

ASA LTD  
Form N-CSR  
February 03, 2010

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

**FORM N-CSR**

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED  
MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number 811-21650

ASA Limited  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

11 Summer Street □ 4 Floor  
Buffalo, New York  
(Address of principal executive offices)

14209  
(Zip code)

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.  
3 MetroTech Center, 6<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Brooklyn, New York 11245  
(Name and address of agent for service)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: 716-883-2428

Date of fiscal year end: November 30, 2009

Date of reporting period: November 30, 2009

---

Item 1. Reports to Stockholders.

**Annual Report  
November  
2009**

---

## **ASA Limited**

### **A Closed-end Fund Specializing in Precious Minerals Investments**

## **Annual Report and Financial Statements**

November 30, 2009

### **Table of Contents**

Chairman's letter	2
Portfolio manager's report	4
Forward-looking statements	6
Certain investment policies and restrictions	7
Report of independent registered public accounting firm	7
Schedules of investments	8
Portfolio statistics	10
Principal portfolio changes	10
Statements of assets and liabilities	11
Statements of operations	12
Statements of changes in net assets	13
Notes to financial statements	14
Financial highlights	18
Supplementary information	18
Certain tax information for U.S. shareholders	19
Dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan	20
Privacy notice	21
Direct registration system	21
Results of proposals presented at the annual general meeting of shareholders	21
Proxy voting	22
Form N-Q	22
Common share repurchases	22
Board of directors and officers	23
Other information	24

## Chairman's Letter (unaudited)

Dear Fellow Shareholders

On behalf of your Board, it is my pleasure to report to you on the activities of your Company over the past year. Such a year-end report needs, in my mind, to cover the full year so I apologise in advance for some duplication of information from my shareholder letter dispatched to you as part of our May Semi-Annual Report.

### Investment Results for fiscal year 2009

Your Company's year-end is November 30 so this letter covers the period from December 1, 2008 to November 30, 2009 inclusive, otherwise referred to herein as the Year.

The precious minerals sector has been one of the best performing asset classes over the past 10 years with the price of gold recently reaching more than \$1,215/oz compared to its trading level of less than \$300 per ounce a decade ago. Whilst a more detailed report from your portfolio manager on your Company's activities is attached, it is worth noting that in the Year your Company's total return that is, including the reinvestment of dividends increased 102.0% based on its net asset value (NAV) per share and 101.1% based on its share price. We are pleased to report that your Company's total return, based on its NAV, exceeded the return of the FTSE Gold Mines Index which increased 72.3% for the same period although we would note that this index does not include the reinvestment of dividends.

You may recall from my Semi-Annual Report letter that I wrote that your Board deems the FTSE Gold Mines Index probably represents the benchmark index closest to ASA's investment objectives and so will be quoted in evaluating ASA's performance going forward.

### Dividend Income

Shareholders have been advised on recent occasions of your Company's declining dividend income stream, which has been caused by two main factors lower payouts from precious mineral miners and increased investments in higher growth companies by our portfolio manager. This trend has continued with the recent Year being the first in which net investment income has turned negative. We again wish to forewarn shareholders of this diminishing income stream and the effects that it could have on future dividend payments. Shareholders will recall that the Company's total income consists of two primary sources, namely dividend income and realised capital gains. Net realised capital gains, which were inflated in the Year by the tender offer, remained healthy and amounted to more than \$65M in the recent Year. Such gains are dependent on the buoyancy of stock markets and investment management activities.

### Discount Management & Tender Offer

Your Board continues to monitor the premium/discount at which ASA's shares trade relative to its NAV. Whilst over the course of the year the discount was relatively unchanged, noticeable short-term decreases in the discount were evident in April after the announcement of ASA's measurement period related to the tender offer. In line with the Board's previously stated policy a successful tender offer for upward of 10% of the Company's shares was completed in October, given that the offer was triggered by the smallest of margins at the conclusion of the 12-week measurement period. As previously stated, the Board agreed to a further 10% tender offer in 2010 conditional upon the 10% discount trigger level being breached during the measurement period.

### Contingency

The Company's Semi-Annual Report, for the six months ended May 31 2009 referred in Note 6 to a contingency relating to the costs of the proxy solicitation by Laxey Partners Limited (Laxey) in relation to the Company's annual general meeting of shareholders in 2008. I am pleased to advise that following discussions between your Board and representatives of Laxey, the issue has been dropped and no longer remains a contingency of your Company. Information on this issue is available in the notes to the attached accounts.

### The Way Forward

In my interim letter I referred to the strategic review undertaken earlier in the year on behalf of the Board. This review highlighted to us the potential advantages of broadening the asset base managed by the Company, either through a wholly-owned subsidiary or directly, by growing the business from a single product base into a larger, more global operation and was driven primarily by several factors:

within the past three-odd years 20-plus alternative pooled investment products oriented to the broad global resources sector have been launched, which indicates the increasing competitiveness for investor dollars and suggests there may be additional products that

## Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

could be developed and managed in a bottom up, fundamental investment style similar to that of ASA, compatible with, but not competitive to ASA;

to provide increased analytical and investment management capability to ASA: increasing the product range could permit an enhanced investment management and analytical team, which could directly benefit ASA through an even greater depth of skills across the precious minerals space worldwide, thereby providing a broader and deeper capability for your Company to capitalise on a larger number of global investment opportunities;

provide more conducive conditions through an expanding operation to both attract the highest calibre staff and to retain same through the provision of a dynamic and expansionary career path over the longer term;

the desire to reduce key man risk by enlarging the investment team: increasing your Company's range of products could permit the investment team under our portfolio manager to expand thereby diminishing key man risk to investors;

by expansion beyond a single product oriented to one market place, the strategy may assist, reduce and underwrite any need in the future to defend for example, by share repurchases the closed-end nature of the Company's

current sole investment vehicle in times of relative share price weakness to NAV and particularly in any future bear market. As an example of the possible consequences of any such necessary share repurchases, it can be noted that the net assets of the Company declined in excess of 33% in defence of its share price discount, relative to NAV, in the period February 08 to November 09, in what was a strong bull market for gold;

and lastly, but by no means least, an ability to seek to increase the revenue stream derived from management fees of the new products and over the longer term enhance value from the potential, capitalised growth of total assets under management worldwide.

That said, and as perhaps you will well realise, any such expansion in the investment management industry requires buoyant and conducive stock market conditions for its success and so an essential ingredient will be a continuing bull market in the precious minerals space. Whilst in the early stage of planning and in the context of the conditions precedent necessary for such development that I refer to below, your Board has been exploring positively possible business opportunities in several geographic areas. Further, may I provide you comfort that, at least whilst I am in the chair, any expansion of your Company's business in this area would be cautiously managed and considered in light of the possible returns.

Implementation of this strategy requires the approval of shareholders and, with respect to utilizing a subsidiary, relief from the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, we are proposing that shareholders approve the measures necessary to implement this strategy at the Company's Annual General Meeting (AGM) scheduled for March 11, 2010. Detailed information regarding these measures is included in the proxy statement for the AGM.

### **Your Board**

We have been operating well as a five-seat board through the year but now have determined to increase the board number to six. We propose Michael Mead to shareholders as a director: Michael has extensive investment experience over a long career in the U.S. investment management and endowment fund sectors and, as such, will bring very useful additional strengths to your Board. A fuller explanation of Michael's experience is set out in the proxy statement but meanwhile we respectfully and unanimously recommend his appointment.

As stated in the Semi-Annual Report, current Board members who were first elected in 2008 have declined the retirement benefits previously available to Board members.

### **Annual General Meeting**

As with many such funds, knowing our broad range of shareholders is a difficult task given that so many holdings are held behind anonymous, broker nominees. Our AGM is one opportunity when your Board can meet and discuss the aspirations of shareholders and we therefore invite you to attend in New York on March 11. As an added point of possible interest I draw your attention to our guess the gold price competition, run from year to year, with the prize of a half-ounce, gold coin – the only precondition for shareholder competitors being attendance in person!

On behalf of all our shareholders, may I take this opportunity of thanking my fellow directors and our staff for their time and efforts through the year to steer your Company to such achievements. It would be inappropriate in an annual review of your Company not to again mention the 85 years service of directors Messrs. Irwin, Conger, Inglis and Rosholt, who retired in the Year and to whom we offer a most healthy and happy retirement. Finally to our numerous shareholders around the world, may I thank you for your support in the Year.

Until our AGM on March 11th or sooner!  
Yours very sincerely

Julian Reid  
January 15, 2010

## Portfolio Manager's Report (unaudited)

The combination of events during the last year resulted in one of the strongest performance periods in ASA Limited's 51-year history. At November 30, 2009, ASA's total net assets were \$580.4 million, or \$89.56 per share. The closing price of ASA's shares on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) was \$79.55 at November 30, 2009, representing a discount of 11.2% to net asset value (NAV). This compares with the November 30, 2008 total net assets of \$341.1 million, or \$47.37 per share, a NYSE share price of \$42.25 and a discount to NAV of 10.8%.

Total return during the fiscal year 2009, including the reinvestment of dividends, was 102.0% based on the NAV and 101.1% based on the market price of the shares. The difference in total return based on the NAV and the market price is related to the discount that ASA's shares traded in the market over this time period. This is a significant improvement over fiscal year 2008's total return of negative 43.9% based on the NAV and negative 42.1% based on the market price of ASA's shares. During the fiscal year ended November 30, 2009, the total return of the FTSE Gold Mines Index, which does not include the reinvestment of dividends, was 72.3%. ASA's portfolio is not designed to track the performance of the FTSE Gold Mines Index, as it includes significant positions in several companies that are not included in the FTSE Gold Mines Index. Likewise, the FTSE Gold Mines Index includes some securities that are not held in ASA's portfolio. As such, the relative performance variation with respect to the FTSE Gold Mines Index may be more pronounced than it would be for a comparable index fund. However, ASA's Board of Directors considers the FTSE Gold Mines Index to be the best publically available index for comparing the performance of ASA to an unmanaged index of global gold mining shares.

### Chart 1: ASA's Total Return per Share vs. the FTSE Global Gold Mines Index - One Year Trailing

*Source: ASA Limited, including reinvested dividends*

For the fiscal year ended November 30, 2009 ASA had a net investment loss of \$0.04 per share, compared to net investment income of \$0.63 per share for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2008. Net realized gain from investments, including net realized gain (loss) on investments from foreign currency transactions, for fiscal year 2009 was \$9.25 per share, compared to \$16.91 per share for fiscal year 2008. The share amounts for 2009 and 2008 are based on the weighted average shares outstanding during each year. Operating expenses declined from \$5.9 million during 2008 to \$4.1 million during 2009 due to a combination of lower legal expenses, decreased shareholder reporting costs, and lower directors' fees and expenses as a result of a reduction in the size of the Board of Directors. These lower expenses were somewhat offset by higher expenses related to increased research and due diligence of ASA's investments.

Distributions totaling \$1.40 and \$2.00 per share were declared during the fiscal years 2009 and 2008 respectively. (See note 1.E. Dividends to Shareholders (page 15) and Certain tax information for U.S. shareholders (pages 19 and 20) for further comments.) During 2009, \$0.10 per share was distributed from income earned in prior periods and \$1.30 distributed from realized capital gains from the sale of securities in 2009. Investment income has declined in recent years due to a combination of lower dividend distributions from the South African gold and platinum mining companies as well as the increased diversification of ASA's portfolio toward higher growth investments. Management anticipates that ASA will generate little, if any, net investment income during the next year. However, it is management's goal to generate improved total returns for shareholders over the long term through investment in companies with projected higher growth rates and a more diversified portfolio of precious metals and mining companies.

### Chart 2: ASA's Distributions to Shareholders

*Source: ASA Limited*

## Portfolio Diversification

At November 30, 2009, ASA held a globally diversified portfolio of long-lived, low-cost, precious metals and mining investments. Approximately 12.2% of net assets was allocated to South African gold mining companies, versus 13.1% at the end of fiscal 2008 and approximately 43.5% at the end of fiscal 2006. The decline in our weighting towards South African gold mining companies during 2009 was largely the result of the sale of our investment in Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited. The strength of the South African rand has had a negative effect on operating margins on all South African gold mining operations, with Harmony among the most exposed to this trend.

Overall, the combination of increasingly deeper South African mines, high levels of fatalities, sharp increases in operating costs, and lower profit margins as a result of the strength of the rand have made an unfavorable investment climate in

## Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

South Africa for the global gold investor. However, we believe that the management and technical expertise in the South African mining sector are among the strongest in the industry and we continue to review these investments for potential opportunities to adjust our weightings towards the sector.

### Chart 3: ASA Portfolio Diversification (November 30, 2009)

*Source: ASA Limited*

ASA's investments in Australian gold mining shares were relatively unchanged during the year at 9.7% of total net assets at November 30, 2009. The most significant change during the year was a sharp increase in our holdings of Canadian gold mining and development companies. ASA's investments in Canadian domiciled mining companies increased from 29.2% of total net assets at the end of 2008 to 36.2% of total net assets at fiscal year end 2009. The increase was due largely to the acquisition of shares and warrants of NovaGold Resources Inc. in early 2009 and the relative outperformance of these investments during the year, combined with an increase in our holdings of Barrick Gold Corporation. ASA increased its investment in Barrick Gold Corporation following the closure of its hedge position, which improved our view of the fundamentals for this issuer. We anticipate that ASA's investments in gold mining companies domiciled in Canada will continue to grow over the coming year as Canada is the largest and most liquid market for gold mining companies.

The weighting of ASA's holdings in platinum mining companies was unchanged overall versus the previous fiscal year end, despite the price of platinum rising sharply and the outperformance of the platinum producers as compared to the FTSE Gold Mines index during the course of the year. ASA reduced its investments in the South African platinum mining companies late in the year due to the performance of these shares during the first nine months of 2009. In our view, the valuations of these shares had become overextended, given the strength of the rand. The sale of some of these shares, combined with the increase in market value during the year, left the ASA's weighting towards this category unchanged from fiscal year-end 2008.

Other changes to the portfolio during the year included the sale of our gold ETF holdings, a reduction in the holdings of non-gold related mining companies and a lower cash balance, all of which were designed to increase ASA's leverage to a rising gold price.

### Market Analysis

Starting in 2001, gold prices have risen on the back of a number of supportive fundamentals, including:

- the weaker U.S. dollar,
- increased geopolitical risk,
- higher oil prices,
- portfolio diversification,
- producer dehedging,
- lower than expected official gold sales, and
- declining mine production

This unusual combination of supportive supply/demand and market events was supplemented by the evolving financial crisis during the last eighteen months.

At this time last year, the global financial markets were in distress and the faith that investors had previously placed in banks, brokers, and the value of the U.S. dollar was being questioned. The sheer magnitude of the financial problems facing the market resulted in the sale of almost all types of investments, from stocks and bonds to oil and gold. Nevertheless, by December of 2008, investors started to seek refuge in the sectors that they believed would help to insulate their portfolios from the general distress facing the markets. This combination of events, the sell-off in gold towards the end of 2008 and a dramatic increase in investor interest in gold during the last year, has resulted in the strong performance of gold prices during the last twelve months.

Investment demand for gold and gold-related investment products soared during 2009 as investors sought the perceived safety of gold bullion during this period of economic chaos. Gold holdings in the five largest gold ETFs expanded during the last twelve months from an aggregate of 980 metric tonnes in November 2008 to nearly 1,406 metric tonnes at the end of November 2009, an increase of 43%. The investment by a number of hedge funds and widely known investors into these ETFs added further stimulus to the increase in investment demand for gold ETF's. The combined holdings in these ETF products have become the sixth largest concentration of gold in the world along with the central banks of the United States, Germany, Italy and France and the International Monetary Fund.

### Chart 4: Gold ETF Holdings



*Source: ASA Limited*

## Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

Net investment purchases of gold ETFs slowed in the second half of 2009, but continued to be positive throughout the year. The success of these products has been due to the increased liquidity and ease of trading in gold that they have facilitated. However, it remains to be seen how long investors will maintain their long positions in gold, should sentiment turn negative towards the sector. We believe that the majority of this investment is long-term in nature, but there remains a portion of the ETF shareholder base that is hedge fund or momentum driven and some sales pressure is possible should gold prices weaken.

Despite the increase in demand for the ETF products, the performances of the mining shares and ASA was significantly better than the 44% increase in the gold price during the last year, demonstrating the benefits of the operating leverage and growth of the precious metals producers.

The gold market experienced a significant decline in fabrication demand and a surge in supply in the form of increased scrap gold sales in response to the sharp increase in gold prices. Given the severity of the global economic crisis, we anticipate that jewelry demand will remain weak during the coming year, but that some recovery will be evident as global economic prospects improve. Scrap gold sales, which are effectively the re-melting of gold jewelry, are anticipated to slow considerably during the coming year. After all, consumers can only resell old jewelry once, and it takes decades to accumulate the quantities of metal that have been witnessed in the scrap category during the last eighteen months.

Recently, better than anticipated economic news in the United States has lifted the value of the U.S. dollar relative to other world currencies and made it more likely that the Federal Reserve may tighten monetary policy at some point in the future as the economy expands, potentially lifting the value of the dollar further. Higher interest rates typically increase the value of the dollar and ease inflationary pressures. Higher interest rates also tend to increase the opportunity cost of owning non-yielding investments such as commodities. The degree to which gold prices react negatively or positively will largely depend on the Federal Reserve's ability to moderate a potential increase in inflation rates, given the sharp increase in liquidity provided to support the U.S. financial system.

It is against this backdrop of recent economic events, slowing global gold production, the anticipated decline in scrap recycling, rising central bank purchases and potential improvements in jewelry demand that we look forward to the New Year. Given the degree of financial stress in the markets, combined with the supportive supply / demand fundamentals, we believe that the prospect of a strong gold price during the coming year remains good.

David Christensen  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*  
January 15, 2010

### Forward-Looking Statements

With the exception of historical information, this report includes forward-looking statements within the meaning of U.S. federal securities laws and that are intended to be covered by the safe harbors created thereby. Forward-looking statements are generally identified by words such as believe, anticipate, expect, intend, may, will, or similar expressions. In addition, statements that describe ASA's future plans, objectives, estimates or goals are also forward-looking statements. By their nature, forward-looking statements involve risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause actual results, performance or achievements of ASA's plans to be materially different from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements. Such factors include, but are not limited to, performance of the companies whose securities comprise ASA's portfolio; the conditions in the United States, South African and other securities and foreign exchange markets; the prices of gold, platinum and other precious minerals, which, in turn, are affected by a variety of global, economic, financial and political factors and may fluctuate substantially over short periods of time; changes in tax laws; and changes in ASA's plans, goals, strategies and intentions.

\* \* \* \* \*

Copies of financial reports for ASA Limited, as well as its latest net asset value, may be requested from LGN Group, LLC, P.O. Box 269, Florham Park, NJ 07932, (973) 377-3535, from the Executive Office (800-432-3378) or may be found on the Company's website ([www.asaltd.com](http://www.asaltd.com)). We would like to call to your attention the availability of the Dividend Reinvestment and Stock Purchase Plan. See page 20 of this report for information on how shareholders can participate in this plan.

\* \* \* \* \*

The Annual General Meeting of Shareholders will be held on Thursday, March 11, 2010 at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of K&L Gates LLP, 599 Lexington Avenue, 32nd Floor, New York, New York, USA. We look forward to your attendance.

## Certain investment policies and restrictions (unaudited)

The following is a summary of certain of the Company's investment policies and restrictions and is subject to the more complete statements contained in documents filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

**The Concentration of Investments in a Particular Industry or Group of Industries.** It is fundamental policy (i.e., a policy that may be changed only by shareholder vote) of the Company that at least 80% of its total assets be (i) invested in common shares or securities convertible into common shares of companies engaged, directly or indirectly, in the exploration, mining or processing of gold, silver, platinum, diamonds or other precious minerals, (ii) held as bullion or other direct forms of gold, silver, platinum or other precious minerals, (iii) invested in instruments representing interests in gold, silver, platinum or other precious minerals such as certificates of deposit therefor, and/or (iv) invested in securities of investment companies, including exchange traded funds, or other securities that seek to replicate the price movement of gold, silver or platinum bullion. Compliance with the percentage limitation relating to the concentration of the Company's investments will be measured at the time of investment.

If investment opportunities deemed by the Company to be attractive are not available in the types of securities referred to in the preceding paragraph, the Company may deviate from the investment policy outlined in that paragraph and make temporary investments of unlimited amounts in securities issued by the U.S. Government, its agencies or instrumentalities or other high quality money market instruments.

**The Percentage of Voting Securities of any one Issuer that the Company May Acquire.** It is a non-fundamental policy (i.e., a policy that may be changed by the Board of Directors) of the Company that the Company shall not purchase a security if, at the time of purchase, more than 20% of the value of its total assets would be invested in securities of the issuer of such security.

---

## Report of independent registered public accounting firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of  
ASA Limited:

We have audited the accompanying statements of assets and liabilities of ASA Limited (the Company), including the schedules of investments, as of November 30, 2009 and 2008, and the related statements of operations and supplementary information for each of the two years then ended, the statements of changes in net assets for each of the two years in the periods then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the five years indicated therein. These financial statements, supplementary information and financial highlights are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements, supplementary information and financial highlights based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States.) Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements, supplementary information and financial highlights are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, supplementary information and financial highlights, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our procedures included confirmation of securities owned as of November 30, 2009 and 2008 by correspondence with the custodian. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements, supplementary information and financial highlights referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Company at November 30, 2009 and 2008, the results of its operations and supplementary information for each of the two years in the periods then ended, the changes in its net assets for each of the two years in the periods then ended, and the financial highlights for each of the indicated years, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

New York, New York  
January 20, 2010

## Schedules of investments

November 30, 2009 and November 30, 2008

Name of Company	2009			2008		
	Shares	Fair Value	Percent of Net Assets	Shares	Fair Value	Percent of Net Assets
<b>Common Shares and Warrants</b>						
<b>Gold investments</b>						
<b>Gold mining companies</b>						
<i>Australia</i>						
Newcrest Mining Limited ADRs	1,665,000	\$ 56,109,901	9.7%	1,865,000	\$ 30,003,402	8.8%
<i>Canada</i>						
Agnico-Eagle Mines Limited	600,000	37,596,000	6.5	600,000	22,596,000	6.6
Barrick Gold Corporation	1,250,000	53,362,500	9.2	1,025,000	30,196,500	8.9
Goldcorp Inc.	1,082,400	45,460,800	7.8	1,200,000	32,364,001	9.5
Golden Star Resources Limited (1)	750,000	2,895,000	0.5			
IAMGOLD Corporation	600,000	11,370,000	2.0			
Kinross Gold Corporation	1,125,000	22,522,500	3.9	750,000	11,062,500	3.2
NovaGold Resources Inc. (1)(3)	1,157,691	6,471,492	1.1			
NovaGold Resources Inc. \$1.50 Warrants, 01/21/13, (1)(3)	2,307,691	9,438,456	1.6			
Yamana Gold Inc.	600,000	7,998,000	1.3			
		197,114,748	33.9		96,219,001	28.2
<i>Channel Islands</i>						
Randgold Resources Limited ADRs	719,700	60,987,378	10.5	1,094,700	41,850,381	12.3
<i>Latin America</i>						
Compania de Minas Buenaventura S.A.A. ADRs	1,359,000	54,563,850	9.4	1,459,000	26,262,000	7.7
<i>South Africa</i>						
AngloGold Ashanti Limited	943,194	41,538,264	7.2	943,194	20,137,192	5.9
Gold Fields Limited	1,979,577	29,258,148	5.0	2,429,577	19,971,123	5.9
Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited ADRs (1)				503,100	4,346,784	1.3
		70,796,412	12.2		44,455,099	13.1
<i>United States</i>						
Newmont Mining Corporation	420,368	22,548,540	3.8	420,368	14,145,383	4.1
Royal Gold Inc.	150,000	8,073,000	1.4			
		30,621,540	5.2		14,145,383	4.1
Total gold mining companies (Cost \$164,056,871 2009, \$143,960,390 2008)		470,193,829	80.9		252,935,266	74.2
<b>Exchange traded fund gold</b>						
<i>United States</i>						
SPDR Gold Trust (1) (Cost \$10,005,000-2008)				150,000	12,028,500	3.5
		470,193,829	80.9		264,963,766	77.7

Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

Total gold investments  
(Cost \$164,056,871-2009, \$153,965,390-2008)

**Platinum investments**  
**Platinum mining companies**

*South Africa*

Anglo Platinum Limited (1)(4)	345,100	35,514,376	6.1	470,100	21,704,119	6.4
Impala Platinum Holdings Limited	1,322,400	30,673,536	5.3	1,497,400	18,475,383	5.4
		66,187,912	11.4		40,179,502	11.8

*United Kingdom*

Lonmin PLC ADRs (1)	289,700	8,453,736	1.5	289,700	3,795,295	1.1
---------------------	---------	-----------	-----	---------	-----------	-----

Total platinum investments (Cost \$8,613,104 2009, \$12,006,287 2008)		74,641,648	12.9		43,974,797	12.9
--	--	------------	------	--	------------	------

**Investments in other mining companies**

*United Kingdom*

Anglo American plc (1) (Cost \$1,762,502 2009, \$4,941,921 2008)	414,800	17,750,669	3.1	914,800	21,577,892	6.3
---	---------	------------	-----	---------	------------	-----

Total common shares (Cost \$174,432,477 2009, \$170,913,598 2008)		562,586,146	96.9		330,516,455	96.9
--	--	-------------	------	--	-------------	------

**Schedules of investments (continued)**

November 30, 2009 and November 30, 2008

Name of Company	2009			2008		
	Principal Amount	Fair Value	Percent of Net Assets	Principal Amount	Fair Value	Percent of Net Assets
<b>Convertible Securities</b>						
<b>Gold mining companies</b>						
<i>Canada</i>						
NovaGold Resources Inc. 5.50% Senior Convertible Notes, due 5/01/2015 (Cost \$15,000,000 in 2009 and 2008)	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 13,164,600	2.3%	\$ 15,000,000	\$ 3,514,500	1.0%
Total investments (Cost \$189,432,477 2009, \$185,913,598 2008)		575,750,746	99.2		334,030,955	97.9
Cash, receivables, and other assets less liabilities		4,604,509	0.8		7,063,714	2.1
Net assets		\$ 580,355,255	100%		\$ 341,094,669	100%

(1) Non-income producing security

(2) Cost of investments shown approximates cost for U.S. federal income tax purposes, determined in accordance with U.S. federal income tax principles. Gross unrealized appreciation of investments and gross unrealized depreciation of investments at November 30, 2009 were \$390,961,904 and \$4,643,635, respectively, resulting in net appreciation on investments of \$386,318,269. Gross unrealized appreciation of investments and gross unrealized depreciation of investments at November 30, 2008 were \$172,374,854 and \$24,257,497, respectively, resulting in net unrealized appreciation on investments of \$148,117,357.

(3) Restricted security (Total value of \$15,909,948, representing 2.7% of net assets at November 30, 2009)

(4) Non-income producing security November 30, 2009 only.

ADR American Depository Receipt

The notes to the financial statements form an integral part of these statements.

**Portfolio statistics (unaudited)**

November 30, 2009 and November 30, 2008

<b>Country breakdown*</b>	<b>2009</b>	<b>2008</b>
Canada	36.2%	29.2%
South Africa	23.6%	24.9%
Channel Islands	10.5%	12.3%
Australia	9.7%	8.8%
Latin America	9.4%	7.7%
United States	5.2%	7.6%
United Kingdom	4.6%	7.4%

\* Geographic breakdowns, which are based on company domiciles, are expressed as a percentage of total net assets.

<b>Principal portfolio changes during the years ended November 30, 2009 and November 30, 2008 (unaudited)</b>	<b>2009</b>		<b>2008</b>	
	<b>Number of Shares/Principal Amount</b>		<b>Number of Shares/Principal Amount</b>	
	<b>Increase</b>	<b>Decrease</b>	<b>Increase</b>	<b>Decrease</b>
Agnico-Eagle Mines Limited				100,000
Anglo American plc		500,000		250,000
AngloGold Ashanti Limited				802,700
AngloGold Ashanti Limited-Rights <sup>(1)</sup>			270,031	270,031
Anglo Platinum Limited		125,000		50,000
Barrick Gold Corporation	225,000			100,000
Compania de Minas Buenaventura S.A.A. ADRs		100,000	729,500 <sup>(2)</sup>	170,500
Goldcorp Inc.		117,600		300,000
Golden Star Resources Limited	750,000			
Gold Fields Limited		450,000		1,980,400
SPDR Gold Trust (formerly streetTRACKS Gold Trust)		150,000		50,000
Harmony Gold Mining Company Limited ADRs		503,100		163,300
IAMGOLD Corporation	600,000			
Impala Platinum Holdings Limited		175,000		225,000
Kinross Gold Corporation	375,000		750,000	
Lonmin PLC ADRs				160,300
Newcrest Mining Limited		200,000		1,135,000
Newmont Mining Corporation				100,000
NovaGold Resources Inc.	1,157,691			250,000

Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

NovaGold Resources Inc. \$1.50 Warrants, 1/21/13	2,307,691		
NovaGold Resources Inc, 5.50% Senior Convertible Notes, due 5/01/2015		\$ 15,000,000	
Randgold Resources Limited ADRs		375,000	355,300
Royal Gold Inc.	150,000		
Yamana Gold Inc.	600,000		1,788,000

<sup>(1)</sup> Received in company rights offering.

<sup>(2)</sup> Received in 2 for 1 stock split.

10

---



## Statements of assets and liabilities

November 30, 2009 and 2008

	2009	2008
<b>Assets</b>		
Investments, at fair value		
Cost \$189,432,477 in 2009		
\$185,913,598 in 2008	\$ 575,750,746	\$ 334,030,955
Cash	5,605,534	21,090,938
Interest receivable	68,750	69,477
Dividends receivable	369,959	279,676
Other assets	101,062	192,919
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 581,896,051</b>	<b>\$ 355,663,965</b>
<b>Liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 703,775	\$ 463,250
Nonqualified pension liability		760,817
Liability for retirement benefits due to current and future retired directors	837,021	1,105,229
Dividend payable		12,240,000
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>\$ 1,540,796</b>	<b>\$ 14,569,296</b>
<b>Net assets</b>	<b>\$ 580,355,255</b>	<b>\$ 341,094,669</b>
Common shares \$1 par value		
Authorized: 30,000,000 shares		
Issued and Outstanding 6,480,000 shares in 2009 and 7,200,000 shares in 2008	\$ 6,480,000	\$ 7,200,000
Share premium (capital surplus)	14,343,180	15,936,867
Undistributed net investment income	22,712,446	28,038,896
Undistributed net realized gain from investments	258,023,609	244,070,980
Undistributed net realized (loss) from foreign currency transactions	(107,522,249)	(102,269,431)
Net unrealized appreciation on investments	386,318,269	148,117,357
<b>Net assets</b>	<b>\$ 580,355,255</b>	<b>\$ 341,094,669</b>
Net asset value per share (Based on outstanding shares of 6,480,000 in 2009 and 7,200,000 in 2008)	\$ 89.56	\$ 47.37

The closing price of the Company's shares on the New York Stock Exchange was \$79.55 and \$42.25 on November 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

The notes to the financial statements form an integral part of these statements.

## Statements of operations

Years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008

	2009	2008
<b>Investment income</b>		
Dividend income (net of foreign withholding taxes of \$287,545 in 2009 and \$330,539 in 2008)	\$ 2,692,920	\$ 10,392,900
Interest income	832,906	1,048,218
<b>Total investment income</b>	<b>3,525,826</b>	<b>11,441,118</b>
<b>Expenses</b>		
Shareholder reports and proxy expenses	175,919	557,253
Directors' fees and expenses	308,900	642,559
Provision for retirement benefits due to current and future retired directors		330,238
Salaries and benefits	1,121,081	1,327,819
Other administrative expenses	615,000	615,809
Fund accounting	142,292	164,750
Transfer agent, registrar and custodian	137,343	138,995
Professional fees and expenses	886,873	1,592,131
Insurance	188,046	190,301
Other	525,413	356,765
<b>Total expenses</b>	<b>4,100,867</b>	<b>5,916,620</b>
Less: reduction in retirement benefits due to directors	(268,208)	
<b>Net expenses</b>	<b>3,832,659</b>	<b>5,916,620</b>
<b>Net investment income (loss)</b>	<b>(306,833)</b>	<b>5,524,498</b>
<b>Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) from investments and foreign currency transactions</b>		
<b>Net realized gain from investments</b>		
Proceeds from sales	98,541,595	330,967,214
Cost of securities sold	27,789,070	172,487,059
<b>Net realized gain from investments</b>	<b>70,752,525</b>	<b>158,480,155</b>
<b>Net realized gain (loss) from foreign currency transactions</b>		
Investments	(5,260,408)	(9,657,159)
Foreign currency	7,590	(27,550)
<b>Net realized gain (loss) from foreign currency transactions</b>	<b>(5,252,818)</b>	<b>(9,684,709)</b>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation on investments</b>		
Balance, beginning of year	148,117,357	567,853,998
Balance, end of year	386,318,269	148,117,357
<b>Net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation on investments</b>	<b>238,200,912</b>	<b>(419,736,641)</b>
<b>Net realized and unrealized gain (loss) from investments and foreign currency transactions</b>	<b>303,700,619</b>	<b>(270,941,195)</b>
<b>Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations</b>	<b>\$ 303,393,786</b>	<b>\$ (265,416,697)</b>

The notes to the financial statements form an integral part of these statements.



## Statements of changes in net assets

Years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008

	2009	2008
Net investment income (loss)	\$ (306,833)	\$ 5,524,498
Net realized gain from investments	70,752,525	158,480,155
Net realized (loss) from foreign currency transactions	(5,252,818)	(9,684,709)
Net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation on investments	238,200,912	(419,736,641)
<b>Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations</b>	<b>303,393,786</b>	<b>(265,416,697)</b>
Dividends paid/payable		
From net investment income	(720,000)	(5,524,498)
From net realized gain from investments	(8,424,000)	(9,595,506)
<b>Adjustment tender offer</b>		
From common shares \$1 par value	(720,000)	(2,400,000)
From share premium (capital surplus)	(1,593,687)	(5,312,289)
From undistributed net investment income	(4,299,617)	(26,851,291)
From net realized gain from investments	(48,375,896)	(157,594,782)
<b>Net increase (decrease) in net assets</b>	<b>239,260,586</b>	<b>(472,695,063)</b>
Net assets, beginning of year	341,094,669	813,789,732
<b>Net assets, end of year (including undistributed net investment income of \$22,712,446 at November 30, 2009 and \$28,038,896 at November 30, 2008)</b>	<b>\$ 580,355,255</b>	<b>\$ 341,094,669</b>

The notes to the financial statements form an integral part of these statements.

## Notes to financial statements

Years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008

**1. Summary of significant accounting policies** ASA Limited (the Company) is a closed-end management investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, and is organized as an exempted limited liability company under the laws of Bermuda. The following is a summary of the Company's significant accounting policies:

In June 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) established the FASB Accounting Standards Codification (Codification) as the single source of authoritative accounting principles recognized by the FASB in the preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The Codification supersedes existing non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. The Codification did not change GAAP but rather organized it into a hierarchy where all guidance within the Codification carries an equal level of authority. The Codification became effective on July 1, 2009. The Codification did not have a material effect on the Company's financial statements.

### A. Investments

The net asset value of the Company is determined as of the close of regular trading on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) on the date for which the valuation is being made (the Valuation Time). Portfolio securities listed on U.S. and foreign stock exchanges generally are valued at the last reported sale price as of the Valuation Time on the exchange on which the securities are primarily traded, or the last reported bid price if a sale price is not available. Securities traded over the counter are valued at the last reported sale price or the last reported bid price if a sale price is not available. Securities listed on foreign stock exchanges may be fair valued based on significant events that have occurred subsequent to the close of the foreign markets.

Securities for which current market quotations are not readily available are valued at their fair value as determined in good faith by, or in accordance with procedures approved by, the Company's Board of Directors. If a security is valued at a fair value, that value is likely to be different from the last quoted price for the security. Various factors may be reviewed in order to make a good faith determination of a security's fair value. These factors include, but are not limited to, the nature of the security; relevant financial or business developments of the issuer; actively traded similar or related securities; conversion rights on the security; and changes in overall market conditions.

Where the Company holds securities listed on foreign stock exchanges and American Depository Receipts (ADRs) representing these securities are actively traded on the NYSE, the securities normally are fair valued based on the last reported sales price of the ADRs.

The difference between cost and fair value is reflected separately as net unrealized appreciation (depreciation) on investments. The net realized gain or loss from the sale of securities is determined for accounting purposes on the identified cost basis.

At November 30, 2009, the Company held investments in restricted securities valued in accordance with procedures approved by the Company's Board of Directors as reflecting fair value, as follows:

Shares/ Warrants	Cost	Issuer	Value	Acquisition Date
1,157,691	\$1,030,345	NovaGold Resources Inc.	\$6,471,492	1/21/2009
2,307,691	\$946,153	NovaGold Resources Inc., \$1.50 Warrants 01/21/13	\$9,438,456	1/21/2009

The Company adopted Accounting Standards Codification (ASC 820, formerly known as SFAS 157), Fair Value Measurements, effective December 1, 2007.

In accordance with U.S. GAAP, fair value is defined as the price that the Company would receive to sell an investment or pay to transfer a liability in a timely transaction with an independent buyer in the principal market, or in the absence of a principal market the most advantageous market for the investment or liability. ASC 820 establishes a three-tier hierarchy to distinguish between (1) inputs that reflect the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs) and (2) inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances (unobservable inputs) and to establish classification of fair value measurements for disclosure purposes. Various inputs are used in determining the value of the Company's investments. The inputs are summarized in the three broad levels listed below.

Level 1 quoted prices in active markets for identical investments

## Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

Level 2 other significant observable inputs (including quoted prices for similar investments, interest rates, credit risk, etc.)

Level 3 significant unobservable inputs (including the Company's own assumptions in determining the fair value of investments)

The inputs or methodology used for valuing securities are not necessarily an indication of the risk associated with investing in those securities.

14

---

**Notes to financial statements (continued)**

Years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008

The following is a summary of the inputs used as of November 30, 2009 and 2008 in valuing the Company's investments at fair value:

**Investments in Securities****Measurements at November 30, 2009**

<b>Description (1)</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Common Shares and Warrants</b>				
Gold mining companies	\$ 327,377,568	\$ 142,816,261	\$	\$ 470,193,829
Platinum mining companies	66,187,912	8,453,736		74,641,648
Other mining companies		17,750,669		17,750,669
<b>Convertible Securities</b>				
Gold mining companies		13,164,600		13,164,600
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 393,565,480</b>	<b>\$ 182,185,266</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 575,750,746</b>

(1) See schedules of investments for country classifications.

**Measurements at November 30, 2008**

<b>Description (1)</b>	<b>Level 1</b>	<b>Level 2</b>	<b>Level 3</b>	<b>Total</b>
<b>Common Shares and Warrants</b>				
Gold mining companies	\$ 182,823,549	\$ 70,111,717	\$	\$ 252,935,266
Platinum mining companies	40,179,502	3,795,295		43,974,797
Other mining companies		21,577,892		21,577,892
<b>Exchange traded fund</b>				
Gold mining companies	12,028,500			12,028,500
<b>Convertible Securities</b>				
Gold mining companies		3,514,500		3,514,500
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 235,031,551</b>	<b>\$ 98,999,404</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 334,030,955</b>

(1) See schedules of investments for country classifications.

**B. Cash Equivalents**

The Company considers all money market and all highly liquid temporary cash investments purchased with an original maturity of less than three months to be cash equivalents.

**C. Foreign Currency Translation**

Portfolio securities and other assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollar amounts at the rate of exchange reported at 5:00 PM New York time on the date of valuation. Purchases and sales of investment securities and income and expense items denominated in foreign currencies are translated into U.S. dollar amounts on the respective dates of such transactions. The resulting net foreign currency gain or loss is included in the statements of operations.

**D. Securities Transactions and Investment Income**

## Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

During the year ended November 30, 2009, sales and purchases of portfolio securities (other than temporary short-term investments) amounted to \$98,541,595, and \$36,568,358, respectively. During the year ended November 30, 2008, sale and purchases of portfolio securities (other than temporary short-term investments) amounted to \$330,967,214 and \$142,825,526, respectively.

Dividend income is recorded on the ex-dividend date, net of withholding taxes, if any. Interest income is recognized on the accrual basis.

### *E. Dividends to Shareholders*

Dividends to shareholders are recorded on the ex-dividend date. The reporting for financial statement purposes of dividends paid from net investment income or net realized gains may differ from their ultimate reporting for U.S. federal income tax purposes. The differences are caused primarily by the separate line item reporting for financial statement purposes of foreign exchange gains or losses.



## Notes to financial statements (continued)

Years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008

### *F. Use of Estimates*

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### *G. Basis of Presentation*

The financial statements are presented in U.S. dollars.

### *H. Income Taxes*

The Company adopted the provisions of Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (ASC 740-10), formerly known as FASB Interpretation No. 48, (FIN 48). The implementation of this Standard resulted in no material liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits and material changes to the beginning net asset value of the Company.

**2. Tax status of the Company** The Company is not subject to Bermuda tax as an exempted limited liability company organized under the laws of Bermuda. Nor is the Company generally subject to U.S. federal income tax, since it is a non-U.S. corporation whose only business activities in the United States is trading in stocks or securities for its own account; and under the U.S. federal tax law that activity does not constitute a trade or business within the United States, even if its principal office is located therein. As a result, its gross income is not subject to U.S. federal income tax, though certain types of income it earns from U.S. sources (such as dividends of U.S. payors) are subject to withholding tax.

**3. Retirement plans** The Company had an unfunded non-qualified pension agreement with its former Chairman, President and Treasurer, Robert J. A. Irwin, pursuant to which the Company credited amounts to a pension benefit account as determined from time to time by the Board of Directors. Through the period ended November 30, 2006, interest equivalents were credited on amounts credited to the pension benefit account at an annual rate of 3.5%. Beginning December 1, 2006, interest equivalents were credited at an annual rate of 5%. On January 2, 2009 an amount equal to the balance in the pension benefit account at December 31, 2008 of \$770,055 was paid in a lump sum to Mr. Irwin whose service with the Company terminated upon his retirement, and the agreement was terminated.

The Company has recorded a liability for retirement benefits due to future and current retired directors. The liability for these benefits at November 30, 2009 and November 30, 2008 was \$837,021 and \$1,105,229, respectively. A director whose first election to the Board of Directors was prior to January 1, 2008 qualifies to receive retirement benefits if he has served the Company (and any of its predecessors) for at least twelve years prior to retirement.

During the year ended November 30, 2009, the Company recorded a reduction of \$268,208 to the liability for retirement benefits due to future and current retired directors. This adjustment related to the agreement by those directors first elected in 2008 to waive their interest in the plan benefits.

**4. Concentration risk** It is a fundamental policy of the Company that at least 80% of its total assets be invested in securities of companies engaged, directly or indirectly, in the exploration, mining or processing of gold or other precious minerals and/or in other gold and precious mineral investments. A substantial portion of the Company assets currently is invested in South African companies and other companies having significant assets or operations in South Africa. The Company is, therefore, subject to gold and precious mineral related risk as well as risk related to investing in South Africa, including political, economic, regulatory, currency fluctuation and foreign exchange risks. The Company currently is invested in a limited number of securities and thus holds large positions in certain securities. Because the Company's investments are concentrated in a limited number of securities of companies involved in the holding or mining of gold and other precious minerals and related activities, the net asset value of the Company may be subject to greater volatility than that of a more broadly diversified investment company.

**5. Indemnifications** In the ordinary course of business, the Company enters into contracts that contain a variety of indemnifications. The Company's maximum exposure under these arrangements is unknown. However, the Company has not had prior claims or losses pursuant to these indemnification provisions and expects the risk of loss thereunder to be remote.

**6. Tender offer** On September 1, 2009, the Company commenced a tender offer to purchase up to 720,000 of its Common Shares, representing 10% of its issued and outstanding shares. Because the number of shares tendered exceeded 720,000 shares, the Company purchased shares duly tendered on a pro rata basis in accordance with the number of shares duly tendered by each shareholder. The Company paid \$76.11 per share, the amount equal to 98% of \$77.66, the net asset value per share as determined by the Company at the close of regular trading on the NYSE on October 2, 2009 the expiration date of the tender offer. As a result the Company's outstanding shares decreased from 7,200,000 to 6,480,000. To the best of the Company's knowledge, at the time of the tender offer Lazard Asset Management LLC ( "Lazard" ) beneficially owned more than 5% of the Company's outstanding Common Shares. Andrew Pegge (a director of the Company) is a principal of Laxey Partners Limited ( "Laxey Partners" ). Laxey Partners as the manager or adviser of a group of entities controlled by it (Laxey Partners and the group together, "Laxey" ) sold

## Notes to financial statements (continued)

Years ended November 30, 2009 and 2008

13,547 Common Shares in connection with the tender offer and received proceeds of approximately \$1,031,000. Based on information from a source at Lazard, Lazard sold 276,794 Common Shares in connection with the tender offer and received proceeds of approximately \$21,066,790.

On June 13, 2008, the Company commenced a tender offer to purchase up to 2,400,000 of its Common Shares, representing 25% of its issued and outstanding shares. Because the number of shares tendered exceeded 2,400,000 shares, the Company purchased shares duly tendered on a pro rata basis in accordance with the number of shares duly tendered by each shareholder. The Company paid \$79.92 per share, the amount equal to 98% of \$81.55, the net asset value per share as determined by the Company at the close of regular trading on the NYSE on July 25, 2008, the expiration date of the tender offer. As a result, the Company's outstanding shares decreased from 9,600,000 to 7,200,000. To the best of the Company's knowledge at the time of the tender offer Laxey and Lazard each owned beneficially more than 5% of the Company's outstanding Common Shares. Laxey sold 330,600 Common Shares in connection with the tender offer and received proceeds of approximately \$26,421,552. Based on information from a source at Lazard, Lazard sold 587,683 Common Shares in connection with the tender offer and received proceeds of approximately \$46,967,625.

**7. Compensation matters** The Board of Directors approved in principle a discretionary bonus for the fiscal year ending November 30, 2009 to be paid to the Portfolio Manager (who also serves as the President and Chief Executive Officer) based upon the Company's investment performance relative to its benchmark. The bonus was prorated for the period from April 1, 2009, the date on which Portfolio Manager's contract was anticipated to be effective, through November 30, 2009. As a result, \$358,429 representing such prorated bonus has been accrued for the fiscal year ended November 30, 2009.

**8. Contingencies** In connection with the Company's 2008 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders, Laxey filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a proxy statement in which Laxey nominated Andrew Pegge, Phillip Goldstein, and Julian Reid for election to the Company's board of directors to replace three of the nominees of the board of directors. Laxey's proxy statement also included a proposal to recommend that the board of directors undertake a series of tender offers to address the discount from net asset value at which the Company's shares have been trading. In its proxy statement, Laxey indicated that it intended to bear the cost of its proxy solicitation, which it estimated would be approximately \$800,000. In its proxy statement, Laxey also indicated that it did not then intend to seek reimbursement of the cost of its proxy solicitation from the Company, but that it may decide to do so in the future. At the Company's 2008 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held on April 8, 2008, shareholders ultimately elected Andrew Pegge, Phillip Goldstein, and Julian Reid to serve as directors of the Company, but did not approve Laxey's tender offer proposal. Laxey paid the costs of its proxy solicitation, but in 2008 Laxey Partners initially sought reimbursement of its costs from the Company. Laxey informed the Company that the actual costs of Laxey's proxy solicitation were approximately \$985,000. During the year ended November 30, 2009, the Company was informed by Laxey that it would not pursue its request for reimbursement of its proxy solicitation costs and the matter has been closed.

**9. Related parties** The Company's Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer was appointed to serve in this capacity in February 2009. He is the member/owner of LGN Group, LLC, an entity which provides shareholder and administrative services to the Company. Fees paid to LGN Group, LLC since his appointment through November 30, 2009 were \$461,250.

**10. Subsequent event** The Company adopted the provisions of Subsequent Events (ASC 855-10-05), formerly known as FASB Interpretation No. 65, which was issued in May 2009. These provisions establish general standards of accounting for and disclosures of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued. The Company has evaluated events subsequent to November 30, 2009 through January 20, 2010. In December 2009, the Company entered into a three-year operating lease agreement in San Mateo, CA for approximately 2500 square feet to be used as office space for its employees. The lease provides for annual rentals of approximately \$80,000 beginning March 1, 2010 through February 28, 2013.

## Financial highlights

	Year ended November 30				
	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005
<b>Per share operating performance</b>					
Net asset value, beginning of year	\$ 47.37	\$ 84.77	\$ 74.19	\$ 55.93	\$ 49.95
Net investment income (loss)	(.04)	.63	1.11	.76	.10
Net realized gain from investments	9.99	18.01	10.89	1.31	3.44
Net realized gain (loss) from foreign currency transactions	(.74)	(1.10)	(1.86)	.04	(2.19)
Net increase (decrease) in unrealized appreciation on investments	33.64	(47.70)	2.74	17.05	5.58
Net unrealized (loss) on translation of assets and liabilities in foreign currency					(.05)
Net increase (decrease) in net assets resulting from operations	42.85	(30.16)	12.88	19.16	6.88
Dividends					
From net investment income	(.10)	(.63)	(1.11)	(.76)	(.20)
From net realized gain on investments	(1.30)	(1.37)	(1.19)	(.14)	(.70)
Capital share transaction:					
Effect of tender offer	.74	(5.24)			
Net asset value, end of year	\$ 89.56	\$ 47.37	\$ 84.77	\$ 74.19	\$ 55.93
Market value per share, end of year	\$ 79.55	\$ 42.25	\$ 73.25	\$ 64.21	\$ 49.65
<b>Total investment return</b>					
Based on market price per share (1)	101.15%	(42.12%)	19.02%	31.54%	11.40%
Based on net asset value per share (2)	101.97%	(43.91%)	19.19%	34.92%	12.60%
<b>Ratios to average net assets</b>					
Expenses (3)	.81%	.86%	.53%	.63%	1.15%
Net investment income (loss)	(.06%)	.80%	1.44%	1.09%	.21%
<b>Supplemental data</b>					
Net assets, end of year (000 omitted)	\$ 580,355	\$ 341,095	\$ 813,790	\$ 712,267	\$ 536,929
Portfolio turnover rate	7.93%	21.33%	12.07%	4.66%	7.31%
Shares outstanding (000 omitted)	7,080(4)	8,800(4)	9,600	9,600	9,600

(1) Total investment return is calculated assuming a purchase of common shares at the current market price on the first day and a sale at the current market price on the last day of each year reported. Dividends are assumed, for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Company's dividend reinvestment plan.

(2) Total investment return is calculated assuming a purchase of common shares at the current net asset value on the first day and a sale at the current net asset value on the last day of each year reported. Dividends are assumed, for purposes of this calculation, to be reinvested at prices obtained under the Company's dividend reinvestment plan.

(3) The reduction in retirement benefits due to directors reduced the ratio of expenses to average net assets in 2009 from .87% to .81%.

(4) Weighted average shares.

## Supplementary information

	Year ended November 30	
	2009	2008
<b>Certain fees incurred by the Company</b>		
Directors' fees	\$ 256,000	\$ 422,166
Officers' remuneration	1,121,081	1,327,819

The notes to the financial statements form an integral part of these statements.

## Certain tax information for U.S. shareholders (unaudited) (1)

**The following is of a general nature only and is not, and should not be interpreted as, legal or tax advice to any particular U.S. shareholder of the Company. Due to the complexity and potentially adverse effect of the applicable tax rules summarized below, U.S. shareholders are strongly urged to consult their own tax advisors concerning the impact of these rules on their investment in the Company and on their individual situations.**

Under rules enacted by the Tax Reform Act of 1986, the Company became a passive foreign investment company (a PFIC) on December 1, 1987. The manner in which these rules apply depends on whether a U.S. shareholder (1) elects to treat the Company as a qualified electing fund ( QEF ) with respect to his Company shares, (2) for taxable years of a U.S. shareholder beginning after December 31, 1997, elects to mark-to-market his Company shares as of the close of each taxable year, or (3) makes neither election.

In general, if a U.S. shareholder of the Company does *not* make either such election, any gain realized on the disposition of his Company shares will be treated as ordinary income. In addition, such a shareholder will be subject to an interest charge on part of his tax liability with respect to such gain as well as with respect to an excess distribution made by the Company (as explained in the following paragraph). Furthermore, shares held by such a shareholder may be denied the benefit of any otherwise applicable increase in tax basis at death. Under proposed regulations, a disposition would include a U.S. taxpayer's becoming a nonresident alien.

As noted, the general tax consequences described in the preceding paragraph apply to an excess distribution on Company shares, which means the total distributions by the Company a shareholder receives during a taxable year that are more than 125% of the average amount it distributed for the three preceding taxable years.\* If the Company makes an excess distribution in a year, a U.S. shareholder who has not made a QEF or mark-to-market election would be required to allocate the excess amount ratably over the *entire* holding period for his shares. That allocation would result in tax being payable at the highest applicable rate in the prior taxable years to which the distribution is allocated and interest charges being imposed on the resulting underpayment of taxes made in those years. In contrast, a distribution that is not an excess distribution would be taxable to a U.S. shareholder as a normal dividend,\*\* with no interest charge.

If a U.S. shareholder elects to treat the Company as a QEF with respect to his shares therein for his first year he holds his shares during which the Company is a PFIC, the rules described in the preceding paragraphs generally would not apply. Those rules also would not apply to a U.S. shareholder who makes the QEF election after such first year and also elects to treat his shares generally as if they were sold for their fair market value on the first day of the first taxable year of the Company for which the QEF election is effective, in which event the gain from such deemed sale would be treated as an excess distribution. Instead, the electing U.S. shareholder would include annually in his gross income his *pro rata* share of the Company's ordinary earnings and net capital gain (his QEF inclusion), regardless of whether such income or gain was actually distributed. A U.S. shareholder who makes a valid QEF election will recognize capital gain on any profit from the actual sale of his shares if those shares were held as capital assets.

Alternatively, if a U.S. shareholder makes a mark-to-market election with respect to Company shares for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 1998, such shareholder would be required annually to report any unrealized gain with respect to his shares as ordinary income, and any unrealized loss would be permitted as an ordinary loss, but only to the extent of previous inclusions of ordinary income. Any gain subsequently realized by an electing U.S. shareholder on a sale or other disposition of his Company shares also would be treated as ordinary income, but such shareholder would not be subject to an interest charge on his resulting tax liability. Special rules apply to a U.S. shareholder who held his PFIC stock prior to his first taxable year for which the mark-to-market election was effective.

A U.S. shareholder with a valid QEF election in effect would not be taxed on any distributions paid by the Company to the extent of any QEF inclusions, but any distributions out of accumulated earnings and profits in excess thereof would be treated as taxable dividends. Such a shareholder would increase the tax basis in his Company shares by the amount of any QEF inclusions and reduce such tax basis by any distributions to him that are not taxable as described in the preceding sentence. Special rules apply to U.S. shareholders who make the QEF election and wish to defer the payment of tax on their annual QEF inclusions.

Each shareholder who desires QEF treatment must individually elect such treatment. The QEF election must be made for the taxable year of the shareholder in which or with which the Company's taxable year ends. A QEF election is effective for the shareholder's taxable year and may not be revoked without the consent of the Internal Revenue Service. A shareholder of the Company who first held his Company shares after November 30, 2008 and who files his tax return on the basis of a calendar year may make a QEF election on his 2009 federal income tax return. A shareholder of the Company who first held his Company shares on or before that date may also make the QEF election on that return but should consult his tax advisor concerning the tax consequences and special rules that apply when a QEF election could have been made with respect to such shares for an earlier taxable year.

A QEF election must be made by the due date, with extensions, of the federal income tax return for the taxable year for which the election is to apply. Under Treasury regulations, a QEF election is made on Internal Revenue Service Form 8621, which must be completed and attached to a

## Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

(1) Excluding qualified retirement plans, individual retirement accounts and other tax-exempt U.S. shareholders.

\* For example, the Company paid annual dividends of \$2.00, \$2.30 and \$.90 per share during 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively, an average per year of \$1.7333 per share. Accordingly, any dividends during 2009 in excess of \$2.1667 per share (125% of \$1.7333) would be treated as an excess distribution for that year. (All amounts in U.S. currency.)

\*\* Because the Company is a PFIC, dividends it pays will not qualify for the 15% maximum U.S. federal income tax rate on qualified dividend income that individuals receive and instead will be taxed at rates up to 35%.

## Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

timely filed income tax return in which the shareholder reports his QEF inclusion for the taxable year to which the election applies. In order to allow U.S. shareholders to make QEF elections and to comply with the applicable annual reporting requirements, the Company annually provides them a PFIC Annual Information Statement containing certain information required by Treasury regulations.

In early 2010, the Company will send to U.S. shareholders the PFIC Annual Information Statement for its 2009 taxable year. Such annual information statement may be used for purposes of completing Form 8621. A shareholder who either is subject to a prior QEF election or is making a QEF election for the first time must attach a completed Form 8621 to his federal income tax return each year. Other U.S. shareholders also must attach completed Forms 8621 to their federal income tax returns each year, but shareholders not electing QEF treatment will not need to report QEF inclusions thereon.

Special rules apply to U.S. persons who hold Company shares through intermediate entities or persons and to U.S. shareholders who directly or indirectly pledge their shares, including those in a margin account.

Ordinarily, the tax basis that is obtained by a transferee of property on the property owner's death is adjusted to the property's fair market value on the date of death (or alternate valuation date). If a U.S. shareholder dies owning Company shares with respect to which he did not elect QEF treatment (or elected such treatment after the first taxable year in which he owned shares in which the Company was a PFIC and did not elect to recognize gain, as described above), the transferor of those shares will not be entitled to adjust the tax basis in such shares to their fair market value on the date of death (or alternate valuation date). In that case, in general, the transferor of such shares will take a basis in the shares equal to the shareholder's basis therein immediately before his death. If a U.S. shareholder dies owning Company shares for which a valid QEF election was in effect for all taxable years in such shareholder's holding period during which the Company was a PFIC (or the shareholder made a deemed sale election), then the basis increase generally will be available.

### Dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan

Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (Computershare) has been engaged to offer a dividend reinvestment and stock purchase plan (the Plan) to shareholders. Shareholders may elect to participate in the Plan by signing an authorization. The authorization appoints Computershare as agent to apply to the purchase of common shares of the Company in the open market (i) all cash dividends (after deduction of the service charge described below) that become payable to such participant on the Company's shares (including shares registered in his or her name and shares accumulated under the Plan) and (ii) any optional cash investments (\$50 minimum, subject to an annual maximum of \$60,000) received from such participant.

For the purpose of making purchases, Computershare will commingle each participant's funds with those of all other participants in the Plan. The price per share of shares purchased for each participant's account shall be the average price (including brokerage commissions and any other costs of purchase) of all shares purchased in the open market with the net funds available from a cash dividend and any voluntary cash payments being concurrently invested. Any stock dividends or split shares distributed on shares held in the Plan will be credited to the participant's account.

For each participant, a service charge of 5% of the combined amount of the participant's dividend and any voluntary payment being concurrently invested, up to a maximum charge of \$2.50 per participant plus \$.03 per share, will be deducted (and paid to Computershare) prior to each purchase of shares. Shareholder sales of shares held by Computershare in the Plan are subject to a fee of \$10.00 plus \$.12 per share deducted from the proceeds of the sale. Additional nominal fees are charged by Computershare for specific shareholder requests such as requests for information regarding share cost basis detail in excess of two prior years and for replacement Forms 1099 older than three years.

Participation in the Plan may be terminated by a participant at any time by written instructions to Computershare. Upon termination, a participant will receive a certificate for the full number of shares credited to his or her account, unless he or she requests the sale of all or part of such shares.

Dividends reinvested by a shareholder under the Plan will generally be treated for U.S. federal income tax purposes in the same manner as dividends paid to such shareholder in cash. See Certain tax information for U.S. shareholders for more information regarding tax consequences of an investment in shares of the Company, including the effect of the Company's status as a PFIC. The amount of the service charge is deductible for U.S. federal income tax purposes, subject to limitations.

To participate in the Plan, shareholders may not hold their shares in a street name brokerage account.

Additional information regarding the Plan may be obtained from Computershare, P.O. Box 43081, Providence, RI 02940-3081. Information may also be obtained on the internet at [www.computershare.com](http://www.computershare.com) or by calling Computershare's Telephone Response Center at 1-781-575-2723 between 9:00 a.m. and 5:00 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.





## Privacy notice

The Company is committed to protecting the financial privacy of its shareholders.

We do not share any nonpublic, personal information that we may collect about shareholders with anyone, including our affiliates, except to service and administer shareholders' share accounts, to process transactions, to comply with shareholders' requests of legal requirements or for other limited purposes permitted by law. For example, the Company may disclose a shareholder's name, address, social security number and the number of shares owned to its administrator, transfer agent or other service providers in order to provide the shareholder with proxy statements, tax reporting forms, annual reports or other information about the Company. This policy applies to all of the Company's shareholders and former shareholders.

We keep nonpublic personal information in a secure environment. We restrict access to nonpublic personal information to Company officers, agents and service providers who have a need to know the information based on their role in servicing or administering shareholders' accounts. The Company also maintains physical, electronic and procedural safeguards that comply with federal regulations and established security standards to protect the confidentiality of nonpublic personal information.

## Direct registration system

In December 2007, the Company initiated participation in the Direct Registration System (DRS), which enables shareholders to register their Company shares in book-entry form without the issuance of a physical certificate and to transfer those shares electronically. Shareholders may continue to hold stock certificates representing their shares or may convert them to book-entry shares. A brochure which describes the features and benefits of the DRS can be obtained by calling Computershare Trust Company at 1-781-575-2879.

## Results of proposals presented at the annual general meeting of shareholders

The following votes were cast at the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held on February 17, 2009:

### Election of Directors

	For	Against	Abstain
David J. Christensen	5,407,286	122,248	36,446
Phillip Goldstein	5,352,081	163,141	50,758
Andrew Pegge	5,384,311	141,520	40,149
Robert A. Pilkington	5,414,616	115,140	36,224
Julian Reid	5,383,720	136,175	46,085

### Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

	For	Against	Abstain
Ernst & Young LLP	5,313,118	67,865	184,997

## Proxy voting

The policies and procedures used by the Company to determine how to vote proxies relating to portfolio securities and information regarding how the Company voted proxies relating to portfolio securities during the twelve month period ended June 30, 2009 are available on the Company's website at [www.asaltd.com](http://www.asaltd.com) and on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). A written copy of the Company's policies and procedures is available without charge, upon request, by calling collect (973) 377-3535.

## Form N-Q

The Company files its schedule of portfolio holdings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission) for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year on Form N-Q. The Company's Forms N-Q are available on the Commission's website at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov). The Company's Forms N-Q also may be reviewed and copied at the Commission's Public Reference Room in Washington, D.C.; information on the operation of the Public Reference Room may be obtained by calling 1-800-SEC-0330. The schedule of portfolio holdings reported on Form N-Q also is included in the Company's financial statements for the first and third quarters of each fiscal year which are available on the Company's website at [www.asaltd.com](http://www.asaltd.com).

## Common share repurchases

The Company may from time to time purchase its common shares on the open market in such amounts and at such prices as the Company may deem advisable.

## Board of Directors and Officers of ASA Limited

Directors are elected at each annual general meeting of shareholders to serve until the next annual general meeting. Officers are elected to serve one-year terms. For the purposes of his position as a director and officer of the Company, the address of each director and officer is c/o LGN Group, LLC, P.O. Box 269, Florham Park, NJ 07932.

### Interested Director\*

#### David J. Christensen (47)

Position held with the Company: President and Chief Executive Officer since February 2009; Vice President-Investments from May 2007 to February 2009; Director since 2008

Other Principal Occupations During Past 5 Years: Vice President, Corporate Development, Gabriel Resources Ltd. from 2006 to 2008; independent financial consultant from 2003 to 2006 and Director of Fundamental Equity Research for Credit Suisse First Boston from 2002 to 2003

Other Directorships held by Director: Director of Hecla Mining Company (precious metals mining company)

### Independent Directors

#### Julian Reid (65)

Position held with the Company: Chairman (non-executive) since February 2009; Deputy Chairman (non-executive) from 2008 to February 2009; Director since 2008

Principal Occupations During Past 5 Years: Director of JF China Region Fund, Inc. (since 1997); Director and Chairman of 3a Funds Group (since 1998); Director (since 2004) and Chairman (since 2005) of The Korea Fund, Inc.; Director and Chairman of Prosperity Voskhod Fund Ltd. (since 2006); Director and Chairman of Morgan's Walk Properties Ltd. (2002-2006) (residential property owner/manager); President (2004), Director (1994-2004) and Chairman (1998-2004) of Saffron Fund, Inc.

#### Phillip Goldstein (64)

Position held with the Company: Director since 2008

Principal Occupations During Past 5 Years: Self-employed investment advisor since 1992; principal of the general partner of six private investment partnerships in the Bulldog Investors group of funds.

Other Directorships held by Director: Director of Brantley Capital Corporation, Mexico Equity and Income Fund, and Special Opportunities Fund, Inc.

#### Andrew Pegge (46)

Position held with the Company: Deputy Chairman (non-executive) since February 2009; Director since 2008

Principal Occupations During Past 5 Years: Director and Chief Executive Officer of Laxey Partners Limited (global active value fund manager) since 1999.

#### Robert A. Pilkington (64)

Position held with the Company: Director since 2004

(ASA Limited South Africa from 1979 to 2005)

Principal Occupations During Past 5 Years: Investment banker and Managing Director of UBS Securities LLC and predecessor companies

Other Directorships held by Director: Director of Avocet Mining PLC (gold mining company)

### Other Officers

#### Lawrence G. Nardolillo (67)

## Edgar Filing: ASA LTD - Form N-CSR

Position held with the Company: Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer since 2009

Other Principal Occupations During Past 5 Years: Member/Owner of LGN Group, LLC since 1997

\* By reason of being an officer of the Company

### **Paul K. Wustrack, Jr. (66)**

Position held with the Company: Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer since 2004

Other Principal Occupations During Past 5 Years: Assistant U.S. Secretary of ASA Limited South Africa from 2002 to 2005, Chief Compliance Officer from 2004 to 2005; prior thereto, Special Counsel, Phillips, Lytle, Hitchcock, Blaine & Huber LLP

## Other information

### Executive Office

11 Summer Street  
Buffalo, NY, 14209 U.S.A.  
(800) 432-3378

### Registered Office

Canon s Court  
22 Victoria Street  
Hamilton HM 12, Bermuda

### Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Ernst & Young LLP, New York, NY, U.S.A.

### Counsel

Appleby, Hamilton, Bermuda  
K&L Gates LLP, Washington, DC, U.S.A.

### Custodian

JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.  
New York, NY, U.S.A.

### Fund Accountants

Kaufman Rossin Fund Services, LLC  
Miami, FL, U.S.A.

### Shareholder Services

LGN Group, LLC  
Florham Park, NJ, U.S.A.  
(973) 377-3535

### Transfer Agent

Computershare Trust Company, N.A.  
525 Washington Boulevard, Jersey City, NJ 07310, U.S.A.

### Website: [www.asaltd.com](http://www.asaltd.com)

*The Semi-annual and Annual Reports of the Company and the latest valuation of net assets per share may be viewed on the Company's website or may be requested from LGN Group, LLC, P.O. Box 269, Florham Park, New Jersey 07932 (973) 377-3535 or from the Executive Office (800-432-3378). Shareholders are reminded to notify Computershare of any change of address.*

24

---

Item 2. Code of Ethics.

- (a) The registrant has adopted a code of ethics that applies to its principal executive officer and principal financial officer.
- (b) Not applicable.
- (c) During the period covered by this report, there were no amendments to the code of ethics referred to in paragraph (a) of this Item that apply to a covered person and relate to any element of such code set forth in paragraph (b) of this Item 2.
- (d) During the period covered by this report, there were no waivers to the provisions of the code of ethics referred to in paragraph (a) of this Item.
- (e) Not applicable.
- (f) A copy of the registrant's code of ethics is filed herewith.

Item 3. Audit Committee Financial Expert.

The registrant's board of directors has determined that Andrew Pegge, Chairman of the registrant's Audit Committee, is an "audit committee financial expert" as defined in the instructions to Item 3 of Form N-CSR. Mr. Pegge is "independent" as defined in Item 3 of Form N-CSR.

Item 4. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

- (a) **Audit Fees.** The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the independent auditors for the audit of the registrant's annual financial statements and review of the semi-annual financial statements and services rendered in connection with statutory or regulatory filings for 2009 and 2008 were \$99,000 and \$94,000, respectively.
  - (b) **Audit-Related Fees**  There were no fees billed for assurance and related services rendered by the independent auditors that were
-

reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of the registrant's financial statements for 2009 and 2008.

- (c) Tax Fees □ The aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by the independent auditors in connection with tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning for 2009 and 2008 were \$5,775 and \$5,500, respectively. The figures for 2009 and 2008 include fees billed for U.S. tax advisory services.
  - (d) All Other Fees □ There were no non-audit fees not disclosed above that were billed for products and services provided by the independent auditors for 2009 and 2008.
  - (e)(1) The Audit Committee of the registrant has the sole authority to pre-approve all audit and non-audit services to be provided by the independent auditors, subject to the de minimis exceptions for non-audit services described in Section 10A(i)(1)B of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (□Exchange Act□) which are approved by the Committee prior to the completion of the audit. Any individual project that does not exceed \$25,000 may be pre-approved by the chair of the Audit Committee. Any such pre-approval by the chair of the Audit Committee must be presented to the full Committee at its next scheduled meeting. Any proposed services exceeding that cost level requires specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. Pre-approval of audit and non-audit services shall not be required if the engagement to render the services is entered into pursuant to pre-approved policies and procedures established by the Committee, provided the Committee is informed of each such service. The Committee has not established such policies and procedures.
  - (e)(2) None of the services described in paragraphs (b) □ (d) above were approved by the Audit Committee pursuant to paragraph (c)(7)(i)(C) of Rule 2-01 of Regulation S-X.
  - (f) Not applicable.
  - (g) The aggregate fees billed by the independent auditors for non-audit services rendered to the registrant for 2009 and 2008 were \$5,775 and \$5,500, respectively.
  - (h) Not applicable.
-



Item 5. Audit Committee of Listed Registrants.

(a) The registrant has a standing audit committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The members of the audit committee are: Messrs. Andrew Pegge (Chairman), Julian Reid and Phillip Goldstein.

(b) Not applicable.

Item 6. Schedule of Investments.

Included as part of the report to shareholders filed under Item 1.

Item 7. Disclosure of Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures for Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

## **ASA Limited**

### **Proxy Voting Policies and Procedures**

The following is a statement of the proxy voting policies and procedures of ASA Limited (□Company□).

#### **Proxy Administration**

The Company understands its proxy voting responsibilities and that proxy voting decisions may affect the long-term interests of its shareholders. The Company attempts to process every proxy vote it receives. However, voting proxies for shares of certain non-U.S. companies may involve significantly greater effort and cost than for shares of U.S. companies. There may be situations where the Company may not or cannot vote a proxy. For example, the Company may receive proxy material too late to act upon or the cost of voting may outweigh the benefit of voting.

Authority and responsibility to vote proxies with respect to the Company□s portfolio securities has been delegated to the President and, in his absence or inability to act, the Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer. In evaluating proxy proposals, the President (or Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer, when appropriate) may consider information from various sources, including management of the company presenting a proposal as well as independent sources. The ultimate decision rests with the President (or Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer, when appropriate), who is accountable to the Board of Directors of the Company.

#### **General Principles**

In voting proxies, the Company will act solely in the best economic interests of its shareholders with the goal of maximizing the value of the Company□s portfolio. These

---

policies and procedures are designed to promote accountability of a portfolio company's management and board of directors to its shareholders and to align their interests with those of shareholders. These policies and procedures recognize that a portfolio company's managers are entrusted with the day-to-day operations of the company, as well as longer-term strategic planning, subject to the oversight of the company's board of directors.

The Company believes that the quality and depth of a portfolio company's management, including its board of directors, is an important consideration in determining the desirability of an investment. Accordingly, the recommendations of management on many issues are given substantial weight in determining how to vote a proxy. However, each issue is considered on its own merits, and the position of the portfolio company's management will not be supported whenever it is determined not to be in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

## **Specific Policies**

### **A. Routine Matters**

1. Election of Directors. In general, the Company will vote in favor of management's director nominees if they are running unopposed. The Company believes that management is in the best position to evaluate the qualifications of directors and the needs of a particular board. Nevertheless, the Company will vote against, or withhold its vote for, any nominee whom it feels is not qualified. When management's nominees are opposed in a proxy contest, the Company will evaluate which nominee's publicly-announced management policies and goals are most likely to maximize shareholder value, as well as the past performance of the incumbent.
  2. Ratification of Selection of Auditors. In general, the Company will rely on the judgment of management in selecting the independent auditors. Nevertheless, the Company will examine the recommendation of management in appropriate cases, e.g., where there has been a change in auditors based upon a disagreement on accounting matters.
  3. Stock Option and Other Equity Based Compensation Plan Proposals. The Company will generally approve management's recommendations with respect to the adoption or amendment of stock option plans and other equity based compensation plans, provided that the total number of shares reserved under all of a company's plans is reasonable and not excessively dilutive.
-

**B. Acquisitions, Mergers, Reincorporations, Reorganizations and Other Transactions**

Because voting on transactions such as acquisitions, mergers, reincorporations and reorganizations involve considerations unique to each transaction, the Company does not have a general policy in regard to voting on those transactions. The Company will vote on a case-by-case basis on each transaction.

**C. Changes in Capital Structure**

The Company evaluates proposed capital actions on a case-by-case basis and will generally defer to management's business analysis in support of such actions. In cases where proposed capital actions support proxy defenses or act to reduce or limit shareholder rights, particular consideration will be given to all the effects of the action and the Company's vote will be made in a manner consistent with the objective of maximizing long-term shareholder value.

**D. Anti-Takeover Proposals**

In general, the Company will vote against any proposal which the Company believes would materially contribute to preventing a potential acquisition or takeover, including proposals to:

- Stagger the board of directors;
- Introduce cumulative voting;
- Introduce unequal voting rights;
- Create supermajority voting;
- Establish preemptive rights.

In general, the Company will vote in favor of any proposals to reverse the above.

**E. Shareholder Proposals Involving Social, Moral or Ethical Matters**

In general, the Company will vote in accordance with management's recommendation on issues that primarily involve social, moral or ethical matters, although exceptions may be made in certain instances where the Company believes a proposal has substantial economic implications.

**F. Conflict of Interest**

In view of the fact that the Company is internally managed and does not have an investment advisor, it is unlikely that conflicts of interest will arise in voting the proxies of the Company's portfolio companies. The

Company maintains a record of the affiliated persons of each director and officer of the Company including the President and the Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer. The Chief Compliance Officer reviews proxy statement proposals to determine the existence of a potential conflict of interest. In the event that the President (or Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer, when appropriate) has a personal conflict of interest, he shall remove himself from the voting process. In cases of a conflict of interest, a record shall be maintained confirming that the Company's vote was made solely in the interests of the Company and without regard to any other consideration.

Date: June 17, 2009

Item 8. Portfolio Managers of Closed-End Management Investment Companies.

Unless otherwise indicated, the information set forth below is as of November 30, 2009.

- (a)(1) As of the date of this filing, February 3, 2010, David J. Christensen, President and Chief Executive Officer of the registrant since February 2009, is responsible for the day-to-day management of the registrant's portfolio. Mr. Christensen joined the registrant in 2007 as Vice President - Investments and served in that capacity until February 2009. He served as Vice President, Corporate Development of Gabriel Resources Ltd. from 2006 to 2008; was an independent financial consultant from 2003 to 2006; and was Director of Fundamental Equity Research for Credit Suisse First Boston from 2002 to 2003.
- (2) Mr. Christensen is not responsible for the day-to-day management of the portfolio of any other registered investment company, other pooled investment vehicle or other account, except his personal accounts or those of members of his family. Conflicts of interest could arise when a portfolio manager personally buys, holds or sells securities held or to be purchased or sold by the registrant. The registrant has codes of ethics and procedures designed to address potential conflicts of interest. However, there is no guarantee that such procedures will detect each and every situation in which a conflict arises.
- (3) Mr. Christensen's compensation consists of a fixed salary and a discretionary bonus, each as determined annually by the Board of Directors upon the recommendation of its Compensation Committee. In determining Mr. Christensen's compensation, the Board of Directors and Compensation Committee consider his overall performance and his management responsibilities with the registrant, including those not related to the investment performance of the registrant's portfolio. Investment performance is based on, among other things, relative performance to the Company's benchmark, the FTSE Gold Mines Index. Mr. Christensen also receives reimbursement of medical insurance premiums. In addition, under certain circumstances, Mr. Christensen may be entitled to compensation in the event that his services are terminated by the registrant.



(4) Mr. Christensen beneficially owns common shares of registrant having a value in the range of \$10,001 to \$50,000.

(b) Not applicable

Item 9. Purchases of Equity Securities by Closed-end Management Investment Company and Affiliated Purchasers.

Period	(a)Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased	(b)Average Price Paid per Share (or Unit)	(c)Total Number of Shares (or Units) Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	(d)Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares (or Units) that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
Month #1 June 1-June 30	0	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Month #2 July 1-July 31	0	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Month #3 August 1-August 31	0	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Month #4 September 1-September 30	0	\$0.00	N/A	720,000*
Month #5 October 1-October 31	720,000*	\$76.11	720,000	N/A
Month #6 November 1-November 30	0	\$0.00	N/A	N/A
Total	720,000	\$76.11	720,000	N/A

\* On September 1, 2009, the registrant announced and commenced a cash tender offer to purchase up to 720,000 of its Common Shares representing 10% of its outstanding shares. The tender offer expired on October 2, 2009 and 720,000 Common Shares were purchased.

Item 10. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

There have been no material changes to the procedures by which shareholders may recommend nominees to the registrant's board of



directors since the registrant provided disclosure in response to Item 22(b)(15) of Schedule 13D in its proxy statement dated January 29, 2010.

Item 11. Controls and Procedures

- (a) The President and Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, in their capacities as principal executive officer and principal financial officer of the registrant, have concluded that the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 30a-3(c) under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the "1940 Act")) are effective, and, in their evaluation of these controls and procedures as of a date within 90 days prior to the filing date of this report.
- (b) There were no changes in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 30a-3(d) under the 1940 Act) that occurred during the second fiscal quarter of the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 12. Exhibits.

- (a)(1) The code of ethics that is the subject of disclosure under Item 2 above is attached hereto.
  - (2) The certification required by Rule 30a-2(a) under the 1940 Act is attached hereto.
  - (3) Not applicable.
  - (b) The certification required by Rule 30a-2(b) under the 1940 Act, Rule 13a-14(b) under the Exchange Act and Section 1350 of Chapter 63 of Title 18 of the United States Code is attached hereto. This certification is not deemed "filed" for purposes of Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification shall be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, except to the extent that the registrant specifically incorporates the reference.
-



Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

ASA Limited

Date: February 3, 2010

By: /s/ David J. Christensen  
David J. Christensen  
President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Investment Company Act of 1940, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Date: February 3, 2010

By: /s/ David J. Christensen  
David J. Christensen  
President and Chief Executive Officer  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: February 3, 2010

By: /s/ Lawrence G. Nardolillo  
Lawrence G. Nardolillo  
Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial Officer)

---