BLACKROCK MUNICIPAL INCOME INVESTMENT TRUST Form N-CSR October 01, 2014
UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM N-CSR
CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT COMPANIES
Investment Company Act file number: 811-10333
Name of Fund: BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)
Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809
Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust, 55 East 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10055
Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4
Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2014

Date of reporting period: 07/31/2014

Item 1 – Report to Stockholders

JULY 31, 2014

ANNUAL REPORT

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust (BFO)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust (BTT)

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust (BNJ)

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank Guarantee

Table of Contents

	Page
Shareholder Letter	3
Annual Report:	
Municipal Market Overview	4
The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging	5
Derivative Financial Instruments	5
Trust Summaries	6
Financial Statements:	
Schedules of Investments	18
Statements of Assets and Liabilities	46
Statements of Operations	47
Statements of Changes in Net Assets	48
Statements of Cash Flows	50
Financial Highlights	51
Notes to Financial Statements	57
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	71
Disclosure of Investment Advisory Agreements	72
Automatic Dividend Reinvestment Plans	76
Officers and Trustees	77
Additional Information	80
2 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014	

Shareholder Letter

Dear Shareholder,

The latter part of 2013 was a strong period for equities and other risk assets such as high yield bonds, despite the mixed tone of economic and financial news and uncertainty as to when and by how much the U.S. Federal Reserve would begin to gradually reduce (or taper) its asset purchase programs. Stock markets rallied in September when the Fed defied investors expectations with its decision to delay tapering. The momentum was disrupted temporarily, however, when the U.S. debt ceiling debate led to a partial government shutdown, roiling financial markets globally until a compromise was struck in mid-October. The remainder of 2013 was generally positive for developed market stocks, while fixed income and emerging market investments struggled as Fed tapering became increasingly imminent. When the central bank ultimately announced its tapering plans in mid-December, equity investors reacted positively, as this action signaled the Fed s perception of real improvement in the economy.

Most asset classes continued to move higher in 2014 despite the pull back in Fed stimulus. The year got off to a rocky start, however. A number of emerging economies showed signs of financial stress while facing the broader headwind of diminishing global liquidity. These risks, combined with disappointing U.S. economic data, caused equities to decline in January while bond markets found renewed strength from investors seeking relatively safer assets.

Although these headwinds persisted, equities were back on the rise in February as investors were encouraged by a one-year extension of the U.S. debt ceiling and market-friendly comments from the new Fed Chairwoman, Janet Yellen. While it was clear that U.S. economic data had softened, investors were assuaged by increasing evidence that the trend was temporary and weather-related, and continued to take on risk given expectations that growth would pick up later in the year.

In the months that followed, interest rates trended lower and bond prices climbed higher in the modest growth environment. Financial markets exhibited a remarkably low level of volatility despite rising geopolitical risks and mixed global economic news. Tensions in Russia and Ukraine and signs of decelerating growth in China caused some turbulence, but markets were resilient as investors focused on signs of improvement in the U.S. recovery, stronger corporate earnings and increased merger-and-acquisition activity. Importantly, investors were comforted by comments from the Fed offering reassurance that no changes to short-term interest rates were on the horizon.

In the low-rate environment, investors looked to equities as a source of yield, pushing major indices to record highs. As stock prices moved higher, investors soon became wary of stretched valuations and a new theme emerged in the markets. Stocks that had experienced significant price appreciation in 2013, particularly growth and momentum names, broadly declined as investors fled to stocks with cheaper valuations. This rotation resulted in the strongest performers of 2013 struggling most in 2014, and vice versa. Especially hard hit were U.S. small cap and European stocks where earnings growth had not kept pace with recent market gains. In contrast, emerging market stocks benefited from the trend. As a number of developing countries took steps to stabilize their finances, investors looked past political risks—hardly batting an eye at a military coup in Thailand—and poured back into these attractively priced investments.

Asset prices tend to be more vulnerable to bad news when investors believe valuations are stretched. Consequently, markets came under pressure in July as geopolitical tensions intensified with the tragic downing of a Malaysian civilian airliner over Ukraine, the continued fragmentation of Iraq and a ground war between Israel and Hamas in Gaza. As the period came to a close, financial troubles in Argentina and Portugal as well as new U.S. and European sanctions on Russia were additional headwinds for the markets.

Despite a host of challenges, most asset classes generated solid returns for the six- and 12-month periods ended July 31, 2014, with equities generally outperforming fixed income. Emerging market equities delivered impressive gains.

Developed markets also performed well, although small cap stocks lagged due to relatively higher valuations. Most fixed income assets produced positive returns even as the Fed reduced its open-market purchases. Tax-exempt municipal bonds benefited from a favorable supply-and-demand environment. Short-term interest rates remained near zero, keeping yields on money market securities close to historic lows.

At BlackRock, we believe investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes and be prepared to move freely as market conditions change over time. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit **blackrock.com** for further insight about investing in today s world.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Asset prices pushed higher over the period despite modest global growth, geopolitical risks and a shift toward tighter U.S. monetary policy.

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Re	turns	as	of .	Julv	31.	2014
-----------------	-------	----	------	------	-----	------

	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities (S&P 500 [®] Index)	9.44%	16.94%
U.S. small cap equities (Russell 2000® Index)	(0.30)	8.56
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East	7.03	15.07
Index)		
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging Markets	15.70	15.32
Index)		
3-month Treasury bill (BofA Merrill Lynch 3-Month U.S.	0.02	0.05
Treasury Bill Index)		
U.S. Treasury securities (BofA Merrill Lynch 10-Year	2.71	3.50
U.S. Treasury Index)		
U.S. investment grade bonds (Barclays U.S. Aggregate	2.16	3.97
Bond Index)		
Tax-exempt municipal	4.11	7.38
bonds (S&P Municipal		
Bond Index)		
U.S. high yield bonds (Barclays U.S. Corporate High	3.33	8.18
Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)		

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

THIS PAGE NOT PART OF YOUR FUND REPORT 3

Municipal Market Overview For the Reporting Period Ended July 31, 2014

Municipal Market Conditions

The latter part of 2013 was a generally negative period for municipal bond performance. Heightened uncertainty as to when the U.S. Federal Reserve would begin to reduce its bond-buying stimulus program (and by how much) caused interest rates to be volatile and generally move higher. (Bond prices fall as rates rise.) Municipal bond mutual funds saw strong outflows through year end when the Fed finally announced its plan to begin the gradual reduction of stimulus in January of 2014. Relieved of anxiety around policy changes, investors again sought the relative safety of municipal bonds in the New Year. Surprisingly, interest rates trended lower in the first half of 2014 even as the Fed pulled back on its open-market bond purchases. Softer U.S. economic data amid one of the harshest winters on record, coupled with reassurance from the Fed that short-term rates would remain low for a considerable amount of time, resulted in stronger demand for fixed income investments, with municipal bonds being one of the stronger performing sectors. Still, for the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, municipal bond funds saw net outflows of approximately \$35 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

High levels of interest rate volatility in the latter half of 2013, particularly on the long-end of the curve, resulted Bond Index Total in a curtailment of tax-exempt issuance during the period. However, from a historical perspective, total new issuance for the 12 months ended July 31 remained relatively strong at \$303 billion (but meaningfully lower than the \$364 billion issued in the prior 12-month period). A noteworthy portion of new supply during this period 31, 2014 was attributable to refinancing activity (roughly 40%) as issuers took advantage of lower interest rates to reduce their borrowing costs.

S&P Municipal Returns as of July

6 months: 4.11% 12 months: 7.38%

A Closer Look at Yields

From July 31, 2013 to July 31, 2014, muni yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds decreased by 90 basis points (bps) from 4.20% to 3.30%, while 10-year rates decreased 41 bps from 2.67% to 2.26% on and 5-year rates fell 5 bps from 1.27% to 1.22% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). Overall, the municipal yield curve remained relatively steep over the 12-month period even as the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities flattened by 78 bps and the spread between 2- and 10-year maturities flattened by 29 bps.

During the same time period, U.S. Treasury rates fell by 32 bps on 30-year and 2 bps on 10-year bonds, while moving up 37 bps in 5-years. Accordingly, tax-exempt municipal bonds outperformed Treasuries across the yield curve as investors sought to reduce interest rate risk later in the period. On the short and intermediate parts of the curve, the outperformance of municipal bonds versus Treasuries was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market and a rotation from long-duration assets into short- and intermediate-duration investments, which are less sensitive to interest rate movements. Additionally, municipal bonds benefited from the increased appeal of tax-exempt investing in the new higher tax rate environment. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise. The municipal market continues to be an attractive avenue for investors seeking yield in the low-rate environment. However, opportunities have not been as broad-based as in 2011 and 2012, warranting a more tactical approach going forward.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers Continue to Improve

Following an extended period of nation-wide austerity and de-leveraging as states sought to balance their budgets, 16 consecutive quarters of positive revenue growth coupled with the elimination of more than 750,000 jobs in recent years have put state and local governments in a better financial position. Many local municipalities, however, continue to face increased health care and pension costs passed down from the state level. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will be minimal and remain in the periphery, and that the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to recognize that careful credit research, appropriate structure and security selection remain imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

4ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the yield and net asset value (NAV) of their common shares (Common Shares). However, these objectives cannot be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which will be based on short-term interest rates, will normally be lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trust (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trust s shareholders will benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust s Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, the Trust s financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by the Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, the Trust s financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on the Trust s longer-term investments acquired from leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trust's return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders will be lower than if the Trust had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trust's portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trust's obligations under its leverage arrangement generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trust's NAVs positively or negatively.

Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that a Trust s intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

Leverage also will generally cause greater changes in the Trusts NAVs, market prices and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the net asset value and market price of a Trust s Common Shares than if the Trust were not leveraged. In addition, the Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trust to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit the Trust s ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. The Trust will incur expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares), Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares), Remarketable Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (RVMTP Shares) or Auction Market Preferred Shares (AMPS) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOBs) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940 (the 1940 Act), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to 33% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of the Trust sobligations under the TOB (including accrued interest), a TOB will not be considered a senior security and will not be subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements under the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments, including financial futures contracts and options, as specified in Note 4 of the Notes to Financial Statements, which may constitute forms of economic leverage. Such derivative financial instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, index and/or market without owning or taking physical custody of securities or to hedge market and/or interest rate risks. Derivative financial instruments involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the derivative financial instrument. The Trusts ability to use a

derivative financial instrument successfully depends on the investment advisor s ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of derivative financial instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may require a Trust to sell or purchase portfolio investments at inopportune times or for distressed values, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment, may result in lower dividends paid to shareholders and/or may cause a Trust to hold an investment that it might otherwise sell. The Trusts investments in these instruments are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 5

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust s (BFZ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income and California income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal obligations exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are investment grade quality. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 12.80% based on market price and 16.48% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 15.36% based on market price and 15.42% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) Municipal bonds with longer durations (and greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) tended to provide the strongest returns. In this environment, the Trust s exposure to the long end of the yield curve had a positive impact on performance. Security selection also helped performance, particularly with respect to the Trust s holdings of high quality school district issues, which performed well amid the improvement in the State of California s finances. The Trust s holdings in the health care, transportation and utilities sectors also added to returns. The Trust s use of tender option bonds amplified the positive effect of falling rates on performance.

The Trust s cash reserves were generally maintained at a minimal level. However, to the extent reserves were held, the cash holdings added little in the form of additional yield and provided no price appreciation in a generally positive period for the municipal market.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	BFZ
	July 27,
Initial Offering Date	2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$14.41) ¹	6.01%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.25%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0722
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.8664
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	36%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.93%, which includes the 3.8%
- ² Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
 - Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust,
- 4 including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.
- 6 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	•	•	5.72% 9.17%	•	•
Market Price and	•	•		•	•

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
County/City/Special District/School District	33%	35%
Utilities	31	29
Health	11	11
State	8	5
Education	8	10
Transportation	7	9
Housing	1	1
Corporate	1	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,		
2014	1%	
2015	2	
2016	3	
2017	10	
2018	20	
3 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the	e next five years.	
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	11%	9%
AA/Aa	71	72
An/Ad		
A	18	19

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor s (S&P) or Moody s Investors Service (Moody s) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 7

²Representing less than 1% of the Trust s long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust s (BFO) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax and to return \$15.00 per common share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2020. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust s maturity date. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Effective January 1, 2007, the Florida intangible personal property tax was repealed.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 4.36% based on market price and 4.84% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper Other States Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.67% based on market price and 12.42% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The municipal yield curve flattened, meaning that longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. In this environment, the Fund s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a positive impact on performance.

There were no detractors from performance on an absolute basis as all areas of the Trust s investment universe appreciated during the period.

The Trust is scheduled to mature on or about December 31, 2020, and it therefore holds securities that will mature close to that date. Given that rates declined more for bonds on the long end of the yield curve, the Trust s shorter maturity profile was a disadvantage in comparison to its Lipper category peers, which typically hold longer-dated issues.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BFO
	September 30,
Initial Offering Date	2003
	December 31,
Termination Date (on or about)	2020
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$15.16) ¹	3.98%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	7.03%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.05025
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.60300
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	1%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
 - Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare
- ² tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The monthly distribution per Common Share, declared on August 1, 2014, was decreased to \$0.0347 per share. The yield on closing market price, current monthly distribution per Common Share and current annualized distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to

change in the future.

Represents AMPS and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including 4 any assets attributable to AMPS and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5. 8 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Florida Municipal 2020 Term Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value		•	0.26 % 0.72 %	•	•
Market Price and	•	•		•	•

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13	
County/City/Special District/School District	32%	30%	
Transportation	20	17	
Health	16	13	
State	14	12	
Utilities	10	20	
Corporate	4	4	
Education	3	2	
Housing	1	2	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14	7/31/13
3 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over	-	
2018	11	
2017	15	
2016		
2015		
2014	10%	
Calendar Year Ended December 31,		

Credit Quality Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	2%	2%
AA/Aa	47	49
A	32	31
BBB/Baa	9	8
N/R ²	10	10

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the 2 investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$1,703,400, representing 2%, and \$3,035,830, representing 3%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 9

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust s (BBF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and Florida intangible personal property tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives. Due to the repeal of the Florida intangible personal property tax, the Board approved an amended policy in September 2008 allowing the Trust the flexibility to invest in municipal obligations regardless of geographical location.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 15.49% based on market price and 16.06% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 14.52% based on market price and 14.95% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The municipal yield curve flattened, meaning that longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. In this environment, the Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a positive impact on performance. The Trust s longer-dated holdings in the health care, education and transportation sectors experienced strong market appreciation, aiding performance. The Trust also benefited from its holdings in the State of California, as the continued improvement in the State s economy was a catalyst for price appreciation during the period.

The Trust s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results, as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and the weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

BBF
July 27,
2001
6.44%
11.38%
\$0.072375
\$0.868500
39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare
- ² tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
 - Represents VRDP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust,
- 4 including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

10 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price	•	•	8.10%	•	•
Net Asset Value	\$15.09	\$13.89	8.64%	\$15.19	\$13.26
Market Price and	Net Asse	t Value H	listory Fo	r the Pas	st Five Years

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13	
County/City/Special District/School District	26%	26%	
Transportation	21	14	
Utilities	16	19	
Health	15	16	
State	11	11	
Education	8	10	
Tobacco	1	2	
Corporate	1	1	
Housing	1	1	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule⁴

Calendar Year Ended December 31,		
2014	1%	
2015		
2016	2	
2017	1	
2018	17	
4 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five y	ears.	
Credit Quelity Allegation 1	7/04/4/4	

Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14	7/31/13	
AAA/Aaa	10%	10%	
AA/Aa	56	57	
A	26	28	
BBB/Baa	6	4	
BB/Ba	1		
В	2		
N/R	1	1 ³	

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

²Representing less than 1% of the Trust s long-term investments.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the ³investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$240,299, representing less than 1% of the Trust s long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust s (BTT) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax (but which may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax in certain circumstances) and to return \$25.00 per common share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2030. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust s maturity date. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 12.78% based on market price and 24.50% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) category posted an average return of 14.52% based on market price and 14.95% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

The Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) contributed positively to performance as interest rates declined during the period. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) Exposure to bonds maturing near the Trust s maturity date in 2030 benefited performance given that the yield curve flattened, with rates falling more significantly in maturities of 20 years and longer. The income generated from coupon payments on the Trust s portfolio of municipal bonds also contributed to performance.

The Trust s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits detracted from results. Credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and the weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BTT
Initial Offering Date	August 30, 2012
	December 31,
Termination Date (on or about)	2030
Current Distribution Rate on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$19.57) ¹	4.91%
Tax Equivalent Rate ²	8.67%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.093750
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.96
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	38%

Current Distribution Rate on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. The current distribution rate may consist of income, net realized gains and/or a return of capital. See the financial highlights for the actual sources and character of distributions. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal tax rate of 43.4%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare
- ² tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The monthly distribution per Common Share, declared on August 1, 2014, was decreased to \$0.08 per share. The yield on closing market price, current monthly distribution per Common Share and current annualized distribution per Common Share do not reflect the new distribution rate. The new distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change in the future. A portion of the distribution may be deemed a return of capital or net realized gain at fiscal

year end.

Represents RVMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, 4 including any assets attributable to RVMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5. 12ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock Municipal Target Term Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	•	•	6.24 % 17.28%	•	•
Market Price and Net Asset Value History Since Inception					

¹ Commencement of operations.

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13	
Transportation	22%	22%	
Health	17	17	
County/City/Special District/School District	13	12	
Education	12	13	
Corporate	11	9	
Housing	9	9	
Utilities	8	9	
State	6	7	
Tobacco	2	2	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule⁴

Calendar Year Ended December 31,				
2014	1%			
2015		1		
2016	1			
2017	2			
2018	1			
4 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to	o potential calls by issuers over the next five years.			
Credit Quality Allocation ²	7/31/14	7/31/13		
AAA/Aaa		20/		
AA/Aa AA/Aa	4% 31	3%		
		32		
A BBB/B	42	43		
BBB/Baa	12	11		
BB/Ba	3	3		
В	2	3		
N/R ³	6	5		

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the ³ investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$483,970, representing less than 1%, and \$38,601,602, representing 2%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust s (BNJ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New Jersey gross income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New Jersey gross income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 14.60% based on market price and 16.01% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New Jersey Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.38% based on market price and 13.86% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) The municipal yield curve flattened, meaning that longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. In this environment, the Trust s duration exposure (sensitivity to interest rate movements) had a positive impact on performance. The Trust s longer-dated holdings in the health care, education and transportation sectors experienced strong market appreciation, aiding performance.

The Trust s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results, as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and the weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no quarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BNJ
	July 27,
Initial Offering Date	2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$14.68) ¹	6.14%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	11.92%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.0751
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.9012
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 48.48%, which includes the 3.8%
- ² Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- 3 The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
 - Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust,
- 4 including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

14 ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock New Jersey Municipal Income Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	\$14.68 \$15.61	•	7.39%	•	•
Market Price and	•	•		•	•

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13	
Transportation	33%	25%	
Education	17	12	
State	15	26	
County/City/Special District/School District	13	13	
Health	8	11	
Corporate	8	6	
Housing	6	7	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2014	8%
2015	
2016	1
2017	3
2018	13
3 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.	

Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five	e years.
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14

Credit Quality Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13
AAA/Aaa	2%	2%
AA/Aa	40	35
A	35	40
BBB/Baa	9	9
BB/Ba	4	5
В	3	3
N/R ²	7	6

For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the 2 investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$10,254,522, representing 5%, and \$8,401,509, representing 4%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 15

Trust Summary as of July 31, 2014 BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust s (BNY) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Performance

For the 12-month period ended July 31, 2014, the Trust returned 11.51% based on market price and 15.98% based on NAV. For the same period, the closed-end Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds category posted an average return of 12.03% based on market price and 12.89% based on NAV. All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends. The Trust s discount to NAV, which widened during the period, accounts for the difference between performance based on price and performance based on NAV. The following discussion relates to performance based on NAV.

Tax-exempt rates declined during the period, supporting generally positive performance for municipal bonds. (Bond prices rise when rates fall.) Municipal bonds with longer durations (and greater sensitivity to interest rate movements) tended to provide the strongest returns. In this environment, the Trust s exposure to lower coupon and zero coupon bonds—which experienced strong price performance due to their relatively long durations for their respective maturities—contributed positively to results. The Trust s exposure to the long end of the yield curve also was a significant contributor to total return, as longer-dated yields declined more than shorter-maturity yields. This positioning allowed the Trust to maximize its income and also benefit from the flattening of the yield curve.

The Trust s holdings in the health care, corporate and transportation sectors the better performing areas of the market were particularly beneficial. The Trust s significant exposure to high-quality (A-rated) issues had a positive impact on results as the market s strong performance during the period was concentrated in this credit quality tier. Additionally, the Trust benefited from income generated from coupon payments on its portfolio of municipal bond holdings. The use of leverage allowed the Trust to maximize its income.

The Trust s modest exposure to Puerto Rico government-related credits in the earlier part of the period detracted from results, as credit spreads on these bonds widened materially due to investors lack of confidence and a weak local economy. The Trust sold its exposure to these securities early in the period.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BNY
	July 27,
Initial Offering Date	2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of July 31, 2014 (\$13.79) ¹	6.00%
Tax Equivalent Yield ²	12.14%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.069
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ³	\$0.828
Economic Leverage as of July 31, 2014 ⁴	39%

- Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.
- Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal federal and state tax rate of 50.59%, which includes the 3.8%
- ² Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.
- ³ The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.
- ⁴ Represents VMTP Shares and TOBs as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOBs, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion

of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5. 16ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	7/31/14	7/31/13	Change	High	Low
Market Price Net Asset Value	•	•	4.79 % 8.98 %	•	•
Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years					

Overview of the Trust s Long-Term Investments

Sector Allocation	7/31/14	7/31/13	
County/City/Special District/School District	24%	23%	
Education	19	16	
Transportation	14	14	
Utilities	13	11	
Health	10	10	
State	9	9	
Corporate	9	10	
Housing	2	7	

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust s sector classifications refer to any one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment advisor. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

Call/Maturity Schedule³

AAA/Aaa AA/Aa	16% 39	13% 34	
Credit Quality Allocation ¹	7/31/14	7/31/13	
3 Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential	calls by issuers over the next five years.		
2018	3	3	
2017	12		
2016	4	5 4	
2015	5		
2014	5%	5%	
Calendar Year Ended December 31,			

Α		29	35
BBB/Baa		6	8
BB/Ba		4	3
N/R ²		6	7
	For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest ra	ting assigned by	either S&P or
	Moody s if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized s	statistical rating o	rganizations and
1	are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below	investment grad	e ratings are
	credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either ratir	ng agency. Unrate	ed investments

do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

The investment advisor evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the 2investment advisor has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of July 31, 2014 and July 31, 2013, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment advisor to be investment grade was \$2,704,682, representing 1%, and \$2,500,000, representing 1%, respectively, of the Trust s long-term investments.

ANNUAL REPORT JULY 31, 2014 17

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

Schedule of Investments July 31, 2014 (Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

M. Maria Brook	Par	
Municipal Bonds California 107.3%	(000)	Value
Corporate 0.7%		
City of Chula Vista California, Refunding RB, San Diego Gas & Electric:		
Series A, 5.88%, 2/15/34	\$ 680	\$ 783,659
Series D, 5.88%, 1/01/34	2,500	2,881,100
		3,664,759
County/City/Special District/School District 34.5%		
Butte-Glenn Community College District, GO, Election of 2002, Series C, 5.50%, 8/01/30	8,425	9,508,876
Centinela Valley Union High School District, GO, Refunding, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.75%,		
8/01/33	1,250	1,478,238
Cerritos Community College District, GO, Election of 2004, Series C, 5.25%, 8/01/31	3,000	3,437,130
City of San Jose California Hotel Tax, RB, Convention Center Expansion & Renovation Project:	500	E00.000
6.13%, 5/01/31	500	583,830
6.50%, 5/01/36	1,210	1,432,059
6.50%, 5/01/42	2,225	2,628,926
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 8/01/35	2,000	2,328,560
County of Orange California Water District, COP, Refunding, 5.25%, 8/15/34	2,000	2,293,080
County of San Joaquin California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Limited Tax, Measure K,		
Series A:		
6.00%, 3/01/36	2,880	3,459,024
5.50%, 3/01/41	5,265	5,938,604
County of Santa Clara California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Series L, 5.25%, 5/15/36	20,000	22,127,000
Evergreen Elementary School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGC), 5.13%, 8/01/33	2,500	