

H&R BLOCK INC
Form 10-Q
December 08, 2010

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the quarterly period ended October 31, 2010**

OR

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
For the transition period from to**

Commission file number 1-6089

H&R Block, Inc.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MISSOURI
**(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)**

44-0607856
**(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)**

One H&R Block Way
Kansas City, Missouri 64105
(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(816) 854-3000
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ☒ Accelerated filer ☐ Non-accelerated filer ☐ Smaller reporting company ☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's Common Stock, without par value, at the close of business on November 30, 2010 was 305,110,195 shares.

Form 10-Q for the Period Ended October 31, 2010

Table of Contents

| | Page |
|---------------------------------|---|
| PART I | Financial Information |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> <u>October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010</u> |
| | 1 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations and</u> <u>Comprehensive Income (Loss)</u> <u>Three and Six Months Ended October 31, 2010 and 2009</u> |
| | 2 |
| | <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> <u>Six Months Ended October 31, 2010 and 2009</u> |
| | 3 |
| | <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> |
| | 4 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of</u> <u>Operations</u> |
| | 24 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> |
| | 31 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> |
| | 31 |
| PART II | Other Information |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> |
| | 31 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> |
| | 34 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> |
| | 35 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> |
| | 35 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 36 |
| <u>EX-10.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-31.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.1</u> | |
| <u>EX-32.2</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u> | |
| <u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u> | |

EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS** (amounts in 000s, except share and per share amounts)

| | October 31, 2010 | April 30, 2010 |
|--|------------------|----------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 959,746 | \$ 1,804,045 |
| Cash and cash equivalents restricted | 35,473 | 34,350 |
| Receivables, less allowance for doubtful accounts of \$115,505 and \$112,475 | 416,333 | 517,986 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 324,014 | 292,655 |
| Total current assets | 1,735,566 | 2,649,036 |
| Mortgage loans held for investment, less allowance for loan losses of \$87,567 and \$93,535 | 537,226 | 595,405 |
| Property and equipment, at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization of \$683,537 and \$657,008 | 327,881 | 345,470 |
| Intangible assets, net | 373,324 | 367,432 |
| Goodwill | 867,417 | 840,447 |
| Other assets | 466,368 | 436,528 |
| Total assets | \$ 4,307,782 | \$ 5,234,318 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Liabilities: | | |
| Customer banking deposits | \$ 929,898 | \$ 852,555 |
| Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 660,999 | 756,577 |
| Accrued salaries, wages and payroll taxes | 81,163 | 199,496 |
| Accrued income taxes | 151,708 | 459,175 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 3,407 | 3,688 |
| Commercial paper borrowings | 39,517 | - |
| Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | 50,000 | 50,000 |
| Total current liabilities | 1,916,692 | 2,321,491 |
| Long-term debt | 1,041,103 | 1,035,144 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank borrowings | 25,000 | 25,000 |
| Other noncurrent liabilities | 445,182 | 412,053 |
| Total liabilities | 3,427,977 | 3,793,688 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| | 4,124 | 4,314 |

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | |
|--|--------------|----|-------------|
| Common stock, no par, stated value \$.01 per share, 800,000,000 shares authorized, shares issued of 412,440,599 and 431,390,599 | | | |
| Additional paid-in capital | 810,403 | | 832,604 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 2,757 | | 1,678 |
| Retained earnings | 2,104,050 | | 2,658,586 |
| Less treasury shares, at cost | (2,041,529) | | (2,056,552) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 879,805 | | 1,440,630 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 4,307,782 | \$ | 5,234,318 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF
OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)**(Unaudited, amounts in 000s,
except per share amounts)

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | | Six Months Ended October 31, | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Service revenues | \$ 296,139 | \$ 294,958 | \$ 543,558 | \$ 542,943 |
| Interest income | 10,635 | 12,113 | 20,937 | 24,400 |
| Product and other revenues | 16,115 | 19,010 | 32,868 | 34,243 |
| | 322,889 | 326,081 | 597,363 | 601,586 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of revenues | 392,950 | 410,949 | 760,966 | 797,399 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 108,943 | 129,685 | 225,972 | 232,902 |
| | 501,893 | 540,634 | 986,938 | 1,030,301 |
| Operating loss | (179,004) | (214,553) | (389,575) | (428,715) |
| Other income, net | 3,885 | 1,700 | 7,139 | 4,989 |
| Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit | (175,119) | (212,853) | (382,436) | (423,726) |
| Income tax benefit | (68,307) | (86,381) | (147,986) | (166,637) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (106,812) | (126,472) | (234,450) | (257,089) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | (2,237) | (2,115) | (5,280) | (5,132) |
| Net loss | \$ (109,049) | \$ (128,587) | \$ (239,730) | \$ (262,221) |
| Basic and diluted loss per share: | | | | |
| Net loss from continuing operations | \$ (0.35) | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.75) | \$ (0.77) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | (0.01) | - | (0.02) | (0.01) |
| Net loss | \$ (0.36) | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.77) | \$ (0.78) |
| Basic and diluted shares | 306,804 | 335,346 | 313,247 | 334,939 |
| Dividends paid per share | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.30 | \$ 0.30 |

Comprehensive income (loss):

| | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Net loss | \$ (109,049) | \$ (128,587) | \$ (239,730) | \$ (262,221) |
| Change in unrealized gain on available-for-sale securities, net | (333) | 329 | (639) | (418) |
| Change in foreign currency translation adjustments | 5,396 | 2,586 | 1,376 | 12,123 |
| Comprehensive loss | \$ (103,986) | \$ (125,672) | \$ (238,993) | \$ (250,516) |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(unaudited, amounts in 000s)

| Six Months Ended October 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|
| Net cash used in operating activities | \$ (548,001) | \$ (786,152) |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Principal repayments on mortgage loans held for investment, net | 30,829 | 38,693 |
| Purchases of property and equipment, net | (35,005) | (7,280) |
| Payments made for business acquisitions, net | (43,310) | (6,606) |
| Other, net | 30,851 | 18,473 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | (16,635) | 43,280 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Repayments of commercial paper | (75,000) | - |
| Proceeds from commercial paper | 114,490 | - |
| Customer banking deposits, net | 77,023 | 638,466 |
| Dividends paid | (95,068) | (100,784) |
| Repurchase of common stock, including shares surrendered | (283,470) | (3,785) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,493 | 8,218 |
| Other, net | (21,352) | (30,884) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (281,884) | 511,231 |
| Effects of exchange rates on cash | 2,221 | 9,221 |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (844,299) | (222,420) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period | 1,804,045 | 1,654,663 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period | \$ 959,746 | \$ 1,432,243 |
| Supplementary cash flow data: | | |
| Income taxes paid | \$ 103,803 | \$ 196,427 |
| Interest paid on borrowings | 30,933 | 37,304 |
| Interest paid on deposits | 3,828 | 4,134 |
| Transfers of loans to foreclosed assets | 11,185 | 9,212 |

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(unaudited)

1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of October 31, 2010, the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the three and six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009 have been prepared by the Company, without audit. In the opinion of management, all adjustments, which include only normal recurring adjustments, necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and cash flows at October 31, 2010 and for all periods presented have been made.

H&R Block, the Company, we, our and us are used interchangeably to refer to H&R Block, Inc. or to H&R Block, Inc. and its subsidiaries, as appropriate to the context.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in our April 30, 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K. All amounts presented herein as of April 30, 2010 or for the year then ended, are derived from our April 30, 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K.

Management Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Significant estimates, assumptions and judgments are applied in the determination of our allowance for loan losses, potential losses from loan repurchase and indemnity obligations associated with our discontinued mortgage business, contingent losses associated with pending litigation, fair value of reporting units, reserves for uncertain tax positions and related matters. We revise our estimates when facts and circumstances dictate. However, future events and their effects cannot be determined with absolute certainty. As such, actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Seasonality of Business

Our operating revenues are seasonal in nature with peak revenues occurring in the months of January through April. Therefore, results for interim periods are not indicative of results to be expected for the full year.

Concentrations of Risk

Our mortgage loans held for investment include concentrations of loans to borrowers in certain states, which may result in increased exposure to loss as a result of changes in real estate values and underlying economic or market conditions related to a particular geographical location. Approximately 51% of our mortgage loan portfolio consists of loans to borrowers located in the states of Florida, California and New York.

2. Business Combinations

Effective July 20, 2010, our Business Services segment acquired certain non-attest assets and liabilities of Caturano & Company, Inc. (Caturano), a Boston-based accounting firm, for an aggregate purchase price of \$40.2 million. We expect this acquisition to expand our presence in the Boston market. We made cash payments of \$32.6 million, including \$29.8 million at closing. Payment of the remaining purchase price is

Table of Contents

deferred and will be paid over 14 years. The following table summarizes the fair value of identifiable assets acquired and liabilities assumed and the resulting goodwill as of October 31, 2010:

(in 000s)

| | |
|--|-----------|
| Customer relationships ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 6,733 |
| Non-compete agreements ⁽²⁾ | 2,766 |
| Attest firm affiliation ⁽³⁾ | 7,629 |
| Goodwill | 27,289 |
| Fixed assets | 2,500 |
| Other assets | 831 |
| Other liabilities | (1,640) |
| Unfavorable leasehold ⁽²⁾ | (5,890) |
| Total purchase price | \$ 40,218 |

(1) Estimated life of 12 years.

(2) Estimated life of 7 years.

(3) Estimated life of 18 years. Represents the benefits to be received from the Alternative Practice Structure arrangement and Administrative Services Agreement with the attest firm of Caturano.

In connection with the acquisition a deferred compensation plan, an employee retention program and a performance bonus plan were put in place for eligible employees. Expenses related to these plans will be treated as compensation and will be expensed as incurred. We incurred expenses totaling \$1.3 million under these plans during the six months ended October 31, 2010.

In October 2010, we signed a definitive merger agreement to acquire all of the outstanding shares of 2SS Holdings, Inc., developer of TaxACT digital tax preparation solutions, for \$287.5 million in cash. Completion of the transaction is subject to the satisfaction of customary closing conditions, including regulatory approval.

3. Earnings (Loss) Per Share and Stockholders Equity

Basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed using the two-class method. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines net income per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to dividends declared and participation rights in undistributed earnings. Per share amounts are computed by dividing net income from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders by the weighted average shares outstanding during each period. The dilutive effect of potential common shares is included in diluted earnings per share except in those periods with a loss from continuing operations. Diluted earnings per share excludes the impact of shares of common stock issuable upon the lapse of certain restrictions or the exercise of options to purchase 15.6 million shares and 19.3 million shares for the three and six months ended October 31, 2010, respectively and 19.3 million shares for the three and six months ended October 31, 2009, as the effect would be antidilutive due to the net loss from continuing operations during each period.

The computations of basic and diluted loss per share from continuing operations are as follows:

(in 000s, except per share amounts)

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | | Six Months Ended October 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net loss from continuing operations attributable to shareholders | \$ (106,812) | \$ (126,472) | \$ (234,450) | \$ (257,089) |
| Amounts allocated to participating securities (nonvested shares) | (26) | (27) | (7) | 340 |
| Net loss from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders | \$ (106,786) | \$ (126,445) | \$ (234,443) | \$ (257,429) |
| Basic weighted average common shares | 306,804 | 335,346 | 313,247 | 334,939 |
| Potential dilutive shares | - | - | - | - |
| Dilutive weighted average common shares | 306,804 | 335,346 | 313,247 | 334,939 |
| Earnings (loss) per share from continuing operations attributable to common shareholders: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.35) | \$ (0.38) | \$ (0.75) | \$ (0.77) |
| Diluted | (0.35) | (0.38) | (0.75) | (0.77) |

Table of Contents

The weighted average shares outstanding for the three and six months ended October 31, 2010 decreased to 306.8 million and 313.2 million, respectively, from 335.3 million and 334.9 million for the three and six months ended October 31, 2009, respectively. During the six months ended October 31, 2010, we purchased and immediately retired 19.0 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$279.9 million. We may continue to repurchase and retire common stock or retire shares held in treasury from time to time in the future. The cost of shares retired during the period was allocated to the components of stockholders' equity as follows:

(in 000s)

| | |
|----------------------------|------------|
| Common stock | \$ 190 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 11,370 |
| Retained earnings | 268,387 |
| | \$ 279,947 |

During the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009, we issued 1.0 million and 1.6 million shares of common stock, respectively, due to the exercise of stock options, employee stock purchases and vesting of nonvested shares. During the six months ended October 31, 2010, we acquired 0.2 million shares of our common stock at an aggregate cost of \$3.5 million, and during the six months ended October 31, 2009, we acquired 0.2 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$3.8 million. Shares acquired during these periods represented shares swapped or surrendered to us in connection with the vesting of nonvested shares and the exercise of stock options.

During the six months ended October 31, 2010, we granted 2.1 million stock options and 0.6 nonvested shares and units in accordance with our stock-based compensation plans. The weighted average fair value of options granted was \$2.25 for management options. These awards vest over a four year period with one-fourth vesting each year. Stock-based compensation expense of our continuing operations totaled \$2.7 million and \$6.2 million for the three and six months ended October 31, 2010, respectively, and \$4.8 million and \$12.1 million for the three and six months ended October 31, 2009, respectively. At October 31, 2010, unrecognized compensation cost for options totaled \$6.4 million, and for nonvested shares and units totaled \$16.4 million.

4. Mortgage Loans Held for Investment and Related Assets

The composition of our mortgage loan portfolio as of October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010 is as follows:

| As of | October 31, 2010 | | April 30, 2010 | |
|-----------------------|------------------|------------|----------------|------------|
| | Amount | % of Total | Amount | % of Total |
| Adjustable-rate loans | \$ 365,262 | 59% | \$ 411,122 | 60% |
| Fixed-rate loans | 254,995 | 41% | 272,562 | 40% |

(dollars in
000s)

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------|------------|------|
| | 620,257 | 100% | 683,684 | 100% |
| Unamortized deferred fees and costs | 4,536 | | 5,256 | |
| Less: Allowance for loan losses | (87,567) | | (93,535) | |
| | \$ 537,226 | | \$ 595,405 | |

Activity in the allowance for loan losses for the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

(in 000s)

| Six Months Ended October 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance, beginning of the period | \$ 93,535 | \$ 84,073 |
| Provision | 16,300 | 27,000 |
| Recoveries | 86 | 29 |
| Charge-offs | (22,354) | (15,109) |
| Balance, end of the period | \$ 87,567 | \$ 95,993 |

Table of Contents

Our loan loss reserve as a percent of mortgage loans was 14.1% at October 31, 2010 compared to 13.7% at April 30, 2010.

In cases where we modify a loan and in so doing grant a concession to a borrower experiencing financial difficulty, the modification is considered a troubled debt restructuring (TDR). TDR loans totaled \$121.7 million and \$145.0 million at October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010, respectively. The principal balance of non-performing assets as of October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010 is as follows:

(in 000s)

| As of | October 31, 2010 | April 30, 2010 |
|----------------------------------|------------------|----------------|
| Impaired loans: | | |
| 30 - 59 days | \$ 1,366 | \$ 330 |
| 60 - 89 days | 12,398 | 11,851 |
| 90+ days, non-accrual | 149,040 | 153,703 |
| TDR loans, accrual | 111,249 | 113,471 |
| TDR loans, non-accrual | 10,440 | 31,506 |
| | 284,493 | 310,861 |
| Real estate owned ⁽¹⁾ | 25,577 | 29,252 |
| Total non-performing assets | \$ 310,070 | \$ 340,113 |

⁽¹⁾ Includes loans accounted for as in-substance foreclosures of \$9.4 million and \$12.5 million at October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010, respectively.

Activity related to our real estate owned is as follows:

(in 000s)

| Six Months Ended October 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance, beginning of the period | \$ 29,252 | \$ 44,533 |
| Additions | 11,185 | 9,212 |
| Sales | (12,784) | (10,055) |
| Writedowns | (2,076) | (4,795) |
| Balance, end of the period | \$ 25,577 | \$ 38,895 |

5. Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the six months ended October 31, 2010 consist of the following:

(in 000s)

| | Tax Services | Business Services | Total |
|-------------------------------|--------------|-------------------|------------|
| Balance at April 30, 2010: | | | |
| Goodwill | \$ 453,884 | \$ 403,751 | \$ 857,635 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (2,188) | (15,000) | (17,188) |
| | 451,696 | 388,751 | 840,447 |
| Changes: | | | |
| Acquisitions | 6,778 | 27,655 | 34,433 |
| Disposals and other | (5,175) | (2,288) | (7,463) |
| Impairments | - | - | - |
| Balance at October 31, 2010: | | | |
| Goodwill | 455,487 | 429,118 | 884,605 |
| Accumulated impairment losses | (2,188) | (15,000) | (17,188) |
| | \$ 453,299 | \$ 414,118 | \$ 867,417 |

We test goodwill for impairment annually at the beginning of our fourth quarter, or more frequently if events occur which could, more likely than not, reduce the fair value of a reporting unit's net assets below its carrying value. No events indicating possible impairment of goodwill were identified during the six months ended October 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

Intangible assets consist of the following:

(in 000s)

| As of | October 31, 2010 | | | April 30, 2010 | | |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Net |
| Tax Services: | | | | | | |
| Customer relationships | \$ 75,270 | \$ (37,009) | \$ 38,261 | \$ 67,705 | \$ (33,096) | \$ 34,609 |
| Noncompete agreements | 22,508 | (21,685) | 823 | 23,062 | (21,278) | 1,784 |
| Reacquired franchise rights | 219,665 | (8,167) | 211,498 | 223,773 | (6,096) | 217,677 |
| Franchise agreements | 19,201 | (2,453) | 16,748 | 19,201 | (1,813) | 17,388 |
| Purchased technology | 14,500 | (7,381) | 7,119 | 14,500 | (6,266) | 8,234 |
| Trade name | 1,325 | (500) | 825 | 1,325 | (400) | 925 |
| Business Services: | | | | | | |
| Customer relationships | 151,882 | (124,601) | 27,281 | 145,149 | (120,037) | 25,112 |
| Noncompete agreements | 35,818 | (23,341) | 12,477 | 33,052 | (22,118) | 10,934 |
| Attest firm affiliation | 7,629 | (106) | 7,523 | - | - | - |
| Trade name amortizing | 2,600 | (2,600) | - | 2,600 | (2,600) | - |
| Trade name non-amortizing | 55,637 | (4,868) | 50,769 | 55,637 | (4,868) | 50,769 |
| | \$ 606,035 | \$ (232,711) | \$ 373,324 | \$ 586,004 | \$ (218,572) | \$ 367,432 |

Amortization of intangible assets for the three and six months ended October 31, 2010 was \$7.3 and \$14.2 million respectively, and \$7.5 million and \$14.4 million for the three and six months ended October 31, 2009, respectively. Estimated amortization of intangible assets for fiscal years 2011 through 2015 is \$30.1 million, \$27.7 million, \$23.2 million, \$19.8 million and \$14.5 million, respectively.

In connection with the acquisition of Caturano, as discussed in note 2, we recorded a liability related to unfavorable operating lease terms in the amount of \$5.9 million, which will be amortized over the remaining contractual life of the operating lease.

6. Income Taxes

We file a consolidated federal income tax return in the United States and file tax returns in various state and foreign jurisdictions. The U.S. Federal consolidated tax returns for the years 1999 through 2007 are currently under examination by the Internal Revenue Service, with the 1999-2005 years currently at the appellate level. Federal returns for tax years prior to 1999 are closed by statute. Historically, tax returns in various foreign and state jurisdictions are examined and settled upon completion of the exam.

During the six months ended October 31, 2010, we accrued additional gross interest and penalties of \$2.7 million related to our uncertain tax positions. We had gross unrecognized tax benefits of \$130.5 million and \$129.8 million at October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010, respectively. The gross unrecognized tax benefits increased \$0.7 million in the

current year, due to accruals of tax and interest on positions related to prior years. Except as noted below, we have classified the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, including corresponding accrued interest, as long-term at October 31, 2010, and included this amount in other noncurrent liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Based upon the expiration of statutes of limitations, payments of tax and other factors in several jurisdictions, we believe it is reasonably possible that the gross amount of reserves for previously unrecognized tax benefits may decrease by approximately \$21.1 million within twelve months of October 31, 2010. This portion of our liability for unrecognized tax benefits has been classified as current and is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents**7. Interest Income and Expense**

The following table shows the components of interest income and expense of our continuing operations:

| | (in 000s) | | | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|-----------|
| | Three Months Ended October 31, | | Six Months Ended October 31, | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest income: | | | | |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 6,525 | \$ 8,072 | \$ 12,848 | \$ 15,968 |
| Other | 4,110 | 4,041 | 8,089 | 8,432 |
| | \$ 10,635 | \$ 12,113 | \$ 20,937 | \$ 24,400 |
| Interest expense: | | | | |
| Borrowings | \$ 20,891 | \$ 18,514 | \$ 41,534 | \$ 37,471 |
| Deposits | 1,947 | 2,284 | 3,870 | 4,333 |
| FHLB advances | 396 | 508 | 792 | 1,017 |
| | \$ 23,234 | \$ 21,306 | \$ 46,196 | \$ 42,821 |

8. Fair Value

We use the following valuation methodologies for assets and liabilities measured at fair value and the general classification of these instruments pursuant to the fair value hierarchy.

Available-for-sale securities Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value on a recurring basis. When available, fair value is based on quoted prices in an active market and as such, would be classified as Level 1. If quoted market prices are not available, fair values are estimated using quoted prices of securities with similar characteristics, discounted cash flows or other pricing models. Available-for-sale securities that we classify as Level 2 include certain agency and non-agency mortgage-backed securities, U.S. states and political subdivisions debt securities and other debt and equity securities.

Impaired mortgage loans held for investment The fair value of impaired mortgage loans held for investment are generally based on the net present value of discounted cash flows for TDR loans or the appraised value of the underlying collateral for all other loans. These loans are classified as Level 3.

The following methods were used to determine the fair values of our other financial instruments:

Cash equivalents, accounts receivable, demand deposits, accounts payable, accrued liabilities and the current portion of long-term debt The carrying values reported in the balance sheet for these items approximate fair market value due to the relative short-term nature of the respective instruments.

Mortgage loans held for investment The fair value of mortgage loans held for investment is generally determined using a pricing model based on current market information obtained from origination data, and bids received from time to time. The fair value of certain impaired loans held for investment is primarily based on the appraised value of the underlying collateral less estimated selling costs.

IRAs and other time deposits The fair value is calculated based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows.

Long-term debt The fair value of borrowings is based on rates currently available to us for obligations with similar terms and maturities, including current market rates on our Senior Notes.

Table of Contents

The following table presents for each hierarchy level the financial assets that are measured at fair value on both a recurring and non-recurring basis at October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010:

| | (dollars in 000s) | | | |
|---|-------------------|---------|-----------|------------|
| | Total | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| As of October 31, 2010: | | | | |
| Recurring: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | \$ 28,834 | \$ - | \$ 28,834 | \$ - |
| Non-recurring: | | | | |
| Impaired mortgage loans held for investment | 226,837 | - | - | 226,837 |
| | \$ 255,671 | \$ - | \$ 28,834 | \$ 226,837 |
| As a percentage of total assets | 5.9% | -% | 0.7% | 5.3% |
| As of April 30, 2010: | | | | |
| Recurring: | | | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | \$ 31,948 | \$ - | \$ 31,948 | \$ - |
| Non-recurring: | | | | |
| Impaired mortgage loans held for investment | 249,549 | - | - | 249,549 |
| | \$ 281,497 | \$ - | \$ 31,948 | \$ 249,549 |
| As a percentage of total assets | 5.4% | -% | 0.6% | 4.8% |

There were no significant changes to the unobservable inputs used in determining the fair values of our level 2 and level 3 financial assets.

The carrying amounts and estimated fair values of our financial instruments at October 31, 2010 are as follows:

| | (in 000s) | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 537,226 | \$ 317,183 |
| IRAs and other time deposits | 490,993 | 488,890 |
| Long-term debt | 1,044,510 | 1,055,225 |
| FHLB advances | 75,000 | 75,132 |

9. Regulatory Requirements

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

H&R Block Bank (HRB Bank) files its regulatory Thrift Financial Report (TFR) on a calendar quarter basis with the Office of Thrift Supervision (OTS). The following table sets forth HRB Bank's regulatory capital requirements at September 30, 2010, as calculated in the most recently filed TFR:

(dollars in 000s)

| | Actual | | For Capital Adequacy Purposes | | To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Corrective Action Provisions | |
|--|------------|-------|----------------------------------|-------|---|-------|
| | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |
| Total risk-based capital ratio ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 386,088 | 81.0% | \$ 38,141 | 8.0% | \$ 47,677 | 10.0% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio ⁽²⁾ | \$ 379,758 | 79.7% | N/A | N/A | \$ 28,606 | 6.0% |
| Tier 1 capital ratio (leverage) ⁽³⁾ | \$ 379,758 | 30.7% | \$ 148,485 | 12.0% | \$ 61,869 | 5.0% |
| Tangible equity ratio ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 379,758 | 30.7% | \$ 18,561 | 1.5% | N/A | N/A |

⁽¹⁾ Total risk-based capital divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽²⁾ Tier 1 (core) capital less deduction for low-level recourse and residual interest divided by risk-weighted assets.

⁽³⁾ Tier 1 (core) capital divided by adjusted total assets.

⁽⁴⁾ Tangible capital divided by tangible assets.

As of October 31, 2010, HRB Bank's leverage ratio was 26.2%.

Table of Contents

10. Variable Interests

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued revised authoritative guidance associated with the consolidation of variable interest entities (VIEs). The revised guidance replaced the previous quantitative-based assessment for determining whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a VIE and focuses primarily on a qualitative assessment. This assessment requires identifying the enterprise that has (1) the power to direct the activities of the VIE that can most significantly impact the entity's performance; and (2) the obligation to absorb losses and the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to such entity. The revised guidance also requires that the enterprise continually reassess whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE rather than conducting a reassessment only upon the occurrence of specific events.

We implemented this guidance on May 1, 2010 and evaluated our financial interests to determine if we had interests in VIEs and if we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE.

The following is a description of our financial interests in VIEs which we consider significant or where we are the sponsor. For these VIEs we have determined that we are not the primary beneficiary and, therefore have not consolidated the VIEs. Prior to implementation of this new guidance we did not consolidate these entities.

McGladrey & Pullen LLP The administrative services agreement with McGladrey & Pullen, LLP (M&P) and compensation arrangements between RSM McGladrey (RSM) and their managing directors represent a variable interest in M&P. These agreements are described more fully in our 2010 Annual Report to Shareholders on Form 10-K.

We have concluded that RSM is not the primary beneficiary of M&P and, therefore, we have not consolidated M&P. RSM does not have an equity interest in M&P, nor does it have the power to direct any activities of M&P and does not receive any of its income. We have no assets or liabilities included in our condensed consolidated balance sheets related to our variable interests. We believe RSM's maximum exposure to economic loss, resulting from various agreements with M&P, relates primarily to shared office space from operating leases under the administrative services agreement equal to approximately \$106.3 million, and variability in our operating results due to the compensation agreements with RSM managing directors. We do not provide any support that is not contractually required.

Securitization Trusts Sand Canyon Corporation (SCC) holds an interest in and is the sponsor (issuer) of 56 REMIC Trusts and 14 NIM Trusts (collectively, Trusts) related to previously originated mortgage loans that were securitized. These Trusts are variable interest entities. The REMIC Trusts hold static pools of sub-prime residential mortgage loans. The NIM Trusts hold beneficial interests in certain REMIC Trusts. The Trusts were designed to collect and pass through to the beneficial interest holders the cash flows of the underlying mortgage loans. The REMIC Trusts were financed with bonds and equity. The NIM Trusts were financed with notes and equity. All bonds and notes are held by third-party investors.

Our identification of the primary beneficiary of the Trusts was based on a determination that the servicer of the underlying mortgage loans has the power to direct the most significant activities of the Trusts because the servicer handles all of the loss mitigation activities for the mortgage loans.

SCC is not the servicer of the mortgage loans underlying the REMIC Trusts. Therefore, SCC is not the primary beneficiary of the REMIC Trusts because it does not have the power to direct the most significant activities of the REMIC Trusts, which is the servicing of the underlying mortgage loans.

SCC does have the exclusive right to appoint a servicer when certain conditions have been met for specific loans related to two of the NIM Trusts. As of October 31, 2010, those conditions have been met for a minority portion of the loans underlying those Trusts. As this right pertains only to a minority of the loans, we have concluded that SCC does not have the power to direct the most significant activities of these two NIM Trusts, as the servicer has the power to direct significant activities over the majority of the mortgage loans. In the remaining NIM Trusts, SCC has a shared right to appoint a servicer under certain conditions. For these NIM Trusts, we have concluded that SCC is not the primary beneficiary because the power to direct the most significant activities, which is the servicing of the underlying mortgage loans, is shared with other unrelated parties.

Table of Contents

At October 31, 2010, we had no significant assets or liabilities included in our condensed consolidated balance sheets related to SCC's variable interests in the Trusts. We have a liability, as discussed in note 11, and a deferred tax asset recorded in our condensed consolidated balance sheets related to obligations for representations and warranties SCC made in connection with the transfer of mortgage loans, including mortgage loans held by the securitization trusts. We have no remaining exposure to economic loss arising from impairment of SCC's beneficial interest in the Trusts. If SCC receives cash flows in the future as a holder of beneficial interests we would record gains as other income in our income statement. Neither we nor SCC has liquidity arrangements, guarantees or other commitments for the Trusts, nor has any support been provided that was not contractually required.

11. Commitments and Contingencies

Changes in deferred revenue balances related to our Peace of Mind (POM) program, the current portion of which is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities and the long-term portion of which is included in other noncurrent liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, are as follows:

| | (in 000s) | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Six Months Ended October 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 141,542 | \$ 146,807 |
| Amounts deferred for new guarantees issued | 1,422 | 1,351 |
| Revenue recognized on previous deferrals | (48,358) | (47,044) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 94,066 | \$ 101,114 |

In addition to amounts accrued for our POM guarantee, we had accrued \$11.5 million and \$14.5 million at October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010, respectively, related to our standard guarantee which is included with our standard tax preparation services.

The following table summarizes certain of our other contractual obligations and commitments:

| | (in 000s) | |
|---|---------------------|----------------|
| As of | October 31, 2010 | April 30, 2010 |
| Franchise Equity Lines of Credit undrawn commitment | \$ 30,683 | \$ 36,806 |
| Contingent business acquisition obligations | 22,154 | 20,697 |
| Media advertising purchase obligation | 26,548 | 26,548 |

We routinely enter into contracts that include embedded indemnifications that have characteristics similar to guarantees. Guarantees and indemnifications of the Company and its subsidiaries include obligations to protect counterparties from losses arising from the following: (1) tax, legal and other risks related to the purchase or

disposition of businesses; (2) penalties and interest assessed by federal and state taxing authorities in connection with tax returns prepared for clients; (3) indemnification of our directors and officers; and (4) third-party claims relating to various arrangements in the normal course of business. Typically, there is no stated maximum payment related to these indemnifications, and the terms of the indemnities may vary and in many cases are limited only by the applicable statute of limitations. The likelihood of any claims being asserted against us and the ultimate liability related to any such claims, if any, is difficult to predict. While we cannot provide assurance we will ultimately prevail in the event any such claims are asserted, we believe the fair value of guarantees and indemnifications relating to our continuing operations is not material as of October 31, 2010.

Discontinued Operations

Sand Canyon Corporation (SCC , previously known as Option One Mortgage Corporation) ceased originating mortgage loans in December 2007 and, in April 2008, sold its servicing assets and discontinued its remaining operations. The sale of servicing assets did not include the sale of any mortgage loans.

In connection with the securitization and sale of loans, SCC made certain representations and warranties, including, but not limited to, representations relating to matters such as ownership of the loan, validity of lien securing the loan, and the loan s compliance with SCC s underwriting criteria. Representations and warranties in whole loan sale transactions to institutional investors included a knowledge

Table of Contents

qualifier which limits SCC liability for borrower fraud to those instances where SCC had knowledge of the fraud at the time the loans were sold. In the event that there is a breach of a representation and warranty and such breach materially and adversely affects the value of a mortgage loan, SCC may be obligated to repurchase a loan or otherwise indemnify certain parties for losses incurred as a result of loan liquidation. Generally, these representations and warranties are not subject to a stated term, but would be subject to statutes of limitation applicable to the contractual provisions.

Claims received by SCC have primarily related to alleged breaches of representations and warranties related to a loan's compliance with the underwriting standards established by SCC at origination, borrower fraud and credit exceptions without sufficient compensating factors. Claims received since May 1, 2008 follows:

(in millions)

| | Fiscal Year 2009 | | | | Fiscal Year 2010 | | | | Fiscal Year 2011 | | |
|------------------------|------------------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Total |
| Loan Origination Year: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | \$ 40 | \$ 21 | \$ 1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 15 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6 | \$ 1 | \$ 84 |
| 2006 | 89 | 10 | 111 | 7 | 2 | 57 | 4 | 45 | 100 | 15 | 440 |
| 2007 | 43 | 10 | 85 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 7 | - | 3 | 5 | 183 |
| Total | \$ 172 | \$ 41 | \$ 197 | \$ 22 | \$ 6 | \$ 83 | \$ 11 | \$ 45 | \$ 109 | \$ 21 | 707 |

For those claims determined to be valid, SCC has complied with its obligations by either repurchasing the mortgage loans or REO properties, providing for the reimbursement of losses in connection with liquidated REO properties, or reaching other settlements. SCC has denied approximately 84% of all claims received, excluding resolution reached under other settlements. Counterparties could reassert claims that SCC has denied. Of claims determined to be valid, approximately 24% resulted in loan repurchases, and 76% resulted in indemnification or settlement payments. Losses on loan repurchase, indemnification and settlement payments totaled approximately \$58 million for the period May 1, 2008 through October 31, 2010. Loss severity rates on repurchases and indemnification have approximated 60% and SCC has not observed any material trends related to average losses by counterparty. Repurchased loans are considered held for sale and are included in prepaid expenses and other current assets on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. The net balance of all mortgage loans held for sale by SCC was \$14.6 million at October 31, 2010.

SCC generally has 60 to 120 days to respond to representation and warranty claims and performs a loan-by-loan review of all repurchase claims during this time. SCC has completed its review of all claims, with the exception of claims totaling approximately \$121 million, which remained subject to review as of October 31, 2010. Of the claims still subject to review, approximately \$97 million are from private-label securitizations, related to rescissions of mortgage insurance, and \$24 million are from monoline insurers.

All claims asserted against SCC since May 1, 2008 relate to loans originated during calendar years 2005 through 2007, of which, approximately 88% relate to loans originated in calendar years 2006 and 2007. During calendar year 2005 through 2007, SCC originated approximately \$84 billion in loans, of which less than 1% were sold to government sponsored entities. SCC is not subject to loss on loans that have been paid in full, repurchased, or were

sold without recourse.

The majority of claims asserted since May 1, 2008, which have been determined by SCC to represent a valid breach of its representations and warranties, relate to loans that became delinquent within the first two years following the origination of the mortgage loan. SCC believes the longer a loan performs prior to an event of default, the less likely the default will be related to a breach of a representation and warranty. The balance of loans originated in 2005, 2006 and 2007 which defaulted in the first two years is \$4.0 billion, \$6.3 billion and \$2.9 billion, respectively, at October 31, 2010.

SCC estimates losses relating to representation and warranty claims by estimating loan repurchase and indemnification obligations on both known claims and projections of future claims. Projections of future claims are based on an analysis that includes a combination of reviewing repurchase demands and actual defaults and loss severities by counterparty, inquiries from various third-parties, the terms and provisions of related agreements and the historical rate of repurchase and indemnification obligations related to breaches of representations and warranties. SCC's methodology for calculating this liability considers the

Table of Contents

probability that individual counterparties (whole-loan purchasers, private label securitization trustees and monoline insurers) will assert future claims.

SCC has recorded a liability for estimated contingent losses related to representation and warranty claims as of October 31, 2010, of \$184.7 million, which represents SCC's best estimate of the probable loss that may occur. This overall liability amount includes \$49.7 million, which was established under an indemnity agreement dated April 2008 with a specific counterparty in exchange for a full and complete release of such party's ability to assert representation and warranty claims. This indemnity agreement was given as part of obtaining the counterparty's consent to SCC's sale of its mortgage servicing business in 2008. Though disbursements related to this agreement have not been significant, SCC believes that the full amount under this indemnity agreement will ultimately be paid.

While SCC uses the best information available to it in estimating its liability, probable losses are inherently difficult to estimate and require considerable management judgment. There may be a wide range of reasonably possible losses in excess of the recorded liability that cannot be estimated, primarily due to difficulties inherent in estimating the level of future claims that will be asserted and the percentage of those claims that are ultimately determined to be valid.

Although net losses on settled claims since May 1, 2008 have been within initial loss estimates, to the extent that valid claim volumes or the value of residential home prices differ in the future from current estimates, future losses may be greater than the current estimates and those differences may be significant.

A rollforward of our liability for losses on repurchases for the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009 is as follows:

| | (in 000s) | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Six Months Ended October 31, | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 188,200 | \$ 206,595 |
| Provisions | - | - |
| Losses on repurchase and indemnifications | (3,478) | (5,382) |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 184,722 | \$ 201,213 |

The repurchase liability is included in accounts payable, accrued expenses and other current liabilities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets. There have been no provisions for additional losses included in the income statement since April 30, 2008; however, loss provisions would be recorded net of tax in discontinued operations.

12. Litigation and Related Contingencies

We are party to investigations, legal claims and lawsuits arising out of our business operations. As required, we accrue our best estimate of loss contingencies when we believe a loss is probable and we can reasonably estimate the amount of any such loss. Amounts accrued, including obligations under indemnifications, totaled \$24.6 million and \$35.5 million at October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010, respectively. Litigation is inherently unpredictable and it is difficult to predict the outcome of particular matters with reasonable certainty and, therefore, the actual amount of any loss may prove to be larger or smaller than the amounts reflected in our consolidated financial statements.

RAL Litigation

We have been named in multiple lawsuits as defendants in litigation regarding our refund anticipation loan program in past years. All of those lawsuits have been settled or otherwise resolved, except for one.

The sole remaining case is a putative class action styled *Sandra J. Basile, et al. v. H&R Block, Inc., et al.*, April Term 1992 Civil Action No. 3246 in the Court of Common Pleas, First Judicial District Court of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia County, instituted on April 23, 1993. The plaintiffs allege inadequate disclosures with respect to the RAL product and assert claims for violation of consumer protection statutes, negligent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, common law fraud, usury, and violation of the Truth In Lending Act. Plaintiffs seek unspecified actual and punitive damages, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs. A Pennsylvania class was certified, but later decertified by the trial court in December 2003. An appellate court subsequently reversed the decertification decision. We are appealing the reversal. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable nor have we accrued a loss contingency related to this matter.

Table of Contents

Plaintiffs have not provided a dollar amount of their claim and we are not able to estimate a possible range of loss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to this case and intend to defend it vigorously. There can be no assurances, however, as to the outcome of this case or its impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Peace of Mind Litigation

We have been named defendants in lawsuits regarding our Peace of Mind program (collectively, the POM Cases), under which our applicable tax return preparation subsidiary assumes liability for additional tax assessments attributable to tax return preparation error. The POM Cases are described below.

Lorie J. Marshall, et al. v. H&R Block Tax Services, Inc., et al., Case No. 08-CV-591 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, is a putative class action case originally filed in the Circuit Court of Madison County, Illinois on January 18, 2002. The plaintiffs allege that the sale of POM guarantees constitutes statutory fraud, an unfair trade practice and breach of a fiduciary duty. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs. On September 17, 2010, the federal court denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The parties subsequently reached an agreement to settle the case, along with the *Soliz* case referenced below.

There is one other putative class action pending against us in Texas that involves the POM guarantee. This case, styled *Desiri L. Soliz v. H&R Block, et al.* (Cause No. 03-032-D), was filed on January 23, 2003 in the District Court of Kleberg County, Texas. This case involves the same plaintiffs' attorneys that are involved in the *Marshall* litigation in Illinois and contains allegations similar to those in the *Marshall* litigation. The plaintiff seeks actual and treble damages, equitable relief, attorneys' fees and costs. No class has been certified. Following the denial of class certification in the *Marshall* litigation, the parties reached an agreement to settle this case, along with the *Marshall* litigation. Settlement amounts related to the POM Cases are immaterial to the financial statements and are accrued at October 31, 2010.

Express IRA Litigation

We have been named defendants in lawsuits regarding our former Express IRA product. All of those lawsuits have been settled or otherwise resolved, except for one.

The one remaining case was filed on January 2, 2008 by the Mississippi Attorney General in the Chancery Court of Hinds County, Mississippi First Judicial District (Case No. G 2008 6 S 2) and is styled *Jim Hood, Attorney for the State of Mississippi v. H&R Block, Inc., H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc., et al.* The complaint alleges fraudulent business practices, deceptive acts and practices, common law fraud and breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the sale of the product in Mississippi and seeks equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, damages and restitution, civil penalties and punitive damages. We are not able to estimate a possible range of loss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case, and we intend to defend this case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Although we sold H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc. (HRBFA) effective November 1, 2008, we remain responsible for any liabilities relating to the Express IRA litigation, among other things, through an indemnification agreement. A portion of our accrual is related to these indemnity obligations.

RSM McGladrey Litigation

RSM EquiCo, its parent and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, are parties to a class action filed on July 11, 2006 and styled *Do Right's Plant Growers, et al. v. RSM EquiCo, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 06 CC00137, in the California Superior Court, Orange County. The complaint contains allegations relating to business valuation services provided by RSM EquiCo, including allegations of fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duty and unfair competition. Plaintiffs seek unspecified actual and punitive damages, in addition to pre-judgment interest and attorneys' fees. On March 17, 2009, the court granted plaintiffs' motion for class certification on all claims. The defendants filed two requests for interlocutory review of the decision, the last of which was denied by the Supreme Court of California on September 30, 2009. A trial date has been set for May 2011.

The certified class consists of RSM EquiCo's U.S. clients who signed platform agreements and for whom RSM EquiCo did not ultimately market their business for sale. A portion of our loss contingency accrual is related to this matter for the amount of loss that we consider probable and estimable, although it is

Table of Contents

possible that our losses could exceed the amount we have accrued. The fees paid to RSM EquiCo in connection with these agreements total approximately \$185 million, a number which substantially exceeds the equity of RSM EquiCo. Plaintiffs seek to recover restitution in an amount equal to the fees paid, in addition to punitive damages and attorney fees. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the case and intend to defend the case vigorously. The amount claimed in this action is substantial and could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations. There can be no assurance regarding the outcome of this matter.

On December 7, 2009, a lawsuit was filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (2009-L-014920) against M&P, RSM and H&R Block styled *Ronald R. Peterson ex rel. Lancelot Investors Fund, L.P., et al. v. McGladrey & Pullen LLP, et al.* The case was removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on December 28, 2009 (Case No. 1:10-CV-00274). The complaint, which was filed by the trustee for certain bankrupt investment funds, seeks unspecified damages and asserts claims against RSM for vicarious liability and alter ego liability and against H&R Block for equitable restitution relating to audit work performed by M&P. The amount claimed in this case is substantial. On November 3, 2010, the court dismissed the case against all defendants in its entirety with prejudice.

RSM and M&P operate in an alternative practice structure (APS). Accordingly, certain claims and lawsuits against M&P could have an impact on RSM. More specifically, any judgments or settlements arising from claims and lawsuits against M&P that exceed its insurance coverage could have a direct adverse effect on M&P's operations. Although RSM is not responsible for the liabilities of M&P, significant M&P litigation and claims could impair the profitability of the APS and impair the ability to attract and retain clients and quality professionals. This could, in turn, have a material adverse effect on RSM's operations and impair the value of our investment in RSM. There is no assurance regarding the outcome of any claims or litigation involving M&P.

Litigation and Claims Pertaining to Discontinued Mortgage Operations

Although mortgage loan origination activities were terminated and the loan servicing business was sold during fiscal year 2008, SCC remains subject to investigations, claims and lawsuits pertaining to its loan origination and servicing activities that occurred prior to such termination and sale. These investigations, claims and lawsuits include actions by state attorneys general, other state and federal regulators, municipalities, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others alleged to be similarly situated. Among other things, these investigations, claims and lawsuits allege discriminatory or unfair and deceptive loan origination and servicing practices, public nuisance, fraud, and violations of securities laws, the Truth in Lending Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act. In the current non-prime mortgage environment, the number of these investigations, claims and lawsuits has increased over historical experience and is likely to continue at increased levels. The amounts claimed in these investigations, claims and lawsuits are substantial in some instances, and the ultimate resulting liability is difficult to predict and thus cannot be reasonably estimated. In the event of unfavorable outcomes, the amounts SCC may be required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements could be substantial and, because SCC's operating results are included in our consolidated financial statements, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

On June 3, 2008, the Massachusetts Attorney General filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of Suffolk County, Massachusetts (Case No. 08-2474-BLS) styled *Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. H&R Block, Inc., et al.*, alleging unfair, deceptive and discriminatory origination and servicing of mortgage loans and seeking equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, restitution and statutory penalties. In November 2008, the court granted a preliminary injunction limiting the ability of the owner of SCC's former loan servicing business to initiate or advance foreclosure actions against certain loans originated by SCC or its subsidiaries without (1) advance notice to the Massachusetts Attorney General and (2) if the Attorney General objects to foreclosure, approval by the court. An appeal of the preliminary injunction was denied. A trial date has been set for June 2011. A portion of our loss contingency accrual is related to this matter for the amount of loss that we consider probable and estimable, although it is possible that our losses could exceed the amount we have accrued. We are not able to estimate a possible range of loss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims presented and we intend to defend them vigorously. There can be no

assurances, however, as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Table of Contents

On October 15, 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (Case No. 10CH45033) styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago v. Bank of America Funding Corporation, et al.* against multiple defendants, including various SCC related entities and H&R Block, Inc. related entities, arising out of FHLB's purchase of mortgage-backed securities. Plaintiff asserts claims for rescission and damages under Illinois securities law and for common law negligent misrepresentation in connection with its purchase of two securities originated and securitized by SCC. These two securities had a total initial principal amount of approximately \$50 million, of which approximately \$42 million remains outstanding. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable nor have we established a loss contingency related to this matter. We believe the claims in this case are without merit and we intend to defend them vigorously. There can be no assurances, however, as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Other Claims and Litigation

We have been named in several wage and hour class action lawsuits throughout the country, respectively styled *Alice Williams v. H&R Block Enterprises LLC*, Case No. RG08366506 (Superior Court of California, County of Alameda, filed January 17, 2008); *Arabella Lemus v. H&R Block Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Case No. CGC-09-489251 (United States District Court, Northern District of California, filed June 9, 2009); *Delana Ugas v. H&R Block Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Case No. BC417700 (United States District Court, Central District of California, filed July 13, 2009); *Barbara Petroski v. H&R Block Eastern Enterprises, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 10-CV-00075 (United States District Court, Western District of Missouri, filed January 25, 2010); *Lance Hom v. H&R Block Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Case No. 10CV0476 H (United States District Court, Southern District of California, filed March 4, 2010); and *Stacy Oyer v. H&R Block Eastern Enterprises, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 10-CV-00387-WMS (United States District Court, Western District of New York, filed May 10, 2010). These cases involve a variety of legal theories and allegations including, among other things, failure to compensate employees for all hours worked; failure to provide employees with meal periods; failure to provide itemized wage statements; failure to pay wages due upon termination; failure to compensate for mandatory off-season training; and/or misclassification of non-exempt employees. The parties have agreed to consolidate certain of these cases into a single action because they allege substantially identical claims. The plaintiffs seek actual damages, in addition to statutory penalties, pre-judgment interest and attorneys' fees. We have not concluded that a loss related to these matters is probable nor have we accrued a loss contingency related to these matters. Moreover, we are not able to estimate a possible range of loss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in these cases and intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in these matters are substantial in some instances, however, and the ultimate liability with respect to these matters is difficult to predict. There can be no assurances as to the outcome of these cases or their impact on our consolidated results of operations, individually or in the aggregate.

In addition, we are from time to time party to investigations, claims and lawsuits not discussed herein arising out of our business operations. These investigations, claims and lawsuits include actions by state attorneys general, other state regulators, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others similarly situated. We believe we have meritorious defenses to each of these investigations, claims and lawsuits, and we are defending or intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in these matters are substantial in some instances, however, the ultimate liability with respect to such matters is difficult to predict. In the event of an unfavorable outcome, the amounts we may be required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

We are also party to claims and lawsuits that we consider to be ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our business, including claims and lawsuits (collectively, "Other Claims") concerning the preparation of customers' income tax returns, the fees charged customers for various products and services, relationships with franchisees, intellectual property disputes, employment matters and contract disputes. While we cannot provide assurance that we will ultimately prevail in each instance, we believe the amount, if any, we are required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements in these Other Claims will not have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Table of Contents**13. Segment Information**

Results of our continuing operations by reportable operating segment are as follows:

(in 000s)

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | | Six Months Ended October 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Tax Services | \$ 110,921 | \$ 109,305 | \$ 202,566 | \$ 197,268 |
| Business Services | 203,426 | 206,602 | 378,136 | 384,220 |
| Corporate | 8,542 | 10,174 | 16,661 | 20,098 |
| | \$ 322,889 | \$ 326,081 | \$ 597,363 | \$ 601,586 |
| Pretax income (loss): | | | | |
| Tax Services | \$ (154,355) | \$ (172,188) | \$ (328,979) | \$ (344,162) |
| Business Services | 8,397 | 174 | 7,964 | 1,495 |
| Corporate | (29,161) | (40,839) | (61,421) | (81,059) |
| Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit | \$ (175,119) | \$ (212,853) | \$ (382,436) | \$ (423,726) |

14. Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2010 the Financial Accounting Standard Board (FASB) issued Accounting Standards Update 2010-20,

Disclosures About Credit Quality of Financing Receivables and Allowance for Credit Losses. This guidance would require enhanced disclosures about the allowance for credit losses and the credit quality of financing receivables and would apply to financing receivables held by all creditors. This guidance is effective beginning with the first interim or annual reporting period ending after December 15, 2010. Early application is encouraged. We are currently evaluating the effect of this guidance on our financial statement disclosures.

In October 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update 2009-13, Revenue Recognition (Topic 605) Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements. This guidance amends the criteria for separating consideration in multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services (deliverables) separately rather than as a combined unit. This guidance establishes a selling price hierarchy for determining the selling price of a deliverable, which is based on: (1) vendor-specific objective evidence; (2) third-party evidence; or (3) estimates. This guidance also eliminates the residual method of allocation and requires that arrangement consideration be allocated at the inception of the arrangement to all deliverables using the relative selling price method. In addition, this guidance significantly expands required disclosures related to a vendor's multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. This guidance is effective prospectively for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified beginning with our fiscal year 2012. We believe this guidance will not have a material effect on our consolidated

financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued guidance, under Topic 860 Transfers and Servicing. This guidance will require more disclosure about transfers of financial assets, including securitization transactions, and where entities have continuing exposure to the risks related to transferred financial assets. It eliminates the concept of a qualifying special purpose entity and changes the requirements for derecognizing financial assets. We adopted this guidance as of May 1, 2010 and it did not have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements.

15. Condensed Consolidating Financial Statements

Block Financial LLC (BFC) is an indirect, wholly-owned consolidated subsidiary of the Company. BFC is the Issuer and the Company is the Guarantor of the Senior Notes issued on January 11, 2008 and October 26, 2004, our unsecured committed lines of credit (CLOCs) and other indebtedness issued from time to time. These condensed consolidating financial statements have been prepared using the equity method of accounting. Earnings of subsidiaries are, therefore, reflected in the Company's investment in

Table of Contents

subsidiaries account. The elimination entries eliminate investments in subsidiaries, related stockholders' equity and other intercompany balances and transactions.

Condensed Consolidating Income Statements (in 000s)

| Three Months Ended October 31, 2010 | H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor) | BFC (Issuer) | Other Subsidiaries | Elims | Consolidated H&R Block |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Total revenues | \$ - | \$ 17,320 | \$ 305,569 | \$ - | \$ 322,889 |
| Cost of revenues | - | 35,959 | 356,991 | - | 392,950 |
| Selling, general and administrative | - | 9,379 | 99,564 | - | 108,943 |
| Total expenses | - | 45,338 | 456,555 | - | 501,893 |
| Operating loss | - | (28,018) | (150,986) | - | (179,004) |
| Other income (expense), net | (175,119) | 4,890 | (1,005) | 175,119 | 3,885 |
| Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit | (175,119) | (23,128) | (151,991) | 175,119 | (175,119) |
| Income tax benefit | (68,307) | (7,654) | (60,653) | 68,307 | (68,307) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (106,812) | (15,474) | (91,338) | 106,812 | (106,812) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | (2,237) | (1,330) | (907) | 2,237 | (2,237) |
| Net loss | \$ (109,049) | \$ (16,804) | \$ (92,245) | \$ 109,049 | \$ (109,049) |

| Three Months Ended October 31, 2009 | H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor) | BFC (Issuer) | Other Subsidiaries | Elims | Consolidated H&R Block |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-------|---------------------------|
| Total revenues | \$ - | \$ 21,026 | \$ 305,055 | \$ - | \$ 326,081 |
| Cost of revenues | - | 45,861 | 365,088 | - | 410,949 |
| Selling, general and administrative | - | 2,457 | 127,228 | - | 129,685 |

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Total expenses | - | 48,318 | 492,316 | - | 540,634 |
| Operating loss | - | (27,292) | (187,261) | - | (214,553) |
| Other income (expense), net | (212,853) | (2,607) | 4,307 | 212,853 | 1,700 |
| Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit | (212,853) | (29,899) | (182,954) | 212,853 | (212,853) |
| Income tax benefit | (86,381) | (12,294) | (74,087) | 86,381 | (86,381) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (126,472) | (17,605) | (108,867) | 126,472 | (126,472) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | (2,115) | (2,115) | - | 2,115 | (2,115) |
| Net loss | \$ (128,587) | \$ (19,720) | \$ (108,867) | \$ 128,587 | \$ (128,587) |

Table of Contents

| Six Months Ended October 31, 2010 | H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor) | BFC (Issuer) | Other Subsidiaries | Elims | Consolidated H&R Block |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Total revenues | \$ - | \$ 38,320 | \$ 559,043 | \$ - | \$ 597,363 |
| Cost of revenues | - | 74,987 | 685,979 | - | 760,966 |
| Selling, general and administrative | - | 11,469 | 214,503 | - | 225,972 |
| Total expenses | - | 86,456 | 900,482 | - | 986,938 |
| Operating loss | - | (48,136) | (341,439) | - | (389,575) |
| Other income (expense), net | (382,436) | 5,272 | 1,867 | 382,436 | 7,139 |
| Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit | (382,436) | (42,864) | (339,572) | 382,436 | (382,436) |
| Income tax benefit | (147,986) | (15,495) | (132,491) | 147,986 | (147,986) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (234,450) | (27,369) | (207,081) | 234,450 | (234,450) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | (5,280) | (4,334) | (946) | 5,280 | (5,280) |
| Net loss | \$ (239,730) | \$ (31,703) | \$ (208,027) | \$ 239,730 | \$ (239,730) |

| Six Months Ended October 31, 2009 | H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor) | BFC (Issuer) | Other Subsidiaries | Elims | Consolidated H&R Block |
|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|---------|---------------------------|
| Total revenues | \$ - | \$ 44,222 | \$ 557,420 | \$ (56) | \$ 601,586 |
| Cost of revenues | - | 91,421 | 705,978 | - | 797,399 |
| Selling, general and administrative | - | 4,955 | 228,003 | (56) | 232,902 |
| Total expenses | - | 96,376 | 933,981 | (56) | 1,030,301 |

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | | |
|--|--------------|-------------|--------------|------------|--------------|
| Operating loss | - | (52,154) | (376,561) | - | (428,715) |
| Other income (expense), net | (423,726) | (3,840) | 8,829 | 423,726 | 4,989 |
| Loss from continuing operations before tax benefit | (423,726) | (55,994) | (367,732) | 423,726 | (423,726) |
| Income tax benefit | (166,637) | (22,986) | (143,651) | 166,637 | (166,637) |
| Net loss from continuing operations | (257,089) | (33,008) | (224,081) | 257,089 | (257,089) |
| Net loss from discontinued operations | (5,132) | (5,132) | - | 5,132 | (5,132) |
| Net loss | \$ (262,221) | \$ (38,140) | \$ (224,081) | \$ 262,221 | \$ (262,221) |

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheets (in 000s)

| October 31, 2010 | H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor) | BFC (Issuer) | Other Subsidiaries | Elims | Consolidated H&R Block |
|---|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| Cash & cash equivalents | \$ - | \$ 810,258 | \$ 149,499 | \$ (11) | \$ 959,746 |
| Cash & cash equivalents restricted | - | 140 | 35,333 | - | 35,473 |
| Receivables, net | - | 223,131 | 193,202 | - | 416,333 |
| Mortgage loans held for investment | - | 537,226 | - | - | 537,226 |
| Intangible assets and goodwill, net | - | - | 1,240,741 | - | 1,240,741 |
| Investments in subsidiaries | 2,722,826 | - | 234 | (2,722,826) | 234 |
| Other assets | 15,022 | 243,462 | 859,545 | - | 1,118,029 |
| Total assets | \$ 2,737,848 | \$ 1,814,217 | \$ 2,478,554 | \$ (2,722,837) | \$ 4,307,782 |
| Customer deposits | \$ - | \$ 929,909 | \$ - | \$ (11) | \$ 929,898 |
| Long-term debt | - | 998,785 | 45,725 | - | 1,044,510 |
| FHLB borrowings | - | 75,000 | - | - | 75,000 |
| Short-term borrowings | - | 39,517 | - | - | 39,517 |
| Other liabilities | 123 | 125,343 | 1,213,586 | - | 1,339,052 |
| Net intercompany advances | 1,857,920 | (404,933) | (1,452,987) | - | - |
| Stockholders' equity | 879,805 | 50,596 | 2,672,230 | (2,722,826) | 879,805 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 2,737,848 | \$ 1,814,217 | \$ 2,478,554 | \$ (2,722,837) | \$ 4,307,782 |

| April 30, 2010 | H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor) | BFC (Issuer) | Other Subsidiaries | Elims | Consolidated H&R Block |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|----------|---------------------------|
| Cash & cash equivalents | \$ - | \$ 702,021 | \$ 1,102,135 | \$ (111) | \$ 1,804,045 |
| Cash & cash equivalents restricted | - | 6,160 | 28,190 | - | 34,350 |
| Receivables, net | 57 | 105,192 | 412,737 | - | 517,986 |
| | | 595,405 | - | - | 595,405 |

| | | | | | |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|
| Mortgage loans held for investment, net - | | | | | |
| Intangible assets and goodwill, net | - | - | 1,207,879 | - | 1,207,879 |
| Investments in subsidiaries | 3,276,597 | - | 231 | (3,276,597) | 231 |
| Other assets | 19,014 | 332,782 | 722,626 | - | 1,074,422 |
| Total assets | \$ 3,295,668 | \$ 1,741,560 | \$ 3,473,798 | \$ (3,276,708) | \$ 5,234,318 |
| Customer deposits | \$ - | \$ 852,666 | \$ - | \$ (111) | \$ 852,555 |
| Long-term debt | - | 998,605 | 36,539 | - | 1,035,144 |
| FHLB borrowings | - | 75,000 | - | - | 75,000 |
| Other liabilities | 48,775 | 153,154 | 1,629,060 | - | 1,830,989 |
| Net intercompany advances | 1,806,263 | (431,696) | (1,374,567) | - | - |
| Stockholders' equity | 1,440,630 | 93,831 | 3,182,766 | (3,276,597) | 1,440,630 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 3,295,668 | \$ 1,741,560 | \$ 3,473,798 | \$ (3,276,708) | \$ 5,234,318 |

Table of ContentsCondensed Consolidating Statements of Cash
Flows

(in 000s)

| Six Months Ended October 31, 2010 | H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor) | BFC (Issuer) | Other Subsidiaries | Elims | Consolidated H&R Block |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| Net cash used in operating activities: | \$ (46,961) | \$ (15,379) | \$ (485,661) | \$ - | \$ (548,001) |
| Cash flows from investing: | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans originated for investment, net | - | 30,829 | - | - | 30,829 |
| Purchase property & equipment | - | - | (35,005) | - | (35,005) |
| Payments made for business acquisitions, net | - | - | (43,310) | - | (43,310) |
| Net intercompany advances | 423,572 | - | - | (423,572) | - |
| Other, net | - | (40,237) | 71,088 | - | 30,851 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 423,572 | (9,408) | (7,227) | (423,572) | (16,635) |
| Cash flows from financing: | | | | | |
| Repayments of short-term borrowings | - | (75,000) | - | - | (75,000) |
| Proceeds from short-term borrowings | - | 114,490 | - | - | 114,490 |
| Customer banking deposits | - | 76,923 | - | 100 | 77,023 |
| Dividends paid | (95,068) | - | - | - | (95,068) |
| Repurchase of common stock | (283,470) | - | - | - | (283,470) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 1,493 | - | - | - | 1,493 |
| Net intercompany advances | - | 15,851 | (439,423) | 423,572 | - |
| Other, net | 434 | 760 | (22,546) | - | (21,352) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (376,611) | 133,024 | (461,969) | 423,672 | (281,884) |
| Effects of exchange rates on cash | - | - | 2,221 | - | 2,221 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | - | 108,237 | (952,636) | 100 | (844,299) |
| Cash beginning of period | - | 702,021 | 1,102,135 | (111) | 1,804,045 |
| Cash end of period | \$ - | \$ 810,258 | \$ 149,499 | \$ (11) | \$ 959,746 |

Table of Contents

| Six Months Ended October 31, 2009 | H&R Block, Inc. (Guarantor) | BFC (Issuer) | Other Subsidiaries | Elims | Consolidated H&R Block |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|---------------------------|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities: | \$ 5,880 | \$ (14,655) | \$ (777,377) | \$ - | \$ (786,152) |
| Cash flows from investing: | | | | | |
| Mortgage loans originated for investment, net | - | 38,693 | - | - | 38,693 |
| Purchase property & equipment | - | 546 | (7,826) | - | (7,280) |
| Net intercompany advances | 89,577 | - | - | (89,577) | - |
| Other, net | - | 13,847 | (1,980) | - | 11,867 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities | 89,577 | 53,086 | (9,806) | (89,577) | 43,280 |
| Cash flows from financing: | | | | | |
| Customer banking deposits | - | 634,637 | - | 3,829 | 638,466 |
| Dividends paid | (100,784) | - | - | - | (100,784) |
| Acquisition of treasury shares | (3,785) | - | - | - | (3,785) |
| Proceeds from stock options | 8,218 | - | - | - | 8,218 |
| Net intercompany advances | - | 183,042 | (272,619) | 89,577 | - |
| Other, net | 894 | (8,975) | (22,803) | - | (30,884) |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | (95,457) | 808,704 | (295,422) | 93,406 | 511,231 |
| Effects of exchange rates on cash | - | - | 9,221 | - | 9,221 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | - | 847,135 | (1,073,384) | 3,829 | (222,420) |
| Cash beginning of period | - | 241,350 | 1,419,535 | (6,222) | 1,654,663 |
| Cash end of period | \$ - | \$ 1,088,485 | \$ 346,151 | \$ (2,393) | \$ 1,432,243 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our subsidiaries provide tax preparation, retail banking and various business advisory and consulting services. We are the only company offering a full range of software, online and in-office tax preparation solutions to individual tax clients.

RECENT EVENTS

In August 2010, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) announced that, as of the beginning of the upcoming tax season, it would no longer furnish the debt indicator (DI), to tax preparers or financial institutions. The DI is an underwriting tool that lenders use when considering whether to loan money to taxpayers who apply for a refund anticipation loan (RAL), which is short term loan, secured by the taxpayer's federal tax refund. As a result of the IRS decision, approval rates and loan amounts will likely be lower, and lenders may issue RALs that have a greater probability of not being repaid. Our participation interests in any RALs issued without the DI used in the credit assessment of the client may have a higher risk of default, which could increase our bad debt expense and reduce our profitability. During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010, our revenues from RAL participations (including RALs which were based on underwriting standards that included use of the DI) totaled \$146.2 million. RAL volumes are expected to decline in fiscal year 2011, and alternate products may have lower margins resulting in reduced profitability. We estimate that the impact of the discontinuation of the DI will reduce our profitability by approximately \$0.05 per diluted share. Our estimate is based on a number of assumptions and actual results could differ.

On October 15, 2010, we filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri for injunctive relief against HSBC Bank USA, National Association and certain of its affiliates (collectively, HSBC) seeking to require HSBC to perform its contractual obligations to offer RALs in our retail offices. At the time of the filing of our Form 10-Q for the period ended October 31, 2010, the ultimate outcome of this matter, its effect on our ability to offer RALs in our retail offices and its impact on our financial results is unknown.

Table of Contents**TAX SERVICES**

This segment primarily consists of our income tax preparation businesses – retail, online and software. This segment includes our tax operations in the U.S., Canada and Australia. Additionally, this segment includes the product offerings and activities of H&R Block Bank (HRB Bank) that primarily support the tax network, our participations in refund anticipation loans, and our commercial tax businesses, which provide tax preparation software to CPAs and other tax preparers.

Tax Services Operating Results

(in 000s)

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | | Six Months Ended October 31, | |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Tax preparation fees | \$ 63,590 | \$ 59,305 | \$ 98,135 | \$ 92,930 |
| Fees from Peace of Mind guarantees | 19,811 | 19,130 | 48,358 | 47,044 |
| Fees from Emerald Card activities | 6,693 | 9,428 | 17,268 | 21,119 |
| Royalties | 7,027 | 6,055 | 12,632 | 9,662 |
| Other | 13,800 | 15,387 | 26,173 | 26,513 |
| Total revenues | 110,921 | 109,305 | 202,566 | 197,268 |
| Compensation and benefits: | | | | |
| Field wages | 52,188 | 54,938 | 91,437 | 94,317 |
| Other wages | 28,506 | 28,841 | 56,992 | 58,721 |
| Benefits and other compensation | 18,093 | 19,795 | 52,397 | 41,111 |
| | 98,787 | 103,574 | 200,826 | 194,149 |
| Occupancy and equipment | 88,142 | 93,023 | 170,766 | 180,943 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 22,568 | 22,410 | 44,963 | 44,726 |
| Marketing and advertising | 12,106 | 15,261 | 20,519 | 22,100 |
| Other | 50,386 | 48,814 | 99,607 | 101,101 |
| Gain on sale of tax offices, net | (6,713) | (1,589) | (5,136) | (1,589) |
| Total expenses | 265,276 | 281,493 | 531,545 | 541,430 |
| Pretax loss | \$ (154,355) | \$ (172,188) | \$ (328,979) | \$ (344,162) |

Three months ended October 31, 2010 compared to October 31, 2009

Tax Services' revenues increased \$1.6 million, or 1.5%, for the three months ended October 31, 2010 compared to the prior year. Tax preparation fees increased \$4.3 million, or 7.2%, primarily due to favorable foreign exchange rates in the current year.

Total expenses decreased \$16.2 million, or 5.8%, for the three months ended October 31, 2010. Compensation and benefits decreased \$4.8 million, or 4.6%, primarily as a result of reductions in force during the first quarter of this year. Occupancy and equipment expenses decreased \$4.9 million, or 5.2%, primarily due to the closure of offices. During the current quarter, we recognized net gains of \$6.7 million on the sale of certain company-owned offices to franchises, compared to \$1.6 million in the prior year.

The pretax loss for the three months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$154.4 million and \$172.2 million, respectively.

Six months ended October 31, 2010 compared to October 31, 2009

Tax Services revenues increased \$5.3 million, or 2.7%, for the six months ended October 31, 2010 compared to the prior year. Tax preparation fees increased \$5.2 million, or 5.6%, primarily due to favorable foreign exchange rates in the current year.

Total expenses decreased \$9.9 million, or 1.8%, for the six months ended October 31, 2010. Compensation and benefits increased \$6.7 million, or 3.4%, primarily as a result of severance costs and related payroll taxes recorded during the first quarter of this year. Occupancy and equipment expenses decreased \$10.2 million, or 5.6%, primarily due to the closure of offices.

During the current year, we recognized net gains of \$5.1 million on the sale of certain company-owned offices to franchises, compared to \$1.6 million in the prior year.

The pretax loss for the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009 was \$329.0 million and \$344.2 million, respectively.

Table of Contents**BUSINESS SERVICES**

This segment consists of RSM McGladrey, Inc. (RSM), a national firm offering tax, consulting and accounting services and capital market services to middle-market companies.

Business Services Operating Results

(in 000s)

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | | Six Months Ended October 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Tax services | \$ 111,659 | \$ 107,612 | \$ 192,990 | \$ 190,281 |
| Business consulting | 64,522 | 60,070 | 126,200 | 121,991 |
| Accounting services | 9,253 | 11,878 | 20,095 | 23,407 |
| Capital markets | 1,482 | 1,012 | 3,872 | 2,529 |
| Reimbursed expenses | 5,796 | 6,204 | 12,127 | 10,353 |
| Other | 10,714 | 19,826 | 22,852 | 35,659 |
| Total revenues | 203,426 | 206,602 | 378,136 | 384,220 |
| Compensation and benefits | 138,803 | 149,309 | 265,916 | 283,689 |
| Occupancy | 12,641 | 9,671 | 24,571 | 18,923 |
| Depreciation | 4,883 | 5,540 | 9,535 | 10,830 |
| Marketing and advertising | 9,514 | 4,721 | 14,173 | 9,554 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 3,057 | 2,942 | 5,893 | 5,907 |
| Other | 26,131 | 34,245 | 50,084 | 53,822 |
| Total expenses | 195,029 | 206,428 | 370,172 | 382,725 |
| Pretax income | \$ 8,397 | \$ 174 | \$ 7,964 | \$ 1,495 |

Three months ended October 31, 2010 compared to October 31, 2009

Business Services revenues for the three months ended October 31, 2010 decreased \$3.2 million, or 1.5% from the prior year. Tax services and consulting revenues increased primarily as a result of the acquisition of Caturano & Company, Inc. (Caturano), as discussed in note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements. Other revenues declined primarily as a result of a reduction in management fees received related to the new administrative services agreement with McGladrey & Pullen LLP (M&P), as discussed in note 10 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

Total expenses decreased \$11.4 million, or 5.5%, from the prior year. Compensation and benefits decreased \$10.5 million, or 7.0%, primarily due to decreases in managing director compensation in the current year. Other expenses declined \$8.1 million, or 23.7%, primarily due to litigation costs recorded in the prior year.

Pretax income for the three months ended October 31, 2010 was \$8.4 million compared to \$0.2 million in the prior year.

Six months ended October 31, 2010 compared to October 31, 2009

Business Services revenues for the six months ended October 31, 2010 decreased \$6.1 million, or 1.6% from the prior year. Tax services and consulting revenues increased primarily as a result of the acquisition of Caturano. Other revenues declined primarily as a result of a reduction in management fees received related to the new administrative services agreement with M&P.

Total expenses decreased \$12.6 million, or 3.3%, from the prior year. Compensation and benefits decreased \$17.8 million, or 6.3%, primarily due to decreases in managing director compensation in the current year. Other expenses declined \$3.7 million, or 6.9%, primarily due to litigation costs recorded in the prior year.

Pretax income for the six months ended October 31, 2010 was \$8.0 million compared to \$1.5 million in the prior year.

Table of Contents**CORPORATE, ELIMINATIONS AND INCOME TAXES ON CONTINUING OPERATIONS**

Corporate operating losses include interest income from U.S. passive investments, interest expense on borrowings, net interest margin and gains or losses relating to mortgage loans held for investment, real estate owned, residual interests in securitizations and other corporate expenses, principally related to finance, legal and other support departments.

Corporate Operating Results

(in 000s)

| | Three Months Ended October 31, | | Six Months Ended October 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest income on mortgage loans held for investment | \$ 6,525 | \$ 8,072 | \$ 12,848 | \$ 15,968 |
| Other | 2,017 | 2,102 | 3,813 | 4,130 |
| Total revenues | 8,542 | 10,174 | 16,661 | 20,098 |
| Interest expense | 20,861 | 19,216 | 41,649 | 38,874 |
| Provision for loan losses | 8,300 | 13,400 | 16,300 | 27,000 |
| Compensation and benefits | 10,279 | 13,486 | 22,664 | 26,787 |
| Other | (1,737) | 4,911 | (2,531) | 8,496 |
| Total expenses | 37,703 | 51,013 | 78,082 | 101,157 |
| Pretax loss | \$ (29,161) | \$ (40,839) | \$ (61,421) | \$ (81,059) |

Three months ended October 31, 2010 compared to October 31, 2009

Interest income earned on mortgage loans held for investment decreased \$1.5 million from the prior year, primarily as a result of declining rates and non-performing loans. The provision for loan losses declined \$5.1 million from the prior year as a result of the continued run-off of our portfolio. See additional discussion below under Mortgage Loans Held for Investment. Other expenses declined \$6.6 million primarily due to expense reductions.

Six months ended October 31, 2010 compared to October 31, 2009

Interest income earned on mortgage loans held for investment decreased \$3.1 million from the prior year, primarily as a result of declining rates and non-performing loans. The provision for loan losses declined \$10.7 million from the prior year as a result of the continued run-off of our portfolio. Other expenses declined \$11.0 million primarily due to expense reductions.

Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate for continuing operations was 39.0% and 38.7% for the three and six months ended October 31, 2010, respectively, compared to 40.6% and 39.3% for the three and six months ended and October 31, 2009, respectively. Our effective tax rate decreased from the prior year due primarily to audit settlements and changes to the state income tax reserves. We expect our effective tax rate for full fiscal year 2011 to be approximately 39%.

Mortgage Loans Held for Investment

Mortgage loans held for investment at October 31, 2010 totaled \$537.2 million. The portfolio includes loans originated by Sand Canyon Corporation (SCC) and purchased by HRB Bank which constitutes approximately 63% of the total loan portfolio at October 31, 2010. We have experienced higher rates of delinquency and have greater exposure to loss with respect to this segment of our loan portfolio. Our remaining loan portfolio totaled \$229.8 million and is characteristic of a prime loan portfolio, and we believe subject to a lower loss exposure.

Table of Contents

Detail of our mortgage loans held for investment and the related allowance, excluding unamortized deferred fees and costs of \$4.5 million and \$5.3 million at October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010, respectively, is as follows:

| | | | | (dollars in 000s) |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | Outstanding Principal Balance | Loan Loss Allowance Amount | % of Principal | % 30 + Days Past Due |
| As of October 31, 2010: | | | | |
| Purchased from SCC | \$ 390,448 | \$ 78,488 | 20.1% | 39.3% |
| All other | 229,809 | 9,079 | 4.0% | 10.4% |
| | \$ 620,257 | \$ 87,567 | 14.1% | 28.6% |
| As of April 30, 2010: | | | | |
| Purchased from SCC | \$ 434,644 | \$ 82,793 | 19.1% | 37.8% |
| All other | 249,040 | 10,742 | 4.3% | 8.9% |
| | \$ 683,684 | \$ 93,535 | 13.7% | 27.3% |

We recorded provisions for loan losses of \$8.3 million and \$16.3 million during the three and six months ended October 31, 2010, respectively, compared to \$13.4 million and \$27.0 million during the three and six months ended October 31, 2009, respectively. Our allowance for loan losses as a percent of mortgage loans was 14.1%, or \$87.6 million, at October 31, 2010, compared to 13.7%, or \$93.5 million, at April 30, 2010. This allowance represents our best estimate of credit losses inherent in the loan portfolio as of the balance sheet dates.

Discontinued Operations

Sand Canyon Corporation (SCC , previously known as Option One Mortgage Corporation) ceased originating mortgage loans in December of 2007 and, in April 2008, sold its servicing assets and discontinued its remaining operations. The sale of servicing assets did not include the sale of any mortgage loans. SCC retained contingent liabilities that arose from the operations of SCC prior to its disposal, including certain mortgage loan repurchase obligations, contingent liabilities associated with litigation and related claims, lease commitments, and employee termination benefits. SCC also retained residual interests in certain mortgage loan securitization transactions prior to cessation of its origination business. The net loss from discontinued operations totaled \$2.2 million and \$5.3 million for the three and six months ended October 31, 2010 compared to \$2.1 million and \$5.1 million for the three and six months ended October 31, 2009.

In connection with the securitization and sale of mortgage loans, SCC made certain representations and warranties. In the event that there is a breach of a representation and warranty and such breach materially and adversely affects the value of a mortgage loan, SCC may be obligated to repurchase a loan or otherwise indemnify certain parties for losses incurred as a result of loan liquidation. Losses on valid claims totaled \$3.5 million and \$5.4 million for the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. These amounts were recorded as reductions of our loan repurchase liability. Claims received since May 1, 2008 follows:

(in millions)

| | Fiscal Year 2009 | | | | Fiscal Year 2010 | | | | Fiscal Year 2011 | | Total |
|-----------------------|------------------|-------|--------|-------|------------------|-------|-------|-------|------------------|-------|--------|
| | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | Q3 | Q4 | Q1 | Q2 | |
| Loan Origination Year | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2005 | \$ 40 | \$ 21 | \$ 1 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 15 | \$ - | \$ - | \$ 6 | \$ 1 | \$ 84 |
| 2006 | 89 | 10 | 111 | 7 | 2 | 57 | 4 | 45 | 100 | 15 | 440 |
| 2007 | 43 | 10 | 85 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 7 | - | 3 | 5 | 183 |
| Total | \$ 172 | \$ 41 | \$ 197 | \$ 22 | \$ 6 | \$ 83 | \$ 11 | \$ 45 | \$ 109 | \$ 21 | \$ 707 |

SCC has recorded a liability for estimated contingent losses related to representation and warranty claims as of October 31, 2010, of \$184.7 million, which represents SCC's best estimate of the probable loss that may occur. This overall liability amount includes \$49.7 million, which was established under an indemnity agreement dated April 2008 with a specific counterparty in exchange for a full and complete release of such party's ability to assert representation and warranty claims. This indemnity agreement was given as part of obtaining the counterparty's consent to SCC's sale of its mortgage servicing business in 2008. Though disbursements

Table of Contents

related to this agreement have not been significant, SCC believes that the full amount under this indemnity agreement will ultimately be paid.

While SCC uses the best information available to it in estimating its liability, probable losses are inherently difficult to estimate and require considerable management judgment. There may be a wide range of reasonably possible losses in excess of the recorded liability that cannot be estimated, primarily due to difficulties inherent in estimating the level of future claims that will be asserted and the percentage of those claims that are ultimately determined to be valid.

Although net losses on settled claims since May 1, 2008 have been within initial loss estimates, to the extent that valid claim volumes or residential home prices differ in the future from current estimates, future losses may be greater than the current estimates and those differences may be significant.

FINANCIAL CONDITION

These comments should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated balance sheets and condensed consolidated statements of cash flows found on pages 1 and 3, respectively.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY Our sources of capital include cash from operations, cash from customer deposits, issuances of common stock and debt. We use capital primarily to fund working capital, pay dividends, repurchase shares of common stock and acquire businesses. Our operations are highly seasonal and therefore generally require the use of cash to fund operating losses during the period May through mid-January. Given the likely availability of a number of liquidity options discussed herein, including borrowing capacity under our unsecured committed lines of credit (CLOCs), we believe, that in the absence of any unexpected developments, our existing sources of capital at October 31, 2010 are sufficient to meet our operating needs.

CASH FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES Cash used by operations totaled \$548.0 million for the first six months of fiscal year 2011, compared with \$786.2 million for the same period last year. The decrease was primarily due to lower income tax payments made during the current year and other changes in working capital.

CASH FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES Cash used in investing activities totaled \$16.6 million for the first six months of fiscal year 2011, compared to \$43.3 million provided in the same period last year.

Mortgage Loans Held for Investment. We received net payments of \$30.8 million and \$38.7 million on our mortgage loans held for investment for the first six months of fiscal years 2011 and 2010, respectively. Cash payments declined primarily due to non-performing loans and continued run-off of our portfolio.

Purchases of Property and Equipment. Total cash paid for property and equipment was \$35.0 million and \$7.3 million for the first six months of fiscal years 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Business Acquisitions. Total cash paid for acquisitions was \$43.3 million and \$6.6 million during the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. In July 2010 our Business Services segment acquired a Boston-based accounting firm, and cash used in investing activities includes payments totaling \$32.6 million related to this acquisition. See additional discussion in note 2 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

In October 2010, we signed a definitive merger agreement to acquire all of the outstanding shares of 2SS Holdings, Inc., developer of TaxACT digital tax preparation solutions, for \$287.5 million in cash. We expect this acquisition will be funded by excess available liquidity from cash-on-hand or short-term borrowings. Completion of the transaction is subject to the satisfaction of customary closing conditions, including regulatory approval.

Sales of Businesses. During the first half of fiscal year 2011, we sold nearly 250 tax offices to franchisees for proceeds of \$58.0 million. During fiscal year 2010, we sold 267 tax offices to franchisees for proceeds of \$65.7 million. The majority of these sales were financed through affiliate loans. Sales proceeds and cash payments under the lines of credit are both included in investing activities.

CASH FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES Cash used in financing activities totaled \$281.9 million for the first six months of fiscal year 2011, compared to \$511.2 million provided in the same period last year.

Short-Term Borrowings. We had commercial paper borrowings of \$39.5 million at October 31, 2010, while we had no similar borrowings in the same period last year. These borrowings were used to fund our off-season losses and cover our seasonal working capital needs.

Customer Banking Deposits. Customer banking deposits increased \$77.0 million for the six months ended October 31, 2010 compared to an increase of \$638.5 million in the prior year. We utilize cash provided by deposit

balances as a funding source for our Emerald Advance lines of credit during the tax season. Funding from customer deposits will be obtained later this year than in the prior year.

Table of Contents

Dividends. We have consistently paid quarterly dividends. Dividends paid totaled \$95.1 million and \$100.8 million for the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Repurchase and Retirement of Common Stock. During the six months ended October 31, 2010, we purchased and immediately retired 19.0 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$279.9 million. We may continue to repurchase and retire common stock or retire treasury stock in the future.

Issuances of Common Stock. Proceeds from the issuance of common stock totaled \$1.5 million and \$8.2 million for the six months ended October 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. This decline is due to a reduction in stock option exercises and the related tax benefits.

BORROWINGS

The following chart provides the debt ratings for Block Financial LLC (BFC) as of October 31, 2010 and April 30, 2010:

| | October 31, 2010 | | | April 30, 2010 | | |
|--------------------|------------------|------------|----------|----------------|------------|----------|
| | Short-term | Long-term | Outlook | Short-term | Long-term | Outlook |
| Moody's | P-2 | Baa2 | Negative | P-2 | Baa1 | Stable |
| S&P ⁽¹⁾ | A-2 | BBB | Negative | A-2 | BBB | Positive |
| DBRS | R-2 (high) | BBB (high) | Stable | R-2 (high) | BBB (high) | Positive |

⁽¹⁾ Placed on CreditWatch Negative, effective October 20, 2010.

We maintain a committed line of credit (CLOC) agreement to support commercial paper issuances, general corporate purposes or for working capital needs. This facility provides funding up to \$1.7 billion and matures July 31, 2013. This facility bears interest at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 1.30% to 2.80% or PRIME plus .30% to 1.80% (depending on the type of borrowing) and includes an annual facility fee of .20% to .70% of the committed amounts, based on our credit ratings. Covenants include: (1) maintenance of a minimum net worth of \$650.0 million on the last day of any fiscal quarter; and (2) reduction of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of short-term debt, as defined in the agreement, to \$200.0 million or less for thirty consecutive days during the period March 1 to June 30 of each year (Clean-down requirement). At October 31, 2010, we were in compliance with these covenants and had net worth of \$879.8 million. We had no balance outstanding under the CLOCs at October 31, 2010 or April 30, 2010. There have been no other material changes in our borrowings or debt ratings from those reported at April 30, 2010 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS AND COMMERCIAL COMMITMENTS

There have been no material changes in our contractual obligations and commercial commitments from those reported at April 30, 2010 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

REGULATORY ENVIRONMENT

There have been no material changes in our regulatory environment from those reported at April 30, 2010 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION

This report and other documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) may contain forward-looking statements. In addition, our senior management may make forward-looking statements orally to analysts, investors, the media and others. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts. They often include words such as expects, anticipates, intends, plans, believes, seeks, estimates, will, would, should, could or may. Forward-looking statements provide management's current expectations or predictions of future conditions, events or results. They may include projections of revenues, income, earnings per share, capital expenditures, dividends, liquidity, capital structure or other financial items, descriptions of management's plans or objectives for future operations, products or services, or descriptions of assumptions underlying any of the above. They are not guarantees of future performance. By their nature, forward-looking statements are subject to risks and uncertainties. These statements speak only as of the date made and management does not undertake to update them to reflect changes or events occurring after that date except as required by federal securities laws.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

There have been no material changes in our market risks from those reported at April 30, 2010 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

As of the end of the period covered by this Form 10-Q, we evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(c)). The controls evaluation was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING

There were no changes that materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

RAL Litigation

We have been named in multiple lawsuits as defendants in litigation regarding our refund anticipation loan program in past years. All of those lawsuits have been settled or otherwise resolved, except for one.

The sole remaining case is a putative class action styled *Sandra J. Basile, et al. v. H&R Block, Inc., et al.*, April Term 1992 Civil Action No. 3246 in the Court of Common Pleas, First Judicial District Court of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia County, instituted on April 23, 1993. The plaintiffs allege inadequate disclosures with respect to the RAL product and assert claims for violation of consumer protection statutes, negligent misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duty, common law fraud, usury, and violation of the Truth In Lending Act. Plaintiffs seek unspecified actual and punitive damages, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs. A Pennsylvania class was certified, but later decertified by the trial court in December 2003. An appellate court subsequently reversed the decertification decision. We are appealing the reversal. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable nor have we accrued a loss contingency related to this matter. Plaintiffs have not provided a dollar amount of their claim and we are not able to estimate a possible range of loss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to this case and intend to defend it vigorously. There can be no assurances, however, as to the outcome of this case or its impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Peace of Mind Litigation

We have been named defendants in lawsuits regarding our Peace of Mind program (collectively, the "POM Cases"), under which our applicable tax return preparation subsidiary assumes liability for additional tax assessments attributable to tax return preparation error. The POM Cases are described below.

Lorie J. Marshall, et al. v. H&R Block Tax Services, Inc., et al., Case No. 08-CV-591 in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Illinois, is a putative class action case originally filed in the Circuit Court of Madison County, Illinois on January 18, 2002. The plaintiffs allege that the sale of POM guarantees constitutes statutory fraud, an unfair trade practice and breach of a fiduciary duty. The plaintiffs seek unspecified damages, injunctive relief, attorneys' fees and costs. On September 17, 2010, the federal court denied plaintiffs' motion for class certification. The parties subsequently reached an agreement to settle the case, along with the *Soliz* case referenced below.

Table of Contents

There is one other putative class action pending against us in Texas that involves the POM guarantee. This case, styled *Desiri L. Soliz v. H&R Block, et al.* (Cause No. 03-032-D), was filed on January 23, 2003 in the District Court of Kleberg County, Texas. This case involves the same plaintiffs attorneys that are involved in the *Marshall* litigation in Illinois and contains allegations similar to those in the *Marshall* litigation. The plaintiff seeks actual and treble damages, equitable relief, attorneys fees and costs. No class has been certified. Following the denial of class certification in the *Marshall* litigation, the parties reached an agreement to settle this case, along with the *Marshall* litigation. Settlement amounts related to the POM Cases are immaterial to the financial statements and are accrued at October 31, 2010.

Express IRA Litigation

We have been named defendants in lawsuits regarding our former Express IRA product. All of those lawsuits have been settled or otherwise resolved, except for one.

The one remaining case was filed on January 2, 2008 by the Mississippi Attorney General in the Chancery Court of Hinds County, Mississippi First Judicial District (Case No. G 2008 6 S 2) and is styled *Jim Hood, Attorney for the State of Mississippi v. H&R Block, Inc., H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc., et al.* The complaint alleges fraudulent business practices, deceptive acts and practices, common law fraud and breach of fiduciary duty with respect to the sale of the product in Mississippi and seeks equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, damages and restitution, civil penalties and punitive damages. We are not able to estimate a possible range of loss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in this case, and we intend to defend this case vigorously, but there can be no assurances as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Although we sold H&R Block Financial Advisors, Inc. (HRBFA) effective November 1, 2008, we remain responsible for any liabilities relating to the Express IRA litigation, among other things, through an indemnification agreement. A portion of our accrual is related to these indemnity obligations.

RSM McGladrey Litigation

RSM EquiCo, its parent and certain of its subsidiaries and affiliates, are parties to a class action filed on July 11, 2006 and styled *Do Right s Plant Growers, et al. v. RSM EquiCo, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 06 CC00137, in the California Superior Court, Orange County. The complaint contains allegations relating to business valuation services provided by RSM EquiCo, including allegations of fraud, negligent misrepresentation, breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, breach of fiduciary duty and unfair competition. Plaintiffs seek unspecified actual and punitive damages, in addition to pre-judgment interest and attorneys fees. On March 17, 2009, the court granted plaintiffs motion for class certification on all claims. The defendants filed two requests for interlocutory review of the decision, the last of which was denied by the Supreme Court of California on September 30, 2009. A trial date has been set for May 2011.

The certified class consists of RSM EquiCo s U.S. clients who signed platform agreements and for whom RSM EquiCo did not ultimately market their business for sale. A portion of our loss contingency accrual is related to this matter for the amount of loss that we consider probable and estimable, although it is possible that our losses could exceed the amount we have accrued. The fees paid to RSM EquiCo in connection with these agreements total approximately \$185 million, a number which substantially exceeds the equity of RSM EquiCo. Plaintiffs seek to recover restitution in an amount equal to the fees paid, in addition to punitive damages and attorney fees. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the case and intend to defend the case vigorously. The amount claimed in this action is substantial and could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations. There can be no assurance regarding the outcome of this matter.

On December 7, 2009, a lawsuit was filed in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (2009-L-014920) against M&P, RSM and H&R Block styled *Ronald R. Peterson ex rel. Lancelot Investors Fund, L.P., et al. v. McGladrey & Pullen LLP, et al.* The case was removed to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois on December 28, 2009 (Case No. 1:10-CV-00274). The complaint, which was filed by the trustee for certain bankrupt investment funds, seeks unspecified damages and asserts claims against RSM for vicarious liability and alter ego liability and against H&R Block for equitable restitution relating to audit work performed by M&P. The amount

claimed in this case is substantial. On November 3, 2010, the court dismissed the case against all defendants in its entirety with prejudice.

Table of Contents

RSM and M&P operate in an alternative practice structure (APS). Accordingly, certain claims and lawsuits against M&P could have an impact on RSM. More specifically, any judgments or settlements arising from claims and lawsuits against M&P that exceed its insurance coverage could have a direct adverse effect on M&P's operations. Although RSM is not responsible for the liabilities of M&P, significant M&P litigation and claims could impair the profitability of the APS and impair the ability to attract and retain clients and quality professionals. This could, in turn, have a material adverse effect on RSM's operations and impair the value of our investment in RSM. There is no assurance regarding the outcome of any claims or litigation involving M&P.

Litigation and Claims Pertaining to Discontinued Mortgage Operations

Although mortgage loan origination activities were terminated and the loan servicing business was sold during fiscal year 2008, SCC remains subject to investigations, claims and lawsuits pertaining to its loan origination and servicing activities that occurred prior to such termination and sale. These investigations, claims and lawsuits include actions by state attorneys general, other state and federal regulators, municipalities, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others alleged to be similarly situated. Among other things, these investigations, claims and lawsuits allege discriminatory or unfair and deceptive loan origination and servicing practices, public nuisance, fraud, and violations of securities laws, the Truth in Lending Act, Equal Credit Opportunity Act and the Fair Housing Act. In the current non-prime mortgage environment, the number of these investigations, claims and lawsuits has increased over historical experience and is likely to continue at increased levels. The amounts claimed in these investigations, claims and lawsuits are substantial in some instances, and the ultimate resulting liability is difficult to predict and thus cannot be reasonably estimated. In the event of unfavorable outcomes, the amounts SCC may be required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements could be substantial and, because SCC's operating results are included in our consolidated financial statements, could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

On June 3, 2008, the Massachusetts Attorney General filed a lawsuit in the Superior Court of Suffolk County, Massachusetts (Case No. 08-2474-BLS) styled *Commonwealth of Massachusetts v. H&R Block, Inc., et al.*, alleging unfair, deceptive and discriminatory origination and servicing of mortgage loans and seeking equitable relief, disgorgement of profits, restitution and statutory penalties. In November 2008, the court granted a preliminary injunction limiting the ability of the owner of SCC's former loan servicing business to initiate or advance foreclosure actions against certain loans originated by SCC or its subsidiaries without (1) advance notice to the Massachusetts Attorney General and (2) if the Attorney General objects to foreclosure, approval by the court. An appeal of the preliminary injunction was denied. A trial date has been set for June 2011. A portion of our loss contingency accrual is related to this matter for the amount of loss that we consider probable and estimable, although it is possible that our losses could exceed the amount we have accrued. We are not able to estimate a possible range of loss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims presented and we intend to defend them vigorously. There can be no assurances, however, as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated results of operations.

On October 15, 2010, the Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois (Case No. 10CH45033) styled *Federal Home Loan Bank of Chicago v. Bank of America Funding Corporation, et al.* against multiple defendants, including various SCC related entities and H&R Block, Inc. related entities, arising out of FHLB's purchase of mortgage-backed securities. Plaintiff asserts claims for rescission and damages under Illinois securities law and for common law negligent misrepresentation in connection with its purchase of two securities originated and securitized by SCC. These two securities had a total initial principal amount of approximately \$50 million, of which approximately \$42 million remains outstanding. We have not concluded that a loss related to this matter is probable nor have we established a loss contingency related to this matter. We believe the claims in this case are without merit and we intend to defend them vigorously. There can be no assurances, however, as to its outcome or its impact on our consolidated results of operations.

Other Claims and Litigation

We have been named in several wage and hour class action lawsuits throughout the country, respectively styled *Alice Williams v. H&R Block Enterprises LLC*, Case No. RG08366506 (Superior Court of California, County of Alameda,

Table of Contents

(United States District Court, Northern District of California, filed June 9, 2009); *Delana Ugas v. H&R Block Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Case No. BC417700 (United States District Court, Central District of California, filed July 13, 2009); *Barbara Petroski v. H&R Block Eastern Enterprises, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 10-CV-00075 (United States District Court, Western District of Missouri, filed January 25, 2010); *Lance Hom v. H&R Block Enterprises LLC, et al.*, Case No. 10CV0476 H (United States District Court, Southern District of California, filed March 4, 2010); and *Stacy Oyer v. H&R Block Eastern Enterprises, Inc., et al.*, Case No. 10-CV-00387-WMS (United States District Court, Western District of New York, filed May 10, 2010). These cases involve a variety of legal theories and allegations including, among other things, failure to compensate employees for all hours worked; failure to provide employees with meal periods; failure to provide itemized wage statements; failure to pay wages due upon termination; failure to compensate for mandatory off-season training; and/or misclassification of non-exempt employees. The parties have agreed to consolidate certain of these cases into a single action because they allege substantially identical claims. The plaintiffs seek actual damages, in addition to statutory penalties, pre-judgment interest and attorneys' fees. We have not concluded that a loss related to these matters is probable nor have we accrued a loss contingency related to these matters. Moreover, we are not able to estimate a possible range of loss. We believe we have meritorious defenses to the claims in these cases and intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in these matters are substantial in some instances, however, and the ultimate liability with respect to these matters is difficult to predict. There can be no assurances as to the outcome of these cases or their impact on our consolidated results of operations, individually or in the aggregate.

In addition, we are from time to time party to investigations, claims and lawsuits not discussed herein arising out of our business operations. These investigations, claims and lawsuits include actions by state attorneys general, other state regulators, individual plaintiffs, and cases in which plaintiffs seek to represent a class of others similarly situated. We believe we have meritorious defenses to each of these investigations, claims and lawsuits, and we are defending or intend to defend them vigorously. The amounts claimed in these matters are substantial in some instances, however, the ultimate liability with respect to such matters is difficult to predict. In the event of an unfavorable outcome, the amounts we may be required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

We are also party to claims and lawsuits that we consider to be ordinary, routine litigation incidental to our business, including claims and lawsuits (collectively, Other Claims) concerning the preparation of customers' income tax returns, the fees charged customers for various products and services, relationships with franchisees, intellectual property disputes, employment matters and contract disputes. While we cannot provide assurance that we will ultimately prevail in each instance, we believe the amount, if any, we are required to pay in the discharge of liabilities or settlements in these Other Claims will not have a material adverse impact on our consolidated results of operations.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**The elimination of the IRS debt indicator may increase the risk of default on RALs and may reduce our profitability.**

In August 2010, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) announced that, as of the beginning of the upcoming tax season, it would no longer furnish the debt indicator (DI), to tax preparers or financial institutions. The DI is an underwriting tool that lenders use when considering whether to loan money to taxpayers who apply for a refund anticipation loan (RAL), which is short term loan, secured by the taxpayer's federal tax refund. As a result of the IRS decision, approval rates and loan amounts will likely be lower, and lenders may issue RALs that have a greater probability of not being repaid. Our participation interests in any RALs issued without the DI used in the credit assessment of the client may have a higher risk of default, which could increase our bad debt expense and reduce our profitability. During the fiscal year ended April 30, 2010, our revenues from RAL participations (including RALs which were based on underwriting standards that included use of the DI) totaled \$146.2 million. RAL volumes are expected to decline in fiscal year 2011, and alternate products may have lower margins resulting in reduced profitability. We estimate that the impact of the discontinuation of the DI will reduce our profitability by approximately \$0.05 per diluted share. Our estimate is

based on a number of assumptions and actual results could differ.

Table of Contents

On October 15, 2010, we filed a complaint in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Missouri for injunctive relief against HSBC Bank USA, National Association and certain of its affiliates (collectively, HSBC) seeking to require HSBC to perform its contractual obligations to offer RALs in our retail offices. At the time of the filing of our Form 10-Q for the period ended October 31, 2010, the ultimate outcome of this matter, its effect on our ability to offer RALs in our retail offices and its impact on our financial results is unknown.

There have been no other material changes in our risk factors from those reported at April 30, 2010 in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

A summary of our purchases of H&R Block common stock during the second quarter of fiscal year 2011 is as follows:

| | | (in 000s, except per share amounts) | | | |
|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | | Total Number of | Shares | Maximum \$ Value | |
| | | Purchased as Part of | Publicly Announced | of Shares that May | |
| | | Price Paid | Plans or Programs ⁽²⁾ | Be Purchased Under | |
| | | per Share | | the Plans or | |
| | | Purchased ⁽¹⁾ | | Programs | |
| August 1 | August 31 | 5 | \$ 15.67 | - | \$ 1,416,177 |
| September 1 | September 30 | 3,455 | \$ 12.83 | 3,450 | \$ 1,371,957 |
| October 1 | October 31 | 1 | \$ 13.14 | - | \$ 1,371,957 |

⁽¹⁾ We purchased 11,406 shares in connection with the funding of employee income tax withholding obligations arising upon the exercise of stock options or the lapse of restrictions on nonvested shares.

⁽²⁾ In June 2008, our Board of Directors rescinded previous authorizations to repurchase shares of our common stock, and approved an authorization to purchase up to \$2.0 billion of our common stock through June 2012.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 10.1 Offer Letter from H&R Block Management, LLC to Alan M. Bennett dated August 12, 2010, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K dated August 12, 2010, file number 1-6089, is incorporated herein by reference.*
- 10.2 H&R Block, Inc. 2003 Long-Term Executive Compensation Plan (restated effective September 30, 2010).*
- 10.3 Agreement and Plan of Merger by and among H&R Block, Inc., HRB Island Acquisition, Inc., 2SS Holdings, Inc., TA Associates Management, L.P. in its capacity as a Stockholder Representative, and Lance Dunn in his capacity as a Stockholder Representative dated as of October 13, 2010, filed as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's current report on Form 8-K dated October 14, 2010, file number 1-6089, is incorporated herein by reference.
- 31.1 Certification by Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification by Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Edgar Filing: H&R BLOCK INC - Form 10-Q

| | |
|---------|---|
| 32.1 | Certification by Chief Executive Officer furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification by Chief Financial Officer furnished pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Extension Calculation Linkbase |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase |
| 101.REF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Reference Linkbase |

* Indicates management contracts, compensatory plans or arrangements.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

H&R BLOCK, INC.

Alan M. Bennett
President and Chief Executive Officer
December 8, 2010

Jeffrey T. Brown
Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
December 8, 2010

Colby R. Brown
Vice President and
Corporate Controller
December 8, 2010