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CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS (unaudited)
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)

|  | As of June 30, 2011 |  | As of <br> December 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ | 19,176 | \$ | 23,251 |
| Federal funds sold and Federal Reserve Bank deposits |  | 230,322 |  | 154,264 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 249,498 |  | 177,515 |
| Trading securities, at fair value |  | 1,249 |  | 2,225 |
| Investment securities available for sale, at fair value |  | 455,131 |  | 500,927 |
| Loans held for sale, at lower of cost or fair value |  | 899 |  | 673 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 179,982 |  | 198,285 |
| Loans, excluding those covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 1,014,215 |  | 930,670 |
| Less allowance for loan losses |  | $(27,418)$ |  | $(26,267)$ |
| Net Loans |  | 1,166,779 |  | 1,102,688 |
| Bank premises and equipment, net |  | 88,015 |  | 84,982 |
| Accrued interest receivable |  | 5,897 |  | 6,570 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank and Federal Reserve Bank stock |  | 9,151 |  | 10,122 |
| Goodwill |  | 38,035 |  | 38,035 |
| Core deposit intangible |  | 4,382 |  | 3,921 |
| Bank owned life insurance ( BOLI ) |  | 27,914 |  | 27,440 |
| Other repossessed real estate owned covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  | 9,696 |  | 11,104 |
| Other repossessed real estate owned ( OREO ) |  | 11,284 |  | 12,239 |
| FDIC indemnification asset |  | 58,944 |  | 59,456 |
| Deferred income taxes, net |  | 10,214 |  | 8,439 |
| Prepaid expense and other assets |  | 19,438 |  | 16,588 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ | 2,156,526 | \$ | 2,062,924 |

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

| Deposits: | $\$ 395,775$ | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Demand - non-interest bearing | 323,224 |  |
| Demand - interest bearing | 310,533 | 282,405 |
| Savings and money market accounts | 448,347 | 422,152 |
| Time deposits | 611,280 | 657,813 |
|  |  |  |
| Total deposits | $1,765,935$ | $1,685,594$ |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 18,652 | 13,789 |
| Federal funds purchased | 87,435 | 68,495 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 3,000 | 15,000 |
| Corporate debentures | 12,500 | 12,500 |
| Accrued interest payable | 985 | 1,148 |
| Settlement payments due FDIC | 2,389 | 6,258 |
| Accounts payables and accrued expenses | 15,344 | 7,891 |

Total liabilities
1,906,240
$1,810,675$

| Stockholders equity: |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred Stock, $\$ .01$ par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized, no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |
| Common stock, $\$ .01$ par value: 100,000,000 shares authorized; $30,039,092$ and $30,004,761$ shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively | 300 |  | 300 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 227,992 |  | 227,464 |
| Retained earnings | 16,788 |  | 21,569 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 5,206 |  | 2,916 |
| Total stockholders equity | 250,286 |  | 252,249 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY | \$ 2,156,526 | \$ | 2,062,924 |

See notes to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements
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## CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)

|  | Three months ended |  |  | Six months ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2011 |  | 30, 2010 | June 30, 2011 |  | 30, 2010 |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans | \$ 16,254 | \$ | 13,034 | \$ 32,581 | \$ | 26,269 |
| Investment securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Taxable | 3,945 |  | 4,307 | 7,514 |  | 8,737 |
| Tax-exempt | 341 |  | 361 | 688 |  | 722 |
| Federal funds sold and other | 165 |  | 138 | 299 |  | 273 |
|  | 20,705 |  | 17,840 | 41,082 |  | 36,001 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | 2,982 |  | 3,957 | 6,191 |  | 8,004 |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 23 |  | 26 | 47 |  | 50 |
| Federal funds purchased | 12 |  | 30 | 32 |  | 65 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 46 |  | 102 | 93 |  | 210 |
| Corporate debentures | 103 |  | 103 | 206 |  | 204 |
|  | 3,166 |  | 4,218 | 6,569 |  | 8,533 |
| Net interest income | 17,539 |  | 13,622 | 34,513 |  | 27,468 |
| Provision for loan losses | 11,645 |  | 4,045 | 22,921 |  | 8,120 |
| Net interest income after loan loss provision | 5,894 |  | 9,577 | 11,592 |  | 19,348 |
| Non interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,417 |  | 1,655 | 2,973 |  | 3,251 |
| Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division | 5,759 |  | 7,372 | 10,229 |  | 13,728 |
| Commissions from sale of mutual funds and annuities | 322 |  | 361 | 761 |  | 465 |
| Debit card and ATM fees | 714 |  | 465 | 1,370 |  | 867 |
| Loan related fees | 306 |  | 117 | 471 |  | 247 |
| BOLI income | 235 |  | 152 | 474 |  | 304 |
| Gain on sale of securities | 3,120 |  | 1,639 | 3,129 |  | 3,075 |
| Trading securities revenue | 106 |  | 115 | 267 |  | 199 |
| Bargain purchase gain |  |  |  | 11,129 |  |  |
| Adjustment to FDIC indemnification asset | 585 |  |  | 1,721 |  |  |
| FDIC indemnification asset accretion | (47) |  |  | 421 |  |  |
| Other non interest revenue and fees | 701 |  | 283 | 1,179 |  | 496 |
| Total other income | 13,218 |  | 12,159 | 34,124 |  | 22,632 |

See notes to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.

## Table of Contents

## CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS (unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)
(continued)

|  | Three months ended |  |  |  | Six months ended |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2011 |  | June 30, 2010 |  | June 30, 2011 |  | June 30, 2010 |  |
| Non interest expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Salaries, wages and employee benefits |  | 13,820 |  | 12,510 |  | 27,326 |  | 24,392 |
| Occupancy expense |  | 2,114 |  | 1,488 |  | 4,208 |  | 2,935 |
| Depreciation of premises and equipment |  | 996 |  | 706 |  | 1,995 |  | 1,461 |
| Supplies, stationary and printing |  | 366 |  | 283 |  | 670 |  | 498 |
| Marketing expenses |  | 760 |  | 596 |  | 1,488 |  | 1,151 |
| Data processing expense |  | 1,625 |  | 664 |  | 2,917 |  | 1,198 |
| Legal, auditing and other professional fees |  | 623 |  | 750 |  | 1,317 |  | 1,382 |
| Core deposit intangible (CDI) amortization |  | 201 |  | 102 |  | 391 |  | 206 |
| Postage and delivery |  | 200 |  | 125 |  | 431 |  | 235 |
| ATM and debit card related expenses |  | 424 |  | 313 |  | 740 |  | 599 |
| Bank regulatory expenses |  | 645 |  | 688 |  | 1,445 |  | 1,302 |
| (Gain) loss on sale of repossessed real estate ( OREO ) |  | (463) |  | (3) |  | 55 |  | 24 |
| Valuation write down of repossessed real estate ( OREO ) |  | 1,235 |  | 428 |  | 3,270 |  | 1,310 |
| Loss on repossessed assets other than real estate |  | 82 |  | 126 |  | 103 |  | 233 |
| Foreclosure related expenses |  | 2,008 |  | 276 |  | 2,995 |  | 694 |
| Other expenses |  | 1,893 |  | 1,546 |  | 3,827 |  | 2,703 |
| Total other expenses |  | 26,529 |  | 20,598 |  | 53,178 |  | 40,323 |
| (Loss) income before income taxes |  | $(7,417)$ |  | 1,138 |  | $(7,462)$ |  | 1,657 |
| (Benefit) provision for income taxes |  | $(3,071)$ |  | 234 |  | $(3,281)$ |  | 360 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | $(4,346)$ | \$ | 904 | \$ | $(4,181)$ | \$ | 1,297 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$ | $(2,548)$ | \$ | 2,622 | \$ | $(1,891)$ | \$ | 2,792 |
| (Loss) earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | (0.14) | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | (0.14) | \$ | 0.05 |
| Diluted | \$ | (0.14) | \$ | 0.03 | \$ | (0.14) | \$ | 0.05 |

Common shares used in the calculation of (loss) earnings per share:

| Basic | $30,037,556$ | $25,802,818$ | $30,028,844$ | $25,789,891$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Diluted | $30,037,556$ | $25,967,594$ | $30,028,844$ | $25,978,805$ |

See notes to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

For the six months ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 (unaudited)
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)

|  | Number of common shares | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Common } \\ & \text { stock } \end{aligned}$ |  | Additional paid in capital | Retained earnings | Accumulated other comprehensive income(loss) |  | Total stockholders equity |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balances at January 1, 2010 | 25,773,229 | \$ | 258 | \$ 193,464 | \$ 28,623 | \$ | 7,065 | \$ | 229,410 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income |  |  |  |  | 1,297 |  |  |  | 1,297 |
| Unrealized holding gain on available for sale securities, net of deferred income tax benefit of $\$ 940$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,495 |  | 1,495 |
| Total comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,792 |
| Dividends paid - common (\$0.02 per share) |  |  |  |  | (516) |  |  |  | (516) |
| Stock options exercised, including tax benefit | 88,872 |  | 1 | 723 |  |  |  |  | 724 |
| Stock grants issued | 700 |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  | 8 |
| Stock based compensation expense |  |  |  | 213 |  |  |  |  | 213 |
| Balances at June 30, 2010 | 25,862,801 | \$ | 259 | \$ 194,408 | \$ 29,404 | \$ | 8,560 | \$ | 232,631 |
| Balances at January 1, 2011 | 30,004,761 | \$ | 300 | \$ 227,464 | \$ 21,569 | \$ | 2,916 | \$ | 252,249 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net loss |  |  |  |  | $(4,181)$ |  |  |  | $(4,181)$ |
| Unrealized holding gain on available for sale securities, net of deferred income tax benefit of $\$ 1,382$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,290 |  | 2,290 |
| Total comprehensive loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,891)$ |
| Dividends paid - common (\$0.02 per share) |  |  |  |  | (600) |  |  |  | (600) |
| Stock options exercised, including tax benefit | 14,903 |  |  | 95 |  |  |  |  | 95 |
| Stock grants issued | 19,428 |  |  | 216 |  |  |  |  | 216 |
| Stock based compensation expense |  |  |  | 217 |  |  |  |  | 217 |
| Balances at June 30, 2011 | 30,039,092 | \$ | 300 | \$ 227,992 | \$ 16,788 | \$ | 5,206 | \$ | 250,286 |


| Disclosure of reclassification amounts: | Three months ended |  |  | Six months ended |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2011 |  | 30, 2010 | June 30, 2011 |  | 30, 2010 |
| Unrealized holding gain arising during the period, net of income taxes | \$ 3,744 | \$ | 2,715 | \$ 4,242 | \$ | 3,384 |
| Less: reclassified adjustments for gain included in net income, net of income taxes of $\$ 1,174, \$ 642, \$ 1,177$, and $\$ 1,186$, respectively, for the periods presented | $(1,946)$ |  | (997) | $(1,952)$ |  | $(1,889)$ |
| Net unrealized gain on securities, net of income taxes | \$ 1,798 | \$ | 1,718 | \$ 2,290 | \$ | 1,495 |

See notes to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements
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CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars)

|  | Six months ended June, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |
| Net (loss) income | \$ $(4,181)$ | \$ 1,297 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses | 22,921 | 8,120 |
| Depreciation of premises and equipment | 1,995 | 1,461 |
| Amortization of purchase accounting adjustments | $(6,636)$ | 4 |
| Net amortization/accretion of investment securities | 3,526 | 2,519 |
| Net deferred loan origination fees | (64) | (40) |
| Gain on sale of securities available for sale | $(3,129)$ | $(3,075)$ |
| Trading securities revenue | (267) | (199) |
| Purchases of trading securities | $(118,082)$ | $(94,562)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of trading securities | 119,325 | 94,519 |
| Repossessed real estate owned valuation write down | 3,270 | 1,310 |
| Loss on sale of repossessed real estate owned | 55 | 24 |
| Repossessed assets other than real estate valuation write down | 77 | 23 |
| Loss on sale of repossessed assets other than real estate | 26 | 210 |
| Gain on sale of loans held for sale | (52) | (21) |
| Loans originated and held for sale | $(3,140)$ | $(2,557)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of loans held for sale | 2,966 | 1,727 |
| Gain on disposal of and or sale of fixed assets | (28) |  |
| Deferred income taxes | $(3,157)$ | $(1,087)$ |
| Stock based compensation expense | 372 | 338 |
| Bank owned life insurance income | (474) | (304) |
| Bargain purchase gain from TD acquisition | $(11,129)$ |  |
| Net cash from changes in: |  |  |
| Net changes in accrued interest receivable, prepaid expenses, and other assets | (716) | $(19,270)$ |
| Net change in accrued interest payable, accrued expense, and other liabilities | 3,340 | 14,923 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 6,818 | 5,360 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |
| Purchases of investment securities available for sale | $(35,767)$ | $(278,212)$ |
| Purchases of mortgage backed securities available for sale | $(177,866)$ | $(120,787)$ |
| Purchases of FHLB and FRB stock |  | (609) |
| Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available for sale | 419 | 7,154 |
| Proceeds from called investment securities available for sale | 53,520 | 51,765 |
| Proceeds from pay-downs of mortgage backed securities available for sale | 55,572 | 63,287 |
| Proceeds from sale of investment securities available for sale | 10,621 | 29,909 |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage backed securities available for sale | 142,572 | 105,746 |
| Proceeds from sale of FHLB and FRB stock | 971 |  |
| Net decrease in loans | 27,538 | 6,163 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net | $(4,340)$ | $(9,137)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of repossessed real estate | 10,005 | 1,641 |
| Proceeds from insurance claims related to repossessed real estate | 263 |  |
| Proceeds from sale of fixed assets | 71 |  |
| Net cash from bank acquisition | 4,349 |  |

See notes to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

```
(in thousands of dollars)
    (continued)
```

|  | $\begin{array}{cc}\text { Six months ended June 30, } \\ 2011 & 2010\end{array}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposits | $(34,061)$ | 122,558 |
| Net increase (decrease) in securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 4,863 | $(7,287)$ |
| Net increase (decrease) increase in federal funds purchased | 18,940 | $(60,309)$ |
| Net decrease in FHLB advances | $(12,000)$ | $(3,000)$ |
| Stock options exercised, including tax benefit | 95 | 724 |
| Dividends paid | (600) | (516) |
| Net cash (used) provided by financing activities | $(22,763)$ | 52,170 |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 71,983 | $(85,550)$ |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 177,515 | 192,407 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$ 249,498 | \$ 106,857 |
| Transfer of loans to other real estate owned | \$ 11,230 | \$ 3,923 |
| Cash paid during the period for: |  |  |
| Interest | \$ 7,612 | \$ 8,826 |
| Income taxes | \$ 147 | \$ 378 |

See notes to the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.
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CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)
NOTE 1: Nature of Operations and basis of presentation

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of CenterState Banks, Inc. (the Parent Company, Company or CSFL ), and our wholly owned subsidiary banks, CenterState Bank of Florida, N.A. and Valrico State Bank, and our non bank subsidiary, R4ALL, Inc. Our subsidiary banks operate through 52 full service banking locations in 14 counties throughout Central Florida, providing traditional deposit and lending products and services to their commercial and retail customers. R4ALL, Inc. is a separate non bank subsidiary of CSFL. Its purpose is to purchase troubled loans from our two subsidiary banks and manage their eventual disposition.

In addition, we also operate a correspondent banking and bond sales division. The division is integrated with and part of our lead subsidiary bank located in Winter Haven, Florida, although the majority of our bond salesmen, traders and operational personnel are physically housed in leased facilities located in Birmingham, Alabama, Atlanta, Georgia and Winston Salem, North Carolina. The business lines of this division are primarily divided into three inter-related revenue generating activities. The first, and largest, revenue generator is commissions earned on fixed income security sales. The second category includes correspondent bank deposits (i.e. federal funds purchased) and correspondent bank checking account deposits. The third revenue generating category includes fees from safe-keeping activities, bond accounting services for correspondents, asset/liability consulting related activities, international wires, and other clearing and corporate checking account services. The customer base includes small to medium size financial institutions primarily located in Florida, Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for complete financial statements. These statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010. In our opinion, all adjustments, consisting primarily of normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for the interim periods have been made. The results of operations of the six month period ended June 30, 2011 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for the full year.

NOTE 2: Common stock outstanding and earnings per share data
Basic earnings per share is based on the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods. Diluted earnings per share includes the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods and the further dilution from stock options using the treasury method. There were approximately $1,155,304$ and $1,110,300$ stock options that were anti dilutive at June 30, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The following is a reconciliation of the numerators and denominators of the basic and diluted earnings per share computations for the periods presented.
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CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)
(continued)

|  | Three months ended June 30,$2011 \quad 2010$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{lr} \text { Six months ended June } 30, \\ 2011 & 2010 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Numerator for basic and diluted earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | $(4,346)$ | \$ | \$ 904 | \$ | $(4,181)$ | \$ | 1,297 |
| Net (loss) income available for common shareholders | \$ | $(4,346)$ | \$ | \$ 904 | \$ | $(4,181)$ | \$ | 1,297 |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Denominator for basic earnings per share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - weighted-average shares |  | 30,037,556 |  | 25,802,818 |  | 30,028,844 |  | 25,789,891 |
| Effect of dilutive securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Employee stock options and stock grants |  |  |  | 164,776 |  |  |  | 188,914 |
| Denominator for diluted earnings per share |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - adjusted weighted-average shares |  | 30,037,556 |  | 25,967,594 |  | 30,028,844 |  | 25,978,805 |
| Basic (loss) earnings per share | \$ | (0.14) |  | 0.03 | \$ | (0.14) | \$ | 0.05 |
| Diluted (loss) earnings per share | \$ | (0.14) |  | 0.03 | \$ | (0.14) | \$ | 0.05 |

NOTE 3: Fair value
Generally accepted accounting principles establish a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date.

Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.

Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a reporting entity s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The fair values of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1 inputs) or matrix pricing, which is a mathematical technique widely used in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted prices for the specific securities but rather by relying on the securities relationship to other benchmark quoted securities (Level 2 inputs).

The fair values of trading securities are determined as follows: (1) for those securities that have traded prior to period end but have not settled (date of sale) until after such date, the sales price is used as the fair value; and, (2) for those securities which have not traded as of period end, the fair value was determined by broker price indications of similar or same securities.
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CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)
(continued)

The mortgage back securities held by the Company were issued by U. S. government sponsored entities and agencies. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below.
$\left.\left.\begin{array}{lll} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fair value measurements using } \\ \text { Quoted prices in } \\ \text { active }\end{array} \\ \text { markets for } \\ \text { identical } \\ \text { assets } \\ \text { (Level 1) }\end{array}\right) \begin{array}{c}\text { Significant } \\ \text { Other } \\ \text { Observable } \\ \text { Inputs } \\ \text { (Level 2) }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { Significant } \\ \text { unobservable } \\ \text { inputs } \\ \text { (Level 3) }\end{array}\right)$
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Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis are summarized below.

|  |  | Fair value measurements usi |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Quoted prices i active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) |  | ficant <br> ervable <br> uts <br> el 3) |
| at June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Impaired loans |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | \$ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Commercial real estate | 3,087 |  |  |  | 3,087 |
| Construction, land development and land | 2,146 |  |  |  | 2,146 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other real estate owned |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | \$ 2,385 |  |  | \$ | 2,385 |
| Commercial real estate | 3,607 |  |  |  | 3,607 |
| Construction, land development and land | 2,148 |  |  |  | 2,148 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |  |

at December 31, 2010
Assets:

| Impaired loans |  | $\$ 2,000$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Residential real estate | 4,931 | $\$$ |
| Commercial real estate | 3,949 | 2,000 |
| Construction, land development and land |  | 4,931 |
| Commercial | $\$ 2,372$ | 3,949 |
| Consumer | 6,851 | $\$$ |
| Other real estate owned | 3,016 | 2,372 |
| Residential real estate |  | 6,851 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 3,016 |
| Construction, land development and land |  |  |

Consumer
Impaired loans measured for impairment using the fair value of the collateral for collateral dependent loans had a recorded investment of \$7,503, with a valuation allowance of $\$ 2,270$, at June 30,2011 , and a carrying amount of $\$ 14,074$, with a valuation allowance of $\$ 3,194$, at December 31, 2010. The Company recorded a provision for loan loss expense of $\$ 305, \$ 1,266$ and $\$ 2,455$ on these loans during the three and six month period ending June 30, 2011, and the year ending December 31, 2010, respectively.
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The fair value of impaired loans with specific allocations of the allowance for loan losses is generally based on recent real estate appraisals. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. Adjustments are routinely made in the appraisal process by the appraisers to adjust for differences between the comparable sales and income data. Such adjustments are typically significant and result in level 3 classification of inputs for determining fair value.

The fair value of our repossessed real estate ( other real estate owned or OREO ) is determined using Level 3 inputs which include current and prior appraisals and estimated costs to sell. These appraisals may utilize a single valuation approach or a combination of approaches including comparable sales and the income approach. The decline in fair value of other real estate owned was $\$ 1,235$ and $\$ 3,270$ during the three and six month period ending June 30, 2011. Changes in fair value were recorded directly as an adjustment to current earnings through non interest expense.

## Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The methods and assumptions used to estimate fair value are described as follows:

Carrying amount is the estimated fair value for cash and cash equivalents, interest bearing deposits, accrued interest receivable and payable, demand deposits, short-term debt, and variable rate loans or deposits that reprice frequently and fully. Security fair values are based on market prices or dealer quotes, and if no such information is available, on the rate and term of the security and information about the issuer. For fixed rate loans or deposits and for variable rate loans or deposits with infrequent repricing or repricing limits, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life and credit risk. Fair values for impaired loans are estimated using underlying collateral values. For the FDIC indemnification asset, fair value is based on discounted cash flows using current market rates applied to the estimated life. Fair value of debt is based on current rates for similar financing. It was not practicable to determine the fair value of Federal Home Loan Bank stock or Federal Reserve Bank stock due to restrictions placed on its transferability. The fair value of off-balance-sheet items is not considered material.
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The following table presents the carry amounts and estimated fair values of the Company s financial instruments:

Jun 30, 2011

|  | Carrying <br> Amount | Fair <br> Value | Carrying <br> Amount | Fair <br> Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 249,498 | \$ 249,498 | \$ 177,515 | \$ 177,515 |
| Trading securities | 1,249 | 1,249 | 2,225 | 2,225 |
| Investment securities available for sale | 455,131 | 455,131 | 500,927 | 500,927 |
| FHLB and FRB stock | 9,151 | n/a | 10,122 | n/a |
| Loans held for sale | 899 | 899 | 673 | 673 |
| Loans, less allowance for loan losses of \$27,418 and \$26,267, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively | 1,166,779 | 1,175,200 | 1,102,688 | 1,109,853 |
| FDIC indemnification asset | 58,544 | 58,544 | 59,456 | 59,456 |
| Accrued interest receivable | 5,897 | 5,897 | 6,570 | 6,570 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits- without stated maturities | \$ 1,154,655 | \$ 1,154,655 | \$ 1,027,781 | \$ 1,027,781 |
| Deposits- with stated maturities | 611,280 | 619,470 | 657,813 | 667,632 |
| Securities sold under agreement to repurchase | 18,652 | 18,652 | 13,789 | 13,789 |
| Federal funds purchased (correspondent bank deposits) | 87,435 | 87,435 | 68,495 | 68,495 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowed funds | 3,000 | 3,031 | 15,000 | 15,113 |
| Corporate debentures | 12,500 | 6,094 | 12,500 | 6,075 |
| Accrued interest payable | 985 | 985 | 1,148 | 1,148 |

NOTE 4: Reportable segments

The Company s reportable segments represent the distinct product lines the Company offers and are viewed separately for strategic planning purposes by management. The table below is a reconciliation of the reportable segment revenues, expenses, and profit to the Company s consolidated total for the six and three month periods ending June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Six month period ending June 30, 2011

|  |  | Commercial <br> and retail <br> banking | Correspondent <br> banking and <br> bond sales <br> division | Corporate <br> overhead <br> and <br> administration | Elimination <br> entries | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| Non interest income | 22,835 | 11,289 |  | $(1,409)$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 34,124 \\ (53,178) \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non interest expense | $(41,065)$ |  | $(10,704)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income before taxes | $(8,201)$ |  | 2,354 |  | $(1,615)$ |  |  | $(7,462)$ |
| Income tax benefit (provision) | 3,574 |  | (885) |  | 592 |  |  | 3,281 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ $(4,627)$ | \$ | 1,469 | \$ | $(1,023)$ |  | \$ | $(4,181)$ |
| Total assets | \$ 1,960,804 | \$ | 192,882 | \$ | 265,670 | \$ $(262,830)$ |  | 156,526 |
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Three month period ending June 30, 2011

|  | Commercial <br> and retail <br> banking | Correspondent <br> banking and <br> bond sales <br> division | Corporate <br> overhead <br> and <br> administration | Elimination <br> entries | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Six month period ending June 30, 2010

|  | Commercial and retail banking |  | Correspondent banking and bond sales Division |  | Corporate <br> Overhead And <br> Administration |  | Elimination entries | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 33,084 | \$ | 2,917 |  |  |  | \$ | 36,001 |
| Interest expense |  | $(8,257)$ |  | (72) |  | (204) |  |  | $(8,533)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 24,827 |  | 2,845 |  | (204) |  |  | 27,468 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | $(8,117)$ |  | (3) |  |  |  |  | $(8,120)$ |
| Non interest income |  | 8,252 |  | 14,380 |  |  |  |  | 22,632 |
| Non interest expense |  | $(25,951)$ |  | $(12,901)$ |  | $(1,471)$ |  |  | $(40,323)$ |
| Net income before taxes |  | (989) |  | 4,321 |  | $(1,675)$ |  |  | 1,657 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) |  | 666 |  | $(1,664)$ |  | 638 |  |  | (360) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | (323) | \$ | 2,657 | \$ | $(1,037)$ |  | \$ | 1,297 |

Total assets $\quad \$ 1,626,365 \quad \$ \quad 201,056 \quad \$ \quad 247,287 \quad \$(253,366) \quad \$ 1,821,342$

Three month period ending June 30, 2010

|  | Commercial and retail banking |  | Correspondent banking and bond sales Division |  | Corporate <br> Overhead And Administration |  | Elimination entries | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 16,487 | \$ | 1,353 |  |  |  | \$ | 17,840 |
| Interest expense |  | $(4,081)$ |  | (34) |  | (103) |  |  | $(4,218)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 12,406 |  | 1,319 |  | (103) |  |  | 13,622 |
| Provision for loan losses |  | $(4,043)$ |  | (2) |  |  |  |  | $(4,045)$ |
| Non interest income |  | 4,401 |  | 7,758 |  |  |  |  | 12,159 |
| Non interest expense |  | $(13,135)$ |  | $(6,738)$ |  | (725) |  |  | $(20,598)$ |
| Net income before taxes |  | (371) |  | 2,337 |  | (828) |  |  | 1,138 |
| Income tax benefit (provision) |  | 351 |  | (900) |  | 315 |  |  | (234) |
| Net (loss) income | \$ | (20) | \$ | 1,437 | \$ | (513) |  | \$ | 904 |
| Total assets |  | 626,365 | \$ | 201,056 | \$ | 247,287 | \$ $(253,366)$ |  | 821,342 |
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Commercial and retail banking: The Company s primary business is commercial and retail banking. Currently, the Company operates through two subsidiary banks and a non bank subsidiary, R4ALL, with 52 locations in fourteen counties throughout Central Florida providing traditional deposit and lending products and services to its commercial and retail customers.

Corresponding banking and bond sales division: Operating as a division of our largest subsidiary bank, its primary revenue generating activities are as follows: 1) the first, and largest, revenue generator is commissions earned on fixed income security sales; 2) the second category includes spread income earned on correspondent bank deposits (i.e. federal funds purchased) and service fees on correspondent bank checking accounts; and, 3) the third revenue generating category, includes fees from safe-keeping activities, bond accounting services for correspondents, asset/liability consulting related activities, international wires, and other clearing and corporate checking account services. The customer base includes small to medium size financial institutions primarily located in Florida, Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia.

Corporate overhead and administration: Corporate overhead and administration is comprised primarily of compensation and benefits for certain members of management, interest on parent company debt, office occupancy and depreciation of parent company facilities, merger related costs and other expenses.

NOTE 5: Investment Securities Available for Sale

The fair value of available for sale securities and the related gross unrealized gains and losses recognized in accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

|  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored entities and agencies | \$ 80,783 | \$ | 631 | \$ | 130 | \$ 81,284 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 328,229 |  | 7,173 |  | 39 | 335,363 |
| Municipal securities | 37,773 |  | 872 |  | 161 | 38,484 |
| Total | \$ 446,785 | \$ | 8,676 | \$ | 330 | \$ 455,131 |


|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Fair <br> Value |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored entities and agencies | \$ 113,183 | \$ | 732 | \$ | 499 | \$ 113,416 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 348,990 |  | 6,563 |  | 1,295 | 354,258 |
| Municipal securities | 34,079 |  | 259 |  | 1,085 | 33,253 |
| Total | \$ 496,252 | \$ | 7,554 | \$ | 2,879 | \$ 500,927 |
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The cost of securities sold is determined using the specific identification method. Sales of available for sale securities were as follows:

|  | June 30, | June 30, |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| For the six months ended: | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| Proceeds | $\$ 153,193$ | $\$ 135,655$ |
| Gross gains | 3,260 | 3,075 |
| Gross losses | 131 |  |

The tax provision related to these net realized gains was $\$ 1,177$ and $\$ 1,186$, respectively.
The fair value of available for sale securities at June 30, 2011 by contractual maturity were as follows. Securities not due at a single maturity date, primarily mortgage-backed securities, are shown separately.

| Investment securities available for sale | Fair <br> Value | Amortized <br> Cost |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Due in one year or less | $\$ 46$ | $\$$ | 745 |
| Due after one year through five years | 12,331 | 12,192 |  |
| Due after five years through ten years | 47,201 | 46,650 |  |
| Due after ten years through thirty years | 59,490 | 58,969 |  |
| Mortgage backed securities | 335,363 | 328,229 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 455,131$ | $\$ 446,785$ |  |

Securities pledged at June, 2011 and December 31, 2010 had a carrying amount (estimated fair value) of $\$ 162,496$ and $\$ 157,087$ respectively. These securities were pledged primarily to secure public deposits and repurchase agreements.

At June, 2011 and December 31, 2010, there were no holdings of securities of any one issuer, other than the U.S. Government sponsored entities and agencies, in an amount greater than $10 \%$ of stockholders equity.

The following tables show the Company s investments gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010.

|  | Less than 12 months |  |  | June 30, 2011 <br> 12 months or more |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  |
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored entities and agencies | \$ 14,870 | \$ | 130 | \$ | \$ | \$ 14,870 | \$ | 130 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 13,016 |  | 39 |  |  | 13,016 |  | 39 |
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| Municipal securities | 3,427 |  | 92 | 1,383 |  | 69 | 4,810 | 161 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | $\$ 31,313$ | $\$$ | 261 | $\$ 1,383$ | $\$$ | 69 | $\$ 32,696$ | $\$$ | 330 |
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|  | Less than 12 months |  |  | December 31, 2010 <br> 12 months or more |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  | Fair Value | Unrealized Losses |  |
| Obligations of U.S. government sponsored entities and agencies | \$ 14,501 | \$ | 499 | \$ | \$ |  | \$ 14,501 | \$ | 499 |
| Mortgage backed securities | 130,937 |  | 1,295 |  |  |  | 130,937 |  | 1,295 |
| Municipal securities | 19,135 |  | 880 | 1,246 |  | 205 | 20,381 |  | 1,085 |
| Total temporarily impaired securities | \$ 164,573 | \$ | 2,674 | \$ 1,246 | \$ | 205 | \$ 165,819 | \$ | 2,879 |

Mortgage-backed securities: At June 30, 2011, 100\% of the mortgage-backed securities held by the Company were issued by U.S. government-sponsored entities and agencies, primarily Fannie Mae, Freddie Mac, and Ginnie Mae, institutions which the government has affirmed its commitment to support. Because the decline in fair value is attributable to changes in interest rates and illiquidity, and not credit quality, and because management does not intend to sell these investments or more likely than not will not be required to sell these investments before their anticipated recovery, the Company does not consider these securities to be other-than-temporarily impaired at June 30, 2011

Municipal securities: Unrealized losses on municipal securities have not been recognized into income because the issuers bonds are of high quality, and because management does not intend to sell these investments or more likely than not will not be required to sell these investments before their anticipated recovery. The fair value is expected to recover as the securities approach maturity.
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## NOTE 6: Loans

The following table sets forth information concerning the loan portfolio by collateral types as of the dates indicated.

|  | Jun 30, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements (note 2) |  |  |
| Real estate loans |  |  |
| Residential | \$ 261,773 | \$ 255,571 |
| Commercial | 484,897 | 410,162 |
| Construction, development, land | 101,606 | 109,380 |
| Total real estate | 848,276 | 775,113 |
| Commercial | 113,030 | 100,906 |
| Consumer and other loans, at fair value (note 1) | 2,287 | 3,264 |
| Consumer and other | 51,287 | 52,115 |
| Loans before unearned fees and cost | 1,014,880 | 931,398 |
| Unearned fees/costs | (665) | (728) |
| Total loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 1,014,215 | 930,670 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |
| Real estate loans |  |  |
| Residential | 105,249 | 110,586 |
| Commercial | 58,867 | 68,286 |
| Construction, development, land | 11,771 | 13,653 |
| Total real estate | 175,887 | 192,525 |
| Commercial | 4,095 | 5,760 |
| Total loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 179,982 | 198,285 |
| Total loans | 1,194,197 | 1,128,955 |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(27,418)$ | $(26,267)$ |
| Total loans, net of allowance for loan losses | \$ 1,166,779 | \$ 1,102,688 |

Note 1: Consumer loans acquired pursuant to three FDIC assisted transactions of failed financial institutions during the third quarter of 2010. These loans are not covered by an FDIC loss share agreement. The loans have been written down to estimated fair value and are being
accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30.
Note 2: Includes $\$ 104,772$ of loans that are subject to a two year put back option with TD Bank, N.A., so that if any of these loans become 30 days past due or are adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, the Company has the option to put back the loan to TD Bank.

## Table of Contents

CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

## NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)

(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)
(continued)

The table below sets forth the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods presented, in thousands of dollars.

|  | Three month period ended June 30, 2011 |  | Six month period ended June 30, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance at beginning of period | \$ | 28,245 | \$ | 26,267 |
| Charge-offs |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans |  | $(2,751)$ |  | $(5,523)$ |
| Commercial real estate loans |  | $(5,954)$ |  | $(9,931)$ |
| Construction, development and land loans |  | $(3,376)$ |  | $(5,477)$ |
| Non real estate commercial loans |  | (368) |  | (625) |
| Non real estate consumer and other loans |  | (147) |  | (498) |
| Total charge-offs |  | $(12,596)$ |  | $(22,054)$ |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans |  | (30) |  | 78 |
| Commercial real estate loans |  | 62 |  | 74 |
| Construction, development and land loans |  | 10 |  | 12 |
| Non real estate commercial loans |  | 4 |  | 15 |
| Non real estate consumer and other loans |  | 78 |  | 105 |
| Total recoveries |  | 124 |  | 284 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(12,472)$ |  | $(21,770)$ |
| Provision for loan losses |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans |  | 3,257 |  | 5,673 |
| Commercial real estate loans |  | 5,281 |  | 10,809 |
| Construction, development and land loans |  | 2,885 |  | 6,160 |
| Non real estate commercial loans |  | 196 |  | (79) |
| Non real estate consumer and other loans |  | 26 |  | 358 |
| Total provision for loan losses |  | 11,645 |  | 22,921 |
| Allowance at end of period | \$ | 27,418 | \$ | 27,418 |
|  |  | ee month od ended ne 30 , 2010 |  | x month <br> period <br> ended <br> une 30, <br> 2010 |
| Allowance at beginning of period | \$ | 24,088 | \$ | 23,289 |


| Charge-offs | $(4,163)$ | $(7,473)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Recoveries | 221 | 255 |
| Provision | 4,045 | 8,120 |
|  | $\$$ |  |
| Allowance at end of period | 24,191 | $\$$ |
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The following tables present the balance in the allowance for loan losses and the recorded investment in loans by portfolio segment and based on impairment method as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, excluding loans purchased from the FDIC with evidence of credit deterioration and covered by FDIC loss share agreements (in thousands of dollars). Accrued interest receivable and unearned fees/costs are not included in the recorded investment because they are not material.

| Real Estate Loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of June 30, 2011 | Residential | Commercial | Constr., develop., land | Comm. \& industrial | Consumer \& other | Total |
| Allowance for loan losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending allowance balance attributable to loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | \$ 1,361 | \$ 909 | \$ | \$ | \$ 2,270 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | 7,932 | 8,178 | 6,679 | 1,493 | 866 | 25,148 |
| Acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ending allowance balance | 7,932 | 9,539 | 7,588 | 1,493 | 866 | 27,418 |
| Loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans individually evaluated for impairment | 13,233 | 38,909 | 14,341 | 6,200 | 624 | 73,307 |
| Loans collectively evaluated for impairment (1) | 248,540 | 445,988 | 87,265 | 106,830 | 50,663 | 939,286 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  |  |  |  | 2,287 | 2,287 |
| Total ending loans balance | \$ 261,773 | \$ 484,897 | \$ 101,606 | \$ 113,030 | \$ 53,574 | \$ 1,014,880 |

(1) Includes $\$ 104,772$ of loans purchased from TD Bank during the first quarter of 2011. The loans purchased are all performing loans with a two year put back option. This segment of the loan portfolio has no allocation of the allowance for loan loss.

|  |  |  | Real Estate Loans |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of December 31, 2010 |  |  | Constr., <br> develop., <br> land |  <br> industrial | Consumer <br> \& other | Total |

Total ending allowance balance
\$ 7,704
\$ 8,587
\$ 6,893
\$ 2,182
\$ 90
\$ 26,267

Loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share:

| Loans individually evaluated for impairment | 14,856 | 49,427 | 16,298 | 5,712 | 684 | 86,977 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loans collectively evaluated for impairment | 240,715 | 360,735 | 93,082 | 95,194 | 51,431 | 841,157 |
| Loans acquired with deteriorated credit quality |  |  |  |  | 3,264 | 3,264 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ending loans balance | 255,571 | 410,162 | 109,380 | 100,906 | 55,379 | 931,398 |
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The table below summarizes impaired loan data for the periods presented.

|  | June 30, <br> 2011 | Dec 31, <br> 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Impaired loans with a specific valuation allowance | $\$ 7,503$ | $\$ 14,074$ |
| Impaired loans without a specific valuation allowance | 65,804 | 72,903 |
|  |  |  |
| Total impaired loans | $\$ \mathbf{7 3 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 6 , 9 7 7}$ |
| Amount of allowance for loan losses allocated to impaired loans | $\$ 2,270$ | $\$ 3,194$ |
| Performing TDRs | $\$ 8,547$ | $\$ 10,591$ |
| Non performing TDRs, included in NPLs | 10,056 | 11,731 |
| Total TDRs (TDRs are required to be included in impaired loans) | $\$ 18,603$ | $\$ 22,322$ |
| Impaired loans that are not TDRs | 54,704 | 64,655 |
|  |  |  |
| Total impaired loans | $\mathbf{8 7 3 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 6 , 9 7 7}$ |

The following tables present loans individually evaluated for impairment by class of loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The recorded investment is less than the unpaid principal balance due to partial charge-offs.

| As of June 30, 2011 | Unpaid principal balance | Recorded investment |  | Allowance for loan losses allocated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | \$ 15,931 |  | 13,234 | \$ |  |
| Commercial real estate | 40,846 |  | 34,461 |  |  |
| Construction, development, land | 17,617 |  | 11,285 |  |  |
| Commercial | 6,447 |  | 6,200 |  |  |
| Consumer, other | 625 |  | 624 |  |  |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | 5,210 |  | 4,448 |  | 1,361 |
| Construction, development, land | 3,327 |  | 3,055 |  | 909 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer, other |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ 90,003 | \$ | 73,307 | \$ | 2,270 |
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| As of December 31, 2010 | Unpaid <br> principal <br> balance |  | Recorded investment |  | Allowance for loan losses allocated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate | \$ | 13,313 | \$ | 12,177 | \$ |  |
| Commercial real estate |  | 46,616 |  | 42,515 |  |  |
| Construction, development, land |  | 15,539 |  | 11,815 |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 5,712 |  | 5,712 |  |  |
| Consumer, other |  | 684 |  | 684 |  |  |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate |  | 2,679 |  | 2,679 |  | 679 |
| Commercial real estate |  | 7,123 |  | 6,912 |  | 1,981 |
| Construction, development, land |  | 4,483 |  | 4,483 |  | 534 |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer, other |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 96,149 | \$ | 86,977 | \$ | 3,194 |


| Three month period ending June 30, 2011 | Average of impaired loans during the period |  | Interest income recognized during impairment |  | Cash basis interest income recognized |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential | \$ | 13,720 | \$ | 84 | \$ |
| Commercial |  | 42,780 |  | 159 |  |
| Construction, development, land |  | 15,553 |  | 31 |  |
| Total real estate loans |  | 72,053 |  | 274 |  |
| Commercial loans |  | 5,850 |  | 64 |  |
| Consumer and other loans |  | 651 |  | 10 |  |
| Total | \$ | 78,554 | \$ | 348 | \$ |

Six month period ending June 30, 2011

| Real estate loans: |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential | $\$$ | 14,125 | $\$$ | 110 |
| Commercial | 45,410 | $\$$ |  |  |
| Construction, development, land | 16,042 |  | 41 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total real estate loans | 75,577 | 507 |  |  |
| Commercial loans | 5,728 | 127 |  |  |
| Consumer and other loans | 667 | 11 |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Total } & \$ & 81,972 & \$ & 645 & \$\end{array}$
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\begin{array}{lrrr} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Three month } \\
\text { period ended }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Six month } \\
\text { period ended }\end{array}
$$ <br>

June 30, 2010\end{array}\right)\)| June 30, 2010 |
| :---: | ---: |

Nonperforming loans include both smaller balance homogeneous loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment and individually classified impaired loans. The following table presents non-performing loans, excluding loans acquired from the FDIC with evidence of credit deterioration and covered by FDIC loss share agreements.

Nonperforming loans were as follows:

|  | Jun 30, 2011 | Dec 31, 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Non accrual loans | $\$ 65,658$ | $\$$ | 62,553 |
| Loans past due over 90 days and still accruing interest | 301 | 3,200 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Total non performing loans | $\$ 65,959$ | $\$$ | 65,753 |

The following table presents the recorded investment in nonaccrual loans and loans past due over 90 days still on accrual by class of loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, excluding loans acquired from the FDIC with evidence of credit deterioration and covered by FDIC loss share agreements:

| As of June 30, 2011 |  | Loans past due <br> over 90 days <br> still accruing |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Residential real estate | Nonaccrual | $\$$ |
| Commercial real estate | 18,951 |  |
| Construction, development, land | 29,437 |  |
| Commercial | 15,344 |  |
| Consumer, other | 1,612 |  |
| Total | 314 |  |


|  |  | Loans past <br> due <br> over 90 days |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| As of December 31, 2010 | Nonaccrual | still accruing |  |
| Residential real estate | $\$ 17,282$ | $\$$ | 1,820 |
| Commercial real estate | 28,364 | 869 |  |
| Construction, development, land | 15,546 | 366 |  |
| Commercial | 615 | 83 |  |
| Consumer, other | 746 | 62 |  |

Total
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The following table presents the aging of the recorded investment in past due loans as of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, excluding loans acquired from the FDIC with evidence of credit deterioration and covered by FDIC loss share agreements:


|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | ing L |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As of December 31, 2010 |  | Total |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0-59 \\ & \text { ys past } \\ & \text { due } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0-89 \\ & \text { days } \\ & \text { past } \\ & \text { due } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reater } \\ & \text { lan } 90 \\ & \text { days } \\ & \text { past } \\ & \text { due } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total Past Due | Loans Not <br> Past Due |  | naccrual Loans |
| Residential Real Estate | \$ | 255,571 | \$ | 4,901 | \$ | 800 | \$ | 1,820 | \$ | 7,521 | \$ 230,768 | \$ | 17,282 |
| Commercial Real Estate |  | 410,162 |  | 4,093 |  | 1,945 |  | 869 |  | 6,907 | 374,891 |  | 28,364 |
| Construction/Dev/Land |  | 109,380 |  | 2,575 |  | 619 |  | 366 |  | 3,560 | 90,274 |  | 15,546 |
| Commercial |  | 100,906 |  | 1,293 |  | 627 |  | 83 |  | 2,003 | 98,288 |  | 615 |
| Consumer |  | 55,379 |  | 710 |  | 236 |  | 62 |  | 1,008 | 53,625 |  | 746 |
|  | \$ | 931,398 |  | 13,572 | \$ | 4,227 | \$ | 3,200 |  | 20,999 | \$ 847,846 | \$ | 62,553 |

Credit Quality Indicators:

The Company categorizes loans into risk categories based on relevant information about the ability of borrowers to service their debt such as: current financial information, historical payment experience, credit documentation, public information, and current economic trends, among other factors. The Company analyzes loans individually by classifying the loans as to credit risk. This analysis includes loans with an outstanding balance greater than $\$ 500$ and non-homogeneous loans, such as commercial and commercial real estate loans. This analysis is performed on at least an annual basis. The Company uses the following definitions for risk ratings:

Special Mention: Loans classified as special mention have a potential weakness that deserves management sclose attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the loan or of the institution scredit position at some future date.

Substandard: Loans classified as substandard are inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or of the collateral pledged, if any. Loans so classified have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. They are characterized by the distinct possibility that the institution will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.
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Doubtful: Loans classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses inherent in those classified as substandard, with the added characteristic that the weaknesses make collection or liquidation in full, on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions, and values, highly questionable and improbable.

Loans not meeting the criteria above that are analyzed individually as part of the above described process are considered to be pass rated loans. Loans listed as not rated are either less than $\$ 500$ or are included in groups of homogeneous loans. As of June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, and based on the most recent analysis performed, the risk category of loans by class of loans, excluding loans with evidence of deterioration of credit quality purchased from the FDIC and covered by FDIC loss share agreements, is as follows:

| Loan Category | As of June 30, 2011 Special |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Real Estate | \$ 224,781 | \$ 5,715 | \$ | 31,277 | \$ |
| Commercial Real Estate | 410,068 | 24,655 |  | 50,174 |  |
| Construction/Dev/Land | 76,328 | 7,052 |  | 18,226 |  |
| Commercial | 99,257 | 2,862 |  | 10,911 |  |
| Consumer | 51,882 | 762 |  | 930 |  |
|  | \$ 862,316 | \$ 41,046 | \$ | 111,518 | \$ |
| Loan Category | As of December 31, 2010 Special |  |  |  |  |
| Residential Real Estate | \$ 216,164 | \$ 8,555 | \$ | 30,852 | \$ |
| Commercial Real Estate | 336,869 | 19,300 |  | 53,993 |  |
| Construction/Dev/Land | 77,811 | 8,001 |  | 23,568 |  |
| Commercial | 88,290 | 2,806 |  | 9,810 |  |
| Consumer | 52,850 | 838 |  | 1,691 |  |
|  | \$ 771,984 | \$ 39,500 | \$ | 119,914 | \$ |

The Company considers the performance of the loan portfolio and its impact on the allowance for loan losses. For residential and consumer loan classes, the Company also evaluates credit quality based on the aging status of the loan, which was previously presented, and by payment activity. The following table presents the recorded investment in residential and consumer loans, excluding loans with evidence of deterioration of credit quality purchased from the FDIC and covered by FDIC loss share agreements, based on payment activity as of June 30, 2011:

|  | Residential | Consumer |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Performing | $\$ 242,822$ | $\$ 52,959$ |
| Nonperforming | 18,951 | 615 |
|  |  |  |
| Total | $\$ 261,773$ | $\$ 53,574$ |
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Loans purchased from the FDIC:
Income recognized on loans we purchased from the FDIC is recognized pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. A portion of the fair value discount has been ascribed as an accretable yield that is accreted into interest income over the estimated remaining life of the loans. The remaining non-accretable difference represents cash flows not expected to be collected. Accretable yield, or interest income expected to be collected is as follows:

| Balance at December 31, 2010 | $\$ 39,013$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Accretion of interest income | $(5,828)$ |
| Reclassification from non-accretable difference | 1,933 |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | $\$ 35,118$ |

The table below summarizes the total contractually required principal and interest cash payments, management s estimate of expected total cash payments and carrying value of the loans as of June 30, 2011.

|  | Balance at <br>  <br> Cun <br>  <br> Contractually required principal and interest <br> Non-accretable difference |
| :--- | :---: |
|  | 295,112 |
| (77,725) |  |
| Cash flows expected to be collected | 217,387 |
| Accretable yield | $(35,118)$ |
| Carrying value of acquired loans | $\$ 182,269$ |

The table below summarizes the total contractually required principal and interest cash payments, management s estimate of expected total cash payments and carrying value of the loans as of the December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011.

|  | Activity |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance at | Activity |  |  |
| during | during | Balance at |  |  |
|  | Dec 31, 2010 | 1 Q 2011 | 2Q 2011 | June 30, 2011 |
| Contractually required principal and interest | $\$ 320,220$ | $\$(12,490)$ | $\$(12,618)$ | $\$ 295,112$ |
| Non-accretable difference | $(79,658)$ |  | 1,933 | $(77,725)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flows expected to be collected | 240,562 | $(12,490)$ | $(10,685)$ | 217,387 |
| Accretable yield | $(39,013)$ | 3,248 | 647 | $(35,118)$ |

Edgar Filing: CenterState Banks, Inc. - Form 10-Q
Carrying value of acquired loans $\quad \$ 201,549 \quad \$(9,242) \quad \$(10,038) \quad \$ 182,269$
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NOTE 7: FDIC indemnification asset
The activity in the FDIC loss share indemnification asset which resulted from the July 16, 2010 acquisition of Olde Cypress Community Bank and the August 20, 2010 acquisitions of the Community National Bank of Bartow and Independent National Bank in Ocala loss share agreements is as follows:

|  | Six months <br> period ended <br> Jun 30, 2011 | Twelve months <br> period ended <br> Dec 31, 2010 |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Beginning of the year | $\$ 59,456$ | $\$$ | 58,309 |
| Effect of acquisitions |  | 421 | 598 |
| Discount accretion | 2,422 | 549 |  |
| Indemnification revenue | $(3,590)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from FDIC | 235 |  |  |
| Impairment of loan pool | $\$ 58,944$ | $\$$ | 59,456 |
|  |  |  |  |

NOTE 8: Announced acquisitions

On May 23, 2011, the Company announced that it had entered into a definitive agreement with The Hartford Financial Services Group, Inc. to purchase Federal Trust Corporation and subsequently merge Federal Trust Bank into its lead subsidiary bank, CenterState Bank of Florida, NA. This transaction is expected to close by the end of the year pending regulatory approval. With the closing of this transaction, the Company will assume all of the deposits, approximately $\$ 230$ million, and purchase selected performing loans totaling approximately $\$ 170$ million and other assets of Federal Trust Bank. The Company will not pay a premium to assume the deposits and will receive a $27 \%$ discount on selected performing loans. The Company also has the option to put back any purchased loan for up to one year after closing that becomes 30 days past due or becomes adversely classified by applicable regulatory standards.

## Table of Contents

CenterState Banks, Inc. and Subsidiaries

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
(in thousands of dollars, except per share data)
(continued)

## NOTE 9: Measurement period adjustments

On July 16, 2010 the Company acquired substantially all the assets and assumed substantially all the deposits of Olde Cypress Community Bank through a purchase and assumption agreement, including loss sharing with the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ( FDIC ). As previously disclosed, the fair values initially assigned to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed were preliminary and subject to refinement for up to one year after the closing date of the acquisition as new information relative to closing date fair values became available. Preliminary valuation and purchase price allocation adjustments are reflected in the table below.

|  | July 16, 2010 (as initially reported) |  | Preliminary measurement period adjustments |  | July 16, 2010 <br> (as adjusted) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash due from banks and Federal Reserve Bank, net | \$ | 18,643 | \$ |  | \$ | 18,643 |
| Investment securities available for sale |  | 8,509 |  |  |  | 8,509 |
| Loans |  | 93,360 |  | (991) |  | 92,369 |
| Other repossessed real estate owned ( OREO ) |  | 6,388 |  |  |  | 6,388 |
| FDIC indemnification asset |  | 26,637 |  | 358 |  | 26,995 |
| FHLB stock |  | 305 |  |  |  | 305 |
| Core deposit intangible |  | 714 |  |  |  | 714 |
| Other assets |  | 1,159 |  |  |  | 1,159 |
| Total assets acquired | \$ | 155,715 | \$ | (633) | \$ | 155,082 |
| Deposits | \$ | 152,264 |  |  | \$ | 152,264 |
| Escrow accounts |  | 1,308 |  |  |  | 1,308 |
| Interest payable on deposits |  | 132 |  |  |  | 132 |
| other liabilities |  | 1 |  |  |  | 1 |
| Total liabilities assumed | \$ | 153,705 | \$ |  | \$ | 153,705 |
| Net assets acquired | \$ | 2,010 | \$ | (633) | \$ | 1,377 |
| Deferred tax impact | \$ | 775 | \$ | (238) | \$ | 537 |
| Net assets acquired, including deferred tax impact | \$ | 1,235 | \$ | (395) | \$ | 840 |

NOTE 10: Acquisition of certain assets and liabilities
On January 20, 2011 the Company completed its previously announced transaction as described in the Purchase and Assumption Agreement dated as of August 8, 2010 by and among CenterState, Carolina First Bank and, to the extent provided therein, The South Financial Group, Inc. and TD Bank, National Association (the P\&A Agreement). The reason for this transaction is as follows. The seller had recently entered into several acquisition transactions and pursuant to certain concentration of deposit regulations, was required to divest a certain amount of deposit liabilities in Putnam County, Florida. CenterState (purchaser) was in a position to assist them with this divesture, if the seller was willing to sell performing loans, selected by CenterState, and to sell them at a discount with a put back option.

Pursuant to the P\&A Agreement, CenterState acquired deposits with an estimated fair value of approximately $\$ 115,283$, two branch offices and assumed the leases on an additional two branch offices within Putnam County, Florida. CenterState did not pay a premium for the deposits and purchased the two owned branches for approximately $\$ 700$. In addition, CenterState purchased performing loans with an estimated fair value of approximately $\$ 119,387$ previously selected by CenterState and located within CenterState s fourteen County market areas within Central Florida. CenterState purchased the performing loans for $90 \%$ of their face value amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. During the two year period following the closing of this transaction and subject to the terms of the P\&A Agreement, CenterState may put back to TD Bank N.A. ( TD ) any acquired loan that (1) becomes more than 30 days delinquent or (2) becomes classified as nonaccrual, substandard, doubtful, or loss accordance with applicable regulatory standards for loss classification.
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The loans acquired pursuant to this transaction are not being accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. We arrived at this conclusion because none of these loans have specifically identifiable or implied credit deficiencies associated with them. We base this on the results of our due diligence team who reviewed and selected only qualified performing loans rejecting approximately $80 \%$ of the potential loan pool offered in terms of dollars. That is, our team looked at a total loan population of approximately $\$ 800$ million in order to identify enough qualified loans to fill the $\$ 120$ million target amount. In addition, the Company has the option during a two year period to put back any loan that becomes 30 days past due or becomes adversely classified, as discussed previously. This transaction has a different fact pattern than the three FDIC fail banks we purchased during the third quarter of 2010. The loans we purchased pursuant to the FDIC failed bank transactions are being accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30 because we acquired all the loans in those troubled loan portfolios. These loans had either specifically identifiable credit deficiencies factors or implied factors such that we believed there to be an element of elevated risk as to whether all contractual cash flows will eventually be received. In this case, the loans were not hand selected from fourteen counties within Central Florida, but acquired as an entire portfolio in a single county. This is a combined loan portfolio of three failed financial institutions, which implies potentially deficient, or at least questionable, credit underwriting.

The following table summarizes the fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition:

| Assets: | 724 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Cash | 3,624 |  |
| Cash due from seller | 119,388 |  |
| Loans, net | 357 |  |
| Interest receivable | 731 |  |
| Premises and equipment | 876 |  |
| Put back option | 851 |  |
| CDI | 3 |  |
| Other assets | $\$ 126,554$ |  |
| Total assets acquired | $\$ 115,283$ |  |
|  | 131 |  |
| Liabilities: | 11 |  |
| Deposits | $\$ 115,425$ |  |
| Interest payable | $\$ 11,129$ |  |
| Other liabilities | 4,188 |  |
| Total assets assumed | $\$$ | 6,941 |

NOTE 11: Effect of new pronouncements

In April 2011, the FASB amended existing guidance for assisting a creditor in determining whether a restructuring is a troubled debt restructuring. The amendments clarify the guidance for a creditor s evaluation of whether it has granted a concession and whether a debtor is experiencing financial difficulties. This guidance is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after June 15, 2011, and should be applied retrospectively to the beginning of the annual period of adoption. For purposes of measuring impairment on newly identified troubled debt restructurings, the amendments should be applied prospectively for the first interim or annual period beginning on or after June 15, 2011. The Company has not determined the impact, if any, upon adoption of this standard.
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## ITEM 2: MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

All dollar amounts presented herein are in thousands, except per share data.
COMPARISON OF BALANCE SHEETS AT JUNE 30, 2011 AND DECEMBER 31, 2010

## Overview

Our total assets increased approximately $4.5 \%$ during the six month period ending June 30, 2011 primarily due to the TD Bank transaction occurring in our previous quarter whereby we acquired four branches and approximately $\$ 115,283$ of deposits and $\$ 119,388$ of loans on January 20, 2011. These changes are discussed and analyzed below and on the following pages.

Federal funds sold and Federal Reserve Bank deposits

Federal funds sold and Federal Reserve Bank deposits were \$230,322 at June 30, 2011 (approximately $10.7 \%$ of total assets) as compared to $\$ 154,264$ at December 31, 2010 (approximately $7.5 \%$ of total assets). We use our available-for-sale securities portfolio, as well as federal funds sold and Federal Reserve Bank deposits for liquidity management and for investment yields. These accounts, as a group, will fluctuate as a function of loans outstanding, and to some degree the amount of correspondent bank deposits (i.e. federal funds purchased) outstanding.

Investment securities available for sale

Securities available-for-sale, consisting primarily of U.S. government sponsored entities and agency securities and municipal tax exempt securities, were $\$ 455,131$ at June 30, 2011 (approximately $21 \%$ of total assets) compared to $\$ 500,927$ at December 31, 2010 (approximately $24 \%$ of total assets), a decrease of $\$ 45,796$ or $9 \%$. We use our available-for-sale securities portfolio, as well as federal funds sold and Federal Reserve Bank deposits for liquidity management and for investment yields. These accounts, as a group, will fluctuate as a function of loans outstanding as discussed above, under the caption Federal funds sold and Federal Reserve Bank deposits. Our securities are carried at fair value. We classify our securities as available-for-sale to provide for greater flexibility to respond to changes in interest rates as well as future liquidity needs.

## Trading securities

We also have a trading securities portfolio. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are included in trading securities revenue, a component of our non interest income, in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings. Securities purchased for this portfolio have primarily been various municipal securities. At June 30, 2011 our trading securities had a fair market value of $\$ 1,249$, which were three securities. A list of the activity in this portfolio is summarized below.

|  | Six month <br> period ended <br> Jun 30, 2011 | Six month <br> period ended <br> Jun 30, 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | $\$ 2,225$ | $\$$ |
| Purchases | 118,082 | 94,562 |
| Proceeds from sales | $(119,325)$ | $(94,519)$ |
| Net realized gain on sales | 261 | 199 |
| Mark to market adjustment | 6 |  |
| Ending balance | $\$$ | 1,249 |
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Loans held for sale

We also have a loans held for sale portfolio, whereby we originate single family home loans and sell those mortgages into the secondary market, servicing released. These loans are recorded at the lower of cost or market. Gains and losses on the sale of loans held for sale are included as a component of non interest income in our Condensed Consolidated Statement of Earnings. A list of the activity in this portfolio is summarized below.

|  | Six month period ended Jun 30, 2011 |  | Six month period ended Jun 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beginning balance | \$ | 673 | \$ |  |
| Loans originated |  | 3,140 |  | 2,557 |
| Proceeds from sales |  | $(2,966)$ |  | $(1,727)$ |
| Net realized gain on sales |  | 52 |  | 21 |
| Ending balance | \$ | 899 | \$ | 851 |

## Loans

Lending-related income is the most important component of our net interest income and is a major contributor to profitability. The loan portfolio is the largest component of earning assets, and it therefore generates the largest portion of revenues. The absolute volume of loans and the volume of loans as a percentage of earning assets is an important determinant of net interest margin as loans are expected to produce higher yields than securities and other earning assets. Average loans during the six month period ended June 30, 2011, were $\$ 1,214,772$, or $64 \%$ of average earning assets, as compared to $\$ 947,871$, or $58 \%$ of average earning assets, for the similar period in 2010. Total loans at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 were $\$ 1,194,197$ and $\$ 1,128,955$, respectively, an increase of $\$ 65,242$, or $5.8 \%$. This represents a loan to total asset ratio of $55 \%$ and $55 \%$ and a loan to deposit ratio of $68 \%$ and $67 \%$, at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, respectively.

The continuing weak economy in general and the struggling Florida real estate market in particular, have made it difficult to grow our loan portfolio. Although our loans increased by $\$ 65,242$, or $5.8 \%$ as indicated above, this was primarily due to the TD Bank transaction during the prior quarter whereby we purchased approximately $\$ 119,388$ of performing loans on January 20, 2011. Excluding these purchased loans (outstanding balance of $\$ 104,772$ at June 30,2011 ), our loan portfolio decreased by $\$ 39,530$, or $3.5 \%$ during the six month period ending June 30, 2011. Part of this decrease was due to charge-offs (approximately $\$ 21,770$ ), and transfers out of loans into OREO and repossessed assets other than real estate (approximately $\$ 11,230$ and $\$ 801$, respectively), and net proceeds from loan sales of approximately $\$ 4,156$. Excluding these components, loans decreased $\$ 1,573$ which is a net amount comprised of new loan originations less maturities, pay-offs and normal amortization. This continued decrease is reflective of a sluggish economy and weak loan demand.

Approximately $15.1 \%$ of our loans, or $\$ 179,982$, is covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements. Pursuant to and subject to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse CenterState for $80 \%$ of losses with respect to the covered loans beginning with the first dollar of loss incurred. CenterState will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to the covered loans. The loss sharing agreements applicable to single family residential mortgage loans provide for FDIC loss sharing and CenterState reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries for ten years. The loss sharing agreements applicable to commercial loans provide for FDIC loss sharing for five years and CenterState reimbursement to the FDIC for a total of eight years for recoveries. All of the covered loans acquired are accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. Within the FDIC covered loan portfolio, ninety-eight percent ( $98 \%$ ) is collateralized by real estate, of which single family loans represent the largest component at $\$ 105,249$ or $60 \%$ of total covered real estate loans.
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In addition to the loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements discussed above, approximately $8.8 \%$ of our total loans, or $\$ 104,772$, are subject to a two year put back option with TD Bank, whereas if any of these loans become 30 days past due or are adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, we have the option to put back these loans to TD Bank subject to the terms of our agreement with TD Bank. We have no allowance for loan losses set aside for either the FDIC covered loans or the loans subject to the put back options discussed above. There is a total of approximately $\$ 909,443$, or $76.1 \%$ of our total loans, that are not subject to either of these agreements of which we have set aside a total allowance for loan losses of $\$ 27,418$ or $3.01 \%$, plus partial charge-offs on certain impaired loans of approximately $\$ 16,696$.

Loan concentrations are considered to exist where there are amounts loaned to multiple borrowers engaged in similar activities, which collectively could be similarly impacted by economic or other conditions and when the total of such amounts would exceed $25 \%$ of total capital. Due to the lack of diversified industry and the relative proximity of markets served, the Company has concentrations in geographic as well as in types of loans funded.

Our total loans, including those with and without loss protection agreements, total \$1,194,197 at June 30, 2011. Of this amount approximately $86 \%$ are collateralized by real estate, $10 \%$ are commercial non real estate loans and the remaining $4 \%$ are consumer and other non real estate loans. We have approximately $\$ 367,022$ of single family residential loans which represents about $31 \%$ of our total loan portfolio. As with all of our loans, these are originated in our geographical market area in central Florida. Our largest category of loans is commercial real estate which represents approximately $46 \%$ of our total loan portfolio.

The following table sets forth information concerning the loan portfolio by collateral types as of the dates indicated.

|  | Jun 30, 2011 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec } 31, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements (note 2) |  |  |
| Real estate loans |  |  |
| Residential | \$ 261,773 | \$ 255,571 |
| Commercial | 484,897 | 410,162 |
| Construction, development, land | 101,606 | 109,380 |
| Total real estate | 848,276 | 775,113 |
| Commercial | 113,030 | 100,906 |
| Consumer and other loans, at fair value (note 1) | 2,287 | 3,264 |
| Consumer and other | 51,287 | 52,115 |
| Loans before unearned fees and cost | 1,014,880 | 931,398 |
| Unearned fees/costs | (665) | (728) |
| Total loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 1,014,215 | 930,670 |
| Loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements |  |  |
| Real estate loans |  |  |
| Residential | 105,249 | 110,586 |
| Commercial | 58,867 | 68,286 |
| Construction, development, land | 11,771 | 13,653 |
| Total real estate | 175,887 | 192,525 |
| Commercial | 4,095 | 5,760 |
| Total loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 179,982 | 198,285 |
| Total loans | 1,194,197 | \$ 1,128,955 |
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Note 1: Consumer loans acquired pursuant to three FDIC assisted transactions of failed financial institutions during the third quarter of 2010. These loans are not covered by an FDIC loss share agreement. The loans have been written down to estimated fair value and are being accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30.

Note 2: Includes $\$ 104,772$ of loans that are subject to a two year put back option with TD Bank, N.A., so that if any of these loans become 30 days past due or are adversely classified pursuant to bank regulatory guidelines, the Company has the option to put back the loan to TD Bank.
Credit quality and allowance for loan losses
Commercial, commercial real estate, construction, land, and land development loans in excess of \$500 are monitored and evaluated for impairment on an individual loan basis. Commercial, commercial real estate, construction, land, and land development loans less than $\$ 500$ are evaluated for impairment on a pool basis. All consumer and single family residential loans are evaluated for impairment on a pool basis.

On at least a quarterly basis, management reviews each impaired loan to determine whether it should have a specific reserve or partial charge-off. Management relies on appraisals to help make this determination. Updated appraisals are obtained for collateral dependent loans when a loan is scheduled for renewal or refinance. In addition, if the classification of the loan is downgraded to substandard, identified as impaired, or placed on non accrual status (collectively Problem Loans ), an updated appraisal is obtained if the loan amount is greater than $\$ 500$ and individually evaluated for impairment.

After an updated appraisal is obtained for a Problem Loan, as described above, an additional updated appraisal will be obtained on at least an annual basis. Thus, current appraisals for Problem Loans in excess of $\$ 500$ will not be older than one year.

After the initial updated appraisal is obtained for a Problem Loan and before its next annual appraisal update is due, management considers the need for a downward adjustment to the current appraisal amount to reflect current market conditions. Downward adjustments are based upon changes in nationally publicized real estate indices and on management s analysis, judgment and experience. In an extremely volatile market, management may update the appraisal prior to the one year anniversary date.

We maintain an allowance for loan losses that we believe is adequate to absorb probable losses incurred in our non covered loan portfolio. The FDIC is obligated to reimburse us for $80 \%$ of losses incurred in our covered loan portfolio subject to the terms of our loss share agreements with the FDIC. Our covered loan portfolio, loans purchased from the FDIC with specific identified credit deficiencies and those with implied credit deficiencies, has been marked to fair value at the acquisition date, which considers an estimate of probable losses, and is evaluated for impairment on a pool basis on a quarterly basis, pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. Performing loans purchased pursuant to the January 20, 2011 TD Bank transaction, are performing loans without any specific or implied credit deficiencies. These loans are included in our allowance for loan loss analysis, but do not have any loss factor assigned to them since they are at fair value at the acquisition date and due to the two year put back option in place with TD Bank, as described in Note 8 in our Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2011, filed on May 10, 2011, and incorporated herein by reference. We believe that our total loans are adequately recorded to absorb probable losses.

The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is a charge to current period earnings and decreased by loan charge-offs net of recoveries of prior period loan charge-offs. Loans are charged against the allowance when management believes collection of the principal is unlikely.

The allowance consists of two components. The first component is an allocation for impaired loans, as defined by generally accepted accounting principles. Impaired loans are those loans whereby management has arrived at a determination that the Company will not be repaid according to the original terms of the loan agreement. Each of these loans is required to have a written analysis supporting the amount of specific allowance allocated to the particular loan, if any. That is to say, a loan may be impaired (i.e., not expected to be repaid as agreed), but may be sufficiently collateralized such that we expect to recover all principal and interest eventually, and therefore no specific allowance is warranted.
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The second component is a general allowance on all of the Company s loans other than those identified as impaired. The general component covers non-impaired loans and is based on historical loss experience adjusted for current factors. The historical loss experience is determined by portfolio segment and is based on the actual loss history experienced by the Company over the most recent two years. The portfolio segments identified by the Company are residential loans, commercial real estate loans, construction and land development loans, commercial and industrial and consumer and other. This actual loss experience is supplemented with other economic factors based on the risks present for each portfolio segment. These economic factors include consideration of the following: levels of and trends in delinquencies and impaired loans; levels of and trends in charge-offs and recoveries; trends in volume and terms of loans; effects of any changes in risk selection and underwriting standards; other changes in lending policies, procedures, and practices; experience, ability, and depth of lending management and other relevant staff; national and local economic trends and conditions; industry conditions; and effects of changes in credit concentrations.

In the table below we have shown the two components, as discussed above, of our allowance for loan losses at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010. The data in the table below excludes loans covered by FDIC loss share agreement.

|  | Jun 30, | Dec 31, | Increase |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | (decrease) |
| Impaired loans | $\$ 73,307$ | $\$ 86,977$ | $(\$ 13,670)$ |
| Component 1 (specific allowance) | 2,270 | 3,194 | $(924)$ |
| Specific allowance as percentage of impaired loans | $3.10 \%$ | $3.67 \%$ | $(57 \mathrm{bps})$ |



As shown in the table above, our allowance for loan losses ( ALLL ) as a percentage of total loans not covered by FDIC loss share agreements outstanding was $2.70 \%$ ( $3.01 \%$ excluding loans purchased from TD Bank and subject to put back option) at June 30, 2011 compared to $2.82 \%$ at December 31, 2010. Our ALLL increased by a net amount of $\$ 1,151$ during this six month period. Component 2 (general allowance) increased by $\$ 2,075$ during the period. This increase is primarily due to changes in our historical charge-off rates and changes in our current environmental factors.

Component 1 (specific allowance) decreased by $\$ 924$. This Component is the result of a specific allowance analysis prepared for each of our impaired loans excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements. Our specific allowance is the aggregate of the results of individual analysis prepared for each one of these impaired loans on a loan by loan basis. The decrease in our specific allowance during this period is primarily the result of recording partial charge-offs versus specific allowance. The change in mix and evaluation of impaired loans also impacts these changes.
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The table below sets forth the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the periods presented, in thousands of dollars.

|  | Three month period ended Jun 30, |  | Six month period ended Jun 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Allowance at beginning of period | \$ 28,245 | \$ 24,088 | \$ 26,267 | \$ 23,289 |
| Charge-offs |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans | $(2,751)$ | $(1,530)$ | $(5,523)$ | $(2,297)$ |
| Commercial real estate loans | $(5,954)$ | (242) | $(9,931)$ | $(1,437)$ |
| Construction, development and land loans | $(3,376)$ | $(2,252)$ | $(5,477)$ | $(3,084)$ |
| Non real estate commercial loans | (368) | (65) | (625) | (426) |
| Non real estate consumer and other loans | (147) | (74) | (498) | (229) |
| Total charge-offs | $(12,596)$ | $(4,163)$ | $(22,054)$ | $(7,473)$ |
| Recoveries |  |  |  |  |
| Residential real estate loans | 34 | 42 | 78 | 45 |
| Commercial real estate loans | 1 | 3 | 74 | 16 |
| Construction, development and land loans | 7 | 154 | 12 | 159 |
| Non real estate commercial loans | 4 | 10 | 15 | 10 |
| Non real estate consumer and other loans | 78 | 12 | 105 | 25 |
| Total recoveries | 124 | 221 | 284 | 255 |
| Net charge-offs | $(12,472)$ | $(3,942)$ | $(21,770)$ | $(7,218)$ |
| Provision for loan losses | 11,645 | 4,045 | 22,921 | 8,120 |
| Allowance at end of period | \$ 27,418 | \$ 24,191 | \$ 27,418 | \$ 24,191 |

Our charge-offs increased during the current quarter compared to the same quarter from the previous year as indicated in the table above. This is consistent with the continued degradation of real estate values in Florida and the challenging economic environment in general. In addition, charge-offs increased over the past several quarters due to recording partial charge-offs versus specific loan loss allowance, which is the primary reason for the decreases in our specific allowance component in our allowances for loan losses. Our impaired loans at June 30, 2011 have cumulative partial charge-offs approximating $\$ 16,696$.

We acquired three FDIC failed financial institutions during the third quarter of 2010, including loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements. All of the loans acquired are being accounted for pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. We arrived at this conclusion as follows.

First, we segregated all acquired loans with specifically identified credit deficiency factor(s). The factors we used were all acquired loans that were non-accrual, 60 days or more past due, designated as Trouble Debt Restructured ( TDR ), graded special mention or substandard, had more than five 30 day past due notices or had any 60 day or 90 day past due notices during the loan term. For this disclosure purpose, we refer to these loans as Type A loans. As required by generally accepted accounting principles, we are accounting for these loans pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30.

Second, all remaining acquired loans, those without specifically identified credit deficiency factors, we refer to as Type B loans for disclosure purposes, were then grouped into pools with common risk characteristics. These loans were then evaluated to determine estimated fair values as of the acquisition date. Although no specific credit deficiencies were identifiable, we believe there is an element of risk as to whether all contractual cash flows will be eventually received. Factors that were considered included the challenging economic environment both nationally and locally as well as the unfavorable real estate market particularly in Florida. In addition, these loans were acquired from three failed financial institutions, which implies potentially deficient, or at least questionable, credit underwriting. Based on management s estimate of fair value, each of these pools was assigned a discount credit mark. We have applied ASC Topic 310-30 accounting treatment by analogy to Type B loans. The result is that all loans acquired from these three failed financial institutions will be accounted for under ASC Topic 310-30.
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The carrying amount of the loans we acquired from the FDIC, both Type A and Type B, as we defined in the two preceding paragraphs, are summarized as follows:

|  | Jun 30, 2011 | Dec 31, 2010 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate loans | $\$ 105,249$ | $\$ 110,586$ |  |
| Residential | 58,867 | 68,286 |  |
| Commercial | 11,771 | 13,653 |  |
| Construction, development, land |  |  |  |
|  | 175,887 | 192,525 |  |
| Total real estate loans | 4,095 | 5,760 |  |
| Commercial | 179,982 | 198,285 |  |
| Total loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements | 2,287 | 3,264 |  |
| Consumer (not covered by FDIC loss share) | $\$ 182,269$ | $\$$ | 201,549 |

Income recognized on loans we purchased from the FDIC is recognized pursuant to ASC Topic 310-30. A portion of the fair value discount has been ascribed as an accretable yield that is accreted into interest income over the estimated remaining life of the loans. The remaining non-accretable difference represents cash flows not expected to be collected. Accretable yield, or interest income expected to be collected is as follows:

| Balance at December 31, 2010 | $\$ 39,013$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Accretion of interest income | $(5,828)$ |
| Reclassification from non-accretable difference | 1,933 |
|  |  |
| Balance at June 30, 2011 | $\$ 35,118$ |

The table below summarizes the total contractually required principal and interest cash payments, management s estimate of expected total cash payments and carrying value of the loans as of December 31, 2010 and June 30, 2011.

|  |  | Activity | Activity |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Balance at | during | during | Balance at |
|  | Dec 31, 2010 | 1 Q 2011 | 2 Q 2011 | June 30, 2011 |
| Contractually required principal and interest | $\$ 320,220$ | $\$(12,490)$ | $\$(12,618)$ | $\$ 295,112$ |
| Non-accretable difference | $(79,658)$ |  | 1,933 | $(77,725)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash flows expected to be collected | 240,562 | $(12,490)$ | $(10,685)$ | 217,387 |
| Accretable yield | $(39,013)$ | 3,248 | 647 | $(35,118)$ |
| Carrying value of acquired loans | $\$ 201,549$ | $\$(9,242)$ | $\$(10,038)$ | $\$ 182,269$ |

Nonperforming loans and nonperforming assets

Non performing loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, are defined as non accrual loans plus loans past due 90 days or more and still accruing interest. Generally we place loans on non accrual status when they are past due 90 days and management believes the borrower s financial condition, after giving consideration to economic conditions and collection efforts, is such that collection of interest is doubtful. When we place a loan on non accrual status, interest accruals cease and uncollected interest is reversed and charged against current
income. Interest received on such loans is accounted for on the cash-basis or cost-recovery method, until qualifying for return to accrual. Loans are returned to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Non performing loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, as a percentage of total loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements, were 6.50\% at June 30, 2011, compared to 7.07\% at December 31, 2010.
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Non performing assets, excluding assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements, (which we define as non performing loans, as defined above, plus (a) OREO (i.e., real estate acquired through foreclosure, in substance foreclosure, or deed in lieu of foreclosure); and (b) other repossessed assets that are not real estate), were $\$ 78,029$ at June 30, 2011, compared to $\$ 78,524$ at December 31, 2010. Non performing assets as a percentage of total assets were $3.62 \%$ at June 30, 2011, compared to $3.81 \%$ at December 31, 2010.

The following table sets forth information regarding the components of nonperforming assets at the dates indicated.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } 30, \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-accrual loans (note 1) | \$ 65,658 | \$ 62,553 |
| Past due loans 90 days or more and still accruing interest (note 1) | 301 | 3,200 |
| Total non-performing loans (NPLs) (note 1) | 65,959 | 65,753 |
| Other real estate owned (OREO) (note 1) | 11,284 | 12,239 |
| Repossessed assets other than real estate (note 1) | 786 | 532 |
| Total non-performing assets (NPAs) (note 1) | \$78,029 | \$ 78,524 |
| Total NPLs as a percentage of total loans (note 1) | 6.50\% | 7.07\% |
| Total NPAs as a percentage of total assets (note 1) | 3.62\% | 3.81\% |
| Loans past due between 30 and 89 days and accruing interest as a percentage of total loans (note 1) | 0.99\% | 1.96\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | \$ 27,418 | \$ 26,267 |
| Allowance for loan losses as a percentage of NPLs (note 1) | 42\% | 40\% |

Note 1: Excludes loans, OREO and other repossessed assets covered by FDIC loss share agreements.
As shown in the table above, the largest component of non performing loans excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements is non accrual loans. As of June 30, 2011 the Company had reported a total of 313 non accrual loans with an aggregate book value of $\$ 65,658$, compared to December 31, 2010 when 268 non accrual loans with an aggregate book value of $\$ 62,553$ were reported. The $\$ 3,105$ increase was approximately evenly distributed between residential real estate, commercial real estate and commercial loans. This amount is further delineated by collateral category and number of loans in the table below.

| Collateral category | Total amount in thousands of dollars |  | Percentage <br> of total <br> non accrual loans | Number of non accrual loans in category |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential real estate loans | \$ | 18,951 | 29\% | 128 |
| Commercial real estate loans |  | 29,437 | 45\% | 76 |
| Construction, development and land loans |  | 15,344 | 23\% | 61 |
| Non real estate commercial loans |  | 1,612 | 2\% | 27 |
| Non real estate consumer and other loans |  | 314 | 1\% | 21 |
| Total non accrual loans at June 30, 2011 | \$ | 65,658 | 100\% | 313 |

The Company believes that the construction, development and land loan category is the loan category where the most risk is present. This category includes primarily land and building lots, both residential and commercial, with very little vertical construction included. On the positive side, the category only represents about $10 \%$ of the total loan portfolio excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements. Evidencing the riskier nature of the category, it represents a disproportionate $23 \%$ of the Company s total non accrual loans and approximately
$37 \%$ of the Company s total OREO, excluding OREO covered by FDIC loss share agreements.
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During the first six months of the current year, the Company charged off, net of recoveries, approximately $\$ 5,465$ of its construction, development and land loans, about $25 \%$ of the total net charge offs. During the year ending December 31, 2010, the Company had total charge offs, net of recoveries, of $\$ 26,646$. About $18 \%(\$ 4,827)$ came from this same category.

The second largest component of non performing assets after non accrual loans is OREO, excluding OREO covered by FDIC loss share agreements. At June 30, 2011, total OREO was $\$ 20,980$. Of this amount, $\$ 9,696$ is covered by FDIC loss sharing agreements. Pursuant and subject to the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC is obligated to reimburse the Company for $80 \%$ of losses with respect to the covered OREO beginning with the first dollar of loss incurred. The Company will reimburse the FDIC for its share of recoveries with respect to the covered OREO. The loss sharing agreements applicable to single family residential mortgage loans provide for FDIC loss sharing and the Company reimbursement to the FDIC for recoveries for ten years. The loss sharing agreements applicable to commercial loans provides for FDIC loss sharing for five years and Company reimbursement to the FDIC for a total of eight years for recoveries.

OREO not covered by FDIC loss share agreements is $\$ 11,284$ at June 30, 2011. OREO is carried at the lower of cost or market less the estimated cost to sell. Further declines in real estate values can affect the market value of these assets. Any further decline in market value beyond its cost basis is recorded as a current expense in the Company s Statement of Operations. OREO is further delineated in the table below.

| (unaudited) |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Description of repossessed real estate | carrying amount <br> at Jun <br> 30, |  |
| 17 single family homes | $\$$ | 2,239 |
| 5 mobile homes with land | 262 |  |
| 69 residential building lots | 2,385 |  |
| 13 commercial buildings | 4,615 |  |
| Land / various acreages | 1,783 |  |
| Total, excluding OREO covered by FDIC loss share agreements | $\$$ | 11,284 |

In this current depressed real estate environment that the Nation in general and Florida in particular has been experiencing, it has become more common to restructure or modify the terms of certain loans under certain conditions (i.e. troubled debt restructure or TDRs ). In those circumstances it may be beneficial to restructure the terms of a loan and work with the borrower for the benefit of both parties, versus forcing the property into foreclosure and having to dispose of it in an unfavorable and depressed real estate market. When we have modified the terms of a loan, we usually either reduce the monthly payment and/or interest rate for generally about twelve months. We have not forgiven any material principal amounts on any loan modifications to date. We have approximately $\$ 18,603$ of TDRs. Of this amount $\$ 8,547$ are performing pursuant to their modified terms, and $\$ 10,056$ are not performing and have been placed on non accrual status and included in our non performing loans ( NPLs ). Current accounting standards generally require TDRs to be included in our impaired loans, whether they are performing or not performing. Only non performing TDRs are included in our NPLs.

| Troubled debt restructured loans ( TDRs ): <br> (in thousands of dollars) | Jun 30, <br> 2011 | Dec 31, <br> 2010 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Performing TDRs | $\$ 8,547$ | $\$ 10,591$ |
| Non performing TDRs, included in NPLs above | 10,056 | 11,731 |
|  |  |  |
| Total TDRs | $\$ 18,603$ | $\$ 22,322$ |
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TDRs as of June 30, 2011 quantified by loan type classified separately as accrual (performing loans) and non-accrual (non performing loans) are presented in the table below.

| TDRs | Accruing | Non Accrual | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Real estate loans: | $\$ 5,079$ | $\$$ | 5,348 | $\$ 10,427$ |
| Residential | 2,163 | 4,128 | 6,291 |  |
| Commercial | 365 | 519 | 884 |  |
| Construction, development, land |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7,607 | 9,995 | 17,602 |  |
| Total real estate loans | 351 | 26 | 377 |  |
| Commercial | 589 | 35 | 624 |  |
| Consumer and other | $\$ 8,547$ | $\$$ | 10,056 | $\$ 18,603$ |

Our policy is to return non accrual TDR loans to accrual status when all the principal and interest amounts contractually due, pursuant to its modified terms, are brought current and future payments are reasonably assured. Our policy also considers the payment history of the borrower, but is not dependent upon a specific number of payments.

Loans are modified to minimize loan losses when we believe the modification will improve the borrower sfinancial condition and ability to repay the loan. We typically do not forgive principal. We generally either reduce interest rates or decrease monthly payments for a temporary period of time and those reductions of cash flows are capitalized into the loan balance. A summary of the types of concessions made are presented in the table below.

|  | Jun | 30,2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 3 months interest only | 214 |  |
| 6 months interest only | 2,513 |  |
| 7 months interest only | 26 |  |
| 9 months interest only | 7,206 |  |
| 12 months interest only | 190 |  |
| 18 months interest only | 3,908 |  |
| payment reduction for 12 months | 4,355 |  |
| all other | $\$ 18,603$ |  |

It is still early in our experience with these types of activities, but approximately $46 \%$ of our TDRs are current pursuant to their modified terms, and about $\$ 10,056$, or approximately $54 \%$ of our total TDRs are not performing pursuant to their modified terms. Long-term success with our performing TDRs is an unknown, and will depend to a great extent on the future of our economy and our local real estate markets. Thus far, there does not appear to be any significant difference in success rates with one type of concession versus another. Non performing TDRs average approximately nineteen months in age from their modification date through June 30, 2011. Performing TDRs average approximately thirteen months in age from their modification date through June 30, 2011.

## Table of Contents

Impaired loans are defined as loans that management has concluded will not repay as agreed. (Small balance homogeneous loans are not considered for impairment purposes.) Once management has determined a loan is impaired, we perform a specific reserve analysis to determine if it is probable that we will eventually collect all contractual cash flows. If management determines that a shortfall is probable, then a specific valuation allowance is placed against the loan. This loan is then placed on non accrual basis, even if the borrower is current with his/her contractual payments, and will remain on non accrual until payments collected reduce the loan balance such that it eliminates the specific valuation allowance or other economic conditions change. At June 30, 2011 we have identified a total of $\$ 73,307$ impaired loans, excluding loans covered by FDIC loss share agreements. A specific valuation allowance of $\$ 2,270$ has been attached to $\$ 7,503$ of the total identified impaired loans. It should also be noted that the total carrying balance of the impaired loans, or $\$ 73,307$, has been partially charged down by $\$ 16,696$ from their aggregate legal unpaid balance of $\$ 90,003$. The table below summarizes impaired loan data for the periods presented.

|  | Jun 30, <br> 2011 | Dec 31, <br> 2010 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Impaired loans with a specific valuation allowance | $\$ 7,503$ | $\$ 72,903$ |
| Impaired loans without a specific valuation allowance | 65,804 | 14,074 |
|  |  |  |
| Total impaired loans | $\mathbf{\$ 7 3 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 6 , 9 7 7}$ |
| Amount of allowance for loan losses allocated to impaired loans | 2,270 | $\$ 3,194$ |
| Performing TDRs | $\$ 8,547$ | $\$ 10,591$ |
| Non performing TDRs, included in NPLs | 10,056 | 11,731 |
| Total TDRs (TDRs are required to be included in impaired loans) | $\$ 18,603$ | $\$ 22,322$ |
| Impaired loans that are not TDRs | 54,704 | 64,655 |
| Total impaired loans | $\mathbf{\$ 7 3 , 3 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 8 6 , 9 7 7}$ |

We continually analyze our loan portfolio in an effort to recognize and resolve problem assets as quickly and efficiently as possible. As of June 30, 2011, we believe the allowance for loan losses was adequate. However, we recognize that many factors can adversely impact various segments of the market. Accordingly, there is no assurance that losses in excess of such allowance will not be incurred.

Bank premises and equipment
Bank premises and equipment was $\$ 88,015$ at June 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 84,982$ at December 31, 2010, an increase of $\$ 3,033$ or $4 \%$. This amount is the result of purchases and construction in process of $\$ 5,028$ less $\$ 1,995$ of depreciation expense. The $\$ 5,028$ of purchases and construction cost can be further delineated as follows: approximately $\$ 1,349$ for purchases of buildings, land and construction costs; approximately $\$ 681$ in capitalization of certain software development costs related to our correspondent banking division; $\$ 502$ for purchase of software, also related to our correspondent banking division; and, the remaining $\$ 2,496$ is a combination of purchases of equipment, furniture and software, net of disposals.

Deposits
During the six month period ending June 30, 2011, time deposits decreased by $\$ 46,533$ and non time deposits increased by $\$ 126,874$. Cost of deposits decreased in each deposit category, but the category affecting the overall decrease the most was time deposits. In addition to repricing maturing time deposits to current market rates, time deposits as a percentage of total deposits decreased from $39 \%$ to $35 \%$. During the same time, core deposits (non time deposits) as a percentage of total deposits increased, both in terms of actual dollars and as a percentage of total deposits. A summary of our deposit mix over the previous five quarters is presented in the table below.

|  | Jun 30, 2011 |  | \% of total |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dec 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | \% of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Demand - non-interest bearing | \$ | 395,775 | 22\% | \$ | 323,224 | 19\% |
| Demand - interest bearing |  | 310,533 | 18\% |  | 282,405 | 17\% |
| Savings deposits |  | 209,966 | 12\% |  | 198,428 | 12\% |
| Money market accounts |  | 238,381 | 13\% |  | 223,724 | 13\% |


| Time deposits | 611,280 | $35 \%$ | 657,813 | $39 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Total deposits | $\$ 1,765,935$ | $100 \%$ | $\$ 1,685,594$ | $100 \%$ |
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Securities sold under agreement to repurchase

Our subsidiary banks enter into borrowing arrangements with our retail business customers by agreements to repurchase ( securities sold under agreements to repurchase ) under which the banks pledge investment securities owned and under their control as collateral against the one-day borrowing arrangement. These short-term borrowings totaled $\$ 18,652$ at June 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 13,789$ at December 31, 2010.

Federal funds purchased
Federal funds purchased are overnight deposits from correspondent banks. Federal funds purchased acquired from other than our correspondent bank deposits are included with Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowed funds as described below, if any. At June 30, 2011 we had $\$ 87,435$ of correspondent bank deposits or federal funds purchased, compared to $\$ 68,495$ at December 31, 2010.

Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowed funds
From time to time, we borrow either through Federal Home Loan Bank advances or Federal Funds Purchased, other than correspondent bank deposits (i.e. federal funds purchased) listed above. At June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank were as follows.

|  | Jun 30, 2011 | Dec 31, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matured January 7, 2011, interest rate is fixed at 3.63\% | \$ | \$ | 3,000 |
| Matured January 10, 2011, interest rate is fixed at 1.84\% |  |  | 3,000 |
| Matured January 11, 2011, interest rate is fixed at 0.61\% |  |  | 3,000 |
| Matured June 27, 2011, interest rate is fixed at 3.93\% |  |  | 3,000 |
| Matures December 30, 2011, interest rate is fixed at 2.30\% | 3,000 |  | 3,000 |
| Total | \$ 3,000 | \$ | 15,000 |

## Corporate debentures

We formed CenterState Banks of Florida Statutory Trust I (the Trust ) for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. On September 22, 2003, we issued a floating rate corporate debenture in the amount of $\$ 10,000$. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of a trust preferred security to acquire the corporate debenture of the Company. The trust preferred security essentially mirrors the corporate debenture, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the corporate debenture (three month LIBOR plus 305 basis points). The corporate debenture and the trust preferred security each have 30 -year lives. The trust preferred security and the corporate debenture are callable by the Company or the Trust, at their respective option, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve Board, if then required. The Company has treated the trust preferred security as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed under the Federal Reserve guidelines for federal regulatory purposes.

In September 2004, Valrico Bancorp Inc. ( VBI ) formed Valrico Capital Statutory Trust ( Valrico Trust ) for the purpose of issuing trust preferred securities. On September 9, 2004, VBI issued a floating rate corporate debenture in the amount of $\$ 2,500$. The Trust used the proceeds from the issuance of a trust preferred security to acquire the corporate debenture. On April 2, 2007, the Company acquired all the assets and assumed all the liabilities of VBI pursuant to the merger agreement, including VBI s corporate debenture and related trust preferred security discussed above. The trust preferred security essentially mirrors the corporate debenture, carrying a cumulative preferred dividend at a variable rate equal to the interest rate on the corporate debenture (three month LIBOR plus 270 basis points). The corporate debenture and the trust preferred security each have 30 -year lives. The trust preferred security and the corporate debenture are callable by the Company or the Valrico Trust, at their respective option, subject to prior approval by the Federal Reserve, if then required. The Company has treated the trust preferred security as Tier 1 capital up to the maximum amount allowed under the Federal Reserve guidelines for federal regulatory purposes.
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Stockholders equity

Stockholders equity at June 30, 2011, was $\$ 250,286$, or $11.6 \%$ of total assets, compared to $\$ 252,249$, or $12.2 \%$ of total assets at December 31, 2010. The increase in stockholders equity was due to the following items:

| $\$ 252,249$ | Total stockholders equity at December 31, 2010 |
| ---: | :--- |
| $(4,181)$ | Net loss during the period |
| $(600)$ | Dividends paid on common shares, \$0.02 per common share |
| 2,290 | Net increase in market value of securities available for sale, net of deferred taxes |
| 95 | Employee stock options exercised |
| 433 | Employee equity based compensation |
| $\$ 250,286$ | Total stockholders equity at June 30, 2011 |

The federal bank regulatory agencies have established risk-based capital requirements for banks. These guidelines are intended to provide an additional measure of a bank s capital adequacy by assigning weighted levels of risk to asset categories. Banks are also required to systematically maintain capital against such off- balance sheet activities as loans sold with recourse, loan commitments, guarantees and standby letters of credit. These guidelines are intended to strengthen the quality of capital by increasing the emphasis on common equity and restricting the amount of loan loss reserves and other forms of equity such as preferred stock that may be included in capital. As of June 30, 2011, each of our subsidiary banks exceeded the minimum capital levels to be considered well capitalized under the terms of the guidelines.

Selected consolidated capital ratios at June 30, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are presented in the table below.

|  | Actual |  | Well capitalized |  | Excess Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount | Ratio | Amount | Ratio |  |
| June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) | \$ 230,637 | 18.8\% | \$ 122,596 | > 10\% | \$ 108,041 |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) | 215,163 | 17.6\% | 73,558 | > $6 \%$ | 141,605 |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | 215,163 | 10.1\% | 106,853 | > 5\% | 108,310 |
| December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total capital (to risk weighted assets) | \$ 227,907 | 19.3\% | \$ 118,230 | > $10 \%$ | \$ 109,677 |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk weighted assets) | 212,986 | 18.0\% | 70,938 | > $6 \%$ | 142,048 |
| Tier 1 capital (to average assets) | 212,986 | 10.3\% | 103,053 | > 5\% | 109,933 |
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COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE THREE MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

## Overview

We recognized a net loss of $\$ 4,346$ or $\$ 0.14$ per share basic and diluted for the three month period ended June 30 , 2011, compared to net income of $\$ 904$ or $\$ 0.03$ per share basic and diluted for the same period in 2010.

The primary reason for the difference between the two periods and resulting in a loss during the current quarter is credit related costs which is reflective of a continuing sluggish economy and weak real estate market in Florida.

Income and expense categories, along with other items are discussed and analyzed below.

Net interest income/margin
Net interest income increased $\$ 3,917$ or $29 \%$ to $\$ 17,539$ during the three month period ended June 30,2011 compared to $\$ 13,622$ for the same period in 2010. The $\$ 3,917$ increase was the result of a $\$ 2,865$ increase in interest income and a $\$ 1,052$ decrease in interest expense.

Interest earning assets averaged $\$ 1,919,109$ during the three month period ended June 30, 2011 as compared to $\$ 1,665,067$ for the same period in 2010, an increase of $\$ 254,042$, or $15 \%$. The yield on average interest earning assets increased 3 bps to $4.33 \%$ ( 5 bps to $4.39 \%$ tax equivalent basis) during the three month period ended June 30, 2011, compared to $4.30 \%$ ( $4.34 \%$ tax equivalent basis) for the same period in 2010. The combined effects of the $\$ 254,042$ increase in average interest earning assets and the 3 bps ( 5 bps tax equivalent basis) increase in yield on average interest earning assets resulted in the $\$ 2,865$ ( $\$ 3,000$ tax equivalent basis) increase in interest income between the two periods.

Interest bearing liabilities averaged $\$ 1,517,884$ during the three month period ended June 30,2011 as compared to $\$ 1,288,210$ for the same period in 2010 , an increase of $\$ 229,674$, or $18 \%$. The cost of average interest bearing liabilities decreased 47 bps to $0.84 \%$ during the three month period ended June 30, 2011, compared to $1.31 \%$ for the same period in 2010. The combined effects of the $\$ 229,674$ increase in average interest bearing liabilities and the 47 bps decrease in cost of average interest bearing liabilities resulted in the $\$ 1,052$ decrease in interest expense between the two periods.

## Table of Contents

The table below summarizes the analysis of changes in interest income and interest expense for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 on a tax equivalent basis.

|  | Three months ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average <br> Balance | 2011 <br> Interest Inc / Exp | Average <br> Rate | Average Balance | 2010 Interest Inc / Exp | Average Rate |
| Loans (1) (2) (8) | \$ 1,217,005 | \$ 16,417 | 5.41\% | \$ 944,734 | \$ 13,060 | 5.54\% |
| Securities- taxable | 513,132 | 3,945 | 3.08\% | 520,899 | 4,306 | 3.32\% |
| Securities- tax exempt (8) | 35,132 | 500 | 5.71\% | 35,667 | 522 | 5.87\% |
| Fed funds sold and other (3) | 153,840 | 165 | 0.43\% | 163,767 | 139 | 0.34\% |
| Total interest earning assets | 1,919,109 | 21,027 | 4.39\% | 1,665,067 | 18,027 | 4.34\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(26,549)$ |  |  | $(23,907)$ |  |  |
| All other assets | 286,908 |  |  | 177,852 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 2,179,468 |  |  | \$ 1,819,012 |  |  |
| Interest bearing deposits (4) | 1,399,653 | 2,982 | 0.85\% | \$ 1,117,986 | 3,957 | 1.42\% |
| Fed funds purchased | 82,118 | 12 | 0.06\% | 116,184 | 30 | 0.10\% |
| Other borrowings (5) | 23,613 | 69 | 1.17\% | 41,540 | 128 | 1.24\% |
| Corporate debenture | 12,500 | 103 | 3.31\% | 12,500 | 103 | 3.31\% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 1,517,884 | 3,166 | 0.84\% | 1,288,210 | 4,218 | 1.31\% |
| Demand deposits | 392,504 |  |  | 289,220 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 15,172 |  |  | 10,492 |  |  |
| Stockholders equity | 253,908 |  |  | 231,090 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 2,179,468 |  |  | \$ 1,819,012 |  |  |

Net interest spread (tax equivalent basis) (6)
3.55\%
3.03\%

Net interest income (tax equivalent basis)
\$ 17,861
\$ 13,809

Net interest margin (tax equivalent basis) (7)
3.73\%
3.33\%

Note 1: Loan balances are net of deferred origination fees and costs.
Note 2: Interest income on average loans includes amortization of loan fee recognition of $\$ 80$ and $\$ 48$ for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.
Note 3: Includes federal funds sold, interest earned on deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank and earnings on Federal Reserve Bank stock and Federal Home Loan Bank stock.
Note 4: Includes interest bearing deposits only. Non-interest bearing checking accounts are included in the demand deposits listed above. Also, includes net amortization of fair market value adjustments related to various acquisitions of time deposits of (\$408) and (\$84) for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.
Note 5: Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Federal Home Loan Bank advances.
Note 6: Represents the average rate earned on interest earning assets minus the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities.
Note 7: Represents net interest income divided by total interest earning assets.
Note 8: Interest income and rates include the effects of a tax equivalent adjustment using applicable statutory tax rates to adjust tax exempt interest income on tax exempt investment securities and loans to a fully taxable basis.

## Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses increased $\$ 7,600$, or $188 \%$, to $\$ 11,645$ during the three month period ending June 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 4,045$ for the comparable period in 2010. Our policy is to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is a charge to current period earnings, and is decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries on prior loan charge-offs. Therefore, the provision for loan losses (Income Statement effect) is a residual of management s determination of allowance for loan losses (Balance Sheet approach). In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, we consider the conditions of individual borrowers, the historical loan loss experience, the general economic environment, the overall portfolio composition, and other information. As these factors change, the level of loan loss provision changes. See Credit quality and allowance for loan losses for additional information regarding the allowance for loan losses.
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Non-interest income

Non-interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2011 was $\$ 13,218$ compared to $\$ 12,159$ for the comparable period in 2010. This increase was the result of the following components listed in the table below.

| Three month period ending: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } 30 \text {, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { increase } \\ \text { (decrease) } \end{gathered}$ | \% increase (decrease) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 1,417 | \$ 1,655 | \$ (238) | (14.4\%) |
| Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division | 5,759 | 7,372 | $(1,613)$ | (21.9\%) |
| Correspondent banking division other fees | 430 | 200 | 230 | 115.0\% |
| Commissions from sale of mutual funds and annuities | 322 | 361 | (39) | (10.8\%) |
| Debit card and ATM fees | 714 | 465 | 249 | 53.5\% |
| Loan related fees | 306 | 117 | 189 | 161.5\% |
| BOLI income | 235 | 152 | 83 | 54.6\% |
| Trading securities revenue | 106 | 115 | (9) | (7.8\%) |
| FDIC indemnification asset- accretion of discount rate | (47) |  | (47) | n/a |
| Adjustments to FDIC indemnification asset | 585 |  | 585 | n/a |
| Other service charges and fees | 271 | 83 | 188 | 226.5\% |
| Gain on sale of securities | 3,120 | 1,639 | 1,481 | 90.4\% |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 13,218 | \$ 12,159 | \$ 1,059 | 8.7\% |

We recognized revenue of approximately $\$ 585$ relating to adjustments to our FDIC indemnification asset. Approximately $\$ 350$ of this amount relates to FDIC OREO indemnification and approximately $\$ 235$ relates to the indemnification of a FDIC loss share loan pool impairment. Both of these relate to the acquisition of three failed financial institutions we acquired during the third quarter of 2010. To the extent we recognize further degradation of value related to these OREO properties, the loss or charge-down is recognized as non interest expense, and approximately $80 \%$ of the recognized loss is recognized as income in our non interest income, pursuant to the loss sharing agreements we have with the FDIC. Similar, to the extent we recognize a loan pool impairment (expense is included in provision for loan loss expense included in our condensed consolidated statement of earnings), approximately $80 \%$ of the recognized loss is recognized as non interest income, pursuant to the loss sharing agreements we have with the FDIC.

We also recognized accretion income, or in this particular quarter negative income, relating to our FDIC indemnification asset of approximately $\$ 47$. This also relates to the acquisition of three failed financial institutions we acquired during the third quarter of 2010. We make estimates of expected losses on the loans we purchased from the FDIC and we estimate the time period we expect those losses to occur. Pursuant to our loss share agreements (indemnification agreements) with the FDIC, we expect to be reimbursed for those expected future losses during those expected future periods. The present value of these expected future reimbursements is the estimated value of our indemnification asset carried on our balance sheet. Over time, we accrete non interest income based on the discount factor(s) we used to present value our expected future reimbursements. Each quarter we adjust our estimates of losses and the estimated time period to recover those losses. As these factors change, the income accretion will change and occasionally can go negative relative to changes in expected loss reimbursements and timing thereof.
During the current quarter, this accretion was a negative $\$ 47$.
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Commissions earned on bond sales ( Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division ) was lower this quarter due to lower volume of bond sales which management believes is related to the current interest rate environment, as well as the needs of our institutional customers. Our customers our small to medium size financial institutions primarily located in the southeast. Typically, when interest rates are falling, these institutions generate significant unrealized gains in their security portfolios, some of which they will lock in by selling bonds, and reinvesting. That type of interest rate environment will generally increase volume, which will increase our commission revenue. When interest rates are low, with the propensity to increase, volume tends to slow, which will tend to generally decrease our revenue from bond sales commissions. Although the sales were less this quarter compared to the same quarter last year, on a sequential basis, the current quarter was higher than the first quarter of 2011.

We also sold approximately $\$ 116,724$ of securities available for sale during the current quarter recognizing a gain on sale of $\$ 3,120$. The sales were primarily for asset/liability management purposes.
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Non-interest expense

Non-interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2011 increased $\$ 5,931$, or $28.8 \%$, to $\$ 26,529$, compared to $\$ 20,598$ for the same period in 2010. Components of our non-interest expenses are listed in the table below.
$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrr} & & & \begin{array}{c}\$ \\ \text { increase } \\ \text { increase }\end{array} \\ \text { (decrease) }\end{array}\right)$

We acquired three failed institutions from the FDIC in the third quarter of last year, which had a combined nine branches (one of which we recently closed). In addition, we closed on our TD Bank transaction in January 2011, adding four additional branches and their related additional operating expenses. These branches, employees and added support cost were not included in our second quarter 2010 expenses, which is the primary reason for the increases during the current quarter compared to the same quarter for last year.

Edgar Filing: CenterState Banks, Inc. - Form 10-Q
We continue the integration process of the three failed institutions acquired from the FDIC during the third quarter of 2010. These institutions continued to operate on their legacy core processing systems. We converted the first one during June 2011, the second during July 2011 and expect to convert the third during September 2011. We will not fully realize the expected operating efficiencies from these acquisitions until that time.
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In addition, several seasoned bank management teams were hired last year and two additional new offices were opened. The teams are growing and developing business in the new markets rapidly and are expected to eventually add significant contributions to the Company s profitability, but at the present time they have added additional overhead expenses.

The Company has conversion teams in place for the three FDIC bank conversions and the merger of the remaining subsidiary bank not yet merged into the lead bank. This team has contributed to the elevated operating expenses, as well as the due diligence team used for evaluating potential FDIC and other acquisition transactions, and a large special asset disposition department that is charged with the task of resolving the Company s NPAs and OREO. All of these activities have elevated the Company s operating expenses, but much of this added expense is temporary in nature.
(Benefit) provision for income taxes

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income, which includes a substantial bargain purchase gain, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of those deductible differences.

We recognized an income tax benefit for the three months ended June 30, 2011 of $\$ 3,071$ on pre-tax loss of $\$ 7,417$ (an effective tax rate of $41.4 \%$ ) compared to an income tax provision of $\$ 234$ on pre-tax earnings of $\$ 1,138$ (an effective tax rate of $20.6 \%$ ) for the comparable quarter in 2010. Net tax exempt income generally decreases a company s effective tax rate (compared to statutory rates) when the company reports earnings. When there is a loss, the same net tax exempt income will generally produce higher effective tax rates. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, we had substantial tax exempt income in excess of non deductible expenses, which produced an effective tax rate which is lower than our statutory tax rate ( $38.6 \%$ ).

## COMPARISON OF RESULTS OF OPERATIONS FOR THE SIX MONTH PERIODS ENDED JUNE 30, 2011 AND 2010

## Overview

We recognized a net loss of $\$ 4,181$ or $\$ 0.14$ per share basic and diluted for the six month period ended June 30 , 2011, compared to net income of $\$ 1,297$ or $\$ 0.05$ per share basic and diluted for the same period in 2010.

The primary reason for the difference between the two periods and resulting in a loss during the current period is credit related costs which is reflective of a continuing sluggish economy and weak real estate market in Florida, partially offset by a bargain purchase gain related to our January 2011 TD Bank transaction. Income and expense categories, along with other items are discussed and analyzed below.

Net interest income/margin
Net interest income increased $\$ 7,045$ or $26 \%$ to $\$ 34,513$ during the six month period ended June 30, 2011 compared to $\$ 27,468$ for the same period in 2010. The $\$ 7,045$ increase was the result of a $\$ 5,081$ increase in interest income and a $\$ 1,964$ decrease in interest expense.

Interest earning assets averaged $\$ 1,907,905$ during the six month period ended June 30, 2011 as compared to $\$ 1,636,945$ for the same period in 2010 , an increase of $\$ 270,960$, or $17 \%$. The yield on average interest earning assets decreased 10 bps to $4.34 \%$ ( 8 bps to $4.40 \%$ tax equivalent basis) during the six month period ended June 30, 2011, compared to $4.44 \%$ ( $4.48 \%$ tax equivalent basis) for the same period in 2010. The combined effects of the $\$ 270,960$ increase in average interest earning assets and the 10bps ( 8 bps tax equivalent basis) decrease in yield on average interest earning assets resulted in the $\$ 5,081$ ( $\$ 5,260$ tax equivalent basis) increase in interest income between the two periods.
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Interest bearing liabilities averaged $\$ 1,527,596$ during the six month period ended June 30, 2011 as compared to $\$ 1,281,943$ for the same period in 2010 , an increase of $\$ 245,653$, or $19 \%$. The cost of average interest bearing liabilities decreased 47 bps to $0.87 \%$ during the six month period ended June 30 , 2011, compared to $1.34 \%$ for the same period in 2010 . The combined effects of the $\$ 245,653$ increase in average interest bearing liabilities and the 47 bps decrease in cost of average interest bearing liabilities resulted in the $\$ 1,964$ decrease in interest expense between the two periods.

The table below summarizes the analysis of changes in interest income and interest expense for the six month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010 on a tax equivalent basis.

|  | Six months ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Average Balance | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Interest } \\ & \text { Inc / Exp } \end{aligned}$ | Average Rate | Average <br> Balance | 2010 <br> Interest <br> Inc / Exp | Average <br> Rate |
| Loans (1) (2) (8) | \$ 1,214,772 | \$ 32,810 | 5.45\% | \$ 947,871 | \$ 26,318 | 5.60\% |
| Securities- taxable | 509,936 | 7,514 | 2.97\% | 492,014 | 8,736 | 3.58\% |
| Securities- tax exempt (8) | 34,517 | 1,009 | 5.89\% | 35,750 | 1,045 | 5.89\% |
| Fed funds sold and other (3) | 148,680 | 300 | 0.41\% | 161,310 | 274 | 0.34\% |
| Total interest earning assets | 1,907,905 | 41,633 | 4.40\% | 1,636,945 | 36,373 | 4.48\% |
| Allowance for loan losses | $(26,581)$ |  |  | $(23,819)$ |  |  |
| All other assets | 290,927 |  |  | 176,274 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 2,172,251 |  |  | \$ 1,789,400 |  |  |
| Interest bearing deposits (4) | 1,411,230 | 6,191 | 0.88\% | 1,097,954 | 8,004 | 1.47\% |
| Fed funds purchased | 79,728 | 32 | 0.08\% | 128,390 | 65 | 0.10\% |
| Other borrowings (5) | 24,138 | 140 | 1.17\% | 43,099 | 260 | 1.22\% |
| Corporate debenture | 12,500 | 206 | 3.32\% | 12,500 | 204 | 3.29\% |
| Total interest bearing liabilities | 1,527,596 | 6,569 | 0.87\% | 1,281,943 | 8,533 | 1.34\% |
| Demand deposits | 373,376 |  |  | 265,855 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 18,475 |  |  | 11,014 |  |  |
| Stockholders equity | 252,804 |  |  | 230,588 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 2,172,251 |  |  | \$ 1,789,400 |  |  |
| Net interest spread (tax equivalent basis) (6) |  |  | 3.53\% |  |  | 3.14\% |
| Net interest income (tax equivalent basis) |  | \$ 35,064 |  |  | \$ 27,840 |  |
| Net interest margin (tax equivalent basis) (7) |  |  | 3.71\% |  |  | 3.43\% |

Note 1: Loan balances are net of deferred origination fees and costs.
Note 2: Interest income on average loans includes amortization of loan fee recognition of $\$ 144$ and $\$ 123$ for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.
Note 3: Includes federal funds sold, interest earned on deposits at the Federal Reserve Bank and earnings on Federal Reserve Bank stock and Federal Home Loan Bank stock.
Note 4: Includes interest bearing deposits only. Non-interest bearing checking accounts are included in the demand deposits listed above. Also, includes net amortization of fair market value adjustments related to various acquisitions of time deposits of (\$881) and (\$180) for the three month periods ended June 30, 2011 and 2010.

Note 5: Includes securities sold under agreements to repurchase and Federal Home Loan Bank advances.
Note 6: Represents the average rate earned on interest earning assets minus the average rate paid on interest bearing liabilities.
Note 7: Represents net interest income divided by total interest earning assets.
Note 8: Interest income and rates include the effects of a tax equivalent adjustment using applicable statutory tax rates to adjust tax exempt interest income on tax exempt investment securities and loans to a fully taxable basis.
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Provision for loan losses

The provision for loan losses increased $\$ 14,801$, or $182 \%$, to $\$ 22,921$ during the six month period ending June 30,2011 compared to $\$ 8,120$ for the comparable period in 2010. Our policy is to maintain the allowance for loan losses at a level sufficient to absorb probable incurred losses inherent in the loan portfolio. The allowance is increased by the provision for loan losses, which is a charge to current period earnings, and is decreased by charge-offs, net of recoveries on prior loan charge-offs. Therefore, the provision for loan losses (Income Statement effect) is a residual of management s determination of allowance for loan losses (Balance Sheet approach). In determining the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, we consider the conditions of individual borrowers, the historical loan loss experience, the general economic environment, the overall portfolio composition, and other information. As these factors change, the level of loan loss provision changes. See Credit quality and allowance for loan losses for additional information regarding the allowance for loan losses.

Non-interest income

Non-interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was $\$ 34,124$ compared to $\$ 22,632$ for the comparable period in 2010. This increase was the result of the following components listed in the table below.

| Six month period ending: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \$ \\ \text { increase } \\ \text { (decrease) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { increase } \\ \text { (decrease) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 2,973 | \$ 3,251 | \$ (278) | (8.6\%) |
| Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division | 10,229 | 13,728 | $(3,499)$ | (25.5\%) |
| Correspondent banking division other fees | 769 | 335 | 434 | 129.6\% |
| Commissions from sale of mutual funds and annuities | 761 | 465 | 296 | 63.7\% |
| Debit card and ATM fees | 1,370 | 867 | 503 | 58.0\% |
| Loan related fees | 471 | 247 | 224 | 90.7\% |
| BOLI income | 474 | 304 | 170 | 55.9\% |
| Trading securities revenue | 267 | 199 | 68 | 34.2\% |
| FDIC indemnification asset- accretion of discount rate | 421 |  | 421 | $\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Adjustments to FDIC indemnification asset | 1,721 |  | 1,721 | n/a |
| Other service charges and fees | 410 | 161 | 249 | 154.7\% |
| Gain on sale of securities | 3,129 | 3,075 | 54 | 1.8\% |
| Subtotal | 22,995 | 22,632 | 363 | 1.6\% |
| Bargain purchase gain | 11,129 |  | 11,129 | n/a |
| Total non-interest income | \$ 34,124 | \$ 22,632 | \$ 11,492 | 50.8\% |

The increase in non-interest income between the two periods presented above was primarily due to the bargain purchase gain recognized pursuant to the TD Bank, N.A. transaction discussed in Note 8 in our Form 10-Q for the period ending March 31, 2010 filed on May 10, 2011 and incorporated herein by reference.

We recognized revenue of approximately $\$ 1,721$ relating to adjustments to our FDIC indemnification asset. Approximately $\$ 1,486$ of this amount relates to FDIC OREO indemnification and approximately $\$ 235$ relates to the indemnification of a FDIC loss share loan pool impairment. Both of these relate to the acquisition of three failed financial institutions we acquired during the third quarter of 2010. To the extent we recognize further degradation of value related to these OREO properties, the loss or charge-down is recognized as non interest expense, and approximately $80 \%$ of the recognized loss is recognized as income in our non interest income, pursuant to the loss sharing agreements we have with the FDIC. Similar, to the extent we recognize a loan pool impairment (expense is included in provision for loan loss expense included in our condensed consolidated statement of earnings), approximately $80 \%$ of the recognized loss is recognized as non interest income, pursuant to the loss sharing agreements we have with the FDIC.
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We also recognized accretion income relating to our FDIC indemnification asset of approximately $\$ 421$. This also relates to the acquisition of three failed financial institutions we acquired during the third quarter of 2010. We make estimates of expected losses on the loans we purchased from the FDIC and we estimate the time period we expect those losses to occur. Pursuant to our loss share agreements (indemnification agreements) with the FDIC, we expect to be reimbursed for those expected future losses during those expected future periods. The present value of these expected future reimbursements is the estimated value of our indemnification asset carried on our balance sheet. Over time, we accrete non interest income based on the discount factor(s) we used to present value our expected future reimbursements. During the six month period ending June 30 , 201, this accretion was $\$ 421$.

Commissions earned on bond sales ( Income from correspondent banking and bond sales division ) was lower this period due to lower volume of bond sales which management believes is related to the current interest rate environment, as well as the needs of our institutional customers. Our customers our small to medium size financial institutions primarily located in the southeast. Typically, when interest rates are falling, these institutions generate significant unrealized gains in their security portfolios, some of which they will lock in by selling bonds, and reinvesting. That type of interest rate environment will generally increase volume, which will increase our commission revenue. When interest rates are low, with the propensity to increase, volume tends to slow, which will tend to generally decrease our revenue from bond sales commissions.
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Non-interest expense

Non-interest expense for the six months ended June 30,2011 increased $\$ 12,855$, or $31.9 \%$, to $\$ 53,178$, compared to $\$ 40,323$ for the same period in 2010. Components of our non-interest expenses are listed in the table below.

| Six month period ending: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Jun } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Jun } 30, \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | \$ <br> increase (decrease) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee salaries and wages | \$ 21,818 | 19,494 | 2,324 | 11.9\% |
| Employee incentive/bonus compensation | 1,206 | 1,662 | (456) | (27.4\%) |
| Employee stock based compensation | 377 | 338 | 39 | 11.5\% |
| Deferred compensation expense | 231 | 125 | 106 | 84.8\% |
| Health insurance and other employee benefits | 1,664 | 1,238 | 426 | 34.4\% |
| Payroll taxes | 1,582 | 1,153 | 429 | 37.2\% |
| Employer 401K matching contributions | 509 | 412 | 97 | 23.5\% |
| Other employee related expenses | 196 | 253 | (57) | (22.5\%) |
| Incremental direct cost of loan origination | (257) | (283) | 26 | 9.2\% |
| Total salaries, wages and employee benefits | 27,326 | 24,392 | 2,934 | 12.0\% |
| Occupancy expense | 4,208 | 2,935 | 1,273 | 43.4\% |
| Depreciation of premises and equipment | 1,995 | 1,461 | 534 | 36.6\% |
| Supplies, stationary and printing | 670 | 498 | 172 | 34.5\% |
| Marketing expenses | 1,488 | 1,151 | 337 | 29.3\% |
| Data processing expense | 2,917 | 1,198 | 1,719 | 143.5\% |
| Legal, auditing and other professional fees | 1,317 | 1,382 | (65) | (4.7\%) |
| Bank regulatory related expenses | 1,445 | 1,302 | 143 | 11.0\% |
| Postage and delivery | 431 | 235 | 196 | 83.4\% |
| ATM and debit card related expenses | 740 | 599 | 141 | 23.5\% |
| CDI amortization | 391 | 206 | 185 | 89.8\% |
| Loss on sale of repossessed real estate ( OREO ) | 55 | 24 | 31 | 129.2\% |
| Valuation write down of repossessed real estate ( OREO ) | 3,270 | 1,310 | 1,960 | 149.6\% |
| Loss on repossessed assets other than real estate | 103 | 233 | (130) | (55.8\%) |
| Foreclosure and other credit related expenses | 2,995 | 694 | 2,301 | 331.6\% |
| Internet and telephone banking | 438 | 311 | 127 | 40.8\% |
| Visa/Mastercard processing and prepaid card expenses | 70 | 80 | (10) | (12.5\%) |
| Put-back option amortization | 183 |  | 183 | n/a |
| Operational write-offs and losses | 241 | 359 | (118) | (32.9\%) |
| Correspondent accounts and Federal Reserve charges | 238 | 151 | 87 | 57.6\% |
| Conferences/Seminars/Education/Training | 196 | 319 | (123) | (38.6\%) |
| Director fees | 134 | 193 | (59) | (30.6\%) |
| Travel expenses | 77 | 246 | (169) | (68.7\%) |
| Other expenses | 1,380 | 1,044 | 336 | 32.2\% |
| Subtotal | \$ 52,308 | \$ 40,323 | \$ 11,985 | 29.7\% |
| Merger and acquisition related expenses | 870 |  | 870 | n/a |
| Total non-interest expense | \$ 53,178 | \$ 40,323 | \$ 12,855 | 31.9\% |

We acquired three failed institutions from the FDIC in the third quarter of last year, which had a combined nine branches (one of which we recently closed). In addition, we closed on our TD Bank transaction in January 2011, adding four additional branches and their related additional operating expenses. These branches, employees and added support cost were not included in our non interest expense for the six month period ending June 30, 2010, which is the primary reason for the increases during the current six month period compared to the same period for last year.

Edgar Filing: CenterState Banks, Inc. - Form 10-Q
We continue the integration process of the three failed institutions acquired from the FDIC during the third quarter of 2010. These institutions continued to operate on their legacy core processing systems. We converted the first one during June 2011, the second during July 2011 and expect to convert the third during September 2011. We will not fully realize the expected operating efficiencies from these acquisitions until that time.
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In addition, several seasoned bank management teams were hired last year and two additional new offices were opened. The teams are growing and developing business in the new markets rapidly and are expected to eventually add significant contributions to the Company s profitability, but at the present time they have added additional overhead expenses.

The Company has conversion teams in place for the three FDIC bank conversions and the merger of the remaining subsidiary bank not yet merged into the lead bank. This team has contributed to the elevated operating expenses, as well as the due diligence team used for evaluating potential FDIC and other acquisition transactions, and a large special asset disposition department that is charged with the task of resolving the Company s NPAs and OREO. All of these activities have elevated the Company s operating expenses, but much of this added expense is temporary in nature.
(Benefit) provision for income taxes

In assessing the realizability of deferred tax assets, management considers whether it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. The ultimate realization of deferred tax assets is dependent upon the generation of future taxable income during periods in which those temporary differences become deductible. Management considers the scheduled reversal of deferred tax liabilities, projected future taxable income, and tax planning strategies in making this assessment. Based upon the level of historical taxable income and projections for future taxable income, which includes a substantial bargain purchase gain, management believes it is more likely than not that the Company will realize the benefits of those deductible differences.

We recognized an income tax benefit for the six months ended June 30, 2011 of $\$ 3,281$ on pre-tax loss of $\$ 7,462$ (an effective tax rate of $44 \%$ ) compared to an income tax provision of $\$ 360$ on pre-tax earnings of $\$ 1,657$ (an effective tax rate of $21.7 \%$ ) for the comparable period in 2010. Net tax exempt income generally decreases a company s effective tax rate (compared to statutory rates) when the company reports earnings. When there is a loss, the same net tax exempt income will generally produce higher effective tax rates. In addition, we had more tax exempt income during the current quarter compared to the same quarter last year. During the period ended June 30, 2010, we had substantial tax exempt income in excess of non deductible expenses, which produced an effective tax rate which is lower than our statutory tax rate (38.6\%).

## Liquidity

Liquidity is defined as the ability to meet anticipated customer demands for funds under credit commitments and deposit withdrawals at a reasonable cost and on a timely basis. We measure liquidity position by giving consideration to both on- and off-balance sheet sources of and demands for funds on a daily and weekly basis.

Each of our subsidiary banks regularly assesses the amount and likelihood of projected funding requirements through a review of factors such as historical deposit volatility and funding patterns, present and forecasted market and economic conditions, individual client funding needs, and existing and planned business activities. Each subsidiary bank s asset/liability committee (ALCO) provides oversight to the liquidity management process and recommends guidelines, subject to the approval of its board of directors, and courses of action to address actual and projected liquidity needs.

Short term sources of funding and liquidity include cash and cash equivalents, net of federal requirements to maintain reserves against deposit liabilities; investment securities eligible for pledging to secure borrowings from customers pursuant to securities sold under repurchase agreements; loan repayments; deposits and certain interest rate-sensitive deposits; and borrowings under overnight federal fund lines available from correspondent banks. In addition to interest rate-sensitive deposits, the primary demand for liquidity is anticipated fundings under credit commitments to customers.
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## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not currently have any off-balance sheet arrangements, other than approved and unfunded loans and letters of credit to our customers in the ordinary course of business.

## ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES: MARKET RISK

## Market risk

We believe interest rate risk is the most significant market risk impacting us. Each of our subsidiary banks monitors and manages its interest rate risk using interest rate sensitivity gap analysis to measure the impact of market interest rate changes on net interest income. See our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010 for disclosure of the quantitative and qualitative information regarding the interest rate risk inherent in interest rate risk sensitive instruments as of December 31, 2010. There have been no changes in the assumptions used in monitoring interest rate risk as of June 30, 2011. The impact of other types of market risk, such as foreign currency exchange risk and equity price risk, is deemed immaterial. We do not maintain a portfolio of trading securities and do not intend to engage in such activities in the immediate future.

## ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

## Disclosure Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e)). Based on that evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

## Remediation of Material Weakness in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

As reported in our 2010 Annual Report, management conducted a thorough and methodical evaluation and testing of our internal controls over financial reporting as of December 31, 2010, which resulted in the identification of one material control weakness. This material weakness continued to exist at the end of the first quarter 2011, during which time we were engaged in the implementation and testing of remedial measures designed to address this material weakness. The following remedial actions were taken during the fourth quarter of 2010, and during the first and second quarters of 2011:

The Company s three national bank subsidiaries were combined under one charter during December 2010, and the credit oversight function was centralized under the lead bank;

During 2011, the Company s CEO, the CEO of the Company s lead subsidiary bank, the Company s chief credit officer and a senior accounting officer met monthly and reviewed all loans identified as impaired pursuant to FASB Accounting Standards Codification No. 310 to ensure that specific reserves on impaired loans are reflective of current market conditions;
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Specific reserve worksheets, which are used to estimate specific reserves on impaired loans, are prepared by a special asset team leader, reviewed and approved by the special asset manager and the chief credit officer.

During the first month of each quarter the committee reviewed new additions to the impaired loan list, potential loan upgrades, prospects for possible loan sales, NPA inflows and outflow trends, and recent appraisal orders;

During the second month of each quarter the committee reviewed new additions to the impaired loan list, potential upgrades, any updates on pending loan sales, and review and discuss recently prepared specific reserve worksheets containing new appraisal information in order to determine possible further impairments and/or charge-offs;

During the third month of the quarter the committee reviewed any new additions to the impaired loan list, potential upgrades, pending loan sales, and recently prepared specific reserve worksheets containing new recommended time value adjustments in order to determine possible further impairments and/or charge-offs;

The allowance for loan loss analysis is prepared by a senior accounting officer and reviewed by the Company s chief credit officer;

The impaired loan report is prepared monthly by loan department personnel and reviewed monthly by the committee, it is reconciled on a quarterly basis to the Company s accounting system and is reviewed by and certified by the loan special asset manager and the Company s chief credit officer;
In the second quarter of 2011, we completed testing of the design and operating effectiveness of the enhanced controls to demonstrate their operating effectiveness over a period of time sufficient to support our conclusion that we have remediated the previously reported material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting. We will continue to perform testing of the aforementioned remedial measures designed to address the material weakness.

Except as described above, during our most recent fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2011, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings
None.

## Item 1a. Risk Factors

There has been no material changes in our risk factors from our disclosure in Item 1A of our December 31, 2010 annual report on Form 10-K.
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None.
Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None.

Item 4. [Removed and Reserved]
Item 5. Other Information

At our annual meeting of shareholders of April 28, 2011, our shareholders approved a one year frequency of future advisory on the compensation of our named executive officers. In light of the recommendation of the shareholders, we intend to include the say-on-pay advisory vote in our proxy materials on an annual basis until the next shareholder vote on the frequency of say-on-pay or our Board of Directors otherwise determines that a different frequency of say-on-pay is in the best interest of the Company.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 31.1 The Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer s certification required under section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 31.2 The Chief Financial Officer s certification required under section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
Exhibit 32.1 The Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer s certification required under section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

Exhibit 32.2 The Chief Financial Officer s certification required under section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
Exhibit 101.1 Interactive Data File
101.INS XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH XBRL Schema Document
101.CAL XBRL Calculation Linkbase Document
101.DEF XBRL Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB XBRL Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE XBRL Presentation Linkbase Document
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## CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC.

## SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## CENTERSTATE BANKS, INC.

(Registrant)

Date: August 5, 2011

Date: August 5, 2011

By: /s/ Ernest S. Pinner
Ernest S. Pinner
Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer
By: /s/James J. Antal
James J. Antal
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

