

Edgar Filing: Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc.
Form 10-Q
July 21, 2017

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 13, 2017

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number 001-35611

Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 20-8453116
(State or other jurisdiction of (I.R.S. Employer
incorporation or organization) Identification No.)

920 S. Kimball Ave., Suite 100, 76092
Southlake, TX
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)
(817) 601-3421
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company
Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act. Yes No
As of July 20, 2017, the latest practicable date, 21,127,754 shares of the registrant's common stock, \$0.001 par value per share, were issued and outstanding.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents:

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <u>Part I – Financial Information</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | <u>3</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>7</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>23</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>23</u> |
| | |
| <u>Part II – Other Information</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | <u>24</u> |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | <u>25</u> |
| | |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>26</u> |

Table of Contents

PART I

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

DEL FRISCO'S RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets—Unaudited

(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | June 13, 2017 | December 27, 2016 |
|---|------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$2,145 | \$ 14,622 |
| Inventory | 16,225 | 16,400 |
| Income taxes receivable | 969 | 3,599 |
| Lease incentives receivable | 3,988 | 4,025 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 5,476 | 8,034 |
| Total current assets | 28,803 | 46,680 |
| Property and equipment, net of accumulated depreciation of \$94,409 and \$88,190 at June 13, 2017 and December 27, 2016, respectively | 208,045 | 195,992 |
| Goodwill | 75,365 | 75,365 |
| Intangible assets, net | 37,364 | 37,409 |
| Other assets | 14,079 | 15,336 |
| Total assets | \$363,656 | \$ 370,782 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$10,486 | \$ 12,791 |
| Deferred revenue | 13,835 | 18,735 |
| Sales tax payable | 2,196 | 2,531 |
| Accrued payroll | 6,433 | 7,359 |
| Current portion of deferred rent obligations | 3,836 | 4,225 |
| Other current liabilities | 5,489 | 5,435 |
| Total current liabilities | 42,275 | 51,076 |
| Long-term debt | 22,750 | — |
| Deferred rent obligations | 41,672 | 37,697 |
| Deferred income taxes | 17,311 | 18,189 |
| Other liabilities | 16,231 | 17,454 |
| Total liabilities | 140,239 | 124,416 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding at June 13, 2017 or December 27, 2016 | — | — |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 190,000,000 shares authorized, 24,325,979 shares issued and 21,602,050 shares outstanding at June 13, 2017 and 24,234,909 shares issued and 23,272,274 shares outstanding at December 27, 2016 | 24 | 24 |
| Treasury stock at cost: 2,723,929 and 962,635 shares at June 13, 2017 and December 27, 2016, respectively | (47,763) | (17,823) |
| Additional paid in capital | 144,916 | 143,325 |

Edgar Filing: Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|-----------|------------|
| Retained earnings | 126,240 | 120,840 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 223,417 | 246,366 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$363,656 | \$ 370,782 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

3

Table of Contents

DEL FRISCO'S RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income and Comprehensive Income—Unaudited
(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)

| | 12 Weeks Ended | | 24 Weeks Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 13, 2017 | June 14, 2016 | June 13, 2017 | June 14, 2016 |
| Revenues | \$82,301 | \$ 79,916 | \$ 166,191 | \$ 161,110 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Costs of sales | 23,433 | 22,637 | 47,214 | 45,855 |
| Restaurant operating expenses | 40,388 | 38,017 | 81,280 | 76,643 |
| Marketing and advertising costs | 1,618 | 2,133 | 2,918 | 3,454 |
| Pre-opening costs | 1,619 | 591 | 2,008 | 685 |
| General and administrative costs | 5,921 | 6,030 | 12,076 | 11,780 |
| Consulting project costs | 597 | — | 2,633 | — |
| Reorganization severance | 563 | — | 719 | — |
| Lease termination and closing costs | 540 | 20 | 538 | 41 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,997 | 4,163 | 9,813 | 8,448 |
| Total costs and expenses | 79,676 | 73,591 | 159,199 | 146,906 |
| Insurance settlements | 308 | — | 348 | — |
| Operating income | 2,933 | 6,325 | 7,340 | 14,204 |
| Other income (expense), net: | | | | |
| Interest, net of capitalized interest | (9) | (24) | (19) | (55) |
| Other | (10) | (5) | (11) | (5) |
| Income before income taxes | 2,914 | 6,296 | 7,310 | 14,144 |
| Income tax expense | 824 | 1,852 | 1,910 | 4,289 |
| Net income | \$2,090 | \$ 4,444 | \$5,400 | \$ 9,855 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.10 | \$ 0.19 | \$0.24 | \$0.42 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.09 | \$ 0.19 | \$0.24 | \$0.42 |
| Shares used in computing earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | 21,722,126 | 23,349,718 | 22,390,745 | 23,332,397 |
| Diluted | 22,060,927 | 23,436,983 | 22,719,891 | 23,418,735 |
| Comprehensive income | \$2,090 | \$ 4,444 | \$5,400 | \$ 9,855 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

DEL FRISCO'S RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity—Unaudited
(Dollars in thousands)

| | Common Stock Shares | Par Value | Additional Paid In Capital | Treasury Stock | Retained Earnings | Total |
|--|------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Balance at December 27, 2016 | 23,272,274 | \$ 24 | \$143,325 | \$(17,823) | \$120,840 | \$246,366 |
| Net income | — | — | — | — | 5,400 | 5,400 |
| Share-based compensation costs | — | — | 1,327 | — | — | 1,327 |
| Stock option exercises | 52,327 | — | 447 | — | — | 447 |
| Shares issued under stock compensation plan, net of shares withheld for tax effects | 38,743 | — | (183) | — | — | (183) |
| Treasury stock purchases | (1,761,294) | — | — | (29,940) | — | (29,940) |
| Balance at June 13, 2017 | 21,602,050 | \$ 24 | \$144,916 | \$(47,763) | \$126,240 | \$223,417 |

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

DEL FRISCO'S RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows—Unaudited

(Dollars in thousands)

| | 24 Weeks Ended | |
|---|----------------|---------------|
| | June 13, 2017 | June 14, 2016 |
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | |
| Net income | \$5,400 | \$9,855 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 9,813 | 8,448 |
| Loss on disposal of restaurant property | 13 | — |
| Loan cost amortization | 3 | 1 |
| Equity based compensation | 1,327 | 1,386 |
| Deferred income taxes | (878) | (18) |
| Amortization of deferred lease incentives | (158) | (496) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Inventory | 175 | 1,115 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 5,281 | 3,286 |
| Insurance settlement | 594 | — |
| Accounts payable | (2,947) | (4,733) |
| Income taxes | 2,630 | 1,172 |
| Deferred rent obligations | (172) | (1,469) |
| Deferred revenue | (4,900) | (4,080) |
| Other liabilities | (1,106) | 3,096 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 15,075 | 17,563 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Purchase of trade name | — | (400) |
| Insurance settlement for property and equipment | 535 | — |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (21,192) | (13,263) |
| Other investing activities | 31 | — |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (20,626) | (13,663) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 22,750 | — |
| Net payments of credit facility | — | (4,500) |
| Purchases of treasury stock | (29,940) | — |
| Cash settlement for share-based awards | (183) | (81) |
| Proceeds from exercise of stock options | 447 | 140 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (6,926) | (4,441) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | (12,477) | (541) |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 14,622 | 5,176 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$2,145 | \$4,635 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Interest | \$70 | \$54 |
| Income taxes | \$406 | \$3,203 |

Edgar Filing: Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

Non cash investing and financing activities:

| | | |
|--|---------|-------|
| Capital expenditures included in accounts payable at end of period | \$1,610 | \$183 |
|--|---------|-------|

| | | |
|---|-----|-------|
| Acquisition of trade name financed by current liabilities | \$— | \$200 |
|---|-----|-------|

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

Table of Contents

DEL FRISCO'S RESTAURANT GROUP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements—Unaudited

1. BUSINESS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

As of June 13, 2017, Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc. ("we," "us," "our," or the "Company") owned and operated 52 restaurants under the concept names of Del Frisco's Double Eagle Steak House ("Del Frisco's"), Sullivan's Steakhouse ("Sullivan's"), and Del Frisco's Grille ("Grille"). Of the 52 restaurants we operated at the end of the period covered by this report, there were 13 Del Frisco's restaurants, 16 Sullivan's restaurants and 23 Grille restaurants in operation in 23 states and the District of Columbia.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information. Accordingly, they do not include all the information and disclosures required by GAAP for complete financial statements. Operating results for the 12 weeks ended June 13, 2017 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending December 26, 2017. In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, considered necessary for a fair presentation. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2016 filed with the SEC on February 28, 2017 (the "2016 10-K").

We operate on a 52- or 53-week fiscal year ending the last Tuesday in December. The fiscal quarters ended June 13, 2017 and June 14, 2016 each contained 12 weeks and are referred to herein as the second quarter of 2017 and the second quarter of 2016, respectively. Fiscal 2017 will be a 52-week fiscal year as was fiscal 2016.

Accounting Estimates

The preparation of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances at the time. Actual amounts may differ from those estimates.

There have been no material changes to the significant accounting policies from what was previously reported in the 2016 10-K.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers which will supersede Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Topic 605, Revenue Recognition. In August 2015, the FASB deferred the effective date of this new standard by one year. The FASB later issued ASU No. 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) – Principal versus Agent Considerations, in March 2016, ASU No. 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) – Identifying Performance Obligations and Licensing, in April 2016, ASU 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) – Narrow-Scope Improvements and Practical Expedients, in May 2016, and ASU 2016-20, Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers, in December 2016, all of which further clarified aspects of Topic 606. A core principle of the new guidance is that an entity should

measure revenue in connection with its sale of goods and services to a customer based on an amount that depicts the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for each of those goods and services. For a contract that involves more than one performance obligation, the entity must (a) determine or, if necessary, estimate the standalone selling price at inception of the contract for the distinct goods or services underlying each performance obligation and (b) allocate the transaction price to each performance obligation on the basis of the relative standalone selling prices. In addition, under the new guidance, an entity should recognize revenue when (or as) it satisfies each performance obligation under the contract by transferring the promised good or service to the customer. A good or service is deemed transferred when (or as) the customer obtains control of that good or service. The new standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. For public companies, this amendment is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2017. Early application is permitted, but no earlier than fiscal years beginning after December 16, 2016. We have elected to adopt these ASUs using the retrospective method. The primary items affected by these ASUs are our loyalty program liability and the breakage income associated with our gift card program, however we do not expect the adoption of these ASUs to have a material effect on our consolidated financial statements, as our current accounting policies are consistent with the new revenue recognition standard.

Table of Contents

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842). ASU 2016-02 is intended to improve the reporting of leasing transactions to provide users of financial statements with more decision-useful information. ASU 2016-02 will require organizations that lease assets to recognize on the balance sheet the assets and liabilities for the rights and obligations created by those leases. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, including interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently assessing the potential impact of ASU 2016-02 on our consolidated financial statements, however we have preliminarily determined that this ASU will significantly impact the accounting of all of our real estate leases, and have a material effect on our consolidated balance sheets. We expect to adopt ASU 2016-02 using the required modified retrospective transition method at the beginning of fiscal 2019.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718) – Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting. The FASB issued this ASU as part of its Simplification Initiative. The amendments in this ASU affect all entities that issue share-based payment awards to their employees. The areas for simplification in this ASU involve several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as either equity or liability and classification on the statement of cash flows. Specifically, all excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies should be recognized as income tax expense or benefit in the income statement. The tax effects of exercised or vested awards should be treated as discrete items in the reporting period in which they occur. An entity also should recognize excess tax benefits regardless of whether the benefit reduces taxes payable in the current period. Excess tax benefits should be classified along with other income tax cash flows as an operating activity. An entity can make an entity-wide accounting policy election to either estimate the number of awards that are expected to vest or account for forfeitures when they occur. The threshold to qualify for equity classifications permits withholding up to the maximum statutory tax rates in the applicable jurisdiction. Cash paid by an employer when directly withholding shares for tax-withholding purposes should be classified as a financing activity. For public business entities, the amendments in this ASU are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted for any entity in any interim or annual period. Amendments related to the timing of when excess tax benefits are recognized, minimum statutory withholding requirements, forfeitures and intrinsic value should be applied using a modified retrospective transition method by means of a cumulative-effect adjustment to equity as of the beginning of the period in which the guidance is adopted. Amendments related to the presentation of employee taxes paid on the statement of cash flows when an employer withholds shares to meet the minimum statutory withholding requirement should be applied retrospectively. Amendments requiring recognition of excess tax benefits and tax deficiencies in the income statement and the practical expedient for estimating expected term should be applied prospectively. An entity may elect to apply the amendments related to the presentation of excess tax benefits on the statement of cash flows using either a prospective transition method or a retrospective transition method. Under ASU 2016-09, to the extent that the related compensation ultimately recognized for tax purposes exceeds the book expense, a permanent benefit will be recorded to income tax expense and through the effective tax rate for the excess benefit. Where the tax expense recognized is less than book, the shortfall is charged to income tax expense. Under the new guidance, entities can estimate forfeitures or recognize forfeitures when they occur. We have historically estimated forfeitures at the grant date and trued them up when vesting events occur. In addition, the simplification of ASU 2016-09 allows us to withhold an amount up to the employees' maximum individual tax rate in the relevant jurisdiction. We adopted ASU 2016-09 on December 28, 2016, and the impact on our consolidated financial statements was immaterial for the first two quarters of 2017.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230) – Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments. This ASU is intended to clarify the presentation of cash receipts and payments in specific situations. The amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those annual periods, and early application is permitted. We are currently assessing the impact of this ASU on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment (Topic 350), which removes the second step of the goodwill impairment test and requires an entity to perform its annual or interim goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount, and recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair value, not to exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. ASU 2017-04 is effective for public business entities for annual periods, including interim periods within those annual periods, beginning after December 15, 2019, and early application is permitted for interim or annual goodwill impairment tests performed on testing dates after January 1, 2017. We are currently assessing the impact of the adoption of this ASU on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Scope of Modification Accounting, which provides clarity and reduces complexity when an entity has changes to the terms or conditions of a share-based payment award, and when an entity should apply modification accounting. The amendments in this update are effective for financial statements issued for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those annual periods, and early adoption is permitted for interim or annual periods. We are currently assessing the impact of the adoption of this ASU on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

2. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share (“EPS”) data is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the periods. Diluted EPS data is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding, including all potentially issuable shares of common stock. Diluted EPS for the 12 weeks ended June 13, 2017 excludes 55,064 shares of restricted stock, and options to purchase 484,690 shares of common stock, which were outstanding during the period, but were antidilutive. Diluted EPS for the 24 weeks ended June 13, 2017 excludes 116,796 shares of restricted stock and options to purchase 523,449 shares of common stock, which were outstanding during the period, but were antidilutive. Diluted EPS for the 12 weeks ended June 14, 2016 excludes 189,054 shares of restricted stock and options to purchase 634,177 shares of common stock, which were outstanding during the period but were antidilutive. Diluted EPS for the 24 weeks ended June 14, 2016 excludes 130,729 shares of restricted stock and options to purchase 643,923 shares of common stock, which were outstanding during the period but were antidilutive. The following table details our basic and diluted earnings per common share calculation (dollars in thousands, except per share data):

| | 12 Weeks Ended June 13, June 14, 2017 2016 | | 24 Weeks Ended June 13, June 14, 2017 2016 | |
|--|--|------------|--|------------|
| Net income | \$2,090 | \$ 4,444 | \$5,400 | \$ 9,855 |
| Shares: | | | | |
| Weighted average number of common shares outstanding | 21,722,126 | 23,349,718 | 22,390,745 | 25,332,397 |
| Dilutive shares | 338,801 | 187,265 | 329,146 | 86,338 |
| Total Diluted Shares | 22,060,927 | 23,436,983 | 22,719,891 | 25,418,735 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$0.10 | \$ 0.19 | \$0.24 | \$ 0.42 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$0.09 | \$ 0.19 | \$0.24 | \$ 0.42 |

3. STOCK-BASED EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION

2012 Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan

On July 16, 2012, we adopted the Del Frisco’s Restaurant Group, Inc. 2012 Long-Term Equity Incentive Plan (the “2012 Plan”), which allows us to grant stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, deferred stock units and other equity-based awards to directors, officers, key employees and other key individuals performing services for us. The 2012 Plan provides for granting of options to purchase shares of common stock at an exercise price not less than the fair value of the stock on the date of grant. Equity-based awards vest or become exercisable at various periods ranging from one to four years from the date of grant. The 2012 Plan has 2,232,800 shares of common stock authorized for issuance under the plan. There were 753,223 shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding options and 511,388 shares of unvested restricted stock outstanding at June 13, 2017 with 834,850 shares of common stock available for future grants.

The following table details our total share-based compensation cost, as well as where the costs were expensed (in thousands):

| 12 Weeks | | 24 Weeks | |
|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Ended | | Ended | |
| June 13, | June 14, | June 13, | June 14, |
| 2017 | 2016 | 2017 | 2016 |

Edgar Filing: Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|----------------------------------|-------|--------|---------|----------|
| Restaurant operating expenses | \$61 | \$ 103 | \$134 | \$ 197 |
| General and administrative costs | 731 | 632 | 1,193 | 1,189 |
| Total stock compensation cost | \$792 | \$ 735 | \$1,327 | \$ 1,386 |

9

Table of Contents

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units

The following table summarizes restricted stock and restricted stock unit activity:

| | 24 Weeks Ended June 13, 2017 | | |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| | Shares | Weighted average grant date fair value | Aggregate intrinsic value (\$000's) |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 345,519 | \$ 17.51 | |
| Granted | 279,900 | 17.34 | |
| Vested | (49,081) | 17.41 | |
| Forfeited | (64,950) | 17.34 | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 511,388 | \$ 17.42 | \$ 8,515 |

As of June 13, 2017, there was \$4.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted stock. This cost is expected to be recognized over a period of approximately 2.5 years.

Stock Options

The following table summarizes stock option activity:

| | 24 Weeks Ended June 13, 2017 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|---|
| | Shares | Weighted average exercise price | Weighted average remaining contractual term | Aggregate intrinsic value (\$000's) |
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 927,675 | \$ 18.33 | | |
| Exercised | (52,327) | 13.00 | | |
| Forfeited | (122,125) | 21.22 | | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 753,223 | \$ 18.23 | 5.8 years | \$ 981 |
| Options exercisable at end of period | 648,348 | \$ 17.73 | 5.7 years | \$ 981 |

A summary of changes in and the status of non-vested stock options is presented below:

| | 24 Weeks Ended June 13, 2017 | |
|---|---------------------------------|---|
| | Shares | Weighted average grant-date fair value |
| Non-vested stock options at beginning of period | 151,625 | \$ 8.44 |
| Vested | (10,500) | 7.85 |
| Forfeited | (36,250) | 8.51 |
| Non-vested stock options at end of period | 104,875 | \$ 8.48 |

As of June 13, 2017, there was \$0.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock options. This cost is expected to be recognized over a period of approximately 0.5 years.

Table of Contents

4. LONG-TERM DEBT

On October 15, 2012, we entered into a credit facility that, as last amended on May 24, 2017, provides for an unsecured credit facility with a credit commitment of \$10.0 million, subject to increases in increments of \$5.0 million, with a maximum amount of \$50.0 million. The credit facility expires on October 15, 2019. Borrowings under the credit facility bear interest, at our option, based on (i) LIBOR plus 1.50% or (ii) the prime rate as defined in the credit facility. We are required to pay a commitment fee equal to 0.25% per annum on the available but unused credit facility. The credit facility is guaranteed by certain of our subsidiaries. The credit facility contains various financial covenants, including a maximum ratio of total indebtedness to EBITDA and minimum fixed charge coverage, both as defined in the credit agreement. The credit facility also contains covenants restricting certain corporate actions, including asset dispositions, acquisitions, the payment of dividends, the incurrence of indebtedness and providing financing or other transactions with affiliates.

As of June 13, 2017, there was \$22.8 million of outstanding borrowings on the credit facility, and we had approximately \$26 million of borrowings available, with \$1.2 million in outstanding letters of credit commitments. As of December 27, 2016, there were no outstanding borrowings on the credit facility and \$1.2 million in outstanding letters of credit. The increase in borrowings under the credit facility during the first two quarters of 2017 related to our share repurchase activities during the period. We were in compliance with all of the financial debt covenants as of June 13, 2017 and December 27, 2016.

5. INCOME TAXES

The effective income tax rate for the 12 weeks ended June 13, 2017 was 28.3%, compared to 29.4% for the 12 weeks ended June 14, 2016. The effective income tax rate for the 24 weeks ended June 13, 2017 was 26.1%, compared to 30.3% for the 24 weeks ended June 14, 2016. The factors that cause the effective tax rates to vary from the federal statutory rate of 35% include the impact of FICA tip and other credits, partially offset by state income taxes and certain non-deductible expenses.

6. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENT

Under GAAP, we are required to measure certain assets and liabilities at fair value, or to disclose the fair value of certain assets and liabilities recorded at cost. Pursuant to these fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, fair value is defined as the price that would be received upon sale of an asset or paid upon transfer of a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date and in the principal or most advantageous market for that asset or liability. The fair value is calculated based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability, not on assumptions specific to the entity. In addition, the fair value of liabilities includes consideration of non-performance risk, including our own credit risk. Each fair value measurement is reported in one of the following three levels:

Level 1—valuation inputs are based upon unadjusted quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets. Level 2—valuation inputs are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities.

Level 3—valuation inputs are unobservable and typically reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The fair values are therefore determined using model-based techniques that include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models, and similar techniques.

The following table presents our financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 13, 2017 and December 27, 2016, respectively (in thousands):

| | | Fair Value Measurements | |
|--|-------|-------------------------|-------------------|
| | Level | June 13, 2017 | December 27, 2016 |
| Deferred compensation plan investments (included in Other assets) | 2 | \$ 13,831 | \$ 15,054 |
| Deferred compensation plan liabilities (included in Other liabilities) | 2 | \$ 14,192 | \$ 15,212 |

Table of Contents

There were no transfers among levels within the fair-value hierarchy during the first two quarters of fiscal 2017 and fiscal 2016. The carrying value of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable approximate fair value due to their short-term nature. The fair value of the credit facility approximates its carrying value since it is a variable rate credit facility (Level 2).

7. SEGMENT REPORTING

We operate the Del Frisco's, Sullivan's, and Grille brands as operating segments. The restaurant concepts operate solely in the U.S. within the full-service dining industry, providing similar products to similar customers. Sales from external customers are derived principally from food and beverage sales, and we do not rely on any major customers as a source of sales. The restaurant concepts also possess similar economic characteristics, resulting in similar long-term expected financial performance characteristics. However, as Del Frisco's restaurants typically have higher revenues, driven by their larger physical presence and higher average check, the Del Frisco's, Sullivan's, and Grille operating segments have varying operating income and restaurant-level EBITDA margins due to the leveraging of higher revenues on certain fixed operating costs such as management labor, rent, utilities, and building maintenance.

The following tables present information about reportable segments (in thousands):

| | 12 Weeks Ended June 13, 2017 | | | | |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|------------|----------|-----------|--------------|
| | Del Frisco's | Sullivan's | Grille | Corporate | Consolidated |
| Revenues | \$40,194 | \$ 15,620 | \$26,487 | \$ | —\$ 82,301 |
| Restaurant-level EBITDA | 10,909 | 2,102 | 3,851 | — | 16,862 |
| Capital expenditures | 2,588 | 2,457 | 5,065 | 33 | 10,143 |
| Property and equipment | 122,925 | 52,179 | 124,784 | 2,566 | 302,454 |
| | 12 Weeks Ended June 14, 2016 | | | | |
| | Del Frisco's | Sullivan's | Grille | Corporate | Consolidated |
| Revenues | \$37,945 | \$ 17,575 | \$24,396 | \$ | —\$ 79,916 |
| Restaurant-level EBITDA | 10,692 | 2,642 | 3,795 | — | 17,129 |
| Capital expenditures | 3,898 | 388 | 4,356 | 40 | 8,682 |
| Property and equipment | 109,652 | 48,156 | 104,997 | 2,498 | 265,303 |
| | 24 Weeks Ended June 13, 2017 | | | | |
| | Del Frisco's | Sullivan's | Grille | Corporate | Consolidated |
| Revenues | \$79,955 | \$ 33,402 | \$52,834 | \$ | —\$ 166,191 |
| Restaurant-level EBITDA | 21,607 | 5,559 | 7,613 | — | 34,779 |
| Capital expenditures | 7,063 | 6,373 | 8,352 | 140 | 21,928 |
| Property and equipment | 122,925 | 52,179 | 124,784 | 2,566 | 302,454 |
| | 24 Weeks Ended June 14, 2016 | | | | |
| | Del Frisco's | Sullivan's | Grille | Corporate | Consolidated |
| Revenues | \$76,288 | \$ 36,476 | \$48,346 | \$ | —\$ 161,110 |
| Restaurant-level EBITDA | 21,405 | 6,190 | 7,563 | — | 35,158 |
| Capital expenditures | 5,182 | 596 | 5,626 | 47 | 11,451 |
| Property and equipment | 109,652 | 48,156 | 104,997 | 2,498 | 265,303 |

In addition to using consolidated results in evaluating our performance and allocating our resources, our chief operating decision maker uses restaurant-level EBITDA, which is not a measure defined by GAAP. Management also uses restaurant-level EBITDA to gauge the overall profitability of our core restaurant operations and believes this

information is therefore also useful to investors. Restaurant-level EBITDA on a consolidated basis should not be considered a substitute for, or superior to, net income, which is calculated in accordance with GAAP, and the reconciliations to net income set forth below should be carefully evaluated.

Table of Contents

We define restaurant-level EBITDA as income before income taxes, other income (expenses), net, pre-opening costs, general and administrative costs, consulting project costs, reorganization severance costs, lease termination and closing costs, depreciation and amortization, and insurance settlements. Pre-opening costs are excluded because they vary in timing and magnitude and are not related to the health of ongoing operations. General and administrative costs are only included in our consolidated financial results as they are generally not specifically identifiable to individual operating segments as these costs relate to supporting all of our restaurant operations and the extension of our concepts into new markets. Lease termination and closing costs, consulting project costs, reorganization severance costs, depreciation and amortization, and insurance settlements are excluded because they are not ongoing controllable cash expenses, and they are not related to the health of ongoing operations. Property and equipment is the only balance sheet measure used by our chief operating decision maker in allocating resources.

The following table reconciles net income to restaurant-level EBITDA (in thousands):

| | 12 Weeks Ended | | 24 Weeks Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | June 13, 2017 | June 14, 2016 | June 13, 2017 | June 14, 2016 |
| Net income | \$2,090 | \$4,444 | \$5,400 | \$9,855 |
| Income tax expense | 824 | 1,852 | 1,910 | 4,289 |
| Net income before income taxes | 2,914 | 6,296 | 7,310 | 14,144 |
| Interest expense, net of capitalized interest | 9 | 24 | 19 | 55 |
| Other | 10 | 5 | 11 | 5 |
| Operating income | 2,933 | 6,325 | 7,340 | 14,204 |
| Pre-opening costs | 1,619 | 591 | 2,008 | 685 |
| General and administrative costs | 5,921 | 6,030 | 12,076 | 11,780 |
| Consulting project costs | 597 | — | 2,633 | — |
| Reorganization severance | 563 | — | 719 | — |
| Lease termination and closing costs | 540 | 20 | 538 | 41 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,997 | 4,163 | 9,813 | 8,448 |
| Insurance settlements | (308) | — | (348) | — |
| Restaurant-level EBITDA | \$16,862 | \$17,129 | \$34,779 | \$35,158 |

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

We are subject to various claims, possible legal actions, and other matters arising out of the normal course of business. While it is not possible to predict the outcome of these issues, management is of the opinion that adequate provision for potential losses has been made in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements and that the ultimate resolution of these matters will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

At June 13, 2017 and December 27, 2016, we had outstanding letters of credit of \$1.2 million, which were drawn on our credit facility (see Note 4, Long-Term Debt). The letters of credit typically act as guarantee of payment to certain third parties in accordance with specified terms and conditions.

9. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

On March 10, 2017, we purchased 1,200,000 shares of our common stock for \$20.3 million from Fidelity National Financial, Inc. (“Fidelity”). Fidelity was considered a related party to us at the time of the transaction due to the level of ownership interest in us. After this transaction, Fidelity’s ownership interest no longer qualifies it as a related party.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Cautionary Statement

Certain statements made or incorporated by reference in this report and our other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in our press releases and in statements made by or with the approval of authorized personnel constitute forward looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, and are subject to the safe harbor created thereby. Forward looking statements reflect intent, belief, current expectations, estimates or projections about, among other things, our industry, management's beliefs, and future events and financial trends affecting us. Words such as "anticipates," "expects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," "may," "will" and variations of words or similar expressions are intended to identify forward looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to expectations, projections or other characterizations of future events or circumstances, including any underlying assumptions, are forward looking statements. Although we believe the expectations reflected in any forward looking statements are reasonable, such statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions that are difficult to predict. Therefore, our actual results could differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward looking statements as a result of various factors. These differences can arise as a result of the risks described in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 27, 2016, filed February 28, 2017, or the 2016 10-K, as well as other factors that may affect our business, results of operations, or financial condition. Forward looking statements in this report speak only as of the date hereof, and forward looking statements in documents incorporated by reference speak only as of the date of those documents. Unless otherwise required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise these forward looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise. In light of these risks and uncertainties, we cannot assure you that the forward looking statements contained in this report will, in fact, transpire.

Overview

Del Frisco's Restaurant Group develops, owns and operates three contemporary, high-end, complementary restaurants: Del Frisco's Double Eagle Steak House, or Del Frisco's, Sullivan's Steakhouse, or Sullivan's, and Del Frisco's Grille, or the Grille. As of the end of the period covered by this report, we operated 52 restaurants in 23 states and the District of Columbia. Of these 52, there were 13 Del Frisco's restaurants, 16 Sullivan's restaurants and 23 Grille restaurants. Unless the context otherwise indicates, all references to "we," "our," "us," or the "Company" refer to Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries.

Our Growth Strategies and Outlook. Our growth model is comprised of the following three primary drivers:

Pursue Disciplined Restaurant Growth. We believe that there are significant opportunities to grow our concepts on a nationwide basis in both existing and new markets where we believe we can generate attractive unit-level economics. We are presented with many development opportunities, and we carefully evaluate each opportunity to determine that sites selected for development have a high probability of meeting our return on investment targets. Our disciplined growth strategy includes accepting only those sites that we believe present attractive rent and tenant allowance structures as well as reasonable construction costs given the sales potential of the site. We believe our concepts' complementary market positioning and ability to coexist in the same markets, coupled with our flexible unit models, will allow us to expand each of our three concepts into a greater number of locations.

Grow Existing Revenue. We will continue to pursue opportunities to increase the sales at our existing restaurants, pursue targeted local marketing efforts and evaluate operational initiatives, including growth in private dining, designed to increase restaurant unit volumes.

•

Maintain Margins Throughout Our Growth. We will continue to aggressively protect our margins using economies of scale, including marketing and purchasing synergies between our concepts and leveraging our corporate infrastructure as we continue to open new restaurants.

Table of Contents

In general, we believe there are opportunities to open four to six restaurants annually with our current resources and capabilities. It is our strategy to allocate capital for growth based on returns in each of our brands. As Del Frisco's has attractive returns which are the highest in our portfolio, it is our intention to accelerate the pace of growth of that brand, and we expect to open at least two Del Frisco's per year and potentially more as our pipeline strengthens and our capabilities increase. We have slowed growth of the Grille while we test findings from the consumer insights research we have conducted. We still expect to open at least two Grilles per year, and that number could rise if these tests are successful and returns increase. For the Sullivan's brand, our plan is to adopt a "capital light" approach through franchising and re-franchising. We expect to open one company-owned unit as a prototype unit in 2019. During the second quarter of 2017, we opened a Del Frisco's in Plano, Texas, and near the beginning of the third quarter of 2017, we opened a Grille restaurant in New York City, New York. We do not expect to open any other restaurants prior to the end of 2017.

Performance Indicators. We use the following key metrics in evaluating the performance of our restaurants:

Comparable Restaurant Sales. We consider a restaurant to be comparable during the first full fiscal quarter following the eighteenth month of operations. Changes in comparable restaurant sales reflect changes in sales for the comparable group of restaurants over a specified period of time, and also reflect changes in customer count trends as well as changes in average check. Our comparable restaurant base consisted of 43 and 40 restaurants at June 13, 2017 and June 14, 2016, respectively.

Average Check. Average check is calculated by dividing total restaurant sales by customer counts for a given time period. Average check is influenced by menu prices and menu mix. Management uses this indicator to analyze trends in customers' preferences, the effectiveness of menu changes and price increases and per customer expenditures.

Average Weekly Volume. Average weekly volume, or AWWV, consists of the average weekly sales of our restaurants over a certain period of time. This measure is calculated by dividing total revenues within a period by the number of restaurants' operating weeks during the relevant period. This indicator assists management in measuring changes in customer traffic, pricing and development of our concepts.

Customer Counts. Customer counts are measured by the number of entrées ordered at our restaurants over a given time period.

Restaurant-Level EBITDA Margin. Restaurant-level EBITDA margin, a non-GAAP financial measure, represents income before income taxes, other income (expenses), net, pre-opening costs, general and administrative costs, consulting project costs, reorganization severance costs, lease termination and closing costs, depreciation and amortization, and insurance settlements as a percentage of revenues. By monitoring and controlling our restaurant-level EBITDA margins, we can gauge the overall profitability of our core restaurant operations. See Note 7, Segment Reporting in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information on restaurant-level EBITDA.

Our business is subject to seasonal fluctuations. Historically, the percentage of our annual revenues earned during the first and fourth fiscal quarters has been higher due, in part, to increased gift card redemptions and increased private dining during the year-end holiday season, respectively. In addition, our first, second and third quarters each contain 12 operating weeks with the fourth quarter containing 16 or 17 operating weeks. As many of our operating expenses have a fixed component, our operating income and operating income margin have historically varied significantly

from quarter to quarter. Accordingly, results for any one quarter are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for any other quarter or for any year.

Table of Contents

Results of Operations

The following table shows our operating results (in thousands), as well as our operating results as a percentage of revenues.

| | 12 Weeks Ended | | | | 24 Weeks Ended | | | |
|---------------------------------------|----------------|---------|---------------|---------|----------------|---------|---------------|---------|
| | June 13, 2017 | | June 14, 2016 | | June 13, 2017 | | June 14, 2016 | |
| Revenues | \$82,301 | 100.0 % | \$79,916 | 100.0 % | \$166,191 | 100.0 % | \$161,110 | 100.0 % |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Costs of sales | 23,433 | 28.5 | 22,637 | 28.3 | 47,214 | 28.4 | 45,855 | 28.5 |
| Restaurant operating expenses | 40,388 | 49.1 | 38,017 | 47.6 | 81,280 | 48.9 | 76,643 | 47.6 |
| Marketing and advertising costs | 1,618 | 2.0 | 2,133 | 2.7 | 2,918 | 1.8 | 3,454 | 2.1 |
| Pre-opening costs | 1,619 | 2.0 | 591 | 0.7 | 2,008 | 1.2 | 685 | 0.4 |
| General and administrative costs | 5,921 | 7.2 | 6,030 | 7.5 | 12,076 | 7.3 | 11,780 | 7.3 |
| Consulting project costs | 597 | 0.7 | — | — | 2,633 | 1.6 | — | — |
| Reorganization severance | 563 | 0.7 | — | — | 719 | 0.4 | — | — |
| Lease termination and closing costs | 540 | 0.7 | 20 | — | 538 | 0.3 | 41 | — |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4,997 | 6.1 | 4,163 | 5.2 | 9,813 | 5.9 | 8,448 | 5.2 |
| Total costs and expenses | 79,676 | 96.8 | 73,591 | 92.1 | 159,199 | 95.8 | 146,906 | 91.2 |
| Insurance settlements | 308 | 0.4 | — | — | 348 | 0.2 | — | — |
| Operating income | 2,933 | 3.6 | 6,325 | 7.9 | 7,340 | 4.4 | 14,204 | 8.8 |
| Other income (expense), net: | | | | | | | | |
| Interest, net of capitalized interest | (9) |)— | (24) |)— | (19) |)— | (55) |)— |
| Other | (10) |)— | (5) |)— | (11) |)— | (5) |)— |
| Income before income taxes | 2,914 | 3.5 | 6,296 | 7.9 | 7,310 | 4.4 | 14,144 | 8.8 |
| Income tax expense | 824 | 1.0 | 1,852 | 2.3 | 1,910 | 1.1 | 4,289 | 2.7 |
| Net income | \$2,090 | 2.5 % | \$4,444 | 5.6 % | \$5,400 | 3.2 % | \$9,855 | 6.1 % |

Fiscal Quarter Ended June 13, 2017 (12 weeks) Compared to the Fiscal Quarter Ended June 14, 2016 (12 weeks)

The following tables show our operating results (in thousands) by segment and on a consolidated basis, as well as our operating results as a percentage of revenues, for the 12 weeks ended June 13, 2017 and June 14, 2016. The tables below include Restaurant-level EBITDA, a non-GAAP measure. See Note 7, Segment Reporting in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements for additional information on this metric, including a reconciliation to net income, the most directly comparable GAAP measure.

| | 12 Weeks Ended June 13, 2017 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|----------|--------|--------------|--------|
| | Del Frisco's | | Sullivan's | | Grille | | Consolidated | |
| Revenues | \$40,194 | 100.0% | \$15,620 | 100.0% | \$26,487 | 100.0% | \$82,301 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 11,912 | 29.6 | 4,771 | 30.5 | 6,750 | 25.5 | 23,433 | 28.5 |
| Restaurant operating expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Labor | 9,611 | 23.9 | 4,676 | 29.9 | 8,889 | 33.6 | 23,176 | 28.2 |
| Operating expenses | 4,234 | 10.5 | 2,274 | 14.6 | 3,534 | 13.3 | 10,042 | 12.2 |
| Occupancy | 2,808 | 7.0 | 1,360 | 8.7 | 3,002 | 11.3 | 7,170 | 8.7 |
| Restaurant operating expenses | 16,653 | 41.4 | 8,310 | 53.2 | 15,425 | 58.2 | 40,388 | 49.1 |
| Marketing and advertising costs | 720 | 1.8 | 437 | 2.8 | 461 | 1.7 | 1,618 | 2.0 |
| Restaurant-level EBITDA | \$10,909 | 27.1 % | \$2,102 | 13.5 % | \$3,851 | 14.5 % | \$16,862 | 20.5 % |
| Restaurant operating weeks | 150 | | 202 | | 276 | | 628 | |
| Average weekly volume | \$268 | | \$77 | | \$96 | | \$131 | |

Table of Contents

| | 12 Weeks Ended June 14, 2016 | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|--------|------------|--------|----------|--------|--------------|--------|
| | Del Frisco's | | Sullivan's | | Grille | | Consolidated | |
| Revenues | \$37,945 | 100.0% | \$17,575 | 100.0% | \$24,396 | 100.0% | \$79,916 | 100.0% |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 11,236 | 29.6 | 5,215 | 29.7 | 6,186 | 25.4 | 22,637 | 28.3 |
| Restaurant operating expenses: | | | | | | | | |
| Labor | 8,430 | 22.2 | 5,237 | 29.8 | 7,836 | 32.1 | 21,503 | 26.9 |
| Operating expenses | 4,395 | 11.6 | 2,612 | 14.9 | 3,266 | 13.4 | 10,273 | 12.9 |
| Occupancy | 2,371 | 6.2 | 1,289 | 7.3 | 2,581 | 10.6 | 6,241 | 7.8 |
| Restaurant operating expenses | 15,196 | 40.0 | 9,138 | 52.0 | 13,683 | 56.1 | 38,017 | 47.6 |
| Marketing and advertising costs | 821 | 2.2 | 580 | 3.3 | 732 | 3.0 | 2,133 | 2.7 |
| Restaurant-level EBITDA | \$10,692 | 28.2 % | \$2,642 | 15.0 % | \$3,795 | 15.6 % | \$17,129 | 21.4 % |
| Restaurant operating weeks | 144 | | 216 | | 240 | | 600 | |
| Average weekly volume | \$264 | | \$81 | | \$102 | | \$133 | |

Revenues. Consolidated revenues increased \$2.4 million, or 3.0%, to \$82.3 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$79.9 million in the second quarter of 2016. This increase was primarily due to 28 net additional operating weeks in the second quarter of 2017, resulting from five new restaurant openings over the past four quarters. This increase was partially offset by decreased revenue at our comparable restaurants, the closing of the Seattle, Washington and Houston, Texas Sullivan's restaurants and decreased traffic at the Indianapolis, Indiana Sullivan's restaurant due to remodel work. These three Sullivan's locations were removed from the comparable restaurant base for the second quarter of 2017. Comparable restaurant sales decreased 2.2% for the second quarter of 2017 comprised of a 2.1% decrease in customer counts and a 0.1% decrease in average check.

Del Frisco's revenues increased \$2.2 million, or 5.9%, to \$40.2 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$37.9 million in the second quarter of 2016. This increase was primarily due to increased average weekly sales at the Orlando, Florida and the relocated Dallas, Texas Del Frisco's restaurants, as well as an additional six operating weeks due to the opening of the Plano, Texas restaurant, partially offset by a decrease in comparable restaurant sales. Comparable restaurant sales decreased by 0.5%, comprised of a 2.3% decrease in customer counts and a 1.8% increase in average check.

Sullivan's revenues decreased \$2.0 million, or 11.1%, to \$15.6 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$17.6 million in the second quarter of 2016. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to the loss of 14 operating weeks due to the closure of the Seattle, Washington and Houston, Texas Sullivan's restaurants during the second quarter of 2017, decreased traffic at the Indianapolis, Indiana Sullivan's restaurant due to remodel work, and a decrease in comparable restaurant sales. Comparable restaurant sales decreased by 5.2%, comprised of a 8.6% decrease in customer counts and a 3.4% increase in average check. The decrease in comparable restaurant sales was primarily due to eliminating lunch at selected Sullivan's restaurants during the second quarter of 2017.

Grille revenues increased \$2.1 million, or 8.6%, to \$26.5 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$24.4 million in the second quarter of 2016. This increase was primarily due to 36 additional operating weeks provided by three new restaurant openings over the past four quarters, partially offset by a decrease in comparable restaurant sales.

Comparable restaurant sales decreased by 3.2%, comprised of a 1.2% increase in customer counts and a 4.4% decrease in average check.

Cost of Sales. Consolidated cost of sales increased \$0.8 million, or 3.5%, to \$23.4 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$22.6 million in the second quarter of 2016. This increase was primarily due to a net additional 28 operating weeks in the second quarter of 2017, as discussed above. As a percentage of consolidated revenues, consolidated cost of sales increased to 28.5% during the second quarter of 2017 from 28.3% in the first quarter of 2016.

As a percentage of revenues, Del Frisco's cost of sales remained at 29.6% during the second quarter of 2017 compared to the second quarter of 2016.

As a percentage of revenues, Sullivan's cost of sales increased to 30.5% during the second quarter of 2017 from 29.7% in the second quarter of 2016. This increase in cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to increased seafood, liquor, and beer costs.

Table of Contents

As a percentage of revenues, Grille cost of sales increased to 25.5% during the second quarter of 2017 from 25.4% in the second quarter of 2016. This increase in cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to increased produce, liquor, and beer costs.

Restaurant Operating Expenses. Consolidated restaurant operating expenses increased \$2.4 million, or 6.2%, to \$40.4 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$38.0 million in the second quarter of 2016. This increase was primarily due to a net additional 28 operating weeks in the second quarter of 2017, as discussed above. As a percentage of consolidated revenues, consolidated restaurant operating expenses increased to 49.1% in the second quarter of 2017 from 47.6% in the second quarter of 2016.

As a percentage of revenues, Del Frisco's restaurant operating expenses increased to 41.4% during the second quarter of 2017 from 40.0% during the second quarter of 2016. This increase in restaurant operating expenses, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to higher labor and benefits costs and higher occupancy costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Sullivan's restaurant operating expenses increased to 53.2% during the second quarter of 2017 from 52.0% in the second quarter of 2016. This increase in restaurant operating expenses, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to higher labor and benefits costs and higher occupancy costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Grille restaurant operating expenses increased to 58.2% during the second quarter of 2017 from 56.1% in the second quarter of 2016. This increase in restaurant operating expenses, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to higher labor and benefits costs and higher occupancy costs.

Marketing and Advertising Costs. Consolidated marketing and advertising costs decreased by \$0.5 million to \$1.6 million in the second quarter of 2017 compared to \$2.1 million in the second quarter of 2016. As a percentage of consolidated revenues, consolidated marketing and advertising costs decreased to 2.0% in the second quarter of 2017 from 2.7% in the second quarter of 2016.

As a percentage of revenues, Del Frisco's marketing and advertising costs decreased to 1.8% during the second quarter of 2017 from 2.2% in the second quarter of 2016. Marketing and advertising costs, as a percentage of revenues, decreased primarily due to lower print media, broadcast media and other marketing costs, partially offset by higher digital advertising costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Sullivan's marketing and advertising costs decreased to 2.8% during the second quarter of 2017 from 3.3% in the second quarter of 2016. Marketing and advertising costs, as a percentage of revenues, decreased primarily due to lower print media, broadcast media and other marketing costs, partially offset by higher digital advertising costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Grille marketing and advertising costs decreased to 1.7% during the second quarter of 2017 compared to 3.0% in the second quarter of 2016. The decrease in marketing and advertising costs, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to lower print media, broadcast media and other marketing costs, partially offset by higher digital advertising costs.

Pre-opening Costs. Pre-opening costs increased by \$1.0 million to \$1.6 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$0.6 million in the second quarter of 2016 due primarily the timing of new restaurants under construction versus the prior year comparable period. Pre-opening costs include non-cash straight line rent, which is incurred during construction and can precede a restaurant opening by four to six months.

General and Administrative Costs. General and administrative costs decreased to \$5.9 million in the second quarter of 2017 from \$6.0 million in the second quarter of 2016. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative costs decreased to 7.2% in the second quarter of 2017 compared to 7.5% in the first quarter of 2016. This decrease was primarily related to decreases in incentive employee compensation, partially offset by increased travel expenses and professional fees. General and administrative costs are expected to decrease as a percentage of total revenues over time as we leverage investments made in our people and systems.

Lease Termination and Closing Costs. During the second quarter of 2017, we incurred approximately \$0.5 million in charges related to the closure of the Seattle, Washington and Houston, Texas Sullivan's restaurants. No such charges were incurred in second quarter of 2016.

Consulting Project Costs. Consulting project costs were \$0.6 million in the second quarter of 2017. These costs are primarily related to consumer insight research supporting the Grille restaurants and we expect minimal such costs

after the second quarter of 2017. No such costs were incurred in the second quarter of 2016.

Reorganization Severance. Reorganization severance costs were \$0.6 million in the second quarter of 2017. These costs are primarily related to the costs associated with replacing certain employees in leadership positions as a part of strategic initiatives effected by our executive leadership team. No such costs were incurred in the second quarter of 2016.

Table of Contents

Revenues. Consolidated revenues increased \$5.1 million, or 3.2%, to \$166.2 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$161.1 million in the first two quarters of 2016. This increase was primarily due to 56 net additional operating weeks in the first two quarters of 2017, resulting from five new restaurant openings over the past four quarters. This increase was partially offset by decreased revenue at our comparable restaurants, the closure of the Seattle, Washington and Houston, Texas Sullivan's restaurants, the temporary closure of the King of Prussia, Pennsylvania Sullivan's restaurant, and decreased traffic at the Indianapolis, Indiana and Wilmington, Delaware Sullivan's restaurants due to remodel work. Comparable restaurant sales decreased 1.3% for the first two quarters of 2017, comprised of a 0.8% decrease in customer counts and a 0.5% decrease in average check.

Del Frisco's revenues increased \$3.7 million, or 4.8%, to \$80.0 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$76.3 million in the first two quarters of 2016. This increase was primarily due to six additional operating weeks in the first two quarters of 2017 from the opening of the Plano, Texas Del Frisco's restaurant and increased average weekly sales at the Orlando, Florida and the relocated Dallas, Texas Del Frisco's restaurants, partially offset by a decrease in comparable restaurant sales. Comparable restaurant sales decreased by 0.5%, comprised of a 1.7% decrease in customer counts and a 1.2% increase in average check.

Sullivan's revenues decreased \$3.1 million, or 8.4%, to \$33.4 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$36.5 million in the first two quarters of 2016. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to the loss of 22 operating weeks due to the closure of the Seattle, Washington and Houston, Texas Sullivan's restaurants during the second quarter of 2017, the temporary closure of the King of Prussia, Pennsylvania Sullivan's restaurant, decreased traffic at the Indianapolis, Indiana and Wilmington, Delaware Sullivan's restaurants due to remodel work, and a decrease in comparable restaurant sales. Comparable restaurant sales decreased by 1.9%, comprised of a 3.1% decrease in customer counts and a 1.2% increase in average check. The decrease in comparable restaurant sales was primarily due to eliminating lunch at selected Sullivan's restaurants during the second quarter of 2017.

Grille revenues increased \$4.5 million, or 9.3%, to \$52.8 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$48.3 million in the first two quarters of 2016. This increase was primarily due to 72 additional operating weeks provided by three new restaurant openings over the past four quarters, partially offset by a decrease in comparable restaurant sales.

Comparable restaurant sales decreased by 2.1%, comprised of a 1.2% increase in customer counts and a 3.3% decrease in average check.

Cost of Sales. Consolidated cost of sales increased \$1.4 million, or 3.0%, to \$47.2 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$45.9 million in the first two quarters of 2016. This increase was primarily due to a net additional 56 operating weeks in the first two quarters of 2017, as discussed above. As a percentage of consolidated revenues, consolidated cost of sales decreased to 28.4% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 28.5% in the first two quarters of 2016.

As a percentage of revenues, Del Frisco's cost of sales decreased to 29.6% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 29.7% in the first two quarters of 2016. This decrease in cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to decreased beef and liquor costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Sullivan's cost of sales increased to 30.0% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 29.6% in the first two quarters of 2016. This increase in cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to increased seafood, liquor, and beer costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Grille cost of sales decreased to 25.6% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 25.7% in the first two quarters of 2016. This decrease in cost of sales, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to decreased beef and wine costs.

Restaurant Operating Expenses. Consolidated restaurant operating expenses increased \$4.6 million, or 6.1%, to \$81.3 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$76.6 million in the first two quarters of 2016. This increase was primarily due to a net additional 56 operating weeks in the first two quarters of 2017, as discussed above. As a percentage of consolidated revenues, consolidated restaurant operating expenses increased to 48.9% in the first two quarters of 2017 from 47.6% in the first two quarters of 2016.

As a percentage of revenues, Del Frisco's restaurant operating expenses increased to 41.7% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 40.4% during the first two quarters of 2016. This increase in restaurant operating expenses, as a

percentage of revenues, was primarily due to higher labor and benefits costs and higher occupancy costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Sullivan's restaurant operating expenses increased to 51.1%% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 50.9% in the first two quarters of 2016. This increase in restaurant operating expenses, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to higher labor and benefits costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Grille restaurant operating expenses increased to 58.4% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 56.4% in the first two quarters of 2016. This increase in restaurant operating expenses, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to higher labor and benefits costs, higher occupancy costs, and higher other operating expenses.

Table of Contents

Marketing and Advertising Costs. Consolidated marketing and advertising costs decreased by \$0.5 million to \$2.9 million in the first two quarters of 2017 compared to \$3.5 million in the first two quarters of 2016. As a percentage of consolidated revenues, consolidated marketing and advertising costs decreased to 1.8% in the first two quarters of 2017 from 2.1% in the first two quarters of 2016.

As a percentage of revenues, Del Frisco's marketing and advertising costs decreased to 1.6% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 1.9% in the first two quarters of 2016. Marketing and advertising costs, as a percentage of revenues, decreased primarily due to lower print media, broadcast media and other marketing costs, partially offset by higher digital advertising costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Sullivan's marketing and advertising costs decreased to 2.3% during the first two quarters of 2017 from 2.6% in the first two quarters of 2016. Marketing and advertising costs, as a percentage of revenues, decreased primarily due to lower print media, broadcast media and other marketing costs, partially offset by higher digital advertising costs.

As a percentage of revenues, Grille marketing and advertising costs decreased to 1.6% during the first two quarters of 2017 compared to 2.2% in the first two quarters of 2016. The decrease in marketing and advertising costs, as a percentage of revenues, was primarily due to lower print media, broadcast media and other marketing costs, partially offset by higher digital advertising costs.

Pre-opening Costs. Pre-opening costs increased by \$1.3 million to \$2.0 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$0.7 million in the first two quarters of 2016 due primarily the timing of new restaurants under construction versus the prior year comparable period. Pre-opening costs include non-cash straight line rent, which is incurred during construction and can precede a restaurant opening by four to six months.

General and Administrative Costs. General and administrative costs increased to \$12.1 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$11.8 million in the first two quarters of 2016. As a percentage of revenues, general and administrative costs remained at 7.3% in the first two quarters of 2017 compared to the first two quarters of 2016. This increase was primarily related to increases in travel expenses and professional fees. General and administrative costs are expected to decrease as a percentage of total revenues over time as we leverage investments made in our people and systems.

Lease Termination and Closing Costs. During the first two quarters of 2017, we incurred approximately \$0.5 million in charges related to the closure of the Seattle, Washington and Houston, Texas Sullivan's restaurants. In the first two quarters of 2016, we incurred \$41,000 in charges related to restaurants closed in 2015.

Consulting Project Costs. Consulting project costs were \$2.6 million in the first two quarters of 2017. These costs are primarily related to consumer insight research supporting the Grille restaurants and we expect minimal such costs after the second quarter of 2017. No such costs were incurred in the first two quarters of 2016.

Reorganization Severance. Reorganization severance costs were \$0.7 million in the first two quarters of 2017. These costs are primarily related to the costs associated with replacing certain employees in leadership positions as a part of strategic initiatives effected by our executive leadership team. No such costs were incurred in the first two quarters of 2016.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$1.4 million, or 16.2%, to \$9.8 million in the first two quarters of 2017 from \$8.4 million in the first two quarters of 2016. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense primarily resulted from restaurant openings and remodeled restaurants over the past six quarters.

Income Tax Expense. The effective income tax rate for the first two quarters of 2017 was 26.1% compared to 30.3% for the first two quarters of 2016. The factors that cause the effective tax rates to vary from the federal statutory rate of 35% include the impact of FICA tip and other credits, partially offset by state income taxes and certain non-deductible expenses.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our principal liquidity requirements are our lease obligations and capital expenditure needs. We expect to finance our operations for at least the next several years, including costs of opening currently planned new restaurants, through cash provided by operations and borrowings available under our credit facility. However, we cannot be sure that these sources will be sufficient to finance our operations, and we may seek additional financing in the future. As of June 13, 2017, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$2.1 million.

Our operations have not required significant working capital and, like many restaurant companies, we may at times have negative working capital. Revenues are received primarily in cash or by credit card, and restaurant operations do not require significant receivables or inventories, other than our wine inventory. In addition, we receive trade credit for the purchase of food, beverages and supplies, thereby reducing the need for incremental working capital to support growth.

21

Table of Contents

The following table presents a summary of our cash flows (in thousands):

| | 24 Weeks Ended | |
|---|------------------|------------------|
| | June 13, 2017 | June 14, 2016 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$15,075 | \$17,563 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (20,626) | (13,663) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (6,926) | (4,441) |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | \$(12,477) | \$(541) |

Operating Activities. Net cash flows provided by operating activities decreased by \$2.5 million during the first two quarters of 2017 as compared to the first two quarters of 2016, primarily due to a \$4.5 million decrease in net income, a \$4.2 million decrease in other liabilities, and a \$0.8 million decrease in deferred revenue, partially offset by a \$2.0 million increase in prepaid expenses and other assets, a \$1.8 million increase in accounts payable, a \$1.5 million increase in income taxes, and a \$1.4 million increase in depreciation and amortization.

Investing Activities. Net cash used in investing activities for the first two quarters of 2017 was \$20.6 million, consisting primarily of purchases of property and equipment. The property and equipment purchases primarily related to construction in progress of one Del Frisco's restaurant and one Grille restaurant and remodel activity of existing restaurants. Net cash used in investing activities for the first two quarters of 2016 was \$13.7 million, consisting primarily of purchases of property and equipment. These purchases primarily related to construction of one Del Frisco's restaurant and one Grille restaurant in progress at the end of the period and remodel activity of existing restaurants.

Financing Activities. Net cash used in financing activities for the first two quarters of 2017 was \$6.9 million, which was primarily due to \$29.9 million of treasury stock repurchases, partially offset by \$22.8 million in proceeds from our credit facility. Net cash used in financing activities for the first two quarters of 2016 was \$4.4 million, primarily related to \$4.5 million of payments on the outstanding balance under our credit facility.

Capital Expenditures. We typically target an average cash investment of approximately \$7.0 million to \$9.0 million per restaurant for a Del Frisco's restaurant and \$3.0 million to \$4.5 million for a Sullivan's restaurant or a Grille restaurant, in each case net of landlord contributions and equipment financing and including pre-opening costs. In addition, we are currently "refreshing" a number of our Sullivan's and Del Frisco's restaurants. These capital expenditures will primarily be funded by cash flows from operations and, if necessary, by the use of our credit facility, depending upon the timing of expenditures.

Credit Facility. See Note 4, Long-Term Debt in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements for information regarding our credit facility.

We believe that net cash provided by operating activities and available borrowings under our credit facility will be sufficient to fund currently anticipated working capital, planned capital expenditures and debt service requirements for the next 24 months. We regularly review acquisitions and other strategic opportunities, which may require additional debt or equity financing.

Common Stock Repurchase Program. On February 15, 2017, our Board of Directors increased the authorized capacity under our existing stock repurchase program, which was initially approved on October 14, 2014, authorizing us to repurchase up to \$50 million of our common stock from that date forward. Under the repurchase program, we may from time to time purchase our outstanding common stock in the open market at management's discretion, subject to share price, market conditions and other factors. The repurchase program does not obligate us to repurchase any dollar amount or number of shares. As of June 13, 2017, we had repurchased 2,253,508 shares of our common stock at an aggregate cost of approximately \$37.8 million since the inception of the repurchase program in 2014, leaving approximately \$20.1 million in remaining capacity.

We repurchased 1,761,294 shares of our common stock at an aggregate cost of approximately \$29.9 million during the first two quarters of 2017.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At June 13, 2017 and December 26, 2017, we did not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements, as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K.

Critical Accounting Policies

There have been no material changes to the critical accounting policies from what was previously reported in the 2016 10-K. The effects of new accounting pronouncements are discussed in Note 1, Business And Basis Of Presentation in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk

We are exposed to market risk from fluctuations in interest rates. For fixed rate debt, interest rate changes affect the fair market value of the debt but do not impact earnings or cash flows. Conversely for variable rate debt, including borrowings available under our credit facility, interest rate changes generally do not affect the fair market value of the debt, but do impact future earnings and cash flows, assuming other factors are held constant. As of June 13, 2017, there was \$22.8 million of outstanding borrowings on the Company's credit facility. Holding other variables constant, a hypothetical immediate one percentage point change in interest rates would be expected to have an impact on pre-tax earnings and cash flows of approximately \$10,000 per \$1.0 million of outstanding debt.

Commodity Price Risk

We are exposed to market price fluctuations in beef, seafood, produce and other food product prices. Given the historical volatility of beef, seafood, produce and other food product prices, these fluctuations can materially impact our food and beverage costs. While we have taken steps to qualify multiple suppliers who meet our standards as suppliers for our restaurants and enter into agreements with suppliers for some of the commodities used in our restaurant operations, there can be no assurance that future supplies and costs for such commodities will not fluctuate due to weather and other market conditions outside of our control. We are currently unable to contract for some of our commodities, such as fresh seafood and certain produce, for periods longer than one week. Consequently, such commodities can be subject to unforeseen supply and cost fluctuations. Dairy costs can also fluctuate due to government regulation. Because we typically set our menu prices in advance of our food product prices, our menu prices cannot immediately take into account changing costs of food items. To the extent that we are unable or unwilling to pass the increased costs on to our customers through price increases, our results of operations would be adversely affected. We do not use financial instruments to hedge our risk to market price fluctuations in beef, seafood, produce and other food product prices at this time.

Inflation

Over the past five years, inflation has not significantly affected our operations. However, the impact of inflation on labor, food and occupancy costs could, in the future, significantly affect our operations. We pay many of our tipped employees hourly rates related to the applicable federal or state minimum wage. Food costs as a percentage of revenues have been somewhat stable due to procurement efficiencies and menu price adjustments, although no assurance can be made that our procurement will continue to be efficient or that we will be able to raise menu prices in the future. Costs for construction, taxes, repairs, maintenance and insurance all impact our occupancy costs. We believe that our current strategy, which is to seek to maintain operating margins through a combination of menu price increases, cost controls, careful evaluation of property and equipment needs, and efficient purchasing practices, has been an effective tool for dealing with inflation. There can be no assurance, however, that future inflationary or other cost pressures will be effectively offset by this strategy.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on this evaluation, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

The design of any system of control is based upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated objectives under all future events, no matter how remote, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may not deteriorate. Because of its inherent limitations, disclosure controls and procedures may not prevent or detect all misstatements. Accordingly, even effective disclosure controls and procedures can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving their control objectives.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) of the Exchange Act) that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II – Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to various claims and legal actions, including class actions, arising in the ordinary course of business from time to time, including claims related to food quality, personal injury, contract matters, health, wage and employment and other issues. None of these types of litigation, most of which are covered by insurance, has had a material effect on us, and as of the date of this report, we are not a party to any material pending legal proceedings and are not aware of any claims that we believe could have a materially adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes from our risk factors as previously reported in our 2016 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

On February 15, 2017, our Board of Directors increased the authorized capacity under our existing stock repurchase program, which was initially approved on October 14, 2014, authorizing us to repurchase up to \$50 million of our common stock from that date forward. Under the repurchase program, we may from time to time purchase our outstanding common stock in the open market at management's discretion, subject to share price, market conditions and other factors. The repurchase program does not obligate us to repurchase any dollar amount or number of shares.

Common stock repurchase activity during the second quarter of 2017 was as follows:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---------------------------------|---|--|--|--|
| March 22, 2017 - April 18, 2017 | 139,176 | \$ 17.83 | 139,176 | \$22,421,466 |
| April 19, 2017 - May 16, 2017 | 10,483 | \$ 18.01 | 10,483 | \$22,232,664 |
| May 17, 2017 - June 13, 2017 | 129,043 | \$ 16.83 | 129,043 | \$20,060,316 |
| Total | 278,702 | \$ 17.38 | 278,702 | \$20,060,316 |

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Item 5. Other Information

None.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit

Number Description

10.1 Employment Agreement, dated May 5, 2017, by and between Neil H. Thomson and Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc., filed on May 8, 2017, as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

10.2 Fifth Amendment, dated as of May 24, 2017, to Loan Agreement, as amended, dated as of October 15, 2012, by and among Del Frisco's Restaurant Group, Inc., certain subsidiaries as guarantors, and JP Morgan Chase Bank N.A., filed on May 25, 2017, as Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K and incorporated herein by reference.

31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

31.2 Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101.INS XBRL Instance Document

101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document

101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF XBRL Taxonomy Definition Linkbase Document

101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document

101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

25

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 21, 2017

Del Frisco's
Restaurant Group,
Inc.

By: /s/
Norman J.
Abdallah
Norman J.
Abdallah
Chief
Executive
Officer
and
Director
(Principal
Executive
Officer)

By: /s/ Neil H.
Thomson
Neil H.
Thomson
Chief
Financial
Officer
(Principal
Financial
Officer)