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## PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Item 1. Financial Statements

## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 30, } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and amounts due from depository institutions | \$ | 13,456,310 | \$ | 15,842,048 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions |  | 110,577,035 |  | 219,796,534 |
| Cash and cash equivalents |  | 124,033,345 |  | 235,638,582 |
| Loans held for sale, fair value of \$1,878,294 and \$2,079,239 |  | 1,852,218 |  | 2,061,489 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale |  | 130,634,165 |  | 133,079,915 |
| Other investment securities available for sale |  | 25,569,950 |  | 102,821 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank stock |  | 13,542,100 |  | 14,071,200 |
| Loans receivable: |  |  |  |  |
| Not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements |  | 458,606,323 |  | 461,786,959 |
| Covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements, net |  | 136,399,789 |  | 148,138,148 |
| Unamortized loan origination fees, net (non-covered loans) |  | $(958,895)$ |  | $(758,407)$ |
| Allowance for loan losses (non-covered loans) |  | $(10,025,910)$ |  | $(9,797,095)$ |
| Loans receivable, net |  | 584,021,307 |  | 599,369,605 |
| Other real estate owned: |  |  |  |  |
| Not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements |  | 9,043,779 |  | 9,641,425 |
| Covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements |  | 30,456,249 |  | 29,626,581 |
| Accrued interest and dividends receivable |  | 3,532,716 |  | 3,232,330 |
| Premises and equipment, net |  | 22,049,956 |  | 22,150,242 |
| Goodwill |  | 4,325,282 |  | 4,325,282 |
| Other intangible assets, net of amortization |  | 874,115 |  | 930,202 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 31,958,611 |  | 31,678,013 |
| FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements |  | 71,716,962 |  | 89,824,798 |
| Deferred income taxes |  | 3,729,015 |  | 3,379,577 |
| Other assets |  | 6,481,763 |  | 6,969,849 |
| Total assets |  | ,063,821,533 |  | ,186,081,911 |
| Liabilities and Stockholders Equity |  |  |  |  |
| Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ | 762,411,619 | \$ | 823,134,133 |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings |  | 152,000,000 |  | 212,000,000 |
| Advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance |  | 169,324 |  | 936,793 |
| Other liabilities |  | 14,305,279 |  | 14,223,136 |
| Total liabilities |  | 928,886,222 |  | 1,050,294,062 |

Stockholders equity:

## Edgar Filing: CHARTER FINANCIAL CORP/GA - Form 10-Q

| Common stock, $\$ 0.01$ par value; $19,859,219$ shares issued at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively; 18,588,398 shares outstanding at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively | 198,592 | 198,592 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Preferred stock, no par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized |  |  |
| Additional paid-in capital | 73,110,532 | 73,073,216 |
| Treasury stock, at cost; 1,270,821 shares at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively | $(36,614,648)$ | $(36,614,648)$ |
| Unearned compensation ESOP | $(3,880,990)$ | $(3,880,990)$ |
| Retained earnings | 106,298,028 | 106,509,562 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss net unrealized holding losses on securities available for sale, net of tax | $(4,176,203)$ | $(3,497,883)$ |
| Total stockholders equity | 134,935,311 | 135,787,849 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders equity | \$ 1,063,821,533 | \$ 1,186,081,911 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest and dividend income: |  |  |
| Loans receivable | \$ 11,302,689 | \$ 9,071,754 |
| Mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations | 972,249 | 2,099,247 |
| Equity securities | 14,185 | 14,505 |
| Debt securities (nontaxable of \$7,639 in 2010 and \$0 in 2009) | 10,125 | 50,738 |
| Interest-bearing deposits in other financial institutions | 85,487 | 12,939 |
| Total interest and dividend income | 12,384,735 | 11,249,183 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |
| Deposits | 2,985,849 | 2,442,833 |
| Borrowings | 1,832,312 | 2,628,298 |
| Total interest expense | 4,818,161 | 5,071,131 |
| Net interest income | 7,566,574 | 6,178,052 |
| Provision for loan losses, not covered under FDIC loss sharing agreements | 800,000 | 800,000 |
| Net interest income after provision for loan losses | 6,766,574 | 5,378,052 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | 1,433,339 | 1,276,330 |
| Gain on securities available for sale | 170,845 | 8,345 |
| Total impairment losses on securities |  | $(2,249,217)$ |
| Portion of losses recognized in other comprehensive income |  | 2,096,217 |
| Net impairment losses recognized in earnings |  | $(153,000)$ |
| Bank owned life insurance | 280,598 | 360,987 |
| Gain on sale of loans and loan servicing release fees | 262,307 | 88,734 |
| Brokerage commissions | 167,444 | 105,753 |
| Accretion of discount related to FDIC receivable | 342,301 | 566,178 |
| Other | 253,190 | 156,103 |
| Total noninterest income | 2,910,024 | 2,409,430 |
| Noninterest expenses: |  |  |
| Salaries and employee benefits | 3,927,919 | 3,049,198 |
| Occupancy | 1,542,779 | 1,357,834 |
| FHLB advance prepayment penalty | 809,558 |  |
| Legal and professional | 425,176 | 250,347 |
| Marketing | 389,303 | 388,600 |
| Federal insurance premiums and other regulatory fees | 322,059 | 270,744 |
| Net cost of operations of real estate owned | 860,689 | 281,681 |
| Furniture and equipment | 199,908 | 146,705 |
| Postage, office supplies and printing | 238,440 | 151,543 |
| Core deposit intangible amortization expense | 56,087 | 33,601 |



See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)

|  | Comprehensive income (loss) | Commo <br> Number of Shares | Stock <br> Amount | Additional Paid-in Capital | Treasury Stock | $\begin{gathered} \text { Unearned } \\ \text { Compensation } \\ \text { ESOP } \end{gathered}$ | Retained Earnings | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss |  | Total Stockholders Equity |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance at September 30, 2009 |  | 19,859,219 | \$ 198,592 | \$ 42,751,898 | \$ (36,948,327) | \$ (1,683,990) | \$ 102,215,498 | \$ $(8,277,011)$ | \$ | 98,256,660 |
| Comprehensive income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ 5,934,990 |  |  |  |  |  | 5,934,990 |  |  | 5,934,990 |
| Other comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| change in unrealized loss on securities, net of income tax benefit of \$3,004,468 | 4,779,128 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,779,128 |  | 4,779,128 |
| Total comprehensive income | \$ 10,714,118 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| Dividends paid, $\$ 0.40$ per share |  |  |  | $(1,640,926)$ | $(1,640,926)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allocation of |  |  |  |  |  |
| ESOP common stock |  |  |  | 137,000 | 137,000 |
| Stock issuance | 4,400,000 | 440,000 | 30,191,569 | (2,334,000) | 27,857,569 |
| Cancellation of shares previously owned by First |  |  |  |  |  |
| Charter, MHC | $(4,400,000)$ | $(440,000)$ |  |  |  |

Vesting of restricted
shares $\quad 68,563 \quad 333,679 \quad 402,242$

Stock based compensation expense 61,186 61,186

Balance at
September 30, 2010
$19,859,219 \quad \$ 198,592 \$ 73,073,216 \$(36,614,648) \quad \$(3,880,990) \quad \$ 106,509,562 \quad \$(3,497,883) \quad \$ 135,787,849$
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| Comprehensive <br> loss: |  | 275,542 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Net income | $\$$ | 275,542 |  |  |  |
| Other <br> comprehensive <br> loss change in <br> unrealized loss <br> on securities, <br> net of income <br> tax benefit of <br> $\$(426,436)$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net income | \$ | 275,542 |  | 1,202,116 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for loan losses |  | 800,000 |  | 800,000 |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 328,472 |  | 260,376 |
| Accretion and amortization of premiums and discounts, net |  | 490,356 |  | 248,933 |
| Accretion of fair value discounts related to covered loans |  | $(2,454,767)$ |  | $(1,200,909)$ |
| Accretion of fair value discounts related to FDIC receivable |  | $(342,301)$ |  | $(268,132)$ |
| Gain on sale of loans |  | $(262,307)$ |  | $(88,734)$ |
| Proceeds from sale of loans |  | 8,232,689 |  | 5,230,147 |
| Originations and purchases of loans held for sale |  | $(7,761,111)$ |  | $(4,783,675)$ |
| (Gain) loss on sale of mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, and other investments |  | $(170,845)$ |  | $(8,345)$ |
| Other-than-temporary impairment-securities |  |  |  | 153,000 |
| Write down of real estate owned |  | 28,836 |  |  |
| Loss on sale of real estate owned |  | 16,312 |  | 53,334 |
| Recovery payable to FDIC on other real estate owned gains |  | $(82,782)$ |  |  |
| Restricted stock award expense |  | 36,198 |  |  |
| Stock option expense |  | 37,316 |  | 8,458 |
| Increase in cash surrender value on bank owned life insurance |  | $(280,598)$ |  | $(360,987)$ |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Increase in accrued interest and dividends receivable |  | $(300,386)$ |  | $(96,481)$ |
| Decrease (increase) in other assets |  | 488,086 |  | $(1,503,109)$ |
| Increase (decrease) in other liabilities |  | 45,945 |  | $(1,072,851)$ |
| Net cash used in operating activities |  | $(875,345)$ |  | $(1,426,859)$ |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from sales of mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale |  | 9,861,926 |  | 624,897 |
| Principal collections on government sponsored entities securities available for sale |  |  |  | 177,181 |
| Principal collections on mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale |  | 16,895,804 |  | 12,964,065 |
| Purchase of mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale |  | $(25,658,611)$ |  |  |
| Purchase of equity securities and other investments |  | $(25,467,767)$ |  |  |
| Proceeds from redemption of FHLB stock |  | 529,100 |  |  |
| Net decrease (increase) in loans receivable |  | 8,666,017 |  | $(14,508,116)$ |
| Net decrease in FDIC receivable |  | 18,450,137 |  | 1,914,413 |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate owned |  | 8,142,660 |  | 2,195,808 |
| Proceeds from sale of premises and equipment |  |  |  | 28,039 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment |  | $(172,099)$ |  | $(277,303)$ |
| Net cash provided by investing activities |  | 11,247,167 |  | 3,118,984 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARY

## UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (Continued)

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Dividends on restricted stock awards | \$ | $(2,529)$ | \$ | $(8,654)$ |
| Dividends paid |  | $(484,547)$ |  | $(784,224)$ |
| Net (decrease) increase in deposits |  | $(60,722,514)$ |  | 17,101,266 |
| Principal payments on Federal Home Loan Bank advances |  | $(60,000,000)$ |  | $(10,000,000)$ |
| Net decrease in advance payments by borrowers for taxes and insurance |  | $(767,469)$ |  | $(793,917)$ |
| Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities |  | 121,977,059) |  | 5,514,471 |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | 111,605,237) |  | 7,206,596 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period |  | 235,638,582 |  | 53,840,036 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period |  | 124,033,345 |  | 61,046,632 |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest paid | \$ | 3,810,018 | \$ | 4,464,072 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ |  | \$ | 25,110 |
| Supplemental disclosure of noncash activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure of collateral on loans receivable | \$ | 8,337,048 | \$ | 3,323,248 |
| Issuance of ESOP common stock | \$ |  | \$ | 137,100 |
| Unrealized gain (loss) on securities available for sale, net | \$ | $(678,320)$ |  | 2,170,729 |

See accompanying notes to unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## CHARTER FINANCIAL CORPORATION

## NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## Note 1: Nature of Operations

Charter Financial Corporation ( Charter Financial or the Company ), a federally chartered corporation, was organized on October 16, 2001 by CharterBank (the Bank ), to become the mid-tier holding company for the Bank in connection with the Bank s reorganization from a federal mutual savings and loan association into the two-tiered mutual holding company structure. In connection with the reorganization, the Company sold $3,964,481$ shares of its common stock to the public, representing $20 \%$ of the outstanding shares at $\$ 10.00$ per share, and received net proceeds of $\$ 37.2$ million. An additional $15,857,924$ shares, or $80 \%$ of the Company s outstanding shares, were issued to First Charter, MHC, and the Bank s federally chartered mutual holding company.

In January 2007, Charter Financial repurchased 508,842 shares of its common stock at $\$ 52.00$ per share through a self-tender offer. Following the stock repurchase, Charter Financial delisted its common stock from the NASDAQ Global Market and deregistered its common stock with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Since January 2007 Charter Financial has repurchased 678,016 additional shares of its common stock. In September 2010, through an incremental offering, the Company issued $4,400,000$ shares with net proceeds of $\$ 26.6$ million and First Charter, MHC canceling 4,400,000 shares of Company stock that it held.

As of December 31, 2010, First Charter, MHC owned $11,457,924$ shares of the Company s common stock, representing approximately $61 \%$ of the Company s $18,672,361$ outstanding shares of common stock at that date. The remaining $7,214,437$ shares of common stock, or approximately $39 \%$ of the outstanding shares of common stock, were held by the public.

## Note 2: Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited interim consolidated financial statements of Charter Financial Corporation and subsidiary include the accounts of the Company and the Bank as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010 (derived from audited financial statements), and for the three month periods ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009. All intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The unaudited interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the unaudited interim consolidated financial statements include all necessary adjustments, consisting of normal recurring accruals, necessary for a fair presentation for the periods presented. The results of operations for the three-month period ended December 31, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the entire year or any other interim period.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, the valuation of real estate acquired in connection with foreclosures or in satisfaction of loans, the estimates used for fair value acquisition accounting and the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation receivable for loss sharing agreements, estimate of expected cash flows on purchased impaired and other acquired loans, and the assessment for other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities, mortgage-backed securities, and collateralized mortgage obligations. Certain reclassifications of 2009 balances have been made to conform to classifications used in 2010. These reclassifications did not change stockholders equity or net income as previously reported.

## Note 3: Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2010, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ( FASB ) issued an update to the accounting standards for disclosures associated with credit quality and the allowance for loan losses. This standard requires additional disclosures related to the allowance for loan loss with the objective of providing financial statement users with greater transparency about an entity s loan loss reserves and overall credit quality. Additional disclosures include showing on a disaggregated
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basis the aging of receivables, credit quality indicators, and troubled debt restructures with its effect on the allowance for loan loss. The disclosures as of the end of a reporting period are effective for interim and annual periods ending on or after December 15, 2010. The disclosures about activity that occurs during a reporting period are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning on or after December 15, 2010. The adoption of this standard did not have a material impact on the Company s financial position and results of operations; however, it increased the amount of disclosures in the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

In December 2010, the FASB issued an update to the accounting standards regarding the disclosure of supplementary pro forma information for business combinations. This update provides clarification regarding the acquisition date that should be used for reporting the pro forma financial information disclosures required by existing accounting guidance when comparative financial statements are presented. This update also requires entities to provide a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments that are directly attributable to the business combination. This guidance will be effective for the Company prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after October 1, 2011 and early adoption is permitted. Management is currently evaluating the impact of adoption on the consolidated financial statements, but does not believe that adoption will have a material impact.

## Note 4: Federally Assisted Acquisition of McIntosh Commercial Bank

On March 26, 2010, the Bank purchased substantially all of the assets and assumed substantially all the liabilities of McIntosh Commercial Bank (MCB) from the FDIC, as Receiver of MCB. MCB operated four commercial banking branches and was headquartered in Carrollton, Georgia. The FDIC took MCB under receivership upon its closure by the Georgia Department of Banking and Finance. The Bank s bid to purchase MCB included the purchase of substantially all MCB $s$ assets at a discount of $\$ 53,000,000$ in exchange for assuming certain MCB deposits and certain other liabilities. No cash, deposit premium or other consideration was paid by the Bank. The Bank and the FDIC entered into loss sharing agreements regarding future losses incurred on loans and other real estate acquired through foreclosure existing at the acquisition date. Under the terms of the loss sharing agreements, the FDIC will reimburse the Bank for 80 percent of net losses on covered assets incurred up to $\$ 106,000,000$, and 95 percent of net losses exceeding $\$ 106,000,000$. The term for loss sharing on residential real estate loans is ten years, while the term for loss sharing on non-residential real estate loans is five years in respect to losses and eight years in respect to loss recoveries. As a result of the loss sharing agreements with the FDIC, the Bank recorded a receivable of $\$ 108,252,007$ at the time of acquisition.

The acquisition of MCB was accounted for under the acquisition method of accounting. The statement of net assets acquired and the resulting acquisition date purchase gain net of taxes is presented in the following table. As explained in the explanatory notes that accompany the following table, the purchased assets, assumed liabilities and identifiable intangible assets were recorded at the acquisition date fair value. Fair values are preliminary and subject to refinement for up to one year after the closing date of the acquisition as additional information regarding the closing date fair values become available.

Noninterest income includes a pre-tax gain on acquisition of $\$ 9,342,816$. The amount of the gain is equal to the excess of the fair value of the recorded assets over the fair value of liabilities assumed.
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The following table presents the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, as recorded by MCB on the acquisition date and as adjusted for purchase accounting adjustments.

|  | As recorded by MCB | Fair value adjustments |  | As recorded by <br> CharterBank |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assets |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 32,285,757 | \$ | 36,629,236(a) | \$ 68,914,993 |
| FHLB and other bank stock | 1,321,710 |  | $(200,410)(\mathrm{b})$ | 1,121,300 |
| Mortgage-backed securities | 24,744,318 |  | $(75,028)(\mathrm{c})$ | 24,669,290 |
| Loans | 207,644,252 |  | $(110,645,341)(\mathrm{d})$ | 96,998,911 |
| Other real estate owned | 55,267,968 |  | (40,136,424)(e) | 15,131,544 |
| FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements |  |  | 108,252,007(f) | 108,252,007 |
| Core deposit intangible |  |  | 258,811(g) | 258,811 |
| Other assets | 1,313,923 |  | $(427,702)(\mathrm{h})$ | 886,221 |
| Total assets | \$ 322,577,928 | \$ | (6,344,851) | \$ 316,233,077 |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing | \$ 5,443,673 | \$ |  | \$ 5,443,673 |
| Interest-bearing | 289,862,953 |  | 683,100 (i) | 290,546,053 |
| Total deposits | 295,306,626 |  | 683,100 | 295,989,726 |
| FHLB advance and other borrowings | 9,491,486 |  |  | 9,491,486 |
| Deferred tax liability |  |  | 3,737,126 (j) | 3,737,126 |
| Other liabilities | 1,409,048 |  |  | 1,409,048 |
| Total liabilities | 306,207,160 |  | 4,420,226 | 310,627,386 |
| Excess of assets acquired over liabilities assumed | \$ 16,370,768(k) |  |  |  |

Aggregate fair value adjustments \$ $(10,765,077)$

Net assets of MCB acquired $\quad \$$ 5,605,691

## Explanation of fair value adjustments

(a) Adjustment reflects the initial wire received from the FDIC on the acquisition date.
(b) Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of other bank stock.
(c) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments based on the Bank s evaluation of the acquired mortgage-backed securities portfolio.
(d) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments based on the Bank s evaluation of the acquired loan portfolio. The fair value adjustment includes adjustments for estimated credit losses, liquidity and servicing costs.
(e) Adjustment reflects the estimated other real estate owned losses based on the Bank s evaluation of the acquired other real estate owned portfolio.
(f) Adjustment reflects the estimated fair value of payments the Bank will receive from the FDIC under loss sharing agreements. The receivable was recorded at present value of the estimated cash flows using an average discount rate of one and a half percent.
(g) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments to record the estimated core deposit intangible.
(h) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments to record certain other assets acquired in this transaction.
(i) Adjustment reflects fair value adjustments based on the Bank $s$ evaluation of the acquired time deposit portfolio.
(j) Adjustment reflects differences between the financial statement and tax bases of assets acquired and liabilities assumed.
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(k) Amount represents the excess of assets acquired over liabilities assumed and since the asset discount bid by CharterBank of $\$ 53$ million exceeded this amount, the difference resulted in a cash settlement with the FDIC on the acquisition date.

## Note 5: Other Investment Securities

Other investment securities available for sale are summarized as follows:

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized gains |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized } \\ \text { losses } \end{gathered}$ |  | Estimated fair value |
| U.S. Treasury securities | \$ 20,222,619 | \$ | 7,403 | \$ | (81) | \$ 20,229,941 |
| Tax free municipals | 5,326,973 |  | 13,036 |  |  | 5,340,009 |
|  | \$ 25,549,592 | \$ | 20,439 | \$ | (81) | \$ 25,569,950 |



The amortized cost and estimated fair value of other investment and municipal securities available for sale as of December 31, 2010, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

|  | Amortized <br> cost | Estimated <br> fair value |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Less than 1 year | $\$ 2,826,559$ | $\$ 2,833,634$ |
| $1-5$ year | $22,723,033$ | $22,736,316$ |
|  | $\$ 25,549,592$ | $\$ 25,569,950$ |

Proceeds from called or matured other investment securities during the three months ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was $\$ 0$, for both periods. There were no sales during the three months ended December 31, 2010 or December 31, 2009.

At December 31, 2010, there was one available for sale investment security with an amortized cost of $\$ 4,973,381$ and an estimated fair value of $\$ 4,973,300$ that had been in a loss position for less than 12 months and there were no other investment securities available for sale that were in a continuous unrealized loss position for more than 12 months. There were no other investment securities available for sale that were in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months or more than 12 months at September 30, 2010.

Other investment securities with an aggregate carrying amount of \$20,229,941 and \$0 at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively, were pledged to collateralize FHLB advances.
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## Note 6: Mortgage Backed Securities and Collateralized Mortgage Obligations

Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations available for sale are summarized as follows:

\left.|  |  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gross |  |  |  |  |$\right)$


|  | September 30, 2010 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized gains | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gross } \\ \text { unrealized } \\ \text { losses } \end{gathered}$ | Estimated fair value |
| Mortgage backed securities: |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA certificates | \$ 35,164,154 | \$ 798,264 | \$ | \$ 35,962,418 |
| GNMA certificates | 7,134,764 | 479,147 |  | 7,613,911 |
| FHLMC certificates | 25,437,288 | 660,606 |  | 26,097,894 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA | 9,605,091 | 291,337 | $(24,691)$ | 9,871,737 |
| FHLMC | 20,515,780 | 275,356 | $(6,603)$ | 20,784,533 |
| GNMA | 6,130,553 | 9,117 | $(31,337)$ | 6,108,333 |
| Other: |  |  |  |  |
| Investment grade (BBB \& higher) | 22,872,709 | 79,523 | $(1,764,296)$ | 21,187,936 |
| Non investment grade | 11,522,219 |  | $(6,069,066)$ | 5,453,153 |
|  | \$ 138,382,558 | \$ 2,593,350 | \$ (7,895,993) | \$ 133,079,915 |

Credit ratings are as of December 31, 2010.

Proceeds from sales of mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations during the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were $\$ 9,861,926$ and $\$ 625,801$, respectively. Gross realized gains on the sale of these securities were $\$ 170,845$ and $\$ 8,345$ for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively. There were no gross realized losses for the three months ended December 31, 2010 or 2009.

Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations with an aggregate carrying amount of $\$ 85,423,801$ and $\$ 92,865,006$ at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively, were pledged to secure FHLB advances.
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Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations that have been in a continuous unrealized loss position for less than 12 months at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010 were as follows:

|  | 00000000 <br> Amortized cost | 00000000 December 31, 2010 Gross unrealized losses | $\begin{array}{r}00000000 \\ \\ \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated } \\ \text { fair value }\end{array}\end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortgage backed securities: |  |  |  |
| FNMA certificates | \$ 5,781,820 | \$ (90,679) | \$ 5,691,141 |
| GNMA | 1,728,083 | $(117,362)$ | 1,610,721 |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |
| GNMA | 2,452,805 | $(11,737)$ | 2,441,068 |
| FNMA | 545,787 | $(1,090)$ | 544,697 |
|  | \$ 10,508,495 | \$ $(220,868)$ | \$ 10,287,627 |


|  |  September 30, 2010 <br> Gross <br> Amortized <br> cost <br> unrealized  <br> losses  |  |  | Estimated fair value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA | \$ 3,760,606 | \$ | $(24,691)$ | \$ 3,735,915 |
| FHLMC certificates | 1,531,605 |  | $(6,603)$ | 1,525,002 |
| Other | 4,871,106 |  | $(31,337)$ | 4,839,769 |
|  | \$ 10,163,317 | \$ | $(62,631)$ | \$ 10,100,686 |

Mortgage backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations that had been in a continuous unrealized loss position for greater than 12 months at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010 were as follows:

|  | December 31, 2010 <br> Gross <br> Amortized <br> cost |  |  |  | unrealized <br> losses |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: | $\$ 491,456$ | $\$$ | Estimated <br> fair value |  |  |
| FHLMC certificates | $26,846,195$ | $(7,811,191)$ | $\$ 489,395$ |  |  |
| Other | $\$ 27,337,651$ | $\$(7,813,252)$ | $\$ 19,524,399$ |  |  |


|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { September 30, 2010 } \\ \text { Gross }\end{array}$ |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| unortized |  |  |  |
| cost |  |  |  |\(\left.\quad \begin{array}{c}unrealized <br>

losses\end{array}\right)\)

At December 31, 2010, the Company had approximately $\$ 7.8$ million of gross unrealized losses on non-GSE collateralized mortgage obligations with aggregate amortized cost of approximately $\$ 26.8$ million. During the year ended September 30, 2010 the Company recorded $\$ 2.5$ million in other than temporary impairment, $\$ 153,000$ of which was recorded in the quarter ended December 31, 2009. Additionally, the Company has
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recorded $\$ 1.25$ million, $\$ 1.28$ million, and $\$ 2.07$ million in accumulated other comprehensive loss (pre-tax) related to these two securities at December 31, 2010, September 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively. The remaining decline in fair value of the mortgage securities primarily resulted from illiquidity and other uncertainties in the marketplace.

Regularly, the Company performs an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or economic circumstances to indicate that a security on which there is an unrealized loss is impaired other-than-temporarily. The assessment considers many factors including the severity and duration of the impairment, the Company s intent and ability
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to hold the security for a period of time sufficient for recovery in value, recent events specific to the industry, and current characteristics of each security such as delinquency and foreclosure levels, credit enhancements, and projected losses and loss coverage ratios. It is possible that the underlying collateral of these securities will perform worse than current expectations, which may lead to adverse changes in cash flows on these securities and potential future other-than-temporary impairment losses. Events that may trigger material declines in fair values for these securities in the future include but are not limited to, deterioration of credit metrics, significantly higher levels of default and severity of loss on the underlying collateral, deteriorating credit enhancement and loss coverage ratios, or further illiquidity. All of these positions were evaluated for other-than-temporary impairment based on an analysis of the factors and characteristics of each security as previously enumerated. The Company considers these unrealized losses to be temporary impairment losses primarily because of continued sufficient levels of credit enhancements and credit coverage levels of less senior tranches in such securities to positions held by the Company.

The following table summarizes the changes in the amount of credit losses on the Company s investment securities recognized in earnings for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009:

|  | $00000000000 \quad 00000000000$ Three Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2010 |  | 2009 |
| Beginning balance of credit losses previously recognized in earnings | \$ | 2,526,674 | \$ |  |
| Amount related to credit losses for securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment was not previously recognized in earnings |  |  |  | 153,000 |
| Amount related to credit losses for securities for which an other-than-temporary impairment was recognized in earnings |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance of cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings | \$ | 2,526,674 | \$ | 153,000 |

## Note 7: Loans Receivable

Loans receivable are summarized as follows:

|  | 0000000000 <br> December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | 0000000000 <br> September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Loans not covered by loss sharing agreements: | $\$ 101,734,452$ | $\$ 106,041,006$ |
| $1-4$ family residential real estate mortgage | $271,362,697$ | $267,725,686$ |
| Commercial real estate | $18,399,545$ | $19,603,898$ |
| Commercial | $46,172,453$ | $45,930,424$ |
| Real estate construction | $20,937,176$ | $22,485,945$ |
| Consumer and other | $458,606,323$ | $461,786,959$ |
| Loans receivable, net of undisbursed proceeds of loans in process | 958,895 | 758,407 |
| Less: | $10,025,910$ | $9,797,095$ |
| Unamortized loan origination fees, net | $\$ 447,621,518$ | $\$ 451,231,457$ |
| Allowance for loan losses |  |  |
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The carrying amount of covered loans at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, consisted of impaired loans at acquisition date and all other acquired loans and are presented in the following tables.

|  | December 31, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impaired <br> Loans at Acquisition | All Other <br> Acquired <br> Loans | Total <br> Covered <br> Loans |
| Loans covered by loss sharing agreements: |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential real estate mortgage | \$ 3,977,064 | \$ 7,296,800 | \$ 11,273,864 |
| Commercial real estate | 44,966,623 | 90,274,809 | 135,241,432 |
| Commercial | 23,522,888 | 25,107,429 | 48,630,317 |
| Real estate construction | 4,509,972 | 1,947,899 | 6,457,871 |
| Consumer and other | 1,416,496 | 8,766,282 | 10,182,778 |
| Loans receivable, gross | 78,393,043 | 133,393,219 | 211,786,262 |
| Less: |  |  |  |
| Non-accretable difference | 36,820,257 | 12,649,484 | 49,469,741 |
| Allowance for covered loan losses |  | 9,695,460 | 9,695,460 |
| Accretable discount | 8,991,064 | 7,197,505 | 16,188,569 |
| Unamortized loan origination fees, net |  | 32,703 | 32,703 |
| Total loans covered, net | \$ 32,581,722 | \$ 103,818,067 | \$ 136,399,789 |


|  | September 30, 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Impaired <br> Loans at Acquisition | All Other <br> Acquired <br> Loans | Total <br> Covered <br> Loans |
| Loans covered by loss sharing agreements: |  |  |  |
| 1-4 family residential real estate mortgage | \$ 4,440,436 | \$ 7,464,467 | \$ 11,904,903 |
| Commercial real estate | 53,347,535 | 97,615,020 | 150,962,555 |
| Commercial | 23,848,208 | 28,715,756 | 52,563,964 |
| Real estate construction | 6,879,358 | 2,078,078 | 8,957,436 |
| Consumer and other | 1,479,003 | 9,345,905 | 10,824,908 |
| Loans receivable, gross | 89,994,540 | 145,219,226 | 235,213,766 |
| Less: |  |  |  |
| Non-accretable difference | 40,203,964 | 12,656,615 | 52,860,579 |
| Allowance for covered loan losses |  | 15,553,536 | 15,553,536 |
| Accretable discount | 10,166,664 | 8,476,672 | 18,643,336 |
| Unamortized loan origination fees, net |  | 18,167 | 18,167 |
| Total loans covered, net | \$ 39,623,912 | \$ 108,514,236 | \$ 148,138,148 |

The following table documents changes in the carrying value of acquired loans during the year ended September 30, 2010 and the three months ended December 31, 2010:

| All Other |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, September 30, 2009 | Impaired Loans <br> at Acquisition | All Ocquired <br> Loans |
| $\$ 18,246,596$ | $\$ 71,517,348$ |  |
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| Fair value of acquired loans covered under loss sharing agreements |  | 50,415,463 | 46,583,448 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Reductions since acquisition date resulting from repayments, write-offs |  |  |  |
| and foreclosures |  | $(29,038,147)$ | $(9,586,560)$ |
| Balance, September 30, 2010 |  | 39,623,912 | 108,514,236 |
| Reductions since acquisition date resulting from repayments, write-offs |  |  |  |
| and foreclosures |  | $(7,042,190)$ | $(4,696,169)$ |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ | 32,581,722 | \$ 103,818,067 |
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The following table documents changes in the accretable discount on acquired loans during the year ended September 30, 2010 and the three months ended December 31, 2010:

|  | Impaired <br> Loans at Acquisition | All Other Acquired Loans | Total <br> Covered <br> Loans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, September 30, 2009 | \$ | \$ 8,794,367 | \$ 8,794,367 |
| Accretable yield acquired | 12,603,800 | 5,303,343 | 17,907,143 |
| Other adjustments to decrease accretable yield |  | $(297,609)$ | $(297,609)$ |
| Loan accretion | $(2,437,136)$ | $(5,323,429)$ | (7,760,565) |
| Balance, September 30, 2010 | 10,166,664 | 8,476,672 | 18,643,336 |
| Loan accretion | $(1,175,600)$ | $(1,279,167)$ | $(2,454,767)$ |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | \$ 8,991,064 | \$ 7,197,505 | \$ 16,188,569 |

The following table documents changes in the value of the non-accretable principal difference during the year ended September 30, 2010 and the three months ended December 31, 2010:

|  | Impaired <br> Loans at <br> Acquisition | All Other <br> Acquired <br> Loans | Total <br> Covered <br> Loans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, September 30, 2009 | $\$ 7,136,864$ | $\$$ | $\$ 7,136,864$ |
| Non-accretable principal difference at acquisition | $73,841,461$ | $18,896,737$ | $92,738,198$ |
| Reductions since acquisition date resulting from charge-offs | $(40,774,361)$ | $(6,240,122)$ | $(47,014,483)$ |
| Balance, September 30, 2010 | $40,203,964$ | $12,656,615$ | $52,860,579$ |
| Reductions since acquisition date resulting from charge-offs | $(3,383,707)$ | $(7,131)$ | $(3,390,838)$ |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | $\$ 36,820,257$ | $\$ 12,649,484$ | $\$ 49,469,741$ |

The following is a summary of transactions in the allowance for loan losses on loans covered by loss sharing:

| Balance, September 30, 2009 | $\$ 23,832,265$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Loans charged-off (gross) | $(10,786,622)$ |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged-off | 404,716 |
| Provision for loan losses charged to FDIC receivable | $1,682,542$ |
| Provision for loan losses charged to operations | 420,635 |
| Balance, September 30, 2010 | $15,553,536$ |
| Loans charged-off (gross) | $(5,858,076)$ |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | $\$ 9,695,460$ |
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The following table documents changes in the carrying value of the FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements relating to covered loans and other real estate during the year ended September 30, 2010 and the three months ended December 31, 2010:

| Balance, September 30, 2009 | $\$ 26,481,146$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Fair value of FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements at acquisition | $108,252,007$ |
| Receipt of payments from FDIC | $(54,680,714)$ |
| Accretion of fair value adjustment | $1,840,856$ |
| Provisions for estimated losses on covered assets | $4,448,908$ |
| External expenses qualifying under loss sharing agreements | $3,482,595$ |
|  |  |
| Balance, September 30, 2010 | $89,824,798$ |
| Reductions resulting from: | $(20,041,883)$ |
| Payments received | $(382,271)$ |
| Recovery of previous loss reimbursements | 342,301 |
| Additions resulting from: | $1,974,017$ |
| Accretion of fair value adjustment |  |
| External expenses qualifying under loss sharing agreements | $\$ 71,716,962$ |

Loan Origination and Risk Management. The Company has certain lending policies and procedures in place that are designed to maximize loan income within an acceptable level of risk. Management reviews and approves these policies and procedures on a regular basis. A reporting system supplements the review process by providing management with frequent reports related to loan production, loan quality, concentrations of credit, loan delinquencies and non-performing and potential problem loans. Diversification in the loan portfolio is a means of managing risk associated with fluctuations in economic conditions.

Commercial real estate loans are generally made by the Company to Georgia or Alabama entities and are secured by properties in these states. Commercial real estate lending involves additional risks compared to one- to four-family residential lending. Repayment of commercial real estate loans often depends on the successful operations and income stream of the borrowers, and commercial real estate loans typically involve larger loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers compared to residential real estate loans. The Company s underwriting criteria for commercial real estate loans include maximum loan-to-value ratios, debt coverage ratios, secondary sources of repayment, guarantor requirements, net worth requirements and quality of cash flow. As part of the loan approval and underwriting of commercial real estate loans, management undertakes a cash flow analysis, and requires a debt-service coverage ratio of at least 1.15 times. In addition, management tracks the level of owner-occupied commercial real estate loans versus non-owner occupied loans. At December 31, 2010, approximately $41.3 \%$ of the outstanding principal balance of the Company s commercial real estate loans were secured by owner-occupied properties.

The Company makes construction and land development loans primarily for the construction of one- to four-family residences but also for multi-family and nonresidential real estate projects on a select basis. While current market conditions have suppressed demand for construction and land loans, there are opportunities to lend to quality borrowers in the Company s market area. The Company offers two principal types of construction loans: builder loans, including both speculative (unsold) and pre-sold loans to pre-approved local builders; and construction/permanent loans to property owners that are converted to permanent loans at the end of the construction phase. The number of speculative loans that management will extend to a builder at one time depends upon the financial strength and credit history of the builder. The Company s construction loan program is expected to remain a modest portion of the loan volume and management generally limits the number of outstanding loans on unsold homes under construction within a specific area.

The Company also originates first and second mortgage loans secured by one- to four-family residential properties within Georgia and Alabama. Management currently originates mortgages at all branch locations, but utilizes a centralized processing location to reduce the underwriting risk. The Company originates both fixed rate and adjustable rate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Fixed rate conforming loans are generally originated for resale into the secondary market on a servicing-released basis and loans that are non-conforming due to property exceptions and that have adjustable rates are generally retained in the Company s portfolio. The non-conforming loans originated are not considered to be subprime loans and the amount of subprime and low documentation loans held by the Company is not material.
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The majority of the Company s non-mortgage loans consist of consumer loans, including loans on deposits, second mortgage loans, home equity lines of credit, auto loans and various other installment loans. The Company primarily offers consumer loans (excluding second mortgage loans and home equity lines of credit) as an accommodation to customers. Consumer loans tend to have a higher credit risk than residential mortgage loans because they may be secured by rapidly depreciable assets, or may be unsecured. The Company s consumer lending generally follows accepted industry standards for non sub-prime lending, including credit scores and debt to income ratios. The Company also offers home equity lines of credit as a complement to one- to four-family residential mortgage lending. The underwriting standards applicable to home equity credit lines are similar to those for one- to four-family residential mortgage loans, except for slightly more stringent credit-to-income and credit score requirements. Home equity loans are generally limited to $80 \%$ of the value of the underlying property unless the loan is covered by private mortgage insurance or a loss sharing agreement. At December 31, 2010, the Company had $\$ 16.9$ million of home equity lines of credit and second mortgage loans not covered by loss sharing.

The Company s commercial business loans are generally limited to terms of five years or less. Management typically collateralizes these loans with a lien on commercial real estate or, very rarely, with a lien on business assets and equipment. Management also generally requires the personal guarantee of the business owner. Interest rates on commercial business loans are generally higher than interest rates on residential or commercial real estate loans due to the risk inherent in this type of loan. Commercial business loans are generally considered to have more risk than residential mortgage loans or commercial real estate loans because the collateral may be in the form of intangible assets and/or readily depreciable inventory. Commercial business loans may also involve relatively large loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers, with the repayment of such loans typically dependent on the successful operation and income stream of the borrower. Such risks can be significantly affected by economic conditions. In addition, commercial business lending generally requires substantially greater supervision efforts by Management compared to residential mortgage or commercial real estate lending.

The Company maintains an independent loan review function that reviews and validates the credit risk program on a periodic basis. Results of these reviews are presented to management. The loan review process complements and reinforces the risk identification and assessment decisions made by lenders and credit personnel, as well as the Company s policies and procedures. The Company further engages an independent, external loan reviewer on an annual basis.

Nonaccrual and Past Due Loans. At December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, the Company had \$13,685,473 and \$11,654,501, respectively, of nonaccrual loans not covered by loss sharing. At December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, the Company had $\$ 0$ and $\$ 139,972$, respectively, of past due loans 90 days and more still accruing interest not covered by loss sharing. These loans are still accruing interest, as collectability of the principal and interest is not in doubt based on the underlying collateral value of the loan.

Nonaccrual loans not covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans at December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| $1-4$ family real estate | 年 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Nonresidential real estate | $6,476,045$ |
| Commercial | 386,486 |
| Real estate construction | 46,072 |
| Consumer and other | 180,113 |
|  |  |
| Total | $\$ 13,685,473$ |
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Nonaccrual loans covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans at December 31, 2010 were as follows:

| Commercial | $\$ 6,627,384$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| $1-4$ family real estate | $4,046,237$ |
| Real estate construction | $1,921,524$ |
| Nonresidential real estate | $34,932,358$ |
| Consumer and other | $1,399,590$ |
|  |  |
| Total Covered Nonaccrual Loans [1] [2] | $\$ 48,927,093$ |

[1] Covered loan balances are net of non-accretable differences and allowance for covered loan losses.
[2] Substantially all covered loans record accretion income and the above amounts reflect only the nonaccrual of contractual interest. The following is a summary of interest income relating to nonaccrual loans not covered by loss sharing agreements for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009. No contractual interest income on covered impaired loans was recorded for the three months ended December 31, 2010 or 2009.

|  | Three Months Ended <br> December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Three Months Ended <br> December 31, <br> 2009 |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income at contractual rates | $\$$ | 197,465 | $\$$ | 239,153 |
| Interest income actually recorded |  | $(43,526)$ |  |  |
| Reduction of interest income | $\$$ | 153,939 | $\$$ | 227,618 |

An age analysis of past due loans not covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2010 was as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lrcccccc} \\ & \begin{array}{c}\text { 30-89 Days } \\ \text { Past Due }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Greater } \\ \text { than } \\ \text { 90 Days } \\ \text { Past Due }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Total } \\ \text { Past Due }\end{array} & \text { Current } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Cotal } \\ \text { Loans }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Loans }>\mathbf{9 0} \\ \text { Days } \\ \text { and } \\ \text { Accruing }\end{array} \\ \text { 1-4 family real estate } & \$ 2,588,283 & \$ 4,489,603 & \$ 2,077,886 & \$ 94,656,566 & \$ 101,734,452 & \$\end{array}\right]$

An age analysis of past due loans covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2010 was as follows:

|  | Greater than |  |  |  | Loans > |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 30-89 Days | 90 Days | Total |  | Total | Days and |
| Past Due | Past Due | Past Due | Current | Loans | Accruing |


| Commercial | $\$ 2,543,297$ | $\$ 6,035,520$ | $\$ 8,578,817$ | $\$ 18,371,591$ | $\$ 26,950,408$ | $\$ 686,721$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1-4$ family real estate | 129,188 | $2,206,131$ | $2,335,319$ | $7,141,096$ | $9,476,415$ |  |  |
| Real estate construction | 108,494 | $1,921,524$ | $2,030,018$ | $2,594,996$ | $4,625,014$ |  |  |
| Nonresidential real estate | $16,399,586$ | $18,412,476$ | $34,812,062$ | $68,179,461$ | $102,991,523$ |  |  |
| Consumer and other | 283,926 | 920,695 | $1,204,621$ | $7,373,079$ | $8,577,700$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\$ 19,464,491$ | $\$ 29,496,346$ | $\$ 48,960,837$ | $\$ 103,660,223$ | $\$ 152,621,060$ | $\$ 686,721$ |  |

[1] Covered loan balances are net of non-accretable differences and allowance for covered loan losses.
[2] Substantially all covered loans record accretion income and the above amounts reflect only the recording of contractal interest. Impaired Loans. At December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, the Company had impaired loans not covered by loss sharing of approximately $\$ 13,505,360$ and $\$ 11,499,451$, respectively. There were specific allowances attributable to impaired loans at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, of $\$ 1,451,510$ and $\$ 1,434,751$, respectively. At December
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31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, there were impaired loans of $\$ 8,342,551$ and $\$ 6,312,882$, respectively, with no specific allowance. The average recorded investments in impaired loans not covered by loss sharing for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and the year ended September 30, 2010, were approximately $\$ 14,500,000$ and $\$ 13,600,000$, respectively. Interest income recognized on impaired loans for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and the year ended September 30, 2010, was $\$ 43,500$ and $\$ 88,000$, respectively.

Impaired loans not covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2010 are as follows:

|  | Recorded <br> Investment | Unpaid <br> Principal <br> Balance | Related <br> Allowance | Fair <br> Value [1] |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: | $\$ 4,048,358$ | $\$ 4,248,526$ | $\$$ | $\$ 4,048,358$ |
| 1-4 family real estate | $3,938,954$ | $4,702,609$ |  | $3,938,954$ |
| Nonresidential real estate | 309,167 | 309,167 |  | 309,167 |
| Commercial | 46,072 | 46,072 |  | 46,072 |
| Real estate construction | $8,342,551$ | $9,306,374$ |  | $8,342,551$ |
| Subtotal: | $2,547,687$ | $2,547,687$ | 378,084 | $2,169,603$ |
| With an allowance recorded: | $2,537,803$ | $2,537,803$ | 996,107 | $1,541,696$ |
| 1-4 family real estate | 77,319 | 77,319 | 77,319 |  |
| Nonresidential real estate | $5,162,809$ | $5,162,809$ | $1,451,510$ | $3,711,299$ |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
|  | $6,596,045$ | $6,796,213$ | 378,084 | $6,217,961$ |
| Subtotal: | $6,476,757$ | $7,240,412$ | 996,107 | $5,480,650$ |
| Totals: | 386,486 | 386,486 | 77,319 | 309,167 |
| 1-4 family real estate | 46,072 | 46,072 |  | 46,072 |
| Nonresidential real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate construction | $13,505,360$ | $\$ 14,469,183$ | $\$ 1,451,510$ | $\$ 12,053,850$ |

[1] Fair values for covered loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology (Level 3 pricing).
Impaired loans covered by loss sharing have shown evidence of further credit deterioration subsequent to acquisition. The average recorded investment on impaired loans covered by loss sharing agreements for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and the year ended September 30, 2010 was approximately $\$ 21.7$ million and $\$ 30.0$ million, respectively. No contractual interest income was recorded on covered impaired loans for such periods.
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Impaired loans covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2010 are as follows:

|  | Recorded <br> Investment | Unpaid Principal Balance | Related <br> Allowance | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fair } \\ \text { Value [1] } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| With no related allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| 1-4 family real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |
| Nonresidential real estate |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer and other |  |  |  |  |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  |  |
| With an allowance recorded: |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial | 4,103,432 | 4,103,432 | 1,752,217 | 2,351,215 |
| 1-4 family real estate | 881,204 | 881,204 | 83,795 | 797,409 |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |
| Nonresidential real estate | 15,314,158 | 17,767,138 | 4,061,879 | 11,252,279 |
| Consumer and other | 1,448,521 | 1,448,521 | 540,301 | 908,220 |
| Subtotal: | 21,747,315 | 24,200,295 | 6,438,192 | 15,309,123 |
| Grand Total: | \$ 21,747,315 | \$ 24,200,295 | \$ 6,438,192 | \$ 15,309,123 |

[1] Fair values for covered loans are based on a discounted cash flow methodology (Level 3 pricing).
Credit Quality Indicators. As part of the ongoing monitoring of the credit quality of the Company s loan portfolio for both loans covered and not covered by loss sharing agreements, management tracks certain credit quality indicators including the level of classified loans, net charge-offs, non-performing loans (see details above) and the general economic conditions in its market areas.

The Company utilizes a risk grading matrix to assign a risk grade to each of its loans. Loans are graded on a scale of 1 to 8 . A description of the general characteristics of the 8 risk grade factors is as follows:

Grade 1: Virtual Absence of Credit Risk (Pass 1) - Loans graded 1 are substantially risk-free or have limited risk. They are characterized by loans to borrowers with unquestionable financial strength and a long history of solid earnings performance. Loans to borrowers collateralized by cash or equivalent liquidity may be included here. Loans secured, by readily marketable collateral may also be graded 1 provided the relationship meets all other characteristics of the grade.

Grade 2: Minimal Credit Risk (Pass 2) - Loans graded 2 represent above average borrowing relationships, generally with local borrowers. Such loans will have clear, demonstrative sources of repayment, financially sound guarantors, and adequate collateral.

Grade 3: Less Than Average Credit Risk (Pass 3) - Loans graded 3 are of average credit quality, are properly structured and documented and require only normal supervision. Financial data is current and document adequate revenue, cash flow, and satisfactory payment history to indicate that financial condition is satisfactory. Unsecured loans are normally for a specific purpose and short term. Secured loans have properly margined collateral. Repayment terms are realistic, clearly defined and based upon a primary, identifiable source of repayment. All grade 3 loans meet the Company s lending guidelines.

Grade 4: Acceptable With Average Risk (Pass 4) - Loans graded 4 represent loans where a borrower s character, capacity, credit or collateral may be a concern. Grade 4 loans will be performing credits and will not necessarily represent weakness unless that area of weakness remains unresolved. Loans most commonly graded 4 will likely include loans with technical exceptions, loans outside of policy parameters without justification for exception and loans with collateral imperfections. Loans in this category, while acceptable, generally warrant close monitoring. Resolution of questionable areas will generally result in an upgrade or downgrade.
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Grade 5: Special Mention - (Greater Than Normal Credit Risk) - Loans graded 5 have potential weaknesses that deserve management s close attention. If left uncorrected, these potential weaknesses may result in deterioration of the repayment prospects for the asset or the bank scredit position at a future date. Grade 5 loans should include loans where repayment is highly probable, but timeliness of repayment is uncertain due to unfavorable developments. Special Mention assets are not adversely classified and do not expose the bank to sufficient risk to warrant adverse classification. Assets
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that could be included in this category include loans that have developed credit weaknesses since origination as well as those that were originated with such weaknesses. Special Mention should not be used to identify an asset that has as its sole weakness credit data exceptions or collateral documentation exceptions that are not material to the timely repayment of the asset.

Grade 6: Substandard - (Excessive Credit Risk) - Grade 6 loans are inadequately protected by current sound worth and paying capacity of the borrower or of collateral pledged. Substandard assets have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt. Loans in this category are characterized by the distinct probability that the bank will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected.

Grade 7: Doubtful - (Potential Loss) - Loans graded 7 possess all of the characteristics of Substandard loans with the addition that full collection is improbable on the basis of existing facts, values, and conditions. Possibility of loss is high; however, due to important and reasonably specific pending factors that may work to the loans advantage, a precise indication of estimated loss is deferred until a more exact status can be determined. The Doubtful classification is not to be used to defer the full recognition of an expected loss.

Grade 8: Loss - That portion of an asset classified Loss is considered un-collectible and of such little value that its continuance as an asset, without establishment of a specific valuation allowance or charge-off is not warranted. This classification does not necessarily mean that an asset has absolutely no recovery or salvage value; but rather, it is not practical or desirable to defer writing off a basically worthless asset (or portion) even though partial recovery may be affected in the future. An asset may be subject to a split classification whereby two or more portions of the same asset are given separate classifications.

The following table presents the risk grades of the loan portfolio not covered by loss sharing, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2010:

|  | 1-4 Family <br> Real Estate | Nonresidential <br> Real Estate | Commercial | Real Estate <br> Construction | Consumer <br> and Other | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pass | $\$ 91,818,601$ | $\$ 243,927,283$ | $\$ 13,071,745$ | $\$ 36,152,151$ | $\$ 20,403,846$ | $\$ 405,373,626$ |
| Special Mention | $2,419,951$ | $14,596,086$ | 501,192 | $7,203,970$ | 323,838 | $25,045,037$ |
| Substandard | $7,495,900$ | $12,186,974$ | $4,775,509$ | $2,816,332$ | 182,570 | $27,457,285$ |
| Doubtful |  | 652,354 | 51,099 |  | 26,922 | 730,375 |
| Loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |

The following table presents the risk grades of the loan portfolio covered by loss sharing agreements, segregated by class of loans, as of December 31, 2010:

|  | 1-4 Family <br> Real Estate | Nonresidential Real Estate | Commercial | Real Estate Construction | Consumer and Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pass | \$ 4,136,064 | \$ 35,115,463 | \$ 12,073,729 | \$ 2,590,900 | \$ 6,353,025 | \$ 60,269,181 |
| Special Mention | 2,059,363 | 30,212,451 | 5,352,114 | 112,590 | 424,974 | 38,161,492 |
| Substandard | 2,526,819 | 28,212,421 | 5,596,309 | 1,546,892 | 1,558,855 | 39,441,296 |
| Doubtful | 754,169 | 9,451,188 | 3,928,256 | 374,632 | 240,847 | 14,749,092 |
| Loss |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Covered Loans [1] | \$ 9,476,415 | \$ 102,991,523 | \$ 26,950,408 | \$ 4,625,014 | \$ 8,577,701 | \$ 152,621,061 |

[1] Covered loan balances are net of non-accretable differences and allowance for covered loan losses.
Allowance for Loan Losses. The allowance for loan losses is established through a provision for loan losses charged to expense and is an amount that management believes will be adequate to absorb losses on existing loans that become uncollectible, based on evaluations of the collectibility of loans. The evaluations take into consideration such factors as changes in the nature and volume of the loan portfolio, historical loss rates,
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overall portfolio quality, review of specific problem loans, and current economic conditions and trends that may affect a borrower sability to repay. Loans are charged against the allowance for loan losses when management believes that the collectibility of the principal is unlikely and subsequent recoveries are added to the allowance.

Management s allowance for loan losses methodology is a loan classification-based system. Management bases the required reserve on a percentage of the loan balance for each type of loan and classification level. Loans may be classified
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manually and are automatically classified if they are not previously classified when they reach certain levels of delinquency. Unclassified loans are reserved at different percentages based on our loan loss history for the last two years. Reserve percentages are also adjusted based upon our estimate of the effect that the current economic environment will have on each type of loan.

Management segments its allowance for loan losses into the following four major categories: (1) specific reserves; (2) general allowances for Classified/Watch loans; (3) general allowances for loans with satisfactory ratings; and (4) an unallocated amount. Risk grades are initially assigned in accordance with the Company s loan and collection policy. An organizationally independent department reviews risk grade assignments on an ongoing basis. Management reviews current information and events regarding a borrowers financial condition and strengths, cash flows available for debt repayment, the related collateral supporting the loan and the effects of known and expected economic conditions. When the evaluation reflects a greater than normal risk associated with the individual loan, management classifies the loan accordingly. If the loan is determined to be impaired, management allocates a portion of the allowance for loan losses for that loan based on the fair value of the collateral as the measure for the amount of the impairment. Impaired and Classified/Watch loans are aggressively monitored.

The allowances for loans rated satisfactory are further subdivided into various types of loans as defined by loan type. Management has developed specific quantitative allowance factors to apply to each individual component of the allowance and considers loan charge-off experience over the most recent two years. These quantitative allowance factors are based upon economic, market and industry conditions that are specific to the Company s local markets. These quantitative allowance factors consider, but are not limited to, national and local economic conditions, bankruptcy trends, unemployment trends, loan concentrations, dependency upon government installations and facilities, and competitive factors in the local market. These allocations for the quantitative allowance factors are included in the various individual components of the allowance for loan losses. In addition, some qualitative allowance factors are used that are subjective in nature and require considerable judgment on the part of management. However, it is management s opinion that these items do represent uncertainties in the Company s business environment that must be factored into its analysis of the allowance for loan losses.

The unallocated component of the allowance is established for losses that specifically exist in the remainder of the portfolio, but have yet to be identified. An unallocated allowance is generally maintained in a range of $4 \%$ to $10 \%$ of the total allowance in recognition of the imprecision of the estimates. In times of greater economic downturn and uncertainty, the higher end of this range is provided. Increased allocations in the commercial real estate and real estate construction portfolios reflect increased nonperforming loans, declining real estate values and increased net charge-offs.

Through the FDIC-assisted acquisition of the assets of NCB, management established an allowance for loan losses for non-impaired loans covered by loss-sharing agreements and such allowance for loan losses was $\$ 9.7$ million and $\$ 15.6$ million at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, respectively. The NCB acquisition was completed under previously applicable accounting pronouncements related to business combinations.

Through the FDIC-assisted acquisitions of the loans of NCB and MCB, management established non-accretable discounts for the acquired impaired loans and also for all other loans of MCB. These non-accretable discounts were based on estimates of future cash flows. Subsequent to the acquisition dates, management continues to assess the experience of actual cash flows compared to estimates. When management determines that non-accretable discounts are insufficient to cover expected losses in the applicable covered loan portfolios, such non-accretable discounts are increased with a corresponding provision for covered loan losses as a charge to earnings and an increase in the applicable FDIC receivable based on loss sharing indemnification. During the year ended September 30, 2010, the Company increased non-accretable discounts relating to NCB-acquired loans by $\$ 2.1$ million and recorded $\$ 421$ thousand as a charge to earnings with $\$ 1.7$ million recorded as an increase to the FDIC receivable. There was no such provision for the quarter ended December 31, 2010 for NCB or MCB acquired loans.

The following is a summary of transactions in the allowance for loan losses on loans not covered by loss sharing:

|  | Three Months Ended <br> December 31, |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 9,797,095$ | $\$ 9,331,612$ |
| Loans charged off | $(621,095)$ | $(192,152)$ |
| Recoveries on loans previously charged off | 49,910 | 26,474 |
| Provision for loan losses charged to operations | 800,000 | 800,000 |
|  |  |  |
| Balance, end of period | $\$ 10,025,910$ | $\$ 9,965,934$ |
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The Company maintained its provisions for loan losses for the three months ending December 31, 2010 and 2009 in response to continued weak economic conditions, net charge-offs, weak financial indicators for borrowers in the real estate sectors, continuing low collateral values of commercial and residential real estate, and nonaccrual and impaired loans. The following table details the allowance for loan losses on loans not covered by loss sharing by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2010. Allocation of a portion of the allowance to one category of loans does not preclude availability to absorb losses in other categories.

|  | 1-4 Family <br> Real Estate |  | Nonresidential Real Estate |  | Commercial |  |  | Real Estate Construction |  | Consumer and Other |  | Unallocated |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for loan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending Balance | \$ | 885,732 | \$ | 6,440,170 |  |  | 583,477 |  | 1,432,789 | \$ | 98,753 | \$ | 584,989 | \$ | 10,025,910 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 378,084 | \$ | 996,107 | \$ |  | 77,319 | \$ |  | \$ |  |  |  | \$ | 1,451,510 |
| Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending Balance |  | 1,734,452 |  | 71,362,697 |  |  | ,399,545 |  | 46,172,453 |  | 937,176 |  |  |  | 58,606,323 |
| Ending Balance: individually evaluated for impairment |  | 6,596,045 | \$ | 6,476,757 |  |  | 386,486 |  | 46,072 | \$ |  |  |  | \$ | 13,505,360 |

The following table details the nonaccretable discount on loans covered by loss sharing by portfolio segment as of December 31, 2010.

|  | 1-4 Family <br> Real Estate | Nonresidential <br> Real Estate | Commercial | Real Estate <br> Construction | Consumer <br> and Other | Total |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[1] Amounts include the allowance for covered loan losses.
Loans are classified as restructured by the Company when certain modifications are made to the loan terms and concessions are granted to the borrowers due to financial difficulty experienced by those borrowers. The Company only restructures loans for borrowers in financial difficulty that have designed a viable business plan to fully pay off all obligations, including outstanding debt, interest, and fees, either by generating additional income from the business or through liquidation of assets. Generally, these loans are restructured to provide the borrower additional time to execute upon their plans. At December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, the Company had restructured loans not covered by loss sharing of $\$ 12,890,077$ and $\$ 3,566,890$, respectively, and of those amounts, $\$ 6.7$ million and $\$ 1.7$ million were on nonaccrual. All restructured loans are considered to be impaired and are evaluated as such in the quarterly allowance calculation. As of December 31, 2010, the allowance for loan and losses allocated to restructured loans on nonaccrual totaled $\$ 583,741$. Also, at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, the Company had restructured loans covered by loss sharing of $\$ 15,621,342$ (contractual balance) and $\$ 15,737,435$ (contractual balance),
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## Note 8: Income Per Share

Basic net income per share is computed on the weighted average number of shares outstanding. Diluted net income per share is computed by dividing net income by weighted average shares outstanding plus potential common shares resulting from dilutive stock options, determined using the treasury stock method.

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 |  | 2009 |  |
| Net income | \$ | 275,542 | \$ | 1,202,116 |
| Denominator: |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding |  | 18,133,699 |  | 18,409,106 |
| Equivalent shares issuable upon vesting of restricted stock awards |  | 50,574 |  | 45,907 |
| Diluted shares |  | 18,184,273 |  | 18,455,013 |
| Net income per share |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.02 | \$ | 0.07 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.02 | \$ | 0.07 |

For the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, all stock options were antidilutive.

Basic earnings per share for the three month periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were computed by dividing net income to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding, which consists of issued shares less treasury stock.

Diluted earnings per share for the three month periods ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 were computed by dividing net income to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding and the dilutive effect of the shares awarded under the Company s equity compensation plans.

## Note 9: Real Estate Owned

The following is a summary of transactions in real estate owned:

## Non-covered real estate owned

|  | Three Months <br> Ended <br> December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Year Ended <br> September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
|  | $\$ 9,641,425$ | $\$ 4,777,542$ |
| Balance, beginning of year | 973,816 | $10,528,383$ |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure of loans receivable | $(1,505,618)$ | $(4,789,815)$ |
| Real estate sold | $(28,836)$ | $(707,519)$ |
| Write down of real estate owned | $(37,008)$ | $(167,166)$ |
| Gain (loss) on sale of real estate owned |  |  |
|  | $\$ 9,043,779$ | $\$ 9,641,425$ |
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## Covered real estate owned

|  | Three <br> Months <br> Ended <br> December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | Year Ended <br> September 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Balance, beginning of period | $\$ 29,626,581$ | $\$ 10,681,499$ |
| Real estate acquired and subject to FDIC loss sharing agreement | $7,360,232$ | $15,131,544$ |
| Real estate acquired through foreclosure of loans receivable <br> Real estate sold <br> Provision for losses on other real estate owned: <br> Recognized in noninterest expense | $(6,634,042)$ | $(12,938,614$ |
| Increase of FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreement |  | $(691,592)$ |
| Gain (loss) on sale of real estate owned: |  | $(2,766,366)$ |
| Recognized in noninterest income | 20,696 | 64,931 |
| Reduction of FDIC receivable for loss sharing agreements | 82,782 | 259,726 |
| Balance, end of period | $\$ 30,456,249$ | $\$ 29,626,581$ |

## Note 10: Employee Benefits

The Company has a stock option plan which allows for stock option awards of the Company s common stock to eligible directors and key employees of the Company. The option price is determined by a committee of the board of directors at the time of the grant and may not be less than $100 \%$ of the market value of the common stock on the date of the grant. When granted, the options vest over periods up to four or five years from grant date or upon death, disability, or qualified retirement. All options must be exercised within a 10 year period from grant date. The Company may grant either incentive stock options, which qualify for special federal income tax treatment, or nonqualified stock options, which do not receive such tax treatment. The Company s stockholders have authorized 707,943 shares for the plan of which 54,650 have been granted and exercised, 572,775 are granted and outstanding with the remaining 80,518 shares available to be granted.

The fair value of the options granted during the three months ended December 31, 2010 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes-Merton model with the following assumptions:

| Risk- free interest rate | $3.21 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Dividend yield | $2.50 \%$ |
| Expected life at date of grant | 90 Months |
| Volatility | $17.78 \%$ |
| Weighted average grant-date fair value | 1.27 |

The following table summarizes activity for shares under option and weighted average exercise price per share:

|  |  | Weighted <br> average <br> exercise <br> price/share | Weighted <br> average <br> remaining <br> life (years) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Options outstanding-September 30, 2010 | 512,775 | 10.96 |  |
| Sptions exercised |  |  |  |
| Options forfeited <br> Options granted <br> Options outstanding- December 31, 2010 | 60,000 | 9.00 | 10 |

The stock price at December 31, 2010 was less than or equal to the exercise prices of options outstanding and exercisable and such options therefore had no intrinsic value. Stock option expense was $\$ 37,316$ and $\$ 8,458$ for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.
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The following table summarizes information about the options outstanding at December 31, 2010:

| Number |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| outstanding at | Weighted |  |
| December 31, | average |  |
|  | remaining <br> contractual | Exercise <br> price |
| 2010 | life in years | per share |
| 5,500 | 3 | $\$ 29.26$ |
| 250 | 5 | $\$ 32.99$ |
| 352,025 | 9 | $\$ 11.00$ |
| 155,000 | 9 | $\$ 10.20$ |
| 60,000 | 10 | $\$ 8.00$ |

$$
572,775
$$

The Company has a recognition and retention plan which has been authorized to grant up to 283,177 shares of restricted stock to key employees and directors. The Company has established a grantor trust to purchase these common shares of the Company in the open market or in private transactions. The grantor trust has not purchased previously authorized but unissued shares from the Company. The grantor trust has purchased all of the 283,177 shares that have been authorized. As of December 31, 2010, 83,963 shares remain in the trust and are disclosed as treasury stock in the consolidated statements of financial condition. Of the 83,963 shares remaining in the trust, 50,574 shares have been granted and are not yet vested and 33,389 shares are available for grants.

|  | Weighted average <br> grant date fair <br> value per award |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Shares | 51,574 | 22.74 |
| Unvested restricted stock awards-September 30, 2010 | 1,000 | 37.35 |
| Granted | 50,574 | 22.74 |
| Vested |  |  |
| Cancelled or expired |  |  |
| Unvested restricted stock awards-December 31, 2010 |  |  |

All grants prior to October 1, 2005 vest at the earlier of the scheduled vesting or death, disability, or qualified retirement which is generally age 65 or age 55 with 10 years of service. All grants prior to October 1, 2005 are expensed to the scheduled vesting date. Grants subsequent to January 1, 2009 will be expensed to the earlier of scheduled vesting or substantive vesting which is when the recipient becomes qualified for retirement which is generally age 65 .

## Note 11: Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

In the normal course of business, the Company makes various commitments and incurs certain contingent liabilities, which are not reflected in the accompanying financial statements. The commitments and contingent liabilities include guarantees, commitments to extend credit, and standby letters of credit. At December 31, 2010, commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit totaled $\$ 37.5$ million. The Company does not anticipate any material losses as a result of these transactions.

In the normal course of business, the Company is party (both as plaintiff and defendant) to certain matters of litigation. In the opinion of management and counsel, none of these matters should have a material adverse effect on the Company s financial position or results of operation.

Note 12: Fair Value of Financial Instruments and Fair Value Measurement
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Accounting standards define fair value as the exchange price that would be received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. Accounting standards also establish a fair value hierarchy which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The applicable standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value: Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets that the entity has the ability to access as of the measurement date. Level 2: Significant other observable inputs other than Level 1 prices, such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in markets that are not active, and other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by
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observable market data. Level 3: Significant unobservable inputs that reflect a company s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

Most of the Company s available for sale securities fall into Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. These securities are priced via independent service providers. In obtaining such valuation information, the Company has evaluated the valuation methodologies used to develop the fair values.

## Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Recurring Basis:

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below.
$\left.\begin{array}{lc|c|cc}\text { December 31, } \mathbf{2 0 1 0} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fair value measurements using: } \\ \text { Quoted prices in } \\ \text { active markets for } \\ \text { identical } \\ \text { assets } \\ \text { (Level 1 } \\ \text { inputs) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { (Fair } \\ \text { Quoted prices } \\ \text { for similar assets } \\ \text { (Level 2 inputs) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { unobservable } \\ \text { inputs }\end{array} \\ \text { (Level 3 inputs) }\end{array}\right\}$
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| September 30, 2010 | Fair value | Fair value mea Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1 inputs) |  | nts using: <br> Quoted prices or similar assets Level 2 inputs) | Significant unobservable inputs <br> (Level 3 inputs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Investment securities available for sale: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Tax free municipals | 102,821 | \$ | \$ | 102,821 | \$ |
| Mortgage backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA certificates | 35,962,417 |  |  | 35,962,417 |  |
| GNMA certificates | 7,613,911 |  |  | 7,613,911 |  |
| FHLMC certificates | 26,097,894 |  |  | 26,097,894 |  |
| Collateralized mortgage obligations: |  |  |  |  |  |
| FNMA | 9,871,737 |  |  | 9,871,737 |  |
| FHLMC | 20,784,533 |  |  | 20,784,533 |  |
| GNMA | 6,108,333 |  |  | 6,108,333 |  |
| Other: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Investment grade (BBB and higher) | 21,187,935 |  |  | 21,187,935 |  |
| Non investment grade | 5,453,154 |  |  | 5,453,154 |  |
| Available for sale securities | \$ 133,182,735 | \$ |  | 133,182,735 | \$ |

Assets and Liabilities Measured on a Nonrecurring Basis:
Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis are summarized below.
$\left.\begin{array}{lcccc} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Fair value measurements using: } \\ \text { Quoted prices in } \\ \text { active markets for } \\ \text { identical } \\ \text { assets } \\ \text { (Level 1 } \\ \text { inputs) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Quoted prices } \\ \text { for similar assets } \\ \text { (Level 2 } \\ \text { inputs) }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Significant } \\ \text { unobservable } \\ \text { inputs }\end{array} \\ \text { (Level 3 inputs) }\end{array}\right]$

Loans considered impaired are loans for which, based on current information and events, it is probable that the creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Impaired loans are subject to nonrecurring fair value adjustments to reflect write-downs that are based on the market price or current appraised value of the collateral, adjusted to reflect local market conditions or other economic factors. After evaluating the underlying collateral, the fair value of the impaired loans is determined by allocating specific reserves from the allowance for loan and lease losses to the loans. Thus, the fair value reflects the loan balance less the specifically allocated reserve.
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Other real estate owned is initially accounted for at fair value, less estimated costs to dispose of the property. Any excess of the recorded investment over fair value, less costs to dispose, is charged to the allowance for loan and lease losses at the time of foreclosure. A provision is charged to earnings for subsequent losses on other real estate owned when market conditions indicate such losses have occurred. The ability of the Company to recover the carrying value of other real estate owned is based upon future sales of the real estate. The ability to effect such sales is subject to market conditions and other factors beyond our control, and future declines in the value of the real estate would result in a charge to earnings. The recognition of sales and sales gains is dependent upon whether the nature and terms of the sales, including possible future involvement of the Company, if any, meet certain defined requirements. If those requirements are not met, sale and gain recognition is deferred.

Accounting standards require disclosures of fair value information about financial instruments, whether or not recognized in the Statement of Condition, for which it is practicable to estimate that value. In cases where quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based on estimates using present value or other valuation techniques. Those techniques are significantly affected by the assumptions used, including the discount rate and estimates of future cash flows. In that regard, the derived fair value estimates cannot be substantiated by comparison to independent markets and, in many cases, could not be realized in immediate settlement of the instrument. The use of different market assumptions and/or estimation methodologies may have a material effect on the estimated fair value amounts. Also, the fair value estimates presented herein are based on pertinent information available to Management as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010.

The following methods and assumptions were used by the Company in estimating its fair value disclosures for financial instruments:
CASH, DUE FROM BANKS, FEDERAL FUNDS SOLD AND INTEREST-BEARING DEPOSITS - For those short-term instruments, the carrying amount is a reasonable estimate of fair value.

SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE - Fair value for securities available for sale is primarily based on quoted market prices. If a quoted market price is not available, fair value is estimated using market prices for similar securities. For any level 3 securities, the Company generally uses a discounted cash flow methodology.

LOANS AND LEASES - For equity lines and other loans or leases with short-term or variable rate characteristics, the carrying value reduced by an estimate for credit losses inherent in the portfolio is a reasonable estimate of fair value. The fair value of all other loans and leases is estimated by discounting their future cash flows using interest rates currently being offered for loans and leases with similar terms, reduced by an estimate of credit losses inherent in the portfolio. The discount rates used are commensurate with the interest rate and prepayment risks involved for the various types of loans. The estimated fair value also includes an estimate of certain liquidity risk.

DEPOSITS - The fair value disclosed for demand deposits (i.e., interest- and non-interest-bearing demand, savings and money market savings) is equal to the amounts payable on demand at the reporting date (i.e., their carrying amounts). Fair value for certificates of deposit is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on certificates of deposit to a schedule of aggregated monthly maturities.

SHORT-TERM BORROWINGS - The fair value for these short-term liabilities is estimated using a discounted cash flow calculation that applies interest rates currently being offered on similar type borrowings.

FHLB ADVANCES AND LONG-TERM DEBT - The fair value of the Company s fixed rate borrowings is estimated using discounted cash flows, based on the Company s current incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements. The carrying amount of the Company s variable rate borrowings approximates their fair value.

COMMITMENTS TO EXTEND CREDIT AND STANDBY LETTERS OF CREDIT - The value of these unrecognized financial instruments is estimated based on the fee income associated with the commitments which, in the absence of credit exposure, is considered to approximate their settlement value. Since no significant credit exposure existed, and because such fee income is not material to the Company sfinancial statements at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, the fair value of these commitments is not presented.

Many of the Company s assets and liabilities are short-term financial instruments whose carrying amounts reported in the Statement of Condition approximate fair value. These items include cash and due from banks, interest-bearing bank balances, federal funds sold, other short-term borrowings and accrued interest receivable and payable balances. The estimated fair value of the Company s remaining on-balance sheet financial instruments as of December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010 are summarized below.
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|  | December 31, 2010 |  | September 30, 2010 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Carrying <br> Amount | Estimated <br> Fair Value | Carrying <br> Amount | Estimated Fair Value |
| Financial assets: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 124,033,345 | \$ 124,033,345 | \$ 235,638,582 | \$ 235,638,582 |
| Investments available for sale | 156,204,115 | 156,204,115 | 133,182,736 | 133,182,736 |
| FHLB stock | 13,542,100 | 13,542,100 | 14,071,200 | 14,071,200 |
| Loans receivable, net | 584,021,307 | 539,567,425 | 599,369,605 | 555,177,903 |
| Loans held for sale | 1,852,218 | 1,878,294 | 2,061,489 | 2,079,239 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 31,958,611 | 31,958,611 | 31,678,013 | 31,678,013 |
| FDIC Receivable for loss sharing agreements | 71,716,962 | 70,911,727 | 89,824,798 | 90,012,434 |
| Accrued interest and dividends receivable | 3,532,716 | 3,532,716 | 3,232,330 | 3,232,330 |
| Financial liabilities: |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits | \$ 762,411,619 | \$ 773,129,454 | \$ 823,134,133 | \$ 830,427,887 |
| FHLB advances and other borrowings | 152,000,000 | 161,536,526 | 212,000,000 | 226,983,028 |
| Accrued interest payable | 1,035,465 | 1,035,465 | 2,043,608 | 2,043,608 |
| Note 13: Comprehensive Income (Loss) |  |  |  |  |

Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income and other comprehensive income (loss) which includes the effect of unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment and mortgage-backed securities available for sale in stockholders equity. The only component of accumulated other comprehensive loss is the fair value adjustment on investment securities available for sale, net of income taxes. Accumulated other comprehensive loss was $\$(4,176,203)$ and $\$(3,497,883)$ as of December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively, and the related income taxes were $\$ 2,151,378$ and $\$ 3,145,660$ for those same periods, respectively. The following table sets forth the amounts of comprehensive income (loss) included in stockholders equity along with the related tax effect for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

|  | Three Months Ended December 31, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2010 | 2009 |
| Net income | \$ 275,542 | \$ 1,202,116 |
| Less reclassification adjustment for net gains realized in net income, net of taxes of $\$ 65,946$ and $\$ 3,221$, respectively | $(104,899)$ | $(5,124)$ |
| Net unrealized holding gains (losses) on investment and mortgage securities available for sale arising during the year, net of taxes of $\$ 360,489$ and $\$(1,308,823)$, respectively | $(573,421)$ | 2,081,910 |
| Noncredit portion of other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings, net of taxes of $\$ 0$ and $\$(59,058)$, respectively |  | 93,942 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | \$ (402,778) | \$ 3,372,844 |
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## Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Management s discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations at and for the three months ended December 31, 2010 and 2009 is intended to assist in understanding the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. The information contained in this section should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto, appearing in Part I, Item 1 of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q.

## Forward-Looking Statements

This report contains forward-looking statements that are based on assumptions and may describe future plans, strategies and expectations of the Company. These forward-looking statements are generally identified by use of the words believe, expect, intend, anticipate, estimate, similar expressions. The Company $s$ ability to predict results or the actual effect of future plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Factors which could have a material adverse effect on the operations of the Company include, but are not limited to, general economic conditions, either nationally or in our market areas, that are worse than expected; competition among depository and other financial institutions; changes in the interest rate environment that reduce our margins or reduce the fair value of financial instruments; adverse changes in the securities markets; changes in laws or government regulations or policies affecting financial institutions, including changes in regulatory fees and capital requirements; our ability to enter new markets successfully and capitalize on growth opportunities; our ability to successfully integrate acquired entities; our incurring higher than expected loan charge-offs with respect to assets acquired in FDIC-assisted acquisitions; changes in consumer spending, borrowing and savings habits; changes in accounting policies and practices, as may be adopted by the bank regulatory agencies and the Financial Accounting Standards Board; and changes in our organization, compensation and benefit plans. Additional factors are discussed in the Company s Form 10-K for the year ended September 30, 2010 under Part I; Item 1A.- Risk Factors, and in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q under Part II; Item 1A. Risk Factors. These risks and uncertainties should be considered in evaluating forward-looking statements and undue reliance should not be placed on such statements. Except as required by applicable law or regulation, the Company does not undertake, and specifically disclaims any obligation, to release publicly the result of any revisions that may be made to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date of the statements or to reflect the occurrence of anticipated or unanticipated events.

## Overview

Our results of operations depend primarily on our net interest income. Net interest income is the difference between the interest income we earn on our interest-earning assets, consisting primarily of loans, investment securities, mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations and other interest-earning assets (primarily cash and cash equivalents), and the interest we pay on our interest-bearing liabilities, consisting primarily of deposits and Federal Home Loan Bank advances and other borrowings.

Our principal business consists of attracting deposits from the general public and investing those funds primarily in permanent loans secured by first mortgages on owner-occupied, one- to four-family residences. We also originate consumer loans, loans secured by first mortgages on non-owner-occupied one- to four-family residences, construction loans secured by one- to four-family residences, commercial real estate loans, and multi-family real estate loans. While our primary business is the origination of one- to four-family loans funded through retail deposits, we also purchase whole loans and invest in certain investment securities and mortgage-backed securities, and use FHLB advances, repurchase agreements and other borrowings as additional funding sources.

The Company is significantly affected by prevailing economic conditions, including federal monetary and fiscal policies and federal regulation of financial institutions. Deposit balances are influenced by a number of factors, including interest rates paid on competing personal investment products, the level of personal income, and the personal rate of savings within our market areas. Lending activities are influenced by the demand for housing and other loans, changing loan underwriting guidelines, as well as interest rate pricing competition from other lending institutions. The primary sources of funds for lending activities include deposits, loan repayments, investment income, borrowings, and funds provided from operations.

The Company s results of operations are primarily dependent on net interest income, which is the difference between the interest earned on loans, mortgage-backed securities, investment securities and cash, and the interest paid on deposits and borrowings. On a weekly basis, management reviews deposit flows, loan demand, cash levels, and changes in several market rates to assess all pricing strategies. Generally, deposit pricing is based upon a survey of competitors in the Bank s market areas, and the need to attract funding and retain maturing deposits. The majority of our loans are adjustable rate products that have a fixed rate for three to five years with annual adjustments thereafter.

## Table of Contents

During the first three months of fiscal year 2011, the economy began to show signs of recovery, as evidenced by increases in consumer spending and the stabilization of the labor market, the housing sector, and financial markets. However, unemployment levels remained elevated and unemployment periods prolonged, housing prices remained depressed and demand for housing was weak, due to distressed sales and tightened lending standards. In an effort to support mortgage lending and housing market recovery, and to help improve credit conditions overall, the Federal Open Market Committee of the Federal Reserve has maintained the overnight lending rate between zero and 25 basis points since December 2008.

Net income decreased $\$ 926,000$, or $77.1 \%$, to $\$ 276,000$ for the three months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 1.2$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The decrease was due to an increase of $\$ 3.3$ million in noninterest expense to $\$ 9.4$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 6.1$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009, partially offset by an increase of $\$ 1.1$ million in interest income and a $\$ 501,000$ increase in noninterest income.

## Critical Accounting Policies

Critical accounting policies are those that involve significant judgments and assessments by management, and which could potentially result in materially different results under different assumptions and conditions. As discussed in the Company s Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, the Company considers its critical accounting policies to be the allowance for loan losses, other-than-temporary impairment of investment securities, real estate owned, mortgage banking activities, goodwill and other intangible assets, deferred income taxes, receivable from FDIC under loss sharing agreements, and estimation of fair value.

## Comparison of Financial Condition at December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010

Assets. Total assets decreased $\$ 122.3$ million, or $10.3 \%$, to $\$ 1.1$ billion at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 1.2$ billion at September 30, 2010. There was a decrease in liabilities of $\$ 121.4$ million due to a $\$ 60.0$ million reduction in FHLB advances and a $\$ 60.7$ million reduction in deposits, primarily certificates. The reduction in liabilities was primarily funded by a $\$ 111.6$ million reduction in cash and cash equivalents.

Loans. At December 31, 2010, total loans were $\$ 595.0$ million, or $56.0 \%$ of total assets compared to $\$ 609.9$ million or $51.4 \%$ at September 30, 2010. As indicated in the table below, over this three month period our loans covered by loss sharing were reduced by $\$ 11.7$ million and at December 31, 2010, our covered loans totaled $\$ 136.4$ million, or $22.9 \%$ of our total loan portfolio.

## Non-covered and Covered Loans, net

|  | Non-covered <br> (Dollars in Thousands) | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Loan Balances: | $\$ 47,621$ | $\$ 136,400$ | $\$ 584,021$ |
| December 31, 2010 | 451,231 | 148,139 | 599,370 |
| September 30, 2010 | 463,725 | 201,673 | 665,398 |
| June 30, 2010 | 463,936 | 213,753 | 677,689 |
| March 31, 2010 | 477,882 | 86,254 | 564,136 |

Investment and Mortgage Securities Portfolio. At December 31, 2010, our investment and mortgage securities portfolio totaled $\$ 156.2$ million, compared to $\$ 133.2$ million at September 30, 2010. The increase was due to $\$ 51.1$ million in investment and mortgage security purchases partially offset by $\$ 17.5$ million in investment and mortgage-backed security principal pay downs and the sale of $\$ 9.8$ million in certain securities.

Bank Owned Life Insurance. The total cash surrender value of our bank owned life insurance at December 31, 2010 was $\$ 32.0$ million, an increase of $\$ 281,000$ compared to the cash surrender value of $\$ 31.7$ million at September 30, 2010.

Deposits. Total deposits decreased by $\$ 60.7$ million, or $7.4 \%$, to $\$ 762.4$ million at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 823.1$ million at September 30, 2010. As indicated below we reduced wholesale certificates by $\$ 28.2$ million and retail
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certificates by $\$ 30.8$ million. Transaction accounts were only down by $\$ 3.7$ million in spite of reduced rates that we are paying and other tightening of terms on the rewards checking.

## Deposit Balances

|  | Deposit Fees | Transaction <br> Accounts | Savings | Money <br> Markets <br> (Dollars in Thousands) | Total <br> Core <br> Ceposits | Retail <br> Certificates of <br> Deposit | Wholesale <br> Certificates of <br> Deposit |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| December 31, 2010 | $\$ 1,433$ | $\$ 202,632$ | $\$ 16,850$ | $\$ 91,974$ | $\$ 311,456$ | $\$$ | 395,744 | $\$$ | 55,212 |
| September 30, 2010 | 1,564 | 206,373 | 17,409 | 89,388 | 313,170 | 426,521 | 83,443 |  |  |
| June 30, 2010 | 1,553 | 190,325 | 18,613 | 99,464 | 308,402 | 402,218 | 100,438 |  |  |
| March 30, 2010 | 1,396 | 180,508 | 29,725 | 109,595 | 319,828 | 417,961 | 168,791 |  |  |
| December 31, 2009 | 1,276 | 143,187 | 17,526 | 80,772 | 241,485 | 256,666 | 116,583 |  |  |

Borrowings. Borrowings decreased to $\$ 152.0$ million at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 212.0$ million at September 30, 2010. In October 2010 we prepaid $\$ 60.0$ million of FHLB advances that were originally scheduled to mature in early January 2011. The prepayment penalty of $\$ 810,000$ approximated the net present value of interest that would have been paid if we had kept the borrowing to its original maturity.

Equity. At December 31, 2010, our total equity equaled $\$ 134.9$ million (or $\$ 7.26$ per share), a $\$ 852,000$ decrease from September 30, 2010. The decrease was primarily due to a $\$ 678,000$ increase in unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of tax, and $\$ 487,000$ of dividends paid for the quarter partially offset by $\$ 276,000$ of net income.

## Comparison of Operating Results for the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009

General. The Company recognized net income of $\$ 276,000$ for the quarter ended December 31, 2010, compared to net income of $\$ 1.2$ million for the quarter ended December 31, 2009. The $\$ 926,000$ decrease in net income between periods was a result of a $\$ 3.3$ million increase in noninterest expense which was partially offset by a $\$ 1.4$ million increase in net interest income and an increase in noninterest income of $\$ 501,000$. Major contributors to the increase in noninterest expense were an $\$ 810,000$ prepayment penalty on FHLB advances and additional operating expenses from the McIntosh Commercial Bank acquisition.

Interest and Dividend Income. Total interest and dividend income increased $\$ 1.1$ million, or $10.1 \%$, to $\$ 12.4$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 11.2$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. Interest on loans increased $\$ 2.2$ million, or $24.6 \%$, to $\$ 11.3$ million as a result of a $\$ 40.5$ million, or $7.2 \%$, increase in the average balance of loans receivable to $\$ 602.3$ million and a 105 basis point increase in the average yield on loans. The increase in the average balance was primarily the result of the acquisition of loans in the MCB transaction. As indicated in the table below, the average yield on loans over the past year increased from $6.46 \%$ for the three months ended December 31, 2009 to $7.51 \%$ for the three months ended December 31, 2010. The increase relates to the MCB acquisition and related accretion income. Interest income was inhibited by high balances of cash and cash equivalents and also nonperforming loans, primarily covered by loss sharing.
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|  | Thre Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | December 31 | September 30 | June 30 | March 31 | December 31 |
| (2010 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |  |$]$

Interest and dividend income on mortgage backed securities decreased $\$ 1.1$ million, or $53.7 \%$, to $\$ 972,000$ for the three months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 2.1$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The decrease reflected a $\$ 68.1$ million, or $34.6 \%$, decrease in the average balance of securities to $\$ 128.7$ million and a 125 basis point decrease in the average yield on securities in the generally lower market interest rate environment.

Interest Expense. Total interest expense decreased $\$ 253,000$, or $5.0 \%$, to $\$ 4.8$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 5.1$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The decrease was due to a $\$ 796,000$ decrease in interest paid on borrowed funds. The decrease reflected a $\$ 63.7$ million or $29.0 \%$ decrease in average borrowings to $\$ 156.2$ million from $\$ 219.9$ million. Interest on deposits increased to $\$ 3.0$ million for the three months ending December 31, 2010 from $\$ 2.4$ million for the three months ending December 31, 2009. This was a $\$ 543,000$, or $22.2 \%$ increase.

The increase in interest paid on deposits was due to an increase in the average balance of interest bearing deposits resulting from the assumption of the MCB deposits to $\$ 743.0$ million from $\$ 550.7$ million. The increase was partially offset by a 16 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing deposits to $1.61 \%$ from $1.77 \%$, largely due to lower market interest rates. Interest expense on certificates of deposit increased $\$ 375,000$ to $\$ 2.4$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2010, from $\$ 2.0$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The decrease in the average cost of these deposits from $2.15 \%$ to $1.97 \%$ was more than offset by the $\$ 110.4$ million, or $29.6 \%$, increase in the average balance of such deposits. Interest expense on reward checking deposits increased $\$ 271,000$ to $\$ 412,000$ for the three months ended December 31, 2010, from $\$ 141,000$ for the three months ended December 31, 2009, as the decrease in the average cost of these deposits from $3.19 \%$ to $2.35 \%$ was more than offset by the $\$ 52.3$ million, or $295 \%$, increase in the average balance of such deposits.

Net Interest Income. Net interest income increased $\$ 1.4$ million, or $22.5 \%$, to $\$ 7.6$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2010, from $\$ 6.2$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The increase primarily reflected the $\$ 2.2$ million, or $24.6 \%$, increase in interest income on loans combined with the 49 basis point decrease in the average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, partially offset by a $\$ 128.6$ million, or $16.7 \%$, increase in the average balance of interest-bearing liabilities for the three-months ended December 31, 2010 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2009. Our net interest margin increased 35 basis points to $3.38 \%$ for the 2010 period from $3.03 \%$ for the 2009 period, while our net interest rate spread increased 51 basis points to $3.39 \%$ from $2.88 \%$. Lower deposit costs and accretion of purchase discounts from the Neighborhood Community Bank (NCB ) and McIntosh Commercial Bank (MCB) acquisitions contributed to the improved net interest margin and net interest rate spread. As indicated in the table below our percentage of interest-earning assets to average interest-bearing liabilities decreased from $105.94 \%$ in December 2009 to $99.66 \%$ in December 2010. The ratio dropped due to high levels of cash and the FDIC receivable. Nonperforming assets also inhibited net interest income.
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(1) Includes net loan fees deferred and accreted pursuant to applicable accounting requirements.
(2) Interest income on loans is interest income as recorded in the income statement and, therefore, does not include interest income on nonaccrual loans.
(3) Net interest rate spread represents the difference between the weighted average yield on interest-earning assets and the weighted average cost of interest bearing liabilities.
(4) Net interest margin represents net interest income as a percentage of average interest-earning assets.

Rate/Volume Analysis. The following tables set forth the effects of changing rates and volumes on our net interest income for the periods indicated. The rate column shows the effects attributable to changes in rates (changes in rate multiplied by prior volume). The volume column
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shows the effects attributable to changes in volume (changes in volume multiplied by prior rate). Changes due to both volume and rate have been allocated proportionately to the volume and rate changes. The net column represents the sum of the prior columns.
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|  | For the Three Months Ended December 31, 2010 <br> Compared to |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Volume | Three Monts Ended December 31, 2009 <br> Increase/(Decrease) Due to <br> (IN THOUSANDS) <br> Rate <br> Combined | Net |

Provision for Loan Losses. The provision for loan losses for the three months ended December 31, 2010 was $\$ 800,000$, the same as for the three months ended December 31, 2009. Net charge-offs increased to $\$ 571,000$ for the three months ended December 31, 2010, from $\$ 166,000$ for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The allowance for loan losses for non-covered loans was $\$ 10.0$ million, or $2.19 \%$ of total non-covered loans receivable, at December 31, 2010. At December 31, 2010 there were $\$ 211.8$ million in covered loans (contractual balance), and $\$ 83.7$ million in nonperforming covered loans (contractual balance). At December 31, 2010, there were $\$ 59.2$ million in nonaccretable discounts and allowances for covered loans.

Noninterest Income. Noninterest income increased $\$ 501,000$, or $20.8 \%$, to $\$ 2.9$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2010 from $\$ 2.4$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The increase in noninterest income was largely attributed to gains on securities available for sale of $\$ 171,000$ and an increase in service charges on deposits of $\$ 157,000$. As indicated in the table below, deposit fees for the three months ended December 31, 2010 were down $\$ 131,000$ in the first full quarter since the implementation of customers opting in for nonsufficient fund charges on electronic transactions. Accretion on the FDIC indemnification asset is decreasing as a result of the reduction of the indemnification asset as the FDIC pays loss share claims.

|  | For the Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | March |  |  |  |
|  |  | September 30 | June 30 | 31 | December 31 |
|  |  | 2010 | 2010 | 2010 | 2009 |
| Deposit fees | 1,433 | 1,564 | 1,553 | 1,396 | 1,276 |
| Gain on the sale of loans | 262 | 171 | 157 | 380 | 89 |
| Brokerage commissions | 167 | 140 | 130 | 143 | 106 |
| Bank owned life insurance | 281 | 279 | 283 | 205 | 361 |
| Gain on sale of investments, net | 171 | 568 | 128 | 195 | 8 |
| Impairment losses on securities recognized in earnings |  |  |  | $(3,374)$ | (153) |
| FDIC accretion | 342 | 450 | 557 | 268 | 566 |
| Other income | 254 | 199 | 177 | 9,531 | 156 |
| Total Noninterest Income | \$ 2,910 | \$ 3,371 | \$ 2,985 | \$ 8,744 | \$ 2,409 |
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Noninterest Expense. Total noninterest expense increased $\$ 3.3$ million, or $54.6 \%$, to $\$ 9.4$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2010, from $\$ 6.1$ million for the three months ended December 31, 2009. As indicated in the table below the increase included $\$ 810,000$ in a FHLB advance prepayment penalty in the 2010 period. The three months ended December 31, 2010, also included an increase of $\$ 879,000$ or $28.8 \%$, in salaries and employee benefits resulting from our acquisition of MCB and additional special asset and regulatory reporting personnel related to FDIC-assisted acquisitions. Other increases included $\$ 579,000$ in the cost of REO primarily due to our portion of real estate taxes and other expenses on foreclosed real estate covered by loss sharing, an increase of $\$ 185,000$ in occupancy attributed to the acquisition of MCB, and an increase of $\$ 175,000$ in higher legal and professional fees relating primarily to collection matters on nonperforming loan and public company reporting requirements.

## Noninterest Expense

|  | For the Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | September 30 2010 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } 30 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 31 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Compensation \& employee benefits | \$ 3,928 | \$ | 3,607 | \$ 3,963 | \$ 3,194 | \$ | 3,049 |
| Occupancy | 1,543 |  | 1,603 | 1,412 | 1,572 |  | 1,358 |
| Legal \& professional | 425 |  | 32 | 519 | 706 |  | 250 |
| Marketing | 389 |  | 433 | 424 | 331 |  | 389 |
| Furniture \& equipment | 200 |  | 186 | 169 | 167 |  | 147 |
| Postage, office supplies, and printing | 238 |  | 197 | 241 | 190 |  | 151 |
| Deposit premium amortization expense | 56 |  | 57 | 59 | 34 |  | 34 |
| Other | 637 |  | 652 | 566 | 553 |  | 153 |
| FHLB advance prepayment penalty | 810 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal insurance premiums and other regulatory fees | 322 |  | 530 | 313 | 273 |  | 271 |
| Net cost of operations of real estate owned | 861 |  | 1,785 | 372 | 244 |  | 282 |
| Total Noninterest Expense | \$ 9,409 | \$ | 9,082 | \$8,038 | \$ 7,264 | \$ | 6,084 |

Income Taxes. There was an income tax benefit of $\$(8,000)$ for the three months ended December 31, 2010 compared to an expense of $\$ 501,000$ for the three months ended December 31, 2009. Our effective tax rate was a tax benefit of (2.95)\% for the three months ended December 31, 2010, compared to an expense of $29.42 \%$ for the three months ended December 31, 2009. The decrease in the effective tax rate for the 2010 period was due to lower pretax income which created a higher benefit on a percentage basis from tax advantaged investments such as Bank owned life insurance.

## Asset Quality

Delinquent Loans and Foreclosed Assets. Our policies require that management continuously monitor the status of the loan portfolio and report to the Loan Committee of the Board of Directors on a monthly basis. These reports include information on delinquent loans and foreclosed real estate, and our actions and plans to cure the delinquent status of the loans and to dispose of the foreclosed property. The Loan Committee approves action plans on all loans that are 90 days or more delinquent. The Loan Committee consists of three outside directors. One position on the committee, the chairman, is permanent, and the other two positions alternate between four outside directors.

We generally stop accruing interest income when we consider the timely collectibility of interest or principal to be doubtful. We generally stop accruing for loans that are 90 days or more past due unless the loan is well secured and we determine that the ultimate collection of all principal and interest is not in doubt. When we designate loans as nonaccrual, we reverse all outstanding interest that we had previously credited. If we receive a payment on a nonaccrual loan, we may recognize a portion of that payment as interest income if we determine that the ultimate collectibility of principal is no longer in doubt. However, such loans may remain on nonaccrual status until a regular pattern of timely payments is established.
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Impaired loans are individually assessed to determine whether the carrying value exceeds the fair value of the collateral or the present value of the expected cash flows to be received. Smaller balance homogeneous loans, such as residential mortgage loans and consumer loans, are collectively evaluated for impairment.

Real estate acquired as a result of foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure is classified as real estate owned until such time as it is sold. When real estate is acquired through foreclosure or by deed in lieu of foreclosure, it is recorded at the lower of the related loan balance or its fair value as determined by an appraisal, less estimated costs of disposal. If the value of the property is less than the loan, less any related specific loan loss reserve allocations, the difference is charged against the allowance for loan losses. Any subsequent write-down of real estate owned or loss at the time of disposition is charged against earnings.

Nonperforming assets increased to $\$ 102.8$ million at December 31, 2010 from $\$ 103.7$ million at September 30, 2010. The purchased loans and commitments (covered loans ) and other real estate owned (covered other real estate ) acquired in the MCB and NCB acquisitions are covered by loss sharing agreements between the FDIC and CharterBank. Under these agreements, with respect to the NCB acquisition, the FDIC will assume $80 \%$ of losses and share $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the first $\$ 82.0$ million of losses, and $95 \%$ of losses and share $95 \%$ of loss recoveries on losses exceeding that amount; and with respect to the MCB acquisition, the FDIC will assume $80 \%$ of losses and share $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the first $\$ 106.0$ million of losses, and $95 \%$ of losses and share $95 \%$ of loss recoveries on losses exceeding that amount.

As of December 31, 2010, our nonperforming covered and non-covered assets totaled $\$ 102.8$ million and consisted of $\$ 62.6$ million of nonaccrual loans, $\$ 687,000$ of loans 90 days or more past due and still accruing and other real estate owned of $\$ 39.5$ million.

We are also reviewing the performing NCB and MCB loan portfolios with the objective of aggressively classifying all loans appropriately so that resolution plans can be established and delinquent assets can be returned to performing status.

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | September 302010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Covered | Non-covered |  | Covered | Non-covered |  |
| Non-accrual loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | \$ 4,046 | \$ | 6,596 | \$ 3,747 | \$ | 5,946 |
| Commercial real estate | 34,932 |  | 6,477 | 37,476 |  | 5,243 |
| Real estate construction | 1,922 |  | 46 | 3,147 |  |  |
| Commercial | 6,627 |  | 386 | 7,098 |  | 246 |
| Consumer and other loans | 1,400 |  | 180 | 1,126 |  | 209 |
| Total non-accrual loans | \$ 48,927 | \$ | 13,685 | \$ 52,594 | \$ | 11,644 |
| Loans delinquent 90 days or greater and still accruing: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family residential real estate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | 687 |  |  | 49 |  |  |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |  | 140 |
| Consumer and other loans |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total loans delinquent 90 days or greater and still accruing | \$ 687 | \$ |  | \$ 49 | \$ | 140 |
| Total non-performing loans | \$ 49,614 | \$ | 13,685 | \$ 52,643 | \$ | 11,784 |
| Real estate owned: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| One- to four-family residential real estate | 9,295 |  | 869 | 9,383 |  | 1,855 |
| Commercial real estate | 16,348 |  | 7,825 | 13,630 |  | 7,786 |
| Real estate construction | 3,948 |  | 350 | 5,575 |  |  |
| Commercial | 489 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer and other loans | 376 |  |  | 1,039 |  |  |

$\left.\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Total real estate owned } & \$ 30,456 & \$ & 9,044 & \$ 29,627 & \$ \\ \hline \text { 9,641 } \\ \text { Total non-performing assets } & \$ 80,070 & \$ & 22,729 & \$ 82,270 & \$\end{array}\right) 21,425$

## Table of Contents

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December } 31 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } 30 \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Covered | Non-covered | Covered | Non-covered |
| Ratios: |  |  |  |  |
| Non-performing loans as a percentage of total non-covered loans | N/M | 2.98\% | N/M | 2.61\% |
| Non-performing assets as a percentage of total non-covered assets | N/M | 2.45\% | N/M | 2.12\% |

Liquidity Management. Liquidity is the ability to meet current and future short-term financial obligations. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposit inflows, advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank, loan payments and prepayments, mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations repayments and maturities and sales of loans and other securities. While maturities and scheduled amortization of loans and securities are predictable sources of funds, deposit flows and mortgage prepayments are greatly influenced by market interest rates, economic conditions and competition. Our Asset/Liability Management Committee is responsible for establishing and monitoring our liquidity targets and strategies in order to ensure that sufficient liquidity exists for meeting the borrowing needs of our customers as well as unanticipated contingencies. At December 31, 2010 and September 30, 2010, we had access to immediately available funds of approximately $\$ 172.4$ million and $\$ 273.3$ million, respectively, including overnight funds and a Federal Reserve line of credit.

We regularly adjust our investments in liquid assets based upon our assessment of expected loan demand, expected deposit flows, yields available on interest-earning deposits and securities, and the objectives of our asset/liability management program. Excess liquid assets are invested generally in interest-earning deposits and short- and intermediate-term securities.

Our most liquid assets are cash and cash equivalents. The levels of these assets are subject to our operating, financing, lending and investing activities during any given period. At December 31, 2010, cash and cash equivalents totaled $\$ 124.0$ million and securities classified as available-for-sale, which provide additional sources of liquidity, totaled $\$ 156.2$ million. In addition, at December 31, 2010, we had access to additional Federal Home Loan Bank advances of up to $\$ 324.1$ million. At December 31, 2010, we had $\$ 152.0$ million in advances outstanding. However, based on available collateral, additional advances would be limited to $\$ 48.4$ million.

Our cash flows are derived from operating activities, investing activities and financing activities as reported in our Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows included in our Consolidated Financial Statements.

At December 31, 2010, we had $\$ 4.4$ million of new loan commitments outstanding, and $\$ 26.0$ million of unfunded construction and development loans. In addition to commitments to originate loans, we had $\$ 11.5$ million of unused lines of credit to borrowers. Certificates of deposit due within one year of December 31, 2010 totaled $\$ 275.4$ million, or $36.1 \%$ of total deposits. If these deposits do not remain with us, we will be required to seek other sources of funds, including other certificates of deposit and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. Depending on market conditions, we may be required to pay higher rates on such deposits or other borrowings than we currently pay on the certificates of deposit due on or before December 31, 2010. We believe, however, based on past experience that a significant portion of our certificates of deposit will remain with us. We have the ability to attract and retain deposits by adjusting the interest rates offered.

Our primary investing activities are the origination of loans and the purchase of securities. During the three months ended December 31, 2010, we originated $\$ 13.5$ million of loans and purchased $\$ 51.5$ million of securities.

Financing activities consist primarily of changes in deposit accounts and Federal Home Loan Bank advances. We experienced a net decrease in total deposits of $\$ 60.7$ million for the quarter ended December 31, 2010, primarily from decreases in wholesale time deposits. We also prepaid $\$ 60$ million in FHLB advances during the quarter ended December 31, 2010. Deposit flows are affected by the overall level of interest rates, the interest rates and products offered by us and our local competitors and other factors.
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Liquidity management is both a daily and long-term function of business management. If we require funds beyond our ability to generate them internally, borrowing agreements exist with the Federal Home Loan Bank which provides an additional source of funds. Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta advances decreased by $\$ 65.0$ million to $\$ 152.0$ million during the three months ended December 31, 2010 compared to the three months ended December 31, 2009 due to the early payoff of advances. Federal Home Loan Bank advances have been used primarily to fund loan demand and to purchase securities.

Cash receipts arising from payments on covered loans and loss-sharing collections from the FDIC are expected to provide positive net cash flows.

Capital Management and Resources. We are subject to various regulatory capital requirements administered by the Office of Thrift Supervision, including a risk-based capital measure. The risk-based capital guidelines include both a definition of capital and a framework for calculating risk-weighted assets by assigning balance sheet assets and off-balance sheet items to broad risk categories. At December 31, 2010, we exceeded all of our regulatory capital requirements. We are considered well capitalized under regulatory guidelines.

|  | December 31, | September 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Capital Adequacy Ratios | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ |
| Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets) | $24.38 \%$ | $20.28 \%$ | $14.78 \%$ |
| Total capital (to risk-weighted assets) | $25.63 \%$ | $21.53 \%$ | $15.92 \%$ |
| Tier 1 capital (to total assets) | $11.45 \%$ | $10.21 \%$ | $9.38 \%$ |

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. In the normal course of operations, we engage in a variety of financial transactions that, in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles are not recorded in our consolidated financial statements. These transactions involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit, interest rate and liquidity risk. Such transactions are used primarily to manage customers requests for funding and take the form of loan commitments and lines of credit.

For the three months ended December 31, 2010, we did not engage in any off-balance sheet transactions reasonably likely to have a material effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

## Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Qualitative Aspects of Market Risk. The Company s most significant form of market risk is interest rate risk. We manage the interest rate sensitivity of our interest-bearing liabilities and interest-earning assets in an effort to minimize the adverse effects of changes in the interest rate environment. Deposit accounts typically react more quickly to changes in market interest rates than mortgage loans because of the shorter maturities of deposits. As a result, sharp increases in interest rates may adversely affect our earnings while decreases in interest rates may beneficially affect our earnings. We employ several strategies to manage the interest rate risk inherent in our mix of assets and liabilities, including:
selling fixed rate mortgages we originate to the secondary market, generally on a servicing released basis;
maintaining the diversity of our existing loan portfolio by originating commercial real estate and consumer loans, which typically have adjustable rates and shorter terms than residential mortgages;
emphasizing investments with adjustable interest rates;
maintaining fixed rate borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank of Atlanta; and
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increasing retail transaction deposit accounts, which typically have long durations.
We have an Asset/Liability Management Committee to communicate, coordinate and control all aspects involving asset/liability management. The committee establishes and monitors the volume, maturities, pricing and mix of assets and funding sources with the objective of managing assets and funding sources to provide results that are consistent with liquidity, growth, risk limits and profitability goals.

Quantitative Aspects of Market Risk. The Office of Thrift Supervision requires the computation of amounts by which the difference between the present value of an institution $s$ assets and liabilities (the institution s net portfolio value or NPV ) would change in the event of a range of assumed changes in market interest rates. Our simulation model uses a discounted cash flow analysis to measure the interest rate sensitivity of NPV. Depending on current market interest

## Table of Contents

rates we historically have estimated the economic value of these assets and liabilities under the assumption that interest rates experience an instantaneous and sustained increase of 100,200 , or 300 basis points, or a decrease of 100 and 200 basis points, which is based on the current interest rate environment. A basis point equals one-hundredth of one percent, and 100 basis points equals one percent. An increase in interest rates from $3 \%$ to $4 \%$ would mean, for example, a 100 basis point increase in the Change in Interest Rates column below. Given the current relatively low level of market interest rates, a NPV calculation for an interest rate decrease of greater than 100 basis points has not been prepared.

The table below sets forth, as of December 31, 2010, the Office of Thrift Supervision s calculation of the estimated changes in CharterBank s net portfolio value that would result from the designated instantaneous changes in the interest rate yield curve.
Change in Interest
(1) Assumes an instantaneous uniform change in interest rates at all maturities.
(2) NPV is the difference between the present value of an institution $s$ assets and liabilities.
(3) Present value of assets represents the discounted present value of incoming cash flows on interest-earning assets.
(4) NPV Ratio represents NPV divided by the present value of assets.

The table above indicates that at December 31, 2010, in the event of a 200 basis point increase in interest rates, we would experience a $5.2 \%$ increase in net portfolio value. In the event of a 100 basis point decrease in interest rates, we would experience no change in net portfolio value. Additionally, our internal policy states that our minimum NPV of estimated present value of assets shall range from a low of $5.5 \%$ for a 300 basis point change in rates to $7.5 \%$ for no change in interest rates. As of December 31, 2010, we were in compliance with our Board approved policy limits.

The effects of interest rates on net portfolio value and net interest income are not predictable. Computations of prospective effects of hypothetical interest rate changes are based on numerous assumptions, including relative levels of market interest rates, prepayments, and deposit run-offs, and should not be relied upon as indicative of actual results. Certain shortcomings are inherent in these computations. Although some assets and liabilities may have similar maturity or periods of repricing, they may react at different times and in different degrees to changes in market interest rates. Rates on other types of assets and liabilities may lag behind changes in market interest rates. Assets, such as adjustable rate mortgages, generally have features that restrict changes in interest rates on a short-term basis and over the life of the asset. After a change in interest rates, prepayments and early withdrawal levels could deviate significantly from those assumed in making the calculations set forth above. Additionally, increased credit risk may result if our borrowers are unable to meet their repayment obligations as interest rates increase.

## Item 4. Controls and Procedures

The Company s management, including the Company s principal executive officer and principal financial officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures, as such term is defined in Rule 13a-15(e) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act ). Based upon their evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective for the purpose of ensuring that the information required to be disclosed in the reports that the Company files or submits under the Exchange Act with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC ) (1) is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and (2) is accumulated and communicated to the Company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In addition, no change in the Company sinternal control over financial reporting occurred during the quarter ended December 31, 2010 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially
affect, the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II. OTHER INFORMATION
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## Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

From time to time, we may be party to various legal proceedings incident to our business. At December 31, 2010, we were not a party to any pending legal proceedings that we believe would have a material adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

## Item 1A. Risk Factors.

In addition to the other information set forth in this report, you should carefully consider the factors discussed in Part I, Item1.A.- Risk Factors in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2010, which could materially affect our business, financial condition or future results. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K are not only the risks that we face. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. None

## Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None

Item 5. Other Information.
None

## Item 6. Exhibits.

31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(c) Certification of Chief Executive Officer
31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(c) Certification of Chief Financial Officer
32.1 Section 1350 Certifications
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## Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: February 14, 2011

Date: February 14, 2011

## Charter Financial Corporation

By: /s/ Robert L. Johnson
Robert L. Johnson
President and Chief Executive Officer

By: /s/ Curtis R. Kollar
Curtis R. Kollar
Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

