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## Item 1. Financial Statements

## AmeriServ Financial, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)<br>(Unaudited)

|  | June 30, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from depository institutions | \$ | 18,389 | \$ | 14,160 |
| Interest bearing deposits |  | 1,721 |  | 1,716 |
| Short-term investments in money market funds |  | 2,617 |  | 3,461 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 22,727 |  | 19,337 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale |  | 189,661 |  | 164,811 |
| Held to maturity (fair value \$9,568 on June 30, 2011 and \$8,267 on December 31, 2010) |  | 9,109 |  | 7,824 |
| Loans held for sale |  | 2,486 |  | 7,405 |
| Loans |  | 654,734 |  | 671,253 |
| Less: Unearned income |  | 382 |  | 477 |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | 16,958 |  | 19,765 |
| Net loans |  | 637,394 |  | 651,011 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 10,545 |  | 10,485 |
| Accrued income receivable |  | 3,671 |  | 3,210 |
| Goodwill |  | 12,613 |  | 12,950 |
| Bank owned life insurance |  | 34,901 |  | 34,466 |
| Net deferred tax asset |  | 13,853 |  | 16,058 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock |  | 6,528 |  | 7,233 |
| Federal Reserve Bank stock |  | 2,125 |  | 2,125 |
| Prepaid federal deposit insurance |  | 2,201 |  | 3,073 |
| Other assets |  | 7,079 |  | 8,986 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 954,893 | \$ | 948,974 |


| LIABILITIES |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Non-interest bearing deposits | 131,464 | $\$$ |
| Interest bearing deposits | 678,618 | 127,870 |
|  |  | 673,346 |
| Total deposits | 810,082 | 801,216 |
|  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings | 9,722 | 4,550 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank | 13,085 | 9,750 |
| Guaranteed junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures | 22,807 | 13,085 |
| Total borrowed funds | 10,594 | 27,385 |
| Other liabilities |  | 13,315 |


| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 843,483 |  |  | 841,916 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, no par value; $\$ 1,000$ per share liquidation preference; $2,000,000$ shares authorized; 21,000 shares issued and outstanding on June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. |  | 20,724 |  | 20,669 |
| Common stock, par value $\$ 0.01$ per share; $30,000,000$ shares authorized; $26,397,040$ shares issued and 21,208,421 outstanding on June 30, 2011; 26,396,289 shares issued and 21,207,670 outstanding on December 31, 2010 |  | 264 |  | 264 |
| Treasury stock at cost, 5,188,619 shares on June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 |  | $(68,659)$ |  | $(68,659)$ |
| Capital surplus |  | 145,056 |  | 145,045 |
| Retained earnings |  | 17,222 |  | 14,601 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net |  | $(3,197)$ |  | $(4,862)$ |
| TOTAL SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  | 111,410 |  | 107,058 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ | 954,893 | \$ | 948,974 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## AmeriServ Financial, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands)<br>(Unaudited)

|  | Three months ended |  | Six months ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2011 | June 30, 2010 | June 30, <br> 2011 | June 30, 2010 |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| Interest and fees on loans | \$ 8,804 | \$ 9,984 | \$ 17,887 | \$ 20,004 |
| Interest bearing deposits |  |  |  | 1 |
| Short-term investments in money market funds | 2 | 4 | 5 | 7 |
| Federal funds sold | 3 | 1 | 7 | 2 |
| Investment securities: |  |  |  |  |
| Available for sale | 1,617 | 1,353 | 3,028 | 2,675 |
| Held to maturity | 104 | 108 | 199 | 226 |
| Total Interest Income | 10,530 | 11,450 | 21,126 | 22,915 |


| INTEREST EXPENSE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Deposits | 2,106 | 2,833 | 4,400 | 5,760 |
| Short-term borrowings | 1 | 4 | 2 | 13 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank | 57 | 125 | 112 | 253 |
| Guaranteed junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures | 280 | 280 | 560 | 560 |
| Total Interest Expense | 2,444 | 3,242 | 5,074 | 6,586 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME | 8,086 | 8,208 | 16,052 | 16,329 |
| Provision (credit) for loan losses | $(1,175)$ | 1,200 | $(1,775)$ | 4,250 |
| NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION (CREDIT) FOR LOAN LOSSES | 9,261 | 7,008 | 17,827 | 12,079 |


| NON-INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Trust fees | 1,617 | 1,373 | 3,173 | 2,827 |
| Investment advisory fees | 198 | 167 | 396 | 354 |
| Net realized (losses) gains on investment securities |  | 45 | $(358)$ | 107 |
| Net gains on loans held for sale | 549 | 159 | 417 | 290 |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 611 | 1,021 | 1,183 |  |
| Bank owned life insurance | 717 | 258 | 435 | 512 |
| Other income | 778 | 1,475 | 1,415 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Non-Interest Income | 3,454 | 3,388 | 6,559 | 6,688 |

NON-INTEREST EXPENSE

| Salaries and employee benefits | 5,574 | 5,236 | 11,074 | 10,435 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Net occupancy expense | 742 | 639 | 1,499 | 1,375 |
| Equipment expense | 411 | 427 | 840 | 845 |
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| Professional fees |  | 911 |  | 1,114 |  | 1,891 |  | 2,216 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Supplies, postage and freight |  | 220 |  | 257 |  | 459 |  | 541 |
| Miscellaneous taxes and insurance |  | 331 |  | 353 |  | 680 |  | 707 |
| Federal deposit insurance expense |  | 460 |  | 341 |  | 922 |  | 672 |
| Other expense |  | 1,228 |  | 1,419 |  | 2,431 |  | 2,759 |
| Total Non-Interest Expense |  | 9,877 |  | 9,786 |  | 19,796 |  | 19,550 |
| PRETAX INCOME (LOSS) |  | 2,838 |  | 610 |  | 4,590 |  | (783) |
| Provision for income tax expense (benefit) |  | 900 |  | 133 |  | 1,389 |  | (342) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) |  | 1,938 |  | 477 |  | 3,201 |  | (441) |
| Preferred stock dividends and accretion of preferred stock discount |  | 290 |  | 290 |  | 580 |  | 581 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE TO COMMON SHAREHOLDERS | \$ | 1,648 | \$ | 187 |  | 2,621 |  | (1,022) |
| PER COMMON SHARE DATA: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.01 |  | 0.12 |  | (0.05) |
| Average number of shares outstanding |  | 21,208 |  | 21,224 |  | 21,208 |  | 21,224 |
| Diluted: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) | \$ | 0.08 | \$ | 0.01 |  | 0.12 |  | (0.05) |
| Average number of shares outstanding |  | 21,236 |  | 21,245 |  | 21,233 |  | 21,231 |
| Cash dividends declared | \$ | 0.00 | \$ | 0.00 |  | 0.00 |  | \$ 0.00 |
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## AmeriServ Financial, Inc.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(In thousands)<br>(Unaudited)



| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 2,214 | $(10,754)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 3,390 | 7,377 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT JANUARY 1 | 19,337 | 26,308 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT JUNE 30 | $\$ 22,727$ | $\$ 3$ |

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.
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## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## 1. Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of AmeriServ Financial, Inc. (the Company) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, AmeriServ Financial Bank (Bank), AmeriServ Trust and Financial Services Company (Trust Company), and AmeriServ Life Insurance Company (AmeriServ Life). The Bank is a state-chartered full service bank with 18 locations in Pennsylvania. The Trust Company offers a complete range of trust and financial services and administers assets valued at $\$ 1.4$ billion that are not recognized on the Company s balance sheet at June 30, 2011. AmeriServ Life is a captive insurance company that engages in underwriting as a reinsurer of credit life and disability insurance.

In addition, the Parent Company is an administrative group that provides support in such areas as audit, finance, investments, loan review, general services, and marketing. Significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in preparing the consolidated financial statements.

## 2. Basis of Preparation

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting only of normal recurring entries considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. They are not, however, necessarily indicative of the results of consolidated operations for a full-year.

For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## 3. Accounting Policies

In April 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-02, Receivables (Topic 310): A Creditor s Determination of Whether a Restructuring Is a Troubled Debt Restructuring. The amendments in this Update provide additional guidance or clarification to help creditors in determining whether a creditor has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties for purposes of determining whether a restructuring constitutes a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments in this Update are effective for the first interim or annual reporting period beginning on or after June 15,2011 , and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning annual period of adoption. As a result of applying these amendments, an entity may identify receivables that are newly considered impaired. For purposes of measuring impairment of those receivables, an entity should apply the amendments prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

In June 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income. The amendments in this Update improve the comparability, clarity, consistency, and transparency of financial reporting and increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income. To increase the prominence of items reported in other comprehensive income and to
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facilitate convergence of U.S. GAAP and IFRS, the option to present components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in stockholders equity was eliminated. The amendments require that all non-owner changes in stockholders equity be presented either in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or in two separate but consecutive statements. In the two-statement approach, the first statement should present total net income and its components followed consecutively by a second statement that should present total other comprehensive income, the components of other comprehensive income, and the total of comprehensive income. All entities that report items of comprehensive income, in any period presented, will be affected by the changes in this Update. For public entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011. For nonpublic entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2012, and interim and annual periods thereafter. The amendments in this Update should be applied retrospectively, and early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company s financial position or results of operations.

## 4. Earnings Per Common Share

Basic earnings per share include only the weighted average common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share include the weighted average common shares outstanding and any potentially dilutive common stock equivalent shares in the calculation. Treasury shares are treated as retired for earnings per share purposes. Options and warrants to purchase $1,478,417$ common shares, at exercise prices ranging from $\$ 2.20$ to $\$ 6.10$, and $1,570,209$ common shares, at exercise prices ranging from $\$ 1.80$ to $\$ 6.10$, were outstanding as of June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share because to do so would be antidilutive. Options to purchase 144,195 common shares at exercise prices ranging from $\$ 1.53$ to $\$ 2.07$ were outstanding as of June 30,2011 , and were included in the computation of dilutive earnings per common share. Dividends and accretion of discount on preferred shares are deducted from net income in the calculation of earnings per common share.

|  | Three months ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Six months ended |  |  |  |
|  |  | $2011 \mathrm{~J}$ | 30, | 2010 <br> usands, | pe | $2011$ |  | 2010 |
| Numerator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income (loss) |  | 1,938 |  | 477 | S | 3,201 |  | (441) |
| Preferred stock dividends and accretion of preferred stock discount |  | 290 |  | 290 |  | 580 |  | 581 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders |  | 1,648 |  | 187 | \$ | 2,621 |  | $(1,022)$ |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (basic) |  | 21,208 |  | 21,224 |  | 21,208 |  | 21,224 |
| Effect of stock options/warrants |  | 28 |  | 21 |  | 25 |  | 7 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding (diluted) |  | 21,236 |  | 21,245 |  | 21,233 |  | 21,231 |
| Earnings (Loss) per common share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic |  | 0.08 |  | 0.01 | \$ | 0.12 |  | (0.05) |
| Diluted |  | 0.08 |  | 0.01 |  | 0.12 |  | (0.05) |
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## 5. Comprehensive Income

For the Company, comprehensive income includes net income and unrealized holding gains and losses from available for sale investment securities and the pension obligation change for the defined benefit plan. The changes in other comprehensive income are reported net of income taxes, as follows (in thousands):

|  | Three months ended June 30, |  | Six months ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ 1,938 | \$ 477 | \$ 3,201 | \$ (441) |
| Other comprehensive income, before tax: |  |  |  |  |
| Pension obligation change for defined benefit plan | 201 | 142 | 506 | 284 |
| Income tax effect | (68) | (48) | (172) | (97) |
| Reclassification adjustment for (gains) losses on available for sale securities included in net (income) loss |  | (42) | 358 | (107) |
| Income tax effect |  | 14 | (123) | 36 |
| Unrealized holding gains on available for sale securities arising during period | 2,161 | 2,016 | 1,661 | 2,395 |
| Income tax effect | (735) | (685) | (565) | (814) |
| Other comprehensive income | 1,559 | 1,397 | 1,665 | 1,697 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 3,497 | \$ 1,874 | \$ 4,866 | \$ 1,256 |

## 6. Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows

On a consolidated basis, cash and cash equivalents include cash and due from depository institutions, interest-bearing deposits, federal funds sold and short-term investments in money market funds. The Company made $\$ 19,000$ in income tax payments in the first six months of 2011 as compared to $\$ 69,000$ for the first six months of 2010 . The Company made total interest payments of $\$ 6,050,000$ in the first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 7,619,000$ in the same 2010 period.

## 7. Investment Securities

The cost basis and fair values of investment securities are summarized as follows (in thousands):

## Investment securities available for sale (AFS):

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost <br> Basis | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |
| U.S. Agency | \$ 13,821 | \$ | 67 | \$ | (14) | \$ 13,874 |
| U.S. Agency mortgage- backed securities | 170,693 |  | 5,153 |  | (59) | 175,787 |
| Total | \$ 184,514 | \$ | 5,220 | \$ | (73) | \$ 189,661 |

## Investment securities held to maturity (HTM):

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost <br> Basis | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value |
| U.S. Agency mortgage- backed securities | \$ 8,109 | \$ | 465 | \$ |  | \$ 8,574 |
| Other securities | 1,000 |  |  |  | (6) | 994 |
| Total | \$ 9,109 | \$ | 465 | \$ | (6) | \$ 9,568 |
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## Investment securities available for sale (AFS):

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cost <br> Basis | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains |  | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |
| U.S. Agency | \$ 15,956 | \$ | 57 | \$ | (69) | \$ 15,944 |
| U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities | 145,727 |  | 3,714 |  | (574) | 148,867 |
| Total | \$ 161,683 | \$ | 3,771 | \$ | (643) | \$ 164,811 |

## Investment securities held to maturity (HTM):

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost <br> Basis | Gross <br> Unrealized <br> Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |
| U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities | \$ 6,824 | \$ | 452 | \$ |  | \$7,276 |
| Other securities | 1,000 |  |  |  | (9) | 991 |
| Total | \$7,824 | \$ | 452 | \$ | (9) | \$8,267 |

Maintaining investment quality is a primary objective of the Company s investment policy which, subject to certain limited exceptions, prohibits the purchase of any investment security below a Moody s Investor s Service or Standard \& Poor s rating of A. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, $2010,99.5 \%$ of the portfolio was rated AAA.None of the portfolio was rated below A or unrated at June 30, 2011. At June 30, 2011, the Company s consolidated investment securities portfolio had a modified duration of approximately 2.71 years. Total proceeds from the sale of AFS securities were $\$ 16.5$ million in the first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 1.8$ million for the first six months of 2010. The gross losses on investment security sales in the first six months of 2011 were $\$ 358,000$ compared to $\$ 107,000$ of gross investment security gains for the first six months of 2010.

The following tables present information concerning investments with unrealized losses as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 (in thousands):

## Investment securities available for sale:

|  | June 30, 2011 <br> 12 months or |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value |  | alized sses |
| U.S. Agency | \$ 5,334 | \$ | (14) | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,334 | \$ | (14) |
| U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities | 12,229 |  | (59) |  |  | 12,229 |  | (59) |
| Total | \$ 17,563 | \$ | (73) | \$ | \$ | \$ 17,563 | \$ | (73) |

## Investment securities held to maturity:

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unrealized |  | Fair |  |  | Fair <br> Value |  |  |
| Other securities | \$ | \$ | \$ |  | \$ | (6) | \$ 994 | \$ | (6) |
| Total | \$ | \$ | \$ | 994 | \$ | (6) | \$ 994 | \$ | (6) |

## Investment securities available for sale:

|  | Less than 12 months $\begin{gathered}\text { December 31, 2010 } \\ 12 \text { months or } \\ \text { longer }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair <br> Value |  | ealized osses | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair <br> Value |  | alized sses |
| U.S. Agency | \$ 4,204 | \$ | (69) | \$ | \$ | \$ 4,204 | \$ | (69) |
| U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities | 38,202 |  | (574) |  |  | 38,202 |  | (574) |
| Total | \$ 42,406 | \$ | (643) | \$ | \$ | \$ 42,406 | \$ | (643) |
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## Investment securities held to maturity:

|  | December 31, 2010Less than 12 months12 months or longer |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair <br> Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value |  | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |  |  |
| Other securities | \$ |  |  | 991 | \$ | (9) | \$ 991 | \$ | (9) |
| Total | \$ | \$ |  | 991 | \$ | (9) | \$ 991 | \$ | (9) |

The unrealized losses are primarily a result of increases in market yields from the time of purchase. In general, as market yields rise, the value of securities will decrease; as market yields fall, the fair value of securities will increase. There are 14 positions that are considered temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011. Management generally views changes in fair value caused by changes in interest rates as temporary; therefore, these securities have not been classified as other-than-temporarily impaired. Management has also concluded that based on current information we expect to continue to receive scheduled interest payments as well as the entire principal balance. Furthermore, we do not intend to sell these securities and do not believe we will be required to sell these securities before they recover in value.

Contractual maturities of securities at June 30, 2011, are shown below (in thousands). Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without prepayment penalties.

| Maturity | Available for Sale |  | Held to Maturity |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost | Fair | Cost | Fair |  |
|  | Basis | Value | Basis | Value |  |
| 0-1 year | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ | $\$$ |  |
| $1-5$ years | 12,321 | 12,379 | 1,000 | 994 |  |
| 5-10 years | 19,422 | 20,430 |  |  |  |
| $10-15$ years | 83,581 | 85,496 |  |  |  |
| Over 15 years | 69,190 | 71,356 | 8,109 | 8,574 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 184,514$ | $\$ 189,661$ | $\$ 9,109$ | $\$ 9,568$ |  |

## 8. Loans

The loan portfolio of the Company consists of the following (in thousands):

|  | June 30, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Commercial | $\$ 75,709$ | $\$$ | 78,322 |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate | 353,015 | 369,904 |  |
| Real estate mortgage | 207,406 | 203,317 |  |
| Consumer | 18,222 |  | 19,233 |
|  |  |  |  |
| Loans, net of unearned income | $\$ 654,352$ | $\$$ | 670,776 |

Loan balances at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are net of unearned income of $\$ 382,000$ and $\$ 477,000$, respectively.
Real estate-construction loans comprised 2.6\%, and 3.9\% of total loans, net of unearned income, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively. The Company has no exposure to sub prime mortgage loans in either the loan or investment portfolios.
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## 9. Allowance for Loan Losses

An analysis of the changes in the allowance for loan losses follows (in thousands, except ratios):

|  | Three months ended June 30, |  | Six months ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 18,025 | \$ 21,516 | \$ 19,765 | \$ 19,685 |
| Charge-offs: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | (243) | (166) | (942) | (205) |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate |  | $(1,758)$ | (638) | $(2,951)$ |
| Real estate-mortgage | (29) | (78) | (40) | (158) |
| Consumer | (77) | (50) | (110) | (134) |
| Total charge-offs | (349) | $(2,052)$ | $(1,730)$ | $(3,448)$ |
| Recoveries: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 364 | 49 | 524 | 153 |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate | 65 |  | 66 | 37 |
| Real estate-mortgage | 2 | 3 | 26 | 5 |
| Consumer | 26 | 21 | 82 | 55 |
| Total recoveries | 457 | 73 | 698 | 250 |
| Net recoveries (charge-offs) | 108 | $(1,979)$ | $(1,032)$ | $(3,198)$ |
| Provision (credit) for loan losses | $(1,175)$ | 1,200 | $(1,775)$ | 4,250 |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 16,958 | \$ 20,737 | \$ 16,958 | \$ 20,737 |

As a percent of average loans and loans held for sale, net of unearned income:

| Annualized net (recoveries) charge-offs | $(0.07) \%$ | $1.13 \%$ | $0.32 \%$ | $0.91 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Annualized provision (credit) for loan losses <br> Allowance as a percent of loans and loans held for sale, net of <br> unearned income at period end | $(0.72)$ | 0.68 | $(0.55)$ | 1.20 |

The following tables summarize the rollforward of the allowance for loan loss by portfolio segment (in thousands).

|  | Balance at <br> December 31, 2010 |  | ChargeOffs |  | Recoveries |  | Provision (Credit) | Balance at June 30, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | \$ | 3,851 | \$ | (942) | \$ | 524 | \$ 1,121 | \$ | 4,554 |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate |  | 12,717 |  | (638) |  | 66 | $(2,918)$ |  | 9,227 |
| Real estate- mortgage |  | 1,117 |  | (40) |  | 26 | 191 |  | 1,294 |
| Consumer |  | 206 |  | (110) |  | 82 | 15 |  | 193 |
| Allocation for general risk |  | 1,874 |  |  |  |  | (184) |  | 1,690 |
| Total | \$ | 19,765 |  | (1,730) | \$ | 698 | \$ $(1,775)$ |  | 16,958 |

The following tables summarize the primary segments of the loan portfolio (in thousands).

|  | At June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Commercial <br> Loans Secured By Real Estate |  | Real Estate- <br> Mortgage | Consumer | Total |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 24 | \$ | 5,832 | \$ | \$ | \$ 5,856 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 75,685 |  | 347,183 | 207,406 | 18,222 | 648,496 |
| Total loans | \$ 75,709 | \$ | 353,015 | \$ 207,406 | \$ 18,222 | \$ 654,352 |


|  | At June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Commercial Loans Secured By Real Estate |  | Real EstateMortgage |  | Consumer |  | Allocation for General Risk |  | Total |
| Specific reserve allocation | \$ | \$ | 2,014 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ 2,014 |
| General reserve allocation | 4,554 |  | 7,213 |  | 1,294 |  | 193 |  | 1,690 | 14,944 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$ 4,554 | \$ | 9,227 | \$ | 1,294 | \$ | 193 | \$ | 1,690 | \$ 16,958 |
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|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | mme |  | Real EstateMortgage |  | Consumer | Total |
|  |  |  | s Secured eal Estate |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ 4,065 | \$ | 8,082 | \$ |  | \$ | \$ 12,147 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 74,257 |  | 361,822 |  | 203,317 | 19,233 | 658,629 |
| Total loans | \$ 78,322 | \$ | 369,904 | \$ | 203,317 | \$ 19,233 | \$ 670,776 |


|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Commercial <br> Loans Secured By Real Estate |  | Real EstateMortgage |  | Consumer |  | Allocation for General Risk |  | Total |
| Specific reserve allocation | \$ 1,905 | \$ | 1,901 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ 3,806 |
| General reserve allocation | 1,946 |  | 10,816 |  | 1,117 |  | 206 |  | 1,874 | 15,959 |
| Total allowance for loan losses | \$ 3,851 | \$ | 12,717 | \$ | 1,117 | \$ | 206 | \$ | 1,874 | \$ 19,765 |

The segments of the Company s loan portfolio are disaggregated to a level that allows management to monitor risk and performance. The overall risk profile for the commercial loan segment is driven by non-owner occupied commercial real estate (CRE) loans, which include loans secured by non-owner occupied nonfarm nonresidential properties, as the majority of the commercial portfolio is centered in these types of accounts. The residential mortgage loan segment is comprised of first lien amortizing residential mortgage loans and home equity loans. The consumer loan segment consists primarily of installment loans and overdraft lines of credit connected with customer deposit accounts.

Management evaluates for possible impairment any individual loan in the commercial segment with a loan balance in excess of $\$ 100,000$ that is in nonaccrual status or classified as a Troubled Debt Restructure (TDR). Loans are considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in evaluating impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower s prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. The Company does not separately evaluate individual consumer and residential mortgage loans for impairment, unless such loans are part of a larger relationship that is impaired, or are classified as a TDR agreement.

Once the determination has been made that a loan is impaired, the determination of whether a specific allocation of the allowance is necessary is measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the fair value of the loan using one of three methods: (a) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan s effective interest rate; (b) the loan s observable market price; or (c) the fair value of the collateral less selling costs for collateral dependent loans. The method is selected on a loan-by loan basis, with management primarily utilizing the fair value of collateral method. The evaluation of the need and amount of a specific allocation of the allowance and whether a loan can be removed from impairment status is made on a quarterly basis. The Company s policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition.

The need for an updated appraisal on collateral dependent loans is determined on a case by case basis. The useful life of an appraisal or evaluation will vary depending upon the circumstances of the property and the economic conditions in the marketplace. A new appraisal is not required if there is an existing appraisal which, along with other information, is sufficient to determine a reasonable value for the property and to support an appropriate and adequate allowance for loan losses. At a minimum, annual documented reevaluation of the property is completed by the bank s Assigned Risk department to support the value of the property.
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When reviewing an appraisal associated with an existing real estate transaction, the Assigned Risk department must determine if there have been material changes to the underlying assumptions in the appraisal which affect the original estimate of value. Some of the factors that could cause material changes to reported values include:
the passage of time;
the volatility of the local market;
the availability of financing;
natural disasters;
the inventory of competing properties;
new improvements to, or lack of maintenance of, the subject property or competing properties upon physical inspection by the bank;
changes in underlying economic and market assumptions, such as material changes in current and projected vacancy, absorption rates, capitalization rates, lease terms, rental rates, sales prices, concessions, construction overruns and delays, zoning changes, etc.; and
environmental contamination.
The value of the property is adjusted to appropriately reflect the above listed factors and the value is discounted to reflect the value impact of a forced or distressed sale, any outstanding senior liens, any outstanding unpaid real estate taxes, transfer taxes and closing costs that would occur with sale of the real estate. If the Assigned Risk department personnel determine that a reasonable value cannot be derived based on available information, a new appraisal is ordered. The determination of the need for a new appraisal, versus completion of a property valuation by the bank s Assigned Risk department personnel rests with the Assigned Risk department and not the originating account officer.

The following tables present impaired loans by class, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and those for which a specific allowance was not necessary (in thousands).

|  | $\text { June 30, } 2011$Impaired |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Impaired Loans with Specific Allowance |  | Loans with No Specific |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Allowance Recorded |  | Total Impaired Loans |  |  |
|  | Recorded <br> Investment | Related Allowance | Investment |  | Recorded Unpaid Principal <br> Investment Balance |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ | \$ | \$ | 24 | \$ 24 | \$ | 731 |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate | 5,832 | 2,014 |  |  | 5,832 |  | 6,655 |
| Total impaired loans | \$ 5,832 | \$ 2,014 | \$ | 24 | \$ 5,856 | \$ | 7,386 |
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The following table presents the average recorded investment in impaired loans and related interest income recognized for the periods indicated (in thousands).

|  | Three months ended |  | Six months ended |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, |  | 2010 | June 30, |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |  |  |
| Average investment in impaired loans | $\$ 6,636$ | $\$ 17,725$ | $\$ 9,001$ | $\$ 16,393$ |
| Interest income recognized on a cash basis on impaired loans |  | 121 | 173 | 242 |

Management uses a ten point internal risk rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first six categories are considered not criticized. The first five Pass categories are aggregated, while the Pass 6, Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful categories are disaggregated to separate pools. The criticized rating categories utilized by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The Special Mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a Substandard classification. Loans in the Substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt, and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than 90 days past due, or for which any portion of the loan represents a specific allocation of the allowance for loan losses are placed in Substandard or Doubtful.

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Company has a structured loan rating process, which dictates that, at a minimum, credit reviews are mandatory for all commercial and commercial mortgage loan relationships with aggregate balances in excess of $\$ 250,000$ within a 12 -month period. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the Pass categories unless a specific action, such as bankruptcy, delinquency, or death occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. The Company s commercial relationship managers are responsible for the timely and accurate risk rating of the loans in their portfolios at origination and on an ongoing basis. Risk ratings are assigned by the account officer, but require independent review and rating concurrence from the Company s internal Loan Review Department. The Loan Review Department is an experienced independent function which reports directly to the Board Audit Committee. The scope of commercial portfolio coverage by the Loan Review Department is defined and presented to the Audit Committee for approval on an annual basis. The anticipated scope of coverage for 2011 requires a minimum range-of-coverage of $60 \%$ to $70 \%$ of the commercial loan portfolio.

In addition to loan monitoring by the account officer and Loan Review Department, the Company also requires presentation of all credits rated Pass-6 with aggregate balances greater than $\$ 1,000,000$, all credits rated Special Mention or Substandard with aggregate balances greater than $\$ 250,000$, and all credits rated Doubtful with aggregate balances greater than $\$ 100,000$ on an individual basis to the Company s Loan Loss Reserve Committee on a quarterly basis.

The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate Pass and the criticized categories of Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful within the internal risk rating system (in thousands).
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|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Special <br> Mention | Substandard |  | Doubtful | Total |
| Commercial | \$ 72,372 | \$ 237 | \$ | 3,100 | \$ | \$ 75,709 |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate | 303,336 | 26,034 |  | 23,244 | 401 | 353,015 |
| Total | \$ 375,708 | \$ 26,271 | \$ | 26,344 | \$ 401 | \$ 428,724 |


|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Pass | Special <br> Mention |  | standard | Doubtful | Total |
| Commercial | \$ 61,961 | \$ 8,797 |  | 5,793 | \$ 1,771 | \$ 78,322 |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate | 306,555 | 33,165 |  | 29,754 | 430 | 369,904 |
| Total | \$ 368,516 | \$ 41,962 | \$ | 35,547 | \$ 2,201 | \$ 448,226 |

It is the policy of the bank that the outstanding balance of any residential mortgage loan that exceeds 90 -days past due as to principal and/or interest is transferred to non-accrual status and an evaluation is completed to determine the fair value of the collateral less selling costs. A charge down is recorded for any deficiency balance determined from the collateral evaluation. The remaining non-accrual balance is reported as impaired with no specific allowance. It is the policy of the bank that the outstanding balance of any consumer loan that exceeds 90 -days past due as to principal and/or interest is charged off.

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, 2011 } \\ \\ \\ \text { Real estate- mortgage } \\ \text { Consumer }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performing | (In thousands) |  |  |$)$


|  | December 31, 2010 <br>  <br>  <br> Neal estate- mortgage |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Performing <br> (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Consumer | $\$ 201,438$ | $\$$ | 1,879 |
| Total | 19,233 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Management further monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the portfolio as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due. The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aging categories of performing loans and nonaccrual loans (in thousands).

|  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { ee } 30,2011 \\ 90 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Days |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Past |  |  |  |
|  | 30-59 Days | 60-89 Days | Due And | Total | Non- | Total |
| Current | Past Due | Past Due | Accruing | Past Due | Accrual | Loans |
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| Commercial | \$ 75,685 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 24 \$ 75,709 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate | 347,805 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,210 | 353,015 |
| Real estate- mortgage | 203,893 |  | 1,631 |  | 207 |  |  | 1,838 | 1,675 | 207,406 |
| Consumer | 18,118 |  | 83 |  | 21 |  |  | 104 |  | 18,222 |
| Total | \$ 645,501 | \$ | 1,714 | \$ | 228 | \$ | \$ | 1,942 | \$ 6,909 | \$ 654,352 |


|  | December 31, 201090 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Current | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 30-59 Days } \\ & \text { Past Due } \end{aligned}$ | 60-89 Days Past Due | Days Past Due And Accruing | Total Past Due | NonAccrual | Total <br> Loans |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ 74,643 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,679 | \$ 78,322 |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate | 362,890 | 283 |  |  | 283 | 6,731 | 369,904 |
| Real estate- mortgage | 199,003 | 1,892 | 543 |  | 2,435 | 1,879 | 203,317 |
| Consumer | 19,160 | 29 | 44 |  | 73 |  | 19,233 |
| Total | \$ 655,696 | \$ 2,204 | \$ 587 | \$ | \$ 2,791 | \$ 12,289 | \$ 670,776 |
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An allowance for loan losses ( ALL ) is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALL is based on management s continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss experience, and the amount of non-performing loans.

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. For general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative factors.

Management tracks the historical net charge-off activity at each risk rating grade level for the entire commercial portfolio and at the aggregate level for the consumer, residential mortgage and small business portfolios. A historical charge-off factor is calculated utilizing a rolling 12 consecutive historical quarters for the commercial portfolios. This historical charge-off factor for the consumer, residential mortgage and small business portfolios are based on a three year historical average of actual loss experience.

The Company uses a comprehensive methodology and procedural discipline to maintain an ALL to absorb inherent losses in the loan portfolio. The Company believes this is a critical accounting policy since it involves significant estimates and judgments. The allowance consists of three elements: 1) an allowance established on specifically identified problem loans, 2) formula driven general reserves established for loan categories based upon historical loss experience and other qualitative factors which include delinquency and non-performing loan trends, economic trends, concentrations of credit, trends in loan volume, experience and depth of management, examination and audit results, effects of any changes in lending policies, and trends in policy, financial information, and documentation exceptions, and 3) a general risk reserve which provides support for variance from our assessment of the previously listed qualitative factors, provides protection against credit risks resulting from other inherent risk factors contained in the Company s loan portfolio, and recognizes the model and estimation risk associated with the specific and formula driven allowances. The qualitative factors used in the formula driven general reserves are evaluated quarterly (and revised if necessary) by the Company s management to establish allocations which accommodate each of the listed risk factors.

Pass rated credits are segregated from Criticized credits for the application of qualitative factors.

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis using a defined, consistently applied process in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALL.
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## 10. Non-performing Assets Including Trouble Debt Restructurings

The following table presents information concerning non-performing assets including TDR (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | June 30, 2011 |  | December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual loans |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ | 24 | \$ | 3,679 |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate |  | 5,210 |  | 6,731 |
| Real estate-mortgage |  | 1,675 |  | 1,879 |
| Total |  | 6,909 |  | 12,289 |
| Other real estate owned |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial loans secured by real estate |  |  |  | 436 |
| Real estate-mortgage |  | 209 |  | 302 |
| Total |  | 209 |  | 738 |
| Total restructured loans not in non-accrual (TDR) |  | 315 |  | 1,337 |
| Total non-performing assets including TDR | \$ | 7,433 | \$ | 14,364 |
| Total non-performing assets as a percent of loans and loans held for sale, net of unearned income, and other real estate owned |  | 1.13\% |  | 2.12\% |

Consistent with accounting and regulatory guidance, the bank recognizes a TDR when the bank, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that would not normally be considered. Regardless of the form of concession granted, the bank s objective in offering a troubled debt restructure is to increase the probability of repayment of the borrower s loan.

To be considered a TDR, both of the following criteria must be met:
the borrower must be experiencing financial difficulties; and
the bank, for economic or legal reasons related to the borrower s financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that would not otherwise be considered.
Factors that indicate a borrower is experiencing financial difficulties include, but are not limited to:
the borrower is currently in default on their loan(s);
the borrower has filed for bankruptcy;
the borrower has insufficient cash flows to service their loan(s); $\underline{\text { and }}$
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the borrower is unable to obtain refinancing from other sources at a market rate similar to rates available to a non-troubled debtor. Factors that indicate that a concession has been granted include, but are not limited to:
the borrower is granted an interest rate reduction to a level below market rates for debt with similar risk; or
the borrower is granted a material maturity date extension, or extension of the amortization plan to provide payment relief. For purposes of this policy, a material maturity date extension will generally include any maturity date extension, or the aggregate of multiple consecutive maturity date extensions, that exceed 120 days. A restructuring that results in an insignificant delay in payment, i.e. 120 days or less, is not necessarily a TDR. Insignificant payment delays occur when the amount of the restructured payments subject to the delay is insignificant relative to the unpaid principal or collateral value, and will result in an insignificant shortfall in the originally scheduled contractual amount due, and/or the delay in timing of the restructured payment period is insignificant relative to the frequency of payments, the original maturity or the original amortization.

## Table of Contents

The determination of whether a restructured loan is a TDR requires consideration of all of the facts and circumstances surrounding the modification. No single factor is determinative of whether a restructuring is a TDR. An overall general decline in the economy or some deterioration in a borrower $s$ financial condition does not automatically mean that the borrower is experiencing financial difficulty. Accordingly, determination of whether a modification is a TDR involves a large degree of judgment.

Any loan modification where the borrower s aggregate exposure is at least $\$ 250,000$ and where the loan currently maintains a criticized or classified risk rating, i.e. OLEM, Substandard or Doubtful, or where the loan will be assigned a criticized or classified rating after the modification is evaluated to determine the need for TDR classification.

The following table details the TDRs at June 30, 2011 (dollars in thousands).

| Loans in accrual status | \# of <br> Loans | Current <br> Balance | Concession Granted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial loan secured by real estate | 1 | $\$$ | 315 | Extension of maturity date

The following table details the TDRs at December 31, 2010 (dollars in thousands).

| Loans in accrual status | \# of <br> Loans | Current <br> Balance | Concession Granted |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial loan secured by real estate | 2 | $\$ 1,337$ | Extension of maturity date |

Once a loan is classified as a TDR, this classification will remain until documented improvement in the financial position of the account supports confidence that all principal and interest will be paid according to terms. Additionally, the customer must have re-established a track record of timely payments according to the restructured contract terms for a minimum of six (6) consecutive months prior to consideration for removing the loan from TDR status. However, a loan will continue to be on non-accrual status until, consistent with our policy, the borrower has made a minimum of 12 consecutive payments in accordance with the terms of the loan.

As of June 30, 2011, both TDR loans reflected above in accrual status as of December 31, 2010 were repaid in full.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, (i) the gross interest income that would have been recorded if non-accrual loans had been current in accordance with their original terms and had been outstanding throughout the period or since origination if held for part of the period, (ii) the amount of interest income actually recorded on such loans, and (iii) the net reduction in interest income attributable to such loans (in thousands).
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|  | Three months ended June 30, |  | Six months ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Interest income due in accordance with original terms | \$ 94 | \$ 293 | \$ 229 | \$ 537 |
| Interest income recorded |  | (121) | (173) | (242) |
| Net reduction in interest income | \$ 94 | \$ 172 | \$ 56 | \$ 295 |

## 11. Federal Home Loan Bank Borrowings

Total Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) borrowings and advances consist of the following (in thousands, except percentages):

|  |  | At June 30, 2011 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | | Weighted |
| :---: |
| Average Rate |,$\%$


|  | At December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type | Maturing | Amount | Weighted Average Rate |
| Open Repo Plus | Overnight | \$ 4,550 | 0.62\% |
| Advances | 2012 | 4,000 | 1.82 |
|  | 2013 | 5,000 | 2.04 |
|  | 2016 and after | 750 | 6.44 |
| Total advances |  | 9,750 | 2.28 |
| Total FHLB borrowings |  | \$ 14,300 | 1.75\% |

The rate on Open Repo Plus advances can change daily, while the rates on the advances are fixed until the maturity of the advance.

## 12. Preferred Stock

On October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (initially introduced as the Troubled Asset Relief Program or TARP ) was enacted. On October 14, 2008, the U.S. Treasury announced its intention to inject capital into financial institutions under the TARP Capital Purchase Program (the CPP ). The CPP is a voluntary program designed to provide capital to healthy well managed financial institutions in order to increase the availability of credit to businesses and individuals and help stabilize the U.S. financial system.

On December 19, 2008, the Company sold to the U.S. Treasury for an aggregate purchase price of $\$ 21$ million in cash 21,000 shares of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D. In conjunction with the purchase of these senior preferred shares, the U.S. Treasury also
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received a warrant to purchase up to $1,312,500$ shares of the Company s common stock. The warrant has a term of 10 years and is exercisable at any time, in whole or in part, at an exercise price of $\$ 2.40$ per share. The $\$ 21$ million in proceeds was allocated to the Series D Preferred Stock and the warrant based on their relative fair values at issuance (approximately $\$ 20.4$ million was allocated to the Series D Preferred Stock and approximately $\$ 600,000$ to the warrant). The
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difference between the initial value allocated to the Series D Preferred Stock of approximately $\$ 20.4$ million and the liquidation value of $\$ 21$ million will be charged to retained earnings over the first five years of the contract. Cumulative dividends on Series D Preferred Stock are payable quarterly at $5 \%$ through December 19,2013 and at a rate of $9 \%$ thereafter. As a result of the decision by the Company to accept a preferred stock investment under the U.S. Treasury s CPP, for a period of three years ending December 19, 2011, the Company is no longer permitted to repurchase common stock or declare and pay dividends on common stock without the consent of the U.S. Treasury.

## 13. Regulatory Capital

The Company is subject to various capital requirements administered by the federal banking agencies. Under capital adequacy guidelines and the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action, the Company must meet specific capital guidelines that involve quantitative measures of the Company s assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The Company s capital amounts and classification are also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors. Failure to meet minimum capital requirements can initiate certain mandatory and possibly additional discretionary actions by regulators that, if undertaken, could have a direct material effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Company to maintain minimum amounts and ratios (set forth in the table below) of total and Tier 1 capital to risk-weighted assets, and of Tier 1 capital to average assets. As of June 30, 2011, the Federal Reserve categorized the Company as Well Capitalized under the regulatory framework for prompt corrective action. The Company believes that no conditions or events have occurred that would change this conclusion. To be categorized as well capitalized, the Company must maintain minimum total risk-based, Tier 1 risk-based, and Tier 1 leverage ratios as set forth in the table. Additionally, while not a regulatory capital ratio, the Company s tangible common equity ratio was $8.29 \%$ at June 30,2011 (in thousands, except ratios).

AS OF JUNE 30, 2011

|  |  |  |  |  | TO BE WELL CAPITALIZED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | FOR CAPITAL |  | UNDER PROMPT |  |
|  | ACTUAL |  | ADEQUACY PURPOSES |  | CORRECTIVE <br> ACTION PROVISIONS |  |
|  | AMOUNT | (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT RATIOS) |  |  |  | RATIO |
| Total Capital (To Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated | \$ 118,047 | 17.04\% | \$ 55,420 | 8.00\% | \$ 69,275 | 10.00\% |
| AmeriServ Financial Bank | 99,144 | 14.43 | 54,969 | 8.00 | 68,711 | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 Capital (To Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated | 109,276 | 15.77 | 27,710 | 4.00 | 41,565 | 6.00 |
| AmeriServ Financial Bank | 90,443 | 13.16 | 27,484 | 4.00 | 41,226 | 6.00 |
| Tier 1 Capital (To Average Assets) Consolidated | 109,276 | 11.60 | 37,689 | 4.00 | 47,112 | 5.00 |
| AmeriServ Financial Bank | 90,443 | 9.82 | 36,831 | 4.00 | 46,038 | 5.00 |
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AS OF DECEMBER 31, 2010
TO BE WELL
CAPITALIZED
UNDER

|  |  |  | FOR CAPITAL <br> ADEQUACY PURPOSES |  | UNDER PROMPT |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACTUAL |  |  |  | CORRECTIVE ACTION PROVISIONS |  |
|  | (IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT RATIOS) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Capital (To Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated | \$ 113,954 | 16.54\% | \$ 55,118 | 8.00\% | \$ 68,898 | 10.00\% |
| AmeriServ Financial Bank | 92,172 | 13.57 | 54,333 | 8.00 | 67,916 | 10.00 |
| Tier 1 Capital (To Risk Weighted Assets) Consolidated | 105,193 | 15.27 | 27,559 | 4.00 | 41,339 | 6.00 |
| AmeriServ Financial Bank | 83,533 | 12.30 | 27,166 | 4.00 | 40,749 | 6.00 |
| Tier 1 Capital (To Average Assets) Consolidated | 105,193 | 11.20 | 37,555 | 4.00 | 46,944 | 5.00 |
| AmeriServ Financial Bank | 83,533 | 9.13 | 36,588 | 4.00 | 45,735 | 5.00 |

## 14. Segment Results

The financial performance of the Company is also monitored by an internal funds transfer pricing profitability measurement system which produces line of business results and key performance measures. The Company s major business units include retail banking, commercial banking, trust, and investment/parent. The reported results reflect the underlying economics of the business segments. Expenses for centrally provided services are allocated based upon the cost and estimated usage of those services. The businesses are match-funded and interest rate risk is centrally managed and accounted for within the investment/parent business segment. The key performance measure the Company focuses on for each business segment is net income contribution.

Retail banking includes the deposit-gathering branch franchise and lending to both individuals and small businesses. Lending activities include residential mortgage loans, direct consumer loans, and small business commercial loans. Commercial banking to businesses includes commercial loans, and commercial real-estate loans. The trust segment contains our wealth management businesses, which include the Trust Company, West Chester Capital Advisors- our registered investment advisory firm and financial services. Wealth management includes personal trust products and services such as personal portfolio investment management, estate planning and administration, custodial services and pre-need trusts. Also, institutional trust products and services such as $401(\mathrm{k})$ plans, defined benefit and defined contribution employee benefit plans, and individual retirement accounts are included in this segment. Financial services include the sale of mutual funds, annuities, and insurance products. The wealth management businesses also includes the union collective investment funds, namely the ERECT and BUILD funds which are designed to use union pension dollars in real estate investments and construction projects that utilize union labor. The investment/parent includes the net results of investment securities and borrowing activities, general corporate expenses not allocated to the business segments, interest expense on the guaranteed junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures, and centralized interest rate risk management. Inter-segment revenues were not material.
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The contribution of the major business segments to the consolidated results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Three months ended } \\ \text { June 30, 2011 }\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Six months ended } \\ \text { June 30, 2011 }\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, } \\ \text { 2011 }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| assets |  |  |  |  |  |$]$


|  | Three months ended June 30, 2010 |  |  | Six months ended June 30, 2010 |  |  | June 30, <br> 2010 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total revenue |  | ome <br> s) | Total revenue |  |  | Total assets |
| Retail banking | \$ 6,413 | \$ | 341 | \$ 12,404 | \$ | 494 | \$ 329,573 |
| Commercial banking | 3,350 |  | 266 | 6,666 |  | (806) | 472,181 |
| Trust | 1,560 |  | 47 | 3,218 |  | 69 | 3,471 |
| Investment/Parent | 273 |  | (177) | 729 |  | (198) | 157,057 |
| Total | \$ 11,596 | \$ | 477 | \$ 23,017 | \$ | (441) | \$ 962,282 |

## 15. Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

The Company had various outstanding commitments to extend credit approximating $\$ 106.6$ million and standby letters of credit of $\$ 11.5$ million as of June 30, 2011. The Company s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to these commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by their contractual amounts. The Bank uses the same credit and collateral policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as for all other lending.

Additionally, the Company is also subject to a number of asserted and unasserted potential claims encountered in the normal course of business. In the opinion of the Company, neither the resolution of these claims nor the funding of these credit commitments will have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial position, results of operation or cash flows.

## 16. Pension Benefits

The Company has a noncontributory defined benefit pension plan covering all employees who work at least 1,000 hours per year. The participants shall have a vested interest in their accrued benefit after five full years of service. The benefits of the plan are based upon the employee s years of service and average annual earnings for the highest five consecutive calendar years during the final ten year period of employment. Plan assets are primarily debt securities (including U.S. Treasury and Agency securities, corporate notes and bonds), listed common stocks (including shares of AmeriServ Financial, Inc. common stock which is limited to $10 \%$ of the plan s assets), mutual funds, and short-term cash equivalent instruments. The net periodic pension cost for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Components of net periodic benefit cost | $\$ 303$ | $\$ 255$ | $\$ 606$ | $\$ 510$ |
| Service cost | 301 | 265 | 602 | 530 |
| Interest cost | $(393)$ | $(309)$ | $(786)$ | $(618)$ |
| Expected return on plan assets | 2 | 4 | 4 | 8 |
| Amortization of prior year service cost | $(4)$ | $(4)$ | $(8)$ | $(8)$ |
| Amortization of transition asset | 203 | 142 | 406 | 284 |
| Recognized net actuarial loss | $\$ 412$ | $\$ 353$ | $\$ 824$ | $\$ 706$ |
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## 17. Disclosures About Fair Value Measurements

The following disclosures establish a hierarchal disclosure framework associated with the level of pricing observability utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. The three broad levels defined within this hierarchy are as follows:

Level I: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

Level II: Pricing inputs are other than the quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these assets and liabilities includes items for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently and items that are fair-valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level III: Assets and liabilities that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These items do not have two-way markets and are measured using management $s$ best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

Securities classified as available for sale are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 inputs. For these securities, the Company obtains fair value measurements from an independent pricing service. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quoted market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond s terms and conditions, among other things.

The fair value of the swap asset and liability is based on an external derivative valuation model using data inputs as of the valuation date and classified Level 2.

The following tables present the assets reported on the balance sheet at their fair value as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.
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## Assets and Liability Measured on a Recurring Basis

Assets and liability measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

|  | Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | (Level 1) | (Level 2) |
| (Level 3) |  |  |  |  |
| U.S. Agency securities | $\$ 13,874$ | $\$$ | $\$ 13,874$ | $\$$ |
| U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities | 175,787 | 175,787 |  |  |
| Fair value of swap asset | 409 | 409 |  |  |
| Fair value of swap liability | 409 | 409 |  |  |


|  | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  |  | (Level 2) |  |  |
| U.S. Agency securities | \$ | 15,944 | \$ | \$ | 15,944 | \$ |
| U.S. Agency mortgage-backed securities |  | 148,867 |  |  | 148,867 |  |
| Fair value of swap asset |  | 420 |  |  | 420 |  |
| Fair value of swap liability |  | 420 |  |  | 420 |  |

Loans considered impaired are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. As detailed in the allowance for loan loss footnote, impaired loans are reported at fair value of the underlying collateral if the repayment is expected solely from the collateral. Collateral values are estimated using Level 3 inputs based on observable market data which at times are discounted. At June 30, 2011, impaired loans with a carrying value of $\$ 5.8$ million were reduced by a specific valuation allowance totaling $\$ 2.0$ million resulting in a net fair value of $\$ 3.8$ million.

Other real estate owned (OREO) is measured at fair value based on appraisals, less cost to sell at the date of foreclosure. Valuations are periodically performed by management and the assets are carried at the lower of carrying amount or fair value, less cost to sell. Income and expenses from operations and changes in valuation allowance are included in the net expenses from OREO.

## Assets Measured on a Non-recurring Basis

Assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below (in thousands):

|  | Fair Value Measurements at June 30, 2011 Using |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | (Level 1) | (Level 2) | (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans | \$ 3,842 | \$ | \$ | \$ 3,842 |
| Other real estate owned | 209 |  |  | 209 |
|  | Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2010 Using (Level (Level |  |  |  |
|  | Total | 1) | 2) | (Level 3) |
| Impaired loans | \$ 8,341 | \$ | \$ | \$ 8,341 |
| Other real estate owned | 738 |  |  | 738 |

## DISCLOSURES ABOUT FAIR VALUE OF FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

For the Company, as for most financial institutions, approximately $90 \%$ of its assets and liabilities are considered financial instruments. Many of the Company s financial instruments,
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however, lack an available trading market characterized by a willing buyer and willing seller engaging in an exchange transaction. Therefore, significant estimates and present value calculations were used by the Company for the purpose of this disclosure.

Fair values have been determined by the Company using independent third party valuations that use the best available data (Level 2) and an estimation methodology (Level 3) the Company believes is suitable for each category of financial instruments. Management believes that cash, cash equivalents, and loans and deposits with floating interest rates have estimated fair values which approximate the recorded book balances. The estimation methodologies used, the estimated fair values based on US GAAP measurements, and recorded book balances at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, were as follows (in thousands):

|  | June 30, 2011 <br> Recorded Book <br> Balance |  | December 31, 2010 <br> Recorded Book <br> Balance |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| FINANCIAL ASSETS: | Fair Value |  |  |

The fair value of cash and cash equivalents, regulatory stock, accrued income receivable, short-term borrowings, and accrued interest payable are equal to the current carrying value.

The fair value of investment securities is equal to the available quoted market price. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quoted market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. Treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution data, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the bond sterms and conditions, among other things.

Loans held for sale are priced individually at market rates on the day that the loan is locked for commitment with an investor. All loans in the held for sale account conform to Fannie Mae underwriting guidelines, with the specific intent of the loan being purchased by an investor at the predetermined rate structure. Loans in the held for sale account have specific delivery dates that must be executed to protect the pricing commitment (typically a 30,45 , or 60 day lock period).

The net loan portfolio has been valued using a present value discounted cash flow. The discount rate used in these calculations is based upon the treasury yield curve adjusted for non-interest operating costs, credit loss, current market prices and assumed prepayment risk.

The fair value of bank owned life insurance is based upon the cash surrender value of the underlying policies and matches the book value.
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Deposits with stated maturities have been valued using a present value discounted cash flow with a discount rate approximating current market for similar assets and liabilities. Deposits with no stated maturities have an estimated fair value equal to both the amount payable on demand and the recorded book balance.

The fair value of all other borrowings is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rates are estimated using rates currently offered for similar instruments with similar remaining maturities.

The fair values of the swaps used for interest rate risk management represents the amount the Company would have expected to receive or pay to terminate such agreements.

Changes in assumptions or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on these estimated fair values. The Company s remaining assets and liabilities which are not considered financial instruments have not been valued differently than has been customary under historical cost accounting.

## Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (M.D.\& A.)

2011 SECOND QUARTER SUMMARY OVERVIEW. On July 19, 2011, AmeriServ Financial Inc. reported its financial results for the second quarter of 2011. Net income was $\$ 1,938,000$ or $\$ 0.08$ per share. This represented an improvement of $\$ 1.5$ million or $306 \%$ over the second quarter of 2010 , and an improvement of $\$ 675,000$ or $53.4 \%$ over the first quarter of 2011. These financial results mark the seventh consecutive quarter of improved earnings for AmeriServ. It also means that the first six months of 2011 represent an increase of $\$ 3.6$ million over the first six months of 2010 . We are pleased that we can report these improvements even while our nation and our industry continue to struggle with the negative effects of a weak economy.

During the second quarter of 2011, AmeriServ s total of non-performing assets declined by $\$ 1.9$ million to the lowest point since the first quarter of 2009. Perhaps the key event in this on-going recession was the failure of Lehman Brothers on Wall Street in September 2008. The disruptions in the financial markets were extensive. Subsequently, we became aware as 2009 progressed that the recession was reaching seriously into western Pennsylvania. For that reason, in the fall of 2009, AmeriServ created the Asset Quality Task Force. This Task Force still exists, and it meets regularly to review the loan portfolio, but particularly to focus on those loans that are suffering from the weak economy. The very strong capital base of AmeriServ, augmented by U.S. Treasury funds, enabled AmeriServ to maintain very strong capital ratios, as well as, strong loan loss reserve coverage while working with the individual borrowers.

As previously mentioned, this continuing process has now reduced non-performing assets from $\$ 25.3$ million in the third quarter of 2010 to the current level of $\$ 7.4$ million, a decline of $\$ 17.8$ million or $70.6 \%$. During this same period the total of charged off loans was just $\$ 2$ million, indicating that it has been possible for AmeriServ to help many borrowers through these difficult times without losses. Very simply, as a result of this excellent work by the Task Force, AmeriServ no longer requires such a large loan loss reserve. Accordingly, AmeriServ has been able to reduce its loan loss reserve by $\$ 1.175$ million in this second quarter following up on a reduction of $\$ 600,000$ during the first quarter of 2011. Even after this sizable reduction, AmeriServ still has a
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loan loss reserve that covers every dollar of non-performing assets by $228.16 \%$. This has not been an easy period for banks, but we are pleased to be able to demonstrate how well the stewardship of the Board and management team has worked to protect the Company and its shareholders.

But now we also recognize that it is time to refocus the discipline that has been our strength during the recession to an improvement in all categories of financial results. It is time to focus on safe but profitable ways to leverage this strong capital base that AmeriServ enjoys.

The first step has been the establishment of a Strategic Planning Team. This Team, operating with complete Board oversight, met first in April, 2011 and is now hard at work developing the AmeriServ Strategic Plan for 2012 through 2015. This is a Company wide effort in which all divisions will participate. The essential theme is that even while being sure to protect the strong capital base, AmeriServ will explore ways to increase revenues, to expand new business development activities and to analyze every expense category to be certain that it is vital to the Company mission. Thus far the Team has agreed upon a new corporate vision statement and a hotly debated set of corporate strengths and weaknesses. The goal is to bring the proposed Plan to the Board in the fall of 2011. We are all quite aware that we cannot rely exclusively on the work of the Asset Quality Task Force for earnings growth; we know we must convince more businesses and consumers to use AmeriServ for more of their financial needs.

We do think there are some positive signs appearing. The Trust Company was severely impacted by the Wall Street firestorm, but with new leadership and a new Strategic Plan, it is already surpassing the turnaround goals it set for itself. The commercial division of AmeriServ Financial Bank has benefitted from the improvements in asset quality and now has the time to devote to business development. As a result of this disciplined focus, loans outstanding have now increased for three consecutive months for the first time since the second quarter of 2009. The retail bank also continues to strengthen its position as the leading residential mortgage origination team in the local markets, and continues to help grow our deposit base. We believe these trends are all quite positive for AmeriServ.

These are difficult times in the financial world. The world is struggling with excessive debt levels in several European countries, a continuing debate about the expensive future deficits faced by the U.S., and the impact of continuing price increases in many essential commodities and services. In such a volatile time, it is important to stay vigilant and focused. The Board and Management team promises that we will do our utmost to make AmeriServ a strong and safe investment in these difficult times and a rewarding investment in better times.

THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 VS. THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW. The following table summarizes some of the Company s key performance indicators (in thousands, except per share and ratios).

|  | Three months ended <br> June 30, 2011 |  | Three months ended <br> June 30, 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income | $\$$ | 1,938 | $\$$ |  |
| Diluted earnings per share |  | 0.08 | 477 |  |
| Return on average assets (annualized) |  | $0.81 \%$ | 0.01 |  |
| Return on average equity (annualized) |  | $7.11 \%$ | $0.20 \%$ |  |
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The Company reported second quarter 2011 net income of $\$ 1.9$ million or $\$ 0.08$ per diluted common share. This represents an increase $\$ 1.5$ million from the second quarter 2010 net income of $\$ 477,000$ or $\$ 0.01$ per diluted common share. Continued improvements in asset quality and higher non-interest income were the key factors causing our increased earnings in the second quarter of 2011. These positive items were partially offset by a decline in net interest income attributed primarily to lower loan balances, modestly higher non-interest expense, and increased income tax expense. Diluted earnings per share were impacted by the preferred dividend requirement and the accretion of the preferred stock discount on the CPP preferred stock which amounted to $\$ 290,000$ and reduced the amount of net income available to common shareholders.

NET INTEREST INCOME AND MARGIN. The Company $s$ net interest income represents the amount by which interest income on average earning assets exceeds interest paid on average interest bearing liabilities. Net interest income is a primary source of the Company s earnings, and it is affected by interest rate fluctuations as well as changes in the amount and mix of average earning assets and average interest bearing liabilities. The following table compares the Company s net interest income performance for the second quarter of 2011 to the second quarter of 2010 (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | Three months ended June 30, 2011 |  | Three months ended June 30, 2010 |  | \$ Change |  | \% Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 10,530 | \$ | 11,450 | \$ | (920) | (8.0)\% |
| Interest expense |  | 2,444 |  | 3,242 |  | (798) | (24.6) |
| Net interest income | \$ | 8,086 | \$ | 8,208 | \$ | (122) | (1.5) |
| Net interest margin - not meaningful |  | $3.71 \%$ |  | 3.83\% |  | (0.12) | N/M |

The Company s net interest income in the second quarter of 2011 decreased by $\$ 122,000$ or $1.5 \%$ from the prior year s second quarter. The Company s second quarter 2011 net interest margin of $3.71 \%$ was 12 basis points lower than the 2010 second quarter margin of $3.83 \%$, but the net interest margin has now operated near the $3.70 \%$ level for the past four consecutive quarters. Reduced loan balances were the primary factor causing the drop in both net interest income and net interest margin between second quarter periods. Specifically, total loans averaged $\$ 651$ million in the second quarter of 2011, a decrease of $\$ 54$ million or $7.7 \%$ from the second quarter of 2010 . The lower balances reflect the results of the Company s focus on reducing its commercial real estate exposure and problem loans during this period along with weak commercial loan demand. The Company has strengthened its excellent liquidity position by electing to reinvest these net loan paydowns in high quality investment securities and fed funds sold whose average balance has increased by $\$ 57$ million over this same period.

Careful management of funding costs has allowed the Company to mitigate a significant portion of the drop in interest revenue during the past twelve months. Specifically, quarterly interest expense has declined by $\$ 798,000$ since the second quarter of 2010 due to reduced deposit costs and a lower borrowed funds position. This reduction in deposit costs has not impacted average deposit balances which have increased by $\$ 13$ million or $1.6 \%$ during this same period. The Company is pleased that $\$ 9.5$ million of this deposit growth has occurred in non-interest bearing demand deposit accounts whose balances have grown by $7.7 \%$ since the second quarter of 2010 . The Company believes that uncertainties in the economy have contributed to growth in deposits as consumers and businesses have looked for safety and liquidity in well capitalized community banks like AmeriServ Financial.
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COMPONENT CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME. Regarding the separate components of net interest income, the Company s total interest income for the second quarter of 2011 decreased by $\$ 920,000$ or $8.0 \%$ when compared to the same 2010 quarter. This decrease was due to a 52 basis point decline in the earning asset yield to $4.84 \%$. Within the earning asset base, the yield on the total loan portfolio decreased by 23 basis points to $5.38 \%$ while the yield on total investment securities dropped by 49 basis points to $3.31 \%$. New investment securities and loans that are being booked typically have yields that are below the rate on the maturing instruments that they are replacing. Also, this asset mix shift with fewer dollars invested in loans and more dollars invested in lower yielding short duration investment securities also negatively impacts the earning asset yield. Improving commercial loan pipelines suggest that the Company may be able to grow the loan portfolio in the second half of 2011.

The Company s total interest expense for the second quarter of 2011 decreased by $\$ 798,000$ or $24.6 \%$ when compared to the same 2010 quarter. This decrease in interest expense was due to a lower cost of funds as the cost of interest bearing liabilities declined by 43 basis points to $1.39 \%$. Management $s$ decision to reduce interest rates paid on all deposit categories has not had any negative impact on deposit growth as consumers have sought the safety and liquidity provided by well-capitalized community banks like AmeriServ Financial. This decrease in funding costs was aided by a drop in interest expense associated with a $\$ 6.4$ million decrease in the volume of interest bearing liabilities. Specifically, the average balance of all FHLB borrowings declined by $\$ 9.9$ million, but was partially offset by a $\$ 3.4$ million increase in interest bearing deposits. Additionally, the Company s funding mix also benefited from a $\$ 9.5$ million increase in non-interest bearing demand deposits. Overall, in the second quarter of 2011 the Company was able to further reduce its use of borrowings as a funding source as wholesale borrowings averaged only $1.1 \%$ of total assets.

The table that follows provides an analysis of net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010 setting forth (i) average assets, liabilities, and stockholders equity, (ii) interest income earned on interest earning assets and interest expense paid on interest bearing liabilities, (iii) average yields earned on interest earning assets and average rates paid on interest bearing liabilities, (iv) AmeriServ Financial s interest rate spread (the difference between the average yield earned on interest earning assets and the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities), and (v) AmeriServ Financial s net interest margin (net interest income as a percentage of average total interest earning assets). For purposes of these tables, loan balances do include non-accrual loans, and interest income on loans includes loan fees or amortization of such fees which have been deferred, as well as interest recorded on certain non-accrual loans as cash is received. Additionally, a tax rate of $34 \%$ is used to compute tax-equivalent yields.
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Three months ended June 30 (In thousands, except percentages)

|  | Average Balance | 2011 <br> Interest Income/ Expense | Yield/ <br> Rate | Average Balance | 2010 <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Yield/ Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and loans held for sale, net of unearned income | \$ 651,036 | \$ 8,812 | 5.38\% | \$ 705,288 | \$ 9,992 | 5.61\% |
| Interest bearing deposits | 1,701 |  |  | 1,743 |  | 0.02 |
| Short-term investment in money market funds | 3,243 | 2 | 0.28 | 3,403 | 4 | 0.42 |
| Federal funds sold | 9,173 | 3 | 0.10 | 2,683 | 1 | 0.11 |
| Investment securities AFS | 199,010 | 1,617 | 3.25 | 147,761 | 1,353 | 3.75 |
| Investment securities HTM | 8,965 | 104 | 4.64 | 9,629 | 108 | 4.55 |
| Total investment securities | 207,975 | 1,721 | 3.31 | 157,390 | 1,461 | 3.80 |
| Total interest earning assets/interest income | 873,128 | 10,538 | 4.84 | 870,507 | 11,458 | 5.36 |
| Non-interest earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | 15,012 |  |  | 14,534 |  |  |
| Premises and equipment | 10,494 |  |  | 9,940 |  |  |
| Other assets | 79,008 |  |  | 79,894 |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(18,061)$ |  |  | $(22,075)$ |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 959,581 |  |  | \$ 952,800 |  |  |
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coverage ratios. During the second quarter, total non-performing assets decreased by $\$ 1.9$ million to $\$ 7.4$ million or $1.13 \%$ of total loans as a result of successful resolution efforts, particularly on the Company s largest troubled credit. Classified loans rated substandard or doubtful also dropped by $\$ 2.6$ million or $8.3 \%$ during this same period. As a result of this improvement, the Company recorded a negative provision for loan losses of $\$ 1.2$ million in the second quarter of 2011 compared to a $\$ 1.2$ million provision in the second quarter of 2010. Actual credit losses realized through net charge-offs have also declined sharply in 2011 with the Company even experiencing net loan recoveries of $\$ 108,000$ in the second quarter of 2011. In the second quarter of 2010, net charge-offs were significantly higher and totaled $\$ 2.0$ million or $1.13 \%$ of total loans. When determining the provision for loan losses, the Company considers a number of factors some of which include periodic credit reviews, non-performing assets, loan delinquency and charge-off trends, concentrations of credit, loan volume trends and broader local and national economic trends. In summary, the allowance for loan losses provided 235\% coverage of non-performing loans at June 30, 2011, compared to $145 \%$ coverage of non-performing loans at December 31, 2010.

NON-INTEREST INCOME. Non-interest income for the second quarter of 2011 totaled $\$ 3.5$ million; an increase of $\$ 66,000$ or $1.9 \%$ from the second quarter 2010 performance. Factors contributing to this higher level of non-interest income in 2011 included:
a $\$ 275,000$ increase in trust and investment advisory fees as our wealth management businesses benefited from the implementation of new fee schedules and higher equity values in the second quarter of 2011.
a $\$ 62,000$ decline in deposit service charges due to a reduced volume of overdraft fees. Customers have maintained higher balances in their checking accounts which have resulted in fewer overdraft fees in 2011. Additionally, deposit service charges were negatively impacted by provisions of the Dodd-Frank legislation which took effect in mid-2010 and were designed to limit customer overdraft fees on debit card transactions.
a $\$ 61,000$ decrease in other income due to reduced revenue on fixed annuity sales and fewer letter of credit fees.
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE. Non-interest expense for the second quarter of 2011 totaled $\$ 9.9$ million and increased by $\$ 91,000$ or $0.9 \%$ from the prior year s second quarter. Factors contributing to the higher non-interest expense in 2011 included:
a $\$ 338,000$ increase in salaries and employee benefits expense due to increased medical insurance costs, higher pension expense and greater incentive compensation expense reflecting increased commission payments related to the residential mortgage activity.
a $\$ 103,000$ increase in occupancy expense due to the rental costs associated with a new State College branch location and higher utilities expense.
a $\$ 203,000$ decrease in professional fees in the second quarter of 2011 due to reduced legal fees and lower consulting expenses in the Trust Company.
a $\$ 191,000$ decrease in other expense due to a reduction in costs associated with the reserve for unfunded loan commitments and lower telephone expense resulting from the implementation of technology enhancements.
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## SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 VS. SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2010

PERFORMANCE OVERVIEW. The following table summarizes some of the Company s key performance indicators (in thousands, except per share and ratios).

|  | Six months ended <br> June 30, 2011 |  | Six months ended <br> June 30, 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net income (loss) | $\$$ | 3,201 | $\$$ |  |
| Diluted earnings (loss) per share | 0.12 | $(441)$ |  |  |
| Return on average assets (annualized) |  | $0.67 \%$ | $(0.05)$ |  |
| Return on average equity (annualized) | $5.96 \%$ | $(0.09) \%$ |  |  |

The Company reported net income of $\$ 3.2$ million or $\$ 0.12$ per diluted common share for the first six months of 2011. This represents an increase of $\$ 3.6$ million over the net loss of $\$ 441,000$ or $\$ 0.05$ per diluted share reported for the same six month period in 2010. Continued improvements in asset quality were a key factor causing our increased earnings in the first six months of 2011. This positive item was partially offset by a decline in net interest income attributed primarily to lower loan balances and lower non-interest income resulting from the Company s decision to realize an investment security loss in order to position the portfolio for higher future yields. Earnings were also negatively impacted by moderately higher non-interest expenses and increased income tax expense due to the Company s improved profitability. Diluted earnings per share were impacted by the preferred dividend requirement and the accretion of the preferred stock discount on the CPP preferred stock which amounted to $\$ 580,000$ and reduced the amount of net income available to common shareholders.

NET INTEREST INCOME AND MARGIN. The following table compares the Company s net interest income performance for the first six months of 2011 to the first six months of 2010 (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | Six months ended <br> June 30,2011 | Six months ended <br> June 30,2010 | \$ Change | \% Change |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | $\$$ | 21,126 | $\$$ | 22,915 | $\$(1,789)$ | $(7.8) \%$ |
| Interest expense |  | 5,074 |  | 6,586 | $(1,512)$ | $(23.0)$ |
| Net interest income | $\$$ | 16,052 | $\$$ | 16,329 | $\$(277)$ | $(1.7)$ |
| Net interest margin |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| N/M - not meaningful | $3.71 \%$ |  | $3.81 \%$ | $(0.10)$ | N/M |  |

The Company s net interest income in the first six months of 2011 decreased by $\$ 277,000$ or $1.7 \%$ from the prior year s first six months. The Company s first six months 2011 net interest margin of $3.71 \%$ was 10 basis points lower than the 2010 first six months margin of $3.81 \%$. Reduced loan balances were the primary factor causing the drop in both net interest income and net interest margin between first six month periods. Specifically, total loans averaged $\$ 656$ million in the first six months of 2011, a decrease of $\$ 55$ million or $7.8 \%$ from the first six months of 2010. The Company has strengthened its excellent liquidity position by electing to reinvest these net loan paydowns in high quality investment securities and fed funds sold whose average balance has increased by $\$ 54$ million over this same period.

Careful management of funding costs has allowed the Company to mitigate a significant portion of the drop in interest revenue during the past twelve months. Specifically, interest expense has declined by $\$ 1.5$ million since the first six months of 2010 due to reduced deposit costs and a lower borrowed funds position. This reduction in deposit costs has not impacted average deposit balances which have increased by $\$ 19$ million or $2.4 \%$ during this same period.

## Table of Contents

COMPONENT CHANGES IN NET INTEREST INCOME. Regarding the separate components of net interest income, the Company s total interest income for the first six months of 2011 decreased by $\$ 1.8$ million or $7.8 \%$ when compared to the same 2010 period. This decrease was due to a 47 basis point decline in the earning asset yield to $4.89 \%$ and a modest $\$ 1.1$ million drop in average earning assets between periods due to the previously mentioned decline in loans. Investment securities and fed funds sold have grown over this period but not enough to absorb the overall decline in total loans. Within the earning asset base, the yield on the total loan portfolio decreased by 17 basis points to $5.44 \%$ while the yield on total investment securities dropped by 54 basis points to $3.26 \%$. New investment securities and loans that are being booked typically have yields that are below the rate on the maturing instruments that they are replacing. Also, this asset mix shift with fewer dollars invested in loans and more dollars invested in lower yielding short duration investment securities also negatively impacts the earning asset yield.

The Company s total interest expense for the first six months of 2011 decreased by $\$ 1.5$ million or $23.0 \%$ when compared to the same 2010 period. This decrease in interest expense was due to a lower cost of funds as the cost of interest bearing liabilities declined by 40 basis points to $1.45 \%$. Management s decision to reduce interest rates paid on all deposit categories has not had any negative impact on deposit growth as consumers have sought the safety and liquidity provided by well-capitalized community banks like AmeriServ Financial. This decrease in funding costs was aided by a drop in interest expense associated with a $\$ 12.4$ million decrease in the volume of interest bearing liabilities. Specifically, the average balance of all FHLB borrowings declined by $\$ 18.8$ million, but was partially offset by a $\$ 6.5$ million increase in interest bearing deposits. Additionally, the Company s funding mix also benefited from a $\$ 12.8$ million increase in non-interest bearing demand deposits.

The table that follows provides an analysis of net interest income on a tax-equivalent basis for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and June 30, 2010. For a detailed discussion of the components and assumptions included in the table, see the paragraph before the quarterly table on page 29 .
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Six months ended June 30 (In thousands, except percentages)

|  | 2011 |  |  | 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Yield/ Rate | Average Balance | Interest Income/ Expense | Yield/ Rate |
| Interest earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and loans held for sale, net of unearned income | \$ 656,048 | \$ 17,903 | 5.44\% | \$ 711,267 | \$ 20,021 | 5.61\% |
| Interest bearing deposits | 1,616 |  |  | 1,776 | 1 | 0.12 |
| Short-term investment in money market funds | 3,676 | 5 | 0.30 | 3,925 | 7 | 0.37 |
| Federal funds sold | 11,676 | 7 | 0.13 | 2,539 | 2 | 0.11 |
| Investment securities AFS | 188,978 | 3,028 | 3.20 | 142,803 | 2,675 | 3.70 |
| Investment securities HTM | 9,278 | 199 | 4.29 | 10,091 | 226 | 4.48 |
| Total investment securities | 198,256 | 3,227 | 3.26 | 152,894 | 2,901 | 3.80 |
| Total interest earning assets/interest income | 871,272 | 21,142 | 4.89 | 872,401 | 22,932 | 5.36 |
| Non-interest earning assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | 15,283 |  |  | 14,984 |  |  |
| Premises and equipment | 10,489 |  |  | 9,694 |  |  |
| Other assets | 79,313 |  |  | 79,769 |  |  |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(18,948)$ |  |  | $(21,434)$ |  |  |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 957,409 |  |  | \$ 955,414 |  |  |


| Interest bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest bearing deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest bearing demand | \$ | 56,164 | \$ | 59 | 0.21\% | \$ | 57,863 | \$ | 90 | 0.31\% |
| Savings |  | 80,221 |  | 137 | 0.34 |  | 77,032 |  | 245 | 0.64 |
| Money markets |  | 189,003 |  | 563 | 0.60 |  | 185,563 |  | 897 | 0.97 |
| Other time |  | 355,646 |  | 3,641 | 2.06 |  | 354,084 |  | 4,528 | 2.58 |
| Total interest bearing deposits |  | 681,034 |  | 4,400 | 1.30 |  | 674,542 |  | 5,760 | 1.72 |
| Short-term borrowings: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal funds purchased, securities sold under agreements to repurchase and other short-term borrowings |  | 646 |  | 2 | 0.67 |  | 3,815 |  | 13 | 0.68 |
| Advances from Federal Home Loan Bank |  | 9,736 |  | 112 | 2.30 |  | 25,413 |  | 253 | 1.99 |
| Guaranteed junior subordinated deferrable interest debentures |  | 13,085 |  | 560 | 8.57 |  | 13,085 |  | 560 | 8.57 |
| Total interest bearing liabilities/interest expense |  | 704,501 |  | 5,074 | 1.45 |  | 716,855 |  | 6,586 | 1.85 |
| Non-interest bearing liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits |  | 132,814 |  |  |  |  | 120,009 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 11,721 |  |  |  |  | 11,623 |  |  |  |
| Shareholders equity |  | 108,373 |  |  |  |  | 106,927 |  |  |  |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY |  | 957,409 |  |  |  |  | 955,414 |  |  |  |
| Interest rate spread |  |  |  |  | 3.44 |  |  |  |  | 3.51 |
| Net interest income/Net interest margin |  |  |  | 16,068 | 3.71\% |  |  |  | 16,346 | 3.81\% |
| Tax-equivalent adjustment |  |  |  | (16) |  |  |  |  | (17) |  |

## Net Interest Income
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the first six months of 2011, total non-performing assets decreased by $\$ 6.9$ million or $48.3 \%$ to $\$ 7.4$ million or $1.13 \%$ of total loans as a result of successful resolution efforts. Classified loans rated substandard or doubtful also dropped by $\$ 11.2$ million or $28.3 \%$ during this same period. For the six month period in 2011, the negative provision has amounted to $\$ 1,775,000$ compared to a $\$ 4,250,000$ provision in the first six months of 2010 or a favorable shift of $\$ 6.0$ million. Actual credit losses realized through net charge-offs have also declined sharply in 2011. For the first six months of 2011, net charge-offs totaled $\$ 1.0$ million or $0.32 \%$ of total loans which represents a decrease from the first six months of 2010 when net charge-offs totaled $\$ 3.2$ million or $0.91 \%$ of total loans. The allowance for loan losses was $2.58 \%$ of total loans at June 30, 2011, compared to $2.91 \%$ of total loans at December 31, 2010.

NON-INTEREST INCOME. Non-interest income for the first six months of 2011 totaled $\$ 6.6$ million; a decrease of $\$ 129,000$ or $1.9 \%$ from the first six months 2010 performance. Factors contributing to this lower level of non-interest income in 2011 included:
a $\$ 358,000$ loss realized on the sale of $\$ 16.5$ million of investment securities in the first quarter of 2011 compared to a net security gain of $\$ 107,000$ in the first half of 2010. The Company took advantage of a steeper yield curve in 2011 to position the investment portfolio for better future earnings by selling some of the lower yielding, longer duration securities in the portfolio and replacing them with higher yielding securities with a shorter duration.
a $\$ 388,000$ or $12.2 \%$ increase in trust and investment advisory fees as our wealth management businesses benefited from the implementation of new fee schedules and higher equity values in the first half of 2011.
a $\$ 162,000$ decline in deposit service charges due to a reduced volume of overdraft fees. Customers have maintained higher balances in their checking accounts which has resulted in fewer overdraft fees in 2011. Additionally, deposit service charges were negatively impacted by provisions in the Dodd-Frank legislation which took effect in mid-2010 and were designed to limit customer overdraft fees on debit card transactions.
a $\$ 127,000$ increase in revenue generated on residential mortgage loan sales into the secondary market in 2011. The Company sold $\$ 29.3$ million of loans into the secondary market in the first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 25.5$ million sold in the first six months of 2010. Also, the Company realized better margins on the loans sold in 2011.
NON-INTEREST EXPENSE. Non-interest expense for the first six months of 2011 totaled $\$ 19.8$ million and increased by $\$ 246,000$ or $1.3 \%$ from the prior year s first six months. Factors contributing to the higher non-interest expense in 2011 included:
a $\$ 639,000$ or $6.1 \%$ increase in salaries and employee benefits expense due to increased medical insurance costs, higher pension expense and greater incentive compensation expense reflecting increased commission payments related to the residential mortgage activity.
a $\$ 325,000$ decrease in professional fees in the first half of 2011 due to reduced legal fees, recruitment costs, and lower consulting expenses in the Trust Company.
a $\$ 328,000$ decrease in other expense due to a reduction in costs associated with the reserve for unfunded loan commitments and lower telephone expense resulting from the implementation of technology enhancements.
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INCOME TAX EXPENSE. The Company recorded an income tax expense of $\$ 1.4$ million or an effective tax rate of approximately $30 \%$ in the first half of 2011. This compares to an income tax benefit of $\$ 342,000$ recorded in the first half of 2010 due to the pretax loss in last year s first six months. The Company s only significant source of tax free income is earnings from bank owned life insurance. The Company s deferred tax asset was $\$ 13.9$ million at June 30, 2011 and relates primarily to net operating loss carryforwards and the allowance for loan losses.

SEGMENT RESULTS. Retail banking s net income contributions were $\$ 405,000$ and $\$ 592,000$ in the second quarter and first six months of 2011 compared to $\$ 341,000$ and $\$ 494,000$ for the same comparable periods of 2010. The improved performance in 2011 is due to increased net interest income generated on the higher level of deposits and a lower provision for loan losses. These positive items more than offset reduced revenue from overdraft fees and deposit service charges.

The commercial banking segment reported a net income contributions of $\$ 2.0$ million and $\$ 3.6$ million in the second quarter and first six months of 2011 compared to net income of $\$ 266,000$ for the first second quarter of 2010 and a net loss of $\$ 806,000$ for the first six months of 2010. The increased earnings in 2011 were caused primarily by a reduced provision for loan losses due to the previously discussed improvement in our asset quality.

The trust segment s net income contribution in the second quarter amounted to $\$ 262,000$ and $\$ 445,000$ for the first half of 2011 compared to $\$ 47,000$ and $\$ 69,000$ for the same 2010 periods. The increase in net income reflected higher revenue as our wealth management businesses benefitted from the implementation of new fee schedules and increased equity values in the first half of 2011. This segment also benefitted from a decline in expenses particularly within our investment advisory subsidiary.

The investment/parent segment reported net losses of $\$ 721,000$ in the second quarter and $\$ 1.4$ million for the first six months of 2011 compared to the net losses of $\$ 177,000$ for the second quarter and $\$ 198,000$ for the first six months of 2010 . The weaker performance in 2011 reflects the previously mentioned $\$ 358,000$ loss realized on the sale of $\$ 17$ million of investment securities in the first half of 2011 to position the portfolio for better future earnings. Also, declining yields in the investment securities portfolio have negatively impacted this segment.

BALANCE SHEET. The Company s total consolidated assets were $\$ 955$ million at June 30, 2011, which was up modestly by $\$ 5.9$ million or $0.6 \%$ from the $\$ 949$ million level at December 31, 2010. The increase was due to higher investment security balances as this line item increased by $\$ 26$ million reflecting the Company s strong liquidity position and the reinvestment of net loan pay-offs into this category. The Company s loans and loans held for sale declined by $\$ 22$ million or $3.2 \%$ as a result of net portfolio run-off since year-end predominantly in the commercial real estate loan category. The Company s net deferred tax asset declined by $\$ 2.2$ million as the Company s improved profitability resulted in the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards.

The Company s deposits totaled $\$ 810$ million at June 30, 2011, which was $\$ 8.8$ million or $1.1 \%$ higher than December 31, 2010, due to an increase in both demand deposits and money market account balances. As a result of this deposit growth, we were able to reduce total FHLB borrowings by $\$ 4.6$ million during the first six months of 2011 and these borrowings now represent
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only $1.0 \%$ of total assets. The Company stotal shareholders equity has increased by $\$ 4.4$ million since year-end 2010 mainly due to the Company s improved profitability, net of preferred stock dividend payments, in the first half of 2011. Equity also benefitted from improved value of the available for sale investment portfolio which favorably impacted other comprehensive income. The Company continues to be considered well capitalized for regulatory purposes with a risk based capital ratio of $17.04 \%$, and an asset leverage ratio of $11.60 \%$ at June 30, 2011. The Company s book value per common share was $\$ 4.28$, its tangible book value per common share was $\$ 3.68$, and its tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio was $8.29 \%$ at June 30, 2011.

LOAN QUALITY. The following table sets forth information concerning the Company s loan delinquency, non-performing assets, and classified assets (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } 30, \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | December 31, 2010 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total loan delinquency (past due 30 to 89 days) | \$ 1,942 | \$ | 2,791 | \$ 4,244 |
| Total non-accrual loans | 6,909 |  | 12,289 | 19,184 |
| Total non-performing assets including TDR* | 7,433 |  | 14,364 | 19,815 |
| Loan delinquency, as a percentage of total loans and loans held for sale, net of unearned income | 0.30\% |  | 0.41\% | 0.61\% |
| Non-accrual loans, as a percentage of total loans and loans held for sale, net of unearned income | 1.05 |  | 1.81 | 2.76 |
| Non-performing assets, as a percentage of total loans and loans held for sale, net of unearned income, and other real estate owned | 1.13 |  | 2.12 | 2.85 |
| Non-performing assets as a percentage of total assets | 0.81 |  | 1.51 | 2.06 |
| Total classified loans (loans rated substandard or doubtful) | \$ 28,419 | \$ | 39,627 | \$ 45,960 |

* Non-performing assets are comprised of (i) loans that are on a non-accrual basis, (ii) loans that are contractually past due 90 days or more as to interest and principal payments, (iii) performing loans classified as a troubled debt restructuring and (iv) other real estate owned. As a result of successful ongoing problem credit resolution efforts, the Company continued to realize meaningful asset quality improvements in the first half of 2011. These improvements are evidenced by reduced delinquency and lower levels of non-performing assets and classified loans. Specifically, there was a $\$ 6.9$ million decrease in non-performing assets during the first half of 2011. Only $\$ 1.0$ million of this decline in non-performing assets related to actual loan losses realized through net charge-offs. The majority of the drop was due to successful workout efforts on problem commercial and commercial real-estate loans. Classified loans, while declining in recent periods, are still relatively high by longer term historical standards. This is due to the downgrade of the rating classification of several commercial loans that are experiencing operating weakness in the weak economy but are still performing. We continue to closely monitor the portfolio given the uncertainty in the economy and the number of relatively large-sized commercial and commercial real estate loans within the portfolio. As of June 30, 2011, the 25 largest credits represented $33 \%$ of total loans outstanding.
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ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES. The following table sets forth the allowance for loan losses and certain ratios for the periods ended (in thousands, except percentages):

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 16,958 | \$ | 19,765 | \$ 20,737 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of each of the following: |  |  |  |  |
| total loans and loans held for sale, net of unearned income | 2.58\% |  | 2.91\% | 2.99\% |
| total delinquent loans (past due 30 to 89 days) | 873.22 |  | 708.17 | 488..62 |
| total non-accrual loans | 245.45 |  | 160.83 | 108.10 |
| total non-performing assets | 218.87 |  | 137.60 | 104.65 |

The Company has reversed a portion of the allowance for loan losses into earnings in 2011 due to the previously discussed improvement in asset quality. As a result, the balance in the allowance for loan losses has declined but the Company has been able to either maintain or strengthen its key coverage ratios as indicated in the above table.

LIQUIDITY. The Company s liquidity position has been strong during the last several years. Our core retail deposit base has grown over the past three years and has been more than adequate to fund the Company s operations. Cash flow from maturities, prepayments and amortization of securities was also used to either fund loan growth or paydown borrowings. We strive to operate our loan to deposit ratio in a range of $85 \%$ to $95 \%$. At June 30, 2011, the Company s loan to deposit ratio was $81.1 \%$ which is below our targeted range and reflects our strong liquidity position as well as weak loan demand. Given recent improvements in commercial loan pipelines and increased consumer loan fundings, we expect that total loans may grow modestly in the second half of 2011.

Liquidity can be analyzed by utilizing the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. Cash and cash equivalents increased by $\$ 3.4$ million from December 31, 2010, to June 30, 2011, due to $\$ 10.2$ million of cash provided by operating activities, $\$ 2.2$ million of cash provided by financing activities and partially offset by $\$ 9.0$ million of cash used by investing activities. Within investing activities, cash used for new investment security purchases exceeded maturities and sales by $\$ 24.8$ million. Cash advanced for new loan fundings and purchases (excluding residential mortgages sold in the secondary market) totaled $\$ 61.7$ million and was $\$ 15.9$ million lower than the $\$ 77.7$ million of cash received from loan principal payments and sales. Within financing activities, deposits increased by $\$ 7.3$ million, which was used to pay down short-term borrowings by $\$ 4.6$ million.

The holding company had $\$ 15.4$ million of cash, short-term investments, and securities at June 30, 2011, which was down $\$ 1.4$ million from the year-end 2010 total. We have elected to retain $\$ 14$ million of the total $\$ 21$ million in funds received from the CPP preferred stock at the holding company to provide us with greater liquidity and financial flexibility. $\$ 7$ million of the CPP funds were downstreamed to our subsidiary bank in 2009 and 2010 to help the Bank maintain compliance with our own internal capital guidelines. Additionally, dividend payments from our subsidiaries can also provide ongoing cash to the holding company. At June 30, 2011, our subsidiary Bank had $\$ 2.0$ million of cash available for immediate dividends to the holding company under the applicable regulatory formulas. This is the first time that the bank had positive dividend capacity since 2008. As such, the holding company has ample liquidity to meet its trust preferred debt service requirements and preferred stock dividends, which approximate $\$ 2.1$ million annually.
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CAPITAL RESOURCES. The Company meaningfully exceeds all regulatory capital ratios for each of the periods presented and is considered well capitalized. The asset leverage ratio was $11.60 \%$ and the risk based capital ratio was $17.04 \%$ at June 30, 2011. The Company s tangible common equity to tangible assets ratio was $8.29 \%$ at June 30,2011 . We anticipate that our strong capital ratios will increase during the second half of 2011 due to the retention of all earnings, which will be partially offset by preferred dividend requirements and limited balance sheet growth.

Our decision to accept the $\$ 21$ million CPP preferred stock investment in December 2008 did strengthen our capital ratios. However as a result of this decision, for a period of three years ending December 19, 2011, we are no longer permitted to repurchase stock or declare and pay common dividends without the consent of the U.S. Treasury. If the Company is approved as a participant in the U.S. Treasury Department s Small Business Lending Fund, we would use the $\$ 21$ million in proceeds requested from this program to fully refinance our TARP-CPP preferred stock in 2011.

INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY. The following table presents an analysis of the sensitivity inherent in the Company s net interest income and market value of portfolio equity. The interest rate scenarios in the table compare the Company s base forecast, which was prepared using a flat interest rate scenario, to scenarios that reflect immediate interest rate changes of 100 and 200 basis points. Note that we suspended the 200 basis point downward rate shock since it has little value due to the absolute low level of interest rates. Each rate scenario contains unique prepayment and repricing assumptions that are applied to the Company s existing balance sheet that was developed under the flat interest rate scenario.

|  | Variability of Net <br> Interest <br> Income | Change in Market Value of <br> Portfolio Equity |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate Scenario | $5.0 \%$ | $7.1 \%$ |
| 200bp increase | 3.6 | 6.2 |
| 100bp increase | $(8.9)$ | $(12.8)$ |

The variability of net interest income is negative in the 100 basis point downward rate scenario as the Company has more exposure to assets repricing downward to a greater extent than liabilities due to the absolute low level of interest rates with the fed funds rate currently at $0.25 \%$. The variability of net interest income is positive in the upward rate shocks due to the Company s short duration investment securities portfolio and scheduled repricing of loans now tied to LIBOR. Also, the Company expects that it will not have to reprice its core deposit accounts up as quickly when interest rates rise. The market value of portfolio equity increases in the upward rate shocks due to the improved value of the Company s core deposit base. Negative variability of market value of portfolio equity occurs in the downward rate shock due to a reduced value for core deposits.

OFF BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS. The Company incurs off-balance sheet risks in the normal course of business in order to meet the financing needs of its customers. These risks derive from commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Such commitments and standby letters of credit involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated financial statements. The Company had various outstanding commitments to extend credit approximating $\$ 106.6$ million and standby letters of
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credit of $\$ 11.5$ million as of June 30,2011 . The Company s exposure to credit loss in the event of nonperformance by the other party to these commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit is represented by their contractual amounts. The Company uses the same credit and collateral policies in making commitments and conditional obligations as for all other lending.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES AND ESTIMATES. The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are in accordance with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles and conform to general practices within the banking industry. Accounting and reporting policies for the allowance for loan losses, goodwill, income taxes, and investment securities are deemed critical because they involve the use of estimates and require significant management judgments. Application of assumptions different than those used by the Company could result in material changes in the Company s financial position or results of operation.

Account Allowance for Loan Losses

## Balance Sheet Reference Allowance for Loan Losses

Income Statement Reference Provision for Loan Losses

## Description

The allowance for loan losses is calculated with the objective of maintaining reserve levels believed by management to be sufficient to absorb estimated probable credit losses. Management s determination of the adequacy of the allowance is based on periodic evaluations of the credit portfolio and other relevant factors. However, this evaluation is inherently subjective as it requires material estimates, including, among others, likelihood of customer default, loss given default, exposure at default, the amounts and timing of expected future cash flows on impaired loans, value of collateral, estimated losses on consumer loans and residential mortgages, and general amounts for historical loss experience. This process also considers economic conditions, uncertainties in estimating losses and inherent risks in the various credit portfolios. All of these factors may be susceptible to significant change. Also, the allocation of the allowance for credit losses to specific loan pools is based on historical loss trends and management s judgment concerning those trends.

Commercial and commercial real estate loans are the largest category of credits and the most sensitive to changes in assumptions and judgments underlying the determination of the allowance for loan loss. Approximately $\$ 13.8$ million, or $81 \%$, of the total allowance for credit losses at June 30, 2011 has been allocated to these two loan categories. This allocation also considers other relevant factors such as actual versus estimated losses, economic trends, delinquencies, concentrations of credit, trends in loan volume, experience and depth of management, examination and audit results, effects of any changes in lending policies and trends in policy, financial information and documentation exceptions. To the extent actual outcomes differ from management estimates, additional provision for credit losses may be required that would adversely impact earnings in future periods.

Account Goodwill and core deposit intangibles

Balance Sheet Reference Goodwill and core deposit intangibles

Income Statement Reference Goodwill impairment and amortization of core deposit intangibles

## Description

The Company considers our accounting policies related to goodwill and core deposit
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intangibles to be critical because the assumptions or judgment used in determining the fair value of assets and liabilities acquired in past acquisitions are subjective and complex. As a result, changes in these assumptions or judgment could have a significant impact on our financial condition or results of operations.

The fair value of acquired assets and liabilities, including the resulting goodwill, was based either on quoted market prices or provided by other third party sources, when available. When third party information was not available, estimates were made in good faith by management primarily through the use of internal cash flow modeling techniques. The assumptions that were used in the cash flow modeling were subjective and are susceptible to significant changes. The Company routinely utilizes the services of an independent third party that is regarded within the banking industry as an expert in valuing core deposits to monitor the ongoing value and changes in the Company s core deposit base. These core deposit valuation updates are based upon specific data provided from statistical analysis of the Company s own deposit behavior to estimate the duration of these non-maturity deposits combined with market interest rates and other economic factors.

Goodwill arising from business combinations represents the value attributable to unidentifiable intangible elements in the business acquired. The Company s goodwill relates to value inherent in the banking and wealth management business, and the value is dependent upon the Company s ability to provide quality, cost-effective services in the face of free competition from other market participants on a regional basis. This ability relies upon continuing investments in processing systems, the development of value-added service features and the ease of use of the Company s services. As such, goodwill value is supported ultimately by revenue that is driven by the volume of business transacted and the loyalty of the Company s deposit customer base over a longer time frame. The quality and value of a Company s assets is also an important factor to consider when performing goodwill impairment testing. A decline in earnings as a result of a lack of growth or the inability to deliver cost-effective value added services over sustained periods can lead to impairment of goodwill.

Goodwill which has an indefinite useful life is tested for impairment at least annually and written down and charged to results of operations only in periods in which the recorded value is more than the estimated fair value. We did reduce the goodwill allocated to West Chester Capital Advisors (WCCA) by $\$ 337,000$ in the first quarter of 2011. This reduction resulted from a purchase price adjustment as the principal of WCCA did not fully earn a deferred contingent payment that had been accrued as a liability of the Company at the time of acquisition.

Core deposit intangibles that have a finite life are amortized over their useful life. As of June 30, 2011, all core deposit intangibles for the Company had been fully amortized.

Account Income Taxes
Balance Sheet Reference Deferred Tax Asset and Current Taxes Payable
Income Statement Reference Provision for Income Taxes

## Description

The provision for income taxes is the sum of income taxes both currently payable and deferred. The changes in deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based upon the changes in differences between the basis of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the basis of assets and liabilities as measured by the enacted tax rates that management estimates will be in effect when the differences reverse.
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In relation to recording the provision for income taxes, management must estimate the future tax rates applicable to the reversal of tax differences, make certain assumptions regarding whether tax differences are permanent or temporary and the related time of expected reversal. Also, estimates are made as to whether taxable operating income in future periods will be sufficient to fully recognize any gross deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, we must increase our provision for taxes by recording a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets that we estimate will not ultimately be recoverable. Alternatively, we may make estimates about the potential usage of deferred tax assets that decrease our valuation allowances. As of June 30, 2011, we believe that all of the deferred tax assets recorded on our balance sheet will ultimately be recovered and that no valuation allowances were needed.

In addition, the calculation of our tax liabilities involves dealing with uncertainties in the application of complex tax regulations. We recognize liabilities for anticipated tax audit issues based on our estimate of whether, and the extent to which, additional taxes will be due. If we ultimately determine that payment of these amounts is unnecessary, we reverse the liability and recognize a tax benefit during the period in which we determine that the liability is no longer necessary. We record an additional charge in our provision for taxes in the period in which we determine that the recorded tax liability is less than we expect the ultimate assessment to be.

## ACCOUNT Investment Securities

BALANCE SHEET REFERENCE Investment Securities

INCOME STATEMENT REFERENCE Net realized gains (losses) on investment securities

## DESCRIPTION

Available-for-sale and held-to-maturity securities are reviewed quarterly for possible other-than-temporary impairment. The review includes an analysis of the facts and circumstances of each individual investment such as the severity of loss, the length of time the fair value has been below cost, the expectation for that security s performance, the creditworthiness of the issuer and the Company s intent and ability to hold the security to recovery. A decline in value that is considered to be other-than-temporary is recorded as a loss within non-interest income in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. At June 30, 2011, all of the unrealized losses in the available-for-sale security portfolio were comprised of securities issued by government agencies, the U.S. Treasury or government sponsored agencies. The Company believes the unrealized losses are primarily a result of increases in market yields from the time of purchase. In general, as market yields rise, the value of securities will decrease; as market yields fall, the fair value of securities will increase. Management generally views changes in fair value caused by changes in interest rates as temporary; therefore, these securities have not been classified as other-than-temporarily impaired. Management has also concluded that based on current information we expect to continue to receive scheduled interest payments as well as the entire principal balance. Furthermore, management does not intend to sell these securities and does not believe it will be required to sell these securities before they recover in value.

## FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENT.

## THE STRATEGIC FOCUS:

The challenge for the future is to improve earnings performance to peer levels through a
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disciplined focus on community banking and improving the profitability of our Trust Company. In accordance with our strategic plan, AmeriServ will maintain its focus as a community bank delivering banking and trust services to the best of our ability. This Company will not succumb to the lure of quick fixes and fancy financial gimmicks. It is our plan to continue to build AmeriServ into a potent banking force in this region and in this industry. Our focus encompasses the following:

Customer Service - it is the existing and prospective customer that AmeriServ must satisfy. This means good products and fair prices. But it also means quick response time and professional competence. It means speedy problem resolution and a minimizing of bureaucratic frustrations. AmeriServ is training and motivating its staff to meet these standards.

Revenue Growth - It is necessary for AmeriServ to focus on growing revenues. This means loan growth, deposit growth and fee growth. It also means close coordination between all customer service areas so as many revenue producing products as possible can be presented to existing and prospective customers. The Company s Strategic Plan contains action plans in each of these areas. This challenge will be met by seeking to exceed customer expectations in every area. An examination of the peer bank database provides ample proof that a well executed community banking business model can generate a reliable and rewarding revenue stream.

Expense Rationalization AmeriServ Financial remains focused on trying to rationalize expenses. This has not been a program of broad based cuts, but has been targeted so AmeriServ stays strong but spends less. However, this initiative takes on new importance because it is critical to be certain that future expenditures are directed to areas that are playing a positive role in the drive to improve revenues.
This Form 10-Q contains various forward-looking statements and includes assumptions concerning the Company s beliefs, plans, objectives, goals, expectations, anticipations, estimates, intentions, operations, future results, and prospects, including statements that include the words may, could, should, suggest, would, believe, expect, anticipate, estimate, intend, plan or similar expressions. These forwar are based upon current expectations and are subject to risk and uncertainties. In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Company provides the following cautionary statement identifying important factors (some of which are beyond the Company s control) which could cause the actual results or events to differ materially from those set forth in or implied by the forward-looking statements and related assumptions.

Such factors include the following: (i) the effect of changing regional and national economic conditions; (ii) the effects of trade, monetary and fiscal policies and laws, including interest rate policies of the Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System; (iii) significant changes in interest rates and prepayment speeds; (iv) inflation, stock and bond market, and monetary fluctuations; (v) credit risks of commercial, real estate, consumer, and other lending activities; (vi) changes in federal and state banking and financial services laws and regulations; (vii) the presence in the Company s market area of competitors with greater financial resources than the Company; (viii) the timely development of competitive new products and services by the Company and the acceptance of those products and services by customers and regulators (when required); (ix) the willingness of customers to substitute competitors products and services for those of the Company and vice versa; ( x ) changes in consumer spending and savings habits; (xi) unanticipated regulatory or judicial proceedings; and (xii) other external developments which could materially impact the Company s operational and financial performance.
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The foregoing list of important factors is not exclusive, and neither such list nor any forward-looking statement takes into account the impact that any future acquisition may have on the Company and on any such forward-looking statement.

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK. The Company manages market risk, which for the Company is primarily interest rate risk, through its asset liability management process and committee, see further discussion in Interest Rate Sensitivity section of the M.D. \& A.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES. (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company s management carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and the operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of June 30, 2011, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer along with the Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures as of June 30, 2011, are effective.
(b) Changes in Internal Controls. There have been no changes in AmeriServ Financial Inc. s internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company sinternal control over financial reporting.

## Part II Other Information

## Item 1. Legal Proceedings

There are no material proceedings to which the Company or any of our subsidiaries are a party or by which, to the Company s knowledge, we, or any of our subsidiaries, are threatened. All legal proceedings presently pending or threatened against the Company or our subsidiaries involve routine litigation incidental to our business or that of the subsidiary involved and are not material in respect to the amount in controversy.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes in our risk factors from those disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010.

## Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

As a result of the decision by the Company to accept a preferred stock investment under the U.S. Treasury s CPP for a period of three years the Company is no longer permitted to repurchase stock or declare and pay dividends on common stock without the consent of the U.S. Treasury.
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## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

## Item 4. (Removed and Reserved)

## Item 5. Other Information

None

## Item 6. Exhibits

3.1 Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation as amended through December 23, 2009, exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2009.
3.2 Bylaws, as amended and restated on December 17, 2009, Exhibit 3.2 to the Form 8-K filed December 23, 2009.
3.3 Certificate of Designation of Rights of Fixed Rate Cumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009.)
15.1 Report of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C. regarding unaudited interim financial statement information.
15.2 Awareness Letter of S.R. Snodgrass, A.C.
31.1 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2 Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. section 1350, as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

101 The following information from AMERISERV FINANCIAL, INC. s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2011, formatted in XBRL (eTensible Business Reporting Language): (i) Consolidated Balance Sheets (unaudited), (ii) Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited), (iii) Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited), and (iv) Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited).
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Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

|  | AmeriServ Financial, Inc. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Registrant |
| Date: August 4. 2011 | /s/ Glenn L. Wilson |
|  | Glenn L. Wilson <br> President and Chief Executive Officer <br> Date: August 4. 2011 |
|  |  |
|  | /s/ Jeffrey A. Stopko |
| Jeffrey A. Stopko |  |
| Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer |  |

