

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

FTI CONSULTING INC  
Form DEF 14A  
April 18, 2011

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549  
SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant  [X]

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant  o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement  
 o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))  
 [X] Definitive Proxy Statement  
 o Definitive Additional Materials  
 o Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule 14a-12

FTI CONSULTING, INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

[X] No fee required.

o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

1. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
2. Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
3. Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (Set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
4. Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
5. Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

1. Amount Previously Paid:
2. Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
3. Filing Party:

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

4. Date Filed:

SEC 1913 (04-05)

Persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.

---

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

777 South Flagler Drive  
Phillips Point  
Suite 1500 West Tower  
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401  
(561) 515-1900

April 18, 2011

Dear Stockholder:

I would like to extend an invitation for you to join us at our 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders on Wednesday, June 1, 2011, at 9:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, at our executive office located at 777 South Flagler Drive, Phillips Point, Suite 1500 West Tower, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401.

This year, we are again furnishing the proxy materials to stockholders primarily over the Internet. Therefore, most stockholders will not receive paper copies of our proxy materials. We will instead send these stockholders a notice with instructions for accessing the proxy materials and voting via the Internet. The notice also provides information on how stockholders may obtain paper copies of our proxy materials. This method expedites the receipt of your proxy materials, lowers the costs of our annual meeting and helps to conserve natural resources.

At this year's meeting, you will be asked to elect three Class III directors, approve the amendment to the Company's Charter to declassify the Board of Directors beginning this year as contemplated by the Articles of Amendment, approve the adoption of the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan, ratify KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011, cast advisory (non-binding) votes with respect to the compensation of our named executive officers and the frequency of the advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, and act upon such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment of such meeting.

Attached you will find a notice of meeting and proxy statement, which contains further information regarding these proposals and the meeting. If you plan to attend the meeting in person, please respond affirmatively to the request for that information on the Internet, or mark that box on the proxy card if you received paper copies of the proxy materials. You will be asked to present valid picture identification, such as a driver's license or passport. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the meeting.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, your vote is important to us. You can ensure that your shares are represented by promptly voting by telephone or the Internet, or by completing, signing, dating and returning your proxy card in the return envelope.

Sincerely,

JACK B. DUNN, IV  
President and Chief Executive Officer

FTI CONSULTING, INC.  
NOTICE OF 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Date: June 1, 2011

Time: 9:30 a.m., EDT

Place: FTI Consulting, Inc., Executive Office, 777 South Flagler Drive, Phillips Point, Suite 1500 West Tower, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401

Dear Stockholder:

Notice is hereby given that the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of FTI Consulting, Inc., a Maryland corporation, will be held at the location, on the date and at the time specified above. At the meeting, we will ask you to:

- elect as Class III directors the three nominees named in the proxy statement;
- consider and act upon a proposal to approve the amendment to the Charter of the Company to declassify the Board of Directors as contemplated by the Articles of Amendment;
- consider and act upon a proposal to approve the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan;
- consider and act upon a proposal to ratify the retention of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011;
- approve, in an advisory (non-binding) vote, the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement;
- cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on whether to hold future advisory (non-binding) votes on executive compensation every one, two or three years; and
- transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof to the extent permitted by applicable law.

The Board of Directors recommends a vote FOR the election of each of the three nominees for Class III director named in the proxy statement, FOR the approval of the amendment to the Charter of the Company to declassify the Board of Directors, FOR approval of the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan, FOR the ratification of the retention of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011, and FOR approval of the compensation of the named executive officers as described in the proxy statement for the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Board of Directors of the Company is recommending that the advisory vote on executive compensation be conducted every three years.

Stockholders of record at the close of business on March 21, 2011, will be entitled to notice of and to vote at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders and any postponement or adjournment of the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

JOANNE F. CATANESE

Associate General Counsel and Secretary

April 18, 2011

Every stockholder's vote is important. Please vote as promptly as possible by using the Internet, the telephone or by completing, signing, dating and returning a proxy card, even if you plan to attend the meeting in person. See our questions and answers about the meeting for information about voting by Internet, telephone or mail, how to revoke a proxy and how to vote shares in person.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Proxy Statement for 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders	1
Information About the 2011 Annual Meeting and Voting	1
Additional Information	7
Information About the Board of Directors and Committees	8
Proposal No. 1 — Elect as Class III Directors the Three Nominees Named in the Proxy Statement	8
Independence of Directors	10
Information About the Nominees for Class III Director and the Other Directors	10
Director Attendance at Meetings	17
Committees of the Board of Directors	18
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee – Director Nomination Process	22
Compensation of Non-Employee Directors and Stock Ownership Guidelines	23
Corporate Governance	25
Governance Principles	25
Board Leadership Structure and Presiding Director	26
Oversight of Risk Management	26
Code of Conduct	26
Stockholder Nominees for Director	27
Communications with Non-Management Directors	27
Other Proposals to be Presented at the Annual Meeting	28
Proposal No. 2 — Consider and Act upon a Proposal to Approve the Amendment to the Charter of the Company to Declassify the Board of Directors as Contemplated by the Articles of Amendment	28
Proposal No. 3 — Approve the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan	30
Proposal No. 4 — Ratify the Retention of KPMG LLP as FTI Consulting, Inc.’s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for the Year Ending December 31, 2011	32
Proposal No. 5 — Approve, in an Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote, the Compensation of the Named Executive Officers as Disclosed in the Proxy Statement	33
Proposal No. 6 — Conduct an Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on Whether to Hold Future Advisory (Non-Binding) Votes on Executive Compensation Every One, Two or Three Years	33
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	34
Executive Officers and Compensation	37
Executive and Key Officers	37
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	39
Compensation Committee Report	55
Risk Assessment of Compensation Practices	55
Summary Compensation Table	55
Equity Compensation Plans	59
Employment Agreements and Potential Termination and Change in Control Payments	68
Certain Relationships and Related Party Transactions	83
Report of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors	84
Principal Accountant Fees and Services	86
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	86
Proposals for the 2012 Annual Meeting	87
Appendix A — Articles of Amendment	A-1
Appendix B — 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan	B-1
Appendix C — Charter of the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors [Amended and Restated Effective as of February 23, 2011]	C-1
Appendix D — Charter of the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors [Amended and Restated Effective as of February 23, 2011]	D-1

777 South Flagler Drive  
Phillips Point  
Suite 1500 West Tower  
West Palm Beach, Florida 33401  
(561) 515-1900

April 18, 2011

---

PROXY STATEMENT FOR 2011 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

---

The 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the “Annual Meeting”) of FTI Consulting, Inc., a Maryland corporation (the “Company” or “FTI”), will be held on June 1, 2011, at 9:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, at FTI Consulting, Inc.’s executive office, located at 777 South Flagler Drive, Phillips Point, Suite 1500 West Tower, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401.

Our Board of Directors (“Board”) is furnishing you a proxy statement to solicit proxies on its behalf to be voted at our Annual Meeting because you were a stockholder at the close of business on March 21, 2011, the record date for the Annual Meeting, and are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting. This proxy statement provides information that you should read before you vote on the proposals that will be presented to you at the Annual Meeting and is intended to assist you in deciding how to vote your shares.

On or about April 18, 2011, we began mailing or e-mailing a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access this proxy statement and our 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders online and we began sending a full set of the proxy materials and 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders to stockholders who previously requested delivery in paper copy.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE 2011 ANNUAL MEETING AND VOTING

Why am I receiving these proxy materials?

As a stockholder, you are invited to attend the Annual Meeting and are entitled to vote on the items of business described in this proxy statement. The proxy materials include the proxy statement for that meeting and our 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders. If you received a paper copy of these materials by mail or e-mail, the proxy materials also include a proxy card or voting instruction card for the Annual Meeting.

The information in this proxy statement relates to the proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting, the voting process, the three nominees for Class III director named in this proxy statement, information about our Board and its Committees, the compensation of non-employee directors and our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, our former Chief Financial Officer who left the Company in March 2010, and the three other most highly paid executive officers for the year ended December 31, 2010, and certain other information we are required to provide to you.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

When and where will FTI hold the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders?

FTI's Annual Meeting will be held on Wednesday, June 1, 2011, at 9:30 a.m., Eastern Daylight Time, at FTI Consulting, Inc.'s executive office, located at 777 South Flagler Drive, Phillips Point, Suite 1500 West Tower, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401, telephone no. (561) 515-1900.

Why did I receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials?

We are pleased to continue to use the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") rule that allows companies to furnish proxy materials over the Internet. As permitted under the SEC rule, we are sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") by mail or e-mail to many stockholders instead of paper copies of the proxy materials. All stockholders receiving the Notice will have the ability to access this proxy statement and our 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 on a website referred to in the Notice or to request a printed set of these materials at no charge. Instructions on how to access these materials over the Internet or to request printed copies may be found in the Notice or the e-mail accompanying the Notice. Choosing to receive future proxy materials by e-mail will save the Company the cost of printing and mailing documents to stockholders and will reduce the impact of annual meetings on the environment. A stockholder's election to receive proxy materials by e-mail will remain in effect until the stockholder terminates it.

Your Notice will contain instructions on how to:

- view our proxy materials for the Annual Meeting on the Internet;
- view our 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders on the Internet; and
- instruct us to send future proxy materials to you electronically by e-mail.

Why did I receive a Notice by e-mail?

We are providing the Notice by e-mail to those stockholders who have previously elected delivery of the proxy materials electronically. Those stockholders should have received an e-mail containing a link to the website where the proxy materials are available and a link to the proxy voting website.

Can I vote my shares by filling out and returning the Notice?

No. The Notice identifies the items to be voted on at the Annual Meeting but you cannot vote by marking and returning the Notice. The Notice provides instructions on how to vote by Internet, by telephone, by requesting a paper proxy card, or by attending the Annual Meeting and submitting a ballot in person.

Why did I receive paper copies of the proxy materials and the 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders?

We are providing some of our stockholders, including stockholders who have previously requested paper copies of the proxy materials, and some of our stockholders who live outside of the United States, with paper copies of this proxy statement and the 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders, instead of the Notice. In addition, any stockholder may request to receive proxy materials in printed form by mail or electronically by e-mail on an ongoing basis.

How can I request paper copies of the proxy materials and annual report?

Stockholders will find instructions about how to obtain paper copies of the proxy materials and annual report on the Notice. Stockholders receiving an e-mail will find instructions about how to obtain paper copies as part of the e-mail.



Who pays the costs of the proxy solicitation?

FTI will pay the cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to the mailing and e-mailing of the Notice and these proxy materials, the solicitation of proxies or votes may be made in person, by telephone or by electronic communication by our officers and employees, who will not receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities.

How many votes must be present to hold the Annual Meeting?

As of the close of business on March 21, 2011, the record date for the Annual Meeting, 41,727,239 shares of our common stock were issued and outstanding. A quorum must be present at the Annual Meeting in order to transact business. A quorum will be present if a majority of the shares of common stock entitled to vote are represented at the Annual Meeting, either in person or by proxy. If a quorum is not present, a vote cannot occur, in which case the Annual Meeting may be adjourned until such time as a quorum is present.

Abstentions from voting on a proposal and broker non-votes are counted for determining whether a quorum is present. If a broker does not return a properly executed proxy, then the holder is not deemed present for quorum purposes. Stockholders who return a properly executed proxy (whether or not the stockholder votes, abstains from vote or withholds voting authority with respect to the election of a director) are present for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

What items of business will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

At the Annual Meeting, we will ask you to:

- elect as Class III directors the three nominees named in the proxy statement;
- consider and act upon a proposal to approve the amendment to the Charter of the Company to declassify the Board of Directors as contemplated by the Articles of Amendment;
- consider and act upon a proposal to approve the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan;
- consider and act upon a proposal to ratify the retention of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011;
- approve, in an advisory (non-binding) vote, the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement;
- cast an advisory (non-binding) vote on whether to hold future advisory (non-binding) votes on executive compensation every one, two or three years; and
- transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting or any postponement or adjournment thereof to the extent permitted by applicable law.

How do I vote my shares?

You have one vote for each share of our common stock that you owned of record at the close of business on March 21, 2011. Even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person, we recommend that you also vote by proxy as described below so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the meeting. By voting by proxy, you will be directing the designated person or persons as your proxies to vote your shares of common stock at the Annual Meeting in accordance with your instructions.

- How can I vote in person? Shares held in your name as the stockholder of record may be voted in person at the Annual Meeting. To vote in person, you must attend the Annual Meeting and submit a ballot. Ballots for voting in person will be available at the Annual Meeting. Shares for which you are the beneficial owner but not the stockholder of record may be voted in person at the Annual Meeting only if you obtain a legal proxy giving you the right to vote such shares from the broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary that is the record holder of your shares.
- How can I vote by Internet? Stockholders who received a Notice by mail or e-mail may submit proxies over the Internet by following the instructions on the Notice or the e-mail. Stockholders who have received paper copies of the proxy materials, including a proxy card or voting instruction card, may submit proxies over the Internet by following the instructions on the proxy card or voting instruction card. Internet voting is available 24 hours a day until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 31, 2011. You will be given the opportunity to confirm that your instructions have been properly recorded.
- How can I vote by telephone? If you are a registered “record” stockholder, meaning that you hold your shares in certificate form or through an account with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, you may also vote by telephone by calling 1-800-690-6903, toll-free, and following the instructions. Telephone voting is available 24 hours a day until 11:59 p.m., Eastern Daylight Time, on May 31, 2011. Stockholders who are beneficial owners and who receive paper voting instruction cards may vote by telephone by calling the number specified on the voting instruction card provided by their broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary. Those stockholders should check the voting instruction cards for telephone voting availability.
- How can I vote by mail? Stockholders who have received a paper copy of a proxy card or voting instruction card may submit proxies by completing, signing and dating their proxy card or voting instruction card and returning it in the accompanying pre-addressed envelope. **IF YOU DECIDE TO VOTE BY MAIL, YOUR PROXY CARD WILL BE VALID ONLY IF YOU COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN IT BEFORE THE ANNUAL MEETING DATE.**

If you vote via the Internet or by telephone, please do not return a paper proxy card to vote your shares.

What does it mean if I received more than one proxy card or instruction form?

If you receive more than one proxy card or instruction form, it means that you have multiple accounts with our transfer agent and/or a broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary or you may hold shares in different ways or in multiple names (such as through joint tenancy, trusts and custodial accounts). Please vote all of your shares.

Will my shares be voted if I do not complete, sign, date and return my proxy card or voting instruction card?

If you are a registered “record” stockholder and do not vote your shares by Internet, by telephone or by completing, signing, dating and returning a paper proxy card, your shares will not be voted unless you attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person.

If your shares are held in a brokerage account or by another nominee or fiduciary, you are considered the “beneficial owner” of shares held in “street name,” and must follow the voting instructions forwarded to you by or on behalf of your broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary. Brokerage firms, banks and other fiduciaries or nominees are required to request voting instructions for shares they hold on behalf of customers and others. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary how to vote and you are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. We encourage you to provide instructions to your broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary to vote your shares. Since a beneficial owner is not the record stockholder, you may not vote the shares in person at the Annual Meeting unless you obtain a “legal proxy” from the broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares at the meeting.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

Even if you do not provide voting instructions on your instruction form, if you hold shares through an account with a broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary, your shares may be voted. Brokerage firms have the authority under New York Stock Exchange (“NYSE”) rules to vote shares for which their customers do not provide voting instructions on certain “routine” matters. Proposal 4 to ratify the retention of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011, is considered a routine matter for which brokers, banks or other nominees or fiduciaries may vote in the absence of specific instructions.

When a proposal is not considered “routine” and the broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner of the shares with respect to such proposal, such firm cannot vote the shares on that proposal. Proposal No. 1, to elect the three nominees as Class III directors, Proposal No. 2 to consider and vote upon a proposal to approve the amendment to the Charter of the Company to declassify the Board as contemplated by the Articles of Amendment, Proposal No. 3 to approve the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan, and Proposals No. 5 and 6 to cast advisory (non-binding) votes on executive compensation matters, are all non-routine proposals and brokerage firms and other nominees or fiduciaries may not vote on such proposals in the absence of specific instructions. This means that brokerage firms and other nominees and fiduciaries that have not received voting instructions from their clients on these matters may not vote on these proposals. These votes which in accordance with stock exchange rules cannot be cast by a broker, nominee or other fiduciary on non-routine matters are known as “broker non-votes.”

How will my shares of FTI common stock be voted if I do not specify my voting instructions on the proxy card?

If you sign, date and return a proxy card but do not complete voting instructions for a proposal, then your shares will be voted with respect to such proposal by the named proxies as follows:

- FOR the election of the three nominees for Class III directors named in the proxy statement;
- FOR approval of the amendment to the Charter of the Company to declassify the Board as contemplated by the Articles of Amendment;
- FOR approval of the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan;
- FOR the ratification of the retention of KPMG LLP as FTI Consulting, Inc.’s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011;
- FOR the approval, in an advisory (non-binding) vote, of the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement;
- FOR approval to submit the advisory (non-binding) resolution to approve named executive officer compensation to an advisory (non-binding) vote of stockholders every three years; and
- in accordance with the discretion of the named proxies on any other business that may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any postponement or adjournment of the meeting to the extent permitted by applicable law.

How can I revoke my proxy and change my vote prior to the meeting?

You may change your vote at any time prior to the vote taken at the Annual Meeting. You may revoke or change your vote in any one of four ways:

- You may notify our Corporate Secretary, at FTI Consulting, Inc. 500 East Pratt Street, Suite 1400, Baltimore, MD 21202, in writing that you wish to revoke your proxy.
- You may submit a proxy dated later than your original proxy.
- You may attend the Annual Meeting and vote by ballot if you are a stockholder of record. Merely attending the Annual Meeting will not by itself revoke a proxy. You must submit a ballot and vote your shares of common stock at the Annual Meeting.

- For shares you hold beneficially or in street name, you may change your vote by submitting a later dated voting instruction form to your broker, bank or other nominee or fiduciary, or if you obtained a legal proxy from your broker, bank nominee or fiduciary giving you the right to vote your shares, by attending the meeting and voting in person.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

How many votes will be needed to approve each of this year's proposals?

Proposal No. 1: Elect as Class III directors the three nominees named in the proxy statement

The three nominees for election as Class III directors will be elected by a "plurality" of the votes cast at the meeting. This means that the three nominees who receive the highest number of "FOR" votes will be elected as the Class III directors. Withhold votes and broker non-votes will have no effect on the results of the vote on Proposal 1.

Proposal No. 2: Approve the Amendment to the Charter to the Company to Declassify the Board of Directors pursuant to the Articles of Amendment

The approval of the amendment to the Charter of the Company to declassify the Board as contemplated by the Articles of Amendment, requires the affirmative vote of a majority of votes entitled to be cast on this proposal. Shares which are represented by broker non-votes and shares which are cast as abstentions will have the effect of a vote against Proposal No. 2.

Proposal No 3: Approve the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan

Approval of the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan requires a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting to be voted "FOR" this proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast either for or against Proposal 3 and will have no effect on the results of the vote on this proposal.

Proposal No. 4: Ratify the retention of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011

Ratification of the retention of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011 requires a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting to be voted "FOR" this proposal. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast either for or against Proposal 4 and will have no effect on the results of the vote on this proposal.

Proposal No. 5: Approve, in an advisory (non-binding) vote, the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement

The approval of a resolution approving the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement is an advisory (non-binding) vote, however, the Board and the Compensation Committee will consider the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast "FOR" the proposal as approval of the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast either for or against Proposal 5 and will have no effect on the results of the vote on this proposal.

Proposal No. 6: Conduct an advisory (non-binding) vote on whether to hold future advisory (non-binding) votes on executive compensation every one, two or three years

The determination of how often a resolution to approve named executive officer compensation will be submitted to an advisory (non-binding) vote of stockholders will be determined by a majority of the votes cast at the meeting, except that if no option receives a majority of the votes cast at the meeting, we will consider the option that receives the most votes as the option selected by stockholders. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast either for or against Proposal 6 and will have no effect on the results of the vote on this proposal.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

How does the Board recommend that I vote and how will the proxies vote my shares if I return a properly executed proxy but do not vote on a proposal?

If you return a properly executed proxy without voting, the shares will be voted as recommended by our Board, as follows:

- FOR the election of the three nominees for Class III directors named in the proxy statement;
- FOR the approval of the amendment to the Charter of the Company to declassify the Board as contemplated by the Articles of Amendment;
- FOR the approval of the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan;
- FOR the ratification of the retention of KPMG LLP as FTI Consulting, Inc.'s independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011;
- FOR the approval, in an advisory (non-binding) vote, of the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the proxy statement; and
- FOR holding an advisory (non-binding) vote of stockholders to approve named executive officer compensation once every three years.

### ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

On or about April 18, 2011, we began sending a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, including Internet availability of the 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders, or proxy statement and the 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders in paper copy, to the Company's stockholders of record as of the close of business on March 21, 2011. The 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders does not constitute a part of the proxy solicitation material. The 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders provides you with additional information about the Company. Copies of our Notice of Annual Meeting, proxy statement, and 2010 Annual Report to Stockholders are available on the Company's website at <http://www.fticonsulting.com>, under About FTI – Governance – Corporate Literature and under Investor Relations – Frequently Requested Information. The Company's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, as well as other information, is also available on our website at: <http://www.fticonsulting.com>, under Investor Relations – SEC Filings.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES

PROPOSAL NO. 1 — ELECT AS CLASS III DIRECTORS THE THREE NOMINEES NAMED IN THE PROXY STATEMENT

Current Classified Board Structure and Proposed Declassification of the Board. Currently, our Board is divided into three classes of nine directors, with Class I having two directors, Class II having four directors and Class III having three directors. The members of each class are elected for three-year terms and until their successor are elected and qualify. The term of each class expires at successive meetings so that stockholders elect one class of directors at each annual meeting. Class III directors will stand for election by stockholders at this Annual Meeting.

If stockholders do not approve Proposal No. 2 to approve the amendment to the Charter of the Company as contemplated by the Articles of Amendment (the “FTI Charter Amendment”) to declassify the Board, the terms of the Class I directors and Class II directors will expire at the annual meetings of stockholders to be held in 2012 and 2013, respectively, and when their successors are duly elected and qualify. If stockholders do not approve the FTI Charter Amendment, the director nominees for Class III directors will stand for re-election to three years terms expiring at the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and when their successors are duly elected and qualify. See “Information About the Board of Directors and Committees – Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee – Director Nomination Process – Identification and Nomination of Candidates as Class III Directors for Election at 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders” for a discussion of the director qualification, identification, nomination and appointment process.

If the FTI Charter Amendment is approved by stockholders at the Annual Meeting, the declassification of the Board will occur as follows:

- The terms of office of those directors elected at this Annual Meeting will expire at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and when their successors are elected and qualify.
- The continuing directors whose current terms will expire at the 2012 and 2013 annual meetings of stockholders (the Class I directors and the Class II directors, respectively) will serve the remainder of their terms.
- At the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, the directors elected at this Annual Meeting and the directors elected at the 2009 annual meeting of stockholders (the Class I directors) will be up for election and the nominees for director at that meeting will stand for election for a one-year term expiring at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders and when their successors are duly elected and qualify.
- Beginning with the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, all the nominees for director at that meeting will stand for election for one-year terms expiring at the next annual meeting of stockholders and when their successors are duly elected and qualify.

The FTI Charter Amendment, if approved by stockholders, will become effective upon acceptance for record of the Articles of Amendment by the State Department of Assessments and Taxation of Maryland (“SDAT”). We expect to file the Articles of Amendment with the SDAT as soon as practicable after the FTI Charter Amendment has been approved at the Annual Meeting. Because the Articles of Amendment must be accepted for record by the SDAT prior to the election of directors at the Annual Meeting, the Board intends to briefly adjourn the Annual Meeting until the Articles of Amendment become effective.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

2011 Nominees for Director. Upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board has nominated the following three persons for election as Class III directors at this Annual Meeting:

Mark H. Berey

Jack B. Dunn, IV

Gerard E. Holthaus

Messrs. Berey, Dunn and Holthaus currently are Class III directors of the Company. Messrs. Berey and Holthaus have been directors since 2004 and Mr. Dunn has been a director since 1992. The Board has affirmatively concluded that Messrs. Berey and Holthaus qualify as independent directors under our Categorical Standards of Director Independence and the independence standards established under Section 303A of the NYSE corporate governance rules. Mr. Dunn is the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and as a member of management does not qualify as independent. More detailed information about the Board's determination of director independence is provided in the section of this proxy statement titled "Information About the Board of Directors and Committees — Independence of Directors."

We do not know any reason why any nominee would be unable to serve as a director. If any nominee cannot serve for any reason (which is not anticipated), the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may identify and recommend a candidate or candidates to the Board as a potential substitute nominee or nominees. If that happens, we will vote all valid proxies for the election of the substitute nominee or nominees designated by the Board. Alternatively, the Board may determine to keep a vacancy open or reduce the size of the class of directors and the Board. Proxies cannot be voted for a greater number of persons than the number of nominees named.

More detailed information about each of the nominees is provided in the section of this proxy statement titled "Information About the Board of Directors and Committees – Information About the Nominees for Class III Director and the Other Directors."

Stockholder Approval Required. The three nominees for election as Class III directors will be elected by a "plurality" of the votes cast at this meeting. This means that the three nominees who receive the highest number of "FOR" votes will be elected as Class III directors of the Company.

The Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends That You Vote FOR the Election of  
All Three of the Nominees as Directors.



INDEPENDENCE OF DIRECTORS

For a director to be considered independent, the Board must affirmatively determine that the director does not have any direct or indirect material relationship with the Company or its subsidiaries, and is not otherwise automatically disqualified by the Company’s Categorical Standards of Director Independence and the independence standards as set forth in Section 303A of the NYSE corporate governance rules. The Board has established Categorical Standards of Director Independence, which are the same as the NYSE Section 303A standards governing director independence, as currently in effect, and recognizes that a director is “independent” if he or she does not have a material relationship with the Company (directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us), considering all facts and circumstances that the Board determines are relevant.

The Board, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, determined that each of the following non-employee directors who served during the year ended December 31, 2010, satisfied the independence requirements set forth in the Company’s Categorical Standards of Director Independence and Section 303A of the NYSE corporate governance rules during that year. Our Categorical Standards of Director Independence are available on our website at <http://www.fticonsulting.com>, under About FTI—Governance.

- |                        |                        |
|------------------------|------------------------|
| (1) Brenda J. Bacon    | (4) James W. Crownover |
| (2) Mark H. Bery       | (5) Matthew F. McHugh  |
| (3) Denis J. Callaghan | (6) Gerard E. Holthaus |

During 2010, the Board determined that George P. Stamas, a Partner with Kirkland & Ellis, LLP (“K&E”), has a material indirect relationship with the Company, based on the corporate legal services that K&E provides to the Company. Fees paid by the Company to K&E amounted to less than the greater of \$1.0 million or 2% of that firm’s consolidated gross revenues for each of 2010, 2009 and 2008 and, accordingly, Mr. Stamas is not automatically disqualified from being independent under the NYSE rules. Jack B. Dunn, IV and Dennis J. Shaughnessy do not qualify as independent directors because they are executive officers of the Company. In 2010 and during the preceding three years, we have not made charitable contributions to any organization in which a director serves as an employee, officer, director or trustee, which in any single year exceeded the greater of \$1.0 million or 2% of such organization’s gross revenues.

INFORMATION ABOUT THE NOMINEES FOR CLASS III DIRECTOR AND THE OTHER DIRECTORS

Messrs. Bery, Dunn and Holthaus are currently the Class III directors of the Company. The Class III nominees as directors were recommended for nomination by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, and nominated by the full Board, on March 21, 2011. See “Information About the Board of Directors and Committees — Proposal 1 — Elect as Class III Directors the Three Nominees Named in the Proxy Statement” and “Information About the Board of Directors and Committees — Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee – Director Nomination Process” in this proxy statement for additional information.

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

Information about the three nominees as Class III directors and the other directors is set forth below:

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation, Business Experience and Director Qualifications
Class III Nominees for Director			
Mark H. Berey	59	2004	<p>Mr. Berey has extensive knowledge and experience of the real estate industry and executive-level management experience with both public and private companies. He is President of MHB Ventures LLC, a real estate and hospitality consulting company founded by him in September 2007. During 2008 and 2009, Mr. Berey served as an Executive Vice President of Miller Global Properties, a real estate company specializing in the development of office and hotel properties in the U.S. From its formation in 2001 to December 2007, Mr. Berey was Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and a director of Avendra, LLC, a spinoff of Marriott International's online procurement division, serving the hospitality industry in North America and the Caribbean. He has had further extensive executive management and finance experience as a Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Giant Food of Landover, Maryland, an Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Discovery.com, and President, Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board of Sutton Place Gourmet, Inc. Mr. Berey is a director of Gilchrist &amp; Soames, a worldwide supplier of custom logo and stock brand guest amenities to luxury hotels and resorts. Mr. Berey is a director of R.E.A.P., which promotes professional opportunities for minorities in commercial real estate, and a trustee of National Jewish Health, the leading respiratory hospital and research institute in the U.S.</p> <p>Director Qualifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Business, Management and Finance Leadership – Current President of MHB Ventures, LLC, former Chief Financial Officer of Avendra LLC, Discovery.com and Giant Food of Landover, Maryland, and former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Sutton Place Gourmet, Inc.</li> <li>• Industry Sector Experience – Real estate and hospitality industry sector experience, important</li> </ul>

industry focuses of FTI, as a former officer of MHB Ventures, Avendra LLC, Discovery.com, Giant Foods of Landover, Maryland and Sutton Place Gourmet, Inc.

- Outside Private Board and Committee Experience – Director of a private company in the hospitality industry
- Other Leadership Experience – Director or trustee of charitable institutions

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation, Business Experience and Director Qualifications
Jack B. Dunn, IV	60	1992	<p>Mr. Dunn has served as our Chief Executive Officer since October 1995 and as a director since 1992. In May 2004, he assumed the position of President, a position he also held from October 1995 to December 1998. He also served as our Chairman of the Board from December 1998 to October 2004. From May 1994 to October 1995, he served as our Chief Operating Officer. He joined FTI as its Chief Financial Officer in 1992. Prior to joining us, he was a member of the Board of Directors and a Managing Director of Legg Mason Wood Walker, Incorporated, a regional investment banking firm where he directed its Baltimore corporate finance and investment banking activities. Mr. Dunn is a limited partner of the Baltimore Orioles L.P. Prior to his investment banking career, Mr. Dunn practiced corporate and securities law.</p> <p>Mr. Dunn is a director and a member of the Compensation and Corporate Governance/Nominating Committees (Chair) of Pepco Holdings, Inc., a public company and one of the largest energy delivery companies in the Mid-Atlantic region.</p> <p>Director Qualifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Global Business, Management and Finance Leadership – Current President and Chief Executive Officer and former Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of FTI and Managing Director and director of Legg Mason Wood Walker Incorporated</li> <li>• Industry Sector Experience – Capital markets, investment banking and mergers and acquisitions experience, important FTI business focuses, as President and Chief Executive Officer of FTI Consulting, Inc. and Managing Director and director of Legg Mason Wood Walker Incorporated</li> <li>• Outside Public Board and Committee Experience – Director and former director of other public companies</li> <li>• Other leadership experience - Director or trustee of non-public and charitable institutions</li> </ul>

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation, Business Experience and Director Qualifications
Gerard E. Holthaus	61	2004	<p>Mr. Holthaus has extensive management experience at the executive officer level with both public and private companies and finance experience. In April 2010, Mr. Holthaus stepped down as Chief Executive Officer of Algeco Scotsman, a private company, and assumed the position of non-executive Chairman of the Board. From November 2007 to April 2010, Mr. Holthaus held the positions of executive Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Algeco Scotsman, responsible for all operations of the combined company in North America and Europe. Algeco Scotsman is the leading global provider of modular space solutions and a top-five global company in the rental services market. From April 1997 to October 2007, Mr. Holthaus was President and Chief Executive Officer of Williams Scotsman International, Inc., which is now a subsidiary of Algeco Scotsman. Williams Scotsman International, Inc. was a public company, prior to its acquisition by Algeco Scotsman. Before joining Williams Scotsman International, Inc., Mr. Holthaus served as a Senior Vice President of MNC Financial, Inc. from April 1988 to June 1994. From 1971 to 1988, Mr. Holthaus was associated with Ernst &amp; Young LLP, where he served as a Baltimore-based partner from 1982 to 1988.</p> <p>Mr. Holthaus is a director, Chair of the Audit Committee and member of the Compensation and Governance Committees of The Baltimore Life Companies, a mutual life insurance company. In addition, he is a trustee of Loyola University. He is a certified public accountant.</p> <p>Director Qualifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Global Business, Management and Finance Leadership – Former Chief Executive Officer of Algeco Scotsman, former Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of Williams Scotsman International, Inc., which is now a subsidiary of Algeco Scotsman, and former partner of Ernst &amp; Young LLP</li> <li>● Industry and Business Sector Experience – Accounting, real estate, construction, banking and professional services sector experience, important industry focuses of FTI, as a former executive of Algeco Scotsman and MNC Financial, Inc., a former partner of Ernst &amp; Young LLP and as a certified public accountant</li> <li>● Outside Private Board and Committee Experience – Chairman of the Board of Algeco Scotsman and director of insurance company</li> <li>● Other Leadership Experience – Director or trustee of educational and charitable institutions</li> </ul>

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation, Business Experience and Director Qualifications
<b>Class I Directors</b>			
Denis J. Callaghan	68	2000	<p>Mr. Callaghan has extensive experience analyzing the insurance and banking industry sectors. He retired from Deutsche Bank Securities Inc. in February 2000, where he was the Director of North American Equity Research. Prior to becoming Director of Equity Research in 1992, Mr. Callaghan was responsible for the Insurance and Financial Services Research Groups of Alex. Brown &amp; Sons Incorporated, an investment banking firm that was acquired by Deutsche Bank in 2000. Prior to joining Alex. Brown in 1988, he was a senior insurance analyst and first vice president with Paine Webber.</p> <p>Director Qualifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Business, Management and Finance Leadership – Former director of North American Equity Research of Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc., former director of Equity Research of Alex. Brown &amp; Sons Incorporated and former vice president of Paine Webber</li> <li>● Industry Sector Experience – Capital markets, mergers and acquisitions, banking and insurance industry experience, important business and industry focuses of FTI</li> <li>● Other Leadership Experience – Chairman of the investment committee of a private equity firm</li> </ul>
Matthew F. McHugh	72	2005	<p>Congressman McHugh, after retiring from Congress, was a senior advisor at The World Bank, an international financial institution that provides leveraged loans to developing countries for capital programs. Congressman McHugh was a senior counselor to the President of The World Bank from May 1993 to June 2005, an employee until December 2000, and beginning in December 2000 to June 2005 a consultant. From 1975 through 1992, Congressman McHugh was a U.S. Representative in Congress for the 27th and 28th Congressional Districts of New York. He was also a member of the House Appropriations Committee, from 1978 through 1992, and the House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence, from 1985 through 1990. In 1991, he was appointed Acting Chairman of the Committee on Standards of Official Conduct. Congressman McHugh is an attorney. He is a director of the U.S. Association of Former Members of Congress, a non-profit public service organization promoting public understanding of the U.S. Congress, the Villanova Law School Board of Consultants, an advisory board, and the Central European and Eurasia Law Initiative of the American Bar Association, a non-profit which provides support for legal services</p> <p>Director Qualifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● U.S. Governmental, Regulatory and Policy</li> </ul>

Making Leadership – Former U.S. Congressman for 18 years and member of important committees (House Appropriations Committee, House Permanent Select Committee on Intelligence and Acting Chairman of Committee on Standards of Official Conduct)

- Business Experience – Former advisor to the president of The World Bank and general counsel of Cornell University
- Other Leadership Experience – Director or trustee of associations and educational and charitable institutions

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation, Business Experience and Director Qualifications
<b>Class II Directors</b>			
Brenda J. Bacon	60	2006	<p>Ms. Bacon has extensive experience in the healthcare industry and management experience at the executive officer level. She is currently the President and Chief Executive Officer of Brandywine Senior Living, a company she co-founded in 1996 and which now owns and operates 19 senior living communities in five states. Ms. Bacon became President and Chief Executive Officer of Brandywine Senior Living in July 2004. From May 2003 to July 2004, Ms. Bacon was its President and Chief Operating Officer. From 1989 to 1993, Ms. Bacon served as Chief of Management and Planning, a cabinet-level position under New Jersey Governor James J. Florio. In this capacity, she oversaw all health care and human services reform efforts and departments, and served as a senior advisor to the Governor. Ms. Bacon currently serves on the Board of the Assisted Living Federation of America and the Executive Board of the American Senior Housing Association. She is also a director of the Boys and Girls Club of Camden County, New Jersey.</p> <p>Director Qualifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Business and Management Leadership – Current Chief Executive Officer and the owner and operator of 19 senior living communities</li> <li>● Industry Sector Experience – Healthcare sector experience, an important industry focus of FTI</li> <li>● U.S. Governmental and Policy Making Experience in Healthcare Sector – Former cabinet level position under former New Jersey Governor, James Florio</li> <li>● Other Leadership Experience – Director or trustee of healthcare policy making associations and charitable institutions</li> </ul>
James W. Crownover	67	2006	<p>Mr. Crownover has extensive global consulting company experience and management experience at the executive level. Mr. Crownover had a 30-year career with McKinsey &amp; Company, Inc., a global management consulting firm, from which he retired in 1998. During his 30-year career, he advised leading businesses, governments and institutions, primarily in the energy industry sector. He headed McKinsey's Southwest practice for many years, and also served as co-head of the firm's worldwide energy practice, working in Asia, Europe and Latin America, as well as in the U.S. In addition, he served as a member of McKinsey's Board of Directors. Mr. Crownover also is a Trustee and Chairman of the Board of Trustees of Rice University and a director of the Houston Grand Opera.</p> <p>Mr. Crownover is a director and a member of the Nominating and Governance, Compensation and Safety, Health and Environment (Chair) Committees of Chemtura Corporation, a diversified chemical company offering a wide portfolio of products to a</p>



number of markets, including agriculture, building and construction, consumer, electrical and electronics, industrial and transportation. He is a director and member of the Governance (Chair) and Compensation Committees of Weingarten Realty, a real estate leasing company offering shopping center and industrial locations across the U.S. Mr. Crownover is also a director and a member of the Audit and Integration Committees of Republic Services, Inc., a solid waste and environmental services company.

Director Qualifications:

- Global Business and Management Leadership – Former leader of McKinsey & Company’s Southwest practice and worldwide energy practice, working in Asia, Europe and Latin America as well as in the U.S.
- Industry Sector Experience – 30-year career providing consulting services with McKinsey & Company and energy sector experience, important business and industry focuses of FTI
- Outside Public Board and Committee Experience – Director of three other public companies
- Other Leadership Experience – Former member of Board of Directors of McKinsey & Company and director or trustee of educational and charitable institutions

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation, Business Experience and Director Qualifications
Dennis J. Shaughnessy	63	1992	<p>Since October 2004, Mr. Shaughnessy has been our executive Chairman of the Board. From 1989 to October 2004, Mr. Shaughnessy was a General Partner of Grotech Capital Group, Inc., a private equity firm, which managed approximately \$1.0 billion in private equity funds. He headed up Grotech’s “traditional investment group,” which invested in expansion, mid-market buyouts and restructuring opportunities. The group focused on consumer and financial products and services, healthcare, and industrial outsourcing and distribution. Mr. Shaughnessy continues to be a non-voting special general partner of certain partnerships affiliated with Grotech. Prior to becoming a General Partner of Grotech, Mr. Shaughnessy was the Chief Executive Officer of CRI International, Inc., an international petroleum refining service business. He successfully sold its manufacturing subsidiary, the Katalistiks Group, to Union Carbide Corporation in 1984 and sold the entire CRI group to Shell Oil in 1989.</p> <p>Mr. Shaughnessy is a director and a member of the Compensation and Nominating Committees of TESSCO Technologies, Inc., an innovative wireless technologies supplier.</p> <p>Director Qualifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Global Business and Management Leadership – Current executive Chairman of the Board of FTI and former chief executive officer of CRI International, Inc.</li> <li>● Industry Sector Experience – Capital markets, mergers and acquisitions and financial transactions experience, important business focuses of FTI, as Chairman of the Board of FTI, former chief executive officer of CRI International, Inc. and a former general partner of Grotech Capital Group</li> <li>● Outside Public Board and Committee Experience – Director of other public company</li> <li>● Outside Private Board Experience – Former director of private companies</li> <li>● Other Leadership Experience – Director or trustee of nonpublic and charitable institutions</li> </ul>

Name	Age	Director Since	Principal Occupation, Business Experience and Director Qualifications
George P. Stamas	60	1992	<p>Since 2002, Mr. Stamas has been a Partner of the law firm of Kirkland &amp; Ellis LLP, advising leading U.S. and international public and private corporations in planning and structuring complex business transactions, including mergers and acquisitions, buy-outs, private equity investing, fund formations, initial public offerings and debt and equity restructurings, in numerous industries, including energy, finance, construction, health care, and professional sports, as well as counseling corporations and boards of directors on corporate governance matters and crisis situations. From 1999 to January 2002, Mr. Stamas was Vice Chairman of the Board of Deutsche Banc Alex. Brown, Inc. He is a venture partner of New Enterprise Associates, an international venture capital firm with approximately \$10.0 billion under management. Mr. Stamas is active in numerous local and national civic affairs. He is a limited partner of the Baltimore Orioles L.P., the Washington Capitals and the Washington Wizards.</p> <p>Mr. Stamas is a director of NexCen Brands, Inc., which sold its franchise business to Global Franchise Group, LLC on July 30, 2010 and is in the wind-up phase of its business plan.</p> <p>Director Qualifications:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>● Global Business and Management Leadership – Partner of Kirkland &amp; Ellis LLP</li> <li>● Industry Sector Experience – Capital markets, mergers and acquisitions and financial transactions experience, important business focuses of FTI, as a partner of Kirkland &amp; Ellis LLP, former vice chairman of Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc. and venture partner of private equity firm</li> <li>● Outside Board Experience – Director of other private and formerly public companies; and former vice chairman of investment bank</li> <li>● Other Leadership Experience – Director or trustee of charitable organizations</li> </ul>

#### DIRECTOR ATTENDANCE AT MEETINGS

##### Director Attendance at Board and Committee Meetings

Our policy is that each director should attend all meetings of the Board and each Committee on which he or she serves, unless excused for reasons of serious illness or extreme hardship. During 2010, the Board held seven regular and two special meetings for a total of nine meetings. During 2010, each director attended at least 75% of the regular and special meetings of the Board.

During 2010, our Board had three standing committees: Audit, Nominating and Corporate Governance and Compensation. The Audit Committee held six regular and two special meetings for a total of eight meetings, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee held six regular meetings and no special meetings for a total of six meetings, and the Compensation Committee held six regular and eight special meetings for a total of 14 meetings. For purposes of presenting this information, each joint meeting of the Board and any Committee has been counted as a separate meeting of the Board and the applicable Committee, and meetings that were adjourned one day and reconvened on another day have been counted as one meeting. During 2010, each director attended at least 75% of the regular and special meetings of each Committee held during the time period he or she served as a Committee member.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

### Director Attendance at Other Meetings

Our non-management and independent directors met in closed (executive) sessions without the presence of management periodically throughout the year. The Presiding Director chairs the meetings of the non-management and independent directors. During 2010, our non-management directors (which consist of our independent non-employee directors and Mr. Stamas) met in closed (executive) session five times without management and our independent directors met in closed (executive) session five times without management and Mr. Stamas. Each of the non-management directors attended at least 75% of the meetings of the non-management directors and each of the independent directors attended at least 75% of the independent directors.

The Company's policy is that all directors should attend the annual meeting of stockholders absent a good reason. All continuing directors attended our 2010 annual meeting of stockholders.

In addition to the standing Committees, during 2010, the Board convened a pricing committee, composed of Messrs. Shaughnessy, Dunn, Callaghan and Holthaus to establish the terms of the Company's offering of its 63/4% senior notes due 2020, which closed on September 27, 2010. The pricing committee met once in 2010 and all members were in attendance.

### COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

#### Committee Membership

The members of the Company's Committees are:

Name	Audit	Nominating and Corporate Governance	Compensation
Brenda J. Bacon		X	X
Mark H. Berey	X	X	
Denis J. Callaghan	X	X	
James W. Crownover		X	Chair
Gerard E. Holthaus	Chair		X
Matthew F. McHugh		Chair	X

#### Committee Charters

The Audit Committee, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Compensation Committee operate under written charters. The Charter of the Audit Committee was last amended and restated effective February 23, 2011, to increase the number of audit committees of other public companies on which members can sit from two to three. The Charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee was last amended and restated effective December 16, 2009. The Charter of the Compensation Committee was last amended and restated effective February 23, 2011, primarily to describe the role of the Compensation Committee under the say on pay and say on pay frequency rules promulgated by the SEC on January 24, 2011. The Charters are reviewed annually, and more frequently as necessary, to address any new, or changes to, rules or best practices relating to the responsibilities of the applicable Committee. The applicable Committee approves its own charter amendment, and submits it to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee who recommends action by the Board. All charter amendments are then submitted to the Board for approval. The Charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (as amended and restated effective December 16, 2009) has been filed as an exhibit to the Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 filed with the SEC on February 26, 2010. Copies of the Charters of the Audit Committee and the Compensation Committee are attached as Appendices C and D to this proxy statement. Copies of the Charter of the Audit Committee, Charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Charter of the Compensation Committee (together, the "Charters") are available on our website at <http://www.fticonsulting.com>, as follows:

Name of Committee	Website Link
Audit Committee	<a href="http://ftimedia.fticonsulting.com/resources/documents/charter-of-the-audit-committee-of-the-board">http://ftimedia.fticonsulting.com/resources/documents/charter-of-the-audit-committee-of-the-board</a>
Compensation Committee	<a href="http://ftimedia.fticonsulting.com/resources/documents/charter-of-the-compensation-committee-of-the-board">http://ftimedia.fticonsulting.com/resources/documents/charter-of-the-compensation-committee-of-the-board</a>
Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	<a href="http://ftimedia.fticonsulting.com/resources/documents/charter-of-the-nominating-and-governance-committee-of-the-board">http://ftimedia.fticonsulting.com/resources/documents/charter-of-the-nominating-and-governance-committee-of-the-board</a>

#### Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is comprised solely of non-employee directors, none of whom sits on more than three other audit committees. The Board has determined that all Audit Committee members are independent pursuant to our Categorical Standards of Director Independence and the rules of the NYSE and the SEC and otherwise qualify as audit committee members. The Board has determined that all the members of the Audit Committee qualify as “audit committee financial experts” within the meaning stipulated by the SEC.

#### Functions of the Audit Committee

- selects, oversees and approves our independent registered public accounting firm;
- reviews and discusses the scope of the annual audit and written communications to the Audit Committee and management;
- oversees our financial reporting activities, including the annual audit and accounting standards and principles we follow;
- approves audit and non-audit services by our independent registered public accounting firm and applicable fees;
- reviews and discusses our periodic reports filed with the SEC;
- reviews and discusses our earnings press releases and communications with financial analysts and investors;
- oversees our internal audit activities;
- oversees our disclosure controls and procedures;
- reviews Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 - internal controls over financial reporting;
- oversees and monitors our Whistleblower Policy and related reports;
- reviews and discusses risk assessment and risk management policies and practices;
- oversees the administration of the Company’s Policy on Ethics and Business Conduct and other ethics policies;

- reviews, discusses and approves insider and affiliated party transactions;
- administers the policy with respect to the hiring of former employees of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm;
- performs an annual self-evaluation of the Audit Committee;
- reviews its Charter and recommends changes to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for submission to the Board for approval; and
- prepares the disclosure required by Item 407(d)(3)(i) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), in the annual proxy statement.

#### Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee is comprised solely of non-employee directors, all of whom the Board has determined are independent pursuant to our Categorical Standards of Director Independence and rules of the SEC and NYSE. All of the members of the Compensation Committee qualify as "outside directors" under Code Section 162(m). All of the members of the Compensation Committee qualify as "non-employee" directors under Rule 16b-3 of the Exchange Act. Jack B. Dunn, IV, our President and Chief Executive Officer ("CEO"), and Dennis J. Shaughnessy, our executive Chairman of the Board ("Chairman"), attend substantially all Compensation Committee meetings but do not attend executive sessions and specially scheduled meetings of the Compensation Committee to which they have not been invited. They do not vote on matters before the Compensation Committee; however, the Compensation Committee and Board solicit recommendations from the CEO and Chairman on compensation matters, including as they relate to their own compensation and the compensation of the other executive officers and key employees. They also assist the Compensation Committee by providing information such as financial results, short-term and long-term business and financial plans and strategic objectives and their views on current compensation programs and levels, and by recommending individual annual performance measures and/or target award levels under the FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan.

In 2010, the Compensation Committee engaged an outside compensation consultant for advice regarding select compensation matters considered by the Compensation Committee during the year.

#### Functions of the Compensation Committee

- approves the compensation of FTI's president and chief executive officer and executive chairman of the board;
- approves the compensation of other executive officers;
- administers our equity-based compensation plans;
- establishes objective performance goals, individual target awards, subjective criteria and oversees all aspects of our executive officer incentive compensation plan;
- approves awards of stock options and other forms of equity-based compensation under our equity compensation plans;
- reviews and approves, or recommends that the Board approve, employment, consulting and other contracts or arrangements with present and former executive officers;
- reviews the Company's compensation disclosures in its annual proxy statement and its Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC and discusses the Company's disclosure with management;

- performs annual performance evaluations of our CEO and Chairman in conjunction with the Presiding Director and Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee;
- performs an annual self-evaluation of the Compensation Committee;
- reviews its Charter and recommends changes to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee for submission to the Board for approval; and
- prepares the disclosures required by Item 407(e)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated under the Securities Act in the annual proxy statement.

#### Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, no director who served as a member of the Compensation Committee has served as one of our officers or employees at any time. None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board or compensation committee of any other company that has an executive officer serving as a member of our Board or Compensation Committee.

#### Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee consists of only non-employee directors, who qualify as independent directors under our Categorical Standards of Director Independence and the NYSE corporate governance rules.

#### Functions of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

- identifies and qualifies the annual slate of directors for nomination by the Board;
- reviews non-employee director compensation and submits changes to the Board for approval;
- identifies and qualifies the candidates for membership and chairmanship of the Board Committees for appointment by the Board;
- identifies and qualifies candidates to fill vacancies occurring between annual stockholder meetings for election by the Board;
- monitors compliance with, and reviews proposed changes to, our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Committee Charters and other policies and practices relating to corporate governance and responsibility, for approval by the Board;
- monitors and reviews responses to stockholder communications with non-management directors together with the Presiding Director;
- oversees the process for director education;
- oversees the process for Board and Committee annual self-evaluations;
- oversees the process for performance evaluations of our CEO and Chairman in conjunction with the Presiding Director and Compensation Committee;
- oversees the process relating to succession planning for the CEO, Chairman and other executive officer positions;
- reviews directors' and officers' liability insurance terms and limits;
- reviews its Charter and recommends changes to the Board for approval; and
- performs an annual self-evaluation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

NOMINATING AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE – DIRECTOR NOMINATION PROCESS

Identification and Nomination of Candidates as Class III Directors for Election at 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders

Each year the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee reviews our Categorical Standards of Director Independence and applicable NYSE and SEC governance rules, and works with the Board to develop the education, credentials and characteristics required of Board and Committee nominees in light of current Board and Committee composition, the Company's business and operations, the Company's long-term and short-term plans, and applicable legal and listing requirements and other factors it considers relevant. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates existing directors for re-election each year as if they were new candidates. The Committee may identify other candidates, if necessary, through recommendations from our directors, management, employees, the stockholder nomination process or outside consultants. For a description of how the stockholder nomination process works, see "Corporate Governance – Stockholder Nominees for Director." The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will review candidates in the same manner regardless of the source of the recommendation, and is authorized, in its sole discretion, to engage outside search firms and consultants to assist with the process of identifying and qualifying candidates, and has sole authority to negotiate the fees and terms of such retention.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Board focus on identifying directors and candidates for director who have a diversity of age, backgrounds, skills and experience, although the Board does not have a written position on this issue. Key attributes that are considered by the Committee and the Board include:

- leadership and management experience in complex organizations or experience dealing with complex problems, including practical understanding of strategy, processes, risk management and other factors that drive growth and change;
- finance experience that demonstrates an understanding of finance and financial information and processes;
- industry experience as executives, directors or leaders of companies in industries to which we provide services; and
- global experience managing or growing companies outside of the U.S.

In addition, the Committee considers other factors, as it determines to be appropriate, including:

- demonstrated strength of character and integrity, credibility and sound judgment;
- mature and practical judgment;
- public company board or equivalent experience, as well as the number of boards of other public companies on which such candidate sits, which may not exceed three;
- the extent to which the candidate would fill a present need on the Board; and
- sufficient time to devote to the affairs of the Company, as well as other factors related to the ability and willingness of a candidate to serve, or an existing member of the Board to continue his or her service.



All of FTI's current directors have leadership experience at companies, many with operations outside the U.S., or with governments, as well as experience on boards of companies or organizations, which provide the directors with an understanding of different processes, challenges and strategies. Many of our directors have experience in industries that provide services similar to those provided by industry segments serviced by the Company's professionals, which enables them to contribute unique perspectives to the Board. Further, current directors have other experiences that make them valuable members, such as prior public policy or regulatory experience that provide insight into issues faced by our clients and our corporation. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and the Board believe that the above-mentioned attributes, along with the leadership skills and other experiences of the nominees as Class III director and its other directors, provide the Board with the perspectives and judgment necessary to guide the Company's strategies and monitor their execution.

On March 21, 2011, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee took action to recommend the nominations of Messrs. Berey, Dunn and Holthaus as directors to stand for election at the Annual Meeting. The Board accepted the Committee's recommendations and approved the nominations on March 21, 2011. The experiences, qualifications and skills that the Board considered are included in each director's individual biographies as described under "Information about the Board of Directors and Committees – Information about the Nominees for Class III Director and the Other Directors."

## COMPENSATION OF NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS AND STOCK OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES

### General

Non-employee directors receive an annual retainer payment and equity compensation as described below. We reimburse our non-employee directors for their out-of-pocket expenses incurred in the performance of their duties as our directors (including expenses related to spouses when spouses are invited to attend Board events). Non-employee directors may travel on the corporate aircraft to director events. We do not pay fees for attendance at Board and Committee meetings.

### Non-Employee Director Compensation Plan

#### Annual Retainer

The annual retainer amount payable to non-employee directors for 2010 was \$50,000, plus the following additional annual retainer payments to Chairs of Committees and the Presiding Director: Chair of the Audit Committee - \$10,000, Chair of the Compensation Committee - \$7,500, Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee - \$5,000 and Presiding Director - \$15,000. Following each annual meeting of stockholders, continuing non-employee directors will be eligible to receive their annual retainer payments as of the annual meeting date. A new non-employee director will receive his or her first annual retainer payment on the date he or she joins the Board.

#### Annual Equity Award

Non-employee directors receive an annual payment denominated at a fixed value of \$250,000 (U.S. dollars) per year in the form of restricted stock valued at the closing price per share of FTI common stock as reported on the NYSE for the date of grant. The restricted stock will be nontransferable and will vest in full on the first anniversary of the date of grant. Vesting of restricted stock will accelerate upon the non-employee director's death or permanent disability, immediately prior to a change in control and in the event of a non-employee director's cessation of service at the expiration of his or her then-current term as a director of the Company due to (i) the Company's failure to renominate such non-employee director for service on the Board, (ii) the request of such non-employee director to not stand for re-election or as a result of voluntary resignation, or (iii) the failure of the Company's stockholders to re-elect such director for service on the Board, in each case other than for "cause" (as reasonably determined by the Board in its good faith discretion). Following each annual meeting of stockholders, continuing non-employee directors will be eligible to receive the annual equity award as of the date of the annual meeting. New non-employee directors will be eligible to receive their first annual equity award on the date of the annual meeting of stockholders for the year they join the Board. If no authorized shares of common stock of the Company are then available under a stockholder approved equity compensation plan, the non-employee director will receive the payment in cash, subject to the vesting terms described above.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

### Non-Employee Director Deferred Compensation Election

Non-employee directors may elect to defer all or a portion of their annual retainer and equity award. Deferred annual retainers will be designated as a number of stock units determined by dividing (a) the applicable annual retainer payment amount by (b) the closing price per share of FTI common stock as reported on the NYSE for the applicable date of grant. All stock units will be (i) immediately vested, (ii) nontransferable, and (iii) settled in shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis. Each director who elects to defer his or her annual equity payment will receive a number of restricted stock units determined by dividing (i) \$250,000 by (ii) the closing price per share of FTI common stock as reported on the NYSE for the date of grant. Restricted stock units will be (i) subject to vesting on the first anniversary of the date of grant, (ii) nontransferable, and (iii) settled in shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis, to the extent vested.

Non-employee directors may elect a payment date for a year's deferred payment(s) in accordance with Code Section 409A. The common stock represented by the stock unit or restricted stock unit awards will be paid out to the applicable non-employee director or his or her estate on the earlier to occur of (i) the elected payment date (if one has been elected) or (ii) a separation from service event as defined in Code Section 409A, such as the non-employee director's death, permanent disability, other date that he or she is no longer a director of the Company or upon a change in control of the Company. Non-employee directors with stock units and restricted stock units will have no voting or other rights as a stockholder until shares of our common stock are issued to the holder upon settlement.

Vesting of restricted stock units will accelerate in the event of a non-employee director's cessation of service at the expiration of his or her then-current term as a director of the Company due to (i) the Company's failure to renominate such non-employee director for service on the Board, (ii) the request of such non-employee director, or as a result of a voluntary resignation, or (iii) the failure of the Company's stockholders to re-elect such director for service on the Board, in each case other than for "cause" (as reasonably determined by the Board in its good faith discretion).

If a director elects to defer his or her annual retainer in the form of stock units and/or annual equity payment in the form of restricted stock units, dividend equivalents will be credited in the form of additional stock units or restricted stock units, should the Company declare and pay dividends on our common stock. Stock units or restricted stock units are awarded pursuant to the 2009 Plan.

### Director Summary Compensation Table

The table below summarizes the compensation paid by the Company to non-employee directors for the year ended December 31, 2010:

Name of Non-Employee Director	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$ (1) (a)	Stock Awards (\$ (1) (2) (b)	Option Awards (\$ (3) (c)	All Other Compensation (\$ (4) (d)	Total (\$ (e)
<b>Current Non-Employee Directors:</b>					
Brenda J. Bacon	50,000	249,975	—	—	299,975
Mark H. Berey	50,000	246,573	—	—	296,573
Denis J. Callaghan	—	299,936	—	—	299,936
James W. Crownover	57,500	249,975	—	—	307,475
Gerard E. Holthaus	75,000	246,573	—	—	321,573
Matthew F. McHugh	55,000	249,975	—	—	304,975
George P. Stamas	50,000	249,975	—	—	299,975

- (1) Includes additional retainer fees in excess of \$50,000 per annum that were paid to the Chairs of the Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and in the amounts of \$10,000, \$7,500 and \$5,000, respectively, and the Presiding Director in the amount of \$15,000. All non-employee directors elected to receive their annual retainers in cash, other than Mr. Callaghan who elected to receive the 2010 annual retainer in the form of 1,175 deferred stock units.



- (2) For awards of stock, the aggregate grant date fair value has been computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. As of December 31, 2010, each director has the following aggregate number of unvested restricted stock awards or unreleased stock unit and restricted stock unit awards: Ms. Bacon – 5,879; Mr. Berey – 5,799; Mr. Callaghan – 8,833; Mr. Crownover – 43,379; Mr. Holthaus – 43,299; Mr. McHugh – 5,879; and Mr. Stamas – 5,879, pursuant to the FTI Consulting, Inc. 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended (the “2004 Plan”), the 2006 Plan or the 2009 Plan, as applicable. Restricted stock awards have been included in the table reporting “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.”
- (3) There were no stock options granted to non-employee directors in 2010. As of December 31, 2010, each director has unexercised stock options outstanding and exercisable for the following number of shares of common stock: Ms. Bacon – 7,206; Mr. Berey – 73,000; Mr. Callaghan – 116,398; Mr. Crownover – 0; Mr. Holthaus – 107,700; Mr. McHugh – 0; and Mr. Stamas – 102,945, pursuant to the 1997 Stock Option Plan, as amended (the “1997 Plan”), the 2004 Plan or the 2006 Plan, as applicable. These stock option awards have been included in the table reporting “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management,” to the extent they have vested or will vest within 60 days of March 21, 2011.
- (4) No non-employee director received perquisites or other benefits aggregating more than \$10,000 in 2010.

#### Non-Employee Director Equity Ownership Guidelines

We have adopted equity ownership guidelines for non-employee directors. Under these guidelines, non-employee directors are encouraged to attain an investment level in our equity securities having a cumulative value as of the Equity Ownership Compliance Date (as defined below) equal to at least \$100,000, which is two times the amount of the base annual retainer. Each non-employee director is encouraged to attain this investment level by the third anniversary of the date the first equity compensation award is received by him or her (the “Equity Ownership Compliance Date”). Shares of common stock owned by the non-employee director and shares of common stock held in trust over which the non-employee director has or shares investment and/or voting power are counted towards attaining the equity ownership level. Option holdings, whether or not vested, do not count. However, restricted stock and deferred stock units and restricted stock units, to the extent vested, will be counted towards such director’s equity ownership. All non-employee directors currently have FTI stock holdings that meet or exceed the non-employee director equity ownership guidelines.

### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

#### GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES

We have long believed that sound principles of corporate governance are required to build stockholder value. Our governance policies, including Categorical Standards of Director Independence, Corporate Governance Guidelines, Policy on Ethics and Business Conduct, Policy on Conflicts of Interest, Anti-Corruption Policy, Whistleblower Policy, Policy on Disclosure Controls, Committee Charters and Policy Statement on Inside Information and Insider Trading, can be found on our website at <http://www.fticonsulting.com>, under About FTI - Governance. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee regularly reviews corporate governance developments and recommends modifications or new policies for adoption by the Board and the Committees, as appropriate, to enhance our corporate governance policies and practices and to comply with laws and rules of the SEC, the NYSE and other applicable governmental and regulatory authorities.

In January 2011, the Board and each Committee conducted their 2010 self-assessments. The Presiding Director compiled the data. At a February 2011 meeting, the Board and each of the Committees discussed its own assessment, and the Board reviewed the assessments of the Board and the Committees to determine whether any revisions to existing practices or policies or new practices or policies were advisable.

## BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE AND PRESIDING DIRECTOR

Pursuant to the Corporate Governance Guidelines, our Board is free to choose its Chairman of the Board in any way that it deems best for the Company at any time and we believe that this flexibility allows our Board to reevaluate the particular leadership needs of the Company at any point in time based on the particular facts and circumstances then affecting our business. Currently, the Chairman of the Board and CEO positions are separated, with Dennis J. Shaughnessy holding the position of Chairman.

To ensure independence and the breadth of needed expertise and diversity of our Board, our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that the Board should have no less than seven and no more than ten Directors. The Board believes this size permits a full range of experience and fosters effective interaction and productivity. Our Charter and By-Laws permit directors to increase the size of the Board up to 15.

In August 2010, the Board adopted a director retirement policy and amended the Corporate Governance Guidelines to provide that a director generally will not be nominated for re-election at any annual stockholder meeting to be held on or following his or her 72nd birthday.

The Board has established the role of independent Presiding Director as an integral part of our Board leadership structure to serve as the liaison between the independent and non-management directors and the executive Chairman and CEO. Gerard E. Holthaus is currently the Presiding Director and held that position throughout 2010. Our Presiding Director is elected by and from our non-management Board members. The role of our Presiding Director includes (i) presiding over meetings of non-management and independent directors and providing feedback regarding those meetings to the Chairman and CEO, (ii) assuring that the Board and the Chairman and CEO understand each other's views on critical matters, (iii) monitoring significant issues occurring between Board meetings and assuring Board involvement when appropriate, (iv) serving as a sounding board for our Chairman and CEO, (v) ensuring, in consultation with our Chairman and CEO, the adequate and timely exchange of information and supporting data between the Company's management and the Board, (vi) overseeing the Board and Committee annual self-assessments, (vii) overseeing the CEO and Chairman annual performance assessments, and (viii) receiving stockholder communications to the non-management directors.

## OVERSIGHT OF RISK MANAGEMENT

The Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing of the Company's risk management policies and procedures. The Company is exposed to a number of risks, including financial risks, operational risks, strategic risks, competitive risks, risks relating to operating in foreign countries, day-to-day management risks and general economic and business risks. Our Chief Risk Officer ("CRO") and Director of Internal Audit manage our internal enterprise risk management function. Our CRO and Director of Internal Audit work closely with our executive management, business segments and corporate functions to identify and assess risks and mitigate exposures. Our CRO and Director of Internal Audit regularly report to and discuss with our executive management and Audit Committee policies and procedures to assess risk exposure, identified areas of risk, and plans and actions that have been identified or taken to mitigate risks facing the Company. Directors who are not members of the Audit Committee attend these meetings as well. The Audit Committee periodically requests additional reports on risk areas identified by management, such as the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act. The Audit Committee will also report to the Board on a regular basis to apprise them of discussions with our executive management, CRO and Director of Internal Audit regarding the Company's risk profile and risk management efforts.

## CODE OF CONDUCT

Our written Policy on Ethics and Business Conduct (the "Code of Ethics" and, together with the Policy on Conflicts of Interest and the Anti-Corruption Policy, the "Ethics Policy") reflects our longstanding ethics policies. The Ethics Policy applies to financial professionals, including our CFO, Corporate Controller and Corporate Treasurer, as well as our Chairman, President and CEO, Chief Operating Officer and other officers, directors, employees and independent contractors. We require that they avoid conflicts of interest, comply with applicable laws, including the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, and other legal requirements, protect company assets, and conduct business in an honest and ethical manner, and otherwise act with integrity, in our best interest, and in accordance with the Ethics Policy. The Ethics Policy prohibits insiders from knowingly taking advantage of corporate opportunities for personal benefit, and taking unfair advantage of our business associates, competitors and employees through manipulation, concealment, abuse of privileged information, misrepresentation of material facts, or any other practice of unfair dealing. Our Code of Ethics is publicly available and can be found on our website at <http://www.fticonsulting.com>, under About FTI - Governance. If we make substantive amendments to the Code of Ethics or grant any waiver, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of the Ethics Policy to our Chairman, President and CEO, CFO, Chief Operating Officer or Corporate Controller, Corporate Treasurer or any of our other officers, financial professionals or persons performing similar functions, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on our website or in a report filed with the SEC on Form 8-K.



## STOCKHOLDER NOMINEES FOR DIRECTOR

We did not receive any notices of stockholder nominees for director prior to the deadline for 2011 nominations described in our 2010 proxy statement. Under our By-Laws, nominations for director may be made by a stockholder who is a stockholder of record on the date of the giving of the notice of a meeting and on the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to vote at such meeting and who delivers notice along with the additional information and materials required by our By-Laws, including: (a) as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election as a director, all information relating to such person that is required by the SEC's proxy rules to be disclosed in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of directors and (b) as to the stockholder giving the notice (i) the name and address of such stockholder as they appear on our books and of the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is made, (ii) the class or series and number of shares of our capital stock owned beneficially or of record by such stockholder and such beneficial owner, as applicable, (iii) a description of all arrangements or understandings between such stockholder and each proposed nominee and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which the nomination(s) are to be made by such stockholder, (iv) a representation that such stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the persons named in its notice, and (v) any other information relating to such stockholder that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of directors by the SEC's proxy rules. Such notice must be accompanied by a written consent of each proposed nominee to be named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. Under our By-Laws a stockholder must deliver notice of nominees for director to our Corporate Secretary not less than 90 days and no more than 120 days prior to the first anniversary of the mailing date of the notice for the preceding year's annual meeting, provided, however, that in the event that the date of the annual meeting is advanced by more than 30 days or delayed by more than 60 days from the anniversary date of the preceding year's annual meeting, notice by the stockholder must be so delivered not earlier than the 90th day prior to such annual meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 60th day prior to such annual meeting or the tenth day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such annual meeting is first made. For the annual meeting of stockholders in 2012, we must receive this notice no earlier than December 20, 2011 and no later than January 19, 2012. You may obtain a copy of our By-Laws, without charge, or submit a nominee for director, by writing to our Corporate Secretary, c/o FTI Consulting, Inc., 500 East Pratt Street, Suite 1400, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, telephone no. (410) 951-4800. We filed a copy of our By-Laws, as amended and restated through September 17, 2004, with the SEC on November 9, 2004 as an exhibit to our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2004. We filed Amendment No. 6 to our By-Laws dated as of December 18, 2008 with the SEC on December 22, 2008 as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated December 18, 2008. We filed Amendment No. 7 to our By-Laws dated as of February 25, 2009 with the SEC on March 3, 2009 as an exhibit to our Current Report on Form 8-K dated February 25, 2009.

## COMMUNICATIONS WITH NON-MANAGEMENT DIRECTORS

Our Whistleblower Policy covers communications with the non-management directors. It is available on our website at <http://www.fticonsulting.com>, under About FTI - Governance. Stockholders, employees and other interested persons may communicate with an individual director, the Chair of the Audit Committee, the Presiding Director or the non-management directors as a group, using the EthicsPoint system, which allows interested persons to place confidential and anonymous reports by either telephone or the Internet, without divulging their names or other personal information. The reporting website may be accessed from any Internet-enabled computer at [www.ethicspoint.com](http://www.ethicspoint.com). Telephone reports may be placed by calling toll free (866) 294-3576 in the United States. EthicsPoint will send reports to designated recipients within the Company, which includes our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Ethics Officer, Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer and Associate General Counsel and Corporate Secretary. If interested persons do not feel comfortable using the EthicsPoint system, they may communicate with non-management directors by telephone to our General Counsel at (410) 951-4800, by mail to FTI Consulting, Inc., 500 East Pratt Street, Suite 1400, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, or by e-mail to [eric.miller@fticonsulting.com](mailto:eric.miller@fticonsulting.com). The designated recipients will forward communications directed to non-management directors, depending upon the subject matter, to the Chair of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee or Audit Committee, the Presiding Director, or other appropriate person who is responsible for ensuring that the concerns expressed are investigated and appropriately addressed. The designated recipients of the reports will not filter the communications. Communications to non-management directors relating to our business will be retained for seven years.

OTHER PROPOSALS TO BE PRESENTED AT THE ANNUAL MEETING

In addition to Proposal No. 1 to elect the three nominees as Class III directors of the Company, we will present the following five additional proposals at the Annual Meeting. We have described in this proxy statement all the proposals that we expect will be made at the Annual Meeting. We do not know of any other matters to come before the Annual Meeting. If, however, any other matters do come before the Annual Meeting, it is the intention of the persons designated as proxies to vote in accordance with their discretion on such matters.

PROPOSAL NO. 2 — CONSIDER AND VOTE UPON A PROPOSAL TO APPROVE THE AMENDMENT OF THE CHARTER TO THE COMPANY TO DECLASSIFY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AS CONTEMPLATED BY THE ARTICLES OF AMENDMENT

Background. Article Seventh of the Company's Charter (the "FTI Charter") currently provides that our Board is divided into three classes. Currently, our stockholders have the right to elect only one class of directors at each annual meeting of stockholders and the directors of the class so elected serves for three-years and until their successors are duly elected and qualify. Under our classified Board structure, each nominee for Class III director would stand for election at the Annual Meeting to a three-year term to hold office until the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders and until their successors are duly elected and qualify.

Rationale for Declassification. The Board has reviewed the advantages and disadvantages of maintaining a classified Board structure. The Board recognizes that a classified Board provides various advantages, including: (i) providing continuity and stability of directors by ensuring that at any time approximately two-thirds of the directors will have had prior experience with the Company; (ii) promoting a long-term director perspective; (iii) helping the Company to attract and retain highly qualified directors willing to commit the time and resources necessary to understand the Company, its operations and its competitive environment; and (iv) reducing the vulnerability of the Company to hostile and potentially abusive takeover practices. However, the Board also recognizes that many investors and commentators believe that the annual election of directors is the primary means by which stockholders can exert influence over the management of a company and hold directors accountable for such management and their actions or inaction. The Board also understands that, in general, there is growing support among stockholders in favor of annual elections of all directors.

After evaluating the various considerations in favor of and against maintaining a classified Board, on February 23, 2011, upon the recommendation of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, the Board resolved that declassification of the Board by adoption of the FTI Charter Amendment attached to this proxy statement as Appendix A is advisable, and that the FTI Charter Amendment should be submitted to the stockholders of the Company for approval at this Annual Meeting. The FTI Charter Amendment provides for the orderly transition to the annual election of all directors in the manner described below and, consistent with Maryland law, does not affect the unexpired terms of any previously elected director not standing for re-election at this Annual Meeting.



## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

Proposed Declassification. If the proposed FTI Charter Amendment is approved by the requisite vote of stockholders, the declassification of the Board will occur as follows:

- The terms of the directors elected at this Annual Meeting will expire at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and when their successors are duly elected and qualify.
- The continuing directors whose current terms will expire at the 2012 and 2013 annual meetings of stockholders (the Class I directors and the Class II directors, respectively) will serve the remainder of their current terms.
- At the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders, the directors elected at this Annual Meeting and the directors elected at the 2009 annual meeting of stockholders (the Class I directors), will be up for election and the nominees for director at that meeting will stand for election for one-year terms expiring at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders and when their successors are duly elected and qualify.
- Beginning with the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders, all nominees for director at that meeting will stand for election for one-year terms expiring at the next annual meeting of stockholders and when their successors are duly elected and qualify.

The above description is qualified in its entirety by the actual text of the FTI Charter Amendment set forth in Appendix A, which is incorporated by reference herein.

Subject to stockholder approval of the FTI Charter Amendment and effective upon the acceptance for record of the Articles of Amendment by the SDAT, the Board has approved amendments to the Amended and Restated By-Laws, as further amended, of the Company to remove references to a classified Board. In addition, the amendment provides that at such effective time, stockholders will have the power to remove directors without cause consistent with the Maryland General Corporation Law.

Stockholder Approval Required. The FTI Charter Amendment must be approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of a majority of all votes entitled to be cast on the matter. The FTI Charter Amendment, if approved by stockholders, will become effective upon acceptance for record of the Articles of Amendment by the SDAT. We expect to file the Articles of Amendment with the SDAT as soon as practicable after the FTI Charter Amendment has been approved at the Annual Meeting. Because the Articles of Amendment must be accepted for record by the SDAT prior to the election of directors at the Annual Meeting, the Board intends to briefly adjourn the Annual Meeting until the Articles of Amendment become effective.

In the event that the FTI Charter Amendment is not approved, as discussed under “Information About the Board of Directors and Committees—Proposal No. 1 —Elect as Class III Directors the Three Nominees Named in the Proxy Statement,” the Annual Meeting will not be adjourned and the Class III directors elected at the Annual Meeting will serve for three-year terms and until their successors are duly elected and qualify.

The Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends That You Vote FOR Proposal 2.

PROPOSAL NO. 3. APPROVE THE 2011 FTI CONSULTING, INC. INCENTIVE COMPENSATION PLAN

On February 23, 2011, the Board approved the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan (the “2011 Incentive Plan”). The Board recommends the 2011 Incentive Plan for approval by stockholders at this meeting. The 2011 Incentive Plan includes, among other things, provisions that protect the Company’s ability to take a tax deduction for performance-based awards made under the 2011 Incentive Plan, in conformity with Code Section 162(m) and related regulations, to our CEO and certain of our other most highly compensated executive officers in any year who have more than \$1,000,000 of annual compensation. In accordance with Code Section 162(m), if the 2011 Incentive Plan is not approved, awards made under the 2011 Incentive Plan to our CEO or certain of our other most highly compensated executive officers may not be fully deductible by the Company.

Incentive compensation makes up an important part of our overall executive compensation program. The 2011 Incentive Plan supports our ongoing efforts to develop and retain world-class leaders. By placing a portion of compensation at risk and by providing an incentive payment opportunity based upon performance goals, we can reward performance based on the performance of the Company and the individual.

The following is a summary of the principal features of the 2011 Incentive Plan. This summary is qualified in all respects by the full text of the 2011 Incentive Plan, a copy of which is attached to this proxy statement as Appendix B. You may request a copy of the 2011 Incentive Plan without charge from the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 500 East Pratt Street, Suite 1400, Baltimore, Maryland 21202, telephone number (410) 951-4800.

**Administration and Participation.** The 2011 Incentive Plan is administered by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee consists solely of directors who are (1) “outside directors” within the meaning of Code Section 162(m), (2) “non-employee directors” within the meaning of Rule 16b-3 under the Exchange Act, and (3) “independent” directors under the rules of the NYSE. The Compensation Committee will have the power to designate eligible officers and employees of the Company and its subsidiaries for participation in the 2011 Incentive Plan, determine the annual performance goals and individual incentive targets, approve payment of all incentive awards, and make all necessary determinations under the 2011 Incentive Plan. Decisions of the Compensation Committee regarding the 2011 Incentive Plan will be final and conclusive.

**Individual Target Awards and Performance Goals.** Not later than 90 days after the beginning of each calendar year or by such other date as may be permitted under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (“Code Section 162(m)”), with respect to awards that are not intended to be “qualified performance-based compensation” within the meaning of Code Section 162(m), the Compensation Committee will establish in writing (i) the participants in the incentive compensation program for the relevant bonus year, (ii) the objective performance goals that must be met, (iii) the individual target awards that may be paid if the performance goals are met, and (iv) any other conditions that the Compensation Committee deems appropriate and consistent with the 2011 Incentive Plan and Code Section 162(m). The Compensation Committee may also establish subjective performance goals for participants; provided that, for executive officers, the subjective performance goals may only be used to reduce, and not increase, the award otherwise payable to such executive under the 2011 Incentive Plan.

The Compensation Committee will establish an individual target incentive award value or range of individual target incentive award values for each participant for the applicable period, which may be expressed as a dollar amount, a percentage of salary or another measure specified by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee may consider such factors as a participant’s (i) job level, (ii) annual base salary level, (iii) individual performance, and (iv) expected contribution to future company performance or financial results, to set individual targets. The maximum award value that any participant may receive for a calendar year under the 2011 Incentive Plan will be \$15,000,000.

The Compensation Committee will also establish objectively determinable performance goals for the period. The performance goals are the specific targets and objectives established by the Compensation Committee under one or more, or a combination of, absolute or relative financial results, values, rates of change or other measures, on a gross or net basis, which are set forth in the 2011 Incentive Plan and include objectives based on the following performance criteria: earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization; earnings before interest and taxes; stock price; earnings per share; diluted earnings per share; earnings or loss per share before stock option expense; net earnings; operating or other earnings; profits; gross revenues; net revenues; cash flow, net cash flow or cash flow per share (in each case, before or after dividends); cash flow return on investment; cash balances; improvement in cash balances; operating income; operating expenses or reduction, improvement in or attainment of expense levels or working capital levels; gross income; net income or loss (before or after taxes or before or after allocation of corporate or segment overhead or bonus or other incentive compensation); return on investment; return on capital (including return on total capital); gross margin; operating margin; working capital; revenue growth; annual recurring revenues; recurring revenues; segment or product revenues, debt reduction; economic value added; financial ratios (including those measuring liquidity, activity, profitability or leverage); return on assets or net assets; stockholder return; stockholder return ratios or comparisons with various stock market indices; return on equity; growth in assets; market share; appreciation in and/or maintenance of the price of the Company's shares of common stock or any other publicly-traded securities of the Company; economic value-added models; strategic business criteria consisting of one or more objectives based on meeting operating efficiencies, client satisfaction, regulatory achievements, specified revenue goals, market share or penetration goals, geographic business expansion goals, or goals relating to acquisitions, divestitures, strategic partnerships or co-development or co-marketing arrangements; specified objectives with regard to bank debt or other long-term or short-term public or private debt or other similar financial obligations, which may be calculated net of or adjusted for cash balances and/or other offsets or charges; sales of securities; the implementation, completion or attainment of measurable objectives with respect to development, commercialization, research, products, services or the recruiting or maintaining of management or other personnel, in each case, as may be established by the Compensation Committee in its sole discretion.

Such performance goals also may be based by reference to the Company's performance as a whole or the performance of one or more subsidiaries, divisions, business segments, practice groups, business units or geographic regions of the Company, and/or upon a comparison with performance of an industry, one or more peer groups or other groups of companies, prior performance periods, or other measures selected or defined by the Compensation Committee within the parameters of the 2011 Incentive Plan.

Changes to Performance Goals and Target Awards. At any time prior to the final determination of the awards, the Compensation Committee, in its sole discretion, may adjust the performance goals and target awards to also exclude or reflect, the impact of an event or occurrence that the Compensation Committee determines should be appropriately excluded or adjusted, including: (a) restructurings, acquisitions, recapitalizations, discontinued operations, extraordinary items or events, and other unusual or non-recurring charges; (b) an event either not directly related to the operations of the Company or not within the reasonable control of the Company's management; (c) a change in law, including tax law, or accounting standards required by generally accepted accounting principles; or (d) any other change of a similar nature. However, no adjustment may be made with respect to awards under the 2011 Incentive Plan that are intended to qualify for the "performance-based compensation" exception under Code Section 162(m) if such adjustment would violate the requirements for such qualification.

Payments under the 2011 Incentive Plan. Payment of any incentive award under the 2011 Incentive Plan will be contingent upon the attainment of the pre-established performance goals. The Compensation Committee may not increase any incentive target or incentive award payable with respect to awards that are intended to qualify for the "performance-based compensation" exception under Code Section 162(m). The amount of any incentive award paid will not exceed the incentive target established for the individual for the performance goal that has been attained. The Compensation Committee may reduce any individual's incentive award based on subjective criteria established by the Compensation Committee, from time to time.

Awards may be paid in cash, our common stock, stock options, restricted stock, or any combination, at the discretion of the Compensation Committee and, with respect to any such equity-based payments, to the extent that shares are then available for issuance under one of our stockholder-approved equity plans. As required by Code Section 162(m), before we pay any award under the 2011 Incentive Plan for any year, the Compensation Committee will certify in writing (to the extent required by any IRS regulation) that the performance goals were satisfied. Approved minutes of the Compensation Committee will be treated as the required written certification. All amounts payable under the 2011 Incentive Plan will be paid as soon as practicable after certification by the Compensation Committee, but generally not later than March 15th of the calendar year after the year for which the award is payable.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

**Amendment and Termination.** The 2011 Incentive Plan shall remain in effect until it is terminated by the Compensation Committee or the Board. The Compensation Committee or the Board may, from time to time, amend the 2011 Incentive Plan, provided that no amendment that requires stockholder approval in order to comply with Code Section 162(m) will be effective unless the amendment has been approved by our stockholders.

**Benefits under the 2011 Incentive Plan.** The amount of incentive compensation to be paid in the future to our executive officers and other plan participants cannot be determined at this time. Actual amounts will depend upon our actual performance and on whether the Compensation Committee elects to reduce such amounts. No cash or stock incentive compensation was awarded pursuant to the current FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan approved by stockholders on June 2, 2006 (the “2006 Incentive Plan”) to individuals for 2010 payable in 2011; except, that, Dominic DiNapoli, our Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, was awarded incentive compensation of \$500,000, in accordance with his employment agreement, of which \$325,000 (65%) was paid in cash and \$175,000 (35%) was paid through the award of 4,855 restricted shares of common stock of the Company (the number of shares of restricted stock was determined by dividing \$175,000 by the closing price per share of Company common stock as reported on the NYSE for March 11, 2011 (the date the cash portion of the incentive award was paid to each participant)).

**Additional Information.** From time to time there may be uncertainty as to the application and interpretation of Code Section 162(m) and the regulations issued under the Internal Revenue Code. As a result, no assurance can be given, notwithstanding the Company’s efforts, that incentive compensation intended by the Company to satisfy the requirements for deductibility under Code Section 162(m) will in fact be deductible.

**Stockholder Approval Required.** The approval of the 2011 FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan requires a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting to be voted “FOR” this proposal.

The Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends That You Vote FOR Proposal No. 3.

**PROPOSAL NO. 4 — RATIFY THE RETENTION OF KPMG LLP AS FTI CONSULTING, INC.’S INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR THE YEAR ENDING DECEMBER 31, 2011**

The firm of KPMG LLP (“KPMG”) has served as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm since 2006. KPMG has confirmed to the Audit Committee and us that it complies with all rules, standards and policies of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (“PCAOB”) and the SEC governing auditor independence.

The Audit Committee has retained KPMG as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit the Company’s books and accounts for the year ending December 31, 2011. We are seeking stockholder ratification of that action. Although stockholder ratification of the retention of our independent registered public accounting firm is not required, we are submitting the selection of KPMG for ratification as a matter of good corporate governance practice. Even if the retention is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may appoint an alternative independent registered public accounting firm if it deems such action appropriate. If the Audit Committee’s selection is not ratified, the Audit Committee will take that fact into consideration, together with such other factors it deems relevant, in determining its retention of an independent registered public accounting firm.

KPMG’s representative will be present at the annual meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if he desires to do so and to respond to appropriate questions asked by stockholders. See “Principal Accountant Fees and Services” for a description of the fees paid to KPMG for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2010, and other matters relating to the procurement of services.

**Stockholder Approval Required.** The approval of KPMG as the Company’s independent registered public account firm for the year ending December 31, 2011 requires a majority of the votes cast at the Annual Meeting to be voted “FOR” this proposal.

The Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends That You Vote FOR Proposal 4.

**PROPOSAL NO. 5 –APPROVE, IN AN ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE, THE COMPENSATION OF THE NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AS DISCLOSED IN THE PROXY STATEMENT**

In accordance with the requirements of Section 951 of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the “Dodd-Frank Act”) and pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, we are including in this proxy statement a separate resolution asking for stockholder approval of the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement, which includes the disclosures under “Executive Officers and Compensation — Compensation Discussion and Analysis,” “Executive Officers and Compensation — Summary Compensation Table,” “Executive Officers and Compensation — Equity Compensation Plans,” and “Executive Officers and Compensation — Employment Agreements and Potential Termination and Change in Control Payments.” This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the compensation policies and practices described in this proxy statement.

A substantial percentage of the compensation of our named executive officers is tied to the achievement of financial goals that promote the creation of stockholder wealth. Our pay-for-performance compensation programs are designed to reward short- and long-term performance and encourage actions that drive the success of the Company. The terms of our written employment agreements and the mix of fixed and variable compensation elements are central to our ability to attract and retain our named executive officers. We believe that our compensation programs motivate our named executive officers to achieve superior financial results and position the Company for long-term success.

This vote is advisory and therefore not binding on the Company, the Compensation Committee or the Board. Although the vote is non-binding, the Compensation Committee will review the voting results in connection with its on-going evaluation of the Company’s compensation programs.

We are asking our stockholders to approve, in a non-binding vote, the following resolution in respect of this Proposal No. 5.

“RESOLVED, that the stockholders advise, in a non-binding vote, that they approve the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers as disclosed pursuant to the rules of the SEC in this Proxy Statement.”

Stockholder Vote. The Board and the Compensation Committee will consider the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast “FOR” the proposal at the Annual Meeting as advisory approval of the compensation paid to the Company’s named executive officers.

The Board of Directors Unanimously Recommends that You Vote FOR Proposal 5.

**PROPOSAL NO. 6 — CONDUCT AN ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTE ON WHETHER TO HOLD FUTURE ADVISORY (NON-BINDING) VOTES ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION EVERY ONE, TWO OR THREE YEARS**

In accordance with the requirements of Section 951 of the Dodd-Frank Act and pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, we are including in this proxy statement a separate resolution allowing stockholders to vote, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, on how frequently they would like to cast an advisory vote to approve the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers. By voting on this proposal, stockholders may indicate whether they would prefer an advisory vote on named executive officer compensation once every one, two, or three years. Stockholders may also abstain from voting on this proposal.

After careful consideration of the frequency alternatives, the Board believes that conducting an advisory vote on named executive officer compensation every three years is appropriate for the Company and our stockholders at this time. We believe that a vote once every three years makes sense for the following reasons:

- An effective compensation program should drive performance over the long term.
- Our compensation program provides that (i) equity compensation awards, which are granted to drive long-term stockholder value creation, generally, vest over three-year or longer periods and (ii) the performance goals under our executive and key employee equity long-term incentive program implemented in 2011 will be measured over a three-year period, which time frame is consistent with a triennial vote.

- A three-year advisory vote cycle will provide adequate time for the Compensation Committee to thoughtfully consider stockholders' sentiments while being consistent with this long-term focus.
- A three-year advisory vote cycle will provide stockholders with time to evaluate the long-term effects of the Company's multi-year compensation structures and the Company's related performance.

While we believe that a vote once every three years is the best choice for us, you are not voting to approve or disapprove our recommendation of a three year voting cycle, but rather to make your own choice among a vote every year, every two years or every three years.

Stockholder Vote. We will consider the option that receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast as the frequency choice of our stockholders. In the event that no option receives a majority of the votes cast as the frequency choice of the stockholders, we will consider the option that receives the most votes to be the option selected by the stockholders. Because this proposal is advisory, it will not be binding. However, the Board values the opinions of our stockholders and will conduct the advisory vote on named executive officer compensation consistent with the outcome of this vote on the frequency of future advisory votes.

Our Board Recommends a Vote "FOR" Conducting an Advisory (Non-Binding) Vote on the Compensation of Named Executive Officers Once Every Three Years.

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

There were 41,727,239 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding as of the close of business on March 21, 2011, the record date for this Annual Meeting. The following table shows the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of the close of business on March 21, 2011, by:

- each of the named executive officers in this proxy statement;
- each person known to own beneficially more than 5% of our outstanding common stock;
- each of our directors and director nominees; and
- all of our executive officers and directors as a group.

The amounts and percentages of shares of common stock beneficially owned are reported on the basis of SEC regulations governing the determination of beneficial ownership of securities. Under SEC rules, a person is deemed to be a "beneficial owner" of a security if that person has or shares voting power or investment power, which includes the power to dispose of or to direct the disposition of such security. A person is also deemed to be a beneficial owner of any securities with respect to which that person has a right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days. Securities that can be so acquired are deemed to be outstanding for purposes of computing such person's ownership percentage but not for purposes of computing any other person's percentage. Under these rules, more than one person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of the same securities, and a person may be deemed to be a beneficial owner of securities as to which such person has no economic interest.

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

Except as otherwise indicated in these footnotes, each of the beneficial owners listed has, to our knowledge, sole voting and investment power with respect to the indicated shares of common stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner (1)	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage of Shares Beneficially Owned (%)
Jack B. Dunn, IV (2)	675,345	1.62
Dennis J. Shaughnessy (3)	382,356	*
David G. Bannister (4)	233,607	*
Roger D. Carlile (5)	110,239	*
Jorge A. Celaya (6)	6,601	*
Dominic DiNapoli (7)	276,800	*
Brenda J. Bacon (8)	34,189	*
Mark H. Bery (9)	101,625	*
Denis J. Callaghan (10)	110,046	*
James W. Crownover (11)	4,600	*
Gerard E. Holthaus (12)	116,349	*
Matthew F. McHugh (13)	28,357	*
George P. Stamas (14)	126,181	*
FMR LLC (15)		
82 Devonshire Street		
Boston, Massachusetts 02109	2,659,584	6.37
BlackRock Inc. (16)		
40 East 52nd Street		
New York, New York 10022	2,462,673	5.90
All directors and executive officers as a group (15 persons)	2,448,577	5.87

\* Less than 1%

- (1) Unless otherwise specified, the address of these persons is c/o FTI Consulting, Inc.'s executive office at 777 South Flagler Drive, Phillips Point, Suite 1500 West Tower, West Palm Beach, Florida 33401.
- (2) Includes 57,818 shares of restricted stock and 27,009 performance-based shares, subject to vesting conditions, 424,901 shares of common stock issuable upon the exercise of stock options, 18,000 shares of common stock held by Mr. Dunn's spouse, 450 shares of common stock over which Mr. Dunn and his son share voting and investment power, and 26,250 shares of common stock held by a GRAT over which Mr. Dunn exercises voting and investment power.
- (3) Includes 85,156 shares of restricted stock and 11,231 performance-based shares, subject to vesting conditions, and 160,000 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options.
- (4) Includes 11,741 shares of restricted stock, subject to vesting conditions, and 175,000 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options.
- (5) Includes 6,397 shares of restricted stock, subject to vesting conditions, and 34,096 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options.
- (6) Mr. Celaya resigned as an employee of the Company effective March 30, 2010 and he has been excluded from "all directors and executive officers as a group" for purposes of the presentation of that information.
- (7) Includes 66,753 shares of restricted stock, subject to vesting conditions, and 167,052 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options.

- (8) Includes 5,879 shares of restricted stock, subject to vesting conditions, and 7,206 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options.



- (9) Includes 73,000 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options, and excludes 5,799 unvested deferred restricted stock units.
- (10) Includes 99,523 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options, and excludes 8,833 vested and unvested deferred restricted stock units.
- (11) Excludes 46,369 vested and unvested deferred restricted stock units issued under the 2009 Plan.
- (12) Includes 5,799 shares of restricted stock, subject to vesting conditions and 107,700 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options, and excludes 37,500 vested deferred restricted stock units.
- (13) Includes 5,879 shares of restricted stock, subject to vesting conditions.
- (14) Includes 102,945 shares of our common stock issuable upon exercise of stock options and 12,419 shares of our common stock over which Mr. Stamas and his spouse share voting and investment power, and excludes 5,879 unvested deferred restricted stock units.
- (15) Based on Schedule 13G filed on February 14, 2011, the reporting person reported sole power to vote 3,084 shares and sole power to dispose of 2,659,584 shares of the Company's common stock. These securities are owned by various investment funds affiliated with FMR LLC, which have the right to direct the voting and disposition of shares of our common stock and the right to receive or the power to direct the receipt of dividends or the proceeds from the sale of our common stock. For purposes of the reporting requirements of the Exchange Act, Fidelity Management & Research Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC and an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is the beneficial owner of 2,656,500 shares of the common stock outstanding of the Company, as a result of acting as investment adviser to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940.
- (16) Based on Schedule 13G/A filed on February 4, 2011, the reporting person reported sole power to vote and dispose of 2,462,673 shares of the Company's common stock.

## EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND COMPENSATION

## EXECUTIVE AND KEY OFFICERS

We have set forth below information as of March 21, 2011 about other executive officers and key employees of the Company who are not also directors:

Name	Age	Officer Since	Position	Principal Business Experience For Past Five Years
David G. Bannister	55	2005	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	The Board took action on March 21, 2011, effective April 1, 2011, to elect Mr. Bannister as Executive Vice President and Chairman of the North American Region, a new position. In this capacity, Mr. Bannister will have responsibility for the Company's North American operations. Mr. Bannister will hold the position of Chief Financial Officer of the Company until April 1, 2011, a position he has held since March 2010. Mr. Bannister served as our Executive Vice President – Corporate Development and Chief Administrative Officer from December 2008 to March 2010, our Executive Vice President – Corporate Development from June 2006 to December 2008, and our Senior Vice President – Business Development from May 2005 to June 2006. From 1998 to 2004, Mr. Bannister was a General Partner of Grotech Capital Group. Mr. Bannister is a director of Landstar System, Inc., the Chairman of its Audit Committee and a member of other committees.
Roger D. Carlile	48	2009	Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer	The Board took action on March 21, 2011, effective April 1, 2011, to elect Mr. Carlile to succeed Mr. Bannister as the Company's Chief Financial Officer. In addition, Mr. Carlile retains the position of Executive Vice President. Mr. Carlile will hold the position of Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer until April 1, 2011. In his new position, Mr. Carlile will retain certain of his existing administrative responsibilities. From January 2009 to March 2010, Mr. Carlile served as Executive Vice President and Chief Human Resources Officer. From November 2003 to January 2009, Mr. Carlile was a Senior Managing Director and the Global Leader of our Forensic and Litigation Consulting Segment. Prior to joining the Company, Mr. Carlile was a partner of KPMG LLP serving as its Global Leader of Forensic Services.
Dominic DiNapoli	56	2004	Vice Chairman	Effective March 21, 2011, Mr. DiNapoli was appointed as Vice Chairman, a new position. Mr. DiNapoli will focus on large client projects and opportunities for all of our business segments, with a particular emphasis on our Corporate Finance/Restructuring Segment. From February 2004 to March 2011, Mr. DiNapoli was Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company. From August 2002 to February 2004, Mr. DiNapoli was a Senior Managing Director and the Global Leader of our Corporate Finance/Restructuring Segment. From 1998 to 2002, Mr. DiNapoli was a Managing Partner of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's U.S. Business Recovery Services practice.

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

Name	Age	Officer Since	Position	Principal Business Experience For Past Five Years
John A. MacColl	62	2006	Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer	Mr. MacColl has been Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer since January 2006. In August 2007, Mr. MacColl assumed the position of Chief Compliance Officer. From January 2006 to February 2008, he also held the position of Chief Legal Officer. From April 2004 to April 2005, Mr. MacColl was Vice Chairman of St. Paul Travelers, a position he held with its predecessor, The St. Paul Companies, Inc. from May 2002 to April 2004. From May 1999 to August 2004, he also held the position of General Counsel of The St. Paul Companies, Inc. Mr. MacColl joined the St. Paul Companies in 1998, following the company's merger with USF&G Corporation, where he served as Executive Vice President of Human Resources and General Counsel. From April 2005 to January 2006, Mr. MacColl pursued personal business interests.
Eric B. Miller	51	2006	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Ethics Officer	Mr. Miller joined the Company in May 2006 and was elected Senior Vice President and General Counsel in June 2006. In May 2008, Mr. Miller was elected an Executive Vice President of the Company. He assumed the Chief Legal Officer role in February 2008, and was elected Chief Ethics Officer effective March 2010. From 1995 to May 2006, Mr. Miller was a Partner with DLA Piper.
Catherine M. Freeman	55	2007	Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer	Ms. Freeman has been Senior Vice President, Controller and Chief Accounting Officer since November 2007. From April 2004 to July 2007, Ms. Freeman held the position of Vice President, Corporate Controller, and from July 2007 to November 2007 held the position of Vice President and Deputy Chief Financial Officer, of AES Corporation. From August 2001 to March 2004, Ms. Freeman was Vice President and Corporate Controller of World Kitchen, Inc., and from 1983 to March 2001, held various finance and accounting positions with Fort James Corporation. During Ms. Freeman's term as an officer of World Kitchen, Inc., World Kitchen, Inc. filed for Chapter 11 bankruptcy protection.
Liz Nickles	63	2010	Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer	Ms. Nickles joined the Company as Senior Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer in January 2010. From April 2002 to December 2009, Ms. Nickles was the President of Liz Nickles & Associates, Inc. and from October 2007 to January 2010 she was also President of Black Label Financial Brand Development.

Our executive officers are appointed by, and serve at the pleasure of, our Board, subject to the terms of written employment arrangements that we have with some of them.

## COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following discussion of named executive officer compensation contains descriptions of various employment related agreements and employee compensation plans. These descriptions are qualified in their entirety by reference to the full text or detailed descriptions of the agreements and plans that we have filed as exhibits to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 filed with the SEC on February 25, 2011.

### Executive Summary

#### General

This compensation discussion and analysis (“CD&A”) describes the Company’s compensation philosophy and policies and the elements of compensation paid or awarded to, or earned by, our Chief Executive Officer, individuals who have held the position of Chief Financial Officer during 2010, and our three other most highly compensated officers as of December 31, 2010 (collectively, the “NEOs”). This CD&A also provides the Company’s analysis of these policies and decisions.

The Company’s NEOs for 2010 were Jack B. Dunn, IV, President and Chief Executive Officer, our CEO, Dennis J. Shaughnessy, our executive Chairman, our Chairman, David G. Bannister, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (“CFO”), Dominic DiNapoli, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (“COO”), and Roger D. Carlile, Executive Vice President and Chief Administration Officer (“CAO”). The Board appointed David G. Bannister to the position of Chief Financial Officer of the Company upon the departure of Jorge A. Celaya in March 2010. In March 2010, Mr. Carlile was appointed to assume the duties of Chief Administrative Officer formerly held by Mr. Bannister.

#### 2011 Officer Changes

On March 21, 2011, the Board authorized significant leadership changes to implement the Company’s decision to evolve to a matrix management model, which emphasizes both geographic and segment leadership roles. The Board took action on March 21, 2011, to elect David Bannister as Executive Vice President and Chairman of the North American Region, a new officer position, with responsibility for the Company’s North American operations; and Roger Carlile to succeed Mr. Bannister as the Company’s Chief Financial Officer, in each case effective April 1, 2011. Mr. Carlile will retain certain of his existing administrative responsibilities in his new position. Effective March 21, 2011, Dominic DiNapoli assumed the position of Vice Chairman after having been Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer since February 2004. In this new position, Mr. DiNapoli will focus on large client projects and opportunities for all business segments, with a particular emphasis on the Company’s Corporate Finance/Restructuring Segment given his extensive experience in that area.

#### 2010 NEO Compensation Considerations

The Compensation Committee is responsible for setting the compensation of the NEOs in consultation with management. In determining overall compensation for the year ended December 31, 2010, the Compensation Committee considered the following notable achievements:

- The Company reported its best fourth quarter ever in revenues and the 19th consecutive year in revenue growth to another new annual record for the year ended December 31, 2010;
- the completion of a highly successful \$400 million issuance of the Company’s 6¾% senior notes due 2020;
- the refinancing of the Company’s \$175 million senior bank revolving credit facility with a new five-year, \$250 million senior secured revolving line of credit;
- the repurchase of all of the Company outstanding 7½% Senior Notes due 2013;
- the repurchase of approximately 1,200,000 shares of common stock of the Company for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$41,000,000 from January 1, 2010 through December 31, 2010;

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

- the realignment of the Company's non-executive senior management team with responsibilities for geographic regions;
- the commencement of the Company's "FTI Consulting" one-brand initiative;
- the successful completion of the Company's 2010 restructuring plan to take advantage of non-U.S. tax efficiencies;
- the expansion of our Corporate Finance/Restructuring Segment in the Asia-Pacific region through the acquisition of FS Asia Advisory (formerly Ferrier Hodgson Hong Kong Group), a leading Asian corporate restructuring and recovery advisory firm with offices in China, Hong Kong, Singapore and the Philippines, and the opening of a new office in Spain; and
- the expansion of our Technology Segment's Australian and UK operations to include new data center capabilities.

The Compensation Committee also considered the challenges encountered by the Company's business segments during the year, including the decline in demand for the restructuring and bankruptcy services of our Corporate Finance/Restructuring Segment, primarily due to the increased availability of debt modifications prior to default and maturity and financing at lower interest rates and on more advantageous terms to companies in distress. In addition, restructuring and bankruptcy engagements were adversely affected by the increase in pre-planned/pre-packaged restructurings or bankruptcies, which limits the constituencies who have a need for our advisory services. The Compensation Committee also considered that the recovery of capital markets and merger and acquisition transactions, which favorably impacts our Economic Consulting and Strategic Communications segments, did not recover sufficiently to fully offset the decline in our Corporate Finance/Restructuring Segment.

### 2010 NEO Performance Compensation

The compensation of our NEOs ties a substantial percentage of their compensation opportunity to the attainment of financial goals that promote the creation of stockholder wealth. Our pay-for-performance compensation programs are designed to reward short- and long-term performance and encourage actions that drive the success of the Company. The terms of our written employment agreements and the mix of fixed and variable compensation elements are central to our ability to attract and retain our NEOs. We believe that our compensation programs motivate our NEOs to achieve superior financial results and position the Company for long-term success. Conversely, the inclusion of significant performance-based variable compensation elements within the overall compensation packages provided to our NEOs results in reduced levels of compensation when the performance-based objectives established by the Compensation Committee are not achieved. For example, for the year ended December 31, 2009, a record earnings year for the Company, Messrs. Dunn, Shaughnessy, Bannister, DiNapoli and Carlile received incentive compensation in the form of cash and shares of restricted stock with an aggregate fair market value of \$5,300,000 under the Company's 2006 Incentive Plan; whereas for the year ended December 31, 2010, they received incentive compensation under the 2006 Incentive Plan, totaling "zero" (except for the contractually mandated payment of \$500,000 to Mr. DiNapoli). In evaluating the compensation of our NEOs, the Compensation Committee considers the opportunities they are given to earn various types and components of compensation based on a variety of factors, including meeting or exceeding short-term and long-term company-wide objectives and individual subjective goals established by the Compensation Committee, as well as the NEO's day-to-day responsibilities, experience, time employed by the Company, position within the organization, skills and abilities. The Compensation Committee believes that these concepts are important to understanding its approach to decisions regarding the overall compensation of our NEOs.

In 2010, our NEOs had the opportunity to earn performance-based compensation in the form of (a) annual incentive compensation (payable 65% in cash and 35% in shares of restricted stock subject to pro rata vesting over three years) pursuant to the 2006 Incentive Plan and (b) the pay-out of cash-based performance units that were awarded to the NEOs on March 17, 2010. See "– Incentive Compensation – FTI Consulting, Inc. 2010 Incentive Compensation Plan – 2010 Incentive Compensation Plan Based Payments" for a discussion of the Company's incentive performance goals for the year ended December 31, 2010 and "Incentive Compensation – Other 2010 Cash-Based Incentive Compensation Opportunities" for a discussion of the cash-based performance units. The CEO and the Chairman also had outstanding restricted stock awards that were granted to them in 2008 and 2009, respectively, which provided that a portion of those awards would vest if the associated revenue and/or EPS performance goals established for the year ended December 31, 2010 were met. In February 2011, the Compensation Committee determined that the 2010 performance goals associated with all of these performance-based compensation opportunities had not been met. Consequently, no annual incentive compensation was paid to the NEOs (other than to the COO, who has a contractual right to a minimum annual bonus of \$500,000 upon achievement by the Company of a minimum \$1.00 EPS), the cash-based performance unit awards granted to the NEOs in 2010 were forfeited without compensation, and the portion of the previously granted stock awards held by the CEO and the Chairman that were subject to the achievement of 2010 performance targets also were forfeited without compensation.

To illustrate the difference between the overall compensation opportunity provided to the NEOs and the amount of compensation actually earned and paid to them as a result of performance, the following table sets forth the value of the compensation "opportunity" — or the amount they could have received if the applicable 2010 performance targets had been achieved — and the "actual" value of the compensation they in fact received

## Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

as a result of 2010 actual performance. The table includes base salary, stock awards that are not subject to performance-based vesting, and all performance-based components of compensation for our NEOs, other than Mr. Celaya, who left the Company before the end of the year and thus was not eligible for any performance-based compensation for 2010. The Compensation Committee believes that the presentation of this information clearly demonstrates its commitment to a significant pay-for-performance orientation in the compensation packages provided to our NEOs. While the NEOs were provided the opportunity to earn a substantial amount of performance-based compensation in 2010, much of that performance-based compensation was not earned or paid due to the actual corporate performance of the Company in 2010.

Edgar Filing: FTI CONSULTING INC - Form DEF 14A

2010 Compensation Elements (a)	Jack B. Dunn, IV (b)	David G. Bannister (c)	Dennis J. Shaughnessy (d)	Dominic DiNapoli (e)	Roger D. Carlile (f)
<b>Salary (\$)</b>					
Opportunity	1,535,961	671,923	1,035,961	2,035,961	1,300,000
Actual	1,535,961	671,923	1,035,961	2,035,961	1,300,000
<b>Stock Awards (\$)</b>					
2010 Stock Awards Solely Subject to Time-Based Vesting Conditions (1)					
Opportunity	1,000,000	0	0	0	0
Actual	999,946	367,900	0	0	0
Stock Awards on Account of Deferral of 35% of 2010 Incentive Compensation (2)					
Opportunity	612,500	385,000	612,500	350,000	157,500
Actual	0	0	0	175,000	0
Performance-Based Stock Awards (3)					
Opportunity	999,971	0	500,004	0	0
Actual	0	0	0	0	0
<b>Non-Equity Incentive Compensation (\$)</b>					
Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation – Cash-Based Performance Units(4)					
Opportunity	400,400	400,400	400,400	400,400	400,400
Actual	0	0	0	0	0
Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation – 2010 Cash Incentive Compensation (5)					
Opportunity	1,137,500	715,000	1,137,500	650,000	292,500
Actual	0	0	0	325,000	0
<b>Total (\$)</b>					
Opportunity	5,686,332	2,172,323	3,686,365	3,436,361	2,150,400
Actual	2,535,907	1,039,823	1,035,961	2,535,961	1,300,000

- (1) On July 31, 2008, the Compensation Committee authorized a standing automatic restricted stock award to our CEO with a value equivalent to \$250,000 on the day following the Company's quarterly and annual public earnings release each year (the "Standing Stock Award"), without the necessity of further action of the Compensation Committee. The number of shares of restricted stock awarded to our CEO pursuant to the Standing Stock Award will be determined by dividing (i) \$250,000, by (ii) the closing price per share of the Company's common stock as reported on the NYSE for the day following the date of each relevant quarterly and annual earnings release.





The stock award “opportunity” to Mr. Bannister has been reported as “zero” because the grant date fair market value (computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718) of the award reported as “actual” compensation relates to a discretionary grant of 10,000 shares of restricted stock of the Company under the 2009 Omnibus Incentive Compensation Plan, as amended and restated (the “2009 Plan”), approved by the Compensation Committee in February 2010, before Mr. Bannister assumed the position of CFO. The shares of restricted stock have a grant date of March 5, 2010, subject to time-based pro rata vesting over the three-year period beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. The Compensation Committee awarded the shares of restricted stock to Mr. Bannister upon management’s recommendation in light of his extraordinary contribution as the leader of the Company’s business development and acquisition efforts.

- (2) For 2010, the NEOs had the “opportunity” to earn incentive compensation for the year ended December 31, 2010 pursuant to the 2006 Incentive Plan in the following amounts based on “target”: \$1,750,000 (CEO), \$1,100,000 (CFO), \$1,750,000 (Chairman), \$1,000,000 (COO) and \$450,000 (CAO), which, if awarded would be paid 65% in cash and 35% in shares of restricted stock (subject to pro rata vesting over three years on December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013). The NEOs “opportunity” represents the value of 35% of the total “target” incentive compensation that could have been awarded for the year ended December 31, 2010. On February 18, 2011, the Compensation Committee certified that the EPS performance goals for 2010 were not achieved, except that the COO has a contractual right to receive \$500,000 at \$1.00 EPS as incentive compensation for the year ended December 31, 2010. The amount in the “actual” row for the COO reports the portion of the \$500,000 incentive compensation payment deferred in the form of shares of restricted stock awarded by the Compensation Committee on March 1, 2011, which will vest pro rata over three years on December 31, 2011, December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2013.
- (3) For 2010, the CEO had the “opportunity” to vest in up to 13,504 (20%) of the 67,522 performance-based shares of restricted stock granted by the Compensation Committee on August 11, 2008 (the “2008 Award”). The stock award “opportunity” reports the grant date fair value of the shares of restricted stock subject to 2010 performance conditions, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The applicable performance goals for the year ended December 31, 2010 were based on annual revenue and EPS targets, none of which were achieved. As a result, the 13,504 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the 2008 Award were not earned in 2010 and were forfeited as of February 25, 2011, after certification that the performance goals were not achieved.

For 2010, the Chairman had the “opportunity” to vest in up to 11,219 (one-third) of the 33,692 performance-based shares of restricted stock granted by the Compensation Committee on January 2, 2009 (the “2009 Award”). The stock award “opportunity” reports the grant date fair value of the shares of restricted stock subject to 2010 performance conditions, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The applicable performance goals for the year ended December 31, 2010 were based on annual revenue and EPS targets, none of which were achieved. As a result, the 11,219 shares of restricted stock pursuant to the 2009 Award were not earned in 2010 and were forfeited as of February 25, 2011, after certification that the performance goals were not achieved.

- (4) Each NEO’s reported “opportunity” represents the grant date fair value of the “target” number of cash-based performance units (10,000 units) awarded to each of the NEOs on March 17, 2010, based on the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The Compensation Committee established a range of performance goals based on EPS (excluding special charges) as the relevant performance measures; and provided that if a performance goal is achieved for the year ended December 31, 2010, each participant could earn up to a maximum of 11,500 performance units depending upon which goal has been achieved. Subject to the satisfaction of the performance-based vesting conditions applicable to the award, the performance units were further subject to one-third pro rata vesting on March 1, 2011, March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013. On each applicable vesting date, the performance units that vested would be payable to the holder solely in a lump sum cash amount determined by multiplying the number of vested performance units that became payable by the price per share of the Company’s common stock for March 1st of the calendar year in which such performance units vested. On February 18, 2011, the Compensation Committee determined that none of the EPS performance goals for the year ended December 31, 2010 were met and, as of February 25, 2011, all performance unit awards were forfeited by each of the recipients in accordance with the award terms.
- (5) Each NEO’s reported “opportunity” includes the cash portion (65%) of each of the NEOs total target incentive compensation opportunity pursuant to the 2006 Incentive Plan for the year ended December 31, 2010. On February 18, 2011, the Compensation Committee certified that the EPS performance goals for 2010 were not achieved, except that the COO has a contractual right to receive \$500,000 at \$1.00 EPS as incentive compensation for the year ended December 31, 2010. The amount of “actual” compensation to the COO includes the cash portion of the \$500,000 incentive compensation payment for 2010.

2011 NEO Compensation Actions

In February 2011, the Compensation Committee took a series of actions designed to:

- increase the long-term and performance-based elements of each NEO's compensation as a proportion of his total compensation;
- link each NEO's financial returns with the long-term success of the Company;
- increase the equity stake of the NEOs in the Company; and
- serve as a meaningful retention incentive to encourage management stability.

To carry-out its goals, the Compensation Committee implemented an equity-based long-term incentive program for select executive officers and key employees. The program is intended to serve as the basis for recurring annual equity awards that will serve as a predictable long-term component of total compensation opportunity. The Compensation Committee intends to determine the participants in the program and the type, number and terms of the equity awards on an annual basis in February of each year. All equity awards will be granted out of authorized common stock of the Company available under the Company's stockholder approved employee equity compensation plans that are in effect from time to time. In February and March 2011, the Compensation Committee approved the first equity awards under the program. The equity awards to the NEOs took the following forms:

- stock options with pro rata time-based vesting conditions over a five-year period from the date of grant. Stock option awards are designed to accomplish two goals: (a) long-term sustained stockholder value creation (as the option will only have value if the trading value of our common stock exceeds the exercise price per share following each of the applicable vesting dates and maintains such higher value) and (b) encourage management excellence and longevity;
- restricted stock with pro rata time-based vesting conditions over a five-year period from the date of grant. The restricted stock awards are designed to accomplish two goals: (a) long-term sustained stockholder value creation (as the value of the awards are tied to the value of the Company's common stock) and (b) encourage management excellence and longevity;
- two tranches of restricted stock units (each unit equating to one-share of common stock on vesting), subject to both (a) performance conditions over a three-year performance period and (b) time-based vesting conditions over an additional two-year period, from grant date to grant date, upon the achievement of the performance conditions. Because of the rapid growth and geographic expansion of our businesses, we may not achieve positive results from management decisions made today until a number of years in the future. The performance-based restricted stock unit awards are designed to encourage management to enact long-term plans and decisions to position the Company for future growth to increase stockholder value. The performance goals established by the Compensation Committee are designed to reward increased stockholder value over the three-year period based on (i) the achievement by the Company of a return on equity within a specified range from the beginning to the end of the three-year performance period and (ii) the Company's total shareholder return relative to the total shareholder return of the S&P 500.

In February 2011, the Compensation Committee approved the participants, range of performance goals and individual maximum target bonus levels for 2011 under the 2006 Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee designated the CEO, Chairman, CFO, CAO and one additional executive officer, as the only participants for the year ending December 31, 2011. The 2011 participants in the 2006 Incentive Plan will not necessarily correlate with those executive officers who are NEOs for 2011. In order to further align the annual incentive payments to executives with increases in stockholder value, bonus awards for 2011 will be paid in a combination of cash (65% of total) and shares of restricted stock (35% of total), with the shares of restricted stock being further subject to three-year vesting conditions after grant. The performance goals for the year ended December 31, 2011 are based on a range of company-wide earnings per share targets, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. The maximum 2011 performance goal requires the Company to meet or improve its 2011 year-end earnings per share in comparison to the actual earnings per share reported by the Company for the year ended December 31, 2010. The 2011 performance goal at the high end of the range is appropriately aggressive and would require significant improvement of the Company's financial results for 2011 over 2010. If the Compensation Committee determines that an objective performance goal has been achieved, each of the participants for 2011 would be entitled to receive the corresponding target incentive compensation amount, subject to reduction in the Compensation Committee's sole discretion based on certain subjective individual and company performance criteria. The Compensation Committee has not yet set the subjective performance criteria for 2011.

In February 2011, the Compensation Committee approved adjustments of the base annual salaries of the CFO and CAO, by increasing the CFO's base salary to \$850,000 (from \$700,000) and decreasing the CAO's base salary to \$1,000,000 (from \$1,300,000), to implement the Company's goal of keeping the base annual salaries of non-CEO executives around the one million dollar level.

As previously reported by the Company, in February 2011, the Compensation Committee also determined that in recognition of the Company's continuing complexity and expansion into new markets, the retention of the services of the CEO and Chairman following their full-time employment is more valuable to the Company, and approved increasing the CEO's annual transition payment during his five-year transition term to \$1,000,000 (from \$500,000) and the Chairman's annual transition payment during his five-year transition term to \$950,000 (from \$700,000), with a corresponding increase of amounts payable on termination by the Company or termination by the executive, other than upon termination by the Company for "Cause," including adjustments of the fixed cash amounts payable upon termination due to death or "Disability."

#### Compensation Governance

All of the Company's NEOs are elected to their positions by the Board. The Compensation Committee is responsible for setting the compensation of our NEOs based on the recommendations of the CEO and Chairman. See "Information About the Board of Directors and Committees – Committees of the Board of Directors – Compensation Committee" for a discussion of the powers of the Compensation Committee and the roles our CEO and Chairman play in compensation decisions.

#### Use of Compensation Consultant

During 2010, the Compensation Committee engaged Frederic W. Cook & Co. ("FWC") as its compensation consultant. The work performed by FWC for the Compensation Committee is documented in a formal scope of work and contract which has been executed by the consultant and the Compensation Committee. FWC is directed by, and reports to the Compensation Committee, on an independent basis. FWC interacts with the Company to obtain information that is needed for reports and advice requested by the Compensation Committee. During 2010, the Compensation Committee requested FWC's advice on a variety of issues, including compensation best practices, the design of a pay-for-performance equity compensation program for certain executive officers and key employees, and the analyses of potential risks arising from our compensation programs. FWC also reviewed and commented on recommendations regarding NEO compensation adjustments.

## Our Compensation Philosophy

Our compensation philosophy is to deliver NEO compensation that will incentivize the executives to build stockholder value, maintain the continuity of management and attract new candidates through competitive compensation opportunities that are appropriate to our business, size and geographic diversity, using a combination of short- and long-term compensation vehicles. We implement that philosophy by (i) compensating executives based on the Company's current financial and operational performance and individual performance of the executive, primarily through annual cash base salary and incentive compensation payments, (ii) motivating executives to continue to excel in the future, primarily through long-term equity awards, which are subject to performance conditions tied to increases in stockholder value and other growth drivers, and equity awards with long-term time-based vesting conditions, and (iii) incentivizing the executives to manage risks appropriately through a variety of fixed and at-risk and short- and long-term compensation opportunities.

Our compensation programs are designed to pay-for-performance by:

- evaluating performance on both an individual and company-wide basis reflecting our progress in meeting short-term and long-term growth objectives based on designated performance metrics, including stockholder return, revenue and earnings per share targets;
- linking short-term compensation to individual performance goals set at the beginning of the year;
- linking significant incentive compensation to company-wide financial and operating metrics to align a significant portion of NEO compensation to the long-term success of the Company;
- balancing short-term and long-term goals by delivering compensation through short-term and long-term incentives;
- maintaining base salary at a competitive market level during times of growth as well as contraction;
- delivering a mix of fixed and at-risk compensation; and
- using equity-based awards that are linked to increases in stockholder value.

In designing the compensation program and in determining NEO compensation, we also consider the following factors:

- our size and complexity;
- our projected growth and expansion into other businesses;
- our long-term strategic goals;
- our operating and financial performance compared with targeted goals;
- our current compensation levels;
- the level of responsibility, experience and longevity of our current executive officers; and
- the competition for strong senior executives.

## NEO Compensation

### General

We pay our NEO's an annual base cash salary and we also put a significant portion of the executive's compensation at risk through the use of annual cash bonus and equity awards by tying those elements to Company and/or individual performance for the year and longer periods of time. The elements of compensation paid to our NEOs are intended to reward performance as follows:

- **Annual Base Cash Salary.** Annual base cash salary is a fixed annual rate of pay received by the NEO. Annual base cash salary rewards the NEO for his or her day-to-day responsibilities, experience, time employed by the Company, position within the organization, skills and abilities. The complexity and growth of the Company's businesses are also considered when setting annual base compensation. The Compensation Committee reviews the base salary of our CEO and Chairman at least annually and sometimes more often. The Compensation Committee also considers our CEO's and Chairman's recommendations regarding the annual base cash salary of other NEOs. The Compensation Committee will adjust annual base cash salary on a case-by-case basis after considering any changes to the NEO's employment terms, the NEOs responsibilities and whether those responsibilities have increased or decreased, corporate performance, the results of annual individual performance evaluations as measured against the subjective performance criteria established for the NEO, the NEO's employment contract terms, if applicable, and the NEO's total compensation package. The Compensation Committee does not necessarily assign more importance to any factor over another. See "– 2010 NEO Annual Base Cash Salary Adjustments."
- **Annual Incentive Compensation.** Annual incentive compensation, which is in addition to annual base cash salary, is intended to reward the NEO for meeting or exceeding short-term company-wide objectives and individual subjective goals established each year by the Compensation Committee. As a result, a substantial portion of a NEO's annual incentive compensation is at risk. The annual incentive compensation rewards NEOs for organization-wide performance as measured against financial goals for the year and individual performance as measured against individual subjective goals considered by the Compensation Committee. Incentive compensation set by the Compensation Committee pursuant to the Incentive Compensation Plan, is designed to meet the requirements of Code Section 162(m) to allow the Company to receive a deduction for that compensation; therefore, individual subjective performance goals can only be considered to reduce but not increase an individual's bonus payment. Starting in 2009, the Compensation Committee began awarding annual incentive compensation through a combination of cash and shares of restricted stock. The shares of restricted stock are subject to significant time-based vesting conditions, which the Compensation Committee believes act as a meaningful retention tool. The Compensation Committee intends to continue to pay annual incentive compensation through a combination of cash and equity in future years. The use of restricted stock places a portion of the NEOs annual bonus compensation into longer-term compensation, which links NEO compensation to the creation of stockholder value and serves as an additional retention tool. See "– Incentive Compensation – The FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan."
- **Equity Compensation.** In general, the Company believes that stock options and restricted stock link the NEO's compensation to stockholder return and value. Stock options and restricted stock, the value of which increase as our stock price increases, provide a strong incentive for the NEO to remain with the Company. The Compensation Committee is currently the administrator of our long-term equity compensation plans and determines the type, number of shares, other terms and timing of equity awards granted to NEOs. The Compensation Committee primarily uses equity awards to provide continuing incentives that will keep NEOs engaged and focused on the interests of stockholders. All equity awards have time-based vesting conditions of three- to five-years. In addition, the Compensation Committee may impose performance conditions of one-year or shorter, such as revenue and earnings per share targets. The Compensation Committee generally considers the NEO's total compensation and individual responsibilities and performance, as well as the Company's corporate performance and stock price, to determine whether to make an award, when to make an award and what kind and how many equity incentives to grant to an NEO.

- **LTIP Awards.** “Long-term incentive program (“LTIP”)” awards represent stock option, restricted stock and restricted stock unit awards that vest upon meeting variable, specified financial-based performance goals longer than one year. We believe that performance-based equity awards link the NEO’s compensation to financial metrics that are important to the Company and its stockholders. Performance-based awards reward long-term financial goals established internally for the Company, such as long-term improvement of revenue growth, EBITDA, earnings per share, financial ratios, return on equity and business mix. They may also measure the Company’s performance against the long-term performance of industry or peer groups, such as relative total stockholder return. Because of the Company’s rapid expansion internationally and through the expansion of its service offerings, the establishment of longer term goals allows the Company to reward the positive results of decisions and actions undertaken by the NEOs today or in the past, including decisions made to position the Company for future growth or to manage risk. They also allow executives to earn more if the Company achieves superior performance or earn less if all or some of the performance goals are not met. The Compensation Committee primarily uses performance-based equity awards to provide continuing incentives to NEOs to drive the long-term performance of the Company. Our general forms of performance-based award documents do not grant discretion to the Compensation Committee to vest the awards if the applicable performance goals have not been met.
- **Transition Payments.** Because of professional relationships with our client facing employees, the complexity of the services we provide, and our rapid growth and geographic expansion, the Compensation Committee determined that it is in the Company’s best interests to contractually require our CEO and Chairman (in each case, other than in the event of termination due to death, “Disability” or by the Company with “Cause”) and the COO (other than in the event of termination due to death, “Disability” or termination by the Company with “Cause” or by the executive without “Good Reason”) to be available as needed by the Company following the termination of such executive’s full-time employment. The employment agreements with those executives provide that they will provide up to 500 hours of service each year, as requested by the Company, in the case of the CEO and Chairman for five years, and in the case of the COO for three years, for an annual cash payment. See “Executive Officers and Compensation – Employment Agreements and Potential Termination and Change in Control Payments.”
- **Sign-On or Retention Payments.** We may provide contractual or other incentive payment opportunities, including sign-on or retention bonuses, which may be in the form of cash and/or equity, to individuals who join us as officers or, in some cases, to officers who enter into new employment arrangements with us. These payments are designed to reward individuals for joining or continuing their employment with the Company.
- **Other Benefits and Perquisites.** We provide our NEOs with substantially the same benefits that we provide to employees generally, including medical and dental insurance, a life insurance benefit and the opportunity to participate in the Company’s 401(k) savings plan. In addition, the Company provides NEOs with certain perquisites designed to facilitate their ability to perform their positions and develop client relations, including, an automobile and a corporate aircraft to facilitate security. In 2010, the Company discontinued the practice of paying club dues for NEOs.

The Compensation Committee evaluates the relationships between cash and non-cash compensation, short- and long-term compensation, and fixed- and at-risk compensation on a case-by-case basis and makes decisions as to the NEO’s forms of compensation, amounts of compensation and timing of compensation after considering the periodic financial results of the Company and their subjective assessment of the NEO’s performance.

## Cash Compensation

### 2010 NEO Annual Base Cash Salary

The Compensation Committee considers adjustments to annual base cash compensation each year, generally in February and at other times deemed warranted by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee discusses annual base cash salary levels directly with our CEO and Chairman and considers their recommendations regarding the annual base cash salary levels of the other NEOs. See “Executive Officers and Compensation – Summary Compensation Table” for the cash base salaries paid to the NEOs for the year ended December 31, 2010.

In February 2010, the Compensation Committee authorized modest base annual salary increases of \$50,000 each to our CEO, Chairman and COO. Following, these salary adjustments, the annual base cash salaries of our CEO, Chairman and COO, were \$1,550,000, \$1,050,000 and, \$2,050,000, respectively. These annual adjustments were intended to equate to the value of the annual club membership fees and related costs that were eliminated as a requisite starting in December 2009. From January to March 2010, our CFO held the position of Executive Vice President – Corporate Development and Chief Administrative Officer. In that capacity, he oversaw a number of cost reduction initiatives. In February 2010, our CFO’s annual cash base salary was increased by \$100,000 to \$700,000, including a \$50,000 merit increase and the modest increase to compensate him for the loss of the club membership requisite. All the cash based annual salary adjustments were effective as of April 1, 2010. In 2010, the base annual salary of the CAO was not adjusted. Mr. Celaya, our CFO through March 2010, did not receive an annual salary adjustment before his departure in March 2010.

## Incentive Compensation

### FTI Consulting, Inc. Incentive Compensation Plan Compensation

General. On June 6, 2006, our stockholders approved the current 2006 Incentive Plan. Annual incentive compensation is intended to focus and reward individuals based on measures identified as having a positive impact on our annual business results and that are aligned with the interests of our stockholders. The 2006 Incentive Plan requires that the Compensation Committee designate those executive officers who will participate in the plan for any year and establish performance goals and maximum dollar amounts to be paid to each plan participant if the performance goals have been achieved. Annual incentive compensation is intended to tie a potentially large portion of such officer’s total compensation to that year’s financial performance and place it at-risk. Annual incentive compensation is not tied to a specific multiple of base salary or as a percentage of total compensation.

Under the 2006 Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee may choose from a range of defined objective performance measures: EBITDA, stock price, earnings per share, earnings per share before stock option expense, net earnings, operating or other earnings, revenues, net cash flow, financial return ratios, return on assets, stockholder return, return on equity, growth in assets, and market share or strategic business criteria consisting of one or more objectives meeting specified revenue goals, market penetration goals, geographic business expansion goals, or goals relating to acquisitions or strategic partnerships. The incentive compensation measures are objective measures that reflect our operating results for the year for which the performance goals are established. The Compensation Committee seeks to establish performance goals that are challenging but attainable based on our business and financial plan for the year. When establishing performance goals for a plan year, the Compensation Committee reviews and discusses our business and financial plans for that year and their key underlying assumptions, expectations under then-existing and anticipated market conditions and the opportunity to generate stockholder value. The Compensation Committee establishes a range of performance goals for the plan year as well as individual payment maximums for each goal within the range for the participants in the plan.

In the case of the NEOs whose overall annual cash compensation may, in some instances, exceed \$1,000,000, performance goals and payment maximums have been established by the Compensation Committee no later than 90 days following our fiscal year-end, to ensure that their award payouts that are solely attributable to and dependent upon satisfaction of a performance goal will be fully deductible under the federal tax laws. Under our 2006 Incentive Plan, payments based on the prior year’s performance must be made (if earned) by no later than March 15th of the following year.

2010 Incentive Compensation Plan Based Payments. On March 25, 2010, the Compensation Committee approved the participants, performance goals and individual maximum target bonus levels for the year ended December 31, 2010 under our 2006 Incentive Plan. The Compensation Committee designated our CEO, Chairman, COO, CFO and CAO, as well as one other executive officer, as plan participants for 2010. When establishing performance goals for 2010, the Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed the Company's budget, business and financial plans for 2010, company expectations, anticipated market conditions, published financial guidance and the recommendations of the CEO and Chairman. For 2010, the Compensation Committee employed a non-formulaic approach designating a range of performance goals based on EPS, with a low EPS performance goal and high EPS performance goal, consistent with the prior year practices. The Compensation Committee believes that EPS ties NEO incentive compensation directly to increases in stockholder value. For the purpose of presenting that information in our proxy statement, the Company reports the threshold as the lowest performance goal, the target as a mid-range performance goal and the maximum as the highest performance goal established within the applicable range of performance goals set by the Compensation Committee. The Compensation Committee approved an approach for adjusting EPS to reflect acquisitions or dispositions of all or parts of businesses that occur during the year, however, no adjustment to EPS was made for 2010.

On February 18, 2011, the Compensation Committee certified that none of the EPS performance goals established for 2010 under the 2006 Incentive Plan were achieved and, therefore, did not approve 2010 incentive compensation payments to participants, except that the Compensation Committee found that the performance goal of \$1.00 EPS established by his employment contract for the payment of a minimum annual bonus of \$500,000 to our COO was met. The COO received the \$500,000 incentive award through a combination of cash in the amount of \$325,000 (65% of total award), which was paid on March 11, 2011, and 4,855 restricted shares of common stock (equating to a value of \$175,000 (35% of total award) based on the fair market value per share of the Company's common stock as reported on the NYSE for March 11, 2011 (the bonus payment date)), which vest 33.33% on December 31, 2011, 33.33% on December 31, 2012 and 33.34% on December 31, 2013. We believe the payment of annual incentive compensation partly in stock is a valuable tool for keeping the interests of our executives aligned with our stockholders.

#### Other 2010 Cash-Based Incentive Compensation Opportunities

As of April 1, 2010, the Company did not have sufficient authorized shares under its equity compensation plans to award additional performance-based equity awards to NEOs for 2010. In order to continue to incentivize the achievement of annual performance goals and to align the interests of our executives with those of our stockholders, in March 2010, the Compensation Committee authorized cash-based performance unit awards (the "Cash Performance Awards") pursuant to the 2009 Plan, to the NEOs, as well as other executive officers and leaders of our practice segments who are members of the Company's executive committee. The Compensation Committee established a range of performance goals based on EPS (excluding special charges) as the relevant performance measures; and provided that if a performance goal is achieved for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010, each participant could earn up to a maximum of 11,500 performance units depending upon which goal was achieved. Subject to the satisfaction of the performance-based vesting conditions applicable to the award, the performance units were further subject to one-third pro rata vesting on March 1, 2011, March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013. On each applicable vesting date, the performance units that vested would be payable to the holder solely in a lump sum cash amount determined by multiplying the number of vested performance units that became payable by the price per share of the Company's common stock for March 1st of the calendar year in which such performance units vested. The Compensation Committee believes that EPS ties NEO incentive compensation directly to increases in stockholder value. On February 18, 2011, the Compensation Committee determined that none of the EPS performance goals for the year ended December 31, 2010 were met and all Cash Performance Awards were forfeited by the recipients in accordance with the award terms without any cash payments to the recipients.



## 2010 Equity Compensation

Equity incentives are used as a mechanism to link compensation with increases in stockholder value. The Compensation Committee believes that the use of equity incentives aligns the interests of NEOs with long-term stockholder value better than cash alone. The Compensation Committee also uses equity awards upon hiring of an officer or employee to immediately link the interests of that person with our interests and those of our stockholders. The levels of equity awards are determined on a case-by-case basis based on management's recommendation and the perceived value of the services performed or to be performed by the individual.

### 2010 Quarterly Standing Equity Award to our CEO

On July 31, 2008, the Compensation Committee authorized a standing automatic restricted stock award to our CEO with a value equivalent to \$250,000 on the day following the Company's quarterly and annual public earnings release each year (the "Standing Stock Award"), without the necessity of further action of the Compensation Committee. The number of shares of restricted stock awarded to our CEO will be determined by dividing (i) \$250,000, by (ii) the closing price per share of the Company's common stock as reported on the NYSE for the day following the date of each relevant quarterly and annual earnings release. The Standing Stock Award will be awarded out of the shares available under any stockholder approved equity compensation plan in effect from time to time, and if no shares are available will be paid in cash. The Compensation Committee believes that the Standing Stock Award directly aligns with and keeps our CEO focused on long-term increases in stockholder value. See "– 2010 LTIP Awards" for a discussion of the performance-based restricted stock awarded to our CEO.

### 2010 Restricted Stock Award to David Bannister

In February 2010, before Mr. Bannister assumed the position of CFO, the Compensation Committee awarded him 10,000 shares of restricted stock of the Company with a grant date of March 5, 2010, subject to time-based pro rata vesting over the three-year period beginning on the first anniversary of the date of grant. The Compensation Committee awarded the shares of restricted stock to Mr. Bannister upon management's recommendation in light of his extraordinary contribution as the leader of the Company's business development and acquisition efforts.

### 2010 LTIP Awards

In July 2008, our CEO agreed to extend his employment term, and as partial consideration, the Compensation Committee authorized the award of 67,522 performance-based shares of restricted stock under our 2006 Global Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (the "2006 Plan"), with a value equivalent to \$5.0 million on the grant date of August 11, 2008 (based on the closing price of \$74.05 per share for the date of grant, which was the closing price per share of the Company's common stock reported on the NYSE for that day). The applicable performance goals are based on annual revenue and EPS targets or ranges of targets established for the years December 31, 2008, December 31, 2009, December 31, 2010, December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2012. The applicable targets are subject to adjustment for acquisitions and dispositions of businesses or portions of businesses. Assuming achievement of the applicable performance goals for the year, the performance award will vest on August 12th of the following year. The CEO may earn a percentage of the number of restricted shares that would have otherwise vested on an applicable vesting date, if one but not both of the revenue and EPS targets for the applicable period has been achieved, and the balance of the restricted shares that do not vest for the applicable period will be forfeited.

In January 2009, our Chairman agreed to extend his employment term, and as partial consideration, the Compensation Committee authorized the award of 33,692 performance-based shares of restricted stock under our 2006 Plan with a value equivalent to \$1.5 million on the grant date of January 2, 2009 (based on the closing price per share of the Company's common stock of \$44.52 reported on the NYSE for that day). The applicable performance goals are based on annual revenue and EPS targets or ranges of targets established for the years December 31, 2009, December 31, 2010 through December 31, 2011. The applicable targets are subject to adjustment for acquisitions and dispositions of businesses or portions of businesses. Assuming achievement of the applicable performance goals for the year, the performance award will vest upon the certification of the achievement of such goals. The Chairman may earn a percentage of the number of restricted shares that would have otherwise vested on an applicable vesting date, if one but not both of the revenue and EPS targets for the applicable period has been achieved and the balance of the restricted shares that do not vest for the applicable period will be forfeited.

The purpose of these LTIP awards is to incentivize long-term performance by setting aggressive annual revenue and EPS goals during the remaining term of each of our CEO's and Chairman's employment under his current employment agreement.

On February 18, 2011, the Compensation Committee certified that the performance conditions for the year ended December 31, 2010 were not achieved and those performance awards have been forfeited by the CEO and Chairman.

#### Benefits and Perquisites

NEOs receive a variety of benefits, including the following benefits that are available to all full-time employees:

- medical, dental, vision, prescription drug and mental health services;
- pre-tax health and dependent care flexible spending accounts;
- parking and transit reimbursement accounts;
- group life insurance and AD&D coverage and supplemental life and AD&D insurance coverage;
- life and AD&D coverage for spouses and dependents;
- short-term disability insurance coverage for illnesses lasting no more than 90 days;
- long-term disability insurance coverage equal to 60% of base salary with the benefit capped at \$300,000;
- parental leave;
- family and medical leave;
- emergency travel services;
- health advocacy services;
- travel insurance;
- 401(k) match; and
- workers' compensation insurance.

Additional benefits and perquisites that are provided to one or more NEOs include:

- company supplied automobile or car allowance;
- use of the corporate aircraft; and
- supplemental life, AD&D insurance or long-term disability insurance.

In the case of the CEO, Chairman and COO, in connection with a "Change in Control", they will be eligible to receive an additional payment in an amount such that after payment of all taxes (including the excise tax), interest and penalties in respect of payments made in connection with a "Change in Control", such executive will retain an amount equal to the excise tax imposed upon such "Change in Control" payments, in the event that a tax is imposed by Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code.

During 2010, we were a party to a Charter and Management Services Agreement with a FAA Part 135 air carrier (the “Aircraft Management Company”), whereby the Aircraft Management Company provided the crew and maintained, managed and operated our corporate leased aircraft to carry our NEOs, non-employee directors, other personnel and guests of the Company on business travel. When not in use by the Company for business travel, the Aircraft Management Company chartered the aircraft. In February 2006, upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee, the Board approved an internal Corporate Aircraft Policy to govern the use and administration of the aircraft, including the personal use of the aircraft by authorized NEOs and their family members and other invitees. During 2010, authorized NEOs directly chartered the aircraft from Aircraft Management Company for personal use. The hourly charter fee per in flight travel hour for personal charters by authorized NEOs for 2010 was \$1,800, which is less than the amount third parties would pay to charter the aircraft. During 2010, the cost per hour for an executive to personally charter the aircraft has equaled or exceeded the aggregate marginal operating cost of the aircraft. See “– Summary Compensation Table.”

#### Retirement Benefits

We do not maintain defined benefit pension plans. Retirement benefits to U.S. employees are currently provided through our 401(k) Plan. NEOs are eligible to receive matching benefits under our 401(k) Plan up to the maximum allowed under the Code, which was \$7,350 for 2010.

Pursuant to the terms of their employment agreements, we extend to the CEO, Chairman and COO, a medical program that provides health, dental, vision and prescription benefits to them and their spouses (for their lifetimes), and dependents (until the maximum age up to which the Company’s plan provides benefits for dependents), after termination of employment at a level substantially the same as that provided prior to termination. See “Executive Officers and Compensation – Employment Agreements and Potential Termination and Change in Control Payments.”

For a description of the perquisites received by the NEOs in 2010, see “Executive Officers and Compensation – Summary Compensation Table.”

#### Total 2010 Compensation Comparisons

The following table compares each component of a NEO’s compensation described in the “Executive Officers and Compensation – Summary Compensation Table” as a percentage of his total compensation for the year ended December 31, 2010:

Name and Principal Position	Base Salary	Bonus	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Stock Awards	Option Awards	All Other Compensation
	(% of Total)	(% of Total)	(% of Total)	(% of Total)	(% of Total)	(% of Total)
	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d) (1)	(e)	(f)
Jack B. Dunn, IV	42.76	—	11.15	44.68	—	1.41
David G. Bannister	37.89	—	22.57	37.80	—	1.74
Dennis J. Shaughnessy	49.10	—	18.98	28.67	—	3.25
Dominic DiNapoli	63.89	—	22.76	11.86	—	1.49
Roger D. Carlile	71.36	—	21.98	6.23	—	0.43
Jorge A. Celaya	9.96	—	—	11.34	—	78.70

(1) The percentages in column (d) were derived based on the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See “Executive Officers and Compensation – Equity Compensation Plans – Grants of Plan Based Awards for Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2010.”

## Employment Agreements, Termination of Employment and Change in Control Arrangements

As we discuss more fully in “Executive Officers and Compensation – Employment Agreements and Potential Termination and Change in Control Payments,” we have employment agreements with our CEO, Chairman and COO. The employment agreements include annual base salary terms that provide that specified annual salary levels cannot be reduced. Our employment agreements with our CEO, Chairman and COO contain provisions relating to transition employment opportunities and payments during that period. Their employment agreements also provide for payments and benefits on certain termination of employment events, including in connection with a “Change in Control,” termination by the Company without “Cause” and termination by the NEO with “Good Reason” (as those terms are defined in the relevant agreement). We did not have long-term employment agreements in effect with the CFO and CAO during 2010, but their written employment letters entered into in June 2010, also contain certain terms relating to payments on termination of their employment by the Company without “Cause,” termination by the executive for “Good Reason” and termination coincident with or during the 12-month period following a “Change in Control.” There are limitations on the Company’s ability to downwardly adjust compensation, including base salaries, to relocate an executive and to change responsibilities of executives, without triggering the right of the affected NEO to receive termination payments and benefits. The executives view the termination protection payments and benefits as an important component of their total compensation. In our view, having these protections helps to maintain our NEOs’ objectivity in decision-making and provides another vehicle to align the interests of our NEOs with the interests of our stockholders. All or some of these payments and benefits may never be triggered.

Decisions to enter into employment agreements, the terms of those agreements and amendments to those agreements have been based on the facts and circumstances at the time and arm’s length negotiations with the applicable NEO.

## Timing of Equity Grants

Equity awards to our NEOs, other officers and employees have been awarded under our 1997 Plan, 2004 Plan, 2006 Plan and 2009 Plan. Our plans are currently administered by the Compensation Committee. As administrator, the Compensation Committee has the authority, in its sole and absolute discretion, to grant awards under the plans, establish the terms of such awards, including vesting terms, prescribe grant agreements evidencing such awards, and establish programs for granting awards. The Compensation Committee has not delegated its authority to make awards or prescribe the terms (including vesting terms) to our management. Management recommends to the Compensation Committee the identities of the officers and employees to receive awards, the type of award, the number of shares subject to an award and other terms of an award, including vesting terms and the life of such award. In general, our stock option awards have a ten year exercise term. The equity awards to the NEOs are also subject to contractual transition, termination and change in control provisions. See “Executive Officers and Compensation – Employment Agreements and Potential Termination and Change in Control Payments.”

Stock option and stock-based awards, including restricted stock awards, are only effective as of the later of the date (i) the Compensation Committee takes action to approve the grant and (ii) all conditions to the award have been met in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. In some cases, the Compensation Committee will grant awards that are contingent, which contingencies may include commencement of employment or the execution of new written employment documents with us, or with grant dates as of a future date. All option awards are made at an exercise price equal to or exceeding the “fair market value” of our common stock on the date of grant.

In February 2011, the Compensation Committee implemented an equity-based long term incentive program for select executive officers and key employees, which contemplates recurring annual equity awards in the first quarter of each year to participants in that program. Prior to that, the Compensation Committee has not followed an established schedule for making equity grants under the plans, other than the Standing Stock Awards to the CEO. Throughout the year, as management believes grants are merited, they will make recommendations for equity awards to the Compensation Committee for approval. The Compensation Committee may also make equity awards on its own initiative. The timing of awards is influenced by new hires and promotions throughout the year and timing of annual bonus payments that may be in the form of equity. Typically, equity awards are approved at regularly scheduled or special meetings of the Compensation Committee but the Compensation Committee may also approve equity awards by unanimous written consent of the members. The CEO’s Standing Stock Awards, which are made the day after each quarterly and annual earnings release date, and the stock option and restricted stock awards subject to time-based vesting conditions that were approved in February 2011, were timed to allow dissemination of our quarterly and/or year-end earnings announcements prior to the grant dates of such awards. The Compensation Committee does not necessarily time other equity awards to NEOs (or other employees) to correspond to the release of material public information, including earnings announcements.

#### Stock Ownership Guidelines and Return of Incentive Compensation by NEOs

Our NEOs are stockholders of the Company. See “Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management.” The CEO and CFO would be subject to Section 304 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which requires corporate executives to forfeit their stock sale profits and bonuses earned when there has been a financial restatement resulting from misconduct. The Board has not adopted a policy that gives the Board the discretion to require an officer to reimburse the Company for any bonus or incentive compensation that was paid, or any equity awards that were granted, based on financial results that may become the subject of a significant restatement of our financial statements other than as a result of such officer’s misconduct. The Company intends on adopting a claw-back policy to comply with the Dodd-Frank Act following the promulgation of final rules.

#### Deductibility of NEO Compensation

Code Section 162(m) limits the deductibility of compensation in excess of \$1.0 million paid to our Chief Executive Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers serving on the last day of the fiscal year, excluding the Chief Financial Officer. A company can deduct compensation (including the exercise of options) above that limit if it pays the compensation under a plan that its stockholders have approved and that is performance-related and non-discretionary. The Compensation Committee considers Code Section 162(m) when making compensation decisions but other considerations, such as providing our NEOs with competitive and adequate incentives to remain with and increase our business operations, financial performance and prospects, as well as rewarding extraordinary contributions, also significantly factor into the Compensation Committee’s decisions. The Compensation Committee has and expects to continue to authorize payment of compensation to NEOs outside the deductibility limits of Code Section 162(m). The Compensation Committee has not consulted with any compensation consultant with respect to the payment of compensation that is not deductible under Code Section 162(m) and may or may not do so in the future.

In 2010, we paid total annual base cash salary to each of our CEO, Chairman, COO and CAO in excess of the deductibility limits of Code Section 162(m). See “Executive Officers and Compensation – Summary Compensation Table” for the cash base salaries and other cash compensation paid to the NEOs for 2010.

#### Restrictions on Entering into Hedging and Derivative Transactions

In 2008, the Board adopted a policy that prohibits individuals who are subject to the Company’s Policy Statement on Inside Information and Insider Trading, from purchasing, selling and trading in options (including publicly traded options), warrants, puts and calls, or similar instruments, or engaging in derivative securities transactions involving or relating to the Company’s securities, without the prior consent of the Company’s CEO and Chief Compliance Officer. In addition, without the prior written consent of the CEO or the Chief Compliance Officer, hedging or monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars and forward sale contracts that allow a person to lock in a portion of the value of his or her shares, often in exchange for all or part of the potential for upside appreciation in the shares, are prohibited. Waivers from this policy have been granted in the past to executive officers, primarily in connection with shares of common stock of the Company issued as purchase price consideration for the executive’s business, however, no waivers of this policy are currently in effect.

## COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the “Board”) of FTI Consulting, Inc., a Maryland corporation, has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management. Based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement relating to the 2011 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

### Compensation Committee

James W. Crownover, Chair  
Brenda J. Bacon  
Gerard E. Holthaus  
Matthew F. McHugh

## RISK ASSESSMENT OF COMPENSATION PRACTICES

During fiscal 2010 and 2011, at the request and direction of the Compensation Committee and the Audit Committee, management conducted an assessment of risks associated with the Company’s compensation policies and practices. This assessment included the (i) review of programs, plans, policies and procedures relating to the components of executive and employee compensation, (ii) review of incentive-based equity and cash compensation features, (iii) identification of compensation design features that could potentially encourage excessive or imprudent risk taking, (iv) identification of business risks that these features could potentially encourage, (v) consideration of the presence or absence of controls, oversight or other factors that mitigate potential risks, (vi) assessment of potential risks, and (vii) consideration of the potential for such risks to result in a material adverse effect on the Company and its subsidiaries taken as a whole. Based on the assessment and factors described above, the Company determined that the risks associated with its compensation policies and practices are not reasonably likely to result in a material adverse effect on the Company and its subsidiaries taken as a whole.

## SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

We have set forth below the total compensation paid to and earned by, and equity and cash-based awards (subject to performance- or time-based vesting contingencies) granted to, our President and Chief Executive Officer, all individuals serving as the Company’s principal financial officer during the last completed fiscal year, and the three other most highly compensated persons who were serving as our executive officers on December 31, 2010. In accordance with applicable SEC rules, the Summary Compensation Table includes the grant date fair value of all equity awards and cash-based performance unit awards that were granted in 2010. However, some of the equity awards were granted on account of performance in 2009 and the cash-based performance unit awards were forfeited because the applicable performance goals for the year ended December 31, 2010 were not achieved. See footnote (2) to the Summary Compensation Table for a discussion of the stock awards that were granted in 2010 on account of performance in 2009 and see footnote (4) to the Summary Compensation Table for a discussion of the cash-based performance units. For more information regarding the impact of performance on certain performance-based compensation elements, see “Executive Officers and Compensation – Compensation Discussion and Analysis – Executive Summary –2010 NEO Performance Compensation.”

Change in  
Pension  
Value and  
Nonqualified