GREEN DOT CORP
Form 10-Q
May 10, 2016
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

---

FORM 10-Q

þ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2016

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-34819

---

GREEN DOT CORPORATION
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

---

| | |
|---|---|
| Delaware<br>(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) | 95-4766827<br>(IRS Employer Identification No.) |
| 3465 E. Foothill Blvd.<br>Pasadena, California 91107<br>(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code) | (626) 765-2000<br>(Registrant's telephone number, including area code) |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes þ No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of “large accelerated filer,” “accelerated filer” and “smaller reporting company” in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Large accelerated filer þ | Accelerated filer o | Non-accelerated filer o<br>(Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller reporting company o |

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No þ

There were 48,053,368 shares of Class A common stock, par value $.001 per share (which number does not include 1,518,512 shares of Class A common stock issuable upon conversion of Series A Convertible Junior Participating Non-Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock) as of April 30, 2016.

GREEN DOT CORPORATION
TABLE OF CONTENTS


| | | Page |
|---|---|---|
| | PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Financial Statements | 1 |
| | Consolidated Balance Sheets – March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 | 1 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Operations – Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 | 2 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income – Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 | 3 |
| | Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows – Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 | 4 |
| | Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 5 |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 20 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 30 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 31 |
| | PART II – OTHER INFORMATION | |
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 32 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 32 |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 47 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 48 |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | 49 |
| | Signature | 50 |

Table of Contents

PART I
ITEM 1. Financial Statements
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| Assets | March 31, 2016 (unaudited) | December 31, 2015 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands, except par value) | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Unrestricted cash and cash equivalents | $739,751 | $772,128 |
| Federal funds sold | 1 | 1 |
| Restricted cash | 6,374 | 5,793 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale, at fair value | 56,092 | 49,106 |
| Settlement assets | 108,694 | 69,165 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 27,652 | 42,153 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 37,012 | 30,511 |
| Income tax receivable | — | 6,434 |
| Total current assets | 975,576 | 975,291 |
| Investment securities, available-for-sale, at fair value | 138,368 | 132,433 |
| Loans to bank customers, net of allowance for loan losses of $278 and $426 as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively | 6,274 | 6,279 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 4,102 | 6,416 |
| Property and equipment, net | 79,128 | 78,877 |
| Deferred expenses | 9,396 | 14,509 |
| Net deferred tax assets | 3,580 | 3,864 |
| Goodwill and intangible assets | 468,286 | 473,779 |
| Total assets | $1,684,710 | $1,691,448 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | $21,823 | $37,186 |
| Deposits | 636,934 | 652,145 |
| Obligations to customers | 51,056 | 61,300 |
| Settlement obligations | 4,771 | 5,074 |
| Amounts due to card issuing banks for overdrawn accounts | 1,469 | 1,067 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 95,910 | 87,635 |
| Deferred revenue | 15,468 | 22,901 |
| Note payable | 20,966 | 20,966 |
| Income tax payable | 12,212 | — |
| Total current liabilities | 860,609 | 888,274 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 31,233 | 37,894 |
| Note payable | 95,445 | 100,686 |
| Net deferred tax liabilities | 1,505 | 1,272 |
| Total liabilities | 988,792 | 1,028,126 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 15) | | |
| Stockholders' equity: | | |
| Convertible Series A preferred stock, $0.001 par value (as converted): 10 shares authorized as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015; 2 shares issued and outstanding as of March | 2 | 2 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 | | |
| Class A common stock, $0.001 par value: 100,000 shares authorized as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015; 49,866 and 50,502 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively | 50 | 51 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 378,722 | 379,376 |
| Retained earnings | 316,986 | 284,108 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) | 158 | (215) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 695,918 | 663,322 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | $1,684,710 | $1,691,448 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

GREEN DOT CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(UNAUDITED)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | |
| Operating revenues: | | |
| Card revenues and other fees | $91,886 | $87,224 |
| Processing and settlement service revenues | 81,016 | 87,121 |
| Interchange revenues | 55,122 | 54,726 |
| Stock-based retailer incentive compensation | — | (1,906) |
| Total operating revenues | 228,024 | 227,165 |
| Operating expenses: | | |
| Sales and marketing expenses | 63,864 | 61,279 |
| Compensation and benefits expenses | 43,087 | 41,354 |
| Processing expenses | 28,513 | 30,600 |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 38,074 | 28,036 |
| Total operating expenses | 173,538 | 161,269 |
| Operating income | 54,486 | 65,896 |
| Interest income | 2,301 | 1,378 |
| Interest expense | (4,781) | (1,496) |
| Income before income taxes | 52,006 | 65,778 |
| Income tax expense | 19,124 | 24,965 |
| Net income | 32,882 | 40,813 |
| Income attributable to preferred stock | (972) | (1,165) |
| Net income available to common stockholders | $31,910 | $39,648 |
| | | |
| Basic earnings per common share: | $0.64 | $0.77 |
| Diluted earnings per common share: | $0.63 | $0.76 |
| Basic weighted-average common shares issued and outstanding: | 49,863 | 51,448 |
| Diluted weighted-average common shares issued and outstanding: | 50,867 | 51,938 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

GREEN DOT CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Net income | $32,882 | $40,813 |
| Other comprehensive income | | |
| Unrealized holding gains, net of tax | 373 | 85 |
| Comprehensive income | $33,255 | $40,898 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents

GREEN DOT CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Operating activities | | |
| Net income | $32,882 | $40,813 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization of property and equipment | 11,404 | 9,375 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 5,774 | 5,325 |
| Provision for uncollectible overdrawn accounts | 16,766 | 15,192 |
| Employee stock-based compensation | 5,645 | 5,213 |
| Stock-based retailer incentive compensation | — | 1,906 |
| Amortization of premium on available-for-sale investment securities | 269 | 235 |
| Change in fair value of contingent consideration | — | (7,616) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 384 | 384 |
| Impairment of capitalized software | 105 | — |
| Deferred income tax expense | — | (21) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable, net | (2,428) | (2,313) |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | (4,187) | (31) |
| Deferred expenses | 5,113 | 5,800 |
| Accounts payable and other accrued liabilities | (12,448) | (9,410) |
| Amounts due to card issuing banks for overdrawn accounts | 402 | 1,169 |
| Deferred revenue | (7,458) | (5,618) |
| Income tax receivable | 18,591 | 24,091 |
| Other, net | 145 | 2 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 70,959 | 84,496 |
| | | |
| Investing activities | | |
| Purchases of available-for-sale investment securities | (38,492) | (34,631) |
| Proceeds from maturities of available-for-sale securities | 25,945 | 21,972 |
| Proceeds from sales of available-for-sale securities | 21 | 12,733 |
| Increase in restricted cash | (581) | (1,429) |
| Payments for acquisition of property and equipment | (12,182) | (14,144) |
| Decrease (increase) in loans | 5 | (265) |
| Acquisition, net of cash acquired | — | (65,209) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (25,284) | (80,973) |
| | | |
| Financing activities | | |
| Repayments of borrowings from note payable | (5,625) | (5,625) |
| Borrowings on revolving line of credit | 15,000 | 30,001 |
| Repayments on revolving line of credit | (15,000) | (30,001) |
| Proceeds from exercise of options | 2,884 | 269 |
| Excess tax benefits from exercise of options | 338 | 24 |
| Taxes paid related to net share settlement of equity awards | (1,174) | (152) |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposits | (15,211) | 78,872 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Net (decrease) increase in obligations to customers | (50,062) | 77,344 |
| Contingent consideration payments | (189) | (169) |
| Repurchase of Class A common stock | (9,013) | — |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities | (78,052) | 150,563 |
| | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in unrestricted cash, cash equivalents, and federal funds sold | (32,377) | 154,086 |
| Unrestricted cash, cash equivalents, and federal funds sold, beginning of year | 772,129 | 724,638 |
| Unrestricted cash, cash equivalents, and federal funds sold, end of year | $739,752 | $878,724 |
| | | |
| Cash paid for interest | $4,397 | $1,112 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | $140 | $779 |

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

Note 1—Organization

Green Dot Corporation (“we,” “us” and “our” refer to Green Dot Corporation and its wholly-owned subsidiaries) is a pro-consumer technology innovator with a mission to reinvent personal banking for the masses. Our products and services include: Green Dot MasterCard and Visa-branded prepaid debit cards and several co-branded reloadable prepaid card programs, collectively referred to as our GPR cards; Visa-branded gift cards; checking account products, such as GoBank, an innovative checking account developed for use via mobile phones that is available at Walmart and online; our proprietary swipe reload products referred to as our cash transfer products, which enable cash loading and transfer services through our Green Dot Network; and tax refund processing services designed to facilitate the secure receipt of a customer's income tax refund.

Our products and services are available to consumers through a large-scale "branchless bank" distribution network of more than 100,000 U.S. locations, including retailers, neighborhood financial service center locations and tax preparation offices, as well as online, in the leading app stores and through leading online tax preparation providers. The Green Dot Network enables consumers to use cash to reload our prepaid debit cards or to transfer cash to any of our Green Dot Network acceptance members, including competing prepaid card programs and other online accounts. We are also the tax refund processing service provider for several leading consumer tax preparation companies.

We market our products and services to consumers in the United States. Our products are issued by our wholly-owned subsidiary, Green Dot Bank and third-party issuing banks including The Bancorp Bank and Sunrise Banks, N.A. We also have multi-year distribution arrangements with many large and medium-sized retailers, including Walmart, Walgreens, CVS, Rite Aid, 7-Eleven, Kroger, Kmart, and Dollar Tree, and with various industry resellers, including Blackhawk Network and InComm. We refer to participating retailers collectively as our “retail distributors.” Our tax refund processing services are integrated into the offerings of partnering tax software companies, which enables us to serve approximately 25,000 independent online and in-person tax preparers and accountants nationwide.

Note 2—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements are prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America, or GAAP. We consolidated our wholly-owned subsidiaries and eliminated all significant intercompany balances and transactions.

We have also prepared the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements in conformity with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X and, consequently, they do not include all of the annual disclosures required by GAAP. Reference is made to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for additional disclosures, including a summary of our significant accounting policies. There have been no changes to our significant accounting policies during the three months ended March 31, 2016. In our opinion, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements contain all adjustments, consisting of normal and recurring items, except as otherwise noted, necessary for the fair presentation of our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the interim periods presented.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-09, Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Improvements to Employee Share-Based Payment Accounting ("ASU 2016-09") that will simplify how companies account for certain aspects of share-based payments to employees, including the accounting for income taxes upon vesting or exercise of share-based payments, classification of awards as either equity or liabilities with respect to statutory tax withholding thresholds, accounting for forfeitures, as well as certain classifications on the statement of cash flows. ASU 2016-09 is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within those annual periods. Early adoption is permitted. We are currently evaluating the impact of ASU 2016-09 on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Principal versus Agent Considerations (Reporting Revenue Gross versus Net) (“ASU 2016-08"). ASU 2016-08 clarifies the

implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. The guidance includes indicators to assist an entity in determining whether it controls a specified good or service before it is transferred to the customers. The effective date and transition requirements for the ASU is the same as the effective date and transition requirements of ASU 2014-09. We are currently in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption of the ASU on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 2—Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (continued)

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-04, Liabilities – Extinguishment of Liabilities (Subtopic 405-20): Recognition of Breakage for Certain Prepaid Stored-Value Products ("ASU 2016-04"). ASU 2016-04 aligns recognition of the financial liabilities related to prepaid stored-value products (for example, gift cards) with Topic 606, Revenues from Contracts with Customers, for non-financial liabilities. In general, these liabilities may be extinguished proportionately in earnings as redemptions occur, or when redemption is remote if issuers are not entitled to the unredeemed stored value. ASU 2016-04 is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. We are currently assessing the impact of this ASU on our consolidated financial statements.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842) ("ASU 2016-02") in order to increase transparency and comparability among organizations by recognizing lease assets and lease liabilities on the balance sheet for those leases classified as operating leases under previous GAAP. ASU 2016-02 requires that a lessee should recognize a liability to make lease payments and a right-of-use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset for leases with a term greater than 12 months. ASU 2016-02 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018 (including interim periods within those periods) using a modified retrospective approach and early adoption is permitted. We are currently in the process of evaluating the impact of adoption of the ASU on our consolidated financial statements.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU No. 2016-01, Financial Instruments – Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities ("ASU 2016-01"). ASU 2016-01 revises the classification and measurement of investments in certain equity investments and the presentation of certain fair value changes for certain financial liabilities measured at fair value. ASU 2016-01 requires the change in fair value of many equity investments to be recognized in net income. The standard is effective for interim and annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, with early adoption permitted. The adoption of the ASU may result in a cumulative adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the year of adoption. We are currently evaluating the impact of the provisions of ASU 2016-01, however, we do not expect the adoption of the ASU to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606) ("ASU 2014-09"), which supersedes nearly all existing revenue recognition guidance under GAAP. The core principle of ASU 2014-09 is to recognize revenues when promised goods or services are transferred to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which an entity expects to be entitled for those goods or services. ASU 2014-09 defines a five step process to achieve this core principle and, in doing so, more judgment and estimates may be required within the revenue recognition process than are required under existing GAAP. ASU 2014-09, as amended by ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, is effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those annual periods, with early adoption permitted for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016. We are evaluating the effect of ASU 2014-09, as well as other clarifications and technical guidance issued by the FASB related to this new revenue standard, on our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 3—Investment Securities

Our available-for-sale investment securities were as follows:

| | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized gains | Gross unrealized losses | Fair value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| March 31, 2016 | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | $34,798 | $ 10 | $ (17) | $34,791 |
| Commercial paper | 8,213 | 3 | — | 8,216 |
| U.S. Treasury notes | 21,046 | 9 | — | 21,055 |
| Agency securities | 4,026 | — | (7) | 4,019 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 107,999 | 397 | (242) | 108,154 |
| Municipal bonds | 1,928 | 7 | (30) | 1,905 |
| Asset-backed securities | 16,337 | 1 | (18) | 16,320 |
| Total investment securities | $194,347 | $ 427 | $ (314) | $194,460 |
| | | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | $33,201 | $ — | $ (47) | $33,154 |
| Commercial paper | 6,504 | 3 | (2) | 6,505 |
| U.S. Treasury notes | 17,541 | — | (16) | 17,525 |
| Agency securities | 4,034 | — | (19) | 4,015 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 100,131 | 195 | (554) | 99,772 |
| Municipal bonds | 1,954 | 11 | (65) | 1,900 |
| Asset-backed securities | 18,725 | — | (57) | 18,668 |
| Total investment securities | $182,090 | $ 209 | $ (760) | $181,539 |

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, the gross unrealized losses and fair values of available-for-sale investment securities that were in unrealized loss positions were as follows:

| | Less than 12 months | | 12 months or more | | Total fair value | Total unrealized loss |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Fair value | Unrealized loss | Fair value | Unrealized loss | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| March 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | $9,801 | $ (2) | $10,488 | $ (15) | $20,289 | $ (17) |
| Agency securities | 4,019 | (7) | — | — | 4,019 | (7) |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 22,878 | (125) | 24,269 | (117) | 47,147 | (242) |
| Municipal bonds | — | — | 1,070 | (30) | 1,070 | (30) |
| Asset-backed securities | 12,654 | (18) | — | — | 12,654 | (18) |
| Total investment securities | $49,352 | $ (152) | $35,827 | $ (162) | $85,179 | $ (314) |
| | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | $20,416 | $ (22) | $10,679 | $ (25) | $31,095 | $ (47) |
| Commercial paper | 4,322 | (2) | — | — | 4,322 | (2) |
| U.S. Treasury notes | 17,525 | (16) | — | — | 17,525 | (16) |
| Agency securities | 4,015 | (19) | — | — | 4,015 | (19) |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Mortgage-backed securities | 53,634 | (410) | 21,518 | (144) | 75,152 | (554) |
| Municipal bonds | — | — | 1,035 | (65) | 1,035 | (65) |
| Asset-backed securities | 18,668 | (57) | — | — | 18,668 | (57) |
| Total investment securities | $118,580 | $ (526) | $33,232 | $ (234) | $151,812 | $ (760) |

We did not record any other-than-temporary impairment losses during the three months ended March 31, 2016 or 2015 on our available-for-sale investment securities. We do not intend to sell these investments and we have determined that it is more likely than not that we will not be required to sell these investments before recovery of their amortized cost bases, which may be at maturity.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 3—Investment Securities (continued)
As of March 31, 2016, the contractual maturities of our available-for-sale investment securities were as follows:

| | Amortized cost | Fair value |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Due in one year or less | $56,110 | $56,092 |
| Due after one year through five years | 15,114 | 15,131 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 318 | 320 |
| Due after ten years | 1,100 | 1,070 |
| Mortgage and asset-backed securities | 121,705 | 121,847 |
| Total investment securities | $194,347 | $194,460 |

The expected payments on mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities may not coincide with their contractual maturities because the issuers have the right to call or prepay certain obligations.
Note 4—Accounts Receivable
Accounts receivable, net consisted of the following:

| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Overdrawn account balances due from cardholders | $13,407 | $ 10,198 |
| Reserve for uncollectible overdrawn accounts | (11,088 ) | (7,999 ) |
| Net overdrawn account balances due from cardholders | 2,319 | 2,199 |
| | | |
| Trade receivables | 1,263 | 10,644 |
| Reserve for uncollectible trade receivables | (207 ) | (58 ) |
| Net trade receivables | 1,056 | 10,586 |
| | | |
| Receivables due from card issuing banks | 11,735 | 8,852 |
| Fee advances | 2,440 | 11,621 |
| Other receivables | 10,102 | 8,895 |
| Accounts receivable, net | $27,652 | $ 42,153 |

Activity in the reserve for uncollectible overdrawn accounts consisted of the following:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | $7,999 | $11,196 |
| Provision for uncollectible overdrawn accounts: | | |
| Fees | 14,851 | 13,644 |
| Purchase transactions | 1,915 | 1,548 |
| Charge-offs | (13,677 ) | (13,808 ) |
| Balance, end of period | $11,088 | $12,580 |

Note 5—Property and Equipment
During the three months ended March 31, 2016 we recorded impairment charges of $0.1 million, associated with capitalized internal-use software we determined were no longer viable and any remaining carrying value was written

off. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we did not record any impairment charges. The impairment charge is included within other general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statements of operations.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 6—Loans to Bank Customers

The following table presents total outstanding loans, gross of the related allowance for loan losses, and a summary of the related payment status:

| | 30-59 Days Past Due | 60-89 Days Past Due | 90 Days or More Past Due | Total Past Due | Total Current or Less Than 30 Days Past Due | Total Outstanding |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | | | | | |
| March 31, 2016 | | | | | | |
| Residential | $— | $ — | $— | $— | $3,893 | $ 3,893 |
| Commercial | — | — | — | — | 380 | 380 |
| Installment | 1 | — | — | 1 | 2,278 | 2,279 |
| Total loans | $1 | $ — | $— | $1 | $6,551 | $ 6,552 |
| Percentage of outstanding | — % | —% | — % | — % | 100.0 % | 100.0 % |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | | | |
| Residential | $— | $ — | $— | $— | $3,863 | $ 3,863 |
| Commercial | — | — | 19 | 19 | 294 | 313 |
| Installment | 2 | — | — | 2 | 2,527 | 2,529 |
| Total loans | $2 | $ — | $19 | $21 | $6,684 | $ 6,705 |
| Percentage of outstanding | — % | —% | 0.3 % | 0.3 % | 99.7 % | 100.0 % |

Nonperforming Loans

The following table presents the carrying value, gross of the related allowance for loan losses, of our nonperforming loans. See Note 2–Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015 for further information on the criteria for classification as nonperforming.

| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Residential | $423 | $ 366 |
| Commercial | — | 19 |
| Installment | 271 | 279 |
| Total loans | $694 | $ 664 |

Credit Quality Indicators

We closely monitor and assess the credit quality and credit risk of our loan portfolio on an ongoing basis. We continuously review and update loan risk classifications. We evaluate our loans using non-classified or classified as the primary credit quality indicator. Classified loans are those loans that have demonstrated credit weakness where we believe there is a heightened risk of principal loss, including all impaired loans. Classified loans are generally internally categorized as substandard, doubtful or loss, consistent with regulatory guidelines.

The table below presents the carrying value, gross of the related allowance for loan losses, of our loans within the primary credit quality indicators related to our loan portfolio:

| | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Non-Classified | Classified | Non-Classified | Classified |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Residential | $3,377 | $ 516 | $3,404 | $ 459 |
| Commercial | 380 | — | 294 | 19 |
| Installment | 1,896 | 383 | 2,173 | 356 |
| Total loans | $5,653 | $ 899 | $5,871 | $ 834 |

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 6—Loans to Bank Customers (continued)
Impaired Loans and Troubled Debt Restructurings
When, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, we grant a concession for other than an insignificant period of time to a borrower that we would not otherwise consider, the related loan is classified as a Troubled Debt Restructuring, or TDR. Our TDR modifications involve an extension of the maturity date at a stated interest rate lower than the current market rate for new debt with similar risk. The following table presents our impaired loans and loans that we modified as TDRs as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

| | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Unpaid Principal Balance | Carrying Value | Unpaid Principal Balance | Carrying Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Residential | $22 | $ 18 | $ 24 | $ 19 |
| Commercial | — | — | 257 | 19 |
| Installment | 267 | 129 | 241 | 128 |

Allowance for Loan Losses
Activity in the allowance for loan losses consisted of the following:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | $426 | $444 |
| Provision for loans | (151) | (73) |
| Loans charged off | — | (35) |
| Recoveries of loans previously charged off | 3 | 4 |
| Balance, end of period | $278 | $340 |

Note 7—Employee Stock-Based Compensation
We currently grant restricted equity awards to employees and directors under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan. Additionally, through our 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, employees are able to purchase shares of our Class A common stock at a discount through payroll deductions. We have reserved shares of our Class A common stock for issuance under these plans.
The following table summarizes restricted stock units granted under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | |
| Restricted stock units granted | 418 | 337 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Weighted-average grant-date fair value | $21.79 | $19.48 |

We issued no stock options during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015.

Included in the number of restricted stock units granted during the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 are performance-based restricted stock units of our Class A common stock of 236,973 and 242,587, respectively, that we granted to certain executive employees under our 2010 Equity Incentive Plan.

The total stock-based compensation expense recognized was $5.6 million and $5.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Total stock-based compensation expense includes amounts related to awards of stock options and restricted stock units and purchases under our 2010 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 8—Deposits

Deposits are categorized as non-interest or interest-bearing deposits as follows:

| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Non-interest bearing deposit accounts | | |
| GPR deposits | $566,353 | $ 610,652 |
| Other demand deposits | 49,305 | 23,644 |
| Total non-interest bearing deposit accounts | 615,658 | 634,296 |
| Interest-bearing deposit accounts | | |
| Negotiable order of withdrawal (NOW) | 1,005 | 851 |
| Savings | 12,108 | 8,848 |
| Time deposits, denominations greater than or equal to $100 | 6,274 | 6,268 |
| Time deposits, denominations less than $100 | 1,889 | 1,882 |
| Total interest-bearing deposit accounts | 21,276 | 17,849 |
| Total deposits | $636,934 | $ 652,145 |

The scheduled contractual maturities for total time deposits are presented in the table below:

| | March 31, 2016 (In thousands) |
|---|---|
| Due in 2016 | $ 3,184 |
| Due in 2017 | 2,840 |
| Due in 2018 | 464 |
| Due in 2019 | 342 |
| Due in 2020 | 1,316 |
| Thereafter | 17 |
| Total time deposits | $ 8,163 |

Note 9—Note Payable

In October 2014, we entered into a $225.0 million credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as an administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and the other lenders party thereto. The credit agreement provides for 1) a $75.0 million five years revolving facility (the "Revolving Facility") and 2) a five years $150.0 million term loan facility ("Term Facility" and, together with the Revolving Facility, the “Senior Credit Facility"). The credit agreement also includes an accordion feature that, subject to securing additional commitments from existing lenders or new lending institutions, will allow us to increase the aggregate amount of these facilities by up to an additional $50.0 million.

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, our outstanding debt, net of deferred financing costs of $5.5 million and $5.8 million, respectively, consisted of the following:

| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|---|---|
| | (In thousands) | |
| Term facility | $116,411 | $ 121,652 |
| Revolving facility | — | — |
| Total notes payable | $116,411 | $ 121,652 |

Quarterly principal payments of $5.6 million are payable on the loans under the Term Facility. During each of the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we made scheduled quarterly principal payments totaling $5.6 million. The Senior Credit Facility matures on October 23, 2019 and any amounts then outstanding are due upon maturity.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 9—Note Payable (continued)

Interest

At our election, loans made under the credit agreement bear interest at 1) a LIBOR rate (the “LIBOR Rate") or 2) a base rate determined by reference to the highest of (a) the Bank of America prime rate, (b) the United States federal funds rate plus 0.50% and (c) a daily rate equal to one-month LIBOR rate plus 1.0% (the “Base Rate"), plus in either case an applicable margin. The applicable margin for borrowings depends on our total leverage ratio and varies from 2.50% to 3.00% for LIBOR Rate loans and 1.50% to 2.00% for Base Rate loans. The effective interest rate on borrowings outstanding as of March 31, 2016 was 3.18%. Interest expense, excluding the amortization of debt issuance costs, related to our Senior Credit Facility was $1.1 million and $1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Covenants and restrictions

The Senior Credit Facility contains customary representations and warranties relating to us and our subsidiaries. The Senior Credit Facility also contains certain affirmative and negative covenants including negative covenants that limit or restrict, among other things, liens, indebtedness, investments and acquisitions, mergers and fundamental changes, asset sales, restricted payments, changes in the nature of the business, transactions with affiliates and other matters customarily restricted in such agreements. We must maintain a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each fiscal quarter, as set forth in the credit agreement. At March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Note 10—Income Taxes

Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 differs from the amount computed by applying the statutory federal income tax rate to income before income taxes. The sources and tax effects of the differences are as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| U.S. federal statutory tax rate | 35.0 % | 35.0 % |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 2.9 | 2.0 |
| General business credits | (2.6 ) | (0.7 ) |
| Employee stock-based compensation | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Other | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Effective tax rate | 36.8 % | 38.0 % |

The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 differs from the statutory federal income tax rate of 35% primarily due to state income taxes, net of federal tax benefit, general business credits and non-deductible employee stock-based compensation. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2016 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015 is primarily due to a benefit that we recognized in the first quarter of 2016 related to the permanent reinstatement of general business credits.

We establish a valuation allowance when we consider it more-likely-than-not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. As of March 31, 2016 and 2015, we did not have a valuation allowance on any of our deferred tax assets as we believed it was more-likely-than-not that we would realize the benefits of our deferred tax assets.

We are subject to examination by the Internal Revenue Service, or IRS, and various state tax authorities. Our consolidated federal income tax returns for the five-months ended December 31, 2009 and the years ended December 31, 2010, 2011 and 2012 are currently under examination by the IRS. We remain subject to examination of our federal

income tax return for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2014. We generally remain subject to examination of our various state income tax returns for a period of four to five years from the respective dates the returns were filed.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 10—Income Taxes (continued)

As of March 31, 2016, we have net operating loss carryforwards of approximately $46.2 million and $31.9 million for federal and state tax purposes, respectively, which will be available to offset future income. If not used, these carryforwards will expire between 2017 and 2035. In addition, we have state business tax credits of approximately $5.8 million that can be carried forward indefinitely and other state business tax credits of approximately $0.9 million that will expire 2025.

As of March 31, 2016 and 2015, we had a liability of $8.3 million and $6.9 million, respectively, for unrecognized tax benefits related to various federal and state income tax matters excluding interest, penalties and related tax benefits.

The reconciliation of the beginning unrecognized tax benefits balance to the ending balance is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Beginning balance | $7,371 | $6,190 |
| Increases related to positions taken during prior years | — | — |
| Increases related to positions taken during the current year | 889 | 676 |
| Ending balance | $8,260 | $6,866 |
| | | |
| The total amount of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate | $8,260 | $6,866 |

We recognized accrued interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2016 and 2015, of approximately $0.9 million and $0.7 million, respectively.

Note 11—Stockholders' Equity

Stock Repurchase Program

In June 2015, our Board of Directors authorized, subject to regulatory approval, a repurchase of shares of our Class A Common Stock in an amount up to $150 million under a stock repurchase program with no expiration date. The stock repurchase program may be carried out at the direction of management, subject to the limitations set forth in Rule 10b-18 of the Securities and Exchange Commission and other legal requirements, and any further limitations that may be established by the Board of Directors. Repurchases may be made through open market purchases, block trades, and in negotiated private transactions. The stock may be repurchased on an ongoing basis and will be subject to the availability of stock, general market conditions, the trading price of the stock, alternative uses for capital and our financial performance. As of March 31, 2016, we have repurchased $50 million of Class A Common Stock under the repurchase program. As further discussed below, subsequent to March 31, 2016, our accelerated share repurchase agreement with a financial institution became effective, bringing our total repurchases under our stock repurchase plan since inception to $100 million.

Accelerated Share Repurchases

We have entered, and in the future may enter, into accelerated share repurchase arrangements (“ASRs”) with financial institutions. In exchange for an up-front payment, the financial institution delivers shares of our Class A Common Stock during the purchase periods of each ASR. Upon settlement, we will either receive additional shares from the financial institution or we may be required to deliver additional shares or cash to the financial institution, at our election. The final number of shares received upon settlement for the ASR will be determined based on the volume-weighted average price of our common stock over the term of the agreement less an agreed upon discount and subject to adjustments pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ASR. The shares received are retired in the periods they are delivered, but remain authorized for registration and issuance in the future.

The up-front payments are accounted for as a reduction to shareholders' equity on our consolidated balance sheets in the periods the payments are made. The ASRs are accounted for in two separate transactions: 1) a treasury stock repurchase for the initial shares received and 2) a forward stock purchase contract indexed to our own stock for the unsettled portion of the ASR. The par value of the shares received are recorded as a reduction to common stock with the remainder recorded as a reduction to additional paid-in capital and retained earnings. The ASRs meet all of the applicable criteria for equity classification, and therefore are not accounted for as derivative instruments. The initial repurchase of shares resulted in an immediate reduction of the outstanding shares used to calculate the weighted-average common shares outstanding for basic and diluted earnings per share.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 11—Stockholders' Equity (continued)
The following table shows our ASR activity since inception of the stock repurchase program:

| | Purchase Period End Date | Number of Shares (In thousands) | | Average repurchase price per share | ASR Amount (In thousands) |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| April 2016 ASR [2] | October 2016 | 1,867 | [1] | [1] | $ 50,000 |
| September 2015 ASR | January 2016 | 2,342 | | $ 17.08 | $ 40,000 |

(1) "Number of Shares" represents those shares delivered in the beginning of the purchase period and does not represent the final number of shares to be delivered under the ASR. The total number of shares ultimately delivered, and therefore the average repurchase price paid per share, will be determined at the end of the applicable purchase period based on the volume-weighted average price of our common stock during that period. The April 2016 ASR purchase period will end in or before October 2016.

(2) In February 2016, we entered into an ASR agreement with a financial institution. The agreement was not deemed effective until April 2016, at which point we made an up-front payment of $50 million in exchange for approximately 1.9 million shares. The initial shares received are treated as reduction to our total common shares outstanding beginning in April 2016. Final settlement is scheduled to occur during the fourth quarter of 2016.

Other
In connection with the Repurchase Program, we entered into a repurchase plan in December 2015 under Rule 10b5-1 of the Exchange Act for $10 million. The timing, nature and amount of purchases depend on a variety of factors, including market conditions and the volume limit defined by Rule 10b-18. During the three months ended March 31, 2016, we repurchased a total of 0.5 million shares at an average price of $16.11 under this plan. As of March 31, 2016, we completed all repurchases under this plan and total repurchases amounted to approximately 0.6 million shares at an average price of $16.15.

Note 12—Earnings per Common Share
The calculation of basic and diluted EPS was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands, except per share data) | |
| Basic earnings per Class A common share | | |
| Net income | $32,882 | $40,813 |
| Income attributable to preferred stock | (972) | (1,165) |
| Income attributable to common stock subject to repurchase | — | (81) |
| Net income allocated to Class A common stockholders | $31,910 | $39,567 |
| Weighted-average Class A shares issued and outstanding | 49,863 | 51,448 |
| Basic earnings per Class A common share | $0.64 | $0.77 |
| | | |
| Diluted earnings per Class A common share | | |
| Net income allocated to Class A common stockholders | $31,910 | $39,567 |
| Re-allocated earnings | 19 | 11 |
| Diluted net income allocated to Class A common stockholders | 31,929 | 39,578 |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Weighted-average Class A shares issued and outstanding | 49,863 | 51,448 |
| Dilutive potential common shares: | | |
| Stock options | 343 | 281 |
| Restricted stock units | 630 | 193 |
| Employee stock purchase plan | 31 | 16 |
| Diluted weighted-average Class A shares issued and outstanding | 50,867 | 51,938 |
| Diluted earnings per Class A common share | $0.63 | $0.76 |

For the periods presented, we excluded all shares of convertible preferred stock and certain restricted stock units and stock options outstanding, which could potentially dilute basic EPS in the future, from the computation of diluted EPS as their effect was anti-dilutive. The following table shows the weighted-average number of anti-dilutive shares excluded from the diluted EPS calculation:

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 12—Earnings per Common Share (continued)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Class A common stock | | |
| Options to purchase Class A common stock | 326 | 795 |
| Restricted stock units | 8 | 122 |
| Conversion of convertible preferred stock | 1,519 | 1,515 |
| Total options, restricted stock units and convertible preferred stock | 1,853 | 2,432 |

Note 13—Fair Value Measurements

Under applicable accounting guidance, fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date.

We determine the fair values of our financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy established under applicable accounting guidance which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. There are three levels of inputs used to measure fair value. For more information regarding the fair value hierarchy and how we measure fair value, see Note 2–Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, our assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows:

| | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total Fair Value |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| March 31, 2016 | (In thousands) | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Corporate bonds | $— | $34,791 | $— | $34,791 |
| Commercial paper | — | 8,216 | — | 8,216 |
| U.S. Treasury notes | — | 21,055 | — | 21,055 |
| Agency securities | — | 4,019 | — | 4,019 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | — | 108,154 | — | 108,154 |
| Municipal bonds | — | 1,905 | — | 1,905 |
| Asset-backed securities | — | 16,320 | — | 16,320 |
| Total assets | $— | $194,460 | $— | $194,460 |
| | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Contingent consideration | $— | | $13,700 | $13,700 |
| | | | | |
| December 31, 2015 | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|---|---|---|
| Corporate bonds | $— | $33,154 | $— | $33,154 |
| Commercial paper | — | 6,505 | — | 6,505 |
| U.S. Treasury notes | — | 17,525 | — | 17,525 |
| Agency securities | — | 4,015 | — | 4,015 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | — | 99,772 | — | 99,772 |
| Municipal bonds | — | 1,900 | — | 1,900 |
| Asset-backed securities | — | 18,668 | — | 18,668 |
| Total assets | $— | $181,539 | $— | $181,539 |
| | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Contingent consideration | $— | $— | $13,889 | $13,889 |

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 13—Fair Value Measurements (continued)

We based the fair value of our fixed income securities held as of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 on quoted prices in active markets for similar assets. We had no transfers between Level 1, Level 2 or Level 3 assets or liabilities during the three months ended March 31, 2016 or 2015.

The following table presents changes in our contingent consideration payable for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, which is categorized in Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Balance, beginning of period | $13,889 | $23,160 |
| Payments of contingent consideration | (189) | (169) |
| Change in fair value of contingent consideration | — | (7,616) |
| Balance, end of period | $13,700 | $15,375 |

Note 14—Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The following describes the valuation technique for determining the fair value of financial instruments, whether or not such instruments are carried at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets.

Short-term Financial Instruments

Our short-term financial instruments consist principally of unrestricted and restricted cash and cash equivalents, federal funds sold, settlement assets and obligations, and obligations to customers. These financial instruments are short-term in nature, and, accordingly, we believe their carrying amounts approximate their fair values. Under the fair value hierarchy, these instruments are classified as Level 1.

Investment Securities

The fair values of investment securities have been derived using methodologies referenced in Note 2–Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to the Consolidated Financial Statements of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. Under the fair value hierarchy, our investment securities are classified as Level 2.

Loans

We determined the fair values of loans by discounting both principal and interest cash flows expected to be collected using a discount rate commensurate with the risk that we believe a market participant would consider in determining fair value. Under the fair value hierarchy, our loans are classified as Level 3.

Deposits

The fair value of demand and interest checking deposits and savings deposits is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date. We determined the fair value of time deposits by discounting expected future cash flows using market-derived rates based on our market yields on certificates of deposit, by maturity, at the measurement date. Under the fair value hierarchy, our deposits are classified as Level 2.

Contingent Consideration

The fair value of contingent consideration obligations are estimated through valuation models designed to estimate the probability of such contingent payments based on various assumptions. Estimated payments are discounted using present value techniques to arrive at an estimated fair value. Our contingent consideration payable is classified as Level 3 because we use unobservable inputs to estimate fair value, including the probability of achieving certain earnings thresholds and appropriate discount rates. Changes in fair value of contingent consideration are recorded through operating expenses.

Note Payable

The fair value of our note payable is based on borrowing rates currently available to a market participant for loans with similar terms or maturity. The carrying amount of our note payable approximates fair value because the base interest rate charged varies with market conditions and the credit spread is commensurate with current market spreads for issuers of similar risk. The fair value of the note payable is classified as a Level 2 liability in the fair value hierarchy.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 14—Fair Value of Financial Instruments (continued)
Fair Value of Financial Instruments
The carrying values and fair values of certain financial instruments that were not carried at fair value, excluding short-term financial instruments for which the carrying value approximates fair value, at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 are presented in the table below.

| | March 31, 2016 | | December 31, 2015 | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Carrying Value | Fair Value | Carrying Value | Fair Value |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Financial Assets | | | | |
| Loans to bank customers, net of allowance | $6,274 | $5,578 | $6,279 | $5,847 |
| | | | | |
| Financial Liabilities | | | | |
| Deposits | $636,934 | $636,860 | $652,145 | $652,060 |
| Note payable | $116,411 | $116,411 | $121,652 | $121,652 |

Note 15—Commitments and Contingencies
We monitor the laws of all 50 states to identify state laws or regulations that apply (or may apply) to our products and services. We have obtained money transmitter licenses (or similar such licenses) where applicable, based on advice of counsel or when we have been requested to do so. If we were found to be in violation of any laws and regulations governing banking, money transmitters, electronic fund transfers, or money laundering in the United States or abroad, we could be subject to penalties or could be forced to change our business practices.
In the ordinary course of business, we are a party to various legal proceedings. We review these actions on an ongoing basis to determine whether it is probable and estimable that a loss has occurred and use that information when making accrual and disclosure decisions. We have not established reserves or possible ranges of losses related to these proceedings because, at this time in the proceedings, the matters do not relate to a probable loss and/or the amounts are not reasonably estimable.
From time to time we enter into contracts containing provisions that contingently require us to indemnify various parties against claims from third parties. These contracts primarily relate to: (i) contracts with our card issuing banks, under which we are responsible to them for any unrecovered overdrafts on cardholders' accounts; (ii) certain real estate leases, under which we may be required to indemnify property owners for environmental and other liabilities, and other claims arising from our use of the premises; (iii) certain agreements with our officers, directors, and employees, under which we may be required to indemnify these persons for liabilities arising out of their relationship with us; and (iv) contracts under which we may be required to indemnify our retail distributors, suppliers, vendors and other parties with whom we have contracts against claims arising from certain of our actions, omissions, violations of law and/or infringement of patents, trademarks, copyrights and/or other intellectual property rights.
Generally, a maximum obligation under these contracts is not explicitly stated. Because the obligated amounts associated with these types of agreements are not explicitly stated, the overall maximum amount of the obligation cannot be reasonably estimated. With the exception of overdrafts on cardholders' accounts, historically, we have not been required to make payments under these and similar contingent obligations, and no liabilities have been recorded for these obligations in our consolidated balance sheets.
For additional information regarding overdrafts on cardholders' accounts, refer to Note 4 — Accounts Receivable.
As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had $1.5 million outstanding in standby letters of credit related to our corporate facility lease.
Note 16—Significant Customer Concentration

A credit concentration may exist if customers are involved in similar industries, economic sectors, and geographic regions. Our retail distributors operate in similar economic sectors but diverse domestic geographic regions. The loss of a significant retail distributor could have a material adverse effect upon our card sales, profitability, and revenue growth.

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 16—Significant Customer Concentration (continued)
Revenues derived from our products sold at retail distributors constituting greater than 10% of our total operating revenues were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Walmart | 36% | 40% |

Excluding stock-based retailer incentive compensation of $0 and $1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively, revenues derived from our products sold at retail distributors constituting greater than 10% of our total operating revenues were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Walmart | 36% | 40% |

The concentration of GPR cards activated (in units) and the concentration of sales of cash transfer products (in units) derived from our products sold at our four largest retail distributors, including Walmart, was as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| Concentration of GPR cards activated (in units) | 55% | 61% |
| Concentration of sales of cash transfer products (in units) | 74% | 81% |

Settlement assets derived from our products sold at our four largest retail distributors comprised the following percentages of the settlement assets recorded on our consolidated balance sheets:

| | March 31, 2016 | December 31, 2015 |
|---|---|---|
| Walmart | 29% | 62% |
| Three other largest retail distributors, as a group | 5% | 9% |

Note 17—Segment Information
Our operations are comprised of two reportable segments: 1) Account Services and 2) Processing and Settlement Services. We identified our reportable segments based on factors such as how we manage our operations and how our chief operating decision maker views results. Our chief operating decision maker organizes and manages our business primarily on the basis of product and service offerings and uses operating income to assess profitability.
The Account Services segment consists of revenues and expenses derived from our branded and private label deposit account programs. These programs include Green Dot-branded and affinity-branded GPR card accounts, private label GPR card accounts, checking accounts and open-loop gift cards. The Processing and Settlement Services segment consists of revenues and expenses derived from reload services through the Green Dot Network and our tax refund processing services. The Corporate and Other segment primarily consists of eliminations of intersegment revenues and expenses, unallocated corporate expenses, depreciation and amortization, and other costs that are not considered when management evaluates segment performance. We do not evaluate performance or allocate resources based on segment

asset data, and therefore such information is not presented.

The following tables present certain financial information for each of our reportable segments for the periods then ended:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2016 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Account Services | Processing and Settlement Services | Corporate and Other | Total |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Operating revenues | $145,140 | $ 91,370 | $(8,486) | $228,024 |
| Operating expenses | 119,152 | 39,022 | 15,364 | 173,538 |
| Operating income | $25,988 | $ 52,348 | $(23,850) | $54,486 |

Table of Contents
GREEN DOT CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS – (CONTINUED)

Note 17—Segment Information (continued)

| | Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Account Services | Processing and Settlement Services | Corporate and Other | Total |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Operating revenues | $147,859 | $ 90,176 | $(10,870) | $227,165 |
| Operating expenses | 118,153 | 36,858 | 6,258 | 161,269 |
| Operating income | $29,706 | $ 53,318 | $(17,128) | $65,896 |

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, including this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, contains forward-looking statements regarding future events and our future results that are subject to the safe harbors created under the Securities Act of 1933 and the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"). All statements other than statements of historical facts are statements that could be deemed to be forward-looking statements. These statements are based on current expectations, estimates, forecasts and projections about the industries in which we operate and the beliefs and assumptions of our management. Words such as "expects," "anticipates," "targets," "goals," "projects," "intends," "plans," "believes," "seeks," "estimates," "continues," "endeavors," "str "assumes," variations of such words and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. In addition, any statements that refer to projections of our future financial performance, our anticipated growth and trends in our businesses, and other characterizations of future events or circumstances are forward-looking statements. Readers are cautioned that these forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties, and assumptions that are difficult to predict, including those identified below, under "Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors," and elsewhere herein. Therefore, actual results may differ materially and adversely from those expressed in any forward-looking statements. We undertake no obligation to revise or update any forward-looking statements for any reason.

In this Quarterly Report, unless otherwise specified or the context otherwise requires, "Green Dot," "we," "us," and "our" refer to Green Dot Corporation and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Overview

Green Dot Corporation, along with its wholly owned subsidiaries, is a pro-consumer financial technology innovator with a mission to reinvent personal banking for the masses. We are the largest provider of reloadable prepaid debit cards and cash reload processing services in the United States. We are also a leader in mobile technology and mobile banking with our award-winning GoBank mobile checking account. Additionally, we are the largest processor of tax refund disbursements in the U.S. Our products and services are available to consumers through a large-scale "branchless bank" distribution network of more than 100,000 U.S. locations, including retailers, neighborhood financial service center locations and tax preparation offices, as well as online, in the leading app stores and through leading online tax preparation providers.

Financial Results and Trends

Our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015 were as follows:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 | Change | % |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | |
| Total operating revenues | $228,024 | $227,165 | $ 859 | 0.4 % |
| Total operating expenses | 173,538 | 161,269 | 12,269 | 7.6 % |
| Net income | 32,882 | 40,813 | (7,931) | (19.4)% |

Total operating revenues

Our total operating revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2016 were flat compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015. Despite a year-over-year decrease in the number of active cards in our portfolio primarily as a result of our suspension of our MoneyPak PIN product in the first quarter of 2015, our Account Services segment generated greater revenue per number of active cards. We believe this increase is reflective of a higher quality of customers underlying our portfolio as we entered the first quarter of 2016. Our Account Services segment recently began the roll out of a new suite of prepaid card products at our retailers. These new products carry higher unit economics than the existing cards in our portfolio. Given the recent launch, these new products comprised a small portion of the number of active cards in our portfolio and thus had a minimal impact on our first quarter financial results. While we expect our new cards to contribute more to our operating revenues throughout the year, it is difficult to predict the overall impact these new cards will have on our portfolio base until they are fully adopted by our customers.

Total operating revenues from our Processing and Settlement Services segment, which consists of tax refund processing services, cash transfer revenues and MoneyPak fees, were flat for the three months ended March 31, 2016 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2015 on slightly lower number of cash transfers and tax refunds

processed. The number of cash transfers was adversely impacted by the suspension of our MoneyPak PIN product in the first quarter of 2015. In April 2016, we announced the re-launch of an enhanced version of our MoneyPak PIN product at certain retailers and expect to continue to roll out at additional retailers throughout the remainder of the year.

Table of Contents

While we expect the new version of our MoneyPak product to offset some of the lost revenues in our cash transfer business, it is difficult to anticipate the impact this product will have on the number of cash transfers processed until it is fully in store at all of our retail locations. We believe the year-over-year decline in the number of tax refunds processed to be primarily attributable to the timing of when the refunds are processed by the Internal Revenue Service during the current tax season. We expect the total number of tax refunds processed during the first half of 2016 will be consistent with the prior year. Due to seasonality, our revenues from our tax refund processing services are generated predominantly during the first half of the year and are minimal during the remaining quarters of the year.

Total operating expenses

Our total operating expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2016 increased from the three months ended March 31, 2015 due primarily to an increase in other general and administrative expenses driven by changes in the fair value of our contingent consideration and higher depreciation and amortization associated with our property and equipment. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, we recorded a $7.6 million favorable adjustment to the fair value of contingent consideration related to our acquisitions in 2014. This reduction in fair value was based primarily on the lower likelihood of our tax refund processing business achieving its earn-out performance targets, thereby decreasing our general and administrative expenses for the prior year. There were no fair value adjustments determined necessary for the three months ended March 31, 2016. The impact that this contingent consideration will have on our 2016 financial results will depend upon the financial performance of those acquired subsidiaries. The increase in depreciation and amortization expense is associated with our recent investments in technology to support new product launches and improve our core infrastructure. For additional information on depreciation and amortization related to internal-use software, refer to Note 7 — Property and Equipment in our latest Annual Report on Form 10-K.

We renewed our Walmart MoneyCard agreement in June 2015. The term of the agreement is retroactive to May 1, 2015 and expires on May 1, 2020, with an automatic renewal clause for an additional period of two years, subject to certain terms as discussed in the agreement. Revenues generated under the MoneyCard program represented a substantial portion of our total operating revenues in all periods presented. Under this new agreement, the sales commission rate we pay to Walmart for the MoneyCard program increased from the prior agreement. Additionally, we are rolling out our new suite of prepaid card products and an enhanced version of our MoneyPak PIN product at our retail distributors during the first half of 2016. Consequently, our sales and marketing expenses during the three months ended March 31, 2016 have been and for the first half of 2016 will be materially impacted by the increased commission rate and higher costs of manufacturing and distributing card packages to our retail distributors.

Income tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2016 was $19.1 million compared to $25.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Income tax expense decreased from the comparable period primarily as a result of generating lower income before income taxes and an overall lower effective tax rate.

Table of Contents

Key Metrics

We review a number of metrics to help us monitor the performance of, and identify trends affecting, our business. We believe the following measures are the primary indicators of our quarterly and annual revenues.

Number of Cash Transfers — represents the total number of reload transactions that we conducted through our retail distributors in a specified period. We processed 9.71 million and 10.09 million reload transactions in the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We review this metric as a measure of the size and scale of our retail cash reload network, as an indicator of customer engagement and usage of our products and services, and to analyze cash transfer revenue, which is a key component of our financial performance.

Number of Tax Refunds Processed — represents the total number of tax refunds processed in a specified period through TPG. We processed 8.18 million and 8.52 million tax refund transactions in the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. Due to seasonality, the number of tax refunds processed are most concentrated during the first half of each year and are minimal during the second half of each year. We review this metric as a measure of the size and scale of our tax refund processing platform and as an indicator of customer engagement and usage of our products and services.

Number of Active Cards — represents the total number of GPR cards and checking accounts in our portfolio that had a purchase, reload or ATM withdrawal transaction during the previous 90-day period. We had 4.75 million and 5.38 million active cards outstanding as of March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We review this metric and the associated revenue per number of active cards as measures of the overall size and scale of our GPR card portfolio and indicators of customer engagement and usage of our products and services.

Gross Dollar Volume — represents the total dollar volume of funds processed and settled by our consolidated enterprise, excluding tax refunds processed by TPG. Our gross dollar volume was $6.6 billion and $6.4 billion for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, respectively. We review this metric as a measure of the size and scale of our processing infrastructure and as an indicator of customer engagement and usage of our products and services.

Purchase Volume — represents the total dollar volume of purchase transactions made by customers using our GPR, checking account and gift card products. This metric excludes the dollar volume of ATM withdrawals. Our purchase volume was $4.7 billion for each of the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015. We use this metric to analyze interchange revenue, which is a key component of our financial performance.

Table of Contents

Key components of our results of operations

Operating Revenues

We classify our operating revenues into the following four categories:

Card Revenues and Other Fees — Card revenues consist of monthly maintenance fees, ATM fees, new card fees and other revenues. We charge maintenance fees on GPR cards and checking accounts, such as GoBank, to cardholders on a monthly basis pursuant to the terms and conditions in our cardholder agreements. We charge ATM fees to cardholders when they withdraw money at certain ATMs in accordance with the terms and conditions in our cardholder agreements. We charge new card fees, if applicable, when a consumer purchases a GPR card, gift card, or a checking account product. Other revenues consist primarily of revenue associated with our gift card program, transaction-based fees and fees associated with optional products or services, which we offer to cardholders from time to time.

Our aggregate monthly maintenance fee revenues vary primarily based upon the number of active cards in our portfolio and the average fee assessed per account. Our average monthly maintenance fee per active account depends upon the mix of products in our portfolio at any given point in time and upon the extent to which fees are waived based on various incentives provided to customers in an effort to encourage higher usage and retention. Our aggregate ATM fee revenues vary based upon the number of cardholder ATM transactions and the average fee per ATM transaction. The average fee per ATM transaction depends upon the mix of products in our portfolio at any given point in time and the extent to which cardholders use ATMs within our free network that carry no fee for cash withdrawal transactions. Our aggregate new card fee revenues vary based upon the number of GPR cards and checking accounts activated and the average new card fee. The average new card fee depends primarily upon the mix of products that we sell since there are variations in new account fees based on the product and/or the location or source where our products are purchased. Our aggregate other fees vary primarily based upon account sales of all types, gift card sales, purchase transactions and the number of active accounts in our portfolio.

Processing and Settlement Service Revenues — Processing and settlement service revenues consist of cash transfer revenues and tax refund processing service revenues. We earn cash transfer revenues when consumers fund their cards through a reload transaction at a Green Dot Network retail location. Our aggregate cash transfer revenues vary based upon the mix of locations where reload transactions occur, since reload fees vary by location. We earn tax refund processing service revenues when a customer of a third party tax preparation company chooses to pay their tax preparation fee through the use of our tax refund processing services.

Interchange Revenues — We earn interchange revenues from fees remitted by the merchant’s bank, which are based on rates established by the payment networks, when customers make purchase transactions using our products. Our aggregate interchange revenues vary based primarily on the number of active cards in our portfolio, the average transactional volume of the active cards in our portfolio and on the mix of cardholder purchases between those using signature identification technologies and those using personal identification numbers and the corresponding rates.

Stock-based Retailer Incentive Compensation — In May 2010, we issued to Walmart 2,208,552 shares of our Class A common stock, subject to our right to repurchase them at $0.01 per share upon a qualifying termination of our prepaid card program agreement with Walmart. Prior to May 2015, we recognized each month the fair value of the 36,810 shares issued to Walmart as to which our right to repurchase lapsed using the then-current fair market value of our Class A common stock. We recorded the fair value recognized as stock-based retailer incentive compensation, a contra-revenue component of our total operating revenues. Beginning in May 2015, we no longer record stock-based retailer compensation as a result of our repurchase right lapsing completely. There is no additional stock-based retailer compensation under the terms of the renewed Walmart MoneyCard agreement.

Operating Expenses

We classify our operating expenses into the following four categories:

Sales and Marketing Expenses — Sales and marketing expenses consist primarily of the sales commissions we pay to our retail distributors and brokers, advertising and marketing expenses, and the costs of manufacturing and distributing card packages, placards and promotional materials to our retail distributors and personalized GPR and GoBank cards to consumers who have activated their cards. We generally establish sales commission percentages in long-term distribution agreements with our retail distributors, and aggregate sales commissions are determined by the

number of prepaid cards, checking account products and cash transfers sold at their respective retail stores and, in certain cases, by the revenue generated from the ongoing use of those cards. We incur advertising and marketing expenses for television, online and in-store promotions. Advertising and marketing expenses are recognized as incurred and typically deliver a benefit over an extended period of time. For this reason, these expenses do not always track

Table of Contents

changes in our operating revenues. Our manufacturing and distribution costs vary primarily based on the number of GPR and GoBank accounts activated by consumers.

Compensation and Benefits Expenses — Compensation and benefits expenses represent the compensation and benefits that we provide to our employees and the payments we make to third-party contractors. While we have an in-house customer service function, we employ third-party contractors to conduct call center operations, handle routine customer service inquiries and provide consulting support in the area of IT operations and elsewhere. Compensation and benefits expenses associated with our customer service and loss management functions generally vary in line with the size of our active card portfolio, while the expenses associated with other functions do not.

Processing Expenses — Processing expenses consist primarily of the fees charged to us by the payment networks, which process transactions for us, the third-party card processor that maintains the records of our customers' accounts and processes transaction authorizations and postings for us, and the third-party banks that issue our accounts. These costs generally vary based on the total number of active accounts in our portfolio and gross dollar volume transacted by those accounts. Also included in processing expenses are bank fees associated with our tax refund processing services. Bank fees generally vary based on the total number of tax refund transfers processed.

Other General and Administrative Expenses — Other general and administrative expenses consist primarily of professional service fees, telephone and communication costs, depreciation and amortization of our property and equipment and intangible assets, changes in contingent consideration, transaction losses (losses from customer disputed transactions, unrecovered customer purchase transaction overdrafts and fraud), rent and utilities, and insurance. We incur telephone and communication costs primarily from customers contacting us through our toll-free telephone numbers. These costs vary with the total number of active cards in our portfolio, as do losses from customer disputed transactions, unrecovered customer purchase transaction overdrafts and fraud. Costs associated with professional services, depreciation and amortization of our property and equipment, amortization of our acquired intangible assets, rent and utilities vary based upon our investment in infrastructure, business development, risk management and internal controls and are generally not correlated with our operating revenues or other transaction metrics.

Income Tax Expense

Our income tax expense consists of the federal and state corporate income taxes accrued on income resulting from the sale of our products and services.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Reference is made to the critical accounting policies and estimates disclosed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015. There have been no changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates during the three months ended March 31, 2016.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Reference is made to the recent accounting pronouncements disclosed in Note 2 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Comparison of Three-Month Periods Ended March 31, 2016 and 2015

Operating Revenues

The following table presents a breakdown of our operating revenues among card revenues and other fees, processing and settlement service revenues and interchange revenues, as well as contra-revenue items:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | | | 2015 | | |
| | Amount | % of Total Operating Revenues | | Amount | % of Total Operating Revenues | |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | | |
| Operating revenues: | | | | | | |
| Card revenues and other fees | $91,886 | 40.3 | % | $87,224 | 38.4 | % |

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Processing and settlement service revenues | 81,016 | 35.5 | | 87,121 | 38.4 | |
| Interchange revenues | 55,122 | 24.2 | | 54,726 | 24.1 | |
| Stock-based retailer incentive compensation | — | — | | (1,906) | (0.8) | |
| Total operating revenues | $228,024 | 100.0 | % | $227,165 | 100.0 | % |

Table of Contents

Card Revenues and Other Fees — Card revenues and other fees totaled $91.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, an increase of $4.7 million, or 5%, from the comparable period in 2015. The increase was primarily due to higher monthly maintenance fees and ATM fees driven by period-over-period growth in revenue per active card, which we define as operating revenues for our Account Services segment divided by the number of active cards.

Processing and Settlement Service Revenues — Processing and settlement service revenues totaled $81.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, a decrease of $6.1 million, or 7%, from the comparable period in 2015. The number of cash transfers sold and tax refund transfers processed each decreased period-over-period by approximately 4%. The number of cash transfers sold decreased period-over-period due to the suspension of our MoneyPak PIN product, while our tax refund transfers processed decreased period-over period primarily due to the timing of when tax refunds are processed by the Internal Revenue Service during the current tax season.

Interchange Revenues — Interchange revenues totaled $55.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, an increase of $0.4 million, or 1%, from the comparable period in 2015. The increase was consistent with the period-over-period growth in purchase volume of 1%.

Stock-based Retailer Incentive Compensation — As a result of our repurchase right lapsing in May 2015, we had no stock-based retailer incentive compensation for the three months ended March 31, 2016, a decrease of $1.9 million or 100% with the comparable period in 2015.

Operating Expenses

The following table presents a breakdown of our operating expenses among sales and marketing, compensation and benefits, processing, and other general and administrative expenses:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | | | 2015 | | |
| | Amount | % of Total Operating Revenues | | Amount | % of Total Operating Revenues | |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | | |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | |
| Sales and marketing expenses | $63,864 | 28.0 | % | $61,279 | 27.0 | % |
| Compensation and benefits expenses | 43,087 | 18.9 | | 41,354 | 18.2 | |
| Processing expenses | 28,513 | 12.5 | | 30,600 | 13.5 | |
| Other general and administrative expenses | 38,074 | 16.7 | | 28,036 | 12.4 | |
| Total operating expenses | $173,538 | 76.1 | % | $161,269 | 71.0 | % |

Sales and Marketing Expenses — Sales and marketing expenses totaled $63.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, an increase of $2.6 million, or 4% from the comparable period in 2015. This increase was primarily the result of a $1.7 million increase in sales commission expenses due in part to the increased sales commission rate we pay Walmart under the new agreement. Sales and marketing expenses also increased as a result of higher advertising expenses and incremental costs of manufacturing and distributing card packages as a result of the new suite of prepaid card products we introduced at our retailers.

Compensation and Benefits Expenses — Compensation and benefits expenses totaled $43.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, an increase of $1.7 million or 4% from the comparable period in 2015. This increase was primarily the result of an increase of $1.3 million in employee salaries and related benefits and an increase of $0.4 million in stock-based compensation.

Processing Expenses — Processing expenses totaled $28.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, a decrease of $2.1 million or 7% from the comparable period in 2015. This decrease was primarily the result of rebate incentives received from our card processor, as well as an increase in the volume incentives from the payment networks. The amount of volume incentives we record in future periods will vary based on changes in performance expectations, our actual performance and amendments to existing contracts.

Other General and Administrative Expenses — Other general and administrative expenses totaled $38.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016, an increase of $10.1 million or 36%, from the comparable period in 2015. This

increase was primarily due to a $7.6 million favorable adjustment associated with the change in fair value of contingent consideration during the three months ended March 31, 2015, which decreased other general and administrative expenses for the prior period. There were no fair value adjustments determined necessary during the three months ended March 31, 2016. Other general and administrative expenses also increased due to period-over-period increases of $2.0 million in depreciation and amortization of property and equipment and $1.1 million in professional expenses.

Table of Contents

Income Tax Expense

The following table presents a breakdown of our effective tax rate among federal, state and other:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| U.S. federal statutory tax rate | 35.0 % | 35.0 % |
| State income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 2.9 | 2.0 |
| General business credits | (2.6 ) | (0.7 ) |
| Employee stock-based compensation | 0.5 | 0.7 |
| Other | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Effective tax rate | 36.8 % | 38.0 % |

Our income tax expense decreased by $5.8 million to $19.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2016 from the comparable period in 2015 due to a lower pretax income on a period-over period basis and an overall lower effective tax rate. Our effective tax rate decreased to 36.8% from 38.0%, primarily due to an increase in general business credits. Due to the timing of tax legislation, certain general business credits were not available to us in the first nine months of 2015. The business credits were permanently reinstated in the fourth quarter of 2015.

The "Other" category in our effective tax rate consists of a variety of permanent differences, none of which were individually significant.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The following table summarizes our major sources and uses of cash for the periods presented:

| | Three Months Ended March 31, | |
|---|---|---|
| | 2016 | 2015 |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Total cash provided by (used in) | | |
| Operating activities | $70,959 | $84,496 |
| Investing activities | (25,284 ) | (80,973 ) |
| Financing activities | (78,052 ) | 150,563 |
| (Decrease) increase in unrestricted cash and cash equivalents and federal funds sold | $(32,377) | $154,086 |

For the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we financed our operations primarily through our cash flows from operations and certain financing activities. At March 31, 2016, our primary source of liquidity was unrestricted cash and cash equivalents totaling $739.8 million. We also consider our $194.5 million of available-for-sale investment securities to be highly-liquid instruments.

We use trend and variance analysis as well as our detailed budgets and forecasts to project future cash needs, making adjustments to the projections when needed. We believe that our current unrestricted cash and cash equivalents, cash flows from operations and borrowing capacity under our senior credit facility will be sufficient to meet our working capital, capital expenditure, debt service requirements and remaining purchases under our $150 million stock repurchase program, as discussed below, for at least the next 12 months.

Cash Flows from Operating Activities

Our $71.0 million of net cash provided by operating activities in the three months ended March 31, 2016 was primarily the result of $32.9 million of net income, adjusted for certain non-cash operating items of $23.6 million and a decrease in our income tax receivable of $18.6 million. We used our income tax receivable as of December 31, 2015 to cover a portion of our estimated tax payments for the first quarter of 2016. These were offset by decreases in our accounts payable and other accrued liabilities and deferred revenue. Our $84.5 million of net cash provided by operating activities in the three months ended March 31, 2015 was primarily the result of $40.8 million of net income, adjusted for certain non-cash operating expenses of $14.8 million, and a decrease in our income tax receivable of $24.1 million. We used our income tax receivable as of December 31, 2014 to cover a portion of our estimated tax payments for the first quarter of 2015.

26

Table of Contents

Cash Flows from Investing Activities

Our $25.3 million of net cash used in investing activities in the three months ended March 31, 2016 reflects payments for the acquisition of property and equipment of $12.2 million, as well as purchases of available-for-sale investment securities, net of sales and maturities, of $12.5 million. Our $81.0 million of net cash used in investing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2015 reflects payments for a business acquisition and the acquisition of property and equipment of $65.2 million and $14.1 million, respectively.

Cash Flows from Financing Activities

Our $78.1 million of net cash used in financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2016 was primarily the result of decreases of $15.2 million in customer deposits and $50.1 million in obligations to customers, $5.6 million in repayments of our note payable and $9.0 million used for our stock repurchase program. Our $150.6 million of net cash provided by financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2015 was primarily the result of a $78.9 million increase in customer deposits and an increase in obligations to customers of $77.3 million, partially offset by $5.6 million in repayments of our note payable.

Commitments

We anticipate that we will continue to purchase property and equipment as necessary in the normal course of our business. The amount and timing of these purchases and the related cash outflows in future periods is difficult to predict and is dependent on a number of factors including the hiring of employees, the rate of change of computer hardware and software used in our business and our business outlook. During 2016, we intend to continue to invest in new products and programs, new features for our existing products and IT infrastructure to scale and operate effectively to meet our strategic objectives.

We have used cash to acquire businesses and technologies and we anticipate that we may continue to do so in the future. The nature of these transactions makes it difficult to predict the amount and timing of such cash requirements. We may also be required to raise additional financing to complete future acquisitions.

Additionally, we anticipate making ongoing cash contributions to our subsidiary bank, Green Dot Bank, to maintain its capital, leverage and other financial commitments at levels we have agreed to with our regulators. Additionally, our investment securities may act as short-term collateral to Green Dot Bank to satisfy any requirements associated with its legal lending limit.

Senior Credit Facility

In October 2014, we entered into a $225 million credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and other lenders party thereto. The agreement provides for (i) a $75 million five-year revolving facility (the “Revolving Facility”) and (ii) a five-year $150 million term loan facility (the “Term Facility” and, together with the Revolving Facility, the “Senior Credit Facility”). At our election, loans made under the credit agreement bear interest at (1) a LIBOR rate or (2) a base rate as defined in the agreement, plus an applicable margin (3.18% as of March 31, 2016). The balance outstanding on the Term Facility was $116.4 million at March 31, 2016, net of deferred financing fees. Quarterly principal payments of $5.6 million are payable on the loans under the Term Facility. The loans made under the Term Facility mature and all amounts then outstanding thereunder are payable on October 23, 2019. There were no borrowings on the Revolving Facility at March 31, 2016. We are also subject to certain financial covenants, which include maintaining a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio and a maximum consolidated leverage ratio at the end of each fiscal quarter, as defined in the agreement, as amended. At March 31, 2016, we were in compliance with all such covenants.

Stock Repurchase Program

In June 2015, we announced that our Board of Directors had authorized a stock repurchase program. As of March 31, 2016, our Board of Directors had authorized the repurchase of up to $150 million of common stock under this program. The stock repurchase program will continue until otherwise suspended, terminated or modified at any time for any reason by our Board of Directors.

In September 2015, we entered into an accelerated share repurchase agreement ("ASR") with a financial institution to repurchase shares of our common stock as part of our repurchase program. Under the ASR agreement, in exchange for an up-front payment of $40 million, we received an initial delivery of approximately 1.8 million shares on September 4, 2015 based on the then current market price of our stock. The ASR settled in January 2016 and the total number of

shares repurchased was approximately 2.3 million at an average price of $17.08 per share.

Table of Contents

In December 2015, we entered into a $10 million agreement to repurchase shares under Rule10b5-1 of the Exchange Act. As of March 31, 2016, we repurchased approximately 0.6 million shares, at an average share price of $16.15 under this plan. Repurchases under this agreement were completed in January 2016.

In February 2016, we entered into an additional ASR with a financial institution. The ASR agreement became effective in April 2016 at which point we were required to make an up-front payment of $50 million in exchange for an initial delivery of approximately 1.9 million shares on April 11, 2016 based on the then current market price of our stock. Final settlement is scheduled to occur during the fourth quarter of 2016 and will be based on the volume-weighted average price of our common stock over the term of the agreement less an agreed upon discount. After giving effect to our share repurchases during the year, including our ASR subsequent to March 31, 2016, the remaining authorized amount under the current authorization totaled $50 million. We expect to repurchase the remaining $50 million of shares authorized under our repurchase program in 2017.

Contractual Obligations

There have been no material changes in our contractual obligations disclosed in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2015.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of and for the three months ended March 31, 2016 and 2015, we did not have any relationships with unconsolidated organizations or financial partnerships, such as structured finance or special purpose entities that would have been established for the purpose of facilitating off-balance sheet arrangements or other contractually narrow or limited purposes.

Capital Requirements for Bank Holding Companies

Our subsidiary bank, Green Dot Bank, is a member bank of the Federal Reserve System and our primary regulators are the Federal Reserve Board and the Utah Department of Financial Institutions. We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the banking agencies. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on our financial statements. Under capital adequacy guidelines, we must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the assets, liabilities and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings and other factors.

In July 2013, the Federal Reserve and other U.S. banking regulators approved final rules regarding new risk-based capital, leverage and liquidity standards, known as “Basel III.” The Basel III rules, which became effective for us and our bank on January 1, 2015, are subject to certain phase-in periods that occur over several years. The U.S. Basel III rules contain new capital standards that change the composition of capital, increase minimum capital ratios and strengthen counterparty credit risk capital requirements. The Basel III rules also include a new definition of common equity Tier 1 capital and require that certain levels of such common equity Tier 1 capital be maintained. The rules also include a new capital conservation buffer, which impose a common equity requirement above the new minimum that can be depleted under stress, and could result in restrictions on capital distributions and discretionary bonuses under certain circumstances, as well as a new standardized approach for calculating risk-weighted assets. Under the Basel III rules, we must maintain a ratio of common equity Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 4.5%, a ratio of Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 6%, a ratio of total capital to risk-weighted assets of at least 8% and a minimum Tier 1 leverage ratio of 4.0%.

As of March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we were categorized as "well capitalized" under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. To be categorized as "well capitalized," we must maintain specific total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table below. There are no conditions or events since March 31, 2016 which management believes would have changed our category as "well capitalized." The actual amounts and ratios, and required "well capitalized" minimum capital amounts and ratios at March 31, 2016 and December 31, 2015 were as follows:

Table of Contents

| | March 31, 2016 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Amount | Ratio | Regulatory Minimum [1] | | "Well-capitalized" Minimum [1] | |
| | (In thousands, except ratios) | | | | | |
| Green Dot Corporation: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 leverage | 331,767 | 25.1 % | 4.0 | % | n/a | |
| Common equity Tier 1 capital | 331,767 | 72.8 % | 4.5 | % | n/a | |
| Tier 1 capital | 331,767 | 72.8 % | 6.0 | % | 6.0 | % |
| Total risk-based capital | 333,431 | 73.2 % | 8.0 | % | 10.0 | % |
| | | | | | | |
| Green Dot Bank: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 leverage | 157,118 | 15.7 % | 15.0 | % | 15.0 | % |
| Common equity Tier 1 capital | 157,118 | 123.2% | 4.5 | % | 6.5 | % |
| Tier 1 capital | 157,118 | 123.2% | 6.0 | % | 8.0 | % |
| Total risk-based capital | 157,400 | 123.4% | 8.0 | % | 10.0 | % |

| | December 31, 2015 | | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| | Amount | Ratio | Regulatory Minimum [1] | | "Well-capitalized" Minimum [1] | |
| | (In thousands, except ratios) | | | | | |
| Green Dot Corporation: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 leverage | 347,801 | 25.9 % | 4.0 | % | n/a | |
| Common equity Tier 1 capital | 347,801 | 70.7 % | 4.5 | % | n/a | |
| Tier 1 capital | 347,801 | 70.7 % | 6.0 | % | 6.0 | % |
| Total risk-based capital | 349,396 | 71.1 % | 8.0 | % | 10.0 | % |
| | | | | | | |
| Green Dot Bank: | | | | | | |
| Tier 1 leverage | 152,737 | 20.4 % | 15.0 | % | 15.0 | % |
| Common equity Tier 1 capital | 152,737 | 132.2% | 4.5 | % | 6.5 | % |
| Tier 1 capital | 152,737 | 132.2% | 6.0 | % | 8.0 | % |
| Total risk-based capital | 153,164 | 132.5% | 8.0 | % | 10.0 | % |

(1) The tier 1 leverage regulatory minimum and well-capitalized minimum ratios for banks is 4% and 5%, respectively. Our subsidiary bank is subject to separate tier 1 leverage requirements that we have committed to with the Federal Reserve Board and Utah Department of Financial Institutions.

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Market risk is the potential for economic losses from changes in market factors such as foreign currency exchange rates, credit, interest rates and equity prices. We believe that we have limited exposure to risks associated with changes in foreign currency exchange rates, interest rates and equity prices. We have no significant foreign operations. We do not hold or enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Interest rates

We do not consider our cash and cash equivalents or our investment securities to be subject to significant interest rate risk due to their short duration.

As of March 31, 2016, we had $116.4 million term loan, net of deferred financing costs, outstanding under our $225.0 million credit agreement. Refer to Note 9 — Note Payable to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for additional information. Our term loan and revolving credit facility are, and are expected to be, at variable rates of interest and expose us to interest rate risk. If interest rates increase, our debt service obligations on the variable rate indebtedness would increase even though the amount borrowed remained the same, and our net income would decrease. Although any short-term borrowings under our revolving credit facility would likely be insensitive to interest rate changes, interest expense on short-term borrowings will increase and decrease with changes in the underlying short-term interest rates. Assuming our credit agreement is drawn up to its maximum borrowing capacity of $225.0 million, based on the applicable LIBOR and margin in effect as of March 31, 2016, each quarter point of change in interest rates would result in a $0.6 million change in our annual interest expense. We actively monitor our interest rate exposure and our objective is to reduce, where we deem appropriate to do so, fluctuations in earnings and cash flows associated with changes in interest rates. In order to accomplish this objective, we may enter into derivative financial instruments, such as forward contracts and interest rate hedge contracts only to the extent necessary to manage our exposure. We do not hold or enter into derivatives or other financial instruments for trading or speculative purposes.

Credit and liquidity risk

We do have exposure to credit and liquidity risk associated with the financial institutions that hold our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, available-for-sale investment securities, settlement assets due from our retail distributors that collect funds and fees from our customers, and amounts due from our issuing banks for fees collected on our behalf.

We manage the credit and liquidity risk associated with our cash and cash equivalents, available-for-sale investment securities and amounts due from issuing banks by maintaining an investment policy that restricts our correspondent banking relationships to approved, well capitalized institutions and restricts investments to highly liquid, low credit risk assets. Our policy has limits related to liquidity ratios, the concentration that we may have with a single institution or issuer and effective maturity dates as well as restrictions on the type of assets that we may invest in. The management Asset Liability Committee is responsible for monitoring compliance with our Capital Asset Liability Management policy and related limits on an ongoing basis, and reports regularly to the audit committee of our board of directors.

Our exposure to credit risk associated with our retail distributors is mitigated due to the short time period, currently an average of two days that retailer settlement assets are outstanding. We perform an initial credit review and assign a credit limit to each new retail distributor. We monitor each retail distributor’s settlement asset exposure and its compliance with its specified contractual settlement terms on a daily basis and assess their credit limit and financial condition on a periodic basis. Our management's Enterprise Risk Management Committee is responsible for monitoring our retail distributor exposure and assigning credit limits and reports regularly to the audit committee of our board of directors.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure controls and procedures — Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 13d-15(e)), and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) at the end of the period covered by this report. Based on such evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, at the end of such period, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Change in internal control over financial reporting — There was no material change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) during the three months ended March 31, 2016 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on Effectiveness of Controls — Our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal controls will prevent all errors and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected.

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

Refer to Note 15 — Commitments and Contingencies to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for information regarding our legal proceedings.

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

Risks Related to Our Business

Our operating results may fluctuate in the future, which could cause our stock price to decline.

Our quarterly and annual results of operations may fluctuate in the future as a result of a variety of factors, many of which are outside of our control. If our results of operations fall below the expectations of investors or any securities analysts who follow our Class A common stock, the trading price of our Class A common stock could decline substantially. Fluctuations in our quarterly or annual results of operations might result from a number of factors, including, but not limited to:

- the timing and volume of purchases, use and reloads of our prepaid cards and other products and services;
- the timing and volume of tax refunds processed by us, including the impact of any general delays in tax refund disbursements from the U.S. and State Treasuries;
- the timing and success of new product or service introductions by us or our competitors;
- seasonality in the purchase or use of our products and services;
- changes in the level of interchange rates that can be charged;
- fluctuations in customer retention rates;
- changes in the mix of products and services that we sell;
- changes in the mix of retail distributors through which we sell our products and services;
- the timing of commencement, renegotiation or termination of relationships with significant retail distributors and network acceptance members;
- the timing of commencement of new product development and initiatives that cause us to expand into new distribution channels, the timing of costs of existing product roll-outs to new retail distributors and the length of time we must invest in those new products, channels or retail distributors before they generate material operating revenues;
- our ability to effectively sell our products through online and direct mail marketing initiatives;
- our ability to obtain timely regulatory approval for strategic initiatives;
- changes in our or our competitors' pricing policies or sales terms;
- significant changes in our risk policies and controls;
- the amount and timing of costs related to fraud losses;
- the amount and timing of commencement and termination of major advertising campaigns, including sponsorships;
- the amount and timing of costs related to the development or acquisition of complementary businesses;
- the amount and timing of costs of any major litigation to which we are a party;
- the amount and timing of capital expenditures and operating costs related to the maintenance and expansion of our business, operations and infrastructure, including our investments in a processing solution to replace our current processing services provider;
- accounting charges related to impairment of capitalized internal-use software, intangible assets and goodwill;
- our ability to control costs, including third-party service provider costs and sales and marketing expenses in an increasingly competitive market;
- volatility in the trading price of our Class A common stock, which may lead to higher or lower stock-based compensation expenses; and

Table of Contents

- changes in the political or regulatory environment affecting the banking or electronic payments industries generally or the industries for prepaid financial services and tax refund processing specifically.

The loss of operating revenues from Walmart or any of our largest retail distributors would adversely affect our business.

Historically, most of our operating revenues were derived from prepaid financial services sold at our four largest retail distributors. As a percentage of total operating revenues, operating revenues derived from products and services sold at the store locations of Walmart was approximately 36% for the three months ended March 31, 2016. We expect that Walmart will continue to have a significant impact on our operating revenues in future years, particularly in our Account Services segment. It would be difficult to replace Walmart and the operating revenues derived from products and services sold at their stores. Accordingly, the loss of Walmart would have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, any publicity associated with the loss of any of our large retail distributors could harm our reputation, making it more difficult to attract and retain consumers and other retail distributors, and could lessen our negotiating power with our remaining and prospective retail distributors.

Our contracts with our retail distributors have terms that expire at various dates through 2021, and they can in limited circumstances, such as our material breach or insolvency or, in the case of Walmart, our failure to meet agreed-upon service levels, certain changes in control, and our inability or unwillingness to agree to requested pricing changes, be terminated by these retail distributors on relatively short notice. There can be no assurance that we will be able to continue our relationships with our largest retail distributors on the same or more favorable terms in future periods or that our relationships will continue beyond the terms of our existing contracts with them. Our operating revenues and operating results could suffer if, among other things, any of our retail distributors renegotiates, terminates or fails to renew, or to renew on similar or favorable terms, its agreement with us or otherwise chooses to modify the level of support it provides for our products.

Our base of tax preparation partners is concentrated and our success depends in part on our ability to retain existing partners.

If one or more of our major tax preparation partners were to substantially reduce or stop offering our services to their customers, our business, operating results and financial condition would be harmed. Substantially all the revenues we generate from our tax refund processing services have come from sales through a relatively small number of tax preparation firms. We do not have long-term contractual commitments from any of our current tax preparation partners and our tax preparation partners may elect to not renew their contracts with us with little or no advance notice. As a result, we cannot be assured that any of our current tax preparation partners will continue to partner with us past the terms in their current agreements. A termination of our relationships with certain tax preparation partners that provide commercial tax preparation software would result in lost revenue and the loss of the ability to secure future relationships with new or existing tax preparation firms that use such tax software.

Our future success depends upon the active and effective promotion of our products and services by retail distributors and tax preparation partners, but their interests and operational decisions might not always align with our interests.

Most of our operating revenues are derived from our products and services sold at the stores of our retail distributors. In addition, a large portion of our Processing and Settlement Services revenues are dependent on tax preparation partners as the revenues we generate from our tax refund processing services are largely derived from products and services sold through retail tax preparation businesses and income tax software providers. Revenues from our retail distributors and tax preparation partners depend on a number of factors outside our control and may vary from period to period. Because we compete with many other providers of products, including competing prepaid cards and tax refund processing services, for placement and promotion of products in the stores of our retail distributors or in conjunction with the delivery of tax preparation services by our tax preparation providers, our success depends on our retail distributors and tax preparation partners and their willingness to promote our products and services successfully. In general, our contracts with these third parties allow them to exercise significant discretion over the placement and promotion of our products and services; they could give higher priority to the products and services of other companies for a variety of reasons. Accordingly, losing the support of our retail distributors and tax preparation partners might limit or reduce the sales of our products and services. Our operating revenues and operating expenses may also be negatively affected by operational decisions by our retail distributors and tax preparation partners. For

example, if a retail distributor reduces shelf space for our products or implements changes in its systems that disrupt the integration between its systems and ours, our product sales could be reduced or decline and we may incur additional merchandising costs to ensure our products are appropriately stocked. Similarly, for a variety of reasons, many of our tax preparation partners that provide commercial income tax preparation software offer their customers several types for tax refund processing services, including those of our competitors. Even if our retail distributors and tax preparation partners

Table of Contents

actively and effectively promote our products and services, there can be no assurance that their efforts will maintain or result in growth of our operating revenues.

Our operating revenues for a particular period are difficult to predict, and a shortfall in our operating revenues may harm our results of operations.

Our operating revenues for a particular period are difficult to predict. Our total operating revenues may decline or grow at a slower rate than in prior periods. Our ability to meet financial expectations could be adversely affected by various factors, such as the failure of our supply chain management efforts to increase revenues, delays in implementing revenue growth activities or the failure of these activities to generate expected revenues, and increased competition within the store locations of many of our largest retail distributors.

Our ability to increase card usage and cardholder retention and to attract new long-term users of our products can also have a significant effect on our operating revenues. We may be unable to generate increases in card usage, cardholder retention or attract new long-term users of our products for a number of reasons, including our inability to maintain our existing distribution channels, the failure of our cardholder retention and usage incentives to influence cardholder behavior, our inability to predict accurately consumer preferences or industry changes and to modify our products and services on a timely basis in response thereto, and our inability to produce new features and services that appeal to existing and prospective customers. As a result, our operating results could vary materially from period to period based on the degree to which we are successful in increasing card usage and cardholder retention and attracting long-term users of our products.

Either of the above factors could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results and financial condition.

Seasonal fluctuations in the use of our products and services impact our results of operations and cash flows.

Our results of operations and cash flows vary from quarter to quarter, and periodically decline, due to the seasonal nature of the use of our products and services. For example, in recent years, our results of operations for the first half of each year have been favorably affected by large numbers of taxpayers electing to receive their tax refunds via direct deposit on our cards, which caused our operating revenues to be typically higher in the first halves of those years than they were in the corresponding second halves of those years. Additionally, our tax refund processing services business is highly seasonal as it generates the substantial majority of its revenue in the first quarter, and substantially all of its revenue in the first half of each calendar year. To the extent that seasonal fluctuations become more pronounced, or are not offset by other factors, our results of operations and operating cash flows could fluctuate materially from period to period.

The industries in which we compete are highly competitive, which could adversely affect our operating results.

The prepaid financial services and tax refund services industries are highly competitive and include a variety of financial and non-financial services vendors. We expect conditions in the markets in which we compete will remain highly competitive. For example, Walmart, CVS and others have been selling competitive products at their store locations for the past several years. Competition is expected to negatively impact our operating revenues, and could cause us to compete on the basis of price or increase our sales and marketing expenses, any of which would likely seriously harm our business, operating results and financial condition. Our current and potential competitors include:

- prepaid card program managers, such as American Express, First Data, Total Systems Services, and traditional banks, such as J.P. Morgan Chase;
- reload network providers, such as Visa, Western Union and MoneyGram;
- prepaid card distributors, such as InComm and Blackhawk Network; and
- providers of tax refund processing services, including tax preparation businesses with their own internally-developed products and services and independent providers, such as Republic Bank & Trust Company.

Some of these vendors compete with us in more than one of the vendor categories described above, while others are primarily focused in a single category. In addition, competitors in one category have worked or are working with competitors in other categories to compete with us. A portion of our cash transfer revenues is derived from reloads to cards managed by companies that compete with us as program managers. We also face actual and potential competition from retail distributors or from other companies, such as PayPal and Visa that have decided or may in the future decide to compete, or compete more aggressively, in the prepaid financial services industry. Similarly, some of

our tax

Table of Contents

preparation partners have developed or may seek to develop their own products and services that compete with our tax refund processing services.

We also compete with businesses outside of the prepaid financial services industry, including traditional providers of financial services, such as banks that offer demand deposit accounts and card issuers that offer credit cards, private label retail cards and gift cards. In particular, our GoBank product is designed to compete directly with banks by providing products and services that they have traditionally provided. These and other competitors in the larger electronic payments industry are introducing new and innovative products and services, such as those involving radio frequency and proximity payment devices (such as contactless cards), e-commerce and mobile commerce, that compete with ours. We expect that this competition will continue as the prepaid financial services industry and the larger banking and electronic payments industry continues to rapidly evolve. We also expect to compete with businesses outside the traditional tax refund processing services industry in the future as new entrants seek to develop software solutions that may replace the need for our tax refund processing services.

Many existing and potential competitors have longer operating histories and greater name recognition than we do. In addition, many of our existing and potential competitors are substantially larger than we are, may already have or could develop substantially greater financial and other resources than we have, may offer, develop or introduce a wider range of programs and services than we offer or may use more effective advertising and marketing strategies than we do to achieve broader brand recognition, customer awareness and retail penetration. We could also experience increased price competition. If this happens, we expect that the purchase and use of our products and services would decline. If price competition materially intensifies, we may have to increase the incentives that we offer to our retail distributors and our tax preparation partners and decrease the prices of our products and services, any of which would likely adversely affect our operating results.

Our long-term success depends on our ability to compete effectively against existing and potential competitors that seek to provide prepaid cards or other electronic payment products and services or tax refund processing services. If we fail to compete effectively against any of the foregoing threats, our revenues, operating results, prospects for future growth and overall business could be materially and adversely affected.

We make significant investments in products and services that may not be successful.

Our prospects for growth depend on our ability to innovate by offering new, and adding value to our existing, product and service offerings and on our ability to effectively commercialize such innovations. We will continue to make investments in research, development, and marketing for new products and services, including our secured credit card product, the Green Dot Money marketplace and our on-demand workforce solutions. Investments in new products and services are speculative. Commercial success depends on many factors, including innovativeness, price, the competitive environment and effective distribution and marketing. If customers do not perceive our new offerings as providing significant value, they may fail to accept our new products and services, which would negatively impact our operating revenues. We may not achieve significant operating revenues from new product and service investments for a number of years, if at all. Moreover, new products and services may not be profitable, and even if they are profitable, operating margins for new products and services may not be as high as the margins we have experienced in the past.

Acquisitions or investments could disrupt our business and harm our financial condition.

We have in the past acquired, and we expect to acquire in the future, other businesses and technologies. The process of integrating an acquired business, product, service or technology can create unforeseen operating difficulties, expenditures and other challenges such as:

- increased regulatory and compliance requirements;
- regulatory restrictions on revenue streams of acquired businesses;
- implementation or remediation of controls, procedures and policies at the acquired company;
- diversion of management time and focus from operation of our then-existing business to acquisition integration challenges;
- coordination of product, sales, marketing and program, and systems management functions;
- transition of the acquired company’s users and customers onto our systems;
- retention of employees from the acquired company;

- integration of employees from the acquired company into our organization;

Table of Contents

- integration of the acquired company's accounting, information management, human resource and other administrative systems and operations generally with ours;
- liability for activities of the acquired company prior to the acquisition, including violations of law, commercial disputes, and tax and other known and unknown liabilities; and
- increased litigation or other claims in connection with the acquired company, including claims brought by terminated employees, customers, former stockholders or other third parties.

If we are unable to successfully integrate an acquired business or technology or otherwise address these difficulties and challenges or other problems encountered in connection with an acquisition, we might not realize the anticipated benefits of that acquisition, we might incur unanticipated liabilities or we might otherwise suffer harm to our business generally. Unanticipated costs, delays or other operational or financial problems related to integrating the acquired company and business with our company may result in the diversion of our management's attention from other business issues and opportunities. To integrate acquired businesses, we must implement our technology systems in the acquired operations and integrate and manage the personnel of the acquired operations. We also must effectively integrate the different cultures of acquired business organizations into our own in a way that aligns various interests, and may need to enter new markets in which we have no or limited experience and where competitors in such markets have stronger market positions. Failures or difficulties in integrating the operations of the businesses that we acquire, including their personnel, technology, compliance programs, risk management systems, financial systems, distribution and general business operations and procedures, marketing, promotion and other relationships, may affect our ability to grow and may result in us incurring asset impairment or restructuring charges. Furthermore, acquisitions and investments are often speculative in nature and the actual benefits we derive from them could be lower or take longer to materialize than we expect.

To the extent we pay the consideration for any future acquisitions or investments in cash, it would reduce the amount of cash available to us for other purposes. Future acquisitions or investments could also result in dilutive issuances of our equity securities or the incurrence of debt, contingent liabilities, amortization expenses, or impairment charges against goodwill on our balance sheet, any of which could harm our financial condition and negatively impact our stockholders.

Fraudulent and other illegal activity involving our products and services could lead to reputational damage to us, reduce the use and acceptance of our cards and reload network, reduce the use of our tax refund processing services, and may adversely affect our financial position and results of operations.

Criminals are using increasingly sophisticated methods to engage in illegal activities involving prepaid cards, reload products or customer information. In addition, to the extent our checking account products become widely adopted by consumers, we expect that criminals will target our checking account products as well. Illegal activities involving our products and services often include malicious social engineering schemes, where people are asked to provide a prepaid card or reload product in order to obtain a loan or purchase goods or services. Illegal activities may also include fraudulent payment or refund schemes and identity theft. We rely upon third parties for some transaction processing services, which subjects us and our customers to risks related to the vulnerabilities of those third parties. A single significant incident of fraud, or increases in the overall level of fraud, involving our cards and other products and services, could result in reputational damage to us, which could reduce the use and acceptance of our cards and other products and services, cause retail distributors or network acceptance members to cease doing business with us or lead to greater regulation that would increase our compliance costs. Fraudulent activity could also result in the imposition of regulatory sanctions, including significant monetary fines, which could adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition. Furthermore, to address the challenges we face with respect to fraudulent activity, we've implemented risk control mechanisms that have made it more difficult for all customers, including legitimate customers, to obtain and use our products and services. We believe it is likely that our risk control mechanisms may continue to adversely affect our new card activations from legitimate customers for the foreseeable future and that our operating revenues will be negatively impacted as a result.

As a bank holding company, we are subject to extensive and potentially changing regulation and may be required to serve as a source of strength for Green Dot Bank, which may adversely affect our business, financial position and results of operations.

As a bank holding company, we are subject to comprehensive supervision and examination by the Federal Reserve Board and the State of Utah Department of Financial Institutions and must comply with applicable regulations and other commitments we have agreed to, including financial commitments in respect to minimum capital and leverage requirements. If we fail to comply with any of these requirements, we may become subject to formal or informal enforcement actions, proceedings, or investigations, which could result in regulatory orders, restrictions on our business operations or requirements to take corrective actions, which may, individually or in the aggregate, affect our results of

Table of Contents

operations and restrict our ability to grow. If we fail to comply with the applicable capital and leverage requirements, or if our subsidiary bank fails to comply with its applicable capital and leverage commitments, the Federal Reserve Board may limit our ability to pay dividends or fund stock repurchases, or if we become less than adequately capitalized, require us to raise additional capital. In addition, as a bank holding company and a financial holding company, we are generally prohibited from engaging, directly or indirectly, in any activities other than those permissible for bank holding companies and financial holding companies. This restriction might limit our ability to pursue future business opportunities which we might otherwise consider but which might fall outside the scope of permissible activities.

Moreover, in response to the financial crisis of 2008 and the Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, or the Dodd-Frank Act, banking supervisors in the United States continue to implement a variety of new requirements on banking entities. Some of these requirements apply or will apply directly to us or to our subsidiary bank, while certain requirements apply or will apply only to larger institutions. Although we cannot anticipate the final form of many of these regulations, how they will affect our business or results of operations, or how they will change the competitive landscape in which we operate, such regulations could have a material adverse impact on our business and financial condition, particularly if they make it more difficult for us or our retail distributors to sell our card products.

Changes in laws and regulations to which we are subject, or to which we may become subject, may increase our costs of operation, decrease our operating revenues and disrupt our business.

The provision of banking services, prepaid financial services and tax refund processing services is highly regulated and, from time to time, the laws and regulations affecting these industries, and the manner in which they are interpreted, are subject to change and legal action. Accordingly, changes in laws and regulations or the interpretation or enforcement thereof may occur that could increase our compliance and other costs of doing business, require significant systems redevelopment, or render our products or services less profitable or obsolete, any of which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations. For example, we could face more stringent anti-money laundering rules and regulations, as well as more stringent licensing rules and regulations, compliance with which could be expensive and time consuming. In addition, adverse rulings relating to the industries in which we participate could cause our products and services to be subject to additional laws and regulations, which could make our products and services less profitable.

If additional regulatory requirements were imposed on the sale of our products and services and our bank, the requirements could lead to a loss of retail distributors or tax preparation partners, which, in turn, could materially and adversely impact our operations. Moreover, if our products are adversely impacted by the interpretation or enforcement of these regulations or we or any of our retail distributors or tax preparation partners were unwilling or unable to make any such operational changes to comply with the interpretation or enforcement thereof, we would no longer be able to sell our products and services through that noncompliant retail distributor or tax preparation partner, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations.

State and federal legislators and regulatory authorities are increasingly focused on the banking and consumer financial services industries, and may propose and adopt new legislation that could result in significant adverse changes in the regulatory landscape for financial institutions and financial services companies. In December 2014, the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau, or CFPB, issued a notice of proposed rulemaking requesting comment on proposed amendments to Regulation E, which implements the Electronic Fund Transfer Act and Regulation Z, which implements the Truth in Lending Act. The proposed rules seek to, among other things, create comprehensive consumer protections for prepaid financial products, create a new disclosure regime regarding fees charged for acquiring and using prepaid cards, and impose new requirements on any credit features associated with prepaid accounts. In November 2015, the CFPB updated their regulatory rulemaking agenda and anticipates a final rule in spring 2016.

If the CFPB's rulemaking or other new regulations or laws result in changes in the way we are regulated, these regulations could expose us to increased regulatory oversight, more burdensome regulation of our business, and increased litigation risk, each of which could increase our costs and decrease our operating revenues. Furthermore, limitations placed on fees we charge or the disclosures that must be provided with respect to our products and services could increase our costs and decrease our operating revenues. It is difficult to determine with any certainty what

obligations the final rules, if any, might impose or what impact they might have on our business.

Changes in laws and regulations, or our failure to comply with existing laws and regulations, applicable to our tax refund-related services could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, results of operations, and financial condition.

We derive a significant portion of our total operating revenues and earnings from tax refund processing and settlement services. The tax preparation industry is regulated under a variety of statutes in addition to those regulations currently applicable to our legacy products and services, all of which are subject to change and which may impose significant costs, limitations or prohibitions on the way we conduct or expand our tax refund processing and related services. In recent years, state legislators, state attorneys general, and regulators have increased their focus

Table of Contents

on the tax preparation industry including tax refund processing services and the use thereof by tax preparation firms. Laws making such services less profitable, or even unprofitable, could be passed in any state at any time or existing laws could expire or be amended, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, results of operations, and financial condition. State regulators have broad discretionary power and may impose new requirements, interpret or enforce existing regulatory requirements in different ways or issue new administrative rules, even if not contained in state statutes, and state attorneys general could take actions, that affect the way we offer our tax refund-related services and may force us to terminate, modify, or cease our operations in particular states. State or federal regulators could also impose rules that are generally adverse to our tax refund-related services. Any new requirements or rules, or new interpretations of existing requirements or rules, or failure to follow requirements or rules, or future lawsuits or rulings, could have a material adverse effect on our business, prospects, results of operations, and financial condition.

We operate in a highly regulated environment, and failure by us, the banks that issue our cards, the businesses that participate in our reload network, the banks that assist with our tax refund processing services, and our tax preparation partners to comply with applicable laws and regulations could have an adverse effect on our business, financial position and results of operations.

We operate in a highly regulated environment, and failure by us, the banks that issue our cards or the businesses that participate in our reload network to comply with the laws and regulations to which we are subject could negatively impact our business. We are subject to state money transmission licensing requirements and a wide range of federal and other state laws and regulations. In particular, our products and services are subject to an increasingly strict set of legal and regulatory requirements intended to protect consumers and to help detect and prevent money laundering, terrorist financing and other illicit activities.

Many of these laws and regulations are evolving, unclear and inconsistent across various jurisdictions, and ensuring compliance with them is difficult and costly. For example, with increasing frequency, federal and state regulators are holding businesses like ours to higher standards of training, monitoring and compliance, including monitoring for possible violations of laws by the businesses that participate in our reload network. Failure by us or those businesses to comply with the laws and regulations to which we are or may become subject could result in fines, penalties or limitations on our ability to conduct our business, or federal or state actions, any of which could significantly harm our reputation with consumers and other network participants, banks that issue our cards and regulators, and could materially and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Changes in rules or standards set by the payment networks, such as Visa and MasterCard, or changes in debit network fees or products or interchange rates, could adversely affect our business, financial position and results of operations.

We are subject to association rules that could subject us to a variety of fines or penalties that may be levied by the card associations or networks for acts or omissions by us or businesses that work with us, including card processors, such as Total System Services, Inc and MasterCard PTS. The termination of the card association registrations held by us or any changes in card association or other debit network rules or standards, including interpretation and implementation of existing rules or standards, that increase the cost of doing business or limit our ability to provide our products and services could have an adverse effect on our business, operating results and financial condition. In addition, from time to time, card associations increase the organization and/or processing fees that they charge, which could increase our operating expenses, reduce our profit margin and adversely affect our business, operating results and financial condition.

Furthermore, a substantial portion of our operating revenues is derived from interchange fees. For the three months ended March 31, 2016, interchange revenues represented 24.2% of our total operating revenues, and we expect interchange revenues to continue to represent a significant percentage of our total operating revenues. The amount of interchange revenues that we earn is highly dependent on the interchange rates that the payment networks set and adjust from time to time.

The enactment of the Dodd-Frank Act required the Federal Reserve Board to implement regulations that have substantially limited interchange fees for many issuers. While the interchange rates that may be earned by us and our subsidiary bank are exempt from the limitations imposed by the Dodd-Frank Act, there can be no assurance that future regulation or changes by the payment networks will not impact our interchange revenues substantially. If interchange

rates decline, whether due to actions by the payment networks or future regulation, we would likely need to change our fee structure to offset the loss of interchange revenues. However, our ability to make these changes is limited by the terms of our contracts and other commercial factors, such as price competition. To the extent we increase the pricing of our products and services, we might find it more difficult to acquire consumers and to maintain or grow card usage and customer retention, and we could suffer reputational damage and become subject to greater regulatory

Table of Contents

scrutiny. We also might have to discontinue certain products or services. As a result, our total operating revenues, operating results, prospects for future growth and overall business could be materially and adversely affected.

Our actual operating results may differ significantly from our guidance.

From time to time, we may issue guidance in our quarterly earnings conference calls, or otherwise, regarding our future performance that represents our management's estimates as of the date of release. This guidance, which includes forward-looking statements, is based on projections prepared by our management. These projections are not prepared with a view toward compliance with published guidelines of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, and neither our independent registered public accounting firm nor any other independent expert or outside party compiles or examines the projections. Accordingly, no such person expresses any opinion or any other form of assurance with respect to those projections.

Projections are based upon a number of assumptions and estimates that, while presented with numerical specificity, are inherently subject to significant business, economic and competitive uncertainties and contingencies, many of which are beyond our control, and are based upon specific assumptions with respect to future business decisions, some of which will change. While we have stated and we intend to continue to state possible outcomes as high and low ranges that are intended to provide a sensitivity analysis as variables are changed, we can provide no assurances that actual results will not fall outside of the suggested ranges.

The principal reason that we release guidance is to provide a basis for our management to discuss our business outlook with analysts and investors. We do not accept any responsibility for any projections or reports published by any of these persons.

Guidance is necessarily speculative in nature, and it can be expected that some or all of the assumptions underlying the guidance furnished by us will prove to be incorrect or will vary significantly from actual results. For example, on a number of occasions in 2014 and 2015 we adjusted our revenue guidance when actual results varied from our assumptions. Accordingly, our guidance is only an estimate of what management believes is realizable as of the date of release. Actual results will vary from our guidance and the variations may be material. In light of the foregoing, investors are urged not to rely upon our guidance in making an investment decision with respect to our Class A common stock.

Any failure to implement our operating strategy successfully or the occurrence of any of the events or circumstances set forth in this Item 1A could result in our actual operating results being different from our guidance, and such differences may be adverse and material.

We receive important services from third-party vendors. Replacing them would be difficult and disruptive to our business.

Some services relating to our business, including fraud management and other customer verification services, transaction processing and settlement, card production, and customer service, are outsourced to third-party vendors. We also depend on third-party banks to assist with our tax refund processing services. It would be difficult to replace some of our third-party vendors in a timely manner if they were unwilling or unable to provide us with these services during the term of their agreements with us and our business and operations could be adversely affected. In particular, due to the seasonality in our business, any material service interruptions or service delays with key vendors during the tax season could result in losses that have an even greater adverse effect on that business than would be the case with our overall business.

Our business could suffer if there is a decline in the use of prepaid cards as a payment mechanism or there are adverse developments with respect to the prepaid financial services industry in general.

As the prepaid financial services industry evolves, consumers may find prepaid financial services to be less attractive than traditional or other financial services. Consumers might not use prepaid financial services for any number of reasons, including the general perception of our industry. For example, negative publicity surrounding other prepaid financial service providers could impact our business and prospects for growth to the extent it adversely impacts the perception of prepaid financial services among consumers. If consumers do not continue or increase their usage of prepaid cards, including making changes in the way prepaid cards are loaded, our operating revenues may remain at current levels or decline. Predictions by industry analysts and others concerning the growth of prepaid financial services as an electronic payment mechanism may overstate the growth of an industry, segment or category, and you

should not rely upon them. The projected growth may not occur or may occur more slowly than estimated. If consumer acceptance of prepaid financial services does not continue to develop or develops more slowly than expected or if there is a shift in the mix of payment forms, such as cash, credit cards, traditional debit cards and prepaid cards, away from our products and services, it could have a material adverse effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

A data security breach could expose us to liability and protracted and costly litigation, and could adversely affect our reputation and operating revenues.

We and our retail distributors, tax preparation partners, network acceptance members, third-party processors and the merchants that accept our cards receive, transmit and store confidential customer and other information in connection with the sale and use of our products and services. Our encryption software and the other technologies we use to provide security for storage, processing and transmission of confidential customer and other information may not be effective to protect against data security breaches by third parties. The risk of unauthorized circumvention of our security measures has been heightened by advances in computer capabilities and the increasing sophistication of hackers. Our retail distributors, tax preparation partners, network acceptance members, third-party processors and the merchants that accept our cards also may experience similar security breaches involving the receipt, transmission and storage of our confidential customer and other information. Improper access to our or these third parties' systems or databases could result in the theft, publication, deletion or modification of confidential customer and other information.

A data security breach of the systems on which sensitive cardholder or other customer or end-customer data and account information are stored could lead to fraudulent activity involving our products and services, reputational damage and claims or regulatory actions against us. If we are sued in connection with any data security breach, we could be involved in protracted and costly litigation. If unsuccessful in defending that litigation, we might be forced to pay damages and/or change our business practices or pricing structure, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our operating revenues and profitability. We would also likely have to pay (or indemnify the banks that issue our cards for) fines, penalties and/or other assessments imposed by Visa or MasterCard as a result of any data security breach. Further, a significant data security breach could lead to additional regulation, which could impose new and costly compliance obligations. In addition, a data security breach at one of the third-party banks that issue our cards or at our retail distributors, tax preparation partners, network acceptance members, third-party processors or the merchants that accept our cards could result in significant reputational harm to us and cause the use and acceptance of our cards or other products and services to decline, either of which could have a significant adverse impact on our operating revenues and future growth prospects. Moreover, it may require substantial financial resources to address and remediate any such breach, including additional costs for replacement cards, manufacturing, distribution, re-stocking fees, fraud monitoring and other added security measures, amongst others, which could have a significant adverse impact on our operating results.

Litigation or investigations could result in significant settlements, fines or penalties.

We are subject to regulatory oversight in the normal course of our business, and have been and from time to time may be subject to regulatory or judicial proceedings or investigations. The outcome of securities class actions and other litigation and regulatory or judicial proceedings or investigations is difficult to predict. Plaintiffs or regulatory agencies or authorities in these matters may seek recovery of very large or indeterminate amounts, seek to have aspects of our business suspended or modified or seek to impose sanctions, including significant monetary fines. The monetary and other impact of these actions, litigations, proceedings or investigations may remain unknown for substantial periods of time. The cost to defend, settle or otherwise resolve these matters may be significant. Further, an unfavorable resolution of litigation, proceedings or investigations against us could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, or financial condition. In this regard, such costs could make it more difficult to maintain the capital, leverage and other financial commitments at levels we have agreed to with the Federal Reserve Board and the Utah Department of Financial Institutions. If regulatory or judicial proceedings or investigations were to be initiated against us by private or governmental entities, adverse publicity that may be associated with these proceedings or investigations could negatively impact our relationships with retail distributors, tax preparation partners, network acceptance members and card processors and decrease acceptance and use of, and loyalty to, our products and related services, and could impact the price of our Class A common stock. In addition, such proceedings or investigations could increase the risk that we will be involved in litigation. The outcome of any such litigation is difficult to predict and the cost to defend, settle or otherwise resolve these matters may be significant. For the foregoing reasons, if regulatory or judicial proceedings or investigations were to be initiated against us by private or governmental entities, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be adversely affected or our

stock price could decline.

We must adequately protect our brand and our intellectual property rights related to our products and services and avoid infringing on the proprietary rights of others.

The Green Dot, GoBank and TPG brands are important to our business, and we utilize trademark registrations and other means to protect them. Our business would be harmed if we were unable to protect our brand against infringement and its value was to decrease as a result.

We rely on a combination of patent, trademark and copyright laws, trade secret protection and confidentiality and license agreements to protect the intellectual property rights related to our products and services. We currently have

Table of Contents

eight patents outstanding and ten patents pending. Although we generally seek patent protection for inventions and improvements that we anticipate will be incorporated into our products and services, there is always a chance that our patents or patent applications could be challenged, invalidated or circumvented, or that an issued patent will not adequately cover the scope of our inventions or improvements incorporated into our products or services. Additionally, our patents could be circumvented by third-parties.

Recent and proposed changes to U.S. patent laws and rules may also affect our ability to protect and enforce our intellectual property rights. For example, the Leahy-Smith America Invents Act transitions the manner in which patents are issued and changes the way in which issued patents are challenged. The long-term impact of these changes are unknown, but this law could cause a certain degree of uncertainty surrounding the enforcement and defense of our issued patents, as well as greater costs concerning new and existing patent applications.

We may unknowingly violate the intellectual property or other proprietary rights of others and, thus, may be subject to claims by third parties. These assertions may increase over time as a result of our growth and the general increase in the pace of patent claims assertions, particularly in the United States. Because of the existence of a large number of patents in the mobile technology field, the secrecy of some pending patents, and the rapid rate of issuance of new patents, it is not economically practical or even possible to determine in advance whether a product or any of its elements infringes or will infringe on the patent rights of others. Regardless of the merit of these claims, we may be required to devote significant time and resources to defending against these claims or to protecting and enforcing our own rights. We might also be required to develop a non-infringing technology or enter into license agreements and there can be no assurance that licenses will be available on acceptable terms and conditions, if at all. Some of our intellectual property rights may not be protected by intellectual property laws, particularly in foreign jurisdictions. The loss of our intellectual property or the inability to secure or enforce our intellectual property rights or to defend successfully against an infringement action could harm our business, results of operations, financial condition and prospects.

We are exposed to losses from customer accounts.

Fraudulent activity involving our products may lead to customer disputed transactions, for which we may be liable under banking regulations and payment network rules. Our fraud detection and risk control mechanisms may not prevent all fraudulent or illegal activity. To the extent we incur losses from disputed transactions, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

Additionally, our cardholders can incur charges in excess of the funds available in their accounts, and we may become liable for these overdrafts. While we decline authorization attempts for amounts that exceed the available balance in a cardholder’s account, the application of card association rules, the timing of the settlement of transactions and the assessment of the card’s monthly maintenance fee, among other things, can result in overdrawn accounts. Maintenance fee assessment overdrafts occur as a result of our charging a cardholder, pursuant to the card’s terms and conditions, the monthly maintenance fee at a time when he or she does not have sufficient funds in his or her account. Our remaining overdraft exposure arises primarily from late-posting. A late-post occurs when a merchant posts a transaction within a payment network-permitted timeframe but subsequent to our release of the authorization for that transaction, as permitted by card association rules. Under card association rules, we may be liable for the amount of the transaction even if the cardholder has made additional purchases in the intervening period and funds are no longer available on the card at the time the transaction is posted.

We consider overdrawn account balances to be our receivables due from cardholders. We maintain reserves to cover the risk that we may not recover these receivables due from our cardholders, but our exposure may increase above these reserves for a variety of reasons, including our failure to predict the actual recovery rate accurately. To the extent we incur losses from overdrafts above our reserves or we determine that it is necessary to increase our reserves substantially, our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected.

An impairment charge of goodwill or other intangibles could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations.

Because we have grown in part through acquisitions, our net goodwill and intangible assets represent a significant portion of our consolidated assets. Our net goodwill and intangible assets were $468.3 million as of March 31, 2016. Under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States, or U.S. GAAP, we are required to test the

carrying value of goodwill and intangible assets at least annually or sooner if events occur that indicate impairment could exist. These events or circumstances could include a significant change in the business climate, including a significant sustained decline in a reporting unit's fair value, legal and regulatory factors, operating performance indicators, competition and other factors.

Table of Contents

U.S. GAAP requires us to assign and then test goodwill at the reporting unit level. If over a sustained period of time we experience a decrease in our stock price and market capitalization, which may serve as an estimate of the fair value of our reporting unit, this may be an indication of impairment. If the fair value of our reporting unit is less than its net book value, we may be required to record goodwill impairment charges in the future. In addition, if the revenue and cash flows generated from any of our other intangible assets is not sufficient to support its net book value, we may be required to record an impairment charge. The amount of any impairment charge could be significant and could have a material adverse impact on our financial condition and results of operations for the period in which the charge is taken.

If we are unable to keep pace with the rapid technological developments in our industry and the larger electronic payments industry necessary to continue providing our network acceptance members and cardholders with new and innovative products and services, the use of our cards and other products and services could decline.

The electronic payments industry is subject to rapid and significant technological changes, including continuing advancements in the areas of radio frequency and proximity payment devices (such as contactless cards), e-commerce and mobile commerce, among others. We cannot predict the effect of technological changes on our business. We rely in part on third parties, including some of our competitors and potential competitors, for the development of, and access to, new technologies. We expect that new services and technologies applicable to our industry will continue to emerge, and these new services and technologies may be superior to, or render obsolete, the technologies we currently utilize in our products and services. Additionally, we may make future investments in, or enter into strategic alliances to develop, new technologies and services or to implement infrastructure change to further our strategic objectives, strengthen our existing businesses and remain competitive. However, our ability to transition to new services and technologies that we develop may be inhibited by a lack of industry-wide standards, by resistance from our retail distributors, network acceptance members, third-party processors or consumers to these changes, or by the intellectual property rights of third parties. Our future success will depend, in part, on our ability to develop new technologies and adapt to technological changes and evolving industry standards. These initiatives are inherently risky, and they may not be successful or may have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

We face settlement risks from our retail distributors, which may increase during an economic downturn.

The majority of our business is conducted through retail distributors that sell our products and services to consumers at their store locations. Our retail distributors collect funds from the consumers who purchase our products and services and then must remit these funds directly to accounts established for the benefit of these consumers at the banks that issue our cards. The remittance of these funds by the retail distributor takes on average two business days. If a retail distributor becomes insolvent, files for bankruptcy, commits fraud or otherwise fails to remit proceeds to our card issuing bank from the sales of our products and services, we are liable for any amounts owed to our customers. As of March 31, 2016, we had assets subject to settlement risk of $108.7 million. Given the possibility of recurring volatility in global financial markets, the approaches we use to assess and monitor the creditworthiness of our retail distributors may be inadequate, and we may be unable to detect and take steps to mitigate an increased credit risk in a timely manner.

Economic downturns could result in settlement losses, whether or not directly related to our business. We are not insured against these risks. Significant settlement losses could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Economic, political and other conditions may adversely affect trends in consumer spending.

The electronic payments industry, including the prepaid financial services segment within that industry, depends heavily upon the overall level of consumer spending. If conditions in the United States become uncertain or deteriorate, we may experience a reduction in the number of our cards that are purchased or reloaded, the number of transactions involving our cards and the use of our reload network and related services. A sustained reduction in the use of our products and related services, either as a result of a general reduction in consumer spending or as a result of a disproportionate reduction in the use of card-based payment systems, would materially harm our business, results of operations and financial condition.

Our business is dependent on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of computer network systems and data centers.

Our ability to provide reliable service to customers and other network participants depends on the efficient and uninterrupted operation of our computer network systems and data centers as well as those of our retail distributors, network acceptance members and third-party processors. Our business involves movement of large sums of money, processing of large numbers of transactions and management of the data necessary to do both. Our success in our branded and private label account programs, as well as our processing and settlement services, depends upon the

Table of Contents

efficient and error-free handling of the money that is a) collected by our retail distributors and remitted to network acceptance members or the banks that issue our cards and b) remitted from the IRS and states to taxpayers, tax refund preparation partners and the third party processors. We rely on the ability of our employees, systems and processes and those of the banks that issue our cards, our retail distributors, tax refund preparation partners, our network acceptance members and third-party processors to process and facilitate these transactions in an efficient, uninterrupted and error-free manner. Their failure to do so could materially and adversely impact our operating revenues and results of operations, particularly during the tax season, when we derive substantially all of operating revenues for our tax refund processing services and a significant portion of our other operating revenues.

In the event of a breakdown, a catastrophic event (such as fire, natural disaster, power loss, telecommunications failure or physical break-in), a security breach or malicious attack, an improper operation or any other event impacting our systems or processes, or those of our vendors, or an improper action by our employees, agents or third-party vendors, we could suffer financial loss, loss of customers, regulatory sanctions and damage to our reputation. The measures we have taken, including the implementation of disaster recovery plans and redundant computer systems, may not be successful, and we may experience other problems unrelated to system failures. We may also experience software defects, development delays and installation difficulties, any of which could harm our business and reputation and expose us to potential liability and increased operating expenses. Some of our contracts with retail distributors, including our contract with Walmart, contain service level standards pertaining to the operation of our systems, and provide the retail distributor with the right to collect damages and potentially to terminate its contract with us for system downtime exceeding stated limits. If we face system interruptions or failures, our business interruption insurance may not be adequate to cover the losses or damages that we incur.

We must be able to operate and scale our technology effectively to manage any future growth.

Our ability to continue to provide our products and services to network participants, as well as to enhance our existing products and services and offer new products and services, is dependent on our information technology systems. If we are unable to manage the technology associated with our business effectively, we could experience increased costs, reductions in system availability and losses of our network participants. Any failure of our systems in scalability and functionality would adversely impact our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Our future success depends on our ability to attract, integrate, retain and incentivize key personnel.

Our future success will depend, to a significant extent, on our ability to attract, integrate, retain and recognize key personnel, namely our management team and experienced sales, marketing and program and technology development personnel. Replacing departing key personnel can involve organizational disruption and uncertainty. We must retain and motivate existing personnel, and we must also attract, assimilate and motivate additional highly-qualified employees. We may experience difficulty in managing transitions and assimilating our newly-hired personnel, which may adversely affect our business. Additionally, continued activist shareholder activities involving our company could make it more difficult to attract and retain qualified personnel. Competition for qualified management, sales, marketing and program and technology development personnel can be intense. Competitors have in the past and may in the future attempt to recruit our top management and employees. If we fail to attract, integrate, retain and incentivize key personnel, our ability to manage and grow our business could be harmed.

We might require additional capital to support our business in the future, and this capital might not be available on acceptable terms, or at all.

If our unrestricted cash and cash equivalents balances and any cash generated from operations are not sufficient to meet our future cash requirements, we will need to access additional capital to fund our operations. We may also need to raise additional capital to take advantage of new business or acquisition opportunities. We may seek to raise capital by, among other things:

- issuing additional shares of our Class A common stock or other equity securities;
- issuing debt securities; and
- borrowing funds under a credit facility.

We may not be able to raise needed cash in a timely basis on terms acceptable to us or at all. Financings, if available, may be on terms that are dilutive or potentially dilutive to our stockholders. The holders of new securities may also receive rights, preferences or privileges that are senior to those of existing holders of our Class A common stock. In

addition, if we were to raise cash through a debt financing, the terms of the financing might impose additional conditions or restrictions on our operations that could adversely affect our business. If we require new sources of financing but they are insufficient or unavailable, we would be required to modify our operating plans to take into account the limitations of available funding, which would harm our ability to maintain or grow our business.

Table of Contents

The occurrence of catastrophic events could damage our facilities or the facilities of third parties on which we depend, which could force us to curtail our operations.

We and some of the third-party service providers on which we depend for various support functions, such as customer service and card processing, are vulnerable to damage from catastrophic events, such as power loss, natural disasters, terrorism and similar unforeseen events beyond our control. Our principal offices, for example, are situated in southern California near known earthquake fault zones. If any catastrophic event were to occur, our ability to operate our business could be seriously impaired. In addition, we might not have adequate insurance to cover our losses resulting from catastrophic events or other significant business interruptions. Any significant losses that are not recoverable under our insurance policies, as well as the damage to, or interruption of, our infrastructure and processes, could seriously impair our business and financial condition.

If we fail to maintain proper and effective internal controls, our ability to produce accurate financial statements on a timely basis could be impaired, which could result in a loss of investor confidence in our financial reports and have an adverse effect on our stock price.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with U.S. GAAP. If we are unable to maintain adequate internal control over financial reporting, we might be unable to report our financial information on a timely basis and might suffer adverse regulatory consequences or violate NYSE listing standards. There could also be a negative reaction in the financial markets due to a loss of investor confidence in us and the reliability of our financial statements. We have in the past and may in the future discover areas of our internal financial and accounting controls and procedures that need improvement. Our internal control over financial reporting will not prevent or detect all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system will be met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company will be detected. If we are unable to maintain proper and effective internal controls, we may not be able to produce accurate financial statements on a timely basis, which could adversely affect our ability to operate our business and could result in regulatory action, and could require us to restate, our financial statements. Any such restatement could result in a loss of public confidence in the reliability of our financial statements and sanctions imposed on us by the SEC.

Changes in accounting standards or inaccurate estimates or assumptions in the application of accounting policies could adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Our accounting policies and methods are fundamental to how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. Some of these policies require use of estimates and assumptions that may affect the reported value of our assets or liabilities and results of operations and are critical because they require management to make difficult, subjective and complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain. If those assumptions, estimates or judgments were incorrectly made, we could be required to correct and restate prior period financial statements. Accounting standard-setters and those who interpret the accounting standards (such as the Financial Accounting Standards Board, the SEC, banking regulators and our independent registered public accounting firm) may also amend or even reverse their previous interpretations or positions on how various standards should be applied. These changes can be difficult to predict and can materially impact how we record and report our financial condition and results of operations. In some cases, we could be required to apply a new or revised standard retroactively, resulting in the need to revise and republish prior period financial statements.

Our debt agreements contain restrictive covenants and financial ratio tests that restrict or prohibit our ability to engage in or enter into a variety of transactions. If we fail to comply with these covenants or tests, our indebtedness under these agreements could become accelerated, which could adversely affect us.

In October 2014 we entered into a $225.0 million term credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A., as an administrative agent, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, and other lenders. This agreement contains various covenants that may have the effect of limiting, among other things, our ability and the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to: merge with other entities, enter into a transaction resulting in a change in control, create new liens,

incur additional indebtedness, sell assets outside of the ordinary course of business, enter into transactions with affiliates (other than subsidiaries) or substantially change the general nature of our and our subsidiaries' business, taken as a whole, make certain investments, enter into restrictive agreements, or make certain dividends or other distributions. These restrictions could limit our ability to take advantage of financing, merger, acquisition or other opportunities, to fund our business operations or to fully implement our current and future operating strategies.

Table of Contents

Under the agreement, we have agreed to maintain compliance with a maximum consolidated leverage ratio and a minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.75 and 1.25, respectively, at the end of any fiscal quarter. Our ability to meet these financial ratios and tests will be dependent upon our future performance and may be affected by events beyond our control (including factors discussed in this “Risk Factors" section). If we fail to satisfy these requirements, our indebtedness under these agreements could become accelerated and payable at a time when we are unable to pay them. This would adversely affect our ability to implement our operating strategies and would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

Risks Related to Ownership of Our Class A Common Stock

The price of our Class A common stock may be volatile.

In the recent past, stocks generally, and financial services company stocks in particular, have experienced high levels of volatility. The trading price of our Class A common stock has been highly volatile since our initial public offering and may continue to be subject to wide fluctuations. The trading price of our Class A common stock depends on a number of factors, including those described in this “Risk Factors” section, many of which are beyond our control and may not be related to our operating performance. Factors that could cause fluctuations in the trading price of our Class A common stock include the following:

- price and volume fluctuations in the overall stock market from time to time;
- significant volatility in the market prices and trading volumes of financial services company stocks;
- actual or anticipated changes in our results of operations or fluctuations in our operating results;
- actual or anticipated changes in the expectations of investors or the recommendations of any securities analysts who follow our Class A common stock;
- actual or anticipated developments in our business or our competitors’ businesses or the competitive landscape generally;
- the public’s reaction to our press releases, other public announcements and filings with the SEC;
- business disruptions and costs related to shareholder activism;
- litigation and investigations or proceedings involving us, our industry or both or investigations by regulators into our operations or those of our competitors;
- new laws or regulations or new interpretations of existing laws or regulations applicable to our business;
- changes in accounting standards, policies, guidelines, interpretations or principles;
- general economic conditions;
- changes to the indices in which our Class A common stock is included; and
- sales of shares of our Class A common stock by us or our stockholders.

In the past, many companies that have experienced volatility in the market price of their stock have become subject to securities class action litigation. We may be the target of this type of litigation in the future. Securities litigation against us could result in substantial costs and divert our management’s attention from other business concerns, which could seriously harm our business.

Our business could be negatively affected by the proxy contest for the election of directors at our annual meeting and other activist shareholder activities.

On March 14, 2016, Harvest Capital Strategies ("Harvest") submitted a notice of its intention to nominate three director candidates for election as Class III directors to our board of directors at our 2016 annual meeting of stockholders and to solicit proxies in support of its nominees. If we are unable to reach an agreement with Harvest relating to the director election or Harvest does not withdraw its nominees before the annual meeting, our business could be adversely affected by the proxy contest because it:

- has required and will require us to incur significant legal and other advisor fees and proxy solicitation expenses;
- has required and will require significant time and attention from management and the board of directors, directing their attention away from our operations;
- could interfere with our ability to identify or pursue strategic alternatives;
- could give rise to perceived uncertainties as to our future direction;

45

Table of Contents

could adversely affect our relationships with key business partners;
could result in loss of potential business opportunities;
could make it more difficult to attract and retain qualified personnel; and
could result in individuals being elected to our board of directors to pursue a particular agenda, which may adversely affect our ability to implement our business strategy and create stockholder value.

Our charter documents, Delaware law and our status as bank holding company could discourage, delay or prevent a takeover that stockholders consider favorable and could also reduce the market price of our stock.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws contain provisions that could delay or prevent a change in control of our company. These provisions could also make it more difficult for stockholders to nominate directors for election to our board of directors and take other corporate actions. These provisions, among other things:

- provide for non-cumulative voting in the election of directors;
- provide for a classified board of directors;
- authorize our board of directors, without stockholder approval, to issue preferred stock with terms determined by our board of directors and to issue additional shares of our Class A common stock;
- limit the voting power of a holder, or group of affiliated holders, of more than 24.9% of our common stock to 14.9%;
- provide that only our board of directors may set the number of directors constituting our board of directors or fill vacant directorships;
- prohibit stockholder action by written consent and limit who may call a special meeting of stockholders; and
- require advance notification of stockholder nominations for election to our board of directors and of stockholder proposals.

These and other provisions in our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, as well as provisions under Delaware law, could discourage potential takeover attempts, reduce the price that investors might be willing to pay in the future for shares of our Class A common stock and result in the trading price of our Class A common stock being lower than it otherwise would be.

In addition to the foregoing, under the BHC Act and the Change in Bank Control Act, and their respective implementing regulations, Federal Reserve Board approval is necessary prior to any person or company acquiring control of a bank or bank holding company, subject to certain exceptions. Control, among other considerations, exists if an individual or company acquires 25% or more of any class of voting securities, and may be presumed to exist if a person acquires 10% or more of any class of voting securities. These restrictions could affect the willingness or ability of a third party to acquire control of us for so long as we are a bank holding company.

If securities analysts do not continue to publish research or reports about our business or if they publish negative evaluations of our Class A common stock, the trading price of our Class A common stock could decline.

We expect that the trading price for our Class A common stock will be affected by any research or reports that securities analysts publish about us or our business. If one or more of the analysts who currently cover us or our business downgrade their evaluations of our Class A common stock, the price of our Class A common stock would likely decline. If one or more of these analysts cease coverage of our company, we could lose visibility in the market for our Class A common stock, which in turn could cause our stock price to decline.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities (in millions, except per-share amounts) during the three months ended March 31, 2016:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased [2] | Average Price Paid Per Share[1] | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| January 1, 2016 to January 31, 2016 | 0.5 | $ 16.11 | 0.5 | $ 100 |
| February 1, 2016 to February 29, 2016 | — | — | — | 100 |
| March 1, 2016 to March 31, 2016 | — | $ — | — | 100 |
| Total | 0.5 | | 0.5 | $ 100 |

(1) The average price paid per share is calculated on a trade date basis and excludes commission.

(2) In December 2015, we entered into a $10 million agreement to repurchase shares under Rule 10b5-1 of the Exchange Act. Of this amount, we repurchased approximately $1.7 million, or 0.1 million shares, in December 2015, and the remainder was completed in January 2016. Under this agreement, we repurchased a total of approximately 0.6 million shares at an average price of $16.15.

As discussed in Note 11- Stockholder's Equity to the Consolidated Financial Statements, in February 2016, we entered into an accelerated share repurchase agreement ("ASR") with a financial institution to repurchase shares of our common stock as part of our repurchase program. The ASR agreement became effective in April 2016, at which point we were required to make an up-front payment of $50 million in exchange for an initial delivery of approximately 1.9 million shares on April 11, 2016 based on the then current market price of our stock. The final number of shares received upon settlement for the ASR will be determined based on the volume-weighted average price of our common stock over the term of the agreement less an agreed upon discount and subject to adjustments pursuant to the terms and conditions of the ASR.

After giving effect to our share repurchases during the three months ended March 31, 2016, including our ASR, the remaining authorized amount under the current authorization totaled $50 million.

Table of Contents

ITEM 5. Other Information
None

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Exhibits

The following documents are filed as exhibits to this report:

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibits |
|---|---|
| 10.1(1) | 2016 Executive Officer Incentive Bonus Plan |
| 10.2(2) | 2016-2018 Performance-based restricted stock units award agreement between the Registrant and Steven W. Streit. |
| 10.3(3) | Form of executive officer performance-based restricted stock units award agreement. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Steven W. Streit, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Mark Shifke, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Steven W. Streit, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Mark Shifke, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document* |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document* |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document* |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document* |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document* |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document* |

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

(1) Exhibit 10.1 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.01 filed with the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 31, 2016.

(2) Exhibit 10.2 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.02 filed with the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 31, 2016.

(3) Exhibit 10.3 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.03 filed with the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 31, 2016.

49

Table of Contents

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Green Dot Corporation

Date: May 10, 2016 By: /s/ Mark Shifke
Name: Mark Shifke
Title: Chief Financial Officer

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

The following documents are filed as exhibits to this report:

| Exhibit Number | Description of Exhibits |
|---|---|
| 10.1(1) | 2016 Executive Officer Incentive Bonus Plan |
| 10.2(2) | 2016-2018 Performance-based restricted stock units award agreement between the Registrant and Steven W. Streit. |
| 10.3(3) | Form of executive officer performance-based restricted stock units award agreement. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Steven W. Streit, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Mark Shifke, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification of Steven W. Streit, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Directors, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2 | Certification of Mark Shifke, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document* |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document* |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document* |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document* |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document* |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document* |

---

* Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the Interactive Data Files on Exhibit 101 hereto are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

(1) Exhibit 10.1 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.01 filed with the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 31, 2016.

(2) Exhibit 10.2 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.02 filed with the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 31, 2016.

(3) Exhibit 10.3 is incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.03 filed with the Registrant's current report on Form 8-K, filed with the Commission on March 31, 2016.

51