

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP

Form N-2/A

January 17, 2013

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on January 17, 2013

# SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

1933 Act File No. 333-185191

## Form N-2

### REGISTRATION STATEMENT

*UNDER*

*THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1933*

PRE-EFFECTIVE AMENDMENT NO. 1

POST-EFFECTIVE AMENDMENT NO.

## GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in charter)

1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 200

MCLEAN, VA 22102

(Address of principal executive offices)

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (703) 287-5800

DAVID GLADSTONE

CHAIRMAN AND CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

1521 WESTBRANCH DRIVE, SUITE 200

MCLEAN, VIRGINIA 22102

(Name and address of agent for service)

*COPIES TO:*

THOMAS R. SALLEY

DARREN K. DESTEFANO

COOLEY LLP

ONE FREEDOM SQUARE

RESTON TOWN CENTER

11951 FREEDOM DRIVE

RESTON, VIRGINIA 20190

(703) 456-8000

(703) 456-8100 (facsimile)

**Approximate date of proposed public offering:** From time to time after the effective date of this registration statement.

If any securities being registered on this form will be offered on a delayed or continuous basis in reliance on Rule 415 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, other than securities offered in connection with a dividend reinvestment plan, check the following box.

It is proposed that this filing will become effective (check appropriate box):

When declared effective pursuant to Section 8 (c).

**The Registrant hereby amends this Registration Statement on such date or dates as may be necessary to delay its effective date until the Registrant shall file a further amendment which specifically states that this Registration Statement shall thereafter become effective in accordance with Section 8(a) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or until this Registration Statement shall become effective on such date as the Commission, acting pursuant to Section 8(a), may determine.**

**Part C OTHER INFORMATION****Item 25. Financial Statements and Exhibits****1. Financial Statements**

The following financial statements of Gladstone Capital Corporation (the Company or the Registrant ) are included in the Registration Statement in Part A: Information Required in a Prospectus:

**GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION****INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****Audited Consolidated Financial Statements**

Report of Management on Internal Controls	F-2
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Assets and Liabilities as of September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended September 30, 2012, September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Net Assets for the years ended September 30, 2012, September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010	F-6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended September 30, 2012, September 30, 2011 and September 30, 2010	F-7
Consolidated Schedules of Investments as of September 30, 2012 and 2011	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-15

**2. Exhibits****Exhibit**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
2.a.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Articles of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit a.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.
2.a.2	Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of the Term Preferred Shares, including Appendix A thereto relating to the Term Preferred Shares, 7.125% Series 2016, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.a.2 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592), filed October 31, 2011.
2.b.1	By-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit b to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.
2.b.2	Amendment to Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended December 31, 2003 (File No. 814-00237), filed February 17, 2004.
2.b.3	Second Amendment to Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed July 10, 2007.
2.b.4	Third Amendment to Bylaws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed June 10, 2011.
2.c	Not applicable.
2.d.1	Form of Direct Registration Transaction Advice for the Registrant's common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, incorporated by reference to Exhibit d to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.
2.d.2	Specimen Stock Certificate, incorporated by reference to Exhibit d.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed August 23, 2001.
2.d.3	

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Form of Senior Indenture incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.d.3 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592), filed October 20, 2009.

C-1

- 2.d.4 Form of Subordinated Indenture incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.d.4 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592), filed October 20, 2009.
- 2.d.5 Specimen 7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred stock certificate incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.d.5 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592), filed October 31, 2011.
- 2.d.6 Form of Common Stock Subscription Form and Subscription Certificate.
- 2.d.7 Form of Preferred Stock Subscription Form and Subscription Certificate.
- 2.d.8 Form of Common Stock Warrant Agreement and Warrant Certificate.
- 2.d.9 Form of Preferred Stock Warrant Agreement and Warrant Certificate.
- 2.e Dividend Reinvestment Plan, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.e to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.
- 2.f Not applicable.
- 2.g Amended and Restated Investment Advisory and Management Agreement between Gladstone Capital Corporation and Gladstone Management Corporation, dated as of October 1, 2006 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed October 5, 2006 (renewed on July 10, 2012).
- 2.i Not applicable.
- 2.j Custodian Agreement between Gladstone Capital Corporation and The Bank of New York, dated as of May 5, 2006, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006 (File No. 814-00237), filed August 1, 2006.
- 2.k.1 Promissory Note of David Gladstone in favor of the Company, dated August 23, 2001, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2001, filed October 4, 2001.
- 2.k.2 Redemption Agreement, dated as of September 7, 2010, between Gladstone Capital Corporation and David Gladstone, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 814-00237), filed November 22, 2010.
- 2.k.3 Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of May 15, 2009 by and among Gladstone Business Loan, LLC as Borrower, Gladstone Management Corporation as Servicer, the Committed Lenders named therein, the CP Lenders named therein, the Managing Agents named therein, and Key Equipment Finance Inc. as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed May 19, 2009.
- 2.k.4 Administration Agreement between Gladstone Capital Corporation and Gladstone Administration, LLC, dated as of October 1, 2006 incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed October 5, 2006 (renewed on July 10, 2012).
- 2.k.5 Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of March 15, 2010 by and among Gladstone Business Loan, LLC as Borrower, Gladstone Management Corporation as Servicer, the Lenders named therein, the Managing Agents named therein, and Key Equipment Finance Inc. as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed March 16, 2010.
- 2.k.6 Amendment No. 1 to Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated as of November 22, 2010 by and among Gladstone Business Loan, LLC as Borrower, Gladstone Management Corporation as Servicer, the Committed Lenders named therein, the Managing Agents named therein, and Key Equipment Finance Inc. as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Registrant's Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 814-00237), filed November 22, 2010.
- 2.k.7 Consent and Acknowledgement to Increase No. 1 under Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of August 9, 2011 by and among Gladstone Business Loan, LLC as Borrower, Gladstone Management Corporation as Servicer, the Committed Lenders named therein, the Managing Agents named therein, and Key Equipment Finance Inc. as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed August 20, 2011.
- 2.k.8 Amendment No. 3 to Fourth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of January 19, 2012 by and among Gladstone Business Loan, LLC as Borrower, Gladstone Management Corporation as Servicer, the Committed Lenders named therein, the Managing Agents named therein, and Key Equipment Finance Inc. as Administrative Agent, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K (file No. 814-00237), filed January 24, 2012.

- 2.l Opinion of Cooley LLP.
- 2.m Not applicable.
- 2.n.1\* Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
- 2.n.2 Consent of Cooley LLP (included in Exhibit 2.1).
- 2.n.3\* Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm on Financial Statement Schedule.
- 2.o Not applicable.
- 2.p Subscription Agreement dated May 30, 2001, incorporated by reference to incorporated by reference to Exhibit p to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed June 22, 2001.
- 2.q Not applicable.
- 2.r Code of Ethics and Business Conduct, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 to the Registrant's Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 814-00237), filed October 12, 2005.
- 2.s.1\* Power of Attorney (included in the signature page to the Registration Statement, filed on November 29, 2012).

\* Previously filed.

**Item 26. Marketing Arrangements**

The information contained under the heading *Plan of Distribution* on page 110 of the prospectus is incorporated herein by reference, and any information concerning any underwriters will be contained in the accompanying prospectus supplement, if any.

**Item 27. Other Expenses of Issuance and Distribution**

Commission registration fee	\$ 40,920
FINRA fee	30,500
Accounting fees and expenses	75,000*
Printing and engraving	150,000*
Legal fees and expenses	300,000*
Miscellaneous fees and expenses	15,000*
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 611,420*</b>

\* These amounts are estimates.

All of the expenses set forth above shall be borne by the Registrant.

**Item 28. Persons Controlled by or Under Common Control**

Gladstone Capital Advisers, Inc., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant.

USHC Legal Inc., a New Jersey corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant.

Gladstone Business Loan, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant.

Gladstone Financial Corporation, a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of the Registrant.

LYP Holdings Corp., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant.

LocalTel, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by LYP Holdings Corp., through 40% ownership.

LYP, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by LYP Holdings Corp., through 100% ownership.

Lindmark Acquisition, LLC a Delaware limited liability company controlled by Lindmark Holdings Corp., through 100% ownership.

Lindmark Holdings Corp., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant.

Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant, through 59% ownership.

1090 Perry Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation controlled by Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc., through 100% ownership.

JBM Tool & Die, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc., through 100% ownership.

Pro Shear Corporation, a Delaware corporation controlled by Defiance Integrated Technologies, Inc., through 100% ownership.

Midwest Metal Distribution, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by Gladstone Metal, LLC through 70% ownership.

Gladstone Metal, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by the Registrant.

Kansas Cable Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 100% ownership.

Sunshine Media Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 72% ownership.

Publication Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 100% ownership.

Georgia Film Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by the Registrant through 100% ownership.

GLNC Holdings Corp., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 100% ownership.

GLAD AGT Holding Corp., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 100% ownership.

Gladstone Investment Corporation, a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant's officers and directors.

Gladstone Business Investment, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of Gladstone Investment Corporation.

Gladstone Investment Advisers, Inc., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Gladstone Investment Corporation.

ACME Cryogenics Inc., a Pennsylvania corporation controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation through 69% ownership.

CCE Investment Corp., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Gladstone Investment Corporation.

Mustang Eagle Partnership, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly-owned subsidiary of CCE Investment Corp.

Country Club Enterprises, LLC, a Massachusetts limited liability company, controlled by Mustang Eagle Partnership, LLC through 47% ownership.

ASH Holdings Corp., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Gladstone Investment Corporation.



Auto Safety House, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by ASH Holdings, Corp. through 73% ownership.

Galaxy Tool Holding Corporation, a Delaware corporation controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation through 55% ownership.

Mathey Investments, Inc., an Oklahoma corporation controlled by the Gladstone Investment Corporation through 85% ownership.

Tread Corporation, a Delaware corporation controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation through 89% ownership.

Neville Limited, a Delaware corporation controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation through 100% stock ownership.

Quench Holdings Corp., a Delaware corporation controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation.

Precision Southeast Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation through 77% stock ownership.

SBS Industries Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 76% ownership.

SBS Industries, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by SBS Industries Holdings, Inc. through 100% ownership.

Venyu Solutions, Inc, a Louisiana corporation controlled by Venyu Holdings, LLC through 100% ownership.

Venyu Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by Venyu Investments, LLC though 60% ownership.

Venyu Investments, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation through 100% ownership.

MRP Holdings Corp., a Delaware corporation controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation through 31% ownership.

Gladstone SOG Investments, Inc., a Delaware corporation and wholly-owned subsidiary of the Registrant.

SOG Investment I LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by Gladstone SOG Investments, Inc. through 100% ownership.

GAIN CTG Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 100% ownership.

Drew Foam Holdings, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by Gladstone Investment Corporation through 96% ownership.

Drew Foam Companies Inc., a Delaware corporation, controlled by Drew Foam Holdings, LLC through 100% ownership.

Drew Foam of South Carolina Inc., a Delaware corporation, controlled by Drew Foam Companies Inc. through 100% ownership.

Ginsey Holdings Acquisition Corp., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 95% ownership.

Frontier Packaging, Inc., a Delaware corporation controlled by the Registrant through 100% ownership.

Gladstone Commercial Corporation, a Maryland corporation controlled by the Registrant's officers and directors.

GCLP Business Trust I, a Massachusetts business trust controlled by Gladstone Commercial Corporation.

GCLP Business Trust II, a Massachusetts business trust controlled by Gladstone Commercial Partners, LLC.

Gladstone Commercial Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of Gladstone Commercial Corporation.

Gladstone Commercial Advisers, Inc., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Gladstone Commercial Corporation.

First Park Ten COCO San Antonio GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

First Park Ten COCO San Antonio LP, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, First Park Ten COCO San Antonio GP LLC.

COCO04 Austin TX GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

COCO04 Austin TX LP, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, COCO04 Austin TX GP LLC.

Pocono PA GCC, LP, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, Pocono PA GCC GP LLC.

Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner GCLP Business Trust II.

GCC Acquisition Holdings LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

SLEE Grand Prairie LP, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, GCC Acquisition Holdings, Inc.

EE 208 South Rogers Lane, Raleigh, NC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Gladstone Commercial Lending LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

260 Springside Drive Akron OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Little Arch04 Charlotte NC Member LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Little Arch Charlotte NC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its sole member, Little Arch04 Charlotte NC Member LLC.

CMI04 Canton NC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

OB Midway NC Gladstone Commercial LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

GCC Granby LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Granby Property Trust, a Delaware statutory trust controlled by its grantor, GCC Granby LLC.

GCC Dorval LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Dorval Property Trust, a Delaware statutory trust controlled by its grantor, GCC Dorval LLC.

3094174 Nova Scotia Company, a Nova Scotia corporation controlled by its sole stockholder, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

3094175 Nova Scotia Company, a Nova Scotia corporation controlled by its sole stockholder, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

WMI05 Columbus OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

2525 N Woodlawn Vstrm Wichita KS LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Corning Big Flats LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

OB Crenshaw SPE GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

OB Crenshaw GCC LP, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, OB Crenshaw SPE GP LLC.

HMBF05 Newburyport MA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

YorkTC05 Eatontown NJ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

STI05 Franklin NJ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

AFL05 Duncan SC Member LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

AFL05 Duncan SC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its sole member, AFL05 Duncan SC Member LLC.

MSI05-3 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

WMI05 Hazelwood MO LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

CI05 Clintonville WI LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

PZ05 Maple Heights OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

YCC06 South Hadley MA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

NW05 Richmond VA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

SVMMC05 Toledo OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

ACI06 Champaign IL LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

UC06 Roseville MN LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

TCI06 Burnsville MN LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

RC06 Menomonee Falls WI LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

SJMH06 Baytown TX GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

SJMH06 Baytown TX LP, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, SJMH06 Baytown TX GP LLC.

NJT06 Sterling Heights MI LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

CMS06-3 LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

MPI06 Mason OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

GSM LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

AC07 Lawrenceville GA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

EE07 Raleigh NC GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

EE07 Raleigh NC, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership, controlled by its general partner, EE07 Raleigh NC GP LLC.

WPI07 Tulsa OK LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

APML07 Hialeah FL LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

EI07 Tewksbury MA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

GBI07 Syracuse NY LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

CDLCI07 Mason OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

FTCH107 Grand Rapids MI LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

DBP107 Bolingbrook IL LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Pocono PA GCC GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

RCOG07 Georgia LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, controlled by its manager Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

C08 Fridley MN LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

SRFF08 Reading PA GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

SRFF08 Reading PA, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, SRFF08 Reading PA GP LLC.

OS08 Winchester VA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

RB08 Concord OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

RPT08 Pineville NC GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

RPT08 Pineville NC L.P., a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, RPT08 Pineville NC GP LLC.

FMCT08 Chalfont PA GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

FMCT08 Chalfont PA, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, FMCT08 Chalfont PA GP LLC.

D08 Marietta OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

ELF08 Florida LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

SCC10 Orange City IA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

TMC11 Springfield MO LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

FS11 Hickory NC GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

FS11 Hickory NC, LP, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, FS11 Hickory NC GP LLC.

AFR11 Parsippany NJ LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Hemingway at Boston Heights, LLC, an Ohio limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

WEC11 Dartmouth MA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

WC11 Springfield MO LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

CBP11 Green Tree PA GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

CBP11 Green Tree PA, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, CBP11 Green Tree PA GP LLC.

IPA12 Ashburn VA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

IPA12 Ashburn VA SPE LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its sole equity member, IPA12 Ashburn VA LLC through 100% ownership.

PNA11 Boston Heights OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

ABC12 Ottumwa IA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

CVG12 New Albany OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

NCH12 Columbus OH LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

TUP12 Columbus GA LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

GCO12 Jupiter FL LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

NH10 Cumming GA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

NARA12 Fort Worth TX GP LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

NARA12 Fort Worth TX, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, NARA12 Fort Worth TX GP LLC.

VW12 Columbia SC LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Commercial Limited Partnership.

Gladstone Land Corporation, a Maryland corporation controlled by David Gladstone through indirect 100% stock ownership.

Gladstone Land Partners, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Land Corporation.

Gladstone Land Advisers, Inc., a Delaware corporation and wholly owned subsidiary of Gladstone Land Corporation.

Gladstone Land Limited Partnership, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, Gladstone Land Partners, LLC.

San Andreas Road Watsonville LLC, a California limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Land Limited Partnership.

West Gonzales Road Oxnard LLC, a California limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Land Limited Partnership.

West Beach Street Watsonville, LLC, a California limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Land Limited Partnership.

Dalton Lane Watsonville, LLC, a California limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Land Limited Partnership.

Keysville Road Plant City, LLC, a Florida limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Land Limited Partnership.

Colding Loop Road Wimauma, LLC, a Florida limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Land Limited Partnership.

Trapnell Road Plant City, LLC, a Florida limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Land Limited Partnership.

Gladstone Holding Corporation, a Delaware corporation controlled by David Gladstone through 100% indirect stock ownership.

Gladstone Management Corporation, a Delaware corporation controlled by Gladstone Holding Corporation, through 100% ownership.

Gladstone Administration, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company and wholly owned subsidiary of Gladstone Holding Corporation.

Gladstone Securities, LLC, a Connecticut limited liability company controlled by its member, Gladstone Holding Corporation.

Gladstone General Partner, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by its manager, Gladstone Management Corporation.

Gladstone Participation Fund LLC, a Delaware limited liability company controlled by Gladstone General Partner, LLC.

Gladstone Partners Fund, LP, a Delaware limited partnership controlled by its general partner, Gladstone Management Corporation.

Gladstone Lending Corporation, a Maryland corporation controlled by David Gladstone through 100% indirect stock ownership.

**Item 29. Number of Holders of Securities**

The following table sets forth the approximate number of record holders of each class of our securities at January 14, 2013. This number does not include stockholders for whom shares are held in street name.

Title of Class	Number of Record Holders
Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share	57
7.125% Series 2016 Term Preferred Stock	1

**Item 30. Indemnification**

Subject to the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act ) or any valid rule, regulation or order of the Securities and Exchange Commission ( SEC ) thereunder, our articles of incorporation and bylaws provide that we will indemnify any person who was or is a party or is threatened to be made a party to any threatened action, suit or proceeding whether civil, criminal, administrative or investigative, by reason of the fact that he is or was our director or officer, or is or was serving at our request as a director, officer, partner or trustee of another corporation, real estate investment trust, partnership, joint venture, trust, employee benefit plan or other enterprise to the maximum extent permitted by Section 2-418 of the Annotated Code of Maryland, Corporations and Associations (the Maryland Law ). The 1940 Act provides that a

company may not indemnify any director or officer against liability to it or its security holders to which he or she might otherwise be subject by reason of his or her willful misfeasance, bad faith, gross negligence or reckless disregard of the duties involved in the conduct of his or her office unless a determination is made by final decision of a court, by vote of a majority of a quorum of directors who are disinterested, non-party directors or by independent legal counsel that the liability for which indemnification is sought did not arise out of the foregoing conduct. In addition to any indemnification to which our directors and officers are entitled pursuant to our articles of incorporation and bylaws and Maryland Law, our articles of incorporation and bylaws permit us to indemnify our other employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by Maryland Law, whether such employees or agents are serving us or, at our request, any other entity.

In addition, the investment advisory and management agreement between us and our investment adviser, Gladstone Management Corporation (the Adviser), as well as the administration agreement between us and our administrator Gladstone Administration, LLC (the Administrator), each provide that, absent willful misfeasance, bad faith, or gross negligence in the performance of their respective duties or by reason of the reckless disregard of their respective duties and obligations, the Adviser or the Administrator, as applicable, and their respective officers, managers, partners, agents, employees, controlling persons, members, and any other person or entity affiliated with it are entitled to indemnification from us for any damages, liabilities, costs, and expenses (including reasonable attorneys' fees and amounts reasonably paid in settlement) arising from the rendering of the Adviser's services under the investment advisory and management agreement or otherwise as our investment adviser, or the rendering of the Administrator's services under the administration agreement, as applicable.

**Item 31. Business and Other Connections of Investment Adviser**

A description of any other business, profession, vocation or employment of a substantial nature in which the Adviser, and each director or executive officer of the Adviser, is or has been during the past two fiscal years, engaged in for his or her own account or in the capacity of director, officer, employee, partner or trustee, is set forth in Part A of this Registration Statement in the section entitled Management. Additional information regarding the Adviser and its officers and directors is set forth in its Form ADV, as filed with the SEC, and is incorporated herein by reference.

**Item 32. Location of Accounts and Records**

All accounts, books or other documents required to be maintained by Section 31(a) of the 1940 Act and the rules thereunder are maintained at the offices of:

- (1) the Registrant, Gladstone Capital Corporation, 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 200, McLean, VA 22102;
- (2) the Transfer Agent, Computershare Investor Services, 480 Washington Boulevard, Jersey City, NJ 07310;
- (3) the Adviser, Gladstone Management Corporation, 1521 Westbranch Drive, Suite 200, McLean, VA 22102;
- (4) the Custodian, The Bank of New York Mellon Corp., 500 Ross Street, Suite 625, Pittsburgh, PA 15262; and
- (5) the Collateral Custodian, The Bank of New York Mellon Corp., 500 Ross Street, Suite 625, Pittsburgh, PA 15262.

**Item 33. Management Services**

Not applicable.

**Item 34. Undertakings**

1. We hereby undertake to suspend the offering of shares until the prospectus is amended if, subsequent to the effective date of this registration statement, our net asset value declines more than ten percent from our net asset value as of the effective date of this registration statement.



2. We hereby undertake:

(a) to file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:

C-12

- (i) to include any prospectus required by Section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Act;
  - (ii) to reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of this registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in the registration statement; and
  - (iii) to include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information in the registration statement;
- (b) that, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of those securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof;
- (c) to remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the offering; and
- (d) that, for the purpose of determining liability under the Securities Act to any purchaser, if the Registrant is subject to Rule 430C: Each prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 497(b), (c), (d) or (e) under the Securities Act as part of a registration statement relating to an offering, other than prospectuses filed in reliance on Rule 430A under the Securities Act, shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the date it is first used after effectiveness. Provided, however, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such first use, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such date of first use;
- (e) that for the purpose of determining liability of the Registrant under the Securities Act to any purchaser in the initial distribution of securities: The undersigned Registrant undertakes that in a primary offering of securities of the undersigned Registrant pursuant to this registration statement, regardless of the underwriting method used to sell the securities to the purchaser, if the securities are offered or sold to such purchaser by means of any of the following communications, the undersigned Registrant will be a seller to the purchaser and will be considered to offer or sell such securities to the purchaser:
- (i) any preliminary prospectus or prospectus of the undersigned Registrant relating to the offering required to be filed pursuant to Rule 497 under the Securities Act;
  - (ii) the portion of any advertisement pursuant to Rule 482 under the Securities Act relating to the offering containing material information about the undersigned Registrant or its securities provided by or on behalf of the undersigned Registrant; and
  - (iii) any other communication that is an offer in the offering made by the undersigned Registrant to the purchaser;
- (f) to file a post-effective amendment to the registration statement, and to suspend any offers or sales pursuant the registration statement until such post-effective amendment has been declared effective under the Securities Act, in the event the shares of the Registrant are trading below its net asset value and either (i) the Registrant receives, or has been advised by its independent registered accounting firm that it will receive, an audit report reflecting substantial doubt regarding the Registrant's ability to continue as a going concern or (ii) the Registrant has concluded that a material adverse change has

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

occurred in its financial position or results of operations that has caused the financial statements and other disclosures on the basis of which the offering would be made to be materially misleading;

- (g) to file a post-effective amendment to the registration statement in respect of any one or more offerings of the Registrant's shares (including warrants and/or rights to purchase the shares) below net asset value that will result in greater than 15% dilution, in the aggregate, to existing net asset value per share;

C-13

- (h) to file a post-effective amendment to the registration statement in connection with any rights offering and
- (i) to file a post-effective amendment to the registration statement in connection with any combined offering of securities.

3. We hereby undertake that:

- (a) for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act, the information omitted from the form of prospectus filed as part of this registration statement in reliance upon Rule 430A and contained in a form of prospectus filed by us under Rule 497(h) under the Securities Act shall be deemed to be part of this registration statement as of the time it was declared effective; and
- (b) for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act, each post-effective amendment that contains a form of prospectus shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of the securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

C-14

---

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended, the Registrant has duly caused this Pre-effective Amendment No. 1 to this Registration Statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of McLean and Commonwealth of Virginia, on the 17th day of January 2013.

GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORPORATION

By: /s/ DAVID GLADSTONE  
David Gladstone  
*Chairman of the Board and  
Chief Executive Officer*

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, this Pre-effective Amendment No. 1 to this Registration Statement has been signed below by the following persons in the capacities indicated on January 17, 2013:

By: /s/ DAVID GLADSTONE  
David Gladstone  
*Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the  
Board of Directors (principal executive officer)*

By: /s/ DAVID WATSON  
David Watson  
*Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer  
(principal financial officer)*

By: \*  
Melissa Morrison  
*Chief Accounting Officer  
(principal accounting officer)*

By: \*  
Terry L. Brubaker  
*Vice Chairman, Chief Operating Officer,  
Director*

By: \*  
George Stelljes III  
*Chief Investment Officer and Director*

By: \*  
David A.R. Dullum  
*Executive Vice President and Director*

By: \*  
Anthony W. Parker  
*Director*

By: \*  
Michela A. English  
*Director*

By: \*  
Paul W. Adलगren  
*Director*

By: \*  
John H. Outland  
*Director*

By: \*  
Terry Earhart  
*Director*

By: \*  
John D. Reilly  
*Director*

\*By: /s/ David Gladstone  
David Gladstone  
Attorney-in-fact

C-16

---

**Exhibits**
**Exhibit****Number****Description**

2.a.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Articles of Incorporation, incorporated by reference to Exhibit a.2 to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.			
2.a.2	Articles Supplementary Establishing and Fixing the Rights and Preferences of the Term Preferred Shares, including Appendix A thereto relating to the Term Preferred Shares, 7.125% Series 2016, incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.a.2 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 5 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-162592), filed October 31, 2011.			
2.b.1	By-laws, incorporated by reference to Exhibit b to Pre-Effective Amendment No. 1 to the Registration Statement on Form N-2 (File No. 333-63700), filed July 27, 2001.			
<b>Operating expense:</b>				
Cost of product sales	11,407	7,275	22,765	11,645
Research and development	12,777	13,544	41,689	29,240
Purchased in-process research and development	-	477	-	477
Selling, general and administrative	15,038	11,157	38,889	30,352
<b>Income (loss) from operations</b>	<b>4,422</b>	<b>9,721</b>	<b>(10,065)</b>	<b>(5,871)</b>
<b>Other income (expense):</b>				
Interest income	472	79	1,945	405
Interest expense	(7)	(546)	(54)	(778)
Other income (expense), net	(14)	167	164	291
<b>Total other income (expense)</b>	<b>451</b>	<b>(300)</b>	<b>2,055</b>	<b>(82)</b>
<b>Income (loss) before provision for (benefit from) income taxes</b>	<b>4,873</b>	<b>9,421</b>	<b>(8,010)</b>	<b>(5,953)</b>
<b>Provision for (benefit from) income taxes</b>	<b>2,028</b>	<b>5,067</b>	<b>(3,205)</b>	<b>(2,617)</b>
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 2,845</b>	<b>\$ 4,354</b>	<b>\$ (4,805)</b>	<b>\$ (3,336)</b>
<b>Earnings (loss) per share - basic</b>	<b>\$ 0.10</b>	<b>\$ 0.19</b>	<b>\$ (0.17)</b>	<b>\$ (0.15)</b>
<b>Earnings (loss) per share - diluted</b>	<b>\$ 0.10</b>	<b>\$ 0.18</b>	<b>\$ (0.17)</b>	<b>\$ (0.15)</b>
<b>Weighted-average number of shares - basic</b>	<b>29,739,797</b>	<b>22,389,620</b>	<b>28,741,380</b>	<b>22,370,191</b>
<b>Weighted-average number of shares - diluted</b>	<b>29,900,571</b>	<b>23,704,751</b>	<b>28,741,380</b>	<b>22,370,191</b>

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*

**Emergent BioSolutions Inc. and Subsidiaries**  
**Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows**  
(in thousands)

	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
	<b>(Unaudited)</b>	
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (4,805)	\$ (3,336)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Stock-based compensation expense	1,895	442
Depreciation and amortization	3,597	3,265
Deferred income taxes	9,418	933
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(6,708)	-
Loss on disposal of property and equipment	-	82
Purchased in-process research and development	-	477
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	1,318	(744)
Inventories	(901)	(11,627)
Income taxes	(25,820)	(4,913)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(1,109)	(3,653)
Accounts payable	(688)	(475)
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	697	1,442
Accrued compensation	426	(1,279)
Deferred revenue	(1,015)	4,639
Net cash used in operating activities	(23,695)	(14,747)
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(36,197)	(25,712)
Acquisitions, net of cash received	-	(218)
Restricted cash deposits	(5,000)	(190)
Net cash used in investing activities	(41,197)	(26,120)
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from borrowings on long term indebtedness and lines of credit	15,333	35,853
Issuance of common stock subject to exercise of stock options	2,474	43
Redemption of Class B common stock	-	(221)
Principal payments on long term indebtedness, notes payable to employees, and lines of credit	(11,131)	(11,290)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	6,708	-
Net cash provided by financing activities	13,384	24,385
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(644)	94
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(52,152)	(16,388)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	76,418	36,294
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	24,266	19,906
<b>Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:</b>		
Cash paid during the period for interest	\$ 2,217	\$ 665
Cash paid during the period for income taxes	\$ 14,329	\$ 1,470
<b>Supplemental information on non-cash investing and financing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property, plant and equipment unpaid at period end	\$ 7,295	\$ 6,621

*The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.*



**EMERGENT BIOSOLUTIONS INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**(UNAUDITED)**

**(dollars in thousands, except per share data)**

**1. Summary of significant accounting policies**

**Basis of presentation and consolidation**

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Emergent BioSolutions Inc. (the Company or Emergent ) and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to such rules and regulations. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

In the opinion of the Company's management, any adjustments contained in the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements are of a normal recurring nature, and are necessary to present fairly the financial position of the Company as of September 30, 2007, results of operations for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, and cash flows for the nine month periods ended September 30, 2007 and 2006. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results that may be expected for any other interim period or for an entire year.

**Significant customers and accounts receivable**

The Company's primary customers are the U.S. Department of Defense (the DoD ) and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services ( HHS ). For the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, sales of BioThrax to the DoD and HHS comprised 96% and 97% of total revenues, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, sales of BioThrax to the DoD and HHS comprised 96% and 92% of total revenues, respectively. As of September 30, 2007, 100% of the Company's accounts receivable balance was comprised of amounts due from these customers. Accounts receivable are stated at invoice amounts and generally consist of amounts due from the DoD and HHS as well as amounts due under reimbursement contracts with other government entities and non-government and philanthropic organizations.

**Capitalized interest**

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

The Company capitalizes interest expense in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 34, *Capitalization of Interest Cost*, based on the cost of major ongoing capital projects which have not yet been placed in service. For the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company capitalized \$890 and \$42 of interest, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company capitalized \$2,226 and \$149 of interest, respectively.

### **Earnings per share**

Basic net income (loss) per share of common stock excludes dilution for potential common stock issuances and is computed by dividing net income (loss) by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. Diluted net income per share reflects the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock during the period. For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, diluted net loss per share is equal to basic net loss per share, as the inclusion of outstanding stock options would be anti-dilutive.

### **Accounting for stock-based compensation**

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted the fair value provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* ( SFAS No. 123(R) ), using the modified prospective method. Under the fair value recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company recognizes stock-based compensation net of an estimated forfeiture rate. Under the modified prospective method, compensation cost recognized in 2007 and 2006 includes: (1) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted prior to but not yet vested as of December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of SFAS No. 123, and (2) compensation cost for all share-based payments granted and vested subsequent to December 31, 2005, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R).

The Company accounts for equity instruments issued to non-employees in accordance with SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation* ( SFAS No. 123 ), and Emerging Issues Task Force ( EITF ) Issue No. 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling Goods or Services*, ( EITF No. 96-18 ).

Based on options granted to employees as of September 30, 2007, total compensation expense not yet recognized related to unvested options is approximately \$3,075, after tax. The Company expects to recognize that expense over a weighted average period of 3.0 years.

The Company utilizes the Black-Scholes valuation model for estimating the fair value of all stock options granted. The fair value of each option is estimated on the date of grant. Set forth below are the weighted-average assumptions used in valuing the stock options granted and a discussion of the Company's methodology for developing each of the assumptions used:

	<b>Three Months ended</b>		<b>Nine Months ended</b>	
	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2006</b>	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	<b>September 30, 2006</b>
Expected dividend yield	0%	0%	0%	0%
Expected volatility	50%	50%	50%	50%
Risk-free interest rate	4.01%-4.95%	4.69%	4.01%-5.09%	4.69%-5.21%
Expected average life of options	3.0 years	2.7 years	3.0 years	2.9 years

**Expected dividend yield** The Company does not pay regular dividends on its common stock and does not anticipate paying any dividends in the foreseeable future;

**Expected volatility** Volatility is a measure of the amount by which a financial variable, such as share price, has fluctuated (historical volatility) or is expected to fluctuate (expected volatility) during a period. The Company analyzed the expected volatility used by similar companies at a similar stage of development to estimate expected volatility. The volatility used by these similar companies ranged from 33% to 79%, with an average estimated volatility of 53%;

**Risk-free interest rate** This is the range of U.S. Treasury rates with a term that most closely resembles the expected life of the option as of the date the option was granted; and

**Expected average life of options** This is the period of time that the options granted are expected to remain outstanding. This estimate is based primarily on the employee position profile of option holders and the trading lock out periods that result from employee access to stock price sensitive information.

Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123(R), the Company presented all tax benefits of deductions resulting from the exercise of stock options as operating cash flows in the statement of cash flows. SFAS No. 123(R) requires the cash flows resulting from the tax benefits of deductions in excess of the compensation cost recognized for those options (excess tax benefits) to be classified as financing cash flows.

### **Comprehensive income (loss)**

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income* ( SFAS No. 130 ), requires the presentation of the comprehensive income (loss) and its components as part of the financial statements. Comprehensive income (loss) is comprised of net income (loss) and other changes in equity that are excluded from net income (loss). The Company includes gains and losses on inter-company transactions with foreign subsidiaries that are considered to be long-term investments and translation gains and losses incurred when converting its subsidiaries' financial statements from their functional currency to the U.S. dollar in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss). Comprehensive income for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$2,683 and \$4,485, respectively. Comprehensive loss for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 was \$5,449 and \$3,242, respectively.

**Reclassifications**

Certain prior period balances have been reclassified to conform to current period presentation.

**Recent accounting pronouncements**

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* ( SFAS No. 157 ). SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this statement on its financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* ( SFAS No. 159 ). SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. The provisions of SFAS No. 159 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this statement on its financial statements.

In June 2007, the FASB issued EITF No. 07-3, *Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities* ( EITF No. 07-3 ). EITF No. 07-3 states that nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities should be deferred and capitalized. Such amounts should be recognized as an expense as the related goods are delivered or the related services are performed. The provisions of EITF No. 07-3 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this statement on its financial statements.

**2. Acquisitions  
ViVacs GmbH**

On July 14, 2006, the Company completed the acquisition of ViVacs GmbH, a German limited liability company (ViVacs), to expand the Company's commercial vaccine portfolio, pursuant to the terms and conditions of the Share Purchase and Assignment Agreement dated July 14, 2006 by and between the Company and ViVacs. The Company paid \$150 in cash on the closing date of the agreement and agreed to pay \$50 on each of the first and second anniversaries of the closing date. The acquisition agreement also provided for a potential variable earn-out purchase price of up to \$220, based on future payments from third party licensees of the technology. As of September 30, 2007, the Company has not received any such payments from third party licensees. Because ViVacs was a development stage company and had not commenced its planned principal operations, the transaction was accounted for as an acquisition of assets rather than as a business combination and, therefore, goodwill was not recorded.

Total purchase consideration consisted of:

Cash (including future guaranteed cash payments of \$100)	\$ 250
Direct acquisition costs	180
Total purchase consideration	\$ 430

The assets acquired were accounted for in accordance with the provisions of SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations* (SFAS No. 141). All of the tangible and intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed of ViVacs were recorded at their estimated fair market values on the acquisition date.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

The purchase price was allocated as follows:

Current assets	\$	153
Property and equipment		97
Current liabilities		(297)
Net liabilities acquired		(47)
In-process research and development		477
Total purchase consideration	\$	430

In connection with the transaction, the Company recorded a charge of \$477 for acquired research projects associated with product candidates in development for which, at the acquisition date, technological feasibility had not been established and, for accounting purposes, no alternative future use existed.

### 3. Inventories

Inventories consist of the following:

	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	<b>December 31, 2006</b>
Raw materials and supplies	\$ 2,372	\$ 2,133
Work-in-process	17,420	22,239
Finished goods	5,831	349
Total inventories	\$ 25,623	\$ 24,721

### 4. Property, plant and equipment

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

	<b>September 30, 2007</b>	<b>December 31, 2006</b>
Land and improvements	\$ 4,922	\$ 4,922
Buildings and leasehold improvements	25,924	25,325
Furniture and equipment	18,587	15,401
Software	4,703	4,499
Construction-in-progress	66,269	41,563
	120,405	91,710
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(16,926)	(13,536)
Total property, plant and equipment, net	\$ 103,479	\$ 78,174

### 5. Stockholders equity

#### Preferred stock

The Company is authorized to issue up to 15,000,000 shares of preferred stock, \$0.001 par value per share ( Preferred Stock ). Any Preferred Stock issued may have dividend rates, voting rights, conversion privileges, redemption characteristics, and sinking fund requirements as

approved by the Company's Board of Directors. As of September 30, 2007, no Preferred Stock has been issued.

**Common stock**

The Company currently has one class of common stock, \$0.001 par value per share ( Common Stock ), authorized and outstanding. The Company is authorized to issue up to 100,000,000 shares of Common Stock. Holders of Common Stock are entitled to one vote for each share of Common Stock held on all matters as may be provided by law.

On September 20, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors recommended to the stockholders of the Company an amendment of the Company's amended and restated certificate of incorporation, which the stockholders approved on October 27, 2006, that, among other things, reclassified the Company's previously outstanding class A common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, as Common Stock, increased the number of authorized shares of Common Stock to 100,000,000 shares and adjusted the par value of the Preferred Stock from \$0.01 par value per share to \$0.001 par value per share. The amendment became effective on October 27, 2006.



## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

On September 20, 2006, the Company's Board of Directors also authorized the pricing committee of the Board of Directors to effect a stock split of the Common Stock, in the form of a dividend of shares of Common Stock, and the Company's previously outstanding class B common stock, \$0.01 par value per share ( Class B Common Stock ), in the form of a dividend of shares of Class B Common Stock. The pricing committee subsequently declared a 2.8771-for-one stock split of Common Stock and Class B Common Stock effective as of October 27, 2006.

Each share of Class B Common Stock automatically converted into one share of Common Stock immediately prior to the closing of the Company's initial public offering on November 20, 2006. The par values, the number of authorized shares and all share and per share amounts in the consolidated financial statements have been retroactively adjusted to give effect to the filing of the certificate of amendment of the Company's amended and restated certificate of incorporation, the stock split and the conversion of the Class B Common Stock into Common Stock.

### Stock options

As of September 30, 2007, the Company has two stock-based employee compensation plans, the Emergent BioSolutions Inc. 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan ) and the Emergent BioSolutions Employee Stock Option Plan (the 2004 Plan ) (together, the Emergent Plans ), under which the Company has granted options to purchase shares of Common Stock. The Emergent Plans have both incentive and non-qualified stock option features.

The 2006 Plan initially authorized the issuance of up to 1,089,461 shares of Common Stock. In addition, the 2006 Plan contains an evergreen provision that allows for increases in the number of shares authorized for issuance under the 2006 Plan in the first and third quarter of each year from 2007 through 2009. Each semi-annual increase in the number of shares will be equal to the lowest of: (1) a specified number of shares stipulated in the 2006 Plan; (2) a specified percentage of the aggregate number of shares outstanding; and (3) an amount determined by the Company's Board of Directors. The maximum specified number of shares per semi-annual increases range from 428,700 to 937,900. The maximum specified percentage of outstanding shares for each semi-annual increase ranges from 1.5% to 3.0%. Accordingly, an aggregate of 1,949,362 shares of Common Stock are authorized for issuance under the 2006 Plan as of September 30, 2007. The Company has granted options to purchase a total of 1,315,161 shares of Common Stock under the 2006 Plan as of September 30, 2007. The maximum number of options that may be granted per year under the 2006 Plan to a single participant is 287,700. The exercise price of each incentive option must be not less than 100% of the fair market value of the shares on the date of grant. Options granted under the 2006 Plan have a vesting period of no more than 5 years and a contractual life of no more than 10 years. Following the closing of the Company's initial public offering, the Company no longer grants options pursuant to the 2004 Plan.

The following is a summary of stock option activity:

	2004 Plan		2006 Plan		Aggregate Intrinsic Value
	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	Number of Shares	Weighted- Average Exercise Price	
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	2,936,389	\$ 2.53	1,030,500	\$ 10.13	
Granted	-	-	466,561	10.22	
Exercised	(2,153,988)	1.15	-	-	
Forfeited	(57,923)	5.77	(181,900)	10.48	
Cancelled	(5,214)	1.49	-	-	
Outstanding at September 30, 2007	719,264	\$ 6.41	1,315,161	\$ 10.11	\$ 2,469,682
Exercisable at September 30, 2007	401,828	\$ 5.39	-	\$ -	\$ 1,593,956

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

The weighted average remaining contractual term of options outstanding as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was 5.7 and 3.2 years, respectively. The weighted average remaining contractual term of options exercisable as of September 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 was 4.5 and 1.1 years, respectively.

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$3.41 and \$3.90, respectively. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$110 and \$20,468, respectively. The total fair value of shares vested during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007 was \$96 and \$479, respectively.

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Stock-based compensation expense was recorded in the following financial statement line items:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007		2006		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007		2006	
	Cost of sales	\$ 19	\$ -			\$ 53	\$ -	
Research and development	97		21		272		63	
General and administrative	619		132		1,570		379	
Total share-based compensation expense	\$ 735	\$ 153			\$ 1,895	\$ 442		

A summary of the activity of the Company's non-vested stock options at September 30, 2007 is presented below:

	2004 Plan		2006 Plan	
	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Price	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Price
Non-vested at December 31, 2006	537,532	\$ 7.45	1,030,500	\$ 10.13
Granted	-	-	466,561	10.22
Exercised	-	-	-	-
Vested	(167,829)	7.68	-	-
Forfeited	(52,267)	5.15	(181,900)	10.48
Non-vested at September 30, 2007	317,436	\$ 7.70	1,315,161	\$ 10.11

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company received a tax benefit from stock options exercised of approximately \$0 and \$6,700, respectively.

## 6. Income taxes

Significant components of the provision for income taxes attributable to operations consist of the following:

	Three Months Ended September 30, 2007		2006		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007		2006	
	Current							
Federal	\$ 1,931	\$ 3,299			\$ (6,025)	\$ (3,650)		
State	214	-			317	100		
Total current	2,145	3,299			(5,708)	(3,550)		
Deferred								
Federal	(114)	1,665			2,229	833		
State	(3)	103			274	100		
Total deferred	(117)	1,768			2,503	933		
Total provision for (benefit from) income taxes	\$ 2,028	\$ 5,067			\$ (3,205)	\$ (2,617)		

The estimated effective annual tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 was 40% and 44%, respectively. The estimated effective tax rate differs from statutory rates due primarily to the impact of foreign and state net operating losses and permanent differences, including incentive stock options.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

In September 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* ( FIN 48 ). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN 48 requires that the Company recognize in its financial statements the impact of a tax position if that position is more likely than not to be sustained on audit based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized, as a cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, a \$607 increase in tax-related liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits and a \$607 reduction to beginning retained earnings. The Company recognizes interest in interest expense and recognizes potential penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits in selling, general and administrative expense. The Company accrued approximately \$62 for the payment of interest and penalties as of September 30, 2007.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

As of January 1, 2007, the Company recorded approximately \$607 for unrecognized tax benefits, including accrued interest and penalties, related to prior years. During the three months ended September 30, 2007, the Company recorded a decrease in unrecognized tax benefits, including accrued interest and penalties, of \$493. Of this \$493, \$426 represents a reduction in unrecognized tax benefits as a result of a lapse of the applicable statute of limitations during the quarter ended September 30, 2007, and \$7 represents a reduction related to the 2005 federal income tax audit. During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2007, unrecognized tax benefits, including accrued interest and penalties, increased by \$219 and \$256, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2007, the Company accrued \$39 of interest expense related to unrecognized tax benefits of prior years. Substantially all of these reserves would impact the effective tax rate if released into income. Of the total unrecognized tax benefits recorded at September 30, 2007, \$139 is classified as a current liability and \$231 is classified as a non-current liability on the balance sheet. As of September 30, 2007, \$37 of unrecognized tax benefits will reverse within the next twelve months.

The Company's federal and state income tax returns for the tax years 2004, 2005 and 2006 remain open to examination. The Company's tax returns in the United Kingdom remain open to examination for the tax years 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005 and 2006, and tax returns in Germany remain open indefinitely. A federal income tax audit of the Company's tax return for the 2004 tax year was completed in March 2007. As a result of this audit, the Company paid an assessment of \$722, including \$96 of interest. The Company is the subject of an ongoing federal income tax audit for the tax year ended December 31, 2005. The financial statement impact of the audit has been estimated at approximately \$469, including \$49 of interest. This amount has been accrued as of September 30, 2007.

### 7. Litigation

From time to time, the Company is involved in product liability litigation and other lawsuits that arise in the ordinary course of its business. The Company does not believe that any pending proceedings will have a material, adverse effect on the results of its operations. With respect to claims filed against the Company arising out of the use of BioThrax by the U.S. government, the Company relies on a combination of contractual indemnification provisions, the government contractor defense, statutory protections and product liability insurance to limit its potential liability.

### 8. Segment information

The Company operates in two business segments: biodefense and commercial. In the biodefense business, the Company develops, manufactures and commercializes immunobiotics, consisting of vaccines and therapeutics, for use against biological agents that are potential weapons of bioterrorism and biowarfare. Revenues in this segment relate to the Company's FDA-approved product, BioThrax. In the commercial business, the Company develops immunobiotics for use against infectious diseases that have resulted in significant unmet or underserved public health needs. Revenues in this segment consist predominantly of milestone payments and development and grant revenues received under collaboration and grant arrangements. The All Other segment relates to the general operating costs of the business and includes costs of the centralized services departments that are not allocated to the other segments. The assets in this segment consist primarily of cash and fixed assets.

	Reportable Segments			Total
	Biodefense	Commercial	All Other	
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007</b>				
External revenue	\$ 90,643	\$ 2,635	\$ -	\$ 93,278
Inter-segment revenue (expense)	-	-	-	-
Research and development	20,716	19,411	1,562	41,689
Interest income	-	-	1,945	1,945
Interest expense	-	-	(54)	(54)
Depreciation and amortization	2,599	685	313	3,597
Net income (loss)	26,120	(24,124)	(6,801)	(4,805)
Assets	156,695	19,738	50,318	226,751
Expenditures for long-lived assets	34,081	617	1,499	36,197
<b>Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006</b>				

Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

External revenue	\$ 61,260	\$ 4,583	\$ -	\$ 65,843
Inter-segment revenue	-	-	-	-
Research and development	13,980	14,674	586	29,240
Interest income	-	-	405	405
Interest expense	-	-	(778)	(778)
Depreciation and amortization	2,530	559	176	3,265
Net income (loss)	15,920	(14,586)	(4,670)	(3,336)
Assets	74,655	14,761	41,415	130,831
Expenditures for long-lived assets	14,657	1,665	9,390	25,712

13

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

The accounting policies of the segments are the same as those described in Note 1 Summary of significant accounting policies. There are no inter-segment transactions.

### **9. Related party transactions**

The Company has engaged Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr LLP ("WilmerHale") to provide certain legal services to the Company. The Company's Senior Vice President Legal Affairs and General Counsel is married to a partner at WilmerHale, who has not participated in providing legal services to the Company. The Company has incurred fees for legal services rendered by WilmerHale of approximately \$760 in 2007. Of this amount, approximately \$318 was in accounts payable at September 30, 2007.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, the Company paid approximately \$178 and \$383, respectively, for consulting, lease and transportation agreements with various persons or entities affiliated with the Chief Executive Officer or members of the Board of Directors. Of these amounts, \$15 and \$0 was in accounts payable at September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The Company currently has an agreement with a director to perform corporate strategic issues consultation and direct project support to the marketing and communications group, and an agreement with a company owned by the Chief Executive Officer to provide transportation and logistical support.

### **10. Indebtedness**

On June 8, 2007, the Company entered into a loan agreement with Fifth Third Bank, whereby Fifth Third Bank agreed to extend to the Company a revolving line of credit up to \$15,000. Collateral for this loan consists of accounts receivable under supply contracts with the DoD and HHS. The Company can borrow under this line of credit through May 2008, at which time the agreement expires. No borrowings under this revolving line of credit were outstanding as of September 30, 2007.

On June 29, 2007, the Company entered into a loan agreement with HSBC Realty Credit Corporation (USA) ("HSBC"), under which HSBC provided the Company with a term loan of \$30,000. This loan replaced a prior loan arrangement with HSBC under which HSBC agreed to loan the Company \$15,000, consisting of a \$10,000 term loan and a \$5,000 revolving line of credit. Under the new loan agreement, the Company is required to maintain a minimum balance of \$5,000 in a deposit account pledged to HSBC and to make monthly payments in the amount of \$250 in principal plus accrued interest beginning in August 2007, with a residual principal payment due upon maturity in September 2012. Interest on the loan accrues at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 2.75%. Payment of the loan is secured by substantially all of the assets of Emergent BioDefense Operations, other than accounts receivable under BioThrax supply contracts with the DoD and HHS that are pledged as collateral to secure the \$15,000 revolving line of credit with Fifth Third Bank.

### **11. HHS Contract**

On September 25, 2007 the Company entered into an agreement with HHS to supply 18.75 million doses of BioThrax for placement into the Strategic National Stockpile ( SNS ). The term of the agreement is from September 25, 2007 through September 24, 2010. The first 5.5 million doses to be delivered under this contract are being sold to HHS at a discounted price, as specified in the contract, due to the limited remaining shelf life for those specific doses. This discounted price will not apply to the remaining 13.25 million doses that will be sold to HHS under the contract. The firm fixed price for the 18.75 million doses, including the discount, is \$400,000 in the aggregate. If the Company receives FDA approval of an application to extend the shelf life of BioThrax from three years to four years, HHS has agreed to increase the price per dose for the remaining 13.25 million doses under the agreement. In that event, HHS would make a lump sum payment reflecting a price per dose increase for certain of these doses delivered prior to approval and an increase in the price per dose to be paid for doses delivered following the date of such approval. The aggregate value of such price increase is \$34,000. If the Company does not receive FDA approval of four-year expiry dating during the term of the agreement there will be no adjustment in the price per dose, or lump sum payment, under the agreement. Under the agreement, the Company has also agreed to provide all shipping services related to delivery of doses into the SNS over the term of the agreement, for which HHS has agreed to pay approximately \$2,200. HHS will be invoiced for each delivery upon acceptance of BioThrax doses delivered into the SNS. The agreement also provides for HHS to pay up to \$11,500 in milestone payments in connection with the Company advancing a program to obtain a post-exposure prophylaxis indication for BioThrax. These funds are payable upon achievement of specific program milestones.





**ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

*You should read the following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations together with our financial statements and the related notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. Some of the information contained in this discussion and analysis or set forth elsewhere in this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, including information with respect to our plans and strategy for our business and related financing, includes forward-looking statements that involve risks and uncertainties. You should review the Special Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements and the Risk Factors section of this quarterly report for a discussion of important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results described in or implied by the forward-looking statements contained in the following discussion and analysis.*

**Overview**

We are a biopharmaceutical company focused on the development, manufacture and commercialization of immunobiotics, consisting of vaccines and therapeutics that assist the body's immune system to prevent or treat disease. We operate in two business segments: biodefense and commercial. Our biodefense business focuses on immunobiotics for use against biological agents that are potential weapons of bioterrorism and biowarfare. Our marketed product, BioThrax® (Anthrax Vaccine Adsorbed), or BioThrax, is the only vaccine approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration, or FDA, for the prevention of anthrax infection. Our commercial business focuses on immunobiotics for use against infectious diseases and other medical conditions that have resulted in significant unmet or underserved public health needs. We expect to continue to seek to obtain marketed products and development stage product candidates through acquisitions and licensing arrangements with third parties.

Our biodefense business has generated net income for each of the last three fiscal years. However, in our commercial business, we have not received approval to market any of our product candidates and, to date, have not received any product sales revenues.

Our only sources of revenue in our commercial business are development grant funding and an upfront license fee and additional payments for development work under a collaboration agreement with Sanofi Pasteur. As a result, our commercial business has incurred a net loss for each of the last three fiscal years.

**Biodefense**

We have derived and expect for the foreseeable future to continue to derive substantially all of our revenues from BioThrax sales to the U.S. Department of Defense, or the DoD, and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, or HHS. Our total revenues from BioThrax sales were \$127.3 million in 2005, \$148.0 million in 2006 and \$89.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. We are focused on increasing sales of BioThrax to U.S. government customers, expanding the market for BioThrax to other customers and pursuing label expansions and improvements for BioThrax.

In addition to BioThrax, our biodefense product portfolio includes multiple biodefense product candidates. We are developing an anthrax immune globulin candidate, in part with funding from the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, or the NIAID, and the BioMedical Advanced Research and Development Authority, or BARDA. We have entered into collaboration agreements with the U.K. Health Protection Agency, or HPA, for the development of a recombinant botulinum vaccine candidate and a botulinum immune globulin candidate. We are actively pursuing additional government sponsored development grants and working with various government agencies to encourage them to conduct studies relating to BioThrax and our other biodefense product candidates.

**Commercial**

Our commercial product portfolio includes a typhoid vaccine candidate and a hepatitis B therapeutic vaccine candidate, both of which are in Phase II clinical development, a group B streptococcus vaccine candidate in Phase I clinical development and a chlamydia vaccine candidate and a meningitis B vaccine candidate, both of which are in preclinical development.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

We plan to encourage government entities and non-government and philanthropic organizations to provide development funding for, or to conduct clinical studies of, one or more of our commercial product candidates. For example, the Wellcome Trust provided funding for the Phase I and Phase II clinical trials of our typhoid vaccine candidate. In addition, the NIAID is conducting and funding the Phase I clinical trial of our group B streptococcus vaccine candidate.

### **Manufacturing Infrastructure**

We operate vaccine manufacturing facilities at a multi-building campus on approximately 12.5 acres in Lansing, Michigan. To augment our existing manufacturing capabilities, we are constructing a new 50,000 square foot manufacturing facility on our Lansing campus. We expect the facility to cost approximately \$75 million when complete, including approximately \$55 million for the building and associated capital equipment, with the balance related to validation and qualification activities required for regulatory approval and initiation of manufacturing. We have incurred costs of approximately \$58 million for these purposes through September 2007.

We substantially completed construction of this facility in 2006, and are conducting installation, validation and qualification activities required for regulatory approval. This new facility is a large scale manufacturing plant that we can use to produce multiple vaccine products, subject to complying with appropriate change-over procedures. We also own two buildings in Frederick, Maryland that are available to support our future manufacturing requirements. We have incurred costs of approximately \$3 million through September 2007 related to initial engineering design and preliminary utility build out of these facilities. Because we are in the preliminary planning stages of our Frederick build out, we cannot reasonably estimate the timing and costs that would be necessary to complete this project. If we proceed with this project, we expect the costs to be substantial and to likely require external sources of funds to finance the project. We may elect to lease all or a substantial portion of, or sell, one of these facilities to third parties.

### **Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates**

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based on our financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities and expenses.

On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates and judgments, including those related to accrued expenses, fair value of stock-based compensation and income taxes. We based our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the following critical accounting policies affect our more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our financial statements.

### **Revenue Recognition**

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

We recognize revenues from product sales in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104, *Revenue Recognition*, or SAB 104. SAB 104 requires recognition of revenues from product sales that require no continuing performance on our part if four basic criteria have been met:

- there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement;
- delivery has occurred or title has passed to our customer based on contract terms;
- the fee is fixed and determinable and no further obligation exists; and
- collectibility is reasonably assured.

We have generated BioThrax sales revenues under U.S. government contracts with the DoD and HHS. Under previous DoD contracts, we invoiced the DoD for progress payments upon reaching contractually specified stages in the manufacture of BioThrax. We recorded as deferred revenue the full amount of each progress payment invoice that we submitted to the DoD.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Title to the product passed to the DoD upon submission of the first invoice. The earnings process was considered complete upon FDA release of the product for sale and distribution. Following FDA release of the product, we segregated the product for later shipment and recognized as period revenue all deferred revenue related to the released product in accordance with the bill and hold sale requirements under SAB 104. At that time, we also invoiced the DoD for the final progress payment and recognized the amount of that invoice as period revenue. Under previous contracts with HHS, we invoiced HHS and recognized the related revenues upon delivery of the product to the government carrier, at which time title to the product passed to HHS. Under our current contract with HHS, we invoice HHS and recognize the related revenues upon acceptance by the government at the delivery site, at which time title to the product passes to HHS.

Under the collaboration agreement that we entered into with Sanofi Pasteur in May 2006 for our meningitis B vaccine candidate, we received an upfront license fee and are entitled to additional payments for development work under the collaboration and upon achieving contractually defined development and commercialization milestones. We evaluated the various components of the collaboration in accordance with Emerging Issues Task Force, or EITF, Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*, or EITF No. 00-21, which addresses whether, for revenue recognition purposes, there is one or several units of accounting in an arrangement. We concluded that under EITF No. 00-21, the upfront license fee, the development work and the milestone payments under our agreement with Sanofi Pasteur should be accounted for as a single unit of accounting.

We recognize amounts received under this agreement over the estimated development period as we perform services. We recorded the amount of the upfront license fee as deferred revenue. We are recognizing this revenue over the estimated development period under the contract, currently estimated at seven years, as adjusted from time to time for any delays or acceleration in the development of the product candidate.

Under the collaboration agreement, we are entitled to payments up to specified levels for development work we perform on behalf of Sanofi Pasteur. We invoice Sanofi Pasteur in advance of each quarter for the estimated work to occur in the upcoming quarter. We record the invoice amount as deferred revenue and as services are completed, recognize the amount of the related deferred revenue as period revenues. Under the collaboration agreement, we also will be entitled to royalty payments on any future net sales of this product candidate.

From time to time, we are awarded reimbursement contracts for services and development grant contracts with government entities and non-government and philanthropic organizations. Under these contracts, we typically are reimbursed for our costs in connection with specific development activities and may also be entitled to additional fees. We record the reimbursement of our costs and any associated fees as contract and grant revenues and the associated costs as research and development expense. We issue invoices under these contracts after we incur the reimbursable costs. We recognize revenue upon invoicing the sponsoring organization.

### **Inventories**

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost being determined using a standard cost method, which approximates average cost. Average cost consists primarily of material, labor and manufacturing overhead expenses and includes the services and products of third party suppliers.

We analyze our inventory levels quarterly and write down in the applicable period inventory that has become obsolete, inventory that has a cost basis in excess of its expected net realizable value and inventory in excess of expected customer demand. We also write off in the applicable period the costs related to expired inventory. We capitalize the costs associated with the manufacture of BioThrax as inventory from the initiation of the manufacturing process through the completion of manufacturing, labeling and packaging.

**Income Taxes**

We account for income taxes in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes*, or SFAS No. 109. Under the asset and liability method of SFAS No. 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial reporting and the tax bases of assets and liabilities and are measured using the tax rates and laws that are expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. A net deferred tax asset or liability is reported in the balance sheet. Our deferred tax assets include the unamortized portion of in-process research and development expenses, the anticipated future benefit of the net operating losses that we have incurred and other timing differences between the financial reporting basis of assets and liabilities.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

We have historically incurred net operating losses for income tax purposes in some states and in some foreign jurisdictions, primarily the United Kingdom. The amount of the deferred tax assets on our balance sheet reflects our expectations regarding our ability to use our net operating losses to offset future taxable income. The applicable tax rules in particular jurisdictions limit our ability to use net operating losses as a result of ownership changes. In particular, we believe that these rules will significantly limit our ability to use net operating losses generated by Microscience Limited, or Microscience, and Antex Biologics, Inc., or Antex, prior to our acquisition of Microscience in June 2005 and our acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Antex in May 2003.

We review our deferred tax assets on a quarterly basis to assess our ability to realize the benefit from these deferred tax assets. If we determine that it is more likely than not that the amount of our expected future taxable income will not be sufficient to allow us to fully utilize our deferred tax assets, we increase our valuation allowance against deferred tax assets by recording a provision for income taxes on our income statement, which reduces net income, or increases net loss, for that period and reduces our deferred tax assets on our balance sheet. If we determine that the amount of our expected future taxable income will allow us to utilize net operating losses in excess of our net deferred tax assets, we reduce our valuation allowance by recording a benefit from income taxes on our income statement, which increases net income, or reduces net loss, for that period and increases our deferred tax assets on our balance sheet.

We account for uncertainty in income taxes in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes*, or FIN 48. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Under FIN 48, the Company recognizes in its financial statements the impact of a tax position if that position is more likely than not of being sustained on audit, based on the technical merits of the position. FIN 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods and disclosure.

### **Stock-based Compensation**

We adopted SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, or SFAS No. 123(R), on January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective method. SFAS No. 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the income statement based on their estimated grant date fair values.

We value our share-based payment transactions using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Under the modified prospective method, we recognize compensation cost in our financial statements for all awards granted after January 1, 2006 and for all awards outstanding as of January 1, 2006 for which the requisite service had not been rendered as of the date of adoption. We measure the amount of compensation cost based on the fair value of the underlying equity award on the date of grant. We recognize compensation cost over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award.

The effect of adopting SFAS No. 123(R) on net income (loss) and net income (loss) per share is not necessarily representative of the effects in future years due to, among other things, the vesting period of the stock options and the fair value of additional stock option grants in future years.

### **Purchased In-process Research and Development**

We account for purchased in-process research and development in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards, or SFAS, No. 2, *Accounting for Research and Development Costs* along with Financial Accounting Standards Board, or FASB, Interpretation No. 4,

*Applicability of FASB Statement No. 2 to Business Combinations Accounted for by the Purchase Method.*

Under these standards, we are required to determine whether the technology relating to a particular research and development project we acquire has an alternative future use. If we determine that the technology has no alternative future use, we expense the value of the research and development project not directly attributed to tangible assets. Otherwise, we capitalize the value of the research and development project not attributable to tangible assets as an intangible asset and conduct an impairment analysis at least annually. In connection with our acquisition of ViVacs, we allocated the value of the purchase consideration to current assets, current liabilities, fixed assets and development programs. Because we determined that the development programs at ViVacs had no future alternative use, we charged the value attributable to the development programs as in-process research and development. The ViVacs acquisition was a cash transaction; therefore no fair value determination was necessary.



## Financial Operations Overview

### Revenues

Since 1998, we have been a party to two supply agreements for BioThrax with the DoD. Pursuant to these contracts, we have supplied approximately 10 million doses of BioThrax through September 2007 for immunization of military personnel. Our most recent contract with the DoD, as amended in October 2006, provided for the supply of a minimum of approximately 1.5 million doses of BioThrax to the DoD through September 2007. As a result of a further amendment of the DoD contract in June 2007, we completed delivery of all doses to the DoD under this contract prior to June 30, 2007.

On May 7, 2007, the DoD issued a sole source request for proposal, or RFP, for the manufacture, storage and delivery of BioThrax. On July 3, 2007, we submitted a response to this RFP. In October 2007, a White House Presidential Directive was issued that outlines the U.S. government's objective to enhance coordination and cooperation among federal agencies with respect to countermeasure procurement and stockpile management. Also in October 2007, the General Accounting Office of the federal government, or GAO, issued a report that was critical of HHS for lacking an effective strategy to minimize waste in the SNS, citing concerns of large amounts of BioThrax that will become unusable each year due to shelf-life expiration.

It is not clear at this time what effect the Presidential Directive and the GAO report will have on a potential agreement with the DoD. We believe that the operational requirements set forth by the DoD in the May 2007 RFP have not changed and that the DoD has a continued commitment to procure BioThrax, either directly or indirectly, for its active immunization program. However, at this time we anticipate that the procurement process related to doses procured by or for the DoD will take longer than previously expected, and may not be concluded before the end of 2007. As the negotiating process is complex and involves a number of factors, it is possible that we may not be able to reach an agreement with DoD.

Between May 2005 and February 2007, we supplied 10.0 million doses of BioThrax to HHS for inclusion in the strategic national stockpile, or SNS, under a base contract for 5.0 million doses for a fixed price of \$123 million and a modification for an additional 5.0 million doses for a fixed price of \$120 million. We completed delivery of all doses to HHS under this contract in February 2007.

On September 25, 2007, we entered into an agreement with HHS to supply 18.75 million doses of BioThrax to HHS for placement into the SNS. The term of the agreement is from September 25, 2007 through September 24, 2010. The first 5.5 million doses to be delivered under this contract are being sold to HHS at a discounted price, as specified in the contract, due to the limited remaining shelf life for those specific doses. This discounted price will not apply to the remaining 13.25 million doses that will be sold to HHS under the contract. The firm fixed price for the 18.75 million doses, including the discount, is \$400 million in the aggregate. If we receive FDA approval of our pending application to extend the shelf life of BioThrax from three years to four years, HHS has agreed to increase the price per dose under the agreement for the remaining 13.25 million doses. In that event, HHS would make a lump sum payment to us reflecting a price per dose increase for certain of these doses delivered prior to approval and an increase in the price per dose to be paid for doses delivered following the date of such approval. The aggregate value of such price adjustment is \$34 million. If we do not receive FDA approval of four-year expiry dating during the term of the agreement there will be no adjustment in the price per dose under the agreement. We delivered 2.4 million doses of BioThrax to HHS under this contract in September 2007. Under the current HHS contract, we have also agreed to provide all shipping services related to delivery of doses into the SNS over the term of the agreement for which HHS has agreed to pay approximately \$2.2 million. HHS will be invoiced for each delivery upon acceptance of BioThrax doses delivered into the SNS. The agreement also provides for HHS to pay up to \$11.5 million in milestone payments in connection with us advancing a program to obtain a post-exposure prophylaxis indication for BioThrax. These funds are payable upon achievement of specific program milestones. In October 2007, we achieved the initial milestone and invoiced HHS for \$8.8 million.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

In May 2006, we entered into a collaboration agreement with Sanofi Pasteur relating to the development and commercialization of our meningitis B vaccine candidate under which we granted Sanofi Pasteur an exclusive, worldwide license under our proprietary technology to develop and commercialize our meningitis B vaccine candidate and received a \$3.8 million upfront license fee. This agreement also provides for a series of milestone payments upon the achievement of specified development and commercialization objectives, payments for development work under the collaboration and royalties on net sales of this product. We deferred the upfront license fee, milestone payments and development reimbursement payments under this agreement, and record revenue in accordance with our revenue recognition policies. We are currently in negotiations with Sanofi Pasteur to amend this agreement.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

In September 2007, we received a development contract from NIAID and BARDA, valued at up to \$9.5 million, in support of non-clinical and clinical studies of our anthrax immune globulin product candidate. Under terms of the development award, the funds will be used to conduct various studies on this candidate, including non-clinical studies in support of efficacy; and a Phase I/II clinical study to evaluate pharmacokinetics and safety. To date, we have not yet invoiced under this contract.

Our revenue, operating results and profitability have varied and we expect that they will continue to vary, on a quarterly basis, primarily because of the timing of our fulfilling orders for BioThrax and work done under new and existing contracts and grants.

### **Cost of Product Sales**

The primary expense that we incur to deliver BioThrax to our customers is manufacturing costs, which are primarily fixed costs. These fixed manufacturing costs consist of attributable facilities, utilities and salaries and personnel-related expenses for indirect manufacturing support staff. Variable manufacturing costs for BioThrax consist primarily of costs for materials, direct labor and contract filling operations.

We determine the cost of product sales for doses sold during a reporting period based on the average manufacturing cost per dose for the specific earlier period in which the doses sold were manufactured. We calculate the average manufacturing cost per dose in the period of manufacture by dividing the actual costs of manufacturing in such period by the number of units produced in that period. In addition to the fixed and variable manufacturing costs described above, the average manufacturing cost per dose depends on the efficiency of the manufacturing process, utilization of available manufacturing capacity and the production yield for the period of production.

### **Research and Development Expenses**

We expense research and development costs as incurred. Our research and development expenses consist primarily of:

- salaries and related expenses for personnel;

- fees to professional service providers for, among other things, preclinical and analytical testing, independently monitoring our clinical trials and acquiring and evaluating data from our clinical trials;

- costs of contract manufacturing services;

- costs of materials used in clinical trials and research and development;

- depreciation of capital assets used to develop our products; and

- operating costs, such as the operating cost of facilities and the legal costs of pursuing patent protection of our intellectual property.

The successful development of our product candidates is highly uncertain. We believe that significant investment in product development is a competitive necessity and plan to continue these investments in order to be in a position to realize the potential of our product candidates. We cannot reasonably estimate or know the nature, timing and projected costs of the efforts that will be necessary to complete the remainder of the development for our product candidates, or the period, if any, in which material net cash inflows may commence from any of our product candidates. This is due to the numerous risks and uncertainties associated with developing biological products, including the uncertainty of:

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

the scope, rate of progress and expense of our clinical trials and other research and development activities;

our ability to obtain adequate supplies of our product candidates required for later stage clinical trials, including from third party manufacturers;

the potential benefits of our product candidates over other products;

our ability to market, commercialize and achieve market acceptance for any of our product candidates that we are developing or may develop in the future;

future clinical trial results;

the terms and timing of regulatory approvals; and

the expense of filing, prosecuting, defending and enforcing any patent claims and other intellectual property rights.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

A change in the outcome of any of these variables with respect to the development of a product candidate could mean a significant change in the costs and timing associated with the development of that product candidate. We expect to continue to incur significant development spending for all of our biodefense product candidates as our product development activities continue and we prepare for regulatory submissions and other regulatory activities. We expect our development expenses in our commercial business to increase in connection with our ongoing activities, particularly as we conduct additional and later stage clinical trials for our product candidates.

We expect that the magnitude of our research and development spending will be dependent upon such factors as the results from our ongoing preclinical studies and clinical trials, the size, structure and duration of any follow-on clinical program that we may initiate, costs associated with manufacturing our product candidates on a large scale basis for later stage clinical trials, our ability to use data generated by government agencies, such as the ongoing studies by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, or the CDC, with BioThrax, and our ability to rely upon and utilize clinical and non-clinical data, such as the data generated by the CDC from use of the pentavalent botulinum toxoid vaccine previously manufactured by the State of Michigan.

### **Selling, General and Administrative Expenses**

Selling, general and administrative expenses consist primarily of salaries and other related costs for personnel serving the executive, sales and marketing, business development, finance, accounting, information technology, legal and human resource functions. Other costs include facility costs not otherwise included in cost of product sales or research and development expense and professional fees for legal and accounting services. We currently market and sell BioThrax directly to the DoD and HHS with a small, targeted marketing and sales group. As we seek to broaden the market for BioThrax and if we receive marketing approval for additional products we expect that we will increase our spending for marketing and sales activities.

### **Total Other Income (Expense)**

Total other income (expense) consists principally of interest income and interest expense. We earn interest on our cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments, and we incur interest expense on our indebtedness. We capitalize interest expense in accordance with SFAS No. 34, *Capitalization of Interest Cost*, based on the cost of major ongoing projects which have not yet been placed in service, such as our new manufacturing facility. Our total interest cost will increase in future periods as compared to prior periods as a result of the term loan that we entered into in June 2007, as well as any borrowings under our revolving line of credit. In addition, some of our existing debt arrangements provide for increasing amortization of principal payments in future periods.

### **Results of Operations**

#### **Quarter Ended September 30, 2007 Compared to Quarter Ended September 30, 2006**

##### ***Revenues***

Product sales revenues in our biodefense segment increased by \$931,000, or 2%, to \$41.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$40.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. This increase in product sales revenues was primarily due to a 43% increase in the number of doses of BioThrax delivered, offset by a 29% decrease in the average sales price per dose attributable to a discounted

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

price provided to HHS due to the limited remaining shelf life for those specific doses delivered. This discount will apply to some portion of the doses remaining to be sold and delivered to HHS during 2007. We do not expect this discount to apply to any other doses to be sold and delivered to HHS under the contract.

Product sales revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2007 consisted of BioThrax sales to the HHS of \$41.8 million. Product sales revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 consisted of BioThrax sales to HHS of \$17.5 million and sales to the DoD of \$23.4 million.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Contracts and grant revenues increased by \$539,000, or 41%, to \$1.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Contracts and grant revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2007 consisted of \$545,000 from the Sanofi Pasteur collaboration related to recognition of deferred revenue associated with the upfront payment received in 2006 as well as development service revenue, grant revenue from NIH of \$893,000 and grant revenue from the Wellcome Trust of \$423,000. Contracts and grants revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2006 consisted of \$1.3 million from the Sanofi Pasteur collaboration related to the recognition of deferred revenue associated with the upfront payment received in 2006 and development service revenue.

### *Cost of Product Sales*

Cost of product sales increased by \$4.1 million, or 57%, to \$11.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$7.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. This increase was primarily attributable to a 43% increase in the number of doses delivered, coupled with increased costs associated with our annual production shut-down, the related impact on production yield and the write-off of waste during the period.

### *Research and Development Expense*

Research and development expenses decreased by \$768,000, or 6%, to \$12.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$13.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. This decrease reflects decreased expenses of \$2.4 million in the biodefense segment, partially offset by increased expenses of \$1.5 million in the commercial segment and \$186,000 for other research and development expense. The decrease in biodefense spending, detailed in the table below, was attributable to the timing of completion of various studies and commencement of subsequent studies and trials, as well as timing of plasma collection related to our anthrax immune globulin product candidate.

The spending for BioThrax enhancements is related to conducting animal efficacy studies to support applications for regulatory approval of these enhancements, which we expect to submit to the FDA in late 2008 or 2009. The spending for our immune globulin candidate development programs are related primarily to costs associated with the plasma collection and fractionation program for our anthrax immune globulin. The spending for the recombinant botulinum vaccine program resulted from advancing this program to the process development stage.

The spending for the next generation anthrax vaccine program resulted from feasibility studies and formulation development of product candidates. We continue to assess, and may alter, our future development plans for our products based on the interest of the U.S. government or other non-governmental organizations in providing funding for further development or procurement.

The increase in commercial spending, detailed in the table below, primarily reflects additional personnel and contract service costs. The spending in 2007 for our typhoid vaccine candidate resulted from the recently completed Phase II study in Vietnam. The spending in 2006 for typhoid resulted from ongoing work to prepare for the Phase II clinical trial in Vietnam, which we completed in the second quarter of 2006. The spending in 2007 for our hepatitis B therapeutic vaccine candidate resulted from conducting our Phase II clinical trial which commenced in the first quarter 2007. The spending in 2007 for our group B streptococcus vaccine candidate resulted from costs associated with preparation for Phase I clinical trials for two of the protein components of the vaccine candidate, which the NIAID is conducting and funding. Both our chlamydia vaccine and meningitis B vaccine candidates are in preclinical development.

The increase in other research and development expenses was primarily attributable to spending associated with product development programs that we acquired from ViVacs GmbH, or ViVacs, in July 2006. Our principal research and development expenses for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are shown in the following table:





(in thousands)	Three Months Ended	
	September 30,	
	2007	2006
	(unaudited)	
<b>Biodefense:</b>		
BioThrax enhancements	\$ 995	\$ 2,068
Immune globulin	2,183	3,832
Recombinant bivalent botulinum vaccine	514	567
Next generation anthrax vaccine	702	338
Total biodefense	4,394	6,805
<b>Commercial:</b>		
Typhoid vaccine	3,099	3,146
Hepatitis B therapeutic vaccine	1,520	914
Group B streptococcus vaccine	1,969	965
Chlamydia vaccine	910	670
Meningitis B vaccine	361	705
Total commercial	7,859	6,400
Other	524	339
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 12,777</b>	<b>\$ 13,544</b>

### *Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$3.9 million, or 35%, to \$15.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$11.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in general and administrative expenses of approximately \$2.2 million resulting from the addition of personnel related to our transition to a publicly traded company and increased legal and other professional services for our headquarters organization. Selling, general and administrative expenses related to our biodefense segment increased by \$2.7 million, or 31%, to \$11.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$8.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Selling, general and administrative expenses related to our commercial segment increased by \$1.2 million, or 51%, to \$3.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$2.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

### *Purchased In-process Research and Development*

In July 2006, we recorded a non-cash charge for purchased in-process research and development of \$477,000 associated with our acquisition of ViVacs. We paid total purchase consideration of \$250,000 and assumed a net deficit of liabilities in excess of assets of \$47,000. We valued the acquisition at \$430,000 after the inclusion of acquisition costs. Of this amount, we identified \$153,000 as current assets, \$97,000 as fixed assets, \$297,000 as current liabilities and \$477,000 as the value attributable to development programs and technology. Because we determined that the development programs and technology had no future alternative use, we charged the value attributable to the development programs and technology as purchased in-process research and development. We are amortizing this charge for tax purposes over 15 years.

### *Total Other Income (Expense)*

Total other income (expense) increased by \$751,000 to \$451,000 in net other income for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$300,000 in net other expense for the three months ended September 30, 2006. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in interest income of \$393,000 as a result of higher investment return on increased average cash balances, including the net proceeds of our initial public offering, a decrease in interest expense of \$539,000 related primarily to the capitalization of interest based on the cost of major ongoing capital projects which have not yet been placed in service, and a decrease in other income (expense), net of \$181,000.

### *Income Taxes*

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Provision for income taxes decreased by \$3.0 million, or 60%, to \$2.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2007 from \$5.1 million for the three months ended September 30, 2006. Our effective tax rate was 42% for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and 54% for the three months ended September 30, 2006. The effective estimated annual tax rate differs from statutory rates due primarily to the impact of foreign and state net operating losses and permanent differences, including incentive stock options. The benefit from income taxes also reflects research and development tax credits of \$120,000 for the three months ended September 30, 2007 and \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2006.

**Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2006**

***Revenues***

Product sales revenues in our biodefense segment increased by \$28.5 million, or 46%, to \$89.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$61.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase in product sales revenues was primarily due to a 76% increase in the number of doses of BioThrax delivered, offset by a 17% decrease in the average sales price per dose attributable to a discounted price provided to HHS due to the limited remaining shelf life for those specific doses delivered. This discount will apply to some portion of the doses remaining to be sold and delivered to HHS during 2007. We do not expect this discount to apply to any other doses to be sold and delivered to HHS under the contract.

Product sales revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 consisted of BioThrax sales to HHS of \$63.5 million and sales to the DoD of \$26.2 million. Product sales revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 consisted of BioThrax sales to HHS of \$35.4 million, sales to the DoD of \$25.3 million and aggregate international and other sales of \$630,000.

Contracts and grant revenues decreased by \$1.1 million to \$3.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$4.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Contracts and grant revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 consisted of \$2.2 million from the Sanofi Pasteur collaboration, related to recognition of deferred revenue associated with the upfront payment received in 2006 as well as development service revenue, grant revenue from NIH of \$893,000 and grant revenue from the Wellcome Trust of \$423,000. Contracts and grant revenues for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 consisted of \$3.2 million related to the recognition of deferred revenue associated with the upfront payment as well as development service revenues from the Sanofi Pasteur collaboration and \$1.5 million in grant revenue from the Wellcome Trust.

***Cost of Product Sales***

Cost of product sales increased by \$11.1 million, or 95%, to \$22.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$11.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase was primarily attributable to a 76% increase in the number of doses delivered, coupled with increased costs associated with our annual production shut-down, the related impact on production yield and the write-off of waste during the period.

***Research and Development Expense***

Research and development expenses increased by \$12.4 million, or 43%, to \$41.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$29.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase reflects increased expenses of \$6.7 million in the biodefense segment, \$4.7 million in the commercial segment, and approximately \$977,000 in other research and development expense.

The increase in biodefense spending, detailed in the table below, was attributable to increased efforts on our biodefense programs as we completed various studies and began subsequent studies and trials. This increase primarily reflects additional personnel and contract service costs. The spending for BioThrax enhancements is related to preparing for and conducting animal efficacy studies to support applications for marketing approval of these enhancements, which we expect to submit to the FDA in late 2008 or 2009. The spending for our immune globulin

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

candidate development programs related primarily to costs associated with the plasma collection and fractionation program for our anthrax immune globulin. The spending for the recombinant botulinum vaccine program resulted from advancing this program to the process development stage and the manufacture of clinical trial material. The spending for the next generation anthrax vaccine program resulted from feasibility studies and formulation development of product candidates. We continue to assess, and may alter, our future development plans for our products based on the interest of the U.S. government or other non-governmental organizations in providing funding for further development or procurement.

The increase in commercial spending, detailed in the table below, primarily reflects additional personnel and contract service costs. The spending in 2007 for our typhoid vaccine candidate resulted from the ongoing Phase II study in Vietnam, which commenced in the first quarter 2007. The spending in 2006 for typhoid resulted from ongoing work for the Phase I clinical trial in Vietnam, which we completed in the second quarter of 2006.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

The spending in 2007 for our hepatitis B therapeutic vaccine candidate resulted from preparing for and initiating our Phase II clinical trial, which commenced in the first quarter 2007. The spending in 2007 for our group B streptococcus vaccine candidate resulted from costs associated with preparation for Phase I clinical trials for two of the protein components of the vaccine candidate, which the NIAID is conducting and funding. Both our chlamydia vaccine and meningitis B vaccine candidates are in preclinical development.

The increase in other research and development expenses was primarily attributable to spending associated with preclinical programs that we acquired from ViVacs in July 2006. Our principal research and development expenses for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 are shown in the following table:

(in thousands)	<b>Nine Months Ended</b>	
	<b>September 30,</b>	
	<b>2007</b>	<b>2006</b>
	(unaudited)	
<b>Biodefense:</b>		
BioThrax enhancements	\$ 4,196	\$ 4,332
Immune globulin	11,744	7,691
Recombinant bivalent botulinum vaccine	2,928	1,268
Next generation anthrax vaccine	1,848	689
Total biodefense	20,716	13,980
<b>Commercial:</b>		
Typhoid vaccine	7,622	6,389
Hepatitis B therapeutic vaccine	3,988	2,120
Group B streptococcus vaccine	4,549	2,800
Chlamydia vaccine	2,304	1,317
Meningitis B vaccine	948	2,048
Total commercial	19,411	14,674
Other	1,562	586
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 41,689</b>	<b>\$ 29,240</b>

### *Selling, General and Administrative Expenses*

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased by \$8.5 million, or 28%, to \$38.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$30.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The increase was primarily attributable to an increase in general and administrative expenses of approximately \$7.0 million resulting from the addition of personnel related to our transition to a publicly traded company and increased legal and other professional services for our headquarters. Selling, general and administrative expenses related to our biodefense segment increased by \$5.5 million, or 22%, to \$30.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$24.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Selling, general and administrative expenses related to our commercial segment increased by \$3.1 million, or 54%, to \$8.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$5.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

### *Purchased In-process Research and Development*

In July 2006, we recorded a non-cash charge for purchased in-process research and development of \$477,000 associated with our acquisition of ViVacs. We paid total purchase consideration of \$250,000 and assumed a net deficit of liabilities in excess of assets of \$47,000.

We valued the acquisition at \$430,000 after the inclusion of acquisition costs. Of this amount, we identified \$153,000 as current assets, \$97,000 as fixed assets, \$297,000 as current liabilities and \$477,000 as the value attributable to development programs and technology. Because we determined that the development programs and technology had no future alternative use, we charged the value attributable to the development programs and technology as purchased in-process research and development. We are amortizing this charge for tax purposes over 15 years.

*Total Other Income (Expense)*

Total other income (expense) increased by \$2.1 million to \$2.1million in net other income for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$82,000 in net other expense for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. This increase resulted primarily from an increase in interest income of \$1.5 million as a result of higher investment return on increased average cash balances, including the net proceeds of our initial public offering, a decrease in interest expense of \$724,000 related primarily to the capitalization of interest based on the cost of major ongoing capital projects which have not yet been placed in service, and a decrease in other income of \$127,000.

**Income Taxes**

Benefit from income taxes increased by \$588,000, or 22%, to \$3.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 from \$2.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. Our effective tax rate was 40% for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 44% for the nine months ended September 30, 2006. The effective estimated annual tax rate differs from statutory rates due primarily to the impact of foreign and state net operating losses and permanent differences, including incentive stock options. The benefit from income taxes also reflects research and development tax credits of \$635,000 for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and \$0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2006.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources****Sources of Liquidity**

We require cash to meet our operating expenses and for capital expenditures, acquisitions and principal and interest payments on our debt. We have funded our cash requirements from inception through September 30, 2007 principally with a combination of revenues from BioThrax product sales, debt financings of the facility expansion in Lansing, revenues under our collaboration agreement with Sanofi Pasteur, development funding from government entities and non-government and philanthropic organizations, the net proceeds from our initial public offering and from the sale of our common stock upon exercise of stock options. We have operated profitably for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2006.

As of September 30, 2007, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$24.3 million. On November 20, 2006, we completed our initial public offering, in which we raised \$54.2 million, net of issuance costs.

**Cash Flows**

The following table provides information regarding our cash flows as of September 30, 2007 and 2006:

(in thousands)	Nine Months Ended	
	September 30, 2007	2006
Net cash provided by (used in):		
Operating activities(1)	\$ (24,339)	\$ (14,653)
Investing activities	(41,197)	(26,120)
Financing activities	13,384	24,385
<b>Total net cash used</b>	<b>\$ (52,152)</b>	<b>\$ (16,388)</b>

(1) Includes the effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents.

Net cash used in operating activities of \$24.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 resulted principally from our net loss of \$4.8 million, a decrease in income taxes payable of \$13.7 million due to the timing of payment of our 2006 income tax liability and the impact of excess tax benefits related to stock option exercises of \$6.7 million.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Net cash used in operating activities of \$14.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 resulted principally from our net loss of \$3.3 million, an increase in inventories of \$11.6 million, reflecting the value of work in process for BioThrax lots being manufactured or awaiting delivery, and a non-cash benefit from income taxes of \$4.9 million, reflecting our net loss before provision for income taxes for the period, offset by increase in deferred revenue of \$4.6 million related to amounts billed under our contract with the DoD and deferral of a portion of the upfront license fee from Sanofi Pasteur.

Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006 resulted principally from the purchase of property, plant and equipment. Capital expenditures of \$36.2 million and \$25.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, relate primarily to construction, installation, validation and qualification activities for our new building in Lansing and, in 2006, the purchase of our second facility in Frederick.



## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$13.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 resulted primarily from the additional proceeds from a term loan with HSBC of \$15.3 million, \$2.5 million in proceeds from the exercise of stock options and \$6.7 million related to excess tax benefits from the exercise of stock options, partially offset by \$11.1 million of principal payments on long-term indebtedness including the repayment of \$8.9 million from our revolving line of credit with Fifth Third Bank.

Net cash provided by financing activities of \$24.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2006 resulted primarily from proceeds from borrowings from HSBC related to the financing of the purchase of our Frederick facility in May 2006 and the financing of a portion of the costs related to the construction of our new building in Lansing.

### ***Debt Financing***

As of September 30, 2007, we had \$47.0 million principal of debt outstanding, comprised primarily of the following:

- \$2.5 million outstanding under a forgivable loan from the Department of Business and Economic Development of the State of Maryland used to finance eligible costs incurred to purchase the first facility in Frederick;
- \$6.8 million outstanding under a mortgage loan from PNC Bank (formerly Mercantile Potomac Bank) used to finance the remaining portion of the purchase price for the first Frederick facility;
- \$8.2 million outstanding under a mortgage loan from HSBC Realty Credit Corporation (USA) used to finance the purchase price for the second Frederick facility; and
- \$29.5 million outstanding under a term loan from HSBC Realty Credit Corporation (USA) used to finance a portion of the costs of our facility expansion in Lansing, which has been refinanced as described below.

We also have a revolving line of credit for up to \$15.0 million with Fifth Third Bank. We can borrow under this line of credit through May 2008.

On June 29, 2007, we entered into a loan agreement with HSBC Realty Credit Corporation (USA) under which HSBC provided us with a term loan of \$30 million. This loan replaced our loan arrangement with HSBC under which HSBC had provided a \$10 million term loan and a \$5 million revolving line of credit. In the third quarter of 2007, we received and recorded \$15.3 million in net proceeds related to the new loan agreement. Under the new loan agreement, we are required to make monthly payments in the amount of \$250,000 in principal plus accrued interest beginning in August 2007, with a residual principal payment due upon maturity in September 2012. Interest on the loan accrues at an annual rate of LIBOR plus 2.75%. Payment of the loan is secured by substantially all of the assets of Emergent BioDefense Operations, other than accounts receivable under BioThrax supply contracts with the DoD and HHS that are pledged as collateral to secure a \$15 million revolving line of credit with Fifth Third Bank. Additionally, under the loan agreement, we are required to either satisfy certain financial covenants or maintain a minimum balance of \$5 million in a deposit account pledged to HSBC.

### ***Tax Benefits***

In connection with our facility expansion in Lansing, the State of Michigan and the City of Lansing have provided us a variety of tax credits and abatements. We estimate that the total value of these tax benefits may be up to \$18.5 million over a period of up to 15 years, beginning in 2006. These tax benefits are based on our \$75 million planned investment in our Lansing facility. In addition, we must maintain a specified number of employees in Lansing to continue to qualify for these tax benefits.

### ***Funding Requirements***

We expect to continue to fund our anticipated operating expenses, capital expenditures and debt service requirements from existing cash and cash equivalents, revenues from BioThrax product sales and other committed sources of funding. There are numerous risks and uncertainties associated with BioThrax product sales and with the development and commercialization of our product candidates.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

We may seek to raise additional external debt financing to provide additional financial flexibility. Our committed external sources of funds consist of the remaining borrowing availability under our revolving line of credit with Fifth Third Bank, development funding under our collaboration agreement with Sanofi Pasteur, funding from the NIAID, including for studies related to our anthrax immune globulin candidate, funding from the Wellcome Trust for our Phase II clinical trial of our typhoid vaccine candidate, and milestone payments from HHS related to post-exposure prophylaxis indication for BioThrax. Our ability to borrow additional amounts under our loan agreements is subject to our satisfaction of specified conditions.

Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the level and timing of BioThrax product sales and cost of product sales;
- the timing of, and the costs involved in, constructing our new manufacturing facility in Lansing and the build out of our manufacturing facilities in Frederick;
- the scope, progress, results and costs of our preclinical and clinical development activities;
- the costs, timing and outcome of regulatory review of our product candidates;
- the number of, and development requirements for, other product candidates that we may pursue;
- the costs of commercialization activities, including product marketing, sales and distribution;
- the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims and other patent-related costs, including litigation costs and the results of such litigation;
- the extent to which we acquire or invest in businesses, products and technologies;
- our ability to obtain development funding from government entities and non-government and philanthropic organizations; and
- our ability to establish and maintain collaborations, such as our collaboration with Sanofi Pasteur.

We may require additional sources of funds for future acquisitions that we may make or, depending on the size of the obligation, to meet balloon payments upon maturity of our current borrowings. To the extent our capital resources are insufficient to meet our future capital requirements, we will need to finance our cash needs through public or private equity offerings, debt financings or corporate collaboration and licensing arrangements.

Additional equity or debt financing, grants, or corporate collaboration and licensing arrangements may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. If adequate funds are not available, we may be required to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate our research and development programs or reduce our planned commercialization efforts. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience dilution. Debt financing, if available, may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends. Any debt financing or additional equity that we raise may contain terms, such as liquidation and other preferences, that are not favorable to us or our stockholders. If we raise additional funds through collaboration and licensing arrangements with third parties, it may be necessary to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies or product candidates or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us.

### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, or SFAS No. 157. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 emphasizes that fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this statement on our financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*, or SFAS No. 159. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. The objective is to improve financial reporting by providing entities with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. The provisions of SFAS No. 159 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of the adoption of this statement on our financial statements.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

In June 2007, the FASB issued EITF No. 07-3, *Accounting for Nonrefundable Advance Payments for Goods or Services Received for Use in Future Research and Development Activities* ( EITF No. 07-3 ). EITF No. 07-3 states that nonrefundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for future research and development activities should be deferred and capitalized. Such amounts should be recognized as an expense as the related goods are delivered or the related services are performed. The provisions of EITF No. 07-3 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. We are currently evaluating the impact of adoption of this statement on its financial statements.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Our exposure to market risk is currently confined to our cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash that have maturities of less than three months. We currently do not hedge interest rate exposure or foreign currency exchange exposure. We have not used derivative financial instruments for speculation or trading purposes. Because of the short-term maturities of our cash and cash equivalents, we do not believe that an increase in market rates would have a significant impact on the realized value of our investments, but would likely increase the interest expense associated with our debt.

### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2007. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management recognizes that any controls or procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2007, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2007 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

*BioThrax product liability litigation.* On October 14, 2005, January 9, 2006 and January 17, 2006, we were named as a defendant in three federal lawsuits filed on behalf of three individuals who claimed damages resulting from personal injuries allegedly suffered because of vaccinations of BioThrax by the DoD. The plaintiffs in each of these three lawsuits claimed different injuries and sought varying amounts of damages. The plaintiff in the first case alleged that the vaccine caused erosive rheumatoid arthritis and requested damages in excess of \$1 million. The plaintiff in the second case alleged that the vaccine caused Bell's palsy and other related conditions and requested damages in excess of \$75,000. The plaintiff in the third case alleged that the vaccine caused a condition that originally was diagnosed as encephalitis related to a gastrointestinal

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

infection and caused him to fall into a coma for many weeks and requested damages in excess of \$10 million. The second lawsuit was dismissed with prejudice on September 5, 2007. In the remaining two lawsuits, we have moved to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, or in the alternative, to transfer the lawsuits to federal court in Michigan. On October 27, 2006, one of these lawsuits was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Michigan. On October 31, 2006, the other was dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction. The plaintiff in that lawsuit appealed the dismissal to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, and that appeal remains pending. These lawsuits are in the preliminary stages of litigation, and we believe that we are entitled to indemnification under our contract with the DoD for legal fees and any damages that may result from these claims.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

In April 2006, the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Michigan entered summary judgment in our favor in four other consolidated lawsuits asserting similar claims brought by approximately 120 individuals. The District Court's ruling in the four cases was based on two grounds. First, the District Court found that we were entitled to protection under a Michigan state statute that provides immunity for drug manufacturers if the drug was approved by the FDA and its labeling is in compliance with FDA approval, unless the plaintiffs establish that the manufacturer intentionally withheld or misrepresented information to the FDA and the drug would not have been approved, or the FDA would have withdrawn approval, if the information had been accurately submitted. Second, the District Court found that we were entitled to the immunity afforded by the government contractor defense, which, under specified circumstances, extends the sovereign immunity of the United States to government contractors who manufacture a product for the government. Specifically, the government contractor defense applies when the government approves reasonably precise specifications, the product conforms to those specifications and the supplier warns the government about known dangers arising from the use of the product. The District Court found that we established each of those factors. We intend to rely on similar defenses with respect to the substantive claims asserted in our pending lawsuits. We also expect to rely on contractual indemnification provisions with the DoD and statutory protections to limit our potential liability resulting from the pending lawsuits.

*Insurance coverage litigation.* On December 26, 2006, we were named as a defendant in a lawsuit brought by Evanston Insurance Company in the U.S. District Court for the Western District of Michigan captioned *Evanston Insurance Company v. BioPort Corporation and Robert C. Myers*. Evanston issued a general liability policy to us in 2000, and we made a claim for coverage under that policy for defense and indemnity costs incurred as a result of the claims asserted in the BioThrax product liability litigation discussed above and the thimerosal litigation discussed below. In its complaint, Evanston asserts a number of purported bases for the court to void or reduce its obligation to defend or indemnify us, including a claim that we failed to disclose on our insurance application our alleged knowledge of incidents, conditions, circumstances, effects or suspected defects which may result in claims. Evanston seeks rescission or reformation of the policy to exclude a duty to defend or indemnify us for the claims asserted in the BioThrax product liability litigation and the thimerosal litigation. Evanston also seeks a refund of the approximately \$331,000 that it has reimbursed us for defense costs.

*MilVax litigation.* In 2003, six unidentified plaintiffs filed suit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against the U.S. government seeking to enjoin the Anthrax Vaccine Immunization Program administered under MilVax under which all military personnel were required to be vaccinated with BioThrax. In October 2004, the District Court enjoined the DoD from administering BioThrax to military personnel on a mandatory basis without their informed consent or a Presidential waiver. This ruling was based in part on the District Court's finding that the FDA, as part of its review of all biological products approved prior to 1972, had not properly issued a final order determining that BioThrax is safe and effective and not misbranded. In December 2005, the FDA issued a final order determining that BioThrax is safe and effective and not misbranded. In February 2006, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia, on appeal of the injunction by the government, ruled that the injunction had dissolved by its own terms as a result of the FDA's final order. The matter remains pending in the District Court, where subsequent proceedings have focused on whether the plaintiffs are entitled to recover attorneys' fees from the government.

In October 2006, the DoD announced that it was resuming a mandatory vaccination program for BioThrax for designated military personnel and emergency DoD civilian personnel and contractors. In December 2006, the same counsel who represented the plaintiffs in the 2003 litigation filed a new lawsuit against the government in the same federal court, on behalf of unnamed service members and the DoD civilian employees or contractors and purportedly on behalf of a class of similarly situated individuals. The suit contends on various grounds that the FDA's 2005 final order should be set aside as substantively and procedurally flawed and that BioThrax is not properly approved for use in the DoD's vaccination program. The plaintiffs seek a declaration that BioThrax is improperly licensed and is not approved for use against inhalation anthrax, an order vacating the FDA's 2005 final order, and an injunction prohibiting the DoD from using BioThrax in a mandatory vaccination program. In February 2007, the government moved to dismiss the case. Although we are not a party to either of the MilVax lawsuits, if the District Court were to grant all or part of the requested relief, the amount of future purchases of BioThrax by DoD could be affected.

*Other.* We are, and may in the future become, subject to other legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of our business in connection with the manufacture, distribution and use of our products and product candidates. For example, Emergent BioDefense Operations is a defendant, along with many other vaccine manufacturers, in a series of lawsuits that have been filed in various state and federal courts in the United States alleging that thimerosal, a mercury-containing preservative used in the manufacture of some vaccines, caused personal injuries, including brain damage, central nervous system damage and autism. No specific dollar amount of damages has been claimed. Emergent BioDefense Operations is currently a named defendant in 40 lawsuits pending in two jurisdictions: 3 in California and 37 in Illinois. The products at issue in these lawsuits are pediatric vaccines. Because we are not currently and have not historically been in the business of manufacturing or selling pediatric vaccines, we do not believe that we manufactured the pediatric vaccines at issue in the lawsuits.





Under a contractual obligation to the State of Michigan, we manufactured one batch of vaccine suitable for pediatric use. However, the contract required the State to use the vaccine solely for Michigan public health purposes. We no longer manufacture any products that contain thimerosal. We have submitted a request for coverage of the defense and indemnity costs incurred as a result of these thimerosal claims to our insurance carriers. The insurance carrier that issued our general liability policies during the relevant years is disputing coverage

**ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS**

**Risks Related to Our Dependence on U.S. Government Contracts**

*We have derived substantially all of our revenue from sales of our BioThrax anthrax vaccine, our only marketed product, under contracts with the U.S. Department of Defense and the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. If the DoD and HHS demand for BioThrax is reduced, our business, financial condition and operating results could be materially harmed.*

We have derived and expect for the foreseeable future to continue to derive substantially all of our revenue from sales of BioThrax, our FDA-approved anthrax vaccine and only marketed product. In 2006 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2007, we derived substantially all of our revenue from our BioThrax contracts with the DoD and HHS. The DoD has issued a sole source RFP for the manufacture, storage and delivery of BioThrax. On July 3, 2007, we submitted a response to this RFP. In October 2007, a White House Presidential Directive was issued that outlines the U.S. government's objective to enhance coordination and cooperation among federal agencies with respect to countermeasure procurement and stockpile management. Also in October 2007, the GAO issued a report that was critical of HHS for lacking an effective strategy to minimize waste in the SNS, citing concerns of large amounts of BioThrax that will become unusable each year due to shelf-life expiration. We believe that the operational requirements set forth by the DoD in the May 2007 RFP have not changed and that the DoD has a continued commitment to procure BioThrax, either directly or indirectly, for its active immunization program. It is not clear what effect, if any, the Presidential Directive or GAO Report will have on the procurement process. We may not be awarded a follow-on contract by the DoD, or we may be awarded a contract on less favorable terms than our prior contracts with the DoD.

Our existing and prior contracts with the DoD and HHS do not necessarily increase the likelihood that we will secure future comparable contracts with the U.S. government. The success of our business and our operating results for the foreseeable future are substantially dependent on the price per dose, the number of doses and the timing of deliveries for BioThrax sales to the U.S. government.

*Our business may be harmed as a result of the government contracting process, which is a competitive bidding process that involves risks not present in the commercial contracting process.*

We expect that a significant portion of the business that we will seek in the near future will be under government contracts or subcontracts awarded through competitive bidding. Competitive bidding for government contracts presents a number of risks that are not typically present in the commercial contracting process, including:

- the need to devote substantial time and attention of management and key employees to the preparation of bids and proposals for contracts that may not be awarded to us;
- the need to accurately estimate the resources and cost structure that will be required to perform any contract that we might be awarded; and
- the expenses that we might incur and the delays that we might suffer if our competitors protest or challenge contract awards made to us pursuant to competitive bidding, and the risk that any such protest or challenge could result in the resubmission of bids based on modified specifications, or in termination, reduction or modification of the awarded contract.

The U.S. government may choose to award future contracts for the supply of anthrax vaccines and other biodefense product candidates that we are developing to our competitors instead of to us. If we are unable to win particular contracts, we may not be able to operate in the market for products that are provided under those contracts for a number of years. For example, if any other company is successful in developing a next

generation anthrax vaccine, U.S. government customers may purchase only the next generation vaccine and not BioThrax.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

If we are unable to consistently win new contract awards over an extended period, or if we fail to anticipate all of the costs and resources that will be required to secure such contract awards, our growth strategy and our business, financial condition, and operating results could be materially adversely affected.

***Our U.S. government contracts for BioThrax require ongoing funding decisions by the government. The failure to fund one or more of these contracts could cause our financial condition and operating results to suffer materially.***

Our principal customer for BioThrax, our only marketed product, is the U.S. government. In addition, we anticipate that the U.S. government will be the principal customer for any other biodefense products that we successfully develop. Over its lifetime, a U.S. government program may be implemented through the award of many different individual contracts and subcontracts.

The funding of government programs is subject to Congressional appropriations, generally made on a fiscal year basis even though a program may continue for several years. For example, our prior DoD contracts for BioThrax were structured with one base year during which the DoD agreed to purchase a minimum number of doses of BioThrax with options for the DoD to purchase further quantities in future years. Any future contract that we enter into with the DoD may be structured in a similar manner.

Our government customers are subject to stringent budgetary constraints and political considerations. If levels of government expenditures and authorizations for biodefense decrease or shift to programs in areas where we do not offer products or are not developing product candidates, our business, revenues and operating results may suffer.

***The success of our business with the U.S. government depends on our compliance with additional regulations and obligations under our U.S. government contracts.***

Our business with the U.S. government is subject to specific procurement regulations and a variety of other legal compliance obligations. These obligations include those related to:

- procurement integrity;
- export control;
- government security regulations;
- employment practices;
- protection of the environment;
- accuracy of records and the recording of costs; and
- foreign corrupt practices.

In addition, before awarding us any future contracts, the U.S. government could require that we respond satisfactorily to a request to substantiate our commercial viability and industrial capabilities. Compliance with these obligations increases our performance and compliance costs.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Failure to comply with these regulations and requirements could lead to suspension or debarment, for cause, from government contracting or subcontracting for a period of time. The termination of a government contract or relationship as a result of our failure to satisfy any of these obligations would have a negative impact on our operations and harm our reputation and ability to procure other government contracts in the future.

On September 25, 2007 we entered into an agreement with HHS to supply 18.75 million doses of BioThrax to HHS for placement into the SNS for a firm fixed price of \$400 million. If we receive FDA approval of an application to extend the shelf life of BioThrax from three years to four years, HHS has agreed to adjust the price per dose under the agreement, with an aggregate value of such price increase of approximately \$34 million. The regulatory approval process is complex and uncertain, and there is no guarantee that we will receive approval of four-year expiry dating. If we do not receive FDA approval of four-year expiry dating during the term of the agreement, we will be unable to earn the \$34 million.

*The pricing under our fixed price government contracts is based on estimates of the time, resources and expenses required to deliver the specified doses of BioThrax. If our estimates are not accurate, we may not be able to earn an adequate return under these contracts.*

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Our existing and prior contracts for the supply of BioThrax with the DoD and HHS have been fixed price contracts. We expect that our future contracts with the U.S. government for biodefense product candidates that we successfully develop also may be fixed price contracts. Under a fixed price contract, we are required to deliver our products at a fixed price regardless of the actual costs we incur and absorb any costs in excess of the fixed price. Estimating costs that are related to performance in accordance with contract specifications is difficult. Our failure to anticipate technical problems, estimate costs accurately or control costs during performance of a fixed price contract could reduce the profitability of a fixed price contract or cause a loss.

### *Unfavorable provisions in government contracts may harm our business, financial condition and operating results.*

Government contracts customarily contain provisions that give the government substantial rights and remedies, many of which are not typically found in commercial contracts, including provisions that allow the government to:

- terminate existing contracts, in whole or in part, for any reason or no reason;
- unilaterally reduce or modify contracts or subcontracts;
- cancel multi-year contracts and related orders if funds for contract performance for any subsequent year become unavailable;
- decline to exercise an option to renew a contract;
- exercise an option to purchase only the minimum amount specified in a contract;
- decline to exercise an option to purchase the maximum amount specified in a contract;
- claim rights in products, including intellectual property, developed under the contract;
- take actions that result in a longer development timeline than expected;
- direct the course of a development program in a manner not chosen by the government contractor;
- suspend or debar the contractor from doing business with the government or a specific government agency;
- pursue criminal or civil remedies under the False Claims Act and False Statements Act; and
- control or prohibit the export of products.

Generally, government contracts, including our U.S. government contracts for BioThrax, contain provisions permitting unilateral termination or modification, in whole or in part, at the government's convenience. Under general principles of government contracting law, if the government terminates a contract for convenience, the terminated company may recover only its incurred or committed costs, settlement expenses and profit on work completed prior to the termination. If the government terminates a contract for default, the defaulting company is entitled to recover costs incurred and associated profits on accepted items only and may be liable for excess costs incurred by the government in procuring undelivered items from another source.

One or more of our government contracts could be terminated under these circumstances. In addition, if the U.S. government decides to withdraw military personnel from high threat areas, including Iraq, or otherwise determines that it will decrease the number of military personnel to be immunized with BioThrax, the DoD's demand for BioThrax may be reduced substantially. In addition, any follow-on contract with the DoD may not provide sufficient indemnification, and the DoD may require us to accept a greater risk of loss for the product manufacture, storage and delivery. Some government contracts grant the government the right to use, for or on behalf of the U.S. government, any technologies developed by the contractor under the government contract. If we were to develop technology under a contract with such a provision, we might not be able to prohibit third parties, including our competitors, from using that technology in providing products and services to the government.

### *Ongoing legal proceedings or any future similar lawsuits could limit future purchases of BioThrax by the U.S. government.*

The results of ongoing or future legal proceedings could reduce demand for BioThrax by the U.S. government. For example, in 2003, a group of unnamed military personnel filed a lawsuit seeking to enjoin the DoD from administering BioThrax on a mandatory basis without informed consent of the recipient or a Presidential waiver, and, in 2004, a federal court issued the requested injunction. In 2005, the FDA issued an order affirming the BioThrax license, and, as a result, an appellate court ruled in February 2006 that the injunction was dissolved. In October 2006, the DoD announced that it was resuming a mandatory vaccination program for BioThrax for designated military personnel and emergency DoD civilian personnel and contractors.

In December 2006, the same counsel who brought the prior lawsuit filed a new lawsuit contending that the FDA's 2005 final order should be set aside and that BioThrax is not properly approved for use in the DoD's vaccination program. In February 2007, the government moved to dismiss the case. Although we are not a party to the lawsuits challenging DoD's mandatory use of the vaccine, if a court were to again enjoin the DoD's use of BioThrax on a mandatory basis, the amount of future purchases of BioThrax could be affected. Furthermore, contractual indemnification provisions and statutory liability protections may not fully protect us from all related liabilities, and statutory liability protections could be revoked or amended to reduce the scope of liability protection. In addition, lawsuits brought directly against us by third parties, even if not successful, require us to spend time and money defending the related litigation.

### **Risks Related to Our Financial Position and Need for Additional Financing**

*We may not maintain profitability in future periods or on a consistent basis.*

We commenced operations in 1998, and the FDA approved the manufacture of BioThrax at our renovated facilities in Lansing in December 2001. Although we were profitable for each of the last five fiscal years, we have not been profitable for every quarter during that time. Our profitability is substantially dependent on revenues from BioThrax product sales. Revenues from BioThrax product sales have fluctuated significantly in recent quarters, and we expect that they will continue to fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter based on the timing of our fulfilling orders from the U.S. government. We may not be able to achieve consistent profitability on a quarterly basis or sustain or increase profitability on an annual basis.

*Our indebtedness may limit cash flow available to invest in the ongoing needs of our business.*

As of September 30, 2007, we had \$47.0 million principal amount of debt outstanding and remaining borrowing availability of \$15.0 million under our revolving lines of credit. We may seek to raise substantial external debt financing to provide additional financial flexibility. Our leverage could have significant adverse consequences, including:

requiring us to dedicate a substantial portion of any cash flow from operations to the payment of interest on, and principal of, our debt, which will reduce the amounts available to fund working capital, capital expenditures, product development efforts and other general corporate purposes;

increasing the amount of interest that we have to pay on debt with variable interest rates if market rates of interest increase;

increasing our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions;

limiting our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the industry in which we compete; and

placing us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt.

We may not have sufficient funds or may be unable to arrange for additional financing to pay the amounts due under our existing debt. In addition, a failure to comply with the covenants under our existing debt instruments could result in an event of default under those instruments. In the event of an acceleration of amounts due under our debt instruments as a result of an event of default, we may not have sufficient funds or may be unable to arrange for additional financing to repay our indebtedness or to make any accelerated payments, and the lenders could seek to enforce security interests in the collateral securing such indebtedness. The covenants under our existing debt instruments and the pledge of our existing assets as collateral limit our ability to obtain additional debt financing.

*We expect to require additional funding and may be unable to raise capital when needed, which would harm our business, financial condition and operating results.*

We expect our development expenses to increase in connection with our ongoing activities, particularly as we conduct additional and later stage clinical trials for our product candidates. We also expect our commercialization expenses to increase in the future as we seek to broaden the market for BioThrax and if we receive marketing approval for additional products. We also are committed to substantial capital expenditures in connection with our facility expansion in Lansing and may undertake additional facility projects in the future.



## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

As of September 30, 2007, we had \$24.3 million of cash and cash equivalents. Our future capital requirements will depend on many factors, including:

- the level and timing of BioThrax product sales and cost of product sales;
- the timing of, and the costs involved in, constructing our new manufacturing facility in Lansing and the build out of our manufacturing facilities in Frederick;
- the scope, progress, results and costs of our preclinical and clinical development activities;
- the costs, timing and outcome of regulatory review of our product candidates;
- the number of, and development requirements for, other product candidates that we may pursue;
- the costs of commercialization activities, including product marketing, sales and distribution;
- the costs involved in preparing, filing, prosecuting, maintaining and enforcing patent claims and other patent-related costs, including litigation costs and the results of such litigation;
- the extent to which we acquire or invest in businesses, products and technologies;
- our ability to obtain development funding from government entities and non-government and philanthropic organizations; and
- our ability to establish and maintain collaborations, such as our collaboration with Sanofi Pasteur.

Our committed external sources of funds consist of the remaining borrowing availability under our revolving line of credit with Fifth Third Bank, development funding under our collaboration agreement with Sanofi Pasteur, funding from the NIAID and BARDA, including for animal efficacy studies of our anthrax immune globulin candidate, and funding from the Wellcome Trust for our subsequent Phase II clinical trial of our typhoid vaccine candidate. To the extent our capital resources are insufficient to meet our future capital requirements, we will need to finance our cash needs through public or private equity offerings, debt financings or corporate collaboration and licensing arrangements, which we may not be able to obtain when needed or on attractive terms, which would force us to delay, reduce the scope of or eliminate our research and development programs or reduce our planned commercialization efforts.

Our ability to borrow additional amounts under our loan agreements is subject to our satisfaction of specified conditions. Additional equity or debt financing, grants, or corporate collaboration and licensing arrangements may not be available on acceptable terms, if at all. If we raise additional funds by issuing equity securities, our stockholders may experience dilution. Debt financing, if available, may involve agreements that include covenants limiting or restricting our ability to take specific actions, such as incurring additional debt, making capital expenditures or declaring dividends.

Any debt financing or additional equity that we raise may contain terms, such as liquidation and other preferences that are not favorable to us or our stockholders. If we raise additional funds through collaboration and licensing arrangements with third parties, it may be necessary to relinquish valuable rights to our technologies or product candidates or grant licenses on terms that may not be favorable to us.

### **Risks Related to Manufacturing and Manufacturing Facilities**

*We have initiated a manufacturing facility expansion program. Delays in completing and receiving regulatory approvals for these manufacturing facility projects could limit our potential revenues and growth.*

We are spending significant amounts for the installation, validation and qualification activities for our new 50,000 square foot manufacturing facility on our Lansing campus, which has been designed and constructed to enable us to manufacture BioThrax on a large scale for our existing

and potential future customers. We expect this new facility to accommodate large scale commercial manufacturing of multiple vaccine products, subject to complying with appropriate change-over procedures.

We also own two buildings in Frederick that are available to address our future manufacturing requirements and have initiated initial engineering design and preliminary utility build out for these facilities. The completion of the Lansing facility and, if we proceed, the build out of the Frederick facilities, will involve substantial expenditures and likely require external sources of funds. Any delays in the installation, validation and qualification activities may adversely affect our ability to manufacture our commercial product candidates for clinical trials or commercial sale.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

We anticipate that we will initiate large scale manufacturing of BioThrax at the new Lansing facility in 2008. Our plans assume that the FDA will not require us to complete a human bridging trial demonstrating that BioThrax manufactured at our new facility is bioequivalent to BioThrax manufactured at our existing facility. We currently expect to rely on non-clinical studies for these purposes. However, the FDA has not approved our plan to rely on non-clinical studies without conducting a human bridging trial and may not do so. If the FDA requires us to conduct a human bridging trial, the initiation of large scale manufacturing of BioThrax at our new Lansing facility will be delayed and we will incur additional unanticipated costs.

Constructing and preparing a facility for commercial vaccine manufacturing is a significant project. For example, constructing the new Lansing facility with increased manufacturing capacity requires that we scale-up both fermentation and downstream processing compared to levels at our existing production facility. These projects may result in unanticipated delays and cost more than expected due to a number of factors, including regulatory requirements. The FDA must approve our new manufacturing facilities before they can be used to commercially manufacture our products. For example, we are required to show that the product we manufacture in our new Lansing facility is comparable to BioThrax manufactured at our existing facility, which may require additional clinical studies. The costs and time required to comply with the FDA's current Good Manufacturing Practice, or cGMP, regulations, or similar regulatory requirements for sales of our products outside the United States, may be significant. If installation, validation and qualification activities of our new facility in Lansing are delayed, we may not be able to manufacture sufficient quantities of BioThrax to allow us to increase sales of BioThrax to the U.S. government and other customers, which would limit our opportunities for growth. Cost overruns associated with constructing either our Lansing or Frederick facilities could require us to raise additional funds from external sources. We may not be able to do so on favorable terms or at all.

***BioThrax and our immunobiotic product candidates are complex to manufacture, especially on a large scale commercial basis, which could cause us to delay product launches or experience shortages of products.***

BioThrax and all our product candidates are biologics. Manufacturing biologic products, especially in large quantities, is complex. The products must be made consistently and in compliance with a clearly defined manufacturing process. Accordingly, it is essential to be able to validate and control the manufacturing process to assure that it is reproducible. Slight deviations anywhere in the manufacturing process, including obtaining materials, filling, labeling, packaging, storage and shipping and quality control and testing, some of which we experience from time to time, may result in lot failures, delay in the release of lots, product recalls or spoilage. We will not be able to sell any lots that fail to satisfy release testing specifications.

FDA approval is required for the release of each lot. We must provide the FDA with the results of potency testing before lots are released for sale. We have one mechanism for conducting this potency testing that is reliant on a unique animal strain for which we have no redundancy. In developing redundancy, we may face significant regulatory hurdles. In the event of a problem with this strain, if we have not developed redundancy, we would not be able to provide the FDA with required potency testing.

In addition, BioThrax must be maintained at a prescribed temperature range during shipping, and variations from that temperature range could result in loss of product and could adversely affect profitability. Delays, lot failures, and shipping deviations or spoilage could cause us to fail to satisfy customer orders or contractual commitments, lead to a termination of one or more of our contracts, lead to delays in our clinical trials or result in litigation or regulatory action against us, any of which could be costly to us and otherwise harm our business.

***Disruption at, damage to or destruction of our manufacturing facilities could impede our ability to manufacture BioThrax, which would harm our business, financial condition and operating results.***

We currently rely on our manufacturing facilities at a single location in Lansing for the production of BioThrax. Any interruption in manufacturing operations at this location could result in our inability to satisfy the product demands of our customers. A number of factors could cause interruptions, including:

equipment malfunctions or failures;  
technology malfunctions;  
work stoppages or slow downs;  
protests, including by animal rights activists;  
damage to or destruction of the facility;  
regional power shortages; or  
product tampering.

In addition, providers of bioterrorism countermeasures could be subject to an increased risk of terrorist activities. For example, the U.S. government has designated our Lansing facility as a facility requiring additional security to protect against potential terrorist threats to the facility. Any disruption that impedes our ability to manufacture and ship BioThrax in a timely manner could reduce our revenues and materially harm our business, financial condition and operating results.

***Our business may be harmed if we do not adequately forecast customer demand.***

The timing and amount of customer demand is difficult to predict. We may not be able to scale-up our production quickly enough to fill any new customer orders on a timely basis. This could cause us to lose new business and possibly existing business. For example, under our most recent BioThrax supply contract with the DoD, the DoD was obligated to acquire a minimum number of doses of BioThrax and had the right to acquire up to a maximum number of doses. Any future contract with the DoD may contain a similar provision. If in connection with such a contract, the DoD elects to purchase the maximum number of doses of BioThrax under the contract, we may not have sufficient available production capacity at our existing manufacturing facility in Lansing to increase sales of BioThrax to customers other than the U.S. government. In addition, we may not be able to scale-up manufacturing processes for our product candidates to allow production of commercial quantities at a reasonable cost or at all. Furthermore, if we overestimate customer demand, we could incur significant unrecoverable costs from creating excess capacity.

For example, if we do not maintain and increase sales of BioThrax to the U.S. government and other customers, we may not be able to generate an adequate return on the significant amounts that we have spent on construction and are spending for installation, validation and qualification activities for our new manufacturing facility in Lansing. In addition, if we do not successfully develop and commercialize any of our product candidates, we may never require the production capacity that we expect to have available at our Frederick site.

***If third parties do not manufacture our product candidates or products in sufficient quantities and at an acceptable cost or in compliance with regulatory requirements and specifications, the development and commercialization of our product candidates could be delayed, prevented or impaired.***

We currently rely on third parties to manufacture the supplies of our immunobiotic product candidates that we require for preclinical and clinical development, including our immune globulin product candidates, typhoid vaccine, hepatitis B therapeutic vaccine, and Group B streptococcus vaccine candidates. Any significant delay in obtaining adequate supplies of our product candidates could adversely affect our ability to develop or commercialize these product candidates. Although we recently commissioned a new pilot plant manufacturing facility on our Lansing campus and plan to construct a pilot plant in Maryland for production of preclinical and clinical supplies of our product candidates, we expect that we will continue to use third parties for these purposes.

In addition, we expect that we will rely on third parties for a portion of the manufacturing process for commercial supplies of product candidates that we successfully develop, including fermentation for some of our vaccine product candidates, plasma fractionation and purification for our immune globulin product candidates and contract fill and finish operations. If our contract manufacturers are unable to scale-up production to generate enough materials for commercial launch, the success of those products may be jeopardized. Our current and anticipated future dependence upon others for the manufacture of our product candidates may adversely affect our ability to develop product candidates and commercialize any products that receive regulatory approval on a timely and competitive basis.

Third party manufacturers under short-term supply agreements are not obligated to accept any purchase orders we may submit. If any third party terminates its agreement with us, based on its own business priorities, or otherwise fails to fulfill our purchase orders, we would need to rely on alternative sources or develop our own manufacturing capabilities to satisfy our requirements.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

If alternative suppliers are not available or are delayed in fulfilling our requirements, or if we are unsuccessful in developing our own manufacturing capabilities, we may not be able to obtain adequate supplies of our product candidates on a timely basis. A change of manufacturers may require review from the FDA and satisfaction of comparable foreign requirements. This review may be costly and time consuming. There are a limited number of manufacturers that operate under the FDA's cGMP requirements and that are both capable of manufacturing for us and willing to do so. Our only current long-term manufacturing agreements are our agreement with Talecris Biotherapeutics, Inc., for fractionation and purification of plasma for our anthrax immune globulin candidate, and our collaboration with HPA, under which HPA provides specialized manufacturing capabilities for our recombinant bivalent botulinum vaccine candidate and the bivalent botulinum toxoid vaccine that we plan to use as the basis for our botulinum immune globulin candidate.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

We currently rely on third parties for regulatory compliance and quality assurance with respect to the supplies of our product candidates that they produce for us. We also will rely for these purposes on any third party that we use for production of commercial supplies of product candidates that we successfully develop. Manufacturers are subject to ongoing, periodic, unannounced inspection by the FDA and corresponding state and foreign agencies or their designees to ensure strict compliance with cGMP regulations and other governmental regulations and corresponding foreign standards.

We cannot be certain that our present or future manufacturers will be able to comply with cGMP regulations and other FDA regulatory requirements or similar regulatory requirements outside the United States. We do not control compliance by manufacturers with these regulations and standards. If we or these third parties fail to comply with applicable regulations, sanctions could be imposed on us, which could significantly and adversely affect supplies of our product candidates. The sanctions that might be imposed include:

- finances, injunctions and civil penalties;
- refusal by regulatory authorities to grant marketing approval of our product candidates;
- delays, suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, including license revocation;
- seizures or recalls of product candidates or products;
- operating restrictions; and
- criminal prosecutions.

If as a result of regulatory requirements or otherwise we or third parties are unable to manufacture our product candidates at an acceptable cost, our product candidates may not be commercially viable.

***Our use of hazardous materials, chemicals, bacteria and viruses requires us to comply with regulatory requirements and exposes us to significant potential liabilities.***

Our development and manufacturing processes involve the use of hazardous materials, including chemicals, bacteria, viruses and radioactive materials, and produce waste products. Accordingly, we are subject to federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations governing the use, manufacture, distribution, storage, handling, disposal and recordkeeping of these materials. In addition to complying with environmental and occupational health and safety laws, we must comply with special regulations relating to biosafety administered by the CDC, HHS and the DoD.

The Public Health Security and Bioterrorism Preparedness and Response Act and the Agricultural Protection Act require us to register with the CDC and the Department of Agriculture our possession, use or transfer of select biological agents or toxins that could pose a threat to public health and safety, to animal or plant health or to animal or plant products. This legislation requires increased safeguards and security measures for these select agents and toxins, including controlled access and the screening of entities and personnel, and establishes a comprehensive national database of registered entities.

We also are subject to export control regulations governing the export of BioThrax and technology and materials used to develop and manufacture BioThrax and our product candidates. If we fail to comply with environmental, occupational health and safety, biosafety and export control laws, we could be held liable for fines, penalties and damages that result, and any such liability could exceed our assets and resources. In addition, we could be required to cease immediately all use of a select agent or toxin, and we could be prohibited from exporting our products, technology and materials. Our general liability and excess insurance policies provide for coverage up to annual aggregate limits of \$12 million, with coverage of \$1 million per occurrence and \$2 million in the aggregate for general liability and \$10 million per occurrence and in the aggregate for excess liability.

The general liability policy currently has a \$15,000 per occurrence deductible. Both policies exclude coverage for liabilities relating to the release of pollutants. We do not currently hold insurance policies expressly providing for coverage relating to our use of hazardous materials other than storage tank liability insurance for our Lansing facility with a \$1 million annual aggregate limit and a \$10,000 per claim deductible. The insurance that we currently hold may not be adequate to cover all liabilities relating to accidental contamination or injury as a result of pollution conditions or other extraordinary or unanticipated events.

*If the company on whom we rely for filling BioThrax vials is unable to perform these services for us, our business may suffer.*



We have outsourced the operation for filling BioThrax into vials to a single company, Hollister-Stier Laboratories LLC. Our contract with Hollister-Stier expires on December 31, 2010. We have not established internal redundancy for our filling functions and currently have no substitute provider that can handle our filling needs. If Hollister-Stier is unable to perform filling services for us, we would need to identify and engage an alternative filling company or develop our own filling capabilities. Any new contract filling company or filling capabilities that we acquire or develop will need to obtain FDA approval for filling BioThrax at its facilities. Identifying and engaging a new contract filling company or developing our own filling capabilities and obtaining FDA approval could involve significant cost and delay. As a result, we might not be able to deliver BioThrax orders on a timely basis and our revenues could decrease.

### **Risks Related to Product Development**

*Our business depends significantly on our success in completing development and commercializing product candidates that are still under development. If we are unable to commercialize these product candidates, or experience significant delays in doing so, our business will be materially harmed.*

We have invested a significant portion of our efforts and financial resources in the development of our immunobiotic product candidates. In addition to BioThrax product sales, our ability to generate near term revenue is particularly dependent on the success of our anthrax immune globulin candidate. The commercial success of our product candidates will depend on many factors, including:

- successful development, formulation and cGMP scale-up of biological manufacturing that meets FDA requirements;
- successful development of animal models by the U.S. government;
- successful completion of non-clinical development, including in approved animal models;
- successful completion of clinical trials;
- receipt of marketing approvals from the FDA and similar foreign regulatory authorities;
- a determination by the Secretary of HHS that our biodefense product candidates should be purchased for the SNS prior to FDA approval;
- establishing commercial manufacturing processes of our own or arrangements with contract manufacturers;
- manufacturing stable commercial supplies of product candidates, including materials based on recombinant technology;
- launching commercial sales of the product, whether alone or in collaboration with others; and
- acceptance of the product by potential government customers, physicians, patients, healthcare payors and others in the medical community.

We expect to rely on FDA regulations known as the animal rule to obtain approval for our biodefense product candidates. The animal rule permits the use of animal efficacy studies together with human clinical safety and immunogenicity trials to support an application for marketing approval. These regulations are relatively new, and we have limited experience in the application of these rules to the product candidates that we are developing. It is possible that results from these animal efficacy studies may not be predictive of the actual efficacy of our immunobiotic product candidates in humans. If we are not successful in completing the development and commercialization of our immunobiotic product candidates, or if we are significantly delayed in doing so, our business will be materially harmed.

*We will not be able to commercialize our product candidates if our preclinical development efforts are not successful, our clinical trials do not demonstrate safety or our clinical trials or animal studies do not demonstrate efficacy.*

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Before obtaining regulatory approval for the sale of our product candidates, we must conduct extensive preclinical development, clinical trials to demonstrate the safety of our product candidates and clinical or animal trials to demonstrate the efficacy of our product candidates. Preclinical and clinical testing is expensive, difficult to design and implement, can take many years to complete and is uncertain as to outcome. Success in preclinical testing and early clinical trials does not ensure that later clinical trials or animal efficacy studies will be successful, and interim results of a clinical trial or animal efficacy study do not necessarily predict final results.

A failure of one or more of our clinical trials or animal efficacy studies can occur at any stage of testing. We may experience numerous unforeseen events during, or as a result of, preclinical testing and the clinical trial or animal efficacy study process that could delay or prevent our ability to receive regulatory approval or commercialize our product candidates, including:

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

regulators or institutional review boards may not authorize us to commence a clinical trial or conduct a clinical trial at a prospective trial site;

we may decide, or regulators may require us, to conduct additional preclinical testing or clinical trials, or we may abandon projects that we expect to be promising, if our preclinical tests, clinical trials or animal efficacy studies produce negative or inconclusive results;

we might have to suspend or terminate our clinical trials if the participants are being exposed to unacceptable health risks;

regulators or institutional review boards may require that we hold, suspend or terminate clinical development for various reasons, including noncompliance with regulatory requirements;

the cost of our clinical trials could escalate and become cost prohibitive;

any regulatory approval we ultimately obtain may be limited or subject to restrictions or post-approval commitments that render the product not commercially viable;

we may not be successful in recruiting a sufficient number of qualifying subjects for our clinical trials; and

the effects of our product candidates may not be the desired effects or may include undesirable side effects or the product candidates may have other unexpected characteristics.

In addition, because some of our current and future vaccine candidates contain live attenuated viruses, our testing of these vaccine candidates is subject to additional risk. For example, there have been reports of serious adverse events following administration of live vaccine products in clinical trials conducted by other vaccine developers. Also, for some of our current and future vaccine candidates, we expect to conduct clinical trials in chronic carriers of the disease that our product candidate seeks to prevent. There have been reports of disease flares in chronic carriers following administration of live vaccine products.

If we are required to conduct additional clinical trials or other testing of our product candidates beyond those that we currently contemplate, if we are unable to successfully complete our clinical trials or other testing or if the results of these trials or tests are not positive, we may:

be delayed in obtaining marketing approval for our product candidates;

not be able to obtain marketing approval; or

obtain approval for indications that are not as broad as intended.

In addition, our development plan for BioThrax as a post-exposure prophylaxis for anthrax infection provides for a non-human primate efficacy study. However, the timing of our non-human primate efficacy study depends upon the successful development of a non-human primate model by the NIAID. If the NIAID does not successfully develop a non-human primate model, our development plans for BioThrax as a post-exposure prophylaxis for anthrax infection will be delayed, possibly significantly.

Our product development costs will also increase if we experience delays in testing or approvals. Significant clinical trial delays also could allow our competitors to bring products to market before we do and impair our ability to commercialize our products or product candidates.

Under the Project BioShield Act, the Secretary of HHS can contract to purchase countermeasures for the SNS prior to FDA approval of the countermeasure in specified circumstances. Project BioShield also allows the Secretary of HHS to authorize the emergency use of medical products that have not yet been approved by the FDA. However, our product candidates may not be selected by the Secretary under this authority. Moreover, this authority could result in increased competition for our products and product candidates.

### **Risks Related to Commercialization**

*If we fail to achieve significant sales of BioThrax to customers in addition to the U.S. government, our opportunities for growth could be harmed.*

An element of our business strategy is to establish a market for sales of BioThrax to customers in addition to the U.S. government. These potential customers include the U.S. Postal Service, foreign governments, state and local governments, which we expect will be interested in BioThrax to protect first responders and emergency personnel, such as police, fire and emergency medical personnel, multinational companies, non-governmental organizations and hospitals.

40

The market for sales of BioThrax to customers other than the U.S. government is new and undeveloped, and we may not be successful in generating meaningful sales of BioThrax to these potential customers. To date, we have made only minimal sales to these customers. In particular, we have supplied small amounts of BioThrax directly to several foreign governments. In 2006, our sales of BioThrax to customers other than the U.S. government represented less than one percent of our revenue. If we fail to significantly increase our sales of BioThrax to these customers, our business and opportunities for growth could be materially harmed.

Government regulations and the terms of our U.S. government contracts may make it difficult for us to achieve significant sales of BioThrax to customers other than the U.S. government. For example, we are subject to export control laws imposed by the U.S. government. Although there are currently only limited restrictions on the export of BioThrax, the U.S. government may decide, particularly in the current environment of elevated concerns about global terrorism, to increase the scope of export prohibitions. These controls could limit our sales of BioThrax to foreign governments and other foreign customers. In addition, the DoD has contractual and statutory rights that could interfere with sales of BioThrax to customers other than the U.S. government. For example, our efforts to develop domestic commercial and international sales may be impeded by the DoD's right under the Defense Production Act to require us to deliver more doses than are otherwise specified in our contract with the DoD.

If the DoD required delivery of these additional doses, it could affect our production schedule and deplete BioThrax supplies that would otherwise be available for commercial sales. In addition, the DoD could either sell BioThrax directly to foreign governments at a lower price than we may offer or donate BioThrax to foreign governments under the DoD's Foreign Military Sales program.

Our ability to meet any potential increased demand that develops for sales of BioThrax to customers other than the U.S. government depends on our available production capacity. We use substantially all of our current production capacity at our facility in Lansing to manufacture BioThrax for sale to U.S. government customers.

Our plan is to initiate large scale manufacturing of BioThrax at our new manufacturing facility in 2008. If installation, validation and qualification activities for our new facility in Lansing are delayed, we may not be able to manufacture sufficient quantities of BioThrax to allow us to increase sales of BioThrax to customers other than the U.S. government which would limit our opportunities for growth.

***The commercial success of BioThrax and any products that we may develop will depend upon the degree of market acceptance by the government, physicians, patients, healthcare payors and others in the medical community.***

Any products that we bring to the market may not gain or maintain market acceptance by potential government customers, physicians, patients, healthcare payors and others in the medical community. In particular, our biodefense immunobiotic products and product candidates are subject to the product criteria that may be specified by potential U.S. government customers. The product specifications in any government procurement request may prohibit or preclude us from participating in the government program if our products or product candidates do not satisfy the stated criteria.

In addition, notwithstanding favorable findings regarding the safety and efficacy of BioThrax by the FDA in its final ruling in December 2005, the U.S. government Accountability Office, or GAO, reiterated concerns regarding BioThrax in Congressional testimony in May 2006 that it had previously identified beginning in 1999. These concerns include the need for a six-dose regimen and annual booster doses, questions about the long-term and short-term safety of the vaccine, including how safety is affected by gender differences, and uncertainty about the vaccine's efficacy.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

In another report, issued in October 2007, the GAO questioned whether both HHS and DoD should purchase BioThrax directly from the Company and suggested that DoD acquire BioThrax from the SNS rather than from the manufacturer. DoD may decide that it will use BioThrax from the SNS rather than entering into separate procurement contracts with the Company. Such determination could result in a lower volume of overall BioThrax sales to the U.S. government.

The use of vaccines carries a risk of adverse health effects. The adverse reactions that have been associated with the administration of BioThrax are similar to those observed following the administration of other adult vaccines and include local reactions, such as redness, swelling and limitation of motion in the inoculated arm, and systemic reactions, such as headache, fever, chills, nausea and general body aches.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

In addition, some serious adverse events have been reported to the vaccine adverse event reporting system database maintained by the CDC and the FDA with respect to BioThrax. The report of any such adverse event to the vaccine adverse event reporting system database is not proof that the vaccine caused such event. These serious adverse events, including diabetes, heart attacks, autoimmune diseases, including Guillian Barre syndrome, lupus and multiple sclerosis, lymphoma and death, have not been causally linked to the administration of BioThrax.

If any products that we develop do not achieve an adequate level of acceptance, we may not generate material revenues with respect to these products. The degree of market acceptance of our product candidates, if approved for commercial sale, will depend on a number of factors, including:

- the prevalence and severity of any side effects;
- the efficacy and potential advantages over alternative treatments;
- the ability to offer our product candidates for sale at competitive prices;
- the relative convenience and ease of administration;
- the willingness of the target patient population to try new products and of physicians to prescribe these products;
- the strength of marketing and distribution support; and
- the sufficiency of coverage or reimbursement by third parties.

***Political or social factors, including related litigation, may delay or impair our ability to market BioThrax and our biodefense product candidates and may require us to spend time and money to address these issues.***

Products developed to treat diseases caused by or to combat the threat of bioterrorism will be subject to changing political and social environments. The political and social responses to bioterrorism have been highly charged and unpredictable. Political or social pressures or changes in the perception of the risk that military personnel or civilians could be exposed to biological agents as weapons of bioterrorism may delay or cause resistance to bringing our products to market or limit pricing or purchases of our products, which would harm our business.

In addition, substantial delays or cancellations of purchases could result from protests or challenges from third parties. Furthermore, lawsuits brought against us by third parties or activists, even if not successful, require us to spend time and money defending the related litigation. The need to address political and social issues may divert our management's time and attention from other business concerns. For example, between 2001 and 2004, members of the military and various activist groups who opposed mandatory inoculation with BioThrax petitioned the FDA and a federal court to revoke the license for BioThrax and to terminate the DoD program for the mandatory administration of BioThrax to military personnel. Although the DoD prevailed in the challenge to its mandatory vaccination program, the actions of these groups created negative publicity about BioThrax. Lawsuits or publicity campaigns could limit the demand for BioThrax and our biodefense product candidates and harm our future business.

***We have a small marketing and sales group. If we are unable to expand our sales and marketing capabilities or enter into sales and marketing agreements with third parties, we may be unable to generate product sales revenue from sales to customers other than the U.S. government.***

To achieve commercial success for any approved product, we must either develop a sales and marketing organization or outsource these functions to third parties. We currently market and sell BioThrax directly to the DoD and HHS through a small, targeted marketing and sales group. We plan to continue to do so and expect that we will use a similar approach for sales to the U.S. government of any other biodefense product candidates that we successfully develop. However, to increase our sales of BioThrax to state and local governments and foreign

governments and create an infrastructure for future sales of other biodefense products to these customers, we plan to expand our sales and marketing organization, which will be expensive and time consuming.

We may not be able to attract, hire, train and retain qualified sales and marketing personnel to build a significant or effective marketing and sales force for sales of biodefense product candidates to customers other than the U.S. government or for sales of our commercial product candidates. If we are not successful in our efforts to expand our internal sales and marketing capability, our ability to independently market and sell BioThrax and any other product candidates that we successfully develop will be impaired. If the commercial launch of a product candidate for which we recruit a sales force and establish marketing capabilities is delayed as a result of FDA requirements or other reasons, we would incur related expenses too early relative to the product launch. This may be costly, and our investment would be lost if we cannot retain our sales and marketing personnel.



*We face substantial competition, which may result in others developing or commercializing products before or more successfully than we do.*

The development and commercialization of new immunobiotics is highly competitive. We face competition with respect to BioThrax, our current product candidates and any products we may seek to develop or commercialize in the future from major pharmaceutical companies and biotechnology companies worldwide. Potential competitors also include academic institutions, government agencies, and other public and private research institutions that conduct research, seek patent protection and establish collaborative arrangements for research, development, manufacturing and commercialization.

Our competitors may develop products that are safer, more effective, have fewer side effects, are more convenient or are less costly than any products that we may develop. Our competitors may also obtain FDA or other regulatory approval for their products more rapidly than we may obtain approval for ours. We believe that our most significant competitors in the area of immunobiotics are a number of pharmaceutical companies that have vaccine programs, including GlaxoSmithKline, Sanofi-Aventis, Wyeth, Merck and Novartis, as well as smaller more focused companies engaged in immunobiotic development, such as Cangene, Human Genome Sciences, Acambis, Avant Immunotherapeutics, Dor BioPharma, Dynport Vaccine Corporation, Elusys, Bavarian Nordic, Pharmathene and Avecia.

Any immunobiotic product candidate that we successfully develop and commercialize is likely to compete with currently marketed products, such as vaccines and therapeutics, including antibiotics, and with other product candidates that are in development for the same indications. In many cases, the currently marketed products have well known brand names, are distributed by large pharmaceutical companies with substantial resources and have achieved widespread acceptance among physicians and patients. In addition, we are aware of product candidates of third parties that are in development, which, if approved, would compete against product candidates for which we intend to seek marketing approval.

Although BioThrax is the only anthrax vaccine approved by the FDA for the prevention of anthrax infection, we face significant competition for the supply of this vaccine to the U.S. government. We also face significant competition for our biodefense immunobiotic product candidates.

HHS has awarded SNS supply contracts to Cangene for an anthrax immune globulin and Human Genome Sciences for a monoclonal antibody to anthrax as a post-exposure therapeutic for anthrax infection. HHS has advised us that it is supplying Cangene with BioThrax doses that we delivered to HHS for placement into the SNS in order that Cangene can immunize donors and obtain plasma for its anthrax immune globulin product candidate. Several companies have botulinum vaccines in early clinical or preclinical development, and HHS is procuring from Cangene a botulinum immune globulin derived from equine plasma for the SNS.

One oral typhoid vaccine and one injectable typhoid vaccine are currently approved and administered in the United States and Europe. Numerous companies have vaccine candidates in development that would compete with any of our commercial immunobiotic product candidates for which we obtain marketing approval.

Many of our competitors have significantly greater financial resources and expertise in research and development, manufacturing, preclinical testing, conducting clinical trials, obtaining regulatory approvals and marketing approved products than we do. Smaller or early stage companies may also prove to be significant competitors, particularly through collaborative arrangements with large and established companies. These competitors also compete with us in recruiting and retaining qualified scientific and management personnel, as well as in acquiring products, product candidates and technologies complementary to, or necessary for, our programs or advantageous to our business.

*Legislation and contractual provisions limiting or restricting liability of manufacturers may not be adequate to protect us from all liabilities associated with the manufacture, sale and use of our products.*

Provisions of our BioThrax contracts with the DoD and HHS and federal legislation enacted to protect manufacturers of biodefense and anti-terrorism countermeasures may limit our potential liability related to the manufacture, sale and use of BioThrax and our biodefense product candidates. However, these contractual provisions and legislation may not fully protect us from all related liabilities.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

The Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness Act, or PREP Act, which was signed into law in December 2005, creates general immunity for manufacturers of biodefense countermeasures, including security countermeasures, when the Secretary of HHS issues a declaration for their manufacture, administration or use. A PREP Act declaration is meant to provide general immunity from all claims under state or federal law for loss arising out of the administration or use of a covered countermeasure. Manufacturers are not entitled to this protection in cases of willful misconduct. Upon a declaration by the Secretary, a compensation fund is created to provide timely, uniform, and adequate compensation to eligible individuals for covered injuries directly caused by the administration or use of a covered countermeasure. The covered injuries to which the program applies are defined as serious physical injuries or death. Individuals are permitted to bring a willful misconduct action against a manufacturer only after they have exhausted their remedies under the compensation program. However, a willful misconduct action could be brought against us if any individuals exhausted their remedies under the compensation program and thereby expose us to liability. Our September 2007 contract with HHS provides that BioThrax in the SNS will not be administered in humans until the Secretary of HHS issues a PREP Act declaration applicable to BioThrax. We do not know, however, whether the PREP Act would provide adequate coverage or survive anticipated legal challenges to its validity.

In August 2006, the Department of Homeland Security approved our application under the Safety Act enacted by the U.S. Congress in 2002 for liability protection for sales of BioThrax. The Safety Act creates product liability limitations for qualifying anti-terrorism technologies for claims arising from or related to an act of terrorism. In addition, the Safety Act provides a process by which an anti-terrorism technology may be certified as an approved product by the Department of Homeland Security and therefore entitled to a rebuttable presumption that the government contractor defense applies to sales of the product.

The government contractor defense, under specified circumstances, extends the sovereign immunity of the United States to government contractors who manufacture a product for the government. Specifically, for the government contractor defense to apply, the government must approve reasonably precise specifications, the product must conform to those specifications and the supplier must warn the government about known dangers arising from the use of the product. Although we are entitled to the benefits of the Safety Act, it may not provide adequate protection from any claims made against us.

In addition, although our prior contracts with DoD and HHS provided that the U.S. government would indemnify us for any damages resulting from product liability claims, our current contract with HHS does not contain such indemnification, and we cannot be certain that we will be able to negotiate similar indemnification provisions in future contracts or that the U.S. government will honor its indemnification obligations. For example, although we have notified the DoD of the lawsuits filed against us by current and former members of the U.S. military claiming damages as the result of personal injuries allegedly suffered from vaccination with BioThrax, the DoD has not yet acted on our claim for indemnification pending resolution of our claims under our product liability insurance. Members of Congress have proposed and may in the future propose legislation that reduces or eliminates the statutory liability protections for manufacturers of biodefense countermeasures

***Product liability lawsuits could cause us to incur substantial liabilities and require us to limit commercialization of any products that we may develop.***

We face an inherent risk of product liability exposure related to the sale of BioThrax and any other products that we successfully develop and the testing of our product candidates in clinical trials. For example, we currently are a defendant in two federal lawsuits filed on behalf of two individuals who alleged that they were vaccinated with BioThrax by the DoD and claimed damages resulting from personal injuries allegedly suffered because of the vaccinations. The plaintiff in these lawsuits claimed different injuries and sought varying amounts of damages.

The plaintiff in one of the actions has alleged that the vaccine caused erosive rheumatoid arthritis and has requested damages in excess of \$1 million. The plaintiff in the other suit has alleged that the vaccine caused a condition that originally was diagnosed as encephalitis related to a gastrointestinal infection and caused him to fall into a coma for many weeks and has requested damages in excess of \$10 million.

If we cannot successfully defend ourselves against claims that our product or product candidates caused injuries and if we are not entitled to indemnity by the U.S. government, we will incur substantial liabilities. Regardless of merit or eventual outcome, liability claims may result in:

decreased demand for any product candidates or products that we may develop;  
injury to our reputation;  
withdrawal of clinical trial participants;  
withdrawal of a product from the market;  
costs to defend the related litigation;  
substantial monetary awards to trial participants or patients;  
loss of revenue; and  
the inability to commercialize any products that we may develop.

We currently have product liability insurance for coverage up to a \$10 million annual aggregate limit with a deductible of \$75,000 per claim. The amount of insurance that we currently hold may not be adequate to cover all liabilities that may occur. Product liability insurance is difficult to obtain and increasingly expensive. We may not be able to maintain insurance coverage at a reasonable cost and we may not be able to obtain insurance coverage that will be adequate to satisfy any liability that may arise. For example, from 2002 through February 2006, we were unable to obtain product liability insurance for sales of BioThrax on commercially reasonable terms. We do not believe that the amount of insurance we have been able to obtain for BioThrax is sufficient to manage the risk associated with the potential large-scale deployment of BioThrax as a countermeasure to bioterrorism threats. We rely on contractual indemnification provisions and statutory protections to limit our liability exposure for BioThrax

***If we are unable to obtain adequate reimbursement from governments or third party payors for any products that we may develop or to obtain acceptable prices for those products, our revenues will suffer.***

Our revenues and profits from any products that we successfully develop, other than with respect to sales of our biodefense products under government contracts, will depend heavily upon the availability of adequate reimbursement for the use of such products from governmental and other third party payors, both in the United States and in other markets. Reimbursement by a third party payor may depend upon a number of factors, including the third party payor's determination that use of a product is:

a covered benefit under its health plan;  
safe, effective and medically necessary;  
appropriate for the specific patient;  
cost-effective; and  
neither experimental nor investigational.

Obtaining a determination that a product is covered is a time-consuming and costly process that could require us to provide supporting scientific, clinical and cost-effectiveness data for the use of our products to each payor. We may not be able to provide data sufficient to gain coverage.

Even when a payor determines that a product is covered, the payor may impose limitations that preclude payment for some uses that are approved by the FDA or comparable authorities but are determined by the payor to not be medically reasonable and necessary. Moreover, eligibility for coverage does not imply that any product will be covered in all cases or that reimbursement will be available at a rate that permits the health care provider to cover its costs of using the product.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

We expect that the success of some of our commercial vaccine candidates for which we obtain marketing approval will depend on inclusion of those product candidates in government immunization programs. Most non-pediatric commercial vaccines are purchased and paid for, or reimbursed by, managed care organizations, other private health plans or public insurers or paid for directly by patients. In the United States, pediatric vaccines are funded by a variety of federal entitlements and grants, as well as state appropriations. Foreign governments also commonly fund pediatric vaccination programs through national health programs. In addition, with respect to some diseases affecting the public health generally, particularly in developing countries, public health authorities or non-governmental, charitable or philanthropic organizations fund the cost of vaccines.

45

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Federal legislation, enacted in December 2003, has altered the way in which physician-administered drugs and biologics covered by Medicare are reimbursed. Under the new reimbursement methodology, physicians are reimbursed based on a product's average sales price. This new reimbursement methodology has generally led to lower reimbursement levels. The new federal legislation also has added an outpatient prescription drug benefit to Medicare, which went into effect in January 2006. These benefits will be provided primarily through private entities, which we expect will attempt to negotiate price concessions from pharmaceutical manufacturers.

***Any products we may develop may also be eligible for reimbursement under Medicaid. If the state-specific Medicaid programs do not provide adequate coverage and reimbursement for any products we may develop, it may have a negative impact on our operations.***

The scope of coverage and payment policies varies among third party private payors, including indemnity insurers, employer group health insurance programs and managed care plans. These third party carriers may base their coverage and reimbursement on the coverage and reimbursement rate paid by carriers for Medicare beneficiaries. Furthermore, many such payors are investigating or implementing methods for reducing health care costs, such as the establishment of capitated or prospective payment systems. Cost containment pressures have led to an increased emphasis on the use of cost-effective products by health care providers. If third party payors do not provide adequate coverage or reimbursement for any products we may develop, it could have a negative effect on our revenues and results of operations.

***Foreign governments tend to impose strict price controls, which may adversely affect our revenues.***

In some foreign countries, particularly the countries of the European Union, the pricing of prescription pharmaceuticals is subject to governmental control. In these countries, pricing negotiations with governmental authorities can take considerable time after the receipt of marketing approval for a product. To obtain reimbursement or pricing approval in some countries, we may be required to conduct a clinical trial that compares the cost-effectiveness of our product candidate to other available therapies. If reimbursement of our products is unavailable or limited in scope or amount, or if pricing is set at unsatisfactory levels, our business could be adversely affected.

Legislation has been introduced into Congress that, if enacted, would permit more widespread re-importation of drugs from foreign countries into the United States, which may include re-importation from foreign countries where the drugs are sold at lower prices than in the United States. Such legislation, or similar regulatory changes, could decrease the price we receive for any approved products which, in turn, could adversely affect our operating results and our overall financial condition.

***If we fail to attract and keep senior management and key scientific personnel, we may be unable to sustain or expand our BioThrax operations or develop or commercialize our product candidates.***

Our success depends on our continued ability to attract, retain and motivate highly qualified managerial and key scientific personnel. We consider the following executives to be key to our BioThrax operations and our efforts to develop and commercialize our product candidates: Fuad El-Hibri, chief executive officer and chairman of our Board of Directors; Daniel J. Abdun-Nabi, president, chief operating officer and secretary; R. Don Elsey, chief financial officer and treasurer; and Robert G. Kramer, executive vice president manufacturing operations. All of these key employees are at will employees and can terminate their employment at any time. We do not maintain key person insurance on any of our employees.

In addition, our growth will require us to hire a significant number of qualified scientific and commercial personnel, including clinical development, regulatory, marketing and sales executives and field sales personnel, as well as additional administrative personnel. There is intense competition from other companies and research and academic institutions for qualified personnel in the areas of our activities. If we cannot continue to attract and retain, on acceptable terms, the qualified personnel necessary for the continued development of our business, we

may not be able to sustain our operations or grow.

**Additional Risks Related to Sales of Biodefense Products to the U.S. Government**

*Our business could be adversely affected by a negative audit by the U.S. government.*

U.S. government agencies such as the Defense Contract Audit Agency, or the DCAA, routinely audit and investigate government contractors. These agencies review a contractor's performance under its contracts, cost structure and compliance with applicable laws, regulations and standards.

46



## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

The DCAA also reviews the adequacy of, and a contractor's compliance with, its internal control systems and policies, including the contractor's purchasing, property, estimating, compensation and management information systems. Any costs found to be improperly allocated to a specific contract will not be reimbursed, while such costs already reimbursed must be refunded. If an audit uncovers improper or illegal activities, we may be subject to civil and criminal penalties and administrative sanctions, including:

- termination of contracts;
- forfeiture of profits;
- suspension of payments;
- finest; and
- suspension or prohibition from doing business with the U.S. government.

In addition, we could suffer serious reputational harm if allegations of impropriety were made against us.

### ***Laws and regulations affecting government contracts make it more costly and difficult for us to successfully conduct our business.***

We must comply with numerous laws and regulations relating to the formation, administration and performance of government contracts, which can make it more difficult for us to retain our rights under these contracts. These laws and regulations affect how we do business with federal, state and local government agencies. Among the most significant government contracting regulations that affect our business are:

- the Federal Acquisition Regulations, and agency-specific regulations supplemental to the Federal Acquisition Regulations, which comprehensively regulate the procurement, formation, administration and performance of government contracts;

- the business ethics and public integrity obligations, which govern conflicts of interest and the hiring of former government employees, restrict the granting of gratuities and funding of lobbying activities and incorporate other requirements such as the Anti-Kickback Act and Foreign Corrupt Practices Act;

- export and import control laws and regulations; and

- laws, regulations and executive orders restricting the use and dissemination of information classified for national security purposes and the exportation of certain products and technical data.

In addition, *qui tam* lawsuits have been brought against us in which the plaintiffs argued that we defrauded the U.S. government by distributing non-compliant doses of BioThrax. Although we ultimately prevailed in this litigation, we spent significant time and money defending the litigation. The states, many municipalities and foreign governments typically also have laws and regulations governing contracts with their respective agencies. These domestic and foreign laws and regulations affect how we and our customers can do business and, in some instances, impose added costs on our business. Any changes in applicable laws and regulations could restrict our ability to maintain our existing contracts and obtain new contracts, which could limit our ability to conduct our business and materially adversely affect our revenues and results of operations.

### ***We rely on property and equipment owned by the DoD in the manufacturing process for BioThrax.***

We have the right to use certain property and equipment owned by the DoD, referred to as government furnished equipment, or GFE, at our Lansing, Michigan site in the manufacture of BioThrax. We pay the DoD a small usage fee for the GFE based on the number of doses of

BioThrax that we produce for sale to customers other than the U.S. government. We have the option to purchase all or part of existing GFE from the DoD on terms to be negotiated with the DoD. If the DoD modifies the terms under which we use the GFE in a manner that is unfavorable to us, including substantially increasing the usage fee, or we are unable to reach an agreement with DoD concerning the terms of the purchase of that part of the GFE necessary for our business, our business could be harmed. If the U.S. government were to terminate or fail to extend all BioThrax supply contracts with us, we potentially could be required to rent or purchase that part of the GFE necessary for the continued production of BioThrax in our current manufacturing facility.

**Risks Related to Regulatory Approvals**

*If we are not able to obtain required regulatory approvals, we will not be able to commercialize our product candidates, and our ability to generate revenue will be materially impaired.*

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Our product candidates and the activities associated with their development and commercialization, including their testing, manufacture, safety, efficacy, recordkeeping, labeling, storage, approval, advertising, promotion, sale and distribution, are subject to comprehensive regulation by the FDA and other regulatory agencies in the United States and by comparable authorities in other countries. Failure to obtain regulatory approval for a product candidate will prevent us from commercializing the product candidate. We have limited experience in preparing, filing and prosecuting the applications necessary to gain regulatory approvals and expect to rely on third party contract research organizations and consultants to assist us in this process. Securing FDA approval requires the submission of extensive preclinical and clinical data, information about product manufacturing processes and inspection of facilities and supporting information to the FDA to establish the product candidate's safety and efficacy. Our future products may not be effective, may be only moderately effective or may prove to have significant side effects, toxicities or other characteristics that may preclude our obtaining regulatory approval or prevent or limit commercial use.

In the United States, BioThrax, our biodefense product candidates and our commercial product candidates are regulated by the FDA as biologics. To obtain approval from the FDA to market these product candidates, other than biodefense products purchased by HHS for the SNS, we will be required to submit to the FDA a biologics license application, or BLA. Ordinarily, the FDA requires a sponsor to support a BLA application with substantial evidence of the product's safety and effectiveness in treating the targeted indication based on data derived from adequate and well controlled clinical trials, including Phase III safety and efficacy trials conducted in patients with the disease or condition being targeted. Because humans are rarely exposed to anthrax or botulinum toxins under natural conditions, and cannot be intentionally exposed, statistically significant effectiveness of our biodefense product candidates cannot be demonstrated in humans, but instead must be demonstrated, in part, by utilizing animal models before they can be approved for marketing.

We believe that, according to the FDA's current BLA requirements for biologics that cannot be ethically or feasibly tested in humans in Phase III efficacy trials, we may instead be able to obtain BLA approval based on clinical data from Phase II and Phase III trials in healthy subjects that demonstrate adequate safety and immune response and effectiveness data from studies in animals. Specifically, we intend to pursue FDA approval of BioThrax as a post-exposure prophylaxis, our immune globulin candidates, our recombinant bivalent botulinum vaccine candidate and a next generation anthrax vaccine under the FDA animal rule. Under the animal rule, if human efficacy trials are not ethical or feasible, the FDA can approve drugs or biologics used to treat or prevent serious or life threatening conditions caused by exposure to lethal or permanently disabling toxic chemical, biological, radiological or nuclear substances based on human clinical data demonstrating safety and immunogenicity and evidence of efficacy from appropriate non-clinical animal studies and any additional supporting data. Products approved under the animal rule are subject to additional regulation not normally required of other products. Additional regulation may include post-marketing study requirements, restrictions imposed on marketing or distribution or requirements to provide information to patients.

We have applied to the FDA to reduce the number of required doses of BioThrax for pre-exposure prophylaxis from six to five, with an annual booster dose thereafter. Our application is based on an interim analysis of data from an ongoing clinical trial being conducted by the CDC to evaluate whether as few as three doses of BioThrax, administered over six months, with booster doses up to three years apart, will confer adequate immune response. In April 2006, the FDA issued a complete response letter to our application, requesting clarification and requiring additional analysis of the data that we submitted. The data is being further analyzed, and we plan to submit an amendment to our application when this analysis is completed. If the FDA does not find our response to be adequate, we might be required to conduct additional independent testing to continue to pursue the development of this reduced dosing regimen. Responding to the FDA's complete response letter will delay potential approval of our application. If we are unable ultimately to respond satisfactorily to the FDA, our application will not be approved.

The process of obtaining regulatory approvals is expensive, often takes many years, if approval is obtained at all, and can vary substantially based upon the type, complexity and novelty of the product candidates involved. Changes in the regulatory approval policy during the development period, changes in or the enactment of additional statutes or regulations, or changes in the regulatory review for each submitted product application, may cause delays in the approval or rejection of an application.

The FDA has substantial discretion in the approval process and may refuse to accept any application or may decide that our data are insufficient for approval and require additional preclinical, clinical or other studies. In addition, varying interpretations of the data obtained from preclinical and clinical testing could delay, limit or prevent regulatory approval of a product candidate.



*Our products could be subject to restrictions or withdrawal from the market and we may be subject to penalties if we fail to comply with regulatory requirements or experience unanticipated problems with our products.*

Any immunobiotic product for which we obtain marketing approval, along with the manufacturing processes, post-approval clinical data, labeling, advertising and promotional activities for such product, will be subject to continual requirements of and review by the FDA and other regulatory bodies, including through inspections of our facilities. As an approved product, BioThrax is subject to these requirements and ongoing review. These requirements include submissions of safety and other post-marketing information and reports, registration requirements, cGMP requirements relating to quality control, quality assurance and corresponding maintenance of records and documents, and recordkeeping. The FDA enforces its cGMP and other requirements through periodic unannounced inspections of manufacturing facilities. The FDA is authorized to inspect manufacturing facilities without a warrant at reasonable times and in a reasonable manner.

After we acquired BioThrax and related vaccine manufacturing facilities in Lansing in 1998 from the Michigan Biologic Products Institute, we spent significant amounts of time and money renovating those facilities before the FDA approved a supplement to our manufacturing facility license in December 2001. The State of Michigan had initiated renovations after the FDA issued a notice of intent to revoke the FDA license to manufacture BioThrax in 1997. The notice of intent to revoke cited significant deviations by the Michigan Biologic Products Institute from cGMP requirements, including quality control failures. In March 2007, the FDA notified us that our manufacturing facility license is no longer subject to the notice of intent to revoke. After approving the renovated Lansing facilities in December 2001, the FDA conducted routine, biannual inspections of the Lansing facilities in September 2002, May 2004 and May 2006. Following each of these inspections, the FDA issued inspectional observations on Form FDA 483. We responded to the FDA regarding the inspectional observations relating to each inspection and, where necessary, implemented corrective action. In December 2005, the FDA stated in its final order on BioThrax that at that time we were in compliance with all regulatory requirements related to the manufacture of BioThrax and that the FDA would continue to evaluate the production of BioThrax to assure compliance with federal standards and regulations. We have filed with the FDA our responses to all inspectional observations relating to the May 2006 inspection. The FDA has acknowledged receipt of our responses and has advised us that it has concluded that the May 2006 inspection is closed. Pursuant to its standard procedures, we expect that the FDA will review and assess our corrective actions at its next inspection. If in connection with any future inspection the FDA finds that we are not in substantial compliance with cGMP requirements, the FDA may undertake enforcement action against us.

Even if regulatory approval of a product is granted, the approval may be subject to limitations on the indicated uses for which the product may be marketed or to the conditions of approval, or contain requirements for costly post-marketing testing and surveillance to monitor the safety or efficacy of the product. Later discovery of previously unknown problems with our products or manufacturing processes, or failure to comply with regulatory requirements, may result in:

restrictions on the marketing or manufacturing of a product;

warning letters;

withdrawal of the product from the market;

refusal to approve pending applications or supplements to approved applications;

voluntary or mandatory product recall;

fines or disgorgement of profits or revenue;

suspension or withdrawal of regulatory approvals, including license revocation;

shut down, or substantial limitations of the operations in, manufacturing facilities;

refusal to permit the import or export of products;

product seizure; and

injunctions or the imposition of civil or criminal penalties.

*We may not be able to obtain orphan drug exclusivity for our products. If our competitors are able to obtain orphan drug exclusivity for their products that are the same as our products, we may not be able to have competing products approved by the applicable regulatory authorities for a significant period of time.*

Regulatory authorities in some jurisdictions, including the United States and Europe, may designate drugs and biologics for relatively small patient populations as orphan drugs. Generally, if a product with an orphan drug designation subsequently receives the first marketing approval for the indication for which it has such designation, the product is entitled to a seven-year period of marketing exclusivity, which precludes the FDA from approving another marketing application for the same drug or biologic for that time period for the same indication.

Orphan drug exclusivity in Europe lasts for ten years, but can be reduced to six years if a drug or biologic no longer meets the criteria for orphan drug designation or if the drug or biologic is sufficiently profitable so that market exclusivity is no longer justified. If a competitor obtains orphan drug exclusivity for an indication for a product that competes with one of the indications for one of our product candidates before we obtain orphan drug designation, and if the competitor's product is the same drug as ours, the FDA would be prohibited from approving our product candidate for the same orphan indication unless we demonstrate that our product is clinically superior. None of our products or product candidates have been designated as orphan drugs. Even if we obtain orphan drug exclusivity for one or more indications for one of our product candidates, we may not be able to maintain it. For example, if a competitive product that is the same drug or biologic as our product is shown to be clinically superior to our product, any orphan drug exclusivity we may have obtained will not block the approval of that competitive product.

***The Fast Track designation for BioThrax as a post-exposure prophylaxis for anthrax infection may not actually lead to a faster development or regulatory review or approval process.***

If a drug or biologic is intended for the treatment of a serious or life-threatening condition and demonstrates the potential to address unmet medical needs for this condition, the sponsor may apply for FDA Fast Track designation. We have obtained a Fast Track designation from the FDA for BioThrax as a post-exposure prophylaxis for anthrax infection. However, we may not experience a faster development process, review or approval compared to conventional FDA procedures. The FDA may withdraw our Fast Track designation if the FDA believes that the designation is no longer supported by data from our clinical development program. Our Fast Track designation does not guarantee that we will qualify for or be able to take advantage of the FDA's expedited review procedures or that any application that we may submit to the FDA for regulatory approval will be accepted for filing or ultimately approved.

***Failure to obtain regulatory approval in international jurisdictions could prevent us from marketing our products abroad.***

We intend to have our products marketed outside the United States. To market our products in the European Union and many other foreign jurisdictions, we may need to obtain separate regulatory approvals and comply with numerous and varying regulatory requirements. With respect to some of our product candidates, we expect that a future collaborator will have responsibility to obtain regulatory approvals outside the United States, and we will depend on our collaborators to obtain these approvals. The approval procedure varies among countries and can involve additional testing. The time required to obtain approval may differ from that required to obtain FDA approval.

The foreign regulatory approval process may include all of the risks associated with obtaining FDA approval. We may not obtain foreign regulatory approvals on a timely basis, if at all. Approval by the FDA does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other countries or jurisdictions, and approval by one foreign regulatory authority does not ensure approval by regulatory authorities in other foreign countries or jurisdictions or by the FDA. We and our collaborators may not be able to file for regulatory approvals and may not receive necessary approvals to commercialize our products in any market.

### **Risks Related to Our Dependence on Third Parties**

***We may not be successful in maintaining and establishing collaborations, which could adversely affect our ability to develop and commercialize our product candidates domestically and internationally.***

For each of our product candidates, we plan to evaluate the merits of retaining commercialization rights for ourselves or entering into collaboration arrangements with leading pharmaceutical or biotechnology companies or non-governmental organizations, such as our collaboration agreement with Sanofi Pasteur for our meningitis B vaccine candidate. We expect that we will selectively pursue collaboration

arrangements in situations in which the collaborator has particular expertise or resources for the development or commercialization of our products and product candidates or for accessing particular markets.

If we are unable to reach agreements with suitable collaborators, we may fail to meet our business objectives for the affected product or program. We face, and will continue to face, significant competition in seeking appropriate collaborators. Moreover, collaboration arrangements are complex and time consuming to negotiate, document and implement. We may not be successful in our efforts to establish and implement collaborations or other alternative arrangements. The terms of any collaborations or other arrangements that we establish may not be favorable to us.



Any collaboration that we enter into may not be successful. The success of our collaboration arrangements will depend heavily on the efforts and activities of our collaborators. It is likely that our collaborators will have significant discretion in determining the efforts and resources that they will apply to these collaborations. In particular, the successful development of our meningitis B vaccine candidate will initially depend on the success of our research collaboration with Sanofi Pasteur and whether Sanofi Pasteur selects one or more viable candidates pursuant to the collaboration for development of a product.

Thereafter, Sanofi Pasteur will have significant discretion in the development and commercialization of any such candidate. Sanofi Pasteur may choose not to pursue further development and commercialization of any candidate that it selects based on many factors outside our control. Sanofi Pasteur has the ability to suspend development of a candidate under the collaboration in various circumstances. The risks that we are subject to in our current collaborations, and anticipate being subject to in future collaborations, include the following:

- our collaboration agreements are likely to be for fixed terms and subject to termination by our collaborators in the event of a material breach by us;
- our collaborators may have the first right to maintain or defend our intellectual property rights and, although we may have the right to assume the maintenance and defense of our intellectual property rights if our collaborators do not do so, our ability to maintain and defend our intellectual property rights may be compromised by our collaborators' acts or omissions;
- our collaborators may utilize our intellectual property rights in such a way as to invite litigation that could jeopardize or invalidate our intellectual property rights or expose us to potential liability; or
- our collaborators decide not to continue to work with us in the development of our product candidates.

Collaborations with pharmaceutical companies and other third parties often are terminated or allowed to expire by the other party. For example, Sanofi Pasteur has the right to terminate our meningitis B vaccine collaboration at any time after April 1, 2007 upon six months' prior written notice. Sanofi Pasteur can also terminate the collaboration upon a change of control or insolvency event involving us or upon our uncured material breach. Those terminations or expirations would adversely affect us financially and could harm our business reputation.

***If third parties on whom we rely for clinical trials do not perform as contractually required or as we expect, we may not be able to obtain regulatory approval for or commercialize our product candidates and our business may suffer.***

We do not have the ability to independently conduct the clinical trials required to obtain regulatory approval for our products. We depend on independent clinical investigators, contract research organizations and other third party service providers to conduct the clinical trials of our product candidates and expect to continue to do so. We rely heavily on these third parties for successful execution of our clinical trials, but do not exercise day-to-day control over their activities. We are responsible for ensuring that each of our clinical trials is conducted in accordance with the general investigational plan and protocols for the trial. Moreover, the FDA requires us to comply with standards, commonly referred to as Good Clinical Practices, for conducting and recording and reporting the results of clinical trials to assure that data and reported results are credible and accurate and that the rights, integrity and confidentiality of trial participants are protected.

Our reliance on third parties that we do not control does not relieve us of these responsibilities and requirements. Third parties may not complete activities on schedule, or may not conduct our clinical trials in accordance with regulatory requirements or our stated protocols. The failure of these third parties to carry out their obligations could delay or prevent the development, approval and commercialization of our product candidates. In addition, we encourage government entities and non-government organizations to conduct studies of, and pursue other development efforts for, our product candidates. For example, the CDC is currently conducting an independent clinical trial to evaluate the administration of BioThrax in a regimen of fewer doses. We participate in monthly meetings with the trial investigators and in the annual review meeting for this trial and provide input to the CDC for responses to FDA questions and requests for additional information.

We expect to rely on data from these development efforts in seeking marketing approval for our product candidates. For example, our BLA supplement for a label expansion of BioThrax for a regimen of fewer doses is based on the interim trial report provided to us by the CDC from its ongoing clinical trial. We currently are awaiting the final data from the CDC trial. These government entities and non-government organizations have no obligation or commitment to us to conduct or complete any of these studies or clinical trials and may choose to discontinue these development efforts at any time. In addition, government entities depend on annual Congressional appropriations to fund these development efforts. In prior years, there has been some uncertainty whether Congress would choose to fund the CDC trial. Although the trial has been funded to date, Congress may not continue to fund the trial.

### **Risks Related to Our Intellectual Property**

*We may fail to protect our intellectual property rights, which would harm our business.*

Our success, particularly with respect to our commercial business, will depend in large part on our ability to obtain and maintain protection in the United States and other countries for the intellectual property covering or incorporated into our technology and products. The patent situation in the field of immunobiotics and other pharmaceuticals generally is highly uncertain and involves complex legal and scientific questions.

We may not be able to obtain additional issued patents relating to our technology or products. Even if issued, patents may be challenged, narrowed, invalidated or circumvented, which could limit our ability to stop competitors from marketing similar products or limit the length of term of patent protection we may have for our products. Changes in patent laws or administrative patent office rules or changes in interpretations of patent laws in the United States and other countries may diminish the value of our intellectual property or narrow the scope of our patent protection.

Our patents also may not afford us protection against competitors with similar technology. Because patent applications in the United States and many foreign jurisdictions are typically not published until 18 months after filing, or in some cases not at all, and because publications of discoveries in the scientific literature often lag behind actual discoveries, neither we nor our licensors can be certain that we or they were the first to make the inventions claimed in issued patents or pending patent applications, or that we or they were the first to file for protection of the inventions set forth in these patent applications. In addition, patents generally expire, regardless of their date of issue, 20 years from the earliest claimed non-provisional filing date. As a result, the time required to obtain regulatory approval for a product candidate may consume part or all of the patent term. We are not able to accurately predict the remaining length of the applicable patent term following regulatory approval of any of our product candidates.

Our collaborators and licensors may not adequately protect our intellectual property rights. These third parties may have the first right to maintain or defend our intellectual property rights and, although we may have the right to assume the maintenance and defense of our intellectual property rights if these third parties do not do so, our ability to maintain and defend our intellectual property rights may be compromised by the acts or omissions of these third parties. Under our collaboration agreement with Sanofi Pasteur for our meningitis B vaccine candidate, we have the right to prosecute and maintain our patent rights under the collaboration agreement.

Sanofi Pasteur is responsible for prosecuting and maintaining joint patent rights under the collaboration agreement, although we have the right to support the continued prosecution or maintenance of the joint patent rights if Sanofi Pasteur fails to do so. In addition, Sanofi Pasteur has the first right to pursue claims against third parties for infringement of the patent rights under the collaboration agreement and assume the defense of any infringement claims that may arise, although we have the right to pursue infringement claims against third parties and assume the defense of infringement claims if Sanofi Pasteur fails to do so.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Under our licenses with HPA relating to our recombinant bivalent botulinum vaccine candidate and the botulinum toxoid vaccine that we plan to use as the basis for our botulinum immune globulin candidate, HPA is responsible for prosecuting and maintaining patent rights, although we have the right to support the continued prosecution or maintenance of the patent rights if HPA fails to do so. In addition, we have the first right to pursue claims against third parties for infringement of the patent rights and assume the defense of any infringement claims that may arise.

*If we are unable to in-license any intellectual property necessary to develop, manufacture or sell any of our product candidates, we will not be successful in developing or commercializing such product candidate.*

We expect that we may need to in-license various components or technologies, including, for example, adjuvants and novel delivery systems, for some of our current or future product candidates. We may be unable to obtain the necessary licenses on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are unable to obtain such licenses, we could be prevented or delayed from continuing further development or from commercially launching the applicable product candidate.

***If we fail to comply with our obligations in our intellectual property licenses with third parties, we could lose license rights that are important to our business.***

We are a party to a number of license agreements. We consider our licenses with HPA relating to our recombinant bivalent botulinum vaccine candidate and the botulinum toxoid vaccine that we plan to use as the basis for our botulinum immune globulin candidate to be material to our business. Under these license agreements, we obtained the exclusive, worldwide right to develop, manufacture and commercialize pharmaceutical products that consist of botulinum toxoid components or recombinant botulinum toxin components for the prevention or treatment of illness in humans caused by exposure to the botulinum toxin, subject to HPA's non-exclusive right to make, use or sell recombinant botulinum products to meet public health requirements in the United Kingdom.

We expect to enter into additional licenses in the future. Our existing licenses impose, and we expect future licenses will impose, various diligence, milestone payment, royalty, insurance and other obligations on us. If we fail to comply with these obligations, the licensor may have the right to terminate the license, in which event we might not be able to market any product that is covered by the licensed patents.

***If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our proprietary information and know-how, the value of our technology and products could be adversely affected.***

In addition to patented technology, we rely upon unpatented proprietary technology, processes and know-how, particularly as to our proprietary manufacturing processes. Because we do not have patent protection for BioThrax, the label expansions and improvements that we are pursuing for BioThrax, our only intellectual property protection for BioThrax is confidentiality regarding our manufacturing capability and specialty know-how, such as techniques, processes and biological starting materials. However, these types of trade secrets can be difficult to protect. We seek to protect this confidential information, in part, with agreements with our employees, consultants and third parties.

These agreements may be breached, and we may not have adequate remedies for any such breach. In addition, our trade secrets may otherwise become known or be independently developed by competitors. If we are unable to protect the confidentiality of our proprietary information and know-how, competitors may be able to use this information to develop products that compete with our products, which could adversely impact our business.

***If we infringe or are alleged to infringe intellectual property rights of third parties, it will adversely affect our business.***

Our development and commercialization activities, as well as any product candidates or products resulting from these activities, may infringe or be claimed to infringe patents and other intellectual property rights of third parties under which we do not hold licenses or other rights. Third parties may own or control these patents and intellectual property rights in the United States and abroad. These third parties could bring claims against us or our collaborators that would cause us to incur substantial expenses and, if successful against us, could cause us to pay substantial damages. Further, if a patent infringement or other similar suit were brought against us or our collaborators, we or they could be forced to stop or delay development, manufacturing or sales of the product or product candidate that is the subject of the suit.

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

As a result of patent infringement or other similar claims, or to avoid potential claims, we or our collaborators may choose or be required to seek a license from the third party and be required to pay license fees or royalties or both. These licenses may not be available on acceptable terms, or at all. Even if we or our collaborators were able to obtain a license, the rights may be non-exclusive, which could result in our competitors gaining access to the same intellectual property. Ultimately, we could be prevented from commercializing a product, or be forced to cease some aspect of our business operations, if, as a result of actual or threatened patent infringement claims, we or our collaborators are unable to enter into licenses on acceptable terms. This could harm our business significantly.

There has been substantial litigation and other proceedings regarding patent and other intellectual property rights in the biotechnology and pharmaceutical industries. For example, we monitored ongoing litigation between Bavarian Nordic and Acambis relating to the manufacture of the modified vaccinia Ankara virus, or MVA, as a smallpox vaccine for biodefense use by the U.S. government. This litigation was terminated by a settlement and consent order filed by the parties with the U.S. International Trade Commission, or ITC, in August 2007 and subsequently published in the U.S. Federal Registrar. According to the published terms of the consent order, Acambis agreed not to import or sell within the United States its MVA3000 vaccine product, and further agreed not to challenge the validity or enforceability of certain Bavarian Nordic patents. In addition, the consent order vacated the initial determination of the ITC that Bavarian Nordics' patents were invalid, but if valid would have been infringed by importation or sale of MVA3000 in the United States.

We have licensed from the Bavarian State Ministry of the Environment, Public Health and Consumer Protection rights to materials and technology related to MVA. Our MVA platform technology, which has the potential to be used as a viral vector for delivery of certain vaccine antigens for different disease-causing organisms, is based on these rights. Our ability to use our MVA platform technology could be negatively affected by patent infringement litigation or other legal actions brought by Bavarian Nordic or other parties challenging our rights to use MVA materials or technology.

For example, we have filed an opposition in the European Patent Office against Bavarian Nordics' patent covering certain aspects of the MVA technology. We may also become a party to trademark invalidation and interference proceedings in foreign trademark offices. The cost to us of any patent litigation or other proceeding, even if resolved in our favor, could be substantial. Some of our competitors may be able to sustain the costs of such litigation or proceedings more effectively than we can because of their substantially greater financial resources. Uncertainties resulting from the initiation and continuation of patent litigation or other proceedings could have a material adverse effect on our ability to compete in the marketplace. Patent litigation and other proceedings may also absorb significant management time.

### **Risks Related to Our Acquisition Strategy**

*Our strategy of generating growth through acquisitions may not be successful.*

We have pursued an acquisition strategy since our inception to build our business of developing, manufacturing and commercializing immunobiotics. We commenced operations in September 1998 through an acquisition of rights to BioThrax, vaccine manufacturing facilities at a multi-building campus on approximately 12.5 acres in Lansing and vaccine development and production know-how from the Michigan Biologic Products Institute. We acquired our pipeline of commercial vaccine candidates through our acquisition of ViVacs in 2006 and Microscience in 2005 and our acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Antex in 2003.

In the future, we may be unable to license or acquire suitable products or product candidates from third parties for a number of reasons. In particular, the licensing and acquisition of pharmaceutical and biological products is a competitive area. A number of more established companies are also pursuing strategies to license or acquire products in the immunobiotics field. These established companies may have a competitive advantage over us due to their size, cash resources and greater clinical development and commercialization capabilities. Other factors that may prevent us from licensing or otherwise acquiring suitable products and product candidates include the following:

- we may be unable to license or acquire the relevant technology on terms that would allow us to make an appropriate return on the product;
- companies that perceive us to be their competitor may be unwilling to assign or license their product rights to us; or
- we may be unable to identify suitable products or product candidates within our areas of expertise.

In addition, we expect competition for acquisition candidates in the immunobiotic field to increase, which may mean fewer suitable acquisition opportunities for us as well as higher acquisition prices. If we are unable to successfully obtain rights to suitable products and product candidates, our business, financial condition and prospects for growth could suffer.

*If we fail to successfully manage any acquisitions, our ability to develop our product candidates and expand our product candidate pipeline may be harmed.*

As part of our business strategy, we intend to continue to seek to obtain marketed products and development stage product candidates through acquisitions and licensing arrangements with third parties. The failure to adequately address the financial, operational or legal risks of these transactions could harm our business. Financial aspects of these transactions that could alter our financial position, reported operating results or stock price include:

use of cash resources;

higher than anticipated acquisition costs and expenses;

potentially dilutive issuances of equity securities;

the incurrence of debt and contingent liabilities, impairment losses or restructuring charges;

large write-offs and difficulties in assessing the relative percentages of in-process research and development expense that can be immediately written off as compared to the amount that must be amortized over the appropriate life of the asset; and

amortization expenses related to other intangible assets.

Operational risks that could harm our existing operations or prevent realization of anticipated benefits from these transactions include:

challenges associated with managing an increasingly diversified business;

disruption of our ongoing business;

difficulty and expense in assimilating the operations, products, technology, information systems or personnel of the acquired company;

diversion of management's time and attention from other business concerns;

inability to maintain uniform standards, controls, procedures and policies;

the assumption of known and unknown liabilities of the acquired company, including intellectual property claims; and

subsequent loss of key personnel.

If we are unable to successfully manage our acquisitions, our ability to develop new products and continue to expand our product pipeline may be limited.

### **Risks Related to Our Common Stock**

Fuad El-Hibri, chief executive officer and chairman of our Board of Directors, has substantial control over us, including through his ability to control the election of the members of our Board of Directors, and could delay or prevent a change of control.

Mr. El-Hibri has the ability to control the election of the members of our Board of Directors through his ownership interests and voting arrangements among our significant stockholders. As of October 26, 2007, Mr. El-Hibri was the beneficial owner of over half of our outstanding common stock. Because Mr. El-Hibri has the ability to control the election of the members of our board, and because of his substantial control of our capital stock, Mr. El-Hibri will likely have the ability to delay or prevent a change of control of us that may be favored by other directors or stockholders and otherwise exercise substantial control over all corporate actions requiring board or stockholder approval, including any amendment of our certificate of incorporation or by-laws. The control by Mr. El-Hibri may prevent other stockholders from influencing significant corporate decisions and may result in conflicts of interest that could cause our stock price to decline.

*Provisions in our corporate charter documents and under Delaware law may prevent or frustrate attempts by our stockholders to change our management and hinder efforts to acquire a controlling interest in us.*



## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

Provisions of our certificate of incorporation and by-laws may discourage, delay or prevent a merger, acquisition or other change in control that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares. These provisions may also prevent or frustrate attempts by our stockholders to replace or remove our management. These provisions include:

the classification of our directors;

limitations on changing the number of directors then in office;

limitations on the removal of directors;

limitations on filling vacancies on the board;

limitations on the removal and appointment of the chairman of our Board of Directors;

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

following November 20, 2008, advance notice requirements for stockholder nominations for election of directors and other proposals; the inability of stockholders to act by written consent; the inability of stockholders to call special meetings; and the ability of our Board of Directors to designate the terms of and issue new series of preferred stock without stockholder approval.

Until November 20, 2008, the affirmative vote of holders of our capital stock representing a majority of the voting power of all outstanding stock entitled to vote is required to amend or repeal the above provisions of our certificate of incorporation. Following November 20, 2008, the affirmative vote of holders of our capital stock representing at least 75% of the voting power of all outstanding stock entitled to vote is required to amend or repeal the above provisions of our certificate of incorporation. Until November 20, 2008, the affirmative vote of either at least 75% of the directors then in office or holders of our capital stock representing a majority of the voting power of all outstanding stock entitled to vote is required to amend or repeal our by-laws. Following November 20, 2008, the affirmative vote of either a majority of the directors present at a meeting of our Board of Directors or holders of our capital stock representing at least 75% of the voting power of all outstanding stock entitled to vote is required to amend or repeal our by-laws.

In addition, Section 203 of the General Corporation Law of Delaware prohibits a publicly held Delaware corporation from engaging in a business combination with an interested stockholder, generally a person which together with its affiliates owns or within the last three years has owned 15% or more of our voting stock, for a period of three years after the date of the transaction in which the person became an interested stockholder, unless the business combination is approved in a prescribed manner. Accordingly, Section 203 may discourage, delay or prevent a change in control of us.

***Our stockholder rights plan could prevent a change in control of us in instances in which some stockholders may believe a change in control is in their best interests.***

Under a rights agreement that establishes our stockholder rights plan, we issue to each of our stockholders one preferred stock purchase right for each outstanding share of our common stock. Each right, when exercisable, will entitle its holder to purchase from us a unit consisting of one one-thousandth of a share of series A junior participating preferred stock at a purchase price of \$150 in cash, subject to adjustments.

Our stockholder rights plan is intended to protect stockholders in the event of an unfair or coercive offer to acquire us and to provide our Board of Directors with adequate time to evaluate unsolicited offers. The rights plan may have anti-takeover effects. The rights plan will cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us on terms that our Board of Directors does not believe are in our best interests and those of our stockholders and may discourage, delay or prevent a merger or acquisition that stockholders may consider favorable, including transactions in which stockholders might otherwise receive a premium for their shares.

***If our stock price is volatile, purchasers of our common stock could incur substantial losses.***

Our stock price has been, and is likely to continue to be, volatile. From November 15, 2006, when our common stock first began trading on the New York Stock Exchange, through October 26, 2007, our common stock has traded as high as \$7.67 per share and as low as \$17.75 per share. The stock market in general and the market for biotechnology companies in particular have experienced extreme volatility that has often been unrelated to the operating performance of particular companies. As a result of this volatility, investors may not be able to sell their common stock at or above the initial public offering price. The market price for our common stock may be influenced by many factors, including:

the success of competitive products or technologies;  
results of clinical trials of our product candidates or those of our competitors;  
decisions and procurement policies by the U.S. government affecting BioThrax and our biodefense product candidates;  
regulatory developments in the United States and foreign countries;  
developments or disputes concerning patents or other proprietary rights;  
the recruitment or departure of key personnel;

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

variations in our financial results or those of companies that are perceived to be similar to us;  
market conditions in the pharmaceutical and biotechnology sectors and issuance of new or changed securities analysts' reports or recommendations;  
general economic, industry and market conditions; and  
the other factors described in this "Risk Factors" section.

*We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future.*

We currently intend to retain our future earnings, if any, to fund the development and growth of our business. Any future debt agreements that we enter into may limit our ability to pay dividends. As a result, capital appreciation, if any, of our common stock will be the sole source of gain for our stockholders for the foreseeable future.

*A significant portion of our total outstanding shares are restricted from immediate resale but may be sold into the market in the near future. This could cause the market price of our common stock to drop significantly, even if our business is doing well.*

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market could occur at any time. These sales or the perception in the market that the holders of a large number of shares intend to sell shares, could reduce the market price of our common stock. Moreover, holders of an aggregate of approximately 21.4 million shares of our common stock outstanding as of October 26, 2007 have the right to require us to register these shares of common stock under specified circumstances.

### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

#### Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities

Not applicable.

#### Use of Proceeds

On November 20, 2006, we completed an initial public offering of 5,000,000 shares of our common stock pursuant to a registration statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-136622), which was declared effective by the SEC on November 14, 2006. We received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$54.2 million, after deducting underwriting discounts and commissions and other offering expenses.

Through September 30, 2007, we have used approximately \$3.6 million of the net proceeds from the offering to fund development of our biodefense product candidates, comprised of approximately \$1.1 million for label expansions and improvements for BioThrax, approximately \$740,000 for a next generation anthrax vaccine candidate and approximately \$1.7 million for our anthrax immune globulin candidate; approximately \$4.7 million of the net proceeds to fund development of our commercial product candidates, comprised of approximately \$2.3 million for our typhoid vaccine candidate and approximately \$2.4 million for our hepatitis B therapeutic vaccine candidate; and approximately \$15.8 million of the net proceeds to fund a portion of the construction, installation, validation and qualification activities costs for our new

## Edgar Filing: GLADSTONE CAPITAL CORP - Form N-2/A

manufacturing facility in Lansing. We have not used any of the net proceeds from the offering to make payments, directly or indirectly, to any director or officer of ours, or any of their associates, to any person owning 10 percent or more of our common stock or to any affiliate of ours. We have invested the balance of the net proceeds from the offering in short-term, investment grade, interest-bearing instruments. There has been no material change in our planned use of the balance of the net proceeds from the offering as described in our final prospectus filed with the SEC pursuant to Rule 424(b) under the Securities Act.

**ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS**

Not applicable.

**ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

Effective October 30, 2007, our Board of Directors approved amendments to Article IV, Sections 4.2, 4.3 and 4.6 of our Amended and Restated By-laws to permit the issuance and transfer of both certificated and uncertificated shares of capital stock, to comply with rules enacted by the New York Stock Exchange ( NYSE ). The NYSE rules require all securities listed on the NYSE to be eligible for a direct registration system operated by a clearing agency by January 2008. The direct registration system allows investors to have shares registered in their own names by book-entry. Book-entry allows shares to be owned, recorded and transferred electronically without issuance of physical stock certificates, which enables transactions without the risks and delays associated with transferring physical certificates. Prior to this amendment, the Amended and Restated By-laws were silent on the issuance of uncertificated shares.

The summary of changes to the Amended and Restated By-laws set forth above is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the amendment, a copy of which is attached to this report as Exhibit 3.2 and incorporated herein by reference.

**ITEM 6. EXHIBITS**

The exhibits required to be filed by Item 601 of Regulation S-K are listed in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding the exhibits hereto.

**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

**EMERGENT BIOSOLUTIONS INC.**

Date: November 2, 2007

By: /s/ Fuad El-Hibri  
Fuad El-Hibri  
Chief Executive Officer and  
Chairman of the Board of Directors  
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 2, 2007

By: /s/R. Don Elsey  
R. Don Elsey  
Sr. Vice President Finance, Chief Financial  
Officer and Treasurer  
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

**Exhibit**

<b>Number</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.2	Amendment to Amended and Restated By-Laws
10.1	Contract No. HHSO100200700037C, dated September 25, 2007, between Emergent BioDefense Operations Lansing Inc. and Department of Health and Human Services
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-14(a)
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002