

Edgar Filing: Bank of Marin Bancorp - Form 8-K

Bank of Marin Bancorp
Form 8-K
July 17, 2012

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 8-K

CURRENT REPORT

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported) July 11, 2012

Bank of Marin Bancorp
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

California	001-33572	20-8859754
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)	(File number)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)
504 Redwood Blvd., Suite 100, Novato, CA		94947
(Address of principal executive office)		(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (415) 763-4520

Not Applicable
(Former name or former address, if changes since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17CFR 230.425)
 - Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
 - Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))
-

SECTION 1 - REGISTRANT'S BUSINESS AND OPERATIONS

Item 1.01 Entry into a Material Definitive Agreement.

On July 11, 2012, Bank of Marin (the “Bank”) subsidiary of parent company Bank of Marin Bancorp (NASDAQ: BMRC), entered into an Information Technology Services Agreement, including those addenda and amendments thereto, as well as the General Terms and Conditions (collectively, the “Agreement”) with Fidelity Information Services, LLC (together with its subsidiaries and affiliates, “FIS”). The Agreement replaces a prior Agreement with FIS.

Pursuant to the Agreement, the services to be provided by FIS to the Bank include, but are not limited to, data processing, deposit and loan account processing services, card services, cash management services, electronic banking services, electronic funds transfer services, fraud detection and identity services, core processing services, business intelligence services, mobile banking services, merchant check capture services, hardware and software products, technical and related professional support services, and maintenance services. The commencement date for service under the Agreement is July 1, 2012 and the initial term of the Agreement shall expire on December 31, 2018, subject to automatic renewals of one year unless written notice of non-renewal is provided by either party at least 180 days prior to renewal. The foregoing description of the Agreement is qualified in its entirety by reference to the Agreement attached hereto as Exhibit 10.1.

A copy of the Agreement is attached hereto as Exhibit 10.1. At the request of FIS, we have deleted certain portions of the Agreement containing confidential information in order to avoid substantial competitive harm to the Company and FIS. The locations where material information has been omitted are indicated by the following notation: “[**CONFIDENTIAL**].” Accordingly, we have submitted a request for confidential treatment for portions of Exhibit 10.1 attached hereto and simultaneously with the filing of this Current Report on Form 8-K, separately filed the confidential portions thereof with the Securities and Exchange Commission with the request for confidential treatment.

Section 9 - Financial Statements and Exhibits

Item 9.01 Financial Statements and Exhibits

(d) Exhibits

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Date: 7/16/2012

BANK OF MARIN BANCORP
By: /s/ Christina J. Cook
Christina J. Cook
Executive Vice President
and Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
10.1	Agreement between Bank of Marin and Fidelity Information Services, LLC, dated July 11, 2012

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands, except share and per share amounts)

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenue	\$ 376,928	\$ 376,002	\$ 1,138,606	\$ 1,122,144
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of services	274,866	276,708	830,738	837,706
Selling, general and administrative	70,732	63,508	216,500	176,498
Depreciation and amortization	7,244	6,230	21,537	18,821
Gain on sale of Constella Futures Holding, LLC			(1,939)	
Acquired in-process research and development			900	
Total operating costs and expenses	352,842	346,446	1,067,736	1,033,025
Operating income	24,086	29,556	70,870	89,119
Interest expense	(897)	(611)	(4,832)	(2,216)
Interest income	449	886	1,852	3,374
Income before taxes	23,638	29,831	67,890	90,277
Provision for income taxes	9,365	11,788	27,373	35,784
Net income	\$ 14,273	\$ 18,043	\$ 40,517	\$ 54,493
Earnings per share:				
Basic	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.95
Diluted	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.92
Weighted-average shares:				
Basic	56,202,281	57,852,369	56,310,389	57,600,872
Diluted	57,278,959	59,468,955	57,512,843	59,407,213

Edgar Filing: Bank of Marin Bancorp - Form 8-K

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)**

(in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income	\$ 40,517	\$ 54,493
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	21,537	18,821
Stock-based compensation	8,454	7,322
Deferred income taxes	729	(1,372)
Gain on sale of Constella Futures Holding, LLC	(1,939)	
Loss on disposal of property and equipment		744
Acquired in-process research and development	900	
Changes in assets and liabilities, net of the effect of acquisitions and divestitures:		
Accounts receivable	(1,644)	(31,004)
Inventories	1,571	
Prepaid expenses and other	(3,898)	8,437
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(28,273)	(6,697)
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	(4,267)	(815)
Billings in excess of revenue recognized	(1,219)	(4,972)
Other	(2,187)	(3,402)
Net cash provided by operating activities	30,281	41,555
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Capital expenditures	(10,851)	(6,754)
Payments to Spectrum Solutions Group, Inc. shareholders.	(7,021)	
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(132,275)	(189,714)
Issuance of notes receivable	(17,526)	
Proceeds from sale of Constella Futures Holding, LLC	31,846	
Net cash used in investing activities	(135,827)	(196,468)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Issuance of common stock	2,853	11,916
Excess tax benefit of stock option exercises	393	4,725
Net repayments under short term credit facilities	(1,927)	
Borrowings under credit facility	75,000	80,000
Repayments under credit facility	(75,000)	
Payment of financing costs		(324)
Reissuance of treasury stock	398	679
Purchase of treasury stock	(21,840)	(17,600)
Net cash (used in) provided by financing activities	(20,123)	79,396
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(1,406)	
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(127,075)	(75,517)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	229,260	212,034

Edgar Filing: Bank of Marin Bancorp - Form 8-K

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 102,185	\$ 136,517
Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information:		
Cash paid during the period:		
Interest	\$ 5,062	\$ 1,885
Income taxes	\$ 31,964	\$ 40,970
Cash received during the period:		
Interest	\$ 2,208	\$ 3,670
Income taxes	\$ 379	\$ 757

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (UNAUDITED)

(in thousands)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	March 31,		March 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income	\$ 14,273	\$ 18,043	\$ 40,517	\$ 54,493
Change in foreign currency translation adjustment, net of tax	1,156	(63)	(4,674)	25
Comprehensive income	\$ 15,429	17,980	35,843	54,518

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

1. Basis of Presentation:

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of SRA International, Inc. (a Delaware corporation) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries (SRA or the Company) and have been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in the annual financial statements, prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, have been omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments and reclassifications that are necessary for fair presentation of the periods presented. The results for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2009 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full fiscal year. These unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the audited consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's latest annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, which replaces SFAS No. 141. The statement retains the fundamental requirement of SFAS No. 141 that the acquisition method of accounting be used for all acquisitions, but requires a number of changes, including changes in the way assets and liabilities are recognized in purchase accounting. It also changes the recognition of assets acquired and liabilities assumed arising from contingencies, requires the capitalization of in-process research and development at fair value, and requires the expensing of acquisition-related costs as incurred. SFAS No. 141R is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and will apply prospectively to business combinations completed on or after that date. An entity may not apply it before that date. The Company will adopt this standard effective July 1, 2009.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51*, which is intended to improve the relevance, comparability, and transparency of financial information provided to investors by requiring all entities to report noncontrolling (minority) interests in subsidiaries as a component of equity in the consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company does not expect the adoption of this new standard to have a material impact on its financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*. SFAS No. 161 requires additional disclosures about the objectives of derivative instruments and hedging activities, the method of accounting for such instruments under SFAS No. 133 and its related interpretations, and a tabular disclosure of the effects of such instruments and related hedged items on financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. SFAS No. 161 is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of this new standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*, which amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and*

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

Other Intangible Assets. The intent of the FSP is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of the intangible asset. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective for the fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company does not expect the adoption of this FSP to have a material impact on its financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162, *The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles*, which reorganizes the GAAP hierarchy. The standard transfers the hierarchy of GAAP from the auditing literature to the accounting standards and identifies a consistent hierarchy for selecting accounting principles to be used in applying U.S. GAAP. SFAS No. 162 became effective November 15, 2008. The adoption of this new standard did not have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 provides a new single authoritative definition of fair value and enhanced guidance for measuring the fair value of assets and liabilities. It requires additional disclosures regarding the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. The Company adopted SFAS No. 157 for financial assets and liabilities, as well as for any other assets and liabilities that are carried at fair value on a recurring basis in the financial statements effective July 1, 2008. This adoption did not have a material effect on these condensed consolidated financial statements. The effective date for all nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and liabilities was delayed until fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 for nonrecurring fair value measurements of nonfinancial assets and liabilities is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115*. SFAS No. 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. The Company adopted SFAS No. 159 effective July 1, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 159 did not have an impact on the Company's financial statements, as the Company has chosen not to elect the fair value option for any financial or non-financial instruments.

In June 2008, the FASB issued FSP EITF 03-6-1, *Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Payment Transactions Are Participating Securities*, to clarify that all outstanding unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents, whether paid or unpaid, are participating securities. An entity must include participating securities in its calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method as described in SFAS No. 128, *Earnings per Share*. FSP EITF 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating FSP EITF 03-6-1 to determine the impact, if any, on its financial statements.

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications have been made to prior-period balances to conform to the current period presentation. Additionally, the gain recognized on the sale of Constella Futures Holding, LLC was reclassified into operating income on the condensed consolidated statement of operations for the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

2. Nature of Business:

SRA provides technology and strategic consulting services and solutions primarily to clients in national security, civil government, and health care and public health. Since its founding in 1978, the Company has derived the majority of its revenue from services provided to federal government clients.

Revenue from contracts with U.S. federal government agencies was 93% and 95% of total revenue for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. No client or client group accounted for more than 10% of revenue in the periods presented herein.

3. Acquisitions and Divestitures:

Spectrum Solutions Group, Inc.

In November 2005, the Company acquired Spectrum Solutions Group, Inc. (Spectrum), a privately-held provider of enterprise solutions to the federal government, for approximately \$9.8 million, including direct transaction costs of approximately \$0.1 million. The equity purchase agreement provided for two additional purchase price payments contingent upon the achievement of certain milestones by Spectrum. The Company made a payment to former Spectrum shareholders of \$8.0 million in January 2007 at the conclusion of the first earn-out period. This resulted in additional goodwill of \$8.0 million. In September 2008, the Company paid \$7.0 million to all but one of the Spectrum shareholders, who asserted entitlement to a second earn-out payment. This payment resulted in additional goodwill of \$7.0 million.

The Company had been involved in a legal proceeding with the shareholder referenced above, who asserted entitlement to a second earn-out payment. The Company made a final payment of \$2.4 million to this shareholder which resulted in additional goodwill. No further earn-out payments will be made related to the acquisition of Spectrum.

Era Systems Corporation

On July 30, 2008, the Company acquired Era Systems Corporation (Era), a privately-held provider of advanced surveillance technologies and flight tracking solutions for the air traffic management, airport operations, military and security markets. The results of Era's operations have been included in these condensed consolidated financial statements since that date.

The Company acquired Era for approximately \$125.2 million, which includes direct transaction costs of approximately \$0.8 million. Financing for the acquisition consisted of available cash and borrowings under a credit facility obtained prior to closing. Of the total cash consideration paid, approximately \$12.1 million was used to repay a portion of Era's outstanding debt obligations on the closing date, and \$25.0 million was placed into escrow as security for the payment of post-closing net working capital adjustments and to secure indemnification obligations of Era's stockholders. Before being acquired by SRA, Era acquired a company under terms that included an earn-out arrangement. Additional payments may be made under this earn-out arrangement if certain sales targets are met. Such payments would increase goodwill when paid. Through March 2009, the Company has made earn-out payments of \$0.3 million, which increased goodwill.

Based on a preliminary allocation, approximately \$24.3 million of the purchase price was allocated to definite-lived intangible assets acquired and approximately \$87.7 million was allocated to goodwill. The identified intangibles included in-process research and development of \$0.9 million, which was expensed immediately in accordance with SFAS No. 141. The remaining \$23.4 million of identified intangible assets will be amortized over estimated useful lives ranging from 2 years to 20 years.

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

The Company has an outstanding claim for \$10.7 million associated with the net working capital calculation. Era's stockholders' representative filed an objection to the Company's claim and asserted that the additional amount owed by the sellers due to the net working capital adjustment should be \$3.0 million. In April 2009, these claims were taken to arbitration and the Company expects a decision within the next 60 days. The Company established a receivable from sellers for \$10.7 million. To the extent any payments made or received related to the net working capital claim differ from the receivable, an adjustment will be recorded to goodwill.

The purchase price allocation involves management judgments and estimates and may be adjusted during the purchase price allocation period, but generally not beyond one year from the acquisition date. The allocation will be finalized upon resolution of the closing balance sheet dispute and any resulting net working capital adjustments to the purchase price.

Interface and Control Systems, Inc.

On July 2, 2008, the Company acquired Interface and Control Systems, Inc. (ICS), a privately-held product development and engineering services firm specializing in real-time, embedded and autonomous command and control software systems for space applications. The results of ICS's operations have been included in these condensed consolidated financial statements since that date.

The Company acquired ICS for a total purchase price of approximately \$8.5 million, which includes direct transaction costs of approximately \$0.2 million. Financing for the acquisition consisted of available cash. Of the total cash consideration paid, approximately \$0.3 million was used to repay outstanding debt obligations of ICS on the closing date, and approximately \$0.8 million was placed into escrow as security for the payment of post-closing net asset adjustments and to secure indemnification obligations of ICS's shareholders.

The Company has finalized the purchase price allocation for the acquisition of ICS. Based on the final allocation, approximately \$1.0 million of the purchase price was allocated to definite-lived intangible assets acquired, and approximately \$6.5 million was allocated to goodwill. The identified intangible assets will be amortized over estimated useful lives ranging from 2 years to 10 years.

Constella Group, LLC

On August 9, 2007, the Company acquired Constella Group, LLC (Constella). At the time of acquisition, Constella provided three interrelated service offerings: domestic health sciences, international health development and global clinical development.

The Company acquired Constella for a total purchase price of approximately \$191.3 million, which includes direct transaction costs of approximately \$0.7 million. Financing for the acquisition consisted of available cash and borrowings under a credit facility obtained prior to closing. Approximately \$51.6 million of the total cash consideration was used to repay all outstanding debt obligations of Constella on the closing date and \$18.7 million was placed into escrow as security for the payment of post-closing net asset adjustments and to secure indemnification obligations of Constella's shareholders. Of the total purchase price, \$1.3 million was allocated to net tangible liabilities acquired, \$15.8 million was allocated to definite-lived intangible assets acquired, \$139.2 million was allocated to goodwill, and \$37.6 million was allocated to a receivable from sellers related to pre-acquisition tax liabilities.

Table of Contents**SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)****Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008***Divestiture of Constella Futures Holding, LLC*

On September 2, 2008, the Company sold its ownership interest in Constella Futures Holding, LLC (Futures), a wholly owned subsidiary of Constella, to a group of private investors led by the former Constella chairman and chief executive officer for a total estimated purchase price of approximately \$38.8 million. The transaction resulted in a pre-tax gain of approximately \$1.9 million and an \$8.4 million reduction of goodwill. The operating results of Futures are included in the operating results of the Company in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 (through the date of the sale) and for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2008 (from the date of acquisition).

The buyers of Futures paid \$16.6 million cash, and provided a \$10.0 million senior promissory note, and a \$7.5 million senior net asset note, the principal amount of which is subject to adjustment based on a determination of final net assets. The Company estimates that final net assets will increase the principal amount of the net asset note to \$12.2 million. Both senior notes bear interest at 6% per annum, payable monthly, and are secured by a \$7.0 million bank letter of credit, a \$3.0 million personal guaranty, and the assets of Futures as a new company. The senior net asset note is to be paid in three installments. Payments of \$2.0 million each are due on both September 1, 2009 and 2010. The remainder is due to be paid on September 1, 2011. The senior promissory note is to be paid in two installments of \$1.0 million and \$9.0 million on September 1, 2012 and 2013, respectively. The Company and Futures, upon mutual agreement, may agree to modify or supplement existing credit terms as believed necessary, depending upon Futures continuing operating results and cash flows.

As a result of the sale, the escrow established in connection with the Constella acquisition was reduced from \$18.7 million to \$1.0 million. The Company received \$5.4 million from escrow as reimbursement for indemnified costs and \$12.3 million plus interest was returned to the Constella shareholders. As of June 30, 2008, the Company had recorded approximately \$44.4 million related to pre-acquisition tax liabilities and legal and compliance costs related to the Futures business along with an offsetting receivable from the Constella sellers. The majority of the tax liabilities were relieved as a result of the Futures sale. Therefore, the liabilities have been reduced to \$0.2 million, which, if realized, the Company expects to recover from the remaining escrow.

The parties entered into a transitional services agreement pursuant to which the Company provided services to Futures through December 31, 2008 to assist with the transition of human resources, information technology, and financial operations. Fees for these services were billed at cost and were approximately \$0.2 million.

4. Goodwill and Identified Intangibles:

The components of goodwill and identified intangible assets as of March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008 are as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2008
Goodwill	\$ 491,212	\$ 395,766
Identified intangibles	79,789	62,484
Subtotal	571,001	458,250
Less: Accumulated amortization	(33,636)	(25,671)
Total goodwill and identified intangibles	\$ 537,365	\$ 432,579

The Company performed its annual goodwill impairment analysis as of January 1, 2009, and concluded that no impairment of goodwill existed as of that date.

Table of Contents**SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)****Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008**

The changes in the carrying amount of goodwill were as follows (in thousands):

Balance as of June 30, 2008	\$ 395,766
Acquisitions of ICS and Era	94,471
Payments to Spectrum shareholders	9,396
Divestiture of Constella Futures Holding, LLC	(8,421)
Balance as of March 31, 2009	\$ 491,212

Identified intangible assets consisted of the following (in thousands):

	Weighted-Average Useful Life Remaining	March 31, 2009			June 30, 2008		
		Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Carrying Amount
Customer relationships	6 years	\$ 57,605	\$ (31,247)	\$ 26,358	\$ 62,044	\$ (25,359)	\$ 36,685
Technology and other	7 years	22,184	(2,389)	19,795	440	(312)	128
Total identified intangibles		\$ 79,789	\$ (33,636)	\$ 46,153	\$ 62,484	\$ (25,671)	\$ 36,813

Amortization expense of identified intangibles was \$2.9 million and \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively and \$8.9 million and \$7.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Identified intangibles are being amortized on a straight-line basis over a period of 2 to 20 years.

Estimated amortization expense is as follows for the periods indicated (in thousands):

Years ending June 30,	
2009 (remainder of fiscal year)	\$ 2,840
2010	10,422
2011	8,836
2012	6,177
2013	5,167
2014	4,425
Thereafter	8,286
Total	\$ 46,153

5. Earnings Per Share:

Edgar Filing: Bank of Marin Bancorp - Form 8-K

The Company calculates basic and diluted earnings per share (EPS) in accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. Basic EPS is computed by dividing reported net income by the basic weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted EPS considers the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. The difference between basic and diluted weighted-average common equivalent shares with respect to the Company's EPS calculation is due to the effect of potential future exercises of stock options and vesting of restricted stock shares.

Table of Contents**SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)****Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008**

The Company currently has outstanding shares of class A and class B common stock. Our class A and class B common stock have equal dividend and liquidation rights. The only difference between the two classes is that holders of our class A common stock are entitled to one vote per share and holders of our class B common stock are entitled to ten votes per share. Each share of class B common stock is convertible at any time at the option of the holder into one share of class A common stock.

Basic and diluted EPS have been calculated using the if-converted method for class A common stock and the two-class method for class B common stock pursuant to SFAS No. 128. The two-class method is an earnings allocation formula that determines EPS for each class of common stock according to the weighted-average of dividends declared, outstanding shares per class and participation rights in undistributed earnings. The computation of EPS by applying the two-class method does not yield a different result for the Company than that provided under the if-converted method.

Undistributed earnings are calculated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net income	\$ 14,273	\$ 18,043	\$ 40,517	\$ 54,493
Less: dividends				
Undistributed earnings	\$ 14,273	\$ 18,043	\$ 40,517	\$ 54,493

Weighted-average common shares outstanding are calculated as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended March 31,				Nine Months Ended March 31,			
	2009		2008		2009		2008	
	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B
Basic weighted-average common shares outstanding	42,351	13,851	43,801	14,051	42,338	13,972	43,463	14,138
Assumed conversion of class B shares	13,851		14,051		13,972		14,138	
Effect of potential exercise or vesting of stock-based awards	1,077		1,617		1,203		1,806	
Diluted weighted-average common shares outstanding	57,279	13,851	59,469	14,051	57,513	13,972	59,407	14,138

The computation of diluted EPS did not include stock options which were antidilutive, as their exercise price was greater than the average market price of the common stock during the periods presented. The numbers of such options were 3,887,377 and 1,507,305 for the three months and 3,376,512 and 1,431,729 for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents**SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)****Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008**

Basic and diluted EPS are calculated as follows (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended March 31,				Nine Months Ended March 31,			
	2009		2008		2009		2008	
	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B	Class A	Class B
Basic								
Weighted-average shares outstanding	42,351	13,851	43,801	14,051	42,338	13,972	43,463	14,138
Divided by: Total weighted-average shares outstanding (class A and class B)	56,202	56,202	57,852	57,852	56,310	56,310	57,601	57,601
Multiplied by: Undistributed earnings	\$ 14,273	\$ 14,273	\$ 18,043	\$ 18,043	\$ 40,517	\$ 40,517	\$ 54,493	\$ 54,493
Subtotal	\$ 10,755	\$ 3,518	\$ 13,661	\$ 4,382	\$ 30,464	\$ 10,053	\$ 41,118	\$ 13,375
Divided by: Weighted-average shares outstanding	42,351	13,851	43,801	14,051	42,338	13,972	43,463	14,138
Earnings per share	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.31	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.72	\$ 0.95	\$ 0.95
Diluted								
Weighted-average shares outstanding	57,279	13,851	59,469	14,051	57,513	13,972	59,407	14,138
Divided by: Total weighted-average shares outstanding (class A and class B)	57,279	57,279	59,469	59,469	57,513	57,513	59,407	59,407
Multiplied by: Undistributed earnings	\$ 14,273	\$ 14,273	\$ 18,043	\$ 18,043	\$ 40,517	\$ 40,517	\$ 54,493	\$ 54,493
Subtotal	\$ 14,273	\$ 3,451	\$ 18,043	\$ 4,263	\$ 40,517	\$ 9,843	\$ 54,493	\$ 12,969
Divided by: Weighted-average shares outstanding	57,279	13,851	59,469	14,051	57,513	13,972	59,407	14,138
Earnings per share	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.70	\$ 0.92	\$ 0.92

6. Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation:

Under the terms of the SRA International, Inc. 2002 Stock Incentive Plan, the Company may grant incentive stock options, non-statutory stock options, restricted stock and other stock based awards. The Company accounts for stock based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*, which requires that compensation costs related to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements. The Company has 10-year and 15-year options and uses the Black-Scholes-Merton option pricing model to determine the fair value. The Company's restricted stock awards are considered nonvested share awards as defined under SFAS No. 123R.

Table of Contents**SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)****Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008**

The Company recorded \$3.1 million and \$2.4 million of stock-based compensation expense for the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively and \$8.5 million and \$7.3 million of stock-based compensation for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

As of March 31, 2009, there was \$21.3 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested share-based compensation arrangements. This cost is expected to be fully amortized in four years, with half of the total amortization cost being recognized within the next 16 months.

Stock Option Activity

During the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, the Company granted stock options to purchase 761,780 and 406,052 shares of class A common stock at a weighted-average exercise price of \$15.10 and \$25.68 per share based on the market value of class A common stock on the date of grant. The Black-Scholes-Merton weighted-average value of options granted for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$5.30 and \$9.42, respectively. Using the Black Scholes-Merton model, the total value of the options granted for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, was \$3.2 million and \$3.3 million respectively. The options vest at the rate of 25% per year, beginning on the date of grant and expire ten years from the grant date.

The following table summarizes stock option activity for the nine months ended March 31, 2009:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Shares under option, July 1, 2008	5,428,895	\$ 21.24	\$ 23,721
Options granted	761,780	15.10	
Options exercised	(157,714)	10.40	1,573
Options cancelled and expired	(251,324)	28.52	16
Shares under option, March 31, 2009	5,781,637	\$ 20.41	\$ 7,836
Options exercisable at March 31, 2009	4,084,685	\$ 19.78	\$ 7,550
Shares reserved for equity awards at March 31, 2009	8,588,852		

During the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, the Company also granted 481,826 and 185,848 nonvested restricted shares at a weighted-average grant date market value of \$22.88 and \$25.62 per share, respectively. These shares vest at the rate of 25% per year.

The following table summarizes restricted stock activity for the nine months ended March 31, 2009:

Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date
---------------------	--------------------------------

Edgar Filing: Bank of Marin Bancorp - Form 8-K

			Value
Nonvested restricted shares at July 1, 2008	380,917	\$	25.91
Restricted shares granted	481,826		22.88
Restricted shares vested	(71,511)		20.54
Restricted shares forfeited	(105,465)		24.68
Nonvested restricted shares at March 31, 2009	685,767	\$	23.94

Table of Contents**SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)****Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008**

As of March 31, 2009, there were 8,588,852 shares of class A common stock reserved for issuance of options or restricted shares under the 2002 Stock Incentive Plan.

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

The Company maintains the SRA International, Inc. 2004 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP) and has reserved 500,000 shares for issuance thereunder. The ESPP permits eligible employees to purchase class A common stock, through payroll deductions of up to 15% of the employee's compensation, at a price equal to 95% of the average of the high and low price of the class A common stock on the last day of each offering period. Employees purchased 22,395 and 9,430 shares during the three months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and 55,784 and 30,253 shares during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively, under the ESPP.

Common Stock Repurchase

On May 2, 2007, the Company's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$40 million of the Company's class A common stock. The Board authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$100 million of the Company's class A common stock on July 31, 2008. Repurchases under the share repurchase authorization may be made from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The Company is not obligated to acquire any particular amount of common stock under the authorization, and it may be suspended at any time.

The following table summarizes the class A common stock repurchase activity under the stock purchase authorization beginning July 1, 2007:

Authorization Date	Amount Authorized (in millions)	Total Shares Repurchased	Total Cost of Shares Repurchased (in millions)	Average Price Per Share	Date Completed	Shares Repurchased Nine Months Ended March 31	
						2009	2008
May 2, 2007	\$ 40.0	1,769,723	\$ 40.0	\$ 22.60	July 2008	268,779	
July 31, 2008	\$ 100.0	700,015	\$ 15.4	\$ 22.04		700,015	

As of March 31, 2009, approximately \$84.6 million remained of the \$100.0 million authorized repurchase amount.

Table of Contents**SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES****NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)****Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008****7. Accounts Receivable:**

Accounts receivable, net as of March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2009 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2008
Billed and billable, net of allowance of \$3,788 as of March 31, 2009 and \$2,835 as of June 30, 2008	\$ 305,863	\$ 319,418
Unbilled:		
Retainages	4,202	4,616
Revenue recorded in excess of milestone billings on fixed-price contracts	35,022	15,186
Revenue recorded in excess of contractual authorization, billable upon receipt of contractual amendments/documents	5,603	7,614
Allowance for unbillable amounts	(2,424)	(1,860)
Total unbilled	42,403	25,556
Total accounts receivable	\$ 348,266	\$ 344,974

The billable receivables included in the billed and billable line item above represent primarily revenue earned in the final month of the reporting period. These billable receivables are typically billed within 30 days and collected within 90 days of the balance sheet date.

Consistent with industry practice, certain receivables related to long-term contracts and programs are classified as current, although \$0.9 million of retainages are not expected to be billed and collected within one year.

8. Inventories:

Inventories, net as of March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2008
Raw materials	\$ 2,931	
Work in process	1,060	
Total inventory	\$ 3,991	
Reserve for obsolescence	(141)	
Total inventory, net	\$ 3,850	

Edgar Filing: Bank of Marin Bancorp - Form 8-K

The Company's inventories relate to the production facilities in the Czech Republic, which were part of the Era acquisition. Inventories consist of materials and labor, and are stated at the lower of average cost or market value. Cost is determined using the first-in first-out (FIFO) method. The value of inventory is reduced for excess and obsolete inventory based on the Company's best estimates of future demand and market conditions.

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

9. Commitments and Contingencies:

Government Contracting

Payments to the Company on cost-plus-fee contracts are provisional and are subject to adjustment upon audit by the Defense Contract Audit Agency. Audits of significant incurred cost submissions have been completed through June 30, 2006. In the opinion of management, audit adjustments that may result from audits for periods after June 30, 2006 are not expected to have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Additionally, federal government contracts, by their terms, generally can be terminated at any time by the federal government, without cause, for the convenience of the federal government. If a federal government contract is so terminated, the Company would be entitled to receive compensation for the services provided and costs incurred through the time of termination, plus a negotiated amount of profit. Federal government contractors who fail to comply with applicable government procurement-related statutes and regulations may be subject to potential contract termination, suspension and debarment from contracting with the government, or other remedies.

Litigation

From time to time, the Company is involved in various legal claims and proceedings concerning matters arising in the ordinary course of business. Based upon current information, the Company does not believe that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings is likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

10. Debt

On August 9, 2007, the Company entered into a \$100 million five-year unsecured revolving credit facility. On May 29, 2008, the Company amended this credit facility to increase the commitment from \$100 million to \$285 million.

The credit facility terminates on August 9, 2012, at which time all outstanding borrowings under the facility become due. Outstanding borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at a rate per annum equal to, at the election of the Company, (i) London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.65% to 0.95%, with such margin varying according to the Company's leverage ratio, plus a utilization fee of 0.125% if outstanding borrowings exceed 50% of the credit facility, or (ii) an alternative base rate equal to the higher of the bank's prime rate or 0.5% above the Federal Funds Rate. In addition, the Company is required to pay the lenders a facility fee on the total committed amount under the credit facility ranging from 0.100% to 0.175% per annum, depending upon the Company's leverage ratio. Interest is payable at maturity.

The Company may use the proceeds from borrowings under the credit facility for any general corporate purpose. The credit facility contains covenants limiting the Company's ability to, among other things, merge or consolidate with others, incur liens, redeem or repurchase Company stock, enter into transactions with affiliates, or dispose of assets. In addition, the credit facility contains financial covenants requiring the Company to maintain a total leverage ratio of not more than 3.0 to 1.0 and an interest coverage ratio of at least 3.0 to 1.0. If the Company does not comply with the various covenants under the credit facility, the lenders may, subject to various customary cure rights, require immediate payment of all amounts outstanding under the facility.

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

At March 31, 2009, the Company had \$150 million outstanding on the credit facility, which is included in long-term liabilities. The weighted-average rate of interest on the outstanding borrowings was approximately 3.1% for the nine months ended March 31, 2009. The Company was in compliance with all debt covenants as of March 31, 2009.

The Company also maintains a secured revolving credit facility and an overdraft facility related to its operations in the Czech Republic, which were part of the Era acquisition. The secured revolving credit facility has a limit of approximately \$6.2 million. Borrowings under this facility bear interest at LIBOR, Prague Interbank Offered Rate (PRIBOR), or Euro Interbank Offered Rate, depending on the currency in which the loan is drawn, plus 1.4%. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$1.8 million outstanding under this facility, which is included in short-term borrowings. This facility is set to expire on September 30, 2010.

The overdraft facility maintained in the Czech Republic is denominated in Czech Koruna, bears interest at a rate of PRIBOR plus 1.55% and has a limit of approximately \$6.7 million. This facility is set to expire on August 31, 2009. At March 31, 2009, outstanding borrowings under this facility totaled approximately \$6.5 million and are included in short-term borrowings.

11. Income Taxes:

The Company adopted FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes* – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, (FIN 48) on July 1, 2007. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for income tax uncertainties. The Company has developed and implemented a process based on the guidelines of FIN 48 to ensure that uncertain tax positions are identified, analyzed and properly reported in the Company's financial statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in federal and foreign income tax expense.

As a result of this review process, the Company adjusted the estimated value of its uncertain tax positions by recognizing additional liabilities of approximately \$40 thousand through a charge to retained earnings. Upon the adoption of FIN 48, the estimated value of the Company's uncertain tax positions was a liability of \$0.6 million, which includes interest of \$20 thousand. If the Company's positions are sustained by the taxing authority, the Company's income tax liability would be reduced by \$0.6 million.

As of June 30, 2008, the Company had recorded liabilities of \$14.5 million related to gross uncertain tax positions assumed in connection with the Constella acquisition and had established an offsetting receivable from sellers under the terms of the equity purchase agreement. As discussed in Note 3, all but \$0.2 million of these liabilities related to Constella Futures Holding, LLC, which was sold on September 2, 2008. Therefore, the liabilities have been reduced to \$0.2 million as of March 31, 2009, which, if realized, the Company expects to recover from the remaining escrow.

As of March 31, 2009, the Company's estimated liability for uncertain tax positions was \$0.8 million, which includes taxes, interest and penalties of \$0.6 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

The Company files income tax returns in the U.S. federal jurisdiction, and various state and foreign jurisdictions with varying statutes of limitation. Periods for fiscal years ended after July 1, 2004 generally remain subject to examination for federal and state tax authorities. In foreign jurisdictions, tax years after 2003 may remain subject to examination by tax authorities.

Table of Contents

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) (Continued)

Three Months and Nine Months Ended March 31, 2009 and 2008

12. Foreign Currency Exchange:

The functional currencies for the Company's international operations are their respective local currencies. Transactions executed in non-functional currencies and the re-measurement of non-functional currency monetary assets and liabilities generated foreign currency gains of \$1.4 million and \$2.5 million for the three and nine months ended March 31, 2009, respectively. These gains are included in selling, general and administrative expenses on the condensed consolidated statement of operations. Foreign currency effects were not material in the comparable prior year periods.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

The matters discussed in this Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, and elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, constitute forward-looking statements within the meaning of The Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties, and other factors that may cause our actual results, levels of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify these statements by forward-looking words such as anticipate, believe, could, estimate, expect, intend, may, plan, potential, should, will, and would or similar words. You should read statements that contain carefully because they discuss our future expectations, contain projections of our future results of operations or of our financial position, or state other forward-looking information. We believe that it is important to communicate our future expectations to our investors. However, there may be events in the future that we are not able to predict or control accurately. The factors listed or referred to in the section captioned RISK FACTORS, as well as any cautionary language in this Form 10-Q, provide examples of risks, uncertainties, and events that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the expectations we describe in our forward-looking statements.

Although we believe that the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which apply only as of the date of this Form 10-Q. Subsequent events and developments may cause our views to change. While we may elect to update these forward-looking statements at some point in the future, we specifically disclaim any obligation to do so.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading provider of technology and strategic consulting services and solutions to government organizations. We offer a broad range of technology and strategic consulting services spanning the information technology life cycle, including: strategic consulting; systems design, development, and integration; and outsourcing and managed services. Our business solutions include text and data mining; information assurance, cyber security and privacy protection; enterprise resource planning; business intelligence; contingency and disaster planning; enterprise architecture and portfolio management; identity management; information sharing and knowledge management; outsourcing, managed services, and infrastructure modernization; service-oriented architecture; training, modeling, and simulation; air traffic management; clinical research outsourcing, regulatory consulting and data management; and wireless integration services. These business solutions consist of repeatable tools, techniques, and methods that reflect the specific competencies we have gained from significant experience in these areas. We provide services in three target markets: national security, civil government, and health care and public health. Our largest market, national security, includes the Department of Defense, the National Guard, the Department of Homeland Security, the intelligence agencies, and other government organizations with homeland security missions.

Since our founding in 1978, we have derived the majority of our revenue from services provided to federal government clients. According to the *Federal Information Technology Market Forecast, FY 2008-FY 2013* report published by INPUT, an independent federal government market research firm, the contracted portion of U.S. federal government spending on information technology is forecasted to grow at an annual rate of 4.1% from \$71.9 billion in federal fiscal year 2008 to \$87.8 billion in federal fiscal year 2013. We estimate that our addressable market, which also includes management consulting, engineering and other professional services for local, state, and federal governments, is currently over \$150 billion in size. Our growth is driven in part by contract awards and how we build-out our contracts. Ideally, the level of quarterly business awards would exceed the revenue booked in the quarter to drive backlog growth.

Table of Contents

We work with the federal government under three primary contract types: cost-plus-fee, time-and-materials, and fixed-price contracts. Cost-plus-fee contracts are typically lower risk arrangements and thus yield lower profit margins than time-and-materials and fixed-price arrangements. Time-and-materials and fixed-price contracts typically generate higher profit margins reflecting their generally higher risk. Where customer requirements are clear, we prefer to enter into time-and-materials and fixed-price arrangements rather than cost-plus-fee arrangements. Typically under time-and-materials and fixed-price, as compared with cost-plus-contracts, the customer can save money and we can earn better margins, given the more specific delivery requirements of these structures.

Most of our revenue is generated based on services provided either by our employees or subcontractors. Thus, once we win new business, the key to delivering the revenue is through hiring new employees to meet customer requirements, retaining our employees, and ensuring that we deploy them on direct-billable jobs. Therefore, we closely monitor hiring success, attrition trends, and direct labor utilization. Since we earn higher profits from the labor services that our employees provide compared with subcontracted efforts and other reimbursable items such as hardware and software purchases for customers, we seek to optimize our labor content on the contracts we win. We also develop and sell proprietary software and hardware to customers. For example, our Era business develops, manufactures and sells flight tracking and surveillance solutions. The amount of proprietary software and hardware that we sell may vary from period to period depending on specific contract and customer requirements.

Cost of services includes labor, or the salaries and wages of our employees, plus fringe benefits; the costs of subcontracted labor and outside consultants; third-party materials, such as hardware and software that we purchase for customer solutions; and other direct costs such as travel incurred to support contract efforts. Since we earn higher profits on our own labor services, we expect the ratio of cost of services to revenue to decline when our labor services mix increases relative to subcontracted labor or third-party material purchases. Conversely, as subcontracted labor or third-party material purchases for customers increase relative to our own labor services, we expect the ratio of cost of services to revenue to increase. As we continue to bid and win larger contracts, our own labor services component could decrease. This is because the larger contracts typically are broader in scope and require more diverse capabilities resulting in more subcontracted labor with the potential for more third-party hardware and software purchases. In addition, we can face hiring challenges in staffing larger contracts. While these factors could lead to a higher ratio of cost of services to revenue, the economics of these larger jobs are nonetheless generally favorable because they increase income, broaden our revenue base, and produce a favorable return on invested capital.

We have been able to build and effectively use what we refer to as a central services model. This central services model employs the use of central services for marketing, business development, human resources, recruiting, finance and accounting, infrastructure and other core administrative services. This central services model allows us to reduce selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue as revenue grows organically and through selective acquisitions, thereby contributing to the growth in operating income. As we continue to expand internationally, selling, general and administrative expenses may increase as a percentage of revenue due to additional travel, infrastructure and compliance costs. Additionally, as we increase our product offerings, we expect an increase in selling, general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue and a decline in cost of services as a percentage of revenue.

Depreciation and amortization expenses are affected by the level of our annual capital expenditures and the amount of identified intangibles related to acquisitions. We do not presently foresee significant changes in our capital expenditure requirements, which have averaged approximately 1% of revenue over the last three fiscal years. As we continue to make selected strategic acquisitions, the amortization of identified intangible assets may increase as a percentage of our revenue.

Our operating income, or revenue minus cost of services, selling, general and administrative expenses, and depreciation and amortization, and thus our operating margin, or the ratio of operating income to revenue, is

Table of Contents

driven by the mix and execution on our contracts, how we manage our costs, and the amortization charges resulting from acquisitions.

Our cash position is driven primarily by the level of net income, working capital in accounts receivable, capital expenditures, acquisition activities and share repurchases.

SELECTED KEY METRICS EVALUATED BY MANAGEMENT

We manage and assess the performance of our business by evaluating a variety of metrics. Selected key metrics are discussed below.

Revenue Growth

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, revenue was \$376.9 million, up \$0.9 million from the \$376.0 million earned in the corresponding prior year period. While total revenue growth was 0.2%, organic revenue growth was slightly higher at 0.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to the same period of the prior year.

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, revenue increased by 1.5% from the nine months ended March 31, 2008. This increase was driven by a greater volume of revenue on various new and existing contracts compared to the same period of the prior year.

For the immediate future, we intend to direct our primary focus to our core business, delivering differentiated information technology and professional solutions to government agencies. While we will focus primarily on organic growth in the near term, part of our growth strategy includes selectively pursuing strategic acquisitions to complement and accelerate internal growth by adding new capabilities, customers or intellectual property. From July 1, 2007 through March 31, 2009, we completed the following acquisitions:

Acquisition	Strategic Value	Closing Date	Purchase Price (in millions)
Constella Group, LLC	Health Sciences and Drug Development	August 9, 2007	\$ 190.6
Interface and Control Systems, Inc.	Product Development and Engineering Services	July 2, 2008	8.3
Era Systems Corporation	Advanced Surveillance Technologies	July 30, 2008	124.4

Contract Backlog

Future growth is dependent upon the strength of our target markets, our ability to identify opportunities, and our ability to successfully bid and win new contracts. Our success can be measured in part based upon the growth of our backlog. The following table summarizes our contract backlog:

	March 31, 2009	June 30, 2008
	(in millions)	
Backlog:		
Funded	\$ 807.5	\$ 676.5
Unfunded	3,400.5	3,182.7
Total backlog	\$ 4,208.0	\$ 3,859.2

Our total backlog of \$4.2 billion as of March 31, 2009 represented a 9.0% increase over the June 30, 2008 backlog. Our backlog includes orders under contracts that in some cases extend for several years, with the latest expiring during calendar year 2015. Congress often appropriates funds for our clients on a yearly basis, even

Table of Contents

though their contract with us may call for performance that is expected to take a number of years. As a result, contracts typically are only partially funded at any point during their term and all or some of the work to be performed under the contracts may remain unfunded unless and until Congress makes subsequent appropriations and the procuring agency allocates funding to the contract.

We currently expect to recognize revenue during the fourth quarter of fiscal 2009 from approximately 7.9% or \$330.7 million of our total backlog as of March 31, 2009. Of this amount, \$291.3 million is included in funded backlog and \$39.4 million is included in unfunded backlog under multi-year contracts. The amount of revenue that we expect to recognize from backlog is calculated by summing forecasted revenue for the remainder of the fiscal year for each project included in backlog. The primary risks that could affect our ability to recognize such revenue are program schedule changes and contract modifications. Additional risks include the unilateral right of the government to cancel multi-year contracts and related orders or to terminate existing contracts for convenience or default, and, in the case of unfunded backlog, the potential that full funding may not be available.

Contract Mix

Contract profit margins are generally affected by the type of contract. We can typically earn higher profits on fixed-price and time-and-materials contracts than cost-plus-fee contracts. Thus, an important part of growing our operating income is to increase the amount of services delivered under fixed-price and time-and-materials contracts. The following table summarizes our historical contract mix, measured as a percentage of total revenue, for the periods indicated:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		Nine Months Ended March 31,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Cost-plus-fee	34%	39%	35%	41%
Time-and-materials	44	42	43	42
Fixed-price	22	19	22	17

Operating Margin

Operating margin, or the ratio of operating income to revenue, is affected by the mix of our contracts and how we manage our costs. Our operating margins were 6.2% and 7.9% for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively. The decrease in operating margin for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 is primarily due to increased selling, general and administrative costs as a percentage of revenue. As discussed in the section captioned RESULTS OF OPERATIONS, this decrease in operating margin was attributable to our Era and Global Clinical Development (GCD) businesses as well as increased investments in marketing and sales and higher recruiting costs. The write-off of in-process research and development related to the Era acquisition also contributed to the lower operating margin.

Headcount and Labor Utilization

Because most of our revenue derives from services delivered by our employees, our ability to hire new employees and deploy them on direct-billable jobs is critical to our success. As of March 31, 2009, we had 6,896 employees. Direct labor utilization was 77.3% and 76.7% for the three months and nine months ended March 31, 2009, respectively. These percentages exclude our Era business as direct labor utilization is not a relevant metric for that business.

Proprietary Software Sales

In connection with our service offerings, we also develop and sell proprietary software products to customers. We believe intellectual property represents a differentiating factor in new business opportunities and we have recently increased our focus and investment in this area. Sales of our proprietary software can increase

Table of Contents

our operating margin and may vary substantially quarter to quarter. Proprietary software sales were \$1.6 million and \$1.5 million for the three months ended March, 2009 and 2008, respectively and \$5.1 million and \$4.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Days Sales Outstanding

Days sales outstanding (DSO) is a measure of how efficiently we manage the billing and collection of our accounts receivable, our most significant working capital requirement. For the three months ended March 31, 2009, DSO decreased to 80 days from 82 days for the three months ended December 31, 2008. This decrease was due primarily to greater collections during the quarter given internal process improvements.

Table of Contents**RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

The following tables set forth some items from our condensed consolidated statements of operations, the period-over-period rate of change in each of the line items and the items expressed as a percentage of revenue, for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended March 31,			Nine Months Ended March 31,		
	2009 (in thousands)	2008	% Change	2009 (in thousands)	2008	% Change
Revenue	\$ 376,928	\$ 376,002	0.2%	\$ 1,138,606	\$ 1,122,144	1.5%
Operating costs and expenses:						
Cost of services	274,866	276,708	(0.7)	830,738	837,706	(0.8)
Selling, general and administrative	70,732	63,508	11.4	216,500	176,498	22.7
Depreciation and amortization	7,244	6,230	16.3	21,537	18,821	14.4
Gain on sale of Constella Futures Holding, LLC.				(1,939)		N/A
Acquired in-process research and development				900		N/A
Total operating costs and expenses	352,842	346,446	1.8	1,067,736	1,033,025	3.4
Operating income	24,086	29,556	(18.5)	70,870	89,119	(20.5)
Interest expense	(897)	(611)	46.8	(4,832)	(2,216)	*
Interest income	449	886	(49.3)	1,852	3,374	(45.1)
Income before taxes	23,638	29,831	(20.8)	67,890	90,277	(24.8)
Provision for income taxes	9,365	11,788	(20.6)	27,373	35,784	(23.5)
Net income	\$ 14,273	\$ 18,043	(20.9)%	\$ 40,517	\$ 54,493	(25.6)%

	(as a percentage of revenue)		(as a percentage of revenue)	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Revenue	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Operating costs and expenses:				
Cost of services	72.9	73.6	73.0	74.7
Selling, general and administrative	18.8	16.9	19.0	15.7
Depreciation and amortization	1.9	1.7	1.9	1.7
Gain on sale of Constella Futures Holding, LLC.			(0.2)	
Acquired in-process research and development			0.1	
Total operating costs and expenses	93.6	92.1	93.8	92.1
Operating income	6.4	7.9	6.2	7.9
Interest expense	(0.2)	(0.2)	(0.4)	(0.2)
Interest income	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.3
Income before taxes	6.3	7.9	6.0	8.0
Provision for income taxes	2.5	3.1	2.4	3.1
Net income	3.8%	4.8%	3.6%	4.9%

* Period-over-period rate of change greater than 100%.

Table of Contents

THREE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2009 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED

MARCH 31, 2008

Revenue

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, revenue was \$376.9 million, up \$0.9 million from the \$376.0 million earned in the corresponding prior year period. Total revenue growth was 0.2% and organic revenue growth was slightly higher at 0.7% for the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to the same period of the prior year.

Cost of Services

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, cost of services was \$274.9 million, which was a slight decrease of 0.7% from \$276.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. As a percentage of revenue, cost of services decreased to 72.9% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 73.6% for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This decrease was due primarily to an increase in our labor services mix relative to purchased third-party materials.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, selling, general and administrative expenses increased 11.4% to \$70.7 million, from \$63.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 18.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2009, from 16.9% for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This increase as a percentage of revenue was attributable to several factors. Our Era business generally has higher marketing and sales and research and development costs. These costs of Era's operations accounted for approximately 90 basis points of the increase as a percent of revenue. These increased costs were partially offset by a foreign currency exchange gain in Era's operations which reduced expenses by 50 basis points as a percent of revenue. Higher indirect labor costs due to delays in contract awards accounted for approximately 50 basis points of the increase. Additionally, greater investments in marketing and sales accounted for 30 basis points of the increase.

Depreciation and Amortization

For the three months ended March 31, 2008, depreciation and amortization increased 16.3% to \$7.2 million, from \$6.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. As a percentage of revenue, depreciation and amortization increased to 1.9% from 1.7% for the same periods. The increase was due to the amortization of identified intangible assets related to our acquisition of Era.

Interest Expense

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, interest expense increased to \$0.9 million, from \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This increase was due to the additional outstanding borrowings under our credit facility to support the acquisition of Era.

Interest Income

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, interest income decreased to \$0.4 million, from \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2008. This decrease was due to a general decline in interest rates during the three months ended March 31, 2009 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Income Taxes

For the three months ended March 31, 2009, our effective income tax rate increased slightly to 39.6%, from 39.5% for the three months ended March 31, 2008.

Table of Contents

NINE MONTHS ENDED MARCH 31, 2009 COMPARED TO NINE MONTHS ENDED

MARCH 31, 2008

Revenue

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, revenue increased by 1.5% from the nine months ended March 31, 2008. This increase was driven by a greater volume of revenue on various new and existing contracts compared to the same period of the prior year.

Cost of Services

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, cost of services decreased 0.8% to \$830.7 million, from \$837.7 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. As a percentage of revenue, cost of services decreased to 73.0% from 74.7% for the same periods. This decrease was due primarily to an increase in our labor services mix relative to purchased third-party materials.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, selling, general and administrative expenses increased 22.7% to \$216.5 million, from \$176.5 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. As a percentage of revenue, selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 19.0% for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, from 15.7% for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. This increase as a percentage of revenue was attributable to several factors. We continue to make investments in marketing and sales and recruiting, which accounted for approximately 80 basis points of the increase. Revenue in our GCD business declined due to challenging economic conditions, causing the ratio of selling, general and administrative expenses to revenue to increase approximately 40 basis points. Additionally, the higher marketing and sales and research and development costs of Era's business accounted for approximately 40 basis points of the increase. Higher indirect labor costs due to delays in contract awards and increased compliance costs associated with our international operations accounted for approximately 30 basis points and 20 basis points of the increase, respectively.

Depreciation and Amortization

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, depreciation and amortization increased 14.4% to \$21.5 million, from \$18.8 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. As a percentage of revenue, depreciation and amortization increased to 1.9% from 1.7% for the same periods. The increase was due to the amortization of identified intangible assets related to our acquisition of Era.

Interest Expense

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, interest expense increased to \$4.8 million, from \$2.2 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. This increase was due to the additional outstanding borrowings under our credit facility to support the acquisition of Era.

Interest Income

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, interest income decreased to \$1.9 million, from \$3.4 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. This decrease was due to a general decline in interest rates during the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared to the nine months ended March 31, 2008.

Income Taxes

For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, our effective income tax rate increased to 40.3% from 39.6% for the nine months ended March 31, 2008. This increase is due primarily to the write-off of in-process research and development costs related to the Era acquisition, which is not deductible for tax purposes.

Table of Contents

SEASONALITY

Our business operates on a fiscal year ending June 30. Quarterly revenue and operating margins can be affected by, among other things, seasonality in our business. In the quarter ending September 30, we may experience a sequential decline in operating margins from our quarter ending June 30. In the quarter ending September 30, we generally experience lower staff utilization rates because of summer vacations and increased proposal activity in connection with the end of the federal fiscal year. We typically transition a significant number of professional staff temporarily off of billable engagements to support this increased proposal activity. The revenue mix can also change in the September quarter as the volume of lower-margin direct material purchases on behalf of government customers can increase near the end of the federal fiscal year.

In the quarter ending December 31, lower staff utilization rates may continue because of holiday vacations, and lower-margin direct materials volume may remain high because some of our customers have a December fiscal year end and tend to make more purchases in that quarter. In the March and June quarters, staff utilization may increase, and lower-margin direct material purchase volume may decline. This can have the effect of increasing operating margins in the last two quarters of our fiscal year.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Our primary liquidity needs are to finance the costs of operations pending the billing and collection of accounts receivable, to acquire capital assets, to invest in research and development, and to make selective strategic acquisitions.

Cash Flow

Accounts receivable represent our largest working capital requirement. We bill most of our clients monthly after services are rendered. Our operating cash flow is primarily affected by the overall profitability of our contracts, our ability to invoice and collect from our clients in a timely manner, and our ability to manage our vendor payments. We continue to try to improve our invoicing and collection procedures as cash flows from operations remain a top priority.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$30.3 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared to \$41.6 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2008 or 0.7 and 0.8 times net income for the same periods. The decrease in cash provided by operating activities was due primarily to lower net income in nine months ended March 31, 2009 compared to the same period of the prior year.

We used \$135.8 million in net cash for investing activities in the nine months ended March 31, 2009, compared to \$196.5 million in the nine months ended March 31, 2008. The reduction in cash used for investing activities in the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was primarily the result of a lesser amount of cash used in our acquisitions of Era and ICS in July 2008 compared to our acquisition of Constella in August 2007. Additionally, proceeds from our sale of Futures decreased the amount of cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended March 31, 2009.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$20.1 million for the nine months ended March 31, 2009, compared to \$79.4 million of cash provided in the nine months ended March 31, 2008. This change was due to greater borrowings under our credit facility in the nine months ended March 31, 2008 to support the acquisition of Constella compared to those in the nine months ended March 31, 2009 to support the acquisitions of ICS and Era.

Credit Facility

On May 29, 2008, we amended our five-year unsecured revolving credit facility dated August 9, 2007 to increase the commitment from \$100 million to \$285 million. The credit facility terminates on August 9, 2012, at

Table of Contents

which time all outstanding borrowings under the facility become due. As of March 31, 2009, we had \$150 million outstanding on the credit facility, which is included in long-term liabilities. The weighted average rate of interest on the outstanding borrowings was approximately 3.1% for the nine months ended March 31, 2009. We were in compliance with all debt covenants as of March 31, 2009.

Outstanding borrowings under the credit facility bear interest at a rate per annum equal to, at our election, (i) LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.65% to 0.95%, with such margin varying according to our leverage ratio, plus a utilization fee of 0.125% if outstanding borrowings exceed 50% of the credit facility, or (ii) an alternative base rate equal to the higher of the bank's prime rate or 0.5% above the Federal Funds Rate. In addition, we are required to pay the lenders a facility fee on the total committed amount under the credit facility ranging from 0.100% to 0.175% per annum, depending upon our leverage ratio. Interest is payable upon maturity.

We may use the proceeds from borrowings under the credit facility for any general corporate purpose. The credit facility contains customary covenants limiting our ability to, among other things, merge or consolidate with others, incur liens, redeem or repurchase our stock, enter into transactions with affiliates, or dispose of assets. In addition, the credit facility contains financial covenants requiring us to maintain a total leverage ratio of not more than 3.0 to 1.0 and an interest coverage ratio of at least 3.0 to 1.0. If we do not comply with the various covenants under the credit facility, the lenders may, subject to various customary cure rights, require immediate payment of all amounts outstanding under the facility.

We also maintain a secured revolving credit facility and an overdraft facility relating to our operations in the Czech Republic, which were part of the Era acquisition. The secured revolving credit facility has a limit of approximately \$6.2 million. Borrowings under this facility bear interest at a rate of LIBOR, PRIBOR, or EURIBOR, depending on the currency in which the loan is drawn, plus 1.4%. This facility expires on September 30, 2010. As of March 31, 2009, the Company had approximately \$1.8 million outstanding under this facility, which is included in short-term borrowings.

The overdraft facility maintained in the Czech Republic, is denominated in Czech Koruna, bears interest at a rate of PRIBOR plus 1.55% and has a limit of approximately \$6.7 million. This facility is set to expire on August 31, 2009. At March 31, 2009, outstanding borrowings under this facility totaled approximately \$6.5 million and are included in short-term borrowings.

Capital Requirements

We believe the capital resources available to us under the credit facility, cash and cash equivalents on hand, and cash from our operations are adequate to fund our normal operating liquidity and capital expenditure requirements for at least the next twelve months.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

DESCRIPTION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The preparation of our financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires that we make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, as well as the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates including those related to revenue recognition, doubtful accounts receivable, goodwill and other intangible assets, and other contingent liabilities. We base our estimates on our historical experience and various other factors that we believe are reasonable at the time the estimates are made. Actual results may differ significantly from our estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe

Table of Contents

the critical accounting policies requiring us to make significant estimates and judgments are revenue recognition, contract cost accounting, accounting for acquisitions, accounting for asset impairment and accounting for stock-based compensation. If any of these estimates or judgments proves to be incorrect, our reported results could be materially affected.

Revenue Recognition

We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, services have been rendered or goods delivered, the contract price is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. We have a standard management process that we use to determine whether all required criteria for revenue recognition have been met. This standard management process includes a regular review of our contract performance. This review covers, among other matters, outstanding action items, progress against schedule, effort and staffing, requirements stability, quality, risks and issues, subcontract management, cost, commitments, and client satisfaction. During this review we determine whether the overall progress on a contract is consistent with the effort expended.

Absent evidence to the contrary, we recognize revenue as follows. Revenue on cost-plus-fee contracts is recognized to the extent of costs actually incurred plus a proportionate amount of the fee earned. We consider fixed fees under cost-plus-fee contracts to be earned in proportion to the allowable costs actually incurred in performance of the contract. Revenue on time-and-materials contracts is recognized based on the hours actually incurred at the negotiated contract billing rates, plus the cost of any allowable material costs and out-of-pocket expenses. Revenue on fixed-price contracts where we perform systems design, development and integration is recognized using the percentage-of-completion method of contract accounting. Unless it is determined as part of our regular contract review that there is a more suitable objective measure of completion than costs expended to date, we determine the percentage completed based on the percentage of costs incurred to date in relation to total estimated costs expected upon completion of the contract. Revenue on fixed-price outsourcing and managed services contracts is generally recognized ratably over the contract period. Revenue on fixed-price strategic consulting contracts is generally recognized based on costs incurred because these services are directed by our customers and are subject to their needs which fluctuate throughout the contract period. We consider performance-based fees, including award fees, under any contract type to be earned when we can demonstrate satisfaction of performance goals, based upon historical experience, or we receive contractual notification from a client that the fee has been earned. Billings for hardware or software purchased by customers under our contracts where we act as an agent for the customer are excluded from our revenue and cost of services, except to the extent of any handling fee or profit earned. Fees paid by us to investigators and test subjects as an agent in connection with clinical research trials for which we are reimbursed are also excluded from revenue and costs of services, except to the extent of any profit earned.

Contract revenue recognition inherently involves estimation. Examples of estimates include the contemplated level of effort to accomplish the tasks under contract, the cost of the effort, and an ongoing assessment of our progress toward completing the contract. From time to time, as part of our standard management processes, facts develop that require us to revise our estimated total costs or revenue. To the extent that a revised estimate affects contract profit or revenue previously recognized, we record the cumulative effect of the revision in the period in which the facts requiring the revision become known. Anticipated contract losses are recognized in the period in which they become probable and can be reasonably estimated.

We may proceed with work based on client direction prior to the completion and signing of formal contract documents. We have a formal review process for approving any such work. Revenue associated with such work is recognized only when it can be reliably estimated and realization is probable. We base our estimates on previous experiences with the client, communications with the client regarding funding status, and our knowledge of available funding for the contract or program.

We maintain reserves for doubtful accounts receivable that may arise in the normal course of business. Historically, we have not had significant write-offs of doubtful accounts receivable related to work we perform

Table of Contents

for the federal government. However, we do perform work on contracts and task orders where, on occasion, issues arise that lead to accounts receivable not being collected.

Contract Cost Accounting

For our federal government contracts, we must categorize our costs as either direct or indirect and allowable or unallowable. Direct costs are those costs that are identified with specific contracts. These costs include labor, subcontractor and consultant services, third party materials we purchase under a contract, and other non-labor costs incurred in direct support of a contract. Indirect costs are those costs not identified with specific contracts. Rather, indirect costs are allocated to contracts in accordance with federal government rules and regulations. These costs typically include our selling, general and administrative expenses, fringe benefit expenses, and depreciation and amortization costs. Direct and indirect costs that are not allowable under the Federal Acquisition Regulation or specific contract provisions cannot be considered for reimbursement under our federal government contracts. We must specifically identify these costs to ensure we comply with these requirements. Our unallowable costs include a portion of our executive compensation, certain employee morale activities, certain types of legal and consulting costs, and the amortization of identified intangible assets, among others. As we acquire and integrate new companies, we try to manage our indirect costs by realizing opportunities for cost synergies and integrating the indirect support function of acquired companies into our own.

Accounting for Acquisitions

The purchase price that we pay to acquire the stock or assets of an entity must be assigned to the net assets acquired based on the estimated fair market value of those net assets. The purchase price in excess of the estimated fair market value of the net tangible and separately identified intangible assets acquired represents goodwill. We have a process pursuant to which we typically retain a third party valuation expert to assist us in determining the fair values and useful lives of identified intangible assets. The purchase price allocation related to acquisitions involves significant estimates and management judgments that may be adjusted during the purchase price allocation period, but generally not beyond one year from the acquisition date.

Accounting for Asset Impairment

We evaluate goodwill for impairment on an annual basis, or during any interim period if we have an indication that goodwill may be impaired. We assess the potential impairment of goodwill by comparing the carrying value of the assets and liabilities of our reporting units to which goodwill is assigned to the estimated fair value of the reporting units using a discounted cash flow approach. Each year we perform our goodwill impairment analysis as of January 1.

For our impairment analysis as of January 1, 2009, we retained a third party valuation firm to supplement management's judgment with respect to the goodwill impairment analysis. The valuation approach was based upon a discounted cash flow analysis, corroborated by comparable company market multiples. The primary factors used in the discounted cash flow analysis requiring judgment are forecasts of future operating results, weighted average cost of capital (WACC), and terminal value assumptions. The WACC is the factor used to discount future cash flows. The WACC represents the expected cost of new capital, taking into consideration the weighted cost of debt and equity. The terminal value assumptions are applied to the final year of discounted cash flows. There was no indication of goodwill impairment as a result of our impairment analysis. If we are required to record an impairment charge in the future, it could materially affect our results of operations. Due to the many variables inherent in the estimation of a business's fair value, differences in assumptions may have a material effect on the results of our impairment analysis.

The estimated fair value of identified intangible assets is amortized over the estimated useful life of the related intangible asset. We evaluate these assets for impairment when events occur or circumstances change that

Table of Contents

suggest a possible impairment. Such events could include, but are not limited to, a significant adverse change in legal factors or the business climate, an expectation of future operating losses associated with the use of such assets, or other similar events. None of these events have occurred for the periods presented. If such events do occur, we will determine if the affected asset is impaired by comparing the net book value of the asset to its future undiscounted net cash flows. If an impairment occurs, we will record an impairment expense equal to the difference between the net book value of the asset and its estimated discounted cash flows using a discount rate based on our cost of capital and the related risks of recoverability.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We account for stock-based compensation in accordance with SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment*. Under the provisions of SFAS No. 123R, stock-based compensation cost is estimated at the grant date based on the award's fair-value as calculated by the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model and is recognized as expense ratably on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period. The Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model requires various judgmental assumptions including expected volatility, forfeiture rates, and expected option life. Significant changes in any of these assumptions could materially affect the fair value of stock-based awards granted in the future.

Other Matters

Our significant accounting policies, including the critical policies listed above, are described in the notes to the consolidated financial statements for the year ended June 30, 2008, included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K.

DESCRIPTION OF STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS ITEMS

The following is a description of certain line items of our statements of operations.

Revenue

Most of our revenue is generated based on services provided either by our employees or subcontractors. The revenue we earn may include third-party hardware and software that we purchase and integrate when requested by the client as a part of the solutions that we provide to our clients. To a lesser degree, we develop and sell software and hardware products to customers.

Contract Types. When contracting with our government clients, we enter into one of three basic types of contracts: cost-plus-fee, time-and-materials, and fixed-price.

Cost-plus-fee contracts. Cost-plus-fee contracts provide for reimbursement of allowable costs and the payment of a fee, which is our profit. Cost-plus-fixed-fee contracts specify the contract fee in dollars. Cost-plus-award-fee contracts may provide for a base fee amount, plus an award fee that varies, within specified limits, based upon the client's assessment of our performance as compared to contractual targets for factors such as cost, quality, schedule, and performance.

Time-and-materials contracts. Under a time-and-materials contract, we are paid a fixed hourly rate for each direct labor hour expended, and we are reimbursed for allowable material costs and out-of-pocket expenses. To the extent our actual direct labor and associated costs vary in relation to the fixed hourly billing rates provided in the contract, we will generate more or less profit, or could incur a loss.

Fixed-price contracts. Under a fixed-price contract, we agree to perform the specified work for a pre-determined price. To the extent our actual costs vary from the estimates upon which the price was negotiated, we will generate more or less than the anticipated amount of profit or could incur a loss. Some fixed-price contracts have a performance-based component, pursuant to which we can earn incentive payments or incur financial penalties based on our performance.

Table of Contents

Cost of Services

Cost of services includes the direct costs to provide our services and business solutions to clients. The most significant of these costs are the salaries and wages, plus associated fringe benefits, of our employees directly serving clients. Cost of services also includes the costs of subcontractors and outside consultants, third-party materials, such as hardware or software that we purchase and provide to the client as part of an integrated solution, and any other direct costs, such as travel expenses incurred to support contract efforts.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

Selling, general and administrative expenses include the salaries and wages, plus associated fringe benefits, of our employees not performing work directly for clients. Among the functions covered by these costs are asset and facilities management, business development, research and development, contracts and legal, finance and accounting, executive and senior management, human resources, and information system support. Facilities-related costs and foreign currency transaction related costs are also included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization includes depreciation of computers and other equipment, the amortization of software we use internally, the amortization of leasehold improvements, and the amortization of identified intangible assets.

DEFINITION OF CERTAIN TERMS USED IN THIS MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Backlog

We define backlog to include funded and unfunded orders for services under existing signed contracts, assuming the exercise of all options relating to those contracts, less the amount of revenue we have previously recognized under those contracts. Backlog includes all contract options that have been priced but not yet funded. Backlog also includes the contract value under single award indefinite delivery, indefinite quantity (ID/IQ) contracts against which we expect future task orders to be issued without competition. Backlog does not take contract ceiling value into consideration under multiple award contracts, nor does it include any estimate of future potential delivery orders that might be awarded under multiple award ID/IQ vehicles, government-wide acquisition contracts (GWACs), or General Services Administration (GSA) schedule contracts. We define funded backlog to be the portion of backlog for which funding currently is appropriated and obligated to us under a contract or other authorization for payment signed by an authorized purchasing authority.

We cannot guarantee that we will recognize the full amount of revenue from our backlog. The federal government has the prerogative to cancel any contract or delivery order at any time. Most of our contracts and delivery orders have cancellation terms that would permit us to recover all or a portion of our incurred costs and related fees in such cases. Backlog varies considerably from time to time as current contracts or delivery orders are executed and new contracts or delivery orders under existing contracts are won.

Days Sales Outstanding

We calculate days sales outstanding (DSO) by dividing the average accounts receivable at the beginning and end of the period, net of average billings in excess of revenue, by revenue per day in the period. Revenue per day for a quarter is determined by dividing total revenue by 90 days. Revenue per day for a year is determined by dividing total revenue by 360 days.

Table of Contents**Direct Labor Utilization**

We define direct labor utilization as the ratio of labor dollars worked on customer engagements to total labor dollars worked. We exclude leave taken, such as vacation time or sick leave, so that we can understand how we are applying worked labor. Leave actually taken by our employees is largely beyond the control of management in the near term.

Organic Revenue

We calculate organic revenue by comparing our reported revenue for the current period to revenue for the same period in the prior year adjusted to include revenue of acquired businesses for the pre-acquisition period of the prior year. In arriving at prior-year revenue, we include the revenue of acquired companies and remove the revenue of divested companies for the prior-year periods comparable to the current-year periods for which the companies are included in our reported revenue. The resulting rate is intended to represent our organic, or non-acquisitive, growth or decline year-over-year, including comparable period growth or decline attributable to acquired companies. We believe that this non-GAAP financial measure provides useful information because it allows investors to better assess the underlying growth rate of our business, including the post-acquisition activity of acquired companies. This non-GAAP financial measure is not used for any other purpose and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance prepared in accordance with GAAP. For illustrative purposes, we compute the increase in our organic revenue of 0.7% and 1.8% for the three and nine month periods ending March 31, 2009, respectively, as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,		
	2009	2008	% Change
Revenue, as reported	\$ 376,928	\$ 376,002	0.2%
Plus: Revenue from acquired companies for the comparable prior year period		16,346	
Less: Revenue from divested companies for the comparable prior year period		(17,975)	
Organic Revenue	\$ 376,928	\$ 374,373	0.7%

	Nine Months Ended March 31,		
	2009	2008	% Change
Revenue, as reported	\$ 1,138,606	\$ 1,122,144	1.5%
Plus: Revenue from acquired companies for the comparable prior year period		32,996	
Less: Revenue from divested companies for the comparable prior year period		(36,219)	
Organic Revenue	\$ 1,138,606	\$ 1,118,921	1.8%

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

See Note 1 to the condensed consolidated financial statements in Part I, Item 1 for information related to new accounting standards.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Financial instruments that potentially subject us to credit risk consist primarily of cash equivalents, short- and long-term investments, accounts receivable, and short-term and long-term debt. The Company is exposed to market risk, primarily related to interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates.

Table of Contents

The interest rates on our revolving credit facility are affected by changes in market interest rates. Borrowings under our revolving credit facility bear interest at either (i) LIBOR plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.65% to 0.95%, with such margin varying according to our leverage ratio, plus a utilization fee of 0.125% if outstanding borrowings exceed 50% of the credit facility, or (ii) an alternative base rate equal to the higher of the bank's prime rate or 0.5% above the Federal Funds Rate. A hypothetical 1% increase in the interest rate could increase our interest expense for the remainder of fiscal 2009 by approximately \$0.4 million based on our outstanding debt at March 31, 2009.

We invest our excess cash in high credit quality investments and diversify these investments amongst our bank group; therefore, we believe that concentrations of credit risk with respect to cash equivalents and investments are limited. Our investment policy requires that investments be in direct obligations of the U.S. government, certain U.S. government sponsored entities, investments that are secured by direct or sponsored U.S. government obligations, or certain corporate or municipal debt obligations rated at least single-A or A-1/P-1, as applicable, by both Moody's Investor Service and Standard and Poor's. Our policy does not allow investment in any equity securities or the obligations of any entity under review for possible downgrade by a major rating service to a debt rating below single-A. We do not purchase investments with original maturities that exceed two years.

As of March 31, 2009 and June 30, 2008, the carrying value of financial instruments approximated fair value. These investments, which are classified as held-to-maturity, consist of corporate and municipal bonds with maturities of 4 months or less. As of March 31, 2009, we held \$102.2 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$0.1 million in short-term investments. Because of their short-term maturities, we do not believe that a change in market rates would have a material impact on the value of our investments. However, declining interest rates would negatively impact our interest income in future periods when funds are reinvested after these instruments mature. A hypothetical 1% increase or decrease in interest rates could increase or decrease our interest income and related cash flows for the remainder of fiscal 2009 by approximately \$0.3 million based on our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investment balance at March 31, 2009.

We believe that concentration of credit risk with respect to accounts receivable is limited as they are primarily federal government receivables.

We are exposed to changes in foreign currency rates. Approximately 4% of our total revenue in the nine months ended March 31, 2009 was derived from our international operations, primarily earned in the United Kingdom and the Czech Republic. Through March 31, 2009, we had not utilized any derivative instruments to manage risk associated with currency exchange rate fluctuations. The functional currency of certain foreign operations is the local currency. We generally attempt to negotiate contracts in the same currency in which the predominant expenses will be incurred, thereby mitigating the exposure to foreign currency exchange fluctuations. However, we do enter into contracts that subject us to foreign exchange risks, particularly to the extent contract revenues are denominated in a currency different than the contract costs.

We are also exposed to the effect of fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates on certain short term monetary assets and liabilities denominated in a currency other than our (or one of our subsidiaries') functional currency. In April 2009, we began utilizing forward contracts to manage this risk. We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. We believe any gains or losses on the re-measurement of non-functional currency monetary assets and liabilities due to a hypothetical fluctuation in foreign currency exchange rates would be offset by corresponding gains or losses in the fair value of the forward contracts. We believe these contracts and the offsetting underlying transactions and balances, when taken together, do not create material market risk.

Table of Contents

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our chief executive officer and chief financial officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2009. Based on this evaluation, our chief executive officer and chief financial officer concluded that, as of March 31, 2009, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the fiscal quarter ended March 31, 2009 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

As a government contractor, we are often subjected to investigations, audits and reviews relating to compliance with various complex laws and regulations applicable to our role as a contractor to agencies and departments of the U.S. Government and in connection with performing services in countries outside of the United States. Such investigations, audits and reviews may lead to criminal, civil or administrative proceedings and to potential penalties, fines, repayments or compensatory damages. Adverse findings may also materially impair our ability to compete for future government contracts and our reputation with our customers. Given the uncertainties, we are not able to give any assurances, but based upon our evaluation of current matters that are subject to governmental investigations of which we are aware, our current understanding of the facts of such matters and the status and intentions of such investigations, we do not believe that the ultimate outcome of such matters likely will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

From time to time, we are involved in various legal claims and proceedings concerning matters arising in the ordinary course of business. Based upon current information, we do not believe that the ultimate outcome of these proceedings is likely to have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes with respect to the risk factors faced by our business from those included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended June 30, 2008, except as listed below. Item 1A of our fiscal year 2008 Form 10-K should be read in conjunction with the following updates.

Our business could be negatively impacted by security threats and other disruptions.

As a technology company and U.S. government contractor, we face an increasingly sophisticated array of highly capable and persistent global security threats to our information infrastructure. These threats require significant management attention and resources, and potentially can disrupt our business, expose sensitive personally identifiable and other information of customers, their employees or our own employees, result in legal actions, contract terminations and payment of damages to impacted parties, require increased investments in network security and monitoring, and damage our reputation among our customers and the public. The laws and contractual requirements addressing network security and data privacy are varied and complex and constantly evolving; we face significant challenges ensuring our continued compliance, and failure to comply may constitute a breach of our contractual obligations and/or cause an interruption of contract performance for an indefinite period. A sophisticated virus believed not to be addressed fully by our system's commercial antivirus software was identified on the Company's computer network. The company has been working closely with law enforcement and other officials who are investigating the source of the attacks. Our cyber security experts and information technology department have identified several virus variants and are implementing mitigation and remedial actions. While we have not determined that specific information was exfiltrated, our forensic analysts suggest that the virus was designed for this purpose and, based on indirect evidence found, there is the possibility that data was compromised. We have notified customers and employees regarding that possibility. A U.S. government customer is investigating the potential for data breach of specific information, and, while the outcome of that inquiry is uncertain, we may incur costs to mitigate the impact to our customer or address potential claims and to take further steps to increase the level of protection of relevant data. Consistent with our policies and law, we will be proactive in notifying customers affected by any specific data compromise if and when discovered. We believe that we will continue to be subject to sophisticated virus threats and we expect to make significant future investments in network security and monitoring to address these threats.

Table of Contents

We may not be able to raise additional capital or obtain additional financing if needed.

The recent downturn in the equity and debt markets, the tightening of the credit markets and the general economic slowdown in the U.S. could make it more difficult for us to raise additional capital or obtain additional financing. We cannot be certain that additional funds will be available if needed and to the extent required or, if available, on acceptable terms. If we cannot raise additional funds on acceptable terms, we may not be able to fund expansion or take advantage of future opportunities.

The prevailing adverse economic conditions also require us to monitor the financial health of our commercial customers, subcontractors and others to whom we have financial exposures. Financial weakness could expose us to credit losses or require us to modify terms.

The uncertainty of current global economic conditions could adversely affect our revenues and operating results.

The customer base for our recently acquired Era product business is currently composed primarily of countries in emerging markets. Therefore, our product revenues, in particular, may be adversely affected by the current uncertainty in global market conditions. Our projected product revenues and operating results are based on assumptions concerning levels of customer spending, primarily by foreign governments. Continued weakness or further deterioration of the global economy could delay or decrease purchases of our products by our customers. Additionally, the weakened economy and tightness of the credit markets may result in supplier disruptions, which could reduce our revenues.

Foreign currency exchange rate fluctuations may adversely affect our business.

The revenue and expenses of our foreign operations are generally denominated in local currencies, primarily the British pound, the Czech Koruna and the Euro, and then are translated into U.S. dollars for financial reporting purposes. For the nine months ended March 31, 2009, approximately 2% of our revenue was denominated in British pounds and approximately 2% of our revenue was denominated in other foreign currencies. Changes in the exchange rates between foreign currencies and the U.S. dollar will affect the translation of foreign results into U.S. dollars for purposes of reporting our consolidated results. Additionally, while we generally attempt to negotiate contracts in the same currency in which the predominant expenses will be incurred to mitigate the exposure to foreign currency exchange fluctuations, our Era subsidiary has contract revenues that are denominated in a currency different than contract costs. In April 2009, we began utilizing forward contracts to hedge the risk associated with currency exchange rate fluctuations on certain short term monetary assets and liabilities. These hedges will help to mitigate some of the effect of exchange rate fluctuations on future operating results.

Our fixed price contracts may encounter difficulties that result in additional costs to us, reductions in revenues, claims, disputes and the payment of damages.

Some of our fixed price contracts may require challenging development and integration of technologies and subsystems and completion of acceptance testing by contractually specified delivery dates. We may experience unforeseen technological difficulties and cost overruns, and if our initial estimates are incorrect, we can lose money on these contracts. Failure to meet contractual requirements may result in additional costs to satisfy contract requirements, reductions in revenue, payment of damages or penalties, or termination of the contract.

We may face difficulties enforcing our intellectual property rights and may be found to infringe the intellectual property rights of others.

We sometimes employ patents, copyrights, trademarks, and trade secret laws to establish and maintain proprietary rights in our technology and products. With the acquisitions of Era and ICS, we currently own 28 issued patents in the U.S., and own or have applied for a lesser number in certain other foreign jurisdictions.

Table of Contents

These patents or other proprietary rights may be challenged, invalidated, or circumvented, and we may not gain competitive advantages from them. We believe that our intellectual property protections have some value, but, because of the nature of our business and these legal rights, the rapidly changing technology needs of our customers and limitations in the legal process, we believe this value is not material, and that instead our future business success will rely primarily upon the expertise and management skills of our employees. SRA leverages its patented technologies in sales of services and customer licenses; the patents themselves are not licensed to any third party, and no revenue is derived directly from the patents. Most of the patent applications were filed after January 2000 and still have a duration of 10 to 15 years. We do not have the same protections in many foreign jurisdictions. In our product sales, it often is not economically practical or in many cases even possible to determine in advance whether our products or their components infringe on the rights of others. Regardless of the merit of intellectual property claims, such claims can be time-consuming and costly, and we may be required to develop a non-infringing technology or enter into license agreements. Our customers may require indemnity for patent infringement and similar intellectual property challenges. If any intellectual property claim made against us is successful, if we are required to indemnify a customer with respect to third party claims, or if we are unable to develop non-infringing technology or license proprietary rights on commercially reasonable terms, our business could be materially and adversely affected.

Our operations involving foreign countries and foreign personnel require our compliance with complex U.S. laws, including the Arms Export Control Act, the International Traffic in Arms Regulations, the Export Administration Act, the Export Administration Regulations, the Trading with the Enemy Act, the International Emergency Economic Powers Act, and other similar laws.

U.S. laws strictly control the manufacture, export or brokering of defense-related articles and services and dual-use goods, software, and technology. Some of the articles and services we and our subsidiaries sell are subject to these restrictions, and violations can lead to civil or criminal penalties. The breadth and complexity of these laws requires that we constantly monitor our operations to ensure compliance. Prior to its acquisition by us, Era voluntarily disclosed to the U.S. Department of State potential brokering activities related to product sales of its Czech subsidiary. Following our acquisition, we engaged an outside law firm to conduct a compliance audit of Era operations and two additional potential violations of the International Traffic in Arms Regulations (ITAR) were identified, one involving a possible brokering violation between U.S. personnel and a foreign country and the second involving use of a U.S.-origin electronic component in a Czech military product exported in potential violation of ITAR export licensing requirements. We disclosed the audit results to the U.S. State Department and received notification from the State Department determining that Era had violated ITAR but they are closing the case at this time without imposition of civil penalty. We subsequently discovered evidence of discussions that may have constituted a possible brokering violation between a U.S. person and a foreign country. We voluntarily disclosed and discussed this latest evidence with the U.S. State Department. To ensure compliance with restrictions on brokering, we currently operate Era under a sequestration agreement, which gives the Czech managers of Era's Czech subsidiary sole authority to manage the defense-related activities of the subsidiary's military division and prohibits the managerial input of U.S. personnel. This sequestration agreement has not been approved by the U.S. State Department. To ensure compliance management meets periodically, a Technology Control Plan has been put in place, and relevant employees have received training. Recently SRA was registered as a broker with the U.S. Department of State Directorate of Defense Trade Controls (DDTC), permitting SRA brokering activities for defense-related articles in NATO and certain other countries. The U.S. State Department recently approved SRA brokering license applications for several additional key markets, and SRA is preparing to file several other license applications for defense-related brokering for DDTC approval. Our plan is ultimately to withdraw the current sequestration agreement and subject all future Czech defense-related operations fully to U.S. ITAR requirements. This action may limit countries to which we will be permitted to sell our products, reducing potential revenues, and in some cases may delay sales pending governmental approvals.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds****Share Repurchases**

On May 2, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program to buy back up to \$40 million of our class A common stock. The Board authorized the repurchase of up to an additional \$100 million of our class A common stock on July 31, 2008. We did not repurchase any shares of our common stock under this plan during the three months ended March 31, 2009. We have \$84.6 million available under the July authorization as of March 31, 2009.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Item 5. Other Information

On February 13, 2009, we announced the retirement of Executive Vice President Barry S. Landew from the Company. On May 7, 2009, we entered into a separation agreement with Mr. Landew, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 10.17. Mr. Landew's separation date will be May 15, 2009. In addition, we entered into a consulting agreement with Mr. Landew, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 10.18. The terms of such separation agreement and consulting agreement are incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number	Description
10.17*	Final Separation Agreement of Barry S. Landew
10.18*	Consulting Agreement dated as of May 7, 2009 between SRA International, Inc. and Barry S. Landew
21.1	Subsidiaries of the Registrant
31.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
31.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended
32.1	Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

* Management contract or compensatory plan, contract or arrangement

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the county of Fairfax, Virginia on the 8th day of May, 2009.

SRA INTERNATIONAL, INC.

By: /s/ STANTON D. SLOANE
Stanton D. Sloane

President and Chief Executive Officer

(Principal Executive Officer)

By: /s/ MELISSA A. BURGUM
Melissa A. Burgum

Acting Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)