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Part I. Financial Information
Item 1. Financial Statements

## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |
| Cash and amounts due from depository institutions | \$12,951,057 | \$8,868,824 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | 43,116,624 | 139,981,062 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 56,067,681 | 148,849,886 |
| Loans held for sale, fair value of \$1,257,936 and \$299,744 | 1,231,773 | 291,367 |
| Securities available for sale | 192,673,128 | 158,736,574 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock | 9,255,500 | 10,590,900 |
| Loans receivable: |  |  |
| Not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements | 445,089,232 | 430,359,086 |
| Covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements, net | 203,626,593 | 235,049,585 |
| Unamortized loan origination fees, net (non-covered loans) | (1,140,188 | (1,010,480 |
| Allowance for loan losses (non-covered loans) | (8,525,323 | (9,369,837 |
| Loans receivable, net | 639,050,314 | 655,028,354 |
| Other real estate owned: |  |  |
| Not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements | 3,579,613 | 4,093,214 |
| Covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements | 20,572,008 | 24,671,626 |
| Accrued interest and dividends receivable | 3,900,017 | 3,690,433 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 24,403,367 | 21,765,298 |
| Goodwill | 4,325,282 | 4,325,282 |
| Other intangible assets, net of amortization | 1,553,742 | 1,827,462 |
| Cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance | 33,306,797 | 32,774,523 |
| FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements | 72,954,589 | 96,777,791 |
| Deferred income taxes | 4,751,838 | 4,557,858 |
| Other assets | 3,194,895 | 3,729,682 |
| Total assets | \$1,070,820,544 | \$1,171,710,250 |


| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: | $\$ 845,508,304$ | $\$ 911,093,806$ |  |
| Deposits | $80,000,000$ | $110,000,000$ |  |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings | $8,188,662$ | $11,200,744$ |  |
| Other liabilities | $933,696,966$ | $1,032,294,550$ |  |
| Total liabilities |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity: |  |  |  |
| Common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value; 19,859,219 shares issued at March 31, 2012 and |  |  |  |
| September 30, 2011, respectively; 18,271,568 shares outstanding at March 31, |  |  |  |
| 2012 and 18,603,889 shares outstanding at September 30, 2011 | 198,592 | 198,592 |  |
| Preferred stock, no par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized | - | - |  |
| Additional paid-in capital | $73,184,531$ | $73,083,363$ |  |
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| Treasury stock, at cost; 1,587,651 shares at March 31, 2012 and 1,255,330 <br> at September 30, 2011 | (39,151,144 ) | (36,127,940 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unearned compensation - ESOP | (3,571,121 | (3,729,390 |
| Retained earnings | 108,810,727 | 107,962,533 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss - net unrealized holding losses on securities available for sale, net of tax | (2,348,007 ) | (1,971,458 ) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 137,123,578 | 139,415,700 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$1,070,820,544 | \$1,171,710,250 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 |  | 2011 |  | Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest and dividend income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans receivable | \$ | 11,644,317 | \$ | 10,228,820 | \$ | 23,192,931 | \$ | 21,531,510 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 871,954 |  | 945,306 |  | 1,672,188 |  | 1,917,556 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank Stock |  | 30,810 |  | 27,481 |  | 53,816 |  | 41,666 |
| Other investment securities available for sale |  | 68,776 |  | 47,050 |  | 128,375 |  | 57,174 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions |  | 26,154 |  | 63,422 |  | 94,173 |  | 148,909 |
| Total interest and dividend income |  | 12,642,011 |  | 11,312,079 |  | 25,141,483 |  | 23,696,815 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 1,712,800 |  | 2,379,582 |  | 3,854,980 |  | 5,365,431 |
| Borrowings |  | 1,138,050 |  | 1,630,911 |  | 2,328,642 |  | 3,463,223 |
| Total interest expense |  | 2,850,850 |  | 4,010,493 |  | 6,183,622 |  | 8,828,654 |
| Net interest income |  | 9,791,161 |  | 7,301,586 |  | 18,957,861 |  | 14,868,161 |
| Provision for loan losses, not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements |  | 300,000 |  | 300,000 |  | 1,800,000 |  | 1,100,000 |
| Provision for covered loan losses |  | 290,000 |  | 400,000 |  | 890,000 |  | 400,000 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses |  | 9,201,161 |  | 6,601,586 |  | 16,267,861 |  | 13,368,161 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 1,617,939 |  | 1,360,229 |  | 3,341,896 |  | 2,793,568 |
| Gain on securities available for sale |  | - |  | - |  | 632,593 |  | 170,845 |
| Total impairment losses on securities |  | (173,259 |  | (1,383,314) |  | (273,259 |  | (1,530,359) |
| Portion of losses recognized in other comprehensive income |  | - |  | 1,160,314 |  | - |  | 1,307,359 |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings |  | (173,259 |  | (223,000 ) |  | (273,259 |  | (223,000 ) |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | 260,075 |  | 290,478 |  | 671,385 |  | 571,076 |
| Gain on sale of loans and loan servicing release fees |  | 161,322 |  | 117,033 |  | 346,713 |  | 379,340 |
| Loan servicing fees |  | 119,285 |  | 92,612 |  | 209,798 |  | 191,547 |
| Brokerage commissions |  | 139,924 |  | 201,631 |  | 265,985 |  | 369,074 |
| FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements accretion |  | 455,293 |  | 254,357 |  | 1,025,422 |  | 596,658 |
| Other |  | 51,558 |  | 121,352 |  | 227,610 |  | 275,608 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 2,632,137 |  | 2,214,692 |  | 6,448,143 |  | 5,124,716 |
| Noninterest expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits |  | 4,617,778 |  | 3,704,877 |  | 9,305,824 |  | 7,632,796 |
| Occupancy |  | 2,012,079 |  | 1,700,843 |  | 4,047,886 |  | 3,243,622 |
| FHLB advance prepayment penalty |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 809,558 |
| Legal and professional |  | 447,333 |  | 469,441 |  | 944,378 |  | 894,617 |
| Marketing |  | 494,094 |  | 426,255 |  | 964,217 |  | 815,558 |
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See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

|  | Three Months Ended March 31, |  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$1,260,672 | \$251,750 | \$1,749,055 | \$527,292 |
| Less reclassification adjustment for net gains realized in net income, net of taxes of $\$ 0, \$ 0, \$ 244,181$ and $\$ 65,946$, respectively | - | - | (388,412 ) | (104,898 |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment and mortgage securities available for sale arising during the year, net of taxes of $\$(279,595), \$(473,960), \$ 98,020$ and $\$(113,470)$, respectively | 444,744 | 753,915 | (155,918 ) | 180,493 |
| Noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings, net of taxes of $\$(66,878)$, $\$(86,078), \$(105,478)$ and $\$(86,078)$, respectively | 106,381 | 136,922 | 167,781 | 136,922 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 1,811,797 | \$ 1,142,587 | \$1,372,506 | \$739,809 |
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## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY


#### Abstract

Common stock


|  | Number of shares | Amount | Additional paid-in capital | Treasury stock | Unearned compensation ESOP | Retained earnings | Accumulated other comprehensiv income (loss) | e Total stockholc equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at September 30, 2010 | 19,859,219 | \$198,592 | \$73,073,216 | \$(36,614,648) | \$(3,880,990) | \$107,598,080 | \$(3,497,883) | \$136,876 |
| Net income | - |  |  | - |  | 2,305,352 | - | 2,305,35 |
| Change in unrealized loss on securities | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1,526,425 | 1,526,42 |
| Dividends paid, $\$ 0.20$ per share | - | - | - | - | - | (1,940,899 | - | (1,940,8 |
| Allocation of ESOP common stock | - | - | - | - | 151,600 | - | - | 151,600 |
| Vesting of restricted shares | - | - | (94,944 ) | 486,708 | - | - | - | 391,764 |
| Stock based compensation expense | - | - | 105,091 | - | - | - | - | 105,091 |
| Balance at September 30, 2011 | 19,859,219 | \$198,592 | \$73,083,363 | \$(36,127,940) | \$(3,729,390) | \$107,962,533 | \$(1,971,458) | \$139,415 |
| Net income | - | - | - | - | - | 1,749,055 | - | 1,749,05 |
| Change in unrealized loss on securities | - | - | - | - | - | - | (376,549 | (376,54) |
| Dividends paid, $\$ 0.05$ per share | - | - | - | - | - | (900,861 | - | (900,86 |
| Allocation of ESOP common stock | - | - | - | - | 158,269 | - | - | 158,269 |
| Vesting of restricted shares | - | - | 54,065 | 94,810 | - | - | - | 148,875 |
| Stock based compensation expense | - | - | 47,103 | - | - | - | - | 47,103 |
| Repurchase of Shares | - | - | - | (3,118,014 ) | - | - | - | (3,118,0 |

Balance at
March 31,
2012

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|  | Six Months Ended March 31, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | $\$ 1,749,055$ | $\$ 527,292$ |
| Net income |  |  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: | $1,800,000$ | $1,100,000$ |
| Provision for loan losses, not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements | 890,000 | 400,000 |
| Provision for covered loan losses | 873,629 | 657,446 |
| Depreciation and amortization | $1,353,108$ | $1,021,965$ |
| Accretion and amortization of premiums and discounts, net | $(4,712,198)$ | $(4,597,432)$ |
| Accretion of fair value discounts related to covered loans | $(1,025,422$ | $(596,658$ |
| Accretion of fair value discounts related to FDIC receivable | $(346,713$ | $(379,340$ |$)$

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)

|  | Six Months Ended March 31, 20122011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |
| Dividends on restricted stock awards | - | (5,018 |
| Purchase of treasury stock | (3,118,014 ) |  |
| Dividends paid | (900,861 ) | (961,729 |
| Decrease in deposits | (65,585,502 ) | (87,844,607 ) |
| Principal payments on Federal Home Loan Bank advances | (30,000,000 ) | $(102,000,000)$ |
| Net decrease in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance | - | (507,093 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (99,604,377 ) | $(191,318,447)$ |
| Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents | (92,782,205 ) | $(129,845,675)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 148,849,886 | 235,638,582 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$56,067,681 | \$ 105,792,907 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: |  |  |
| Interest paid | \$6,566,114 | \$7,578,762 |
| Income taxes paid | \$265,000 | \$87,641 |
| Supplemental disclosure of noncash activities: |  |  |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure of collateral on loans receivable | \$9,465,372 | \$ 10,633,420 |
| Write down of real estate owned reimbursed by the FDIC | \$5,940,000 | \$- |
| Provision for covered loan losses reimbursed by the FDIC | \$5,480,845 | \$ 1,600,000 |
| Issuance of common stock under stock benefit plan | \$ 158,269 | \$151,600 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale, net | \$ (570,529 | \$212,517 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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# CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS 

## Note 1: Nature of Operations

Charter Financial Corporation ("Charter Financial" or the "Company"), a federally chartered corporation, was organized on October 16, 2001 by CharterBank (the "Bank" ), to become the mid-tier holding company for the Bank in connection with the Bank's reorganization from a federal mutual savings and loan association into the two-tiered mutual holding company structure. In connection with the reorganization, the Company sold $3,964,481$ shares of its common stock to the public, representing $20 \%$ of the outstanding shares at $\$ 10.00$ per share, and received net proceeds of $\$ 37.2$ million. An additional $15,857,924$ shares, or $80 \%$ of the Company's outstanding shares, were issued to First Charter, MHC, the Bank's federally chartered mutual holding company.

In January 2007, Charter Financial repurchased 508,842 shares of its common stock at $\$ 52.00$ per share through a self-tender offer. Following the stock repurchase, Charter Financial delisted its common stock from the NASDAQ Global Market and deregistered its common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Between January 2007 and September 2009 Charter Financial repurchased 1,186,858 additional shares of its common stock. In September 2010, through an incremental offering, the Company issued $4,400,000$ shares with net proceeds of $\$ 26.6$ million, and First Charter, MHC canceled 4,400,000 shares of Company stock that it held. On September 27, 2011, Charter Financial announced a $5 \%$ stock repurchase plan with repurchased shares being held in treasury and available for general corporate purposes. For the six months ended March 31, 2012, 335,321 shares have been repurchased at a cost of $\$ 3,118,014$.

| Period | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share |  | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced program (1) | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the program (1) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| October 2011 | 107,557 | \$ | 9.25 | 107,557 | 227,764 |
| November 2011 | 70,441 |  | 9.25 | 70,441 | 157,323 |
| December 2011 | 58,300 |  | 9.25 | 58,300 | 99,023 |
| January 2012 | - |  | - | - | 99,023 |
| February 2012 | - |  | - | - | 99,023 |
| March 2012 | 99,023 |  | 9.25 | 99,023 | - |
| Total | 335,321 | \$ | 9.25 | 335,321 |  |

(1) On September 27, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors approved a 5\% stock repurchase plan. Repurchases will be made through open market purchases, block trades, unsolicited negotiated transactions, pursuant to a 10b5-1 trading plan or any manner that complies with the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Repurchased shares will be held in treasury and will be available for general corporate purposes.

As of March 31, 2012, First Charter, MHC owned 11,457,924 shares of the Company's common stock, representing approximately $62.5 \%$ of the Company's $18,337,040$ outstanding shares of common stock at that date. The remaining $6,879,116$ shares of common stock, or approximately $37.5 \%$ of the outstanding shares of common stock, were held by the public.

The Company's ability to pay dividends and the amount of such dividends is affected by the election of First Charter, MHC to waive the receipt of dividends declared by Charter Financial. First Charter, MHC has historically waived its
right to receive most dividends on its shares of Charter Financial common stock, which means that Charter Financial has had more cash resources to pay dividends to its public stockholders than if First Charter, MHC had accepted such dividends. For the year ended September 30, 2011, First Charter, MHC waived $\$ 1.7$ million of dividends with permission of the OTS. The Dodd-Frank Act now requires federally chartered mutual holding companies to give the Federal Reserve Bank (FRB) notice before waiving the receipt of dividends. In the past, the FRB generally has not allowed dividend waivers by mutual holding companies and, there can be no assurance that the FRB will approve dividend waivers by First Charter, MHC in the future, or what conditions the FRB may place on any dividend waivers. The FRB recently granted permission to First Charter, MHC permission to waive the March 2012 quarter dividend. Charter Financial declared a dividend on April 24, 2012 payable May 25, 2012. For the quarter ended March 31, 2012, the declaration of cash dividends by Charter Financial of $\$ 0.05$ per common share will result in payment of $\$ 150,000$ in cash dividends to First Charter, MHC and $\$ 342,000$ in cash dividends to public shareholders.
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Note 2: Basis of Presentation
The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Charter Financial Corporation and subsidiary include the accounts of the Company and the Bank as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 (derived from audited financial statements), and for the three and six-month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements include all necessary adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation for the periods presented. The results of operations for the three and six-month periods ended March 31, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year or any other interim period.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans, the estimates used for fair value acquisition accounting and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation receivable for loss sharing agreements, estimate of expected cash flows on purchased impaired and other acquired loans, and the assessment for other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities, mortgage-backed securities, and collateralized mortgage obligations. Certain reclassifications of 2011 balances have been made. These reclassifications did not change net income.

## Note 3: Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued an update to the accounting standards for amendments to achieve common fair value measurements and disclosure requirements in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") and International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). This update, which is a joint effort between the FASB and the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"), amends existing fair value measurement guidance to converge the fair value measurement guidance in U.S. GAAP and IFRS. This update clarifies the application of existing fair value measurement requirements, changes certain principles in existing guidance and requires additional fair value disclosures. The update permits measuring financial assets and liabilities on a net credit risk basis, if certain criteria are met, increases disclosure surrounding company determined market prices (Level 3) financial instruments, and also requires the fair value hierarchy disclosure of financial assets and liabilities that are not recognized at fair value in the financial statements, but are included in disclosures at fair value. The adoption of this update as of January 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an update to the accounting standards relating to the presentation of comprehensive income. This update amends current accounting standards to require that all nonowner changes in stockholders' equity be presented in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. Additionally, the update requires entities to present, on the face of the financial statements, reclassification adjustments for items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income in the statement or statements where the components of net income and the components of other comprehensive income are presented; however, in December 2011, FASB deferred this requirement until a later date yet to be determined. The option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity was
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eliminated. The adoption of this update as of January 1, 2012 did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an update to the accounting standards relating to testing goodwill for impairment. This guidance allows companies to waive comparing the fair value of a reporting unit to its carrying amount in assessing the recoverability of goodwill if, based on qualitative factors, it is not more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount. This update will be effective for annual and interim goodwill impairment tests performed for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2011. Management is evaluating the impact of this update on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Note 4: Federally Assisted Acquisition of The First National Bank of Florida
On September 9, 2011, the Bank purchased substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all the liabilities of The First National Bank of Florida (FNB) from the FDIC, as Receiver of FNB. FNB operated eight commercial banking branches and was headquartered in Milton, Florida. The FDIC took FNB under receivership upon its closure by the Office of Controller of Currency. The Bank's bid to purchase FNB included the purchase of substantially all FNB's assets at a discount of $\$ 28,000,000$ in exchange for assuming all FNB deposits and certain other liabilities. No cash, deposit premium or other consideration was paid by the Bank. The Bank and the FDIC entered into loss sharing agreements regarding future losses incurred on loans and other real estate acquired through foreclosure existing at the acquisition date. Under the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for 80 percent of net losses on covered assets. The term for loss sharing on residential real estate loans is ten years, while the term for loss sharing on non-residential real estate loans is five years in respect to losses and eight years in respect to loss recoveries. As a result of the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, the Bank recorded a receivable of \$51,555,999 at the time of acquisition.

The loss share agreements include a true-up payment in the event FNB's losses do not reach the FDIC's total intrinsic loss estimate, as defined in the loss sharing agreement, of $\$ 59,483,125$. On September 9, 2021, the true-up measurement date, CharterBank is required to make a true-up payment to the FDIC equal to 50 percent of the excess, if any, of the following calculation: A-(B+C+D), where (A) equals 20 percent of the Total Intrinsic Loss Estimate, or $\$ 11,896,625$; (B) equals 20 percent of the Net Loss Amount; (C) equals 25 percent of the asset (discount) bid, or ( $\$ 7,000,000$ ); and (D) equals 3.5 percent of total Shared Loss Assets at Bank Closing or $\$ 7,380,467$. Current loss estimates indicate that no true-up payment will be payable to the FDIC.

Our FDIC assisted acquisitions of Neighborhood Community Bank ("NCB') and McIntosh Commercial Bank ("MCB") are not subject to true-up payments.

The acquisition of FNB was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The statement of net assets acquired and the resulting acquisition date purchase gain is presented in the following table. As explained in the explanatory notes that accompany the following table, the purchased assets, assumed liabilities and identifiable intangible assets were recorded at the acquisition date fair value. Fair values are preliminary and subject to refinement for up to one year after the closing date of the acquisition as additional information regarding the closing date fair values become available.

Noninterest income includes a pre-tax gain on acquisition of $\$ 1,095,003$. The amount of the gain is equal to the excess of the fair value of the recorded assets over the fair value of liabilities assumed.

The following table shows adjustments to the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired and the resulting gain from the FNB acquisition as of September 9, 2011.

|  | As Recorded <br> by FNB | Fair Value <br> Adjustments | As Recorded <br> by <br> CharterBank |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Assets: <br> Cash and due from <br> banks | $\$ 25,689,080$ | $\$ 23,637,211$ | (a) $\$ 49,326,291$ |  |
| FHLB and FRB | 993,612 | - |  | 993,612 |
| stock | $13,002,568$ | $(97,407$ | (b) | $12,905,161$ |
| Investment securities available for sale | $185,927,300$ | $(64,463,794)($ c $)$ | $121,463,506$ |  |


| Loans, net of unearned income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| owned |  | 24,943,178 |  | (14,383,246) |  |  | 10,559,932 |
| FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements |  | - |  | 51,555,999 | (e) |  | 51,555,999 |
| Core deposit |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other assets |  | 1,291,037 |  | (251,246 | (g) |  | 1,039,791 |
| Total assets acquired | Total assets |  |  | (2,867,786 |  |  | 248,978,989 |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 244,715,032 |  | - |  |  | 244,715,032 |
| Deferred tax |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liability |  | - |  | 420,919 | (j) |  | 420,919 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities |  | 2,768,954 |  | 400,000 | (i) |  | 3,168,954 |
| Total liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| assumed | \$ | 247,483,986 | \$ | 820,919 |  | \$ | 248,304,905 |
| Excess of assets acquired over liabilities assumed\$ 4,362,789 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Aggregate fair value adjustments |  |  | \$ | (3,688,705 |  |  |  |
| Net assets of FNB acquired |  |  |  |  |  | \$ | 674,084 |

(a) Adjustment reflects the initial wire received from the FDIC adjusted for overpayment by the FDIC on the acquisition date.
(b) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments based on the Bank's evaluation of the acquired investment securities portfolio.
(c) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments based on the Bank's evaluation of the acquired loan portfolio. The fair value adjustment includes adjustments for estimated credit losses, liquidity and servicing costs.
(d) Adjustment reflects the estimated other real estate owned losses based on the Bank's evaluation of the acquired other real estate owned portfolio.
(e) Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of payments the Bank will receive from the FDIC under loss sharing agreements. The receivable was recorded at present value of the estimated cash flows using an average discount rate of approximately two percent.
(f) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments to record the estimated core deposit intangible.
(g) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments to record certain other assets acquired in this transaction.
(h) Amount represents the excess of assets acquired over liabilities assumed and since the asset discount bid by CharterBank of $\$ 28$ million exceeded this amount, the difference resulted in a cash settlement with the FDIC on the acquisition date.
(i) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments to record certain other liabilities in this transaction.
(j) Adjustment reflects differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets acquired and liabilities assumed.

Note 5: Securities Available for Sale
Securities available for sale are summarized as follows:

|  | March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross unrealized gains |  | Gross unrealized losses |  | Estimated fair value |  |
| Other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax-free municipals | \$ | 9,928,734 | \$ | 21,021 | \$ | $(6,939)$ | \$ | 9,942,816 |
| U.S. government sponsored entities |  | 18,205,866 |  | 88,858 |  | - |  | 18,294,724 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA certificates |  | 78,750,418 |  | 792,632 |  | (245) |  | 79,542,805 |
| GNMA certificates |  | 5,197,924 |  | 345,661 |  | - |  | 5,543,585 |
| FHLMC certificates |  | 51,878,056 |  | 285,501 |  | $(164,131)$ |  | 51,999,426 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA |  | 10,710,345 |  | 344,243 |  | - |  | 11,054,588 |
| GNMA |  | 1,165,990 |  | 9,820 |  | $(1,748)$ ) |  | 1,174,062 |
| FHLMC |  | 614,865 |  | 50,889 |  | - |  | 665,754 |
| Private-label mortgage securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment grade |  | 2,799,168 |  | 14,198 |  | $(511,198)$ |  | 2,302,168 |
| Split Rating [1] |  | 7,451,827 |  | 29 |  | $(1,285,681)$ |  | 6,166,175 |
| Non investment grade |  | 9,527,522 |  | 198,104 |  | $(3,738,601)$ |  | 5,987,025 |
| Total | \$ | 196,230,715 | \$ | 2,150,956 | \$ | $(5,708,543)$ | \$ | 192,673,128 |

September 30, 2011

|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross unrealized gains |  | Gross unrealized losses |  | Estimated fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax-free municipals | \$ | 10,618,273 | \$ | 21,404 | \$ | $(13,452)$ | \$ | 10,626,225 |
| U.S. government sponsored entities |  | 17,414,309 |  | 95,567 |  | - |  | 17,509,876 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA certificates |  | 62,750,950 |  | 856,995 |  | - |  | 63,607,945 |
| GNMA certificates |  | 5,841,685 |  | 246,044 |  |  |  | 6,087,729 |
| FHLMC certificates |  | 20,634,103 |  | 657,084 |  | - |  | 21,291,187 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA |  | 16,682,474 |  | 451,103 |  | - |  | 17,133,577 |
| GNMA |  | 3,648,357 |  | 29,348 |  | $(1,130)$ |  | 3,676,575 |
| FHLMC |  | 870,973 |  | 72,653 |  | - |  | 943,626 |
| Private-label mortgage securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment grade |  | 3,212,607 |  | 35,163 |  | $(424,674)$ |  | 2,823,096 |

Edgar Filing: CHARTER FINANCIAL CORP/GA - Form 10-Q

| Split Rating [1] | $9,041,253$ |  | 6,335 |  | $(995,327)$ |  | $8,052,261$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non investment grade |  | $11,008,649$ |  |  |  | $(4,024,172)$ | $6,984,477$ |
| Total | $\$$ | $161,723,633$ | $\$$ | $2,471,696$ | $\$$ | $(5,458,755)$ | $\$$ |

[1] Bonds with split ratings represent securities with separate investment and non investment grades.

The amortized cost and estimated fair value of investment securities available for sale as of March 31, 2012, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties. All of the municipal bonds in the table below are pre-funded and are expected to be prepaid before contractual maturity.

|  | Amortized cost |  | Estimated fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 year | \$ | 3,244,561 | \$ | 3,263,013 |
| 1-5 years |  | 12,331,219 |  | 12,381,595 |
| Greater than 5 years |  | 12,558,820 |  | 12,592,932 |
| Mortgage-backed securities |  | 168,096,115 |  | 164,435,588 |
|  | \$ | 196,230,715 | \$ | 192,673,128 |

Proceeds from called or matured securities available for sale during the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were $\$ 2,216,000$ and $\$ 780,300$, respectively. Proceeds from sales for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were $\$ 27,413,475$ and $\$ 9,877,227$, respectively. Gross realized gains on the sale of these securities were $\$ 634,790$ and $\$ 170,845$ for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Gross realized losses on the sale of these securities were $\$ 2,197$ and $\$ 0$ for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Proceeds from called or matured securities available for sale during the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were $\$ 1,180,000$ and $\$ 780,300$, respectively. Proceeds from sales for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 were $\$ 0$ and $\$ 15,300$ respectively. Gross realized gains and losses on the sales of these securities were $\$ 0$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Securities available for sale with an aggregate carrying amount of \$132,428,648 and \$56,190,496 at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, were pledged to secure FHLB advances.

Securities available for sale that had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

March 31, 2012

|  | Amortized cost |  | Gross unrealized losses |  | Estimated fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | )) |  |  |
| Tax-free municipals | \$ | 535,614 | \$ | (6,939 | \$ | 528,675 |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA certificates |  | 113,234 |  | (245) |  | 112,989 |
| FHLMC certificates |  | 25,417,359 |  | $(164,131)$ |  | 25,253,228 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GNMA |  | 363,666 |  | (222) |  | 363,444 |
| Private-label mortgage securities |  | 1,611,158 |  | (132,038) |  | 1,479,120 |
|  | \$ | 28,041,031 | \$ | (303,575 ) | \$ | 27,737,456 |
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September 30, 2011

|  | Amortized cost |  | Gross unrealized losses |  | Estimated fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Other investment securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax-free municipals | \$ | 1,339,585 | \$ | $(13,452)$ | \$ | 1,326,133 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private-label mortgage securities |  | 1,782,525 |  | $(110,599)$ |  | 1,671,926 |
|  | \$ | 3,122,110 | \$ | $(124,051)$ | \$ | 2,998,059 |

Securities available for sale that had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are as follows:

|  | Amortized cost |  | March 31, 2012 <br> Gross unrealized losses |  | Estimated fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GNMA | \$ | 241,359 | \$ | $(1,526)$ | \$ | 239,833 |
| Private-label mortgage securities |  | 15,902,379 |  | $(5,403,442)$ |  | 10,498,937 |
|  | \$ | 16,143,738 | \$ | (5,404,968) | \$ | 10,738,770 |

September 30, 2011

|  | Amortized cost |  | Gross <br> unrealized <br> losses |  | Estimated fair value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| GNMA | \$ | 346,140 | \$ | $(1,130)$ | \$ | 345,010 |
| Private-label mortgage securities |  | 18,833,695 |  | $(5,333,574)$ |  | 13,500,121 |
|  | \$ | 19,179,835 | \$ | $(5,334,704)$ | \$ | 13,845,131 |

At March 31, 2012 the Company had approximately $\$ 5.5$ million in unrealized losses on non-GSE collateralized mortgage obligations with aggregate amortized cost of approximately $\$ 17.5$ million. During the quarters ended March 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011 the Company recorded $\$ 173,259$ and $\$ 100,000$, respectively, in other than temporary impairment on one security with this security being written off during the quarter ending March 31, 2012 bringing the cumulative other than temporary impairment on three securities to $\$ 5.1$ million. The cumulative other than temporary impairment on the security written off during the quarter is $\$ 2.3$ million. Other than previously stated, the Company is projecting that it will receive essentially all contractual cash flows so there is no break in yield or additional other than temporary impairment. The remaining decline in fair value of the mortgage securities resulted from illiquidity and other concerns in the market place. Additionally, the Company has recorded $\$ 2.9$ million in accumulated other comprehensive loss (pre-tax) on these two securities with cumulative OTTI at March 31, 2012.
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Regularly, the Company performs an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or economic circumstances to indicate that a security on which there is an unrealized loss is impaired other-than-temporarily. The assessment considers many factors including the severity and duration of the impairment, the Company's intent and ability to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for recovery in value, recent events specific to the industry, and current characteristics of each security such as delinquency and foreclosure levels, credit enhancements, and projected losses and loss coverage ratios. It is possible that the underlying collateral of these securities will perform worse than current expectations, which may lead to adverse changes in cash flows on these securities and potential future other-than-temporary impairment losses. Events that may trigger material declines in fair values for these securities in the future include but are not limited to, deterioration of credit metrics, significantly higher levels of default and severity of loss on the underlying collateral, deteriorating credit enhancement and loss coverage ratios, or further illiquidity. All of these securities were evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment based on an analysis of the factors and characteristics of each security as previously enumerated. The Company considers these unrealized losses to be temporary impairment losses primarily because of continued sufficient levels of credit enhancements and credit coverage levels of less senior tranches to tranches held by the Company.

The following table summarizes the changes in the amount of credit losses on the Company's investment securities recognized in earnings for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011:

| Three Months Ended | Six Months Ended |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| March 31, |  | March 31, |

$\begin{aligned} & \text { Beginning balance of credit losses } \\ & \text { previously recognized in earnings }\end{aligned} \$ \quad 4,922,916 \quad \$ \quad 2,526,674 \quad \$ \quad 4,822,916 \quad \$ \quad 2,526,674$
Amount related to credit losses for securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized in earnings
Amount related to credit losses for securities for which an
other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in earnings 173,259 223,000 273,259 223,000
Ending balance of cumulative credit losses recognized in $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { earnings } & \$ 5,096,175 & \$ 2,749,674 & \$ & 5,096,175 & \$ 2,749,674\end{array}$

The following table shows issuer-specific information, book value, fair value, credit rating and unrealized gain (loss) for the Company's portfolio of non-agency collateralized mortgage obligations as of March 31, 2012.
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[1] This security was written off in the quarter ending March 31, 2012
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During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, two of the Bank's private-label mortgage securities experienced a slight rating downgrade. The MARM 2004-7 5A1 and the MARM 2004-15 4A1 were both downgraded by Moody's from Ba 3 to Ba 3 -. The downgraded instruments have a book value of $\$ 5.6$ million and $\$ 2.9$ million, respectively. Both instruments continue to maintain a favorable credit support level and Bloomberg coverage ratios. The credit support and Bloomberg coverage ratios for the MARM 2004-7 5A1 were 11.60 and 2.7, respectively. The MARM 2004-15 4 A 1 reported a credit support of 13.48 and a Bloomberg coverage ratio of 3.2. The MARM 2004-7 5A1 tranche has a total of 15 loans. Thirteen or $85 \%$ are timely payers for the past 24 months. The weighted average housing price index adjusted LTV on the entire pool is $54 \%$ with the highest reported at $70 \%$. The MARM 2004-15 4A1 pool consists of 58 loans with 49 current as of the March reporting period. The weighted average HPI LTV is $76 \%$. The Bank is projecting no break in yield or other than temporary impairment.

The investment in the MARM 2004-13 B1 security represents the largest unrealized loss position in the investment portfolio at $\$ 3.1$ million. Based on assessments of expected cash flows, it has been concluded that no additional other than temporary impairment exists on this security at March 31, 2012. This bond has previously taken a total of $\$ 380$ thousand in OTTI. The favorable cash flow profile is attributable to a number of pertinent factors, including the relatively low levels of delinquency and the stable levels of default, foreclosure, and severities upon foreclosure. The security has a housing price index adjusted weighted average loan-to-value ratio of $54 \%$ on the underlying mortgages, average credit scores of 737 and its 2004 origination indicates its seasoning. Furthermore, underlying mortgages have sustained a 24 month timely payer rate of at least $90 \%$. The unrealized loss position is perhaps attributed to liquidity risk.

Note 6: Loans Receivable

Loans receivable are summarized as follows:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { March 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { September } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans not covered by loss sharing agreements: |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential real estate | \$ | 104,442,704 | \$ | 98,844,828 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 263,281,942 |  | 252,037,202 |
| Commercial |  | 16,266,145 |  | 17,612,661 |
| Real estate construction |  | 41,653,834 |  | 41,726,520 |
| Consumer and other |  | 19,444,607 |  | 20,137,875 |
| Loans receivable, net of undisbursed proceeds of loans in process |  | 445,089,232 |  | 430,359,086 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |
| Unamortized loan origination fees, net |  | 1,140,188 |  | 1,010,480 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 8,525,323 |  | 9,369,837 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total loans not covered, net | \$ | 435,423,721 | \$ | 419,978,769 |

The carrying amount of covered loans at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, consisted of impaired loans at acquisition date and all other acquired loans and are presented in the following tables.

|  | March 31, 2012 Impaired Loans at Acquisition |  | All Other Acquired Loans |  | Total Covered Loans |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans covered by loss sharing agreements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential real state | \$ | 6,546,551 | \$ | 10,136,677 | \$ | 16,683,228 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 97,484,911 |  | 105,014,833 |  | 202,499,744 |
| Commercial |  | 16,875,974 |  | 17,634,921 |  | 34,510,895 |
| Real estate construction |  | 5,200,793 |  | 689,675 |  | 5,890,468 |
| Consumer and other |  | 794,360 |  | 6,320,260 |  | 7,114,620 |
| Loans receivable, gross |  | 126,902,589 |  | 139,796,366 |  | 266,698,955 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-accretable difference |  | 32,017,475 |  | 5,388,181 |  | 37,405,656 |
| Allowance for covered loan losses |  | - |  | 8,126,364 |  | 8,126,364 |
| Accretable discount |  | 13,224,954 |  | 3,862,905 |  | 17,087,859 |
| Discount on acquired performing loans |  | - |  | 420,734 |  | 420,734 |
| Unamortized loan origination fees, net |  | - |  | 31,749 |  | 31,749 |
| Total loans covered, net | \$ | 81,660,160 | \$ | 121,966,433 | \$ | 203,626,593 |

September 30, 2011

|  | Impaired Loans at Acquisition |  | All Other Acquired Loans |  | Total Covered Loans |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans covered by loss sharing agreements: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential real estate | \$ | 8,662,904 | \$ | 11,261,058 | \$ | 19,923,962 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 142,358,465 |  | 120,903,232 |  | 263,261,697 |
| Commercial |  | 10,263,020 |  | 22,478,750 |  | 32,741,770 |
| Real estate construction |  | 8,059,927 |  | 778,764 |  | 8,838,691 |
| Consumer and other |  | 866,166 |  | 7,715,276 |  | 8,581,442 |
| Loans receivable, gross |  | 170,210,482 |  | 163,137,080 |  | 333,347,562 |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-accretable difference |  | 61,346,002 |  | 7,799,285 |  | 69,145,287 |
| Allowance for covered loan losses |  | - |  | 6,892,425 |  | 6,892,425 |
| Accretable discount |  | 16,893,100 |  | 4,705,432 |  | 21,598,532 |
| Discount on acquired performing loans |  | - - |  | 622,258 |  | 622,258 |
| Unamortized loan origination fees, net |  | - |  | 39,475 |  | 39,475 |
| Total loans covered, net | \$ | 91,971,380 | \$ | 143,078,205 | \$ | 235,049,585 |

The following table documents changes in the accretable discount on acquired loans during the six months ended March 31, 2012 and the year ended September 30, 2011:

|  | Impaired | All Other | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Loans at | Acquired | Covered |  |  |
|  | Acquisition | Loans | Loans |  |
| Balance, September 30, 2010 | $\$ 10,166,664$ | $\$$ | $8,476,672$ | $\$$ |
| $180,643,336$ |  |  |  |  |


| Accretable yield acquired | $11,203,405$ | - | $11,203,405$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loan accretion | $(4,476,969)$ | $(3,771,240)$ | $(8,248,209)$ |  |
| Balance, September 30, 2011 | $16,893,100$ | $4,705,432$ | $21,598,532$ |  |
| Loan accretion | $(3,668,146)$ | $(842,527)$ | $(4,510,673)$ |  |
| Balance, March 31, 2012 | $\$$ | $13,224,954$ | $\$$ | $3,862,905$ |

The following is a summary of transactions in the allowance for loan losses on loans covered by loss sharing:


The following table documents changes in the carrying value of the FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements relating to covered loans and other real estate owned during the six months ended March 31, 2012 and the year ended September 30, 2011:

|  | Six Months March 31, 2012 |  | Year Ended September 30, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 96,777,791 | \$ | 89,824,798 |
| Fair value of FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements at acquisition |  | - |  | 51,555,999 |
| Receipt of payments from FDIC |  | (38,174,977 ) |  | (53,615,832 ) |
| Accretion of fair value adjustment |  | 1,025,422 |  | 1,035,125 |
| Recovery of previous loss reimbursements |  | (1,333,934 |  | (3,617,003 ) |
| Provisions for estimated losses on covered assets |  | 11,420,845 |  | 4,800,000 |
| External expenses qualifying under loss sharing agreements |  | 3,239,442 |  | 6,794,704 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 72,954,589 | \$ | 96,777,791 |

Loan Origination and Risk Management. The Company has certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and non-performing and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions.

Commercial real estate loans are generally made by the Company to Georgia, Alabama or Florida panhandle entities and are secured by properties in these states. Commercial real estate lending involves additional risks compared to one- to four-family residential lending. Repayment of commercial real estate loans often depends on the successful operations and income stream of the borrowers, and commercial real estate loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared to residential real estate loans. The Company's underwriting criteria for commercial real estate loans include maximum loan-to-value ratios, debt coverage ratios, secondary sources of repayment, guarantor requirements, net worth requirements and quality of cash flow. As part of
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the loan approval and underwriting of commercial real estate loans, management undertakes a cash flow analysis, and requires a debt-service coverage ratio of at least 1.15 times. In addition, management tracks the level of owner-occupied commercial real estate loans versus non-owner occupied loans. At March 31, 2012, approximately $38.8 \%$ of the outstanding principal balance of the Company's commercial real estate loans were secured by owner-occupied properties.

The Company makes construction and land development loans primarily for the construction of one- to four-family residences but also for multi-family and nonresidential real estate projects on a select basis. While current market conditions have suppressed demand for construction and land loans, there are opportunities to lend to quality borrowers in the Company's market area for construction loans. The Company offers two principal types of construction loans: builder loans, including both speculative (unsold) and pre-sold loans to pre-approved local builders; and construction/permanent loans to property owners that are converted to permanent loans at the end of the construction phase. The number of speculative loans that management will extend to a builder at one time depends upon the financial strength and credit history of the builder. The Company's construction loan program is expected to remain a modest portion of the loan volume and management generally limits the number of outstanding loans on unsold homes under construction within a specific area.

The Company also originates first and second mortgage loans secured by one- to four-family residential properties within Georgia, Alabama and the Florida Panhandle. Management currently originates mortgages at all branch locations, but utilizes a centralized processing location to reduce the underwriting risk. The Company originates both fixed rate and adjustable rate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Fixed rate conforming loans are generally originated for resale into the secondary market on a servicing-released basis and loans that are non-conforming due to property exceptions and that have adjustable rates are generally retained in the Company's portfolio. The non-conforming loans originated are not considered to be subprime loans and the amount of subprime and low documentation loans held by the Company is not material.

The majority of the Company's non-mortgage loans consist of consumer loans, including loans on deposits, second mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit, auto loans and various other installment loans. The Company primarily offers consumer loans (excluding second mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit) as an accommodation to customers. Consumer loans tend to have a higher credit risk than residential mortgage loans because they may be secured by rapidly depreciable assets, or may be unsecured. The Company's consumer lending generally follows accepted industry standards for non sub-prime lending, including credit scores and debt to income ratios. The Company also offers home equity lines of credit as a complement to one- to four-family residential mortgage lending. The underwriting standards applicable to home equity credit lines are similar to those for one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, except for slightly more stringent credit-to-income and credit score requirements. Home equity loans are generally limited to $80 \%$ of the value of the underlying property unless the loan is covered by private mortgage insurance or a loss sharing agreement. At March 31, 2012, the Company had $\$ 15.3$ million of home equity lines of credit and second mortgage loans not covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements ("loss sharing").

The Company's commercial business loans are generally limited to terms of five years or less. Management typically collateralizes these loans with a lien on commercial real estate or, rarely, with a lien on business assets and equipment. Management also generally requires the personal guarantee of the business owner. Interest rates on commercial business loans are generally higher than interest rates on residential or commercial real estate loans due to the risk inherent in this type of loan. Commercial business loans are generally considered to have more risk than residential mortgage loans or commercial real estate loans because the collateral may be in the form of intangible assets and/or readily depreciable inventory. Commercial business loans may also involve relatively large loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers, with the repayment of such loans typically dependent on the successful operation and income stream of the borrower. Such risks can be significantly affected by economic conditions. In addition, commercial business lending generally requires substantially greater supervision efforts by Management compared to residential mortgage or commercial real estate lending.

The Company maintains an internal loan review function that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company's policies and procedures. The Company further engages an independent, external loan reviewer on an annual basis.

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans. Nonaccrual loans not covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans were as follows:

|  |  | September 30, |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, 2012 | 2011 |  |  |
| $1-4$ family residential real estate | $\$$ | $3,197,748$ | $\$$ | $5,793,073$ |
| Commercial real estate | $3,112,519$ | $5,339,730$ |  |  |
| Commercial | 199,791 | 438,161 |  |  |
| Real estate construction | - | 26,291 |  |  |
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| Consumer and other |  | 69,332 |  | 96,954 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total | $\$$ | $6,579,390$ | $\$$ | $11,694,209$ |
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An age analysis of past due loans not covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans were as follows:
March 31, 2012


September 30, 2011

|  | 30-89 Days <br> Past Due | Greater than 90 Days Past Due | Total <br> Past Due | Current | Total Loans | Loans > <br> 90 <br> Days and <br> Accruing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-4 family residential real estate | \$ 1,261,293 | \$ 2,434,213 | \$ 3,695,506 | \$ 95,149,322 | \$ 98,844,828 | \$ |  |
| Commercial real estate | 3,073,129 | 1,671,035 | 4,744,164 | 247,293,038 | 252,037,202 |  |  |
| Commercial | 315,882 | 160,558 | 476,440 | 17,136,221 | 17,612,661 |  |  |
| Real estate construction |  | 26,291 | 26,291 | 41,700,229 | 41,726,520 |  |  |
| Consumer and other | 197,125 |  | 197,125 | 19,940,750 | 20,137,875 |  |  |
|  | \$ 4,847,429 | \$ 4,292,097 | \$ 9,139,526 | \$ 421,219,560 | \$ 430,359,086 | \$ |  |

An age analysis of past due loans covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans were as follows:
March 31, 2012

|  | $\begin{aligned} & 30-89 \text { Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | Greater than 90 Days <br> Past Due | Total Past Due | Current | Total <br> Loans [1] | Loans > 90 <br> Days <br> Accruing [2] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-4 family residential real estate | \$ 438,277 | \$ 1,364,089 | \$ 1,802,366 | \$ 12,517,193 | \$ 14,319,559 | \$ 1,364,089 |
| Commercial real estate | 18,744,740 | 27,960,652 | 46,705,392 | 125,231,875 | 171,937,267 | 27,960,652 |
| Commercial | 1,662,354 | 4,461,859 | 6,124,213 | 18,082,583 | 24,206,796 | 4,461,859 |
| Real estate construction |  | 3,719,928 | 3,719,928 | 1,363,435 | 5,083,363 | 3,719,928 |
| Consumer and other | 172,339 | 80,448 | 252,787 | 5,367,163 | 5,619,950 | 80,448 |
|  | \$ 21,017,710 | \$ 37,586,976 | \$ 58,604,686 | \$ 162,562,249 | \$ 221,166,935 | \$ 37,586,976 |

Covered loan balances are net of non-accretable differences and allowance for covered loan losses and have not been reduced by $\$ 17,508,593$ of accretable discounts.
[2] Covered loans contractually past due greater than ninety days are reported as accruing loans because of accretable discounts established at the time of acquisition.
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September 30, 2011

|  | Greater than <br>  <br>  <br> 30-89 Days <br> Past Due90 Days <br> Past Due |  |  |  | Total <br> Past Due | Current | Total <br> Loans [1] | Loans $>90$ <br> Days and <br> Accruing [2] |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-4 family residential |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| real estate | $\$ 1,263,640$ | $\$ 1,852,536$ | $\$ 3,116,176$ | $\$ 13,377,864$ | $\$ 16,494,040$ | $\$ 1,852,536$ |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | $6,890,156$ | $19,890,694$ | $26,780,850$ | $175,314,919$ | $202,095,769$ | $19,890,694$ |  |  |
| Commercial | $1,840,322$ | $4,854,955$ | $6,695,277$ | $18,340,062$ | $25,035,339$ | $4,854,955$ |  |  |
| Real estate construction | - | $3,617,000$ | $3,617,000$ | $2,251,184$ | $5,868,184$ | $3,617,000$ |  |  |
| Consumer and other | 233,527 | 142,184 | 375,711 | $7,440,807$ | $7,816,518$ | 142,184 |  |  |
|  | $\$ 10,227,645$ | $\$ 30,357,369$ | $\$ 40,585,014$ | $\$ 216,724,836$ | $\$ 257,309,850$ | $\$ 30,357,369$ |  |  |

[1] Covered loan balances are net of non-accretable differences and allowance for covered loan losses and have not been reduced by $\$ 22,220,790$ of accretable discounts.
[2] Covered loans contractually past due greater than ninety days are reported as accruing loans because of accretable discounts established at the time of acquisition.

Impaired Loans. The Company evaluates "impaired" loans, which includes nonperforming loans and accruing troubled debt restructured loans, having risk characteristics that are unique to an individual borrower on a loan-by-loan basis with balances above a specified level. For smaller loans, the allowance is calculated based on the credit grade utilizing historical loss experience and other qualitative factors.

Impaired loans not covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans were as follows:
March 31, 2012

recorded:
1-4 family residential

| real estate | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| real estate | $3,361,104$ | $3,361,104$ | 417,834 | $3,361,104$ | 46,729 | $3,361,104$ | 93,971 |
| Commercial | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| construction | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Subtotal: | $3,361,104$ | $3,361,104$ | 417,834 | $3,361,104$ | 46,729 | $3,361,104$ | 93,971 |
| Totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1-4 family residential real estate \$ 3, 197,748 \$ 3,197,748 \$ - \$ 3,214,655 \$ - \$ 3,240,128 \$ Commercial $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { real estate } & 6,853,623 & 8,563,718 & 417,834 & 6,444,666 & 55,027 & 7,017,138 & 116,881\end{array}$

| Commercial | $2,910,705$ | $2,910,705$ | - | $3,228,545$ | 37,689 | $3,214,747$ | 75,793 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Real estate construction
Grand Total: \$ 12,962,076 \$ 14,672,171 \$ 417,834 \$ 12,887,866 $\quad \$ 92,716$ \$ 13,472,013 $\quad \$ 192,674$
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September 30, 2011

|  | Recorded Investment | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance | Related <br> Allowance | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Year Ended September 30, } \\ 2011 & \\ \text { Average } & \\ \text { Investment } & \text { Interest } \\ \text { in Impaired } & \text { Income } \\ \text { Loans } & \text { Recognized }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential real estate | \$ 5,793,073 | \$ 5,793,073 | \$ | \$ 4,402,390 | \$ | 107,550 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,419,250 | 3,419,250 | - | 3,895,070 |  | 37,634 |
| Commercial | 438,161 | 438,161 | - | 363,487 |  | 4,202 |
| Real estate construction | 26,291 | 26,291 |  | 139,891 |  |  |
| Subtotal: | 9,676,775 | 9,676,775 | - | 8,800,838 |  | 149,386 |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential real estate | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 1,211,961 | \$ | - |
| Commercial real estate | 2,881,355 | 2,881,355 | 1,162,795 | 2,713,337 |  | 63,857 |
| Commercial | 64,568 | 64,568 | 66,818 | 73,194 |  | - |
| Real estate construction |  | - | - | 6,063 |  | - |
| Subtotal: | 2,945,923 | 2,945,923 | 1,229,613 | 4,004,555 |  | 63,857 |
| Totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential real estate | \$ 5,793,073 | \$ 5,793,073 | \$ | \$ 5,614,351 | \$ | 107,550 |
| Commercial real estate | 6,300,605 | 6,300,605 | 1,162,795 | 6,608,407 |  | 101,491 |
| Commercial | 502,729 | 502,729 | 66,818 | 436,681 |  | 4,202 |
| Real estate construction | 26,291 | 26,291 | - | 145,954 |  | - |
| Grand Total: | \$ 12,622,698 | \$ 12,622,698 | \$ 1,229,613 | \$ 12,805,393 | \$ | 213,243 |

Credit Quality Indicators. As part of the ongoing monitoring of the credit quality of the Company's loan portfolio for both loans covered and not covered by loss sharing agreements, management tracks certain credit quality indicators including the level of classified loans, net charge-offs, non-performing loans (see details above) and the general economic conditions in its market areas.

The Company utilizes a risk grading matrix to assign a risk grade to each of its loans. Loans are graded on a scale of 1 to 8 . A description of the general characteristics of the 8 risk grade factors is included in the Company's September 30, 2011 Form 10-K.

The risk grade for each individual loan is determined by the loan officer and other approving officers at the time of loan origination and is changed from time to time to reflect an ongoing assessment of loan risk. Risk grades are reviewed on specific loans monthly for all delinquent loans as a part of monthly meetings held by the Loan Committee, quarterly for all nonaccrual and special reserve loans, and annually as part of the Company's internal loan review process. In addition, individual loan risk grades are reviewed in connection with all renewals, extensions and modifications. Risk grades for covered loans are determined by officers within the Special Assets Division based on an ongoing assessment of loan risk. Such risk grades are updated in a manner consistent with non-covered loans, except the grading of such loans are assessed quarterly, as applicable, relating to revised estimates of expected cash flows.

The following table presents the risk grades of the loan portfolio not covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans:

March 31, 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccccc} & \begin{array}{c}1-4 \text { family } \\ \text { residential } \\ \text { real } \\ \text { estate }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Commercial } \\ \text { real }\end{array} & & & \text { Consumer }\end{array}\right]$

September 30, 2011

|  | 1-4 family residential real estate | Commercial real estate | Commercial | Real estate construction | Consumer and other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass (1-4) | \$ 89,121,799 | \$ 210,707,732 | \$ 13,629,735 | \$ 33,824,113 | \$ 19,539,636 | \$ 366,823,015 |
| Special Mention (5) | 3,486,324 | 25,456,968 | 448,711 | 6,230,194 | 381,414 | 36,003,611 |
| Substandard (6) | 6,236,705 | 15,756,651 | 3,373,657 | 1,672,213 | 212,093 | 27,251,319 |
| Doubtful (7) | - | 115,851 | 160,558 | - | 4,732 | 281,141 |
| Loss (8) | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Total not covered loans | \$ 98,844,828 | \$ 252,037,202 | \$ 17,612,661 | \$ 41,726,520 | \$ 20,137,875 | \$ 430,359,086 |

The following table presents the risk grades, ignoring grade enhancement provided by the FDIC loss sharing, of the loan portfolio covered by loss sharing agreements, segregated by class of loans. With respect to regulatory classification assets covered by loss sharing agreements, numerical risk ratings 5-8, for regulatory reporting purposes are done under FDIC guidance reporting $20 \%$ or $5 \%$, as appropriate of the book balance of the loan as classified. The remaining $80 \%$ to $95 \%$ is classified as pass, numerical risk ratings 1-4.

March 31, 2012

|  | $1-4$ family <br> residential <br> real <br> estate | Commercial <br> real <br> estate | Commercial |  | Real estate <br> construction | Consumer <br> and <br> other | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[1] Covered loan balances are net of non-accretable differences and allowances for covered loan losses.

September 30, 2011

|  | 1-4 family residential real estate | Commercial <br> real estate | Commercial |  | eal estate <br> nstruction | Consumer <br> and other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numerical risk rating (1-4) | \$ 10,693,680 | \$ 103,968,632 | \$ 12,378,784 | \$ | 2,251,184 | \$ 6,563,123 | \$ 135,855,403 |
| Numerical risk rating (5) | 1,266,882 | 25,350,072 | 1,773,795 |  | - | 292,054 | 28,682,803 |
| Numerical risk rating (6) | 4,018,325 | 63,319,301 | 5,879,654 |  | 3,617,000 | 913,673 | 77,747,953 |
| Numerical risk rating (7) | 515,153 | 9,457,764 | 5,003,106 |  | - | 47,668 | 15,023,691 |
| Numerical risk rating (8) | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |
| Total covered loans [1] | \$ 16,494,040 | \$ 202,095,769 | \$ 25,035,339 | \$ | 5,868,184 | \$ 7,816,518 | \$ 257,309,850 |

[1] Covered loan balances are net of non-accretable differences and allowances for covered loan losses.

Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense and is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb losses on existing loans that become uncollectible, based on evaluations of the collectibility of loans. The evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, historical loss rates, overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, and current economic conditions and trends that may affect a borrower's ability to repay. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectibility of the principal is unlikely and subsequent recoveries are added to the allowance.

Management's allowance for loan losses methodology is a loan classification-based system. Management bases the required reserve on a percentage of the loan balance for each type of loan and classification level. Loans may be classified manually and are automatically classified if they are not previously classified when they reach certain levels of delinquency. Unclassified loans are reserved at different percentages based on our loan loss history for the last two years. Reserve percentages are also adjusted based upon our estimate of the effect that the current economic environment will have on each type of loan.

Management segments its allowance for loan losses into the following four major categories: (1) specific reserves; (2) general allowances for Classified/Watch loans; (3) general allowances for loans with satisfactory ratings; and (4) an unallocated amount. Risk grades are initially assigned in accordance with the Company's loan and collection policy. An organizationally independent department reviews risk grade assignments on an ongoing basis. Management reviews current information and events regarding a borrowers' financial condition and strengths, cash flows available for debt repayment, the related collateral supporting the loan and the effects of known and expected economic conditions. When the evaluation reflects a greater than normal risk associated with the individual loan, management classifies the loan accordingly. If the loan is determined to be impaired, management allocates a portion of the allowance for loan losses for that loan based on the fair value of the collateral as the measure for the amount of the impairment. Impaired and Classified/Watch loans are aggressively monitored.

The allowances for loans rated satisfactory are further subdivided into various types of loans as defined by loan type. Management has developed specific quantitative allowance factors to apply to each individual component of the allowance and considers loan charge-off experience over the most recent two years. These quantitative allowance factors are based upon economic, market, and industry conditions that are specific to the Company's local markets. These quantitative allowance factors consider, but are not limited to, national and local economic conditions,
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bankruptcy trends, unemployment trends, loan concentrations, dependency upon government installations and facilities, and competitive factors in the local market. These allocations for the quantitative allowance factors are included in the various individual components of the allowance for loan losses. In addition, some qualitative allowance factors are used that are subjective in nature and require considerable judgment on the part of management. However, it is management's opinion that these items do represent uncertainties in the Company's business environment that must be factored into its analysis of the allowance for loan losses.

The unallocated component of the allowance is established for losses that specifically exist in the remainder of the portfolio, but have yet to be identified. An unallocated allowance is generally maintained in a range of $4 \%$ to $12 \%$ of the total allowance in recognition of the imprecision of the estimates. In times of greater economic downturn and uncertainty, the higher end of this range is provided.

Through the FDIC-assisted acquisition of the assets of NCB, management established an allowance for loan losses for non-impaired loans covered by loss-sharing agreements and such allowance for loan losses was $\$ 7.6$ million and $\$ 6.9$ million at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. The NCB acquisition was completed under previously applicable accounting pronouncements related to business combinations.

Through the FDIC-assisted acquisitions of the loans of NCB, MCB and FNB management established non-accretable discounts for the acquired impaired loans and also for all other loans of MCB. These non-accretable discounts were based on estimates of future cash flows. Subsequent to the acquisition dates, management continues to assess the experience of actual cash flows compared to estimates. When management determines that non-accretable discounts are insufficient to cover expected losses in the applicable covered loan portfolios, such non-accretable discounts are increased with a corresponding provision for covered loan losses as a charge to earnings and an increase in the applicable FDIC receivable based on loss sharing indemnification. During the quarter ended March 31, 2012 the Company increased allowance for covered loan losses relating to NCB acquired loans by $\$ 2.9$ million and recorded $\$ 190,000$ as a charge to earnings with $\$ 2.7$ million as an increase to the FDIC receivable. During the quarter ended December 31, 2011 the Company increased allowance for covered loan losses relating to NCB acquired loans by $\$ 3.0$ million and recorded $\$ 600,000$ as a charge to earnings with $\$ 2.4$ million as an increase to the FDIC receivable. This increase in the allowance for loan losses was related to revising the expected cash flows for covered NCB loans secured by subdivision lots and acreage in south metropolitan Atlanta. The liquidation values of collateral in future cash flow expectations were lowered because recent sales of lots and acreage have experienced deeper discounts compared to current appraised values. The remaining carrying value of NCB loans secured by lots and acreage is $\$ 5.3$ million at March 31, 2012.

The Company maintained its allowance for loan losses for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 in response to continued weak economic conditions, net charge-offs, weak financial indicators for borrowers in the real estate sectors, continuing low collateral values of commercial and residential real estate, and nonaccrual and impaired loans. The following tables detail the allowance for loan losses on loans not covered by loss sharing by portfolio segment. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude availability to absorb losses in other categories.

The following is a summary of transactions in the allowance for loan losses on loans not covered by loss sharing:

Three months ended March 31, 2012

| 1-4 Family | Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| real estate | real estate | Commercial | Real estate <br> construction | Consumer <br> and other | Unallocated |


| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$579,755 | \$6,359,275 | \$ 573,046 | \$ 589,409 |  | \$63,399 |  | \$ 654,992 | \$8,819,876 |
| Charge-offs | (397,259 ) | 49,244 | (247,521 ) | - |  | (129 | ) | - | (595,665 ) |
| Recoveries | - | - | 301 | - |  | 811 |  | - | 1,112 |
| Provision | 398,703 | (483,469 ) | 118,937 | (86,875 | ) | 23,222 |  | 329,482 | 300,000 |
| Balance at end of period | \$581,199 | \$ 5,925,050 | \$ 444,763 | \$ 502,534 |  | \$87,303 |  | \$ 984,474 | \$8,525,323 |

Allowance for loan losses:
Balance at

| beginning of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| period | $\$ 633,364$ | $\$ 5,972,310$ | $\$ 821,830$ | $\$ 1,065,512$ | $\$ 48,276$ | $\$ 828,545$ | $\$ 9,369,837$ |  |
| Charge-offs | $(558,817$ | $)$ | $(1,737,353$ | $)$ | $(329,092$ | $)$ | - | $(61,720$ |
| $)$ | - | $(2,686,982)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Recoveries | 3,914 | 359 | 34,982 | - | 3,213 | - | 42,468 |  |
| Provision | 502,736 | $1,689,734$ | $(82,952$ | $)$ | $(562,979$ | $)$ | 97,383 | 156,078 |
| Loans: | $\$ 581,197$ | $\$ 5,925,050$ | $\$ 444,768$ | $\$ 502,533$ | $\$ 87,152$ | $\$ 984,623$ | $\$ 8,525,323$ |  |

Ending
balance:
individually
evaluated for
impairment \$- \$417,834 \$- \$- \$417,834

Loans:
Ending
balance
\$104,442,704 \$263,281,942 \$16,266,145 \$41,653,834 \$19,444,607
\$445,089,232

Ending
balance:
individually
evaluated for
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { impairment } & \$ 3,197,748 & \$ 6,853,623 & \$ 2,910,705 & \$- & \$- & \$ 12,962,076\end{array}$

Three months ended March 31, 2011
1-4 Family
real estate
real estate

Real estate Consumer real estate real estate Commercial construction and other Unallocated Total


|  | Six months ended March 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1-4 Family | Commercial | Real estate |  |  |  | Consumer |
| real estate | real estate | Commercial | construction | and other | Unallocated | Total |

Allowance
for loan
losses:
Balance at

| beginning of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| year | $\$ 1,023,078$ | $\$ 6,103,391$ | $\$ 623,479$ | $\$ 1,236,169$ | $\$ 79,149$ | $\$ 731,829$ | $\$ 9,797,095$ |  |
| Charge-offs | $(165,351$ | $)$ | $(957,063$ | $)$ | $(58,937$ | $)$ | $(21,822$ | $)$ |
| Recoveries | 61,249 | - | 36,487 | 159 | 9,701 | - | $(1,310,516)$ |  |
| Provision | $(148,056$ | $)$ | 438,045 | $(22,732$ | $)$ | 537,778 | 110,269 | 184,696 |
| Loans: | $\$ 770,920$ | $\$ 5,584,373$ | $\$ 578,297$ | $\$ 1,752,284$ | $\$ 91,776$ | $\$ 916,525$ | $\$ 9,694,175$ |  |

Ending
balance:
individually
evaluated for
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { impairment } & \$ 174,476 & \$ 944,029 & \$ 76,568 & \$ 10,000 & \$-\end{array}$

## Loans:

Ending
balance $\quad \$ 104,080,480 \quad \$ 257,927,053 \quad \$ 19,358,904 \quad \$ 44,302,958 \quad \$ 20,272,364 \quad \$ 445,941,759$

Ending
balance:
individually
evaluated for
impairment $\$ 4,315,283 \quad \$ 6,289,336 \quad \$ 464,051 \quad \$ 511,452 \quad \$-\quad \$ 11,580,122$

The following tables detail the nonaccretable discount and allowance for loan losses on loans covered by loss sharing by portfolio segment.

Three months ended March 31, 2012
1-4 Family

real estate \begin{tabular}{c}
Commercial <br>
real estate

$\quad$

Real estate <br>
Commercial

 

Consumer <br>
construction
\end{tabular}$\quad$ and other $\quad$ Total

Non-accretable differences
[1]:
Balance at beginning of
$\left.\begin{array}{lclllll}\text { period } & \$ 3,033,327 & \$ 56,975,138 & \$ 7,569,168 & \$ 1,512,098 & \$ 553,341 & \$ 69,643,072 \\ \text { Charge-offs } & (635,584 & ) & (23,936,147) & (2,114,750) & (709,856 & (214,982\end{array}\right)(27,611,319)$

Six months ended March 31, 2012
1-4 Family

real estate \begin{tabular}{c}
Commercial <br>
real estate

$\quad$ Commercial 

Real estate <br>
construction

 

Consumer <br>
and other
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Total

Non-accretable
differences [1]:
Balance at beginning of

| period | $\$ 3,429,923$ | $\$ 61,165,928$ | $\$ 7,706,431$ | $\$ 2,970,506$ | $\$ 764,924$ | $\$ 76,037,712$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Charge-offs | $(1,070,634)$ | $(30,918,980)$ | $(2,755,928)$ | $(2,168,264)$ | $(231,883$ | $)$ |
| Recoveries | 2,540 | 160,438 | 104,361 | - | 1,813 | $269,155,689)$ |

Provision for loan losses
charged to FDIC

| receivable | 153,591 | $3,293,203$ | $1,089,716$ | 4,214 | 940,121 | $5,480,845$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Provision for loan losses <br> charged to operations | 20,476 | 710,285 | 138,892 | 651 | 19,696 | 890,000 |
| Balance at end of period | $\$ 2,535,896$ | $\$ 34,410,874$ | $\$ 6,283,472$ | $\$ 807,107$ | $\$ 1,494,671$ | $\$ 45,532,020$ |
| Covered loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending contractual <br> balance | $\$ 16,683,228$ | $\$ 202,499,744$ | $\$ 34,510,895$ | $\$ 5,890,468$ | $\$ 7,114,620$ | $\$ 266,698,955$ |

[1] Amounts include the allowance for covered loan losses.

Three months ended March 31, 2011

| $1-4$ Family |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| real estate | Commercial <br> real estate | Commercial | Real estate <br> construction | Consumer <br> and other | Total

Non-accretable differences
[1]:

| Balance at beginning of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| period | $\$ 1,797,449$ | $\$ 32,249,909$ | $\$ 21,679,909$ | $\$ 1,832,857$ | $\$ 1,605,077$ | $\$ 59,165,201$ |  |
| Charge-offs | $(69,876$ | $(6,003,897)$ | $(7,528,330)$ | $(376,835)$ | $(880,116)$ | $(14,859,054)$ |  |
| Recoveries | 2,040 | 76,747 | 284,827 | - | 38,130 | 401,744 |  |
| Provision for loan losses <br> charged to FDIC receivable | 217,810 | $1,393,795$ | $(792,486)$ | 200,717 | 580,164 | $1,600,000$ |  |
| Provision for loan losses <br> charged to operations | 54,452 | 348,449 | $(198,121)$ | 50,179 | 145,041 | 400,000 |  |
| Balance at end of period | $\$ 2,001,875$ | $\$ 28,065,003$ | $\$ 13,445,799$ | $\$ 1,706,918$ | $\$ 1,488,296$ | $\$ 46,707,891$ |  |

Six months ended March 31, 2011

| 1-4 Family |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| real estate | Commercial |
| real estate |  |$\quad$ Commercial | Real estate |
| :---: |
| construction | | Consumer |
| :---: |
| and other | Total


| Non-accretable differences [1]: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 1,856,851 | \$38,170,313 | \$24,257,466 | \$2,497,018 | \$ 1,632,467 | \$68,414,115 |
| Charge-offs | (188,686 ) | (12,209,908) | (11,646,678) | $(1,115,195)$ | (908,150 ) | (26,068,617 ) |
| Recoveries | 61,449 | 362,353 | 1,825,618 | 74,199 | 38,774 | 2,362,393 |
| Provision for loan losses charged to FDIC receivable | 217,809 | 1,393,796 | (792,486 | 200,717 | 580,164 | 1,600,000 |
| Provision for loan losses charged to operations | 54,452 | 348,449 | (198,121 | 50,179 | 145,041 | 400,000 |
| Balance at end of period | \$2,001,875 | \$28,065,003 | \$ 13,445,799 | \$ 1,706,918 | \$ 1,488,296 | \$46,707,891 |
| Covered loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending contractual balance | \$ 11,757,541 | \$122,747,825 | \$38,188,786 | \$ 3,570,612 | \$9,110,693 | \$185,375,457 |

[1] Amounts include the allowance for covered loan losses.

For the six month period ended March 31, 2012, the following table presents a breakdown of loans with the types of concessions determined to be troubled debt restructurings (TDRs) during the period by loan class.

| Accruing Loans |  |  |  | Nonaccrual Loans |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 |  |  | Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 |  |  |
| Number | Pre-Modification | Post-Modification | Number | Pre-Modificatidrost-Modification |  |
| of loans | Outstanding | Outstanding | of loans | Outstanding | Outstanding |


| Recorded | Recorded | Recorded | Recorded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment | Investment | Investment | Investment |


| Below market interest rate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial Real |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Estate | 8 | \$ | 4,576,588 | \$ | 4,511,749 | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Commercial | 1 |  | 2,710,914 |  | 2,689,076 | - |  | - |  | - |
| Total | 9 | \$ | 7,287,502 | \$ | 7,200,825 | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| Grand Total | 9 | \$ | 7,287,502 | \$ | 7,200,825 | - | \$ | - | \$ | - |

Loans are classified as restructured by the Company when certain modifications are made to the loan terms and concessions are granted to the borrowers due to financial difficulty experienced by those borrowers. The Company only restructures loans for borrowers in financial difficulty that have designed a viable business plan to fully pay off all obligations, including outstanding debt, interest, and fees, either by generating additional income from the business or through liquidation of assets. Generally, these loans are restructured to provide the borrower additional time to execute upon their plans. The concessions granted on TDRs generally include terms to reduce the interest rate or extend the term of the debt obligation. As of March 31, 2012, no concessions had been made to extend payment terms or modify the payment structure.

Loans on nonaccrual status at the date of modification are initially classified as nonaccrual TDRs. Loans on accruing status at the date of concession are initially classified as accruing TDRs if the loan is reasonably assured of repayment and performance is expected in accordance with its modified terms. Such loans may be designated as nonaccrual loans subsequent to the concession date if reasonable doubt exists as to the collection of interest or principal under the restructuring agreement. TDRs are returned to accruing status when there is economic substance to the restructuring, there is documented credit evaluation of the borrower's financial condition, the remaining balance is reasonably assured of repayment in accordance with its modified terms, and the borrower has demonstrated sustained repayment performance in accordance with the modified terms for a reasonable period of time (generally a minimum of six months).

As of March 31, 2012, no loans that were modified as troubled debt restructurings within the previous twelve months defaulted after their restructure.

## Note 7: Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed on the weighted average number of shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by weighted average shares outstanding plus potential common shares resulting from dilutive stock options, determined using the treasury stock method.

|  | Three Months Ended |  | Six Months Ended |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31 |  | March 31 |  |  |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | $\$ 1,260,672$ | $\$ 251,750$ | $\$ 1,749,055$ | $\$ 527,292$ |  |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | $17,905,484$ | $18,136,137$ | $17,971,410$ | $18,134,905$ |  |
| Common stock equivalents | 32,083 | 51,077 | 32,083 | 51,077 |  |
| Diluted shares | $17,937,567$ | $18,187,214$ | $18,003,493$ | $18,185,982$ |  |
| Net income per share: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | $\$ 0.07$ | $\$ 0.01$ | $\$ 0.10$ | $\$ 0.03$ |  |
| Diluted | $\$ 0.07$ | $\$ 0.01$ | $\$ 0.10$ | $\$ 0.03$ |  |

For the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 there were no dilutive stock options. For the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 there were 32,083 and 51,077, respectively, shares of non-vested restricted stock.

Basic earnings per share for the three and six month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding, which consists of issued shares less treasury stock.

Diluted earnings per share for the three and six month periods ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 was computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding and the dilutive effect of the shares awarded under the Company's equity compensation plans.

Note 8: Real Estate Owned
The following is a summary of transactions in real estate owned:
Non-covered real estate owned

|  | Six Months <br> Ended <br> March 31, |  | Year Ended <br> September 30, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 2012 | 2011 |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$$ | $4,093,214$ | $\$$ |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure of loans receivable | $1,153,348$ | $9,641,425$ |  |
| Real estate sold | $(1,263,093)$ | $3,760,607$ |  |
| Write down of real estate owned | $(447,581)$ | $(774,616)$ |  |
| Gain (loss) on sale of real estate owned | 43,725 | $(44,894)$ |  |
| Real estate transferred to fixed assets |  | - | $(286,154)$ |
| Balance, end of period | $\$$ | $3,579,613$ | $\$$ |

Covered real estate owned
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Six Months } \\ \text { Ended } \\ \text { March 31, }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Year Ended } \\ \text { September 30, }\end{array} \\ & \$ 2012\end{array}\right)$

Note 9: Employee Benefits
The Company has a stock option plan which allows for stock option awards of the Company's common stock to eligible directors and key employees of the Company. The option price is determined by a committee of the board of directors at the time of the grant and may not be less than $100 \%$ of the market value of the common stock on the date of the grant. When granted, the options vest over periods up to four or five years from grant date or upon death, disability, or qualified retirement. All options must be exercised within a 10 year period from grant date. The Company may grant either incentive stock options, which qualify for special federal income tax treatment, or nonqualified stock options, which do not receive such tax treatment. The Company's stockholders have authorized the grant of options exercisable for 707,943 shares of common stock under the plan. At March 31, 2012, 73,628 shares had been issued upon the exercise of options granted under the plan, options exercisable for 566,275 shares of common stock were granted and outstanding, and options exercisable for 68,040 shares of common stock remained
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The fair value of the 13,000 and 4,000 options granted during the six months ended March 31, 2012 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton model with the following assumptions:

|  | 13,000 Options |  |  | 4,000 options |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.80 | $\%$ | 1.80 | $\%$ |  |
| Risk- free interest rate | 2.00 | $\%$ | 2.20 | $\%$ |  |
| Dividend yield | 120 Months |  | 120 Months |  |  |
| Expected life at date of grant | 19.56 | $\%$ | 19.56 | $\%$ |  |
| Volatility | $\$$ | 1.73 |  | $\$$ | 1.38 |

The following table summarizes activity for shares under option and weighted average exercise price per share:

|  | Weighted <br> average <br> exercise <br> price/share | Weighted <br> average <br> remaining <br> life (years) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Options outstanding- September 30, 2011 | Shares | 565,275 | 10.76 |

Stock option expense was $\$ 47,104$ and $\$ 61,520$ for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The following table summarizes information about the options outstanding at March 31, 2012:

$\left.$| Number <br> outstanding at <br> March 31, | Remaining <br> contractual | Exercise price |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2012 | life in years |  | | per share |
| :---: | \right\rvert\, | 5,500 | 1 | 11.00 |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| 338,775 | 7 | 10.20 |
| 150,000 | 8 | 9.00 |
| 55,000 | 9 | 9.15 |
| 13,000 | 10 | 9.72 |
| 4,000 | 10 |  |
| 566,275 |  |  |

The Company has a recognition and retention plan which has been authorized to grant up to 283,177 shares of restricted stock to key employees and directors. The Company has established a grantor trust to purchase these common shares of the Company in the open market or in private transactions. The grantor trust has not purchased previously authorized but unissued shares from the Company. The grantor trust has purchased all of the 283,177 shares that have been authorized. As of March 31, 2012, 65,472 shares remain in the trust and are disclosed as
treasury stock in the consolidated statements of financial condition. Of the 65,472 shares remaining in the trust, 32,083 shares have been granted and are not yet vested and 33,389 shares are available for grants.

|  | Shares | Weighted average grant date fair value per award |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unvested restricted stock awards-September 30, 2011 | 35,083 | 20.84 |
| Granted | - | - - |
| Vested | $(3,000)$ | 49.63 |
| Cancelled or expired | - | - - |
| Unvested restricted stock awards-March 31, 2012 | 32,083 | 18.14 |

All grants prior to October 1, 2005 vest at the earlier of the scheduled vesting or death, disability, or qualified retirement which is generally age 65 or age 55 with 10 years of service. All grants prior to October 1, 2005 are expensed to the scheduled vesting date. Grants between October 1, 2005 and January 1, 2009 will be expensed to the earlier of scheduled vesting or substantive vesting which is when the recipient becomes qualified for retirement which is generally age 65 or age 55 with ten years of service. Grants subsequent to January 1, 2009 will be expensed to the earlier of scheduled vesting or substantive vesting which is when the recipient becomes qualified for retirement at age 65.

Note 10: Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

In the normal course of business, the Company makes various commitments and incurs certain contingent liabilities, which are not reflected in the accompanying financial statements. The commitments and contingent liabilities include guarantees, commitments to extend credit, and standby letters of credit. At March 31, 2012, commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit totaled $\$ 46.8$ million. The Company does not anticipate any material losses as a result of these transactions.

In the normal course of business, the Company is party (both as plaintiff and defendant) to certain matters of litigation. In the opinion of management and counsel, none of these matters should have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operation.

Note 11: Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurement

Accounting standards define fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Accounting standards also establish a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The applicable standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value: Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date. Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data. Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. The Company evaluates fair value measurement inputs on an ongoing basis in order to determine if there is a change of sufficient significance to warrant a transfer between levels. For example, changes in market activity or the addition of new unobservable inputs could, in the Company's judgment, cause a transfer to either a higher or lower level. For the three and six months ended March 31, 2012, there were no transfers between levels.

At March 31, 2012, the Company holds, as part of its investment portfolio, available for sale securities reported at fair value consisting of municipal securities, U.S government sponsored entities, mortgage backed securities, and collateralized mortgage obligations. The fair value of the majority of these securities are determined using widely
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accepted valuation techniques including matrix pricing and broker-quote based applications. Inputs include benchmark yields, reported trades, issuer spreads, prepayment speeds and other relevant items. These are inputs used by a third-party pricing service used by the Company. To validate the appropriateness of the valuations provided by the third party, the Company regularly updates its understanding of the inputs used and compares valuations to an additional third party source.

All of the Company's available for sale securities fall into Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. These securities are priced via independent service providers. In obtaining such valuation information, the Company has evaluated the valuation methodologies used to develop the fair values.

Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis:
Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { March 31, 2012 } \\ \text { Quoted }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| prices in |  |  |  |  |  |
| active |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

[1] Bonds with split ratings represent securities with separate investment and non investment grades.

|  |  | Estimated fair value |  |  | $30,2011$ <br> Quoted prices for similar assets (Level 2 inputs) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax free municipals | \$ | 10,626,225 | \$ | -\$ | 10,626,225 | \$ |  |
| U.S. government sponsored entities |  | 17,509,876 |  |  | 17,509,876 |  |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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| FNMA certificates | 63,607,945 | - | 63,607,945 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GNMA certificates | 6,087,729 | - | 6,087,729 | - |
| FHLMC certificates | 21,291,187 | - | 21,291,187 | - |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA | 17,133,577 | - | 17,133,577 | - |
| GNMA | 3,676,575 | - | 3,676,575 | - |
| FHLMC | 943,626 | - | 943,626 | - |
| Private-label mortgage securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Investment grade | 2,823,096 | - | 2,823,096 |  |
| Split rating [1] | 8,052,261 | - | 8,052,261 | - |
| Non investment grade | 6,984,477 | - | 6,984,477 | - |
| Available for sale securities | \$ 158,736,574 |  | 158,736,574 | - |

[1] Bonds with split ratings represent securities with separate investment and non investment grades.

35

Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Nonrecurring Basis:
Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are summarized below.


Loans considered impaired are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments to reflect write-downs that are based on the market price or current appraised value of the collateral, adjusted to reflect local market conditions or other economic factors. After evaluating the underlying collateral, the fair value of the impaired loans is determined by allocating specific reserves from the allowance for loan and lease losses to the loans. Thus, the fair value reflects the loan balance less the specifically allocated reserve. Certain collateral-dependent impaired loans are reported at the fair value of the underlying collateral. Impairment is measured based on the fair value of the collateral, which is typically derived from appraisals that take into consideration prices in observed transactions involving similar assets and similar locations. Each appraisal is updated on an annual basis, either through a new appraisal or through the Company's comprehensive internal review process. Appraised values are reviewed and monitored internally and fair value is re-assessed at least quarterly or more frequently when circumstances occur that indicate a change in fair value. The fair value of impaired loans that are not collateral dependent is measured using a discounted cash flow analysis considered to be a Level 3 input.

Other real estate owned is initially accounted for at fair value, less estimated costs to dispose of the property. Any excess of the recorded investment over fair value, less costs to dispose, is charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses at the time of foreclosure. A provision is charged to earnings for subsequent losses on other real estate owned when market conditions indicate such losses have occurred. The ability of the Company to recover the carrying value of other real estate owned is based upon future sales of the real estate. The ability to effect such sales is subject to market conditions and other factors beyond our control, and future declines in the value of the real estate would result
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in a charge to earnings. The recognition of sales and sales gains is dependent upon whether the nature and terms of the sales, including possible future involvement of the Company, if any, meet certain defined requirements. If those requirements are not met, sale and gain recognition is deferred. OREO represents real property taken by the Company either through foreclosure or through a deed in lieu thereof from the borrower. The fair value of OREO is based on property appraisals adjusted at management's discretion to reflect a further decline in the fair value of properties since the time the appraisal analysis was performed. It has been the Company's experience that appraisals quickly become outdated due to the volatile real-estate environment. Appraised values are reviewed and monitored internally and fair value is re-assessed at least quarterly or more frequently when circumstances occur that indicate a change in fair value. Therefore, the inputs used to determine the fair value of OREO and repossessed assets fall within Level 3. The Company may include within OREO other repossessed assets received as partial satisfaction of a loan. These assets are not material and do not typically have readily determinable market values and are considered Level 3 inputs.

The following table provides information describing the valuation processes used to determine recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements (in thousands)

|  | Fair <br> value | Valuation <br> Technique | Unobservable Input |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| Range |
| :---: |
| Impaired loans |

Accounting standards require disclosures of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the Statement of Condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. Also, the fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to Management as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - The carrying amount approximates fair value because of the short maturity of these instruments.

INVESTMENTS AVAILABLE FOR SALE AND FHLB STOCK - The fair value of investments and mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale is estimated based on bid quotations received from securities dealers. The FHLB stock is considered a restricted stock and is carried at cost which approximates its fair value.

LOANS RECEIVABLE - Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type. The fair value of performing loans is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market discount rates that reflect the credit risk inherent in the loan. The estimate of maturity is based on the Company's historical experience with repayments for each loan classification, modified, as required, by an estimate of the effect of the current economic and lending conditions.
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Fair value for significant nonperforming loans is based on recent external appraisals. If appraisals are not available, estimated cash flows are discounted using a rate commensurate with the risk associated with the estimated cash flows. Assumptions regarding credit risk, cash flows, and discount rates are judgmentally determined using available market information and specific borrower information. The estimated fair value at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 has been affected by an estimate of liquidity risk of $5.5 \%$.

LOANS HELD FOR SALE - Loans held for sale are carried at the lower of cost or market value. The fair values of loans held for sale are based on commitments on hand from investors within the secondary market for loans with similar characteristics

CASH SURRENDER VALUE OF LIFE INSURANCE - The Company's cash surrender value of bank owned life insurance approximates its fair value.

FDIC RECEIVABLE FOR LOSS SHARING AGREEMENTS - Fair value is estimated based on discounted future cash flows using current discount rates for instruments with similar risk and cash flow volatility.

DEPOSITS - The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest-bearing demand deposits, savings, NOW accounts, and money market and checking accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities.

BORROWINGS - The fair value of the Company's Federal Home Loan Bank advances is estimated based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The fair value of securities sold under agreements to repurchase approximates the carrying amount because of the short maturity of these borrowings. The discount rate is estimated using rates quoted for the same or similar issues or the current rates offered to the Company for debt of the same remaining maturities.

ACCRUED INTEREST AND DIVIDENDS RECEIVABLE AND PAYABLE - The carrying amount of accrued interest and dividends receivable on loans and investments and payable on borrowings and deposits approximate their fair values.

COMMITMENTS TO EXTEND CREDIT AND STANDBY LETTERS OF CREDIT - The value of these unrecognized financial instruments is estimated based on the fee income associated with the commitments which, in the absence of credit exposure, is considered to approximate their settlement value. Since no significant credit exposure existed, and because such fee income is not material to the Company's financial statements at March 31, 2012 and at September 30, 2011, the fair value of these commitments is not presented.

Many of the Company's assets and liabilities are short-term financial instruments whose carrying amounts reported in the Statement of Condition approximate fair value. These items include cash and due from banks, interest-bearing bank balances, federal funds sold, other short-term borrowings and accrued interest receivable and payable balances. The estimated fair value of the Company's remaining on-balance sheet financial instruments as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011 are summarized below.

|  | March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Estimated Fair Value |  |  |  |
|  | Quoted Prices |  |  |  |
|  | In Active | Significant |  |  |
|  |  | Markets for | Other | Significant |
|  | Total | Identical | Observable | Unobservable |
| Carrying | Estimated | Assets (Level | Inputs | Inputs (Level |
| Value | Fair Value | 1) | (Level 2) | 3) |


| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 56,067,681 | \$ | 56,067,681 | \$ | 56,067,681 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| Investments available |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| for sale |  | 192,673,128 |  | 192,673,128 |  | - |  | 192,673,128 |  | - |
| FHLB Stock |  | 9,255,500 |  | 9,255,500 |  | 9,255,500 |  | - |  | - |
| Loans receivable, net |  | 639,050,314 |  | 604,255,415 |  | - |  | - |  | 604,255,415 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 1,231,773 |  | 1,257,936 |  | - |  | 1,257,936 |  | - |
| Cash surrender value of |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| life insurance |  | 33,306,797 |  | 33,306,797 |  | - |  | 33,306,797 |  | - |
|  |  | 72,954,589 |  | 72,749,133 |  | - |  | - |  | 72,749,133 |

FDIC receivable for loss sharing arrangements
Accrued interest and

| dividends receivable | $3,900,017$ | $3,900,017$ | - | $3,900,017$ | - |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Financial liabilities: | $\$ 845,508,304$ | $\$ 851,194,254$ | $\$$ | - | $\$ 851,194,254$ | $\$$ | - |
| Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FHLB advances and |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| other borrowings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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September 30, 2011

|  | Carrying Amount |  | Estimated <br> Fair Value |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 149,761,646 | \$ | 149,761,646 |
| Investments available for sale |  | 158,736,574 |  | 158,736,574 |
| FHLB stock |  | 10,590,900 |  | 10,590,900 |
| Loans receivable, net |  | 655,028,354 |  | 621,497,141 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 291,367 |  | 299,744 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 32,774,523 |  | 32,774,523 |
| FDIC Receivable for loss sharing agreements |  | 96,777,791 |  | 97,106,804 |
| Accrued interest and dividends receivable |  | 3,690,433 |  | 3,690,433 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 911,093,806 | \$ | 919,678,187 |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings |  | 110,000,000 |  | 120,809,014 |
| Accrued interest payable |  | 705,924 |  | 705,924 |

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
Management's discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations at and for the three and six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011 is intended to assist in understanding the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, appearing in Part I, Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

## Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on assumptions and may describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company. These forward-looking statements are generally identified by use of the words "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "estimate," "project" or similar expressions. The Company's ability to predic results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, that are worse than expected; competition among depository and other financial institutions; changes in the interest rate environment that reduce our margins or reduce the fair value of financial instruments; adverse changes in the securities markets; changes in laws or government regulations or policies affecting financial institutions, including changes in regulatory fees and capital requirements; our ability to enter new markets successfully and capitalize on growth opportunities; our ability to successfully integrate acquired entities; our incurring higher than expected loan charge-offs with respect to assets acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the bank regulatory agencies and the Financial Accounting Standards Board; and changes in our organization, compensation and benefit plans. Additional factors are discussed in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2011 under Part I; Item 1A.- "Risk Factors," and in the Company's other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Except as required by applicable law or regulation, the Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to release publicly the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

## Overview

Our results of operations depend primarily on our net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, consisting primarily of loans, investment securities, mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and other interest-earning assets (primarily cash and cash equivalents), and the interest we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, consisting primarily of deposits and Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings.

Our principal business consists of attracting deposits from the general public and investing those funds primarily in loans. We make loans secured by first mortgages on owner-occupied, one- to four-family residences, consumer loans, loans secured by first mortgages on non-owner-occupied one- to four-family residences, construction loans secured by one- to four-family residences, commercial real estate loans, and multi-family real estate loans. While our primary business is the origination of loans funded through retail deposits, we may also purchase whole loans and invest in certain investment securities and mortgage-backed securities, and use FHLB advances, repurchase agreements and other borrowings as additional funding sources.

The Company is significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions, including federal monetary and fiscal policies and federal regulation of financial institutions. Deposit balances are influenced by a number of factors,
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including interest rates paid on competing personal investment products, the level of personal income, and the personal rate of savings within our market areas. Lending activities are influenced by the demand for housing and other loans, changing loan underwriting guidelines, as well as interest rate pricing competition from other lending institutions. The primary sources of funds for lending activities include deposits, loan repayments, investment income, borrowings, and funds provided from operations.

The Company's results of operations are primarily dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest earned on loans, mortgage-backed securities, investment securities and cash, and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. On a weekly basis, management reviews deposit flows, loan demand, cash levels, and changes in several market rates to assess all pricing strategies. Generally, deposit pricing is based upon a survey of competitors in the Bank's market areas, and the need to attract funding and retain maturing deposits. The majority of our loans are adjustable rate products that have a fixed rate for one month to five years with annual adjustments thereafter.
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During the first six months of fiscal year 2012, the economy began to show signs of recovery, as evidenced by increases in consumer spending and the stabilization of the labor market, the housing sector, and financial markets. However, unemployment levels remained elevated and unemployment periods prolonged, housing prices remained depressed and demand for housing was weak, due to distressed sales and tightened lending standards. In an effort to support mortgage lending and housing market recovery, and to help improve credit conditions overall, the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve has maintained the overnight lending rate between zero and 25 basis points since December 2008.

Net income was $\$ 1.7$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 527,000$ for the six months ended March 31, 2011, an increase of $\$ 1.2$ million over the prior year period. Net income was $\$ 1.3$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 488,000$ for the three months ended December 31, 2011, $\$ 229,000$ for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and $\$ 252,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that involve significant judgments and assessments by management, and which could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. As discussed in the Company's Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, the Company considers its critical accounting policies to be the allowance for loan losses, other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities, real estate owned, goodwill and other intangible assets, deferred income taxes, receivable from FDIC under loss sharing agreements, and estimation of fair value.

Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011
Assets and Liabilities. Total assets decreased $\$ 100.9$ million, or $8.6 \%$, to $\$ 1.07$ billion at March 31, 2012 from $\$ 1.17$ billion at September 30, 2011. There was a decrease in liabilities of $\$ 98.6$ million due to a $\$ 65.6$ million reduction in deposits, primarily due to an $\$ 89.2$ million decrease in certificates of deposit, and a $\$ 30.0$ million reduction in borrowings. The reduction in liabilities was largely offset by a $\$ 91.2$ million decrease in cash and cash equivalents.

Loans. At March 31, 2012, total loans were $\$ 648.7$ million, or $60.6 \%$ of total assets compared to $\$ 665.4$ million or $56.8 \%$ of total assets at September 30, 2011. As indicated in the table below, over this six-month period our net loans covered by loss sharing were reduced by $\$ 31.4$ million and at March 31, 2012, our covered loans totaled $\$ 203.6$ million, or $31.4 \%$ of our total loan portfolio. Our loans not covered by loss sharing declined from June 30, 2010 until September 30, 2011 and have grown for the past two quarters.

## Non-covered and Covered Loans, net

Non-covered Covered Total
(Dollars in Thousands)

| Loan Balances: | $\$$ | 435,424 | $\$$ | 203,626 | $\$$ | 639,050 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| March 31, 2012 |  | 432,108 |  | 218,623 |  | 650,731 |
| December 31, 2011 | 419,979 |  | 235,049 |  | 655,028 |  |
| September 30, 2011 | 434,309 |  | 120,140 |  | 554,449 |  |
| June 30, 2011 | 435,276 |  | 124,583 | 559,859 |  |  |
| March 31, 2011 | 447,621 |  | 136,400 | 584,021 |  |  |
| December 31, 2010 | 451,231 |  | 148,139 | 599,370 |  |  |
| September 30, 2010 | 463,720 |  | 201,678 |  |  |  |
| June 30, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Investment and Mortgage Securities Portfolio. At March 31, 2012, our investment and mortgage securities portfolio totaled $\$ 192.7$ million, compared to $\$ 158.7$ million at September 30, 2011. See the Consolidated Financial Statements and Note 5: Securities Available for Sale for expanded disclosures and discussion.

Bank Owned Life Insurance. The total cash surrender value of the bank owned life insurance at March 31, 2012 was $\$ 33.3$ million, an increase of $\$ 532,000$ compared to the cash surrender value of $\$ 32.8$ million at September 30, 2011. Cash surrender value of $\$ 17.7$ million is in a Hybrid BOLI Threshold Segregated Account which is holding specific U.S. Government agency and U.S. Government sponsored enterprise ("GSE") mortgage backed securities. The remaining cash surrender value of $\$ 15.6$ million is in general account BOLI policies held with four investment grade insurance companies.

Deposits. Total deposits decreased by $\$ 65.6$ million, or $7.2 \%$, to $\$ 845.5$ million at March 31, 2012 from $\$ 911.1$ million at September 30, 2011. As indicated below we reduced wholesale certificates of deposit by $\$ 6.8$ million and retail certificates by $\$ 82.4$ million for the same period. Money market accounts increased by $\$ 7.4$ million in spite of reduced rates that we are paying on our rewards checking account. The Company's funding strategy has focused on lower cost core deposit growth, reduction in certificate balances and less wholesale deposit funding.

## Deposit Balances

|  | Deposit <br> Fees | Transaction <br> Accounts | Savings <br> (Dollars in Thousands) <br> Market | Total Core <br> Deposits | Certificates <br> of <br> Deposit | Wholesale <br> Certificates <br> of |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposit |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Borrowings. Borrowings, consisting solely of Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta advances decreased to $\$ 80.0$ million at March 31, 2012 from $\$ 110.0$ million at September 30, 2011. In March 2012 we paid off $\$ 30$ million of FHLB advances at their maturity .

Equity. At March 31, 2012, our total equity equaled $\$ 137.1$ million (or $\$ 7.68$ per share), a $\$ 2.3$ million decrease from September 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to $\$ 3.1$ million used in our stock buyback program.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011
General. The Company recognized net income of $\$ 1.3$ million for the quarter ended March 31, 2012, compared to net income of $\$ 252,000$ for the quarter ended March 31, 2011. The $\$ 1.0$ million increase in net income between periods was a result of a $\$ 1.3$ million increase in interest and dividend income, a $\$ 1.2$ million decrease in interest expense and a $\$ 417,000$ increase in noninterest income partially offset by an increase of $\$ 1.4$ million in noninterest expense.

Interest and Dividend Income. Total interest and dividend income increased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $11.8 \%$, to $\$ 12.6$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 11.3$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Interest on loans increased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $13.8 \%$, to $\$ 11.6$ million as a result of a $\$ 72.7$ million, or $12.5 \%$, increase in the average balance of loans receivable to $\$ 653.3$ million, and an increase in our yield on loans. As indicated in the table below, the average yield on loans over the past year increased from $7.05 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011 to $7.13 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012. The increased loan yield is attributable to acquired covered loans in the September 2011 FDIC-assisted acquisition of The First National Bank of Florida.
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|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | December |  |  | September |  |  | March 31, |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 31, \\ 2011 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 30, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| Yield on Loans | 7.13 | \% | 7.04 | \% | 6.46 | \% | 7.28 | \% | 7.05 | \% |
| Yield on Mortgage Securities | 2.24 | \% | 2.37 | \% | 2.96 | \% | 3.45 | \% | 3.03 | \% |
| Yield on Assets | 5.62 | \% | 5.37 | \% | 5.05 | \% | 5.68 | \% | 5.26 | \% |
| Cost of Deposits | 0.89 | \% | 1.05 | \% | 1.16 | \% | 1.26 | \% | 1.38 | \% |
| Cost of CD's | 1.44 | \% | 1.64 | \% | 1.69 | \% | 1.74 | \% | 1.78 | \% |
| Cost of NOW Accounts | 0.16 | \% | 0.17 | \% | 0.20 | \% | 0.28 | \% | 0.32 | \% |
| Cost of Rewards Checking | 0.67 | \% | 0.64 | \% | 0.88 | \% | 1.07 | \% | 1.63 | \% |
| Cost of Savings | 0.24 | \% | 0.43 | \% | 0.31 | \% | 0.10 | \% | 0.09 | \% |
| Cost of MMDA | 0.37 | \% | 0.47 | \% | 0.44 | \% | 0.45 | \% | 0.47 | \% |
| Cost of Borrowings | 4.28 | \% | 4.33 | \% | 4.32 | \% | 4.28 | \% | 4.51 | \% |
| Cost of Liabilities | 1.30 | \% | 1.44 | \% | 1.60 | \% | 1.70 | \% | 1.92 | \% |
| Loan/Deposit Spread | 6.24 | \% | 5.99 | \% | 5.30 | \% | 6.02 | \% | 5.67 | \% |
| Mortgage Securities/Borrowings Spread | -2.04 | \% | -1.96 | \% | -1.36 | \% | -0.83 | \% | -1.48 | \% |
| Asset/Liability Spread | 4.32 | \% | 3.93 | \% | 3.45 | \% | 3.98 | \% | 3.34 | \% |

Interest and dividend income on mortgage-backed securities decreased $\$ 73,000$, or $7.8 \%$, to $\$ 872,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 945,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease reflected a 79 basis point decrease in the average yield on mortgage-backed securities in the generally lower market interest rate environment as higher yield securities are paying down and new purchases are at lower yields.

Interest Expense. Total interest expense decreased $\$ 1.2$ million, or $28.9 \%$, to $\$ 2.9$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 4.0$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to a $\$ 667,000$, or $28.0 \%$, decrease on deposit interest which decreased to $\$ 1.7$ million from $\$ 2.4$ million, and a $\$ 493,000$ decrease in interest paid on borrowed funds. The decrease in interest on borrowed funds reflected a $\$ 38.1$ million or $26.4 \%$ decrease in average borrowings to $\$ 106.4$ million from $\$ 144.5$ million. The decrease in interest on deposits was due to a 49 basis point decrease in average cost due to a combination of overall lower rates paid and a significant reduction in certificates which are higher cost.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased $\$ 2.5$ million, or $34.1 \%$, to $\$ 9.8$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 7.3$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The three month comparative periods reflected a $\$ 1.4$ million increase in interest income on loans combined with a 62 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, partially offset by a $\$ 44.0$ million, or $5.3 \%$, increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities for the three-months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011. Our net interest margin increased 95 basis points to $4.35 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from $3.40 \%$ for the 2011 period, while our net interest rate spread increased 98 basis points to $4.32 \%$ from $3.34 \%$. Lower deposit costs and higher accretion of purchase discounts from the First National Bank of Florida ("FNB") acquisition contributed to the improved net interest margin and net interest rate spread. Lower balances of low yield interest bearing deposits in other financial institutions improved the yield on assets. As indicated in the table below, our percentage of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities decreased slightly from $102.77 \%$ in the second quarter of 2011 to $102.26 \%$ in the second quarter of 2012.
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For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012

|  | Average <br> Average <br> Balance |  |  |  | Interest |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yield | Cost | Average |  | Average |  |
| Balance | Interest | Yield/ |  |  |  |
| Cost |  |  |  |  |  |

Assets:
Interest-earning assets:

| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | \$53,658 | \$26 | 0.19 | \% \$115,297 | \$64 | 0.22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHLB common stock | 9,256 | 31 | 1.34 | 13,542 | 27 | 0.80 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale | 155,602 | 872 | 2.24 | 124,761 | 945 | 3.03 |
| Other investment securities available for sale | 28,448 | 69 | 0.97 | 25,402 | 47 | 0.74 |
| Loans receivable (1) (2) | 653,340 | 11,644 | 7.13 | 580,622 | 10,229 | 7.05 |
| Total interest-earning assets | 900,304 | 12,642 | 5.62 | 859,624 | 11,312 | 5.26 |
| Total noninterest-earning assets | 189,690 | - |  | 180,321 | - |  |
| Total assets | \$1,089,994 | 12,642 |  | \$1,039,945 | 11,312 |  |

Liabilities and Equity:

| Interest-bearing liabilities: | $\$ 143,967$ | $\$ 58$ | 0.16 | $\$ 85,485$ | $\$ 69$ | 0.32 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| NOW accounts | 57,611 | 97 | 0.67 | 69,581 | 284 | 1.63 |
| Rewards checking | 54,898 | 33 | 0.24 | 18,012 | 4 | 0.09 |
| Savings accounts | 125,204 | 115 | 0.37 | 88,716 | 105 | 0.47 |
| Money market deposit accounts | 1,410 | 1.44 | 430,140 | 1,917 | 1.78 |  |
| Certificate of deposit accounts | 392,337 | 1,713 | 0.89 | 691,934 | 2,379 | 1.38 |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 774,017 | 1,713 |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowed funds | 106,429 | 1,138 | 4.28 | 144,522 | 1,631 | 4.51 |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 880,446 | 2,851 | 1.30 | 836,456 | 4,010 | 1.92 |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 63,197 |  |  | 52,783 |  |  |



Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities
(1) Includes net loan fees deferred and accreted pursuant to applicable accounting requirements.
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(2) Interest income on loans is interest income as recorded in the income statement and, therefore, does not include interest income on nonaccrual loans.
(3) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
(5) Net interest-earning assets represent total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.
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Rate/Volume Analysis. The following table presents the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income for the periods indicated. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rates (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). The combined column represents the net change in volume between the two periods multiplied by the net change in rate between the two periods. The net column represents the sum of the prior columns.

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2012 Compared to the Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 Increase/(Decrease)
(In Thousands)
Due to


Provision for Non-Covered Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 300,000$ for non-covered loans compared to $\$ 300,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Net charge-offs on non-covered loans decreased to $\$ 595,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012, from $\$ 632,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans was $\$ 8.5$ million, or $1.92 \%$ of total non-covered loans receivable at March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 9.4$ million, or $2.19 \%$ of total non-covered loans receivable at September 30, 2011. The allowance for loan losses improved to $124.34 \%$ of noncovered, nonperforming loans from $80.12 \%$ at September 30, 2011, and $82.72 \%$ at March 31, 2011.

With the change in regulatory authorities dictated by Dodd-Frank, the Company aligned its accounting for the allowance for loan losses to preferences of the OCC, which resulted in increased charge-offs of certain specific amounts previously reflected in the allowance for loan losses. The Company believes that it has also responded to oral recommendations from its initial OCC field examination relating to asset quality and accounting for the allowance for loan losses. A final report of examination is still pending. There has been continued weakness in the real estate markets which continues to be closely monitored.
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Provision for Covered Loan Losses. For the three months ended March 31, 2012 the provision was $\$ 290,000$ for covered loans compared to $\$ 400,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The provision for the 2012 period included $\$ 290,000$ for NCB where $80 \%$ of $\$ 309,720$ of losses are to be reimbursed by the FDIC and $\$ 4,761,120$ of losses with $95 \%$ reimbursement. Future losses for both NCB and MCB will be reimbursed at $95 \%$ and FNB at $80 \%$. The FNB agreement provides that all losses are reimbursed at $80 \%$.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income increased $\$ 417,000$, or $18.8 \%$, to $\$ 2.6$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 2.2$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. As indicated in the table below, deposit fees for the three months ended March 31, 2012 were up $\$ 258,000$ compared to the three months ended March 31, 2011, primarily due to the fees on deposit accounts acquired in the FNB acquisition on September 9, 2011. This acquisition has also resulted in the increase in accretion income relating to the FDIC receivable for the quarter ended March 31, 2012. The quarter ended March 31, 2012 included a charge of $\$ 173,000$ for other than temporary impairment of a non-agency collateralized mortgage security.

45


Noninterest Expense. Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $16.2 \%$, to $\$ 10.0$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 8.6$ million for the three months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was due primarily to increases of $\$ 913,000$, or $24.6 \%$, in salaries and employee benefits and $\$ 311,000$, or $18.3 \%$, in occupancy resulting from the Company's FDIC-assisted acquisitions. These increases were partially offset by a $\$ 138,000$ decrease in the cost of REO.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { For the Three Months Ended } \\ \text { (Dollars In Thousands) }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, | December | 31, | 30, | September |$)$

Income Taxes. Income tax expense was $\$ 548,000$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012 compared to an income tax benefit of $\$(62,000)$ for the three months ended March 31, 2011. Our effective tax rate was $30.29 \%$ for the three months ended March 31, 2012, compared to a tax benefit of ( $32.80 \%$ ) for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

Comparison of Operating Results for the Six Months Ended March 31, 2012 and March 31, 2011
General. The Company recognized net income of $\$ 1.7$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012, compared to net income of $\$ 527,000$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The $\$ 1.2$ million increase in net income between periods was a result of a $\$ 2.6$ million decrease in interest expense, a $\$ 1.4$ million increase in interest and dividend income and a $\$ 1.3$ million increase in noninterest income which was partially offset by a $\$ 2.3$ million increase in noninterest expense.

Interest and Dividend Income. Total interest and dividend income increased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $6.1 \%$, to $\$ 25.1$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 23.7$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. Interest on loans increased $\$ 1.7$ million, or $7.7 \%$, to $\$ 23.2$ million as a result of a $\$ 64.8$ million, or $11.0 \%$, increase in the average balance of loans receivable to $\$ 656.4$ million. The increase in the average balance was primarily the result of the acquisition of loans in the FNB transaction. The average yield on loans over the past year decreased from $7.28 \%$ for the six months ended March 31, 2011 to $7.07 \%$ for the six months ended March 31, 2012 with the change in loan mix. Interest and dividend income on mortgage-backed securities decreased $\$ 245,000$, or $12.8 \%$, to $\$ 1.7$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 1.9$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease reflected an $\$ 18.5$ million, or $14.6 \%$, increase in the average balance of securities to $\$ 145.3$ million and a 72 basis point decrease in the average yield on securities in the generally lower market interest rate environment.

Interest Expense. Total interest expense decreased $\$ 2.6$ million, or $30.0 \%$, to $\$ 6.2$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 8.8$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. Interest on deposits decreased to $\$ 3.9$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 5.4$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The cost of deposits decreased from $1.49 \%$ for the six months ended March 31, 2011 to $.97 \%$ for the six months ended March 31, 2012. However, the decrease in costs was partially offset by an increase of $\$ 75.8$ million in the average balance of deposits in the 2012 period. Interest paid on borrowed funds decreased by $\$ 1.1$ million, or $32.8 \%$, to $\$ 2.3$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 3.5$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The decrease reflected a $\$ 42.2$ million, or $28.1 \%$, decrease in average borrowings to $\$ 108.2$ million from $\$ 150.4$ million.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased $\$ 4.1$ million, or $27.5 \%$, to $\$ 19.0$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012, from $\$ 14.9$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The increase primarily reflected the $\$ 2.6$ million, or $30.0 \%$, decrease in interest expense on deposits and borrowings which reflected a 66 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, partially offset by a $\$ 33.6$ million, or $3.9 \%$, increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities for the six-months ended March 31, 2012 compared to the six months ended March 31, 2011. Our net interest margin increased 75 basis points to $4.14 \%$ for the 2012 period from $3.39 \%$ for the 2011 period, while our net interest rate spread increased 74 basis points to $4.11 \%$ from $3.37 \%$. Lower deposit costs and accretion of purchase discounts from the FNB acquisition contributed to the improved net interest margin and net interest rate spread. As indicated in the table below our percentage of interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities increased from $101.14 \%$ for the six months ended March 2011 to $101.68 \%$ for the six months ended March 2012.

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 20122011

| Average Balance | Interest | Average |  | Interest | Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yield/ | Average |  | Yield/ |
|  |  | Cost | Balance |  | Cost |
|  |  | (Dollars | usands) |  |  |

Interest-earning assets:

| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | \$78,212 | \$94 | 0.24 | \% | \$130,438 | \$149 | 0.23 | \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FHLB common stock | 9,598 | 54 | 1.13 |  | 13,673 | 42 | 0.61 |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale | 145,251 | 1,672 | 2.30 |  | 126,761 | 1,917 | 3.02 |  |
| Other investment securities available for sale | 27,451 | 129 | 0.94 |  | 15,621 | 57 | 0.73 |  |
| Loan receivable (1) (2) | 656,423 | 23,193 | 7.07 |  | 591,604 | 21,532 | 7.28 |  |
| Total interest-earning assets | 916,935 | 25,142 | 5.48 |  | 878,097 | 23,697 | 5.40 |  |
| Total noninterest-earning assets | 194,823 | - |  |  | 193,202 | - |  |  |
| Total assets | \$1,111,758 | 25,142 |  |  | \$ 1,071,299 | 23,697 |  |  |

Liabilities and Equity:

| Interest-bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NOW accounts | \$141,919 | \$119 | 0.17 |  | \$83,325 | \$143 | 0.34 |  |
| Rewards checking | 58,729 | 193 | 0.66 |  | 69,791 | 695 | 1.99 |  |
| Savings accounts | 54,701 | 91 | 0.33 |  | 17,692 | 11 | 0.12 |  |
| Money market deposit accounts | 123,651 | 258 | 0.42 |  | 89,639 | 220 | 0.49 |  |
| Certificate of deposit accounts | 414,580 | 3,194 | 1.54 |  | 457,294 | 4,296 | 1.88 |  |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 793,580 | 3,855 | 0.97 |  | 717,741 | 5,365 | 1.49 |  |
| Borrowed funds | 108,235 | 2,329 | 4.30 |  | 150,445 | 3,463 | 4.60 |  |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 901,815 | 6,184 | 1.37 |  | 868,186 | 8,828 | 2.03 |  |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | 61,155 |  |  |  | 51,746 |  |  |  |
| Other noninterest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 10,752 | - |  |  | 15,320 | - |  |  |
| Total noninterest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities | 71,907 | - |  |  | 67,066 | - |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 973,722 | 6,184 |  |  | 935,252 | 8,828 |  |  |
| Total stockholders' equity | 138,036 | - |  |  | 136,047 | - |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 1,111,758 | 6,184 |  |  | \$ 1,071,299 | 8,828 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$18,958 |  |  |  | \$ 14,869 |  |  |
| Net interest earning assets (5) |  | \$15,120 |  |  |  | \$9,911 |  |  |
| Net interest rate spread (3) |  |  | 4.11 | \% |  |  | 3.37 | \% |
| Net interest margin (4) |  |  | 4.14 | \% |  |  | 3.39 | \% |
| Ratio of average interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| liabilities |  |  | 101.68 | \% |  |  | 101.14 | \% |

(1) Includes net loan fees deferred and accreted pursuant to applicable accounting requirements.
(2) Interest income on loans is interest income as recorded in the income statement and, therefore, does not include interest income on nonaccrual loans.
(3) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.
(5) Net interest-earning assets represent total interest-earning assets less total interest-bearing liabilities.

Rate/Volume Analysis. The following tables set forth the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income for the periods indicated. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rates (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). The combined column represents the net change in volume between the two periods multiplied by the net change in rate between the two periods. The net column represents the sum of the prior columns.

For the Six Months Ended March 31, 2012
Compared to the Six Months Ended March 31, 2011 Increase/(Decrease)
(In Thousands)
Due to

|  | Volume | Rate |  | Combined |  |  | Net |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions |  | \$(60 |  | \$8 |  | \$(3 | ) | \$(55 | ) |
| FHLB common stock and other equity securities | (13 | ) | 35 |  | (10 | ) | 12 |  |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale | 280 |  | (458 |  |  | ) | (245 |  |
| Other investment securities available for sale | 44 |  | 16 |  | 12 |  | 72 |  |
| Loans receivable | 2,359 |  | (629 | ) | (69 | ) | 1,661 |  |
| Total interest-earnings assets | \$2,610 |  | \$(1,028 | ) | \$(137 | ) | \$1,445 |  |
| Interest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NOW accounts | \$260 |  | \$(601 | ) | \$(185 | ) | \$(526 | ) |
| Savings accounts | 23 |  | 18 |  | 39 |  | 80 |  |
| Money market deposit accounts | 83 |  | (33 | ) | (12 | ) | 38 |  |
| Certificate of deposit accounts | (401 | ) | (773 | ) |  |  | (1,102 | ) |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | (35 |  | (1,389 | ) | (86 | ) | (1,510 | ) |
| Borrowed funds | (972 | ) | (226 | ) | 64 |  | (1,134 | ) |
| Total Interest-Bearing Liabilities | \$(1,007 | ) | \$(1,615 | ) | \$(22 | ) | \$(2,644 | ) |
| Net Change in net interest income | \$3,617 |  | \$587 |  | \$(115 | ) | \$4,089 |  |

Provision for Non-Covered Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses for the six months ended March 31, 2012 was $\$ 1.8$ million for non-covered loans, compared to $\$ 1.1$ million for non-covered loans for the six months ended March 31, 2011. Net charge-offs on non-covered loans increased to $\$ 2.6$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012, from $\$ 1.2$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans was $\$ 8.5$ million, or $1.92 \%$ of total non-covered loans receivable at March 31, 2012.

Provision for Covered Loan Losses For the six months ended March 31, 2012 the provision for covered loan losses was $\$ 890,000$ compared to $\$ 400,000$ for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The provision for the 2012 period included $\$ 790,000$ for NCB where $80 \%$ of $\$ 3,559,720$ of losses are to be reimbursed by the FDIC and $\$ 4,761,120$ of losses with $95 \%$ reimbursement. If there are future losses due to declines in the market the losses for both NCB and MCB will be reimbursed at $95 \%$ and FNB at $80 \%$. The FNB agreement provides that all losses are reimbursed at $80 \%$.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income increased $\$ 1.3$ million, or $25.8 \%$, to $\$ 6.4$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012 from $\$ 5.1$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to a $\$ 548,000$ increase in fees on deposit accounts acquired in the FNB acquisition on September 9, 2011. This acquisition has also resulted in the increase in accretion income relating to the FDIC receivable for the six months ended March
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31, 2012. In addition, net gain on the sales of investment securities increased to $\$ 633,000$ for the six months ended March 31, 2012 compared to $\$ 171,000$ in the 2011 period as lower interest rates led to maturity restructures. The six month period ending March 31, 2012 included $\$ 273,000$ in other than temporary impairment compared to $\$ 223,000$ in the same period prior year. The $\$ 273,000$ reflected the CWALT investment being fully charged-off.

Noninterest Expense. Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 2.3$ million, or $12.5 \%$, to $\$ 20.3$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012, from $\$ 18.0$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2011. The increase was primarily due to an increase of $\$ 1.7$ million or $21.9 \%$, in salaries and employee benefits and an increase of $\$ 804,000$, or $24.8 \%$, in occupancy resulting from the Company's FDIC-assisted acquisitions. These increases were partially offset by an $\$ 810,000$ prepayment penalty assessed during the six months ended March 31, 2011 on a FHLB advance prepayment.

Income Taxes. Income tax expense of $\$ 678,000$ for the six months ended March 31, 2012 compared to an income tax benefit of $\$(70,000)$ for the six months ended March 31, 2011. Our effective tax rate was $27.94 \%$ for the six months ended March 31, 2012, compared to a tax benefit of (15.33)\% for the six months ended March 31, 2011. Variances in pretax income drove the effective tax rate differences as income exempt from taxation, primarily income from bank owned life insurance, remained relatively constant while pretax income increased.
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## Asset Quality

Delinquent Loans and Foreclosed Assets. Our policies require that management continuously monitor the status of the loan portfolio and report to the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors on a monthly basis. These reports include information on delinquent loans and foreclosed real estate, and our actions and plans to cure the delinquent status of the loans and to dispose of the foreclosed property. The Loan Committee approves action plans on all loans that are 90 days or more delinquent. The Loan Committee consists of three outside directors. One position on the committee, the chairman, is permanent, and the other two positions alternate between four outside directors.

We generally stop accruing interest income when we consider the timely collectibility of interest or principal to be doubtful. We generally stop accruing for loans that are 90 days or more past due unless the loan is well secured and we determine that the ultimate collection of all principal and interest is not in doubt. When we designate loans as nonaccrual, we reverse all outstanding interest that we had previously credited. If we receive a payment on a nonaccrual loan, we may recognize a portion of that payment as interest income if we determine that the ultimate collectibility of principal is no longer in doubt. However, such loans may remain on nonaccrual status until a regular pattern of timely payments is established.

Impaired loans are individually assessed to determine whether the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the collateral or the present value of the expected cash flows to be received. Smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential mortgage loans and consumer loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment.

Real estate acquired as a result of foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as real estate owned until such time as it is sold. When real estate is acquired through foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure, it is recorded at the lower of the related loan balance or its fair value as determined by an appraisal, less estimated costs of disposal. If the value of the property is less than the loan, less any related specific loan loss reserve allocations, the difference is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Any subsequent write-down of real estate owned or loss at the time of disposition is charged against earnings.

Nonperforming assets not covered by loss sharing decreased from $\$ 15.8$ million at September 30, 2011 to $\$ 10.4$ million at March 31, 2012. The reduction in nonperforming assets resulted from a combination of loans being resolved through foreclosure, charge-off, or being returned to accrual status based on reduced risk of loss.

Covered nonperforming assets decreased to $\$ 20.6$ million from $\$ 24.7$ million, at September 30, 2011. The purchased loans and commitments ("covered loans") and other real estate owned ("covered other real estate") acquired in the MCB, NCB and FNB acquisitions are covered by loss sharing agreements between the FDIC and CharterBank. Under these agreements, with respect to the NCB acquisition, the FDIC will assume $80 \%$ of losses and share $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the first $\$ 82.0$ million of losses, and assume $95 \%$ of losses and share $95 \%$ of loss recoveries on losses exceeding that amount; with respect to the MCB acquisition, the FDIC will assume $80 \%$ of losses and share $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the first $\$ 106.0$ million of losses, and assume $95 \%$ of losses and share $95 \%$ of loss recoveries on losses exceeding that amount. We have exceeded the threshold level that results in $95 \%$ loss sharing at NCB and MCB; with respect to the FNB acquisition, the FDIC will assume $80 \%$ of all losses and share $80 \%$ of all loss recoveries.

As of March 31, 2012, our nonperforming covered and non-covered assets totaled $\$ 31.0$ million and consisted of $\$ 6.6$ million of nonaccrual loans, $\$ 277,000$ of loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing and other real estate owned of $\$ 24.2$ million.

[1] All covered loans are considered performing as accretion income is recorded on such loans.

March 31, 2012
Covered Non-covered

September 30, 2011
Covered Non-covered

Ratios:
Non-performing loans as a percentage of total

| non-covered loans | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M}$ | $1.54 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{M}$ | $2.72 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Non-performing assets as a percentage of total <br> non-covered assets | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{M}$ | $1.35 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{M}$ | $1.99 \%$ |
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Allowance for Loan Losses on Non-covered Loans. The allowance for loan losses on non-covered loans represents a reserve for probable loan losses in the loan portfolio. The adequacy of the allowance for loan losses is evaluated periodically based on a review of all significant loans with particular emphasis on impaired, non-accruing, past due and other loans that management believes require special attention. The determination of the allowance for loan losses is considered a critical accounting policy.

Additions to the allowance for loan losses are made periodically to maintain the allowance at an appropriate level based on management's analysis of loss inherent in the loan portfolio. The amount of the provision for loan losses is determined by an evaluation of the level of loans outstanding, loss risk as determined based on a loan grading system, the level of non-performing loans, historical loss experience, delinquency trends, the amount of losses charged to the allowance in a given period, and an assessment of economic conditions.

The Company maintained its allowance for loan losses for the six months ending March 31, 2012 in response to continued weak economic conditions, net charge-offs, weak financial indicators for borrowers in the real estate sectors, continuing low collateral values of commercial and residential real estate, and nonaccrual and impaired loans. The following table details the allowance for loan losses on loans not covered by loss sharing by portfolio segment as of March 31, 2012. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude availability to absorb losses in other categories.

|  | Six months ended March 31, 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-4 Family |  |  |  |  |  |
| real estate | Commercial <br> real estate | Commercial | Real estate <br> construction | Consumer <br> and other | Unallocated |$\quad$ Total


| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$633,364 | \$5,972,310 | \$821,830 | \$ 1,065,512 | \$48,276 | \$828,545 | \$9,369,837 |
| Charge-offs | (558,817 | (1,737,353 | (329,092 | ) - | (61,720 | ) | (2,686,982 |
| Recoveries | 3,914 | 359 | 34,982 | - | 3,213 | - | 42,468 |
| Provision | 502,736 | 1,689,734 | (82,952 | (562,979 | 97,383 | 156,078 | 1,800,000 |
| Loans: | \$581,197 | \$5,925,050 | \$444,768 | \$502,533 | \$87,152 | \$984,623 | \$8,525,323 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$- | \$417,834 | \$- | \$- | \$- |  | \$417,834 |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance | \$ 104,442,704 | \$263,281,942 | \$16,266,145 | \$41,653,834 | \$ 19,444,607 |  | \$445,089,232 |
| Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$3,197,748 | \$6,853,623 | \$2,910,705 | \$- | \$- |  | \$ 12,962,076 |

Our allowance for loan loss methodology is a loan classification-based system. Our allowance for loan losses is segmented into the following four major categories: (1) specific reserves; (2) general allowances for Classified/Watch loans; (3) general allowances for loans with satisfactory ratings; and (4) an unallocated amount. We base the required reserve on a percentage of the loan balance for each type of loan and classification level. Loans may be classified manually and are automatically classified if they are not previously classified when they reach certain levels of delinquency. Unclassified loans are reserved at different percentages based on our loan loss history for the last two years. Reserve percentages are also adjusted based upon our estimate of the effect that the current economic environment will have on each type of loan.

Potential problem loans are non-covered loans as to which management has serious doubts as to the ability of the borrowers to comply with present repayment terms. These loans do not meet the criteria for inclusion in nonperforming assets and, therefore, are excluded from nonperforming loans. Management, however, classifies potential problem loans as either special mention or substandard. Potential problem loans at March 31, 2012 aggregated $\$ 59.2$ million with $\$ 32.3$ million classified special mention and $\$ 26.8$ million classified substandard compared to potential problem loans at September 30, 2011 which aggregated $\$ 63.3$ million with $\$ 36.0$ million classified special mention and $\$ 27.3$ million classified substandard.

We have a $\$ 6.5$ million loan relationship which is subject to an agreement with the borrower to liquidate collateral and reduce the balance of the borrowing and pay interest. The loan relationship is collateralized by land in Georgia, Florida and Alabama. We believe we are adequately collateralized, even at weak current real estate values. The present liquidity position of the borrower likely dictates the liquidation of collateral to service debt obligations. If the relationship is placed on nonaccrual position in the third fiscal quarter, accrued interest of approximately $\$ 300,000$ may be required to be reversed.

The allowance for loan and lease losses represented $124.34 \%$ and $80.12 \%$ of non-performing loans and leases at March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively. The allowance for loan losses as a percentage of non-covered loans, was $1.92 \%$ at March 31, 2012 and $2.19 \%$ at September 30, 2011. This reduction was due to the lower level of the allowance due to charge-offs. The reserve was not fully replenished due to the $124.34 \%$ coverage of nonperforming loans and other internal metrics which indicated replenishment was not appropriate. While improvement of the two-year historical loss factors in the allowance model indicated even lower levels of allowance, management increased qualitative allowance and unallocated allowance to maintain the overall allowance at a level reflective of continued economic uncertainties. Management reviews the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses on a continuous basis. Management considered the allowance for loan losses on non-covered loans adequate at March 31, 2012 to absorb probable losses inherent in the loan portfolio. However, adverse economic circumstances or other events, including additional loan review, future regulatory examination findings or changes in borrowers' financial conditions, could result in increased losses in the loan portfolio or in the need for increases in the allowance for loan losses.

Non-accretable Differences on Covered Loans. Through the FDIC-assisted acquisitions of the loans of NCB, MCB and FNB, we established an allowance for loan losses for non-impaired covered loans for NCB, non-accretable discounts for the acquired impaired loans for NCB, MCB and FNB, and we also established non-accretable discounts for all other loans of MCB. Collectively, these non-accretable discounts were based on estimates of future cash flows. Subsequent to the acquisition dates, we continue to assess the experience of actual cash flows compared to our estimates. When we determine that non-accretable discounts are insufficient to cover expected losses in the applicable covered loan portfolios, such non-accretable discounts are increased with a corresponding provision for covered loan losses as a charge to earnings and an increase in the applicable FDIC receivable based on loss sharing indemnification. The following table details the non-accretable discount on loans covered by loss sharing by portfolio segment as of and for the six months ended March 31, 2012.

Six months ended March 31, 2012
1-4 Family

real estate $\quad$\begin{tabular}{c}
Commercial <br>
real estate

$\quad$ Commercial 

Real estate <br>
construction

 

Consumer <br>
and other
\end{tabular}$\quad$ Total

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{llllllll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Non-accretable } \\
\text { differences [1]: }\end{array} & & & & & & & \\
\begin{array}{l}\text { Balance at beginning of } \\
\text { period }\end{array}
$$ \& \$ 3,429,923 \& \$ 61,165,928 \& \$ 7,706,431 \& \$ 2,970,506 \& \$ 764,924 \& \$ 76,037,712 <br>
Charge-offs \& (1,070,634) \& (30,918,980) \& (2,755,928) \& (2,168,264) \& (231,883) \& (37,145,689) <br>

$$
\begin{array}{l}\text { Recoveries }\end{array}
$$ \& 2,540 \& 160,438 \& 104,361 \& - \& 1,813 \& 269,152\end{array}\right]\)| Provision for loan losses |
| :--- |
| charged to FDIC <br> receivable |
| Provision for loan losses <br> charged to operations |
| 153,591 |

Covered loans:
Ending contractual $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { balance } & \$ 16,683,228 & \$ 202,499,744 & \$ 34,510,895 & \$ 5,890,468 & \$ 7,114,620 & \$ 266,698,955\end{array}$
[1] Amounts include the allowance for covered loan losses.
The total non-accretable discount as a percentage of the ending contractual balance of acquired loans was $17.1 \%$ at March 31, 2012, compared to $23.71 \%$ at September 30, 2011. This decrease during the six month period ended March 31, 2012 is related to increased charge-off activity on covered loans, primarily from FNB, with such losses subject to applicable loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. Management aggressively evaluated the covered assets and quickly determined appropriate charge-offs. It is expected that the ratio of non-accretable discounts to contractual covered principal outstanding will trend downwards as the more significant problem loans are charged-off and submitted for loss sharing reimbursement from the FDIC. Management considered the non-accretable discounts on covered loans adequate at March 31, 2012 to absorb probable losses inherent in the covered loan portfolio.

Liquidity Management. Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future short-term financial obligations. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank, loan payments and prepayments, mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations repayments and maturities and sales of loans and other securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by market interest rates, economic conditions and competition. Our Asset/Liability Management Committee is responsible for establishing and monitoring our liquidity targets and strategies in order to ensure that sufficient liquidity exists for meeting the borrowing needs of our customers as well as unanticipated contingencies. At March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, we had access to immediately available funds of approximately $\$ 221.3$ million and $\$ 272.1$ million, respectively, including overnight funds and a Federal Reserve line of credit.

We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of expected loan demand, expected deposit flows, yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities, and the objectives of our asset/liability management program. Excess liquid assets are invested generally in interest-earning deposits and short- and intermediate-term securities.

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents. The levels of these assets are subject to our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. At March 31, 2012, cash and cash equivalents totaled $\$ 58.6$ million and securities classified as available-for-sale, which provide additional sources of liquidity, totaled \$192.7 million. At March 31, 2012, we had $\$ 80.0$ million in advances outstanding. Based on available collateral other than cash, additional advances would be limited to $\$ 140.8$ million.

Our cash flows are derived from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities as reported in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

At March 31, 2012, we had $\$ 4.9$ million of new loan commitments outstanding, and $\$ 25.0$ million of unfunded construction and development loans. In addition to commitments to fund loans, we had $\$ 21.9$ million of unused lines of credit to borrowers. Certificates of deposit due within one year of March 31, 2012 totaled $\$ 242.3$ million, or $28.7 \%$ of total deposits. If these deposits do not remain with us, we will be required to seek other sources of funds, including other certificates of deposit and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before March 31, 2012. We believe, however, based on past experience that a significant portion of our certificates of deposit will remain with us. We have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Our primary investing activities are the origination of loans and the purchase of securities. During the six months ended March 31, 2012, we originated $\$ 133.6$ million of loans and purchased $\$ 96.2$ million of securities and other investments.

Financing activities consist primarily of changes in deposit accounts and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. We experienced a net decrease in total deposits of $\$ 95.6$ million for the six months ended March 31, 2012, primarily from decreases in time deposits including time deposits acquired in the First National Bank of Florida acquisition. We expected these decreases in deposits as we closed four First National Bank branch offices in the acquisition and have announced the closing of one Georgia branch office. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our local competitors and other factors.

Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. If we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, borrowing agreements exist with the Federal Home Loan Bank which provides an additional source of funds. Federal Home Loan Bank advances have been used primarily to fund loan demand and to purchase securities.

Cash receipts arising from payments on covered loans and loss-sharing collections from the FDIC are expected to provide positive net cash flows.

Capital Management and Resources. CharterBank is subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Office of Controller of the Currency, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. At March 31, 2012, CharterBank exceeded all of its regulatory capital requirements. CharterBank is considered "well capitalized" under regulatory guidelines.

|  | September |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | March 31, | 30, | March 31, |
| Capital Adequacy Ratios (For Bank only): | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | $21.47 \%$ | $23.10 \%$ | $23.40 \%$ |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | $21.62 \%$ | $24.36 \%$ | $24.66 \%$ |
| Tier 1 capital (to total assets) | $11.93 \%$ | $10.68 \%$ | 12.49 |

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. In the normal course of operations, we engage in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles are not recorded in our consolidated financial statements. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used primarily to manage customers' requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments and lines of credit.
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For the six months ended March 31, 2012, we did not engage in any off-balance sheet transactions reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.
Qualitative Aspects of Market Risk. The Company's most significant form of market risk is interest rate risk. We manage the interest rate sensitivity of our interest-bearing liabilities and interest-earning assets in an effort to minimize the adverse effects of changes in the interest rate environment. We expect these decreases in deposits as we closed four branch offices in the acquisition. Deposit accounts typically react more quickly to changes in market interest rates than mortgage loans because of the shorter maturities of deposits. As a result, sharp increases in interest rates may adversely affect our earnings while decreases in interest rates may beneficially affect our earnings. We employ several strategies to manage the interest rate risk inherent in our mix of assets and liabilities, including:

- selling fixed rate mortgages we originate to the secondary market, generally on a servicing released basis;
- maintaining the diversity of our existing loan portfolio by originating commercial real estate and consumer loans, which typically have adjustable rates and/or shorter terms than residential mortgages;
- emphasizing loans with adjustable interest rates;
- maintaining fixed rate borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta; and
- increasing retail transaction deposit accounts, which typically have long durations.

We have an Asset/Liability Management Committee to communicate, coordinate and control all aspects involving asset/liability management. The committee establishes and monitors the volume, maturities, pricing and mix of assets and funding sources with the objective of managing assets and funding sources to provide results that are consistent with liquidity, growth, risk limits and profitability goals.

Quantitative Aspects of Market Risk. The Office of the Controller of Currency requires the computation of amounts by which the difference between the present value of an institution's assets and liabilities (the institution's net portfolio value or "NPV") would change in the event of a range of assumed changes in market interest rates. Our simulation model uses a discounted cash flow analysis to measure the interest rate sensitivity of NPV. Depending on current market interest rates we historically have estimated the economic value of these assets and liabilities under the assumption that interest rates experience an instantaneous and sustained increase of 100,200 , or 300 basis points, or a decrease of 100 and 200 basis points. A basis point equals one-hundredth of one percent, and 100 basis points equals one percent. An increase in interest rates from $3 \%$ to $4 \%$ would mean, for example, a 100 basis point increase in the "Change in Interest Rates" column below. Given the current relatively low level of market interest rates, a NPV calculation for an interest rate decrease of greater than 100 basis points has not been prepared.

The table below sets forth, as of March 31, 2012, our calculation of the estimated changes in CharterBank's net portfolio value that would result from the designated instantaneous parallel shift in the interest rate yield curve.

| Change | Estimated NPV | Estimated | Percentage | NPV Ratio as | Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| in | (2) | Increase | Change | a | (Decrease) |
| Interest |  | (Decrease) in | in NPV | Percent of | in NPV Ratio as |
|  |  | NPV |  | Present | a |


(1) Assumes an instantaneous uniform change in interest rates at all maturities.
(2) NPV is the difference between the present value of an institution's assets and liabilities.
(3) Present value of assets represents the discounted present value of incoming cash flows on interest-earning assets.
(4) NPV Ratio represents NPV divided by the present value of assets.

The table above indicates that at March 31, 2012, in the event of a 200 basis point increase in interest rates, we would experience a $4.0 \%$ increase in net portfolio value. In the event of a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates, we would experience a $0.6 \%$ decrease in net portfolio value. Additionally, our internal policy states that our minimum NPV of estimated present value of assets and liabilities shall range from a low of $5.5 \%$ for a 300 basis point change in rates to $7.5 \%$ for no change in interest rates. As of March 31, 2012, we were in compliance with our Board approved policy limits.

The effects of interest rates on net portfolio value and net interest income are not predictable. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, prepayments, and deposit run-offs, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Certain shortcomings are inherent in these computations. Although some assets and liabilities may have similar maturity or periods of repricing, they may react at different times and in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Rates on other types of assets and liabilities may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Assets, such as adjustable rate mortgages, generally have features that restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. After a change in interest rates, prepayments and early withdrawal levels could deviate significantly from those assumed in making the calculations set forth above. Additionally, increased credit risk may result if our borrowers are unable to meet their repayment obligations as interest rates increase.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures
The Company's management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's "disclosure controls and procedures," as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose of ensuring that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the "SEC") (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In addition, no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended March 31, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II.OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, we may be party to various legal proceedings incident to our business. At March 31, 2012, we were not a party to any pending legal proceedings that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A.Risk Factors.
Risk factors that may affect future results were discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and in the Company's other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q. The risks described in our Reports on Form 10-K and Form 10-Q and other filings are not only the risks that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.
a)
Not applicable
b)
Not applicable
c) The following table presents a summary of the Company's share repurchases during the quarter ended March 31, 2012.
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d)
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { number of } \\ \text { shares }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Maximum } \\ \text { number of }\end{array} \\ \text { purchased as } \\ \text { shares that }\end{array}\right)$
(1) On September 27, 2011, the Company's Board of Directors approved a 5\% stock repurchase plan. Repurchases will be made through open market purchases, block trades, unsolicited negotiated transactions, pursuant to a 10b5-1 trading plan or any manner that complies with the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Repurchased shares will be held in treasury and will be available for general corporate purposes. There is no guarantee as to the exact number of shares to be repurchased by the Company.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.
None

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
None
Item 5. Other Information.
None

Item 6. Exhibits.
31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(c) Certification of Chief Executive Officer *
31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(c) Certification of Chief Financial Officer *
32.1 Section 1350 Certifications *

101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition as of March 31, 2012 and September 30, 2011, (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Income for six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and six months ended
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March 31, 2012 and 2011, (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and the year ended September 30, 2011, (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended March 31, 2012 and 2011, and (vi) the Notes to the Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.*

* This information is furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
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