HEALTHSOUTH CORP Form DEF 14A April 02, 2013 Table of Contents

# **UNITED STATES**

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

# Washington, D.C. 20549

# **SCHEDULE 14A**

#### (Rule 14-101)

## INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT

## **SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities

Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant "

Check the appropriate box:

- " Preliminary Proxy Statement
- " Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- x Definitive Proxy Statement
- " Definitive Additional Materials
- " Soliciting Material under Rule 14a-12

# **HealthSouth Corporation**

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if Other Than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- x No fee required.
- " Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

- (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
- (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- " Fee paid previously with preliminary materials:
- " Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
  - (1) Amount previously paid:
  - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
  - (3) Filing Party:
  - (4) Date Filed:

April 2, 2013

Dear Fellow Stockholder:

I am pleased to invite you to attend our 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of HealthSouth Corporation, to be held on Thursday, May 2, 2013, at 11:00 a.m., central time, at our corporate headquarters at 3660 Grandview Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama.

We will review our 2012 performance, discuss our outlook for 2013, and respond to any questions you may have. We will also consider the items of business described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders and Internet Availability of Proxy Materials and in the Proxy Statement accompanying this letter. The Proxy Statement contains important information about the matters to be voted on and the process for voting, along with information about HealthSouth, its management and its directors.

**Every stockholder** s vote is important to us. Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting in person, *please promptly vote* by submitting your proxy by phone, by internet or by mail. The Commonly Asked Questions section of the Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy card contain detailed instructions for submitting your proxy. If you plan to attend the annual meeting in person, you must provide proof of share ownership, such as an account statement, and a form of personal identification in order to be admitted to the meeting.

On behalf of the directors, management and employees of HealthSouth, thank you for your continued support of and ownership in our company.

Sincerely,

Jon F. Hanson Chairman of the Board of Directors

## **HEALTHSOUTH CORPORATION**

Notice of Annual Meeting of Stockholders

and

**Internet Availability of Proxy Materials** 

TIME	11:00 a.m., central time, on Thursday, May 2, 2013				
PLACE	HEALTHSOUTH CORPORATION				
	Corporate Headquarters				
	3660 Grandview Parkway, Suite 200				
	Birmingham, Alabama 35243				
	Directions to the annual meeting are available by calling				
	Investor Relations at 1-205-968-6400				
ITEMS OF BUSINESS	<ol> <li>To elect eleven directors to the board of directors to serve until our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.</li> <li>The board of directors recommends a vote FOR each nominee.</li> </ol>				
	<ul> <li>To ratify the appointment by HealthSouth s Audit Committee of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as HealthSouth s independent registered public accounting firm.</li> <li>The board of directors recommends a vote FOR ratification.</li> </ul>				
	(3) To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers as disclosed in the HealthSouth Corporation Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2013 annual meeting. The board of directors recommends a vote FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers.				
	(4) To transact such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting and any adjournment or postponement.				
RECORD DATE	You can vote if you are a holder of record of HealthSouth common or preferred stock on March 4, 2013.				
PROXY VOTING	Your vote is important. Please vote in one of these ways:				

- Via internet: Go to http://www.proxyvote.com and follow the instructions. You will need to enter the control number printed on your proxy card;
- (2) By telephone: Call toll-free 1-800-690-6903 and follow the instructions. You will need to enter the control number printed on your proxy card;
- (3) In writing: Complete, sign, date and promptly return your proxy card in the enclosed envelope; or

(4) Submit a ballot in person at the annual meeting of stockholders. **Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials** 

For the Stockholders Meeting to be Held on May 2, 2013

HealthSouth s Proxy Statement on Schedule 14A, form of proxy card, and 2012 Annual Report (including the 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K) are available at http://www.proxyvote.com after entering the control number printed on your proxy card.

Birmingham, Alabama April 2, 2013 John P. Whittington Corporate Secretary

#### HEALTHSOUTH CORPORATION

#### PROXY STATEMENT

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

#### **INTRODUCTION**

COMMONLY ASKED QUESTIONS	
ITEMS OF BUSINESS REQUIRING YOUR VOTE	7
Proposal 1 Election of Directors	7
Proposal 2 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	12
Proposal 3 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	14
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD STRUCTURE	15
Corporate Governance	15
Corporate Governance Guidelines	15
Code of Ethics	15
Corporate Website	15
Board Policy on Majority Voting for Directors	16
Role of the Board in Oversight of the Company s Risks	16
Communications to Directors	16
Board Structure and Director Nominations	16
Board Structure and Meetings	16
Criteria for Board Members	17
Director Nomination Process	18
Internal Process for Identifying Candidates	18
Proposals for Director Nominees by Stockholders	18
Evaluation of Candidates	19
Director Independence	19
Review of Director Independence	19
Determination of Director Independence	19
Standards of Director Independence	20
Committees of the Board of Directors	21
Committee Memberships and Meetings	21
Audit Committee	21
Compensation Committee	22
Compliance/Quality of Care Committee	22
Finance Committee	23
Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee	23
Compensation of Directors	24
Indemnification and Exculpation	25
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	26
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE MATTERS	27
Scope of Authority	27
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	27
Compensation Committee Report	27

Page

Compensation Discussion and Analysis	28
Executive Summary	28
Executive Compensation Philosophy	32
Determination of Compensation	34
Elements of Executive Compensation	36

Other Compensation Policies	44
Grants of Plan-Based Awards During 2012	49
Letter of Understanding with Jay Grinney	51
Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment	51
Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2012	55
Options Exercised and Stock Vested in 2012	56
Equity Compensation Plans	56
Key Executive Incentive Program	57
2002 Nonexecutive Stock Option Plan	57
2004 Amended and Restated Director Incentive Plan	57
2005 Equity Incentive Plan	57
2008 Equity Incentive Plan	58
Deferred Compensation	58
Retirement Investment Plan	58
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan	58
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	60
Review and Approval of Transactions with Related Persons	60
Transactions with Related Persons	60
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	61
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	62
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	63
GENERAL INFORMATION	65

APPENDIX A - NOTE REGARDING PRESENTATION OF NON-GAAP FINANCIAL MEASURES

ii

# **HEALTHSOUTH CORPORATION**

# PROXY STATEMENT

The annual meeting of stockholders of HealthSouth Corporation, a Delaware corporation (HealthSouth, or also we, us, our, or the Company will be held on May 2, 2013, beginning at 11:00 a.m., central time, at our principal executive offices located at 3660 Grandview Parkway, Birmingham, Alabama 35243. We encourage all of our stockholders to vote at the annual meeting, and we hope the information contained in this document will help you decide how you wish to vote at the annual meeting.

#### Why did I receive these proxy materials?

We are furnishing this proxy statement in connection with the solicitation by our board of directors of proxies to be voted at our 2013 annual meeting and at any adjournment or postponement. At our annual meeting, stockholders will act upon the following proposals:

to elect eleven directors to the board of directors to serve until our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders;

to ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of our board of directors of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm;

to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement for the 2013 annual meeting; and

to transact such other business as may properly come before the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders and any adjournment or postponement.

These proxy solicitation materials are being sent to our stockholders on or about April 2, 2013.

#### What do I need to attend the meeting?

Attendance at the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders is limited to stockholders. Registration will begin at 10:00 a.m. central time and each stockholder will be asked to present a valid form of personal identification. Cameras, recording devices and other electronic devices will not be permitted at the meeting. Additional rules of conduct regarding the meeting will be provided at the meeting.

#### Who is entitled to vote at the meeting?

The board of directors has determined that those stockholders who are recorded in our record books as owning shares of our common stock or preferred stock as of the close of business on March 4, 2013, are entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the annual meeting of stockholders. As of the record date, there were 96,288,404 shares of our common stock issued and outstanding and 353,355 shares of our 6.50% Series A Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock issued and outstanding. Your shares may be (1) held directly in your name as the stockholder of record or (2) held for you as the beneficial owner through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee, or both. Our common stock and our preferred stock are

### Table of Contents

our only classes of outstanding voting securities. Each share of common stock and preferred stock is entitled to one vote on each matter properly brought before the annual meeting. Our common stock and preferred stock vote together as a class.

#### What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Most of our stockholders hold their shares through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. As summarized below, there are some distinctions between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

*Stockholder of Record.* If your shares are registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, Computershare Trust Company, N.A., you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record, and these proxy materials are being sent directly to you by us. As the stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to us or to vote in person at the meeting. We have enclosed a proxy card for you to use.

*Beneficial Owner*. If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in street name, and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker, bank, or nominee which is considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker on how to vote and are also invited to attend the meeting. However, because you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the meeting unless you obtain a signed proxy from the record holder giving you the right to vote the shares. Your broker, bank, or nominee has enclosed or provided a voting instruction card for you to use in directing the broker or nominee how to vote your shares. If you do not provide the stockholder of record with voting instructions, your shares will constitute broker non-votes. The effect of broker non-votes is more specifically described in What vote is required to approve each item? below.

#### How can I vote my shares in person at the meeting?

Shares held directly in your name as the stockholder of record may be voted in person at the annual meeting. Submitting your proxy by telephone, by internet or by mail will in no way limit your right to vote at the annual meeting if you later decide to attend in person.

Shares held beneficially in street name may be voted in person by you only if you obtain a signed proxy from the record holder giving you the right to vote the shares. Owners of shares held in street name that expect to attend and vote at the meeting should contact their broker, bank or nominee as soon as possible to obtain the necessary proxy.

Even if you currently plan to attend the annual meeting, we recommend that you also submit your proxy as described below so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the meeting.

#### How can I vote my shares without attending the meeting?

Whether you hold shares directly as the stockholder of record or beneficially in street name, you may direct your vote without attending the meeting. You may vote by granting a proxy or, for shares held in street name, by submitting voting instructions to your broker, bank, or nominee.

Please refer to the summary instructions below and those included on your proxy card or, for shares held in street name, the voting instruction card included by your broker, bank, or nominee. The internet and telephone voting procedures established for our stockholders of record are designed to authenticate your identity, to allow you to give your voting instructions, and to confirm those instructions have been properly recorded. Internet and telephone voting for stockholders of record will be available 24 hours a day, and will close at 11:59 p.m. eastern time on May 1, 2013. The availability of internet and telephone voting for beneficial owners will depend on the voting processes of your broker, bank or other holder of record. Therefore, we recommend that you follow the voting instructions you receive.

BY INTERNET If you have internet access, you may submit your proxy from any location in the world by following the internet instructions on the proxy card. Please have your proxy card in hand when accessing the website.

BY TELEPHONE If you live in the United States, Puerto Rico, or Canada, you may submit your proxy by following the telephone instructions on the proxy card. Please have your proxy card in hand when you call.

BY MAIL You may do this by marking, signing, and dating your proxy card or, for shares held in street name, the voting instruction card included by your broker, bank, or nominee and mailing it in the accompanying enclosed, pre-addressed envelope. If you provide specific voting instructions, your shares will be voted as you instruct. If you do not have the pre-addressed envelope available, please mail your completed proxy card to: Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

If you cast your vote in any of the ways set forth above, your shares will be voted in accordance with your voting instructions unless you validly revoke your proxy. We do not currently anticipate that any other matters will be presented for action at the annual meeting. If any other matters are properly presented for action, the persons named on your proxy will vote your shares on these other matters in their discretion, under the discretionary authority you have granted to them in your proxy.

#### Can I access the proxy statement and annual report on the internet?

Yes. This proxy statement, the form of proxy card and our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (the 2012 Form 10-K ) are available at http://www.proxyvote.com. If you are a stockholder of record and would like to access future Company proxy statements and annual reports electronically instead of receiving paper copies in the mail, there are several ways to do this. You can mark the appropriate box on your proxy card or follow the instructions if you vote by telephone or the internet. If you choose to access future proxy statements and annual reports on the internet, you will receive a proxy card in the mail next year with instructions containing the internet address for those materials. Your choice will remain in effect until you advise us otherwise. If you have internet access, we hope you make this choice. Receiving future annual reports and proxy statements via the internet will be simpler for you, will save the Company money and is friendlier to the environment.

A copy of our 2012 Form 10-K and the proxy materials are also available without charge from the Investors section of our website at http://investor.healthsouth.com. The 2012 Form 10-K and the proxy materials are also available in print to stockholders without charge and upon request, addressed to HealthSouth Corporation, 3660 Grandview Parkway, Suite 200, Birmingham, Alabama 35243, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Rules adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission permit the Company to provide stockholders with proxy materials electronically instead of in paper form, even if they have not made an election to receive the material electronically. If we decide to take advantage of this electronic delivery alternative in the future, stockholders will receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials with instructions on how to access the materials on the internet.

#### Can I change my vote after I submit my proxy?

Yes. Even after you have submitted your proxy, you may change your vote at any time prior to the close of voting at the annual meeting by:

filing with our corporate secretary at 3660 Grandview Parkway, Suite 200, Birmingham, Alabama 35243 a signed, original written notice of revocation dated later than the proxy you submitted;

submitting a duly executed proxy bearing a later date;

voting by telephone or internet on a later date; or

attending the annual meeting and voting in person.

In order to revoke your proxy, we must receive an original notice of revocation of your proxy at the address in the first bullet above sent by U.S. mail or overnight courier. You may not revoke your proxy by any other means. If you grant a proxy, you are not prevented from attending the annual meeting and voting in person. However, your attendance at the annual meeting will not by itself revoke a proxy you have previously granted; you must vote in person at the annual meeting to revoke your proxy.

If your shares are held by a broker, bank or other nominee, you may revoke your proxy by following the instructions provided by your broker, bank, or nominee.

All shares that have been properly voted and not revoked will be voted at the annual meeting.

#### What is householding and how does it affect me?

#### Table of Contents

In accordance with notices previously sent to stockholders, we are delivering one annual report that includes a proxy statement in a single envelope addressed to all stockholders who share a single address unless they have notified us they wish to opt out of the program known as householding. Under this procedure, stockholders of record who have the same address and last name receive only one copy of proxy materials. Householding is

intended to reduce our printing and postage costs and material waste. WE WILL DELIVER A SEPARATE COPY OF THE ANNUAL REPORT OR PROXY STATEMENT PROMPTLY UPON WRITTEN OR ORAL REQUEST. You may request a separate copy by contacting our corporate secretary at 3660 Grandview Parkway, Suite 200, Birmingham, Alabama 35243, or by calling 1-205-967-7116.

If you are a beneficial stockholder and you choose not to have the aforementioned disclosure documents sent to a single household address as described above, you must opt-out by writing to: Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., Householding Department, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, New York 11717, or by calling 1-800-542-1061, and we will cease householding all such disclosure documents within 30 days. If we do not receive instructions to remove your account(s) from this service, your account(s) will continue to be householded until we notify you otherwise. If you own shares in nominee name (such as through a broker), information regarding householding of disclosure documents should have been forwarded to you by your broker.

#### Is there a list of stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting?

A complete list of stockholders entitled to vote at the meeting will be open for examination by our stockholders for any purpose germane to the meeting, during regular business hours at the meeting place, for ten days prior to the meeting.

#### What constitutes a quorum to transact business at the meeting?

Before any business may be transacted at the annual meeting, a quorum must be present. The presence at the annual meeting, in person or by proxy, of the holders of a majority of the shares of all of our capital stock outstanding and entitled to vote on the record date will constitute a quorum. At the close of business on the record date, 96,288,404 shares of our common stock and 353,355 shares of our preferred stock were issued and outstanding. Proxies received but marked as abstentions and broker non-votes will be included in the calculation of the number of shares considered to be present at the annual meeting for purposes of a quorum.

#### What is the recommendation of the board of directors?

Our board of directors unanimously recommends a vote:

#### FOR the election of each of our eleven nominees to the board of directors;

# FOR the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as HealthSouth s independent registered public accounting firm; and

# FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

With respect to any other matter that properly comes before the annual meeting, the proxy holders will vote in accordance with their judgment on such matter.

#### What vote is required to approve each item?

The vote requirements for the proposals are as follows:

Each nominee for director named in Proposal One will be elected if the votes for the nominee exceed 50% of the number of votes cast with respect to such nominee. Votes cast with respect to a nominee will include votes to withhold authority but will exclude abstentions and broker non-votes.

The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm will be approved if the votes cast for the proposal exceed those cast against the proposal. Broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast for or against the proposal.

Please note that say-on-pay, Proposal Three, is only advisory in nature and has no binding effect on the Company or our board of directors. Our board of directors will consider Proposal Three approved if the votes cast in favor of that proposal exceed the votes cast against it. Broker non-votes will not be counted as votes cast for or against the proposal.

A broker non-vote occurs when a bank, broker or other holder of record holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular proposal because that holder does not have discretionary voting power for that particular item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner. If you are a beneficial owner, your bank, broker or other holder of record is permitted to vote your shares on the ratification of the independent registered public accounting firm even if the record holder does not receive voting instructions from you. Absent instructions from you, the record holder may not vote on any nondiscretionary matter including a director election, an equity compensation plan, a matter relating to executive compensation, certain corporate governance changes, or any stockholder proposal. In that case, without your voting instructions, a broker non-vote will occur. An abstention will occur at the annual meeting if your shares are deemed to be present at the annual meeting, either because you attend the annual meeting or because you have properly completed and returned a proxy, but you do not vote on any proposal or other matter which is required to be voted on by our stockholders at the annual meeting. You should consult your broker if you have questions about this.

The affirmative vote of at least a majority of our issued and outstanding shares present, in person or by proxy, and entitled to vote at the annual meeting will be required to approve any stockholder proposal validly presented at a meeting of stockholders. Under applicable Delaware law, in determining whether any stockholder proposal has received the requisite number of affirmative votes, abstentions will be counted and will have the same effect as a vote against any stockholder proposal, but broker non-votes will be ignored. There are no dissenters rights of appraisal in connection with any stockholder vote to be taken at the annual meeting.

#### What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy or voting instruction card?

It means your shares of common stock and preferred stock are registered differently or are in more than one account. Please provide voting instructions for all proxy and voting instruction cards you receive.

#### Where can I find the voting results of the meeting?

We will announce preliminary voting results at the meeting. We will publish the voting results in a Current Report on Form 8-K to be filed with the SEC no later than four business days following the end of the annual meeting. If preliminary results are reported initially, we will update the filing when final, certified results are available.

#### Who will count the votes?

A representative of Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc., acting as the inspector of election, will tabulate and certify the votes.

#### Who will pay for the cost of this proxy solicitation?

We are making this solicitation and will pay the entire cost of preparing, assembling, printing, mailing, and distributing these proxy materials. If you choose to access the proxy materials or vote over the internet, however, you are responsible for internet access charges you may incur. In addition to the mailing of these proxy materials, the solicitation of proxies or votes may be made in person, by telephone, or by electronic communication by our directors, officers and employees, who will not receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities. We will request banks, brokers, nominees, custodians, and other fiduciaries who hold shares of our stock in street name, to forward these proxy solicitation materials to the beneficial owners of those shares and we will reimburse the reasonable out-of-pocket expenses they incur in doing so.

#### Who should I contact if I have questions?

If you are a holder of our preferred stock or hold our common stock through a brokerage account and you have any questions or need assistance in voting your shares, you should contact the broker or bank where you hold the account.

If you are a registered holder of our common stock and you have any questions or need assistance in voting your shares, please call our Investor Relations department at 1-205-969-6175.

As an additional resource, the SEC website has a variety of information about the proxy voting process at www.sec.gov/spotlight/proxymatters.shtml.

NO PERSON IS AUTHORIZED TO GIVE ANY INFORMATION OR TO MAKE ANY REPRESENTATION OTHER THAN THOSE CONTAINED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT, AND, IF GIVEN OR MADE, SUCH INFORMATION MUST NOT BE RELIED UPON AS HAVING BEEN AUTHORIZED. THE DELIVERY OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT WILL, UNDER NO CIRCUMSTANCES, CREATE ANY IMPLICATION THAT THERE HAS BEEN NO CHANGE IN THE AFFAIRS OF THE COMPANY SINCE THE DATE OF THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

#### Proposal 1 Election of Directors

#### **Director Nominees**

Our board of directors currently consists of eleven members. On January 25, 2013, our board unanimously approved an increase in the number of directors to eleven and, upon the recommendation of its Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, unanimously approved the appointment of Ms. Leslye G. Katz and Ms. Joan E. Herman as directors to fill the vacant seats, effective on that date. Based on the recommendation of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, the board proposes that each of the eleven nominees listed below be elected at the annual meeting as members of our board of directors, to serve until our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

Each director nominee named in Proposal One will be elected if the votes for that nominee exceed 50% of the number of votes cast with respect to that nominee. Votes cast with respect to a nominee will include votes to withhold authority but will exclude abstentions and broker non-votes. If a nominee becomes unable or unwilling to accept the nomination or election, the persons designated as proxies will be entitled to vote for any other person designated as a substitute nominee by our board of directors. We have no reason to believe that any of the following nominees will be unable to serve. Below we have provided information relating to each of the director nominees proposed for election by our board of directors, including a brief description of why he or she was nominated.

Name	Age	Current Roles	
			Director
John W. Chidsey *	50	Director; Member of Audit Committee (Chairman)	10/2/2007
Donald L. Correll *	62	Director; Member of Audit Committee and Finance Committee (Chairman)	6/29/2005
Yvonne M. Curl *	58	Director; Member of Compensation Committee and Compliance/Quality of Care Committee (Chairman)	11/18/2004
Charles M. Elson *	53	Director; Member of Finance Committee and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee	9/9/2004
Jay Grinney	62	Director; President and Chief Executive Officer	5/10/2004
Jon F. Hanson *	76	Director; Chairman of the Board of Directors; Member of Audit Committee, Finance Committee and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee	9/17/2002
Joan E. Herman *	59	Director	1/25/2013
Leo I. Higdon, Jr. *	66	Director; Member of Compensation Committee and Compliance/Quality of Care Committee	8/17/2004
Leslye G. Katz *	58	Director	1/25/2013
John E. Maupin, Jr. *	66	Director; Member of Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee (Chairman) and Compliance/Quality of Care Committee	8/17/2004
L. Edward Shaw, Jr. *	68	Director; Member of Compensation Committee (Chairman)	6/29/2005

\*Denotes independent director.

There are no arrangements or understandings known to us between any of the nominees listed above and any other person pursuant to which that person was or is to be selected as a director or nominee, other than any arrangements or understandings with directors or officers of HealthSouth acting solely in their capacities as such.

#### John W. Chidsey

From the time of the October 2010 sale of Burger King Holdings, Inc. to 3G Capital until April 18, 2011, Mr. Chidsey served as co-chairman of the board of directors of Burger King Holdings, Inc. Prior to the sale, he served as chief executive officer and a member of its board from April 2006, including as chairman of the board from July 2008. From September 2005 until April 2006, he served as president and chief financial officer. He served as president, North America, from June 2004 to September 2005, and as executive vice president, chief administrative and

**Date Became** 

financial officer from March 2004 until June 2004. Prior to joining Burger King, Mr. Chidsey served as chairman and chief executive officer for two corporate divisions of Cendant Corporation: the Vehicle Services Division that included Avis Rent A Car, Budget Rent A Car Systems, PHH and Wright Express and the

Financial Services Division that included Jackson Hewitt and various membership and insurance companies. Prior to joining Cendant, Mr. Chidsey served as the director of finance of Pepsi-Cola Eastern Europe and the chief financial officer of PepsiCo World Trading Co., Inc. Mr. Chidsey currently serves on the board of directors of Norwegian Cruise Line Holdings Ltd. and on the governing board of the privately held companies, Red Book Connect, LLC and Instawares Holdings, LLC. He also serves on the Board of Trustees for Davidson College in Davidson, North Carolina.

Mr. Chidsey has extensive experience in matters of finance, corporate strategy and senior leadership relevant to large public companies. Mr. Chidsey is a certified public accountant and a member of the Georgia Bar Association. He qualifies as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SEC regulations.

#### Donald L. Correll

Mr. Correll served as the president and chief executive officer and a director of American Water Works Company, Inc., the largest and most geographically diversified provider of water services in North America, from April 2006 to August 2010. Between August 2003 and April 2006, Mr. Correll served as president and chief executive officer of Pennichuck Corporation, a publicly traded holding company which, through its subsidiaries, provides public water supply services, certain water related services, and certain real estate activities, including property development and management. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Correll served as an independent advisor to water service and investment firms on issues relating to marketing, acquisitions, and investments in the water services sector. From 1991 to 2001, Mr. Correll served as chairman, president and chief executive officer of United Water Resources, Inc., a water and wastewater utility company. In 2012, Mr. Correll founded Water Capital Partners, LLC, a firm that identifies, invests in, advises, and manages water and wastewater infrastructure assets and operations. Mr. Correll served as a director of Interchange Financial Services Corporation from 1994 to 2007. He currently serves as a director and audit committee member of New Jersey Resources Corporation. He also serves on the boards of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce and the Northeast Power Coordinating Council, Inc.

Mr. Correll has extensive experience in matters of accounting, finance, corporate strategy and senior leadership relevant to large public companies. He is a certified public accountant and has experience with a major public accounting firm. Mr. Correll qualifies as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SEC regulations.

#### Yvonne M. Curl

Ms. Curl is a former vice president and chief marketing officer of Avaya, Inc., a global provider of next-generation business collaboration and communications solutions, which position she held from October 2000 through April 2004. Before joining Avaya, Ms. Curl was employed by Xerox Corporation beginning in 1976, where she held a number of middle and senior management positions in sales, marketing and field operations, culminating with her appointment to corporate vice president. Ms. Curl currently serves as a director of Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company. In the past five years, she has also served as director of Charming Shoppes, Inc., a specialty apparel retailer, and Welch Allyn, Inc. (private).

Ms. Curl has proven senior executive experience with broad operational experience in sales, marketing, and general management through her previous roles with large public companies as described above. Having served on several compensation committees on the board of directors of public companies, she has experience in the development and oversight of compensation programs and policies.

#### Charles M. Elson

Mr. Elson holds the Edgar S. Woolard, Jr. Chair in Corporate Governance and has served as the director of the John L. Weinberg Center for Corporate Governance at the University of Delaware since 2000. Mr. Elson has served on the National Association of Corporate Directors Commissions on Director Compensation, Executive Compensation and the Role of the Compensation Committee, Director Professionalism, CEO Succession, Audit Committees, Governance Committee, Strategic Planning, Director Evaluation, Risk Governance, Role of Lead Director, and Board Diversity. He was a member of the National Association of Corporate Directors Directors Best Practices Council on Coping with Fraud and Other Illegal Activity. He served on that organization s Advisory Council. In addition, Mr. Elson serves as vice chairman of the American Bar Association s Committee on Corporate Governance and was a member of the American Bar Association s Committee on Corporate Laws. Mr. Elson has been Of Counsel to the law firm of Holland & Knight LLP from 1995 to the present. In the past five years, he has also served as a director of AutoZone, Inc.

Mr. Elson has extensive knowledge of and experience in matters of corporate governance through his leadership roles with professional organizations dedicated to the topic as described above. Through his other professional roles, Mr. Elson is in a unique position to monitor and counsel on developments in corporate governance.

#### Jay Grinney

Mr. Grinney was named our president and chief executive officer on May 10, 2004. From June 1990 to May 2004, Mr. Grinney served in a number of senior management positions with HCA, Inc., or its predecessor companies, in particular, serving as president of HCA s Eastern Group from May 1996 to May 2004, president of the Greater Houston Division from October 1993 to April 1996 and as chief operating officer of the Houston Region from November 1992 to September 1993. Before joining HCA, Mr. Grinney held several executive positions during a nine year career at the Methodist Hospital System in Houston, Texas. He currently serves as a director of Energen Corporation, a diversified energy holding company engaged in the development, acquisition, exploration and production of oil, natural gas and natural gas liquids and in the purchase, distribution and sale of natural gas.

Mr. Grinney, as president and chief executive officer of the Company, directs the strategic, financial and operational management of the Company and, in this capacity, provides unique insights into the detailed operations of HealthSouth. He also has the benefit of more than 25 years of experience in the operation and management of large, sophisticated, multi-site, publicly traded healthcare companies.

#### Jon F. Hanson

Mr. Hanson is the chairman and founder of The Hampshire Companies and has over 50 years of experience in the real estate industry. Mr. Hanson was named non-executive Chairman of the Board of HealthSouth, effective October 1, 2005. From 1994 through 2005, Mr. Hanson served as chairman of the National Football Foundation and College Hall of Fame, Inc. He now serves as chairman emeritus. Mr. Hanson served for 20 years as a director, including two years as the lead director, of Prudential Financial, Inc. He also served for 21 years as a director, and now serves as an honorary director, of the Hackensack University Medical Center. Mr. Hanson currently serves as chairman of the board of Pascack Community Bank and as a director of Yankee Global Enterprises.

Mr. Hanson has extensive experience in corporate strategy and senior leadership of large organizations, including healthcare and financial organizations as described above.

#### Joan E. Herman

Ms. Herman has served as the president and chief executive officer of Herman & Associates, LLC, a healthcare and management consulting firm, since 2008. From 1998 to 2008, she served in a number of senior management positions, including president and chief executive officer for two corporate divisions, at WellPoint, Inc., a leading managed healthcare company that offers network-based managed care plans. Prior to joining WellPoint, she served in a number of senior positions at Phoenix Life Insurance Company for 16 years, lastly as senior vice president of strategic development. Ms. Herman currently serves on the board of directors for Convergys Corporation, a provider of customer management and business support system solutions, and Qualicorp SA, a publicly traded company in Brazil for which she serves as chair of the audit committee. In the past five years, she has served as a director of MRV Communications, Inc.

Ms. Herman has extensive experience leading large complex businesses, including in the healthcare and insurance industries. With Wellpoint, she gained experience dealing with government reimbursement issues as well as state and federal healthcare and insurance regulators. She has further demonstrated her leadership and character through senior involvement in various community and charity organizations, such as the American Red Cross Los Angeles region where she serves as vice chair.

#### Leo I. Higdon, Jr.

Mr. Higdon has served as president of Connecticut College since July 1, 2006. He served as the president of the College of Charleston from October 2001 to June 2006. Between 1997 and 2001, Mr. Higdon served as president of Babson College in Wellesley, Massachusetts. He also served as dean of the Darden Graduate School of Business Administration at the University of Virginia. His financial experience includes 20 year tenure at Salomon Brothers, where he became vice chairman and member of the executive committee, managing the Global Investment Banking Division. Mr. Higdon also serves as the lead independent director of Eaton Vance Corp.

As a result of his 20 years of experience in the financial services industry combined with his strategic management skills gained through various senior executive positions, including in academia, and service on numerous boards of directors, Mr. Higdon has extensive experience with strategic and financial planning and the operations of large public companies.

#### Leslye G. Katz

From January 2007 to December 2010, Ms. Katz served as senior vice president and chief financial officer of IMS Health, Inc., a provider of information, services, and technology for clients in the pharmaceutical and healthcare industries. Prior to that, she served as vice president and controller for five years. From July 1998 to July 2001, Ms. Katz served as senior vice president and chief financial officer of American Lawyer Media, Inc., a privately-held legal media and publishing company. Prior to joining American Lawyer Media, Ms. Katz held a number of financial management positions with The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, followed by two years as vice president and treasurer of Cognizant Corporation, a spin-off from D&B. Ms. Katz currently serves as co-chair of the board of directors of My Sisters Place, a not-for-profit provider of shelter, advocacy, and support services to victims of domestic violence.

Ms. Katz has extensive experience in financial management at companies serving the healthcare and pharmaceutical industries, as well as expertise in mergers and acquisitions, treasury, financial planning and analysis, SEC reporting, investor relations, real estate, and procurement. She has further demonstrated her leadership and character in her service with a community charity.

#### John E. Maupin, Jr.

Dr. Maupin is president and chief executive officer of the Morehouse School of Medicine located in Atlanta, Georgia, a position he has held since July 2006. Prior to joining Morehouse, Dr. Maupin held several other senior administrative positions including president and chief executive officer of Meharry Medical College from 1994 to 2006, executive vice president and chief operating officer of the Morehouse School of Medicine from 1989 to 1994, chief executive officer of Southside Healthcare, Inc. from 1987 to 1989, and Deputy Commissioner of Health of the Baltimore City Health Department from 1984 to 1987. Dr. Maupin currently serves as a director of LifePoint Hospitals, Inc., VALIC Companies I & II, a group retirement investment fund complex, and Regions Financial Corp. Dr. Maupin also serves on the boards of the Metropolitan Atlanta Chamber of Commerce and the Development Authority of Fulton County.

Dr. Maupin has extensive management and administrative experience with healthcare organizations as described above. He has diverse executive leadership experience in public health, ambulatory care, government relations, and academic medicine. He also has a distinguished record as a health policy expert and advisor, having served on numerous national advisory boards and panels. Additionally, he has demonstrated his leadership and character through involvement, including board roles, in community, healthcare, and scientific advisory organizations as well as through his service as an officer in the U.S. Army Reserve for more than 28 years.

#### L. Edward Shaw, Jr.

From March 2006 to July 2010, Mr. Shaw served on a part-time basis as a senior managing director of Richard C. Breeden & Co., and affiliated companies engaged in investment management, strategic consulting, and governance matters. He has served as general counsel of both Aetna, Inc. from 1999 to 2003 and The Chase Manhattan Bank from 1983 to 1996, where, in addition to his legal role, his responsibilities included a wide range of strategic planning, risk management, compliance and public policy issues. In 2004, Mr. Shaw was appointed independent counsel to the board of directors of the New York Stock Exchange dealing with regulatory matters. Mr. Shaw also currently serves as a director of Mine Safety Appliances Co. and as a director of Covenant House, the nation s largest privately funded provider of crisis care to children. In the past five years, he has served as a director of H&R Block, Inc.

Mr. Shaw has a wide ranging legal and business background, including senior leadership roles, in the context of large public companies as described above with particular experience in corporate governance, risk management and compliance matters. He also has significant experience in the healthcare industry as a result of his position with Aetna.

#### **Board Recommendation**

The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the election of all eleven director nominees.

#### Proposal 2 Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

#### Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

In accordance with its charter, the Audit Committee selected the firm of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to be our independent registered public accounting firm for the 2013 audit period, and with the endorsement of the board of directors, recommends to our stockholders that they ratify that appointment. The Audit Committee will reconsider the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the next audit period if such appointment is not ratified. Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to attend the annual meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire, and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

The Audit Committee recognizes the importance of maintaining the independence of our independent registered public accounting firm, both in fact and appearance. Consistent with its charter, the Audit Committee has evaluated PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s qualifications, performance, and independence, including that of the lead audit partner. The Audit Committee reviews and approves, in advance, the audit scope, the types of non-audit services, if any, and the estimated fees for each category for the coming year. For each category of proposed service, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is required to confirm that the provision of such services does not impair their independence. Before selecting PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Audit Committee carefully considered that firm s qualifications as an independent registered public accounting firm for the Company. This included a review of its performance in prior years, as well as its reputation for integrity and competence in the fields of accounting and auditing. The Audit Committee has expressed its satisfaction with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in all of these respects. The Audit Committee s review included inquiry concerning any litigation involving PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP and any proceedings by the SEC against the firm. In this respect, the Audit Committee has concluded that the ability of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to perform services for HealthSouth is in no way adversely affected by any such investigation or litigation.

#### **Pre-Approval of Principal Accountant Services**

The Audit Committee of our board of directors is responsible for the appointment, oversight, and evaluation of our independent registered public accounting firm. In accordance with our Audit Committee s charter, our Audit Committee must approve, in advance of the service, all audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. Our independent registered public accounting firm may not be retained to perform the non-audit services specified in Section 10A(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Audit Committee has concluded that provision of the non-audit services described in that section is not compatible with maintaining the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

The Audit Committee has established a policy regarding pre-approval of audit and permissible non-audit services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm, as well as all engagement fees and terms for our independent registered public accounting firm. Under the policy, the Audit Committee must approve the services to be rendered and fees to be charged by our independent registered public accounting firm. Typically, the Audit Committee approves services up to a specific amount of fees. The Audit Committee must then approve, in advance, any services or fees exceeding those pre-approved levels. The policy also provides for pre-approval of fees not greater than \$50,000 in the aggregate in a given year for *de minimis* services. The Audit Committee may delegate general pre-approval authority to a subcommittee of which the chairman of the Audit Committee is a member. All requests or applications for services to be provided by our independent registered public accounting firm must be submitted to specified officers who may determine whether such services are included within the list of pre-approved services. All requests for services that have not been pre-approved must be accompanied by a statement that the request is consistent with the independent registered public accounting firm s independence from HealthSouth.

#### **Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

With respect to the audits for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Audit Committee approved the audit services to be performed by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, as well as certain categories and types of audit-related and permitted non-audit services. In 2012 and 2011, all audit, audit-related, and other fees were approved in accordance with SEC pre-approval rules. The following table shows the aggregate fees paid or accrued for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, with respect to various services provided to us and our subsidiaries.

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 2011 (In Millions)			
Audit fees <sup>(1)</sup>	\$	2.9	\$	3.1
Audit-related fees (2)		0.1		0.4
Total audit and audit-related fees		3.0		3.5
Tax fees				
All other fees <sup>(3)</sup>		0.4		
Total fees	\$	3.4	\$	3.5

- (1) Audit Fees Represents aggregate fees paid or accrued for professional services rendered for the audit of our consolidated financial statements and internal control over financial reporting for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011; fees for professional services rendered for the review of financial statements included in our 2012 and 2011 Form 10-Qs; and fees that are normally provided by our independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory engagements required by various partnership agreements or state and local laws in the jurisdictions in which we operate or manage hospitals.
- (2) Audit-Related Fees The amount for 2012 represents aggregate fees paid or accrued for professional services rendered in connection with our senior notes offering in September 2012. The amount for 2011 represents aggregate fees paid or accrued for professional services rendered in connection with the carveout financial statement audit of our long-term acute care hospitals for the year ended December 31, 2010 and our senior notes offering in March 2011. Approximately \$0.3 million of the fees for the carveout audit were reimbursed to us by the purchaser of five of our long-term acute care hospitals.

(3) All Other Fees Represents fees for all other products and services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm that do not fall within the previous categories. More specifically, for the year ended December 31, 2012, these fees represent amounts paid or due to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for assisting in the implementation of an automated performance appraisal program.
Board Recommendation

The board of directors and the Audit Committee unanimously recommend that you vote FOR ratifying the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as HealthSouth s independent registered public accounting firm for the 2013 audit period.

#### Proposal 3 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

We seek your advisory vote on our executive compensation programs. The Company asks that you support the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed under the heading Executive Compensation, including the Executive Summary section, beginning on page 28 and the accompanying tables and related narrative disclosure. This proposal, commonly referred to as a say-on-pay proposal, gives stockholders the opportunity to express their views on the named executive officers compensation as required under Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of the named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement.

As described under the heading Compensation Discussion and Analysis on page 28, the Company provides annual and long-term compensation programs as well as the other benefit plans, to attract, motivate, and retain the named executive officers, each of whom is critical to the Company s success, and to create a remuneration and incentive program that aligns the interests of the named executive officers with those of stockholders. The board of directors believes the program strikes the appropriate balance between utilizing responsible, measured pay practices and effectively incentivizing the named executive officers to dedicate themselves fully to value creation for our stockholders. At the 2012 annual meeting, 98.8% of stockholders voting on the say-on-pay proposal approved our executive compensation on an advisory basis.

You are encouraged to read the information detailed under the heading Executive Compensation beginning on page 28 for additional details about the Company s executive compensation programs.

The board of directors strongly endorses the Company s executive compensation program and recommends that the stockholders vote in favor of the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that the Company s stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in the HealthSouth Corporation Definitive Proxy Statement for the 2013 annual meeting of stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2012 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and disclosure.

This say-on-pay vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Company, the compensation committee or the board of directors. The board of directors and its compensation committee value the opinions of our stockholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, we will consider stockholders concerns and the compensation committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns. The board of directors has elected to hold the say-on-pay advisory vote annually until further notice. The next advisory vote is expected to be in connection with the 2014 annual meeting of stockholders.

#### **Board Recommendation**

The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR the approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

#### **Corporate Governance**

#### **Corporate Governance Guidelines**

The board of directors has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines, which provide, among other things, that each member of our board of directors will:

dedicate sufficient time, energy, and attention to ensure the diligent performance of his or her duties;

comply with the duties and responsibilities set forth in the Corporate Governance Guidelines and in our Bylaws;

comply with all duties of care, loyalty, and confidentiality applicable to directors of publicly traded Delaware corporations; and

adhere to our Standards of Business Conduct, including the policies on conflicts of interest. Our Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee oversees and periodically reviews the Guidelines, and recommends any proposed changes to the board of directors for approval.

#### **Code of Ethics**

We have adopted Standards of Business Conduct, our code of ethics, that applies to all employees, directors and officers, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions. The purpose of the code of ethics is to:

promote honest and ethical conduct, including the ethical handling of actual or apparent conflicts of interest between personal and professional relationships;

promote full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in periodic reports required to be filed by us; to promote compliance with all applicable rules and regulations that apply to us and our officers and directors;

promote the prompt internal reporting of violations of the code to an appropriate person or persons identified in the code; and

#### promote accountability for adherence to the code.

We will disclose any future amendments to, or waivers from, certain provisions of these ethical policies and standards for officers and directors on our website promptly following the date of such amendment or waiver. Upon written request to our corporate secretary, we will also provide a copy of the code of ethics free of charge.

#### **Corporate Website**

We maintain a Corporate Governance section on our website where you can find copies of our principal governance documents, including our code of ethics. Our Corporate Governance section is located at http://investor.healthsouth.com and includes the following documents, among others:

Charter of the Company

Bylaws of the Company

Charter of the Audit Committee

Charter of the Compensation Committee

Charter of the Compliance/Quality of Care Committee

Charter of the Finance Committee

Charter of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

Standards of Business Conduct

Corporate Governance Guidelines

#### **Board Policy on Majority Voting for Directors**

A director nominee will be elected if the votes for that person exceed 50% of the votes cast, including withhold authority votes but excluding abstention votes and broker non-votes, in the election with respect to that person. In addition, we have adopted a policy whereby any incumbent director nominee who receives a greater number of withhold authority votes than votes for his or her election will tender his or her resignation for consideration by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will recommend to the board of directors whether to accept or reject the offer of resignation.

#### Role of the Board in Oversight of the Company s Risks

We maintain a comprehensive enterprise risk management program designed to identify potential events and conditions that may affect the Company and to manage risks to avoid materially adverse effects on the Company. Our management, including an executive risk committee, is responsible for the design and implementation of the enterprise risk management program. The Audit Committee of the board of directors, pursuant to its charter, is responsible for reviewing and evaluating our policies and procedures relating to risk assessment and management. The full board of directors monitors the enterprise risk management program by way of regular reports from our senior executives on management s risk assessments and risk status as well as our risk response and mitigation activities. The full board of directors also monitors the Company s strategic risks by way of regular reports. Individual committees monitor, by way of regular reports, the risks that relate to the responsibilities of that committee.

The Compensation Committee reviews and considers our compensation policies and programs in light of the board of directors risk assessment and management responsibilities on an annual basis. In 2012, our human resources department in consultation with Mercer LLC prepared and presented to the Compensation Committee a risk assessment report that addressed the incentive compensation structure, plans, and processes at all levels of the Company. The assessment included a review of pay mix (fixed v. variable and short v. long-term), performance metrics, target setting, performance measurement practices, pay determination, mitigation practices such as the Compensation Recoupment Policy, and overall governance and administration of pay programs. After reviewing this report and making inquiries of management, the Compensation Committee determined we have no compensation policies and programs that give rise to risks reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

#### **Communications to Directors**

Stockholders and other parties interested in communicating directly to the board of directors, any committee, or any non-employee director may do so by writing to the address listed below:

#### HEALTHSOUTH CORPORATION

#### **BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

#### 3660 GRANDVIEW PARKWAY, SUITE 200

#### **BIRMINGHAM, ALABAMA 35243**

#### **ATTENTION:** [Addressee\*]

#### \* Including the name of the specific addressee(s) will allow us to direct the communication to the intended recipient.

All communications received as set forth in this paragraph will be opened by the office of our general counsel for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to our directors. Any contents that are not in the nature of advertising, promotions of a product or service, or patently offensive material will be forwarded promptly to the addressee. In the case of communications to the board of directors or any group or committee of directors, the general counsel s office will make sufficient copies of the contents to send to each director

#### **Board Structure and Director Nominations**

**Board Structure and Meetings** 

who is a member of the group or committee to which the envelope is addressed.

Our business, property, and affairs are managed under the direction of our board of directors. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide for a non-executive chairman of the board to set the agenda for, and preside over, board meetings, coordinate the work of the committees of our board of directors and perform other duties delegated to the chairman by our board of directors. The non-executive chairman also presides over

independent sessions generally held at each board meeting. The board of directors adopted this structure to promote decision-making and governance independent of that of our management and to better perform the board s monitoring and evaluation functions. Members of our board of directors are kept informed of our business through discussions with our chief executive officer and other officers, by reviewing materials provided to them, by visiting our offices, and by participating in meetings of the board of directors and its committees.

The board of directors met six times during 2012. Each incumbent member of the board of directors attended 75% or more of the meetings of the board of directors and of the committees on which he or she served that were held during the period for which he or she was a director or committee member, respectively. In addition, it is our policy that directors are expected to attend the annual meeting of stockholders. The members of the board of directors generally hold a meeting the same day and location as the annual meeting of stockholders. All members of our board of directors attended the annual meeting in 2012.

#### **Criteria for Board Members**

In evaluating the suitability of individual candidates and nominees, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and the board of directors consider relevant factors, including, but not limited to: a general understanding of marketing, finance, corporate strategy and other elements relevant to the operation of a large publicly-traded company in today s business environment, senior leadership experience, an understanding of our business, educational and professional background, and character. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee also considers the following attributes or qualities in evaluating the suitability of candidates and nominees to our board of directors:

Integrity: Candidates should demonstrate high ethical standards and integrity in their personal and professional dealings.

Accountability: Candidates should be willing to be accountable for their decisions as directors.

Judgment: Candidates should possess the ability to provide wise and thoughtful counsel on a broad range of issues.

*Responsibility*: Candidates should interact with each other in a manner which encourages responsible, open, challenging and inspired discussion. Directors must be able to comply with all duties of care, loyalty, and confidentiality applicable to directors of publicly traded Delaware corporations.

*High Performance Standards*: Candidates should have a history of achievements which reflects high standards for themselves and others.

*Commitment and Enthusiasm*: Candidates should be committed to, and enthusiastic about, their performance for the Company as directors, both in absolute terms and relative to their peers. Directors should be free from conflicts of interest and be able to devote sufficient time to satisfy their board responsibilities.

*Financial Literacy*: Candidates should be able to read and understand fundamental financial statements and understand the use of financial ratios and information in evaluating the financial performance of the Company.

*Courage:* Candidates should possess the courage to express views openly, even in the face of opposition. Although there is no formal policy on diversity of nominees, both the board of directors and the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee believe that diversity of skills, perspectives and experiences as represented on the board as a whole, in addition to the primary factors, attributes or qualities discussed above, promotes improved monitoring and evaluation of management on behalf of the stockholders and produces more creative thinking and solutions. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee considers, but does not choose solely based on, the distinctive skills, perspectives and experiences that candidates diverse in gender, ethnic background, geographic origin and professional

experience offer.

Our Corporate Governance Guidelines provide for the retirement of directors from our board after reaching age 75. Our chairman of the board, Mr. Hanson, turned 75 in December 2011. In recognition of Mr. Hanson s significant experience and the contributions he has made and continues to make to the leadership of the Company, the board asked, and Mr. Hanson agreed, that he stand for re-election last year and this year and serve until the 2014 annual meeting if re-elected. Mr. Hanson and the board agreed that he would retire at that time.

#### **Director Nomination Process**

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee of the board of directors developed a policy regarding director nominations. The policy describes the process by which candidates for possible inclusion in the Company s slate of director nominees are selected.

#### **Internal Process for Identifying Candidates**

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee has two primary methods for identifying director nominees (other than those proposed by stockholders, as discussed below). First, on a periodic basis, the committee solicits ideas for possible candidates from members of the board of directors, senior level executives, and individuals personally known to the members of the board. Second, the committee may from time to time use its authority under its charter to retain, at the Company s expense, one or more search firms to identify candidates (and to approve such firms fees and other retention terms).

#### **Proposals for Director Nominees by Stockholders**

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will consider written proposals from stockholders for director nominees. In considering candidates submitted by stockholders, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will take into consideration the needs of the board of directors and the qualifications of the candidate. In accordance with our Bylaws, any such nominations must be received by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, c/o the corporate secretary, not less than 90 days nor more than 120 days prior to the anniversary date of the immediately preceding annual meeting of stockholders; provided, however, that in the event the annual meeting is called for a date that is not within 30 days before or after such anniversary date, a nomination, in order to be timely, must be received not later than the close of business on the tenth day following the day on which such notice of the date of the annual meeting was mailed or such public disclosure of the date of the annual meeting was made, whichever first occurs. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee received no nominee recommendations from stockholders for the 2013 annual meeting. Stockholder nominations for our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders must be received at our principal executive offices on or after January 1, 2014 and not later than January 31, 2014.

Stockholder nominations must include the information set forth in Section 3.4 of our Bylaws. This information must include, among other things, the following:

- (1) the name, age, business address and residence address of each nominee;
- (2) the principal occupation or employment of each nominee;
- (3) the class or series and number of shares of our capital stock owned beneficially or of record by each nominee or his or her affiliates or associates and information regarding derivative and other forms of direct and indirect ownership in our securities;
- (4) a statement that each nominee, if elected, intends to tender, promptly following election or re-election, an irrevocable resignation effective upon failure to receive the required vote for re-election at the next meeting in accordance with the Corporate Governance Guidelines;
- (5) any other information relating to each nominee and the stockholder giving the notice that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement;
- (6) the name and record address of the stockholder giving the notice;
- (7) the class or series and number of shares of our capital stock owned beneficially or of record by the stockholder giving the notice;

- (8) a description of all arrangements or understandings between the stockholder giving the notice and each nominee and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which the nomination(s) are being made; and
- (9) a representation that the stockholder giving the notice intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the persons named in its notice.

Such notice must be accompanied by a written consent of each proposed nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. A stockholder providing notice of a nomination must update and supplement the notice so that the information in the notice is true and correct as of the record date(s) for determining

the stockholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the annual meeting. Any stockholder that intends to submit a nomination for the board of directors should read the entirety of the requirements in Section 3.4 of our Bylaws which can be found in the Corporate Governance section of our website at http://investor.healthsouth.com.

Our Bylaws provide for reimbursement of certain reasonable expenses incurred by a stockholder or a group of stockholders in connection with a proxy solicitation campaign for the election of one nominee to the board of directors. This reimbursement right is subject to certain conditions including the board of director s determination that reimbursement is consistent with its fiduciary duties. Following the annual meeting, we will reimburse certain expenses that a nominating stockholder, or group of nominating stockholders, has incurred in connection with nominating a candidate for election to our board of directors if certain conditions set out in Section 3.4(c) of our Bylaws are met. If those conditions are met and the proponent s nominee is elected, we will reimburse the actual costs of printing and mailing the proxy materials and the fees and expenses of one law firm for reviewing the proxy materials and one proxy solicitor for conducting the related proxy solicitation. If those conditions are met and the proponent s nominee is not elected but receives 40% or more of all votes cast, we will reimburse the proportion of those qualified expenses equal to the proportion of votes that the nominee received in favor of his or her election to the total votes cast. In all cases, reimbursement will only be made if the nominating stockholders are liable for such expenses regardless of the outcome of the election of directors or receipt of reimbursement from us and no party to which such amounts are payable is an affiliate or associate of any of the nominating stockholders. In no event may the amount paid to a nominating stockholder exceed the amount of corresponding expenses incurred by us in soliciting proxies in connection with the election of directors. Further, we will not reimburse expenses in the event that our board of directors determines that any such reimbursement is not in our best interests, would result in a breach of our board s fiduciary duties, would render us insolvent or cause us to breach a material obligation. For additional detail, please read Section 3.4(c) of our Bylaws which can be found in the Corporate Governance section of our website at http://investor.healthsouth.com.

### **Evaluation of Candidates**

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will consider all candidates identified through the processes described above, and will evaluate each of them, including incumbents, based on the same criteria. If, after the committee s initial evaluation, a candidate meets the criteria for membership, the chair of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will interview the candidate and communicate the chair s evaluation to the other members of the committee, the chairman of the board and the chief executive officer. Later reviews will be conducted by other members of the committee and senior management. Ultimately, background and reference checks will be conducted and the committee will meet to finalize its list of recommended candidates for the board s consideration. The candidates recommended for the board s consideration will be those individuals that will create a board of directors that is, as a whole, strong in its collective knowledge of, and diverse in skills and experience with respect to, accounting and finance, management and leadership, vision and strategy, business operations, business judgment, crisis management, risk assessment, industry knowledge, corporate governance and global markets.

### **Director Independence**

# **Review of Director Independence**

On February 15, 2013, the board of directors undertook its review of the independence of the nominees as independent directors based on our Corporate Governance Guidelines. The board of directors assessed whether any transactions or relationships exist currently or during the past three years existed between any director or any member of his or her immediate family and the Company and its subsidiaries, affiliates, or our independent registered public accounting firm. The board examined whether there were any transactions or relationships between any director or any member of the senior management of the Company or their affiliates. The board further considered whether there were any charitable contributions to not-for-profit organizations for which our directors or immediate family members serve as executive officers. In connection with this determination, on an annual basis, each director and executive officer is required to complete a questionnaire which requires disclosure of any transactions with the Company in which the director or executive officer, or any member of his or her immediate family, have a direct or indirect material interest. There were no such transactions or contributions in 2012.

# **Determination of Director Independence**

Based on its review, the board of directors affirmatively determined that each of John W. Chidsey, Donald L. Correll, Yvonne M. Curl, Charles M. Elson, Jon F. Hanson, Joan E. Herman, Leo I. Higdon, Jr., Leslye G. Katz, John E. Maupin, Jr. and L. Edward Shaw, Jr. is an independent director in accordance with our Corporate

Governance Guidelines. Mr. Blechschmidt, who served on the board until May 2012, was found to be independent as well. Mr. Grinney, who is our chief executive officer, was not deemed to be independent. Each of our directors other than Mr. Grinney also satisfies the definition of independence contained in Rule 303A.02 of the listing standards for the New York Stock Exchange. As a result of that determination:

each member of the Audit Committee, the Compensation Committee, and the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee was an independent director under our Corporate Governance Guidelines and otherwise meets the qualifications for membership on such committee imposed by the NYSE and other applicable laws and regulations;

each member of the Audit Committee had accounting or related financial management expertise and was financially literate, and otherwise meets the audit committee membership requirements imposed by the NYSE, our Corporate Governance Guidelines, and other applicable laws and regulations; and that each of Mr. Chidsey and Mr. Correll qualify as an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SEC regulations; and

each member of the Compliance/Quality of Care Committee and the Finance Committee was an independent director under our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

In addition, there are no arrangements or understandings known to us between any of the directors nominated for election to the board of directors and any other person pursuant to which a director was or is to be elected as a director or nominee, other than any arrangements or understandings with directors or officers of the Company acting solely in their capacities as such. None of our directors, nominees or executive officers is a party to any material proceedings adverse to us or any of our subsidiaries or has a material interest adverse to us or any of our subsidiaries.

#### **Standards of Director Independence**

Under the listing standards adopted by the NYSE, a director will be considered independent and found to have no material relationship with the Company if during the prior three years:

the director has not been an employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries, and no immediate family member of the director has been an executive officer of the Company;

neither the director nor an immediate family member of the director has received more than \$120,000 in a twelve-month period during the last three years in direct compensation from the Company other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of direct compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on future service);

neither the director nor an immediate family member of the director has been affiliated with or employed by a present or former internal or external auditor of the Company;

neither the director nor an immediate family member of the director has been employed as an executive officer of another company where any of the Company s present executives serve on that company s compensation committee; and

the director has not been an executive officer or employee, and no immediate family member of the director has been an executive officer, of a company that makes payments to or receives payments from the Company for property or services in an amount which, in any single fiscal year, exceeded the greater of \$1 million or 2% of such other company s consolidated gross revenues.

#### **Committees of the Board of Directors**

#### **Committee Memberships and Meetings**

Our board of directors has the following five standing committees, each of which is governed by a charter and reports its actions and recommendations to the board of directors: Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Compliance/Quality of Care Committee, Finance Committee, and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. The following table shows the number of meetings and the membership of each board committee as of December 31, 2012.<sup>(1)</sup>

					Nominating/
	A 314	Companyation	Compliance/ Quality of	Finance	Corporate Governance
	Audit Committee	Compensation Committee	Care Committee	Committee	Committee
Number of Meetings in 2012:	5	6	4	6	7
John W. Chidsey	Chair				
Donald L. Correll	Х			Chair	
Yvonne M. Curl		Х	Chair		
Charles M. Elson				Х	Х
Jon F. Hanson	Х			Х	Х
Leo I. Higdon, Jr.		Х	Х		
John E. Maupin, Jr.			Х		Chair
L. Edward Shaw, Jr.		Chair			

<sup>(1)</sup> Ms. Herman and Ms. Katz were appointed January 25, 2013, and no committee assignments were made at that time. **Audit Committee** 

We have a separately designated standing Audit Committee established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The Audit Committee s purpose, per the terms of its charter, is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its responsibilities to the Company and its stockholders, particularly with respect to the oversight of the accounting, auditing, financial reporting, and internal control and compliance practices of the Company. The specific responsibilities of the Audit Committee are, among others, to:

assist the board of directors in the oversight of the integrity of our financial statements and compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, the qualifications and independence of our independent auditor, and the performance of our internal audit function and our independent auditor;

appoint, compensate, replace, retain, and oversee the work of our independent auditor;

at least annually, review a report by our independent auditor regarding its internal quality control procedures, material issues raised by certain reviews, inquiries or investigations relating to independent audits within the last five years, and relationships between the independent auditor and the Company;

review and evaluate our quarterly financial statements and annual audited financial statements with management and our independent auditor, including management s assessment of and the independent auditor s opinion regarding the effectiveness of

the Company s internal control over financial reporting prior to the filing of those financial statements with the SEC;

discuss earnings press releases as well as financial information and earnings guidance provided to analysts and rating agencies with management;

discuss policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management;

set clear hiring policies for employees or former employees of our independent auditor; and

appoint and oversee the activities of our Inspector General who has the responsibility to identify violations of Company policy and law relating to accounting or public financial reporting, to review the Inspector General s periodic reports and to set compensation for the Inspector General and its staff.

In connection with its duties, the committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the committee and its members, may obtain the advice and assistance of outside advisors, including consultants and legal and accounting advisors, and performs all acts reasonably necessary to fulfill its responsibilities and achieve its objectives.

#### **Compensation Committee**

The Compensation Committee s purpose and objectives are to oversee our compensation and employee benefit objectives, plans and policies and to review and recommend to the independent members of the board of directors the individual compensation of our executive officers in order to attract and retain high-quality personnel to better ensure our long-term success and the creation of long-term stockholder value. The specific responsibilities of the Compensation Committee are, among others, to:

review and approve our compensation programs and policies, including our benefit plans, incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans; amend or recommend that the board of directors amend such programs, policies, goals or objectives; and act as (or designate) an administrator for such plans as may be required;

review and recommend to the board of directors corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the chief executive officer and evaluate the performance of the chief executive officer in light of those goals and objectives;

review and approve corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of the other executive officers and evaluate the performance of those executive officers in light of those goals and objectives;

determine and approve, together with the other independent directors, the base compensation level and incentive compensation level for the chief executive officer;

determine and approve the base compensation levels and incentive compensation levels for the other executive officers;

review and discuss with management the Company s Compensation Discussion and Analysis, and recommend inclusion thereof in our annual report or proxy statement;

review and approve (or recommend to the board of directors in the case of the chief executive officer) employment arrangements, severance arrangements and termination arrangements and change in control arrangements to be made with any executive officer of the Company; and

review and recommend to the board of directors fees and retainers for non-employee members of the board and non-employee members and chairpersons of committees of the board.

In connection with its duties, the committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the committee and its members, may obtain the advice and assistance of outside advisors, including consultants and legal and accounting advisors, and perform all acts reasonably necessary to fulfill its responsibilities and achieve its objectives. The Compensation Committee has the sole authority to set the compensation for, and to terminate the services of, its advisors. As discussed in further detail in the table on page 34, the Compensation Committee engaged the independent compensation consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., to assist it in its review and evaluation of executive compensation practices. The Compensation Committee has reviewed the independence of Frederic W. Cook & Co. and of each individual employee of the firm with whom it works. Frederic W. Cook & Co. does not perform other services for the Company, and the total fees paid to Frederic W. Cook & Co. during fiscal 2012 did not exceed \$120,000. The Compensation Committee has determined Frederic W. Cook & Co. has no conflict of interest in providing advisory services.

#### **Compliance/Quality of Care Committee**

The Compliance/Quality of Care Committee s function is to assist our board of directors in fulfilling its fiduciary responsibilities relating to our regulatory compliance activities and to ensure we deliver quality care to our patients. The committee is primarily responsible for overseeing,

# Table of Contents

monitoring, and evaluating our compliance with all of its regulatory obligations other than tax and securities law-related obligations and reviewing the quality of services provided to patients at our facilities. The primary objectives and responsibilities of the Compliance/Quality of Care Committee are to:

ensure the establishment and maintenance of a regulatory compliance program and the development of a comprehensive quality of care program designed to measure and improve the quality of care and safety furnished to patients;

appoint and oversee the activities of a chief compliance officer with responsibility for developing and implementing our regulatory compliance program, which is subject to our annual review, and approve, and perform, or have performed, an annual evaluation of the performance of the chief compliance officer and the compliance office;

review and approve annually the quality program description and the performance of the chief medical officer and the quality of care program;

monitor the Company s compliance with any corporate integrity agreement or similar undertaking, with the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Office of Inspector General, or any other government agency;

review periodic reports from the compliance officer, including an annual regulatory compliance report summarizing compliance-related activities undertaken by us during the year, and the results of all regulatory compliance audits conducted during the year; and

review periodic reports from the chief medical officer regarding the Company s efforts to advance patient safety and the quality of our medical and rehabilitative care.

In connection with its duties, the committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the committee and its members, may obtain the advice and assistance of outside advisors, including consultants and legal and accounting advisors, and perform all acts reasonably necessary to fulfill its responsibilities and achieve its objectives.

#### **Finance Committee**

The purpose and objectives of the Finance Committee are to assist our board of directors in the oversight of the use and development of our financial resources, including our financial structure, investment policies and objectives, and other matters of a financial and investment nature. The specific responsibilities of the Finance Committee are to review, evaluate, and make recommendations to the board of directors regarding the Company s:

capital structure and proposed changes thereto, including significant new issuances, purchases, or redemptions of our securities;

plans for allocation and disbursement of capital expenditures;

credit rating, activities with credit rating agencies, and key financial ratios;

long-term financial strategy and financial needs;

unusual or significant commitments or contingent liabilities; and

#### plans to manage insurance and asset risk.

In addition to its other responsibilities, the committee oversees our major activities with respect to mergers, acquisitions and divestitures. The committee also reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the committee and its members. In connection with its duties, the committee may obtain the advice and assistance of outside advisors, including consultants and legal and accounting advisors, and perform all

acts reasonably necessary to fulfill its responsibilities and achieve its objectives.

## Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee

The purposes and objectives of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee are to assist our board of directors in fulfilling its duties and responsibilities to us and our stockholders, and its specific responsibilities include, among others, to:

assist the board of directors in determining the appropriate characteristics, skills and experience for the individual members of the board of directors and the board of directors as a whole and create a process to allow the committee to identify and evaluate individuals qualified to become board members;

make recommendations to the board regarding the composition of each standing committee of the board, to monitor the functioning of the committees of the board and make recommendations for any changes, review annually committee assignments and the policy with respect to rotation of committee memberships and/or chairpersonships, and report any recommendations to the board;

review the suitability for each board member s continued service as a director when his or her term expires, and recommend whether or not the director should be re-nominated;

assist the board in considering whether a transaction between a board member and the Company presents an inappropriate conflict of interest and/or impairs the independence of any board member;

recommend nominees for board membership to be submitted for stockholder vote at each annual meeting of stockholders, and to recommend to the board candidates to fill vacancies on the board and newly-created positions on the board; and

develop and recommend to the board Corporate Governance Guidelines applicable to the Company that are consistent with applicable laws and listing standards and to periodically review those guidelines and to recommend to the board such changes as the committee deems necessary or advisable.

In connection with its duties, the committee reviews and evaluates, at least annually, the performance of the committee and its members, may obtain the advice and assistance of outside advisors, including consultants and legal and accounting advisors, and perform all acts reasonably necessary to fulfill its responsibilities and achieve its objectives. In connection with its duties, the committee may obtain the advice and assistance of outside advisors, including consultants and legal and accounting advisors, and perform all acts reasonably necessary to fulfill its responsibilities and achieve its objectives.

#### **Compensation of Directors**

In 2012, we provided the following annual compensation to directors who are not employees:

Nama	Fees Earned or Paid	Stock Awards	Option Awards	<b>Τ-4-1</b> (Φ)
Name	in Cash (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	(\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	<b>(\$)</b> <sup>(3)</sup>	Total (\$)
Edward A. Blechschmidt	32,459	100,012		132,471
John W. Chidsey	115,000	100,012		215,012
Donald L. Correll	105,000	100,012		205,012
Yvonne M. Curl	105,000	100,012		205,012
Charles M. Elson	95,000	100,012		195,012
Jon F. Hanson	195,000	100,012		295,012
Leo I. Higdon, Jr.	95,000	100,012		195,012
John E. Maupin, Jr.	105,000	100,012		205,012
L. Edward Shaw, Jr.	110,000	100,012		210,012

- (1) The amounts reflected in this column are the retainer and chairperson fees earned for service as a director for 2012, regardless of when such fees are paid. Messrs. Hanson, Blechschmidt, Chidsey, and Maupin elected to defer 50%, 25%, 100%, and 25%, respectively, of their fees earned in 2012 under the Directors Deferred Stock Investment Plan. Mr. Blechschmidt left the board of directors on May 3, 2012.
- (2) Each non-employee director received an award of restricted stock units with a grant date fair value, computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*, of \$100,012 (4,767 units). These awards are fully vested in that they are not subject to forfeiture; however, no shares underlying a particular award will be issued until six months following the date the director ends his or her service on the board. As of December 31, 2012, each director held the following aggregate restricted stock and RSU awards: Mr. Hanson 38,386, Mr. Chidsey 35,595, Mr. Correll 38,386, Ms. Curl 38,386, Mr. Elson 38,386, Mr. Higdon 38,386, Dr. Maupin 38,386, and Mr. Shaw 38,386. Mr. Blechschmidt left the board of directors on May 3, 2012.

(3) The aggregate number of option awards outstanding at year end was as follows: Mr. Hanson (5,000). Other than Mr. Grinney, whose option awards are disclosed under the table entitled Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2012, no other directors had option awards outstanding at year end.

Our non-employee directors receive an annual cash retainer of \$95,000. In addition to the cash retainer, the following table sets forth the chairperson fees paid to compensate for the enhanced responsibilities and time commitment associated with the positions.

	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)
Chair Position	
Chairman of the Board	\$100,000
Audit Committee	\$20,000
Compensation Committee	\$15,000
Compliance/Quality of Care Committee	\$10,000
Finance Committee	\$10,000
Nominating/Corp. Governance Committee	\$10,000

Our non-employee directors may elect to defer all or part of their cash fees under our Directors Deferred Stock Investment Plan. Elections are made prior to the beginning of the applicable year, and directors can only withdraw their participation effective at the beginning of the next year. Under the plan, amounts deferred by non-employee directors are promptly invested in our common stock by the plan trustee at the market price at the time of the payment of the fees. Any dividends paid on our common stock are deemed to be invested in our common stock. Fees deferred under the plan are held in a rabbi trust by the plan trustee, and accordingly, the plan is treated as unfunded for federal tax purposes. Accounts in the plan are distributed in the form of our common stock upon termination from board service for any reason. In all cases, distributions generally will commence at least six months after the event triggering the distribution. As of December 31, 2012, the number of shares held in the plan for those participating were: Mr. Hanson s 27,977 shares, Dr. Maupin s 1,212 shares, Mr. Chidsey s 28,879 shares, and Mr. Shaw s 13,263 shares.

In addition, under our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, each non-employee member of the board of directors received a grant of restricted stock units valued at approximately \$100,000 in 2012, which units were granted at the time annual equity awards were granted to our executives. On February 15, 2013, the board approved an award of stock units valued at approximately \$115,000 for 2013. In the event dividends are paid on our common stock, the directors will receive the equivalent in restricted stock units based on the number of restricted stock units held and the value of the stock on the record date.

In furtherance of the goal to align the interests of our management with those of our stockholders, we have equity ownership guidelines for senior management and members of the board of directors. Each non-employee director should own equity equal in value to at least \$300,000 within five years of appointment or election to the board. As of February 15, 2013, all of our non-employee directors have satisfied the guidelines, except Ms. Herman and Ms. Katz who were appointed in January 2013 and have five years to reach the recommended ownership level. In addition, the restricted stock units granted annually will be settled in shares of our common stock six months following the date such director ceases serving on our board of directors.

Mr. Grinney, who is the only director that is also an employee, receives no additional compensation for serving on the board.

#### **Indemnification and Exculpation**

We indemnify our directors and officers to the fullest extent permitted by Delaware law. Our certificate of incorporation also includes provisions that eliminate the personal liability of our directors for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty as a director, except for liability:

for any breach of the director s duty of loyalty to us or our stockholders;

for acts or omissions not in good faith or that involved intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law;

under Section 174 of the Delaware law (regarding unlawful payment of dividends); or

for any transaction from which the director derives an improper personal benefit.

We believe these provisions are necessary to attract and retain qualified people who will be free from undue concern about personal liability in connection with their service to us.

The board of directors has the ultimate authority for effective corporate governance, including the role of oversight of the management of the Company. The Audit Committee s purpose is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its responsibilities to the Company and its stockholders by overseeing the accounting and financial reporting processes, the qualifications and selection of the independent registered public accounting firm engaged by the Company, and the performance of the Company s Inspector General, internal auditors and independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee members functions are not intended to duplicate or to certify the activities of management or the Company s independent registered public accounting firm.

In its oversight role, the Audit Committee relies on the expertise, knowledge and assurances of management, the internal auditors, and the independent registered public accounting firm. Management has the primary responsibility for establishing and maintaining effective systems of internal and disclosure controls (including internal control over financial reporting), for preparing financial statements, and for the public reporting process. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company s independent registered public accounting firm, is responsible for performing an independent audit of the Company s consolidated financial statements, for expressing an opinion on the conformity of the Company s audited financial statements with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States, and for expressing its own opinion on the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. In this context, the Audit Committee:

reviewed and discussed with management and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the fair and complete presentation of the Company s consolidated financial statements and related periodic reports filed with the SEC (including the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP s audit of the Company s internal control over financial reporting);

discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA, Professional Standards, Vol. 1, AU Section 380), as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (the PCAOB ) in Rule 3200T; and

received the written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by PCAOB Rule 3526 (Communication with Audit Committees Concerning Independence) and discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP its independence from the Company and its management.

The Audit Committee also discussed with the Company s internal auditors and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the overall scope and plans for their respective audits; reviewed and discussed with management, the internal auditors, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP the significant accounting policies applied by the Company in its financial statements, as well as alternative treatments and risk assessment; and met periodically in executive sessions with each of management, the internal auditors, and PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

The Audit Committee was kept apprised of the progress of management s assessment of the Company s internal control over financial reporting and provided oversight to management during the process.

Based on the reviews and discussions described above, the Audit Committee recommended to the board of directors, and the board of directors approved, that the audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2012, and management s assessment of the effectiveness of the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, be included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 for filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee has selected PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for 2013.

*Audit Committee* John W. Chidsey (Chairman)

Donald L. Correll

Jon F. Hanson

#### Scope of Authority

The Compensation Committee acts on behalf of the board of directors to establish the compensation of executive officers of the Company and provides oversight of the Company s compensation philosophy for senior management. The Compensation Committee reviews and recommends to the board of directors for final approval the compensation of non-employee directors of the Company. The committee also acts as the oversight committee and administrator with respect to the Company s equity compensation, bonus and other compensation plans covering executive officers and other senior management. In overseeing those plans, the committee may delegate authority for day-to-day administration and interpretation of the plans, including selection of participants, determination of award levels within plan parameters, and approval of award documents, to officers of the Company. However, the committee may not delegate any authority under those plans for matters affecting the compensation and benefits of the executive officers. The Committee may also delegate other responsibilities to a subcommittee comprised of no fewer than two members of the Committee, provided that it may not delegate any power or authority required by any applicable law or listing standard to be exercised by the Committee as a whole.

#### **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

None of the current members of our Compensation Committee is an officer or employee of the Company. None of our current executive officers serves or has served as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any other company that had one or more executive officers serving as a member of our board of directors or Compensation Committee.

#### **Compensation Committee Report**

The Compensation Committee reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K, and, based upon such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement and incorporated by reference in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

*Compensation Committee* L. Edward Shaw, Jr. (Chairman) Yvonne M. Curl

Leo I. Higdon, Jr.

#### **Compensation Discussion and Analysis**

In this section we present the key components of our executive compensation program. This section of the proxy examines why we reward our executives in the manner we do and how these philosophies guided the individual reward decisions for our named executive officers, or NEOs. Our 2012 compensation decisions were directed by our board of directors and its Compensation Committee, which we refer to as the Committee in this section only. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, our NEOs were:

Jay Grinney, President and Chief Executive Officer

Douglas E. Coltharp, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Mark J. Tarr, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer

John P. Whittington, Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Cheryl B. Levy, Chief Human Resources Officer *Executive Summary* 

#### **Business** Overview

HealthSouth is the nation s largest owner and operator of inpatient rehabilitation hospitals in terms of patients treated and discharged, revenues, or number of hospitals. While our national network of inpatient hospitals stretches across 27 states and Puerto Rico, our inpatient hospitals are concentrated in the eastern half of the United States and Texas.

Our inpatient rehabilitation hospitals offer specialized rehabilitative care across a wide array of diagnoses and deliver comprehensive, high-quality, cost-effective patient care services. The majority of patients we serve experience significant physical and cognitive disabilities due to medical conditions that are generally nondiscretionary in nature and require rehabilitative healthcare services in an inpatient setting. Our teams of highly skilled nurses and physical, occupational, and speech therapists utilize proven technology and clinical protocols with the objective of returning patients to home and work. Patient care is provided by nursing and therapy staff as directed by physician orders while case managers monitor each patient s progress and provide oversight and documentation of patient status, achievement of goals, discharge planning, and functional outcomes. Our hospitals provide a comprehensive interdisciplinary clinical approach to treatment that leads to a higher level of care and superior outcomes.

We believe we differentiate ourselves from our competitors based on our broad platform of clinical expertise, the quality of our clinical outcomes, the application of rehabilitative technology, and the sustainability of best practices. For additional information regarding our business, please refer to Item 1, *Business*, in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (the 2012 Form 10-K ).

#### 2012 Business Highlights and Recent Track Record

In 2012, we again successfully executed on our business strategy:

- ü Total patient discharges grew 4.6% and same-store discharges grew 2.9%.
- ü Our functional outcomes for patients continued to outpace the industry average.
- ü Not only did our hospitals treat more patients and enhance outcomes, they did so in a highly cost-effective manner achieving incremental efficiencies evidenced by the decrease in *Total operating expenses* as a percentage of *Net operating revenues*.
- ü We continued our development efforts through construction of de novo hospitals and acquisitions of existing rehabilitation operations.
- ü We increased the licensed bed count by 95 beds in our existing hospitals.

Our success in 2012 built upon our success in recent years. Since the successful turnaround and repositioning of the Company in 2007, we have achieved a consistent track record of performance.

- $\ddot{\mathrm{u}}$  Our same-store patient discharge volume growth has consistently outpaced competitors .
- ü The functional improvement of our patients has outpaced that of patients across the industry.
- ü We have posted strong growth rates across key operational metrics.

ü We have successfully managed through Medicare payment cuts and an economic recession.

#### **Business Impact on Executive Compensation**

We develop performance objectives that we believe will, over time, lead to enhanced stockholder value. Over the past several years, we achieved strong results from operations, and these results, as highlighted above, continued in 2012. Although our stock price has been negatively impacted by various deficit reduction proposals being addressed in Washington and the threat of sequestration in 2013, our operating performance has been strong. We also believe our business model positions the Company for the future. Healthcare has always been a highly regulated industry, and the inpatient rehabilitation sector is no exception. Successful healthcare providers are those who provide high-quality, cost-effective care and have the ability to adjust to changes in the regulatory environment. We believe we have the necessary capabilities scale, infrastructure, and management to adapt to and succeed in a highly regulated industry, and we have a proven track record of doing so.

We believe the discussions in Washington relating to deficit reduction proposals targeting Medicare payment cuts to inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and the threat of sequestration negatively impacted our total shareholder return in 2012 relative to the S&P Healthcare Services Select Industry Index. Those events, in turn, negatively impacted our final 2011 long-term incentive plan results. This impact is discussed below under Summary of 2011 PSU Grant Results.

While we have demonstrated industry-leading volume growth and outcomes that have contributed to consistently solid and improving operating results for years, our executive compensation, as reported in the Summary Compensation Table, or SCT, on page 47 has remained relatively steady and realizable pay, as defined below, has reflected our linkage between delivered executive compensation and total shareholder return, or TSR, results.

For purposes of this discussion, we define realizable pay for a given year as:

Actual base salary; plus

Actual short-term incentive(s) paid; plus

Value of stock options where the December 31, 2012 share price exceeds the exercise price; plus

Value of time-based restricted stock as of December 31, 2012; plus

Value of performance-based restricted stock as of December 31, 2012 using the target number of shares for grants in the two-year performance period and the attained number of shares in the third-year holding period.

#### **Overview of Executive Compensation Actions in 2012**

In February and again in May 2012, the Committee considered the total compensation packages, both in whole and by component, of our NEOs to determine appropriateness in light of our executive compensation philosophy, 2011 accomplishments, and 2012 challenges and took the following actions:

#### 2012 Executive Compensation Actions Summary

Compensation Component	Actions Related to Plans from Prior Years	Actions Related to 2012 Plans
Base Salary	Not applicable.	Approved base increases to Mr. Tarr and Ms. Levy only, to align with respective market medians.
Senior Management Bonus Plan ( SMBP )	Approved 2011 SMBP awards based on performance compared to targets. Awards equaled a weighted average of 176% of target opportunity.	Approved the 2012 SMBP design with the same award opportunity as a percentage of base salary as in 2011 and the same performance metrics: adjusted earnings before interest, tax, depreciation and amortization expenses, or Adjusted EBITDA, and return on invested capital, or RO
Long-Term Incentive Plan ( LTIP )	Approved 2010 LTIP awards based on performance compared to targets for the 2010-2011 performance period. Awards equaled an average of 75% of target opportunity.	Approved 2012 LTIP grants consistent with 2011 as a percentage of average base salary for the NEOs, with the exception of a market adjustment increase for Mr. Grinney. Approval included simplification of performance-based restricted stock to just one metric, earnings per share, or EPS , and the introduction of time-based restricted stock to enhance retention.
Special Equity Grant	Not applicable.	In May, approved one-time grants of time-based restricted stock to Mr. Tarr, Mr. Whittington, Mr. Coltharp, and Ms. Levy.

#### Response to 2012 Proxy Votes

We believe the 98.8% affirmative vote on our 2012 say-on-pay vote signaled to the Committee that our stockholders support our current executive compensation program. In 2012 and 2013, we have made minor changes to our executive compensation program designed to respond to a variety of environmental factors, but throughout this period, we have endeavored to keep a strong emphasis on performance-based compensation.

#### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION PHILOSOPHY**

HealthSouth s executive compensation philosophy is to:

create a competitive rewards program for our senior management that aligns management s interests with those of our long-term stockholders;

correlate compensation with corporate and regional business outcomes by recognizing performance with appropriate levels and forms of awards;

establish financial and operational goals to sustain strong performance over time;

place 100% of annual cash incentives and a majority of equity incentive grants at risk by directly linking those incentive payments and grants to the Company s performance; and

provide limited executive benefits to members of senior management. We believe this philosophy will enable us to attract, motivate, and retain talented and engaged executives who will enhance long-term stockholder value.

### Pay and Performance

Our executive compensation program is designed to provide a strong correlation between pay and performance. Pay refers to the value of an executive s total direct compensation, or TDC.

# Total Direct Compensation = Base Salary + Annual Cash Incentive + Long-Term Equity Incentives

In 2012, excluding the special equity grants described on page 43, all cash incentive target amounts and more than half of the average NEO equity grant value (greater at the senior executive levels of the Company) were dependent on performance measured against certain pre-determined, board-approved objectives. The graphs below reflect: (i) the timeframe (i.e., annual vs. long-term) for our NEOs to realize the value of the various TDC components and (ii) the extent to which our NEOs 2012 target TDC is performance based.

Annually, as a check up of pay and performance, Frederic W. Cook & Co. prepares an analysis of the current year TDC for the NEOs and the reported prior year TDC for the NEOs of our peer companies for the Healthcare Provider Peer Group (as identified below). This analysis includes our rankings against the peer group for several key financial and operating performance metrics for one- and three-year periods. These metrics are grouped into four categories: growth, operating performance, financial returns, and total shareholder return. The Committee has not taken any specific action in response to this information but does consider it in assessing whether the Company is paying for performance both absolute and relative to peers. For 2012, HealthSouth s performance was at or above median for 16 of these metrics while falling below median for just two of these metrics. As part of this same comparison, CEO compensation fell just below the 65<sup>th</sup> percentile while that of the other NEOs, as a group, fell into the second quartile.

#### **Other Best Practices**

To ensure the Company is protecting the interest of its stockholders, our board of directors also adopted the following best practices related to executive compensation:

#### **Risk Mitigation Best Practices**

Both our annual and long-term incentive plans have maximum award features;

Our annual incentive plan is designed with multiple measures of performance;

Our compensation recoupment, or claw-back, policy discussed under Compensation Recoupment Policy beginning on page 44 applies to incentive-based compensation; and

Equity ownership guidelines for our senior executives and directors require our senior executives to retain 50% of their net shares at the time of exercise/lapse until their ownership multiple is met. Governance Best Practices

Our insider trading policy prohibits hedging or pledging of our stock, unless approved in advance by a majority of the independent directors on the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee;

Supplemental executive benefits or perquisites are limited to a nonqualified 401(k) plan and, in the case of our chief executive officer, supplemental long-term disability coverage;

The Committee s independent consultant, Frederick W. Cook & Co., is retained directly by the Committee and performs no other work for the Company;

Independent sessions are scheduled at every regular meeting of our board and the Committee (no members of management are present at these independent sessions); and

Our change-of-control compensation arrangements, discussed under Severance Arrangements beginning on page 45, include a double trigger requiring both a change in control and termination of employment to receive benefits and do not allow tax gross-ups.

**D**ETERMINATION OF **C**OMPENSATION

Key Participants	Roles and Responsibilities					
Compensation Committee	The Committee oversees our compensation and employee benefit objectives, plans, and policies. The Committee also reviews and approves (or recommends for approval of the independent directors of our board in the case of the chief executive officer) the individual compensation of the executive officers. The Committee is comprised solely of three independent directors. Their responsibilities, as they relate to the compensation of our NEOs, include: review the Company s compensation programs and policies, including incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans;					
	review and approve corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation of our NEOs, then (i) evaluate their performance and (ii) determine and approve their base compensation levels and incentive compensation based on this evaluation; and, in the case of our chief executive officer, recommend such to the board for approval; and					
	review personal benefits provided to our NEOs and recommend any changes to the board. The Committee receives support from the chief human resources officer and her staff and also engages its own executive compensation consultant as described below.					
Chief Executive Officer	<ul> <li>executive compensation consultant as described below.</li> <li>At least annually, the chief executive officer makes recommendations to the Committee regarding our executive compensation plans and, for all other NEOs, proposes adjustments to base salaries, if any, and awards under our annual incentive compensation and long-term equity-based plans. He also provides performance evaluations to the Committee in connection with the individual objectives of the other NEOs. The chief executive officer and chief human resources officer regularly attend meetings of the Committee.</li> </ul>					
Compensation Consultant	Throughout the year, the Committee relies on Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. for external executive compensation support. Frederic W. Cook & Co. is retained by, and works directly for, the Committee and attends meetings of the Committee, as requested by the committee chair, and addresses topics and questions raised by members. Frederic W. Cook & Co. has no decision making authority regarding our executive compensation. The services provided include: updates and advice to the Committee on the regulatory environment as it relates to executive compensation matters;					
	advice on trends and best practices in executive compensation and executive compensation plan design; market data, analysis, evaluation, and advice in support of the Committee s role; and commentary on our executive compensation disclosures.					
	Management has separately engaged Towers Watson & Co. The scope of that engagement included providing data and analysis on competitive executive and non-executive compensation practices. Towers Watson data related to executive compensation practices was provided to the Committee, subject to review by, and input from, Frederic W. Cook & Co. Mercer (US) Inc. will take over these responsibilities in 2013 and also provide a diagnostic tool and support to our assessment of risk related to our compensation practices. Neither Towers Watson nor Mercer advises the Committee in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive compensation.					

Assessment of Competitive Compensation Practices

The Committee does not employ a strict formula in determining executive compensation. A number of factors are considered in determining executive base salaries, annual incentive opportunities, and long-term incentive grants, including:

the executive s responsibilities,

the executive s experience,

the executive s performance,

aspects of the role that are unique to the Company,

internal equity within senior management, and

competitive market data.

To assess our NEOs target total direct compensation, the Committee reviews competitive data from two sources:

survey data: compensation survey data provided by Towers Watson, and

healthcare provider peer group data: Frederic W. Cook & Co., at the direction of the Committee, assembles data for a targeted group of healthcare provider peers.

The survey data provides a significant sample size, includes information for management positions below senior executives, and includes broader healthcare companies and other industries from which we might recruit for executive positions. The healthcare provider peer group provides data for companies similar to us in terms of industry segment, revenue size, and market capitalization. The Committee believes these data sources provide a comprehensive perspective on competitive pay levels and practices.

Towers Watson Survey Sources					
Mercer IHN Module 4A	Sullivan Cotter	Integrated HealthCare Strategies			
Towers Watson CDB	Towers Watson Top Management	Mercer			
Mercer IHN Module 7					

Healthcare Provider Peer Group					
Amedisys	Health Management Association	Skilled Healthcare Group			
Chemed Corporation	Kindred Healthcare	Sun Healthcare Group			
Community Health Systems	LifePoint Hospitals	Tenet Healthcare Corporation			
Gentiva Health Services	Select Medical Holdings	Universal Healthcare Services			
Note: Select Medical Holdings was added in	December 2012. Lincare Holdings was removed from	the peer group after they were acquired by The Linde			

Note: Select Medical Holdings was added in December 2012. Lincare Holdings was removed from the peer group after they were acquired by The Linde Group. Sun Healthcare Group will be removed for 2013 due to acquisition by Genesis HealthCare.

The Committee reviews competitive data on base salary levels, annual incentives, and long-term incentives, both individually and collectively. In recent years, the Committee attempted to target total direct compensation opportunities for our NEOs between the 50th and 65th percentiles of both the Towers Watson survey data and the healthcare provider peer group data. For purposes of competitive analysis of our chief executive officer s compensation, the Committee places emphasis on the healthcare provider peer group data because other healthcare provider companies provide the most direct comparison. It is important to note the Committee, with input from Frederic W. Cook & Co., recognizes the benchmark data changes from year to year, so the comparison against those benchmarks places emphasis on sustained compensation trends to avoid short-term anomalies. In general, the Committee views compensation 10% above or below the targeted percentile as within a competitive range.

The Committee has considered the appropriate competitive target range to attract and retain the kind of executive talent necessary to successfully achieve our strategic objectives. The Committee s objective is to establish target performance goals that will result in strong performance by the Company. Executives may achieve higher actual compensation for exceptional performance relative to these target performance goals and below-median levels of compensation for performance that is not as strong as expected.

As outlined in his letter of understanding, the board targets Mr. Grinney s compensation opportunity at the 65th percentile of the healthcare provider peer group. As stated previously, the Committee received from Frederic W. Cook & Co. an analysis of peer group data for 2011 that was based on proxies filed during 2012. As we reviewed his target TDC in 2012, it was just below the 65th percentile of the healthcare provider peer group s 2011 target data. The 2012 target TDC for three of our other NEOs fell below the 50th percentile of the competitive market while the target TDC for Mr. Whittington exceeded the 65th percentile, which the Committee believes reflects the breadth of Mr. Whittington s responsibilities. As another test of overall reasonableness, the Committee provider peer group, and our aggregate target TDC amount was at approximately the 50th percentile.

Elements of Executive Compensation

#### **Executive Total Rewards at a Glance**

Total Reward Component	Purpose	2012 Actions
Base Salary	Provide our executives with a competitive level of regular income.	Limited adjustments to increases to market median for two NEOs.
Annual Incentives	Intended to drive Company and individual performance while focusing on annual objectives.	No change in metrics for 2012.
Long-Term Incentives	Intended to focus executive attention on longer-term strength of the business and align their interests with our stockholders.	EPS metric utilized for 100% of performance-based restricted stock value. Introduced time-based restricted stock. Provided special equity grants to all NEOs except Mr. Grinney.
Health and Welfare Benefits	Provide our executives with programs that promote health and financial security.	No changes.
Perquisites	Very limited.	No changes.
Change in Control and Severance	Provides business continuity and temporary income during periods of transition.	No changes.

The primary elements of our executive compensation program are:

#### Base Salary + Annual Cash Incentives + Long-Term Equity Incentives

## **Base Salary**

We provide executives and other employees with base salaries to compensate them with regular income at competitive levels for their services. Base salary considerations include the factors listed under Assessment of Competitive Compensation Practices above.

Only Mr. Tarr and Ms. Levy received increases in base salary. The increases were intended to bring the salary of each individual to the market median for the Healthcare Provider Peer Group in light of the assessment factors previously discussed. Mr. Grinney, our chief executive officer, has not received a base salary increase since 2007.

2012 Fiscal Year-End Annual Base Salary					
Jay Grinney	President and Chief Executive Officer	\$1,000,000			
Douglas E. Coltharp	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	525,000			
Mark J. Tarr	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	600,000			
John P. Whittington	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	527,000			
Cheryl B. Levy	Chief Human Resources Officer	345,000			

#### **Annual Incentives**

The 2012 Senior Management Bonus Plan, or SMBP, was designed to incentivize and reward our NEOs and others for annual performance as measured against pre-determined corporate quantitative and individual objectives intended to improve the Company s performance and promote stockholder value.

# Plan Objectives and Metrics

For 2012, the corporate quantitative objectives of Adjusted EBITDA<sup>1</sup> and  $ROIC^2$  were continued from 2011. Adjusted EBITDA is a prevalent, industry relevant measure of profitability. We believe ROIC is a measurement of the effective investment of the cash generated by our business and directly corresponds to stockholder value being created. The weightings and payout ranges for our 2012 corporate quantitative objectives are as follows:

### 2012 SMBP Corporate Objectives

		Award Range				
		Not Eligible	Threshold	Target	Maximum	
Objective	Weight	0%	50%	100%	200%	
Adjusted EBITDA	60%	<\$466,083,000	\$466,083,000	\$492,569,000	<sup>3</sup> \$541,826,000	
ROIC	40%	<20.9%	20.9%	22.0%	<sup>3</sup> 24.1%	

To reward exceptional performance, the Committee created an opportunity for the NEOs to receive a maximum payout in the event actual results reach a predetermined level for each objective. Conversely, if attained results are less than threshold for a component of the corporate quantitative objectives, then no payout for that component of corporate quantitative objectives occurs. It is important to note the following:

performance measures can be achieved independently of each other; and

as results increase above the threshold, a corresponding percentage of the target cash incentive will be awarded. In other words, levels listed are on a continuum, and straight-line interpolation is used to determine the payout multiple between two payout levels set forth in the table above.

In addition to corporate quantitative objectives for each NEO, we specify individual, measurable objectives weighted according to importance. The independent members of our board establish Mr. Grinney s individual objectives. Mr. Grinney establishes two to four individual objectives for the other NEOs, subject to review by the Committee. The individual objectives reflect objectives specific to each NEO s position and also corporate objectives. Additionally, if we fail to attain at least achievement of 80% of the target level for the corporate quantitative objective (or objectives if more than one), then no payout for the individual objectives occurs. A formal assessment of each NEO s performance against his or her individual objectives is reviewed and approved by the Committee.

<sup>1</sup> For Senior Management Bonus Plan purposes, Adjusted EBITDA is the same as the measure described in the 2012 Form 10-K, and the results are adjusted further for certain unusual or nonrecurring unbudgeted items. Adjusted EBITDA is discussed in more detail, including reconciliations to corresponding GAAP financial measures, in Appendix A to this proxy statement. The Committee has established in advance the following four categories for unusual or nonrecurring unbudgeted items in order to more accurately represent those matters within the control of management compared to the calculation used in communications with the market: acquisitions and divestitures, changes in capital structure, litigation expenses and settlements, and material legislative changes. For 2012, those items adjusted included: consolidation of St. Vincent hospital and a special bonus paid to employees in lieu of a 2012 merit adjustment in response to federal sequestration legislation.

 $^2$  For Senior Management Bonus Plan purposes, ROIC is defined as adjusted earnings before interest and tax expense divided by average total assets on the balance sheet as of December 31, excluding deferred tax assets and assets from discontinued operations. Adjusted earnings before interest and tax expense is defined as income from continuing operations attributable to HealthSouth common shareholders before interest expense and provision for income tax expense, excluding government, class action and related settlements, professional fees accounting, tax, and legal, loss on early extinguishment of debt, and loss on impairment of assets. The results are adjusted further for certain unusual or nonrecurring unbudgeted items, the pre-established categories of which are discussed in the preceding note. For 2012, those items adjusted included: consolidation of St. Vincent hospital; impact from unbudgeted debt refinancing transactions; and a special bonus paid to employees in lieu of a 2012 merit adjustment in response to federal sequestration legislation.

Beyond addressing the core company objectives, the following table describes each of Mr. Grinney s individual objectives and completion status for 2012:

	Individual Objectives	Completion Status
1.	Execute the accelerated de novo strategy.	Completed construction on one hospital, began construction on two additional hospitals, purchased land for the construction of one hospital, received one certificate of need, or CON, filed for an additional CON, continued defense of four existing CONs.
2.	Acquire a minimum of two IRFs (by year end).	Completed the acquisition/consolidation of the operations of two IRFs, agreed to the acquisition of an additional IRF (expected to close by April 1, 2013).
3.	Ensure electronic clinical information system is installed at all scheduled hospitals on time and with no disruption to budgeted operations.	ACE IT was installed on schedule at twelve existing hospitals, with no disruption to budgeted operations.
4.	Implement reporting capabilities to monitor, trend, and ensure compliance with all externally required quality metrics: IRF-specific Medicare criteria and acute care transfers, or ACTs, by September 1.	Reporting capabilities were built in existing systems and are being used by the hospitals to collect, submit, monitor, trend, and ensure compliance with all externally required quality metrics. A tool is currently being developed and is dependent on the data collection efforts/tools that began on October 1, 2012. Implemented system to monitor, trend, and ensure compliance with ACTs metrics.
5.	Meet or exceed stretch goals for patient satisfaction scores and patient evaluation model, or PEM, scores.	Each individual hospital has a stretch goal for patient satisfaction and PEM scores. Corporate achievement is based on the number of hospitals that met or exceeded their stretch goals. The corporate patient satisfaction stretch goal threshold was not achi The corporate PEM score stretch goal threshold was achieved.
5.	Develop a free cash flow allocation program and secure board approval.	A conceptual framework for the allocation of free cash flow was presented and endorsed by the board of directors.
7.	Develop and implement a targeted management/leadership program for nurse managers by August 1st.	A steering committee identified the initial focus of the program: to improve the leadership effectiveness of nurse supervisors. The curriculum has been developed. The pilot program launched on December 4, 2012, with implementation starting in January 2013.
3.	Maintain an aggressive diversity agenda: recruitment, development, and training of all employees.	The diverse population in leadership positions remains relatively unchanged. The employee population is more diverse than the national statistics for key clinical positions. High potential employees identified for development for leadership positions. The implementation of the Aware for Care campaign is scheduled in conjunction with the 2013 diversity awareness refresher.

The individual objectives for each other NEO were aligned with Mr. Grinney s individual objectives and the Company s quantitative objectives but specifically tailored to the functional responsibilities of that NEO. Accordingly, the ability of each NEO to achieve his or her individual objectives closely mirrored our ability to achieve targeted results for the corporate quantitative objectives. Mr. Grinney attempted to set the individual objectives and target performance levels such that, if an NEO s performance in each of his or her personal objectives met or exceeded the range of reasonable expectations, no less than 75% of the full award for his or her individual objectives would be earned. Results from the individual objectives section cannot exceed 100% of the full award.

# Establishing the Target Cash Incentive Opportunity

Under the SMBP, the Committee first approves a target cash incentive opportunity for each NEO, based upon a specific percentage of his or her base salary, as listed in the Target Cash Incentive Opportunity as a % of Salary column in the table below. This target cash incentive opportunity is established as a result of the Committee s Assessment of Competitive Compensation Practices described above. The Committee then assigns relative weightings (as a percentage of total cash incentive opportunity) to the objectives. The relative weightings of the corporate quantitative objectives and individual objectives take into account the executive s position, with the targets for executives with strategic responsibilities consisting of a higher corporate quantitative objectives weighting.

The table below summarizes the target cash incentive and relative weightings of corporate quantitative and individual objectives for each NEO:

	Target Cash Incentive Opportunity	Weightings		Relative Weighting as a % of Target Quantitative Objectives		
	• <b>FF</b> • • • • • • • • • • • •	Corporate		Adj. EBITDA	ROIC	Individual
Named	as a % of					
Executive Officer	Salary	Quantitative	Individual	(60%)	(40%)	Objectives
Jay Grinney	100%	80%	20%	48%	32%	20%
Douglas E. Coltharp	60%	80%	20%	48%	32%	20%
Mark J. Tarr	60%	80%	20%	48%	32%	20%
John P. Whittington	60%	80%	20%	48%	32%	20%
Cheryl B. Levy	50%	70%	30%	42%	28%	30%

Assessing and Rewarding 2012 Achievement of Objectives

After the close of the year, the Committee assesses performance against the corporate quantitative and individual objectives for each NEO to determine a weighted average result, or the percentage of each NEO s target incentive that has been achieved, for each objective. For 2012, results for the corporate quantitative objectives were as follows:

			% of Target		Weighted	
Objective	Target	Actual Result	Metric Achievement	Weight	Metric Achievement	
Adjusted EBITDA	\$492,569,000	\$509,292,000	134.0%	60%	80.4%	
ROIC	22.0%	23.0%	147.6%	40%	59.0%	
Combined				100%	139.4%	

The cash incentive attributable to individual objectives is determined by multiplying the relative weight of each NEO s individual objectives by the target cash incentive amount and then again by the percentage of the individual objectives achieved by that NEO. Individual objective achievement is capped at 100%. The Committee and the other independent members of our board determined Mr. Grinney s individual objectives achievement. The Committee also concurred with Mr. Grinney on the individual objective achievements for the other NEOs.

# 2012 Individual Objective Achievement

Named Executive Officer	Title	2012
Jay Grinney	President and Chief Executive Officer	91%
Douglas E. Coltharp	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	90%
Mark J. Tarr	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer	100%
John P. Whittington	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	95%
Cheryl B. Levy	Chief Human Resources Officer	90%

The Committee believes the degree of achievement of the quantitative and individual objectives strengthened our position in our industry and promoted the long-term interests of our stockholders, and thus warranted the cash incentive payments listed in the following table. These amounts were paid in February 2013 and are included in the 2012 compensation set out in the Summary Compensation Table on page 47.

#### 2012 Senior Management Bonus Plan Payouts

Named Executive	Corporate		
	Quantitative	Individual	Total
Officer	<b>Objective Portion</b>	<b>Objective Portion</b>	Payout
Jay Grinney	\$1,115,200	\$182,000	\$1,297,200
Douglas E. Coltharp	351,288	56,700	407,988
Mark J. Tarr	401,472	72,000	473,472
John P. Whittington	352,626	60,078	412,704
Cheryl B. Levy	168,326	46,575	214,901

#### Long-Term Incentives

To further align management s interests with those of stockholders, the Committee has structured a significant component of each NEO s total direct compensation in the form of long-term equity awards. We believe such grants promote strategic and operational decisions that align the long-term interests of management and the stockholders and help retain executives. In support of our performance-driven total compensation philosophy, earned equity values are driven by stock price and financial and operational performance.

For 2012, our equity incentive plan provided participants at all officer levels with the opportunity to earn performance-based restricted stock and time-based restricted stock and, in addition, for the chief executive officer and the executive vice presidents, stock options, thereby aligning all levels of management with stockholders and placing a significant portion of their TDC at risk. Time-based restricted stock was introduced in 2012 to enhance retention incentives in response to uncertainty in our industry due to the regulatory environment, including various deficit reduction initiatives.

For 2012, the independent board members increased the total target value of equity grants for Mr. Grinney to bring his target TDC closer to the 65<sup>th</sup> percentile in accordance with his letter of understanding and to recognize his leadership and strong operating performance. The 2012 value of the long-term incentives grants made to the remaining NEOs as a percentage of their average base salaries remained consistent with 2011.

The following table sets out the 2012 target equity award opportunity levels and the forms of equity compensation for each of our current NEOs as approved by the Committee and the board of directors. The values in this table differ from the values of equity awards reported in the Summary Compensation Table on page 47 because of accounting rule requirements.

### 2012 Target Equity Award Opportunity and Equity Compensation Mix (by value)

			Options		
Named		Total Target Equity Award	as a % of	PSUs as a % of	RSAs as a % of
<b>Executive Officer</b>	Title	Opportunity	the Award	the Award	the Award
Jay Grinney	President and	\$ 5,000,000	30%	50%	20%
	Chief Executive Officer				
Douglas E. Coltharp	Executive Vice President and Chief	790,647	30%	50%	20%
	Financial Officer				
Mark J. Tarr	Executive Vice President and Chief	790,647	30%	50%	20%
	Operating Officer				
John P. Whittington	Executive Vice President, General Counsel	790,647	30%	50%	20%
	and Secretary				
Cheryl B. Levy	Chief Human Resources Officer	297,357	-	50%	50%
Performance Share Unit A	Awards in 2012				

Fertormance Share Onit Awards in 2012

The Committee determined that, for NEOs, performance-based vesting conditions for a majority of the grant value of restricted stock awards are appropriate because such awards further align executives goals with the interests of stockholders and promote specific performance objectives

while facilitating ownership levels. Under our equity incentive plan, NEOs may be granted performance share units, or PSUs, which entitle them to receive a pre-determined range of restricted shares upon achievement of specified performance objectives. The recipients of PSU awards will not have voting rights or rights to receive dividends unless and until restricted stock is earned after the measurement period.

For the 2012 grants, the number of restricted shares earned will be determined at the end of a two-year performance period based on the level of achievement of normalized earnings per share<sup>3</sup>. The Committee chose this metric because they believe it is directly aligned with our stockholders interests. In early 2012, for reasons outlined below, the Committee eliminated the relative total shareholder return metric used for awards in 2010 and 2011, which had been based on the S&P Health Care Services Select Industry Index. If restricted shares are earned at the end of the two-year performance period, the participant must remain employed until the end of the following year at which time the shares fully vest.

Outlined in the table below are the performance objective, achievement levels, and corresponding payout multipliers for PSU awards in 2012:

<b>Restricted Stock</b>		Payout Multiple
Achievement Level	2-Year EPS Performance	(% of Target)
Maximum	\$3.90 or greater	200%
Target	\$3.12	100%
Threshold	\$2.34	50%
Not eligible	Less than \$2.34	0%

It is important to note the following:

Management provides a report to the Committee that sets out the calculations of the actual results and engages an accounting firm to produce a report on the accuracy of the calculation.

If results attained are less than threshold, then no restricted shares are earned for that performance measure in that performance period.

As results increase above the threshold, a corresponding percentage of target equity value will be awarded. In other words, levels listed are on a continuum, and straight-line interpolation is used to determine the payout multiple between two payout levels set forth in the table above. For example, if, at the end of the two-year performance period on December 31, 2013, the normalized earnings per share result is \$3.25, then the Company has exceeded the target level (the 50th percentile) by \$0.13 and that difference is 16.7% of spread between the maximum level and the target level (\$3.90 \$3.12). On a percentage basis, 16.7% of the difference between the maximum and target payment multiples (200%-100%) is 16.7%, so the corresponding payout multiple for total shareholder return objective is 116.7%.

#### Summary of 2011 PSU Grant Results

The 2011 PSU grants completed their performance period on December 31, 2012. For those grants (as with our 2010 grants), we had two metrics weighted equally: EPS and TSR relative to the S&P Health Care Services Select Industry Index. Our EPS performance was very strong and earned the NEOs a 180.3% share payout under the EPS metric. Our TSR performance compared to the performance of the SPSIHP Index yielded results below the threshold minimum resulting in no payout under the relative TSR metric. We share in our stockholders disappointment over our total shareholder return during this period which, as noted previously, we believe was significantly influenced by discussions in Washington relating to sequestration and deficit reduction proposals targeting Medicare payment cuts to inpatient rehabilitation hospitals. Our TSR relative to the SPSIHP Index was adversely affected by the inclusion in the index of dissimilar peers who are neither post-acute care providers nor impacted by the same regulatory influences. While we exceeded our EPS performance target, the failure to meet the minimum TSR threshold resulted in share awards for our NEOs below the target level.

<sup>3</sup> For purposes of the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, normalized earnings per share is calculated on a weighted-average diluted shares outstanding basis by adjusting net income from continuing operations attributable to HealthSouth for certain unusual or nonrecurring unbudgeted items. The Committee has established the following four categories for unusual or nonrecurring unbudgeted items: acquisitions and divestitures, changes in capital structure, litigation expenses and settlements, and material legislative changes. For the performance period ended December 31, 2012, those items included: divestiture of our long-term care hospitals; consolidation of St. Vincent hospital; impact from unbudgeted debt refinancing transactions; impact from unbudgeted professional fees related to the Ernst & Young arbitration; gains or recoveries from the Richard Scrushy verdict; and a special bonus paid to employees in lieu of a 2012 merit adjustment in response to federal sequestration legislation. The diluted share count is calculated on the same basis as the diluted shares outstanding in our 2012 Form 10-K and includes shares related to the potential conversion of our preferred stock, unvested restricted stock, restricted stock units, and dilutive stock options. The calculation of normalized earnings per share differs from that of earnings per share used in our earnings releases and publicly available financial guidance. We believe the calculation for compensation purposes for 2012 more accurately represents those matters within the control of management compared to the calculation used in communications with the market.

Λ	1
+	1

Objective			% of Target	
For			Metric	Weighted
				Metric
2011-2012	Weight	Actual Result	Achievement	Achievement
EPS	50%	\$2.91	180.3%	90.2%
TSR	50%	24th percentile	0.0%	0.0%
Combined	100%	-		90.2%

	Named	Target Grant	
	Executive Officer	(Shares)	Actual Award (Shares)
	Jay Grinney	121,299	109,350
	Douglas E. Coltharp	22,212	20,024
	Mark J. Tarr	22,212	20,024
	John P. Whittington	22,212	20,024
	Cheryl B. Levy	12,163	10,964
Time-Based Restricted Stock	Awards in 2012		

The Committee determined that, for NEOs, in addition to performance-based vesting conditions for a majority of the grant value of restricted stock awards, providing a portion of the 2012 grant value through time-based restricted stock was appropriate because such awards support the goal of retaining our executives during periods of volatile share prices while further facilitating ownership levels. Under our equity incentive plan, NEOs may be granted awards of restricted stock, or RSAs, which entitle them to receive a pre-determined number of restricted shares upon completion of a specified service period. The recipients of RSA awards have voting rights and rights to receive dividends during the associated service period.

For the 2012 RSA award, one-third of the shares granted vest on the first anniversary of the grant, one-third of the shares vest on the second anniversary of the grant, and the final third vest on the third anniversary.

### Stock Option Awards in 2012

We believe stock options remain an appropriate means to align the interests of our most senior executives with our stockholders since they provide an incentive to grow stock price.

Each stock option permits the holder, generally for a period of ten years, to purchase one share of our common stock at the exercise price, which is the closing market price on the date of issuance. Options generally vest ratably in equal annual increments over three years from the grant date. In 2012, the number of options granted equaled 30% of the total target equity award opportunity approved for the related officer divided by the individual option value determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model at the time of grant.

### Equity Grant Timing

Our practice is to have the independent members on our board of directors approve, based on recommendations of the Committee, equity grants at the February board meeting in order to allow for time to review and consider our prior year s performance. The number of shares of common stock underlying the PSU, time-based restricted stock, and stock option grants is determined using the average closing price for our common stock over the 20-day trading period preceding the February board meeting at which the awards are approved. The strike price for the stock option grants is set at the closing price on the second trading day after the filing of our Form 10-K, and we issue the stock options the following business day. This timing for the pricing and issuance of stock options allows for the exercise price to reflect a broad dissemination of our financial results from the prior year.

#### Special Equity Grant

On May 3, 2012, the board of directors, with the recommendation of the Committee and at the request of the chief executive officer, approved special one-time equity grants for certain members of senior management, including those NEOs as set forth below:

	Shares of Time-Based
Named Executive Officer	Restricted Stock
Mark J. Tarr	50,000
Douglas E. Coltharp	25,000
John P. Whittington	5,000
Cheryl B. Levy	5,000

The primary purpose of these grants was to provide an additional incentive for key management to remain with HealthSouth thereby creating senior management continuity in the face of an uncertain healthcare operating environment that presents significant near-term challenges over the next three years. Each grant was determined based on the individual's impact on operations, key functional responsibilities, and/or their unique role with the Company. These grants will, assuming continuing employment, vest in increments of 20%, 20%, and 60% over three years, respectively, on each anniversary date of the grant.

#### **Executive Compensation Program Changes for 2013**

Of note, the TDC opportunity for all of our NEOs remained the same for 2013. The Committee, however, did approve several changes for 2013 to accomplish the following:

provide strong financial and quality performance incentives to motivate operating performance that should over time result in positive shareholder experience;

structure the long-term incentive program to be more consistent with competitive practice and incorporate retention incentives during a challenging period for our industry; and

execute our competitive philosophy to be in line with best practices and target total direct compensation opportunities to the competitive market median with select individual levels set above median due to exceptional individual contribution and importance of the role within the Company.

These changes include:

the addition of company-wide program evaluation model, or PEM, scores, a quality of care metric that evaluates the functional gains our patients achieve, as a performance metric in our SMBP;

the movement of the ROIC metric from the SMBP to the LTIP because that metric more effectively measures multi-year performance;

the re-introduction of a two-year relative TSR metric as part of the performance-based restricted stock award component of our LTIP along with two-year EPS and ROIC objectives, followed by one-year time vesting;

the adoption of the Healthcare Provider Peer Group (described earlier) as the TSR peer group which was selected by the Committee after careful consideration over other potential peer groups or stock indices, since using the Healthcare Provider Peer Group brings consistency across our executive compensation program and includes a significant number of companies that face similar regulatory and reimbursement issues; and

Increased the portion of the LTIP grant value allocated to performance-based restricted stock:

	<b>Total Target</b>			
Named	Equity Award		Performance-based Restricted	Time-based Restricted
	0 4 4	Stock	C4 1	Ct. I
Executive Officer	Opportunity	Options	Stock	Stock
Jay Grinney	\$5,000,000	20%	60%	20%
Douglas E. Coltharp	787,515	20%	60%	20%
Mark J. Tarr	900,013	20%	60%	20%
John P. Whittington	790,494	20%	60%	20%
Cheryl B. Levy	345,003	0%	60%	40%

### Benefits

In 2012, our NEOs were eligible for the same benefits offered to other employees, including medical and dental coverage. NEOs are also eligible to participate in our qualified 401(k) plan, subject to the limits on contributions imposed by the Internal Revenue Service. In order to allow deferrals above the amounts provided by the IRS, executives and certain other officers are eligible to participate in a nonqualified deferred 401(k) plan that mirrors the current qualified 401(k) plan. Other than the plans referenced here, we did not provide our executives with compensation in the form of a pension plan, nonqualified deferred compensation plan, or a retirement plan. As outlined in his letter of understanding, we provided Mr. Grinney with long-term disability coverage above the level offered broadly to our employees.

#### **Perquisite Practices**

We do not have any perquisite plans or policies in place for our executive officers. In general, we do not believe such personal benefit plans are necessary for us to attract and retain executive talent. We do not provide tax payment reimbursements or any other tax payments to any of our executive officers.

The Company pays premiums for group-term life insurance and long-term disability insurance for all employees. From time to time, officers and directors may be allowed, if space permits, to have family members accompany them on business flights on our aircraft, at no material incremental cost to us.

## **OTHER COMPENSATION POLICIES**

#### Equity Ownership Guidelines for Management

To further align the interests of our management with those of our stockholders, we have adopted equity ownership guidelines for senior management and members of our board of directors.

Covered individuals have five years to reach their ownership level and upon each tax recognition or option exercise event, a covered officer or director should retain at least 50% of the after tax value of the related equity award until ownership levels are achieved. All of our NEOs and non-employee directors have satisfied the guidelines. Mr. Coltharp, who joined us in May 2010, as well as Ms. Herman and Ms. Katz, who both joined our board in January 2013, are not yet required to meet the applicable ownership level. Outlined in the table below are the ownership guidelines:

Position	<b>Required Value of Equity Owned</b>
chief executive officer	5 times annual base salary
executive vice president	3 times annual base salary
other executive officers	1.5 times annual base salary
outside director	\$300,000

#### **Compensation Recoupment Policy**

Our board of directors has approved and adopted a senior management compensation recoupment policy applicable to awards granted and incentive compensation paid after January 1, 2010. The policy provides that if the board has, in its sole discretion, determined that any fraud, illegal conduct, intentional misconduct, or gross neglect by any officer was a significant contributing factor to our having to restate all or a portion of our financial statements, the board may:

require reimbursement of any bonus or incentive compensation paid to that officer,

cause the cancellation of that officer s restricted or deferred stock awards and outstanding stock options, and

require reimbursement of any gains realized on the exercise of stock options attributable to incentive awards, if and to the extent (i) the amount of that compensation was calculated based upon the achievement of the financial results that were subsequently reduced due to that restatement and (ii) the amount of the compensation that would have been awarded to that officer had the financial results been properly reported would have been lower than the amount actually awarded.

Additionally, if an officer is found to have committed fraud or engaged in intentional misconduct in the performance of his or her duties, as determined by a final, non-appealable judgment of a court of competent jurisdiction, and the board determines the action caused substantial harm to HealthSouth, the board may, in its sole discretion, utilize the remedies described above.

### Anti-Hedging Policy

The Company prohibits the following transactions, unless approved in advance by a majority of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee of the Company:

short-term trading of our securities,

short sales of our securities,

transactions in publicly-traded derivatives relating to our securities,

hedging or monetization transactions, such as zero-cost collars and forward sale contracts, and

pledging of our securities as collateral, including as part of a margin account.

#### Severance Arrangements

Apart from the letter of understanding with Mr. Grinney, it is not our practice to enter into individual employment agreements with our senior executives. To provide our senior executives with competitive levels of security, potential benefits are provided to our senior executives under our change of control and severance plans. The Committee determined the value of benefits were reasonable, appropriate, and competitive with our healthcare provider peer group. As a condition to receipt of any payment or benefits under either plan, participating employees must enter into a nonsolicitation, nondisclosure, nondisparagement and release agreement. As a matter of policy, payments under either plan do not include gross ups for federal taxes payable on amounts paid under the plan.

#### Executive Severance Plan

The goal of the Executive Severance Plan is to help retain qualified, senior officers whose employment with us is subject to termination under circumstances beyond their control. Our NEOs and all senior vice presidents are participants in the plan, which is an exhibit to our 2012 Form 10-K. Under the plan, if a participant s employment is terminated by the participant for good reason or by HealthSouth other than for cause (as defined in the plan), then the participant is entitled to receive a cash severance payment, health benefits, and the other benefits described below. Voluntary retirement is not a payment triggering event. The terms of the plan, including the payment triggering events, were determined by the Committee to be consistent with market data from the Towers Watson s healthcare industry survey group in 2011.

The cash severance payment for participants is the multiple (set forth in the table below) of annual base salary in effect at the time of the event plus any accrued, but unused, paid time off, and accrued, but unpaid, salary. This amount is to be paid in a lump sum within 60 days following the participant s termination date. In addition, except in the event of termination for cause or resignation for lack of good reason, the participants and their dependents continue to be covered by all life, healthcare, medical and dental insurance plans and programs, excluding disability, for a period of time set forth in the following table.

	Severance as Multiple of	Benefit Plan
Position	Annual Base Salary	<b>Continuation Period</b>
chief executive officer	3x	36 months
executive vice presidents	2x	24 months
other executive officers	1x	12 months

Amounts paid under the plan are in lieu of, and not in addition to, any other severance or termination payments under any other plan or agreement with HealthSouth. As a condition to receipt of any payment under the plan, the participant must waive any entitlement to any other

severance or termination payment by us, including any severance or termination payment set forth in any employment arrangement with us.

Upon termination of a participant without cause, or his or her resignation for good reason, a prorated portion of any equity award subject to time-based vesting only that is unvested as of the effective date of the termination or resignation will automatically vest. If any restricted stock awards are performance-based, the Committee will determine the extent to which the performance goals for such restricted stock have been met and what awards have been earned.

### Change in Control Benefits Plan

The goal of the Change in Control Benefits Plan is to help retain certain qualified senior officers, maintain a stable work environment, and encourage officers to act in the best interest of stockholders if presented with decisions regarding change in control transactions. Our NEOs and other officers are participants in the plan, which is an exhibit to our 2012 Form 10-K. The terms of the plan, including the definition of a change in control event, were determined to be consistent with healthcare industry market data from the Committee s and management s consultants.

Under the Change in Control Benefits Plan, participants are divided into tiers as designated by the Committee. Messrs. Grinney, Coltharp, Whittington, and Tarr are Tier 1 participants; Ms. Levy is a Tier 2 participant. If a change in control occurs as defined in the plan, each outstanding option to purchase common stock held by participants will automatically vest, and, for options granted on or prior to November 4, 2005, the scheduled expiration shall be extended for up to a year. For Tier 1 and 2 participants, all options granted after November 4, 2005 will remain exercisable for three and two years, respectively, following a change in control. Restricted stock that is not performance-based (i.e., time-lapse) will automatically vest upon the occurrence of a change in control. If the restricted stock is performance-based, the Committee will determine the extent to which the performance goals for such restricted stock have been met and what awards have been earned.

If a participant s employment is terminated within 24 months following a change in control or within three months of a potential change in control, either by the participant for good reason (as defined in the Change in Control Benefits Plan) or by HealthSouth without cause, then the participant shall receive a lump sum severance payment. Voluntary retirement is not a payment triggering event. For Tier 1 and 2 participants, the lump sum severance is 2.99 times and two times, respectively, the highest base salary in the prior three years plus an average of actual annual incentives for the prior three years for the participant. In addition, except in the event of termination for cause or resignation for lack of good reason, the participant and the participant s dependents continue to be covered by all life, healthcare, medical and dental insurance plans and programs, excluding disability, for a period of 36 months for Tier 1 participants and 24 months for Tier 2 participants.

## Tax Implications of Executive Compensation

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, generally limits the tax deductibility of compensation paid to certain highly compensated executive officers in excess of \$1 million in the year the compensation otherwise would be deductible by the company. There is an exception to the limit on deductibility for performance-based compensation that meets certain requirements. The Committee considers the impact of this rule when developing and implementing our executive compensation program in light of the overall compensation philosophy and objectives. The Committee seeks to balance the tax, accounting, EPS, and dilutive impact of executive compensation practices with the need to attract, retain, and motivate highly qualified executives. Although the Committee does design certain components of its executive compensation program to seek full deductibility, the Committee believes the interests of stockholders are best served by not restricting the Committee s discretion and flexibility in crafting compensation programs, even though such programs may result in certain nondeductible compensation expenses. Accordingly, we have not adopted a policy that all compensation must qualify as deductible under Section 162(m) of the Code. Amounts paid under any of our compensation programs, including salaries, bonuses, and grants of options, restricted stock, and other equity-based compensation, may not qualify as performance-based compensation that is excluded from the limitation on deductibility. For example, a portion of our 2012 grants of time-based restricted stock will likely not be deductible as they vest as a result of the \$1 million deduction limit. In recent years, the accounting and tax treatment of compensation generally has not been a material factor in determining the amount or type of compensation for our named executive officers. In particular, the tax effects considered by the Committee in recent years have included our minimal cash tax payments as a result of applying our substantial net operat



#### **Summary Compensation Table**

The table below shows the compensation of our 2012 named executive officers for services in all capacities in 2012, 2011, and 2010, except as otherwise indicated. For a discussion of the various elements of compensation and the related compensation decisions and policies, including the amount of salary and bonus in proportion to total compensation and the material terms of awards reported below, see Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning on page 28. There are no additional material terms, if any, of each NEO s employment arrangement, except as discussed under Severance Arrangements and Letter of Understanding with Jay Grinney beginning on pages 45 and 51, respectively.

Jay Grinney20121,000,0003,686,2491,579,5221,297,200104,9617,667,932President and20111,000,0003,493,4161,459,5001,588,000148,1197,689,035Chief Executive Officer20101,000,0002,805,3731,280,3961,436,800112,4866,635,055Douglas E. Coltharp <sup>(5)</sup> 2012525,0001,136,833250,023407,98821,2212,341,065Executive Vice President and2011525,000639,706264,842503,3708,2501,941,168Chief Financial Officer2010345,205100,000-304,0818,293757,579Mark J. Tarr2012588,2201,689,583250,023473,47232,7223,034,020Executive Vice President2011520,968639,706264,842504,33430,1681,960,018and Chief Operating Officer2010479,318623,434284,547411,4857,1861,805,970John P. Whittington2012527,000694,633250,023412,70430,9691,915,329Executive Vice President,2011527,000639,706264,842505,28837,1491,973,985General Counsel and2010527,000503,201229,671455,58121,8841,737,337	Name and Principal		Salary	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	All Other Compensation	Total
President and Chief Executive Officer20111,000,0003,493,4161,459,5001,588,000148,1197,689,035Douglas E. Coltharp <sup>(5)</sup> Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer2012525,0001,136,833250,023407,98821,2212,341,065Douglas E. Coltharp <sup>(5)</sup> Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer2011525,000639,706264,842503,3708,2501,941,168Chief Financial Officer2010345,205100,000-304,0818,293757,579Mark J. Tarr Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer2011520,968639,706264,842504,33430,1681,960,018John P. Whittington Executive Vice President, 20112012527,000694,633250,023412,70430,9691,915,329John P. Whittington Executive Vice President, 20112011527,000639,706264,842505,28837,1491,973,985General Counsel and2010527,000503,201229,671455,58121,8841,737,337	Position		(\$)	(\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	(\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	(\$) <sup>(3)</sup>	(\$) <sup>(4)</sup>	
Chief Executive Officer20101,000,0002,805,3731,280,3961,436,800112,4866,635,055Douglas E. Coltharp <sup>(5)</sup> 2012525,0001,136,833250,023407,98821,2212,341,065Executive Vice President and2011525,000639,706264,842503,3708,2501,941,168Chief Financial Officer2010345,205100,000-304,0818,293757,579Mark J. Tarr2012588,2201,689,583250,023473,47232,7223,034,020Executive Vice President2011520,968639,706264,842504,33430,1681,960,018and Chief Operating Officer2010479,318623,434284,547411,4857,1861,805,970John P. Whittington2012527,000694,633250,023412,70430,9691,915,329Executive Vice President,2011527,000639,706264,842505,28837,1491,973,985General Counsel and2010527,000503,201229,671455,58121,8841,737,337	Jay Grinney	2012	1,000,000	3,686,249	1,579,522	1,297,200	104,961	7,667,932
Douglas E. Coltharp <sup>(5)</sup> 2012         525,000         1,136,833         250,023         407,988         21,221         2,341,065           Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer         2011         525,000         639,706         264,842         503,370         8,250         1,941,168           Chief Financial Officer         2010         345,205         100,000         -         304,081         8,293         757,579           Mark J. Tarr         2012         588,220         1,689,583         250,023         473,472         32,722         3,034,020           Executive Vice President         2011         520,968         639,706         264,842         504,334         30,168         1,960,018           and Chief Operating Officer         2010         479,318         623,434         284,547         411,485         7,186         1,805,970           John P. Whittington         2012         527,000         694,633         250,023         412,704         30,969         1,915,329           Executive Vice President,         2011         527,000         639,706         264,842         505,288         37,149         1,973,985           General Counsel and         2010         527,000         503,201         229,671         455,581 <td>President and</td> <td>2011</td> <td>1,000,000</td> <td>3,493,416</td> <td>1,459,500</td> <td>1,588,000</td> <td>148,119</td> <td>7,689,035</td>	President and	2011	1,000,000	3,493,416	1,459,500	1,588,000	148,119	7,689,035
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer2011 2010525,000 345,205639,706 	Chief Executive Officer	2010	1,000,000	2,805,373	1,280,396	1,436,800	112,486	6,635,055
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer2011 2010525,000 345,205639,706 100,000264,842 -503,370 304,0818,250 8,2931,941,168 757,579Mark J. Tarr Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer2012 2010588,220 20101,689,583 479,318250,023 623,434473,472 264,84232,722 504,33430,034,020 30,168John P. Whittington Executive Vice President, General Counsel and2012 2010527,000 503,201694,633 250,023250,023 412,704412,704 30,969 30,96930,969 1,915,329 1,973,985								
Chief Financial Officer       2010       345,205       100,000       -       304,081       8,293       757,579         Mark J. Tarr       2012       588,220       1,689,583       250,023       473,472       32,722       3,034,020         Executive Vice President       2011       520,968       639,706       264,842       504,334       30,168       1,960,018         and Chief Operating Officer       2010       479,318       623,434       284,547       411,485       7,186       1,805,970         John P. Whittington       2012       527,000       694,633       250,023       412,704       30,969       1,915,329         Executive Vice President,       2011       527,000       639,706       264,842       505,288       37,149       1,973,985         General Counsel and       2010       527,000       503,201       229,671       455,581       21,884       1,737,337	Douglas E. Coltharp <sup>(5)</sup>	2012	525,000	1,136,833	250,023	407,988	21,221	2,341,065
Mark J. Tarr       2012       588,220       1,689,583       250,023       473,472       32,722       3,034,020         Executive Vice President       2011       520,968       639,706       264,842       504,334       30,168       1,960,018         and Chief Operating Officer       2010       479,318       623,434       284,547       411,485       7,186       1,805,970         John P. Whittington       2012       527,000       694,633       250,023       412,704       30,969       1,915,329         Executive Vice President,       2011       527,000       639,706       264,842       505,288       37,149       1,973,985         General Counsel and       2010       527,000       503,201       229,671       455,581       21,884       1,737,337	Executive Vice President and	2011	525,000	639,706	264,842	503,370	8,250	1,941,168
Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer2011 2010520,968 479,318639,706 623,434264,842 284,547504,334 411,48530,168 7,1861,960,018 1,805,970John P. Whittington Executive Vice President, General Counsel and2012 2010527,000 527,000694,633 639,706250,023 264,842412,704 505,28830,969 37,1491,915,329 1,973,985General Counsel and2010 527,000503,201 503,201229,671 229,671455,581 455,58121,884 21,8841,737,337	Chief Financial Officer	2010	345,205	100,000	-	304,081	8,293	757,579
Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer2011 2010520,968 479,318639,706 623,434264,842 284,547504,334 411,48530,168 7,1861,960,018 1,805,970John P. Whittington Executive Vice President, General Counsel and2012 2010527,000 527,000694,633 639,706250,023 264,842412,704 505,28830,969 37,1491,915,329 1,973,985General Counsel and2010 527,000503,201 503,201229,671 229,671455,581 455,58121,884 21,8841,737,337								
and Chief Operating Officer2010479,318623,434284,547411,4857,1861,805,970John P. Whittington2012527,000694,633250,023412,70430,9691,915,329Executive Vice President,2011527,000639,706264,842505,28837,1491,973,985General Counsel and2010527,000503,201229,671455,58121,8841,737,337	Mark J. Tarr	2012	588,220	1,689,583	250,023	473,472	32,722	3,034,020
John P. Whittington2012527,000694,633250,023412,70430,9691,915,329Executive Vice President,2011527,000639,706264,842505,28837,1491,973,985General Counsel and2010527,000503,201229,671455,58121,8841,737,337	Executive Vice President	2011	520,968	639,706	264,842	504,334	30,168	1,960,018
Executive Vice President,2011527,000639,706264,842505,28837,1491,973,985General Counsel and2010527,000503,201229,671455,58121,8841,737,337	and Chief Operating Officer	2010	479,318	623,434	284,547	411,485	7,186	1,805,970
Executive Vice President,2011527,000639,706264,842505,28837,1491,973,985General Counsel and2010527,000503,201229,671455,58121,8841,737,337								
General Counsel and         2010         527,000         503,201         229,671         455,581         21,884         1,737,337	John P. Whittington	2012	527,000	694,633	250,023	412,704	30,969	1,915,329
	Executive Vice President,	2011	527,000	639,706	264,842	505,288	37,149	1,973,985
Comparete Secretary	General Counsel and	2010	527,000	503,201	229,671	455,581	21,884	1,737,337
Corporate Secretary	Corporate Secretary							
	-							
Cheryl B. Levy <sup>(6)</sup> 2012 339,167 424,348 - 214,901 13,461 991,877	Cheryl B. Levy <sup>(6)</sup>	2012	339,167	424,348	-	214,901	13,461	991,877
Chief Human Resources 2011	Chief Human Resources	2011	-	-	-	-	-	-
Officer 2010	Officer	2010	-	-	-	-	-	-

(1) All stock awards for 2010 and 2011, except for Mr. Coltharp s \$100,000 award in connection with his hiring in 2010 that is subject to time vesting only, were performance share units, or PSUs, and the corresponding values listed in this column are the grant date fair values computed in accordance with Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718, assuming the most probable outcome of the performance conditions as of the grant dates. The award amounts for 2012 include, along with PSUs, time-based restricted stock grants as part of the 2012 long-term incentive plan grants and, for those NEOs other than Mr. Grinney, a special equity grant made in May 2012 and described further under Special Equity Grant on page 43. All of the values in this column are consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation expense to be recognized over the applicable vesting period, excluding any adjustment for forfeitures. The assumptions used in the valuations are discussed in Note 14, *Share-Based Payments*, to the consolidated financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K.

Values reported for PSU awards reflect the value at target performance. The value of these awards at the varying performance levels for our current NEOs is set forth in the table below.

Name	Year	Threshold Performance Value (\$)	Target Performance Value (\$)	Maximum Performance Value (\$)
Jay Grinney	2012	1,316,516	2,633,032	5,266,064
	2011	1,746,708	3,493,416	6,986,832
	2010	1,402,687	2,805,373	5,610,749
Douglas E. Coltharp	2012	208,604	417,208	834,417
		319,853	639,706	1,279,412
	2011			
	2010	-	-	-

Mark J. Tarr	2012	208,604	417,208	834,417
		319,853	639,706	1,279,412
	2011			
	2010	311,718	623,434	1,246,871
John P. Whittington	2012	208,604	417,208	834,417
		319,853	639,706	1,279,412
	2011			
	2010	251,601	503,201	1,006,405
Cheryl B. Levy	2012	78,444	156,888	313,777
		-	-	-
	2011			
	2010	-	-	-

(2) The values of option awards listed in this column are the grant date fair values computed in accordance with ASC 718 as of the grant date. All of the values in this column are consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation expense to be recognized over the three-year vesting period, excluding any adjustment for forfeitures. The assumptions used in the valuations are discussed in Note 14, *Share-Based Payments*, to the consolidated financial statements in our 2012 Form 10-K.

<sup>(3)</sup> For 2012, the amounts shown in this column comprise bonuses paid in 2013 under our 2012 Senior Management Bonus Plan.

<sup>(4)</sup> For Mr. Grinney, the amount shown in this column for 2012 includes (a) Company paid premiums for long-term disability insurance (\$27,321) and (b) Company paid nonqualified 401(k) match (\$77,640). For Mr. Coltharp, the amount shown in this column for 2012

includes (a) Company paid qualified 401(k) match (\$8,500) and (b) Company paid nonqualified 401(k) match (\$12,721). For Mr. Tarr, the amount shown in this column for 2012 includes Company paid nonqualified 401(k) match (\$32,722). For Mr. Whittington, the amount shown in this column for 2012 includes (a) Company paid qualified 401(k) match (\$8,500) and (b) Company paid nonqualified 401(k) match (\$22,469). For Ms. Levy, the amount shown in this column for 2012 includes (a) Company paid qualified 401(k) match (\$8,387) and (b) Company paid nonqualified 401(k) match (\$5,074).

For SEC purposes, the cost of personal use of the Company aircraft is calculated based on the incremental cost to us. To determine the incremental cost, we calculate the variable costs based on usage which include fuel costs on a per mile basis, plus any direct trip expenses such as on-board catering, landing/ramp fees, crew hotel and meal expenses, and other miscellaneous variable costs. Since Company-owned aircraft are used exclusively for business travel, the calculation method excludes the costs which do not change based on incremental non-business usage, such as pilots salaries, aircraft leasing expenses and the cost of maintenance not related specifically to trips.

Occasionally, our executives are accompanied by guests on the corporate aircraft for personal reasons when there is available space on a flight being made for business reasons. There is no incremental cost associated with that use of the aircraft, except for a pro rata portion of catering expenses and our portion of employment taxes attributable to the income imputed to that executive for tax purposes, of which there were none in 2012.

<sup>(5)</sup> Mr. Coltharp assumed his position effective May 6, 2010.

<sup>(6)</sup> Ms. Levy has served as the principal human resources officer since March 15, 2007. She became a named executive officer for the first time in 2012.

## Grants of Plan-Based Awards During 2012

		Date of		Possible Pa quity Incen Awards <sup>(1)</sup>			ted Future Under ty Incentiv Awards <sup>(2)</sup>	e Plan	ll Other Sto Awards:		Exercise o Base Price of	Grant Date r Fair Value of Stock and
		Board						Nu	mber d <b>N∈</b> of Stock or	dness of Secu		Option
		Approval of T	hreshold <sup>(3)</sup>	Target <sup>(4)</sup>	Maximum (5)	Threshold	Target	Maximum		erlying Opti	o <b>As</b> Wards	Awards
Name Jay Grinney	Grant Date	Grant	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(#)	(\$/SH)	(\$)
Annual			400,000	1,000,000	1,800,000							
Incentive RSA	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	400,000	1,000,000	1,800,000	-	-	-	- 50,201	-	-	- 1,053,217
PSU	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	62,751	125,502	251,004	-	-	-	2,633,032
Stock	2/27/2012	2/22/2012								165.000	21.02	1 570 522
options Douglas E.	2/27/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	165,089	21.02	1,579,522
Coltharp												
Annual			126.000	215 000	5(7,000							
Incentive RSA	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	126,000	315,000	567,000	-	-	-	- 7,954	-	-	- 166,875
RSA	5/3/2012	5/3/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	25,000	-	-	552,750
PSU	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	9,943	19,886	39,772	-	-	-	417,208
Stock												
options	2/27/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,132	21.02	250,023
Mark J. Tarr Annual												
Incentive			144,000	360,000	648,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RSA	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,954	-	-	166,875
RSA	5/3/2012	5/3/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000	-	-	1,105,500
PSU	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	9,943	19,886	39,772	-	-	-	417,208
Stock	0.000.00	2/22/2012										
options John P.	2/27/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	26,132	21.02	250,023
Whittington												
Annual												
Incentive			126,480	316,200	569,160	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RSA	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,954	-	-	166,875
RSA	5/3/2012	5/3/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,000	-	-	110,550
PSU	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	9,943	19,886	39,772	-	-	-	417,208
Stock options	2/27/2012	2/23/2012		-	_	_			-	26,132	21.02	250,023
Cheryl B.	2/2//2012	212312012								20,152	21.02	230,023
Levy												
Annual			(0.275	100 500	000.050							
Incentive	0/04/0010	2/22/2012	60,375	172,500	293,250	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
RSA RSA	2/24/2012 5/3/2012	2/23/2012 5/3/2012	-	-	-	-	-	-	7,479 5,000	-	-	156,909 110,550
PSU	2/24/2012	2/23/2012	-	-	-	3,739	- 7,478	- 14,956	5,000	-	-	156,888
		_,,				2,107	,,,,,,	1,,,00				100,000

Footnotes found on next page.

- (1) The possible payments described in the three columns above are cash amounts provided for by our 2012 Senior Management Bonus Plan as discussed under Annual Incentives beginning on page 36. Final payments under the 2012 program were calculated and paid in February 2013 and are reflected in the Summary Compensation Table on page 47 under the heading Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation.
- (2) Awards which are designated as PSU above are performance share units granted under our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan that is described on page 58. As described in Performance Share Unit Awards in 2012 beginning on page 40, these awards vest and shares are earned based upon the level of attainment of performance objectives for the two-year period from January 1, 2012 through December 31, 2013 and a one-year time-vesting requirement ending December 31, 2014. Each of the threshold, target and maximum share numbers reported in the three columns assume the performance objective (normalized earnings per share) is achieved at that respective level. Upon a change in control, the Committee will determine the extent to which the performance goals for PSUs have been met and what awards have been earned. The restricted stock earned at the end of the PSU s performance period is entitled to ordinary dividends, if any are declared and paid. The Compensation Committee will determine whether the restricted stock will be entitled to any extraordinary dividends, if any are declared and paid.
- (3) The threshold amounts in this column assume: (i) the Company reached only threshold achievement on each of the quantitative objectives and (ii) none of the individual objectives were achieved, resulting in payment of the minimum quantitative portion of the bonus. Thus, we would apply the NEO s corporate quantitative objectives percentage (which, for Mr. Grinney as an example, would be 80%) to the target bonus dollar amount. Then, following the procedures discussed under Assessing and Rewarding 2012 Achievement of Objectives beginning on page 39, we would multiply this amount by 50% (the threshold payout multiple) to arrive at the amount payable for threshold achievement of the quantitative objectives. No amount would be payable from the amount allocated to achievement of individual objectives.
- (4) The target payment amounts in this column assume: (i) the Company achieved exactly 100% of each of the quantitative objectives and (ii) all of the individual objectives were achieved. The target amount payable for each NEO is his or her base salary multiplied by this target cash incentive percentage. See table under Establishing the Target Cash Incentive Opportunity on page 38.
- (5) The maximum payment amounts in this column assume: (i) the Company achieved at or above the maximum achievement level of each of the quantitative objectives and (ii) all of the individual objectives were achieved. Thus, we would apply the NEO s corporate quantitative objectives percentage (which, for Mr. Grinney as an example, would be 80%) to the target bonus dollar amount. Then, following the procedures discussed under Assessing and Rewarding 2012 Achievement of Objectives beginning on page 39, we would multiply this amount by 200% (the maximum payout multiple) to arrive at the amount payable for maximum achievement of the quantitative objectives. Then, we would add 100% of the amount allocated to achievement of individual objectives to arrive at the final bonus payout.
- (6) Awards which are designated as RSA in the first column of this table are time-vesting restricted stock awards granted under our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan that is described on page 58. For these awards, the number of shares of restricted stock set forth will vest on a pro rata basis over a three-year term, provided that the officer is still employed with the Company; a change in control of the Company will also cause these awards to immediately vest in full. This restricted stock is entitled to ordinary dividends, if any are declared and paid. The Compensation Committee will determine whether the restricted stock will be entitled to any extraordinary dividends, if any are declared and paid.
- (7) All stock option grants in 2012 were made under our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan that is described on page 58. These option awards will vest, subject to the officer s continued employment with the Company, in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of grant; a change in control of the Company will also cause these options to immediately vest in full.

#### Letter of Understanding with Jay Grinney

Other than the compensation plans and programs described under Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Company has only one agreement or arrangement in effect with its officers. On December 2, 2010, we entered into a letter of understanding with Mr. Grinney governing the terms of his employment as president and chief executive officer to replace the agreement that would have expired December 31, 2010. This new agreement expires December 2, 2013 and has terms substantially similar to the prior agreement. Pursuant to his agreement, Mr. Grinney will receive an annual base salary of \$1,000,000, subject to annual adjustments as determined by the Committee, and an annual bonus based on both the performance of the Company and his personal performance. He also will be entitled to participate in the ongoing and other long-term awards and programs and participate in and receive benefits under certain insurance, benefit and other plans as may be in effect from time to time on such terms as are offered to our senior executive officers. Such plans include, but are not limited to, paid time off, medical, life insurance, 401(k), disability insurance, and incentive and equity compensation plans. Additionally, this new agreement provides, as did his original agreement, that Mr. Grinney s direct compensation will be targeted at the 65 percentile of the competitive peer group selected by our board of directors.

The letter of understanding also provides that Mr. Grinney s rights upon termination of his employment during the term of the letter of understanding will be governed by the terms of the letter of understanding and the Executive Severance Plan and the Change in Control Benefits Plan, which are described beginning on page 45. Notwithstanding any amendments to the Executive Severance Plan and the Change in Control Benefits Plan, if Mr. Grinney s employment terminates during the term of the letter of understanding, he will be entitled to the payments and benefits provided under the Executive Severance Plan or Change in Control Benefits Plan, as applicable. Upon termination, his outstanding equity awards will be treated in substantially the manner described under Executive Severance Plan beginning on page 45. Mr. Grinney s entitlement to payments and benefits under the Executive Severance Plan and Change in Control Benefits Plan is contingent upon his compliance with the post-termination restrictive covenants described below. The letter of understanding also contains (1) noncompetition provisions which are effective throughout the term of Mr. Grinney s employment and for a period of 24 months thereafter unless termination is without cause or as a result of disability or he resigns for good reason or due to a change in control, in which case such provisions shall remain in effect for a period of 12 months, (2) non-interference and nonsolicitation provisions which are effective throughout the term of Mr. Grinney s employment and for a period of 36 months thereafter, and (3) a confidentiality provision with no expiration.

For purposes of Mr. Grinney s letter of understanding, cause, good reason and change in control have the meanings as defined in the Executive Severance Plan and the Change in Control Benefits Plan and set forth beginning on page 45.

#### **Potential Payments upon Termination of Employment**

The following table describes the potential payments and benefits under the Company s compensation and benefit plans and arrangements to which the named executive officers currently employed with us would be entitled upon termination of employment by us for cause or without cause or by the executive for good reason or retirement, as those terms are defined below. As previously discussed, our Change in Control Benefits Plan does not provide benefits unless there is an associated termination of employment. Due to the numerous factors involved in estimating these amounts, the actual value of benefits and amounts to be paid can only be determined upon termination of employment. In the event an NEO breaches or violates the restrictive covenants contained in the awards under our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan or his or her employment agreement, if any, certain of the amounts described below may be subject to forfeiture and/or repayment.

For additional discussion of the material terms and conditions, including payment triggers, see Severance Arrangements on page 45 and Letter of Understanding with Jay Grinney on page 51. An executive cannot receive termination benefits under more than one of the plans or arrangements identified below. Retirement benefits are governed by the terms of the awards under our 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. Assume triggering events set forth below occur on December 31, 2012. The closing price of our common stock on that day was \$21.11.

	Lump Sum Payments	Continuation of Insurance Benefits	Accelerated Vesting of Equity Awards	Total Termination Benefits
Name	<b>(\$)</b> <sup>(1)</sup>	(\$)	<b>(\$)</b> <sup>(2)</sup>	(\$)
Jay Grinney				
Executive Severance Plan/Letter of Understanding				
Without Cause/For Good Reason	3,000,000	21,141	5,224,073	8,245,214
Disability	-	-	8,341,113	8,341,113
Death	-	-	8,341,113	8,341,113
For Cause	-	-	-	-
Change in Control Benefits Plan	7,034,099	21,141	8,546,448	15,601,688
Retirement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Douglas E. Coltharp				
Executive Severance Plan Without Cause/For Good Reason	1.050.000	22 495	601 490	1 674 065
	1,050,000	23,485	601,480	1,674,965
Disability Death	-	-	1,572,803 1,572,803	1,572,803 1,572,803
For Cause	-	-	1,372,803	1,572,805
Change in Control Benefits Plan	2,776,889	35,228	1,575,155	4,387,272
Retirement	2,770,009 N/A	N/A	N/A	4,567,272 N/A
Mark J. Tarr	10/11	10/1	10/11	10/1
Executive Severance Plan				
Without Cause/For Good Reason	1,200,000	9,182	1,230,638	2,439,820
Disability	-	-	2,582,304	2,582,304
Death	-	-	2,582,304	2,582,304
For Cause	-	-	-	-
Change in Control Benefits Plan	2,392,246	13,773	2,626,985	5,033,004
Retirement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
John P. Whittington				
Executive Severance Plan				
Without Cause/For Good Reason	1,054,000	14,094	929,151	1,997,245
Disability	-	-	1,532,778	1,532,778
Death	-	-	1,532,778	1,532,778
For Cause	-	-	-	-
Change in Control Benefits Plan	2,435,425	21,141	1,569,298	4,025,864
Retirement	-	-	905,719	905,719
Cheryl B. Levy				
Executive Severance Plan Without Cause/For Good Reason	345,000	6,916	492,765	844,681
	345,000	0,910	492,765 874,208	,
Disability Death	-	-	874,208 874,208	874,208 874,208
For Cause	-	-	074,208	074,208
Change in Control Benefits Plan	1,151,242	13,832	874,208	2,039,282
Retirement	1,151,242 N/A	N/A	N/A	2,039,282 N/A
Retirement	INA	1 V/A	1 V/A	11/A

(1) The Company automatically reduces payments under the Change in Control Benefits Plan to the extent necessary to prevent such payments being subject to golden parachute excise tax under Section 280G and Section 4999 of the Internal Revenue Code, but only to the extent the after-tax benefit of the reduced payments exceeds the after-tax benefit if such reduction were not made ( best payment method ). The lump sum payments shown reflect the application of this best payment method.

(2) The value of the accelerated vesting of equity awards listed in this column has been determined based on the \$21.11 closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2012.

The amounts shown in the preceding table do not include payments and benefits to the extent they are provided on a nondiscriminatory basis to salaried employees generally upon termination of employment. The Lump Sum Payments column in the above table includes the estimated payments provided for under the Executive Severance Plan and the Change in Control Benefits Plan, which are described under Severance Arrangements beginning on page 45. As explained in Letter of Understanding with Jay Grinney on page 51, Mr. Grinney s letter

of understanding provides that his rights upon termination of his employment during the term of the letter of understanding will be governed by the terms of the Executive Severance Plan and Change in Control Benefits Plan as well. Mr. Grinney s arrangement contains certain restrictive provisions regarding noncompetition (12- or 24-months depending on the circumstances of the severance), non-interference (36 months), nonsolicitation (36 months), and confidentiality (indefinite) that survive termination. Additionally, the Executive Severance Plan and awards under the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan beginning in 2012 provide that as a condition to receipt of any payment or benefits all participants must enter into a nonsolicitation, noncompete, nondisclosure, nondisparagement and release agreement.

Mr. Whittington is the only named executive officer who qualifies for retirement as defined below. However, the potential payments upon retirement for the other NEOs, had they been retirement eligible on December 31, 2012, are outlined in the table below:

	Accelerated Vesting of Equity Awards Due to Retirement
Named Executive Officer	(Assuming Retirement Eligible) (\$)
Jay Grinney	5,040,051
Douglas E. Coltharp	600,827
Mark J. Tarr	1,190,008
Cheryl B. Levy	492,765

#### **Definitions**

Cause means, in general terms:

- (i) evidence of fraud or similar offenses affecting the Company;
- (ii) indictment for, conviction of, or plea of guilty or no contest to, any felony;
- (iii) suspension or debarment from participation in any federal or state health care program;
- (iv) an admission of liability, or finding, of a violation of any securities laws, excluding any that are noncriminal;
- (v) a formal indication that the person is a target or the subject of any investigation or proceeding for a violation of any securities laws in connection with his employment by the Company, excluding any that are noncriminal; and

(vi) breach of any material provision of any employment agreement or other duties. *Change in Control* means, in general terms:

(i) the acquisition of 25% or more of either the then-outstanding shares of common stock or the combined voting power of the Company s then-outstanding voting securities; or

- (ii) the individuals who currently constitute the board of directors, or the Incumbent Board, cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the board (any person becoming a director in the future whose election, or nomination for election, was approved by a vote of at least a majority of the directors then constituting the Incumbent Board shall be considered as though such person were a member of the Incumbent Board); or
- (iii) a consummation of a reorganization, merger, consolidation or share exchange, where persons who were the stockholders of the Company immediately prior to such reorganization, merger, consolidation or share exchange do not own at least 50% of the combined voting power; or
- (iv) a liquidation or dissolution of the Company or the sale of all or substantially all of its assets.

Good Reason means, in general terms:

- (i) an assignment of a position that is of a lesser rank and that results in a material adverse change in reporting position, duties or responsibilities or title or elected or appointed offices as in effect immediately prior to the change, or in the case of a Change in Control ceasing to be an executive officer of a company with registered securities;
- (ii) a material reduction in such Participant s total direct compensation from that in effect immediately prior to the Change in Control; or
- (iii) any change in benefit level under the applicable benefit if such change in status occurs during the period beginning 6 months prior to a Change in Control and ending 24 months after a Change in Control; or

(iv) any change of more than 50 miles in the location of the principal place of employment.

*Retirement* means the voluntary termination of employment after attaining (a) age 65 or (b) in the event that person has been employed for 10 or more years on the date of termination, age 60.

## Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2012

	Option Awards <sup>(1)</sup> Number				Stock Awards Equit				
Name	of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date <sup>(2)</sup>	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#) <sup>(3)</sup>	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) <sup>(4)</sup>	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (#) <sup>(5)</sup>	Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested (\$) <sup>(6)</sup>
Jay Grinney	200,000 130,000 150,000 130,000 170,540 184,490 58,810 99,988 43,170	49,994 86,340 165,089		26.05 26.85 26.55 23.19 16.27 7.85 14.95 17.30 24.21 21.02	5/8/2014 3/23/2015 2/23/2016 3/2/2017 2/28/2018 2/27/2019 9/2/2019 2/26/2020 2/28/2021 2/27/2022	110,073 50,201	2,323,641 1,059,743	109,351 251,004	2,308,400 5,298,694
Douglas E. Coltharp	7,834	15,667 26,132		24.21 21.02	2/28/2021 2/27/2022	1,641 7,954 25,000	34,642 167,909 527,750	20,025 39,772	422,728 839,587
Mark J. Tarr									
mark J. Tatl	$ \begin{array}{r} 11,000\\ 11,000\\ 7,029\\ 12,000\\ 20,000\\ 45,250\\ 33,100\\ 10,550\\ 22,221\\ 7,834 \end{array} $	11,110 15,667 26,132		22.00 26.85 19.35 26.55 23.19 16.27 7.85 14.95 17.30 24.21 21.02	3/5/2014 3/23/2015 11/17/2015 2/23/2016 3/2/2017 2/28/2018 2/27/2019 9/2/2019 2/26/2020 2/28/2021 2/27/2022	24,462 7,954 50,000	516,393 167,909 1,055,500	20,025 39,772	422,728 839,587
John P. Whittington	4,333 20,000 45,250 33,100 10,550			25.10 23.19 16.27 7.85 14.95	10/19/2016 3/2/2017 2/28/2018 2/27/2019 9/2/2019	19,745 7,954 5,000	416,817 167,909 105,550	20,025 39,772	422,728 839,587

	17,935 7,834	8,968 15,667 26,132	17.30 24.21 21.02	2/26/2020 2/28/2021 2/27/2022				
Cheryl B. Levy								
	11,000		24.06	3/15/2017	10,491 7,479 5,000	221,465 157,882 105,550	10,965 14,956	231,471 315,721

Footnotes found on the next page.

- (1) All options shown above, other than options with expiration dates of November 17, 2015 or expiration dates prior to 2014, vest in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. Options with expiration dates of November 17, 2015 were granted under the Company s now-expired Key Executive Incentive Program and vested according to the following schedule: 25% on January 1, 2007, 25% on January 1, 2008, and the remaining 50% on January 1, 2009. Options with expiration dates prior to 2014 vested in four equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. All per share amounts have been adjusted for the five-for-one reverse stock split that became effective on October 25, 2006.
- <sup>(2)</sup> The expiration date of each option occurs 10 years after the grant date of each option.
- (3) The first amount shown in this column are restricted stock awards resulting from the attainment of the related PSU awards performance objectives during the 2010-2011 performance period, except Mr. Coltharp s share amount representing a one-time award issued in connection with his hiring of time-based restricted stock that vests in three equal annual installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date. Mr. Coltharp did not receive PSU awards in 2010, the year of his arrival. The second and third amounts in this column, if applicable, represent the time-based restricted stock granted in 2012 that vests in three equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant date.
- <sup>(4)</sup> The market value reported was calculated by multiplying the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2012, \$21.11, by the number of shares set forth in the preceding column.
- (5) The PSU awards shown in this column are contingent upon the level of attainment of performance goals for the two-year period from January 1 of the year in which the grant is made. The determination of whether and to what extent the PSU awards are achieved will be made following the close of the two-year period. The first amount for each officer in this column represents the actual number of shares earned over the 2011-2012 performance period as officially determined by the board of directors in February 2013, which shares shall be restricted until January 2, 2014. The second amount for each officer in this column represents the number of maximum performance during the 2012-2013 performance period on the normalized earnings per share objective. The actual number of restricted shares earned at the end of the 2012-2013 performance period may differ.
- <sup>(6)</sup> The market value reported was calculated by multiplying the closing price of our common stock on December 31, 2012, \$21.11, by the number of shares set forth in the preceding column.

#### **Options Exercised and Stock Vested in 2012**

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2012, information concerning the exercise of options and the vesting of shares for our named executive officers.

	Option Av	wards	Stock Awards		
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)	
		(1)	8	0	
Jay Grinney	*	*	465,955	8,256,723	
Douglas E. Coltharp	*	*	1,641	36,036	
Mark J. Tarr	8,000	50,029	83,815	1,485,202	
John P. Whittington	*	*	83,815	1,485,202	
Cheryl B. Levy	*	*	44,516	788,824	

\* Did not exercise any stock options in 2012.

**Equity Compensation Plans** 

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2012, information concerning compensation plans under which our securities are authorized for issuance. The table does not reflect grants, awards, exercises, terminations, or expirations since that date. All share amounts and exercise prices have been adjusted to reflect stock splits that occurred after the date on which any particular underlying plan was adopted, to the extent applicable.

	Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise	Weighted Average Exercise Price <sup>(1)</sup>	Securities Available for Future Issuance
Plans Approved by Stockholders	4,352,264 <sup>(2)</sup>	\$20.23	7,215,431 <sup>(3)</sup>
Plans Not Approved by Stockholders	1,117,785 <sup>(4)</sup>	22.48	
Total	5,470,049	21.12	7,215,431

- (1) This calculation does not take into account awards of restricted stock, restricted stock units, or performance share units.
- <sup>(2)</sup> This amount assumes maximum performance by performance-based awards for which the performance has not yet been determined.
- (3) This amount represents the number of shares available for future equity grants under the Amended and Restated 2008 Equity Incentive Plan approved by our stockholders in May 2011.
- (4) This amount includes (a) 600, 1,010,523, and 7,029 shares issuable upon exercise of stock options outstanding under the 2002 Nonexecutive Stock Option Plan, the 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, and the Key Executive Incentive Program, respectively, and (b) 99,633 restricted stock units issued under the 2004 Amended and Restated Director Incentive Plan.

### **Key Executive Incentive Program**

On November 17, 2005, our board of directors adopted the Key Executive Incentive Program, which was a response to unusual employee retention needs we were experiencing at that particular time and served as a means of ensuring management continuity during the Company s strategic repositioning expected to continue through 2008. The associated equity awards, which were made on November 17, 2005, were one-time special equity grants designed to keep key members of our management team intact and to be an effective deterrent to officers leaving the Company during our transition phase. Some option awards remain outstanding and are fully vested. The options vested 25% in January 2007, 25% in January 2008, and the remaining 50% in January 2009. The outstanding options have an exercise price not less than the fair market value of such shares of common stock on the date of grant and an expiration date that is ten years after the grant date. Awards are generally protected against dilution upon the issuance of stock dividends and in the event of a stock split, recapitalization, or other major corporate restructuring.

#### 2002 Nonexecutive Stock Option Plan

The 2002 Nonexecutive Stock Option Plan, or the 2002 Plan, provided for the grant of nonqualified options to purchase shares of our common stock to our employees who were not directors or executive officers. The 2002 Plan expired in January 2012. The awards outstanding at the time of its termination will continue in effect in accordance with their terms. The terms and conditions of the options, including exercise prices and the periods in which options are exercisable, generally were at the discretion of the Compensation Committee of our board of directors. However, no options are exercisable beyond ten years from the date of grant, and granted options generally vest in periods of up to five years depending on the type of award granted. Awards are generally protected against dilution upon the issuance of stock dividends and in the event of a stock split, recapitalization, or other major corporate restructuring.

#### 2004 Amended and Restated Director Incentive Plan

The 2004 Amended and Restated Director Incentive Plan, or the 2004 Plan, provided for the grant of common stock, awards of restricted common stock, and the right to receive awards of common stock, which we refer to as restricted stock units, to our non-employee directors. The 2004 Plan expired in March 2008 and was replaced by the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. Some awards remain outstanding. Awards granted under the 2004 Plan at the time of its termination will continue in effect in accordance with their terms. Awards of restricted stock units were fully vested when awarded and will be settled in shares of common stock on the earlier of the six-month anniversary of the date on which the director ceases to serve on the board of directors or certain change in control events. The restricted stock units generally cannot be transferred. Awards are generally protected against dilution upon the issuance of stock dividends and in the event of a stock split, recapitalization, or other major corporate restructuring.

### 2005 Equity Incentive Plan

The 2005 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2005 Plan, provided for the grant of stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, deferred stock, and other stock-based awards to our directors, executives, and other key employees as determined by our board of directors or the Compensation Committee in accordance with the terms of the 2005 Plan and evidenced by an award agreement with each participant. The 2005 Plan expired in November 2008 and was replaced by the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan. Some option awards remain outstanding and are fully vested. Awards granted under the 2005 Plan at the time of its termination will continue in effect in accordance with their terms. The outstanding options have an exercise price not less than the fair market value of such shares of common stock on the date of grant and an expiration date that is ten years after the grant date. Awards are generally protected against dilution upon the issuance of stock dividends and in the event of a stock split, recapitalization, or other major corporate restructuring.

#### 2008 Equity Incentive Plan

Originally approved in May 2008 by our stockholders, the 2008 Equity Incentive Plan, or the 2008 Plan, provided for the grant of stock options, restricted stock, stock appreciation rights, deferred stock, other stock-based awards and cash-settled awards, including our senior management bonus plan awards, to our directors, executives and other key employees as determined by our board of directors or its Compensation Committee in accordance with the terms of the plan and evidenced by an award agreement with each participant. In May 2011, our stockholders approved the amendment and restatement of the 2008 Plan.

The 2008 Plan now has an expiration date of December 31, 2020. Any awards outstanding under the 2008 Plan at the time of its termination will remain in effect in accordance with their terms. The aggregate number of shares of common stock available for issuance in connection with new awards under the 2008 Plan shown above is subject to equitable adjustment upon a change in capitalization of the Company or the occurrence of certain transactions affecting the common stock reserved for issuance under the plan. Any awards under the 2008 Plan must have a purchase price or an exercise price not less than the fair market value of such shares of common stock on the date of grant. Notwithstanding the foregoing, no option may be exercised and no shares of stock may be issuable pursuant to other awards under the 2008 Plan until we comply with our reporting and registration obligations under the federal securities laws, unless an exemption from registration is available with respect to such shares.

### **Deferred Compensation**

### **Retirement Investment Plan**

Effective January 1, 1990, we adopted the HealthSouth Retirement Investment Plan, or the 401(k) Plan, a retirement plan intended to qualify under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. The 401(k) Plan is open to all of our full-time and part-time employees who are at least 21 years of age. Eligible employees may elect to participate in the 401(k) Plan as of the first day of employment.

Under the 401(k) Plan, participants may elect to defer up to 100% of their annual compensation (W-2 compensation excluding certain reimbursements, stock awards, and perquisites), subject to nondiscrimination rules under the Code. The deferred amounts may be invested among various investment vehicles, which do not include our common stock, managed by unrelated third parties. We will match a minimum of 50% of the amount deferred by each participant, up to 6% of such participant s total compensation (subject to nondiscrimination rules under the Code), with the matched amount also directed by the participant. Participants are fully vested in their compensation deferrals. Matching contributions become fully vested after the completion of three years of service.

Generally, amounts contributed to the 401(k) Plan will be paid on a termination of employment, although in-service withdrawals may be made upon the occurrence of a hardship or the attainment of age 59.5. Distributions will be made in the form of a lump sum cash payment unless the participant is eligible for and elects a direct rollover to an eligible retirement plan.

#### Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan

We adopted a nonqualified deferred compensation plan, the HealthSouth Corporation Nonqualified 401(k) Plan, or the NQ Plan, in 2008 in order to allow deferrals above what is limited by the IRS. All of our named executive officers are eligible to participate in the NQ Plan, the provisions of which follow the 401(k) Plan.

Our NEOs and other eligible employees may elect to defer from 1% to 100% of compensation (W-2 compensation excluding certain reimbursements, stock awards, and perquisites) to the NQ Plan. We will make an employer matching contribution to the NQ Plan equal to 50% of the participant s deferral contributions, up to a maximum match of 3% of the participant s total compensation, less any employer matching contributions made on the participant s behalf to the 401(k) Plan. In addition, we may elect to make a discretionary contribution to the NQ Plan with respect to any participant. We did not elect to make any discretionary contributions to the NQ Plan for 2012. All deferral contributions made to the NQ Plan are fully vested when made and are credited to a separate bookkeeping account on behalf of each participant. Employer matching contributions vest once the participant has completed three years of service.

Deferral contributions will generally be distributed, as directed by the participant, upon either a termination of service or the occurrence of a specified date. Matching and discretionary contributions are distributed upon termination of service. Distributions may also be elected by a participant in the event of an unforeseen emergency in which case participation in the NQ Plan will be suspended. Distributions will be made in cash in the form of a lump sum payment or annual installments over a two to fifteen year period, as elected by the participant. Any amounts that are payable from the NQ Plan upon a termination of employment are subject to the six month delay applicable to specified employees under section 409A of the Code.

Participants may request, on a daily basis, to have amounts credited to their NQ Plan accounts track the rate of return based on one or more benchmark mutual funds, which are substantially the same funds as those offered under our 401(k) Plan.

The following table sets forth information as of December 31, 2012 with respect to the NQ Plan.

Name	Executive Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$) <sup>(1)</sup>	Registrant Contributions in Last Fiscal Year (\$) <sup>(2)</sup>	in Last	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last Fiscal Year-End (\$) <sup>(4)</sup>
Jay Grinney	155,280	77,640	73,139 (	5)	909,921
Douglas E. Coltharp	50,481	12,721	3,263 (	6)	66,465
Mark J. Tarr	109,074	32,722	9,269	7)	213,183
John P. Whittington	133,329	22,469	110,520 (	8)	1,128,988
Cheryl B. Levy	10,148	5,074	4,527 (	9)	56,566