

Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc.
Form 10-K
March 02, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

(Mark One)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2015

or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-35908

ARMADA HOFFLER PROPERTIES, INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

46-1214914
(IRS Employer)

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)

222 Central Park Avenue, Suite 2100
Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462
(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code (757) 366-4000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name Of Each Exchange On Which Registered |
|--|---|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share | New York Stock Exchange |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

As of June 30, 2015, the last business day of the registrant’s most recently completed second fiscal quarter, the aggregate market value of the registrant’s common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was approximately \$253.6 million, based on the closing sales price of \$9.99 per share as reported on the New York Stock Exchange. (For purposes of this calculation all of the registrant’s directors and executive officers are deemed affiliates of the registrant.)

As of February 29, 2016, the registrant had 30,076,359 shares of common stock outstanding.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Portions of the registrant’s Definitive Proxy Statement relating to its 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders are incorporated by reference into Part III of this report. The registrant expects to file its Definitive Proxy Statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2015.

Table of Contents

Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc.

Form 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015

Table of Contents

PART I

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 1. Business.</u> | 1 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors.</u> | 15 |
| <u>Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 2. Properties.</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 3. Legal Proceedings.</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.</u> | 45 |

PART II

| | |
|--|----|
| <u>Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.</u> | 45 |
| <u>Item 6. Selected Financial Data.</u> | 47 |
| <u>Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.</u> | 50 |
| <u>Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.</u> | 67 |
| <u>Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.</u> | 67 |
| <u>Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.</u> | 67 |
| <u>Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.</u> | 67 |
| <u>Item 9B. Other Information.</u> | 68 |

PART III

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.</u> | 69 |
| <u>Item 11. Executive Compensation.</u> | 69 |
| <u>Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.</u> | 69 |
| <u>Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.</u> | 69 |
| <u>Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.</u> | 69 |

PART IV

| | |
|---|----|
| <u>Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.</u> | 70 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 71 |

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this report. This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. We caution investors that any forward-looking statements presented in this report, or which management may make orally or in writing from time to time, are based on management's beliefs and assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used, the words "anticipate," "believe," "expect," "intend," "may," "might," "plan," "estimate," "project," "should," "will," "result" and similar expressions, which do not relate solely to historical matters intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions and are not guarantees of future performance, which may be affected by known and unknown risks, trends, uncertainties and factors that are beyond our control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. We caution you that while forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs when we make them, they are not guarantees of future performance and are impacted by actual events when they occur after we make such statements. We expressly disclaim any responsibility to update forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise, except as required by law. Accordingly, investors should use caution in relying on past forward-looking statements, which are based on results and trends at the time they are made, to anticipate future results or trends.

Forward-looking statements involve numerous risks and uncertainties and you should not rely on them as predictions of future events. Forward-looking statements depend on assumptions, data or methods which may be incorrect or imprecise and we may not be able to realize them. We do not guarantee that the transactions and events described will happen as described (or that they will happen at all). The following factors, among others, could cause actual results and future events to differ materially from those set forth or contemplated in the forward-looking statements:

- adverse economic or real estate developments, either nationally or in the markets in which our properties are located;
- our failure to develop the properties in our development pipeline successfully, on the anticipated timeline or at the anticipated costs;
- our failure to generate sufficient cash flows to service our outstanding indebtedness;
- defaults on, early terminations of or non-renewal of leases by tenants, including significant tenants;
- bankruptcy or insolvency of a significant tenant or a substantial number of smaller tenants;
- difficulties in identifying or completing development, acquisition or disposition opportunities;

- our failure to successfully operate developed and acquired properties;
- our failure to generate income in our general contracting and real estate services segment in amounts that we anticipate;
- fluctuations in interest rates and increased operating costs;
- our failure to obtain necessary outside financing on favorable terms or at all;
- our inability to extend the maturity of or refinance existing debt or comply with the financial covenants in the agreements that govern our existing debt;
- financial market fluctuations;
- risks that affect the general retail environment or the market for office properties or multifamily units;

Table of Contents

- the competitive environment in which we operate;

- decreased rental rates or increased vacancy rates;

- conflicts of interests with our officers and directors;
 - lack or insufficient amounts of insurance;

- environmental uncertainties and risks related to adverse weather conditions and natural disasters;

- other factors affecting the real estate industry generally;

- our failure to maintain our qualification as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) for U.S. federal income tax purposes;

- limitations imposed on our business and our ability to satisfy complex rules in order for us to maintain our qualification as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes; and
 - changes in governmental regulations or interpretations thereof, such as real estate and zoning laws and increases in real property tax rates and taxation of REITs.

While forward-looking statements reflect our good faith beliefs, they are not guarantees of future performance. We disclaim any obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statement to reflect changes in underlying assumptions or factors, of new information, data or methods, future events or other changes after the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, except as required by applicable law. You should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements that are based on information currently available to us or the third parties making the forward-looking statements. For a further discussion of these and other factors that could impact our future results, performance or transactions, see the risk factors described in Item 1A herein and in other documents that we file from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”).

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business.

Our Company

References to “we,” “our,” “us” and “our company” refer to Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, together with our consolidated subsidiaries, including Armada Hoffler, L.P., a Virginia limited partnership (the “Operating Partnership”), of which we are the sole general partner.

We are a full service real estate company with extensive experience developing, building, owning and managing high-quality, institutional-grade office, retail and multifamily properties in attractive markets throughout the Mid-Atlantic United States. In addition to the ownership of our operating property portfolio, we develop and build properties for our own account and through joint ventures between us and unaffiliated partners. We also provide general contracting services to third parties. Our construction and development experience includes mid- and high-rise office buildings, retail strip malls and retail power centers, multifamily apartment communities, hotels and conference centers, single- and multi-tenant industrial, distribution and manufacturing facilities, educational, medical and special purpose facilities, government projects, parking garages and mixed-use town centers. Our third-party construction contracts have included signature properties across the Mid-Atlantic region, such as the Inner Harbor East development in Baltimore, Maryland, including the Four Seasons Hotel and Legg Mason office tower, the Mandarin Oriental Hotel in Washington, D.C., and a \$50 million proton therapy institute for Hampton University in Hampton, Virginia. Our construction company historically has been ranked among the “Top 400 General Contractors” nationwide by Engineering News Record and has been ranked among the “Top 50 Retail Contractors” by Shopping Center World.

We were formed on October 12, 2012 under the laws of the State of Maryland and are headquartered in Virginia Beach, Virginia. We elected to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with the taxable year ended December 31, 2013. Substantially all of our assets are held by, and all of our operations are conducted through, our Operating Partnership. As of December 31, 2015, we owned, through a combination of direct and indirect interests, 65.6% of the units of limited partnership interest in our Operating Partnership (“OP Units”).

2015 Highlights

The following highlights our results of operations and significant transactions for the year ended December 31, 2015:

- Net income of \$31.2 million, or \$0.75 per diluted share, compared to \$12.8 million, or \$0.36 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2014.
- Funds from operations (“FFO”) of \$35.9 million, or \$0.87 per diluted share, compared to \$28.1 million, or \$0.80 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2014.
- Normalized FFO of \$38.7 million, or \$0.93 per diluted share, compared to \$28.6 million, or \$0.82 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2014.
- Property segment net operating income (“NOI”) of \$54.2 million compared to \$42.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014:
 - Office NOI of \$21.6 million compared to \$19.1 million
 - Retail NOI of \$23.2 million compared to \$16.8 million
 - Multifamily NOI of \$9.3 million compared to \$6.4 million
 - Same store NOI of \$40.2 million compared to \$39.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2014:
 - Office same store NOI of \$16.5 million compared to \$16.5 million

Table of Contents

- Retail same store NOI of \$16.8 million compared to \$16.0 million
- Multifamily same store NOI of \$6.9 million compared to \$6.5 million
- Core stabilized portfolio occupancy by segment as of December 31, 2015 compared to December 31, 2014:
 - Office occupancy at 95.8% compared to 95.2%
 - Retail occupancy at 95.5% compared to 96.4%
 - Multifamily occupancy at 94.2% compared to 95.7%
- Delivered four new development projects in Hampton Roads, Virginia – two office buildings for the Commonwealth of Virginia, the Oceaneering International build-to-suit building and Sandbridge Commons shopping center.
- Completed the dispositions of:
 - the Sentara Williamsburg medical office building for \$15.4 million at a gain of \$6.2 million
 - Whetstone Apartments for \$35.6 million at a gain of \$7.2 million
 - the Oceaneering International building for \$30.0 million at a gain of \$5.0 million
 - Agreed to sell the Richmond Tower office building for \$78.0 million, which closed on January 8, 2016.
- Completed the acquisitions of:
 - Perry Hall Marketplace in Perry Hall, Maryland and Stone House Square in Hagerstown, Maryland for total consideration of \$39.8 million
 - Socastee Commons in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina for total consideration of \$8.7 million

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

- Columbus Village in Virginia Beach, Virginia for total consideration of \$19.2 million
- Providence Plaza in Charlotte, North Carolina for \$26.2 million of cash
- Agreed to acquire a \$170.5 million retail portfolio totaling 1.1 million square feet across 11 properties, which closed on January 14, 2016.
- Agreed to invest up to \$23.0 million in the new Point Street Apartments project in the Harbor Point area of Baltimore, Maryland with options to acquire a controlling interest upon the project's completion.
- General contracting and real estate services segment gross profit of \$5.9 million compared to \$4.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014.
- Executed \$95.4 million of new third-party construction contract work.
- Third-party construction backlog of \$83.4 million as of December 31, 2015.
- Closed on a new \$200.0 million senior unsecured credit facility, comprised of a \$150.0 million revolving credit facility and a \$50.0 million term loan.
- Raised \$35.1 million of net proceeds through an underwritten public offering of common stock at \$10.70 per share on December 9, 2015.

Table of Contents

- Raised \$10.9 million of net proceeds at a weighted average price of \$10.26 per share under our at-the-market continuous equity offering program.
- Cash from operating activities of \$33.1 million, or \$0.80 per diluted share, compared to \$31.4 million, or \$0.89 per diluted share, for the year ended December 31, 2014.
- Declared cash dividends of \$0.68 per share compared to \$0.64 per share for the year ended December 31, 2014.

For definitions and discussion of FFO, NOI and same store NOI, see the sections below entitled “Item 6. Selected Financial Data” and “Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.”

Our Competitive Strengths

We believe that we distinguish ourselves from other REITs through the following competitive strengths:

- **High-Quality, Diversified Portfolio.** Our portfolio consists of institutional-grade, premier office, retail and multifamily properties located primarily in Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina and South Carolina. Our properties are generally in the top tier of commercial properties in their markets and offer Class-A amenities and finishes.
- **Seasoned, Committed and Aligned Senior Management Team with a Proven Track Record.** Our senior management team has extensive experience developing, constructing, owning, operating, renovating and financing institutional-grade office, retail, multifamily and hotel properties in the Mid-Atlantic region. As of December 31, 2015, our executive officers and directors collectively owned approximately 21% of our company on a fully diluted basis, which we believe aligns their interests with those of our stockholders.
- **Strategic Focus on Attractive Mid-Atlantic and Southeastern Markets.** We focus our activities in our target markets in the Mid-Atlantic and Southeastern regions of the United States that demonstrate attractive fundamentals driven by favorable supply and demand characteristics and limited competition from other large, well-capitalized operators. We believe that our longstanding presence in our target markets provides us with significant advantages in sourcing and executing development opportunities, identifying and mitigating potential risks and negotiating attractive pricing.
- **Extensive Experience with Construction and Development.** Our platform consists of development, construction and asset management capabilities, which comprise an integrated delivery system for every project that we build for our

own account or for third-party clients. This integrated approach provides a single source of accountability for design and construction, simplifies coordination and communication among the relevant stakeholders in each project and provides us valuable insight from an operational perspective. We believe that being regularly engaged in construction and development projects provides us significant and distinct advantages, including enhanced market intelligence, greater insight into best practices, enhanced operating leverage and “first look” access to development and ownership opportunities in our target markets.

- Longstanding Public and Private Relationships. We have extensive experience with public/private real estate development projects dating back to 1984, having worked with the Commonwealth of Virginia, the State of Georgia and the Kingdom of Sweden, as well as various municipalities. Through our experience and longstanding relationships with governmental entities such as these, we have learned to successfully navigate the often complex and time-consuming government approval process, which has given us the ability to capture opportunities that we believe many of our competitors are unable to pursue.

Our Business and Growth Strategies

Our primary business objectives are to: (i) continue to develop, build and own institutional-grade office, retail and multifamily properties in our target markets, (ii) finance and operate our portfolio in a manner that increases cash flow and property values, (iii) execute new third-party construction work with consistent operating margins and (iv) pursue

Table of Contents

selective acquisition opportunities, particularly when the acquisition involves a significant redevelopment aspect. We will seek to achieve our objectives through the following strategies:

- Pursue a Disciplined, Opportunistic Development and Acquisition Strategy Focused on Office, Retail and Multifamily Properties. We intend to grow our asset base through continued strategic development of office, retail and multifamily properties, and the selective acquisition of high-quality properties that are well-located in their submarkets. Furthermore, we believe our construction and development expertise provides a high level of quality control while ensuring that the projects we construct and develop are completed more quickly and at a lower cost than if we engaged a third-party general contractor.

- Pursue New, and Expand Existing, Public/Private Relationships. We intend to leverage our extensive experience in completing large, complex, mixed-use, public/private projects to establish relationships with new public partners while expanding our relationships with existing public partners.

- Leverage our Construction and Development Platform to Attract Additional Third-Party Clients. We believe that we have a unique advantage over many of our competitors due to our integrated construction and development business that provides expertise, oversight and a broad array of client-focused services. We intend to continue to conduct and grow our construction business and other third-party services by pursuing new clients and expanding our relationships with existing clients.

- Engage in Disciplined Capital Recycling. We intend to opportunistically divest properties when we believe returns have been maximized and to redeploy the capital into new development, acquisition, repositioning or redevelopment projects that are expected to generate higher potential risk-adjusted returns.

Table of Contents

Our Properties

As of December 31, 2015, our operating property portfolio comprised the following:

| Property | Location | Year Built | Net Rentable Square Feet(1) | Occupancy(2) | ABR(3) | ABR per Leased SF(3) |
|--|--------------------|------------|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Office Properties 4525 Main Street Armada Hoffler Tower(4) | Virginia Beach, VA | 2014 | 237,893 | 57.8 | % \$ 3,833,278 | \$ 27.90 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia – Chesapeake Commonwealth of Virginia – Virginia Beach | Virginia Beach, VA | 2002 | 323,970 | 97.6 | 8,742,774 | 27.65 |
| One Columbus Oyster Point Richmond Tower(5) Two Columbus | Chesapeake, VA | 2015 | 36,227 | 100.0 | 645,927 | 17.83 |
| Total / Weighted Average | Virginia Beach, VA | 2015 | 11,139 | 100.0 | 245,058 | 22.00 |
| | Virginia Beach, VA | 1984 | 129,424 | 93.2 | 2,898,551 | 24.04 |
| | Newport News, VA | 1989 | 100,139 | 83.8 | 1,734,946 | 20.67 |
| | Richmond, VA | 2010 | 206,969 | 98.6 | 7,885,208 | 38.64 |
| | Virginia Beach, VA | 2009 | 108,448 | 97.5 | 2,830,859 | 26.77 |
| | | | 1,154,209 | 88.0 | % \$ 28,816,601 | \$ 28.38 |
| Retail Properties 249 Central Park Retail(6) Bermuda Crossroads Broad Creek Shopping Center Columbus Village Commerce Street Retail(7) Courthouse 7-Eleven | Virginia Beach, VA | 2004 | 91,366 | 89.7 | % \$ 2,291,649 | \$ 27.98 |
| | Chester, VA | 2001 | 111,566 | 91.3 | 1,450,214 | 14.23 |
| | Norfolk, VA | 1997-2001 | 227,659 | 98.8 | 3,169,973 | 14.09 |
| | Virginia Beach, VA | 1985 | 66,594 | 93.5 | 1,200,454 | 19.27 |
| | Virginia Beach, VA | 2008 | 19,173 | 100.0 | 788,234 | 41.11 |
| | Virginia Beach, VA | 2011 | 3,177 | 100.0 | 125,015 | 39.35 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-----------|-----------|-------|------|------------|----------|
| Dick's at Town Center | Virginia Beach, VA | 2002 | 103,335 | 100.0 | | 1,221,866 | 11.82 |
| Dimmock Square | Colonial Heights, VA | 1998 | 106,166 | 97.2 | | 1,723,682 | 16.71 |
| Fountain Plaza Retail | Virginia Beach, VA | 2004 | 35,961 | 100.0 | | 1,031,983 | 28.70 |
| Gainsborough Square | Chesapeake, VA | 1999 | 88,862 | 87.8 | | 1,183,308 | 15.16 |
| Greentree Shopping Center | Chesapeake, VA | 2014 | 15,751 | 85.7 | | 283,246 | 20.97 |
| Hanbury Village | Chesapeake, VA | 2009 | 61,049 | 92.8 | | 1,347,642 | 23.78 |
| Harrisonburg Regal | Harrisonburg, VA | 1999 | 49,000 | 100.0 | | 683,550 | 13.95 |
| North Point Center Parkway | Durham, NC | 1998 | 215,690 | 95.9 | | 2,526,028 | 12.21 |
| Marketplace Perry Hall | Virginia Beach, VA | 1998 | 37,804 | 100.0 | | 751,484 | 19.88 |
| Marketplace Providence | Perry Hall, MD | 2001 | 74,256 | 98.0 | | 1,166,761 | 16.04 |
| Plaza Sandbridge | Charlotte, NC | 2008 | 103,118 | 97.4 | | 2,491,308 | 24.79 |
| Commons Socastee | Virginia Beach, VA | 2015 | 16,156 | 79.3 | | 259,150 | 20.24 |
| Commons South Retail | Myrtle Beach, SC | 2000 | 57,573 | 100.0 | | 661,896 | 11.50 |
| Stone House Square | Virginia Beach, VA | 2002 | 38,515 | 100.0 | | 936,020 | 24.30 |
| Studio 56 Retail | Hagerstown, MD | 2008 | 108,693 | 90.4 | | 1,560,983 | 15.89 |
| Total / Weighted Average | Virginia Beach, VA | 2007 | 11,594 | 100.0 | | 373,360 | 32.20 |
| | | | 1,643,058 | 95.5 | % \$ | 27,227,808 | \$ 17.35 |
| Retail Properties Subject to Ground Lease | | | | | | | |
| Bermuda Crossroads(8) | Chester, VA | 2001 | 11,000 | 100.0 | % \$ | 163,350 | \$ 14.85 |
| Broad Creek Shopping Center(9) | Norfolk, VA | 1997-2001 | 24,818 | 100.0 | | 597,564 | 24.08 |
| Greentree Shopping Center | Chesapeake, VA | 2014 | 5,088 | 100.0 | | 230,004 | 45.21 |
| Hanbury Village(8) | Chesapeake, VA | 2009 | 55,586 | 100.0 | | 1,067,598 | 19.21 |
| | Durham, NC | 1998 | 280,556 | 100.0 | | 1,083,666 | 3.86 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------|---------|-------|--|----------------|---------|
| North Point Center(8) | | | | | | | |
| Sandbridge Commons | Virginia Beach, VA | 2015 | 53,288 | 100.0 | | 583,000 | 10.94 |
| Stone House Square | Hagerstown, MD | 2008 | 3,650 | 100.0 | | 165,000 | 45.21 |
| Tyre Neck Harris Teeter(9) | Portsmouth, VA | 2011 | 48,859 | 100.0 | | 508,134 | 10.40 |
| Total / Weighted Average | | | 482,845 | 100.0 | | % \$ 4,398,316 | \$ 9.11 |

| | | | Units | Occupancy(2) | | ABR(10) | ABR per Occupied SF(11) |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------|-------|--------------|---|---------------|-------------------------|
| Multifamily Properties | | | | | | | |
| Encore Apartments | Virginia Beach, VA | 2014 | 286 | 87.4 | % | \$ 3,707,184 | \$ 1.74 |
| Liberty Apartments(12) | Newport News, VA | 2013 | 197 | 94.2 | | 2,131,824 | 1.32 |
| Smith's Landing(13) | Blacksburg, VA | 2009 | 284 | 98.6 | | 3,539,076 | 1.11 |
| The Cosmopolitan(12) | Virginia Beach, VA | 2006 | 342 | 96.2 | | 6,230,016 | 1.64 |
| Total / Weighted Average | | | 1,109 | 94.2 | % | \$ 15,608,100 | \$ 1.45 |

Table of Contents

- (1) The net rentable square footage for each of our office properties is the sum of (a) the square footage of existing leases, plus (b) for available space, management's estimate of net rentable square footage based, in part, on past leases. The net rentable square footage included in office leases is generally consistent with the Building Owners and Managers Association, or BOMA, 1996 measurement guidelines. The net rentable square footage for each of our retail properties is the sum of (a) the square footage of existing leases, plus (b) for available space, the field verified square footage.
- (2) Occupancy for each of our office and retail properties is calculated as (a) square footage under executed leases as of December 31, 2015 divided by (b) net rentable square feet, expressed as a percentage. Occupancy for our multifamily properties is calculated as (a) total units occupied as of December 31, 2015 divided by (b) total units available, expressed as a percentage.
- (3) For the properties in our office and retail portfolios, annualized base rent, or ABR, is calculated by multiplying (a) base rental payments for executed leases as of December 31, 2015 (defined as cash base rents (before abatements) excluding tenant reimbursements for expenses paid by the landlord) by (b) 12. ABR per leased square foot is calculated by dividing (a) ABR by (b) square footage under executed leases as of December 31, 2015. In the case of triple net or modified gross leases, ABR does not include tenant reimbursements for real estate taxes, insurance, common area or other operating expenses.
- (4) As of December 31, 2015, the Company occupied 18,984 square feet at this property at an ABR of \$559,294, or \$29.46 per leased square foot, which amounts are reflected in the occupancy, ABR and ABR per leased square foot columns in the table. The rent paid by us is eliminated from our revenues in consolidation in accordance with GAAP. In addition, effective March 1, 2013, the Company subleases approximately 5,000 square feet of space from a tenant at this property.
- (5) Sold on January 8, 2016.
- (6) As of December 31, 2015, the Company occupied 8,995 square feet at this property at an ABR of \$295,900, or \$32.90 per leased square foot, which amounts are reflected in the occupancy, ABR and ABR per leased square foot columns in the table. The rent paid by us is eliminated from our revenues in consolidation in accordance with GAAP.
- (7) Includes \$32,760 of ABR pursuant to a rooftop lease.
- (8) The Company owns the land and the tenant owns the improvements thereto. The Company will succeed to the ownership of the improvements to the land upon the termination of the ground lease.
- (9) The Company leases the land underlying this property from the owner of the land pursuant to a ground lease. The Company re-leases the land to our tenant under a separate ground lease pursuant to which our tenant owns the improvements on the land.
- (10) For the properties in our multifamily portfolio, ABR is calculated by multiplying (a) base rental payments for the month ended December 31, 2015 by (b) 12.
- (11) ABR per occupied rentable square foot is calculated by dividing (a) ABR by (b) net rentable square footage of occupied units as of December 31, 2015.
- (12) ABR for Liberty Apartments and The Cosmopolitan excludes \$206,000 and \$912,000 of ABR from ground floor retail leases, respectively.
- (13) The Company leases the land underlying this property from the owner of the land pursuant to a ground lease.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize the scheduled expirations of leases in our office and retail operating property portfolios as of December 31, 2015. The information in the following tables does not assume the exercise of any renewal options.

Office Lease Expirations

| Year of Lease Expiration Available | Number of Leases Expiring | Square Footage of Leases Expiring | % Portfolio Net Rentable Square Feet | Annualized Base Rent | % of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent | Annualized Base Rent per Lease Square Foot |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Available | — | 138,936 | 12.0 % | \$ — | — % | \$ — |
| 2016 | 15 | 20,204 | 1.8 | 542,980 | 1.9 | 26.87 |
| 2017 | 8 | 70,966 | 6.1 | 1,715,975 | 6.0 | 24.18 |
| 2018 | 20 | 160,652 | 13.9 | 4,492,140 | 15.6 | 27.96 |
| 2019 | 16 | 103,761 | 9.0 | 2,484,581 | 8.6 | 23.95 |
| 2020 | 4 | 52,028 | 4.5 | 1,337,775 | 4.6 | 25.71 |
| 2021 | 6 | 52,009 | 4.5 | 1,257,492 | 4.4 | 24.18 |
| 2022 | 3 | 48,117 | 4.2 | 1,326,903 | 4.6 | 27.58 |
| 2023 | 5 | 53,560 | 4.6 | 1,284,542 | 4.5 | 23.98 |
| 2024 | 3 | 60,751 | 5.3 | 1,659,613 | 5.8 | 27.32 |
| 2025 | 4 | 43,292 | 3.8 | 1,264,013 | 4.4 | 29.20 |
| 2026 | 3 | 16,822 | 1.5 | 399,883 | 1.4 | 23.77 |
| Thereafter | 9 | 333,111 | 28.9 | 11,050,704 | 38.3 | 33.17 |
| Total / Weighted Average | 96 | 1,154,209 | 100.0 % | \$ 28,816,601 | 100.0 % | \$ 28.38 |

Retail Lease Expirations

| Year of Lease Expiration Available | Number of Leases Expiring | Square Footage of Leases Expiring | % Portfolio Net Rentable Square Feet | Annualized Base Rent | % of Retail Portfolio Annualized Base Rent | Annualized Base Rent per Lease Square Foot |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|--|--|
| Available | — | 73,318 | 4.5 % | \$ — | — % | \$ — |
| 2016 | 35 | 80,932 | 4.9 | 1,801,982 | 6.6 | 22.27 |
| 2017 | 27 | 136,361 | 8.3 | 2,010,077 | 7.4 | 14.74 |
| 2018 | 45 | 232,282 | 14.1 | 4,186,759 | 15.4 | 18.02 |
| 2019 | 32 | 352,718 | 21.5 | 5,311,612 | 19.5 | 15.06 |
| 2020 | 32 | 225,792 | 13.7 | 3,352,617 | 12.3 | 14.85 |
| 2021 | 13 | 145,268 | 8.8 | 2,329,277 | 8.6 | 16.03 |
| 2022 | 11 | 112,092 | 6.8 | 1,722,131 | 6.3 | 15.36 |
| 2023 | 8 | 70,386 | 4.3 | 1,798,522 | 6.6 | 25.55 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----|-----------|-------|-----------------|-------|------------|
| 2024 | 7 | 54,779 | 3.3 | 1,241,686 | 4.6 | 22.67 |
| 2025 | 11 | 48,178 | 2.9 | 1,363,419 | 5.0 | 28.30 |
| 2026 | 5 | 20,151 | 1.2 | 476,553 | 1.8 | 23.65 |
| Thereafter | 6 | 90,801 | 5.5 | 1,633,172 | 6.0 | 17.99 |
| Total / Weighted Average | 232 | 1,643,058 | 100.0 | % \$ 27,227,808 | 100.0 | % \$ 17.35 |

7

Table of Contents

Tenant Diversification

The following tables list the 10 tenants in each of our office and retail operating property portfolios with the greatest annualized base rent as of December 31, 2015 (\$ in thousands):

| Office Tenant | Annualized Base Rent | % of Office Portfolio Annualized Base Rent | % | % of Total Portfolio Annualized Base Rent | % |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Williams Mullen(1) | \$ 8,857 | 30.7 | % | 11.6 | % |
| Clark Nexsen | 2,438 | 8.5 | | 3.2 | |
| Cherry Bekaert | 977 | 3.4 | | 1.3 | |
| Hampton University | 973 | 3.4 | | 1.3 | |
| Commonwealth of Virginia | 891 | 3.1 | | 1.2 | |
| General Services Administration | 855 | 3.0 | | 1.1 | |
| Pender & Coward | 819 | 2.8 | | 1.1 | |
| Troutman Sanders | 806 | 2.8 | | 1.1 | |
| The Art Institute | 803 | 2.8 | | 1.1 | |
| Kimley-Horn | 703 | 2.4 | | 0.9 | |
| Top 10 Total | \$ 18,121 | 62.9 | % | 23.8 | % |

(1) Includes \$7.4 million of annualized base rent from the Richmond Tower office building that we sold on January 8, 2016.

| Retail Tenant | Annualized Base Rent | % of Retail Portfolio Annualized Base Rent | % | % of Total Portfolio Annualized Base Rent | % |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|--|---|---|---|
| Home Depot | \$ 2,190 | 6.9 | % | 2.9 | % |
| Harris Teeter | 1,505 | 4.8 | | 2.0 | |
| Food Lion | 1,283 | 4.1 | | 1.7 | |
| Dick's Sporting Goods | 840 | 2.7 | | 1.1 | |
| Weis Markets | 802 | 2.5 | | 1.1 | |
| Safeway | 798 | 2.5 | | 1.0 | |
| Regal Cinemas | 684 | 2.2 | | 0.9 | |
| PetSmart | 649 | 2.1 | | 0.9 | |
| Kroger | 553 | 1.7 | | 0.7 | |
| Yard House | 538 | 1.7 | | 0.7 | |
| Top 10 Total | \$ 9,842 | 31.1 | % | 12.9 | % |

Table of Contents

Development Pipeline

In addition to the properties in our operating property portfolio as of December 31, 2015, we had the following properties in various stages of development and stabilization. We generally consider a property to be stabilized when it reaches 80% occupancy or three years after acquisition or completion.

| | | (\$ in '000s) | | | Schedule(1) | | Stabilized | AHH | |
|--|------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|------|
| | Location | Estimated | Estimated | Incurred | Start | Initial | Operation | Ownership | Prop |
| | | Size(1) | Cost(1) | Cost | | Occupancy | (2) | % | |
| | Baltimore, MD | 157 units | \$ 68,000 | \$ 30,000 | 1Q15 | 3Q16 | 3Q16 | 80 % (3) | Mult |
| | Newport News, VA | 50,000 sf | 10,000 | 1,000 | 3Q15 | 3Q16 | 3Q17 | 65 % (3) | Offi |
| | Williamsburg, VA | 109,000 sf(4) | 24,000 | 16,000 | 3Q14 | 3Q16 | 2Q17 | 60 % (3) | Reta |
| | | | \$ 102,000 | \$ 47,000 | | | | | |

| | | (\$ in '000s) | | | Schedule | | Stabilized | AHH | |
|--|--------------------|---------------|------------|-----------|----------|-----------|------------|-----------|--|
| | Location | Estimated | Estimated | Incurred | Start | Initial | Operation | Ownership | |
| | | Size(1) | Cost(1) | Cost | | Occupancy | (1)(2) | % | |
| | Virginia Beach, VA | 239,000 sf | \$ 51,000 | \$ 45,000 | 1Q13 | 3Q14 | 2Q17 | 100% | |
| | | | \$ 153,000 | \$ 92,000 | | | | | |

- (1) Represents estimates that may change as the development process proceeds.
(2) Estimated first full quarter of stabilized operations.
(3) We are entitled to a preferred return on our equity prior to any distributions to minority partners.
(4) Includes space subject to ground lease.

Our execution on all of the projects identified in the preceding table are subject to, among other factors, regulatory approvals, financing availability and suitable market conditions.

Johns Hopkins Village will include student housing, retail space and parking located adjacent to Johns Hopkins University's Homewood campus in Baltimore, Maryland. This mixed-use development is designed to complement both the Homewood campus and nearby Charles Village neighborhood and provide a catalyst for future development

in the area. CVS has agreed to lease 10,500 square feet of ground floor retail space. We have agreed to a 65-year ground lease for the site and commenced construction during the first quarter of 2015. Approximately 55% of the apartment units were pre-leased as of December 31, 2015.

Brooks Crossing is our public-private partnership with the City of Newport News, Virginia designed to revitalize the east end of the city. We are currently projecting 50,000 square feet of mixed-use space and are in negotiations with a Fortune 500 office tenant to anchor the project.

Lightfoot Marketplace will be a grocery-anchored shopping center in Williamsburg, Virginia. Harris Teeter has signed a 20-year ground lease for a new 53,000 square foot store. Lightfoot Marketplace will include an additional 34,000 square feet of shops and restaurants as well as a 22,000 square foot build-to-suit building for Children's Hospital of the King's Daughters.

4525 Main Street is our most recent addition to the Town Center of Virginia Beach and is located at the intersection of Main Street and Town Center Drive across from The Cosmopolitan, One Columbus and Armada Hoffler Tower. This 15-story office tower is anchored by Clark Nexsen, an international architecture and engineering firm, to whom we delivered approximately 85,000 square feet of office space in July 2014. Additionally, we delivered to the City of Virginia Beach Development Authority approximately 23,000 square feet of office space in June 2014. 4525 Main Street also features approximately 26,000 square feet of ground floor retail space anchored by Anthropologie, West Elm and Tupelo Honey Cafe.

Point Street Apartments

On October 15, 2015, we agreed to invest up to \$23.0 million in the Point Street Apartments project in the Harbor Point area of Baltimore, Maryland. Point Street Apartments is an estimated \$93.0 million development project with plans for a 17-story building comprised of 289 residential units and 18,000 square feet of street-level retail space. Beatty Development Group ("BDG") is the developer of the project and has engaged us to serve as construction general contractor. Point Street Apartments is scheduled to open in 2017; however, we can provide no assurances that Point Street Apartments will open on the anticipated timeline or at the anticipated cost.

Table of Contents

BDG is responsible for securing a senior construction loan of up to \$70.0 million to fund the development and construction of Point Street Apartments. We have agreed to guarantee up to \$25.0 million of the senior construction loan in exchange for the option to purchase up to an 88% controlling interest in Point Street Apartments upon completion of the project as follows: (i) an option to purchase a 79% indirect interest in Point Street Apartments for \$27.3 million, exercisable within one year from the project's completion (the "First Option") and (ii) provided that we have exercised the First Option, an option to purchase an additional 9% indirect interest in Point Street Apartments for \$3.1 million, exercisable within 27 months from the project's completion (the "Second Option").

Our investment in the Point Street Apartments project is in the form of a loan under which BDG may borrow up to \$23.0 million (the "BDG loan"). Interest on the BDG loan accrues at 8.0% per annum and matures on the earlier of: (i) November 1, 2018, which may be extended by BDG under two one-year extension options, (ii) the maturity date or earlier termination of the senior construction loan or (iii) the date we exercise the Second Option as described further below.

In the event we exercise the First Option, BDG is required to simultaneously pay down the senior construction loan by \$7.4 million and the BDG loan by \$19.9 million, at which time the interest rate on the BDG loan will automatically be reduced to the interest rate on the senior construction loan plus 200 basis points. In the event we exercise the Second Option, BDG is required to simultaneously repay any remaining amounts outstanding under the BDG loan, with any excess proceeds received from the exercise of the Second Option applied against the senior construction loan. In the event we do not exercise either the First Option or the Second Option, the interest rate on the BDG loan will automatically be reduced to the interest rate on the senior construction loan for the remaining term of the BDG loan. In the event BDG is unable to secure a senior construction loan on or before June 30, 2016, the interest rate on the BDG loan will be reduced to one-month LIBOR plus 200 basis points.

As of December 31, 2015, we had funded \$7.8 million under the BDG loan and for the year ended December 31, 2015, we had earned \$0.1 million of interest income on the BDG loan.

One City Center

On February 25, 2016, we announced our joint venture with Austin Lawrence Partners to develop and construct One City Center in Durham, North Carolina. One City Center is a planned 27-story mixed-use project that is expected to include 130,000 square feet of office space, anchored by a 55,000 square foot lease with Duke University, along with 22,000 square feet of street-level retail space and 139 residential units. We are a minority partner in the joint venture and will serve as the project's general contractor. Our anticipated equity investment in the joint venture is approximately \$8.6 million. The project is scheduled to be completed in mid-2018.

Acquisitions and Dispositions

On January 5, 2015, we sold the Sentara Williamsburg medical office building for \$15.4 million in cash and used the net proceeds to partially fund our acquisition of Stone House Square. On April 8, 2015, we acquired Perry Hall Marketplace and Stone House Square, two grocery store anchored retail centers in Maryland, for \$35.4 million of cash and 415,500 shares of common stock.

On February 13, 2015, we agreed to the future sale of the Oyster Point office property for \$6.5 million in cash. We intend to complete the sale on January 15, 2017, subject to customary closing conditions.

On May 20, 2015, we sold Whetstone Apartments for \$35.6 million and used the net proceeds to partially fund our acquisitions of Socastee Commons and Providence Plaza. On July 1, 2015, we acquired Socastee Commons, a 57,000 square foot grocery store anchored retail center in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina for \$8.7 million, including the assumption of \$5.0 million of debt. On September 1, 2015, we acquired Providence Plaza, a mixed-use 103,000 square foot property in Charlotte, North Carolina for \$26.2 million of cash.

On July 10, 2015, we acquired Columbus Village, a 65,000 square foot retail center adjacent to the Town Center of Virginia Beach, Virginia in exchange for the assumption of \$8.8 million of debt, the issuance of 1,000,000 Class B units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (“Class B Units”) and the agreement to issue 275,000 Class C units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (Class C Units) on January 10, 2017. See Note

Table of Contents

5 and Note 10 to our consolidated and combined financial statements for additional information regarding the Class B Units and Class C Units.

On October 30, 2015, we sold the Oceaneering International build-to-suit building for \$30.0 million and on January 8, 2016, we sold the Richmond Tower office building for \$78.0 million. We used the net proceeds from the Oceaneering and Richmond Tower sales to partially fund our acquisition of a \$170.5 million retail portfolio totaling 1.1 million square feet across 11 assets located in the Mid-Atlantic and South-Central United States. We completed the retail portfolio acquisition on January 14, 2016. The name, location, size, occupancy and anchor tenants of each of the properties in the acquired retail portfolio as of the acquisition date were as follows:

| Property | Location | Square Feet | Occupancy | Anchor Tenants |
|----------------------|-------------------|-------------|-----------|--|
| Patterson Place | Durham, NC | 160,942 | 99 | % Bed Bath & Beyond, PetSmart, Total Wine & More, A.C. Moore |
| South Square | Durham, NC | 109,590 | 100 | % Ross Dress for Less, Petco, Office Depot |
| Wendover Village | Greensboro, NC | 135,758 | 100 | % Bed Bath & Beyond, Golfsmith, T.J. Maxx, Petco, Five Below |
| Alexander Pointe | Salisbury, NC | 57,710 | 100 | % Harris Teeter |
| Harper Hill Commons | Winston-Salem, NC | 96,914 | 79 | % Harris Teeter |
| North Hampton Market | Taylors, SC | 114,935 | 94 | % PetSmart, Hobby Lobby, Dollar Tree |
| Waynesboro Commons | Waynesboro, VA | 52,415 | 100 | % Kroger |
| Willowbrook Commons | Nashville, TN | 93,600 | 88 | % Kroger |
| Oakland Marketplace | Oakland, TN | 64,600 | 96 | % Kroger |
| Broadmoor Plaza | South Bend, IN | 115,059 | 94 | % Kroger, Staples, Jo-Ann Fabrics |
| Kroger Junction | Pasadena, TX | 81,158 | 78 | % Kroger |
| Total | | 1,082,681 | 94 | % |

Additional information regarding our real estate acquisition and disposition activity is set forth in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and in Note 5 to our consolidated and combined financial statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Segments

As of December 31, 2015, we operated in four business segments: (i) office real estate, (ii) retail real estate, (iii) multifamily residential real estate and (iv) general contracting and real estate services. Additional information regarding our four operating segments is set forth in “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and in Note 3 to our consolidated and combined financial statements in Item 8 of this

Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Tax Status

We have elected and qualified to be taxed as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2013. Our continued qualification as a REIT will depend upon our ability to meet, on a continuing basis, through actual investment and operating results, various complex requirements under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code") relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels and the diversity of ownership of our capital stock. We believe that we are organized in conformity with the requirements for qualification as a REIT under the Code and that our manner of operation will enable us to maintain the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In addition, we have elected to treat AHP Holding, Inc., which, through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, operate our construction, development and third-party asset management businesses, as a taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS").

As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on our net taxable income that we distribute currently to our stockholders. Under the Code, REITs are subject to numerous organizational and operational

Table of Contents

requirements, including a requirement that they distribute each year at least 90% of their REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the deduction for dividends paid and excluding any net capital gains. If we fail to qualify for taxation as a REIT in any taxable year and do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, our income for that year will be taxed at regular corporate rates, and we would be disqualified from taxation as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which we ceased to qualify as a REIT. Even if we qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we may still be subject to state and local taxes on our income and assets and to federal income and excise taxes on our undistributed income. Additionally, any income earned by our services company, and any other TRS we form in the future, will be fully subject to federal, state and local corporate income tax.

Insurance

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage, business interruption and rental loss insurance covering all of the properties in our portfolio under a blanket insurance policy, in addition to other coverage that may be appropriate for certain of our properties. We believe the policy specifications and insured limits are appropriate and adequate for our properties given the relative risk of loss, the cost of the coverage and industry practice; however, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover our losses. We do not carry insurance for certain losses, including, but not limited to, losses caused by riots or war. Some of our policies, like those covering losses due to terrorism and earthquakes, are insured subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments and policy limits that may not be sufficient to cover losses, for such events. In addition, all of the properties in our portfolio as of December 31, 2015 were located in Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina and South Carolina, which are areas subject to an increased risk of hurricanes. While we will carry hurricane insurance on certain of our properties, the amount of our hurricane insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover losses from hurricanes. We may reduce or discontinue hurricane, terrorism or other insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the cost of premiums for any of these policies exceeds, in our judgment, the value of the coverage discounted for the risk of loss. Also, if destroyed, we may not be able to rebuild certain of our properties due to current zoning and land use regulations. As a result, we may be required to incur significant costs in the event of adverse weather conditions and natural disasters. In addition, our title insurance policies may not insure for the current aggregate market value of our portfolio, and we do not intend to increase our title insurance coverage as the market value of our portfolio increases. If we or one or more of our tenants experiences a loss that is uninsured or that exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flows from those properties. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these properties were irreparably damaged. Furthermore, we may not be able to obtain adequate insurance coverage at reasonable costs in the future as the costs associated with property and casualty renewals may be higher than anticipated.

Regulation

General

Our properties are subject to various covenants, laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas and fire and safety requirements. We believe that each of the properties in our portfolio has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business.

Americans With Disabilities Act

Our properties must comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (the “ADA”), to the extent that such properties are “public accommodations” as defined by the ADA. Under the ADA, all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. Although we believe that the properties in our portfolio in the aggregate substantially comply with present requirements of the ADA, we have not conducted a comprehensive audit or investigation of all of our properties to determine our compliance, and we are aware that some particular properties may currently be in non-compliance with the ADA. Noncompliance with the ADA could result in the incurrence of additional costs to attain compliance, the imposition of fines, an award of damages to private litigants and a limitation on our ability to refinance outstanding indebtedness. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment, as a current or former owner or operator of real property, we may be liable for costs and damages resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, waste or petroleum products at, on, in, under, or migrating from such property, including costs to investigate and clean up such contamination and liability for harm to natural resources. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. These liabilities could be substantial and the cost of any required remediation, removal, fines, or other costs could exceed the value of the property and our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third-party liability for costs of remediation and personal or property damage or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop our properties or to borrow using the properties as collateral. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. Moreover, if contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures.

Some of our properties contain, have contained, or are adjacent to or near other properties that have contained or currently contain storage tanks for the storage of petroleum products, propane or other hazardous or toxic substances. Similarly, some of our properties were used in the past for commercial or industrial purposes, or are currently used for commercial purposes, that involve or involved the use of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances, or are adjacent to or near properties that have been or are used for similar commercial or industrial purposes. As a result, some of our properties have been or may be impacted by contamination arising from the releases of such hazardous substances or petroleum products. Where we have deemed appropriate, we have taken steps to address identified contamination or mitigate risks associated with such contamination; however, we are unable to ensure that further actions will not be necessary. As a result of the foregoing, we could potentially incur material liability.

Environmental laws also govern the presence, maintenance and removal of asbestos-containing building materials, or ACBM, and may impose fines and penalties for failure to comply with these requirements or expose us to third-party liability. Such laws require that owners or operators of buildings containing ACBM (and employers in such buildings) properly manage and maintain the asbestos, adequately notify or train those who may come into contact with asbestos, and undertake special precautions, including removal or other abatement, if asbestos would be disturbed during renovation or demolition of a building. In addition, the presence of ACBM in our properties may expose us to third-party liability (e.g. liability for personal injury associated with exposure to asbestos). We are not presently aware of any material adverse issues at our properties including ACBM.

Similarly, environmental laws govern the presence, maintenance and removal of lead-based paint in residential buildings, and may impose fines and penalties for failure to comply with these requirements. Such laws require, among other things, that owners or operators of residential facilities that contain or potentially contain lead-based paint notify residents of the presence or potential presence of lead-based paint prior to occupancy and prior to renovations and manage lead-based paint waste appropriately. In addition, the presence of lead-based paint in our

buildings may expose us to third-party liability (e.g., liability for personal injury associated with exposure to lead-based paint). We are not presently aware of any material adverse issues at our properties involving lead-based paint.

In addition, the properties in our portfolio also are subject to various federal, state, and local environmental and health and safety requirements, such as state and local fire requirements. Moreover, some of our tenants may handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations at our properties, which are subject to regulation. Such environmental and health and safety laws and regulations could subject us or our tenants to liability resulting from these activities. Environmental liabilities could affect a tenant's ability to make rental payments to us. In addition, changes in laws could increase the potential liability for noncompliance. Our leases sometimes require our tenants to comply with environmental and health and safety laws and regulations and to indemnify us for any related liabilities. But in the event of the bankruptcy or inability of any of our tenants to satisfy such obligations, we may be required to satisfy such obligations. In addition, we may be held directly liable for any such damages or claims regardless of whether we knew of, or were responsible for, the presence or disposal of hazardous or toxic substances or waste and irrespective of tenant lease provisions. The costs associated with such liability could be substantial and could have a material adverse effect on us.

Table of Contents

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants, employees of our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury occurs. We are not presently aware of any material adverse indoor air quality issues at our properties.

Competition

We compete with a number of developers, owners and operators of office, retail and multifamily real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same markets in which our properties are located and some of which have greater financial resources than we do. In operating and managing our portfolio, we compete for tenants based on a number of factors, including location, rental rates, security, flexibility and expertise to design space to meet prospective tenants' needs and the manner in which the property is operated, maintained and marketed. As leases at our properties expire, we may encounter significant competition to renew or re-lease space in light of the large number of competing properties within the markets in which we operate. As a result, we may be required to provide rent concessions or abatements, incur charges for tenant improvements and other inducements, including early termination rights or below-market renewal options, or we may not be able to timely lease vacant space.

We also face competition when pursuing development and acquisition opportunities. Our competitors may be able to pay higher property acquisition prices, may have private access to opportunities not available to us and otherwise be in a better position to acquire or develop a property. Competition may also have the effect of reducing the number of suitable development and acquisition opportunities available to us or increasing the price required to consummate a development or acquisition opportunity.

In addition, we face competition in our construction business from other construction companies in the markets in which we operate, including small local companies and large regional and national companies. In our construction business, we compete for construction projects based on several factors, including cost, reputation for quality and timeliness, access to machinery and equipment, access to and relationships with high-quality subcontractors, financial strength, knowledge of local markets and project management abilities. We believe that we compete favorably on the basis of the foregoing factors, and that our construction business is well-positioned to compete effectively in the markets in which we operate. However, some of the construction companies with which we compete have different cost structures and greater financial and other resources than we do, which may put them at an advantage when competing with us for construction projects. Competition from other construction companies may reduce the number of construction projects that we are hired to complete and increase pricing pressure, either of which could reduce the profitability of our construction business.

Employees

As of December 31, 2015, we had 139 employees. None of our employees are represented by a collective bargaining unit. We believe that our relationship with our employees is good.

Corporate Information

Our principal executive office is located at 222 Central Park Avenue, Suite 2100, Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462 in the Armada Hoffler Tower at the Town Center of Virginia Beach. In addition, we have construction offices located at 249 Central Park Avenue, Suite 300, Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462 and 1300 Thames Street, Suite 30, Baltimore, Maryland 21231. The telephone number for our principal executive office is (757) 366-4000. We maintain a website located at www.armadahoffler.com. The information on, or accessible through, our website is not incorporated into and does not constitute a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K or any other report or document we file with or furnish to the SEC.

Table of Contents

Available Information

We file our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and all amendments to those reports with the SEC. You may obtain copies of these documents by visiting the SEC's Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549, by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 or by accessing the SEC's website at www.sec.gov. In addition, as soon as reasonably practicable after such materials are furnished to the SEC, we make copies of these documents available to the public free of charge through our website or by contacting our Corporate Secretary at the address set forth above under "—Corporate Information."

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines, Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, and the charters of our audit committee, compensation committee and nominating and corporate governance committee are all available in the Corporate Governance section of the Investor Relations section of our website.

Financial Information

For required financial information related to our operations, please refer to our consolidated and combined financial statements, including the notes thereto, included with this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A.Risk Factors

Set forth below are the risks that we believe are material to our stockholders. You should carefully consider the following risks in evaluating our Company and our business. The occurrence of any of the following risks could materially adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the market price of shares of our common stock and our ability to, among other things, satisfy our debt service obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders, which in turn could cause our stockholders to lose all or a part of their investment. Some statements in this report including statements in the following risk factors constitute forward-looking statements. Please refer to the section entitled "Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" at the beginning of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Risks Related to Our Business

The geographic concentration of our portfolio could cause us to be more susceptible to adverse economic or regulatory developments in the markets in which our properties are located than if we owned a more geographically

diverse portfolio.

The majority of the properties in our portfolio are located in Virginia, which expose us to greater economic risks than if we owned a more geographically diverse portfolio. As of December 31, 2015, our properties in the Virginia market represented approximately 87% of the total annualized base rent of the properties in our portfolio. As a result, we are particularly susceptible to adverse economic, regulatory or other conditions in the Virginia market (such as periods of economic slowdown or recession, business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, relocations of businesses, increases in real estate and other taxes and the cost of complying with governmental regulations or increased regulation), as well as to natural disasters that occur in these markets (such as hurricanes and other events). For example, the markets in Virginia in which the properties in our portfolio are located contain high concentrations of military personnel and operations. A reduction of the military presence or cuts in defense spending in these markets could have a material adverse effect on us. If there is a downturn in the economy in Virginia, our operations and our revenue and cash available for distribution, including cash available to pay distributions to our stockholders, could be materially adversely affected. We cannot assure you that these markets will grow or that underlying real estate fundamentals will be favorable to owners and operators of office, retail or multifamily properties. Our operations may also be affected if competing properties are built in these markets. Moreover, submarkets within any of our target markets may be dependent upon a limited number of industries. Any adverse economic or real estate developments in our markets, or any decrease in demand for office, retail or multifamily space resulting from the regulatory environment, business climate or energy or fiscal problems, could materially adversely affect us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations.

Table of Contents

We have a substantial amount of indebtedness outstanding, which may expose us to the risk of default under our debt obligations and may include covenants that restrict our ability to pay distributions to our stockholders.

As of December 31, 2015, we had total debt outstanding of approximately \$377.6 million, including amounts drawn under our credit facility, a substantial portion of which is guaranteed by our Operating Partnership, and we may incur significant additional debt to finance future acquisition and development activities. Excluding unamortized fair value adjustments and debt issuance costs, the aggregate outstanding principal balance of our debt was \$382.0 million as of December 31, 2015. Payments of principal and interest on borrowings may leave us with insufficient cash resources to operate our properties or to pay the dividends currently contemplated or necessary to maintain our REIT qualification. Our level of debt and the limitations imposed on us by our debt agreements could have significant adverse consequences, including the following:

- our cash flow may be insufficient to meet our required principal and interest payments;
- we may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms, which could, among other things, adversely affect our ability to meet operational needs;
- we may be unable to refinance our indebtedness at maturity or the refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of our original indebtedness;
- we may be forced to dispose of one or more of our properties, possibly on unfavorable terms or in violation of certain covenants to which we may be subject;
- we may default on our obligations, in which case the lenders or mortgagees may have the right to foreclose on any properties that secure the loans or collect rents and other income from our properties;
- we may violate restrictive covenants in our loan documents, which would entitle the lenders to accelerate our debt obligations or reduce our ability to pay, or prohibit us from paying, distributions to our stockholders; and
- our default under any loan with cross default provisions could result in a default on other indebtedness.

If any one of these events were to occur, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow could be materially adversely affected. Furthermore, foreclosures could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, which could hinder our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Code. See “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources.”

We depend on significant tenants in certain of our office properties, and a bankruptcy, insolvency or inability to pay rent by any of these tenants could result in a material decrease in our rental income, which would have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Accounting for our sale of the Richmond Tower office building on January 8, 2016, the five largest tenants in our office portfolio collectively represented approximately 32% of the total annualized base rent in our office portfolio. The inability of these or other significant tenants to pay rent or the bankruptcy or insolvency of a significant tenant could materially and adversely affect the income produced by our office properties.

In addition, if a tenant becomes bankrupt or insolvent, federal law may prohibit us from evicting such tenant based solely upon such bankruptcy or insolvency. In addition, a bankrupt or insolvent tenant may be authorized to reject and terminate its lease with us. Any claim against such tenant for unpaid, future rent would be subject to a statutory cap that might be substantially less than the remaining rent owed under the lease. If any of these tenants were to experience a downturn in its business or a weakening of its financial condition resulting in its failure to make timely rental payments or causing it to default under its lease, we may experience delays in enforcing our rights as landlord and may incur substantial costs in protecting our investment. In many cases, we may have made substantial initial investments in the applicable leases through tenant improvement allowances and other concessions that we may not be able to recover. Any

Table of Contents

such event could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

The loss of, or a store closure by, one of the anchor stores or major tenants in our retail shopping center properties could result in a material decrease in our rental income, which would have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Our retail shopping center properties typically are anchored by large, nationally recognized tenants. As of December 31, 2015, Home Depot, Harris Teeter and Food Lion collectively represented approximately 16%, and individually represented 7%, 5% and 4%, respectively, of the total annualized base rent in our retail portfolio. In addition, several of our retail properties are single-tenant properties or are occupied primarily by a single tenant. As of December 31, 2015, the Courthouse 7-Eleven, Tyre Neck Harris Teeter and Harrisonburg Regal retail properties in our portfolio were 100% occupied by 7-Eleven, Harris Teeter and Regal Cinemas, respectively, and the Dick's at Town Center, Sandbridge Commons, Perry Hall Marketplace and Studio 56 retail properties were approximately 81%, 77%, 81% and 69% occupied by Dick's Sporting Goods, Harris Teeter, Safeway and McCormick & Schmick's, respectively. At any time, our tenants may experience a downturn in their business that may weaken significantly their financial condition. As a result, our tenants, including our anchor and other major tenants, may fail to comply with their contractual obligations to us, seek concessions in order to continue operations or declare bankruptcy, any of which could result in the termination of such tenants' leases and the loss of rental income attributable to the terminated leases. In addition, certain of our tenants may cease operations while continuing to pay rent, which could decrease customer traffic, thereby decreasing sales for our other tenants at the applicable retail property. In addition to these potential effects of a business downturn, mergers or consolidations among retail establishments could result in the closure of existing stores or duplicate or geographically overlapping store locations, which could include stores at our retail properties.

Loss of, or a store closure by, an anchor or major tenant could significantly reduce our occupancy level or the rent we receive from our retail properties, and we may not have the right to re-lease vacated space or we may be unable to re-lease vacated space at attractive rents or at all. Moreover, in the event of default by a major tenant or anchor store, we may experience delays and costs in enforcing our rights as landlord to recover amounts due to us under the terms of our agreements with those parties. The occurrence of any of the situations described above, particularly if it involves an anchor tenant with leases in multiple locations, could seriously harm our performance and could adversely affect the value of the affected retail property.

In the event that any of the anchor stores, major tenants or single-tenant property tenants in our retail properties do not renew their leases with us when they expire, we may be unable to re-lease such premises at market rents, or at all, which could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and cash available for distribution and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations.

We may be unable to renew leases, lease vacant space or re-lease space on favorable terms or at all as leases expire, which could materially adversely affect us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

As of December 31, 2015, approximately 4% of the square footage of the properties in our stabilized core office and retail portfolios was available. As of December 31, 2015, approximately 42% of our 4525 Main Street office property was available. We cannot assure you that new leases will be entered into, that leases will be renewed or that our properties will be re-leased at net effective rental rates equal to or above the current average net effective rental rates or that substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options will not be offered to attract new tenants or retain existing tenants. In addition, our ability to lease our multifamily properties at favorable rates, or at all, may be adversely affected by the increase in supply of multifamily properties in our target markets. Our ability to lease our properties depends upon the overall level of spending in the economy, which is adversely affected by, among other things, job losses and unemployment levels, fears of a recession, personal debt levels, the housing market, stock market volatility and uncertainty about the future. If rental rates for our properties decrease, our existing tenants do not renew their leases or we do not re-lease a significant portion of our available space and space for which leases expire, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions and our ability to service our debt obligations could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Competition for property acquisitions and development opportunities may reduce the number of opportunities available to us and increase our costs, which could have a material adverse effect on our growth prospects.

The current market for property acquisitions and development opportunities continues to be extremely competitive. This competition may increase the demand for the types of properties in which we typically invest and, therefore, reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us and increase the purchase prices for such properties, in the event we are able to acquire or develop such properties. We face significant competition for attractive investment opportunities from an indeterminate number of investors, including publicly traded and privately held REITs, private equity investors and institutional investment funds, some of which have greater financial resources than we do, a greater ability to borrow funds to make investments in properties and the ability to accept more risk than we can prudently manage, including risks with respect to the geographic proximity of investments and the payment of higher acquisition prices. This competition will increase if investments in real estate become more attractive relative to other forms of investment. If the level of competition for investment opportunities is significant in our target markets, it could have a material adverse effect on our growth prospects.

The failure of properties that we develop or acquire in the future to meet our financial expectations could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, the per share trading price of our common stock and our growth prospects.

Our future acquisitions and development projects and our ability to successfully operate these properties may be exposed to the following significant risks, among others:

- we may acquire or develop properties that are not accretive to our results upon acquisition, and we may not successfully manage and lease those properties to meet our expectations;
- our cash flow may be insufficient to enable us to pay the required principal and interest payments on the debt secured by the property;
- we may spend more than budgeted amounts to make necessary improvements or renovations to acquired properties or to develop new properties;
- we may be unable to quickly and efficiently integrate new acquisitions or developed properties into our existing operations;
- market conditions may result in higher than expected vacancy rates and lower than expected rental rates; and

- we may acquire properties subject to liabilities without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, with respect to unknown liabilities such as liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of the properties, liabilities incurred in the ordinary course of business and claims for indemnification by general partners, directors, officers and others indemnified by the former owners of the properties.

If we cannot operate acquired or developed properties to meet our financial expectations, our growth prospects could be materially adversely affected.

Certain of the leases at our retail properties contain “co-tenancy” or “go-dark” provisions, which, if triggered, may allow tenants to pay reduced rent, cease operations or terminate their leases, any of which could materially adversely affect our performance or the value of the affected retail property.

Certain of the leases at our retail properties contain “co-tenancy” provisions that condition a tenant’s obligation to remain open, the amount of rent payable by the tenant or the tenant’s obligation to continue occupancy on certain conditions, including: (i) the presence of a certain anchor tenant or tenants, (ii) the continued operation of an anchor tenant’s store and (iii) minimum occupancy levels at the retail property. If a co-tenancy provision is triggered by a failure of any of these or other applicable conditions, a tenant could have the right to cease operations, to terminate its lease early or to reduce its rent. In periods of prolonged economic decline, there is a higher than normal risk that co-tenancy provisions will be triggered as there is a higher risk of tenants closing stores or terminating leases during these

Table of Contents

periods. In addition to these co-tenancy provisions, certain of the leases at our retail properties contain “go-dark” provisions that allow the tenant to cease operations while continuing to pay rent. This could result in decreased customer traffic at the affected retail property, thereby decreasing sales for our other tenants at that property, which may result in our other tenants being unable to pay their minimum rents or expense recovery charges. These provisions also may result in lower rental revenue generated under the applicable leases. To the extent co-tenancy or go-dark provisions in our retail leases result in lower revenue or tenant sales or tenants’ rights to terminate their leases early or to a reduction of their rent, revenues and the value of the affected retail property could be materially adversely affected.

Our dependence on smaller businesses, particularly in our retail portfolio, to rent our space could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and results of operations.

Many of our tenants, particularly those that lease space in our retail properties are smaller businesses that generally do not have the financial strength or resources of larger corporate tenants. In particular, 110 of our retail leases (representing approximately 11% of our annualized base rent from retail properties as of December 31, 2015) lease 2,500 or less square feet from us, and many of those tenants are smaller independent businesses, which generally experience a higher rate of failure than larger businesses. As a result of our dependence on these smaller businesses, we could experience a higher rate of tenant defaults, turnover and bankruptcies, which could have a material adverse effect on our cash flow and results of operations.

Many of our operating costs and expenses are fixed and will not decline if our revenues decline.

Our results of operations depend, in large part, on our level of revenues, operating costs and expenses. The expense of owning and operating a property is not necessarily reduced when circumstances such as market factors and competition cause a reduction in revenue from the property. As a result, if revenues decline, we may not be able to reduce our expenses to keep pace with the corresponding reductions in revenues. Many of the costs associated with real estate investments, such as real estate taxes, insurance, loan payments and maintenance, generally will not be reduced if a property is not fully occupied or other circumstances cause our revenues to decrease, which could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Increases in mortgage rates or unavailability of mortgage debt may make it difficult for us to finance or refinance our debt, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, growth prospects and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

If mortgage debt is unavailable to us at reasonable rates or at all, we may not be able to finance the purchase or development of additional properties or refinance existing debt when it becomes due. If interest rates are higher when

we refinance our properties, our income and cash flow could be reduced, which would reduce cash available for distribution to our stockholders and may hinder our ability to raise more capital by issuing more stock or by borrowing more money. In addition, to the extent we are unable to refinance our debt when it becomes due, we will have fewer debt guarantee opportunities available to offer under our tax protection agreements, which could trigger an obligation to indemnify certain parties under the applicable tax protection agreements.

Mortgage debt obligations expose us to the possibility of foreclosure, which could result in the loss of our investment in a property or group of properties subject to mortgage debt.

Mortgage and other secured debt obligations increases our risk of property losses because defaults on indebtedness secured by properties may result in foreclosure actions initiated by lenders and ultimately our loss of the property securing any loans for which we are in default. Any foreclosure on a mortgaged property or group of properties could adversely affect the overall value of our portfolio of properties. For tax purposes, a foreclosure on any of our properties that is subject to a nonrecourse mortgage loan would be treated as a sale of the property for a purchase price equal to the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure, but would not receive any cash proceeds, which could hinder our ability to meet the REIT distribution requirements imposed by the Code. Foreclosures could also trigger our tax indemnification obligations under the terms of our tax protection agreements with respect to the sales of certain properties.

Table of Contents

Most of our debt arrangements involve balloon payment obligations, which may materially adversely affect us, including our cash flows, financial condition and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Most of our debt arrangements require us to make a lump-sum or “balloon” payment at maturity. Our ability to make a balloon payment at maturity is uncertain and may depend upon our ability to obtain additional financing or our ability to sell the property. At the time the balloon payment is due, we may or may not be able to refinance the existing financing on terms as favorable as the original loan or sell the property at a price sufficient to make the balloon payment. In addition, balloon payments and payments of principal and interest on our indebtedness may leave us with insufficient cash to pay the distributions that we are required to pay to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Our credit facility restricts our ability to engage in certain business activities, including our ability to incur additional indebtedness, make capital expenditures and make certain investments.

Our credit facility contains customary negative covenants and other financial and operating covenants that, among other things:

- restrict our ability to incur additional indebtedness;
- restrict our ability to incur additional liens;
- restrict our ability to make certain investments (including certain capital expenditures);
- restrict our ability to merge with another company;
- restrict our ability to sell or dispose of assets;
- restrict our ability to make distributions to our stockholders; and
- require us to satisfy minimum financial coverage ratios, minimum tangible net worth requirements and maximum leverage ratios.

These limitations restrict our ability to engage in certain business activities, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations. In addition, our credit facility may contain specific cross-default provisions with respect to specified

other indebtedness, giving the lenders the right, in certain circumstances, to declare a default if we are in default under other loans.

Adverse economic and geopolitical conditions and dislocations in the credit markets could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Our business may be affected by market and economic challenges experienced by the U.S. economy or real estate industry as a whole, such as the dislocations in the credit markets and general global economic downturn during the recent recessionary period. These conditions, or similar conditions in the future, may materially adversely affect us as a result of the following potential consequences, among others:

- decreased demand for office, retail and multifamily space, which would cause market rental rates and property values to be negatively impacted;
- reduced values of our properties may limit our ability to dispose of assets at attractive prices or obtain debt financing secured by our properties and may reduce the availability of unsecured loans;
- our ability to obtain financing on terms and conditions that we find acceptable, or at all, may be limited, which could reduce our ability to pursue acquisition and development opportunities and refinance existing debt, reduce our returns from our acquisition and development activities and increase our future debt service expense; and

Table of Contents

- one or more lenders under our credit facility could refuse to fund their financing commitment to us or could fail and we may not be able to replace the financing commitment of any such lenders on favorable terms, or at all.

If the U.S. economy experiences another economic downturn, we may see increases in bankruptcies of our tenants and increased defaults by tenants, and we may experience higher vacancy rates and delays in re-leasing vacant space, which could negatively impact our business and results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Failure to hedge effectively against interest rate changes may adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Subject to maintaining our qualification as a REIT, we expect to continue to enter into hedging transactions to protect us from the effects of interest rate fluctuations on floating rate debt. Our existing hedging transactions have, and future hedging transactions may, include entering into interest rate cap agreements or interest rate swap agreements. These agreements involve risks, such as the risk that such arrangements would not be effective in reducing our exposure to interest rate changes or that a court could rule that such an agreement is not legally enforceable. In addition, interest rate hedging can be expensive, particularly during periods of rising and volatile interest rates. Hedging could increase our costs and reduce the overall returns on our investments. In addition, while hedging agreements would be intended to lessen the impact of rising interest rates on us, they could also expose us to the risk that the other parties to the agreements would not perform, that we could incur significant costs associated with the settlement of the agreements or that the underlying transactions could fail to qualify as highly-effective cash flow hedges under Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging.

Adverse conditions in the general retail environment could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to satisfy our debt service obligations and to make distributions to our stockholders.

Approximately 42% of our total annualized base rent as of December 31, 2015, are from retail properties. As a result, we are subject to factors that affect the retail sector generally, as well as the market for retail space. The retail environment and the market for retail space have been, and could continue to be, adversely affected by weakness in the national, regional and local economies, the level of consumer spending and consumer confidence, the adverse financial condition of some large retailing companies, the ongoing consolidation in the retail sector, the excess amount of retail space in a number of markets and increasing competition from discount retailers, outlet malls, internet retailers and other online businesses. Increases in consumer spending via the internet may significantly affect our retail tenants’ ability to generate sales in their stores. New and enhanced technologies, including new digital technologies and new web services technologies, may increase competition for certain of our retail tenants.

Any of the foregoing factors could adversely affect the financial condition of our retail tenants and the willingness of retailers to lease space in our retail properties. In turn, these conditions could negatively affect market rents for retail space and could materially and adversely affect us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions and our ability to service our debt obligations.

We are an “emerging growth company,” and we cannot be certain if the reduced disclosure requirements applicable to emerging growth companies will make shares of our common stock less attractive to investors.

In April 2012, President Obama signed into law the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act (the “JOBS Act”). The JOBS Act contains provisions that, among other things, relax certain reporting requirements for “emerging growth companies,” including certain requirements relating to accounting standards and compensation disclosure. We are classified as an emerging growth company. For as long as we are an emerging growth company, which may be up to five full fiscal years after our initial public offering, we may take advantage of exemptions from various reporting and other requirements that are applicable to other public companies that are not emerging growth companies, including the requirements to:

- provide an auditor’s attestation report on management’s assessment of the effectiveness of our system of internal control over financial reporting pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act;

Table of Contents

- comply with any new or revised financial accounting standards applicable to public companies until such standards are also applicable to private companies;
- comply with any new requirements adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the “PCAOB”), requiring mandatory audit firm rotation or a supplement to the auditor’s report in which the auditor would be required to provide additional information about the audit and the financial statements of the issuer;
- comply with any new audit rules adopted by the PCAOB after April 5, 2012, unless the SEC determines otherwise;
- provide certain disclosure regarding executive compensation required of larger public companies; or
- hold stockholder advisory votes on executive compensation.

We cannot predict if investors will find shares of our common stock less attractive because we will not be subject to the same reporting and other requirements as other public companies. If some investors find shares of our common stock less attractive as a result, there may be a less active trading market for our common stock, the per share trading price of our common stock could decline and may be more volatile.

We will continue to incur costs as a result of becoming a public company, and such costs may increase if and when we cease to be an “emerging growth company.”

As a public company, we expect to continue to incur significant legal, accounting, insurance and other expenses that we did not incur as a private company, including costs associated with public company reporting requirements. The expenses incurred by public companies generally for reporting and corporate governance purposes have been increasing. We expect compliance with these public reporting requirements and associated rules and regulations to increase expenses, particularly after we are no longer an emerging growth company, although we are currently unable to estimate these costs with any degree of certainty. We could be an emerging growth company for up to five years after our initial public offering, although circumstances could cause us to lose that status earlier, which could result in our incurring additional costs applicable to public companies that are not emerging growth companies.

We will be subject to the requirements of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

As long as we remain an emerging growth company, as that term is defined in the JOBS Act, we will be permitted to gradually comply with certain of the on-going reporting and disclosure obligations of public companies pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. However, after we are no longer an emerging growth company under the JOBS Act, management will be required to have an independent auditor assess the effectiveness of our internal controls over

financial reporting, pursuant to Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. Substantial work on our part is required to implement appropriate processes, document the system of internal control over key processes, assess their design, remediate any deficiencies identified and test their operation. This process is expected to be both costly and challenging. We cannot give any assurances that material weaknesses will not be identified in the future in connection with our compliance with the provisions of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. The existence of any material weakness described above would preclude a conclusion by management and our independent auditors that we maintained effective internal control over financial reporting. Our management may be required to devote significant time and expense to remediate any material weaknesses that may be discovered and may not be able to remediate any material weakness in a timely manner. The existence of any material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting could also result in errors in our financial statements that could require us to restate our financial statements, cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations and cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, all of which could lead to a decline in the per share trading price of our common stock.

We may be required to make rent or other concessions or significant capital expenditures to improve our properties in order to retain and attract tenants, which may materially adversely affect us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Upon expiration of our leases to our tenants, to the extent that adverse economic conditions in the real estate market reduce the demand for office, retail and multifamily space, we may be required to make rent or other

Table of Contents

concessions, accommodate requests for renovations, build-to-suit remodeling and other improvements or provide additional services to our tenants, any of which would increase our costs. As a result, we may have to make significant capital or other expenditures in order to retain tenants whose leases expire and to attract new tenants in sufficient numbers. Additionally, we may need to raise capital to make such expenditures. If we are unable to do so or capital is otherwise unavailable, we may be unable to make the required expenditures. This could result in non-renewals by tenants upon expiration of their leases. If any of the foregoing were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Our use of units in our Operating Partnership as currency to acquire properties could result in stockholder dilution or limit our ability to sell such properties, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

We have, and in the future we may, acquire properties or portfolios of properties through tax deferred contribution transactions in exchange for OP Units. In particular, we issued OP Units in connection with our acquisition of certain properties during 2015 and intend to issue additional OP Units in connection with certain property acquisitions during 2016, as discussed in “Item 1 – Business – Acquisitions and Dispositions.” This acquisition structure may have the effect of, among other things, reducing the amount of tax depreciation we could deduct over the tax life of the acquired properties, and may require that we agree to protect the contributors’ ability to defer recognition of taxable gain through restrictions on our ability to dispose of the acquired properties or the allocation of partnership debt to the contributors to maintain their tax bases. These restrictions also could limit our ability to sell properties at a time, or on terms, that would be favorable absent such restrictions. In addition, future issuances of OP Units would reduce our ownership percentage in our Operating Partnership and affect the amount of distributions made to us by our Operating Partnership and, therefore, the amount of distributions we can make to our stockholders. To the extent that our stockholders do not directly own OP Units, our stockholders will not have any voting rights with respect to any such issuances or other partnership level activities of our Operating Partnership.

Significant competition in the leasing market could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

We compete with numerous developers, owners and operators of real estate, many of which own properties similar to ours in the same submarkets in which our properties are located. If our competitors offer space at rental rates below current market rates, or below the rental rates we currently charge our tenants, we may lose existing or potential tenants and we may be pressured to reduce our rental rates below those we currently charge or to offer more substantial rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options in order to retain tenants when our tenants’ leases expire. As a result, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distributions and our ability to service our debt obligations could be materially and adversely affected.

Our success depends on key personnel whose continued service is not guaranteed, and the loss of one or more of our key personnel could adversely affect our ability to manage our business and to implement our growth strategies, or could create a negative perception of our company in the capital markets.

Our continued success and our ability to manage anticipated future growth depend, in large part, upon the efforts of key personnel, particularly Messrs. Hoffler (our Executive Chairman), Kirk (our Vice Chairman), Haddad (our President and Chief Executive Officer), Nero (our President of Development), Apperson (our President of Construction), O'Hara (our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer), and Smith (our Chief Investment Officer and Corporate Secretary) and Ms. Hampton (our President of Asset Management), who have extensive market knowledge and relationships and exercise substantial influence over our operational, financing, development and construction activity. Among the reasons that these individuals are important to our success is that each has a national or regional industry reputation that attracts business and investment opportunities and assists us in negotiations with lenders, existing and potential tenants and industry personnel. If we lose their services, our relationships with such personnel could diminish.

Many of our other senior executives also have extensive experience and strong reputations in the real estate industry, which aid us in identifying opportunities, having opportunities brought to us and negotiating with tenants and build-to-suit prospects. The loss of services of one or more members of our senior management team, or our inability to attract and retain highly qualified personnel, could adversely affect our business, diminish our investment opportunities and weaken our relationships with lenders, business partners, existing and prospective tenants and industry participants,

Table of Contents

which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our common stock.

We may be subject to on-going or future litigation, including existing claims relating to the entities that owned the properties prior to our initial public offering and otherwise in the ordinary course of business, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our common stock.

We may be subject to on-going litigation, including existing claims relating to the entities that owned the properties and operated the businesses prior to our initial public offering and otherwise in the ordinary course of business. Some of these claims may result in significant defense costs and potentially significant judgments against us, some of which are not, or cannot be, insured against. We generally intend to vigorously defend ourselves. However, we cannot be certain of the ultimate outcomes of currently asserted claims or of those that may arise in the future. In addition, we may become subject to litigation in connection with the formation transactions related to our initial public offering in the event that prior investors dispute the valuation of their respective interests, the adequacy of the consideration received by them in the formation transactions or the interpretation of the agreements implementing the formation transactions. Resolution of these types of matters against us may result in our having to pay significant fines, judgments, or settlements, which, if uninsured, or if the fines, judgments, and settlements exceed insured levels, could adversely impact our earnings and cash flows, thereby having an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations. Certain litigation or the resolution of certain litigation may affect the availability or cost of some of our insurance coverage, which could materially adversely affect our results of operations and cash flows, expose us to increased risks that would be uninsured and adversely impact our ability to attract officers and directors.

Potential losses from hurricanes in Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina and South Carolina may not be covered by insurance.

All of the properties in our portfolio as of December 31, 2015 are located in Virginia, Maryland, North Carolina and South Carolina, which are areas particularly susceptible to hurricanes. While we carry insurance on certain of our properties, the amount of our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to fully cover losses from hurricanes and will be subject to limitations involving large deductibles or co-payments. In addition, we may reduce or discontinue insurance on some or all of our properties in the future if the cost of premiums for any such policies exceeds, in our judgment, the value of the coverage discounted for the risk of loss. As a result, in the event of a hurricane, we may be required to incur significant costs, and, to the extent that a loss exceeds policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged properties as well as the anticipated future cash flows from those properties. In addition, if the damaged properties are subject to recourse indebtedness, we would continue to be liable for the indebtedness, even if these properties were irreparably damaged.

We may not be able to rebuild our existing properties to their existing specifications if we experience a substantial or comprehensive loss of such properties.

In the event that we experience a substantial or comprehensive loss of one of our properties, we may not be able to rebuild such property to its existing specifications. Further, reconstruction or improvement of such a property would likely require significant upgrades to meet zoning and building code requirements. Environmental and legal restrictions could also restrict the rebuilding of our properties.

Joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on co-venturers' financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

In the past, we have, and in the future, we expect to, co-invest with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring noncontrolling interests in or sharing responsibility for developing properties and managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. In particular, in connection with the formation transactions related to our initial public offering, we provided certain of the prior investors with the right to co-develop certain projects with us in the future and the right to acquire a minority equity interest in certain properties that we may develop in the future, in each case under certain circumstances and subject to certain conditions set forth in the applicable agreement. Furthermore, we are 60%, 65% and 80% joint venture partners in our Lightfoot Marketplace, Brooks Crossing and Johns Hopkins Village development projects, respectively. In the event that we co-develop a

Table of Contents

property together with a third party, we would be required to share a portion of the development fee. With respect to any such arrangement or any similar arrangement that we may enter into in the future, we may not be in a position to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding the development, property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present where a third party is not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives, and they may have competing interests in our markets that could create conflicts of interest. Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale or financing, because neither we nor the partner(s) or co-venturer(s) would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. In addition, a sale or transfer by us to a third party of our interests in the joint venture may be subject to consent rights or rights of first refusal, in favor of our joint venture partners, which would in each case restrict our ability to dispose of our interest in the joint venture. Where we are a limited partner or non-managing member in any partnership or limited liability company, if such entity takes or expects to take actions that could jeopardize our status as a REIT or require us to pay tax, we may be forced to dispose of our interest in such entity. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and directors from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with partners or co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party partners or co-venturers. Our joint ventures may be subject to debt and, during periods of volatile credit markets, the refinancing of such debt may require equity capital calls.

Mezzanine loans and similar loan investments are subject to significant risks, and losses related to these investments could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

We have originated, and may in the future originate or acquire, mezzanine or similar loans, which take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of either the entity owning the property or a pledge of the ownership interests of the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the property. These types of loans involve a higher degree of risk than long-term senior mortgage loans secured by income-producing real property because the loan may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. In addition, these loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the property and increasing the risk of loss of principal. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt is paid in full. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. As a result, we may not recover some of or all our initial investment. In addition, in connection with our loan investments, we may have options to purchase all or a portion of the underlying property upon maturity of the loan; however, if a developer's costs for a project are higher than anticipated, exercising such options may not be attractive or economically feasible, or we may not have sufficient funds to exercise such options even if desire to do so. Significant losses related to mezzanine or similar loan investments could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Increased competition and increased affordability of residential homes could limit our ability to retain our residents, lease apartment units or increase or maintain rents at our multifamily apartment communities.

Our multifamily apartment communities compete with numerous housing alternatives in attracting residents, including other multifamily apartment communities and single-family rental units, as well as owner-occupied single- and multifamily units. Competitive housing in a particular area and an increase in the affordability of owner-occupied single- and multifamily units due to, among other things, declining housing prices, oversupply, mortgage interest rates and tax incentives and government programs to promote home ownership, could adversely affect our ability to retain residents, lease apartment units and increase or maintain rents at our multifamily properties.

Table of Contents

Our growth depends on external sources of capital that are outside of our control and may not be available to us on commercially reasonable terms or at all, which could limit our ability to, among other things, meet our capital and operating needs or make the cash distributions to our stockholders necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

In order to maintain our qualification as a REIT, we are required under the Code to, among other things, distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain. In addition, we will be subject to income tax at regular corporate rates to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, including any net capital gains. Because of these distribution requirements, we may not be able to fund future capital needs, including any necessary capital expenditures, from operating cash flow. Consequently, we intend to rely on third-party sources to fund our capital needs. We may not be able to obtain such financing on favorable terms or at all and any additional debt we incur will increase our leverage and likelihood of default. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends, in part, on:

- general market conditions;

- the market's perception of our growth potential;

- our current debt levels;

- our current and expected future earnings;

- our cash flow and cash distributions; and

- the market price per share of our common stock.

Recently, the capital markets have been subject to significant disruptions. If we cannot obtain capital from third-party sources, we may not be able to acquire or develop properties when strategic opportunities exist, meet the capital and operating needs of our existing properties, satisfy our debt service obligations or make the cash distributions to our stockholders necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT.

Risks Related to Our Third-Party Construction Business

Adverse economic and regulatory conditions, particularly in the Mid-Atlantic region, could adversely affect our construction and development business, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results

of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Our third-party construction activities have been, and are expected to continue to be, primarily focused in the Mid-Atlantic region, although we have also undertaken construction projects in various states in the Southeast, Northeast and Midwest regions of the United States. As a result of our concentration of construction projects in the Mid-Atlantic region of the United States, we are particularly susceptible to adverse economic or other conditions in this market (such as periods of economic slowdown or recession, business layoffs or downsizing, industry slowdowns, relocations of businesses, labor disruptions and the costs of complying with governmental regulations or increased regulation), as well as to natural disasters that occur in this region. We cannot assure you that our target markets will support construction and development projects of the type in which we typically engage. While we have the ability to provide a wide range of development and construction services, any adverse economic or real estate developments in the Mid-Atlantic region could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

There can be no assurance that all of the projects for which our construction business is engaged as general contractor will be commenced or completed in their entirety in accordance with the anticipated cost, or that we will achieve the financial results we expect from the construction of such properties, which could materially adversely affect our cash flows, results of operations and growth prospects.

Our construction business earns profit for serving as general contractor equal to the difference between the total construction fees that we charge and the costs that we incur to build a property. If the decision is made by a third-party client to abandon a construction project for any reason, our anticipated fee revenue from such project could be

Table of Contents

significantly lower than we expect. In addition, we defer pre-contract costs when such costs are directly associated with specific anticipated construction contracts and their recovery is deemed probable. In the event that we determine that the execution of a construction contract is no longer probable, we would be required to expense those pre-contract costs in the period in which such determination is made, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations in such period. Our ability to complete the projects in our construction pipeline on time and on budget could be materially adversely affected as a result of the following factors, among others:

- shortages of subcontractors, equipment, materials or skilled labor;
- unscheduled delays in the delivery of ordered materials and equipment;
- unanticipated increases in the cost of equipment, labor and raw materials;
- unforeseen engineering, environmental or geological problems;
- weather interferences;
- difficulties in obtaining necessary permits or in meeting permit conditions;
- client acceptance delays; or
- work stoppages and other labor disputes.

If we do not complete construction projects on time and on budget, it could have a material adverse effect on us, including our cash flows, results of operations and growth prospects.

Our dependence on third-party subcontractors and equipment and material providers could result in material shortages and project delays and could reduce our profits or result in project losses, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

Because our construction business provides general contracting services, we rely on third-party subcontractors and equipment and material providers. For example, we procure equipment and construction materials as needed when engaged in large construction projects. To the extent that we cannot engage subcontractors or acquire equipment and materials at reasonable costs or if the amount we are required to pay for subcontractors or equipment exceeds our estimates, our ability to complete a construction project in a timely fashion or at a profit may be impaired. In addition,

if a subcontractor or a manufacturer is unable to deliver its services, equipment or materials according to the negotiated terms for any reason, including the deterioration of its financial condition, we may be required to purchase the services, equipment or materials from another source at a higher price. Additionally, while our construction contracts generally provide that our obligation to pay subcontractors is expressly made subject to the condition precedent that we shall have first received payment, we cannot assure you that these so called “pay-if-paid” or “pay-when-paid” provisions will be recognized in all jurisdictions in which we do business, or that a subcontractor or payment bond surety may not otherwise be entitled to payment or to record a lien on the affected property. In such event, we may be required to pay a payment bond surety or the subcontractors we engage even though we have yet to receive our fees as general contractor. This may reduce the profit to be realized or result in a loss on a project for which the services, equipment or materials are needed, which may materially adversely affect us, including our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

Our construction business recognizes certain revenue on a percentage-of-completion basis and upon the achievement of contractual milestones, and any delay or cancellation of a construction project could materially adversely affect our cash flows and results of operations.

Our construction business recognizes certain revenue on a percentage-of-completion basis and, as a result, revenue from our construction business is driven by the performance of our contractual obligations. The percentage-of-completion method of accounting is inherently subjective because it relies on estimates of total project cost as a basis for recognizing revenue and profit. Accordingly, revenue and profit recognized under the percentage-of-completion method is potentially subject to adjustments in subsequent periods based on refinements in the estimated cost to complete a project, which could result in a reduction or reversal of previously recorded revenues and profits. In addition, delays in,

Table of Contents

or the cancellation of, any particular construction project could adversely impact our ability to recognize revenue in a particular period. Furthermore, changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability, including those arising from contract penalty provisions and final contract settlements, may result in revisions to costs and income in the period in which they are determined. If any of the foregoing were to occur, it could have a material adverse effect on our cash flows and results of operations.

Construction project sites are inherently dangerous workplaces, and, as a result, our failure to maintain safe construction project sites could result in deaths or injuries, reduced profitability, the loss of projects or clients and possible exposure to litigation, any of which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and reputation.

Construction and maintenance sites often put our employees, employees of subcontractors, our tenants and members of the public in close proximity with mechanized equipment, moving vehicles, chemical and manufacturing processes and highly regulated materials. On many sites, we are responsible for safety and, accordingly, must implement safety procedures. If we fail to implement these procedures or if the procedures we implement are ineffective, we may suffer the loss of or injury to our employees, fines or expose our tenants and members of the public to potential injury, thereby creating exposure to litigation. As a result, our failure to maintain adequate safety standards could result in reduced profitability or the loss of projects, clients and tenants, which may materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and our reputation.

Supply shortages and other risks associated with demand for skilled labor could increase construction costs and delay performance of our obligations under construction contracts, which could materially adversely affect the profitability of our construction business, our cash flow and results of operations.

There is a high level of competition in the construction industry for skilled labor. Increased costs, labor shortages or other disruptions in the supply of skilled labor, such as carpenters, roofers, electricians and plumbers, could cause increases in construction costs and construction delays. We may not be able to pass on increases in construction costs because of market conditions or negotiated contractual terms. Sustained increases in construction costs due to competition for skilled labor and delays in performance under construction contracts may materially adversely affect the profitability of our construction business, our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

Our failure to successfully and profitably bid on construction contracts could materially adversely affect our results of operations and cash flow.

Many of the costs related to our construction business, such as personnel costs, are fixed and are incurred by us irrespective of the level of activity of our construction business. The success of our construction business depends, in part, on our ability to successfully and profitably bid on construction contracts for private and public sector clients.

Contract proposals and negotiations are complex and frequently involve a lengthy bidding and selection process, which can be impacted by a number of factors, many of which are outside our control, including market conditions, financing arrangements and required governmental approvals. If we are unable to maintain a consistent backlog of third-party construction contracts, our results of operations and cash flow could be materially adversely affected.

If we fail to timely complete a construction project, miss a required performance standard or otherwise fail to adequately perform on a construction project, we may incur losses or financial penalties, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and reputation.

We may contractually commit to a construction client that we will complete a construction project by a scheduled date at a fixed cost. We may also commit that a construction project, when completed, will achieve specified performance standards. If the construction project is not completed by the scheduled date or fails to meet required performance standards, we may either incur significant additional costs or be held responsible for the costs incurred by the client to rectify damages due to late completion or failure to achieve the required performance standards. In addition, completion of projects can be adversely affected by a number of factors beyond our control, including unavoidable delays from governmental inaction, public opposition, inability to obtain financing, weather conditions, unavailability of vendor materials, availabilities of subcontractors, changes in the project scope of services requested by our clients, industrial accidents, environmental hazards, labor disruptions and other factors. In some cases, if we fail to meet required performance standards or milestone requirements, we may also be subject to agreed-upon financial damages in the form of liquidated damages, which are determined pursuant to the contract governing the construction project. To the extent

Table of Contents

that these events occur, the total costs of the project could exceed our estimates and our contracted cost and we could experience reduced profits or, in some cases, incur a loss on a project, which may materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow. Failure to meet performance standards or complete performance on a timely basis could also adversely affect our reputation.

Unionization or work stoppages could have a material adverse effect on us.

From time to time, our construction business and the subcontractors we engage may use unionized construction workers, which requires us to pay the prevailing wage in a jurisdiction to such workers. Due to the highly labor-intensive and price-competitive nature of the construction business, the cost of unionization or prevailing wage requirements for new developments could be substantial, which could adversely affect our profitability. In addition, the use of unionized construction workers could cause us to become subject to organized work stoppages, which would materially adversely affect our ability to meet our construction timetables and could significantly increase the cost of completing a construction project.

Risks Related to Our Development Business and Property Acquisitions

Our failure to establish new development relationships with public partners and expand our development relationships with existing public partners could have a material adverse effect on us, including our cash flows, results of operations and growth prospects.

Our growth strategy depends significantly on our ability to leverage our extensive experience in completing large, complex, mixed-use public/private projects to establish new relationships with public partners and expand our relationships with existing public partners. Future increases in our revenues may depend significantly on our ability to expand the scope of the work we do with the state and local government agencies with which we currently have partnered and attract new state and local government agencies to undertake public/private development projects with us. Our ability to obtain new work with state and local governmental authorities on new public/private development and financing partnerships could be adversely affected by several factors, including decreases in state and local budgets, changes in administrations, the departure of government personnel with whom we have worked and negative public perceptions about public/private partnerships. In addition, to the extent that we engage in public/private partnerships in states or local communities in which we have not previously worked, we could be subject to risks associated with entry into new markets, such as lack of market knowledge or understanding of the local economy, lack of business relationships in the area and unfamiliarity with local governmental and permitting procedures. If we fail to establish new relationships with public partners and expand our relationships with existing public partners, it could have a material adverse effect on our growth prospects.

We may be unable to identify and complete development opportunities and acquisitions of properties that meet our investment criteria, which may materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and growth prospects.

Our business and growth strategy involves the development and selective acquisition of office, retail and multifamily properties. We may expend significant management time and other resources, including out-of-pocket costs, in pursuing these investment opportunities. Our ability to complete development projects or acquire properties on favorable terms, or at all, may be exposed to the following significant risks:

- we may incur significant costs and divert management attention in connection with evaluating and negotiating potential development opportunities and acquisitions, including those that we are subsequently unable to complete;
- agreements for the development or acquisition of properties are subject to conditions, which we may be unable to satisfy; and
- we may be unable to obtain financing on favorable terms or at all.

If we are unable to identify attractive investment opportunities, our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and growth prospects could be materially adversely affected.

Table of Contents

The risks associated with land holdings and related activities could have a material adverse effect on us, including our results of operations.

We hold options to acquire undeveloped parcels of land for future development and may in the future acquire additional land holdings for development. The risks inherent in purchasing, owning, and developing land increase as demand for office, retail or multifamily properties, or rental rates, decreases. Real estate markets are highly uncertain and volatile and, as a result, the value of undeveloped land has fluctuated significantly and may continue to fluctuate. In addition, carrying costs, including interest and other pre-development costs, can be significant and can result in losses or reduced profitability. If there are subsequent changes in the fair value of our undeveloped land holdings that cause us to determine that the fair value of our undeveloped land holdings is less than their carrying basis reflected in our financial statements plus estimated costs to sell, we may be required to take future impairment charges which would reduce our net income and could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

The success of our activities to design, construct and develop properties in which we will retain an ownership interest is dependent, in part, on the availability of suitable undeveloped land at acceptable prices as well as our having sufficient liquidity to fund investments in such undeveloped land and subsequent development.

Our success in designing, constructing and developing projects for our own account depends, in part, upon the continued availability of suitable undeveloped land at acceptable prices. The availability of undeveloped land for purchase at favorable prices depends on a number of factors outside of our control, including the risk of competitive over-bidding on land and governmental regulations that restrict the potential uses of land. If the availability of suitable land opportunities decreases, the number of development projects we may be able to undertake could be reduced. In addition, our ability to make land purchases will depend upon us having sufficient liquidity or access to external sources of capital to fund such purchases. Thus, the lack of availability of suitable land opportunities and insufficient liquidity to fund the purchases of any such available land opportunities could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and growth prospects.

Our real estate development activities are subject to risks particular to development, such as unanticipated expenses, delays and other contingencies, any of which could materially adversely affect us, including our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

We engage in development and redevelopment activities and will be subject to the following risks associated with such activities:

unsuccessful development or redevelopment opportunities could result in direct expenses to us and cause us to incur losses;

- construction or redevelopment costs of a project may exceed original estimates, possibly making the project less profitable than originally estimated, or unprofitable;
- occupancy rates and rents of a completed project may not be sufficient to make the project profitable; and
- the availability and pricing of financing to fund our development activities on favorable terms or at all.

These risks could result in substantial unanticipated delays or expenses and, under certain circumstances, could prevent completion of development or redevelopment activities once undertaken, any of which could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

There can be no assurance that all of the properties in our development pipeline will be completed in their entirety in accordance with the anticipated cost, or that we will achieve the results we expect from the development of such properties, which could materially adversely affect our growth prospects, financial condition and results of operations.

The development of the projects in our development pipeline are subject to numerous risks, many of which are outside of our control. The cost necessary to complete the development of our development pipeline could be materially higher than we anticipate. Because we generally intend to commence the construction phase of an office or retail project for our own account only where a substantial percentage of the commercial space is pre-leased, we could decide not to

Table of Contents

undertake construction on one or more of the projects in our development pipeline if our pre-leasing efforts are unsuccessful. Furthermore, if we are delayed in the completion of any development project, tenants may have the right to terminate pre-development leases, which could materially adversely affect the financial viability of the project. In addition, even if we decide to commence construction on a project, we can provide no assurances that we will complete any of the projects in our development pipeline on the anticipated schedule, or that, once completed, the properties in our development pipeline will achieve the results that we expect. If the development of the projects in our development pipeline is not completed in accordance with our anticipated timing or at the anticipated cost, or the properties fail to achieve the financial results we expect, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

Our option properties are subject to various risks, and we may not be able to acquire them.

We have options to acquire from certain of our officers and directors certain parcels of developable land. These parcels are exposed to many of the same risks that may affect the other properties in our portfolio. The terms of the option agreements relating to these parcels were not determined by arm's-length negotiations, and such terms may be less favorable to us than those that may have been obtained through negotiations with third parties. In addition, it may become economically unattractive to exercise our options with respect to these parcels, which could cause us to decide not to exercise our option to purchase these parcels in the future. In such event, or in the event that the option agreements expire by their terms, the parcels could be sold to one of our competitors without restriction. Because our officers and directors own economic interests in these parcels, our decision to exercise or refrain from exercising such options will create conflicts of interest.

Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry

Our business is subject to risks associated with real estate assets and the real estate industry, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Our ability to pay expected dividends to our stockholders depends on our ability to generate revenues in excess of expenses, scheduled principal payments on debt and capital expenditure requirements. Events and conditions generally applicable to owners and operators of real property that are beyond our control may decrease cash available for distribution and the value of our properties. These events include many of the risks set forth above under “—Risks Related to Our Business and Operations,” as well as the following:

- oversupply or reduction in demand for office, retail or multifamily space in our markets;

- adverse changes in financial conditions of buyers, sellers and tenants of properties;

- vacancies or our inability to rent space on favorable terms, including possible market pressures to offer tenants rent abatements, tenant improvements, early termination rights or below-market renewal options, and the need to periodically repair, renovate and re-lease space;

- increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities, real estate taxes and state and local taxes;

- a favorable interest rate environment that may result in a significant number of potential residents of our multifamily apartment communities deciding to purchase homes instead of renting;

- rent control or stabilization laws, or other laws regulating rental housing, which could prevent us from raising rents to offset increases in operating costs;

- civil unrest, acts of war, terrorist attacks and natural disasters, including hurricanes, which may result in uninsured or underinsured losses;

- decreases in the underlying value of our real estate;

- changing submarket demographics; and

Table of Contents

- changing traffic patterns.

In addition, periods of economic downturn or recession, rising interest rates or declining demand for real estate, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in rents or an increased incidence of defaults under existing leases, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow, cash available for distribution and our ability to service our debt obligations.

Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties and harm our financial condition.

The real estate investments made, and to be made, by us are difficult to sell quickly. As a result, our ability to promptly sell one or more properties in our portfolio in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. Return of capital and realization of gains, if any, from an investment generally will occur upon disposition or refinancing of the underlying property. We may be unable to realize our investment objectives by disposition or refinancing at attractive prices within any given period of time or may otherwise be unable to complete any exit strategy. In particular, our ability to dispose of one or more properties within a specific time period is subject to certain limitations imposed by our tax protection agreements, as well as weakness in or even the lack of an established market for a property, changes in the financial condition or prospects of prospective purchasers, changes in national or international economic conditions and changes in laws, regulations or fiscal policies of jurisdictions in which the property is located.

In addition, the Code imposes restrictions on a REIT's ability to dispose of properties that are not applicable to other types of real estate companies. In particular, the tax laws applicable to REITs effectively require that we hold our properties for investment, rather than primarily for sale in the ordinary course of business, which may cause us to forego or defer sales of properties that otherwise would be in our best interests. Therefore, we may not be able to vary our portfolio in response to economic or other conditions promptly or on favorable terms.

Our property taxes could increase due to property tax rate changes or reassessment, which would adversely impact our cash flows.

Even if we qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we will be required to pay some state and local taxes on our properties. The real property taxes on our properties may increase as property tax rates change or as our properties are assessed or reassessed by taxing authorities. Therefore, the amount of property taxes we pay in the future may increase substantially from what we have paid in the past. If the property taxes we pay increase, our cash flow would be adversely impacted, and our ability to pay dividends to our stockholders could be adversely affected.

As an owner of real estate, we could incur significant costs and liabilities related to environmental matters.

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations relating to the environment, as a current or former owner or operator of real property, we may be liable for costs and damages resulting from the presence or discharge of hazardous or toxic substances, waste or petroleum products at, on, in, under or migrating from such property, including costs to investigate, clean up such contamination and liability for harm to natural resources. Such laws often impose liability without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of such contamination, and the liability may be joint and several. These liabilities could be substantial and the cost of any required remediation, removal, fines or other costs could exceed the value of the property and our aggregate assets. In addition, the presence of contamination or the failure to remediate contamination at our properties may expose us to third-party liability for costs of remediation and personal or property damage or materially adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop our properties or to borrow using the properties as collateral. In addition, environmental laws may create liens on contaminated sites in favor of the government for damages and costs it incurs to address such contamination. Moreover, if contamination is discovered on our properties, environmental laws may impose restrictions on the manner in which property may be used or businesses may be operated, and these restrictions may require substantial expenditures. See “Part I—Business—Regulation.”

Some of our properties have been or may be impacted by contamination arising from current or prior uses of the property, or adjacent properties, for commercial or industrial purposes. Such contamination may arise from spills of petroleum or hazardous substances or releases from tanks used to store such materials. For example, some of the tenants

Table of Contents

of properties in our retail portfolio operate gas stations or other businesses that utilize storage tanks to store petroleum products, propane or wastes typically associated with automobile service or other operations conducted at the properties, and spills or leaks of hazardous materials from those storage tanks could expose us to liability. See “Part I—Business—Regulation—Environmental Matters.” In addition to the foregoing, while we obtained Phase I Environmental Site Assessments for each of the properties in our portfolio, the assessments are limited in scope and may have failed to identify all environmental conditions or concerns. For example, they do not generally include soil sampling, subsurface investigations or hazardous materials survey. Furthermore, we do not have current Phase I Environmental Site Assessment reports for all of the properties in our portfolio and, as such, may not be aware of all potential or existing environmental contamination liabilities at the properties in our portfolio. As a result, we could potentially incur material liability for these issues.

As the owner of the buildings on our properties, we could face liability for the presence of hazardous materials, such as asbestos or lead, or other adverse conditions, such as poor indoor air quality, in our buildings. Environmental laws govern the presence, maintenance, and removal of hazardous materials in buildings, and if we do not comply with such laws, we could face fines for such noncompliance. Also, we could be liable to third parties, such as occupants of the buildings, for damages related to exposure to hazardous materials or adverse conditions in our buildings, and we could incur material expenses with respect to abatement or remediation of hazardous materials or other adverse conditions in our buildings. In addition, some of our tenants routinely may handle and use hazardous or regulated substances and wastes as part of their operations at our properties, which are subject to regulation. Such environmental and health and safety laws and regulations could subject us or our tenants to liability resulting from these activities. Environmental liabilities could affect a tenant’s ability to make rental payments to us, and changes in laws could increase the potential liability for noncompliance. This may result in significant unanticipated expenditures or may otherwise materially and adversely affect our operations, or those of our tenants, which could in turn have an adverse effect on us. If we incur material environmental liabilities in the future, we may face significant remediation costs, and we may find it difficult to sell any affected properties.

Our properties may contain or develop harmful mold or suffer from other air quality issues, which could lead to liability for adverse health effects and costs of remediation.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Indoor air quality issues can also stem from inadequate ventilation, chemical contamination from indoor or outdoor sources, and other biological contaminants such as pollen, viruses and bacteria. Indoor exposure to airborne toxins or irritants above certain levels can be alleged to cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. As a result, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold or other airborne contaminants from the affected property or increase indoor ventilation. In addition, the presence of significant mold or other airborne contaminants could expose us to liability from our tenants, employees of our tenants or others if property damage or personal injury is alleged to have occurred.

We may incur significant costs complying with various federal, state and local laws, regulations and covenants that are applicable to our properties.

Properties are subject to various covenants and federal, state and local laws and regulatory requirements, including permitting and licensing requirements. Local regulations, including municipal or local ordinances, zoning restrictions and restrictive covenants imposed by community developers may restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials or restrict our use of our properties and may require us to obtain approval from local officials of community standards organizations at any time with respect to our properties, including prior to developing or acquiring a property or when undertaking renovations of any of our existing properties. Among other things, these restrictions may relate to fire and safety, seismic or hazardous material abatement requirements. There can be no assurance that existing laws and regulatory policies will not adversely affect us or the timing or cost of any future development, acquisitions or renovations, or that additional regulations will not be adopted that increase such delays or result in additional costs. Our growth strategy may be affected by our ability to obtain permits, licenses and zoning relief.

In addition, federal and state laws and regulations, including laws such as the ADA and the Fair Housing Amendment Act of 1988 (“FHAA”), impose further restrictions on our properties and operations. Under the ADA and

Table of Contents

the FHAA, all public accommodations must meet federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Some of our properties may currently be in non-compliance with the ADA or the FHAA. If one or more of the properties in our portfolio is not in compliance with the ADA, the FHAA or any other regulatory requirements, we may incur additional costs to bring the property into compliance, incur governmental fines or the award of damages to private litigants or be unable to refinance such properties. In addition, we do not know whether existing requirements will change or whether future requirements will require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures that will adversely impact our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

Risks Related to Our Organizational Structure

Daniel Hoffler and his affiliates own, directly or indirectly, a substantial beneficial interest in our company on a fully diluted basis and has the ability to exercise significant influence on our company and our Operating Partnership, including the approval of significant corporate transactions.

As of December 31, 2015, Daniel Hoffler, our Executive Chairman, owned approximately 11% and, collectively, Messrs. Hoffler, Haddad and Kirk owned approximately 18% of the combined outstanding shares of our common stock and OP Units of our Operating Partnership (which OP Units may be redeemable for shares of our common stock). Consequently, these individuals may be able to significantly influence the outcome of matters submitted for stockholder action, including the approval of significant corporate transactions, including business combinations, consolidations and mergers.

Conflicts of interest may exist or could arise in the future between the interests of our stockholders and the interests of holders of units in our Operating Partnership, which may impede business decisions that could benefit our stockholders.

Conflicts of interest may exist or could arise in the future as a result of the relationships between us and our affiliates, on the one hand, and our Operating Partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. Our directors and officers have duties to our company under Maryland law in connection with their management of our company. At the same time, we, as the general partner of our Operating Partnership, have fiduciary duties and obligations to our Operating Partnership and its limited partners under Virginia law and the partnership agreement of our Operating Partnership in connection with the management of our Operating Partnership. Our fiduciary duties and obligations as the general partner of our Operating Partnership may come into conflict with the duties of our directors and officers to our company. Messrs. Hoffler, Haddad and Kirk own a significant interest in our Operating Partnership as limited partners and may have conflicts of interest in making decisions that affect both our stockholders and the limited partners of our Operating Partnership.

Under Virginia law, a general partner of a Virginia limited partnership has fiduciary duties of loyalty and care to the partnership and its partners and must discharge its duties and exercise its rights as general partner under the partnership agreement or Virginia law consistently with the obligation of good faith and fair dealing. The partnership agreement provides that, in the event of a conflict between the interests of our Operating Partnership or any partner, on the one hand, and the separate interests of our company or our stockholders, on the other hand, we, in our capacity as the general partner of our Operating Partnership, are under no obligation not to give priority to the separate interests of our company or our stockholders, and that any action or failure to act on our part or on the part of our directors that gives priority to the separate interests of our company or our stockholders that does not result in a violation of the contract rights of the limited partners of the Operating Partnership under its partnership agreement does not violate the duty of loyalty that we, in our capacity as the general partner of our Operating Partnership, owe to the Operating Partnership and its partners.

Additionally, the partnership agreement provides that we will not be liable to the Operating Partnership or any partner for monetary damages for losses sustained, liabilities incurred or benefits not derived by the Operating Partnership or any limited partner, except for liability for our intentional harm or gross negligence. Our Operating Partnership must indemnify us, our directors and officers and our designees from and against any and all claims that relate to the operations of our Operating Partnership, unless: (i) an act or omission of the person was material to the matter giving rise to the action and either was committed in bad faith or was the result of active and deliberate dishonesty, (ii) the person actually received an improper personal benefit in violation or breach of the partnership agreement or (iii) in the case of a criminal proceeding, the indemnified person had reasonable cause to believe that the act or omission was unlawful. Our Operating Partnership must also pay or reimburse the reasonable expenses of any

Table of Contents

such person upon its receipt of a written affirmation of the person's good faith belief that the standard of conduct necessary for indemnification has been met and a written undertaking to repay any amounts paid or advanced if it is ultimately determined that the person did not meet the standard of conduct for indemnification. Our Operating Partnership will not indemnify or advance funds to any person with respect to any action initiated by the person seeking indemnification without our approval (except for any proceeding brought to enforce such person's right to indemnification under the partnership agreement) or if the person is found to be liable to our Operating Partnership on any portion of any claim in the action.

We may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities related to acquired properties and properties that we may acquire in the future, which could have a material adverse effect on us.

Properties that we have acquired, and properties that we may acquire in the future, may be subject to unknown or contingent liabilities for which we may have no recourse, or only limited recourse, against the sellers. In general, the representations and warranties provided under the transaction agreements related to the purchase of properties that we acquire may not survive the completion of the transactions. Furthermore, indemnification under such agreements may be limited and subject to various materiality thresholds, a significant deductible or an aggregate cap on losses. As a result, there is no guarantee that we will recover any amounts with respect to losses due to breaches by the sellers of their representations and warranties. In addition, the total amount of costs and expenses that may be incurred with respect to liabilities associated with these properties may exceed our expectations, and we may experience other unanticipated adverse effects, all of which may materially and adversely affect us.

Our charter contains certain provisions restricting the ownership and transfer of our stock that may delay, defer or prevent a change of control transaction that might involve a premium price for our common stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests.

Our charter contains certain ownership limits with respect to our stock. Our charter, among other restrictions, prohibits the beneficial or constructive ownership by any person of more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of any class or series of our stock, excluding any shares that are not treated as outstanding for federal income tax purposes. Our board of directors, in its sole and absolute discretion, may exempt a person, prospectively or retroactively, from this ownership limit if certain conditions are satisfied. This ownership limit as well as other restrictions on ownership and transfer of our stock in our charter may:

- discourage a tender offer or other transactions or a change in management or of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests; and
- result in the transfer of shares acquired in excess of the restrictions to a trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and, as a result, the forfeiture by the acquirer of certain of the benefits of owning the additional shares.

We could increase the number of authorized shares of stock, classify and reclassify unissued stock and issue stock without stockholder approval.

Our board of directors, without stockholder approval, has the power under our charter to amend our charter to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of stock of any class or series that we are authorized to issue. In addition, under our charter, our board of directors, without stockholder approval, has the power to authorize us to issue authorized but unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock into one or more classes or series of stock and set the preference, conversion or other rights, voting powers, restrictions, limitations as to dividends and other distributions, qualifications or terms or conditions of redemption for such newly classified or reclassified shares. As a result, we may issue series or classes of common stock or preferred stock with preferences, dividends, powers and rights, voting or otherwise, that are senior to, or otherwise conflict with, the rights of holders of our common stock. Although our board of directors has no such intention at the present time, it could establish a class or series of preferred stock that could, depending on the terms of such series, delay, defer or prevent a transaction or a change of control that might involve a premium price for our common stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests.

Table of Contents

Certain provisions of Maryland law could inhibit changes of control, which may discourage third parties from conducting a tender offer or seeking other change of control transactions that could involve a premium price for our common stock or that our stockholders otherwise believe to be in their best interests.

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law (the “MGCL”), may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change of control under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then-prevailing market price of such shares, including:

- “business combination” provisions that, subject to limitations, prohibit certain business combinations between us and an “interested stockholder” (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our outstanding voting shares or an affiliate or associate of ours who was the beneficial owner, directly or indirectly, of 10% or more of the voting power of our then outstanding stock at any time within the two-year period immediately prior to the date in question) or an affiliate thereof for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter imposes certain fair price and supermajority stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and
- “control share” provisions that provide that holders of “control shares” of our company (defined as shares of stock that, when aggregated with other shares of stock controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a “control share acquisition” (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of issued and outstanding “control shares”) have no voting rights with respect to their control shares, except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

By resolution of our board of directors, we have opted out of the business combination provisions of the MGCL and provided that any business combination between us and any other person is exempt from the business combination provisions of the MGCL, provided that the business combination is first approved by our board of directors (including a majority of directors who are not affiliates or associates of such persons). In addition, pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, we have opted out of the control share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of directors may by resolution elect to opt in to the business combination provisions of the MGCL and we may, by amendment to our bylaws, opt in to the control share provisions of the MGCL in the future.

Certain provisions of the MGCL permit our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement certain corporate governance provisions, some of which (for example, a classified board) are not currently applicable to us. If implemented, these provisions may have the effect of limiting or precluding a third party from making an unsolicited acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us under circumstances that otherwise could provide the holders of shares of our common stock with the opportunity to realize a premium over the then current market price. Our charter contains a provision whereby we elect, at such time as we become eligible to do so, to be subject to the provisions of Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL relating to the filling of vacancies on our board of directors.

Certain provisions in the partnership agreement of our Operating Partnership may delay or prevent unsolicited acquisitions of us.

Provisions in the partnership agreement of our Operating Partnership may delay, or make more difficult, unsolicited acquisitions of us or changes of our control. These provisions could discourage third parties from making proposals involving an unsolicited acquisition of us or change of our control, although some of our stockholders might consider such proposals, if made, desirable. These provisions include, among others:

- redemption rights;
- a requirement that we may not be removed as the general partner of our Operating Partnership without our consent;
- transfer restrictions on OP Units;

Table of Contents

- our ability, as general partner, in some cases, to amend the partnership agreement and to cause the Operating Partnership to issue units with terms that could delay, defer or prevent a merger or other change of control of us or our Operating Partnership without the consent of the limited partners; and
- the right of the limited partners to consent to direct or indirect transfers of the general partnership interest, including as a result of a merger or a sale of all or substantially all of our assets, in the event that such transfer requires approval by our common stockholders.

The limited partners in our Operating Partnership owned approximately 34.4% of the outstanding OP Units of our Operating Partnership as of December 31, 2015.

Our tax protection agreements could limit our ability to sell or otherwise dispose of certain properties.

In connection with the formation transactions related to our initial public offering, our Operating Partnership entered into tax protection agreements that provide that if we dispose of any interest in the certain protected properties in a taxable transaction prior to the seventh (or, in a limited number of cases, the tenth) anniversary of the completion of the formation transactions, subject to certain exceptions, we will indemnify certain contributors, including Messrs. Hoffler, Haddad, Kirk, Nero and Apperson and their respective affiliates and certain of our other officers, for their tax liabilities attributable to the built-in gain that existed with respect to such property interests as of the time of our initial public offering, and the tax liabilities incurred as a result of such tax protection payment. In addition, in connection with certain acquisitions completed since our initial public offering, we entered into tax protection agreements that require us to indemnify the contributors for their tax liabilities in the event that we dispose of the properties subject to the tax protection agreements, and may enter into similar agreements in connection with future property acquisitions. Therefore, although it may be in our stockholders' best interests that we sell one of these properties, it may be economically prohibitive for us to do so because of these obligations. Moreover, as a result of these potential tax liabilities, Messrs. Hoffler, Haddad, Kirk, Nero and Apperson and certain of our other officers may have a conflict of interest with respect to our determination as to certain of our properties.

Our tax protection agreements may require our Operating Partnership to maintain certain debt levels that otherwise would not be required to operate our business.

Under our tax protection agreements, our Operating Partnership has agreed to provide certain contributors of properties we have acquired, including Messrs. Hoffler, Haddad, Kirk, Nero and Apperson and their respective affiliates and certain of our other officers, the opportunity to guarantee debt or enter into deficit restoration obligations upon a future repayment, retirement, refinancing or other reduction (other than scheduled amortization) of currently outstanding debt. If we fail to make such opportunities available, we will be required to deliver to each such contributor a cash payment intended to approximate the contributor's tax liability resulting from our failure to make

such opportunities available to that contributor and the tax liabilities incurred as a result of such tax protection payment. We agreed to these provisions in order to assist our contributors in deferring the recognition of taxable gain as a result of the contribution of certain properties to us. These obligations may require us to maintain more or different indebtedness than we would otherwise require for our business.

We may pursue less vigorous enforcement of terms of certain agreements with members of our senior management and our affiliates because of our dependence on them and conflicts of interest.

Each of Messrs. Hoffler, Haddad and Kirk, our Executive Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer and Vice Chairman of the Board, respectively, were parties to or had interests in contribution agreements with us pursuant to which we acquired interests in our properties and assets. In addition, we have entered into option agreements with certain of our officers and directors, or entities they control, with respect to certain parcels of developable land. We may choose not to enforce, or to enforce less vigorously, our rights under these agreements because of our desire to maintain our ongoing relationships with members of our board of directors and our management, with possible negative impact on stockholders.

Table of Contents

Our board of directors may change our strategies, policies and procedures without stockholder approval and we may become more highly leveraged, which may increase our risk of default under our debt obligations.

Our investment, financing, leverage and distribution policies, and our policies with respect to all other activities, including growth, capitalization and operations, will be determined exclusively by our board of directors, and may be amended or revised at any time by our board of directors without notice to or a vote of our stockholders. This could result in us conducting operational matters, making investments or pursuing different business or growth strategies than those contemplated in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Further, our charter and bylaws do not limit the amount or percentage of indebtedness, funded or otherwise, that we may incur. Our board of directors may alter or eliminate our current policy on borrowing at any time without stockholder approval. If this policy changed, we could become more highly leveraged which could result in an increase in our debt service. Higher leverage also increases the risk of default on our obligations. In addition, a change in our investment policies, including the manner in which we allocate our resources across our portfolio or the types of assets in which we seek to invest, may increase our exposure to interest rate risk, real estate market fluctuations and liquidity risk. Changes to our policies with regards to the foregoing could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited.

Under Maryland law, generally, a director will not be liable if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in our best interests and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. In addition, our charter limits the liability of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders for money damages, except for liability resulting from:

- actual receipt of an improper benefit or profit in money, property or services; or
- active and deliberate dishonesty by the director or officer that was established by a final judgment as being material to the cause of action adjudicated.

Our charter authorizes us to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each director and officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to advance the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers. We have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our executive officers and directors whereby we agreed to indemnify our directors and executive officers to the fullest extent permitted by Maryland law against all expenses and liabilities incurred in their capacity as an officer or director, subject to limited exceptions. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers than might otherwise exist absent the current provisions in our charter and bylaws or that might exist with other companies.

We are a holding company with no direct operations and, as such, we will rely on funds received from our Operating Partnership to pay liabilities, and the interests of our stockholders will be structurally subordinated to all liabilities and obligations of our Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries.

We are a holding company and conduct substantially all of our operations through our Operating Partnership. We do not have, apart from an interest in our Operating Partnership, any independent operations. As a result, we rely on cash distributions from our Operating Partnership to pay any dividends we might declare on shares of our common stock. We also rely on distributions from our Operating Partnership to meet any of our obligations, including any tax liability on taxable income allocated to us from our Operating Partnership. In addition, because we are a holding company, your claims as a stockholder will be structurally subordinated to all existing and future liabilities and obligations (whether or not for borrowed money) of our Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries. Therefore, in the event of our bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization, our assets and those of our Operating Partnership and its subsidiaries will be available to satisfy the claims of our stockholders only after all of our and our Operating Partnership's and its subsidiaries' liabilities and obligations have been paid in full.

Table of Contents

Our Operating Partnership may issue additional OP Units to third parties without the consent of our stockholders, which would reduce our ownership percentage in our Operating Partnership and could have a dilutive effect on the amount of distributions made to us by our Operating Partnership and, therefore, the amount of distributions we can make to our stockholders.

As of December 31, 2015, we owned 65.6% of the outstanding OP Units in our Operating Partnership. We may, in connection with our acquisition of properties or otherwise, issue additional OP Units to third parties. Such issuances would reduce our ownership percentage in our Operating Partnership and could affect the amount of distributions made to us by our Operating Partnership and, therefore, the amount of distributions we can make to our stockholders. Because stockholders do not directly own OP Units, you do not have any voting rights with respect to any such issuances or other partnership level activities of our Operating Partnership.

Risks Related to Our Status as a REIT

Failure to qualify as a REIT, or failure to remain qualified as a REIT, would cause us to be taxed as a regular corporation, which would substantially reduce funds available for distribution to our stockholders.

We have elected to be taxed and to operate in a manner that will allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2013. We have not requested and do not plan to request a ruling from the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”) that we qualify as a REIT. Therefore, we cannot be assured that we will qualify as a REIT, or that we will remain qualified as such in the future. If we fail to qualify as a REIT or otherwise lose our REIT status in any taxable year, we will face serious tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to our stockholders for each of the years involved because:

- we would not be allowed a deduction for dividends paid to stockholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates;
- we could be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and
- unless we are entitled to relief under certain U.S. federal income tax laws, we could not re-elect REIT status until the fifth calendar year after the year in which we failed to qualify as a REIT.

In addition, if we fail to qualify as a REIT, we will no longer be required to make distributions. As a result of all these factors, our failure to qualify as a REIT could impair our ability to expand our business and raise capital, and it would adversely affect the value of our common stock.

Even if we qualify as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that reduce our cash flows.

Even if we qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, tax on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes. In addition, our TRS will be subject to regular corporate federal, state and local taxes. Any of these taxes would decrease cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

Failure to make required distributions would subject us to U.S. federal corporate income tax.

We intend to continue to operate in a manner so as to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes. In order to qualify as a REIT, we generally are required to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, determined without regard to the dividends paid deduction and excluding any net capital gain, each year to our stockholders. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we pay out to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount specified under the Code.

Table of Contents

Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to forego otherwise attractive opportunities or liquidate otherwise attractive investments.

To qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, we must continually satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the nature and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our capital stock. In order to meet these tests, we may be required to forego investments we might otherwise make. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our performance.

In particular, we must ensure that at the end of each calendar quarter, at least 75% of the value of our assets consists of cash, cash items, government securities and qualified real estate assets. The remainder of our investment in securities (other than government securities, securities of TRSs and qualified real estate assets) generally cannot include more than 10% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer or more than 10% of the total value of the outstanding securities of any one issuer. In addition, in general, no more than 5% of the value of our assets (other than government securities, securities of TRSs and qualified real estate assets) can consist of the securities of any one issuer, and no more than 25% (20% for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017) of the value of our total assets can be represented by the securities of one or more TRSs. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct the failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter or qualify for certain statutory relief provisions to avoid losing our REIT qualification and suffering adverse tax consequences. As a result, we may be required to liquidate otherwise attractive investments. These actions could have the effect of reducing our income and amounts available for distribution to our stockholders.

The prohibited transactions tax may limit our ability to dispose of our properties.

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property other than foreclosure property, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We may be subject to the prohibited transaction tax equal to 100% of the net gain upon a disposition of real property. Although a safe harbor to the characterization of the sale of real property by a REIT as a prohibited transaction is available, we cannot assure you that we can comply with the safe harbor or that we will avoid owning property that may be characterized as held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. Consequently, we may choose not to engage in certain sales of our properties or may conduct such sales through our TRS, which would be subject to federal and state income taxation.

We may pay taxable dividends in shares of our common stock and cash, in which case stockholders may sell shares of our common stock to pay tax on such dividends, placing downward pressure on the market price of our common stock.

We may distribute taxable dividends that are payable in cash and common stock at the election of each stockholder. The IRS has issued private letter rulings to other REITs treating certain distributions that are paid partly in cash and partly in stock as taxable dividends that would satisfy the REIT annual distribution requirement and qualify for the dividends paid deduction for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Those rulings may be relied upon only by taxpayers to whom they were issued, but we could request a similar ruling from the IRS. In addition, the IRS previously issued a revenue procedure authorizing publicly traded REITs to make elective cash/stock dividends, but that revenue procedure does not apply to our 2013 and future taxable years. Accordingly, it is unclear whether and to what extent we will be able to make taxable dividends payable in cash and common stock.

If we made a taxable dividend payable in cash and common stock, taxable stockholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, stockholders may be required to pay income tax with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the common stock that it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our common stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to certain non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold U.S. federal income tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in common stock. If we made a taxable dividend payable in cash and our common stock and a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our common stock in order to pay taxes owed on dividends, it may put downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock. We do not currently intend to pay taxable dividends of our common stock and cash, although we may choose to do so in the future.

Table of Contents

The ability of our board of directors to revoke our REIT qualification without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to our stockholders.

Our charter provides that our board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without the approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interests to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to qualify as a REIT, we would become subject to U.S. federal income tax on our taxable income and would no longer be required to distribute most of our taxable income to our stockholders, which may have adverse consequences on our total return to our stockholders.

Our ownership of our TRS will be subject to limitations and our transactions with our TRS will cause us to be subject to a 100% penalty tax on certain income or deductions if those transactions are not conducted on arm's-length terms.

Overall, no more than 25% (20% for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017) of the value of a REIT's assets may consist of stock or securities of one or more TRS. In addition, the Code limits the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to its parent REIT to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation. The Code also imposes a 100% excise tax on certain transactions between a TRS and its parent REIT that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis. Furthermore, we will monitor the value of our respective investments in our TRS for the purpose of ensuring compliance with TRS ownership limitations and will structure our transactions with our TRS on terms that we believe are arm's length to avoid incurring the 100% excise tax described above. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be able to comply with the 25% (20% for taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017) REIT subsidiaries limitation or to avoid application of the 100% excise tax.

You may be restricted from acquiring or transferring certain amounts of our common stock.

The restrictions on ownership and transfer in our charter may inhibit market activity in our capital stock and restrict our business combination opportunities.

In order to qualify as a REIT for each taxable year after 2013, five or fewer individuals, as defined in the Code, may not own, beneficially or constructively, more than 50% in value of our issued and outstanding stock at any time during the last half of a taxable year. Attribution rules in the Code determine if any individual or entity beneficially or constructively owns our capital stock under this requirement. Additionally, at least 100 persons must beneficially own our capital stock during at least 335 days of a taxable year for each taxable year after 2013. To help insure that we meet these tests, our charter restricts the acquisition and ownership of shares of our capital stock.

Our charter, with certain exceptions, authorizes our directors to take such actions as are necessary to preserve our qualification as a REIT. Unless exempted by our board of directors, our charter prohibits any person from beneficially or constructively owning more than 9.8% in value or number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of the outstanding shares of any class or series of our capital stock. Our board of directors may not grant an exemption from this restriction to any proposed transferee whose ownership in excess of 9.8% of the value of our outstanding shares would result in our failing to qualify as a REIT. This as well as other restrictions on transferability and ownership will not apply, however, if our board of directors determines that it is no longer in our best interests to continue to qualify as a REIT.

Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends.

The maximum tax rate applicable to “qualified dividend income” payable to U.S. stockholders that are taxed at individual rates is 20%. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates on qualified dividend income. The more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors who taxed at individual rates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could adversely affect the value of the shares of REITs, including our common stock.

We may be subject to adverse legislative or regulatory tax changes that could reduce the market price of our common stock.

At any time, the U.S. federal income tax laws governing REITs or the administrative interpretations of those laws may be amended. We cannot predict when or if any new U.S. federal income tax law, regulation or administrative

Table of Contents

interpretation, or any amendment to any existing U.S. federal income tax law, regulation or administrative interpretation, will be adopted, promulgated or become effective and any such law, regulation, or interpretation may take effect retroactively. We and our stockholders could be adversely affected by any such change in the U.S. federal income tax laws, regulations or administrative interpretations.

If our Operating Partnership failed to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and suffer other adverse consequences.

We believe that our Operating Partnership will be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, our Operating Partnership will not be subject to federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including us, will be allocated, and may be required to pay tax with respect to, its share of our Operating Partnership's income. We cannot assure you, however, that the IRS will not challenge the status of our Operating Partnership or any other subsidiary partnership in which we own an interest as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating our Operating Partnership or any such other subsidiary partnership as an entity taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, we would likely cease to qualify as a REIT. Also, the failure of our Operating Partnership or any subsidiary partnerships to qualify as a partnership could cause it to become subject to federal and state corporate income tax, which would reduce significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to its partners, including us.

To maintain our REIT status, we may be forced to borrow funds during unfavorable market conditions, and the unavailability of such capital on favorable terms at the desired times, or at all, may cause us to curtail our investment activities or dispose of assets at inopportune times or on unfavorable terms, which could materially adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations and cash flow.

To qualify as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income each year, excluding net capital gains, and we will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income each year. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. In order to maintain our REIT status and avoid the payment of income and excise taxes, we may need to borrow funds to meet the REIT distribution requirements even if the then prevailing market conditions are not favorable for these borrowings. These borrowing needs could result from, among other things, differences in timing between the actual receipt of cash and inclusion of income for federal income tax purposes, or the effect of non-deductible capital expenditures, the creation of reserves or required principal or amortization payments. These sources, however, may not be available on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends on a number of factors, including the market's perception of our growth potential, our current debt levels, the market price of our common stock, and our current and potential future earnings. We cannot assure you that we will have access to such capital on favorable terms at the desired times, or at all, which may cause us to curtail our investment activities or dispose of assets at inopportune times or on unfavorable terms, which could materially adversely affect our financial

condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

We may be unable to make distributions at expected levels, which could result in a decrease in the market price of our common stock.

We intend to continue to pay regular quarterly distributions to our stockholders. All distributions will be made at the discretion of our board of directors and will be based upon, among other factors, our historical and projected results of operations, financial condition, cash flows and liquidity, maintenance of our REIT qualification and other tax considerations, capital expenditure and other expense obligations, debt covenants, contractual prohibitions or other limitations and applicable law and such other matters as our board of directors may deem relevant from time to time. If sufficient cash is not available for distribution from our operations, we may have to fund distributions from working capital, borrow to provide funds for such distributions, or reduce the amount of such distributions. To the extent we borrow to fund distributions, our future interest costs would increase, thereby reducing our earnings and cash available for distribution from what they otherwise would have been. If cash available for distribution generated by our assets is

Table of Contents

less than our current estimate, or if such cash available for distribution decreases in future periods from expected levels, our inability to make the expected distributions could result in a decrease in the market price of our common stock.

Our ability to make distributions may also be limited by our new credit facility. Under the terms of the credit facility, our ability to make distributions during any twelve-month period is limited to the greater of (1) 95% of our adjusted funds from operations (as defined in the credit agreement) or (2) the amount required for us to (a) maintain our REIT status and (b) avoid the payment of federal or state income or excise tax. In addition, if a default or events of default exist or would result from a distribution, we are precluded from making certain distributions other than those required to allow us to maintain our status as a REIT.

As a result of the foregoing, we may not be able to make distributions in the future, and our inability to make distributions, or to make distributions at expected levels, could result in a decrease in the market price of our common stock.

The market price and trading volume of our common stock may be volatile and could decline substantially in the future.

The market price of our common stock may be volatile in the future. In addition, the trading volume in our common stock may fluctuate and cause significant price variations to occur. We cannot assure stockholders that the market price of our common stock will not fluctuate or decline significantly in the future, including as a result of factors unrelated to our operating performance or prospects. In particular, the market price of our common stock could be subject to wide fluctuations in response to a number of factors, including, among others, the following:

- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results or dividends;
- changes in our FFO or earnings estimates;
- publication of research reports about us or the real estate industry;
- increases in market interest rates that lead purchasers of our shares to demand a higher yield;
- changes in market valuations of similar companies;

- adverse market reaction to any additional debt we incur in the future;
- additions or departures of key management personnel;
- actions by institutional stockholders;
- speculation in the press or investment community;
- the realization of any of the other risk factors presented in this Annual Report on Form 10-K;
- the extent of investor interest in our securities;
- the general reputation of REITs and the attractiveness of our equity securities in comparison to other equity securities, including securities issued by other real estate-based companies;
- our underlying asset value;
- investor confidence in the stock and bond markets generally;
- changes in tax laws;
- future equity issuances;

Table of Contents

- failure to meet earnings estimates;

- failure to meet and maintain REIT qualifications;

- changes in our credit ratings; and

- general market and economic conditions.

In the past, securities class action litigation has often been instituted against companies following periods of volatility in the price of their common stock. This type of litigation could result in substantial costs and divert our management's attention and resources, which could have a material adverse effect on us, including our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our common stock.

Increases in market interest rates may have an adverse effect on the trading prices of our common stock as prospective purchasers of our common stock may expect a higher dividend yield and as an increased cost of borrowing may decrease our funds available for distribution.

One of the factors that will influence the trading prices of our common stock will be the dividend yield on the common stock (as a percentage of the price of our common stock) relative to market interest rates. An increase in market interest rates, which are currently at low levels relative to historical rates, may lead prospective purchasers of our common stock to expect a higher dividend yield (with a resulting decline in the trading prices of our common stock) and higher interest rates would likely increase our borrowing costs and potentially decrease funds available for distribution. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our common stock to decrease.

The number of shares of our common stock available for future issuance or sale could materially adversely affect the per share trading price of our common stock.

As of February 29, 2016, there were 30,076,359 shares of our common stock outstanding. In addition, as of February 29, 2016, there were 15,751,986 OP Units in our Operating Partnership outstanding, of which 14,751,986 OP Units are currently redeemable at the option of the holders and 1,000,000 OP Units will become redeemable by the holders in July 2017, in each case, for cash, or at our option, for shares of our common stock, on a one-for-one basis. We have agreed to register the shares issuable upon redemption of the OP Units so that such shares will be freely tradable under the securities laws. In addition, any OP Units we issue in the future in connection with property acquisitions will be redeemable at the option of the holders for cash or shares of our common stock on a one-for-one basis beginning one year after the date of issuance of such OP Units.

We cannot predict whether future issuances or sales of shares of our common stock or the availability of shares for resale in the open market will decrease the per share trading price per share of our common stock. The per share trading price of our common stock may decline significantly when we register the shares of our common stock issuable upon redemption of outstanding OP Units.

The issuance of substantial numbers of shares of equity securities, including OP Units, or the perception that such issuances might occur could materially adversely affect us, including the per share trading price of shares of our common stock.

The redemption of OP Units for common stock, the vesting of any restricted stock granted to certain directors, executive officers and other employees under our 2013 Equity Incentive Plan, the issuance of our common stock or OP Units in connection with future property, portfolio or business acquisitions and other issuances of our common stock could have an adverse effect on the per share trading price of our common stock, and the existence of units, options or shares of our common stock issuable under our 2013 Equity Incentive Plan or upon redemption of OP Units may adversely affect the terms upon which we may be able to obtain additional capital through the sale of equity securities. In addition, future issuances of shares of our common stock or OP Units may be dilutive to existing stockholders.

Table of Contents

Future offerings of debt, which would be senior to our common stock upon liquidation, and preferred equity securities, which may be senior to our common stock for purposes of dividend distributions or upon liquidation, may materially adversely affect us, including the per share trading price of our common stock.

In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of debt or equity securities (or causing our Operating Partnership to issue debt securities), including medium-term notes, senior or subordinated notes and classes or series of preferred stock. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and shares of preferred stock and lenders with respect to other borrowings will be entitled to receive our available assets prior to distribution to the holders of our common stock. Additionally, any convertible or exchangeable securities that we issue in the future may have rights, preferences and privileges more favorable than those of our common stock and may result in dilution to owners of our common stock. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution. Our preferred stock, if issued, could have a preference on liquidating distributions or a preference on dividend payments that could limit our ability pay dividends to the holders of our common stock. Because our decision to issue securities in any future offering will depend on market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk that our future offerings could reduce the per share trading price of our common stock and dilute their interest in us.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.

None.

Item 2. Properties.

The information set forth under the captions “Our Properties” and “Development Pipeline” in Item 1 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K is incorporated by reference herein.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

The nature of our business exposes our properties, us and the Operating Partnership to the risk of claims and litigation in the normal course of business. Other than routine litigation arising out of the ordinary course of business, we are not presently subject to any material litigation nor, to our knowledge, is any material litigation threatened against us.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.

Not Applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market For Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Market Information

Our common stock trades on the NYSE under the symbol "AHH." Below is a summary of the high and low prices of our common stock for each quarterly period in the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and the cash distributions per share declared by us with respect to each period.

| 2015 | High | Low | Distributions Declared |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---------|------------------------|
| January 1, 2015—March 31, 2015 | \$ 11.12 | \$ 9.44 | \$ 0.17 |
| April 1, 2015—June 30, 2015 | 10.83 | 9.99 | 0.17 |
| July 1, 2015—September 30, 2015 | 10.63 | 9.50 | 0.17 |
| October 1, 2015—December 31, 2015 | 11.60 | 9.62 | 0.17 |

Table of Contents

| 2014 | High | Low | Distributions Declared |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---------|---------------------------|
| January 1, 2014—March 31, 2014 | \$ 10.65 | \$ 8.78 | \$ 0.16 |
| April 1, 2014—June 30, 2014 | 10.17 | 9.32 | 0.16 |
| July 1, 2014—September 30, 2014 | 9.93 | 8.99 | 0.16 |
| October 1, 2014—December 31, 2014 | 9.76 | 8.86 | 0.16 |

On December 31, 2015 and February 29, 2016, the closing price of our common stock as reported on the NYSE was \$10.48 and \$10.63, respectively.

Stock Performance Graph

The following graph sets forth the cumulative total stockholder return (assuming reinvestment of dividends) to our stockholders during the period May 8, 2013, the date our common stock began trading on the NYSE, through December 31, 2015, as well as the corresponding returns on an overall stock market index (Russell 2000 Index) and a peer group index (MSCI US REIT Index). The stock performance graph assumes that \$100 was invested on May 8, 2013. Historical total stockholder return is not necessarily indicative of future results. The information in this paragraph and the following graph shall not be deemed to be “soliciting material” or to be “filed” with the SEC or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, other than as provided in Item 201 of Regulation S-K, or to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act, except to the extent we specifically request that such information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporate it by reference into a filing under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

Distribution Information

Since our initial quarter as a publicly-traded REIT, we have made regular quarterly distributions to our stockholders. We intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions. However, we cannot provide any assurance as to the amount or timing of future distributions. For a description of restrictions on our ability to make distributions, see “Item 7—Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Liquidity and Capital Resources—New Credit Facility,” and Note 8, “Indebtedness” to our accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements.

Table of Contents

Any future distributions will be at the sole discretion of our board of directors, and their form, timing and amount, if any, will depend upon a number of factors, including our actual and projected financial condition, liquidity, EBITDA, FFO and results of operations, the revenue we actually receive from our properties, our operating expenses, our debt service requirements, our capital expenditures, prohibitions and other limitations under our financing arrangements, as described above, our REIT taxable income, the annual REIT distribution requirements, applicable law and such other factors as our board of directors deems relevant. To the extent that our cash available for distribution is less than 90% of our REIT taxable income, we may consider various means to cover any such shortfall, including borrowing under our new credit facility or other loans, selling certain of our assets or using a portion of the net proceeds we receive from offerings of equity, equity-related or debt securities or declaring taxable share dividends.

To the extent that we make distributions in excess of our earnings and profits, as computed for federal income tax purposes, these distributions will represent a return of capital, rather than a dividend, for federal income tax purposes. Distributions that are treated as a return of capital for federal income tax purposes will reduce the stockholder's basis in its shares (but not below zero) and therefore can result in the stockholder having a higher gain upon a subsequent sale of such shares. Return of capital distributions in excess of a stockholder's basis generally will be treated as gain from the sale of such shares for federal income tax purposes.

Stockholder Information

As of February 29, 2016, there were approximately 109 holders of record of our common stock. However, because many shares of our common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we believe there are substantially more beneficial holders of our common stock than record holders. As of February 29, 2016, there were 59 holders (other than our company) of our OP units. Our OP units are redeemable for cash or, at our election, for shares of our common stock.

Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities

None.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

During the three months ended December 31, 2015, certain of our employees surrendered shares of common stock owned by them to satisfy their minimum statutory federal and state tax obligations associated with the vesting of

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

restricted shares of common stock issued under our 2013 Equity Incentive Plan. The following table summarizes all of these repurchases during the three months ended December 31, 2015.

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased(1) | Average Price Paid for Shares | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|
| October 1, 2015 through October 31, 2015 | — | \$ — | N/A | N/A |
| November 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015 | 95 | 10.61 | N/A | N/A |
| December 1, 2015 through December 31, 2015 | — | — | N/A | N/A |
| Total | 95 | | | |

(1) The number of shares purchased represents shares of common stock surrendered by certain of our employees to satisfy their statutory minimum federal and state tax obligations associated with the vesting of restricted shares of common stock issued under the 2013 Plan. With respect to these shares, the price paid per share is based on the fair value at the time of surrender.

Item 6. Selected Financial Data.

The following selected historical consolidated and combined financial information should be read in conjunction with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and the historical consolidated and combined financial statements as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 and for the three years ended December 31, 2015 and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

We completed our initial public offering on May 13, 2013. Due to the timing of the initial public offering, we present herein certain consolidated and combined historical financial data for us and our predecessor. Our predecessor was not a legal entity, but rather a combination of certain real estate and construction entities. The historical combined financial data for our predecessor is not necessarily indicative of our results of operations, cash flows or financial position following the completion of the initial public offering.

The selected historical consolidated and combined financial information as of and for the years ended December 31, 2015, 2014, 2013, 2012 and 2011 has been derived from our audited historical financial statements. Due to the timing of the initial public offering, the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 reflect only the financial condition and results of operations of our predecessor. The results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflect the financial condition and results of operations of our predecessor together with our company.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---|--|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (\$ in thousands, except per share data) | | | | |
| Operating Data: | | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 81,172 | \$ 64,746 | \$ 57,520 | \$ 54,436 | \$ 52,578 |
| General contracting and real estate services revenues | 171,268 | 103,321 | 82,516 | 54,046 | 77,602 |
| Rental expenses | 19,204 | 16,667 | 14,025 | 12,682 | 12,568 |
| Real estate taxes | 7,782 | 5,743 | 5,124 | 4,865 | 4,781 |
| General contracting and real estate services expenses | 165,344 | 98,754 | 78,813 | 50,103 | 72,138 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,153 | 17,569 | 14,898 | 12,909 | 12,994 |
| Interest expense | (13,333) | (10,648) | (12,303) | (16,561) | (18,134) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (512) | — | (2,387) | — | (3,448) |
| Gain on real estate dispositions and acquisitions | 18,394 | 2,211 | 9,460 | — | — |
| Income from continuing operations | 31,183 | 12,759 | 14,453 | 8,907 | 2,647 |
| Results from discontinued operations | — | — | — | (10) | (381) |
| Net income | \$ 31,183 | \$ 12,759 | \$ 14,453 | \$ 8,897 | \$ 2,266 |
| Net income attributable to stockholders | \$ 19,642 | \$ 7,691 | \$ 7,336 | | |
| Net income per share—basic and diluted | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.37 | \$ 0.39 | | |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$ 0.68 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.40 | | |
| Balance Sheet Data: | | | | | |
| Real estate investments, at cost | \$ 633,591 | \$ 595,000 | \$ 462,976 | \$ 354,740 | \$ 349,933 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (125,380) | (116,099) | (105,228) | (92,454) | (80,923) |
| Net real estate investments | 508,211 | 478,901 | 357,748 | 262,286 | 269,010 |
| Real estate investments held for sale | 40,232 | 8,538 | — | — | 473 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 26,989 | 25,883 | 18,882 | 9,400 | 13,449 |
| Notes receivable | 7,825 | — | — | — | — |
| Construction assets | 36,623 | 19,704 | 13,811 | 11,696 | 13,866 |
| Total assets | 689,547 | 588,022 | 432,210 | 329,862 | 338,499 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Indebtedness, net | 377,593 | 356,345 | 274,673 | 333,130 | 337,927 |
| Construction liabilities | 54,291 | 43,452 | 29,680 | 21,605 | 23,825 |
| Total liabilities | 463,827 | 426,116 | 326,689 | 371,203 | 375,898 |
| Total equity | 225,720 | 161,906 | 105,521 | (41,341) | (37,399) |
| Other Data: | | | | | |
| Funds from operations(1) | \$ 35,942 | \$ 28,117 | \$ 19,806 | \$ 21,886 | \$ 15,861 |
| Cash provided by operating activities | 33,086 | 31,362 | 22,175 | 22,326 | 23,183 |
| Cash used for investing activities | (56,381) | (105,306) | (47,947) | (4,702) | (5,998) |
| Cash provided by (used for) financing activities | 24,401 | 80,945 | 35,254 | (21,673) | (12,171) |

(1) We calculate funds from operations (“FFO”) in accordance with the standards established by the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO represents net income (loss) (computed in accordance with U.S.

Table of Contents

generally accepted accounting principles, or GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. Management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because it believes that FFO is beneficial to investors as a starting point in measuring our operational performance. Specifically, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, which do not relate to or are not indicative of operating performance, FFO provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes real estate related depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. In addition, other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or service indebtedness. FFO also should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of our FFO to net income, the most directly comparable GAAP equivalent, for the periods presented:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | |
| Net income | \$ 31,183 | \$ 12,759 | \$ 14,453 | \$ 8,897 | \$ 2,266 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,153 | 17,569 | 14,898 | 12,909 | 12,994 |
| (Gain) loss on real estate dispositions and acquisitions | (18,394) | (2,211) | (9,460) | — | 569 |
| Real estate joint ventures, net | — | — | (85) | 80 | 32 |
| Funds from operations | \$ 35,942 | \$ 28,117 | \$ 19,806 | \$ 21,886 | \$ 15,861 |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

References to "we," "our," "us," and "our company" refer to Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., a Maryland corporation, together with our consolidated subsidiaries, including Armada Hoffler, L.P., a Virginia limited partnership, of which we are the sole general partner and to which we refer in this Annual Report on Form 10-K as our Operating Partnership.

Business Description

We are a full service real estate company with extensive experience developing, building, owning and managing high-quality, institutional-grade office, retail and multifamily properties in attractive markets throughout the Mid-Atlantic United States.

We are a Maryland corporation formed on October 12, 2012 to acquire the entities in which Daniel A. Hoffler and his affiliates, certain of our other officers, directors and their affiliates and other third parties owned a direct or indirect interest (our "Predecessor") through a series of related formation transactions (the "Formation Transactions"). We did not have any operating activity until the consummation of our initial public offering of our shares of common stock (the "IPO") and the Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013. Upon completing our IPO and the Formation Transactions, we carry on our operations through Armada Hoffler, L.P. (our "Operating Partnership"), whose assets, liabilities and results of operations we consolidate.

Our "Predecessor" was not a single legal entity, but rather a combination of real estate and construction entities that were under common control by our Executive Chairman, Daniel A. Hoffler. These entities included: (i) controlling interests in entities that owned seven office properties, 14 retail properties and one multifamily property, (ii) noncontrolling interests in entities that owned one retail and one multifamily property (Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing, respectively), (iii) the property development and asset management businesses of Armada Hoffler Holding Company, Inc. and (iv) the general commercial construction businesses of Armada Hoffler Construction Company and Armada Hoffler Construction Company of Virginia.

Because of the timing of the IPO and the Formation Transactions, the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflect our results together with those of our Predecessor. The financial condition as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 as well as the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 are solely ours.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated and combined financial statements that have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to exercise our best judgment in making estimates that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. We base our estimates on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis, based upon current available information. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

We believe the following accounting policies and estimates are the most critical to understanding our reported financial results as their effect on our financial condition and results of operations is material.

Revenue Recognition

Rental Revenues

We lease our properties under operating leases and recognize base rents on a straight-line basis over the lease term. We also recognize revenue from tenant recoveries, through which tenants reimburse us for expenses paid by us such as utilities, janitorial, repairs and maintenance, security and alarm, parking lot and grounds, general and administrative, management fees, insurance and real estate taxes, on an accrual basis. Our rental revenues are reduced by the amount of any leasing incentives on a straight-line basis over the term of the applicable lease. We include a renewal period in the lease term only if it appears at lease inception that the renewal is reasonably assured. We begin recognizing rental revenue when the tenant has the right to take possession of or controls the physical use of the property under lease. We maintain control of the physical use of the property under lease if we serve as the general contractor for the tenant.

Table of Contents

Rental revenue is recognized subject to management's evaluation of tenant credit risk. The extended collection period for accrued straight-line rental revenue along with our evaluation of tenant credit risk may result in the non-recognition of all or a portion of straight-line rental revenue until the collection of such revenue is reasonably assured.

General Contracting and Real Estate Services Revenues

We recognize revenue on construction contracts using the percentage-of-completion method. Using this method, we recognize revenue and an estimated profit as construction contract costs are incurred based on the proportion of incurred costs to total estimated costs under the contract. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recognized immediately in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions, and estimated profitability, including those arising from contract penalty provisions and final contract settlements, may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which they are determined. We include profit incentives in revenues when their realization is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. General contracting and real estate services revenue is recognized subject to management's evaluation of customer credit risk.

Real Estate Project Costs

We capitalize direct and certain indirect costs clearly associated with the development, redevelopment, construction, leasing or expansion of our real estate assets. Capitalized project costs include direct material, labor, subcontract costs, real estate taxes, insurance, utilities, ground rent, interest on borrowing obligations and salaries and related personnel costs.

We capitalize direct and indirect project costs associated with the initial construction or redevelopment of a property up to the time the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. We believe the completion of the building shell is the proper basis for determining substantial completion of initial construction.

We also capitalize direct and indirect costs, including interest costs, on vacant space during extended lease-up periods after construction of the building shell has been completed if costs are being incurred to prepare the vacant space for its intended use. If costs and activities incurred to prepare the vacant space for its intended use cease, then cost capitalization is also discontinued until such activities are resumed. Once necessary work has been completed on a vacant space, project costs are no longer capitalized. In addition, all leasing commissions paid to third parties for new leases or lease renewals are capitalized.

We depreciate buildings on a straight-line basis over 39 years and tenant improvements over the shorter of their estimated useful lives or the term of the related lease.

Real Estate Impairment

We evaluate our real estate assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If such an evaluation is necessary, we compare the carrying amount of any such real estate asset with the undiscounted expected future cash flows that are directly associated with, and that are expected to arise as a direct result of, its use and eventual disposition. Our estimate of the expected future cash flows attributable to a real estate asset is based upon, among other things, our estimates regarding future market conditions, rental rates, occupancy levels, tenant improvements, leasing commissions, tenant concessions and assumptions regarding the residual value of our properties. If the carrying amount of a real estate asset exceeds its associated undiscounted expected future cash flows, we recognize an impairment loss to reduce the carrying amount of the real estate asset to its fair value based on marketplace participant assumptions.

Adoption of New or Revised Accounting Standards

As an emerging growth company under the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act, we can elect to adopt new or revised accounting standards as they are effective for private companies. However, we have elected to opt out of such extended transition period. Therefore, we will adopt new or revised accounting standards as they are effective for public companies. This election is irrevocable.

On April 7, 2015, the FASB issued new guidance that requires debt issuance costs to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the associated debt liability, consistent with the

Table of Contents

presentation of a debt discount, rather than as an asset. We early adopted the new guidance effective December 31, 2015 and applied it on a retrospective basis for all debt issuance costs, including those pertaining to our revolving credit facility. As a result, unamortized debt issuance costs of \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2014 have been reclassified from other assets and presented as a deduction of indebtedness in the consolidated balance sheet.

Segment Results of Operations

As of December 31, 2015, we operated our business in four segments: (i) office real estate, (ii) retail real estate, (iii) multifamily residential real estate and (iv) general contracting and real estate services that are conducted through our taxable REIT subsidiaries (“TRS”). Net operating income (segment revenues minus segment expenses) or “NOI” is the measure used by management to assess segment performance and allocate our resources among our segments. NOI is not a measure of operating income or cash flows from operating activities as measured by GAAP and is not indicative of cash available to fund cash needs. As a result, NOI should not be considered an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. Not all companies calculate NOI in the same manner. We consider NOI to be an appropriate supplemental measure to net income because it assists both investors and management in understanding the core operations of our real estate and construction businesses. See Note 3 to our consolidated and combined financial statements in Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for a reconciliation of NOI to net income.

We define same store properties as those that we owned and operated and that were stabilized for the entirety of both periods compared. Same store properties exclude those that were in lease-up during the periods compared. We generally consider a property to be in lease-up until the earlier of: (i) the quarter after the property reaches 80% occupancy or (ii) the thirteenth quarter after the property receives its certificate of occupancy.

Office Segment Data

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 31,534 | \$ 27,827 | \$ 25,794 |
| NOI | \$ 21,646 | \$ 19,117 | \$ 17,902 |
| Square feet ⁽¹⁾ | 916,316 | 918,162 | 952,603 |
| Occupancy ⁽¹⁾ | 95.8 % | 95.2 % | 95.2 % |

⁽¹⁾ Stabilized properties as of the end of the periods presented.

Rental revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$3.7 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. NOI for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$2.5 million compared to the year ended December

31, 2014. The increases in rental revenues and NOI resulted from the full year operation of 4525 Main Street and our delivery of three new build-to-suit buildings for Oceaneering International and the Commonwealth of Virginia. These increases were partially offset by property dispositions – the Sentara Williamsburg medical office building that we sold in the first quarter of 2015 and the Virginia Natural Gas office building that we sold in the fourth quarter of 2014.

Rental revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$2.0 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. NOI for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$1.2 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increases in rental revenues and NOI resulted from the delivery and initial occupancy of our new 4525 Main Street office tower, higher occupancy at One Columbus and Two Columbus and lease renewals at Armada Hoffler Tower.

Table of Contents

Office Same Store Results

Office same store rental revenues, property expenses and NOI for the comparative years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2015 (1) | 2014 (1) | Change | 2014 (2) | 2013(2) | Change |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 24,698 | \$ 24,615 | \$ 83 | \$ 25,640 | \$ 25,117 | \$ 523 |
| Property expenses | 8,175 | 8,140 | 35 | 8,208 | 7,816 | 392 |
| Same Store NOI | \$ 16,523 | \$ 16,475 | \$ 48 | \$ 17,432 | \$ 17,301 | \$ 131 |
| Non-Same Store NOI | 5,123 | 2,642 | 2,481 | 1,685 | 601 | 1,084 |
| Segment NOI | \$ 21,646 | \$ 19,117 | \$ 2,529 | \$ 19,117 | \$ 17,902 | \$ 1,215 |

(1) Same store excludes 4525 Main Street, the two Commonwealth of Virginia buildings, the Oceaneering International building, the Sentara Williamsburg medical office building and the Virginia Natural Gas office building.

(2) Same store excludes 4525 Main Street and the Virginia Natural Gas office building.

Same store rental revenues and NOI for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased slightly compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 because of higher occupancy at Two Columbus and Armada Hoffler Tower in the Town Center of Virginia Beach.

Same store rental revenues and NOI for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 because of higher occupancy at One Columbus and Two Columbus as well as lease renewals at Armada Hoffler Tower.

Retail Segment Data

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 32,064 | \$ 23,956 | \$ 21,755 |
| NOI | \$ 23,221 | \$ 16,848 | \$ 14,976 |
| Square feet(1) | 1,643,058 | 1,200,738 | 1,093,301 |

| | | | | | | |
|--------------|------|---|------|---|------|---|
| Occupancy(1) | 95.5 | % | 96.4 | % | 93.4 | % |
|--------------|------|---|------|---|------|---|

(1) Stabilized properties as of the end of the periods presented.

Rental revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$8.1 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. NOI for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$6.4 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increases in rental revenues and NOI resulted primarily from property acquisitions and new real estate placed into service. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we acquired Perry Hall Marketplace, Stone House Square, Socastee Commons, Columbus Village and Providence Plaza and placed into service Sandbridge Commons.

Rental revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$2.2 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. NOI for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$1.9 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increases in rental revenues and NOI resulted primarily from our consolidation of Bermuda Crossroads upon completion of our IPO and Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013 and our acquisition of Dimmock Square on August 15, 2014.

Table of Contents

Retail Same Store Results

Retail same store rental revenues, property expenses and NOI for the comparative years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2015 (1) | 2014 (1) | Change | 2014 (2) | 2013 (2) | Change |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 23,948 | \$ 22,986 | \$ 962 | \$ 20,874 | \$ 20,474 | \$ 400 |
| Property expenses | 7,160 | 6,962 | 198 | 6,487 | 6,525 | (38) |
| Same Store NOI | \$ 16,788 | \$ 16,024 | \$ 764 | \$ 14,387 | \$ 13,949 | \$ 438 |
| Non-Same Store NOI | 6,433 | 824 | 5,609 | 2,461 | 1,027 | 1,434 |
| Segment NOI | \$ 23,221 | \$ 16,848 | \$ 6,373 | \$ 16,848 | \$ 14,976 | \$ 1,872 |

(1) Same store excludes Columbus Village, Dimmock Square, Greentree Shopping Center, Providence Plaza, Perry Hall Marketplace, Sandbridge Commons, Socastee Commons and Stone House Square.

(2) Same store excludes Bermuda Crossroads, Dimmock Square and Greentree Shopping Center.

Same store rental revenues and NOI for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily because of higher occupancy at South Retail in the Town Center of Virginia Beach and the redeveloped ground floor space at Dick's at Town Center.

Same store rental revenues and NOI for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 because of higher occupancy at South Retail in the Town Center of Virginia Beach, North Point Center, Gainsborough Square and Fountain Plaza, as well as increased percentage rent from 249 Central Park Retail.

Multifamily Segment Data

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 17,574 | \$ 12,963 | \$ 9,971 |
| NOI | \$ 9,319 | \$ 6,371 | \$ 5,493 |
| Apartment units(1) | 1,109 | 626 | 626 |
| Occupancy(1) | 94.2 % | 95.7 % | 94.2 % |

(1) Stabilized properties as of the end of the periods presented.

Rental revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$4.6 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. NOI increased \$2.9 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increases in rental revenues and NOI resulted primarily from our stabilization of both Encore and Liberty Apartments during 2015 as well as higher occupancy at The Cosmopolitan in the Town Center of Virginia Beach.

Rental revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$3.0 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. NOI increased \$0.9 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increases in rental revenues and NOI resulted primarily from our consolidation of Smith's Landing upon completion of our IPO and Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013 as well as higher occupancy at The Cosmopolitan. Our acquisition of Liberty Apartments on January 17, 2014 and our initial delivery of Encore Apartments during the third quarter of 2014 also contributed to the increase in rental revenues.

Table of Contents

Multifamily Same Store Results

Multifamily same store rental revenues, property expenses and NOI for the comparative years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 and December 31, 2014 and 2013 were as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------|--------|
| | 2015 (1) | 2014 (1) | Change | 2014 (2) | 2013 (2) | Change |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 12,159 | \$ 11,638 | \$ 521 | \$ 7,758 | \$ 7,494 | \$ 264 |
| Property expenses | 5,249 | 5,148 | 101 | 3,555 | 3,441 | 114 |
| Same Store NOI | \$ 6,910 | \$ 6,490 | \$ 420 | \$ 4,203 | \$ 4,053 | \$ 150 |
| Non-Same Store NOI | 2,409 | (119) | 2,528 | 2,168 | 1,440 | 728 |
| Segment NOI | \$ 9,319 | \$ 6,371 | \$ 2,948 | \$ 6,371 | \$ 5,493 | \$ 878 |

(1) Same store excludes Encore Apartments, Liberty Apartments and Whetstone Apartments.

(2) Same store excludes Smith's Landing, Encore Apartments, Liberty Apartments and Whetstone Apartments.

Same store rental revenues and NOI for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 because of higher occupancy at The Cosmopolitan in the Town Center of Virginia Beach and Smith's Landing.

Same store rental revenues and NOI for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 because of higher occupancy at The Cosmopolitan.

General Contracting and Real Estate Services Segment Data

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | |
| Segment revenues | \$ 171,268 | \$ 103,321 | \$ 82,516 |
| Gross profit | 5,924 | 4,567 | 3,703 |
| Operating margin | 3.5 % | 4.4 % | 4.5 % |
| Construction backlog | \$ 83,433 | \$ 159,139 | \$ 46,385 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Segment revenues for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$67.9 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$1.4 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in segment revenues and gross profit resulted from higher volume on our construction contracts driven by the Exelon construction project in the Inner Harbor of Baltimore, which was slightly offset by lower operating margins.

Segment revenues for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$20.8 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. Gross profit for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$0.9 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increases in segment revenues and gross profit resulted from higher volume on our construction contracts driven by the Exelon construction project as operating margins year over year were consistent.

The changes in construction backlog for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 were as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | |
| Beginning backlog | \$ 159,139 | \$ 46,385 | \$ 64,577 |
| New contracts/change orders | 95,356 | 215,303 | 64,742 |
| Work performed | (171,062) | (102,549) | (82,934) |
| Ending backlog | \$ 83,433 | \$ 159,139 | \$ 46,385 |

During the year ended December 31, 2015, we added \$45.9 million to backlog for the construction of a new hotel at the Oceanfront of Virginia Beach, Virginia for a related party development group. Construction is expected to be

Table of Contents

completed in time for the 2017 summer season. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$40.4 million of backlog related to the Oceanfront hotel construction project.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we executed a \$168.8 million contract for the construction of the new headquarters for Exelon's Constellation business unit in Baltimore, Maryland. Exelon is the nation's leading competitive energy provider. Construction began in the spring of 2014 with completion expected in the spring of 2016. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, we had \$26.9 million and \$126.0 million, respectively, of backlog related to the Exelon construction project.

Consolidated and Combined Results of Operations

Because of the timing of our IPO, the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflect our results together with those of our Predecessor, while the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 are solely ours.

The following table summarizes our results of operations for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | 2015 | 2014 |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | Change | Change |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | |
| Revenues | | | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 81,172 | \$ 64,746 | \$ 57,520 | \$ 16,426 | \$ 7,226 |
| General contracting and real estate services revenues | 171,268 | 103,321 | 82,516 | 67,947 | 20,805 |
| Total revenues | 252,440 | 168,067 | 140,036 | 84,373 | 28,031 |
| Expenses | | | | | |
| Rental expenses | 19,204 | 16,667 | 14,025 | 2,537 | 2,642 |
| Real estate taxes | 7,782 | 5,743 | 5,124 | 2,039 | 619 |
| General contracting and real estate services expenses | 165,344 | 98,754 | 78,813 | 66,590 | 19,941 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,153 | 17,569 | 14,898 | 5,584 | 2,671 |
| General and administrative expenses | 8,397 | 7,711 | 6,937 | 686 | 774 |
| Acquisition, development and other pursuit costs | 1,935 | 229 | — | 1,706 | 229 |
| Impairment charges | 41 | 15 | 580 | 26 | (565) |
| Total expenses | 225,856 | 146,688 | 120,377 | 79,168 | 26,311 |
| Operating income | 26,584 | 21,379 | 19,659 | 5,205 | 1,720 |
| Interest income | 126 | — | — | 126 | — |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Interest expense | (13,333) | (10,648) | (12,303) | (2,685) | 1,655 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (512) | — | (2,387) | (512) | 2,387 |
| Gain on real estate dispositions and acquisitions | 18,394 | 2,211 | 9,460 | 16,183 | (7,249) |
| Other (expense) income | (110) | (113) | 297 | 3 | (410) |
| Income before taxes | 31,149 | 12,829 | 14,726 | 18,320 | (1,897) |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | 34 | (70) | (273) | 104 | 203 |
| Net income | \$ 31,183 | \$ 12,759 | \$ 14,453 | \$ 18,424 | \$ (1,694) |

Rental Revenues. Rental revenues by segment for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 were as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | 2015 | 2014 |
|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | Change | Change |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | |
| Office | \$ 31,534 | \$ 27,827 | \$ 25,794 | \$ 3,707 | \$ 2,033 |
| Retail | 32,064 | 23,956 | 21,755 | 8,108 | 2,201 |
| Multifamily | 17,574 | 12,963 | 9,971 | 4,611 | 2,992 |
| | \$ 81,172 | \$ 64,746 | \$ 57,520 | \$ 16,426 | \$ 7,226 |

Rental revenues increased \$16.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase in office rental revenues resulted from the full year operation of 4525 Main Street and our delivery of three new build-to-suit buildings for Oceaneering International and the Commonwealth of Virginia. These increases were partially offset by property dispositions – the Sentara Williamsburg medical office building that we

Table of Contents

sold in the first quarter of 2015 and the Virginia Natural Gas office building that we sold in the fourth quarter of 2014. The increase in retail rental revenues resulted primarily from property acquisitions and new real estate placed into service. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we acquired Perry Hall Marketplace, Stone House Square, Socastee Commons, Columbus Village and Providence Plaza and placed into service Sandbridge Commons. The increase in multifamily rental revenues resulted primarily from our stabilization of both Encore and Liberty Apartments as well as higher occupancy at The Cosmopolitan in the Town Center of Virginia Beach.

Rental revenues increased \$7.2 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in office rental revenues resulted from the delivery and initial occupancy of our new 4525 Main Street office tower, higher occupancy at One Columbus and Two Columbus and lease renewals at Armada Hoffler Tower. The increase in retail rental revenues resulted primarily from our consolidation of Bermuda Crossroads upon completion of our IPO and Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013 and our acquisition of Dimmock Square on August 15, 2014. The increase in multifamily rental revenues resulted primarily from our consolidation of Smith's Landing upon completion of our IPO and Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013, higher occupancy at The Cosmopolitan, our acquisition of Liberty Apartments on January 17, 2014 and our initial delivery of Encore Apartments during the third quarter of 2014.

General Contracting and Real Estate Services Revenues. General contracting and real estate services revenues for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 increased \$67.9 million and \$20.8 million compared to the respective prior years because of higher volume on our construction contracts, primarily the Exelon construction project.

Rental Expenses. Rental expenses by segment for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 were as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | 2015 | 2014 |
|-------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | Change | Change |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | |
| Office | \$ 6,938 | \$ 6,395 | \$ 5,721 | \$ 543 | \$ 674 |
| Retail | 5,915 | 5,011 | 4,808 | 904 | 203 |
| Multifamily | 6,351 | 5,261 | 3,496 | 1,090 | 1,765 |
| | \$ 19,204 | \$ 16,667 | \$ 14,025 | \$ 2,537 | \$ 2,642 |

Rental expenses increased \$2.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. Office rental expenses increased primarily because of the full year operation of 4525 Main Street. Retail rental expenses increased because of property acquisitions and new real estate placed into service. Multifamily rental expenses increased because of our stabilization of both Encore and Liberty Apartments.

Rental expenses increased \$2.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. Office rental expenses increased primarily because of the initial operation of 4525 Main Street. Retail rental expenses increased because of our consolidation of Bermuda Crossroads on May 13, 2013 as well as our acquisition of Dimmock Square on August 15, 2014. Multifamily rental expenses increased because of our acquisition of Liberty Apartments on January 17, 2014, our initial delivery of Encore Apartments and Whetstone Apartments during the second half of 2014 and our consolidation of Smith's Landing on May 13, 2013.

Real Estate Taxes. Real estate taxes by segment for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 were as follows:

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | 2015 | 2014 |
|-------------|--------------------------|----------|----------|----------|--------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 | Change | Change |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | | | |
| Office | \$ 2,950 | \$ 2,315 | \$ 2,171 | \$ 635 | \$ 144 |
| Retail | 2,928 | 2,097 | 1,971 | 831 | 126 |
| Multifamily | 1,904 | 1,331 | 982 | 573 | 349 |
| | \$ 7,782 | \$ 5,743 | \$ 5,124 | \$ 2,039 | \$ 619 |

Real estate taxes increased \$2.0 million during the year ended December 31, 2015 compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. Office real estate taxes increased primarily because of the full year operation of 4525 Main Street.

Table of Contents

Retail real estate taxes increased because of property acquisitions and new real estate placed into service. Multifamily real estate taxes increased because of the reassessment of Encore Apartments.

Real estate taxes increased \$0.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. Office real estate taxes increased because of the delivery of 4525 Main Street. Retail real estate taxes increased because of our consolidation of Bermuda Crossroads on May 13, 2013 and our acquisition of Dimmock Square on August 15, 2014. Multifamily real estate taxes increased because of our acquisition of Liberty Apartments on January 17, 2014 and our consolidation of Smith's Landing on May 13, 2013.

General Contracting and Real Estate Services Expenses. General contracting and real estate services expenses for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 increased \$66.6 million and \$19.9 million compared to the respective prior years because of higher volume on our construction contracts, primarily the Exelon construction project.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$5.6 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. The increase was attributable to property acquisitions and new real estate placed into service. Depreciation and amortization for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$2.7 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was attributable to Liberty Apartments and Dimmock Square, both of which we acquired during 2014, as well as 4525 Main Street, which we delivered during 2014.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 increased \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million compared to the respective prior years because of higher regulatory and compliance costs as well as higher compensation and benefit costs from increased employee headcount.

Acquisition, Development and Other Pursuit Costs. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized \$1.9 million of costs primarily attributable to our acquisitions of Perry Hall Marketplace, Stone House Square, Socastee Commons, Columbus Village, Providence Plaza and an 11-property retail portfolio. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recognized \$0.2 million of costs related primarily to our acquisition of Dimmock Square.

Impairment Charges. Impairment charges during the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 were nominal. We recognized impairment charges of approximately \$0.6 million during the year ended December 31, 2013 resulting from three retail tenants that vacated prior to their lease expiration. The impairment charge consisted of unamortized leasing costs, leasing incentives and acquired lease intangibles related to these three tenants.

Interest Income. Interest income is attributable to our investment in the Point Street Apartments project through our loan to BDG. On October 15, 2015, we agreed to invest up to \$23.0 million in the Point Street Apartments project in the form of a loan to BDG that accrues interest at 8.0% per annum. As of December 31, 2015, we had funded \$7.8 million under the BDG loan.

Interest Expense. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$2.7 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 because of new real estate placed into service and additional debt assumed in connection with operating property acquisitions. Interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2014 decreased \$1.7 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 because we repaid \$174.7 million of secured debt during 2013. This was partially offset by our assumption of \$25.0 million of debt secured by Smith's Landing and \$17.0 million of debt secured by Liberty Apartments.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized a \$0.5 million loss on extinguishment of debt representing the unamortized debt issuance costs associated with our refinancing of the mortgage secured by Smith's Landing as well as our repayment of the Whetstone Apartments and Oceaneering construction loans. During the year ended December 31, 2013, we used a portion of the net proceeds from our IPO and borrowings under our credit facility to repay \$150.0 million of debt. As a result, we recognized a loss on extinguishment of \$1.1 million consisting of \$0.5 million of unamortized deferred financing costs and \$0.6 million of defeasance expenses. On July 17, 2013, we defeased the loan on One Columbus and recognized a loss on extinguishment of \$1.0 million representing defeasance expenses. On October 11, 2013, we repaid the Bermuda Crossroads loan for \$10.8 million and recognized a \$0.1 million gain on extinguishment of debt representing the unamortized fair value premium adjustment. On October 25, 2013, we amended Broad Creek Shopping Center Notes 1, 2 and 3 to remove the recourse component, lower the interest rates to LIBOR plus 2.25% and extend the maturity dates to October 31, 2018. We recognized a \$0.2 million

Table of Contents

loss on extinguishment of debt representing unamortized debt issuance costs on Broad Creek Shopping Center Notes 2 and 3.

Gain on Real Estate Dispositions and Acquisitions. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized gains on real estate dispositions of \$18.4 million compared to \$2.2 million of gains on real estate dispositions for the year ended December 31, 2014. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we recognized a \$6.2 million gain on our sale of the Sentara Williamsburg medical office building, a \$7.2 million gain on our sale of Whetstone Apartments and a \$5.0 million gain on our sale of the Oceaneering building. On November 20, 2014, we completed the sale of the Virginia Natural Gas office building and recognized a gain on disposition of \$2.2 million. In connection with the completion of our IPO and Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013, we acquired controlling interests in both Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing. We accounted for our acquisition of controlling interests in Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing as purchases at fair value under the acquisition method of accounting in accordance with GAAP. As a result, we recognized a gain upon acquisition of \$9.5 million representing the difference between the fair value and carrying value of our Predecessor's prior noncontrolling equity interests in Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing.

Other Income (Loss). Other income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2015 was relatively unchanged compared to the year ended December 31, 2014. Other income (loss) for both years were primarily attributable to negative mark-to-market adjustments on our interest rate derivatives. Other income (loss) decreased during year ended December 31, 2014 compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 because of our consolidation of Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing on May 13, 2013. We previously accounted for our noncontrolling interests in both Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing under the equity method and presented our earnings from each within other income. Negative mark-to-market adjustments on our interest rate derivatives also contributed to the decrease in other income (loss) during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Income Taxes. Prior to the completion of our IPO on May 13, 2013, we made no provision for U.S. federal, state or local income taxes because the profits and losses of our Predecessor flowed through to its respective partners, members and shareholders who were individually responsible for reporting such amounts. Subsequent to the completion of our IPO, our TRS, through which we conduct our development and construction business, are subject to federal, state and local corporate income taxes. The income tax benefit (provision) recognized during the three years ended December 31, 2015 is attributable to the (losses) profits of our TRS.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Overview

We believe our primary short-term liquidity requirements consist of general contractor expenses, operating expenses and other expenditures associated with our properties, including tenant improvements, leasing commissions and leasing incentives, dividend payments to our stockholders required to maintain our REIT qualification, debt service, capital expenditures, new real estate development projects and strategic acquisitions. We expect to meet our short-term liquidity requirements through net cash provided by operations, reserves established from existing cash, borrowings under construction loans to fund new real estate development and construction and borrowings available under our credit facility and proceeds from the sale of common stock through our at-the-market continuous equity offering program (“ATM Equity Offering Program”).

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary for the repayment of debt at or prior to maturity, general contracting expenses, property development and acquisitions, tenant improvements and capital improvements. We expect to meet our long-term liquidity requirements with net cash from operations, long-term secured and unsecured indebtedness and the issuance of equity and debt securities. We also may fund property development and acquisitions and capital improvements using our credit facility pending long-term financing.

As of December 31, 2015, we had unrestricted cash and cash equivalents of \$27.0 million and restricted cash in escrow of \$2.8 million available for both current liquidity needs as well as development activities. As of December 31, 2015, we had \$68.0 million available under our credit facility and \$38.6 million available for future issuance under our ATM Equity Offering Program to meet our short-term liquidity requirements.

Table of Contents

ATM Equity Offering Program

On May 5, 2015, we commenced our ATM Equity Offering Program through which we may, from time to time, issue and sell shares of common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$50.0 million. Our sale of shares under the ATM Equity Offering Program will depend on a variety of factors, including among other things, market conditions, the trading price of our common stock, capital needs and our determination of appropriate sources of funding. We have no obligation to sell any shares and may at any time suspend or terminate the ATM Equity Offering Program. Each of our sales agents are entitled to a commission of up to 2.0% of the gross offering proceeds of shares that they sell on our behalf through the ATM Equity Offering Program. We intend to use any net proceeds from the sale of shares through the ATM Equity Offering Program to fund development or redevelopment activities, fund potential acquisition opportunities, repay indebtedness, including amounts outstanding under our credit facility, or for general corporate purposes. Since the inception of the ATM Equity Offering Program to December 31, 2015, we raised \$11.4 million of gross proceeds at a weighted average price of \$10.26 per share. Net proceeds after offering costs and commissions were \$10.9 million.

Prior Credit Facility

On May 13, 2013, we entered into a \$100.0 million senior secured credit facility that included an accordion feature that allowed us to increase the borrowing capacity under the facility up to \$250.0 million, subject to certain conditions. On October 10, 2013, we increased the borrowing capacity under the credit facility to \$155.0 million pursuant to the accordion feature. The credit facility was scheduled to mature on May 13, 2016; however, we repaid all amounts due under the credit facility with proceeds from our new credit facility and terminated the existing credit facility on February 20, 2015, as discussed below.

New Credit Facility

On February 20, 2015, we entered into a new \$200.0 million senior unsecured credit facility that includes a \$150.0 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility and a \$50.0 million senior unsecured term loan facility. The new credit facility replaced the prior \$155.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility that was scheduled to mature on May 13, 2016. On February 20, 2015, we borrowed \$54.0 million under the revolving credit facility and \$50.0 million under the term loan facility to repay in full all outstanding amounts due under the prior credit facility and to repay approximately \$39.0 million of other indebtedness secured by properties in our portfolio for the purpose of unencumbering those properties. We intend to use future borrowings under the new credit facility for general corporate purposes, including funding acquisitions, development and redevelopment of properties in our portfolio and for working capital.

The new credit facility includes an accordion feature that allows the total commitments to be increased to \$350.0 million, subject to certain conditions. The amount permitted to be borrowed under the new credit facility, together with all of our other unsecured indebtedness is generally limited to the lesser of: (i) 60% of the value of our unencumbered borrowing base properties, (ii) the maximum amount of principal that would result in a debt service coverage ratio of 1.50 to 1.0, and (iii) the maximum aggregate loan commitment, which was \$200.0 million as of December 31, 2015. On January 5, 2016, we increased the total capacity of the new credit facility to \$225.0 million and increased the amount outstanding under the term loan facility to \$75.0 million.

The new revolving credit facility has a scheduled maturity date of February 20, 2019, with a one-year extension option. The term loan facility has a scheduled maturity date of February 20, 2020. We may, at any time, voluntarily prepay any loan under the new credit facility in whole or in part without premium or penalty.

The new revolving credit facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.40% to 2.00%, depending on our total leverage. The term loan facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.35% to 1.95%, depending on our total leverage. We are also obligated to pay an unused commitment fee of 15 or 25 basis points on the unused portions of the commitments under the new credit facility, depending on the amount of borrowings under the new credit facility. If we attain investment grade credit ratings from S&P and Moody's, we may elect to have borrowings become subject to interest rates based on our credit ratings.

Table of Contents

The new credit facility requires us to comply with various financial covenants, affirmative covenants and other restrictions, including the following:

- Total leverage ratio of the Company of not more than 60%;
- Ratio of adjusted EBITDA to fixed charges of the Company of not less than 1.50 to 1.0;
- Tangible net worth of not less than the sum of \$220.0 million and 75% of the net equity proceeds received after December 31, 2014;
- Ratio of variable rate indebtedness to total asset value of not more than 30%;
- Ratio of secured indebtedness to total asset value of not more than 45%; and
- Ratio of secured recourse debt to total asset value of not more than 25%.

The new credit facility limits our ability to pay cash dividends. However, so long as no default or event of default exists, the credit agreements allow us to pay cash dividends with respect to any 12-month period in an amount not to exceed the greater of: (i) 95% of adjusted funds from operations (as defined in the credit agreement) or (ii) the amount required for us (a) to maintain our status as a REIT and (b) to avoid income or excise tax under the Code. If certain defaults or events of default exist, we may pay cash dividends with respect to any 12-month period to the extent necessary to maintain our status as a REIT. The new credit facility also restricts the amount of capital that we can invest in specific categories of assets, such as unimproved land holdings, development properties, notes receivable, mortgages, mezzanine loans and unconsolidated affiliates.

We are currently in compliance with all covenants under the new credit facility.

Table of Contents

Consolidated Indebtedness

The following table sets forth our consolidated indebtedness as of December 31, 2015 (\$ in thousands):

| | Amount Outstanding | Interest Rate(a) | Effective Rate for Variable-Rate Debt | | Maturity Date | Balance at Maturity |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------------|---|------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| Secured Debt 249 Central Park Retail | \$ 15,282 | 5.99 | % | | September 8, 2016 | \$ 15,084 |
| South Retail Fountain Plaza Retail | 6,742 | 5.99 | | | September 8, 2016 | 6,655 |
| | 7,641 | 5.99 | | | September 8, 2016 | 7,542 |
| 4525 Main Street | 31,613 | LIBOR+1.95 | 2.37 | % | January 30, 2017 | 31,613 |
| Encore Apartments | 25,184 | LIBOR+1.95 | 2.37 | % | January 30, 2017 | 25,184 |
| North Point Note 5 | 664 | LIBOR+2.00 | 3.57 | %(b) | February 1, 2017 | 641 |
| Oyster Point Harrisonburg Regal | 6,400 | LIBOR+1.40 to 2.00 | 2.17 | % | February 28, 2017 | 6,400 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia – Chesapeake | 3,463 | 6.06 | | | June 8, 2017 | 3,165 |
| Hanbury Village Lightfoot Marketplace Sandbridge Commons | 4,933 | LIBOR+1.90 | 2.32 | % | August 28, 2017 | 4,933 |
| Columbus Village Note 1 | 20,970 | 6.67 | | | October 11, 2017 | 20,499 |
| Columbus Village Note 2 | 7,759 | LIBOR+1.90 | 2.32 | % | November 14, 2017 | 7,759 |
| Johns Hopkins Village | 9,010 | LIBOR+1.85 | 2.27 | % | January 17, 2018 | 8,525 |
| North Point Note 1 Socastee Commons | 6,429 | LIBOR+2.00 | 3.05 | %(b) | April 5, 2018 | 6,033 |
| | 2,310 | LIBOR+2.00 | 2.42 | % | April 5, 2018 | 2,205 |
| | 3,968 | LIBOR+1.90 | 2.32 | % | July 30, 2018 | 3,968 |
| | 9,969 | 6.45 | | | February 5, 2019 | 9,333 |
| | 4,957 | 4.57 | | | January 6, 2023 | 4,223 |
| | 2,662 | 7.25 | | | September 15, 2025 | 1,344 |
| Smith's Landing | 21,226 | 4.05 | | | June 1, 2035 | — |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|------------|--------------------|------|------|-------------------|------------|
| Liberty Apartments | 20,312 | 5.66 | | | November 1, 2043 | — |
| The Cosmopolitan | 46,519 | 3.75 | | | July 1, 2051 | — |
| Total secured debt | \$ 258,013 | | | | | \$ 165,106 |
| Unsecured Debt | | | | | | |
| Revolving credit facility | 74,000 | LIBOR+1.40 to 2.00 | 2.17 | % | February 20, 2019 | 74,000 |
| Term loan | 50,000 | LIBOR+1.35 to 1.95 | 2.12 | %(b) | February 20, 2020 | 50,000 |
| Total unsecured debt | \$ 124,000 | | | | | \$ 124,000 |
| Unamortized GAAP adjustments | (4,420) | | | | | — |
| Indebtedness, net | \$ 377,593 | | | | | \$ 289,106 |

(a) LIBOR is determined by individual lenders.

(b) Subject to an interest rate swap agreement.

We currently are in compliance with all covenants on our outstanding indebtedness.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2015, our outstanding indebtedness matures during the following years (\$ in thousands):

| Year | Amount Due | Percentage of Total | |
|------------|------------|---------------------|---|
| 2016 | \$ 29,281 | 10 | % |
| 2017 | 100,194 | 35 | |
| 2018 | 20,731 | 7 | |
| 2019 | 83,333 | 29 | |
| 2020 | 50,000 | 17 | |
| Thereafter | 5,567 | 2 | |
| | \$ 289,106 | 100 | % |

Interest Rate Derivatives

We may use interest rate derivatives from time to time to manage our exposure to interest rate risks. Using an interest rate swap lock, we fixed our interest payments under North Point Center Note 5 at 3.57% through maturity on February 1, 2017.

On February 20, 2015, we entered into a \$50.0 million floating-to-fixed interest rate swap attributable to one-month LIBOR indexed interest payments. The \$50.0 million interest rate swap has a fixed rate of 2.00%, an effective date of March 1, 2016 and a maturity date of February 20, 2020. We entered into this interest rate swap agreement in connection with the new \$50.0 million senior unsecured term loan facility that bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.35% to 1.95%, depending on our total leverage. We designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of the variable interest payments based one-month LIBOR.

On July 13, 2015, we entered into a \$6.5 million floating-to-fixed interest rate swap attributable to one-month LIBOR indexed interest payments. The \$6.5 million interest rate swap has a fixed rate of 3.05%, an effective date of July 13, 2015 and a maturity date of April 5, 2018. We designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of variable interest payments based on one-month LIBOR.

As of December 31, 2015, we were party to the following LIBOR interest rate cap agreements (\$ in thousands):

| Effective Date | Maturity Date | Strike Rate | Notional Amount |
|-------------------|---------------|-------------|-----------------|
| September 1, 2013 | March 1, 2016 | 3.50 % | \$ 25,198 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------|------|---|------------|
| September 1, 2013 | March 1, 2016 | 3.50 | % | 37,848 |
| September 1, 2013 | March 1, 2016 | 1.50 | % | 40,000 |
| October 4, 2013 | April 1, 2016 | 1.50 | % | 18,500 |
| March 14, 2014 | March 1, 2017 | 1.25 | % | 50,000 |
| October 26, 2015 | October 15, 2017 | 1.25 | % | 75,000 |
| Total | | | | \$ 246,546 |

On February 25, 2016, we entered into a LIBOR interest rate cap agreement on a notional amount of \$75.0 million at a strike rate of 1.50%. This interest rate cap agreement expires on March 1, 2018.

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes the future payments for known contractual obligations as of December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations | Total | Payments due by period | | | |
|---|------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------|
| | | Less than 1 year | 1 – 3 years | 3 – 5 years | More than 5 years |
| Principal payments of long-term indebtedness | \$ 382,013 | \$ 32,646 | \$ 126,139 | \$ 137,672 | \$ 85,556 |
| Operating property acquisitions under contract(1) | 170,500 | 170,500 | — | — | — |
| Ground and other operating leases | 101,986 | 1,587 | 3,472 | 3,634 | 93,293 |
| Long-term debt—fixed interest | 84,724 | 9,533 | 14,496 | 9,891 | 50,804 |
| Long-term debt—variable interest(2) | 8,221 | 3,932 | 4,067 | 222 | — |
| Unfunded notes receivable | 15,175 | 15,175 | — | — | — |
| Unfunded joint venture commitments | 8,606 | 8,606 | — | — | — |
| Tenant-related and other commitments | 3,113 | 1,268 | — | — | 1,845 |
| Total | \$ 774,338 | \$ 243,247 | \$ 148,174 | \$ 151,419 | \$ 231,498 |

(1) Relates solely to our acquisition of an 11-property retail portfolio, which we closed on January 14, 2016.

(2) For long-term debt that bears interest at variable rates, we estimated future interest payments using the indexed rates as of December 31, 2015. LIBOR as of December 31, 2015 was 42 basis points.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We have entered into standby letters of credit relating to the guarantee of future performance on certain of our construction contracts. Letters of credit generally are available for draw down in the event we do not perform. As of December 31, 2015, we had aggregate outstanding letters of credit totaling \$8.0 million, all of which expire during 2016. However, all of our standby letters of credit are expected to renew for additional periods until completion of the underlying contractual obligation.

Cash Flows

| | Years Ended | | Change |
|--|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| | December 31, | | |
| | 2015 | 2014 | |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | |
| Operating Activities | \$ 33,086 | \$ 31,362 | \$ 1,724 |
| Investing Activities | (56,381) | (105,306) | 48,925 |
| Financing Activities | 24,401 | 80,945 | (56,544) |
| Net Increase (Decrease) | \$ 1,106 | \$ 7,001 | \$ (5,895) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period | \$ 25,883 | \$ 18,882 | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period | \$ 26,989 | \$ 25,883 | |

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 increased \$1.7 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily as a result of more net cash generated from our operating property portfolio, which was partially offset by less net cash generated from our construction business.

Net cash used for investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 decreased \$48.9 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 because of less cash spent on new real estate development. During the year ended December 31, 2015, we invested \$52.7 million in new real estate development compared to \$98.5 million during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2015 decreased \$56.5 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2014 primarily as a result of less net debt issuances and borrowings.

Years Ended

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2014 | 2013 | Change |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | |
| Operating Activities | \$ 31,362 | \$ 22,175 | \$ 9,187 |
| Investing Activities | (105,306) | (47,947) | (57,359) |
| Financing Activities | 80,945 | 35,254 | 45,691 |
| Net Increase (Decrease) | \$ 7,001 | \$ 9,482 | \$ (2,481) |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period | \$ 18,882 | \$ 9,400 | |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period | \$ 25,883 | \$ 18,882 | |

Net cash provided by operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$9.2 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily as a result of more net cash generated from our construction business.

Net cash used for investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$57.4 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 due to higher investments in new real estate development. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we invested \$98.5 million in new real estate development compared to \$41.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2013.

Net cash provided by financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2014 increased \$45.6 million compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily as result of our underwritten public offering of common stock that raised net proceeds of \$49.3 million during the year ended December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We calculate FFO in accordance with the standards established by NAREIT. NAREIT defines FFO as net income (loss) (calculated in accordance with GAAP), excluding gains (or losses) from sales of depreciable operating property, real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

FFO is a supplemental non-GAAP financial measure. Management uses FFO as a supplemental performance measure because it believes that FFO is beneficial to investors as a starting point in measuring our operational performance. Specifically, in excluding real estate related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, which do not relate to or are not indicative of operating performance, FFO provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating costs. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs.

However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures and leasing commissions necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. In addition, other equity REITs may not calculate FFO in accordance with the NAREIT definition as we do, and, accordingly, our FFO may not be comparable to such other REITs' FFO. Accordingly, FFO should be considered only as a supplement to net income as a measure of our performance. FFO should not be used as a measure of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or service indebtedness. FFO also should not be used as a supplement to or substitute for cash flow from operating activities computed in accordance with GAAP.

We also believe that the computation of FFO in accordance with NAREIT's definition includes certain items that are not indicative of the results provided by the Company's operating property portfolio and affect the comparability of the Company's year-over-year performance. Accordingly, management believes that Normalized FFO is a more useful performance measure that excludes certain items, including but not limited to, debt extinguishment losses and prepayment penalties, property acquisition, development and other pursuit costs, mark-to-market adjustments for interest rate derivatives and other non-comparable items.

The following table sets forth a reconciliation of FFO and Normalized FFO for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 to net income, the most directly comparable GAAP equivalent:

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| | (\$ in thousands) | | |
| Net income | \$ 31,183 | \$ 12,759 | \$ 14,453 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,153 | 17,569 | 14,898 |
| Gain on real estate dispositions and acquisitions | (18,394) | (2,211) | (9,460) |
| Real estate joint ventures, net | — | — | (85) |
| Funds from operations | \$ 35,942 | \$ 28,117 | \$ 19,806 |
| Acquisition, development and other pursuit costs | 1,935 | 229 | — |
| Impairment charges | 41 | 15 | 580 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 512 | — | 2,387 |
| Loan modification costs | — | — | 27 |
| Derivative mark-to-market adjustments | 229 | 233 | 12 |
| Normalized funds from operations | \$ 38,659 | \$ 28,594 | \$ 22,812 |

Inflation

Substantially all of our office and retail leases provide for the recovery of increases in real estate taxes and operating expenses. In addition, substantially all of the leases provide for annual rent increases. We believe that inflationary increases may be offset in part by the contractual rent increases and expense escalations previously described. In addition, our multifamily leases generally have lease terms ranging from 7 to 15 months with a majority

Table of Contents

having 12-month lease terms allowing negotiation of rental rates at term end, which we believe reduces our exposure to the effects of inflation.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

The primary market risk to which we are exposed is interest rate risk. Our primary interest rate exposure is daily LIBOR. We primarily use fixed interest rate financing to manage our exposure to fluctuations in interest rates. On a limited basis, we also use derivative financial instruments to manage interest rate risk. We do not use these derivatives for trading or other speculative purposes.

As of December 31, 2015 and excluding unamortized GAAP adjustments, approximately \$159.7 million, or 41.8%, of our debt had fixed interest rates and approximately \$222.3 million, or 58.2%, had variable interest rates. Considering interest rate swaps, approximately \$165.2 million of our debt is subject to interest rate risk. Assuming no increase in the level of our variable rate debt, if interest rates increased by 1.0%, our cash flow would decrease by approximately \$1.7 million per year. As of December 31, 2015, LIBOR was approximately 42 basis points. Assuming no increase in the level of our variable rate debt, if LIBOR was reduced to 0 basis points, our cash flow would increase by approximately \$0.7 million per year.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our consolidated and combined financial statements and supplementary data are included as a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K commencing on page F-1 and are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 9. Changes and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management has evaluated, under the supervision and with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act")), as required by paragraph (b) of Rules 13a-15 and 15d-15 of the Exchange Act. Based on this evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that as of December 31, 2015, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports filed or submitted with the Securities and Exchange Commission (i) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and (ii) is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The Company's management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2015 based on the 2013 framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on that evaluation, the Company's management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2015.

Attestation Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act) during the quarter ended December 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information.

None.

68

Table of Contents

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance.

This information is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement with respect to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than April 29, 2016.

Item 11. Executive Compensation.

This information is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement with respect to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than April 29, 2016.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters.

This information is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement with respect to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than April 29, 2016.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence.

This information is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement with respect to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than April 29, 2016.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services.

This information is incorporated by reference from the Company's Proxy Statement with respect to the 2016 Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be filed with the SEC no later than April 29, 2016.

Table of Contents

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

The following is a list of documents filed as a part of this report:

(1) Financial Statements

Included herein at pages F-1 through F-36.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

The following financial statement schedule is included herein at pages F-35 through F-36:

Schedule III—Consolidated Real Estate Investments and Accumulated Depreciation

All other schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X are either not required to be included herein under the related instructions, are inapplicable or the related information is included in the footnotes to the applicable financial statements and, therefore, have been omitted.

(3) Exhibits

The exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed in the Exhibit Index.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: March 2, 2016

ARMADA HOFFLER PROPERTIES, INC.

By: /s/ Louis S. Haddad
 Louis S. Haddad
 President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|--|---|---------------|
| /s/ Daniel A. Hoffler Daniel A. Hoffler | Executive Chairman and Director | March 2, 2016 |
| /s/ A. Russell Kirk A. Russell Kirk | Vice Chairman and Director | March 2, 2016 |
| /s/ Louis S. Haddad Louis S. Haddad | President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (principal executive officer) | March 2, 2016 |
| /s/ Michael P. O'Hara Michael P. O'Hara | Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer (principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) | March 2, 2016 |
| /s/ George F. Allen George F. Allen | Director | March 2, 2016 |
| /s/ James A. Carroll James A. Carroll | Director | March 2, 2016 |
| /s/ James C. Cherry James C. Cherry | Director | March 2, 2016 |
| /s/ Eva S. Hardy Eva S. Hardy | Director | March 2, 2016 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--|----------|---------------|
| /s/ Joseph W. Prueher Adm. Joseph W. Prueher (Ret.) | Director | March 2, 2016 |
|--|----------|---------------|

| | | |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|
| /s/ John W. Snow John W. Snow | Director | March 2, 2016 |
|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|

Table of Contents

Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc.

Form 10-K

For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2015

Item 8, Item 15(a)(1) and (2)

Index to Financial Statements and Schedule

| | |
|--|------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | F-2 |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2015 and 2014</u> | F-3 |
| <u>Consolidated and Combined Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | F-4 |
| <u>Consolidated and Combined Statements of Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | F-5 |
| <u>Consolidated and Combined Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2015, 2014 and 2013</u> | F-6 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements</u> | F-7 |
| <u>Schedule III—Consolidated Real Estate Investments and Accumulated Depreciation</u> | F-35 |

F-1

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. (the “Company”), as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the related consolidated and combined statements of comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows, as described in Note 1, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company’s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. We were not engaged to perform an audit of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Our audits included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company’s internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. at December 31, 2015 and 2014, and the consolidated and combined results of its operations and its cash flows, as described in Note 1, for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2015, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

/s/ Ernst & Young
LLP

Richmond, Virginia

March 2, 2016

Table of Contents

ARMADA HOFFLER PROPERTIES, INC.

Consolidated Balance Sheets

(In thousands, except par value and share data)

| | DECEMBER 31, | |
|---|--------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| ASSETS | | |
| Real estate investments: | | |
| Income producing property | \$ 579,000 | \$ 513,918 |
| Held for development | 1,180 | — |
| Construction in progress | 53,411 | 81,082 |
| | 633,591 | 595,000 |
| Accumulated depreciation | (125,380) | (116,099) |
| Net real estate investments | 508,211 | 478,901 |
| Real estate investments held for sale | 40,232 | 8,538 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 26,989 | 25,883 |
| Restricted cash | 2,824 | 4,224 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 21,982 | 20,548 |
| Notes receivable | 7,825 | — |
| Construction receivables, including retentions | 36,535 | 19,432 |
| Construction contract costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings | 88 | 272 |
| Other assets | 44,861 | 30,224 |
| Total Assets | \$ 689,547 | \$ 588,022 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Indebtedness, net | \$ 377,593 | \$ 356,345 |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 6,472 | 8,358 |
| Construction payables, including retentions | 52,067 | 42,399 |
| Billings in excess of construction contract costs and estimated earnings | 2,224 | 1,053 |
| Other liabilities | 25,471 | 17,961 |
| Total Liabilities | \$ 463,827 | \$ 426,116 |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 30,076,359 and 25,022,701 shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively | 300 | 250 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 102,906 | 51,472 |
| Distributions in excess of earnings | (53,010) | (54,413) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (648) | — |
| Total stockholders' equity (deficit) | 49,548 | (2,691) |
| Noncontrolling interests | 176,172 | 164,597 |
| Total Equity | 225,720 | 161,906 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 689,547 | \$ 588,022 |

See Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements.

F-3

Table of Contents

ARMADA HOFFLER PROPERTIES, INC. AND PREDECESSOR

Consolidated and Combined Statements of Comprehensive Income

(In thousands, except per share and unit data)

| | YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Revenues | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 81,172 | \$ 64,746 | \$ 57,520 |
| General contracting and real estate services revenues | 171,268 | 103,321 | 82,516 |
| Total revenues | 252,440 | 168,067 | 140,036 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Rental expenses | 19,204 | 16,667 | 14,025 |
| Real estate taxes | 7,782 | 5,743 | 5,124 |
| General contracting and real estate services expenses | 165,344 | 98,754 | 78,813 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,153 | 17,569 | 14,898 |
| General and administrative expenses | 8,397 | 7,711 | 6,937 |
| Acquisition, development and other pursuit costs | 1,935 | 229 | — |
| Impairment charges | 41 | 15 | 580 |
| Total expenses | 225,856 | 146,688 | 120,377 |
| Operating income | 26,584 | 21,379 | 19,659 |
| Interest income | 126 | — | — |
| Interest expense | (13,333) | (10,648) | (12,303) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (512) | — | (2,387) |
| Gain on real estate dispositions and acquisitions | 18,394 | 2,211 | 9,460 |
| Other (expense) income | (110) | (113) | 297 |
| Income before taxes | 31,149 | 12,829 | 14,726 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | 34 | (70) | (273) |
| Net income | 31,183 | 12,759 | 14,453 |
| Net income attributable to predecessor | — | — | (2,020) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (11,541) | (5,068) | (5,097) |
| Net income attributable to stockholders | \$ 19,642 | \$ 7,691 | \$ 7,336 |
| Net income per share and unit: | | | |
| Basic and diluted | \$ 0.75 | \$ 0.36 | \$ 0.39 |
| Weighted-average outstanding: | | | |
| Common shares | 26,006 | 20,946 | 19,046 |
| Common units | 15,377 | 14,125 | 13,059 |
| Basic and diluted | 41,383 | 35,071 | 32,105 |
| Comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 31,183 | \$ 12,759 | \$ 14,453 |
| Unrealized cash flow hedge losses | (1,075) | — | — |
| Realized cash flow hedge losses reclassified to net income | 27 | — | — |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-----------|----------|----------|
| Comprehensive income | 30,135 | 12,759 | 14,453 |
| Comprehensive income attributable to predecessor | — | — | (2,020) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (11,141) | (5,068) | (5,097) |
| Comprehensive income attributable to stockholders | \$ 18,994 | \$ 7,691 | \$ 7,336 |

See Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements.

F-4

Table of Contents

ARMADA HOFFLER PROPERTIES, INC. AND PREDECESSOR

Consolidated and Combined Statements of Equity

(In thousands, except share data)

| | Shares of common stock | Common stock | Additional paid- in capital | Distributions in excess of earnings | Accumulated other comprehensive loss | Predecessor deficit | Total stockholders' and predecessor equity (deficit) | Noncontrolling interests | Total Equity |
|--|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|---|---|------------------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| Predecessor | | | | | | | | | |
| January 1, | — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (41,341) | \$ (41,341) | \$ — | \$ (41,341) |
| Income | — | — | — | — | — | 2,020 | 2,020 | — | 2,020 |
| Distributions | — | — | — | — | — | 2,218 | 2,218 | — | 2,218 |
| Distributions | — | — | — | — | — | (12,399) | (12,399) | — | (12,399) |
| May 12, | — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (49,502) | \$ (49,502) | \$ — | \$ (49,502) |
| Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. | | | | | | | | | |
| Income | — | — | — | 7,336 | — | — | 7,336 | 5,097 | 12,433 |
| Proceeds from common | 19,003,750 | 190 | 191,993 | — | — | — | 192,183 | — | 192,373 |
| Retirement of common stock | — | — | (191,993) | (47,605) | — | 49,502 | (190,096) | 152,142 | (37,954) |
| Issuance of common stock | 159,663 | 2 | 1,247 | — | — | — | 1,249 | — | 1,249 |
| Dividends and distributions | — | — | — | (7,665) | — | — | (7,665) | (5,223) | (12,888) |
| December 31, 2013 | 19,163,413 | \$ 192 | \$ 1,247 | \$ (47,934) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (46,495) | \$ 152,016 | \$ 105,506 |
| Income | — | — | — | 7,691 | — | — | 7,691 | 5,068 | 12,759 |
| Proceeds from common | 5,750,000 | 57 | 49,242 | — | — | — | 49,299 | — | 49,299 |
| Retirement of common stock | 109,288 | 1 | 1,284 | — | — | — | 1,285 | — | 1,285 |
| Dispositions of real estate investments | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 16,351 | 16,351 |
| Change of owners' equity for common | — | — | (301) | — | — | — | (301) | 301 | — |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|------------|--------|------------|-------------|----------|------|------------|------------|--------|
| nds and itions d e, ber 31, 2014 | — | — | — | (14,170) | — | — | (14,170) | (9,139) | (23) |
| ome | 25,022,701 | \$ 250 | \$ 51,472 | \$ (54,413) | \$ — | \$ — | \$ (2,691) | \$ 164,597 | \$ 161 |
| ized cash | — | — | — | 19,642 | — | — | 19,642 | 11,541 | 31, |
| edge losses | — | — | — | — | (665) | — | (665) | (410) | (1,0 |
| ed cash flow | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| losses | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| ified to net | — | — | — | — | 17 | — | 17 | 10 | 27 |
| ceeds from f common | 4,560,049 | 45 | 45,990 | — | — | — | 46,035 | — | 46, |
| ted stock | 78,109 | 1 | 992 | — | — | — | 993 | — | 993 |
| itions of real investments | 415,500 | 4 | 4,429 | — | — | — | 4,433 | 10,736 | 15, |
| ption of ng ship units | — | — | 23 | — | — | — | 23 | (264) | (24 |
| nds and itions d e, ber 31, 2015 | — | — | — | (18,239) | — | — | (18,239) | (10,038) | (28 |
| | 30,076,359 | \$ 300 | \$ 102,906 | \$ (53,010) | \$ (648) | \$ — | \$ 49,548 | \$ 176,172 | \$ 225 |

See Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ARMADA HOFFLER PROPERTIES, INC. AND PREDECESSOR

Consolidated and Combined Statements of Cash Flows

(In thousands)

| | YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Net income | \$ 31,183 | \$ 12,759 | \$ 14,453 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation of buildings and tenant improvements | 18,678 | 14,984 | 12,806 |
| Amortization of leasing costs and in-place lease intangibles | 4,475 | 2,585 | 2,092 |
| Accrued straight-line rental revenue | (1,924) | (2,203) | (1,055) |
| Amortization of leasing incentives and above or below-market rents | 738 | 632 | 683 |
| Accrued straight-line ground rent expense | 290 | 315 | 364 |
| Bad debt expense | 131 | 79 | 162 |
| Noncash stock compensation | 931 | 917 | 1,249 |
| Impairment charges | 41 | 15 | 580 |
| Noncash interest expense | 1,006 | 517 | 636 |
| Noncash loss on extinguishment of debt | 512 | — | 644 |
| Gain on real estate dispositions and acquisitions | (18,394) | (2,211) | (9,460) |
| Change in the fair value of derivatives | 229 | 233 | 12 |
| Other noncash gain | — | (42) | — |
| Income from real estate joint ventures | — | — | (210) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Property assets | (2,463) | (1,420) | 7,761 |
| Property liabilities | 2,326 | (1,069) | (2,836) |
| Construction assets | (17,337) | (5,893) | (2,115) |
| Construction liabilities | 12,664 | 11,164 | (3,591) |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 33,086 | 31,362 | 22,175 |
| INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Development of real estate investments | (52,719) | (98,467) | (41,298) |
| Tenant and building improvements | (5,157) | (6,362) | (3,920) |
| Acquisitions of real estate investments | (68,445) | (2,754) | (2,106) |
| Dispositions of real estate investments | 79,566 | 7,387 | — |
| Notes receivable issuances | (7,825) | — | — |
| Government development grants | 300 | 300 | 300 |
| Decrease (increase) in restricted cash | 1,580 | (1,824) | 93 |
| Leasing costs | (2,118) | (2,835) | (1,180) |
| Leasing incentives | (1,563) | (751) | (266) |
| Contributions to real estate joint ventures | — | — | (81) |
| Return of capital from real estate joint ventures | — | — | 511 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Net cash used for investing activities | (56,381) | (105,306) | (47,947) |
| FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | |
| Proceeds from sales of common stock | 46,462 | 49,566 | 203,245 |
| Offering costs | (427) | (416) | (7,937) |
| Formation transactions | — | — | (47,450) |
| Debt issuances, credit facility and construction loan borrowings | 214,407 | 117,645 | 106,054 |
| Debt and credit facility repayments, including principal amortization | (206,889) | (63,306) | (197,478) |
| Debt issuance costs | (1,887) | (448) | (2,738) |
| Redemption of operating partnership units | (241) | — | — |
| Dividends and distributions | (27,024) | (22,096) | (7,733) |
| Predecessor contributions | — | — | 2,218 |
| Predecessor distributions | — | — | (12,927) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities | 24,401 | 80,945 | 35,254 |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 1,106 | 7,001 | 9,482 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 25,883 | 18,882 | 9,400 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 26,989 | \$ 25,883 | \$ 18,882 |
| Supplemental cash flow information: | | | |
| Cash paid for interest | \$ (12,993) | \$ (12,132) | \$ (12,617) |
| Cash refunded (paid) for income taxes | \$ 276 | \$ (821) | \$ — |

See Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements.

Table of Contents

ARMADA HOFFLER PROPERTIES, INC. AND PREDECESSOR

Notes to Consolidated and Combined Financial Statements

1. Business and Organization

Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. (the “Company”) is a full service real estate company with extensive experience developing, building, owning and managing high-quality, institutional-grade office, retail and multifamily properties in attractive markets throughout the Mid-Atlantic United States.

The Company is the sole general partner of Armada Hoffler, L.P. (the “Operating Partnership”). The operations of the Company are carried on primarily through the Operating Partnership and the wholly owned subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership. Both the Company and the Operating Partnership were formed on October 12, 2012 and commenced operations upon completion of the underwritten initial public offering of shares of the Company’s common stock (the “IPO”) and certain related formation transactions (the “Formation Transactions”) on May 13, 2013.

Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. Predecessor (the “Predecessor”) was not a single legal entity, but rather a combination of real estate and construction entities under common ownership by their individual partners, members and stockholders and under common control or significant influence of Daniel A. Hoffler prior to the IPO and the Formation Transactions. The financial position and results of operations of the entities under common control of Mr. Hoffler have been combined in the Predecessor financial statements for the periods prior to the completion of the IPO and the Formation Transactions. The Predecessor accounted for its investments in the entities under significant influence of Mr. Hoffler using the equity method of accounting.

On May 13, 2013, the Company completed the IPO of 16,525,000 shares of common stock and on May 22, 2013, the underwriters of the IPO exercised their overallotment option in full to purchase an additional 2,478,750 shares. Net proceeds from the IPO to the Company after deducting the underwriting discount and related offering costs were \$192.2 million. The Company contributed the net proceeds from the IPO to the Operating Partnership in exchange for common units in the Operating Partnership. With the net proceeds from the IPO, the Operating Partnership repaid \$150.0 million of outstanding indebtedness and paid \$47.6 million as partial consideration to prior investors in connection with the Formation Transactions.

Pursuant to the Formation Transactions, the Operating Partnership: (i) acquired 100% of the interests in the entities comprising the Predecessor, (ii) succeeded to the ongoing construction and development businesses of the Predecessor, (iii) assumed asset management of certain of the properties acquired from the Predecessor, (iv) succeeded to the third-party asset management business of the Predecessor, (v) succeeded to the projects under development by the Predecessor, (vi) received options to acquire nine parcels of developable land from the

Predecessor and (vii) entered into a contribution agreement to acquire Liberty Apartments upon satisfaction of certain conditions and transferability restrictions including completion of the project's construction by the Company. The Operating Partnership completed the acquisition of Liberty Apartments on January 17, 2014.

The Company accounted for the contribution or acquisition of interests in the combined entities of the Predecessor as transactions among entities under common control. As a result, the contribution or acquisition of interests in each of the combined entities was accounted for at the Predecessor's historical cost. The acquisitions of interests in the equity method investments of the Predecessor were accounted for as purchases at fair value under the acquisition method of accounting.

References to "the Company" in these notes to consolidated and combined financial statements signify Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. for the period after the completion of the IPO and the Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013 and the Predecessor for all prior periods. Because of the timing of the IPO and the Formation Transactions, the results of operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 reflect those of the Predecessor together with Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., while the results of operations for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 as well as the financial condition as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 reflect only those of Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2015, the Company owned 100% of the interests in each of the following properties in its operating property portfolio:

| Property | Segment | Location |
|---|-------------|------------------------------|
| 4525 Main Street | Office | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Armada Hoffler Tower | Office | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Commonwealth of Virginia – Chesapeake | Office | Chesapeake, Virginia |
| Commonwealth of Virginia – Virginia Beach | Office | Virginia Beach, Virginia |
| One Columbus | Office | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Oyster Point | Office | Newport News, Virginia |
| Richmond Tower | Office | Richmond, Virginia |
| Two Columbus | Office | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| 249 Central Park Retail | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Bermuda Crossroads | Retail | Chester, Virginia |
| Broad Creek Shopping Center | Retail | Norfolk, Virginia |
| Columbus Village | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Commerce Street Retail | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Courthouse 7-Eleven | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia |
| Dick’s at Town Center | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Dimmock Square | Retail | Colonial Heights, Virginia |
| Fountain Plaza Retail | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Gainsborough Square | Retail | Chesapeake, Virginia |
| Greentree Shopping Center | Retail | Chesapeake, Virginia |
| Hanbury Village | Retail | Chesapeake, Virginia |
| Harrisonburg Regal | Retail | Harrisonburg, Virginia |
| North Point Center | Retail | Durham, North Carolina |
| Parkway Marketplace | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia |
| Perry Hall Marketplace | Retail | Perry Hall, Maryland |
| Providence Plaza | Retail | Charlotte, North Carolina |
| Sandbridge Commons | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia |
| Socastee Commons | Retail | Myrtle Beach, South Carolina |
| South Retail | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Stone House Square | Retail | Hagerstown, Maryland |
| Studio 56 Retail | Retail | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Tyre Neck Harris Teeter | Retail | Portsmouth, Virginia |
| Encore Apartments | Multifamily | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |
| Liberty Apartments | Multifamily | Newport News, Virginia |
| Smith’s Landing | Multifamily | Blacksburg, Virginia |
| The Cosmopolitan | Multifamily | Virginia Beach, Virginia* |

*Located in the Town Center of Virginia Beach

As of December 31, 2015, the following properties were under development or construction:

| Property | Segment | Location |
|-----------------------|---------------|------------------------|
| Lightfoot Marketplace | Retail | Williamsburg, Virginia |
| Johns Hopkins Village | Multifamily | Baltimore, Maryland |
| Brooks Crossing | Office/Retail | Newport News, Virginia |

The Company owns a 60% controlling financial interest in Lightfoot Marketplace. Subject to the occurrence of certain events, the Company's ownership interest in Lightfoot Marketplace may increase to 70%. The Company owns an 80% controlling financial interest in Johns Hopkins Village. The noncontrolling interest holder of Johns Hopkins Village has the right to exchange its 20% ownership interest for Class A units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership ("Class A Units" and collectively with other classes of units of limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership, "OP Units") upon and for a period of one year after the project's completion. The Company owns a 65% controlling financial interest in Brooks Crossing.

Table of Contents

2. Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated and combined financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (“GAAP”).

The consolidated financial statements include the financial position and results of operations of the Company, the Operating Partnership and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The financial position and results of operations of the entities comprising the Predecessor have been combined because they were under common ownership by their individual partners, members and stockholders and under common control of Mr. Hoffler. All significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in combination.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported and disclosed. Such estimates are based on management’s historical experience and best judgment after considering past, current and expected events and economic conditions. Actual results could differ from management’s estimates.

Segments

Segment information is prepared on the same basis that management reviews information for operational decision-making purposes. Management evaluates the performance of each of the Company’s properties individually and aggregates such properties into segments based on their economic characteristics and classes of tenants. The Company operates in four business segments: (i) office real estate, (ii) retail real estate, (iii) multifamily residential real estate and (iv) general contracting and real estate services. The Company’s general contracting and real estate services business develops and builds properties for its own account and also provides construction and development services to both related and third parties.

Revenue Recognition

Rental Revenues

The Company leases its properties under operating leases and recognizes base rents when earned on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Rental revenues include \$1.9 million, \$2.2 million and \$1.1 million of straight-line rent adjustments for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015. The Company begins recognizing rental revenue when the tenant has the right to take possession of or controls the physical use of the property under lease. The extended collection period for accrued straight-line rental revenue along with The Company's evaluation of tenant credit risk may result in the nonrecognition of all or a portion of straight-line rental revenue until the collection of such revenue is reasonably assured. The Company recognizes contingent rental revenue (e.g., percentage rents based on tenant sales thresholds) when changes in the factors on which the contingent lease payments are based actually occur. Contingent rents included in rental revenues were \$0.1 million for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015. The Company recognizes leasing incentives as reductions to rental revenue on a straight-line basis over the lease term. Leasing incentive amortization for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 was \$0.8 million, \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The Company recognizes cost reimbursement revenue for real estate taxes, operating expenses and common area maintenance costs on an accrual basis during the periods in which the expenses are incurred. The Company recognizes lease termination fees either upon termination or evenly over any remaining lease term.

General Contracting and Real Estate Services Revenues

The Company recognizes general contracting revenue on construction contracts using the percentage-of-completion method. Under this method, the Company recognizes revenue and an estimated profit as construction

F-9

Table of Contents

contract costs are incurred based on the proportion of incurred costs to total estimated construction contract costs at completion. Construction contract costs include all direct material, labor and subcontract costs as well as any indirect costs related to contract performance. Provisions for estimated losses on uncompleted contracts are recognized immediately in the period in which such losses are determined. Changes in job performance, job conditions and estimated profitability, including those arising from contract penalty provisions and final contract settlements, may result in revisions to costs and income and are recognized in the period in which they are determined. Profit incentives are included in revenues when their realization is probable and when they can be reasonably estimated.

The Company recognizes real estate services revenues from property development and management when realized and earned, generally as such services are provided.

Real Estate Investments

Income producing property primarily includes land, buildings and tenant improvements and is stated at cost. Real estate investments held for development include land and capitalized development costs. The Company reclassifies real estate investments held for development to construction in progress upon commencement of construction. Construction in progress is stated at cost. Direct and certain indirect costs clearly associated with the development, redevelopment, construction, leasing or expansion of real estate assets are capitalized as a cost of the property. Repairs and maintenance costs are expensed as incurred.

The Company capitalizes direct and indirect project costs associated with the initial construction of a property until the property is substantially complete and ready for its intended use. Capitalized project costs include preacquisition development and preconstruction costs including overhead, salaries and related costs of personnel directly involved, real estate taxes, insurance, utilities, ground rent and interest. Interest capitalized during each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 was \$1.0 million, \$3.1 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. Overhead, salaries and related personnel costs capitalized during each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 were \$2.1 million, \$2.4 million and \$1.6 million, respectively.

The Company capitalizes preacquisition development costs directly identifiable with specific properties when the acquisition of such properties is probable. Capitalized preacquisition development costs are presented within other assets in the consolidated balance sheets. Capitalized preacquisition development costs as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$2.5 million and \$4.6 million, respectively. Costs attributable to unsuccessful projects are expensed.

The Company recognizes real estate development grants from state and local governments as reductions to the carrying amounts of the related real estate investments when any attached conditions are satisfied and when there is reasonable assurance that the grant will be received.

Income producing property is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Buildings | 39 years |
| Capital improvements | 15—20 years |
| Equipment | 5—15 years |
| Tenant improvements | Term of the related lease (or estimated useful life, if shorter) |

Operating Property Acquisitions

In connection with operating property acquisitions, the Company identifies and recognizes all assets acquired and liabilities assumed at their estimated fair values as of the acquisition date. The purchase price allocations to tangible assets, such as land, site improvements and buildings and improvements are presented within income producing property in the consolidated balance sheets and depreciated over their estimated useful lives. Acquired lease intangibles are presented within other assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets and amortized over their respective lease terms. The Company amortizes in-place lease assets as depreciation and amortization expense on a straight-line basis over the remaining term of the related leases. The Company amortizes above-market lease assets as reductions to rental revenues on a straight-line basis over the remaining term of the related leases. The Company amortizes below-market lease liabilities as increases to rental revenues on a straight-line

Table of Contents

basis over the remaining term of the related leases. The Company amortizes below-market ground lease assets as increases to rental expenses on a straight-line basis over the remaining term of the related leases. The Company expenses all costs incurred related to operating property acquisitions.

The Company values land based on a market approach, looking to recent sales of similar properties, adjusting for differences due to location, the state of entitlement as well as the shape and size of the parcel. Improvements to land are valued using a replacement cost approach. The approach applies industry standard replacement costs adjusted for geographic specific considerations and reduced by estimated depreciation. The value of buildings acquired is estimated using the replacement cost approach, assuming the buildings were vacant at acquisition. The replacement cost approach considers the composition of the structures acquired, adjusted for an estimate of depreciation. The estimate of depreciation is made considering industry standard information and depreciation curves for the identified asset classes. The value of acquired lease intangibles considers the estimated cost of leasing the properties as if the acquired buildings were vacant, as well as the value of the current leases relative to market-rate leases. The in-place lease value is determined using an estimated total lease-up time and lost rental revenues during such time. The value of current leases relative to market-rate leases is based on market rents obtained for market comparables. Given the significance of unobservable inputs used in the valuation of acquired real estate assets, the Company classifies them as Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy.

The Company values debt assumed in connection with operating property acquisitions based on a discounted cash flow analysis of the expected cash flows of the debt. Such analysis considers the contractual terms of the debt, including the period to maturity, and uses observable market-based inputs, including interest rate information as of the acquisition date. The Company also considers credit valuation adjustments for potential nonperformance risk. The Company classifies the inputs used to value debt assumed in connection with operating property acquisitions as Level 2 inputs in the fair value hierarchy as they are predominantly observable and market-based.

Real Estate Investments Held for Sale

Real estate assets classified as held for sale are reported at the lower of their carrying value or their fair value, less estimated costs to sell. Once a property is classified as held for sale, it is no longer depreciated. A property is classified as held for sale when: (i) senior management commits to a plan to sell the property, (ii) the property is available for immediate sale in its present condition, subject only to conditions usual and customary for such sales, (iii) an active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell have been initiated, (iv) the sale is expected to be completed within one year, (v) the property is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value and (vi) actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plan will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

The Company classified the Richmond Tower office building and the Sentara Williamsburg medical office building as held for sale as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Impairment of Long Lived Assets

The Company evaluates its real estate assets for impairment on a property by property basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that their carrying amounts may not be recoverable. If such an evaluation is necessary, the Company compares the carrying amount of any such real estate asset with the undiscounted expected future cash flows that are directly associated with, and that are expected to arise as a direct result of, its use and eventual disposition. If the carrying amount of a real estate asset exceeds the associated estimate of undiscounted expected future cash flows, an impairment loss is recognized to reduce the real estate asset's carrying value to its fair value.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents include demand deposits, investments in money market funds and investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

F-11

Table of Contents

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash represents amounts held by lenders for real estate taxes, insurance and reserves for capital improvements. The Company presents changes in cash restricted for real estate taxes and insurance as operating activities in the consolidated and combined statements of cash flows. The Company presents changes in cash restricted for capital improvements as investing activities in the consolidated and combined statements of cash flows.

Accounts Receivable, net

Accounts receivable include amounts from tenants for base rents, contingent rents and cost reimbursements as well as accrued straight-line rental revenue. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, accrued straight-line rental revenue presented within accounts receivable in the consolidated balance sheets was \$20.3 million and \$19.4 million, respectively.

The Company's evaluation of the collectability of accounts receivable and the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts is based primarily upon evaluations of individual receivables, current economic conditions, historical experience and other relevant factors. The Company establishes reserves for tenant receivables outstanding over 90 days. For all such tenants, the Company also reserves any related accrued straight-line rental revenue. Additional reserves are recorded for more current amounts, as applicable, when the Company has determined collectability to be doubtful. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the allowance for doubtful accounts was not significant. The Company presents bad debt expense within rental expenses in the consolidated and combined statements of comprehensive income.

Notes Receivable

From time to time, the Company may provide financing to third parties in the form of mortgage or mezzanine loans for the development of new real estate. Mortgage loans are secured, in part, by second deeds of trust on the underlying properties. Mezzanine loans are secured, in part, by pledges of ownership interests of the entities that own the underlying real estate. The Company evaluates the collectability of both the interest on and principal of each of its notes receivable based primarily upon the financial condition of the individual borrowers. A loan is determined to be impaired when, based upon current information, it is no longer probable that the Company will be able to collect all contractual amounts due from the borrower. The amount of impairment loss recognized is measured as the difference between the carrying amount of the loan and its estimated realizable value.

Leasing Costs

Commissions paid by the Company to third parties to originate a lease are deferred and amortized as depreciation and amortization expense on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease. Leasing costs are presented within other assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Leasing Incentives

Incentives paid by the Company to tenants are deferred and amortized as reductions to rental revenues on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease. Leasing incentives are presented within other assets in the consolidated balance sheets.

Debt Issuance Costs

Financing costs are deferred and amortized as interest expense using the effective interest method over the term of the related debt. Debt issuance costs are presented as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the associated debt liability in the consolidated balance sheets.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Company may enter into interest rate derivatives to manage exposure to interest rate risks. The Company does not use derivative financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes. The Company recognizes derivative

Table of Contents

financial instruments at fair value and presents them within other assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheets. Gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value of derivatives that are neither designated nor qualify as hedging instruments are recognized within other income (expense) in the consolidated and combined statements of comprehensive income. For derivatives that qualify as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of the gain or loss is reported as a component of other comprehensive income and reclassified into earnings in the periods during which the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings.

Stock-Based Compensation

The Company measures the compensation cost of restricted stock awards based on the grant date fair value. The Company recognizes compensation cost for the vesting of restricted stock awards using the accelerated attribution method. Compensation cost associated with the vesting of restricted stock awards is presented within either general and administrative expenses or general contracting and real estate services expenses in the consolidated and combined statements of comprehensive income. Total stock-based compensation expense recognized during each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 was \$0.9 million, \$0.9 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. Stock-based compensation for personnel directly involved in the development and initial construction of a property is capitalized. During both of the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company capitalized \$0.4 million of stock-based compensation. Stock-based compensation capitalized during the year ended December 31, 2013 was not significant.

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) for U.S. federal income tax purposes. For continued qualification as a REIT for federal income tax purposes, the Company must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to pay distributions to stockholders of at least 90% of annual taxable income, excluding net capital gains. As a REIT, the Company generally is not subject to income tax on net income distributed as dividends to stockholders. The Company is subject to state and local income taxes in some jurisdictions and, in certain circumstances, may also be subject to federal excise taxes on undistributed income. In addition, certain of the Company’s activities must be conducted by subsidiaries that have elected to be treated as a taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”) subject to both federal and state income taxes. The Operating Partnership conducts its development and construction businesses through the TRS. The related income tax provision or benefit attributable to the profits or losses of the TRS and any taxable income of the Company is reflected in the consolidated and combined financial statements.

The Company uses the liability method of accounting for deferred income tax in accordance with GAAP. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the carrying value of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using the statutory rates expected to be applied in the periods in which those temporary differences are settled. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in the period of the change. A valuation allowance is recorded on the Company’s deferred tax assets when it is more

likely than not that such assets will not be realized. When evaluating the realizability of the Company's deferred tax assets, all evidence, both positive and negative is evaluated. Items considered in this analysis include the ability to carryback losses, the reversal of temporary differences, tax planning strategies and expectations of future earnings.

Under GAAP, the amount of tax benefit to be recognized is the amount of benefit that is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination. Management analyzes its tax filing positions in the U.S. federal, state and local jurisdictions where it is required to file income tax returns for all open tax years. If, based on this analysis, management determines that uncertainties in tax positions exist, a liability is established. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax positions in the provision for income taxes. If recognized, the entire amount of unrecognized tax positions would be recorded as a reduction to the provision for income taxes.

The Predecessor was comprised primarily of limited partnerships, limited liability companies and S-corporations. Under applicable federal and state income tax rules, the allocated share of net income or loss from limited partnerships, limited liability companies and S-corporations flows through to the respective partners, members and shareholders. For periods prior to the completion of the IPO and the Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013, no provision was made for U.S. federal, state or local income taxes because profits and losses of the Predecessor

Table of Contents

flowed through to its respective partners, members and shareholders that were individually responsible for reporting such amounts.

Discontinued Operations

For periods prior to January 1, 2014, the Company presented properties held for sale as discontinued operations only when it would not have any significant continuing involvement in the properties' operations after their disposition and when the properties' operations and cash flows: (i) could be clearly distinguished and (ii) would be eliminated from the Company's ongoing operations upon disposition.

Beginning January 1, 2014, only disposals representing a strategic shift that has or will have a major effect on the Company's operations and financial results are reported as discontinued operations.

Net Income Per Share and Unit

The Company calculates net income per share and unit based upon the weighted average shares and units outstanding for periods after the completion of the IPO and Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013. Diluted net income per share and unit is calculated after giving effect to all significant potential dilutive shares outstanding during the period. Potential dilutive shares outstanding during the period include nonvested restricted stock awards. However, there were no significant potential dilutive shares or units outstanding during the period May 13, 2013 through December 31, 2013 or for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014. As a result, basic and diluted outstanding shares and units were the same for all periods presented. See Note 11 for the changes in the Company's nonvested restricted awards during each of the three years ended December 31, 2015.

Emerging Growth Company Status

The Company qualifies as an emerging growth company ("EGC") pursuant to the Jumpstart Our Business Startups Act. An EGC may choose to take advantage of the extended private company transition period provided for complying with new or revised accounting standards that may be issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") or the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC"). The Company has elected to opt out of such extended transition period. This election is irrevocable.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

On May 28, 2014, the FASB issued a new standard that provides a single, comprehensive model for recognizing revenue from contracts with customers. While the new standard does not supersede the guidance on accounting for leases, it could change the way the Company recognizes revenue from construction and development contracts with third party customers. The new standard will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2018. Management is currently evaluating the potential impact of the new revenue recognition standard on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On February 18, 2015, the FASB issued new consolidation guidance that changes: (i) the identification of variable interests, (ii) the variable interest entity ("VIE") characteristics for a limited partnership or similar entity and (iii) primary beneficiary determination. The amended guidance also eliminates the presumption that a general partner controls a limited partnership. The amended guidance will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2016. Management is currently evaluating the potential impact of the new guidance on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

On April 7, 2015, the FASB issued new guidance that requires debt issuance costs to be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying value of the associated debt liability, consistent with the presentation of a debt discount, rather than as an asset. The Company early adopted the new guidance effective December 31, 2015 and applied it on a retrospective basis for all debt issuance costs, including those pertaining to the Company's revolving credit facility. As a result, unamortized debt issuance costs of \$2.9 million as of December 31, 2014 have been reclassified from other assets and presented as a deduction of indebtedness in the consolidated balance sheet.

On February 25, 2016, the FASB issued a new leases standard that requires lessees to recognize most leases in their balance sheets as lease liabilities with corresponding right-of-use assets. The new standard also makes targeted

Table of Contents

changes to lessor accounting. The new standard will be effective for the Company on January 1, 2019 and requires a modified retrospective transition approach for all leases existing at, or entered into after, the date of initial application with an option to use certain transition relief. Management is currently evaluating the potential impact of the new leases standard on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

3.Segments

Net operating income (segment revenues minus segment expenses) is the measure used by the Company's chief operating decision-maker to assess segment performance. Net operating income is not a measure of operating income or cash flows from operating activities as measured by GAAP and is not indicative of cash available to fund cash needs. As a result, net operating income should not be considered an alternative to cash flows as a measure of liquidity. Not all companies calculate net operating income in the same manner. The Company considers net operating income to be an appropriate supplemental measure to net income because it assists both investors and management in understanding the core operations of the Company's real estate and construction businesses.

Net operating income of the Company's reportable segments for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 was as follows (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Office real estate | | | |
| Rental revenues | \$ 31,534 | \$ 27,827 | \$ 25,794 |
| Rental expenses | 6,938 | 6,395 | 5,721 |
| Real estate taxes | 2,950 | 2,315 | 2,171 |
| Segment net operating income | 21,646 | 19,117 | 17,902 |
| Retail real estate | | | |
| Rental revenues | 32,064 | 23,956 | 21,755 |
| Rental expenses | 5,915 | 5,011 | 4,808 |
| Real estate taxes | 2,928 | 2,097 | 1,971 |
| Segment net operating income | 23,221 | 16,848 | 14,976 |
| Multifamily residential real estate | | | |
| Rental revenues | 17,574 | 12,963 | 9,971 |
| Rental expenses | 6,351 | 5,261 | 3,496 |
| Real estate taxes | 1,904 | 1,331 | 982 |
| Segment net operating income | 9,319 | 6,371 | 5,493 |
| General contracting and real estate services | | | |
| Segment revenues | 171,268 | 103,321 | 82,516 |
| Segment expenses | 165,344 | 98,754 | 78,813 |
| Segment gross profit | 5,924 | 4,567 | 3,703 |
| Net operating income | \$ 60,110 | \$ 46,903 | \$ 42,074 |

Rental expenses represent costs directly associated with the operation and management of the Company's real estate properties. Rental expenses include asset management fees, property management fees, repairs and maintenance, insurance and utilities.

General contracting and real estate services revenues for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 exclude revenue related to intercompany construction contracts of \$43.1 million, \$85.4 million and \$35.7 million, respectively. General contracting and real estate services expenses for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 exclude expenses related to intercompany construction contracts of \$42.8 million, \$84.6 million and \$35.4 million, respectively. General contracting and real estate services expenses for both of the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014 include noncash stock compensation expense of \$0.2 million.

F-15

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles net operating income to net income for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Net operating income | \$ 60,110 | \$ 46,903 | \$ 42,074 |
| Depreciation and amortization | (23,153) | (17,569) | (14,898) |
| General and administrative expenses | (8,397) | (7,711) | (6,937) |
| Acquisition, development and other pursuit costs | (1,935) | (229) | — |
| Impairment charges | (41) | (15) | (580) |
| Interest income | 126 | — | — |
| Interest expense | (13,333) | (10,648) | (12,303) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (512) | — | (2,387) |
| Gain on real estate dispositions and acquisitions | 18,394 | 2,211 | 9,460 |
| Other (expense) income | (110) | (113) | 297 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | 34 | (70) | (273) |
| Net income | \$ 31,183 | \$ 12,759 | \$ 14,453 |

General and administrative expenses represent costs not directly associated with the operation and management of the Company's real estate properties and general contracting and real estate services businesses. General and administrative expenses include office personnel salaries and benefits, bank fees, accounting fees, legal fees and other corporate office expenses. General and administrative expenses for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 include noncash stock compensation expense of \$0.7 million, \$0.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Impairment charges recognized during each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 represent unamortized leasing or acquired intangible assets related to vacated tenants.

4. Operating Leases

The Company's commercial tenant leases generally range from five to 20 years, but certain leases with anchor tenants may be longer. The Company's commercial tenant leases provide for minimum rental payments during each of the next five years and thereafter as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | |
|------------|------------|
| 2016 | \$ 60,658 |
| 2017 | 59,890 |
| 2018 | 54,683 |
| 2019 | 46,128 |
| 2020 | 39,895 |
| Thereafter | 249,929 |
| Total | \$ 511,183 |

Lease terms on multifamily apartment units generally range from seven to 15 months, with a majority having 12-month lease terms. Apartment leases are not included in the preceding table as the remaining terms as of December 31, 2015 are generally less than one year.

F-16

Table of Contents

5.Real Estate Investments

The Company's real estate investments comprised the following as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2015 | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | Income producing property | Held for development | Construction in progress | Total |
| Land | \$ 70,518 | \$ 1,180 | \$ 7,750 | \$ 79,448 |
| Land improvements | 26,172 | — | — | 26,172 |
| Buildings and improvements | 482,310 | — | — | 482,310 |
| Development and construction costs | — | — | 45,661 | 45,661 |
| Real estate investments | \$ 579,000 | \$ 1,180 | \$ 53,411 | \$ 633,591 |

| | December 31, 2014 | | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| | Income producing property | Held for development | Construction in progress | Total |
| Land | \$ 40,898 | \$ — | \$ 15,260 | \$ 56,158 |
| Land improvements | 16,279 | — | — | 16,279 |
| Buildings and improvements | 456,741 | — | — | 456,741 |
| Development and construction costs | — | — | 65,822 | 65,822 |
| Real estate investments | \$ 513,918 | \$ — | \$ 81,082 | \$ 595,000 |

2015 Operating Property Acquisitions

On April 8, 2015, the Company completed the acquisitions of Stone House Square in Hagerstown, Maryland and Perry Hall Marketplace in Perry Hall, Maryland. In exchange for both properties, the Company paid \$35.4 million of cash and issued 415,500 shares of common stock. The acquisition date fair value of the total consideration transferred in exchange for Stone House Square and Perry Hall Marketplace was \$39.8 million.

On July 1, 2015, the Company completed the acquisition of Socastee Commons, a 57,000 square foot retail center in Myrtle Beach, South Carolina. The total consideration for Socastee Commons was \$8.7 million, which was comprised of \$3.7 million of cash and the assumption of debt with an outstanding principal balance of \$5.0 million. The fair value adjustment to the assumed debt of Socastee Commons was a \$0.1 million premium.

On July 10, 2015, the Company acquired Columbus Village, a 65,000 square foot retail center in Virginia Beach, Virginia. In exchange for Columbus Village, the Company assumed debt with an aggregate outstanding principal balance and fair value of \$8.8 million, issued 1,000,000 Class B units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (“Class B Units”) and agreed to issue 275,000 Class C units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership (“Class C Units”) on January 10, 2017. Subject to the occurrence of certain events, the Class B Units and Class C Units will not earn or accrue distributions until July 10, 2017 and January 10, 2018, respectively, at which time they automatically convert to Class A Units and may be tendered for redemption by the Operating Partnership in exchange for cash equal to the market price of shares of the Company’s common stock or, at the Company’s option and sole discretion, unregistered or registered shares of the Company’s common stock on a one-for-one basis. The estimated fair value of the Class B Units and Class C Units includes a discount for their lack of marketability and distributions until July 10, 2017 and January 10, 2018, respectively. The acquisition date fair value of the total consideration transferred in exchange for Columbus Village was \$19.2 million.

On September 1, 2015, the Company acquired Providence Plaza in Charlotte, North Carolina for \$26.2 million of cash. Providence Plaza is a mixed-use property comprised of three buildings totaling 103,000 square feet, a two-level parking garage and approximately one acre of land zoned for multifamily development.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the acquisition date fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed during the year ended December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

| | |
|---------------------------|-----------|
| Land | \$ 29,500 |
| Site improvements | 3,290 |
| Building and improvements | 49,260 |
| In-place leases | 14,160 |
| Above-market leases | 2,260 |
| Below-market leases | (4,420) |
| Indebtedness | (13,935) |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 80,115 |

Rental revenues and net income from the 2015 acquired properties for the period from the respective acquisition dates to December 31, 2015 included in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income was \$4.8 million and \$0.8 million, respectively.

2014 Operating Property Acquisitions

As discussed in Note 1, the Company completed the acquisition of Liberty Apartments from affiliates of the Predecessor on January 17, 2014. The fair value of the total consideration transferred at the acquisition date to acquire Liberty Apartments was \$26.7 million, consisting of 695,652 Class A Units, \$3.0 million in cash and the assumption of \$17.0 million of debt. The fair value adjustment to the assumed debt of Liberty Apartments was a \$1.5 million discount. The outstanding principal balance of the assumed debt of Liberty Apartments at the acquisition date was \$18.5 million.

On August 15, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of Dimmock Square, a 106,166 square foot retail center located in Colonial Heights, Virginia. The fair value of the total consideration transferred at the acquisition date to acquire Dimmock Square was \$19.7 million, consisting of 990,952 OP Units and \$10.1 million of cash that was used to immediately defease the loan secured by Dimmock Square upon its contribution to the Operating Partnership.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed during the year ended December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | |
|------|----------|
| Land | \$ 8,680 |
|------|----------|

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Site improvements | 880 |
| Building and improvements | 35,740 |
| In-place leases | 2,220 |
| Indebtedness | (16,966) |
| Above and below-market leases | (390) |
| Net working capital | (679) |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 29,485 |

Rental revenues and net loss from the 2014 acquired properties for the period from the respective acquisition dates to December 31, 2014 included in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income was \$1.8 million and \$(2.2) million, respectively.

2013 Operating Property Acquisitions

Substantially concurrent with the completion of the IPO on May 13, 2013 and in connection with the Formation Transactions, the Operating Partnership acquired 100% of the interests in Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing. Prior to the acquisition date, the Predecessor accounted for its 50% interest in Bermuda Crossroads and 40% interest in Smith's Landing as equity method investments. The acquisitions of controlling interests in Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing were accounted for as purchases at fair value under the acquisition method of accounting. Total consideration in the form of cash and Class A Units paid for the 50% interest in Bermuda Crossroads was \$3.2 million. Total consideration in the form of cash and Class A Units paid for the 60% interest in Smith's Landing was \$7.5 million. The acquisition-date fair values of the previous equity interests in

Table of Contents

Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing were \$3.2 million and \$5.0 million, respectively. The Company recognized a gain of \$9.5 million as a result of remeasuring the Predecessor's prior equity interests in Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing held before the acquisitions. Rental revenues and net income of both Bermuda Crossroads and Smith's Landing for the period from the acquisition date to December 31, 2013 included in the consolidated and combined statements of comprehensive income were \$3.8 million and \$0.2 million, respectively.

Pro Forma Financial Information (Unaudited)

The following table summarizes the consolidated and combined results of operations of the Company on a pro forma basis, as if each of the 2015 acquisitions had been acquired on January 1, 2014, each of the 2014 acquisitions had been acquired on January 1, 2013 and each of the 2013 acquisitions had been acquired on January 1, 2012 (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Rental revenues | \$ 85,163 | \$ 74,530 | \$ 61,555 |
| Net income | 32,652 | 13,378 | 5,676 |

The pro forma financial information is presented for informational purposes only and is not indicative of the results of operations that would have been achieved if these acquisitions had taken place on January 1, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The pro forma financial information includes adjustments to rental revenue and rental expenses for above and below-market leases, adjustments to depreciation and amortization expense for acquired property and in-place lease assets and adjustments to interest expense for fair value adjustments to assumed debt.

Subsequent to December 31, 2015

On January 14, 2016, the Company completed the acquisition of an 11-asset retail portfolio totaling 1.1 million square feet for \$170.5 million in cash. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had paid advance deposits of \$3.5 million related to this portfolio acquisition. The \$3.5 million of advance deposits is presented within other assets in the consolidated balance sheet. The Company is currently evaluating the accounting for this portfolio acquisition and anticipates that the consideration transferred will primarily be allocated to buildings, land and acquired lease intangibles.

Other 2015 Real Estate Transactions

On January 5, 2015, the Company completed the sale of the Sentara Williamsburg office property for \$15.4 million. Net proceeds to the Company after transaction costs were \$15.2 million. The Company recognized a gain on the disposition of the Sentara Williamsburg office property of \$6.2 million.

On February 13, 2015, the Company agreed to the future sale of the Oyster Point office property for \$6.5 million. The Company intends to complete the sale on January 15, 2017.

On March 31, 2015, the Company purchased land held for development in the Town Center of Virginia Beach, Virginia for \$1.2 million.

On May 20, 2015, the Company completed the sale of Whetstone Apartments for \$35.6 million. Net proceeds to the Company after transaction costs were \$35.5 million. The Company recognized a gain on the disposition of Whetstone Apartments of \$7.2 million.

On October 5, 2015, the Company purchased 3.24 acres of land in Newport News, Virginia for \$0.1 million for the development of Brooks Crossing, a new urban, mixed-use and low-rise development project, in partnership with the City of Newport News.

On October 30, 2015, the Company completed the sale of the Oceaneering International facility for \$30.0 million. Net proceeds to the Company after transaction costs were \$29.0 million. The Company recognized a gain on the disposition of Oceaneering of \$5.0 million.

Table of Contents

On November 2, 2015, the Company entered into an agreement to sell the Richmond Tower office building for \$78.0 million. The Company completed the disposition on January 8, 2016. Net proceeds to the Company after transaction costs were \$77.0 million. The estimated gain on the disposition of Richmond Tower is approximately \$26 million.

Other 2014 Real Estate Transactions

On April 16, 2014, the Company purchased land in Williamsburg, Virginia for \$7.6 million for the development and construction of Lightfoot Marketplace.

On May 1, 2014, the Company purchased land in Chesapeake, Virginia for \$0.3 million for the development and construction of a new administrative building for the Commonwealth of Virginia.

On September 29, 2014, the Company purchased land in Virginia Beach, Virginia for \$0.2 million for the development and construction of a new administrative building for the Commonwealth of Virginia.

On November 20, 2014, the Company completed the sale of the Virginia Natural Gas office property for \$8.9 million in cash. Net proceeds to the Company after transaction costs and tax protection payments were \$7.4 million. The gain on the disposition of the Virginia Natural Gas office property was \$2.2 million.

6. Notes Receivable

On October 15, 2015, the Company agreed to invest up to \$23.0 million in the Point Street Apartments project in the Harbor Point area of Baltimore, Maryland. Point Street Apartments is an estimated \$93.0 million development project with plans for a 17-story building comprised of 289 residential units and 18,000 square feet of street-level retail space. Beatty Development Group (“BDG”) is the developer of the project and has engaged the Company to serve as construction general contractor. Point Street Apartments is scheduled to open in 2017; however, management can provide no assurances that Point Street Apartments will open on the anticipated timeline.

BDG is responsible for securing a senior construction loan of up to \$70.0 million to fund the development and construction of Point Street Apartments. The Company has agreed to guarantee up to \$25.0 million of the senior construction loan in exchange for the option to purchase up to an 88% controlling interest in Point Street Apartments upon completion of the project as follows: (i) an option to purchase a 79% indirect interest in Point Street Apartments for \$27.3 million, exercisable within one year from the project’s completion (the “First Option”) and (ii) provided that

the Company has exercised the First Option, an option to purchase an additional 9% indirect interest in Point Street Apartments for \$3.1 million, exercisable within 27 months from the project's completion (the "Second Option").

The Company's investment in the Point Street Apartments project is in the form of a loan under which BDG may borrow up to \$23.0 million (the "BDG loan"). Interest on the BDG loan accrues at 8.0% per annum and matures on the earlier of: (i) November 1, 2018, which may be extended by BDG under two one-year extension options, (ii) the maturity date or earlier termination of the senior construction loan or (iii) the date the Company exercises the Second Option as described further below.

In the event the Company exercises the First Option, BDG is required to simultaneously pay down the senior construction loan by \$7.4 million and the BDG loan by \$19.9 million, at which time the interest rate on the BDG loan will automatically be reduced to the interest rate on the senior construction loan plus 200 basis points. In the event the Company exercises the Second Option, BDG is required to simultaneously repay any remaining amounts outstanding under the BDG loan, with any excess proceeds received from the exercise of the Second Option applied against the senior construction loan. In the event the Company does not exercise either the First Option or the Second Option, the interest rate on the BDG loan will automatically be reduced to the interest rate on the senior construction loan for the remaining term of the BDG loan. In the event BDG is unable to secure a senior construction loan on or before June 30, 2016, the interest rate on the BDG loan will be reduced to one-month LIBOR plus 200 basis points.

As of December 31, 2015, the Company had funded \$7.8 million under the BDG loan and for the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recognized \$0.1 million of interest income on the BDG loan.

Table of Contents

Because BDG is the developer of Point Street Apartments, the Company does not have the power to direct the activities of the project that most significantly impact its performance, nor is the Company the party most closely associated with the project. Therefore, the Company is not the project's primary beneficiary.

7. Construction Contracts

Construction contract costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings represent reimbursable costs and amounts earned under contracts in progress as of the balance sheet date. Such amounts become billable according to contract terms, which usually consider the passage of time, achievement of certain milestones or completion of the project. Billings of \$19.2 million and \$39.8 million were netted against construction contract costs and estimated earnings as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company expects to bill and collect substantially all construction contract costs incurred as of December 31, 2015 during the year ending December 31, 2016.

The Company defers precontract costs when such costs are directly associated with specific anticipated contracts and their recovery is probable. Precontract costs of \$0.5 million and \$0.2 million were deferred as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Billings in excess of construction contract costs and estimated earnings represent billings or collections on contracts made in advance of revenue recognized.

Construction receivables and payables include retentions—amounts that are generally withheld until the completion of the contract or the satisfaction of certain restrictive conditions such as fulfillment guarantees. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, construction receivables included retentions of \$10.8 million and \$4.7 million, respectively. The Company expects to collect substantially all construction receivables as of December 31, 2015 during the year ending December 31, 2016. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, construction payables included retentions of \$12.3 million and \$9.0 million, respectively. The Company expects to pay substantially all construction payables as of December 31, 2015 during the year ending December 31, 2016.

The Company's net position on uncompleted construction contracts comprised the following as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

December 31,

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | 2015 | 2014 |
|--|------------|------------|
| Costs incurred on uncompleted construction contracts | \$ 228,184 | \$ 195,219 |
| Estimated earnings | 9,739 | 8,501 |
| Billings | (240,059) | (204,501) |
| Net position | \$ (2,136) | \$ (781) |

| | December 31, | |
|--|--------------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Construction contract costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings | \$ 88 | \$ 272 |
| Billings in excess of construction contract costs and estimated earnings | (2,224) | (1,053) |
| Net position | \$ (2,136) | \$ (781) |

The Company expects to complete all uncompleted contracts as of December 31, 2015 during the years ending December 31, 2016 and 2017.

Table of Contents

8. Indebtedness

The Company's indebtedness was comprised of the following as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 (dollars in thousands):

| | Principal Balance | | Stated Interest | | Stated Maturity |
|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-----------|------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| | December 31, 2015 | 2014 | Rate December 31, 2015 | | Date |
| 249 Central Park Retail(1) | \$ 15,282 | \$ 15,566 | 5.99 | % | September 8, 2016 |
| Fountain Plaza Retail(1) | 7,641 | 7,783 | 5.99 | % | September 8, 2016 |
| South Retail | 6,742 | 6,867 | 5.99 | % | September 8, 2016 |
| 4525 Main Street(2) | 31,613 | 30,870 | LIBOR + 1.95 | % | January 30, 2017 |
| Encore Apartments(2) | 25,184 | 22,215 | LIBOR + 1.95 | % | January 30, 2017 |
| North Point Center Note 5(3) | 664 | 685 | LIBOR + 2.00 | % | February 1, 2017 |
| Oyster Point | 6,400 | 6,274 | LIBOR+ 1.40%-2.00 | % | February 28, 2017 |
| Harrisonburg Regal | 3,463 | 3,659 | 6.06 | % | June 8, 2017 |
| Commonwealth of Virginia - Chesapeake | 4,933 | 3,585 | LIBOR + 1.90 | % | August 28, 2017 |
| Hanbury Village Note 1 | 20,970 | 21,218 | 6.67 | % | October 11, 2017 |
| Lightfoot Marketplace | 7,759 | 3,484 | LIBOR + 1.90 | % | November 14, 2017 |
| Sandbridge Commons | 9,010 | 5,892 | LIBOR + 1.85 | % | January 17, 2018 |
| Columbus Village Note 1(3) | 6,429 | — | LIBOR + 2.00 | % | April 5, 2018 |
| Columbus Village Note 2 | 2,310 | — | LIBOR + 2.00 | % | April 5, 2018 |
| Johns Hopkins Village | 3,968 | — | LIBOR + 1.90 | % | July 30, 2018 |
| North Point Center Note 1 | 9,969 | 10,149 | 6.45 | % | February 5, 2019 |
| Revolving credit facility | 74,000 | 59,000 | LIBOR+ 1.40%-2.00 | % | February 20, 2019 |
| Term loan(3) | 50,000 | — | LIBOR+ 1.35%-1.95 | % | February 20, 2020 |
| Socastee Commons | 4,957 | — | 4.57 | % | January 6, 2023 |
| North Point Center Note 2 | 2,662 | 2,753 | 7.25 | % | September 15, 2025 |
| Smith's Landing | 21,226 | 24,470 | 4.05 | % | June 1, 2035 |
| Liberty Apartments | 20,312 | 20,603 | 5.66 | % | November 1, 2043 |
| The Cosmopolitan | 46,519 | 47,132 | 3.75 | % | July 1, 2051 |
| Broad Creek Shopping Center Note 1 | — | 4,452 | — | — | — |
| Broad Creek Shopping Center Note 2 | — | 8,173 | — | — | — |
| Broad Creek Shopping Center Note 3 | — | 3,422 | — | — | — |
| Commerce Street Retail | — | 5,549 | — | — | — |
| Dick's at Town Center | — | 8,216 | — | — | — |
| Hanbury Village Note 2 | — | 4,090 | — | — | — |
| Oceanearing | — | 13,490 | — | — | — |
| Studio 56 Retail | — | 2,618 | — | — | — |
| Tyre Neck Harris Teeter | — | 2,437 | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------|------------|------------|---|---|
| Whetstone Apartments | — | 16,019 | — | — |
| Total principal balance | \$ 382,013 | \$ 360,671 | | |
| Unamortized fair value adjustments | (1,287) | (1,442) | | |
| Unamortized debt issuance costs | (3,133) | (2,884) | | |
| Indebtedness, net | \$ 377,593 | \$ 356,345 | | |

- (1) Cross collateralized.
- (2) Cross collateralized.
- (3) Subject to an interest rate swap agreement.

F-22

Table of Contents

The Company's indebtedness was comprised of the following fixed and variable-rate debt as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|-------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Fixed-rate debt | \$ 159,743 | \$ 144,622 |
| Variable-rate debt | 222,270 | 216,049 |
| Total principal balance | \$ 382,013 | \$ 360,671 |

Certain loans require the Company to comply with various financial and other covenants, including the maintenance of minimum debt coverage ratios. As of December 31, 2015, the Company was in compliance with all loan covenants.

Scheduled principal repayments and term-loan maturities during each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

| Year | Scheduled Principal Payments | Term- Loan Maturities | Total Payments |
|------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|
| 2016 | \$ 3,365 | \$ 29,281 | \$ 32,646 |
| 2017 | 2,914 | 100,194 | 103,108 |
| 2018 | 2,300 | 20,731 | 23,031 |
| 2019 | 2,132 | 83,333 | 85,465 |
| 2020 | 2,207 | 50,000 | 52,207 |
| Thereafter | 79,989 | 5,567 | 85,556 |
| Total | \$ 92,907 | \$ 289,106 | \$ 382,013 |

Prior Credit Facility

On May 13, 2013, the Operating Partnership, as borrower, and the Company, as parent guarantor, entered into a \$100.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility. On October 10, 2013, the Operating Partnership increased the aggregate capacity under the credit facility to \$155.0 million. The credit facility was scheduled to mature on May 13, 2016; however, the Operating Partnership repaid all amounts due under this credit facility with proceeds from a new credit facility and terminated the prior credit facility on February 20, 2015, as discussed below.

New Credit Facility

On February 20, 2015, the Operating Partnership, as borrower, and the Company, as parent guarantor, entered into a new \$200.0 million senior unsecured credit facility that includes a \$150.0 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility and a \$50.0 million senior unsecured term loan facility. The new credit facility includes an accordion feature that allows the total commitments to be increased to \$350.0 million, subject to certain conditions. The new credit facility replaced the prior \$155.0 million senior secured revolving credit facility that was scheduled to mature on May 13, 2016. On February 20, 2015, the Operating Partnership borrowed \$54.0 million under the revolving credit facility and \$50.0 million under the term loan facility to repay in full all outstanding amounts due under the prior credit facility and to repay approximately \$39.0 million of other indebtedness secured by the following properties in the Company's portfolio: (i) Broad Creek Shopping Center, (ii) Commerce Street Retail, (iii) Dick's at Town Center, (iv) Hanbury Village, (v) Studio 56 Retail and (vi) Tyre Neck Harris Teeter. The Company recognized a \$0.2 million loss on extinguishment of debt representing the unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the \$39.0 million of other indebtedness repaid on February 20, 2015.

Depending on the Operating Partnership's total leverage, the revolving credit facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.40% to 2.00% and the term loan facility bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.35% to 1.95%. As of December 31, 2015, the interest rates on the revolving credit facility and the term loan facility were 2.17% and 2.12%, respectively. If the Company attains investment grade credit ratings from S&P and Moody's, the Operating Partnership may elect to have borrowings become subject to interest rates based on such credit ratings.

Table of Contents

The Operating Partnership is also obligated to pay an unused commitment fee of 15 or 25 basis points on the unused portions of the commitments under the new credit facility, depending on the amount of borrowings under the new credit facility.

The revolving credit facility has a scheduled maturity date of February 20, 2019, with a one-year extension option, subject to certain conditions, and the term loan facility has a scheduled maturity date of February 20, 2020. The Operating Partnership may, at any time, voluntarily prepay any loan under the new credit facility in whole or in part without premium or penalty.

The amount permitted to be borrowed under the new credit facility, together with all of the Operating Partnership's other unsecured indebtedness is generally limited to the lesser of: (i) 60% of the value of the unencumbered borrowing base properties, (ii) the maximum amount of principal that would result in a debt service coverage ratio of 1.50 to 1.0, and (iii) the maximum aggregate loan commitment, which was \$200.0 million as of December 31, 2015.

The new credit facility requires the Operating Partnership to comply with various financial covenants, affirmative covenants and other restrictions, including the following:

- Total leverage ratio of the Company of not more than 60%;
- Ratio of adjusted EBITDA to fixed charges of the Company of not less than 1.50 to 1.0;
- Tangible net worth of not less than the sum of \$220.0 million and 75% of the net equity proceeds received after December 31, 2014;
- Ratio of variable rate indebtedness to total asset value of not more than 30%;
- Ratio of secured indebtedness to total asset value of not more than 45%; and
- Ratio of secured recourse debt to total asset value of not more than 25%.

The new credit facility limits the Company's ability to pay cash dividends. However, so long as no default or event of default exists, the credit agreement allows the Company to pay cash dividends with respect to any 12-month period in an amount not to exceed the greater of: (i) 95% of adjusted funds from operations (as defined in the credit agreement) or (ii) the amount required for the Company (a) to maintain its status as a REIT and (b) to avoid income or excise tax. If certain defaults or events of default exist, the Company may pay cash dividends with respect to any 12-month

period to the extent necessary to maintain its status as a REIT. The new credit facility also restricts the amount of capital that the Operating Partnership can invest in specific categories of assets, such as unimproved land holdings, development properties, notes receivable, mortgages, mezzanine loans and unconsolidated affiliates.

Subsequent to December 31, 2015

On January 5, 2016, the Company increased the borrowings under the senior unsecured term loan facility to \$75.0 million and increased the total capacity of the senior unsecured credit facility to \$225.0 million, pursuant to the accordion feature of the credit facility.

Other 2015 Financing Activity

On May 20, 2015, the Company repaid the \$17.8 million construction loan secured by Whetstone Apartments and recognized a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$0.1 million representing unamortized debt issuance costs.

On May 27, 2015, the Company repaid the existing \$24.4 million mortgage secured by Smith's Landing and refinanced the property with a new \$21.6 million loan that bears interest at 4.05% and matures on June 1, 2035. As a result of the refinancing, the Company recognized a \$0.1 million loss on extinguishment of debt representing the unamortized debt issuance costs associated with the repaid mortgage.

F-24

Table of Contents

On July 1, 2015, the Company assumed debt with an outstanding principal balance of \$5.0 million in connection with the acquisition of Socastee Commons. The mortgage bears interest at 4.57% and matures on January 6, 2023.

On July 10, 2015, the Company assumed two loans with an aggregate outstanding principal balance of \$8.8 million in connection with the acquisition of Columbus Village. Both loans bear interest at LIBOR plus 2.00% and mature on April 5, 2018.

On July 30, 2015, the Company entered into a \$50.0 million loan agreement to fund the development and construction of Johns Hopkins Village. The construction loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.90% and matures on July 30, 2018.

On September 1, 2015, the Company repaid the \$6.1 million mortgage secured by the Oyster Point office building.

On October 6, 2015, the Operating Partnership entered into a \$6.4 million note secured by the Oyster Point office building, which bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.40% to 2.00% and matures on February 28, 2017.

On October 30, 2015, the Company repaid the \$18.7 million construction loan secured by the Oceaneering International building and recognized a loss on debt extinguishment of debt of \$0.1 million representing unamortized debt issuance costs.

Other 2014 Financing Activity

On January 17, 2014, the Company assumed \$17.0 million of debt at fair value in connection with the acquisition of Liberty Apartments. The fair value adjustment to the assumed debt of Liberty Apartments was a \$1.5 million discount. The outstanding principal balance of the assumed debt of Liberty Apartments at the acquisition date was \$18.5 million. On June 13, 2014, the Company borrowed the remaining \$2.4 million available under the Liberty Apartments loan. The loan amortizes over 30 years, bears interest at 5.66% and matures on November 1, 2043.

On February 28, 2014, the Company closed on a \$19.5 million loan to fund the development and construction of the Oceaneering International facility. The construction loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.75% and matures on February 28, 2018.

On August 15, 2014, the Company defeased the loan secured by Dimmock Square for \$10.1 million.

On August 28, 2014, the Company closed on a \$5.4 million loan to fund the development and construction of a new administrative building for the Commonwealth of Virginia. The construction loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.90% and matures on August 28, 2017.

On November 3, 2014, the Company repaid North Point Center Note 4 for \$1.0 million.

On November 14, 2014, the Company closed on a \$15.0 million loan to fund the development and construction of Lightfoot Marketplace. The construction loan bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.90% and matures on November 14, 2017.

9. Derivative Financial Instruments

On February 20, 2015, the Operating Partnership entered into a \$50.0 million floating-to-fixed interest rate swap attributable to one-month LIBOR indexed interest payments. The \$50.0 million interest rate swap has a fixed rate of 2.00%, an effective date of March 1, 2016 and a maturity date of February 20, 2020. The Operating Partnership entered into this interest rate swap agreement in connection with the new \$50.0 million senior unsecured term loan facility that bears interest at LIBOR plus 1.35% to 1.95%, depending on the Operating Partnership's total leverage. The Company designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of variable interest payments based on one-month LIBOR.

Table of Contents

On July 13, 2015, the Operating Partnership entered into a \$6.5 million floating-to-fixed interest rate swap attributable to one-month LIBOR indexed interest payments. The \$6.5 million interest rate swap has a fixed rate of 3.05%, an effective date of July 13, 2015 and a maturity date of April 5, 2018. The Company designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of variable interest payments based on one-month LIBOR.

On October 26, 2015, the Operating Partnership entered into a LIBOR interest rate cap agreement on a notional amount of \$75.0 million at a strike rate of 1.25% for a premium of \$0.1 million. The interest rate cap agreement expires on October 15, 2017.

On March 14, 2014, the Operating Partnership entered into a LIBOR interest rate cap agreement on a notional amount of \$50.0 million at a strike rate of 1.25% for a premium of \$0.4 million. The interest rate cap agreement expires on March 1, 2017.

The Company has not designated any of its interest rate caps as hedging instruments under GAAP.

The Company's derivatives comprised the following as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2015 | | | 2014 | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|--------------------|------------|-----------|
| | Notional Amount | Fair Value | | Notional Amount | Fair Value | |
| | | Asset | Liability | | Asset | Liability |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 57,093 | \$ — | \$ (1,082) | \$ 685 | \$ — | \$ (11) |
| Interest rate caps | 246,546 | 164 | — | 180,434 | 260 | — |
| Total | \$ 303,639 | \$ 164 | \$ (1,082) | \$ 181,119 | \$ 260 | \$ (11) |

The changes in the fair value of the Company's derivatives during each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 was as follows (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------|----------|---------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ (1,071) | \$ 5 | \$ 152 |
| Interest rate caps | (233) | (238) | (164) |
| Total | \$ (1,304) | \$ (233) | \$ (12) |

Comprehensive income statement presentation:

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|------------|----------|---------|
| Other income (loss) | \$ (229) | \$ (233) | \$ (12) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on cash flow hedge | (1,075) | — | — |
| Total | \$ (1,304) | \$ (233) | \$ (12) |

Subsequent to December 31, 2015

On February 25, 2016, the Operating Partnership entered into a LIBOR interest rate cap agreement on a notional amount of \$75.0 million at a strike rate of 1.50% for a premium of less than \$0.1 million. The interest rate cap agreement expires on March 1, 2018.

10. Equity

Stockholders' Equity

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company's authorized capital was 500 million shares of common stock and 100 million shares of preferred stock. The Company had 30.1 million and 25.0 million shares of common stock issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. No shares of preferred stock were issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2015 or 2014.

F-26

Table of Contents

On April 8, 2015, the Company issued 415,500 shares of common stock in a private placement as partial consideration for the acquisition of Perry Hall Marketplace.

On May 5, 2015, the Company commenced an at-the-market continuous equity program through which the Company may, from time to time, issue and sell shares of its common stock having an aggregate offering price of up to \$50.0 million. During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company issued and sold an aggregate of 1,108,149 shares of common stock at a weighted average price of \$10.26 per share. Net proceeds to the Company after offering costs and commissions were \$10.9 million.

On December 9, 2015, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 3,450,000 shares of common stock. The net proceeds to the Company after deducting the underwriting discount and related offering costs were \$35.1 million.

On September 15, 2014, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of 5,750,000 shares of common stock. The net proceeds to the Company after deducting the underwriting discount and related offering costs were \$49.3 million.

Noncontrolling Interests

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company held a 65.6% and 62.9% interest in the Operating Partnership, respectively. As the sole general partner and the majority interest holder, the Company consolidates the financial position and results of operations of the Operating Partnership. Noncontrolling interests in the Company represent OP Units not held by the Company.

As partial consideration for Columbus Village, the Operating Partnership issued 1,000,000 Class B Units on July 10, 2015 and agreed to issue 275,000 Class C Units on January 10, 2017. Subject to the occurrence of certain events, the Class B Units and Class C Units will not earn or accrue distributions until July 10, 2017 and January 10, 2018, respectively, at which time they automatically convert to Class A Units.

On January 17, 2014, the Operating Partnership issued 695,652 Class A Units as partial consideration for the acquisition of Liberty Apartments. On March 31, 2014, the Operating Partnership issued 30,000 Class A Units in exchange for all noncontrolling interests in Sandbridge Commons. The Company recognized the difference between the fair value of the Class A Units issued and the adjustment to the carrying amount of the noncontrolling interests in Sandbridge Commons directly in equity as additional paid-in capital. On August 15, 2014, the Operating Partnership issued 990,952 Class A Units as partial consideration for the acquisition of Dimmock Square.

Holders of OP Units may not transfer their units without the Company's prior consent as general partner of the Operating Partnership. Subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, holders of Class A Units may tender their units for redemption by the Operating Partnership in exchange for cash equal to the market price of shares of the Company's common stock at the time of redemption or, at the Company's option and sole discretion, for unregistered or registered shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis. Accordingly, the Company presents OP Units of the Operating Partnership not held by the Company as noncontrolling interests within equity in the consolidated balance sheets.

F-27

Table of Contents

Common Stock Dividends and Class A Unit Distributions

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company declared the following dividends per share and distributions per unit:

| Declaration Date | Record Date | Paid Date | Dividend Per Share/Distribution Per Unit |
|------------------|-------------------|-----------------|--|
| January 28, 2015 | April 1, 2015 | April 9, 2015 | \$ 0.17 |
| May 8, 2015 | July 1, 2015 | July 9, 2015 | 0.17 |
| August 6, 2015 | October 1, 2015 | October 8, 2015 | 0.17 |
| November 6, 2015 | December 31, 2015 | January 7, 2016 | 0.17 |
| | | Total | \$ 0.68 |

During the year ended December 31, 2015, the Company paid cash dividends of \$17.1 million to common stockholders and the Operating Partnership paid cash distributions of \$9.9 million to holders of Class A Units.

The tax treatment of dividends paid to common stockholders during the year ended December 31, 2015 was as follows (unaudited):

| | |
|-------------------|---------|
| Capital gains | — % |
| Ordinary income | 64.21 % |
| Return of capital | 35.79 % |
| Total | 100.0 % |

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company declared the following dividends per share and distributions per unit:

| Declaration Date | Record Date | Paid Date | Dividend Per Share/Distribution Per Unit |
|-------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|
| February 18, 2014 | April 1, 2014 | April 10, 2014 | \$ 0.16 |
| May 9, 2014 | July 1, 2014 | July 10, 2014 | 0.16 |
| August 4, 2014 | October 1, 2014 | October 9, 2014 | 0.16 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------|-------------------|-----------------|---------|
| November 10, 2014 | December 30, 2014 | January 8, 2015 | 0.16 |
| | | Total | \$ 0.64 |

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company paid cash dividends of \$13.2 million to common stockholders and the Operating Partnership paid cash distributions of \$8.9 million to holders of Class A Units.

The tax treatment of dividends paid to common stockholders during the year ended December 31, 2014 was as follows (unaudited):

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| Capital gains | 5.3 % |
| Ordinary income | 52.3 % |
| Return of capital | 42.4 % |
| Total | 100.0% |

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company declared the following dividends per share and distributions per unit:

| Declaration Date | Record Date | Paid Date | Dividend Per Share/Distribution Per Unit |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|--|
| June 19, 2013 | July 1, 2013 | July 11, 2013 | \$ 0.08 |
| August 9, 2013 | October 1, 2013 | October 10, 2013 | 0.16 |
| November 11, 2013 | December 30, 2013 | January 9, 2014 | 0.16 |
| | | Total | \$ 0.40 |

Table of Contents

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company paid cash dividends of \$4.6 million to common stockholders and the Operating Partnership paid cash distributions of \$3.1 million to holders of OP Units.

The tax treatment of dividends paid to common stockholders during the year ended December 31, 2013 was as follows (unaudited):

| | |
|-------------------|--------|
| Ordinary income | 17.0 % |
| Return of capital | 83.0 % |
| Total | 100.0% |

Subsequent to December 31, 2015

On January 7, 2016, the Company paid cash dividends of \$5.1 million to common stockholders and the Operating Partnership paid cash distributions of \$2.5 million to holders of Class A Units.

On January 31, 2016, the Board of Directors declared a cash dividend of \$0.18 per share to stockholders of record on March 30, 2016.

11. Stock-Based Compensation

The Company's 2013 Equity Incentive Plan permits the grant of restricted stock awards, stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance units and other equity-based awards up to an aggregate of 700,000 shares of common stock over the ten-year term of the plan. As of December 31, 2015, the Company had 352,940 shares of common stock reserved for issuance under the 2013 Equity Incentive Plan.

During the three years ended December 31, 2015, the Company granted an aggregate of 0.1 million, 0.1 million and 0.2 million shares of restricted stock to employees and nonemployee directors, respectively. The weighted average grant date fair value of the restricted stock awards granted during each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 was \$1.2 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. Employee restricted stock awards generally vest over a period of two years: one-third immediately on the grant date and the remaining two-thirds in equal amounts on the first two anniversaries following the grant date, subject to continued service to the Company. Nonemployee director

restricted stock awards vest either immediately upon grant or over a period of one year, subject to continued service to the Company.

During each of the three year ended December 31, 2015, the Company recognized \$1.0 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million of stock-based compensation, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, the total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested restricted shares was \$0.3 million, substantially all of which the Company expects to recognize over the next 15 months.

The following table summarizes the changes in the Company's nonvested restricted stock awards during the year ended December 31, 2015:

| | Restricted Stock Awards | Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Nonvested as of January 1, 2015 | 143,729 | \$ 10.48 |
| Granted | 107,662 | 10.80 |
| Vested | (147,042) | 10.69 |
| Forfeited | (2,294) | 10.77 |
| Nonvested as of December 31, 2015 | 102,055 | \$ 10.52 |

Restricted stock awards granted and vested during the year ended December 31, 2015 include 27,259 shares tendered by employees to satisfy minimum statutory tax withholding obligations.

Table of Contents

12. Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value measurements are based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or a liability. The hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value is as follows:

Level 1 Inputs—quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities

Level 2 Inputs—observable inputs other than quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities

Level 3 Inputs—unobservable inputs

Except as disclosed below, the carrying amounts of the Company's financial instruments approximate their fair value. Financial assets and liabilities whose fair values are measured on a recurring basis using Level 2 inputs consist of interest rate swaps and interest rate caps. The Company measures the fair values of these assets and liabilities based on prices provided by independent market participants that are based on observable inputs using market-based valuation techniques.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. For disclosure purposes, the level within which the fair value measurement is categorized is based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

The fair value of the Company's debt is sensitive to fluctuations in interest rates. Discounted cash flow analysis based on Level 2 inputs is generally used to estimate the fair value of the Company's debt.

Considerable judgment is used to estimate the fair value of financial instruments. The estimates of fair value presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that could be realized upon disposition of the financial instruments.

The carrying amounts and fair values of the Company's financial instruments, all of which are based on Level 2 inputs, as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were as follows (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2015 | | 2014 | |
|--------------------------------|----------------------|---------------|-------------------|---------------|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| Indebtedness, net | \$ 377,593 | \$ 384,691 | \$ 356,345 | \$ 366,095 |
| Interest rate swap liabilities | 1,082 | 1,082 | 11 | 11 |
| Interest rate cap assets | 164 | 164 | 260 | 260 |

13. Income Taxes

The income tax (benefit) provision for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 comprised the following (in thousands):

| | Years Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 | 2013 |
| Federal income taxes: | | | |
| Current | \$ 102 | \$ (37) | \$ (356) |
| Deferred | (72) | (6) | 113 |
| State income taxes: | | | |
| Current | 13 | (26) | (43) |
| Deferred | (9) | (1) | 13 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | \$ 34 | \$ (70) | \$ (273) |

As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million of net deferred tax assets representing basis differences in the assets of the TRS and stock-based compensation attributable to the TRS.

Table of Contents

Management has evaluated the Company's income tax positions and concluded that the Company has no uncertain income tax positions as of December 31, 2015 or 2014. The Company is subject to examination by the applicable taxing authorities for the tax years 2013 through 2015.

14. Other Assets

Other assets were comprised of the following as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Acquired lease intangibles, net | \$ 18,418 | \$ 5,247 |
| Leasing costs, net | 10,839 | 11,683 |
| Leasing incentives, net | 5,408 | 6,237 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 4,192 | 2,507 |
| Advance deposits on property acquisitions | 3,500 | — |
| Preacquisition development costs | 2,504 | 4,550 |
| Other assets | \$ 44,861 | \$ 30,224 |

15. Other Liabilities

Other liabilities were comprised of the following as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 (in thousands):

| | December 31, | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2015 | 2014 |
| Dividends and distributions payable | \$ 7,621 | \$ 6,368 |
| Deferred ground rent payable | 7,484 | 6,790 |
| Acquired lease intangibles, net | 5,872 | 1,867 |
| Prepaid rent and other | 2,145 | 1,911 |
| Security deposits | 1,267 | 1,014 |
| Interest rate swaps | 1,082 | 11 |
| Other liabilities | \$ 25,471 | \$ 17,961 |

16.Acquired Lease Intangibles

The following table summarizes the Company's acquired lease intangibles as of December 31, 2015 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2015 | | Net Carrying Amount |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| In-place lease assets | \$ 19,700 | \$ 5,128 | \$ 14,572 |
| Above-market lease assets | 2,380 | 314 | 2,066 |
| Below-market lease liabilities | 6,640 | 768 | 5,872 |
| Below-market ground lease assets | 1,920 | 140 | 1,780 |

The following table summarizes the Company's acquired lease intangibles as of December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

| | December 31, 2014 | | Net Carrying Amount |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| In-place lease assets | \$ 5,434 | \$ 2,096 | \$ 3,338 |
| Above-market lease assets | 107 | 32 | 75 |
| Below-market lease liabilities | 2,169 | 302 | 1,867 |
| Below-market ground lease assets | 1,920 | 86 | 1,834 |

Amortization of in-place lease assets, net below-market lease liabilities and below-market ground lease assets for the year ended December 31, 2015 was \$2.9 million, \$0.1 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Amortization of in-place lease assets, net below-market lease liabilities and below-market ground lease assets for the year ended December 31, 2014 was \$1.3 million, \$0.2 million and less than \$0.1 million, respectively.

Estimated amortization of acquired lease intangibles for each of the five succeeding years is as follows (in thousands):

| Year ending December 31, | Rental Revenues | Rental Expenses | Depreciation and Amortization |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| 2016 | \$ 146 | \$ 53 | \$ 3,521 |
| 2017 | 143 | 53 | 3,043 |
| 2018 | 174 | 53 | 2,089 |
| 2019 | 110 | 53 | 1,399 |
| 2020 | 85 | 53 | 1,250 |

17.Related Party Transactions

The Company provides general contracting and real estate services to certain related party entities that are not included in these consolidated and combined financial statements. Revenue from construction contracts with related party entities of the Company was \$9.6 million, \$5.3 million and \$45.0 million for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015, respectively. Gross profits from such contracts were \$0.3 million, \$0.3 million and \$1.5 million for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015, respectively. Amounts from related parties of the Company included in construction receivables as of December 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$1.8 million and \$1.0 million, respectively. Real estate services fees from affiliated entities of the Company was \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and were not significant for either of the years ended December 31, 2015 or 2013. In addition, affiliated entities also reimburse the Company for monthly maintenance and facilities management services provided to the properties. Cost reimbursements earned by the Company from affiliated entities were not significant for any of the three years ended December 31, 2015.

In connection with the Formation Transactions, the Operating Partnership entered into tax protection agreements that indemnify certain directors and executive officers of the Company from their tax liabilities resulting from the potential future sale of certain of the Company's properties within seven (or, in a limited number of cases, ten) years of the completion of the Formation Transactions on May 13, 2013. Upon completing the sale of the Virginia Natural Gas office property on November 20, 2014, the Operating Partnership paid \$1.3 million under such tax protection agreements. The \$1.3 million of tax protection payments made in connection with the Virginia Natural Gas office property sale is presented within gain on real estate dispositions and acquisitions in the consolidated statements of

comprehensive income.

18. Commitments and Contingencies

Legal Proceedings

The Company is from time to time involved in various disputes, lawsuits, warranty claims, environmental and other matters arising in the ordinary course of its business. Management makes assumptions and estimates concerning the likelihood and amount of any potential loss relating to these matters.

The Company currently is a party to various legal proceedings, none of which management expects will have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or liquidity. Management accrues a liability for litigation if an unfavorable outcome is determined to be probable and the amount of loss can be reasonably estimated. If an unfavorable outcome is determined by management to be probable and a range of loss can be reasonably estimated, management accrues the best estimate within the range; however, if no amount within the range is a better estimate than any other, the minimum amount within the range is accrued. Legal fees related to litigation are expensed as incurred. Management does not believe that the ultimate outcome of these matters, either individually or in the aggregate, could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations; however, litigation is subject to inherent uncertainties.

F-32

Table of Contents

Under the Company's leases, tenants are typically obligated to indemnify the Company from and against all liabilities, costs and expenses imposed upon or asserted against it as owner of the properties due to certain matters relating to the operation of the properties by the tenant.

Commitments

The Company has a bonding line of credit for its general contracting construction business and is contingently liable under performance and payment bonds, bonds for cancellation of mechanics liens and defect bonds. Such bonds collectively totaled \$183.0 million and \$192.2 million as of December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The Operating Partnership has entered into standby letters of credit using the available capacity under the credit facility. The letters of credit relate to the guarantee of future performance on certain of the Company's construction contracts. Letters of credit generally are available for draw down in the event the Company does not perform. As of December 31, 2015 and 2014, the Operating Partnership had total outstanding letters of credit of \$8.0 million and \$8.5 million, respectively.

The Company has five ground leases on four properties with initial terms that range from 20 to 65 years and options to extend up to an additional 40 years in certain cases. The Company also leases automobiles and equipment.

Future minimum rental payments during each of the next five years and thereafter are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------|------------|
| 2016 | \$ 1,587 |
| 2017 | 1,734 |
| 2018 | 1,738 |
| 2019 | 1,813 |
| 2020 | 1,821 |
| Thereafter | 93,293 |
| Total | \$ 101,986 |

Ground rent expense for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015 was \$1.7 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

Concentrations of Credit Risk

The majority of the Company's properties are located in Hampton Roads, Virginia. For each of the three years ended December 31, 2015, rental revenues from Hampton Roads properties represented 68%, 69% and 70%, respectively, of the Company's rental revenues. Many of the Company's Hampton Roads properties are located in the Town Center of Virginia Beach. For each of the three years ended December 31, 2015, rental revenues from Town Center properties represented 46%, 47% and 48%, respectively, of the Company's rental revenues. Rental revenues from Richmond Tower individually represented 11%, 13% and 15% of the Company's rental revenues for each of the three years ended December 31, 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2015, a single tenant—Williams Mullen, a prominent Mid-Atlantic law firm—occupied over 80% of Richmond Tower, which the Company sold on January 8, 2016.

A single construction project in Baltimore, Maryland represented 64% and 41% of the Company's general contracting and real estate services revenues for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The same project represented 50% and 27% of the Company's general contracting and real estate services segment gross profit for the years ended December 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

19. Selected Quarterly Financial Data (Unaudited)

The following tables summarize certain selected quarterly financial data for 2015 and 2014 (in thousands, except per share data):

| | 2015 Quarters | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| Rental revenues | \$ 18,190 | \$ 19,908 | \$ 21,303 | \$ 21,771 |
| General contracting and real estate services revenues | 29,071 | 47,066 | 53,822 | 41,309 |
| Net operating income | 12,702 | 15,101 | 16,488 | 15,819 |
| Net income | 8,118 | 10,285 | 4,337 | 8,443 |
| Net income attributable to stockholders | 5,105 | 6,521 | 2,688 | 5,328 |
| Net income per share: basic and diluted | \$ 0.20 | \$ 0.25 | \$ 0.10 | \$ 0.19 |

| | 2014 Quarters | | | |
|---|---------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| Rental revenues | \$ 15,193 | \$ 15,319 | \$ 16,713 | \$ 17,521 |
| General contracting and real estate services revenues | 19,234 | 20,495 | 31,532 | 32,060 |
| Net operating income | 11,123 | 11,212 | 11,883 | 12,685 |
| Net income | 2,506 | 2,273 | 2,754 | 5,226 |
| Net income attributable to stockholders | 1,465 | 1,325 | 1,615 | 3,286 |
| Net income per share: basic and diluted | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.07 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.13 |

Table of Contents

SCHEDULE III—Consolidated Real Estate Investments and Accumulated Depreciation

December 31, 2015

| | Initial Cost | | Cost Capitalized | | Gross Carrying Amount | | | Accumulated Depreciation | Net Carrying Amount(1) | Y |
|----------|--------------|----------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|----|
| | Encumbrances | Land | Building and Improvements | Subsequent to Acquisition | Land | Building and Improvements | Total | | | |
| | \$ 31,613 | \$ 982 | \$ — | \$ 39,756 | \$ 982 | \$ 39,756 | \$ 40,738 | \$ 1,725 | \$ 39,013 | 20 |
| Tower | — (2) | 1,976 | — | 55,927 | 1,976 | 55,927 | 57,903 | 25,569 | 32,334 | 20 |
| | — | 121 | — | 7,562 | 121 | 7,562 | 7,683 | — (3) | 7,683 | — |
| of | | | | | | | | | | |
| peake | 4,933 | 328 | — | 6,208 | 328 | 6,208 | 6,536 | 294 | 6,242 | 20 |
| of | | | | | | | | | | |
| ia Beach | — | 208 | — | 2,159 | 208 | 2,159 | 2,367 | 86 | 2,281 | 20 |
| | — (2) | 960 | 10,269 | 6,946 | 960 | 17,215 | 18,175 | 9,045 | 9,130 | 19 |
| | 6,400 | 57 | — | 11,595 | 57 | 11,595 | 11,652 | 8,927 | 2,725 | 19 |
| | — (2) | 53 | — | 18,291 | 53 | 18,291 | 18,344 | 5,120 | 13,224 | 20 |
| | \$ 42,946 | \$ 4,685 | \$ 10,269 | \$ 148,444 | \$ 4,685 | \$ 158,713 | \$ 163,398 | \$ 50,766 | \$ 112,632 | |
| Retail | \$ 15,282 | \$ 713 | \$ — | \$ 13,728 | \$ 713 | \$ 13,728 | \$ 14,441 | \$ 6,998 | \$ 7,443 | 20 |
| oads | — (2) | 5,450 | 10,641 | 541 | 5,450 | 11,182 | 16,632 | 1,373 | 15,259 | 20 |
| opping | — | — | — | 15,692 | — | 15,692 | 15,692 | 7,894 | 7,798 | 19 |
| | — (2) | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| e | 8,739 | 7,630 | 10,135 | — | 7,630 | 10,135 | 17,765 | 146 | 17,619 | 19 |
| t Retail | — (2) | 118 | — | 3,147 | 118 | 3,147 | 3,265 | 1,007 | 2,258 | 20 |
| even | — (2) | 1,007 | — | 1,043 | 1,007 | 1,043 | 2,050 | 109 | 1,941 | 20 |
| Center | — (2) | 67 | — | 10,576 | 67 | 10,576 | 10,643 | 3,102 | 7,541 | 20 |
| e | — (2) | 5,100 | 13,126 | 9 | 5,100 | 13,135 | 18,235 | 512 | 17,723 | 19 |
| etail | 7,641 | 425 | — | 7,085 | 425 | 7,085 | 7,510 | 2,750 | 4,760 | 20 |
| quare | — (2) | 2,229 | — | 6,873 | 2,229 | 6,873 | 9,102 | 2,821 | 6,281 | 19 |
| ing | — | 1,523 | — | 4,022 | 1,523 | 4,022 | 5,545 | 186 | 5,359 | 20 |
| | 20,970(2) | 3,792 | — | 19,223 | 3,792 | 19,223 | 23,015 | 5,153 | 17,862 | 20 |
| gal | 3,463 | 1,554 | — | 4,148 | 1,554 | 4,148 | 5,702 | 1,772 | 3,930 | 19 |
| place | 7,759 | 7,628 | — | 7,856 | 7,628 | 7,856 | 15,484 | — (3) | 15,484 | — |
| er | 13,295(2) | 1,936 | — | 25,018 | 1,936 | 25,018 | 26,954 | 10,945 | 16,009 | 19 |
| place | — (2) | 1,150 | — | 3,507 | 1,150 | 3,507 | 4,657 | 1,588 | 3,069 | 19 |
| etplace | — | 3,240 | 8,316 | — | 3,240 | 8,316 | 11,556 | 234 | 11,322 | 20 |
| | — | 9,950 | 12,369 | 10 | 9,950 | 12,379 | 22,329 | 124 | 22,205 | 20 |
| mons | 9,010 | 5,267 | — | 6,594 | 5,267 | 6,594 | 11,861 | 200 | 11,661 | 20 |
| ns | 4,957 | 2,320 | 5,380 | 42 | 2,320 | 5,422 | 7,742 | 103 | 7,639 | 20 |
| | 6,742 | 190 | — | 7,333 | 190 | 7,333 | 7,523 | 3,491 | 4,032 | 20 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|------------|----|
| are | — | 6,360 | 16,350 | 82 | 6,360 | 16,432 | 22,792 | 413 | 22,379 | 20 |
| | — | (2) 76 | — | 2,477 | 76 | 2,477 | 2,553 | 628 | 1,925 | 20 |
| | — | (2) — | — | 3,306 | — | 3,306 | 3,306 | 590 | 2,716 | 20 |
| | \$ 97,858 | \$ 67,725 | \$ 76,317 | \$ 142,312 | \$ 67,725 | \$ 218,629 | \$ 286,354 | \$ 52,139 | \$ 234,215 | |

F-35

Table of Contents

| Encumbrances | Initial Cost | | Cost Capitalized | | Gross Carrying Amount | | Accumulated Depreciation | Net Carrying Amount(1) | Year Acquired |
|--------------|--------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------|---------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------|
| | Land | Building and Improvements | Subsequent to Acquisition | Land | Building and Improvements | Total | | | |
| \$ 25,184 | \$ 1,293 | \$ — | \$ 30,069 | \$ 1,293 | \$ 30,069 | \$ 31,362 | \$ 972 | \$ 30,390 | 2014 |
| 20,312 | 3,580 | 23,494 | 1,188 | 3,580 | 24,682 | 28,262 | 1,668 | 26,594 | 2014 |
| 3,968 | — | — | 29,438 | — | 29,438 | 29,438 | — (3) | 29,438 | — |
| 21,226 | — | 35,105 | 843 | — | 35,948 | 35,948 | 3,040 | 32,908 | 2009 |
| 46,519 | 985 | — | 56,664 | 985 | 56,664 | 57,649 | 16,795 | 40,854 | 2009 |
| \$ 117,209 | \$ 5,858 | \$ 58,599 | \$ 118,202 | \$ 5,858 | \$ 176,801 | \$ 182,659 | \$ 22,475 | \$ 160,184 | |
| \$ — | \$ 1,180 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 1,180 | \$ — | \$ 1,180 | \$ — | \$ 1,180 | |
| \$ 258,013 | \$ 79,448 | \$ 145,185 | \$ 408,958 | \$ 79,448 | \$ 554,143 | \$ 633,591 | \$ 125,380 | \$ 508,211 | |

(1) The net carrying amount of real estate for federal income tax purposes was \$395.3 million as of December 31, 2015.

(2) Borrowing base collateral for the credit facility as of December 31, 2015.

(3) Construction in progress as of December 31, 2015.

Income producing property is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the following estimated useful lives:

| | |
|----------------------|---|
| Buildings | 39 years |
| Capital improvements | 15—20 years |
| Equipment | 5—15 years |
| Tenant improvements | Term of the related lease (or estimated useful life, if shorter) |

| | Real Estate Investments | | Accumulated Depreciation | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|
| | December 31, 2015 | 2014 | 2015 | 2014 |
| Balance at beginning of the year | \$ 595,000 | \$ 462,976 | \$ 116,099 | \$ 105,228 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Construction costs and improvements | 52,533 | 106,977 | — | — |
| Acquisitions | 83,230 | 45,300 | — | — |
| Dispositions | (23,181) | (5,471) | (668) | (957) |
| Reclassifications | (73,991) | (14,782) | (8,729) | (3,156) |
| Depreciation | — | — | 18,678 | 14,984 |
| Balance at end of the year | \$ 633,591 | \$ 595,000 | \$ 125,380 | \$ 116,099 |

F-36

Table of Contents

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|--|
| 3.1 | Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-3, filed on June 2, 2014) |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 4.1 | Form of Certificate of Common Stock of Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013) |
| 10.1 | Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Armada Hoffler, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2013) |
| 10.2† | Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. 2013 Equity Incentive Plan (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.3† | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement (Time Vesting) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013) |
| 10.4* | Indemnification Agreement between Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. and each of the Directors and Officers listed on Schedule A thereto |
| 10.5 | Tax Protection Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. and the persons listed on the signature page thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2013) |
| 10.6 | Representation, Warranty and Indemnity Agreement among Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., Armada Hoffler, L.P. and Daniel A. Hoffler (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on November 12, 2013) |
| 10.7† | Armada Hoffler, L.P. Executive Severance Benefit Plan with the participants listed on Schedule A thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on April November 12, 2013) |
| 10.8 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. and Daniel A. Hoffler, dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.9 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. and A. Russell Kirk, dated February 12, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

- 10.10 Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. and Louis S. Haddad, dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference a to Exhibit 10.10 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013)
 - 10.11 Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. and Anthony P. Nero, dated as of February 12, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013)
 - 10.12 Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Eric E. Apperson, dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013)
-

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 10.13 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Michael P. O'Hara, dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.14 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and John C. Davis, dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.15 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Alan R. Hunt, dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.16 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Shelly R. Hampton, dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.16 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.17 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and William Christopher Harvey, dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.18 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Eric L. Smith, dated as of February 12, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.19 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and John E. Babb, dated as of January 31, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.20 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Rickard E. Burnell, dated as of February 12, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.21 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and A/H TWA Associates, L.L.C., dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.22 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and RMJ Kirk Fortune Bay, L.L.C., dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.23 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Kirk Gainsborough, L.L.C., dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.24 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Chris A. Sanders, dated as of January 25, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Company's |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013)

- 10.25 Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Allen O. Keene, dated as of January 21, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013)
- 10.26 Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Bruce G. Ford, dated as of January 31, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013)
-

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 10.27 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and DIAN, LLC, dated as of January 28, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.28 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Compson of Richmond, L.C., Thomas Comparato and Lindsey Smith Comparato, dated as of January 31, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.29 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Bruce Smith Enterprises, LLC and Bruce B. Smith, dated as of January 31, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.30 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Steyn, LLC, dated as of January 31, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.31 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and D&F Beach, L.L.C., dated as of February 1, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.32 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and DF Smith's Landing, LLC, dated as of January 31, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.33 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Spratley Family Holdings, L.L.C., dated as of January 22, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 12, 2013) |
| 10.34 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc., and Columbus One, LLC, DP Columbus Two, LLC, City Center Associates, LLC, TC Block 7 Partners LLC, TC Block 12 Partners LLC, TC Block 3 Partners LLC, TC Block 6 Partners LLC, TC Block 8 Partners LLC, TC Block 11 Partners LLC and TC Apartment Partners, LLC, dated as of February 1, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.35 | Asset Purchase Agreement by and among AHP Asset Services, LLC and Armada Hoffler Holding Company, Inc., dated as of , 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013) |
| 10.36 | Contribution Agreement for the Apprentice School Apartment property by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Washington Avenue Associates, L.L.C. and Washington Avenue Apartments, L.L.C., and dated as of , 2013 (Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013) |
| 10.37 | Land Option Agreement by and between and Armada Hoffler, L.P. and Courthouse Marketplace Parcel 7, L.L.C., dated as of May 1, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Company's Registration |

Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013)

- 10.38 Land Option Agreement by and between and Armada Hoffler, L.P. and Courthouse Marketplace Outparcels, L.L.C., dated as of May, 1 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.39 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013)
- 10.39 Land Option Agreement by and between and Armada Hoffler, L.P. and Hanbury Village, LLC, dated as of May 1, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013)
-

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|--|
| 10.40 | Land Option Agreement by and between and Armada Hoffler, L.P. and Lake View AH-VNG, LLC, dated as of May 1, 2013 (Incorporated by to Exhibit 10.41 reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013) |
| 10.41 | Land Option Agreement by and between and Armada Hoffler, L.P. and Oyster Point Hotel Associates, L.L.C., dated as of May 1, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013) |
| 10.42 | Contribution Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. and Oyster Point Investors, L.P., dated as of February 11, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on April 26, 2013) |
| 10.43† | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for Directors (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013) |
| 10.44 | Option Agreement dated May 1, 2013 by and between Armada/Hoffler Properties, L.L.C. and Armada Hoffler, L.P. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-11/A, filed on May 2, 2013) |
| 10.45 | Option Transfer Agreement by and among Town Center Associates, L.L.C. Armada/Hoffler Properties, L.L.C., City Center Associates, L.L.C. and Armada Hoffler, L.P., dated as of May 10, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on August 14, 2013) |
| 10.46 | Construction Loan Agreement among TCA Block 11 Apartments, LLC and TCA Block 11 Office, LLC as Borrower and Bank of America, N.A., as Administrative Agent, dated as of July 30, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 13, 2013) |
| 10.47 | Credit Agreement by and among Armada Hoffler, L.P., Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. and Bank of America, N.A., dated as of February 20, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 25, 2015) |
| 10.48 | Unconditional Guaranty Agreement by Armada Hoffler, L.P. and certain subsidiaries of Armada Hoffler, L.P. named therein for the benefit of the Administrative Agent and the lenders named in the Credit Agreement, dated as of February 20, 2015 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on February 25, 2015) |
| 10.49 | Amendment No. 1, dated as of March 19, 2014, to the First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Armada Hoffler, L.P., dated as of May 13, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, filed on May 15, 2014) |
| 10.50† | Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. Short-Term Incentive Program (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014, filed on March 16, 2015) |
| 10.51 | Amendment No. 2, dated as of July 10, 2015, to the First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Armada Hoffler, L.P., dated as of May 13, 2013 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 |

Edgar Filing: Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. - Form 10-K

to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on July 16, 2015)

10.52 Construction Loan Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2015, by and among Hopkins Village, LLC, as Borrower, Bank of America, N.A., and the other financial institutions party thereto (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 5, 2015)

10.53 Agreement of Sale and Purchase, dated as of November 2, 2015, by and between AH Richmond Tower I, LLC and Kireland Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 13, 2016)

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description |
|-------------------|---|
| 10.54 | First Amendment to Agreement of Sale and Purchase, dated as of November 10, 2015, by and between AH Richmond Tower I, LLC and Kireland Management, LLC (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on January 13, 2016) |
| 10.55* | Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of December 3, 2015, by and between DDR-SAU South Square, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Durham Patterson, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Wendover Phase II, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Salisbury Alexander, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Winston-Salem Harper Hill, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Greer North Hampton Market, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Nashville Willowbrook, L.L.C., DDR-SAU South Bend Broadmoor, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Oakland, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Waynesboro, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Pasadena Red Bluff Limited Partnership and AHP Acquisitions, LLC |
| 10.56* | First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of December 14, 2015, by and between DDR-SAU South Square, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Durham Patterson, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Wendover Phase II, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Salisbury Alexander, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Winston-Salem Harper Hill, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Greer North Hampton Market, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Nashville Willowbrook, L.L.C., DDR-SAU South Bend Broadmoor, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Oakland, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Waynesboro, L.L.C., DDR-SAU Pasadena Red Bluff Limited Partnership and AHP Acquisitions, LLC |
| 21.1* | List of Subsidiaries of Armada Hoffler Properties, Inc. |
| 23.1* | Consent of Ernst & Young LLP, Independent Public Accounting Firm |
| 31.1* | Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2* | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.1** | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 32.2** | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 101.INS* | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF* | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| * | Filed herewith |

** Furnished herewith

† Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement
