

MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC
Form 10-Q
November 05, 2012
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 001-08430

McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

REPUBLIC OF PANAMA
(State or Other Jurisdiction of

72-0593134
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

757 N. ELDRIDGE PKWY

HOUSTON, TEXAS
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

77079
(Zip Code)

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code: (281) 870-5000

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding at October 26, 2012 was 235,844,291.

Table of Contents

McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

INDEX FORM 10-Q

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1 Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited) Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 4 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited) September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011</u> | 5 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 6 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Equity (Unaudited) Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 and 2011</u> | 7 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 8 |
| <u>Item 2 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 24 |
| <u>Item 3 Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 4 Controls and Procedures</u> | 35 |
| PART II OTHER INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1 Legal Proceedings</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 2 Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 6 Exhibits</u> | 37 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 38 |

Table of Contents

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|--|------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands, except share and per share amounts) | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 1,028,745 | \$ 879,894 | \$ 2,645,671 | \$ 2,628,935 |
| Costs and Expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of operations | 889,823 | 802,951 | 2,246,961 | 2,253,981 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 51,834 | 48,046 | 145,927 | 163,827 |
| Gain on asset disposals | (85) | (7,811) | (282) | (8,107) |
| Total costs and expenses | 941,572 | 843,186 | 2,392,606 | 2,409,701 |
| Equity in Income (Loss) of Unconsolidated Affiliates | (4,692) | (1,492) | (11,026) | 59 |
| Operating Income | 82,481 | 35,216 | 242,039 | 219,293 |
| Other Income (Expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | 996 | 319 | 4,215 | 1,060 |
| Interest expense | | (152) | | (415) |
| Gain (loss) on foreign currency net | 488 | 504 | 11,185 | (2,356) |
| Other income (expense) net | 242 | (298) | (288) | (1,586) |
| Total other income (expense) | 1,726 | 373 | 15,112 | (3,297) |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes and noncontrolling interests | 84,207 | 35,589 | 257,151 | 215,996 |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 29,916 | 20,535 | 87,004 | 60,351 |
| Income from continuing operations before noncontrolling interests | 54,291 | 15,054 | 170,147 | 155,645 |
| Gain on disposal of discontinued operations | | | 257 | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 1,187 | 3,240 | 6,459 |
| Total income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 1,187 | 3,497 | 6,459 |
| Net Income | 54,291 | 16,241 | 173,644 | 162,104 |
| Less: Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 3,679 | 5,290 | 7,535 | 13,405 |
| Net Income Attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | \$ 50,612 | \$ 10,951 | \$ 166,109 | \$ 148,699 |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Earnings per Common Share:

| | | | | |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Basic: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations, less noncontrolling interests | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.69 | 0.61 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations, less noncontrolling interests | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.68 | 0.60 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.69 | 0.63 |
| Shares used in the computation of earnings per share: | | | | |
| Basic | 235,817,203 | 234,940,184 | 235,568,889 | 234,451,430 |
| Diluted | 237,867,000 | 236,947,663 | 237,553,463 | 237,079,305 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 54,291 | \$ 16,241 | \$ 173,644 | \$ 162,104 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax: | | | | |
| Amortization of benefit plan costs | 2,864 | 4,080 | 8,590 | 14,136 |
| Unrealized gain on benefit plan revaluation | | | | 9,883 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on investments | 580 | (753) | 1,708 | (117) |
| Realized loss on investments | | | | 20 |
| Translation adjustments | 4,286 | (11,277) | 10,725 | (7,246) |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on derivatives | 19,324 | (6,536) | (4,779) | 4,842 |
| Realized (gain) loss on derivatives | 2,978 | 288 | 5,379 | 142 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax ⁽¹⁾ | 30,032 | (14,198) | 21,623 | 21,660 |
| Total Comprehensive Income | \$ 84,323 | \$ 2,043 | \$ 195,267 | \$ 183,764 |
| Less: Comprehensive Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 3,679 | 4,703 | 7,636 | 14,328 |
| Comprehensive Income (Loss) Attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | \$ 80,644 | \$ (2,660) | \$ 187,631 | \$ 169,436 |

⁽¹⁾ The tax impact on amounts presented in other comprehensive income is not significant.
See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|---|----------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | (In thousands, except share and per share amounts) | |
| Assets | | |
| Current Assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 638,794 | \$ 570,854 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | 23,074 | 21,962 |
| Investments | 28,737 | 109,522 |
| Accounts receivable trade, net | 548,068 | 445,808 |
| Accounts receivable other | 63,774 | 53,386 |
| Contracts in progress | 499,339 | 287,390 |
| Deferred income taxes | 11,580 | 11,931 |
| Other current assets | 41,155 | 36,332 |
| Total Current Assets | 1,854,521 | 1,537,185 |
| Property, Plant and Equipment | 2,018,481 | 1,958,877 |
| Less accumulated depreciation | (819,645) | (857,012) |
| Net Property, Plant and Equipment | 1,198,836 | 1,101,865 |
| Assets Held for Sale | 28,724 | 55,571 |
| Investments | 30,097 | 29,484 |
| Goodwill | 41,202 | 41,202 |
| Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates | 35,430 | 42,659 |
| Other Assets | 175,233 | 184,848 |
| Total Assets | \$ 3,364,043 | \$ 2,992,814 |
| Liabilities and Equity | | |
| Current Liabilities: | | |
| Notes payable and current maturities of long-term debt | \$ 14,146 | \$ 8,941 |
| Accounts payable | 375,954 | 315,514 |
| Accrued liabilities | 395,234 | 309,515 |
| Advance billings on contracts | 307,144 | 320,438 |
| Deferred income taxes | 13,213 | 13,187 |
| Income taxes payable | 69,383 | 54,181 |
| Total Current Liabilities | 1,175,074 | 1,021,776 |
| Long-Term Debt | 94,140 | 84,794 |
| Self-Insurance | 27,867 | 23,585 |
| Pension Liability | 19,292 | 21,295 |
| Other Liabilities | 124,979 | 107,652 |
| Commitments and Contingencies | | |
| Stockholders' Equity: | | |
| Common stock, par value \$1.00 per share, authorized 400,000,000 shares; issued 243,419,194 and 242,416,424 shares at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | 243,419 | 242,416 |
| Capital in excess of par value | 1,387,311 | 1,375,976 |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|
| Retained earnings | 405,212 | 239,103 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 7,574,903 and 7,359,983 shares at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | (98,725) | (95,827) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (80,508) | (102,030) |
| Stockholders' Equity - McDermott International, Inc. | 1,856,709 | 1,659,638 |
| Noncontrolling Interests | 65,982 | 74,074 |
| Total Equity | 1,922,691 | 1,733,712 |
| Total Liabilities and Equity | \$ 3,364,043 | \$ 2,992,814 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 2011 (Unaudited) (In thousands) | |
|---|--|------------|
| Cash Flows From Operating Activities: | | |
| Net income | \$ 173,644 | \$ 162,104 |
| Less: Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | 3,497 | 6,459 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 170,147 | \$ 155,645 |
| Non-cash items included in net income: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 65,956 | 59,900 |
| Drydock amortization | 21,606 | 18,336 |
| Equity in (income) loss of unconsolidated affiliates | 11,026 | (59) |
| Gain on asset disposals and impairments net | (282) | (8,107) |
| Provision (benefit) from deferred taxes | 3,929 | (2,910) |
| Stock-based compensation charges | 11,449 | 8,340 |
| Pension costs | 1,152 | 15,367 |
| Other non-cash items | 5,178 | 5,909 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net of effects from dispositions: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (113,460) | 26,238 |
| Net contracts in progress and advance billings on contracts | (225,340) | (380,635) |
| Accounts payable | 58,675 | 44,667 |
| Accrued and other current liabilities | 66,125 | 71,148 |
| Pension liability and accrued postretirement and employee benefits | 17,322 | (51,041) |
| Other assets and liabilities | 16,166 | (33,663) |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities Continuing Operations | 109,649 | (70,865) |
| Net Cash Used In Operating Activities Discontinued Operations | | (211) |
| Total Cash Provided By (Used In) Operating Activities | 109,649 | (71,076) |
| Cash Flows From Investing Activities: | | |
| Purchases of property, plant and equipment | (179,284) | (231,872) |
| (Increase) decrease in restricted cash and cash equivalents | (1,112) | 183,564 |
| Purchases of available-for-sale securities | (82,735) | (516,628) |
| Sales and maturities of available-for-sale securities | 164,807 | 601,128 |
| Proceeds from asset disposals | 405 | 8,483 |
| Other investing activities, net | (2,305) | (16) |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities Continuing Operations | (100,224) | 44,659 |
| Net Cash Provided By Investing Activities Discontinued Operations | 60,671 | |
| Total Cash Provided By (Used In) Investing Activities | (39,553) | 44,659 |
| Cash Flows From Financing Activities: | | |
| Increase in debt | 19,033 | 40,212 |
| Payment of debt | (4,482) | (6,473) |
| Debt issuance costs | 64 | (4,824) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling interests | (15,728) | |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|------------|
| Other financing activities, net | (2,706) | (2,059) |
| Net Cash Provided By (Used In) Financing Activities Continuing Operations | (3,819) | 26,856 |
| Effects of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | 1,663 | (313) |
| Net increase in cash and cash equivalents | 67,940 | 126 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 570,854 | 403,463 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period Continuing Operations | \$ 638,794 | \$ 403,589 |
| Supplemental Disclosures of Cash Flow Information: | | |
| Cash paid during the period for: | | |
| Income taxes (net of refunds) | \$ 58,157 | \$ 45,932 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY**

| | Common Stock | | | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive | | | Non- | Total |
|----------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------|--------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------|
| | Shares | Par Value | Capital In Excess of Par Value | Retained Earnings | Treasury Stock (Unaudited) | Income (Loss) | Stockholders Equity | Controlling Interests | Equity |
| | (In thousands, except share amounts) | | | | | | | | |
| Balance | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2010 | 240,791,473 | \$ 240,791 | \$ 1,357,316 | \$ 100,373 | \$ (85,735) | \$ (163,717) | \$ 1,449,028 | \$ 63,239 | \$ 1,512,267 |
| Net income | | | | 148,699 | | | 148,699 | 13,405 | 162,104 |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| comprehensive | | | | | | | | | |
| income, net of tax | | | | | | 20,737 | 20,737 | 923 | 21,660 |
| Exercise of stock | | | | | | | | | |
| options | 464,196 | 464 | 1,994 | | | | 2,458 | | 2,458 |
| Share vesting | 996,252 | 997 | (997) | | | | | | |
| Purchase of treasury | | | | | | | | | |
| shares | | | | | (9,526) | | (9,526) | | (9,526) |
| Stock-based | | | | | | | | | |
| compensation | | | | | | | | | |
| charges | | | 14,655 | | | | 14,655 | | 14,655 |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling | | | | | | | | | |
| interests | | | | | | | | (2,523) | (2,523) |
| Balance | | | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2011 | 242,251,921 | \$ 242,252 | \$ 1,372,968 | \$ 249,072 | \$ (95,261) | \$ (142,980) | \$ 1,626,051 | \$ 75,044 | \$ 1,701,095 |
| Balance | | | | | | | | | |
| December 31, 2011 | 242,416,424 | \$ 242,416 | \$ 1,375,976 | \$ 239,103 | \$ (95,827) | \$ (102,030) | \$ 1,659,638 | \$ 74,074 | \$ 1,733,712 |
| Net income | | | | 166,109 | | | 166,109 | 7,535 | 173,644 |
| Other | | | | | | | | | |
| comprehensive | | | | | | | | | |
| income, net of tax | | | | | | 21,522 | 21,522 | 101 | 21,623 |
| Exercise of stock | | | | | | | | | |
| options | 191,984 | 192 | 697 | | | | 889 | | 889 |
| Share vesting | 810,786 | 811 | (811) | | (36) | | (36) | | (36) |
| Purchase of treasury | | | | | | | | | |
| shares | | | | | (2,862) | | (2,862) | | (2,862) |
| Stock-based | | | | | | | | | |
| compensation | | | | | | | | | |
| charges | | | 11,449 | | | | 11,449 | | 11,449 |
| Distributions to | | | | | | | | | |
| noncontrolling | | | | | | | | | |
| interests | | | | | | | | (15,728) | (15,728) |
| Balance | | | | | | | | | |
| September 30, 2012 | 243,419,194 | \$ 243,419 | \$ 1,387,311 | \$ 405,212 | \$ (98,725) | \$ (80,508) | \$ 1,856,709 | \$ 65,982 | \$ 1,922,691 |

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

McDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2012

(UNAUDITED)

NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Nature of Operations

McDermott International, Inc. (MII), a corporation incorporated under the laws of the Republic of Panama, is a leading engineering, procurement, construction and installation (EPCI) company focused on designing and executing complex offshore oil and gas projects worldwide. Providing fully integrated EPCI services for oil and gas field developments, we deliver fixed and floating production facilities, pipeline and subsea systems from concept to commissioning. We support these activities with comprehensive project management and procurement services. Our customers include national, major integrated and other oil and gas companies, and we operate in most major offshore oil and gas producing regions throughout the world. In these notes to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, unless the context otherwise indicates, we, us and our mean MII and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Basis of Presentation

We have presented our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in U.S. Dollars, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC) applicable to interim reporting. Financial information and disclosures normally included in our financial statements prepared annually in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) have been condensed or omitted. Readers of these financial statements should, therefore, refer to the consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

We have included all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of McDermott International, Inc., its consolidated subsidiaries and controlled entities. We use the equity method to account for investments in entities that we do not control, but over which we have significant influence. We generally refer to these entities as joint ventures or unconsolidated affiliates. We have eliminated intercompany transactions and accounts.

During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we committed to a plan to sell three of our multi-function vessels, specifically the *Bold Endurance*, *DB 16* and *DB 26*. Accordingly, these vessels have been reclassified as held for sale in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2012. Assets classified as held for sale are no longer depreciated. Additionally, on March 19, 2012, we completed the sale of our former charter fleet business, which operated 10 of the 14 vessels acquired in our 2007 acquisition of substantially all of the assets of Secunda International Limited (the Secunda Acquisition). The condensed consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, cash flows and equity reflect the historical operations of the charter fleet business as a discontinued operation through March 19, 2012. The consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 reflects the charter fleet business as held for sale. Accordingly, we have presented the notes to our condensed consolidated financial statements on the basis of continuing operations. In addition, certain 2011 amounts in the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation.

Business Segments

We operate in four primary operating segments, which consist of Asia Pacific, Atlantic, Caspian and the Middle East. The Caspian and Middle East operating segments are aggregated into the Middle East reporting segment due to the proximity of regions and similarities in the nature of services provided, economic characteristics and oversight responsibilities. Accordingly, we report financial results under reporting segments consisting of Asia Pacific, Atlantic and the Middle East. We also report certain corporate and other non-operating activities under the heading Corporate and Other. Corporate and Other primarily reflects corporate personnel and activities, incentive compensation programs and other costs, which are generally fully allocated to our operating segments. See Note 8 for summarized financial information on our segments.

Revenue Recognition

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

We determine the appropriate accounting method for each of our long-term contracts before work on the project begins. We generally recognize contract revenues and related costs on a percentage-of-completion method for individual contracts or combinations of contracts based on work performed, man hours, or a cost-to-cost method, as applicable to the activity involved. We include the amount of accumulated contract costs and estimated earnings that exceed billings to customers in contracts in progress.

Table of Contents

We include billings to customers that exceed accumulated contract costs and estimated earnings in advance billings on contracts. Most long-term contracts contain provisions for progress payments. We expect to invoice customers for all unbilled revenues. Certain costs are generally excluded from the cost-to-cost method of measuring progress, such as significant costs for materials and third-party subcontractors. Total estimated costs, and resulting contract income, are affected by changes in the expected cost of materials and labor, productivity, scheduling and other factors. Additionally, external factors such as weather, customer requirements and other factors outside of our control may affect the progress and estimated cost of a project's completion and, therefore, the timing and amount of revenue and income recognition. In addition, change orders, which are a normal and recurring part of our business, can increase (and sometimes substantially) the future scope and cost of a job. Therefore, change order awards (although frequently beneficial in the long term) can have the short-term effect of reducing the job percentage of completion and thus the revenues and profits recognized to date. We regularly review contract price and cost estimates as the work progresses and reflect adjustments in profit, proportionate to the job percentage of completion in the period when those estimates are revised.

Deferred Profit Recognition

For contracts as to which we are unable to estimate the final profitability except to assure that no loss will ultimately be incurred, we recognize equal amounts of revenue and cost until the final results can be estimated more precisely. For these contracts, we only recognize gross margin when reasonably estimable, which we generally determine to be when the contract is approximately 70% complete. We treat long-term construction contracts that contain such a level of risk and uncertainty that estimation of the final outcome is impractical, except to assure that no loss will be incurred, as deferred profit recognition contracts. We currently have two projects that we account for under our deferred profit recognition policy. These projects contributed revenues equal to costs totaling approximately \$22.2 million and \$6 million for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and approximately \$45.1 million and \$24.4 million for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Completed Contract Method

Our policy is to account for fixed-price contracts under the completed contract method if we believe that we are unable to reasonably forecast cost to complete at start-up. Under the completed contract method, revenue and gross profit is recognized only when a contract is completed or substantially complete. We generally do not enter into fixed-price contracts without an estimate of cost to complete that we believe to be accurate. However, it is possible that in the time between contract execution and the start of work on a project, we could lose the ability to forecast cost to complete based on intervening events, including, but not limited to, experience on similar projects, civil unrest, strikes and volatility in our expected costs. In such a situation, we would use the completed contract method of accounting for that project. We did not enter into any contracts that we have accounted for under the completed contract method during the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011.

A risk associated with fixed-priced contracts is that revenue from customers may not cover increases in our costs. It is possible that current estimates could materially change for various reasons, including, but not limited to, fluctuations in forecasted labor productivity, pipeline lay rates or steel and other raw material prices. Increases in costs associated with our fixed-price contracts could have a material adverse impact on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Alternatively, reductions in overall contract costs at completion could materially improve our consolidated financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Claims Revenue

We include claims revenue for extra work or changes in scope of work in contract value when we consider collection to be probable and the value can be reasonably estimated. Claim revenue is only recorded in our consolidated financial statements to the extent of associated costs. For the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, approximately \$7 million and \$45 million, respectively, of revenues equal to costs are reflected in our condensed consolidated financial statements pertaining to claims. We have also included approximately \$9 million and \$14 million of claim revenue and costs in our financial statements relating to our unconsolidated joint ventures for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012, respectively. The amounts recorded for claims in the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 were not material to the condensed consolidated financial statements. We continue to actively engage in negotiations with our customers. However, these claims may be resolved at amounts that differ from our current estimates, which could result in increases or decreases in future estimated contract profits or losses.

As of September 30, 2012, we have provided for our estimated costs to complete on all of our ongoing contracts. However, it is possible that current estimates could change due to unforeseen events, which could result in adjustments to overall contract costs. Variations from estimated contract performance could result in material adjustments to operating results for any quarter or year. For all contracts, if a current estimate of total contract cost indicates a loss, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined.

Table of Contents***Use of Estimates***

We use estimates and assumptions to prepare our financial statements in conformity with GAAP. These estimates and assumptions affect the amounts we report in our financial statements and accompanying notes. Our actual results could differ from these estimates, and variances could materially affect our financial condition and results of operations in future periods. Changes in project estimates generally excludes change orders and changes in scope, but may include, but are not limited to, unexpected changes in weather conditions, productivity, unidentified required vessel repairs, customer and vendor delays and other costs. We generally expect to experience a reasonable amount of unanticipated events, and some of these events can result in significant cost increases above cost amounts we previously estimated. The following is a discussion of our most significant changes in estimates, which impacted the periods presented in the condensed consolidated statements of income.

Three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011

Operating income for the three months ended September 30, 2011 was significantly impacted by changes in cost estimates relating to significant projects in each of our segments. The Atlantic segment experienced: (1) approximately \$28 million of incremental costs associated with a five-year marine charter in Brazil, primarily for increases in estimated vessel operating costs, overruns on certain vessel upgrades and drydock expenses for the *Agile* and estimated liquidated damages based on resulting delays in project commencement; and (2) approximately \$10 million of additional costs incurred on a marine project in Mexico, primarily attributable to unfavorable weather conditions in the Gulf of Mexico and Mexico importation delays, which caused reduced productivity and resulted in subcontractor standby costs. The Asia Pacific segment was impacted by increases in project cost estimates of approximately \$7 million on two significant marine projects, primarily related to the failure of a supplier product, other cost increases and adverse weather conditions. The Middle East segment recognized approximately \$5 million of increases in project cost estimates for increased vessel mobilization costs charged to a project.

Operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was significantly impacted by changes in cost estimates relating to significant projects in each of our segments. The Atlantic segment operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 reflected: (1) approximately \$35 million of incremental costs associated with a five-year marine charter in Brazil, primarily for increases in estimated vessel operating costs, overruns on certain vessel upgrades and drydock expenses for the *Agile* and estimated liquidated damages based on resulting delays in project commencement; and (2) approximately \$10 million of costs incurred on a marine project in Mexico, primarily attributable to unfavorable weather conditions in the Gulf of Mexico and Mexico importation delays, which caused reduced productivity and resulted in subcontractor standby costs. The Asia Pacific segment was impacted by increases in project estimates of approximately \$7 million on two significant marine projects, primarily related to the failure of a supplier product, other cost increases and adverse weather conditions. The Middle East segment recognized approximately \$5 million of increases in project estimates for increased vessel mobilization costs charged to a project.

Loss Contingencies

We record liabilities for loss contingencies when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of loss is reasonably estimable. We provide disclosure when there is a reasonable possibility that the ultimate loss will exceed the recorded provision or if such loss is not reasonably estimable. We are currently involved in litigation and other proceedings, as discussed in Note 9. We have accrued our estimates of the probable losses associated with these matters and associated legal costs are recognized in selling, general and administrative expenses as incurred. However, our losses are typically resolved over long periods of time and are often difficult to estimate due to various factors, including the possibility of multiple actions by third parties. Therefore, it is possible future earnings could be affected by changes in our estimates related to these matters.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Our cash and cash equivalents are highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less when we purchase them.

We record cash and cash equivalents as restricted when we are unable to freely use such cash and cash equivalents for our general operating purposes. At September 30, 2012, we had restricted cash and cash equivalents totaling \$23.1 million, all of which was held in restricted foreign-entity accounts.

Table of Contents**Investments**

We classify investments available for current operations in the balance sheet as current assets, and we classify investments held for long-term purposes as noncurrent assets. We adjust the amortized cost of debt securities for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. That amortization is included in interest income. We include realized gains and losses on our investments in other income (expense) net. The cost of securities sold is based on the specific identification method. We include interest earned on securities in interest income.

Investments in Unconsolidated Affiliates

We use the equity method of accounting for affiliates in which our investment ownership ranges from 20% to 50%. Currently, most of our significant investments in affiliates that are not consolidated are recorded using the equity method. Investments in entities where our ownership interest is less than 20% and where we are unable to exert significant influence are carried at cost.

Accounts Receivable**Accounts Receivable Trade, Net**

A summary of contract receivables is as follows:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Contract receivables: | | |
| Contracts in progress | \$ 421,463 | \$ 371,223 |
| Completed contracts | 43,195 | 28,369 |
| Retainages | 100,816 | 65,248 |
| Unbilled | 4,710 | 5,650 |
| Less allowances | (22,116) | (24,682) |
| Accounts receivable trade, net | \$ 548,068 | \$ 445,808 |

We expect to invoice our unbilled receivables after contractually specified milestones or other metrics are reached, and we expect to collect all unbilled amounts. We believe that our provision for losses on uncollectible accounts receivable is adequate for our credit loss exposure.

The following amounts represent retainages on contracts:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Retainages expected to be collected within one year | \$ 100,816 | \$ 65,248 |
| Retainages expected to be collected after one year | 57,134 | 74,539 |
| Total retainages | \$ 157,950 | \$ 139,787 |

We have included in accounts receivable trade, net, retainages expected to be collected within one year. Retainages expected to be collected after one year are included in other assets.

Accounts Receivable Other

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Accounts receivable other was \$63.8 million and \$53.4 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The balance primarily consists of transactions with unconsolidated and other affiliates, transactions with and advances to employees, value-added and other non-income related taxes expected to be refunded and receivables associated with our hedging activities. These amounts are expected to be collected within 12 months, and any allowance for doubtful accounts on our accounts receivable other is based on our estimate of the amount of probable losses due to the inability to collect these amounts (based on historical collection experience and other available information). As of September 30, 2012 and as of December 31, 2011, no such allowance for doubtful accounts was recorded.

Table of Contents

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In addition to defining fair value, the authoritative accounting guidance expands disclosures about fair value measurements and establishes a hierarchy for valuation inputs that emphasizes the use of observable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The fair value hierarchy established by this topic is broken down as follows:

Level 1 inputs are based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.

Level 2 inputs are based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for similar or identical instruments in inactive markets and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets and liabilities.

Level 3 inputs are generally unobservable and typically reflect management's estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. The fair values are therefore determined using model-based techniques that include option pricing models, discounted cash flow models and similar valuation techniques.

The carrying amounts that we have reported for financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivables and accounts payable approximate their fair values. See Note 5 for additional information regarding fair value measurements.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Our worldwide operations give rise to exposure to changes in certain market conditions, which may adversely impact our financial performance. When we deem it appropriate, we use derivatives as a risk management tool to mitigate the potential impacts of certain market risks. The primary market risk we manage through the use of derivative instruments is movement in foreign currency exchange rates. We use foreign currency derivative contracts to reduce the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates on our operating results. We generally use these instruments to hedge our exposure associated with revenues and/or costs on our long-term contracts and other cash flow exposures that are denominated in currencies other than our operating entities' functional currencies. We do not hold or issue financial instruments for trading or other speculative purposes.

In certain cases, contracts with our customers may contain provisions under which payments from our customers are denominated in U.S. Dollars and in a foreign currency. The payments denominated in a foreign currency are designed to compensate us for costs that we expect to incur in such foreign currency. In these cases, we may use derivative instruments to reduce the risks associated with foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations arising from differences in timing of our foreign currency cash inflows and outflows.

Foreign Currency Translation

We translate assets and liabilities of our foreign operations, other than operations in highly inflationary economies, into U.S. Dollars at period-end exchange rates, and we translate income statement items at average exchange rates for the periods presented. We record adjustments resulting from the translation of foreign currency financial statements as a component of other comprehensive income (loss), net of tax.

Earnings per Share

We have computed earnings per common share on the basis of the weighted average number of common shares, and, where dilutive, common share equivalents, outstanding during the indicated periods. See Note 7 for our earnings per share computations.

Table of Contents**Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss**

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss included in stockholders' equity are as follows:

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|--|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustments | \$ (1,713) | \$ (12,438) |
| Net loss on investments | (2,695) | (4,403) |
| Net gain on derivative financial instruments | 3,588 | 3,089 |
| Unrecognized losses on benefit obligations | (79,688) | (88,278) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | \$ (80,508) | \$ (102,030) |

Impairment Review

We review goodwill for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently if circumstances indicate that impairment may exist. The annual impairment review involves comparing the fair value to the net book value of each applicable reporting unit and, therefore, is significantly impacted by estimates and judgments.

We review our long-lived assets for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. If an evaluation is required, the fair value of each applicable asset is compared to its carrying value. Factors that impact our determination of potential impairment include forecasted utilization of equipment and estimates of forecasted cash flows from projects expected to be performed in future periods. Our estimates of cash flow may differ from actual cash flow due to, among other things, technological changes, economic conditions or changes in operating performance. Any changes in such factors may negatively affect our business segments and result in future asset impairments.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In May 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued an update to the topic *Fair Value Measurement*. This update provides guidance about how fair value should be applied where it is already required or permitted under GAAP. The update does not extend the use of fair value or require additional fair value measurements, but rather provides explanations about how to measure fair value and requires prospective application. The update is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this update did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In June 2011, the FASB issued an update to the topic *Comprehensive Income*. This update eliminates the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of equity and requires those components to instead be presented as one continuous statement with the statement of operations or as a separate, consecutive financial statement. The update is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this update did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

In September 2011, the FASB issued an update to the topic *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*. This update amends current guidance on the testing of goodwill for impairment, by providing an entity with the option to first assess qualitative factors to determine whether it is more likely than not that the fair value of a reporting unit is less than its carrying amount, prior to calculating the fair value of the reporting unit. The update is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this update did not have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

NOTE 2 DISPOSITIONS**Assets Held for Sale**

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we committed to a plan to sell three of our multi-function marine vessels, specifically the *Bold Endurance*, *DB 16* and *DB 26*. As a result, we have reclassified approximately \$31.4 million (\$2.7 million and \$28.7 million in other current assets and long-term assets, respectively) pertaining to these assets to assets held for sale from property, plant and equipment in our condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2012. Assets classified as held for sale are no longer depreciated.

Table of Contents**Charter Fleet Business Discontinued Operation**

On March 19, 2012, we completed the sale of our former charter fleet business, which operated 10 of the 14 vessels acquired in our 2007 Secunda Acquisition. The cash proceeds from the charter fleet sale were approximately \$61 million, resulting in a gain on the sale of approximately \$0.3 million.

The following table presents selected financial information regarding the results of operations attributable to our charter fleet business:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---|---|---------------------|---|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2011 ⁽²⁾ | (Unaudited) | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Revenues | \$ | \$ 13,404 | \$ 8,184 | \$ 33,970 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | | 2,004 | 3,497 | 6,745 |
| Provision for income taxes | | (817) | | (2,286) |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | \$ | \$ 1,187 | \$ 3,497 | \$ 4,459 |

(1) Includes the charter fleet operations through March 19, 2012.

(2) Amounts presented exclude a \$2.0 million recovery of an environmental reserve associated with our 2006 sale of Talleres Navales del Golfo, S.A. de C.V.

The following table presents the carrying values of the major classes of assets and liabilities held for sale that are included in our condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011:

| | December 31, 2011 |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|
| | (In thousands) |
| Other current assets | \$ 3,197 |
| Property, plant and equipment net | 45,892 |
| Other assets | 9,679 |
| Total long-term assets held for sale | \$ 55,571 |

Vessel Sale

On August 26, 2011, we completed the sale of the *DB 23* marine vessel. Cash consideration received from the vessel sale was approximately \$8.0 million, resulting in a pre-tax gain of \$7.7 million that is included in our condensed consolidated statements of income for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2011 for the Atlantic segment.

NOTE 3 PENSION PLANS

Although we currently provide retirement benefits for most of our U.S. employees through sponsorship of the McDermott Thrift Plan, some of our longer-term U.S. employees and former employees are entitled to retirement benefits under the McDermott (U.S.) Retirement Plan, a non-contributory qualified defined benefit pension plan (the McDermott Plan), and several non-qualified supplemental defined benefit pension

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

plans. The McDermott Plan and the non-qualified supplemental defined benefit pension plans are collectively referred to herein as the Domestic Plans. The McDermott Plan has been closed to new participants since 2006, and benefit accruals under the McDermott Plan were frozen completely in 2010.

We also sponsor a defined benefit pension plan established under the laws of the Commonwealth of the Bahamas, the J. Ray McDermott, S.A. Third Country National Employees Pension Plan (the TCN Plan) which provides retirement benefits for certain of our current and former foreign employees. Effective August 1, 2011, new entry into the TCN Plan was closed, and effective December 31, 2011, benefit accruals under the TCN Plan were frozen. Effective January 1, 2012, we established a new global defined contribution plan to provide retirement benefits to employees who may have otherwise obtained benefits under the TCN Plan.

Table of Contents

Net periodic benefit cost for the Domestic Plans and the TCN Plan includes the following components:

| | Domestic Plans | | | |
|---|--|----------|---|-----------|
| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Interest cost | \$ 6,631 | \$ 7,129 | \$ 19,893 | \$ 21,359 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (8,952) | (8,069) | (26,857) | (22,144) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss and other | 2,409 | 3,365 | 7,227 | 12,087 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 88 | \$ 2,425 | \$ 263 | \$ 11,302 |

| | TCN Plan | | | |
|---|--|----------|---|----------|
| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Service cost | \$ 684 | \$ 684 | \$ 1,382 | \$ 2,055 |
| Interest cost | 460 | 594 | 1,382 | 1,784 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (610) | (612) | (1,832) | (1,838) |
| Recognized net actuarial loss and other | 446 | 688 | 1,339 | 2,064 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 296 | \$ 1,354 | \$ 889 | \$ 4,065 |

NOTE 4 DERIVATIVE FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

We enter into derivative financial instruments primarily to hedge certain firm purchase commitments and forecasted transactions denominated in foreign currencies. We record these contracts at fair value on our consolidated balance sheets. Depending on the hedge designation at the inception of the contract, the related gains and losses on these contracts are either: (1) deferred as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (AOCI) until the hedged item is recognized in earnings; (2) offset against the change in fair value of the hedged firm commitment through earnings; or (3) recognized immediately in earnings. At the inception and on an ongoing basis, we assess the hedging relationship to determine its effectiveness in offsetting changes in cash flows or fair value attributable to the hedged risk. We exclude from our assessment of effectiveness the portion of the fair value of the forward contracts attributable to the difference between spot exchange rates and forward exchange rates. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value and any portion excluded from the assessment of effectiveness are immediately recognized in earnings. Gains and losses on derivative financial instruments that are immediately recognized in earnings are included as a component of gain (loss) on foreign currency net in our condensed consolidated statements of income. At September 30, 2012, we had designated the majority of our foreign currency forward-exchange contracts as cash flow hedging instruments.

At September 30, 2012, we had deferred \$3.6 million of net gains on these derivative financial instruments in AOCI, and we expect to reclassify approximately \$1.3 million of deferred losses out of AOCI over the next 12 months.

At September 30, 2012, our derivative financial instruments consisted of foreign currency forward-exchange contracts. The notional value of our outstanding derivative contracts totaled approximately \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2012, with maturities extending through 2017. Of this amount, approximately \$870 million is associated with various foreign currency expenditures we expect to incur on one of our EPCI projects. The fair value of these contracts at September 30, 2012 was in a net asset position totaling \$7.3 million. The fair value of outstanding derivative instruments is determined using observable financial market inputs, such as quoted market prices, and is classified as Level 2 in nature.

Table of Contents

The following tables summarize our derivative financial instruments:

Asset and Liability Derivatives

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 (Unaudited) (In thousands) |
|--|-----------------------|---|
| Derivatives Designated as Hedges: | | |
| Location | | |
| Accounts receivable other | \$ 9,524 | \$ 2,765 |
| Other assets | 7,736 | 66 |
| Total asset derivatives | \$ 17,260 | \$ 2,831 |
| Accounts payable | \$ 8,344 | \$ 6,891 |
| Other liabilities | 1,642 | 969 |
| Total liability derivatives | \$ 9,986 | \$ 7,860 |

The Effects of Derivative Instruments on our Financial Statements

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 (Unaudited) | |
|---|---|------------|---|------------|
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Derivatives Designated as Hedges: | | | | |
| Amount of gain (loss) recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) | \$ 19,324 | \$ (6,536) | \$ (4,880) | \$ 4,842 |
| Income (loss) reclassified from AOCI into income: effective portion | | | | |
| Location | | | | |
| Cost of operations | \$ 2,867 | \$ 468 | \$ 4,837 | \$ 426 |
| Loss recognized in income: ineffective portion and amount excluded from effectiveness testing | | | | |
| Location | | | | |
| Gain (loss) on foreign currency net | \$ 3,546 | \$ (1,437) | \$ 15,011 | \$ (3,260) |

Table of Contents**NOTE 5 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS**

The following tables summarize our available-for-sale securities measured at fair value:

| | September 30, 2012 | Level 1 (Unaudited) | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|--|--------------------|------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | | (In thousands) | | |
| Mutual funds ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 1,998 | \$ | \$ 1,998 | \$ |
| Commercial paper | 42,480 | | 42,480 | |
| Asset-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations ⁽²⁾ | 8,595 | | 2,138 | 6,457 |
| Corporate notes and bonds ⁽³⁾ | 5,761 | | 5,761 | |
| Total | \$ 58,834 | \$ | \$ 52,377 | \$ 6,457 |

| | December 31, 2011 | Level 1 (In thousands) | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|---|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------|
| Mutual funds | \$ 1,923 | \$ | \$ 1,923 | \$ |
| Commercial paper | 123,210 | | 123,210 | |
| Asset-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 8,131 | | 2,101 | 6,030 |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 5,742 | | 5,742 | |
| Total | \$ 139,006 | \$ | \$ 132,976 | \$ 6,030 |

⁽¹⁾ Various U.S. equities and other investments managed under mutual funds.

⁽²⁾ Asset-backed and mortgage-backed securities with maturities of up to 26 years.

⁽³⁾ Corporate notes and bonds with maturities of three years or less.

Our Level 2 investments consist of commercial paper, corporate notes and bonds, asset-backed commercial paper notes backed by a pool of mortgage-backed securities and mutual funds. The fair value of our Level 2 investments was determined using a market approach which is based on quoted prices and other information for similar or identical instruments.

Our Level 3 investment consists of asset-backed commercial paper notes backed by a pool of mortgage-backed securities. The fair value of this Level 3 investment was based on the calculation of an overall weighted-average valuation, using the prices of the underlying individual securities. Individual securities in the pool were valued based on market observed prices, where available. If market prices were not available, prices of similar securities backed by similar assets were used.

Changes in Level 3 Instrument

The following is a summary of the changes in our Level 3 instrument measured on a recurring basis for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011:

| Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | 2011 | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2012 | 2011 |
|---|------|--|------|
| (Unaudited) | | | |
| (In thousands) | | | |

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 6,338 | \$ 7,072 | \$ 6,030 | \$ 7,372 |
| Total realized and unrealized gains (losses) | 459 | (318) | 1,357 | 147 |
| Principal repayments | (340) | (351) | (930) | (1,116) |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 6,457 | \$ 6,403 | \$ 6,457 | \$ 6,403 |

Table of Contents***Unrealized Losses on Investments***

Our net unrealized loss on investments was \$2.7 million and \$4.4 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The investments in an unrealized loss position for twelve months or longer are asset-backed and mortgage-backed obligations. These investments have generally shown a positive trend, continue to perform and we currently do not have the intent to sell these securities before their anticipated recovery. Based on our analysis of these investments, we believe that none of our available-for-sale securities were other than temporarily impaired as of September 30, 2012. The amount of investments in an unrealized loss position for less than twelve months was not significant for either of the periods presented. The following is a summary of our available-for-sale securities with unrealized losses greater than twelve months:

| | Twelve Months or Greater Unrealized Losses (Unaudited) | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Losses |
| September 30, 2012 | (In thousands) | |
| Mutual funds | \$ 1,998 | \$ |
| Commercial paper | 42,480 | |
| Asset-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 8,595 | (2,742) |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 5,761 | |
| Total | \$ 58,834 | \$ (2,742) |

| | Twelve Months or Greater Unrealized Losses (Unaudited) | |
|---|---|-------------------|
| | Fair Value | Losses |
| December 31, 2011 | (In thousands) | |
| Mutual funds | \$ 1,923 | \$ |
| Commercial paper | 123,210 | |
| Asset-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 8,131 | (4,358) |
| Corporate notes and bonds | 5,742 | |
| Total | \$ 139,006 | \$ (4,358) |

Other Financial Instruments

We used the following methods and assumptions in estimating our fair value disclosures for our other financial instruments:

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents. The carrying amounts that we have reported in the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated balance sheets for cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash and cash equivalents approximate their fair values.

Short-term and long-term debt. The fair value of debt instruments is classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy and is valued using a market approach based on quoted prices for similar instruments traded in active markets. Where quoted prices are not available, the income approach is used to value these instruments based on the present value of future cash flows discounted at estimated borrowing rates for similar debt instruments or on estimated prices based on current yields for debt issues of similar quality and terms.

Forward contracts. The fair value of forward contracts is classified as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy and is valued using observable market parameters for similar instruments traded in active markets. Where quoted prices are not available, the income approach is used to value these forward contracts, which discounts future cash flows based on current market expectations and credit risk.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair values of certain of our financial instruments are as follows:

| | September 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|---------------|--------------------|------------|
| | Carrying Amount | Fair Value | Carrying Amount | Fair Value |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Balance Sheet Instruments | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 638,794 | \$ 638,794 | \$ 570,854 | \$ 570,854 |
| Restricted cash and cash equivalents | \$ 23,074 | \$ 23,074 | \$ 21,962 | \$ 21,962 |
| Investments | \$ 58,834 | \$ 58,834 | \$ 139,006 | \$ 139,006 |
| Debt | \$ 108,286 | \$ 111,901 | \$ 93,735 | \$ 96,187 |
| Forward contracts | \$ 7,274 | \$ 7,274 | \$ (5,029) | \$ (5,029) |

NOTE 6 STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Equity instruments are measured at fair value on the grant date. Stock-based compensation expense is generally recognized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service periods of the awards. We use a Black-Scholes model to determine the fair value of certain share-based awards, such as stock options. Additionally, we use a Monte Carlo model to determine the fair value of certain share-based awards that contain market and performance-based conditions. The use of these models requires highly subjective assumptions, such as assumptions about the expected life of the award, vesting probability, expected dividend yield and the volatility of our stock price.

Total stock-based compensation expense recognized for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 is as follows:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, 2012 | | Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 | |
|---|--|----------|--|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands) | | | |
| Stock Options | \$ 982 | \$ 1,015 | \$ 2,999 | \$ 2,885 |
| Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Units | 1,446 | 2,154 | 5,164 | 10,305 |
| Performance Shares and Deferred Stock Units | 1,211 | 962 | 3,286 | 1,465 |
| Total | \$ 3,639 | \$ 4,131 | \$ 11,449 | \$ 14,655 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 7 EARNINGS PER SHARE**

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|--------------------|------------------------------------|--------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands, except share and per share amounts) | | | |
| Income from continuing operations less noncontrolling interests | \$ 50,612 | \$ 9,764 | \$ 162,612 | \$ 142,240 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 1,187 | 3,497 | 6,459 |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | \$ 50,612 | \$ 10,951 | \$ 166,109 | \$ 148,699 |
| Weighted average common shares (basic) | 235,817,203 | 234,940,184 | 235,568,889 | 234,451,430 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: | | | | |
| Stock options, restricted stock and restricted stock units ⁽¹⁾ | 2,049,797 | 2,007,479 | 1,984,574 | 2,627,875 |
| Adjusted weighted average common shares and assumed exercises of stock options and vesting of stock awards (diluted) | 237,867,000 | 236,947,663 | 237,553,463 | 237,079,305 |
| Basic earnings per share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations less noncontrolling interests | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.69 | 0.61 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.70 | 0.63 |
| Diluted earnings per share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations less noncontrolling interests | 0.21 | 0.04 | 0.68 | 0.60 |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of tax | | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.03 |
| Net income attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.69 | 0.63 |

⁽¹⁾ Approximately 1.7 million and 1.8 million shares underlying outstanding stock-based awards were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share because they were antidilutive for the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2012, respectively. Approximately 0.6 million and 1.7 million shares underlying outstanding stock-based awards were excluded from the computation of diluted earnings per share for each of the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2011.

NOTE 8 SEGMENT REPORTING

We report our financial results under a geographic-based reporting structure, which coincides with how our financial information is reviewed and evaluated on a regular basis by our chief operating decision maker. We operate in four primary operating segments, which consist of Asia Pacific, Atlantic, Caspian and the Middle East. The Caspian and Middle East operating segments are aggregated into the Middle East reporting segment due to the proximity of regions and similarities in the nature of services provided, economic characteristics and oversight responsibilities. Accordingly, we have three reporting segments consisting of Asia Pacific, Atlantic and the Middle East. We also report certain corporate and other non-operating activities under the heading Corporate and Other.

Table of Contents

Reporting segments are measured based on operating income, which is defined as revenues reduced by total costs and expenses and equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates. Summarized financial information is shown in the following tables:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 (Unaudited) | 2012 | 2011 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Revenues⁽¹⁾: | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 468,200 | \$ 528,453 | \$ 1,103,699 | \$ 1,551,099 |
| Atlantic | 125,317 | 74,683 | 335,225 | 167,129 |
| Middle East | 435,228 | 276,758 | 1,206,747 | 910,707 |
| Total revenues | \$ 1,028,745 | \$ 879,894 | \$ 2,645,671 | \$ 2,628,935 |
| Operating income (loss): | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 52,192 | \$ 44,960 | \$ 156,231 | \$ 142,350 |
| Atlantic | (10,714) | (37,020) | (36,749) | (71,264) |
| Middle East | 41,003 | 27,276 | 122,557 | 148,207 |
| Total operating income | \$ 82,481 | \$ 35,216 | \$ 242,039 | \$ 219,293 |
| Capital expenditures⁽²⁾: | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 14,908 | \$ 20,924 | \$ 53,139 | \$ 59,341 |
| Atlantic | 16,454 | 46,649 | 80,944 | 129,549 |
| Middle East | 15,286 | 17,522 | 41,997 | 31,014 |
| Corporate and Other | 975 | 5,095 | 3,204 | 11,968 |
| Total capital expenditures | \$ 47,623 | \$ 90,190 | \$ 179,284 | \$ 231,872 |
| Depreciation and amortization: | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 5,375 | \$ 6,621 | \$ 15,467 | \$ 18,973 |
| Atlantic | 3,554 | 3,494 | 16,849 | 10,735 |
| Middle East | 6,929 | 7,600 | 21,977 | 21,529 |
| Corporate and Other | 4,224 | 2,086 | 11,663 | 8,663 |
| Total depreciation and amortization | \$ 20,082 | \$ 19,801 | \$ 65,956 | \$ 59,900 |
| Drydock amortization: | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 2,241 | \$ 2,817 | \$ 8,230 | \$ 8,153 |
| Atlantic | 4,971 | 2,595 | 11,284 | 8,291 |
| Middle East | 787 | 625 | 2,092 | 1,892 |
| Total drydock amortization | \$ 7,999 | \$ 6,037 | \$ 21,606 | \$ 18,336 |

⁽¹⁾ Intersegment transactions included in revenues were not significant for the periods presented.

⁽²⁾ Total capital expenditures exclude accrued capital expenditures of approximately \$1.2 million and \$9.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | September 30, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|
| | (Unaudited) | |
| | (In thousands) | |
| Segment assets: | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 1,303,595 | \$ 934,134 |
| Atlantic | 545,897 | 419,258 |
| Middle East | 1,124,358 | 1,065,478 |
| Corporate and Other | 390,193 | 515,176 |
| | | |
| Total continuing operations | 3,364,043 | 2,934,046 |
| | | |
| Total discontinued operations | | 58,768 |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 3,364,043 | \$ 2,992,814 |

Table of Contents**NOTE 9 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES*****Litigation***

The following discussion presents information relating to pending litigation discussed in Note 13 Commitments and Contingencies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. There have been no material subsequent developments relating to these matters.

On or about August 23, 2004, a declaratory judgment action entitled *Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London, et al. v. J. Ray McDermott, Inc. et al.*, was filed by certain underwriters at Lloyd's, London and Threadneedle Insurance Company Limited (the London Insurers), in the 23rd Judicial District Court, Assumption Parish, Louisiana, against MII, J. Ray McDermott, Inc. (JRMI) and two insurer defendants, Travelers and INA, seeking a declaration that the London Insurers have no obligation to indemnify MII and JRMI for certain bodily injury claims, including claims for asbestos and welding rod fume personal injury which have been filed by claimants in various state courts. Additionally, Travelers filed a cross-claim requesting a declaration of non-coverage in approximately 20 underlying matters. This proceeding was stayed by the Court on January 3, 2005. We do not believe an adverse judgment or material losses in this matter are probable, and, accordingly, we have not accrued any amounts relating to this contingency. Although there is a possibility of an adverse judgment, the amount or potential range of loss is not estimable at this time. The insurer-plaintiffs in this matter commenced this proceeding in a purported attempt to obtain a determination of insurance coverage obligations for occupational exposure and/or environmental matters for which we have given notice that we could potentially seek coverage. Because estimating losses would require, for every matter, known and unknown, on a case by case basis, anticipating what impact on coverage a judgment would have and a determination of an otherwise expected insured value, damages cannot be reasonably estimated.

On December 16, 2005, a proceeding entitled *Antoine, et al. vs. J. Ray McDermott, Inc., et al.* (Antoine Suit), was filed in the 24th Judicial District Court, Jefferson Parish, Louisiana, by approximately 88 plaintiffs against approximately 215 defendants, including our subsidiaries formerly known as JRMI and Delta Hudson Engineering Corporation (DHEC), generally alleging injuries for exposure to asbestos, and unspecified chemicals, metals and noise while the plaintiffs were allegedly employed as Jones Act seamen. This case was dismissed by the Court on January 10, 2007, without prejudice to plaintiffs' rights to refile their claims. On January 29, 2007, 21 plaintiffs from the dismissed Antoine Suit filed a matter entitled *Boudreaux, et al. v. McDermott, Inc., et al.* (the Boudreaux Suit), in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas, against JRMI and our subsidiary formerly known as McDermott Incorporated, and approximately 30 other employer defendants, alleging Jones Act seaman status and generally alleging exposure to welding fumes, solvents, dyes, industrial paints and noise. The Boudreaux Suit was transferred to the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana on May 2, 2007, which entered an order in September 2007 staying the matter until further order of the Court due to the bankruptcy filing of one of the co-defendants. Additionally, on January 29, 2007, another 43 plaintiffs from the dismissed Antoine Suit filed a matter entitled *Antoine, et al. v. McDermott, Inc., et al.* (the New Antoine Suit), in the 164th Judicial District Court for Harris County, Texas, against JRMI, our subsidiary formerly known as McDermott Incorporated and approximately 65 other employer defendants and 42 maritime products defendants, alleging Jones Act seaman status and generally alleging personal injuries for exposure to asbestos and noise. On April 27, 2007, the District Court entered an order staying all activity and deadlines in the New Antoine Suit, other than service of process and answer/appearance dates, until further order of the Court. The New Antoine Suit plaintiffs filed a motion to lift the stay on February 20, 2009, which is pending before the Texas District Court. The plaintiffs seek monetary damages in an unspecified amount in both the Boudreaux Suit and New Antoine Suit cases and attorneys' fees in the New Antoine Suit. We cannot reasonably estimate the extent of a potential judgment against us, if any, and we intend to vigorously defend these suits.

Additionally, due to the nature of our business, we and our affiliates are, from time to time, involved in litigation or subject to disputes or claims related to our business activities, including, among other things:

performance- or warranty-related matters under our customer and supplier contracts and other business arrangements; and

workers' compensation claims, Jones Act claims, occupational hazard claims, including asbestos-exposure claims, premises liability claims and other claims.

Based upon our prior experience, we do not expect that any of these other litigation proceedings, disputes and claims will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows; however, because of the inherent uncertainty of litigation and, in some cases, the availability and amount of potentially applicable insurance, we can provide no assurance that the resolution of any particular claim or proceeding to which we are a party will not have a material effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows for the fiscal period in which that resolution occurs.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

We have been identified as a potentially responsible party at various cleanup sites under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended (CERCLA), and other environmental laws can impose liability for the entire cost of cleanup on any of the potentially responsible parties, regardless of fault or the lawfulness of the original conduct. Generally, however, where there are multiple responsible parties, a final allocation of costs is made based on the amount and type of wastes disposed of by each party and the number of financially viable parties, although this may not be the case with respect to any particular site. We have not been determined to be a major contributor of wastes to any of these sites. On the basis of our relative contribution of waste to each site, we expect our share of the ultimate liability for the various sites will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial condition, results of operations or cash flows in any given year.

At September 30, 2012 we had total environmental reserves of \$0.7 million, all of which was included in current liabilities. Inherent in the estimates of those reserves and recoveries are our expectations regarding the levels of contamination, remediation costs and recoverability from other parties, which may vary significantly as remediation activities progress. Accordingly, changes in estimates could result in material adjustments to our operating results, and the ultimate loss may differ materially from the amounts that we have provided for in our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Contracts Containing Liquidated Damages Provisions

Some of our contracts contain provisions that require us to pay liquidated damages if we are responsible for the failure to meet specified contractual milestone dates and the applicable customer asserts a claim under these provisions. These contracts define the conditions under which our customers may make claims against us for liquidated damages. In many cases in which we have historically had potential exposure for liquidated damages, such damages ultimately were not asserted by our customers. As of September 30, 2012, it is possible that we may incur liabilities for liquidated damages aggregating approximately \$96 million, of which approximately \$17 million has been recorded in our financial statements, based on our actual or projected failure to meet certain specified contractual milestone dates. The date range during which these potential liquidated damages could arise is from June 2011 to June 2013. We believe we will be successful in obtaining schedule extensions or other customer-agreed changes that should resolve the potential for additional liquidated damages. Accordingly, we believe that no amounts for these potential liquidated damages in excess of the amounts currently reflected in our financial statements are probable of being paid by us. However, we may not achieve relief on some or all of the issues.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT CONCERNING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We are including the following discussion to inform our existing and potential security holders generally of some of the risks and uncertainties that can affect our company and to take advantage of the safe harbor protection for forward-looking statements that applicable federal securities law affords. This information should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included under Item 1 and the audited consolidated financial statements and the related notes and Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

In this quarterly report on Form 10-Q, unless the context otherwise indicates, we, us and our mean MII and its consolidated subsidiaries.

From time to time, our management or persons acting on our behalf make forward-looking statements to inform existing and potential security holders about our company. These statements may include projections and estimates concerning the scope, execution, timing and success of specific projects and our future backlog, revenues, income and capital spending. Forward-looking statements are generally accompanied by words such as estimate, project, predict, forecast, believe, expect, anticipate, plan, seek, goal, could, may, or should the uncertainty of future events or outcomes. Sometimes we will specifically describe a statement as being a forward-looking statement and refer to this cautionary statement.

These forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements that relate to, or statements that are subject to risks, contingencies or uncertainties that relate to:

future levels of revenues, operating margins, income from operations, net income or earnings per share;

outcome of project awards and scope, execution and timing of specific projects;

anticipated levels of demand for our products and services;

future levels of capital, environmental or maintenance expenditures;

the success or timing of completion of ongoing or anticipated capital or maintenance projects;

the adequacy of our sources of liquidity and capital resources;

expectations regarding the acquisition or divestiture of assets;

the potential effects of judicial or other proceedings on our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows; and

the anticipated effects of actions of third parties such as competitors, or federal, foreign, state or local regulatory authorities, or plaintiffs in litigation.

These forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of this report; we disclaim any obligation to update these statements unless required by securities law, and we caution you not to rely on them unduly. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and assumptions about future events. While our management considers these expectations and assumptions to be reasonable, they are inherently

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

subject to significant business, economic, competitive, regulatory and other risks, contingencies and uncertainties, most of which are difficult to predict and many of which are beyond our control. These risks, contingencies and uncertainties relate to, among other matters, the following:

general economic and business conditions and industry trends;

general developments in the industries in which we are involved;

decisions about offshore developments to be made by oil and gas companies;

the highly competitive nature of our industry;

cancellations of and adjustments to backlog and the resulting impact from using backlog as an indicator of future revenues or earnings;

the capital investment required to maintain and/or upgrade our fleet of vessels;

the ability of our suppliers and subcontractors to deliver raw materials in sufficient quantities and/or perform in a timely manner;

our ability to appropriately bid, estimate and effectively perform projects on time, in accordance with the schedules established by the applicable contracts with customers;

volatility and uncertainty of the credit markets;

Table of Contents

our ability to comply with covenants in our credit agreements and other debt instruments and availability, terms and deployment of capital;

the unfunded liabilities of our pension plans may negatively impact our liquidity and, depending upon future operations, may impact our ability to fund our pension obligations;

the continued availability of qualified personnel;

the operating risks normally incident to our lines of business, including the potential impact of liquidated damages;

changes in, or our failure or inability to comply with, government regulations;

adverse outcomes from legal and regulatory proceedings;

impact of potential regional, national and/or global requirements to significantly limit or reduce greenhouse gas and other emissions in the future;

changes in, and liabilities relating to, existing or future environmental regulatory matters;

changes in tax laws;

rapid technological changes;

the consequences of significant changes in interest rates and currency exchange rates;

difficulties we may encounter in obtaining regulatory or other necessary approvals of any strategic transactions;

the risks associated with integrating acquired businesses;

the risk we may not be successful in updating and replacing current key financial and human resources legacy systems;

social, political and economic situations in foreign countries where we do business;

the risks associated with our international operations, including local content requirements;

interference from adverse weather conditions;

the possibilities of war, other armed conflicts or terrorist attacks;

the effects of asserted and unasserted claims and the extent of available insurance coverages;

our ability to obtain surety bonds, letters of credit and financing;

our ability to maintain builder's risk, liability, property and other insurance in amounts and on terms we consider adequate and at rates that we consider economical;

the aggregated risks retained in our captive insurance subsidiary; and

the impact of the loss of insurance rights as part of the Chapter 11 Bankruptcy settlement concluded in 2006 involving several of our former subsidiaries.

We believe the items outlined above are important factors that could cause estimates in our financial statements to differ materially from actual results and those expressed in a forward-looking statement made in this report or elsewhere by us or on our behalf. We have discussed many of these factors in more detail elsewhere in this report and in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. These factors are not necessarily all the factors that could affect us. Unpredictable or unanticipated factors we have not discussed in this report could also have material adverse effects on actual results of matters that are the subject of our forward-looking statements. We do not intend to update our description of important factors each time a potential important factor arises, except as required by applicable securities laws and regulations. We advise our security holders that they should (1) be aware that factors not referred to above could affect the accuracy of our forward-looking statements and (2) use caution and common sense when considering our forward-looking statements.

Accounting for Contracts

We execute our contracts through a variety of methods, including fixed-price, cost reimbursable, cost-plus, day-rate and unit-rate basis or some combination of those methods, with fixed-price being the most prevalent. Contracts are usually awarded through a competitive bid process, primarily based on price. However, other factors that customers may consider include facility or equipment availability, technical capabilities of equipment and personnel, efficiency, safety record and reputation.

Table of Contents

Fixed-price contracts are for a fixed amount to cover costs and any profit element for a defined scope of work. Fixed-price contracts entail more risk to us because they require us to predetermine both the quantities of work to be performed and the costs associated with executing the work.

We have contracts that extend beyond one year. Most of our long-term contracts have provisions for progress payments. We attempt to cover anticipated increases in labor, material and service costs of our long-term contracts either through an estimate of such charges, which is reflected in the original price, or through risk-sharing mechanisms, such as escalation or price adjustments for items such as labor and commodity prices.

We generally recognize our contract revenues and related costs on a percentage-of-completion basis. Accordingly, we review contract price and cost estimates regularly as the work progresses and reflect adjustments in profit proportionate to the percentage-of-completion in the period when we revise those estimates. To the extent that these adjustments result in a reduction or elimination of previously reported profits with respect to a project, we would recognize a charge against current earnings, which could be material.

Our arrangements with customers frequently require us to provide letters of credit, bid and performance bonds or guarantees to secure bids or performance under contracts. While these letters of credit, bonds and guarantees may involve significant dollar amounts, historically, there have been no material payments to our customers under these arrangements.

Some of our contracts contain provisions that require us to pay liquidated damages if we are responsible for the failure to meet specified contractual milestone dates and the applicable customer asserts a claim under these provisions. These contracts define the conditions under which our customers may make claims against us for liquidated damages. In many cases in which we have historically had potential exposure for liquidated damages, such damages ultimately were not asserted by our customers. As of September 30, 2012, it is possible that we may incur liabilities for liquidated damages aggregating approximately \$96 million, of which approximately \$17 million has been recorded in our financial statements, based on our actual or projected failure to meet certain specified contractual milestone dates. The date range during which these potential liquidated damages could arise is from June 2011 to June 2013. We believe we will be successful in obtaining schedule extensions or other customer-agreed changes that should resolve the potential for additional liquidated damages. Accordingly, we believe that no amounts for these potential liquidated damages in excess of the amounts currently reflected in our financial statements are probable of being paid by us. However, we may not achieve relief on some or all of the issues.

In the event of a contract deferral or cancellation, we generally would be entitled to recover costs incurred, settlement expenses and profit on work completed prior to deferral or termination. Significant or numerous cancellations could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

For a discussion of critical accounting policies and estimates we use in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements, refer to Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. See Note 1 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in this report for information on recently adopted accounting standards.

Recent Development

During the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we committed to a plan to sell three of our multi-function marine vessels, specifically the *Bold Endurance*, *DB 16* and *DB 26*. As a result, we have reclassified approximately \$31.4 million pertaining to these assets to assets held for sale from property, plant and equipment in our condensed consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2012.

Business Segments and Results of Operations

Business Segments

Our business segments consist of Asia Pacific, Atlantic and the Middle East. We also report certain corporate and other non-operating activities under the heading Corporate and Other. Corporate and Other primarily reflects corporate personnel and activities, incentive compensation programs and other costs, which are generally fully allocated to our operating segments. The following is a discussion of our segments.

Table of Contents

Asia Pacific Segment

Through our Asia Pacific segment, we serve the needs of national, major integrated and other oil and gas companies primarily in Australia, Indonesia, Vietnam, Malaysia and Thailand. Project focus in this segment includes the fabrication and installation of fixed and floating structures and the installation of pipelines and subsea systems. The majority of our projects in this segment are performed on an engineering, procurement, construction and installation (EPCI) basis. Engineering and procurement services are provided by our Singapore office and are supported by additional resources located in Chennai, India and Houston, Texas. The primary fabrication facility for this segment is located on Batam Island, Indonesia. Additionally, through our equity ownership interest in a joint venture, we have developed a fabrication facility located in China.

Atlantic Segment

Through our Atlantic segment, we serve the needs of national, major integrated and other oil and gas companies, primarily in the United States, Brazil, Mexico, Trinidad and West Africa. Project focus in this segment includes the fabrication and installation of fixed and floating structures and the installation of pipelines and subsea systems. Engineering and procurement services are provided by our Houston office, and our New Orleans office provides specialized marine engineering capabilities to support our global marine activities. The primary fabrication facilities for this segment are located in Morgan City, Louisiana and Altamira, Mexico.

Middle East Segment

Through our Middle East segment, which includes the Caspian region, we serve the needs of national, major integrated and other oil and gas companies primarily in Saudi Arabia, Qatar, the United Arab Emirates (U.A.E.), Kuwait, India, Azerbaijan, the North Sea and Russia. Project focus in this segment relates primarily to the fabrication and offshore installation of fixed and floating structures and the installation of pipelines and subsea systems. The majority of our projects in this segment are performed on an EPCI basis. Engineering and procurement services are provided by our Dubai, U.A.E., Chennai, India and Al Khobar, Saudi Arabia offices and are supported by additional resources from our Houston, Texas and Baku, Azerbaijan offices. The primary fabrication facility for this segment is located in Dubai, U.A.E.

The above-mentioned fabrication facilities in each segment are equipped with a wide variety of heavy-duty construction and fabrication equipment, including cranes, welding equipment, machine tools and robotic and other automated equipment. Project installation is performed by major construction vessels, which we own or operate and are stationed throughout the various regions and provide structural lifting/lowering and pipelay services. These major construction vessels are supported by our multi-function vessels and chartered vessels from third parties to perform a wide array of installation activities that include anchor handling, pipelay, cable/umbilical lay, dive support and hookup/commissioning.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations (Selected Financial Data):**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2012 | 2011 |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Revenues: | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 468,200 | \$ 528,453 | \$ 1,103,699 | \$ 1,551,099 |
| Atlantic | 125,317 | 74,683 | 335,225 | 167,129 |
| Middle East | 435,228 | 276,758 | 1,206,747 | 910,707 |
| Total revenues | \$ 1,028,745 | \$ 879,894 | \$ 2,645,671 | \$ 2,628,935 |
| Cost of operations | 889,823 | 802,951 | 2,246,961 | 2,253,981 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 51,834 | 48,046 | 145,927 | 163,827 |
| Gain on asset disposals | (85) | (7,811) | (282) | (8,107) |
| Total costs and expenses | 941,572 | 843,186 | 2,392,606 | 2,409,701 |
| Equity in Income (Loss) of Unconsolidated Affiliates | (4,692) | (1,492) | (11,026) | 59 |
| Operating income (loss): | | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 52,192 | \$ 44,960 | \$ 156,231 | \$ 142,350 |
| Atlantic | (10,714) | (37,020) | (36,749) | (71,264) |
| Middle East | 41,003 | 27,276 | 122,557 | 148,207 |
| Total operating income | \$ 82,481 | \$ 35,216 | \$ 242,039 | \$ 219,293 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | 996 | 319 | 4,215 | 1,060 |
| Interest expense | | (152) | | (415) |
| Gain (loss) on foreign currency net | 488 | 504 | 11,185 | (2,356) |
| Other income (expense) net | 242 | (298) | (288) | (1,586) |
| Total other income (expense) | 1,726 | 373 | 15,112 | (3,297) |
| Income from continuing operations before provision for income taxes and noncontrolling interests | 84,207 | 35,589 | 257,151 | 215,996 |
| Provision for Income Taxes | 29,916 | 20,535 | 87,004 | 60,351 |
| Total Income from Discontinued Operations, net of tax | | 1,187 | 3,497 | 6,459 |
| Net Income Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests | 3,679 | 5,290 | 7,535 | 13,405 |
| Net Income Attributable to McDermott International, Inc. | \$ 50,612 | \$ 10,951 | \$ 166,109 | \$ 148,699 |

Three months ended September 30, 2012 vs. 2011**Revenues**

Revenues increased approximately 17%, or \$148.8 million, to \$1,028.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$879.9 million for the corresponding 2011 period. The revenue growth was attributable to the Middle East and Atlantic segments. Revenues in the Middle East segment increased \$158.4 million to \$435.2 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$276.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as a result of increased fabrication and marine activity associated with one of our EPCI projects

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

and other projects, which recently commenced fabrication and marine activities. Revenues in our Atlantic segment increased \$50.6 million to \$125.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$74.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to increased fabrication activity experienced on certain projects during the three-month period ended September 30, 2012. Revenue improvements in the Middle East and Atlantic segments were partially offset by a decline in our Asia Pacific segment, where revenues decreased approximately 11%, or \$60.3 million, to \$468.2 million, driven largely by lower marine activity associated with several projects that were ongoing during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 but were completed or substantially complete prior to the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

Table of Contents

Cost of Operations

Cost of operations increased approximately 11%, or \$86.8 million, to \$889.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012 compared to \$803.0 million for the corresponding 2011 period. The increase was primarily attributable to increased project costs in the Middle East and, to a lesser extent, our Atlantic segment, partially offset by a decrease in project costs in the Asia Pacific segment. The increases in project costs in the Middle East and Atlantic segments were driven by higher levels of project activity involving increased fabrication and marine activity. Costs of operations in Asia Pacific decreased primarily as a result of lower marine activity associated with several projects that were ongoing during the quarter ended September 30, 2011, but were completed or substantially complete prior to the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

Operating Income

Operating income increased \$47.3 million to \$82.5 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2012 from \$35.2 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011. Operating income in the Middle East segment increased \$13.7 million, primarily as a result of increased fabrication and marine activities associated with one of our EPCI projects and other projects for which we recently commenced fabrication and marine activities. Operating income in the Asia Pacific segment increased \$7.2 million to \$52.2 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to improvements from change orders and, to a lesser extent, cost savings on an EPCI project, partially offset by reduced marine activities.

The Atlantic segment reported an operating loss of \$10.7 million for the quarter ended September 30, 2012, an improvement of \$26.3 million compared to the corresponding 2011 period. The improvement was driven primarily by losses on two projects amounting to approximately \$38 million that were recognized in the quarter ended September 30, 2011, which were not experienced during the third quarter of 2012 and, to a lesser extent, increased fabrication activity.

We currently have two projects, one of which is in our Atlantic segment and the other of which is in our Asia Pacific segment, that we account for under our deferred profit recognition policy, under which we recognize revenue and cost equally and only recognize profit when probable and reasonably estimable, generally when the contract is approximately 70% complete. These projects contributed revenues equal to costs totaling approximately \$22.2 million and \$6.0 million for the three-month periods ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Operating Margins

Operating income and margins are frequently influenced by the finalization of change orders, project close-outs and settlements, which generally can cause operating margins to improve during the period in which these items are approved or resolved, as these items generally contribute higher operating margins. While we expect change orders, close-outs and settlements to continue as part of our normal business activities, the period in which they are recognized is largely driven by the finalization of agreements with customers and suppliers and, therefore, is difficult to predict.

Total operating margins, defined as operating income divided by revenues were 8% for the quarter ended September 30, 2012 and 4% for the quarter ended September 30, 2011. In the quarter ended September 30, 2012, we experienced increased operating margins in our Asia Pacific segment, largely as a result of improvements from change orders and cost savings on marine installation activities on one of our EPCI projects. Operating margins in our Middle East segment remained relatively flat for the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

We experienced improvements in operating margins in our Atlantic segment in the quarter ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to project losses amounting to approximately \$38 million that we recognized in the quarter ended September 30, 2011. We did not experience comparable losses during the third quarter of 2012.

Other Items in Operating Income

Selling, general and administrative expenses increased \$3.8 million to \$51.8 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$48.0 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher employee benefit costs, including those associated with our bonus program.

Table of Contents

Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates increased \$3.2 million to a loss of \$4.7 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to a loss of \$1.5 million in the quarter ended September 30, 2011, primarily attributable to increased equity losses from two of our joint ventures.

Other Items

Interest income was \$1.0 million and \$0.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, primarily as a result of higher cash and cash equivalents balances being placed in interest bearing accounts.

Results for the quarters ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were not significantly impacted by interest expense, gain (loss) on foreign currency net or other expense.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the three months ended September 30, 2012, the provision for income taxes increased \$9.4 million to \$29.9 million, while income before provision for income taxes increased \$48.6 million to \$84.2 million. The increase in the provision for income taxes was primarily attributable to the mix of earnings across jurisdictions resulting in a larger proportion of our income being taxed at higher tax rates, and losses in certain tax jurisdictions for which we do not expect to receive a tax benefit. The losses in tax jurisdictions for which we do not expect to receive a tax benefit decreased while total income before provision for income taxes increased. As a result, our effective tax rate for the three months ended September 30, 2012 was approximately 36% as compared to 58% for the comparable 2011 period.

Discontinued Operations

On March 19, 2012, we completed the sale of our former charter fleet business for cash consideration of approximately \$61 million, resulting in a gain on the sale of approximately \$0.3 million. Accordingly, we reported no discontinued operations for the three months ended September 30, 2012. Total income from discontinued operations, net of tax was \$3.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011.

Noncontrolling Interests

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased by \$1.6 million to \$3.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2012 from \$5.3 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to reduced activity and lower net income associated with our Indonesian operations.

Nine months ended September 30, 2012 vs. 2011

Revenues

Revenues were \$2.6 billion for each of the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011. Revenues in the Middle East segment increased \$296.0 million to \$1.2 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$910.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, influenced primarily by increased fabrication and marine activity associated with several of our projects, partially offset by lower activity associated with certain projects that were ongoing during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 but were completed or substantially complete during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Revenues in our Atlantic segment increased \$168.1 million to \$335.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to \$167.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, influenced primarily by increased fabrication and marine activity experienced on certain projects during the nine months ended September 30, 2012. Revenues in the Asia Pacific segment declined approximately \$447.4 million to \$1.1 billion, primarily attributable to lower activity associated with several projects that were completed or substantially complete prior to the quarter ended September 30, 2012.

Cost of Operations

Cost of operations decreased \$7.0 million to \$2.2 billion in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily attributable to the Asia Pacific segment, partially offset by increased project costs in the Middle East and Atlantic segments. In the Asia Pacific segment, cost of operations decreased significantly as a result of lower marine and fabrication activity. Cost of operations increases experienced in the Middle East and Atlantic segments were principally driven by higher levels of project activity, involving increased fabrication and marine activity.

Table of Contents

Operating Income

Operating income increased \$22.7 million to \$242.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$219.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to improvements in our Asia Pacific segment, where we experienced improvements from change orders and cost savings on marine installation activities on an EPCI project and improvements from project close-outs and settlements. Operating income in the Middle East segment declined \$25.7 million to \$122.6 million, primarily attributable to project close-outs and settlements recognized in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, which were not experienced during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

The Atlantic segment reported an operating loss of \$36.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012, an improvement of \$34.5 million compared to the 2011 period. The improvement was primarily attributable to project losses amounting to approximately \$45 million that were recognized in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, which were not experienced in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and, to a lesser extent increased fabrication and marine activity.

The two projects that we account for under our deferred profit recognition policy contributed revenues equal to costs totaling approximately \$45.1 million and \$24.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Operating Margins

Total operating margins were 9% in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and were 8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. We experienced increased operating margins in our Asia Pacific segment in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, largely as a result of improvements from project close-outs, settlements and change orders and cost savings on marine installation activities on one of our EPCI projects. Conversely, we experienced a decline in our Middle East segment operating margins in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to lower project close-outs and settlements that were recognized in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2011, increased costs associated with one of our EPCI projects as a result of declines in productivity and increased estimated costs associated with marine activities on one of our other projects.

We experienced improvements in operating margins in our Atlantic segment in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, primarily due to project losses recognized in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 amounting to approximately \$45 million that we did not experience comparable losses during the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and, to a lesser extent, increased fabrication activity.

Other Items in Operating Income

Selling, general and administrative expenses decreased \$17.9 million to \$145.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to \$163.8 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The decrease was primarily due to lower corporate costs, including those associated with our pension plans.

Equity in income (loss) of unconsolidated affiliates decreased \$11.1 million to a loss of \$11.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 as compared to income of \$0.1 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily attributable to increased equity losses from two of our joint ventures.

Other Items

Interest income was \$4.2 million and \$1.1 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and September 30, 2011, respectively, primarily as a result of higher cash and cash equivalents balances being placed in interest bearing accounts.

Results for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011 were not significantly impacted by interest expense.

Table of Contents

Gain (loss) on foreign currency net improved by \$13.6 million to income of \$11.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from expense of \$2.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to gains related to derivative instruments and hedging activities of approximately \$15 million recognized during the 2012 period, as compared to losses related to derivative instruments and hedging activities of approximately \$3 million recognized during the 2011 period, partially offset by approximately \$5.0 million of increased foreign currency exchange losses.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2012, we entered into derivative contracts to mitigate currency exchange movements associated with various foreign currency expenditures we expect to incur on one of our EPCI projects through 2017. While we currently believe that these contracts will be effective in mitigating the associated currency exchange risks, it is possible that changes in the EPCI project may cause reduced effectiveness of these derivative contracts. Therefore, we may continue to experience large gains or losses on foreign currency movements due to the ineffective portion or the portion excluded from the assessment of effectiveness of these and other derivative contracts. At September 30, 2012, our derivative financial instruments consisted of foreign currency forward-exchange contracts. The notional value of our outstanding derivative contracts totaled approximately \$1.3 billion at September 30, 2012, with maturities extending through 2017. Of this amount, approximately \$870 million is associated with various foreign currency expenditures we expect to incur on the EPCI project.

Other income (expense) net was a loss of \$0.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and a loss of \$1.6 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the nine months ended September 30, 2012, the provision for income taxes increased \$26.6 million to \$87.0 million, while income before provision for income taxes increased \$41.2 million to \$257.2 million. The increase in the provision for income taxes was primarily attributable to the mix of earnings across jurisdictions resulting in a larger proportion of our income being taxed at higher tax rates and losses in certain tax jurisdictions for which we do not expect to receive a tax benefit. As a result, our effective tax rate for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 was approximately 34%, as compared to 28% for the comparable 2011 period.

Discontinued Operations

On March 19, 2012, we completed the sale of our former charter fleet business for cash consideration of approximately \$61 million, resulting in a gain on the sale of approximately \$0.3 million. Total income from discontinued operations, net of tax was \$3.3 million and \$6.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Noncontrolling Interests

Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests decreased by \$5.9 million to \$7.5 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012 from \$13.4 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, primarily due to reduced activity and lower net income associated with our Indonesian operations.

Backlog

Backlog represents the dollar amount of revenues we expect to recognize in the future from contracts awarded and in progress. Backlog is not a measure defined by generally accepted accounting principles, and our methodology for determining backlog may not be comparable to methodologies used by other companies in determining their backlog amounts. We generally include expected revenues of a contract in our backlog when we enter into a written confirmation with the customer. We do not include expected revenues of contracts related to unconsolidated joint ventures in our backlog. Backlog may not be indicative of future operating results, and projects in our backlog may be cancelled, modified or otherwise altered by customers. We can provide no assurance as to the profitability of our contracts reflected in backlog.

| | September 30, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | |
|--------------|-----------------------|-----|----------------------|-----|
| | (Dollars in millions) | | | |
| Asia Pacific | \$ 3,225 | 60% | \$ 1,523 | 39% |
| Atlantic | 859 | 16% | 711 | 18% |
| Middle East | 1,256 | 24% | 1,647 | 43% |

| | | | | |
|---------------|----------|------|----------|------|
| Total Backlog | \$ 5,340 | 100% | \$ 3,881 | 100% |
|---------------|----------|------|----------|------|

Table of Contents

Of the September 30, 2012 backlog, we expect to recognize revenues as follows:

| | 2012 | 2013 (In millions) | Thereafter |
|---------------|--------|-----------------------|------------|
| Total Backlog | \$ 969 | \$ 1,981 | \$ 2,390 |

Of the September 30, 2012 backlog, approximately \$387 million is from projects currently in a loss position whereby future revenues are expected to equal costs when recognized. It is possible that our estimates of gross profit could increase or decrease based on improved productivity, actual downtime and the resolution of change orders and claims with our customers. Additionally, we have two projects that we are accounting for under our deferred profit recognition policy, representing approximately \$395 million of the September 30, 2012 backlog.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary source of liquidity is cash flows generated from operations. Revolving borrowings under the credit agreement we entered into with a syndicate of lenders and letter of credit issuers in May 2010, as amended in August 2011 (the Credit Agreement), provide an additional resource to fund our operating and investing activities. Our overall borrowing capacity is in large part dependent on maintaining compliance with covenants under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement contains customary financial covenants relating to leverage and interest coverage and includes covenants that restrict, among other things, debt incurrence, liens, investments, acquisitions, asset dispositions, dividends, prepayments of subordinated debt, mergers and capital expenditures. At September 30, 2012, we were in compliance with our covenant requirements. Management believes the sources of liquidity and capital resources described above will be sufficient to fund our liquidity requirements for the next twelve months.

Capital Expenditures

As part of our strategic growth program, our management regularly evaluates our marine vessel fleet to ensure our fleet capability is adequately aligned with our overall growth strategy. These assessments may result in capital expenditures to upgrade, acquire or operate vessels that would enhance or grow our technical capabilities, or may involve engaging in discussions to dispose of certain marine vessels.

Capital expenditures for the nine months ended September 30, 2012 were \$179.3 million as compared to \$231.9 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Capital expenditures for both periods were primarily attributable to construction of the *Lay Vessel North Ocean 105* (*NO 105*) vessel, costs associated with upgrading the capabilities of the *North Ocean 102* (*NO 102*) vessel and certain upgrades and equipment expenditures associated with other vessels in our marine fleet. In 2009, we acquired a 50% interest in an entity that owns the *NO 102* and a 75% interest in an entity that constructed and now owns the *NO 105*. The *NO 102* was added to our marine fleet in 2009. The *NO 105* was placed into service in 2012. Both vessels are intended to serve the deepwater markets by offering flexible and rigid pipe installation capabilities, among other things. In addition, based on our expectations of the demand in the deepwater market, our board of directors recently approved plans to construct two additional vessels – the *Lay Vessel 108* (*LV 108*) and the *Deepwater Lay Vessel 2000* (*DLV 2000*). The *LV 108* and *DLV 2000* are designed for advanced deepwater subsea and marine construction operations. We expect to incur capital expenditures ranging from approximately \$650 million to \$750 million over the next three years associated with the construction of those vessels.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Investments

In the aggregate, our cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash and investments decreased by \$11.1 million to \$720.7 million at September 30, 2012 from \$731.8 million at December 31, 2011.

At September 30, 2012, we had restricted cash and cash equivalents totaling \$23.1 million, all of which was held in restricted foreign-entity accounts.

At September 30, 2012, we had investments with a fair value of \$58.8 million. Our investment portfolio consists of commercial paper, corporate notes and bonds, asset-backed commercial paper notes backed by a pool of mortgage-backed securities and mutual funds. Our investments are classified as available for sale and are carried at fair value with unrealized gains and losses, net of tax, reported as a component of other comprehensive income (loss). Our net unrealized loss on investments was \$2.7 million and \$4.4 million at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively. The major components of our investments in an unrealized loss position are asset-backed and mortgage-backed obligations.

Edgar Filing: MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

Our current assets, less current liabilities, excluding cash and cash equivalents and current restricted cash increased by \$95.0 million to a positive \$17.6 million at September 30, 2012 from a negative \$77.4 million at December 31, 2011, primarily due to the increase in the net amount of contracts in progress and advanced billings on contracts.

Cash Flow Activities

Operating activities. Our net cash provided by operating activities was \$109.6 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012, compared to net cash used in operating activities of \$70.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. This change was primarily attributable to changes in net contracts in progress, advance billings on contracts, and pension liability, partially offset by changes in accounts receivable.

Table of Contents

Investing activities. Our net cash used in investing activities was \$100.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2012, compared to cash provided by investing activities of \$44.7 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. This change was primarily attributable to the change in restricted cash and cash equivalents.

Financing activities. Our net cash used in financing activities was \$3.8 million in the nine-month period ended September 30, 2012 as compared to cash provided by financing activities of \$26.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011. The change was primarily attributable to the approximate \$16 million of distributions to noncontrolling interests during the nine months ended September 30, 2012.

Credit Agreement

The Credit Agreement provides for revolving credit borrowings and issuances of letters of credit in an aggregate outstanding amount of up to \$950.0 million, and is scheduled to mature on August 19, 2016. Proceeds from borrowings under the Credit Agreement are available for working capital needs and other general corporate purposes. The Credit Agreement includes procedures for additional financial institutions to become lenders, or for any existing lender to increase its commitment thereunder.

Other than customary mandatory prepayments in connection with casualty events, the Credit Agreement requires only interest payments on a quarterly basis until maturity. We may prepay all loans under the Credit Agreement at any time without premium or penalty (other than customary LIBOR breakage costs), subject to certain notice requirements.

Loans outstanding under the Credit Agreement bear interest at the borrower's option at either the Eurodollar rate plus a margin ranging from 1.50% to 2.50% per year or the base rate (the highest of the Federal Funds rate plus 0.50%, the 30-day Eurodollar rate plus 1.0%, or the administrative agent's prime rate) plus a margin ranging from 0.50% to 1.50% per year. The applicable margin for revolving loans varies depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. We are charged a commitment fee on the unused portions of the Credit Agreement, and that fee varies between 0.200% and 0.450% per year depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. Additionally, we are charged a letter of credit fee of between 1.50% and 2.50% per year with respect to the amount of each financial letter of credit issued under the Credit Agreement and a letter of credit fee of between 0.75% and 1.25% per year with respect to the amount of each performance letter of credit issued under the Credit Agreement, in each case depending on the credit ratings of the Credit Agreement. Under the Credit Agreement, we also pay customary issuance fees and other fees and expenses in connection with the issuance of letters of credit under the Credit Agreement. In connection with entering into the Credit Agreement, we paid certain up-front fees to the lenders thereunder, and certain arrangement and other fees to the arrangers and agents for the Credit Agreement, which are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the Credit Agreement.

At September 30, 2012, there were no borrowings outstanding, and letters of credit issued under the Credit Agreement totaled \$310.6 million. At September 30, 2012, there was \$639.4 million available for borrowings or to meet letter of credit requirements under the Credit Agreement. There were no borrowings under this facility during the quarter ended September 30, 2012. Had there been borrowings, the applicable base interest rate would have been approximately 4% per annum. In addition, we had \$175.3 million in outstanding unsecured bilateral letters of credit at September 30, 2012.

Based on the credit ratings applicable to the Credit Agreement at September 30, 2012, the applicable margin for Eurodollar-rate loans was 1.75%, the applicable margin for base-rate loans was 0.75%, the letter of credit fee for financial letters of credit was 1.75%, the letter of credit fee for performance letters of credit was 0.875% and the commitment fee for unused portions of the Credit Agreement was 0.25%. The Credit Agreement does not have a floor for the base rate or the Eurodollar rate.

Table of Contents***North Ocean Financing******North Ocean 102***

In December 2009, J. Ray McDermott, S.A. (JRMSA) entered into a vessel-owning joint venture transaction with Oceanteam ASA. As a result of this transaction, we have consolidated notes payable of approximately \$38.9 million and \$43.3 million on our balance sheets at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, of which approximately \$6.0 million is classified as current notes payable at September 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. JRMSA has guaranteed approximately 50% of this debt based on its ownership percentages in the vessel-owning companies. The outstanding debt bears interest at a rate equal to the three-month LIBOR (which resets every three months) plus a margin of 2.815% and matures in January 2014.

North Ocean 105

On September 30, 2010, MII, as guarantor, and North Ocean 105 AS, in which we have a 75% ownership interest, as borrower, entered into a financing agreement to finance a portion of the construction costs of a pipeline construction support vessel to be named the *North Ocean 105*. The agreement provides for borrowings of up to \$69.4 million, bearing interest at 2.76% per year, and requires principal repayment in 17 consecutive semi-annual installments commencing on October 1, 2012. Borrowings under the agreement are secured by, among other things, a pledge of all of the equity of North Ocean 105 AS, a mortgage on the *North Ocean 105*, and a lien on substantially all of the other assets of North Ocean 105 AS. MII unconditionally guaranteed all amounts to be borrowed under the agreement. At September 30, 2012, there was \$69.4 million in borrowings outstanding, of which \$8.2 million was classified as current notes payable. At December 31, 2011, there was \$50.4 million in borrowings outstanding, of which \$2.9 million was classified as current notes payable.

ANZ Reimbursement Agreement

On April 20, 2012, McDermott and one of its wholly owned subsidiaries, McDermott Australia Pty. Ltd. (McDermott Australia), entered into a secured Letter of Credit Reimbursement Agreement (the Reimbursement Agreement) with Australia and New Zealand Banking Group Limited (ANZ). In accordance with the terms of the Reimbursement Agreement, ANZ issued letters of credit in the aggregate amount of approximately \$109 million to support McDermott Australia s performance obligations under contractual arrangements relating to a field development project. The obligations of McDermott and McDermott Australia under the Reimbursement Agreement are secured by McDermott Australia s interest in the contractual arrangements and certain related assets.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Our exposures to market risks have not changed materially from those disclosed in Item 7A included in Part II of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report, we carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as that term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) adopted by the SEC under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)). Our disclosure controls and procedures were developed through a process in which our management applied its judgment in assessing the costs and benefits of such controls and procedures, which, by their nature, can provide only reasonable assurance regarding the control objectives. You should note that the design of any system of disclosure controls and procedures is based in part upon various assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and we cannot assure you that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions, regardless of how remote. Based on the evaluation referred to above, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of September 30, 2012 to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and such information is accumulated and communicated to management as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure. There has been no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2012 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents**PART II****OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

For information regarding ongoing investigations and litigation, see Note 9 to our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I of this report, which we incorporate by reference into this Item.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table provides information on our purchases of equity securities during the quarter ended September 30, 2012, all of which involved repurchases of shares of MII common stock in connection with the vesting of restricted stock units pursuant to the provisions of employee benefit plans that permit the repurchase of common stock to satisfy statutory tax withholding obligations associated with the vesting of restricted stock units:

| Period | Total number of shares purchased | Average price paid per share | Total number of shares purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs | Maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs |
|----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| July 1 - July 31, 2012 | | \$ | not applicable | not applicable |
| August 1 - August 31, 2012 | 124 | 11.85 | not applicable | not applicable |
| September 1 - September 30, 2012 | | | not applicable | not applicable |
| Total | 124 | \$ 11.85 | not applicable | not applicable |

Table of Contents**Item 6. Exhibits****Exhibit**

| Number | Description |
|---------------|---|
| 3.1* | McDermott International, Inc. s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to McDermott International, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 3.2* | McDermott International, Inc. s Amended and Restated By-Laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to McDermott International, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (file No. 1-08430)). |
| 3.3* | Amended and Restated Certificate of Designation of Series D Participating Preferred Stock of McDermott International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to McDermott International, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of Chief Financial Officer. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 certification of Chief Financial Officer. |

* Incorporated by reference to the filing indicated.

| | |
|---------|--|
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MCDERMOTT INTERNATIONAL, INC.

By: /s/ PERRY L. ELDERS
Perry L. Elders

Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and
Treasurer

(Principal Financial Officer and Principal
Accounting Officer)

November 5, 2012

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

| Exhibit | |
|----------------|---|
| Number | Description |
| 3.1* | McDermott International, Inc. s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to McDermott International, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2008 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 3.2* | McDermott International, Inc. s Amended and Restated By-Laws (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to McDermott International, Inc. s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 (file No. 1-08430)). |
| 3.3* | Amended and Restated Certificate of Designation of Series D Participating Preferred Stock of McDermott International, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to McDermott International, Inc. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 30, 2001 (File No. 1-08430)). |
| 31.1 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 31.2 | Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) certification of Chief Financial Officer. |
| 32.1 | Section 1350 certification of Chief Executive Officer. |
| 32.2 | Section 1350 certification of Chief Financial Officer. |

* Incorporated by reference to the filing indicated.

| | |
|---------|--|
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |