METHODE ELECTRONICS INC Form DEF 14A August 04, 2011

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. ___)

Filed by the Registrant: <u>X</u>

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant:

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

<u>X</u>

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-12

METHODE ELECTRONICS, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

<u>X</u>

No fee required

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1)

Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2)

Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3)

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5)

Total fee paid:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)

Amount Previously Paid:

(2)

Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3)

Filing Party:

(4)

Date Filed:

METHODE ELECTRONICS, INC.

7401 West Wilson Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60706

(708) 867-6777

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

September 15, 2011

To the Shareholders of Methode Electronics, Inc.:

Notice is hereby given that an annual meeting of shareholders of Methode Electronics, Inc. will be held on Thursday, September 15, 2011 at 11:00 a.m., Chicago time, at Methode s corporate offices at 7401 West Wilson Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, for the following purposes:

1.

To elect a Board of Directors;

2.

To ratify the Audit Committee s selection of Ernst & Young LLP to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending April 28, 2012;

3.

To cast an advisory vote on executive compensation;

4.

To cast an advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation; and

5.

To transact such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR each of Methode s nominees for director, FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, FOR the approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation and in favor of an ANNUAL advisory vote on executive compensation.

Our Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on July 18, 2011 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof.

We are furnishing materials for our annual meeting on the Internet. You may vote your shares in person, by attending our annual meeting, or by proxy. To vote by proxy, you may vote using the Internet, by toll-free telephone number or, if you request and receive a paper copy of the proxy card by mail, by signing, dating and mailing the proxy card in the self-addressed, postage-paid envelope provided. Information regarding voting in person is contained in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and the proxy statement. Instructions regarding voting by proxy are contained in the proxy card.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the annual meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting, please vote on the matters to be considered. Thank you for your interest and cooperation.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Warren L. Batts Chairman

Chicago, Illinois

August 4, 2011

METHODE ELECTRONICS, INC.

7401 West Wilson Avenue

Chicago, Illinois 60706

(708) 867-6777

PROXY STATEMENT

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS September 15, 2011

GENERAL INFORMATION

We are furnishing this proxy statement to you in connection with the solicitation of proxies on behalf of Methode Electronics, Inc. (Methode) for use at our annual meeting of shareholders to be held on Thursday, September 15, 2011 at 11:00 a.m., Chicago time, at Methode s corporate offices at 7401 West Wilson Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, and at any adjournment or postponement of the annual meeting. On August 4, 2011, we mailed our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, which contains instructions for our shareholders to access our proxy statement and annual report over the Internet or request a paper copy of the proxy materials.

At the annual meeting, we will ask our shareholders to (i) elect our Board of Directors, (ii) ratify the Audit Committee s selection of Ernst & Young LLP (Ernst & Young) to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2012, (iii) cast an advisory vote on executive compensation, (iv) cast an advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation, and (v) consider and vote upon any other business which properly comes before the annual meeting.

The Board of Directors recommends that you vote FOR each of Methode s nominees for director, FOR the ratification of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, FOR the approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation and in favor of an ANNUAL advisory vote on executive compensation.

You may vote your shares in person, by attending our annual meeting, or by proxy. To vote by proxy, you may vote using the Internet, by toll-free telephone number or, if you request and receive a paper copy of the proxy card by mail, by signing, dating and mailing the proxy card in the self-addressed, postage-paid envelope provided. **Information** regarding voting in person is contained in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and this proxy statement. Instructions regarding voting by proxy are contained in the proxy card. Please do not submit a proxy card if you have voted by telephone or the Internet.

It is important that your shares be represented at the annual meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the annual meeting in person, please vote on the matters to be considered.

Record Date; Shares Outstanding

Our Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on July 18, 2011 as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the annual meeting and at any adjournment or postponement thereof. As of the record date, there were 37,020,390 shares of our common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the annual meeting.

Quorum; Votes Required

In deciding all questions, assuming a quorum is present, a holder of Methode s common stock is entitled to one vote, in person or by proxy, for each share held in such holder s name on the record date. The presence, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Methode s common stock is necessary to constitute a quorum at the annual meeting. Both abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum at the annual meeting. Generally, broker non-votes occur when shares held by a broker or nominee for a beneficial owner are not voted with respect to a particular proposal because the broker or nominee lacks discretionary power to vote such shares.

With respect to the election of directors, shareholders may vote (a) for each nominee; (b) against each nominee; or (c) to abstain from voting for each nominee. The election of our Board of Directors requires approval by a majority of the shares of common stock represented at the meeting and entitled to vote. With respect to the proposals to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young as our independent registered public accounting firm and the advisory vote on executive compensation, shareholders may (1) vote for ; (2) vote against ; or (3) vote to abstain from voting. The ratification o the selection of Ernst & Young and the advisory vote on executive compensation require approval by a majority of the shares of common stock represented at the meeting and entitled to vote. On the proposal regarding an advisory vote on the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation, shareholders may vote to hold such votes (A) each year, (B) every two years, (C) every three years, or (D) may abstain from voting. On this proposal, the option that receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock represented at the meeting and entitled to vote will be deemed to be approved by the shareholders. If none of the options for this proposal receives a majority vote, we will consider the alternative that receives highest number of votes cast by the shareholders to be the frequency that has been selected by the shareholders. Both abstentions and broker non-votes will be considered as present but will not be considered as votes in favor of each matter. Broker non-votes are excluded from the for, against and abstain counts, and instead are reported as simply broker non-votes. Consequently, abstentions have the effect of voting against these matters, while broker non-votes have no effect as to voting for or against any such matter.

Under New York Stock Exchange rules, the proposal to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young is considered a discretionary item. Therefore, brokers may vote in their discretion on this matter on behalf of clients who have not furnished voting instructions to the broker. In contrast, all other proposals set forth in this proxy statement are non-discretionary items, and brokers who have not received voting instructions from their clients may not vote on these proposals.

All properly executed and timely delivered proxies will be voted in accordance with the instructions provided. Unless contrary instructions are indicated, proxies will be voted FOR each of Methode s nominees for director, FOR the ratification of the selection of Ernst & Young, FOR the approval of the advisory vote on executive compensation and in favor of an ANNUAL advisory vote on executive compensation. The Board of Directors knows of no other business that will be presented for consideration at the annual meeting. If any other matter is properly presented, it is the intention of the persons named in the enclosed proxy to vote in accordance with their best judgment.

Voting Procedures

It is important that your shares be represented at the annual meeting. You may vote your shares in person, by attending our annual meeting, or by proxy. To vote by proxy, you may vote using the Internet, by toll-free telephone number or, if you request and receive a paper copy of the proxy card by mail, by signing, dating and mailing the enclosed proxy card in the self-addressed, postage-paid envelope provided. Information regarding voting in person is contained in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and this proxy statement. Instructions regarding voting by proxy are contained in the proxy card. Please do not submit a proxy card if you have voted by telephone or the Internet. You may revoke your proxy as described below.

Revoking Your Proxy

If you decide to change your vote, you may revoke your proxy at any time before the annual meeting. You may revoke your proxy by notifying our Corporate Secretary in writing that you wish to revoke your proxy at the following address: Methode Electronics, Inc., 7401 West Wilson Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60706, attention: Corporate Secretary. You may also revoke your proxy by submitting a later-dated and properly executed proxy (including by means of the telephone or Internet) or by voting in person at the annual meeting. Attendance at the annual meeting will not, by itself, revoke a proxy.

Proxy Solicitation Expenses

The accompanying proxy is being solicited on behalf of Methode. We will bear the entire cost of this solicitation. Our directors, officers or other regular employees may solicit proxies by telephone, by e-mail, by fax or in person. No additional compensation will be paid to directors, officers and other regular employees for such services. In the event that beneficial owners of our shares request paper copies of our proxy materials, banks, brokerage houses, fiduciaries and custodians holding shares of our common stock beneficially owned by others as of the record date will be requested to forward such proxy soliciting material to the beneficial owners of such shares and will be reimbursed by Methode for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

We are committed to maintaining high standards of corporate governance intended to serve the long-term interests of Methode and our shareholders.

Director Independence

Our Board of Directors has considered the independence of the nominees for director under the applicable standards of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) and the New York Stock Exchange. Our Board has determined that all of the nominees for director are independent under those standards, except for Donald Duda, our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Duda s lack of independence relates solely to his service as an executive officer and is not due to any other transactions or relationships.

In addition, our Board of Directors has determined that each current member of our Audit Committee, our Compensation Committee, our Nominating and Governance Committee and our Technology Committee satisfies the independence requirements of the applicable standards, if any, of the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange.

Board Committees

The following chart sets forth the committees of our Board:

Committee	Members	Principal Functions	Number of Meetings in Fiscal 2011
Audit	Lawrence B. Skatoff (Chair) Walter J. Aspatore Stephen F. Gates Isabelle C. Goossen Paul G. Shelton	Oversees accounting and financial reporting and audits of financial statements. Monitors performance of internal audit function and our system of internal control.	8
		Monitors performance, qualifications and independence of our independent registered public accounting firm and makes decisions regarding retention, termination and compensation of the independent registered public accounting firm and approves services provided by the independent registered public	

accounting firm.

		Monitors compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, including our Code of Business Conduct.	
		Reviews our press releases and certain SEC filings.	
		Reviews related party transactions and potential conflict of interest situations.	
Compensation	Paul G. Shelton (Chair)		8
	Warren L. Batts	Oversees our compensation policies and plans.	
	Darren M. Dawson		
	Isabelle C. Goossen	Approves goals and incentives for the	
	Christopher J. Hornung	compensation of our Chief Executive Officer and, with the advice of management, other officers and managers.	
		Approves grants under our stock and bonus plans.	
Nominating and	Christopher J. Hornung (Chair)		4
		Selects director candidates for election to our	
Governance	Warren L. Batts	Board at the annual meeting or to fill vacancies.	
	J. Edward Colgate		
	Stephen F. Gates	Recommends Board committee assignments.	
	Lawrence B. Skatoff		
		Recommends compensation and benefits for directors.	

Reviews our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Conducts an annual assessment of Board performance.

Annually reviews succession planning for our Chief Executive Officer.

4

Technology	J. Edward Colgate (Chair)			
	Walter J. Aspatore	Reviews with management our technology assets and future needs.		
	Darren M. Dawson			
	Isabelle C. Goossen	Reviews technology research and development		
	Christopher J. Hornung	activities and possible acquisitions of technology.		

If applicable, our Audit Committee reviews related party transactions and potential conflict of interest situations in accordance with the Audit Committee Charter and our Code of Business Conduct. We do not have a separate written policy regarding related party transactions and potential conflict of interest situations. Our Code of Business Conduct states that conflicts of interest are prohibited, except as approved by our Board of Directors. In reviewing any such transaction, our Audit Committee and Board of Directors would consider Methode s rationale for entering into the transaction, alternatives to the transaction, whether the transaction is on terms at least as fair to Methode as would be the case were the transaction entered into with a third party and other relevant factors.

During the 2011 fiscal year, our Board of Directors held 11 meetings and no director attended less than 75% of the aggregate of the total number of meetings of our Board and the total number of meetings held by the respective committees on which he or she served. Under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our directors are expected to attend Board and shareholder meetings and meetings of committees on which they serve. Our directors are expected to meet as frequently as necessary to properly discharge their responsibilities.

Our independent directors hold regularly scheduled executive sessions at which only independent directors are present. Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Chairman of the Board is the Presiding Director of such sessions.

Our Audit, Compensation, Nominating and Governance and Technology Committees operate pursuant to charters adopted by the Board, which are available on our website at *www.methode.com* or in print upon any shareholder s request. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines are also available on our website at *www.methode.com* or in print upon any shareholder s request.

Board Leadership Structure, Risk Oversight and Compensation Policy Risks

The Board of Directors has determined that having an independent director serve as Chairman of the Board is in the best interests of our shareholders at this time. This structure ensures a greater role for the independent directors in the oversight of Methode and active participation of the independent directors in setting agendas and establishing priorities and procedures for the work of the Board.

Our Board of Directors takes an active role, both as a whole and at the committee level, in overseeing management of Methode s risks. Our Board regularly reviews information regarding Methode s market and competition risks, as well as risks associated with Methode s operations, employees and political risks encountered by Methode throughout the globe. In addition, the entire Board of Directors is regularly informed about those risks monitored by the various committees, as more fully described in this proxy statement and in each committee s charter, through committee reports about such risks. The Board also receives regular reports directly from officers responsible for the oversight of particular risks within Methode.

We believe that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for our employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on Methode. The Compensation Committee actively monitors the mix and design of the elements of executive compensation and believes that our compensation programs do not encourage management to assume excessive risks.

Nominating Process of the Nominating and Governance Committee

Our Nominating and Governance Committee is responsible for identifying and recommending to our Board of Directors individuals qualified to become directors consistent with criteria approved by our Board. In considering

potential candidates for our Board, including with respect to nominations for re-election of incumbent directors, the Committee considers the potential candidate s integrity and business ethics; strength of character, judgment and experience consistent with our needs; specific areas of expertise and leadership roles and the ability to bring diversity to our Board. While the Committee charter and our Corporate Governance Guidelines do not prescribe diversity standards, the Committee considers diversity in the context of the Board as a whole, including whether the potential candidate brings complementary skills and viewpoints. The Committee also considers the ability of the individual to allocate the time necessary to carry out the tasks of Board membership, including membership on appropriate committees.

The Committee identifies potential nominees by asking current directors and others to notify the Committee if they become aware of persons, meeting the criteria described above, who may be available to serve on our Board. The Committee has sole authority to retain and terminate any search firm used to identify director candidates and has sole authority to approve the search firm s fees and other retention terms. Historically, the Committee has not engaged third parties to assist in identifying and evaluating potential nominees, but would do so in those situations where particular qualifications are required to fill a vacancy and our Board s contacts are not sufficient to identify an appropriate candidate.

The Committee will consider suggestions from our shareholders. Any recommendations received from shareholders will be evaluated in the same manner that potential nominees suggested by Board members are evaluated. Upon receiving a shareholder recommendation, the Committee will initially determine the need for additional or replacement Board members and evaluate the candidate based on the information the Committee receives with the shareholder recommendation or may otherwise acquire, and may, in its discretion, consult with the other members of our Board. If the Committee determines that a more comprehensive evaluation is warranted, the Committee may obtain additional information about the director candidate s background and experience, including by means of interviews with the candidate.

Our shareholders may recommend candidates at any time, but the Committee requires recommendations for election at an annual meeting of shareholders to be submitted to the Committee no later than 120 days before the first anniversary of the date of the proxy statement in connection with the previous year s annual meeting. The Committee believes this deadline is appropriate and in the best interests of Methode and our shareholders because it ensures that the Committee has sufficient time to properly evaluate all proposed candidates. Therefore, to submit a candidate for consideration for nomination at the 2012 annual meeting of shareholders, a shareholder must submit the recommendation, in writing, by April 6, 2012. The written notice must include:

.

the name, age, business address and residential address of each proposed nominee and the principal occupation or employment of each nominee;

the number of shares of our common stock that each nominee beneficially owns;

.

a statement that each nominee is willing to be nominated; and

•

any other information concerning each nominee that would be required under the rules of the SEC in a proxy statement soliciting proxies for the election of those nominees.

Recommendations must be sent to the Nominating and Governance Committee, Methode Electronics, Inc., 7401 West Wilson Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60706.

Communications with Directors

Our annual meeting of shareholders provides an opportunity each year for shareholders to ask questions of, or otherwise communicate directly with, members of our Board of Directors on appropriate matters. All of our directors attended the 2010 annual meeting. We anticipate that all of our directors will attend the 2011 annual meeting.

In addition, interested parties may, at any time, communicate in writing with any particular director, or our independent directors as a group, by sending such written communication to the Corporate Secretary of Methode Electronics, Inc. at 7401 West Wilson Avenue, Chicago, Illinois 60706. Copies of written communications received

at such address will be provided to the relevant director or the independent directors as a group unless such communications are considered, in the reasonable judgment of the Corporate Secretary, to be improper for submission to the intended recipient(s). Examples of shareholder communications that would be considered improper for submission include, without limitation, customer complaints, solicitations, communications that do not relate directly or indirectly to us or our business or communications that relate to other improper or irrelevant topics.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Our Board of Directors has adopted a Code of Business Conduct that applies to our directors, principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller and persons performing similar functions, as well as other employees. The code is available on our website at *www.methode.com* or in print upon any shareholder s request.

If we make any substantive amendments to the Code of Business Conduct or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the Code of Business Conduct to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller or persons performing similar functions, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on our website or in a report on Form 8-K in accordance with applicable rules and regulations.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our Compensation Committee considers stock ownership by directors to be an important means of linking their interests with those of our shareholders. We maintain stock ownership guidelines for our directors. All directors are expected to own stock with a value equal to at least five (5) times their annual cash retainer. The requirements are subject to a five (5) year phase-in period. Shares subject to unexercised stock options are not included for purposes of satisfying the guidelines. Considering the applicable phase-in periods, all of our directors were in compliance with our stock ownership policy for fiscal 2011.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

We use a combination of cash and common stock to compensate our non-employee directors. Directors who are also our full-time employees are not paid for their services as directors or for attendance at meetings.

For the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011, non-employee directors received an annual cash retainer of \$35,000 and an attendance fee of \$1,000 for all committee meetings and for each board meeting other than the regularly scheduled quarterly meetings. Our Chairman of the Board and the Chairman of each of our board committees received supplemental annual retainers in the following amounts: Chairman of the Board, \$25,000; Chairman of each of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee, \$20,000; and Chairman of each of the Nominating and Governance Committee and Technology Committee, \$10,000. In addition, members of our Audit Committee received an additional annual retainer of \$10,000. Pursuant to our Deferred Compensation Plan, our directors may elect to defer up to 100% of their retainers and attendance fees per year. Additional information regarding the Deferred Compensation Plan is described under Executive Compensation Tables Nonqualified Deferred Compensation, below.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding compensation earned by our non-employee directors during the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011.

	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Total
Name	(\$)	(\$) (1)	(\$)
Walter J. Aspatore	65,000	31,650	96,650
Warren L. Batts (2)	79,000	31,650	110,650
J. Edward Colgate	56,000	31,650	87,650
Darren M. Dawson	60,000	31,650	91,650
Stephen F. Gates (3)	27,500	0	27,500
Isabelle C. Goossen	73,000	31,650	104,650
Christopher J. Hornung	66,000	31,650	97,650
Paul G. Shelton	89,000	31,650	120,650
Lawrence B. Skatoff	75,000	31,650	106,650

⁽¹⁾ On July 13, 2011, each non-employee director other than Mr. Gates was granted a stock award for 3,000 shares of common stock. Amount reflects the fair value at the date of grant calculated in accordance with the Financial Accounting Standards Board s Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (ASC 718). Details of

the assumptions used in valuing these awards are set forth in Note 5 to our audited financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011.

- (2) As of April 30, 2011, Mr. Batts held a vested stock option with respect to 10,000 shares of common stock.
- (3) Mr. Gates was elected to the Board on October 14, 2010.

⁷

SECURITY OWNERSHIP

Five Percent Shareholders

The following table sets forth information regarding all persons known to be the beneficial owners of more than 5% of Methode s common stock as of July 18, 2011 (except as set forth in the relevant footnotes).

	Number of Shares and Nature of Beneficial Ownership Percent		
Name and Address of Beneficial Owner BlackRock, Inc. (2)	(1) 2,991,381	(%) 8.1	
40 East 52nd Street			
New York, New York 10022 Royce & Associates, LLC (3)	2,845,305	7.7	
745 Fifth Avenue			
New York, New York 10151 Dimensional Fund Advisors LP (4)	2,442,867	6.6	
Palisades West, Building One			
6300 Bee Cave Road			
Austin, Texas 78746 Invesco Ltd. (5)	2,370,381	6.4	
1555 Peachtree NE			
Atlanta, Georgia 30309 T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. (6)	1,926,250	5.2	
100 E. Pratt Street			
Baltimore, Maryland 21202 DePrince, Race & Zollo, Inc. (7)	1,849,270	5.0	
250 Park Avenue South, Suite 250			
Winter Park, Florida 32789			

- (1) Beneficial ownership arises from sole voting and sole investment power of all shares reported unless otherwise indicated by footnote.
- (2) Based solely on an amendment to Schedule 13G filed by BlackRock, Inc. with the SEC on February 7, 2011.
- (3) Based solely on an amendment to Schedule 13G filed by Royce & Associates, LLC with the SEC on January 18, 2011.
- (4) Based solely on an amendment to Schedule 13G filed by Dimensional Fund Advisors LP with the SEC on February 11, 2011. Includes 2,373,182 shares held with sole voting power. According to the amendment to Schedule 13G, neither Dimensional Fund Advisors LP nor certain of its subsidiaries possesses voting or investment power over certain securities owned by various funds to which Dimensional Fund Advisors LP and its subsidiaries may act as an investment advisor. Dimensional Fund Advisors LP and its subsidiaries disclaim beneficial ownership of such securities.
- (5) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed by Invesco Ltd. with the SEC on February 14, 2011. The Schedule 13G indicates that two subsidiaries of Invesco Ltd., Invesco Advisers, Inc. and Invesco PowerShares Capital Management, hold the shares.
- Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed by T. Rowe Price, Associates, Inc. with the SEC on February 9, 2011.
 Includes 408,900 shares held with sole voting power.
- (7) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed by DePrince, Race & Zollo, Inc. with the SEC on February 11, 2011.

Directors and Executive Officers

The following table sets forth information regarding our common stock beneficially owned as of July 18, 2011 by (i) each director and nominee, (ii) each of the named executive officers, and (iii) all current directors and executive officers as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Percent of Class (%)
Walter J. Aspatore	21,000	*
Warren L. Batts	68,000 (2)	*
J. Edward Colgate	25,070	*
Darren M. Dawson	29,200	*
Donald W. Duda	546,454 (3)	1.5
Stephen F. Gates	10,360	*
Isabelle C. Goossen	29,000	*
Christopher J. Hornung	119,850	*
Paul G. Shelton	43,000	*
Lawrence B. Skatoff	27,850	*
Timothy R. Glandon	29,341 (4)	*
Theodore P. Kill	59,145 (5)	*
Douglas A. Koman	193,018 (6)	*
Thomas D. Reynolds	136,517 (7)	*
All current directors and executive officers as a group (16 individuals)	1,374,303 (8)	3.7

^{*} Percentage represents less than 1% of the total shares of common stock outstanding as of July 16, 2010.

⁽¹⁾ Beneficial ownership arises from sole voting and investment power unless otherwise indicated by footnote.

⁽²⁾ Includes options to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days.

⁽³⁾ Includes options to purchase 25,000 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days, 26,454 shares of common stock held in our 401(k) Plan and 245,000 shares of vested restricted stock units for which common stock will be delivered to Mr. Duda in the event of termination from Methode under any circumstance. Excludes 200,000 shares of restricted stock and 80,000 unvested restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2011, as discussed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.

Includes options to purchase 2,500 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days, 17,641 shares of common stock held in our 401(k) Plan and 6,000 shares of vested restricted stock units for which common stock will be delivered to Mr. Glandon in the event of termination from Methode under any circumstance. Excludes 60,000 shares of restricted stock and 24,000 unvested restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2011, as discussed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.

- (5) Includes 6,000 shares of vested restricted stock units for which common stock will be delivered to Mr. Kill in the event of termination from Methode under any circumstance. Excludes 60,000 shares of restricted stock and 24,000 unvested restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2011, as discussed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.
- (6) Includes options to purchase 110,000 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days, 25,009 shares of common stock held in our 401(k) Plan and 8,000 shares of vested restricted stock units for which common stock will be delivered to Mr. Koman in the event of termination from Methode under any circumstance. Excludes 80,000 shares of restricted stock and 32,000 unvested restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2011, as discussed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.
- (7) Includes options to purchase 60,000 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days, 22,806 shares of common stock held in our 401(k) Plan and 10,000 shares of vested restricted stock units for which common stock will be delivered to Mr. Reynolds in the event of termination from Methode under any circumstance. Excludes 100,000 shares of restricted stock and 40,000 unvested restricted stock units granted in fiscal 2011, as discussed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.
- (8) Includes options to purchase 215,850 shares of common stock exercisable within 60 days, 101,924 shares of common stock held in our 401(k) Plan and 285,000 shares of vested restricted stock units for which common stock will be delivered to our executive officers in the event of termination from Methode under any circumstance. Excludes 600,000 shares of restricted stock and 240,000 unvested restricted stock units granted to our executive officers in fiscal 2011.

PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

A Board of ten directors will be elected at the annual meeting. Each director will hold office until the next annual meeting of shareholders and until his or her successor is elected and qualified. All of the nominees listed below currently serve as directors. All of the nominees were recommended unanimously to our Board of Directors by our Nominating and Governance Committee and were nominated by our Board of Directors. The shares represented by the proxies given pursuant to this solicitation will be voted for the following nominees unless votes are properly voted against such nominees. If any of these nominees is not a candidate for election at the annual meeting, an event which our Board of Directors does not anticipate, the proxies will be voted for a substitute nominee recommended to our Board of Directors by our Nominating and Governance Committee and nominated by our Board of Directors.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE ELECTION OF THE FOLLOWING NOMINEES.

Walter J. Aspatore

Chairman Emeritus,

Amherst Partners, LLC

Director since 2008

Age 68

Mr. Aspatore has served as Chairman Emeritus of Amherst Partners, LLC, a business consulting firm, since 2010. Prior thereto, Mr. Aspatore was Chairman of Amherst Partners from 1994 through 2010. Prior to co-founding Amherst Partners, Mr. Aspatore served in various officer positions at diversified manufacturing and technology businesses, including Cross and Trecker Corporation, the Warner and Swasey Company, Bendix Corporation and TRW Corporation. He also served as Vice Chairman and President of Onset BIDCO, a venture capital and subordinated debt fund, from 1992 to 1994. Mr. Aspatore also serves as a director of Mackinac Financial Corporation, a bank holding company. Mr. Aspatore s consulting experience and service at various consulting, manufacturing and technology businesses has resulted in continued contributions to the Board.

Warren L. Batts

Retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer,

Tupperware Corporation

Director since 2001

Age 78

Mr. Batts is the retired Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Tupperware Corporation, a diversified consumer products company. In 1997, Mr. Batts retired as Chairman of Premark International, Inc., a diversified consumer products company, where he also served as Chief Executive Officer from 1986 until 1996. Mr. Batts has taught as an Adjunct Professor of Strategic Management at the University of Chicago Graduate School of Business since 1998. Mr. Batts has also served as a director and the Chairman of Chicago Children s Memorial Medical Center; a life trustee for the Art Institute of Chicago; a director and the Chairman of the National Association of Manufacturers; and a director of the National Association of Corporate Directors. Mr. Batts has used his corporate governance expertise, significant leadership experience and vast business knowledge to make contributions while on the Board.

Dr. J. Edward Colgate

Allen and Johnnie Breed University Professor of Design,

Department of Mechanical Engineering,

Northwestern University

Director since 2004

Age 48

Dr. Colgate is currently a Professor in the Department of Mechanical Engineering and the Director of the Segal Design Institute at Northwestern University, where he has served in various professor positions since 1988. Dr. Colgate is currently the Allen and Johnnie Breed University Professor of Design. From June 1999 until September 2000, Dr. Colgate took a sabbatical leave from Northwestern University to serve as a founder and the President of Cobotics, Inc., which is now part of Stanley Assembly Technologies, a supplier of human interface technologies for the industrial marketplace. His research interests include human-machine systems, especially cobotics and haptic interface. Dr. Colgate s academic and technical background has provided the basis for continued contributions to the Board s operations and deliberations.

Dr. Darren M. Dawson

McQueen Quattlebaum Professor,

Holcombe Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering,

Clemson University

Director since 2004

Age 48

Dr. Dawson currently serves as a Professor in the Electrical and Computer Engineering Department at Clemson University, where he has held various professor positions since 1990. Dr. Dawson leads the Robotics and Mechatronics Laboratory, which is jointly operated by the Electrical and Mechanical Departments. His research interests include nonlinear control techniques for mechatronic systems, robotic manipulator systems and vision-based systems. Dr. Dawson s work has been recognized by several awards, including the Clemson University Centennial Professorship in 2000. Dr. Dawson s academic and technical background has provided the basis for continued contributions to the Board s operations and deliberations.

Donald W. Duda

Chief Executive Officer and President,

Methode Electronics, Inc.

Director since 2001

Age 56

Mr. Duda has served as our Chief Executive Officer since May 2004 and our President since 2001. Mr. Duda joined us in 2000 and served as our Vice President - Interconnect Products Group. Prior to joining Methode, Mr. Duda held several positions with Amphenol Corporation, a manufacturer of electronic connectors, most recently as General Manager of its Fiber Optic Products Division from 1988 through 1998. Mr. Duda continues to use his executive background and unique understanding of Methode to contribute to the Board.

Stephen F. Gates

Senior Counsel

Mayer Brown LLP

Director since 2010

Age 65

Mr. Gates has served as Senior Counsel at Mayer Brown LLP, a global law firm, since 2008. From 2003 through 2007, Mr. Gates served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of ConocoPhillips, a large energy company and refiner. From 2002 through 2003, Mr. Gates was a Partner at Mayer Brown LLP, and from 2000 through 2002, Mr. Gates served as Senior Vice President and General Counsel of FMC Corporation, a diversified chemicals company. Mr. Gates legal background and corporate governance expertise have led to unique contributions to the Board.

Isabelle C. Goossen

Chief Financial Officer and

Vice President for Finance and Administration,

Chicago Symphony Orchestra Association

Director since 2004

Age 59

Ms. Goossen has served as the Chief Financial Officer for the Chicago Symphony Orchestra Association since March, 2011. Ms. Goossen has served as the Vice President for Finance and Administration for the Chicago Symphony Orchestra Association since 2001. From 1986 through 1999, Ms. Goossen held several management positions with Premark International, Inc., a diversified consumer products company, most recently as Vice President and Treasurer from 1996 through 1999. Ms. Goossen has used her financial and management background to make continued contributions to the Board.

Christopher J. Hornung

Chief Executive Officer

Next Testing, Inc.

Director since 2004

Age 59

Mr. Hornung has served as Chief Executive Officer of Next Testing, Inc. since January 2007. Next Testing provides comprehensive, sport-specific athletic testing programs. From February 2004 through December 2006, Mr. Hornung served as President of the Pacific Cycle Division of Dorel Industries, Inc., a global consumer products company. Prior to the acquisition of Pacific Cycle by Dorel Industries Inc., Mr. Hornung served as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Pacific Cycle. Mr. Hornung s executive and entrepreneurial experience as well as his expertise regarding international sourcing and distribution has resulted in continued contributions to the Board.

Paul G. Shelton

Retired Vice President and Chief Financial Officer,

FleetPride, Inc.

Director since 2004

Age 61

Mr. Shelton retired in 2003 as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of FleetPride Inc., an independent heavy-duty truck parts distributor. From 1981 through 2001, Mr. Shelton served in various management positions at AMCOL International Corporation, a supplier of specialty minerals and chemicals, most recently as Senior Vice President from 1994 through 2001 and Chief Financial Officer from 1984 through 2001. Mr. Shelton serves on four private company Boards and was a former member of the Board of Directors of AMCOL International Corporation. Mr. Shelton has used his executive, financial and Board experience to contribute to the operations and deliberations of the Board.

Lawrence B. Skatoff

Retired Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer,

BorgWarner Inc.

Director since 2004

Age 71

Mr. Skatoff retired in 2001 as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of BorgWarner Inc., a manufacturer of highly engineered systems and components for the automotive industry. Prior to joining BorgWarner Inc., Mr. Skatoff was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Premark International, Inc., a diversified consumer products company, from 1991 through 1999. Before joining Premark, Mr. Skatoff was Vice President-Finance of Monsanto Company, a worldwide manufacturer of chemicals and pharmaceuticals. Mr. Skatoff s executive experience and financial background has led to continued contributions to the Board.

PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of our Board of Directors has selected Ernst & Young to serve as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending April 28, 2012, subject to ratification of the selection by our shareholders. Ernst & Young has served as our independent registered public accounting firm for many years and is considered to be well qualified. We entered into an engagement agreement with Ernst & Young for its fiscal 2011 services, which, among other things, contains contractual provisions that subject us to alternative dispute resolution procedures and exclude punitive damages from any monetary award. It is anticipated that the services performed by Ernst & Young for fiscal 2012 will be subject to a similar engagement agreement.

Representatives of Ernst & Young will be present at the annual meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

If our shareholders do not ratify the selection of Ernst & Young, our Audit Committee will reconsider the selection. Even if the selection is ratified, our Audit Committee may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that a change would be in the best interests of Methode and our shareholders.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF OUR AUDIT COMMITTEE S SELECTION OF ERNST & YOUNG AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.

PROPOSAL THREE: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act requires that we provide our shareholders with the opportunity to vote to approve, on a nonbinding, advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC s compensation disclosure rules.

The vote on executive compensation is not intended to address any specific element of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. The vote is advisory, which means that the vote is not binding on Methode, our Board of Directors or our Compensation Committee.

Although this vote is nonbinding, our Board of Directors and our Compensation Committee value the opinions of our shareholders and will consider the outcome of the vote when making decisions concerning executive compensation. Accordingly, we are asking our shareholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the overall compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed under Compensation Discussion and Analysis and in the compensation tables and the accompanying narrative disclosures set forth under Executive Compensation Tables in this proxy statement.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

PROPOSAL FOUR: ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act also provides that shareholders must be given the opportunity to vote, on a non-binding, advisory basis, on how frequently the advisory vote on executive compensation should be presented to our shareholders. Shareholders may indicate whether they would prefer that we conduct future advisory votes on executive compensation once every one, two or three years. Shareholders also may abstain from casting a vote on this proposal.

Our Board of Directors has determined that an ANNUAL advisory vote on executive compensation will allow our shareholders to provide timely, direct input on Methode s executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices as disclosed in the proxy statement each year.

This vote is advisory and not binding on Methode, our Board of Directors or our Compensation Committee.

The proxy card provides shareholders with the opportunity to choose among four options (holding the vote every one, two or three years, or abstaining). Shareholders will not be voting to approve or disapprove the recommendation of the Board of Directors.

While this vote is advisory and not binding on Methode, the Board and the Compensation Committee expect to consider the outcome of the vote, along with other relevant information, in determining the frequency of future advisory votes on executive compensation.

OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE OPTION OF AN ANNUAL ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION.

AUDIT COMMITTEE MATTERS

Report of the Audit Committee

The Audit Committee oversees our financial reporting process on behalf of our Board of Directors. Our management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process, including the system of internal controls. Our Board has determined that each member of our Audit Committee meets the requirements as to independence, experience and expertise established by the New York Stock Exchange. In addition, our Board has determined that Mr. Skatoff is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC. In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, our Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2011 with management, including a discussion of the quality, not just the acceptability, of the accounting principles; the reasonableness of significant judgments; and the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements.

Our Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young, which is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the audited financial statements with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the firm s judgments as to the quality, not just the acceptability, of our accounting principles and such other matters as are required to be discussed under the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States).

The Committee has received the written disclosures and the letter from Ernst & Young required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding Ernst & Young s communications with the Committee concerning independence, and has discussed with Ernst & Young the firm s independence from management and Methode and considered the compatibility of nonaudit services with the firm s independence.

Our Audit Committee discussed with our internal auditors and Ernst & Young the overall scope and plans for their respective audits. Our Audit Committee met with the internal auditors and Ernst & Young, with and without management present, to discuss the results of their examinations, their evaluations of our internal controls, and the overall quality of our financial reporting. The Committee also discussed with Ernst & Young the matters required to be discussed by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114, as amended.

In reliance on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended to our Board of Directors (and our Board has approved) that the audited financial statements be included in the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended April 30, 2011 filed with the SEC.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

Lawrence B. Skatoff, Chairman

Walter J. Aspatore

Stephen F. Gates

Isabelle C. Goossen

Paul G. Shelton

Auditing and Related Fees

Our Audit Committee engaged Ernst & Young to examine our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended April 30, 2011. Fees paid to Ernst & Young for services performed during the 2011 and 2010 fiscal years were as follows:

	Fiscal 2011	Fiscal 2010
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 1,105,618	\$ 1,055,065
Audit-Related Fees		
Tax Fees (2)	\$ 53,927	\$ 22,100
All Other Fees (3)	\$ 1,995	\$ 1,995
Total	\$ 1,161,540	\$ 1,079,160

- (1) Audit fees represent aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered by Ernst & Young for the audit of our annual financial statements and review of our quarterly financial statements, audit services provided in connection with other statutory and regulatory filings and consultation with respect to various accounting and financial reporting matters.
- (2) Tax fees principally included tax compliance fees.
- (3) All other fees represent fees for due diligence review and analysis.

Pre-Approval Policy

Our Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and pre-approving all audit and non-audit services provided by Ernst & Young and shall not engage Ernst & Young to perform non-audit services proscribed by law or regulation. In the 2011 fiscal year, 100% of audit and non-audit services were approved by the Audit Committee.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Overview

This compensation discussion and analysis describes the key elements of our executive compensation program, including an analysis of compensation awarded to, earned by or paid to our named executive officers in fiscal 2011. In this discussion, the term named executive officers refers to the five officers about whose compensation we provide detailed tabular and narrative information in this proxy statement.

Executive Summary

The Compensation Committee s philosophy focuses on rewarding performance and aligning our executives interests with those of our shareholders. For fiscal 2011, the principal elements of our executive compensation program included base salary, performance-based annual bonuses, stock options, performance-based restricted stock awards (RSAs), restricted stock units (RSUs), performance-based tandem cash awards (Tandem Cash Awards), limited perquisites and welfare benefits. We also provide for benefits upon a change in control in certain circumstances. Our executives do not participate in pension plans or receive other post-retirement benefits, nor do they generally have employment or severance agreements (other than in connection with a change in control).

In fiscal 2011, we made a number of significant decisions regarding our executive compensation program, as described below. The most significant action taken by our Compensation Committee was the adoption of a five-year equity award program composed of performance-based RSAs which are eligible to vest based on performance as of the end of fiscal 2015, annual stock option awards, and time-based RSUs vesting annually through fiscal 2015. In structuring our executive compensation program, our Compensation Committee considered the extended design and launch period for many of our products and sought to provide a strong link between the amounts earned by our named executive officers and company and individual performance.

The Compensation Committee granted awards of performance-based RSAs to our named executive officers. These RSAs will vest if Methode meets certain financial targets based on Methode s internal enterprise value at the end of fiscal 2015. For this purpose, internal enterprise value equals the product of (i) fiscal 2015 EBITDA and (ii) 7.5 (the historic multiple of EBITDA), subject to certain adjustments. The Compensation Committee does not currently anticipate granting additional RSAs to our executive officers prior to the end of fiscal 2015.

In connection with the RSAs, the Compensation Committee granted Tandem Cash Awards to our named executive officers. These cash incentive awards will become payable if Methode s internal enterprise value at the end of fiscal 2015 exceeds the target performance level established with respect to the RSAs.

The Compensation Committee also granted awards of RSUs to our named executive officers. The RSUs will vest 20% each year on the last day of Methode s fiscal year and be 100% vested on the last day of fiscal 2015, provided the executive remains employed. The Compensation Committee does not currently anticipate granting additional RSUs to our named executive officers prior to the end of fiscal 2015.

The Compensation Committee also granted awards of stock options to our named executive officers. The exercise price for the options is \$9.24, the closing price on the grant date, and the options vest one-third upon each of the first, second and third annual anniversaries of the grant date. It is currently anticipated that the number of options granted to each executive in each of fiscal 2012, 2013, 2014 and 2015 will equal the number of options granted to such executive officer in fiscal 2011.

The Compensation Committee and management agreed to amend the Change In Control Agreements to provide that in the event of a change in control occurring on or after May 1, 2015, the executives are no longer entitled to a gross-up payment.

The Compensation Committee committed not to approve the payment of dividends on any unearned

.

.

•