

IMMERSION CORP
Form DEF 14A
April 23, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Schedule 14A Information (Rule 14a-101)

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to Rule § 240.14a-12

IMMERSION CORPORATION

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

Edgar Filing: IMMERSION CORP - Form DEF 14A

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required.
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - 1. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

 - 2. Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

 - 3. Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

 - 4. Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

 - 5. Total fee paid:
- Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:
- Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - 1. Amount Previously Paid:

 - 2. Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

 - 3. Filing Party:

4. Date Filed:

Table of Contents

April 23, 2013

TO THE STOCKHOLDERS OF IMMERSION CORPORATION

Dear Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of Immersion Corporation, which will be held at the Techmart Network Meeting Center, 5201 Great America Parkway, Santa Clara, California 95054, on June 7, 2013, at 9:30 a.m. California time.

At the Annual Meeting, stockholders will be asked to vote on each of the two proposals set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and the Proxy Statement, which describe the formal business to be conducted at the Annual Meeting and follow this letter.

It is important that your shares be represented and voted at the Annual Meeting regardless of the size of your holdings. WHETHER OR NOT YOU PLAN TO ATTEND THE ANNUAL MEETING, PLEASE VOTE ELECTRONICALLY VIA THE INTERNET OR BY TELEPHONE, IF PERMITTED BY THE BROKER OR OTHER NOMINEE THAT HOLDS YOUR SHARES. IF YOU RECEIVE A PAPER COPY OF THE PROXY MATERIALS, PLEASE COMPLETE, SIGN, DATE AND RETURN THE ACCOMPANYING PROXY CARD IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE. Voting electronically, by telephone, or by returning your proxy card in advance of the Annual Meeting does not deprive you of your right to attend the Annual Meeting.

On behalf of the Board of Directors, I would like to express our appreciation for your continued support for and interest in the affairs of our company. We look forward to seeing you at the Annual Meeting.

Sincerely,

CARL SCHLACHTE
Chairman of the Board

Table of Contents

IMMERSION CORPORATION

30 Rio Robles

San Jose, California 95134

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held June 7, 2013

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) of Immersion Corporation will be held at the Techmart Network Meeting Center, 5201 Great America Parkway, Santa Clara, California 95054, on June 7, 2013, at 9:30 a.m. California time for the following purposes:

1. To elect one (1) Class II director to hold office for a three-year term and until a successor is elected and qualified;
2. To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013; and
3. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

The proxy statement more fully describes these proposals.

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 10, 2013 are entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the Annual Meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof.

On or about April 23, 2013, we will mail a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to stockholders, other than those stockholders who previously requested electronic or paper delivery of communications from us. The Notice contains instructions on how to access an electronic copy of our proxy materials, including this proxy statement and our Annual Report. The Notice also contains instructions on how to request a paper copy of the proxy statement.

The vote of each eligible stockholder is important. Please vote as soon as possible to ensure that your vote is recorded promptly even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting.

BY ORDER OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS,

CARL SCHLACHTE
Chairman of the Board

San Jose, California

April 23, 2013

Table of Contents

IMMERSION CORPORATION
2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS
NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING AND PROXY STATEMENT
TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>Questions and Answers About the Annual Meeting and These Proxy Materials</u>	1
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	5
<u>Board of Directors and Committees of the Board</u>	9
<u>Proposal No. 1 Election of Director</u>	18
<u>Related Person Transactions</u>	18
<u>Principal Stockholders and Stock Ownership by Management</u>	19
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	20
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	30
<u>Compensation Committee Report</u>	32
<u>Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	32
<u>Executive Compensation Tables</u>	33
<u>Proposal No. 2 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	39
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	41
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	42
<u>Stockholder Proposals for 2014 Annual Meeting</u>	42
<u>Stockholders Sharing the Same Address</u>	42
<u>Fiscal Year 2012 Annual Report and SEC Filings</u>	42
<u>Other Matters</u>	42

Table of Contents

IMMERSION CORPORATION

30 Rio Robles

San Jose, California 95134

PROXY STATEMENT

FOR ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

To Be Held June 7, 2013

We are providing you with these proxy materials in connection with the solicitation by the board of directors of Immersion Corporation, of proxies to be used at our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders. The Annual Meeting will be held at the Techmart Network Meeting Center, 5201 Great America Parkway, Santa Clara, California 95054 on June 7, 2013 at 9:30 a.m. California time. This proxy statement contains important information regarding our Annual Meeting, the proposals on which you are being asked to vote, information you may find useful in determining how to vote, and information about voting procedures. As used herein, we, us, our, Immersion or the Company refers to Immersion Corporation, a Delaware corporation.

A Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, this proxy statement, any accompanying proxy card or voting instruction form, and our 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders will be made available to our stockholders on or about April 23, 2013.

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING AND THESE PROXY MATERIALS

What matters will be voted on at the Annual Meeting?

The following matters will be voted on at the Annual Meeting:

Proposal 1: To elect one (1) Class II director to hold office for a three-year term and until a successor is elected and qualified;

Proposal 2: To ratify the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013; and

Proposal 3: To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

How does the board recommend that I vote?

The board recommends that you vote:

Edgar Filing: IMMERSION CORP - Form DEF 14A

FOR the election of the one (1) Class II director nominated by our board and named in this proxy statement;

FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013.

Who is entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting?

Holders of our common stock at the close of business on April 10, 2013, or the Record Date, may vote at the Annual Meeting. Each stockholder is entitled to one vote for each share of common stock held as of the Record Date.

Table of Contents

What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Stockholders of Record. You are a stockholder of record if at the close of business on the Record Date your shares were registered directly in your name with Computershare Limited, our transfer agent.

Beneficial Owner. You are a beneficial owner if at the close of business on the Record Date your shares were held by a brokerage firm or other nominee and not in your name. Being a beneficial owner means that, like most of our stockholders, your shares are held in street name. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or nominee on how to vote your shares by following the voting instructions your broker or other nominee provides. If you do not provide your broker or nominee with instructions on how to vote your shares, your broker or nominee will be able to vote your shares with respect to one of the proposals, but not all. Please see *What if I do not specify how my shares are to be voted?* for additional information.

Do I have to do anything in advance if I plan to attend the Annual Meeting in person?

You must bring with you a form of government-issued photo identification, such as a driver's license, state-issued ID card, or passport to gain entry to the Annual Meeting. If you are a beneficial owner of our common stock, you must also bring with you to the Annual Meeting a legal proxy from the organization that holds your shares or a brokerage statement showing your ownership of shares as of the close of business on the Record Date. If you are a representative of an institutional stockholder, you must also bring a legal proxy or other proof that you are a representative of a firm that held shares as of the close of business on the Record Date and that you are authorized to vote on behalf of the institution.

Why did I receive a Notice in the mail regarding the Internet availability of proxy materials instead of a full set of printed proxy materials?

Pursuant to rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, or the SEC, we are making this proxy statement available to our stockholders electronically via the Internet. On or about April 23, 2013, we will mail the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials to stockholders of our common stock as of the close of business on the Record Date, other than those stockholders who previously requested electronic or paper delivery of communications from us. The Notice contains instructions on how to access an electronic copy of our proxy materials, including this proxy statement and our Annual Report. The Notice also contains instructions on how to request a paper copy of the proxy statement. We believe that this process will allow us to provide you with the information you need in a timely manner, while conserving natural resources and lowering the costs of printing and distributing our proxy materials.

Can I vote my shares by filling out and returning the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials?

No. The Notice only identifies the items to be voted on at the Annual Meeting. You cannot vote by marking the Notice and returning it. The Notice provides instructions on how to cast your vote. For additional information please see *How do I vote and what are the voting deadlines?*

How do I vote and what are the voting deadlines?

Stockholders of Record. If you are a stockholder of record, there are several ways for you to vote your shares.

By Mail. If you received printed proxy materials, you may submit your vote by completing, signing and dating each proxy card received and returning it in the prepaid envelope. Sign your name exactly as it appears on the proxy card. Proxy cards submitted by mail must be received no later than June 6, 2013 to be voted at the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

By telephone or over the Internet. You may vote your shares by telephone or via the Internet by following the instructions provided in the Notice. If you vote by telephone or via the Internet, you do not need to return a proxy card by mail. Internet and telephone voting are available 24 hours a day. Votes submitted by telephone or through the Internet must be received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 6, 2013.

Beneficial Owners. If you are a beneficial owner of your shares, you should have received a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or voting instructions from the broker or other nominee holding your shares. You should follow the instructions in the Notice or voting instructions provided by your broker or nominee in order to instruct your broker or other nominee on how to vote your shares. The availability of telephone and Internet voting will depend on the voting process of the broker or nominee. Shares held beneficially may be voted in person at the Annual Meeting only if you obtain a legal proxy from the broker or nominee giving you the right to vote the shares.

Can I revoke or change my vote after I submit my proxy?

Stockholders of Record. If you are a stockholder of record, you may revoke your vote at any time before the final vote at the Annual Meeting by:

Signing and returning a new proxy card with a later date;
Submitting a later-dated vote by telephone or via the Internet since only your latest Internet or telephone proxy received by 11:59 p.m. Eastern Time on June 6, 2013 will be counted;
Attending the Annual Meeting in person and voting again; or
Delivering a written revocation to our Corporate Secretary at Immersion Corporation, 30 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134, before the Annual Meeting.

Beneficial Owners. If you are a beneficial owner of your shares, you must contact the broker or other nominee holding your shares and follow their instructions for changing your vote.

What will happen if I do not vote my shares?

Stockholders of Record. If you are the stockholder of record of your shares and you do not vote by proxy card, by telephone, via the Internet or in person at the Annual Meeting, your shares will not be voted at the Annual Meeting.

Beneficial Owners. If you are the beneficial owner of your shares, your broker or nominee may vote your shares only on those proposals on which it has discretion to vote. Under the NASDAQ Stock Market Rules, your broker or nominee does not have discretion to vote your shares on non-routine matters. However, your broker or nominee does have discretion to vote your shares on routine matters such as Proposal 2.

What if I do not specify how my shares are to be voted?

Stockholders of Record. If you are a stockholder of record and you submit a proxy, but you do not provide voting instructions, your shares will be voted:

FOR the election of one (1) Class II director nominated by our board and named in this proxy statement (Proposal 1);
FOR the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013 (Proposal 2); and
In the discretion of the named proxies regarding any other matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting.

Table of Contents

Beneficial Owners. If you are a beneficial owner and you do not provide the broker or other nominee that holds your shares with voting instructions, the broker or other nominee will determine whether it has the discretionary authority to vote on the particular matter. Under the NASDAQ Stock Market Rules, brokers and other nominees only have the discretion to vote on routine matters such as Proposal 2. Therefore, if you do not provide voting instructions to your broker or other nominee, your broker or other nominee may only vote your shares on Proposal 2 and any other routine matters properly presented for a vote at the Annual Meeting. Proposal 1 is not considered a routine matter, and, therefore, if you do not provide voting instructions to your broker or other nominee with respect to Proposal 1, no vote will be cast on your behalf with respect to Proposal 1.

What constitutes a quorum, and why is a quorum required?

We need a quorum of stockholders to hold our Annual Meeting. A quorum exists when the holders of at least a majority of all of the shares entitled to vote as of the close of business on the Record Date at the Annual Meeting are present, either in person or by proxy. As of the close of business on the Record Date, we had 27,883,118 shares of common stock outstanding and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting, meaning that the holders of 13,941,560 shares of common stock must be present in person or by proxy to have a quorum.

Your shares will be counted towards the quorum if you submit a proxy or vote at the Annual Meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will also count towards the quorum requirement. If there is not a quorum, holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote and present at the Annual Meeting may adjourn the meeting to a later date.

What is the effect of a broker non-vote?

Brokers or other nominees who hold shares of our common stock for a beneficial owner have the discretion to vote on routine proposals when they have not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner at least ten days prior to the Annual Meeting. A broker non-vote occurs when a broker or other nominee does not receive voting instructions from the beneficial owner and does not have the discretion to direct the voting of the shares. Broker non-votes will be counted for purposes of calculating whether a quorum is present at the Annual Meeting, but will not be counted for purposes of determining the number of votes cast with respect to a particular proposal. Thus, a broker non-vote will not impact our ability to obtain a quorum and will not otherwise affect the outcome of the vote on a proposal that requires a plurality of votes cast (Proposal 1) or the approval of a majority of the votes cast (Proposal 2).

What is the vote required for each proposal?

Proposal	Vote Required	Broker Discretionary Voting Allowed
Proposal 1 Election of one Class II director	Plurality of Votes Cast	No
Proposal 2 Ratification of auditors for year ended December 31, 2013	Majority of Votes Cast	Yes

With respect to Proposal 1, you may vote FOR the nominee, or WITHHOLD your vote as to the nominee. The one nominee receiving the most FOR votes will be elected. A properly executed proxy marked WITHHOLD with respect to the election of a director will not be voted with respect to the director indicated. Proxies may not be voted for more than one director and you may not cumulate votes in the election of directors.

Table of Contents

With respect to Proposal 2, you may vote FOR, AGAINST or ABSTAIN. If you ABSTAIN from voting on Proposal 2, the abstention will have no effect on the outcome of the vote.

Who will count the votes?

Computershare has been engaged as our independent agent to receive and tabulate stockholder votes. Computershare will separately tabulate FOR, AGAINST and WITHHOLD votes, abstentions, and broker non-votes.

What happens if the Annual Meeting is adjourned or postponed?

Your proxy will still be effective and will be voted at the rescheduled Annual Meeting. You will still be able to change or revoke your proxy until it is voted.

Who is paying for the costs of this proxy solicitation?

We will bear the expense of soliciting proxies. Proxies may also be solicited in person, by telephone or electronically by our personnel who will not receive additional compensation for such solicitation. Copies of proxy materials and the Annual Report will be supplied to brokers and other nominees for the purpose of soliciting proxies from beneficial owners, and we will reimburse such brokers or other nominees for their reasonable expenses.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board of Directors

Board Structure

Mr. Viegas serves as our Chief Executive Officer, and Mr. Schlachte serves as the Chairman of our board. Our board has determined that having an independent director serve as Chairman of our board is in our best interests and those of our stockholders. In addition to presiding over our board, Mr. Schlachte, a non-executive director, also holds such other powers and carries out such other duties as are customarily carried out by the Chairman of our board. This board structure enhances the independence of our board from our management by ensuring a greater role for the independent directors in the oversight of our company and active participation of the independent directors in setting agendas and establishing priorities and procedures for our board. In addition, separating these roles allows Mr. Viegas to focus his efforts on running our business and managing our day-to-day operations, while allowing our board to benefit from Mr. Schlachte's extensive experience in leadership roles at a number of public companies and his knowledge and expertise in intellectual property licensing and enforcement. Generally, every regular meeting of our board includes a meeting of our independent non-executive directors without management present.

Risk Management

Our board recognizes the importance of effective risk oversight in running a successful business and in fulfilling its fiduciary responsibilities to Immersion and its stockholders. Consistent with our board leadership structure, our Chief Executive Officer and other members of our executive team are responsible for the day-to-day management of risk, while our board is responsible for ensuring that we have an appropriate culture of risk management and that we set the right tone at the top, oversee our aggregate risk profile and assist management in addressing specific risks.

Table of Contents

Our board exercises its oversight responsibility for risk both directly and through its standing committees. Throughout the year, our board and each committee spend a portion of their time reviewing and discussing specific risk topics. The full board is kept informed of each committee's risk oversight and related activities through regular reports from the committee chairpersons. Strategic, operational and competitive risks also are presented and discussed at our board's quarterly meetings, and more often as needed. On at least an annual basis, our board conducts a review of our long-term strategic plans and members of our executive team report on our top risks and the steps management has taken or will take to mitigate these risks. On a regular basis between board meetings, our Chief Executive Officer provides updates to the board on the critical issues we face and recent developments in our principal markets.

Our Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing our risk management framework and programs, as well as the framework by which management discusses our risk profile and risk exposures with our full board and its committees. Our Audit Committee meets regularly with our Chief Financial Officer, our independent auditor, our General Counsel, and other members of senior management to discuss our major financial risk exposures, financial reporting, internal controls, credit and liquidity risk, compliance risk, key operational risks, and our risk management framework and programs. Other responsibilities include at least annually reviewing the implementation and effectiveness of our compliance and ethics program and our business continuity plan and test results. Our Audit Committee meets regularly in separate executive sessions with the independent auditor, as well as with Audit Committee members only, to facilitate a full and candid discussion of risk and other issues.

Our Compensation Committee is responsible for overseeing human capital and compensation risks, including evaluating and assessing risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for all employees and ensuring executive compensation is aligned with performance. Our Compensation Committee also is charged with monitoring our incentive and equity-based compensation plans, including employee benefit plans. For additional information regarding the Compensation Committee's review of compensation-related risk, please see the section of this proxy statement entitled "Executive Compensation Risk Assessment of Compensation Programs."

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees risks related to our overall corporate governance, including board and committee composition, board size and structure, director independence, and our corporate governance profile and ratings. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also is actively engaged in overseeing risks associated with succession planning for our board and management.

Independence of Directors

In accordance with the standards for independence set forth in NASDAQ Stock Market Rule 5605, our board has determined that, except for Mr. Viegas, our Chief Executive Officer, each of the members of our board has no relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out his or her responsibilities as a director and is otherwise independent in accordance with the applicable listing standards of the NASDAQ Stock Market as currently in effect.

Nomination of Directors

Criteria for Nomination to our Board

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee evaluates all directors whose terms will expire at the next annual meeting of stockholders and are willing to continue in service in order to determine whether to recommend to the board such directors for election at the Annual Meeting. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers the following factors in any such evaluation:

the appropriate size of the board and its committees;

the perceived needs of the board for particular skills, background, and business experience;

Table of Contents

the relevant skills, background, reputation, and business experience of nominees compared to the skills, background, reputation, and business experience already possessed by other members of the board;

nominees' independence from management;

applicable regulatory and listing requirements, including independence requirements and legal considerations, such as antitrust compliance;

the benefits of a constructive working relationship among directors; and

the desire to balance the considerable benefit of continuity with the periodic injection of the fresh perspective provided by new members.

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also focuses on issues of diversity, such as diversity of gender, race and national origin, education, professional experience and differences in viewpoints and skills. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee does not have a formal policy with respect to diversity; however, our board and our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believe that it is essential that our board members represent diverse viewpoints. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's goal is to assemble a board that brings us a variety of perspectives and skills derived from high quality business and professional experience. Directors should possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity, and values, and be committed to representing the best interests of our stockholders. They must also have an inquisitive and objective perspective and mature judgment. Director candidates must have sufficient time available in the judgment of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee to perform all board and committee responsibilities. Board members are expected to prepare for, attend, and participate in all board and applicable committee meetings.

Other than the foregoing, there are no stated minimum criteria for director nominees, although our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may also consider such other factors as it may deem, from time to time, in the best interests of us and our stockholders. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that to comply with The NASDAQ Stock Market and SEC rules, at least one member of our board meets the criteria for an audit committee financial expert, and at least a majority of the members of our board meet the definition of independent director. In addition, our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes that members of the Compensation Committee should meet the independence requirements of the NASDAQ Stock Market. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee also believes it is appropriate for one or more key members of management to participate as members of our board.

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider the criteria and policies set forth above in determining if our board requires additional candidates for director. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider candidates for directors proposed by our directors or management, may poll directors and management for suggestions, or conduct research to identify possible candidates, and may engage, if our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee believes it is appropriate, a third party search firm to assist in identifying qualified candidates. All such candidates will be evaluated against the criteria and pursuant to the policies and procedures set forth above. All director nominees, including incumbents, must submit a completed form of directors' and officers' questionnaire as part of the nominating process. The evaluation process may also include interviews and additional background and reference checks for non-incumbent nominees at the discretion of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Our board may perform the duties delegated to our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Stockholder Proposed Nominees

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will also evaluate any recommendation for director nominee proposed by a stockholder, provided that such recommendation is sent in writing to the

Table of Contents

Corporate Secretary at 30 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134 at least 120 days prior to the anniversary of the date proxy statements were mailed to stockholders in connection with our prior year's annual meeting of stockholders. However, if we increase the number of directors to be elected at our 2014 annual meeting and there is no public announcement by us naming the nominees for additional directorships by December 14, 2013, we must receive standing nominations no later than the close of business on the tenth (10th) day following the day on which such public announcement is made. The recommendation must also contain the following information:

the candidate's name, age, contact information, and present principal occupation or employment; and

a description of the candidate's qualifications, skills, background, and business experience during, at a minimum, for the last five years, including his/her principal occupation and employment and the name and principal business of any corporation or other organization in which the candidate was employed or served as a director.

Each such submission from a stockholder shall also set forth: (a) the name and address of the stockholder who intends to make the nomination, of the beneficial owner, if any, on whose behalf the nomination is being made and of the person or persons to be nominated; (b) a representation that the stockholder is a holder of record of our stock entitled to vote for the election of directors on the date of such notice and intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the person or persons specified in the notice; (c) a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder and each nominee and any other person or persons (naming such person or persons) pursuant to which the nomination or nominations are to be made by the stockholder; (d) such other information regarding each nominee proposed by such stockholder as would be required to be included in a proxy statement filed pursuant to the proxy rules of the SEC had the nominee been nominated or intended to be nominated by the board; and (e) the consent of each nominee to serve as our director if so elected.

Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will evaluate any candidates recommended by our stockholders against the same criteria and pursuant to the same policies and procedures applicable to the evaluation of all other proposed candidates, including incumbents, and will select the nominees that in our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's judgment best suit the needs of the board at that time. However, if the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee determines that a recommendation does not satisfy the above-described requirements, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will not consider such recommendation.

For additional information about the stockholder nominee submission process, please see our Bylaws, which are available on the SEC's website at www.sec.gov, which sets forth the specific details of this process.

Board and Committee Self-Evaluations

Our board and each of the Audit, Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees conducts an annual self-evaluation, which includes a qualitative assessment by each director of the performance of our board and the committee or committees on which the director sits. Our board also conducts an annual evaluation of its Chairman. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee oversees the evaluation processes and reports the results to the committees and full board following the completion of such evaluations.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Our board has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that outlines the principles of legal and ethical business conduct. The code, which is applicable to all of our directors, employees, and officers, is available on our website at <http://ir.immersion.com/governance.cfm>. Any substantive amendment or waiver of this code may be made only by our board upon a recommendation of the Audit Committee and will be disclosed on our website.

Table of Contents*Communications by Stockholders with Directors*

Stockholders may communicate with any and all directors by transmitting correspondence by mail, facsimile, or e-mail, addressed as follows: Board or individual director, c/o Corporate Secretary, 30 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134; Fax: (408) 350-8761; E-mail Address: corporate.secretary@immersion.com. Our Corporate Secretary will maintain a log of such communications and transmit as soon as practicable such communications to the identified director addressee(s), unless there are safety or security concerns that mitigate against further transmission of the communication, as determined by our Corporate Secretary. Our board or individual directors so addressed will be advised of any communication withheld for safety or security reasons as soon as practicable. The acceptance and forwarding of a communication to any director does not imply that the director owes or assumes any fiduciary duty to the person submitting the communication, all such duties being only as prescribed by applicable law.

BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD*Structure of the Board*

Pursuant to our current Certificate of Incorporation, our board is divided into three classes – Class I, II, and III directors. Each director is elected for a three-year term of office, with one class of directors being elected at each annual meeting of stockholders. Each director holds office until his or her successor is elected and qualified or until his or her earlier death, resignation, or removal. In accordance with our Certificate of Incorporation, the Class II director is to be elected at the 2013 Annual Meeting, the Class III directors are to be elected at the annual meeting in 2014 and the Class I directors are to be elected at the annual meeting in 2015.

The information below sets forth the current members of the board, of whom, Mr. Sugishita is nominated to serve as director until the 2016 annual meeting of stockholders. There are no family relationships between any of the nominees, directors, or any of our executive officers.

Name	Age	Class of Director	Principal Occupation	Director Since
Jack Saltich	69	I	Board member of Atmel Corporation and PlasmaSi	2002
Victor Viegas	56	I	Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer, Immersion Corporation	2002
David Sugishita	65	II	Board member of Atmel Corporation	2010
Carl Schlachte	49	III	Chairman, President and CEO of Ventiva, Inc. and Board member of Peregrine Semiconductor	2011
John Fichthorn	40	III	Co-founder of Dialectic Capital Management, LLC	2011

Director Serving for a Term Expiring at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class II Director):*David Sugishita*

Mr. Sugishita joined our board in June 2010. Mr. Sugishita has served as the non-executive Chairman of the board of directors of Atmel Corporation since August 2006 and as a director of Atmel since February 2004. In addition, Mr. Sugishita is Chairman of the Audit Committee of Atmel. Mr. Sugishita previously served on the board of directors of Micro Component Technology, Inc. from 1994 to 2009, Ditech Networks, Inc., from 2003 to January 2012 and Magma Design Automation from 2010 to 2011. Since 2000, Mr. Sugishita has taken various short-term assignments including Executive Vice President of Special Projects at Peregrine Systems from December 2003 to July 2004 and Executive Vice President/Chief Financial Officer at SONICblue, Inc. from

Table of Contents

January 2002 to April 2002. Prior to 2000, Mr. Sugishita held various senior financial management positions at Synopsys (Senior Vice President/Chief Financial Officer) from 1997 to 2000; Actel (Senior Vice President/Chief Financial Officer) from 1995 to 1997; Micro Component Technology (Senior Vice President/Chief Financial Officer) from 1994 to 1995; Applied Materials (Vice President/Corporate Controller) from 1991 to 1994; and National Semiconductor (Vice President/Finance) from 1978 to 1991. Mr. Sugishita holds a B.S. degree in business administration from San Jose State University and an M.B.A. from Santa Clara University.

Mr. Sugishita brings to the board over two decades of experience as a financial executive officer and member of the boards of directors of public high technology companies, specifically in the semiconductor industry, which is an important vertical market for our company. Additionally, Mr. Sugishita brings many years of service on public company boards, including as Chairman, and service on audit and nomination and corporate governance committees. Mr. Sugishita's experience is invaluable in helping us to continue to provide strong financial oversight at the board level.

Directors Serving for a Term Expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class III Directors):

Carl Schlachte

Carl Schlachte has been a member of the board since June 2011 and was elected Chairman July 2012. In addition, Mr. Schlachte is Chairman, President and CEO of Ventiva, Inc., which designs and develops thermal management technologies for consumer applications in mobile computing, power electronics and LED lighting. He is also a director at Peregrine Semiconductor, a global provider of RF semiconductor solutions. From 2004 to 2009, Mr. Schlachte was President and CEO of ARC International, a leading provider of multimedia solutions to semiconductor companies worldwide. He has more than 25 years of experience in the semiconductor industry, including CEO roles at global fabless semiconductor and IP companies and executive positions at Motorola and ARM Holdings plc. Mr. Schlachte received a B.S. from Clemson University.

Mr. Schlachte's extensive IP and licensing experience in mobile and semiconductor markets make him an ideal candidate for our board. Mr. Schlachte's contributions to us have proven invaluable in helping us to pursue our new licensing model. Pursuant to an agreement between us and Dialectic Capital Management and its affiliates, Mr. Schlachte was nominated and subsequently elected as a Class III director.

John Fichthorn

John Fichthorn joined Immersion's board of directors in June 2011 and is a member of the Audit Committee. Mr. Fichthorn is a co-founder of Dialectic Capital Management, LLC, an investment management firm, and has been a portfolio manager of the firm since 2003. He served as a director of California Micro Devices from September 2009 until the company's sale in February 2010. From 2000 to 2003, he was employed by Maverick Capital, most recently as Managing Director of the technology group. From 1999 to 2000, he was an analyst at Alliance Capital working across multiple hedge fund products and as a member of the technology team. From 1997 to 1999, he was an analyst at Quilcap Corporation, a short-biased hedge fund where he covered all sectors, with a focus on technology. From 1985 to 1997, John worked at Ganek & Orwicz Partners where his responsibilities included small cap research, international closed-end fund arbitrage and operations. After graduating from college, he briefly worked at Aviation Week and Space Technology. Mr. Fichthorn holds a B.A. in Astronomy from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill. Mr. Fichthorn graduated from Deerfield Academy in 1991.

As of March 31, 2013, Dialectic Capital Management through its affiliates owned approximately 8.38% of our common stock. Pursuant to an agreement between us and Dialectic Capital Management and its affiliates, Mr. Fichthorn was nominated and subsequently elected as a Class III director. Mr. Fichthorn's position as a major stockholder provides our board with valuable perspective in making critical decisions for us.

Table of Contents

Directors Serving for a Term Expiring at the 2015 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (Class I Directors):

Jack Saltich

Mr. Saltich served as Chairman of the board from February 2009 until July 2012, and as a member of the board since January 2002. Mr. Saltich also served as Lead Independent Director from October 2007 to February 2009. He was the Chairman and interim Chief Executive Officer of Vitex Systems, Inc., a private technology company that develops transparent ultra-thin barrier films for use in the manufacture of next-generation flat panel displays, from January 2006 to April 2011. From July 1999 to August 2005, Mr. Saltich served as the President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Three-Five Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of display systems and provider of electronic manufacturing services. Three-Five Systems, Inc. filed a voluntary petition for bankruptcy under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code on September 8, 2005. From 1993 to 1999, Mr. Saltich served as a Vice President of Advanced Micro Devices, where his last position was General Manager of AMD's European Microelectronics Center in Dresden, Germany. From 1991 to 1993, Mr. Saltich served as Executive Vice President for Applied Micro Circuits Company, a company servicing the high speed telecommunications market. From 1988 to 1991, he was Vice President at VLSI Technology, a semiconductor company. From 1971 to 1988, Mr. Saltich served in a number of capacities with Motorola, Inc. Mr. Saltich currently serves as a member of the board of directors of Atmel Corporation. Mr. Saltich also serves on the board of directors of PlasmaSi, a private company, and on the Manufacturing Advisory Board for Cypress Semiconductor Corporation. Mr. Saltich previously served on the board of directors of InPlay Technologies, Inc. from 2007 to 2008, Ramtron International Corporation from 2005 to 2011, Vitex Systems from 2006 to 2011 and Leadis Technology from 2006 to 2012. Mr. Saltich received both a B.S. and an M.S. in electrical engineering from the University of Illinois.

Mr. Saltich brings to our board over 18 years of experience with semiconductor companies and companies specializing in display systems. Additionally, Mr. Saltich's executive level experience at Three-Five Systems, a display company, and his experience with AMD and other semiconductor companies as well as his many years of service on public company boards and committees provides an invaluable contribution to our board.

Victor Viegas

Mr. Viegas was named our Chief Executive Officer in April 2010, and served as our Interim Chief Financial Officer from December 2011 until May 2012. He served as our Interim Chief Executive Officer beginning October 2009 and as a member of the board since October 2002. Mr. Viegas was our Chief Executive Officer from October 2002 through April 2008, and President from February 2002 through April 2008. Mr. Viegas was also Chairman of our board from October 2007 to February 2009. Mr. Viegas also served as Chief Financial Officer until February 2005, having joined us in August 1999 as Chief Financial Officer, Vice President, Finance. From June 1996 to August 1999, he served as Vice President, Finance and Administration and Chief Financial Officer of Macrovision Corporation, a developer and licensor of video and software copy protection technologies. From October 1986 to June 1996, he served as Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer of Balco Incorporated, a manufacturer of advanced automotive service equipment. He holds a B.S. in Accounting and an M.B.A. from Santa Clara University. Mr. Viegas is also a Certified Public Accountant in the State of California, on inactive status.

Mr. Viegas brings to our board more than 15 years of intellectual property and licensing experience and comprehensive knowledge of our company and its operations. He has had the benefit of viewing us from many perspectives, including as the former Chief Financial Officer, as the Chief Executive Officer and as a board member. He led us through our litigation with Sony and Microsoft, through the conclusion of the financial investigation and restatement and the transition away from a medical products business to a licensing model. Mr. Viegas is responsible for managing all facets of our businesses, a role that provides him with critical insight into our operations and the challenges and opportunities we face and, thus, he is a key contributor to our board's oversight of risk, strategic and financial planning and other critical management functions.

Table of Contents

Attendance at Board, Committee and Annual Stockholder Meetings

Our board and its committees meet throughout the year on a set schedule, hold special meetings as needed, and act by written consent from time to time. The board met 12 times during fiscal year 2012. Each director attended at least 75% of the meetings of the board and of any committees of the board on which he serves. The total number of meetings held by each committee is set forth below under **Committees of the Board** .

We make every effort to schedule our annual meeting of stockholders at a time and date to accommodate attendance by directors, taking into account the directors' schedules. All directors are encouraged to attend the annual meeting of stockholders. Four directors attended our 2012 annual meeting of stockholders.

Executive Sessions of the Board

The non-executive members of our board and all committees of our board meet in executive session without management present at each regularly scheduled in-person board and committee meeting.

Committees of the Board

The board has a separately-designated standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

The board has also adopted a written charter for each of the board committees. Each charter is available on our website at <http://ir.immersion.com/governance.cfm>

In each case, our board has delegated the responsibilities set forth below to the respective committee; however, our board may from time to time, perform the duties itself.

The table below provides current membership (M) and chairmanship (C) information for each standing committee.

Name	Audit	Compensation	Nominating and Corporate Governance
Victor Viegas			
John Fichthorn	M		
Jack Saltich		C	C
Carl Schlachte	M		M
David Sugishita	C	M	

Table of Contents

Audit Committee and Audit Committee Financial Expert

Members:

David Sugishita (Chairman)

John Fichthorn

Number of Meetings in Fiscal Year 2012:

Carl Schlachte

Independence:

Eight

Our board has determined that each member of the Audit Committee meets the independence criteria set forth in the applicable rules of The NASDAQ Stock Market and the SEC for Audit Committee membership.

Financial Expert:

Our board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee possess the level of financial literacy required by applicable NASDAQ Stock Market and SEC rules and that in accordance with section 407 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, at least one member of the Audit Committee, Mr. Sugishita, is an audit committee financial expert, as defined in the rules of the SEC.

Responsibilities:

Our Audit Committee provides assistance to our board in various matters, including fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to the following:

retaining our independent registered public accounting firm;

reviewing the scope of audit and pre-approving permissible non-audit services by our independent registered public accounting firm;

reviewing the accounting principles and auditing practices and procedures to be used for our financial statements;

reviewing the results of the audits of our financial statements; and

reviewing related party transactions.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee

Members:	Jack Saltich (Chairman)
Number of Meetings in Fiscal Year 2012:	David Sugishita
Independence:	Eight Our board has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee meets the independence criteria set forth in the applicable NASDAQ Stock Market rules.
Responsibilities:	Our Compensation Committee provides assistance to our board in various matters, including with respect to the following: overseeing our general compensation structure, policies and programs, and assessing whether our compensation structure establishes appropriate incentives for management and employees and properly aligning executive compensation with stockholder interests and business performance; making recommendations to the board with respect to and administration of our equity-based compensation plans, including our equity incentive plans and employee stock purchase plan; reviewing and approving compensation packages for our executive officers; reviewing and approving employment and retention agreements and severance arrangements for executive officers, including change-in-control provisions, plans or agreements; and reviewing the compensation of directors for service on the board and its committees and recommending changes in compensation to the board. Other than the delegation to the Chief Executive Officer of the authority to grant awards under certain equity plans pursuant to guidelines set by the board, our Compensation Committee has not delegated any of its duties under its charter. The Compensation

Committee may, however, from time to time, delegate duties or responsibilities to subcommittees or to one member of the Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

Members:	Jack Saltich (Chairperson)
Number of Meetings in Fiscal Year 2012:	Carl Schlachte Two
Independence:	Our board has determined that each member of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee meets the criteria for independent board members set forth in the applicable NASDAQ Stock Market rules.
Responsibilities:	Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee provides assistance to our board in various matters, including fulfilling its responsibilities with respect to the following: identifying, evaluating and recommending candidates for board positions to our board and recommending to our board policies on board and committee composition and criteria for board membership; recommending to our board, and reviewing on a periodic basis, our succession plan, including policies and principles for selection and succession of the Chief Executive Officer in the event of an emergency or the resignation or retirement of our Chief Executive Officer; periodically reviewing policies and the compliance of senior executives with respect to these policies; reviewing our compliance with corporate governance listing requirements of The NASDAQ Stock Market; and assisting our board in developing criteria for the annual evaluation of our Chief Executive Officer, director and committee performance.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation**

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation earned during 2012 by each person who served as a director during the year ended December 31, 2012:

Name (1)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (2) (\$)	Stock Awards (3) (4) (\$)	Option Awards (5) (\$)	Total (\$)
Anne DeGheest (6)	\$ 12,917	\$ 18,892	-	\$ 31,809
John Fichthorn (7)	28,000	77,632	-	105,632
Jack Saltich (8)	45,500	77,632	-	123,132
Carl Schlachte (9)	40,000	77,632	-	117,632
David Sugishita	38,000	77,632	-	115,632

- (1) In 2012, Mr. Viegas was our only employee director, and he did not receive any additional compensation for his services as a member of our board while he was an employee.
- (2) Consists of meeting fees for service as a member of our board. Fees earned by directors vary depending on the number of committees on which the director served and whether the director was Chairman of our board or certain committees. See Cash Compensation below for more information.
- (3) Represents the grant date fair value of each stock restricted stock award granted in 2012 in accordance with Financial Accounting Standard Board's Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, or FASB ASC Topic 718, disregarding for this purposes the estimate of forfeitures related to service-based vesting conditions. For a discussion of assumptions used to calculate the FASB ASC Topic 718 grant date fair value, refer to Note 10 (Stock-based Compensation) to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. See Equity Compensation below for more information.
- (4) For each non-employee director, below is the aggregate number of restricted stock awards granted in 2012 and outstanding on December 31, 2012.

Name (1)	Awards Granted in 2012 (#)	Awards Outstanding at December 31, 2012 (#)
Anne DeGheest	2,750	-
John Fichthorn	13,750	11,000
Jack Saltich	13,750	11,000
Carl Schlachte	13,750	11,000
David Sugishita	13,750	11,000

- (5) For each non-employee director, below is the aggregate number of shares subject to options outstanding on December 31, 2012.

Name (1)	Option Awards Outstanding at December 31, 2012 (#)
Anne DeGheest (6)	77,000
John Fichthorn	40,000
Jack Saltich	77,000
Carl Schlachte	40,000
David Sugishita	50,000

- (6) Ms. DeGheest did not stand for re-election at our 2012 annual stockholder meeting. In May 2012, the board extended Ms. DeGheest's post-termination exercise period for her options to purchase common stock to June 1, 2013.

Edgar Filing: IMMERSION CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (7) Pursuant to an agreement with the Dialectic Group, we paid Dialectic \$100,000 for its reasonable, documented out of pocket fees and expenses incurred in connection with matters related to our 2010 annual meeting and the negotiation and execution of the agreement. Mr. Fichthorn agreed not to accept any cash

Table of Contents

compensation as a member of the board until the amount of forgone compensation equals \$100,000. As a result, the \$28,000 amount set forth above in the column titled *Fees Earned or Paid in Cash* was applied to such \$100,000 and was not paid in cash to Mr. Fichthorn. As of December 31, 2012, we have offset \$44,333.27 of cash compensation against the \$100,000 of expenses.

(8) Mr. Saltich served as Chairman of our board until June 30, 2012. On July 1, 2012, Mr. Saltich became the Chairman of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

(9) Mr. Schlachte became Chairman of our board on July 1, 2013.

Cash Compensation

In 2012, non-employee directors each received retainer fees of \$25,000 per year, typically paid in quarterly installments on the date of each quarterly board meeting. In addition, the Chairman of our board received an additional retainer fee of \$20,000 per year. The Chairman of our Audit Committee received a \$10,000 annual committee fee, the Chairman of our Compensation Committee received an \$8,000 annual committee fee, and the Chairman of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee received a \$3,000 annual committee fee. The other members of our Audit and Compensation Committees each received a \$3,000 annual committee fees and the other members of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee each received a \$2,000 annual committee fees. These annual committee fees are typically paid quarterly on the date of the quarterly board meetings. Directors are entitled to reimbursement of reasonable travel expenses they incur in connection with attending board and committee meetings.

Equity Compensation

Non-employee directors are granted an option to purchase 40,000 shares of common stock on the date the director joins the board. This initial option, like those received by other individuals newly-joining us, is granted with an effective date of the tenth business day of the month following the month the director joins the board; the exercise price per share for such option equals the closing price per share on The NASDAQ Global Market on such effective date. Subject to continued service, such option vests as to 1/4 of the grant on the first anniversary of the date of commencement of service and 1/48th monthly thereafter.

In March 2012, our Compensation Committee approved a change to our annual awards policy for the non-employee directors from an annual grant of options to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock and 6,000 shares of restricted stock to an annual grant of solely restricted stock awards, which our Compensation Committee believes better aligns the equity awards granted to our Board with the equity awards granted to our management team. The Compensation Committee replaced the annual grant of an option to purchase 10,000 shares of common stock with an annual grant of 5,000 shares of restricted stock after the calculation of the intrinsic value of options indicated approximately a 2:1 ratio in the value of the option grant to the restricted stock grant and, accordingly, determined the annual restricted stock grant to each non-employee director should be 11,000 shares of common stock. In addition, our Compensation Committee approved a change to the annual grant dates for the restricted stock awards to coincide with the annual stockholder meeting. Thus, in March 2012, each non-employee director received a grant of 2,750 restricted shares, which represents one quarter of an annual grant (i.e. 11,000 shares), and in June 2012, each non-employee member received an annual grant of 11,000 restricted shares. Subject to continued service, 100% of the restricted stock will vest on the first anniversary of its grant date.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL NO. 1

ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our board's nominee for election as Class II director is David Sugishita. For information regarding this nominee, see Board of Directors and Committees of the Board above. Shares represented by all proxies received by the board and not so marked as to withhold authority to vote for Mr. Sugishita (by writing Mr. Sugishita's name where indicated on the proxy) will be voted (unless Mr. Sugishita is unable or unwilling to serve) FOR the election of Mr. Sugishita. The board knows of no reason why Mr. Sugishita would be unable or unwilling to serve.

Vote Required

If a quorum is present and voting, the nominee for Class II director receiving the greatest number of votes will be elected as Class II director. Any votes withheld and broker non-votes have no effect on the vote.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE

FOR THE CLASS II DIRECTOR NOMINEE LISTED HEREIN.

RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

In accordance with our Audit Committee charter, our Audit Committee is responsible for reviewing and approving the terms and conditions of any related party transactions. Review of any related party transaction would include reviewing each such transaction for potential conflicts of interests and other improprieties. Except as described in Director Compensation above and Executive Compensation below, since January 1, 2012, there has not been, nor is there currently proposed, any transaction or series of similar transactions, to which we are or were a party, in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000 and in which any of our directors, executive officers, or holders of more than 5% of our capital stock, or any of the immediate family members of such persons, had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

In addition to indemnification provisions in our bylaws, we have entered into agreements to indemnify our directors and executive officers. These agreements provide for indemnification of our directors and executive officers for some types of expenses, including attorney's fees, judgments, fines, and settlement amounts incurred by persons in any action or proceeding, including any action by us or in our right, arising out of their services as our director or executive officer. We believe that these provisions and agreements are necessary to attract and retain qualified persons as directors and executive officers.

Table of Contents**PRINCIPAL STOCKHOLDERS AND STOCK OWNERSHIP BY MANAGEMENT**

The following table sets forth as of March 31, 2013, certain information with respect to the beneficial ownership of our common stock by (1) each stockholder who is known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than 5% of our outstanding shares of common stock, (2) each of our directors and nominees for director, (3) our named executive officers, and (4) all directors and executive officers as a group. Beneficial ownership has been determined in accordance with Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (or, as referred to herein, the Exchange Act). Under this rule, certain shares may be deemed to be beneficially owned by more than one person (if, for example, persons share the power to vote or the power to dispose of the shares). In addition, shares are deemed to be beneficially owned by a person if the person has the right to acquire shares (for example, upon exercise of an option) within 60 days of the date as of which the information is provided. In computing the percentage ownership of any person, the amount of shares outstanding is deemed to include the amount of shares beneficially owned by such person (and only such person) by reason of such acquisition rights. As a result, the percentage of outstanding shares of any person as shown in the following table does not necessarily reflect the person's actual voting power at any particular date.

Beneficial-Owner	Amount and Nature of Beneficial Ownership (1)	Shares Subject to Options and RSUs Included in Beneficial Ownership (2)	Percent of Class (3) (%)
Rima Senvest Management, LLC (4)	3,767,503		13.56
Capital Research Global Investors (5)	2,817,300		10.14
Eagle Asset Management, Inc. (6)	2,508,677		9.03
Dialectic Capital Management, LLC (7)	2,326,702		8.38
Shannon River (8)	1,903,724		6.85
Black Rock Inc. (9)	1,648,189		5.93
Royce & Associates, LLC (10)	1,578,109		5.68
Executive Officers, Directors and Nominees for Director			
Victor Viegas	917,764	879,472	3.30
Paul Norris	62,500	62,500	*
Dennis Sheehan	90,466	60,728	*
John Fichthorn (7) (11)	2,359,618	19,166	8.49
Carl Schlachte	32,916	19,166	*
Jack Saltich (12)	145,750	77,000	*
David Sugishita	58,916	39,166	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (7 persons) (13)	3,667,930	1,157,198	13.20

* Less than 1% of the outstanding shares of common stock.

- (1) Except as indicated in the footnotes to this table and pursuant to applicable community property laws, the persons named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock. To our knowledge, and except as indicated in the footnotes to this table, the entities named in the table have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock shown as beneficially owned by them. Except as otherwise indicated, the address of each of the persons in this table is as follows: c/o Immersion Corporation, 30 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134.
- (2) Only shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options and the vesting of outstanding restricted stock units within 60 days of March 31, 2013 are included for purposes of determining beneficial ownership.
- (3) Calculated on the basis of 27,778,768 shares of common stock outstanding as of March 31, 2013, provided that any additional shares of common stock that a stockholder has the right to acquire within 60 days after March 31, 2013 are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of calculating that stockholder's percentage of beneficial ownership.

Edgar Filing: IMMERSION CORP - Form DEF 14A

- (4) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013, Rima Senvest Management LLC and Richard Marshaal have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to these shares. Senvest Master Fund, L.P. only has shared voting power as to 2,026,230 shares and Senvest International L.L.C has shared voting power for 1,392,998 shares. The address of Senvest Master Fund, LP is c/o Goldman Sachs (Cayman) Trust, Gardenia Court, Suite 3307, 45 Market Street, Camana Bay, P.O. Box 896, Grand Cayman KY1-1103, Cayman Islands. The address for Senvest International, LLC, Rima Senvest Management, LLC and Richard Marshaal is 110 East 55th Street, Suite 1600, New York, New York 10022.

Table of Contents

- (5) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 13, 2013. Capital Research Global Investors holds the shares on behalf of SMALLCAP World Fund, Inc. The address of Capital Research Global Investors is 333 South Hope Street, 55th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90071.
- (6) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on November 9, 2012. The address of Eagle Asset Management, Inc. is 880 Carillon Parkway, St. Petersburg, Florida 33716.
- (7) Based solely on a Schedule 13D/A Amendment No. 2 filed with the SEC on March 18, 2011. Dialectic Capital Management, LLC, John Fichthorn and Luke Fichthorn have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to the shares, Dialectic Capital Partners, LP has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 270,042 shares, Dialectic Offshore, Ltd has shared voting and dispositive power as to 195,852 shares, Dialectic Antithesis Partners, LP has shared voting and dispositive power as to 688,538 shares, Dialectic Antithesis Offshore, Ltd has shared voting and dispositive power as to 647,322 shares and Dialectic Offshore L2, Ltd. has shared voting and dispositive power as to 524,948 shares. The address of these entities and persons is 875 Third Avenue, 15th Floor, New York, New York 10022.
- (8) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013, Spencer Waxman, Shannon River Partners, LP, Shannon River Fund Management Co LLC, and Shannon River Capital Management LLC have shared voting and dispositive power with respect to 111,263 shares, Spencer Waxman, Shannon River Master Fund, LP and Shannon River Global Management LLC have shared voting and dispositive power as to 1,052,195 shares, Spencer Waxman, Doonbeg Master Fund, LP and Doonbeg Global Management LLC have shared voting and dispositive power as to 740,266 shares. The address of these entities and persons is 850 Third Avenue, 11th Floor, New York, New York 10022.
- (9) Based solely on a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 6, 2013. The address of BlackRock, Inc. is 55 East 52nd Street, New York, New York 10055.
- (10) Based solely on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on January 11, 2013. The address of Royce & Associates LLP is 745 Fifth Avenue, New York, 10151
- (11) Includes 2,326,702 shares for which Dialectic Capital Management, LLC, John Fichthorn and Luke Fichthorn have shared voting and dispositive power. See footnote (7).
- (12) 10,000 shares are held in the Saltich Trust dated December 17, 1991.
- (13) Total includes executive officers and directors as of March 31, 2013. Includes 1,157,198 shares subject to options that are currently exercisable or will become exercisable within 60 days after March 31, 2013 beneficially owned by executive officers and directors.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion & Analysis

This section describes our fiscal year 2012 compensation program as it relates to the following executive officers, all of whom we refer to collectively as our named executive officers:

Chief Executive Officer, Victor Viegas
Chief Financial Officer, Paul Norris
Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing, Dennis Sheehan
Former Vice President, Sales, Joseph LaValle

Mr. Norris joined Immersion on May 14, 2012 as our Chief Financial Officer, prior to which Mr. Viegas was serving as our Interim Chief Financial Officer. Mr. LaValle resigned from Immersion as of November 29, 2012 and, Mr. Sheehan was promoted from VP, Marketing to Senior VP, Sales and Marketing on October 1, 2012.

Executive Summary

2012 was a year of strategic importance as Immersion invested in its Basic Haptics patent enforcement strategy while continuing to sell software solutions. Immersion commenced its patent litigation against Motorola Mobility and HTC Corporation in the International Trade Commission, or ITC, in February 2012 and reached a resolution with Motorola in October 2012. Immersion's software business revenues continued to grow in 2012, but the company posted a greater loss as a result of the investment in its patent enforcement strategy.

Table of Contents

In setting executive compensation for 2012, the Compensation Committee continued to use a mix of base salary, annual incentive compensation and long-term incentive awards, with approximately three-quarters of the total compensation weighted toward the annual incentive and long-term incentive awards. We believe the mixture of the annual incentive program and long-term incentive awards properly focuses the named executive officers on achieving our corporate objectives for 2012 without compromising our long-term value creation. In developing the annual incentive performance targets, the Compensation Committee took into account the impact of commencing a patent enforcement strategy on the financial performance of the company in 2012, and thus, although the revenue targets for 2012 increased over 2011, the Adjusted EBITDA targets were slightly decreased compared to 2011 to take into account the costs associated with patent litigation. The Compensation Committee utilized the long-term incentive restricted stock unit grants to both align the executives with stockholders as well as a retention tool during an otherwise turbulent period caused by the litigation where executive stability became important.

Our financial results for fiscal year 2012 as seen in the year over year comparison are set forth below. Please see Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for a more detailed description of our fiscal year 2012 financial results.

	Fiscal Year 2012	Fiscal Year 2011	Change %
Total Revenues	\$32.2 million	\$30.6 million	5%
Royalty and Licensing Revenue	\$29.0 million	\$26.9 million	8%
Net loss	\$(5.6) million or \$(0.20) per share	\$(1.6) million or \$(0.06) per share	Not measurable
Adjusted EBITDA (1)	\$0.3 million	\$6.0 million	(95)%

(1) See below for a description of how we compute adjusted EBITDA.

Table of Contents

Our 2012 financial performance resulted in below-target payouts of our annual incentive plan, as discussed further below.

Name	Target Bonus	Actual Bonus Paid
Victor Viegas	\$280,000	\$186,435
Paul Norris	\$87,450	\$60,789
Dennis Sheehan	\$120,129	\$83,503

In early 2013, we began to realize the benefits of our investment in our patent enforcement strategy. Following the resolution of the ITC litigation against Motorola Mobility, we were able to obtain a Basic Haptics license with LG Electronics and, later, Samsung. As a result, our stock price has substantially increased in the first quarter of 2013.

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

Our compensation programs are designed to:

align compensation with our annual and long-term business objectives and performance;

enable us to attract, retain, and reward executive officers and other key employees who contribute to our long-term success;

motivate executive officers to enhance long-term stockholder value; and

position us competitively among the companies against which we recruit and compete for talent.

We recognize that compensation programs must be understandable to be effective and that program administration and decision making must be fair and equitable. We also consider the financial obligations created by our compensation programs and design them to be cost effective.

Our Compensation Committee also considers potential risks when reviewing and approving compensation programs and takes steps to prevent incentives that would encourage excessive levels of risk. Our Compensation Committee annually evaluates both the performance and compensation of our executives to ensure that:

Compensation levels remain competitive relative to our peer companies;

Compensation provided is consistent with the level of performance delivered; and

The mix of cash and equity-based incentives provides the proper incentive without encouraging excessive risk taking.

Role of Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee reviews and recommends to the board for approval all compensation programs (including equity compensation) applicable to our executive officers and directors, our overall strategy for employee compensation and the specific compensation of our Chief Executive Officer. The Compensation Committee approves the compensation of all other executive officers. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to select, retain, and terminate special counsel and other experts (including compensation consultants), as the Compensation Committee deems appropriate.

Table of Contents***Role of Chief Executive Officer in Compensation Decisions***

While the Compensation Committee determines our overall compensation philosophy, our board sets the compensation for our Chief Executive Officer. Our Chief Executive Officer also provides our board and our Compensation Committee with his perspective on the performance of our executive officers as part of the determination of the individual portion payable under the executive incentive plans (as described below) and the annual personnel review as well as a self-assessment of his own performance. Our Chief Executive Officer is not present during any discussions by our Compensation Committee or our board relating to his own compensation. Our Chief Executive Officer recommends to our Compensation Committee specific compensation amounts for executive officers other than himself, and our Compensation Committee considers those recommendations and the information provided by its compensation consultant concerning peer group comparisons and industry trends and makes the ultimate compensation decisions. Our Chief Executive Officer, Vice President of Human Resources and General Counsel regularly attend our Compensation Committee's meetings to provide perspectives on the competitive landscape and the needs of the business, information regarding our performance and technical advice. Members of our Compensation Committee also participate in our board's annual review of the Chief Executive Officer's performance and its setting of annual performance goals, in each case led by our independent Chairman of the board. See Board Structure above for further details.

Setting Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee initially engaged Compensia as its independent consultant in July 2008. The selection of Compensia was made without input or influence of management. Under the terms of its agreement with the Compensation Committee, Compensia will not provide any other service to us, unless directed to do so by the Compensation Committee. Compensia has provided no services to us other than its advice to our Compensation Committee on executive and board compensation issues. No work performed by Compensia during fiscal year 2012 raised a conflict of interest.

In early 2012, Compensia provided the Compensation Committee an executive total direct compensation analysis. The companies comprising the peer group used by Compensia to aid in benchmarking compensation were:

AuthenTec	Aware	CEVA, Inc.
Digimarc Corp.	MOSYS Inc.	DTS Inc.
MIPS Technologies, Inc.	Pixelworks Inc.	MEMSIC, Inc.
Ramtron International Corp.	SRS Labs Inc.	Quicklogic Corp.
NVE		

The peer companies were selected by the Compensation Committee at the recommendation of Compensia and are comparable to us with respect to their revenues (the annual revenues of each peer company were less than \$100 million), market capitalization (the market capitalization of each peer company was equal to or greater than \$25 million), and industry (all of the peer companies were in the intellectual property licensing industry or semiconductor industry).

Generally, the Compensation Committee uses the peer company data as a starting point for assessing the compensation for our executive officers. In reviewing the peer company data in preparation for setting executive compensation, the Compensation Committee tends to focus on the median percentile of the peer group for base salary, the 65th to 75th percentile for target total cash compensation and the 75th to 80th percentile for target total direct compensation, including cash and equity. After considering the peer company data as a point of context, the Compensation Committee then also considers subjective factors such as experience, skills, competencies and performance of the executive. After reviewing these various factors, the Compensation Committee relies upon the judgment of its members in setting executive compensation.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation Components

Our named executive officers' total compensation includes base salary, an annual incentive cash award, and long-term equity incentive compensation

Compensation Element	Objectives	Key Features
Base Salaries	To provide a fixed level of cash compensation to reward demonstrated experience, skills and competencies relative to the market value of the job.	Adjustments are considered annually based on individual performance, level of pay relative to market and internal pay equity.
Short-Term Cash Incentive Awards	Rewards annual corporate and individual performance and achievement of strategic goals. Aligns named executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders by promoting strong annual results through revenue growth and operating efficiency.	Short-term cash incentive payments are cash awards based on financial targets—Revenue and Adjusted EBITDA—for all named executive officers. A portion of the cash incentive award is also based on individual performance evaluated against individual performance goals. Annual incentive awards can vary from 0% to 216% of the target amount.
Long-Term Incentive Awards (Equity Awards)	Retains named executive officers by providing market-competitive compensation. Aligns named executive officers' interests with long-term stockholder interests by linking part of each named executive officers' compensation to stock price performance. Provides opportunities for wealth creation and ownership which promotes retention and enables us to attract and motivate our named executive officers. Retention of named executive officers through multi-year vesting of equity granted and multi-year performance periods.	Utilizes different equity types, including stock options and restricted stock units to balance stockholder interests and retention. Long-term equity awards generally vest in increments over a three or four year period.

Our executive officers are also eligible to participate in our health and benefits plans, retirement savings plans, and our employee stock purchase plan, which are generally available to all of our employees. Although our Compensation Committee has not established a fixed policy for the allocation between cash and equity compensation or short-term and long-term compensation, our Compensation Committee, as part of its evaluation of the compensation of our executive officers, reviews not only the individual elements of compensation, but also total compensation.

Table of Contents**Base Salary**

The base salary levels of our named executive officers are typically considered annually as part of our performance review process, as well as upon the hiring of a named executive officer, a named executive officer's promotion or other change in a named executive officer's job responsibilities.

In early 2012, the Compensation Committee considered the salary of Victor Viegas and Joseph LaValle as part of the performance review process. Because Mr. Viegas' base salary was market competitive, he did not receive an increase in base salary. Mr. LaValle received a 5% increase, which was slightly above the average merit increase to employees generally, because Mr. LaValle's salary was outside of the range that the Compensation Committee determined to be competitive as compared to the peer group.

Name	Title	Salary Increase	Base Salary
Victor Viegas	CEO	0%	\$350,000
Joseph LaValle	VP of Sales	5%	\$210,000

Upon hiring Paul Norris, our Chief Financial Officer, in May 2012, the Compensation Committee approved an above market offer to Mr. Norris based on his specialized skill set, including his past legal and intellectual property licensing experience and our salary history related to prior Chief Financial Officers.

Name	Title	Base Salary
Paul Norris	CFO	\$275,000

In November 2012, following the resignation of Mr. LaValle, we promoted Mr. Sheehan from the Vice President of Marketing to Senior Vice President, Sales and Marketing. In considering Mr. Sheehan's base salary for the promotion, the Compensation Committee reviewed market data provided by Radford blending data from companies with revenue less than \$50 million and companies with revenue between \$50 million to \$199.99 million for similarly situated officers. The Compensation Committee also considered the same blended survey data but for San Francisco Bay Area companies only for comparison purposes. In connection with the promotion, Mr. Sheehan's base salary was increased to \$250,000.

Name	Title	Base Salary
Dennis Sheehan	SVP, Marketing and Sales	\$250,000

Short-Term Cash Incentive Awards

Executive Incentive Plans. The executive incentive plans are cash incentive programs designed to align executive compensation with annual performance and to enable us to attract, retain, and reward individuals who contribute to our success and to motivate such individuals to enhance our value. Our Compensation Committee believes that aggregate incentive payouts should be tightly linked to our performance, with individual compensation differentiated based on individual performance. As a result, funding and payouts under the executive incentive plans are dependent and based on our performance and each individual's performance. Our Compensation Committee reserves the right to cancel, suspend, amend or revise all or any part of the executive incentive plans for any reason at any time.

Our Compensation Committee, with input from our Chief Executive Officer for all executive officers other than our Chief Executive Officer, establishes (1) performance measures based on business criteria and target levels of performance and (2) a formula for calculating each participant's award based on actual performance compared to the pre-established performance goals. Performance measures may be based on a wide variety of business metrics.

Our 2012 executive incentive plans were based on two independent components. A percentage of the bonus was based on the achievement by us of certain corporate financial metrics in 2012 as set forth below, and

Table of Contents

the remainder of the bonus was based on the achievement of individual management objectives. For payment to have been made under the objective component of the plans, we must have met the minimum revenue and adjusted EBITDA targets set forth in the matrix below. In addition, our board determined the performance weighting factors to be applied to the initial calculation of each executive's two independent components of the bonus, which weighting factors are based on each executive's overall annual performance as determined by our board. Each standard weighting factor is 1.0, but can be increased or decreased by 0.2 at the Compensation Committee's or board's discretion, as applicable. If each such weighting factor is increased or decreased from the standard weighting factor of 1.0, then such modified weighting factor is then multiplied by the applicable independently determined component of such executive's incentive plan to determine the total incentive payment.

The GAAP revenue and adjusted EBITDA matrix for the corporate objectives of the 2012 executive incentive plans was set by the Compensation Committee after contemplating the patent enforcement strategy that the company was implementing in 2012, taking into account the impact of the litigation cost on the performance of the company for the year. The matrix was as follows:

Revenue /	\$29,540,000	\$33,232,500	\$36,925,000	\$40,617,500	\$44,310,000
Adjusted					
EBITDA Targets					
\$5,502,000	125.0%	137.5%	150.0%	175.0%	200.0%
5,043,500	100.0	112.5	125.0	150.0	175.0
4,585,000	75.0	87.5	100.0	125.0	150.0
4,126,500	62.5	75.0	87.5	112.5	137.5
3,668,000	50.0	62.5	75.0	100.0	125.0

For purposes of the executive incentive plans, GAAP revenue means revenue recognized by us for the applicable period in accordance with GAAP and as reported in our audited financial statements, and adjusted EBITDA is Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization, with the following exclusions: stock compensation expense, discontinued operations, restatement charges, restructuring charges and any incremental litigation expense caused by adding a defendant(s) to the ITC action and not budgeted for in the 2012 Annual Operating Plan.

Our performance for 2012 resulted in GAAP revenues of \$32.169 million and an Adjusted EBITDA, less exclusions, of \$3.735 million. Interpolating this result between the revenue levels of \$29,540,000 and \$33,232,500 and between the \$3.668M and \$4.126M adjusted EBITDA levels on the table above resulted in a payout percentage of 60.73% of target for this measure.

The table below sets forth the minimum, threshold, target, maximum and actual payout amounts under the 2012 executive incentive plans for the participating named executive officers. The actual payout amounts were computed based on the actual performance as outlined below, under our executive incentive plan for fiscal year 2012.

Name	FY2012 Minimum Award	FY2012 Threshold Award	FY2012 Target Award	FY2012 Maximum Award	FY2012 Actual Award
Victor Viegas	\$0	\$89,600	\$280,000	\$604,800	\$186,435
Paul Norris *	\$0	\$15,574	\$87,452	\$166,943	\$60,789
Dennis Sheehan **	\$0	\$33,636	\$120,129	\$245,063	\$83,503

* Mr. Norris' award calculations are prorated based on a start date of May 14, 2012.

** Mr. Sheehan's award calculations are prorated based on a promotion date of October 1, 2012.

Table of Contents

Victor Viegas. Mr. Viegas had a target incentive opportunity under his 2012 executive incentive plan equal to 80% of his base salary of \$350,000, or \$280,000, and total target cash compensation equal to \$630,000, which the Compensation Committee determined to be market competitive.

Name	Title	Base Salary	Target Bonus (% Salary)	Target Bonus	Target Total Cash
Victor Viegas	CEO	\$350,000	80%	\$280,000	\$630,000

80% of Mr. Viegas' target bonus was based on the achievement by us of the corporate metrics described above, and 20% of his target bonus was based on the achievement of individual management objectives, including increasing average sales prices, hiring a chief financial officer, increasing design win pipeline, developing the compliance function of the company, implementing a user experience culture and various other targeted strategic objectives.

Mr. Viegas earned 60.73% of the corporate component of his bonus. Our board saw no reason to vary the standard 1.0 multiplier as permitted under the plan. For the individual component, our board rated Mr. Viegas' performance of his management objectives at 90% as a result of Mr. Viegas' substantial achievement of the management objectives described above and applied the standard 1.0 multiplier because Mr. Viegas' performance met expectations in 2012.

Name	Corporate Component	Percentage of Target	Corporate Component Multiplier	Actual Corporate Component	Individual Component	Percentage of Target	Individual Component Multiplier	Actual Individual Component	Total 2012 Award
Victor Viegas	\$224,000	60.73%	1.0	\$136,035	\$56,000	90%	1.0	\$50,400	\$186,435

Paul Norris. Mr. Norris had a target incentive opportunity under his 2012 executive incentive plan equal to 50% of his base salary of \$275,000, or \$137,500 and total target cash equal to \$412,500. As stated above, the Compensation Committee determined that Mr. Norris' specialized skill set and historical salaries of prior Chief Financial Officers of the company merited above market compensation.

Name	Title	Base Salary	Target Bonus (% Salary)	Target Bonus	Total Target Cash
Paul Norris	CFO	\$275,000	50%	\$137,500	\$412,500

70% of Mr. Norris' target bonus was based on the achievement by us of the corporate metrics described above, and 30% of his target bonus was based on the achievement of individual management objectives, including implementing the company's compliance program, contributing to the company's IP strategy, leading investor relations and managing various operational initiatives.

Mr. Norris earned 60.73% of the corporate component of his bonus. Our Compensation Committee saw no reason to vary the standard 1.0 multiplier as permitted under the Plan. For the individual component, our Compensation Committee rated Mr. Norris' performance of his management objectives at 90% as a result of Mr. Norris' substantial achievement of the management objectives described above and applied the standard 1.0 multiplier because Mr. Norris' performance met expectations in 2012.

Name	Corporate Component	Percentage of Target	Corporate Component Multiplier	Actual Corporate Component	Individual Component	Percentage of Target	Individual Component Multiplier	Actual Individual Component	Total 2012 Award
Paul Norris*	\$61,216	60.73%	1.0	\$37,177	\$26,236	90%	1.0	\$23,612	\$60,789

* Mr. Norris' award calculations are prorated based on a start date of May 14, 2012.

Table of Contents

Dennis Sheehan. Mr. Sheehan had a target incentive opportunity under his 2012 executive incentive plan equal to 60% of his base salary of \$250,000, or \$150,000 and total target cash is equal to \$400,000, which the Compensation Committee determined was market competitive.

Name	Title	Base Salary	Target Bonus (% Salary)	Target Bonus	Total Target Cash
Dennis Sheehan	SVP, Marketing and Sales	\$250,000	60%	\$150,000	\$400,000

70% of Mr. Sheehan's target bonus was based on the achievement by us of the corporate metrics described above, and 30% of his target bonus was based on the achievement of individual management objectives, including increasing share at existing sales accounts and winning new accounts, obtaining design wins, executing marketing programs, growing application developer program and various other strategic initiatives.

Mr. Sheehan earned 60.73% of the corporate component of his bonus. Our Compensation Committee saw no reason to vary the standard 1.0 multiplier as permitted under the Plan. For the individual component, our Compensation Committee rated Mr. Sheehan's performance of his management objectives at 90% as a result of Mr. Sheehan's substantial achievement of the management objectives described above, and applied the standard 1.0 multiplier because Mr. Sheehan's performance met expectations in 2012.

Name	Corporate Component	Percentage of Target	Corporate Component Multiplier	Actual Corporate Component	Individual Component	Percentage of Target	Individual Component Multiplier	Actual Individual Component	Total 2012 Award
Dennis Sheehan*	\$84,090	60.73%	1.0	\$51,068	\$36,038	90%	1.0	\$32,434	\$83,503

*Mr. Sheehan's award calculations are prorated based on a promotion date of October 1, 2012.

Although Mr. LaValle had a 2012 executive incentive plan similar to those of the other named executive officers, because he terminated employment prior to the end of fiscal 2012, he was not eligible for payment of a bonus under his plan.

Long-Term Equity Incentive Awards

The Compensation Committee approved annual equity awards to our named executive officers in the fiscal year 2012 after considering the target value of equity for each named executive officer, individual and corporate performance generally, the total compensation levels by our executives and the need to retain executives through a year of potential turbulence as the company undertook its patent enforcement strategy. Our Compensation Committee considers both executive and corporate performance as well as the actual compensation levels of our compensation peer companies when determining the size of equity awards. This year the Compensation Committee elected to award exclusively restricted stock units to the executives, with the exception of Mr. Norris, who received a new hire option grant earlier in the year and was not eligible for an annual grant, after a review of market data showed an increasing trend toward the use of restricted stock units for annual grants. The Compensation Committee utilizes a four-year vesting period for stock options and three-year vesting period for restricted stock units to promote long-term alignment with shareholders and to retain executives over time.

Victor Viegas. In reviewing Mr. Viegas' equity compensation for 2012, the Compensation Committee considered the peer group data as well as the value of Mr. Viegas' existing unvested equity in relation to his salary, targeting a range of 3.0-5.0x salary for retention purposes. As part of his compensation for 2012, Mr. Viegas received a grant of 90,000 restricted stock units with a value at the date of grant of \$618,300.

Table of Contents

Paul Norris. Mr. Norris was hired on May 14, 2012 and received an option to purchase 250,000 shares of common stock as part of our new hire process. The amount of the grant was determined by negotiation between the Compensation Committee and Mr. Norris and was in line with prior new hire option grants made to past Chief Financial Officers of the company.

Dennis Sheehan. Mr. Sheehan was promoted on October 1, 2012 and received a restricted stock grant in connection with his promotion of 50,000 shares.

Severance and Change in Control Payments

We have, from time to time, entered into offer letters or employment agreements that contain certain benefits payable, in certain situations, upon termination or change in control. All such benefits extended to our executive officers are approved by our Compensation Committee in order to be competitive in our hiring and retention of executive officers, in comparison with companies with which we compete for talent.

We have entered into retention and change in control agreements with our executive officers with the goal of retaining such executive officers during the pendency of a proposed change of control transaction, and in order to align the interests of the executive officers with our stockholders in the event of a change in control. We believe that a proposed or actual change in control transaction can adversely impact the morale of officers and create uncertainty regarding their continued employment. Without the benefits under the change in control agreements, executive officers may be tempted to leave our employment prior to the closing of the change in control, especially if they believe they will be terminated after the transaction closes, and any such departures could jeopardize the consummation of the transaction or our interests if the transaction does not close and we remain independent. Our Compensation Committee believes that these benefits therefore serve to enhance stockholder value and align the executive officers' interests with those of our stockholders in change in control transactions. For a description of such agreements with our named executive officers, see *Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control* below.

Other Benefits

We provide certain executive officers with perquisites and other personal benefits that our Compensation Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation programs and philosophy and which benefits are generally available to all of our employees. These benefits are provided in order to enable us to attract and retain these executives. Our Compensation Committee periodically reviews the levels of these benefits provided to our executive officers. These benefits include participation in our health and benefits plans, retirement savings plans and our employee stock purchase plan.

Equity Compensation Grant Practices

We do not have any program, plan, or practice to select equity compensation (including stock option) grant dates in coordination with the release of material non-public information, nor do we time the release of information for the purpose of affecting value. For stock options issued pursuant to our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan, employees have seven years from the date of the grant to exercise vested options, assuming they remain an employee of or service provider to us or our subsidiaries and subject to any requirements of local law. For all other stock options, employees have ten years from the date of the grant to exercise vested options, assuming they remain an employee of or service provider to us or our subsidiaries and subject to any requirements of local law.

New Hire Grants. New hire grants of equity compensation are made to eligible employees in connection with the commencement of employment. New hire grants become effective on and are priced as of the tenth business day of the month following the month of hire. These grants generally become fully vested after four years, with 1/4th of the grant vesting on the first anniversary of the date of commencement of employment and

Table of Contents

1/48th of the grant vesting monthly thereafter. Grants to individuals of 50,000 shares or less, not to exceed an aggregate of 300,000 shares in any fiscal quarter, are made by the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to the delegation of power by the Compensation Committee. Such grants must be granted on the tenth business day of each month for individuals who were employees as of the last day of the previous month. All other grants are made by our Compensation Committee.

Annual Grants. Annual restricted stock unit grants are awarded at our regularly scheduled board meeting held in February and are made on the second business day after the release of our year-end earnings release. These grants typically vest as to 1/3rd of shares on an annual basis assuming continued service and subject to any requirements of local law.

Compensation Committee Consideration of Our 2011 Say-on-Pay Vote

As required under the Dodd Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, at our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, we asked our stockholders to vote, on an advisory basis, on the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in our 2011 Proxy Statement. Our 2011 management say-on-pay proposal received the approval of approximately 98% of the votes cast at the 2011 annual meeting. Our Compensation Committee reviewed the final voting results, and, given the significant level of stockholder support, we have not made any changes to our executive compensation policies or decisions as a result of the vote.

At our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, a plurality of our stockholders voted to hold the advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers every three years. Therefore, in accordance with the stockholder vote, our board has decided to submit the next say-on-pay proposal to our stockholders at our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2012 concerning our equity compensation plans:

Plan Category (1)	Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options (a) (#)	Weighted- average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options (b) (\$/sh)	Number of Shares to be Issued Upon Settlement of Outstanding Restricted Stock Awards/Units (c) (#)	Number of Shares Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Shares Reflected in Column (a) and (c) (#)
Equity compensation plans approved by stockholders (2)	2,899,262	\$ 6.75	752,651(3)	3,928,228(4)
Equity compensation plans not approved by stockholders (5)	255,369	5.55	-	-
Total	3,154,631		752,651	3,928,228

- (1) The table does not include information for equity compensation plans assumed by us in business combinations. As part of the business combination with Immersion Medical in fiscal 2000, we assumed Immersion Medical's 1998 stock option plan. A total of 1,000 shares of common stock are reserved for issuance under this plan. The majority of the options outstanding under this plan vest at the rate of 1/4 of the shares on the first anniversary of the date of grant and 1/48 of the shares monthly thereafter. The

Table of Contents

1998 Plan provides, in certain instances, for accelerated vesting of the options upon a change of control. All of the options expire 10 years from the date of the grant. The number of shares to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options under plans assumed in business combinations at December 31, 2012 was 1,000 and the weighted average exercise price was \$3.81.

- (2) Consists of three plans: the Immersion Corporation 1997 Stock Option Plan, the 2007 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2011 Equity Incentive Plan.
- (3) These restricted stock units and awards have no exercise price.
- (4) Includes 517,481 shares available for future issuance under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.
- (5) As of December 31, 2012, we had issued and outstanding 255,369 shares of common stock for issuance pursuant to the 2008 Employment Inducement Award Plan. Each option granted pursuant to the 2008 Employment Inducement Award Plan has a 10-year term and vests at the rate of 1/4 of the shares on the first anniversary of the date of commencement of employment and 1/48 of the shares monthly thereafter.

Impact of Accounting and Tax Requirements on Compensation

We are limited by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 to a deduction for federal income tax purposes of up to \$1,000,000 of compensation paid to our named executive officers in a taxable year. Compensation above \$1,000,000 may be deducted if, by meeting certain technical requirements, it can be classified as performance-based compensation. The stock options and restricted stock unit awards granted under our 2007 Equity Incentive Plan and 2011 Equity Incentive Plan are intended to be treated under current federal tax law as performance-based compensation exempt from limitation on deductibility. Although our Compensation Committee uses the requirements of Section 162(m) as a guideline, deductibility is not the sole factor it considers in assessing the appropriate levels and types of executive compensation and it will elect to forego deductibility when it believes it to be in our and our stockholders' best interests.

In addition to considering the tax consequences, our Compensation Committee considers the accounting consequences of, including the impact of the FASB ASC Topic 718, in its decisions in determining the forms of different awards and generally attempts to keep the value of awards equivalent regardless of type.

Risk Assessment of Compensation Programs

The Compensation Committee considers potential risks when reviewing and approving compensation programs. We have designed our compensation programs, including our incentive compensation plans, with specific features to address potential risks while rewarding employees for achieving financial and strategic objectives through prudent business judgment and appropriate risk taking. The following elements have been incorporated in our programs available for our executive officers:

A Balanced Mix of Compensation Components The target compensation mix for our executive officers is composed of salary, annual cash incentives and long-term equity incentives, representing a mix that is not overly weighted toward short-term cash incentives.

Multiple Performance Factors Our incentive compensation plans use both company-wide metrics and individual performance, which encourage focus on the achievement of objectives for our overall benefit:

The executive incentive plans are dependent on multiple performance metrics including revenue and adjusted EBITDA, as well as individual goals related to specific strategic or operational objectives and the corporate metric portion of the incentive plan does not pay out unless certain financial metrics are met.

The long-term incentives are equity-based, with three and four year vesting to complement our annual cash based incentives.

Table of Contents

Capped Incentive Awards Awards under the executive incentive plans are generally capped at the sum of: (a) 200% of the target bonus attributable to company-wide metrics (with a maximum multiplier of 1.2), plus (b) 100% of the target bonus attributable to individual performance (with a maximum multiplier of 1.2).

Clawback Provision The clawback provision provides our board with the authority to recoup past incentive compensation in the event of a material restatement of Immersion's financial results due to fraud, intentional misconduct or gross negligence of the executive officer.

Additionally, our Compensation Committee considered an assessment of compensation-related risks for all of our employees. Based on this assessment and the factors noted above, our Compensation Committee concluded that our compensation programs do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us. In making this evaluation, our Compensation Committee reviewed the key design elements of our compensation programs in relation to industry best practices as presented by Compensia, as well as the means by which any potential risks may be mitigated, such as through our internal controls and oversight by management and the board.

Conclusion

In evaluating the individual components of overall compensation for each of our executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviews not only the individual elements of compensation, but also total compensation. Through the compensation programs described above, a significant portion of the compensation awarded to our executive officers is contingent upon each individual's and our performance. Our Compensation Committee remains committed to this philosophy of pay-for-performance and will continue to review executive compensation programs to ensure that the interests of our stockholders are served.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The following report of the Compensation Committee shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to otherwise be considered filed with the SEC, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (or the Securities Act), or the Exchange Act except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference into such filing.

We, the Compensation Committee of the board of directors of Immersion Corporation, have reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis contained in this Proxy Statement with management. Based on such review and discussion, we have recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this Proxy Statement and incorporated by reference into our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE

Jack Saltich, Chairman

David Sugishita

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

Jack Saltich, and David Sugishita were members of our Compensation Committee during the 2012 fiscal year. None of the individuals serving on our Compensation Committee were at any time during 2012, or at any other time, an officer or employee of us, nor did they have any relationships requiring disclosure by us under the SEC's rules requiring disclosure of certain relationships and related party transactions. None of our executive officers serve as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board or our Compensation Committee.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION TABLES****2012 Summary Compensation Table**

The following table sets forth information concerning the compensation earned during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 by our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, our Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing, and our former Vice President, Sales. No other officer met the definition of named executive officer for 2012.

Name & Principal Position	Fiscal Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Victor Viegas Chief Executive Officer and Interim Chief Financial Officer (1)	2012	\$ 347,308	\$ -	\$ 618,300	\$ -	\$ 186,435	\$ -	\$ 1,152,043
	2011	341,923	-	184,201	167,712	248,089	-	941,925
	2010	346,710	101	-	-	350,502	-	697,313
Paul Norris Chief Financial Officer (2)	2012	175,577	-	-	844,400	60,789	-	1,080,766
Dennis Sheehan SVP Marketing & Sales (3)	2012	227,433	-	593,390	-	83,503	-	904,326
Joseph LaValle Former Vice President, Sales (4)	2012	206,198	-	206,100	-	-	152,756 (7)	565,054
	2011	200,000	-	55,088	64,502	106,533	23,969 (8)	450,092
	2010	189,534	101	95,030	29,086	120,929	-	434,680

- (1) On October 21, 2009, Mr. Viegas was appointed Interim Chief Executive Officer, on April 18, 2010, he was named Chief Executive Officer, and on December 15, 2011, he was appointed Interim Chief Financial Officer.
- (2) Mr. Norris joined us as our Chief Financial Officer on May 14, 2012.
- (3) Mr. Sheehan was appointed Senior Vice President Sales and Marketing effective October 1, 2012.
- (4) Mr. LaValle was appointed Vice President, Sales, Touch on August 3, 2009. He became Vice President, Sales on August 20, 2010. Mr. LaValle resigned from his position effective November 29, 2012.
- (5) The amounts in this column represent the aggregate grant date fair value of the awards, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. See note 10 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for a discussion of our assumptions in determining the FASB ASC Topic 718 values.
- (6) Consists of bonus awards under our executive incentive plans or commission awards under our variable compensation plan. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis for a description of our executive incentive plan.
- (7) Consists of (i) severance payments of \$105,000 in 2012 pursuant to a Retention and Ownership Change Event Agreement dated September 3, 2010 between Mr. LaValle and us and (ii) expenses related to temporary assignment in Taiwan including \$1,881 related to obtaining a work permit and visa, \$25,313 for paid housing and set-up allowance, \$1,000 per month allowance for food and local travel when not on business and \$10,062 for spousal travel expenses.
- (8) Consists of expenses related to temporary assignment in Taiwan including \$2,850 related to obtaining a work permit and visa, \$13,939 for paid housing and set-up allowance, \$1,000 per month allowance for food and local travel when not on business, and \$4,130 for spousal travel expenses.

Table of Contents**2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table sets forth information concerning each grant of an award made to a named executive officer during the year ended December 31, 2012:

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards (1)			All other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (2) (#)	All Other Option Award Number of Securities Underlying Options (3) (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)				
Victor Viegas	3/5/2012	\$ 89,600	\$ 280,000	\$ 604,800	90,000	-	\$ -	618,300
Paul Norris	6/14/2012	17,799 ⁽⁴⁾	87,452 ⁽⁴⁾	181,257 ⁽⁴⁾	-	250,000	6.12	844,400
Dennis Sheehan	3/5/2012	10,621 ⁽⁵⁾	37,931 ⁽⁵⁾	77,379 ⁽⁵⁾	47,000	-	-	322,890
	11/14/2012	-	-	-	50,000	-	-	270,500
Joe LaValle ⁽⁶⁾	3/5/2012	-	-	-	30,000	-	-	206,100

- (1) These awards were made pursuant to the 2012 executive incentive plans for each of Mr. Viegas, Mr. Norris and Mr. Sheehan. For a description of the criteria upon which the awards are determined, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above.
- (2) These restricted stock units are long-term equity incentive awards granted pursuant to our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. For more information related to these awards, including the vesting schedule, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above.
- (3) These options are long-term equity incentive awards granted pursuant to our 2011 Equity Incentive Plan. For more information related to these awards, including the vesting schedule, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" above.
- (4) Prorated based on Mr. Norris' commencement of employment as of May 14, 2012.
- (5) Prorated based on Mr. Sheehan's promotion effective October 1, 2012.
- (6) Although Mr. LaValle had a 2012 executive incentive plan similar to those of Mr. Viegas, Mr. Norris and Mr. Sheehan, because he terminated employment prior to the end of 2012, he was not eligible for payment of bonuses under this plan.

Table of Contents**OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT DECEMBER 31, 2012**

The following table sets forth information concerning the outstanding equity awards held as of December 31, 2012 by our named executive officers:

	Stock Option Grant Date	Option Awards(1) Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options		Option Exercise Price (\$/sh)	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards(2) Number of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (3) Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)	
		Exercisable (#)	Unexercisable (#)			Not Vested (#)	Not Vested (\$)
Victor Viegas	2/4/2004	200,000		7.00	2/4/2014		
	6/5/2006	100,000		6.11	6/5/2016		
	3/3/2008	10,000		8.61	3/3/2018		
	3/4/2009	8,500		2.70	3/4/2019		
	11/13/2009	475,000	125,000(4)	3.85	11/13/2019		
	3/14/2011	18,958	24,375	6.61	3/14/2021		
	3/14/2011					18,578	127,631
	3/5/2012					90,000	618,300
Paul Norris	6/14/2012		250,000(5)	6.12	6/14/2019		
Dennis Sheehan	2/13/2009	29,375	625	4.63	2/13/2019		
	4/1/2010					4,166	28,620
	4/1/2010					4,166	28,620
	9/15/2010	14,583	10,417	5.06	9/15/2020		
	3/14/2011	10,937	14,063	6.61	3/14/2021		
	3/14/2011					8,333	57,248
	3/5/2012					47,000	322,890
	11/14/2012					50,000	343,500
Joe LaValle	9/15/2009	40,218		4.16	2/28/2013		
	9/15/2010	5,625		5.06	2/28/2013		
	3/14/2011	6,944		6.61	2/28/2013		

- (1) Except as otherwise indicated, options vest as to 25% of the shares on the one year anniversary of the grant date and the remaining vest at a monthly rate of one forty-eighth.
- (2) The restricted stock units vest in three equal installments on each of the first three anniversaries of the date of grant.
- (3) Based on the closing price of our common stock of \$6.87 per share on The NASDAQ Global Market on December 31, 2012.
- (4) Option vests at a monthly rate of one forty-eighth.
- (5) Option vests as to 25% of the shares on the one year anniversary of the date of commencement of employment and the remaining vest at a monthly rate of one forty-eighth.

Table of Contents**Option Exercises and Stock Vested In 2012 Fiscal Year**

There were no option exercise in 2012.

The following table provides information concerning the vesting of restricted stock units issued to our named executive officers during the year ended December 31, 2012:

Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting(#)	Value Realized on Vesting (1)(\$)
Victor Viegas	9,289	\$49,325
Paul Norris		
Dennis Sheehan	8,334	\$45,504
	4,167	\$22,127
Joseph LaValle	5,667	\$30,942
	2,778	\$14,751

(1) Calculated by multiplying the number of vested restricted stock units by the market value of our common stock on the vesting date.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

We have entered into the following agreements with each of our named executive officers that provide for severance benefits, and for additional benefits in connection with our change in control:

Mr. Victor Viegas

Effective October 20, 2009, Mr. Viegas became our Interim Chief Executive Officer. In connection with his appointment, we entered into an employment agreement with Mr. Viegas pursuant to which he receives an annual base salary of \$350,000 and is eligible to receive an annual bonus of up to 60% of his base salary.

If the employment of Mr. Viegas is terminated without cause, as defined in the agreement or he resigns for constructive reason, as defined in the agreement, he would be entitled to receive, as severance, a payment equal to 12 months of his base salary and health insurance premium payments for 12 months. In addition, Mr. Viegas will also be entitled to immediate vesting of 70% of his then unvested equity awards.

In the event that Mr. Viegas is terminated without cause or resigns for constructive reason within three months of, or within 1 year following, a change of control, as defined in the agreement, Mr. Viegas will be entitled to receive a lump sum severance payment equal to 12 months base salary and health insurance premium payments for 12 months. In addition, Mr. Viegas will also be entitled to immediate vesting of 70% of his then unvested equity awards held by him.

Payment of the foregoing benefits will be conditioned upon Mr. Viegas execution of a general release of claims.

Mr. Paul Norris

Effective May 14, 2012, Mr. Norris became our Chief Financial Officer. In connection with his appointment, we entered into an offer letter with Mr. Norris pursuant to which he receives an annual base salary of \$275,000 and is eligible to receive an annual bonus with a target of 50% of his base salary.

Table of Contents

On June 14, 2012, Mr. Norris received an option to purchase 250,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to the 2011 Employee Incentive Plan. This option will vest over four years at the rate of 25% on the one year anniversary of the commencement of employment, and thereafter in equal monthly installments at the rate of 1/48th per month until fully vested.

In addition, we entered into a Retention and Ownership Change Event Agreement with Mr. Norris, which provides that in the event that his employment is terminated without cause, Mr. Norris will be entitled to receive (i) a lump sum severance payment equal to 12 months base salary; (ii) payments for COBRA premiums for up to 12 months following his termination date; and (iii) immediate vesting of 70% of his then unvested equity awards held by him.

In the event that, within 1 year following a change in control, as defined in the agreement, Mr. Norris' employment is terminated without cause or if he resigns for good reason, Mr. Norris would be entitled to receive (a) a lump sum severance payment equal to 12 months of his base salary; (b) health insurance premium payments for up to 12 months following his terminate date; and (c) immediate vesting of 70% of his then unvested equity awards held by him, which option would then become exercisable for 6 months after such termination.

Payment of the foregoing benefits will be conditioned upon Mr. Norris' execution of a general release of claims.

Mr. Dennis Sheehan

We also entered into a retention and ownership change event agreement with Mr. Sheehan. This agreement provides for the payment of severance and health insurance premiums upon the occurrence of certain events. In the event that his employment is terminated without cause, Mr. Sheehan will be entitled to receive a lump sum severance payment equal to 6 months base salary and payments of health insurance premiums for the earlier of 6 months or the date on which Mr. Sheehan first becomes eligible to obtain other group health insurance coverage. In the event Mr. Sheehan's employment is terminated without cause, or is terminated by him with good reason, in either case, in connection with an ownership change event of our company, then Mr. Sheehan will also be entitled to receive (i) a lump sum severance payment equal to 12 months base salary; (ii) payments of health insurance premiums for the earlier of 12 months or the date on which Mr. Sheehan first becomes eligible to obtain other group health insurance coverage; and (iii) immediate vesting of 50% of his unvested equity awards held by him. Payment of the foregoing benefits will be conditioned upon Mr. Sheehan's execution of a general release of claims.

We entered into an offer of promotion to Senior VP, Sales & Marketing with Mr. Sheehan on September 26, 2012 pursuant to which he received an annual base salary of \$250,000 and is eligible to receive an annual bonus with a target of 60% of his base salary. On November 14, 2012, Mr. Sheehan received a restricted stock unit grant of 50,000 shares in connection with the promotion which vests over a three year period.

Mr. Joseph LaValle

We were party to a retention and ownership change event agreement with Mr. LaValle, which provided that in the event that his employment is terminated without cause, Mr. LaValle would be entitled to receive a lump sum severance payment equal to 6 months base salary and payments of health insurance premiums for the earlier of 6 months or the date on which Mr. LaValle first becomes eligible to obtain other group health insurance coverage.

Mr. LaValle, our Vice President, Sales, resigned from his employment effective November 29, 2012. In connection with Mr. LaValle's resignation, we paid Mr. LaValle a severance payment in the amount of approximately \$105,000, representing 6 months of his then-current monthly base salary. In addition, he received payment of COBRA premiums for 6 months.

Table of Contents

The table below shows the potential value for each named executive officer as of December 31, 2012 under various terminations of employment related scenarios, assuming that the triggering event for such value transfer occurred on December 31, 2012.

Named Executive Officer	Termination without cause or resignation for good reason or constructive reason	Event Termination without cause or resignation for good reason or constructive reason occurs due to a change in control
Victor Viegas		
Severance	\$ 350,000	\$ 350,000
COBRA Benefits	28,946	28,946
Equity Acceleration	790,838	790,838
TOTAL	\$ 1,169,784	\$ 1,169,784
Paul Norris		
Severance	\$ 275,000	\$ 275,000
COBRA Benefits	20,343	20,343
Equity Acceleration	131,250	131,250
TOTAL	\$ 426,593	\$ 426,593
Dennis Sheehan		
Severance	\$ 125,000	\$ 250,000
COBRA Benefits	8,446	16,892
Equity Acceleration	0	40,395
TOTAL	\$ 133,446	\$ 669,287

Dollar amounts include potential severance payout, potential COBRA payments and potential equity award acceleration based on the fair market value on December 31, 2012 less the exercise price.

Additionally, as disclosed above, Mr. LaValle received \$105,000 upon his separation from us, effective November 29, 2012.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL NO. 2****RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM**

We are asking the stockholders to ratify the Audit Committee's engagement of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. In the event the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, our Audit Committee will reconsider its engagement. Even if the engagement is ratified, our Audit Committee, in its discretion, may direct the engagement of a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if our Audit Committee feels that such a change would be in our and our stockholders' best interest. Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting, will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Deloitte & Touche LLP has been the independent registered public accounting firm that audits our financial statements since 1997. In accordance with standing policy, Deloitte & Touche LLP periodically changes the personnel who work on the audit.

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees billed to us for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 by our principal accounting firm, Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates:

	2012	2011
Audit Fees (1)	\$ 457,198	\$ 464,980
Audit Related Fees (2)	-	-
Tax Fees (3)		
Tax Compliance/Preparation	17,283	28,456
Other Tax Services	9,459	9,350
Total Tax Fees	26,742	37,806
All Other Fees (4)	-	-
Total Fees	\$ 483,940	\$ 502,786

(1) Audit fees consist of fees billed, or expected to be billed, for professional services rendered for the audits of our consolidated financial statements and the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting, along with reviews of interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports, services that are normally provided by Deloitte & Touche LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, and attestation services.

(2) Audit-related fees consist of fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of our consolidated financial statements and are not reported under Audit Fees.

(3) Tax fees consist of tax compliance/preparation and other tax services. Tax compliance/preparation consists of fees billed for tax return preparation, claims for refunds, and tax payment planning services related to federal, state, and international taxes. Other tax services consist of fees billed for services including tax advice, tax strategy, and other miscellaneous tax consulting and planning. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, and for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, our domestic tax returns were handled by Armanino McKenna LLP.

(4) All other fees consist of fees for all other services other than those reported above. Our intent is to minimize services in this category.

Table of Contents

Our Audit Committee has determined that all services performed by Deloitte & Touche LLP are compatible with maintaining the independence of Deloitte & Touche LLP. In addition, since the effective date of the SEC rules stating that an independent public accounting firm is not independent of an audit client if the services it provides to the client are not appropriately approved, our Audit Committee has approved, and will continue to pre-approve all services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm. These services may include audit services, audit-related services, tax services, and other services. None of the services described above were approved by our Audit Committee pursuant to the waiver of pre-approval provisions set forth in applicable rules of the SEC.

Our Audit Committee has adopted a policy for the pre-approval of services provided by the independent registered public accounting firm, pursuant to which it may pre-approve certain audit fees, audit-related fees, tax fees, and fees for other services. Under the policy, our Audit Committee may also delegate authority to pre-approve certain specified audit or permissible non-audit services to one or more of its members. A member to whom pre-approval authority has been delegated must report his pre-approval decisions, if any, to our Audit Committee at its next meeting. Unless our Audit Committee determines otherwise, the term for any service pre-approved by a member to whom pre-approval authority has been delegated is twelve months.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS UNANIMOUSLY RECOMMENDS A VOTE FOR THE RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM.

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

This report of the Audit Committee is required by the Securities and Exchange Commission, and is not to be deemed soliciting material, is not to be deemed filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of Immersion Corporation under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any filing.

Under the guidance of a written charter adopted by our board, the purpose of our Audit Committee is to retain an independent registered public accounting firm, to make such examinations as are necessary to monitor the corporate financial reporting of the internal and external audits and its subsidiaries, to provide to the board the results of its examinations and recommendations derived therefrom, to outline to the board the improvements made, or to be made, in internal accounting controls, and to provide the board such additional information and materials as it may deem necessary to make the board aware of significant financial matters that require the attention of the board.

Management is primarily responsible for the system of internal controls and the financial reporting process. The independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for expressing an opinion on the financial statements based on an audit conducted in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards. Our Audit Committee is responsible for monitoring and overseeing these processes.

In this context and in connection with the audited financial statements contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012, our Audit Committee:

reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management;

discussed with Deloitte & Touche LLP, with and without management present, the matters required to be discussed under the Statement of Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, (AICPA, *Professional Standards*, Vol. 1, AU section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board in Rule 3200T;

received the written disclosures and the letter from Deloitte & Touche LLP required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence; discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm its independence; and concluded that the nonaudit services performed by Deloitte & Touche LLP are compatible with maintaining its independence; and

based on the foregoing reviews and discussions, recommended to the board that the audited financial statements be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 filed with the SEC.

AUDIT COMMITTEE

David Sugishita, Chairman

John Fichthorn

Carl Schlachte

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, requires our officers, directors, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock to file initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership with the SEC. These persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms filed by such persons.

Based solely on our review of the forms furnished to us and written representations from certain reporting persons, we believe that, all filing requirements applicable to our officers, directors, and persons who beneficially own more than 10% of our common stock were complied with during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2014 ANNUAL MEETING

Stockholder proposals may be included in our proxy materials for an annual meeting so long as they are provided to us on a timely basis and satisfy the other conditions set forth in applicable SEC rules. For a stockholder proposal to be included in our proxy materials for the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders, the proposal must be received at our principal executive offices, addressed to the Corporate Secretary, not later than December 24, 2013. Stockholder business that is not intended for inclusion in our proxy materials may be brought before the Annual Meeting so long as we receive notice of the proposal or director nomination as specified by our Bylaws, addressed to the Corporate Secretary at our principal executive offices, not later than December 24, 2013.

STOCKHOLDERS SHARING THE SAME ADDRESS

The SEC has adopted rules that allow a company to deliver a single proxy statement or annual report to an address shared by two or more of its stockholders. This method of delivery, known as householding, permits us to realize significant cost savings, reduces the amount of duplicate information stockholders receive, and reduces the environmental impact of printing and mailing documents to you. Under this process, certain stockholders will receive only one copy of our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and, if applicable, this Proxy Statement and the Annual Report and any additional proxy materials that are delivered until such time as one or more of these stockholders notifies us that they want to receive separate copies. Any stockholders who object to or wish to begin householding may notify our Investor Relations Department at (408) 467-1900 or Investor Relations, Immersion Corporation, 30 Rio Robles, San Jose, California 95134. Upon written or oral request, we will promptly send an individual copy of our Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and, if applicable, this Proxy Statement and the Annual Report and any additional proxy materials to any stockholder who revokes their consent to householding.

FISCAL YEAR 2012 ANNUAL REPORT AND SEC FILINGS

Our financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 are included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our Annual Report and this proxy statement are posted on our website at <http://ir.immersion.com> and are available from the SEC at its website at www.sec.gov. If you do not have access to the Internet or have not received a copy of our Annual Report, you may request a copy of it or any exhibits thereto without charge by writing to our Corporate Secretary, at Immersion Corporation, 30 Rio Robles, San Jose, CA 95134.

OTHER MATTERS

Our board knows of no other matters to be presented for stockholder action at the Annual Meeting. However, if other matters do properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof, our board intends that the persons named in the proxies will vote upon such matters in accordance with their discretion.

Table of Contents

Table of Contents