

AGL RESOURCES INC
Form DEF 14A
March 15, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the
Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Definitive Proxy Statement

Definitive Additional Materials

Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12

AGL RESOURCES INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11:

(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

.. Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

.. Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount previously paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

JOHN W. SOMERHALDER II

Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer

March 15, 2013

To Our Shareholders:

On behalf of the board of directors, I am pleased to invite you to attend AGL Resources' 2013 annual meeting of shareholders to be held on Tuesday, April 30, 2013, at our corporate headquarters at Ten Peachtree Place, Atlanta, Georgia. The meeting will start at 10:00 a.m., Eastern time. A map with directions is included in the attached proxy statement. **Please note that you will need to present an admission ticket and picture identification in order to attend the meeting in person.** Please see page 5 of the attached proxy statement for more information about attending the meeting in person. The matters to be acted upon at the meeting are described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement. During the annual meeting of shareholders, we will discuss our efforts and achievements in 2012. We will update shareholders on our business plans for 2013. Our directors, officers and other employees will be available to answer any questions you may have.

Your vote is very important to us. Regardless of the number of shares you own, please vote. You may vote by telephone (using the toll-free number on your proxy or vote instruction card), internet (using the address provided on your proxy or vote instruction card), or paper proxy or vote instruction card. Please see page 2 of the attached proxy statement or your enclosed proxy or vote instruction card for more detailed information about the various options for voting your shares.

Thank you for your ongoing ownership and support. We hope to see you at our annual meeting.

Sincerely,

John W. Somerhalder II

Table of Contents

Ten Peachtree Place

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

NOTICE OF 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Time and Date:	10:00 a.m., Eastern time, Tuesday, April 30, 2013
Place:	Ten Peachtree Place, Atlanta, Georgia
Items of Business:	<p>Elect sixteen directors to serve until the 2014 annual meeting;</p> <p>Ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2013;</p> <p>Approve a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation of our named executive officers;</p> <p>Consider and act upon the shareholder proposal described in the proxy statement, if properly presented at the annual meeting; and</p> <p>Transact such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournments.</p>
Who May Vote:	You may vote if you owned shares of our common stock at the close of business on February 21, 2013 (the record date).
Proxy Voting:	<p>Your vote is important. Please vote in one of these ways:</p> <p>use the toll-free telephone number shown on the enclosed proxy or vote instruction card;</p> <p>visit the web site listed on your proxy or vote instruction card; or</p> <p>mark, sign, date and promptly return the enclosed proxy or vote instruction card in the enclosed postage-paid envelope.</p>
Proxy Statement:	A copy of our proxy statement for the annual meeting, which contains information that is relevant to the proposals to be voted on at the annual meeting, is attached.
Annual Report:	A copy of our 2012 annual report, which contains financial and other information about our business, is enclosed.
Date of Availability:	On or about March 15, 2013, we will mail to certain shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials containing instructions on how to access our proxy statement and 2012 annual report and how to vote online. All other shareholders will receive the proxy statement and annual report by mail.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Myra C. Bierria

Corporate Secretary

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>About the Annual Meeting</u>	1
<u>Corporate Governance</u>	7
<u>Board of Directors</u>	7
<u>Director Independence</u>	7
<u>Policy on Related Person Transactions</u>	7
<u>Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight</u>	8
<u>Committees of the Board</u>	10
<u>Board and Committee Meetings</u>	15
<u>Executive Sessions without Management</u>	15
<u>Communications with Directors</u>	15
<u>Ethics and Compliance Program</u>	15
<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management</u>	17
<u>Director Compensation</u>	20
<u>PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS</u>	24
<u>General</u>	24
<u>Audit Committee Report</u>	34
<u>PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2013</u>	35
<u>Compensation and Management Development Committee Report</u>	37
<u>Compensation and Management Development Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation</u>	37
<u>Compensation Discussion and Analysis</u>	38
<u>Executive Compensation</u>	61
<u>Compensation Paid to Named Executive Officers</u>	61
<u>Grants of Plan-Based Awards</u>	63
<u>Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End</u>	64
<u>Option Exercises and Stock Vested</u>	66
<u>Pension Benefits</u>	67
<u>Nonqualified Deferred Compensation</u>	69
<u>Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control</u>	70
<u>Equity Compensation Plan Information</u>	78
<u>PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	80
<u>PROPOSAL 4 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL: GENDER IDENTITY NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY</u>	81
<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions</u>	83
<u>Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance</u>	84
<u>General Information</u>	85

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE

SHAREHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON APRIL 30, 2013:

A copy of our combined 2012 annual report and Form 10-K for 2012 is being made available with this proxy statement. You may receive a stand-alone copy of our 2012

Form 10-K free of charge upon written request directed to:

AGL Resources Inc.

Attention: Investor Relations

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

P.O. Box 4569, Location 1071

Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4569

Our proxy statement and our 2012 annual report and Form 10-K may be accessed at

www.proxyvote.com

and our web site at www.aglresources.com.

Table of Contents

PROXY STATEMENT

ABOUT THE ANNUAL MEETING

Who is soliciting my proxy?

The board of directors of AGL Resources is providing you these proxy materials in connection with the solicitation of proxies to be voted at our 2013 annual meeting of shareholders and at any postponement or adjournment of the annual meeting. The proxies will be voted in accordance with your instructions by John W. Somerhalder II, our chairman, president and chief executive officer; Paul R. Shlanta, our executive vice president, general counsel and chief ethics and compliance officer; and Andrew W. Evans, our executive vice president and chief financial officer, or any of them. If your shares are held in our AGL Resources Inc. Retirement Savings Plus Plan (the "Retirement Savings Plus Plan" or the "AGL 401(k) Plan"), your proxy will be voted by Merrill Lynch Bank & Trust Co., FSB, which is the trustee for the AGL 401(k) Plan. If your shares are held in our Nicor Companies Savings Investment Plan, Nicor Gas Thrift Plan or Birdsall, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan (collectively, the "Nicor 401(k) Plans"), your proxy will be voted by The Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company, which is the trustee for the Nicor 401(k) Plans. The trustee of the AGL 401(k) Plan will vote your shares in accordance with your instructions and if you fail to provide voting instructions, the trustee will vote your shares in accordance with the discretionary instructions of the Administrative Committee of the 401(k) plans. It is expected that the Administrative Committee will instruct the AGL 401(k) Plan trustee to vote your shares in accordance with your telephone, internet or written proxy vote, or if you do not vote, FOR proposals 1, 2 and 3 and AGAINST proposal 4. The trustee of the Nicor 401(k) Plans will vote your shares in accordance with your instructions and if you fail to give the trustee proper instructions, it will vote your shares in the same proportion that other Nicor 401(k) Plans participants have voted their shares.

Why did I receive a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) in the mail instead of a printed set of proxy materials?

Pursuant to the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"), we are permitted to furnish our proxy materials over the internet to our shareholders by delivering a Notice in the mail. We are sending the Notice to certain record and beneficial shareholders. These shareholders have the ability to access the proxy materials, including our proxy statement and annual report, at www.proxyvote.com or to request a printed or email set of the proxy materials. Instructions on how to access the proxy materials over the internet or to receive a printed set may be found in the Notice. Shareholders who receive a printed set of proxy materials will not receive the Notice, but may still access our proxy materials over the internet at www.proxyvote.com.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Shareholder Meeting to be Held on April 30, 2013.

The proxy statement and annual report are available at www.proxyvote.com

What will I be voting on?

You will be voting on:

Proposal 1 the election of sixteen directors to serve until the 2014 annual meeting;

Proposal 2 the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2013;

Proposal 3 the approval of a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation

Table of Contents

of our Named Executive Officers, as described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the tabular disclosure regarding such compensation, and the accompanying narrative disclosure, set forth in this 2013 annual shareholder meeting proxy statement;

Proposal 4 the consideration of the shareholder proposal described in this proxy statement, if properly presented at the annual meeting; and

such other business as may properly come before the annual meeting or any adjournments.

How does the board recommend I vote on the proposals?

The board of directors recommends you vote FOR each of proposals 1, 2 and 3 and AGAINST proposal 4.

How do I vote?

Most of our shareholders have three options for submitting their votes:

By telephone,

Via the internet, or

By mail.

If your AGL Resources shares are held in your name on the records maintained by Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., our transfer agent (meaning you are a shareholder of record), please follow the instructions on your proxy card.

If your AGL Resources shares are held through a brokerage firm or bank (that is, in street name), your ability to vote by telephone or over the internet depends on the voting process of your brokerage firm or bank. Please follow the directions on your vote instruction card.

Regardless of whether your AGL Resources shares are held by you as a record shareholder or in street name, you may attend

the meeting and vote your shares in person. Please note that if your shares are held in street name and you want to vote in person, you must bring evidence of your stock ownership, such as a proxy obtained from your street name nominee (particularly if you want to vote your shares at the annual meeting) or your most recent brokerage account statement (in which case you will not be able to vote your shares at the meeting), together with valid picture identification.

Even if you plan to attend the meeting, we encourage you to vote your shares by telephone, internet or mail to simplify the voting process at the meeting.

How do I vote if my shares are held in one of the 401(k) plans?

If your AGL Resources shares are held in one of the 401(k) plans, only the trustee of the plan can vote your plan shares even if you attend the annual meeting in person. The plan trustee will vote your shares in accordance with your telephone, internet or written proxy vote. Please follow the instructions on your proxy card.

May I revoke my proxy?

Yes. You may revoke your proxy or vote instructions at any time before the annual meeting by voting again by telephone or via the internet or by timely signing and returning another proxy or vote instruction card with a later date. Additionally, if you are a shareholder of record or if you are a street name holder who has obtained a vote instruction card from your street name nominee, and you decide to attend the meeting and vote in person, you may request that any proxy or vote instruction card that you previously submitted not be used.

Table of Contents

What if I don't specify my choices when returning my proxy or vote instruction card?

If you return a signed and dated proxy or vote instruction card without indicating your vote, your shares will be voted FOR each of proposals 1, 2 and 3, AGAINST proposal 4 and in the discretion of the proxies on any other matter that may properly come before the meeting.

If you hold AGL Resources shares through one of our 401(k) plans and you return the proxy card but do not properly sign or date it or specify how you want your plan shares voted, it is expected that in the case of the AGL 401(k) Plan, the plan trustee, upon instruction from the Administrative Committee of the AGL 401(k) Plan, will vote your plan shares FOR each of proposals 1, 2 and 3, AGAINST proposal 4 and as instructed by the Administrative Committee on any other proposals that may properly come before the meeting. In the case of the Nicor 401(k) Plans, the plan trustee will vote your plan shares in the same proportion that other Nicor 401(k) Plans participants have voted their shares.

May my shares be voted if I don't submit a proxy or voting instructions?

If your AGL Resources shares are registered in your name on the books kept by our transfer agent and you do not return a signed proxy and do not vote by telephone or via the internet or in person at the meeting, your shares will not be voted.

If your AGL Resources shares are held in street name and you do not submit any voting instructions, your brokerage firm or bank may or may not vote your shares with regard to each of the four proposals, depending on stock exchange rules. If your AGL Resources shares are held through one of the 401(k) plans and you do not return the proxy card for those plan shares and do not vote by telephone or the internet or in person, it is

expected that, in the case of the AGL 401(k) Plan, the plan trustee, upon instruction from the Administrative Committee of the AGL 401(k) Plan, will vote your shares FOR each of proposals 1, 2 and 3, AGAINST proposal 4 and as instructed by the Administrative Committee on any other proposals that may properly come before the meeting. In the case of the Nicor 401(k) Plans, the plan trustee will vote your shares in the same proportion that other Nicor 401(k) Plans participants have voted their shares.

How many shares may I vote?

As of the February 21, 2013, record date for voting at the annual meeting, 117,896,378 shares of common stock of AGL Resources were outstanding and entitled to be voted at the annual meeting. You are entitled to one vote for each share of AGL Resources common stock you owned on the record date. The 31,668 shares held by a trust which holds assets for our Nonqualified Savings Plan are included in the number of shares outstanding but are not eligible to be voted.

Is there a list of shareholders entitled to notice of the annual meeting?

A list of shareholders entitled to notice of the annual meeting will be available at the annual meeting for inspection by any shareholder.

How many votes must be present to hold the annual meeting?

A majority of the 117,896,378 shares of AGL Resources common stock outstanding on the record date, not including the 31,668 shares held by the Nonqualified Savings Plan trust which are not eligible to be voted, must be present, either in person or represented by proxy, to conduct the annual meeting.

Table of Contents

How many votes are needed to approve each of the proposals?

The following are the vote requirements for each of the proposals:

Election of sixteen directors: Directors are elected by a plurality of the total number of votes cast, which means the sixteen nominees who receive the largest number of properly cast votes will be elected as directors.

Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP: Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm requires the votes cast FOR to exceed the votes cast AGAINST.

Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation: Adoption of the non-binding resolution to approve executive compensation requires the votes cast FOR to exceed the votes cast AGAINST.

Shareholder Proposal: Adoption of the shareholder proposal requires the votes cast FOR to exceed the votes cast AGAINST.

What happens if a director nominee fails to receive a majority of the votes cast in his or her election?

As described under the caption, Proposal 1 Election of Directors General, our bylaws provide that if a director nominee in an uncontested election is elected by the required plurality vote of the shareholders but does not receive the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares voted, the director must promptly tender his or her resignation to the board of directors following certification of the shareholder vote. The Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee must then recommend to the board of directors whether to accept or reject the tendered resignation or

whether to take other action. The board must then act on the tendered resignation and publicly disclose its decision and the rationale behind the decision within 90 days after the certification of the election results.

What if I vote withhold authority to elect directors?

In voting for the election of directors, a vote to withhold authority for the election of one or more director nominees will be counted for quorum purposes, but because the vote required to elect directors is a plurality vote, a vote to withhold authority will not affect the outcome of the election. However, a vote to withhold authority will be counted for purposes of determining whether a director nominee received the affirmative vote of holders of a majority of the shares voted. Please see *What happens if a director nominee fails to receive a majority of the votes cast in his or her election?* above.

How will abstentions and broker non-votes be treated?

Abstentions and broker non-votes will be treated as shares present and entitled to vote for quorum purposes. Abstentions and broker non-votes will not be treated as votes cast, and consequently they will not affect the outcome of the vote on the election of directors or the determination of whether a director nominee has received the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the shares voted (Proposal 1), the proposal to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm (Proposal 2), the proposal to adopt the non-binding resolution to approve the compensation of our named executive officers (Proposal 3) or the shareholder proposal described in this proxy statement (Proposal 4).

Broker non-votes occur on a matter up for vote when a broker, bank or other holder of shares you own in street name is not

Table of Contents

permitted to vote on that particular matter without instructions from you, you do not give such instructions and the broker or other nominee indicates on its proxy card, or otherwise notifies us, that it does not have authority to vote its shares on that matter. Whether a broker has authority to vote its shares on uninstructed matters is determined by stock exchange rules.

Could other matters be decided at the annual meeting?

We do not know of any other matters that will be considered at the annual meeting. If a matter that is not listed on the proxy or vote instruction card is properly brought before the annual meeting in accordance with Section 1.2 of our bylaws, the persons named as proxies will vote in accordance with their judgment of what is in the best interest of the Company, based on the discretionary voting authority conferred on them by the proxy and vote instruction cards.

Who will count the votes?

Representatives of Broadridge Financial Solutions, Inc. will count the votes and act as inspector of elections.

Where and when will I be able to find the voting results?

We will post the voting results on our web site at www.aglresources.com within four business days after the annual meeting. You also may find the results in a Current Report on Form 8-K, which we expect to file with the SEC within four business days following the annual meeting.

What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy card?

It means that you have multiple accounts with brokerage firms, banks and/or our transfer agent. Please vote all of these shares. We recommend that you contact your broker,

bank and/or our transfer agent to consolidate as many accounts as possible under the same name and address. All communications concerning accounts for shares registered in your name on the books kept by our transfer agent, including address changes, name changes, inquiries to transfer shares and similar issues, may be handled by making a toll-free call to Wells Fargo Shareowner Services at (800) 468-9716.

What do I need to bring with me if I want to attend the annual meeting?

The annual meeting is open to all holders of our common stock. To attend the annual meeting, you will need to bring an admission ticket and valid picture identification. If your shares are registered in your name on the books kept by our transfer agent or your shares are held in one of the 401(k) plans, your admission ticket is part of your proxy card or may be printed from the internet when you vote online.

If your shares are held in street name by your brokerage firm or bank, you will need to bring evidence of your stock ownership, such as a proxy obtained from your street name nominee (particularly if you want to vote your shares at the annual meeting) or your most recent brokerage account statement (in which case you will not be able to vote your shares at the annual meeting), together with valid picture identification. You may also request us to send you an admission ticket. If you do not have either an admission ticket or proof that you own our common stock, together with valid picture identification, you may not be admitted to the meeting.

What happens if the annual meeting is postponed or adjourned?

Your proxy will still be valid and may be voted at a postponed or adjourned meeting, unless the board of directors fixes a new record date for the postponed or adjourned meeting, which the board is required to do if the

Table of Contents

postponement or adjournment is for more than 120 days. If the meeting is postponed or adjourned, you will still be able to change or revoke your proxy until it is voted.

When are shareholder proposals for the 2014 annual meeting due?

Our bylaws require shareholders to give us advance notice of any shareholder nominations of directors and of any other matters shareholders wish to present for action at an annual meeting of shareholders. The required notice must be given within a prescribed time frame, which is calculated by reference to the date of the proxy statement relating to our most recent annual meeting. Accordingly, with respect to our 2014 annual meeting of shareholders, our bylaws require notice to be provided to our Corporate Secretary at AGL Resources Inc., P.O. Box 4569, Location 1466, Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4569 no later than November 15, 2013. If a shareholder fails to provide timely notice of a proposal to be presented at the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders, the persons designated as proxies by the board of directors will have discretionary authority to vote, and the respective trustees of the 401(k) plans will vote in accordance with the instructions of the Administrative Committee

of the 401(k) plans based on its discretionary authority, on any such proposal that may come before the meeting.

If you are interested in submitting a proposal for inclusion in our proxy statement for the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders, you need to follow the procedures outlined in the SEC's Rule 14a-8. To be eligible for inclusion, your shareholder proposal intended for inclusion in the proxy statement for the 2014 annual meeting of shareholders must be received no later than November 15, 2013, by our Corporate Secretary at the address above.

This deadline does not apply to questions a shareholder may wish to ask at the annual meeting.

Who pays the costs associated with this proxy solicitation?

AGL Resources pays the expenses of soliciting proxies. We may consider the engagement of a proxy solicitation firm to assist in the solicitation of proxies. Additionally, proxies may be solicited on our behalf by directors, officers and employees, in person or by telephone, facsimile or electronic transmission. Directors, officers and employees will not be paid additional fees for those services.

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board of Directors

Our business affairs are managed under the direction of the board of directors in accordance with the Georgia Business Corporation Code, our articles of incorporation and our bylaws. The role of the board of directors is to govern our affairs for the benefit of our shareholders and other constituencies, which include our employees, customers, suppliers, creditors and the communities in which we do business. The board strives to ensure the success and continuity of our business through the appointment of qualified executive management, overseen by the board.

Director Independence

Pursuant to New York Stock Exchange listing standards, our board of directors has adopted a formal set of categorical Standards for Determining Director Independence. In accordance with these Standards, a director must be determined to have no material relationship with the Company other than as a director in order to be considered an independent director. The Standards specify the criteria by which the independence of our directors will be determined, including strict guidelines for directors and their immediate family members with respect to past employment or affiliation with the Company or its independent registered public accounting firm. The Standards also set forth independence criteria applicable to members of the Audit Committee, the Compensation and Management Development Committee and the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee of the board of directors. These Standards are available on our website at www.aglresources.com.

In accordance with these Standards, the board undertook in February 2013 an annual review of director independence. Based on this review, the board has affirmatively determined

that, as to each current non-employee director, no material relationship exists that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director and that each current non-employee director qualifies as independent in accordance with the Standards and the independence standards of the New York Stock Exchange. John W. Somerhalder II, our chairman, president and chief executive officer, is not independent because of his employment by the Company.

Mr. Somerhalder will not participate in any action of the board related to his compensation or any other matters requiring action by only non-employee directors.

In making these independence determinations, the board considered that in the ordinary course of business, transactions may occur between the Company and its subsidiaries and companies at which some of our directors are or have been directors, officers or employees. The board also considered that the Company and its subsidiaries may make charitable contributions to not-for-profit organizations where our directors or their immediate family members serve or are executive officers. More specifically, the board of directors considered certain related party transactions between our Company and Mr. Olivera, a member of our board of directors. For more detailed information about these transactions and the board's determination that Mr. Olivera is independent notwithstanding these transactions, please see [Certain Relationships and Related Transactions](#).

Policy on Related Person Transactions

The board of directors recognizes that related person transactions present a heightened risk of conflicts of interest and, therefore, has adopted a written policy with respect to related person transactions. For the purpose

Table of Contents

of the policy, a Related Person is (a) each executive officer as defined under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, (b) each executive and senior vice president of AGL Resources, (c) each nominee for or member of the board of directors, (d) each holder of more than 5% of our common stock, or a Significant Shareholder, and (e) any immediate family member, as defined under the Exchange Act, of the persons listed in (a) through (d) above. A Related Person Transaction is a transaction between us and any Related Person, other than (1) transactions available to all employees or customers generally; (2) transactions involving less than \$120,000 when aggregated with all similar transactions; (3) transactions excluded from disclosure in paragraphs four through seven of the instructions to Item 404(a) of Regulation S-K of the Exchange Act; and (4) charitable contributions by the Company to a charitable organization with which a Related Person's only relationship is as an employee (other than an executive officer), if the aggregate amount involved does not exceed the greater of \$1,000,000 or 2% of the charitable organization's annual receipts for the preceding fiscal year.

Under the policy, when management becomes aware of a Related Person Transaction involving a dollar amount that is less than two percent of either the Company's consolidated gross revenues or the consolidated gross revenues of the Related Person, or any affiliate of such Related Person, for the prior fiscal year, management reports the transaction to the Chairman of the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee. When management becomes aware of a Related Person Transaction involving a dollar amount that is equal to or exceeds two percent of either the Company's consolidated gross revenues or the consolidated gross revenues of the Related Person, or any affiliate of such Related Person, for the prior fiscal year, management reports the transaction to

the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee and requests approval or ratification of the transaction.

Transactions requiring approval or ratification must be approved by a majority of the disinterested members of the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee. The Chairman will report to the full Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee at its next regularly scheduled committee meeting any related person transactions that are presented to him or her. The Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee will report to the full board all Related Person Transactions presented to it.

Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight

Our Company is led by Mr. John Somerhalder, who has served as our president and chief executive officer since March 2006 and our chairman, president and chief executive officer since October 2007. Our board of directors consists of Mr. Somerhalder and fifteen independent directors. Each of the standing committees of our board of directors is chaired by an independent director and each of our Audit, Compensation and Management Development and Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility committees is comprised entirely of independent directors.

Under our Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues, or our Corporate Governance Guidelines, a copy of which is available on our web site at www.aglresources.com, if the chairman of the board of directors is an executive officer or employee of the Company, then the board of directors shall appoint, from among the independent directors, a lead director. Mr. Arthur E. Johnson currently serves as our Lead Director.

The board of directors appoints the Lead Director for a term ending on the earlier of

Table of Contents

(a) three years from the date of appointment or (b) the last day of the individual's service on the board of directors. The Lead Director: (a) serves as chairman of the Executive Committee of the board of directors; (b) presides at the executive sessions of non-management directors; (c) collaborates with our chairman, president and chief executive officer, our general counsel and our corporate secretary on setting the annual calendar for all regular meetings of the board and its standing committees; (d) maintains close contact with the chairperson of each standing committee; (e) oversees the Company's policy on communications between shareholders or other interested parties and non-management directors; and (f) communicates the results of the annual evaluation of the chief executive officer to the chief executive officer on behalf of the board of directors.

Our Audit Committee has the responsibility to review with management the Company's (i) policies governing the process by which risk assessment and risk management are undertaken; and (ii) major financial risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures. Our Finance and Risk Management Committee has the responsibility to (i) review with management the steps taken by management to ensure compliance with the Company's risk management policies and procedures relating to interest rate risk, currency risk, credit risk, commodity risk, insurable risks and derivatives related to any of the foregoing; (ii) review steps taken by management to establish and monitor trading and risk management systems and controls at the Company's asset management and optimization businesses and to ensure compliance at such businesses with risk management policies and procedures applicable to such businesses; and (iii) review management's assessment of controls and procedures associated with such businesses' management of transactions with affiliates and any reporting obligations to state or federal regulatory authorities. Our chief risk officer

provides a quarterly report to the Finance and Risk Management Committee and meets in executive sessions with the Finance and Risk Management Committee at each regularly scheduled meeting. Each of the other committees of the board of directors has principal responsibility for reviewing and discussing with management those risk exposures: (i) specified in their charters or (ii) identified from time to time by the committees themselves or by the Audit Committee.

We determined our current board leadership structure is appropriate and helps ensure proper risk oversight for the Company, for a number of reasons, the most significant of which are the following:

A combined chairman and chief executive officer role allows for more productive meetings. The chief executive officer is the individual selected by the board of directors to manage the Company on a day to day basis, and his direct involvement in the Company's operations makes him best positioned to lead productive board strategic planning sessions and determine the time allocated to each agenda item in discussions of the Company's short- and long-term objectives.

Our board structure provides strong oversight by independent directors and in addition a majority of our operations are subject to extensive regulation. Our Lead Director's responsibilities include leading executive sessions of the board of directors during which our independent directors meet without management. These executive sessions allow the board of directors to review key decisions and discuss matters in a manner that is independent of the chief executive officer, and where necessary, critical of the chief executive officer and senior management. In addition, each of our board's standing committees (including the Finance and

Table of Contents

Risk Management Committee) is chaired by an independent director.

Recognizing there may be a circumstance where a shareholder or other interested party's interest should be represented independent of management, a key responsibility of the Lead Director is to receive, review and, where necessary, act upon direct communications from shareholders and other interested parties.

If you wish to communicate directly with (i) the board of directors generally, (ii) the presiding director of executive sessions of non-management directors (our Lead Director), or (iii) non-management directors as a group, you should call the Company's Ethics and Compliance Helpline at (800) 350-1014 or at www.mycompliancereport.com using the

access ID, AGL. Calls to the helpline and reports made via the website, if you choose, can be made anonymously.

Committees of the Board

The board of directors has established five standing committees to assist it in discharging its duties. Actions taken by any committee of the board are reported to the board, usually at the board meeting next following a committee meeting. Each standing committee has adopted a written charter, which is available on our web site at www.aglresources.com and is available upon request to our Corporate Secretary at AGL Resources Inc., P.O. Box 4569, Location 1466, Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4569. The committees of the board and their members at December 31, 2012 were as shown in the following table.

Members of the Board's Committees

	Audit	Compensation and Management Development	Executive	Finance and Risk Management	Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility
Sandra N. Bane	Ö	Ö			
Thomas D. Bell, Jr.		Ö		Ö	
Norman R. Bobins	Ö	Ö			
Charles R. Crisp		Ö		Ö	
Brenda J. Gaines	Ö				Ö
Arthur E. Johnson**			Ö*	Ö	Ö
Wyck A. Knox, Jr.	Ö				Ö
Dennis M. Love	Ö		Ö		Ö*
Charles H. McTier	Ö				Ö
Dean R. O Hare	Ö				Ö
Armando J. Olivera				Ö	
John E. Rau			Ö	Ö	Ö
James A. Rubright		Ö	Ö	Ö*	
John W. Somerhalder II			Ö	Ö	
Bettina M. Whyte		Ö*	Ö	Ö	
Henry C. Wolf	Ö*	Ö	Ö		

* Denotes committee chair.

** Denotes Lead Director.

Table of Contents

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee met eight times during 2012. The Audit Committee's primary function is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities. Among other things, the Audit Committee reviews (1) the integrity of our financial statements, including our internal control over financial reporting, (2) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, (3) the independent registered public accounting firm's qualifications and independence, (4) the performance of our internal audit function, and (5) the performance of the independent registered public accounting firm. Our chief financial officer, chief ethics and compliance officer, chief audit executive, chief accounting officer and controller, and representatives of our independent registered public accounting firm each provide a quarterly report to and meet in separate executive sessions with the Audit Committee each quarter.

The board of directors has determined that all members of the Audit Committee satisfy the enhanced independence standards applicable to all members of the Audit Committee under the independence requirements of the SEC, the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's Standards for Determining Director Independence. The board also has determined that all members of the Audit Committee meet the financial literacy requirements of the New York Stock Exchange listing standards. The board has further determined that Henry C. Wolf, the Audit Committee Chair, is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of SEC regulations. Information regarding Mr. Wolf's qualification as an audit committee financial expert is included in his biographical information under the caption, Proposal 1 Election of Directors.

Additional information regarding the Audit Committee and its functions and responsibilities is included in this proxy statement under the captions Audit Committee Report and Proposal 2

Ratification of the Appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2013.

Compensation and Management Development Committee

The Compensation and Management Development Committee met eight times during 2012. All members of the Compensation and Management Development Committee are independent, non-employee directors, as defined under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and our Standards for Determining Director Independence. Among other things, the Compensation and Management Development Committee assists the board of directors in its efforts to achieve its goal of maximizing the long-term total return to shareholders by establishing policies by which officers, directors and employees are to be compensated in accordance with the board's compensation philosophy and objectives and by overseeing management succession and executive development processes.

The board of directors delegated to the Compensation and Management Development Committee the following areas of responsibility that are more fully described in the Compensation and Management Development Committee's charter: (1) evaluation of the chief executive officer, (2) succession and development planning for executive officers, (3) compensation of non-employee members of the board of directors, (4) compensation of the executive officers, including salary, short- and long-term incentives, and employment or severance arrangements, (5) establishment of performance objectives for executive officers under the Company's short- and long-term incentive compensation plans and determination of the attainment of such performance objectives, and (6) oversight of benefit plans and administration of long-term incentive plans.

Table of Contents

The Compensation and Management Development Committee has delegated to our chief executive officer the authority to grant equity awards to employees of the Company solely in connection with non-annual grants to employees other than executive officers. The Compensation and Management Development Committee has established narrowly defined, pre-approved parameters regarding the terms and conditions of grants under the delegated authority, including the eligible employee groups, the maximum number of shares subject to the delegation, the determination of the exercise price and other terms and conditions of the awards. The Compensation and Management Development Committee also adopted a stock option grant policy that provides additional terms and conditions for making grants. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis Grants of Long-Term Incentive Awards for more detail concerning our stock option grant policy.

Our chief executive officer, based on the performance evaluations of the other executive officers, recommends to the Compensation and Management Development Committee compensation for those executive officers. The executive officers, including our chief executive officer, also provide recommendations to the Compensation and Management Development Committee from time to time related to compensation philosophy, program design, compliance, performance measures and competitive strategy.

The Compensation and Management Development Committee's charter provides that the Compensation and Management Development Committee, in its sole discretion, has the authority to retain a compensation consultant. Accordingly, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., or F.W. Cook, was retained directly by the Compensation and Management Development Committee to assist it in 2012. F.W. Cook's role is to provide expertise and data as needed by the Compensation and

Management Development Committee pertaining to all aspects of executive and director compensation, including but not limited to advice and counsel as to the amount and form of executive and director compensation, and to advise the Compensation and Management Development Committee on emerging trends, best practices and regulatory practices.

In December 2012, the Compensation Committee evaluated the independence of F.W. Cook in light of new SEC rules and proposed NYSE listing standards, which require consideration of the following factors:

whether any other services are provided to the Company by the consultant;

the fees paid by the Company as a percentage of the consulting firm's total revenue;

the policies or procedures maintained by the consulting firm that are designed to prevent a conflict of interest;

any business or personal relationships between the individual consultants involved in the engagement and a member of the Compensation Committee;

any company stock owned by the individual consultants involved in the engagement; and

any business or personal relationships between our executive officers and the consulting firm or the individual consultants involved in the engagement.

The Compensation Committee discussed these considerations and concluded that the engagement of F.W. Cook and the services provided to the Compensation Committee by F.W. Cook did not raise any conflict of interest.

Executive Committee

The Executive Committee did not meet during 2012. The Executive Committee may meet during intervals between board meetings and

Table of Contents

has the same authority as the full board of directors, subject to limitations imposed by law or our bylaws.

Finance and Risk Management Committee

The Finance and Risk Management Committee met four times during 2012. The Finance and Risk Management Committee's primary function is to assist the board of directors in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities. Among other things, the Finance and Risk Management Committee oversees (1) the management of our balance sheet including leverage, liquidity, funding sources, and related matters, (2) the annual capital budget and certain capital projects, (3) management's assessments, actions, processes and procedures concerning our exposure to risks identified in the Finance and Risk Management Committee's charter, and (4) any other matters that the board may delegate to the Finance and Risk Management Committee from time to time. Our chief risk officer provides a quarterly report to and meets in executive session with the Finance and Risk Management Committee at each regularly scheduled meeting.

Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee

The Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee met five times during 2012. All members of the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee are independent, non-employee directors, as defined under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and our Standards for Determining Director Independence. The Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee's primary responsibilities include (1) identifying individuals qualified to serve on the board of directors and recommending director nominees for selection by the full board of directors or shareholders, (2) evaluating, formulating and recommending to the board of directors corporate governance policies, and

(3) overseeing the Company's position on corporate social and environmental responsibilities.

Nomination of Director Candidates. The board of directors is responsible for recommending director candidates for election by the shareholders and for electing directors to fill vacancies or newly created directorships. The board of directors has delegated the screening and evaluation process for director candidates to the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee, which identifies, evaluates and recruits highly qualified director candidates and recommends them to the board of directors. Potential candidates for director may come to the attention of the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee through current directors, management, professional search firms, shareholders or other persons.

If the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee has either identified a prospective nominee or determined that an additional or replacement director is required, the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee may take such measures that it considers appropriate in connection with its evaluation of a director candidate, including candidate interviews, engagement of an outside firm to gather additional information and inquiry of persons with knowledge of the candidate's qualifications and character. In its evaluation of director candidates, including the members of the board of directors eligible for reelection, the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee considers the current size and composition of the board of directors and the needs of the board of directors and the respective committees of the board in view of the criteria for directors described in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, a copy of which is available on our web site at www.aglresources.com.

Table of Contents

The Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee will consider director nominees proposed by shareholders. A shareholder may recommend a person for nomination for election to our board of directors by writing to our Corporate Secretary at AGL Resources Inc., P.O. Box 4569, Location 1466, Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4569. Pursuant to our Corporate Governance Guidelines, each submission must include:

A brief biographical description of the candidate, including background and experience;

The candidate's name, age, business address, and residence address;

The candidate's principal occupation;

The following information about the shareholder making the recommendation:

the name and record address of such shareholder;

the number of shares of our common stock owned beneficially or of record by such shareholder;

a description of all arrangements or undertakings between such shareholder and each proposed nominee and any other person or persons (including their names) pursuant to which the nominations are to be made by such shareholder; and

The written consent of the candidate to being named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected.

A shareholder's recommendation for a candidate for nomination to be elected at the next annual meeting of shareholders must be received by our corporate secretary no later than 45 days prior to the end of the year preceding such annual meeting of shareholders. The Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee will evaluate these recommendations in the same

manner as it evaluates all other nominees, using the criteria described in our Corporate Governance Guidelines.

The Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee periodically engages a third party search firm to identify possible director candidates for the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee's consideration based on skills and characteristics identified by the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee and in light of gaps in board composition that the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee may identify from time to time as the issues facing the board evolve. Such skills and characteristics desirable in the context of the then current make-up of the board of directors may include diversity, age, business or professional background, financial literacy and expertise, availability, commitment, independence and other relevant criteria.

Practices for Considering Diversity. The charter of the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee provides that it shall review, at least annually, the appropriate skills and characteristics of members of the board of directors in the context of the then current make-up of the board. This assessment includes the following factors: diversity (including diversity of skills, background and experience); age; business or professional background; financial literacy and expertise; availability and commitment; independence; and other criteria that the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee or the full board finds to be relevant. It is the practice of the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee to consider these factors when screening and evaluating candidates for nomination to the board of directors.

Table of Contents

Board and Committee Meetings

Members of the board are kept informed through reports routinely presented at board and committee meetings by our chief executive officer and other officers and through other means. During 2012, the board of directors held six meetings. Each director attended 75% or more of the aggregate of all meetings of the board and each committee on which he or she served.

Executive Sessions without Management

To promote open discussion among the non-management directors, the board of directors schedules regular executive sessions in which the non-management directors meet without management's participation. Such sessions are scheduled to occur at every regularly scheduled board meeting. The presiding director at such executive sessions is the Lead Director and Chairman of the Executive Committee of the board of directors. During 2012, the board met in executive session six times.

Communications with Directors

Shareholders and other interested parties may communicate with our board of directors or, alternatively, with the presiding director of executive sessions of our non-management directors or with the non-management directors as a group via our Ethics and Compliance Helpline at (800) 350-1014 or at www.mycompliancereport.com. A copy of our Procedures for Communicating with the Board of Directors of AGL Resources Inc. is available on our web site at www.aglresources.com and is available in print to any shareholder who requests it from our Corporate Secretary at AGL Resources Inc., P.O. Box 4569, Location 1466, Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4569.

Ethics and Compliance Program

The board of directors is responsible for overseeing management's implementation of

the Company's ethics and compliance program to ensure that our business is conducted in a consistently legal and ethical manner. As part of the ethics and compliance program, our Company has established, and the board of directors has approved, a code of conduct entitled "Commitment to Integrity and Ethics - Our Code of Conduct and Ethics." Our Code of Conduct and Ethics governs the way we treat our customers and co-workers, guides our community interactions, and strengthens our commitment to excellence and integrity. The Code of Conduct and Ethics covers a wide range of professional conduct, including environmental, health and safety standards, employment policies, conflicts of interest, accuracy of records, fair dealing, insider trading and strict adherence to all laws and regulations applicable to the conduct of our business. Under the Code of Conduct and Ethics, employees are required to conduct the Company's activities in an ethical and lawful manner and all employees are expected to report any situation where they believe our internal policies or external laws are being violated. Our Code of Conduct and Ethics applies to our directors, officers and all of our employees.

In addition, the board of directors has adopted a Code of Ethics for the Chief Executive Officer and the Senior Financial Officers, or our Officers Code of Ethics, designed to deter wrongdoing and promote the following: honest and ethical conduct; full, fair, accurate, timely and understandable disclosure in documents filed with or submitted to the SEC; compliance with applicable governmental laws, rules and regulations; prompt internal reporting of violations of the Officers Code of Ethics; and accountability for adherence to the Officers Code of Ethics.

Any waiver of the Code of Conduct and Ethics or Officers Code of Ethics for an executive officer or, where applicable, for a member of the board of directors, requires the approval of the board of directors or a duly authorized

Table of Contents

committee of the board and will be promptly disclosed on our website at www.aglresources.com. No waivers have been granted under the codes.

The board of directors also has adopted Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues, or our Corporate Governance Guidelines, that set forth guidelines for the operation of the board of directors and its committees. The board periodically reviews our governance practices and procedures, evaluating them against corporate governance best practices.

Our Code of Conduct and Ethics, our Officers Code of Ethics and our Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on our website at www.aglresources.com. They also are available to any shareholder upon request to our Corporate Secretary at AGL Resources Inc. at P.O. Box 4569, Location 1466, Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4569.

Table of Contents**SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT****Directors and Executive Officers**

The following table presents the number of shares of AGL Resources common stock beneficially owned by each director, each named executive officer and by all executive officers and directors as a group as of December 31, 2012, based on information furnished by them to us. Our named executive officers are those individuals named in the Summary Compensation Table under the caption Executive Compensation.

Beneficial ownership as reported in the table below has been determined in accordance with SEC regulations and includes shares of common stock which may be acquired within

60 days after December 31, 2012, upon the exercise of outstanding stock options but excludes shares and share equivalents held under deferral plans which are disclosed in a separate column. Unless otherwise indicated, all directors and executive officers have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares shown. As of December 31, 2012, no individual director, named executive officer, or executive officers and directors as a group owned beneficially 1% or more of our common stock.

Name	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned		Shares and Share Equivalents Held Under Deferral Plans(2)	Total
	Owned Shares	Option Shares(1)		
Sandra N. Bane	3,410	0	9,643	13,053
Thomas D. Bell, Jr.	26,473	0	0	26,473
Norman R. Bobins(3)	6,542	0	0	6,542
Charles R. Crisp	10,716	0	12,954	23,670
Brenda J. Gaines	5,626	0	0	5,626
Arthur E. Johnson	4,338	0	40,553	44,891
Wyck A. Knox, Jr.	12,122	0	38,930	51,052
Dennis M. Love	25,552	0	37,443	62,995
Charles H. McTier	2,341	0	15,506	17,847
Dean R. O Hare	16,549	0	827	17,376
Armando J. Olivera	1,875	0	4,993	6,868
John E. Rau(4)	12,938	0	0	12,938
James A. Rubright	15,172	0	23,290	38,462
John W. Somerhalder II	160,503	384,400	34,684	579,587
Bettina M. Whyte	13,486	0	12,391	25,877
Henry C. Wolf	26,718	0	11,817	38,535
Andrew W. Evans	71,381	109,180	0	180,561
Henry P. Linginfelter	79,451	33,000	39	112,490
Paul R. Shlanta	38,759	40,740	0	79,499
Peter I. Tumminello	46,101	22,870	0	68,971
All executive officers and directors as a group (21 persons)(5)	584,369	617,290	243,070	1,444,729

Table of Contents

- (1) For the non-employee directors, reflects the shares that may be acquired upon exercise of stock options granted under the 1996 Non-Employee Directors Equity Compensation Plan (which we refer to as the 1996 Directors Plan) and for the executive officers, reflects the shares that may be acquired upon exercise of stock options granted under the AGL Resources Inc. Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated (which we refer to as the OPIP), the Long-Term Incentive Plan (1999) (which we refer to as the Long-Term Incentive Plan) and which was the predecessor plan to the OPIP, or under the Officer Incentive Plan.
- (2) Represents shares of common stock, common stock equivalents and accrued dividend credits held for non-employee directors under the 1998 Common Stock Equivalent Plan for Non-Employee Directors, which we refer to as the Common Stock Equivalent Plan, and, for the named executive officers, under the Nonqualified Savings Plan. The common stock equivalents track the performance of AGL Resources common stock and are payable in cash. The shares and share equivalents may not be voted or transferred by the participants.
- (3) Includes 502 shares held in a trust for which Mr. Bobins has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares.
- (4) Includes 4,610 shares held in a trust for which Mr. Rau has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares.
- (5) Includes 4,316 shares and 27,100 option shares for which a member of the group who is not a named executive officer has shared voting and investment power.

Owners of More Than 5% of AGL Resources Common Stock

We are aware of the following shareholders who beneficially own more than 5% of AGL Resources common stock.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Shares of Common Stock Beneficially Owned	Percent of Class
BlackRock, Inc. 40 East 52 nd Street New York, NY 10022	8,203,723(1)	6.97%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. 100 Vanguard Blvd. Malvern, PA 19355	7,126,383(2)	6.05%
Stowers Institute for Medical Research	5,977,989(3)	5.1%
American Century Companies, Inc. American Century Investment Management, Inc. 4500 Main Street, 9 th Floor		

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

Kansas City, MO 64111

- (1) Based on the Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 6, 2013, in which BlackRock, Inc. reported that it holds all of its shares as a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Exchange Act and has sole voting power and sole dispositive power with respect to all of its shares.

- (2) Based on the Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 22, 2013, in which The Vanguard Group, Inc. (Vanguard) reported that it holds all of its shares as an investment

Table of Contents

advisor in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Exchange Act and has sole voting power of 251,086 of the total shares, sole dispositive power of 6,963,947 of the total shares and shared dispositive power of 162,436 of the total shares. Based on the Schedule 13G/A, (i) the Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company (VFTC), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vanguard, is the beneficial owner of 162,436 shares or 0.13% of the common stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts, and as such, VFTC directs the voting of these 162,436 shares; and (ii) the Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd. (VIA), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Vanguard, is the beneficial owner of 88,650 shares or 0.08% of the common stock outstanding of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of Australian investment offerings, and as such, VIA directs the voting of these 88,650 shares.

- (3) Based on the Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 11, 2013, in which Stowers Institute for Medical Research reported that it holds all of its shares as a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Exchange Act and has sole voting power with respect to 5,864,284 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,977,989; American Century Companies, Inc. reported that it holds all of its shares as a parent holding company or control person in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G) of the Exchange Act and has sole voting power with respect to 5,864,284 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,977,989; and American Century Investment Management, Inc. reported that it holds all of its shares as an investment advisor in accordance with Rule 13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E) of the Exchange Act and has sole voting power with respect to 5,864,284 shares and sole dispositive power with respect to 5,977,989.

Table of Contents

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

General

A director who is an employee of the Company receives no additional compensation for his or her services as a director. A director who is not an employee (a non-employee director) receives compensation for his or her services as described in the following paragraphs. All directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with attendance at board and committee meetings.

Annual Retainer

Each non-employee director receives an annual retainer for service as a director on the first day of each annual service term. The amount and form of the annual retainer are fixed from time to time by resolution of the board. For 2012, the annual retainer was \$190,000, of which \$95,000, or the Cash Portion, was payable in cash and \$95,000, or the Equity Portion, was payable in shares of our common stock on the first day of the annual service term. Alternatively, a director may choose to receive his or her entire retainer (including the Cash Portion) in shares of our common stock, or to defer the retainer under the Common Stock Equivalent Plan.

Amounts deferred under the Common Stock Equivalent Plan are invested in common stock equivalents that track the performance of our common stock and are credited with equivalents to dividend payments that are made on our common stock. Common stock equivalents may not be voted or transferred. At the end of a participating non-employee director's board service, he or she receives a cash distribution based on the then-current market value of his or her common stock equivalents and dividend equivalents.

Non-employee directors do not receive additional compensation for attending board or committee meetings.

Committee Chair and Lead Director Retainer

Committee chairs receive an additional annual retainer on the first day of each annual service term. For 2012, the additional annual retainer for each committee chair was \$15,000, and the additional annual retainer for the Lead Director was \$25,000. The committee chair and Lead Director retainers were payable, at the election of each director, in cash or shares of our common stock, or they were deferred under the Common Stock Equivalent Plan.

Table of Contents**2012 Non-Employee Director Compensation Paid**

The following table summarizes compensation earned and paid to or deferred by each non-employee director for service as a director during 2012.

2012 Non-Employee Director Compensation

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)(1)(2)(3)(4)	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
Sandra N. Bane	\$ 99,386	\$ 95,026	\$	\$ 194,412
Thomas D. Bell, Jr.	99,386	95,026		194,412
Norman R. Bobins	71,250	95,026		166,276
Charles R. Crisp	60,000	110,010		170,010
Brenda J. Gaines	102,696	95,026		197,722
Arthur E. Johnson	131,115	95,000		226,115
Wyck A. Knox, Jr.	99,386	95,000		194,386
Dennis M. Love	5,000	200,028		205,028
Charles H. McTier	99,386	95,000		194,386
Dean R. O Hare	99,386	95,026		194,412
Armando J. Olivera	0	190,000		190,000
John E. Rau	0	190,013		190,013
James A. Rubright	113,615	95,026		208,641
Bettina M. Whyte	96,568	95,030		191,598
Henry C. Wolf	5,000	200,028		205,028

- (1) Reflects the aggregate annual retainer, and any chair or Lead Director retainers, paid to each director in 2012. Half of the \$190,000 annual retainer is paid in stock or may be deferred as common stock equivalents. The other half of the annual retainer, and any chair or Lead Director retainers, may be paid in cash or stock (or deferred as common stock equivalents), at the election of the director.
- (2) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of these stock awards, which include shares of our common stock and common stock equivalents, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718, the Financial Accounting Standards Board's authoritative guidance related to stock compensation (FASB ASC Topic 718). The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are incorporated by reference to Note 7 Stock-based and Other Incentive Compensation Plans and Agreements to the financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 6, 2013.

Table of Contents

- (3) The following table presents the grant date fair value for each stock award, which includes shares of our common stock and common stock equivalents, made to each non-employee director during 2012.

Name		Date of Grant 5/1/12	Total Grant Date Fair Value (\$)
Sandra N. Bane	Common Stock	\$ 95,026	\$ 95,026
Thomas D. Bell, Jr.	Common Stock	95,026	95,026
Norman R. Bobins	Common Stock	95,026	95,026
Charles R. Crisp	Common Stock	110,010	110,010
Brenda J. Gaines	Common Stock	95,026	95,026
Arthur E. Johnson	Common Stock Equivalent	95,000	95,000
Wyck A. Knox, Jr.	Common Stock Equivalent	95,000	95,000
Dennis M. Love	Common Stock	200,028	200,028
Charles H. McTier	Common Stock Equivalent	95,000	95,000
Dean R. O Hare	Common Stock	95,026	95,026
Armando J. Olivera	Common Stock Equivalent	190,000	190,000
John E. Rau	Common Stock	190,013	190,013
James A. Rubright	Common Stock	95,026	95,026
Bettina M. Whyte	Common Stock Equivalent	75,000	
	Common Stock	20,030	95,030
Henry C. Wolf	Common Stock	200,028	200,028

- (4) The aggregate number of stock awards, which includes shares of our common stock and common stock equivalents, for each of the non-employee directors outstanding at December 31, 2012, was as follows:

Name	Shares Outstanding (#)	Common Stock Equivalents Outstanding #(a)	Total Stock Awards Outstanding #(a)
Sandra N. Bane	3,410	9,643	13,053
Thomas D. Bell, Jr.	22,983	0	22,983
Norman R. Bobins	4,285	0	4,285
Charles R. Crisp	10,716	12,954	23,670
Brenda J. Gaines	3,348	0	3,348
Arthur E. Johnson	1,061	40,553	41,614
Wyck A. Knox, Jr.	1,015	38,930	39,945
Dennis M. Love	18,226	37,443	55,669
Charles H. McTier	1,000	15,506	16,506
Dean R. O Hare	14,549	827	15,376
Armando J. Olivera	1,875	4,993	6,868
John E. Rau	6,694	0	6,694
James A. Rubright	13,192	23,290	36,482
Bettina M. Whyte	12,404	12,391	24,795
Henry C. Wolf	26,718	11,817	38,535

(a) Includes dividend equivalents.

Table of Contents

Share Ownership and Holding Period Requirements for Non-Employee Directors

In order to serve on our board, directors are required to own shares of our common stock. Our share ownership guidelines for non-employee directors require that non-employee directors own shares of our common stock having a value of at least \$475,000, which represents five times the value of the Equity Portion of the annual retainer. Each director has five years from the date of his or her initial election to meet the share ownership requirement. Common stock equivalents and shares issuable upon the exercise of vested stock options are included in the determination of the ownership guideline amount. We believe that the equity component of non-employee

director compensation serves to further align the interests of the non-employee directors with the interests of our shareholders.

Under the terms of the Amended and Restated 2006 Non-Employee Directors Equity Compensation Plan (which we refer to as the 2006 Directors Plan), non-employee directors are required to hold shares awarded under such plan until the earlier of (i) five years from the date of the initial stock award or subsequent stock grant; (ii) termination of the non-employee director's service; or (iii) a change in control of the Company. Shares subject to the holding period include all shares issued in connection with the initial stock award under the plan and all shares issued under the plan in payment of all or part of a director's annual retainer.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

GENERAL

The board of directors presently consists of sixteen members, fifteen of whom are non-employee directors. At the 2013 annual meeting, all sixteen directors will stand for re-election.

Vote Requirements for Election

Our bylaws provide that directors are elected by a plurality of the votes cast by shareholders at a meeting at which a quorum is present. Our bylaws, while not changing the requirement for a plurality vote in the election of directors, require additionally that any director nominee in an uncontested election who does not receive the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast (including votes to withhold authority) with respect to that director's election must promptly tender his or her resignation to the board following certification of the shareholder vote. The requirement that a director tender his or her resignation if he or she does not receive a majority of the votes cast does not apply in the case of a contested election where the number of nominees exceeds the number of directors to be elected.

Following such a tender of resignation, the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee, excluding any director tendering his or her resignation if he or she is a member of the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee, will make a recommendation to the board as to whether to accept or reject the resignation or whether other action should be taken. The board will then act on the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee's recommendation and publicly disclose its decision and rationale within 90 days after the date of the certification of the election results. The director who tenders his or her resignation will

not participate in the board's decision. If the director's resignation is not accepted by the board, the director shall continue to serve until his or her successor is duly elected or until his or her earlier death, resignation or removal. If the director's resignation is accepted by the board of directors, any resulting vacancy may be filled as provided in the bylaws or the board of directors may decrease the size of the board.

If a majority of the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee does not receive a majority of the votes cast in their respective elections, then the independent members of the board who did not fail to receive a majority of the votes cast will appoint a committee from among themselves to consider the resignation offers and recommend to the board whether to accept them. If the only directors who did not fail to receive a majority of the votes cast constitute three or fewer directors, all directors may participate in the action regarding whether to accept the resignation offers.

Board Member and Nominee Qualifications

The experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that our board of directors considered in concluding that each of the current members of the board of directors and each of the nominees for election at the 2013 annual meeting should serve as a director include: (1) geographic representation (representative of our service territories); (2) diversity of professional skills and experience; (3) diversity of age, gender and race; (4) energy industry experience; and (5) community relations within our service territories.

When an incumbent director is up for re-election, the Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee reviews

Table of Contents

the performance, skills and characteristics of such incumbent director before making a determination to recommend that the full board nominate him or her for re-election.

A description of the specific experience, qualifications, attributes and skills that led our board of directors to conclude that each of the continuing members of the board of directors and each of the nominees should serve as a director follows the biographical information of each director and nominee below.

The board of directors, based on the recommendation of its Nominating, Governance and Corporate Responsibility Committee, has nominated Sandra N. Bane, Thomas D. Bell, Jr., Norman R. Bobins, Charles R. Crisp, Brenda J. Gaines, Arthur E. Johnson, Wyck A. Knox, Jr., Dennis M. Love, Charles H. McTier, Dean R. O Hare, Armando J. Olivera, John E. Rau, James A. Rubright,

John W. Somerhalder II, Bettina M. Whyte and Henry C. Wolf for election as directors at the annual meeting. All of the nominees are current directors of the Company. If elected, each of the nominees will hold office for a one-year term expiring at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2014. Each of the nominees has agreed to serve as a director if elected by the shareholders.

If any nominee becomes unable to stand for election, the board may:

designate a substitute nominee, in which case the proxies, the trustee of the AGL 401(k) Plan or the trustee of the Nicor 401(k) Plan, as applicable, will vote all valid proxies for the election of the substitute nominee named by the board;

allow the vacancy to remain open until a suitable candidate is located; or

reduce the authorized number of directors accordingly.

Nominees For Election

Sandra N. Bane, former audit partner with KPMG LLP from 1985 until her retirement in 1998; head of the Western Region's Merchandising practice at KPMG LLP and partner in charge of the region's Human Resources department for two years; accountant with increasing responsibilities at KPMG LLP from 1975 until 1996; currently a director of Big 5 Sporting Goods Corporation and Transamerica Asset Management Group, a mutual fund company; and formerly a director of PETCO Animal Supplies, Inc. Ms. Bane, 60, has been a director of AGL Resources since February 2008.

Ms. Bane brings many years of experience as an audit partner at KPMG with extensive financial accounting knowledge that is critical to our board of directors. Ms. Bane's experience with accounting principles, financial reporting rules and regulations, evaluating financial results and generally overseeing the financial reporting process of large public companies from an independent auditor's perspective and as a board member and audit committee member of other public companies makes her an invaluable asset to our board of directors.

Table of Contents

Thomas D. Bell, Jr., Chairman of Mesa Capital Partners, LLC, a real estate investment firm, since 2011; former Chairman of SecurAmerica LLC, a provider of premium contract security services, from January 2010 to September 2012; former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Cousins Properties Incorporated, a fully integrated real estate investment trust, from December 2006 until July 2009; President and Chief Executive Officer of Cousins Properties Incorporated from January 2002 until December 2006; real estate consultant to Credit Suisse First Boston from August 2001 until January 2002; special limited partner at Forstmann Little from January 2001 until July 2001; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Young & Rubicam, Inc. from January 2000 until November 2000; President and Chief Operating Officer of Young & Rubicam, Inc. from September 1999 until January 2000; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Young & Rubicam Advertising from March 1998 until August 1999; currently a director of Regal Entertainment Group, Norfolk Southern Corporation and the US Chamber of Commerce; and formerly a director of Cousins Properties Incorporated, Credit Suisse First Boston, Credit Suisse Group and Lincoln Financial Group. Mr. Bell, 63, has been a director of AGL Resources since July 2004. Mr. Bell previously served as a director of AGL Resources from July 2003 until April 2004.

Mr. Bell's extensive experience as a chief executive officer and chief operating officer of public companies demonstrates his leadership capability and business acumen. His experience with complex financial and operational issues in the real estate industry along with his service on the board of directors of a variety of public companies, including such companies' audit and compensation committees, brings valuable financial, operational and strategic expertise to our board of directors.

Norman R. Bobins, President and Chief Executive Officer of Norman Bobins Consulting LLC, an independent consulting firm, since 2008; Chairman of The PrivateBank Chicago since 2008; President and Chief Executive Officer of ABN AMRO North America from 2006 to 2007; Senior Executive Vice President of ABN AMRO Bank N.V. from 2002 to 2007; President and Chief Executive Officer of LaSalle Bank Corporation from 2003 to 2007; Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of LaSalle Bank from 2000 to 2007; President of LaSalle Bank Midwest from 2005 to 2007; and currently a director of AAR Corp., PrivateBancorp, Inc. and SIMS Metal Management. Mr. Bobins, age 70, has been a director of AGL Resources since December 2011 and was a director of Nicor Inc. from 2007 to 2011.

Mr. Bobins has held several senior executive positions at various banking institutions, including LaSalle Bank Corporation, which was one of the largest bank holding companies in the Midwest, and where Mr. Bobins served as chairman, chief executive officer and president. Mr. Bobins has extensive knowledge of and experience in banking and finance, and his prominent position in the Midwestern business community qualify him to serve on our board of directors. We also benefit from Mr. Bobins' extensive experience in

Table of Contents

leadership roles with numerous business, civic and philanthropic organizations in the Chicago area, which helps provide our Company with important business insights and access to other business leaders.

Charles R. Crisp, former President and Chief Executive Officer of Coral Energy, LLC, a subsidiary of Shell Oil Company, which provided energy-related products and services associated with wholesale natural gas and power marketing and trading, from 1999 until his retirement in October 2000; President and Chief Operating Officer of Coral Energy, LLC from 1998 until 1999; joined Houston Industries in 1996 and served as President of its domestic power generation group until 1998; served as President, Chief Operating Officer and a director of Tejas Gas Corporation from 1988 until 1996; joined Houston Pipe Line Co. in 1985 where he served as a Vice President, Executive Vice President and President until 1988; served as Executive Vice President of Perry Gas Companies Inc. from 1982 until 1985; began his career in the energy industry in 1969 with Conoco Inc. where he held various engineering, operations and management positions from 1969 until 1982; and currently a director of EOG Resources Inc., IntercontinentalExchange, Inc. and Targa Resources Corp. Mr. Crisp, 65, has been a director of AGL Resources since April 2003.

Mr. Crisp's extensive energy experience is critical to our board of directors. Mr. Crisp's vast understanding of many aspects of our industry and his experience serving on the board of directors of three other public companies in the energy industry is invaluable. In addition, Mr. Crisp's leadership and business experience and deep knowledge of various sectors of the energy industry provide our board of directors with crucial insight.

Brenda J. Gaines, former Chief Executive Officer of Diners Club North America, a division of Citigroup, a charge and credit card services company, from 2002 until her retirement in 2004; President of Diners Club North America from 1999 to 2004; Executive Vice President -Corporate Card Sales of Diners Club North America from 1994 to 1999; currently a director of Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae), Office Depot, Inc. and Tenet Healthcare Corporation; and formerly a director of CNA Financial Corporation. Ms. Gaines, 63, has been a director of AGL Resources since December 2011 and was a director of Nicor Inc. from 2006 to December 2011.

Ms. Gaines has more than 25 years of experience working in the corporate and government arenas. She served as the deputy chief of staff and commissioner of housing for the City of Chicago. She has substantial training in corporate governance and has served as a speaker and panel member in various Risk Metrics certified courses on corporate governance, particularly those focusing on audit committees. As senior vice president, president and chief executive officer of Diners Club North America, Ms. Gaines led the activities for the North American franchise of the \$29 billion Diners Club International network.

Table of Contents

Ms. Gaines' business leadership skills, marketing knowledge, experience in government service and on the boards of directors of other companies qualify Ms. Gaines to serve on our board of directors. In addition, Ms. Gaines' corporate governance training and experience provides our board of directors with valuable insight and expertise.

Arthur E. Johnson, Lead Director of our board of directors since April 2009; former Senior Vice President, Corporate Strategic Development, of Lockheed Martin Corporation, an advanced technology company engaged in research, design, development, manufacture and integration of advanced technology systems, from 2001 until March 2009; Vice President, Corporate Strategic Development, of Lockheed Martin Corporation from 1999 until 2001; President and Chief Operating Officer of Lockheed Martin Corporation Information and Services Sector from 1997 until 1999; President of Lockheed Martin Corporation Systems Integration Group from April 1996 until August 1997; President of Loral Corporation Federal Systems Group from 1994 until 1996; currently a director of Booz Allen Hamilton Inc., Eaton Corporation and an independent trustee of Fidelity Investments; and formerly a director of Delta Air Lines Inc. and IKON Office Solutions Corporation. Mr. Johnson, 66, has been a director of AGL Resources since February 2002.

Mr. Johnson brings many years of experience in senior management with significant responsibilities in the areas of large company management and operations, business strategy development and strategic partnerships, which provide valuable insight to our board of directors. As we continue to evaluate growth opportunities, Mr. Johnson's strategic planning insights have proven to be significantly beneficial to our board of directors. He also possesses extensive experience in the area of information services and technology that is extremely valuable to our board of directors. In addition, Mr. Johnson's service on the board of directors of other public companies brings valuable experience and insight to our board of directors.

Wyck A. Knox, Jr., retired partner in, and former Chairman of the Executive Committee (for four years) of, the law firm of Kilpatrick Stockton LLP, now Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton, LLP, or a predecessor firm, from 1976 until his retirement in 2007; Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Knox Rivers Construction Company from 1976 until 1995; and currently a director and Chairman of nBank Corp. Mr. Knox, 72, has been a director of AGL Resources since November 1998.

With over forty-six years of legal experience and deep-rooted affiliations with a diverse array of business, political and philanthropic organizations in Georgia, Mr. Knox brings immense insight to the board of directors from the perspective of one of our largest service territories.

Table of Contents

Dennis M. Love, President and Chief Executive Officer of Printpack Inc., which manufactures flexible and rigid packaging materials used primarily for consumer products, since 1987; currently a director of Oxford Industries, Inc.; and formerly a director of Caraustar Industries, Inc. Mr. Love, 57, has been a director of AGL Resources since October 1999.

Mr. Love's more than twenty-five years of experience as a chief executive officer brings key senior management and operational experience to our board of directors. Mr. Love's successful management and growth of his family-owned business to include international operations demonstrate his business strategy and acumen. His service on the nominating, compensation and governance committee of the board of directors of Oxford Industries also provides valuable insight on public company governance and compensation practices.

Charles H. Pete McTier, Trustee and former President of the Robert W. Woodruff Foundation, the Joseph B. Whitehead Foundation, the Lettie Pate Evans Foundation and the Lettie Pate Whitehead Foundation, which are all based in Atlanta and make up one of the largest foundation groups in the Southeast, from 1988 until his retirement in 2006; Vice President, Secretary and Treasurer of the foundations from 1987 until 1988; Secretary and Treasurer of the foundations from 1977 until 1987; Secretary of the foundations from 1971 until 1977; prior to that, several administrative positions at Emory University; and currently a director of Coca-Cola FEMSA, S.A. de C.V. and an advisory board member of SunTrust Bank Atlanta. Mr. McTier, 74, has been a director of AGL Resources since November 2006.

With over thirty-five years of professional service in the philanthropic arena and over twenty years as the leader of one of the largest charitable foundations in the Southeast, Mr. McTier provides a valuable link to our community. His many years of philanthropic experience, locally and nationally, and his experience serving on the board of directors of an internationally operated company also provide an important perspective that is vital to our board of directors.

Dean R. O Hare, former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of The Chubb Corporation, a multi-billion dollar organization providing property and casualty insurance for personal and commercial customers worldwide, from 1988 until his retirement in November 2002; President of The Chubb Corporation from 1986 until 1988; Chief Financial Officer of The Chubb Corporation from 1980 until 1986; various other positions with increasing responsibility at The Chubb Corporation until being named officer from 1963 until 1972; and currently a director of Fluor Corporation and HJ Heinz Company. Mr. O Hare, 70, has been a director of AGL Resources since August 2005.

As the former chief executive officer and chief financial officer of a Fortune 500 company with over thirty years of global business experience, Mr. O Hare is a valuable member of our board of directors. Mr. O Hare

Table of Contents

brings significant large public company operational, financial and corporate governance experience to our board of directors and his experience and relationships in one of our largest service territories, along with his service on the compensation committee and as chairman of the governance committee of the board of directors of Fluor Corporation and as chairman of the audit committee of the board of directors of HJ Heinz Company, along with his service on the governance committee, provide key insight to our board of directors. Mr. O'Hare's extensive experience with the Chubb Corporation also brings valuable risk management experience to our board of directors.

Armando J. Olivera, former President and Chief Executive Officer, Florida Power & Light Company (FP&L), an electric utility services company with over \$10 billion in annual revenue, from June 2003 until his retirement in May 2012; various other positions with increasing responsibility at FP&L from 1972 to 2003; currently a director of Fluor Corporation; and formerly a director of FP&L. Mr. Olivera, 63, has been a director of AGL Resources Inc. since December 2011 and was a director of Nicor Inc. from 2008 to December 2011.

Mr. Olivera was a 40-year veteran of FP&L. Throughout his career at FP&L, he served in several senior executive positions, including president and chief executive officer at the time of his retirement.

Mr. Olivera's experience in and understanding of utility regulation, operations and finance as well as his strong business leadership skills qualify him to serve on our board of directors. He has served in a leadership role on a number of electric utility industry groups including chairman of the Florida Reliability Council, chairman of the Association of Edison Illuminating Companies and president of the Southeastern Electric Exchange. He also has served in a number of community and educational organizations. He is currently a director of Enterprise Florida, an economic development organization, and a trustee of Miami Dade College and Cornell University.

John E. Rau, President and Chief Executive Officer of Miami Corporation, a private asset management firm, since December 2002; Chairman Chicago Title and Trust Company Foundation, a charitable foundation, since March 2000; President and Chief Executive Officer of Chicago Title Corporation, a financial services corporation, from January 1997 to March 2000; currently a director of First Industrial Realty Trust, Inc. and BMO Financial Corp./BMO Harris Bank, N.A.; and previously a director of BorgWarner Inc. and Wm. Wrigley Jr. Company. Mr. Rau, 64, has been a director of AGL Resources since December 2011, was a director of Nicor Inc. from 1998 to December 2011 and Nicor Inc.'s lead director from 2006 to 2011.

Mr. Rau is the chief executive officer of Miami Corporation, a private investment management company. He has served as chief executive officer at two major public companies and dean of Indiana University's Kelley School of Business. Mr. Rau also has served in leadership roles at

Table of Contents

numerous business, civic and philanthropic organizations. He has authored several dozen nationally published essays and reviews and a book on the characteristics of successful chief executive officers.

Mr. Rau's strong leadership skills, his service on other boards of directors and his extensive knowledge of banking, finance, economics and real estate qualify him to serve on our board of directors. Mr. Rau's prominent position in the Midwestern business community helps provide our Company with a wide variety of business insights and access to other business leaders.

James A. Rubright, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of RockTenn Company, an integrated paperboard and packaging company, since 1999; Executive Vice President of Sonat, Inc., an energy company, from 1994 until 1999; currently a director of RockTenn Company and Forestar Group, Inc.; and formerly a director of Avondale, Inc. and Oxford Industries, Inc. Mr. Rubright, 66, has been a director of AGL Resources since August 2001.

Mr. Rubright's experience on the board of directors of a variety of public companies along with his proven success as the chief executive officer of a large public company demonstrates his leadership capability and extensive knowledge of complex financial and operational issues that public companies face. In addition, his experience as a chief executive officer of a Fortune 500 company brings vital senior management experience and business acumen to our board of directors. Mr. Rubright's extensive experience in the natural gas industry provides valuable insight to our board of directors. Mr. Rubright's unique background brings a deep understanding of operations and strategy with an added layer of risk management experience that is an important aspect of the composition of our board of directors.

John W. Somerhalder II, our Chairman since October 2007 and our President and Chief Executive Officer since March 2006; Executive Vice President of El Paso Corporation, a natural gas and related energy products provider and owner of North America's largest natural gas pipeline system and one of North America's largest independent natural gas producers, from 2000 until May 2005, where he continued service under a professional services agreement from May 2005 until March 2006; President, El Paso Pipeline Group from 2001 until 2005; President of Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, an El Paso company from 1996 until 1999; President of El Paso Energy Resources Company from April 1996 until December 1996; Senior Vice President, Operations and Engineering, El Paso Natural Gas Company from 1992 until 1996; Vice President, Engineering, El Paso Natural Gas Company from 1986 until 1990; from 1977 until 1990, various other positions with increasing responsibility at El Paso Corporation and its subsidiaries until being named an officer in 1990; and currently a director of AGL Resources Inc., Quicksilver Gas Services GP LLC and Crestwood Gas Services GP LLC. Mr. Somerhalder, 57, has been a director of AGL Resources since March 2006.

Table of Contents

With over 30 years of energy industry experience at almost every level of a large public company, Mr. Somerhalder is well positioned to lead our management team and provide essential insight and guidance to the board of directors from an inside perspective of the day-to-day operations of the Company, along with experience and comprehensive knowledge of the natural gas industry.

Bettina M. Whyte, Managing Director and Senior Advisor, Alvarez & Marsal Holdings, LLC, a leading independent global professional services firm, since January 2011; Chairman of the Advisory Board of Bridge Associates, LLC, a leading turnaround, crisis and interim management firm, from October 2007 until December 2010; Managing Director and Head of the Special Situations Group of MBIA Insurance Corporation, a world leader in credit enhancement services and a global provider of fixed-income asset management services, from March 2006 until October 2007; Managing Director of AlixPartners, LLC, a business turnaround management and financial advisory firm, from April 1997 until March 2006; Partner and National Director of Business Turnaround Services, Pricewaterhouse LLP from 1990 until 1997; and currently a director of Amerisure Companies, RockTenn Company and Annie's, Inc. Ms. Whyte, 63, has been a director of AGL Resources since October 2004.

Ms. Whyte has vast experience in the financial and operational restructuring of complex businesses, and her service as interim chief executive officer, chief operating officer and chief restructuring officer of numerous troubled public and private companies is essential to our board of directors. Her experience on the board of directors of other public companies and her insight on financial and operational issues add value to our board of directors.

Henry C. Wolf, former Vice Chairman and Chief Financial Officer of Norfolk Southern Corporation, a holding company that controls a major freight railroad and owns a natural resources company and telecommunications company, from 1998 until his retirement in 2007; Executive Vice President Finance of Norfolk Southern Corporation from 1993 until 1998; Vice President-Taxes of Norfolk Southern Corporation from 1991 until 1993; various other positions with increasing responsibility at Norfolk Southern Corporation in the finance division from 1973 until 1991; and currently a director of Hertz Global Holdings, Inc. and Colonial Williamsburg Co. and a trustee of Colonial Williamsburg Foundation. Mr. Wolf, 70, has been a director of AGL Resources since April 2004.

Mr. Wolf's unique professional background of over forty years of experience with legal, financial, tax and accounting matters along with his demonstrated executive level management skills make him an important advisor. His skills are a vital asset to our board of directors at a time when accurate and transparent accounting, a sound financial footing and exemplary governance practices are essential. In addition, his background in strategic planning and experience with mergers and acquisitions in a regulated environment represent an important resource for the Company.

Table of Contents

Under our Guidelines on Significant Corporate Governance Issues, each member of the board of directors is required to attend the annual meeting of shareholders unless unavoidable circumstances preclude attendance. All of our then current directors attended our 2012 annual meeting of shareholders.

**THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR EACH OF
THE ABOVE NOMINEES.**

Table of Contents

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee of the board of directors is composed of eight directors, each of whom is an independent director, as defined under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's Standards for Determining Director Independence. The Audit Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors, a copy of which is available on the Company's web site at www.aglresources.com.

The Audit Committee reviews the Company's financial reporting process on behalf of the board of directors. In fulfilling its responsibilities, the Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2012 with management and the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Management is responsible for the Company's financial statements and the financial reporting process, including the system of internal control over financial reporting. PricewaterhouseCoopers is responsible for expressing an opinion on the conformity of those audited financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended, regarding PricewaterhouseCoopers' judgments about the quality of the Company's accounting principles as applied in its financial reporting. In addition, the Audit Committee has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers its independence from the Company and from Company management, including the matters in the written disclosures and the letter

provided to the Audit Committee by PricewaterhouseCoopers as required by the applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The Audit Committee has concluded that PricewaterhouseCoopers is independent from the Company and its management.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, the Audit Committee recommended that the board of directors approve the inclusion of the audited financial statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for 2012 for filing with the SEC.

Henry C. Wolf (Chair)

Sandra N. Bane

Norman R. Bobins

Brenda J. Gaines

Wyck A. Knox, Jr.

Dennis M. Love

Charles H. McTier

Dean R. O'Hare

The information contained in the Audit Committee Report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference in such filing.

Table of Contents**PROPOSAL 2 RATIFICATION OF THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2013****Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP served as our independent registered public accounting firm and audited our annual financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, and the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012.

PricewaterhouseCoopers has served as our principal independent registered public accounting firm since 2003.

The Audit Committee has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers to be our

independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. The shareholders are asked to ratify this appointment at the annual meeting. In the event shareholders do not ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2013, the Audit Committee will review its future selection of our independent registered public accounting firm.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers will attend the annual meeting and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they so desire. They will also be available to answer appropriate questions.

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

The following table summarizes certain fees billed by PricewaterhouseCoopers for 2012 and 2011:

Fee Category:	2012	2011
Audit fees	\$ 3,264,734	\$ 2,456,617
Audit-related fees	70,154	267,800
Tax fees	77,436	87,346
All other fees	4,300	0
Total fees	\$ 3,416,624	\$ 2,811,763

Set forth below is a description of the nature of the services that PricewaterhouseCoopers provided to us in exchange for such fees.

Audit Fees

Represents fees PricewaterhouseCoopers billed us for the audit of our annual financial statements and the review of our quarterly financial statements and for services normally provided in connection with statutory and regulatory filings. These include fees incurred in meeting the internal control over financial reporting compliance requirements of Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of

2002, as well as audit fees for Northern Illinois Gas Company, a separate registrant doing business as Nicor Gas Company; SouthStar Energy Services LLC, a joint venture currently owned 85% by our subsidiary, Georgia Natural Gas Company, and 15% by Piedmont Natural Gas Company Inc.; and audits of several other subsidiaries.

Audit-Related Fees

Represents fees PricewaterhouseCoopers billed us for audit and review-related services, including services relating to the issuance of a SSAE 16 review report on internal controls

Table of Contents

associated with Atlanta Gas Light Company's customer information system, prepared for certificated retail marketers of natural gas in Georgia, potential acquisitions and dispositions and the audit of employee benefit plan financial statements.

Tax Fees

Represents fees PricewaterhouseCoopers billed us for tax compliance, planning and advisory services.

All Other Fees

Represents fees PricewaterhouseCoopers billed us for our attendance of accounting and tax conferences.

The Audit Committee pre-approved all of the above audit, audit-related, tax and other fees of PricewaterhouseCoopers, as required by the pre-approval policy described below.

Audit Committee Audit and Non-Audit Services Approval Policy

Consistent with rules and regulations pursuant to the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 regarding registered public accounting firm independence, the Audit Committee has responsibility for appointing, setting compensation and overseeing the work of the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. In recognition of this responsibility, the Audit Committee adopted a policy that requires specific Audit Committee approval before any services are provided by the independent registered public accounting firm.

Prior to engagement of the independent registered public accounting firm for the next year's audit, management submits to the Audit Committee for approval a summary of services expected to be rendered during that year and an estimate of the related fees for (1) audit services, (2) audit-related services, (3) tax services, and (4) all other services. The Audit Committee pre-approves these services by category of service and budget amount. The services and fees must be deemed compatible with the maintenance of the independent registered public accounting firm's independence. The Audit Committee requires the independent registered public accounting firm and management to report actual fees versus the budget periodically throughout the year by category of service. During the year, circumstances may arise when it may become necessary to engage the independent registered public accounting firm for additional services not contemplated in the original pre-approval. In those instances, the Audit Committee requires that management obtain specific approval from the Audit Committee before engaging the independent registered public accounting firm.

The Audit Committee may delegate approval authority to one or more of its members. The member to whom such authority is delegated must present for ratification any approval decisions to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE PROPOSAL TO RATIFY THE APPOINTMENT OF PRICEWATERHOUSECOOPERS LLP AS OUR INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM FOR 2013.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION AND MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation and Management Development Committee of the board of directors is composed of seven directors, each of whom is an independent director, as defined under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and the Company's Standards for Determining Director Independence. The Compensation and Management Development Committee operates under a written charter adopted by the board of directors, a copy of which is available on the Company's web site at www.aglresources.com.

The Compensation and Management Development Committee has reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, or CD&A, section of this proxy statement required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the SEC. Based on the Committee's review and discussions with management, the Committee recommended

to the board of directors that the CD&A be included in the Company's 2012 annual report on Form 10-K and in this proxy statement.

Bettina M. Whyte (Chair)

Sandra N. Bane

Thomas D. Bell, Jr.

Norman R. Bobins

Charles R. Crisp

James A. Rubright

Henry C. Wolf

The information contained in the Compensation and Management Development Committee Report shall not be deemed to be soliciting material or to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that we specifically incorporate it by reference in such filing.

COMPENSATION AND MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE

INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

The following directors served on the Compensation and Management Development Committee at December 31, 2012: Sandra N. Bane, Thomas D. Bell, Jr., Norman R. Bobins, Charles R. Crisp, James A. Rubright, Bettina M. Whyte (Chair) and Henry C. Wolf. None of such persons was, during 2012 or previously,

an officer or employee of AGL Resources or any of its subsidiaries and each such person was an independent director as defined under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange and our Standards for Determining Director Independence.

Table of Contents

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

The following section is a discussion and analysis of our compensation objectives and policies, the elements of our compensation program, and the material factors considered in setting the compensation for our named executive officers listed below:

John W. Somerhalder II, our chairman of the board, president and chief executive officer;

Andrew W. Evans, our executive vice president and chief financial officer;

Henry P. Linginfelter, our executive vice president, distribution operations;

Paul R. Shlanta, our executive vice president, general counsel, and chief ethics and compliance officer; and

Peter I. Tumminello, our executive vice president, wholesale services and president of Sequent Energy Management (Sequent).

Executive Summary

The following is a brief overview of the information provided in this section.

General

The goal of our compensation program is to attract, retain, motivate and reward talented executives and to align their interests with those of our shareholders and our long-term financial health.

Our objective is to be competitive with our total direct compensation (base salary, annual incentive and long-term incentives), using industry data to evaluate compensation against comparable peer groups.

Compensation for our executives includes:

base salary;

annual incentive awards that are paid in cash based upon achievement of a corporate Plan EPS goal, business unit goals, and individual goals;

equity in the form of performance-based restricted stock units and performance share units; and

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

benefits that include the same group health and welfare benefit programs and tax-qualified retirement plans available to all of our employees, as well as non-qualified restoration retirement plans.

Each of our executives has a continuity agreement that provides severance pay if the executive's employment is terminated in certain circumstances in connection with a change in control of the Company. The agreements have a double-trigger, which means that severance benefits are not provided unless both (i) a change in control occurs and (ii) the executive incurs an involuntary termination within a designated period of time.

The only perquisite that we offer our executives is reimbursement for financial planning and mandatory tax return preparation.

2012 Business Developments

We made significant progress toward full-scale integration of Nicor Inc., which was acquired in December 2011. Integration achievements included realizing cost efficiencies throughout the business consistent with our expectations, completion of organizational staffing and deployment of an integrated enterprise resources planning system.

The executive officers performed well against their business goals on key objectives including safety, system reliability, customer service and operating efficiency. We replaced more than 280

Table of Contents

miles of aging pipeline infrastructure across our footprint to improve the reliability and safety of the distribution system for our customers. However, the record warmth experienced across many of our service territories dampened earnings for our distribution operations segment and exacerbated existing market challenges for our natural gas wholesale marketing and storage businesses.

Our regulated utility business, which is our largest segment and the primary contributor of our earnings, delivered weaker-than-forecasted results, due primarily to warmer-than-normal weather in Illinois, where Northern Illinois Gas Company (Nicor Gas) does not have a weather normalization mechanism. Excluding Nicor Gas, our regulated utilities posted year-over-year gains resulting from continued successful execution of our regulatory strategy, earnings from several infrastructure enhancement projects recently placed into service, and an ongoing focus on cost control throughout the business. We had no regulatory rate base proceedings in 2012, although we received approval for a new infrastructure investment program at Virginia Natural Gas and we filed to renew our infrastructure investment program in New Jersey.

Our retail segment, which includes both the retail sale of natural gas as well as home warranty and service products, achieved earnings results slightly below our expectations for the year, due mainly to warmer-than-normal weather affecting our natural gas marketing affiliate in Georgia. This business segment continued to face increasing levels of competition in its markets and overall economic challenges that limited customer growth. In January 2013, we completed an acquisition of approximately 500,000 service plans and certain other assets of NiSource Inc.'s retail services business that is expected to bring growth opportunities to our retail services business.

Two of our non-regulated businesses—the natural gas wholesale marketing and trading business and the natural gas high-deliverability storage business—continued to face significant market challenges throughout the year. These challenges primarily resulted from warmer-than-normal weather and an abundance of supply of natural gas in North America, which kept absolute prices, summer-to-winter relative price spreads and overall market volatility low throughout much of the year. While earnings for the wholesale segment were below our expectations for the year on a mark-to-market basis, we have entered into economic storage and pipeline contracts that we expect will result in future value for the Company. As of December 31, 2012, the economic locked-in value of our storage portfolio was \$27 million, which compares to \$3 million at the end of 2011.

The Cargo Shipping segment that we acquired as part of our acquisition of Nicor Inc. continues to experience a difficult operating environment driven mainly by weak tourism in its target Caribbean and Bahamian markets.

During the year, we made significant utility and non-utility capital investments that we expect will position the Company well for long-term growth and the creation of shareholder value.

2012 Performance and Compensation

Reflecting our pay for performance compensation philosophy, the compensation of our named executive officers was directly affected by our financial results in 2012, both with respect to the amount of annual incentive

Table of Contents

and long-term equity awards earned and the underlying value of long-term equity awards.

Our 2012 annual incentive awards were based upon a combination of several goals:

Plan EPS at the Company level (described on page 51);

Plan Earnings for business units (described on page 53);

Operating and Maintenance expense minus expenses related to Benefits and Incentives (O&M Expense less B&I) for business units (described on page 53); and

Individual performance.

As described above, 2012 was a challenging year for the Company, and we failed to meet the Plan EPS hurdle under the annual incentive plan. Accordingly, with the exception of Mr. Tumminello, our President of Sequent who participates in a separate program (as explained more fully on page 54), the named executive officers did not earn an annual incentive plan payout for 2012. Please see the discussion of the annual incentive award program beginning on page 50.

In 2012, we continued to grant performance-based restricted stock units (representing 30% of each executive's target long-term incentive value) and performance share units (representing 70% of each executive's target long-term incentive value). The restricted stock units contain a one-year Plan EPS hurdle, followed by a three-year ratable time-based vesting schedule. Because the Company failed to meet the Plan EPS hurdle, all of the RSUs granted in 2012 were forfeited.

The performance share units are earned based upon the Company's relative total shareholder return (RTSR) over a three-year period, compared to a peer group consisting of 12 comparable companies. Because the Company failed to meet the threshold RTSR goal, all of the performance share units for the three-year period ending in 2012 were forfeited. Please see the discussion of the long-term incentive awards beginning on page 56.

As described in last year's Proxy Statement, certain of our employees, including the named executive officers, also were granted restricted stock awards in December 2011 in recognition of their contributions to the Nicor Inc. merger integration effort. Awards issued to the named executive officers include a performance hurdle based upon post-merger O&M Expense per customer, which was met in 2012, and have a three-year vesting schedule. Please see the discussion of the merger-related awards beginning on page 57.

Salaries of our named executive officers remained unchanged for 2012. As described in last year's Proxy Statement, the base salaries for each of the named executive officers were increased in connection with the closing of the merger with Nicor Inc. in December 2011. *Governance and Evolving Compensation Practices.* The Compensation Committee and Company management are mindful of evolving practices in executive compensation and corporate governance. In response, we have adopted certain policies and practices that are in keeping with best practices in several areas. For example:

We do not provide excessive executive perquisites or extraordinary relocation benefits to our named executive officers.

We do not provide tax gross-ups on compensation paid to our named executive officers, or on golden parachute excise taxes.

Table of Contents

Our Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan has double-trigger vesting for equity awards in the context of a change in control if the awards are assumed by the acquiring company.

Our Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan expressly prohibits repricing of options (directly or indirectly) without prior shareholder approval.

The Compensation Committee does not believe that the work of its compensation consultant has raised any conflicts of interest.

Our stock ownership policy requires that each executive must retain at least 75% of net shares from his or her equity awards until the ownership requirement is met.

Company policy prohibits directors and executive officers from engaging in hedging activities involving Company stock.

Company policy requires the recovery of certain incentive-based compensation paid to current or former executive officers in the event of an accounting restatement. This policy is described in more detail on page 59.

Consideration of Advisory Shareholder Vote on Executive Compensation

At the annual meeting of shareholders on May 1, 2012, holders of over 97.4% of the voted shares approved the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, including the Company's compensation practices and principles and their implementation, as disclosed in the 2012 Proxy Statement. Similarly, at the annual meeting of shareholders on May 3, 2011, the advisory vote on executive compensation received the positive support of over 97.5% of the voted shares. The Compensation Committee welcomes and appreciates and

values the views of our shareholders. In considering the results of these recent advisory votes on executive compensation, the Committee concluded that the compensation paid to our executive officers and the Company's overall pay practices continue to enjoy strong shareholder support.

In light of this support, the Compensation Committee decided to retain our general approach to executive compensation for 2012 with an emphasis on short and long-term incentive compensation that rewards our senior executives when they successfully implement our business plan and, in turn, deliver value for our shareholders.

The Committee will continue to monitor best practices, future advisory votes on executive compensation and other shareholder feedback to guide it in evaluating the alignment of the Company's executive compensation program with the interests of the Company and its shareholders. As described in more detail on page 60, the Compensation Committee has made several significant changes to the compensation program for 2013. The Committee invites our shareholders to communicate any concerns or opinions on executive pay directly to the Board. Please refer to Board Leadership Structure and Role in Risk Oversight on page 8 for information about communicating with the Board.

Based upon the preference expressed by our shareholders at the 2011 annual meeting, the Board has implemented an annual advisory vote on executive compensation. The next required vote on the frequency of shareholder votes on executive compensation is scheduled to occur at the 2017 annual meeting.

Goal of our Executive Compensation Program

The goal of our executive compensation program is to attract, retain, motivate and reward the executive talent necessary to

Table of Contents

achieve our strategic and financial objectives and to foster long-term value creation for the Company and its shareholders. In support of this, our program is intended to:

align executives' interests with those of our shareholders by creating a strong focus on stock ownership and basing pay on performance measures that are expected to drive long-term, sustained shareholder value growth;

include a strong link between pay and performance, by placing a significant portion of compensation at risk based on Company, business unit and individual performance;

assure the Company's access to top executive talent and protect against competitor recruitment through compensation opportunities that are market competitive and commensurate with the executives' responsibilities, experience and demonstrated performance; and

reinforce business strategies and reflect the Company's core values by rewarding desired performance, promoting desired competencies and recognizing contributions to business success that are consistent with those core values.

Table of Contents

Determining Executive Compensation

The Compensation Committee oversees our executive compensation program. Information about the Compensation Committee and its composition and responsibilities can be found on page 11 of this proxy statement, under the caption Corporate Governance Compensation and Management Development Committee. The Compensation Committee engages the services of Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. (F.W. Cook), an independent consultant. F.W. Cook reports directly to the Compensation Committee and provides no other services to the Company. The following table outlines the roles and responsibilities of various parties in determining executive compensation.

	<i>Roles and Responsibilities</i>
Compensation Committee	<p>Approves incentive programs and sets performance goals.</p> <p>Determines appropriate levels of compensation for our executives, other than the CEO.</p> <p>Evaluates CEO performance.</p> <p>Recommends to independent Board members compensation opportunities and awards for our CEO.</p>
F.W. Cook (Independent consultant to the Compensation Committee)	<p>Provides a competitive assessment of our executives' compensation levels and programs.</p> <p>Provides advice, research and analytical services on a variety of subjects, including compensation trends, best practices, peer group comparisons and the compensation of our non-employee directors.</p>
Independent Directors on Full Board	<p>Approve compensation for our CEO.</p>
CEO	<p>Develops an assessment of individual performance for each executive.</p> <p>Provides recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding individual compensation levels for executives.</p> <p>Provides recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding goals for the performance measures in the incentive plans.</p>
Other members of management	<p>Our Human Resources staff provides analyses, competitive data, and information relating to alternative compensation program designs to the Compensation Committee and F.W. Cook to help facilitate the Compensation Committee's review of competitive compensation practices.</p>

Our chief financial officer provides the Compensation Committee with reports on financial performance as it relates to key business drivers and performance measures included in incentive program designs.

Table of Contents

Competitive Market Information

Each year the Compensation Committee works with F.W. Cook to review the market competitiveness of our executive compensation programs and levels and to re-evaluate the companies included in our comparator groups to ensure that we have the appropriate marketplace focus. For 2012, F.W. Cook prepared a competitive assessment of our executives' base salaries, target annual incentive awards, and long-term incentive opportunities against an energy industry database and an executive compensation peer group.

Energy Industry Database

For 2012, the energy industry database included energy services companies in Towers Watson's Energy Industry Services Compensation Database with assets or revenue between one-third and three times ours. This group was used as the primary source to assess competitive levels of compensation for our executives. We believe this larger selection of companies provides more accurate and reliable information than a smaller peer group and better reflects the labor market for our executive talent.

For 2012, our energy industry database companies were:

Allegheny Energy, Inc.

Alliant Energy Corp.

Ameren Energy Resources Co.

American Electric Power Co.

Atmos Energy Corp

Calpine Corp.

CenterPoint Energy, Inc.

CMS Energy Corp.

Consolidated Edison Inc.

Covanta Holding Corp.

Dominion Resources Inc.

DPL Inc.

DTE Energy Co.

Duke Energy Corp.

Edison International

El Paso Corp.

Enbridge Energy Partners LP

Energen Corp.

EQT Corp.

First Solar Inc.

Hawaiian Electric Indus.

IDACORP, Inc.

Integrus Energy Group, Inc.

MDU Resources Group, Inc.

Northeast Utilities

NRG Energy, Inc.

NSTAR

NV Energy Inc.

OGE Energy Corp.

Pepco Holdings, Inc.

Pinnacle West Capital Corp.

PNM Resources, Inc.

Portland General Electric Co.

PPL Corp

Progress Energy Inc.

Public Service Entrp Group Inc.

Regency Energy Partners LP

SCANA Corp.

Sempra Energy

Southern Union Co.

Spectra Energy Corp.

Targa Resources Partners, LP

UGI Corp.

Vectren Corp.

Westar Energy, Inc.

Wisconsin Energy Corp.

Xcel Energy Inc.

Executive Compensation Peer Group

In 2012, we also reviewed compensation data for 12 natural gas providers as a secondary point of reference. The companies in this executive compensation peer group were selected based upon their size and business operations. With assistance from F.W. Cook, the following criteria were developed for this group:

Size must be roughly one-third to three times our size in two of the following categories:

assets,

Table of Contents

revenue, and

market capitalization.

Industry must be a traditional natural gas local distribution company (LDC) and must meet at least one of the following:

includes non-regulated businesses such as storage, pipeline, or construction services,

includes asset management/trading business similar to Sequent, or

conducts business in three or more states

For 2012, our executive compensation peer group companies were:

Atmos Energy Corp.

Centerpoint Energy Inc.

Integrus Energy Group, Inc.

New Jersey Resources Corp.

NiSource Inc.

ONEOK Inc.

Piedmont Natural Gas Co. Inc.

Sempra Energy

Southwest Gas Corp.

UGI Corp.

Vectren Corporation

WGL Holdings Inc.

To perform a more meaningful analysis of Mr. Tumminello's compensation as the president of Sequent, F.W. Cook used Towers Watson's Energy Trading and Marketing Survey, which included data more directly comparable to his position. This survey data included 16 companies having energy trading and marketing operations, many of which are included in both comparator groups detailed above.

Compensation Program Elements and their Purpose

Our executive compensation program comprises the following elements.

<i>Compensation Element</i>	<i>Overview/Objectives</i>
Base salary	<p>Fixed portion of an executive's annual compensation; is intended to recognize fundamental market value for the skills and experience of the individual relative to the responsibilities of his or her position.</p> <p>Foundation of our program; most other elements are determined as a percentage of base salary.</p>
Annual incentive award	<p>Annual cash incentive award is intended to vary as a direct reflection of Company, business unit, and individual performance.</p> <p>Target opportunities are a percentage of base salary and represent the amount of money to be paid if expected performance is achieved.</p> <p>Achievement of a performance hurdle is required for any payout and is designed to meet tax deductibility requirements under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code (which we refer to as the Code).</p> <p>Actual awards may range between 0% and 200% of target, based on actual performance against goals.</p> <p>To achieve a 200% award, performance must meet or exceed the maximum performance levels for all performance measures.</p>

Table of Contents

<i>Compensation Element</i>	<i>Overview/Objectives</i>
Long-term incentive awards (performance-based restricted stock units and performance share units)	<p>Stock-based incentives reward performance over a multi-year period, link executives interests to those of shareholders, and encourage retention.</p> <p>Performance measures include Plan EPS achievement for the performance-based restricted stock units and total shareholder return, relative to the performance of the executive compensation peer group, for the performance share units.</p> <p>Vesting schedules serve to encourage retention and further tie executives compensation to stock price appreciation for the vesting period.</p>
Employee health and welfare and retirement benefit plans	<p>Competitive levels of medical, retirement and income protection, such as life and disability insurance coverage, are provided.</p> <p>Executives participate in the same programs offered to all of our eligible employees.</p> <p>To maintain consistent retirement benefit levels, we also provide non-qualified retirement benefits to executives and other highly-compensated employees who are adversely affected by limits imposed on contributions and total benefits under our pension plan. The retirement plans available to the executives are described in more detail beginning on page 67.</p>
Severance and other termination payments	<p>Severance benefits are provided in the event an executive's employment is terminated in certain circumstances in connection with a change in control of the Company.</p> <p>Agreements provide security to executives so that they may focus on the Company and best interests of the shareholders during a transaction or potential transaction.</p>
Financial planning / tax return preparation perquisite	<p>Reimburse executives for up to \$18,000 per year for Company-mandated tax return preparation.</p> <p>The Company requires professional tax return preparation as a means of ensuring full tax compliance by our executives. To the extent that the entire amount is not used for tax preparation, it may be applied to financial or estate planning.</p> <p>We do not provide any other perquisites such as executive life insurance or country club memberships to our executives.</p> <p>Benefits such as temporary housing allowances or the temporary use of a company car may be provided in the event of relocation or other exceptional circumstances.</p>

Table of Contents

2012 Executive Compensation

Mix of Pay at Target Level

The compensation programs approved by the Compensation Committee for the executives are intended to include a strong link between pay and performance by placing a significant portion of compensation at risk. Our programs are structured so that a significant portion of targeted total direct compensation for our executives is contingent on our financial performance or share price, as well as individual performance. We believe this is fundamental to align executive pay with value-creation for our shareholders. Specific information regarding the amount of total direct compensation that is at risk for each of our executives is included in the tables in the following section.

Setting 2012 Total Direct Compensation Opportunities

When setting base salary and target amounts for annual and long-term incentives, the Compensation Committee examined each component of pay on both a stand-alone basis and as a total. Pay decisions are based on the Compensation Committee's business judgment, informed by the comparative data, professional advice and other considerations, including the individual executive's experience and performance, internal pay equity and mastery of position responsibilities. Unless otherwise noted, changes in total direct compensation for 2012 were driven primarily by competitive market adjustments.

Base salaries for the named executive officers were increased in December 2011 in connection with the Nicor Inc. merger, other than for Mr. Tumminello, who declined his approved increase due to the performance of our wholesale services business unit at that time. These base salaries were unchanged for 2012. In determining base salary, the Compensation Committee considered competitive market base pay levels, as reflected in the competitive data provided by F.W. Cook, its general assessment of the performance of our chief executive officer, and the performance assessments and recommendations for the other named executive officers presented to the Compensation Committee by our chief executive officer. Performance assessments for base salary were subjective, non-formulaic and were not based upon objective financial criteria.

Annual incentive target opportunities were unchanged from 2011 for each of the named executive officers.

Long-term incentive target opportunities were increased from 2011 for each of the named executive officers, other than Mr. Tumminello. The revised target opportunities were approved by the Board for the CEO and the Compensation Committee for the other named executive officers in December 2011 based upon their evaluation of total direct compensation in connection with the Nicor Inc. merger.

Table of Contents**Changes in Long-Term Incentive****Target Opportunity for 2012**

	From	To
John W. Somerhalder II	\$2,200,000	\$3,300,000
Andrew W. Evans	140% of base salary	160% of base salary
Henry P. Linginfelter	140% of base salary	160% of base salary
Paul R. Shlanta	85% of base salary	120% of base salary
Peter I. Tumminello	75% of base salary	75% of base salary

The following tables detail changes to total direct compensation opportunities for each executive for 2012. The accompanying pie charts break out the components of each executive's total direct compensation, with the shaded portions indicating pay at risk.

Mr. Somerhalder chairman of the board, president and chief executive officer

	From¹	To
Base Salary	\$ 876,000	\$ 919,000
Annual Incentive Target (% of base salary)	110%	110%
Long-term Incentive Target	\$2,200,000	\$3,300,000
Target Total Direct Compensation	\$ 4,039,600	\$ 5,229,900

Mr. Somerhalder's compensation, in general, is greater than that of our other executives, reflecting the level of his position and competitive market practice. The Compensation Committee determined that the difference in compensation between our chief executive officer and our other executives is appropriate, based upon the difference in duties and responsibilities.

Mr. Evans executive vice president and chief financial officer

	From¹	To
Base Salary	\$ 488,000	\$ 512,400
Annual Incentive Target (% of base salary)	65%	65%
Long-term Incentive Target (% of base salary)	140%	160%
Target Total Direct Compensation	\$ 1,488,400	\$ 1,665,300

Table of Contents*Mr. Linginfelter executive vice president, distribution operations*

	From¹	To
Base Salary	\$477,400	\$501,270
Annual Incentive Target (% of base salary)	65%	65%
Long-term Incentive Target (% of base salary)	140%	160%
Target Total Direct Compensation	\$ 1,456,070	\$ 1,629,128

Mr. Shlanta executive vice president, general counsel, and chief ethics and compliance officer

	From¹	To
Base Salary	\$ 403,200	\$ 423,360
Annual Incentive Target (% of base salary)	55%	55%
Long-term Incentive Target (% of base salary)	85%	120%
Target Total Direct Compensation	\$967,680	\$1,164,240

Mr. Tumminello executive vice president, wholesale services and president, Sequent

	From¹	To
Base Salary	\$ 345,000	\$ 345,000
Annual Incentive Target (based on % of incentive pool)	\$ 455,000	\$ 455,000
Long-term Incentive Target (% of base salary)	75%	75%
Target Total Direct Compensation	\$ 1,058,750	\$ 1,058,750

The design of Mr. Tumminello's annual incentive differs from that of our other executives because he leads our wholesale services business unit and serves as president of Sequent. Please see page 54 for an explanation of Mr. Tumminello's incentive program.

Table of Contents

¹ *Base salaries were increased in December 2011 in connection with the Nicor Inc. merger, other than for Mr. Tumminello, and remained unchanged for 2012. The annual and long-term incentive target percentages for 2011 were based on these pre-Nicor Inc. merger salaries.*

Annual Incentive Awards*Performance Hurdle*

Our annual incentive program is a subplan of the Company's Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan. For 2012, we adopted a performance hurdle for our annual incentive program to meet tax deductibility requirements under Code Section 162(m). Achievement of the performance hurdle allows the Compensation Committee to fund the program up to the maximum payout level established for each award, or to provide for a lesser amount based upon the annual performance goals established by the Compensation Committee, which are described below. Achievement of the

performance hurdle is required for any funding of the annual incentive program. The performance hurdle approved by the Compensation Committee for 2012 was Plan EPS of \$2.79. The definition of Plan EPS is provided below.

Annual Incentive Plan

The Compensation Committee approved performance measures for 2012 annual incentive awards derived from our annual operating plan and business strategy. Specific weights were assigned to the performance measures based upon each executive's role within the Company as listed in the table below. Weights assigned to these measures for Mr. Somerhalder did not change from 2011. In light of their added business unit responsibilities following the Nicor Inc. merger, each of Mr. Evans, Mr. Linginfelter, and Mr. Shlanta's business unit weighting was increased by 5%, with a corresponding decrease in their individual performance weighting. Mr. Tumminello's measures remained 100% based on business unit goals.

	Corporate	Business Unit	Individual
John W. Somerhalder II	50%	50%	0%
Andrew W. Evans	50%	40%	10%
Henry P. Linginfelter	40%	50%	10%
Paul R. Shlanta	40%	50%	10%
Peter I. Tumminello	0%	100%	0%*

* *Mr. Tumminello's range of opportunity under the business unit measure includes a consideration of individual performance. For more detail, please refer to page 54 and to Individual Measures on page 55.*

Table of Contents

1. Corporate Measure

The annual incentive plan uses a corporate performance measure, which we refer to as Plan EPS. While EPS is a common and understood metric, EPS determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) does not accurately reflect value created by the Company in a particular year because of the accounting mechanisms for our wholesale services business unit (Sequent). For compensation purposes, we seek to capture the EPS value in the period in which it is generated, regardless of the period in which it is reported for GAAP.

When determining 2012 Plan EPS, we reduced GAAP EPS for value created and credited for compensation purposes in 2011 which was reported in 2012. We also increased GAAP EPS for value created and credited for compensation purposes in 2012 that will be reported on a GAAP basis in future periods.

At the time performance goals were established, the Compensation Committee also provided that 2012 Plan EPS would exclude non-recurring items under GAAP (such as gains, losses and expenses) associated with any acquisition of a business, asset or interest that, during the applicable calendar year, causes a greater than \$.02 per share increase or decrease in EPS.

For 2012, the Compensation Committee approved a Plan EPS target amount of \$2.99, which:

was consistent with our initial published range of earnings guidance for 2012;
was expected to be an appropriate stretch target when considering our 2012 business objectives; and

took into consideration the anticipated volatility and treatment of earnings from our wholesale services business unit.

At target performance, the corporate component would pay out at 100%, and the business unit and individual components would pay out as earned.

The Compensation Committee also approved a budget Plan EPS of \$2.92, which must be met before any corporate performance component could be earned. At budget performance, the corporate component would pay out at 50%, and the business unit and individual components would pay out at 50% of the amounts earned based on actual business unit and individual performance outcomes.

Below budget performance, no corporate component would be paid, but as long as the \$2.79 Plan EPS performance hurdle was met, the business unit and individual components would pay out at 25% of the amounts earned based on actual business unit and individual performance outcomes, limited to \$8 million in the aggregate. This aggregate limit applied to all employees participating in the annual incentive plan, and not just the named executive officers.

Table of Contents

As shown in the table below, actual Plan EPS was \$2.59, which is below both the \$2.92 budget amount and the \$2.79 performance hurdle set for 2012. Accordingly, with the exception of Mr. Tumminello who participates in a separate program (as explained more fully on page 54), the named executive officers did not earn any component of the annual incentive awards for 2012.

	Performance Hurdle	Threshold	Budget (50%)	Target (100%)	150%	200%	Actual Plan EPS
GAAP EPS	\$ 2.75	\$ 2.80	\$ 2.88	\$ 2.95	\$ 3.05	\$ 3.15	\$ 2.32
Net adjustments to GAAP EPS	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.27(1)
Plan EPS	\$ 2.79	\$ 2.84	\$ 2.92	\$ 2.99	\$ 3.09	\$ 3.19	\$ 2.59
Funding % for payouts based on actual Business Unit and Individual Performance Outcomes	25% (capped at \$8 million)		50%	100%	100%	100%	

¹ Required adjustments to GAAP EPS:

Reduced for value created by wholesale services in 2011, and which will be reported on a GAAP basis in 2012 (\$3 million EBIT or \$0.02/share). This amount was included in the calculation of 2011 Plan EPS;

Increased for value we expected to be created by wholesale services in 2012, but which will be reported on a GAAP basis in future periods (\$12 million EBIT or \$0.06/share). In 2012, wholesale services actually created \$27 million EBIT or \$0.14/share; and

Increased to account for certain transaction costs relating to the merger with Nicor Inc. and an additional accrual for Nicor Gas performance-based rate program in 2012 (\$28 million EBIT or \$0.15/share).

Corporate performance results for 2012 are shown below.

Performance against 2012 Corporate Measure

Budget Plan EPS	Plan EPS	Resulting Corporate Payout Percentage
	GAAP EPS	\$ 2.32
	Net Adjustments	0.27
\$2.92	Plan EPS	\$ 2.59
		0%

Table of Contents*2. Business Unit Measures*

The business unit measures relate to the portion(s) of the business over which each executive has the most control and influence. The individual weightings assigned to each executive are summarized in the table below. The weights are intended to align with the responsibilities of each executive and to highlight the Company's focus on performance in distribution operations. Due to Mr. Evans' increased responsibility for non-regulated businesses following the Nicor Inc. merger, his weighting for non-regulated businesses was increased by 10% in 2012, with a corresponding decrease in the weighting for regulated businesses. The weightings for all other named executive officers remained the same for 2012. Mr. Tumminello's annual incentive award, which is determined differently from that of the other executives, is described on page 54.

Name	Business Unit Plan Earnings ¹			Total
	Regulated Business Distribution Operations	Non-Regulated Businesses	O&M Expense Less B&I ²	
John W. Somerhalder II	60%	30%	10%	100%
Andrew W. Evans	40%	40%	20%	100%
Henry P. Linginfelter	80%		20%	100%
Paul R. Shlanta	40%	30%	30%	100%

¹ Plan Earnings are based on EBIT, as defined below.

² O&M Expense less B&I is defined below.

Regulated and Non-Regulated Plan Earnings and O&M Expense Less B&I Measures based upon Regulated and Non-Regulated Plan Earnings and Operating and Maintenance expense, minus expenses related to Benefits and Incentives (O&M Expense less B&I) are calculated against pre-determined targets. Plan Earnings is defined as earnings before interest and taxes (EBIT) adjusted to include net economic value and includes annual incentive costs up to target. We consider Plan Earnings to be the best measure of performance for the business units because it measures profitability at the business unit level leading to EPS. The adjustments used to determine Plan Earnings are consistent with those used to determine our Plan EPS measure and are intended to more accurately reflect operating performance. O&M Expense less B&I reflects our ability to manage our cost structure, critical to meeting Plan

Earnings targets. Business unit goals, derived from the budget approved by the Board, were determined for each named executive officer, other than Mr. Tumminello, including a threshold, below which no award will be provided, and a maximum award of 200% of target. These performance ranges were set in a qualitative, non-formulaic manner, based upon a combination of historical performance and our expected performance for executives. The targets for 2012 are reflected in the table below.

Wholesale Services Plan Earnings The Wholesale Services Plan Earnings measure includes adjustments for economic earnings in the same manner as previously described for Plan Earnings. In the case of Mr. Tumminello, wholesale services EBIT is also subject to other adjustments for interest and other non-recurring items.

Table of Contents

The following table includes the business unit criteria for 2012, which were derived from the Board-approved budget for each executive's area of responsibility, and actual performance against those criteria.

Performance against 2012 Business Unit Measures

(In millions except percentages)

Measure	Threshold	50%	Goal	150%	200%	Actual	Resulting BU Payout Percent (1)
Plan Earnings							
Regulated Business -							
Distribution Operations	\$ 545.0	\$ 552.5	\$ 560.0	\$ 567.5	\$ 575.0	\$ 540.2	0.0%
Non-Regulated Businesses	\$ 143.6	\$ 156.4	\$ 169.0	\$ 180.4	\$ 193.1	\$ 155.7	0.0%
O&M Expense Less B&I							
John W. Somerhalder II	\$ 802.3	\$ 794.5	\$ 786.6	\$ 778.7	\$ 770.9	\$ 767.2	0.0%
Andrew W. Evans	\$ 319.6	\$ 316.3	\$ 313.1	\$ 309.9	\$ 306.6	\$ 286.9	0.0%
Henry P. Linginfelter	\$ 387.7	\$ 384.1	\$ 380.2	\$ 372.7	\$ 372.7	\$ 384.4	0.0%
Paul R. Shlanta	\$ 43.0	\$ 42.5	\$ 42.0	\$ 41.5	\$ 41.0	\$ 40.3	0.0%

¹ *Despite the performance against business unit measures noted in the table, no business unit component was earned for 2012 because the Company failed to achieve the Plan EPS performance hurdle.*

Business Unit Measure for Mr. Tumminello For 2012, 100% of Mr. Tumminello's annual incentive award was based on Sequent's Plan Earnings as adjusted for interest charges and one-time, non-recurring items. This is consistent with our philosophy of placing greater emphasis upon the cash compensation of members of our wholesale services business unit. As president of Sequent, Mr. Tumminello was eligible to receive an amount under our Omnibus Performance incentive Plan equal to 9.375% of an incentive pool established for employees of Sequent under the Sequent Incentive Plan (Sequent Plan), as if he were an actual participant in the Sequent Plan. The first 8.125% of the incentive pool under the Sequent Plan is regarded as target performance, and the remaining 1.25% may be earned based on Mr. Tumminello's

individual performance as assessed by our chief executive officer and approved by the Compensation Committee. After the merger with Nicor Inc., Mr. Tumminello took on responsibility for storage and fuels. To recognize this new responsibility, the Compensation Committee authorized an additional incentive for Mr. Tumminello having a target payout amount of \$50,000 (with up to \$100,000 maximum payout amount), which he was eligible to earn based upon the performance of Midstream Operations (storage and fuel) in 2012.

The Sequent incentive pool is funded based on a pre-determined formula. After a threshold Sequent Plan Earnings level is reached, the pool funds at an initial rate of 12% of Sequent Plan Earnings.

Table of Contents

For 2012, Sequent's Plan Earnings were sufficient to fund an incentive pool of approximately \$2.77 million. Mr. Tumminello's annual incentive payout amount was \$245,453 and was calculated as follows:

8.125% of Sequent Pool	\$ 225,453
Individual Performance	\$ 20,000
Midstream Performance	0
Total Incentive Payment	\$ 245,453

Though not subject to a hard cap, the size of the Sequent Plan incentive pool, and correspondingly Mr. Tumminello's annual incentive award, is constrained by a framework of established risk parameters including open position limits, value-at-risk limits, stop-loss limits, and credit limits. In addition, the Sequent Plan provides that if Mr. Tumminello's annual incentive award exceeds his base salary, then 50% of the overage is subject to mandatory deferral. Under this mandatory deferral provision, one half of the deferred amount is paid twelve months after the initial incentive payment, and the other half is paid twenty-four months after the initial payment. This deferral feature is intended to act as a retention vehicle.

The Compensation Committee has reviewed management's analysis of the Sequent Plan and determined that because of the operational limits on Sequent and the risk management oversight by the Company, the Sequent Plan does not incent excessive risk taking.

3. Individual Measures

Individual performance measures are subjective and relate to the manner in which the executive accomplishes his or her work during the year. The inclusion of subjective measures is intended to ensure that accomplishments are attained in a manner that is not purely financially driven, but also consistent with our culture and values and contribute to our long-term success. Awards for individual performance may fluctuate within an opportunity range of 0% to 200%. Our chief

executive officer presents to the Compensation Committee individual performance assessments of the other named executive officers and recommends for approval the individual performance result. As noted earlier, neither Mr. Somerhalder nor Mr. Tumminello had individual performance measures for 2012, although a portion of Mr. Tumminello's business unit award includes a consideration of individual performance. Because the Company failed to achieve the threshold Plan EPS goal for 2012, we did not certify achievement against the individual performance measures.

Discretion to Modify Awards

The Compensation Committee reserves the right to adjust performance objectives during the course of the year in order to reflect changes in the Company and our business. In determining the corporate performance components under our Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan, the Compensation Committee has the authority to: (i) exclude extraordinary one-time effects, which could increase or decrease award payments, if, in its business judgment, our Company and our shareholders are better served by that result; and (ii) exercise negative discretion against reported results which would serve to reduce an award otherwise due.

For 2012, the Compensation Committee did not exercise discretion in connection with the calculation of Plan EPS.

Table of Contents**Long-term Incentive Awards**

Two types of long-term incentive grants were awarded in 2012. Performance-based restricted stock units (representing 30% of each executive's target long-term incentive value), and performance share units (representing 70% of each executive's target long-term incentive value) were selected based on the following factors:

the impact each type of award has on shareholder value creation and executive motivation and retention;

competitive practice; and

balancing the cost of equity awards and the projected impact on shareholder dilution.

Performance-based restricted stock/units

Restricted stock units have a one-year measurement period with a Plan EPS performance hurdle. If the Plan EPS hurdle is met, the restricted stock units convert to an equal number of shares of restricted stock with three-year ratable vesting. These awards are designed to focus the executives on earnings per share and provide retention value during the vesting period. For 2012, this measure was identical to the performance hurdle used for annual incentive awards.

For 2012, the performance hurdle for restricted share units was not attained, and all such units were forfeited.

	Performance Hurdle (Plan EPS Goal)	Actual Result (Plan EPS Achieved)
	\$2.79	\$2.59

The following chart details the value of the approved restricted stock units as of the date of grant and the number of restricted shares actually issued following certification of 2012 performance.

Executive	Total Grant Date Value ¹	Actual Number of Shares Issued
John W. Somerhalder II	\$986,807	0
Andrew W. Evans	245,259	0
Henry P. Linginfelter	239,900	0
Paul R. Shlanta	151,690	0
Peter I. Tumminello	77,494	0

¹ Value as of date of grant, as reflected in the Grants of Plan Based Awards Table and in the Stock Awards column of the Summary Compensation Table.

Table of Contents*Performance share units*

Performance share units (PSUs) vest over a three-year period with a performance measure based upon Relative Total Shareholder Return (RTSR). RTSR is measured by ranking the relative stock price and dividend performance of our Company to the companies in the executive compensation peer group described on page 45. The use of RTSR as a performance measure requires executive focus that is aligned with the interests of

shareholders and provides diversity in our use of performance indicators.

For the purposes of determining PSUs, Total Shareholder Return is defined as:

Price_{begin} = share price at the beginning of the period

Price_{end} = share price at the end of the period

Dividends = total dividends paid for the period

$$\text{TSR} = (\text{Price}_{\text{end}} - \text{Price}_{\text{begin}} + \text{Dividends}) / \text{Price}_{\text{begin}}$$

Once the actual performance is computed, PSUs earned may increase or decrease from the original grant levels, depending upon our performance relative to our executive compensation peer group, according to the following scale:

TSR Rank	Percentile Rank	Shares Earned as Percent of Target Shares	
<3	0%	0%	
3	25%	50%	Threshold
4	33%	66%	
5	42%	84%	
6	50%	100%	Target
7	58%	116%	
8	67%	134%	
9	75%	150%	
10	83%	166%	
11	92%	184%	
12	100%	200%	Maximum

The resulting awards will be settled half in cash and half in shares. To promote officer share ownership, the cash portion must first be used to cover the taxes incurred from the total award.

During the most recently completed three-year performance period (2010-2012), the Company achieved an RTSR ranking of 18%, which is less than the threshold performance required to vest any of the PSUs. Accordingly, the PSUs granted in 2010 (having a 2010-2012 performance period) were forfeited, and no cash or shares were issued with respect to such awards.

Merger-Related Restricted Stock Awards. In recognition of their contributions to the Nicor Inc. merger closing and integration efforts, certain of our employees, including the named executive officers, were granted restricted stock awards in December 2011. The awards granted to the named executive officers vest ratably over a three-year period, provided that the Company achieves a 12-month average per customer O&M Expense of \$145 or less

Table of Contents

(adjusted to exclude rider and energy efficiency program expenses that have corresponding revenues associated with them). We established O&M Expense per customer as the performance measure for these awards because it closely aligns executive performance to a key measure of our success in improving service quality for customers and achieving overall efficiency of our operations following the close of the merger. This performance hurdle was achieved during the initial 12-month period, with an average per customer O&M Expense of \$143 (favorable to the \$145 hurdle), and the awards are now subject to a time-based vesting requirement. Because these awards were issued in 2011, they were reported in the 2011 Proxy Statement.

Retention grants

In July 2012, the Compensation Committee approved special retention grants of time-based restricted stock units to Messrs. Evans, Linginfelter and Tumminello to enhance the retention value of their outstanding equity. These grants were made as part of the Company's succession planning process. The target grant value for each of the three executives was \$600,000.

Continuity Agreements

Each of our executives has a change in control severance agreement, referred to as a Continuity Agreement. These agreements were approved by the Compensation Committee in October 2011 and have a term that runs from December 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013. These agreements superseded similar agreements that expired on November 30, 2011. Prior to approving the new agreements, the Compensation Committee reviewed the predecessor agreements in light of current market practices. The Compensation Committee approved changes to the terms and conditions of these agreements that are intended to

ensure that no severance payments or benefits under the agreements will be paid unless a change in control is actually consummated. Similar to the predecessor agreements, these agreements do not contain an excise tax gross up.

In adopting these agreements, the Compensation Committee determined that it is still appropriate to authorize such agreements because of the retentive value they would provide during critical periods relating to potential change in control. Tables disclosing the estimated costs associated with these agreements, and footnotes describing their principal terms, begin on page 70 under the heading Potential Payments Upon Termination of Change in Control.

Other Policies Governing our Executive Compensation Program

Grants of long-term incentive awards

The Compensation Committee generally grants long-term incentive awards on an annual basis at a regularly scheduled meeting, usually in late January or early February. The meeting date is scheduled well in advance and without regard to potential stock price movement.

The Compensation Committee adopted a stock option grant policy in 2006 which provides that in the ordinary course, stock option grants to executives, and annual stock option grants to all other key employees, will be authorized by the Compensation Committee at a regularly scheduled meeting. However, this policy also provides that the Compensation Committee may authorize grants through use of a unanimous written consent, in lieu of a meeting, but only when circumstances prevent the action from being taken at a regularly scheduled meeting. For example, the Compensation Committee used a unanimous written consent to authorize the restricted stock grants it approved in December 2011 in connection with the Nicor Inc. merger.

Table of Contents

Recoupment Policy

In 2011, the Compensation Committee adopted a compensation recoupment policy that became effective January 1, 2012. This policy provides that in the event that the Company is required to prepare an accounting restatement due to material noncompliance with financial reporting requirements under the U.S. securities laws, it will seek to recover from any current or former executive officer incentive-based compensation (including equity compensation) received during the three-year period preceding the date on which the accounting restatement was required to be made. The amount to be recovered is the excess of the amount paid calculated by reference to the erroneous data, over the amount that would have been paid to the executive officer calculated using the corrected accounting statement data. This compensation recovery would be applied regardless of whether the executive officer engaged in misconduct or otherwise caused or contributed to the requirement for the restatement.

Anti-Hedging Policy

Company policy prohibits directors and executive officers from engaging in hedging activities involving Company stock.

Accounting and tax treatment of direct compensation

Under current accounting principles, we do not expect accounting treatment of differing forms of awards to vary significantly. Accordingly, although accounting treatment is a consideration, we do not expect it to have a material effect on our selection of forms of compensation.

Section 162(m) of the Code places a limit of \$1,000,000 on the amount of compensation that we may deduct in any one year with respect to any one of our named executive officers, other than the CFO. However,

qualifying performance-based compensation will not be subject to the deduction limit if certain requirements are met. The Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan is designed to allow the Compensation Committee to grant incentive awards that will qualify for the performance-based compensation exemption from Section 162(m), such as the restricted stock units and performance cash awards that we have granted as part of our compensation program in recent years. The annual incentive program, as a subplan of the Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan, also allows annual cash incentive awards that are fully deductible by us under Section 162(m).

The Compensation Committee generally expects that awards under our long-term incentive programs and the annual incentive for executives will qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m). To maintain flexibility in compensating our executives, however, the Compensation Committee reserves the right to use its judgment to authorize compensation payments that may be subject to the limit when the Compensation Committee believes that such payments are appropriate.

Stock ownership

We maintain stock ownership guidelines designed to ensure sustained, meaningful executive share ownership, align executive long-term interests with shareholders, and demonstrate the commitment of our officers to enhancing long-term shareholder value. As chief executive officer, Mr. Somerhalder is encouraged to own shares of our common stock with a market value of at least five times his annual base salary, and our other executives three times their annual base salaries. The guidelines require that each executive retain at least 75% of net shares (after tax withholding) from their equity awards until the ownership requirements are met. In calculating compliance with the ownership guidelines, we include all of the stock owned

Table of Contents

by an executive, restricted stock and in-the-money value of vested stock options, and stock included in an executive's account under our Retirement Savings Plus Plan and Nonqualified Savings Plan. As of December 31, 2012, each of our named executive officers met the ownership guidelines.

2013 Compensation Program

As part of its on-going effort to enhance and refine the compensation program, the Compensation Committee has made several significant changes to the compensation program for 2013.

For executive officers, the Compensation Committee is changing the way that Plan EPS is calculated by adding an adjustment to reflect the economic value created in the plan period associated with transportation hedges at Sequent, similar to current plan adjustments that reflect the economic value of Sequent's storage positions. We believe that this change will allow us to more accurately consider the value created by the Company in a particular year. In addition, the performance hurdle for restricted stock units granted in 2013 is based on EBITDA and is no longer identical to the Plan EPS hurdle used with respect to the annual incentive plan. We believe that the addition of a new performance hurdle allows us to provide additional diversification of incentive criteria, particularly with respect to our annual awards.

Table of Contents**EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION****Compensation Paid to Named Executive Officers**

The Summary Compensation Table below reflects the total compensation earned by our chief executive officer, our chief financial officer and each of our three most highly compensated executive officers who served as an executive officer as of December 31, 2012. These five officers are our named executive officers.

Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary \$(1)	Bonus \$(2)	Stock	Option Awards \$(3)	Non-Equity	Change in	All Other Compensation \$(6)	Total (\$)
				Awards \$(3)		Incentive Plan Compensation \$(4)	Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings \$(5)		
John W. Somerhalder II Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer	2012	\$ 919,000	\$	\$3,288,944	\$	\$0	\$ 321,622	\$ 63,388	\$ 4,592,954
	2011	872,992		2,649,056		420,700	904,306	154,669	5,001,723
	2010	846,154		1,938,797		2,016,658	1,144,898	217,134	6,163,641
Andrew W. Evans Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer	2012	512,400		1,415,948		0	140,525	42,245	2,111,118
	2011	486,409		1,016,510		149,769	186,044	65,590	1,904,322
	2010	471,846		624,418		709,920	123,488	66,619	1,996,291
Henry P. Linginfelter Executive Vice President, Utility Operations	2012	501,270		1,397,810		0	186,959	29,584	2,115,623
	2011	475,812		994,048		136,307	258,814	53,099	1,918,080
	2010	461,423		610,527		684,562	186,803	52,697	1,996,012
Paul R. Shlanta Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Chief Ethics and Compliance Officer	2012	423,360		506,182		0	154,754	37,615	1,121,911
	2011	401,865		540,171		74,584	209,076	55,106	1,280,802
	2010	389,731		313,100		456,628	158,563	55,414	1,373,436
Peter I. Tumminello President, Sequent Energy Management, LP	2012	345,000		856,593		245,453	111,298	29,403	1,587,747
	2011	343,462		427,554		31,272	149,961	54,275	1,006,524
	2010	326,315	150,000	221,807		373,464	109,595	42,018	1,223,199

(1) For each of the named executive officers, includes salary that was eligible for deferral, at the election of the named executive officer, under our Retirement Savings Plus Plan and Nonqualified Savings Plan.

(2) The Company does not pay any discretionary bonuses to its named executive officers. All annual incentive awards for 2012 were granted under the Company's annual incentive plan, and such awards are included in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column. Immediately following the death of Douglas N. Schantz, our former president of Sequent, and prior to his promotion as Mr. Schantz successor, Mr. Tumminello received a one-time retention bonus of \$150,000 in 2010.

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

- (3) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of these awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are incorporated by reference to Note 7 – Stock-based and Other Incentive Compensation Plans and Agreements to the financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 6, 2013.

Table of Contents

- (4) For 2011 and 2010, reflects annual incentive compensation earned under our annual incentive plan and the payout of performance cash awards. For 2012, reflects compensation earned by Mr. Tumminello under his annual incentive award.
- (5) Reflects the aggregate change in the actuarial present value of the named executive officer's accumulated benefit under the Retirement Plan, which we refer to as the Pension Plan, and the Excess Plan, both of which are defined benefit plans, and for Mr. Somerhalder, under the terms set forth in his employment offer letter and restated in an individual agreement. None of the named executive officers received any interest on deferred compensation at an above-market rate of interest.
- (6) The following table reflects the items that are included in the All Other Compensation column for 2012.

All Other Compensation Detail

Name	Company Contributions to the Retirement Savings Plus Plan \$(a)	Company Contributions to the Nonqualified Savings Plan \$(a)	Dividends Paid on Restricted Stock Awards \$(b)	Perquisites (c)(\$)	Other Income (\$)	Total All Other Compensation (\$)
John W. Somerhalder II	\$ 11,050	\$ 36,738		\$15,600		\$ 63,388
Andrew W. Evans	11,050	15,595		15,600		42,245
Henry P. Linginfelter	11,050	10,151		8,383		29,584
Paul R. Shlanta	11,050	10,965		15,600		37,615
Peter I. Tumminello	6,363	7,440		15,600		29,403

- (a) Amounts of matching contributions contributed by the Company to the Retirement Savings Plus Plan and Nonqualified Savings Plan are calculated on the same basis for all plan participants in the relevant plan, including the named executive officers.
- (b) If eligible for dividend treatment, dividends are paid on shares of unvested stock at the same rate as on our other shares. Awards granted under the OPIP are not eligible for dividends until vesting restrictions lapse.
- (c) Reflects the incurred cost to the Company in connection with financial and tax planning benefits.

Table of Contents**Grants of Plan-Based Awards****2012 Grants of Plan-Based Awards**

The following table presents information concerning plan-based awards granted to each of the named executive officers during 2012.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards(1)			Estimated Future Payouts under Equity Incentive Plan Awards			All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock or Units (#)	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards (\$/Sh)	Closing Market Price on Date of Option Grant (\$/Sh)	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and Option Awards (\$)(5)
		Threshold (\$)	Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)	Thres-hold (#)	Target (#)	Max-imum (#)					
John W. Somerhalder II	02/17/12	505,450	1,010,900	2,021,800								
	02/17/12(2)				27,925	55,850	111,700					2,302,137
	02/17/12(3)					23,940						986,807
	07/30/12(4)							14,750				
Andrew W. Evans	02/17/12	166,530	333,060	666,120								
	02/17/12(2)				6,940	13,880	27,760					572,134
	02/17/12(3)					5,950						245,259
	07/30/12(4)							14,750				598,555
Henry P. Linginfelter	02/17/12	162,913	325,826	651,651								
	02/17/12(2)				6,785	13,570	27,140					559,355
	02/17/12(3)					5,820						239,900
	07/30/12(4)							14,750				598,555
Paul R. Shlanta	02/17/12	116,424	232,848	465,696								
	02/17/12(2)				4,300	8,600	17,200					354,492
	02/17/12(3)					3,680						151,690
Peter I. Tumminello	02/17/12	122,500	245,000	490,000								
	02/17/12(2)				2,190	4,380	8,760					180,544
	02/17/12(3)					1,880						77,494
	07/30/12(4)							14,750				598,555

- (1) Reflects annual incentive opportunity for 2012 under the annual incentive plan and the OPIP. As noted in the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table above and the related footnote for actual payouts for 2012 and the related narrative in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the named executive officers did not earn an annual incentive plan payout for 2012 because the applicable performance goals were not achieved.

- (2) Reflects performance share units granted under the OPIP with a 36-month performance measurement period that ends December 31, 2014. These units are payable 50% in shares of AGL Common Stock and 50% in cash.
- (3) Reflects restricted stock units granted under the OPIP with a 12-month performance measurement period that ended December 31, 2012. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, these awards were forfeited in February 2013 (when 2012 results were certified by the Compensation Committee) because the applicable performance goals were not achieved.
- (4) Reflects restricted stock awards granted under the OPIP with a three-year cliff vesting requirement.
- (5) Reflects the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards, which are based on target-level award and computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The assumptions used in calculating these amounts are incorporated by reference to Note 7 Stock-based and Other Incentive Compensation Plans and Agreements to the financial statements in our annual report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC on February 6, 2013.

Table of Contents**Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End**

The following table presents information concerning outstanding equity awards held by the named executive officers as of December 31, 2012.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2012 Fiscal Year End

Name	Date of Grant	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (#)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (\$)
John W. Somerhalder II	03/03/06	200,000		\$ 35.83	03/03/16	\$		\$	
	01/30/07	65,700		38.96	01/30/17				
	02/05/08	51,900		39.03	02/05/18				
	02/03/09	66,800		31.09	02/03/19				
	(1) 02/13/09					9,243	369,443		
	(2) 02/02/10							38,100	1,522,857
	(3) 02/02/10					10,886	435,113		
	(4) 02/07/11					16,350	653,510		
	(5) 02/07/11							38,150	1,524,856
	(6) 12/09/11					15,470	618,336		
(9) 02/17/12							23,940	956,882	
(10) 02/17/12							55,850	2,232,325	
Andrew W. Evans	01/03/05	5,700		33.24	01/03/15				
	09/27/05	24,000		36.56	09/27/15				
	02/01/06	19,400		35.78	02/01/16				
	01/30/07	18,900		38.96	01/30/17				
	02/05/08	17,400		39.03	02/05/18				
	02/03/09	23,780		31.09	02/03/19				
	(1) 02/13/09					3,290	131,501		
	(2) 02/02/10							12,270	490,432
	(3) 02/02/10					3,506	140,135		
	(4) 02/07/11					5,420	216,637		
(5) 02/07/11							12,660	506,020	
(6) 12/09/11					8,620	344,541			

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

	(9)	02/17/12						5,950	237,822
	(10)	02/17/12						13,880	554,784
	(11)	07/30/12				14,750	589,558		
Henry P. Linginfelter		02/01/06	6,100	35.78	02/01/16				
		01/30/07	5,300	38.96	01/30/17				
		06/15/07	7,100	40.20	06/15/17				
		02/05/08	14,500	39.03	02/05/18				
	(1)	02/13/09					2,997	119,790	
	(2)	02/02/10							12,000
	(3)	02/02/10					3,426	136,937	
	(4)	02/07/11					5,300	211,841	
	(5)	02/07/11							12,380
	(6)	12/09/11					8,430	336,947	
	(9)	02/17/12						5,820	232,625
	(10)	02/17/12						13,570	542,393
	(11)	07/30/12				14,750	589,558		

Table of Contents

Name	Date of Grant	Option Awards				Stock Awards			
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock that Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (#)	Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (\$)	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights that Have Not Vested (\$)
Paul R. Shlanta		10,300		35.78	02/01/16				
		9,900		38.96	01/30/17				
		8,700		39.03	02/05/18				
		11,840		31.09	02/03/19				
	(1)					1,640	65,551		
	(2)							6,150	245,816
	(3)					1,760	70,347		
	(4)					2,720	108,718		
	(5)							6,350	253,810
	(6)					5,090	203,447		
(9)							3,680	147,090	
(10)							8,600	343,742	
Peter I. Tumminello		4,500		33.24	01/03/15				
		5,500		35.78	02/01/16				
		4,400		38.96	01/30/17				
		3,500		39.03	02/05/18				
		4,970		31.09	02/03/19				
	(1)					687	27,459		
	(2)							3,140	125,506
	(3)					900	35,973		
	(7)							1,110	44,367
	(8)					313	12,511		
	(4)							2,050	81,939
(5)							4,790	191,456	
(6)					4,350	173,870			
(9)							1,880	75,144	
(10)							4,380	175,069	
(11)					14,750	589,558			

(1) Restricted stock units, having satisfied performance criteria for the applicable performance measurement period, converted to an equal number of restricted shares and vest at the rate of one-third per year, with vesting dates on March 1, 2011, March 1, 2012 and March 1, 2013.

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

- (2) Performance share unit awards having a performance measurement period related to the Company's relative total shareholder return, with the measurement period ending on December 31, 2012. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, these awards were forfeited in February 2013 (when 2012 results were certified by the Compensation Committee) because the applicable performance goals were not achieved.
- (3) Restricted stock units having satisfied criteria for the applicable performance measurement period, converted into an equal number of shares of restricted stock and vest at the rate of one-third per year, with vesting dates on March 1, 2012, March 1, 2013 and March 1, 2014.
- (4) Restricted stock units having satisfied criteria for the applicable performance measurement period, converted into an equal number of shares of restricted stock and vest at the rate

Table of Contents

of one-third per year, with vesting dates on March 1, 2013, March 1, 2014 and March 1, 2015.

- (5) Performance share unit awards have a performance measurement period related to the Company's relative total shareholder return, with the measurement period ending on December 31, 2013. Awards shall be payable 50% in shares of AGL Common Stock and 50% in cash.
- (6) Restricted shares having satisfied the applicable performance hurdle based on post-merger O&M Expense per customer and have a three-year vesting schedule.
- (7) Performance share unit award granted in connection with the appointment of Mr. Tumminello as president of Sequent. The performance measurement is related to the Company's relative total shareholder return, with the measurement period ending December 31, 2012. Awards shall be payable 50% in shares of AGL Common Stock and 50% in cash.
- (8) Restricted stock units granted in connection with the appointment of Mr. Tumminello as president of Sequent, having satisfied performance criteria for the applicable performance measurement period, converted to an equal number of shares of restricted stock and vest at the rate of one-third per year, with vesting dates on March 1, 2012, March 1, 2013 and March 1, 2014.
- (9) Restricted stock units having a performance measurement period related to earnings per share, with the measurement period ending December 31, 2012. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, these awards were forfeited in February 2013 (when 2012 results were certified by the Compensation Committee) because the applicable performance goals were not achieved.
- (10) Performance share unit awards have a performance measurement period related to the Company's relative total shareholder return, with the measurement period ending on December 31, 2014. Awards shall be payable 50% in shares of AGL Common Stock and 50% in cash.
- (11) Reflects restricted stock awards granted under the OPIP with a three-year cliff vesting requirement.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested

The following table presents information concerning stock options exercised by the named executive officers in 2012 and stock awards held by our named executive officers that vested in 2012.

2012 Stock Option Exercises and Stock Vested

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)(1)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$)(1)
John W. Somerhalder II			22,854	921,825
Andrew W. Evans			7,777	313,601
Henry P. Linginfelter	14,426	138,353	7,010	282,363

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

Paul R. Shlanta	3,887	156,742
Peter I. Tumminello	1,860	74,840

- (1) Represents the number of shares that vested in 2012 and the aggregate value of such shares based upon the fair market value of our common stock on the applicable vesting date.

Table of Contents**Pension Benefits**

The table below shows the present value of accumulated benefits payable to each of the named executive officers, including the number of years of service credited to each such named executive officer under our Pension Plan and Excess Plan, and, for Mr. Somerhalder, under terms set forth in an individual agreement. Assumptions used in the calculations are set forth in a table below the footnotes to the following table.

2012 Pension Benefits

Name	Plan Name(1)(2)	Number of Years Credited Service (#)	Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$)	Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$)
John W. Somerhalder II	Pension Plan	7	210,658	
	Excess Plan	7	1,260,299	
	Individual Agreement(3)	7	1,580,962	
Andrew W. Evans	Pension Plan	11	236,860	
	Excess Plan	11	421,418	
Henry P. Linginfelter	Pension Plan	32	601,697	
	Excess Plan	32	453,368	
Paul R. Shlanta	Pension Plan	15	373,246	
	Excess Plan	15	561,070	
Peter I. Tumminello	Pension Plan	9	204,813	
	Excess Plan	9	392,313	

- (1) The AGL Resources Inc. Retirement Plan, which we refer to as the Pension Plan, is a broad-based, tax-qualified defined benefit plan. Generally, all of our union employees who have a hire date on, or before, December 31, 2012, and all of our non-union employees who have a hire date on, or before, December 31, 2011 are eligible to participate in the Pension Plan, upon completion of one year of service and attainment of age 21. Plan benefits are determined, generally, by a career average earnings formula. Generally, the Pension Plan provides that the term "compensation" means base pay, overtime and bonuses. Benefits vest upon completion of five years of service. A participant's accrued benefit is calculated based upon the normal form of benefits for that participant, as of the date the participant will reach the Pension Plan's normal retirement age of 65. The normal form of benefits for a participant who is single is a life annuity. The normal form for a married participant is a joint and 50% survivor annuity. The Pension Plan provides for the payment of benefits in other forms, if the participant so elects. These other forms include various annuities, and only in cases where a participant's benefit is less than \$10,000, a single lump sum payment. A participant may elect to receive benefits earlier than normal retirement age, once the participant has reached the early retirement age of 55. If a participant elects to commence benefits earlier than normal retirement age, the monthly payments will be reduced to reflect the fact that payments may continue over a longer period of time than if the employee had retired at normal retirement age. If the participant satisfies the Pension Plan's requirements for early retirement (age 55

Table of Contents

with 5 years of service) the reduced amount is subsidized so that the reduction from the full normal retirement benefit is less severe than a full actuarial reduction. If the participant does not satisfy the early retirement criteria, the reduced payments represent the actuarial equivalent of the full normal retirement benefit.

- (2) The AGL Resources Inc. Excess Benefit Plan, which we refer to as the Excess Plan, is a non-qualified, and unfunded, defined benefit plan designed for the benefit of a select group of management or highly compensated employees. Specifically, the Excess Plan is available to our employees who have a hire date on, or before, December 31, 2011, who are adversely affected by limitations set forth in the U.S. tax code, imposed on benefits under a tax-qualified plan, such as the Pension Plan. Benefits under the Excess Plan are calculated pursuant to a formula that first determines what the participant's benefit would be under the Pension Plan, but for the imposition of the U.S. tax code limits and then subtracts from that figure, the amount the participant will actually be entitled to under the Pension Plan. Benefits under the Excess Plan are paid in the same forms available under the Pension Plan, and are distributed at the later of separation from service or age 62.
- (3) Mr. Somerhalder's individual agreement provides for one additional year of benefit accrual credit under the Pension Plan and Excess Plan for each year of service completed, up to a maximum of five additional years.

Pension Benefit Assumptions

We used the following assumptions in calculating the present value of accumulated benefits:

Retirement age:	Earliest unreduced
Payment form:	Life annuity
Discount rate:	4.60% at 12/31/2011 and 4.15% at 12/31/2012
Postretirement mortality:	Use of the RP-2000 mortality table, with mortality improvements projected for 18 years. The RP-2000 table (or Retired Pensioners Mortality Table) is the mortality table prescribed for the plans by the U.S. Treasury Department. To reflect more recent expectations in mortality rates, the table incorporates projected improvements in life expectancy, over a 10-year period.
Salary scale:	None
Preretirement decrements:	None

(mortality, withdrawals, disability)

Table of Contents**Nonqualified Deferred Compensation**

The table below relates to and describes compensation deferred by named executive officers under our Nonqualified Savings Plan.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation

Name	Executive Contributions in Last FY (\$)(1)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY (\$)(2)	Aggregate Earnings in Last FY (\$)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions (\$)	Aggregate Balance at Last FYE (\$)(3)
John W. Somerhalder II	\$ 64,330	\$ 36,738	\$ (11,173)	\$	\$ 1,543,386
Andrew W. Evans	25,620	15,595	88,988		819,166
Henry P. Linginfelter	15,038	10,151	71,264		597,936
Paul R. Shlanta	16,934	10,965	114,296		890,531
Peter I. Tumminello	11,446	7,440	152,214		1,327,283

- (1) All amounts set forth in this column are included in the Summary Compensation Table in the column for Salary.
- (2) All amounts set forth in this column represent Company contributions to our Nonqualified Savings Plan and are included in the Summary Compensation Table in the column for All Other Compensation.
- (3) Amounts set forth in this column for each named executive officer include amounts previously reported in the Summary Compensation Table, in the previous years when earned if that officer's compensation was required to be disclosed in a previous year. Amounts previously reported in such years include previously earned, but deferred, salary and annual incentive and Company matching contributions. This total reflects each named executive officer's deferrals, matching contributions and investment experience.

The Nonqualified Savings Plan allows eligible employees to defer up to 75% of base salary and up to 100% of annual incentive pay as before-tax contributions. The timing restrictions for contribution deferral elections are intended to comply with Section 409A of the U.S. tax code, as well as other applicable

tax code provisions. The Company matches contributions at a rate of 65% of participant contributions, up to the first 8% of the participant's covered compensation. However, matching contributions under the Nonqualified Savings Plan are offset by the maximum matching contributions the participant could receive under our tax-qualified Retirement Savings Plus Plan. Each participant in the Nonqualified Savings Plan has a plan account, which represents a bookkeeping entry reflecting contributions and earnings/losses on the actual performance of the participant's notional investments. Participants are always 100% vested in their own contributions and vest in employer matching contributions over a three-year period according to a vesting schedule. The vesting associated with employer matching contributions is based upon employment service with the Company and is not subject to vesting based upon when the contribution itself was made. Distributions of a participant's account balance occur following a termination of employment. Participants have the option of taking distributions, following termination of employment, in the following forms: (i) a single lump sum cash payment; (ii) a lump sum cash payment of a portion of the participant's account, with the remainder distributed in up to 10 equal annual installments; or (iii) between one and ten equal annual

Table of Contents

installments. The notional investment choices under the Nonqualified Savings Plan are very similar to the investment choices in the Retirement Savings Plus Plan.

Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control

We have entered into certain agreements and maintain certain plans that will require us to provide compensation and benefits to our named executive officers in the event of a termination of employment following a change in control of our Company. We do not otherwise maintain any agreement, plan or practice that specifically provides for compensation to a named executive officer upon termination of employment. The appropriate amount of compensation payable to each named executive officer in each relevant situation is listed in the tables below. Footnotes relating to all of these tables follow the last table on page 74.

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination of employment with the Company for John W. Somerhalder II, our chairman, president and chief executive officer.

Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination(1)	Potential Payments Upon Termination Other than in Connection with a Change in Control			Potential Payments Upon Termination Following a Change in Control Involuntary	Death or Disability
	Voluntary Termination (2)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (3)	For Cause Termination (4)	or Good Reason Termination (5)	
Cash Severance:					
Base Salary		(3)	\$	\$ 1,838,000	\$
Short-term Incentive				3,109,406	1,010,900
Long-term Incentives					
Unvested Restricted Stock				1,870,330	12,596
Unvested Restricted Stock Units	(2)			956,882	(6)
Unvested Performance Share Units	(2)			5,280,037	(6)
Unvested Stock Options					
Benefits & Perquisites:					
Post-retirement/Post-termination Health Care and Life Insurance				107,711	(6)
Disability Benefits					(6)
Death Benefit					(6)
Accrued Vacation Pay	31,812		31,812	31,812	31,812
Outplacement Assistance				229,750	
TOTAL:	(2)	(3)	\$ 31,812	\$ 13,423,928	(6)

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination of employment with the Company for Andrew W. Evans, our executive vice president and chief financial officer.

Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination(1)	Potential Payments Upon Termination Other than in Connection with a Change in Control			Potential Payments Upon Termination Following a Change in Control Involuntary or Good Reason Termination (5)	Death or Disability (6)
	Voluntary Termination (2)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (3)	For Cause Termination (4)		
Cash Severance:					
Base Salary	\$	(3)	\$	\$ 1,024,800	\$
Short-term Incentive				1,021,553	333,060
Long-term Incentives					
Unvested Restricted Stock				1,307,551	88,901
Unvested Restricted Stock Units				237,822	(6)
Unvested Performance Share Units				1,551,236	(6)
Unvested Stock Options					
Benefits & Perquisites:					
Post-retirement/Post-termination Health Care and Life Insurance				35,656	(6)
Disability Benefits					(6)
Death Benefit					(6)
Accrued Vacation Pay	1,971	1,971	1,971	1,971	1,971
Outplacement Assistance				128,100	
TOTAL:	\$ 1,971	(3)	\$ 1,971	\$ 5,308,689	(6)

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination of employment with the Company for Henry P. Linginfelter, our executive vice president, distribution operations.

Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination (1)	Potential Payments Upon Termination Other than in Connection with a Change in Control			Potential Payments Upon Termination Following a Change in Control	
	Voluntary Termination (2)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (3)	For Cause Termination (4)	Involuntary or Good Reason Termination (5)	Death or Disability (6)
Cash Severance:					
Base Salary	\$	(3)	\$	\$ 1,002,540	\$
Short-term Incentive				1,024,379	325,826
Long-term Incentives					
Unvested Restricted Stock				1,282,771	88,747
Unvested Restricted Stock Units				232,625	(6)
Unvested Performance Share Units				1,516,862	(6)
Unvested Stock Options					
Benefits & Perquisites:					
Post-retirement/Post-termination Health Care and Life Insurance				86,365	(6)
Disability Benefits					(6)
Death Benefit					(6)
Accrued Vacation Pay	7,230	7,230	7,230	7,230	7,230
Outplacement Assistance				125,318	
TOTAL:	\$ 7,230	(3)	\$ 7,230	\$ 5,278,090	(6)

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination of employment with the Company for Paul R. Shlanta, our executive vice president, general counsel and chief ethics and compliance officer.

Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination(1)	Potential Payments Upon Termination Other than in Connection with a Change in Control			Potential Payments Upon Termination Following a Change in Control	
	Voluntary Termination (2)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (3)	For Cause Termination (4)	Involuntary or Good Reason Termination (5)	Death or Disability (6)
Cash Severance:					
Base Salary		(3)	\$	\$ 846,720	\$
Short-term Incentive				729,735	232,848
Long-term Incentives					
Unvested Restricted Stock				380,248	4,144
Unvested Restricted Stock Units	(2)			147,090	(6)
Unvested Performance Share Units	(2)			843,368	(6)
Unvested Stock Options					
Benefits & Perquisites:					
Post-retirement/Post-termination Health Care and Life Insurance				99,512	(6)
Disability Benefits					(6)
Death Benefit					(6)
Accrued Vacation Pay	8,956	8,956	8,956	8,956	8,956
Outplacement Assistance				105,840	
TOTAL:	(2)	(3)	\$ 8,956	\$ 3,161,469	(6)

Table of Contents

The following table describes the potential payments upon termination of employment with the Company for Peter I. Tumminello, executive vice president, wholesale services and president, Sequent.

Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination(1)	Potential Payments Upon Termination Other than in Connection with a Change in Control			Potential Payments Upon Termination Following a Change in Control Involuntary	Death or Disability (6)
	Voluntary Termination (2)	Involuntary Not for Cause Termination (3)	For Cause Termination (4)	Reason Termination (5)	
Cash Severance:					
Base Salary	\$	(3)	\$	\$ 690,000	\$
Short-term Incentive				817,453	195,000
Long-term Incentives					
Unvested Restricted Stock				863,352	85,425
Unvested Restricted Stock Units				75,144	(6)
Unvested Performance Share Units				410,892	(6)
Unvested Stock Options					
Benefits & Perquisites:					
Post-retirement/Post-termination Health Care and Life Insurance				69,548	(6)
Disability Benefits					(6)
Death Benefit					(6)
Accrued Vacation Pay	3,317	3,317	3,317	3,317	3,317
Outplacement Assistance				86,250	
TOTAL:	\$ 3,317	(3)	3,317	\$ 3,015,956	(6)

Below is a description of the assumptions that we used in creating the tables above. Unless otherwise noted, the descriptions of the payments below are applicable to all of the above tables relating to potential payments upon termination or change in control.

Notes to Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control Tables

- (1) For purposes of this analysis, we assumed the executive's compensation as current base salary, target annual incentive opportunity and target long-term incentive opportunity, each as of December 31, 2012. Each column assumes the named executive officer's date of termination is December 31, 2012 and the price per share of our common stock on the date of termination is \$39.97.
- (2) If the executive leaves voluntarily prior to retirement eligibility, compensation stops as of the termination date. All outstanding and unvested long-term incentive awards would be forfeited. No further benefits would be earned under ERISA-qualified plans. Balances related to compensation deferred under the Nonqualified Savings Plan, if any, would be paid out in the year following the year of termination and at least 6 months following the date of termination, or later if the executive had timely elected. Prorated accrued and unused vacation would be paid.

Table of Contents

If the executive was retirement-eligible at the time of voluntary termination and elected to retire, in addition to commencing retirement benefits, he would be entitled to a prorated annual incentive under the annual incentive plan, conditioned on the Company's satisfaction of applicable performance goals, and prorated vesting of certain long-term incentive awards granted after May 3, 2011, conditioned on the Company's satisfaction of applicable performance goals. The satisfaction of such goals would be measured at the end of the performance period, and any payment would be made at that time. Due to the future performance measurement, the value of the unvested performance-based awards is not currently calculable. Any outstanding restricted stock awards with vesting conditions based solely on continued service would be forfeited. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, the restricted stock units granted in 2012 and the performance share units granted in 2010 were forfeited in February 2013 (when 2012 results were certified by the Compensation Committee) because the applicable performance goals were not achieved.

- (3) If the executive is terminated without cause, a severance agreement may be executed based upon the facts and circumstances of the termination and in exchange for a release of any future liabilities which might otherwise be claimed by the executive. Due to the wide range and variety of circumstances, there is no preset policy governing involuntary severance compensation. However, any terms of such a special agreement would be subject to the review and approval of the Compensation Committee. Upon such a termination, no further benefits would be earned under ERISA-qualified plans. Balances related to compensation deferred under the Nonqualified Savings Plan, if any, would be paid out in the year following the year of termination and at least 6 months following the date of termination, or later if the executive had timely elected. Outstanding long-term incentive awards would be forfeited and annual incentive would not be payable. The prorated value of accrued but unused vacation would be paid.

- (4) If the executive is terminated for cause, compensation stops as of the termination date. All outstanding long-term incentive awards would be forfeited. No further benefits would be earned under ERISA-qualified plans. Balances related to compensation deferred under the Nonqualified Savings Plan, if any, would be paid out in the year following the year of termination and at least 6 months following the date of termination, or later if the executive had timely elected. The prorated value of accrued but unused vacation would be paid.

- (5) If the executive is terminated without cause, or resigns for good reason, generally, within two years of a change in control (as described below) the terms and conditions described below under Payments upon a Termination in connection with a Change in Control would apply.

- (6) If the executive's employment terminates as a result of death, a death benefit would be paid to the executive's estate in an amount equal to the lesser of one year's base salary or \$250,000 from a Company-sponsored plan that covers all employees. That plan does not discriminate in favor of executives, or highly compensated employees. Upon a determination of long-term disability, payments would be made, based on the level of coverage elected and paid for by the executive, under our group disability plan. Our disability plan is also a plan that

Table of Contents

does not discriminate in favor of executives, or highly compensated employees.

In the event of death or disability, the executive (or the executive's designated beneficiary) also would receive a prorated annual incentive under the annual incentive plan, conditioned on the Company's satisfaction of applicable performance goals, and prorated vesting of all unvested stock options. In addition certain long-term incentive awards granted after May 3, 2011 would vest on a pro rata basis with performance conditioned on the Company's satisfaction of applicable performance goals. The satisfaction of such goals would be measured at the end of the performance period, and any payment made at that time. Due to the future performance measurement, the value of the unvested performance-based awards is not currently calculable. As noted in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section, no annual incentive payout was made in 2012 because the applicable performance goals were not achieved.

Balances related to compensation deferred under the Nonqualified Savings Plan, if any, would be paid out in the year following the year of termination, or later if the executive has so elected. The prorated value of accrued but unused vacation would be paid.

Payments upon a Termination in connection with a Change in Control

Each of the named executive officers has a continuity agreement with us, as referenced on page 58 in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis. The purpose of these agreements is to retain key management personnel and assure continued productivity of such personnel in the event of a change in control of our Company.

The continuity agreements define a change in control to generally mean the occurrence of any of the following events:

the acquisition by a person or group of persons of more than 50% of our voting securities, based upon total fair market value or total voting power;

the acquisition, within a twelve month period by a person or group of more than 35% of the total voting power of the stock of the Company;

the replacement, during a twelve-month period of a majority of members of our board of directors with directors not endorsed by a majority of the incumbent directors; or

the acquisition by a person or group of assets of the Company, having a fair market value of at least 50% of the fair market value of all Company assets, immediately before such acquisition.

Benefits are only provided under the continuity agreements in the event of a termination without cause or resignation for good reason within two years after a change in control, or after our announcement of our intention to engage in a transaction that is expected to result in a change in control, provided that a change in control actually occurs. No benefits are provided if a change in control does not occur, for any terminations that occur outside these periods, or for any termination for cause, resignation without good reason, or termination due to death or disability whenever they occur. Cause includes failure to perform duties and responsibilities, willful fraud, dishonesty or malfeasance that results in material harm to the Company, or a plea of guilty or no contest to a felony. Good reason includes a material diminution of position, duties or responsibilities; material diminution of base salary or annual incentive opportunity (unless consistent with a diminution for all executives at a comparable level), a material breach by

Table of Contents

the Company of any agreement under which the executive provides services; or a material change in the geographic location (at least 50 miles) of the executive's primary employment location.

An officer who has a qualifying termination event during the change in control period would be entitled to:

a severance benefit equal to two times the sum of his or her base salary plus the average annual incentive compensation actually paid during the three years prior to the year of the qualifying termination;

a prorated annual incentive compensation payment for the year of the qualifying termination, based on the number of days the named executive officer was employed by us during that year and the greater of the target annual incentive for the officer or the incentive that would be paid based upon actual performance through the date of termination;

two-year continuation of medical, dental and life insurance benefits;

potential vesting of long-term incentive compensation, pursuant to the terms of the plan the awards were granted under; and

outplacement assistance.

The executives may also receive reimbursement of legal fees in connection with the enforcement of payments under the continuity agreements.

If the payments under the continuity agreements and under any other compensation arrangement with the Company, were to exceed three times the base amount permitted under Section 280G(b)(3) of the U.S. tax code, the payments would be reduced and payable only to the maximum amount which could be paid without the imposition of the excise tax under Section 4999 of the U.S. tax code, unless the officer's payment of such excise taxes and all other applicable taxes on the full payment amount would result in him or her receiving a greater resulting amount, net of such taxes.

The continuity agreements contain covenants on the part of the executive relating to the maintenance of our confidential information and that require the executive to refrain from taking action that disparages the reputation of the Company or any of its subsidiaries or, for a period of 24 months following a qualifying termination, from soliciting employees of the Company or its subsidiaries.

Table of Contents*Summary of Potential Payments upon a Change in Control*

The following table summarizes the value of the payments that each of our named executive officers would receive as a result of the vesting of long-term incentive awards if a change in control occurred on December 31, 2012, the awards were *not* assumed or substituted by the successor company, and the executive did *not* incur a termination of employment. The amounts in the table exclude the value of long-term incentive awards that were vested by their terms on December 31, 2012. If the awards were assumed or substituted by the successor company in such an instance, then the awards will continue to vest pursuant to their original terms and no value would be received by our named executive officers.

	John W. Somershalder II	Andrew W. Evans	Henry P. Linginfelter	Paul R. Shlanta	Peter I. Tumminello
Stock Options	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$
Unvested Restricted Stock	1,870,330	1,307,551	1,282,771	380,248	863,352
Unvested Restricted Stock Units	956,882	237,822	232,625	147,090	75,144
Unvested Performance Shares	5,280,037	1,551,236	1,516,862	843,368	410,892
Total	\$ 8,107,249	\$ 3,096,609	\$ 3,302,258	\$ 1,370,386	\$ 1,349,388

Each column assumes the change in control occurred on December 31, 2012 and the price per share of our common stock on the date of termination is \$39.97.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides information as of December 31, 2012, with respect to the shares of our common stock that may be issued under our existing equity compensation plans:

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options,	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column(a))
	(a)(1)	(b)	(c)(1)
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	2,008,090	\$ 36.31	3,262,499
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	0	1,657,910
Total	2,008,090		4,920,409

Each column assumes the change in control occurred on December 31, 2012 and the price per share of our common stock on the date of termination is \$39.97. All awards were granted under our OPIP, which provides that such awards will only become vested and non-forfeitable immediately following the change in control (absent a qualifying termination of employment), if the surviving entity fails to assume or substitute for the awards.

Table of Contents

- (1) Includes shares issuable as follows:

Name of Plan	Approved		Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Outstanding Options)
	by Security Holders	Active/ Inactive Plan (2)		
Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated (OPIP)	Ö	Active	823,200	2,951,195(3)
Long-Term Incentive Plan (1999)	Ö	Inactive	1,184,890	0
2006 Directors Plan	Ö	Active	N/A	87,231
1996 Directors Plan	Ö	Active	0	0
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	Ö	Active	N/A	224,073
<i>Subtotal Approved Plans</i>			2,008,090	3,262,499
<i>Assumed Nicor Inc. Plan Shares under OPIP(4)</i>	No	Active	0	1,657,910
<i>Subtotal Not Approved Plans</i>			0	1,657,910
<i>Total</i>			2,008,090	4,920,409

- (2) No further grants will be made under the inactive plan except for reload options that may be granted under outstanding option agreements under the 1999 Long-Term Incentive Plan.
- (3) The Omnibus Performance Incentive Plan, as Amended and Restated (OPIP), includes separate pools of shares for share counting purposes. The amount shown in the table above includes 1,000,000 shares which are available for future issuance as awards pursuant to stock options or stock appreciation rights under the Remainder Reserve. If issued pursuant to full value awards (which include awards other than stock options or stock appreciation rights), only 200,000 shares could be issued under the Remainder Reserve. In such event, the number of securities remaining available for future issuance under the OPIP, the subtotal for approved plans, and the total each would decrease by 800,000 shares.
- (4) Pursuant to the terms of the OPIP, which was approved by our security holders, shares available under a stockholder-approved plan of a company acquired by the Company (as appropriately adjusted to reflect the transaction) may be issued under the OPIP pursuant to awards granted to individuals who were not employees of the Company or a related company immediately before such transaction. These assumed shares do not count against the maximum share limitation specified in the OPIP. Such assumption of shares in a merger does not require approval of our security holders under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange or otherwise. The shares designated as Assumed Nicor Inc. Plan Shares in the table above remained available under the Nicor Inc. 2006 Long-Term Incentive Plan, as amended, at the time of our merger with Nicor Inc. These shares were assumed under the OPIP and are available for future issuance to persons who were not employees of the Company or a related company immediately prior to our merger with Nicor Inc.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010, or the Dodd-Frank Act, enables our shareholders to vote to approve, on an advisory (non-binding) basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the SEC's rules.

As described in detail under the heading "Executive Compensation Compensation Discussion and Analysis," our executive compensation programs are designed to attract, motivate and retain our named executive officers, who are critical to our success. Under these programs, our named executive officers are rewarded for the achievement of specific annual, long-term and strategic goals, corporate goals and the realization of increased shareholder value. Please read the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" beginning on page 38 for additional details about our executive compensation programs, including information about the fiscal year 2012 compensation of our named executive officers.

The Compensation and Management Development Committee reviews the compensation programs for our named executive officers in an effort to achieve the desired goals of aligning our executive compensation structure with Company performance, our shareholders' interests and current market practices. We believe that this alignment motivates our executives to achieve our key financial and strategic goals, creating long-term shareholder value.

We are asking our shareholders to indicate their support for our named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy

statement. This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives our shareholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers' compensation. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement. Accordingly, we are asking our shareholders to vote "FOR" the following resolution at the annual meeting:

RESOLVED, that the Company's shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the accompanying compensation tables and the related narrative disclosure.

The say-on-pay vote is advisory and therefore not binding on the Company, the Compensation and Management Development Committee or our board of directors. Our board of directors and our Compensation and Management Development Committee value the opinions of our shareholders. Following the annual meeting, we will consider our shareholders' concerns and the Compensation and Management Development Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns, particularly in the event that there is a significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT SHAREHOLDERS VOTE FOR THE APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF OUR NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS, AS DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY STATEMENT.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 4 SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL: GENDER IDENTITY NON-DISCRIMINATION POLICY

The Company has received the following shareholder proposal. Pursuant to Rule 14a-8(l)(1) of the Exchange Act, the Company will provide the name, address and number of securities held by the proponents of this proposal to any shareholder upon receipt of a written or oral request. You may contact our Corporate Secretary for this information at AGL Resources Inc., Attn: Corporate Secretary, P.O. Box 4569, Location 1466, Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4569.

The Company is not responsible for the contents of this proposal or supporting statement, both of which are quoted verbatim in italics below.

What is the proposal?

Whereas:

We believe that corporations that prohibit discrimination on the basis of sexual orientation and gender identity have a competitive advantage in recruiting and retaining employees from the widest talent pool;

AGL does not explicitly prohibit discrimination or harassment based on gender identity in its written employment policies;

According to the Human Rights Campaign, the nation's largest LGBT (lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender) advocacy group, a majority of Fortune 100 companies have nondiscrimination and harassment policies covering gender identity, as do 41% of Fortune 500 companies;

According to analysis of surveys conducted by the Williams Institute at the UCLA School of Law, 16 to 68

percent of lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender people report experiencing employment discrimination;

Fifteen states and the District of Columbia have laws prohibiting employment discrimination based on gender identity; about 166 cities and counties prohibit employment discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity;

Twenty-two Fortune 1000 companies headquartered in Georgia, where AGL is headquartered, have policies that prohibit discrimination based on gender identity;

National public opinion polls consistently find more than three quarters of the American people support equal rights in the workplace for LGBT (lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender) employees. For example, in a 2011 poll conducted by Greenberg Quinlan Rosner Research, a vast majority (77 percent) of voters support protecting LGBT people from discrimination in employment, reaching across party and ideological lines to include 70 percent of self-identified Republicans and 67 percent of conservatives. Support was strong even among groups who tend to be less supportive of LGBT issues, such as seniors (69 percent among voters over age 65), those with a high school degree or less (68 percent), observant Christians (77 percent), born-again Christians (74 percent), and residents of the Deep South (72 percent).

Resolved: The Shareholders request that AGL amend its written equal employment opportunity policy to explicitly prohibit discrimination based on gender identity and to substantially implement the policy.

Supporting Statement: Employment discrimination on the basis of gender

Table of Contents

identity diminishes employee morale and productivity. Because state and local laws are inconsistent with respect to employment discrimination, AGL would benefit from a consistent, corporate wide policy to enhance efforts to prevent discrimination, resolve complaints

internally, and ensure a respectful and supportive atmosphere for all employees. AGL will enhance its competitive edge by joining the growing ranks of companies guaranteeing equal opportunity for all employees.

What does the board recommend?

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT YOU VOTE AGAINST

THIS PROPOSAL FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

As an equal opportunity employer, the Company is committed to operating its business in full compliance with all applicable laws and regulations and providing a workplace free from discrimination and harassment of any kind. The Company has a zero tolerance policy for any conduct that is intended to or has the effect of creating an intimidating, hostile or offensive work environment and we specifically reference sex and sexual orientation among a number of protected categories. The Company's current policies fully address the concerns raised by this proposal.

More specifically, the Company's Policy on a Safe and Discrimination-Free Workplace expressly prohibits discrimination, sexual harassment or other unlawful harassment based on race, creed, religion, color, sex, sexual orientation, marital status, age, national

origin, genetic information, disability, veteran status or other protected status. The Company reinforces the provisions of its policy on an ongoing basis through periodic, mandatory training and through employee communications and workplace postings. In addition, the Company also provides a 24-hour, toll-free number for employees where they can anonymously report compliance issues or concerns.

The board shares the proponent's interest in preventing discrimination and harassment on the basis of gender identity and believes that the Company's strong anti-discrimination policies and strict enforcement of its zero tolerance policy make the requested amendment to those policies unnecessary. The board of directors recommends a vote **AGAINST** this proposal.

Table of Contents

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Mr. Armando J. Olivera, a member of the Board, was President and Chief Executive Officer of Florida Power & Light Company (FP&L), a wholly owned subsidiary of NextEra Energy, Inc. (NextEra) and an executive officer of NextEra until his retirement in May 2012. FP&L and NextEra Energy Power Marketing LLC (NEPM), a subsidiary of NextEra, each entered into a Base Contract for Sale and Purchase of Natural Gas, dated as of June 1, 2004, with Sequent Energy Management, LP, a subsidiary of the Company. NEPM also entered into a Base Contract with Northern Illinois Gas Company d/b/a Nicor Gas Company, a subsidiary of the Company, as of May 15, 2011, and obtains various utility hub services from Nicor Gas Company. These Base Contract for Sale and Purchase of Natural Gas agreements are referred to as the Agreements. The Company entered into the Agreements prior to Mr. Olivera s designation for nomination and election to the Board and prior to our merger with Nicor Inc., the former parent company of Nicor Gas Company. There have been no amendments to the Agreements since Mr. Olivera s designation to the Board. Mr. Olivera was not involved in any negotiations of the Agreements and was not involved in the day to day transactions that are the subject of the Agreements.

Pursuant to the terms of the Agreements, the Company paid FP&L and NextEra an aggregate of approximately \$3,704,984 in 2010, \$6,165,950 in 2011 and \$17,155,415 in 2012, and FP&L and NextEra paid the Company an aggregate of approximately \$48,353,190 in 2010, \$70,498,994 in 2011 and \$80,108,030 in 2012. The payments made or received by the Company and FP&L, respectively, pursuant to the Agreements, did

not exceed 2% of NextEra s consolidated gross revenues in each of the last three fiscal years (which is the standard as set forth in our Standards for Determining Director Independence or Independence Standards). However, the payment made by FP&L and NextEra to the Company in 2012 exceeded 2% of the Company s consolidated gross revenues for 2012 by 0.04%, which under our Independence Standards, creates a presumption of materiality. Based on all the relevant facts and circumstances from the standpoint of each of Mr. Olivera and NextEra, the Board determined that Mr. Olivera meets the Company s Independence Standards. For more information on our policy on transactions with related persons, please see Corporate Governance Policy on Related Person Transactions.

The Vanguard Group, Inc. was a beneficial owner of more than 5% of the Company s common stock during 2012. The Vanguard Group, Inc. or its subsidiaries (Vanguard) and certain subsidiaries of the Company are parties to several transactions. Vanguard serves as the trustee and recordkeeper for the Nicor Companies Savings Investment Plan, the Nicor Gas Thrift Plan and the Birdsall Retirement Savings Plan (the 401(k) Plans). Vanguard also serves as the recordkeeper for the Nicor Companies Pension and Retirement Plan (the Retirement Plan).

During 2012, Vanguard was paid approximately \$1,145,137 for trustee, recordkeeping and asset management services for the 401(k) Plans based on Plan assets as of December 31, 2012 and approximately \$186,948 as recordkeeper for the Retirement Plan.

Table of Contents

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires our directors and certain of our officers, including executive officers, and any person who owns more than 10% of our common stock to file reports of initial common stock ownership and changes in common stock ownership with the SEC and the New York Stock Exchange. Such persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file.

To our knowledge, based solely on our review of the copies of such reports received by us and written representations that no other

reports were required for those persons, during 2012, all filing requirements were met except for a report on Form 4 filed on February 7, 2012 of an acquisition of common stock equivalents under the Company's Nonqualified Savings Plan for Mr. Somerhalder due to administrative constraints and reports on Form 4 filed on October 24, 2012 and February 22, 2013 each of an acquisition of common stock for Bryan Seas due to administrative constraints.

Table of Contents

GENERAL INFORMATION

2012 Annual Report

A copy of our 2012 annual report is available on the internet at www.proxyvote.com and at our web site at www.aglresources.com. The annual report, which contains financial and other information about us, is not incorporated in this proxy statement and is not a part of the proxy soliciting material.

Availability of Corporate Governance Documents

Our Standards for Determining Director Independence, our Corporate Governance

Guidelines, our Code of Business Conduct, our Code of Ethics, and the charters of each of our board committees are available on our web site at www.aglresources.com and are available in print to any shareholder who requests them. You may contact our Corporate Secretary for copies at:

AGL Resources Inc.

Attn: Corporate Secretary

P.O. Box 4569, Location 1466

Atlanta, Georgia 30302-4569

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Ten Peachtree Place, N.E., Atlanta, Georgia 30309, aglresources.com

Table of Contents

AGL RESOURCES INC.

MYRA C. BIERRIA

10 PEACHTREE PLACE, LOCATION 1466

ATLANTA, GA 30309

Your telephone or Internet vote authorizes the proxies to vote these shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card.

If you vote by Phone or Internet, please do not mail your Proxy Card.

VOTE BY INTERNET - www.proxvvote.com

Use the Internet to transmit your voting instructions and for electronic delivery of information up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on April 29, 2013 (or 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on April 26, 2013 for shares allocated to your account under one of the Company's 401(k) plans). Have your proxy card in hand when you access the web site and follow the instructions to obtain your records and to create an electronic voting instruction form.

ELECTRONIC DELIVERY OF FUTURE PROXY MATERIALS

If you would like to reduce the costs incurred by our company in mailing proxy materials, you can consent to receiving all future proxy statements, proxy cards and annual reports electronically via e-mail or the Internet. To sign up for electronic delivery, please follow the instructions above to vote using the Internet and, when prompted, indicate that you agree to receive or access proxy materials electronically in future years.

VOTE BY PHONE - 1-800-690-6903

Use any touch-tone telephone to transmit your voting instructions up until 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on April 29, 2013 (or 11:59 P.M. Eastern Time on April 26, 2013 for shares allocated to your account under one of the Company's 401(k) plans). Have your proxy card in hand when you call and then follow the instructions.

VOTE BY MAIL

Mark, sign and date your proxy card and return it in the postage-paid envelope we have provided or return it to Vote Processing, c/o Broadridge, 51 Mercedes Way, Edgewood, NY 11717.

TO VOTE, MARK BLOCKS BELOW IN BLUE OR BLACK INK AS FOLLOWS:

M56077-P33517-Z59586

KEEP THIS PORTION FOR YOUR RECORDS

THIS PROXY CARD IS VALID ONLY WHEN SIGNED AND DATED.

AGL RESOURCES INC.

For All **Withhold All** **For All Except**

To withhold authority to vote for any individual nominee(s), mark **For All Except** and write the number(s) of the nominee(s) on the line below.

The Board of Directors recommends that you

vote FOR on Items 1, 2 and 3.

Vote on Directors

1. Election of Directors:

.. _____

Nominees:

- 01) Sandra N. Bane
- 02) Thomas D. Bell, Jr.
- 03) Norman R. Bobins
- 04) Charles R. Crisp
- 05) Brenda J. Gaines
- 06) Arthur E. Johnson
- 07) Wyck A. Knox, Jr.
- 08) Dennis M. Love
- 09) Charles H. Pete
- 10) Dean R. O Hare
- 11) Armando J. Olivera
- 12) John E. Rau
- 13) James A. Rubright
- 14) John W. Somerhalder II
- 15) Bettina M. Whyte
- 16) Henry C. Wolf

Vote on Proposals

For **Against** **Abstain**

The Board of Directors recommends you vote AGAINST the following proposal:

For **Against** **Abstain**

2. The ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2013.

..

4. Shareholder proposal regarding gender identity.

..

NOTE: Such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof.

3. The approval of a non-binding resolution to approve the compensation of our named executive officers.

..

THIS PROXY, WHEN PROPERLY EXECUTED, WILL BE VOTED AS DIRECTED OR, IF NO DIRECTION IS GIVEN, WILL BE VOTED FOR THE LISTED NOMINEES, FOR PROPOSALS 2 AND 3, AND AGAINST PROPOSAL 4.

For address changes and/or comments, please check this box and write them on the back where indicated.

.. ..

Please indicate if you plan to attend this meeting.

.. ..

Yes **No**

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

Please sign name(s) exactly as shown below. When signing as executor, administrator, trustee or guardian, give full title as such; when shares have been issued in names of two or more persons, all should sign.

Signature [PLEASE SIGN WITHIN BOX]

Signature (Joint Owners)

Date

Table of Contents

**Please present this admission ticket and valid picture identification
for admission to the Annual Meeting**

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting:

The Notice and Proxy Statement, Annual Report/10-K Wrap are available at www.proxyvote.com.

Please detach here

M56078-P33517-Z59586

AGL Resources Inc.

ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

Tuesday, April 30, 2013

10:00 a.m. Eastern Time

10 Peachtree Place

Atlanta, Georgia 30309

Revocable Proxy - Common Stock

THIS PROXY IS SOLICITED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS FOR THE 2013 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

The undersigned hereby appoints John W. Somerhalder II, Paul R. Shlanta and Andrew W. Evans, and each of them, proxies, with full power of substitution, to act for and in the name of the undersigned, to vote all shares of Common Stock of AGL Resources Inc. (the Company) that the undersigned is entitled to vote at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company, to be held on Tuesday, April 30, 2013, and at any and all adjournments or postponements thereof, as indicated on the reverse side of this card.

The undersigned hereby appoints Merrill Lynch Bank & Trust Co., FSB, which acts as Trustee for the AGL Resources Inc. Retirement Savings Plus Plan (the AGL 401(k) Plan) or The Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company, which acts as Trustee for the (1) Nicor Companies Savings Investment Plan, (2) Nicor Gas Thrift Plan and (3) Birdsall, Inc. Retirement Savings Plan (collectively the Nicor 401(k) Plans), as proxy, to act for and in the name of the undersigned, to vote all shares of Common Stock of the Company that have been allocated to the account of the undersigned under the AGL 401(k) Plan or Nicor 401(k) Plans, as applicable, at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company, to be held on Tuesday, April 30, 2013, and at any and all adjournments or postponements thereof, as indicated on the reverse side of this card. Under the terms of the AGL 401(k) Plan or Nicor 401(k) Plans, only the Trustee of the plan can vote the shares allocated to the accounts of the participants, even if such participants or their beneficiaries attend the Annual Meeting in person.

Edgar Filing: AGL RESOURCES INC - Form DEF 14A

Receipt of the Notice of the Annual Meeting, the accompanying Proxy Statement and the 2012 Annual Report to Shareholders is hereby acknowledged.

PLEASE VOTE, DATE AND SIGN ON REVERSE AND RETURN PROMPTLY IN THE ENCLOSED POSTAGE-PAID ENVELOPE.

Your telephone or Internet vote authorizes the proxies to vote the shares in the same manner as if you marked, signed and returned your proxy card.

Address Changes/Comments:

(If you noted any Address Changes/Comments above, please mark corresponding box on the reverse side.)

See reverse for voting instructions.