

GWG Holdings, Inc.
Form 424B3
November 14, 2016

Filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)

Registration No. 333-206626

PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT NO. 4

Supplement to Prospectus dated
April 12, 2016

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

Maximum of 100,000 Shares of Redeemable Preferred Stock

This “Prospectus Supplement No. 4 — Supplement to Prospectus dated April 12, 2016,” supplements and amends our prospectus dated April 12, 2016, as earlier supplemented by prospectus sticker supplement no. 1 dated April 26, 2016 (referred to simply as our “prospectus”). You should read this supplement together with the prospectus since the information contained herein supplements and amends the information contained in the prospectus. For clarity, this supplement supersedes and replaces prospectus supplement no. 2 dated May 16, 2016 and prospectus supplement no. 3 dated August 12, 2016. Capitalized terms contained in this supplement have the same meanings as in the prospectus unless otherwise stated herein.

RECENT EVENTS

On November 10, 2016, we filed our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2016. This prospectus supplement has been prepared primarily to set forth certain information contained in that report.

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THESE SECURITIES OR PASSED UPON THE ACCURACY OR ADEQUACY OF THIS PROSPECTUS SUPPLEMENT. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

This supplement is part of the prospectus and either it or its contents must accompany the prospectus to satisfy the prospectus-delivery requirements under the Securities Act of 1933.

The date of this prospectus supplement is November 10, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS	1
MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION	2
OTHER INFORMATION	30
RISK FACTOR UPDATE	31
FINANCIAL INFORMATION	F-1

GWG Holdings, Inc.

220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200

Minneapolis, MN 55402

Tel: (612) 746-1944

Fax: (612) 746-0445

RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain matters discussed in this prospectus supplement contain forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about our operations and the investments we make, including, among other things, factors discussed under the heading “Risk Factors” in the prospectus and the following:

- changes in the secondary market for life insurance;
- our limited operating history;
- the valuation of assets reflected on our financial statements;
- the reliability of assumptions underlying our actuarial models, including our life expectancy estimates;
- our reliance on debt financing;
- risks relating to the validity and enforceability of the life insurance policies we purchase;
- our reliance on information provided and obtained by third parties;
- federal, state and FINRA regulatory matters;
- competition in the secondary market of life insurance;
- the relative illiquidity of life insurance policies;
- our ability to satisfy our debt obligations if we were to sell our entire portfolio of life insurance policies;
- life insurance company credit exposure;
- general economic outlook, including prevailing interest rates;
- performance of our investments in life insurance policies;
- financing requirements;
- litigation risks;
- restrictive covenants contained in borrowing agreements;
- increases in the cost of premiums charged by insurers for the policies we own; and
- our ability to make cash distributions in satisfaction of dividend obligations and redemption requests.

Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words like “believes,” “could,” “possibly,” “probably,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “projects,” “expects,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “seek,” “intend,” “plan,” “expect,” or “consider” or the negative of expressions or other variations, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. All forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual transactions, results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future transactions, results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements.

We base these forward-looking statements on current expectations and projections about future events and the information currently available to us. Although we believe that the assumptions for these forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove to be inaccurate. Consequently, no representation or warranty can be given that the estimates, opinions, or assumptions made in or referenced by this prospectus supplement will prove to be accurate. Some of the risks, uncertainties and assumptions are identified in the discussion entitled “Risk Factors” in this prospectus supplement. We caution you that the forward-looking statements in this prospectus supplement are only estimates and predictions, or statements of current intent. Actual results or outcomes, or actions that we ultimately undertake, could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements due to risks, uncertainties or actual events differing from the assumptions underlying these statements. These risks, uncertainties and assumptions include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this prospectus supplement.

MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Note: The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company are derived from our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended September 30, 2016, filed with the SEC on November 10, 2016. We have not materially updated this discussion in any way, although it may be presented in a different order than in our Quarterly Report. As indicated in that report, this discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. The statements in this discussion and analysis concerning expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources, as well as other non-historical statements in this discussion and analysis, are forward-looking statements. See "Risks Relating to Forward-Looking Statements" above and in the prospectus. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties. Our actual results could differ materially from those suggested or implied by any forward-looking statements.

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with our condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes beginning at page F-1 of this prospectus supplement, as well as our consolidated financial statements and related notes contained within the prospectus.

Overview

GWG Holdings, Inc. is a financial services company participating in the life insurance secondary market. We create opportunities for consumers owning life insurance to obtain significant value for their contracts as compared to the traditional options offered by insurance companies. We also create opportunities for investors to participate in the life insurance alternative investment asset class, not correlated to traditional financial markets. In so doing, we enable investors to take advantage of financial opportunities dominated by banks prior to the 2008 credit crisis.

We seek to build a profitable and large portfolio of life insurance assets that are well diversified in terms of insurance companies and insureds. We believe that diversification is a key risk mitigation strategy to provide consistent cash flows and reliable investment returns from our portfolio. To grow our portfolio and achieve diversification, we offer investors the opportunity to participate in the yield potentially generated by our portfolio of life insurance assets through a variety of financings and securities offerings. We believe we are well positioned to continue providing investors with yield participation opportunities from the life insurance alternative asset class.

Critical Accounting Policies

Critical Accounting Estimates

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements in accordance with the Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) requires us to make judgments, estimates, and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our judgments, estimates, and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates. We evaluate our judgments, estimates, and assumptions on a regular basis and make changes accordingly. We believe that the judgments, estimates, and assumptions involved in valuing our investments in life insurance contracts have the greatest potential impact on our consolidated financial statements and accordingly believe these to be our critical accounting estimates. Below we discuss the critical accounting policies associated with these estimates as well as certain other critical accounting policies.

Ownership of Life Insurance Contracts — Fair Value Option

We account for the purchase of life insurance contracts in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, which requires us to use either the investment method or the fair value method. We have elected to account for all of our life insurance contracts using the fair value method.

The fair value of our life insurance contracts is determined as the net present value of the life insurance portfolio's future expected cash flows (contract benefits received and required premium payments) that incorporates current life expectancy estimates and discount rate assumptions.

We initially record our purchase of life insurance contracts at the transaction price, which is the amount paid for the contract, inclusive of all external fees and costs associated with the acquisition. The fair value of our investment in our portfolio of insurance contracts is evaluated at the end of each subsequent reporting period. Changes in the fair value of our portfolio are based on periodic evaluations and are recorded in our consolidated and combined statement of operations as changes in fair value of life insurance contracts.

Fair Value Components — Medical Underwriting

Unobservable inputs, as discussed below, are a critical component of our estimate for the fair value of our investments in life insurance contracts. We currently use a probabilistic method of estimating and valuing the projected cash flows of our portfolio, which we believe to be the preferred and most prevalent valuation method in the industry. In this regard, the most significant assumptions we make are the life expectancy estimates of the insureds and the discount rate applied to the expected future cash flows to be derived from our portfolio.

The Society of Actuaries recently finalized the 2015 Valuation Basic Table (“2015 VBT”). The 2015 VBT is based on a much larger dataset of insured lives, face amount of contracts and more current information compared to the dataset underlying the 2008 Valuation Basic Table. The new 2015 VBT dataset includes 266 million contracts compared to the 2008 VBT dataset of 75 million. The experience data in the 2015 VBT dataset includes 2.55 million claims on contracts from 51 insurance carriers. Life expectancies implied by the 2015 VBT are generally longer for male and female nonsmokers between the ages of 65 and 80, while smokers and insureds of both genders over the age of 85 have significantly lower life expectancies. We adopted the 2015 VBT in our valuation process in June 2016.

In September 2015, Equitable Life Insurance Company (“AXA”) announced pending cost-of-insurance rate increases for certain universal life contracts which were effected on March 1, 2016. We identified 14 affected contracts in our portfolio. In April 2016, we received updated contract illustrations from AXA and calculated the change in the fair value of our portfolio resulting from the increased premiums to be a reduction of \$2,395,000. This reduction was reflected in our balance sheet as of March 31, 2016. Our review of AXA’s cost-of-insurance rate increases is complete as of September 30, 2016.

We are aware of additional pending cost of insurance increases affecting approximately 1.1% of our portfolio by face amount of benefits. We will adjust our premium schedules and resultant valuation when we have received the required information from the related carriers.

Fair Value Components — Required Premium Payments

We must pay the premiums on the life insurance contracts within our portfolio in order to collect the contract benefit. The same probabilistic model and methodologies used to generate expected cash inflows from the life insurance contract benefits over the expected life of the insured are used to estimate cash outflows due to required premium payments. Premiums paid are offset against revenue in the applicable reporting period.

Fair Value Components — Discount Rate

A discount rate is used to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows. The discount rate represents the internal rate of return we expect to earn on investments in a contract or in the portfolio as a whole at the stated fair value. The discount rate used to calculate fair value of our portfolio incorporates the guidance provided by ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

The table below provides the discount rate used to estimate the fair value of our portfolio of life insurance contracts for the period ending:

September 30, 2016

December 31, 2015

11.07%

11.09%

The change in the discount rate incorporates current information about discount rates applied by other reporting companies owning portfolios of life insurance contracts, discount rates observed by us in the life insurance secondary market, market interest rates, credit exposure to the issuing insurance companies, and our estimate of the risk premium a purchaser would require to receive the future cash flows derived from our portfolio of life insurance contracts. Because we use the discount rate to arrive at the fair value of our portfolio, the rate we choose necessarily assumes an orderly and arms-length transaction (i.e., a non-distressed transaction in which neither seller nor buyer is compelled to

engage in the transaction). The carrying value of contracts acquired during each quarterly reporting period are adjusted to their current fair value using the fair value discount rate applied to the entire portfolio as of that reporting date.

We engaged Model Actuarial Pricing Systems (“MAPS”), to prepare a calculation of our life insurance portfolio. MAPS owns and maintains the portfolio pricing software we use. MAPS processed contract data, future premium data, life expectancy estimate data, and other actuarial information to calculate a net present value for our portfolio using the specified discount rate of 11.07%. MAPS independently calculated the net present value of our portfolio of 625 contracts to be \$477.6 million and furnished us with a letter documenting its calculation. A copy of such letter is filed as Exhibit 99.1 to this report.

Deferred Income Taxes

Under ASC 740, Income Taxes, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to temporary differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. A valuation allowance is established for deferred tax assets that are not considered more likely than not to be realized. Realization of deferred tax assets depends upon having sufficient past or future taxable income in periods to which the deductible temporary differences are expected to be recovered or within any applicable carryback or carryforward periods. After assessing the realization of the net deferred tax assets, we believe that it is “more likely than not” that we will be able to realize all of our deferred tax assets other than those which are expected to result in a capital loss.

Deferred Financing and Issuance Costs

Financing costs incurred under the senior credit facilities were capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the senior credit facilities. The Series I Secured Note obligations are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The L Bonds are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of each respective borrowing. The Series A, as described in Note 9, was reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, including the fair value of warrants issued, and other direct expenses, which were amortized using the interest method as interest expense over a three-year redemption period. As of December 31, 2015, these costs have been fully amortized. Selling and issuance costs of RPS and MCA Preferred Stock, described in Notes 10 and 11, are netted against additional paid-in-capital.

Principal Revenue and Expense Items

We earn revenues from the following three primary sources.

- **Life Insurance Contract Benefits Realized.** We recognize the difference between the face value of the contract benefits and carrying value when an insured’s mortality event occurs. We generally collect the face value of the life insurance contract benefit from the insurance company within 45 days of recognizing the revenue.
- **Change in Fair Value of Life Insurance Contracts.** We value our portfolio investments for each reporting period in accordance with the fair value principles discussed herein, which includes the expected payment of premiums for future periods as shown in our consolidated financial statements net premium costs.
- **Sale of a Life Insurance Contract.** In the event of a sale of a contract, we recognize gain or loss as the difference between the sale price and the carrying value of the contract on the date of the receipt of payment on such sale.

Our main components of expense are summarized below.

- Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. We recognize and record expenses incurred in our business operations, including operations related to the purchasing and servicing of life insurance contracts. These expenses include salaries and benefits, sales, marketing, occupancy and other expenditures.

- **Interest and Dividends.** We recognize and record interest expenses associated with the costs of financing our life insurance portfolio for the current period. These expenses include interest paid to our senior lender under our senior credit facilities, interest paid on our L Bonds and other outstanding indebtedness such as our Series I Secured Notes, and dividends on our Series A and our RPS. When we issue debt, we amortize the issuance costs associated with such indebtedness over the outstanding term of the financing, and classify it as interest expense.

Results of Operations — Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016 Compared to the Same Periods in 2015

The following is our analysis of the results of operations for the periods indicated below. This analysis should be read in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and related notes.

Revenue.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
Revenue recognized from the receipt of contract benefits	\$ 4,221,000	\$ 277,000	\$ 26,986,000	\$ 25,909,000
Revenue (expense) recognized from the change in fair value of life insurance contracts, net of premiums and carrying costs ⁽¹⁾	9,289,000	7,912,000	24,621,000	7,538,000
Gain on life insurance contracts, net	\$ 13,510,000	\$ 8,189,000	\$ 51,607,000	\$ 33,447,000
Number of contracts matured	4	1	16	8
The change in fair value related to new contracts acquired	\$ 11,668,000	\$ 7,423,000	\$ 29,509,000	\$ 12,546,000

(1) The discount rate applied to estimate the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance contracts we own was 11.07% as of both September 30, 2016 and September 30, 2015. The carrying value of contracts acquired during each quarterly reporting period is adjusted to current fair value using the fair value discount rate applied to the entire portfolio as of that reporting date (see Note 4 to our condensed consolidated financial statements).

Expenses.

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2016	2015	Increase (Decrease)	2016	2015	Increase
Employee compensation and benefits ⁽¹⁾	\$ 2,912,000	\$ 2,308,000	\$ 604,000	\$ 8,450,000	\$ 6,181,000	\$ 2,269,000
Interest expense (including amortization of deferred financing costs and preferred stock dividends) ⁽²⁾	11,984,000	8,650,000	3,334,000	32,010,000	23,149,000	8,861,000
Legal and professional expenses ⁽³⁾	587,000	822,000	(235,000)	3,097,000	1,988,000	1,109,000
Other expenses ⁽⁴⁾	2,863,000	2,232,000	631,000	7,608,000	5,646,000	1,962,000

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

Total expenses \$ 18,346,000 \$ 14,012,000 \$ 4,334,000 \$ 51,165,000 \$ 36,964,000 \$ 14,201,000

(1) We hired additional members to our sales, marketing, legal and information technology teams. At the end of 2015 we employed approximately 50 employees, and at September 30, 2016 we employed approximately 67 employees.

(2) The increase in the current period was due to the increase in our average debt outstanding.

(3) Increase is due to SEC filings and other costs related to securities offerings and on-going compliance.

(4) Increase is due to increased public relations, sales and marketing costs associated with growing and servicing our network of independent financial advisors.

5

Income Tax Expense.

The following table reconciles our income tax expense at the statutory federal tax rate to our actual income tax expense:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2016		September 30, 2015		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2016		September 30, 2015	
Statutory federal income tax (benefit)	\$ (1,561,000)	34.0 %	\$ (1,948,000)	34.0 %	\$ 489,000	34.0 %	\$ 1,117,000	34.0 %
State income taxes (benefit), net of federal benefit	(227,000)	4.9 %	(334,000)	5.8 %	240,000	16.7 %	(105,000)	3.2 %
Series A preferred stock dividends	354,000	(7.7)%	175,000	(3.1)%	732,000	51.0 %	526,000	16.0 %
Other permanent differences	15,000	(0.3)%	9,000	(0.1)%	18,000	1.3 %	31,000	1.0 %
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$ (1,419,000)	30.9 %	\$ (2,098,000)	36.6 %	\$ 1,479,000	102.9 %	\$ 665,000	20.2 %

The most significant temporary differences between GAAP net income and taxable net income are the treatment of interest costs with respect to the acquisition of the life insurance contracts and revenue recognition with respect to the fair value of life insurance portfolio.

The primary permanent difference between our effective tax rate and the statutory federal rate are the accrual of preferred stock dividend expense, state income taxes, and other non-deductible expenses. The dividends charged to interest expense were \$1.0 million and \$0.5 million during the three months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively, and \$2.2 million and \$1.5 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2016 and 2015, respectively.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We finance our business through a combination of life insurance contract benefit receipts, origination fees, equity offerings, debt offerings, and our senior credit facilities. We have used our debt offerings and our senior credit facilities primarily for contract acquisition, contract servicing, and portfolio-related financing expenditures including paying principal and interest.

As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$117.2 million and \$74.4 million, respectively, in combined available cash, cash equivalents, policy benefits receivable, if any, and available borrowing base surplus capacity, if any, under our senior credit facilities for the purpose of purchasing additional life insurance contracts, paying premiums on existing contracts, paying portfolio servicing expenses, and paying principal and interest on our outstanding financing obligations.

Debt Financings Summary

We had the following outstanding debt balances as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015:

Issuer/Borrower	As of September 30, 2016			As of December 31, 2015		
	Principal Amount Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate		Principal Amount Outstanding	Weighted Average Interest Rate	
GWG Holdings, Inc. – L Bonds	\$ 384,586,000	7.16	%	\$ 282,171,000	7.18	%
GWG Life, LLC – Series I Secured Notes	17,830,000	8.63	%	23,578,000	8.47	%
Credit Facility – Autobahn Funding Company LLC (See Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements)	—	—		65,011,000	5.58	%
Credit Facility – LNV Corporation (See Note 6 to our consolidated financial statements)	71,250,000	6.45	%	—	—	
Total	\$ 473,666,000	7.10	%	\$ 370,760,000	6.98	%

Our total senior credit facilities and other indebtedness balance as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was \$473.7 million and \$370.8 million, respectively. At September 30, 2016, the total outstanding face amount of our Series I Secured Notes outstanding was \$17.8 million, less unamortized selling costs of \$0.3 million, resulting in a carrying amount of \$17.5 million. At December 31, 2015, the total outstanding face amount of our Series I

Secured Notes outstanding was \$23.6 million, less unamortized selling costs of \$0.3 million, resulting in a carrying amount of \$23.3 million. At September 30, 2016, the total outstanding face amount of L Bonds was \$384.6 million plus \$6.9 million of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs of \$11.6 million resulting in a carrying amount of \$379.9 million. At December 31, 2015, the total outstanding face amount of L Bonds was \$282.2 million plus \$3.0 million of subscriptions in process, less unamortized selling costs of \$8.2 million resulting in a carrying amount of \$277.0 million.

The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding Series I Secured Notes as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was 8.63% and 8.47%, respectively, and the weighted-average maturity at those dates was 1.31 and 1.06 years, respectively. The Series I Secured Notes have renewal features. Since we first issued our Series I Secured Notes, we experienced \$165.1 million in maturities, of which \$125.0 million renewed for an additional term as of September 30, 2016. This provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 76% for investments in these securities. Effective September 1, 2016, we no longer renew the Series I Secured Notes.

The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding L Bonds as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015 was 7.16% and 7.18%, respectively, and the weighted-average maturity at those dates was 2.10 and 2.02 years, respectively. Our L Bonds have renewal features. As of September 30, 2016, \$252.4 million in aggregate principal amount of our L Bonds had matured since issuance, of which \$168.3 million renewed for an additional term. The aggregate renewal rate is approximately 67% for investments in these securities.

Future contractual maturities of Series I Secured Notes and L Bonds at December 31, 2016 are:

Years Ending December 31,	Series I		Total
	Secured Notes	L Bonds	
2016	\$ 1,177,000	\$ 23,548,000	\$ 24,725,000
2017	10,522,000	112,987,000	123,509,000
2018	2,401,000	101,130,000	103,531,000
2019	1,023,000	78,098,000	79,121,000
2020	1,766,000	19,291,000	21,057,000
Thereafter	941,000	49,532,000	50,473,000
	\$ 17,830,000	\$ 384,586,000	\$ 402,416,000

The L Bonds and Series I Secured Notes are secured by all of our assets, and are subordinate to our senior credit facilities. The L Bonds and Series I Secured Notes are pari passu with respect to a security interest in our assets pursuant to an intercreditor agreement (see Notes 7 and 8 to our consolidated financial statements).

We maintain a \$105 million revolving senior credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank through DLP III. The revolving senior credit facility is used to pay the premium expenses related to our portfolio of life insurance contracts. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$0 million and \$65.0 million, respectively, outstanding under the revolving senior credit facility, and maintained an available borrowing base surplus of \$76.6 million and \$40.0 million, respectively.

On September 14, 2016, we entered into a \$172 million senior secured term loan with LNV Corp. through GWG Funding DLP IV. We intend to use the proceeds from this facility primarily to grow and maintain our portfolio of life insurance contracts, for liquidity and for general corporate purposes. As of September 30, 2016 we had approximately \$71.2 million outstanding under the senior credit facility.

Capital expenditures have historically not been material and we do not anticipate making material capital expenditures in 2016 or beyond.

Corporate Financing History

In November 2009, our wholly owned subsidiary GWG Life offered Series I Secured Notes in a private placement to accredited investors only. This offering was closed in November 2011. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, we had approximately \$17.8 million and \$23.6 million, respectively, in principal amount of Series I Secured Notes outstanding.

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

In September 2011, we concluded a private placement offering of Series A, having received an aggregate \$24.6 million in subscriptions for our Series A. These subscriptions consisted of \$14.0 million in conversions of outstanding Series I Secured Notes and \$10.6 million of new investments. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, we had approximately \$19.8 million and \$20.8 million stated value of Series A outstanding.

In January 2012, we began publicly offering up to \$250.0 million in debt securities (initially named “Renewable Secured Debentures” and subsequently renamed “L Bonds”) that was completed in January 2015.

In September 2014, we consummated an initial public offering of our common stock resulting in the sale of 800,000 shares of common stock at \$12.50 per share and net proceeds of approximately \$8.6 million after the deduction of underwriting commissions, discounts and expense reimbursements.

In January 2015, we began publicly offering up to \$1.0 billion of L Bonds as a follow-on offering to our earlier \$250.0 million public debt offering. Through September 30, 2016, the total amount of these L Bonds sold, including renewals, was \$637.1 million. As of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively, we had approximately \$384.6 million and \$282.2 million, respectively, in principal amount of L Bonds outstanding.

In October 2015, we began publicly offering up to 100,000 shares of our RPS at a per-share price of \$1,000. As of September 30, 2016 we had issued approximately \$33.2 million stated value of RPS.

Portfolio Assets and Secured Indebtedness

At September 30, 2016, the fair value of our investments in life insurance contracts of \$477.6 million plus our cash balance of \$18.8 million, our restricted cash balance of \$15.7 million and our life insurance contract benefits receivable of \$6.1 million, totaled \$518.2 million, representing an excess of portfolio assets over secured indebtedness of \$44.5 million. At December 31, 2015, the fair value of our investments in life insurance contracts of \$356.6 million plus our cash balance of \$34.4 million and our restricted cash balance of \$2.3 million, totaled \$393.3 million, representing an excess of portfolio assets over secured indebtedness of \$22.5 million. The L Bonds and Series I Secured Notes are secured by all of our assets and are subordinate to our senior credit facilities. The L Bonds and Series I Secured Notes are pari passu with respect to a security interest in our assets pursuant to an intercreditor agreement.

The following forward-looking table seeks to illustrate the impact of the sale of our portfolio of life insurance assets at various discount rates in order to satisfy our debt obligations as of September 30, 2016. In all cases, the sale of the life insurance assets owned by DLP III and DLP IV will be used first to satisfy all amounts owing under the respective senior credit facilities. The net sale proceeds remaining after satisfying all obligations under the senior credit facilities would be applied to L Bonds and Series I Secured Notes on a pari passu basis.

Portfolio Discount Rate	10%	11%	12%	13%	14%
Value of portfolio	\$ 503,331,000	\$ 479,200,000	\$ 456,979,000	\$ 436,470,000	\$ 417,501,000
Cash, cash equivalents and life insurance contract benefits receivable	40,591,000	40,591,000	40,591,000	40,591,000	40,591,000
Total assets	543,922,000	519,791,000	497,570,000	477,061,000	458,092,000
Revolving senior credit facility	71,250,000	71,250,000	71,250,000	71,250,000	71,250,000
Net after revolving senior credit facility	472,672,000	448,541,000	426,320,000	405,811,000	386,842,000
	402,416,000	402,416,000	402,416,000	402,416,000	402,416,000

Series I Secured Notes and L Bonds Net after Series I Secured Notes and L Bonds	70,256,000	46,125,000	23,904,000	3,395,000	(15,574,000)
Impairment to Series I Secured Notes and L Bonds	No impairment	No impairment	No impairment	No impairment	Impairment

The table illustrates that our ability to fully satisfy amounts owing under the L Bonds and Series I Secured Notes would likely be impaired upon the sale of all of our life insurance assets at a price equivalent to a discount rate of approximately 13.18% or higher. At December 31, 2015, the impairment occurred at a discount rate of approximately 12.58% or higher. The discount rates used to calculate the fair value of our portfolio were 11.07% and 11.09% as of September 30, 2016 and December 31, 2015, respectively.

The table does not include any allowance for transactional fees and expenses associated with a portfolio sale (which expenses and fees could be substantial), and is provided to demonstrate how various discount rates used

to value our portfolio could affect our ability to satisfy amounts owing under our debt obligations in light of our senior secured lender's right to priority payments. You should read the above table in conjunction with the information contained in other sections of this report, including our discussion of discount rates included under the "Critical Accounting Policies — Fair Value Components – Discount Rate" caption above. This discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as well as significant assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management.

Cash Flows

The payment of premiums and servicing costs to maintain life insurance contracts represents our most significant requirement for cash disbursement. When a contract is purchased, we are able to calculate the minimum premium payments required to maintain the contract in-force. As the insured ages, premium payments increase (see Note 3 to our consolidated financial statements). Nevertheless, the probability of actually needing to pay the premiums decreases as the probability of mortality increases. These scheduled premiums and associated probabilities are factored into our expected internal rate of return and cash-flow modeling. Beyond premiums, we incur contract servicing costs, including annual trustee, tracking costs, and debt servicing costs, including principal and interest payments, all of which are excluded from our internal rate of return calculations. Until we receive a stable amount of proceeds from the contract benefits, we intend to pay these costs from our senior credit facilities, when permitted, and through the issuance of debt securities, including the L Bonds, and equity securities including our RPS.

The amount of payments for anticipated premiums and servicing costs (excluding debt servicing costs) that we will be required to make over the next five years to maintain our current portfolio, assuming no mortalities, is set forth in the table below.

Years Ending December 31,	Premiums	Servicing	Premiums and Servicing Fees
Three months ending December 31, 2016	\$ 10,449,000	\$ 188,000	\$ 10,637,000
2017	43,155,000	750,000	43,905,000
2018	46,847,000	750,000	47,597,000
2019	50,813,000	750,000	51,563,000
2020	56,633,000	750,000	57,383,000
2021	63,222,000	750,000	63,972,000
	\$ 271,119,000	\$ 3,938,000	\$ 275,057,000

For the quarter-end dates set forth below, the following table illustrates the total amount of face value of contract benefits owned, and the trailing 12 months of life insurance contract benefits collected and premiums paid on our portfolio. The trailing 12-month benefits/premium coverage ratio indicates the ratio of contract benefits received to premiums paid over the trailing 12-month period from our portfolio of life insurance contracts.

Quarter End Date	Portfolio Face Amount	12-Month Trailing Benefits Collected	12-Month Trailing Premiums Paid	12-Month Trailing Benefits/Premium Coverage Ratio
December 31, 2013	740,648,000	16,600,000	21,733,000	76.4 %
March 31, 2014	771,940,000	12,600,000	21,930,000	57.5 %
June 30, 2014	784,652,000	6,300,000	22,598,000	27.9 %
September 30, 2014	787,964,000	4,300,000	23,121,000	18.6 %
December 31, 2014	779,099,000	18,050,000	23,265,000	77.6 %
March 31, 2015	754,942,000	46,675,000	23,786,000	196.2 %
June 30, 2015	806,274,000	47,125,000	24,348,000	193.6 %
September 30, 2015	878,882,000	44,482,000	25,313,000	175.7 %
December 31, 2015	944,844,000	31,232,000	26,650,000	117.2 %

Edgar Filing: GWG Holdings, Inc. - Form 424B3

March 31, 2016	1,027,821,000	21,845,000	28,771,000	75.9	%
June 30, 2016	1,154,798,000	30,924,000	31,891,000	97.0	%
September 30, 2016	1,272,078,000	35,867,000	37,055,000	96.8	%

9

We believe that the portfolio cash flow results set forth above are consistent with our general investment thesis: that the life insurance contract benefits we receive will continue to increase over time in relation to the premiums we are required to pay on the remaining policies in the portfolio. Nevertheless, we expect that our portfolio cash flow results on a period-to-period basis will remain inconsistent until such time as we achieve our goal of acquiring a larger, more diversified portfolio of life insurance contracts. As our receipt of life insurance contract benefits increases, we expect to increasingly use these cash flows to begin paying down our outstanding indebtedness and purchase additional life insurance contracts.

Inflation

Changes in inflation do not necessarily correlate with changes in interest rates. We presently do not foresee any material impact of inflation on our results of operations in the periods presented in our consolidated financial statements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

GWG Holdings is party to an office lease with U.S. Bank National Association as the landlord. Effective September 1, 2015, GWG Holdings entered into a second amendment to the lease with U.S. Bank National Association (Second Amendment to Lease). The Second Amendment to Lease increases the office space area to 17,687 square feet and extends the lease expiration date by approximately ten years (see Note 16 to our consolidated financial statements).

Credit Risk

We review the credit risk associated with our portfolio of life insurance contracts when estimating its fair value. In evaluating the contracts' credit risk, we consider insurance company solvency, credit risk indicators, economic conditions, ongoing credit evaluations, and company positions. We attempt to manage our credit risk related to life insurance contracts by generally purchasing life insurance contracts issued only from companies with an investment-grade credit rating by Standard & Poor's, Moody's, or A.M. Best Company. See "Portfolio Credit Risk Management" below.

Interest Rate Risk

Our senior credit facilities are floating-rate financing. In addition, our ability to offer interest rates that attract capital (including in our continuous offering of L Bonds) is generally impacted by prevailing interest rates. Furthermore, while our other indebtedness provides us with fixed-rate financing, our debt coverage ratio is calculated in relation to our total cost of financing. Therefore, rising interest rates could materially impact our business by increasing our borrowing costs, and reducing availability under our debt financing arrangements. Furthermore, we calculate our portfolio earnings based upon the spread generated between the return on our life insurance portfolio and the cost of our financing. As a result, increases in interest rates will reduce the earnings we expect to achieve from our investments in life insurance contracts.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures

Non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by management are provided as additional information to investors in order to provide an alternative method for assessing our financial condition and operating results. These non-GAAP financial measures are not in accordance with GAAP and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies, including other companies within our industry. This presentation of non-GAAP financial information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for comparable amounts prepared in accordance with GAAP. Please see our financial statements and related notes contained herein.

We use non-GAAP financial measures for maintaining compliance with covenants contained in our borrowing agreements and for planning and forecasting purposes. The application of current GAAP standards during a period of significant growth in our business, in which period we are building a large and actuarially diverse portfolio of life insurance, results in current period operating performance that may not be reflective of our long-term earnings potential. Management believes that our non-GAAP financial measures permit investors to better focus on this long-term earnings performance without regard to the volatility in GAAP financial results that can occur during this phase of growth.

Therefore, in contrast to a GAAP fair valuation (mark-to-market), we seek to measure the accrual of the actuarial gain occurring within the portfolio of life insurance contracts at our expected internal rate of return based on statistical mortality probabilities for the insureds (using primarily the insured’s age, sex, health and smoking status). The expected internal rate of return tracks actuarial gain occurring within the contracts according to a mortality table as the insureds’ age increases. By comparing the actuarial gain accruing within our portfolio of life insurance contracts against our adjusted costs during the same period, we can estimate, manage and evaluate the overall financial profitability of our business without regard to mark-to-market volatility. We use this information to balance our life insurance contract purchasing and manage our capital structure, including the issuance of debt and utilization of our other sources of capital, and to monitor our compliance with borrowing covenants. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures provide information that is useful for investors to understand period-over-period operating results separate and apart from fair value items that could have a disproportionately positive or negative impact on GAAP results in any particular period.

Our senior credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank requires us to maintain a “positive net income” and “tangible net worth,” each of which are calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis using the method described above, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures. In addition, our revolving senior credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank requires us to maintain an “excess spread,” which is the difference between (i) the weighted average of our expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance contracts and (ii) the weighted average of our senior credit facility’s interest rate. These calculations are made using non-GAAP measures in the method described below, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures.

In addition, the Indenture governing our L Bonds and the note issuance and security agreement governing our Series I Secured Notes require us to maintain a “debt coverage ratio” designed to ensure that the expected cash flows from our portfolio of life insurance contracts is able to adequately service our total outstanding indebtedness. This ratio is calculated using non-GAAP measures in the method described below, again without regard to GAAP-based fair value measures.

Adjusted Non-GAAP Net Income. Our senior credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank requires us to maintain a positive net income calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted net income by recognizing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance contracts at the expected internal rate of return of the contracts we own without regard to fair value. We net this actuarial gain against our adjusted costs during the same period to calculate our net income on a non-GAAP basis.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2016	2015	2016	2015
GAAP net income (loss)	\$ (2,997,000)	\$ (3,631,000)	\$ (42,000)	\$ (2,620,000)
Unrealized fair value gain(1)	(21,073,000)			