

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Apollo Global Management LLC
Form 10-Q
May 11, 2015
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED MARCH 31, 2015 OR

☐ TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT
OF 1934

FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM TO

Commission File Number: 001-35107

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or
organization)

9 West 57th Street, 43rd Floor

New York, New York 10019

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

(212) 515-3200

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

20-8880053

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes ☒ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer	☐	Accelerated filer	☐
Non-accelerated filer	☐	Smaller reporting company	☐

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes ☐ No ☒

As of May 8, 2015 there were 170,449,944 Class A shares and 1 Class B share outstanding.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS		
PART I	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	Page <u>3</u>
ITEM 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Financial Condition (Unaudited) as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	<u>8</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014	<u>9</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014	<u>10</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014	<u>11</u>
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014	<u>12</u>
	<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	<u>13</u>
ITEM 1A.	<u>UNAUDITED SUPPLEMENTAL PRESENTATION OF STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION</u>	<u>68</u>
ITEM 2.	<u>MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS</u>	<u>70</u>
ITEM 3.	<u>QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK</u>	<u>131</u>
ITEM 4.	<u>CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES</u>	<u>133</u>
PART II	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>134</u>
ITEM 1.	<u>LEGAL PROCEEDINGS</u>	<u>134</u>
ITEM 1A.	<u>RISK FACTORS</u>	<u>138</u>
ITEM 2.	<u>UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS</u>	<u>138</u>
ITEM 3.	<u>DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES</u>	<u>139</u>
ITEM 4.	<u>MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES</u>	<u>139</u>
ITEM 5.	<u>OTHER INFORMATION</u>	<u>139</u>

ITEM 6. <u>EXHIBITS</u>	<u>140</u>
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	<u>146</u>

Table of Contents

Forward-Looking Statements

This quarterly report may contain forward-looking statements that are within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”). These statements include, but are not limited to, discussions related to Apollo’s expectations regarding the performance of its business, liquidity and capital resources and the other non-historical statements in the discussion and analysis. These forward-looking statements are based on management’s beliefs, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, management. When used in this quarterly report, the words “believe,” “anticipate,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend” and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Although management believes that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, it can give no assurance that these expectations will prove to have been correct. These statements are subject to certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions, including risks relating to our dependence on certain key personnel, our ability to raise new private equity, credit or real estate funds, market conditions generally, our ability to manage our growth, fund performance, changes in our regulatory environment and tax status, the variability of our revenues, net income and cash flow, our use of leverage to finance our businesses and investments by our funds and litigation risks, among others. We believe these factors include but are not limited to those described under the section entitled “Risk Factors” in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the “SEC”) on February 27, 2015 (the “2014 Annual Report”); as such factors may be updated from time to time in our periodic filings with the SEC, which are accessible on the SEC’s website at www.sec.gov. These factors should not be construed as exhaustive and should be read in conjunction with the other cautionary statements that are included in this quarterly report and in our other filings. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or review any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future developments or otherwise, except as required by applicable law.

Terms Used in This Report

In this quarterly report, references to “Apollo,” “we,” “us,” “our” and the “Company” refer collectively to Apollo Global Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and its subsidiaries, including the Apollo Operating Group and all of its subsidiaries, or as the context may otherwise require;

“AMH” refers to Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, that is an indirect subsidiary of Apollo Global Management, LLC;

“Apollo funds”, “our funds” and references to the “funds” we manage, refer to the funds (including the parallel funds and alternative investment vehicles of such funds), partnerships, accounts, including strategic investment accounts or “SIAs,” alternative asset companies and other entities for which subsidiaries of the Apollo Operating Group provide investment management services;

“Apollo Operating Group” refers to (i) the limited partnerships through which our Managing Partners currently operate our businesses and (ii) one or more limited partnerships formed for the purpose of, among other activities, holding certain of our gains or losses on our principal investments in the funds, which we refer to as our “principal investments”;

“Assets Under Management,” or “AUM,” refers to the assets we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services, including, without limitation, capital that such funds, partnerships and accounts have the right to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments. Our AUM equals the sum of:

- (i) the fair value of the investments of the private equity funds, partnerships and accounts we manage plus the capital that such funds, partnerships and accounts are entitled to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments; the net asset value, or “NAV,” of the credit funds, partnerships and accounts for which we provide investment management services, other than certain collateralized loan obligations (“CLOs”) and collateralized debt obligations (“CDOs”), which have a fee-generating basis other than the mark-to-market value of the underlying assets, plus used or available leverage and/or capital commitments;
- (ii) the gross asset value or net asset value of the real estate funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, and
- (iii) the structured portfolio company investments of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, which includes the leverage used by such structured portfolio company investments;
- (iv) the incremental value associated with the reinsurance investments of the portfolio company assets we manage; and

the fair value of any other assets that we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide (v) investment management services, plus unused credit facilities, including capital commitments to such funds, partnerships and accounts for investments

- 3-

Table of Contents

that may require pre-qualification before investment plus any other capital commitments to such funds, partnerships and accounts available for investment that are not otherwise included in the clauses above.

Our AUM measure includes Assets Under Management for which we charge either no or nominal fees. Our definition of AUM is not based on any definition of Assets Under Management contained in our operating agreement or in any of our Apollo fund management agreements. We consider multiple factors for determining what should be included in our definition of AUM. Such factors include but are not limited to (1) our ability to influence the investment decisions for existing and available assets; (2) our ability to generate income from the underlying assets in our funds; and (3) the AUM measures that we use internally or believe are used by other investment managers. Given the differences in the investment strategies and structures among other alternative investment managers, our calculation of AUM may differ from the calculations employed by other investment managers and, as a result, this measure may not be directly comparable to similar measures presented by other investment managers;

"Fee-Generating AUM" consists of assets we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts for which we provide investment management services and on which we earn management fees or, monitoring fees pursuant to management or other fee agreements on a basis that varies among the Apollo funds, partnerships and accounts we manage.

Management fees are normally based on "net asset value," "gross assets," "adjusted par asset value," "adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments," "capital commitments," "adjusted assets," "stockholders' equity," "invested capital" or "capital contributions," each as defined in the applicable management agreement. Monitoring fees, also referred to as advisory fees, with respect to the structured portfolio company investments of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage are generally based on the total value of such structured portfolio company investments, which normally includes leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered in Fee-Generating AUM.

"Non-Fee-Generating AUM" consists of assets that do not produce management fees or monitoring fees. These assets generally consist of the following:

- (i) fair value above invested capital for those funds that earn management fees based on invested capital;
- (ii) net asset values related to general partner and co-investment ownership;
- (iii) unused credit facilities;
- (iv) available commitments on those funds that generate management fees on invested capital;
- (v) structured portfolio company investments that do not generate monitoring fees; and
- (vi) the difference between gross asset and net asset value for those funds that earn management fees based on net asset value.

"Carry-Eligible AUM" refers to the AUM that may eventually produce carried interest income. All funds for which we are entitled to receive a carried interest income allocation are included in Carry-Eligible AUM, which consists of the following:

- (i) "Carry-Generating AUM," which refers to funds' invested capital that is currently above its hurdle rate or preferred return, and the funds' profit is allocated to the general partner in accordance with the applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements;

- (ii) "AUM Not Currently Generating Carry," which refers to funds' invested capital that is currently below its hurdle rate or preferred return; and

- (iii) "Uninvested Carry-Eligible AUM," which refers to available capital for investment or reinvestment subject to the provisions of applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements that are not currently part of the NAV or fair value of investments that may eventually produce carried interest income, which would be allocated to the general partner.

"AUM with Future Management Fee Potential" refers to the committed uninvested capital portion of total AUM not currently earning management fees. The amount depends on the specific terms and conditions of each fund.

Table of Contents

We use Non-Fee-Generating AUM combined with Fee-Generating AUM as a performance measurement of our funds' investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs.

Non-Fee-Generating AUM includes assets on which we could earn carried interest income;

“capital deployed” or “deployment” is the aggregate amount of capital that has been invested during a given period by (i) our drawdown funds, (ii) SIAs that have a defined maturity date and (iii) funds and SIAs in our real estate debt strategy;

“drawdown” refers to commitment-based funds and certain SIAs in which investors make a commitment to provide capital at the formation of such funds and deliver capital when called as investment opportunities become available. It includes Athene assets managed by Athene Asset Management, L.P. (“Athene Asset Management”) that are invested in commitment-based funds;

“liquid/performing” includes CLOs and other performing credit vehicles, hedge fund style credit funds, structured credit funds and SIAs. It also includes sub-advised managed accounts owned by or related to Athene Holding Ltd. (“Athene Holding”) and its subsidiaries (collectively “Athene”);

“Realized Value” refers to all cash investment proceeds received by the relevant Apollo fund, including interest and dividends, but does not give effect to management fees, expenses, incentive compensation or carried interest to be paid by such Apollo fund;

“Total Invested Capital” refers to the aggregate cash invested by the relevant Apollo fund and includes capitalized costs relating to investment activities, if any, but does not give effect to cash pending investment or available for reserves;

“Total Value” represents the sum of the total Realized Value and Unrealized Value of investments;

“Unrealized Value” refers to the fair value consistent with valuations determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”), for investments not yet realized and may include pay in kind, accrued interest and dividends receivable, if any. In addition, amounts include committed and funded amounts for certain fund investments;

“carried interest,” “carried interest income,” and “incentive income” refer to interests granted to Apollo by an Apollo fund that entitle Apollo to receive allocations, distributions or fees which are based on the performance of such fund or its underlying investments;

“Contributing Partners” refer to those of our partners and their related parties (other than our Managing Partners) who indirectly beneficially own (through Holdings) Apollo Operating Group units;

“gross IRR” of a private equity fund represents the cumulative investment-related cash flows in the fund itself (and not any one investor in the fund) on the basis of the actual timing of investment inflows and outflows (for unrealized investments assuming disposition on March 31, 2015 or other date specified) aggregated on a gross basis quarterly, and the return is annualized and compounded before management fees, carried interest and certain other fund expenses (including interest incurred by the fund itself) and measures the returns on the fund’s investments as a whole without regard to whether all of the returns would, if distributed, be payable to the fund’s investors;

“gross IRR” of a credit fund represents the annualized return of a fund based on the actual timing of all cumulative fund cash flows before management fees, carried interest income allocated to the general partner and certain other fund expenses. Calculations may include certain investors that do not pay fees. The terminal value is the net asset value as of the reporting date. Non-U.S. dollar denominated (“USD”) fund cash flows and residual values are converted to USD using the spot rate as of the reporting date;

“gross IRR” of a real estate fund represents the cumulative investment-related cash flows in the fund itself (and not any one investor in the fund), on the basis of the actual timing of cash inflows and outflows (for unrealized investments assuming disposition on March 31, 2015 or other date specified) starting on the date that each investment closes, and the return is annualized and compounded before management fees, carried interest, and certain other fund expenses (including interest incurred by the fund itself) and measures the returns on the fund’s investments as a whole without regard to whether all of the returns would, if distributed, be payable to the fund’s investors. Non-USD fund cash flows and residual values are converted to USD using the spot rate as of the reporting date;

“gross return” of a credit or real estate fund is the monthly or quarterly time-weighted return that is equal to the percentage change in the value of a fund’s portfolio, adjusted for all contributions and withdrawals (cash flows) before the effects of management fees, incentive fees allocated to the general partner, or other fees and expenses. Returns of

Athene sub-advised portfolios and CLOs represent the gross returns on invested assets, which exclude cash. Returns over multiple periods are calculated by geometrically linking each period's return over time.

- 5-

Table of Contents

“Holdings” means AP Professional Holdings, L.P., a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership through which our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners indirectly beneficially own their interests in the Apollo Operating Group units;

“Managing Partners” refer to Messrs. Leon Black, Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan collectively and, when used in reference to holdings of interests in Apollo or Holdings, includes certain related parties of such individuals;

“net IRR” of a private equity fund means the gross IRR, including returns for related parties which may not pay fees or carried interest, net of management fees, certain fund expenses (including interest incurred by the fund itself) and realized carried interest all offset to the extent of interest income, and measures returns on amounts that, if distributed, would be paid to investors of the fund. To the extent that an Apollo private equity fund exceeds all requirements detailed within the applicable fund agreement, the estimated unrealized value is adjusted such that a percentage of up to 20.0% of the unrealized gain is allocated to the general partner of the fund, thereby reducing the balance attributable to fund investors. Net IRR does not represent the return to any fund investor;

“net IRR” of a credit fund represents the annualized return of a fund after management fees, carried interest income allocated to the general partner and certain other fund expenses, calculated on investors that pay such fees. The terminal value is the net asset value as of the reporting date. Non-USD fund cash flows and residual values are converted to USD using the spot rate as of the reporting date.

“net IRR” of a real estate fund represents the cumulative cash flows in the fund (and not any one investor in the fund), on the basis of the actual timing of cash inflows received from and outflows paid to investors of the fund (assuming the ending net asset value as of March 31, 2015 or other date specified is paid to investors), excluding certain non-fee and non-carry bearing parties, and the return is annualized and compounded after management fees, carried interest, and certain other expenses (including interest incurred by the fund itself) and measures the returns to investors of the fund as a whole. Non-USD fund cash flows and residual values are converted to USD using the spot rate as of the reporting date.

“net return” of a credit or real estate fund represents the gross return after management fees, incentive fees allocated to the general partner, or other fees and expenses. Returns of Athene sub-advised portfolios and CLOs represent the gross or net returns on invested assets, which exclude cash. Returns over multiple periods are calculated by geometrically linking each period’s return over time.

“our manager” means AGM Management, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that is controlled by our Managing Partners;

“permanent Capital Vehicles” refers to (a) assets that are managed by Athene Asset Management, (b) assets that are owned by or related to MidCap FinCo Limited (“MidCap”) and managed by Apollo Capital Management, L.P., and (c) assets of publicly traded vehicles managed by Apollo such as AP Alternative Assets, L.P. (“AAA”), Apollo Investment Corporation (“AINV”), Apollo Commercial Real Estate Finance, Inc. (“ARI”), Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc. (“AMTG”), Apollo Tactical Income Fund Inc. (“AIF”), and Apollo Senior Floating Rate Fund Inc. (“AFT”), in each case that do not have redemption provisions or a requirement to return capital to investors upon exiting the investments made with such capital, except as required by applicable law. The investment management arrangements of AINV, AIF and AFT have one year terms, are reviewed annually and remain in effect only if approved by the boards of directors of such companies or by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the outstanding voting shares of such companies, including in either case, approval by a majority of the directors who are not “interested persons” as defined in the Investment Company Act of 1940. In addition, the investment management arrangements of AINV, AIF and AFT may be terminated in certain circumstances upon 60 days’ written notice. The investment management arrangements of ARI and AMTG have one year terms and are reviewed annually by each company’s board of directors and may be terminated under certain circumstances by an affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of such company’s independent directors. The investment management arrangements between MidCap and Apollo Capital Management, L.P. and Athene and Athene Asset Management may also be terminated under certain circumstances;

“private equity investments” refer to (i) direct or indirect investments in existing and future private equity funds managed or sponsored by Apollo, (ii) direct or indirect co-investments with existing and future private equity funds managed or sponsored by Apollo, (iii) direct or indirect investments in securities which are not immediately capable

of resale in a public market that Apollo identifies but does not pursue through its private equity funds, and (iv) investments of the type described in (i) through (iii) above made by Apollo funds;

“Strategic Investors” refer to the California Public Employees’ Retirement System, or “CalPERS,” and an affiliate of the Abu Dhabi Investment Authority, or “ADIA”; and

"traditional private equity fund appreciation (depreciation)" refers to gain (loss) and income for the traditional private equity funds (i.e., Funds I-VIII) for the periods presented on a total return basis before giving effect to fees and expenses. The performance percentage is determined by dividing (a) the change in the fair value of investments over the period presented, minus the change

- 6-

Table of Contents

in invested capital over the period presented, plus the realized value for the period presented, by (b) the beginning unrealized value for the period presented plus the change in invested capital for the period presented.

- 7-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (UNAUDITED)
MARCH 31, 2015 AND DECEMBER 31, 2014
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$929,016	\$1,204,052
Cash and cash equivalents held at consolidated funds	840	1,611
Restricted cash	6,247	6,353
Investments	2,952,215	2,880,006
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities:		
Cash and cash equivalents	671,067	1,088,952
Investments, at fair value	16,360,341	15,658,653
Other assets	714,732	323,240
Carried interest receivable	896,181	911,666
Due from affiliates	277,941	268,015
Fixed assets, net	36,074	35,906
Deferred tax assets	590,239	606,717
Other assets	111,993	84,384
Goodwill	49,243	49,243
Intangible assets, net	51,672	60,039
Total Assets	\$23,647,801	\$23,178,837
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity		
Liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$49,030	\$44,246
Accrued compensation and benefits	53,923	59,278
Deferred revenue	220,665	199,614
Due to affiliates	559,733	565,153
Profit sharing payable	463,410	434,852
Debt	1,030,093	1,034,014
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:		
Debt, at fair value	14,683,535	14,123,100
Other liabilities	744,713	728,718
Other liabilities	43,926	46,401
Total Liabilities	17,849,028	17,235,376
Commitments and Contingencies (see note 13)		
Shareholders' Equity:		
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:		
Class A shares, no par value, unlimited shares authorized, 167,912,379 and 163,046,554 shares issued and outstanding at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, — respectively	—	—
Class B shares, no par value, unlimited shares authorized, 1 share issued and outstanding at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014	—	—
Additional paid in capital	2,114,747	2,254,283
Accumulated deficit	(1,369,734)	(1,400,661)
Appropriated partners' capital	914,872	933,166
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(2,938)	(306)
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	1,656,947	1,786,482

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	3,351,290	3,222,195
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	790,536	934,784
Total Shareholders' Equity	5,798,773	5,943,461
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$23,647,801	\$23,178,837
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.		

- 8-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)
THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2015 AND 2014
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Revenues:		
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$8,543	\$116,065
Management fees from affiliates	209,207	209,791
Carried interest income from affiliates	59,068	165,544
Total Revenues	276,818	491,400
Expenses:		
Compensation and benefits:		
Salary, bonus and benefits	87,633	80,530
Equity-based compensation	20,103	58,978
Profit sharing expense	48,629	103,959
Total Compensation and Benefits	156,365	243,467
Interest expense	7,440	3,114
General, administrative and other	22,919	24,678
Professional fees	15,233	19,452
Occupancy	9,958	9,903
Placement fees	1,520	1,786
Depreciation and amortization	10,978	11,719
Total Expenses	224,413	314,119
Other Income:		
Net gains from investment activities	12,686	223,408
Net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	134,703	47,735
Income (loss) from equity method investments	(1,314)	) 22,910
Interest income	725	3,328
Other income, net	4,874	17,531
Total Other Income	151,674	314,912
Income before income tax provision	204,079	492,193
Income tax provision	(5,514)	) (32,549)
Net Income	198,565	459,644
Net income attributable to Non-controlling Interests	(167,638)	) (387,475)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$30,927	\$72,169
Distributions Declared per Class A Share	\$0.86	\$1.08
Net Income Per Class A Share:		
Net Income Available to Class A Share – Basic	\$0.09	\$0.32
Net Income Available to Class A Share –Diluted	\$0.09	\$0.32
Weighted Average Number of Class A Shares Outstanding – Basic	165,968,620	147,776,519
Weighted Average Number of Class A Shares Outstanding – Diluted	165,968,620	147,776,519
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF
 COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)
 THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2015 and 2014
 (dollars in thousands, except share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Net Income	\$198,565	\$459,644
Other Comprehensive Loss, net of tax:		
Allocation of currency translation adjustment of consolidated CLOs and fund entities	(9,066	) —
Net gain from change in fair value of cash flow hedge instruments	26	—
Net loss on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investment)	(33	) (4
Total Other Comprehensive Loss, net of tax	(9,073	) (4
Comprehensive Income	189,492	459,640
Comprehensive Income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	(67,892	) (362,652
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$121,600	\$96,988
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.		

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES
 IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY (UNAUDITED)
 THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2015 AND 2014
 (dollars in thousands, except share data)

Apollo Global Management, LLC Shareholders

	Class A Shares	Class B Shares	Additional Paid in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Appropriated Partners' Capital	Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)	Total Apollo Global Management, LLC Shareholders' Equity	Non- Controlling Interests in Consolidated Entities	Non- Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group
Balance at January 1, 2014	146,280,784	1	\$2,624,582	\$(1,568,487)	\$1,581,079	\$95	\$2,637,269	\$2,669,730	\$1,381,723
Dilution impact of issuance of Class A shares	—	—	658	—	—	—	658	—	—
Capital increase related to equity-based compensation	—	—	58,682	—	—	—	58,682	—	—
Capital contributions	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	131,153	—
Distributions	—	—	(186,344)	—	(90,755)	—	(277,099)	(27,803)	(247,271)
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	2,671,869	—	—	(631)	—	—	(631)	—	—
Purchase of AAA shares	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	(312)	—
Net transfers of AAA ownership interest to (from)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	—	—	(3,423)	—	—	—	(3,423)	3,423	—
Satisfaction of liability related to AAA RDUs	—	—	1,183	—	—	—	1,183	—	—
Net income	—	—	—	72,169	24,823	—	96,992	207,552	155,100
Net loss on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investment)	—	—	—	—	—	(4)	(4)	—	—
	148,952,653	1	\$2,495,338	\$(1,496,949)	\$1,515,147	\$91	\$2,513,627	\$2,983,743	\$1,289,552

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Balance at March
31, 2014

Balance at January 1, 2015	163,046,554	1	\$ 2,254,283	\$(1,400,661)	\$ 933,166	\$(306)	\$ 1,786,482	\$ 3,222,195	\$ 934,784	
Dilution impact of issuance of Class A shares	—		—965	—	—	—	965	—	—	
Capital increase related to equity-based compensation	—		—17,383	—	—	—	17,383	—	—	
Capital contributions	—		—	—	—	—	—	159,777	—	
Distributions	—		—(159,658)	—	(111,599)	—	(271,257)	(53,263)	(191,311)	
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	4,640,825		—2,451	—	—	—	2,451	—	—	
Net transfers of AAA ownership interest to (from) Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	—		—(2,716)	—	—	—	(2,716)	2,716	—	
Satisfaction of liability related to AAA RDUs	—		—1,075	—	—	—	1,075	—	—	
Exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares	225,000		—964	—	—	—	964	—	(964)	
Net income	—		—	30,927	92,164	—	123,091	27,462	48,012	
Allocation of currency translation adjustment of consolidated CLOs and fund entities	—		—	—	1,141	(2,610)	(1,469)	(7,597)	—	
Change in cash flow hedge instruments	—		—	—	—	11	11	—	15	
Net loss on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investment)	—		—	—	—	(33)	(33)	—	—	
Balance at March 31, 2015	167,912,379	1	\$ 2,114,747	\$(1,369,734)	\$ 914,872	\$(2,938)	\$ 1,656,947	\$ 3,351,290	\$ 790,536	

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)
 THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2015 AND 2014
 (dollars in thousands, except share data)

	2015	2014	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:			
Net income	\$198,565	\$459,644	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:			
Equity-based compensation	20,103	58,978	
Depreciation and amortization	10,978	11,719	
Cash distributions of earnings from equity method investments	2,421	23,261	
(Income) Loss from equity method investments	1,314	(22,910)	)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	(2,451)	) —	
Deferred taxes, net	17,260	(7,426)	)
Other non-cash amounts included in net income, net	(15,593)	) (27,127)	)
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Carried interest receivable	3,842	363,377	
Due from affiliates	(12,725)	) (55,709)	)
Other assets	(13,394)	) (11,397)	)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	7,235	34,262	
Accrued compensation and benefits	(5,877)	) 9,512	
Deferred revenue	21,051	57,641	
Due to affiliates	(22,131)	) (67,448)	)
Profit sharing payable	25,690	(89,120)	)
Other liabilities	(5,046)	) 5,179	
Apollo Funds related:			
Net realized gains from investment activities	(11,408)	) (17,129)	)
Net unrealized gains from investment activities	(156,596)	) (204,372)	)
Net realized gains on debt	—	(357)	)
Net unrealized losses on debt	68,218	14,119	
Change in cash held at consolidated variable interest entities	389,530	41,212	
Purchases of investments	(2,198,905)	) (2,717,322)	)
Proceeds from sale of investments and liquidating distributions	1,571,701	2,580,260	
Change in other assets	(407,166)	) (370,788)	)
Change in other liabilities	44,358	344,380	
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Operating Activities	\$(469,026)	) \$412,439	
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:			
Purchases of fixed assets	(2,803)	) (1,520)	)
Proceeds from disposals of fixed assets	2	11	
Cash contributions to equity method investments	(36,419)	) (34,916)	)
Cash distributions from equity method investments	7,287	11,689	
Change in restricted cash	106	(45)	)
Cash distributions for loans	(10,026)	) —	
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	\$(41,853)	) \$(24,781)	)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Satisfaction of contingent obligations	(4,929)	) (14,558)	)
Distributions related to deliveries of Class A shares for RSUs	—	(631)	)
Distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(2,811)	) (5,231)	)

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Distributions paid	(144,394	) (167,209	)
Distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(191,311	) (247,271	)
Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements	2,451	—	
Apollo Funds related:			
Issuance of debt	909,905	1,023,967	
Principal repayment of debt	(331,565	) (918,736	)
Purchase of AAA units	—	(312	)
Distributions paid	(111,599	) (90,755	)
Distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated variable interest entities	(50,452	) (12,471	)
Contributions from Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated variable interest entities	159,777	96,153	
Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities	\$235,072	\$(337,054	)
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(275,807	) 50,604	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	1,205,663	1,079,537	
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$929,856	\$1,130,141	
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:			
Interest paid	\$2,639	\$3,494	
Interest paid by consolidated variable interest entities	61,501	39,328	
Income taxes paid	1,860	1,644	
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Investing Activities:			
Non-cash contributions to equity method investments	\$31,347	\$—	
Non-cash distributions from equity method investments	(1,630	) (702	)
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-Cash Financing Activities:			
Declared and unpaid distributions	\$(15,264	) \$(19,135	)
Capital increases related to equity-based compensation	17,383	58,682	
Other non-cash financing	2,007	1,837	
Adjustments related to exchange of Apollo Operating Group units:			
Non-Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group	\$964	\$—	
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.			

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

1. ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Apollo Global Management, LLC (together with its consolidated subsidiaries, the “Company” or “Apollo”) is a global alternative investment manager whose predecessor was founded in 1990. Its primary business is to raise, invest and manage private equity, credit and real estate funds as well as strategic investment accounts (“SIAs”), on behalf of pension, endowment and sovereign wealth funds, as well as other institutional and individual investors. For these investment management services, Apollo receives management fees generally related to the amount of assets managed, transaction and advisory fees and carried interest income related to the performance of the respective funds that it manages. Apollo has three primary business segments:

• Private equity—primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt investments;

• Credit—primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and

• Real estate—primarily invests in real estate equity for the acquisition and recapitalization of real estate assets, portfolios, platforms and operating companies, and real estate debt including first mortgage and mezzanine loans, preferred equity and commercial mortgage backed securities.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“U.S. GAAP”) for interim financial information and instructions to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The condensed consolidated financial statements and these notes are unaudited and exclude some of the disclosures required in annual financial statements. Management believes it has made all necessary adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring items) so that the condensed consolidated financial statements are presented fairly and that estimates made in preparing its condensed consolidated financial statements are reasonable and prudent. The operating results presented for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any other interim period or for the entire year. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its wholly-owned or majority-owned subsidiaries, the consolidated entities which are considered to be variable interest entities (“VIEs”) and for which the Company is considered the primary beneficiary, and certain entities which are not considered VIEs but which the Company controls through a majority voting interest. Intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2014 included in the 2014 Annual Report.

Certain reclassifications, when applicable, have been made to the prior period's condensed consolidated financial statements and notes to conform to the current period's presentation and are disclosed accordingly.

Organization of the Company

The Company was formed as a Delaware limited liability company on July 3, 2007 and completed a reorganization of its predecessor businesses on July 13, 2007 (the “2007 Reorganization”). The Company is managed and operated by its manager, AGM Management, LLC, which in turn is indirectly wholly-owned and controlled by Leon Black, Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan (the “Managing Partners”).

As of March 31, 2015, the Company owned, through four intermediate holding companies that include APO Corp., a Delaware corporation that is a domestic corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, APO Asset Co., LLC, a Delaware limited liability company that is a disregarded entity for U.S. federal income tax purposes, APO (FC), LLC, an Anguilla limited liability company that is treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes and APO (FC II), LLC, an Anguilla limited liability company that is treated as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes (collectively, the “Intermediate Holding Companies”), 43.0% of the economic interests of, and operated and controlled all of the businesses and affairs of, the Apollo Operating Group through its wholly-owned subsidiaries.

AP Professional Holdings, L.P., a Cayman Islands exempted limited partnership ("Holdings"), is the entity through which the Managing Partners and certain of the Company's other partners (the "Contributing Partners") indirectly beneficially own interests in each of the partnerships that comprise the Apollo Operating Group ("AOG Units"). As of March 31, 2015, Holdings owned the remaining 57.0% of the economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group. The Company consolidates the financial

- 13-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

results of the Apollo Operating Group and its consolidated subsidiaries. Holdings' ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group is reflected as a Non-Controlling Interest in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Pursuant to an exchange agreement between Apollo, Holdings and the other parties thereto (as amended, the "Exchange Agreement"), the holders of the AOG Units (and certain permitted transferees thereof) may, upon notice and subject to the applicable vesting and minimum retained ownership requirements, transfer restrictions and other terms of the Exchange Agreement, exchange their AOG Units for the Company's Class A shares on a one-for-one basis a limited number of times each year, subject to customary conversion rate adjustments for splits, distributions and reclassifications. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, a holder of AOG Units must simultaneously exchange one partnership unit in each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships to effectuate an exchange for one Class A share. As a holder exchanges its AOG Units, the Company's indirect interest in the Apollo Operating Group is correspondingly increased.

2. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation—The types of entities with which Apollo is involved generally include subsidiaries (e.g., general partners and management companies related to the funds the Company manages), entities that have all the attributes of an investment company (e.g., funds) and securitization vehicles (e.g., collateralized loan obligations). Each of these entities is assessed for consolidation on a case by case basis depending on the specific facts and circumstances surrounding that entity.

Pursuant to its consolidation policy, the Company first considers the appropriate consolidation guidance to apply including consideration of whether the entity qualifies for certain scope exceptions and whether the entity should be evaluated under either the previous rules on consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIEs") or the amended consolidation rules depending on whether or not the entity qualifies for the deferral as further described below. The Company then performs an assessment to determine whether that entity qualifies as a VIE. An entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE if any one of the following conditions exist: (a) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the legal entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (b) the holders of equity investment at risk (as a group) lack either the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions about a legal entity's activities that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity or the obligation to absorb the expected losses or right to receive the expected residual returns, or (c) the voting rights of some investors are disproportionate to their obligation to absorb the expected losses of the legal entity, their rights to receive the expected residual returns of the legal entity, or both and substantially all of the legal entity's activities either involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with disproportionately few voting rights. Entities that do not qualify as VIEs are generally assessed for consolidation as voting interest entities ("VOEs") under the voting interest model.

Under the voting interest model, Apollo consolidates those entities it controls through a majority voting interest or through other means, including those VOEs in which the general partner is presumed to have control. Apollo does not consolidate those VOEs in which the presumption of control by the general partner has been overcome through either the granting of substantive rights to the unaffiliated investors to either dissolve the fund or remove the general partner ("kick-out rights") or the granting of substantive participating rights.

As previously indicated, the consolidation assessment, including the determination as to whether an entity qualifies as a VIE depends on the facts and circumstances surrounding each entity and therefore certain of Apollo's funds may qualify as VIEs whereas others may qualify as VOEs. The granting of substantive kick-out rights is a key consideration in determining whether an entity is a VIE and whether or not that entity should be consolidated. For example, when the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk of a fund with sufficient equity to permit the fund to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support are not granted substantive kick-out rights

and the Company is not part of the group of holders of equity investment at risk, the fund is generally determined to be a VIE, as the holders of equity investment at risk as a group lack the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity. Alternatively, when the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk are granted substantive kick-out rights, the fund is generally determined to be a VOE. However, in certain cases where the Company holds a substantive equity investment at risk in the fund, the fund may be determined to be a VOE even though substantive kick-out rights were not granted to the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk. In these cases, the Company is part of the group of holders of equity investment at risk and therefore the holders of equity investment at risk as a group do not lack the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity.

If the entity is determined to be a VIE under the conditions above, the Company then assesses whether the entity should be consolidated by applying either the previous consolidation rules or the amended consolidation rules depending on whether the entity qualifies for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules as further described below.

- 14-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

VIEs that qualify for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules because certain conditions are met, including if the entities have all the fundamental characteristics (and a number of the typical characteristics) of an investment company and are not securitization or asset-backed financing entities, will continue to apply the previous consolidation rules. VIEs that are securitization or asset-backed financing entities will apply the amended consolidation rules. Under both sets of rules, VIEs for which Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary are consolidated.

With respect to VIEs such as Apollo's funds that qualify for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules and therefore apply the previous consolidation rules, Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary if its involvement, through holding interests directly or indirectly in the VIE or contractually through other variable interests (e.g., carried interest and management fees), would be expected to absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses, receive a majority of the VIE's expected residual returns, or both. In cases where two or more Apollo related parties hold a variable interest in a VIE, and the aggregate variable interest held by those parties would, if held by a single party, identify that party as the primary beneficiary, then the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary to the extent it is the party within the related party group that is most closely associated with the VIE.

For VIEs such as Apollo's CLOs that apply the amended consolidation rules, the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary if it holds a controlling financial interest defined as possessing both (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. CLOs are generally determined to be VIEs if they are formed solely to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt and therefore do not have sufficient total equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. With respect to such CLOs, Apollo generally possesses a controlling financial interest in, and therefore consolidates, such CLOs in accordance with the amended consolidation rules when Apollo's role as collateral manager provides the Company with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the CLO's economic performance and the Company has the right to receive certain benefits from the CLO (e.g., incentive fees) that could potentially be significant to the CLO.

Under the previous and the amended consolidation rules, Apollo determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE at the time it becomes initially involved with the VIE and reconsiders that conclusion continuously. Investments and redemptions (either by Apollo, affiliates of Apollo or third parties) or amendments to the governing documents of the respective entity may affect an entity's status as a VIE or the determination of the primary beneficiary.

The assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and the determination of whether Apollo should consolidate such VIE requires judgments. Under both sets of rules, those judgments include, but are not limited to: (i) determining whether the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (ii) evaluating whether the holders of equity investment at risk, as a group, can make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the entity, (iii) determining whether two or more parties' equity interests should be aggregated, (iv) determining whether the equity investors have proportionate voting rights to their obligations to absorb losses or rights to receive the expected residual returns from an entity, and (v) evaluating the nature of the relationship and activities of the parties involved in determining which party within a related-party group is most closely associated with the VIE. Where the VIEs have qualified for the deferral, judgments are also made in estimating cash flows to evaluate which member within the equity group absorbs a majority of the expected losses or residual returns of the VIE. Where the VIEs have not qualified for the deferral, judgments are also made in determining whether a member in the equity group has a controlling financial interest including power to direct activities that most significantly impact the VIEs' economic performance and rights to receive benefits or obligations to absorb losses that could be potentially significant to the VIE.

Certain of the consolidated VIEs were formed to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt backed by financial assets. The difference between the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs is presented within

appropriated partners' capital in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as these VIEs are funded solely with debt. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs and the related interest and other income is presented within net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Such amounts are recorded within appropriated partners' capital as, in each case, the VIEs' note holders, not Apollo, will ultimately receive the benefits or absorb the losses associated with the VIEs' assets and liabilities.

Assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs are shown in separate sections within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

- 15-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

For additional disclosures regarding VIEs, see note 4. Intercompany transactions and balances, if any, have been eliminated in consolidation.

Equity Method Investments—For investments in entities over which the Company exercises significant influence but which do not meet the requirements for consolidation, the Company uses the equity method of accounting, whereby the Company records its share of the underlying income or loss of such entities. Income (loss) from equity method investments is recognized as part of other income (loss) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. The carrying amounts of equity method investments are reflected in investments in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As the underlying entities that the Company manages and invests in are, for U.S. GAAP purposes, primarily investment companies which reflect their investments at estimated fair value, the carrying value of the Company's equity method investments in such entities approximates fair value.

Non-Controlling Interests—For entities that are consolidated, but not 100% owned, a portion of the income or loss and corresponding equity is allocated to owners other than Apollo. The aggregate of the income or loss and corresponding equity that is not owned by the Company is included in Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements. As of March 31, 2015, the Non-Controlling Interests relating to Apollo Global Management, LLC primarily include the ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group held by the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners through their limited partner interests in Holdings and other ownership interests in consolidated entities, which primarily consist of the ownership interest held by limited partners in AP Alternative Assets, L.P. ("AAA"). Non-Controlling Interests also include limited partner interests of Apollo managed funds in certain consolidated VIEs.

Non-Controlling Interests are presented as a separate component of shareholders' equity on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The primary components of Non-Controlling Interests are separately presented in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity to clearly distinguish the interest in the Apollo Operating Group and other ownership interests in the consolidated entities. Net income (loss) includes the net income (loss) attributable to the holders of Non-Controlling Interests on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations. Profits and losses are allocated to Non-Controlling Interests in proportion to their relative ownership interests regardless of their basis.

Revenues—Revenues are reported in three separate categories that include (i) advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, which relate to the investments of the funds and may include individual monitoring agreements the Company has with the portfolio companies and debt investment vehicles of the private equity funds and credit funds; (ii) management fees from affiliates, which are based on committed capital, invested capital, net asset value, gross assets or as otherwise defined in the respective agreements; and (iii) carried interest income (loss) from affiliates, which is normally based on the performance of the funds subject to preferred return.

Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates, Net—Advisory and transaction fees, including directors' fees, are recognized when the underlying services rendered are substantially completed in accordance with the terms of the transaction and advisory agreements. Additionally, during the normal course of business, the Company incurs certain costs related to certain transactions that are not consummated ("broken deal costs"). These costs (e.g., research costs, due diligence costs, professional fees, legal fees and other related items) are determined to be broken deal costs upon management's decision to no longer pursue the transaction. In accordance with the related fund agreement, in the event the deal is deemed broken, all of the costs are reimbursed by the funds and then included as a component of the calculation of the Management Fee Offset described below. If a deal is successfully completed, Apollo is reimbursed by the fund or fund's portfolio company for all costs incurred and no offset is generated. As the Company acts as an agent for the funds it manages, any transaction costs incurred and paid by the Company on behalf of the respective funds relating to successful or broken deals are presented net on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, and any receivable from the respective funds is presented in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, also includes underwriting fees. Underwriting fees include gains, losses and fees, net of syndicate expenses, arising from securities offerings in which one of the Company's subsidiaries participates in the underwriter syndicate. Underwriting fees are recognized at the time the underwriting is completed and the income is reasonably assured and are included in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Underwriting fees recognized but not received are included in other assets on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

As a result of providing advisory services to certain private equity and credit portfolio companies, Apollo is generally entitled to receive fees for transactions related to the acquisition, in certain cases, and disposition of portfolio companies as well

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

as ongoing monitoring of portfolio company operations and directors' fees. The amounts due from portfolio companies are included in due from affiliates, which is discussed further in note 12. Under the terms of the limited partnership agreements for certain funds, the management fee payable by the funds may be subject to a reduction based on a certain percentage of such advisory and transaction fees, net of applicable broken deal costs ("Management Fee Offset"). Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates are presented net of the Management Fee Offset in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Management Fees from Affiliates—Management fees for private equity, credit, and real estate funds are recognized in the period during which the related services are performed in accordance with the contractual terms of the related agreement, and are generally based upon (1) a percentage of the capital committed during the commitment period, and thereafter based on the remaining invested capital of unrealized investments, or (2) net asset value, gross assets or as otherwise defined in the respective agreements.

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates—Apollo is entitled to an incentive return that can normally amount to as much as 20% of the total returns on a fund's capital, depending upon performance. Performance-based fees are assessed as a percentage of the investment performance of the funds. The carried interest income from affiliates for any period is based upon an assumed liquidation of the fund's net assets on the reporting date, and distribution of the net proceeds in accordance with the fund's income allocation provisions. Carried interest receivable is presented separately in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The carried interest income from affiliates may be subject to reversal to the extent that the carried interest income recorded exceeds the amount due to the general partner based on a fund's cumulative investment returns. When applicable, the accrual for potential repayment of previously received carried interest income, which is a component of due to affiliates, represents all amounts previously distributed to the general partner that would need to be repaid to the Apollo funds if these funds were to be liquidated based on the current fair value of the underlying funds' investments as of the reporting date. The actual general partner obligation, however, would not become payable or realized until the end of a fund's life.

Deferred Revenue—Apollo earns management fees subject to the Management Fee Offset. When advisory and transaction fees are earned by the management company, the Management Fee Offset reduces the management fee obligation of the fund. When the management company receives cash for advisory and transaction fees, a certain percentage of such advisory and/or transaction fees, as applicable, is allocated as a credit to reduce future management fees, otherwise payable by such fund. Such credit is classified as deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. A portion of any excess advisory and transaction fees may be required to be returned to the limited partners of certain funds upon such fund's liquidation. As the management fees earned by the management company are presented on a gross basis, any Management Fee Offsets calculated are presented as a reduction to Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Additionally, Apollo earns advisory fees pursuant to the terms of the advisory agreements with certain of the portfolio companies that are owned by the funds. When Apollo receives a payment from a portfolio company that exceeds the advisory fees earned at that point in time, the excess payment is classified as deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The advisory agreements with the portfolio companies vary in duration and the associated fees are received monthly, quarterly or annually. Deferred revenue is reversed and recognized as revenue over the period that the agreed upon services are performed.

Under the terms of the funds' partnership agreements, Apollo is normally required to bear organizational expenses over a set dollar amount and placement fees or costs in connection with the offering and sale of interests in the funds to investors. The placement fees are payable to placement agents, who are independent third parties that assist in identifying potential investors, securing commitments to invest from such potential investors, preparing or revising offering and marketing materials, developing strategies for attempting to secure investments by potential investors and/or providing feedback and insight regarding issues and concerns of potential investors, when a limited partner either commits or funds a commitment to a fund. In certain instances the placement fees are paid over a period of

time. Based on the management agreements with the funds, Apollo considers placement fees and organizational costs paid in determining if cash has been received in excess of the management fees earned. Placement fees and organizational costs are normally the obligation of Apollo but can be paid for by the funds. When these costs are paid by the fund, the resulting obligations are included within deferred revenue. The deferred revenue balance will also be reduced during future periods when management fees are earned but not paid.

Investments, at Fair Value—The Company follows U.S. GAAP attributable to fair value measurements which, among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about investments that are measured and reported at fair value.

Investments, at fair value, represent investments of the consolidated funds, investments of the consolidated VIEs and certain financial instruments for which the fair value option was elected. The unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value are reflected as

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

net gains (losses) from investment activities and net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated VIEs, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, investments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level I—Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The type of investments included in Level I include listed equities and listed derivatives. As required by U.S. GAAP, the Company does not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where the Company holds a large position and the sale of such position would likely deviate from the quoted price.

Level II—Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies. Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on observable inputs. These investments exhibit higher levels of liquid market observability as compared to Level III investments. The Company subjects broker quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II investment. These criteria include, but are not limited to, the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Level III—Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and includes situations where there is little observable market activity for the investment. The inputs into the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation. Investments that are included in this category generally include general and limited partner interests in corporate private equity and real estate funds, opportunistic credit funds, distressed debt and non-investment grade residual interests in securitizations and CDOs and CLOs where the fair value is based on observable inputs as well as unobservable inputs. When a security is valued based on broker quotes, the Company subjects those quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II or Level III investment. These criteria include, but are not limited to, the number and quality of the broker quotes, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the investment when the fair value is based on unobservable inputs.

In cases where an investment or financial instrument that is measured and reported at fair value is transferred between levels of the fair value hierarchy, the Company accounts for the transfer as of the end of the reporting period.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes valuation committees consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to the investments of the funds it manages. For certain publicly traded vehicles, a review is performed by an independent board of directors. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analyses. However, because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, those estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the investments existed, and the differences could be material.

Private Equity Investments

The value of liquid investments, where the primary market is an exchange (whether foreign or domestic) is determined using period end market prices. Such prices are generally based on the close price on the date of determination.

- 18-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair value of investments that are less liquid include the market approach and the income approach. The market approach provides an indication of fair value based on a comparison of the subject company to comparable publicly traded companies and transactions in the industry. The market approach is driven more by current market conditions, including actual trading levels of similar companies and, to the extent available, actual transaction data of similar companies. Judgment is required by management when assessing which companies are similar to the subject company being valued. Consideration may also be given to such factors as the Company's historical and projected financial data, valuations given to comparable companies, the size and scope of the Company's operations, the Company's strengths, weaknesses, expectations relating to the market's receptivity to an offering of the Company's securities, applicable restrictions on transfer, industry and market information and assumptions, general economic and market conditions and other factors deemed relevant. The income approach provides an indication of fair value based on the present value of cash flows that a business or security is expected to generate in the future. The most widely used methodology in the income approach is a discounted cash flow method. Inherent in the discounted cash flow method are assumptions of expected results and a calculated discount rate.

Credit Investments

The majority of the investments in Apollo's credit funds are valued based on quoted market prices and valuation models. Debt and equity securities that are not publicly traded or whose market prices are not readily available are valued at fair value utilizing recognized pricing services, market participants or other sources. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value. The credit funds also enter into foreign currency exchange contracts, total return swap contracts, credit default swap contracts, and other derivative contracts, which may include options, caps, collars and floors. Foreign currency exchange contracts are marked-to-market by recognizing the difference between the contract exchange rate and the current market rate as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. If securities are held at the end of this period, the changes in value are recorded in income as unrealized. Realized gains or losses are recognized when contracts are settled. Total return swap contracts and credit default swap contracts are recorded at fair value as an asset or liability with changes in fair value recorded as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Realized gains or losses are recognized at the termination of the contract based on the difference between the close-out price of the total return or credit default swap contract and the original contract price.

Forward contracts are valued based on market rates obtained from counterparties or prices obtained from recognized financial data service providers. When determining fair value pricing when no market value exists, the value attributed to an investment is based on the enterprise value at the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair value of illiquid credit investments also may use the income approach or market approach. The valuation approaches used consider, as applicable, market risks, credit risks, counterparty risks and foreign currency risks.

Real Estate Investments

The estimated fair value of commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") in Apollo's funds is determined by reference to market prices provided by certain dealers who make a market in these financial instruments. Broker quotes are only indicative of fair value and may not necessarily represent what the funds would receive in an actual trade for the applicable instrument. Additionally, the loans held-for-investment are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs for certain investments. The Company evaluates its loans for possible impairment on a quarterly basis. For Apollo's opportunistic and value added real estate funds, valuations of non-marketable underlying investments are determined using methods that include, but are not limited to (i) discounted cash flow estimates or comparable analysis prepared internally, (ii) third party appraisals or valuations by qualified real estate appraisers, and (iii) contractual sales value of investments/properties subject to bona fide

purchase contracts. Methods (i) and (ii) also incorporate consideration of the use of the income, cost, or sales comparison approaches of estimating property values.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Except for the Company's debt obligations (as described in note 9), Apollo's financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts whose carrying values approximate fair value. See "Investments, at Fair Value" above. While Apollo's valuations of portfolio investments are based on assumptions that Apollo believes are reasonable under the circumstances, the actual realized gains or losses will depend on, among other factors, future operating results, the value of the assets and market conditions at the

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

time of disposition, any related transaction costs and the timing and manner of sale, all of which may ultimately differ significantly from the assumptions on which the valuations were based. Financial instruments' carrying values generally approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of those instruments or variable interest rates related to the borrowings.

Fair Value Option—Apollo has elected the fair value option for the Company's investment in Athene Holding Ltd. ("Athene Holding" and together with its subsidiaries, "Athene") and for the assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs. Such election is irrevocable and is applied to financial instruments on an individual basis at initial recognition. Apollo has applied the fair value option for certain corporate loans, other investments and debt obligations held by the consolidated VIEs that otherwise would not have been carried at fair value. See notes 3, 4, and 5 for further disclosure on the investments in Athene Holding and financial instruments of the consolidated VIEs for which the fair value option has been elected.

Financial Instruments held by Consolidated VIEs

The consolidated VIEs hold investments that could be traded over-the-counter. Investments in securities that are traded on a securities exchange or comparable over-the-counter quotation systems are valued based on the last reported sale price at that date. If no sales of such investments are reported on such date, and in the case of over-the-counter securities or other investments for which the last sale date is not available, valuations are based on independent market quotations obtained from market participants, recognized pricing services or other sources deemed relevant, and the prices are based on the average of the "bid" and "ask" prices, or at ascertainable prices at the close of business on such day. Market quotations are generally based on valuation pricing models or market transactions of similar securities adjusted for security-specific factors such as relative capital structure priority and interest and yield risks, among other factors. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value.

The consolidated VIEs also have debt obligations that are recorded at fair value. The primary valuation methodology used to determine fair value for debt obligations is market quotation. Prices are based on the average of the "bid" and "ask" prices. In the event that market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used. The model based approach used to estimate the fair values of debt obligations for which market quotations are not available is the discounted cash flow method, which includes consideration of the cash flows of the debt obligation based on projected quarterly interest payments and quarterly amortization. Debt obligations are discounted based on the appropriate yield curve given the loan's respective maturity and credit rating. Management uses its discretion and judgment in considering and appraising relevant factors for determining the valuations of the consolidated VIEs' debt obligations.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets must be reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Identifiable finite-life intangible assets, by contrast, are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which are periodically re-evaluated for impairment or when circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. Apollo amortizes its identifiable finite-life intangible assets using a method of amortization reflecting the pattern in which the economic benefits of the finite-life intangible asset are consumed or otherwise used up. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, Apollo uses the straight-line method of amortization. At June 30, 2014, the Company performed its annual impairment testing, and, as the fair value of each of the Company's reporting units was in excess of its carrying value, there was no impairment of goodwill. Additionally, there was no impairment of goodwill or indefinite-life intangible assets as of March 31, 2015.

Compensation and Benefits

Equity-Based Compensation—Equity-based awards granted to employees as compensation are measured based on the grant date fair value of the award. Equity-based awards that do not require future service (i.e., vested awards) are expensed immediately. Equity-based employee awards that require future service are expensed over the relevant service period. The Company estimates forfeitures for equity-based awards that are not expected to vest. Equity-based

awards granted to non-employees for services provided to affiliates are remeasured to fair value at the end of each reporting period and expensed over the relevant service period.

Salaries, Bonus and Benefits—Salaries, bonus and benefits include base salaries, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, severance and employee benefits. Bonuses are generally accrued over the related service period.

- 20-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The Company sponsors a 401(k) savings plan whereby U.S.-based employees are entitled to participate in the plan based upon satisfying certain eligibility requirements. The Company may provide discretionary contributions from time to time. No contributions relating to this plan were made by the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Profit Sharing Expense—Profit sharing expense primarily consists of a portion of carried interest recognized in one or more funds allocated to employees and former employees. Profit sharing expense is recognized on an accrued basis as the related carried interest income is earned. Profit sharing expense can be reversed during periods when there is a decline in carried interest income that was previously recognized. Additionally, profit sharing amounts previously distributed may be subject to clawback from employees, former employees and Contributing Partners.

Changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations that were recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions are reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as profit sharing expense.

The Company has a performance based incentive arrangement for certain Apollo partners and employees designed to more closely align compensation on an annual basis with the overall realized performance of the Company. This arrangement enables certain partners and employees to earn discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company in a given year, which amounts are reflected in profit sharing expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Other Income (Loss)

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities—Net gains (losses) from investment activities include both realized gains and losses and the change in unrealized gains and losses in the Company's investment portfolio between the opening reporting date and the closing reporting date. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the net realized and unrealized gains (losses) of investments, at fair value. For the Company's investments held by AAA (see note 3), a portion of the net gains (losses) from investment activities are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities—Changes in the fair value of the consolidated VIEs' assets and liabilities and related interest, dividend and other income and expenses subsequent to consolidation are presented within net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Other Income (Loss), Net—Other income (loss), net includes the recognition of gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, reversal of a portion of the tax receivable agreement liability (see note 12), gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of derivative instruments associated with fees from certain of the Company's affiliates, gains arising from extinguishment of contingent consideration obligations and other miscellaneous non-operating income and expenses.

Net Income (Loss) Per Class A Share—U.S. GAAP requires use of the two-class method of computing earnings per share for all periods presented for each class of common stock and participating security as if all earnings for the period had been distributed. Under the two-class method, during periods of net income, the net income is first reduced for distributions declared on all classes of securities to arrive at undistributed earnings. During periods of net losses, the net loss is reduced for distributions declared on participating securities only if the security has the right to participate in the earnings of the entity and an objectively determinable contractual obligation to share in net losses of the entity.

The remaining earnings are allocated to Class A shares and participating securities to the extent that each security shares in earnings as if all of the earnings for the period had been distributed. Earnings or losses allocated to each class of security are then divided by the applicable number of shares to arrive at basic earnings per share. For the diluted earnings, the denominator includes all outstanding Class A shares and includes the number of additional Class A shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential Class A shares had been issued. The numerator is

adjusted for any changes in income or loss that would result from the issuance of these potential Class A shares.

Use of Estimates—The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods.

Apollo's most significant estimates include goodwill, intangible assets, income taxes, carried interest income from affiliates, contingent consideration obligations related to

- 21 -

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

acquisitions, non-cash compensation, and fair value of investments and debt. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows— During the second quarter of 2014, the Company identified that return on capital related to cash distributions from equity method investments had been previously reported as cash flows provided by investing activities. Cash flows received from equity method investments should have been separately identified as either return of investment or return on investment. Cash flows from the return of investment should be presented in cash flows provided by investing activities and return on investment presented within cash flows provided by operating activities. The Company restated the previously presented cash flows for these cash distributions from equity method investments and, in doing so, for the three months ended March 31, 2014, the condensed consolidated statement of cash flows was restated to increase net cash flows provided by operating activities by \$23.3 million with a corresponding decrease in net cash flows provided by investing activities. The Company has evaluated the effect of the incorrect presentation both qualitatively and quantitatively, and concluded that it did not have a material impact on, nor require amendment of, any previously filed annual or quarterly consolidated financial statements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In April 2014, the FASB issued guidance to improve the definition of discontinued operations and to enhance convergence between the FASB's and International Accounting Standard Board's (IASB) reporting requirements for discontinued operations. The new definition of discontinued operations limits discontinued operations reporting to disposals of components of an entity that represent strategic shifts that have (or will have) a major effect on an entity's operations and financial results. The new guidance affects entities that have either of the following: (1) a component of an entity that either is disposed of or meets the criteria under current guidance to be classified as held for sale or (2) a business or nonprofit activity that, on acquisition, meets the criteria under current guidance to be classified as held for sale. The guidance is effective for all disposals (or classifications as held for sale) of components of an entity and all businesses or nonprofit activities that, on acquisition, are classified as held for sale that occur within annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014, and interim periods within those years. Early adoption is permitted, but only for disposals (or classifications as held for sale) that have not been reported in financial statements previously issued or available for issuance. The adoption of this guidance did not have a material impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued guidance to establish a comprehensive and converged standard on revenue recognition to enable financial statement users to better understand and consistently analyze an entity's revenue across industries, transactions, and geographies. The core principle of the new guidance is that an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. To achieve that core principle, an entity should apply the following steps: (1) identify the contract(s) with a customer, (2) identify the performance obligations in the contract, (3) determine the transaction price, (4) allocate the transaction price to the performance obligations in the contract, and (5) recognize revenue when (or as) the entity satisfies a performance obligation. The new guidance also specifies the accounting for certain costs to obtain or fulfill a contract with a customer. The new guidance requires improved disclosures to help users of financial statements better understand the nature, amount, timing, and uncertainty of revenue that is recognized. Qualitative and quantitative information is required to be disclosed about: (1) contracts with customers, (2) significant judgments and changes in judgments, and (3) assets recognized from costs to obtain or fulfill a contract. The new guidance will apply to all entities. The guidance currently is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. However, in April 2015, the FASB issued for public comment proposed guidance that would defer the effective date of its new revenue recognition standard by one year. Stakeholders are to review and provide comment

on the proposal by May 29, 2015. The proposed guidance would permit public organizations to apply the new revenue standard to interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017. Public entities would be permitted to adopt the new revenue standard early, but not before the original public organization effective date (i.e., for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016). The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements, including the timing of the recognition of carried interest income.

In June 2014, the FASB issued guidance to resolve diversity in practice in the accounting for share-based payments where the terms of an award provide that a performance target could be achieved after the requisite service period. The new guidance requires that a performance target that affects vesting and that could be achieved after the requisite service period be treated as a performance condition. Accordingly, the performance target should not be reflected in estimating the grant-date fair value of the award. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has

- 22-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

already been rendered. If the performance target becomes probable of being achieved before the end of the requisite service period, the remaining unrecognized compensation cost should be recognized prospectively over the remaining requisite service period. The total amount of compensation cost recognized during and after the requisite service period should reflect the number of awards that ultimately vest. The requisite service period ends when the employee can cease rendering service and still be eligible to vest in the award if the performance target is achieved. The new guidance applies to all reporting entities that grant their employees share-based payments in which the terms of the award provide that a performance target that affects vesting could be achieved after the requisite service period. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early application is permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance to eliminate diversity in practice in the accounting for measurement differences in both the initial consolidation and subsequent measurement of the financial assets and the financial liabilities of a collateralized financing entity. A reporting entity that consolidates a collateralized financing entity within the scope of the new guidance may elect to measure the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that collateralized financing entity using either the measurement alternative included in the new guidance or the existing guidance on fair value measurement. When the measurement alternative is not elected for a consolidated collateralized financing entity within the scope of the new guidance, the new guidance clarifies that (1) the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities of the consolidated collateralized financing entity should be measured using the requirements of the existing guidance on fair value measurement and (2) any differences in the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities of that consolidated collateralized financing entity should be reflected in earnings and attributed to the reporting entity in the consolidated statement of income (loss). When a reporting entity elects the measurement alternative included in the new guidance for a collateralized financing entity, the reporting entity should measure both the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that collateralized financing entity in its consolidated financial statements using the more observable of the fair value of the financial assets and the fair value of the financial liabilities. The guidance applies to a reporting entity that is required to consolidate a collateralized financing entity under the existing variable interest entity guidance when (1) the reporting entity measures all of the financial assets and the financial liabilities of that consolidated collateralized financing entity at fair value in the consolidated financial statements based on other guidance and (2) the changes in the fair values of those financial assets and financial liabilities are reflected in earnings. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted as of the beginning of an annual period. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on the recognition of appropriated partners' capital, although the impact on net income attributable to the Company is not expected to be material.

In August 2014, the FASB issued guidance regarding management's responsibility to evaluate whether there is substantial doubt about an entity's ability to continue as a going concern and to provide related footnote disclosures. The new guidance requires that management evaluate each annual and interim reporting period whether conditions exist that give rise to substantial doubt about the entity's ability to continue as a going concern within one year from the financial statement issuance date, and if so, provide related disclosures. Disclosures are only required if conditions give rise to substantial doubt, whether or not the substantial doubt is alleviated by management's plans. No disclosures are required specific to going concern uncertainties if an assessment of the conditions does not give rise to substantial doubt. Substantial doubt exists when conditions and events, considered in the aggregate, indicate that it is probable that a company will be unable to meet its obligations as they become due within one year after the financial statement issuance date. If substantial doubt is alleviated as a result of the consideration of management's plans, a company

should disclose information that enables users of financial statements to understand all of the following (or refer to similar information disclosed elsewhere in the footnotes): (1) principal conditions that initially give rise to substantial doubt, (2) management's evaluation of the significance of those conditions in relation to the company's ability to meet its obligations, and (3) management's plans that alleviated substantial doubt. If substantial doubt is not alleviated after considering management's plans, disclosures should enable investors to understand the underlying conditions, and include the following: (1) a statement indicating that there is substantial doubt about the company's ability to continue as a going concern within one year after the issuance date, (2) the principal conditions that give rise to substantial doubt, (3) management's evaluation of the significance of those conditions in relation to the company's ability to meet its obligations, and (4) management plans that are intended to mitigate the adverse conditions. The new guidance applies to all companies. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016. Early adoption is permitted. This guidance is not expected to have an impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company.

In November 2014, the FASB issued guidance to clarify how current U.S. GAAP should be interpreted in evaluating the economic characteristics and risks of a host contract in a hybrid financial instrument that is issued in the form of a share.

- 23-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Specifically, the new guidance clarifies that an entity should consider all relevant terms and features-including the embedded derivative feature being evaluated for bifurcation when evaluating the nature of the host contract. Further, the new guidance clarifies that no single term or feature would necessarily determine the economic characteristics and risks of the host contract. Rather, the nature of the host contract depends upon the economic characteristics and risks of the entire hybrid financial instrument. The new guidance applies to all entities that are issuers of, or investors in, hybrid financial instruments that are issued in the form of a share. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this guidance will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

In January 2015, the FASB issued guidance to simplify income statement presentation by eliminating the concept of extraordinary items. Existing guidance requires that an entity separately classify, present, and disclose extraordinary events and transactions. If an event or transaction meets the criteria for extraordinary classification, an entity is required to segregate the extraordinary item from the results of ordinary operations and show the item separately in the income statement, net of tax, after income from continuing operations. The entity is also required to disclose applicable income taxes and either present or disclose earnings-per-share data applicable to the extraordinary item. The new guidance eliminates the requirement for reporting entities to consider whether an underlying event or transaction is extraordinary. However, the presentation and disclosure requirements under existing guidance for items that are unusual in nature or occur infrequently will be retained and will be expanded to include items that are both unusual in nature and infrequently occurring. Under the new guidance, items that are both unusual in nature and infrequently occurring should be presented within income from continuing operations or disclosed in the notes to the financial statements. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted provided that the guidance is applied from the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption. This guidance is not expected to have an impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company.

In February 2015, the FASB issued new guidance which changes the analysis that a reporting entity must perform to determine whether it should consolidate certain types of legal entities. Existing guidance includes different requirements for performing a consolidation analysis if, among other factors, the entity under evaluation is any one of the following: (1) a legal entity that qualifies for the indefinite deferral under the amended consolidation rules, (2) a legal entity that is within the scope of the amended consolidation rules, or (3) a limited partnership or similar entity that is considered a voting interest entity. Under the new guidance, all reporting entities are within the scope of the new standard, including limited partnerships and similar legal entities, unless a scope exception applies. The presumption that a general partner controls a limited partnership has been eliminated. In addition, fees paid to decision makers that meet certain conditions (e.g., are both customary and commensurate with the level of effort required for the services provided) no longer cause decision makers to consolidate VIEs in certain instances. The new guidance places more emphasis in the consolidation evaluation on variable interests other than the fee arrangements such as principal investment risk (for example, debt or equity interests), guarantees of the value of the assets or liabilities of the VIE, written put options on the assets of the VIE, or similar obligations, including some liquidity commitments or agreements (explicit or implicit). Additionally, the new guidance reduces the extent to which related party arrangements cause an entity to be considered a primary beneficiary. The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period, and adjustments should be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. A reporting entity may apply the new guidance using either a modified retrospective approach by recording a cumulative-effect adjustment to equity as of the beginning of the fiscal year of adoption or by applying the amendments retrospectively. As this guidance is expected to result in the deconsolidation of certain investment

vehicles the Company manages, this guidance is expected to have a material impact on the condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company.

In April 2015, the FASB issued guidance to simplify the presentation of debt issuance costs. The guidance requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of that debt liability (i.e., versus being capitalized as an asset and amortized as required under existing guidance), consistent with debt discounts. The recognition and measurement guidance for debt issuance costs is not affected by the new guidance (i.e., debt issuance costs will continue to be amortized as an increase to interest expense). The guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015. Early adoption is permitted for financial statements that have not been previously issued. An entity should apply the new guidance on a retrospective basis, wherein the balance sheet of each individual period presented should be adjusted to reflect the period-specific effects of applying the new guidance. The Company is in the process of evaluating the impact that this new guidance will have on its condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

3. INVESTMENTS

The following table represents Apollo's investments:

	As of March 31, 2015	As of December 31, 2014
Investments, at fair value	\$2,516,255	\$2,499,128
Equity method investments	435,960	380,878
Total Investments	\$2,952,215	\$2,880,006

Investments, at Fair Value

Investments, at fair value, consist of financial instruments held by AAA, the Company's investment in Athene Holding, investments held by the Apollo Credit Senior Loan Fund, L.P. ("Apollo Senior Loan Fund"), and other investments held by the Company at fair value. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the net assets of the consolidated funds (excluding VIEs) were \$2,184.9 million and \$2,174.1 million, respectively. The following investments, except the investment in Athene Holding and other investments, are presented as a percentage of net assets of the consolidated funds:

Investments, at Fair Value – Affiliates	As of March 31, 2015 Fair Value				% of Net Assets of Consolidated Funds	As of December 31, 2014 Fair Value				% of Net Assets of Consolidated Funds
	Private Equity	Credit	Total	Cost		Private Equity	Credit	Total	Cost	
AAA	\$2,154,663	\$—	\$2,154,663	\$1,494,358	98.6 %	\$2,144,118	\$—	\$2,144,118	\$1,494,358	98.6
Athene Holding	28,349	301,138	329,487	327,372	N/A	25,104	299,410	324,514	324,293	N/A
Apollo Senior Loan Fund	—	31,598	31,598	30,100	1.4	—	29,896	29,896	30,100	1.4
Other Investments	360	147	507	620	N/A	486	114	600	3,318	N/A
Total	\$2,183,372	\$332,883	\$2,516,255	\$1,852,450	100.0 %	\$2,169,708	\$329,420	\$2,499,128	\$1,852,069	100.0

Securities
As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the sole investment held by AAA was its investment in AAA Investments, L.P. ("AAA Investments"), which is measured based on AAA's share of net asset value of AAA Investments. The following table represents the sole investment of AAA Investments, which constitutes more than five percent of the net assets of the funds that the Company consolidates (excluding VIEs) as of the aforementioned dates:

Instrument Type	As of March 31, 2015		% of Net Assets of Consolidated	Instrument Type	As of December 31, 2014		% of Net Assets of Consolidated
	Fair Value	Cost			Fair Value	Cost	

			Funds			Funds		
Athene Holding	Equity	\$2,254,033	\$1,361,120	103.2	% Equity	\$2,244,192	\$1,363,532	103.2 %

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, AAA Investments' portfolio consisted of a single investment in the equity of Athene Holding. Athene Holding is the ultimate parent of various insurance company operating subsidiaries. Through its subsidiaries, Athene Holding provides insurance products focused primarily on the retirement market and its business centers primarily on issuing or reinsuring fixed indexed annuities.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, AAA, through its investment in AAA Investments, was the largest equity holder of Athene Holding with an economic ownership stake of approximately 47.0% and 47.7%, respectively (calculated as if the commitments in the Athene Private Placement (as defined below) closed through March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were fully drawn down but without giving effect to (i) restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan (ii)

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

common shares to be issued under the Amended Athene Services Agreement (as defined in note 12) subsequent to March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 or (iii) the common shares to be issued under the Amended AAA Services Agreement subsequent to December 31, 2014 and effectively held 45% of the voting power of Athene. AAA Investments' ownership interest in Athene is held indirectly through its subsidiaries.

At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, Athene's fair value was determined using the embedded value method which was based on the present value of the future expected regulatory distributable income generated by the net assets of Athene plus the excess capital (i.e., the capital in excess of what is required to be held against Athene's liabilities). The net assets of Athene consist of the current and projected assets less the current and projected liabilities related to in force insurance contracts. For purposes of the excess capital calculation the assets are valued at fair value using our valuation methodology disclosed in note 2. The approach of using actuarially projected asset and liability income to value an insurance company is widely used by market participants in the insurance industry, particularly in private company acquisitions. The embedded value of the in force insurance contracts incorporates actuarial projections of expected income utilizing most recently available policyholder contract and experience data, industry information and assumptions, general economic and market conditions, and other factors deemed relevant, including the cost of capital. In addition, consideration is also given to comparable company multiples in the determination of fair value.

Athene Holding

As further described in note 12, during 2014, Athene Holding raised \$1.2 billion of net equity commitments (the "Athene Private Placement"), which was priced at \$26 per common share of Athene Holding. In connection with the Athene Private Placement, both the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative (as defined in note 12) were settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivatives were terminated. Following settlement of these derivatives, future monitoring fees and management fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement and the Amended AAA Services Agreement, respectively, will be paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act).

The Company elected the fair value option for its investment in Athene Holding at the time of settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and AAA Services Derivative. The Company has classified this investment as a Level III asset in the fair value hierarchy, as the pricing inputs into the determination of fair value require significant judgment and estimation. The investment is valued based on the price of a common share of Athene Holding, which as of March 31, 2015 was determined using the embedded value method. See note 5 for further discussion regarding fair value leveling and note 12 for further information regarding Athene.

Apollo Senior Loan Fund

On December 31, 2011, the Company became the sole investor in the Apollo Senior Loan Fund and therefore consolidated the assets and liabilities of the fund. The fund invests in U.S. denominated senior secured loans, senior secured bonds and other income generating fixed-income investments. The Company has classified the instruments associated with the Apollo Senior Loan Fund investment within the respective level in the fair value hierarchy. See note 5 for further discussion regarding fair value leveling.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities

Net gains (losses) from investment activities in the condensed consolidated statements of operations include net realized gains (losses) from sales of investments, and the change in net unrealized gains (losses) resulting from changes in fair value or reversal of realization of gains/losses of the consolidated funds' investments and realization of previously unrealized gains/losses. Additionally, net gains from investment activities include changes in the fair value of investments held at fair value. The following tables present Apollo's net gains (losses) from investment activities for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

For the Three Months Ended
March 31, 2015

	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized gains on sales of investments	\$—	\$16	\$16
Change in net unrealized gains due to changes in fair values	10,570	2,100	12,670
Net Gains from Investment Activities	\$10,570	\$2,116	\$12,686

- 26-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Private Equity	Credit	Total
Realized gains on sales of investments	\$—	\$112	\$112
Change in net unrealized gains due to changes in fair values	205,363	17,933	223,296
Net Gains from Investment Activities	\$205,363	\$18,045	\$223,408

Equity Method Investments

Apollo's equity method investments include its investments in Apollo private equity, credit and real estate funds, which are not consolidated, but in which the Company exerts significant influence. Apollo's share of operating income generated by these investments is recorded within income from equity method investments in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Equity method investments as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 consisted of the following:

	Equity Held as of			December		
	March 31,	% of		31, 2014	% of	
	2015	Ownership			Ownership	
Private Equity Funds:						
AAA Investments	\$1,300	0.057	%	\$1,293	0.057	%
Apollo Investment Fund IV, L.P. ("Fund IV")	8	0.036		8	0.022	
Apollo Investment Fund V, L.P. ("Fund V")	63	0.036		68	0.031	
Apollo Investment Fund VI, L.P. ("Fund VI")	6,220	0.115		6,173	0.114	
Apollo Investment Fund VII, L.P. ("Fund VII")	82,475	1.231		78,286	1.223	
Apollo Investment Fund VIII, L.P. ("Fund VIII")	49,934	2.186		33,099	2.241	
Apollo Natural Resources Partners, L.P. ("ANRP")	5,974	0.818		5,608	0.807	
AION Capital Partners Limited ("AION")	16,777	6.029		14,707	6.113	
Apollo Asia Private Credit Fund, L.P. ("APC")	53	0.044		47	0.044	
VC Holdings, L.P. Series A ("Vantium A/B")	15	6.450		12	6.450	
VC Holdings, L.P. Series C ("Vantium C")	64	2.071		48	2.071	
VC Holdings, L.P. Series D ("Vantium D")	178	6.345		180	6.345	
Total Private Equity Funds ⁽⁵⁾	163,061			139,529		
Credit Funds:						
Apollo Special Opportunities Managed Account, L.P. ("SOMA")	6,733	0.812		6,997	0.841	
Apollo Value Strategic Fund, L.P. ("VIF")	141	0.076		146	0.067	
Apollo Strategic Value Fund, L.P. ("SVF")	9	0.034		10	0.033	
Apollo Credit Liquidity Fund, L.P. ("ACLF")	2,621	2.770		4,128	2.771	
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund I, L.P. ("COF I")	2,255	1.873		2,298	1.870	
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund II, L.P. ("COF II")	2,263	1.488		2,249	1.497	
Apollo Credit Opportunity Fund III, L.P. ("COF III")	13,349	1.059		13,102	1.061	
Apollo European Principal Finance Fund, L.P. ("EPF I")	6,470	1.467		7,647	1.449	
Apollo European Principal Finance Fund II, L.P. ("EPF II")	44,911	1.760		44,523	1.760	
Apollo Investment Europe II, L.P. ("AIE II")	3,110	3.080		3,203	1.937	
Apollo Europe Co-Investors III (D), LLC ("AIE III")	2,066	2.922		1,540	2.914	
Apollo Palmetto Strategic Partnership, L.P. ("Palmetto")	14,179	1.186		14,049	1.186	
Apollo Senior Floating Rate Fund Inc. ("AFT")	92	0.034		86	0.031	
Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc. ("AMTG") ⁽³⁾	4,156	⁽¹⁾ 0.592		⁽¹⁾ 4,263	⁽²⁾ 0.593	⁽²⁾
Apollo European Credit, L.P. ("AEC")	2,414	1.115		2,443	1.081	
Apollo European Strategic Investments, L.P. ("AESI")	3,268	0.990		3,834	0.990	
Apollo European Strategic Investments II, L.P. ("AESI II")	420	0.990		123	0.990	
Apollo Centre Street Partnership, L.P. ("ACSP")	11,274	2.481		11,474	2.439	
Apollo Investment Corporation ("AINV") ⁽⁴⁾	58,910	⁽¹⁾ 3.129		⁽¹⁾ 64,382	⁽²⁾ 3.057	⁽²⁾

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Apollo SK Strategic Investments, L.P. ("SK")	1,712	0.990	1,693	0.990	
Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P.	5,153	0.639	5,500	0.720	
CION Investment Corporation ("CION")	1,000	0.172	1,000	0.206	
Apollo Tactical Income Fund Inc. ("AIF")	85	0.032	84	0.032	
Apollo Franklin Partnership, L.P. ("Franklin Fund")	9,240	9.091	9,647	9.091	
Apollo Zeus Strategic Investments, L.P. ("Zeus")	6,666	3.394	6,404	3.392	
Apollo Lincoln Fixed Income Fund, L.P.	1,637	0.993	1,398	0.993	
Apollo Lincoln Private Credit Fund, L.P.	314	0.990	194	0.990	
Apollo Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund III, L.P.	429	0.124	315	0.126	
Apollo Total Return Fund L.P.	165	0.039	163	0.046	
Apollo Credit Short Opportunities Fund L.P.	19	0.016	19	0.027	
MidCap FinCo Limited ("MidCap")	37,874	5.580	—	—	
Total Credit Funds ⁽⁵⁾	242,935		212,914		
Real Estate:					
ARI ⁽³⁾	13,998	(1) 1.494	(1) 13,989	(2) 1.495	(2)
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	11,750	1.845	10,519	1.845	
CPI Capital Partners North America, L.P.	124	0.410	137	0.408	
CPI Capital Partners Europe, L.P.	5	0.001	5	0.001	
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific, L.P.	96	0.039	96	0.039	
Apollo GSS Holding (Cayman), L.P.	3,558	4.750	3,564	4.750	

- 28-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

BEA/AGRE China Real Estate Fund, L.P.	90	1.031	87	1.031
Other	343	NM	38	NM
Total Real Estate Funds ⁽⁵⁾	29,964		28,435	
Total	\$435,960		\$380,878	

(1) Amounts are as of December 31, 2014.

(2) Amounts are as of September 30, 2014.

Investment value includes the fair value of RSUs granted to the Company as of the grant date. These amounts are
 (3) not considered in the percentage of ownership until the RSUs are vested and issued to the Company, at which point the RSUs are converted to common stock and delivered to the Company.

(4) The value of the Company's investment in AINV was \$56,895 and \$53,693 based on the quoted market price as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

(5) Certain funds invest across multiple segments. The presentation in the table above is based on the classification of the majority of such funds' investments.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, no equity method investment held by Apollo met the significance criteria as defined by the SEC. As such, Apollo is not required to present summarized income statement information for any of its equity method investments.

4. VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES

As described in note 2, the Company consolidates entities that are VIEs for which the Company has been designated as the primary beneficiary. The purpose of such VIEs is to provide strategy-specific investment opportunities for investors in exchange for management and performance based fees. The investment strategies of the entities that the Company manages may vary by entity; however, the fundamental risks of such entities have similar characteristics, including loss of invested capital and the return of carried interest income previously distributed to the Company by certain private equity, credit, and real estate entities. The nature of the Company's involvement with VIEs includes direct and indirect investments and fee arrangements. The Company does not provide performance guarantees and has no other financial obligations to provide funding to VIEs other than its own capital commitments. There is no recourse to the Company for the consolidated VIEs' liabilities.

Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

Apollo has consolidated VIEs in accordance with the policy described in note 2. The majority of the consolidated VIEs were formed for the sole purpose of issuing collateralized notes to investors. The assets of these VIEs are primarily comprised of senior secured loans and the liabilities are primarily comprised of debt. Through its role as collateral manager of these VIEs, it was determined that Apollo had the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of these VIEs. Additionally, Apollo determined that the potential fees that it could receive directly and indirectly from these VIEs represent rights to returns that could potentially be significant to such VIEs. As a result, Apollo determined that it is the primary beneficiary and therefore should consolidate the VIEs.

The assets of these consolidated VIEs are not available to creditors of the Company. In addition, the investors in these consolidated VIEs have no recourse against the assets of the Company. The Company has elected the fair value option for financial instruments held by its consolidated VIEs, which includes investments in loans and corporate bonds, as well as debt obligations and contingent obligations held by such consolidated VIEs. Other assets include amounts due from brokers and interest receivables. Other liabilities include payables for securities purchased, which represent open trades within the consolidated VIEs and primarily relate to corporate loans that are expected to settle within the next 60 days. From time to time, Apollo makes investments into certain consolidated CLOs denominated in foreign

currencies. As of March 31, 2015, the Company had invested \$42.5 million in consolidated foreign currency denominated CLOs, which eliminates in consolidation.

Pursuant to the terms of certain bank loan agreements, the consolidated VIEs have unfunded contingent liabilities of \$104.8 million as of March 31, 2015.

Investment in Champ L.P.

On September 30, 2014, the Company, through a wholly-owned subsidiary, acquired a 25.6% ownership interest in Champ L.P. following which a wholly-owned subsidiary of Champ L.P. then acquired a 35% ownership interest in KBC Bank Deutschland AG ("KBC Bank"), the German subsidiary of Belgian KBC Group NV (the "KBC Transaction"). Following the

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

closing of the transaction, KBC Bank was renamed Bremer Kreditbank AG and the bank will operate under the name BKB Bank. As of March 31, 2015, the Company had invested \$16.9 million in Champ L.P. The Company, together with other affiliated investors, in aggregate, own 100% of Champ L.P.

The Company, through its aforementioned wholly-owned subsidiary, is the general partner and primary beneficiary of Champ L.P., which meets the definition of a VIE. Accordingly, the Company has consolidated Champ L.P. in accordance with the policy described in note 2. The Company's investment in Champ L.P. is eliminated in consolidation.

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities

The following table presents net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated VIEs for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Net unrealized gains (losses) from investment activities	\$ 143,960	\$(911)
Net realized gains from investment activities	11,395	17,014
Net gains from investment activities	155,355	16,103
Net unrealized losses from debt	(68,218)	(14,119)
Net realized gains from debt	—	357
Net losses from debt	(68,218)	(13,762)
Interest and other income	179,297	170,994
Interest and other expenses	(131,731)	(125,600)
Net Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities	\$ 134,703	\$47,735

Senior Secured Notes and Subordinated Notes—Included within debt are amounts due to third-party institutions by the consolidated VIEs. The following table summarizes the principal provisions of the debt of the consolidated VIEs as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	As of March 31, 2015			As of December 31, 2014			
	Principal Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years	Principal Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	Weighted Average Remaining Maturity in Years	
Senior Secured Notes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	\$13,756,082	1.65	% 7.8	\$13,459,387	1.60	% 7.8	
Subordinated Notes ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	1,253,175	N/A	⁽¹⁾ 8.9	1,183,834	N/A	⁽¹⁾ 9.0	
Total	\$15,009,257			\$14,643,221			

⁽¹⁾ The subordinated notes do not have contractual interest rates, but instead receive distributions from the excess cash flows of the VIEs.

⁽²⁾ The fair value of Senior Secured Notes and Subordinated Notes as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 was \$14,683.5 million and \$14,123.1 million, respectively.

⁽³⁾

The debt at fair value of the consolidated VIEs is collateralized by assets of the consolidated VIEs and assets of one vehicle may not be used to satisfy the liabilities of another vehicle. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the fair value of the consolidated VIE assets was \$17,746.1 million and \$17,070.8 million, respectively. This collateral consisted of cash and cash equivalents, investments, at fair value, and other assets.

The consolidated VIEs' debt obligations contain various customary loan covenants as described above. As of March 31, 2015, the Company was not aware of any instances of non-compliance with any of these covenants.

- 30-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Variable Interest Entities Which are Not Consolidated

The Company holds variable interests in certain VIEs which are not consolidated, as it has been determined that Apollo is not the primary beneficiary.

The following tables present the carrying amounts of the assets and liabilities of the VIEs for which Apollo has concluded that it holds a significant variable interest, but that it is not the primary beneficiary as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014. In addition, the tables present the maximum exposure to losses relating to those VIEs.

	As of March 31, 2015			As of December 31, 2014	
Total	Total Assets	(1)	Total Liabilities	(2)	Apollo Exposure
	\$12,941,226		\$1,492,327		\$67,585

(1) Consists of \$893.6 million in cash, \$11,728.5 million in investments and \$319.2 million in receivables.

(2) Represents \$318.7 million in debt and other payables, \$1,170.6 million in securities sold, not purchased, and \$3.0 million in capital withdrawals payable.

Represents Apollo's direct equity method investment in those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest. Additionally, cumulative carried interest income is subject to reversal in the event of future losses. The maximum amount of future reversal of carried interest income from all of Apollo's funds, including those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest, is \$2,879.8 million as of March 31, 2015 as discussed in note 13.

	As of December 31, 2014			As of March 31, 2015	
Total	Total Assets	(1)	Total Liabilities	(2)	Apollo Exposure
	\$11,676,038		\$729,515		\$30,752

(1) Consists of \$794.5 million in cash, \$10,456.0 million in investments and \$425.6 million in receivables.

(2) Represents \$362.0 million in debt and other payables, \$359.4 million in securities sold, not purchased, and \$8.2 million in capital withdrawals payable.

Represents Apollo's direct equity method investment in those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest. Additionally, cumulative carried interest income is subject to reversal in the event of future losses. The maximum amount of future reversal of carried interest income from all of Apollo's funds, including those entities in which Apollo holds a significant variable interest, was \$2,892.8 million as of December 31, 2014.

5. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The following tables summarize the valuation of the Company's financial assets and liabilities by the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively:

	As of March 31, 2015			
	Level I ⁽⁶⁾	Level II ⁽⁶⁾	Level III	Total
Assets				
Investment in AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$—	\$—	\$2,154,663	\$2,154,663
Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund ⁽¹⁾	—	28,010	3,588	31,598
Other Investments ⁽¹⁾	—	—	507	507
Investment in Athene Holding ⁽²⁾	—	—	329,487	329,487

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

AAA/Athene Receivable ⁽²⁾	—	—	60,155	60,155
Investments of VIEs, at fair value ⁽⁴⁾	199	14,168,449	2,191,693	16,360,341
Total Assets	\$ 199	\$ 14,196,459	\$ 4,740,093	\$ 18,936,751
Liabilities				
Liabilities of VIEs, at fair value ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	\$—	\$ 1,666,255	\$ 13,030,554	\$ 14,696,809
Contingent Consideration Obligations ⁽³⁾	—	—	98,994	98,994
Total Liabilities	\$—	\$ 1,666,255	\$ 13,129,548	\$ 14,795,803

- 31 -

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	As of December 31, 2014			
	Level I ⁽⁶⁾	Level II ⁽⁶⁾	Level III	Total
Assets				
Investment in AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$—	\$—	\$2,144,118	\$2,144,118
Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund ⁽¹⁾	—	25,537	4,359	29,896
Other Investments ⁽¹⁾	—	—	600	600
Investment in Athene Holding ⁽²⁾	—	—	324,514	324,514
AAA/Athene Receivable ⁽²⁾	—	—	61,292	61,292
Investments of VIEs, at fair value ⁽⁴⁾	176	13,135,564	2,522,913	15,658,653
Total Assets	\$176	\$13,161,101	\$5,057,796	\$18,219,073
Liabilities				
Liabilities of VIEs, at fair value ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾	\$—	\$1,793,353	\$12,343,021	\$14,136,374
Contingent Consideration Obligations ⁽³⁾	—	—	96,126	96,126
Total Liabilities	\$—	\$1,793,353	\$12,439,147	\$14,232,500

(1) See note 3 for further disclosure regarding the investment in AAA Investments, investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund, and other investments.

(2) See note 12 for further disclosure regarding the investment in Athene Holding and the AAA/Athene Receivable.

(3) See note 13 for further disclosure regarding contingent consideration obligations.

(4) See note 4 for further disclosure regarding VIEs.

As of March 31, 2015, liabilities of VIEs, at fair value includes debt and other liabilities of \$14,683.5 million and \$13.3 million, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, liabilities of VIEs, at fair value includes debt and other liabilities of \$14,123.1 million and \$13.3 million, respectively. Other liabilities include contingent obligations classified as Level III.

(6) All Level I and Level II investments and liabilities were valued using third party pricing.

There were no transfers of financial assets or liabilities between Level I and Level II for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables summarize the changes in fair value in financial assets measured at fair value for which Level III inputs have been used to determine fair value for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015

	Investment in AAA Investments	Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund	Other Investments	Investment in Athene Holding	AAA/Athene Receivable	Investments of Consolidated VIEs	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$2,144,118	\$4,359	\$ 600	\$324,514	\$ 61,292	\$ 2,522,913	\$5,057,796
Elimination of investments attributable to consolidation of VIEs	—	—	—	—	—	21,653	21,653
Fees	—	—	—	—	1,942	—	1,942
Purchases	—	1,492	—	—	—	148,168	149,660
Sale of investments/Distributions	—	(648)	—	—	—	(28,911)	(29,559)
Net realized gains	—	4	—	—	—	21,929	21,933
Changes in net unrealized gains (losses)	10,545	(38)	(93)	1,894	—	(21,060)	(8,752)
Cumulative translation adjustment	—	—	—	—	—	(13,109)	(13,109)
Transfer into Level III ⁽²⁾	—	935	—	—	—	261,144	262,079
Transfer out of Level III ⁽²⁾	—	(2,516)	—	—	—	(721,034)	(723,550)
Settlement of derivatives/receivable ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	3,079	(3,079)	—	—
Balance, End of Period	\$2,154,663	\$3,588	\$ 507	\$329,487	\$ 60,155	\$ 2,191,693	\$4,740,093
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) included in Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities related to investments still held at reporting date	\$10,545	\$(38)	\$(93)	\$1,894	\$—	\$—	\$12,308
Change in net unrealized losses included in Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated VIEs related to investments still held at reporting date	—	—	—	—	—	(2,730)	(2,730)

(1) See note 12 for further disclosure regarding the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative, the AAA Services Derivative and the investment in Athene Holding.

Transfers between Level II and III were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these financial assets to various criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014					
	Investment in AAA Investments	Investments held by Apollo Senior Loan Fund	Other Investments	Athene and AAA Services Derivatives	Investments of Consolidated VIEs	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$1,942,051	\$892	\$40,373	\$130,709	\$1,919,537	\$4,033,562
Elimination of investments attributable to consolidation of VIEs	—	—	—	—	(14,620)	(14,620)
Fees	—	—	—	61,728	—	61,728
Purchases	—	—	871	—	265,063	265,934
Sale of investments/Distributions	—	(6)	(78)	—	(181,435)	(181,519)
Net realized losses	—	—	—	—	(954)	(954)
Changes in net unrealized gains	205,364	28	18,158	14,039	17,535	255,124
Transfer into Level III ⁽¹⁾	—	—	—	—	141,353	141,353
Transfer out of Level III ⁽¹⁾	—	(914)	—	—	(309,028)	(309,942)
Balance, End of Period	\$2,147,415	\$—	\$59,324	\$206,476	\$1,837,451	\$4,250,666
Change in net unrealized gains included in Net Gains from Investment Activities related to investments still held at reporting date	\$205,364	\$28	\$18,158	\$—	\$—	\$223,550
Change in net unrealized gains included in Net Gains from Investment Activities of Consolidated VIEs related to investments still held at reporting date	—	—	—	—	14,491	14,491
Change in net unrealized gains included in Other Income, net related to assets still held at reporting date	—	—	—	14,039	—	14,039

Transfers between Level II and III were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these financial assets to various (1) criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables summarize the changes in fair value in financial liabilities measured at fair value for which Level III inputs have been used to determine fair value for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			2014		
	Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	Contingent Consideration Obligations	Total	Debt of Consolidated VIEs	Contingent Consideration Obligations	Total
Balance, Beginning of Period	\$ 12,343,021	\$ 96,126	\$ 12,439,147	\$ 9,994,147	\$ 135,511	\$ 10,129,658
Elimination of debt attributable to consolidation of VIEs	16,885	—	16,885	(15,394)	—	(15,394)
Additions	909,905	—	909,905	750,966	—	750,966
Payments	(209,717)	(4,929)	(214,646)	(558,608)	(14,558)	(573,166)
Net realized gains	—	—	—	(357)	—	(357)
Changes in net unrealized losses	61,496	7,797	69,293	5,633	5,520	11,153
Cumulative translation adjustment	(107,778)	—	(107,778)	—	—	—
Transfers into Level III ⁽¹⁾	67,035	—	67,035	316,252	—	316,252
Transfers out of Level III ⁽¹⁾	(50,293)	—	(50,293)	(70,319)	—	(70,319)
Balance, End of Period	\$ 13,030,554	\$ 98,994	\$ 13,129,548	\$ 10,422,320	\$ 126,473	\$ 10,548,793
Change in net unrealized (gains) included in Net (Losses) Gains from Investment Activities of consolidated VIEs related to liabilities still held at reporting date	\$ 61,183	\$ —	\$ 61,183	\$ 4,996	\$ —	\$ 4,996
Change in net unrealized losses included in Profit Sharing Expense related to liabilities still held at reporting date	—	7,797	7,797	—	5,520	5,520

Transfers between Level II and III were a result of subjecting the broker quotes on these financial liabilities to (1) various criteria which include the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables summarize the quantitative inputs and assumptions used for financial assets and liabilities categorized in Level III of the fair value hierarchy as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	As of March 31, 2015				
	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges	Weighted Average
Financial Assets					
Investments of Consolidated Apollo Funds:					
AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$2,154,663	Net Asset Value	N/A	N/A	N/A
Apollo Senior Loan Fund	3,588	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Investments in Other	507	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Investment in Athene Holding	329,487	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	15.0%	15.0%
AAA/Athene Receivable	60,155	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	15.0%	15.0%
Investments of Consolidated VIEs:					
	946,153	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
	80,785	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	7.3% - 8.5%	8.0%
Bank Debt Term Loans					
	17,113	Market Comparable Companies	Comparable Multiples	6.8x	6.8x
	6,209	Transaction	Purchase Price	N/A	N/A
Corporate Loans/Bonds/CLO Notes ⁽³⁾	1,063,222	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
	2,033	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Equity Securities	55,211	Transaction	Purchase Price	N/A	N/A
	20,967	Transaction	Implied Multiple	4.6x	4.6x
Total Investments of Consolidated VIEs	2,191,693				
Total Financial Assets	\$4,740,093				
Financial Liabilities					
Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs:					
			Discount Rate	10.0% - 14.0%	12.1%
Subordinated Notes	\$901,563	Discounted Cash Flow	Default Rate	1.0% - 2.0%	1.7%
			Recovery Rate	75.0%	75.0%
Subordinated Notes	144,885	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Senior Secured and Subordinated Notes	11,970,832		N/A	N/A	N/A

		Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾			
Contingent Obligation	13,274	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	13,030,554				
Contingent Consideration Obligation	98,994	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	10.0% - 17.5%	14.9%
Total Financial Liabilities	\$13,129,548				

The net asset value of the underlying securities held by AAA Investments represents its sole investment in Athene, offset by other net liabilities. The investment in Athene was valued at \$2,254.0 million as of March 31, 2015 using the embedded value method based on the present value of the future expected regulatory distributable income

(1) generated by the net assets of Athene plus the excess capital (i.e., the capital in excess of what is required to be held against Athene's liabilities). The unobservable inputs and respective ranges used are the same as noted for the Investment in Athene Holding and the AAA/Athene Receivable in the table above. See note 12 for discussion of the investment in Athene Holding.

(2) These securities are valued primarily using broker quotes.

Balance includes investments in an affiliated fund, which primarily invests in corporate loans, bonds, and CLO

(3) notes. Balance at March 31, 2015 included investments in an affiliated fund in the amount of \$953.2 million, which were valued based on NAV.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	As of December 31, 2014				
	Fair Value	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Inputs	Ranges	Weighted Average
Financial Assets					
Investments of Consolidated Apollo Funds:					
AAA Investments ⁽¹⁾	\$2,144,118	Net Asset Value	N/A	N/A	N/A
Apollo Senior Loan Fund	4,359	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Other Investments	600	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Investment in Athene Holding	324,514	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	15.0%	15.0%
AAA/Athene Receivable	61,292	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	15.0%	15.0%
Investments of Consolidated VIEs:					
	1,340,296	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bank Debt Term Loans	87,314	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	7.1% - 14.0%	8.4%
Corporate Loans/Bonds/CLO Notes ⁽³⁾	1,009,873	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
	930	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Equity Securities	4,610	Market Comparable Companies	Comparable Multiples	5.8x	5.8x
	58,923	Transaction	Purchase Price Implied	N/A	N/A
	20,967	Transaction	Multiple	5.2x	5.2x
Total Investments of Consolidated VIEs	2,522,913				
Total Financial Assets	\$5,057,796				
Financial Liabilities					
Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs:					
			Discount Rate	10.0% - 12.5%	11.5%
Subordinated Notes	\$908,831	Discounted Cash Flow	Default Rate	1.0% - 2.0%	1.7%
			Recovery Rate	75.0%	75.0%
Subordinated Notes	106,090	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Senior Secured Notes	9,283,534	Third Party Pricing ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A
Senior Secured and Subordinated Notes	2,031,292	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	1.6% - 1.8%	1.7%
			Default Rate	2.0%	2.0%
			Recovery Rate		69.0%

				15.0% - 75.0%	
Contingent Obligation	13,274	Other	N/A	N/A	N/A
Total Liabilities of Consolidated VIEs	12,343,021				
Contingent Consideration Obligation	96,126	Discounted Cash Flow	Discount Rate	11.0% - 18.5%	15.7%
Total Financial Liabilities	\$ 12,439,147				

- The net asset value of the underlying securities held by AAA Investments represents its sole investment in Athene, offset by other net liabilities. The investment in Athene was valued at \$2,244.2 million as of December 31, 2014 using the embedded value method based on the present value of the future expected regulatory distributable income (1) generated by the net assets of Athene plus the excess capital (i.e., the capital in excess of what is required to be held against Athene's liabilities). The unobservable inputs and respective ranges used are the same as noted for the Investment in Athene Holding and the AAA/Athene Receivable in the table above. See note 12 for discussion of the investment in Athene Holding.
- (2) These securities are valued primarily using broker quotes.
- Balance includes investments in an affiliated fund, which primarily invests in corporate loans, bonds, and CLO (3) notes. Balance at December 31, 2014 includes investments in an affiliated fund in the amount of \$865.9 million, which were valued based on NAV.

Investment in Athene Holding and AAA/Athene Receivable

As of March 31, 2015, the significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the investment in Athene Holding is the discount rate applied in the valuation model. This input in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. An increase in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of an investment; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of an investment. The discount rate is determined based on the expected required rate of return based on the risk profile of similar cash flows.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Consolidated VIEs

Investments

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the bank debt term loans and stocks include the discount rate applied and the multiples applied in the valuation models. These unobservable inputs in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of an investment; conversely decreases in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of an investment. The discount rate is determined based on the market rates an investor would expect for a similar investment with similar risks. When a comparable multiple model is used to determine fair value, the comparable multiples are generally multiplied by the underlying companies' earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") to establish the total enterprise value of the company. The comparable multiple is determined based on the implied trading multiple of public industry peers.

Liabilities

The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the subordinated and senior secured notes include the discount rate applied in the valuation models, default and recovery rates applied in the valuation models. These inputs in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of subordinated and senior secured notes; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of subordinated and senior secured notes. The discount rate is determined based on the market rates an investor would expect for similar subordinated and senior secured notes with similar risks.

Contingent Consideration Obligations

The significant unobservable input used in the fair value measurement of the contingent consideration obligations is the discount rate applied in the valuation models. This input in isolation can cause significant increases or decreases in fair value. Specifically, when a discounted cash flow model is used to determine fair value, the significant input used in the valuation model is the discount rate applied to present value the projected cash flows. Increases in the discount rate can significantly lower the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations; conversely a decrease in the discount rate can significantly increase the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations. The discount rate was based on the weighted average cost of capital for the Company. See note 13 for further discussion of the contingent consideration obligations.

6. CARRIED INTEREST RECEIVABLE

Carried interest receivable from private equity, credit and real estate funds consisted of the following:

	As of March 31, 2015	As of December 31, 2014
Private Equity	\$685,809	\$672,119
Credit	197,781	226,430
Real Estate	12,591	13,117
Total carried interest receivable	\$896,181	\$911,666

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The table below provides a roll-forward of the carried interest receivable balance for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Carried interest receivable, January 1, 2015	\$672,119	\$226,430	\$13,117	\$911,666
Change in fair value of funds ⁽¹⁾	59,132	31,507	1,505	92,144
Fund distributions to the Company	(45,442)	(60,156)	(2,031)	(107,629)
Carried interest receivable, March 31, 2015	\$685,809	\$197,781	\$12,591	\$896,181

(1) Included in unrealized carried interest income (loss) from affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was a reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment of \$4.2 million, \$4.4 million and \$27.0 million, respectively. The fair value gain on investments and income at the fund level needed to reverse the general partner obligations with respect to Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment was \$28.0 million, \$10.6 million and \$30.7 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2015. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the fund's net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund.

The timing of the payment of carried interest due to the general partner or investment manager varies depending on the terms of the applicable fund agreements. Generally, carried interest with respect to the private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is payable and is distributed to the fund's general partner upon realization of an investment if the fund's cumulative returns are in excess of the preferred return. For most credit funds, carried interest is payable based on realizations after the end of the relevant fund's fiscal year or fiscal quarter, subject to high watermark provisions.

7. PROFIT SHARING PAYABLE

Profit sharing payable from private equity, credit and real estate funds consisted of the following:

	As of March 31, 2015	As of December 31, 2014
Private Equity	\$263,370	\$240,595
Credit	191,261	186,307
Real Estate	8,779	7,950
Total profit sharing payable	\$463,410	\$434,852

The table below provides a roll-forward of the profit sharing payable balance for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	Private Equity	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Profit sharing payable, January 1, 2015	\$240,595	\$186,307	\$7,950	\$434,852
Profit sharing expense ⁽¹⁾	30,722	19,183	1,816	51,721
Payments/other	(7,947)	(14,229)	(987)	(23,163)
Profit sharing payable, March 31, 2015	\$263,370	\$191,261	\$8,779	\$463,410

Includes both of the following: (i) changes in amounts payable to employees and former employees entitled to a (1) share of carried interest income in Apollo's funds and (ii) changes to the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations (see notes 5 and 13) recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions.

8. INCOME TAXES

The Company is treated as a partnership for income tax purposes and is therefore not subject to U.S. federal, state and local income taxes. APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income taxes. Certain other subsidiaries of the Company are subject to New York City Unincorporated Business Tax ("NYC UBT") attributable to the Company's operations apportioned to New York City. In addition, certain non-U.S. subsidiaries of the Company are subject to income taxes in their local jurisdictions.

The Company's provision for income taxes totaled \$5.5 million and \$32.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company's effective tax rate was approximately 2.7% and 6.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

- 39-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Under U.S. GAAP, a tax benefit from an uncertain tax position may be recognized when it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. Based upon the Company's review of its federal, state, local and foreign income tax returns and tax filing positions, the Company determined that no unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions were required to be recorded. In addition, the Company does not believe that it has any tax positions for which it is reasonably possible that it will be required to record significant amounts of unrecognized tax benefits within the next twelve months.

The Company's primary jurisdictions in which it operates are the United States, New York State, New York City, California and the United Kingdom. In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to examination by federal and certain state, local and foreign tax authorities. With a few exceptions, as of March 31, 2015, the Company's U.S. federal, state, local and foreign income tax returns for the years 2011 through 2014 are open under the general statute of limitations provisions and therefore subject to examination. Currently, the Internal Revenue Service is examining the tax returns of Apollo Global Management, LLC and various subsidiaries for tax years 2010 to 2012. The City of New York is examining certain subsidiaries' tax returns for tax years 2011 and 2012, and the City of Los Angeles is examining certain subsidiaries' tax returns for the year 2011 to 2013.

The Company has recorded a deferred tax asset for the future amortization of tax basis intangibles as a result of the 2007 Reorganization. The Company recognized an additional step-up in tax basis of intangibles as a result of subsequent exchanges of AOG Units for Class A shares in 2014. As a result of these exchanges of AOG Units for Class A shares, there were increases in the deferred tax asset established from the 2007 Reorganization which was recorded in deferred tax assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition for the expected tax benefit associated with these increases. A related tax receivable agreement liability was recorded in due to affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition for the expected payments under the tax receivable agreement entered into by and among APO Corp., the Managing Partners, the Contributing Partners, and other parties thereto (as amended, the "tax receivable agreement") (see note 12). The amortization period for these tax basis intangibles is 15 years. Accordingly, the related deferred tax assets will reverse over the same period.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

9. DEBT

Debt consisted of the following:

	As of March 31, 2015			As of December 31, 2014		
	Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate		Outstanding Balance	Annualized Weighted Average Interest Rate	
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Term Facility	\$500,000	1.37	%	\$500,000	1.36	%
2024 Senior Notes ⁽¹⁾	499,083	4.00		499,058	4.00	
2014 AMI Term Facility I ⁽²⁾	14,375	2.24		16,204	2.34	
2014 AMI Term Facility II ⁽³⁾	16,635	1.93		18,752	1.93	
Total Debt	\$1,030,093			\$1,034,014		

(1) Includes impact of any amortization of note discount.

On July 3, 2014, Apollo Management International LLP ("AMI"), a subsidiary of the Company, entered into a €13.4 (2) million five year credit agreement (the "2014 AMI Term Facility I"). Proceeds from the borrowing were used to fund the Company's investment in a European CLO it manages.

On December 9, 2014, AMI entered into a €15.5 million five year credit agreement (the "2014 AMI Term Facility II"). Proceeds from the borrowing were used to fund the Company's investment in a European CLO it manages.

2013 AMH Credit Facilities—On December 18, 2013, AMH and its subsidiaries and certain other subsidiaries of the Company (collectively, the "Borrowers") entered into new credit facilities (the "2013 AMH Credit Facilities") with JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities provide for (i) a term loan facility to AMH (the "Term Facility") that includes \$750 million of the term loan from third-party lenders and \$271.7 million of the term loan held by a subsidiary of the Company and (ii) a \$500 million revolving credit facility (the "Revolver Facility"), in each case, with a final maturity date of January 18, 2019.

Interest on the borrowings is based on an adjusted LIBOR rate or alternate base rate, in each case plus an applicable margin, and undrawn revolving commitments bear a commitment fee. Under the terms of the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities, the applicable margin ranges from 1.125% to 1.75% for LIBOR loans and 0.125% to 0.75% for alternate base rate loans, and the undrawn revolving commitment fee ranges from 0.125% to 0.25%, in each case depending on the Company's corporate rating assigned by Standard & Poor's Ratings Group, Inc. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities do not require any scheduled amortization payments or other mandatory prepayments (except with respect to overadvances on the Revolver Facility) prior to the final maturity date, and the Borrowers may prepay the loans and/or terminate or reduce the revolving commitments under the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities at any time without penalty. In connection with the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes (as defined below), \$250 million of the proceeds were used to repay a portion of the Term Facility outstanding with third party lenders at par. The interest rate on the \$500 million Term Facility as of March 31, 2015 was 1.37% and the commitment fee as of March 31, 2015 on the \$500 million undrawn Revolver Facility was 0.125%. Interest expense incurred by the Company related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities was \$1.9 million and \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Debt issuance cost amortization expense related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities was \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt obligation related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities is approximately \$501.3 million based on obtained broker quotes as of March 31, 2015. The \$500.0 million carrying

value of debt that is recorded on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition at March 31, 2015 is the amount for which the Company expects to settle the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities. The Company has determined that the long-term debt obligation related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities would be categorized as a Level III liability in the fair value hierarchy based on the number and quality of broker quotes obtained, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities are guaranteed and collateralized by AMH and its subsidiaries, Apollo Management, L.P., Apollo Capital Management, L.P., Apollo International Management, L.P., AAA Holdings, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P.,

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Apollo Principal Holdings IX L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings X L.P., ST Holdings GP, LLC and ST Management Holdings, LLC. The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities contain affirmative and negative covenants which limit the ability of the Borrowers, the guarantors and certain of their subsidiaries to, among other things, incur indebtedness and create liens. Additionally, the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities contain financial covenants which require the Borrowers and their subsidiaries to maintain (1) at least \$40 billion of Fee-Generating Assets Under Management and (2) a maximum total net leverage ratio of not more than 4.00 to 1.00 (subject to customary equity cure rights). The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities also contain customary events of default, including events of default arising from non-payment, material misrepresentations, breaches of covenants, cross default to material indebtedness, bankruptcy and changes in control of the Company.

Borrowings under the Revolver Facility may be used for working capital and general corporate purposes, including, without limitation, permitted acquisitions. In addition, the Borrowers may incur incremental facilities in respect of the Revolver Facility and the Term Facility in an aggregate amount not to exceed \$500 million plus additional amounts so long as the Borrowers are in compliance with a net leverage ratio not to exceed 3.75 to 1.00. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Revolver Facility was undrawn.

2024 Senior Notes—On May 30, 2014, AMH issued \$500 million in aggregate principal amount of its 4.000% Senior Notes due 2024 (the "2024 Senior Notes"), at an issue price of 99.722% of par. Interest on the 2024 Senior Notes is payable semi-annually in arrears on May 30 and November 30 of each year. The 2024 Senior Notes will mature on May 30, 2024. The discount will be amortized into interest expense on the condensed consolidated statements of operations over the term of the 2024 Senior Notes. Interest expense incurred by the Company related to the 2024 Senior Notes was \$5.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

The Company capitalized debt issuance costs of \$5.5 million incurred in connection with the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes, which was recorded in other assets in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of March 31, 2015, to be amortized over the term of the notes. Debt issuance cost amortization expense related to the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes was \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

The 2024 Senior Notes are guaranteed by Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and any other entity that is required to become a guarantor of the notes under the terms of the indenture governing the 2024 Senior Notes (the "2024 Senior Notes Indenture"). The 2024 Senior Notes Indenture includes covenants that restrict the ability of AMH and, as applicable, the guarantors to incur indebtedness secured by liens on voting stock or profit participating equity interests of their respective subsidiaries or merge, consolidate or sell, transfer or lease assets. The 2024 Senior Notes Indenture also provides for customary events of default.

The estimated fair value of the Company's long-term debt obligation related to the 2024 Senior Notes is approximately \$512.6 million based on obtained broker quotes as of March 31, 2015. The face amount of \$500.0 million related to the 2024 Senior Notes is the amount for which the Company is obligated to settle the 2024 Senior Notes. The Company has determined that the long-term debt obligation related to the 2024 Senior Notes would be categorized as a Level II liability in the fair value hierarchy based on the number and quality of broker quotes obtained, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

10. NET INCOME (LOSS) PER CLASS A SHARE

U.S. GAAP requires use of the two-class method of computing earnings per share for all periods presented for each class of common stock and participating security as if all earnings for the period had been distributed. Under the two-class method, during periods of net income, the net income is first reduced for distributions declared on all classes of securities to arrive at undistributed earnings. During periods of net losses, the net loss is reduced for distributions declared on participating securities only if the security has the right to participate in the earnings of the entity and an objectively determinable contractual obligation to share in net losses of the entity.

The remaining earnings are allocated to Class A shares and participating securities to the extent that each security shares in earnings as if all of the earnings for the period had been distributed. Earnings or losses allocated to each class of security are then divided by the applicable number of shares to arrive at basic earnings per share. For the diluted earnings, the denominator

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

includes all outstanding Class A shares and includes the number of additional Class A shares that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential Class A shares had been issued. The numerator is adjusted for any changes in income or loss that would result from the issuance of these potential Class A shares.

The table below presents basic and diluted net income per Class A share using the two-class method for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	Basic and Diluted For the Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2015		2014	
Numerator:				
Net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$30,927		\$72,169	
Distributions declared on Class A shares	(144,394	) ⁽¹⁾	(160,867	) ⁽²⁾
Distributions on participating securities ⁽⁵⁾	(15,264	)	(25,477	)
Earnings allocable to participating securities	—	⁽³⁾	—	⁽³⁾
Undistributed loss attributable to Class A shareholders: Basic and Diluted	(128,731	)	(114,175	)
Denominator:				
Weighted average number of Class A shares outstanding: Basic and Diluted	165,968,620		147,776,519	
Net Income per Class A share: Basic and Diluted				
Distributed Income	\$0.87		\$1.09	
Undistributed Loss	(0.78	)	(0.77	)
Net Income per Class A Share: Basic and Diluted ⁽⁴⁾	\$0.09		\$0.32	

(1) The Company declared an \$0.86 distribution on Class A shares on February 5, 2015.

(2) The Company declared a \$1.08 distribution on Class A shares on February 7, 2014.

(3) No allocation of undistributed losses was made to the participating securities as the holders do not have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of the Company with Class A shareholders.

(4) For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company had an undistributed loss attributable to Class A shareholders and none of the classes of securities resulted in dilution. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, AOG Units, restricted share units ("RSUs"), share options and participating securities were anti-dilutive and were accordingly excluded from the diluted earnings per share calculation.

(5) Participating securities consist of vested and unvested RSUs that have rights to distribution equivalents.

On October 24, 2007, the Company commenced the granting of RSUs that provide the right to receive, subject to vesting, Class A shares of Apollo Global Management, LLC, pursuant to the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. Certain RSU grants to employees provide the right to receive distribution equivalents on vested RSUs on an equal basis any time a distribution is declared. The Company refers to these RSU grants as "Plan Grants." For certain Plan Grants, distribution equivalents are paid in January of the calendar year next following the calendar year in which a distribution on Class A shares was declared. In addition, certain RSU grants to employees provide that both vested and unvested RSUs participate in distribution equivalents on an equal basis with the Class A shareholders any time a distribution is declared. The Company refers to these as "Bonus Grants." For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the weighted average vested RSUs were 14.7 million and 21.4 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the weighted average unvested RSUs that participated in distributions were 5.0 million and 3.5 million, respectively.

Any distribution equivalent paid to an employee will not be returned to the Company upon forfeiture of the award by the employee. Vested and unvested RSUs that are entitled to non-forfeitable distribution equivalents qualify as

participating securities and are included in the Company's basic and diluted earnings per share computations using the two-class method. The holder of an RSU participating security would have a contractual obligation to share in the losses of the entity if the holder is obligated to fund the losses of the issuing entity or if the contractual principal or mandatory redemption amount of the participating security is reduced as a result of losses incurred by the issuing entity. Because the RSU participating securities do not have a mandatory redemption amount and the holders of the participating securities are not obligated to fund losses, neither the vested RSUs nor the unvested RSUs are subject to any contractual obligation to share in losses of the Company.

In addition, certain share options were granted to employees under the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, weighted average unexercised options were 0.2 million and 1.5 million, respectively.

Table of ContentsAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Holders of AOG Units are subject to the vesting requirements and transfer restrictions set forth in the agreements with the respective holders, and may a limited number of times each year, upon notice (subject to the terms of the Exchange Agreement), exchange their AOG Units for Class A shares on a one-for-one basis. A limited partner must exchange one partnership unit in each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships to effectuate an exchange for one Class A share.

If all of the outstanding AOG Units were exchanged for Class A shares, the result would be an additional 222,455,477 and 228,954,598 Class A shares added to the basic earnings per share calculations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the weighted average AOG Units outstanding were 222.5 million and 229.0 million, respectively.

Apollo Global Management, LLC has one Class B share outstanding, which is held by BRH Holdings GP, Ltd. ("BRH"). The voting power of the Class B share is reduced on a one vote per one AOG Unit basis in the event of an exchange of AOG Units for Class A shares, as discussed above. The Class B share has no net income (loss) per share as it does not participate in Apollo's earnings (losses) or distributions. The Class B share has no distribution or liquidation rights. The Class B share has voting rights on a pari passu basis with the Class A shares. The Class B share represented 64.4% and 68.8% of the total voting power of the Company's shares entitled to vote as of March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

The table below presents transactions in Class A shares during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and each quarter during the year ended December 31, 2014, and the resulting impact on the Company's and Holdings' ownership interests in the Apollo Operating Group:

Date	Type of Class A Shares Transaction	Number of Shares Issued in Class A Shares Transaction (in thousands)	Apollo Global Management, LLC ownership% in Apollo Operating Group before Class A Shares Transaction	Apollo Global Management, LLC ownership% in Apollo Operating Group after Class A Shares Transaction	Holdings ownership% in Apollo Operating Group before Class A Shares Transaction	Holdings ownership% in Apollo Operating Group after Class A Shares Transaction
Quarter Ended March 31, 2014	Issuance	2,672	39.0%	39.4%	61.0%	60.6%
Quarter Ended June 30, 2014	Issuance/Exchange	7,344	(1) 39.4%	41.2%	60.6%	58.8%
Quarter Ended September 30, 2014	Issuance	3,660	41.2%	41.8%	58.8%	58.2%
Quarter Ended December 31, 2014	Issuance/Exchange	3,090	(1) 41.8%	42.3%	58.2%	57.7%
Quarter Ended March 31, 2015	Issuance/Exchange	4,866	(1) 42.3%	43.0%	57.7%	57.0%

(1) In May 2014, October 2014 and February 2015, certain holders of AOG Units exchanged their AOG Units for Class A shares and approximately 6.2 million, 0.1 million and 0.2 million Class A shares, respectively, were issued by

the Company in the exchanges.

11. EQUITY-BASED COMPENSATION

RSUs

On October 24, 2007, the Company commenced the granting of RSUs under the Company's 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. These grants are accounted for as a grant of equity awards in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The fair value of all grants after March 29, 2011 is based on the grant date fair value, which considers the public share price of the Company. For Plan Grants, the fair value is based on grant date fair value, and is discounted primarily for transfer restrictions and lack of distributions until vested. For Bonus Grants, the fair value is discounted primarily for transfer restrictions and in certain cases timing of distributions. For Plan Grants that are not eligible for distributions on unvested shares, the discount for the lack of distributions until vested based on the present value of a growing annuity calculation had a weighted average of 29.2% and 35.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Additionally, for Plan Grants, the marketability discount for transfer restrictions based on the Finnerty Model calculation, after considering the discount for lack of pre-vesting distributions, had a

- 44-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

weighted average of 4.8% and 5.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For Bonus Grants, the marketability discount for transfer restrictions based on the Finnerty Model calculation had a weighted average of 3.3% and 3.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The estimated total fair value is charged to compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the vesting period, which for Plan Grants is generally up to six years, with the first installment vesting one year after grant and quarterly vesting thereafter, and for Bonus Grants is annual vesting over three years. The fair value of grants made during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 is \$0.4 million and \$33.0 million, respectively. The actual forfeiture rate was 0.1% and 8.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, \$17.4 million and \$30.6 million of compensation expense was recognized, respectively.

In addition, during 2014, the Company entered into an agreement with an executive officer providing for the grant of RSUs when certain metrics have been achieved. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, equity-based compensation expense is recognized only when certain metrics are met or deemed probable. Accordingly, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, no equity-based compensation expense was recognized relating to these RSUs.

The following table summarizes RSU activity for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	Unvested	Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value	Vested	Total Number of RSUs Outstanding	
Balance at January 1, 2015	10,717,635	\$18.11	17,354,242	28,071,877	
Granted	22,078	16.75	—	22,078	
Forfeited	(15,983)	20.59	—	(15,983)	)
Delivered	—	14.47	(4,640,825)	(4,640,825)	)
Vested	(1,042,072)	17.87	1,042,072	—	
Balance at March 31, 2015	9,681,658	\$18.13	13,755,489	23,437,147	(1)

(1) Amount excludes RSUs which have vested and have been issued in the form of Class A shares.

Units Expected to Vest—As of March 31, 2015, approximately 9,100,000 RSUs were expected to vest over the next 3.5 years.

Share Options

The Company has granted options under the 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, \$0.0 million and \$28.1 million of compensation expense was recognized as a result of these grants, respectively. In connection with the departure of an employee from the Company, such employee vested in 1,250,000 share options that were previously granted to him and forfeited 1,250,000 share options that were previously granted to him. As a result of the additional vesting, the Company recorded an incremental compensation expense of \$28.1 million related to the relevant option award agreement for the three months ended March 31, 2014. There were no share options granted during the three months ended March 31, 2015 or 2014. Apollo measures the fair value of each option award on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model.

The expected life of the options granted represents the period of time that options are expected to be outstanding and is based on the contractual term of the option. Unamortized compensation cost related to unvested share options at March 31, 2015 was \$0.4 million and is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.3 years.

Delivery of Class A Shares - RSUs and Share Options

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company delivered Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options. The delivery of Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options does not cause a transfer of amounts in the condensed consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity to the

Class A shareholders. The delivery of Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options causes the income allocated to the Non-Controlling Interests to shift to the Class A shareholders from the date of delivery forward. During the three months ended March

- 45-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

31, 2015 and 2014, the Company delivered 4,640,825 and 2,671,869 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs and exercised share options, respectively, which caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase to 43.0% from 42.3%. The gross value of the settlement of these shares was \$110.7 million and \$87.3 million, respectively, based on Apollo's share price at the time of the delivery.

Restricted Share Awards—Athene Holding

Athene Holding has granted restricted share awards ("AHL Awards") to certain employees of Apollo. Certain of the awards granted are subject to time-based vesting conditions that generally vest over five years and certain of the awards vest once certain metrics have been achieved. During 2014, the vesting terms of some of the AHL Awards were modified such that the portion of AHL Awards related to services provided from the date of grant were deemed vested.

The AHL Awards granted to employees of Athene Asset Management, L.P. ("Athene Asset Management"), a consolidated subsidiary of Apollo, are accounted for as a prepaid compensation asset within other assets and deferred revenue in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. From the date of grant, the deferred revenue is recognized as management fees and the prepaid compensation asset is recognized as compensation expense over the vesting period. The fair value of the awards to employees is based on the grant date fair value, which utilizes the share price of Athene Holding, less discounts for transfer restrictions. Shares granted as part of the AHL Awards were valued using a multiple-scenario model, which considers the price volatility of the underlying stock price of Athene Holding, time to expiration and the risk-free rate. The awards granted are recognized as liability awards remeasured each period to reflect the fair value of the prepaid compensation asset and deferred revenue. Any changes in fair value are recorded in management fees and equity-based compensation expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. There were no material grants of AHL Awards during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, \$1.7 million and \$0.0 million of management fees and equity-based compensation expense was recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations related to AHL Awards granted to employees of Athene Asset Management.

Equity-Based Compensation Allocation

Equity-based compensation is allocated based on ownership interests. Therefore, the amortization of the AOG Units is allocated to shareholders' equity attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC and the Non-Controlling Interests, which results in a difference in the amounts charged to equity-based compensation expense and the amounts credited to shareholders' equity attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
RSUs and Share Options	\$17,035	—	% \$—	\$17,035
AHL Awards	1,721	57.0	981	740
Other equity-based compensation awards	1,347	57.0	767	580
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$20,103		1,748	18,355
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			(1,748	) (972
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$—	\$17,383

- (1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.
- (2) Includes equity-based compensation reimbursable by certain funds.

- 46-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Below is a reconciliation of the equity-based compensation allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	Total Amount	Non- Controlling Interest % in Apollo Operating Group	Allocated to Non- Controlling Interest in Apollo Operating Group ⁽¹⁾	Allocated to Apollo Global Management, LLC
RSUs and Share Options	\$58,199	—	% \$—	\$58,199
Other equity-based compensation awards	779	60.6	473	306
Total Equity-Based Compensation	\$58,978		473	58,505
Less other equity-based compensation awards ⁽²⁾			(473	) 178
Capital Increase Related to Equity-Based Compensation			\$—	\$58,683

(1) Calculated based on average ownership percentage for the period considering Class A share issuances during the period.

(2) Includes equity-based compensation reimbursable by certain funds.

12. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS AND INTERESTS IN CONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

The Company typically facilitates the initial payment of certain operating costs incurred by the funds that it manages as well as their affiliates. These costs are normally reimbursed by such funds and are included in due from affiliates.

Due from affiliates and due to affiliates are comprised of the following:

	As of March 31, 2015	As of December 31, 2014
Due from Affiliates:		
Due from private equity funds	\$33,442	\$30,091
Due from portfolio companies	23,222	41,844
Due from credit funds ⁽¹⁾	183,080	174,165
Due from Contributing Partners, employees and former employees	15,186	1,721
Due from real estate funds	22,978	20,162
Other	33	32
Total Due from Affiliates	\$277,941	\$268,015
Due to Affiliates:		
Due to Managing Partners and Contributing Partners in connection with the tax receivable agreement	\$509,149	\$509,149
Due to private equity funds	5,809	1,158
Due to credit funds	36,462	5,343
Distributions payable to employees	8,313	49,503
Total Due to Affiliates	\$559,733	\$565,153

(1) As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, includes unsettled monitoring fee receivable and management fee receivable from AAA and Athene as discussed in "Athene" below.

Tax Receivable Agreement and Other

Subject to certain restrictions, each of the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners has the right to exchange their vested AOG Units for the Company's Class A shares. Certain Apollo Operating Group entities have made an election under Section 754 of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code"), which will result in an adjustment to the tax basis of the assets owned by the Apollo Operating Group at the time of the exchange. These exchanges will result in increases in tax deductions that will reduce the amount of tax that APO Corp. will otherwise be required to pay in the future.

- 47-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The tax receivable agreement provides for the payment to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners of 85% of the amount of cash savings, if any, in U.S. federal, state, local and foreign income taxes that APO Corp. would realize as a result of the increases in tax basis of assets that resulted from the 2007 Reorganization and exchanges of AOG Units for Class A shares. If the Company does not make the required annual payment on a timely basis as outlined in the tax receivable agreement, interest is accrued on the balance until the payment date. These payments are expected to occur approximately over the next 20 years. In connection with the amendment of the AMH partnership agreement in April 2010, the tax receivable agreement was revised to reflect the Managing Partners' agreement to defer 25%, or \$12.1 million, of the required payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement that are attributable to the 2010 fiscal year for a period of four years until 2015.

Pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners who exchanged AOG Units for Class A shares will receive payment from APO Corp. of 85% of the amount of the actual cash tax savings, if any, in U.S. Federal, state, local and foreign income tax that APO Corp. realizes as a result of these increases in tax deductions and tax basis, and certain other tax benefits, including imputed interest expense. APO Corp. retains the benefit from the remaining 15% of actual cash tax savings.

Due from Contributing Partners, Employees and Former Employees

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, due from Contributing Partners, Employees and Former Employee balances include various amounts due to the Company including director fee receivables. In addition, as of March 31, 2015, the balance included an employee loan receivable of \$10.0 million. The outstanding principal amount of the loan as well as all accrued and unpaid interest is required to be repaid at the earlier of the eighth anniversary of the date of the loan or at the date of the employee's resignation from the Company.

Distributions

In addition to other distributions such as payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, the table below presents information regarding the quarterly distributions which were made at the sole discretion of the manager of the Company during 2014 and 2015 (in millions, except per share data):

Distribution Declaration Date	Distribution per Class A Share Amount	Distribution Payment Date	Distribution to Class A Shareholders	Distribution to Non-Controlling Interest Holders in the Apollo Operating Group	Total Distributions from Apollo Operating Group	Distribution Equivalents on Participating Securities
February 7, 2014	\$1.08	February 26, 2014	\$160.9	\$247.3	\$408.2	\$25.5
April 3, 2014	—	April 3, 2014	—	49.5	(1) 49.5	—
May 8, 2014	0.84	May 30, 2014	130.0	188.4	318.4	20.9
June 16, 2014	—	June 16, 2014	—	28.5	(1) 28.5	—
August 6, 2014	0.46	August 29, 2014	73.6	102.5	176.1	10.2
September 11, 2014	—	September 11, 2014	—	12.4	(1) 12.4	—
October 30, 2014	0.73	November 21, 2014	119.0	162.6	281.6	15.5
December 15, 2014	—	December 15, 2014	—	25.2	(1) 25.2	—
For the year ended December 31, 2014	\$3.11		\$483.5	\$816.4	\$1,299.9	\$72.1
February 5, 2015	\$0.86		\$144.4	\$191.3	\$335.7	\$15.3

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

February 27,
2015

For the three months
ended March 31,
2015

\$0.86

\$144.4

\$191.3

\$335.7

\$15.3

On April 3, 2014, June 16, 2014, September 11, 2014 and December 15, 2014, the Company made distributions of (1) \$0.22, \$0.13, \$0.06 and \$0.11 per AOG Unit, respectively, to the Non-Controlling Interest holders in the Apollo Operating Group.

- 48-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Indemnity

Carried interest income from certain funds that the Company manages can be distributed to the Company on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiary of the Apollo Operating Group that acts as general partner of the fund in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, subject to certain limitations, the obligation of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular Managing Partner's or Contributing Partner's distributions. An existing shareholders agreement includes clauses that indemnify each of the Company's Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against all amounts that they pay pursuant to any of these personal guarantees in favor of certain funds that the Company manages (including costs and expenses related to investigating the basis for or objecting to any claims made in respect of the guarantees) for all interests that the Company's Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have contributed or sold to the Apollo Operating Group.

Accordingly, in the event that the Company's Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain investment professionals are required to pay amounts in connection with a general partner obligation for the return of previously made distributions, the Company will be obligated to reimburse the Company's Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners for the indemnifiable percentage of amounts that they are required to pay even though the Company did not receive the certain distribution to which that general partner obligation related. The Company recorded an indemnification liability of \$1.8 million as of March 31, 2015. There was no indemnification liability recorded as of December 31, 2014.

Due to Private Equity Funds

Based upon a hypothetical liquidation of Fund V, as of March 31, 2015, the Company has recorded a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income, which represents amounts due to this fund. As such, there was a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$4.2 million accrued as of March 31, 2015. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of the fund's investments based on contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund.

Due to Credit Funds

Based upon a hypothetical liquidation of ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment, as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company has recorded a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income, which represents amounts due to these funds. As such, there was a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment of \$4.4 million and \$27.0 million accrued as of March 31, 2015, respectively. As of December 31, 2014, there was a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to certain of our credit funds of \$3.4 million. The actual determination and any required payment of a general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of the fund's investments based on contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement or other governing document of the fund.

Athene

Athene Holding is the ultimate parent of various insurance company operating subsidiaries. Through its subsidiaries, Athene Holding provides insurance products focused primarily on the retirement market and its business centers primarily on issuing or reinsuring fixed indexed annuities.

Athene Asset Management receives a management fee equal to 0.40% per annum on all assets under management in accounts owned by or related to Athene (the "Athene Accounts"), with certain limited exceptions. In addition, the Company receives sub-advisory management fees and carried interest income with respect to a portion of the assets in the Athene Accounts. With respect to capital invested in an Apollo fund, Apollo receives management fees directly from the relevant funds under the investment management agreements with such funds. Athene Asset Management

and other Apollo subsidiaries incur all expenses associated with their provision of services to Athene, including but not limited to, asset allocation services, direct asset management services, risk management, asset and liability matching management, mergers and acquisitions asset diligence, hedging and other services.

Under a transaction advisory services agreement with Athene (the "Athene Services Agreement"), effective February 5, 2013 through December 31, 2014, Apollo earned a quarterly monitoring fee of 0.50% of Athene's capital and surplus as of the end of the applicable quarter multiplied by 2.5, excluding the shares of Athene Holding that were newly acquired (and not in

- 49-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

satisfaction of prior commitments to buy such shares) by AAA Investments in the contribution of certain assets by AAA to Athene in October 2012 (the "Excluded Athene Shares"). The Athene Services Agreement was amended in connection with the Athene Private Placement described below (the "Amended Athene Services Agreement"). The Amended Athene Services Agreement adjusted the calculation of Athene Holding's capital and surplus downward by an amount equal to (x) the equity capital raised in the Athene Private Placement and (y) certain disproportionate increases to the statutory capital and surplus of Athene, as compared to the stockholders' equity of Athene calculated on a U.S. GAAP basis, as a result of certain future acquisitions by Athene. Prior to the consummation of the Athene Private Placement, all such monitoring fees were paid pursuant to a derivative contract between Athene and Apollo (the "Athene Services Derivative"). In connection with the Athene Private Placement, the Athene Services Derivative was settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivative was terminated. Following settlement of the Athene Services Derivative, future monitoring fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement, were paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Unsettled monitoring fees pursuant to the Amended Athene Services Agreement are recorded as due from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. For the three months ended March 31, 2014, Apollo earned \$58.7 million related to this monitoring fee. The monitoring fee is recorded in advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, Apollo had a \$60.2 million and \$58.2 million receivable, respectively, recorded in due from affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

In accordance with the services agreement among AAA, AAA Investments and the other service recipients party thereto and Apollo (the "AAA Services Agreement"), Apollo receives a management fee for managing the assets of AAA Investments. In connection with each of the contribution of certain assets by AAA to Athene in October 2012, and the initial closing of the Athene Private Placement on April 4, 2014, the AAA Services Agreement was amended (the "Amended AAA Services Agreement"). Pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement, the parties agreed that there will be no management fees payable by AAA Investments with respect to the Excluded Athene Shares. AAA Investments agreed to continue to pay Apollo the same management fee on its investment in Athene (other than with respect to the Excluded Athene Shares), except that Apollo agreed that the obligation to pay the existing management fee terminated on December 31, 2014 (although services will continue through December 31, 2020). Prior to the consummation of the Athene Private Placement, all such management fees were accrued pursuant to a derivative contract between AAA Investments and Apollo (the "AAA Services Derivative"). In connection with the Athene Private Placement, the AAA Services Derivative was settled on April 29, 2014 by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding, and as a result, such derivative was terminated. Following settlement of the AAA Services Derivative, future management fees paid to Apollo pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement were paid on a quarterly basis in arrears by delivery to Apollo of common shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act). Unsettled management fees pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement are recorded as due from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. There were no management fees receivable as of March 31, 2015 as AAA Investments' obligation to pay the existing management fee terminated on December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, Apollo had a \$3.1 million receivable, respectively, recorded in due from affiliates related to this management fee on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The total management fees earned by Apollo related to the Amended AAA Services Agreement for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 were \$0.8 million and \$0.4 million, respectively, which is recorded in management fees from affiliates in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Prior to the settlement of the Athene Services Derivative and the AAA Services Derivative, the change in unrealized market value of the derivatives was reflected in other income, net in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. For the three months ended March 31, 2014, there were \$14.0 million of changes in market value

recognized related to these derivatives.

In addition, Apollo, as general partner of AAA Investments, is generally entitled to a carried interest that allocates to it 20% of the realized returns (net of related expenses, including borrowing costs) on the investments of AAA Investments, except that Apollo will not be entitled to receive any carried interest in respect of the Excluded Athene Shares. Carried interest receivable from AAA Investments will be paid in common shares of Athene Holding (valued at the then fair market value) if there is a distribution in kind of shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the Exchange Act) or paid in cash if AAA sells the shares of Athene Holding. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the Company recorded carried interest income less the related profit sharing expense of \$0.0 million and \$14.6 million, respectively, from AAA Investments, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had a \$122.6 million and a \$121.5 million carried interest receivable, respectively, related to AAA Investments. As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company had a related profit sharing payable of \$36.0 million and \$34.9 million, respectively, recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

- 50-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, Apollo earned revenues in the aggregate totaling \$94.5 million and \$154.6 million, respectively, consisting of management fees, sub-advisory and monitoring fees and carried interest income from Athene after considering the related profit sharing expense and changes in the market value of the Athene shares owned directly by Apollo, which is recorded in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

On April 4, 2014, Athene Holding completed an initial closing of a private placement offering of common equity in which it raised \$1.048 billion of primary commitments from third-party institutional and certain existing investors in Athene Holding (the "Athene Private Placement"). Shares in the Athene Private Placement were offered at a price per common share of Athene Holding of \$26. In connection with the Athene Private Placement, Athene raised an additional \$80 million of third party capital at \$26 per share, all of which was used to buy back a portion of the shares of one of its existing investors at a price of \$26 per share in a transaction that was consummated on April 29, 2014. As announced by AAA on June 24, 2014, a second closing of the Athene Private Placement occurred in which Athene Holding raised \$170 million of commitments primarily from employees of Athene and its affiliates at a price per common share of Athene Holding of \$26. The Athene Private Placement offering was concluded in the first quarter of 2015 with a final closing of \$60 million of additional capital commitments from affiliates of Athene. The Investment Partnership did not purchase any additional common shares of Athene Holding as part of the Athene Private Placement.

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company, through its consolidation of AAA, had an approximate 47.0% and 47.7% economic ownership interest in Athene through its investment in AAA Investments, respectively (calculated as if the commitments on the Athene Private Placement closed through March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were fully drawn down but without giving effect to (i) restricted common shares issued under Athene's management equity plan, or (ii) common shares to be issued under the Amended Athene Services Agreement subsequent to March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 or (iii) the common shares to be issued under the Amended AAA Services Agreement subsequent to December 31, 2014 respectively).

The Company had an approximate 8.1% economic ownership interest in Athene Holding as of March 31, 2015, which comprises Apollo's direct ownership of 6.9% of the economic equity of Athene Holding plus an additional 1.2% economic ownership interest, which is calculated as the Company's approximate 2.4% economic ownership interest in AAA plus the Company's approximate 0.06% economic ownership interest in AAA Investments multiplied by AAA Investments' approximate 47.0% economic ownership interest in Athene as of March 31, 2015. The remaining ownership interest in AAA is recognized in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as non-controlling interest in consolidated entities.

The Company had an approximate 8.1% economic ownership interest in Athene Holding as of December 31, 2014, which comprises Apollo's direct ownership of 6.9% of the economic equity of Athene Holding plus an additional 1.2% economic ownership interest, which is calculated as the Company's approximate 2.5% economic ownership interest in AAA plus the Company's approximate 0.06% economic ownership interest in AAA Investments multiplied by AAA Investments' approximate 47.7% economic ownership interest in Athene as of December 31, 2014. The remaining ownership interest in AAA is recognized in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as non-controlling interest in consolidated entities.

Regulated Entities

Apollo Global Securities, LLC ("AGS") is a registered broker dealer with the SEC and is a member of the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority, subject to the minimum net capital requirements of the SEC. AGS was in compliance with these requirements at March 31, 2015. From time to time, this entity is involved in transactions with affiliates of Apollo, including portfolio companies of the funds Apollo manages, whereby AGS earns underwriting and transaction fees for its services.

Apollo Management International LLP, is authorized and regulated by the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority and as such is subject to the capital requirements of the U.K. Financial Conduct Authority. This entity has continuously operated in excess of these regulatory capital requirements.

Certain other of the Company's U.S. and non-U.S. subsidiaries are subject to various regulations, including a number of U.S. entities that are registered as investment advisors with the SEC. To the extent applicable, these entities have continuously operated in excess of any minimum regulatory capital requirements.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Interests in Consolidated Entities

The table below presents equity interests in Apollo's consolidated, but not wholly-owned, subsidiaries and funds. Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests consisted of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
AAA ⁽¹⁾	\$ (9,899	) \$ (199,269
Interest in management companies and a co-investment vehicle ⁽²⁾	(2,882	) (3,585
Other consolidated entities	(14,681	) (4,698
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(27,462	) (207,552
Net income attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽³⁾	(92,164	) (24,823
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group	(48,012	) (155,100
Net Income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$ (167,638	) \$ (387,475
Net income attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	92,164	24,823
Other comprehensive loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	7,582	—
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$ (67,892	) \$ (362,652

Reflects the Non-Controlling Interests in the net (income) loss of AAA and is calculated based on the

(1) Non-Controlling Interests ownership percentage in AAA, which was approximately 97.6% and 97.5% as of March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of March 31, 2015 and 2014, Apollo owned approximately 2.4% and 2.5% of AAA, respectively.

(2) Reflects the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit funds.

(3) Reflects net income of the consolidated CLOs classified as VIEs.

Appropriated Partners' Capital is included in total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity and is

(4) therefore not a component of comprehensive income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

13. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Financial Guarantees—Apollo has provided financial guarantees on behalf of certain employees for the benefit of unrelated third-party lenders in connection with their capital commitments to certain funds managed by the Company. As of March 31, 2015, the maximum exposure relating to these financial guarantees approximated \$0.2 million. Apollo has historically not incurred any liabilities as a result of these agreements and does not expect to in the future. Accordingly, no liability has been recorded in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

Investment Commitments—As a limited partner, general partner and manager of the Apollo private equity, credit and real estate funds, Apollo has unfunded capital commitments as of March 31, 2015, and December 31, 2014 of \$665.8 million and \$646.6 million, respectively.

Apollo has an ongoing obligation to acquire additional common units of AAA in an amount equal to 25% of the aggregate after-tax cash distributions, if any, that are made by AAA to Apollo's affiliates pursuant to the carried interest distribution rights that are applicable to investments made through AAA Investments.

In addition, as of March 31, 2015, Apollo had an unfunded loan commitment of \$15.0 million related to an employee's commitment to purchase common shares of Athene Holding.

Apollo, through its subsidiary Apollo MidCap Holdings (Cayman), L.P., has entered into a subscription agreement providing for an aggregate commitment of \$50.0 million to subscribe for (i) Class A Variable Funding Subordinated

Notes due 2114 (“Class A Notes”) of MidCap, an Irish company that includes the existing operations and assets of MidCap Financial LLC, a specialty finance company that originates commercial lending opportunities, and (ii) ordinary shares of MidCap’s holding company (“Ordinary Shares”). The subscription agreement has a commitment period of three years (subject to extension under certain circumstances), and \$8.0 million of the commitment was drawn on February 3, 2015. Pursuant to an

- 52-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

investment management agreement, Apollo, through its subsidiary Apollo Capital Management, L.P., is acting as the investment manager of MidCap's credit business. Certain third parties have also entered into subscription agreements for Class A Notes and Ordinary Shares.

Debt Covenants—Apollo's debt obligations contain various customary loan covenants. As of March 31, 2015, the Company was not aware of any instances of non-compliance with the financial covenants contained in the documents governing the Company's debt obligations.

Litigation and Contingencies—Apollo is, from time to time, party to various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business including claims and lawsuits, reviews, investigations or proceedings by governmental and self regulatory agencies regarding its business.

In March 2012, plaintiffs filed two putative class actions, captioned Kelm v. Chase Bank (No. 12-cv-332) and Miller v. 1-800-Flowers.com, Inc. (No. 12-cv-396), in the District of Connecticut on behalf of a class of consumers alleging online fraud. The defendants included, among others, Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. ("Trilegiant"), its parent company, Affinion Group, LLC ("Affinion"), and Apollo Global Management, LLC ("AGM"), which is affiliated with funds that are the beneficial owners of 68% of Affinion's common stock. In both cases, plaintiffs allege that Trilegiant, aided by its business partners, who include e-merchants and credit card companies, developed a set of business practices intended to create consumer confusion and ultimately defraud consumers into unknowingly paying fees to clubs for unwanted services. Plaintiffs allege that AGM is a proper defendant because of its indirect stock ownership and ability to appoint the majority of Affinion's board. The complaints assert claims under the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act; the Electronic Communications Privacy Act; the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act; and the California Business and Professional Code, and seek, among other things, restitution or disgorgement, injunctive relief, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, and attorneys' fees. The allegations in Kelm and Miller are substantially similar to those in Schnabel v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 3:10-cv-957), a putative class action filed in the District of Connecticut in 2010 that names only Trilegiant and Affinion as defendants. The court has consolidated the Kelm, Miller, and Schnabel cases under the caption In re: Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. and ordered that they proceed on the same schedule. On June 18, 2012, the court appointed lead plaintiffs' counsel, and on September 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed their consolidated amended complaint ("CAC"), which alleges the same causes of action against AGM as did the complaints in the Kelm and Miller cases. Defendants filed motions to dismiss on December 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed opposition papers on February 7, 2013, and defendants filed replies on April 5, 2013. On December 5, 2012, plaintiffs filed another putative class action, captioned Frank v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 12-cv-1721), in the District of Connecticut, naming the same defendants and containing allegations substantially similar to those in the CAC. On January 23, 2013, plaintiffs moved to transfer and consolidate Frank into In re: Trilegiant. On July 24, 2013 the Frank court transferred the case to Judge Bryant, who is presiding over In re: Trilegiant, and on March 28, 2014, Judge Bryant granted the motion to consolidate. On September 25, 2013, the court held oral argument on defendants' motions to dismiss. On March 28, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part motions to dismiss filed by Affinion and Trilegiant on behalf of all defendants, and also granted separate motions to dismiss filed by certain defendants, including AGM. On that same day, the court directed the clerk to terminate AGM as a defendant in the consolidated action. On April 28, 2014, plaintiffs moved for interlocutory review of certain of the court's motion-to-dismiss rulings, not including its order granting AGM's separate dismissal motion. Defendants filed a response on May 23, 2014, and plaintiffs replied on June 5, 2014. On November 13, 2014, plaintiffs and the remaining defendants filed a Joint Status Report Regarding Discovery stating that no discovery had taken place since plaintiffs filed their interlocutory-review motion. On March 26, 2015, the court denied plaintiffs' motion for interlocutory review. On April 30, 2015, plaintiffs and the remaining defendants filed a joint report under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) that, among other things, requested that the Court extend the deadlines in its standing order for (i) plaintiffs to file an amended complaint until May 29, 2015; (ii) the close of fact discovery until January 15, 2016; and (iii) full briefing of class certification until

June 1, 2016.

Various state attorneys general and federal and state agencies have initiated industry-wide investigations into the use of placement agents in connection with the solicitation of investments, particularly with respect to investments by public pension funds. Certain affiliates of Apollo have received subpoenas and other requests for information from various government regulatory agencies and investors in Apollo's funds, seeking information regarding the use of placement agents. California Public Employees' Retirement System ("CalPERS"), one of our Strategic Investors, announced on October 14, 2009, that it had initiated a special review of placement agents and related issues. The report of the CalPERS Special Review was issued on March 14, 2011. That report does not allege any wrongdoing on the part of Apollo or its affiliates. Apollo is continuing to cooperate with all such investigations and other reviews. In addition, on May 6, 2010, the California Attorney General filed a civil complaint against Alfred Villalobos and his company, Arvco Capital Research, LLC ("Arvco") (a placement agent that Apollo has used) and Federico Buenrostro Jr., the former CEO of CalPERS, alleging conduct in violation of certain California laws in connection with CalPERS's

- 53-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

purchase of securities in various funds managed by Apollo and another asset manager. Apollo is not a party to the civil lawsuit and the lawsuit does not allege any misconduct on the part of Apollo. Likewise, on April 23, 2012, the SEC filed a lawsuit alleging securities fraud on the part of Arvco, as well as Messrs. Buenrostro and Villalobos, in connection with their activities concerning certain CalPERS investments in funds managed by Apollo. This lawsuit also does not allege wrongdoing on the part of Apollo, and alleges that Apollo was defrauded by Arvco, Villalobos, and Buenrostro. On March 14, 2013, the United States Department of Justice unsealed an indictment against Messrs. Villalobos and Buenrostro alleging, among other crimes, fraud in connection with those same activities; again, Apollo is not accused of any wrongdoing and in fact is alleged to have been defrauded by the defendants. The criminal action was set for trial in a San Francisco federal court in July 2014, but was put on hold after Mr. Buenrostro pleaded guilty on July 11, 2014. As part of Mr. Buenrostro's plea agreement, he admitted to taking cash and other bribes from Mr. Villalobos in exchange for several improprieties, including attempting to influence CalPERS' investing decisions and improperly preparing disclosure letters to satisfy Apollo's requirements. There is no suggestion that Apollo was aware that Mr. Buenrostro had signed the letters with a corrupt motive. The government has indicated that they will file new charges against Mr. Villalobos incorporating Mr. Buenrostro's admissions. On August 7, 2014, the government filed a superseding indictment against Mr. Villalobos asserting additional charges. Trial had been scheduled for February 23, 2015, but Mr. Villalobos passed away on January 13, 2015. Additionally, on April 15, 2013, Mr. Villalobos, Arvco and related entities (the "Arvco Debtors") brought a civil action in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the "Bankruptcy Court") against Apollo. The action is related to the ongoing bankruptcy proceedings of the Arvco Debtors. This action alleges that Arvco served as a placement agent for Apollo in connection with several funds associated with Apollo, and seeks to recover purported fees the Arvco Debtors claim Apollo has not paid them for a portion of Arvco's placement agent services. In addition, the Arvco Debtors allege that Apollo has interfered with the Arvco Debtors' commercial relationships with third parties, purportedly causing the Arvco Debtors to lose business and to incur fees and expenses in the defense of various investigations and litigations. The Arvco Debtors also seek compensation from Apollo for these alleged lost profits and fees and expenses. The Arvco Debtors' complaint asserts various theories of recovery under the Bankruptcy Code and common law. Apollo denies the merit of all of the Arvco Debtors' claims and will vigorously contest them. The Bankruptcy Court had stayed this action pending the result in the criminal case against Mr. Villalobos but lifted the stay on May 1, 2015; in light of Mr. Villalobos's death, it is expected that the criminal case will be dismissed. For these reasons, no estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On June 18, 2014, BOKF N.A. (the "First Lien Trustee"), the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the First Lien Notes issued by Momentive Performance Materials, Inc. ("Momentive"), commenced a lawsuit in the Supreme Court for the State of New York, New York County against AGM and members of an ad hoc group of Second Lien Noteholders (including, but not limited to, Euro VI (BC) S.a.r.l.). The First Lien Trustee amended its complaint on July 2, 2014 (the "First Lien Intercreditor Action"). In the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the First Lien Trustee seeks, among other things, a declaration that the defendants violated an intercreditor agreement entered into between holders of the first lien notes and holders of the second lien notes. On July 16, 2014, the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the 1.5 Lien Notes (the "1.5 Lien Trustee," and, together with the First Lien Trustee, the "Indenture Trustees") filed an action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County that is substantially similar to the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the "1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action," and, together with the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the "Intercreditor Actions"). AGM subsequently removed the Intercreditor Actions to federal district court, and the Intercreditor Actions were automatically referred to the Bankruptcy Court adjudicating the Momentive chapter 11 bankruptcy cases. The Indenture Trustees then filed motions with the Bankruptcy Court to remand the Intercreditor Actions back to the state court (the "Remand Motions"). On September 9, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Remand Motions. On August 15, 2014, the defendants in the Intercreditor Actions

(including AGM) filed a motion to dismiss the 1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action and a motion for judgment on the pleadings in the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the “Dismissal Motions”). On September 30, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Dismissal Motions. In its order granting the Dismissal Motions, the Bankruptcy Court gave the Indenture Trustees until mid-November 2014 to move to amend some, but not all, of the claims alleged in their respective complaints. On November 14, 2014, the Indenture Trustees moved to amend their respective complaints pursuant to the Bankruptcy Court’s order (the “Motions to Amend”). On January 9, 2015, the defendants filed their oppositions to the Motions to Amend. On January 16, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Motions to Amend (the “Dismissal Order”), but gave the Indenture Trustees until March 2, 2015 to seek to amend their respective complaints. On March 2, 2015, the First Lien Trustee filed a motion seeking to amend its complaint. On April 10, 2015, the defendants, including AGM and Euro VI (BC) S.a.r.l., filed an opposition to the First Lien Trustee’s motion to amend. Instead of moving again to amend its complaint, the 1.5 Lien Trustee chose to appeal the Dismissal Order (the “1.5 Lien Appeal”). On March 30, 2015, the 1.5 Lien Trustee filed its Statement of Issues and Designation of Record on Appeal. On March 31, 2015, because the legal issues presented in the 1.5 Lien Appeal are substantially similar to those presented in the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the parties in the 1.5 Lien Appeal submitted a joint stipulation and proposed order to the District Court staying the briefing schedule on the 1.5 Lien Appeal pending the outcome of the First Lien Trustee’s most recent motion to amend. On April 13, 2015, the Defendants filed their Counter-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

Designation of the Record on Appeal in the 1.5 Lien Appeal. On May 8, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court denied the motion to amend filed on March 2, 2015 by the First Lien Trustee. We are unable at this time to assess a potential risk of loss because the First Lien Trustee has not yet indicated whether it will appeal the Bankruptcy Court's decision on the First Lien Trustee's most recent motion to amend. In addition, we do not believe that AGM is a proper defendant in these actions.

On June 13, 2014, plaintiffs Stark Master Fund Ltd and Stark Global Opportunities Master Fund Ltd filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin against AGM and Apollo Management Holdings, (the "Apollo Defendants"), as well as Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Deutsche Bank Securities (USA) LLC (the "Bank Defendants"). The complaint alleges that AGM and the other defendants entered into an undisclosed and improper agreement concerning the financing of a potential acquisition of Hexion Specialty Chemicals Inc., and on this basis alleges a variety of common law misrepresentation claims, both intentional and negligent. The Apollo Defendants and Bank Defendants filed motions to dismiss the complaint on October 15, 2014. Rather than respond to the motions, plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint on November 5, 2014. The Apollo Defendants and Bank Defendants filed motions to dismiss the Amended Complaint on December 23, 2014. Plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to conduct jurisdictional discovery on February 2, 2015. On April 9, 2015, the Court issued an order granting plaintiffs' motion for leave to conduct limited jurisdictional discovery. Pursuant to the parties' stipulation approved by the Court, Plaintiffs must file their opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on or before 30 days following the close of jurisdictional discovery. Because the claims against the Apollo Defendants are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

There are several pending actions concerning transactions related to Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc.'s ("CEOC") restructuring efforts. Apollo is not a defendant in these matters.

In re: Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. bankruptcy proceedings, No. 15-10047 (Del. Bk.) (the "Delaware Bankruptcy Action") and No. 15-01145 (N.D. Ill. Bk.) (the "Illinois Bankruptcy Action"). On January 12, 2015, three holders of CEOC second lien notes filed an involuntary bankruptcy petition against CEOC in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. On January 15, 2015, CEOC and certain of its affiliates filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the Northern District of Illinois. On February 2, 2015, the court in the Delaware Bankruptcy Action ordered that all CEOC bankruptcy proceedings should take place in the Illinois Bankruptcy Action. On March 11, 2015, CEOC filed an adversary complaint in the Illinois Bankruptcy Action to stay, pending resolution of the bankruptcy, the Trustee, MeehanCombs, Danner, and BOKF Actions described below. The court has scheduled an evidentiary hearing on CEOC's stay request for June 1, 2015.

Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Caesars Entertainment Corp. et al., No. 10004-CVG (Del. Ch.) (the "Trustee Action"). On August 4, 2014, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB ("WSFS"), as trustee for certain CEOC second-lien notes, sued Caesars Entertainment Corporation ("Caesars Entertainment"), Caesars Entertainment's subsidiary, CEOC, other Caesars Entertainment-affiliated entities, and certain of Caesars Entertainment's directors, including Marc Rowan, Eric Press, David Sambur (each an Apollo Partner) and Jeff Benjamin (an Apollo consultant), in the Delaware Chancery Court. WSFS (i) asserts claims (against some or all of the defendants) for fraudulent conveyance, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract, corporate waste and aiding and abetting related to certain transactions among CEOC and other Caesars Entertainment affiliates, and (ii) requests (among other things) that the court unwind the challenged transactions and award damages. WSFS served a subpoena for documents on Apollo on September 11, 2014, but Apollo's response was stayed during the pendency of motions to dismiss under a September 23, 2014 stipulated order. On March 18, 2015, the Court denied Defendants' motion to dismiss. Apollo served responses and objections to the Trustee's subpoena on March 25, 2015. Caesars Entertainment answered the complaint

on April 1, 2015.

Caesars Entertainment Operating Co., et al. v. Appaloosa Investment Ltd. P'ship et al., No. 652392/2014 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (the "Caesars Action"). On August 5, 2014, Caesars Entertainment Corporation and CEOC sued certain CEOC second-lien noteholders and CEOC first-lien noteholder Elliott Management Corporation ("EMC"). On September 15, 2014, an amended complaint was filed adding WSFS as a defendant. The amended complaint asserts claims for (among other things)

- 55-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

tortious interference with prospective economic advantage, a declaratory judgment that certain transactions related to CEOC's restructuring are valid and appropriate and that there has not been a default under the indentures governing the notes. On October 15, 2014, defendants moved to dismiss the complaint, and the motion was fully briefed on December 1, 2014. On January 15, 2015, Caesars Entertainment and CEOC agreed to voluntarily dismiss their claims against EMC without prejudice, and EMC agreed to withdraw its motion to dismiss without prejudice. The remaining parties in the Caesars Action and the parties in the Trustee action described below have agreed to stay discovery pending decision on the respective motions to dismiss.

Meehancombs Global Credit Opportunities Master Fund, L.P., et al. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7091 (S.D.N.Y.) (the "Meehancombs Action"). On September 3, 2014, institutional investors allegedly holding approximately \$137 million in CEOC unsecured senior notes sued CEOC and Caesars Entertainment for breach of contract and the implied covenant of good faith, Trust Indenture Act violations and a declaratory judgment challenging the August 2014 private financing transaction in which a portion of outstanding senior unsecured notes were purchased by Caesars Entertainment, and a majority of the noteholders agreed to amend the indenture to terminate Caesars Entertainment's guarantee of the notes and modify certain restrictions on CEOC's ability to sell assets. Caesars Entertainment and CEOC filed a motion to dismiss on November 12, 2014. On January 15, 2015, the court granted the motion with respect to a Trust Indenture Act claim by Meehancombs but otherwise denied the motion. On January 30, 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint seeking relief against Caesars Entertainment only, and Caesars Entertainment answered on February 12, 2015. On October 2, 2014, a related putative class action complaint was filed on behalf of the holders of these notes captioned Danner v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7973 (S.D.N.Y.) (the "Danner Action"), against Caesars Entertainment alleging similar claims to the Meehancombs Action. On February 19, 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint, and Caesars Entertainment answered on February 25, 2015.

UMB Bank v. Caesars Entertainment Corporation, et al., No. 10393 (Del. Ch.) (the "UMB Action."). On November 25, 2014, UMB Bank, as trustee for certain CEOC notes, sued Caesars Entertainment, CEOC, other Caesars Entertainment-affiliated entities, and certain of Caesars Entertainment's directors, including Marc Rowan, Eric Press, David Sambur (each an Apollo Partner) and Jeffrey Benjamin (an Apollo consultant), in Delaware Chancery Court. The lawsuit alleges claims for actual and constructive fraudulent conveyance and transfer, insider preferences, illegal dividends, breach of contract, intentional interference with contractual relations, breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, usurpation of corporate opportunities, and unjust enrichment. The UMB Action seeks appointment of a receiver for CEOC, a constructive trust, and other relief. The UMB Action has been assigned to the same judge overseeing the Trustee Action. Upon filing the complaint, UMB Bank moved to expedite its claim seeking a receiver, on which the court held oral argument on December 17, 2014. On January 15, 2015, the court entered a stipulated order staying the UMB Action as to all parties due to CEOC's bankruptcy filing.

Koskie v. Caesars Acquisition Company, et al., No. A-14-711712-C (Clark Cnty Nev. Dist. Ct.) (the "Koskie Action"). On December 30, 2014, Nicholas Koskie brought a shareholder class action on behalf of shareholders of Caesars Acquisition Company ("CAC") against CAC, Caesars Entertainment, and members of CAC's Board of Directors, including Marc Rowan and David Sambur (each an Apollo partner). The lawsuit challenges CAC and Caesars Entertainment's plan to merge, alleging that the proposed transaction will not give CAC shareholders fair value. Koskie asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duty relating to the director defendants' interrelationships with the entities involved the proposed transaction.

•

BOKF, N.A. v. Caesars Entertainment Corporation, No. 15-156 (S.D.N.Y) (the “BOKF Action”). On March 3, 2015, BOKF, N.A., as trustee for certain CEOC notes, sued Caesars Entertainment in the Southern District of New York. The lawsuit alleges claims for breach of contract, intentional interference with contractual relations and a declaratory judgment, and seeks to enforce Caesars Entertainment’s guarantee of certain CEOC notes. The BOKF Action has been

- 56-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

assigned to the same judge as the Meehancombs and Danner Actions. On March 25, 2015, Caesars Entertainment filed an answer to the complaint.

Apollo believes that the claims in the Trustee Action, the UMB Action, the Meehancombs Action, the Danner Action, the Koskie Action, and the BOKF Action are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims are in their early stages, and because of pending bankruptcy proceedings involving CEOC, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Following the January 16, 2014 announcement that CEC Entertainment, Inc. ("CEC") had entered into a merger agreement with certain entities affiliated with Apollo (the "Merger Agreement"), four putative shareholder class actions were filed in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas on behalf of purported stockholders of CEC against, among others, CEC, its directors and Apollo and certain of its affiliates, which include Queso Holdings Inc., Q Merger Sub Inc., Apollo Management VIII, L.P., and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. The first purported class action, which is captioned Hilary Coyne v. Richard M. Frank et al., Case No. 14C57, was filed on January 21, 2014 (the "Coyne Action"). The second purported class action, which was captioned John Solak v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 14C55, was filed on January 22, 2014 (the "Solak Action"). The Solak Action was dismissed for lack of prosecution on October 14, 2014. The third purported class action, which is captioned Irene Dixon v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Case No. 14C81, was filed on January 24, 2014 and additionally names as defendants Apollo Management VIII, L.P. and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. (the "Dixon Action"). The fourth purported class action, which is captioned Louisiana Municipal Public Employees' Retirement System v. Frank, et al., Case No. 14C97, was filed on January 31, 2014 (the "LMPERS Action") (together with the Coyne and Dixon Actions, the "Shareholder Actions"). A fifth purported class action, which was captioned McCullough v. Frank, et al., Case No. CC-14-00622-B, was filed in the County Court of Dallas County, Texas on February 7, 2014. This action was dismissed for want of prosecution on May 21, 2014. Each of the Shareholder Actions alleges, among other things, that CEC's directors breached their fiduciary duties to CEC's stockholders in connection with their consideration and approval of the Merger Agreement, including by agreeing to an inadequate price, agreeing to impermissible deal protection devices, and filing materially deficient disclosures regarding the transaction. Each of the Shareholder Actions further alleges that Apollo and certain of its affiliates aided and abetted those alleged breaches. As filed, the Shareholder Actions seek, among other things, rescission of the various transactions associated with the merger, damages and attorneys' and experts' fees and costs. On February 7, 2014 and February 11, 2014, the plaintiffs in the Shareholder Actions pursued a consolidated action for damages after the transaction closed. Thereafter, the Shareholder Actions were consolidated under the caption In re CEC Entertainment, Inc. Stockholder Litigation, Case No. 14C57, and the parties have engaged in limited discovery. No defendant has any obligation to answer or otherwise respond to any of the complaints in the consolidated action until the plaintiffs file or designate an operative complaint. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the above action, it believes that such action is without merit.

On June 10, 2014, Magnetar Global Event Driven Fund Ltd., Spectrum Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd., Magnetar Capital Master Fund, Ltd., and Blackwell Partners LLC, as the purported beneficial owners of shares held as of record by the nominal petitioner Cede & Co., (the "Appraisal Petitioners"), filed an action for statutory appraisal under Kansas state law against CEC in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas, captioned Magnetar Global Event Driven Master Fund Ltd, et al. v. CEC Entertainment, Inc., 2:14-cv-02279-RDR-KGS. The Appraisal Petitioners seek appraisal of 750,000 shares of common stock. CEC has answered the complaint and filed a verified list of stockholders, as required under Kansas law. On September 3, 2014, the court entered a scheduling order that contemplated that discovery would commence in the fall of 2014 and would be substantially completed by May 2015. On January 13, 2015, the court entered a revised scheduling order that contemplated that fact discovery would be

completed by March 13, 2015, expert discovery would be completed by June 15, 2015, and a pretrial conference would occur on June 29, 2015. Thereafter, the scheduling order contemplates dispositive motion practice, and a trial on the merits of the Appraisal Petitioners' claims. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the above actions, Apollo believes that such actions are without merit.

Although the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be ascertained at this time, Apollo is of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the resolution of any such matters to which it is a party at this time will not have a material adverse effect on the condensed consolidated financial statements. Legal actions material to Apollo could, however, arise in the future.

Commitments—Apollo leases office space and certain office equipment under various lease and sublease arrangements, which expire on various dates through 2024. As these leases expire, it can be expected that in the normal course of business, they will be renewed or replaced. Certain lease agreements contain renewal options, rent escalation provisions based on certain costs incurred by the landlord or other inducements provided by the landlord. Rent expense is accrued to recognize lease

- 57-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

escalation provisions and inducements provided by the landlord, if any, on a straight-line basis over the lease term and renewal periods where applicable. Apollo has entered into various operating lease service agreements in respect of certain assets.

As of March 31, 2015, the approximate aggregate minimum future payments required for operating leases were as follows:

	Remaining 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	Total
Aggregate minimum future payments	\$28,525	\$37,381	\$35,384	\$30,983	\$30,593	\$24,163	\$187,029

Expenses related to non-cancellable contractual obligations for premises, equipment, auto and other assets were \$10.5 million and \$10.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Other Long-term Obligations—These obligations relate to payments with respect to certain consulting agreements entered into by Apollo Investment Consulting LLC, a subsidiary of Apollo. A significant portion of these costs are reimbursable by funds or portfolio companies. As of March 31, 2015, fixed and determinable payments due in connection with these obligations were as follows:

	Remaining 2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	Total
Other long-term obligations	\$10,075	\$5,588	\$4,783	\$4,658	\$2,329	\$—	\$27,433

Contingent Obligations—Carried interest income with respect to private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to reversal in the event of future losses to the extent of the cumulative carried interest recognized in income to date. If all of the existing investments became worthless, the amount of cumulative revenues that have been recognized by Apollo through March 31, 2015 and that would be reversed approximates \$2.9 billion. Management views the possibility of all of the investments becoming worthless as remote. Carried interest income is affected by changes in the fair values of the underlying investments in the funds that Apollo manages. Valuations, on an unrealized basis, can be significantly affected by a variety of external factors including, but not limited to, bond yields and industry trading multiples. Movements in these items can affect valuations quarter to quarter even if the underlying business fundamentals remain stable.

Additionally, at the end of the life of certain funds that the Company manages, there could be a payment due to a fund by the Company if the Company, as general partner, has received more carried interest income than was ultimately earned. The general partner obligation amount, if any, will depend on final realized values of investments at the end of the life of each fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund. As of March 31, 2015, the Company has recorded a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income related to Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment of \$4.2 million, \$4.4 million and \$27.0 million, respectively.

Certain funds may not generate carried interest income as a result of unrealized and realized losses that are recognized in the current and prior reporting period. In certain cases, carried interest income will not be generated until additional unrealized and realized gains occur. Any appreciation would first cover the deductions for invested capital, unreturned organizational expenses, operating expenses, management fees and priority returns based on the terms of the respective fund agreements.

One of the Company's subsidiaries, AGS, provides underwriting commitments in connection with securities offerings to the portfolio companies of the funds Apollo manages. As of March 31, 2015, there were no underwriting commitments outstanding related to such offerings.

Contingent Consideration

In connection with the acquisition of Stone Tower in April 2012, the Company agreed to pay the former owners of Stone Tower a specified percentage of any future carried interest income earned from certain of the Stone Tower funds, CLOs, and strategic investment accounts. This contingent consideration liability had an acquisition date fair value of \$117.7 million, which was determined based on the present value of estimated future carried interest payments, and is recorded in profit sharing

- 58-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The fair value of the remaining contingent obligation was \$87.3 million and \$84.5 million as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

In connection with the Gulf Stream acquisition, the Company agreed to make payments to the former owners of Gulf Stream under a contingent consideration obligation which required the Company to transfer cash to the former owners of Gulf Stream based on a specified percentage of carried interest income. The contingent liability had a fair value of \$11.7 million and \$11.6 million as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, which was recorded in profit sharing payable in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

The contingent consideration obligations will be remeasured to fair value at each reporting period until the obligations are satisfied. The changes in the fair value of the contingent consideration obligations will be reflected in profit sharing expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The contingent consideration obligations are measured at fair value and are characterized as Level III liabilities. See note 5 for further information regarding fair value measurements.

14. MARKET AND CREDIT RISK

In the normal course of business, Apollo encounters market and credit risk concentrations. Market risk reflects changes in the value of investments due to changes in interest rates, credit spreads or other market factors. Credit risk includes the risk of default on Apollo's investments, where the counterparty is unable or unwilling to make required or expected payments.

The Company is subject to a concentration risk related to the investors in its funds. As of March 31, 2015, there were more than 1,000 investors in Apollo's active private equity, credit and real estate funds, and no individual investor accounted for more than 10% of the total committed capital to Apollo's active funds.

Apollo's derivative financial instruments contain credit risk to the extent that its counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of the agreements. Apollo seeks to minimize this risk by limiting its counterparties to highly rated major financial institutions with good credit ratings. Management does not expect any material losses as a result of default by other parties.

Substantially all amounts on deposit with major financial institutions that exceed insured limits are invested in interest-bearing accounts with U.S. money center banks.

Apollo is exposed to economic risk concentrations insofar as Apollo is dependent on the ability of the funds that it manages to compensate it for the services it provides to these funds. Further, the incentive income component of this compensation is based on the ability of such funds to generate returns above certain specified thresholds.

Additionally, Apollo is exposed to interest rate risk. Apollo has debt obligations that have variable rates. Interest rate changes may therefore affect the amount of interest payments, future earnings and cash flows. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, \$531.0 million and \$535.0 million of Apollo's debt balance (excluding debt of the consolidated VIEs) had a variable interest rate, respectively.

15. SEGMENT REPORTING

Apollo conducts its management and incentive businesses primarily in the United States and substantially all of its revenues are generated domestically. These businesses are conducted through the following three reportable segments:

• **Private Equity**—primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt investments;

• **Credit**—primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and

• **Real Estate**—primarily invests in real estate equity for the acquisition and recapitalization of real estate assets, portfolios, platforms and operating companies, and real estate debt including first mortgage and mezzanine loans, preferred equity and commercial mortgage backed securities.

These business segments are differentiated based on the varying investment strategies. The performance is measured by management on an unconsolidated basis because management makes operating decisions and assesses the performance of each of Apollo's business segments based on financial and operating metrics and data that exclude the effects of consolidation of any of the affiliated funds.

The Company's financial results vary since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income from the funds that Apollo manages, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that the Company receives, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, the Company emphasizes long-term financial growth and profitability to manage its business.

The tables below present the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments further separated between the management business and incentive business as of March 31, 2015 and 2014, and for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, which management believes is useful to the reader. The Company's management business has fairly stable revenues and expenses except for transaction fees, while its incentive business is more volatile and can have significant fluctuations as it is affected by changes in the fair value of investments due to market performance. The financial results of the management entities, as reflected in the "management" business section of the segment tables that follow, generally include management fee revenues, advisory and transaction fees and expenses exclusive of profit sharing expense. The financial results of the advisory entities, as reflected in the "incentive" business sections of the segment tables that follow, generally include carried interest income, investment income and profit sharing expense.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

During the first quarter of 2015 the Company renamed Economic Net Income to Economic Income ("EI"). Additionally, the definition of EI was changed to exclude transaction-related charges related to contingent consideration associated with acquisitions. The impact of this change on EI is reflected in the table below for Apollo's three reportable segments for the three months ended March 31, 2014 as well as for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The exclusion of transaction-related charges relating to contingent consideration associated with acquisitions only impacted the credit segment.

	Impact of Revised Definition on Economic Income (Loss)		
	Total EI as Previously Reported	Impact of Revised Definition	Total EI After Revised Definition
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	\$269,251	\$5,521	\$274,772
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014	755,546	(495)	755,051
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013	2,127,651	61,449	2,189,100
For the Year Ended December 31, 2012	1,634,445	25,787	1,660,232

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2014 \$1.6 million and (\$2.1) million of the impact related to the management business and incentive business, respectively. For all other periods presented, the impact to the management business was zero.

Also during the first quarter of 2015, interest expense, net of interest income ("net interest expense") was reallocated from the management business to the incentive business to align with the earnings from our Balance Sheet investments which are principally funded by our outstanding debt. The impact of this reallocation on management business EI and incentive business EI is reflected in the tables below for Apollo's three reportable segments for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

	Impact of Net Interest Expense Reclassification on Management Business Economic Income (Loss)			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Combined Segments
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	\$1,124	\$571	\$289	\$1,984

	Impact of Net Interest Expense Reclassification on Incentive Business Economic Income (Loss)			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Combined Segments
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	\$(1,124)	\$(571)	\$(289)	\$(1,984)

As it relates to the reclassification described above, the impact to the combined segments total Economic Income (Loss) for all periods presented was zero.

These changes have been made to prior period financial data reportable segments to conform to the current period presentation.

Economic Income (Loss)

EI is a key performance measure used by management in evaluating the performance of Apollo's private equity, credit and real estate segments. Management believes the components of EI, such as the amount of management fees, advisory and transaction fees and carried interest income, are indicative of the Company's performance. Management also uses EI in making key operating decisions such as the following:

- Decisions related to the allocation of resources such as staffing decisions including hiring and locations for deployment of the new hires;

- Decisions related to capital deployment such as providing capital to facilitate growth for the business and/or to facilitate expansion into new businesses; and

- Decisions relating to expenses, such as determining annual discretionary bonuses and equity-based compensation awards to its employees. With respect to compensation, management seeks to align

- 60-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

the interests of certain professionals and selected other individuals with those of the investors in such funds and those of the Company's shareholders by providing such individuals a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to the funds. To achieve that objective, a certain amount of compensation is based on the Company's performance and growth for the year.

EI is a measure of profitability and has certain limitations in that it does not take into account certain items included under U.S. GAAP. EI represents segment income (loss) before income tax provision excluding transaction-related charges arising from the 2007 private placement, and any acquisitions. Transaction-related charges include equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions. In addition, segment data excludes non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company, as well as the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and VIEs that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements.

The following table presents financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$3,841	\$5,352	\$350	\$9,543
Management fees from affiliates	74,597	139,452	10,664	224,713
Carried interest income from affiliates	54,926	11,276	2,391	68,593
Total Revenues	133,364	156,080	13,405	302,849
Expenses	78,840	101,772	15,537	196,149
Other income (loss)	4,555	(6,314)	(26)	(1,785)
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(2,846)	—	(2,846)
Economic Income (Loss)	\$59,079	\$45,148	\$(2,158)	\$102,069
Total Assets	\$1,680,903	\$2,071,345	\$216,067	\$3,968,315

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table reconciles the total segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$302,849	\$(26,031)	(1) \$276,818
Expenses	196,149	28,264	(2) 224,413
Other income (loss)	(1,785)	) 153,459	(3) 151,674
Non-Controlling Interests	(2,846)	) (164,792)	) (167,638)
Economic Income	\$102,069	(5) N/A	N/A
Total Assets	\$3,968,315	\$19,679,486	(6) \$23,647,801

Represents advisory fees, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are (1) eliminated in consolidation. Includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and transaction-related (2) charges. Transaction-related charges includes equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions.

(3) Results from the following:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015
Net gains from investment activities	\$10,925
Net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	134,703
Loss from equity method investments(4)	(116)
Other income, net	7,947
Total Consolidation Adjustments	\$153,459

(4) Includes \$40 thousand reflecting the remaining interest of certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

(5) The reconciliation of Economic Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015
Economic Income	\$102,069
Income tax provision	(5,514)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(48,012)
Transaction-related charges and equity-based compensation(7)	(17,616)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$30,927

(6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

(7) Transaction-related charges include equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions. Equity-based compensation

adjustment includes non-cash revenues and expenses related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

- 62-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015					
	Private Equity Management	Incentive	Total	Credit Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$3,841	\$—	\$3,841	\$5,352	\$—	\$5,352
Management fees from affiliates	74,597	—	74,597	139,452	—	139,452
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses ⁽¹⁾	—	(21,109)	(21,109)	—	(45,770)	(45,770)
Realized gains	—	76,035	76,035	10,774	46,272	57,046
Total Revenues	78,438	54,926	133,364	155,578	502	156,080
Compensation and benefits ⁽²⁾	34,856	28,799	63,655	59,435	10,217	69,652
Other expenses	15,185	—	15,185	32,120	—	32,120
Total Expenses	50,041	28,799	78,840	91,555	10,217	101,772
Other Income (Loss)	1,459	3,096	4,555	2,804	(9,118)	(6,314)
Non-Controlling Interests	—	—	—	(2,846)	—	(2,846)
Economic Income (Loss)	\$29,856	\$29,223	\$59,079	\$63,981	\$(18,833)	\$45,148

Included in unrealized carried interest income (loss) from affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was a reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment of \$4.2 million, \$4.4 million and \$27.0 million, respectively. The fair value gain on investments and income at the fund level needed to reverse the general partner obligations with respect to Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment was \$28.0 million, \$10.6 million and \$30.7 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2015. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the fund's net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund.

Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for RSUs (excluding transaction-related charges arising from the 2007 private placement, and any acquisitions) and share options.

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015		
	Real Estate Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$350	\$—	\$350
Management fees from affiliates	10,664	—	10,664
Carried interest income from affiliates:			
Unrealized losses	—	(26	) (26
Realized gains	—	2,417	2,417
Total Revenues	11,014	2,391	13,405
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	9,092	1,816	10,908
Other expenses	4,629	—	4,629
Total Expenses	13,721	1,816	15,537
Other Income (Loss)	429	(455	) (26
Economic Income (Loss)	\$(2,278	) \$120	\$(2,158

Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for (1)RSUs (excluding transaction-related charges arising from the 2007 private placement, and any acquisitions) and share options.

The following table presents the financial data for Apollo's reportable segments as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014			
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment	Total Reportable Segments
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$37,636	\$77,480	\$949	\$116,065
Management fees from affiliates	79,421	131,629	12,780	223,830
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates	103,251	66,352	(344	) 169,259
Total Revenues	220,308	275,461	13,385	509,154
Expenses	130,231	146,794	17,781	294,806
Other Income	20,840	41,977	863	63,680
Non-Controlling Interests	—	(3,256	) —	(3,256
Economic Income (Loss)	\$110,917	\$167,388	\$(3,533	) \$274,772
Total Assets	\$2,719,888	\$2,075,860	\$187,958	\$4,983,706

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following table reconciles the total reportable segments to Apollo Global Management, LLC's financial statements as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	As of and for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Total Reportable Segments	Consolidation Adjustments and Other	Consolidated
Revenues	\$509,154	\$(17,754)	(1) \$491,400
Expenses	294,806	19,313	(2) 314,119
Other income	63,680	251,232	(3) 314,912
Non-Controlling Interests	(3,256)	(384,219)	(387,475)
Economic Income	\$274,772	(5) N/A	N/A
Total Assets	\$4,983,706	\$17,928,676	(6) \$22,912,382

Represents advisory fees, management fees and carried interest income earned from consolidated VIEs which are (1) eliminated in consolidation. Includes non-cash revenues related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

Represents the addition of expenses of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs and transaction-related (2) charges. Transaction-related charges includes equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions.

(3) Results from the following:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014
Net gains from investment activities	\$205,395
Net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities	47,735
Loss from equity method investments(4)	(5,386)
Other income, net	3,488
Total Consolidation Adjustments	\$251,232

(4) Includes \$328 reflecting the remaining interest of certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from a private equity co-investment vehicle.

(5) The reconciliation of Economic Income to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in the condensed consolidated statements of operations consists of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014
Economic Income	\$274,772
Income tax provision	(32,549)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(155,100)
Transaction-related charges and equity-based compensation(7)	(14,954)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$72,169

(6) Represents the addition of assets of consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

(7) Transaction-related charges include equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions. Equity-based compensation

adjustment includes non-cash revenues and expenses related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

- 65-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
 NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

The following tables present additional financial data for Apollo's reportable segments for the three months ended March 31, 2014:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014					
	Private Equity Management	Incentive	Total	Credit Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$37,636	\$—	\$37,636	\$77,480	\$—	\$77,480
Management fees from affiliates	79,421	—	79,421	131,629	—	131,629
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses	—	(293,589)	(293,589)	—	(7,898)	(7,898)
Realized gains	—	396,840	396,840	8,464	65,786	74,250
Total Revenues	117,057	103,251	220,308	217,573	57,888	275,461
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	46,718	66,095	112,813	78,995	32,945	111,940
Other expenses	17,418	—	17,418	34,854	—	34,854
Total Expenses	64,136	66,095	130,231	113,849	32,945	146,794
Other Income	1,565	19,275	20,840	3,345	38,632	41,977
Non-Controlling Interests	—	—	—	(3,256)	—	(3,256)
Economic Income	\$54,486	\$56,431	\$110,917	\$103,813	\$63,575	\$167,388

Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for (1)RSUs (excluding transaction-related charges arising from the 2007 private placement, and any acquisitions) and share options.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Real Estate Management	Incentive	Total
Revenues:			
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$949	\$—	\$949
Management fees from affiliates	12,780	—	12,780
Carried interest loss from affiliates:			
Unrealized losses	—	(344)	(344)
Realized gains	—	—	—
Total Revenues	13,729	(344)	13,385
Compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	12,955	(602)	12,353
Other expenses	5,428	—	5,428
Total Expenses	18,383	(602)	17,781
Other Income	405	458	863
Economic Income (Loss)	\$(4,249)	\$716	\$(3,533)

Compensation and benefits includes equity-based compensation expense related to the management business for (1) RSUs (excluding transaction-related charges arising from the 2007 private placement, and any acquisitions) and share options.

16. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

- 66-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED
FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(dollars in thousands, except share data, except where noted)

On April 10, 2015, the Company issued 531,420 Class A shares, respectively, in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 43.0% to 43.1%.

On May 7, 2015 the Company declared a cash distribution of \$0.33 per Class A share, which will be paid on May 29, 2015 to holders of record on May 20, 2015.

On May 8, 2015, the Company issued 1,735,501 Class A shares in exchange for AOG Units. This issuance caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 43.1% to 43.6%.

Table of ContentsITEM 1A. UNAUDITED SUPPLEMENTAL PRESENTATION OF STATEMENTS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITIONAPOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC
CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)
(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	March 31, 2015 Apollo Global Management, LLC and Consolidated Subsidiaries	Consolidated Funds and VIEs	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$929,016	\$—	\$—	\$929,016
Cash and cash equivalents held at consolidated funds—	—	840	—	840
Restricted cash	6,247	—	—	6,247
Investments	925,514	2,186,262	(159,561)	2,952,215
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities				
Cash and cash equivalents	—	671,067	—	671,067
Investments, at fair value	—	16,360,629	(288)	16,360,341
Other assets	—	715,424	(692)	714,732
Carried interest receivable	946,122	—	(49,941)	896,181
Due from affiliates	287,196	—	(9,255)	277,941
Fixed assets, net	36,074	—	—	36,074
Deferred tax assets	590,239	—	—	590,239
Other assets	107,383	4,796	(186)	111,993
Goodwill	88,852	—	(39,609)	49,243
Intangible assets, net	51,672	—	—	51,672
Total Assets	\$3,968,315	\$19,939,018	\$ (259,532)	\$23,647,801
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$48,536	\$494	\$—	\$49,030
Accrued compensation and benefits	53,923	—	—	53,923
Deferred revenue	220,665	—	—	220,665
Due to affiliates	559,134	599	—	559,733
Profit sharing payable	463,410	—	—	463,410
Debt	1,030,093	—	—	1,030,093
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:				
Debt, at fair value	—	14,730,952	(47,417)	14,683,535
Other liabilities	—	744,899	(186)	744,713
Due to affiliates	—	59,888	(59,888)	—
Other liabilities	38,059	5,867	—	43,926
Total Liabilities	2,413,820	15,542,699	(107,491)	17,849,028
Shareholders' Equity:				
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:				
Additional paid in capital	2,118,160	—	(3,413)	2,114,747

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated deficit	(1,403,142	) 2,186,153	(2,152,745)	(1,369,734)
Appropriated partners' capital	—	954,480	(39,608)	914,872
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	39,642	(2,660)	(39,920)	(2,938)
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	754,660	3,137,973	(2,235,686)	1,656,947
Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	9,299	1,258,346	2,083,645	3,351,290
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	790,536	—	—	790,536
Total Shareholders' Equity	1,554,495	4,396,319	(152,041)	5,798,773
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$3,968,315	\$19,939,018	\$ (259,532)	\$23,647,801

- 68-

Table of Contents

APOLLO GLOBAL MANAGEMENT, LLC

CONSOLIDATING STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION (Unaudited)

(dollars in thousands, except share data)

	December 31, 2014 Apollo Global Management, LLC and Consolidated Subsidiaries	Consolidated Funds and VIEs	Eliminations	Consolidated
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$1,204,052	\$—	\$—	\$1,204,052
Cash and cash equivalents held at consolidated funds	—	1,611	—	1,611
Restricted cash	6,353	—	—	6,353
Investments	857,391	2,173,989	(151,374)	2,880,006
Assets of consolidated variable interest entities				
Cash and cash equivalents	—	1,088,952	—	1,088,952
Investments, at fair value	—	15,658,948	(295)	15,658,653
Other assets	—	323,932	(692)	323,240
Carried interest receivable	958,846	—	(47,180)	911,666
Due from affiliates	278,632	—	(10,617)	268,015
Fixed assets, net	35,906	—	—	35,906
Deferred tax assets	606,717	—	—	606,717
Other assets	81,083	3,578	(277)	84,384
Goodwill	88,852	—	(39,609)	49,243
Intangible assets, net	60,039	—	—	60,039
Total Assets	\$4,177,871	\$19,251,010	\$ (250,044)	\$23,178,837
Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity				
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$43,772	\$474	\$—	\$44,246
Accrued compensation and benefits	59,278	—	—	59,278
Deferred revenue	199,614	—	—	199,614
Due to affiliates	564,799	354	—	565,153
Profit sharing payable	434,852	—	—	434,852
Debt	1,034,014	—	—	1,034,014
Liabilities of consolidated variable interest entities:				
Debt, at fair value	—	14,170,474	(47,374)	14,123,100
Other liabilities	—	728,957	(239)	728,718
Due to affiliates	—	58,526	(58,526)	—
Other liabilities	42,183	4,218	—	46,401
Total Liabilities	2,378,512	14,963,003	(106,139)	17,235,376
Shareholders' Equity:				
Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity:				
Additional paid in capital	2,256,054	—	(1,771)	2,254,283
Accumulated deficit	(1,433,759)	2,175,406)	(2,142,308)	(1,400,661)
Appropriated partners' capital	—	972,774	(39,608)	933,166
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	33,052	—	(33,358)	(306)
Total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity	855,347	3,148,180	(2,217,045)	1,786,482

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	9,228	1,139,827	2,073,140	3,222,195
Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	934,784	—	—	934,784
Total Shareholders' Equity	1,799,359	4,288,007	(143,905)	5,943,461
Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity	\$4,177,871	\$19,251,010	\$ (250,044)	\$23,178,837

- 69-

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL
CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with Apollo Global Management, LLC's condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes included within this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. This discussion contains forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties. Actual results and the timing of events may differ significantly from those expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements due to a number of factors, including those included in the section entitled "Risk Factors" in our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015 (the "2014 Annual Report"). The highlights listed below have had significant effects on many items within our condensed consolidated financial statements and affect the comparison of the current period's activity with those of prior periods.

General

Our Businesses

Founded in 1990, Apollo is a leading global alternative investment manager. We are a contrarian, value-oriented investment manager in private equity, credit and real estate with significant distressed expertise and a flexible mandate in the majority of our funds which enables our funds to invest opportunistically across a company's capital structure. We raise, invest and manage funds on behalf of some of the world's most prominent pension, endowment and sovereign wealth funds as well as other institutional and individual investors. Apollo is led by our Managing Partners, Leon Black, Joshua Harris and Marc Rowan, who have worked together for more than 25 years and lead a team of 869 employees, including 318 investment professionals, as of March 31, 2015.

Apollo conducts its management and incentive businesses primarily in the United States and substantially all of its revenues are generated domestically. These businesses are conducted through the following three reportable segments:

- (i) Private equity—primarily invests in control equity and related debt instruments, convertible securities and distressed debt instruments;
- (ii) Credit—primarily invests in non-control corporate and structured debt instruments; and
- Real estate—primarily invests in real estate equity for the acquisition and recapitalization of real estate assets, (iii) portfolios, platforms and operating companies, and real estate debt including first mortgage and mezzanine loans, preferred equity and commercial mortgage backed securities.

These business segments are differentiated based on the varying investment strategies. The performance is measured by management on an unconsolidated basis because management makes operating decisions and assesses the performance of each of Apollo's business segments based on financial and operating metrics and data that exclude the effects of consolidation of any of the managed funds.

Our financial results vary since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income we receive from the funds that we manage, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that we receive, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, we emphasize long-term financial growth and profitability to manage our business.

In addition, the growth in our Fee-Generating AUM during the last year has primarily been in our credit segment. The average management fee rate for these new credit products is at market rates for such products and in certain cases is below our historical rates. Also, due to the complexity of these new product offerings, the Company has incurred and will continue to incur additional costs associated with managing these products. To date, these additional costs have been offset by realized economies of scale and ongoing cost management.

As of March 31, 2015, approximately 98% of our total AUM was in funds with a contractual life at inception of seven years or more, and 46% of our total AUM was considered permanent capital.

As of March 31, 2015, we had total AUM of \$162.9 billion across all of our businesses. On December 31, 2013, Apollo Investment Fund VIII, L.P. ("Fund VIII") held a final closing raising a total of \$17.5 billion in third-party capital and approximately \$880 million of additional capital from Apollo and affiliated investors, and as of March 31, 2015, Fund VIII had \$16.0 billion of uncalled commitments remaining. Additionally, Apollo Investment Fund VII, L.P. ("Fund VII") held a final closing in December 2008, raising a total of \$14.7 billion, and as of March 31, 2015,

Fund VII had \$3.1 billion of uncalled commitments remaining. We have consistently produced attractive long-term investment returns in our traditional private equity funds, generating a 39% gross IRR and a 25% net IRR on a compound annual basis from inception through March 31, 2015. Apollo's traditional

- 70-

Table of Contents

private equity funds' appreciation was 2.3% and 5.6% for the first quarter of 2015 and during the last twelve months ended March 31, 2015, respectively.

For our credit segment, total gross and net return, excluding assets managed by Athene Asset Management ("AAM") that are not directly invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles or sub-advised by Apollo, was 2.0% and 1.7% for the first quarter of 2015 and 5.4% and 4.2% during the last twelve months ended March 31, 2015, respectively. For further detail related to fund performance metrics across all of our businesses, see "—The Historical Investment Performance of Our Funds."

Holding Company Structure

The diagram below depicts our current organizational structure:

Note: The organizational structure chart above depicts a simplified version of the Apollo structure. It does not include all legal entities in the structure. Ownership percentages are as of the date of the filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

- The Strategic Investors hold 26.41% of the Class A shares outstanding and 11.51% of the economic interests in the Apollo Operating Group. The Class A shares held by investors other than the Strategic Investors represent 36.23% of the total voting power of our shares entitled to vote and 32.07% of the economic interests in the Apollo
- (1) Operating Group. Class A shares held by the Strategic Investors do not have voting rights. However, such Class A shares will become entitled to vote upon transfers by a Strategic Investor in accordance with the agreements entered into in connection with the investments made by the Strategic Investors.
- Our Managing Partners own BRH Holdings GP, Ltd., which in turn holds our only outstanding Class B share. The Class B share represents 63.77% of the total voting power of our shares entitled to vote but no economic interest in
- (2) Apollo Global Management, LLC. Our Managing Partners' economic interests are instead represented by their indirect beneficial ownership, through AP Professional Holdings L.P. ("Holdings"), of 50.32% of the limited partner interests in the Apollo Operating Group.
- (3) Through BRH Holdings, L.P., our Managing Partners indirectly beneficially own through estate planning vehicles, limited partner interests in Holdings.

Table of Contents

Holdings owns 56.43% of the limited partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity ("AOG Units"). The AOG Units held by Holdings are exchangeable for Class A shares. Our Managing Partners, through their interests in BRH and Holdings, beneficially own 50.32% of the AOG Units. Our Contributing Partners, through their ownership interests in Holdings, beneficially own 6.11% of the AOG Units.

BRH Holdings GP, Ltd. is the sole member of AGM Management, LLC, our manager. The management of Apollo Global Management, LLC is vested in our manager as provided in our operating agreement.

Represents 43.58% of the limited partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity, held through intermediate holding companies. Apollo Global Management, LLC, also indirectly owns 100% of the general partner interests in each Apollo Operating Group entity.

Each of the Apollo Operating Group partnerships holds interests in different businesses or entities organized in different jurisdictions.

Our structure is designed to accomplish a number of objectives, the most important of which are as follows:

We are a holding company that is qualified as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Our intermediate holding companies enable us to maintain our partnership status and to meet the qualifying income exception.

We have historically used multiple management companies to segregate operations for business, financial and other reasons. Going forward, we may increase or decrease the number of our management companies or partnerships within the Apollo Operating Group based on our views regarding the appropriate balance between (a) administrative convenience and (b) continued business, financial, tax and other optimization.

Business Environment

As a global investment manager, we are affected by numerous factors, including the condition of financial markets and the economy. Fluctuations in equity prices, which may be volatile and mixed across geographies, can significantly impact the valuation of our funds' portfolio companies and related income we may recognize. In the U.S., the S&P 500 Index rose 0.4% in the first quarter of 2015, following a 4.4% rise in the fourth quarter of 2014. Outside the U.S., global equity markets also rose during the first quarter of 2015. The MSCI All Country World ex USA Index was up 2.9% following a 4.2% decline in the fourth quarter of 2014. Importantly, we believe that the generally positive momentum in the U.S. and global equity markets during 2014 and continuing into 2015 has been conducive for continued equity capital markets activity, including IPOs and secondary offerings of the portfolio companies within our funds.

Conditions in the credit markets also have a significant impact on our business. Credit markets generally rose during in the first quarter of 2015, with the BofAML HY Master II Index up 2.5% and the S&P/LSTA Leveraged Loan Index up 2.1%. Benchmark interest rates continued 2014's downward trajectory during the first quarter of 2015, with the U.S. 10-year Treasury yield falling 23 basis points to 1.9%. Commodities generally fell further during the first quarter of 2015, following a particularly weak fourth quarter of 2014 that was driven by depreciation in oil. After a 42% decline in the fourth quarter of 2014, crude oil declined by approximately 11% during the first quarter of 2015.

In terms of economic conditions in the U.S., the Bureau of Economic Analysis reported that real GDP increased at an annual rate of 0.2% in the first quarter of 2015, driven by increased consumer spending and inventory investment largely offset by a widening in the trade deficit and decline in business investments and state and local government spending. As of April 2015, The International Monetary Fund estimated that the U.S. economy will expand by 3.1% in 2015, greater than the 2.4% growth in 2014. Additionally, the U.S. unemployment rate continued to decline and stood at 5.5% as of March 31, 2015, compared to 5.6% as of December 31, 2014, making it the lowest level since May 2008.

Amid the generally favorable backdrop of elevated asset prices and positive equity market momentum, Apollo continued to generate realizations for fund investors. Apollo returned \$1.4 billion and \$13.4 billion of capital and realized gains to the limited partners of the funds it manages during the first quarter of 2015 and for the past 12 months ended March 31, 2015, respectively. In general, institutional investors continue to allocate capital towards alternative investment managers for more attractive risk-adjusted returns in a low interest rate environment. Apollo reported \$4.9 billion and \$20.5 billion of gross capital inflows for the first quarter of 2015 and over past 12 months ended March 31, 2015, respectively. Inflows during the first quarter of 2015 were primarily driven by the \$2.7 billion from MidCap, \$0.6 billion from SIAs, and \$0.4 billion from the Apollo Energy Opportunity Fund, L.P. ("AEOF").

Regardless of the market or economic environment at any given time, Apollo relies on its contrarian, value-oriented approach to consistently invest capital on behalf of its investors by focusing on opportunities that management believes are often overlooked by other investors. We believe Apollo's expertise in credit and its focus on nine core industry sectors, combined with more than 20 years of investment experience, has allowed Apollo to respond quickly to changing environments. Apollo's core industry sectors cover chemicals, natural resources, consumer and retail, distribution and transportation, financial and business

- 72-

Table of Contents

services, manufacturing and industrial, media and cable and leisure, packaging and materials and the satellite and wireless industries. Apollo believes that these attributes have contributed to the success of its private equity funds investing in buyouts and credit opportunities during both expansionary and recessionary economic periods.

Managing Business Performance

We believe that the presentation of Economic Income (Loss) supplements a reader's understanding of the economic operating performance of each of our segments.

Economic Income (Loss)

Economic Income (previously referred to as Economic Net Income) or EI, is a key performance measure used by management in evaluating the performance of Apollo's private equity, credit and real estate segments. Management also believes the components of EI such as the amount of management fees, advisory and transaction fees and carried interest income are indicative of Apollo's performance. Management uses these performance measures in making key operating decisions such as the following:

- Decisions related to the allocation of resources such as staffing decisions including hiring and locations for deployment of the new hires;
- Decisions related to capital deployment such as providing capital to facilitate growth for the business and/or to facilitate expansion into new businesses; and
- Decisions related to expenses, such as determining annual discretionary bonuses and equity-based compensation awards to our employees. With respect to compensation, management seeks to align the interests of certain professionals and selected other individuals with those of the investors in the funds and those of Apollo's shareholders by providing such individuals a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to the funds. To achieve that objective, a certain amount of compensation is based on Apollo's performance and growth for the year.

EI has certain limitations in that it does not take into account certain items included under U.S. GAAP. EI represents segment income (loss) before income tax provision excluding transaction-related charges arising from the 2007 private placement, and any acquisitions. Transaction-related charges include equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions. In addition, segment data excludes non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company, as well as the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and variable interest entities ("VIEs") that are included in the condensed consolidated financial statements. We believe the exclusion of the non-cash charges related to the 2007 Reorganization for equity-based compensation provides investors with a meaningful indication of our performance because these charges relate to the equity portion of our capital structure and not our core operating performance.

We believe that EI is helpful for an understanding of our business and that investors should review the same supplemental financial measure that management uses to analyze our segment performance. This measure supplements and should be considered in addition to and not in lieu of the results of operations discussed below in "—Overview of Results of Operations" that have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

EI may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies and is not a measure of performance calculated in accordance with U.S. GAAP. We use EI as a measure of operating performance, not as a measure of liquidity. EI should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for operating income, net income, operating cash flows, investing and financing activities, or other income or cash flow statement data prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The use of EI without consideration of related U.S. GAAP measures is not adequate due to the adjustments described above. Management compensates for these limitations by using EI as a supplemental measure to U.S. GAAP results, to provide a more complete understanding of our performance as management measures it. A reconciliation of EI to our U.S. GAAP net income (loss) attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC can be found in the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

During the first quarter of 2015 the Company renamed Economic Net Income to Economic Income. Additionally, the definition of EI was changed to exclude transaction-related charges related to contingent consideration associated with acquisitions. The impact of this change on EI is reflected in the tables below for Apollo's three reportable segments for

the three months ended March 31, 2014 as well as for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The exclusion of transaction-related charges relating to contingent consideration associated with acquisitions only impacted the credit segment.

- 73-

Table of Contents

	Impact of Revised Definition on Economic Income (Loss)		
	Total EI as Previously Reported	Impact of Revised Definition	Total EI After Revised Definition
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	\$269,251	\$5,521	\$274,772
For the Year Ended December 31, 2014	755,546	(495)	(1) 755,051
For the Year Ended December 31, 2013	2,127,651	61,449	2,189,100
For the Year Ended December 31, 2012	1,634,445	25,787	1,660,232

(1) For the year ended December 31, 2014 \$1.6 million and (\$2.1) million of the impact related to the management business and incentive business, respectively. For all other periods presented, the impact to the management business was zero.

Also during the first quarter of 2015, interest expense, net of interest income ("net interest expense") was reallocated from the management business to the incentive business to align with the earnings from our Balance Sheet investments which are principally funded by our outstanding debt. The impact of this reallocation on management business EI and incentive business EI is reflected in the tables below for Apollo's three reportable segments for the three months ended March 31, 2014.

	Impact of Interest Expense Reclassification on Management Business Economic Income (Loss)		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	\$1,124	\$571	\$289
	Impact of Interest Expense Reclassification on Incentive Business Economic Income (Loss)		
	Private Equity Segment	Credit Segment	Real Estate Segment
For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	\$(1,124)	\$(571)	\$(289)

As it relates to the reallocation described above, the impact to the combined segments Economic Income (Loss) for all periods presented was zero.

These changes have been made to prior period financial data reportable segments to conform to the current period presentation.

Operating Metrics

We monitor certain operating metrics that are common to the alternative investment management industry. These operating metrics include Assets Under Management, capital deployed and uncalled commitments.

Assets Under Management

Assets Under Management, or AUM, refers to the assets we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services, including, without limitation, capital that such funds, partnerships and accounts have the right to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments. Our AUM equals the sum of:

- (i) the fair value of the investments of the private equity funds, partnerships and accounts we manage plus the capital which such funds, partnerships and accounts are entitled to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments;
- (ii) the net asset value ("NAV") of the credit funds, partnerships and accounts for which we provide investment management services, other than certain CLOs and CDOs, which have a fee-generating basis other than the mark-to-market value of the underlying assets, plus used or available leverage and/or capital which such funds, partnerships and accounts are entitled to call from investors pursuant to capital commitments;
- (iii) the gross asset value or net asset value of the real estate funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, and the structured portfolio company investments of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, which includes the leverage used by such structured portfolio company investments;

Table of Contents

- (iv) the incremental value associated with the reinsurance investments of the portfolio company assets we manage; and the fair value of any other assets that we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services, plus unused credit facilities, including capital commitments to such funds,
- (v) partnerships and accounts for investments that may require pre-qualification before investment plus any other capital commitments to such funds, partnerships and accounts available for investment that are not otherwise included in the clauses above.

Our AUM measure includes Assets Under Management for which we charge either no or nominal fees. Our definition of AUM is not based on any definition of Assets Under Management contained in our operating agreement or in any of our Apollo fund management agreements. We consider multiple factors for determining what should be included in our definition of AUM. Such factors include but are not limited to (1) our ability to influence the investment decisions for existing and available assets; (2) our ability to generate income from the underlying assets in our funds; and (3) the AUM measures that we believe are used by other investment managers. Given the differences in the investment strategies and structures among other alternative investment managers, our calculation of AUM may differ from the calculations employed by other investment managers and, as a result, this measure may not be directly comparable to similar measures presented by other investment managers.

We use AUM as a performance measure of our investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs.

AUM that had previously not been reported within Apollo's three reporting segments have been allocated to each respective segment based on expected deployment. Prior period amounts were recast to conform to the current presentation.

Assets Under Management—Fee-Generating/Non-Fee-Generating

Fee-Generating AUM consists of assets we manage for the funds, partnerships and accounts to which we provide investment management services and on which we earn management fees or, monitoring fees pursuant to management or other fee agreements on a basis that varies among the Apollo funds, partnerships and accounts we manage.

Management fees are normally based on "net asset value," "gross assets," "adjusted par asset value," "adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments," "capital commitments," "adjusted assets," "stockholders' equity," "invested capital" or "capital contributions," each as defined in the applicable management agreement. Monitoring fees, also referred to as advisory fees, with respect to the structured portfolio company investments of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage, are generally based on the total value of such structured portfolio company investments, which normally includes leverage, less any portion of such total value that is already considered in Fee-Generating AUM.

Non-Fee-Generating AUM consists of assets that do not produce management fees or monitoring fees. These assets generally consist of the following: (a) fair value above invested capital for those funds that earn management fees based on invested capital, (b) net asset values related to general partner and co-investment ownership, (c) unused credit facilities, (d) available commitments on those funds that generate management fees on invested capital, (e) structured portfolio company investments that do not generate monitoring fees and (f) the difference between gross asset and net asset value for those funds that earn management fees based on net asset value.

Carry-Eligible AUM refers to the AUM that may eventually produce carried interest income. All funds for which we are entitled to receive a carried interest income allocation are included in Carry-Eligible AUM, which consists of the following:

- Carry-Generating AUM, which refers to funds' invested capital that is currently above its hurdle rate or preferred (i) return, and the funds' profit is allocated to the general partner in accordance with the applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements;
- (ii) AUM Not Currently Generating Carry, which refers to funds' invested capital that is currently below its hurdle rate or preferred return; and
- (iii) Uninvested Carry-Eligible AUM, which refers to available capital for investment or reinvestment subject to the provisions of applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements that are not currently part of the NAV or fair value of investments that may eventually produce carried interest income, which would be

allocated to the general partner.

- 75-

Table of Contents

AUM with Future Management Fee Potential refers to the committed uninvested capital portion of total AUM not currently earning management fees. The amount depends on the specific terms and conditions of each fund.

We use Non-Fee-Generating AUM combined with Fee-Generating AUM as a performance measure of our funds' investment activities, as well as to monitor fund size in relation to professional resource and infrastructure needs.

Non-Fee-Generating AUM includes assets on which we could earn carried interest income.

The table below presents Fee-Generating and Non-Fee-Generating AUM by segment as of March 31, 2015 and 2014 and December 31, 2014. Changes in market conditions and additional funds raised have had significant impacts to Apollo's AUM:

	As of March 31, 2015 (in millions)	2014	As of December 31, 2014
Total Assets Under Management	\$162,948	\$159,326	\$159,797
Fee-Generating	131,252	128,537	128,714
Non-Fee-Generating	31,696	30,789	31,083
Private Equity ⁽¹⁾	40,533	48,336	41,299
Fee-Generating	30,199	34,207	30,285
Non-Fee-Generating	10,334	14,129	11,014
Credit ⁽¹⁾	112,919	101,941	108,960
Fee-Generating	94,858	88,404	92,192
Non-Fee-Generating	18,061	13,537	16,768
Real Estate ⁽¹⁾	9,496	9,049	9,538
Fee-Generating	6,195	5,926	6,237
Non-Fee-Generating	3,301	3,123	3,301

(1) Prior period amounts were recast for individual segments to conform to the current presentation.

The table below sets forth AUM with Future Management Fee Potential, which is a component of Non-Fee-Generating AUM, for each of Apollo's three segments as of March 31, 2015 and 2014 and December 31, 2014.

	As of March 31, 2015 (in millions)	2014	As of December 31, 2014
Private Equity ⁽¹⁾	\$1,889	\$2,337	\$2,265
Credit ⁽¹⁾	6,506	2,655	5,118
Real Estate ⁽¹⁾	670	756	729
Total AUM with Future Management Fee Potential	\$9,065	\$5,748	\$8,112

(1) Prior period amounts were recast for individual segments to conform to the current presentation.

Table of Contents

The following table presents Carry-Eligible AUM and Carry-Generating AUM for each of Apollo's three segments as of March 31, 2015 and 2014 and December 31, 2014:

	Carry-Eligible AUM ⁽²⁾		As of December 31, 2014	Carry-Generating AUM ⁽²⁾		As of December 31, 2014
	As of March 31, 2015 (in millions)	2014		As of March 31, 2015	2014	
Private equity ⁽³⁾	\$35,302	\$43,943	\$36,376	\$13,507	\$22,857	\$14,463
Credit ⁽³⁾	42,207	37,026	39,013	20,594	25,758	16,218
Real estate ⁽³⁾	2,030	3,286	2,614	672	1,004	828
Total ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	\$79,539	\$84,255	\$78,003	\$34,773	\$49,619	\$31,509

As of March 31, 2015 and 2014 and December 31, 2014, Carry-Eligible AUM included \$28.8 billion, \$28.1 billion (1) and \$28.8 billion of Uninvested Carry-Eligible AUM, respectively, and \$16.0 billion, \$6.5 billion and \$17.7 billion of AUM Not Currently Generating Carry, respectively.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, total Uninvested Carry-Eligible AUM of \$28.8 billion consisted of \$18.7 billion, \$9.6 billion and \$0.5 billion for the private equity, credit and real estate segments, respectively. Total (2) AUM Not Currently Generating Carry of \$16.0 billion consisted of \$3.1 billion, \$12.1 billion and \$0.8 billion for the private equity, credit and real estate segments, respectively.

(3) Prior period amounts were recast for individual segments to conform to the current presentation.

The following table presents AUM Not Currently Generating Carry and the appreciation required to reach the preferred return or high watermark and generate carried interest for funds whose investment period is greater than 24 months:

Category / Fund	AUM Not Currently Generating Carry	Investment Period Active 24 Months ⁽¹⁾	Appreciation Required to Achieve Carry ⁽²⁾
	(in millions)		
Private Equity:			
Fund VIII	\$2,186	\$—	NM
Other PE	914	—	NM
Total Private Equity	3,100	—	NM
Credit:			
Drawdown	2,860	1,544	4%
		233	<250bps
Liquid / Performing	7,024	1,165	250-500bps
		1,469	>500bps
Permanent Capital Vehicles ex AAM	2,167	—	NM
Total Credit	12,051	4,411	6%
Real Estate			
Total Real Estate	808	716	>500bps
Total	\$15,959	\$5,127	

(1) Represents AUM Not Currently Generating Carry for funds that have commenced investing capital for more than 24 months as of March 31, 2015.

(2) Represents the percentage of additional appreciation required to reach the preferred return or high watermark and generate carried interest for funds whose investment period is greater than 24 months.

- 77-

Table of Contents

The components of Fee-Generating AUM by segment as of March 31, 2015 and 2014 and December 31, 2014 are presented below:

	As of March 31, 2015			
	Private Equity (in millions)	Credit	Real Estate	Total
Fee-Generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$20,071	\$6,059	\$7	\$26,137
Fee-Generating AUM based on invested capital	9,367	2,639	4,024	16,030
Fee-Generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	451	76,103	2,046	78,600
Fee-Generating AUM based on leverage	310	1,689	—	1,999
Fee-Generating AUM based on NAV	—	8,368	118	8,486
Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$30,199	(1) \$94,858	\$6,195	\$131,252

(1) The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at March 31, 2015 was 70 months.

	As of March 31, 2014			
	Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ (in millions)	Credit ⁽¹⁾	Real Estate ⁽¹⁾	Total
Fee-Generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$19,945	\$6,493	\$156	\$26,594
Fee-Generating AUM based on invested capital	11,734	1,569	3,788	17,091
Fee-Generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	804	71,784	1,740	74,328
Fee-Generating AUM based on leverage	1,668	1,538	—	3,206
Fee-Generating AUM based on NAV	56	7,020	242	7,318
Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$34,207	(2) \$88,404	\$5,926	\$128,537

(1) Prior period amounts were recast for individual segments to conform to the current presentation.

(2) The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at March 31, 2014 was 74 months.

	As of December 31, 2014			
	Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ (in millions)	Credit ⁽¹⁾	Real Estate ⁽¹⁾	Total
Fee-Generating AUM based on capital commitments	\$20,080	\$6,191	\$173	\$26,444
Fee-Generating AUM based on invested capital	9,368	3,100	3,968	16,436
Fee-Generating AUM based on gross/adjusted assets	513	75,370	1,961	77,844
Fee-Generating AUM based on leverage	324	215	—	539
Fee-Generating AUM based on NAV	—	7,316	135	7,451
Total Fee-Generating AUM	\$30,285	(2) \$92,192	\$6,237	\$128,714

(1) Prior period amounts were recast for individual segments to conform to the current presentation.

(2) The weighted average remaining life of the private equity funds excluding permanent capital vehicles at December 31, 2014 was 72 months.

- 78-

Table of Contents

The following table presents total AUM and Fee-Generating AUM amounts for our private equity segment⁽¹⁾:

	Total AUM		As of December 31, 2014	Fee-Generating AUM		As of December 31, 2014
	As of March 31, 2015	2014		As of March 31, 2015	2014	
	(in millions)					
Traditional Private Equity Funds ⁽²⁾	\$34,998	\$43,803	\$35,310	\$27,168	\$30,857	\$27,181
Natural Resources	1,346	1,354	1,348	1,295	1,295	1,295
Other ⁽³⁾	4,189	3,179	4,641	1,736	2,055	1,809
Total	\$40,533	\$48,336	\$41,299	\$30,199	\$34,207	\$30,285

(1) Prior period amounts were recast for individual segments to conform to the current presentation.

(2) Refers to Fund I, Fund II, MIA, Fund III, Fund IV, Fund V, Fund VI, Fund VII and Fund VIII.

(3) Includes co-investments contributed to Athene by AAA, through its investment in AAA Investments as discussed in note 12 of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

The following table presents total AUM and Fee-Generating AUM amounts for our credit segment by category type⁽¹⁾:

	Total AUM		As of December 31, 2014	Fee-Generating AUM		As of December 31, 2014
	As of March 31, 2015	2014		As of March 31, 2015	2014	
	(in millions)					
Liquid/Performing	35,094	26,654	33,396	30,006	22,932	28,803
Drawdown	18,395	17,150	18,480	10,317	11,049	10,504
Permanent Capital Vehicles ex AAM	12,117	8,766	9,371	7,222	5,052	5,172
Athene Asset Management, L.P. (AAM) ⁽²⁾	47,313	49,371	47,713	47,313	49,371	47,713
Total	\$112,919	\$101,941	\$108,960	\$94,858	\$88,404	\$92,192

(1) Prior period amounts were recast for individual segments to conform to the current presentation.

(2) Excludes AUM that is either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles across its private equity, credit and real estate segments and includes AUM managed by entities related to Athene.

The following table presents total AUM and Fee-Generating AUM amounts for our real estate segment⁽¹⁾:

	Total AUM		As of December 31, 2014	Fee-Generating AUM		As of December 31, 2014
	As of March 31, 2015	2014		As of March 31, 2015	2014	
	(in millions)					
Debt	\$6,965	\$5,229	\$6,420	\$5,026	\$3,885	\$4,785
Equity	2,531	3,820	3,118	1,169	2,041	1,452
Total	\$9,496	\$9,049	\$9,538	\$6,195	\$5,926	\$6,237

(1) Prior period amounts were recast for individual segments to conform to the current presentation.

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2015, the Company changed the presentation of the components of AUM to inflows, outflows, net flows, realizations and market activity as noted below. As such, prior periods were reclassified to conform with the current period presentation. The following tables summarize changes in total AUM for each of Apollo's three segments for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (1)	
	(in millions)	
Change in Total AUM:		
Beginning of Period	\$ 159,797	\$ 161,177
Inflows	4,900	2,257
Outflows ⁽³⁾	(518)	(1,553)
Net Flows	4,382	704
Realizations	(1,379)	(4,370)
Market Activity	148	1,815
End of Period	\$ 162,948	\$ 159,326
Change in Private Equity AUM ⁽²⁾ :		
Beginning of Period	\$ 41,299	\$ 50,158
Inflows	53	812
Outflows	(470)	—
Net Flows	(417)	812
Realizations	(611)	(3,023)
Market Activity	262	389
End of Period	\$ 40,533	\$ 48,336
Change in Credit AUM ⁽²⁾ :		
Beginning of Period	\$ 108,960	\$ 101,580
Inflows	4,386	992
Outflows	(27)	(1,030)
Net Flows	4,359	(38)
Realizations	(342)	(942)
Market Activity	(58)	1,341
End of Period	\$ 112,919	\$ 101,941
Change in Real Estate AUM ⁽²⁾ :		
Beginning of Period	\$ 9,538	\$ 9,439
Inflows	461	453
Outflows	(21)	(523)
Net Flows	440	(70)
Realizations	(426)	(405)
Market Activity	(56)	85
End of Period	\$ 9,496	\$ 9,049

(1) Reclassified to conform to current period's presentation.

At the individual segment level, inflows include new subscriptions, commitments, capital raised, other increases in available capital, purchases and acquisitions. Outflows represent redemptions and other decreases in available capital. Realizations represent fund distributions of realized proceeds. Market activity represents gains(losses), the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations and other income.

(3)

Included in outflows during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 for Total AUM are redemptions of \$47 million and \$173 million, respectively.

Assets Under Management

Total Assets Under Management were \$162.9 billion at March 31, 2015, an increase of \$3.1 billion or 2.0%, compared to \$159.8 billion at December 31, 2014. The net increase was due to:

- 80-

Table of Contents

Inflows of \$4.9 billion primarily related to:

\$4.4 billion in our credit segment primarily from MidCap which added \$2.7 billion during the quarter inclusive of \$0.7 billion in transfers in from other segments. In addition there were \$0.6 billion of inflows from SIAs and subscriptions of \$0.4 billion related to AEOF; and
\$0.4 billion in our real estate segment attributable to a capital raise and increased leverage in ARI.

Offsetting these increases were:

Realizations of \$1.4 billion primarily related to:

- \$0.6 billion in our private equity segment primarily related to distributions of \$0.5 billion and \$0.1 billion attributable to Fund VI, driven by the disposition of Sprouts Farmers Markets, and Fund VII, respectively;
- \$0.4 billion in our real estate segment related to distributions; and
- \$0.3 billion in our credit segment related to distributions primarily attributable to EPF I, CLOs and permanent capital vehicles.

Outflows of \$0.5 billion primarily related to:

\$0.5 billion in our private equity segment related transfers out to other Apollo segments.

Total Assets Under Management were \$159.3 billion at March 31, 2014, a decrease of \$1.9 billion or 1.2%, compared to \$161.2 billion at December 31, 2013. The net decrease was due to:

Realizations of \$4.4 billion primarily related to:

\$3.0 billion in our private equity segment related to distributions of \$1.9 billion and \$1.0 billion in Fund VII and Fund VI, respectively;
\$0.9 billion in our credit segment related to distributions of \$0.3 billion from EPF I and \$0.1 billion from COF II, and the majority of the remaining portion related to CLOs; and
\$0.4 billion in our real estate segment related to distributions from real estate debt funds.

Outflows of \$1.6 billion primarily related to:

- \$1.0 billion in our credit segment primarily related to a decrease in leverage of \$0.6 billion; and
- \$0.5 billion in our real estate segment related to a decrease in leverage of \$0.5 billion, including \$0.7 billion from a real estate debt fund which was partially offset by an increase in leverage of \$0.2 billion in ARI.

Offsetting these decreases were:

Inflows of \$2.3 billion primarily related to:

\$1.0 billion in our credit segment related to subscriptions of \$1.0 billion, including \$0.5 billion in FCI II and \$0.2 billion in Apollo Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund III, L.P. ("SCRF III");
\$0.8 billion in our private equity segment related to a change in leverage of \$0.5 billion; and
Inflows of \$0.5 billion in our real estate segment related to net segment transfers of \$0.2 billion in accounts owned by or related to Athene and subscriptions of \$0.2 billion, including \$0.1 billion in AGRE Debt Fund I, L.P.

Market Activity of \$1.8 billion primarily related to:

\$1.3 billion of appreciation in our credit segment; and
\$0.4 billion of appreciation in our private equity segment

Table of Contents

During the first quarter of 2015, the Company changed the presentation of the components of AUM to inflows, outflows, net flows, realizations and market activity as noted below. The following tables summarize changes in total Fee-Generating AUM for each of Apollo's three segments for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (1)	
	(in millions)	
Change in Total Fee-Generating AUM:		
Beginning of Period	\$ 128,714	\$ 128,368
Inflows	3,622	1,668
Outflows ⁽³⁾	(440)	(932)
Net Flows	3,182	736
Realizations	(589)	(1,463)
Market Activity	(55)	896
End of Period	\$ 131,252	\$ 128,537
Change in Private Equity Fee-Generating AUM ⁽²⁾ :		
Beginning of Period	\$ 30,285	\$ 34,173
Inflows	—	368
Outflows	(23)	(27)
Net Flows	(23)	341
Realizations	(62)	(304)
Market Activity	(1)	(3)
End of Period	\$ 30,199	\$ 34,207
Change in Credit Fee-Generating AUM ⁽²⁾ :		
Beginning of Period	\$ 92,192	\$ 88,249
Inflows	3,300	919
Outflows	(306)	(905)
Net Flows	2,994	14
Realizations	(305)	(744)
Market Activity	(23)	885
End of Period	\$ 94,858	\$ 88,404
Change in Real Estate Fee-Generating AUM ⁽²⁾ :		
Beginning of Period	\$ 6,237	\$ 5,946
Inflows	322	381
Outflows	(111)	—
Net Flows	211	381
Realizations	(222)	(415)
Market Activity	(31)	14
End of Period	\$ 6,195	\$ 5,926

(1) Reclassified to conform to current period's presentation.

At the individual segment level, inflows include new subscriptions, commitments, capital raised, other increases in available capital, purchases and acquisitions. Outflows represent redemptions and other decreases in available capital. Realizations represent fund distributions of realized proceeds. Market activity represents gains(losses), the impact of foreign exchange rate fluctuations and other income.

(2) Included in outflows during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 for Fee-Generating AUM are redemptions of \$27 million and \$154 million, respectively.

Table of Contents

Total Fee-Generating AUM was \$131.3 billion at March 31, 2015, an increase of \$2.6 billion or 2.0%, compared to \$128.7 billion at December 31, 2014. The net increase was due to:

Inflows of \$3.6 billion primarily related to:

\$3.3 billion in our credit segment related to MidCap which added \$2.1 billion during the quarter inclusive of \$0.5 billion in transfers in from other segments. In addition there were \$0.3 million of inflows from managed accounts; and \$0.3 billion in our real estate segment related to transfers in to real estate debt funds.

Offsetting these increases were:

Realizations of \$0.6 billion primarily related to:

\$0.3 billion in our credit segment related to distributions primarily attributable to EPF I, CLOs and permanent capital vehicles; and \$0.3 billion in our real estate segment related to distributions.

Outflows of \$0.4 billion primarily related to:

\$0.3 billion related to net transfers of \$0.3 billion out of our credit segment and into our real estate segment; and \$0.1 billion in our real estate segment related to transfers out of Fee-Generating AUM of \$0.1 billion.

Total Fee-Generating AUM was \$128.5 billion at March 31, 2014, an increase of \$0.1 billion or 0.1%, compared to \$128.4 billion at December 31, 2013. The net increase was due to:

Inflows of \$1.7 billion primarily related to:

\$0.9 billion in our credit segment related to subscriptions of \$0.5 billion, \$0.2 billion and \$0.1 billion attributable to FCI II, COF III and permanent capital vehicles, respectively;

\$0.4 billion in our real estate segment related to net segment transfers of \$0.2 billion and subscriptions of \$0.2 billion in real estate debt funds; and

\$0.4 billion in our private equity segment related to subscriptions of \$0.3 billion attributable to AION Capital Partners limited ("AION").

Market Activity of \$0.9 billion primarily related to:

\$0.9 billion appreciation in our credit segment

Offsetting these increases were:

Realizations of \$1.5 billion primarily related to:

\$0.7 billion in our credit segment related to distributions of \$0.2 billion from EPF I and \$0.1 billion from COF II, and the majority of the remaining portion related to CLOs;

\$0.4 billion in our real estate segment related to distributions; and

\$0.3 billion in our private equity segment related to distributions of \$0.2 billion and \$0.1 billion attributable to Fund VI, and AAA Investments (Co-Invest VI), L.P. and AAA Investments (Co-Invest VII), L.P., respectively.

Outflows of \$0.9 billion related to:

\$0.9 billion in our credit segment related to decreased leverage and transfers out related to Athene.

Capital Deployed and Uncalled Commitments

Capital deployed is the aggregate amount of capital that has been invested during a given period by our drawdown funds, SIAs that have a defined maturity date and funds and SIAs in our real estate debt strategy. Uncalled commitments, by contrast, represents unfunded capital commitments that certain of Apollo's funds and SIAs have received from limited partners to fund future or current fund investments and expenses.

Capital deployed and uncalled commitments are indicative of the pace and magnitude of fund capital that is deployed or will be deployed, and which therefore could result in future revenues that include management fees, transaction fees and incentive income to the extent fee-generating. Capital deployed and uncalled commitments can also give rise

to future costs that are related to the hiring of additional resources to manage and account for the additional capital that is deployed or will be deployed. Management uses capital deployed and uncalled commitments as key operating metrics since we believe the results measure our fund's investment activities.

- 83-

Table of Contents

Capital Deployed

The following table summarizes by segment the capital deployed for funds and SIAs with a defined maturity date and certain funds and SIAs in Apollo's real estate debt strategy during the specified reporting periods:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 (in millions)	2014
Private equity	\$1,016	\$557
Credit	760	1,729
Real Estate ⁽¹⁾	465	494
Total capital deployed	\$2,241	\$2,780

(1) Included in capital deployed is \$418.0 million and \$384.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, related to funds in Apollo's real estate debt strategy.

Uncalled Commitments

The following table summarizes the uncalled commitments by segment during the specified reporting periods:

	As of March 31, 2015 (in millions)	As of December 31, 2014
Private equity	\$21,587	\$22,633
Credit	9,811	9,212
Real Estate	722	997
Total Uncalled Commitments ⁽¹⁾	\$32,120	\$32,842

As of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, \$28.5 billion and \$29.3 billion, respectively, represents the amount (1) of capital available for investment or reinvestment subject to the provisions of the applicable limited partnership agreements or other governing agreements of the funds, partnerships and accounts we manage.

The Historical Investment Performance of Our Funds

Below we present information relating to the historical performance of our funds, including certain legacy Apollo funds that do not have a meaningful amount of unrealized investments, and in respect of which the general partner interest has not been contributed to us.

When considering the data presented below, you should note that the historical results of our funds are not indicative of the future results that you should expect from such funds, from any future funds we may raise or from your investment in our Class A shares.

An investment in our Class A shares is not an investment in any of the Apollo funds, and the assets and revenues of our funds are not directly available to us. The historical and potential future returns of the funds we manage are not directly linked to returns on our Class A shares. Therefore, you should not conclude that continued positive performance of the funds we manage will necessarily result in positive returns on an investment in our Class A shares. However, poor performance of the funds that we manage would cause a decline in our revenue from such funds, and would therefore have a negative effect on our performance and in all likelihood the value of our Class A shares. There can be no assurance that any Apollo fund will continue to achieve the same results in the future.

Moreover, the historical returns of our funds should not be considered indicative of the future results you should expect from such funds or from any future funds we may raise, in part because:

market conditions during previous periods were significantly more favorable for generating positive performance, particularly in our private equity business, than the market conditions we have experienced for the last few years and may experience in the future;

•

our private equity funds' rates of return, which are calculated on the basis of net asset value of the funds' investments, reflect unrealized gains, which may never be realized;

- 84-

Table of Contents

our funds' returns have benefited from investment opportunities and general market conditions that may not repeat themselves, including the availability of debt capital on attractive terms and the availability of distressed debt opportunities, and we may not be able to achieve the same returns or profitable investment opportunities or deploy capital as quickly;

the historical returns that we present are derived largely from the performance of our earlier private equity funds, whereas future fund returns will depend increasingly on the performance of our newer funds, which may have little or no realized investment track record;

Fund VIII, Fund VII and Fund VI are several times larger than our previous private equity funds, and this additional capital may not be deployed as profitably as our prior funds;

the attractive returns of certain of our funds have been driven by the rapid return of invested capital, which has not occurred with respect to all of our funds and we believe is less likely to occur in the future;

our track record with respect to our credit and real estate funds is relatively short as compared to our private equity funds;

in recent years, there has been increased competition for private equity investment opportunities resulting from the increased amount of capital invested in private equity funds and periods of high liquidity in debt markets, which may result in lower returns for the funds; and

our newly established funds may generate lower returns during the period that they take to deploy their capital; consequently, we do not provide return information for any funds which have not been actively investing capital for at least 24 months prior to the valuation date as we believe this information is not meaningful.

Finally, our private equity IRRs have historically varied greatly from fund to fund. For example, Apollo Investment Fund IV, L.P. ("Fund IV") has generated a 12% gross IRR and a 9% net IRR since its inception through March 31, 2015, while Apollo Investment Fund V, L.P. ("Fund V") has generated a 61% gross IRR and a 44% net IRR since its inception through March 31, 2015. Accordingly, the IRR going forward for any current or future fund may vary considerably from the historical IRR generated by any particular fund, or for our private equity funds as a whole. Future returns will also be affected by the applicable risks, including risks of the industries and businesses in which a particular fund invests. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Businesses—The historical returns attributable to our funds should not be considered as indicative of the future results of our funds or of our future results or of any returns expected on an investment in our Class A shares" in the 2014 Annual Report.

Table of Contents

Investment Record

The following table summarizes the investment record by segment of Apollo's significant multi-year drawdown, commitment based funds and SIAs that have a defined maturity date in which investors make a commitment to provide capital at the formation of such funds and deliver capital when called as investment opportunities become available. The significant funds and SIAs included in the investment record table below have greater than \$200 million of AUM and did not predominantly invest in other Apollo funds or SIAs.

All amounts are as of March 31, 2015, unless otherwise noted:

	Vintage Year	Committed Capital (in millions)	Total Invested Capital	Realized Value	Unrealized Value	Total Value	As of March 31, 2015			
							Gross IRR		Net IRR	
Private Equity:										
Fund VIII	2013	\$18,377	\$2,090	—	\$2,300	\$2,300	NM	⁽¹⁾	NM	⁽¹⁾
Fund VII	2008	14,677	15,243	\$26,085	6,536	32,621	37	%	28	%
Fund VI	2006	10,136	12,457	16,826	4,624	21,450	13		10	
Fund V	2001	3,742	5,192	12,666	172	12,838	61		44	
Fund IV	1998	3,600	3,481	6,779	13	6,792	12		9	
Fund III	1995	1,500	1,499	2,695	—	2,695	18		11	
Fund I, II & MIA ⁽²⁾	1990/1992	2,220	3,773	7,924	—	7,924	47		37	
Traditional Private Equity Funds		\$54,252	\$43,735	\$72,975	\$13,645	\$86,620	39	% ⁽³⁾	25	% ⁽³⁾
AION	2013	825	134	9	162	171	NM	⁽¹⁾	NM	⁽¹⁾
ANRP	2012	1,323	755	196	738	934	16	%	8	%
Total Private Equity		\$56,400	\$44,624	\$73,180	\$14,545	\$87,725				
Credit:										
AEOF ⁽⁴⁾	—	\$425	—	—	—	—	NM	⁽¹⁾	NM	⁽¹⁾
SCRF III ⁽⁴⁾	—	497	\$307	\$69	\$320	\$389	NM	⁽¹⁾	NM	⁽¹⁾
COF III	2014	3,426	1,759	380	1,187	1,567	NM	⁽¹⁾	NM	⁽¹⁾
FCI II	2013	1,555	943	143	945	1,088	NM	⁽¹⁾	NM	⁽¹⁾
EPF II ⁽⁵⁾	2012	3,397	2,475	655	2,355	3,010	23	%	11	%
FCI	2012	559	981	489	750	1,239	15		10	
AEC	2012	292	693	562	171	733	11		6	
COF I	2008	1,485	1,611	4,287	120	4,407	30		27	
COF II	2008	1,583	2,176	2,994	148	3,142	14		11	
EPF I ⁽⁵⁾	2007	1,390	1,826	2,625	427	3,052	23		17	
ACLF	2007	984	1,449	2,451	128	2,579	12		11	
SIAs ⁽⁶⁾	2008-2015	3,586	2,599	2,328	733	3,061	10		7	
Total Credit		\$19,179	\$16,819	\$16,983	\$7,284	\$24,267				
Real Estate:										
Apollo U.S. Real Estate Fund II, L.P. ⁽⁴⁾	—	\$158	\$73	—	\$73	\$73	NM	⁽¹⁾	NM	⁽¹⁾
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. ⁽⁷⁾	2012	640	612	\$321	484	805	18	%	14	%

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

AGRE Debt Fund I, LP	2011	1,190	1,185	314	994	1,308	7	6		
CPI Capital Partners North America ⁽⁸⁾	2006	600	453	350	25	375	15	10		
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific ⁽⁸⁾	2006	1,292	1,193	1,470	226	1,696	33	28		
CPI Capital Partners Europe ⁽⁵⁾⁽⁸⁾	2006	1,247	823	472	169	641	6	4		
CPI Other ⁽⁹⁾	Various	1,841	N/A	⁽⁹⁾ N/A	⁽⁹⁾ N/A	⁽⁹⁾ N/A	⁽⁹⁾ NM	⁽¹⁾ NM	⁽¹⁾	
Total Real Estate		\$6,968	\$4,339	\$2,927	\$1,971	\$4,898				

- (1) Returns have not been presented as the fund commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to the period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.
- Fund I and Fund II were structured such that investments were made from either fund depending on which fund had available capital. Apollo does not differentiate between Fund I and Fund II investments for purposes of performance figures because they are not meaningful on a separate basis and do not demonstrate the progression of returns over time. The general partners and managers of Funds I, II and MIA, as well as the general partner of Fund III, were excluded assets in connection with the 2007 Reorganization. As a result, Apollo did not receive the economics associated with these entities. The investment performance of these funds is presented to illustrate fund performance associated with Apollo's Managing Partners and other investment professionals.
- (3) Total IRR is calculated based on total cash flows for all funds presented.
- (4) AEOF, SCRF III and Apollo U.S. Real Estate Fund II, L.P. were launched prior to March 31, 2015 and have not established their vintage years.
- (5) Funds are denominated in Euros and historical figures are translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of €1.00 to \$1.07 as of March 31, 2015.
- Amounts presented have been aggregated for significant SIAs with AUM greater than \$200 million that did not predominantly invest in other Apollo funds or SIAs. Certain SIAs' historical figures are denominated in Euros and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of €1.00 to \$1.07 as of March 31, 2015. Additionally, certain SIAs' amounts for Total Invested Capital, Realized Value, Unrealized Value and Total Value were not included in the table as they were deemed not meaningful.

Table of Contents

AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P., a closed-end private investment fund, has \$146 million of co-investment commitments raised, which are included in the figures in the table. A co-invest entity within AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. is denominated in GBP and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of £1.00 to \$1.48 as of March 31, 2015.

As part of the acquisition of Citi Property Investors ("CPI"), acquisition, Apollo acquired general partner interests in fully invested funds. The gross and net IRRs are presented in the investment record table above since acquisition on November 12, 2010. The net IRRs from the inception of the respective fund to March 31, 2015 were (7)%, 7% (8) and (7)% for the CPI Capital Partners North America, Asia Pacific and Europe funds, respectively. These net IRRs were primarily achieved during a period in which Apollo did not make the initial investment decisions and Apollo only became the general partner or manager of these funds upon completing the acquisition on November 12, 2010.

CPI Other consists of funds or individual investments of which Apollo is not the general partner or manager and only receives fees pursuant to either a sub-advisory agreement or an investment management and administrative (9) agreement. CPI Other fund performance is a result of invested capital prior to Apollo's management of these funds. Return and certain other performance data are therefore not considered meaningful as Apollo performs primarily an administrative role.

The following table summarizes the investment record for distressed investments made in our traditional private equity fund portfolios, since the Company's inception. All amounts are as of March 31, 2015:

	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Total Value	Gross IRR ⁽¹⁾	
Distressed for Control	\$6,308	\$17,622	29	%
Non-Control Distressed	5,777	8,507	71	
Total	12,085	26,129	49	
Buyout Equity, Portfolio Company Debt and Other Credit ⁽²⁾	31,650	60,491	22	
Total	\$43,735	\$86,620	39	%

(1) IRR information is presented gross and does not give effect to management fees, incentive compensation, certain other expenses and taxes.

(2) Other Credit is defined as investments in debt securities of issuers other than portfolio companies that are not considered to be distressed.

The following tables provide additional detail on the composition of our Fund VIII, Fund VII, Fund VI and Fund V private equity portfolios based on investment strategy. All amounts are as of March 31, 2015:

Fund VIII⁽¹⁾

	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Total Value
Buyout Equity and Portfolio Company Debt	\$2,090	\$2,300
Total	\$2,090	\$2,300

Fund VII⁽¹⁾

	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Total Value
Buyout Equity and Portfolio Company Debt	\$10,865	\$25,491
Other Credit and Classic Distressed ⁽²⁾	4,378	7,130
Total	\$15,243	\$32,621

Fund VI

Total Invested	Total Value
----------------	-------------

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

	Capital (in millions)	
Buyout Equity and Portfolio Company Debt	\$10,312	\$17,734
Other Credit and Classic Distressed ⁽²⁾	2,145	3,716
Total	\$12,457	\$21,450

- 87-

Table of Contents

Fund V

	Total Invested Capital (in millions)	Total Value
Buyout Equity	\$4,412	\$11,864
Classic Distressed ⁽²⁾	780	974
Total	\$5,192	\$12,838

Committed capital less unfunded capital commitments for Fund VIII and Fund VII was \$2.5 billion and \$13.1 billion, respectively, which represents capital commitments from limited partners to invest in such funds less capital that is available for investment or reinvestment subject to the provisions of the applicable limited partnership agreement or other governing agreements.

(2) Classic Distressed is defined as investments in debt securities of issuers other than portfolio companies that are considered to be distressed.

During the recovery and expansionary periods of 1994 through 2000 and late 2003 through the first half of 2007, our private equity funds invested or committed to invest approximately \$13.6 billion primarily in traditional and corporate partner buyouts. During the recessionary periods of 1990 through 1993, 2001 through late 2003 and the recessionary and post recessionary periods (beginning the second half of 2007 through March 31, 2015), our private equity funds have invested \$32.3 billion, of which \$17.0 billion was in distressed buyouts and debt investments when the debt securities of quality companies traded at deep discounts to par value. Our average entry multiple for Fund VIII, VII, VI and V was 5.6x, 6.1x, 7.7x and 6.6x, respectively, as of the date of filing of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. The average entry multiple for a private equity fund is the average of the total enterprise value over an applicable earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization ("EBITDA") which we believe captures the true economics of our funds' purchases of portfolio companies.

Credit

The following table presents the AUM and gross and net returns information for Apollo's credit segment by category type, excluding assets managed by Athene Asset Management that are not directly invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles or sub-advised by Apollo:

Category	AUM as of 3/31/2015				Gross Returns		Net Returns	
	AUM	Fee-Generating AUM	Carry-Eligible AUM	Carry-Generating AUM	1Q '15 Gross Return ⁽¹⁾	LTM Gross Return ⁽¹⁾	1Q '15 Net Return ⁽¹⁾	LTM Net Return ⁽¹⁾
	(in millions)							
Liquid / Performing	\$35,094	\$30,006	19,922	11,862	2.3%	4.1%	2.2%	3.8%
Drawdown ⁽²⁾	18,395	10,317	15,688	5,676	1.3%	7.0%	0.7%	4.8%
Permanent Capital Vehicles ex AAM ⁽³⁾	12,117	7,222	6,597	3,056	1.7%	10.5%	0.6%	5.1%
Athene Asset Management ⁽⁴⁾	47,313	47,313	—	—	(1)	(1)	(1)	(1)
Total Credit	\$112,919	\$94,858	\$42,207	\$20,594	2.0%	5.4%	1.7%	4.2%

(1)

The 1Q'15 and LTM gross and net returns for total credit exclude assets managed by AAM that are not directly invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles or sub-advised by Apollo.

As of March 31, 2015, significant drawdown funds and SIAs had inception-to-date ("ITD") gross and net IRRs of (2) 18.0% and 14.2%, respectively. Significant drawdown funds and SIAs include funds and SIAs with AUM greater than \$200 million that did not predominantly invest in other Apollo funds or SIAs.

(3) Excludes assets managed by Athene Asset Management.

(4) AUM amounts presented for AAM exclude \$13.5 billion of assets that were either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in funds and investment vehicles managed by Apollo.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the investment record for significant funds in the liquid/performing category within Apollo's credit segment. The significant funds included in the investment record table above for liquid/performing have greater than \$200 million of AUM and did not predominantly invest in other Apollo funds or SIAs. Additionally, the table below excludes AUM of \$29.0 billion in aggregate for CLOs and other performing credit vehicles, SIAs, sub-advised managed accounts owned by or related to Athene and other hedge fund style and structured credit funds. All amounts are as of March 31, 2015, unless otherwise noted:

		Net Return ⁽¹⁾							
Vintage Year	Net Asset Value as of March 31, 2015	Since Inception to March 31, 2015	(1)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	Since Inception to December 31, 2014	(1)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014	
		(in millions)							
TRF ⁽²⁾	2014	\$426	NM	(2) NM	(2) NM	(2) NM	(2) NM	(2) NM	(2)
ACSF ⁽³⁾	2011	589	6	% ⁽³⁾ 0	% ⁽³⁾ NM	(3) 7	% ⁽³⁾ 1	% ⁽³⁾	(3)
ACF ⁽³⁾	2005	2,035	10	(3) 3	(3) NM	(3) 9	(3) 5	(3)	(3)
VIF	2003	172	5	(4)	2	6	(5)		
Totals		\$3,222							

(1) Net Returns since inception presented are on an annualized basis.

(2) Apollo Total Return Fund ("TRF") returns have not been presented as the fund commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to the period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.

As part of the Stone Tower acquisition, Apollo acquired the manager of Apollo Credit Strategies Master Fund Ltd. ("ACSF") and Apollo Credit Master Fund Ltd. ("ACF"). The net returns are presented in the investment record table above since acquisition on April 2, 2012. As of March 31, 2015, the net returns from inception for ACSF and ACF were 7% and (2)%, respectively. These returns were primarily achieved during a period in which Apollo did not make the initial investment decisions. Apollo became the manager of these funds upon completing the acquisition on April 2, 2012.

The following table summarizes the investment record for our permanent capital vehicles, excluding assets managed by Athene Asset Management, by segment as of March 31, 2015, unless otherwise noted:

		Total Returns ⁽¹⁾									
IPO Year	Raised Capital	Gross Assets	Since Inception to March 31, 2015	(1)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014	Since Inception to December 31, 2014	(1)	For the Year Ended December 31, 2014		
		(in millions)									
Private Equity:											
AAA ⁽⁴⁾	2006	\$1,823	\$2,155	10	%	25	%	16	%	6	%
Credit:											
MidCap ⁽⁵⁾	N/A	1,293	2,167	NM	(6) NM	(6) N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A		
AIF ⁽⁷⁾	2013	276	404	(2)%	4	%	NM	(6) NM	(6) NM	(6)	
AFT ⁽⁷⁾	2011	295	437	4	9	2	%	2	%	(1)%	
AMTG	2011	791	4,173	6	4	13	5	19			
AINV ⁽⁸⁾	2004	3,080	3,701	5	6	0	4	(3)			

Real Estate:

ARI	2009	1,081	2,022	7	%	8	%	5	%	6	%	11	%
Totals		\$8,639	\$15,059										

Total returns are based on the change in closing trading prices during the respective periods presented taking into (1) account dividends and distributions, if any, as if they were reinvested without regard to commissions. Inception to date total returns are presented on an annualized basis.

An initial public offering ("IPO") year represents the year in which the vehicle commenced trading on a national securities exchange. Apollo Tactical Income Fund Inc. ("AIF"), Apollo Senior Floating Rate Fund Inc. ("AFT"), Apollo Residential Mortgage, Inc. ("AMTG") and ARI are publicly traded vehicles traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE"). Apollo Investment Corporation ("AINV") is a public company traded on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotation. AAA is a publicly traded vehicle traded on Euronext Amsterdam.

(3) Amounts represent raised capital net of offering and issuance costs.

AAA is the sole limited partner in AAA Investments, L.P. ("AAA Investments"). Athene was AAA Investments' only investment as of March 31, 2015. During the second quarter of 2014, Athene Holding Ltd. raised \$1.218 billion of net equity commitments primarily from third-party institutional investors, certain existing investors in Athene, and employees of Athene and its affiliates (the "Athene Private Placement"). For the period December 31, 2014 through (4) March 31, 2015, AAA Investments' ownership stake in Athene was reduced primarily as a result of the final closing of the Athene Private Placement, resulting in an approximate 47.0% economic ownership stake as of March 31, 2015 (calculated as if the commitments on the Athene Private Placement closed through March 31, 2015 were fully drawn down but without giving effect to (i) restricted common

Table of Contents

shares issued under Athene's management equity plan or (ii) common shares to be issued after March 31, 2015 under the Amended AAA Services Agreement or the Amended Athene Services Agreement, both as defined in note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements) and effectively 45% of the voting power of Athene.

(5) MidCap is not a publicly traded vehicle and therefore IPO year is not applicable. Raised Capital represents total committed capital and Gross Assets represent total average quarterly levered assets.

(6) Returns have not been presented as the publicly traded vehicle commenced investing capital less than 24 months prior to the period indicated and therefore such return information was deemed not meaningful.

(7) Gross Assets presented for AFT and AIF represents total managed assets of these closed-end funds.

Refer to www.apolloic.com for the most recent financial information on AINV. The information contained on

(8) AINV's website is not part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. All amounts are as of December 31, 2014 except for total returns.

Athene and SIAs

As of March 31, 2015, Athene Asset Management had \$60.8 billion of total AUM in accounts owned by or related to Athene, of which approximately \$13.5 billion, was either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles. Of the approximately \$13.5 billion of assets, the vast majority were in sub-advisory managed accounts that manage high grade credit asset classes, such as CLO debt, commercial mortgage backed securities, and insurance-linked securities.

Apollo also manages CLOs within Apollo's credit segment, with such CLOs representing a total AUM of approximately \$13.6 billion as of March 31, 2015. Such CLO performance information is not included in the above investment record tables.

As of March 31, 2015, Apollo managed approximately \$15.5 billion of total AUM in SIAs, which include certain SIAs in the investment record tables above and capital deployed from certain SIAs across Apollo's private equity, credit and real estate funds. The above investment record tables exclude certain funds with an aggregate AUM of approximately \$6.0 billion as of March 31, 2015 because management deemed them to be immaterial.

Overview of Results of Operations

Revenues

Advisory and Transaction Fees from Affiliates, Net. As a result of providing advisory services with respect to actual and potential private equity, credit, and real estate investments, we are entitled to receive fees for transactions related to the acquisition and, in certain instances, disposition of portfolio companies as well as fees for ongoing monitoring of portfolio company operations and directors' fees. We also receive advisory fees for advisory services provided to certain credit funds. In addition, monitoring fees are generated on certain structured portfolio company investments. Under the terms of the limited partnership agreements for certain funds, the management fee payable by the funds may be subject to a reduction based on a certain percentage of such advisory and transaction fees, net of applicable broken deal costs ("Management Fee Offset"). Such amounts are presented as a reduction to advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. See note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail.

The Management Fee Offsets are calculated for each fund as follows:

65%-100% for private equity funds, gross advisory, transaction and other special fees;

65%-100% for certain credit funds, gross advisory, transaction and other special fees; and

100% for certain real estate funds, gross advisory, transaction and other special fees.

Additionally, during the normal course of business, the Company incurs certain costs related to certain transactions that are not consummated ("broken deal costs"). These costs (e.g., research costs, due diligence costs, professional fees, legal fees and other related items) are determined to be broken deal costs upon management's decision to no longer

pursue the transaction. In accordance with the related fund agreement, in the event the deal is deemed broken, all of the costs are reimbursed by the funds and then included as a component of the calculation of the Management Fee Offset. If a deal is successfully completed, Apollo is reimbursed by the fund or fund's portfolio company for all costs incurred and no offset is generated.

As the Company acts as an agent for the funds it manages, any transaction costs incurred and paid by the Company on behalf of the respective funds relating to successful or broken deals are presented net on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, and any receivable from the respective funds is presented in Due from Affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition.

Management Fees from Affiliates. The significant growth of the assets we manage has had a positive effect on our revenues. Management fees are typically calculated based upon any of "net asset value," "gross assets," "adjusted par asset value," "adjusted costs of all unrealized portfolio investments," "capital commitments," "invested capital," "adjusted assets," "capital contributions," or "stockholders' equity," each as defined in the applicable limited partnership agreement and/or management agreement of the unconsolidated funds.

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates. The general partners of our funds, in general, are entitled to an incentive return that can normally amount to as much as 20% of the total returns on fund capital, depending upon performance of the underlying funds and subject to preferred returns and high water marks, as applicable. The carried interest income from affiliates is recognized in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to accounting for arrangement fees based on a formula. In applying the U.S. GAAP guidance, the carried interest from affiliates for any period is based upon an assumed liquidation of the funds' assets at the reporting date, and distribution of the net proceeds in accordance with the funds' allocation provisions.

As of March 31, 2015, approximately 66% of the value of our funds' investments on a gross basis was determined using market-based valuation methods (i.e., reliance on broker or listed exchange quotes) and the remaining 34% was determined primarily by comparable company and industry multiples or discounted cash flow models. For our private equity, credit and real estate segments, the percentage determined using market-based valuation methods as of March 31, 2015 was 39%, 77% and 59%, respectively. See "Item 1A. Risk Factors—Risks Related to Our Businesses—Our private equity funds' performance, and our performance, may be adversely affected by the financial performance of our portfolio companies and the industries in which our funds invest" in the 2014 Annual Report for a discussion regarding certain industry-specific risks that could affect the fair value of our private equity funds' portfolio company investments.

Carried interest income fee rates can be as much as 20% for our private equity funds. In our private equity funds, the Company does not earn carried interest income until the investors in the fund have achieved cumulative investment returns on invested capital (including management fees and expenses) in excess of an 8% hurdle rate. Additionally, certain of our credit and real estate funds have various carried interest rates and hurdle rates. Certain of our credit and real estate funds allocate carried interest to the general partner in a similar manner as the private equity funds. In our private equity, certain credit and real estate funds, so long as the investors achieve their priority returns, there is a catch-up formula whereby the Company earns a priority return for a portion of the return until the Company's carried interest income equates to its incentive fee rate for that fund; thereafter, the Company participates in returns from the fund at the carried interest income rate. Carried interest income is subject to reversal to the extent that the carried interest income distributed exceeds the amount due to the general partner based on a fund's cumulative investment returns. The Company recognizes potential repayment of previously received carried interest income as a general partner obligation representing all amounts previously distributed to the general partner that would need to be repaid to the Apollo funds if these funds were to be liquidated based on the current fair value of the underlying funds' investments as of the reporting date. The actual general partner obligation, however, would not become payable or realized until the end of a fund's life or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund.

Table of Contents

The table below presents an analysis of Apollo's (i) carried interest receivable on an unconsolidated basis and (ii) realized and unrealized carried interest income (loss) for Apollo's combined segments' incentive business as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2015:

	As of March 31, 2015 Carried Interest Receivable on an Unconsolidated Basis (in thousands)	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015		
		Unrealized Carried Interest Income (Loss)	Realized Carried Interest Income	Total Carried Interest Income (Loss)
Private Equity Funds:				
Fund VII	\$338,711	\$50,529	\$14,902	\$65,431
Fund VI ⁽¹⁾	183,545	(30,470)	) 30,593	123
Fund V	—	⁽⁴⁾ (7,373	) —	(7,373)
Fund IV	2,668	(2,968	) 640	(2,328)
AAA/Other ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	160,885	(30,827	) 29,900	(927)
Total Private Equity Funds	685,809	(21,109	) 76,035	54,926
Total Private Equity Funds, net of profit share	422,418	(25,576	) 51,703	26,127
Credit Category:				
Drawdown	148,601	⁽⁴⁾ (58,275	) 39,577	(18,698)
Liquid / Performing	81,798	12,504	6,696	19,200
Permanent Capital Vehicles ex AAM	9,402	—	10,774	10,774
Total Credit Funds	239,801	(45,771	) 57,047	11,276
Total Credit Funds, net of profit share	48,561	(32,538	) 33,597	1,059
Real Estate Funds:				
CPI Funds	1,194	(479	) 2,082	1,603
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	11,537	(115	) 203	88
Other	7,781	568	132	700
Total Real Estate Funds	20,512	(26	) 2,417	2,391
Total Real Estate Funds, net of profit share	11,733	(35	) 610	575
Total	\$946,122	\$(66,906	) \$135,499	\$68,593
Total, net of profit share	482,712	⁽⁵⁾ (58,149	) 85,910	27,761

Fund VI's remaining investments and escrow cash were valued at 104% of the fund's unreturned capital, which was below a specified return ratio of 115%. As a result, Fund VI is required to place in escrow current and future carried interest income distributions to the general partner until the specified return ratio of 115% is met (at the time of a future distribution) or upon liquidation of Fund VI. As of March 31, 2015, Fund VI carried interest receivable included \$166.3 million of carried interest income in escrow. Realized carried interest was in connection with a tax distribution in accordance with Fund VI's limited partnership agreement.

(2) Includes certain SIAs.

Includes \$122.6 million of carried interest receivable from AAA Investments, L.P. ("AAA Investments") which will be paid in common shares of Athene Holding (valued at the then fair market value) if there is a distribution in kind of shares of Athene Holding (unless such payment in shares would violate Section 16(b) of the U.S. Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended), or paid in cash if AAA sells the shares of Athene Holding.

(4)

As of March 31, 2015, Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment had \$4.2 million, \$4.4 million and \$27.0 million, respectively, in general partner obligations to return previously distributed carried interest income. The fair value gain on investments and income at the fund level needed to reverse the general partner obligations in Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment was \$28.0 million, \$10.6 million and \$30.7 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2015.

- (5) There was a corresponding profit sharing payable of \$463.4 million as of March 31, 2015, including profit sharing payable related to amounts in escrow and contingent consideration obligations of \$99.0 million.

The general partners of the private equity, credit and real estate funds listed in the table above were accruing carried interest income as of March 31, 2015. The investment manager of AINV accrues carried interest in the management company business as it is earned. The general partners of certain of our credit funds accrue carried interest when the fair value of investments exceeds the cost basis of the individual investors' investments in the fund, including any allocable share of expenses incurred in connection with such investments, which we refer to as "high water marks." These high water marks are applied on an individual investor basis. Certain of our credit funds have investors with various high water marks and are subject to market conditions and investment performance.

Carried interest income from our private equity funds and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to contingent repayment by the general partner in the event of future losses to the extent that the cumulative carried interest distributed from inception to date exceeds the amount computed as due to the general partner at the final distribution. These general partner obligations, if applicable, are included in due to affiliates on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As of

Table of Contents

March 31, 2015, there was \$35.6 million of such general partner obligations related to our funds. Carried interest receivable is reported on a separate line item within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. The following table summarizes our carried interest income since inception for our combined segments through March 31, 2015:

	Carried Interest Income Since Inception ⁽¹⁾			General Partner Obligation as of March 31, 2015 ⁽³⁾	Maximum Carried Interest Income Subject to Potential Reversal ⁽⁴⁾
	Undistributed by Fund and Recognized	Distributed by Fund and Recognized (2)	Total Undistributed and Distributed by Fund and Recognized ⁽³⁾		
	(in millions)				
Private Equity Funds:					
Fund VII	\$338.7	\$2,877.0	\$3,215.7	\$—	\$975.4
Fund VI	183.5	1,580.1	1,763.6	—	1,246.1
Fund V	—	1,455.0	1,455.0	4.2	25.7
Fund IV	2.7	597.8	600.5	—	2.7
AAA/Other	160.9	175.4	336.3	—	180.7
Total Private Equity Funds	685.8	6,685.3	7,371.1	4.2	2,430.6
Credit Category ⁽⁵⁾ :					
Drawdown	148.6	814.7	963.3	4.7	317.6
Liquid/Performing	81.8	425.3	507.1	26.7	110.1
Total Credit Funds	230.4	1,240.0	1,470.4	31.4	427.7
Real Estate Funds:					
CPI Funds	1.2	7.8	9.0	—	1.8
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund	11.6	2.7	14.3	—	11.3
Other	7.7	0.6	8.3	—	8.4
Total Real Estate Funds	20.5	11.1	31.6	—	21.5
Total	\$936.7	\$7,936.4	\$8,873.1	\$35.6	\$2,879.8

(1) Certain funds are denominated in Euros and historical figures are translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of €1.00 to \$1.07 as of March 31, 2015.

(2) Amounts in “Distributed by Fund and Recognized” for the CPI, Gulf Stream and Stone Tower funds and SIAs are presented for activity subsequent to the respective acquisition dates.

Amounts were computed based on the fair value of fund investments on March 31, 2015. Carried interest income has been allocated to and recognized by the general partner. Based on the amount of carried interest income allocated, a portion is subject to potential reversal or, to the extent applicable, has been reduced by the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income or fees at March 31, 2015. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of the fund’s investments based on contractual termination of the fund.

(3) Represents the amount of carried interest income that would be reversed if remaining fund investments became worthless on March 31, 2015. Amounts subject to potential reversal of carried interest income include amounts undistributed by a fund (i.e., the carried interest receivable), as well as a portion of the amounts that have been distributed by a fund, net of taxes not subject to a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income, except for those funds that are gross of taxes as defined in the respective funds’ management agreement.

(4) Amounts exclude AINV, as carried interest income from this entity is not subject to contingent repayment.

Expenses

Compensation and Benefits. Our most significant expense is compensation and benefits expense. This consists of fixed salary, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, profit sharing expense associated with the carried interest income earned from private equity, credit and real estate funds and compensation expense associated with the vesting of non-cash equity-based awards.

Our compensation arrangements with certain partners and employees contain a significant performance-based incentive component. Therefore, as our net revenues increase, our compensation costs also rise or can be lower when net revenues decrease. In addition, our compensation costs reflect the increased investment in people as we expand geographically and create

- 92-

Table of Contents

new funds. All payments for services rendered by our Managing Partners prior to the 2007 Reorganization have been accounted for as partnership distributions rather than compensation and benefits expense. See note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2007 Reorganization. Subsequent to the 2007 Reorganization, our Managing Partners are considered employees of Apollo. As such, payments for services made to these individuals, including the expense associated with the AOG Units described below, have been recorded as compensation expense. The AOG Units were granted to the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners at the time of the 2007 Reorganization, as discussed in note 1 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In addition, certain professionals and selected other individuals have a profit sharing interest in the carried interest income earned in relation to our private equity, certain credit and real estate funds in order to better align their interests with our own and with those of the investors in these funds. Profit sharing expense is part of our compensation and benefits expense and is generally based upon a fixed percentage of private equity, credit and real estate carried interest income on a pre-tax and a pre-consolidated basis. Profit sharing expense can reverse during periods when there is a decline in carried interest income that was previously recognized. Profit sharing amounts are normally distributed to employees after the corresponding investment gains have been realized and generally before preferred returns are achieved for the investors. Therefore, changes in our unrealized gains (losses) for investments have the same effect on our profit sharing expense. Profit sharing expense increases when unrealized gains increase. Realizations only impact profit sharing expense to the extent that the effects on investments have not been recognized previously. If losses on other investments within a fund are subsequently realized, the profit sharing amounts previously distributed are normally subject to a general partner obligation to return carried interest income previously distributed back to the funds. This general partner obligation due to the funds would be realized only when the fund is liquidated, which generally occurs at the end of the fund's term. However, indemnification obligations also exist for pre-reorganization realized gains, which, although our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners would remain personally liable, may indemnify our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners for 17.5% to 100% of the previously distributed profits regardless of the fund's future performance. See note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of indemnification.

Each Managing Partner receives \$100,000 per year in base salary for services rendered to us. Additionally, our Managing Partners can receive other forms of compensation. In connection with the 2007 Reorganization, the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners received AOG Units with a vesting period of five to six years (all of which have fully vested) and certain employees were granted RSUs with a vesting period of typically six years (all of which have also fully vested). Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain employees have also been granted AAA restricted depositary units ("RDUs"), or incentive units that provide the right to receive AAA RDUs, which both represent common units of AAA and generally vest over three years for employees and are fully-vested for Managing Partners and Contributing Partners on the grant date. In addition, AHL Awards (as defined in note 11 to our consolidated financial statements) and other equity-based compensation awards have been granted to the Company and certain employees, which amortize over the respective vesting periods. In addition, the Company grants equity awards to certain employees, including RSUs and options, that generally vest and become exercisable in quarterly installments or annual installments depending on the contract terms over a period of three to six years. See note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of AOG Units and other equity-based compensation.

Other Expenses. The balance of our other expenses includes interest, professional fees, placement fees, occupancy, depreciation and amortization and other general operating expenses. Interest expense consists primarily of interest related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities and the 2024 Senior Notes as discussed in note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. Placement fees are incurred in connection with our capital raising activities. Occupancy expense represents charges related to office leases and associated expenses, such as utilities and maintenance fees. Depreciation and amortization of fixed assets is normally calculated using the straight-line method over their estimated useful lives, ranging from two to sixteen years, taking into consideration any residual value. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the shorter of the useful life of the asset or the expected term of the lease. Intangible assets are amortized based on the future cash flows over the expected useful lives of the assets. Other general operating expenses normally include costs related to travel, information technology and administration.

Other Income (Loss)

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities. The performance of the consolidated Apollo funds has impacted our net gains (losses) from investment activities. Net gains (losses) from investment activities include both realized gains and losses and the change in unrealized gains and losses in our investment portfolio between the opening reporting date and the closing reporting date. Net unrealized gains (losses) are a result of changes in the fair value of unrealized investments and reversal of unrealized gains (losses) due to dispositions of investments during the reporting period. For results of AAA, a portion of the net gains (losses) from investment activities are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Significant judgment and estimation goes into the assumptions that drive these models and the actual values realized with respect to investments could be materially different from values obtained based on the use of those models. The valuation methodologies applied impact the reported value of investment company holdings and their underlying portfolios in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

- 93-

Table of Contents

Net Gains (Losses) from Investment Activities of Consolidated Variable Interest Entities. Changes in the fair value of the consolidated VIEs' assets and liabilities and related interest, dividend and other income and expenses subsequent to consolidation are presented within net gains (losses) from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and are attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Interest Income. The Company recognizes security transactions on the trade date. Interest income is recognized as earned on an accrual basis. Discounts and premiums on securities purchased are accreted or amortized over the life of the respective securities using the effective interest method. Interest income also includes payment-in-kind interest (or "PIK" interest) on a convertible note and from one of our credit funds.

Other Income (Losses), Net. Other income (losses), net includes the recognition of bargain purchase gains as a result of Apollo acquisitions, gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of foreign currency denominated assets and liabilities of foreign subsidiaries, reversal of a portion of the tax receivable agreement liability (see note 12 to our condensed consolidated financial statements), gains (losses) arising from the remeasurement of derivative instruments associated with fees from certain of the Company's affiliates and other miscellaneous non-operating income and expenses.

Income Taxes. The Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries generally operate as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. As a result, except as described below, the Apollo Operating Group has not been subject to U.S. income taxes. However, these entities in some cases are subject to NYC UBT, and non-U.S. entities, in some cases, are subject to non-U.S. corporate income taxes. In addition, APO Corp., a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is subject to U.S. federal, state and local corporate income tax, and the Company's provision for income taxes is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

As significant judgment is required in determining tax expense and in evaluating tax positions, including evaluating uncertainties, we recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only where the position is "more likely than not" to be sustained upon examination, including resolutions of any related appeals or litigation, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefit is measured as the largest amount of benefit that has a greater than 50% likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. If a tax position is not considered more likely than not to be sustained, then no benefits of the position are recognized. The Company's tax positions are reviewed and evaluated quarterly to determine whether or not we have uncertain tax positions that require financial statement recognition. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the expected future tax consequences of differences between the carrying amount of assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis using currently enacted tax rates. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period when the change is enacted. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized.

Non-Controlling Interests

For entities that are consolidated, but not 100% owned, a portion of the income or loss and corresponding equity is allocated to owners other than Apollo. The aggregate of the income or loss and corresponding equity that is not owned by the Company is included in Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements. The Non-Controlling Interests relating to Apollo Global Management, LLC primarily include the 57.0% and 60.6% ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group held by the Managing Partners and Contributing Partners through their limited partner interests in Holdings as of March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, and other ownership interests in consolidated entities, which primarily consist of the approximate 97.6% and 97.5% ownership interests held by limited partners in AAA as of March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Non-Controlling Interests also include limited partner interests of Apollo managed funds in certain consolidated VIEs.

The authoritative guidance for Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated financial statements requires reporting entities to present Non-Controlling Interest as equity and provides guidance on the accounting for transactions between an entity and Non-Controlling Interests. According to the guidance, (1) Non-Controlling Interests are presented as a separate component of shareholders' equity on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of financial condition, (2) net income (loss) includes the net income (loss) attributable to the Non-Controlling Interest holders on the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations, (3) the primary components of Non-Controlling Interest are separately presented in the Company's condensed consolidated statements

of changes in shareholders' equity to clearly distinguish the interests in the Apollo Operating Group and other ownership interests in the consolidated entities and (4) profits and losses are allocated to Non-Controlling Interests in proportion to their ownership interests regardless of their basis.

On January 1, 2010, the Company adopted amended consolidation guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") on issues related to VIEs. The amended guidance significantly affects the overall consolidation analysis, changing the approach taken by companies in identifying which entities are VIEs and in determining which party is the primary beneficiary. The amended guidance requires continuous assessment of the reporting entity's involvement with such VIEs. The

- 94-

Table of Contents

amended guidance also enhances the disclosure requirements for a reporting entity's involvement with VIEs, including presentation on the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition of assets and liabilities of consolidated VIEs that meet the separate presentation criteria and disclosure of assets and liabilities recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition and the maximum exposure to loss for those VIEs in which a reporting entity is determined to not be the primary beneficiary but in which it has a variable interest. The guidance provides a limited scope deferral for a reporting entity's interest in an entity that meets all of the following conditions: (a) the entity has all the attributes of an investment company as defined under the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants ("AICPA") Audit and Accounting Guide, Investment Companies, or does not have all the attributes of an investment company but is an entity for which it is acceptable based on industry practice to apply measurement principles that are consistent with the AICPA Audit and Accounting Guide, Investment Companies, (b) the reporting entity does not have explicit or implicit obligations to fund any losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the entity and (c) the entity is not a securitization entity, asset-backed financing entity or an entity that was formerly considered a qualifying special-purpose entity. The reporting entity is required to perform a consolidation analysis for entities that qualify for the deferral in accordance with previously issued guidance on variable interest entities. Apollo's involvement with the funds it manages is such that all three of the above conditions are met with the exception of certain vehicles which fail condition (c) above. As previously discussed, the incremental impact of adopting the amended consolidation guidance has resulted in the consolidation of certain VIEs managed by the Company. Additional disclosures related to Apollo's involvement with VIEs are presented in note 4 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Results of Operations

Below is a discussion of our condensed consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. For additional analysis of the factors that affected our results at the segment level, see "—Segment Analysis" below:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Amount	Percentage
	2015	2014	Change	Change
Revenues:				
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$8,543	\$116,065	\$(107,522)	(92.6)%
Management fees from affiliates	209,207	209,791	(584)	(0.3)
Carried interest income from affiliates	59,068	165,544	(106,476)	(64.3)
Total Revenues	276,818	491,400	(214,582)	(43.7)
Expenses:				
Compensation and benefits:				
Salary, bonus and benefits	87,633	80,530	7,103	8.8
Equity-based compensation	20,103	58,978	(38,875)	(65.9)
Profit sharing expense	48,629	103,959	(55,330)	(53.2)
Total Compensation and Benefits	156,365	243,467	(87,102)	(35.8)
Interest expense	7,440	3,114	4,326	138.9
General, administrative and other	22,919	24,678	(1,759)	(7.1)
Professional fees	15,233	19,452	(4,219)	(21.7)
Occupancy	9,958	9,903	55	0.6
Placement fees	1,520	1,786	(266)	(14.9)
Depreciation and amortization	10,978	11,719	(741)	(6.3)
Total Expenses	224,413	314,119	(89,706)	(28.6)
Other Income:				
Net gains from investment activities	12,686	223,408	(210,722)	(94.3)
	134,703	47,735	86,968	182.2

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Net gains from investment activities of consolidated
variable interest entities

Income (loss) from equity method investments	(1,314	) 22,910	(24,224	) NM	
Interest income	725	3,328	(2,603	) (78.2	)
Other income, net	4,874	17,531	(12,657	) (72.2	)
Total Other Income	151,674	314,912	(163,238	) (51.8	)
Income before income tax provision	204,079	492,193	(288,114	) (58.5	)
Income tax provision	(5,514	) (32,549	) 27,035	(83.1	)
Net Income	198,565	459,644	(261,079	) (56.8	)
Net income attributable to Non-controlling Interests	(167,638	) (387,475	) 219,837	(56.7	)
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$30,927	\$72,169	\$(41,242	) (57.1	)%

Note: "NM" denotes not meaningful. Changes from negative to positive amounts and positive to negative amounts are not considered meaningful. Increases or decreases from zero and changes greater than 500% are also not considered meaningful.

- 95-

Table of Contents

Revenues

Our revenues and other income include fixed components that result from measures of capital and asset valuations and variable components that result from realized and unrealized investment performance, as well as the value of successfully completed transactions.

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, decreased by \$107.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was attributable to a decrease in advisory and transaction fees, net of \$72.1 million and \$33.8 million in the credit segment and the private equity segment, respectively. The decrease in the credit segment was primarily attributable to a decrease in monitoring fees from Athene of \$56.7 million and a decrease in net transaction fees with respect to EPF II and FCI II during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014. The decrease in the private equity segment was primarily attributable to net transaction fees earned from EP Energy by certain private equity funds we manage in the aggregate amount of \$30.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$106.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to decreased carried interest income with respect to Fund VII, one of our SIAs, Fund V, AAA and EPF I of \$76.3 million, \$33.4 million, \$29.1 million, \$19.2 million and \$18.1 million, respectively, compared to the same period in 2014. These decreases were partially offset by increased carried interest income with respect to Fund VI of \$64.1 million.

Expenses

Compensation and benefits decreased by \$87.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$55.3 million, due to lower carried interest income during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. In any quarter the blended profit sharing percentage is impacted by the respective profit share ratios of the funds generating carry in the period. Equity-based compensation decreased \$38.9 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014 primarily due to non-cash expense of \$45.6 million incurred in connection with the departure of an executive officer during the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decreases in profit sharing expense and equity-based compensation were offset by an increase in salary, bonus and benefits of \$7.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as a result of an increase in headcount during the period as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

The Company intends to, over time, seek to more directly tie compensation of its professionals to realized performance of the Company's business, which may result in greater variability in compensation. In June 2011, the Company adopted a performance based incentive arrangement (the "Incentive Pool") whereby certain partners and employees earned discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company during the year, which amounts are reflected as profit sharing expense in the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted the Incentive Pool to attract and retain, and provide incentive to, partners and employees of the Company and to more closely align the overall compensation of partners and employees with the overall realized performance of the Company. Allocations to the Incentive Pool and to its participants contain both a fixed and a discretionary component and may vary year-to-year depending on the overall realized performance of the Company and the contributions and performance of each participant. There is no assurance that the Company will continue to compensate individuals through performance-based incentive arrangements in the future and there may be periods when the Executive Committee of the Company's manager determines that allocations of realized carried interest income are not sufficient to compensate individuals, which may result in an increase in salary, bonus and benefits.

Interest expense increased by \$4.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to additional interest incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as a result of the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes, as described in note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Professional fees decreased by \$4.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014 primarily due to lower consulting fees incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

Other Income (Loss)

Net gains from investment activities decreased by \$210.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to a \$194.8 million decrease in net unrealized gains related to changes in the fair value of investments held by AAA and a non-recurrence in gains on the investment in HFA of \$18.0 million as a result of the sale of the investment during 2014.

- 96-

Table of Contents

Net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities increased by \$87.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase was primarily attributable to a \$139.2 million increase in net gains from investment activities, partially offset by a \$54.5 million increase in net losses from debt during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recorded \$1.3 million in loss from equity method investments, compared to \$22.9 million in income (loss) from equity method investments during the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily driven by decreases in the fair values of investments held by certain Apollo funds and other entities in which the Company has a direct interest. The funds which had the most significant impact were AINV, Fund VII, AION, ACLF, EPF I and COF III, which resulted in decreases in income (loss) from equity method investments of \$8.6 million, \$4.6 million, \$1.7 million \$1.6 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Other income, net decreased by \$12.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to a \$14.0 million unrealized gain on the settlement of the AAA and Athene-related derivative contracts recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2014 that did not recur during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Income Tax Provision

Income tax provision decreased by \$27.0 million primarily due to a change in the mix of earnings which are subject to corporate-level taxation, as well as a decrease in management business income subject to corporate-level taxation. The Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries generally operate as partnerships for U.S. federal income tax purposes. Due to our legal structure, only a portion of the income we earn is subject to corporate-level tax rates in the United States and foreign jurisdictions. The provision for income taxes includes federal, state and local income taxes in the United States and foreign income taxes at an effective tax rate of 2.7% and 6.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The reconciling items between our statutory tax rate and our effective tax rate were due to the following: (i) income passed through to Non-Controlling Interests; (ii) income passed through to Class A shareholders; (iii) amortization of AOG Units that are non-deductible for income tax purposes which were fully amortized as of June 30, 2013; and (iv) state and local income taxes including NYC UBT.

Table of Contents

Non-Controlling Interests

The table below presents equity interests in Apollo's consolidated, but not wholly-owned, subsidiaries and funds. Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests consisted of the following:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014	
	(in thousands)		
AAA ⁽¹⁾	\$ (9,899	) \$ (199,269	)
Interest in management companies and a co-investment vehicle ⁽²⁾	(2,882	) (3,585	)
Other consolidated entities	(14,681	) (4,698	)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(27,462	) (207,552	)
Net income attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽³⁾	(92,164	) (24,823	)
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group	(48,012	) (155,100	)
Net Income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$ (167,638	) \$ (387,475	)
Net income attributable to Appropriated Partners' Capital ⁽⁴⁾	92,164	24,823	
Other Comprehensive loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	7,582	—	
Comprehensive Income Attributable to Non-Controlling Interests	\$ (67,892	) \$ (362,652	)

Reflects the Non-Controlling Interests in the net income of AAA and is calculated based on the Non-Controlling

(1) Interests' ownership percentage in AAA, which was approximately 97.6% and 97.5% as of March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. As of March 31, 2015 and 2014, Apollo owned approximately 2.4% and 2.5% of AAA, respectively.

(2) Reflects the remaining interest held by certain individuals who receive an allocation of income from certain of our credit funds.

(3) Reflects net (income) loss of the consolidated CLOs classified as VIEs.

Appropriated Partners' Capital is included in total Apollo Global Management, LLC shareholders' equity and is

(4) therefore not a component of comprehensive income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests on the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group consisted of the following:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014	
	(in thousands)		
Net income	\$ 198,565	\$ 459,644	
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	(119,626	) (232,375	)
Net income after Non-Controlling Interests in consolidated entities	78,939	227,269	
Adjustments:			
Income tax provision ⁽¹⁾	5,514	32,549	
NYC UBT and foreign tax provision ⁽²⁾	(875	) (2,742	)
Net (income) loss in non-Apollo Operating Group entities	237	(1,891	)
Total adjustments	4,876	27,916	
Net income after adjustments	83,815	255,185	
Approximate weighted average ownership percentage of Apollo Operating Group	57.3	% 60.8	%
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	\$ 48,012	\$ 155,100	

Reflects all taxes recorded in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. Of this amount, U.S. federal, state, and local corporate income taxes attributable to APO Corp. are added back to income of the Apollo
(1) Operating Group before calculating Non-Controlling Interests as the income allocable to the Apollo Operating Group is not subject to such taxes.

- 98-

Table of Contents

Reflects NYC UBT and foreign taxes that are attributable to the Apollo Operating Group and its subsidiaries (2) related to its operations in the U.S. as partnerships and in non-U.S. jurisdictions as corporations. As such, these amounts are considered in the income attributable to the Apollo Operating Group.

Segment Analysis

Discussed below are our results of operations for each of our reportable segments. They represent the segment information available and utilized by our executive management, which consists of our Managing Partners, who operate collectively as our chief operating decision maker, to assess performance and to allocate resources. Management divides its operations into three reportable segments: private equity, credit and real estate. These segments were established based on the nature of investment activities in each underlying fund, including the specific type of investment made, the frequency of trading, and the level of control over the investment. Segment results represent segment income (loss) before income tax provision excluding transaction-related charges arising from the 2007 private placement, and any acquisitions. Transaction-related charges includes equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets and contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions. In addition, segment data excludes non-cash revenue and expense related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company, as well as the assets, liabilities and operating results of the funds and VIEs that are included in the consolidated financial statements.

In addition to providing the financial results of our three reportable business segments, we further evaluate our individual reportable segments based on what we refer to as our "management business" and "incentive businesses". Our management business is generally characterized by the predictability of its financial metrics, including revenues and expenses. The management business includes management fee revenues, advisory and transaction fee revenues, carried interest income from one of our opportunistic credit funds and expenses, each of which we believe are more stable in nature. The financial performance of our incentive business is partially dependent upon quarterly mark-to-market unrealized valuations in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to fair value measurements. The incentive business includes carried interest income, income from equity method investments and profit sharing expense that are associated with our general partner interests in the Apollo funds, which are generally less predictable and more volatile in nature.

Our financial results vary, since carried interest, which generally constitutes a large portion of the income from the funds that we manage, as well as the transaction and advisory fees that we receive, can vary significantly from quarter to quarter and year to year. As a result, we emphasize long-term financial growth and profitability to manage our business.

Private Equity

The following tables set forth our segment statement of operations information and our supplemental performance measure, EI, for our private equity segment, further broken out by our "management" and "incentive" businesses, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ :						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$3,841	\$—	\$3,841	\$37,636	\$—	\$37,636
Management fees from affiliates	74,597	—	74,597	79,421	—	79,421
Carried interest income (loss) gains from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses ⁽²⁾	—	(21,109)	(21,109)	—	(293,589)	(293,589)
Realized gains	—	76,035	76,035	—	396,840	396,840
Total Revenues	78,438	54,926	133,364	117,057	103,251	220,308
Expenses:						
Compensation and benefits:						
Salary, bonus and benefits	25,800	—	25,800	22,269	—	22,269
Equity-based compensation	9,056	—	9,056	24,449	—	24,449
Profit sharing expense	—	28,799	28,799	—	66,095	66,095
Total compensation and benefits	34,856	28,799	63,655	46,718	66,095	112,813
Other expenses	15,185	—	15,185	17,418	—	17,418
Total Expenses	50,041	28,799	78,840	64,136	66,095	130,231
Other Income:						
Net interest expense	—	(2,549)	(2,549)	—	(1,124)	(1,124)
Income from equity method investments	—	5,483	5,483	—	18,800	18,800
Other income, net	1,459	162	1,621	1,565	1,599	3,164
Total Other Income	1,459	3,096	4,555	1,565	19,275	20,840
Economic Income	\$29,856	\$29,223	\$59,079	\$54,486	\$56,431	\$110,917

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Included in unrealized carried interest losses from affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was a reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$4.2 million in aggregate with respect to Fund V. The fair value gain on investments and income at the fund level needed to reverse the general partner obligations in Fund V was \$28.0 (2) million as of March 31, 2015. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement or other governing document of the fund.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Amount Change	Percentage Change	
	2015	2014			
	(dollars in thousands)				
Private Equity ⁽¹⁾ :					
Revenues:					
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$3,841	\$37,636	\$(33,795)	(89.8)	%
Management fees from affiliates	74,597	79,421	(4,824)	(6.1)	)
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:					
Unrealized losses ⁽²⁾	(21,109)	(293,589)	272,480	(92.8)	)
Realized gains	76,035	396,840	(320,805)	(80.8)	)
Total carried interest income from affiliates	54,926	103,251	(48,325)	(46.8)	)
Total Revenues	133,364	220,308	(86,944)	(39.5)	)
Expenses:					
Compensation and benefits:					
Salary, bonus and benefits	25,800	22,269	3,531	15.9	
Equity-based compensation	9,056	24,449	(15,393)	(63.0)	)
Profit sharing expense	28,799	66,095	(37,296)	(56.4)	)
Total compensation and benefits expense	63,655	112,813	(49,158)	(43.6)	)
Other expenses	15,185	17,418	(2,233)	(12.8)	)
Total Expenses	78,840	130,231	(51,391)	(39.5)	)
Other Income:					
Net interest expense	(2,549)	(1,124)	(1,425)	126.8	
Income from equity method investments	5,483	18,800	(13,317)	(70.8)	)
Other income (loss), net	1,621	3,164	(1,543)	(48.8)	)
Total Other Income	4,555	20,840	(16,285)	(78.1)	)
Economic Income	\$59,079	\$110,917	\$(51,838)	(46.7)	%

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Included in unrealized carried interest losses from affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was a reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income of \$4.2 million with respect to Fund V. The fair value gain on investments and income at the fund level needed to reverse the general partner obligation in Fund V was \$28.0 million as of March (2)31, 2015. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement or other governing document of the fund.

Revenues

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, decreased by \$33.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to net advisory and transaction fees earned in connection with the investment in EP Energy by certain of the private equity funds we manage during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Management fees from affiliates decreased by \$4.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to decreases in management fees earned from Fund VII of \$2.6 million and Fund VI of \$1.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014.

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$48.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to decreases in carried interest income earned from Fund VII, Fund V, AAA and ANRP of \$76.3 million, \$29.1 million, \$19.2 million and \$13.2 million, respectively. These decreases were partially offset by increased carried interest income earned from Fund VI and AAA Co-Invest VI of \$64.1 million and \$27.1 million, respectively. Realized carried interest income decreased \$320.8 million, driven by decreased realized

- 101-

carried interest with respect to Fund VII and Fund VI of \$244.2 million and \$81.0 million, respectively, primarily due to decreased dispositions of underlying portfolio investments held during the period as compared to the prior year. The decrease in realized carried interest income was offset by an increase in unrealized carried interest income of \$272.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily attributable to increases in Fund VII and Fund VI of \$167.9 million and \$145.1 million, respectively. These increases were a result of increases in the fair value of fund portfolio investments.

Expenses

Compensation and benefits expense decreased by \$49.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$37.3 million as a result of a corresponding decrease in carried interest income and a decrease in equity-based compensation of \$15.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Equity-based compensation was higher during the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2015 as a result of non-cash expense of \$16.1 million in connection with the departure of an executive officer during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Other expenses decreased by \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to decreased professional fees and general and administrative expenses attributable to lower consulting fees during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Other Income

Net interest expense increased by \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014 primarily due to additional interest incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as a result of the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes, as described in note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Income from equity method investments decreased by \$13.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was driven by decreases in the fair values of our private equity investments held, primarily from Apollo's ownership interests in AAA, Fund VII and AION, in the amounts of \$5.2 million, \$4.3 million and \$2.8 million, respectively.

Other income, net decreased by \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the non-recurrence of a \$1.6 million unrealized gain on AAA-related derivative

contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as a result of settlement of these derivative contracts during 2014.

Credit

The following tables set forth segment statement of operations information and EI for our credit segment, further broken out by our "management" and "incentive" businesses, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Credit: ⁽¹⁾						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$5,352	\$—	\$5,352	\$77,480	\$—	\$77,480
Management fees from affiliates	139,452	—	139,452	131,629	—	131,629
Carried interest income from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses ⁽²⁾	—	(45,770	) (45,770	—	(7,898	) (7,898
Realized gains	10,774	46,272	57,046	8,464	65,786	74,250
Total Revenues	155,578	502	156,080	217,573	57,888	275,461
Expenses:						
Compensation and benefits:						
Salary, bonus and benefits	53,679	—	53,679	50,744	—	50,744
Equity-based compensation	5,756	—	5,756	28,251	—	28,251
Profit sharing expense	—	10,217	10,217	—	32,945	32,945
Total compensation and benefits	59,435	10,217	69,652	78,995	32,945	111,940
Other expenses	32,120	—	32,120	34,854	—	34,854
Total Expenses	91,555	10,217	101,772	113,849	32,945	146,794
Other Income:						
Net interest expense	—	(3,462	) (3,462	—	(570	) (570
Net gains from investment activities	—	1,761	1,761	—	18,013	18,013
Income (loss) from equity method investments	—	(6,907	) (6,907	—	8,748	8,748
Other income (loss), net	2,804	(510	) 2,294	3,345	12,441	15,786
Total Other Income (Loss)	2,804	(9,118	) (6,314	3,345	38,632	41,977
Non-Controlling Interests	(2,846	) —	(2,846	) (3,256	) —	(3,256
Economic Income (Loss)	\$63,981	\$(18,833	) \$45,148	\$103,813	\$63,575	\$167,388

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Included in unrealized carried interest losses from affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was a reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income from ACLF and certain SIAs within the Credit segment of \$4.4 million and \$27.0 million, respectively. The fair value gain on investments and income at the fund level needed to reverse the general partner obligation in ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment was \$10.6 million and \$30.7 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2015. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement or other governing document of the fund.

- 103-

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		Amount	Percentage	
	2015	2014	Change	Change	
	(dollars in thousands)				
Credit ⁽¹⁾ :					
Revenues:					
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$5,352	\$77,480	\$(72,128)	(93.1)	)%
Management fees from affiliates	139,452	131,629	7,823	5.9	
Carried interest income from affiliates:					
Unrealized losses ⁽²⁾	(45,770)	(7,898)	(37,872)	479.5	
Realized gains	57,046	74,250	(17,204)	(23.2)	)
Total carried interest income from affiliates	11,276	66,352	(55,076)	(83.0)	)
Total Revenues	156,080	275,461	(119,381)	(43.3)	)
Expenses:					
Compensation and benefits:					
Salary, bonus and benefits	53,679	50,744	2,935	5.8	
Equity-based compensation	5,756	28,251	(22,495)	(79.6)	)
Profit sharing expense	10,217	32,945	(22,728)	(69.0)	)
Total compensation and benefits	69,652	111,940	(42,288)	(37.8)	)
Other expenses	32,120	34,854	(2,734)	(7.8)	)
Total Expenses	101,772	146,794	(45,022)	(30.7)	)
Other Income:					
Net interest expense	(3,462)	(570)	(2,892)	NM	
Net gains from investment activities	1,761	18,013	(16,252)	(90.2)	)
Income (loss) from equity method investments	(6,907)	8,748	(15,655)	NM	
Other income, net	2,294	15,786	(13,492)	(85.5)	)
Total Other Income (Loss)	(6,314)	41,977	(48,291)	NM	
Non-Controlling Interests	(2,846)	(3,256)	410	(12.6)	)
Economic Income	\$45,148	\$167,388	\$(122,240)	(73.0)	)%

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Included in unrealized carried interest losses from affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was a reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income from ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment of \$4.4 million and \$27.0 million, respectively. The fair value gain on investments and income at the fund level needed to reverse the general partner obligations in ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment was \$10.6 million and \$30.7 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2015. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the funds' net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement or other governing document of the fund.

Revenues

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, decreased by \$72.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily driven by a decrease in monitoring fees from Athene of \$56.7 million as a result of the termination of the Athene Services Agreement (as described in note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements) and a decrease in net transaction fees with respect to EPF II and FCI II during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014. Management fees from affiliates increased by \$7.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to increases in management fees

earned from COF III of \$3.1 million, CLOs of \$1.7 million, MidCap of \$1.4 million and CION of \$1.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014.

- 104-

Carried interest income from affiliates decreased by \$55.1 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to decreased carried interest income related to one of our SIAs of \$33.4 million, EPF I of \$18.1 million, ACLF of \$5.6 million and COF III of \$4.6 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014. These decreases were partially offset by increased carried interest income related to FCI II of \$5.5 million. Included in carried interest income from affiliates was unrealized carried interest income, which decreased \$37.9 million primarily resulting from decreases with respect to SOMA of \$33.4 million and EPF II of \$25.2 million, partially offset by an increase in EPF I of \$14.7 million and CLOs of \$10.2 million. Also included in carried interest income was realized carried interest income which decreased \$17.2 million primarily resulting from lower realizations from EPF I of \$32.8 million, CLOs of \$5.5 million, COF II of \$3.3 million and COF I of \$1.9 million, offset by increased realizations from EPF II of \$27.8 million.

Expenses

Compensation and benefits expense decreased by \$42.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The change was primarily due to a decrease in profit sharing expense of \$22.7 million as a result of a corresponding decrease in carried interest income and a decrease in equity-based compensation of \$22.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the same period in 2014. Equity-based compensation was higher during the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2015 as a result of non-cash expense of \$23.2 million in connection with the departure of an executive officer during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Other expenses decreased by \$2.7 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The change was primarily driven by a decrease in professional fees of \$1.8 million primarily attributable to lower consulting fees during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014.

Other Income

Net interest expense increased by \$2.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014 primarily due to additional interest incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as a result of the issuance of the 2024 Senior Notes, as described in note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Net gains from investment activities decreased by \$16.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily related to the non-recurrence of gains on the Company's investment in HFA during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as a result of the sale of the investment in HFA during 2014.

Income from equity method investments decreased by \$15.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was driven by decreases in the fair values of investments held by certain of our credit funds, primarily AINV, ACLF, EPF I and COF III which resulted in decreases in income from equity method investments of \$8.6 million, \$1.6 million, \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

Other income, net decreased by \$13.5 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to the non-recurrence of a \$12.4 million unrealized gain on Athene-related derivative contracts during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as a result of settlement of these derivative contracts during 2014.

Real Estate

The following tables set forth our segment statement of operations information and EI for our real estate segment, further broken out by our "management" and "incentive" businesses, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Management	Incentive	Total	Management	Incentive	Total
	(in thousands)					
Real Estate: ⁽¹⁾						
Revenues:						
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$350	\$—	\$350	\$949	\$—	\$949
Management fees from affiliates	10,664	—	10,664	12,780	—	12,780
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:						
Unrealized losses	—	(26	) (26	—	(344	) (344
Realized gains	—	2,417	2,417	—	—	—
Total Revenues	11,014	2,391	13,405	13,729	(344	) 13,385
Expenses:						
Compensation and benefits:						
Salary, bonus and benefits	8,073	—	8,073	7,518	—	7,518
Equity-based compensation	1,019	—	1,019	5,437	—	5,437
Profit sharing expense	—	1,816	1,816	—	(602	) (602
Total compensation and benefits	9,092	1,816	10,908	12,955	(602	) 12,353
Other expenses	4,629	—	4,629	5,428	—	5,428
Total Expenses	13,721	1,816	15,537	18,383	(602	) 17,781
Other Income:						
Net interest expense	—	(681	) (681	—	(289	) (289
Income from equity method investments	—	226	226	—	747	747
Other income, net	429	—	429	405	—	405
Total Other Income (Loss)	429	(455	) (26	405	458	863
Economic Income (Loss)	\$(2,278	) \$120	\$(2,158	) \$(4,249	) \$716	\$(3,533

⁽¹⁾ Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,				
	2015	2014	Amount Change	Percentage Change	
	(dollars in thousands)				
Real Estate: ⁽¹⁾					
Revenues:					
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$ 350	\$ 949	\$(599)	(63.1)	)%
Management fees from affiliates	10,664	12,780	(2,116)	(16.6)	)
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:					
Unrealized losses	(26)	(344)	318	(92.4)	)
Realized gains	2,417	—	2,417	NM	
Total carried interest income (loss) from affiliates	2,391	(344)	2,735	NM	
Total Revenues	13,405	13,385	20	0.1	
Expenses:					
Compensation and benefits:					
Salary, bonus and benefits	8,073	7,518	555	7.4	
Equity-based compensation	1,019	5,437	(4,418)	(81.3)	)
Profit sharing expense	1,816	(602)	2,418	NM	
Total compensation and benefits	10,908	12,353	(1,445)	(11.7)	)
Other expenses	4,629	5,428	(799)	(14.7)	)
Total Expenses	15,537	17,781	(2,244)	(12.6)	)
Other Income (Loss):					
Net interest expense	(681)	(289)	(392)	135.6	)
Income from equity method investments	226	747	(521)	(69.7)	)
Other income (loss), net	429	405	24	5.9	
Total Other Income (Loss)	(26)	863	(889)	NM	
Economic Loss	\$(2,158)	\$(3,533)	\$1,375	(38.9)	)%

(1) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Revenues

Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net, decreased by \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to decreases in capital raised

and invested and the realization of underlying investments for which transaction fees and termination fees, respectively, were

earned during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Management fees decreased by \$2.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in management fees was primarily due to decreased management fees from CPI Capital Partners North America L.P., CPI Capital Partners Europe L.P., CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific, L.P. (collectively, the "CPI Funds") of \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Carried interest income from affiliates increased by \$2.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to an increase in realized carried interest income of \$2.4 million, driven by increased realizations from the CPI Funds of \$2.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Expenses

Compensation and benefits decreased by \$1.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This change was primarily attributable to a decrease of \$4.4 million in equity-based compensation, partially offset by an increase in profit sharing expense of \$2.4 million as a result of a corresponding increase in carried interest income earned from our real estate funds. Equity-based compensation was higher during the three months ended March 31, 2014 as compared to the same period in 2015 as a result of non-cash expense of \$4.5 million in connection with the departure of an executive officer during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Other expenses decreased by \$0.8 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily attributable to lower consulting fees incurred during the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the same period in 2014.

Summary Combined Segment Results for Management Business and Incentive Business

The following tables combine our reportable segments' statements of operations information and supplemental performance measure, EI, for our management and incentive businesses for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in thousands)	
Management Business ⁽³⁾		
Revenues:		
Advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net	\$9,543	\$116,065
Management fees from affiliates	224,713	223,830
Carried interest income from affiliates	10,774	8,464
Total Revenues	245,030	348,359
Expenses:		
Salary, bonus and benefits	87,552	80,531
Equity-based compensation	15,831	58,137
General, administrative and other ⁽²⁾	22,805	24,361
Professional fees ⁽¹⁾	15,229	19,042
Occupancy	10,026	9,902
Placement fees	1,264	1,786
Depreciation and amortization	2,610	2,609
Total Expenses	155,317	196,368
Other income, net	4,692	5,315
Non-Controlling Interests	(2,846	) (3,256
Economic Income	\$91,559	\$154,050

(1) Excludes professional fees related to the consolidated funds.

(2) Excludes general and administrative expenses and interest income related to the consolidated funds.

(3) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

The financial performance of our incentive business, which is dependent upon quarterly mark to market unrealized valuations in accordance with U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to fair value measurements, includes carried interest income, income from equity method investments, other income, net and profit sharing expenses that are associated with our general partner interests in the Apollo funds, which are less predictable and more volatile in nature.

Table of Contents

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in thousands)	
Incentive Business ⁽³⁾		
Revenues:		
Carried interest income (loss) from affiliates:		
Unrealized losses ⁽¹⁾	\$(66,905	) \$(301,831
Realized gains	124,724	462,626
Total Revenues	57,819	160,795
Expenses:		
Compensation and Benefits:		
Profit sharing expense:		
Unrealized profit sharing expense	(8,757	) (104,650
Realized profit sharing expense	49,589	203,088
Total Profit Sharing Expense	40,832	98,438
Other Income:		
Net interest expense	(6,692	) (1,983
Other income (loss), net	(348	) 14,040
Net gains from investment activities ⁽²⁾	1,761	18,013
Income (loss) from equity method investments	(1,198	) 28,295
Total Other Income (Loss)	(6,477	) 58,365
Economic Income	\$10,510	\$120,722

(1) Included in unrealized carried interest losses from affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was a reversal of previously realized carried interest income due to the general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment of \$4.2 million, \$4.4 million and \$27.0 million, respectively. The fair value gain on investments and income at the fund level needed to reverse the general partner obligations in Fund V, ACLF and certain SIAs within the credit segment was \$28.0 million, \$10.6 million and \$30.7 million, respectively, as of March 31, 2015. The general partner obligation is recognized based upon a hypothetical liquidation of the fund's net assets as of the reporting date. The actual determination and any required payment of any such general partner obligation would not take place until the final disposition of a fund's investments based on the contractual termination of the fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement of the fund.

(2) Excludes investment income and net gains from investment activities related to consolidated funds and the consolidated VIEs.

(3) Reclassified to conform to the current presentation. See note 15 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on the reclassifications within our three segments.

Table of Contents

Summary

Below is the summary of our total reportable segments, including management and incentive businesses, and a reconciliation of EI to Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC reported in our condensed consolidated statements of operations:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in thousands)	
Revenues	\$ 302,849	\$ 509,154
Expenses	196,149	294,806
Other income (loss)	(1,785	) 63,680
Non-Controlling Interests	(2,846	) (3,256
Economic Income	102,069	274,772
Income tax provision	(5,514	) (32,549
Net income attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in Apollo Operating Group	(48,012	) (155,100
Transaction-related charges and equity-based compensation ⁽¹⁾	(17,616	) (14,954
Net Income Attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC	\$ 30,927	\$ 72,169

Transaction-related charges include equity-based compensation charges, the amortization of intangible assets, contingent consideration and certain other charges associated with acquisitions. Equity-based compensation adjustment includes non-cash revenues and expenses related to equity awards granted by unconsolidated affiliates to employees of the Company.

- 110-

Table of Contents

Summary of Distributable Earnings and Economic Income

Distributable Earnings ("DE"), as well as DE After Taxes and Related Payables are derived from our segment reported results, and are supplemental non-GAAP measures to assess performance and amounts available for distribution to Class A shareholders, holders of RSUs that participate in distributions and holders of AOG units. DE represents the amount of net realized earnings without the effects of the consolidation of any of the affiliated funds. DE, which is a component of EI, is the sum across all segments of (i) total management fees and advisory and transaction fees, excluding monitoring fees received from Athene based on its capital and surplus (as defined in Apollo's transaction advisory services agreement with Athene), (ii) other income (loss), excluding the gains (losses) arising from the reversal of a portion of the tax receivable agreement liability (iii) realized carried interest income, and (iv) realized investment income, less (x) compensation expense, excluding the expense related to equity-based awards, (y) realized profit sharing expense, and (z) non-compensation expenses, excluding depreciation and amortization expense. DE After Taxes and Related Payables represents DE less estimated current corporate, local and non-U.S. taxes as well as the payable under Apollo's tax receivable agreement.

The following table is a summary of DE for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in thousands)	
Management Business Economic Income	\$91,559	\$154,050
Less: Non-cash revenues ⁽¹⁾	(2,784)	(59,093)
Add back: Equity-based compensation	15,831	58,137
Add back: Depreciation and amortization	2,610	2,609
Management Business Distributable Earnings	\$107,216	\$155,703
Incentive Business Economic Income	\$10,510	\$120,722
Less: Non-cash carried interest income ⁽²⁾	(29,900)	—
Less: Net unrealized carried interest loss	58,148	197,181
Less: Unrealized investment and other (income) loss ⁽³⁾	45	(35,563)
Incentive Business Distributable Earnings	\$38,803	\$282,340
Distributable Earnings	\$146,019	\$438,043
Taxes and related payables ⁽⁴⁾	(2,110)	(25,900)
Distributable Earnings After Taxes and Related Payables	\$143,909	\$412,143

Includes monitoring fees paid by Athene to Apollo by delivery of common shares of Athene Holding and gains (1)resulting from reductions of the tax receivable agreement liability due to changes in projected income estimates and in estimated tax rates.

(2)Represents realized carried interest income settled by receipt of securities.

(3)Represents unrealized gains from our general partner investments in our funds and other balance sheet investments.

(4)Represents the estimated current corporate, local and Non-U.S. taxes as well as the payable under Apollo's tax receivable agreement.

Table of Contents

The following table is a reconciliation of distributable earnings per share of common and equivalents⁽¹⁾ to net distribution per share of common and equivalents for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2015	2014	
	(in thousands, except per share data)		
Distributable Earnings After Taxes and Related Payables	\$143,909	\$412,143	
Add back: Tax related payables attributable to common and equivalents	60	22,578	
Distributable Earnings before certain payables ⁽²⁾	143,969	434,721	
Percent to common and equivalents	45	% 43	%
Distributable Earnings before other payables attributable to common and equivalents	65,282	186,820	
Less: Tax related payables attributable to common and equivalents	(60	) (22,578	)
Distributable Earnings attributable to common and equivalents	65,222	164,242	
Distributable Earnings per share of common and equivalent ⁽³⁾	\$0.35	\$0.94	
Retained capital per share of common and equivalent ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	(0.02	) (0.10	)
Net distribution per share of common and equivalent ⁽³⁾	\$0.33	\$0.84	

(1) Common and equivalents refers to Class A shares outstanding and RSUs that participate in distributions.

(2) Distributable earnings before certain payables represents distributable earnings before the deduction for the estimated current corporate taxes and the payable under Apollo's tax receivable agreement.

(3) Per share calculations are based on end of period total Class A shares outstanding and RSUs that participate in distributions.

(4) Retained capital is withheld pro-rata from common and equivalent holders and AOG unitholders.

Summary of Fee-Related EBITDA and Fee-Related EBITDA + 100% of Net Realized Carried Interest

Fee-related EBITDA is a non-GAAP performance measure used to understand the performance of our operations and represents management business EI, with amounts for equity-based compensation and depreciation and amortization added to management business EI. Fee-related EBITDA plus realized carried interest less realized profit sharing (referred to as “fee-related EBITDA +100% of net realized carried interest”) is a non-GAAP performance measure that combines operating results of the management business and incentive business. These performance measures are used to compare our current and potential debt service. See note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for more detail on our outstanding debt.

The table below sets forth fee-related EBITDA and fee-related EBITDA + 100% of net realized carried interest for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, and a reconciliation of net income attributable to Apollo Global Management, LLC to EI, fee-related EBITDA and fee-related EBITDA + 100% of net realized carried interest.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Management Business Economic Income	\$91,559	\$154,050
Equity-based compensation ⁽¹⁾	15,831	58,137
Depreciation and amortization ⁽²⁾	2,610	2,609
Fee-Related EBITDA	110,000	214,796
Total realized carried interest	124,724	462,626
Total realized profit sharing expense	(49,589)	(203,088)
Net realized carried interest	75,135	259,538
Fee-Related EBITDA + 100% of Net Realized Carried Interest	185,135	474,334
Net unrealized carried interest (loss) income	(58,148)	(197,181)
Other income (loss)	(6,477)	58,365
Depreciation and amortization ⁽²⁾	(2,610)	(2,609)
Equity-based compensation ⁽¹⁾	(15,831)	(58,137)
Economic Income	\$102,069	\$274,772

(1) Includes RSUs (excluding RSUs granted in connection with the 2007 private placement) and share options.

(1) Excludes equity-based compensation expense comprising amortization of AOG Units.

(2) Includes amortization of leasehold improvements.

Table of Contents

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historical

Although we have managed our historical liquidity needs by looking at deconsolidated cash flows, our historical condensed consolidated statements of cash flows reflects the cash flows of Apollo, as well as those of the consolidated Apollo funds.

The primary cash flow activities of Apollo are:

- Generating cash flow from operations;
- Making investments in Apollo funds;
- Meeting financing needs through credit agreements; and
- Distributing cash flow to equity holders and Non-Controlling Interests.

Primary cash flow activities of the consolidated Apollo funds and VIEs are:

- Raising capital from their investors, which have been reflected historically as Non-Controlling Interests of the consolidated subsidiaries in our financial statements;
- Using capital to make investments;
- Generating cash flow from operations through distributions, interest and the realization of investments;
- Distributing cash flow to investors; and
- Issuing debt to finance investments (CLOs)

While primarily met by cash flows generated through fee income and carried interest income received, working capital needs have also been met (to a limited extent) through borrowings as described in note 9 to the condensed consolidated financial statements.

We determine whether to make capital commitments to our funds in excess of our minimum required amounts based on a variety of factors, including estimates regarding our liquidity resources over the estimated time period during which commitments will have to be funded, estimates regarding the amounts of capital that may be appropriate for other funds that we are in the process of raising or are considering raising, and our general working capital requirements.

Cash Flows

Significant amounts from our condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are summarized and discussed within the table and corresponding commentary below:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(in thousands)	
Operating Activities	\$ (469,026)	) \$ 412,439
Investing Activities	(41,853)	) (24,781)
Financing Activities	235,072	(337,054)
Net Increase (decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ (275,807)	) \$ 50,604

Operating Activities

Net cash used in operating activities of \$469.0 million during the three months ended March 31, 2015 primarily driven by the following:

Table of Contents

\$198.6 million in net income, to which \$20.1 million of equity-based compensation and \$17.3 million of deferred taxes, net were added to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Additional adjustments to reconcile cash used in operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2015 included the following:

- \$21.1 million increase in deferred revenue;
- \$25.7 million increase in profit sharing payable;
- Apollo Funds and VIEs activity:
 - \$1,571.7 million in proceeds from sales of investments and liquidating distributions;
 - a \$389.5 million decrease in cash;
 - \$68.2 million in net unrealized losses on debt; and
 - a \$44.4 million increase in other liabilities.

These cash adjustments were offset by:

- \$12.7 million increase in due from affiliates;
- \$13.4 million increase in other assets;
- \$22.1 million decrease in due to affiliates;
- Apollo Funds and VIEs activity:
 - \$2,198.9 million of purchases of investments;
 - \$156.6 million in net unrealized gains from investments; and
 - a \$407.2 million increase in other assets.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$412.4 million during the three months ended March 31, 2014 primarily driven by the following:

\$459.6 million in net income, to which \$59.0 million of equity-based compensation and \$23.3 million of cash distributions of earnings from equity method investments were added to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Additional adjustments to reconcile cash provided by operating activities during the three months ended March 31, 2014 included the following:

- \$363.4 million decrease in carried interest receivable;
- \$57.6 million increase in deferred revenue;
- \$34.3 million increase in accounts payable and accrued expenses;
- Apollo Funds and VIEs activity:
 - \$2,580.3 million in proceeds from sales of investments and liquidating distributions;
 - a \$41.2 million decrease in cash; and
 - a \$344.4 million increase in other liabilities.

These cash adjustments were offset by:

- an \$89.1 million decrease in profit sharing payable;
- \$55.7 million increase in due from affiliates;
- \$22.9 million of income from equity method investments;
- Apollo Funds and VIEs activity:
 - \$2,717.3 million of purchases of investments;
 - a \$370.8 million increase in other assets; and
 - \$204.4 million in net unrealized gains from investments.

Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities was \$41.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, which was primarily driven by the following:

- \$36.4 million of cash contributions to equity method investments primarily related to Fund VIII, MidCap, COF III, AION and AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.; and

- \$2.8 million of purchases of fixed assets.

These cash adjustments were primarily offset by:

- \$7.3 million of cash distributions received from equity method investments primarily related to COF III, EPF I, Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P., AESI and EPF II.

- 115-

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities was \$24.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, which was primarily driven by the following:

- \$34.9 million of cash contributions to equity method investments, primarily related to Fund VII, Fund VIII, COF III, EPF II, AESI and AION; and
- \$1.5 million of purchases of fixed assets.

These cash adjustments were primarily offset by:

- \$11.7 million of cash distributions received from equity method investments, primarily related to Fund VII, Fund VIII, AESI, COF II, COF III, Vantium C, EPF I and EPF II.

Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities was \$235.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, primarily driven by the following:

- Apollo Funds and VIEs activity:
- \$909.9 million related to issuance of debt; and
- \$159.8 million in contributions from Non-Controlling Interests.

These cash adjustments were partially offset by:

- \$191.3 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group;
- \$144.4 million in distributions;
- Apollo Funds and VIEs activity:
- \$331.6 million in principal repayment of debt;
- \$111.6 million in distributions paid; and
- \$50.5 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$337.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily driven by the following:

- \$247.3 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests in the Apollo Operating Group;
- \$167.2 million in distributions paid;
- \$14.6 million in satisfaction of contingent obligations;
- Apollo Funds and VIEs activity:
- \$918.7 million in principal repayment of debt;
- \$90.8 million in distributions paid; and
- \$12.5 million of distributions paid to Non-Controlling Interests.

These cash adjustments were partially offset by:

- Apollo funds and VIEs activity:
- \$1,024.0 million related to issuance of debt; and
- \$96.2 million in contributions from Non-Controlling Interests.

Distributions

In addition to other distributions such as payments pursuant to the tax receivable agreement, see note 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for information regarding the quarterly distributions which were made at the sole discretion of the Company's manager during 2014 and 2015.

Future Cash Flows

Our ability to execute our business strategy, particularly our ability to increase our AUM, depends on our ability to establish new funds and to raise additional investor capital within such funds. Our liquidity will depend on a number of factors, such as our ability to project our financial performance, which is highly dependent on our funds and our ability to manage our projected costs, fund performance, our access to credit facilities, our being in compliance with

existing credit agreements, as well as industry and market trends. Also during economic downturns the funds we manage might experience cash flow issues or liquidate entirely. In these situations we might be asked to reduce or eliminate the management fee and incentive fees we charge, which could adversely impact our cash flow in the future.

- 116-

Table of Contents

An increase in the fair value of our funds' investments, by contrast, could favorably impact our liquidity through higher management fees where the management fees are calculated based on the net asset value, gross assets and adjusted assets. Additionally, higher carried interest income not yet realized would generally result when investments appreciate over their cost basis which would not have an impact on the Company's cash flow.

As of March 31, 2015, Fund VI's remaining investments were valued at 104% of their remaining cost, which was below a specified return ratio of 115%. As a result, Fund VI is required to place in escrow all current and future carried interest income distributions to the general partner until the specified return ratio of 115% is met (at the time of a future distribution) or upon liquidation of Fund VI.

On April 20, 2010, the Company announced that it entered into a strategic relationship agreement with CalPERS. The strategic relationship agreement provides that Apollo will reduce fees charged to CalPERS on funds it manages, or in the future will manage, solely for CalPERS by \$125 million over a five-year period or as close a period as required to provide CalPERS with that benefit. The agreement further provides that Apollo will not use a placement agent in connection with securing any future capital commitments from CalPERS. As of March 31, 2015, the Company had reduced fees charged to CalPERS on the funds it manages by approximately \$97.2 million. Based on the Company's current estimates, the reduction of fees will extend until 2017 in order for CalPERS to receive the full benefit of this arrangement.

The Company granted approximately 22 thousand RSUs during the three months ended March 31, 2015. The average estimated fair value per share on the grant date was \$16.75 per RSU with a total fair value of the grants of \$0.4 million at March 31, 2015. This will impact the Company's compensation expense as these grants are amortized over their vesting terms of three to six years. The Company expects to incur annual compensation expenses on all grants, net of forfeitures, of approximately \$44.8 million, \$48.9 million, \$30.3 million, \$15.8 million, \$13.1 million and \$3.5 million during the years ended December 31, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020 and thereafter, respectively.

Although we expect to pay distributions according to our distribution policy, we may not pay distributions according to our policy, or at all, if, among other things, we do not have the cash necessary to pay the intended distributions. To the extent we do not have cash on hand sufficient to pay distributions, we may have to borrow funds to pay distributions, or we may determine not to pay distributions. The declaration, payment and determination of the amount of our quarterly distributions are at the sole discretion of our manager.

Carried interest income from our funds can be distributed to us on a current basis, but is subject to repayment by the subsidiaries of the Apollo Operating Group that act as general partner of such funds in the event that certain specified return thresholds are not ultimately achieved. The Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain other investment professionals have personally guaranteed, to the extent of their ownership interest, subject to certain limitations, the obligations of these subsidiaries in respect of this general partner obligation. Such guarantees are several and not joint and are limited to a particular Managing Partner's or Contributing Partner's distributions. Pursuant to the shareholders agreement dated July 13, 2007 (the "Shareholders Agreement"), we agreed to indemnify each of our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners against all amounts that they pay pursuant to any of these personal guarantees in favor of Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI (including costs and expenses related to investigating the basis for or objecting to any claims made in respect of the guarantees) for all interests that our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners have contributed or sold to the Apollo Operating Group.

Accordingly, in the event that our Managing Partners, Contributing Partners and certain investment professionals are required to pay amounts in connection with a general partner obligation to return previously distributed carried interest income with respect to Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI, we will be obligated to reimburse our Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners for the indemnifiable percentage of amounts that they are required to pay even though we did not receive the distribution to which that general partner obligation related.

On April 10, 2015, the Company issued 531,420 Class A shares in settlement of vested RSUs. This issuance caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 43.0% to 43.1%.

On May 7, 2015 the Company declared a cash distribution of \$0.33 per Class A share, which will be paid on May 29, 2015 to holders of record on May 20, 2015.

On May 8, 2015, the Company issued 1,735,501 Class A shares in exchange for AOG Units. This issuance caused the Company's ownership interest in the Apollo Operating Group to increase from 43.1% to 43.6%.

As of March 31, 2015, Apollo had an unfunded loan commitment of \$15.0 million related to commitments by certain employees to purchase common shares of Athene Holding that were subsequently funded on April 2, 2015. The outstanding principal amounts of the loans as well as all accrued and unpaid interest are required to be repaid at the earlier of the eighth anniversary of the date of the loans or the date of the employees' resignation from the Company.

- 117-

Table of Contents

Athene

Athene Holding is the ultimate parent of various insurance company operating subsidiaries. Through its subsidiaries, Athene Holding provides insurance products focused primarily on the retirement market and its business centers primarily on issuing or reinsuring fixed indexed annuities.

Apollo, through its consolidated subsidiary, Athene Asset Management, provides asset management services to Athene, including asset allocation and portfolio management strategies, and receives fees from Athene for providing such services. As of March 31, 2015, all of Athene's assets were managed by Athene Asset Management. Athene Asset Management had \$60.8 billion of total AUM as of March 31, 2015 in accounts owned by or related to Athene (the "Athene Accounts"), of which approximately \$13.5 billion, or approximately 22.2%, was either sub-advised by Apollo or invested in Apollo funds and investment vehicles. The vast majority of such assets are in sub-advisory managed accounts that manage high grade credit asset classes, such as CLO debt, commercial mortgage backed securities and insurance-linked securities. We expect this percentage to increase over time provided that Athene Asset Management continues to perform successfully in providing asset management services to Athene.

Pursuant to the Amended AAA Services Agreement, in the event that AAA (1) makes a tender offer to all of its qualified unitholders in which AAA offers to purchase all of their equity interests in AAA, pay the consideration for such purchase with equivalent equity interests in a new vehicle, of which Apollo will serve as general partner, and transfer to such new investment vehicle a pro rata portion of the common shares of Athene Holding held by AAA Investments, unburdened by the unwind fee, and (2) thereafter distributes all or any portion of the common shares of Athene Holding held by AAA (or disposes of such shares and distributes the proceeds thereof) to its unitholders, then AAA shall pay Apollo an unwind fee. The unwind fee is payable in pro rata increments to Apollo only when, as and if AAA distributes common shares of Athene Holding (or the proceeds thereof) to its unitholders and shall be equal to \$20 million multiplied by the percentage of "net common shares" of Athene Holding held by AAA which are so distributed (or disposed of with the proceeds distributed) by AAA in 2015.

In connection with the Athene Private Placement, Athene Holding amended its registration rights agreement to provide (i) investors who are party to such agreement, including AAA Investments, the potential opportunity for liquidity on their shares of Athene Holding through sales in registered public offerings over a 15 month period beginning on the date of Athene Holding's initial public offering (the "Athene IPO") and (ii) Athene Holding the right to cause certain investors who are party to the registration rights agreement to include in such offerings a certain percentage of their common shares of Athene Holding subject to the terms and conditions set forth in the agreement. However, pursuant to the registration rights agreement, any shares of Athene Holding held by Apollo will not be subject to such arrangements and instead will be subject to a lock-up period of two years following the effective date of the registration statement relating to the Athene IPO, but Athene Holding will not have the right to cause any shares owned by Apollo to be included in the Athene IPO or any follow-on offering.

As part of its ongoing financial integration of Aviva USA, Athene identified material weaknesses in its internal controls over financial reporting for its U.S. GAAP and statutory financials as of December 31, 2013. A material weakness is a control deficiency, or combination of control deficiencies, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. If Athene fails to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting, it may not be able to accurately report its financial results. In October 2014, Athene informed its shareholders, including the Company, that as part of its ongoing financial integration of Aviva USA and transition towards public company standards for financial controls, it anticipates that delivery of its GAAP financial statements for the quarters ended June 30, 2014 and September 30, 2014 will continue to be delayed. This delay will also cause Athene's year end 2014 and first quarter 2015 GAAP financial statements to be delayed. As such, the Audit Committee of Athene has approved the extension of the delivery of these GAAP financial statements to June 30, 2015. On February 4, 2015, Athene informed its shareholders, including the Company, that Athene's first quarter 2014 GAAP financial statements can no longer be relied upon and therefore these financial statements were removed from the AAA website. Specifically, Athene discovered the need to change its calculations for reserve balances associated with its indexed products. As a result of this determination, Athene began a methodical process of restating their first quarter 2014 GAAP financial statements, and on May 5, 2015 Athene informed its shareholders, including us, that as part of the actuarial remediation process

Athene has recalculated their actuarial balances for the year ended December 31, 2013 and the quarter ended March 31, 2014 which has allowed them to produce GAAP financial statements for these periods. The cumulative impact of the adjustments to the Athene actuarial balances resulted in a \$152 million increase in Athene's GAAP shareholders equity as of March 31, 2014. Athene has now informed the Company that they expect to release full year GAAP audited financial statements in September of this year and to be completely caught up with 2015 GAAP quarterly reporting by year end 2015. The aforementioned delay in delivery of Athene's 2014 GAAP financial statements is not expected to have an impact on the Company's previously issued financial statements. Athene has continued to meet all regulatory filing deadlines with regard to financial statements prepared in accordance with Statutory Accounting principles and expects to do so for the quarter ended March 31, 2015. As of March 31, 2015 the Company determined the value of its investment in Athene using an embedded value methodology. In doing so, the Company has given appropriate consideration to the control deficiencies and potential adjustments related to Athene and any potential impacts to the Company's financial statements. As the embedded

- 118-

Table of Contents

value methodology is based on the projected future cash flows of the business rather than GAAP financials, the delay in the delivery of Athene's GAAP financial statement will not have an impact on the Company's ability to prepare its financial statements. Based on the facts and circumstances as of the date of this report, the Company is not aware of any revisions to the financial statements as presented, or previously issued financial statements, and there is no impact to our ability to produce future financial statements.

See notes 3 and 12 to the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion regarding Athene.

Distributions to Managing Partners and Contributing Partners

The three Managing Partners who became employees of Apollo on July 13, 2007 are each entitled to a \$100,000 base salary. Additionally, our Managing Partners can receive other forms of compensation. Any additional consideration will be paid to them in their proportional ownership interest in Holdings. Additionally, 85% of any tax savings APO Corp. recognizes as a result of the tax receivable agreement will be paid to the Managing Partners.

Subsequent to the 2007 Reorganization, the Contributing Partners retained ownership interests in subsidiaries of the Apollo Operating Group. Therefore, any distributions that flow up to management or general partner entities in which the Contributing Partners retained ownership interests are shared pro rata with the Contributing Partners who have a direct interest in such entities prior to flowing up to the Apollo Operating Group. These distributions are considered compensation expense after the 2007 Reorganization.

The Contributing Partners are entitled to receive the following:

- Profit Sharing related to private equity carried interest income, from direct ownership of advisory entities. Any changes in fair value of the underlying fund investments would result in changes to Apollo Global Management, LLC's profit sharing payable;

- Additional consideration based on their proportional ownership interest in Holdings; and

- 85% of any tax savings APO Corp. recognizes as a result of the tax receivable agreement will be paid to the Contributing Partners.

Potential Future Costs

We may make grants of RSUs or other equity-based awards to employees and independent directors that we appoint in the future.

Critical Accounting Policies

This Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon the condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires the use of estimates and assumptions that could affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses. Actual results could differ from these estimates. A summary of our significant accounting policies is presented in note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. The following is a summary of our accounting policies that are affected most by judgments, estimates and assumptions.

Consolidation

The types of entities with which Apollo is involved generally include subsidiaries (i.e., general partners and management companies related to the funds we manage), entities that have all the attributes of an investment company (e.g., funds) and securitization vehicles (e.g., collateralized loan obligations). Each of these entities is assessed for consolidation on a case by case basis depending on the specific facts and circumstances surrounding that entity. Pursuant to our consolidation policy, we first consider the appropriate consolidation guidance to apply including consideration of whether the entity qualifies for certain scope exceptions and whether the entity should be evaluated under either the previous rules on consolidation of VIEs or the amended consolidation rules depending on whether or not the entity qualifies for the deferral as further described below. We then perform an assessment to determine whether that entity qualifies as a VIE. An entity in which Apollo holds a variable interest is a VIE if any one of the following conditions exist: (a) the total equity investment at risk is not sufficient to permit the legal entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (b) the holders of equity investment at risk (as a group) lack either the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions about a legal entity's activities that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity or the obligation to absorb the expected losses

or right to receive the expected residual returns, or (c) the voting rights of some

- 119-

Table of Contents

investors are disproportionate to their obligation to absorb the expected losses of the legal entity, their rights to receive the expected residual returns of the legal entity, or both and substantially all of the legal entity's activities either involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor with disproportionately few voting rights. Entities that do not qualify as VIEs are generally assessed for consolidation as voting interest entities ("VOEs") under the voting interest model. Under the voting interest model, Apollo consolidates those entities it controls through a majority voting interest or through other means, including those VOEs in which the general partner is presumed to have control. Apollo does not consolidate those VOEs in which the presumption of control by the general partner has been overcome through either the granting of substantive rights to the unaffiliated investors to either dissolve the fund or remove the general partner ("kick-out rights") or the granting of substantive participating rights.

As previously indicated, the consolidation assessment, including the determination as to whether an entity qualifies as a VIE depends on the facts and circumstances surrounding each entity and therefore certain of our funds may qualify as VIEs whereas others may qualify as VOEs. The granting of substantive kick-out rights is a key consideration in determining whether an entity is a VIE and whether or not that entity should be consolidated. For example, when the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk of a fund with sufficient equity to permit the fund to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support are not granted substantive kick-out rights and the Company is not part of the group of holders of equity investment at risk, the fund is generally determined to be a VIE, as the holders of equity investment at risk as a group lack the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity. Alternatively, when the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk are granted substantive kick-out rights, the fund is generally determined to be a VOE. However, in certain cases where the Company holds a substantive equity investment at risk in the fund, the fund may be determined to be a VOE even though substantive kick-out rights were not granted to the unaffiliated holders of equity investment at risk. In these cases, the Company is part of the group of holders of equity investment at risk and therefore the holders of equity investment at risk as a group do not lack the direct or indirect ability through voting rights or similar rights to make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the legal entity.

If the entity is determined to be a VIE under the conditions above, we then assess whether the entity should be consolidated by applying either the previous consolidation rules or the amended consolidation rules depending on whether the entity qualifies for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules as further described below.

VIEs that qualify for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules because certain conditions are met, including if the entities have all the attributes of an investment company and are not securitization or asset-backed financing entities, will continue to apply the previous consolidation rules. VIEs that are securitization or asset-backed financing entities will apply the amended consolidation rules. Under both sets of rules, VIEs for which Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary are consolidated.

With respect to VIEs such as our funds that qualify for the deferral of the amended consolidation rules and therefore apply the previous consolidation rules, Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary if its involvement, through holding interests directly or indirectly in the VIE or contractually through other variable interests (e.g., carried interest and management fees), would be expected to absorb a majority of the VIE's expected losses, receive a majority of the VIE's expected residual returns, or both. In cases where two or more Apollo related parties hold a variable interest in a VIE, and the aggregate variable interest held by those parties would, if held by a single party, identify that party as the primary beneficiary, then the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary to the extent it is the party within the related party group that is most closely associated with the VIE.

For VIEs such as our CLOs that apply the amended consolidation rules, Apollo is determined to be the primary beneficiary if it holds a controlling financial interest defined as possessing both (a) the power to direct the activities of a VIE that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and (b) the obligation to absorb losses of the VIE or the right to receive benefits from the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. CLOs are generally determined to be VIEs if they are formed solely to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt and therefore do not have sufficient total equity investment at risk to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. With respect to such CLOs, we generally possess a controlling financial interest in, and therefore consolidate, such CLOs in accordance with the amended consolidation rules when our role as collateral

manager provides us with the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the CLO's economic performance and we have the right to receive certain benefits from the CLO (e.g., incentive fees) that could potentially be significant to the CLO.

Under the previous and the amended consolidation rules, Apollo determines whether it is the primary beneficiary of a VIE at the time it becomes initially involved with the VIE and reconsiders that conclusion continuously. Investments and redemptions (either by Apollo, affiliates of Apollo or third parties) or amendments to the governing documents of the respective entity may affect an entity's status as a VIE or the determination of the primary beneficiary.

- 120-

Table of Contents

The assessment of whether an entity is a VIE and the determination of whether Apollo should consolidate such VIE requires judgments. Under both sets of rules, those judgments include, but are not limited to: (i) determining whether the total equity investment at risk is sufficient to permit the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support, (ii) evaluating whether the holders of equity investment at risk, as a group, can make decisions that have a significant effect on the success of the entity, (iii) determining whether two or more parties' equity interests should be aggregated, (iv) determining whether the equity investors have proportionate voting rights to their obligations to absorb losses or rights to receive the expected residual returns of an entity, and (v) evaluating the nature of the relationship and activities of the parties involved in determining which party within a related-party group is most closely associated with the VIE. Where the VIEs have qualified for the deferral, judgments are also made in estimating cash flows to evaluate which member within the equity group absorbs a majority of the expected losses or residual returns of the VIE. Where the VIEs have not qualified for the deferral, judgments are also made in determining whether a member in the equity group has a controlling financial interest including power to direct activities that most significantly impact the VIE's economic performance and rights to receive benefits or obligations to absorb losses that could be potentially significant to the VIE.

Certain of the consolidated VIEs were formed to issue collateralized notes in the legal form of debt backed by financial assets. The difference between the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs is presented within appropriated partners' capital in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as these VIEs are funded solely with debt. Changes in the fair value of the assets and liabilities of these VIEs and the related interest and other income is presented within net gains from investment activities of consolidated variable interest entities and net (income) loss attributable to Non-Controlling Interests in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Such amounts are recorded within appropriated partners' capital as, in each case, the VIE's note holders, not Apollo, will ultimately receive the benefits or absorb the losses associated with the VIE's assets and liabilities.

Assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs are shown in separate sections within the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

Revenue Recognition

Carried Interest Income from Affiliates. We earn carried interest income from our funds as a result of such funds achieving specified performance criteria. Such carried interest income generally is earned based upon a fixed percentage of realized and unrealized gains of various funds after meeting any applicable hurdle rate or threshold minimum. Carried interest income from certain of the funds that we manage is subject to contingent repayment and is generally paid to us as particular investments made by the funds are realized. If, however, upon liquidation of a fund, the aggregate amount paid to us as carried interest exceeds the amount actually due to us based upon the aggregate performance of the fund, the excess (in certain cases net of taxes) is required to be returned by us to that fund. For a majority of our credit funds, once the annual carried interest income has been determined, there generally is no look-back to prior periods for a potential contingent repayment, however, carried interest income on certain other credit funds can be subject to contingent repayment at the end of the life of the fund. We have elected to adopt Method 2 from U.S. GAAP guidance applicable to accounting for management fees based on a formula, and under this method, we accrue carried interest income quarterly based on fair value of the underlying investments and separately assess if contingent repayment is necessary. The determination of carried interest income and contingent repayment considers both the terms of the respective partnership agreements and the current fair value of the underlying investments within the funds. Estimates and assumptions are made when determining the fair value of the underlying investments within the funds and could vary depending on the valuation methodology that is used. See "Investments, at Fair Value" below for further discussion related to significant estimates and assumptions used for determining fair value of the underlying investments in our private equity, credit and real estate funds.

Management Fees from Affiliates. The management fees related to our private equity funds are generally based on a fixed percentage of the committed capital or invested capital. The corresponding fee calculations that consider committed capital or invested capital are both objective in nature and therefore do not require the use of significant estimates or assumptions. Management fees related to our credit funds, by contrast, can be based on net asset value, gross assets, adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments, capital commitments, adjusted assets, capital contributions, or stockholders' equity all as defined in the respective partnership agreements. The credit management

fee calculations that consider net asset value, gross assets, adjusted cost of all unrealized portfolio investments and adjusted assets, are normally based on the terms of the respective partnership agreements and the current fair value of the underlying investments within the funds. Estimates and assumptions are made when determining the fair value of the underlying investments within the funds and could vary depending on the valuation methodology that is used. The management fees related to our real estate funds are generally based on a specific percentage of the funds' stockholders' equity or committed or net invested capital or the capital accounts of the limited partners. See "Investments, at Fair Value" below for further discussion related to significant estimates and assumptions used for determining fair value of the underlying investments in our private equity, credit and real estate funds.

- 121-

Table of Contents

Investments, at Fair Value

The Company follows U.S. GAAP attributable to fair value measurements, which among other things, requires enhanced disclosures about investments that are measured and reported at fair value. Investments at fair value represent investments of the consolidated funds, investments of the consolidated VIEs and certain financial instruments for which the fair value option was elected. The unrealized gains and losses resulting from changes in the fair value are reflected as net gains (losses) from investment activities and net gains (losses) from investment activities of the consolidated variable interest entities, respectively, in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. In accordance with U.S. GAAP, investments measured and reported at fair value are classified and disclosed in one of the following categories:

Level I—Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical investments as of the reporting date. The type of investments included in Level I include listed equities and listed derivatives. As required by U.S. GAAP, the Company does not adjust the quoted price for these investments, even in situations where the Company holds a large position and the sale of such position would likely deviate from the quoted price.

Level II—Pricing inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reporting date, and fair value is determined through the use of models or other valuation methodologies. Investments that are generally included in this category include corporate bonds and loans, less liquid and restricted equity securities and certain over-the-counter derivatives where the fair value is based on observable inputs. These investments exhibit higher levels of liquid market observability as compared to Level III investments. The Company subjects broker quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II investment. These criteria include, but are not limited to, the number and quality of broker quotes, the standard deviation of obtained broker quotes, and the percentage deviation from independent pricing services.

Level III—Pricing inputs are unobservable for the investment and includes situations where there is little observable market activity for the investment. The inputs into the determination of fair value may require significant management judgment or estimation. Investments that are included in this category generally include general and limited partner interests in corporate private equity and real estate funds, opportunistic credit funds, distressed debt and non-investment grade residual interests in securitizations and CDOs and CLOs where the fair value is based on observable inputs as well as unobservable inputs. When a security is valued based on broker quotes, the Company subjects those quotes to various criteria in making the determination as to whether a particular investment would qualify for treatment as a Level II or Level III investment. Some of the factors we consider include the number of broker quotes we obtain, the quality of the broker quotes, the standard deviations of the observed broker quotes and the corroboration of the broker quotes to independent pricing services.

In certain cases, the inputs used to measure fair value may fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. In such cases, an investment's level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment, and considers factors specific to the investment where the fair value is based on unobservable inputs.

In cases where an investment or financial instrument measured and reported at fair value is transferred between levels of the fair value hierarchy, the Company accounts for the transfer as of the end of the reporting period.

On a quarterly basis, Apollo utilizes valuation committees consisting of members from senior management, to review and approve the valuation results related to the investments of the funds it manages. For certain publicly traded vehicles, a review is performed by an independent board of directors. The Company also retains independent valuation firms to provide third-party valuation consulting services to Apollo, which consist of certain limited procedures that management identifies and requests them to perform. The limited procedures provided by the independent valuation firms assist management with validating their valuation results or determining fair value. The Company performs various back-testing procedures to validate their valuation approaches, including comparisons between expected and observed outcomes, forecast evaluations and variance analyses. However, because of the inherent uncertainty of valuation, those estimated values may differ significantly from the values that would have been used had a ready market for the investments existed, and the differences could be material.

Equity Method Investments. For investments in entities over which the Company exercises significant influence but which do not meet the requirements for consolidation, the Company uses the equity method of accounting, whereby the Company records its share of the underlying income or loss of such entities. Income (loss) from equity method investments is recognized as part of other income (loss) in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and income (loss) on available-for-sale securities (from equity method investments) is recognized as part of other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss). The carrying amounts of equity method investments are reflected in investments in the condensed consolidated statements of financial condition. As the underlying entities that the Company manages

- 122-

Table of Contents

and invests in are, for U.S. GAAP purposes, primarily investment companies which reflect their investments at estimated fair value, the carrying value of the Company's equity method investments in such entities approximates fair value.

Private Equity Investments. The majority of the illiquid investments within our private equity funds are valued using the market approach, which provides an indication of fair value based on a comparison of the subject company to comparable publicly traded companies and transactions in the industry.

Market Approach. The market approach is driven by current market conditions, including actual trading levels of similar companies and, to the extent available, actual transaction data of similar companies. Judgment is required by management when assessing which companies are similar to the subject company being valued. Consideration may also be given to any of the following factors: (1) the subject company's historical and projected financial data; (2) valuations given to comparable companies; (3) the size and scope of the subject company's operations; (4) the subject company's individual strengths and weaknesses; (5) expectations relating to the market's receptivity to an offering of the subject company's securities; (6) applicable restrictions on transfer; (7) industry and market information; (8) general economic and market conditions; and (9) other factors deemed relevant. Market approach valuation models typically employ a multiple that is based on one or more of the factors described above. Sources for gaining additional knowledge related to comparable companies include public filings, annual reports, analyst research reports, and press releases. Once a comparable company set is determined, we review certain aspects of the subject company's performance and determine how its performance compares to the group and to certain individuals in the group. We compare certain measurements such as EBITDA margins, revenue growth over certain time periods, leverage ratios, and growth opportunities. In addition, we compare our entry multiple and its relation to the comparable set at the time of acquisition to understand its relation to the comparable set on each measurement date.

Income Approach. For investments where the market approach does not provide adequate fair value information, we rely on the income approach. The income approach is also used to value investments or validate the market approach within our private equity funds. The income approach provides an indication of fair value based on the present value of cash flows that a business or security is expected to generate in the future. The most widely used methodology for the income approach is a discounted cash flow method. Inherent in the discounted cash flow method are significant assumptions related to the subject company's expected results and a calculated discount rate, which is normally based on the subject company's weighted average cost of capital, or "WACC." The WACC represents the required rate of return on total capitalization, which is comprised of a required rate of return on equity, plus the current tax-effected rate of return on debt, weighted by the relative percentages of equity and debt that are typical in the industry. The most critical step in determining the appropriate WACC for each subject company is to select companies that are comparable in nature to the subject company and the credit quality of the subject company. Sources for gaining additional knowledge about the comparable companies include public filings, annual reports, analyst research reports, and press releases. The general formula then used for calculating the WACC considers the after-tax rate of return on debt capital and the rate of return on common equity capital, which further considers the risk-free rate of return, market beta, market risk premium and small stock premium, if applicable. The variables used in the WACC formula are inferred from the comparable market data obtained. The Company evaluates the comparable companies selected and concludes on WACC inputs based on the most comparable company or analyzes the range of data for the investment.

The value of liquid investments, where the primary market is an exchange (whether foreign or domestic) is determined using period end market prices. Such prices are generally based on the close price on the date of determination.

Credit Investments. The majority of investments in Apollo's credit funds are valued based on quoted market prices and valuation models. Debt and equity securities that are not publicly traded or whose market prices are not readily available are valued at fair value utilizing recognized pricing services, market participants or other sources. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value. The credit funds also enter into foreign currency exchange contracts, total return swap contracts, credit default swap contracts, and other derivative contracts, which may include options, caps, collars and floors. Foreign currency exchange contracts are marked-to-market by recognizing the difference between the contract exchange rate and the current market rate as

unrealized appreciation or depreciation. If securities are held at the end of this period, the changes in value are recorded in income as unrealized. Realized gains or losses are recognized when contracts are settled. Total return swap and credit default swap contracts are recorded at fair value as an asset or liability with changes in fair value recorded as unrealized appreciation or depreciation. Realized gains or losses are recognized at the termination of the contract based on the difference between the close-out price of the total return or credit default swap contract and the original contract price.

Forward contracts are valued based on market rates obtained from counterparties or prices obtained from recognized financial data service providers. When determining fair value pricing when no observable market value exists, the value attributed to an investment is based on the enterprise value at the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Valuation approaches used to estimate the fair

- 123-

Table of Contents

value of illiquid credit investments also may include the market approach and the income approach, as previously described above. The valuation approaches used consider, as applicable, market risks, credit risks, counterparty risks and foreign currency risks.

Real Estate Investments. For the CMBS portfolio of Apollo's funds, the estimated fair value of the CMBS portfolio is determined by reference to market prices provided by certain dealers who make a market in these financial instruments. Broker quotes are only indicative of fair value and may not necessarily represent what the funds would receive in an actual trade for the applicable instrument. Additionally, the loans held-for-investment are stated at the principal amount outstanding, net of deferred loan fees and costs. The Company evaluates its loans for possible impairment on a quarterly basis. For Apollo's opportunistic and value added real estate funds, valuations of non-marketable underlying investments are determined using methods that include, but are not limited to (i) discounted cash flow estimates or comparable analysis prepared internally, (ii) third party appraisals or valuations by qualified real estate appraisers, and (iii) contractual sales value of investments/properties subject to bona fide purchase contracts. Methods (i) and (ii) also incorporate consideration of the use of the income, cost, or sales comparison approaches of estimating property values.

The fair values of the investments in our private equity, credit and real estate funds can be impacted by changes to the assumptions used in the underlying valuation models. For further discussion on the impact of changes to valuation assumptions see "Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk—Sensitivity" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015. There have been no material changes to the underlying valuation models during the periods that our financial results are presented.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

U.S. GAAP guidance requires the disclosure of the estimated fair value of financial instruments. The fair value of a financial instrument is the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

Except for the Company's debt obligations related to the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities and 2024 Senior Notes (each as defined in note 9 to our condensed consolidated financial statements), Apollo's financial instruments are recorded at fair value or at amounts whose carrying values approximate fair value. See "Investments, at Fair Value" above. While Apollo's valuations of portfolio investments are based on assumptions that Apollo believes are reasonable under the circumstances, the actual realized gains or losses will depend on, among other factors, future operating results, the value of the assets and market conditions at the time of disposition, any related transaction costs and the timing and manner of sale, all of which may ultimately differ significantly from the assumptions on which the valuations were based. Financial instruments' carrying values generally approximate fair value because of the short-term nature of those instruments or variable interest rates related to the borrowings.

Valuation of Financial Instruments Held by Consolidated VIEs

The consolidated VIEs hold investments that are traded over-the-counter. Investments in securities that are traded on a securities exchange or comparable over-the-counter quotation systems are valued based on the last reported sale price at that date. If no sales of such investments are reported on such date, and in the case of over-the-counter securities or other investments for which the last sale date is not available, valuations are based on independent market quotations obtained from market participants, recognized pricing services or other sources deemed relevant, and the prices are based on the average of the "bid" and "ask" prices, or at ascertainable prices at the close of business on such day. Market quotations are generally based on valuation pricing models or market transactions for similar securities adjusted for security-specific factors such as relative capital structure priority and interest and yield risks, among other factors. When market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used to determine fair value.

The consolidated VIEs also have debt obligations that are recorded at fair value. The primary valuation methodology used to determine fair value for debt obligation is market quotation. Prices are based on the average of the "bid" and "ask" prices. In the event that market quotations are not available, a model based approach is used. The valuation approach used to estimate the fair values of debt obligations for which market quotations are not available is the discounted cash flow method, which includes consideration of the cash flows of the debt obligation based on projected quarterly interest payments and quarterly amortization. Debt obligations are discounted based on the appropriate yield curve

given the loan's respective maturity and credit rating. Management uses its discretion and judgment in considering and appraising relevant factors for determining the valuations of its debt obligations.

Fair Value Option. Apollo elected the fair value option for the Company's investment in Athene Holding and for the assets and liabilities of the consolidated VIEs. Such election is irrevocable and is applied to financial instruments on an individual basis at initial recognition. Apollo applied the fair value option for certain corporate loans, other investments and debt obligations held by these entities that otherwise would not have been carried at fair value. See notes 3, 4 and 5 to our condensed consolidated

- 124-

Table of Contents

financial statements for further disclosure on the investments in Athene Holding and financial instruments of the consolidated VIEs for which the fair value option has been elected.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets—Goodwill and indefinite-life intangible assets must be reviewed annually for impairment or more frequently if circumstances indicate impairment may have occurred. Identifiable finite-life intangible assets, by contrast, are amortized over their estimated useful lives, which are periodically re-evaluated for impairment or when circumstances indicate an impairment may have occurred. Apollo amortizes its identifiable finite-life intangible assets using a method of amortization reflecting the pattern in which the economic benefits of the finite-life intangible asset are consumed or otherwise used up. If that pattern cannot be reliably determined, Apollo uses the straight-line method of amortization. At June 30, 2014, the Company performed its annual impairment testing and determined there was no impairment of goodwill or indefinite life intangible assets as of such time.

Compensation and Benefits

Compensation and benefits include salaries, bonuses and benefits, profit sharing expense and equity-based compensation.

Salaries, Bonus and Benefits. Salaries, bonus and benefits include base salaries, discretionary and non-discretionary bonuses, severance and employee benefits. Bonuses are accrued over the related service period.

Also included within salaries, bonus and benefits is an expense related to profits interests issued to certain employees, whereby such employees are entitled to a share in earnings of and any appreciation of the value in a subsidiary of the Company during the term of employment. The expense related to these profits interests is recognized ratably over the requisite service period and thereafter will be recognized at the time the distributions are determined.

The Company sponsors a 401(k) Savings Plan whereby U.S.-based employees are entitled to participate in the plan based upon satisfying certain eligibility requirements. The Company may provide discretionary contributions from time to time. No contributions relating to this plan were made by the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Profit Sharing Expense. Profit sharing expense is primarily a result of agreements with our Contributing Partners and employees to compensate them based on the ownership interest they have in the general partners of the Apollo funds. Therefore, changes in the fair value of the underlying investments in the funds we manage and advise affect profit sharing expense. The Contributing Partners and employees are allocated approximately 30% to 50% of the total carried interest income which is driven primarily by changes in fair value of the underlying fund's investments and is treated as compensation expense. Additionally, profit sharing expenses paid may be subject to clawback from employees, former employees and Contributing Partners to the extent not indemnified.

Changes in the fair value of the contingent obligations that were recognized in connection with certain Apollo acquisitions are reflected in the Company's condensed consolidated statements of operations as profit sharing expense. In June 2011, the Company adopted a performance based incentive arrangement for certain Apollo partners and employees designed to more closely align compensation on an annual basis with the overall realized performance of the Company. This arrangement, which we refer to herein as the Incentive Pool, enables certain partners and employees to earn discretionary compensation based on carried interest realizations earned by the Company in a given year, which amounts are reflected in profit sharing expense in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company adopted the Incentive Pool to attract and retain, and provide incentive to, partners and employees of the Company and to more closely align the overall compensation of partners and employees with the overall realized performance of the Company. Allocations to the Incentive Pool and to its participants contain both a fixed and a discretionary component and may vary year-to-year depending on the overall realized performance of the Company and the contributions and performance of each participant. There is no assurance that the Company will continue to compensate individuals through performance-based incentive arrangements in the future and there may be periods when the Executive Committee of the Company's manager determines that allocations of realized carried interest income are not sufficient to compensate individuals, which may result in an increase in salary, bonus and benefits.

Equity-Based Compensation. Equity-based compensation is accounted for in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which requires that the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award is generally measured based on the grant date fair value of the award. Equity-based awards that do not require future service (i.e., vested awards) are expensed

immediately. Equity-based employee awards that require future service are recognized over the relevant service period. Further, as required under U.S. GAAP, the Company estimates forfeitures using industry comparables or historical trends for equity-based awards that are not expected to vest. Apollo's equity-based awards consist of, or provide rights with respect to AOG Units, RSUs, share options, AHL Awards and other equity-based compensation awards. For more information regarding Apollo's equity-based compensation awards,

- 125-

Table of Contents

see note 11 to our condensed consolidated financial statements. The Company's assumptions made to determine the fair value on grant date and the estimated forfeiture rate are embodied in the calculations of compensation expense. A significant part of our compensation expense is derived from amortization of RSUs. The fair value of all RSU grants after March 29, 2011 is based on the grant date fair value, which considers the public share price of the Company. RSUs are comprised of Plan Grants, which generally do not pay distributions until vested and, for grants made after 2011, the underlying shares are generally issued by March 15th after the year in which they vest, and Bonus Grants, which pay distributions on both vested and unvested grants and are generally issued after vesting on an approximate two-month lag. For Plan Grants, the grant date fair value is based on the public share price of the Company, and is discounted for transfer restrictions and lack of distributions until vested. For Bonus Grants, the grant date fair value is based on the public share price of the Company, and is discounted for transfer restrictions. We utilized the present value of a growing annuity formula to calculate a discount for the lack of pre-vesting distributions on Plan Grant RSUs. The weighted average for the inputs utilized for the shares granted during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are presented in the table below for Plan Grants:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Distribution Yield ⁽¹⁾	11.7%	12.3%
Cost of Equity Capital Rate ⁽²⁾	9.8%	13.0%

(1) Calculated based on the historical distributions paid during the last twelve months and the Company's share price as of the measurement date of the grant on a weighted average basis.

Assumes discount rate that was equivalent to a cost of equity capital rate as of the valuation date, based on the (2)Capital Asset Pricing Model ("CAPM"). CAPM is a commonly used mathematical model for developing expected returns.

For Plan Grants that are not eligible for distributions on unvested shares, the discount for the lack of distributions until vested based on the present value of a growing annuity calculation had a weighted average of 29.2% and 35.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

We utilized the Finnerty Model to calculate a marketability discount on the Plan Grant and Bonus Grant RSUs to account for the lag between vesting and issuance. The Finnerty Model provides for a valuation discount reflecting the holding period restriction embedded in a restricted security preventing its sale over a certain period of time.

The Finnerty Model proposes to estimate a discount for lack of marketability such as transfer restrictions by using an option pricing theory. This model has gained recognition through its ability to address the magnitude of the discount by considering the volatility of a company's stock price and the length of restriction. The concept underpinning the Finnerty Model is that a restricted security cannot be sold over a certain period of time. Further simplified, a restricted share of equity in a company can be viewed as having forfeited a put on the average price of the marketable equity over the restriction period (also known as an "Asian Put Option"). If we price an Asian Put Option and compare this value to that of the assumed fully marketable underlying security, we can effectively estimate the marketability discount.

Table of Contents

The inputs utilized in the Finnerty Model were (i) length of holding period, (ii) volatility, (iii) risk-free rate and (iv) dividend yield. The weighted average for the inputs utilized for the shares granted during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 are presented in the table below for Plan Grants and Bonus Grants:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
Plan Grants		
Holding Period Restriction (in years)	0.5	0.6
Volatility ⁽¹⁾	30.9%	31.9%
Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾	11.7%	12.3%
Bonus Grants		
Holding Period Restriction (in years)	0.2	0.2
Volatility ⁽¹⁾	32.7%	27.2%
Distribution Yield ⁽²⁾	11.7%	11.2%

(1) The Company determined the expected volatility based on the volatility of the Company's share price as of the grant date with consideration to comparable companies.

(2) Calculated based on the historical distributions paid during the last twelve months and the Company's share price as of the measurement date of the grant on a weighted average basis.

For Plan Grants, the marketability discount for transfer restrictions based on the Finnerty Model calculation, after considering the discount for lack of pre-vesting distributions, had a weighted average of 4.8% and 5.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. For Bonus Grants, the marketability discount for transfer restrictions based on the Finnerty Model calculation had a weighted average of 3.3% and 3.0% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

After the grant date fair value is determined, an estimated forfeiture rate is applied. The estimated fair value was determined and recognized over the vesting period on a straight-line basis. A 6.0% forfeiture rate is estimated for RSUs, based on the Company's historical attrition rate as well as industry comparable rates. If employees are no longer associated with Apollo or if there is no turnover, we will revise our estimated compensation expense to the actual amount of expense based on the units vested at the reporting date in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

Fair Value Measurements

See note 5 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of the Company's fair value measurements.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

A list of recent accounting pronouncements that are relevant to Apollo and its industry is included in note 2 to our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

In the normal course of business, we engage in off-balance sheet arrangements, including transactions in derivatives, guarantees, commitments, indemnifications and potential contingent repayment obligations. See note 13 to our condensed consolidated financial statements for a discussion of guarantees and contingent obligations.

Table of Contents

Contractual Obligations, Commitments and Contingencies

As of March 31, 2015, the Company's material contractual obligations consisted of lease obligations, contractual commitments as part of the ongoing operations of the funds and debt obligations. Fixed and determinable payments due in connection with these obligations are as follows:

	Remaining 2015 (in thousands)	2016	2017	2018	2019	Thereafter	Total
Operating lease obligations ⁽¹⁾	\$28,525	\$37,381	\$35,384	\$30,983	\$30,593	\$24,163	\$187,029
Other long-term obligations ⁽²⁾	10,075	5,588	4,783	4,658	2,329	—	27,433
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Term Facility ⁽³⁾	5,232	6,976	6,976	6,976	500,349	—	526,509
2013 AMH Credit Facilities - Revolver Facility ⁽⁴⁾	469	625	625	625	8	—	2,352
2024 Senior Notes ⁽⁵⁾	15,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	20,000	588,333	683,333
2014 AMI Term Facility I	229	306	306	306	14,528	—	15,675
2014 AMI Term Facility II	241	321	321	321	16,938	—	18,142
Obligations as of March 31, 2015	\$59,771	\$71,197	\$68,395	\$63,869	\$584,745	\$612,496	\$1,460,473

(1) The Company has entered into sublease agreements and is expected to contractually receive approximately \$5.5 million over the remaining periods of 2015 and thereafter.

Includes (i) payments on management service agreements related to certain assets and (ii) payments with respect to

(2) certain consulting agreements entered into by the Company. Note that a significant portion of these costs are reimbursable by funds.

\$500 million of the outstanding Term Facility matures in January 2019. The interest rate on the \$500 million Term Facility as of March 31, 2015 was 1.37%. See note 9 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities.

(4) The commitment fee as of March 31, 2015 on the \$500 million undrawn Revolver Facility was 0.125%. See note 9 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2013 AMH Credit Facilities.

(5) \$500 million of the 2024 Senior Notes matures in May 2024. The interest rate on the 2024 Senior Notes as of March 31, 2015 was 4.00%. See note 9 of the condensed consolidated financial statements for further discussion of the 2024 Senior Notes.

Note: Due to the fact that the timing of certain amounts to be paid cannot be determined or for other reasons discussed below, the following contractual commitments have not been presented in the table above.

As noted previously, we have entered into a tax receivable agreement with our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners which requires us to pay to our Managing Partners and Contributing Partners 85% of any tax savings

(i) received by APO Corp. from our step-up in tax basis. The tax savings achieved may not ensure that we have sufficient cash available to pay this liability and we might be required to incur additional debt to satisfy this liability.

(ii) Debt amounts related to the consolidated VIEs are not presented in the table above as the Company is not a guarantor of these non-recourse liabilities.

Commitments

Certain of our management companies and general partners are committed to contribute to the funds and affiliates. While a small percentage of these amounts are funded by us, the majority of these amounts have historically been funded by our affiliates, including certain of our employees and certain Apollo funds. The table below presents the commitment and remaining commitment amounts of Apollo and its affiliates, the percentage of total fund commitments of Apollo and its affiliates, the commitment and remaining commitment amounts of Apollo only (excluding affiliates), and the percentage of total fund commitments of Apollo only (excluding affiliates) for each

private equity, credit and real estate fund as of March 31, 2015 as follows (\$ in millions):

- 128-

Table of Contents

Fund	Apollo and Affiliates Commitments	% of Total Fund Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) % of Total Fund Commitments	Apollo and Affiliates Remaining Commitments	Apollo Only (Excluding Affiliates) Remaining Commitments
Private Equity:						
Fund VIII	\$ 1,543.5	8.40	\$ 403.0	2.19	\$ 1,350.9	\$ 356.6
Fund VII	467.2	3.18	177.9	1.21	102.6	37.7
Fund VI	246.3	2.43	6.1	0.06	9.7	0.2
Fund V	100.0	2.67	0.5	0.01	6.3	—
Fund IV	100.0	2.78	0.2	0.01	0.5	—
ANRP	426.1	32.21	10.1	0.76	202.6	4.7
AION	150.0	18.19	50.0	6.06	113.6	37.6
APC	158.5	69.06	0.1	0.04	81.4	0.1
Apollo Rose, L.P.	215.7	100.00	—	—	85.7	—
A.A Mortgage Opportunities, L.P.	200.0	98.43	—	—	79.9	—
Champ, L.P.	73.2	100.00	18.7	25.56	11.3	2.9
Apollo Royalties Management, LLC	100.0	100.00	—	—	25.8	—
Credit:						
EPF I ⁽²⁾	288.3	20.74	19.0	1.37	48.2	4.4
EPF II ⁽²⁾	409.8	12.25	62.9	1.88	161.5	26.5
COF I	450.7	30.35	29.7	2.00	237.4	4.2
COF II	30.5	1.93	23.4	1.48	0.8	0.6
COF III	358.1	10.45	83.1	2.43	202.4	47.1
ACLF	23.9	2.43	23.9	2.43	19.7	19.7
Palmetto	18.0	1.19	18.0	1.19	10.9	10.9
AIE II ⁽²⁾	7.0	3.15	4.3	1.94	—	—
ESDF	50.0	100.00	—	—	—	—
FCI	151.3	27.07	—	—	81.1	—
FCI II	244.6	15.72	—	—	148.7	—
Franklin Fund	9.9	9.09	9.9	9.09	—	—
Apollo Lincoln Fixed Income Fund	2.5	0.99	2.5	0.99	0.9	0.9
Apollo/Palmetto Loan Portfolio, L.P.	300.0	100.00	—	—	85.0	—
Apollo/Palmetto Short-Maturity Loan Portfolio, L.P.	200.0	100.00	—	—	—	—
AESI ⁽²⁾	3.1	0.99	3.1	0.99	0.5	0.5
AESI II	2.8	0.99	2.8	0.99	2.3	2.3
AEC	7.3	2.50	3.2	1.08	2.5	1.1
ACSP	18.8	2.44	18.8	2.44	8.7	8.7
Apollo SK Strategic Investments, L.P.	2.0	0.99	2.0	0.99	0.4	0.4
	7.9	7.61	—	—	—	—

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

Stone Tower Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund II, Ltd.						
Apollo Structured Credit Recovery Master Fund III, Ltd.	137.3	27.63	0.6	0.12	48.2	0.2
Apollo Zeus Strategic Investments, L.P.	14.0	3.38	14.0	3.38	6.5	6.5
Apollo Lincoln Private Credit Fund, L.P.	2.5	0.99	2.5	0.99	2.2	2.2
MidCap	919.0	71.06	79.9	6.18	298.9	42.0
AEOF	10.5	2.46	10.5	2.46	10.5	10.5
AIE III ⁽²⁾	9.7	2.91	9.7	2.91	7.9	7.9
Real Estate:						
AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P.	433.3	⁽¹⁾ 69.46	16.2	2.45	136.4	⁽¹⁾ 3.4
Apollo U.S. Real Estate Fund II, L.P.	157.5	100.00	7.5	4.76	157.5	7.5
BEA/AGRE China Real Estate Fund, L.P.	0.1	1.03	0.1	1.03	—	—
AGRE Asia Co-Invest I Limited	50.0	100.00	—	—	35.7	—
CAI Strategic European Real Estate Ltd.	16.8	100.00	—	—	3.2	—
CPI Capital Partners North America	7.6	1.27	2.1	0.35	0.6	0.2
CPI Capital Partners Europe ⁽²⁾	5.9	0.47	—	—	0.4	—
CPI Capital Partners Asia Pacific	6.9	0.53	0.5	0.04	0.4	—
London Prime Apartments Guernsey Holdings Limited ⁽³⁾	26.2	7.80	0.8	0.23	6.9	0.2
2012 CMBS I Fund, L.P.	88.2	100.00	—	—	—	—
2012 CMBS II Fund, L.P.	93.5	100.00	—	—	—	—
AGRE Cobb West Investor, L.P.	22.1	86.41	0.1	0.39	2.1	—
AGRE CMBS Fund, L.P.	418.8	100.00	—	—	—	—
Other:						
Apollo SPN Investments I, L.P.	22.1	0.73	22.1	0.73	18.1	18.1
Total	\$ 8,809.0		\$ 1,139.8		\$ 3,816.8	\$ 665.8

Table of Contents

- Figures for AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. include base, additional, and co-investment commitments. A
- (1) co-investment vehicle within AGRE U.S. Real Estate Fund, L.P. is denominated in pound sterling and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of £1.00 to \$1.48 as of March 31, 2015.
 - (2) Apollo's commitment in these funds is denominated in Euros and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of €1.00 to \$1.07 as of March 31, 2015.
 - (3) Apollo's commitment in these investments is denominated in pound sterling and translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate of £1.00 to \$1.48 as of March 31, 2015.

As a limited partner, the general partner and manager of the Apollo private equity, credit and real estate funds, Apollo had unfunded capital commitments of \$665.8 million at March 31, 2015.

Apollo has an ongoing obligation to acquire additional common units of AAA in an amount equal to 25% of the aggregate after-tax cash distributions, if any, that are made by AAA to Apollo's affiliates pursuant to the carried interest distribution rights that are applicable to investments made through AAA Investments.

The 2013 AMH Credit Facilities and 2024 Senior Notes (as defined below) will have future impacts on our cash uses. See note 9 of our condensed consolidated financial statements for information regarding the Company's debt arrangements.

In accordance with the Shareholders Agreement, we have indemnified the Managing Partners and certain Contributing Partners (at varying percentages) for any carried interest income distributed from Fund IV, Fund V and Fund VI that is subject to contingent repayment by the general partner. The Company recorded an indemnification liability of \$1.8 million as of March 31, 2015. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had not recorded an obligation for any previously made distributions.

Contingent Obligations—Carried interest income in private equity and certain credit and real estate funds is subject to reversal in the event of future losses to the extent of the cumulative carried interest recognized in income to date. If all of the existing investments became worthless, the amount of cumulative revenues that has been recognized by Apollo through March 31, 2015 and that would be reversed approximates \$2.9 billion. Management views the possibility of all of the investments becoming worthless as remote. Carried interest income is affected by changes in the fair values of the underlying investments in the funds that Apollo manages. Valuations, on an unrealized basis, can be significantly affected by a variety of external factors including, but not limited to, bond yields and industry trading multiples. Movements in these items can affect valuations quarter to quarter even if the underlying business fundamentals remain stable.

Additionally, at the end of the life of certain funds that the Company manages, there could be a payment due to a fund by the Company if the Company as general partner has received more carried interest income than was ultimately earned. This general partner obligation amount, if any, will depend on final realized values of investments at the end of the life of each fund or as otherwise set forth in the respective limited partnership agreement or other governing document of the fund.

Certain funds may not generate carried interest income as a result of unrealized and realized losses that are recognized in the current and prior reporting period. In certain cases, carried interest income will not be generated until additional unrealized and realized gains occur. Any appreciation would first cover the deductions for invested capital, unreturned organizational expenses, operating expenses, management fees and priority returns based on the terms of the respective fund agreements.

AGS, one of the Company's subsidiaries, provides underwriting commitments in connection with security offerings to the portfolio companies of the funds we manage. As of March 31, 2015, there were no underwriting commitments outstanding related to such offerings.

Contingent Consideration

In connection with the acquisition of Stone Tower in April 2012, the Company agreed to pay the former owners of Stone Tower a specified percentage of any future carried interest income earned from certain of the Stone Tower funds, CLOs, and SIAs. In connection with the Gulf Stream acquisition, the Company agreed to make payments to the former owners of Gulf Stream under a contingent consideration obligation which required the Company to transfer cash to the former owners of Gulf Stream based on a specified percentage of carried interest income. See note 13 to

the condensed consolidated statements for further information regarding the contingent consideration obligation related to Stone Tower and Gulf Stream.

- 130-

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our predominant exposure to market risk is related to our role as investment manager and general partner for our funds and the sensitivity to movements in the fair value of their investments and resulting impact on carried interest income and management fee revenues. Our direct investments in the funds also expose us to market risk whereby movements in the fair values of the underlying investments will increase or decrease both net gains (losses) from investment activities and income (loss) from equity method investments. For a discussion of the impact of market risk factors on our financial instruments see “Item 2. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations—Critical Accounting Policies—Investments, at Fair Value.”

The fair value of our financial assets and liabilities of our funds may fluctuate in response to changes in the value of investments, foreign exchange, commodities and interest rates. The net effect of these fair value changes impacts the gains and losses from investments in our condensed consolidated statements of operations. However, the majority of these fair value changes are absorbed by the Non-Controlling Interests.

The Company is subject to a concentration risk related to the investors in its funds. Although there are more than approximately 1,000 investors in Apollo’s active private equity, credit and real estate funds, no individual investor accounts for more than 10% of the total committed capital to Apollo’s active funds.

Risks are analyzed across funds from the “bottom up” and from the “top down” with a particular focus on asymmetric risk. We gather and analyze data, monitor investments and markets in detail, and constantly strive to better quantify, qualify and circumscribe relevant risks.

Each risk management process is subject to our overall risk tolerance and philosophy and our enterprise-wide risk management framework. This framework includes identifying, measuring and managing market, credit and operational risks at each segment, as well as at the fund and Company level.

Each segment runs its own investment and risk management process subject to our overall risk tolerance and philosophy:

The investment process of our private equity funds involves a detailed analysis of potential acquisitions, and investment management teams assigned to monitor the strategic development, financing and capital deployment decisions of each portfolio investment.

Our credit funds continuously monitor a variety of markets for attractive trading opportunities, applying a number of traditional and customized risk management metrics to analyze risk related to specific assets or portfolios, as well as, fund-wide risks.

At the direction of the Company’s manager, the Company has established a risk committee comprised of various members of senior management including the Company’s Chief Financial Officer, Chief Legal Officer, and the Company’s Chief Risk Officer. The risk committee is tasked with assisting the Company’s manager in monitoring and managing enterprise-wide risk. The risk committee generally meets on a bi-weekly basis and reports to the executive committee of the Company’s manager at such times as the committee deems appropriate and at least on an annual basis.

On at least a monthly basis, the Company’s risk department provides a summary analysis of fund level market and credit risk to the portfolio managers of the Company’s funds and the heads of the various business segments. On a periodic basis, the Company’s risk department presents a consolidated summary analysis of fund level market and credit risk to the Company’s risk committee. In addition, the Company’s Chief Risk Officer reviews specific investments from the perspective of risk mitigation and discusses such analysis with the Company’s risk committee and/or the executive committee of the Company’s manager at such times as the Company’s Chief Risk Officer determines such discussions are warranted. On an annual basis, the Company’s Chief Risk Officer provides the executive committee of the Company’s manager with a comprehensive overview of risk management along with an update on current and future risk initiatives.

Impact on Management Fees—Our management fees are based on one of the following:

- capital commitments to an Apollo fund;
- capital invested in an Apollo fund;
- the gross, net or adjusted asset value of an Apollo fund, as defined; or

as otherwise defined in the respective agreements.

- 131-

Table of Contents

Management fees could be impacted by changes in market risk factors and management could consider an investment permanently impaired as a result of (i) such market risk factors causing changes in invested capital or in market values to below cost, in the case of our private equity funds and certain credit funds, or (ii) such market risk factors causing changes in gross or net asset value, for the credit funds. The proportion of our management fees that are based on NAV is dependent on the number and types of our funds in existence and the current stage of each fund's life cycle.

Impact on Advisory and Transaction Fees—We earn transaction fees relating to the negotiation of private equity, credit and real estate transactions and may obtain reimbursement for certain out-of-pocket expenses incurred. Subsequently, on a quarterly or annual basis, ongoing advisory fees, and additional transaction fees in connection with additional purchases, dispositions, or follow-on transactions, may be earned. Management Fee Offsets and any broken deal costs are reflected as a reduction to advisory and transaction fees from affiliates, net. Advisory and transaction fees will be impacted by changes in market risk factors to the extent that they limit our opportunities to engage in private equity, credit and real estate transactions or impair our ability to consummate such transactions. The impact of changes in market risk factors on advisory and transaction fees is not readily predicted or estimated.

Impact on Carried Interest Income—We earn carried interest income from our funds as a result of such funds achieving specified performance criteria. Our carried interest income will be impacted by changes in market risk factors.

However, several major factors will influence the degree of impact:

- the performance criteria for each individual fund in relation to how that fund's results of operations are impacted by changes in market risk factors;

- whether such performance criteria are annual or over the life of the fund;

- to the extent applicable, the previous performance of each fund in relation to its performance criteria; and

- whether each funds' carried interest income is subject to contingent repayment.

As a result, the impact of changes in market risk factors on carried interest income will vary widely from fund to fund. The impact is heavily dependent on the prior and future performance of each fund, and therefore is not readily predicted or estimated.

Market Risk—We are directly and indirectly affected by changes in market conditions. Market risk generally represents the risk that values of assets and liabilities or revenues and expenses will be adversely affected by changes in market conditions. Market risk is inherent in each of our investments and activities, including equity investments, loans, short-term borrowings, long-term debt, hedging instruments, credit default swaps, and derivatives. Just a few of the market conditions that may shift from time to time, thereby exposing us to market risk, include fluctuations in interest and currency exchange rates, equity prices, changes in the implied volatility of interest rates and price deterioration. For example, subsequent to the second quarter of 2007, debt capital markets around the world began to experience significant dislocation, severely limiting the availability of new credit to facilitate new traditional buyouts, and the markets remain volatile. Volatility in debt and equity markets can impact our pace of capital deployment, the timing of receipt of transaction fee revenues, and the timing of realizations. These market conditions could have an impact on the value of investments and our rates of return. Accordingly, depending on the instruments or activities impacted, market risks can have wide ranging, complex adverse effects on our results from operations and our overall financial condition. We monitor our market risk using certain strategies and methodologies which management evaluates periodically for appropriateness. We intend to continue to monitor this risk going forward and continue to monitor our exposure to all market factors.

Interest Rate Risk—Interest rate risk represents exposure we have to instruments whose values vary with the change in interest rates. These instruments include, but are not limited to, loans, borrowings and derivative instruments. We may seek to mitigate risks associated with the exposures by taking offsetting positions in derivative contracts. Hedging instruments allow us to seek to mitigate risks by reducing the effect of movements in the level of interest rates, changes in the shape of the yield curve, as well as, changes in interest rate volatility. Hedging instruments used to mitigate these risks may include related derivatives such as options, futures and swaps.

Credit Risk—Certain of our funds are subject to certain inherent risks through their investments.

Certain of our entities invest substantially all of their excess cash in open-end money market funds and money market demand accounts, which are included in cash and cash equivalents. The money market funds invest primarily in government securities and other short-term, highly liquid instruments with a low risk of loss. We continually monitor

the funds' performance in order to manage any risk associated with these investments.

- 132-

Table of Contents

Certain of our entities hold derivative instruments that contain an element of risk in the event that the counterparties may be unable to meet the terms of such agreements. We seek to minimize our risk exposure by limiting the counterparties with which we enter into contracts to banks and investment banks who meet established credit and capital guidelines. We do not expect any counterparty to default on its obligations and therefore do not expect to incur any loss due to counterparty default.

Foreign Exchange Risk—Foreign exchange risk represents exposures we have to changes in the values of current holdings and future cash flows denominated in other currencies and investments in non-U.S. companies. The types of investments exposed to this risk include investments in foreign subsidiaries, foreign currency-denominated loans, foreign currency-denominated transactions, and various foreign exchange derivative instruments whose values fluctuate with changes in currency exchange rates or foreign interest rates. Instruments used to mitigate this risk are foreign exchange options, currency swaps, futures and forwards. These instruments may be used to help insulate us against losses that may arise due to volatile movements in foreign exchange rates and/or interest rates.

Non-U.S. Operations—We conduct business throughout the world and are continuing to expand into foreign markets. We currently have offices outside the U.S. in Toronto, London, Frankfurt, Madrid, Luxembourg, Mumbai, Delhi, Singapore, Hong Kong and Shanghai and have been strategically growing our international presence. Our fund investments and our revenues are primarily derived from our U.S. operations. With respect to our non-U.S. operations, we are subject to risk of loss from currency fluctuations, social instability, changes in governmental policies or policies of central banks, expropriation, nationalization, unfavorable political and diplomatic developments and changes in legislation relating to non-U.S. ownership. Our funds also invest in the securities of companies which are located in non-U.S. jurisdictions. As we continue to expand globally, we will continue to focus on monitoring and managing these risk factors as they relate to specific non-U.S. investments.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

We maintain “disclosure controls and procedures”, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing disclosure controls and procedures, our management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible disclosure controls and procedures. The design of any disclosure controls and procedures also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired objectives.

Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report based on the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (2013 framework). Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Exchange Act) are effective at the reasonable assurance level to accomplish their objectives of ensuring that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

No changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act) occurred during our most recent quarter, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

Litigation and Contingencies—Apollo is, from time to time, party to various legal actions arising in the ordinary course of business including claims and lawsuits, reviews, investigations or proceedings by governmental and self regulatory agencies regarding its business.

In March 2012, plaintiffs filed two putative class actions, captioned Kelm v. Chase Bank (No. 12-cv-332) and Miller v. 1-800-Flowers.com, Inc. (No. 12-cv-396), in the District of Connecticut on behalf of a class of consumers alleging online fraud. The defendants included, among others, Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. (“Trilegiant”), its parent company, Affinion Group, LLC (“Affinion”), and Apollo Global Management, LLC (“AGM”), which is affiliated with funds that are the beneficial owners of 68% of Affinion’s common stock. In both cases, plaintiffs allege that Trilegiant, aided by its business partners, who include e-merchants and credit card companies, developed a set of business practices intended to create consumer confusion and ultimately defraud consumers into unknowingly paying fees to clubs for unwanted services. Plaintiffs allege that AGM is a proper defendant because of its indirect stock ownership and ability to appoint the majority of Affinion’s board. The complaints assert claims under the Racketeer Influenced Corrupt Organizations Act; the Electronic Communications Privacy Act; the Connecticut Unfair Trade Practices Act; and the California Business and Professional Code, and seek, among other things, restitution or disgorgement, injunctive relief, compensatory, treble and punitive damages, and attorneys’ fees. The allegations in Kelm and Miller are substantially similar to those in Schnabel v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 3:10-cv-957), a putative class action filed in the District of Connecticut in 2010 that names only Trilegiant and Affinion as defendants. The court has consolidated the Kelm, Miller, and Schnabel cases under the caption In re: Trilegiant Corporation, Inc. and ordered that they proceed on the same schedule. On June 18, 2012, the court appointed lead plaintiffs’ counsel, and on September 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed their consolidated amended complaint (“CAC”), which alleges the same causes of action against AGM as did the complaints in the Kelm and Miller cases. Defendants filed motions to dismiss on December 7, 2012, plaintiffs filed opposition papers on February 7, 2013, and defendants filed replies on April 5, 2013. On December 5, 2012, plaintiffs filed another putative class action, captioned Frank v. Trilegiant Corp. (No. 12- cv-1721), in the District of Connecticut, naming the same defendants and containing allegations substantially similar to those in the CAC. On January 23, 2013, plaintiffs moved to transfer and consolidate Frank into In re: Trilegiant. On July 24, 2013 the Frank court transferred the case to Judge Bryant, who is presiding over In re: Trilegiant, and on March 28, 2014, Judge Bryant granted the motion to consolidate. On September 25, 2013, the court held oral argument on defendants’ motions to dismiss. On March 28, 2014, the court granted in part and denied in part motions to dismiss filed by Affinion and Trilegiant on behalf of all defendants, and also granted separate motions to dismiss filed by certain defendants, including AGM. On that same day, the court directed the clerk to terminate AGM as a defendant in the consolidated action. On April 28, 2014, plaintiffs moved for interlocutory review of certain of the court’s motion-to-dismiss rulings, not including its order granting AGM’s separate dismissal motion. Defendants filed a response on May 23, 2014, and plaintiffs replied on June 5, 2014. On November 13, 2014, plaintiffs and the remaining defendants filed a Joint Status Report Regarding Discovery stating that no discovery had taken place since plaintiffs filed their interlocutory-review motion. On March 26, 2015, the court denied plaintiffs’ motion for interlocutory review. On April 30, 2015, plaintiffs and the remaining defendants filed a joint report under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) that, among other things, requested that the Court extend the deadlines in its standing order for (i) plaintiffs to file an amended complaint until May 29, 2015; (ii) the close of fact discovery until January 15, 2016; and (iii) full briefing of class certification until June 1, 2016.

Various state attorneys general and federal and state agencies have initiated industry-wide investigations into the use of placement agents in connection with the solicitation of investments, particularly with respect to investments by public pension funds. Certain affiliates of Apollo have received subpoenas and other requests for information from various government regulatory agencies and investors in Apollo’s funds, seeking information regarding the use of

placement agents. California Public Employees' Retirement System ("CalPERS"), one of our Strategic Investors, announced on October 14, 2009, that it had initiated a special review of placement agents and related issues. The report of the CalPERS Special Review was issued on March 14, 2011. That report does not allege any wrongdoing on the part of Apollo or its affiliates. Apollo is continuing to cooperate with all such investigations and other reviews. In addition, on May 6, 2010, the California Attorney General filed a civil complaint against Alfred Villalobos and his company, Arvco Capital Research, LLC ("Arvco") (a placement agent that Apollo has used) and Federico Buenrostro Jr., the former CEO of CalPERS, alleging conduct in violation of certain California laws in connection with CalPERS's purchase of securities in various funds managed by Apollo and another asset manager. Apollo is not a party to the civil lawsuit and the lawsuit does not allege any misconduct on the part of Apollo. Likewise, on April 23, 2012, the SEC filed a lawsuit alleging securities fraud on the part of Arvco, as well as Messrs. Buenrostro and Villalobos, in connection with their activities concerning certain CalPERS investments in funds managed by Apollo. This lawsuit also does not allege wrongdoing on the part of Apollo, and alleges that Apollo was defrauded by Arvco, Villalobos, and Buenrostro. On March 14, 2013, the United States Department of Justice unsealed an indictment against Messrs. Villalobos and Buenrostro alleging, among other crimes, fraud in connection

- 134-

Table of Contents

with those same activities; again, Apollo is not accused of any wrongdoing and in fact is alleged to have been defrauded by the defendants. The criminal action was set for trial in a San Francisco federal court in July 2014, but was put on hold after Mr. Buenrostro pleaded guilty on July 11, 2014. As part of Mr. Buenrostro's plea agreement, he admitted to taking cash and other bribes from Mr. Villalobos in exchange for several improprieties, including attempting to influence CalPERS' investing decisions and improperly preparing disclosure letters to satisfy Apollo's requirements. There is no suggestion that Apollo was aware that Mr. Buenrostro had signed the letters with a corrupt motive. The government has indicated that they will file new charges against Mr. Villalobos incorporating Mr. Buenrostro's admissions. On August 7, 2014, the government filed a superseding indictment against Mr. Villalobos asserting additional charges. Trial had been scheduled for February 23, 2015, but Mr. Villalobos passed away on January 13, 2015. Additionally, on April 15, 2013, Mr. Villalobos, Arvco and related entities (the "Arvco Debtors") brought a civil action in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Nevada (the "Bankruptcy Court") against Apollo. The action is related to the ongoing bankruptcy proceedings of the Arvco Debtors. This action alleges that Arvco served as a placement agent for Apollo in connection with several funds associated with Apollo, and seeks to recover purported fees the Arvco Debtors claim Apollo has not paid them for a portion of Arvco's placement agent services. In addition, the Arvco Debtors allege that Apollo has interfered with the Arvco Debtors' commercial relationships with third parties, purportedly causing the Arvco Debtors to lose business and to incur fees and expenses in the defense of various investigations and litigations. The Arvco Debtors also seek compensation from Apollo for these alleged lost profits and fees and expenses. The Arvco Debtors' complaint asserts various theories of recovery under the Bankruptcy Code and common law. Apollo denies the merit of all of the Arvco Debtors' claims and will vigorously contest them. The Bankruptcy Court had stayed this action pending the result in the criminal case against Mr. Villalobos but lifted the stay on May 1, 2015; in light of Mr. Villalobos's death, it is expected that the criminal case will be dismissed. For these reasons, no estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

On June 18, 2014, BOKF N.A. (the "First Lien Trustee"), the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the First Lien Notes issued by Momentive Performance Materials, Inc. ("Momentive"), commenced a lawsuit in the Supreme Court for the State of New York, New York County against AGM and members of an ad hoc group of Second Lien Noteholders (including, but not limited to, Euro VI (BC) S.a.r.l.). The First Lien Trustee amended its complaint on July 2, 2014 (the "First Lien Intercreditor Action"). In the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the First Lien Trustee seeks, among other things, a declaration that the defendants violated an intercreditor agreement entered into between holders of the first lien notes and holders of the second lien notes. On July 16, 2014, the successor indenture trustee under the indenture governing the 1.5 Lien Notes (the "1.5 Lien Trustee," and, together with the First Lien Trustee, the "Indenture Trustees") filed an action in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, New York County that is substantially similar to the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the "1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action," and, together with the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the "Intercreditor Actions"). AGM subsequently removed the Intercreditor Actions to federal district court, and the Intercreditor Actions were automatically referred to the Bankruptcy Court adjudicating the Momentive chapter 11 bankruptcy cases. The Indenture Trustees then filed motions with the Bankruptcy Court to remand the Intercreditor Actions back to the state court (the "Remand Motions"). On September 9, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Remand Motions. On August 15, 2014, the defendants in the Intercreditor Actions (including AGM) filed a motion to dismiss the 1.5 Lien Intercreditor Action and a motion for judgment on the pleadings in the First Lien Intercreditor Action (the "Dismissal Motions"). On September 30, 2014, the Bankruptcy Court granted the Dismissal Motions. In its order granting the Dismissal Motions, the Bankruptcy Court gave the Indenture Trustees until mid-November 2014 to move to amend some, but not all, of the claims alleged in their respective complaints. On November 14, 2014, the Indenture Trustees moved to amend their respective complaints pursuant to the Bankruptcy Court's order (the "Motions to Amend"). On January 9, 2015, the defendants filed their oppositions to the Motions to Amend. On January 16, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court denied the Motions to Amend (the "Dismissal Order"), but gave the Indenture Trustees until March 2, 2015 to seek to amend their respective complaints. On March 2, 2015, the First Lien Trustee filed a motion seeking to amend its complaint. On April 10, 2015, the defendants, including AGM and Euro VI (BC) S.a.r.l., filed an opposition to the First Lien Trustee's motion to amend. Instead of moving again to amend its complaint, the 1.5 Lien Trustee chose to appeal the Dismissal Order (the

“1.5 Lien Appeal”). On March 30, 2015, the 1.5 Lien Trustee filed its Statement of Issues and Designation of Record on Appeal. On March 31, 2015, because the legal issues presented in the 1.5 Lien Appeal are substantially similar to those presented in the First Lien Intercreditor Action, the parties in the 1.5 Lien Appeal submitted a joint stipulation and proposed order to the District Court staying the briefing schedule on the 1.5 Lien Appeal pending the outcome of the First Lien Trustee’s most recent motion to amend. On April 13, 2015, the Defendants filed their Counter-Designation of the Record on Appeal in the 1.5 Lien Appeal. On May 8, 2015, the Bankruptcy Court denied the motion to amend filed on March 2, 2015 by the First Lien Trustee. We are unable at this time to assess a potential risk of loss because the First Lien Trustee has not yet indicated whether it will appeal the Bankruptcy Court’s decision on the First Lien Trustee’s most recent motion to amend. In addition, we do not believe that AGM is a proper defendant in these actions.

On June 13, 2014, plaintiffs Stark Master Fund Ltd and Stark Global Opportunities Master Fund Ltd filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Wisconsin against AGM and Apollo Management Holdings, (the “Apollo Defendants”), as well as Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC and Deutsche Bank Securities (USA) LLC (the “Bank Defendants”). The complaint alleges that AGM and the other defendants entered into an undisclosed and improper agreement concerning the financing of a potential acquisition of Hexion Specialty Chemicals Inc., and on this basis alleges a variety of

- 135-

Table of Contents

common law misrepresentation claims, both intentional and negligent. The Apollo Defendants and Bank Defendants filed motions to dismiss the complaint on October 15, 2014. Rather than respond to the motions, plaintiffs filed an Amended Complaint on November 5, 2014. The Apollo Defendants and Bank Defendants filed motions to dismiss the Amended Complaint on December 23, 2014. Plaintiffs filed a motion for leave to conduct jurisdictional discovery on February 2, 2015. On April 9, 2015, the Court issued an order granting plaintiffs' motion for leave to conduct limited jurisdictional discovery. Pursuant to the parties' stipulation approved by the Court, Plaintiffs must file their opposition to Defendants' motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint on or before 30 days following the close of jurisdictional discovery. Because the claims against the Apollo Defendants are in their early stages, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

There are several pending actions concerning transactions related to Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc.'s ("CEOC") restructuring efforts. Apollo is not a defendant in these matters.

In re: Caesars Entertainment Operating Company, Inc. bankruptcy proceedings, No. 15-10047 (Del. Bk.) (the "Delaware Bankruptcy Action") and No. 15-01145 (N.D. Ill. Bk.) (the "Illinois Bankruptcy Action"). On January 12, 2015, three holders of CEOC second lien notes filed an involuntary bankruptcy petition against CEOC in the United States Bankruptcy Court for the District of Delaware. On January 15, 2015, CEOC and certain of its affiliates filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy in the Northern District of Illinois. On February 2, 2015, the court in the Delaware Bankruptcy Action ordered that all CEOC bankruptcy proceedings should take place in the Illinois Bankruptcy Action. On March 11, 2015, CEOC filed an adversary complaint in the Illinois Bankruptcy Action to stay, pending resolution of the bankruptcy, the Trustee, MeehanCombs, Danner, and BOKF Actions described below. The court has scheduled an evidentiary hearing on CEOC's stay request for June 1, 2015.

Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB v. Caesars Entertainment Corp. et al., No. 10004-CVG (Del. Ch.) (the "Trustee Action"). On August 4, 2014, Wilmington Savings Fund Society, FSB ("WSFS"), as trustee for certain CEOC second-lien notes, sued Caesars Entertainment Corporation ("Caesars Entertainment"), Caesars Entertainment's subsidiary, CEOC, other Caesars Entertainment-affiliated entities, and certain of Caesars Entertainment's directors, including Marc Rowan, Eric Press, David Sambur (each an Apollo Partner) and Jeff Benjamin (an Apollo consultant), in the Delaware Chancery Court. WSFS (i) asserts claims (against some or all of the defendants) for fraudulent conveyance, breach of fiduciary duty, breach of contract, corporate waste and aiding and abetting related to certain transactions among CEOC and other Caesars Entertainment affiliates, and (ii) requests (among other things) that the court unwind the challenged transactions and award damages. WSFS served a subpoena for documents on Apollo on September 11, 2014, but Apollo's response was stayed during the pendency of motions to dismiss under a September 23, 2014 stipulated order. On March 18, 2015, the Court denied Defendants' motion to dismiss. Apollo served responses and objections to the Trustee's subpoena on March 25, 2015. Caesars Entertainment answered the complaint on April 1, 2015.

Caesars Entertainment Operating Co., et al. v. Appaloosa Investment Ltd. P'ship et al., No. 652392/2014 (N.Y. Sup. Ct.) (the "Caesars Action"). On August 5, 2014, Caesars Entertainment Corporation CEOC sued certain CEOC second-lien noteholders and CEOC first-lien noteholder Elliott Management Corporation ("EMC"). On September 15, 2014, an amended complaint was filed adding WSFS as a defendant. The amended complaint asserts claims for (among other things) tortious interference with prospective economic advantage, a declaratory judgment that certain transactions related to CEOC's restructuring are valid and appropriate and that there has not been a default under the indentures governing the notes. On October 15, 2014, defendants moved to dismiss the complaint, and the motion was fully briefed on December 1, 2014. On January 15, 2015, Caesars Entertainment and CEOC agreed to voluntarily dismiss their claims against EMC without prejudice, and EMC agreed to withdraw its motion to dismiss without prejudice. The remaining parties in the Caesars Action and the parties in the Trustee action described below have agreed to stay discovery pending decision on the respective motions to dismiss.

•

Meehancombs Global Credit Opportunities Master Fund, L.P., et al. v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7091 (S.D.N.Y.) (the “Meehancombs Action”). On September 3, 2014, institutional investors allegedly holding approximately \$137 million in CEOC unsecured senior notes sued CEOC and Caesars Entertainment for breach of contract and the implied covenant of good faith, Trust Indenture Act violations and a declaratory judgment challenging the August 2014 private financing

- 136-

Table of Contents

transaction in which a portion of outstanding senior unsecured notes were purchased by Caesars Entertainment, and a majority of the noteholders agreed to amend the indenture to terminate Caesars Entertainment's guarantee of the notes and modify certain restrictions on CEOC's ability to sell assets. Caesars Entertainment and CEOC filed a motion to dismiss on November 12, 2014. On January 15, 2015, the court granted the motion with respect to a Trust Indenture Act claim by Meehancombs but otherwise denied the motion. On January 30, 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint seeking relief against Caesars Entertainment only, and Caesars Entertainment answered on February 12, 2015. On October 2, 2014, a related putative class action complaint was filed on behalf of the holders of these notes captioned Danner v. Caesars Entertainment Corp., et al., No. 14-cv-7973 (S.D.N.Y.) (the "Danner Action"), against Caesars Entertainment alleging similar claims to the Meehancombs Action. On February 19, 2015, plaintiffs filed an amended complaint, and Caesars Entertainment answered on February 25, 2015.

UMB Bank v. Caesars Entertainment Corporation, et al., No. 10393 (Del. Ch.) (the "UMB Action."). On November 25, 2014, UMB Bank, as trustee for certain CEOC notes, sued Caesars Entertainment, CEOC, other Caesars Entertainment-affiliated entities, and certain of Caesars Entertainment's directors, including Marc Rowan, Eric Press, David Sambur (each an Apollo Partner) and Jeffrey Benjamin (an Apollo consultant), in Delaware Chancery Court. The lawsuit alleges claims for actual and constructive fraudulent conveyance and transfer, insider preferences, illegal dividends, breach of contract, intentional interference with contractual relations, breach of fiduciary duty, aiding and abetting breach of fiduciary duty, usurpation of corporate opportunities, and unjust enrichment. The UMB Action seeks appointment of a receiver for CEOC, a constructive trust, and other relief. The UMB Action has been assigned to the same judge overseeing the Trustee Action. Upon filing the complaint, UMB Bank moved to expedite its claim seeking a receiver, on which the court held oral argument on December 17, 2014. On January 15, 2015, the court entered a stipulated order staying the UMB Action as to all parties due to CEOC's bankruptcy filing.

Koskie v. Caesars Acquisition Company, et al., No. A-14-711712-C (Clark Cnty Nev. Dist. Ct.) (the "Koskie Action"). On December 30, 2014, Nicholas Koskie brought a shareholder class action on behalf of shareholders of Caesars Acquisition Company ("CAC") against CAC, Caesars Entertainment, and members of CAC's Board of Directors, including Marc Rowan and David Sambur (each an Apollo partner). The lawsuit challenges CAC and Caesars Entertainment's plan to merge, alleging that the proposed transaction will not give CAC shareholders fair value. Koskie asserts claims for breach of fiduciary duty relating to the director defendants' interrelationships with the entities involved in the proposed transaction.

BOKF, N.A. v. Caesars Entertainment Corporation, No. 15-156 (S.D.N.Y.) (the "BOKF Action"). On March 3, 2015, BOKF, N.A., as trustee for certain CEOC notes, sued Caesars Entertainment in the Southern District of New York. The lawsuit alleges claims for breach of contract, intentional interference with contractual relations and a declaratory judgment, and seeks to enforce Caesars Entertainment's guarantee of certain CEOC notes. The BOKF Action has been assigned to the same judge as the Meehancombs and Danner Actions. On March 25, 2015, Caesars Entertainment filed an answer to the complaint.

Apollo believes that the claims in the Trustee Action, the UMB Action, the Meehancombs Action, the Danner Action, the Koskie Action, and the BOKF Action are without merit. For this reason, and because the claims are in their early stages, and because of pending bankruptcy proceedings involving CEOC, no reasonable estimate of possible loss, if any, can be made at this time.

Following the January 16, 2014 announcement that CEC Entertainment, Inc. ("CEC") had entered into a merger agreement with certain entities affiliated with Apollo (the "Merger Agreement"), four putative shareholder class actions were filed in the District Court of Shawnee County, Kansas on behalf of purported stockholders of CEC against, among others, CEC, its directors and Apollo and certain of its affiliates, which include Queso Holdings Inc., Q Merger Sub Inc., Apollo Management VIII, L.P., and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. The first purported class action, which is captioned Hilary Coyne v. Richard M. Frank et al., Case No. 14C57, was filed on January 21, 2014 (the "Coyne

Action”). The second purported class action, which was captioned John Solak v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Civil Action No. 14C55, was filed on January 22, 2014 (the “Solak Action”). The Solak Action was dismissed for lack of prosecution on October 14, 2014. The third purported class action, which is captioned Irene Dixon v. CEC Entertainment, Inc. et al., Case No. 14C81, was filed on January 24, 2014 and additionally names as defendants Apollo Management VIII, L.P. and AP VIII Queso Holdings, L.P. (the “Dixon Action”). The fourth purported class action, which

- 137-

Table of Contents

is captioned Louisiana Municipal Public Employees' Retirement System v. Frank, et al., Case No. 14C97, was filed on January 31, 2014 (the "LMPERS Action") (together with the Coyne and Dixon Actions, the "Shareholder Actions"). A fifth purported class action, which was captioned McCullough v. Frank, et al., Case No. CC-14-00622-B, was filed in the County Court of Dallas County, Texas on February 7, 2014. This action was dismissed for want of prosecution on May 21, 2014. Each of the Shareholder Actions alleges, among other things, that CEC's directors breached their fiduciary duties to CEC's stockholders in connection with their consideration and approval of the Merger Agreement, including by agreeing to an inadequate price, agreeing to impermissible deal protection devices, and filing materially deficient disclosures regarding the transaction. Each of the Shareholder Actions further alleges that Apollo and certain of its affiliates aided and abetted those alleged breaches. As filed, the Shareholder Actions seek, among other things, rescission of the various transactions associated with the merger, damages and attorneys' and experts' fees and costs. On February 7, 2014 and February 11, 2014, the plaintiffs in the Shareholder Actions pursued a consolidated action for damages after the transaction closed. Thereafter, the Shareholder Actions were consolidated under the caption In re CEC Entertainment, Inc. Stockholder Litigation, Case No. 14C57, and the parties have engaged in limited discovery. No defendant has any obligation to answer or otherwise respond to any of the complaints in the consolidated action until the plaintiffs file or designate an operative complaint. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the above action, it believes that such action is without merit.

On June 10, 2014, Magnetar Global Event Driven Fund Ltd., Spectrum Opportunities Master Fund, Ltd., Magnetar Capital Master Fund, Ltd., and Blackwell Partners LLC, as the purported beneficial owners of shares held as of record by the nominal petitioner Cede & Co., (the "Appraisal Petitioners"), filed an action for statutory appraisal under Kansas state law against CEC in the U.S. District Court for the District of Kansas, captioned Magnetar Global Event Driven Master Fund Ltd, et al. v. CEC Entertainment, Inc., 2:14-cv-02279-RDR-KGS. The Appraisal Petitioners seek appraisal of 750,000 shares of common stock. CEC has answered the complaint and filed a verified list of stockholders, as required under Kansas law. On September 3, 2014, the court entered a scheduling order that contemplated that discovery would commence in the fall of 2014 and would be substantially completed by May 2015. On January 13, 2015, the court entered a revised scheduling order that contemplated that fact discovery would be completed by March 13, 2015, expert discovery would be completed by June 15, 2015, and a pretrial conference would occur on June 29, 2015. Thereafter, the scheduling order contemplates dispositive motion practice, and a trial on the merits of the Appraisal Petitioners' claims. Although Apollo cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the above actions, Apollo believes that such actions are without merit.

Although the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be ascertained at this time, Apollo is of the opinion, after consultation with counsel, that the resolution of any such matters to which it is a party at this time will not have a material adverse effect on the condensed consolidated financial statements. Legal actions material to Apollo could, however, arise in the future.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

For a discussion of our potential risks and uncertainties, see the information under the heading "Risk Factors" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 filed with the SEC on February 27, 2015, which is accessible on the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at www.sec.gov. There have been no material changes to the risk factors for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

The risks described in our Form 10-K are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/ or operating results.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALE OF EQUITY SECURITIES

On January 13, 2015, February 10, 2015 and March 4, 2015, we issued 681,421, 3,946,444 and 12,960 Class A shares, net of taxes, to Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., respectively, for an aggregate purchase price of \$15,972,508, \$94,438,405 and \$300,672, respectively. The issuances were exempt from registration under the Securities Act in accordance with Section 4(a)(2) and Rule 506(b) thereof, as transactions by the issuer not involving a public offering.

We determined that the purchaser of Class A shares in the transactions, Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., was an accredited investor.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

- 138-

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth repurchases of our Class A shares during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015:

Period	Total Number of Class A Shares Purchased	(1) Average Price Paid per Share
January 1, 2015 through January 31, 2015	—	—
February 1, 2015 through February 28, 2015	83,860	\$24.24
March 1, 2015 through March 31, 2015	—	—
	83,860	

During the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2015, we repurchased a number of our Class A shares equal to the (1) number of Class A restricted shares issued under our equity incentive plan during the quarter. All such repurchases were made in open-market transactions and not pursuant to a publicly-announced repurchase plan or program.

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not applicable.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

- 139-

Table of Contents

ITEM 6.EXHIBITS

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
3.1	Certificate of Formation of Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
3.2	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
4.1	Specimen Certificate evidencing the Registrant's Class A shares (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
4.2	Indenture dated as of May 30, 2014, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., the Guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
4.3	First Supplemental Indenture dated as of May 30, 2014, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., the Guarantors party thereto and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
4.4	Form of 4.000% Senior Note due 2024 (included in Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107), which is incorporated by reference).
4.5	Second Supplemental Indenture dated as of January 30, 2015, among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., the Guarantors party thereto, Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P. and Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.5 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.1	Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Operating Agreement of AGM Management, LLC dated as of July 10, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.2	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.3	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the

Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

10.4 Third Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

10.5 Third Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

- 140-

Table of Contents

+10.6	Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.7	Agreement Among Principals, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan, Joshua J. Harris, Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P. and BRH Holdings, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.8	Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P., BRH Holdings, L.P., Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan and Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.9	Second Amended and Restated Exchange Agreement, dated as of March 5, 2014, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, Apollo Principal Holdings I, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings III, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and the Apollo Principal Holders (as defined therein) from time to time party thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.11 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.10	Amended and Restated Tax Receivable Agreement, dated as of May 6, 2013, by and among APO Corp., Apollo Principal Holdings II, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings IV, L.P., Apollo Principal Holdings VI, Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P., AMH Holdings (Cayman), L.P. and each Holder defined therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Registrant's Form 8-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 7, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.11	Employment Agreement with Leon D. Black (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.12	Employment Agreement with Marc J. Rowan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.13	Employment Agreement with Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.14	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings V, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.15	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VI, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.21 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

10.16

Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VII, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

10.17

Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings VIII, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.23 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).

- 141-

Table of Contents

10.18	Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings IX, L.P. dated as of April 14, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
*10.19	Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P. dated as of April 8, 2015.
10.20	Fourth Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. dated as of October 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.25 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.21	Settlement Agreement, dated December 14, 2008, by and among Huntsman Corporation, Jon M. Huntsman, Peter R. Huntsman, Hexion Specialty Chemicals, Inc., Hexion LLC, Nimbus Merger Sub, Inc., Craig O. Morrison, Leon Black, Joshua J. Harris and Apollo Global Management, LLC and certain of its affiliates (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.22	First Amendment and Joinder, dated as of August 18, 2009, to the Shareholders Agreement, dated as of July 13, 2007, by and among Apollo Global Management, LLC, AP Professional Holdings, L.P., BRH Holdings, L.P., Black Family Partners, L.P., MJR Foundation LLC, Leon D. Black, Marc J. Rowan and Joshua J. Harris (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.23	Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.24	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement with James Zelter dated as of June 20, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.27 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.25	Roll-Up Agreement with James Zelter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.26	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Plan Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.27	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Bonus Grants) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.28	Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for new independent directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

+10.29

Form of Restricted Share Unit Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for continuing independent directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

+10.30

Form of Restricted Share Award Grant Notice and Restricted Share Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 142-

Table of Contents

+10.31	Form of Share Award Grant Notice and Share Award Agreement under the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan (for Retired Partners) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.32	Apollo Management Companies AAA Unit Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.33	Employment Agreement with Marc Spilker (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.35 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
+10.34	Employment Agreement with Christopher Weidler, dated June 4, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.35	Non-Qualified Share Option Agreement pursuant to the Apollo Global Management, LLC 2007 Omnibus Equity Incentive Plan with Marc Spilker dated December 2, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-150141)).
10.36	Amended Form of Independent Director Engagement Letter (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.37	Employment Agreement with Martin Kelly, dated July 2, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.38	Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of AMH Holdings, L.P., dated October 30, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2012 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.39	Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VI, L.P., dated as of April 14, 2005 and amended as of August 26, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.40	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VII, L.P., dated as of July 1, 2008 and effective as of August 30, 2007 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.41	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors I, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.42	Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors II, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.44 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).

+10.43

Third Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Liquidity Advisors, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.45 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 143-

Table of Contents

+10.44	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Liquidity CM Executive Carry, L.P., dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.46 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.45	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement Apollo Credit Opportunity CM Executive Carry I, L.P. dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.46	Second Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Credit Opportunity CM Executive Carry II, L.P. dated January 12, 2011 and made effective as of July 14, 2009 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.47	Second Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of AGM Incentive Pool, L.P., dated June 29, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
10.48	Credit Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2013, by and among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., as the Term Facility Borrower and a Revolving Facility Borrower, the other Revolving Facility Borrowers party thereto, the other guarantors party thereto from time to time, the lenders party thereto from time to time, the issuing banks party thereto from time to time and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2013 (File No. 001-35107)).
*10.49	Guarantor Joinder Agreement, dated as of January 30, 2015, by Apollo Principal Holdings X, L.P. to the Credit Agreement, dated as of December 18, 2013, by and among Apollo Management Holdings, L.P., as the Term Facility Borrower and a Revolving Facility Borrower, the other Revolving Facility Borrowers party thereto, the existing guarantors party thereto, the lenders party thereto from time to time, the issuing banks party thereto from time to time and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as administrative agent.
10.50	Transition Agreement, dated as of March 19, 2014, by and among Marc A. Spilker, Apollo Management Holdings, L.P. and Apollo Global Management, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.51 to the Registrant's Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.51	Form of Letter Agreement under the Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VIII, L.P. effective as of January 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.52	Form of Award Letter under the Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo Advisors VIII, L.P. effective as of January 1, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to the Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.53	Amended and Restated Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo EPF Advisors, L.P., dated as of February 3, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.52 to the Registrant's Form

Edgar Filing: Apollo Global Management LLC - Form 10-Q

10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

.

+10.54 First Amended and Restated Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo EPF Advisors II, L.P. dated as of April 9, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.53 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

+10.55 Amended and Restated Agreement of Exempted Limited Partnership of Apollo CIP Partner Pool, L.P., dated as of December 18, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.54 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).

- 144-

Table of Contents

+10.56	Form of Award Letter under the Amended and Restated Agreement of Exempted Limited Partnership Agreement of Apollo CIP Partner Pool, L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.57	Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors III (APO FC), L.P., dated as of December 18, 2014 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.56 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
+10.58	Form of Award Letter under Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Apollo Credit Opportunity Advisors III (APO FC), L.P. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.57 to the Registrant's Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2014 (File No. 001-35107)).
*31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
*31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
*32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
*32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (furnished herewith).
*101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
*101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document
*101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document
*101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
*101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
*101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document
*	Filed herewith.
+	Management contract or compensatory plan or arrangement.

The agreements and other documents filed as exhibits to this report are not intended to provide factual information or other disclosure other than with respect to the terms of the agreements or other documents themselves, and you should not rely on them for that purpose. In particular, any representations and warranties made by us in these agreements or other documents were made solely within the specific context of the relevant agreement or document and may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Apollo Global Management, LLC
(Registrant)

Date: May 11, 2015

By: /s/ Martin Kelly
Name: Martin Kelly
Chief Financial Officer
Title: (principal financial officer and
authorized signatory)

- 146-