%	(182.3)	434.0%
Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax	13.5	0.2%	13.2	0.3%	0.3	2.3%
Profit from operating activities	**609.3**	7.4%	**474.8**	10.3%	134.5	28.3%
Financial income	31.6	0.4%	16.5	0.4%	15.1	91.5%
Financial expenses	(1,085.8)	(13.1)%	(456.2)	(9.9)%	(629.6)	138.0%

Net financial expenses	**(1,054.2)**	(12.7)%	**(439.7)**	(9.6)%	(614.5)	139.8%
Profit (loss) before income tax	**(444.9)**	(5.4)%	**35.1**	0.8%	(480.0)	(1,367.5)%
Income tax benefit (expense)	62.0	0.7%	(71.1)	(1.5)%	133.1	NM
Loss after income tax	**(382.9)**	(4.6)%	**(36.0)**	(0.8)%	(346.9)	963.6%
Depreciation and amortization	647.8	7.8%	341.9	7.4%	305.9	89.5%
RGHL Group EBITDA(3)	1,257.1	15.2%	816.7	17.8%	440.4	53.9%
RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA(3)	1,456.1	17.6%	818.6	17.8%	637.5	77.9%

(1) Represents the results of operations of SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the results of Graham Packaging from

September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 and the results of Dopaco from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include the results of operations of the Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

(2) Represents the results of operations of SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice do not include the results of operations of the Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as those businesses were acquired on November 16, 2010. The results of Graham Packaging and Dopaco are not included as those businesses were acquired on September 8, 2011 and May 2, 2011, respectively.

(3) RGHL Group EBITDA is defined as profit (loss) from continuing operations for the period plus income tax expenses, net financial expenses, depreciation of property, plant and equipment and investment properties and amortization of intangible assets. RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA, a measure used by our management to measure operating performance, is defined as RGHL Group EBITDA, adjusted to exclude certain items of a significant or unusual nature, including but not limited to acquisition costs, non-cash pension income, restructuring costs, unrealized gains or losses on derivatives, gains or losses on the sale of non-strategic assets, asset impairments and write downs and equity method profit not distributed in cash. EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA are not presentations made in accordance with IFRS, are not measures of financial condition, liquidity or profitability and should not be considered as an alternative to profit from operations for the period determined in accordance with IFRS or operating cash flows determined in accordance with IFRS. The determination of Adjusted EBITDA contains a number of estimates and assumptions that may prove to be incorrect and differ materially from actual results. Refer to Risk Factors. Additionally, RGHL Group EBITDA and RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA are not intended to be measures of free cash flow for management s discretionary use, as they do not take into account certain items such as interest and principal payments on our indebtedness, working capital needs, tax payments, and capital expenditures. We believe that the inclusion of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA in this prospectus is appropriate to provide additional information to investors about our operating performance and to provide a measure of operating results unaffected by differences in capital structures, capital investment cycles and ages of related assets among otherwise comparable companies. We additionally believe that issuers of high yield debt securities also present EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA because investors, analysts and rating agencies consider these measures useful. Because not all companies calculate EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA identically, this presentation of EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA may not be comparable to the similarly titled measures of other companies.

As more fully described under Overview Recent Acquisitions and Integration, we acquired Graham Packaging on September 8, 2011. The results of operations of Graham Packaging have been included in the RGHL Group s results of operations as a separate segment since the consummation of the Graham Packaging Acquisition. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, Graham Packaging s revenues, loss from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA included as a separate segment in the RGHL Group s results were $256.1 million, $23.9 million, $1.7 million and $41.3 million, respectively.

We acquired Pactiv on November 16, 2010. The operating results of Pactiv s consumer products and foodservice packaging businesses have been combined with the operating results of our Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments, respectively, since the consummation of the Pactiv Acquisition. As the products and systems of these businesses are now integrated within each related segment, we are unable to quantify the results of the acquired businesses on a standalone basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. However, we have in a number of instances provided Pactiv s results for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to illustrate the magnitude of the impact that the Pactiv Acquisition may have had on our results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, Pactiv s revenue, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA were $2,716.1 million, $365.7 million, $510.8 million and $489.0 million, respectively. These amounts include IFRS adjustments to Pactiv s historical results that were previously reported under U.S. GAAP. In addition, the operating results of Dopaco have been combined with the operating results of our Pactiv Foodservice segment since May 2, 2011, the date of the Dopaco Acquisition. For the period from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011, Dopaco s revenues, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA included in the results of the Pactiv Foodservice segment were $205.7 million, $7.3 million, $11.7 million and $28.1 million, respectively. For further details on the above acquisitions, refer to note 18 of the RGHL Group s unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Revenue. Revenue increased by $3,682.7 million, or 80.1%, to $8,279.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $4,596.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was largely attributable to incremental revenue generated from the operations of Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging, as well as higher revenue across all the segments. For a detailed explanation of the variations in revenue for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by $3,083.4 million, or 82.4%, to $6,825.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $3,741.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in cost of sales was largely attributable to incremental cost of sales generated from the operations of Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging. In addition, cost of sales increased due to the purchase price accounting adjustments of $32.0 million for inventories acquired, attributable to the operations of Dopaco and Graham Packaging. Increases in the cost of sales within the SIG, Closures and Reynolds Consumer Products segments were primarily driven by higher raw material costs that were not passed through to customers. These increases were partially offset by a decrease at Evergreen due to lower sales volume and a decrease at Pactiv Foodservice due to benefits from synergies and improved operational performance. For a detailed explanation of the variations in cost of sales for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased by $599.3 million, or 70.1% to $1,454.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $855.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. However, gross profit margin decreased to 17.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 18.6% for the for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in gross profit was largely attributable to incremental gross profit generated by the acquired operations of Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging, partially offset by the purchase price accounting adjustments. The decrease in gross profit margin was mainly attributable to the SIG, Closures and Reynolds Consumer Products segments, offset by increases in gross profit margin in the Evergreen and Pactiv Foodservice segments. For a detailed explanation of the variations in gross profit and gross profit margin for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Selling, Marketing and Distribution Expenses/General and Administration Expenses. Selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses increased by $278.6 million, or 65.8%, to $702.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $423.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in expenses was primarily attributable to the operations of Pactiv, Dopaco and Graham Packaging, partially offset by an increase in pension income of $30.8 million and a $17.6 million gain recorded in September 2011 from the modification of retiree medical plan benefits. For a detailed explanation of the variations in selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Net Other Income and Other Expense. Net other income decreased by $186.5 million to net other expense of $156.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to net other income of $30.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This decline in net other income was primarily attributable to a $74.3 million increase in business restructuring costs related to severance, a $51.6 million increase in business acquisition and integration costs, a $25.1 million increase in consultancy costs on operational process engineering projects and an increase of $24.6 million of unrealized losses on open hedge positions for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to unrealized gains for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. For a detailed explanation of the variations in other income and other expenses for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Table of Contents

Net Financial Expenses. Net financial expenses increased by $614.5 million, or 139.8%, to $1,054.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $439.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase was largely related to an increase in interest expense of $453.7 million due to increases in the principal amount of the RGHL Group s fixed and floating rate borrowings of $9,390.0 million and $2,569.7 million, respectively, as of September 30, 2011 compared to September 30, 2010. Interest rate changes on the floating rate borrowings had no significant impact on net financial expenses for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011. Our total borrowings (net of original issue discount, unamortized debt issuance costs and embedded derivatives) as of September 30, 2011 were $17,772.8 million compared to $11,842.6 million as of December 30, 2010 and $5,918.9 million as of September 30, 2010. The increase in net financial expenses for the period also included an $85.6 million increase in the unrealized net loss from the change in fair values of derivatives, an increase of $116.0 million in the amortization of debt issuance costs and a $35.8 million write-off of original issuance costs related to the Original Senior Secured Credit Facilities that were extinguished. These were partially offset by a $74.5 million increase in foreign exchange gain resulting from borrowings denominated in currencies other than the functional currency of the borrowers or issuers. We are primarily exposed to foreign exchange risk that impacts the reported financial income or financial expenses of the RGHL Group as a result of the remeasurement at each balance sheet date of indebtedness that is denominated in currencies other than the functional currencies of the respective issuers or borrowers.

As of September 30, 2011, the RGHL Group had dollar denominated external borrowings of $1,582.7 million held by entities whose functional currency was the euro ($1,582.7 million as of December 31, 2010 and $857.7 million as of September 30, 2010). As a result of the changes in the prevailing foreign exchange rates, the RGHL Group recognized a foreign exchange gain in connection with such borrowings during the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and recognized a foreign exchange loss during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. For more information regarding the RGHL Group s financial expenses and borrowings, refer to notes 9 and 14, respectively, of the RGHL Group s unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, included elsewhere in this prospectus. For more information regarding the sensitivity of the foreign exchange gains and losses on the borrowings, refer to Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosure about Market Risk Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk.

Income Tax Expense. Income tax expense decreased by $133.1 million to an income tax benefit of $62.0 million on a loss before income tax of $444.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to an income tax expense of $71.1 million on a profit before income tax of $35.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The tax benefit rate of 13.9% for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011, was primarily due to an increase in the amount of losses in certain jurisdictions for which no tax benefit was recognized in the period due to the inability of certain subsidiaries to claim deductions for certain expense items, such as interest and other associated financing costs and non-deductible acquisition costs, due to local jurisdiction limitations in which the RGHL Group operates. For a reconciliation of the effective tax rate, refer to note 10 of the RGHL Group s unaudited interim condensed financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2010 and 2011, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation of property, plant and equipment and investment properties and amortization of intangible assets increased by $305.9 million, or 89.5%, to $647.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $341.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to additional depreciation and amortization expense from the Pactiv Acquisition, the Dopaco Acquisition and the Graham Packaging Acquisition.

Profit from Operating Activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the above factors, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were

$609.3 million, $1,257.1 million and $1,456.1 million, respectively, compared to $474.8 million, $816.7 million and $818.6 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation

The reconciliation of profit from operating activities to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 for the RGHL Group is as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011(1)	2010(2)
	(In $ million)	
Profit from operating activities	**609.3**	**474.8**
Depreciation and amortization	647.8	341.9
EBITDA	**1,257.1**	**816.7**
Included in the RGHL Group EBITDA:		
Adjustment related to settlement of a lease obligation		(1.6)
Asset impairment charges	10.5	5.7
Black Liquor Credit		(0.3)
Business acquisition and integration costs	56.0	4.4
Business interruption costs	1.9	2.1
Change of control payments	12.2	
CSI Americas gain on acquisition		(9.8)
Equity method profit not distributed in cash	(8.3)	(10.3)
Gain from modification of retiree medical plan benefits	(17.6)	
Gain on sale of businesses	(5.2)	(11.4)
Gain on sale of investment properties		(1.7)
Impact of purchase price accounting on inventories and leases	30.8	
Non-cash inventory charge	3.6	
Non-cash pension income	(30.8)	
Operational process engineering-related consultancy costs	34.1	9.0
Related party management fees		0.8
Restructuring costs	79.6	5.3
SEC registration costs	1.6	
Unrealized loss on derivatives	25.0	0.4
VAT and customs duties on historical imports	5.6	9.3
RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA	**1,456.1**	**818.6**
Segment detail of Adjusted EBITDA:		
SIG	335.9	364.6
Evergreen	162.2	140.2
Closures	150.2	134.8
Reynolds Consumer Products	382.2	160.6
Pactiv Foodservice	405.1	23.1
Graham Packaging	41.3	
Corporate/unallocated	(20.8)	(4.7)
RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA	**1,456.1**	**818.6**

(1) Represents the results of operations of SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the results of Graham Packaging from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 and the results of Dopaco from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include the results of operations of the Hefty

consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

(2) Represents the results of operations of SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice do not include the results of operations of the Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 as those businesses were acquired on November 16, 2010. The results of Graham Packaging and Dopaco are not included as these businesses were acquired on September 8, 2011 and May 2, 2011, respectively.

SIG Segment

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2011	% of Segment Revenue	2010	% of Segment Revenue	Change	% Change
	(In $ million, except for %)					
Segment revenue	1,498.3	100.0%	1,326.0	100.0%	172.3	13.0%
Cost of sales	(1,189.5)	(79.4)%	(986.4)	(74.4)%	(203.1)	20.6%
Gross profit	308.8	20.6%	339.6	25.6%	(30.8)	(9.1)%
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses/General and administration expenses	(192.7)	(12.9)%	(175.4)	(13.2)%	(17.3)	9.9%
Net other income (expenses)	8.6	0.6%	3.5	0.3%	5.1	145.7%
Profit from operating activities	136.9	9.1%	179.3	13.5%	(42.4)	(23.6)%
SIG segment EBITDA	330.1	22.0%	356.1	26.9%	(26.0)	(7.3)%
SIG segment Adjusted EBITDA	335.9	22.4%	364.6	27.5%	(28.7)	(7.9)%

Revenue. Revenue increased by $172.3 million, or 13.0%, to $1,498.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $1,326.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. As discussed in more detail below, the increase in revenue was attributable to a $118.8 million increase from higher sales volumes outside of Europe, incremental revenue of $25.7 million generated from the operations of the Whakatane paper mill, which was acquired in May 2010, and a favorable foreign currency impact of $89 million largely due to the strengthening of the euro against the dollar. These increases were partially offset by $60.8 million of lower average prices due to increasing regional competition with the entry of new manufacturers in the aseptic packaging market and due to the lower pricing for the growing smaller sleeve formats, particularly in China.

Revenue in Europe increased by $41.7 million, or 5.3%, to $835.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $793.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 driven by a favorable foreign currency impact of $53 million due to the strengthening of the euro against the dollar. Excluding this foreign currency impact, revenue declined by $10.9 million, mainly in the Eastern European market due to the transfer of SIG s business in Turkey to our joint venture in the Middle East.

Revenue in the rest of the world increased by $130.6 million, or 24.5%, to $662.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $532.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Revenue increased by $68.9 million primarily related to higher volumes due to market growth in China and gains in market share in Brazil, South Asia, North America and the Middle East. As a result of increased demand for aseptic packaging products, we expanded our plant in China and constructed a new plant in Brazil. Despite volume growth, revenue was negatively impacted by lower pricing in Asia, mainly China, due to increased regional competition with the entry of new manufacturers in the aseptic packaging market and due to the lower pricing for the smaller sleeve formats. In addition, revenue increased by $36 million due to favorable foreign currency impacts, largely due to the strengthening of the Chinese yuan renminbi, Brazilian real, Thai baht and New Zealand dollar against the dollar, and by $25.7 million of incremental revenue generated from the operations of the Whakatane paper mill.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by $203.1 million, or 20.6%, to $1,189.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $986.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in cost of sales was mainly attributable to a $62.3 million increase related to higher sales volume and a $75.7 million increase in raw material costs, primarily resin and aluminum. For the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, raw material costs accounted for 66% and 63% of SIG s cost of sales, respectively. Unfavorable foreign currency impacts due to the strengthening of the euro against the dollar also increased cost of sales by $66 million.

Gross Profit. Gross profit decreased by $30.8 million, or 9.1%, to $308.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $339.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and gross profit margin decreased to 20.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 25.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in gross profit and gross profit margin is primarily due to the increase in raw material costs, mainly resin and aluminum, which SIG has not been able to pass through to its customers. The increase in raw material costs accounted for approximately 5.3 percentage points of the gross profit margin decline.

Selling, Marketing and Distribution Expenses/General and Administration Expenses. Selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses increased by $17.3 million, or 9.9%, to $192.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $175.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to a total $13 million impact of unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates, of which $10 million related to the strengthening of the euro against the dollar. The remaining increase is mainly a result of market expansion in China and Brazil.

Other. Net other income increased by $5.1 million to $8.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase is mainly due to a decline in restructuring expenses related to redundancy and related consulting costs.

Profit from Operating Activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the above factors, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were $136.9 million, $330.1 million and $335.9 million, respectively, compared to $179.3 million, $356.1 million and $364.6 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation

The reconciliation of profit from operating activities to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 for our SIG segment is as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	**2010**
	(In $ million)	
Profit from operating activities	**136.9**	**179.3**
Depreciation and amortization	193.2	176.8
EBITDA	**330.1**	**356.1**
Included in SIG segment EBITDA:		
Asset impairment charges	4.4	

Business interruption costs	2.3	
Equity method profit not distributed in cash	(7.0)	(8.7)
Gain on sale of investment property		(1.7)
Restructuring costs	0.7	9.0
Unrealized (gain) loss on derivatives	(0.2)	0.6
VAT and customs duties on historical imports	5.6	9.3
SIG segment Adjusted EBITDA	**335.9**	**364.6**

Table of Contents

Evergreen Segment

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2011	% of Segment Revenue	2010	% of Segment Revenue	Change	% Change
	(In $ million, except for %)					
Segment revenue	1,197.1	100.0%	1,173.7	100.0%	23.4	2.0%
Cost of sales	(1,036.3)	(86.6)%	(1,038.3)	(88.5)%	2.5	(0.2)%
Gross profit	160.8	13.4%	134.9	11.5%	25.9	19.2%
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses/General and administration expenses	(71.2)	(5.9)%	(62.1)	(5.3)%	(9.1)	14.7%
Net other income (expenses)	28.0	2.3%	17.2	1.5%	10.8	62.8%
Profit from operating activities	119.0	9.9%	91.6	7.8%	27.4	29.9%
Evergreen segment EBITDA	163.3	13.6%	137.5	11.7%	25.8	18.8%
Evergreen segment Adjusted EBITDA	162.2	13.5%	140.2	11.9%	22.0	15.7%

Revenue. Revenue increased by $23.4 million, or 2.0%, to $1,197.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $1,173.7 million for nine months ended September 30, 2010. This increase was largely attributable to a $15.4 million increase in external sales of liquid packaging board and an increase of $26.0 million in sales of cartons, partially offset by an $18.0 million decrease in sales of paper products. The increase in sales of liquid packaging board is due to higher sales prices of $17.8 million as a result of the pass through of raw material price fluctuations to customers, partially offset by an impact of $2.4 million attributable to lower sales volumes. The increase in sales of cartons is due to $16.7 million in higher prices as a result of the pass through of raw material cost increases to customers and $9.3 million in higher volume due to overall higher demand. The decline in sales of paper products is comprised of a decrease of $34.5 million due to lower sales volumes attributable to lower demand in the market, which was offset by an increase of $16.5 million as pricing improved in the current period.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales decreased by $2.5 million, or 0.2%, to $1,036.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $1,038.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This decrease in cost of sales was mainly due to a $48.5 million decrease related to lower sales volume in liquid packaging board and paper products, partially offset by a $46 million increase in raw material costs, primarily resin, and other input costs, primarily specialty chemicals. For the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, raw material costs accounted for 44% and 41% of Evergreen s cost of sales, respectively.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased by $25.9 million, or 19.2%, to $160.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $134.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and gross profit margin increased to 13.4% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 11.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in gross profit and gross profit margin was largely due to higher sales prices, partially offset by higher costs for raw materials and other input costs as a result of the lag time between the purchase of raw materials by Evergreen and the pass through of raw material price fluctuations to customers.

Evergreen s gross profit has been in the past, and will continue to be in the future, impacted by changes in the costs of raw materials, including wood fiber, resin and commodity chemicals, and energy, including fuel oil, electricity, natural gas and coal. Evergreen purchases most of its raw materials and other input costs on the spot market and generally cannot immediately pass through price increases or declines to its customers because the contractual price adjustments do not occur simultaneously with market price fluctuations, but rather on a mutually agreed upon schedule. Due to the differences in timing between Evergreen s purchases of raw materials from its suppliers and sales to its customers, there is often a lead-lag impact, with margins being negatively impacted in periods of rising raw material prices and positively impacted in periods of falling raw material prices.

Table of Contents

Selling, Marketing and Distribution Expenses/General and Administration Expenses. Selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses increased by $9.1 million, or 14.7%, to $71.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $62.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to $5.4 million of higher compensation costs and increased spending of $4.1 million on marketing and new product development.

Other. Other income increased by $10.8 million, or 62.8%, to $28.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $17.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to increases in scrap sales of $4.0 million and landfill tipping fees of $4.5 million earned in 2011.

Profit from Operating Activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the above factors, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were $119.0 million, $163.3 million and $162.2 million, respectively, compared to $91.6 million, $137.5 million and $140.2 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation

The reconciliation of profit from operating activities to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 for our Evergreen segment is as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2011	**2010**
	(In $ million)	
Profit from operating activities	**119.0**	**91.6**
Depreciation and amortization	44.3	45.9
EBITDA	**163.3**	**137.5**
Included in Evergreen segment EBITDA:		
Black Liquor Credit		(0.3)
Business acquisition and integration costs		1.4
Equity method profit not distributed in cash	(1.4)	(1.6)
Gain on sale of businesses		(2.1)
Operational process engineering-related consultancy costs		2.6
Related party management fees		0.8
Restructuring recoveries	(0.1)	
Unrealized loss on derivatives	0.4	1.9
Evergreen segment Adjusted EBITDA	**162.2**	**140.2**

Closures Segment

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30,					
	2011	% of Segment Revenue	2010	% of Segment Revenue	Change	% Change
	(In $ million, except for %)					
Segment revenue	1,025.6	100.0%	888.4	100.0%	137.2	15.4%
Cost of sales	(864.5)	(84.3)%	(743.2)	(83.7)%	(121.3)	16.3%
Gross profit	161.1	15.7%	145.2	16.3%	15.9	11.0%
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses/General and administration expenses	(70.6)	(6.9)%	(70.6)	(7.9)%		
Net other income (expenses)	1.9	0.2%	5.9	0.7%	(4.0)	(67.8)%
Profit from operating activities	92.3	9.0%	80.5	9.1%	11.8	14.7%
Closures segment EBITDA	150.7	14.7%	139.4	15.7%	11.3	8.1%
Closures segment Adjusted EBITDA	150.2	14.6%	134.8	15.2%	15.4	11.4%

Revenue. Revenue increased by $137.2 million, or 15.4%, to $1,025.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $888.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. As discussed in more detail below, $73.4 million of this increase in revenue was due to increased sales volumes, primarily attributable to market growth in China, market penetration in Japan following the recovery from the natural disaster in March 2011 and incremental revenue in North America related to CSI Americas which we acquired in February 2010. Favorable foreign currency exchange rates also increased revenue by $47 million, primarily due to the strengthening of the Japanese yen, Mexican peso, euro and Brazilian real against the dollar.

Closures revenue is also impacted by changes in product mix and pricing related to the pass-through of resin price increases to customers. Within its beverage caps and closures market, Closures sells both a short height closure and a traditional two-piece closure. Prices are generally lower on the short height closure compared to the traditional two-piece closure, therefore product mix in the period directly impacts revenue. In addition, contractual price adjustments with customers do not occur simultaneously with actual resin purchase price fluctuations, but rather on a monthly, quarterly, semi-annual or other basis. Therefore, due to the differences in timing between Closures purchase of resin from its suppliers and sales of closures to its customers, pricing related to the pass-through of resin price fluctuations to customers also directly impacts revenue. The net increase in revenue as a result of changes in product mix and pricing related to the pass-through of resin price increases to customers was $16.6 million.

Revenue from North America increased by $73.7 million, or 20.6%, to $431.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $357.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Higher sales volumes, primarily due to growth in market share, contributed $49.2 million to the increase in revenue. The growth in market share was primarily due to the CSI Americas acquisition in February 2010 and additional market share gained from existing competitors. Favorable foreign currency exchange rates also increased revenue by $8 million, primarily due to the strengthening of the Mexican peso against the dollar. The net increase in revenue as a result of changes in product mix and pricing related to the pass-through of resin price increases to customers was $16.8 million.

Revenue from the rest of the world increased by $63.5 million, or 12.0%, to $594.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $530.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Higher sales volumes, primarily due to growth in market share in China and market penetration in Japan following the recovery from the natural disaster in March 2011, contributed $20.3 million to the increase in revenue. Favorable foreign currency exchange rates also contributed $39 million to the increase in revenue, which was primarily due to the strengthening of the Japanese yen, euro and Brazilian real against the dollar. The net increase in revenue as a result of changes in product mix, and pricing related to the pass-through of resin price increases to customers, was $3.7 million.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by $121.3 million, or 16.3%, to $864.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $743.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Increased sales volumes, as discussed above, increased cost of sales by $58.0 million. In addition, unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates, primarily due to the strengthening of the Japanese yen, Mexican peso, euro, and Brazilian real against the dollar, increased cost of sales by $41 million. The net increase in cost of sales as a result of changes in product mix and raw material costs was $24.4 million. Raw materials costs, primarily resin, increased by $96.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. For the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, raw material costs accounted for 63% and 60% of Closures cost of sales, respectively.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased by $15.9 million, or 11.0%, to $161.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $145.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and gross profit margin decreased to 15.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 16.3% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Higher sales volumes, primarily due to growth in market share, increased gross profit by $15.4 million. In addition, favorable foreign currency exchange rates also increased gross profit by $6 million primarily due to the strengthening of the Japanese yen, Mexican peso, euro and Brazilian real against the dollar. These increases were partially offset by the impact of increased raw material costs and the lag in the pass-through of resin price increases to customers as discussed above.

Gross profit margin decreased primarily due to the increase in raw material costs and the lag in the pass-through of resin price increases to customers as discussed above.

Selling, Marketing and Distribution Expenses/General and Administration Expenses. Selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses remained relatively flat at $70.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Other. Other income decreased by $4.0 million, or 67.8%, to $1.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $5.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011, other income included a gain of $5.2 million on the sale of one of Closures European businesses and $3.3 million of restructuring costs, primarily related to the restructuring of Closures business in Germany and the consolidation of one plant in North America. The results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 included a gain on acquisition of $9.8 million from the purchase of CSI Americas in February 2010 and $1.4 million of restructuring costs. These items have been included in the segment s Adjusted EBITDA calculation.

Profit from Operating Activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the above factors, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were $92.3 million, $150.7 million and $150.2 million, respectively, compared to $80.5 million, $139.4 million and $134.8 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation

The reconciliation of profit from operating activities to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 for our Closures segment is as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	2010
	(In $ million)	
Profit from operating activities	92.3	80.5
Depreciation and amortization	58.4	58.9
EBITDA	**150.7**	**139.4**
Included in Closures segment EBITDA:		
Business acquisition and integration costs		1.0
Business interruption costs	0.4	2.1
CSI Americas gain on acquisition		(9.8)
Equity method losses not distributed in cash	0.1	
Gain on sale of businesses	(5.2)	
Restructuring costs	3.3	1.4
Unrealized loss on derivatives	0.9	0.7
Closures segment Adjusted EBITDA	**150.2**	**134.8**

Reynolds Consumer Products Segment

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	% of Segment Revenue	2010	% of Segment Revenue	Change	% Change
	(In $ million, except for %)					
Segment revenue	1,851.2	100.0%	840.2	100.0%	1,011.0	120.3%
Cost of sales	(1,424.6)	(77.0)%	(641.2)	(76.3)%	(783.4)	122.2%
Gross profit	426.6	23.0%	199.0	23.7%	227.6	114.4%
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses/General and administration expenses	(164.1)	(8.9)%	(76.2)	(9.1)%	(87.9)	115.4%
Net other income (expenses)	(49.5)	(2.7)%	0.9	0.1%	(50.4)	NM
Profit from operating activities	213.0	11.5%	123.7	14.7%	89.3	72.2%
Reynolds Consumer Products segment EBITDA	325.0	17.6%	161.1	19.2%	163.9	101.7%
Reynolds Consumer Products segment Adjusted EBITDA	382.2	20.6%	160.6	19.1%	221.6	138.0%

We acquired Pactiv on November 16, 2010. The operating results of the Hefty consumer products business have been combined with the operating results of the Reynolds consumer products business since the consummation of the Pactiv Acquisition. As the products and systems of these businesses are now integrated within the Reynolds Consumer Products segment, we are unable to quantify the results of the Hefty consumer products business on a standalone basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. However, we have in a number of instances provided the results of Pactiv s Hefty consumer products business for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to illustrate the magnitude of the impact that the Pactiv Acquisition may have had on the results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, revenue, costs of sales, selling, marketing and distribution expenses/general and administration expenses, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the Hefty consumer products business were $985.3 million, $695.4 million, $112.5 million, $177.4 million,

$225.1 million and $225.1 million, respectively. These amounts include IFRS adjustments to Pactiv s historical results that were previously reported under U.S. GAAP.

Revenue. Revenue increased by $1,011.0 million, or 120.3%, to $1,851.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $840.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This increase was largely attributable to the revenue from waste and storage and tableware products generated from the operations of the Hefty consumer products business that was acquired as part of the Pactiv Acquisition in November 2010.

If the results of the Hefty consumer products business had been included in the results of the Reynolds Consumer Products segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that revenue would have increased by $25.7 million, or 1.4%, to $1,851.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The increase in revenue would have been attributable to price increases across all product groups due to rising raw material costs that would have been partially offset by volume declines in our tableware and cooking product lines due to lower market demand.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by $783.4 million, or 122.2%, to $1,424.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $641.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in cost of sales is primarily attributable to the cost of sales of $695.4 million incurred by the Hefty consumer products business which was acquired as part of the Pactiv Acquisition, including increased depreciation expense of $51.0 million.

If the results of the Hefty consumer business had been included in the results of the Reynolds Consumer Products segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that cost of sales would have increased by $88.0 million to $1,424.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. This increase would have been largely attributable to increased raw material costs of approximately $115.8 million, primarily related to resin and aluminum. The increase in raw material costs would have been partially offset by approximately a $25 million benefit from synergies resulting from the Pactiv Acquisition.

Reynolds Consumer Products experienced increases in raw material costs. For the nine month periods ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, raw material costs accounted for 62% and 55% of Reynolds Consumer Products cost of sales, respectively.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased by $227.6 million, or 114.4%, to $426.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $199.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and gross profit margin decreased to 23.0% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 23.7% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. For the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, the gross profit of the Hefty consumer products business was $289.9 million.

If the results of the Hefty consumer products business had been included in the results of the Reynolds Consumer Products segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that gross profit would have decreased by $62.3 million to $426.6 million and gross profit margin would have decreased to 23.0% compared to 26.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in the gross profit margin would have been primarily due to the increase in raw material costs, mainly resin and aluminum, that Reynolds Consumer Products has not been able to fully pass through to its customers, which accounted for approximately 5.7 percentage points of the gross profit margin decline in the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine month period ended September 30, 2010. The decrease in gross profit margin as a result of the increase in raw material costs would have been partially offset by benefits from synergies resulting from the Pactiv Acquisition.

Reynolds Consumer Products gross profit has been in the past, and will continue to be in the future, impacted by changes in the costs of raw materials, including resin and aluminum. Reynolds Consumer Products generally cannot immediately pass through price increases or declines to its customers because the contractual price adjustments do not occur simultaneously with market price fluctuations, but rather on a mutually agreed upon schedule. For most resin based products, there is a lag time between the purchase of raw materials by Reynolds Consumer Products and the pass through of raw material price fluctuations to customers. For aluminum based products, contracts with customers do not contain contractual price protection for raw material cost fluctuations. Due to the differences in timing between Reynolds Consumer Products purchases of resin from its suppliers and sales to its customers, there is often a lead-lag impact, during which margins are negatively impacted in periods of rising resin prices and positively impacted in periods of falling resin prices.

Selling, Marketing and Distribution Expenses/General and Administration Expenses. Selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses increased by $87.9 million, or 115.4%, to $164.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $76.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This increase was primarily due to expenses attributable to the Hefty consumer products business.

If the results of the Hefty consumer products business had been included in the results of the Reynolds Consumer Products segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses would have decreased by $24.6 million to $164.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease in selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses would have been attributable to an $8.2 million decrease in advertising spending, with the remaining decrease being primarily attributable to benefits from the synergies realized as part of the integration of the Hefty consumer products business into the Reynolds Consumer Products segment.

Other. Other expenses increased by $50.4 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase is mainly attributable to an increase of $24.0 million of net unrealized losses on open hedge positions, an increase of $13.8 million in restructuring costs related to severance, an increase of $12.8 million in operational process engineering-related consultancy costs and a $2.7 million increase in business acquisition and integration costs. These items have been included in the segment s Adjusted EBITDA calculation. As discussed in more detail in Key Factors Influencing our Financial Condition and Results of Operations , we expect to incur additional costs of this type throughout the rest of the year.

Profit from Operating Activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the above factors, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were $213.0 million, $325.0 million and $382.2 million, respectively, compared to $123.7 million, $161.1 million and $160.6 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. If the results of the Hefty consumer products business had been included in the results of the Reynolds Consumer Products segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 would have been $385.7 million.

Table of Contents

EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation

The reconciliation of profit from operating activities to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 for our Reynolds Consumer Products segment is as follows

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	2010
	(In $ million)	
Profit from operating activities	**213.0**	**123.7**
Depreciation and amortization	112.0	37.4
EBITDA	**325.0**	**161.1**
Included in Reynolds Consumer Products segment EBITDA:		
Adjustment related to settlement of a lease obligation		(1.6)
Business acquisition and integration costs	2.7	
Business interruption recoveries	(0.8)	
Gain on sale of businesses		(0.2)
Non-cash inventory charge	1.2	
Non-cash pension expense	2.2	
Operational process engineering-related consultancy costs	19.2	6.4
Restructuring costs (recoveries)	10.9	(2.9)
Unrealized loss (gain) on derivatives	21.8	(2.2)
Reynolds Consumer Products segment Adjusted EBITDA	**382.2**	**160.6**

Pactiv Foodservice Segment

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	% of Segment Revenue	2010	% of Segment Revenue	Change	% Change
			(In $ million, except for %)			
Segment revenue	2,558.8	100.0%	460.3	100.0%	2,098.5	455.9%
Cost of sales	(2,163.2)	(84.5)%	(424.0)	(92.1)%	(1,739.2)	410.2%
Gross profit	395.6	15.5%	36.3	7.9%	359.3	989.8%
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses/General and administration expenses	(214.1)	(8.4)%	(35.8)	(7.8)%	(178.3)	498.0%
Net other income (expenses)	(93.8)	(3.7)%	5.9	1.3%	(99.7)	NM
Profit from operating activities	87.7	3.4%	6.4	1.4%	81.3	1,270.3%
Pactiv Foodservice segment EBITDA	302.0	11.8%	29.3	6.4%	272.7	930.7%

Pactiv Foodservice segment Adjusted EBITDA	405.1	15.8%	23.1	5.0%	382.0	1,653.7%

We acquired Pactiv on November 16, 2010. The operating results of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business have been combined with the operating results of the Reynolds foodservice packaging business since the consummation of the Pactiv Acquisition. As the products and systems of these businesses are now integrated within the Pactiv Foodservice segment, we are unable to quantify the results of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business on a standalone basis for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. However, we have in a number of instances provided the results of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 to illustrate the magnitude of the impact that the Pactiv Acquisition may have had on the results of operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, revenue, costs of sales, selling, marketing and distribution expenses/general and administration expenses, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the

Pactiv foodservice packaging business were $1,730.8 million, $1,392.7 million, $166.2 million, $172.6 million, $264.7 million and $270.6 million, respectively. These amounts include IFRS adjustments to Pactiv s historical results that were previously reported under U.S. GAAP.

We acquired Dopaco on May 2, 2011. The operating results of Dopaco have been included in the Pactiv Foodservice segment since the date of the Dopaco Acquisition. For the period from May 2, 2011 to September 30, 2011, Dopaco s revenue, cost of sales, selling, marketing and distribution expenses/general and administration expenses, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA were $205.7 million, $185.9 million, $2.3 million, $11.7 million and $28.1 million, respectively.

Revenue. Revenue increased by $2,098.5 million, or 455.9%, to $2,558.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $460.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This increase was largely attributable to the revenue from foam, tableware, and specialty products generated from the operations of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business that was acquired as part of the Pactiv Acquisition in November 2010. Prior to this acquisition, none of these products were offered by the Reynolds foodservice packaging business. Clear plastics, paper and aluminum product offerings were also significantly expanded as a result of the Pactiv Acquisition.

If the results of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business had been included in the results of the Pactiv Foodservice segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that revenue would have increased by $367.7 million, or 16.8%, to $2,558.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. This revenue increase would have been attributable to incremental revenue of $205.7 million generated from the operations of Dopaco, incremental revenue of $33.9 million related to the integration of a clear plastic business acquired by Pactiv in April 2010, and a $178.8 million impact from improved pricing primarily due to the flow-through of resin purchase price increases. These increases were partially offset by declines of $25.5 million due to lower volumes primarily as a result of exiting nonstrategic product lines and $27.7 million due to the transfer of certain operations to our Reynolds Consumer Products segment on January 1, 2011.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales increased by $1,739.2 million, or 410.2%, to $2,163.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $424.0 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase is primarily attributable to the cost of sales incurred by the Pactiv foodservice packaging business which was acquired as part of the Pactiv Acquisition, including increased depreciation expense of $132.7 million as a result of property, plant and equipment acquired at fair value.

Pactiv Foodservice experienced increases in the purchase price of raw materials, primarily resin, aluminum and paper, for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine month period ended September 30, 2010. However, raw material costs accounted for 63% and 67% of Pactiv Foodservice s cost of sales, respectively, for the same periods. This decrease in raw material costs as a percent of total cost of sales is primarily attributable to increased depreciation and amortization expense as a result of increases in the fair values of property, plant and equipment that were acquired as part of the Pactiv Acquisition and the Dopaco Acquisition. Raw material costs for the nine month period ended September 30, 2011 increased by $1,076.7 million compared to the nine month period ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to the incremental volume attributable to the Pactiv foodservice packaging business and the Dopaco business that were acquired as part of the Pactiv Acquisition and the Dopaco Acquisition, respectively. The remaining increase in cost of sales was related to other manufacturing costs attributable to the operations of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business, partially offset by $16.1 million in benefits from synergies generated from the Pactiv Acquisition.

If the results of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business had been included in the results of the Pactiv Foodservice segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that cost of sales would have increased by $346.5 million, or 19.1%, to $2,163.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $1,816.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010. This cost of sales increase would have been attributable to incremental cost of sales of $185.9 million incurred by Dopaco, incremental cost of sales of $30.1 million related to the integration of a clear plastic business acquired by Pactiv in April

2010 and the remaining increase would have been primarily attributable to the impact of higher raw material costs.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased by $359.3 million, or 989.8%, to $395.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $36.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 and gross profit margin increased to 15.5% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to 7.9% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, which reflects the impact of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business acquired in the Pactiv Acquisition. For the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the gross profit of the Pactiv foodservice packaging business was $338.1 million.

If the Pactiv foodservice packaging business had been included in the results of the Pactiv Foodservice segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate the gross profit margin would have decreased to 15.5% compared to 17.1% for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 primarily due to the increase in raw material costs as discussed above, partially offset by synergies and improved operational performance.

Pactiv Foodservice s gross profit has been in the past, and will continue to be in the future, impacted by changes in the costs of raw materials, including resin, aluminum and paper. Pactiv Foodservice generally cannot immediately pass through price increases or declines to its customers because the price adjustments do not occur simultaneously with market price fluctuations, but rather on a mutually agreed upon schedule. Due to the differences in timing between Pactiv Foodservice s purchases of raw materials from its suppliers and sales to its customers, there is often a lead-lag impact, during which margins are negatively impacted in periods of rising raw material prices and positively impacted in periods of falling raw material prices.

Selling, Marketing and Distribution Expenses/General and Administration Expenses. Selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses increased by $178.3 million, or 498.0%, to $214.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to $35.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, primarily due to expenses attributable to the Pactiv foodservice packaging business.

If the Pactiv foodservice packaging business had been included in the results of the Pactiv Foodservice segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses would have increased by $12.1 million to $214.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase in selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses is largely attributable to $53.0 million in intangible asset amortization expense incurred during the nine months ended September 30, 2011, resulting from the Pactiv Acquisition and incremental expenses of $2.3 million incurred by Dopaco, partially offset by approximately $41.0 million of benefits from synergies generated from the Pactiv Acquisition and the Dopaco Acquisition.

Other. Net other expenses increased by $99.7 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2010. The increase is mainly attributable to an increase of $48.4 million in restructuring costs related to severance, $27.1 million in business acquisition and integration costs, an $11.9 million charge related to operational process engineering-related consultancy costs, a $9.1 million decrease in gain on sale of businesses and an increase of $2.7 million of unrealized losses on open hedge positions. These items have been included in the segment s Adjusted EBITDA calculation. As discussed in more detail in Key Factors Influencing our Financial Condition and Results of Operations , we expect to incur additional restructuring costs throughout the rest of the year.

Profit from Operating Activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the above factors, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 were $87.7 million, $302.0 million and $405.1 million, respectively, compared to $6.4 million, $29.3 million and $23.1 million, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

If the Pactiv foodservice packaging business had been included in the results of the Pactiv Foodservice segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we estimate that Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 would have been $293.7 million.

Table of Contents

EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation

The reconciliation of profit from operating activities to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010 for our Pactiv Foodservice segment is as follows:

	For the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011	2010
	(In $ million)	
Profit from operating activities	87.7	6.4
Depreciation and amortization	214.3	22.9
EBITDA	**302.0**	**29.3**
Included in Pactiv Foodservice segment EBITDA:		
Asset impairment charges	6.1	5.7
Business acquisition and integration costs	27.1	
Gain on sale of businesses		(9.1)
Impact of purchase price accounting on inventories and leases	4.4	
Non-cash inventory charge	2.4	
Non-cash pension expense	2.9	
Operational process engineering-related consultancy costs	11.9	
Restructuring costs (recoveries)	46.2	(2.2)
Unrealized loss (gain) on derivatives	2.1	(0.6)
Pactiv Foodservice segment Adjusted EBITDA	**405.1**	**23.1**

Graham Packaging segment

(In $ million, except for %)	**For the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011**	**% of segment revenue**
Segment revenue	256.1	100.0%
Cost of sales	(252.1)	(98.4)%
Gross profit	4.0	1.6%
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses/General and administration expenses	(24.8)	(9.7)%
Net other expense	(3.1)	(1.2)%
Profit from operating activities	(23.9)	(9.3)%
Graham Packaging segment EBITDA	1.7	0.7%
Graham Packaging segment Adjusted EBITDA	41.3	16.1%

We acquired Graham Packaging on September 8, 2011. The results of operations of Graham Packaging from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011, have been included in the RGHL Group s results of operations for the three month period ended September 30, 2011, as a separate reporting segment.

Revenue. Revenue for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 was $256.1 million. Revenue arose mainly from the manufacture and sale of value-added, custom blow molded plastic containers for branded consumer products, such as hot-fill juices, sports drinks/isotonics, yogurt drinks, liquid fabric care, dish detergents, hair care, skin care and certain other products measured by volume.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 was $252.1 million. Cost of sales was negatively impacted by purchase price accounting adjustments of $26.4 million for inventories acquired as part of the Graham Packaging Acquisition. Graham Packaging has experienced increases in raw material costs primarily related to resin. For the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011, raw material costs accounted for 53% of Graham Packaging s cost of sales.

Gross Profit. Gross profit for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 was $4.0 million and gross profit margin was 1.6%. Gross profit margin was negatively impacted by purchase price accounting adjustments on inventories as discussed above. Excluding the impact of the purchase accounting adjustment on inventories, the gross profit margin would have been 12.8%.

Selling, Marketing and Distribution Expenses/General and Administration Expenses. Selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses for the period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 were $24.8 million. Included in selling, marketing and distribution expenses was a $12.2 million change in control payment related to the Graham Packaging Acquisition.

Loss from Operating Activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the above factors, loss from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the period September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 were $23.9 million, $1.7 million and $41.3 million, respectively.

EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation

The reconciliation of loss from operating activities to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 of our Graham Packaging segment is as follows:

	For the Period from September 8, 2011 to September 30, 2011 (In $ million)
Loss from operating activities	**(23.9)**
Depreciation and amortization	25.6
EBITDA	**1.7**
Included in Graham Packaging segment EBITDA:	
Business acquisition and integration costs	1.0
Change of control payments	12.2
Impact of purchase price accounting on inventory and leases	26.4
Graham Packaging segment Adjusted EBITDA	**41.3**

Table of Contents

Year Ended December 31, 2010 Compared with the Year Ended December 31, 2009

Reynolds Group Holdings Limited

	For the Year Ended December 31,					
	2010(1)	% of Revenue	2009(2)	% of Revenue	Change	% Change
	(In $ million, except for %)					
Revenue	**6,774.0**	**100.0%**	**5,910.0**	**100.0%**	**864.0**	**14.6%**
Cost of sales	(5,523.8)	(81.5)%	(4,691.3)	(79.4)%	(832.5)	17.7%
Gross profit	**1,250.2**	**18.5%**	**1,218.7**	**20.6%**	**31.5**	**2.6%**
Other income	102.1	1.5%	201.0	3.4%	(98.9)	(49.2)%
Selling, marketing and distribution expenses/General and administration expenses	(623.1)	(9.2)%	(577.5)	(9.8)%	(45.6)	7.9%
Other expenses	(80.0)	(1.2)%	(95.9)	(1.6)%	15.9	(16.6)%
Share of profit of associates and joint ventures, net of income tax	18.1	0.3%	11.4	0.2%	6.7	58.8%
Profit from operating activities	**667.3**	**9.9%**	**757.7**	**12.8%**	**(90.4)**	**(11.9)%**
Financial income	65.6	1.0%	20.9	0.4%	44.7	213.9%
Financial expenses	(751.7)	(11.1)%	(513.2)	(8.7)%	(238.5)	46.5%
Net financial expenses	**(686.1)**	**(10.1)%**	**(492.3)**	**(8.3)%**	**(193.8)**	**39.4%**
Profit (loss) before income tax	**(18.8)**	**(0.3)%**	**265.4**	**4.5%**	**(284.2)**	**NM**
Income tax expense	(77.7)	(1.1)%	(148.7)	(2.5)%	71.0	(47.7)%
Profit (loss) for the period	**(96.5)**	**(1.4)%**	**116.7**	**2.0%**	**(213.2)**	**NM**
Depreciation of property, plant and equipment and investment properties and amortization of intangible assets	503.8	7.4%	501.7	8.5%	2.1	0.4%
RGHL Group EBITDA	1,171.1	17.3%	1,259.4	21.3%	(88.3)	(7.0)%
RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA	1,250.6	18.5%	1,130.3	19.1%	120.3	10.6%

(1) Represents the results of operations of SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice for the full year ended December 31, 2010. Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice include the results of operations of the Hefty consumer products and Pactiv foodservice packaging businesses, respectively, for the period from November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010.

(2)

Represents the results of operations of SIG, Evergreen, Closures, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice for the full year ended December 31, 2009.

As more fully described under the heading Overview Recent Acquisitions and Integration, we acquired Pactiv on November 16, 2010. The operating results of Pactiv have been included in our results and in the results of the Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments since the consummation of the Pactiv Acquisition. For the period from November 16, 2010 to December 31, 2010, Pactiv s revenue, cost of sales, selling, marketing and distribution/general and administration expenses, loss from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA were $480.8 million, $443.9 million, $48.1 million, $30.8 million, $10.1 million and $88.5 million, respectively. For further details on the Pactiv Acquisition, refer to note 34 of the RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Revenue. Revenue increased by $864.0 million, or 14.6%, to $6,774.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to $5,910.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was largely attributable to $480.8 million of incremental revenue generated from the operations of Pactiv, $81.6 million of incremental revenue generated from the Whakatane paper mill and $51.7 million of incremental revenue generated from CSI Americas, each of which was acquired in 2010.

Table of Contents

All of our segments, other than Pactiv Foodservice, experienced increases in sales volume during 2010. Pactiv Foodservice experienced lower sales volume in 2010 due to its planned exits from nonstrategic and lower margin products. Price increases also contributed to our increased revenue in 2010 and were primarily driven by the flow-through of higher resin prices to customers in our Closures and Pactiv Foodservice segments.

Revenue increases were partially offset by a net unfavorable impact from foreign currency fluctuations of $47 million primarily due to the weakening of the euro against the dollar, which had a $72 million unfavorable impact in the SIG segment and a $25 million favorable impact due to the strengthening of other currencies against the dollar in the Closures segment. For a detailed explanation of the variations in revenue for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2010 increased by $832.5 million, or 17.7%, to $5,523.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to $4,691.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase in cost of sales is largely attributable to an additional $444.1 million in cost of sales associated with the operations of Pactiv including $64.1 million related to the impact of purchase price accounting on inventories, and the impact of the expiration of the Black Liquor Credit within the Evergreen segment. For the year ended December 31, 2009, cost of sales included a benefit of $214.1 million while the year ended December 31, 2010 included a benefit of $10.3 million relating to Black Liquor Credit within the Evergreen segment. Cost of sales also increased primarily due to higher sales volume across all segments other than Pactiv Foodservice. These increases were partially offset by $95.3 million of expenses in 2009 within the Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments resulting from the settlement of unfavorable historical aluminum hedge positions under the segments historical hedging policy, which was terminated in the three months ended December 31, 2009.

In addition, cost of sales was impacted by favorable foreign currency fluctuations of $43 million primarily due to the weakening of the euro against the dollar, which had a $64 million favorable impact at the SIG segment and a $21 million unfavorable impact at the Closures segment.

For a detailed explanation of the variations in cost of sales for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Gross Profit. Gross profit increased by $31.5 million, or 2.6%, to $1,250.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to $1,218.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. However, gross profit margin decreased to 18.5% for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 20.6% for the year ended December 31, 2009 due to the impact of the Black Liquor Credit, the unfavorable historical aluminum hedge positions and a purchase price accounting adjustment on inventory as discussed above.

Excluding these non-recurring credits and losses recorded in cost of sales, gross profit margin would have increased to 19.3% for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to 18.6% for the year ended December 31, 2009. The improvement would have been primarily driven by our SIG, Evergreen, Reynolds Consumer Products and Pactiv Foodservice segments. For further information on the variations in gross profit for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Selling, Marketing and Distribution Expenses/General and Administration Expenses. Selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses increased by $45.6 million, or 7.9%, to $623.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to $577.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. This increase was primarily due to $48.3 million in expenses attributable to Pactiv.

For a detailed explanation of the variations in selling, marketing and distribution expenses and general and administration expenses for each of our segments, see the individual segment discussions below.

Net Other Income and Other Expenses. Net other income decreased by $83.0 million, or 78.9%, to $22.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to $105.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. This decline in net other income was primarily attributable to a $125.2 million decrease in unrealized gains on derivatives used to hedge exposure to commodity prices partially offset by a $49.2 million decrease in business restructuring expenses during 2010. Refer to note 9 and note 11 of the

RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Other. The increase of $6.7 million in the share of profits of associates and joint ventures for the year ended December 31, 2010 was primarily due to continued improvement in the results of operations of the Obeikan joint venture operations within our SIG segment.

Net Financial Expenses. Net financial expenses increased by $193.8 million, or 39.4%, to $686.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2010 compared to $492.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2009. The increase was largely related to an increase of $191.4 million in interest expense due to increases in the principal amount of the RGHL Group s fixed and floating rate borrowings of $4,895.8 million and $2,115.8 million, respectively, resulting from the issuance or acquisition of additional indebtedness. Interest rate changes on the floating rate borrowings had no significant impact on net financial expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010. Net financial expenses for the year ended December 31, 2010 also included $109.3 million of debt financing related costs that were partially offset by a $41.5 million change in the fair value of derivative financial instruments. Our borrowings (net of original issue discount, unamortized debt issue costs and embedded derivatives) as of December 31, 2010 were $11,842.6 million compared to $4,954.1 million as of December 31, 2009. In November 2009 and May 2010, we completed the financings associated with the RGHL Acquisition and the Evergreen Acquisition, respectively. In November 2010, we incurred additional borrowings of $5,020.0 million, the proceeds of which were used to finance the Pactiv Acquisition and repay existing indebtedness. Following the Pactiv Acquisition, $1,482.3 million of Pactiv s indebtedness remained outstanding. The timing of these financings has resulted in our historical interest expense not being representative of our interest expense in future periods. Refer to Key Factors Influencing Our Financial Condition and Results of Operations Acquisitions, Substantial Leverage and Other Transaction-Related Effects. For more information regarding the RGHL Group s financial expenses and borrowings, refer to notes 13 and 26, respectively, of the RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Income Tax Expense. For the year ended December 31, 2010, the income tax expense of $77.7 million on a loss before income tax of $18.8 million was largely due to the inability of certain subsidiaries to claim deductions for certain expense items, such as interest and other associated financing costs, due to local jurisdictional limitations. For a reconciliation of pre-tax profit (loss) to tax expense, refer to note 14 of the RGHL Group s audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2010, included elsewhere in this prospectus.

Profit from Operating Activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA. As a result of the above factors, profit from operating activities, EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the year ended December 31, 2010 were $667.3 million, $1,171.1 million and $1,250.6 million, respectively, compared to $757.7 million, $1,259.4 million and $1,130.3 million, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2009.

EBITDA/Adjusted EBITDA Reconciliation

The reconciliation of profit from operating activities to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for the years ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 for the RGHL Group is as follows:

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2010(1)	**2009(2)**
	(In $ million)	

Profit from operating activities	**667.3**	**757.7**
Depreciation and amortization	503.8	501.7
EBITDA(3)	**1,171.1**	**1,259.4**
Included in the RGHL Group EBITDA:		
Adjustment related to settlement of a lease obligation	(1.6)	
Asset impairment charges	28.7	12.9
Black Liquor Credit	(10.3)	(214.1)
Business acquisition costs	12.0	1.2

Table of Contents

	For the Year Ended December 31,	
	2010(1)	**2009(2)**
	(In $ million)	
Business closing costs (reversal)	(0.3)	
Business interruption costs	1.8	
CSI Americas gain on acquisition	(9.8)	
Elimination of the effect of historical hedging policy of the Reynolds consumer products business		95.3
Equity method joint venture profit not distributed in cash	(14.2)	(10.0)
Gains on sale of businesses and investment properties	(16.1)	
Impact of purchase price accounting on inventories	64.1	
Impact of purchase price accounting on leases	(0.3)	
Inventory write-off arising on restructure		5.3
Korean insurance claim		(2.0)
Loss on sale of Baco assets		1.2
Manufacturing plant flood impact		5.2
Operational process engineering-related consultancy costs	8.2	13.2
Pension income	(5.2)	
Plant realignment costs		2.1
Related party management fees	0.8	2.5
Reserve reversal for facility		
Restructuring costs	8.7	57.9
Termination of supply agreements	7.0	
Transition costs		23.6
Unrealized gains on derivatives	(3.8)	(129.0)
VAT and customs duties on historical imports	9.8	3.5
Write down of assets held for sale		0.7
Write-off of receivables related to sale of Venezuelan operations		1.4
RGHL Group Adjusted EBITDA(3)	**1,250.6**	**1,130.3**
Segment detail of Adjusted EBITDA:		
SIG	512.9	474.8
Evergreen	196.3	166.6
Closures	170.1	148.1
Reynolds Consumer Products	298.7	