

WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC  
Form 424B3  
May 13, 2005

Filed pursuant to Rule 424(b)(3)

Registration No. 333-107066

**WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II, INC.**

**SUPPLEMENT NO. 32 DATED MAY 13, 2005**

**TO THE PROSPECTUS DATED NOVEMBER 26, 2003**

This document supplements, and should be read in conjunction with, the Prospectus of Wells Real Estate Investment Trust II, Inc. ( REIT II ) dated November 26, 2003, as supplemented by Supplement No. 9 dated May 18, 2004, Supplement No. 17 dated August 17, 2004, Supplement No. 22 dated November 22, 2004, Supplement No. 25 dated February 22, 2005, Supplement No. 26 dated March 8, 2005, Supplement No. 27 dated March 16, 2005, Supplement No. 28, 2005 dated March 18, 2005, Supplement No. 29 dated April 5, 2005, Supplement No. 30 dated April 19, 2005, and Supplement No. 31, dated April 29, 2005. Capitalized terms used in this Supplement have the same meanings as set forth in the Prospectus. The purpose of this Supplement is to disclose:

the status of our ongoing public offering;

information regarding our indebtedness;

the closing of the 100 East Pratt Building acquisition;

entering into a new credit facility with Wachovia;

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations similar to that filed in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2005, filed on May 6, 2005; and

Unaudited financial statements of REIT II as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

**Status of the Offering**

We commenced our initial public offering of 600 million shares of common stock on December 1, 2003. As of May 9, 2005, we had received aggregate gross offering proceeds of approximately \$1,132.0 million from the sale of approximately 113.2 million shares in our initial public offering. After payment of approximately \$22.6 million in acquisition fees, payment of approximately \$107.6 million in selling commissions and dealer manager fees, payment of approximately \$22.6 million in other organization and offering expenses and common stock redemptions of approximately \$2.6 million pursuant to the share redemption program, as of May 9, 2005, we had raised aggregate net offering proceeds of approximately \$976.6 million.

**Indebtedness**

As of May 12, 2005, our leverage ratio, that is, the ratio of total debt to total purchase price of real estate assets plus cash and cash equivalents, was approximately 28%. As of May 12, 2005, total indebtedness was \$415.3 million, which consisted of borrowings under our \$400.0 million credit facility of approximately \$156.0 million and fixed-rate mortgages on certain properties totaling approximately \$259.3 million. Based on the value of our borrowing-base properties, we had approximately \$87.7 million in remaining capacity under our \$400.0 million credit facility.

**Acquisition of 100 East Pratt Building**

On May 12, 2005, we purchased all of the interest in 100 East Pratt Street Business Trust, a Maryland business trust that owns a 28-story office building containing approximately 656,000 rentable square feet (the 100 East Pratt Building ). The 100 East Pratt Building is located on an approximately 2.1-acre parcel of land at 100 East Pratt Street in Baltimore, Maryland. The purchase price of the 100 East Pratt Building was approximately \$207.5 million, plus closing costs. The acquisition was funded with net proceeds raised from this public offering and with proceeds from our \$400.0 million line of credit with Wachovia Bank, N.A. The interest in 100 East Pratt Building was purchased from Boston Properties, Inc. and East Pratt Street Associates Limited Partnership (collectively referred to as the Sellers), two entities unaffiliated with us or Wells Capital, Inc. (the Advisor ).

The 100 East Pratt Building, constructed in 1975 (lower building) and in 1991 (tower), is leased to T. Rowe Price Group, Inc. ( T. Rowe Price ) (approximately 58%), Tydings & Rosenberg, LLP ( Tydings & Rosenberg ) (approximately 6%) and Merrill Lynch & Co., Inc. ( Merrill Lynch ) (approximately 5%). Approximately 6.8% of the 100 East Pratt Building is currently vacant.

T. Rowe Price, founded in 1937 and traded on NASDAQ, is a global investment management firm, providing a broad array of mutual funds, sub-advisory services, and separate account management for individual and institutional investors and financial intermediaries. Headquartered in Baltimore, T. Rowe Price has offices in Amsterdam, Buenos Aires, Copenhagen, Hong Kong, London, Paris, Singapore, and Tokyo. As of March 31, 2005, T. Rowe Price had more than \$235.9 billion in assets under management for individuals and some of the world's leading corporations, public retirement plans, foundations, and endowments. The firm also offers a variety of investment planning and guidance tools, with investment management services spanning the full range of U.S. and non-U.S. equity, fixed-income, and multi-asset class investment styles. As of March 31, 2005, T. Rowe Price employed 4,185 people worldwide and reported a net worth of approximately \$1.8 billion.

Tydings & Rosenberg is a Baltimore-based law firm with approximately 50 attorneys serving clients throughout the mid-Atlantic region and the country. In addition to its government practice, the firm practices in the areas of corporate, business, and tax issues, commercial and business litigation, bankruptcy and creditors' rights matters, estates and trusts, real estate transactions, and family law. A representative list of Tydings & Rosenberg clients includes Chubb Group of Insurance Cos., IBM Corporation, Johnson & Johnson, Joseph E. Seagram & Sons, Inc., M&T Bank, Mercedes Benz of North America, Inc., Owens-Illinois, Inc., Teachers Insurance and Annuity Assn. and Toyota Motor Sales, U.S.A., Inc.

Merrill Lynch, ranked 58th in the Fortune 100 and traded on the NYSE, is one of the world's leading financial management and advisory companies, with offices in 36 countries and total assets in private client accounts of approximately \$1.6 trillion as of March 31, 2005. Merrill Lynch employs over 50,000 people worldwide, and has three core businesses—Global Private Client, Global Markets & Investment Banking Group and Merrill Lynch Investment Managers—offering a range of services for private clients, small businesses, institutions and corporations, and financial intermediaries. As an investment bank, the company is an underwriter of debt and equity securities and strategic advisor to corporations, governments, institutions and individuals worldwide. Merrill Lynch reported a net worth, as of April 1, 2005, of \$32.9 billion.

The current aggregate annual base rent for T. Rowe Price, Tydings & Rosenberg, Merrill Lynch and the 23 other tenants in the 100 East Pratt Building is approximately \$12.7 million. The current weighted-average remaining lease term for all tenants in the 100 East Pratt Building is approximately 11 years. T. Rowe Price has the right, at its option, to extend the initial term of its lease for two additional five-year periods. T. Rowe Price has a one-time right to terminate the portion of its lease covering the 10<sup>th</sup> floor space (42,783 square feet) effective October 31, 2009 for a termination fee equal to the sum of unamortized leasing commissions and tenant improvement allowances. T. Rowe Price has a right of first refusal to purchase the 100 East Pratt Building if we receive an unsolicited offer to purchase the building (provided we intend to accept such offer), and a right of first offer to purchase the 100 East Pratt Building if we intend to sell the building as a single asset. Tydings & Rosenberg has exercised one renewal term of five years, and has the right, at its option, to extend the term of its lease for one additional five-year period. Merrill Lynch has exercised one renewal term of ten years, and has the right, at its option, to extend the term of its lease for one additional five-year period.

Boston Properties Limited Partnership, an affiliate of the Sellers, will manage the 100 East Pratt Building for a property management fee of 2% of gross revenues, excluding parking revenues. We do not intend to make significant renovations or improvements to the 100 East Pratt Building in the near term. We believe that the 100 East Pratt Building is adequately insured.

### **New Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility**

On May 9, 2005, we entered into a \$400.0 million, three-year, unsecured revolving financing facility (the "\$400 Million Facility") with a syndicate of banks led by Wachovia Bank, N.A. (the "Lender"). The \$400 Million Facility replaces our \$430.0 million, 180-day, secured revolving financing facility with Bank of America, N.A. (the "BOA Line of Credit"). In connection with closing the \$400 Million Facility, we paid and expect to pay fees and expenses totaling approximately \$2.1 million.

## Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

The \$400 Million Facility contains borrowing arrangements that, at our option, provide for interest costs based on LIBOR for 7, 30, 60, 90, or 180 day periods, plus an applicable margin ranging from 0.85% to 1.20% ( LIBOR Loans ) or the floating base rate ( Base Rate Loans ). The applicable margin for LIBOR Loans is based on our debt to total asset value ratio. The base rate for any day is the higher of the Lender's prime rate for such day or the Federal Funds Rate for such day plus 50 basis points.

Under the terms of the \$400 Million Facility, accrued interest shall be payable in arrears on the first day of each calendar month. We are required to repay outstanding principal and accrued interest on May 9, 2008. We are able to extend the initial maturity date to May 9, 2009 if we seek an extension and meet the related conditions set forth in the agreement. We may prepay any loan at any time without premium or penalty.

Under the terms of the \$400 Million Facility, we may borrow up to 50% of the unencumbered asset value, or the aggregate value of a subset of lender-approved properties. Unencumbered asset value is calculated as the annualized net operating income, of the

lender-approved properties owned for four consecutive fiscal quarters divided by 8.25%, plus the book value, computed in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ( GAAP ), of such properties acquired during our most recently ended four fiscal quarters, plus the GAAP book value of construction-in-process properties included in the lender-approved subset.

The \$400 Million Facility agreement also stipulates that our net distributions, which equal total dividends and other distributions less the amount reinvested through our dividend reinvestment plan, may not exceed the greater of 90% of our Funds from Operations for the corresponding period or the minimum amount required in order for us to maintain our status as a REIT. Funds from Operations, as defined by the agreement, means net income (loss), minus (or plus) gains (or losses) from debt restructuring and sales of property during such period, plus depreciation on real estate assets and amortization (other than amortization of deferred financing costs) for such period, all after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures.

Debt covenants of the \$400 Million Facility require that we maintain our leverage, i.e., the ratio of total debt to total asset value, at 50% or less at all times during the term of the facility and our ratio of secured debt to total asset value, at 40% or less at all times during the term of the facility. Total asset value is equal to the sum of our cash and cash equivalents, plus the annualized net operating income for the prior fiscal quarter for all properties owned for four consecutive fiscal quarters, divided by 8.25%, plus the GAAP book value of properties acquired during the our most recently ended four fiscal quarters, plus the GAAP book value, for construction-in-process properties, plus the GAAP book value of unimproved land and mortgage receivables. Other debt covenants include that the ratio of our unencumbered asset value to total unsecured debt to be less than 2:1 at any time and we maintain certain interest coverage ratios and a minimum shareholders' equity. The \$400 Million Facility also places certain limits on investments that fall outside our core investments of improved office and industrial properties.

### **Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operation**

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our accompanying financial statements and notes thereto. This discussion contains forward-looking statements, which can be identified with the use of forward-looking terminology such as *may*, *will*, *intend*, or similar words. Actual results may differ from those described in forward-looking statements. For a discussion of the factors that could cause actual results to differ from those anticipated, see *Risk Factors* in the Prospectus.

Wells REIT II was formed on July 3, 2003, and began to receive investor proceeds from its initial public offering of common stock and acquire real estate assets during 2004. Thus, the results of our operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 reflect growing operational revenues and expenses associated with the acquisition of real properties, additional interest expense associated with debt financing for such acquisitions, and relatively high general and administrative expenses, which have declined as a percentage of total revenues for 2005, as compared to 2004, commensurate with the operational growth of the enterprise.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

#### *Overview*

During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we generated net operating cash flow of approximately \$11.7 million, which is primarily comprised of receipts of rental revenues and tenant reimbursements less payments for property operating expenses, property management and asset fees, interest expense, and general administrative expenses. From cash flows from operating activities and cash on hand, we paid dividends to stockholders of approximately \$12.9 million. During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we generated net cash flow from financing activities of approximately \$97.4 million primarily as a result of raising net proceeds from the sale of Wells REIT II common stock under our

## Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

initial offering of approximately \$211.6 million, net of commissions and dealer manager fees, and borrowing approximately \$55.2 million. Such cash inflows from financing activities were primarily used to repay outstanding balances on the BOA Line of Credit, and notes payable of approximately \$147.0 million, invest approximately \$93.0 million in real estate assets, pay other offering costs of approximately \$8.1 million, and pay acquisition fees of approximately \$8.1 million. We expect to utilize residual cash and cash equivalents as of March 31, 2005 of approximately \$26.3 million to satisfy current liabilities, pay future dividends, fund future anticipated acquisitions of real properties, or reduce indebtedness.

Currently we are experiencing increased market competition for high-quality commercial office properties which may translate into downward pressure on our annualized dividend rate. We will continue to re-evaluate projected cash flow on an ongoing basis and adjust the quarterly dividend payout as necessary. On a quarterly basis, we will utilize operating cash flow as well as other sources of funds to bridge timing differences resulting from payment of significant operating cash disbursements such as property insurance and prepaid real estate taxes.

*Short-term Liquidity and Capital Resources*

We intend to continue to generate capital from our ongoing offering of common stock and from borrowings to expand and diversify our portfolio. As of March 31, 2005, we were party to a contract for the acquisition of one property for a purchase price of \$22.7 million, plus closing costs, which closed on April 5, 2005. Following March 31, 2005, we entered into individual contracts to purchase two additional properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$237.8 million, plus closing costs. We executed one of those contracts on April 19, 2005, with a same-day closing, for a purchase price of approximately \$27.6 million, plus closing costs. With respect to the other contract, we closed on the acquisition on May 12, 2005. We described this transaction in this Supplement No. 32 under the heading Acquisition of 100 East Pratt Building.

We expect to fund our acquisitions with proceeds from our ongoing offering of common stock and capacity under our new unsecured credit facility with a syndicate of banks led by Wachovia Bank, as described above under the heading New Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility. As of April 30, 2005, we held cash on hand of approximately \$22.1 million, \$0 was outstanding under the now replaced BOA Line of Credit and approximately \$307.6 million was available for additional borrowings therefrom. Accordingly, we believe that we have the capacity to acquire properties to expand our portfolio.

The BOA Line of Credit matured on May 10, 2005 and was replaced by the \$400 Million Facility, as described earlier in this Supplement No. 32. In addition, we may place long-term mortgage debt on existing assets or assets acquired in the future. The decision to place this mortgage debt will be based on market conditions and capital needs at the time.

Our charter prohibits us from incurring debt that would cause our borrowings to exceed 50% of our assets (valued at cost before depreciation and other non-cash reserves) unless a majority of the members of the conflicts committee of our board of directors approves the borrowing. Our charter also requires that we disclose the justification for any borrowings in excess of the 50% leverage guideline.

The payment of dividends in the future will generally be dependent upon the cash flows from operating the properties currently owned and acquired in future periods, our financial condition, amounts paid for properties acquired, the timing of property acquisitions, capital expenditure requirements, and distribution requirements in order to maintain our REIT status under the Code. Our future dividends could be affected by factors such as timely payment of rent, the number of shares sold in our ongoing public offering, and the timing and pricing of future acquisitions.

*Long-term Liquidity and Capital Resources*

We expect that potential sources of capital over the long term will include proceeds from the sale of our common stock, proceeds from secured or unsecured financings from banks and other lenders, and net cash flows from operations. We expect that our primary uses of capital will be for property acquisitions, either directly or through investments in joint ventures, for the payment of tenant improvements, for the payment of offering-related costs, for the payment of operating expenses, including interest expense on any outstanding indebtedness, and for the payment of dividends.

In determining how and when to allocate cash resources, we will initially consider the source of the cash. We expect that substantially all net operating cash flows to be used to pay dividends after certain capital expenditures, including tenant improvements and leasing commissions, are paid at the properties; however, we may use other sources to fund dividends as necessary.

To the extent that cash flows from operations are lower due to fewer properties being acquired or lower returns on the properties, dividends paid may be lower. We expect that substantially all net cash resulting from equity or debt financing will be used to fund acquisitions, certain capital expenditures identified at acquisition, or repayments of outstanding debt. To the extent sufficient equity or debt is not available, then the amount invested in real estate will be lower.

Per the terms of the Advisory Agreement, we are required to reimburse the Advisor for certain organization and offering expenses up to 2% of equity raised. As of March 31, 2005, Wells REIT II has incurred and charged to additional paid-in capital approximately \$20.5 million in organization and offering costs. This amount represents 2% of gross offering proceeds raised. As of March 31, 2005, the Advisor had incurred approximately \$22.4 million in such costs. The organization and offering costs incurred by the Advisor and not yet reimbursed by Wells REIT II, which are approximately \$1.9 million, will become payable to the Advisor as Wells REIT II raises additional proceeds as outlined above.



## Results of Operations

### *Overview*

Our results of operations are not indicative of those expected in future periods as we expect that rental income, tenant reimbursements, depreciation expense, amortization expense, operating expenses, asset management fees, and net income will each increase in future periods as a result of owning the assets acquired during the quarter ended March 31, 2005 for an entire period and as a result of future acquisitions of real estate assets.

Wells REIT II commenced its initial public offering on December 1, 2003. Following the receipt and acceptance of subscriptions for the minimum offering of \$2,500,000 (250,000 shares) on January 22, 2004, Wells REIT II acquired three real properties during the first quarter of 2004. During the remaining nine months of 2004 and first quarter of 2005, Wells REIT II invested in 18 additional properties bringing the total number of properties included in the portfolio to 21 as of March 31, 2005. Accordingly, the results of operations presented for the quarters ended March 31, 2005 and March 31, 2004 are not comparable.

### *Comparison of the three months ended March 31, 2005 vs. the three months ended March 31, 2004*

Rental income and tenant reimbursements increased from approximately \$0.9 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004, respectively, to approximately \$25.1 million and \$5.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005, respectively, primarily as a result of the growth in the portfolio during the latter three quarters of 2004 and the first quarter of 2005. Interest and other income increased from approximately \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 to approximately \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005, primarily as a result of earning interest on additional investor proceeds raised during the latter three quarters of 2004 and the first quarter of 2005. Rental income and tenant reimbursements are expected to increase in future periods, as compared to historical periods, due to owning the assets acquired during the quarter ended March 31, 2005 for an entire period and future acquisitions of real estate assets. Future levels of interest income will be largely dependent upon the rate at which investor proceeds are raised and the timing and availability of future real estate assets acquisitions.

Property operating costs and asset and property management fees increased from approximately \$0.3 million and \$0.04 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004, respectively, to approximately \$7.9 million and \$2.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005, respectively, primarily as a result of the growth in the portfolio during the latter three quarters of 2004 and the first quarter of 2005. Property operating costs and asset and property management fees are expected to increase in future periods, as compared to historical periods, due to owning the assets acquired during the quarter ended March 31, 2005 for an entire period and future acquisitions of real estate assets.

Likewise, depreciation and amortization of deferred lease costs increased from approximately \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004, respectively, to approximately \$4.1 million and \$8.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005, respectively, primarily due to the continued acquisition of properties during the latter three quarters of 2004 and the first quarter of 2005. Amortization of deferred lease costs increased at a higher rate than depreciation primarily as a result of amortizing deferred lease costs over shorter periods (the respective lease terms), as compared to depreciating buildings over 40 years.

General and administrative expenses increased from approximately \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 to approximately \$2.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005, primarily due to an increase in administrative salary expense reimbursements related to owning a larger portfolio of real estate assets, costs associated with additional regulatory and reporting requirements, as well as an increase in

## Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

the number of stockholders in Wells REIT II during the first quarter of 2005, as compared to the first quarter of 2004. General and administrative expenses comprised approximately 7% and 62% of total revenues for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and March 31, 2004, respectively. In connection with the acquisition of additional real properties, we anticipate future general and administrative expenses to continue to increase as measured in gross dollars and continue to decrease as a percentage of total revenues as Wells REIT II achieves economies of scale with a larger portfolio.

Interest expense increased from approximately \$0.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004 to approximately \$5.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The additional interest expense incurred during 2005 relates to amounts borrowed in the form of new mortgage notes, mortgage notes assumed, or amounts drawn on the BOA Line of Credit in connection with real property acquisitions made during the latter three quarters of 2004 and the first quarter of 2005 and obligations created under capital leases during 2004. Future levels of interest expense will vary primarily based upon the amounts of future borrowings, the cost of borrowing, and the opportunity to acquire real estate assets consistent with our investment objectives. Further, while we anticipate investing future investor proceeds into acquisitions of real properties, or interests therein, the timing of such acquisitions is uncertain. Accordingly, the amounts of future borrowings will be largely dependent upon the level and timing of raising additional investor proceeds.

We recognized net income of approximately \$0.8 million for the first quarter of 2005, as compared to a net loss of approximately \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The net loss sustained for the first quarter of 2004 resulted primarily from incurring overhead-related general and administrative expenses and interest expense in excess of net operating income, as Wells REIT II commenced active operations in January 2004. Net operating income generated from properties outpaced property and portfolio expenses beginning in the fourth quarter of 2004, during which we recognized net income of approximately \$0.04 million. Net income per share increased to \$0.01 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 from a net loss per share of \$(0.43) for the three months ended March 31, 2004, primarily due to a higher increase in net operating income resulting from real property acquisitions than the increase in weighted-average shares outstanding resulting from the sale of Wells REIT II shares in the first quarter of 2005, as compared to the first quarter of 2004.

*Funds From Operations*

We believe that funds from operations ( FFO ) is a beneficial indicator of the performance of any equity REIT. Because FFO calculations exclude such factors as depreciation and amortization of real estate assets and gains or losses from sales of operating real estate assets (which can vary among owners of identical assets in similar conditions based on historical cost accounting and useful-life estimates), they facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and between other REITs. Our management believes that accounting for real estate assets in accordance with GAAP implicitly assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes predictably over time. Since real estate values have historically risen or fallen with market conditions, many industry investors and analysts have considered the presentation of operating results for real estate companies that use historical cost accounting to be insufficient by themselves. As a result, we believe that the use of FFO, together with the required GAAP presentations, provide a more complete understanding of our performance relative to our competitors and a more informed and appropriate basis on which to make decisions involving operating, financing, and investing activities. Other REITs may not define FFO in accordance with the current National Association of Real Estate Investment Trust s ( NAREIT ) definition (as we do) or may interpret the current NAREIT definition differently than we do.

FFO is a non-GAAP financial measure and does not represent net income as defined by GAAP. Net income as defined by GAAP is the most relevant measure in determining our operating performance, because FFO includes adjustments that investors may deem subjective, such as adding back expenses such as depreciation and amortization. Accordingly, FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance.

Our calculation of FFO, which excludes the cost of capital improvements and related capitalized interest, is presented in the following table (in thousands):

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2005	2004
Net income (loss)	\$ 810	\$ (1,007)
Add:		
Depreciation of real assets	4,059	131
Amortization of lease-related costs	8,360	114
<b>FFO</b>	<b>\$ 13,228</b>	<b>\$ (762)</b>
<b>Weighted-average shares outstanding</b>	<b>90,073</b>	<b>2,358</b>

## Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

Through the first quarter 2004, we previously reported the amortization of the fair values of in-place leases, including opportunity costs associated with lost rentals that are avoided by acquiring in-place leases and tenant relationships, as an adjustment to rental income in our consolidated statements of operations. Beginning with the second quarter 2004, we have presented this amortization as amortization expense in our consolidated statements of operations, and have reclassified such amortization from rental income to amortization expense for the periods previously presented. The period of amortization continues to be the term of the respective lease. This reclassification results in no change in net loss as previously reported, however, does increase Funds From Operations by approximately \$63,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2004. The primary purpose of this change is to more closely align our presentation of such costs with similar costs as classified by others in the real estate industry.

Set forth below is additional information (often considered in conjunction with FFO) that may be helpful in assessing our operating results:

In accordance with GAAP, we recognized straight-line rental revenue of approximately \$2.3 million and \$0.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The amortization of deferred financing costs in the accompanying consolidated statements of income totaled approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

The amortization of intangible lease assets and intangible lease liabilities recorded as a net decrease in revenues in the accompanying consolidated statements of income totaled approximately \$730,000 and \$34,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

### **REIT Qualification**

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and have operated as such beginning with our taxable year ended December 31, 2003. To qualify as a REIT, we must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, computed without regard to the dividends-paid deduction and by excluding our net capital gain to our stockholders. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to federal income tax on income that we distribute to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we will be subject to federal income taxes on our taxable income for four years following the year during which qualification is lost, unless the Internal Revenue Service grants us relief under certain statutory provisions. Such an event could materially adversely affect our net income and net cash available for distribution to stockholders. However, we believe that we are organized and operate in such a manner as to qualify for treatment as a REIT and intend to continue to operate in the foreseeable future so as to remain qualified as a REIT for federal income tax purposes. No provision for federal income taxes has been made in our accompanying consolidated financial statements, as we made distributions in excess of taxable income for the periods presented. We are subject to certain state and local taxes related to the operations of properties in certain locations, which have been provided for in our accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### **Inflation**

We are exposed to inflation risk as income from long-term leases is the primary source of our cash flows from operations. There are provisions in the majority of our tenant leases that would protect us from the impact of inflation. These provisions include rent steps, reimbursement billings for operating expense pass-through charges, real estate tax and insurance reimbursements on a-per square-foot basis, or in some cases, annual reimbursement of operating expenses above a certain per-square-foot allowance. However, due to the long-term nature of the leases, the leases may not re-set frequently enough to cover inflation.

### **Application of Critical Accounting Policies**

Our accounting policies have been established to conform with GAAP. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to use judgment in the application of accounting policies, including making estimates and assumptions. These judgments affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the dates of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting periods. If our judgment or interpretation of the facts and circumstances relating to various transactions had been different, it is possible that different accounting policies would have been applied, thus, resulting in a different presentation of the financial statements. Additionally, other companies may utilize different estimates that may impact comparability of our results of operations to those of companies in similar businesses.

### *Investment in Real Estate Assets*

## Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

We are required to make subjective assessments as to the useful lives of our depreciable assets. We consider the period of future benefit of the asset to determine the appropriate useful lives. These assessments have a direct impact on net income. The estimated useful lives of our assets by class are as follows:

Buildings	40 years
Building improvements	5-25 years
Land improvements	20-25 years
Tenant improvements	Lease term
Intangible lease assets	Lease term

#### *Allocation of Purchase Price of Acquired Assets*

Upon the acquisition of real properties, it is our policy to allocate the purchase price of properties to acquired tangible assets, consisting of land and building, and identified intangible assets and liabilities, consisting of the value of above-market and below-market leases, other value of in-place leases, and value of tenant relationships, based in each case on their fair values.

The fair values of the tangible assets of an acquired property (which includes land and building) are determined by valuing the property as if it were vacant, and the as-if-vacant value is then allocated to land and building based on our determination of the relative fair value of these assets. We determine the as-if-vacant fair value of a property using methods similar to those used by independent appraisers. Factors considered by us in performing these analyses include an estimate of carrying costs during the expected lease-up periods considering current market conditions and costs to execute similar leases. In estimating carrying costs, we include real estate taxes, insurance, and other operating expenses and estimates of lost rental revenue during the expected lease-up periods based on current market demand. We also estimate the cost to execute similar leases including leasing commissions, legal, and other related costs.

The fair values of above-market and below-market in-place lease values are recorded based on the present value (using an interest rate which reflects the risks associated with the leases acquired) of the difference between (i) the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases and (ii) our estimate of fair market lease rates for the corresponding in-place leases, measured over a period equal to the remaining noncancelable term of the lease. The above-market and below-market lease values are capitalized as intangible lease assets and liabilities and amortized as an adjustment of rental income over the remaining terms of the respective leases.

The fair values of in-place leases include direct costs associated with obtaining a new tenant, opportunity costs associated with lost rentals that are avoided by acquiring an in-place lease, and tenant relationships. Direct costs associated with obtaining a new tenant include commissions, tenant improvements, and other direct costs and are estimated based on management's consideration of current market costs to execute a similar lease. These direct costs are included in deferred lease costs in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and are amortized to expense over the remaining terms of the respective leases. The value of opportunity costs is calculated using the contractual amounts to be paid pursuant to the in-place leases over a market absorption period for a similar lease. Customer relationships are valued based on expected renewal of a lease or the likelihood of obtaining a particular tenant for other locations. These lease intangibles are included in intangible lease assets in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and are amortized to expense over the remaining terms of the respective leases. Prior to April 1, 2004, these lease intangibles were amortized as an adjustment to rental income rather than to expense. As such, the related amortization has been reclassified from an adjustment to rental income to expense in the consolidated statements of income for the three months ended March 31, 2004.

Estimates of the fair values of the tangible and intangible assets require us to estimate market lease rates, property operating expenses, carrying costs during lease-up periods, discount rates, market absorption periods, and the number of years the property is held for investment. The use of inappropriate estimates would result in an incorrect assessment of our purchase price allocations, which could impact the amount of our reported net income.

#### *Valuation of Real Estate Assets*

We continually monitor events and changes in circumstances that could indicate that the carrying amounts of the real estate and related intangible assets, both operating properties and properties under construction, in which we have an ownership interest, either directly or through investments in joint ventures, may not be recoverable. When indicators of potential impairment are present which indicate that the carrying amounts of real estate and related intangible assets may not be recoverable, we assess the recoverability of these assets by determining whether the carrying value will be recovered through the undiscounted future operating cash flows expected from the use of the asset and its eventual disposition. In the event that such expected undiscounted future cash flows do not exceed the carrying value, we adjust the real estate and related

intangible assets to the fair value and recognize an impairment loss.

Projections of expected future cash flows require that we estimate future market rental income amounts subsequent to the expiration of current lease agreements, property operating expenses, discount rates, the number of months it takes to re-lease the property and the number of years the property is held for investment, among other factors. The use of inappropriate assumptions in the future cash flow analysis would result in an incorrect assessment of the property's future cash flows and fair value, and could result in the misstatement of the carrying value of our real estate and related intangible assets and our net income. We have determined that there has been no impairment in the carrying value of real estate assets held by us or any unconsolidated joint ventures at March 31, 2005.



## Related-Party Transactions and Agreements

### *General*

See Note 5 to our consolidated financial statements contained in this Supplement No. 32 for a discussion of the various agreements with related parties.

### *Economic Dependency*

We have engaged the Advisor and its affiliates, Wells Management and WIS, to provide certain services that are essential to us, including asset management services, supervision of the management and leasing of properties owned by us, asset acquisition and disposition services, the sale of shares of our common stock, as well as other administrative responsibilities for us including accounting services, shareholder communications, and investor relations. These agreements are terminable by either party on 60 days' written notice. As a result of these relationships, we are dependent upon the Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS.

The Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS are all owned and controlled by Wells Real Estate Funds, Inc. ( WREF ). The operations of the Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS represent substantially all of the business of WREF. Accordingly, we focus on the financial condition of WREF when assessing the financial condition of the Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS. In the event that WREF were to become unable to meet its obligations as they become due, we might be required to find alternative service providers.

WREF's net income was approximately \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. Future net income generated by WREF will be largely dependent upon the amount of fees earned by the Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS based on, among other things, the level of investor proceeds raised from the sale of our common stock and the volume of future acquisitions and dispositions of real estate assets by Wells-sponsored programs. As of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, WREF held cash balances of approximately \$16.2 million and \$6.3 million, respectively. WREF believes that it has adequate liquidity available in the form of cash on hand and current receivables necessary to meet its obligations as they become due.

### *Litigation Against Related Parties*

During early 2004, a putative class action complaint was filed against, among others, Leo F. Wells, III, the president and a director of Wells REIT II, the Advisor, and Wells Management. The Court granted the plaintiffs' motion to permit voluntary dismissal of this suit, and it was dismissed without prejudice. In November 2004, the same plaintiffs filed a second putative class action complaint against, among others, Mr. Wells, the Advisor, and Wells Management. On January 28, 2005, the defendants filed motions to dismiss the plaintiffs' claims. The Court has not yet ruled on those motions. The details of both complaints are outlined below.

As a matter of background, on or about March 12, 2004, a putative class action complaint (the Original Complaint) was filed by four individuals (the plaintiffs) against Wells Real Estate Fund I ( Wells Fund I ), and Wells Fund I's general partners, the Advisor and Leo F. Wells, III, who is the president and a director of Wells REIT II, as well as Wells Management and WIS (*Hendry et al. v. Leo F. Wells, III et al.*, Superior Court of Gwinnett County, Georgia, Civil Action No. 04-A-2791 2). Wells Fund I is a public limited partnership. The plaintiffs filed the Original Complaint purportedly on behalf of all limited partners holding B units of Wells Fund I as of January 15, 2003. The Original Complaint alleged,

## Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

among other things, that (a) the general partners, WIS, and Wells Fund I negligently and fraudulently made false statements and material omissions in connection with the initial sale (September 6, 1984 - September 5, 1986) of the B units to investors of Wells Fund I by making false statements and omissions in sales literature relating to the distribution of net sale proceeds to holders of B units, among other things; (b) the general partners and Wells Fund I negligently and fraudulently misrepresented and concealed disclosure of, among other things, alleged discrepancies between such statements and provisions in the partnership agreement for a period of time in order to delay such investors from taking any legal, equitable, or other action to protect their investments in Wells Fund I, among other reasons; (c) Mr. Wells and Wells Management breached an alleged contract arising out of a June 2000 consent solicitation to the limited partners; and (d) the general partners and Wells Fund I breached fiduciary duties to the limited partners. On June 3, 2004, the Court granted the plaintiffs' motion to permit voluntary dismissal, and the Original Complaint was dismissed without prejudice.

On or about November 24, 2004, the plaintiffs filed a second putative class action complaint (the *Complaint*) against Mr. Wells, the Advisor, Wells Management, and Wells Fund I (*Hendry et al. v. Leo F. Wells, III et al.*, Superior Court of Gwinnett County, Georgia, Civil Action No. 04A-13051-6). The plaintiffs filed the *Complaint* purportedly on behalf of all limited partners holding B units of Wells Fund I as of January 9, 2002. The *Complaint* alleges, among other things, that the general partners breached their fiduciary duties to the limited partners by, among other things: (a) failing to timely disclose alleged inconsistencies between sales

literature and the partnership agreement relating to the distribution of net sale proceeds; (b) engaging in a scheme to fraudulently conceal alleged inconsistencies between sales literature and the partnership agreement relating to the distribution of net sale proceeds; and (c) not accepting a settlement offer proposed by a holder of A units and a holder of A and B units in other litigation naming Wells Fund I as a defendant, in which other litigation the court subsequently granted summary judgment in favor of Wells Fund I. The Complaint also alleges that misrepresentations and omissions in an April 2002 consent solicitation to the limited partners caused that consent solicitation to be materially misleading. In addition, the Complaint alleges, among other things, that the general partners and Wells Management breached an alleged contract arising out of a June 2000 consent solicitation to the limited partners relating to an alleged waiver of deferred management fees.

The plaintiffs seek, among other remedies, the following: judgment against the general partners of Wells Fund I, jointly and severally, in an amount to be proven at trial; punitive damages; disgorgement of fees earned by the general partners directly or through their affiliates; a declaration that the consent obtained as a result of an April 2002 consent solicitation is null and void; enforcement of an alleged contract arising out of the June 2000 consent solicitation to waive Wells Management's deferred management fees; and an award to plaintiffs of their attorneys fees, costs and expenses. The Complaint states that Wells Fund I is named only as a necessary party defendant and that the plaintiffs seek no money from or relief at the expense of Wells Fund I. On January 28, 2005, the defendants filed motions to dismiss the plaintiffs' claims. On March 31, 2005, the plaintiffs filed briefs in opposition to the defendants' motions to dismiss. The Court has not yet ruled on these pending motions. Due to the uncertainties inherent in the litigation process, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter at this time. However, an adverse outcome could adversely affect the ability of the Advisor, Wells Management, WIS, and Mr. Wells to fulfill their duties under the agreements and relationships they have with Wells REIT II.

### **Commitments and Contingencies**

We are subject to certain contingencies and commitments with regard to certain transactions. Refer to Notes 5 and 6 to our consolidated financial statements contained in this Supplement No. 32 for further explanation. Examples of such commitments and contingencies include:

Reimbursement of offering-related costs (Note 5);

Litigation against our Advisor and its affiliates (Note 5); and

Commitments under existing lease agreements (Note 6).

### **Conflicts of Interest**

The Advisor is also a general partner in or advisor to Wells Real Estate Investment Trust, Inc. ( Wells REIT ) and various public real estate limited partnerships sponsored by the Advisor. As such, there are conflicts of interest where the Advisor, while serving in the capacity as general partner or advisor for another Wells-sponsored program, may be in competition with us in connection with property acquisitions or for tenants in similar geographic markets. The compensation arrangements between the Advisor and these other Wells Real Estate Funds could influence its advice to us.

Additionally, certain members of our board of directors also serve on the board of Wells REIT and may encounter certain conflicts of interest regarding investment and operations decisions.

**Events Subsequent to March 31, 2005**

Subsequent to March 31, 2005, we sold additional shares of common stock as more fully explained in this Supplement No. 32 under the heading Status of the Offering. We also closed three acquisitions, that of the 5995 Opus Parkway Building, which we discussed in more detail in Supplement No. 29, that of the 215 Diehl Road Building, which we discussed in more detail in Supplement No. 30, and that of the 100 East Pratt Building, which we discuss in more detail earlier in this Supplement No. 32.

**FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

The information furnished in our accompanying consolidated balance sheets and consolidated statements of operations, stockholders' equity, and cash flows reflects all adjustments that are, in our opinion, necessary for a fair presentation of the aforementioned financial statements.

The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the notes to our financial statements and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this Supplement No. 32 and with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2005 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results expected for the full year.

## WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except for share and per share amounts)

	March 31, 2005 (unaudited)	December 31, 2004
<b>Assets:</b>		
Real estate assets, at cost:		
Land	\$ 159,096	\$ 152,399
Buildings and improvements, less accumulated depreciation of \$11,515 and \$7,456 as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	684,537	616,201
Intangible lease assets, less accumulated amortization of \$16,073 and \$9,453 as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	177,946	169,443
Construction in progress	503	447
<b>Total real estate assets</b>	<b>1,022,082</b>	938,490
Cash and cash equivalents	26,290	20,876
Tenant receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$579 and \$432 as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	9,294	7,500
Prepaid expenses and other assets	4,796	4,769
Deferred financing costs, less accumulated amortization of \$808 and \$311 as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	747	977
Deferred lease costs, less accumulated amortization of \$7,703 and \$4,685 as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	114,802	105,153
Investment in bonds	78,000	78,000
<b>Total assets</b>	<b>\$ 1,256,011</b>	<b>\$ 1,155,765</b>
<b>Liabilities and Stockholders Equity:</b>		
Line of credit and notes payable	\$ 258,828	\$ 350,505
Obligations under capital leases	78,000	78,000
Intangible lease liabilities, less accumulated amortization of \$1,263 and \$716 as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	31,049	24,074
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	9,584	11,664
Due to affiliates	2,130	9,823
Dividends payable	2,390	1,964
Deferred income	1,760	1,408
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<b>383,741</b>	477,438
<b>Minority Interest</b>	<b>1,220</b>	1,212
<b>Redeemable Common Stock</b>	<b>5,501</b>	
<b>Stockholders Equity:</b>		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 100,000,000 shares authorized, none outstanding		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 900,000,000 shares authorized, 102,470,183 and 79,132,494 shares issued and outstanding as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively	1,025	791

Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

Additional paid-in capital	<b>905,680</b>	680,886
Cumulative distributions in excess of earnings	<b>(35,655)</b>	(4,562)
Redeemable common stock	<b>(5,501)</b>	0
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total stockholders' equity	<b>865,549</b>	677,115
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<b>\$ 1,256,011</b>	\$ 1,155,765
	<hr/>	<hr/>

*See accompanying notes.*

## WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except for share and per share amounts)

	(unaudited)	
	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2005	2004
<b>Revenues:</b>		
Rental income	\$ 25,101	\$ 874
Tenant reimbursements	5,144	115
Interest and other income	1,311	61
	<u>31,556</u>	<u>1,050</u>
<b>Expenses:</b>		
Property operating costs	7,861	255
Asset and property management fees:		
Related party	2,040	25
Other	510	18
Depreciation	4,059	131
Amortization of deferred lease costs	8,360	114
General and administrative	2,121	612
Interest expense	5,765	908
	<u>30,716</u>	<u>2,063</u>
<b>Income (loss) before minority interest</b>	<b>840</b>	<b>(1,013)</b>
<b>Minority interest in earnings of consolidated subsidiaries</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>(6)</b>
<b>Net income (loss)</b>	<b>\$ 810</b>	<b>\$ (1,007)</b>
<b>Net income (loss) per share:</b>		
Basic and diluted	<u>\$ 0.01</u>	<u>\$ (0.43)</u>
<b>Weighted-average shares outstanding:</b>		
Basic and diluted	<u>90,073,384</u>	<u>2,357,638</u>

See accompanying notes.



## WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	(unaudited)	
	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2005	2004
<b>Cash Flows from Operating Activities:</b>		
Net income (loss)	\$ 810	\$ (1,007)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Minority interest in earnings of consolidated entities	30	(6)
Depreciation	4,059	131
Amortization	9,748	736
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Tenant receivables, net	(1,794)	(258)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	(4)	(30)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	(1,145)	836
Due to affiliates	(405)	
Deferred income	352	
Total adjustments	10,841	1,409
Net cash provided by operating activities	11,651	402
<b>Cash Flows from Investment Activities:</b>		
Investment in real estate and related assets	(92,967)	(65,092)
Earnest money paid	(2,600)	(3,200)
Additions to tenant improvement escrows	(7)	
Acquisition fees paid	(8,086)	(1,049)
Deferred lease costs paid	(20)	(7,587)
Net cash used in investing activities	(103,680)	(76,928)
<b>Cash Flows from Financing Activities:</b>		
Proceeds from line of credit and notes payable	55,195	72,649
Repayments of line of credit and notes payable	(147,032)	(34,859)
Dividends paid to stockholders	(12,901)	(87)
Distributions to minority interest partner	(22)	
Issuance of common stock	234,499	60,792
Commissions on stock sales and related dealer manager fees paid	(22,849)	(5,171)
Other offering costs paid	(8,083)	(1,049)
Redemptions of common stock	(1,096)	
Deferred financing costs paid	(268)	(1,059)
Net cash provided by financing activities	97,443	91,216
<b>Net increase in cash and cash equivalents</b>	<b>5,414</b>	<b>14,690</b>

Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	20,876	157
<b>Cash and cash equivalents, end of period</b>	<b>\$ 26,290</b>	<b>\$ 14,847</b>
<b>Supplemental Disclosures of Investing and Financing Non-Cash Activities</b>		
Acquisition fees applied to investments	\$ 4,032	\$ 775
Acquisition fees due to affiliate	\$ 351	\$ 166
Other offering costs due to affiliate	\$ 351	\$ 166
Assumption of obligation under capital lease and related bonds	\$	\$ 18,000
Investment in real estate and related assets with escrow accounts included in prepaid and other assets	\$ 179	\$
Dividends payable	\$ 2,390	\$ 60
Sales commissions payable	\$ 178	\$ 434
Dealer manager fees due to affiliate	\$	\$ 170
Redeemable common stock	\$ 5,501	\$ 49

*See accompanying notes.*

**CONDENSED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**MARCH 31, 2005 (unaudited)**

**1. Organization**

Wells Real Estate Investment Trust II, Inc. ( Wells REIT II ) is a Maryland corporation that engages in the acquisition and ownership of commercial real estate properties throughout the United States, including properties that are under construction, are newly constructed, or have operating histories. Wells REIT II was incorporated on July 3, 2003, commenced operations on January 22, 2004, and has elected to be taxed as a real estate investment trust ( REIT ) for federal income tax purposes, beginning with the taxable year ended December 31, 2003. Wells REIT II s business is primarily conducted through Wells Operating Partnership II, L.P. ( Wells OP II ), a Delaware limited partnership. Wells OP II was formed on July 3, 2003 to acquire, develop, own, lease, and operate real properties on behalf of Wells REIT II, directly, through wholly owned subsidiaries or through joint ventures. Wells REIT II is the sole general partner of Wells OP II and possesses full legal control and authority over the operations of Wells OP II. Wells Capital, Inc. (the Advisor ) is the sole limited partner of Wells OP II. References to Wells REIT II herein shall include all subsidiaries of Wells REIT II, Wells OP II, all subsidiaries of Wells OP II, and Wells OP II s consolidated joint venture. See Note 5 included herein for a further discussion of the Advisor.

As of March 31, 2005, Wells REIT II owned interests in 21 properties, either directly or through joint ventures, comprising approximately 5.8 million square feet of commercial office space located in 10 states and the District of Columbia. As of March 31, 2005, these properties were approximately 97% leased.

On December 1, 2003, Wells REIT II commenced its initial public offering of up to 785.0 million shares of common stock pursuant to a Registration Statement filed on Form S-11 under the Securities Act of 1933, with 185.0 million of those shares being reserved for issuance through Wells REIT II s dividend reinvestment plan. Through March 31, 2005, Wells REIT II had sold approximately 102.7 million shares for gross proceeds of approximately \$1.0 billion. Of this amount, Wells REIT II incurred costs of (1) approximately \$20.5 million in acquisition fees and expenses, (2) approximately \$97.5 million in selling commissions and dealer manager fees, and (3) approximately \$20.5 million in organization and offering costs to the Advisor, and redeemed shares of common stock pursuant to Wells REIT II s share redemption program of approximately \$1.8 million. With these net offering proceeds and indebtedness, Wells REIT II invested approximately \$1.1 billion in real estate assets through March 31, 2005.

Wells REIT II s stock is not listed on a public securities exchange. However, Wells REIT II s charter requires that, in the event that Wells REIT II s stock is not listed on a national securities exchange by October 2015, Wells REIT II must either seek stockholder approval for an extension or amendment of this listing deadline or stockholder approval to begin liquidating investments and distributing the resulting proceeds to the stockholders. In the event that Wells REIT II seeks stockholder approval for an extension or amendment to this listing date and does not obtain it, Wells REIT II will then be required to seek stockholder approval to liquidate. In this circumstance, if Wells REIT II seeks and does not obtain approval to liquidate, Wells REIT II will not be required to list or liquidate and could continue to operate indefinitely as an unlisted company.

**2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies**

*Basis of Presentation*

The consolidated financial statements of Wells REIT II have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X, and do not include all of the information and footnotes required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, the statements for these unaudited interim periods presented include all adjustments, which are of a normal and recurring nature, necessary for a fair presentation of the results for such periods. Results for these interim periods are not necessarily indicative of a full year's results. For further information, refer to the financial statements and footnotes included in Wells REIT II's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

### *Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets*

Prepaid expenses and other assets are primarily comprised of prepaid taxes, insurance and operating costs, escrow accounts held by our lenders to pay future real estate taxes, insurance and tenant improvements, earnest money paid in connection with future acquisitions and capitalized acquisition fees that have not yet been applied to investments in real estate assets. Prepaid expenses and other assets will be expensed as incurred or reclassified to other asset accounts upon being put into service in future periods. Balances without a future economic benefit are written off as they are identified.

### *Income Taxes*

Wells REIT II has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), beginning with its taxable period ended December 31, 2003. To qualify as a REIT, Wells REIT II must meet certain organizational and operational requirements, including a requirement to distribute at least 90% of its annual REIT taxable income, computed without regard to the dividends-paid deduction and by excluding net capital gains attributable to stockholders. As a REIT, Wells REIT II is generally not subject to federal income taxes. Accordingly, neither a provision nor benefit for federal income taxes has been recorded in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. However, Wells REIT II is subject to certain state and local taxes related to the operations of properties in certain locations, which have been provided for in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### *Stockholders' Equity*

The par value of investor proceeds raised from Wells REIT II's offering of common stock is classified as common stock, with the remainder allocated to additional paid-in capital.

As of March 31, 2005, Wells REIT II's share redemption program, as amended, provides that all redemptions during any calendar year, including those upon death or qualifying disability, are limited to those that can be funded with proceeds raised in the current calendar year from Wells REIT II's dividend reinvestment plan. As the use of those proceeds for redemptions is outside of the control of Wells REIT II, they are considered to be temporary equity under Accounting Series Release No. 268, *Presentation in Financial Statements of Redeemable Preferred Stock*. Therefore, Wells REIT II has included an amount equal to proceeds from shares issued through Wells REIT II's dividend reinvestment plan in the current calendar year, less the amount of redemptions previously funded with such proceeds, as redeemable common stock in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of March 31, 2005.

### *Reclassifications*

Certain prior-period amounts, as reported, have been reclassified to conform with the current-period financial statement presentation including, among others, the reclassification described in the following paragraph.

Through the first quarter 2004, Wells REIT II reported the amortization of the fair values of in-place leases, including opportunity costs associated with lost rentals that are avoided by acquiring in-place leases and tenant relationships, as an adjustment to rental income in the consolidated statements of operations. In the second quarter 2004, Wells REIT II began presenting this amortization as amortization expense in its consolidated statements of operations and has reclassified such amortization from rental income to amortization expense for the three months

## Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

ended March 31, 2004. The period of amortization continues to be the term of the respective lease; therefore, the net losses previously reported are not impacted by this change.

### *Recent Accounting Pronouncement*

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standard ( SFAS ) No. 123-R, which replaces SFAS No. 123, *Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation*, and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, *Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees*. SFAS No. 123-R applies

to all transactions involving the issuance of equity securities, including, among others, common stock and stock options, in exchange for goods and services, including services provided by employees. SFAS No. 123-R requires Wells REIT II to recognize expenses for all stock options awarded to employees over the respective vesting periods. SFAS No. 123-R will become applicable to Wells REIT II effective January 1, 2006. To date, the fair value of options granted by Wells REIT II is \$0. The adoption of this statement is not expected to have a material effect on Wells REIT II's financial position or results of operations.

### 3. Real Estate Acquisitions

#### *Overview*

During the three months ended March 31, 2005, Wells REIT II acquired ownership interests in two properties for an aggregate purchase price of approximately \$95.0 million, exclusive of related closing costs and acquisition fees.

#### *180 Park Avenue 105 Building*

On March 14, 2005, Wells REIT II purchased a three-story office building (the 180 Park Avenue 105 Building) comprised of approximately 222,000 rentable square feet and located on approximately 26.6 acres of land at 180 Park Avenue in Florham Park, New Jersey, for a purchase price of approximately \$53.5 million, plus closing costs. Construction of the 180 Park Avenue 105 Building was completed in 2001. Approximately 72% of the 180 Park Avenue 105 Building is leased to Novartis Pharmaceuticals Corporation, and the remaining 28% is currently vacant.

#### *Governor's Pointe Buildings*

On March 17, 2005, Wells REIT II purchased a two-story office building comprised of approximately 78,000 rentable square feet and a five-story office building containing approximately 224,000 rentable square feet (collectively, the Governor's Pointe Buildings) located on a total of approximately 18.76 acres at 4241 Irwin Simpson Road and 8990 Duke Boulevard in Mason, Ohio. The purchase price of the Governor's Pointe Buildings was approximately \$41.5 million, plus closing costs. Construction of the two-story office building and five-story office building was completed in 1997 and 2003, respectively. Approximately 74% and 26% of the Governor's Pointe Buildings are leased to Community Insurance Company and Anthem Prescription Management, respectively.

### 4. Line of Credit and Notes Payable

As of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, Wells REIT II had the following indebtedness outstanding (in thousands):

<u>Facility</u>	March 31,	December 31,
	2005	2004

Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

Secured line of credit	\$ 0	\$ 115,350
Wildwood mortgage note	90,000	90,000
One West Fourth mortgage note	50,551	50,840
800 North Frederick mortgage note	46,400	46,400
Highland Landmark mortgage note	30,840	30,840
Finley Road and Opus Place mortgage note	17,237	17,075
9 Technology Drive Building mortgage note	23,800	
<b>Total line of credit and notes payable</b>	<b>\$ 258,828</b>	<b>\$ 350,505</b>

During the three months ended March 31, 2005, Wells REIT II had the following activity with respect to its line of credit and notes payable:

On January 21, 2005, Wells REIT II entered into a \$23.8 million interest-only promissory note with Transamerica Occidental Life Insurance Company (the 9 Technology Drive mortgage note ), the proceeds from which were used to repay amounts outstanding on the \$430 million credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. described below. The 9 Technology Drive mortgage note matures on February 1, 2008 and carries an annual fixed interest rate of 4.31%.



This note is secured by the 9 Technology Drive Building, which had a net book value of \$47.2 million as of December 31, 2004. Wells REIT II may prepay this facility beginning February 2006, subject to certain prepayment penalties.

On March 17, 2005, Wells REIT II drew approximately \$31.0 million down on its \$430.0 million credit facility with Bank of America, N.A. (the BOA Line of Credit ) in order to fund the acquisition of the Governor s Pointe Buildings. During the first quarter of 2005, Wells REIT II repaid principal on the BOA Line of Credit of approximately \$115.4 million using proceeds from the 9 Technology Drive mortgage note of \$23.8 million and investor proceeds of approximately \$91.6 million. Borrowings under the BOA Line of Credit bear interest at LIBOR plus 225 basis points, or the base rate plus 50 basis points, at the option of Wells REIT II. The base rate for any day is the higher of the Federal Funds Rate for such day, plus 50 basis points, or the prime rate established by Bank of America for that day. Under the terms of the BOA Line of Credit, Wells REIT II is required to repay outstanding principal and accrued interest by May 10, 2005 (see Note 7. Subsequent Events ). Wells REIT II may borrow up to 60% of the aggregate cost of the subset of lender-approved properties with an aggregate average occupancy rate of 85% (the Borrowing Base ). Based on the value of the Borrowing Base, as of March 31, 2005, borrowings of approximately \$307.6 million were available to Wells REIT II under the BOA Line of Credit. Borrowings on the credit facility were secured by mortgages on all lender-approved properties.

## 5. Related-Party Transactions

### *Advisory Agreement*

Wells REIT II has entered into an advisory agreement (the Advisory Agreement ) with the Advisor, which entitles the Advisor to earn specified fees upon the completion of certain services. The Advisory Agreement has a one-year term; however, either party may terminate the Advisory Agreement upon 60 days written notice. If initiating termination of the Advisory Agreement, Wells REIT II would be obligated to pay all unpaid earned fees and reimbursements of expenses to the Advisor. The Advisory Agreement expires on November 1, 2005.

Under the terms of the Advisory Agreement, the Advisor receives the following fees and reimbursements:

Reimbursement of organization and offering costs paid by the Advisor on behalf of Wells REIT II, not to exceed 2.0% of gross offering proceeds;

Acquisition fees of 2.0% of gross offering proceeds, subject to certain limitations; Wells REIT II also reimburses the Advisor for expenses it pays to third parties in connection with acquisitions or potential acquisitions;

Monthly asset management fees equal to one-twelfth of 0.75% of the cost of (1) all properties of Wells REIT II and (2) investments in joint ventures. The amount of these fees paid in any calendar quarter may not exceed 1.0% of the net asset value of those investments at each quarter-end after deducting debt used to acquire or refinance properties;

Reimbursement for all costs and expenses the Advisor incurs in fulfilling its duties as the asset portfolio manager, including wages and salaries and other employee-related expenses of the Advisor s employees, which perform a full range of real estate services for Wells REIT II, including management, administration, operations, and marketing, and are billed to Wells REIT II based on the amount of time spent on Wells REIT II by such personnel, provided that such expenses are not reimbursed if incurred in connection with services for which the Advisor receives a disposition fee (described below) or an acquisition fee. Included in this amount are amounts paid for IRA custodial service costs allocated to REIT II accounts;

Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

For any property sold by Wells REIT II, a disposition fee equal to 3.0% of the sales price, with the limitation that the total real estate commissions (including such disposition fee) for any Wells REIT II property sold may not exceed the lesser of (i) 6.0% of the sales price of each property or (ii) the level of real estate commissions customarily charged in light of the size, type, and location of the property;

Incentive fee of 10% of net sales proceeds remaining after stockholders have received distributions equal to the sum of the stockholders' invested capital plus an 8% return of invested capital; and

Listing fee of 10% of the excess by which the market value of the stock plus dividends paid prior to listing exceeds the sum of 100% of the invested capital plus an 8% return on invested capital.

As of March 31, 2005, Wells REIT II has incurred and charged to additional paid-in capital approximately \$20.5 million in organization and offering costs. This amount represents 2% of gross offering proceeds raised. As of March 31, 2005, the Advisor had incurred approximately \$22.4 million in such costs. The organization and offering costs incurred by the Advisor and not yet reimbursed by Wells REIT II, which are approximately \$1.9 million, will become payable to the Advisor as Wells REIT II raises additional proceeds as outlined above.

Acquisition fees incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 totaled approximately \$4.7 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. Asset management fees incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 totaled approximately \$2.6 million and \$0.03 million, respectively. Administrative reimbursements incurred for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004 totaled approximately \$0.5 million and \$0.05 million, respectively, and are included in general and administrative expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Wells REIT II incurred no disposition, incentive, or listing fees during the three months ended March 31, 2005 or 2004.

#### *Dealer Manager Agreement*

Wells REIT II has executed a Dealer Manager Agreement with Wells Investment Securities, Inc. ( "WIS" ), whereby WIS, an affiliate of the Advisor, performs the dealer manager function for Wells REIT II. For these services, WIS earns a fee of up to 7% of the gross offering proceeds from the sale of the shares of Wells REIT II, of which substantially all is re-allowed to participating broker-dealers. During the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, Wells REIT II incurred commissions of \$16.4 million and \$4.3 million, respectively, of which more than 99% was re-allowed to participating broker-dealers.

Additionally, Wells REIT II is required to pay WIS a dealer manager fee of up to 2.5% of the gross offering proceeds from the sale of Wells REIT II's stock at the time the shares are sold. Under the dealer manager agreement, up to 1.5% of the gross offering proceeds may be reallowed by WIS to participating broker-dealers, and some of the fees may be reduced for certain classes of purchasers or for purchasers under the dividend reinvestment plan. Wells REIT II incurred dealer manager fees of approximately \$5.9 million and \$1.5 million, of which approximately \$2.9 million and \$0.7 million were re-allowed to participating broker-dealers, for the three months ended March 31, 2005 and 2004, respectively.

#### *Property Management, Leasing, and Construction Agreement*

On November 24, 2004, Wells REIT II entered into a Master Property Management, Leasing, and Construction Agreement (the "Management Agreement" ) with Wells Management Company, Inc. ( "Wells Management" ), an affiliate of the Advisor. In consideration for supervising the management, leasing, and construction of certain Wells REIT II properties, Wells REIT II will pay the following fees to Wells Management in accordance with the terms of the Management Agreement:

Property management fees in an amount equal to a percentage negotiated for each property managed by Wells Management of the gross monthly income collected for that property for the preceding month;

Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

Leasing commissions for new, renewal, or expansion leases entered into by any property for which Wells Management serves as leasing agent equal to a percentage as negotiated for that property of the total base rental and operating expenses actually to be paid to Wells REIT II during the applicable term of the lease, provided however, that no commission shall be payable as to any portion of such term beyond ten years;

Initial lease-up fees for newly constructed properties under the agreement, generally paid equal to one month's rent;

Fees equal to a specified percentage of up to 5% of all construction build-out funded by Wells REIT II, given as a leasing concession, and overseen by Wells Management.

Other fees as negotiated with the addition of each specific property covered under the agreement.

Pursuant to the agreement described above, Wells REIT II incurred property management fees payable to Wells Management of approximately \$12,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

*Due to Affiliates*

The details of amounts due to affiliates as of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, respectively, are provided below (in thousands):

	March 31, 2005	December 31, 2004
Asset management fees due to the Advisor	\$ 975	\$ 1,555
Organization and offering cost reimbursements due to the Advisor	351	3,759
Acquisition fees due to the Advisor	351	3,759
Commissions and dealer manager fees due to WIS	178	651
Other salary and administrative reimbursements due to the Advisor	275	99
	<b>\$ 2,130</b>	<b>\$ 9,823</b>

*Economic Dependency*

Wells REIT II has engaged the Advisor and its affiliates, Wells Management and WIS, to provide certain services that are essential to Wells REIT II, including asset management services, supervision of the management and leasing of properties owned by Wells REIT II, asset acquisition and disposition services, the sale of shares of Wells REIT II common stock, as well as other administrative responsibilities for Wells REIT II including accounting services, shareholder communications, and investor relations. These agreements are terminable by either party on 60 days' written notice. As a result of these relationships, Wells REIT II is dependent upon the Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS.

The Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS are all owned and controlled by Wells Real Estate Funds, Inc. ( WREF ). The operations of the Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS represent substantially all of the business of WREF. Accordingly, Wells REIT II focuses on the financial condition of WREF when assessing the financial condition of the Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS. In the event that WREF were to become unable to meet its obligations as they become due, Wells REIT II might be required to find alternative service providers.

## Edgar Filing: WELLS REAL ESTATE INVESTMENT TRUST II INC - Form 424B3

WREF's net income was approximately \$5.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. Future net income generated by WREF will be largely dependent upon the amount of fees earned by the Advisor, Wells Management, and WIS based on, among other things, the level of investor proceeds raised from the sale of Wells REIT II's common stock and the volume of future acquisitions and dispositions of real estate assets by Wells-sponsored programs. As of March 31, 2005 and December 31, 2004, WREF held cash balances of approximately \$16.2 million and \$6.3 million, respectively. WREF believes that it has adequate liquidity available in the form of cash on hand and current receivables necessary to meet its obligations as they become due.

*Litigation Against Related Parties*

During early 2004, a putative class action complaint was filed against, among others, Leo F. Wells, III, the president and a director of Wells REIT II, the Advisor, and Wells Management. The Court granted the plaintiffs' motion to permit voluntary dismissal of this suit, and it was dismissed without prejudice. In November 2004, the same plaintiffs filed a second putative class action complaint against, among others, Mr. Wells, the Advisor, and Wells Management. On January 28, 2005, the defendants filed motions to dismiss the plaintiffs' claims. The Court has not yet ruled on those motions. The details of both complaints are outlined below.

As a matter of background, on or about March 12, 2004, a putative class action complaint (the Original Complaint) was filed by four individuals (the plaintiffs) against Wells Real Estate Fund I (Wells Fund I), and Wells Fund I's general partners, the Advisor and Leo F. Wells, III, who is the president and a director of Wells REIT II, as well as Wells Management and WIS (*Hendry et al. v. Leo F. Wells, III et al.*, Superior Court of Gwinnett County, Georgia, Civil Action No. 04-A-2791 2). Wells Fund I is a public limited partnership. The plaintiffs filed the Original Complaint purportedly on behalf of all limited partners holding B units of Wells Fund I as of January 15, 2003. The Original Complaint alleged, among other things, that (a) the general partners, WIS, and Wells Fund I negligently and fraudulently made false statements and material omissions in connection with the initial sale (September 6, 1984 - September 5, 1986) of the B units to investors of Wells Fund I by making false statements and omissions in sales literature relating to the distribution of net sale proceeds to holders of B units, among other things; (b) the general partners and Wells Fund I negligently and fraudulently misrepresented and concealed disclosure of, among other things, alleged discrepancies between such statements and provisions in the partnership agreement for a period of time in order to delay such investors from taking any legal, equitable, or other action to protect their investments in Wells Fund I, among other reasons; (c) Mr. Wells and Wells Management breached an alleged contract arising out of a June 2000 consent solicitation to the limited partners; and (d) the general partners and Wells Fund I breached fiduciary duties to the limited partners. On June 3, 2004, the Court granted the plaintiffs' motion to permit voluntary dismissal, and the Original Complaint was dismissed without prejudice.

On or about November 24, 2004, the plaintiffs filed a second putative class action complaint (the Complaint) against Mr. Wells, the Advisor, Wells Management, and Wells Fund I (*Hendry et al. v. Leo F. Wells, III et al.*, Superior Court of Gwinnett County, Georgia, Civil Action No. 04A-13051 6). The plaintiffs filed the Complaint purportedly on behalf of all limited partners holding B units of Wells Fund I as of January 9, 2002. The Complaint alleges, among other things, that the general partners breached their fiduciary duties to the limited partners by, among other things, (a) failing to timely disclose alleged inconsistencies between sales literature and the partnership agreement relating to the distribution of net sale proceeds; (b) engaging in a scheme to fraudulently conceal alleged inconsistencies between sales literature and the partnership agreement relating to the distribution of net sale proceeds; and (c) not accepting a settlement offer proposed by a holder of A units and a holder of A and B units in other litigation naming Wells Fund I as a defendant, in which other litigation the court subsequently granted summary judgment in favor of Wells Fund I. The Complaint also alleges that misrepresentations and omissions in an April 2002 consent solicitation to the limited partners caused that consent solicitation to be materially misleading. In addition, the Complaint alleges, among other things, that the general partners and Wells Management breached an alleged contract arising out of a June 2000 consent solicitation to the limited partners relating to an alleged waiver of deferred management fees.

The plaintiffs seek, among other remedies, the following: judgment against the general partners of Wells Fund I, jointly and severally, in an amount to be proven at trial; punitive damages; disgorgement of fees earned by the general partners directly or through their affiliates; a declaration that the consent obtained as a result of an April 2002 consent solicitation is null and void; enforcement of an alleged contract arising out of the June 2000 consent solicitation to waive Wells Management's deferred management fees; and an award to plaintiffs of their attorneys' fees, costs and expenses. The Complaint states that Wells Fund I is named only as a necessary party defendant and that the plaintiffs seek no money from or relief at the expense of Wells Fund I. On January 28, 2005, the defendants filed motions to dismiss the plaintiffs' claims. On March 31, 2005, the plaintiffs filed briefs in opposition to the defendants' motions to dismiss. The Court has not yet ruled on these pending motions. Due to the uncertainties

inherent in the litigation process, it is not possible to predict the ultimate outcome of this matter at this time. However, an adverse outcome could adversely affect the ability of the Advisor, Wells Management, WIS, and Mr. Wells to fulfill their duties under the agreements and relationships they have with Wells REIT II.

## 6. Commitments and Contingencies

### *Commitments Under Existing Lease Agreements*

Certain lease agreements include provisions that, at the option of the tenant, may obligate Wells REIT II to expend certain amounts of capital to expand an existing property or provide other expenditures for the benefit of the tenant, in favor of additional rental revenue. As of March 31, 2005, no tenants have exercised such options.

### *Litigation*

Wells REIT II is from time to time a party to legal proceedings, which arise in the ordinary course of its business. Wells REIT II is not currently involved in any litigation the outcome of which would have, in management's judgment based on information currently available, a material adverse effect on the results of operations or financial condition of Wells REIT II, nor is management aware of any such litigation threatened against Wells REIT II (see Note 5 regarding litigation against related parties).

## 7. Events Subsequent to March 31, 2005 through May 6, 2005 (the date of filing of Wells REIT II's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q)

### *Sale of Shares of Common Stock*

From April 1, 2005 through April 30, 2005, Wells REIT II had raised approximately \$83.4 million through the issuance of approximately 8.3 million shares of common stock of Wells REIT II. As of April 30, 2005, approximately 489.0 million shares remained available for sale to the public under Wells REIT II's ongoing public offering, exclusive of shares available under Wells REIT II's dividend reinvestment plan.

### *5995 Opus Parkway Building Acquisition*

On April 5, 2005, Wells REIT II purchased a five-story office building containing approximately 165,000 rentable square feet (the 5995 Opus Parkway Building) located on an approximate 8.9-acre parcel of land at 5909/5995 Opus Parkway in Minnetonka, Minnesota, for a purchase price of approximately \$22.7 million, plus closing costs. Construction of the 5995 Opus Parkway Building was completed in 1988. Approximately 62%, 19%, and 18% of the 5995 Opus Parkway Building is leased to G&K Services, Inc., Opus Corporation, and Virtual Radiological Consultants, LLC, respectively. Approximately 1% of the 5995 Opus Parkway Building is currently vacant.



*215 Diehl Road Building Acquisition*

On April 19, 2005, Wells REIT II purchased a four-story office building containing approximately 162,000 rentable square feet (the 215 Diehl Road Building ) located on an approximate 7.5-acre parcel of land at 215 Diehl Road in Naperville, Illinois, for a gross purchase price of \$27.6 million, plus closing costs. Construction of the 215 Diehl Road Building was completed in 1998. ConAgra Foods, Inc. leases 100% of the 215 Diehl Road Building and is one of North America's leading packaged-food companies, serving grocery retailers, as well as restaurants and foodservice establishments.

*Property Under Contract*

On April 4, 2005, Wells REIT II entered into a purchase and sale agreement to purchase all of the seller's interest in a 28-story office building containing approximately 656,000 rentable square feet (the 100 East Pratt Street Building ) located in Baltimore, Maryland, for a gross purchase price of \$207.5 million, plus closing costs. In connection with the execution of the Agreement, Wells REIT II paid a nonrefundable deposit of \$6.0 million to an escrow agent, which will be applied to the purchase price.