

UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.

Form 10-K

March 08, 2013

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES**  
**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

**FORM 10-K**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 001-33251

**UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)  
**1110 West Commercial Blvd., Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309**  
(Address of principal executive offices)

**65-0231984**  
(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (954) 958-1200**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

<b>Title of each class</b>	<b>Name of each exchange on which registered</b>
<b>Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value</b>	<b>NYSE MKT LLC</b>

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:**

**None.**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).  Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller Reporting Company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act).  Yes  No

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates computed by reference to the price at which the common equity was sold as of June 30, 2012: \$87,393,236.

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of Common Stock of Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. as of March 1, 2013: 40,733,905

**Table of Contents**

**UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	Page No.
<b><u>PART I</u></b>	
Item 1. <u>Business</u>	2
Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>	8
Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	14
Item 2. <u>Properties</u>	14
Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>	14
Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	14
<b><u>PART II</u></b>	
Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Released Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	14
Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>	16
Item 7. <u>Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	17
Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	42
Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	44
Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	79
Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>	79
Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>	79
<b><u>PART III</u></b>	
Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	79
Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>	80
Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	80
Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	80
Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	80
<b><u>PART IV</u></b>	
Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>	80
<u>Signatures</u>	84
Exhibit 21: List of Subsidiaries	
Exhibit 23.1: CONSENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	
Exhibit 31.1: CERTIFICATION	
Exhibit 31.2: CERTIFICATION	
Exhibit 32: CERTIFICATION	

**DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Information called for in PART III of this Form 10-K is incorporated by reference to the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed within 120 days of the close of the registrant's fiscal year in connection with the registrant's annual meeting of shareholders.

**Table of Contents****NOTE ABOUT FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS**

*This report contains, in addition to historical information, forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The forward-looking statements anticipate results based on our estimates, assumptions and plans that are subject to uncertainty. Forward-looking statements may appear throughout this report, including without limitation, the following sections: Business, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and Risk Factors. These forward-looking statements may be identified by their use of words like plans, seeks, expects, will, anticipate, estimates, intends, believes, likely, targets and other words with similar meanings. These statements may address, among other things, our strategy for growth, catastrophe exposure management, product development, investment results, regulatory approvals, market position, expenses, financial results, litigation and reserves. We believe that these statements are based on reasonable estimates, assumptions and plans. However, if the estimates, assumptions or plans underlying the forward-looking statements prove inaccurate or if other risks or uncertainties arise, actual results could differ materially from those communicated in these forward-looking statements. A detailed discussion of risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results and events to differ materially from such forward-looking statements is included in the section titled Risk Factors (Part I, Item 1A of this report). We undertake no obligation to update or revise publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events, or otherwise.*

**PART I****ITEM 1. BUSINESS  
THE COMPANY**

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. ( UIH ) is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in November 1990. The name was changed to Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. in January 2001. UIH and its wholly-owned subsidiaries ( we or the Company ) have evolved into a vertically integrated insurance holding company. Our insurance products are offered to our customers through Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company ( UPCIC ) and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company ( APPCIC ), collectively referred to as the Insurance Entities . Substantially all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims processing are covered through our subsidiaries. Our principal executive offices are located at 1110 West Commercial Boulevard, Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33309, and our telephone number is (954) 958-1200.

In 1997, we organized a subsidiary, UPCIC, as part of our strategy to take advantage of what management believed to be profitable business and growth opportunities in the residential property and casualty insurance marketplace. UPCIC was formed to participate in the transfer of homeowners insurance policies from the Florida Residential Property and Casualty Joint Underwriting Association ( JUA ). UPCIC's application to become a Florida licensed property and casualty insurance company was filed with the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation ( OIR ) on May 14, 1997 and approved on October 29, 1997. UPCIC's proposal to begin operations through the acquisition of homeowners insurance policies issued by the JUA was approved by the JUA on May 21, 1997, subject to certain minimum capitalization and other requirements.

In September 2006, we initiated the process of acquiring all of the outstanding common stock of Atlas Florida Financial Corporation, which owned all of the outstanding common stock of Sterling Premium Finance Company, Inc. ( Sterling ), from certain of our executive officers for \$50,000, which approximated Sterling's book value. We received approval of the acquisition from the OIR. Sterling has been renamed Atlas Premium Finance Company and commenced offering premium finance services in November 2007.

Blue Atlantic Reinsurance Corporation ( BARC ) was incorporated in Florida on November 9, 2007 as a wholly owned subsidiary of UIH to be a reinsurance intermediary broker. BARC became licensed by the Florida Department of Financial Services as a reinsurance intermediary broker on January 4, 2008.

We filed an application with the OIR on June 23, 2008 to open a second property and casualty insurance subsidiary, Infinity Property and Casualty Insurance Company ( Infinity ), in Florida. Infinity was renamed APPCIC. On October 1, 2008, we signed a consent order agreeing to the terms and conditions for the issuance of a certificate of authority to APPCIC. The final approval and issuance of the certificate of authority was granted by the OIR on December 2, 2008.

**INSURANCE BUSINESS**

The Florida Insurance Code currently requires that residential property insurers holding a certificate of authority before July 1, 2011, such as our Insurance Entities, maintain capitalization equivalent to the greater of ten percent of the insurer's total liabilities or \$5 million referred to as

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

minimum capitalization . The dollar amount for the minimum capitalization is scheduled to increase to \$10 million on July 1, 2016 and then to \$15 million on July 1, 2021. Both Insurance Entities statutory capital and surplus exceeded the minimum capitalization requirements as of December 31, 2012. The Insurance Entities are also required to adhere to prescribed premium-to-capital surplus ratios which were also met as of December 31, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

Our primary product is homeowners insurance offered through the Insurance Entities. Our criteria for selecting insurance policies includes, but is not limited to, the use of specific policy forms, coverage amounts on buildings and contents and required compliance with local building codes. Also, to improve underwriting and manage risk, we utilize standard industry modeling techniques for hurricane and windstorm exposure.

We may consider underwriting other types of policies in the future, subject to approval by the appropriate regulatory authorities. See Government Regulation and Initiatives, Competition and Product Pricing for a discussion of the material regulatory and market factors that may affect the Insurance Entities ability to obtain additional policies.

UPCIC is licensed to transact insurance business in Florida, North Carolina, South Carolina, Hawaii, Georgia, Maryland and Massachusetts. UPCIC is currently restricted by the North Carolina Department of Insurance to writing no more than \$12.0 million of direct premiums per year. APPCIC is licensed to transact insurance business only in Florida.

The average annual premium for policies in force as of December 31, 2012 was approximately \$1,383.

The geographical distribution of the Insurance Entities policies-in-force and total insured values were as follows for the period presented (dollars in thousands):

As of December 31, 2012				
State	Count	%	Total Insured Value	%
Florida	543,722	95.9%	\$ 121,762,968	94.5%
Other states	23,328	4.1%	7,105,987	5.5%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>567,050</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 128,868,955</b>	<b>100.0%</b>



**Table of Contents**

As of December 31, 2012				
County	Count	%	Total Insured Value	%
<b>South Florida</b>				
Palm Beach	66,373	12.2%	\$ 15,391,967	12.6%
Broward	62,062	11.4%	16,907,276	13.9%
Miami	36,324	6.7%	7,465,398	6.1%
<b>South Florida exposure</b>	<b>164,759</b>	<b>30.3%</b>	<b>39,764,641</b>	<b>32.7%</b>
<b>Other significant* Florida counties</b>				
Pinellas	41,724	7.7%	7,139,519	5.9%
Lee	33,070	6.1%	6,347,608	5.2%
Collier	26,533	4.9%	5,052,057	4.1%
Brevard	22,185	4.1%	4,618,283	3.8%
Escambia	21,181	3.9%	5,657,232	4.6%
Hillsborough	21,069	3.9%	5,233,906	4.3%
Polk	20,268	3.7%	6,088,602	5.0%
Sarasota	18,271	3.4%	2,984,967	2.5%
Orange	17,874	3.3%	4,023,011	3.3%
Saint Lucie	13,952	2.6%	2,652,012	2.2%
Manatee	13,940	2.6%	2,603,973	2.1%
Duval	13,887	2.6%	3,042,457	2.5%
<b>Total other significant* counties</b>	<b>263,954</b>	<b>48.5%</b>	<b>55,443,627</b>	<b>45.5%</b>
Summary for all of Florida	Count	%	Total Insured Value	%
South Florida exposure	164,759	30.3%	39,764,641	32.7%
Total other significant* counties	263,954	48.5%	55,443,627	45.5%
Other Florida counties	115,009	21.2%	26,554,700	21.8%
<b>Total Florida</b>	<b>543,722</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 121,762,968</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

\* Significant counties defined as policy count or insured value greater than 2.50% of total policy count or total insured value for policies-in-force as of December 31, 2012.

The policies in force as of December 31, 2012 including and excluding wind coverage is as follows (dollars in thousands):

As of December 31, 2012				
Type of coverage	Count	%	Total Insured Value	%
Policies with wind coverage	556,416	98.1%	\$ 127,025,101	98.6%
Policies without wind coverage	10,634	1.9%	1,843,854	1.4%
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>567,050</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 128,868,955</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

**INSURANCE OPERATIONS**

The Insurance Entities generate revenues primarily from the collection of premiums. Universal Risk Advisors, Inc. ( URA ), our managing general agent, generates revenue through policy fee income and other administrative fees from the marketing of the Insurance Entities' insurance products through our distribution network of independent agents. All underwriting, rating, policy issuance, reinsurance negotiations, and certain administration functions for the Insurance Entities are performed by URA. We have also formed Universal Adjusting Corporation, which adjusts claims for the Insurance Entities, and Universal Inspection Corporation, which performs property inspections for homeowners' insurance policies.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

underwritten by the Insurance Entities.

Atlas Premium Finance Company offers premium finance services to policyholders of the Insurance Entities. BARC performs reinsurance negotiations on behalf of URA for the insurance Entities. Universal Logistics Corporation assists with operational duties associated with our day-to-day business.

---

## **Table of Contents**

APPCIC is authorized to write homeowners, multi-peril and inland marine coverage on homes valued in excess of \$1.0 million, which are limits and coverages currently not targeted through its affiliate, UPCIC. APPCIC began writing insurance policies in Florida in November 2011.

We also generate income by investing available funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. Our principal investment objective is to maximize total rate of return after federal income taxes while maintaining liquidity and minimizing risk consistent with and subject to certain regulatory requirements and limitations.

Universal Florida Insurance Agency was incorporated in Florida on July 2, 1998 and Coastal Homeowners Insurance Specialists, Inc. was incorporated in Florida on July 2, 2001, each as wholly owned subsidiaries of UIH to solicit voluntary business. These entities are a part of our agency operations, which seek to generate income from commissions, premium financing referral fees and the marketing of ancillary services.

### **Management of Exposure to Catastrophic Losses**

The Insurance Entities are exposed to potentially numerous insured losses arising out of single or multiple occurrences, such as natural catastrophes. The Insurance Entities' exposure to catastrophic losses arises principally out of hurricanes and windstorms. Through the use of standard industry modeling techniques that are susceptible to change, the Insurance Entities manage their exposure to such losses on an ongoing basis from an underwriting perspective. We also continue to actively explore and analyze credible scientific evidence, regarding the potential impact of global climate change and the potential impact of laws and regulations intended to combat climate change and the effect of such change, laws and regulations on our ability to manage exposure under the Insurance Entities' policies.

The Insurance Entities protect themselves against the risk of catastrophic loss by obtaining annual reinsurance coverage as of the beginning of hurricane season on June 1 of each year.

The Insurance Entities rely on reinsurers to limit the amount of risk retained under their policies and to increase their ability to write additional risks. Our intention is to limit the Insurance Entities' exposure and therefore protect their capital, even in the event of catastrophic occurrences, through reinsurance agreements. The Insurance Entities obtain a significant portion of their reinsurance coverage from the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund ( FHCF ).

Our reinsurance program consists of excess of loss, quota share and catastrophe reinsurance for multiple hurricanes. Our catastrophe reinsurance program is subject to the terms and limitations of the reinsurance contracts and currently covers certain levels of the Insurance Entities' projected exposure through three catastrophe events. However, we may not buy enough reinsurance to cover multiple storms going forward or be able to timely or cost-effectively obtain reinsurance. The Insurance Entities are responsible for losses related to catastrophic events with incurred losses in excess of coverage provided by our reinsurance program and for losses that otherwise are not covered by the reinsurance program.

UPCIC has historically purchased reinsurance coverage up to and above the 100-year Probable Maximum Loss ( PML ). PML is a general concept applied in the insurance industry for defining high loss scenarios that should be considered when underwriting insurance risk. Catastrophe models such as AIR CLASIC/2 and RMS Risk Link, produce loss estimates that are quantified in terms of dollars and probabilities. The Insurance Entities' PML amounts are modeled using both the AIR CLASIC/2 and RMS Risk Link versions in effect at the date of the calculation. Probability of exceedance or the probability that the actual loss level will exceed a particular threshold is a standard catastrophe model output. For example, the 100-year PML represents a 1.00% Annual Probability of Exceedance. It is estimated that the 100-year PML is likely to be equaled or exceeded in one year out of 100 on average, or 1 percent of the time. It is the 99th percentile of the annual loss distribution. However, as the Insurance Entities write policies throughout the year, the 100-year PML will change. It is possible that the reinsurance in place may not always surpass the 100-year PML at every point in time in one specific model. In addition, modeling results are merely estimates and are subject to various assumptions. Please see Item 1A. Risk Factors As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events.

Although we use what we believe to be widely recognized and, commercially available models to estimate hurricane loss exposure, discrepancies between the assumptions and scenarios utilized in the models and the characteristics of future hurricane events could result in losses that are not covered by the Insurance Entities' reinsurance program. See Item 1A. Risk Factors As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events.

Management evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers and monitors concentrations of credit risk arising from similar geographic regions, activities or economic characteristics of the reinsurers to minimize its exposure to significant losses from reinsurer insolvencies. However, see Item 1A. Risk Factors Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded insurance, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition. While ceding premiums to reinsurers reduces the Insurance Entities' risk of exposure in the event of catastrophic losses, it also reduces their potential for

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

greater profits in the event that such catastrophic events do not occur. We believe that the extent of our Insurance Entities' reinsurance is typical of companies similar in size and geographic exposure in the homeowners' insurance industry.

---

**Table of Contents****Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE**

The liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses ( LAE ) periodically established by the Insurance Entities, also known as reserves, are estimates of amounts needed to pay reported and unreported claims and related loss adjustment expenses. The estimates necessarily will be based on certain assumptions related to the ultimate cost to settle such claims. There is an inherent degree of uncertainty involved in the establishment of a liability for unpaid losses and LAE and there may be substantial differences between actual losses and the Insurance Entities liability estimates. The inherent degree of uncertainty involved in the establishment of a liability for unpaid losses and LAE can be more pronounced during periods of rapid growth in written premiums such as those experienced by UPCIC in previous years. We rely on industry data, as well as the expertise and experience of independent actuaries in an effort to establish accurate estimates and an adequate liability. Furthermore, factors such as storms and weather conditions, climate changes and patterns, inflation, claim settlement patterns, legislative activity and litigation trends may have an impact on the Insurance Entities future loss experience.

The Insurance Entities are directly liable for loss and LAE payments under the terms of the insurance policies that they write. In many cases, several years may elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss and the Insurance Entities payment of that loss. As required by insurance regulations and accounting rules, the Insurance Entities reflect their liability for the ultimate payment of all incurred losses and LAE by establishing a liability for those unpaid losses and LAE for both reported and unreported claims, which represent estimates of future amounts needed to pay claims and related expenses.

When a claim involving a probable loss is reported, the Insurance Entities establish a liability for the estimated amount of their ultimate loss and LAE payments. The estimate of the amount of the ultimate loss is based upon such factors as the type of loss, jurisdiction of the occurrence, knowledge of the circumstances surrounding the claim, severity of injury or damage, potential for ultimate exposure, estimate of liability on the part of the insured, past experience with similar claims and the applicable policy provisions. All newly reported claims received are set up with an initial average liability. That claim is then evaluated and the liability is adjusted upward or downward according to the facts and damages of that particular claim. In addition, management provides for a liability on an aggregate basis to provide for losses incurred but not reported ( IBNR ). We utilize independent actuaries to help establish liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE. We do not discount the liability for unpaid losses and LAE for financial statement purposes.

The estimates of the liability for unpaid losses and LAE are subject to the effect of trends in claims severity and frequency and are continually reviewed. As part of this process, we review historical data and consider various factors, including known and anticipated legal developments, changes in social attitudes, inflation and economic conditions. As experience develops and other data become available, these estimates are revised, as required, resulting in increases or decreases to the existing liability for unpaid losses and LAE. Adjustments are reflected in results of operations in the period in which they are made and the liabilities may deviate substantially from prior estimates.

Liability claims historically tend to have longer time lapses between the occurrence of the event, the reporting of the claim to the Insurance Entities and the final settlement than do property claims. Liability claims often involve third parties filing suit and the ensuing litigation. By comparison, property damage claims tend to be reported in a relatively shorter period of time with the vast majority of these claims resulting in an adjustment without litigation.

Based upon consultations with our independent actuarial consultants and their statement of opinion on losses and LAE, we believe that the liability for unpaid losses and LAE is currently adequate to cover all claims and related expenses which may arise from incidents reported and IBNR. However, if our liability for unpaid losses and LAE proves to be inadequate, we will be required to increase the liability with a corresponding reduction in net income in the period in which the deficiency is identified. Future losses in excess of established liabilities for unpaid losses and LAE could have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations and financial condition. See Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Liquidity and Capital Resources .

**Investments**

We generate income by investing funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. We conduct these investment activities through each of the Insurance Entities and UIH. We have and expect to retain investment advisors to advise us or manage the investment portfolio. Our investment committee reports overall investment results to our Board of Directors, at least on a quarterly basis. Our investment advisers may assist in such reports to the Board of Directors.

In recent years we have actively traded investment securities and other investments to supplement income derived from our insurance operations. Our investment trading strategy was:

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

primarily to maximize after-tax investment income; and

to obtain favorable risk-adjusted real after-tax investment returns to achieve long-term growth of the Insurance Entities statutory surplus and consolidated stockholders equity.

**Table of Contents**

As of December 31, 2012, the fair value of our consolidated investment portfolio was approximately \$469.7 million, comprised of the following (in thousands):

Type of Investment	As of December 31, 2012
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 347,392
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	33,009
Debt securities	4,009
Equity securities	85,041
Non-hedging derivative liability, net	(21)
Other investments	317
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 469,747</b>

Our investment committee recently authorized management to engage Deutsche Bank, a leading global investment advisor specializing in the insurance industry, to manage our investment portfolio. Working with the investment advisor, we expect to transition the composition of our portfolio to a more traditional insurance company investment portfolio, which we expect will provide a more stable stream of investment income and reduce the effects of market volatility. Our investment objective is to maintain liquidity and minimize risk. Our investment strategy includes maintaining investments to support unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for our insurance subsidiaries in accordance with guidelines established by insurance regulators.

The investment activities of the Insurance Entities are subject to regulation and supervision by the OIR. See Government Regulation and Initiatives below. The Insurance Entities may only make investments that are consistent with regulatory guidelines, and our investment policies for the Insurance Entities correspondingly limit the amount of investment in, among other things, non-investment grade fixed maturity securities (including high-yield bonds) and total investments in preferred stock and common stock. While we seek to appropriately limit the size and scope of investments in the UIH portfolio, UIH is not similarly restricted by Florida law. Therefore, the investments made by UIH may significantly differ from those made by the Insurance Entities. We do not purchase securities on margin. As of December 31, 2012, approximately 84% of our trading portfolio is held by our Insurance Entities and 16% is held by UIH.

See Note 3 INVESTMENTS in the accompanying notes to our consolidated financial statements in Part II, Item 8 below.

**Government Regulation and Initiatives**

Florida insurance companies, such as the Insurance Entities, are subject to regulation and supervision by the OIR. The OIR has broad regulatory, supervisory and administrative powers. Such powers relate, among other things, to the granting and revocation of licenses to transact business; the licensing of agents (through the Florida Department of Financial Services); the standards of solvency to be met and maintained; the nature of, and limitations on, investments; approval of policy forms and rates; review of reinsurance contracts; periodic examination of the affairs of insurance companies; and the form and content of required financial statements. Such regulation and supervision are primarily for the benefit and protection of policyholders and not for the benefit of investors.

Florida created the Citizens Property Insurance Corporation ( Citizens ) to provide insurance to Florida homeowners in high-risk areas and to others without private insurance options. As of February 7, 2013, there were 1,287,522 Citizens policies in force compared to 1,475,677 as of January 31, 2012. In May 2007, Florida passed legislation that froze property insurance rates for Citizens customers at December 2006 levels through December 31, 2008, and permits insurance customers to opt into Citizens when the price of a privately-offered insurance policy is 15% more than the Citizens rate, compared to the previous opt-in threshold of 25%. These initiatives, together with any future initiatives that seek to further relax eligibility requirements or reduce premium rates for Citizens customers, could adversely affect our ability and the ability of the Insurance Entities to conduct profitable business. In addition, the Florida Legislature in 2007 expanded the capacity of the FHCF, with the intent of reducing the cost of reinsurance otherwise purchased by residential property insurers. State and federal legislation relating to insurance is affected by a number of political and economic factors that are beyond our control. The Florida Legislature and the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ( NAIC ) from time to time consider proposals that may affect, among other things, regulatory assessments and reserve requirements.





---

## **Table of Contents**

In addition, the Insurance Entities are required to offer wind mitigation discounts in accordance with a program mandated by the Florida Legislature and implemented by the OIR. The level of wind mitigation discounts mandated by the Florida Legislature to be effective June 1, 2007 for new business and August 1, 2007 for renewal business have had a significant negative effect on UPCIC's premium. See Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of the impact of wind mitigation discounts on our results of operations.

The Florida Insurance Guaranty Association (FIGA) is a nonprofit corporation created by the Florida Legislature. FIGA services pending claims by or against Florida policyholders of member insurance companies which become insolvent and are ordered liquidated. The operation is directed towards early recognition and payment of those covered claims which must be resolved to avoid hardship or financial difficulties to the insureds or claimants involved. Payments of claims are funded through assessments that are made among members which are composed of all Florida licensed direct writers of property or casualty insurance. A mandatory assessment was made by FIGA applicable to all member insurers of FIGA's All Other Account, which includes UPCIC and APPCIC. Collectively, the assessment was 0.9 percent of the Insurance Entities' net direct written premiums within Florida for the calendar year 2011 and totaled \$6.3 million. The assessment had a negative effect on the consolidated operating results of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2012. The mandatory assessment on UPCIC of \$6.3 million will be recovered through a surcharge on UPCIC's policies within Florida, pursuant to a filing made with the Florida OIR November 2012, and the Company expects it will have a positive effect on operating results over an estimated twelve-month period which began on February 1, 2013. The mandatory assessment on APPCIC was a nominal amount that the Company has elected not to recover. Since the last assessment levied by FIGA in 2009 on its All Other Account, FIGA reports there have been thirteen foreign and domestic insurance company insolvencies affecting its claims-paying accounts.

UPCIC has become and will become subject to other states' laws and regulations as it has obtained and continues to seek authority to transact business in other states.

## **Product Pricing**

The rates charged by the Insurance Entities generally are subject to regulatory review and approval before they may be implemented. The Insurance Entities periodically submit their rate revisions to regulators as required by law or deemed by us to be necessary or appropriate for the Insurance Entities' business. We prepare these filings for the Insurance Entities based on objective data relating to their business and on judgment exercised by management and by retained professionals.

The premiums charged by the Insurance Entities to policyholders are affected by legislative enactments and administrative rules, including a state-mandated program requiring residential property insurance companies like ours to provide premium discounts when policyholders verify that insured properties have certain construction features or other windstorm loss reduction features. The level of required premium discounts may exceed the expected reduction in losses associated with the construction features for which the discounts are provided. Although the Insurance Entities may submit rate filings to address any premium deficiencies, those rate filings are subject to regulatory oversight and may not be approved.

On January 11, 2012, we announced that UPCIC received OIR approval for premium rate increases for its homeowners and dwelling fire programs within Florida. The premium rate increases are expected to average approximately 14.9% statewide for its homeowners program and 8.8% statewide for its dwelling fire program. The effective dates for both of the premium rate increases are January 9, 2012 for new business and February 28, 2012 for renewal business.

UPCIC made a forms filing immediately after the rate filing to segregate sinkhole coverage and to include updated policy language as a result of the property insurance bill which became law in May 2011 (Senate Bill 408). The OIR approved the forms filing with effective dates of April 1, 2012 for new business and May 21, 2012 for renewals. With the approval of this forms filing, sinkhole coverage will be excluded from certain base homeowners policies but the coverage will be offered via endorsement for an additional surcharge, and a mandatory 10% deductible, to those policyholders that meet the proposed eligibility standards. Revised inspection and eligibility requirements will not be imposed upon existing policyholders who elect to continue sinkhole coverage at their policy renewal. Form changes for sinkhole coverage on dwelling fire policies, which are similar in nature to those filed for homeowners policies, were approved by the OIR with effective dates of May 1, 2012 for new business and June 8, 2012 for renewal business. Coverage for catastrophic ground cover collapse will remain a covered peril under all standard policy forms.

On July 11, 2012, we announced that UPCIC received approval from the Massachusetts Division of Insurance for homeowners policies rates and forms.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

On September 18, 2012, we announced that UPCIC received approval from the Maryland Insurance Administration for homeowners policies rates and forms.

On February 7, 2013, we announced that UPCIC received approval from the OIR for premium rate increases for its homeowners and dwelling fire programs within Florida. The premium rate increases will average approximately 14.1% statewide for its homeowners program and 14.5% for its dwelling fire program. The effective dates for the homeowners program rate increase are January 18, 2013, for new business and March 9, 2013, for renewal business. The effective dates for the dwelling fire program rate increase are January 14, 2013, for new business and March 3, 2013, for renewal business.

### **Competition**

The insurance industry is highly competitive and many companies currently write homeowners property and casualty insurance. Additionally, we must compete with companies that have greater capital resources and longer operating histories. Increased competition from other private insurance companies as well as Citizens could adversely affect our ability to conduct profitable business. In addition, our Financial Stability Rating® is an important factor in establishing our competitive position and may affect our sales. Although our pricing is inevitably influenced to some degree by that of our competitors, we believe that it is generally not in our best interest to compete solely on price, choosing instead to compete on the basis of underwriting criteria, our distribution network and high quality service to our agents and insureds.

### **Employees**

As of February 12, 2013, we had 279 full-time employees. None of our employees are represented by a labor union.

### **Available Information**

Our internet address is <http://www.universalinsuranceholdings.com>. Our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to such reports are available, free of charge, through our website as soon as reasonably practicable after their filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ). The SEC maintains an internet site that contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information regarding our filings at [www.sec.gov](http://www.sec.gov).

### **ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

We are subject to a variety of risks, the most significant of which are described below. Our business, results of operations and financial condition could be materially and adversely affected by any of these risks or additional risks.

#### **Risks Relating to the Property-Casualty business**

##### **As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events**

Because of the exposure of our property and casualty business to catastrophic events, our operating results and financial condition may vary significantly from one period to the next. Catastrophes can be caused by various natural and man-made disasters, including wildfires, tornadoes, hurricanes, tropical storms and certain types of terrorism. We may incur catastrophe losses in excess of those experienced in prior years, or estimated by a catastrophe model we use, the average expected level used in pricing, and our current reinsurance coverage limits.

## **Table of Contents**

In addition, we are subject to claims arising from weather events such as rain, hail and high winds. The incidence and severity of weather conditions are largely unpredictable. There is generally an increase in the frequency and severity of property claims when severe weather conditions occur. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted and could be material to our operations. In addition, impacts of catastrophes and our catastrophe management strategy may adversely affect premium growth.

Although we use, what we believe to be, widely recognized and commercially available models to estimate hurricane loss exposure, other models exist that might produce higher or lower loss estimates. The loss estimates developed by the catastrophe model are dependent upon assumptions or scenarios incorporated into the model by a third-party developer and by us (or our representatives). However if these assumptions or scenarios do not reflect the characteristics of future catastrophic events that affect Florida or the resulting economic conditions, such may result in exposure for losses not covered by our reinsurance program.

Despite our catastrophe management programs, we retain significant exposure to catastrophic events. Our liquidity could be constrained by a catastrophe, or multiple catastrophes, which result in extraordinary losses and have a negative impact on our business.

### **Unanticipated increases in the severity or frequency of claims may adversely affect our profitability and financial condition**

Changes in the severity or frequency of claims may affect our profitability. Changes in homeowners' claim severity are driven by inflation in the construction industry, in building materials and in home furnishings and by other economic and environmental factors, including increased demand for services and supplies in areas affected by catastrophes. However, changes in the level of the severity of claims are not limited to the effects of inflation and demand surge in these various sectors of the economy. Increases in claim severity can arise from unexpected events that are inherently difficult to predict. Although we pursue various loss management initiatives in order to mitigate future increases in claim severity, there can be no assurances that these initiatives will successfully identify or reduce the effect of future increases in claim severity.

We may experience declines in claim frequency from time to time. The short-term level of claim frequency we experience may vary from period to period and may not be sustainable over the longer term. A significant long-term increase in claim frequency could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

### **Actual claims incurred may exceed current reserves established for claims and may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition**

Recorded claim reserves in the property-casualty business are based on our best estimates of losses, both reported and incurred but not reported ( IBNR ), after considering known facts and interpretations of circumstances. Internal factors are considered including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns, pending levels of unpaid claims and contractual terms. External factors are also considered which include but are not limited to law changes, court decisions, changes to regulatory requirements and economic conditions. Because reserves are estimates of the unpaid portion of losses that have occurred, including IBNR losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded reserves and such variance may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

### **Predicting claim expense relating to environmental liabilities is inherently uncertain and may have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition**

The process of estimating environmental liabilities is complicated by complex legal issues concerning, among other things, the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether those losses are, or were ever intended to be covered; and whether losses could be recoverable through reinsurance. Litigation is a complex, lengthy process that involves substantial uncertainty for insurers. Actuarial techniques and databases used in estimating environmental net loss reserves may prove to be inadequate indicators of the extent of probable loss. Ultimate net losses from environmental liabilities could materially exceed established loss reserves and expected recoveries and have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

### **The failure of the risk mitigation strategies we utilize could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations**

We utilize a number of strategies to mitigate our risk exposure, such as:

engaging in rigorous underwriting;

carefully evaluating terms and conditions of our policies; and

ceding risk to reinsurers.

## **Table of Contents**

However, there are inherent limitations in all of these tactics and no assurance can be given that an event or series of events will not result in loss levels in excess of our probable maximum loss models, which could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. It is also possible that losses could manifest themselves in ways that we do not anticipate and that our risk mitigation strategies are not designed to address. Such a manifestation of losses could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

These risks may be heightened during difficult economic conditions such as those currently being experienced in Florida and elsewhere.

### **Reinsurance may be unavailable at current levels and prices, which may limit our ability to write new business**

Our reinsurance program was designed, utilizing our risk management methodology, to address our exposure to catastrophes. Market conditions beyond our control determine the availability and cost of the reinsurance we purchase. No assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us to the same extent and on the same terms and rates as are currently available. For example, our ability to afford reinsurance to reduce our catastrophe risk may be dependent upon our ability to adjust premium rates for our cost, and there are no assurances that the terms and rates for our current reinsurance program will continue to be available next year. If we were unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient and at prices that we consider acceptable, we would have to either accept an increase in our exposure risk, reduce our insurance writings, or develop or seek other alternatives.

### **Regulation limiting rate increases and requiring us to participate in loss sharing may decrease our profitability**

From time to time, political dispositions affect the insurance market, including efforts to effectively suppress rates at a level that may not allow us to reach targeted levels of profitability. Despite efforts to remove politics from insurance regulation, facts and history demonstrate that public policymakers, when faced with untoward events and adverse public sentiment, can act in ways that impede a satisfactory correlation between rates and risk. Such acts may affect our ability to obtain approval for rate changes that may be required to attain rate adequacy along with targeted levels of profitability and returns on equity. Our ability to afford reinsurance required to reduce our catastrophe risk may be dependent upon the ability to adjust rates for our cost.

Additionally, we are required to participate in guaranty funds for insolvent insurance companies. The funds periodically assess losses against all insurance companies doing business in the state. Our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected by any of these factors.

### **The potential benefits of implementing our profitability model may not be fully realized**

We believe that our profitability model has allowed us to be more competitive and operate more profitably. However, because many of our competitors have adopted underwriting criteria and sophisticated models similar to those we use and because other competitors may follow suit, our competitive advantage could decline or be lost. Competitive pressures could also force us to modify our profitability model. Furthermore, we cannot be assured that the profitability model will accurately reflect the level of losses that we will ultimately incur from the business generated.

### **Our financial condition and operating results and the financial condition and operating results of our Insurance Entities may be adversely affected by the cyclical nature of the property and casualty business**

The property and casualty market is cyclical and has experienced periods characterized by relatively high levels of price competition, less restrictive underwriting standards and relatively low premium rates, followed by periods of relatively lower levels of competition, more selective underwriting standards and relatively high premium rates. A downturn in the profitability cycle of the property and casualty business could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition and results of operations.

### **Renewed weakness in the Florida real estate market could adversely affect our loss results**

As of December 31, 2012, approximately 96% of our policies-in-force and 95% of total insured values were derived from customers located in Florida. In recent years, Florida experienced a significant economic downturn and among the highest real estate value diminution in the country. While the real estate market in Florida appears to be on the rebound, renewed weakness in that market could result in fewer home sales, which may adversely affect the number of policies we are able to sell and/or the rates we are able to charge to customers. Additionally, higher incidents of foreclosed or vacant homes may result in increased claims activity under residential insurance policies, which could negatively impact our operating results.



## **Table of Contents**

### **Risks Relating to Investments**

#### **We have periodically experienced, and may experience further reductions in returns or losses on our investments especially during periods of heightened volatility, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition**

Our investment strategy may subject our investment portfolio to significant volatility. The returns on our investment portfolio may be reduced or we may incur losses as a result of changes in general economic conditions, interest rates, real estate markets, fixed income markets, metals markets, energy markets, agriculture markets, equity markets, alternative investment markets, credit markets, exchange rates, global capital market conditions and numerous other factors that are beyond our control.

The worldwide financial markets experience high levels of volatility during certain periods, which could have an increasingly adverse impact on the U.S. and foreign economies. The financial market volatility and any resulting negative economic impact could continue and be prolonged, which could adversely affect our current investment portfolio, make it difficult to determine the value of certain assets in our portfolio and/or make it difficult for us to purchase suitable investments that meet our risk and return criteria. These factors could cause us to realize less than expected returns on invested assets, sell investments for a loss or write off or write down investments, any of which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

#### **We are subject to market risk which may adversely impact investment income**

Our primary market risk exposures are changes in equity and commodity prices, interest rates and foreign currencies. A decline in market interest rates could have an adverse effect on our investment income as we invest cash in new investments that may yield less than our portfolio's average rate of return. A decline could also lead us to purchase longer-term or riskier assets in order to obtain adequate investment yields resulting in a duration gap when compared to the duration of liabilities. An increase in market interest rates could have an adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio by decreasing the fair values of the fixed income securities that comprise a portion of our investment portfolio. A decline in the quality of our investment portfolio as a result of adverse economic conditions or otherwise could cause additional realized losses on securities.

#### **Concentration of our investment portfolio in any particular segment of the economy may have adverse effects on our operating results and financial condition**

The concentration of our investment portfolio in any particular industry, collateral types, group of related industries or geographic sector could have an adverse effect on our investment portfolio and consequently on our results of operations and financial condition. Events or developments that have a negative impact on any particular industry, group of related industries or geographic region may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolio to the extent that the portfolio are concentrated rather than diversified.

#### **Our overall financial performance is dependent in part on the returns on our investment portfolio, which may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations or cause such results to be volatile**

The performance of our investment portfolio is independent of the revenue and income generated from our insurance operations, and there is no direct correlation between the financial results of these two activities. Thus, to the extent that our investment portfolio does not perform well due to the factors discussed above or otherwise, our results of operations may be materially adversely affected even if our insurance operations perform favorably. Further, because the returns on our investment trading portfolio may be volatile, our overall results of operations may likewise be volatile from period to period even if we do not experience significant financial variances in our insurance operations.

### **Risks Relating to the Insurance Industry**

#### **Our future results are dependent in part on our ability to successfully operate in an insurance industry that is highly competitive**

The insurance industry is highly competitive. Many of our competitors have well-established national reputations and market similar products. Because of the competitive nature of the insurance industry, including competition for producers such as independent agents, there can be no assurance that we will continue to effectively compete with our industry rivals, or that competitive pressures will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. Our ability to successfully operate may also be impaired if we are not effective in filling critical leadership positions, in developing the talent and skills of our human resources, in assimilating new executive talent into our organization, or in deploying human resource talent consistent with our business goals.

#### **Difficult conditions in the economy generally could adversely affect our business and operating results**

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

The United States economy has experienced widespread job losses, higher unemployment, lower consumer spending, declines in home prices and substantial increases in delinquencies on consumer debt, including defaults on home mortgages. Moreover, past disruptions in the financial markets, particularly the reduced availability of credit and tightened lending requirements, have affected the ability of borrowers to refinance loans at more affordable rates. We cannot predict the likelihood of a future recession, but as with most businesses, we believe a longer or more severe recession could have an adverse effect on our business and results of operations.



## **Table of Contents**

A general economic slowdown could adversely affect us in the form of consumer behavior and pressure on our investment portfolio. Consumer behavior could include decreased demand for insurance. In 2008 and 2009, weakness in the housing market and a highly competitive environment contributed to reduced growth in policies in force. Our investment portfolio could be adversely affected as a result of deteriorating financial and business conditions.

### **There can be no assurance that actions of the U.S. federal government, Federal Reserve and other governmental and regulatory bodies for the purpose of stabilizing the financial markets and stimulating the economy will achieve the intended effect**

In response to the financial crises affecting the banking system, the financial markets and the broader economy, the U.S. government, the Federal Reserve and other governmental and regulatory bodies have taken or are considering taking action to address such conditions including, among other things, purchasing mortgage-backed and other securities from financial institutions, investing directly in banks, thrifts and financial institution holding companies and increasing federal spending to stimulate the economy. There can be no assurance as to what impact such actions will have on the financial markets or on economic conditions. Such continued volatility and economic deterioration could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

### **We are subject to extensive regulation and potential further restrictive regulation may increase our operating costs and limit our growth**

As an insurance company, we are subject to extensive laws and regulations. These laws and regulations are complex and subject to change. Moreover, they are administered and enforced by a number of different governmental authorities, including state insurance regulators, state securities administrators, the SEC, the U.S. Department of Justice, and state attorneys general, each of which exercises a degree of interpretive latitude. Consequently, we are subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may not result in compliance with another's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. In addition, there is risk that any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may change over time to our detriment, or that changes in the overall legal environment may, even absent any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue changing, cause us to change our views regarding the actions we need to take from a legal risk management perspective, thus necessitating changes to our practices that may, in some cases, limit our ability to grow and improve the profitability of our business. Furthermore, in some cases, these laws and regulations are designed to protect or benefit the interests of a specific constituency rather than a range of constituencies. For example, state insurance laws and regulations are generally intended to protect or benefit purchasers or users of insurance products, not holders of securities issued by us. In many respects, these laws and regulations limit our ability to grow and improve the profitability of our business.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under public scrutiny and members of Congress have discussed proposals to provide for federal chartering of insurance companies. We can make no assurances regarding the potential impact of state or federal measures that may change the nature or scope of insurance regulation.

### **Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to examination by state insurance departments**

The Insurance Entities are subject to extensive regulation in the states in which they do business. State insurance regulatory agencies conduct periodic examinations of the Insurance Entities on a wide variety of matters, including policy forms, premium rates, licensing, trade and claims practices, investment standards and practices, statutory capital and surplus requirements, reserve and loss ratio requirements and transactions among affiliates. Further, the Insurance Entities are required to file annual and other reports with state insurance regulatory agencies relating to financial condition, holding company issues and other matters. If an insurance company fails to obtain required licenses or approvals, or if the Insurance Entities fail to comply with other regulatory requirements, the regulatory agencies can suspend or delay their operations or licenses, require corrective action and impose operating limitations, penalties or other remedies available under applicable laws and regulations.

### **Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded risks, which could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition**

Reinsurance does not legally discharge us from our primary liability for the full amount of the risk we insure, although it does make the reinsurer liable to us in the event of a claim. As such, we are subject to credit risk with respect to our reinsurers. The collectability of reinsurance recoverables is subject to uncertainty arising from a number of factors, including changes in market conditions, whether insured losses meet the qualifying conditions of the reinsurance contract and whether reinsurers, or their affiliates, have the financial capacity and willingness to make payments under the terms of a reinsurance treaty or contract. Our inability to collect a material recovery from a reinsurer could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.



## **Table of Contents**

### **The continued threat of terrorism and ongoing military actions may adversely affect the level of claim losses we incur and the value of our investment portfolio**

The continued threat of terrorism, both within the United States and abroad, and ongoing military and other actions and heightened security measures in response to these types of threats, may cause significant volatility and losses from declines in the equity markets and from interest rate changes in the United States, Europe and elsewhere, and result in loss of life, property damage, disruptions to commerce and reduced economic activity. Some of the assets in our investment portfolio may be adversely affected by reduced economic activity caused by the continued threat of terrorism. Additionally, in the event that terrorist acts occur, we could be adversely affected, depending on the nature of the event.

### **A downgrade in our Financial Stability Rating® may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, and our liquidity, operating results and financial condition**

Financial Stability Ratings® are important factors in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies and generally have an effect on an insurance company's business. On an ongoing basis, rating agencies review the financial performance and condition of insurers and could downgrade or change the outlook on an insurer's ratings due to, for example, a change in an insurer's statutory capital; a change in a rating agency's determination of the amount of risk-adjusted capital required to maintain a particular rating; a change in the perceived adequacy of an insurer's reinsurance program; an increase in the perceived risk of an insurer's investment portfolio; a reduced confidence in management or a host of other considerations that may or may not be under an insurer's control. The current insurance Financial Stability Rating® of UPCIC is from Demotech, Inc. The assigned rating is A. Because this rating is subject to continuous review, the retention of this rating cannot be assured. A downgrade in or withdrawal of this rating, or a decision by Demotech to require UPCIC's parent company to make a capital infusion into UPCIC to maintain its rating, may adversely affect our liquidity, operating results and financial condition.

### **Adverse capital and credit market conditions may significantly affect our ability to meet liquidity needs or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms**

The capital and credit markets have been experiencing extreme volatility and disruption. In some cases, the markets have exerted downward pressure on the availability of liquidity and credit capacity. In the event that we need access to additional capital to pay our operating expenses, make payments on our indebtedness, pay for capital expenditures or fund acquisitions, our ability to obtain such capital may be limited and the cost of any such capital may be significant. Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, and credit capacity, as well as lenders' perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient, and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain financing on favorable terms.

### **Changing climate conditions may adversely affect our financial condition, profitability or cash flows**

Property and casualty insurers are subject to claims arising from catastrophes. Catastrophic losses have had a significant impact on our historical results. Catastrophes can be caused by various events, including hurricanes, tsunamis, windstorms, earthquakes, hailstorms, explosions, flooding, severe winter weather and fires and may include man-made events, such as terrorist attacks. The incidence, frequency and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable.

Longer-term weather trends may be changing and new types of catastrophe losses may be developing due to climate change, a phenomenon that has been associated with extreme weather events linked to rising temperatures, including effects on global weather patterns, greenhouse gases, sea, land and air temperature, sea levels, rain and snow. The science regarding climate change is still emerging and developing. However, to the extent the frequency or severity of weather events is exacerbated due to climate change, we may experience increases in catastrophe losses in both coastal and non-coastal areas.

### **Loss of key executives could affect our operations**

On February 22, 2013, our former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer Bradley I. Meier resigned from his positions with the Company to pursue opportunities outside the residential homeowners' insurance industry. Our future operations will depend in large part on the efforts of our new Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Sean P. Downes, and on the efforts of our new Chief Operating Officer, Jon W. Springer, both of whom have served in executive roles at the Company or its affiliates for many years. The loss of the services provided by Mr. Meier, along with any future loss of the services provided by Mr. Downes or Mr. Springer, could have a material adverse effect on the Company and on our financial condition and results of operations.



**Table of Contents****ITEM 1B. UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS**

None.

**ITEM 2. PROPERTIES**

On July 31, 2004, we purchased a building located in Fort Lauderdale, Florida that became our headquarters on July 1, 2005. We occupy 100% of this building.

During the first half of 2012 we completed construction of a building of approximately 11,000 square feet located in Fort Lauderdale, Florida near our existing headquarters. We occupy 100% of the building and use it as additional home office space.

There are no mortgages or lease arrangements for these buildings, and both buildings are adequately covered by insurance. We believe that our building space is suitable to meet our immediate needs; however, we are considering other properties which will allow for future expansion.

**ITEM 3. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

We are subject to litigation in the normal course of our business. As of December 31, 2012, we were not a party to any non-routine litigation which is expected by management to have a material effect on our results of operations, financial condition or liquidity.

**ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES**

Not Applicable

**PART II****ITEM 5. MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

Our Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share ( Common Stock ), is quoted on the NYSE MKT LLC ( NYSE MKT ) formerly known as the NYSE Amex LLC and American Stock Exchange, under the symbol UVE. Our common shares were quoted and traded on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol UVIH prior to April 30, 2007 when we commenced trading on what is now known as the NYSE MKT.

The following table sets forth prices of the Common Stock, as reported by the NYSE MKT:

	High	Low	Dividends Declared
For year ended December 31, 2012			
First Quarter	\$ 4.02	\$ 3.90	\$ 0.10
Second Quarter	\$ 3.81	\$ 3.70	\$ 0.08
Third Quarter	\$ 3.53	\$ 3.43	\$ 0.08
Fourth Quarter	\$ 4.16	\$ 4.04	\$ 0.20
For year ended December 31, 2011			
First Quarter	\$ 6.03	\$ 4.93	\$ 0.10
Second Quarter	\$ 5.72	\$ 4.67	\$ 0.00
Third Quarter	\$ 4.84	\$ 3.61	\$ 0.08
Fourth Quarter	\$ 4.50	\$ 3.33	\$ 0.14

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

As of March 1, 2013, there were approximately 41 shareholders of record of our Common Stock.

As of December 31, 2012, there were 2 and 4 shareholders of our Series A and Series M Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock ( Preferred Stock ), respectively. There were no conversions of Preferred Stock during 2012 and 2011.

We declared and paid aggregate dividends of \$20 thousand on the Series A Preferred Stock during 2012 and 2011. We declared and paid aggregate dividends of \$267 thousand on the Series M Preferred Stock during 2012, and none during 2011.

Applicable provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may affect our ability to declare and pay dividends on our Common Stock. In particular, pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, a company may pay dividends out of its surplus, as defined, or out of its net profits, for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding year. Surplus is defined in the

**Table of Contents**

Delaware General Corporation Law to be the excess of net assets of the company over capital. Capital is defined to be the aggregate par value of shares issued. Moreover, our ability to pay dividends, if and when declared by our Board of Directors, may be restricted by regulatory limits on the amount of dividends, which the Insurance Entities are permitted to pay UIH. Section 628.371 of the Florida Statutes sets forth limitations, based on net income and statutory capital, on the amount of dividends that the Insurance Entities may pay to UIH without approval from the OIR.

**Stock Performance Graph**

The following graph compares the cumulative total stockholder return of UIH's Common Stock from December 31, 2007 through December 31, 2012 with the cumulative total return of the SNL Insurance P&C and the Amex Composite.

<i>Index</i>	<i>Period Ending</i>					
	12/31/07	12/31/08	12/31/09	12/31/10	12/31/11	12/31/12
Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc.	100.00	37.93	102.95	91.20	72.34	99.22
SNL Insurance P&C	100.00	77.40	83.68	99.78	100.88	119.08
Amex Composite	100.00	59.55	80.74	101.40	107.78	114.90

SNL Insurance P&C includes all publicly traded insurance underwriters in the property and casualty sector and was prepared by SNL Financial, Charlottesville, Virginia. The graph assumes the investment of \$100 in UIH's Common Stock and in each of the two indices on December 31, 2007 with all dividends being reinvested on the ex-dividend date. The closing price of UIH's Common Stock on December 31, 2007 (the last trading day of the year) was \$7.41 per share. The stock price performance on the graph is not necessarily indicative of future price performance.

**Table of Contents**

The stock prices used to calculate total shareholder return for UIH are based upon the prices of our common shares quoted and traded on NYSE MKT.

**Future Dividend Policy**

Future cash dividend payments are subject to business conditions, our financial position, and requirements for working capital and other corporate purposes.

**Stock Repurchases**

None.

**ITEM 6. SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

The following selected consolidated financial data should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto and Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations appearing elsewhere in the Annual Report on Form 10-K.



**Table of Contents**

The following tables provide selected financial information as of and for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share data):

	Years Ended December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
<b>Income statement data:</b>					
Direct premiums written	\$ 780,128	\$ 721,462	\$ 666,309	\$ 562,672	\$ 511,370
Ceded premiums written	(517,604)	(512,979)	(466,694)	(428,384)	(360,582)
Net premiums written	262,524	208,483	199,615	134,288	150,788
Change in net unearned premium	(31,404)	(9,498)	(29,172)	7,366	(3,374)
Premiums earned, net	\$ 231,120	\$ 198,985	\$ 170,443	\$ 141,654	\$ 147,414
Total revenue	\$ 269,939	\$ 225,861	\$ 239,923	\$ 210,642	\$ 182,667
Total expenses	217,380	192,143	177,645	164,479	116,661
Income before income taxes	52,559	33,718	62,278	46,163	66,006
Income taxes, net	22,247	13,609	25,294	17,376	25,969
Net income and comprehensive income	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 36,984	\$ 28,787	\$ 40,037
<b>Earnings per share data:</b>					
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.76	\$ 1.07
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.91	\$ 0.71	\$ 0.99
Dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.54	\$ 0.40

	As of December 31,				
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008
<b>Balance sheet data:</b>					
Total assets(1)	\$ 925,735	\$ 894,026	\$ 803,837	\$ 710,679	\$ 580,752
Total liabilities(1)	762,221	744,021	664,047	597,405	479,198
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	193,241	187,215	158,929	127,198	87,948
Unearned premiums	388,071	359,842	328,334	278,371	258,489
Long-term debt	20,221	21,691	23,162	24,632	25,000
Total stockholders' equity	\$ 163,514	\$ 150,005	\$ 139,790	\$ 113,274	\$ 101,554

- (1) Total assets and total liabilities for years 2008 through 2010 have been adjusted for a reclassification of reinsurance receivable. This adjustment had no impact on earnings or stockholders' equity. The adjustments were \$37.6 million, \$32.4 million and \$36.1 million for 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

**ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to help the reader understand the results of operations and financial condition of UIH. MD&A is provided as a supplement to, and should be read in conjunction with, our financial statements and accompanying notes in Part II, Item 8 below.

**OVERVIEW**

UIH is a vertically integrated insurance holding company performing all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through our wholly-owned subsidiaries, including the Insurance Entities, we are principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Our underwriting criteria includes, but is not limited to, the use of specific policy forms, coverage amounts on buildings and contents and required compliance with local building codes. Also, to improve underwriting and manage risk, we utilize standard industry modeling techniques for hurricane and windstorm exposure. Our primary product is homeowners' insurance, which we currently offer in seven states including Florida, which represented 96% of the 567 thousand policies-in-force as of December 31, 2012, and

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

98% of the 593 thousand policies-in-force as of December 31, 2011. Approximately 98% of our policies in force as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 included wind coverage.

**Table of Contents**

The following table provides the percentage of concentrations with respect to the Insurance Entities nationwide policies-in-force as of the periods presented:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
<b>Percentage of Policies-In-Force:</b>		
In Florida	96%	98%
With wind coverage	98%	98%
With wind coverage in South Florida(1)	28%	32%

(1) South Florida is comprised of Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach counties.

We generate revenues primarily from the collection of premiums and the investment of those premiums. Other significant sources of revenue include commissions collected from reinsurers and policy fees.

**Investment Portfolio**

We seek to generate income through the investment activities conducted by each of the Insurance Entities and UIH. Our investment strategy is intended to support our overall business strategy and supplement income derived from our insurance underwriting activities. Thus, our operating results are dependent in part upon the results of our investment portfolio.

For the year ended December 31, 2012, we recorded \$2.5 million of net losses on our trading portfolio, compared to \$14.5 million of net losses for the year ended December 31, 2011. The losses in our trading portfolio in 2011 reflect a particularly steep decline in the value of our equity securities holdings occurring mostly during the second half of the year. As discussed under Item 1. Business Investments. Our investment committee recently authorized management to engage Deutsche Bank, a leading global third-party investment advisor specializing in the insurance industry, to manage our investment portfolio. Working with the investment advisor, we expect to transition the composition of our portfolio to a more traditional insurance company investment portfolio which we expect will provide a more stable stream of investment income and reduce the effects of market volatility. We currently anticipate the majority of the portfolio will be available for sale with changes in fair value reflected in stockholders equity with the exception of any other than temporary impairments which are reflected in earnings.

---

**Table of Contents**

**RECENT DEVELOPMENTS**

As we have previously noted, we are subject to extensive regulation and regulatory examination. The Insurance Entities are now at varying stages of financial or market conduct examination by the OIR. Such examination and review may identify compliance issues that ultimately result in the OIR alleging violations of Florida insurance regulations or recommending areas of operational changes or improvements. The Insurance Entities are in regular conversation with the OIR and are provided opportunities to respond to any such allegations or suggested changes. For more information about the potential impact of regulations and examinations on our operations, see the headings "We are subject to extensive regulation and potential further restrictive regulation may increase our operating costs and limit our growth" and "Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to examination by state insurance departments" under "Risk Factors" in Item 1A, beginning on page 8.

Effective February 22, 2013, Bradley I. Meier resigned as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company to pursue opportunities outside the residential homeowners insurance industry. Also effective February 22, 2013, Sean P. Downes became the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Jon W. Springer became the Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company.

***Impact of new accounting pronouncement***

We prospectively adopted new accounting guidance in the first quarter of 2012 related to accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. Under the new guidance, net deferred policy acquisition costs were reduced from \$13.0 million to \$11.4 million, a difference of 13% at December 31, 2011. The resulting \$1.6 million difference was charged directly to earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2012. This charge represents an acceleration of deferred charges in the period of adoption, which would have ultimately been recognized within a twelve-month period.

***2012-2013 Reinsurance Program***

Effective June 1, 2012, we entered into multiple reinsurance agreements comprising our 2012-2013 reinsurance program.

**REINSURANCE GENERALLY**

In the normal course of business, we limit the maximum net loss that can arise from large risks, risks in concentrated areas of exposure and catastrophes, such as hurricanes or other similar loss occurrences, by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurers or reinsurers through our reinsurance agreements. Our intention is to limit our exposure and the exposure of the Insurance Entities, thereby protecting stockholders' equity and the Insurance Entities' capital and surplus, even in the event of catastrophic occurrences, through reinsurance agreements. Without these reinsurance agreements, the Insurance Entities would be more substantially exposed to catastrophic losses with a greater likelihood that those losses could exceed their statutory capital and surplus. Any such catastrophic event, or multiple catastrophes, could have a material adverse effect on the Insurance Entities' solvency and our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

Below is a description of our 2012-2013 reinsurance program. Although the terms of the individual contracts vary, we believe the overall terms of the 2012-2013 reinsurance program are more favorable than the 2011-2012 reinsurance program as reinsurance pricing remained largely the same as the prior year contracts while direct earned premium is expected to increase as a result of the previously approved and expected future rate increases. We also reduced the percentage of premiums ceded by UPCIC to its quota share reinsurer to 45% under the reinsurance program which became effective June 1, 2012, from 50% under the prior year quota share contract effective June 1, 2011 through May 31, 2012. Our intent is to increase profitability over the contract term by ceding 5% less premium to our quota share reinsurer. This reduction of cession rate also decreases the amount of losses and loss adjustment expenses that may be ceded by UPCIC and effectively increases the amount of risk we retain. The reduction of cession rate also reduces the amount of ceding commissions earned from our quota share reinsurer during the contract term. We also eliminated the loss corridor and the cap on loss adjustment expenses in the quota share contract effective June 1, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

The Insurance Entities are responsible for insured losses related to catastrophic events in excess of coverage provided by their reinsurance programs. The Insurance Entities also remain responsible for insured losses in the event of the failure of any reinsurer to make payments otherwise due to the Insurance Entities. The Insurance Entities' inability to satisfy valid insurance claims resulting from catastrophic events could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition and liquidity.

**UPCIC REINSURANCE PROGRAM**

Effective June 1, 2012, UPCIC entered into a quota share reinsurance contract with Odyssey Reinsurance Company. Under the quota share contract, through May 31, 2013, UPCIC cedes 45% of its gross written premiums, losses and loss adjustment expenses for policies with coverage for wind risk with a ceding commission equal to 25% of ceded gross written premiums. In addition, the quota share contract has a limitation for any one occurrence not to exceed \$75 million (of which UPCIC's net liability on the first \$75 million of losses in a first event scenario is \$24.75 million, in a second event scenario is \$27.5 million and in a third event scenario is \$16.5 million) and a limitation from losses arising out of events that are assigned a catastrophe serial number by the Property Claims Services (PCS) office not to exceed \$180 million. The contract limits the amount of premium which can be deducted for inuring reinsurance to the lesser of actual costs or 32% of gross earned premium, excluding reinstatement premiums, or the lesser of actual costs or 32% of gross earned premium plus a maximum additional of \$135.978 million including reinstatement premiums, if any.

Effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, UPCIC entered into a multiple line excess per risk contract with various reinsurers. Under the multiple line excess per risk contract, UPCIC obtained coverage of \$1.4 million in excess of \$600 thousand ultimate net loss for each risk and each property loss, and \$1 million in excess of \$300 thousand for each casualty loss. The contract has a limitation for any one occurrence not to exceed \$1.4 million and a \$7 million aggregate limit that applies to the term of the contract. Effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, UPCIC entered into a property per risk excess contract covering ex-wind only policies. Under the property per risk excess contract, UPCIC obtained coverage of \$350 thousand in excess of \$250 thousand for each property loss. The contract has a limitation for any one occurrence not to exceed \$1.05 million and a \$1.75 million aggregate limit that applies to the term of the contract.

Effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, under an underlying excess catastrophe contract, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 45% of \$75 million in excess of \$75 million and 55% of \$105 million in excess of \$45 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. UPCIC entered into this contract with a segregated account, Segregated Account T25 - Universal Insurance Holdings of White Rock Insurance (SAC) Ltd. (T25), which is owned by UIH and was established by a third-party reinsurer under Bermuda law. Under this T25 agreement, T25 retains a maximum, pre-tax liability of \$91.5 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$1.733 billion of losses. UPCIC is required to make premium installment payments aggregating \$72.981 million to T25, subject to the terms of the agreement. Through capital contributions made to T25 by UIH, T25 contributes an amount equal to its liability for losses, net of UPCIC's required premium payments and expenses thereon, to a trust account as collateral. The trust account is funded with the required collateral and invested in a cash reserve fund. The amounts held in the cash reserve fund are included in restricted cash and cash equivalents in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. The collateral is available to be used to pay any claims that may arise from the occurrence of covered events. The collateral is required to be held in trust for the benefit of UPCIC until the occurrence of a covered event or expiration or termination of the agreement between T25 and UPCIC. UIH has no requirement to fund T25 in the event losses exceed the amount of collateral held in trust.

UIH has secured the obligations of the segregated account by contributing the amount of the segregated account's liability for losses, net of UPCIC's required premium payments, to a trust account for the current June 1, 2012 to May 31, 2013 contract period. In the event of a loss under the terms of this contract, the capital contributed by UIH would be used to pay claims and would have an adverse effect on stockholders' equity and cash resources.

The agreements between T25 and the Insurance Entities are a cost-effective alternative to reinsurance that the Insurance Entities would otherwise purchase from third-party reinsurers. While we retain the risk that otherwise would be transferred to third party reinsurers, these agreements provide benefits to the Insurance Entities in no-loss years that cannot be replicated in the open reinsurance market. These benefits include the return to the Insurance Entities of a substantial portion of the earned reinsurance premiums under the contract. All the related intercompany transactions with respect to these agreements are eliminated in consolidation.

Effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, under excess catastrophe contracts, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of \$541.2 million in excess of \$150 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The coverage of \$541.2 million in excess of \$150 million has a second full limit available to UPCIC; additional premium is calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time, as applicable. Effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, UPCIC purchased reinstatement premium protection which reimburses UPCIC for its cost to reinstate the catastrophe coverage of the first \$371.2 million (part of \$541.2 million) in excess of \$150 million.



**Table of Contents**

Effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, UPCIC also obtained subsequent catastrophe event excess of loss reinsurance to cover certain levels of UPCIC's net retention through three catastrophe events including hurricanes. Specifically, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage for a second event of 45% of \$75 million excess of \$75 million in excess of \$75 million otherwise recoverable and 55% of \$100 million excess of \$50 million in excess of \$100 million otherwise recoverable. UPCIC also obtained catastrophe coverage for a third event of \$120 million excess of \$30 million in excess of \$240 million otherwise recoverable.

Effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, under an excess catastrophe contract specifically covering risks located in Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 55% of \$20 million in excess of \$30 million and 55% of \$25 million in excess of \$50 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. Both layers of coverage have a second full limit available to UPCIC; additional premium is calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time, as applicable. The cost of UPCIC's excess catastrophe contracts specifically covering risks in Georgia, North Carolina and South Carolina is \$2.565 million.

UPCIC also obtained coverage from the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund (FHCF). The approximate coverage is estimated to be for 90% of \$1.157 billion in excess of \$434.6 million.

The total cost of UPCIC's multiple line excess and property per risk reinsurance program, effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, is \$4.35 million, of which UPCIC's cost is \$2.618 million, and the quota share reinsurer's cost is the remaining \$1.733 million. The total cost of UPCIC's underlying excess catastrophe contract is \$72.981 million. The total cost of UPCIC's private catastrophe reinsurance program, effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, is \$135.978 million, of which UPCIC's cost is 55%, or \$74.788 million, and the quota share reinsurer's cost is the remaining 45%. In addition, UPCIC purchases reinstatement premium protection as described above, the cost of which is \$24.042 million. The total cost of the subsequent catastrophe event excess of loss reinsurance is \$26.306 million, of which UPCIC's cost is \$16.418 million, and the quota share reinsurer's cost is the remaining \$9.889 million. The estimated premium that UPCIC plans to cede to the FHCF for the 2012 hurricane season is \$77.369 million of which UPCIC's cost is 55%, or \$42.553 million, and the quota share reinsurer's cost is the remaining 45%.

The largest private participants in UPCIC's reinsurance program include leading reinsurance companies such as Odyssey Re, Everest Re, Renaissance Re and Lloyd's of London syndicates.

With the implementation of our 2012-2013 reinsurance program at June 1, 2012, we retain a maximum pre-tax net liability of \$127.47 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$1.733 billion of losses relating to the UPCIC Florida program, and a maximum pre-tax net liability of \$18.796 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$75 million of losses relating to the UPCIC other states program.

Separately from the Insurance Entities' reinsurance programs, UIH protected its own interests against diminution in value due to catastrophe events by purchasing \$80 million in coverage via a catastrophe risk-linked transaction contract, effective June 1, 2012 through December 31, 2012. The contract provides for recovery by UIH in the event of the exhaustion of UPCIC's catastrophe coverage. The total cost to UIH of the risk-linked transaction contract is \$10.960 million.

**APPCIC REINSURANCE PROGRAM**

Effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, under an excess catastrophe contract, APPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of \$5 million in excess of \$1 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The coverage of \$5 million in excess of \$1 million has a second full limit available to APPCIC; additional premium is calculated pro rata as to amount and 100% as to time, as applicable. The total cost of APPCIC's private catastrophe reinsurance program effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013 is \$1.503 million.

APPCIC also obtained coverage from the FHCF. The approximate coverage is estimated to be for 90% of \$13.3 million in excess of \$5.0 million. The estimated premium that APPCIC plans to cede to the FHCF for the 2012 hurricane season is \$888 thousand.

Effective October 1, 2011 through May 31, 2012, APPCIC had entered into a multiple line excess per risk contract with various reinsurers. Effective June 1, 2012, APPCIC elected to extend the multiple line excess per risk contract through June 30, 2012. Under this multiple line excess per risk contract, APPCIC had coverage of \$8.4 million in excess of \$600 thousand ultimate net loss for each risk and each property loss, and \$1 million in excess of \$300 thousand for each casualty loss. A \$21 million aggregate limit applied to the term of the contract.

Effective July 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, APPCIC entered into a multiple line excess per risk contract with various reinsurers. Under the multiple line excess per risk contract, APPCIC obtained three layers of coverage. The first layer provides coverage of \$700 thousand in excess of \$300 thousand ultimate net loss for each risk and each property loss, and \$1 million in excess of \$300 thousand for each casualty loss. The first layer has a limitation for any one property loss occurrence not to exceed \$1.4 million and a \$3.5 million aggregate limit that applies to the term of the contract. The first layer also has a limitation for any one liability loss occurrence not to exceed \$1 million and a \$2 million aggregate limit

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

that applies to the term of the contract. The second layer provides coverage of \$2 million in excess of \$1 million ultimate net loss for each risk and each property loss. The second layer has a limitation for any



---

## **Table of Contents**

one property loss occurrence not to exceed \$2 million and a \$6 million aggregate limit that applies to the term of the contract. The third layer provides coverage of \$6 million in excess of \$3 million ultimate net loss for each risk and each property loss. The third layer has a limitation for any one property loss occurrence not to exceed \$6 million and a \$12 million aggregate limit that applies to the term of the contract.

The total cost of the APPCIC multiple line excess reinsurance program effective July 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013 is \$1.760 million.

The largest private participants in APPCIC's reinsurance program include leading reinsurance companies such as Odyssey Re, Hannover Ruck, Amlin Bermuda and Lloyd's of London syndicates.

With the implementation of our 2012-2013 reinsurance program at July 1, 2012, we retain a maximum pre-tax net liability of \$2.536 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$18.3 million of losses relating to the APPCIC program.

### **Segregated Account T25**

UIH owns and maintains a segregated account, Segregated Account T25 Universal Insurance Holdings of White Rock Insurance (SAC) Ltd. (T25), established in accordance with Bermuda law. As part of our overall reinsurance program, T25 at times enters into underlying excess catastrophe contracts with the Insurance Entities for the purpose of assuming certain risk for specified loss occurrences, including hurricanes. The agreements between T25 and the Insurance Entities are a cost-effective alternative to reinsurance that the Insurance Entities would otherwise purchase from third-party reinsurers. While we retain the risk that otherwise would be transferred to third party reinsurers, these agreements provide benefits to the Insurance Entities in no-loss years that cannot be replicated in the open reinsurance market. These benefits include the return to the Insurance Entities of a substantial portion of the earned reinsurance premiums under the contract. All the related intercompany transactions with respect to these agreements are eliminated in consolidation.

Under the T25 arrangement, effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, under an underlying excess catastrophe contract, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 45% of \$75 million in excess of \$75 million and 55% of \$105 million in excess of \$45 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. Under this T25 agreement, T25 retains a maximum, pre-tax liability of \$91.5 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$1.733 billion of losses. UPCIC is required to make premium installment payments aggregating \$72.981 million to T25, subject to the terms of the agreement. Through capital contributions made to T25 by UIH, T25 contributes an amount equal to its liability for losses, net of UPCIC's required premium payments and expenses thereon, to a trust account as collateral. The trust account is funded with the required collateral and invested in a cash reserve fund. The amounts held in the cash reserve fund are included in restricted cash and cash equivalents in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The collateral is available to be used to pay any claims that may arise from the occurrence of covered events. The collateral is required to be held in trust for the benefit of UPCIC until the occurrence of a covered event or expiration or termination of the agreement between T25 and UPCIC. UIH has no requirement to fund T25 in the event losses exceed the amount of collateral held in trust.

Reinsurance agreements between T25 and the Insurance Entities are generally terminated on or about May 31 and December 31 each year and replaced with similarly structured agreements or with agreements with third party reinsurers effective June 1 and January 1, respectively. The terminations effective May 31 are intended to coordinate and integrate the replacement contracts into the Insurance Entities' overall reinsurance program and the related changes to limits and retention levels for the subsequent contract year (i.e., June 1 through May 31). The terminations effective December 31 are intended to provide the aforementioned benefit of return premium to the Insurance Entities.

The T25 agreement effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013 was terminated effective December 31, 2012, pursuant to the terms of the agreement. In connection with the termination of the agreement, the affiliates agreed to release funds held in trust due to the beneficiary (i.e., the Insurance Entities) and the balance to the grantor (i.e., UIH) in December 2012.

We continually evaluate strategies to more effectively manage our exposure to catastrophe losses, including the maintenance of catastrophic reinsurance coverage. Effective January 1, 2013, the T25 contract was subsequently replaced, at identical limits and retentions as the prior agreement, with unaffiliated third-party reinsurers as an open market purchase. Effective January 1, 2013 through May 31, 2013, under an excess catastrophe contract, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 45% of \$75 million in excess of \$75 million and 55% of \$105 million in excess of \$45 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The total cost of this reinsurance coverage is \$2.7 million. For further discussion of risks associated with our reinsurance programs, see Item 1A. RISK FACTORS Reinsurance may be unavailable at current levels and prices, which may limit our ability to write new business.

### **Wind Mitigation Discounts**

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

The insurance premiums charged by the Insurance Entities are subject to various statutory and regulatory requirements. Among these, the Insurance Entities must offer wind mitigation discounts in accordance with a program mandated by the Florida Legislature and implemented by the OIR. The level of wind mitigation discounts mandated by the Florida Legislature to be effective June 1, 2007 for new business and August 1, 2007 for renewal business have had a significant negative effect on the Insurance Entities' premium.

**Table of Contents**

The following table reflects the effect of wind mitigation credits received by UPCIC's policy holders, respectively (in thousands):

Date	Reduction of in-force premium (only policies including wind coverage)			
	Percentage of UPCIC's policy holders receiving credits	Total credits	In-force premium	Percentage reduction of in-force premium
6/1/2007	1.9%	\$ 6,285	\$ 487,866	1.3%
12/31/2007	11.8%	\$ 31,952	\$ 500,136	6.0%
3/31/2008	16.9%	\$ 52,398	\$ 501,523	9.5%
6/30/2008	21.3%	\$ 74,186	\$ 508,412	12.7%
9/30/2008	27.3%	\$ 97,802	\$ 515,560	16.0%
12/31/2008	31.1%	\$ 123,525	\$ 514,011	19.4%
3/31/2009	36.3%	\$ 158,230	\$ 530,030	23.0%
6/30/2009	40.4%	\$ 188,053	\$ 544,646	25.7%
9/30/2009	43.0%	\$ 210,292	\$ 554,379	27.5%
12/31/2009	45.2%	\$ 219,974	\$ 556,557	28.3%
3/31/2010	47.8%	\$ 235,718	\$ 569,870	29.3%
6/30/2010	50.9%	\$ 281,386	\$ 620,277	31.2%
9/30/2010	52.4%	\$ 291,306	\$ 634,285	31.5%
12/31/2010	54.2%	\$ 309,858	\$ 648,408	32.3%
3/31/2011	55.8%	\$ 325,511	\$ 660,303	33.0%
6/30/2011	56.4%	\$ 322,640	\$ 673,951	32.4%
9/30/2011	57.1%	\$ 324,313	\$ 691,031	31.9%
12/31/2011	57.7%	\$ 324,679	\$ 702,905	31.6%
3/31/2012	57.9%	\$ 321,016	\$ 716,117	31.0%
6/30/2012	58.0%	\$ 319,639	\$ 722,917	30.7%
9/30/2012	58.2%	\$ 329,871	\$ 740,265	30.8%
12/31/2012	58.6%	\$ 334,028	\$ 744,435	31.0%

The following table reflects the effect of wind mitigation credits received by APPCIC's policy holders, respectively (in thousands):

Date	Reduction of in-force premium (only policies including wind coverage)			
	Percentage of APPCIC's policy holders receiving credits	Total credits	In-force premium	Percentage reduction of in-force premium
12/31/2011	96.0%	\$ 636	\$ 554	53.4%
3/31/2012	89.4%	\$ 2,270	\$ 2,047	52.6%
6/30/2012	90.5%	\$ 6,167	\$ 5,139	54.5%
9/30/2012	94.0%	\$ 12,419	\$ 8,827	58.5%
12/31/2012	97.0%	\$ 16,059	\$ 9,874	61.9%

**Table of Contents**

The following table reflects the combined effect of wind mitigation credits received by our Insurance Entities policy holders (in thousands):

Date	Reduction of in-force premium (only policies including wind coverage)			
	Percentage of Insurance Entities policy holders receiving credits	Total credits	In-force premium	Percentage reduction of in-force premium
6/1/2007	1.9%	\$ 6,285	\$ 487,866	1.3%
12/31/2007	11.8%	\$ 31,952	\$ 500,136	6.0%
3/31/2008	16.9%	\$ 52,398	\$ 501,523	9.5%
6/30/2008	21.3%	\$ 74,186	\$ 508,412	12.7%
9/30/2008	27.3%	\$ 97,802	\$ 515,560	16.0%
12/31/2008	31.1%	\$ 123,525	\$ 514,011	19.4%
3/31/2009	36.3%	\$ 158,230	\$ 530,030	23.0%
6/30/2009	40.4%	\$ 188,053	\$ 544,646	25.7%
9/30/2009	43.0%	\$ 210,292	\$ 554,379	27.5%
12/31/2009	45.2%	\$ 219,974	\$ 556,557	28.3%
3/31/2010	47.8%	\$ 235,718	\$ 569,870	29.3%
6/30/2010	50.9%	\$ 281,386	\$ 620,277	31.2%
9/30/2010	52.4%	\$ 291,306	\$ 634,285	31.5%
12/31/2010	54.2%	\$ 309,858	\$ 648,408	32.3%
3/31/2011	55.8%	\$ 325,511	\$ 660,303	33.0%
6/30/2011	56.4%	\$ 322,640	\$ 673,951	32.4%
9/30/2011	57.1%	\$ 324,313	\$ 691,031	31.9%
12/31/2011	57.7%	\$ 325,315	\$ 703,459	31.6%
3/31/2012	57.9%	\$ 323,286	\$ 718,164	31.0%
6/30/2012	58.0%	\$ 325,806	\$ 728,056	30.9%
9/30/2012	58.3%	\$ 342,290	\$ 749,092	31.4%
12/31/2012	58.6%	\$ 350,087	\$ 754,309	31.7%

Insurers like the Insurance Entities fully experience the impact of rate or discount changes more than 12 months after they are implemented because their policies renew throughout the year. Although insurers may seek to rectify any problems through subsequent rate increase filings with the OIR, there is no assurance that the OIR and the insurers will agree on the amount of rate change that is needed. In addition, any adjustments to the insurers rates similarly take more than 12 months to be fully integrated into the insurers business.

**CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES**

*Use of Estimates.* The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( U.S. GAAP ) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Our primary areas of estimate are described below.

*Recognition of Premium Revenues.* Property and liability premiums are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the policy term. The portion of premiums that will be earned in the future are deferred and reported as unearned premiums. Management believes that its revenue recognition policies conform to Staff Accounting Bulletin 101, *Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements*.

*Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE.* A liability is established to provide for the estimated costs of paying losses and LAE under insurance policies the Insurance Entities have issued. Underwriting results are significantly influenced by an estimate of a liability for unpaid losses and LAE. The liability is an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including claims that have been incurred but not reported ( IBNR ), as of the financial statement date.

*Characteristics of Reserves.* Reserves are established based on estimates of the ultimate cost to settle claims, less losses that have been paid. Claims are typically reported promptly with relatively little reporting lag between the date of occurrence and the date the loss is reported. UPCIC s claim settlement data suggests that homeowners property losses have an average settlement time of less than one year, while

homeowners liability losses generally take an average of about two years to settle.

**Table of Contents**

Reserves are the difference between the estimated ultimate cost of losses incurred and the amount of paid losses as of the reporting date. Reserves are estimated for both reported and unreported claims, and include estimates of all expenses associated with processing and settling all incurred claims. We update reserve estimates periodically as new information becomes available or as events emerge that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior year reserve estimates (reserve re-estimates), which may be material, are determined by comparing updated estimates of ultimate losses to prior estimates, and the differences are recorded as losses and LAE in the Consolidated Statements of Income in the period such changes are determined. Estimating the ultimate cost of losses and LAE is an inherently uncertain and complex process involving a high degree of judgment and is subject to the evaluation of numerous variables.

*The Actuarial Methods used to Develop Reserve Estimates.* Reserves for losses and LAE are determined in three separate steps. These steps are the estimation of reserves for non-catastrophe loss and defense and cost containment ( DCC ) expenses (hereafter referred to simply as losses), estimation of reserves for hurricane experience, and estimation of reserves for Adjusting and Other ( AO ) expenses. These three steps are further separated into the analysis of data groupings of like exposure. These groups are property damage on homeowner policy forms HO-3 and HO-8 combined, property damage on homeowner policy forms HO-4 and HO-6 combined, dwelling fire property damage, all homeowner liability exposure, other liability (the optional liability coverage offered to dwelling fire policyholders), and all hurricane experience combined.

Reserve estimates for non-catastrophe losses are derived using several different actuarial estimation methods that are variations on one primary actuarial technique. That actuarial technique is known as a chain ladder estimation process in which historical loss patterns are applied to actual paid losses and reported losses (paid losses plus individual case reserves established by claim adjusters) for an accident year to create an estimate of how losses are likely to develop over time. This technique forms the basis of the six actuarial methods described below. An accident year refers to classifying claims based on the year in which the claims occurred, regardless of the date it was reported to the Insurance Entities. This analysis is used to prepare estimates of required reserves for payments to be made in the future. The key data elements used to determine our reserve estimates include claim counts, paid losses, paid DCC, case reserves, and the related development factors applicable to this data.

The first method for estimating unpaid amounts for non-hurricane losses is the reported development method. This method is based upon the assumption that the relative change in a given year's reported loss estimates from one evaluation point to the next is similar to the relative change in prior years' reported loss estimates at similar evaluation points. In utilizing this method, actual annual historical reported loss data is evaluated. Successive years can be arranged to form a triangle of data. Report-to-report ( RTR ) development factors are calculated to measure the change in cumulative reported losses from one evaluation point to the next. These historical RTR factors form the basis for selecting the RTR factors used in projecting the current valuation of losses to an ultimate basis. In addition, a tail factor is selected to account for loss development beyond the observed experience. The tail factor is based on trends shown in the data and consideration of industry loss development benchmarks. This method's implicit assumption is that the relative adequacy of case reserves has been consistent over time, and that there have been no material changes in the rate at which claims have been reported.

The second method is the paid development method. This method is similar to the reported development method; however, case reserves are excluded from the analysis. While this method has the disadvantage of not recognizing the information provided by current case reserves, it has the advantage of avoiding potential distortions in the data due to changes in case reserving methodology. This method's implicit assumption is that the rate of payment of claims has been relatively consistent over time.

The third method is the reported Bornhuetter-Ferguson ( B-F ) method. This method is essentially a blend of two other methods. The first method is the loss development method (described above), whereby actual reported losses are multiplied by an expected loss development factor. For slow reporting coverages, the loss development method can lead to erratic and unreliable projections, because a relatively small swing in early reporting periods can result in a large swing in ultimate projections. The second method is the expected loss method (a description of the expected loss method follows the description of the reported B-F method), whereby the IBNR estimate equals the difference between predetermined estimates of expected losses and actual reported losses. This has the advantage of stability, but it does not respond to actual results as they emerge. The reported B-F method combines these two methods by setting ultimate losses equal to actual reported losses plus expected unreported losses. As an experience year matures and expected unreported losses become smaller, the initial expected loss assumption becomes gradually less important. Two parameters are needed to apply the B-F method: the initial expected loss ratio and the expected reporting pattern. The initial expected loss ratio for each accident year other than the current year is set equal to the estimated ultimate loss ratio from the prior analysis. The initial expected loss ratio for the current year is determined based on trends in historical ratios, rate changes, and underlying loss trends. The expected reporting pattern is based on the reported loss development method described above. This method is often used for long-tail lines and in situations where the reported loss experience is relatively immature or lacks sufficient credibility for the application of other methods.

## **Table of Contents**

As mentioned above, one component of the B-F method is the expected loss method. In this method, ultimate loss projections are based upon some prior measure of the anticipated losses, usually relative to some measure of exposure, such as premiums, revenues, or payroll. An expected loss ratio (or loss cost/pure premium) is applied to the measure of exposure to determine estimated ultimate losses for each year. Actual losses are not considered in this calculation. This method has the advantage of stability over time because the ultimate loss estimates do not change unless the exposures or pure premiums change. However, this advantage of stability is offset by a lack of responsiveness, since this method does not consider actual loss experience as it emerges. This method is based on the assumption that the pure premium per unit of exposure is a good indication of ultimate losses. It is entirely dependent on pricing assumptions.

The fourth method is the paid B-F method. This method is analogous to the reported B-F method using paid losses and development patterns in place of reported losses and patterns.

The fifth method is the reported counts and averages method. In this method, an estimate of unpaid losses is determined by separately projecting ultimate reported claim counts and ultimate reported claim severities (cost per reported claim) for each accident period. Typically, loss development methods are used to project ultimate claim counts and claim severities based on historical data using the same methodology described in the reported development method above. Estimated ultimate losses are then calculated as the product of the two items. This method is intended to avoid data distortions that may exist with the other methods for the most recent years as a result of changes in case reserve levels, settlement rates, etc. In addition, it may provide insight into the drivers of loss experience.

The sixth method is the paid counts and averages method. This method is analogous to the reported counts and averages method using paid claims counts and paid claim severities and their related development patterns in place of reported data.

In selecting the RTR development factors described above, due consideration is given to how the RTR development factors change from one year to the next over the course of several consecutive years of recent history. In addition to the loss development triangles cited above, various diagnostic triangles, such as triangles showing historical patterns in the ratio of paid to reported losses and paid to reported claim counts are typically prepared as a means of determining the stability of various determinants of loss development, such as consistency in claims settlement and case reserving.

The implicit assumption of these techniques is that the selected RTR factors combine to form loss development patterns that are predictive of future loss development. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process, the implicit assumption being that the selected development factors includes an adequate provision. Occasionally, unusual aberrations in loss patterns are caused by external and internal factors such as changes in claim reporting, settlement patterns, unusually large losses, an unusually large amount of catastrophe losses, process changes, legal or regulatory changes, and other influences. In these instances, analyses of alternate development factor selections are performed to evaluate the effect of these factors, and actuarial judgment is applied to make appropriate development factor assumptions needed to develop a best estimate of ultimate losses.

The six methods described above all produce an estimate of ultimate losses. Based on the results of these six methods, a single estimate (commonly referred to as an actuarial point/central estimate) of the ultimate loss is selected. Estimated IBNR reserves are determined by subtracting the reported loss from the selected ultimate loss. The estimated IBNR reserves are added to case reserves to determine the total estimated unpaid losses.

Estimates of unpaid losses for hurricane experience are not developed using company specific development patterns, due to the relatively infrequent nature of storms and the high severity typically associated with them. Both the reported development method and the paid development method were used to estimate ultimate losses. However, the development patterns were based on industry data determined by our consulting actuary. There is an inherent assumption that relying on industry development patterns as opposed to company specific patterns produces more credible results for projecting hurricane losses.

Estimated unpaid amounts for non-catastrophe AO expenses are determined as the product of the estimated number of outstanding claims (whether open or unreported) times an estimate of average AO per claim. Universal's claims are handled by UAC, a wholly-owned subsidiary.

The procedure we followed was to begin by developing a history of average AO expenses per closed claim (whether with or without payment) for each line of business. Since average fees have increased dramatically in the last two years, we placed reliance primarily on the indications from those two years in making our selections. The selected average AO expense was then multiplied by the estimated number of claims to be closed in the future (whether with or without payment) to determine an estimated liability. The estimated number of claims to be closed in the future was determined by projecting reported claims for each accident year to an estimated ultimate basis using the traditional development factor method, then subtracting the total number of claims that had been closed as of the end of the calendar year (whether with or without payment).

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

In the case of the AO liabilities associated with hurricane exposure, a similar procedure was used to determine an estimate of the average AO expense per closed claim as was used for the non-catastrophe AO liabilities. However, a different procedure was used to estimate the number of claims to be closed in the future since the reported claim count development method would not produce a



## Table of Contents

reliable estimate of ultimate hurricane claims. Three separate estimates of outstanding claims were determined. In one method, estimated outstanding claims were determined as the ratio of estimated unpaid losses to estimated claim severity, where claim severity was estimated as the ratio of paid losses to closed claims. In the second method, estimated outstanding claims were determined as the ratio of estimated unpaid losses to estimated claim severity, where estimated claim severity was determined as the ratio of reported losses to reported claims. In the third method, estimated outstanding claims were determined as the ratio of estimated unpaid losses to estimated claim severity, where claim severity was determined as the ratio of case reserves to open claims. Based on these three methods a final selection was made on estimated outstanding claim counts. The final selection is multiplied by estimated average AO expense per claim to derive the estimated liability.

*How Reserve Estimates are Established and Updated.* Reserve estimates are developed for both open claims and IBNR claims. The actuarial methods described above are used to derive claim settlement patterns by determining development factors to be applied to specific data elements. Development factors are calculated for data elements such as claim counts reported and settled, paid losses and paid losses combined with case reserves. The historical development patterns for these data elements are used as the assumptions to calculate reserve estimates.

Often, different estimates are prepared for each detailed component, incorporating alternative analyses of changing claim settlement patterns and other influences on losses, from which we select our best estimate for each component, occasionally incorporating additional analyses and actuarial judgment, as described above. These estimates are not based on a single set of assumptions. Based on our review of these estimates, our best estimate of required reserves is recorded for each accident year, and the required reserves are summed to create the reserve balance carried on our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

Reserves are re-estimated periodically, by combining historical payment and reserving patterns with current actual results. When actual development of claims reported, paid losses or case reserve changes are different than the historical development pattern used in a prior period reserve estimate, a new reserve is determined. This process incorporates the historic and latest trends, and other underlying changes in the data elements used to calculate reserve estimates. The difference between indicated reserves based on new reserve estimates and the previously recorded estimate of reserves is the amount of reserve re-estimate. The resulting increase or decrease in the reserve re-estimate is recorded and included in Losses and loss adjustment expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Income. Total reserve re-estimates in 2012, 2011 and 2010, expressed as a percent of the net losses and LAE liability balance as of the beginning of each year, were 6.8%, 14.4% and 9.2%, respectively. It should be noted that there are inherent uncertainties associated with this estimation process, especially for a company with limited development history. With the passing of each year, the company's own historical trends have become more reliable for use in predicting future results. Reserve re-estimates for 2012 were primarily the result of actual loss development on prior year non-catastrophe losses and higher than expected adjusting and other expenses. Reserve re-estimates on non-catastrophe losses are a result of settling homeowner's losses established in the prior year for amounts that were more than expected. Because these trends cause actual losses to differ from those predicted by the estimated development factors used in prior reserve estimates, reserves are revised as actuarial studies validate new trends based on indications of updated development factor calculations. Reserve re-estimates for catastrophe losses, which are associated with the high level of uncertainty related to hurricane claims are described under catastrophe losses below.

*Factors Affecting Reserve Estimates.* Reserve estimates are developed based on the processes and historical development trends as previously described. These estimates are considered in conjunction with known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, differing payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms, changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. When we experience changes of the type previously mentioned, we may need to apply actuarial judgment in the determination and selection of development factors considered more reflective of the new trends, such as combining shorter or longer periods of historical results with current actual results to produce development factors. For example, if a legal change is expected to have a significant impact on the development of claim severity, actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors that will most accurately reflect the expected impact on that specific estimate. Another example would be when a change in economic conditions is expected to affect the cost of repairs to property; actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors to use in the reserve estimate that will most accurately reflect the expected impacts on severity development.

As claims are reported, for certain liability claims of sufficient size and complexity, the field adjusting staff establishes case reserve estimates of ultimate cost, based on their assessment of facts and circumstances related to each individual claim. For other claims which occur in large volumes and settle in a relatively short time frame, it is not practical or efficient to set case reserves for each claim, and an initial case reserve of \$2,500 is set for these claims. In the normal course of business, we may also supplement our claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims.

Changes in homeowners current year claim severity are generally influenced by inflation in the cost of building materials, the cost of construction and property repair services, the cost of replacing home furnishings and other contents, the types of claims that qualify for coverage, deductibles and other economic and environmental factors. We employ various loss management programs to mitigate the effect of these factors.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

As loss experience for the current year develops for each type of loss, it is monitored relative to initial assumptions until it is judged to have sufficient statistical credibility. From that point in time and forward, reserves are re-estimated using statistical actuarial processes

---

## **Table of Contents**

to reflect the impact loss trends have on development factors incorporated into the actuarial estimation processes. Statistical credibility is usually achieved by the end of the first calendar year; however, when trends for the current accident year exceed initial assumptions sooner, they are usually given credibility, and reserves are increased accordingly.

Key assumptions that materially affect the estimate of the reserve for loss and LAE relate to the effects of emerging claim and coverage issues. As industry practices and legal, judicial, social and other environmental conditions change, unexpected and unintended issues related to claim and coverage may emerge. These issues may adversely affect our business by either extending coverage beyond our underwriting intent or by increasing the number or size of claims. Key assumptions that are premised on future emergence that are inconsistent with historical loss reserve development patterns include but are not limited to:

adverse changes in loss cost trends, including inflationary pressures in home repair costs;

judicial expansion of policy coverage and the impact of new theories of liability; and

plaintiffs targeting property and casualty insurers, in purported class action litigation related to claims-handling and other practices. By applying standard actuarial methods to consolidated historic accident year loss data for homeowner losses, we develop variability analyses consistent with the way we develop reserves by measuring the potential variability of development factors, as described in the section titled, Potential Reserve Estimate Variability below.

*Causes of Reserve Estimate Uncertainty.* Since reserves are estimates of the unpaid portions of claims and claims expenses that have occurred, including IBNR losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, requires regular reevaluation and refinement of estimates to determine our ultimate loss estimate.

At each reporting date, the highest degree of uncertainty in estimates of losses arises from claims remaining to be settled for the current accident year and the most recent preceding accident year and claims that have occurred but have not been reported (pure IBNR claims). The greatest degree of uncertainty exists in the current accident year because the current accident year contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled but must be estimated as of the current reporting date. Most of these losses are related to homes that were damaged. During the first year after the end of an accident year, a large portion of the total losses for that accident year are settled. When accident year losses paid through the end of the first year following the initial accident year are incorporated into updated actuarial estimates, the trends inherent in the settlement of claims emerge more clearly. Consequently, this is the point in time at which we tend to make our largest re-estimates of losses for an accident year. After the second year, the losses that we pay for an accident year typically relate to claims that are more difficult to settle, such as those involving litigation.

*Reserves for Catastrophe Losses.* Loss and LAE reserves also include reserves for catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses are an inherent risk of the property-casualty insurance industry that have contributed, and will continue to contribute, to potentially material year-to-year fluctuations in our results of operations and financial position. A catastrophe is an event that produces significant pre-tax losses before reinsurance and involves multiple first party policyholders, or an event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, tornadoes, wildfires, tropical storms and hurricanes. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted.

The estimation of claims and claims expense reserves for catastrophes also comprises estimates of losses from reported claims and IBNR, primarily for damage to property. In general, our estimates for catastrophe reserves are based on claim adjuster inspections and the application of historical loss development factors as described previously. However, depending on the nature of the catastrophe, as noted above, the estimation process can be further complicated. For example, for hurricanes, complications could include the inability of insureds to be able to promptly report losses, limitations placed on claims adjusting staff affecting their ability to inspect losses, determining whether losses are covered by our homeowners policy (generally for damage caused by wind or wind driven rain), or specifically excluded coverage caused by flood, estimating additional living expenses, and assessing the impact of demand surge, exposure to mold damage, and the effects of numerous other considerations, including the timing of a catastrophe in relation to other events, such as at or near the end of a financial reporting period, which can affect the availability of information needed to estimate reserves for that reporting period. In these situations, we may need to adapt our practices to accommodate these circumstances in order to determine a best estimate of our losses from a catastrophe.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

*Key Actuarial Assumptions That Affect the Loss and LAE Estimate.* The aggregation of estimates for reported losses and IBNR forms the reserve liability recorded in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

To develop a statistical indication of potential reserve variability within reasonably likely possible outcomes, actuarial techniques are applied to the data elements for paid losses and reported losses separately for homeowners losses excluding catastrophe losses and catastrophe losses to estimate the potential variability of our reserves, within a reasonable probability of outcomes.

---

## Table of Contents

At any given point in time, our loss reserve represents our best estimate of the ultimate settlement and administration cost of our insured claims incurred and unpaid. Since the process of estimating loss reserves requires significant judgment due to a number of variables, such as fluctuations in inflation, judicial decisions, legislative changes and changes in claims handling procedures, our ultimate liability may exceed or be less than these estimates. We revise reserves for losses and LAE as additional information becomes available, and reflect adjustments, if any, in earnings in the periods in which they are determined.

On an annual basis, our independent actuary provides a Statement of Actuarial Opinion ( SAO ) that certifies the carried reserves make a reasonable provision for all of the Insurance Entities' unpaid loss and LAE obligations under the terms of our contracts and agreements with our policyholders. We review the SAO and compare the projected ultimate losses and LAE per the SAO to our own projection of ultimate losses and LAE to ensure that loss and LAE reserves recorded at each annual balance sheet date are based upon our analysis of all internal and external factors related to known and unknown claims against us. We compare our recorded reserves to the indicated range provided in the report accompanying the SAO. At December 31, 2012, the recorded amount for net loss and LAE falls within the range determined by our independent actuaries and approximates their best estimate.

In selecting the RTR development factors described above in the section titled *The Actuarial Methods used to Develop Reserve Estimates*, due consideration is given to how the RTR development factors change from one year to the next over the course of several consecutive years of recent history. In addition to the loss development triangles cited above, various diagnostic triangles, such as triangles showing historical patterns in the ratio of paid to reported losses and paid to reported claim counts, are typically prepared as a means of determining the stability of various determinants of loss development, such as consistency in claims settlement and case reserving.

With respect to UPCIC's primary exposure, Florida personal property coverage, the hurricanes in 2004 and 2005 required UPCIC to place more focus on adjusting hurricane claims during 2004, 2005 and into 2006. UPCIC then experienced a surge in non-hurricane claims which led the loss development patterns for non-catastrophe losses to increase substantially in the years during and following the active hurricane seasons of 2004 and 2005.

*Potential Reserve Estimate Variability.* Given the nature of our business (catastrophe-exposed personal property coverage), the methods employed by actuaries include a range of estimated unpaid losses reflecting a level of uncertainty. The range of estimated ultimate losses is typically smaller for older, more mature accident periods and greater for more recent, less mature accident periods. The greatest level of uncertainty is associated with accident years during which catastrophe events occurred. For example, the increased uncertainty associated with accident years 2004 and 2005 increases the bounds of the range.

In selecting the range of reasonable estimates, the assumptions used to select development factors and initial expected loss ratios are not changed. Rather, the range of indications produced by the various methods is inspected, the relative strengths and weaknesses of each method are considered, and from those inputs a range of estimates can be selected.

Projections of loss and LAE liabilities are subject to potentially large errors of estimation since the ultimate disposition of claims incurred prior to the financial statement date, whether reported or not, is subject to the outcome of events that have not yet occurred. Examples of these events include jury decisions, court interpretations, legislative changes, public attitudes, and social/economic conditions such as inflation. Any estimate of future costs is subject to the inherent limitation on one's ability to predict the aggregate course of future events. It should therefore be expected that the actual emergence of losses and LAE will vary, perhaps materially, from any estimate.

The inherent uncertainty associated with the Insurance Entities' loss and LAE liability is magnified due to their concentration of property business in catastrophe-exposed coastal states, primarily Florida. The 2004 and 2005 hurricanes created great uncertainty in determining ultimate losses for these natural catastrophes. Issues related to applicability of deductibles, availability and cost of repair services and materials, and other factors have increased the variability in estimates of the related loss reserves. UPCIC experienced unanticipated unfavorable loss development on catastrophe losses from claims related to 2004 and 2005 being reopened and new claims being opened due to public adjusters encouraging policyholders to file new claims, and from assessments related to condominium policies. Due to the inherent uncertainty, the parameters of the loss estimation methodologies are updated on an annual basis as new information emerges.

*Adequacy of Reserve Estimates.* We believe our net loss and LAE reserves are appropriately established based on available methodology, facts, technology, laws and regulations. We calculate and record a single best reserve estimate, in conformance with generally accepted actuarial standards, for reported losses and IBNR losses and as a result we believe no other estimate is better than our recorded amount.

We have created a proprietary claims analysis tool (P2P) to analyze and calculate reserves. P2P is a custom built application that aggregates, analyzes and forecasts reserves based on historical data that spans more than a decade. It identifies historical claims data using same like kind and quality variables that exist in present claims and sets forth appropriate, more accurate reserves on current claims. P2P is reviewed by

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

management on a weekly basis in reviewing the topography of existing and incoming claims. P2P will be analyzed at each quarters end and adjustments to reserves are made at an aggregate level when appropriate.

---

## **Table of Contents**

P2P was initially used for 2010 third quarter reserve analysis resulting in an increase in 2009 and 2010 loss year reserves. Further refinements were put into place in 2010 fourth quarter which included inflation adjustments for past claims into 2010 dollars (inflation guard). P2P was reviewed by an independent third party for data integrity and system reliability. This program is used for quarterly reviews on an ongoing basis. Due to the uncertainties involved, the scenarios described and quantified above are reasonably likely, but the ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on our best estimates. The liability for unpaid losses and LAE at December 31, 2012 is \$193.2 million.

*Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs/Deferred Ceding Commissions.* We incur costs in connection with the production of new and renewal insurance policies that are referred to as policy acquisition costs. Commissions and state premium taxes are costs of acquiring insurance policies that vary with, and are directly related to, the successful production of new and renewal business. These costs are deferred and amortized over the period during which the premiums are earned on the underlying policies. We record income in the form of ceding commissions from certain reinsurers in connection with our quota share reinsurance contracts. We estimate the amount of ceding commissions to be deferred on a basis consistent with the deferral of acquisition costs incurred with the production of the original policies issued and the terms of the applicable reinsurance contracts. The deferred ceding commissions are offset against the deferred policy acquisition costs with the net result presented as deferred policy acquisition costs, net on our consolidated balance sheets. As of December 31, 2012, deferred policy acquisition costs were \$54.4 million and deferred ceding commissions were \$37.1 million. Deferred policy acquisition costs were reduced by deferred ceding commissions and shown net on the Consolidated Balance Sheet in the amount of \$17.3 million.

*Provision for Premium Deficiency.* Our policy is to evaluate and recognize losses on insurance contracts when estimated future claims and maintenance costs under a group of existing contracts will exceed anticipated future premiums and investment income. The determination of the provision for premium deficiency requires estimation of the costs of losses, catastrophic reinsurance and policy maintenance to be incurred and investment income to be earned over the remaining policy period. Management has determined that a provision for premium deficiency was not warranted as of December 31, 2012.

*Reinsurance.* In the normal course of business, we seek to reduce the risk of loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers. While ceding premiums to reinsurers reduces our risk of exposure in the event of catastrophic losses, it also reduces our potential for greater profits in the event that such catastrophic events do not occur. We believe that the extent of our reinsurance is typical of a company of our size in the homeowners insurance industry. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the provisions of the reinsurance agreement and consistent with the establishment of our liability. The Insurance Entities reinsurance policies do not relieve them from their obligations to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses; consequently, allowances are established for amounts deemed uncollectible. No such allowance was deemed necessary as of December 31, 2012.

## **OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS**

We had no off-balance sheet arrangements during 2012.

## **RELATED PARTIES**

Downes and Associates, a multi-line insurance adjustment corporation based in Deerfield Beach, Florida performs certain claims adjusting work for the Insurance Entities. Downes and Associates is owned by Dennis Downes, who is the father of Sean P. Downes, our President and Chief Executive Officer. During 2012, 2011 and 2010, we paid claims adjusting fees of \$623 thousand, \$753 thousand, \$480 thousand, respectively, to Downes and Associates.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011**

Net income increased by \$10.2 million, or 50.7%, primarily attributable to an increase in net premiums earned and improved performance in the investment portfolio, partially offset by an increase in general and administrative expenses. The increase in net premiums earned in 2012 outpaced the increase in total operating costs and expenses. The rate increases which have taken affect over the past 24 months are providing positive results that somewhat offset the negative effect of wind mitigation credits.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the changes in each line item of our Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Change	
	2012	2011	\$	%
<b>PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES</b>				
Direct premiums written	\$ 780,128	\$ 721,462	\$ 58,666	8.1%
Ceded premiums written	(517,604)	(512,979)	(4,625)	0.9%
Net premiums written	262,524	208,483	54,041	25.9%
Change in net unearned premium	(31,404)	(9,498)	(21,906)	230.6%
Premiums earned, net	231,120	198,985	32,135	16.1%
Net investment income (expense)	441	788	(347)	44.0%
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	(11,943)	2,350	(14,293)	NM
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	9,443	(18,410)	27,853	NM
Net foreign currency gains (losses) on investments	22	1,532	(1,510)	98.6%
Commission revenue	20,383	19,507	876	4.5%
Policy fees	14,475	15,298	(823)	5.4%
Other revenue	5,998	5,811	187	3.2%
Total premiums earned and other revenues	269,939	225,861	44,078	19.5%
<b>OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES</b>				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	126,187	124,309	1,878	1.5%
General and administrative expenses	91,193	67,834	23,359	34.4%
Total operating costs and expenses	217,380	192,143	25,237	13.1%
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>				
Income taxes, current	18,434	23,152	(4,718)	20.4%
Income taxes, deferred	3,813	(9,543)	13,356	140.0%
Income taxes, net	22,247	13,609	8,638	63.5%
<b>NET INCOME AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 30,312</b>	<b>\$ 20,109</b>	<b>\$ 10,203</b>	<b>50.7%</b>

NM - Not meaningful.

The following discussion provides comparative information for significant changes to the components of net income and comprehensive income for 2012 compared to 2011.

The increase in net earned premiums of \$32.1 million, or 16.1% compared to the prior year, reflects an increase in direct earned premiums of \$61.9 million partially offset by an increase in ceded earned premiums of \$29.8 million. The increase in direct earned premiums is due primarily to rate increases over the past 24 months. These rate increases, along with strategic initiatives we have undertaken to manage our exposure such as the decision not to renew certain policies we believe have inadequate premiums relative to projected risks and expenses, have resulted in a moderate reduction in the number of policies in force even as the amount of direct written premiums have increased. The benefit from the rate increases continued to be partially offset by wind mitigation credits within the state of Florida. The increase in ceded earned premiums of \$29.8 million is attributable to an increase in quota-share ceded premiums in proportion to the growth in direct written premiums, a \$5.4 million increase related to the settlement of a dispute with our predecessor quota-share reinsurer and \$4.4 million in 2012 relating to an underlying property catastrophe excess of loss reinsurance contract with an unaffiliated third-party reinsurer that did not exist during 2011. The after-tax effect of the settlement with our predecessor quota share reinsurer was \$0.08 per diluted share. These increases were partially offset by a reduction in the quota-share cession rate from 50% for the 2011-2012 reinsurance program to 45% for the 2012-2013 reinsurance program.



## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

The reduction in net investment income reflects a reduction in the amount of interest earning securities held in the investment portfolio and non-recurring charges for investment accounting services as we converted to a new investment accounting service provider.

Net realized losses on investments of \$11.9 million recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012 reflect loss in value of investments sold during the period. The majority of realized losses recorded during the year ended December 31, 2012 were in the metals and mining sector.

We hold debt and equity securities, derivatives and other investments in our trading portfolio. All unrealized gains and losses on investments in our trading portfolio are reflected in earnings. Unrealized gains and losses reflect the change in value during the period

**Table of Contents**

for investments held in our trading portfolio, including the reversal of unrealized gains and losses recorded when investments are sold. We recorded net unrealized gains of \$9.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2012, the majority of which represent the reversal of unrealized losses for investments sold during 2012.

Commission revenue is comprised principally of brokerage commission we earn from reinsurers. The increase in commission revenue of \$876 thousand is due to an increase in ceded earned premium for the reinsurance contract periods that were in effect during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011.

Policy fees are comprised primarily of the managing general agent's policy fee income from insurance policies. The decrease of \$823 thousand reflects a reduction in the number of policies written and renewed primarily due to the rate increases that have taken effect, which has caused some attrition.

The increase in net losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$1.9 million reflects an increase in the amount of charges incurred for the current accident year of \$6.6 million, offset by a reduction in the amount of prior year development (also known as reserve re-estimates) recorded in the current year of \$4.7 million. The increase in current year charges was heavily influenced by losses and loss adjustment expenses related to Tropical Storm/Hurricane Isaac and Tropical Storm Debby.

The net loss and LAE ratios, or net losses and LAE as a percentage of net earned premiums, were 54.6% and 62.5% during years ended December 31, 2012, and 2011, respectively, and were comprised of the following components (in thousands):

	Year ended December 31, 2012		
	Direct	Ceded	Net
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 249,064	122,877	\$ 126,187
Premiums earned	\$ 751,899	520,779	\$ 231,120
Loss & LAE ratios	33.1%	23.6%	54.6%

	Year ended December 31, 2011		
	Direct	Ceded	Net
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 245,335	\$ 121,026	\$ 124,309
Premiums earned	\$ 689,955	\$ 490,970	\$ 198,985
Loss & LAE ratios	35.6%	24.7%	62.5%

The reduction in the net loss and LAE ratio is mostly the result of a decrease in the relative and absolute amounts of prior years' development recorded in the current year. The ratio also decreased as a result of an increase in net premiums earned relating to an increase in direct premiums earned as well as proportionately less ceded premiums earned due to the lower cession rate under the 2012-2013 quota share reinsurance contract compared to the cession rate under the 2011-2012 quota share contract.

The increase in general and administrative expenses of \$23.4 million was due to several factors including \$10.8 million of charges related to net deferred policy acquisition costs. The reduction in the amount of ceding commissions received from the quota share reinsurer under the 2012-2013 reinsurance program, effectively increased the amount of net deferred policy acquisition costs and related amortization. In addition, we are charging certain costs directly to earnings that were previously capitalized under the superseded FASB guidance which governed how we accounted for deferred policy acquisition costs until January 1, 2012. Charges of \$6.3 million were recorded in the latter part of 2012 as a result of a mandatory assessment by the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association (FIGA). FIGA assessments are ultimately passed down to policyholders. We will recover these charges over a twelve-month period beginning February 1, 2013. There were also increases in salaries, bonuses, stock-based compensation and insurance, of \$1.6 million, \$1.6 million, \$1.0 million and \$2.5 million, respectively offset partially by a decrease in insurance department fees of \$1.0 million.

Income tax expense increased in tandem with the increase in taxable income. Our effective tax rate increased to 42.3% for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to 40.4% for the same period during 2011 primarily as a result of a change in the mix of true-ups recorded upon the completion of prior years' tax returns and estimated penalties and interest for current year underpayment of estimated taxes. There was also an increase in the amount of non-deductible compensation which increased the effective tax rate.

**YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2011 COMPARED TO YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2010**

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Net income decreased by \$16.9 million, or 45.6%, primarily attributable to net losses in our investment portfolio recorded during 2011 compared to net gains recorded in 2010 reflecting a particularly steep decline in the value of our equity securities holdings in our investment portfolio. The increase in net premiums earned in 2011 outpaced the increase in operating costs and expenses. The rate increases which have taken affect in late 2009 and early 2011 are providing positive results that somewhat mitigate the negative effect of wind mitigation credits.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the changes in each line item of our Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to the year ended December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		Change	
	2011	2010	\$	%
<b>PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES</b>				
Direct premiums written	\$ 721,462	\$ 666,309	\$ 55,153	8.3%
Ceded premiums written	(512,979)	(466,694)	(46,285)	9.9%
Net premiums written	208,483	199,615	8,868	4.4%
Increase in net unearned premium	(9,498)	(29,172)	19,674	67.4%
Premiums earned, net	198,985	170,443	28,542	16.7%
Net investment income	788	992	(204)	20.6%
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	2,350	27,692	(25,342)	91.5%
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	(18,410)	1,754	(20,164)	NM
Net foreign currency gains (losses) on investments	1,532	1,122	410	36.5%
Commission revenue	19,507	17,895	1,612	9.0%
Policy fees	15,298	15,149	149	1.0%
Other revenue	5,811	4,876	935	19.2%
Total premiums earned and other revenues	225,861	239,923	(14,062)	5.9%
<b>OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES</b>				
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	124,309	113,355	10,954	9.7%
General and administrative expenses	67,834	64,290	3,544	5.5%
Total operating costs and expenses	192,143	177,645	14,498	8.2%
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>	<b>33,718</b>	<b>62,278</b>	<b>(28,560)</b>	<b>45.9%</b>
Income taxes, current	23,152	26,854	(3,702)	13.8%
Income taxes, deferred	(9,543)	(1,560)	(7,983)	511.7%
Income taxes, net	13,609	25,294	(11,685)	46.2%
<b>NET INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 20,109</b>	<b>\$ 36,984</b>	<b>\$ (16,875)</b>	<b>45.6%</b>

NM - Not meaningful.

The following discussion provides comparative information for significant changes to the components of net income and comprehensive income for 2011 compared to 2010.

The increase in net earned premiums of \$28.5 million, or 16.7%, was due to an increase in the average number of policies written generated by our agent network and the rate increases which became effective in 2011, as well as those that became effective in the latter part of 2009. These rate increases have had a positive effect on premium generated by renewal policies. The benefit from these factors was partially offset by an increase in the number of policies-in-force eligible for wind mitigation credits.

Net unrealized losses on investments of \$18.4 million, recorded during year ended December 31, 2011, reflect the net decrease in value of investments held in our trading portfolio as of December 31, 2011 as well as the reversal of unrealized gains or losses for securities held at December 31, 2010 and subsequently sold. These unrealized losses in the trading portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2011, were partially offset by realized gains of \$2.4 million and foreign currency gains on investments of \$1.5 million recorded during the same period. The unrealized losses reflect a decline in the value of our equity securities holdings during the second half of the year ended December 31, 2011. We will continue to record future changes in the market value of our trading portfolio directly to earnings as unrealized gains and losses on

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

investments. All investment securities held at June 30, 2010, were classified as available-for-sale with net unrealized losses reflected in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Financial Condition. During 2010, management evaluated the trading activity of our investment portfolio, investing strategy and overall investment program. As a result of this evaluation, we reclassified the available-for-sale portfolio as a trading portfolio effective July 1, 2010. Since July 1, 2010, changes in the market value of our trading portfolio are recorded directly to revenues as unrealized gains or losses on investments. In previous periods, the changes in unrealized gains and losses on the available-for-sale portfolio were appropriately included in Other Comprehensive Income rather than current period income.

Commission revenue is comprised principally of reinsurance commission sharing agreements. The increase in commission revenue of \$1.6 million is due to an increase in the amount of ceded premiums.

**Table of Contents**

The increase in other revenues of \$935 thousand is primarily due to a higher volume of referrals made to other insurance companies for coverage that we do not offer.

The increase in losses and LAE expenses is due to the servicing of additional policies from the growth in the average number of policies in-force and the associated increase in the aggregate total insured value of those policies on a year-over-year basis.

The net loss and LAE ratios, or net losses and LAE as a percentage of net earned premiums, were 62.5% and 66.5% during years ended December 31, 2011, and 2010, respectively, and were comprised of the following components (in thousands):

	Year ended December 31, 2011		
	Direct	Ceded	Net
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 245,335	\$ 121,026	\$ 124,309
Premiums earned	\$ 689,955	\$ 490,970	\$ 198,985
Loss & LAE ratios	35.6%	24.7%	62.5%

	Year ended December 31, 2010		
	Direct	Ceded	Net
Loss and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 229,044	\$ 115,689	\$ 113,355
Premiums earned	\$ 616,345	\$ 445,902	\$ 170,443
Loss & LAE ratios	37.2%	25.9%	66.5%

The reduction in the net loss and LAE ratio is the result of a proportionately greater increase in premiums earned than the increase in losses and LAE, driven primarily by the premium rate increases effective during the first quarter of 2011 and the latter part of 2009.

General and administrative expenses increased by \$3.5 million due primarily to an increase in the amount of expenses related to deferred acquisition costs, net of ceded commissions, and the absence, during 2011, of credits from the recovery of Florida Insurance Guaranty Association ( FIGA ) assessments recorded during year ended December 31, 2010. FIGA assessments are ultimately passed down to policyholders. Amounts charged or credited to our earnings represent timing differences between the time assessments are made by FIGA to us, and the collection of those assessments from policyholders. There were also increases in insurance department fees and legal fees related to corporate matters. These increases were partially offset by decreases in performance-based incentive bonus accruals which are based on measures of income before income taxes. There were also decreases in share-based compensation, bad debt expense and equipment expense.

The decrease in income tax expense was the result of a significant reduction in taxable income due to losses in the trading portfolio and additional reserves for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses. Our effective tax rate remained relatively the same at 40.4% for the year ended December 31, 2011 compared to 40.6% for the same period during 2010.

We have not recorded other comprehensive income since we transferred the available-for-sale portfolio to trading. The other comprehensive income recorded during the year ended December 31, 2010 represents the reversal of all accumulated other comprehensive income at December 31, 2009, which was all related to investments available for sale.

**ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION CHANGES**

We believe that premiums will be sufficient to meet our working capital requirements for at least the next twelve months.

Our policy is to invest amounts considered to be in excess of current working capital requirements. We reduced our aggregate investment securities to \$89.1 million as of December 31, 2012, from \$99.1 million as of December 31, 2011, in response to market conditions. We have a receivable of \$1.1 million at December 31, 2012, for securities sold that had not yet settled compared to \$9.7 million at December 31, 2011, and a payable for securities purchased that had not yet settled of \$1.3 million as of December 31, 2012, compared to \$1.1 million at December 31, 2011.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes, by type, the carrying values of investments as of the periods presented (in thousands):

Type of Investment	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 347,392	\$ 229,685
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	33,009	78,312
Debt securities	4,009	3,801
Equity securities	85,041	95,345
Non-hedging derivative asset (liability), net	(21)	123
Other investments	317	371
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 469,747</b>	<b>\$ 407,637</b>

The decrease in restricted cash reflects the return of \$30.2 million held in trust on behalf of UIH as a result of the commutation of the agreement as of December 31, 2012 between UPCIC and the T25 account owned by UIH. Amounts held on deposit by UPCIC with the State of Florida decreased by \$15.1 million as UPCIC paid premium installments in connection with the T25 arrangement through December 31, 2012.

Reinsurance receivable, net, represents inuring premiums receivable, net of ceded premiums payable with our quota share reinsurer. The decrease of \$30.9 million during 2012, to \$24.3 million, was due to the timing of settlements with our quota-share reinsurers, and a change in the structure of the contractual arrangements eliminating layers of coverage held in the 2011-2012 reinsurance program. This was slightly offset by a net decrease in premiums payable due to a reduction in the quota-share premium cession rate from 50% for the 2011-2012 reinsurance program to 45% for the 2012-2013 program.

Premiums receivable represent amounts due from policyholders. The increase of \$4.3 million during 2012, to \$50.1 million, was due to growth in, and timing of, direct written premiums.

The increase in Property and Equipment of \$1.9 million to \$9.0 million reflects the cost of constructing a new office building which was placed into service at the end of March 2012.

See Note 5, Insurance Operations, in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a roll-forward in the balance of our deferred policy acquisition costs.

We had income taxes recoverable of \$2.6 million as of December 31, 2012 for federal taxes resulting from overpayments related to the 2011 taxable year. There were no amounts recoverable as of December 31, 2011.

See Note 12, Income Taxes, in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a schedule of deferred income taxes as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, which shows the components of deferred tax assets and liabilities as of both balance sheet dates.

See below for a discussion of the changes in the balance of our unpaid losses and LAE.

Unearned premiums represent the portion of written premiums that will be earned pro-rata in the future. The increase of \$28.2 million during 2012, to \$388.1 million, was due to growth in, and timing of, direct written premiums.

Advance premium represents premium payments made by policyholders ahead of the effective date of the policies. The decrease of \$4.4 million represents a shift in the timing of those payments from December to January.

Reinsurance payable, net, represents our liability to reinsurers for ceded written premiums, net of ceding commissions receivable. The decrease of \$2.2 million to \$85.3 million during 2012 was primarily due to the timing of settlement with our reinsurers.

Income taxes payable decreased by \$12.0 million to \$699 thousand due to timing of tax remittances.

The decrease in long-term debt of 1.5 million was due to principal payments made during 2012.

**LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES**

**Liquidity**

Liquidity is a measure of a company's ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet its short and long-term obligations. Funds generated from operations have generally been sufficient to meet liquidity requirements and we expect that in the future funds from operations will continue to meet such requirements.



## Table of Contents

The balance of cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2012 was \$347.4 million. Most of this amount is available to pay claims in the event of a catastrophic event pending reimbursement amounts recoverable under reinsurance agreements.

The balance of restricted cash and cash equivalents as of December 31, 2012 was \$33.0 million. Restricted cash as of December 31, 2012 represents cash equivalents on deposit with regulatory agencies in the various states in which our Insurance Entities do business including \$32.2 million held by Florida for the benefit of UPCIC in connection with the T25 arrangement as described under the caption **2012-2013 Reinsurance Program** above. See Note 2, Significant Accounting Policies, in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information as to the nature of restrictions.

UIH's liquidity requirements primarily include the payment of dividends to shareholders and interest and principal on debt obligations. The declaration and payment of future dividends to shareholders will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon many factors, including our operating results, financial condition, capital requirements and any regulatory constraints.

The maximum amount of dividends, which can be paid by Florida insurance companies without prior approval of the Commissioner of the OIR, is subject to restrictions relating to statutory surplus. The maximum dividend that may be paid by the Insurance Entities to UIH without prior approval is limited to the lesser of statutory net income from operations of the preceding calendar year or statutory unassigned surplus as of the preceding year end. During the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Insurance Entities did not pay dividends to UIH.

Our insurance operations provide liquidity in that premiums are generally received months or even years before losses are paid under the policies sold by the Insurance Entities. Historically, cash receipts from operations, consisting of insurance premiums, commissions, policy fees and investment income, have provided more than sufficient funds to pay loss claims and operating expenses. We maintain substantial investments in highly liquid, marketable securities. Liquidity can also be generated by funds received upon the sale of marketable securities in our investment portfolio.

Effective July 1, 2010, we elected to classify our securities investment portfolio as trading. Accordingly, purchases and sales of investment securities are included in cash flows from operations beginning July 1, 2010. We generated \$142.0 million from operating activities for the year ended December 31, 2012 compared to \$110.1 million generated and \$4.1 million used for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. Cash flows generated from operating activities during the year ended December 31, 2012, includes proceeds from sales of investment securities, net of purchases of \$16.0 million. The use of cash in operating activities during the year ended December 2010 reflects purchases of investment securities, net of proceeds from sales, of \$68.7 million since July 1, 2010 when we transferred our securities from the available-for-sale classification to trading. Prior to July 1, 2010, proceeds from the sale, and purchases of securities were reflected in investing activities.

The Insurance Entities are responsible for losses related to catastrophic events with incurred losses in excess of coverage provided by our reinsurance programs and for losses that otherwise are not covered by the reinsurance programs, which could have a material adverse effect on the Insurance Entities and our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity. See **Item 7. Management's Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - 2012-2013 Reinsurance Program** for a discussion of our reinsurance programs.

## **Capital Resources**

Capital resources provide protection for policyholders, furnish the financial strength to support the business of underwriting insurance risks and facilitate continued business growth. At December 31, 2012, we had total capital of \$183.7 million comprised of shareholders' equity of \$163.5 million and total debt of \$20.2 million. Our debt to total capital ratio and debt to equity ratio were 11.0% and 12.4%, respectively. At December 31, 2011, we had total capital of \$171.7 million comprised of shareholders' equity of \$150 million and total debt of \$21.7 million. Our debt to total capital ratio and debt to equity ratio were 12.6% and 14.5%, respectively.

The Insurance Entities are required annually to comply with the NAIC Risk-Based Capital (RBC) requirements. RBC requirements prescribe a method of measuring the amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in light of its size and risk profile. NAIC's RBC requirements are used by regulators to determine appropriate regulatory actions relating to insurers who show signs of weak or deteriorating condition. As of December 31, 2012, based on calculations using the appropriate NAIC RBC formula, the Insurance Entities reported total adjusted capital was in excess of the requirements. Failure by the Insurance Entities to maintain the required level of statutory capital and surplus could result in the suspension of their authority to write new or renewal business, other regulatory actions, or ultimately, in the revocation of their certificate of authority by the OIR.

On November 9, 2006, UPCIC entered into a \$25.0 million surplus note with the Florida State Board of Administration (SBA) under Florida's Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program (ICBUI). The surplus note has a twenty-year term and accrues interest, adjusted quarterly based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury Index. For the first three years of the term of the surplus note, UPCIC was required to pay interest

only.

---

**Table of Contents**

In May 2008, the Florida Legislature passed a law providing participants in the ICBI an opportunity to amend the terms of their surplus notes based on law changes. The new law contains methods for calculating compliance with the writing ratio requirements that are more favorable to UPCIC than prior law and the prior terms of the surplus note. On November 6, 2008, UPCIC and the SBA executed an addendum to the surplus note ( Addendum ) that reflects these law changes. The terms of the addendum were effective July 1, 2008. In addition to other less significant changes, the Addendum modifies the definitions of Minimum Required Surplus, Minimum Writing Ratio, Surplus, and Gross Written Premium, as defined in the original surplus note.

Prior to the execution of the addendum, UPCIC was in compliance with each of the loan s covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. UPCIC currently remains in compliance with each of the loan s covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. An event of default will occur under the surplus note, as amended, if UPCIC: (i) defaults in the payment of the surplus note; (ii) drops below a net written premium to surplus of 1:1 for three consecutive quarters beginning January 1, 2010 and drops below a gross written premium to surplus ratio of 3:1 for three consecutive quarters beginning January 1, 2010; (iii) fails to submit quarterly filings to the OIR; (iv) fails to maintain at least \$50 million of surplus during the term of the surplus note, except for certain situations; (v) misuses proceeds of the surplus note; (vi) makes any misrepresentations in the application for the program; (vii) pays any dividend when principal or interest payments are past due under the surplus note; or (viii) fails to maintain a level of surplus sufficient to cover in excess of UPCIC s 1-in-100 year probable maximum loss as determined by a hurricane loss model accepted by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as certified by the OIR annually. As of December 31, 2012, UPCIC s net written premium to surplus ratio and gross written premium to surplus ratio were in excess of the required minimums and, therefore, UPCIC is not subject to increases in interest rates.

On December 14, 2012, we filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 with the SEC, registering (i) for issuance up to \$100 million of common stock, preferred stock, debt securities, warrants, rights or depositary shares, or units consisting of any combination thereof and (ii) for resale up to 7 million shares of Common Stock owned by our former Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer, Bradley I. Meier. The shelf registration statement is intended to give UIH additional flexibility to finance future business opportunities and support our insurance subsidiaries by accessing the capital markets in an efficient and cost effective manner. However, we have no present intention of offering any securities pursuant to this registration statement. We will not receive any proceeds from the sale of shares of Common Stock by Mr. Meier. Nevertheless, any such sales will increase our public float and, therefore, may increase our cost of capital or otherwise adversely affect our ability to access the capital markets.

**Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE**

We are required to periodically estimate and reflect on our balance sheet the amount needed to pay losses and related loss adjustment expenses on reported and unreported claims. See Item 1- Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE for a description of this process. The following table sets forth a reconciliation of beginning and ending liability for unpaid losses and LAE as shown in our consolidated financial statements for the periods indicated (in thousands):

**Table of Contents**

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 187,215	\$ 158,929
Less reinsurance recoverable	(88,002)	(79,115)
Net balance at beginning of year	99,213	79,814
Incurred related to:		
Current year	119,458	112,838
Prior years	6,729	11,471
Total incurred	126,187	124,309
Paid related to:		
Current year	54,141	50,850
Prior years	59,433	54,060
Total paid	113,574	104,910
Net balance at end of year	111,826	99,213
Plus reinsurance recoverable	81,415	88,002
Balance at end of year	\$ 193,241	\$ 187,215

Based upon consultations with our independent actuarial consultants and their statement of opinion on losses and LAE, we believe that the liability for unpaid losses and LAE is currently adequate to cover all claims and related expenses which may arise from incidents reported and IBNR. See our discussion in *Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates Adequacy of Reserve Estimates* for information about a tool used by management to analyze and calculate reserves.

The following table provides total unpaid loss and LAE, net of related reinsurance recoverables (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Unpaid Loss and LAE, net	\$ 33,661	\$ 29,894
IBNR loss and LAE, net	78,165	69,319
Total unpaid loss and LAE, net	\$ 111,826	\$ 99,213
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid loss and LAE	\$ 29,013	\$ 30,068
Reinsurance recoverable on IBNR loss and LAE	52,402	57,934
Total reinsurance recoverable on unpaid loss and LAE	\$ 81,415	\$ 88,002

The table below illustrates the change over time of the direct reserves established for unpaid losses and LAE for the Insurance Entities (Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE re-estimates ) at the end of the last eleven calendar years through December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

The first section shows the reserves as originally reported at the end of the stated year.

The second section, reading down, shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to that reserve liability.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

The third section, reading down, shows retroactive re-estimates of the original recorded reserve as of the end of each successive year which is the result of our expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims.

The last section compares the latest re-estimated reserve to the reserve originally established, and indicates whether the original reserve was adequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims.

**Table of Contents**

The table also presents the gross re-estimated liability as of the end of the latest re-estimation period, with separate disclosure of the related re-estimated reinsurance recoverable. The Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE re-estimates table is cumulative and, therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years. Unfavorable reserve re-estimates are shown in this table in parentheses.

	Years Ended December 31,										
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
Balance Sheet											
Liability	193,241	187,215	158,929	127,195	87,933	68,766	49,454	66,855	57,561	7,136	6,515
Cumulative paid as of:											
One year later		125,735	107,091	88,363	70,058	52,638	42,533	79,226	66,020	3,660	4,161
Two years later			149,494	122,459	91,255	71,171	54,774	103,201	80,684	4,742	4,669
Three years later				142,049	106,011	78,284	64,732	111,610	92,262	5,198	4,767
Four years later					114,609	86,197	69,212	118,312	94,705	5,994	4,903
Five years later						89,460	73,878	122,377	97,367	6,259	5,019
Six years later							76,172	126,831	98,828	6,431	5,028
Seven years later								128,809	101,029	6,458	5,138
Eight years later									101,907	6,473	5,139
Nine years later										6,478	5,151
Ten years later											5,151
Balance Sheet											
Liability	193,241	187,215	158,929	127,195	87,933	68,766	49,454	66,855	57,561	7,136	6,515
One year later		206,655	181,207	143,037	107,670	80,081	68,107	118,689	74,207	6,375	6,520
Two years later			194,786	157,604	115,541	87,261	69,647	125,067	95,373	5,871	6,390
Three years later				166,189	122,050	90,881	73,650	124,039	101,312	6,398	6,084
Four years later					126,905	94,275	77,846	125,210	100,945	6,933	6,253
Five years later						95,545	78,891	129,916	101,571	7,170	6,312
Six years later							79,214	130,865	101,419	7,320	6,323
Seven years later								130,894	102,434	7,267	6,392
Eight years later									102,723	7,280	6,385
Nine years later										6,627	6,395
Ten years later											5,687
Cumulative redundancy (deficiency)		(19,440)	(35,857)	(38,994)	(38,972)	(26,779)	(29,760)	(64,039)	(45,162)	509	828

**Table of Contents**

The following Liability for Unpaid Losses and LAE re-estimates table illustrates the change over time of the reserves, net of reinsurance, established for unpaid losses and LAE for the Insurance Entities at the end of the last eleven calendar years through December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

	Years Ended December 31,										
	2012	2011	2010	2009	2008	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002
<b>Gross Reserves for Unpaid Claims and Claims Expense</b>											
Reinsurance Recoverable	193,241	187,215	158,929	127,195	87,933	68,766	49,454	66,855	57,561	7,136	6,515
Balance Sheet Liability	81,415	88,002	79,115	62,899	43,375	37,557	32,314	60,785	56,110	6,018	5,233
<b>Balance Sheet Liability</b>											
Cumulative paid as of:	111,826	99,213	79,814	64,296	44,558	31,209	17,140	6,070	1,451	1,118	1,282
One year later		59,228	54,056	43,859	34,168	23,698	20,026	12,813	1,117	718	660
Two years later			71,079	60,917	44,011	31,737	23,354	23,725	11,331	822	753
Three years later				66,619	51,090	34,457	26,945	25,388	21,569	915	777
Four years later					51,690	38,160	28,573	27,909	22,944	1,027	838
Five years later						36,837	30,659	29,365	25,269	1,053	881
Six years later							28,866	31,356	26,543	1,081	882
Seven years later								29,445	28,502	1,084	904
Eight years later									28,752	1,086	904
Nine years later										1,087	906
Ten years later											906
<b>Balance Sheet Liability</b>											
One year later	111,826	99,213	79,814	64,296	44,558	31,209	17,140	6,070	1,451	1,118	1,282
Two years later		105,942	91,280	70,482	53,233	37,576	29,196	25,261	4,191	1,296	1,512
Three years later			95,265	78,102	55,027	39,958	30,528	30,932	22,727	1,175	1,448
Four years later				79,641	58,488	39,468	31,319	31,165	28,226	1,309	1,370
Five years later					58,548	41,376	31,355	31,476	28,579	1,370	1,467
Six years later						40,414	32,232	31,657	28,656	1,395	1,480
Seven years later							30,891	32,473	28,648	1,403	1,483
Eight years later								31,082	29,449	1,441	1,484
Nine years later									29,501	1,443	1,481
Ten years later										1,394	1,483
<b>Cumulative redundancy (deficiency)</b>											
Percent		(6,725)	(15,451)	(15,344)	(13,985)	(9,186)	(13,696)	(24,941)	(27,921)	(43)	108
		6.8%	19.4%	23.9%	31.4%	29.4%	79.9%	410.9%	1924.3%	3.8%	8.4%
<b>Gross Re-estimated Liability-Latest</b>											
Re-estimated Recovery-Latest	206,655	194,786	166,189	126,905	95,545	79,214	130,894	102,723	6,627	5,687	
<b>Net Re-estimated Liability-Latest</b>											
Gross cumulative redundancy (deficiency)	100,713	99,521	86,548	68,357	55,131	48,323	99,812	73,222	5,233	4,204	
	105,942	95,265	79,641	58,548	40,414	30,891	31,082	29,501	1,394	1,483	
<b>Gross cumulative redundancy (deficiency)</b>											
	(19,440)	(35,857)	(38,994)	(38,972)	(26,779)	(29,760)	(64,039)	(45,162)	509	828	

The cumulative redundancy or deficiency represents the aggregate change in the estimates over all prior years. A deficiency indicates that the latest estimate of the liability for losses and LAE is higher than the liability that was originally estimated and a redundancy indicates that such estimate is lower. It should be emphasized that the table presents a run-off of balance sheet liability for the periods indicated rather than accident or policy loss development for those periods. Therefore, each amount in the table includes the cumulative effects of changes in liability for all prior periods. Conditions and trends that have affected liabilities in the past may not necessarily occur in the future.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Underwriting results of insurance companies are frequently measured by their combined ratios, which is the sum of the loss and expense ratios described in the following paragraph. However, investment income, federal income taxes and other non-underwriting income or expense are not reflected in the combined ratio. The profitability of property and casualty insurance companies depends on income from underwriting, investment and service operations. Underwriting results are considered profitable when the combined ratio is under 100% and unprofitable when the combined ratio is over 100%.



**Table of Contents**

The following table provides the statutory loss ratios, expense ratios and combined ratios for the periods indicated for the Insurance Entities:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
<b>Loss Ratio(1)</b>		
UPCIC	65%	75%
APPCIC	23%	28%
<b>Expense Ratio(1)</b>		
UPCIC	39%	42%
APPCIC	32%	21%
<b>Combined Ratio(1)</b>		
UPCIC	104%	117%
APPCIC	55%	49%

- (1) The ratios are net of reinsurance, including catastrophe reinsurance premiums which comprise a significant cost, and inclusive of LAE. The expense ratios include management fees and commission paid to an affiliate of the Insurance Entities in the amount of \$63.8 million and \$58.9 million for UPCIC for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively and \$473 thousand and \$4 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively for APPCIC. The fees and commission paid to the affiliate are eliminated in consolidation.

In order to reduce losses and thereby reduce the loss ratio and the combined ratio, we have taken several steps. These steps include closely monitoring rate levels for new and renewal business, restructuring the homeowners insurance coverage offered, reducing the cost of catastrophic reinsurance coverage, and working to reduce general and administrative expenses.

**Ratings**

UPCIC's financial strength is rated by a rating agency to measure UPCIC's ability to meet its financial obligations to its policyholders. The agency maintains a letter scale Financial Stability Rating® system ranging from A (A double prime) to L (licensed by state regulatory authorities).

In March 2010, to help address questions and concerns regarding the agency's rating and review process, the agency published *Guidance on Financial Stability Ratings and Catastrophe Reinsurance Program Reporting for Florida Property Insurers*. The document contains the criteria the agency considers when reviewing a company. On March 22, 2010, UPCIC received notice from the agency that it would require a capital infusion of \$30 million by April 16, 2010 in order for it to maintain its A rating. To comply with this requirement UIH contributed an aggregate amount of \$30 million to UPCIC in March 2010.

On December 3, 2012, the agency affirmed UPCIC's Financial Stability Rating® of A. A Financial Stability Rating® of A is the third highest of six possible rating levels. According to the agency, A ratings are assigned to insurers that have exceptional ability to maintain liquidity of invested assets, quality reinsurance, acceptable financial leverage and realistic pricing while simultaneously establishing loss and loss adjustment expense reserves at reasonable levels. The rating of UPCIC is subject to at least annual review by, and may be revised upward or downward or revoked at the sole discretion of the agency.

Ratings are an important factor in establishing our competitive position in the insurance markets. There can be no assurance that our ratings will continue for any given period of time or that they will not be changed. A downgrade in our financial strength ratings could adversely affect the competitive position of our insurance operations, including a possible reduction in demand for our products in certain markets. In addition, the rating agency may at any time require a capital infusion into UPCIC by its parent company in order to maintain its rating, which may adversely affect our liquidity, operating results and financial condition. See Item 1A-A downgrade in our Financial Stability Rating® may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, and our liquidity, operating results and financial condition.

**Table of Contents****Contractual Obligations**

The following table represents our contractual obligations for which cash flows are fixed or determinable (in thousands):

	Total	Less than 1 year	1-3 years	4-5 years	Over 5 years
Unpaid losses and LAE, direct	\$ 193,241	\$ 139,133	\$ 42,513	\$ 9,662	\$ 1,933
Long-term debt	23,325	1,391	3,643	3,545	14,746
Operating leases	606	28	578		
Employment Agreements(1)	12,198	8,447	3,751		
<b>Total contractual obligations</b>	<b>\$ 229,370</b>	<b>\$ 148,999</b>	<b>\$ 50,485</b>	<b>\$ 13,207</b>	<b>\$ 16,679</b>

- (1) These amounts represent minimum salaries, which may be subject to annual percentage increases, non-equity incentive compensation based on pre-tax or net income levels, and fringe benefits based on the remaining term of employment agreements we have with our executives.

**IMPACT OF INFLATION AND CHANGING PRICES**

The consolidated financial statements and related data presented herein have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles which require the measurement of financial position and operating results in terms of historical dollars without considering changes in the relative purchasing power of money over time due to inflation. Our primary assets are monetary in nature. As a result, interest rates have a more significant impact on our performance than the effects of the general levels of inflation. Interest rates do not necessarily move in the same direction or with the same magnitude as the cost of paying losses and LAE.

Insurance premiums are established before we know the amount of loss and LAE and the extent to which inflation may affect such expenses. Consequently, we attempt to anticipate the future impact of inflation when establishing rate levels. While we attempt to charge adequate rates, we may be limited in raising its premium levels for competitive and regulatory reasons. Inflation also affects the market value of our investment portfolio and the investment rate of return. Any future economic changes which result in prolonged and increasing levels of inflation could cause increases in the dollar amount of incurred loss and LAE and thereby materially adversely affect future liability requirements.

**ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Market risk is the potential for economic losses due to adverse changes in fair value of financial instruments. Our primary market risk exposures are related to our investment portfolio and include interest rates, and equity and commodity prices. We also have exposure to our debt obligations in the form of a surplus note. The surplus note, as previously described in Item 7 -Liquidity and Capital Resources, accrues interest at an adjustable rate based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate. Investments held for trading are carried on the balance sheet at fair value with changes recorded in earnings. Our investment trading portfolio as of December 31, 2012 was comprised primarily of debt and equity securities and also includes non-hedging derivatives and physical positions in precious metals. See Note 3- Investments to our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for a schedule of investment holdings as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Our investments have been, and may in the future be, subject to significant volatility. Our investment objective is to maintain liquidity and minimize risk. Our investment strategy includes maintaining investments to support unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses for our insurance subsidiaries in accordance with guidelines established by insurance regulators.

**Table of Contents****Interest Rate Risk**

Interest rate risk is the sensitivity of a fixed-rate instrument to changes in interest rates. When interest rates rise, the fair value of our fixed-rate securities declines.

The following table provides information about our fixed income investments, which are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The following table provides cash flows of principal amounts and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates for investments held as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2012						Fair Value Total
	2013	2014	2015	Amortized Cost		Total	
				2016	2017	Thereafter	
US government and agency obligations		\$ 35	\$ 143			\$ 3,014	\$ 3,192
Average interest rate		0.25%	1.25%			1.85%	1.81%
							1.82%

	As of December 31, 2011						Fair Value Total
	2012	2013	2014	Amortized Cost		Total	
				2015	2016	Thereafter	
US government and agency obligations	\$ 171					\$ 3,008	\$ 3,179
Average interest rate	4.09%					1.85%	1.97%
United States government and agency securities are rated Aaa by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. and AA+ by Standard and Poor's Company.							

**Equity and Commodity Price Risk**

Equity and commodity price risk is the potential for loss in fair value of investments in common stock, exchange traded funds (ETF), and mutual funds from adverse changes in the prices of those instruments.

The following table provides information about the investments in our trading portfolio subject to price risk as of the periods presented (in thousands).

	As of December 31, 2012		As of December 31, 2011	
	Fair Value	Percent	Fair Value	Percent
<b>Common stock:</b>				
Metals and mining	26,130	30.6%	38,816	40.5%
Other	19,083	22.4%	11,944	12.5%
<b>Exchange-traded and mutual funds:</b>				
Metals and mining	21,989	25.8%	25,997	27.1%
Agriculture	10,265	12.0%	16,878	17.6%
Energy	5,068	5.9%		
Indices	2,506	2.9%	1,710	1.8%
Non-hedging derivative asset (liability), net	(21)	0.0%	123	0.1%
Other investments(1)	317	0.4%	371	0.4%
<b>Total equities securities</b>	<b>\$ 85,337</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 95,839</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

(1) Other investments represent physical metals that we hold in our trading portfolio.

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

A hypothetical decrease of 20% in the market prices of each of the equity and commodity securities held at December 31, 2012 and 2011, would have resulted in a decrease of \$17.1 million and \$19.2 million, respectively, in the fair value of the equity securities portfolio.

**Table of Contents**

**Item 8. Financial Statements and supplementary data**

	<b>PAGE</b>
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	45
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011</u>	47
<u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	48
<u>Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	49
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010</u>	50
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	51

---

**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** (the Company) as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2012. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)*. The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** as of December 31, 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)*.

/s/ Plante & Moran, PLLC  
Chicago, Illinois  
March 8, 2013



**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Board of Directors

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc.

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** (the Company) as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2011. The Company's management is responsible for these consolidated financial statements. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** as of December 31, 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2011, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

/s/ Blackman Kallick, LLP

Chicago, Illinois  
March 23, 2012



**Table of Contents****UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

(in thousands, except per share data)

	December 31,	
	2012	2011
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 347,392	\$ 229,685
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	33,009	78,312
Fixed maturities, at fair value	4,009	3,801
Equity securities, at fair value	85,041	95,345
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	239,921	243,095
Reinsurance recoverable	89,191	85,706
Reinsurance receivable, net	24,334	55,205
Premiums receivable, net	50,125	45,828
Receivable from securities sold	1,096	9,737
Other receivables	2,017	2,732
Property and equipment, net	8,968	7,116
Deferred policy acquisition costs, net	17,282	12,996
Income taxes recoverable	2,594	
Deferred income tax asset, net	19,178	22,991
Other assets	1,578	1,477
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 925,735</b>	<b>\$ 894,026</b>

**LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**

<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	\$ 193,241	\$ 187,215
Unearned premiums	388,071	359,842
Advance premium	15,022	19,390
Accounts payable	4,368	4,314
Bank overdraft	25,994	25,485
Payable for securities purchased	1,275	1,067
Reinsurance payable, net	85,259	87,497
Income taxes payable	699	12,740
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	28,071	24,780
Long-term debt	20,221	21,691
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>762,221</b>	<b>744,021</b>

## Commitments and Contingencies (Note 15)

**STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY:**

Cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value	1	1
Authorized shares - 1,000		
Issued shares - 108		
Outstanding shares - 108		
Minimum liquidation preference, \$2.66 per share		
Common stock, \$.01 par value	419	411
Authorized shares - 55,000		
Issued shares - 41,889 and 41,100		
Outstanding shares - 40,871 and 40,082		

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Treasury shares, at cost - 1,018	(3,101)	(3,101)
Additional paid-in capital	38,684	36,536
Retained earnings	127,511	116,158
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>163,514</b>	<b>150,005</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 925,735</b>	<b>\$ 894,026</b>

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

**Table of Contents****UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

(in thousands, except per share data)

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
<b>PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES</b>			
Direct premiums written	\$ 780,128	\$ 721,462	\$ 666,309
Ceded premiums written	(517,604)	(512,979)	(466,694)
Net premiums written	262,524	208,483	199,615
Change in net unearned premium	(31,404)	(9,498)	(29,172)
Premiums earned, net	231,120	198,985	170,443
Net investment income (expense)	441	788	992
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	(11,943)	2,350	27,692
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	9,443	(18,410)	1,754
Net foreign currency gains (losses) on investments	22	1,532	1,122
Commission revenue	20,383	19,507	17,895
Policy fees	14,475	15,298	15,149
Other revenue	5,998	5,811	4,876
Total premiums earned and other revenues	269,939	225,861	239,923
<b>OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES</b>			
Losses and loss adjustment expenses	126,187	124,309	113,355
General and administrative expenses	91,193	67,834	64,290
Total operating costs and expenses	217,380	192,143	177,645
<b>INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>			
Income taxes, current	18,434	23,152	26,854
Income taxes, deferred	3,813	(9,543)	(1,560)
Income taxes, net	22,247	13,609	25,294
<b>NET INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 30,312</b>	<b>\$ 20,109</b>	<b>\$ 36,984</b>
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.95
Weighted average common shares outstanding - Basic	39,614	39,184	39,113
Fully diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.91
Weighted average common shares outstanding - Diluted	40,616	40,442	40,579
Cash dividend declared per common share	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.32	\$ 0.32

**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Net income	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 36,984
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on investments, net of tax			(564)
Comprehensive Income	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 36,420

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.

Table of Contents

**UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY**  
**FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010**

(in thousands)

	Common Shares Issued	Preferred Shares Issued	Common Stock Amount	Preferred Stock Amount	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Stock Grantor Common Stock	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders Equity
<b>Balance, December 31, 2009</b>	40,215	109	402	1	36,667	84,100	564	(511)	(7,949)	113,274
Stock option exercises	1,995		20		2,935			511	(7,878)	(4,412)
Restricted stock awards	300		3		(3)					
Preferred stock conversion	1	(1)								
Retirement of treasury shares	(2,104)		(21)		(12,697)				12,718	
Stock-based compensation					2,962					2,962
Net income						36,984				36,984
Prior period adjustment(1)					(288)	288				
Excess tax benefit, net(2)					4,099					4,099
Declaration of dividends						(12,553)				(12,553)
AFS securities adjustment, net(3)							(967)			(967)
Reclassify AFS to earnings, net(4)							403			403
<b>Balance, December 31, 2010</b>	40,407	108	404	1	33,675	108,819			(3,109)	139,790
Stock option exercises	160		2		(169)				(263)	(430)
Restricted stock awards	600		6		(6)					
Other	3									
Retirement of treasury shares	(70)		(1)		(8)				271	262
Stock-based compensation					2,849					2,849
Net income						20,109				20,109
Excess tax benefit, net(2)					195					195
Declaration of dividends						(12,770)				(12,770)
<b>Balance, December 31, 2011</b>	41,100	108	411	1	36,536	116,158			(3,101)	150,005
Stock option exercises	285		3		667				(583)	87
Restricted stock awards	650		7		(7)					
Retirement of treasury shares	(146)		(2)		(581)				583	
Stock-based compensation					3,829					3,829
Net income						30,312				30,312
Other							(3)			(3)
Excess tax benefit (shortfall), net(2)					(1,760)					(1,760)
Declaration of dividends						(18,956)				(18,956)
<b>Balance, December 31, 2012</b>	41,889	108	\$ 419	\$ 1	\$ 38,684	\$ 127,511	\$	\$	\$ (3,101)	\$ 163,514

- (1) Represents a correction of a posting error made in prior years to deferred income tax in connection with stock-based compensation.  
(2) Excess tax benefits are related to stock-based compensation.  
(3) Net of tax of benefit of \$604 thousand.  
(4) Net of tax expense of \$253 thousand.

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.



**Table of Contents****UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)**

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net Income	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 36,984
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Bad debt expense	319	653	1,315
Depreciation	840	639	831
Amortization of stock-based compensation	3,830	2,848	2,962
Net realized (gains) losses on investments	11,943	(2,350)	(27,692)
Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on investments	(9,443)	18,410	(1,754)
Net foreign currency (gains) losses on investments	(23)	(1,532)	(1,143)
Amortization of premium/accretion of discount, net	(4)	203	545
Deferred income taxes	3,813	(9,543)	(1,333)
Excess tax (benefits) shortfall from stock-based compensation	1,760	(195)	(4,099)
Other	6	(17)	(627)
Net change in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities:			
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	45,304	(64,372)	5,175
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	3,174	(22,009)	(20,792)
Reinsurance recoverables	(3,485)	(6,154)	12,265
Reinsurance receivables, net	30,871	(17,598)	3,575
Premiums receivable, net	(4,589)	(2,855)	(7,574)
Accrued investment income	325		
Other receivables	360	129	2,810
Income taxes recoverable	(2,594)		3,212
Deferred policy acquisition costs, net	(4,286)	(3,550)	19
Purchase of trading securities	(343,962)	(801,704)	(617,994)
Proceeds from sales of trading securities	360,000	921,625	549,305
Other assets	331	(726)	(779)
Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses	6,026	28,286	31,731
Unearned premiums	28,229	31,508	49,964
Accounts payable	54	547	595
Reinsurance payable, net	(2,237)	11,944	(38,733)
Income taxes payable	(13,801)	4,653	12,012
Other liabilities and accrued expenses	3,292	1,630	2,399
Advance premium	(4,368)	(450)	2,761
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	141,997	110,129	(4,060)
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	28	64	32
Purchase of property and equipment	(2,726)	(2,395)	(1,714)
Purchases of fixed maturities, available-for-sale			(129,141)
Proceeds from sales of fixed maturities, available-for-sale			116,238
Purchases of equity securities, available for sale			(80,730)
Proceeds from sales of equity securities, available for sale			70,681
Net cash used in investing activities	(2,698)	(2,331)	(24,634)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Bank overdraft increase	509	2,455	2,733
Preferred stock dividend	(287)	(20)	(20)
Common stock dividend	(18,669)	(12,750)	(12,533)
Issuance of common stock	207	5	14
Payments related to tax withholding for share-based compensation	(121)	(172)	(4,292)
Excess tax benefits (shortfall) from stock-based compensation	(1,760)	195	4,099
Repayments of debt	(1,471)	(1,471)	(1,471)

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Net cash used in financing activities	(21,592)	(11,758)	(11,470)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	117,707	96,040	(40,164)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	229,685	133,645	173,809
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 347,392	\$ 229,685	\$ 133,645
Supplemental cash flow disclosures:			
Interest	\$ 414	\$ 856	\$ 805
Income taxes	\$ 28,151	\$ 19,081	\$ 11,163

The accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these statements.



---

**Table of Contents**

**UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**NOTE 1 NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

**Nature of Operations, Basis of Presentation and Consolidation**

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. ( UIH ) is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in November 1990. UIH and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (the Company ) is a vertically integrated insurance holding company performing all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company ( UPCIC ) and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company ( APPCIC ), collectively referred to as the ( Insurance Entities ), the Company is principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Risk from catastrophic losses is managed through the use of reinsurance agreements. The Company's primary product is homeowners' insurance currently offered in seven states as of December 31, 2012, including Florida, which comprises the vast majority of the Company's in-force policies. See NOTE 5, INSURANCE OPERATIONS, for more information regarding the Company's insurance operations.

The Company generates revenues primarily from the collection of premiums and the investment of available funds in excess of those retained for claims-paying obligations and insurance operations. Other significant sources of revenue include commissions collected from reinsurers and policy fees.

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ( U.S. GAAP ). The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of UIH and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All material intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

To conform to current period presentation, certain amounts in the prior periods' consolidated financial statements and notes have been reclassified. Such reclassifications were of an immaterial amount and had no effect on net income or stockholders' equity.

**Use of Estimates**

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. The Company's primary areas of estimate are the recognition of premium revenues, liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses, provision for premium deficiency and reinsurance. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**NOTE 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES**

The significant accounting policies followed by the Company are summarized as follows:

*Cash and Cash Equivalents.* The Company includes in cash equivalents all short-term, highly liquid investments that are readily convertible to known amounts of cash and have an original maturity of three months or less. These amounts are carried at cost, which approximates fair value. The Company excludes any net negative cash balances from Cash and Cash Equivalents that the Company or any of its subsidiaries may have with any single institution. These amounts are reclassified to liabilities and presented as bank overdraft in the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

*Restricted Cash and Cash Equivalents.* The Company classifies amounts of cash and cash equivalents that are restricted in terms of their use and withdrawal separately on the face the Consolidated Balance Sheets. See below in this Note 2 and Note 5 INSURANCE OPERATIONS for a discussion of the nature of the restrictions.

*Investment Securities, Trading.* Investment securities held in the Company's trading portfolio consist of both debt and equity securities. Investment securities held in trading are recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet, with unrealized gains and losses reported in current period earnings. All investment securities held by the Company as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 were held in the trading portfolio.

Gains and losses realized on the disposition of investment securities held in trading are determined on the first-in-first-out basis ( FIFO ) and credited or charged to income. Premium and discount on investment securities held in trading are amortized and accreted using the interest method and charged or credited to investment income.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

*Investment Securities, Available for Sale.* Investment securities available for sale consisted of both debt and equity securities. Investment securities available for sale were recorded at fair value on the consolidated balance sheet. Subsequent to July 1, 2010, the Company did not hold any securities available for sale. Unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale were excluded from earnings and reported as a component of other comprehensive income, net of related deferred taxes until reclassified to earnings upon the consummation of sales transaction with an unrelated third party or when the decline in fair value was deemed other than temporary.

---

**Table of Contents**

The assessment of whether the impairment of a security's fair value was other than temporary was performed using a portfolio review as well as a case-by-case review considering a wide range of factors. There are a number of assumptions and estimates inherent in evaluating impairments and determining if they are other than temporary, including: 1) the Company's ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value; 2) the expected recoverability of principal and interest; 3) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost for fixed income securities or cost for equity securities; 4) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends, and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; and 5) the specific reasons that a security is in a significant unrealized loss position, including market conditions which could affect liquidity. Additionally, once assumptions and estimates are made, any number of changes in facts and circumstances could cause the Company to subsequently determine that an impairment is other than temporary, including: 1) general economic conditions that are worse than previously forecasted or that have a greater adverse effect on a particular issuer or industry sector than originally estimated; 2) changes in the facts and circumstances related to a particular issue or issuer's ability to meet all of its contractual obligations; and 3) changes in facts and circumstances obtained that causes a change in our ability or intent to hold a security to maturity or until it recovers in value.

Gains and losses realized on the disposition of investment securities available for sale were determined on the first-in-first-out basis ( FIFO ) and credited or charged to income. Premium and discount on investment securities were amortized and accreted using the interest method and charged or credited to investment income.

*Derivatives.* Derivatives are held in the Company's trading portfolio and are reported at fair value with changes in their value reported as unrealized gains or losses until exercised, sold or upon expiration at which time the gain or loss is recognized as a realized gain or loss. The premium received for a written call option is recorded as a liability until the option is either exercised or expires. If the option is exercised by the holder, the Company recognizes the premium received by adjusting the amount of the realized gain or loss on the underlying security by the amount of the option premium received. If the option expires or otherwise terminates, the premium received is recognized as a component of realized gains or losses.

*Premiums Receivable.* Generally, premiums are collected prior to providing risk coverage, minimizing the Company's exposure to credit risk. The Company performs a policy level evaluation to determine the extent the premiums receivable balance exceeds the unearned premiums balance. The Company then ages this exposure to establish an allowance for doubtful accounts based on prior experience. As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had recorded allowances for doubtful accounts in the amounts of \$530 thousand and \$715 thousand, respectively.

*Property and Equipment.* Property and equipment is recorded at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided on the straight-line basis over the estimated useful life of the assets. Estimated useful life of all property and equipment ranges from three to twenty-seven-and-one-half years. Expenditures for improvements are capitalized and depreciated over the remaining useful life of the asset. Routine repairs and maintenance are expensed as incurred. Website development costs are capitalized and amortized over their estimated useful life. The Company reviews its property and equipment annually and whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

*Recognition of Premium Revenues.* The Company recognizes revenue when realized or realizable and earned. Property and liability premiums are recognized as revenue on a pro rata basis over the policy term. The portion of premiums that will be earned in the future is deferred and reported as unearned premiums. The Company believes that its revenue recognition policies conform to U.S. GAAP. In the event policyholders cancel their policies, unearned premiums represent amounts that UPCIC would refund policyholders. Accordingly, the Company determines unearned premiums by calculating the pro rata amount that would be due to the policyholders at a given point in time based upon the premiums owed over the life of each policy.

*Recognition of Commission Revenue.* Commission revenue, which is comprised of the Managing General Agent (MGA)'s policy fee income on all new and renewal insurance policies and commissions generated from agency operations is recognized as income upon policy inception.

*Recognition of Policyholder Payment Plan Fee Revenue.* The Company offers its policyholders the option of paying their policy premiums in full at inception or in two or four installment payments. The Company charges fees to its policyholders that elect to pay their premium in installments and records such fees as revenue when the policyholder makes the installment payment election and the Company bills the fees to the policyholder. These fees are included in Other Revenue in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income.

*Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs.* Certain costs incurred in connection with the acquisition and renewal of insurance business are deferred and amortized over the terms of the policies to which they are related. A portion of reinsurance ceding commissions received are deferred and amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies. Deferred policy acquisition costs and deferred ceding commissions are netted for balance sheet presentation purposes.



## **Table of Contents**

*Insurance Liabilities.* Unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses ( LAE ) are provided for as claims are incurred. The provision for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes: (1) the accumulation of individual case estimates for claims and claim adjustment expenses reported prior to the close of the accounting period; (2) estimates for unreported claims based on industry data; and (3) estimates of expenses for investigating and adjusting claims based on the experience of the Company and the industry.

Inherent in the estimates of ultimate claims are expected trends in claim severity, frequency and other factors that may vary as claims are settled. The amount of uncertainty in the estimates for casualty coverage is significantly affected by such factors as the amount of claims experience relative to the development period, knowledge of the actual facts and circumstances and the amount of insurance risk retained. In addition, the Company's policyholders are currently concentrated in South Florida, which is periodically subject to adverse weather conditions, such as hurricanes and tropical storms. The methods for making such estimates and for establishing the resulting liability are periodically reviewed, and any adjustments are reflected in current earnings.

*Provision for Premium Deficiency.* It is the Company's policy to evaluate and recognize losses on insurance contracts when estimated future claims and maintenance costs under a group of existing contracts will exceed anticipated future premiums. No accruals for premium deficiency were considered necessary as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

*Reinsurance.* In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to reduce the risk of loss that may arise from catastrophes or other events that cause unfavorable underwriting results by reinsuring (ceding) certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers. The Company remains responsible for insured losses in the event of the failure of any reinsurer to make payments otherwise due to the Company. Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the provisions of the reinsurance agreement and consistent with the establishment of the liability of the Company. Allowances are established for amounts deemed uncollectible.

*Income Taxes.* Income tax provisions are based on the asset and liability method. Deferred federal and state income taxes have been provided for temporary differences between the tax basis of assets and liabilities and their reported amounts in the consolidated financial statements, net of valuation allowance. The Company reviews its deferred tax assets for recoverability.

*Income (Loss) Per Share of Common Stock.* Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing the Company's net income (loss), less Preferred Stock dividends, by the weighted-average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing the Company's net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares of Common Stock outstanding during the period and the impact of all dilutive potential common shares, primarily Preferred Stock, options and warrants. The dilutive impact of stock options and warrants is determined by applying the treasury stock method and the dilutive impact of the Preferred Stock is determined by applying the if converted method.

*Fair Value Measurements.* The Company's policy is to record transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 and Level 2 at their fair values as of the end of each reporting period, consistent with the date of the determination of fair value.

*Concentrations of Credit Risk.* The Company is exposed to concentrations of credit risk, consisting principally of cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and cash equivalents, debt securities, premiums receivable, prepaid reinsurance premiums, reinsurance receivable and reinsurance recoverables.

The Company maintains depository relationships with SunTrust Bank and Wells Fargo Bank N.A., and other banking institutions and invests excess cash with custodial institutions that invest primarily in money market accounts consisting of short-term U.S. Treasury securities. These accounts are held primarily by the Institutional Trust & Custody division of U.S. Bank and SunTrust Bank Escrow Services. The Company reduces the risk of loss from bank failures by minimizing amounts held in excess of \$250 thousand in depository accounts.

Restricted cash and cash equivalents are maintained in money market accounts consisting of U.S. Treasury and government agency securities.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the amount of cash and cash equivalents as of the periods presented (in thousands):

Institution	Cash and cash equivalents				Cash and cash equivalents			
	As of December 31, 2012			% by institution	As of December 31, 2011			% by institution
	Cash	Money Market Funds	Total		Cash	Money Market Funds	Total	
U. S. Bank IT&C	\$	\$ 40,463	\$ 40,463	11.6%	\$	\$ 40,474	\$ 40,474	17.6%
SunTrust Bank	773	1,055	1,828	0.5%	1,629		1,629	0.7%
SunTrust Bank Escrow Services		300,843	300,843	86.6%		182,701	182,701	79.5%
Wells Fargo Bank N.A.	1,991	3	1,994	0.6%	1,244	14	1,258	0.6%
All Other Banking Institutions	1,796	468	2,264	0.7%	1,739	1,884	3,623	1.6%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 4,560</b>	<b>\$ 342,832</b>	<b>\$ 347,392</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 4,612</b>	<b>\$ 225,073</b>	<b>\$ 229,685</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

The following table presents the amount of restricted cash and cash equivalents as of the periods presented (in thousands):

Institution	Restricted cash and cash equivalents				Restricted cash and cash equivalents			
	As of December 31, 2012			% by institution	As of December 31, 2011			% by institution
	Funds held in Trust(1)	State Deposits	Total		Funds held in Trust(1)	State Deposits	Total	
U. S. Bank IT&C	\$	\$ 800	\$ 800	2.4%	\$	\$ 800	\$ 800	1.0%
Bank of New York Mellon Trust Co.(1)					30,220		30,220	38.6%
Florida Department of Financial Services		32,209	32,209	97.6%		47,292	47,292	60.4%
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 33,009</b>	<b>\$ 33,009</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>\$ 30,220</b>	<b>\$ 48,092</b>	<b>\$ 78,312</b>	<b>100.0%</b>

(1) Amounts held in trust include collateral contributed by UIH in connection with reinsurance contracts entered into between UPCIC and a segregated account owned and maintained by UIH.

All debt securities owned by the Company as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are direct obligations of the United States Treasury.

Concentrations of credit risk with respect to premiums receivable are limited due to the large number of individuals comprising the Company's customer base. However, the majority of the Company's revenues are currently derived from products and services offered to customers in Florida, which could be adversely affected by economic downturns, an increase in competition or other environmental changes.

In order to reduce credit risk for amounts due from reinsurers, the Insurance Entities seek to do business with financially sound reinsurance companies and regularly evaluate the financial strength of all reinsurers used. Everest Reinsurance Company, the reinsurer to which the Insurance Entities ceded the most risk through May 31, 2012, has the following ratings from each of the rating agencies: A+ from A.M. Best Company; A+ from Standard and Poor's Rating Services; and Aa3 from Moody's Investors Service, Inc. Odyssey Reinsurance Company, the reinsurer to which the Insurance Entities cede the most risk effective June 1, 2012, has the following ratings from each of the rating agencies: A from A.M. Best Company; A- from Standard and Poor's Rating Services; and A3 from Moody's Investors Service, Inc.

**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the unsecured amounts due from the Company's reinsurers whose aggregate balance exceeded 3% of the Company's stockholders' equity as of the periods presented (in thousands):

Reinsurer	As of	
	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Everest Reinsurance Company	\$ 44,392	\$ 264,997
Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund	31,970	30,422
Odyssey Reinsurance Company	192,096	
Total(1)	\$ 268,458	\$ 295,419

(1) Amounts represent prepaid reinsurance premiums, reinsurance receivables, and net recoverables for paid and unpaid losses, including incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves, loss adjustment expenses, net of offsetting reinsurance payables.

*Share-based Compensation.* The Company accounts for share-based compensation based on the estimated grant-date fair value. The Company recognizes these compensation costs in general and administrative expenses and generally amortizes them on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the award, which is the vesting term. Individual tranches of performance-based awards are amortized separately since the vesting of each tranche is subject to independent annual measures. The fair value of stock option awards are estimated using the Black-Scholes option pricing model with the grant-date assumptions discussed in Note 9. The fair value of the restricted share grants are determined based on the market price on the date of grant.

*Statutory Accounting.* UPCIC and APPCIC prepare statutory financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Office of Insurance Regulation of Florida. Effective January 1, 2001, the Office of Insurance Regulation of Florida required that insurance companies domiciled in Florida prepare their statutory financial statements in accordance with the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual (the Manual), as modified by the Office of Insurance Regulation of Florida. Accordingly, the admitted assets, liabilities and capital and surplus of UPCIC and APPCIC as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 and the results of operations and cash flows, for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, have been determined in accordance with statutory accounting principles, but adjusted to U.S. GAAP for purposes of these financial statements. The statutory accounting principles are designed primarily to demonstrate the ability to meet obligations to policyholders and claimants and, consequently, differ in some respects from U.S. GAAP.

**New Accounting Pronouncements**

In January 2010, the FASB issued new accounting guidance which expands disclosure requirements relating to fair value measurements. The guidance added requirements for disclosing amounts of and reasons for significant transfers into and out of Levels 1 and 2 and required gross rather than net disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements relating to Level 3 measurements. The guidance also provided clarification that fair value measurement disclosures are required for each class of assets and liabilities. Disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for measurements that fall in either Level 2 or Level 3 are also required. The Company adopted the provisions of the new guidance as of March 31, 2010, except for disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements, which were adopted as of January 1, 2011. Disclosures are not required for earlier periods presented for comparative purposes. The new guidance affects disclosures only; therefore, the adoption had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In September 2010, the FASB issued guidance related to accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. This guidance defines allowable deferred policy acquisition costs as costs incurred by insurance entities for the successful acquisition of new and renewal contracts. Such costs result directly from and are essential to the contract transaction(s) and would not have been incurred by the insurance entity had the contract(s) not occurred. This guidance is effective for periods beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted this guidance prospectively effective January 1, 2012. Under the new guidance, the Company's net deferred policy acquisition costs were reduced from \$13.0 million to \$11.4 million, a difference of 13% at December 31, 2011. The resulting \$1.6 million difference was charged directly to earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2012. This charge represents a charge-off of capitalized costs existing at December 31, 2011, which would have been amortized to earnings within a twelve-month period under the old guidance.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

In May 2011, the FASB updated its guidance related to the Fair Value Measurement, Topic 820 of the ASC, to achieve common fair value measurement and disclosure requirements with International Financial Reporting Standards. The amendments change the wording used to describe many of the requirements under GAAP, to clarify the intent of application of existing fair value measurement and disclosure requirements, and to change particular principles or requirements for measuring and disclosing fair value



**Table of Contents**

measurements. The amendments are to be applied prospectively to interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012. The adoption of this guidance resulted in additional disclosure but did not impact the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

In June 2011, the FASB updated its guidance related to the Comprehensive Income Topic 220 of the FASB ASC. This updated guidance increases the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income by eliminating the option of presenting components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders' equity. The guidance requires that total comprehensive income (including both the net income components and other comprehensive income components) be reported in either a single continuous statement of comprehensive income (the approach currently used in the Company's financial statements), or two separate but consecutive statements. This guidance is to be applied retrospectively to fiscal years (and interim periods within those years) beginning after December 15, 2011. The Company adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012. This guidance had no impact, as the presentation of the Company's financial statements and notes herein had been consistent with this standard as updated.

**NOTE 3 INVESTMENTS**

The following table provides the Company's investment holdings by type of instrument as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2012			As of December 31, 2011		
	Cost or Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Carrying Value	Cost or Amortized Cost	Fair Value	Carrying Value
Cash and cash equivalents(1)	\$ 347,392	\$ 347,392	\$ 347,392	\$ 229,685	\$ 229,685	\$ 229,685
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	33,009	33,009	33,009	78,312	78,312	78,312
Trading portfolio:						
Debt securities:						
U.S. government obligations and agencies	3,192	4,009	4,009	3,179	3,801	3,801
Equity securities:						
Common stock:						
Metals and mining	26,630	26,130	26,130	50,121	38,816	38,816
Energy	10,914	10,868	10,868	6,077	4,999	4,999
Other	8,152	8,215	8,215	8,044	6,945	6,945
Exchange-traded and mutual funds:						
Metals and mining	21,748	21,989	21,989	28,311	25,997	25,997
Agriculture	10,303	10,265	10,265	17,781	16,878	16,878
Energy	4,939	5,068	5,068			
Indices	2,588	2,506	2,506	2,006	1,710	1,710
Non-hedging derivative asset (liability), net(2)	69	(21)	(21)	357	123	123
Other investments(3)	517	317	317	517	371	371
Total trading portfolio investments	89,052	89,346	89,346	116,393	99,640	99,640
Total investments	\$ 469,453	\$ 469,747	\$ 469,747	\$ 424,390	\$ 407,637	\$ 407,637

- (1) Cash and cash equivalents include short-term debt securities consisting of direct obligations of the U.S. Treasury or money-market accounts that invest in direct obligations of the U.S. Treasury.
- (2) Derivatives are included in Other assets and Other liabilities and accrued expenses in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.
- (3) Other investments represent physical metals held by the Company and are included in Other assets in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. The Company has made an assessment of its invested assets for fair value measurement as further described in Note 16 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS.



**Table of Contents**

The following table provides net investment income, comprised primarily of interest and dividends, for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Cash and cash equivalents(1)	\$ 705	\$ 568	\$ 99
Debt securities	66	494	969
Equity securities	566	421	558
Total investment income	1,337	1,483	1,626
Less investment expenses	(896)	(695)	(634)
Net investment (expense) income	\$ 441	\$ 788	\$ 992

(1) Includes interest earned on restricted cash and cash equivalents.

*Trading Portfolio*

The following table provides the effect of trading activities on the Company's results of operations for the periods presented by type of instrument and by line item in the consolidated statements of income (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Realized gains (losses) on investments:			
Debt securities	\$	\$ (3,206)	\$ 3,041
Equity securities	(12,286)	5,816	18,906
Derivatives (non-hedging instruments)(1)	343	(260)	
Total realized gains (losses) on trading portfolio	(11,943)	2,350	21,947
Change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments:			
Debt securities	195	8,472	(7,850)
Equity securities	9,158	(26,762)	10,519
Derivatives (non-hedging instruments)(1)	145	25	(259)
Other	(55)	(145)	
Total change in unrealized gains (losses) on trading portfolio	9,443	(18,410)	2,410
Net gains (losses) recognized on trading securities	\$ (2,500)	\$ (16,060)	\$ 24,357

(1) This table provides the alternative quantitative disclosures permitted for derivatives that are not used as hedging instruments and are included in the trading portfolio.

*Securities Available-for-Sale*

The following table provides certain information related to securities available for sale during the periods presented (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

	Year Ended December 31, 2010
Sales proceeds (fair value)	\$ 195,329
Gross realized gains	\$ 9,090
Gross realized losses	\$ (938)
Other than temporary losses	\$ (2,408)

During the three-month period ended September 30, 2010, the Company evaluated the trading activity in its investment portfolio, its investing strategy, and its overall investment program. As a result of this evaluation, the Company reclassified its available-for-sale portfolio as a trading portfolio effective July 1, 2010. Net unrealized losses of \$656 thousand were reflected as a transfer as of July 1, 2010, and recognized in earnings and included under the caption Unrealized Gains (Losses) on Investments in the Consolidated Statement of Income. The net unrealized loss of \$656 thousand was comprised of \$1.2 million in unrealized losses, offset by \$573 thousand of unrealized gains.

**Table of Contents**

NOTE 4 REINSURANCE

The Company seeks to reduce its risk of loss by reinsuring certain levels of risk in various areas of exposure with other insurance enterprises or reinsurers, generally, as of the beginning of the hurricane season on June 1 of each year. The Company's reinsurance program consists of excess of loss, quota share and catastrophe reinsurance, subject to the terms and conditions of the applicable agreements. The Company is responsible for insured losses related to catastrophes and other events in excess of coverage provided by its reinsurance program. The Company also remains responsible for the settlement of insured losses in the event of the failure of any of its reinsurers to make payments otherwise due to the Company. See Note 1, SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES - *Concentrations of Credit Risk*, for amounts due from our largest reinsurers as of December 31, 2012.

The estimated insured value of the Company's in-force policyholder coverage for windstorm exposures as of December 31, 2012, was approximately \$127.0 billion.

The Company has reduced the percentage of premiums ceded by UPCIC to its quota share reinsurer to 45% under the reinsurance program which became effective June 1, 2012, from 50% under the prior year quota share contract effective June 1, 2011 through May 31, 2012. The Company's intent is to increase its profitability over the contract term by ceding 5% less premium to its quota share reinsurer. This reduction of cession rate also decreases the amount of losses and loss adjustment expenses that may be ceded by UPCIC and effectively increases the amount of risk retained by UPCIC and the Company. The reduction of cession rate also reduces the amount of ceding commissions earned from the Company's quota share reinsurer during the contract term and decreases the amount of deferred ceding commission, as of December 31, 2012, that is a component of net deferred policy acquisition costs.

Amounts recoverable from reinsurers are estimated in a manner consistent with the reinsurance contracts. Reinsurance premiums, losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE) are accounted for on a basis consistent with those used in accounting for the original policies issued and the terms of the reinsurance contracts. Deferred ceding commissions are netted against policy acquisition costs and amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies.

**Table of Contents**

The Company's reinsurance arrangements had the following effect on certain items in the Consolidated Statements of Income for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2012		
	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses
Direct	\$ 780,128	\$ 751,899	\$ 249,064
Ceded	(517,604)	(520,779)	(122,877)
Net	\$ 262,524	\$ 231,120	\$ 126,187

	Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses
Direct	\$ 721,462	\$ 689,955	\$ 245,335
Ceded	(512,979)	(490,970)	(121,026)
Net	\$ 208,483	\$ 198,985	\$ 124,309

	Year Ended December 31, 2010		
	Premiums Written	Premiums Earned	Loss and Loss Adjustment Expenses
Direct	\$ 666,309	\$ 616,345	\$ 229,044
Ceded	(466,694)	(445,902)	(115,689)
Net	\$ 199,615	\$ 170,443	\$ 113,355

The following prepaid reinsurance premiums and reinsurance recoverables are reflected in the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
Prepaid reinsurance premiums	\$ 239,921	\$ 243,095
Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses and LAE	\$ 81,415	\$ 88,002
Reinsurance recoverable on paid losses	7,776	(2,296)
Reinsurance receivables, net	24,334	55,205
Reinsurance recoverables and receivables	\$ 113,525	\$ 140,911

**Table of Contents****Segregated Account T25**

UIH owns and maintains a segregated account, Segregated Account T25 Universal Insurance Holdings of White Rock Insurance (SAC) Ltd. ( T25 ), established in accordance with Bermuda law. As part of the Company's overall reinsurance program, T25 at times enters into underlying excess catastrophe contracts with the Insurance Entities for the purpose of assuming certain risk for specified loss occurrences, including hurricanes. The agreements between T25 and the Insurance Entities are a cost-effective alternative to reinsurance that the Insurance Entities would otherwise purchase from third-party reinsurers. While the Company retains risk that otherwise would be transferred to third party reinsurers, these agreements provide benefits to the Insurance Entities in no-loss years that cannot be replicated in the open reinsurance market. These benefits include the return to the Insurance Entities of a substantial portion of the earned reinsurance premiums under the contract. All the related intercompany transactions with respect to these agreements are eliminated in consolidation.

Under the T25 arrangement, effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013, under an underlying excess catastrophe contract, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 45% of \$75 million in excess of \$75 million and 55% of \$105 million in excess of \$45 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. Under this T25 agreement, T25 retains a maximum, pre-tax liability of \$91.5 million for the first catastrophic event up to \$1.733 billion of losses. UPCIC is required to make premium installment payments aggregating \$72.981 million to T25, subject to the terms of the agreement. Through capital contributions made to T25 by UIH, T25 contributes an amount equal to its liability for losses, net of UPCIC's required premium payments and expenses thereon, to a trust account as collateral. The trust account is funded with the required collateral and invested in a cash reserve fund. The amounts held in the cash reserve fund are included in restricted cash and cash equivalents in our Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets. The collateral is available to be used to pay any claims that may arise from the occurrence of covered events. The collateral is required to be held in trust for the benefit of UPCIC until the occurrence of a covered event or expiration or termination of the agreement between T25 and UPCIC. UIH has no requirement to fund T25 in the event losses exceed the amount of collateral held in trust.

Reinsurance agreements between T25 and the Insurance Entities are generally terminated on or about May 31 and December 31 each year and replaced with similarly structured agreements or with agreements with third party reinsurers effective June 1 and January 1, respectively. The terminations effective May 31 are intended to coordinate and integrate the replacement contracts into the Insurance Entities' overall reinsurance program and the related changes to limits and retention levels for the subsequent contract year (i.e., June 1 through May 31). The terminations effective December 31 are intended to provide the aforementioned benefit of return premium to the Insurance Entities.

The T25 agreement effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2013 was terminated effective December 31, 2012, pursuant to the terms of the agreement. In connection with the termination of the agreement, the affiliates agreed to release funds held in trust due to the beneficiary (i.e., the Insurance Entities) and the balance to the grantor (i.e., UIH) in December 2012. See Note 18. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS for information about a replacement contract entered into by the Company with non-affiliated third parties.

**NOTE 5 INSURANCE OPERATIONS**

The Company's primary product is homeowners insurance currently offered by APPCIC in one state (Florida) and by UPCIC in seven states, including Florida.

The following table provides the percentage of concentrations with respect to the Insurance Entities' nationwide policies-in-force as of the periods presented:

	December 31, 2012	December 31, 2011
Percentage of Policies-In-Force:		
In Florida	96%	98%
With wind coverage	98%	98%
With wind coverage in South Florida(1)	28%	32%

(1) South Florida is comprised of Miami-Dade, Broward and Palm Beach counties.  
*Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs, net*

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

The Company defers certain costs in connection with written policies, called Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs ( DPAC ), net of corresponding amounts of ceded reinsurance commissions, called Deferred Reinsurance Ceding Commissions ( DRCC ). Net DPAC is amortized over the effective period of the related insurance policies.



**Table of Contents**

The following table presents the beginning and ending balances and the changes in DPAC, net of DRCC, for the periods presented (in thousands):

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
DPAC, beginning of year(1)	\$ 50,200	\$ 50,127	\$ 43,971
Capitalized Costs	107,180	114,358	100,615
Amortization of DPAC	(102,949)	(107,003)	(94,459)
DPAC, end of year	\$ 54,431	\$ 57,482	\$ 50,127
DRCC, beginning of year(1)	\$ 38,845	\$ 40,682	\$ 34,507
Ceding Commissions Written	85,063	89,330	82,568
Earned Ceding Commissions	(86,759)	(85,526)	(76,393)
DRCC, end of year	\$ 37,149	\$ 44,486	\$ 40,682
DPAC (DRCC), net, beginning of year(1)	\$ 11,355	\$ 9,445	\$ 9,464
Capitalized Costs, net	22,117	25,028	18,047
Amortization of DPAC (DRCC), net	(16,190)	(21,477)	(18,066)
DPAC (DRCC), net, end of year	\$ 17,282	\$ 12,996	\$ 9,445

(1) The beginning balances for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012 have been adjusted in connection with the adoption of the FASB's updated guidance related to deferred acquisition costs as discussed below.

As discussed in Note 2 SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES, the Company prospectively adopted new accounting guidance effective January 1, 2012 related to accounting for costs associated with acquiring or renewing insurance contracts. This guidance resulted in a 13% reduction of our net deferred policy acquisition costs as of December 31, 2011, and a corresponding pre-tax charge of \$1.6 million against earnings during the first quarter of 2012. This charge represents a charge-off of capitalized costs existing at December 31, 2011, which would have been amortized to earnings within a twelve-month period under the old guidance. In the period of adoption (three months ended March 31, 2012), approximately \$9 million of net costs would have been deferred under the old guidance compared to the \$5.6 million under the new guidance. Future expenses will be higher with the adoption of this guidance, as the amounts being deferred have decreased, partially offset by less amortization. The effect of this change in periods subsequent to March 31, 2012, on income and per share amounts is not determinable as the historical methodology will have been discontinued after adoption.

*Liability for Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses*

The Insurance Entities establish liabilities for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses on reported and unreported claims of insured losses. These liability estimates are based on known facts and interpretation of factors such as claim payment patterns, loss payments, pending levels of unpaid claims, product mix and industry experience. The establishment of appropriate liabilities, including liabilities for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain process. Management regularly updates its estimates as new facts become known and further events occur which may impact the resolution of unsettled claims.

The level of catastrophe loss experienced in any year cannot be predicted and could be material to results of operations and financial position. The Company's policyholders are concentrated in South Florida, which is periodically subject to adverse weather conditions, such as hurricanes and tropical storms. During the twelve-month periods ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, the Company did not experience any significant effects from catastrophic events. Management continuously evaluates alternative business strategies to more effectively manage the Company's exposure to catastrophe losses, including the maintenance of catastrophic reinsurance coverage as discussed in Note 4.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Management believes that the liabilities for claims and claims expense as of December 31, 2012 are appropriately established in the aggregate and adequate to cover the ultimate cost of reported and unreported claims arising from losses which had occurred by that date. However, if losses exceeded direct loss reserve estimates there could be a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements. Also, if there are regulatory initiatives, legislative enactments or case law precedents which change the basis for policy coverage, in any of these events, there could be an effect on direct loss reserve estimates having a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements.

**Table of Contents**

Set forth in the following table is the change in liability for unpaid losses and LAE for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,	
	2012	2011
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 187,215	\$ 158,929
Less reinsurance recoverable	(88,002)	(79,115)
<b>Net balance at beginning of year</b>	<b>99,213</b>	<b>79,814</b>
Incurred related to:		
Current year	119,458	112,838
Prior years	6,729	11,471
<b>Total incurred</b>	<b>126,187</b>	<b>124,309</b>
Paid related to:		
Current year	54,141	50,850
Prior years	59,433	54,060
<b>Total paid</b>	<b>113,574</b>	<b>104,910</b>
Net balance at end of year	111,826	99,213
Plus reinsurance recoverable	81,415	88,002
<b>Balance at end of year</b>	<b>\$ 193,241</b>	<b>\$ 187,215</b>

The liability for unpaid losses and loss adjustment expenses includes increases of \$6.7 million and \$11.5 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively, in response to reserve development on prior accident years. The reserve development for 2012 was primarily the result of actual loss development on prior accident year non-catastrophe homeowners losses and higher than expected adjusting and other expenses.

*Regulatory Requirements and Restrictions*

The Insurance Entities, are subject to regulations and standards of the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation ( OIR ). These standards require the subsidiaries to maintain specified levels of statutory capital and restrict the timing and amount of dividends and other distributions that may be paid to the parent company. Except in the case of extraordinary dividends, these standards generally permit dividends to be paid from statutory unassigned surplus of the regulated subsidiary and are limited based on the regulated subsidiary's level of statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus. The maximum dividend that may be paid by UPCIC and APPCIC to the Company without prior approval is limited to the lesser of statutory net income from operations of the preceding calendar year or 10.0% of statutory unassigned capital surplus as of the preceding year end. These dividends are referred to as ordinary dividends and generally can be paid without prior regulatory approval. If the dividend, together with other dividends paid within the preceding twelve months, exceeds a specified statutory limit or is paid from sources other than earned surplus, the entire dividend is generally considered an extraordinary dividend and must receive prior regulatory approval.

Based on the 2011 statutory net income and statutory capital and surplus levels, UPCIC and APPCIC did not have the capacity to pay ordinary dividends during 2012. No dividends were paid from UPCIC or APPCIC to their parent company during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010. Dividends paid to the shareholders of UIH are paid from the equity of UIH not from the surplus of the Insurance Entities.

**Table of Contents**

The Florida Insurance Code requires companies to maintain capitalization equivalent to the greater of ten percent of the insurer's total liabilities or \$5.0 million. The following table presents the amount of statutory capital and surplus, and an amount representing ten percent of total liabilities for both UPCIC and APPCIC as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
<b>Ten percent of total liabilities</b>		
UPCIC	\$ 39,260	\$ 37,063
APPCIC	\$ 694	\$ 97
<b>Statutory capital and surplus</b>		
UPCIC	\$ 134,034	\$ 122,956
APPCIC	\$ 14,330	\$ 9,378

At such dates in the table above, both UPCIC and APPCIC met the Florida capitalization requirement. UPCIC and APPCIC are also required to adhere to prescribed premium-to-capital surplus ratios and have met those requirements at such dates.

Through Universal Insurance Holding Company of Florida, Insurance Entities' parent company, UIH recorded capital contributions for the periods presented (in thousands):

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
<b>Capital Contributions</b>	\$ 28,550	\$ 49,000	30,000

UPCIC and APPCIC are required annually to comply with the NAIC RBC requirements. RBC requirements prescribe a method of measuring the amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in light of its size and risk profile. NAIC's RBC requirements are used by regulators to determine appropriate regulatory actions relating to insurers who show signs of weak or deteriorating condition. As of December 31, 2012, based on calculations using the appropriate NAIC RBC formula, UPCIC's and APPCIC's reported total adjusted capital was in excess of the requirements.

The Company is required by various state laws and regulations to maintain certain assets in depository accounts. The following table represents assets held by insurance regulators as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2012	As of December 31, 2011
<b>Restricted cash and cash equivalents</b>	\$ 33,009	\$ 48,092
Investments	\$ 4,009	\$ 3,801

In November 2012, the Florida Insurance Guaranty Association ( FIGA ) Board of Directors determined the need for an emergency assessment upon its member companies. The assessment was 0.9% of each respective member's Florida net direct premiums for calendar year 2011. The Insurance Entities' participation in this assessment totaled \$6.3 million based on 2011 net direct premiums generated in Florida of approximately \$704.8 million. Pursuant to Florida statutes, insurers are permitted to recoup the assessment by adding a surcharge to policies in an amount not to exceed the amount paid by the insurer to FIGA. As a result, the Insurance Entities' recorded this assessment as an expense during the year ended December 31, 2012 and will begin to recoup the assessment beginning February 1, 2013.

**Table of Contents**

## NOTE 6 PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT

Property and equipment consisted of the following for the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
Land	\$ 1,287	\$ 1,287
Building	6,508	3,407
Construction in progress		1,889
Computers	568	230
Furniture	1,043	923
Automobiles and other vehicles	2,183	1,339
Software	1,772	1,601
Total cost	13,361	10,676
Less: accumulated depreciation	(4,393)	(3,560)
Property and equipment, net	\$ 8,968	\$ 7,116

Depreciation and amortization was \$840 thousand, \$639 thousand and \$831 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

The following table provides realized gains (losses) on the disposal of property and equipment for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Realized gain (loss) on disposal	\$ (6)	\$ 17	\$ (13)

## NOTE 7 LONG-TERM DEBT

**Surplus Note**

On November 9, 2006, UPCIC entered into a \$25.0 million surplus note with the SBA under the ICBUI Program. Under the ICBUI program, which was implemented by the Florida Legislature to encourage insurance companies to write additional residential insurance coverage in Florida, the SBA matched UPCIC's funds of \$25.0 million that were earmarked for participation in the program. The surplus note brings the current statutory capital and surplus of UPCIC to approximately \$134 million as of December 31, 2012.

The surplus note is scheduled to be fully repaid on December 31, 2026 and accrues interest at a rate equivalent to the 10-year U.S. Treasury Bond rate, adjusted quarterly based on the 10-year Constant Maturity Treasury rate. For the first three years of the term of the surplus note, UPCIC was required to pay interest only. The effective interest rate paid on the surplus note was 1.96%, 3.77% and 3.35% for years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Any payment of principal or interest by UPCIC on the surplus note must be approved by the Commissioner of the OIR. Quarterly principal payments of \$368 thousand are due through 2026. Aggregate principal payments of \$1.5 million, \$1.5 million and \$1.5 million were made during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the balances due under the surplus note are shown in the Company's Consolidated Balance Sheets as Long-Term Debt with carrying values of \$20.2 million and \$21.7 million, respectively. The surplus note calls for serial maturities due through 2026.

**Table of Contents**

The following table provides an estimate of principal payments to be made for the amount due on the surplus note as of December 31, 2012 (in thousands):

2013	\$ 1,471
2014	1,471
2015	1,471
2016	1,471
2017	1,471
Thereafter	12,866
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 20,221</b>

In May 2008, the Florida Legislature passed a law providing participants in the Program an opportunity to amend the terms of their surplus notes based on law changes. The new law contains methods for calculating compliance with the writing ratio requirements that are more favorable to UPCIC than prior law and the prior terms of the existing surplus note. On November 6, 2008, UPCIC and the SBA executed an addendum to the surplus note ( the addendum ) that reflects these law changes. The terms of the addendum were effective July 1, 2008. In addition to other less significant changes, the addendum modifies the definitions of Minimum Required Surplus, Minimum Writing Ratio, Surplus, and Gross Written Premium, respectively, as defined in the original surplus note.

Prior to the effective date of the addendum, UPCIC was in compliance with each of the loan s covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. UPCIC currently remains in compliance with each of the loan s covenants as implemented by rules promulgated by the SBA. An event of default will occur under the surplus note, as amended, if UPCIC: (i) defaults in the payment of the surplus note; (ii) drops below a net written premium to surplus ratio of 1:1 for three consecutive quarters beginning January 1, 2010 and drops below a gross written premium to surplus ratio of 3:1 for three consecutive quarters beginning January 1, 2010; (iii) fails to submit quarterly filings to the OIR; (iv) fails to maintain at least \$50 million of surplus during the term of the surplus note, except for certain situations; (v) misuses proceeds of the surplus note; (vi) makes any misrepresentations in the application for the program; (vii) pays any dividend when principal or interest payments are past due under the surplus note; or (viii) fails to maintain a level of surplus and reinsurance sufficient to cover in excess of UPCIC s 1-in-100 year probable maximum loss as determined by a hurricane loss model accepted by the Florida Commission on Hurricane Loss Projection Methodology as certified by the OIR annually.

The original surplus note provided for increases in interest rates for failure to meet the Minimum Writing Ratio. Under the terms of the surplus note agreement, at December 31, 2007, the interest rate on the note was increased by 450 basis points. As of June 30, 2008, the additional interest rate on the note was decreased from 450 basis points to 25 basis points. Under the terms of the surplus note, as amended, the net written premium to surplus requirement and gross written premium to surplus requirement have been modified. As of December 31, 2012, UPCIC s net written premium to surplus ratio and gross written premium to surplus ratio were in excess of the required minimums and, therefore, UPCIC was not subject to increases in interest rates.

**Interest Expense**

Interest expense, comprised primarily of interest on the surplus note, was \$414 thousand, \$856 thousand, and \$862 thousand for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**NOTE 8 STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY****Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock**

As of December 31, 2012 and 2011, the Company had shares outstanding of Series A and Series M Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock ( Preferred Stock ). Each share of Series A and Series M Preferred Stock is convertible by the Company into shares of Common Stock.

**Table of Contents**

The following table provides certain information for each series of convertible preferred stock as of the periods presented (in thousands, except conversion factor):

	As of December 31, 2012			As of December 31, 2011		
	Series A	Series M	Total	Series A	Series M	Total
Shares issued and outstanding	20	88	108	20	88	108
Conversion factor	2.50	5.00	NM	2.50	5.00	NM
Common shares resulting if converted	50	438	488	50	438	488

NM - Not meaningful.

The Series A Preferred Stock pays a cumulative dividend of \$0.25 per share per quarter. During 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, the Company declared and paid aggregate dividends of \$20 thousand to holders of record of the Company's Series A Preferred Stock.

During the year ended December 31, 2010, shareholders converted 950 shares of Series M Preferred Stock into shares of Common Stock. The Company erroneously used the wrong conversion factor of 1.25 resulting in an issuance of 1,188 shares during the year ended December 31, 2010. This error was discovered during the year ended December 31, 2011 resulting in an additional issuance of 3,562 shares resulting from the conversion using a factor of 5.00. There were no conversions during the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

During 2012, the Company declared and paid aggregate dividends of \$267 thousand to holders of record of the Company's Series M Preferred Stock.

**Common Stock**

The following table summarizes the activity relating to shares of the Company's Common Stock during the periods presented (in thousands):

	Issued Shares	Treasury Shares	Shares Held in Trust	Outstanding Shares
Balance, as of December 31, 2009	40,215	(1,809)	(631)	37,775
Preferred stock conversion	1			1
Options exercised	1,995	(1,314)	631	1,312
Shares cancelled	(2,104)	2,104		
Restricted stock grant	300			300
Balance, as of December 31, 2010	40,407	(1,019)		39,388
Options exercised	160	(70)		90
Shares cancelled	(70)	70		
Restricted stock grant	600			600
Other adjustments	3	1		4
Balance, as of December 31, 2011	41,100	(1,018)		40,082
Options exercised	285	(146)		139
Shares cancelled	(146)	146		
Restricted stock grant	650			650
Balance, as of December 31, 2012	41,889	(1,018)		40,871





**Table of Contents***Dividends Declared*

The Company declared dividends on its outstanding shares of common stock to its shareholders of record as follows for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	For the year ended December 31,					
	2012		2011		2010	
	Per Share Amount	Aggregate Amount	Per Share Amount	Aggregate Amount	Per Share Amount	Aggregate Amount
First Quarter	\$ 0.10	\$ 4,012	\$ 0.10	\$ 3,939	\$ 0.12	\$ 4,700
Second Quarter	\$ 0.08	\$ 3,214	\$	\$	\$ 0.10	\$ 3,917
Third Quarter	\$ 0.08	\$ 3,270	\$ 0.08	\$ 3,199	\$	\$
Fourth Quarter	\$ 0.20	\$ 8,174	\$ 0.14	\$ 5,611	\$ 0.10	\$ 3,917

Applicable provisions of the Delaware General Corporation Law may affect the ability of the Company to declare and pay dividends on its Common Stock. In particular, pursuant to the Delaware General Corporation Law, a company may pay dividends out of its surplus, as defined, or out of its net profits, for the fiscal year in which the dividend is declared and/or the preceding year. Surplus is defined in the Delaware General Corporation Law to be the excess of net assets of the company over capital. Capital is defined to be the aggregate par value of shares issued. Moreover, the ability of the Company to pay dividends, if and when declared by its Board of Directors, may be restricted by regulatory limits on the amount of dividends, which the Insurance Entities are permitted to pay the Company.

*Restrictions limiting the payment of dividends by UIH*

UIH pays dividends to shareholders, which are funded by premiums earned on reinsurance contracts entered into with the Insurance Entities, earnings on investments and distributions from the earnings of its consolidated subsidiaries. Generally there are no restrictions for UIH limiting the payment of dividends. However, UIH's ability to pay dividends to shareholders may be affected by restrictions on the ability of the Insurance Entities to pay dividends to UIH. See Note 5, INSURANCE OPERATIONS, for a discussion of these restrictions. As of December 31, 2012, 100 percent of the Insurance Entities' net assets were restricted. There are no such restrictions for UIH's non-insurance consolidated subsidiaries. Notwithstanding the restriction on the net assets of the Insurance Entities, UIH received distributions from the earnings of its non-insurance consolidated subsidiaries of \$40.2 million, \$89.3 million and \$30.5 million and made capital contributions to the Insurance Entities of \$28.6 million, \$49.0 million and \$30.0 million, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Company prepares and files a consolidated federal tax return for UIH and its consolidated subsidiaries with all U.S. GAAP tax related entries recorded on the books of UIH. Since the U.S. GAAP tax related entries are not recorded at the subsidiary level, the Company does not have the ability to produce the amount of net assets for each of its subsidiaries in accordance with U.S. GAAP.

**NOTE 9 SHARE-BASED COMPENSATION***Equity Compensation Plan*

On October 13, 2009, the Company's Board of Directors approved, and recommended that the Company's stockholders approve, the 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan ( "Incentive Plan" ). On November 16, 2009, the Company's stockholders approved the Incentive Plan by written consent.

An aggregate of 1.8 million shares of Common Stock was initially reserved for issuance and available for awards under the Incentive Plan. Awards under the Incentive Plan may include incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights, non-vested shares of Common Stock ( "Restricted Stock" ), restricted stock units, performance share or unit awards, other share-based awards and cash-based incentive awards. Awards under the Incentive Plan may be granted to employees, directors, consultants or other persons providing services to the Company or its affiliates. The Incentive Plan also provides for awards that are intended to qualify as "performance-based compensation" in order to preserve the deductibility of such compensation by the Company under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

At the 2011 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 11, 2011, shareholders voted to approve the recommendation of the Company's Board of Directors to amend the Incentive Plan. The Incentive Plan allows for amendments which are intended for the plan to remain a flexible and effective source of incentive compensation in terms of the number of shares of stock available for awards, in terms of its design, as well as whether it generally conforms with the best practices in today's business environment. Significant aspects of the amendment include: an increase of 2.4 million in the shares reserved for grant, an adjustment to the annual maximum awards limits, a prohibition against re-pricing of options and stock appreciation rights without shareholder approval, and an addition of specific elements to the performance goals.



**Table of Contents**

At the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on June 8, 2012, shareholders voted to approve the recommendation of the Company's Board of Directors to amend the Incentive Plan (Second Amendment). Significant aspects of the Second Amendment include: an increase of 3 million in the shares reserved for grant, an extension of the term, an expansion of the list of performance goals, a provision for recovery compensation in connection with financial restatements, and certain modifications in order to provide internal consistency.

As of December 31, 2012, 1,850 thousand shares remained reserved for issuance and were available for awards under the Incentive Plan.

The following table provides certain information related to stock options and restricted stock during the year ended December 31, 2012 (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31, 2012				Restricted Stock	
	Stock Options			Weighted Average Remaining Term (Years)	Number of Shares	Grant Date Fair Value
	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value			
Outstanding as of December 31, 2011	6,720	\$ 4.78			801	\$ 5.67
Granted	500	3.51			650	3.37
Forfeited	(30)	4.70				
Exercised(1)	(285)	2.35			n/a	n/a
Vested	n/a	n/a			(299)	5.69
Expired	(1,575)	6.50			n/a	n/a
Outstanding as of December 31, 2012(2)	5,330	\$ 4.29	\$ 2,126	3.0	1,152	\$ 4.37
Exercisable as of December 31, 2012	4,417	\$ 4.34	\$ 1,691	2.3		

(1) Unless otherwise specified, such as in the case of the exercise of stock options, the per share prices were determined using the closing price of the Company's Common Stock as quoted on the NYSE MKT LLC. Shares issued upon exercise of options represent original issuances in private transactions pursuant to Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

(2) All shares outstanding as of December 31, 2012 are expected to vest.

n/a - Not applicable

**Table of Contents**

The following table provides certain information in connection with the Company's share-based compensation arrangements for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
<b>Compensation expense:</b>			
Stock options	\$ 1,188	\$ 1,450	\$ 2,109
Restricted stock	2,642	1,398	853
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 3,830</b>	<b>\$ 2,848</b>	<b>\$ 2,962</b>
<b>Deferred tax benefits:</b>			
Stock options	\$ 458	\$ 559	\$ 814
Restricted stock	468	315	329
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 926</b>	<b>\$ 874</b>	<b>\$ 1,143</b>
<b>Realized tax benefits:</b>			
Stock options	\$ 168	\$ 195	\$ 4,650
Restricted stock	291		(324)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 459</b>	<b>\$ 195</b>	<b>\$ 4,326</b>
<b>Excess tax benefits(shortfall):</b>			
Stock options	\$ (1,618)	\$ 195	\$ 4,289
Restricted stock	(142)		(190)
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ (1,760)</b>	<b>\$ 195</b>	<b>\$ 4,099</b>
<b>Weighted average fair value per share:</b>			
Stock option grants	\$ 0.87	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.46
Restricted stock grants	\$ 3.37	\$ 5.61	\$ 5.84
Intrinsic value of options exercised	\$ 437	\$ 507	\$ 12,055
Fair value of restricted stock vested	\$ 1,164	\$ 540	\$ 723
Cash received for strike price and tax withholdings	\$ 652	\$ 204	\$ 278
Shares acquired through cashless exercise(1)	147	70	1,314
Value of shares acquired	\$ 583	\$ 263	\$ 7,878

(1) All shares acquired represent shares tendered to cover the strike price for options and tax withholdings on the intrinsic value of options exercised or restricted stock vested. These shares have been cancelled by the Company.

The following table provides the amount of unrecognized compensation expense as of the most recent balance sheet date and the weighted average period over which those expenses will be recorded for both stock options and restricted stock (dollars in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2012	
	Stock Options	Restricted Stock
Unrecognized expense	\$ 824	\$ 2,737
Weighted average remaining years	1.0	1.5

*Stock Options*

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Non-qualified stock option awards ( stock options ) granted by the Company generally expire between 5 to 10 years from the grant date and generally vest over a 2 to 3 year service period commencing on the grant date.

The Company used the modified Black-Scholes model to estimate the fair value of employee stock options on the date of grant utilizing the assumptions noted below. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury bill yield curve in effect at the time of grant for the expected term of the option. The expected term of options granted represents the period of time that the options are expected to be outstanding. Expected volatilities are based on historical volatilities of our Common Stock. The dividend yield was based on expected dividends at the time of grant.

**Table of Contents**

The following table provides the assumptions utilized in the Black-Scholes model for stock options granted during the periods presented:

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Weighted-average risk-free interest rate	0.62%	1.34%	0.91%
Expected term of option in years	4.25	3.92	2.13
Weighted-average volatility	48.4%	58.5%	59.6%
Dividend yield	11.4%	8.9%	9.8%
Weighted average grant date fair value per share	\$ 0.87	\$ 1.67	\$ 1.46

On September 4, 2012, the Company granted stock options for an aggregate 500 thousand shares of Common Stock to the Company's Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer (COO) in consideration for services rendered pursuant to terms of an employment agreement and to provide the COO with a continued incentive to share in the success of the Company. The options have an exercise price of \$3.51, expire on September 4, 2019 and vest over two years as follows: options for 250 thousand shares on the one year anniversary of the grant date and options for 250 thousand shares on the two year anniversary of the grant date.

On June 23, 2011, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 1,495 thousand shares of common stock to the Company's directors (225 thousand shares), executive officers (675 thousand shares) and management (595 thousand shares). Options granted to directors vest in full on the earlier of (i) the first anniversary of the date of grant, and (ii) the first annual meeting of the Company's shareholders, following the date of grant, at which the shareholders elect or reelect any directors to the Board. Options granted to executive officers and management vest as follows: (i) one third on the six (6) month anniversary of the date of grant, (ii) one third on the one (1) year anniversary of the date of grant, and (iii) one third on the two (2) year anniversary of the date of grant. The options have an exercise price of \$4.70 per share and expire on June 23, 2016 for directors and June 23, 2018 for executive officers and management.

On May 19, 2010, the Company granted options to purchase an aggregate of 1,315 thousand shares of Common Stock to the Company's directors (225 thousand shares), executive officers (775 thousand shares) and management (315 thousand shares) of which 50% of the options vested immediately and 50% vested on May 19, 2011. The options have an exercise price of \$4.87 per share and expire on May 19, 2015. The options granted to the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and to the Company's COO are only exercisable on such date or dates as the fair market value, as defined in 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan, of the Company's Common Stock is and has been at least one-hundred fifty percent (150%) of the exercise price for the previous twenty (20) consecutive trading days.

On February 2, 2010, the Company granted stock options for an aggregate 350 thousand shares of Common Stock to the COO in consideration for services rendered pursuant to terms of an employment agreement and to provide the COO with a continued incentive to share in the success of the Company. The options have an exercise price of \$5.84, expire on February 2, 2015 and vest over two years as follows: options for 150 thousand shares on the grant date; options for 100 thousand shares on the one year anniversary of the grant date and options for 100 thousand shares on the two year anniversary of the grant date.

*Restricted Stock Grants*

Restricted stock grants are awarded to certain employees in consideration for services rendered pursuant to terms of employment agreements and or to provide to those employees with a continued incentive to share in the success of the Company. Non-vested shares (restricted stock) generally vest over a three year service period commencing on the grant date.

Effective August 23, 2012, the Company issued 650 thousand shares of restricted Common Stock at a price of \$3.37 per share to its COO. The stock vests cumulatively over a three-year period as follows: 38.5 percent, 77 percent and 100 percent, respectively, on January 1, 2013, January 1, 2014 and December 31, 2014.

The Company issued 600 thousand shares of performance-based restricted common stock at a price of \$5.61 per share to its COO. Shares of 200 thousand vest on each of the first, second and third anniversary of the grant date which was March 28, 2011, subject to shareholder approval and annual performance criteria. This grant was not effective until shareholder approval which took place May 11, 2011.

Effective February 2, 2010, the Company issued 300 thousand shares of restricted Common Stock at a price of \$5.84 per share to its COO. The stock vests cumulatively over a three-year period as follows: 33 percent, 66 percent and 100 percent, respectively, on the first, second and third anniversaries of the issue date.



**Table of Contents****Stock Grantor Trust**

On April 3, 2000, the Company established the Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. Stock Grantor Trust ( SGT ) to fund its obligations arising from its various stock option agreements. The Company funded the SGT with 2.9 million shares of Company Common Stock. In exchange, the SGT delivered \$29 thousand and a promissory note to the Company for approximately \$2.3 million which together represents the purchase price of the shares. Amounts owed by the SGT to the Company will be repaid by cash received by the SGT, which will result in the SGT releasing shares to satisfy Company obligations for stock options. The assets of the SGT are subject to the claims of the Company's general creditors under federal and state law. The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the SGT. Dividends paid by the Company and received by the SGT on shares of Common Stock held in trust are eliminated in consolidation and shown net in the Consolidated Financial Statements. The agreement governing the operation of the SGT provides that the SGT shall terminate upon the later of the date that (i) all shares of Common Stock available for issuance under the SGT have been distributed or (ii) the promissory note is paid in full. The promissory note was paid in full on March 15, 2010, and promptly thereafter all shares of Common Stock remaining in the SGT were distributed to holders of Company options in satisfaction of the Company's obligations under certain of its stock option agreements. The SGT was terminated upon this final distribution of shares of Common Stock from the SGT, and as of March 31, 2010, the SGT did not hold any shares of Common Stock.

**NOTE 10 EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN**

Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted a qualified retirement plan covering substantially all employees. It is designed to help the employees meet their financial needs during their retirement years. Eligibility for participation in the plan is generally based on employee's date of hire or on completion of a specified period of service. Employer contributions to this plan are made in cash.

The plan titled the Universal Property & Casualty 401(K) Profit Sharing Plan and Trust ( 401(k) Plan ) is a defined contribution plan that allows employees to defer compensation through contributions to the 401(k) Plan. The contributions are invested on the employees' behalf, and the benefits paid to employees are based on contributions and any earnings or loss. The 401(k) Plan includes a Company contribution of 100 percent of each eligible participant's contribution that does not exceed five percent of their compensation during the 401(k) Plan year. The Company may make additional profit-sharing contributions. However, no additional profit-sharing contribution was made during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

The Company accrued for aggregate contributions of approximately \$598 thousand, \$542 thousand and \$624 thousand to the 401(k) Plan during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

**NOTE 11 RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

Downes and Associates, a multi-line insurance adjustment corporation based in Deerfield Beach, Florida performs certain claims adjusting work for UPCIC. Downes and Associates, is owned by Dennis Downes, who is the father of Sean P. Downes, Chief Operating Officer and Senior Vice President of the Company. The following table provides payments made by the Company to Downes and Associates for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Claims adjusting fees	\$ 623	\$ 753	\$ 480

There were no amounts due to or from Downes and Associates as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. Payments due to Downes and Associates are generally made in the month the services are provided.



**Table of Contents**

## NOTE 12 INCOME TAXES

The following table reconciles the statutory federal income tax rate to the Company's effective tax rate for the periods presented:

	For the years ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.0%	35.0%	35.0%
Increases (decreases) resulting from:			
Disallowed meals & entertainment	0.6%	0.6%	0.3%
Disallowed compensation	3.2%	2.3%	1.3%
State income tax, net of federal tax benefit(1)	3.6%	3.6%	3.6%
Other, net(2)	(0.1%)	(1.1%)	0.4%
Effective tax rate	42.3%	40.4%	40.6%

(1) Included in income tax is Florida income tax at a statutory rate of 5.5%.

(2) Other represents true-ups recorded upon completion of prior years tax returns, partially offset by estimates of penalties and interest for current year underpayment of estimated taxes.

Deferred income taxes represent the temporary differences between the GAAP and tax basis of the Company's assets and liabilities. The tax effects of temporary differences are as follows as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31,	
	2012	2011
Deferred income tax assets:		
Unearned premiums	\$ 11,430	\$ 9,007
Advanced premiums	1,132	1,451
Unpaid losses and LAE	3,449	3,139
Regulatory assessments	2,447	
Stock-based compensation	3,048	4,341
Accrued wages	778	958
Allowance for uncollectible receivables	205	276
Additional tax basis of securities	573	2,407
Change in unrealized losses on investments	2,782	6,425
Total deferred income tax assets	25,844	28,004
Deferred income tax liabilities:		
Deferred policy acquisition costs, net	(6,666)	(5,013)
Total deferred income tax liabilities	(6,666)	(5,013)
Net deferred income tax asset	\$ 19,178	\$ 22,991

A valuation allowance is deemed unnecessary as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, because management believes it is probable that the Company will generate taxable income sufficient to realize the tax benefits associated with the net deferred income tax asset shown above in the near future.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

Liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits, if any, are recorded in accordance with issued FASB guidance on Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes. The Company recognizes accruals for interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense.

The Company filed a consolidated federal income tax return for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2011, 2010, and 2009 and intends to file the same for the year ended December 31, 2012. The tax allocation agreements between the Company and the Insurance Entities provide that they will incur income taxes based on a computation of taxes as if they were stand-alone taxpayers. The computations are made utilizing the financial statements of the Insurance Entities prepared on a statutory basis of accounting and prior to consolidating entries which include the conversion of certain balances and transaction of the statutory financial statements to a U.S. GAAP basis.

**Table of Contents**

Tax years that remain open for purposes of examination of its income tax liability due to taxing authorities, include the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

## NOTE 13 EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share ( EPS ) is based on the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period, excluding any dilutive common share equivalents. Diluted EPS reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities to issue Common Stock were exercised.

The following table reconciles the numerator (i.e., income) and denominator (i.e., shares) of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for net income for the periods presented (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011	2010
<b>Numerator for EPS:</b>			
Net income	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 36,984
Less: Preferred stock dividends	(287)	(20)	(20)
Income available to common stockholders	\$ 30,025	\$ 20,089	\$ 36,964
<b>Denominator for EPS:</b>			
Weighted average common shares outstanding	39,614	39,184	39,113
Plus: Assumed conversion of stock-based compensation(1)	513	770	977
Assumed conversion of preferred stock	489	488	489
Weighted average diluted common shares outstanding	40,616	40,442	40,579
Basic earnings per common share	\$ 0.76	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.95
Diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.50	\$ 0.91
Weighted average number of antidilutive shares	818	2,553	1,941

(1) Represents the dilutive effect of unvested restricted stock and unexercised stock options.

**Table of Contents**

## NOTE 14 OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

The following table provides the components of other comprehensive income (loss) on a pretax and after-tax basis for the periods presented (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 30, 2010		
	Pretax	Tax	After-tax
Net unrealized gains on available-for-sale investments arising during the periods	\$ 4,983	\$ (1,925)	\$ 3,058
Less: realized gains on investments	5,744	(2,216)	3,528
Less: reclassification of unrealized losses relating to the reclassification of investment portfolio to trading from available-for-sale	(656)	253	(403)
Less: realized foreign currency gains on investments	809	(312)	497
Change in net unrealized gains (losses) on available-for-sale investments	(914)	350	(564)
Other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (914)	\$ 350	\$ (564)

There were no amounts of other comprehensive income for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 and there were no amounts of accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

## NOTE 15 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

**Operating Leases**

The Company has leased certain computer equipment and software under a master equipment lease agreement with Relational Funding, Inc. with an original equipment cost of \$2.7 million. The Company also has several leases on office space.

The following table provides future minimum rental payments required under the non-cancelable operating leases as of the period presented (in thousands):

As of December 31, 2012	
2013	\$ 309
2014	226
2015	71
Total	\$ 606

**Litigation**

Certain lawsuits have been filed against the Company. These lawsuits involve matters that are routine litigation incidental to the claims aspect of the Company's business for which estimated losses are included in Unpaid Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses in the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements. In the opinion of management, these lawsuits are not material individually or in the aggregate to the Company's financial position or results of operations. Accruals made or assessments of materiality of disclosure related to probable or possible losses do not consider any anticipated insurance proceeds.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

In November 2012, a lawsuit was filed against the Insurance Entities and the Company's wholly-owned subsidiary Blue Atlantic Reinsurance Corporation by one of the Insurance Entities' reinsurers over a disagreement as to certain provisions of a Right of First Refusal Agreement relating to reinsurance contracts that may be entered into with any other party or parties that would be effective on or after January 1, 2012. In the filed lawsuit, the reinsurer seeks certain injunctive relief and liquidated damages of \$13.0 million. The Court has ruled against the reinsurer as to the injunctive relief it sought. While the Company believes it has a meritorious defense in the dispute and fully anticipates satisfactory resolution, it is possible that the lawsuit could result in a loss to the Company for which an amount or range of amounts is impossible to estimate at this time. The ultimate resolution of the matter and the date thereof, is not yet determinable.

### NOTE 16 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

GAAP defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants as of the measurement date. GAAP describes three approaches to measuring the fair value of assets and

---

**Table of Contents**

liabilities: the market approach, the income approach and the cost approach. Each approach includes multiple valuation techniques. GAAP does not prescribe which valuation technique should be used when measuring fair value, but does establish a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used in applying the various techniques. Inputs broadly refer to the assumptions that market participants use to make pricing decisions, including assumptions about risk. Level 1 inputs are given the highest priority in the hierarchy while Level 3 inputs are given the lowest priority. Assets and liabilities carried at fair value are classified in one of the following three categories based on the nature of the inputs to the valuation technique used:

Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets as of the reporting date. Active markets are those in which transactions for the asset or liability occur in sufficient frequency and volume to provide pricing information on an ongoing basis.

Level 2 Observable market-based inputs or unobservable inputs that are corroborated by market data.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are not corroborated by market data. These inputs reflect management's best estimate of fair value using its own assumptions about the assumptions a market participant would use in pricing the asset or liability.

*Summary of significant valuation techniques for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis*

Level 1

*Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents:* Cash equivalents and restricted cash equivalents comprise actively traded money market funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access. The carrying value of cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value due to its liquid nature.

*Common stock:* Comprise actively traded, exchange-listed U.S. and international equity securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

*Exchange-traded and mutual funds:* Comprise actively traded funds. Valuation is based on daily quoted net asset values for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

*Other investments:* Currently comprise physical metal positions held by the Company. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Level 2

*Common Stock:* Comprise exchange-listed U.S. and international equity securities that are either not actively traded or have been delisted. The Company uses prices and inputs closest to the measurement date, including during periods of market disruption. In periods of market disruption, the ability to observe prices and inputs may be reduced for these instruments.

*U.S. government obligations and agencies:* Comprise U.S. Treasury Bills or Notes or U.S. Treasury Inflation Protected Securities (TIPS). The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical assets in inactive markets or similar assets in active or inactive markets, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

*Derivatives:* The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active or highly active.

As required by GAAP, assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The Company's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment, and may affect their placement within the fair value hierarchy levels.



**Table of Contents**

The following tables set forth by level within the fair value hierarchy the Company's assets that were accounted for at fair value on a recurring basis as of the periods presented (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements As of December 31, 2012			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 347,392	\$	\$	\$ 347,392
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	33,009			33,009
<b>Trading portfolio:</b>				
<b>Debt securities:</b>				
US government obligations and agencies		4,009		4,009
<b>Equity securities:</b>				
<b>Common stock:</b>				
Metals and mining	26,130			26,130
Energy	10,868			10,868
Other	8,215			8,215
<b>Exchange traded and mutual funds:</b>				
Metals and mining	21,989			21,989
Agriculture	10,265			10,265
Energy	5,068			5,068
Indices	2,506			2,506
Non-hedging derivative liability, net(2)		(21)		(21)
Other investments	317			317
<b>Total trading portfolio investments</b>	<b>\$ 85,358</b>	<b>\$ 3,988</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 89,346</b>
<b>Total investments</b>	<b>\$ 465,759</b>	<b>\$ 3,988</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 469,747</b>

	Fair Value Measurements As of December 31, 2011			Total
	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 229,685	\$	\$	\$ 229,685
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	78,312			78,312
<b>Trading portfolio:</b>				
<b>Debt securities:</b>				
US government obligations and agencies	174	3,627		3,801
<b>Equity securities:</b>				
<b>Common stock:</b>				
Metals and mining	38,816			38,816
Energy	4,999			4,999
Other	6,927	18		6,945
<b>Exchange traded and mutual funds:</b>				
Metals and mining	25,997			25,997
Agriculture	16,878			16,878
Indices	1,710			1,710
Non-hedging derivative asset		123		123
Other investments	371			371
<b>Total trading portfolio investments</b>	<b>\$ 95,872</b>	<b>\$ 3,768</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 99,640</b>
<b>Total investments</b>	<b>\$ 403,869</b>	<b>\$ 3,768</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 407,637</b>



## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

The Company utilizes third-party independent pricing services that provide a price quote for each debt security, equity security and derivative. Management reviews the methodology used by the pricing services. If management believes that the price used by the pricing service does not reflect an orderly transaction between participants, management will use an alternative valuation methodology. There were no adjustments made by the Company to the prices obtained from the independent pricing source for any debt securities, equity securities or derivatives included in the tables above.

**Table of Contents**

The following table summarizes the carrying value and estimated fair values of the Company's financial instruments that are not carried at fair value (in thousands):

	As of December 31, 2012 (Level 3)	
	Carrying value	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Long-term debt	\$ 20,221	\$ 18,057

	As of December 31, 2011 (Level 3)	
	Carrying value	Estimated Fair Value
<b>Liabilities:</b>		
Long-term debt	\$ 21,691	\$ 18,775

Level 3

*Long-term debt:* The fair value of long-term debt was determined by management from the expected cash flows discounted using the interest rate quoted by the issuer of the note, the State Board of Administration of Florida (SBA) which is below prevailing rates quoted by private lending institutions. However, as the Company's use of funds from the surplus note is limited by the terms of the agreement, the Company has determined the interest rate quoted by the SBA to be appropriate for purposes of establishing the fair value of the note.

NOTE 17 QUARTERLY RESULTS FOR 2012 AND 2011 (UNAUDITED)

The following table provides a summary of quarterly results for the periods presented (in thousands except per share data):

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
For the year ended December 31, 2012				
Net premiums earned	\$ 48,640	\$ 55,694	\$ 59,512	\$ 67,274
Investment income	(36)	(16)	215	\$ 278
Total revenues	60,247	59,928	74,537	\$ 75,227
Total expenses	44,018	46,936	60,563	\$ 65,863
Net income	9,873	7,777	8,256	\$ 4,406
Basic earnings per share	0.24	0.20	0.21	\$ 0.11
Diluted earnings per share	0.24	0.19	0.20	\$ 0.11
For the year ended December 31, 2011				
Net premiums earned	\$ 48,004	\$ 49,524	\$ 49,634	\$ 51,823
Investment income	257	(21)	122	430
Total revenues	64,333	53,672	49,413	58,443
Total expenses	41,257	40,551	48,170	62,165
Net income	13,898	7,549	975	(2,313)
Basic earnings per share	0.35	0.19	0.02	(0.06)
Diluted earnings per share	0.34	0.19	0.02	(0.06)

The improvement of \$6.7 million in the fourth quarter results of 2012 compared to 2011 is attributable to several factors including an increase in revenues of \$16.8 million comprised mostly of improvements in net earned premiums of \$15.5 million. The increase in revenues also includes improved performance of the investment trading portfolio of \$1.9 million. The improvement in net earned



## **Table of Contents**

premiums reflects a reduction in the amount of ceded premiums under the quota-share reinsurance contract for the 2012-2103 reinsurance program as well as the benefit of premium rate increases over the past 12 months. There was also a benefit to earnings from the reduction in losses and loss adjustment expenses of \$8.7 million. These improvements were partially offset by an increase in general and administrative expenses of \$12.4 million, including a \$6.3 million FIGA assessment which will be recovered over a 12-month period beginning February 1, 2013 and an increase in charges of \$2.3 million related to policy acquisition costs. There were also increases in compensation, insurance and legal fees of \$ 1.7 million, \$1.0 million and \$398 thousand, respectively. The effective tax rate increased to 52.9% compared to 37.8% due to a relative increase in the amount of non-deductible compensation recorded in the fourth quarter of 2012 compared to 2011.

### NOTE 18 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

The Company performed an evaluation of subsequent events through the date the financial statements were issued and determined there were no recognized or unrecognized subsequent events that would require an adjustment or additional disclosure in the consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2012 except for the following.

Effective January 1, 2013, the T25 contract (described in Note 4 REINSURANCE) was subsequently replaced at identical limits and retentions as the prior agreement with unaffiliated third party reinsurers as an open market purchase. Effective January 1, 2013 through May 31, 2013, under an excess catastrophe contract, UPCIC obtained catastrophe coverage of 45% of \$75 million in excess of \$75 million and 55% of \$105 million in excess of \$45 million covering certain loss occurrences including hurricanes. The total cost of this reinsurance coverage is \$2.7 million.

On February 8, 2013, the Company declared a dividend of \$0.08 per share on its outstanding Common Stock to be paid on April 5, 2013, to the shareholders of record at the close of business on March 14, 2013.

Effective February 22, 2013, Bradley I. Meier resigned as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company to pursue opportunities outside the residential homeowners insurance industry. Also effective February 22, 2013, Sean P. Downes became the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Company and Jon W. Springer became the Senior Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company.

The Company's investment committee authorized management in March 2013, to engage an investment advisor specializing in the insurance industry, to manage the Company's investment portfolio. The Company seeks to maintain a more traditional insurance company investment portfolio which we expect will provide a more stable stream of investment income and reduce the effects of market volatility. Management currently anticipates the majority of the portfolio will be available for sale with changes in fair value reflected in stockholders' equity with the exception of any other than temporary impairments which are reflected in earnings.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE**

NONE

**ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

**Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures**

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ( Exchange Act ) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

As of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15 under the Exchange Act. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2012.

**Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting**

The management of the Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control system was designed to provide reasonable assurance to the Company's management and Board of Directors regarding the preparation and fair presentation of published financial statements.

All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those systems determined to be effective can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Management assessed the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012. In making this assessment, management used the criteria set forth in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, management believes that, as of December 31, 2012, the Company's internal control over financial reporting is effective based on those criteria.

Management's assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012 has been audited by Plante & Moran, PLLC, the independent registered public accounting firm who also audited the Company's consolidated financial statements. The auditor's attestation report on management's assessment of the Company's internal control over financial reporting is presented above at Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

**Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting**

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2012 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION**

NONE

**PART III**

**ITEM 10. DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE**

**Code of Business Conduct and Ethics**

The Company adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics on December 5, 2008 that is applicable to all directors, officers and employees of the Company. The code is publicly available at the Company's headquarters in Fort Lauderdale, Florida and also on the Company's website at [www.universalinsuranceholdings.com](http://www.universalinsuranceholdings.com). A copy of the Company's Code of Business Conduct and Ethics may be obtained free of charge by written request to George R. De Heer, CFO, Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc., 1110 West Commercial Boulevard, Suite 100, Fort Lauderdale, FL 33309.

For information regarding our Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2012 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

**Table of Contents**

**ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

For information regarding Executive Compensation, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2012 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS**

For information regarding Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2012 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS, AND DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

For information regarding Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2012 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES**

For information regarding Principal Accountant Fees and Services, reference is made to our definitive proxy statement for our Annual Meeting of Shareholders, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2012 and which is incorporated herein by reference.

**PART IV**

**ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES**

(1) Financial Statements

The following consolidated financial statements of the Company and the report of the Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm thereon filed with this report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Plante & Moran, PLLC).

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Blackman Kallick, LLP)

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

## Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

### (2) Financial Statement Schedules

The following additional financial statement schedules are furnished herewith pursuant to the requirements of Form 10-K.

#### Page

#### **Schedules required to be filed under the provisions of Regulation S-X Article 7:**

Schedule II	<u>Condensed Financial Information of Registrant</u>	85
Schedule V	<u>Valuation Allowances and Qualifying Accounts</u>	91
Schedule VI	<u>Supplementary Information Concerning Consolidated Property-Casualty Insurance Operations</u>	92
	<u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firms</u>	93

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or in notes thereto.

### (3) EXHIBITS

- 3.1 Registrant's Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended(14)
- 3.2 Registrant's Amended and Restated Bylaws(3)



**Table of Contents**

3.3	Certificate of Designation for Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated October 11, 1994(2)
3.4	Certificate of Designations, Preferences, and Rights of Series M Convertible Preferred Stock dated August 13, 1997(1)
3.5	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated October 19, 1998(2)
3.6	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated December 18, 2000(2)
3.7	Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Designations of the Series A Convertible Preferred Stock dated October 29, 2001(2)
3.8	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated December 7, 2005(8)
3.9	Certificate of Amendment of Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation dated May 18, 2007(8)
4.1	Form of Common Stock Certificate(14)
10.1	The Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. Second Amended and Restated 2009 Omnibus Incentive Plan(15)*
10.2	Employment Agreement, dated as of May 1, 1997, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier(1)*
10.3	Employment Agreement, dated as of January 1, 2005, by and between the Company and Sean Downes(14)*
10.4	Employment Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2010, by and between the Company and George R. De Heer(10)*
10.5	Amendment to Employment Agreement of Bradley I. Meier, dated March 21, 2007(4)*
10.6	Amendment to Employment Agreement of Sean P. Downes, dated March 21, 2007(4)*
10.7	Addendum No. 1 to the Downes Employment Agreement, dated May 22, 2006(14)*
10.8	Addendum No. 8 to the Meier Employment Agreement, dated July 12, 2007(5)*
10.9	Addendum No. 2 to the Downes Employment Agreement, dated July 12, 2007(5)*
10.10	Addendum No. 9 to Meier Employment Agreement, dated December 5, 2008(6)*
10.11	Addendum No. 3 to Downes Employment Agreement, dated December 5, 2008(6)*
10.12	Addendum to No. 4 to Downes Employment Agreement, dated February 4, 2010(9)*
10.13	Addendum to No. 10 to Meier Employment Agreement, dated December 6, 2010(11)*
10.14	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated February 4, 2010, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes(9)*
10.15	Restricted Stock Award Agreement, dated February 4, 2010, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes(9)*
10.16	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier(5)*
10.17	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes(5)*
10.18	Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Norman M. Meier(5)*
10.19	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Norman M. Meier(5)*
10.20	Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Ozzie A. Schindler(5)*
10.21	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Ozzie A. Schindler(5)*
10.22	Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Joel M. Wilentz(5)*
10.23	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Joel M. Wilentz(5)*
10.24	Director Services Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Reed J. Slogoff(5)*
10.25	Non-Qualified Stock Option Agreement, dated July 12, 2007, by and between the Company and Reed J. Slogoff(5)*
10.26	Performance-Based Restricted Stock Award, dated March 28, 2011, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes(13)*
10.27	Stock Option Agreement, dated December 21, 2001, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier(12)*
10.28	Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated August 23, 2012, by and between the Company and Bradley I. Meier(17)



**Table of Contents**

10.29	Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated August 23, 2012, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes(17)
10.30	Restricted Stock Award Agreement dated August 27, 2012, by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes(17)
10.31	Form of Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement by and between the Company and Sean P. Downes(17)
10.32	Form of Indemnification Agreement(18)
10.33	Management Agreements by and between Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company and Universal P&C Management, Inc. dated as of June 2, 1997(1)
10.34	Florida Insurance Capital Build-Up Incentive Program Surplus Note ( Surplus Note ) between the Company and The State Board of Administration of Florida ( SBA )(7)
10.35	Addendum No. 1 to the Surplus Note between the Company and SBA(7)
10.36	Multiple Line Quota Share Reinsurance Contract between the Company and Everest Reinsurance Company(7)
10.37	Independent Adjusting Firm Agreement between the Company and Downes and Associates(7)
16.1	Letter to the Securities and Exchange Commission from Blackman Kallick, LLP dated July 1, 2012(16)
21	List of Subsidiaries
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (Plante & Moran, PLLC)
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Title 18, United States Code, Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document**
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document**
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document**
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document**
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document**
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document**

\* Exhibit Numbers 10.1-10.32 are management contracts or compensatory plans required to be filed as Exhibits to this Form 10-K.

\*\* These interactive data files are furnished and deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Section 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, are deemed not filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise are not subject to liability under those sections.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended April 30, 1997 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 13, 1997, as amended.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 9, 2003.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 11, 2007.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 22, 2007.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 10, 2007.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 9, 2008.

**Table of Contents**

- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 10, 2009.
- (8) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-163564) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 8, 2009.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on February 10, 2010.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on October 5, 2010.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on December 7, 2010.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-174125) filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 11, 2011.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 12, 2011.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 filed with the SEC on March 26, 2012.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on June 14, 2012.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on July 5, 2012.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on August 29, 2012.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K, file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on November 15, 2012.

**Table of Contents**

**SIGNATURES**

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this report on Form 10-K to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, hereunto duly authorized.

**UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC.**

Dated: March 8, 2013

By: /s/ Sean P. Downes  
Sean P. Downes, President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ George R. De Heer  
George R. De Heer, Chief Financial Officer and Principal  
Accounting Officer

In accordance with the Exchange Act, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

/s/ Sean P. Downes	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director	March 8, 2013
Sean P. Downes		
/s/ Jon W. Springer	Senior Vice President, Chief Operating Officer and Director	March 8, 2013
Jon W. Springer		
/s/ George R. De Heer	Chief Financial Officer	March 8, 2013
George R. De Heer		
/s/ Michael Pietrangelo	Director	March 8, 2013
Michael Pietrangelo		
/s/ Ozzie A. Schindler	Director	March 8, 2013
Ozzie A. Schindler		
/s/ Reed J. Slogoff	Director	March 8, 2013
Reed J. Slogoff		
/s/ Joel M. Wilentz	Director	March 8, 2013
Joel M. Wilentz		

**Table of Contents****SCHEDULE CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT****SCHEDULE II CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT**

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. had no long term obligations, guarantees or material contingencies as of December 31, 2012 and 2011. The following summarizes the major categories of the parent company's financial statements (in thousands, except per share data):

**CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS**

	<b>As of December 31,</b>	
	<b>2012</b>	<b>2011</b>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,733	\$ 6,900
Restricted cash and cash equivalents		30,220
Investments in subsidiaries and undistributed earnings	121,496	94,249
Equity securities	13,944	15,553
Receivable from securities	175	1,019
Other receivables	2,602	
Deferred income taxes	19,178	22,991
Other assets	329	324
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 175,457</b>	<b>\$ 171,256</b>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>LIABILITIES:</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 339	\$ 160
Payable for securities	53	133
Income taxes payable	699	12,740
Other accrued expenses	10,852	8,218
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>11,943</b>	<b>21,251</b>
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Cumulative convertible preferred stock, \$.01 par value	1	1
Authorized shares - 1,000		
Issued shares - 108		
Outstanding shares - 108		
Minimum liquidation preference - \$2.66 per share		
Common stock, \$.01 par value	419	411
Authorized shares - 55,000		
Issued shares - 41,889 and 41,100		
Outstanding shares - 40,871 and 40,082		
Treasury shares, at cost - 1,018 shares	(3,101)	(3,101)
Additional paid-in capital	38,684	36,536
Retained earnings	127,511	116,158
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<b>163,514</b>	<b>150,005</b>
<b>Total liabilities and stockholders' equity</b>	<b>\$ 175,457</b>	<b>\$ 171,256</b>

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements



**Table of Contents****CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
		2011	
	2012	(Restated, see Note 1)	2010
<b>PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES</b>			
Assumed premiums written	\$ 10,686	\$ 20,703	\$ 4,534
Increase (decrease) in unearned assumed premiums			7,292
Premiums earned, net	10,686	20,703	11,826
Net investment income	25	54	42
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	(1,880)	(1,186)	1,379
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	1,162	(3,009)	223
Management fee	142	142	41
Total premiums earned and other revenues	10,135	16,704	13,511
<b>OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES</b>			
General and administrative expenses	25,051	20,830	20,417
Total operating cost and expenses	25,051	20,830	20,417
<b>LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND EQUITY IN NET EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES</b>	(14,916)	(4,126)	(6,906)
Benefit from income taxes	(6,312)	(1,667)	(2,664)
<b>LOSS BEFORE EQUITY IN NET EARNINGS OF SUBSIDIARIES</b>	(8,604)	(2,459)	(4,242)
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	38,916	22,568	41,226
<b>CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME</b>	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 36,984

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements



**Table of Contents****CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

	For the Years Ended December 31,		
	2012	2011 (Restated, see Note 1)	2010 (Restated, see Note 1)
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Net Income	\$ 30,312	\$ 20,109	\$ 36,984
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	(38,916)	(22,568)	(41,226)
Amortization of stock-based compensation	3,830	2,848	2,962
Net realized (gains) losses on investments	1,880	1,186	(1,379)
Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on investments	(1,162)	3,009	(223)
Deferred income taxes	3,813	(9,543)	(1,333)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	1,760	(195)	(4,099)
Other		5	16
Net changes in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities:			
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	30,220	(18,880)	5,175
Premiums receivable			8,721
Purchases of equity securities, trading	(58,836)	(77,691)	(12,760)
Proceeds from sale of equity securities, trading	60,379	66,526	10,897
Income taxes recoverable	(2,594)		3,212
Income taxes payable	(13,801)	4,653	12,012
Unearned premiums			(7,292)
Other operating assets and liabilities	2,911	1,405	604
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	19,796	(29,136)	12,271
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Capital contributions to subsidiaries	(28,555)	(49,001)	(31,134)
Distribution of income from subsidiaries	40,222	89,322	30,455
Purchases of equity securities, available for sale			(3,578)
Proceeds from sale of equity securities, available for sale			10,702
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	11,667	40,321	6,445
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Preferred stock dividend	(287)	(20)	(20)
Common stock dividend	(18,669)	(12,750)	(12,533)
Issuance of common stock	207	5	14
Payments related to tax withholding for share-based compensation	(121)	(172)	(4,293)
Excess tax benefits (shortfall) from stock-based compensation	(1,760)	195	4,099
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(20,630)	(12,742)	(12,733)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	10,833	(1,557)	5,983
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	6,900	8,457	2,474
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 17,733	\$ 6,900	\$ 8,457

See accompanying notes to condensed financial statements



**Table of Contents**

## NOTE 1 GENERAL

The financial statements of the Registrant should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements in Item 8.

**Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation**

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. (the Company), is a Delaware corporation originally incorporated as Universal Heights, Inc. in November 1990. The Company is an insurance holding company whose wholly-owned subsidiaries perform all aspects of insurance underwriting, distribution and claims. Through its wholly-owned subsidiaries, including Universal Property & Casualty Insurance Company and American Platinum Property and Casualty Insurance Company, collectively referred to as the (Insurance Entities), the Company is principally engaged in the property and casualty insurance business offered primarily through a network of independent agents. Risk from catastrophic losses is managed through the use of reinsurance agreements.

The Company generates revenues from premiums earned on reinsurance contracts entered into with the Insurance Entities and earnings on investments. The Company also receives distributions of earnings from its insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries.

Certain amounts in the prior periods condensed financial statements have been corrected. In addition, certain amounts in the prior periods condensed financial statements have been reclassified in order to conform to current period presentation. Such corrections and reclassifications (adjustments) were of immaterial amounts and had no effect on net income or stockholders' equity.

The following adjustments were made to the Condensed Statement of Income and the Condensed Statements of Cash Flows for the periods presented (in thousands):

**RESTATED CONDENSED STATEMENT OF INCOME**

	For the Year Ended December 31, 2011		
	As Reported	Adjustments	As Adjusted
<b>PREMIUMS EARNED AND OTHER REVENUES</b>			
Assumed premiums written	\$ 20,703	\$	\$ 20,703
(Increase) decrease in unearned assumed premiums			
Premiums earned, net	20,703		20,703
Net investment income	54		54
Net realized gains (losses) on investments	(1,186)		(1,186)
Net change in unrealized gains (losses) on investments	(3,009)		(3,009)
Management fee	142		142
Commission revenue			
Total premiums earned and other revenues	16,704		16,704
<b>OPERATING COSTS AND EXPENSES</b>			
General and administrative expenses	20,830		20,830
Total operating cost and expenses	20,830		20,830
<b>LOSS BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND EQUITY IN NET INCOME OF SUBSIDIARIES</b>	(4,126)		(4,126)
Benefit from income taxes	(3,016)	1,349	(1,667)
<b>LOSS BEFORE EQUITY IN NET INCOME FROM SUBSIDIARIES</b>	(1,110)	(1,349)	(2,459)
Equity in net income for subsidiaries	21,219	1,349	22,568

Edgar Filing: UNIVERSAL INSURANCE HOLDINGS, INC. - Form 10-K

CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME	\$ 20,109	\$	\$ 20,109
-------------------------	-----------	----	-----------

**Table of Contents****RESTATED CONDENSED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS**

	For the Years Ended December 31, 2011		
	As Reported	Adjustments	As Adjusted
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Net Income	\$ 20,109	\$	\$ 20,109
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	(20,219)	(2,349)	(22,568)
Amortization of stock-based compensation	2,849	(1)	2,848
Net realized (gains) losses on investments	1,186		1,186
Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on investments	3,010	(1)	3,009
Deferred income taxes	(9,543)		(9,543)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(195)		(195)
Other	5		5
Net changes in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities:			
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	(18,880)		(18,880)
Purchases of equity securities, trading	(77,691)		(77,691)
Proceeds from sale of equity securities, trading	66,526		66,526
Income taxes payable	4,653		4,653
Other operating assets and liabilities	1,404	1	1,405
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities</b>	<b>(27,182)</b>	<b>(1,954)</b>	<b>(29,136)</b>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Capital contributions to subsidiaries	(49,001)		(49,001)
Distribution of income from subsidiaries		89,322	89,322
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities</b>	<b>(49,001)</b>	<b>89,322</b>	<b>40,321</b>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Preferred stock dividend	(20)		(20)
Common stock dividend	(12,750)		(12,750)
Issuance of common stock	5		5
Tax withholding payments related to stock-based compensation	(172)		(172)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	195		195
Transfers from subsidiaries	87,972	(87,972)	
<b>Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities</b>	<b>74,626</b>	<b>(87,368)</b>	<b>(12,742)</b>
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	(1,557)		(1,557)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	8,457		8,457
<b>Cash and cash equivalents at end of period</b>	<b>\$ 6,900</b>	<b>\$</b>	<b>\$ 6,900</b>

**Table of Contents****RESTATED CONDENSED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS (Continued)**

	For the Years Ended December 31, 2010		
	As Reported	Adjustments	As Adjusted
<b>Cash flows from operating activities</b>			
Net Income	\$ 36,984	\$	\$ 36,984
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Equity in net income of subsidiaries	(41,226)		(41,226)
Amortization of stock-based compensation	2,962		2,962
Net realized (gains) losses on investments	(1,379)		(1,379)
Net change in unrealized (gains) losses on investments	(223)		(223)
Deferred income taxes	(1,333)		(1,333)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(4,099)		(4,099)
Other	16		16
Net changes in assets and liabilities relating to operating activities:			
Restricted cash and cash equivalents	5,175		5,175
Premiums receivable	8,721		8,721
Purchases of equity securities, trading	(12,760)		(12,760)
Proceeds from sale of equity securities, trading	10,897		10,897
Income taxes recoverable	3,212		3,212
Income taxes payable	12,012		12,012
Unearned premiums	(7,292)		(7,292)
Other operating assets and liabilities	604		604
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	12,271		12,271
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>			
Capital contributions to subsidiaries	(39,161)	8,027	(31,134)
Distribution of income from subsidiaries		30,455	30,455
Purchases of equity securities, available for sale	(3,578)		(3,578)
Proceeds from sale of equity securities, available for sale	10,702		10,702
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(32,037)	38,482	6,445
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>			
Preferred stock dividend	(20)		(20)
Common stock dividend	(12,533)		(12,533)
Issuance of common stock	14		14
Tax withholding payments related to stock-based compensation	(4,293)		(4,293)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	4,099		4,099
Transfers from subsidiaries	38,482	(38,482)	
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	25,749	(87,368)	(12,733)
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	5,983		5,983
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	2,474		2,474
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 8,457	\$	\$ 8,457

**Table of Contents**

## VALUATION ALLOWANCES AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

## SCHEDULE V VALUATION ALLOWANCES AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

The following table summarizes activity in the Company's allowance for doubtful accounts for the periods presented (in thousands):

Description	Beginning Balance	Additions		Deductions	Ending Balance
		Charges to Earnings	Charges to Other Accounts		
Year Ended December 31, 2012:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 715	319		504	\$ 530
Year Ended December 31, 2011:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 111	650		46	\$ 715
Year Ended December 31, 2010:					
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 2,702	1,305		3,896	\$ 111

**Table of Contents****SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING CONSOLIDATED PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS****SCHEDULE VI SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION CONCERNING CONSOLIDATED PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS**

The following table provides certain information related to the Company's property and casualty operations as of, and for the periods presented (in thousands):

	As of December 31, Reserves for Unpaid Losses and LAE	Incurred Loss and LAE current year	For the Year Ended December 31, Incurred Loss and LAE prior years		Paid Losses and LAE	Net Investment Income
2012	\$ 193,241	\$ 119,458	\$ 6,729	\$ 113,574	\$ 441	
2011	\$ 187,215	\$ 112,838	\$ 11,471	\$ 104,910	\$ 788	
2010	\$ 158,929	\$ 107,424	\$ 5,931	\$ 97,838	\$ 992	

	As of December 31, Deferred Policy Acquisition Cost (DAC)	Amortization of DAC	For the Year Ended December 31, Net Premiums Written		Net Premiums Earned	As of December 31, Unearned Premiums
2012	\$ 17,282	\$ (16,190)	\$ 262,524	\$ 231,120	\$ 388,071	
2011	\$ 12,996	\$ (21,477)	\$ 208,483	\$ 198,985	\$ 359,842	
2010	\$ 9,446	\$ (18,066)	\$ 199,615	\$ 170,443	\$ 328,334	



**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

To The Board of Directors and Stockholders of

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** (the Company) as of December 31, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2012, and the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in *Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO)*; such consolidated financial statements and report are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedules of the Company listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Plante & Moran, PLLC

Chicago, Illinois  
March 8, 2013

**Table of Contents**

**Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

Board of Directors

Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc.

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of **Universal Insurance Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries** (the Company ) as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statements of income, stockholders' equity and cash flows for each of the years in the two-year period ended December 31, 2011; such consolidated financial statements and report are included elsewhere in this Form 10-K and are incorporated herein by reference. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedules of the Company listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein

/s/ Blackman Kallick, LLP

Chicago, Illinois  
March 23, 2012