

United States Brent Oil Fund, LP
Form 424B3
May 01, 2012
Table of Contents

Filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)
File No. 333-162015

PROSPECTUS

United States Brent Oil Fund[®], LP

49,800,000 Units

United States Brent Oil Fund, LP, a Delaware limited partnership, is a commodity pool that issues units that may be purchased and sold on the NYSE Arca, Inc. (NYSE Arca). United States Brent Oil Fund, LP is referred to as USBO throughout this document. The investment objective of USBO is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its units' net asset value to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the spot price of Brent crude oil as measured by the daily changes in the price of the futures contract on Brent crude oil as traded on the ICE Futures Exchange that is the near month contract to expire, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case the futures contract will be the next month contract to expire, less USBO's expenses.

The units may be purchased from USBO only in one or more blocks of 50,000 units as described in Creation and Redemption of Units. A block of 50,000 units is called a Basket. USBO issues and redeems Baskets called creation baskets or redemption baskets, as the case may be, from certain authorized purchasers as described in Plan of Distribution. The price of a creation basket or a redemption basket is equal to the net asset value of 50,000 units on the day that the order to create the creation basket or redeem the redemption basket is accepted by the marketing agent.

Authorized purchasers that purchase creation baskets may offer and sell units from these baskets to the public at prices that are expected to reflect, among other factors, the trading price of units on the NYSE Arca, the net asset value of USBO and the supply and demand for units at the time of sale. The difference between the price paid by authorized purchasers as underwriters and the price paid to such authorized purchasers by investors will be deemed underwriting compensation. Authorized purchasers will not receive from USBO or any of its affiliates, any fee or other compensation in connection with the sale of units. USBO will continuously offer creation baskets consisting of 50,000 units to authorized purchasers through ALPS Distributors, Inc., which is the marketing agent. A list of USBO's current authorized purchasers is available from the marketing agent. Authorized Purchasers will pay a transaction fee of \$350 through December 31, 2012 for each order placed to create one or more baskets; on January 1, 2013 and after the transaction fee will be \$1,000. The units are listed on the NYSE Arca under the symbol BNO.

USBO is not a mutual fund registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 and is not subject to regulation under such Act.

Some of the risks of investing in USBO include:

Investing in crude oil interests subjects USBO to the risks of the crude oil industry which could result in large fluctuations in the price of USBO's units.

If certain correlations do not exist, then investors may not be able to use USBO as a cost-effective way to invest indirectly in crude oil or as a hedge against the risk of loss in crude oil-related transactions.

USBO does not expect to make cash distributions.

USBO and its general partner may have conflicts of interest, which may permit them to favor their own interests to your detriment.

This is a best efforts offering; the marketing agent is not required to sell any specific number or dollar amount of units, but will use its best efforts to sell units. An authorized purchaser is under no obligation to purchase units. This is intended to be a continuous offering and is not expected to terminate until all of the registered units have been sold or three years from the date of the prospectus, whichever is earlier, although the offering may be temporarily suspended if and when no suitable investments for USBO are available or practicable.

Investing in USBO involves other significant risks. See [What Are the Risk Factors Involved with an Investment in USBO?](#) beginning on page 10.

NEITHER THE SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION (SEC) NOR ANY STATE SECURITIES COMMISSION HAS APPROVED OR DISAPPROVED OF THE SECURITIES OFFERED IN THIS PROSPECTUS, OR DETERMINED IF THIS PROSPECTUS IS TRUTHFUL OR COMPLETE. ANY REPRESENTATION TO THE CONTRARY IS A CRIMINAL OFFENSE.

THE COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION HAS NOT PASSED UPON THE MERITS OF PARTICIPATING IN THIS POOL NOR HAS THE COMMISSION PASSED ON THE ADEQUACY OR ACCURACY OF THIS DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT.

This prospectus is in two parts: a disclosure document and a statement of additional information. These parts are bound together, and both contain important information.

	Per Unit	Per Basket
Price of the units*	\$ 87.90	\$ 4,395,000

*Based on closing net asset value on March 23, 2012. The price may vary based on the net asset value on a particular day.

The date of this prospectus is May 1, 2012.

Table of Contents

COMMODITY FUTURES TRADING COMMISSION

RISK DISCLOSURE STATEMENT

YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY CONSIDER WHETHER YOUR FINANCIAL CONDITION PERMITS YOU TO PARTICIPATE IN A COMMODITY POOL. IN SO DOING, YOU SHOULD BE AWARE THAT COMMODITY INTEREST TRADING CAN QUICKLY LEAD TO LARGE LOSSES AS WELL AS GAINS. SUCH TRADING LOSSES CAN SHARPLY REDUCE THE NET ASSET VALUE OF THE POOL AND CONSEQUENTLY THE VALUE OF YOUR INTEREST IN THE POOL. IN ADDITION, RESTRICTIONS ON REDEMPTIONS MAY AFFECT YOUR ABILITY TO WITHDRAW YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THE POOL.

FURTHER, COMMODITY POOLS MAY BE SUBJECT TO SUBSTANTIAL CHARGES FOR MANAGEMENT, AND ADVISORY AND BROKERAGE FEES. IT MAY BE NECESSARY FOR THOSE POOLS THAT ARE SUBJECT TO THESE CHARGES TO MAKE SUBSTANTIAL TRADING PROFITS TO AVOID DEPLETION OR EXHAUSTION OF THEIR ASSETS. THIS DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT CONTAINS A COMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF EACH EXPENSE TO BE CHARGED THIS POOL AT PAGE 63 AND A STATEMENT OF THE PERCENTAGE RETURN NECESSARY TO BREAK EVEN, THAT IS, TO RECOVER THE AMOUNT OF YOUR INITIAL INVESTMENT, AT PAGE 6.

THIS BRIEF STATEMENT CANNOT DISCLOSE ALL THE RISKS AND OTHER FACTORS NECESSARY TO EVALUATE YOUR PARTICIPATION IN THIS COMMODITY POOL. THEREFORE, BEFORE YOU DECIDE TO PARTICIPATE IN THIS COMMODITY POOL, YOU SHOULD CAREFULLY STUDY THIS DISCLOSURE DOCUMENT, INCLUDING A DESCRIPTION OF THE PRINCIPAL RISK FACTORS OF THIS INVESTMENT, AT PAGE 10.

YOU SHOULD ALSO BE AWARE THAT THIS COMMODITY POOL MAY TRADE FOREIGN FUTURES OR OPTIONS CONTRACTS. TRANSACTIONS ON MARKETS LOCATED OUTSIDE THE UNITED STATES, INCLUDING MARKETS FORMALLY LINKED TO A UNITED STATES MARKET, MAY BE SUBJECT TO REGULATIONS WHICH OFFER DIFFERENT OR DIMINISHED PROTECTION TO THE POOL AND ITS PARTICIPANTS. FURTHER, UNITED STATES REGULATORY AUTHORITIES MAY BE UNABLE TO COMPEL THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE RULES OF REGULATORY AUTHORITIES OR MARKETS IN NON-UNITED STATES JURISDICTIONS WHERE TRANSACTIONS FOR THE POOL MAY BE EFFECTED.

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES BRENT OIL FUND, LP

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<u>PROSPECTUS SUMMARY</u>	1
<u>Overview of USBO</u>	1
<u>Principal Offices of USBO and the General Partner</u>	3
<u>Principal Investment Risks of an Investment in USBO</u>	4
<u>Financial Condition of USBO</u>	6
<u>Defined Terms</u>	6
<u>Breakeven Analysis</u>	6
<u>THE OFFERING</u>	7
<u>WHAT ARE THE RISK FACTORS INVOLVED WITH AN INVESTMENT IN USBO?</u>	10
<u>Risks Associated With Investing Directly or Indirectly in Crude Oil</u>	10
<u>USBO's Operating Risks</u>	15
<u>Risk of Leverage and Volatility</u>	21
<u>Over-the-Counter Contract Risk</u>	22
<u>Risk of Trading in International Markets</u>	22
<u>Tax Risk</u>	23
<u>THE OFFERING</u>	25
<u>What is USBO?</u>	25
<u>Who is the General Partner?</u>	25
<u>Compensation to the General Partner and Other Compensation</u>	29
<u>Director Compensation</u>	30
<u>Market Price of Units</u>	30
<u>Prior Performance of USBO</u>	30
<u>Prior Performance of the General Partner and Related Public Funds</u>	33
<u>Other Related Commodity Trading and Investment Management Experience</u>	45
<u>How Does USBO Operate?</u>	45
<u>What Is USBO's Investment Strategy?</u>	52
<u>What are Futures Contracts?</u>	53
<u>What is the Crude Oil Market and the Petroleum-Based Fuel Market?</u>	56
<u>Why Does USBO Purchase and Sell Futures Contracts?</u>	58
<u>What is the Flow of Units?</u>	59
<u>What are the Trading Policies of USBO?</u>	59
<u>Who are the Service Providers?</u>	61
<u>Fees of USBO</u>	63
<u>Form of Units</u>	64
<u>Transfer of Units</u>	65
<u>Withdrawal of Limited Partners</u>	66
<u>What is the Plan of Distribution?</u>	67
<u>Calculating NAV</u>	68
<u>Creation and Redemption of Units</u>	69
<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	74
<u>Limited Partnership Agreement</u>	75
<u>The General Partner Has Conflicts of Interest</u>	77
<u>The General Partner's Responsibilities and Remedies</u>	78
<u>Liability and Indemnification</u>	79
<u>Provisions of Law</u>	80
<u>Books and Records</u>	80

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>Analysis of Critical Accounting Policies</u>	80
<u>Statements, Filings, and Reports</u>	81
<u>Reports to Limited Partners</u>	81
<u>Fiscal Year</u>	82
<u>Governing Law: Consent to Delaware Jurisdiction</u>	82
<u>Security Ownership of Principal Unitholders and Management</u>	82
<u>Legal Matters</u>	82
<u>Experts</u>	82
<u>Privacy Policy</u>	83
<u>U.S. Federal Income Tax Considerations</u>	83
<u>Backup Withholding</u>	92
<u>Other Tax Considerations</u>	92
<u>Investment by ERISA Accounts</u>	93
<u>INFORMATION YOU SHOULD KNOW</u>	95
<u>STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS</u>	96
<u>WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION</u>	96
<u>INCORPORATION BY REFERENCE OF CERTAIN INFORMATION</u>	96
<u>SUMMARY OF PROMOTIONAL AND SALES MATERIAL</u>	97
<u>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY</u>	97
<u>APPENDIX A Glossary of Defined Terms</u>	A-1
<u>APPENDIX B UNITED STATES BRENT OIL FUND, LP SECOND AMENDED AND RESTATED AGREEMENT OF LIMITED PARTNERSHIP (Including Exhibits Thereto)</u>	B-1
<u>STATEMENT OF ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>	SAI-1

Table of Contents

PROSPECTUS SUMMARY

This is only a summary of the prospectus and, while it contains material information about USBO and its units, it does not contain or summarize all of the information about USBO and the units contained in this prospectus that is material and/or which may be important to you. You should read this entire prospectus, including "What Are the Risk Factors Involved with an Investment in USBO?" beginning on page 10, before making an investment decision about the units.

Overview of USBO

United States Brent Oil Fund, LP, a Delaware limited partnership (USBO or Us or We), is a commodity pool that issues units that may be purchased and sold on the NYSE Arca. USBO is managed and controlled by its general partner, United States Commodity Funds LLC (formerly known as Victoria Bay Asset Management, LLC) (General Partner). The General Partner is a single member limited liability company formed in Delaware on May 10, 2005, that is registered as a commodity pool operator (CPO) with the Commodity Futures Trading Commission (CFTC) and is a member of the National Futures Association (NFA).

The net assets of USBO consist primarily of investments in futures contracts for crude oil, heating oil, gasoline, natural gas and other petroleum-based fuels that are traded on the ICE Futures Exchange, New York Mercantile Exchange (the NYMEX), or other U.S. and foreign exchanges (collectively, Futures Contracts), and to a lesser extent, in order to comply with regulatory requirements or in view of market conditions, other crude oil-related investments such as cash-settled options on Futures Contracts, forward contracts for crude oil, cleared swap contracts and over-the-counter transactions that are based on the price of crude oil and other petroleum-based fuels, Futures Contracts and indices based on the foregoing (collectively, Other Crude Oil-Related Investments). Market conditions that the General Partner currently anticipates could cause USBO to invest in Other Crude Oil-Related Investments include those allowing USBO to obtain greater liquidity or to execute transactions with more favorable pricing. For convenience and unless otherwise specified, Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments collectively are referred to as Crude Oil Interests in this prospectus.

The investment objective of USBO is for the daily changes in percentage terms of its units' net asset value (NAV) to reflect the daily changes in percentage terms of the spot price of Brent crude oil as measured by the daily changes in the price of the futures contract on Brent crude oil as traded on ICE Futures Exchange that is the near month contract to expire, except when the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, in which case the futures contract will be the next month contract to expire (the Benchmark Futures Contract), less USBO's expenses. It is not the intent of USBO to be operated in a fashion such that its NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the dollar price of spot crude oil or any particular futures contract based on crude oil. It is not the intent of USBO to be operated in a fashion such that its NAV will reflect the percentage change of the price of any particular futures contract as measured over a time period greater than any day. The General Partner believes that it is not practical to manage the portfolio to achieve such an investment goal when investing in Futures Contracts and other Crude-Oil Related Investments. USBO may invest in interests other than the Benchmark Futures Contract to comply with accountability levels and position limits. For a detailed discussion of accountability levels and position limits, see "What are Futures Contracts?"

In order for a hypothetical investment in units to break even over the next 12 months, assuming a selling price of \$85.58 per unit, the investment would have to generate a 0.79% return. For more information, see "Breakeven Analysis."

Table of Contents

The General Partner endeavors to place USBO's trades in Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related-Investments and otherwise manage USBO's investments so that A will be within plus/minus 10 percent of B , where:

A is the average daily change in USBO's NAV for any period of 30 successive valuation days, *i.e.*, any NYSE Arca trading day as of which USBO calculates its NAV; and

B is the average daily change in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract over the same period.

The General Partner believes that market arbitrage opportunities cause daily changes in USBO's unit price on the NYSE Arca to closely track daily changes in USBO's NAV per unit. The General Partner further believes that the daily changes in prices of the Benchmark Future Contract have historically tracked the daily changes in the spot price of Brent crude oil. The General Partner believes that the net effect of these two expected relationships and the relationships described above between USBO's NAV and the Benchmark Futures Contract will be the daily changes in the price of USBO's units on NYSE Arca will closely track, in percentage terms, the daily changes in the spot price of Brent crude oil, less USBO's expenses.

The General Partner employs a neutral investment strategy intended to track the changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract regardless of whether the price goes up or goes down. USBO's neutral investment strategy is designed to permit investors generally to purchase and sell USBO's units for the purpose of investing indirectly in Brent crude oil in a cost-effective manner, and/or to permit participants in the crude oil or other industries to hedge the risk of losses in their Brent crude oil-related transactions. Accordingly, depending on the investment objective of an individual investor, the risks generally associated with investing in Brent crude oil and/or the risks involved in hedging may exist. In addition, an investment in USBO involves the risk that the changes in the price of USBO's units will not accurately track the changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract, and that changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract will not closely correlate with changes in the spot price of Brent crude oil. If the Benchmark Futures Contract does not correlate with the spot price of Brent crude oil, this could cause changes in the price of USBO's units to substantially vary from the changes in the spot price of Brent crude oil. If this were to occur, then investors may not be able to effectively use USBO as a way to hedge against crude oil-related losses or as a way to indirectly invest in crude oil. In addition, the price relationship between the near month contract and the next month contract that compose the Benchmark Futures Contract will vary and may impact both the total return over time of USBO's NAV, as well as the degree to which its total return tracks other crude oil price indices' total returns.

The Benchmark Futures Contract is changed from the near month contract to the next month contract over a four-day period. Each month the Benchmark Futures Contract changes starting at the end of the day on the date two weeks prior to expiration of the near month contract for that month. The anticipated dates that the monthly four-day roll period will commence are posted on USBO's website at www.unitedstatesbrentoilfund.com, and are subject to change without notice. During the first three days of the period, the applicable value of the Benchmark Futures Contract is based on a combination of the near month contract and the next month contract as follows: (1) day 1 consists of 75% of the then near month contract's price plus 25% of the price of the next month contract, divided by 75% of the near month contract's prior day's price plus 25% of the price of the next month contract, (2) day 2 consists of 50% of the then near month contract's price plus 50% of the price of the next month contract, divided by 50% of the near month contract's prior day's price plus 50% of the price of the next month contract, and (3) day 3 consists of 25% of the then near month contract's price plus 75% of the price of the next month contract, divided by 25% of the near month contract's prior day's price plus 75% of the price of the next month contract. On day 4, the Benchmark Futures Contract is the next month contract to expire at that time and that contract remains the Benchmark Futures Contract until the beginning of the following month's change in the Benchmark Futures Contract over a four-day period. On each day during the four-day period, USCF anticipates it will roll USBO's positions in Crude Oil Interests by closing, or selling, a percentage of

USBO's positions in Crude Oil Interests and reinvesting the proceeds from closing those positions in new Crude Oil Interests that reflect the change in the Benchmark Futures Contract.

Table of Contents

USBO issues units only in blocks of 50,000 units called Creation Baskets and redeems units only in blocks of 50,000 units called Redemption Baskets. Only Authorized Purchasers may purchase or redeem Creation Baskets or Redemption Baskets, respectively. An Authorized Purchaser is under no obligation to create or redeem baskets, and an Authorized Purchaser is under no obligation to offer to the public units of any baskets it does create. Baskets are generally created when there is a demand for units, including, but not limited to, when the market price per unit is at a premium to the NAV per unit. Authorized Purchasers will then sell such units, which will be listed on the NYSE Arca, to the public at per unit offering prices that are expected to reflect, among other factors, the trading price of the units on the NYSE Arca, the NAV of USBO at the time the Authorized Purchaser purchased the Creation Basket and the NAV at the time of the offer of the units to the public, the supply of and demand for units at the time of sale, and the liquidity of the Futures Contracts market and the market for Other Crude Oil-Related Investments. The prices of units offered by Authorized Purchasers are expected to fall between USBO's NAV and the trading price of the units on the NYSE Arca at the time of sale. Similarly, Baskets are generally redeemed when the market price per unit is at a discount to the NAV per unit. Retail investors seeking to purchase or sell units on any day will effect such transactions in the secondary market, on the NYSE Arca, at the market price per unit, rather than in connection with the creation or redemption of Baskets.

There is no specified limit on the maximum amount of Creation Baskets that can be sold. At some point, accountability levels and position limits on certain of the Futures Contracts in which USBO intends to invest may practically limit the number of Creation Baskets that will be sold if the General Partner determines that the other investment alternatives available to USBO at that time will not enable it to meet its stated investment objective.

In managing USBO's assets, the General Partner does not use a technical trading system that automatically issues buy and sell orders. The General Partner instead employs quantitative methodologies whereby each time one or more baskets are purchased or redeemed, the General Partner will purchase or sell Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments with an aggregate market value that approximates the amount of Treasuries and/or cash received or paid upon the purchase or redemption of the Basket(s).

Note to Secondary Market Investors: The units can be directly purchased from or redeemed by USBO only in Creation Baskets or Redemption Baskets, respectively, and only by Authorized Purchasers. Each Creation Basket and Redemption Basket consists of 50,000 units and is expected to be worth millions of dollars. Individual investors, therefore, will not be able to directly purchase units from or redeem units with USBO. Some of the information contained in this prospectus, including information about buying and redeeming units directly from and to USBO is only relevant to Authorized Purchasers. Units are listed and traded on the NYSE Arca and may be purchased and sold as individual units. Individuals interested in purchasing units in the secondary market should contact their broker. Units purchased or sold through a broker may be subject to commissions.

Except when aggregated in Redemption Baskets, units are not redeemable securities. There is no guarantee that units will trade at or near the per-unit NAV.

Principal Offices of USBO and the General Partner

USBO's principal office is located at 1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 145, Alameda, California 94502. The General Partner's principal office is also located at 1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 145, Alameda, California 94502. The telephone number for each of USBO and the General Partner is 510.522.9600.

Table of Contents

Principal Investment Risks of an Investment in USBO

An investment in USBO involves a degree of risk. Some of the risks you may face are summarized below. A more extensive discussion of these risks appears beginning on page 10.

The price relationship between the near month contract to expire and the next month contract to expire that compose the Benchmark Futures Contract will vary and may impact both the total return over time of USBO's NAV, as well as the degree to which its total return tracks the Benchmark Futures Contract. In cases in which the near month contract's price is lower than the next month contract's price (a situation known as contango in the futures market), then absent the impact of the overall movement in Brent crude oil prices the value of the Benchmark Futures Contract would tend to decline as it approaches expiration. In cases in which the near month contract's price is higher than the next month contract's price (a situation known as backwardation in the futures market), then absent the impact of the overall movement in Brent crude oil prices the value of the Benchmark Futures Contract would tend to rise as it approaches expiration. Assuming that spot crude oil prices remain unchanged, and ignoring the impact of transaction costs, taxes, or other fees and expenses, USBO's total returns would tend to see a negative impact from the crude oil futures market being in contango and would tend to see a positive impact from the market being in backwardation.

Unlike mutual funds, commodity pools or other investment pools that manage their investments in an attempt to realize income and gains and distribute such income and gains to their investors, USBO generally does not distribute cash to limited partners or other unitholders. You should not invest in USBO if you will need cash distributions from USBO to pay taxes on your share of income and gains of USBO, if any, or for any other reason.

Investors may choose to use USBO as a means of investing indirectly in Brent crude oil and there are risks involved in such investments. The risks and hazards that are inherent in the crude oil industry may cause the price of crude oil to widely fluctuate.

To the extent that investors use USBO as a means of investing indirectly in Brent crude oil, there is the risk that the daily changes in the price of USBO's units on NYSE Arca will not closely track the daily changes in the spot price of Brent crude oil. This could happen if the price of units traded on the NYSE Arca does not correlate closely with USBO's NAV; the changes in USBO's NAV do not correlate closely with the changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract; or the changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract do not closely correlate with the changes in the cash or spot price of Brent crude oil. This is a risk because if these correlations do not exist, then investors may not be able to use USBO as a cost-effective way to invest indirectly in Brent crude oil or as a hedge against the risk of loss in crude oil-related transactions.

The structure and operation of USBO may involve conflicts of interest. For example, a conflict may arise because the General Partner and its principals and affiliates may trade for themselves. In addition, the General Partner has sole current authority to manage the investments and operations of USBO, which may create a conflict with the unitholders' best interests. The General Partner may also have a conflict to the extent that its trading decisions may be influenced by the effect they would have on the United States Oil Fund, LP (USOF), the United States Natural Gas Fund, LP (USNG), the United States 12 Month Oil Fund, LP (US12OF), the United States Gasoline Fund, LP (UGA), the United States Heating Oil Fund, LP (USHO), the United States Short Oil Fund, LP (USSO), the United States 12 Month Natural Gas Fund, LP (US12NG), the United States Commodity Index Fund (USCI), the United States Metals Index Fund (USMI), the United States Agriculture Index Fund (USAG) and the United States Copper Index Fund (CPER), or any other commodity pool the General Partner may form and manage in the future. USOF, USNG, US12OF, UGA, USHO, USSO, US12NG, USCI, USMI, USAG and CPER are referred to herein as the Related Public Funds.

Table of Contents

USBO invests primarily in Futures Contracts, and particularly in Futures Contracts traded on the ICE Futures Exchange, which involves certain risks including that changes in its NAV may not correlate with changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract.

USBO invests primarily in Futures Contracts that are traded outside the United States. Some non-U.S. markets present risks because they are not subject to the same degree of regulation as their U.S. counterparts. In some of these non-U.S. markets, the performance on a contract is the responsibility of the counterparty and is not backed by an exchange or clearing corporation and therefore exposes USBO to credit risk. However, Futures Contracts traded on the ICE Futures Exchange, including the Benchmark Futures Contract, are backed by the ICE Futures Exchange and do not expose USBO to the risks of some other non-U.S. exchanges or clearing corporations. Trading in non-U.S. markets also leaves USBO susceptible to fluctuations in the value of the local currency against the U.S. dollar for contracts not traded in U.S. dollars. The Benchmark Futures Contract, however, is traded in U.S. dollars and does not expose USBO to the risk of currency fluctuations.

You will have no rights to participate in the management of USBO and will have to rely on the duties and judgment of the General Partner to manage USBO.

USBO pays fees and expenses that are incurred regardless of whether it is profitable.

USBO seeks to have the daily changes in its units' NAV in percentage terms track the daily changes in the price of Brent crude oil in percentage terms rather than profit from speculative trading of Crude Oil Interests. The General Partner therefore endeavors to manage USBO's positions in Crude Oil Interests so that USBO's assets are, unlike those of other commodity pools, not leveraged (i.e., so that the aggregate value of USBO's unrealized losses from its investments in such Crude Oil Interests at any time will not exceed the value of USBO's assets). There is no assurance that the General Partner will successfully implement this investment strategy. If the General Partner permits USBO to become leveraged, and if USBO's trading positions suddenly turn unprofitable, you could lose all or substantially all of your investment. These movements in price may be the result of factors outside of the General Partner's control and may not be anticipated by the General Partner.

USBO may also invest in Other Crude Oil-Related Investments, many of which are negotiated contracts that are not as liquid as Futures Contracts and expose USBO to credit risk that its counterparty may not be able to satisfy its obligations to USBO.

Regulation of the commodity interest and energy markets is extensive and constantly changing. On July 21, 2010, a broad financial regulatory reform bill, The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, was signed into law that includes provisions altering the regulation of commodity interests. The CFTC, the SEC and other federal regulators, have been tasked with developing the rules and regulations enacting the provisions noted above. The new law and the rules currently being promulgated thereunder may negatively impact USBO's ability to meet its investment objective, either through limits or requirements imposed on it or upon its counterparties.

Cash or property will be distributed at the sole discretion of the General Partner, and the General Partner currently does not intend to make cash or other distributions with respect to units. You will be required to pay U.S. federal income tax and, in some cases, state, local or foreign income tax on your allocable share of USBO's taxable income, without regard to whether you receive distributions or the amount of any distributions. Therefore, your tax liability with respect to your units may exceed the amount of cash or value of property (if any) distributed.

For additional risks, see [What Are the Risk Factors Involved with an Investment in USBO?](#)

Table of Contents**Financial Condition of USBO**

USBO's NAV is calculated shortly after the close of the core trading session on the NYSE Arca.

Defined Terms

For a glossary of defined terms, see Appendix A.

Breakeven Analysis

The breakeven analysis below indicates the approximate dollar returns and percentage required for the redemption value of a hypothetical investment in a single unit to equal the amount invested twelve months after the investment was made. For purposes of this breakeven analysis, we have assumed an initial selling price per unit of \$85.58, which equals the net asset value per unit on February 29, 2012. This breakeven analysis refers to the redemption of baskets by Authorized Purchasers and is not related to any gains an individual investor would have to achieve in order to break even. The breakeven analysis is an approximation only.

Assumed initial selling price per unit	\$ 85.58
Management Fee (0.75%) ⁽¹⁾	\$ 0.65
Creation Basket Fee ⁽²⁾	\$ (0.01)
Estimated Brokerage Fee (0.07%) ⁽³⁾	\$ 0.01
Interest Income (0.08%) ⁽⁴⁾	\$ (0.07)
Independent Directors and Officers' Fees ⁽⁵⁾	\$ 0.01
Fees and expenses associated with tax accounting and reporting ⁽⁶⁾	\$ 0.09
Amount of trading income (loss) required for the redemption value at the end of one year to equal the initial selling price of the unit	\$ 0.68
Percentage of initial selling price per unit	0.79%

- (1) USBO is contractually obligated to pay the General Partner a management fee based on daily net assets and paid monthly of 0.75% per annum on average daily net assets.
- (2) Authorized Purchasers are required to pay a Creation or Redemption Basket fee of \$350 for each order they place to create or redeem one or more baskets. An order must be at least one basket, which is 50,000 units. This breakeven analysis assumes a hypothetical investment in a single unit so the Creation Basket fee is \$.01 (350/50,000).
- (3) This amount is based on the actual brokerage fees for USBO calculated on an annualized basis.
- (4) USBO earns interest on funds it deposits with the futures commission merchant and the custodian and it estimates that the interest rate will be 0.08% based on the current interest rate on three-month Treasury Bills as of February 29, 2012. The actual rate may vary.
- (5) The foregoing assumes that the assets of USBO are aggregated with those of USOF, USNG, US12OF, UGA, USHO, USSO, US12NG, USMI, USAG, USCI and CPER, that the aggregate fees paid to the independent directors in 2011 was \$320,000, that the allocable portion of the fees borne by USBO equals \$1,122, and that USBO has \$77,019,894 in assets, which is the amount of assets as of February 29, 2012.
- (6) USBO assumed the aggregate costs attributable to tax accounting and reporting for 2011 was \$45,000. The number in the break-even table assumes USBO has \$77,019,894 in assets which is the amount of assets as of February 29, 2012.

Table of Contents

THE OFFERING

Offering:	<p>USBO is offering Creation Baskets consisting of 50,000 units through ALPS Distributors, Inc. (Marketing Agent) as marketing agent to Authorized Purchasers. Authorized Purchasers may purchase Creation Baskets consisting of 50,000 units at USBO 's NAV. This is a continuous offering under Rule 415 of the Securities Act of 1933 (1933 Act) and is not expected to terminate until all of the registered units have been sold or three years from the date of the prospectus, whichever is earlier, although the offering may be temporarily suspended during such period when suitable investments for USBO are not available or practicable. It is anticipated that when all registered units have been sold pursuant to this registration statement, additional units will be registered in subsequent registration statements.</p>
Use of Proceeds:	<p>The General Partner applies substantially all of USBO 's assets toward trading in Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments, and investing in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents. The General Partner deposits a portion of USBO 's net assets with the futures commission merchant, UBS Securities LLC, or other custodian to be used to meet its current or potential margin or collateral requirements in connection with its investment in Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments. USBO uses only Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents to satisfy these requirements. The General Partner believes that all entities that hold or trade USBO 's assets are based in the United States and are subject to United States regulations. Approximately 5% to 30% of USBO 's assets will be committed as margin for Futures Contracts and collateral for Other Crude Oil-Related Investments. However, from time to time, the percentage of assets committed as margin/collateral may be substantially more, or less, than such range. The remaining portion of USBO 's assets is held in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents by its custodian, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (the Custodian). All interest income earned on these investments is retained for USBO 's benefit.</p>
NYSE Arca Symbol:	<p>BNO</p>
Creation and Redemption:	<p>Currently, and through December 31, 2012, Authorized Purchasers pay a \$350 fee for each order to create or redeem one or more Creation Baskets or Redemption Baskets; on and after January 1, 2013, the fee is \$1,000. Authorized Purchasers are not required to sell any specific number or dollar amount of units. The per unit price of units offered in Creation Baskets on any day is the total NAV of USBO calculated shortly after the close of the core trading session of the NYSE Arca on that day divided by the number of issued and outstanding units. The General Partner shall notify the Depository Trust Company (DTC) of any change in the transaction fee and will not implement any increase in the fee for Creation or Redemption Baskets until 30 days after the date of notice.</p>

Table of Contents

Registration, Clearance and Settlement:	<p>Individual certificates will not be issued for the units. Instead, units will be represented by one or more global certificates, which will be deposited by the Custodian with the DTC and registered in the name of Cede & Co., as nominee for DTC.</p> <p>The administrator, Brown Brothers Harriman & Co. (Administrator), has been appointed registrar and transfer agent for the purpose of registering and transferring units. The General Partner will recognize transfer of units only if such transfer is done in accordance with the LP Agreement, including the delivery of a transfer application.</p>
Net Asset Value:	<p>The NAV is calculated by taking the current market value of USBO's total assets, subtracting any liabilities and dividing that number by the total number of outstanding units. Under USBO's current operational procedures, the Administrator calculates the NAV of USBO once each NYSE Arca trading day. The NAV for a particular trading day is released after 4:00 p.m. New York time. Trading during the core trading session of the NYSE Arca typically closes at 4:00 p.m. New York time. The Administrator uses the ICE Futures Exchange settlement price (a weighted average price of trades during a three minute settlement period from 2:27 p.m. to 2:30 p.m. New York time) for the contracts traded on the ICE Futures Exchange, but calculates or determines the value of all other USBO investments as of the earlier of the close of the NYSE Arca or 4:00 p.m. New York time.</p>
Fund Expenses:	<p>USBO pays the General Partner a management fee of 0.75% of NAV on its average net assets. Brokerage fees for Treasuries, Futures Contracts, and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments of 0.08% of average net assets on an annualized basis through February 29, 2012 and were paid to unaffiliated brokers. USBO also pays any licensing fees for the use of intellectual property. Registration fees paid to the SEC, FINRA, or other regulatory agency in connection with the initial offers and sales of the units and the legal, printing, accounting and other expenses associated with such registrations were paid by the General Partner, but the fees and expenses associated with subsequent SEC registrations of units are borne by USBO. USBO also is responsible for the fees and expenses, which may include directors and officers liability insurance, of the independent directors of the General Partner in connection with their activities with respect to USBO. These director fees and expenses may be shared with other funds managed by the General Partner. These fees and expenses, in total amounted to \$320,000 for all funds for 2011, and USBO's portion of such fees and expenses was \$1,122, although this amount may change in future years. The General Partner, and not USBO, is responsible for payment of the fees of USBO's Marketing Agent, Administrator and Custodian. USBO and/or the General Partner may be required to indemnify the Marketing Agent, Administrator or Custodian under certain circumstances. USBO also pays the fees and expenses associated with its tax accounting and reporting</p>

Table of Contents

requirements with the exception of certain initial implementation services fees and base services fees which were paid by the General Partner. The General Partner, though under no obligation to do so, agreed to pay certain expenses, including those relating to audit expenses and tax accounting and reporting requirements normally borne by USBO to the extent that such expenses exceeded 0.15% (15 basis points) of USBO's NAV, on an annualized basis. The General Partner has no obligation to continue such payment into subsequent years. The total amount of expense waiver was \$104,431 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Termination Events:

USBO shall continue in effect from the date of its formation in perpetuity, unless sooner terminated upon the occurrence of any one or more of the following events: the death, adjudication of incompetence, bankruptcy, dissolution, withdrawal, or removal of a General Partner who is the sole remaining General Partner, unless a majority in interest of limited partners within ninety (90) days after such event elects to continue the partnership and appoints a successor general partner or the affirmative vote of a majority in interest of the limited partners subject to certain conditions. Upon termination of the partnership, the affairs of the partnership shall be wound up and all of its debts and liabilities discharged or otherwise provided for in the order of priority as provided by law. The fair market value of the remaining assets of the partnership shall then be determined by the General Partner. Thereupon, the assets of the partnership shall be distributed pro rata to the partners in accordance with their units.

Withdrawal:

As discussed in the LP Agreement, if the General Partner gives at least fifteen (15) days written notice to a limited partner, then the General Partner may for any reason, in its sole discretion, require any such limited partner to withdraw entirely from the partnership or to withdraw a portion of its partner capital account. If the General Partner does not give at least fifteen (15) days written notice to a limited partner, then it may only require withdrawal of all or any portion of the capital account of any limited partner in the following circumstances: (i) the unitholder made a misrepresentation to the General Partner in connection with its purchase of units; or (ii) the limited partner's ownership of units would result in the violation of any law or regulation applicable to the partnership or a partner.

Authorized Purchasers:

USBO has entered into agreements with several Authorized Purchasers. A current list of Authorized Purchasers is available from the Marketing Agent. Authorized Purchasers must be (1) registered broker-dealers or other securities market participants, such as banks and other financial institutions, that are not required to register as broker-dealers to engage in securities transactions, and (2) DTC Participants. To become an Authorized Purchaser, a person must enter into an Authorized Purchaser Agreement with the General Partner.

Table of Contents

WHAT ARE THE RISK FACTORS INVOLVED WITH AN INVESTMENT IN USBO?

*You should consider carefully the risks described below before making an investment decision. You should also refer to the other information included in this prospectus, as well as information found in our periodic reports, which include USBO's financial statements and the related notes, that are incorporated by reference. See *Incorporation by Reference of Certain Information*.*

Risks Associated With Investing Directly or Indirectly in Crude Oil

Investing in Crude Oil Interests subjects USBO to the risks of the crude oil industry and this could result in large fluctuations in the price of USBO's units.

USBO is subject to the risks and hazards of the crude oil industry because it invests in Crude Oil Interests. The risks and hazards that are inherent in the crude oil industry may cause the price of crude oil to widely fluctuate. If the changes in percentage terms of USBO's units accurately track the changes in percentage terms of the Benchmark Futures Contract or the spot price of Brent crude oil, then the price of its units may also fluctuate. The exploration and production of crude oil are uncertain processes with many risks. The cost of drilling, completing and operating wells for crude oil is often uncertain, and a number of factors can delay or prevent drilling operations or production of crude oil, including:

unexpected drilling conditions;

pressure or irregularities in formations;

equipment failures or repairs;

fires or other accidents;

adverse weather conditions;

pipeline ruptures, spills or other supply disruptions; and

shortages or delays in the availability of drilling rigs and the delivery of equipment.

Crude oil transmission, distribution, gathering, and processing activities involve numerous risks that may affect the price of crude oil.

There are a variety of hazards inherent in crude oil transmission, distribution, gathering, and processing, such as leaks, explosions, pollution, release of toxic substances, adverse weather conditions (such as hurricanes and flooding), pipeline failure, abnormal pressures, uncontrollable flows of crude oil, scheduled and unscheduled maintenance, physical damage to the gathering or transportation system, and other hazards which could affect the price of crude oil. To the extent these hazards limit the supply or delivery of crude oil, crude oil prices will increase.

Changes in the political climate could have negative consequences for crude oil prices.

Tensions with Iran, the world's fourth largest oil exporter, could put oil exports in jeopardy. Other global concerns include civil unrest and sabotage affecting the flow of oil from Nigeria, a large oil exporter. Meanwhile, friction continues between the governments of the United States and Venezuela, a major exporter of oil to the United States. Additionally, a series of production cuts by members of OPEC followed by a refusal to subsequently increase oil production have tightened world oil markets.

Fluctuations in the reserve capacity of crude oil could impact future prices.

In the past, a supply disruption in one area of the world was softened by the ability of major oil-producing nations such as Saudi Arabia to increase output to make up the difference. Now, much of that spare reserve

Table of Contents

capacity has been absorbed by increased demand. The current global economic downturn, however, has led to a decrease in the demand for oil that lasted through 2009 and a corresponding increase in spare capacities. According to the United States Government's Energy Information Administration, global oil demand is expected to rise by 2 million barrels a day in 2012, to a total global consumption of 90 million barrels per day, up from 88 million barrels per day in 2011.

Daily changes in USBO's per unit NAV may not correlate with daily changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. If this were to occur, investors may not be able to effectively use USBO as a way to hedge against crude oil-related losses or as a way to indirectly invest in crude oil.

The General Partner endeavors to invest USBO's assets as fully as possible in Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments so that the daily changes in percentage terms of the per unit NAV closely correlate with the daily changes in percentage terms in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. However, daily changes in USBO's per unit NAV may not correlate with the daily changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract for several reasons as set forth below:

USBO: (i) may not be able to buy/sell the exact amount of Futures Contract and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments to have a perfect correlation with per unit NAV; (ii) may not always be able to buy and sell Futures Contracts or Other Crude Oil-Related Investments at the market price; and (iii) is required to pay fees, including brokerage fees and the management fee, which will have an effect on the correlation.

Short-term supply and demand for crude oil may cause the changes in the market price of the Benchmark Futures Contract to vary from the changes in USBO's per unit NAV if USBO has fully invested in Futures Contracts that do not reflect such supply and demand and it is unable to replace such contracts with Futures Contracts that do reflect such supply and demand.

USBO sells and buys only as many Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments that it can to get the daily changes in percentage terms of the per unit NAV as close as possible to the daily changes in percentage terms in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contracts. The remainder of its assets are invested in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents and are used to satisfy initial margin and additional margin requirements, if any, and to otherwise support its investments in Crude Oil Interests. Investments in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents, both directly and as margin, provide rates of return that vary from changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract.

Because USBO incurs certain expenses in connection with its investment activities, and holds most of its assets in cash and/or more liquid short-term securities for margin and other liquidity purposes and for redemptions that may be necessary on an ongoing basis, the General Partner is generally not able to fully invest USBO's assets in Futures Contracts or Other Crude Oil-Related Investments and there cannot be perfect correlation between changes in USBO's per unit NAV and changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract.

As USBO grows, there may be more or less correlation. For example, if USBO only has enough money to buy three Benchmark Futures Contracts and it needs four contracts to track the price of Brent crude oil then the correlation will be lower, but if buys 20,000 Benchmark Futures Contracts and it needs to buy 20,001 contracts then the correlation will be higher. At certain asset levels, USBO may be limited in its ability to buy or purchase the Benchmark Futures Contract or other Futures Contracts due to accountability levels imposed by the relevant exchanges. To the extent that USBO invests in these other Futures Contracts or Other Crude Oil-Related Investments, the correlation with the Benchmark Futures Contract may be lower. If USBO is required to invest in other Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments that are less correlated with the Benchmark Futures Contract, USBO would likely invest in over-the-counter contracts to increase the level of correlation of USBO's assets. Over-the-counter contracts entail certain risks described below under Over-the-Counter Contract Risk.

Table of Contents

USBO may not be able to buy the exact number of Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments to have a perfect correlation with the Benchmark Futures Contract if the purchase price of the Futures Contracts required to be fully invested in such contracts is higher than the proceeds received for the sale of a Creation Basket on the day the basket was sold. In such case, USBO could not invest the entire proceeds from the purchase of the Creation Basket in such futures contracts (for example, assume USBO receives \$2,500,000 for the sale of a Creation Basket and assume that the price of a Futures Contract for crude oil is \$105,000, then USBO could only invest in 23 Futures Contracts with an aggregate value of \$2,415,000), USBO would be required to invest a percentage of the proceeds in cash, Treasuries or other liquid securities to be deposited as margin with the futures commission merchant through which the contracts were purchased. The remainder of the purchase price for the Creation Basket would remain invested in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents or other liquid securities as determined by the General Partner from time to time based on factors such as potential calls for margin or anticipated redemptions. If the trading market for Futures Contracts is suspended or closed, USBO may not be able to purchase these investments at the last reported price.

If daily changes in USBO's per unit NAV do not correlate with daily changes in the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract, then investing in USBO may not be an effective way to hedge against oil-related losses or indirectly invest in oil.

The Benchmark Futures Contract may not correlate with the spot price of Brent crude oil and this could cause changes in the price of the units to substantially vary from the changes in the spot price of Brent crude oil. If this were to occur, then investors may not be able to effectively use USBO as a way to hedge against crude oil-related losses or as a way to indirectly invest in crude oil. In addition, the price relationship between the near month contract and the next month contract that compose the Benchmark Futures Contract will vary and may impact both the total return over time of USBO's per unit NAV, as well as the degree to which its total return tracks other crude oil price indices' total returns.

When using the Benchmark Futures Contract as a strategy to track the spot price of Brent crude oil, at best the correlation between changes in prices of such Crude Oil Interests and the spot price of Brent crude oil can be only approximate. The degree of imperfection of correlation depends upon circumstances such as variations in the speculative Brent crude oil market, supply of and demand for such Crude Oil Interests and technical influences in futures trading. If there is a weak correlation between the Crude Oil Interests and the spot price of Brent crude oil, then even in situations where there is also tracking among the price of units, the per unit NAV of such units and Crude Oil Interests, the price of units may not accurately track the spot price of Brent crude oil and investors may not be able to effectively use USBO as a way to hedge the risk of losses in their oil-related transactions or as a way to indirectly invest in crude oil.

Backwardation and contango may increase USBO's tracking error and/or negatively impact total return.

The design of USBO's Benchmark Futures Contract is such that every month it begins by using the near month contract to expire until the near month contract is within two weeks of expiration, when, over a four day period, it transitions to the next month contract to expire as its benchmark contract and keeps that contract as its benchmark until it becomes the near month contract and close to expiration. In the event of a crude oil futures market where near month contracts trade at a higher price than next month to expire contracts, a situation described as backwardation in the futures market, then absent the impact of the overall movement in crude oil prices, the value of the benchmark contract would tend to rise as it approaches expiration. As a result, the total return of the Benchmark Futures Contract would tend to track higher. Conversely, in the event of a crude oil futures market where near month contracts trade at a lower price than next month contracts, a situation described as contango in the futures market, then absent the impact of the overall movement in crude oil prices the value of the Benchmark Futures Contract would tend to decline as it approaches expiration. As a result the total return of the Benchmark Futures Contract would tend to track lower. When compared to total return of other price

indices, such as the spot price of Brent crude oil, the impact of backwardation and contango may lead the total

Table of Contents

return of USBO's per unit NAV to vary significantly. In the event of a prolonged period of contango, and absent the impact of rising or falling oil prices, this could have a significant negative impact on USBO's per unit NAV and total return.

USBO may experience a loss if it is required to sell Treasuries at a price lower than the price at which they were acquired.

The value of Treasuries generally moves inversely with movements in interest rates. If USBO is required to sell Treasuries at a price lower than the price at which they were acquired, USBO will experience a loss. This loss may adversely impact the price of the units and may decrease the correlation among the price of units, the per unit NAV of units, the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments, and the spot price of Brent crude oil.

Certain of USBO's investments could be illiquid which could cause large losses to investors at any time or from time to time.

USBO may not always be able to liquidate its positions in its investments at the desired price. It is difficult to execute a trade at a specific price when there is a relatively small volume of buy and sell orders in a market. A market disruption, such as a foreign government taking political actions that disrupt the market in its currency, its crude oil production or exports, or in another major export, can also make it difficult to liquidate a position. Alternatively, limits imposed by futures exchanges or other regulatory organizations, such as accountability levels, position limits and daily price fluctuation limits, may contribute to a lack of liquidity with respect to some commodity interests.

Unexpected market illiquidity may cause major losses to investors at any time or from time to time. In addition, USBO has not and does not intend at this time to establish a credit facility, which would provide an additional source of liquidity and instead relies only on the Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents that it holds. The anticipated large value of the positions in Futures Contracts that the General Partner will acquire or enter into for USBO increases the risk of illiquidity. The Other Crude Oil-Related Investments that USBO invests in, such as negotiated over-the-counter contracts, may have a greater likelihood of being illiquid since they are contracts between two parties that take into account not only market risk, but also the relative credit, tax, and settlement risks under such contracts. Such contracts also have limited transferability that results from such risks and from the contract's express limitations.

Because both Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments may be illiquid, USBO's Crude Oil Interests may be more difficult to liquidate at favorable prices in periods of illiquid markets and losses may be incurred during the period in which positions are being liquidated.

If the nature of hedgers and speculators in futures markets has shifted such that crude oil purchasers are the predominant hedgers in the market, USBO might have to reinvest at higher futures prices or choose Other Crude Oil-Related Investments.

The changing nature of the hedgers and speculators in the crude oil market influences whether futures prices are above or below the expected future spot price. In order to induce speculators to take the corresponding long side of the same futures contract, crude oil producers must generally be willing to sell futures contracts at prices that are below expected future spot prices. Conversely, if the predominant hedgers in the futures market are the purchasers of the crude oil who purchase futures contracts to hedge against a rise in prices, then speculators will only take the short side of the futures contract if the futures price is greater than the expected future spot price of crude oil. This can have significant implications for USBO when it is time to reinvest the proceeds from a maturing Futures Contract into a new Futures Contract.

Table of Contents

While USBO does not intend to take physical delivery of crude oil under its Futures Contracts, physical delivery under such contracts impacts the value of the contracts.

While it is not the current intention of USBO to take physical delivery of crude oil under any of its Futures Contracts, futures contracts are not required to be cash-settled and it is possible to take delivery under some of these contracts. Storage costs associated with purchasing crude oil could result in costs and other liabilities that could impact the value of Futures Contracts or Other Crude Oil-Related Investments. Storage costs include the time value of money invested in crude oil as a physical commodity plus the actual costs of storing the crude oil less any benefits from ownership of crude oil that are not obtained by the holder of a futures contract. In general, Futures Contracts have a one-month delay for contract delivery and the back month (the back month is any future delivery month other than the spot month) includes storage costs. To the extent that these storage costs change for crude oil while USBO holds Futures Contracts or Other Crude Oil-Related Investments, the value of the Futures Contracts or Other Crude Oil-Related Investments, and therefore USBO's per unit NAV, may change as well.

Regulation of the commodity interests and energy markets is extensive and constantly changing; future regulatory developments are impossible to predict but may significantly and adversely affect USBO.

The futures markets are subject to comprehensive statutes, regulations, and margin requirements. In addition, the CFTC and the exchanges are authorized to take extraordinary actions in the event of a market emergency, including, for example, the retroactive implementation of speculative position limits or higher margin requirements, the establishment of daily price limits and the suspension of trading.

The regulation of commodity interest transactions in the United States is a rapidly changing area of law and is subject to ongoing modification by governmental and judicial action. Considerable regulatory attention has been focused on non-traditional investment pools that are publicly distributed in the United States. Under the Dodd-Frank Act and otherwise, there is a possibility of future regulatory changes within the United States altering, perhaps to a material extent, the nature of an investment in USBO or the ability of USBO to continue to implement its investment strategy. In addition, various national governments outside of the United States have expressed concern regarding the disruptive effects of speculative trading in the energy markets and the need to regulate the derivatives markets in general. The effect of any future regulatory change on USBO is impossible to predict, but it could be substantial and adverse.

An investment in USBO may provide little or no diversification benefits. Thus, in a declining market, USBO may have no gains to offset losses from other investments, and an investor may suffer losses on an investment in USBO while incurring losses with respect to other asset classes.

Historically, Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments have generally been non-correlated to the performance of other asset classes such as stocks and bonds. Non-correlation means that there is a low statistically valid relationship between the performance of futures and other commodity interest transactions, on the one hand, and stocks or bonds, on the other hand. However, there can be no assurance that such non-correlation will continue during future periods. If, contrary to historic patterns, USBO's performance were to move in the same general direction as the financial markets, investors will obtain little or no diversification benefits from an investment in the units. In such a case, USBO may have no gains to offset losses from other investments, and investors may suffer losses on their investment in USBO at the same time they incur losses with respect to other investments.

Variables such as drought, floods, weather, embargoes, tariffs and other political events may have a larger impact on crude oil prices and crude oil-linked instruments, including Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments, than on traditional securities. These additional variables may create additional investment risks that subject USBO's investments to greater volatility than investments in traditional securities.

Non-correlation should not be confused with negative correlation, where the performance of two asset classes would be opposite of each other. There is no historic evidence that the spot price of crude oil and prices

Table of Contents

of other financial assets, such as stocks and bonds, are negatively correlated. In the absence of negative correlation, USBO cannot be expected to be automatically profitable during unfavorable periods for the stock market, or vice versa.

USBO's Operating Risks

USBO is not a registered investment company so unitholders do not have the protections of the 1940 Act.

USBO is not an investment company subject to the 1940 Act. Accordingly, investors do not have the protections afforded by that statute which, for example, requires investment companies to have a majority of disinterested directors and regulates the relationship between the investment company and its investment manager.

The General Partner is leanly staffed and relies heavily on key personnel to manage trading activities.

In managing and directing the day-to-day activities and affairs of USBO, the General Partner relies heavily on Messrs. Howard Mah and John Hyland. If Messrs. Mah or Hyland were to leave or be unable to carry out their present responsibilities, it may have an adverse effect on the management of USBO. Furthermore, Messrs. Mah and Hyland are currently involved in the management of the Related Public Funds. The General Partner has also filed registration statements to register units of USSF, UNGD, USGO and USABF, each a series of the United States Commodity Funds Trust I. Mr. Mah is also employed by Ameristock Corporation, a registered investment adviser that manages a public mutual fund. It is estimated that Mr. Mah will spend approximately 90% of his time on USBO and Related Public Fund matters. Mr. Hyland will spend approximately 100% of his time on USBO and Related Public Fund matters. To the extent that the General Partner establishes additional funds, even greater demands will be placed on Messrs. Mah and Hyland, as well as the other officers of the General Partner and its Board.

Accountability levels, position limits, and daily price fluctuation limits set by the exchanges have the potential to cause a tracking error, which could cause the price of units to substantially vary from the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract and prevent investors from being able to effectively use USBO as a way to hedge against crude oil-related losses or as a way to indirectly invest in crude oil.

U.S. designated contract markets such as the NYMEX have established accountability levels and position limits on the maximum net long or net short futures contracts in commodity interests that any person or group of persons under common trading control (other than as a hedge, which an investment by USBO is not) may hold, own or control. In addition to accountability levels and position limits, the NYMEX also sets daily price fluctuation limits on futures contracts. The daily price fluctuation limit establishes the maximum amount that the price of a futures contract may vary either up or down from the previous day's settlement price. Once the daily price fluctuation limit has been reached in a particular futures contract, no trades may be made at a price beyond that limit.

Additionally, on October 18, 2011, the CFTC adopted new rules, which establish position limits and limit formulas for certain physical commodity futures contracts including Futures Contracts and options on Futures Contracts, executed pursuant to the rules of designated contract markets (*i.e.*, certain regulated exchanges) and commodity swaps that are economically equivalent to such futures and options contracts. The CFTC also adopted aggregate position limits that would apply across different trading venues to contracts based on the same underlying commodity. All of these limits may potentially cause a tracking error between the price of the units and the price of the Benchmark Futures Contract. This may in turn prevent investors from being able to effectively use USBO as a way to hedge against crude oil-related losses or as a way to indirectly invest in crude oil.

USBO has not limited the size of its offering and is committed to utilizing substantially all of its proceeds to purchase Futures Contracts and Other Crude Oil-Related Investments. If USBO is required to limit or reduce the

Table of Contents

size of its positions in Brent crude oil contracts on the ICE Futures, it may then, if permitted under applicable regulatory requirements, purchase Futures Contracts on the NYMEX or other exchanges that trade listed crude oil futures. The Futures Contracts available on the NYMEX are comparable to the contracts on the ICE Futures, but they may have different underlying commodities, sizes, deliveries, and prices.

To the extent that the General Partner uses spreads and straddles as part of its trading strategy, there is the risk that the per unit NAV may not closely track the changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract.

If the General Partner were to utilize a spread or straddle position and the spread performed differently than expected, the results could impact USBO's tracking error. This could affect USBO's investment objective of having its per unit NAV closely track the changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract. Additionally, a loss on a spread position would negatively impact USBO's absolute return.

USBO and the General Partner may have conflicts of interest, which may permit them to favor their own interests to the detriment of unitholders.

USBO and the General Partner may have inherent conflicts to the extent the General Partner attempts to maintain USBO's asset size in order to preserve its fee income and this may not always be consistent with USBO's objective of having the value of its per unit NAV track the changes in the Benchmark Futures Contract. The General Partner's officers, directors and employees do not devote their time exclusively to USBO. These persons are directors, officers or employees of other entities that may compete with USBO for their services. They could have a conflict between their responsibilities to USBO and to those other entities.

In addition, the General Partner's principals, officers, directors or employees may trade futures and related contracts for their own account. A conflict of interest may exist if their trades are in the same markets and at the same time as USBO trades using the clearing broker to be used by USBO. A potential conflict also may occur if the General Partner's principals, officers, directors or employees trade their accounts more aggressively or take positions in their accounts which are opposite, or ahead of, the positions taken by USBO.

The General Partner has sole current authority to manage the investments and operations of USBO, and this may allow it to act in a way that furthers its own interests which may create a conflict with the best interests of investors. Limited partners have limited voting control, which will limit the ability to influence matters such as amendment of the LP Agreement, change in USBO's basic investment policy, dissolution of this fund, or the sale or distribution of USBO's assets.

The General Partner serves as the general partner to each of USBO, USOF, USNG, US12OF, UGA, USHO, USSO and US12NG and the sponsor for USCI, CPER and USAG and will serve as the sponsor for USMI, USSF, UNGD, USGO and USABF, if such funds offer their securities to the public or begin operations. The General Partner may have a conflict to the extent that its trading decisions for USBO may be influenced by the effect they would have on the other funds it manages. These trading decisions may be influenced since the General Partner also serves as the general partner or sponsor for all of the funds and is required to meet all of the funds' investment objectives as well as USBO's. If the General Partner believes that a trading decision it made on behalf of USBO might (i) impede its other funds from reaching their investment objectives, or (ii) improve the likelihood of meeting its other funds' objectives, then the General Partner may choose to change its trading decision for USBO, which could either impede or improve the opportunity for USBO to meet its investment objective. In addition, the General Partner is required to indemnify the officers and directors of its other funds if the need for indemnification arises. This potential indemnification will cause the General Partner's assets to decrease. If the General Partner's other sources of income are not sufficient to compensate for the indemnification, then the General Partner may terminate and investors could lose their investment.

Table of Contents

Unitholders may only vote on the removal of the General Partner and limited partners have only limited voting rights. Unitholders and limited partners will not participate in the management of USBO and do not control the General Partner so they will not have influence over basic matters that affect USBO. In addition, USBO could terminate at any time and cause the liquidation and potential loss of an investor's investment and could upset the overall maturity and timing of an investor's investment portfolio.

Limited partners will have limited voting rights with respect to USBO's affairs. Unitholders must apply to become limited partners, and unitholders that have not applied to become limited partners have no voting rights, other than to remove the General Partner as the general partner of USBO. Even then, unitholders may remove USCF only if 66 2/3% of the unitholders elect to do so. Unitholders and limited partners will not be permitted to participate in the management or control of USBO or the conduct of its business. Unitholders and limited partners must therefore rely upon the duties and judgment of the General Partner to manage USBO's affairs.

USBO may terminate at any time, regardless of whether USBO has incurred losses, subject to the terms of the LP Agreement. In particular, unforeseen circumstances, including the death, adjudication of incompetence, bankruptcy, dissolution, or removal of the General Partner as the general partner of USBO could cause USBO to terminate unless a majority interest of the limited partners within 90 days of the event elects to continue the partnership and appoints a successor general partner, or the affirmative vote of a majority in interest of the limited partners subject to certain conditions. However, no level of losses will require the General Partner to terminate USBO. USBO's termination would cause the liquidation and potential loss of an investor's investment. Termination could also negatively affect the overall maturity and timing of an investor's investment portfolio.

The General Partner may manage a large amount of assets and this could affect USBO's ability to trade profitably.

Increases in assets under management may affect trading decisions. In general, the General Partner does not intend to limit the amount of assets of USBO that it may manage. The more assets the General Partner manages, the more difficult it may be for it to trade profitably because of the difficulty of trading larger positions without adversely affecting prices and performance and of managing risk associated with larger positions.

Limited partners may have limited liability in certain circumstances, including potentially having liability for the return of wrongful distributions.

Under Delaware law, a limited partner might be held liable for USBO's obligations as if it were a general partner if the limited partner participates in the control of the partnership's business and the persons who transact business with the partnership think the limited partner is the general partner.

A limited partner will not be liable for assessments in addition to its initial capital investment in any of USBO's capital securities representing units. However, a limited partner may be required to repay to USBO any amounts wrongfully returned or distributed to it under some circumstances. Under Delaware law, USBO may not make a distribution to limited partners if the distribution causes USBO's liabilities (other than liabilities to partners on account of their partnership interests and nonrecourse liabilities) to exceed the fair value of USBO's assets. Delaware law provides that a limited partner who receives such a distribution and knew at the time of the distribution that the distribution violated the law will be liable to the limited partnership for the amount of the distribution for three years from the date of the distribution.

With adequate notice, a limited partner may be required to withdraw from the partnership for any reason.

If the General Partner gives at least fifteen (15) days' written notice to a limited partner, then the General Partner may for any reason, in its sole discretion, require any such limited partner to withdraw entirely from the partnership or to withdraw a portion of its partner capital account. The General Partner may require withdrawal even in situations where the limited partner has complied completely with the provisions of the LP Agreement.

Table of Contents

USBO does not expect to make cash distributions.

USBO has not previously made any cash distributions and intends to re-invest any realized gains in additional Crude Oil Interests rather than distributing cash to limited partners. Therefore, unlike mutual funds, commodity pools or other investment pools that actively manage their investments in an attempt to realize income and gains from their investing activities and distribute such income and gains to their investors, USBO generally does not expect to distribute cash to limited partners. An investor should not invest in USBO if it will need cash distributions from USBO to pay taxes on its share of income and gains of USBO, if any, or for any other reason. Although USBO does not intend to make cash distributions, the income earned from its investments held directly or posted as margin may reach levels that merit distribution, *e.g.*, at levels where such income is not necessary to support its underlying investments in Crude Oil Interests and investors adversely react to being taxed on such income without receiving distributions that could be used to pay such tax. If this income becomes significant then cash distributions may be made.

There is a risk that USBO will not earn trading gains sufficient to compensate for the fees and expenses that it must pay and as such USBO may not earn any profit.

USBO pays brokerage charges of approximately 0.08% of average total net assets based on futures commission merchant fees of \$3.50 per buy or sell, management fees of 0.75% of NAV on its average daily net assets, and over-the-counter spreads and extraordinary expenses (*e.g.*, subsequent offering expenses, other expenses not in the ordinary course of business, including the indemnification of any person against liabilities and obligations to the extent permitted by law and required under the LP Agreement and under agreements entered into by the General Partner on USBO's behalf and the bringing and defending of actions at law or in equity and otherwise engaging in the conduct of litigation and the incurring of legal expenses and the settlement of claims and litigation) that cannot be quantified.

These fees and expenses must be paid in all cases regardless of whether USBO's activities are profitable. Accordingly, USBO must earn trading gains sufficient to compensate for these fees and expenses before it can earn any profit.

If offerings of the units do not raise sufficient funds to pay USBO's future expenses and no other source of funding of expenses is found, USBO may be forced to terminate and investors may lose all or part of their investment.

Prior to the offering of units that commenced on June 2, 2010, all of USBO's expenses were funded by the General Partner and its affiliates. These payments by the General Partner and its affiliates were designed to allow USBO the ability to commence the public offering of its units. USBO now directly pays certain of these fees and expenses. The General Partner will continue to pay other fees and expenses, as set forth in the LP Agreement. If the General Partner and USBO are unable to raise sufficient funds to cover their expenses or locate any other source of funding, USBO may be forced to terminate and investors may lose all or part of their investment.

USBO may incur higher fees and expenses upon renewing existing or entering into new contractual relationships.

The clearing arrangements between the clearing brokers and USBO generally are terminable by the clearing brokers once the clearing broker has given USBO notice. Upon termination, the General Partner may be required to renegotiate or make other arrangements for obtaining similar services if USBO intends to continue trading in Futures Contracts or Other Crude Oil-Related Investments at its present level of capacity. The services of any clearing broker may not be available, or even if available, these services may not be available on the terms as favorable as those of the expired or terminated clearing arrangements.

Table of Contents

USBO may miss certain trading opportunities because it will not receive the benefit of the expertise of independent trading advisors.

The General Partner does not employ trading advisors for USBO; however, it reserves the right to employ them in the future. The only advisor to USBO is the General Partner. A lack of independent trading advisors may be disadvantageous to USBO because it will not receive the benefit of a trading advisor's expertise.

An unanticipated number of redemption requests during a short period of time could have an adverse effect on the per unit NAV of USBO.

If a substantial number of requests for redemption of Redemption Baskets are received by USBO during a relatively short period of time, USBO may not be able to satisfy the requests from USBO's assets not committed to trading. As a consequence, it could be necessary to liquidate positions in USBO's trading positions before the time that the trading strategies would otherwise dictate liquidation.

The financial markets are currently in a period of disruption and USBO does not expect these conditions to improve in the near future.

Since 2008, the financial markets have experienced very difficult conditions and volatility as well as significant adverse trends. The conditions in these markets have resulted in a decrease in availability of corporate credit and liquidity and have led indirectly to the insolvency, closure or acquisition of a number of major financial institutions and have contributed to further consolidation within the financial services industry. In addition, the Administration and Congress have periodically been reaching impasses in passing a fiscal budget, which could create long-term concerns regarding the credit of the United States and interest earned, as well as the United States Government's ability to pay its obligations to holders of Treasuries. If low interest rates on Treasuries continue or if USBO is not able to redeem its investments in Treasuries prior to maturity and the U.S. Government cannot pay its obligations, USBO would be negatively impacted. In addition, USBO might also be negatively impacted by its use of money market mutual funds to the extent those funds might themselves be using Treasuries. Although the financial markets saw signs of recovery beginning in late 2010, economic growth in 2011 and early 2012 has been slow and the financial markets are still fragile and could fall into another recession. Another recession could adversely affect the financial condition and results of operations of USBO's service providers and Authorized Purchasers, which would impact the ability of the General Partner to achieve USBO's investment objective.

The failure or bankruptcy of a clearing broker or USBO's Custodian could result in a substantial loss of USBO's assets and could impair USBO in its ability to execute trades.

Under CFTC regulations, a clearing broker maintains customers' assets in a bulk segregated account. If a clearing broker fails to do so, or even if the customers' funds are segregated by the clearing broker but the clearing broker is unable to satisfy a substantial deficit in a customer account, the clearing broker's other customers may be subject to risk of a substantial loss of their funds in the event of that clearing broker's bankruptcy. In that event, the clearing broker's customers, such as USBO, are entitled to recover, even in respect of property specifically traceable to them, only a proportional share of all property available for distribution to all of that clearing broker's customers. The bankruptcy of a clearing broker could result in the complete loss of USBO's assets posted with the clearing broker; though the vast majority of USBO's assets are held in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents with the Custodian and would not be impacted by the bankruptcy of a clearing broker. USBO also may be subject to the risk of the failure of, or delay in performance by, any exchanges and markets and their clearing organizations, if any, on which commodity interest contracts are traded.

In addition, to the extent USBO's clearing broker is required to post USBO's assets as margin to a clearinghouse, the margin will be maintained in an omnibus account containing the margin of all of the clearing broker's customers. If USBO's clearing broker defaults to a clearinghouse because of a default by one of the

Table of Contents

clearing broker's other customers or otherwise, then the clearinghouse can look to all of the margin in the omnibus account, including margin posted by USBO and any other non-defaulting customers of the clearing broker to satisfy the obligations of the clearing broker.

From time to time, the clearing brokers may be subject to legal or regulatory proceedings in the ordinary course of their business. A clearing broker's involvement in costly or time-consuming legal proceedings may divert financial resources or personnel away from the clearing broker's trading operations, which could impair the clearing broker's ability to successfully execute and clear USBO's trades.

In addition, the majority of USBO's assets are held in Treasuries, cash and/or cash equivalents with the Custodian. The insolvency of the Custodian could result in a complete loss of USBO's assets held by that Custodian, which, at any given time, would likely comprise a substantial portion of USBO's total assets.

Third parties may infringe upon or otherwise violate intellectual property rights or assert that the General Partner has infringed or otherwise violated their intellectual property rights, which may result in significant costs and diverted attention.

Third parties may utilize USBO's intellectual property or technology, including the use of its business methods, trademarks and trading program software, without permission. The General Partner has a patent for USBO's business method and it has registered its trademarks. USBO does not currently have any proprietary software. However, if it obtains proprietary software in the future, then any unauthorized use of USBO's proprietary software and other technology could also adversely affect its competitive advantage. USBO may not have adequate resources to implement procedures for monitoring unauthorized uses of its patents, trademarks, proprietary software and other technology. Also, third parties may independently develop business methods, trademarks or proprietary software and other technology similar to that of the General Partner or claim that the General Partner has violated their intellectual property rights, including their copyrights, trademark rights, trade names, trade secrets and patent rights. As a result, the General Partner may have to litigate in the future to protect its trade secrets, determine the validity and scope of other parties' proprietary rights, defend itself against claims that it has infringed or otherwise violated other parties' rights, or defend itself against claims that its rights are invalid. Any litigation of this type, even if the General Partner is successful and regardless of the merits, may result in significant costs, divert its resources from USBO, or require it to change its proprietary software and other technology or enter into royalty or licensing agreements.

The success of USBO depends on the ability of the General Partner to accurately implement trading systems, and any failure to do so could subject USBO to losses on such transactions.

The General Partner uses mathematical formulas built into a generally available spreadsheet program to decide whether it should buy or sell Crude Oil Interests each day. Specifically, The General Partner uses the spreadsheet to make mathematical calculations and to monitor positions in Crude Oil Interests and Treasuries and correlations to the Benchmark Futures Contract. The General Partner must accurately process the spreadsheets' outputs and execute the transactions called for by the formulas. In addition, USBO relies on the General Partner to properly operate and maintain its computer and communications systems.

Extraordinary transaction volume, hardware or software failure, power or telecommunications failure, a natural disaster or other catastrophe could cause the computer systems to operate at an unacceptably slow speed or even fail. Any significant degradation or failure of the systems that the General Partner uses to gather and analyze information, enter orders, process data, monitor risk levels and otherwise engage in trading activities may result in substantial losses on transactions, liability to other parties, lost profit opportunities, damages to the General Partner's and USBO's reputations, increased operational expenses and diversion of technical resources. Any failure, inaccuracy or delay in implementing any of the formulas or systems, including implementing upgrades and compatibility with the computer systems of third parties, and executing USBO's transactions could impair its ability to achieve USBO's investment objective. It could also result in decisions to undertake transactions based on inaccurate or incomplete information. This could cause substantial losses on transactions.

Table of Contents

The occurrence of a terrorist attack, or the outbreak, continuation or expansion of war or other hostilities could disrupt USBO's trading activity and materially affect USBO's profitability.

The operations of USBO, the exchanges, brokers and counterparties with which USBO does business, and the markets in which USBO does business could be severely disrupted in the event of a major terrorist attack or the outbreak, continuation or expansion of war or other hostilities. Global anti-terrorism initiatives, political unrest in the Middle East and Southeast Asia, as well as political hostility towards the United States, continue to fuel this concern.

Risk of Leverage and Volatility

If the General Partner permits USBO to become leveraged, investors could lose all or substantially all of their investment if USBO's trading positions suddenly turn unprofitable.

Commodity pools' trading positions in futures contracts or other commodity interests are typically required to be secured by the deposit of margin funds that represent only a small percentage of a futures contract's (or other commodity interests') entire market value. This feature permits commodity pools to leverage their assets by purchasing or selling futures contracts (or other commodity interests) with an aggregate value in excess of the commodity pool's assets. While this leverage can increase the pool's profits, relatively small adverse movements in the price of the pool's futures contracts can cause significant losses to the pool. While the General Partner has not and does not currently intend to leverage USBO's assets, it is not prohibited from doing so under the LP Agreement or otherwise.

The price of crude oil is volatile which could cause large fluctuations in the price of units.

Movements in the price of crude oil may be the result of factors outside of the General Partner's control and may not be anticipated by the General Partner. Among the factors that can cause volatility in the price of crude oil are:

worldwide or regional demand for energy, which is affected by economic conditions;

the domestic and foreign supply and inventories of oil and gas;

weather conditions, including abnormally mild winter or summer weather, and abnormally harsh winter or summer weather;

availability and adequacy of pipeline and other transportation facilities;

availability of storage facilities;

domestic and foreign governmental regulations and taxes;

political conditions in gas or oil producing regions;

technological advances relating to energy usage or relating to technology for exploration, production, refining and petrochemical manufacturing;

Edgar Filing: United States Brent Oil Fund, LP - Form 424B3

the ability of members of the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) to agree upon and maintain oil prices and production levels;

the price and availability of alternative fuels;

the impact of energy conservation efforts; and

the impact of environmental and other government regulations.

Table of Contents

Over-the-Counter Contract Risk

Currently, over-the-counter transactions are subject to little regulation.

A portion of USBO's assets may be used to trade over-the-counter contracts, such as forward contracts or swap or spot contracts. Currently, over-the-counter contracts are typically contracts traded on a principal-to-principal basis through dealer markets that are dominated by major money center and investment banks and other institutions and that prior to the passage of the Dodd-Frank Act had been essentially unregulated by the CFTC. The markets for over-the-counter contracts have relied upon the integrity of market participants in lieu of the additional regulation imposed by the CFTC on participants in the futures markets. While the Dodd-Frank Act and certain regulations adopted thereunder are intended to provide additional protections to participants in the over-the-counter market, the current regulation of the over-the-counter contracts could expose USBO in certain circumstances to significant losses in the event of trading abuses or financial failure by participants.

USBO will be subject to credit risk with respect to counterparties to over-the-counter contracts entered into by USBO or held by special purpose or structured vehicles.

USBO faces the risk of non-performance by the counterparties to the over-the-counter contracts. Unlike in futures contracts, the counterparty to these contracts is generally a single bank or other financial institution, rather than a clearing organization backed by a group of financial institutions. As a result, there will be greater counterparty credit risk in these transactions. A counterparty may not be able to meet its obligations to USBO, in which case USBO could suffer significant losses on these contracts.

If a counterparty becomes bankrupt or otherwise fails to perform its obligations due to financial difficulties, USBO may experience significant delays in obtaining any recovery in a bankruptcy or other reorganization proceeding. USBO may obtain only limited recovery or may obtain no recovery in such circumstances.

USBO may be subject to liquidity risk with respect to its over-the-counter contracts.

Over-the-counter contracts are less marketable because they are not traded on an exchange, do not have uniform terms and conditions, and are entered into based upon the creditworthiness of the parties and the availability of credit support, such as collateral, and in general, they are not transferable without the consent of the counterparty. These conditions make such contracts less liquid than standardized futures contracts traded on a commodities exchange and could adversely impact USBO's ability to realize the full value of such contracts. In addition, even if collateral is used to reduce counterparty credit risk, sudden changes in the value of over-the-counter transactions may leave a party open to financial risk due to a counterparty default since the collateral held may not cover a party's exposure on the transaction in such situations.

In general, valuing over-the-counter derivatives is less certain than valuing actively traded financial instruments such as exchange traded futures contracts and securities or cleared swaps because the price and terms on which such over-the-counter derivatives are entered into or can be terminated are individually negotiated, and those prices and terms may not reflect the best price or terms available from other sources. In addition, while market makers and dealers generally quote indicative prices or terms for entering into or terminating over-the-counter contracts, they typically are not contractually obligated to do so, particularly if they are not a party to the transaction. As a result, it may be difficult to obtain an independent value for an outstanding over-the-counter derivatives transaction.

Risk of Trading in International Markets

Trading in international markets could expose USBO to credit and regulatory risk.

USBO invests primarily in Futures Contracts, a significant portion of which are traded on exchanges outside the United States, including the ICE Futures. Some non-U.S. markets present risks because they are not subject to

Table of Contents

the same degree of regulation as their U.S. counterparts. The CFTC, NFA and the domestic exchanges have little, if any, regulatory authority over the activities of any foreign boards of trade or exchanges, including the execution, delivery and clearing of transactions, and have little, if any, power to compel enforcement of the rules of a foreign board of trade or exchange or of any applicable non-U.S. laws. Similarly, the rights of market participants, such as USBO, in the event of the insolvency or bankruptcy of a non-U.S. market or broker are also likely to be more limited than in the case of U.S. markets or brokers. As a result, in these markets, USBO has less legal and regulatory protection than it does when it trades domestically.

In some of these non-U.S. markets, the performance on a contract is the responsibility of the counterparty and is not backed by an exchange or clearing corporation and therefore exposes USBO to credit risk. However, Futures Contracts traded on the ICE Futures, including the Benchmark Futures Contract, are backed by the ICE Futures and may not expose USBO to the risks of some other non-U.S. exchanges or clearing corporations that do not have similar backing. Trading in non-U.S. markets also leaves USBO susceptible to swings in the value of the local currency against the U.S. dollar. The Benchmark Futures Contract, however, is traded in U.S. dollars and does not expose USBO to the risk of currency fluctuations. Additionally, trading on non-U.S. exchanges is subject to the risks presented by exchange controls, expropriation, increased tax burdens and exposure to local economic declines and political instability. An adverse development with respect to any of these variables could reduce the profit or increase the loss earned on trades in the affected international markets.

USBO's international trading could expose it to losses resulting from non-U.S. exchanges that are less developed or less reliable than United States exchanges.

Some non-U.S. exchanges may be in a more developmental stage so that prior price histories may not be indicative of current price dynamics. In addition, USBO may not have the same access to certain positions on foreign trading exchanges as do local traders, and the historical market data on which the General Partner bases its strategies may not be as reliable or accessible as it is for U.S. exchanges.

Tax Risk

An investor's tax liability may exceed the amount of distributions, if any, on its units.

Cash or property will be distributed at the sole discretion of the General Partner. The General Partner has not and does not currently intend to make cash or other distributions with respect to units. Investors will be required to pay U.S. federal income tax and, in some cases, state, local, or foreign income tax, on their allocable share of USBO's taxable income, without regard to whether they receive distributions or the amount of any distributions. Therefore, the tax liability of an investor with respect to its units may exceed the amount of cash or value of property (if any) distributed.

An investor's allocable share of taxable income or loss may differ from its economic income or loss on its units.

Due to the application of the assumptions and conventions applied by USBO in making allocations for tax purposes and other factors, an investor's allocable share of USBO's income, gain, deduction or loss may be different than its economic profit or loss from its units for a taxable year. This difference could be temporary or permanent and, if permanent, could result in it being taxed on amounts in excess of its economic income.

Items of income, gain, deduction, loss and credit with respect to units could be reallocated if the IRS does not accept the assumptions and conventions applied by USBO in allocating those items, with potential adverse consequences for an investor.

The U.S. tax rules pertaining to partnerships are complex and their application to large, publicly traded partnerships such as USBO is in many respects uncertain. USBO applies certain assumptions and conventions in

Table of Contents

an attempt to comply with the intent of the applicable rules and to report taxable income, gains, deductions, losses and credits in a manner that properly reflects unitholders' economic gains and losses. These assumptions and conventions may not fully comply with all aspects of the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) and applicable Treasury Regulations, however, and it is possible that the U.S. Internal Revenue Service will successfully challenge USBO's allocation methods and require USBO to reallocate items of income, gain, deduction, loss or credit in a manner that adversely affects investors. If this occurs, investors may be required to file an amended tax return and to pay additional taxes plus deficiency interest.

USBO could be treated as a corporation for federal income tax purposes, which may substantially reduce the value of the units.

USBO has received an opinion of counsel that, under current U.S. federal income tax laws, USBO will be treated as a partnership that is not taxable as a corporation for U.S. federal income tax purposes, provided that (i) at least 90 percent of USBO's annual gross income consists of qualifying income as defined in the Code, (ii) USBO is organized and operated in accordance with its governing agreements and applicable law and (iii) USBO does not elect to be taxed as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. Although the General Partner anticipates that USBO has satisfied and will continue to satisfy the qualifying income requirement for all of its taxable years, that result cannot be assured. USBO has not requested and will not request any ruling from the IRS with respect to its classification as a partnership not taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes. If the IRS were to successfully assert that USBO is taxable as a corporation for federal income tax purposes in any taxable year, rather than passing through its income, gains, losses and deductions proportionately to unitholders, USBO would be subject to tax on its net income for the year at corporate tax rates. In addition, although the General Partner does not currently intend to make distributions with respect to units, any distributions would be taxable to unitholders as dividend income. Taxation of USBO as a corporation could materially reduce the after-tax return on an investment in units and could substantially reduce the value of the units.

PROSPECTIVE INVESTORS ARE STRONGLY URGED TO CONSULT THEIR OWN TAX ADVISOR WITH RESPECT TO THE POSSIBLE TAX CONSEQUENCES TO THEM OF AN INVESTMENT IN UNITS; SUCH TAX CONSEQUENCES MAY DIFFER IN RESPECT TO DIFFERENT INVESTORS.

Table of Contents

THE OFFERING

What is USBO?

USBO is a Delaware limited partnership organized on September 2, 2009. USBO maintains its main business office at 1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 145, Alameda, California 94502. USBO is a commodity pool. It operates pursuant to the terms of the LP Agreement, which grants full management control to the General Partner.

USBO is a publicly traded limited partnership which seeks to have the daily changes in percentage terms of its units' NAV track the daily changes in percentage terms of the spot price of Brent crude oil, as measured by the daily changes in the price of the futures contract for Brent crude oil traded on the ICE Futures Exchange, less USBO's expenses. The General Partner does not intend to operate USBO in a fashion such that its per unit NAV will equal, in dollar terms, the spot price of Brent crude oil or any particular futures contract based on crude oil. It is not the intent of USBO to be operated in a fashion such that its NAV will reflect the percentage change of the price of any particular futures contract as measured over a time period greater than one day. USBO invests in a mixture of listed crude oil futures contracts, other non-listed crude oil related investments, Treasuries, cash and cash equivalents. USBO's units began trading on the NYSE Arca on June 2, 2010. As of February 29, 2012, USBO had total net assets of \$77,019,894 and had outstanding units of 900,000.

Who is the General Partner?

Our sole General Partner is United States Commodity Funds LLC, a single member limited liability company that was formed in the state of Delaware on May 10, 2005. Prior to June 13, 2008, the General Partner was known as Victoria Bay Asset Management, LLC. It maintains its main business office at 1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 145, Alameda, California 94502. The General Partner is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Wainwright Holdings, Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Wainwright"). Mr. Nicholas Gerber (discussed below) controls Wainwright by virtue of his ownership of Wainwright's shares. Wainwright is a holding company that previously owned an insurance company organized under Bermuda law, which has been liquidated, and a registered investment advisor firm named Ameristock Corporation, which has been distributed to the Wainwright shareholders. The General Partner is a member of the NFA and is registered with the CFTC as of December 1, 2005. The General Partner's registration as a CPO with the NFA was approved on December 1, 2005.

See "Composite Performance Data for USBO" on page 32. See also "Prior Performance of the General Partner and Related Public Funds" on page 33.

The General Partner is required to evaluate the credit risk of USBO to the futures commission merchant, oversee the purchase and sale of USBO's units by certain Authorized Purchasers, review daily positions and margin requirements of USBO, and manage USBO's investments. The General Partner also pays the fees of the Marketing Agent, the Administrator, and the Custodian.

Limited partners have no right to elect the General Partner on an annual or any other continuing basis. If the General Partner voluntarily withdraws, however, the holders of a majority of USBO's outstanding units (excluding for purposes of such determination units owned, if any, by the withdrawing General Partner and its affiliates) may elect its successor. The General Partner may not be removed as general partner except upon approval by the affirmative vote of the holders of at least 66 2/3% of our outstanding units (excluding units owned, if any, by the General Partner and its affiliates), subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions set forth in the LP Agreement.

The business and affairs of our General Partner are managed by a board of directors (the "Board"), which is comprised of three management directors some of whom are also its executive officers (the "Management Directors"), and three independent directors who meet the independent director requirements established by the NYSE Arca Equities Rules and the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the

Table of Contents

Management Directors have the authority to manage the General Partner pursuant to its Limited Liability Company Agreement, as amended from time to time. Through its Management Directors, the General Partner manages the day-to-day operations of USBO. The Board has an audit committee which is made up of the three independent directors (Peter M. Robinson, Gordon L. Ellis, and Malcolm R. Fobes III). The audit committee is governed by an audit committee charter that is posted on USBO's website. Gordon L. Ellis and Malcolm R. Fobes III meet the financial sophistication requirements of the NYSE Arca and the audit committee charter.

Mr. Nicholas Gerber and Mr. Howard Mah serve as executive officers of the General Partner. USBO has no executive officers. Its affairs are generally managed by the General Partner. The following individuals serve as Management Directors of the General Partner.

Nicholas Gerber has been the President and CEO of the General Partner since June 9, 2005 and a Management Director of the General Partner since May 10, 2005. He maintains his main business office at 1320 Harbor Bay Parkway, Suite 145, Alameda, California 94502. He has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since November 29, 2005, as Branch Manager of the General Partner since May 15, 2009, and registered with the CFTC as an Associated Person of the General Partner on December 1, 2005. Mr. Gerber also served as Vice President/Chief Investment Officer of Lyon's Gate Reinsurance Company, Ltd., a company formed to reinsure workmen's compensation insurance, from June 2003 to December 2009. Mr. Gerber has an extensive background in securities portfolio management and in developing investment funds that make use of indexing and futures contracts. He is also the founder of Ameristock Corporation, a California-based investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, that has been sponsoring and providing portfolio management services to mutual funds since March 1995. Since August 1995, Mr. Gerber has been the portfolio manager of the Ameristock Mutual Fund, Inc. a mutual fund registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, focused on large cap U.S. equities that, as of February 29, 2012, had \$209,835,862 in assets. He has also been a Trustee for the Ameristock ETF Trust since June 2006, and served as a portfolio manager for the Ameristock/Ryan 1 Year, 2 Year, 5 Year, 10 Year and 20 Year Treasury ETF from June 2007 to June 2008 when such funds were liquidated. In these roles, Mr. Gerber has gained extensive experience in evaluating and retaining third-party service providers, including custodians, accountants, transfer agents, and distributors. Mr. Gerber has passed the Series 3 examination for Associated Persons. He holds an MBA in finance from the University of San Francisco and a BA from Skidmore College. Mr. Gerber is 49 years old.

In concluding that Mr. Gerber should serve as Management Director of the General Partner, the General Partner considered his broad business experiences in the industry including: forming and managing investment companies and commodity pools, raising capital for such entities and founding and managing non-finance related companies.

Howard Mah has been a Management Director of the General Partner since May 10, 2005, Secretary of the General Partner since June 9, 2005, Chief Financial Officer of the General Partner since May 23, 2006 and Treasurer of the General Partner since February 23, 2012. He has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since November 29, 2005. In these roles, Mr. Mah is currently involved in the management of USBO and the Related Public Funds and will be involved in the management of USMI, USSF, UNGD, USGO and USABF, if such funds commence operations. Mr. Mah also serves as the General Partner's Chief Compliance Officer. He received a Bachelor of Education from the University of Alberta, in 1986 and an MBA from the University of San Francisco in 1988. He served as Secretary and Chief Compliance Officer of the Ameristock ETF Trust from February 2007 until June 2008 when the trust was liquidated, Chief Compliance Officer of Ameristock Corporation since January 2001; a tax and finance consultant in private practice since January 1995, Secretary of Ameristock Mutual Fund since June 1995 and Ameristock Focused Value Fund from December 2000 to January 2005; Chief Compliance Officer of Ameristock Mutual Fund since August 2004 and the Co-Portfolio Manager of the Ameristock Focused Value Fund from December 2000 to January 2005. Mr. Mah is 47 years old.

In concluding that Mr. Mah should serve as Management Director of the General Partner, the General Partner considered his background in accounting and finance, as well as his experience as Chief Compliance Officer for the General Partner and Ameristock Corporation.

Table of Contents

Andrew F. Ngim has been a Management Director of the General Partner since May 10, 2005 and Treasurer of the General Partner from June 9, 2005 to February 23, 2012. He has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since November 29, 2005. Mr. Ngim is currently involved in the management of USBO and the Related Public Funds and will be involved in the management of USMI, USSF, UNGD, USGO and USABF, if such funds commence operations. He received a Bachelor of Arts from the University of California at Berkeley in 1983. Mr. Ngim has been Ameristock Corporation's Managing Director since January 1999 and co-portfolio manager of Ameristock Corporation since January 2000, Trustee of the Ameristock ETF Trust since February 2007, and served as a portfolio manager for the Ameristock/Ryan 1 Year, 2 Year, 5 Year, 10 Year and 20 Year Treasury ETF from June 2007 to June 2008 when such funds were liquidated. Mr. Ngim is 51 years old.

In concluding that Mr. Ngim should serve as Management Director of the General Partner, the General Partner considered his broad career in the financial services industry as well as experience as co-Portfolio Manager of the Ameristock Mutual Fund.

The following individuals provide significant services to USBO but are employed by the General Partner.

John P. Love has acted as the Portfolio Operations Manager for USBO since it commenced operations in June 2010, and the Related Public Funds since January 2006 and, effective March 1, 2010, became the Senior Portfolio Manager for USBO and the Related Public Funds. He is expected to be Senior Portfolio Manager for USMI, USSF, UNGD, USGO and USABF, if such funds commence operations. Mr. Love is also employed by the General Partner. He has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since January 17, 2006. Mr. Love also served as the operations manager of Ameristock Corporation from October 2002 to January 2007, where he was responsible for back office and marketing activities for the Ameristock Mutual Fund and Ameristock Focused Value Fund and for the firm in general. Mr. Love holds a Series 3 license and was registered with the CFTC as an Associated Person of the General Partner from December 1, 2005 through April 16, 2009. Mr. Love has passed the Level I and Level II Chartered Financial Analyst examinations. He holds a BFA in cinema-television from the University of Southern California. Mr. Love is 40 years old.

John T. Hyland, CFA acts as a Portfolio Manager and as the Chief Investment Officer for the General Partner. Mr. Hyland is employed by the General Partner. He registered with the CFTC as an Associated Person of the General Partner on December 1, 2005, and has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since January 17, 2006. Mr. Hyland became the Portfolio Manager for USBO, USOF, USNG, US12OF, UGA, USHO, USSO, US12NG, USCI, CPER and USAG in June 2010, April 2006, April 2007, December 2007, February 2008, April 2008, September 2009, November 2009, August 2010, November 2011 and April 2012, respectively, and as Chief Investment Officer of the General Partner since January 2008, acts in such capacity on behalf of USBO and the Related Public Funds. He will also be the Portfolio Manager for USMI, USSF, UNGD, USGO and USABF if such funds commence operations. As part of his responsibilities for USBO and the Related Public Funds, Mr. Hyland handles day-to-day trading, helps set investment policies, and oversees USBO's and the Related Public Funds activities with their futures commission brokers, custodian-administrator, and marketing agent. Mr. Hyland has an extensive background in portfolio management and research with both equity and fixed income securities, as well as in the development of new types of complex investment funds. In July 2001, Mr. Hyland founded Towerhouse Capital Management, LLC, a firm that provided portfolio management and new fund development expertise to non-U.S. institutional investors through December 2009. Since January 2010, Towerhouse Capital Management has been inactive. Mr. Hyland was a Principal for Towerhouse in charge of portfolio research and product development regarding U.S. and non-U.S. real estate related securities. Mr. Hyland received his Chartered Financial Analyst (CFA) designation in 1994. Mr. Hyland is a member of the CFA Institute (formerly AIMR) and is a member and former president of the CFA Society of San Francisco. He is also a member of the National Association of Petroleum Investment Analysts, a not-for-profit organization of investment professionals focused on the oil industry. He is a graduate of the University of California, Berkeley. Mr. Hyland is 52 years old.

Table of Contents

Ray W. Allen acts as a Portfolio Operations Manager for USBO, USOF, US12OF and USSO. He has been employed by the General Partner since January 14, 2008. He holds a Series 3 license and registered with the CFTC as an Associated Person of the General Partner on March 25, 2008. He has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since March 18, 2009. Mr. Allen's responsibilities include daily trading and operations for USBO, USOF, US12OF and USSO. Mr. Allen also acted as a Portfolio Operations Manager for UGA, USHO and US12NG until March 1, 2010. In addition, from February 2002 to October 2007, Mr. Allen was responsible for analyzing and evaluating the creditworthiness of client companies at Marble Bridge Funding Group Inc., in Walnut Creek, CA. Marble Bridge Funding Group Inc. is a commercial finance company providing capital to entrepreneurial companies. For the period from October 2007 to January 14, 2008, Mr. Allen was not employed by the General Partner and did not engage in any business-related activity. Mr. Allen received a BA in Economics from the University of California at Berkeley in 1980. Mr. Allen is 55 years old.

The following individuals serve as independent directors of the General Partner.

Peter M. Robinson has been an independent director of the General Partner since September 30, 2005 and, as such, serves on the Board of the General Partner, which acts on behalf of USBO and the Related Public Funds. He has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since December 2005. Mr. Robinson has been employed as a Research Fellow with the Hoover Institution since 1993. The Hoover Institution is a public policy think tank located on the campus of Stanford University. Mr. Robinson graduated from Dartmouth College in 1979 and Oxford University in 1982. Mr. Robinson received an MBA from the Stanford University Graduate School of Business. Mr. Robinson has also written three books and has been published in the *New York Times*, *Red Herring*, and *Forbes ASAP* and he is the editor of *Can Congress Be Fixed?: Five Essays on Congressional Reform* (Hoover Institution Press, 1995). Mr. Robinson is 54 years old.

In concluding that Mr. Robinson should serve as independent director of the General Partner, the General Partner considered his broad experience in the United States government, including his employment at the SEC, and his knowledge of and insight into public policy.

Gordon L. Ellis has been an independent director of the General Partner since September 30, 2005 and, as such, serves on the Board of the General Partner, which acts on behalf of USBO and the Related Public Funds. He has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since November 2005. Mr. Ellis has been Chairman of International Absorbents, Inc., a holding company of Absorption Corp., since July 1988, President and Chief Executive Officer since November 1996 and a Class I Director of the company since July 1985. Mr. Ellis is also a director of Absorption Corp., International Absorbents, Inc.'s wholly-owned subsidiary which is engaged in developing, manufacturing and marketing a wide range of animal care and industrial absorbent products. Mr. Ellis is a director/trustee of Polymer Solutions, Inc., a former publicly-held company that sold all of its assets effective as of February 3, 2004 and is currently winding down its operations and liquidating following such sale. Polymer Solutions previously developed and manufactured paints, coatings, stains and primers for wood furniture manufacturers. Mr. Ellis is a professional engineer with an MBA in international finance. Mr. Ellis is 65 years old.

In concluding that Mr. Ellis should serve as independent director of the General Partner, the General Partner considered his experience serving as the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of a former publicly-traded corporation as well as his experience as an entrepreneur.

Malcolm R. Fobes III has been an independent director of the General Partner since September 30, 2005 and, as such, serves on the Board of the General Partner, which acts on behalf of USBO and the Related Public Funds. He has been listed with the CFTC as a Principal of the General Partner since November 2005. Mr. Fobes is the founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Berkshire Capital Holdings, Inc., a California-based investment adviser registered under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, that has been sponsoring and providing portfolio management services to mutual funds since June 1997. Since June 1997, Mr. Fobes has been the Chairman and President of The Berkshire Funds, a mutual fund investment company registered under the

Table of Contents

Investment Company Act of 1940. Mr. Fobes also serves as portfolio manager of the Berkshire Focus Fund, a mutual fund registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which concentrates its investments in the electronic technology industry. From April 2000 to July 2006, Mr. Fobes also served as co-portfolio manager of The Wireless Fund, a mutual fund registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which concentrates its investments in companies engaged in the development, production, or distribution of wireless-related products or services. In these roles, Mr. Fobes has gained extensive experience in evaluating and retaining third-party service providers, including custodians, accountants, transfer agents, and distributors. Mr. Fobes was also contributing editor of *Start a Successful Mutual Fund: The Step-by-Step Reference Guide to Make It Happen* (JV Books, 1995). Mr. Fobes holds a B.S. degree in Finance and Economics from San Jose State University in California. Mr. Fobes is 47 years old.

In concluding that Mr. Fobes should serve as independent director of the General Partner, the General Partner considered his background as founder, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of a registered investment adviser as well as Chairman, President, Chief Financial Officer and Portfolio Manager of a mutual fund investment company.

The following are individual Principals, as that term is defined in CFTC Rule 3.1, for the General Partner: Nicholas Gerber, Melinda Gerber, the Nicholas and Melinda Gerber Living Trust, Howard Mah, Andrew Ngim, Peter Robinson, Gordon Ellis, Malcolm Fobes, John Love, John Hyland, Ray Allen, Wainwright Holdings Inc. and Margaret Johnson. These individuals are Principals due to their positions, however, Nicholas Gerber and Melinda Gerber are also Principals due to their controlling stake in Wainwright. None of the Principals owns or has any other beneficial interest in USBO. Ray Allen and John Hyland make trading and investment decisions for USBO. John Love and Ray Allen execute trades on behalf of USBO. In addition, Nicholas Gerber, John Hyland and Ray Allen are registered with the CFTC as Associated Persons of the General Partner and are NFA Associate Members.

Compensation to the General Partner and Other Compensation

USBO does not directly compensate any of the executive officers noted above. The executive officers noted above are compensated by the General Partner for the work they perform on behalf of USBO and other entities controlled by the General Partner. USBO does not reimburse the General Partner for, nor does it set the amount or form of any portion of, the compensation paid to the executive officers by the General Partner. USBO pays fees to the General Partner pursuant to the LP Agreement under which it is obligated to pay the General Partner an annualized fee of 0.75% of its average daily net assets. For 2011, USBO paid the General Partner aggregate management fees of \$318,548.

Table of Contents**Director Compensation**

The following table sets forth compensation earned during the year ended December 31, 2011, by the directors of the General Partner. USBO's portion of the aggregate fees paid to the directors for the year ended December 31, 2011 was \$1,122.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plan	All Other Compensation	Total
Management Directors							
Nicholas Gerber	\$ 0	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Andrew F. Ngim	\$ 0	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Howard Mah	\$ 0	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$ 0
Independent Directors							
Peter M. Robinson	\$ 100,000	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0	\$	\$ 100,000
Gordon L. Ellis	\$ 100,000	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0	\$	\$ 100,000
Malcolm R. Fobes III ⁽¹⁾	\$ 120,000	NA	NA	NA	\$ 0	\$	\$ 120,000

- (1) Mr. Fobes serves as chairman of the audit committee of the General Partner and receives additional compensation in recognition of the additional responsibilities he has undertaken in this role.

Market Price of Units

USBO's units have traded on the NYSE Arca under the symbol BNO since June 2, 2010. The following table sets forth the range of reported high and low sale prices of the units as reported on NYSE Arca for the periods indicated below.

	High	Low
Fiscal year 2011		
First quarter	\$ 77.82	\$ 61.86
Second quarter	\$ 84.11	\$ 70.43
Third quarter	\$ 79.31	\$ 67.64
Fourth quarter	\$ 79.10	\$ 67.40
Fiscal year 2010		
First quarter	\$	\$
Second quarter	\$ 53.43	\$ 49.38
Third quarter	\$ 55.59	\$ 48.66
Fourth quarter	\$ 62.82	\$ 54.20

As of December 31, 2011, USBO had 7,959 holders of units.

Prior Performance of USBO

The General Partner manages USBO, which is a commodity pool that issues units traded on the NYSE Arca. The chart below shows, as of February 29, 2012, the number of Authorized Purchases, the total number of baskets created and redeemed since inception and the number of outstanding units for USBO.

# of Authorized Purchases	Baskets Purchased	Baskets Redeemed	Outstanding Units
---------------------------	-------------------	------------------	-------------------

USBO	9	35	26	900,000
-------------	---	----	----	---------

Table of Contents

The ability of USBO and each of the other Related Public Funds to track its Benchmark Futures contract from inception to February 29, 2012 is presented below.

Since the commencement of the offering of USBO's units to the public on June 2, 2010 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in its Benchmark Futures Contract was 0.140%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of USBO over the same time period was 0.136%. The average daily difference was (0.004)% (or (0.4) basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the Benchmark Futures Contract, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (0.877)%, meaning that over this time period USBO's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

The table below shows the relationship between the trading prices of the units and the daily NAV of USBO, since inception through February 29, 2012. The first row shows the average amount of the variation between the Related Public Fund's closing market price and NAV, computed on a daily basis since inception, while the second and third rows depict the maximum daily amount of the end of day premiums and discounts typically occur because trading in the units continues on the NYSE Arca until 4:00 p.m. New York time while regular trading in the benchmark futures contract on the NYMEX ceases at 2:30 p.m. New York time and the value of the relevant benchmark futures contract, for purposes of determining its end of day NAV, can be determined at that time. The information for the Related Public Funds may be found on page 35.

	USBO
Average Difference	\$ (0.050)
Max Premium %	2.06%
Max Discount %	(3.13)%

For more information on the performance of USBO, see the Performance Tables below.

USBO:**Experience in Raising and Investing in USBO Through February 29, 2012**

Dollar Amount Offered*	\$ 2,500,000,000
Dollar Amount Raised	\$ 256,226,678
Organizational and Offering Expenses:**	
SEC registration fee	\$ 139,500
FINRA registration fee	\$ 75,500
Listing fee	\$ 5,000
Auditor's fees and expenses	\$ 2,500
Legal fees and expenses	\$ 268,670
Printing expenses	\$ 39,072
Length of USBO offering	Continuous

* Reflects the offering price per unit set forth on the cover page of the registration statement registering such units filed with the SEC.

** These expenses were paid for by the General Partner.

Table of Contents**Compensation to the General Partner and Other Compensation:**

Expenses paid by USBO through February 29, 2012 in Dollar Terms:

Expenses	Amount in Dollar Terms
Amount Paid or Accrued to General Partner	\$ 426,071
Amount Paid or Accrued in Portfolio Brokerage Commissions	\$ 41,690
Other Amounts Paid or Accrued*	\$ 183,908
Total Expenses Paid or Accrued	\$ 651,669
Expenses Waived**	\$ 113,715
Total Expenses Paid or Accrued Including Expenses Waived	\$ 537,954

* Includes expenses relating to legal fees, auditing fees, printing expenses, printing expenses, tax reporting fees, prepaid insurance expenses and miscellaneous expenses and fees and expenses paid to the independent directors of the General Partner.

** The General Partner, though under no obligation to do so, agreed to pay certain expenses, to the extent that such expenses exceeded 0.15% (15 basis points) of USBO's NAV, on an annualized basis, through at least June 30, 2012. The General Partner has no obligation to continue such payment into subsequent periods.

Expenses paid by USBO through February 29, 2012 as a Percentage of Average Daily Net Assets:

Expenses	Amount As a Percentage of Average Daily Net Assets
Amount Paid or Accrued to General Partner	0.74% annualized
Amount Paid or Accrued in Portfolio Brokerage Commissions	0.08% annualized
Other Amounts Paid or Accrued	0.32% annualized
Total Expenses Paid or Accrued	1.14% annualized
Expenses Waived	(0.20)% annualized
Total Expenses Paid or Accrued Including Expenses Waived	0.94% annualized

COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE DATA FOR USBO

Name of Commodity Pool: United States Brent Oil Fund, LP

Type of Commodity Pool: Exchange traded security

Inception of Trading: June 2, 2010

Aggregate Subscriptions (from inception through February 29, 2012): \$256,226,678

Total Net Assets as of February 29, 2012: \$77,019,894

NAV per Unit as of February 29, 2012: \$85.58

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: Sept 11 (9.85)%

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: April 11 - Sept 11 (17.27%)

Number of Unitholders (as of December 31, 2011): 7,959

Table of Contents**PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS**

Month	Rates of Return*		
	2010	2011	2012
January		6.61%	3.64%
February		10.42%	10.78%
March		4.92%	
April		7.44%	
May		(7.17)%	
June	1.94%**	(3.40)%	
July	3.83%	3.94%	
August	(4.84)%	(1.55)%	
September	9.79%	(9.85)%	
October	0.61%	8.51%	
November	3.00%	1.90%	
December	10.09%	(2.65)%	
Annual Rate of Return	26.16%	18.17%	14.81%***

* The monthly rate of return is calculated by dividing the ending NAV of a given month by the ending NAV of the previous month, subtracting 1 and multiplying this number by 100 to arrive at a percentage increase or decrease.

** Partial from June 2, 2010.

*** Through February 29, 2012.

Draw-down: Losses experienced over a specified period. Draw-down is measured on the basis of monthly returns only and does not reflect intra-month figures.

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: The largest single month loss sustained since inception of trading.

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: The largest percentage decline in the NAV per unit over the history of the fund. This need not be a continuous decline, but can be a series of positive and negative returns where the negative returns are larger than the positive returns. Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down represents the greatest percentage decline from any month-end NAV per unit that occurs without such month-end NAV per unit being equaled or exceeded as of a subsequent month-end. For example, if the NAV per unit declined by \$1 in each of January and February, increased by \$1 in March and declined again by \$2 in April, a peak-to-valley drawdown analysis conducted as of the end of April would consider that drawdown to be still continuing and to be \$3 in amount, whereas if the NAV per unit had increased by \$2 in March, the January-February drawdown would have ended as of the end of February at the \$2 level.

Prior Performance of the General Partner and Related Public Funds

The General Partner manages the Related Public Funds. Each of the Related Public Funds is a commodity pool that issues units traded on the NYSE Arca. The chart below shows, as of February 29, 2012, the number of Authorized Purchasers, the total number of baskets created and redeemed since inception and the number of outstanding units for each of the Related Public Funds.

Table of Contents

	# of Authorized Purchasers	Baskets Purchased	Baskets Redeemed	Outstanding Units
USOF	20	6,938	6,589	39,100,000
USNG	18	11,069	7,072	45,366,476
UGA	13	85	56	2,850,000
US12NG	8	25	9	1,600,000
USHO	12	10	7	300,000
USSO	13	12	10	200,000
USCI	8	89	26	6,300,000
CPER	5	1	0	100,000
US12OF	11	113	77	3,650,000

The ability of each of the Related Public Funds (other than USBO) to track its benchmark from inception to February 29, 2012 is presented below:

Since the commencement of the offering of USOF units to the public on April 10, 2006 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in its benchmark oil futures contract was (0.007)%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of USOF over the same time period was (0.004)%. The average daily difference was (0.003)% (or (0.3) basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the benchmark futures contract, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was 0.773%, meaning that over this time period USOF's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Since the commencement of the offering of USNG units to the public on April 18, 2007 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in its benchmark futures contract was (0.195)% while the simple average daily change in the NAV of USNG over the same time period was (0.196)%. The average daily difference was 0.001% (or 0.1 basis point where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the benchmark futures contract, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (0.398)%, meaning that over this time period USNG's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Since the commencement of the offering of US12OF units to the public on December 6, 2007 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in the average price of its benchmark futures contracts was 0.022%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of US12OF over the same time period was 0.021%. The average daily difference was (0.001)% (or (0.1) basis point where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the average price of the benchmark futures contracts, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (0.476)%, meaning that over this time period US12OF's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Since the commencement of the offering of UGA units to the public on February 26, 2008 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in its benchmark futures contract was 0.048%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of UGA over the same time period was 0.046%. The average daily difference was (0.002)% (or (0.2) basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the benchmark futures contract, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (0.584)%, meaning that over this time period UGA's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Since the commencement of the offering of USHO units to the public on April 9, 2008 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in its Benchmark Futures Contract was (0.004)%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of USHO over the same time period was (0.006)%. The average daily difference was 0.002% or 0.2 basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the Benchmark Futures Contract, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (0.817)%, meaning that over this time period USHO's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Table of Contents

Since the commencement of the offering of USSO units to the public on September 24, 2009 to February 29, 2012, the inverse of the simple average daily change in its benchmark futures contract was (0.044)%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of USSO over the same time period was (0.048)%. The average daily difference was 0.004% (or 0.4 basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the inverse of the daily movement of the benchmark futures contract, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (1.299)%, meaning that over this time period USSO's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Since the commencement of the offering of US12NG units to the public on November 18, 2009 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in the average price of its benchmark futures contracts was (0.151)%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of US12NG over the same time period was (0.155)%. The average daily difference was (0.003)% (or 0.3) basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the average price of the benchmark futures contracts, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (0.362)%, meaning that over this time period US12NG's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Since the commencement of the offering of USCI units to the public on August 10, 2010 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in the Commodity Index was 0.072%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of USCI over the same time period was 0.067%. The average daily difference was (0.005)% (or (0.5) basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the Commodity Index, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (1.446)%, meaning that over this time period USCI's tracking error was within the plus or minus 10% range established as its benchmark tracking goal.

Since the commencement of the offering of CPER units to the public on November 15, 2011 to February 29, 2012, the simple average daily change in the Copper Index was 0.153%, while the simple average daily change in the NAV of CPER over the same time period was 0.146%. The average daily difference was (0.006)% (or (0.6) basis points, where 1 basis point equals 1/100 of 1%). As a percentage of the daily movement of the Copper Index, the average error in daily tracking by the NAV was (4.171)%.

The table below shows the relationship between the trading prices of the units of each of the Related Public Funds and the daily NAV of such fund, since inception through February 29, 2012. The first row shows the average amount of the variation between the fund's closing market price and NAV, computed on a daily basis since inception, while the second and third rows depict the maximum daily amount of the end of day premiums and discounts to NAV since inception, on a percentage basis. The General Partner believes that maximum and minimum end of day premiums and discounts typically occur because trading in units continues on the NYSE Arca until 4:00 p.m. New York time while regular trading in the benchmark futures contract on the NYMEX ceases at 2:30 p.m. New York time and the value of the relevant benchmark futures contract, for purposes of determining its end of day NAV can be determined at that time. One known exception to this conclusion were the premiums on trading in USNG units that occurred between July 8, 2009 and September 28, 2009, when USNG suspended the issuance of Creation Baskets as a result of regulatory concerns relating to the size of USNG's positions in the natural gas futures and cleared swap markets, and there was continued demand for such units and other similar natural gas futures linked investments in the market.

	USOF	USNG	US12OF	UGA	USHO	US12NG	USSO	USCI	CPER
Average Difference	\$ (0.003)	\$ 0.462	\$ (0.040)	\$ 0.008	\$ 0.005	\$ 0.010	\$ (0.004)	\$ 0.060	\$ (0.020)
Max Premium %	3.88%	2.37%	4.11%	6.29%	5.75%	5.70%	3.08%	\$ 2.03%	4.29%
Max Discount %	(4.51)%	(2.42)%	(9.72)%	(4.50)%	(3.85)%	(6.52)%	(3.41)%	\$ (1.34)%	(4.32)%

For more information on the performance of the Related Public Funds, see the Performance Tables below.

Table of Contents**Performance of the Related Public Funds****USOF:****COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE DATA FOR USOF**

Name of Commodity Pool: United States Oil Fund, LP

Type of Commodity Pool: Exchange traded security

Inception of Trading: April 10, 2006

Aggregate Subscriptions (from inception through February 29, 2012): \$33,239,961,249

Total Net Assets as of February 29, 2012: \$1,601,492,462

NAV per Unit as of February 29, 2012: \$40.96

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: Oct 2008 (31.57)%

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: Jun 2008 Feb 2009 (75.84)%

Number of Unitholders (as of December 31, 2011): 158,586

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

Month	Rates of Return*					
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
January	(6.55)%	(4.00)%	(14.60)%	(8.78)%	(0.62)%	(0.60)%
February	5.63%	11.03%	(6.55)%	8.62%	1.21%	8.25%
March	4.61%	0.63%	7.23%	4.61%	8.78%	
April	(4.26)%	12.38%	(2.38)%	2.04%	6.12%	
May	(4.91)%	12.80%	26.69%	(17.96)%	(10.43)%	
June	9.06%	9.90%	4.16%	0.47%	(7.65)%	
July	10.57%	(11.72)%	(2.30)%	3.57%	(0.24)%	
August	(4.95)%	(6.75)%	(1.98)%	(9.47)%	(7.66)%	
September	12.11%	(12.97)%	0.25%	8.97%	(11.08)%	
October	16.98%	(31.57)%	8.43%	0.89%	17.32%	
November	(4.82)%	(20.65)%	(0.51)%	2.53%	7.76%	
December	8.67%	(22.16)%	(0.03)%	8.01%	(1.78)%	
Annual Rate of Return	46.17%	(54.75)%	14.14%	(0.49)%	(2.31)%	7.59%**

* The monthly rate of return is calculated by dividing the ending NAV of a given month by the ending NAV of the previous month, subtracting 1 and multiplying this number by 100 to arrive at a percentage increase or decrease.

** Through February 29, 2012

For a definition of Draw-down, please see text above Composite Performance Data for USBO.

Table of Contents

USNG:

COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE DATA FOR USNG

Name of Commodity Pool: United States Natural Gas Fund, LP

Type of Commodity Pool: Exchange traded security

Inception of Trading: April 18, 2007

Aggregate Subscriptions (from inception through February 29, 2012): \$14,027,465,374

Total Net Assets as of February 29, 2012: \$943,095,058

NAV per Unit as of February 29, 2012: \$20.79

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: Jul 2008 (32.13)%

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: Jun 2008 Feb 2012 (95.85)%

Number of Unitholders (as of December 31, 2011): 237,227

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

Month	Rates of Return*					
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
January		8.87%	(21.49)%	(7.65)%	(0.17)%	(17.62)%
February		15.87%	(5.47)%	(6.02)%	(10.02)%	(2.49)%
March		6.90%	(11.81)%	(21.05)%	6.68%	
April	4.30%**	6.42%	(13.92)%	(0.87)%	5.39%	
May	(0.84)%	6.53%	10.37%	8.19%	(2.23)%	
June	(15.90)%	13.29%	(4.63)%	5.14%	(7.00)%	
July	(9.68)%	(32.13)%	(8.70)%	6.43%	(4.90)%	
August	(13.37)%	(13.92)%	(27.14)%	(22.95)%	(2.58)%	
September	12.28%	(9.67)%	26.03%	(3.13)%	(11.85)%	
October	12.09%	(12.34)%	(13.31)%	(5.83)%	0.33%	
November	(16.16)%	(6.31)%	(11.86)%	(1.37)%	(13.40)%	
December	0.75%	(14.32)%	13.91%	4.53%	(17.26)%	
Annual Rate of Return	(27.64)%	(35.68)%	(56.73)%	(40.42)%	(46.08)%	(19.67)%***

* The monthly rate of return is calculated by dividing the ending NAV of a given month by the ending NAV of the previous month, subtracting 1 and multiplying this number by 100 to arrive at a percentage increase or decrease.

** Partial from April 18, 2007

*** Through February 29, 2012

For a definition of Draw-down, please see text above Composite Performance Data for USBO.

Table of Contents

US12OF:

COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE DATA FOR US12OF

Name of Commodity Pool: United States 12 Month Oil Fund, LP

Type of Commodity Pool: Exchange traded security

Inception of Trading: December 6, 2007

Aggregate Subscriptions (from inception through February 29, 2012): \$385,749,035

Total Net Assets as of February 29, 2012: \$175,436,857

NAV per Unit as of February 29, 2012: \$47.24

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: Oct 2008 (29.59)%

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: June 08 Feb 2009 (66.97)%

Number of Unitholders (as of December 31, 2011): 14,016

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

Month	Rates of Return*					
	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
January		(2.03)%	(7.11)%	(8.40)%	3.38%	0.92%
February		10.48%	(4.34)%	6.73%	1.89%	7.77%
March		(0.66)%	9.22%	4.16%	7.30%	
April		11.87%	(1.06)%	6.37%	5.94%	
May		15.47%	20.40%	(15.00)%	(8.91)%	
June		11.59%	4.51%	(1.00)%	(6.43)%	
July		(11.39)%	1.22%	4.16%	(0.43)%	
August		(6.35)%	(2.85)%	(5.92)%	(8.42)%	
September		(13.12)%	(0.92)%	7.02%	(11.50)%	
October		(29.59)%	8.48%	(0.05)%	15.03%	
November		(16.17)%	2.31%	1.86%	7.72%	
December	8.46%**	(12.66)%	(1.10)%	9.10%	(0.75)%	
Annual Rate of Return	8.46%	(42.39)%	29.23%	6.29%	1.28%*	8.70%***

* The monthly rate of return is calculated by dividing the ending NAV of a given month by the ending NAV of the previous month, subtracting 1 and multiplying this number by 100 to arrive at a percentage increase or decrease.

** Partial from December 6, 2007

*** Through February 29, 2012

For a definition of Draw-down, please see text above Composite Performance Data for USBO.

Table of Contents

UGA:

COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE DATA FOR UGA

Name of Commodity Pool: United States Gasoline Fund, LP

Type of Commodity Pool: Exchange traded security

Inception of Trading: February 26, 2008

Aggregate Subscriptions (from inception through February 29, 2012): \$327,130,914

Total Net Assets as of February 29, 2012: \$159,603,485

NAV per Unit as of February 29, 2012: \$56.00

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: Oct 2008 (38.48%)

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: June 08 Dec 08 (69.02%)

Number of Unitholders (as of December 31, 2011): 26,024

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

Month	Rates of Return*				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
January		16.23%	(7.47)%	2.19%	8.37%
February	(0.56)%**	0.26%	7.33%	9.52%	6.83%
March	(2.39)%	2.59%	5.42%	7.16%	
April	10.94%	2.07%	3.15%	10.45%	
May	15.60%	30.41%	(15.54)%	(9.21)%	
June	4.80%	1.65%	1.93%	(0.99)%	
July	(12.79)%	6.24%	2.95%	4.67%	
August	(3.88)%	(3.71)%	(10.42)%	(1.53)%	
September	(9.36)%	(3.38)%	9.45%	(11.02)%	
October	(38.48)%	10.96%	2.19%	3.90%	
November	(21.35)%	1.00%	8.19%	(2.05)%	
December	(15.72)%	0.55%	11.33%	3.49%	
Annual Rate of Return	(59.58)%	80.16%	15.52%	15.00%	15.77%***

* The monthly rate of return is calculated by dividing the ending NAV of a given month by the ending NAV of the previous month, subtracting 1 and multiplying this number by 100 to arrive at a percentage increase or decrease.

** Partial from February 26, 2008

*** Through February 29, 2012

For a definition of Draw-down, please see text above Composite Performance Data for USBO.

Table of Contents**USHO:****COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE DATA FOR USHO**

Name of Commodity Pool: United States Heating Oil Fund, LP

Type of Commodity Pool: Exchange traded security

Inception of Trading: April 9, 2008

Aggregate Subscriptions (from inception through February 29, 2012): \$33,857,235

Total Net Assets as of February 29, 2012: \$10,881,455

NAV per Unit as of February 29, 2012: \$36.27

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: Oct 2008 (28.63%)

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: June 08 Feb 09 (69.17%)

Number of Unitholders (as of December 31, 2011): 2,256

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

Month	Rates of Return*				
	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
January		0.05%	(10.17)%	7.58%	4.73%
February		(11.34)%	5.78%	6.98%	5.62%
March		6.73%	6.42%	5.45%	
April	2.84%**	(3.85)%	5.13%	4.75%	
May	15.93%	23.13%	(14.14)%	(7.17)%	
June	5.91%	4.55%	(0.40)%	(4.01)%	
July	(12.18)%	0.39%	2.48%	4.68%	
August	(8.41)%	(2.71)%	(5.88)%	(0.85)%	
September	(9.77)%	(0.48)%	12.75%	(10.18)%	
October	(28.63)%	7.60%	(2.20)%	10.10%	
November	(18.38)%	0.19%	2.97%	(1.36)%	
December	(17.80)%	2.23%	8.75%	(4.12)%	
Annual Rate of Return	(56.12)%	25.52%	8.28%	9.96%	10.61%***

* The monthly rate of return is calculated by dividing the ending NAV of a given month by the ending NAV of the previous month, subtracting 1 and multiplying this number by 100 to arrive at a percentage increase or decrease.

** Partial from April 9, 2008

*** Through February 29, 2012

For a definition of Draw-down, please see text above Composite Performance Data for USBO.

Table of Contents

USSO:

COMPOSITE PERFORMANCE DATA FOR USSO

Name of Commodity Pool: United States Short Oil Fund, LP

Type of Commodity Pool: Exchange traded security

Inception of Trading: September 24, 2009

Aggregate Subscriptions (from inception through February 29, 2012): \$52,967,662

Total Net Assets as of February 29, 2012: 6,654,982

NAV per Unit as of February 29, 2012: \$33.27

Worst Monthly Percentage Draw-down: Oct 11 (16.00)%

Worst Peak-to-Valley Draw-down: Aug 10 Feb 12 (33.97)%

Number of Unitholders (as of December 31, 2011): 3,288

PAST PERFORMANCE IS NOT NECESSARILY INDICATIVE OF FUTURE RESULTS

Month

Rates of Return*