TIME WARNER INC. Form 10-K/A April 27, 2018 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K/A

(Amendment No. 1)

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)

OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017

Commission file number 001-15062

TIME WARNER INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

13-4099534 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

One Time Warner Center

New York, NY 10019-8016

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)(Zip Code)

(212) 484-8000

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Table of Contents

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each className of each exchange on which registeredCommon Stock, \$.01 par valueNew York Stock Exchange1.95% Notes due 2023New York Stock ExchangeSecurities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months, and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, smaller reporting company and emerging growth company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Emerging growth company Accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

As of the close of business on April 20, 2018, there were 782,319,431 shares of the registrant s Common Stock outstanding. The aggregate market value of the registrant s voting and non-voting common equity securities held by non-affiliates of the registrant (based upon the closing price of such shares on the New York Stock Exchange on

June 30, 2017) was approximately \$78.17 billion.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

On February 22, 2018, Time Warner Inc. (the Company or Time Warner) filed its Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017 (the 2017 Form 10-K). This Amendment No. 1 (the Amendment) amends Part III, Items 10 through 14, of the 2017 Form 10-K to include information previously omitted from the 2017 Form 10-K in reliance on General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K. General Instruction G(3) to Form 10-K provides that registrants may incorporate by reference certain information from a definitive proxy statement which involves the election of directors if such definitive proxy statement is filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year. Due to the pending merger between Time Warner and AT&T Inc., the Company will not file a definitive proxy statement that involves the election of directors by April 30, 2018 (i.e., within 120 days after the end of the Company s 2017 fiscal year). Accordingly, Part III of the 2017 Form 10-K is hereby amended to add the information set forth below.

In addition, as required by Rule 12b-15 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), certifications by the Company s principal executive officer and principal financial officer are filed as exhibits to this Amendment under Item 15 of Part IV. The certifications are filed with this Amendment as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2. Because no financial statements are included in this Amendment and this Amendment does not contain or amend any disclosures with respect to Items 307 or 308 of Regulation S-K, paragraphs 3, 4 and 5 of the certifications have been omitted. This Amendment does not include the certifications under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 because no financial statements are included in this Amendment.

Except as stated herein, this Amendment does not reflect events occurring after the filing of the 2017 Form 10-K with the SEC on February 22, 2018 and no attempt has been made in this Amendment to modify or update other disclosures contained in the 2017 Form 10-K.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance. Executive Officers

For information relating to the Company s executive officers, see Part I, Executive Officers of the Company in the 2017 Form 10-K.

Board of Directors

Set forth below is information regarding the members of the Board of Directors of the Company (the Board), including their ages as of April 26, 2018 and their key skills and professional qualifications. The Board and the Nominating and Governance Committee (the Nominating Committee) believe that the depth and breadth of qualifications, skills and experiences of the directors, all of whom are seasoned leaders, have contributed to an effective and well-functioning Board and that, individually and as a whole, the directors possess the necessary qualifications to provide effective oversight of and advice to the Company s management and businesses.

The Board has three standing committees: the Audit and Finance Committee (the Audit Committee), the Nominating Committee and the Compensation and Human Development Committee (the Compensation Committee). All members of the committees are independent and satisfy the standards of independence applicable to the respective committee.

William P. Barr

Former Attorney General of the United States

Of Counsel of Kirkland & Ellis LLP March 2017 to present

Director since 2009

Age: 67

Independent Director

Board Committees: Compensation (Chair); Nominating

Prior Professional Experience: Mr. Barr served as Of Counsel of Kirkland & Ellis LLP from January 2009 to July 2009; Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Verizon Communications Inc. from June 2000 to December 2008; Executive Vice President and General Counsel of GTE Corporation from 1994 to June 2000; a partner of Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge (now Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP) from 1993 to 1994; the 77th Attorney General of the United States from 1991 to 1993; Deputy Attorney General of the United States from 1991; Assistant Attorney General for the Office of Legal Counsel from 1989 to 1990; and a partner of Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge from 1984 to 1989.

Other Public Company Boards: Dominion Energy, Inc. During the past five years, Mr. Barr served as a director of Och-Ziff Capital Management Group LLC and Selected Funds, and a trustee of Clipper Funds.

Key Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Barr, who is Chair of the Company s Compensation Committee, brings leadership experience in government as a former Attorney General of the United States and head of the U.S. Department of Justice. He also has more than 14 years of leadership and senior management experience in major corporations in the media and telecommunications industries, as the former Executive Vice President and General Counsel of Verizon Communications Inc. and its predecessor, GTE Corporation. As a former senior executive at Verizon Communications Inc. and GTE Corporation, Mr. Barr has knowledge of and experience in broadband and mobile distribution systems, including the distribution of

Edgar Filing: TIME WARNER INC. - Form 10-K/A

video content, as well as experience in consumer-focused businesses with international operations. As a former Attorney General of the United States, General Counsel and partner of a major law firm, Mr. Barr has a strong background in a wide range of legal, regulatory and government relations matters, including intellectual property and antitrust policy, as well as overseeing the negotiation of and obtaining regulatory approvals for significant mergers and acquisitions, such as the Bell Atlantic Corporation and GTE Corporation merger that formed Verizon Communications Inc. and Verizon Communications Inc. s subsequent acquisitions of MCI Communications Corporation and Alltel Corporation. As a former director of Selected Funds, where he was a director or trustee of three separate investment companies in the fund complex, Mr. Barr has knowledge of and experience in finance and investments.

Jeffrey L. Bewkes

Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of the Company January 2009 to present

Director since 2007

Age: 65

Prior Professional Experience: Mr. Bewkes served as President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company from January 2008 through December 2008; President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company from January 2006 through December 2007; Chairman, Entertainment & Networks Group, of the Company from July 2002 through December 2005; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of the Home Box Office division of the Company from 1995 to July 2002; and President and Chief Operating Officer of the Home Box Office division of the Company from 1991 to 1995.

Other Public Company Boards: Mr. Bewkes served as a director of Time Inc. for many years, resigning on June 6, 2014 in connection with the legal and structural separation of Time Inc. from the Company. Time Inc. became a public company on May 9, 2014.

Other Boards: Mr. Bewkes is a Trustee of the Yale Corporation of Yale University. He is a member of the board of the Partnership for New York City and the advisory board of the Creative Coalition.

Key Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Bewkes has more than 30 years of experience at the Company and its subsidiaries, including 27 years of leadership and senior management experience serving as the Chief Executive Officer or in other senior executive positions at the Company and Home Box Office. His unique, in-depth knowledge of the Company s history and businesses, including his deep understanding of the Company s operations and strategy and the media and entertainment industry, provide him a strong foundation for leading the Board, as Chairman, and facilitating effective communication between management and the Board.

Robert C. Clark

Distinguished Service Professor at Harvard University July 2003 to present

Director since 2004

Age: 74

Lead Independent Director

Board Committees: Audit; Nominating (Chair)

Prior Professional Experience: Mr. Clark served as the Dean and Royall Professor of Law at Harvard Law School from 1989 to 2003; a professor at Harvard Law School since 1978; a professor at Yale Law School from 1974 to 1978; and an associate at Ropes & Gray from 1972 to 1974.

Other Public Company Boards: Omnicom Group, Inc.

Other Boards: During the past five years, Mr. Clark was a trustee of Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association (TIAA), a life insurance company focused on serving the retirement needs of the higher education community.

Key Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Clark has 14 years of leadership experience as a former Dean of Harvard Law School. Mr. Clark s expertise and insights in the areas of corporate law (including mergers and acquisitions and corporate governance), finance and regulation are useful to the Nominating Committee, which he chairs, as well as to the rest of the Board. His experience serving on the boards of directors of other companies provides him with knowledge of a number of industries, including the advertising industry. As a former trustee of a life insurance company, Mr. Clark also brings his understanding of finance, investments and the views of pension funds and other institutional shareholders.

Mathias Döpfner

Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Axel Springer SE, an integrated multimedia company based in Berlin, Germany January 2002 to present

Director since 2006

Age: 55

Independent Director

Board Committees: Compensation

Prior Professional Experience: Mr. Döpfner has been with Axel Springer SE since 1998, initially as editor-in-chief of *Die Welt* and since 2000 as a member of the Management Board. Prior to joining Axel Springer SE, Mr. Döpfner held various positions in media companies, including editor-in-chief of the newspapers *Wochenpost* and *Hamburger Morgenpost* and as a Brussels-based correspondent for *Frankfurter Allgemeine Zeitung*.

Other Public Company Boards: Vodafone Group Plc. During the past five years, Mr. Döpfner served as a supervisory board member of RHJ International SA (now known as BHF Kleinwort Benson Group).

Key Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Döpfner brings more than 18 years of leadership and senior management experience serving as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Axel Springer SE, a leading digital publisher in Europe. He has a deep understanding of the global media and entertainment industry, including the development of new business models to address and capitalize on technological changes within the industry.

Jessica P. Einhorn

Former Dean of the Paul H. Nitze School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS) at The Johns Hopkins University

Director since 2005

Age: 70

Independent Director

Board Committees: Audit; Nominating

Prior Professional Experience: Ms. Einhorn served as Dean of the Paul H. Nitze School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS) at The Johns Hopkins University from June 2002 through June 2012; a consultant at Clark & Weinstock, a strategic communications and public affairs consulting firm, from 2000 to 2002; a Visiting Fellow at the International Monetary Fund from 1998 to 1999; and in various executive positions (including Managing Director for Finance and Resource Mobilization) at The World Bank from 1978 to 1979 and 1981 to 1999.

Other Public Company Boards: BlackRock, Inc.

Other Boards: Ms. Einhorn serves as a director of the Peterson Institute for International Economics and the National Bureau of Economic Research. Ms. Einhorn is also Resident Senior Advisor and a member of the advisory board of The Rock Creek Group.

Key Skills and Qualifications: Ms. Einhorn brings leadership experience in international organizations and education administration, including 10 years as Dean of the Paul H. Nitze School of Advanced International Studies (SAIS) at The Johns Hopkins University and more than 18 years serving in various staff and executive positions at The World Bank. Ms. Einhorn has extensive knowledge of policies and practices in international finance, economic development and government relations through her roles at the International Monetary Fund and The World Bank, membership on the boards of research and public policy institutions and her ongoing research interest in finance. As a member of the board of a major investment firm, BlackRock, Inc., and as an advisory board member of The Rock Creek Group, a global alternative asset manager, she also brings the perspective and experience of investment firms.

Carlos M. Gutierrez

Co-Chair of Albright Stonebridge Group, a global strategy firm February 2014 to present

Director since 2013

Age: 64

Independent Director

Board Committees: Audit

Prior Professional Experience: Mr. Gutierrez served as Vice Chair of Albright Stonebridge Group from April 2013 to February 2014; Vice Chairman of the Institutional Clients Group at Citigroup Inc. from January 2011 to February 2013; Chairman of the Global Political Strategies division of APCO Worldwide Inc., a communications and public affairs consulting firm from January 2010 to January 2011; the 35th U.S. Secretary of Commerce from February 2005 to January 2009; Kellogg Company s Chairman of the Board (from April 2000 to February 2005), Chief Executive Officer (from April 1999 to February 2005) and President (from 1998 to September 2003); and in various executive and non-executive positions at Kellogg Company from 1975 to 1998.

Other Public Company Boards: MetLife, Inc. and Occidental Petroleum Corporation.

Key Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Gutierrez brings nearly 30 years of experience in leading, managing and growing international business operations at Kellogg Company, a global consumer-focused company with international operations. At Kellogg Company, Mr. Gutierrez was responsible for major consumer brands in a complex worldwide business. As a result of this experience, Mr. Gutierrez brings significant knowledge of brand management, marketing and product development. He also brings leadership experience and knowledge of international commerce and government relations as former U.S. Secretary of Commerce.

Fred Hassan

Special Limited Partner at Warburg Pincus LLC, a private equity firm July 2017 to present

Director since 2009

Age: 72

Independent Director

Board Committees: Compensation; Nominating

Prior Professional Experience: Mr. Hassan served as Partner and Managing Director at Warburg Pincus from January 2011 to July 2017; Senior Advisor at Warburg Pincus from November 2009 through December 2010; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Schering Plough Corporation (now part of Merck & Co., Inc.) from 2003 to November 2009; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Pharmacia Corporation from 2001 to 2003; Chief Executive Officer of Pharmacia Corporation from 2000 to 2001; and Chief Executive Officer of Pharmacia & Upjohn, Inc. from 1997 to 2000.

Other Public Company Boards: Amgen, Inc. and Intrexon Corporation. During the past five years, Mr. Hassan served as a director of Valeant Pharmaceuticals International, Inc.

Key Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Hassan brings more than 12 years of leadership and senior management experience as a former Chairman and/or Chief Executive Officer of major pharmaceutical companies with intellectual-property based business models and international operations, which provided him with strong and relevant operational and strategic experience. Because the pharmaceutical business is a highly regulated field, Mr. Hassan also has knowledge and experience in regulatory matters and government relations. As a Special Limited Partner at Warburg Pincus, Mr. Hassan also brings his knowledge of finance and investments to the Board. Mr. Hassan also brings his significant experience with large mergers and acquisitions to the Board. As Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Schering Plough Corporation, he oversaw Schering Plough s merger with Merck & Co., Inc., and as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Pharmacia Corporation, he oversaw its sale to Pfizer, Inc.

Paul D. Wachter

Founder and Chief Executive Officer of Main Street Advisors, Inc., a private company that provides investment and financial advisory services to businesses and high net worth individuals 1997 to present

Director since 2010

Age: 61

Independent Director

Board Committees: Compensation

Prior Professional Experience: Mr. Wachter served as Managing Director of Schroder & Co. Incorporated from 1993 to 1997; Managing Director of Kidder Peabody from 1987 to 1993; an investment banker at Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc. from 1985 to 1997; and an attorney at Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton and Garrison from 1982 to 1985.

Other Public Company Boards: During the past five years, Mr. Wachter served as a director of Avalanche Biotechnologies, Inc. and Virgin America, Inc.

Other Boards: Mr. Wachter serves in the noted capacities at the following privately held companies: a director of Haworth Marketing and Media Company, Oak Productions, Inc. and Content Partners LLC (Co-Chairman). Mr. Wachter also serves as Chairman of the Board of After-School All-Stars, a national non-profit organization that provides comprehensive after-school programs.

Key Skills and Qualifications: Mr. Wachter brings his knowledge of and experience in finance, investments and banking as the founder and Chief Executive Officer of Main Street Advisors, through serving as the former Chairman of the Investment Committee of the Board of Regents of the University of California, and as a former Managing Director at several investment banks. Mr. Wachter also has a background in the media and entertainment industry as a former investment banker focusing on the media and entertainment industry, a

Edgar Filing: TIME WARNER INC. - Form 10-K/A

former member of the board of managers of Beats Electronics, LLC and Beats Music, LLC (companies focused on headphones and related products and music streaming services, respectively, both now part of Apple Inc.), and a director of Content Partners LLC (a company that acquires profit participations in films, television shows and music). Mr. Wachter also has experience in regulatory matters and government relations through his former service on the Board of Regents of the University of California, as an adviser to the former Governor of California and through his work as a tax attorney at a major law firm.

Deborah C. Wright

Former Chairman of Carver Bancorp, Inc.

Director since 2005

Age: 60

Independent Director

Board Committees: Audit (Chair)

Prior Professional Experience: Ms. Wright served as Non-Executive Chairman of Carver Bancorp, Inc. from January 2015 through December 2016; a Senior Fellow in the Economic Opportunity and Assets Division of the Ford Foundation from January 2015 through June 2016; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Carver Bancorp, Inc. from February 2005 through December 2014; President and Chief Executive Officer of Carver Bancorp, Inc. and Carver Federal Savings Bank from 1999 to 2005; President and Chief Executive Officer of the Upper Manhattan Empowerment Zone Development Corporation from 1996 to 1999; Commissioner of the Department of Housing Preservation and Development from 1994 to 1996; a member of the New York City Housing Authority Board from 1992 to 1994; and a member of the New York City Planning Commission from 1990 to 1992.

Other Public Company Boards: Citigroup Inc. and Voya Financial, Inc. During the past five years, Ms. Wright served as director of Carver Bancorp, Inc.

Key Skills and Qualifications: Ms. Wright brings to the Board and to her role as Chair of the Audit Committee leadership, senior management and financial experience through her 17 years of service as the Chairman and/or Chief Executive Officer of Carver Bancorp, Inc. and Carver Federal Savings Bank and approximately 11 years of leadership roles at non-profit organizations or governmental bodies. Ms. Wright also brings to the Board her experience with businesses that provide products or services directly to customers gained through her service at Carver Bancorp, Inc. and Carver Federal Savings Bank, as well as her prior long-term service as a director of Kraft Foods Inc. Ms. Wright also has extensive experience in regulatory matters and government relations through her senior roles in government and non-profit organizations.

Board Leadership

The current leadership structure consists of one individual serving as Chairman of the Board and CEO and an independent director serving as Lead Independent Director with meaningful responsibilities and authority, who serve as part of a Board consisting of nine engaged and effective directors, eight of whom are independent. The Lead Independent Director s authority and responsibilities include:

Presiding at meetings of the Board at which the Chairman of the Board is not present and at executive sessions of the Board (unless the matter under consideration is within the jurisdiction of one of the Board s committees, in which case, the Chairman of the relevant committee presides)

Authority to call meetings of independent directors

Serving as the liaison between the Chairman of the Board and the other directors

Authority to approve the agenda (including the time allocated to items) and information for Board meetings Advising the Chairman of the Board with respect to consultants who may report directly to the Board Serving as interim Chairman of the Board in the event of the death or incapacitation of the Chairman of the Board

Availability, as appropriate, for communication with the Company s shareholders

The Board s Policy on Determining the Leadership Structure of the Board of Directors requires the Nominating Committee and the Board to conduct an annual review of the Board s leadership structure. In its annual review in January 2018, the Board determined that the current leadership structure is effective and continues to be the optimal structure for the Company. The report on the Board s determination of its leadership structure is posted on the Company s website at *www.timewarner.com/leadership*.

Current Leadership Structure		
Chairman of the Board and CEO	Jeffrey L. Bewkes	
Lead Independent Director	Robert C. Clark	
Independent Directors	8 of 9 directors are independent	
Board Committees	All members are independent	

Audit Committee

The members of the Audit Committee are Robert C. Clark, Jessica P. Einhorn, Carlos M. Gutierrez and Deborah C. Wright (Chair). The Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee are independent, satisfy the standards of independence applicable to the committee and are financially literate in accordance with the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange (the NYSE). In addition, the Board has determined that each of Ms. Wright and Messrs. Clark and Gutierrez is an audit committee financial expert as defined under SEC rules.

Corporate Governance Documents and Website

The following documents are available on the Company s website at *www.timewarner.com/governance* and are also available in print to any shareholder who requests them by writing to the Office of the Corporate Secretary, Time Warner Inc., One Time Warner Center, New York, New York 10019-8016:

By-laws Corporate Governance Policy Charters of the Board s three standing committees Policy and Procedures Governing Related Person Transactions Policy Statement Regarding Director Nominations Most recent report on Determination of Current Board Leadership Structure Standards of Business Conduct, which apply to the Company s employees, including the NEOs (as defined below) Code of Ethics for Our Senior Executive and Senior Financial Officers (the Code of Ethics), which applies to certain senior executives of the Company, including the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller, and serves as a supplement to the Standards of Business Conduct Guidelines for Non-Employee Directors, which serves as a code of conduct for the Company s non-employee directors

There were no waivers in 2017 under either the Code of Ethics or the Standards of Business Conduct with respect to any of the Time Warner senior executives covered by the Code of Ethics.

The references to the Company s website in this Amendment are solely for the information of investors. The Company does not intend these addresses to be active links or to incorporate any information included on or accessible through its website into this Amendment or the 2017 Form 10-K.

Communicating with the Board of Directors

The Board has established processes to facilitate communications by the shareholders with the Board, any of its committees, or an individual member of the Board. Communications can be addressed to the Board, any of the Board s committees, the non-employee directors as a group, the Chairman of the Board or any individual non-employee director in care of the Office of the Corporate Secretary, Time Warner Inc., One Time Warner Center, New York, NY 10019-8016.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company s officers and directors, and persons who own more than 10% of a registered class of the Company s equity securities, to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC and the NYSE. Officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders are required by SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. Based solely on a review of the copies of such forms furnished to the Company, or written representations that no such filings were required, the Company believes that its officers, directors and greater than 10% shareholders complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements in a timely manner during 2017.

Item 11. Executive Compensation. Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A)

This CD&A describes the Company s executive compensation principles and programs, with a focus on the Compensation Committee s decisions regarding 2017 compensation for the Company s named executive officers (NEOs) in the context of having entered into the Agreement and Plan of Merger with AT&T Inc. (AT&T) in October 2016 (the Merger Agreement). The NEOs for 2017 are:

Name	Position with the Company During 2017
Jeffrey L. Bewkes	Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Howard M. Averill	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Paul T. Cappuccio	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Gary L. Ginsberg	Executive Vice President, Corporate Marketing & Communications
Carol A. Melton	Executive Vice President, Global Public Policy
Table of Contents	

Section	Page
1. Overview of Company and Executive Compensation Decisions	13
2. Components of Executive Compensation	20
3. Executive Compensation Decisions	21
4. Strong Governance Practices Followed in Determining Executive Compensation	28
5. Shareholder Engagement on Executive Compensation	31
6. Compensation Policies and Practices	32
Annex A to the CD&A Non-GAAP Financial Measures	36
Section 1 Overview of Company and Executive Compensation Decisions	

This section provides an overview of the Company s businesses, its strategy, the continued execution of such strategy in 2017, how the merger with AT&T is expected to advance the Company s strategy, the Company s strong 2017 financial performance, and the Compensation Committee s key compensation decisions as part of the regular annual compensation review and in connection with the merger.

Time Warner s Businesses

Time Warner is a global leader in media and entertainment that owns and operates television networks and produces and distributes television programming, films, games and other high-quality video content worldwide on a multi-platform basis. The Company has three operating divisions: Turner, Home Box Office and Warner Bros.

Leading domestic and international television networks and related digital television services HBO and properties in entertainment, sports, kids and news 2017 Revenues: \$12.1 billion; 38% of Company s total revenues

Leading global premium pay Cinemax

Largest integrated television, film and game studio in the world

2017 Revenues: \$13.9 billion;

2017 Revenues: \$6.3 billion;

20% of Company s total revenues

42% of Company s total revenues

Time Warner s Strategy

During 2017, while the Company took actions to obtain the necessary shareholder and regulatory approvals, satisfy the other conditions required to close the merger with AT&T, and plan for integrating the companies following the closing, the Company also continued to execute its longstanding strategy of using its leading brands, distinctive intellectual property and global scale to capitalize on the growing demand for high-quality video content around the world. First, the Company invests in a concentrated portfolio of leading television networks, compelling television programming, top Hollywood movies, games and other forms of video and digital content that appeal to audiences globally and across distribution platforms. Second, the Company uses its scale and technology to meet consumer demand for the Company s networks and content in the evolving TV ecosystem, including delivering content directly to consumers. Third, the Company continues to expand its businesses internationally with a focus on increasing scale in territories with strong long-term growth potential. Finally, the Company s on-going focus on operating and capital efficiency delivers healthy profits and helps fund investments for future growth while providing attractive returns to shareholders. The Board engages with management throughout the year in overseeing the execution of the Company s strategy, as well as evaluating and refining the strategy to address changes in the industry.

The Company believes that combining its content with AT&T s distribution capabilities will accelerate the execution of the Company s strategy of offering consumers more choice and value in television network bundles, developing and launching innovative video services delivered directly to consumers over the internet and on mobile devices, and providing more value to advertisers and consumers through targeted advertising.

Strong Financial Performance in 2017

The Company delivered strong financial performance in 2017, including 7% growth in revenues, 5% growth in Operating Income and 34% growth in Diluted Income per Common Share from Continuing Operations (EPS), as it continued to execute its strategy and worked to close the merger.

Executive Compensation Program for 2017

The Company s executive compensation program for 2017 was shaped by the Compensation Committee s decisions in October 2016 in connection with entering into the Merger Agreement. The Committee recognized that the merger would present unique retention and incentive challenges, including due to the extended time period it could take to complete the merger, the significant amount of work associated with closing the merger, and the uncertainty associated with working at a company in the process of undertaking a fundamental change. In addition, the Committee faced further challenges in providing appropriate incentives arising from the fact that the Company s equity plan would expire in August 2017. Although in October 2016, when the Merger Agreement was signed, the Company expected the merger would be completed by year-end 2017, the potential existed (and the Merger Agreement reflected) that the merger might not be closed until sometime in 2018. Taking into consideration these factors, on October 22, 2016, the Committee approved a transaction-related program with cash and equity components to provide targeted incentives to certain employees, including the NEOs (but, with respect to the cash component, excluding Mr. Bewkes), to support the closing of the merger, the continued focus on the delivery of strong operating results and the retention of key employees. The transaction-related program took the place of the Company s traditional long-term incentive program for the NEOs in 2017 and also has done so for 2018. For more information on the transaction-related program see Key Executive Compensation Decisions for 2017 Transaction-Related Program. In addition, in connection with entering into the Merger Agreement, the Compensation Committee approved extending the term of the employment agreements for each NEO (other than Mr. Bewkes) through 2019, and entering into new employment agreements with Mr. Ginsberg and Ms. Melton, both with a term through 2019. For more information on these employment agreements, see Key Executive Compensation Decisions for 2017 Extension of Terms of Employment Agreements.

Edgar Filing: TIME WARNER INC. - Form 10-K/A

The Committee subsequently followed its regular process in setting performance goals under the annual bonus program in January 2017, and approved bonus payouts for the NEOs at the end of 2017.

Executive Compensation Program Designed to Support Sustained Performance and Achievement of Key Goals

Compensation Principles and Programs Support Pay-for-Performance Approach. The Compensation Committee is guided by the following key principles in making compensation decisions: the executive compensation program should (i) support the NEO s accountability for the Company s and the NEO s individual performance; (ii) promote alignment of the NEO s and shareholders interests; (iii) help attract, retain and motivate talent; and (iv) be determined by an independent committee responsible for making compensation decisions. The executive compensation program design has been informed by the Company s engagement with shareholders, as described in more detail in Section 5 Shareholder Engagement on Executive Compensation.

The Committee historically has applied these principles in the following manner to support sustained performance and tie compensation earned to the performance achieved:

Structure executive compensation so the vast majority is variable and performance-based and a substantial portion is equity-based

Use a balanced mix of long-term and short-term performance measures that tie to Company financial performance and support execution of the Company s long-range plans to generate sustained financial performance and shareholder value

Set challenging financial and strategic goals at the beginning of each performance period

When the Company entered into the Merger Agreement, the Committee adapted its approach, while applying the same compensation principles, to take into account the unique circumstances presented by the merger, as discussed above and in more detail below. The Committee implemented the transaction-related program in October 2016 to support the closing of the merger and provide incentives for employees in key positions to continue to focus on delivering strong results before and after the merger.

Compensation Mix that Ties Pay to Performance. The Compensation Committee believes that the NEOs compensation should be structured so that the vast majority is variable and performance-based. In addition, a substantial portion of their compensation should be equity-based to align with shareholder interests and further support the Company s long-range plans and enterprise-wide value creation. However, taking into account the expected timing to close the merger, the Committee determined in October 2016 to implement the transaction-related program, which has taken the place of the long-term incentive program for 2017 (and for 2018). The decision reflected the Committee s conclusion that granting time-vesting restricted stock units (RSUs) would represent the most effective approach to long-term incentive compensation given that the Company had agreed to undertake a fundamental change and be acquired by another company in the near term. Accordingly, to address the unique circumstances presented by the merger, the Committee determined in October 2016 to grant RSUs to the NEOs for the long-term incentive component of their compensation, rather than the mix of stock options, PSUs and RSUs that had previously been granted to the NEOs.

Balanced Mix of Performance Measures. The Compensation Committee has selected a balanced mix of performance measures for the Company s incentive programs, which support the execution of the Company s long-range plans, encourage collaboration among businesses, and drive sustained financial performance and shareholder value. The following table summarizes the Company s performance-based incentive compensation components, the performance measure(s) used in each and the performance delivered in 2017 and resulting payouts.

Incentive Component	Time			2017 Payout Linked to
	Horizon	Performance Measure	Performance Delivered	Performance
Annual Cash	1-year	Financial Performance: 70% Adjusted Divisional Pre- Tax Income (ADPT ^[1]) Free Cash ⁽ Flow	\$8.2 billion of ADPTI \$4.5 billion of	Financial Performance Rating: 147%
			Free Cash Flow ⁽²⁾	
Bonus				
		strategic objectives	Decisions Performance m Cash Bonus for individ	Executive Compensation -Based Compensation Annua dual performance ratings and nount paid to each NEO
	3-year	Cumulative Adjusted EPS ⁽¹⁾ (reduced by using budgeted rather than actual	Double digit A diveted	Adjusted EPS Rating: 200%
PSUs	performance period	number of shares outstanding)	Double-digit Adjusted EPS growth each year ⁽³⁾	x TSR Modifier: 89%
	(2015-2017)	TSR relative to the	14.4% TSR ⁽⁴⁾ at	=
		S&P 500	36.3 rd percentile	Payout: 178% of target
Stock	4-year		2017: 5% decrease ⁽⁵⁾	Value based on long-term
Options	vesting period	Company stock price	5-year: 100% increase	stock price performance
	periou			

(1) See Annex A to the CD&A Non-GAAP Financial Measures for definitions of the non-GAAP financial measures used in this Amendment and reconciliations of the non-GAAP financial measures to the most directly comparable GAAP financial measures.

(2) Free Cash Flow does not correspond to the Free Cash Flow reflected in the Company s earnings release for full year 2017 results because Free Cash Flow for the annual cash bonus was determined in late December 2017

Edgar Filing: TIME WARNER INC. - Form 10-K/A

based on the Company s forecasted full-year financial information. Both the forecasted Free Cash Flow amount and the amount reflected in the earnings release exceeded the performance required for the maximum 150% performance rating.

- (3) Adjusted EPS for the three-year performance period does not correspond to the Adjusted EPS reflected in the Company s earnings releases for the same period because of the adjustments described in Section 3 Executive Compensation Decisions below, which reduced the cumulative Adjusted EPS results for the performance stock units (PSUs) 2015-2017 performance period.
- (4) For PSUs, the share price component of Company and S&P 500 TSR is calculated using the average closing price for the 30 trading days ending on the first and last days of the performance period.
- (5) The Company s stock price in the latter part of 2017 was affected by the uncertainty in the timing of the closing of the merger due to the lawsuit filed by the United States Department of Justice (the DOJ) under a federal antitrust statute to enjoin the merger.

Incentive Payouts Based on Challenging Performance Goals. For many years, the Compensation Committee has followed the rigorous process described below in setting challenging performance goals for the annual bonus and for PSU awards.

Although the Company did not grant PSUs in 2017, the performance goals used in determining payouts for PSUs whose performance period ended in 2017 were set by the Committee at the beginning of 2015 following the same process. The Committee also followed this process in setting Company financial goals for the 2017 annual bonus based on the budget and long-range plan approved by the Board in January 2017, which provided for strong earnings growth during the year. To help assess whether the Company financial goals for the annual bonus, and particularly the relative difficulty of achieving them, were appropriate, the Committee compared the goals to the following information:

Analysts expectations regarding the financial performance of the Company and its entertainment industry peers The historical performance of the Company and its entertainment industry peers The Committee concluded that the goals appropriately reflected the difficulty of achieving the 2017 budget and supported the delivery of the growth reflected in the Company s 2017 budget and long-range plan.

The Committee also approved individual goals for each NEO that were focused on: supporting the successful completion of the merger; supporting the Company s key long-term strategic objectives, including strengthening and diversifying the Company s content slate, executing Turner s and Home Box Office s distribution strategies, enhancing technological capabilities, expanding internationally in territories with strong long-term growth potential, and effective capital management; and fostering talent development and diversity.

Key Executive Compensation Decisions for 2017

As noted above, the Company s executive compensation program for 2017 was shaped by the Compensation Committee s decisions in October 2016 in connection with entering into the Merger Agreement. On October 22, 2016, the Committee approved a transaction-related program with cash and equity components to provide targeted incentives to certain employees, including the NEOs (but, with respect to the cash component, excluding Mr. Bewkes), to support the closing of the merger, the continued focus on the delivery of strong operating results and the retention of key employees. The equity component of the transaction-related program (including the Transaction RSUs (as defined below) granted to Mr. Bewkes in February 2017) took the place of the Company s traditional long-term incentive program for the NEOs in 2017 and also has done so for 2018. The Committee also approved extending the term of the employment agreements for each NEO (other than Mr. Bewkes) through 2019, and entering into new employment agreements with Mr. Ginsberg and Ms. Melton, each with a term through 2019.

Transaction-Related Program. On October 22, 2016, the Committee approved a transaction-related program with cash and equity components to provide targeted incentives to certain employees.

Component	Description	Objectives
Cash	<u>Participants:</u> Select employees who are most critical to the successful closing of the merger and to Company operations, including the NEOs (but excluding	
Program	Mr. Bewkes)	closing of the merger
	<u>Timing</u> : Payable 50% on the closing of the merger and 50% on the six-month anniversary of the closing, subject to continued employment through each applicable date	Recognize and reward the significant amount of work
Equity Awards	<u>Am</u> ount: For participating NEOs, equal to 50% of their 2017 target bonus <u>Participants</u> : Generally granted to employees who typically receive long-term incentive compensation awards, including the NEOs	involved in closing the merger
	<u>Awards:</u> RSUs (Transaction RSUs) with a grant date value generally equal times the recipient s target annual long-term incentive compensation	on the delivery of strong operating
	Impact on 2017/2018 Long-Term Incentive Compensation: For employees wh received Transaction RSUs in 2016 (including the NEOs other than Mr. Bewkes), no long-term incentive awards granted in 2017 and no plan to do so in 2018. Transaction RSUs were granted to Mr. Bewkes in February 2017, with no plan to grant Mr. Bewkes any long-term incentive awards in 2018	Promote retention of key employees during period of
	<u>Ve</u> sting: Follows the vesting schedules that would have applied to RSUs grant to employees in February 2017 and 2018	uncertainty ed
	^o More restrictive vesting conditions to enhance use as a retention tool, including suspension of retirement vesting until after the closing of the merger	ng

Extension of Terms of Employment Agreements. The Compensation Committee determined it was in the interest of the Company and its shareholders to provide continuity of senior management through the closing of the merger and the

Edgar Filing: TIME WARNER INC. - Form 10-K/A

integration process following the closing, and, as a result, on October 22, 2016, approved extending the term of the employment agreements for Messrs. Averill and Cappuccio through 2019. The extensions did not increase their compensation or severance benefits. The Committee also approved the entry into amended and restated employment agreements with Ms. Melton and Mr. Ginsberg. For Ms. Melton, whose employment agreement had a term ending on December 31, 2017, the amended and restated employment agreement extended the term of her employment through December 31, 2019 and did not increase her compensation or benefits. For Mr. Ginsberg, whose employment agreement had a term ending on December 31, 2019 and did not increase her compensation or benefits. For Mr. Ginsberg, whose employment agreement had a term ending on December 31, 2016, and whose agreement extension and corresponding compensation change the Committee originally planned to review during its regular meeting scheduled for late October, the Committee approved the extension of the term of Mr. Ginsberg s employment agreement through December 31, 2019. Considering Mr. Ginsberg s strong ongoing performance in communicating the Company s strategy and long-term growth plans, the Committee approved in the ordinary course, effective January 1, 2017, an increase in his annual salary to \$900,000 and the target value of his annual long-term incentive compensation to \$1,250,000.

Strong Governance of Executive Compensation

The Company s compensation governance policies and practices are designed to support effective oversight and implementation of the Company s executive compensation program, thereby helping to drive the Company s performance while mitigating compensation-related risk.

What Time Warner Does

Pay-for-Performance: Tie compensation to performance by setting clear and challenging Company financial goals and individual goals and by historically having a majority of total target compensation consist of performance-based components.

Multiple Performance Metrics and Time Horizons:

Use different performance measures (e.g., for cash bonuses and PSUs) and short- and long-term vesting or performance periods.

Share Ownership and Retention Requirements: NEOs must comply with share ownership and stock retention requirements.

Regular Shareholder Engagement: The Company engages with shareholders throughout the year regarding executive compensation and corporate governance matters.

Limited Personal Benefits: The Company provides limited personal benefits.

Limit on Equity Dilution: The Compensation Committee maintains a policy limiting annual equity dilution, which caps the maximum annual run rate at 1.5% of the total outstanding Common Stock at December 31 of the preceding year.

Table of Contents

What Time Warner Doesn t Do

No Guaranteed Bonuses: The Company does not guarantee bonus payments for NEOs.

No Excise Tax Gross-Ups: The Company does not provide any excise tax gross-up payments in connection with a change in control.

No Change in Control Severance Agreements: The Company does not have change in control severance agreements with the NEOs and there are no enhancements to the amount of their severance in connection with a change in control.

No Targeting Specific Percentiles: The Compensation Committee does not target a specific percentile of compensation paid to executives at peer companies in setting total compensation levels or individual compensation components.

No Tax Gross-Ups for Personal Benefits: The Company does not provide tax gross-ups to NEOs for personal benefits.

No Repricing or Buyouts of Stock Options: The Company s equity plan that was active during 2017 prohibits repricing or buyouts of underwater stock options.

Annual Compensation-Related Risk Review: The Company conducts an annual review of compensation-related risks to confirm that any such risks are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

Clawback Policy: The Company has a policy on the recovery of previously paid executive compensation.

Use an Independent Compensation Consultant: The Compensation Committee has retained an independent compensation consultant that performs no other consulting services for the Company and has no conflicts of interest.

No Hedging or Pledging: NEOs are prohibited from engaging in hedging transactions with Common Stock, holding Common Stock in a margin account or pledging Common Stock as collateral for a loan.

No Excessive Overhang or Dilution: The Company s 2017 equity grants (which included the Transaction RSUs granted to Mr. Bewkes) represented less than 0.1% of the total outstanding Common Stock as of December 31, 2016. The Company s equity plan expired in August 2017. As of February 28, 2018, the total number of equity awards outstanding (assuming vesting of PSUs at 2x target) represented approximately 3% of the outstanding Common Stock.

No Pension Credits for Years not Worked

Value of Equity Awards not included in Pension Calculations

Section 2 Components of Executive Compensation

The following table describes the components of the compensation program that has historically been used to compensate the NEOs, and the purpose of each component. When the Company entered into the Merger Agreement, to reflect the unique circumstances presented by the merger, the Compensation Committee implemented the transaction-related program, which replaced the long-term incentive component of the compensation program (and included a cash component), as discussed in more detail throughout this CD&A.

Component	Description	Objectives
Base Salary	Represents smallest component of total target direct compensation, consistent with pay-for-performance principles	Attract and retain NEOs by providing competitive level of fixed compensation
Annual Cash Bonus	Performance-based annual compensation component linked to Company financial performance and individual performance compared to pre-set goals	Motivate and reward executives and promote alignment with shareholder interests by determining bonus amounts based on both annual financial performance and progress made during the year on key long-term strategic objectives
	Performance-based multi-year compensation component linked to stock price and Company performance	Provide incentives for executives to deliver strong Company stock and financial performance over the long-term
Long-Term Incentive Awards	Value ultimately earned by NEOs depends on stock price at vesting or exercise and, for PSUs, also on Company financial and relative TSR results over 3-year performance period	Reinforce alignment between interests of NEOs and shareholders Promote retention
	Value delivered through stock options, RSUs and PSUs prior to entry into the Merger Agreement and through RSUs thereafter (the Company s equity plan expired in August 2017)	
Retirement Programs	A qualified savings plan and nonqualified deferred compensation plans and programs. The Company also has a qualified defined benefit pension plan and a nonqualified defined benefit plan (both now frozen)	Enable employees to plan and save for retirement at a reasonable cost to the Company
Personal Benefits	Financial services reimbursement, life insurance benefits and transportation-related services	Provide a competitive level of benefits at a reasonable cost to the Company
	No tax gross-up taxes on personal benefits sole responsibility of the NEOs	To improve security and efficiency, provide the achairman and CEO a car and driver and encourage him to use Company aircraft for business and personal use

Section 3 Executive Compensation Decisions

This section describes the Compensation Committee s decisions regarding 2017 compensation for the NEOs in more detail, including decisions made both as part of the regular annual compensation review and those made in connection with the merger.

Considerations in Determining 2017 Compensation

At the beginning of each year (or in connection with the entry into or extension of an employment agreement with an NEO), the Compensation Committee sets target compensation levels for each NEO. In determining target compensation levels, the Committee considers:

Nature and scope of each NEO s duties Terms of each NEO s employment agreement Each NEO s prior compensation and individual performance Information on compensation levels of similarly positioned executives at entertainment industry peers Views expressed by shareholders and the results of the advisory vote on NEO compensation at the most recent annual meeting of shareholders Internal pay positioning, taking into account each NEO s pay components and levels relative to other executives with respect to role, length of time the NEO has served in the NEO s current position, seniority and levels of responsibility ition, for 2017, the Committee considered the actions it approved in October 2016 and the additional context of

In addition, for 2017, the Committee considered the actions it approved in October 2016 and the additional context of the merger with AT&T.

Base Salary

The Compensation Committee reviews the NEOs base salaries annually and in connection with the entry into or renewal of an employment agreement with an NEO. The Committee determined to keep the base salaries for the NEOs (other than Mr. Ginsberg) unchanged for 2017. Mr. Bewkes base salary has been the same since 2010. Mr. Ginsberg s base salary was increased effective January 1, 2017 in connection with the entry into his amended and restated employment agreement in October 2016. For more information on the amendment of Mr. Ginsberg s employment agreement, see Section 1 Overview of Company and Executive Compensation Decisions Key Executive Compensation Decisions for 2017 Extension of Terms of Employment Agreements.

Performance-Based Compensation Annual Cash Bonuses

- Set Target Bonuses. The Compensation Committee reviews the NEOs target bonuses annually and in connection with the entry into or renewal of employment agreements with NEOs. The Committee determined to keep the 2017 target bonuses for the NEOs (which are expressed as a percentage of their base salaries) unchanged. Mr. Bewkes target bonus has been the same since 2010. Bonus payouts are generally capped at a maximum of 150% of the target bonus.
- 2. <u>Select Performance Measures</u>. In early 2017, the Compensation Committee selected ADPTI and Free Cash Flow as the financial performance measures for the annual cash bonuses, which are the same measures as have been used for several years.

21

Performance Measure	Reasons for Selection						
Encourages earnings growth							
Adjusted Divisional Pre-Tax	Consistent with Adjusted Operating Income, which is one of the primary measures used by the Board and management (as well as investors) to evaluate the Company, a profitability						
Income (ADPTI) the Company s profitability							
	Provides accountability for capital allocation						
Free Cash Flow	Provides a clear view of the Company s ability to generate cash that can be used for investments in the Company, returns to shareholders and other actions that enhance shareholder value						
	the loss of an and the NEO distance from the second start dist						

The Committee also approved individual performance goals for the NEOs that were focused on supporting the successful completion of the merger, supporting the Company s key long-term strategic objectives, and fostering talent development and diversity. In addition, taking into account input from the Company s shareholders, the Committee approved individual performance goals for Messrs. Bewkes and Averill that again included return on invested capital (ROIC) as a measure of their performance on capital allocation goals.

The Committee assigned a 70% weighting to the financial performance measures and a 30% weighting to the individual goals to emphasize the Committee s view of the importance of achieving strong financial performance while reinforcing individual accountability for each NEO s performance. The Committee also assigned a weighting of 70% to ADPTI and 30% to Free Cash Flow based on its view of the relative importance of these measures as indicators of the Company s operating performance over both the short- and long-term.

- 3. <u>Set Challenging Financial Performance Goals</u>. The Compensation Committee approved challenging financial goals that are consistent with the Company s 2017 budget and long-range plan. The 2017 goals were set at a level that required the Company to deliver higher ADPTI and Free Cash Flow compared to the goals set for 2016.
- 4. <u>Evaluate Company Financial Performance</u>. In late December 2017, the Compensation Committee reviewed the Company s performance with respect to the financial criteria established by the Committee. The Company delivered approximately \$8.2 billion of ADPTI in 2017 and approximately \$4.5 billion of Free Cash Flow, and the Committee approved the resulting financial performance rating of 147%. In reaching that conclusion, the Committee considered (i) the Company s strong financial performance compared to the goals the Committee had set at the beginning of the year, (ii) the significant accomplishments related to the merger, including securing shareholder adoption of the Merger Agreement and all required international regulatory approvals for the merger, obtaining consents from key contractual parties, developing post-closing integration plans and identifying potential growth initiatives and costs synergies, (iii) the Company s continued progress in executing its strategy, and (iv) the Company s performance compared to its entertainment industry peers.

Performance Measure (\$ in millions)	% of Financial Component	Financial Performance Framework ⁽¹⁾ 50% <u>150%⁽³⁾</u>		2017 Performance ⁽²⁾	Performance Rating
ADPTI	70%	\$7,535	\$8,260	\$8,226	145%
Free Cash Flow	30%	\$3,275	\$4,300	\$4,500	150%
Financial Performance Rating Approved by the Committee					147%

- (1) If the performance is between the levels shown, payouts are generally determined by interpolation.
- (2) Consistent with the Compensation Committee s practice of taking into account developments, such as changes in accounting treatment and strategic decisions, that were not known or anticipated when the budget and the financial performance criteria were approved (but that would have been reflected, if known), the ADPTI rating reflects an adjustment for programming impairment charges at Turner, strategic restructurings at Turner and Warner Bros., and the costs of outsourcing certain services at Turner and Home Box Office, which lowered the Company s 2017 results, but were undertaken to further the Company s long-term strategic objectives. The Committee believes that adjustments for strategic business decisions appropriately mitigate the adverse impact on bonus payouts of actions that reduce short-term results in order to improve long-term performance.
- (3) Represents the performance required for the maximum rating. Amounts above these levels would not result in a higher rating.
- 5. <u>Evaluate Individual Performance</u>. The Committee also evaluated the performance of each NEO in 2017 with respect to his or her individual performance goals. Based on its determination that each of the NEOs delivered strong individual performance during 2017, and taking into account Mr. Bewkes recommendations with respect to performance ratings for the other NEOs, the Committee approved individual performance ratings of 147% for Mr. Bewkes, 150% for Messrs. Averill and Cappuccio and 140% for Mr. Ginsberg and Ms. Melton. In determining the individual performance ratings, the Committee considered the individual accomplishments during 2017 that supported the completion of the merger, helped the Company make progress on its key long-term strategic objectives, and fostered talent development and diversity. Some of the significant accomplishments of the NEOs the Committee considered include:

Mr. Bewkes (Chairman and CEO)

Led the Company s efforts to complete the merger with AT&T, including planning for integration, identifying innovation-based revenue opportunities and cost synergies, obtaining all required international regulatory approvals for the merger and making extensive efforts to reach an agreement with the DOJ Oversaw actions to execute and make significant progress on the Company s key long-term strategic objectives and initiatives, including (i) strengthening and diversifying the Company s content slate, (ii) Turner and Home Box Office successfully executing their distribution strategies, including Home Box Office securing affiliate renewals and both expanding their broadband distribution, increasing the distribution of HBO by digital distributors, and Turner and Warner Bros. launching new OTT services, (iii) enhancing technological capabilities, and (iv) expanding internationally in territories with strong long-term growth potential, including new OTT services

Effectively communicated the Company s strategy to external constituencies Enhanced diversity and strengthened collaboration among the Divisions (*e.g.*, successful launch of Boomerang-branded OTT service by Turner and Warner Bros.) Continued to focus on capital allocation efficiency Executed capital market opportunities such as debt tender offers in December 2017

23

Mr. Averill (EVP and CFO)

Led a number of initiatives related to the merger with AT&T, including the formation of Corporate and Divisional cross-functional integration planning teams, development of revenue growth plans, identification of potential cost synergies and the development of Day 1 readiness plans

Led the execution of cash tender offers for approximately \$4.7 billion aggregate principal amount of high-interest rate debt for a maximum purchase price of \$6.0 billion

Oversaw the successful execution of the budget and planning process

Maintained effective internal control over financial reporting and enhanced existing internal control processes

Continued to focus on capital allocation efficiency

Mr. Cappuccio (EVP and General Counsel)

Successfully obtained shareholder adoption of the Merger Agreement, and secured all required international regulatory approvals for the merger and engaged in sustained efforts to negotiate a consent decree with the DOJ while preparing for trial in the event negotiations were unsuccessful

Provided effective legal advice and assistance, individually and through the legal department, on significant matters, including (i) cash tender offers for approximately \$4.7 billion aggregate principal amount of high-interest rate debt for a maximum purchase price of \$6.0 billion, (ii) several planned and potential domestic and international M&A and real estate transactions as well as investments, and (iii) the successful resolution of important litigation matters at the Divisions

Maintained an effective compliance program, with a continued focused on international operations, including the implementation of a new online training program and new conflicts of interest reporting system

Collaborated with Public Policy group on a number of government proceedings related to intellectual property matters and with Divisions on strategic matters such as the distribution of programming and networks

Mr. Ginsberg (EVP, Corporate Marketing & Communications)

Successfully managed the communication strategy related to the merger with AT&T, keeping key stakeholders informed of developments regarding integration planning and the regulatory review process, and educating the media on the benefits of the merger

Actively supported the Company s marketing program, including expanding the sharing of consumer data across the Company to better promote and target key Divisional content offerings

Effectively communicated key Company successes, including CNN s record-breaking year, the brand refreshes of TNT and TBS, the growth of Home Box Office s OTT services and the success of Warner Bros. film slate

Continued to strengthen the Company s efforts to support and cultivate diverse storytellers by investing in their work, facilitating internal and external relationships and providing a platform for them to reach wider audiences

Ms. Melton (EVP, Global Public Policy)

Managed advocacy efforts with key policymakers in support of the merger, and worked closely with the Time Warner legal department to secure all required international regulatory approvals in connection with the merger

Led a wide range of public policy efforts to promote a regulatory and legislative environment that supports the creation and distribution of high-quality content, including by strengthening the U.S. Copyright office, obtaining the first FAA waiver to conduct drone operations for news coverage, and successfully opposing initiatives that could have harmed the Company s businesses

Worked closely with the Time Warner tax department, industry groups and trade associations to support tax legislation that reduced the U.S. corporate tax rate and allows companies to expense domestic film and television production costs

Led a coordinated, global effort to maintain consistency between business priorities and public policy advocacy across the Company

6. <u>Reward Performance: Determine Final Bonus Amounts</u>. The Compensation Committee approved final bonus amounts for each NEO that reflect the Company s strong financial results in 2017 and the NEO s individual accomplishments, including those related to the merger. The Committee used the bonus framework discussed above and reflected in the table below in determining the NEOs bonuses, while retaining discretion in approving the final bonus amounts.

Company Performance								
Individual Performance								
		(Component	C	omponent			
			•		Rating Multiplied			
	Rating Multiplied							
			-Kaung Multiplicu		by 30% of			
					•			
			by 70% of		Target	2017 Bonus		
	Target		Target					
Name	Bonus Amount	Rating	Bonus	Rating	Bonus	Amount		
Jeffrey L. Bewkes	\$10,000,000	147%	\$10,290,000	147%	\$4,410,000	\$14,700,000		
Howard M. Averill	3,500,000	147%	3,601,500	150%	1,575,000	5,176,500		
Paul T. Cappuccio	3,150,000	147%	3,241,350	150%	1,417,500	4,658,850		
Gary L. Ginsberg	1,800,000	147%	1,852,200	140%	756,000	2,608,200		
Carol A. Melton	1,387,500 147% 1,427,738 140% 582,750 2,010,488							
Long-Term Incentives	<u>s</u>							

In January 2017, the Compensation Committee approved the grant of Transaction RSUs with a target value equal to two times Mr. Bewkes \$16 million target annual long-term incentive compensation (332,226 Transaction RSUs were granted in February 2017). The other NEOs had been granted Transaction RSUs in October 2016 with a target value equal to two times their respective 2017 target annual long-term incentive compensation as part of the Committee s actions taken in connection with entering into the Merger Agreement and were not granted any long-term incentive awards in 2017. There is no plan to grant long-term incentive compensation awards to the NEOs in 2018.

PSUs Granted in Prior Periods.

PSU Program Design. The PSUs granted in February each year from 2012 through 2016 had (or have) a three-year performance period. At the end of the three-year performance period, a percentage between 0% and 200% is determined based on the cumulative Adjusted EPS achieved (the EPS Factor) as compared to

the goal established by the Compensation Committee at the start of the performance period. The EPS Factor is then multiplied by a modifier ranging from 80% to 120% (the TSR Modifier), depending on the Company s TSR percentile for the performance period relative to the TSR of the other companies in the S&P 500 Index for the performance period. The number of shares that can be earned is capped at 200% of the target number of PSUs awarded. The Adjusted EPS calculation is based on the budgeted number of shares outstanding in the long-range plan approved by the Board at the beginning of the performance period, so that the performance rating and payout are not advantaged if share repurchases during the performance period are higher

25

than expected when goals are set. In determining the cumulative Adjusted EPS achieved, the Committee may take into account the impact of unusual or nonrecurring items (such as unplanned strategic decisions, regulatory changes and external developments) and other factors the Committee deems appropriate.

The chart below illustrates how the two measures are used to determine the final payout of shares for the PSUs. For example, if 100 target PSUs were awarded and after the three-year performance period (i) the Company s cumulative Adjusted EPS is at a level that would result in a payout of 100% of the target PSUs and (ii) the relative TSR of the Common Stock is at the 75th percentile, the final PSU payout would be calculated by multiplying the 100 target PSUs by an EPS Factor of 100% and a TSR Modifier of 120%, resulting in a payout of 120 shares of Common Stock.

							Payout Based on EPS Factor
Relative TSR	TSR						Alone
Performance ⁽¹⁾	Modifier	0%	50%	100%	150%	200%	(as Percentage of Target)
£ 25 th Percentile	80%	0%	40%	80%	120%	160%	Final Payout after Applying
50 th Percentile	100%	0%	50%	100%	150%	200%	
³ 75 th Percentile							TSR
	120%	0%	60%	120%	180%	200%	Modifier

If Relative TSR performance is between the levels shown, the TSR Modifier is generally determined by interpolation.

The Compensation Committee considered a number of potential designs, as well as views of the Company s shareholders, when it approved the PSU program design and performance measures. The PSU program rewards Adjusted EPS performance based on goals set by the Committee at the beginning of the performance period and relative TSR, which provides a clear incentive for executives to drive shareholder value. With the TSR Modifier in the program design, strong performance on the Adjusted EPS goal is fully rewarded only if it also results in above average shareholder returns. These performance measures were selected because: (i) Adjusted EPS is one of the primary measures the Company and investors use to assess the Company s performance, (ii) relative TSR is an important measure for shareholders and (iii) PSU recipients have a clear line of sight into how superior performance affects Adjusted EPS.

Adjusted EPS and relative TSR of the Company either would not be meaningful or could not reliably be measured for periods following a change in control of the Company. As a result, the PSU program design provides for measuring the Company s performance at the time of a change in control that occurs prior to the end of the three-year performance period for the PSUs (which the Company expects will be the case in connection with the merger for the PSUs granted in 2016, which have a performance period ending December 31, 2018). For the same reasons, the Compensation Committee determined not to grant any PSUs after the Company entered into the Merger Agreement.

At the closing of the merger, the vesting of any outstanding PSUs (which are only expected to be the PSUs granted in 2016 with a performance period ending December 31, 2018) will be accelerated and the number of shares earned with respect to each PSU award will be determined by the Compensation Committee based on (a) an EPS Factor determined based on the sum of the Adjusted EPS achieved for each completed year in the performance period and the budgeted Adjusted EPS for any year in the performance period not completed before the closing of the merger, compared to the cumulative goals set at the beginning of the performance period, and (b) a TSR Modifier based on the

Company s relative TSR for the period from the beginning of the performance period through the end of the last fiscal quarter completed on or before the closing of the merger.

PSUs Granted in 2015 with Performance Period Ended December 31, 2017. In January 2018, the Compensation Committee reviewed the Company s performance for the 2015-2017 performance period compared to goals established by the Committee in January 2015 based on the Board-approved long-range plan for 2015-2017 (the 2015 LRP) and approved a final payout of 178%. The Compensation Committee certified the Company s EPS Factor of 200% based on the cumulative Adjusted EPS achieved (reflecting three consecutive years of double-digit growth) compared to the goals approved by the Committee in 2015.

	C		e Adjusted 15-2017) ⁽¹		ıls	2015-2017 Performance
EPS Factor	0%	50%	100%	150%	200%	200%
Cumulative Adjusted EPS	\$15.39	\$15.96	\$16.58	\$17.12	\$17.60	\$17.77 ⁽³⁾

- (1) If cumulative Adjusted EPS performance is between the levels shown, the EPS Factor is generally determined by interpolation. The cumulative Adjusted EPS goals reflect adjustments for acquisitions, investments and dispositions that were not known or anticipated when the goals were approved, which had the impact of decreasing the performance range.
- (2) The cumulative Adjusted EPS results were reduced to exclude the impact of share repurchases above the amounts included in the 2015 LRP (so that the performance rating and payout were not advantaged by the higher number of shares repurchased than what was expected at the time the original goals were set). The results (and, therefore, the payouts for the PSUs) were also reduced on a net basis by adjustments to reflect: (i) the positive impact of certain tax-related items, including the positive impact of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act enacted in December 2017 (the 2017 Tax Act), an IRS-approved change in tax accounting in 2016, and the settlement of tax audits, (ii) the negative impact of unbudgeted fluctuations in the U.S. dollar exchange rate during the performance period, (iii) the negative impact in 2017 of the costs of outsourcing services at Turner and Home Box Office, and (iv) the negative impact of restructuring charges and programming impairments charges in 2015 (which were disclosed in the Company s proxy statement for its 2016 annual meeting of shareholders) offset by the resulting savings in 2016 and 2017.
- (3) Cumulative Adjusted EPS for 2015-2017 in the table does not correspond to the Adjusted EPS reflected in the Company s earnings releases and the reconciliation included in Annex A covering the same period because of the adjustments described in footnote 2 to this table.

Based on the Company s TSR (14.4%) being at the 36.9 percentile of the TSRs of companies in the S&P 500 for the 2015-2017 performance period, the Committee certified a TSR Modifier of 89%, which had the impact of reducing the final payout of the PSUs below the 200% EPS Factor. Based on the Committee s approval, and the two performance ratings, 178% of the target number of PSUs granted in 2015 vested in February 2018.

PSUs Granted in 2014 with Performance Period Ended in 2016. The PSUs granted in 2014 had a three-year performance period. As disclosed in the Company s proxy statement for the Company s 2017 annual meeting of shareholders, in January 2017, the Compensation Committee reviewed the Company s performance and certified the Company s cumulative Adjusted EPS rating of 166% compared to the goals approved by the

Committee in 2014. Based on the Company s TSR compared to the TSR of the companies in the S&P 500 for the 2014-2016 performance period, the Committee certified a TSR Modifier of 120%, and approved a final payout of 199.2%. Based on the Committee s approval, 199.2% of the target number of PSUs granted in 2014 vested in February 2017.

Section 4 Strong Governance Practices Followed in Determining Executive Compensation

Role of the Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee, which is composed of four independent directors, is responsible for determining the compensation of the NEOs. At the beginning of each fiscal year, the Committee reviews and approves target compensation and performance goals for the NEOs for that year. The Committee also determines the level of performance achieved for any completed short- and long-term incentive performance periods. This timing allows the Committee to consider financial results for the most recent year, along with feedback from shareholders through the Company s engagement activities, as well as input from the Committee s independent compensation consultant, as it makes compensation decisions and sets performance targets for the subsequent year. The Committee reviews and approves compensation to provide incentives that support the Company s long-range plans and achievement of superior annual and long-term financial results, as well as continued progress on the Company s long-term strategic objectives. The Committee also reviews and approves special incentive programs, such as the transaction-related program, in which the NEOs participate.

Role of the Board of Directors

The Board has delegated authority with respect to most executive compensation decisions to the Compensation Committee, but has retained the authority to approve certain new executive compensation plans, new equity plans and material amendments to existing executive compensation plans. The Board receives reports from the Committee on its actions and recommendations following Committee meetings, and the Board receives an update on the Company s executive compensation and benefits programs each year.

Role of Management

At the Compensation Committee s request, management provides the Committee information, analyses and recommendations regarding the Company s executive compensation program and policies and assists the Committee in carrying out its responsibilities. The Committee also meets regularly in executive session without management present, including with its independent compensation consultant. While the Committee considers the recommendations of Mr. Bewkes regarding NEO compensation levels (other than with respect to his own compensation) and the input received from its independent compensation consultant, the Committee ultimately makes all decisions regarding NEO compensation.

Role of Independent Compensation Consultant

The Compensation Committee has retained Pay Governance LLC as its independent compensation consultant. The Committee assessed the consultant s performance and independence in 2017 and determined that the consultant had no conflicts of interest that would prevent it from advising the Committee and confirmed the consultant s independence. The consultant assists the Committee in the development and evaluation of the Company s executive compensation program, policies and practices and in its decisions regarding executive compensation, and provides advice to the Committee on other matters related to its responsibilities. The compensation consultant reports directly to the Committee and the Committee has the sole authority to retain and terminate the consultant and to review and approve the consultant s fees and other engagement terms. A representative of the compensation consultant attends meetings of the Committee, and communicates with the Committee chair between meetings as necessary or requested.

During 2017, at the Committee s request, Pay Governance LLC performed the following services:

Provided competitive market data on compensation for executives at the Company and its divisions Provided information on executive employment agreement terms of companies in the Company s peer group