

UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORP \PA\
Form 10-Q
November 03, 2016

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2016

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 1-12031

UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Pennsylvania

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

23-2372688
(I.R.S.
Employer

Identification
No.)

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORP \PA\ - Form 10-Q

375 Phillips Boulevard, Ewing, New Jersey 08618
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (609) 671-0980

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of November 2, 2016, the registrant had outstanding 46,969,098 shares of common stock.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)</u> | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets – September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015</u> | 1 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations - Three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u> | 2 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Loss) – Three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statement of Shareholders' Equity – Nine months ended September 30, 2016</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 23 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 33 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 33 |

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 34 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 37 |

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | September 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 54,416 | \$ 97,513 |
| Short-term investments | 231,452 | 297,981 |
| Accounts receivable | 22,476 | 24,729 |
| Inventory | 16,103 | 12,748 |
| Deferred income taxes | 10,084 | 12,326 |
| Other current assets | 7,499 | 2,387 |
| Total current assets | 342,030 | 447,684 |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of \$30,899 | | |
| and \$27,897 | 25,768 | 22,407 |
| ACQUIRED TECHNOLOGY, net of accumulated amortization of \$65,565 and \$54,837 | 157,276 | 72,015 |
| OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net of accumulated amortization of \$311 and none | 16,139 | — |
| GOODWILL | 15,548 | — |
| INVESTMENTS | 15,217 | 2,187 |
| DEFERRED INCOME TAXES | 12,352 | 14,945 |
| OTHER ASSETS | 423 | 174 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 584,753 | \$ 559,412 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 5,544 | \$ 6,849 |
| Accrued expenses | 13,190 | 17,387 |
| Deferred revenue | 10,211 | 10,107 |
| Other current liabilities | 1,672 | 167 |
| Total current liabilities | 30,617 | 34,510 |
| DEFERRED REVENUE | 33,454 | 35,543 |
| RETIREMENT PLAN BENEFIT LIABILITY | 24,865 | 22,594 |
| Total liabilities | 88,936 | 92,647 |
| COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 14) | | |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY: | | |

Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 5,000,000 shares authorized, 200,000

shares of Series A Nonconvertible Preferred Stock issued and outstanding

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| (liquidation value of \$7.50 per share or \$1,500) | 2 | 2 |
| Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, 100,000,000 shares authorized, 48,314,591 | | |
| and 48,132,223 shares issued, and 46,956,728 and 46,774,360 shares outstanding at | | |
| September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively | 483 | 482 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 596,453 | 589,885 |
| Accumulated deficit | (51,376) | (73,627) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (9,587) | (9,819) |
| Treasury stock, at cost (1,357,863 shares at September 30, 2016 and December 31, | | |
| 2015) | (40,158) | (40,158) |
| Total shareholders' equity | 495,817 | 466,765 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 584,753 | \$ 559,412 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share and per share data)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| REVENUE: | | | | |
| Material sales | \$ 23,465 | \$ 34,128 | \$70,084 | \$85,270 |
| Royalty and license fees | 5,209 | 5,224 | 52,569 | 43,332 |
| Technology development and support revenue | 1,540 | 67 | 1,656 | 132 |
| Total revenue | 30,214 | 39,419 | 124,309 | 128,734 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Cost of material sales | 6,458 | 7,246 | 17,194 | 54,913 |
| Research and development | 10,118 | 11,434 | 31,562 | 32,000 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 8,465 | 7,224 | 22,728 | 20,487 |
| Patent costs and amortization of acquired technology | | | | |
| and other intangible assets | 7,361 | 4,032 | 15,515 | 12,461 |
| Royalty and license expense | 815 | 1,105 | 3,656 | 3,563 |
| Total operating expenses | 33,217 | 31,041 | 90,655 | 123,424 |
| Operating (loss) income | (3,003) | 8,378 | 33,654 | 5,310 |
| INTEREST INCOME | 573 | 232 | 1,565 | 593 |
| INTEREST EXPENSE | (5) | (7) | (21) | (31) |
| OTHER (EXPENSE) INCOME | (68) | (16) | (1,982) | 342 |
| INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES | (2,503) | 8,587 | 33,216 | 6,214 |
| INCOME TAX BENEFIT (EXPENSE) | 1,003 | (1,540) | (10,965) | (9,624) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ (1,500) | \$ 7,047 | \$22,251 | \$ (3,410) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE: | | | | |
| BASIC | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.15 | \$0.47 | \$ (0.07) |
| DILUTED | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.15 | \$0.47 | \$ (0.07) |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES USED IN COMPUTING NET | | | | |
| INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE: | | | | |
| BASIC | 46,947,621 | 46,542,556 | 46,889,913 | 46,241,578 |
| DILUTED | 46,947,621 | 46,723,373 | 47,015,262 | 46,241,578 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ (1,500) | \$ 7,047 | \$ 22,251 | \$ (3,410) |
| OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME, NET OF TAX: | | | | |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities, net | | | | |
| of tax of \$92, \$2, \$139 and \$(6), respectively | (171) | (5) | (256) | (9) |
| Employee benefit plan: | | | | |
| Actuarial gain (loss), net of tax of \$(5), none, \$195, and | | | | |
| none, respectively | (5) | — | (358) | — |
| Amortization of prior service cost and actuarial loss for | | | | |
| retirement plan included in net periodic pension costs, | | | | |
| net of tax of \$141, \$157, \$443 and \$401, respectively | 278 | 279 | 814 | 713 |
| Net change for employee benefit plan | 273 | 279 | 456 | 713 |
| Change in cumulative foreign currency translation | | | | |
| adjustment | 12 | — | 32 | — |
| TOTAL OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | 114 | 274 | 232 | 704 |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) | \$ (1,386) | \$ 7,321 | \$ 22,483 | \$ (2,706) |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except for share data)

| | Series A | | Additional | | | | Accumulated | | Treasury Stock Shares | Amount | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|---|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------|----------------------------------|
| | Nonconvertible Preferred Stock Shares | Common Stock Shares | Common Stock Amount | Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Deficit | Other Comprehensive Loss | Treasury Stock Amount | | | | |
| BALANCE, DECEMBER 31, 2015 | 200,000 | \$2 | 48,132,223 | \$482 | \$589,885 | \$(73,627) | \$(9,819) | 1,357,863 | \$(40,158) | \$466,765 | |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | — | 22,251 | — | — | — | 22,251 | |
| Other comprehensive income | — | — | — | — | — | — | 232 | — | — | 232 | |
| Exercise of common stock options | — | — | 12,500 | — | 182 | — | — | — | — | 182 | |
| Issuance of common stock to employees | — | — | 219,173 | 2 | 9,243 | — | — | — | — | 9,245 | |
| Shares withheld for employee taxes | | | (91,659) | (1) | (4,839) | | | | | (4,840) | |
| Issuance of common stock to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board | — | — | 35,546 | — | 1,570 | — | — | — | — | 1,570 | |
| Issuance of common stock to employees under an ESPP | — | — | 6,808 | — | 412 | — | — | — | — | 412 | |
| BALANCE, SEPTEMBER 30, 2016 | 200,000 | \$2 | 48,314,591 | \$483 | \$596,453 | \$(51,376) | \$(9,587) | 1,357,863 | \$(40,158) | \$495,817 | |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

4

UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|------------------------------------|---------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$22,251 | \$(3,410) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Amortization of deferred revenue | (5,281) | (7,031) |
| Depreciation | 3,002 | 2,223 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 11,039 | 8,249 |
| Inventory write-down | — | 33,000 |
| Amortization of premium and discount on investments, net | (1,316) | (479) |
| Stock-based compensation to employees | 8,231 | 6,759 |
| Stock-based compensation to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board | 1,273 | 818 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | 4,726 | 4,291 |
| Retirement plan benefit expense | 3,004 | 2,433 |
| Decrease (increase) in assets, net of effect of acquisition: | | |
| Accounts receivable | 3,723 | (6,275) |
| Inventory | (3,249) | (9,579) |
| Other current assets | (4,977) | (167) |
| Other assets | (249) | 193 |
| Increase (decrease) in liabilities, net of effect of acquisition: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (5,134) | 1,192 |
| Other current liabilities | (5) | (7) |
| Deferred revenue | 3,296 | 48,411 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 40,334 | 80,621 |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (4,361) | (4,650) |
| Purchase of intangibles | (95,989) | — |
| Purchase of business, net of cash acquired | (33,163) | — |
| Purchases of investments | (380,260) | (453,672) |
| Proceeds from sale of investments | 434,683 | 425,660 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (79,090) | (32,662) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES: | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common stock | 317 | 263 |
| Proceeds from the exercise of common stock options | 182 | 1,629 |
| Payment of withholding taxes related to stock-based compensation to employees | (4,840) | (5,313) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (4,341) | (3,421) |
| (DECREASE) INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | (43,097) | 44,538 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 97,513 | 45,418 |

| | | |
|---|----------|----------|
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | \$54,416 | \$89,956 |
| The following non-cash activities occurred: | | |
| Unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities | \$256 | \$9 |
| Common stock issued to Board of Directors and Scientific Advisory Board that was | | |
| earned and accrued for in a previous period | 300 | 300 |
| Common stock issued to employees that was earned and accrued for in a previous period | 1,105 | 967 |
| Net change in accounts payable and accrued expenses related to purchases of property | | |
| and equipment | 133 | (858) |
| Earnout liability recorded for Adesis acquisition | 1,510 | — |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNIVERSAL DISPLAY CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(UNAUDITED)

1. BUSINESS:

Universal Display Corporation (the Company) is a leader in the research, development and commercialization of organic light emitting diode (OLED) technologies and materials. OLEDs are thin, lightweight and power-efficient solid-state devices that emit light, making them highly suitable for use in full-color displays and as lighting products. OLED displays are capturing a growing share of the display market. The Company believes this is because OLEDs offer potential advantages over competing display technologies with respect to power efficiency, contrast ratio, viewing angle, video response time, form factor and manufacturing cost. The Company also believes that OLED lighting products have the potential to replace many existing light sources in the future because of their high power efficiency, excellent color rendering index, low operating temperature and novel form factor. The Company's technology leadership and intellectual property position should enable it to share in the revenues from OLED displays and lighting products as they enter mainstream consumer and other markets.

The Company's primary business strategy is to (1) further develop and license its proprietary OLED technologies to manufacturers of products for display applications, such as mobile phones, televisions, tablets, wearables, portable media devices, notebook computers, personal computers, and automotive interiors, and specialty and general lighting products; and (2) develop new OLED materials and sell existing and any new materials to those product manufacturers. The Company has established a significant portfolio of proprietary OLED technologies and materials, primarily through internal research and development efforts and acquisitions of patents and patent applications, as well as maintaining its relationships with world-class partners such as Princeton University (Princeton), the University of Southern California (USC), the University of Michigan (Michigan) and PPG Industries, Inc. (PPG Industries). The Company currently owns, exclusively licenses or has the sole right to sublicense more than 4,200 patents issued and pending worldwide.

The Company sells its proprietary OLED materials to customers for evaluation and use in commercial OLED products. The Company also enters into agreements with manufacturers of OLED display and lighting products under which it grants them licenses to practice under its patents and to use the Company's proprietary know-how. At the same time, the Company works with these and other companies who are evaluating the Company's OLED technologies and materials for possible use in commercial OLED display and lighting products.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES:

Interim Financial Information

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2016 and results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015. While management believes that the disclosures presented are adequate to make the information not misleading, these unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto in the Company's latest year-end financial statements, which are included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. The results of the Company's operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations for any other interim period or for the full year.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Universal Display Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries, UDC, Inc., UDC Ireland Limited, Universal Display Corporation Hong Kong, Limited, Universal Display Corporation Korea, Y.H., Universal Display Corporation Japan GK, Universal Display Corporation China, Ltd. and Adesis, Inc. (Adesis). All intercompany transactions and accounts have been eliminated.

Business Combinations

Accounting for acquisitions requires the Company to recognize separately from goodwill the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the acquisition date fair values. Goodwill as of the acquisition date is measured as the excess of consideration transferred over the net of the acquisition date fair values of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed. While the Company uses its best estimates and assumptions to accurately value assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the acquisition date as well as contingent

consideration, where applicable, the estimates are inherently uncertain and subject to refinement. As a result, during the measurement period, which may be up to one year from the acquisition date, adjustments may be recorded to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed with the corresponding offset to goodwill. Upon the conclusion of the measurement period or final determination of the values of assets acquired or liabilities assumed, whichever comes first, any subsequent adjustments are recorded to the consolidated statement of operations.

Management's Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The estimates made are principally in the areas of revenue recognition for license agreements, the useful life of acquired intangibles, the use and recoverability of inventories, intangibles and income taxes including realization of deferred tax assets, stock-based compensation and retirement benefit plan liabilities. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Inventories

Inventories consist of raw materials, work-in-process and finished goods, including inventory consigned to customers, and are stated at the lower of cost, determined on a first-in, first-out basis, or market. Inventory valuation and firm committed purchase order assessments are performed on a quarterly basis and those items that are identified to be obsolete or in excess of forecasted usage are written down to their estimated realizable value. Estimates of realizable value are based upon management's analyses and assumptions, including, but not limited to, forecasted sales levels by product, expected product lifecycle, product development plans and future demand requirements. A 12-month rolling forecast based on factors, including, but not limited to, production cycles, anticipated product orders, marketing forecasts, backlog, and shipment activities is used in the inventory analysis. If market conditions are less favorable than forecasts or actual demand from customers is lower than estimates, additional inventory write-downs may be required. If demand is higher than expected, inventories that had previously been written down may be sold.

Certain of the Company's customers have assumed the responsibility for maintaining the Company's inventory at their location based on the customers' demand forecast. Notwithstanding the fact that the Company builds and ships the inventory, the customer does not purchase the consigned inventory until the inventory is drawn or pulled by the customer to be used in the manufacture of the customer's product. Though the consigned inventory may be at the customer's physical location, it remains inventory owned by the Company until the inventory is drawn or pulled, which is the time at which the sale takes place.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying values of accounts receivable, other current assets, and accounts payable approximate fair value in the accompanying financial statements due to the short-term nature of those instruments. The Company's other financial instruments, which include cash equivalents and investments, are carried at fair value.

Revenue Recognition and Deferred Revenue

Material sales relate to the Company's sale of its OLED materials for incorporation into its customers' commercial OLED products or for their OLED development and evaluation activities. Material sales are recognized at the time title passes, which is typically at the time of shipment or at the time of delivery, depending upon the contractual agreement between the parties.

The Company receives license and royalty payments under certain commercial, development and technology evaluation agreements, some of which are non-refundable. These payments may include royalty and license fees made pursuant to license agreements and certain commercial supply agreements. Amounts received are deferred and classified as either current or non-current deferred revenue based upon current contractual remaining terms; however, based upon on-going relationships with customers, as well as future agreement extensions and other factors, amounts classified as current as of September 30, 2016 may not be recognized as revenue over the next twelve months. For arrangements with extended payment terms where the fee is not fixed and determinable, the Company recognizes revenue when the payment is due and payable. Royalty revenue and license fees included as part of commercial supply agreements are recognized when earned and the amount is fixed and determinable. If the Company used different estimates for the useful life of the licensed technology, or if fees are fixed and determinable, reported revenue during the relevant period would differ.

Technology development and support revenue is revenue earned from government contracts, development and technology evaluation agreements and commercialization assistance fees, which includes reimbursements by government entities for all or a

7

portion of the research and development costs the Company incurs in relation to its government contracts. Revenues are recognized proportionally as research and development costs are incurred, or as defined milestones are achieved.

Currently, the Company's most significant commercial license agreement, which runs through the end of 2017, is with Samsung Display Co., Ltd. (SDC) and covers the manufacture and sale of specified OLED display products. Under this agreement, the Company is being paid a license fee, payable in semi-annual installments over the agreement term of 6.4 years. The installments, which are due in the second and fourth quarter of each year, increase on an annual basis over the term of the agreement. The agreement conveys to SDC the non-exclusive right to use certain of the Company's intellectual property assets for a limited period of time that is less than the estimated life of the assets. Ratable recognition of revenue is impacted by the agreement's extended increasing payment terms in light of the Company's limited history with similar agreements. As a result, revenue is recognized at the lesser of the proportional performance approach (ratable) and the amount of due and payable fees from SDC. Given the increasing contractual payment schedule, license fees under the agreement are recognized as revenue when they become due and payable, which is currently scheduled to be in the second and fourth quarter of each year.

In 2015, the Company entered into an OLED patent license agreement and an OLED commercial supply agreement with LG Display Co., Ltd. (LG Display) which were effective as of January 1, 2015 and superseded the 2007 commercial supply agreement between the parties. The new agreements have a term that is set to expire by the end of 2022. The patent license agreement provides LG Display a non-exclusive, royalty bearing portfolio license to make and sell OLED displays under the Company's patent portfolio. The patent license calls for license fees, prepaid royalties and running royalties on licensed products. The prepaid royalty amount is included in deferred revenue and a portion of this amount can be credited against total royalties due over the life of the contract. The agreements include customary provisions relating to warranties, indemnities, confidentiality, assignability and business terms. The agreements provide for certain other minimum obligations relating to the volume of material sales anticipated over the term of the agreements, as well as minimum royalty revenue to be generated under the patent license agreement. The Company expects to generate revenue under these agreements that are predominantly tied to LG Display's sales of OLED licensed products. The OLED commercial supply agreement provides for the sale of materials for use by LG Display, which may include phosphorescent dopants and host materials.

The Company records taxes billed to customers and remitted to various governmental entities on a gross basis in both revenues and cost of material sales in the consolidated statements of operations. The amounts of these pass through taxes reflected in revenues and cost of material sales were \$74,000 and \$143,000 for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, respectively, and \$230,000 and \$1.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively.

Cost of Material Sales

Cost of material sales consists of labor and material costs associated with the production of materials processed at the Company's manufacturing partners and at the Company's internal manufacturing processing facility. The Company's portion of cost of material sales also includes depreciation of manufacturing equipment, as well as manufacturing overhead costs and inventory adjustments for excess and obsolete inventory.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued a new revenue recognition standard entitled Revenue from Contracts with Customers. The objective of the standard is to establish the principles that an entity shall apply to report useful information to users of financial statements about the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows from a contract with a customer. The standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. Earlier adoption as of the original date is optional; however, the Company will

adopt the standard beginning January 1, 2018. The standard allows for either “full retrospective” adoption, meaning the standard is applied to all periods presented, or “modified retrospective” adoption, meaning the standard is applied only to the most current period presented in the financial statements. The Company is currently assessing which method it will choose for adoption, and is evaluating the impact of the adoption of this new accounting standard on its consolidated results of operations and financial position.

In July 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-11, Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory, which requires an entity that uses the first-in first-out method for inventory to report inventory cost at the lower of cost or net realizable value versus the current measurement principle of lower of cost or market. The ASU requires prospective adoption for inventory measurements for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2015-11 may have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In November 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-17, Balance Sheet Classification of Deferred Taxes, which requires the classification of all deferred tax assets and liabilities as noncurrent. The standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning

after December 15, 2016. The standard allows for either “prospective” adoption, meaning the standard is applied to the most current period presented in the financial statements or “full retrospective” adoption, meaning the standard is applied to all periods presented. Earlier adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2015-17 may have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Improvements to Employee Share-Based Accounting. The objective of the standard is to simplify the accounting and related disclosures associated with employee share-based accounting. The standard is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. The ASU requires prospective adoption, meaning the standard is applied to the most current period presented in the financial statements. Earlier adoption is permitted. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2016-09 may have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. The objective of the standard is to reduce diversity in practice in how certain transactions are classified in the statement of cash flows. The ASU provides additional clarification guidance on the classification of certain cash receipts and payments in the statement of cash flows. The new guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods within those years beginning after December 15, 2017 with early adoption permitted. The Company is evaluating the effect that ASU 2016-15 may have on its consolidated financial statements and related disclosures.

3. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS:

On June 23, 2016, the Company entered into an agreement to acquire Adesis, a privately held contract research organization (CRO) with 43 employees specializing in organic and organometallic synthetic research, development, and commercialization. Adesis is a technology vendor to companies in the pharmaceutical, fine chemical, biomaterials, and catalyst industries, and has worked with the Company over the last few years to help advance and accelerate a number of the Company’s product offerings. The transaction closed on July 11, 2016. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company’s subsidiary, UDC, Inc., acquired all outstanding shares of Adesis in a merger for \$33.7 million in cash, and up to an additional \$2.4 million in cash contingent upon Adesis’ achievement of certain milestones within two years of the acquisition. The acquisition was funded through use of existing cash and investments.

Preliminary Purchase Price Allocation

The Company accounted for Adesis using the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with applicable U.S. GAAP whereby the total purchase price was allocated to tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on respective fair values. The contingent consideration arrangement requires the Company to pay up to \$1.2 million of additional consideration to the former shareholders of Adesis if revenues exceed certain threshold levels at the end of each twelve-month period ending December 31, 2016 and December 31, 2017. The fair value of the contingent consideration was derived using a Monte Carlo simulation model based on management’s projections of future revenue levels. The following table summarizes the values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Cash consideration | \$33,655 |
| Contingent consideration | 1,510 |

| | |
|--|----------|
| | \$35,165 |
| Allocation of purchase price: | |
| Current assets, including cash of \$492 | \$2,204 |
| Property and equipment | 1,869 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | (906) |
| Net tangible assets | 3,167 |
| Identifiable intangible assets | 16,450 |
| Goodwill | 15,548 |
| Total purchase price | \$35,165 |

The purchase price exceeded the fair value of the net tangible assets and identifiable intangible assets acquired and, as a result, the Company recorded goodwill in connection with this transaction. This difference includes a going concern element that represents the Company's ability to earn a higher rate of return on this group of assets than would be expected on the separate assets as determined during the valuation process.

Transaction costs of \$360,000 for the three month and nine month periods ended September 30, 2016 were recorded and charged to selling, general and administrative expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Intangible Assets Identified

The following table presents the intangible assets identified in the transaction:

| Category | Estimated fair value | Estimated useful life |
|--|----------------------|-----------------------|
| | (in thousands) | (in years) |
| Customer relationships | 10,130 | 9.5 |
| Internally-developed IP, processes and recipes | 4,820 | 15.0 |
| Trade name/Trademarks | 1,500 | 10.0 |
| Total identifiable intangible assets | \$ 16,450 | |

The preliminary fair value of the customer relationships asset was determined using the income approach through an excess earnings analysis which estimates value based on the present value of future economic benefits. The customer relationships intangible asset represents relationships between Adesis and its customers. The fair value of the internally-developed IP, processes and recipes was determined by utilizing the relief-from-royalty methodology. The preliminary fair value of the Adesis trade name asset was determined using the income approach through a relief-from-royalty analysis. The determination of useful lives was based upon consideration of market participant assumptions and transaction specific factors.

Impact on Operating Results

The results of Adesis' operations have been included in the Company's consolidated financial statements since the July 11, 2016 date of acquisition. The following unaudited pro forma information assumes the acquisition of Adesis occurred at the beginning of the respective periods presented (in thousands):

| Unaudited Pro Forma Information | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Revenue | \$ 30,347 | \$ 40,698 | \$ 127,970 | \$ 133,319 |
| Net income | (2,241) | 5,903 | 19,821 | (1,752) |

The unaudited pro forma information presented is for illustrative purposes only and does not reflect future events that may occur after September 30, 2016 or any operating efficiencies or inefficiencies that may result from the Adesis acquisition. Additionally, this unaudited pro forma information includes certain one-time costs associated with the Company's integration of the acquired Adesis operations. Therefore, the information is not necessarily indicative of the results that would have been achieved had the business been combined during the periods presented or the results that the Company will experience going forward.

4. CASH, CASH EQUIVALENTS AND INVESTMENTS:

The Company considers all highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company classifies its remaining investments as available-for-sale. These securities are carried at fair market value, with unrealized gains and losses reported in shareholders' equity. Gains or losses on securities sold are based on the specific identification method. Investments as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 consisted of the following (in thousands):

| Investment Classification | Amortized Cost | Unrealized Gain(Losses) | Aggregate Fair Market Value |
|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|
| September 30, 2016 | | | |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 6,855 | \$ 7 \$ (2) | \$ 6,860 |
| Corporate bonds | 224,376 | — (247) | 224,129 |
| U.S. Government bonds | 15,999 | — (319) | 15,680 |
| | \$ 247,230 | \$ 7 \$ (568) | \$ 246,669 |
| December 31, 2015 | | | |
| Certificates of deposit | \$ 11,532 | \$ 3 \$ (14) | \$ 11,521 |
| Corporate bonds | 233,848 | — (139) | 233,709 |
| U.S. Government bonds | 54,953 | 1 (16) | 54,938 |
| | \$ 300,333 | \$ 4 \$ (169) | \$ 300,168 |

5. INVENTORY:

Inventory consisted of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|-----------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 6,538 | \$ 6,539 |
| Work-in-process | 1,281 | 1,064 |
| Finished goods | 8,284 | 5,145 |
| Inventory | \$ 16,103 | \$ 12,748 |

6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS:

The following table provides the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of September 30, 2016 (in thousands):

| | Total carrying value as of September 30, 2016 | Fair Value Measurements, Using | | |
|------------------------|---|---|---|---|
| | | Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 28,072 | \$ 28,072 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Short-term investments | 231,452 | 231,452 | — | — |
| Long-term investments | 15,217 | 15,217 | — | — |

The following table provides the assets and liabilities carried at fair value measured on a recurring basis as of December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

| | Total carrying value as of December 31, 2015 | Fair Value Measurements, Using | | |
|------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| | | Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) |
| Cash equivalents | \$ 34,980 | \$ 34,980 | \$ — | \$ — |
| Short-term investments | 297,981 | 297,981 | — | — |

| | | | | |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|---|---|
| Long-term investments | 2,187 | 2,187 | — | — |
|-----------------------|-------|-------|---|---|

Level 1 inputs are quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets or inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly through market corroboration, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs based on management's own assumptions used to measure assets and liabilities at fair value. A financial asset's or liability's classification is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Changes in fair value of the investments are recorded as unrealized gains and losses in other comprehensive income. If a decline in fair value of an investment is deemed to be other than temporary, the cost of the Company's investment will be written down by the amount of the other-than-temporary impairment with a resulting charge to net income. There were no other-than-temporary impairments of investments as of September 30, 2016 or December 31, 2015.

7. RESEARCH AND LICENSE AGREEMENTS WITH PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY OF SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA AND THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN:

The Company funded OLED technology research at Princeton University and, on a subcontractor basis, at the University of Southern California for 10 years under a Research Agreement executed with Princeton University in August 1997 (the 1997 Research Agreement). The principal investigator conducting work under the 1997 Research Agreement transferred to the University of Michigan in January 2006. Following this transfer, the 1997 Research Agreement was allowed to expire on July 31, 2007.

As a result of the transfer, the Company entered into a new Sponsored Research Agreement with the University of Southern California to sponsor OLED technology research and, on a subcontractor basis, with the University of Michigan. This new Sponsored Research Agreement (as amended, the 2006 Research Agreement) was effective as of May 1, 2006 and had an original term of three years. On May 1, 2009, the Company amended the 2006 Research Agreement to extend the term of the agreement for an additional four years. The 2006 Research Agreement superseded the 1997 Research Agreement with respect to all work being performed at the

University of Southern California and the University of Michigan. Payments under the 2006 Research Agreement were made to the University of Southern California on a quarterly basis as actual expenses were incurred. The Company incurred a total of \$5.0 million in research and development expense for work performed under the 2006 Research Agreement during the extended term, which ended on April 30, 2013.

Effective June 1, 2013, the Company amended the 2006 Research Agreement again to extend the term of the agreement for an additional four years. As of September 30, 2016, the Company was obligated to pay the University of Southern California up to \$1.8 million for work to be actually performed during the remaining extended term, which expires April 30, 2017. From June 1, 2013 through September 30, 2016, the Company incurred \$3.7 million in research and development expense for work performed under the 2006 Research Agreement.

On October 9, 1997, the Company, Princeton University and the University of Southern California entered into an Amended License Agreement (as amended, the 1997 Amended License Agreement) under which Princeton University and the University of Southern California granted the Company worldwide, exclusive license rights, with rights to sublicense, to make, have made, use, lease and/or sell products and to practice processes based on patent applications and issued patents arising out of work performed by Princeton University and the University of Southern California under the 1997 Research Agreement. Under this 1997 Amended License Agreement, the Company is required to pay Princeton University royalties for licensed products sold by the Company or its sublicensees. For licensed products sold by the Company, the Company is required to pay Princeton University 3% of the net sales price of these products. For licensed products sold by the Company's sublicensees, the Company is required to pay Princeton 3% of the revenues received by the Company from these sublicensees. These royalty rates are subject to renegotiation for products not reasonably conceivable as arising out of the 1997 Research Agreement if Princeton University reasonably determines that the royalty rates payable with respect to these products are not fair and competitive.

The Company is obligated, under the 1997 Amended License Agreement, to pay to Princeton University minimum annual royalties. The minimum royalty payment is \$100,000 per year. The Company recorded royalty expense in connection with this agreement of \$853,000 and \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$3.7 million and \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

The Company also is required, under the 1997 Amended License Agreement, to use commercially reasonable efforts to bring the licensed OLED technology to market. However, this requirement is deemed satisfied if the Company invests a minimum of \$800,000 per year in research, development, commercialization or patenting efforts respecting the patent rights licensed to the Company.

In connection with entering into the 2006 Research Agreement, the Company amended the 1997 Amended License Agreement to include the University of Michigan as a party to that agreement effective as of January 1, 2006. Under this amendment, Princeton University, the University of Southern California and the University of Michigan have granted the Company a worldwide exclusive license, with rights to sublicense, to make, have made, use, lease and/or sell products and to practice processes based on patent applications and issued patents arising out of work performed under the 2006 Research Agreement. The financial terms of the 1997 Amended License Agreement were not impacted by this amendment.

8. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS:

The Company monitors the recoverability of goodwill annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate the carrying value may not be recoverable. Purchased intangible assets subject to amortization consist primarily of acquired technology and other intangible assets that include trade names, customer relationships and internally developed IP processes.

12

Acquired Technology

Acquired technology consists of acquired license rights for patents and know-how obtained from PD-LD, Inc., Motorola, BASF SE (BASF) and Fujifilm. These intangible assets consist of the following (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2016 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|
| PD-LD, Inc. | \$ 1,481 |
| Motorola | 15,909 |
| BASF | 95,989 |
| Fujifilm | 109,462 |
| | 222,841 |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | (65,565) |
| Acquired technology, net | \$ 157,276 |

Amortization expense related to acquired technology was \$5.1 million and \$2.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$10.7 million and \$8.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Amortization expense is included in the patent costs and amortization of acquired technology expense line item on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and is expected to be \$15.9 million in 2016 and \$20.6 million for each of the five subsequent fiscal years.

Motorola Patent Acquisition

In 2000, the Company entered into a royalty-bearing license agreement with Motorola whereby Motorola granted the Company perpetual license rights to what are now 74 issued U.S. patents relating to Motorola's OLED technologies, together with foreign counterparts in various countries. These patents will all expire in the U.S. by 2018.

On March 9, 2011, the Company purchased these patents from Motorola, including all existing and future claims and causes of action for any infringement of the patents, pursuant to a Patent Purchase Agreement. The Patent Purchase Agreement effectively terminated the Company's license agreement with Motorola, including any obligation to make royalty payments to Motorola. The technology acquired from Motorola is being amortized over a period of 7.5 years.

Fujifilm Patent Acquisition

On July 23, 2012, the Company entered into a Patent Sale Agreement with Fujifilm. Under the agreement, Fujifilm sold more than 1,200 OLED-related patents and patent applications in exchange for a cash payment of \$105.0 million, plus costs incurred in connection with the purchase. The agreement contains customary representations and warranties and covenants, including respective covenants not to sue by both parties thereto. The agreement permitted the Company to assign all of its rights and obligations under the agreement to its affiliates, and the Company assigned, prior to the consummation of the transactions contemplated by the agreement, its rights and obligations to UDC Ireland Limited (UDC Ireland), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company formed under the laws of the Republic of Ireland. The transactions contemplated by the agreement were consummated on July 26, 2012. The Company recorded the \$105.0 million plus \$4.5 million of purchase costs as acquired technology, which is being amortized over a period of 10 years.

BASF Patent Acquisition

On June 28, 2016, UDC Ireland entered into and consummated an IP Transfer Agreement with BASF. Under the IP Transfer Agreement, BASF sold to UDC Ireland all of its rights, title and interest to certain of its owned and co-owned intellectual property rights relating to the composition of, development, manufacture and use of OLED materials, including OLED lighting and display stack technology, as well as certain tangible assets. The intellectual property includes knowhow and more than 500 issued and pending patents in the area of phosphorescent materials and technologies. These assets were acquired in exchange for a cash payment of €86.8 million (\$95.8 million). In addition, UDC Ireland also took on certain rights and obligations under three joint research and development agreements to which BASF was a party. The IP Transfer Agreement also contains customary representations, warranties and covenants of the parties. UDC Ireland recorded the payment of €86.8 million (\$95.8 million) and acquisition costs incurred of \$217,000 as acquired technology which is being amortized over a period of 10 years.

Other Intangible Assets

As a result of the Adesis acquisition, the Company recorded \$16.5 million of other intangible assets, including \$10.1 million assigned to customer relationships with a weighted average life of 9.5 years, \$4.8 million of internally developed IP, processes and recipes with a weighted average life of 15 years, and \$1.5 million assigned to trade name and trademarks with a weighted average life of 10 years.

| | Gross Carrying | Accumulated Amortization | Net Carrying Amount |
|--|-------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|
| Customer relationships | \$ 10,130 | \$ (216) | \$ 9,914 |
| Internally-developed IP, processes and recipes | 4,820 | (65) | 4,755 |
| Trade name/Trademarks | 1,500 | (30) | 1,470 |
| Total identifiable intangible assets | \$ 16,450 | \$ (311) | \$ 16,139 |

Amortization expense related to other intangible assets was \$311,000 for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2016. Amortization expense is included in the patent costs and amortization of acquired technology expense line item on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and is expected to be \$699,000 in 2016 and \$1.5 million for each of the five subsequent fiscal years.

9. EQUITY AND CASH COMPENSATION UNDER THE PPG AGREEMENTS:

On September 22, 2011, the Company entered into an Amended and Restated OLED Materials Supply and Service Agreement with PPG Industries (the New OLED Materials Agreement), which replaced the original OLED Materials Agreement with PPG Industries effective as of October 1, 2011. The term of the New OLED Materials Agreement ran through December 31, 2015 and shall be automatically renewed for additional one year terms, unless terminated by the Company by providing prior notice of one year or terminated by PPG by providing prior notice of two years. The agreement was automatically renewed through December 31, 2017. The New OLED Materials Agreement contains provisions that are substantially similar to those of the original OLED Materials Agreement. Under the New OLED Materials Agreement, PPG Industries continues to assist the Company in developing its proprietary OLED materials and supplying the Company with those materials for evaluation purposes and for resale to its customers.

Under the New OLED Materials Agreement, the Company compensates PPG Industries on a cost-plus basis for the services provided during each calendar quarter. The Company is required to pay for some of these services in all cash. Up to 50% of the remaining services are payable, at the Company's sole discretion, in cash or shares of the Company's common stock, with the balance payable in cash. The actual number of shares of common stock issuable to PPG Industries is determined based on the average closing price for the Company's common stock during a specified number of days prior to the end of each calendar half-year period ending on March 31 and September 30. If, however,

this average closing price is less than \$20.00, the Company is required to compensate PPG Industries in cash. No shares were issued for services to PPG for the three or nine months ended September 30, 2016 or 2015.

The Company is also to reimburse PPG Industries for raw materials used for research and development. The Company records the purchases of these raw materials as a current asset until such materials are used for research and development efforts.

The Company recorded research and development expense of \$395,000 and \$2.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$2.3 million and \$5.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, in relation to the cash portion of the reimbursement of expenses and work performed by PPG Industries, excluding amounts paid for commercial chemicals.

10. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY:

Common and Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue 100 million shares of \$0.01 par value common stock and 5 million shares of \$0.01 par value preferred stock. Each share of the Company's common stock entitles the holder to one vote on all matters to be voted upon by the shareholders. As of September 30, 2016, the Company had issued 48,314,591 shares of common stock of which 46,956,728 were outstanding and had issued 200,000 shares of preferred stock, all of which were outstanding.

Scientific Advisory Board and Employee Awards

During the first quarters of 2016 and 2015, the Company granted a total of 27,967 and 35,205 shares, respectively, of fully vested common stock to employees and non-employee members of the Scientific Advisory Board for services performed in 2015 and

2014, respectively. The fair value of the shares issued was \$1.1 million and \$967,000, respectively, for shares issued to employees and \$300,000 for both quarters for shares issued to members of the Scientific Advisory Board, which amounts were accrued at December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. In connection with the issuance of these grants, 8,106 and 9,565 shares, with fair values of \$410,000 and \$346,000, were withheld in satisfaction of employee tax withholding obligations in 2016 and 2015, respectively.

11. ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS:

Amounts related to the changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss were as follows (in thousands):

| | Unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities | Unrealized gain (loss) on retirement plan assets | Change in cumulative translation adjustment | Total | Affected line items in the consolidated statements of operations |
|---|---|--|---|------------|---|
| Balance December 31, 2015, net of tax | \$ (111) | \$ (9,708) | \$ — | \$ (9,819) | |
| Other comprehensive gain (loss) | | | | | |
| before reclassification | (256) | — | 32 | (224) | |
| Actuarial gain (loss) | — | (358) | — | (358) | |
| Reclassification to net income ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | Selling, general and administrative, research and development, and cost of material sales |
| Change during period | (256) | 814 | — | 814 | |
| Balance September 30, 2016, net of tax | \$ (367) | \$ (9,252) | \$ 32 | \$ (9,587) | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Balance December 31 2014, net of tax | \$ (28) | \$ (4,354) | \$ — | \$ (4,382) | |

Other comprehensive gain
(loss)

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|-----|----|-----------|---|--------------------------------------|
| before reclassification | (9 |) | — | — | (9 |) | |
| Actuarial gain (loss) | — | | — | — | — | | |
| Reclassification to net income ⁽¹⁾ | | | | | | | Selling, general and administrative, |
| | — | | 713 | — | 713 | | research and development |
| Change during period | (9 |) | 713 | — | 704 | | |
| Balance September 30 2015, net of tax | \$ | (37 |) | \$ | (3,641 |) | \$ |
| | | | | — | \$(3,678) | | |

(1) The Company reclassified amortization of prior service cost and actuarial loss for its retirement plan from accumulated other comprehensive loss to net income (loss) of \$814,000 and \$713,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

(2) Refer to Note 13: Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan.

12. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION:

The Company recognizes in the statements of operations the grant-date fair value of equity based awards, such as shares issued under employee stock purchase plans, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units and performance unit awards issued to employees and directors.

The grant-date fair value of stock awards is based on the closing price of the stock on the date of grant. The fair value of share-based awards is recognized as compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period, net of forfeitures. The Company issues new shares upon the respective grant, exercise or vesting of share-based payment awards, as applicable.

Performance unit awards are subject to either a performance-based or market-based vesting requirement. For performance-based vesting, the grant-date fair value of the award, based on fair value of the Company's common stock, is recognized over the service period based on an assessment of the likelihood that the applicable performance goals will be achieved, and compensation expense is periodically adjusted based on actual and expected performance. Compensation expense for performance unit awards with market-based vesting is calculated based on the estimated fair value as of the grant date utilizing a Monte Carlo simulation model and is recognized over the service period on a straight-line basis.

Equity Compensation Plan

The Equity Compensation Plan provides for the granting of incentive and nonqualified stock options, shares of common stock, stock appreciation rights and performance units to employees, directors and consultants of the Company. Stock options are exercisable over periods determined by the Compensation Committee, but for no longer than 10 years from the grant date. Through September 30, 2016, the Company's shareholders have approved increases in the number of shares reserved for issuance under the Equity Compensation Plan to 10,500,000, and have extended the term of the plan through 2024. As of September 30, 2016, there were 2,620,187 shares that remained available to be granted under the Equity Compensation Plan.

Stock Awards

Restricted Stock Awards and Units

The Company has issued restricted stock awards and units to employees and non-employees with vesting terms of one to six years. The fair value is equal to the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant for awards granted to employees and equal to the market price at the end of the reporting period for unvested non-employee awards or upon the date of vesting for vested non-employee awards. Expense for restricted stock awards and units is amortized ratably over the vesting period for the awards issued to employees and using a graded vesting method for the awards issued to non-employees.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company granted 137,720 shares of restricted stock awards and restricted stock units to employees and non-employees, which had a total fair value of \$8.5 million on the respective dates of grant, and will vest over three to five years from the date of grant, provided that the grantee is still an employee of the Company or is still providing services to the Company on the applicable vesting date.

For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded, as compensation charges related to all restricted stock awards and units to employees and non-employees, selling, general and administrative expense of \$1.9 million for both periods, research and development expense of \$376,000 and \$492,000, respectively, and cost of material sales of \$94,000 and \$4,600, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded, as compensation charges related to all restricted stock awards and units, selling, general and administrative expense of \$5.3 million and \$4.4 million, respectively, research and development expense of \$1.4 for both periods, and cost of material sales of \$352,000 and \$11,000, respectively.

In connection with the vesting of restricted stock awards and units during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, 83,553 and 98,635 shares, with aggregate fair values of \$4.4 million and \$4.2 million, respectively, were withheld in satisfaction of tax withholding obligations.

For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded as compensation charges related to all restricted stock units to non-employee members of the Scientific Advisory Board, research and development expense of \$10,000 and a credit of \$51,000, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015,

the Company recorded as compensation charges related to all restricted stock units to non-employee members of the Scientific Advisory Board, research and development expense of \$174,000 and \$223,000, respectively.

Board of Directors Compensation

The Company has granted restricted stock units to non-employee members of the Board of Directors with quarterly vesting over a period of approximately one year. The fair value is equal to the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant. The restricted stock units are issued and expense is recognized ratably over the vesting period. For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded compensation charges for services performed, related to all restricted stock units granted to non-employee members of the Board of Directors, selling, general and administrative expense of \$369,000 and \$210,000, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded compensation charges for services performed, related to all restricted stock units granted to non-employee members of the Board of Directors, selling, general and administrative expense of \$1.1

million and \$595,000, respectively. In connection with the vesting of the restricted stock, the Company issued 22,500 and 21,667 shares, during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, to non-employee members of the Board of Directors.

Performance Unit Awards

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016, the Company granted 25,045 performance units, of which 12,520 are subject to a performance-based vesting requirement and 12,525 are subject to a market-based vesting requirement and will vest over the terms described below. Total fair value of the performance unit awards granted was \$1.5 million on the date of grant.

Each performance unit award is subject to both a performance-vesting requirement (either performance-based or market-based) and a service-vesting requirement.

The performance-based vesting requirement is tied to the Company's cumulative revenue growth compared to the cumulative revenue growth of companies comprising the Nasdaq Electronics Components Index, as measured over a specific performance period. The market-based vesting requirement is tied to the Company's total shareholder return relative to the total shareholder return of companies comprising the Nasdaq Electronics Components Index, as measured over a specific performance period.

The maximum number of performance units that may vest based on performance is two times the shares granted. Further, if the Company's total shareholder return is negative, the performance units may not vest at all.

For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded general and administrative expense of \$281,000 and \$219,000, respectively, research and development expense of \$78,000 and \$58,000, respectively, and cost of material sales of \$29,000 and none, respectively, related to performance units. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded general and administrative expense of \$836,000 and \$723,000, respectively, research and development expense of \$232,000 and \$200,000, and cost of material sales of \$85,000 and none, respectively, related to performance units.

In connection with the vesting of performance units during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, none and 16,071 shares with an aggregate fair value of none and \$752,000, respectively, were withheld in satisfaction of tax withholding obligations.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

On April 7, 2009, the Board of Directors of the Company adopted an Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). The ESPP was approved by the Company's shareholders and became effective on June 25, 2009. The Company has reserved 1,000,000 shares of common stock for issuance under the ESPP. Unless sooner terminated by the Board of Directors, the ESPP will expire when all reserved shares have been issued.

Eligible employees may elect to contribute to the ESPP through payroll deductions during consecutive three-month purchase periods. Each employee who elects to participate will be deemed to have been granted an option to purchase shares of the Company's common stock on the first day of the purchase period. Unless the employee opts out during the purchase period, the option will automatically be exercised on the last day of the period, which is the purchase date, based on the employee's accumulated contributions to the ESPP. The purchase price will equal 85% of the lesser of the closing price per share of common stock on the first day of the period or the last business day of the period.

Employees may allocate up to 10% of their base compensation to purchase shares of common stock under the ESPP; however, each employee may purchase no more than 12,500 shares on a given purchase date, and no employee may purchase more than \$25,000 of common stock under the ESPP during a given calendar year.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company issued 6,808 and 9,044 shares, respectively, of its common stock under the ESPP, resulting in proceeds of \$318,000 and \$263,000, respectively.

For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded charges of \$10,000 and \$9,000, respectively, to selling, general and administrative expense, \$17,000 and \$12,000, respectively, to research and development expense, and \$4,000 and \$2,000, to cost of material sales, respectively, related to the ESPP equal to the amount of the discount and the value of the look-back feature.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the Company recorded charges of \$31,000 and \$26,000, respectively, to selling, general and administrative expense, \$51,000 and \$39,000, respectively, to research and development expense, and \$12,000 and \$6,000, to cost of material sales, related to the ESPP equal to the amount of the discount and the value of the look-back feature.

13. SUPPLEMENTAL EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLAN:

On March 18, 2010, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors of the Company approved and adopted the Universal Display Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP), effective as of April 1, 2010. On March 3, 2015, the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors amended the SERP to include salary and bonus as part of the plan. Prior to this amendment, the SERP benefit did not take into account any bonuses. This change increased the liability related to the SERP. The purpose of the SERP, which is unfunded, is to provide certain of the Company's key employees with supplemental retirement benefits following a cessation of their employment and to encourage their continued employment with the Company. As of September 30, 2016 there were six participants in the SERP.

The Company records amounts relating to the SERP based on calculations that incorporate various actuarial and other assumptions, including discount rates, rate of compensation increases, retirement dates and life expectancies. The net periodic costs are recognized as employees render the services necessary to earn the SERP benefits.

The components of net periodic pension cost were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------|---------------------------------------|---------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Service cost | \$ 354 | \$ 319 | \$1,062 | \$867 |
| Interest cost | 219 | 166 | 656 | 452 |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 415 | 436 | 1,245 | 1,114 |
| Amortization of loss | 3 | — | 11 | — |
| Total net periodic benefit cost | \$ 991 | \$ 921 | \$2,974 | \$2,433 |

14. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES:

Commitments

Under the 2006 Research Agreement with USC, the Company is obligated to make certain payments to USC based on work performed by USC under that agreement, and by Michigan under its subcontractor agreement with USC. See Note 7 for further explanation.

Under the terms of the 1997 Amended License Agreement, the Company is required to make minimum royalty payments to Princeton. See Note 7 for further explanation.

The Company has agreements with seven executive officers which provide for certain cash and other benefits upon termination of employment of the officer in connection with a change in control of the Company. If the executive's employment is terminated in connection with the change in control, the executive is entitled to a lump-sum cash payment equal to two times the sum of the average annual base salary and bonus of the officer and immediate vesting of all stock options and other equity awards that may be outstanding at the date of the change in control, among other items.

In order to manage manufacturing lead times and help ensure adequate material supply, the Company entered into a New OLED Materials Agreement (see Note 9) that will allow PPG Industries to procure and produce inventory based upon criteria as defined by the Company. These purchase commitments consist of firm, noncancelable and unconditional commitments. In certain instances, this agreement allows the Company the option to reschedule and adjust the Company's requirements based on its business needs prior to firm orders being placed. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the Company had purchase commitments for inventory of \$5.7 million and \$9.0 million, respectively.

Patent Related Challenges and Oppositions

Each major jurisdiction in the world that issues patents provides both third parties and applicants an opportunity to seek a further review of an issued patent. The process for requesting and considering such reviews is specific to the jurisdiction that issued the patent in question, and generally does not provide for claims of monetary damages or a review of specific claims of infringement. The conclusions made by the reviewing administrative bodies tend to be appealable and generally are limited in scope and applicability to the specific claims and jurisdiction in question.

The Company believes that opposition proceedings are frequently commenced in the ordinary course of business by third parties who may believe that one or more claims in a patent do not comply with the technical or legal requirements of the specific jurisdiction in which the patent was issued. The Company views these proceedings as reflective of its goal of obtaining the broadest legally permissible patent coverage permitted in each jurisdiction. Once a proceeding is initiated, as a general matter, the issued patent continues to be presumed valid until the jurisdiction's applicable administrative body issues a final non-appealable decision. Depending on the jurisdiction, the outcome of these proceedings could include affirmation, denial or modification of some or all of the originally issued claims. The Company believes that as OLED technology becomes more established and its patent portfolio increases in size, so will the number of these proceedings.

Below are summaries of certain active proceedings that have been commenced against issued patents that are either exclusively licensed to the Company or which are now assigned to the Company. The Company does not believe that the confirmation, loss or modification of the Company's rights in any individual claim or set of claims that are the subject of the following legal proceedings would have a material impact on the Company's materials sales or licensing business or on the Company's consolidated financial statements, including its consolidated statements of income, as a whole. However, as noted within the descriptions, some of the following proceedings involve issued patents that relate to the Company's fundamental phosphorescent OLED technologies and the Company intends to vigorously defend against claims that, in the Company's opinion, seek to restrict or reduce the scope of the originally issued claim, which may require the expenditure of significant amounts of the Company's resources. In certain circumstances, when permitted, the Company may also utilize the proceedings to request modification of the claims to better distinguish the patented invention from any newly identified prior art and/or improve the claim scope of the patent relative to commercially important categories of the invention. The entries marked with an "*" relate to the Company's UniversalPHOLED phosphorescent OLED technology, some of which may be commercialized by the Company.

Opposition to European Patent No. 1394870*

On April 20, 2010, Merck Patent GmbH; BASF Schweitz AG of Basel, Switzerland; Osram GmbH of Munich, Germany; Siemens Aktiengesellschaft of Munich, Germany; and Koninklijke Philips Electronics N.V., of Eindhoven, The Netherlands filed Notices of Opposition to European Patent No.1394870 (the EP '870 patent). The EP '870 patent, which was issued on July 22, 2009, is a European counterpart patent, in part, to U.S. patents 6,303,238; 6,579,632; 6,872,477; 7,279,235; 7,279,237; 7,488,542; 7,563,519; and 7,901,795; and to pending U.S. patent application 13/035,051, filed on February 25, 2011 (hereinafter the "U.S. '238 Patent Family"). They are exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

An Oral Hearing was held before an EPO panel of first instance in Munich, Germany, on April 8-9, 2014. The panel rejected the original claims and amended the claims to comply with EPO requirements by more narrowly defining the scope of the claims. The '870 patent, in its amended form, was held by the panel to comply with the EPO requirements.

The Company believes the EPO's decision relating to the broad original claims is erroneous and has appealed the ruling to reinstate a broader set of claims. This patent, as originally granted by the EPO, is deemed valid during the

pendency of the appeals process.

At this time, based on the Company's current knowledge, the Company believes that the patent being challenged should be declared valid and that all or a significant portion of the Company's claims should be upheld. However, the Company cannot make any assurances of this result.

Invalidation Trial in Japan for Japan Patent No. 4511024*

On June 16, 2011, the Company learned that a Request for an Invalidation Trial was filed in Japan by Semiconductor Energy Laboratory, Co., Ltd. for its Japanese Patent No. JP-4511024 (the JP '024 patent), which issued on May 14, 2010. The JP '024 patent is a counterpart patent, in part, to the U.S. '238 Patent Family, which relate to the EP '870 patent, which is subject to one of the above-noted European oppositions and which relates to the Company's UniversalPHOLED phosphorescent OLED technology. They are exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

On May 10, 2012, the Company learned that the JPO issued a decision upholding the validity of certain claimed inventions in the JP '024 Patent but invalidating the broadest claims in the patent. The Company appealed the JPO's decision to the Japanese IP High Court. On October 31, 2013, the Japanese IP High Court ruled that the prior art references relied on by the JPO did not support the JPO's findings, reversed the JPO's decision with respect to the previously invalidated broad claims in the JP '024 patent and remanded the matter back to the JPO for further consideration consistent with its decision. The JPO subsequently issued a decision upholding the validity of certain claimed inventions in the JP '024 Patent but invalidating the broadest claims in the patent. The Company appealed the decision to reinstate a broader set of claims but the IP High Court declined to reinstate the broader set of claims. The Company appealed the IP High Court's decision to the Japanese Supreme Court for reconsideration of the legal basis of the IP High Court's decision. This patent, as originally granted by the JPO, is deemed valid during the pendency of the appeals process.

Although the Company cannot provide any assurances as to the possible outcome of future appellate proceedings which the Company may elect to pursue, if any, the patent is expected to be maintained at least with respect to the narrower set of claims which were not the subject of the IP High Court's invalidation ruling.

Opposition to European Patent No. 1390962

On November 16, 2011, Osram AG and BASF SE each filed a Notice of Opposition to European Patent No. 1390962 (EP '962 patent), which relates to the Company's white phosphorescent OLED technology. The EP '962 patent, which was issued on February 16, 2011, is a European counterpart patent to U.S. patents 7,009,338 and 7,285,907. They are exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

The EPO combined the oppositions into a single opposition proceeding, and a hearing on this matter was held in December 2015, wherein the EPO Opposition Division revoked the patent claims for alleged insufficiencies under EPC Article 83. The Company believes the EPO's decision relating to the original claims is erroneous, and has appealed the decision. The patent, as originally granted, is deemed valid during the pendency of the appeals process.

At this time, based on its current knowledge, the Company believes that the patent being challenged should be declared valid, and that all or a significant portion of the Company's claims should be upheld. However, the Company cannot make any assurances of this result.

Opposition to European Patent No. 1933395*

On February 24 and 27, 2012, Sumitomo, Merck Patent GmbH and BASF SE filed oppositions to the Company's European Patent No. 1933395 (the EP '395 patent). The EP '395 patent is a counterpart to the EP '637 patent, and, in part, to U.S. Patents 7,001,536, 6,902,830, and 6,830,828, and to JP patents 4358168 and 4357781. This patent is exclusively licensed to the Company by Princeton, and the Company is required to pay all legal costs and fees associated with this proceeding.

At an Oral Hearing on October 14, 2013, the EPO panel issued a decision that affirmed the basic invention and broad patent coverage in the EP '395 patent, but narrowed the scope of the original claims.

On February 26, 2014, the Company appealed the ruling to reinstate a broader set of claims. The patent, as originally granted by the EPO, is deemed to be valid during the pendency of an appeals process. Two of the three opponents also filed their own appeals of the ruling. In January 2015, Sumitomo withdrew its opposition of the '395 patent, and the EPO accepted the withdrawal notice. The appeal proceedings were held in the second quarter of 2016. As a result of the proceedings, the board concluded the oral proceedings and proposed to reinstate a broader set of claims pending

the resolution of a remaining question of the applicable law, a question that the board has deferred to the Enlarged Board of Appeals for review. The originally-granted claims remain in force during the pendency of this process.

In addition to the above proceedings and now-concluded proceedings which have been referenced in prior filings, from time to time, the Company may have other proceedings that are pending which relate to patents the Company acquired as part of the Fujifilm patent or BASF OLED patent acquisitions or which relate to technologies that are not currently widely utilized in the marketplace.

15. CONCENTRATION OF RISK:

Included in technology development and support revenue in the accompanying statement of operations is \$32,000 and \$67,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$148,000 and \$110,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, of revenue which was derived from contracts with United States government agencies. Revenues derived from contracts with United States government agencies represented less than 1% of the consolidated revenue for all periods presented.

Revenues and accounts receivable from the Company's largest customers were as follows (in thousands):

| Customer | % of Revenues for the three months ended | | % of Revenues for the nine months ended | | Accounts Receivable as of September 30, 2016 |
|----------|--|------|---|------|--|
| | September 30, 2016 | 2015 | September 30, 2016 | 2015 | |
| A | 45% | 48% | 33% | 29% | \$ 10,262 |
| B | 36% | 39% | 57% | 56% | \$ 6,117 |
| C | 7% | 2% | 5% | 1% | \$ 3,235 |

Revenues from outside of North America represented approximately 95% and 99% of the consolidated revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and 98% and 99% of the consolidated revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Revenues by geographic area are as follows (in thousands):

| Country | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------|--------------------|------------|
| | September 30, 2016 | 2015 | September 30, 2016 | 2015 |
| South Korea | \$ 24,852 | \$ 34,514 | \$ 111,994 | \$ 110,547 |
| China | 2,095 | 843 | 5,663 | 1,523 |
| Japan | 1,230 | 3,274 | 3,334 | 13,931 |
| Other non-U.S. locations | 396 | 523 | 1,416 | 1,726 |
| Total non-U.S. locations | \$ 28,573 | \$ 39,154 | \$ 122,407 | \$ 127,727 |
| United States | 1,641 | 265 | 1,902 | 1,007 |
| Total revenue | \$ 30,214 | \$ 39,419 | \$ 124,309 | \$ 128,734 |

The Company attributes revenue to different geographic areas on the basis of the location of the customer.

Long-lived assets (net), by geographic area are as follows (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| United States | \$ 25,621 | \$ 22,187 |
| Other | 147 | 220 |

| | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total long-lived assets | \$ 25,768 | \$ 22,407 |
|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|

Substantially all chemical materials were purchased from one supplier. See Note 9.

16. INCOME TAXES:

The Company is subject to income taxes in both United States and foreign jurisdictions. The effective income tax rate for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 was a benefit of 40.1% and an expense of 33.0%, respectively. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016, an income tax benefit of \$1.0 million and an expense of \$11.0 million, respectively, was recorded primarily related to foreign tax withheld on royalty and license fees paid to the Company and federal income taxes.

The effective income tax rate was 17.9 % and 154.9%, respectively, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015. The Company's effective tax rate rose significantly due to an inventory write-down primarily related to UDC Ireland, which resulted in a loss for the full year 2015. Due to continued losses at UDC Ireland no tax benefit was recorded. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, an income tax expense of \$1.5 million and \$9.6 million, respectively, was recorded primarily related to foreign tax withheld on royalty and license fees paid to the Company and federal income taxes.

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent on the Company's ability

to generate future taxable income to obtain benefit from the reversal of temporary differences, net operating loss carryforwards and tax credits. As part of its assessment management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies. At this time there is no evidence to release the valuation allowances that relate to UDC Ireland, foreign tax credits and New Jersey research and development credits.

17. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE:

The Company computes earnings per share in accordance with ASC Topic 260, Earnings per Share ("ASC 260"), which requires earnings per share for each class of stock to be calculated using the two-class method. The two-class method is an allocation of income between the holders of common stock and the Company's participating security holders. Under the two-class method, income for the reporting period is allocated between common shareholders and other security holders based on their respective participation rights in undistributed income. Unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents are participating securities and, therefore, are included in computing earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method.

Basic net income per common share is computed by dividing net income allocated to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding for the period excluding unvested restricted stock units and performance units. Net income allocated to the holders of the Company's unvested restricted stock awards is calculated based on the shareholders proportionate share of weighted average shares of common stock outstanding on an if-converted basis. The effect of using the two-class method is immaterial to the consolidated financial statements and does not change the reported earnings per share figures and as such is not presented.

For purposes of determining diluted net income per common share, basic net income per share is further adjusted to include the effect of potential dilutive common shares outstanding, including stock options, restricted stock units and performance units, and the impact of shares to be issued under the ESPP.

The following table is a reconciliation of net income (loss) and the shares used in calculating basic and diluted net income (loss) per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands, except share and per share data):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | 2016 | 2015 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,500 |) \$ 7,047 | \$ 22,251 | \$ (3,410 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | | | | |
| – Basic | 46,947,621 | 46,542,556 | 46,889,913 | 46,241,578 |
| Effect of dilutive shares: | | | | |
| Common stock equivalents arising from stock | — | 90,398 | 5,948 | — |

options and ESPP

Restricted stock awards and units and performance

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| units | — | 90,419 | 119,401 | — |
| Weighted average common shares | | | | |
| outstanding – Diluted | 46,947,621 | 46,723,373 | 47,015,262 | 46,241,578 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.47 | \$ (0.07) |
| Diluted | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.15 | \$ 0.47 | \$ (0.07) |

For the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the combined effects of unvested restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance unit awards and stock options of 125,869 and 1,000, respectively, were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS as their impact would have been antidilutive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, the combined effects of unvested restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, performance units and stock options of none and 356,045, respectively, and the impact of shares to be issued under the ESPP, which was minor, were excluded from the calculation of diluted EPS as their impact would have been antidilutive.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes above.

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT

CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This discussion and analysis contains some "forward-looking statements." Forward-looking statements concern possible or assumed future results of operations, including descriptions of our business strategies and customer relationships. These statements often include words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "plan," "estimate," "seek," "will," "may," and similar expressions. These statements are based on assumptions that we have made in light of our experience in the industry, as well as our perceptions of historical trends, current conditions, expected future developments and other factors that we believe are appropriate in these circumstances.

As you read and consider this discussion and analysis, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. You should understand that these statements involve substantial risk and uncertainty and are not guarantees of future performance or results. They depend on many factors that are discussed further in the section entitled (Risk Factors) in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015, as supplemented by disclosures, if any, in Item 1A of Part II below. Changes or developments in any of these areas could affect our financial results or results of operations and could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated in the forward-looking statements.

All forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report or the documents incorporated by reference, as the case may be. We do not undertake any duty to update any of these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this report or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

OVERVIEW

We are a leader in the research, development and commercialization of organic light emitting diode, or OLED, technologies and materials for use in displays for mobile phones, televisions, tablets, wearables, portable media devices, notebook computers, personal computers and automotive interiors, as well as specialty and general lighting products. Since 1994, we have been exclusively engaged, and expect to continue to be primarily engaged, in funding and performing research and development activities relating to OLED technologies and materials, and commercializing these technologies and materials. We derive our revenue from the following:

- sales of OLED materials for evaluation, development and commercial manufacturing;
- intellectual property and technology licensing; and
- technology development and support, including government contract work and support provided to third parties for commercialization of their OLED products.

Material sales relate to our sale of OLED materials for incorporation into our customers' commercial OLED products or for their OLED development and evaluation activities. Material sales are recognized at the time title passes, which is typically at time of shipment or at time of delivery depending upon the contractual agreement between the parties.

We receive license and royalty payments under certain commercial, development and technology evaluation agreements, some of which are non-refundable advances. These payments may include royalty and license fees made pursuant to license agreements and also license fees included as part of certain commercial supply agreements. For arrangements with extended payment terms where the fee is not fixed or determinable, we recognize revenue when the

payment is due and payable. Royalty revenue and license fees included as part of commercial supply agreements are recognized when earned and the amount is fixed and determinable.

Currently, our most significant commercial license agreement, which runs through the end of 2017, is with Samsung Display Co., Ltd. (SDC) and covers the manufacture and sale of specified OLED display products. Under this agreement, we are being paid a license fee, payable in semi-annual installments over the agreement term of 6.4 years. The installments, which are due in the second and fourth quarter of each year, increase on an annual basis over the term of the agreement. The agreement conveys to SDC the non-exclusive right to use certain of our intellectual property assets for a limited period of time that is less than the estimated life of the assets. Ratable recognition of revenue is impacted by the agreement's extended increasing payment terms in light of our limited history with similar agreements. As a result, revenue is recognized at the lesser of the proportional performance approach (ratable) and the amount of due and

payable fees from SDC. Given the increasing contractual payment schedule, license fees under the agreement are recognized as revenue when they become due and payable, which is currently scheduled to be in the second and fourth quarter of each year.

At the same time we entered into the current patent license agreement with SDC, we also entered into a new supplemental material purchase agreement with SDC. Under the current supplemental material purchase agreement, SDC agrees to purchase from us a minimum dollar amount of phosphorescent emitter materials for use in the manufacture of licensed products. This minimum purchase commitment is subject to SDC's requirements for phosphorescent emitter materials and our ability to meet these requirements over the term of the supplemental agreement. The minimum purchase amounts increase on an annual basis over the term of the supplemental agreement. These amounts were determined through negotiation based on a number of factors, including, without limitation, estimates of SDC's OLED business growth as a percentage of published OLED market forecasts and SDC's projected minimum usage of red and green phosphorescent emitter materials over the term of the agreement.

In 2015, we entered into an OLED patent license agreement and an OLED commercial supply agreement with LG Display Co., Ltd. (LG Display), which were effective as of January 1, 2015 and superseded the existing 2007 commercial supply agreement between the parties. The new agreements have a term that is set to expire by the end of 2022. The patent license agreement provides LG Display a non-exclusive, royalty bearing portfolio license to make and sell OLED displays under the Company's patent portfolio. The patent license calls for license fees, prepaid royalties and running royalties on licensed products. The agreements include customary provisions relating to warranties, indemnities, confidentiality, assignability and business terms. The agreements provide for certain other minimum obligations relating to the volume of material sales anticipated over the life of the agreements as well as minimum royalty revenue to be generated under the patent license agreement. The Company expects to generate revenue under these agreements that are predominantly tied to LG Display's sales of OLED licensed products. The OLED commercial supply agreement provides for the sales of materials for use by LG Display, which may include phosphorescent dopants and host materials.

In the second quarter of 2016, we entered into and consummated the transactions contemplated by an IP Transfer Agreement with BASF SE (BASF). Under the IP Transfer Agreement, BASF sold to us certain of its rights, title and interest to its owned and co-owned intellectual property rights relating to the composition, development, manufacture and use of OLED materials, including OLED lighting and display stack technology, as well as certain tangible assets. The intellectual property includes knowhow and more than 500 issued and pending patents in the area of phosphorescent materials and technologies.

In the second quarter of 2016, we entered into an agreement to acquire Adesis, Inc. (Adesis), a privately held contract research organization with 43 employees specializing in organic and organometallic synthetic research, development, and commercialization. Adesis is a technology vendor to companies in the pharmaceutical, fine chemical, biomaterials, and catalyst industries, and has worked with us over the last few years to help advance and accelerate a number of our product offerings. The transaction closed on July 11, 2016. Under the terms of the agreement, our subsidiary, UDC, Inc., acquired all outstanding shares of Adesis in a merger for \$33.7 million in cash, and up to an additional \$2.4 million in cash contingent upon Adesis' achievement of certain milestones within two years of acquisition. The acquisition was funded through use of existing cash and investments.

Technology development and support revenue is revenue earned from our contract research activities at Adesis, as well as from government contracts, development and technology evaluation agreements and commercialization assistance activities. Revenues are recognized proportionally as research and development costs are incurred, or as defined milestones are achieved.

While we have made significant progress over the past few years developing and commercializing our family of OLED technologies (including our PHOLED, TOLED, FOLED technologies) and materials, and have generated net income over the past four years, we incurred significant losses prior to this period resulting in an accumulated deficit of \$51.4 million as of September 30, 2016.

We anticipate fluctuations in our annual and quarterly results of operations due to uncertainty regarding, among other factors:

- the timing, cost and volume of sales of our OLED materials;
- the timing of our receipt of license fees and royalties, as well as fees for future technology development and evaluation;
- the timing and magnitude of expenditures we may incur in connection with our ongoing research and development and patent-related activities; and
- the timing and financial consequences of our formation of new business relationships and alliances.

24

2015 inventory write-down

As previously discussed, during 2015 the Company experienced a faster-than-anticipated decline in host material sales, which we believe was a result of our customer's selling new products that did not include our host materials. Based on our sales forecast, we anticipated significantly lower sales of our existing host material. As such, a write-down in net realizable value of our inventory was required as of June 30, 2015.

The following table sets forth non-GAAP measures that reflect the amount of the inventory write-down, with reconciliations to the most directly comparable GAAP measures:

| (unaudited, in thousands, except share and per share data) | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | | |
|--|--------------------|----------|--------------------|------------|---|
| | September 30, 2016 | 2015 | September 30, 2016 | 2015 | |
| Cost of commercial material sales reconciliation | | | | | |
| Cost of commercial material sales | \$4,097 | \$6,989 | \$12,276 | \$54,502 | |
| Cost of commercial material sales adjustments: | | | | | |
| Inventory write-down | — | — | — | 33,000 | |
| Adjusted cost of commercial material sales | \$4,097 | \$6,989 | \$12,276 | \$21,502 | |
| Cost of commercial material sales as a % of commercial | | | | | |
| material sales | 21 | % 23 | % 20 | % 70 | % |
| Adjusted cost of commercial material sales as a % of | | | | | |
| commercial material sales | 21 | % 23 | % 20 | % 28 | % |
| Operating income (loss) reconciliation | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | \$(3,003) | \$8,378 | \$33,654 | \$5,310 | |
| Operating income (loss) adjustments: | | | | | |
| Inventory write-down | — | — | — | 33,000 | |
| Adjusted operating income | \$(3,003) | \$8,378 | \$33,654 | \$38,310 | |
| Operating income (loss) as a % of total revenue | (10)% | 21 | % 27 | % 4 | % |
| Adjusted operating income as a % of total revenue | (10)% | 21 | % 27 | % 30 | % |
| Net income (loss) reconciliation | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$(1,500) | \$7,047 | \$22,251 | \$(3,410) | |
| Net income (loss) per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$(0.03) | \$0.15 | \$0.47 | \$(0.07) | |
| Diluted | \$(0.03) | \$0.15 | \$0.47 | \$(0.07) | |
| Net income (loss) adjustments: | | | | | |
| Inventory write-down | — | — | — | 33,000 | |
| Income tax effect of inventory write-down* | — | (1,104) | — | (2,964) | |
| Adjusted net income | \$(1,500) | \$5,943 | \$22,251 | \$26,626 | |
| Net income (loss) as a % of total revenue | (5)% | 18 | % 18 | % (3)% | |
| Adjusted net income as a % of total revenue | (5)% | 15 | % 18 | % 21 | % |
| Adjusted net income per share: | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|--|------------|---|------------|------------|------------|
| Basic ** | \$(0.03 |) | \$0.13 | \$0.47 | \$0.58 |
| Diluted *** | \$(0.03 |) | \$0.13 | \$0.47 | \$0.57 |
| Weighted average shares used in computing net income | | | | | |
| (loss) per share and adjusted net income per share: | | | | | |
| Basic | 46,947,621 | | 46,542,556 | 46,889,913 | 46,241,578 |
| Diluted | 46,947,621 | | 46,723,373 | 47,015,262 | 46,241,578 |

* Adjusted net income assumes an effective tax rate of 30.8% and 32.1% for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2015 based on excluding the impact of the inventory write down.

** Adjusted net income per common share, basic, is derived from dividing adjusted net income by the number of weighted average shares used in computing basic net income per common share.

*** Adjusted net income per common share, diluted, for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 is derived from dividing non-GAAP adjusted net income by the weighted average shares of 46,870,998.

Non-GAAP Measures

To supplement our selected financial data presented in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP), we use certain non-GAAP measures. These non-GAAP measures include adjusted operating income, adjusted cost of commercial material sales, adjusted net income, adjusted net income per common share, basic and adjusted net income per common share, diluted.

Each of these non-GAAP measures excludes the effect of the 2015 write-down of primarily existing host materials that were not included in our customer's new products. We have provided these non-GAAP measures, which we believe more accurately reflect the operating performance of our ongoing business, to enhance investors' overall understanding of our current financial performance and period-to-period comparisons. The presentation of non-GAAP measures is not intended to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for, or superior to, the financial information prepared and presented in accordance with GAAP.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Comparison of the Three Months Ended September 30, 2016 and 2015

We had an operating loss of \$3.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, compared to operating income of \$8.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in operating income was primarily due to the following:

- a decrease in material sales of \$10.7 million partially offset by a decrease in the cost of material sales of \$788,000; and
- an increase in operating expenses of \$2.2 million.

We had a net loss of \$1.5 million or \$0.03 per basic and diluted share for the three months ended September 30, 2016, compared to net income of \$7.0 million or \$0.15 per basic and diluted share for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in net income was primarily due to a decrease in operating income of \$11.4 million and an increase of \$2.7 million of amortization charges associated with the acquisition of the BASF patents and the Adesis business acquisition.

Revenue

The following table details our revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | (Decrease) | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|--------------|
| | September 30, 2016 | September 30, 2015 | Increase | % |
| REVENUE: | | | | |
| Material sales | \$ 23,465 | \$ 34,128 | \$(10,663) | (31)% |
| Royalty and license fees | 5,209 | 5,224 | (15) | (0)% |
| Technology development and support revenue | 1,540 | 67 | 1,473 | 2,199% |
| Total revenue | \$ 30,214 | \$ 39,419 | \$(9,205) | (23)% |

Total revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2016 decreased by \$9.2 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in revenue was the result of a decrease in commercial material sales partially

offset by an increase in technology development and support revenue.

Material sales

The following table details our revenues derived from material sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | (Decrease) | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|------------|-------|
| | September 30, 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Material Sales: | | | | |
| Commercial material sales | \$ 19,925 | \$ 30,220 | \$(10,295) | (34)% |
| Developmental material sales | 3,540 | 3,908 | (368) | (9)% |
| Total material sales | \$ 23,465 | \$ 34,128 | \$(10,663) | (31)% |

Commercial material sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016 decreased by \$10.3 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015, due to a decline in emitter and host material grams sold and average selling price.

Developmental material sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016 decreased by \$0.4 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in our development material sales was primarily due to a decrease in the number of grams sold.

Material sales included sales of both phosphorescent emitter and host materials which were comprised of the following for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Decrease | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------|-----------|-------------|-------|
| | September 30, 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Material Sales: | | | | |
| Phosphorescent emitter sales | \$ 23,087 | \$ 31,453 | \$(8,366) | (27)% |
| Host material sales | 378 | 2,675 | (2,297) | (86)% |
| Total material sales | \$ 23,465 | \$ 34,128 | \$(10,663) | (31)% |

Phosphorescent emitter sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016 decreased \$8.4 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015 primarily due to a decline in the number of phosphorescent emitter grams sold.

Host material sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016 decreased by \$2.3 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015. Based on our current sales forecast, we anticipate that sales of existing host material will continue to be minimal. Our customers are not required to purchase our host materials in order to utilize our phosphorescent emitter materials and the host material sales business continues to be more competitive than the phosphorescent emitter material sales business.

Royalty and license fees

Royalty and license fees were as follows for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Decrease | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|----------|----------|------|
| | September 30, 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Royalty and license fees | \$ 5,209 | \$ 5,224 | \$(15) | (0)% |

Royalty and license fees for the three months ended September 30, 2016 was unchanged compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015.

Technology development and support revenue

Technology development and support revenue were as follows for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Increase | |
|--|--------------------|-------|----------|--------|
| | September 30, | | \$ | % |
| | 2016 | 2015 | | |
| Technology development and support revenue | \$ 1,540 | \$ 67 | \$ 1,473 | 2,199% |

Technology development and support revenue is revenue earned from contract research activities, as well as development and technology evaluation agreements and commercialization assistance fees.

Technology development and support revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2016 increased by \$1.5 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015. The increase in technology and support revenue was related to contract research sales activities associated with Adesis.

Cost of material sales

Cost of commercial material sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | |
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Commercial material sales | \$ 19,925 | \$ 30,220 |
| Cost of commercial material sales | 4,097 | 6,989 |
| % of commercial material sales | 21 % | 23 % |

Cost of commercial material sales for the three months ended September 30, 2016 decreased by \$2.9 million compared to the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in the cost of our commercial material sales in 2016 was due to a decline in commercial material sales.

Commercial materials are materials that have been validated by us for use in commercial OLED products. Cost of commercial material sales includes the cost of producing materials that have been classified as commercial, shipping costs for such materials, and inventory write-downs, but excludes the cost of producing certain early development materials which are included in research and development expense. Depending on the amounts, timing and stage of materials being classified as commercial, we expect the cost of materials sales to fluctuate from quarter to quarter.

Research and development

Research and development expenses decreased to \$10.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$11.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in research and development expenses was primarily due to lower third-party contractor costs.

Selling, general and administrative

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased to \$8.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$7.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The increase in selling, general and administrative expenses was primarily due to incremental costs associated with the addition of Adesis activity.

Patent costs and amortization of acquired technology and other intangible assets

Patent costs and amortization of acquired technology and other intangible assets increased to \$7.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$4.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The increase was primarily due to higher amortization expense of \$2.7 million associated with the acquisitions of the BASF patent portfolio and intangible assets associated with the Adesis acquisition.

Royalty and license expense

Royalty and license expense decreased to \$815,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to \$1.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease was due to decreased royalties incurred under our amended license agreement with Princeton, USC, and Michigan, resulting from lower qualifying material

sales. See Note 7 in Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for further discussion.

Other (Expense) Income

Other expense was \$68,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2016, compared to other expense of \$16,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2015 and consisted primarily of net exchange losses and gains on foreign currency transactions.

Income taxes

An income tax benefit of \$1.0 million and an income tax expense of \$1.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, were recorded primarily related to foreign taxes on royalty and license fees paid to the Company and federal income taxes. The effective income tax rate was a benefit of 40.1% and an expense of 17.9% for the three months ended September 30, 2016, and 2015, respectively. In addition, our effective tax rate was adversely affected in 2015 due to an inventory write-down primarily related to UDC Ireland on which no tax benefit was recorded due to previous losses. For the three months ended September 30, 2015, absent the inventory write-down, income tax expense would have been \$2.6 million and the effective income tax rate would have been 30.8%.

Comparison of the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 to 2015

We had operating income of \$33.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, as compared to operating income of \$5.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase in operating income was primarily due to the following:

- a decrease in operating expenses of \$32.8 million, which includes a \$33.0 million write-down of inventory in the nine months ended September 30, 2015; partially offset by
- a decrease in revenue of \$4.4 million, which reflects a decrease in material sales of \$15.2 million, partially offset by an increase in royalty and license fees of \$9.2 million and an increase in technology development and support revenue of \$1.5 million.

We had net income of \$22.3 million (or \$0.47 per basic and diluted share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2016, compared to a net loss of \$3.4 million (or \$0.07 per basic and diluted share) for the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The increase in net income was primarily due to:

- an increase in operating income of \$28.3 million primarily due to a \$33.0 million write-down of inventory in the nine months ended September 30, 2015; partially offset by
- an increase in income tax expense of \$1.3 million.

Absent the inventory write-down and the associated \$3.0 million reduction of income tax expense, we had adjusted net income of \$26.6 million (or \$0.58 per share, basic and \$0.57 per share diluted) for the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Revenue

The following table details our revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended | | (Decrease) | |
|--|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|-------------|
| | September 30, 2016 | September 30, 2015 | Increase | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ | % |
| REVENUE: | | | | |
| Material sales | \$70,084 | \$85,270 | \$(15,186) | (18)% |
| Royalty and license fees | 52,569 | 43,332 | 9,237 | 21% |
| Technology development and support revenue | 1,656 | 132 | 1,524 | 1,155% |
| Total revenue | \$124,309 | \$128,734 | \$(4,425) | (3)% |

Total revenue for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 decreased by \$4.4 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2015. The decrease in revenue was the result of a decrease in material sales, partially offset by an increase in royalty and license fee revenue and technology development and support revenue.

29

Material sales

The following table details our revenues derived from material sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Decrease | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|-------|
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Material Sales: | | | | |
| Commercial material sales | \$62,766 | \$77,638 | \$(14,872) | (19)% |
| Developmental material sales | 7,318 | 7,632 | (314) | (4)% |
| Total material sales | \$70,084 | \$85,270 | \$(15,186) | (18)% |

Commercial material sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 decreased by \$14.9 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2015, primarily due to lower host sales of \$9.3 million and reduced average selling prices.

Developmental material sales for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 decreased by \$0.3 million compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

Material sales included sales of both phosphorescent emitter and host materials which were comprised of the following for the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015 (in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | Decrease | |
|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------|----------|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 | \$ | % |
| Material Sales: | | | | |
| Phosphorescent emitter sales | \$69,092 | \$75,000 | | |