

Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc.
Form 10-Q
November 01, 2011
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

x **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2011

OR

.. **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from to

Commission File Number 001-33612

MONOTYPE IMAGING HOLDINGS INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Delaware
(State of incorporation)

20-3289482
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

500 Unicorn Park Drive

Woburn, Massachusetts
(Address of principal executive offices)

01801
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (781) 970-6000

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of October 27, 2011 was 36,021,986.

Table of Contents

MONOTYPE IMAGING HOLDINGS INC.

INDEX

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Part I. Financial Information</u> | 2 |
| <i>Item 1.</i> <u>Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u> | 2 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010</u> | 2 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 3 |
| <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010</u> | 4 |
| <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 5 |
| <i>Item 2.</i> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 14 |
| <i>Item 3.</i> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 26 |
| <i>Item 4.</i> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 27 |
| <u>Part II. Other Information</u> | 28 |
| <i>Item 1.</i> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 28 |
| <i>Item 1A.</i> <u>Risk Factors</u> | 28 |
| <i>Item 2.</i> <u>Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 29 |
| <i>Item 3.</i> <u>Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 29 |
| <i>Item 4.</i> <u>Removed and Reserved</u> | 29 |
| <i>Item 5.</i> <u>Other Information</u> | 29 |
| <i>Item 6.</i> <u>Exhibits</u> | 29 |
| <u>Signatures</u> | 30 |
| <u>Exhibit Index</u> | 31 |

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
MONOTYPE IMAGING HOLDINGS INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(Unaudited and in thousands, except share and per share data)**

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|---|-----------------------|----------------------|
| Assets | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 51,478 | \$ 42,786 |
| Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$142 at September 30, 2011 and \$92 at December 31, 2010 | 6,680 | 4,720 |
| Income tax refunds receivable | 468 | 340 |
| Deferred income taxes | 306 | 350 |
| Prepaid expense and other current assets | 2,578 | 2,480 |
| Total current assets | 61,510 | 50,676 |
| Property and equipment, net | 2,290 | 1,589 |
| Goodwill | 142,326 | 142,354 |
| Intangible assets, net | 74,047 | 80,239 |
| Other assets | 5,566 | 3,947 |
| Total assets | \$ 285,739 | \$ 278,805 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 487 | \$ 753 |
| Accrued expenses and other current liabilities | 10,030 | 13,045 |
| Accrued income taxes | 254 | 1,171 |
| Deferred revenue | 10,892 | 8,506 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 10,000 | 8,355 |
| Total current liabilities | 31,663 | 31,830 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 37,321 | 57,504 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 257 | 471 |
| Deferred income taxes | 21,594 | 19,328 |
| Reserve for income taxes, net of current portion | 1,139 | 1,125 |
| Accrued pension benefits | 3,760 | 3,565 |
| Commitments and contingencies (Note 15) | | |
| Stockholders equity: | | |
| Preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, Authorized shares: 10,000,000; Issued and outstanding: none | | |
| Common stock, \$0.001 par value, Authorized shares: 250,000,000; Issued: 36,090,933 at September 30, 2011 and 35,490,331 at December 31, 2010 | 36 | 35 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 163,803 | 155,791 |
| Treasury stock, at cost, 98,527 shares at September 30, 2011 and 95,516 shares at December 31, 2010 | (86) | (86) |
| Retained earnings | 25,347 | 8,317 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 905 | 925 |

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--|------------|------------|
| Total stockholders' equity | 190,005 | 164,982 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 285,739 | \$ 278,805 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MONOTYPE IMAGING HOLDINGS INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(Unaudited and in thousands, except share and per share data)**

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenue | \$ 30,695 | \$ 28,358 | \$ 91,490 | \$ 77,254 |
| Costs and expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of revenue | 2,503 | 1,825 | 7,490 | 5,553 |
| Cost of revenue amortization of acquired technology | 798 | 869 | 2,373 | 2,608 |
| Total cost of revenue | 3,301 | 2,694 | 9,863 | 8,161 |
| Gross profit | 27,394 | 25,664 | 81,627 | 69,093 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | |
| Marketing and selling | 8,169 | 6,731 | 24,198 | 18,909 |
| Research and development | 4,116 | 3,934 | 12,176 | 11,525 |
| General and administrative | 4,284 | 4,104 | 12,621 | 12,200 |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 1,252 | 1,189 | 3,847 | 3,577 |
| Total operating expenses | 17,821 | 15,958 | 52,842 | 46,211 |
| Income from operations | 9,573 | 9,706 | 28,785 | 22,882 |
| Other (income) expense: | | | | |
| Interest expense | 587 | 1,084 | 2,388 | 3,387 |
| Interest income | (29) | | (91) | (13) |
| Loss (gain) on foreign exchange | 215 | (1,202) | (266) | 1,487 |
| (Gain) loss on derivatives | (536) | 1,597 | 487 | (168) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 422 | | 422 | |
| Other expense (income), net | 2 | | 2 | (9) |
| Total other expense | 661 | 1,479 | 2,942 | 4,684 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 8,912 | 8,227 | 25,843 | 18,198 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,920 | 2,304 | 8,813 | 5,969 |
| Net income | \$ 5,992 | \$ 5,923 | \$ 17,030 | \$ 12,229 |
| Net income available to common shareholders basic & diluted | \$ 5,891 | \$ 5,886 | \$ 16,753 | \$ 12,152 |
| Net income per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.35 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.34 |
| Weighted average number of shares: | | | | |
| Basic | 35,447,484 | 35,208,237 | 35,267,592 | 34,710,406 |
| Diluted | 36,829,518 | 36,264,638 | 36,703,298 | 35,910,668 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**MONOTYPE IMAGING HOLDINGS INC.****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(Unaudited and in thousands)

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | |
| Net income | \$ 17,030 | \$ 12,229 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,987 | 6,966 |
| Loss on retirement of fixed assets | 2 | 3 |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs | 403 | 614 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 422 | |
| Share based compensation | 5,128 | 4,206 |
| Excess tax benefit on stock options | (1,046) | (533) |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 95 | 68 |
| Deferred income taxes | 855 | 749 |
| Unrealized currency (gain) loss on foreign denominated intercompany transactions | (124) | 1,043 |
| Unrealized loss (gain) on derivatives | 326 | (237) |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (1,932) | 1,033 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 521 | 876 |
| Accounts payable | (274) | (81) |
| Accrued income taxes | (857) | 1,113 |
| Income tax refunds receivable | 6 | |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | (1,474) | 2,694 |
| Deferred revenue | 2,169 | 4,965 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 28,237 | 35,708 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (1,462) | (633) |
| Acquisition, net of cash acquired | (219) | |
| Purchase of exclusive license | | (3,000) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,681) | (3,633) |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | |
| Payments on long-term debt | (76,845) | (13,438) |
| Proceeds from issuance of debt, net of issuance costs | 56,065 | |
| Excess tax benefit on stock options | 1,046 | 533 |
| Proceeds from exercises of common stock options | 1,840 | 585 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (17,894) | (12,320) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 30 | 250 |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | 8,692 | 20,005 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 42,786 | 34,616 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 51,478 | \$ 54,621 |

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

Table of Contents

MONOTYPE IMAGING HOLDINGS INC.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

September 30, 2011

1. Nature of the Business

Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. (the Company or we) is a leading global provider of text imaging solutions. Our end-user and embedded solutions for print, web and mobile environments enable people to create and consume dynamic content on any and every device. The Company's technologies and fonts enable the display and printing of high quality digital content. Our technologies and fonts have been widely deployed across, and embedded in, a range of consumer electronics (CE) devices, including laser printers, digital copiers, mobile phones, navigation devices, digital cameras, e-book readers, automotive displays, tablets, digital televisions, set-top boxes and consumer appliances, as well as in numerous software applications and operating systems. The Company also provides printer drivers, page description language interpreters, printer user interface technology and color imaging solutions to printer manufacturers and OEMs (original equipment manufacturers). We license our text imaging solutions to CE device manufacturers, independent software vendors and creative and business professionals and we are headquartered in Woburn, Massachusetts. We operate in one business segment: the development, marketing and licensing of technologies and fonts. The Company also maintains various offices worldwide for selling and marketing, research and development and administration. We conduct our operations through two domestic operating subsidiaries, Monotype Imaging Inc. and International Typeface Corporation, and four foreign operating subsidiaries, Monotype Imaging Ltd., Linotype GmbH (Linotype), Monotype Imaging Hong Kong Ltd. and Monotype Imaging KK.

2. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements as of September 30, 2011 and for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010 include the accounts of the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries and have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) for interim financial reporting and pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) for Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, such financial statements do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. GAAP requires the Company's management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year or for any future periods.

In management's opinion, these unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements contain all adjustments of a normal recurring nature necessary for a fair presentation of the financial statements for the interim periods presented.

These unaudited condensed consolidated interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2010 as reported in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K.

3. Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASC Topic No. 220, *Comprehensive Income*, which amended its guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income in financial statements to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items that are recorded in other comprehensive income. The new accounting guidance requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either (1) a single statement of comprehensive income immediately following the income statement, or (2) a separate statement of comprehensive income immediately following the income statement. Companies will no longer be allowed to present comprehensive income on the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. In both options, companies must present the components of net income, total net income, the components of other comprehensive income, total other comprehensive income and total comprehensive income. The provisions of this new guidance are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 and will require retrospective application for all periods presented. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our financial statements.

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASC Topic No. 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, (ASC 820). ASC 820 improves disclosures about fair value measurements, requiring disclosures about valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements (class Level 2 or Level 3). Details regarding each class level, as defined by ASC 820, can be found in Note 5. In addition, more details are required regarding significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2 and the reasons for these transfers. New disclosures and clarifications regarding existing disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for details regarding purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the activity roll forward of class Level 3 which is effective for fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2010 and interim periods within those fiscal periods. We adopted the first provision of ASC 820 and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity. The Company adopted the second provision of ASC 820 on January 1, 2011 and the adoption did not have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Table of Contents**Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements**

In October 2009, the FASB approved for issuance ASC Subtopic No. 605-25, *Revenue Recognition Multiple-Element Arrangements*, (ASC 605-25). ASC 605-25 provides principles for allocation of consideration among its multiple-elements, allowing more flexibility in identifying and accounting for separate deliverables under an arrangement. It introduces an estimated selling price method for valuing the elements of a bundled arrangement if vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. ASC 605-25 is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Alternatively, adoption may be on a retrospective basis, and early application is permitted. The Company adopted ASC 605-25 on January 1, 2011 and the adoption did not have an impact on its results of operations, financial position or liquidity for all periods presented.

4. Acquisition

On December 8, 2010, we acquired Ascender Corporation, a privately held Illinois corporation, and Font Commerce LLC, a majority owned subsidiary of Ascender (together, Ascender) for approximately \$11.0 million. Ascender is a font provider with long-standing relationships with several leading brands including Google and Microsoft, and is located in Elk Grove Village, Illinois. With the acquisition of Ascender, the Company has broadened its font intellectual property offerings and gained significant typeface design and development talent. The Company paid approximately \$7.4 million in cash and issued 285,632 shares of common stock, valued at \$3.2 million. The purchase accounting was completed in the third quarter of 2011. There were no significant changes to the initial purchase accounting during the quarter ended September 30, 2011.

5. Derivative Financial Instruments

On May 24, 2010, we entered into a long term interest rate swap contract to pay a fixed rate of interest of 1.5% in exchange for a floating rate interest payment tied to the one-month LIBOR beginning November 28, 2010 to mitigate our exposure to interest rate fluctuations on our debt obligations. The contract has a notional amount of \$50.0 million with a \$20.0 million reduction in the notional amount in 2012 and matures on July 30, 2012. The total fair value of this financial instrument at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was a liability of \$0.4 million and \$0.7 million, respectively. We did not designate this contract as a hedge; as such, associated gains and losses are recorded in our condensed consolidated statements of income. The current portion of the interest rate swap are included in accrued expenses and other current liabilities and the long-term portion of the swap is included in other long-term liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

On May 7, 2008, we entered into a long term currency swap contract to purchase 18.3 million Euros in exchange for \$28.0 million to mitigate foreign currency exchange rate risk on a Euro denominated intercompany note. We incurred a net loss of \$0.6 million and a gain of \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, on the intercompany note. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we incurred a net gain of \$0.2 million and a net loss of \$1.0 million, respectively, on the intercompany note. The currency swap matures on December 14, 2012. The contract payment terms approximate the payment terms of this intercompany note. The total fair value of the financial instrument at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was an asset of approximately \$0.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. The current portion of the currency swap is included in prepaid expenses and other current assets and the long-term portion of the swap is included in other long-term assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

The following table presents the losses and (gains) on our derivative financial instruments which are included in (gain) loss on derivatives in our accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income (in thousands):

| | Three Months | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------|----------|-------------------|----------|
| | Ended September 30, 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest rate swaps | \$ 6 | \$ 354 | \$ 146 | \$ 839 |
| Currency swap | (542) | 1,243 | 341 | (1,010) |
| Other | | | | 3 |
| Total | \$ (536) | \$ 1,597 | \$ 487 | \$ (168) |

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

We also incur foreign currency exchange gains and losses related to certain customers that are invoiced in U.S. dollars, but who have the option to make an equivalent payment in their own functional currencies at a specified exchange rate as of a specified date. In the period from that date until payment in the customer's functional currency is received and converted into U.S. dollars, we can incur unrealized gains and losses. We utilize forward contracts with maturities of 90 days or less to hedge our exposure to these currency fluctuations. Any increase or decrease in the fair value of the forward contracts is offset by the change in the value of the hedged assets of our consolidated foreign affiliate. There were no outstanding currency hedges at September 30, 2011 or December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**6. Fair Value Measurements**

Fair value is based on the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In order to increase consistency and comparability in fair value measurements, the Codification establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes observable and unobservable inputs used to measure fair value into three broad levels, which are described below:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets that are accessible at the measurement date for assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the highest priority to Level 1 inputs.

Level 2: Other inputs that are observable directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities or market corroborated inputs.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs are used when little or no market data is available and requires the Company to develop its own assumptions about how market participants would price the assets or liabilities. The fair value hierarchy gives the lowest priority to Level 3 inputs.

In determining fair value, the Company utilizes valuation techniques that maximize the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs to the extent possible as well as considers counterparty and our own credit risk in its assessment of fair value.

The following table presents our financial assets and liabilities that are carried at fair value, classified according to the three categories described above (in thousands):

| | | Fair Value Measurement at September 30, 2011 | | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------------------|---|--------------------------|---------------------|----|
| | | Quoted Prices in | | | |
| | | Active | | | |
| | | Markets for | Significant Other | Significant | |
| | | Identical | Observable | Unobservable | |
| | | Assets | Inputs | Inputs | |
| | | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) | |
| | | Total | | | |
| Assets: | | | | | |
| Cash equivalents | money market funds | \$ 39 | \$ 39 | \$ | \$ |
| Cash equivalents | asset backed securities | 1,250 | | 1,250 | |
| Cash equivalents | commercial paper | 7,149 | | 7,149 | |
| Cash equivalents | corporate bonds | 1,821 | | 1,821 | |
| Cash equivalents | government securities | 4,196 | | 4,196 | |
| Cash equivalents | municipal bonds | 5,510 | | 5,510 | |
| Derivatives | currency swap, current portion | 700 | | 700 | |
| Derivatives | currency swap, long-term portion | 176 | | 176 | |
| Total assets | | \$ 20,841 | \$ 39 | \$ 20,802 | \$ |
| Liabilities: | | | | | |
| Derivatives | interest rate swap, current portion | \$ 385 | \$ | \$ 385 | \$ |
| Total liabilities | | \$ 385 | \$ | \$ 385 | \$ |

The Company's recurring fair value measures relate to short-term investments, which are classified as cash equivalents and derivative instruments. The fair value of our cash equivalents are either based on quoted prices for similar assets or other observable inputs such as yield curves at commonly quoted intervals and other market corroborated inputs. The fair value of our derivatives is based on quoted market prices from various banking institutions or an independent third party provider for similar instruments. In determining the fair value, we consider our non-performance risk and that of our counterparties. At September 30, 2011 the fair value of our long-term debt approximated its carrying value of \$47.3 million. The Company's non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities subject to non-recurring measurements include goodwill and

intangible assets.

Table of Contents**7. Intangible Assets**

Intangible assets consist of the following (dollar amounts in thousands):

| | Life (Years) | September 30, 2011 | | | December 31, 2010 | | |
|------------------------|--------------|--------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-------------------|--------------------------|-----------|
| | | Gross | | Net | Gross | | Net |
| | | Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Balance | Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | Balance |
| Customer relationships | 7-15 | \$ 49,419 | \$ (29,966) | \$ 19,453 | \$ 49,419 | \$ (26,413) | \$ 23,006 |
| Acquired technology | 8-15 | 39,815 | (20,267) | 19,548 | 39,846 | (17,878) | 21,968 |
| Non-compete agreements | 3-6 | 12,039 | (11,612) | 427 | 12,039 | (11,363) | 676 |
| Trademarks | | 30,219 | | 30,219 | 30,189 | | 30,189 |
| Domain names | | 4,400 | | 4,400 | 4,400 | | 4,400 |
| Total | | \$ 135,892 | \$ (61,845) | \$ 74,047 | \$ 135,893 | \$ (55,654) | \$ 80,239 |

8. Debt

On July 13, 2011 the Company entered into a new credit agreement with Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC, or the Credit Facility, and terminated its Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, which was scheduled to expire on July 30, 2012. The Credit Facility provides the Company with a five-year; \$120.0 million secured revolving credit facility.

Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest at a variable rate based upon, at the Company's option, either London Interbank Offering Rate, (LIBOR) or the base rate (which is the highest of (i) the prime rate, (ii) 0.5% plus the overnight federal funds rate, and (iii) 1.0% in excess of the three-month LIBOR rate), plus in each case, an applicable margin. The applicable margin for LIBOR loans, based on the applicable leverage ratio, is either 2.25% or 2.50% per annum, and the applicable margin for base rate loans, based on the applicable leverage ratio, is either 1.25% or 1.50% per annum. At September 30, 2011 our rates, inclusive of applicable margins, were 2.5% and 5.5% for LIBOR and prime, respectively. At September 30, 2011, our blended interest rate was 2.5%. The Company is required to pay an unused line fee equal to 0.375% per annum on the undrawn portion available under the revolving credit facility and variable per annum fees in respect of outstanding letters of credit, if any. The Credit Facility contains financial covenants which include (i) a maximum ratio of consolidated total debt to consolidated adjusted EBITDA of 3.00:1.00, and (ii) a minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25:1.00. Adjusted EBITDA, under the Credit Facility, is defined as consolidated net earnings (or loss), plus net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization and share based compensation expense, plus acquisition expenses not to exceed \$2.0 million, plus restructuring, issuance costs, cash non-operating costs and other expenses or losses minus cash non-operating gains and other non-cash gains; provided however that the aggregate of all cash non-operating expense shall not exceed \$250 thousand and all such fees, costs and expenses shall not exceed \$1.5 million on a trailing twelve months basis. Failure to comply with these covenants, or the occurrence of an event of default, could permit the Lenders under the Credit Facility to declare all amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility, together with accrued interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable. In addition, the Credit Facility places limits on the Company and its subsidiaries ability to incur debt or liens and engage in sale-leaseback transactions, make loans and investments, incur additional indebtedness, engage in mergers, acquisitions and asset sales, transact with affiliates and alter its business.

In accordance with ASC Subtopic No. 210-10-45, *Balance Sheet, Other Presentation Matters*, the Company has classified \$10.0 million in the current portion of long-term debt within the consolidated balance sheet at September 30, 2011, for payments reasonably expected to be made on the revolving credit facility during the next twelve months. In accordance with the agreement, there are no required scheduled repayments; payments and draws are made at the Company's discretion during the life of the agreement.

In connection with the refinancing, the Company incurred closing fees of \$0.8 million plus legal fees of approximately \$0.4 million. In accordance with ASC Subtopic No. 470-50, *Modifications and Extinguishments of Debt*, these fees have been accounted for as deferred financing costs and will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the Credit Facility. In addition, approximately \$0.4 million of unamortized deferred financing costs associated with the pro-rata share of prior loan syndicate lenders that did not participate in the new Credit Facility were written off and charged to other expense in the third quarter of 2011.

We are subject to a maximum leverage ratio and a fixed charge coverage ratio under the terms of our Credit Facility and we were in compliance with the covenants under our Credit Facility as of September 30, 2011.

9. Defined Benefit Pension Plan

Linotype maintains an unfunded defined benefit pension plan based on the Versorgungssordnung der Heidelberger Druckmaschinen AG (the Linotype Plan) which covers substantially all employees of Linotype who joined before April 1, 2006, at which time the Linotype Plan was closed. Employees are entitled to benefits in the form of retirement, disability and surviving dependent pensions. Benefits generally depend on years of service and the salary of the employees.

Table of Contents

The components of net periodic benefit cost included in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of income were as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------------------------|--|-------|---------------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Service cost | \$ 21 | \$ 20 | \$ 64 | \$ 60 |
| Interest cost | 45 | 40 | 138 | 123 |
| Net periodic benefit cost | \$ 66 | \$ 60 | \$ 202 | \$ 183 |

10. Income Taxes

A reconciliation of income taxes computed at federal statutory rates to income tax expense is as follows (dollar amounts in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|----------------------------------|--------|----------------------------------|--------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Provision for income taxes at statutory rate | \$ 3,119 | 35.0% | \$ 2,879 | 35.0% |
| State and local income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 110 | 1.2% | 54 | 0.7% |
| Stock compensation | 51 | 0.6% | 78 | 1.0% |
| Research credits | (88) | (1.0)% | | |
| Effect of rate changes on deferred taxes | | | (158) | (1.9)% |
| Reversal of reserve for income taxes | (35) | (0.4)% | (351) | (4.3)% |
| Disqualifying dispositions on incentive stock options | (40) | (0.5)% | (6) | (0.1)% |
| Other, net | (197) | (2.1)% | (192) | (2.4)% |
| Reported income tax provision | \$ 2,920 | 32.8% | \$ 2,304 | 28.0% |

Table of Contents

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|--|--|--------------|-----------------|--------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| Provision for income taxes at statutory rate | \$ 9,045 | 35.0% | \$ 6,369 | 35.0% |
| State and local income taxes, net of federal tax benefit | 318 | 1.2% | 240 | 1.3% |
| Stock compensation | 179 | 0.7% | 183 | 1.0% |
| Research credits | (231) | (0.9)% | | |
| Effect of rate changes on deferred taxes | 9 | | (158) | (0.9)% |
| Reversal of reserve for income taxes | (35) | (0.1)% | (351) | (1.9)% |
| Disqualifying dispositions on incentive stock options | (135) | (0.5)% | (76) | (0.4)% |
| Other, net | (337) | (1.3)% | (238) | (1.3)% |
| Reported income tax provision | \$ 8,813 | 34.1% | \$ 5,969 | 32.8% |

At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the reserve for uncertain tax positions (including related interest) was approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

11. Comprehensive Income

The components of comprehensive income are as follows (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|------------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 5,992 | \$ 5,923 | \$ 17,030 | \$ 12,229 |
| Net changes in: | | | | |
| Foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax of (\$1,266), \$1,533, (\$21) and (\$501), respectively | (2,211) | 2,638 | (20) | (865) |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 3,781 | \$ 8,561 | \$ 17,010 | \$ 11,364 |

12. Net Income Per Share

Basic and diluted earnings per share are computed pursuant to the two-class method. The two-class method determines earnings per share for each class of common stock and participating security according to their respective participation rights in undistributed earnings. Unvested restricted stock awards granted to employees are considered participating securities as they receive non-forfeitable rights to cash dividends at the same rate as common stock. In accordance with ASC Topic No. 260, *Earnings Per Share*, diluted net income per share is calculated using the more dilutive of the following two approaches:

1. Assume exercise of stock options and vesting of restricted stock using the treasury stock method.
2. Assume exercise of stock options using the treasury stock method, but assume participating securities (unvested restricted stock) are not vested and allocate earnings to common shares and participating securities using the two-class method.

Table of Contents

For all periods presented, the treasury stock method was used in the computation of diluted net income per share, as the result was more dilutive. The following presents a reconciliation of the numerator and denominator used in the calculation of basic and diluted net income per share (in thousands, except share and per share data):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net income, as reported | \$ 5,992 | \$ 5,923 | \$ 17,030 | \$ 12,229 |
| Less: net income attributable to participating securities | (101) | (37) | (277) | (77) |
| Net income available to common shareholders basic and diluted | \$ 5,891 | \$ 5,886 | \$ 16,753 | \$ 12,152 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Basic: | | | | |
| Weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding | 36,053,462 | 35,426,859 | 35,852,081 | 34,929,708 |
| Less: weighted-average shares of unvested restricted common stock outstanding | (605,978) | (218,622) | (584,489) | (219,302) |
| Weighted-average number of common shares used in computing basic net income per common share | 35,447,484 | 35,208,237 | 35,267,592 | 34,710,406 |
| Net income per share applicable to common shareholders basic | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.48 | \$ 0.35 |

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------|------------------------------------|------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Diluted: | | | | |
| Weighted-average shares of common stock outstanding | 36,053,462 | 35,426,859 | 35,852,081 | 34,929,708 |
| Less: weighted-average shares of unvested restricted common stock outstanding | (605,978) | (218,622) | (584,489) | (219,302) |
| Weighted-average number of common shares issuable upon exercise of outstanding stock options, based on the treasury stock method | 1,262,393 | 1,042,805 | 1,317,987 | 1,149,986 |
| Weighted-average number of restricted stock outstanding, based on the treasury stock method | 119,641 | 13,596 | 117,719 | 50,276 |
| Weighted-average number of common shares used in computing diluted net income per common share | 36,829,518 | 36,264,638 | 36,703,298 | 35,910,668 |
| Net income per share applicable to common shareholders diluted | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.16 | \$ 0.46 | \$ 0.34 |

Table of Contents

The following common share equivalents have been excluded from the computation of diluted weighted-average shares outstanding, as their effect would have been anti-dilutive:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Options | 1,765,782 | 1,767,473 | 1,486,671 | 1,544,789 |

13. Share Based Compensation

We account for share based compensation in accordance with ASC Topic No. 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, which requires the measurement of compensation costs at fair value on the date of grant and recognition of compensation expense over the service period for awards expected to vest. The following presents the impact of share based compensation expense on our condensed consolidated statements of income (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------|------------------------------------|----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Marketing and selling | \$ 777 | \$ 526 | \$ 2,172 | \$ 1,549 |
| Research and development | 414 | 277 | 1,187 | 892 |
| General and administrative | 615 | 592 | 1,769 | 1,765 |
| Total share based compensation | \$ 1,806 | \$ 1,395 | \$ 5,128 | \$ 4,206 |

As of September 30, 2011, the Company had \$13.0 million of unrecognized compensation expense, which is net of expected forfeitures, related to employees and directors' unvested stock option awards and restricted stock awards that are expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 2.0 years.

14. Segment Reporting

We view our operations and manage our business as one segment: the development, marketing and licensing of technologies and fonts. Factors used to identify our single segment include the financial information available for evaluation by our chief operating decision maker in making decisions about how to allocate resources and assess performance. While our technologies and services are sold into two principal markets, OEM and creative professional, expenses and assets are not formally allocated to these market segments, and operating results are assessed on an aggregate basis to make decisions about the allocation of resources. The following table presents revenue for these two major markets (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| OEM | \$ 23,047 | \$ 21,480 | \$ 67,830 | \$ 57,488 |
| Creative professional | 7,648 | 6,878 | 23,660 | 19,766 |
| Total | \$ 30,695 | \$ 28,358 | \$ 91,490 | \$ 77,254 |

Table of Contents**Geographic segment information**

The Company attributes revenues to geographic areas based on the location of our subsidiary receiving such revenue. For example, licenses may be sold to large international companies which may be headquartered in Korea, but the sales are received and recorded by our subsidiary located in the United States. In this example, the revenue would be reflected in the United States totals in the table below. We market our products and services through offices in the U.S., United Kingdom, Germany, Hong Kong, Korea and Japan. The following summarizes revenue by location:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|----------------|---|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Sales | % of Total | Sales | % of Total |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | |
| United States | \$ 14,758 | 48.1% | \$ 10,448 | 36.8% |
| Asia | 10,259 | 33.4 | 11,357 | 40.1 |
| United Kingdom | 1,211 | 3.9 | 1,243 | 4.4 |
| Germany | 4,467 | 14.6 | 5,310 | 18.7 |
| Total | \$ 30,695 | 100.0% | \$ 28,358 | 100.0% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|----------------|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Sales | % of Total | Sales | % of Total |
| | (In thousands, except percentages) | | | |
| United States | \$ 41,969 | 45.9% | \$ 28,279 | 36.6% |
| Asia | 31,762 | 34.7 | 32,462 | 42.0 |
| United Kingdom | 4,014 | 4.4 | 3,711 | 4.8 |
| Germany | 13,745 | 15.0 | 12,802 | 16.6 |
| Total | \$ 91,490 | 100.0% | \$ 77,254 | 100.0% |

Long-lived assets, which include property and equipment, goodwill and intangibles assets, but exclude other assets, long-term investments and deferred tax assets, are attributed to geographic areas in which Company assets reside and is shown below (in thousands):

| | September 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
|--------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Long-lived assets: | | |
| United States | \$ 159,417 | \$ 164,213 |
| Asia | 3,316 | 3,402 |
| United Kingdom | 52 | 31 |
| Germany | 55,878 | 56,536 |
| Total | \$ 218,663 | \$ 224,182 |

15. Commitments and Contingencies**Legal Proceedings**

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

From time to time, we may be a party to various claims, suits and complaints. We are not currently a party to any legal proceedings that, if determined adversely to us, would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Licensing Warranty

Under our standard license agreement with our OEM customers, we warrant that the licensed technologies are free of infringement claims of intellectual property rights and will meet the specifications as defined in the licensing agreement for a specified period, typically one year. Under the licensing agreements, liability for such indemnity obligations is limited, generally to the total arrangement fee; however, exceptions have been made on a case-by-case basis, increasing the maximum potential liability to agreed upon amounts at the time the contract is entered into. We have never incurred costs payable to a customer or business partner to

Table of Contents

defend lawsuits or settle claims related to these warranties, and as a result, management believes the estimated fair value of these warranties is minimal. Accordingly, there are no liabilities recorded for these warranties as of September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Forward Looking Statements and Projections

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains forward looking statements. Forward looking statements relate to future events or our future financial performance. We generally identify forward looking statements by terminology such as may, will, should, expects, plans, anticipates, could, intends, target, projects, contemplates, believes, estimates, predicts, potential or continue or the negative of these terms or other similar words. These statements are only predictions. We have based these forward looking statements largely on our current expectations and projections about future events and financial trends that we believe may affect our business, results of operations and financial condition. The outcome of the events described in these forward looking statements is subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, Risk Factors and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Accordingly, you should not rely upon forward looking statements as predictions of future events. We cannot assure you that the events and circumstances reflected in the forward looking statements will be achieved or occur, and actual results could differ materially from those projected in the forward looking statements. The forward looking statements made in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q relate only to events as of the date on which the statements are made. We undertake no obligation to update any forward looking statement to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which the statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Overview

We are a leading global provider of text imaging solutions. Our end-user and embedded solutions for print, web and mobile environments enable people to create and consume dynamic content on any and every device. Our technologies and fonts enable the display and printing of high quality digital text. Our software technologies have been widely deployed across, and embedded in, a range of consumer electronics, or CE, devices, including laser printers, digital copiers, mobile phones, navigation devices, digital cameras, e-book readers, automotive displays, tablets, digital televisions, set-top boxes and consumer appliances, as well as in numerous software applications and operating systems. In the laser printer market, we have worked together with industry leaders for over 19 years to provide critical components embedded in printing standards. Our scaling, compression, text layout, printer driver, page description languages, color and user interface technologies solve critical text imaging and user experience issues for CE device manufacturers by rendering high quality text, graphics and user interfaces on low resolution and memory constrained CE devices. We combine these proprietary technologies with access to more than 14,000 typefaces from a library of some of the most widely used designs in the world, including popular names such as Helvetica and Times New Roman. We also license our typefaces to creative and business professionals through our e-commerce websites *fonts.com*, *linotype.com*, *ascenderfonts.com*, *itcfonts.com*, *fontmarketplace.com* and *webfonts.fonts.com*, which combined attracted more than 35 million visits in 2010 from over 200 countries and territories, direct and indirect sales and custom font design services.

Table of Contents**Sources of Revenue**

We derive revenue from two principal sources: licensing our text imaging solutions to CE device manufacturers and independent software vendors, which we refer to as our OEM revenue, and licensing our fonts to creative and business professionals, which we refer to as our creative professional revenue. We derive our OEM revenue primarily from CE device manufacturers. We derive our creative professional revenue primarily from multinational corporations, graphic designers, media organizations, advertisers, printers and publishers. Traditionally, we have experienced, and we expect to continue to experience, lower revenue in the first half of the year due to the timing of some contractual payments of licensing fees from our OEM customers. Some of our revenue streams, particularly custom revenue where spending is largely discretionary in nature, have historically been and we expect them to continue to be in the future, more susceptible to weakening economic conditions.

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|---|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Sales | % of Total | Sales | % of Total |
| (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | |
| United States | \$ 14,758 | 48.1% | \$ 10,448 | 36.8% |
| Asia | 10,259 | 33.4 | 11,357 | 40.1 |
| United Kingdom | 1,211 | 3.9 | 1,243 | 4.4 |
| Germany | 4,467 | 14.6 | 5,310 | 18.7 |
| Total | \$ 30,695 | 100.0% | \$ 28,358 | 100.0% |

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | | |
|---|--|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| | 2011 | | 2010 | |
| | Sales | % of Total | Sales | % of Total |
| (In thousands, except percentages) | | | | |
| United States | \$ 41,969 | 45.9% | \$ 28,279 | 36.6% |
| Asia | 31,762 | 34.7 | 32,462 | 42.0 |
| United Kingdom | 4,014 | 4.4 | 3,711 | 4.8 |
| Germany | 13,745 | 15.0 | 12,802 | 16.6 |
| Total | \$ 91,490 | 100.0% | \$ 77,254 | 100.0% |

For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, sales by our subsidiaries located outside the United States comprised 51.9% and 63.2%, respectively, of our total revenue. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, sales by our subsidiaries located outside the United States comprised 54.1% and 63.4%, respectively, of our total revenue. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, U.S. revenue as a percent of total revenue increased due to the Ascender acquisition and contractual changes with an existing customer. In the three and nine months ended September 30, 2011, we experienced a decrease in printer imaging royalty revenue from Asia primarily a result of the recent events in Japan. We recognize per-unit royalty revenue typically one quarter after our OEM customer ships product containing our royalty bearing units; consequently, the printer royalty revenue recognized in the third quarter of 2011 represents the time period directly after the recent natural disaster in Japan. We do, however, expect that sales by our international subsidiaries will continue to represent a substantial portion of our revenue for the foreseeable future. Future international revenue will depend on the continued use and expansion of our text imaging solutions worldwide.

We derive a majority of our revenue from a limited number of customers, in particular manufacturers of laser printers and mobile phones. For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, our top ten licensees by revenue accounted for approximately 46.1% and 51.2% of our total revenue, respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, our top ten licensees by revenue accounted for approximately 46.2% and 50.5% of our total revenue, respectively. In the three months ended September 30, 2010 one customer accounted for 10.2% of our total revenue. Although no one customer accounted for more than 10% of our total revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2011 or nine months ended September 30, 2011 or 2010, if we are unable to maintain relationships with major customers or establish relationships with new customers, our licensing revenue will be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

OEM Revenue

Our OEM revenue is derived substantially from per-unit royalties for printer imaging, display imaging and printer driver, or driver, products. Under our licensing arrangements, we typically receive a royalty for each product unit incorporating our text imaging solutions that is shipped by our OEM customers. We also receive OEM revenue from fixed fee licenses with certain of our OEM customers. Fixed fee licensing arrangements are not based on units the customer ships, but instead, customers pay us on a periodic basis for use of our text imaging solutions. Though significantly less than royalties from per-unit shipments and fixed fees from OEM customers, we also receive revenue from software application and operating systems vendors who include our text imaging solutions in their products and for font development. Many of our licenses continue so long as our OEM customers ship products that include our technology, unless terminated for breach. Other licenses have terms that range from three to five years and usually provide for automatic or optional renewals. Revenue from per-unit royalties is recognized in the period during which we receive a royalty report from a customer, typically one quarter after royalty-bearing units are shipped. Revenue from fixed fee licenses is generally recognized when it is billed to the customer, so long as the product has been delivered, the license fee is fixed and non-refundable and collection is probable.

Creative Professional Revenue

Our creative professional revenue is derived from font licenses and from custom font design services. We license fonts directly to end-users through our e-commerce websites, via telephone, email and indirectly through third-party resellers. We also license fonts and provide custom font design services to graphic designers, advertising agencies, media organizations and corporations. We refer to direct, indirect and custom revenue, as non-web revenue, and refer to revenue that is derived from our websites, as web revenue.

Revenue from font licenses to our e-commerce customers is recognized upon payment by the customer and electronic shipment of the software embodying the font. Revenue from font licenses to other customers is recognized upon shipment of the software embodying the font and when all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. Revenue from resellers is recognized upon notification from the reseller that our font product has been licensed and when all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. Custom font design services are generally recognized upon delivery. Contract accounting may be used where appropriate.

Cost of Revenue

Our cost of revenue consists of font license fees that we pay on certain fonts that are owned by third parties, allocated internal engineering expense and overhead costs directly related to custom design services. License fees that we pay to third parties are typically based on a percentage of our OEM and creative professional revenue and do not involve minimum fees. Our cost of OEM revenue is typically lower than our cost of creative professional revenue because we own a higher percentage of the fonts licensed to our OEM customers, provide value-added technology and have negotiated lower royalty rates on the fonts we license from third parties because of volume. The cost of our custom design service revenue is substantially higher than the cost of our other revenue and, as a result, our gross margin varies from period-to-period depending on the level of custom design revenue recorded.

Cost of revenue also includes amortization of acquired technology, which we amortize over 8 to 15 years. For purposes of amortizing acquired technology we estimate the remaining useful life of the technology based upon various considerations, including our knowledge of the technology and the way our customers use it. We use the straight-line method to amortize our acquired technology. There is no reliable evidence to suggest that we should expect any other pattern of amortization than an even pattern, and we believe this best reflects the expected pattern of economic usage.

Gross Profit

Our gross profit percentage is influenced by a number of factors including product mix, pricing and volume at any particular time. However, our cost of OEM revenue is typically lower than our cost of creative professional revenue because we own a higher percentage of the fonts licensed to our OEM customers, provide value-added technology and have negotiated lower royalty rates on the fonts we license from third parties because of volume. Within our creative professional business, the cost of our custom design service revenue is substantially higher than the cost of our other revenue. As a result, our gross profit varies from period-to-period depending on the mix between, and within, OEM and creative professional revenue.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with GAAP and our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations requires us to make judgments, assumptions and estimates that affect the amounts reported in our

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

Table of Contents

There has been no material change in our critical accounting policies since December 31, 2010. Information about our critical accounting policies may be found in Part II, Item 7, Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, under the heading Critical Accounting Policies, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to Three Months Ended September 30, 2010

The following table sets forth items in the condensed consolidated quarterly statement of income as a percentage of sales for the periods indicated:

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|---|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenue: | | |
| OEM | 75.1% | 75.7% |
| Creative professional | 24.9 | 24.3 |
| | | |
| Total revenue | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of revenue | 8.2 | 6.4 |
| Cost of revenue amortization of acquired technology | 2.6 | 3.1 |
| | | |
| Total cost of revenue | 10.8 | 9.5 |
| | | |
| Gross profit | 89.2 | 90.5 |
| Marketing and selling | 26.6 | 23.7 |
| Research and development | 13.4 | 13.9 |
| General and administrative | 13.9 | 14.5 |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 4.1 | 4.2 |
| | | |
| Total operating expenses | 58.0 | 56.3 |
| | | |
| Income from operations | 31.2 | 34.2 |
| Interest expense, net | 1.8 | 3.8 |
| Loss (gain) on foreign exchange | 0.7 | (4.2) |
| (Gain) loss on derivatives | (1.7) | 5.6 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 1.4 | |
| | | |
| Total other expense | 2.2 | 5.2 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 29.0 | 29.0 |
| Provision for income taxes | 9.5 | 8.1 |
| | | |
| Net income | 19.5% | 20.9% |

Sales by Segment. We view our operations and manage our business as one segment: the development, marketing and licensing of technologies and fonts. Factors used to identify our single segment include the financial information available for evaluation by our chief operating decision maker in making decisions about how to allocate resources and assess performance. While our technologies and services are sold to customers in two principal markets (CE device manufacturers and independent software vendors, together OEM, and creative professional), expenses and assets are not formally allocated to these markets, and operating results are assessed on an aggregate basis to make decisions about the allocation of resources.

The following table presents revenue for these two principal markets (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

| | Three Months Ended September 30, | | Increase |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | |
| OEM | \$ 23,047 | \$ 21,480 | \$ 1,567 |
| Creative professional | 7,648 | 6,878 | 770 |
| Total revenue | \$ 30,695 | \$ 28,358 | \$ 2,337 |

Revenue

Revenue was \$30.7 million and \$28.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$2.3 million, or 8.2%.

Table of Contents

OEM revenue was \$23.0 million and \$21.5 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$1.5 million, or 7.3%. Display imaging and driver revenue increased \$2.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010 primarily due to increased license revenue from independent software vendors and customers in markets such as mobile phones and e-book readers. Decreased printer imaging royalty revenue, which we believe is mainly attributable to the recent events in Japan, partially offset these increases. Further discussion of the risk to our business is described in Part II, Item 1A, Risk Factors.

Creative professional revenue was \$7.6 million and \$6.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$0.8 million, or 11.2% due to both non-web and web revenue increases. Non-web revenue increased, primarily due to increased direct sales to our enterprise customers. Web revenue also contributed to the increase primarily due to our newer product offerings, such as Font Explorer X and Web font services.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Profit

Cost of revenue, excluding amortization of acquired technology, was \$2.5 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$0.7 million or 37.0%. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of revenue, excluding amortization of acquired technology, was 8.2% and 6.4% in the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The increase in cost of revenue in dollars was mainly the result of product mix and partially due to higher sales volume. The increase as a percentage of revenue was mainly due to variations in product mix. During the third quarter of 2011, reclassifications to cost of revenue for service work increased \$0.2 million as compared to the same period in 2010. In the three months ended September 30, 2011 as compared to the same period in 2010, a higher percentage of revenue was derived from products that carry a higher royalty cost. OEM revenue represented 75.1% of our total revenue in the third quarter of 2011, as compared to 75.7% in the same period in 2010. Our OEM revenue typically has a lower associated cost than our creative professional revenue.

The portion of cost of revenue consisting of amortization of acquired technology was \$0.8 million and \$0.9 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Gross profit was 89.2% of sales in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to 90.5% in the three months ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of 1.3 percentage points, mainly the result of the aforementioned factors. Our gross profit percentage is influenced by a number of factors including product mix, pricing and volume at any particular time.

Operating Expenses

Marketing and Selling. Marketing and selling expense was \$8.2 million and \$6.7 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$1.5 million, or 21.4%. Personnel and personnel related expenses increased \$0.9 million, in the third quarter of 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, partially due to an increase in headcount and partially the result of higher salary expense due to annual increases. Headcount increased 5.6%; a result of a few key new hires and from our recent acquisition of Ascender. Online advertising increased, the direct result of conducting additional sales promotions, and increased discretionary spending for travel related costs together contributed \$0.2 million to the overall increase in marketing and selling expense in the third quarter of 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010.

Research and Development. Research and development expense was \$4.1 million and \$3.9 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$0.2 million or 4.6%. Personnel and personnel related expenses increased \$0.2 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, mainly the result of an increase in headcount. Research and development headcount increased 15.0% at September 30, 2011, as compared to September 30, 2010, as we continue to focus on our strategic initiatives and partially the result of our Ascender acquisition. Personnel expense increases were offset by a \$0.2 million increase in reclassifications to cost of revenue for service work on revenue recognized during the third quarter of 2011. Increased professional service expenses contributed \$0.2 million for outside contractors used for development work.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expense was \$4.3 million and \$4.1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$0.2 million, or 4.4%. The increase was mainly the result of an increase in legal related expenses primarily due to the timing of intellectual property registration actions and increased professional service expenses.

Amortization of Other Intangible Assets. Amortization of other intangible assets was \$1.3 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$0.1 million or 5.3%.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net of interest income was \$0.6 million and \$1.1 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, a decrease of \$0.5 million or 48.5%. The decrease in interest expense was mainly the result of lower total debt outstanding coupled with a lower interest rate on the debt. Total debt outstanding, net of unamortized financing costs, at September 30, 2011 was \$45.9 million, as compared to \$78.5 million at September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2011, the blended interest rate on our Credit Facility was 2.5%, as compared to a blended rate of 4.0% at September 30, 2010.

Loss (Gain) on Foreign Exchange

Loss (gain) on foreign exchange was a loss of \$0.2 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to a gain of \$1.2 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010, primarily from our Euro denominated intercompany note.

(Gain) Loss on Derivatives

(Gain) loss on derivatives was a gain of \$0.5 million in the three months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to a loss of \$1.6 million in the three months ended September 30, 2010, the net result of changes to the market value of our swap contract. The gain in the third quarter of 2011 mainly related to our currency swap contract. In the third quarter of 2010, we recorded losses of \$1.2 million on our currency swap contract and \$0.4 million on our interest rate swap contracts.

Provision for Income Taxes

During the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, our effective tax rate was 32.8% and 28.0%, respectively. During the third quarter of 2011 the effective tax rate included a 1.0% decrease for research credits, which was not included in the same period in 2010 due to the scheduled expiration of such credits under the Internal Revenue Code. The research credit was subsequently reinstated in the fourth quarter of 2010. During the third quarter of 2010, the effective tax rate included a 4.3% decrease due to the reversal of reserves for income taxes, as compared to 0.4% in the third quarter of 2011. During the third quarter of 2010, the effective tax rate included a 1.9% decrease for the effect of rate changes on deferred taxes in the third quarter of 2010, which did not occur in the third quarter of 2011.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 Compared to Nine Months Ended September 30, 2010**

The following table sets forth items in the condensed consolidated year-to-date statement of income as a percentage of sales for the periods indicated:

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|-------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Revenue: | | |
| OEM | 74.1% | 74.4% |
| Creative professional | 25.9 | 25.6 |
| | | |
| Total revenue | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Cost of revenue | 8.2 | 7.2 |
| Cost of revenue amortization of acquired technology | 2.6 | 3.4 |
| | | |
| Total cost of revenue | 10.8 | 10.6 |
| | | |
| Gross profit | 89.2 | 89.4 |
| Marketing and selling | 26.4 | 24.5 |
| Research and development | 13.3 | 14.9 |
| General and administrative | 13.8 | 15.8 |
| Amortization of other intangible assets | 4.2 | 4.6 |
| | | |
| Total operating expenses | 57.7 | 59.8 |
| | | |
| Income from operations | 31.5 | 29.6 |
| Interest expense, net | 2.6 | 4.4 |
| Loss (gain) on foreign exchange | (0.3) | 1.9 |
| (Gain) loss on derivatives | 0.5 | (0.2) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 0.5 | |
| | | |
| Total other expense | 3.3 | 6.1 |
| Income before provision for income taxes | 28.2 | 23.5 |
| Provision for income taxes | 9.6 | 7.7 |
| | | |
| Net income | 18.6% | 15.8% |

Sales by Segment. The following table presents revenue for these two principal markets (in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | | |
|-----------------------|--|-------------|-----------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 | Increase |
| OEM | \$ 67,830 | \$ 57,488 | \$ 10,342 |
| Creative professional | 23,660 | 19,766 | 3,894 |
| | | | |
| Total revenue | \$ 91,490 | \$ 77,254 | \$ 14,236 |

Revenue

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Revenue was \$91.5 million and \$77.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$14.2 million, or 18.4%.

OEM revenue was \$67.8 million and \$57.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$10.3 million, or 18.0%, mainly due to a \$10.5 million increase in display imaging revenue. Display imaging and driver revenue increased \$12.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010 partially due to increased volume of unit shipments of products by our customers that embed our fonts and technology solutions such as independent software vendors, and increased royalties from customers in markets such as e-book readers, mobile phones, navigation devices and digital cameras. These increases were partially offset by decreases in printer imaging royalty revenue, which we believe is primarily attributable to the recent events in Japan.

Table of Contents

Creative professional revenue was \$23.7 million and \$19.8 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$3.9 million, or 19.7%. Non-web revenue, which accounted for the majority of the increase, was primarily a result of increased direct sales to our enterprise customers and custom revenue. Web revenue also increased mainly due to our newer products offerings, such as Web font services.

Cost of Revenue and Gross Profit

Cost of revenue, excluding amortization of acquired technology, was \$7.5 million and \$5.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$1.9 million, or 34.9%. As a percentage of total revenue, cost of revenue, excluding amortization was 8.2% and 7.2% in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The dollar increase in cost of revenue was partially the result of product mix and higher sales volume. The increase as a percentage of revenue was due to product mix. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, a portion of our OEM revenue had higher associated costs. A portion of our OEM revenue in the first nine months of 2011 included costs related to service work performed in connection with the revenue, as compared to the same period in 2010. This, coupled with a higher percentage of creative professional revenue in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, contributed to the increase in cost of revenue, as compared to the same period in 2010.

Amortization of acquired technology was \$2.4 million and \$2.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Gross profit was 89.2% in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to 89.4% in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, a decrease of 0.2 percentage points, mainly the result of the aforementioned factors. Our gross profit percentage is influenced by a number of factors including product mix, pricing and volume at any particular time.

Operating Expenses

Marketing and Selling. Marketing and selling expense was \$24.2 million and \$18.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$5.3 million or 28.0%. Personnel expenses increased \$3.0 million, partially due to higher variable compensation due to a higher sales volume and partially the result of an increase in headcount. Headcount increased 5.6% as a result of a few key new hires and from our recent acquisition of Ascender. Online advertising expense increased \$0.9 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, partially due to targeted advertising for our new Web font services product and partially the result of our efforts to increase traffic to our websites. Increased discretionary spending on travel related expenses, tradeshow and outside services contributed \$0.9 million to the overall increase in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010. Other expenses related to processing fees on web sales resulted in increased expenses of \$0.2 million.

Research and Development. Research and development expense was \$12.2 million and \$11.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$0.7 million, or 5.7%. Personnel and personnel related expenses increased \$1.0 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010, mainly the result of an increase in headcount. Research and development headcount increased 15.0% at September 30, 2011, as compared to September 30, 2010, as we continue to focus on our strategic initiatives and partially the result of our Ascender acquisition. Personnel expense increases were partially offset by a \$0.6 million increase in reclassifications to cost of sales for service work on revenue recognized during the first nine months of 2011. Travel related expenses increased \$0.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010.

General and Administrative. General and administrative expense was \$12.6 million and \$12.2 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$0.4 million or 3.5%. Personnel expenses increased \$0.1 million, mainly due to higher salary expense. Professional service expenses, including tax related fees and legal, increased \$0.3 million in the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to the same period in 2010.

Amortization of Other Intangible Assets. Amortization of other intangible assets was \$3.8 million and \$3.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, an increase of \$0.2 million, resulting from our acquisition of Ascender in December 2010.

Interest Expense, Net

Interest expense, net of interest income, was \$2.3 million and \$3.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, a decrease of \$1.1 million, or 31.9%. The decrease in interest expense was the result of lower total debt outstanding and lower interest rates on our debt. Total debt outstanding, net of unamortized financing costs, at September 30, 2011 was \$45.9 million, as compared to \$78.5 million at September 30, 2010. At September 30, 2011, the blended interest rate on our Credit Facility was 2.5%, as compared to a blended rate of 4.0% at September 30, 2010.

Table of Contents*Loss (Gain) on Foreign Exchange*

Loss (gain) on foreign exchange was a gain of \$0.3 million and a loss of \$1.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, primarily resulting from our Euro denominated intercompany note.

(Gain) Loss on Derivatives

(Gain) loss on derivatives was a loss of \$0.5 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2011, as compared to a gain of \$0.2 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the net result of changes to the market value of our derivative contracts. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011, \$0.4 million of the loss related to our currency swap contract and the remaining \$0.1 million loss related to our interest rate contract. In the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we recorded a loss of \$1.0 million on our currency swap and a gain of \$0.8 million on our interest rate swap contracts.

Provision for Income Taxes

Our effective tax rate was 34.1% and 32.8% for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, the effective tax rate included a 0.9% decrease for research credits which was not included in the same period in 2010 due to scheduled expiration of such credits under the Internal Revenue Code. The research credit was subsequently reinstated in the fourth quarter of 2010. The effective rate decreased 0.3% in the first nine months of 2011, for share based compensation expense. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, the effective tax rate included a 1.9% decrease due to the reversal of reserve for income taxes, as compared to a decrease of 0.1% in the same period in 2011. Our effective tax rate in the nine months ended September 30, 2010, included a benefit of 0.9% for the effect of rate changes on deferred taxes, which did not occur in the same period in 2011.

*Liquidity and Capital Resources**Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2011 and 2010*

Since our inception, we have financed our operations primarily through cash from operations, private and public stock sales and long-term debt arrangements, as described below. We believe our existing cash and cash equivalents, our cash flow from operating activities and available bank borrowings will be sufficient to meet our anticipated cash needs for at least the next twelve months. At September 30, 2011, our principal sources of liquidity were cash and cash equivalents totaling \$51.5 million and a \$120.0 million revolving line-of-credit, of which \$47.3 million was outstanding as of September 30, 2011. Approximately \$4.0 million of the revolving line of credit is unavailable as a result of our outstanding derivative instrument with our lender. During the third quarter of 2011, we refinanced our debt; further details are found in Note 8. In March 2010, we made mandatory prepayments of \$5.2 million under our Amended and Restated Credit Agreement; no such payment was required in March 2011. Our future working capital requirements will depend on many factors, including the operations of our existing business, our potential strategic expansion and future acquisitions we might undertake. To the extent that our cash and cash equivalents, our current debt arrangements and our cash flow from operating activities are insufficient to fund our future activities, we may need to raise additional funds through bank credit arrangements or public or private equity or debt financings.

The following table presents our cash flows from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities for the periods presented (in thousands):

| | Nine Months Ended September 30, | |
|---|--|------------------|
| | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 28,237 | \$ 35,708 |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (1,681) | (3,633) |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (17,894) | (12,320) |
| Effect of exchange rates on cash and cash equivalents | 30 | 250 |
| Increase in cash and cash equivalents | \$ 8,692 | \$ 20,005 |

Operating Activities

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

Significant variations in operating cash flows may occur because, from time-to-time, our customers make prepayments against future royalties. Prepayments may be required under the terms of our license agreements and are occasionally made on an elective basis and often cause large fluctuations in accounts receivable and deferred revenue. The timing and extent of such prepayments significantly impacts our cash balances.

Table of Contents

We generated \$28.2 million in cash from operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2011. Net income, after adjusting for depreciation and amortization, amortization of deferred financing costs, loss on extinguishment of debt, loss on retirement of fixed assets, share based compensation, excess tax benefit on stock options, provision for doubtful accounts, deferred income taxes, unrealized currency gain on foreign denominated intercompany transactions, and unrealized loss on derivatives generated \$30.0 million in cash. Deferred revenue generated \$2.2 million in cash, mainly the result of the receipt of a large royalty pre-payment. Increases in accounts receivable and prepaid expenses and other assets, and decreases in accounts payable and accrued income taxes used \$2.5 million in cash. Decreases in accrued expense and other liabilities used \$1.4 million in cash, as a result of the payment of variable compensation accrued in previous periods.

We generated \$35.7 million in cash from operations during the nine months ended September 30, 2010. Net income, after adjusting for depreciation and amortization, amortization of deferred financing costs, loss on retirement of fixed assets, share based compensation, excess tax benefit on stock options, provision for doubtful accounts, deferred income taxes, unrealized currency gain on foreign denominated intercompany transactions, and unrealized loss on derivatives generated \$25.1 million in cash. Deferred revenue generated \$5.0 million in cash, resulting primarily from the receipt of two large royalty prepayments. Collections on accounts receivable, decreases in prepaid expenses and other assets and increases in accrued income taxes provided \$3.0 million in cash. The \$2.7 million increase in accrued expenses and other liabilities was mainly due to an increase in variable compensation in the current year. Due to the timing of vendor payments, accounts payable used \$0.1 million in cash.

Investing Activities

During the nine months ended September 30, 2011, we used \$1.7 million in cash for investing activities, which consisted mostly of purchases of property and equipment. During the nine months ended September 30, 2010, we used \$3.6 million in cash for investing activities, which consisted of the purchase of an exclusive license and purchases of property and equipment.

Financing Activities

Cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 was \$17.9 million. Payments on long-term debt used \$76.8 million in cash, offset by proceeds from the issuance of debt, net of issuance costs of \$56.1 million as a result of the refinancing of our debt in July 2011, see Note 8. Other financing activities during the nine months ended September 30, 2011 included \$1.8 million in cash received from stock option exercises and \$1.0 million related to the excess tax benefit on stock options. Cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2010 was \$12.3 million. Payments on long-term debt used \$13.4 million in cash, partially offset by \$0.6 million in cash received from stock option exercises and \$0.5 million related to the excess tax benefit on stock options for the nine months ended September 30, 2010.

Credit Facility

On July 13, 2011 we entered into a five-year \$120.0 million revolving credit facility (the "Credit Facility"). The Credit Facility replaces the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, which terminated effective July 13, 2011 and was scheduled to expire on July 30, 2012.

Borrowings under the Credit Facility bear interest based on the leverage ratio at either (i) the prime rate plus 1.25%, as defined in the credit agreement, or (ii) LIBOR plus a 2.25%. The Company is required to pay an unused line fee equal to 0.375% per annum on the undrawn portion available under the revolving credit facility and variable per annum fees in respect of outstanding letters of credit. As of September 30, 2011, the blended interest rate on the Credit Facility was 2.5%. There are no required repayments. The Company, in accordance with the Credit Facility, is permitted to request that the Lenders, at their election, increase the secured credit facility to a maximum of \$140.0 million. In addition, the Credit Facility provides that we maintain a maximum leverage ratio. The leverage ratio is defined as the ratio of aggregate outstanding indebtedness to trailing twelve months Adjusted EBITDA. Adjusted EBITDA is defined as consolidated net earnings (or loss), plus net interest expense, income taxes, depreciation and amortization and share based compensation expense, plus restructuring, issuance costs, cash non-operating costs and other expenses or losses minus cash non-operating gains and other non-cash gains; provided however that the aggregate of all cash non-operating expense shall not exceed \$250 thousand and all such fees, costs and expenses shall not exceed \$1.5 million on a trailing twelve months basis. Additional limits are imposed on acquisition related expenses. We also must maintain a minimum fixed charge ratio. As of September 30, 2011, the maximum leverage ratio permitted was 3.00:1.00 and our leverage ratio was 0.86:1.00 and the minimum fixed charge coverage ratio was 1.25:1.00 and our fixed charge ratio was 2.54:1.00. Failure to comply with these covenants, or the occurrence of an event of default, could permit the Lenders under the Credit Facility to declare all amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility, together with accrued interest and fees, to be immediately due and payable. In addition, the Credit Facility places limits on the Company's and its subsidiaries' ability to incur debt or liens and engage in sale-leaseback transactions, make loans and investments, incur additional indebtedness, engage in mergers, acquisitions and asset sales, transact with affiliates and alter its business.

Table of Contents

In connection with the refinancing, the Company incurred closing fees of \$0.8 million plus legal fees of approximately \$0.4 million. In accordance with ASC Subtopic No. 470-50, *Modifications and Extinguishments of Debt*, these fees were accounted for as deferred financing costs and will be amortized to interest expense over the term of the Credit Facility. In addition, approximately \$0.4 million of unamortized deferred financing costs associated with the pro-rata share of prior loan syndicate lenders that did not participate in the Credit Facility was written off as a debt extinguishment and charged to other expense in the third quarter of 2011.

The following table presents a reconciliation from net income, which is the most directly comparable GAAP operating performance measure, to EBITDA and from EBITDA to Adjusted EBITDA as defined in our credit facilities (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|---|--------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income | \$ 5,992 | \$ 5,923 | \$ 17,030 | \$ 12,229 |
| Provision for income taxes | 2,920 | 2,304 | 8,813 | 5,969 |
| Interest expense, net | 558 | 1,084 | 2,297 | 3,374 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,320 | 2,318 | 6,987 | 6,966 |
| EBITDA | \$ 11,790 | \$ 11,629 | \$ 35,127 | \$ 28,538 |
| Share based compensation | 1,806 | 1,395 | 5,128 | 4,206 |
| Non-cash add backs | 64 | 248 | 137 | 800 |
| Restructuring, issuance and cash non-operating costs ⁽²⁾ | 445 | (2) | 673 | 345 |
| Acquisition expenses | | | 94 | |
| Adjusted EBITDA⁽¹⁾ | \$ 14,105 | \$ 13,270 | \$ 41,159 | \$ 33,889 |

- (1) Adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of operating performance under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative or substitute for GAAP profitability measures such as income (loss) from operations and net income (loss). Adjusted EBITDA as an operating performance measure has material limitations since it excludes the statement of income impact of depreciation and amortization expense, interest expense, net, the provision (benefit) for income taxes and share based compensation and therefore does not represent an accurate measure of profitability, particularly in situations where a company is highly leveraged or has a disadvantageous tax structure. We have significant intangible assets and amortization expense is a meaningful element in our financial statements and therefore its exclusion from Adjusted EBITDA is a material limitation. We have a significant amount of debt, and interest expense is a necessary element of our costs and therefore its exclusion from Adjusted EBITDA is a material limitation. We generally incur significant U.S. federal, state and foreign income taxes each year and the provision for income taxes is a necessary element of our costs and therefore its exclusion from Adjusted EBITDA is a material limitation. Share based compensation and the associated expense has a meaningful impact on our financial statements. Non-cash expenses, restructuring, issuance and cash non-operating expenses have a meaningful impact on our financial statements. Therefore, their exclusion from Adjusted EBITDA is a material limitation. As a result, Adjusted EBITDA should be evaluated in conjunction with net income for complete analysis of our profitability, as net income includes the financial statement impact of these items and is the most directly comparable GAAP operating performance measure to Adjusted EBITDA. As Adjusted EBITDA is not defined by GAAP, our definition of Adjusted EBITDA may differ from and therefore may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. Because of the limitations that Adjusted EBITDA has as an analytical tool, investors should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our operating results as reported under GAAP.
- (2) Permits an add-back of up to \$250 thousand of cash non-operating expense, which is not to exceed \$1.5 million when combined together with restructuring and issuance costs.

The Credit Facility also contains provisions for an increased interest rate during periods of default. We do not believe that these covenants will affect our ability to operate our business, and we were in compliance with the covenants under our Credit Facility as of September 30, 2011.

Non-GAAP Measures

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

In addition to Adjusted EBITDA as discussed above, we rely internally on certain measures that are not calculated according to GAAP. This non-GAAP measure is net adjusted EBITDA, which is defined as income (loss) from operations before depreciation, amortization of acquired intangible assets and stock-based compensation expenses. We use net adjusted EBITDA as a principal indicator of the operating performance of our business. We use net adjusted EBITDA in internal forecasts and models when establishing internal operating budgets, supplementing the financial results and forecasts reported to our board of directors, determining bonus compensation for our employees based on operating performance and evaluating short-term and long-term operating trends in our operations. We believe that net adjusted EBITDA permits a comparative assessment of our operating performance, relative to our performance based on our GAAP results, while isolating the effects of charges that may vary from period-to-period

Table of Contents

without direct correlation to underlying operating performance. We believe that these non-GAAP financial adjustments are useful to investors because they allow investors to evaluate the effectiveness of the methodology and information used by management in our financial and operational decision-making. We believe that trends in our net adjusted EBITDA may be valuable indicators of our operating performance.

The following table presents a reconciliation from income from operations, which is the most directly comparable GAAP operating financial measure, to net adjusted EBITDA as used by management (in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | September 30, | | September 30, | |
| | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Income from operations | \$ 9,573 | \$ 9,706 | \$ 28,785 | \$ 22,882 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 2,320 | 2,318 | 6,987 | 6,966 |
| Share based compensation | 1,806 | 1,395 | 5,128 | 4,206 |
| Net adjusted EBITDA (1) | \$ 13,699 | \$ 13,419 | \$ 40,900 | \$ 34,054 |

- (1) Net adjusted EBITDA is not a measure of operating performance under GAAP and should not be considered as an alternative or substitute for GAAP profitability measures such as income (loss) from operations and net income (loss). Net adjusted EBITDA as an operating performance measure has material limitations since it excludes the statement of income impact of depreciation and amortization expense and share based compensation and therefore does not represent an accurate measure of profitability. We have significant intangible assets and amortization expense is a meaningful element in our financial statements and therefore its exclusion from net adjusted EBITDA is a material limitation. Share based compensation and the associated expense has a meaningful impact on our financial statements and therefore its exclusion from net adjusted EBITDA is a material limitation. As a result, net adjusted EBITDA should be evaluated in conjunction with income (loss) from operations for complete analysis of our profitability, as income (loss) from operations includes the financial statement impact of these items and is the most directly comparable GAAP operating performance measure to net adjusted EBITDA. As net adjusted EBITDA is not defined by GAAP, our definition of net adjusted EBITDA may differ from and therefore may not be comparable to similarly titled measures used by other companies, thereby limiting its usefulness as a comparative measure. Because of the limitations that net adjusted EBITDA has as an analytical tool, investors should not consider it in isolation, or as a substitute for analysis of our operating results as reported under GAAP.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements**Comprehensive Income**

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued ASC Topic No. 220, *Comprehensive Income*, which amended its guidance on the presentation of comprehensive income in financial statements to improve the comparability, consistency and transparency of financial reporting and to increase the prominence of items that are recorded in other comprehensive income. The new accounting guidance requires entities to report components of comprehensive income in either (1) a single statement of comprehensive income immediately following the income statement or (2) a separate statement of comprehensive income immediately following the income statement. Companies will no longer be allowed to present comprehensive income on the statement of changes in shareholders' equity. In both options, companies must present the components of net income, total net income, the components of other comprehensive income, total other comprehensive income and total comprehensive income. The provisions of this new guidance are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 and will require retrospective application for all periods presented. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting this guidance on our financial statements.

Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASC Topic No. 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, (ASC 820). ASC 820 improves disclosures about fair value measurements, requiring disclosures about valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements (class Level 2 or Level 3). Details regarding each class level, as defined by ASC 820, can be found in Note 5. In addition, more details are required regarding significant transfers between Levels 1 and 2 and the reasons for these transfers. New disclosures and clarifications regarding existing disclosures are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for details regarding purchases, sales, issuances and settlements in the activity roll forward of class Level 3 which is effective for

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

fiscal periods beginning after December 15, 2010 and interim periods within those fiscal periods. We adopted the first provision of ASC 820 and the adoption did not have a material impact on the Company's results of operations, financial position or liquidity. The Company adopted the second provision of ASC 820 on January 1, 2011 and the adoption did not have a material impact on its results of operations, financial position or liquidity.

Table of Contents***Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements***

In October 2009, the FASB approved for issuance ASC Subtopic No. 605-25, *Revenue Recognition Multiple-Element Arrangements*, (ASC 605-25). ASC 605-25 provides principles for allocation of consideration among its multiple-elements, allowing more flexibility in identifying and accounting for separate deliverables under an arrangement. It introduces an estimated selling price method for valuing the elements of a bundled arrangement if vendor-specific objective evidence or third-party evidence of selling price is not available, and significantly expands related disclosure requirements. ASC 605-25 is effective on a prospective basis for revenue arrangements entered into or materially modified in fiscal years beginning on or after June 15, 2010. Alternatively, adoption may be on a retrospective basis, and early application is permitted. The Company adopted ASC 605-25 on January 1, 2011 and the adoption did not have an impact on its results of operations, financial position or liquidity for all periods presented.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

We are exposed to financial market risk, including interest rate risk and foreign currency exchange risk.

Concentration of Revenue and Credit Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to concentrations of credit risk consist principally of cash and cash equivalents and trade receivables. Cash equivalents consist primarily of bank deposits and overnight repurchase agreements. Deposits of cash held outside the United States totaled approximately \$4.0 million and \$2.2 million at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

We grant credit to customers in the ordinary course of business. Credit evaluations are performed on an ongoing basis to reduce credit risk, and no collateral is required from our customers. An allowance for uncollectible accounts is provided for those accounts receivable considered to be uncollectible based upon historical experience and credit evaluation. As of September 30, 2011, one customer balance accounted for 15% of gross accounts receivable. As of December 31, 2010, two customers each individually accounted for 12% of our gross accounts receivable. Due to the nature of our quarterly revenue streams derived from royalty revenue, it is not unusual for our accounts receivable balances to include a few customers with large balances. Historically, we have not recorded material losses due to customers' nonpayment.

For the three months ended September 30, 2010, one customer accounted for 10% of our total revenue. No one customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenue for the three months ended September 30, 2011 or for the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Derivative Financial Instrument and Interest Rate Risk

We use interest rate derivative instruments to hedge our exposure to interest rate volatility resulting from our variable rate debt. ASC Topic No. 815, *Derivatives and Hedging*, or ASC 815, requires that all derivative instruments be reported on the balance sheet at fair value and establishes criteria for designation and effectiveness of hedging relationships, including a requirement that all designations must be made at the inception of each instrument. As we did not make such initial designations, changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recognized as current period income or expense.

The fair value of derivative instruments is estimated based on the amount that we would receive or pay to terminate the agreements at the reporting date. Our exposure to market risk associated with changes in interest rates relates primarily to our long term debt. The interest rate on our Credit Facility and previously, the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, both fluctuate with either the prime rate or the LIBOR interest rate. At September 30, 2011, the blended rate of interest on our outstanding debt was 2.5%. For each one percent increase in interest rates our interest expense would increase by \$0.5 million; however, this would be mitigated by our interest rate swap. We purchase interest rate swap instruments to hedge our exposure to interest rate fluctuations on our debt obligations. On May 24, 2010, we entered into a long term interest rate swap contract to pay a fixed rate of interest of 1.5% in exchange for a floating rate interest payment tied to the one-month LIBOR beginning January 2011. The contract has a notional amount of \$50.0 million with a \$20.0 million reduction in the notional amount in 2012 and matures on July 30, 2012. The total fair value of these financial instruments at September 30, 2011, and December 31, 2010, was a liability of approximately \$0.4 million and \$0.6 million, respectively. In the three months ended September 30, 2010, we recognized a loss of \$0.4 million. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we recognized losses of \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The losses have been included in (gain) loss on derivatives in our accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

Edgar Filing: Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. - Form 10-Q

In accordance with ASC Topic No. 830, *Foreign Currency Matters*, or ASC 830, all assets and liabilities of our foreign subsidiaries whose functional currency is a currency other than U.S. dollars are translated into U.S. dollars at an exchange rate as of the balance sheet date. Revenue and expenses of these subsidiaries are translated at the average monthly exchange rates. The resulting translation adjustments as calculated from the translation of our foreign subsidiaries to U.S. dollars are recorded as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

Table of Contents

We also incur foreign currency exchange gains and losses related to certain customers that are invoiced in U.S. dollars, but who have the option to make an equivalent payment in their own functional currencies at a specified exchange rate as of a specified date. In the period from that date until payment in the customer's functional currency is received and converted into U.S. dollars, we can incur unrealized gains and losses. To mitigate our exposure we utilize forward contracts with maturities of 90 days or less to hedge our exposure to these currency fluctuations. Any increase or decrease in the fair value of the forward contracts is offset by the change in the value of the hedged assets of our consolidated foreign affiliate. At September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no currency contracts outstanding.

In addition, we incur foreign currency exchange rate gains and losses on an intercompany note with one of our foreign subsidiaries that is denominated in Euros. At September 30, 2011, the note balance was approximately \$6.7 million. The effect of an immediate 10% strengthening of the U.S. dollar as compared to the Euro would result in a \$0.7 million unrealized transaction loss on this note receivable which would be reported in loss (gain) on foreign exchange within our results of operations; however, this would be mitigated by our currency swap. On May 7, 2008, we entered into a long term currency swap contract to purchase 18.3 million Euros in exchange for \$28.0 million to mitigate our exposure to currency fluctuation risk on this note. The contract payment terms approximate the payment terms of this intercompany note and the notional amount is amortized down over time as payments are made. The total fair value of the currency swap instrument at September 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$0.9 million and \$1.5 million, respectively. For the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we incurred a gain of \$0.5 million and a loss of \$1.2 million, respectively, on the currency swap contract. For the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we incurred a loss of \$0.3 million and a gain of \$1.0 million, respectively, on the currency swap contract. The losses and gain on the currency swap are included in (gain) loss on derivatives in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

(Gains) and losses on the intercompany note are included in loss (gain) on foreign exchange in the accompanying condensed statements of income, and were a net loss of \$0.6 million and net gains of \$1.3 million for the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. In the nine months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, we incurred a gain of \$0.2 million and a loss of \$1.0 million, respectively, on the intercompany note.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2011. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act, means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or the Exchange Act, is accumulated and communicated to the company's management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives, and management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide a reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives.

Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2011, our principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of such date, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended September 30, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

From time to time, we may be a party to various claims, suits and complaints. We are not currently a party to any legal proceedings that, if determined adversely to us, would have a material adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Except as noted below, there are no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in Part I, Item 1A of our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

We conduct a substantial portion of our business outside North America and, as a result, we face diverse risks related to engaging in international business.

We have offices in five foreign countries and we are dedicating a significant portion of our sales efforts in countries outside North America. We are dependent on international sales for a substantial amount of our total revenue. In the three months ended September 30, 2011 and 2010, approximately 51.9% and 63.2%, respectively, of our total revenue was derived from operations outside the U.S. and we expect that international sales will continue to represent a substantial portion of our revenue for the foreseeable future. This future international revenue will depend on the continued use and expansion of our text imaging solutions, including the licensing of our technologies and fonts worldwide.

We are subject to the risks of conducting business internationally, including:

our ability to enforce our contractual and intellectual property rights, especially in those foreign countries that do not respect and protect intellectual property rights to the same extent that the United States does, which increases the risk of unauthorized and uncompensated use of our text imaging solutions;

United States and foreign government trade restrictions, including those that may impose restrictions on importation of programming, technology or components to or from the United States;

foreign government taxes, regulations and permit requirements, including foreign taxes that we may not be able to offset against taxes imposed upon us in the United States, and foreign tax and other laws limiting our ability to repatriate funds to the United States;

foreign labor laws, regulations and restrictions;

changes in diplomatic and trade relationships;

difficulty in staffing and managing foreign operations;

political instability, natural disasters including the impact of the earthquakes and related events in Japan, war and/or events of terrorism; and

the strength of international economies.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds****(a) Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities**

None.

(b) Use of proceeds

Not applicable.

(c) Purchases of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

The following table provides information about purchases by the Company during the quarter ended September 30, 2011 of equity securities that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act:

Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc. Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Maximum Number (or Approximate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet be Purchased | |
|--|--|---------------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| | | | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Under the Plans or Programs |
| July 1, 2011 to July 31, 2011 | | \$ | | |
| August 1, 2011 to August 31, 2011 ⁽¹⁾ | 344 | 0.00 | | |
| September 1, 2011 to September 30, 2011 | | | | |
| Total | 344 | \$ 0.00 | | |

- (1) The Company repurchased unvested restricted stock in accordance with the 2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. The price paid by the Company was determined pursuant to the terms of the 2007 Stock Option and Incentive Plan and related restricted stock agreement.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not applicable.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved**Item 5. Other Information**

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

The exhibits listed in the Exhibit Index immediately preceding the exhibits are filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and such Exhibit Index is incorporated herein by reference.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MONOTYPE IMAGING HOLDINGS INC.

Date: November 1, 2011

By: */s/* DOUGLAS J. SHAW
Douglas J. Shaw
President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: November 1, 2011

By: */s/* SCOTT E. LANDERS
Scott E. Landers
Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, Treasurer and
Assistant Secretary (Principal Financial Officer)

Table of Contents**EXHIBIT INDEX**

Listed and indexed below are all exhibits filed as part of this report.

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|--------------------|--|
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement by and among Monotype Imaging Holdings Inc., as Parent, Monotype Imaging Inc., as Borrower, the Lenders (as defined therein) and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC, as Agent, dated as of July 13, 2011. (1) |
| 10.2 | General Continuing Guaranty by and among the Guarantors (as defined therein) and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC, dated as of July 13, 2011. (1) |
| 10.3 | Security Agreement by and among the Grantors (as defined therein) and Wells Fargo Capital Finance, LLC, dated as of July 13, 2011. (1) |
| 31.1 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Chief Executive Officer. * |
| 31.2 | Certification pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Chief Financial Officer. * |
| 32.1 | Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, by Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. *** |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document** |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document** |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document** |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document** |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document** |

(1) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on July 19, 2011.

* Filed herewith.

** XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language) information is furnished and not filed or a part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, is deemed not filed for purposes of section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and otherwise is not subject to liability under these sections.

*** Furnished herewith.