

RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST
Form 10-Q
August 06, 2010

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-10093

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

MARYLAND
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

13-6908486
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

31500 Northwestern Highway
Farmington Hills, Michigan
(Address of principal executive offices)

48334
(Zip code)

248-350-9900

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definition of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer Smaller reporting company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act)
Yes No

Number of common shares of beneficial interest (\$0.01 par value) of the registrant outstanding as of August 2, 2010: 37,946,541

INDEX

Page No.

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

| | | |
|----------------|--|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Condensed Financial Statements</u> <u>Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets – June 30, 2010</u> <u>(Unaudited)</u> <u>and December 31, 2009</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations and</u> <u>Comprehensive Income -</u> <u>Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u> <u>(Unaudited)</u> | 4 |
| | <u>Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows – Six Months</u> <u>Ended</u> <u>June 30, 2010 and 2009 (Unaudited)</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition</u> <u>and</u> <u>Results of Operations</u> | 21 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 36 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 37 |

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

| | | |
|-----------------|--------------------------|----|
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Exhibits</u> | 39 |

PART 1 - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Condensed Financial Statements

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|---|---|----------------------|
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | |
| ASSETS | | |
| Investment in real estate, net | \$ 825,840 | \$ 804,295 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 12,722 | 8,800 |
| Restricted cash | 5,949 | 3,838 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 30,245 | 31,900 |
| Notes receivable from unconsolidated entities | - | 12,566 |
| Equity investments in unconsolidated entities | 97,775 | 97,506 |
| Other assets, net | 38,280 | 39,052 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,010,811 | \$ 997,957 |
| LIABILITIES | | |
| Mortgages and notes payable | \$ 499,877 | \$ 552,551 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 26,364 | 26,440 |
| Distributions payable | 6,627 | 5,477 |
| Capital lease obligation | 6,784 | 6,924 |
| Total Liabilities | 539,652 | 591,392 |
| SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust ("RPT") shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common Shares of beneficial interest, par value \$0.01, 45,000 shares authorized; 37,947 and 30,907 issued and outstanding as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively | 379 | 309 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 562,384 | 486,731 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (916) | (2,149) |
| Cumulative distributions in excess of net income | (130,649) | (117,663) |
| Total RPT Shareholders' Equity | 431,198 | 367,228 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 39,961 | 39,337 |
| Total Shareholders' Equity | 471,159 | 406,565 |

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|--|----|-----------|----|---------|
| Total Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity | \$ | 1,010,811 | \$ | 997,957 |
|--|----|-----------|----|---------|

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| | For the Three Months Ended June 30, | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|--|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (Unaudited) | | | |
| | (In thousands, except per share amounts) | | | |
| REVENUES: | | | | |
| Minimum rents | \$ 20,411 | \$ 21,026 | \$ 40,923 | \$ 42,175 |
| Percentage rents | 143 | 27 | 216 | 253 |
| Recoveries from tenants | 7,522 | 7,873 | 15,297 | 16,898 |
| Other property income | 1,161 | 822 | 2,380 | 1,021 |
| Fees and management income | 1,134 | 1,497 | 2,255 | 2,626 |
| Total revenues | 30,371 | 31,245 | 61,071 | 62,973 |
| EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Real estate taxes | 4,466 | 4,564 | 8,961 | 9,141 |
| Recoverable operating expenses | 3,455 | 3,581 | 7,410 | 8,082 |
| Other property operating expenses | 1,136 | 550 | 2,157 | 1,529 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 7,556 | 7,823 | 15,318 | 15,561 |
| General and administrative | 4,615 | 4,666 | 8,598 | 8,646 |
| Total expenses | 21,228 | 21,184 | 42,444 | 42,959 |
| Income from continuing operations before other income and expenses | 9,143 | 10,061 | 18,627 | 20,014 |
| OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES: | | | | |
| Other income (expense) | (303) | 178 | (633) | 331 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | 499 | 53 | 499 | 401 |
| Earnings (loss) from unconsolidated entities | (167) | 337 | 700 | 857 |
| Interest expense | (8,892) | (7,904) | (17,626) | (16,008) |
| Impairment charge on unconsolidated joint ventures | - | - | (2,653) | - |
| Restructuring costs and other items | - | (836) | - | (1,216) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 280 | 1,889 | (1,086) | 4,379 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | | |
| Loss on sale of real estate | (2,050) | - | (2,050) | - |
| Income (loss) from operations | (32) | 75 | (19) | 215 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (2,082) | 75 | (2,069) | 215 |
| Net income (loss) | (1,802) | 1,964 | (3,155) | 4,594 |
| Less: Net (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 761 | (401) | 1,431 | (781) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ (1,041) | \$ 1,563 | \$ (1,724) | \$ 3,813 |
| Basic earnings per RPT common share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.20 |

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to RPT common shareholders | (0.06) | - | (0.06) | - |
| Net income (loss) attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.20 |
| Diluted earnings per RPT common share: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.20 |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to RPT common shareholders | (0.06) | - | (0.06) | - |
| Net income (loss) attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.20 |
| Basic weighted average common shares outstanding | 34,371 | 18,699 | 32,706 | 18,654 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 34,371 | 18,699 | 32,706 | 18,654 |
| AMOUNTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO RPT COMMON SHAREHOLDERS: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 893 | \$ 1,493 | \$ 198 | \$ 3,613 |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | (1,934) | 70 | (1,922) | 200 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,041) | \$ 1,563 | \$ (1,724) | \$ 3,813 |
| COMPREHENSIVE INCOME | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (1,802) | \$ 1,964 | \$ (3,155) | \$ 4,594 |
| Other comprehensive income (loss): | | | | |
| Gain on interest rate swaps | 846 | 542 | 1,336 | 700 |
| Comprehensive income (loss) | (956) | 2,506 | (1,819) | 5,294 |
| Comprehensive (income) loss attributable to noncontrolling interest | 700 | (475) | 1,328 | (876) |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to RPT | \$ (256) | \$ 2,031 | \$ (491) | \$ 4,418 |

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| | | For the Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|-----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------|
| | 2010 | | (Unaudited) (In thousands) | 2009 |
| Cash Flows from Operating Activities: | | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (3,155 |) | \$ | 4,594 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 15,318 | | | 15,561 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 1,175 | | | 345 |
| Gain on sale of real estate | (499 |) | | (401 |
| Impairment charge on unconsolidated joint ventures | 2,653 | | | - |
| Earnings from unconsolidated entities | (700 |) | | (857 |
| Discontinued operations | 19 | | | (215 |
| Distributions received from unconsolidated entities | 1,396 | | | 2,358 |
| Share-based compensation expense | 375 | | | 851 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities that (used) provided cash: | | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 2,431 | | | (609 |
| Other assets | 1,221 | | | 498 |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | (656 |) | | 4,223 |
| Net Cash Provided by Continuing Operating Activities | 19,578 | | | 26,348 |
| Operating Cash from Discontinued Operations | 18 | | | 323 |
| Loss on sale of Discontinued Operations | 2,050 | | | - |
| Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities | 21,646 | | | 26,671 |
| Cash Flows from Investing Activities: | | | | |
| Real estate developed or acquired, net of liabilities assumed | (14,854 |) | | (11,155 |
| Investment in and notes receivable from unconsolidated entities | (4,797 |) | | (3,110 |
| Proceeds from sale of real estate | 1,041 | | | 1,207 |
| Increase in restricted cash | (2,111 |) | | (311 |
| Net Cash Used in Continuing Investing Activities | (20,721 |) | | (13,369 |
| Investing Cash provided by Discontinued Operations | 797 | | | - |
| Net Cash Used in Investing Activities | (19,924 |) | | (13,369 |
| Cash Flows from Financing Activities: | | | | |
| Cash distributions to common shareholders | (10,109 |) | | (8,640 |
| Cash distributions to operating partnership unit holders | (950 |) | | (1,350 |
| Payment of deferred financing fees | (1,077 |) | | (180 |

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|---|------------|-----------|
| Distributions to noncontrolling partners | - | (54) |
| Repayment of mortgages and notes payable | (120,879) | (10,624) |
| Proceeds from mortgages and notes payable | 59,700 | 10,200 |
| Repayment of capital lease obligation | (140) | (131) |
| Net proceeds from issuance of common shares | 75,611 | - |
| Net Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities | 2,156 | (10,779) |
| Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents | 3,878 | 2,523 |
| Cash from Consolidated Variable Interest Entity | 44 | - |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period | 8,800 | 5,295 |
| Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period | \$ 12,722 | \$ 7,818 |

Supplemental Cash Flow Disclosure, including Non-Cash Activities:

| | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|
| Cash paid for interest (net of capitalized interest of \$864 and \$815 in 2010 and 2009, respectively) | \$ 14,967 | \$ 13,621 |
| Cash paid for federal income taxes | 3 | 341 |
| Increase in fair value of interest rate swaps | 1,336 | 700 |
| Reclassification of note receivable from joint venture | - | 7,680 |

See notes to consolidated condensed financial statements.

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Dollars in thousands)

1. Organization and Basis of Presentation

Organization

Ramco-Gershenson Properties Trust, together with its subsidiaries (the “Company”), is a real estate investment trust (“REIT”) engaged in the business of owning, developing, acquiring, managing and leasing community shopping centers, regional malls and single tenant retail properties. At June 30, 2010, the Company owned and managed a portfolio of 87 shopping centers, with approximately 19.8 million square feet of gross leaseable area (“GLA”), of which 15.3 million is owned by the Company or its joint ventures. The shopping centers are located in the Midwestern, Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States and are typically anchored by discount department stores, supermarkets or other national and regional retail chains. The Company’s credit risk, therefore, is concentrated in the retail industry.

The economic performance and value of the Company’s real estate assets are subject to all the risks associated with owning and operating real estate, including risks related to adverse changes in national, regional and local economic and market conditions. The economic condition of each of the Company’s markets may be dependent on one or more industries. An economic downturn in one of these industries may result in a business downturn for the Company’s tenants, and as a result, these tenants may fail to make rental payments, decline to extend leases upon expiration, delay lease commencements or declare bankruptcy.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying consolidated condensed financial statements include the accounts of the Company, its majority owned subsidiary, the Operating Partnership, Ramco-Gershenson Properties, L.P. (92.9% and 91.4% owned by the Company at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively), all wholly-owned subsidiaries, and all entities in which the Company has a controlling financial interest, including where the Company has been determined to be a primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity (“VIE”) or meets certain criteria of a sole general partner or managing member in accordance with the consolidation guidance of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”). Ramco-Gershenson, Inc. has elected to be a taxable REIT subsidiary for federal income tax purposes. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. The information furnished is unaudited and reflects all adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to reflect a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented, and all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. These Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements should be read in conjunction with the Company’s 2009 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications of prior period amounts have been made in the consolidated condensed financial statements in order to conform to the current presentation.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2009, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 167 (“SFAS 167”), “Amendments to FASB Interpretation No. 46(R)”, which was codified in ASU 2009-17. This standard amends guidance surrounding a company’s analysis to determine whether any of its variable interests constitute controlling financial interests in a

variable interest entity. This analysis identifies the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity as the enterprise that has both of the following characteristics; a) the power to direct the activities of a variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance, and b) the obligation to absorb losses of the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity or the right to receive benefits from the entity that could potentially be significant to the variable interest entity. Additionally, an enterprise is required to assess whether it has an implicit financial responsibility to ensure that a variable interest entity operates as designed when determining whether it has the power to direct the activities of the variable interest entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance. The new guidance also requires ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity. The guidance was effective for the first annual reporting period beginning after November 15, 2009.

The Company consolidated the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture prospectively, effective January 1, 2010. The consolidation of the variable interest entity did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. Refer to Note 5 of the notes to the consolidated condensed financial statements for more information on the consolidation of the variable interest entity.

In January 2010, the FASB updated ASC 820 "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures" with ASU 2010-06. The Update provides amendments and clarification to existing disclosure requirements in ASC 820 as follows:

- a) A reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers.
- b) A reporting entity should present gross information rather than net in its Level 3 fair value measurement reconciliation.
- c) A reporting entity should provide fair value measurement disclosures for each class of asset or liability. A class is often a subset of assets and liabilities within a line item in the statement of financial position. Judgment is required in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities.
- d) A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring Level 2 or Level 3 fair value measurements.

The new disclosure requirements and clarifications are effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the requirements in item b) above. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010. The Company adopted the provisions of this Update in the first quarter of 2010. The new and clarified disclosures did not have a material impact on the Company's financial position, results of operations, or cash flows. Refer to Note 8 of the notes to the consolidated condensed financial statements for more information on fair value measurements.

2. Accounts Receivable, Net

Accounts receivable includes \$17,946 and \$17,144 of unbilled straight-line rent receivables, net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$315 and \$360 at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

The Company provides for bad debt expense based upon the allowance method of accounting. The Company monitors the collectability of its accounts receivable (billed and unbilled, including straight-line) from specific tenants, and analyzes historical bad debts, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in tenant payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful accounts. When tenants are in bankruptcy, the Company makes estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition and post-petition claims. The ultimate resolution of these claims can be delayed for one year or longer. Accounts receivable in the accompanying balance sheets includes amounts billed to tenants and accrued expense recoveries due from tenants and is shown net of an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$4,030 and \$3,288 (including the amounts related to the allowance for straight-line rent receivables discussed above) at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

Other accounts receivable at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 includes \$1,294 and \$1,296, respectively, due from Atlantic Realty Trust ("Atlantic") for reimbursement of tax deficiencies, interest and other miscellaneous expenses related to the Internal Revenue Service's ("IRS") examination of the Company's taxable years ended December 31, 1991 through 1995. Under terms of the tax agreement the Company entered into with Atlantic (the "Tax Agreement"), Atlantic assumed all of the Company's liability for tax and interest arising out of that IRS examination. Effective June 30, 2006, Atlantic was merged into (acquired by) Kimco SI 1339, Inc. (formerly known as SI 1339, Inc.), a wholly owned subsidiary of Kimco Realty Corporation ("Kimco"), with Kimco SI 1339, Inc. continuing as the surviving corporation. By way of the merger, Kimco SI 1339, Inc. acquired Atlantic's assets, subject to its liabilities, including its obligations to the Company under the Tax Agreement.

3. Investment in Real Estate, Net

Investment in real estate consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Land | \$ 102,641 | \$ 99,147 |
| Buildings and improvements | 819,849 | 818,142 |
| Land held for development | 98,541 | 69,936 |
| Construction in progress | 7,959 | 8,225 |
| | 1,028,990 | 995,450 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation and amortization | (203,150) | (191,155) |
| Investment in real estate, net | \$ 825,840 | \$ 804,295 |

Land held for development represents projects where vertical construction has yet to commence, but which have been identified by the Company and are available for future development if and when market conditions dictate the demand for a new shopping center. The increase in land held for development from December 31, 2009 to June 30, 2010 was primarily attributable to the consolidation of the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC variable interest entity. Refer to Note 5 of the notes to the consolidated condensed financial statements for information on the consolidation of the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture.

Construction in progress represents existing development and redevelopment projects. When projects are substantially complete and ready for its intended use, balances are transferred to land or buildings and improvements as appropriate.

4. Equity Investments in and Notes Receivable from Unconsolidated Entities

The combined condensed financial information for the Company's unconsolidated entities is summarized as follows:

Balance Sheets

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Investment in real estate, net | \$ 972,449 | \$ 1,010,216 |
| Other assets | 44,938 | 42,858 |
| Total Assets | \$ 1,017,387 | \$ 1,053,074 |
| LIABILITIES AND OWNERS' EQUITY | | |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$ 499,792 | \$ 537,732 |
| Other liabilities | 20,658 | 25,657 |
| Owners' equity | 496,937 | 489,685 |
| Total Liabilities and Owners' Equity | \$ 1,017,387 | \$ 1,053,074 |
| Company's equity investments in unconsolidated entities | \$ 97,775 | \$ 97,506 |
| Company's notes receivable from unconsolidated entities | \$ - | \$ 12,566 |

Statements of Operations

| | Three Months Ended | | Six Months Ended | |
|--|--------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | June 30, | | June 30, | |
| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | |
| TOTAL REVENUES | \$ 23,753 | \$ 24,802 | \$ 50,167 | \$ 50,287 |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 24,156 | 23,374 | 47,410 | 46,733 |
| Net income (loss) | \$ (403) | \$ 1,428 | \$ 2,757 | \$ 3,554 |
| Company's share of earnings (loss) from unconsolidated entities | \$ (167) | \$ 337 | \$ 700 | \$ 857 |

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had investments in the following unconsolidated entities:

| Entity Name | Ownership as of June 30, 2010 | Total Assets as of June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | Total Assets as of December 31, 2009 |
|---|----------------------------------|---|--|
| S-12 Associates | 50% | \$ 658 | \$ 644 |
| Ramco/West Acres LLC | 40% | 9,567 | 9,610 |
| Ramco/Shenandoah LLC | 40% | 15,235 | 15,164 |
| Ramco/Lion Venture LP | 30% | 530,639 | 534,348 |
| Ramco 450 Venture LLC | 20% | 358,966 | 364,347 |
| Ramco 191 LLC | 20% | 24,374 | 23,975 |
| Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC | 20% | - | 25,630 |
| Ramco HHF KL LLC | 7% | 51,198 | 50,991 |
| Ramco HHF NP LLC | 7% | 26,750 | 27,086 |
| Ramco Jacksonville North Industrial LLC | 5% | - | 1,279 |
| | | \$ 1,017,387 | \$ 1,053,074 |

In the second quarter 2010, the Company purchased its partner's 95% interest in the Ramco Jacksonville North Industrial LLC joint venture.

Effective January 1, 2010, the Company prospectively consolidated the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture that is developing Hartland Towne Square, in Hartland, Michigan. For additional information on the consolidation of the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture refer to Note 5 of the notes to the consolidated condensed financial statements.

In the first quarter 2010, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$2,653 resulting from other-than-temporary declines in the fair market value of various equity investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.

Debt

The Company's unconsolidated entities had the following debt outstanding at June 30, 2010 (unaudited):

| Entity Name | Balance Outstanding | Interest Rate | Maturity Date |
|-----------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|
| S-12 Associates | \$ 760 | 5.9% | May 2016 (1) |
| Ramco/West Acres LLC | 8,500 | 10.1% | April 2030 (2) |
| Ramco/Shenandoah LLC | 11,775 | 7.3% | February 2012 |
| Ramco/Lion Venture LP | 253,607 | 5.0% - 8.3% | Various (3) |
| Ramco 450 Venture LLC | 216,400 | 5.3% - 6.5% | Various (4) |
| Ramco 191 LLC | 8,750 | 1.8% | June 2012 |
| | \$ 499,792 | | |

(1) Interest rate resets annually per formula.

(2) Interest rate reset to 10.1% on April 1, 2010.

(3) Interest rates range from 5.0% to 8.3% with maturities ranging from October 2010 to June 2020.

(4) Interest rates range from 5.3% to 6.5% with maturities ranging from February 2011 to January 2018.

In June 2010, RLV Cypress Point LP, an entity in the Ramco/Lion Venture LP joint venture in which the Company has a 30% ownership interest, paid off its \$14,500 non-recourse loan. The Company's share of the debt was \$4,350.

At June 30, 2010, Merchants 450 LLC, an entity in the Ramco 450 Venture LLC joint venture in which the Company has a 20% ownership interest, was in default on its \$32,700 non-recourse loan. The joint venture has been in discussions with the lender to restructure the loan. Based upon the 20% interest in the entity, the Company's share of the debt was \$6,540 at June 30, 2010.

Joint Venture Management and Other Fee Income

Under the terms of agreements with certain joint ventures, the Company is the manager of the joint ventures and earns fees for acquisitions, development, management, leasing, and financing. The fees earned by the Company, which are reported in the consolidated statements of operations as fees and management income, are summarized as follows:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|------------------|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 (Unaudited) | 2009 | 2010 (Unaudited) | 2009 |
| Management fees | \$ 701 | \$ 712 | \$ 1,424 | \$ 1,441 |
| Leasing fees | 243 | 306 | 420 | 416 |
| Development fees | 61 | 150 | 161 | 271 |
| Financing fees | - | 58 | - | 68 |
| Total | \$ 1,005 | \$ 1,226 | \$ 2,005 | \$ 2,196 |

5. Consolidated Variable Interest Entity

The Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture was formed primarily to acquire certain land parcels and for a retail shopping center development called Hartland Towne Square, in Hartland Township, Michigan. The entity was

established with approximately \$3,100 of equity, of which 80% was contributed by an independent joint venture partner. The Company contributed the remaining 20%. In addition, at June 30, 2010, the Company had advanced a mezzanine loan of \$18,932. The Company is also the manager of the entity and is responsible for the development, leasing and management of the project.

The Company re-evaluated its interests in entities for the period beginning January 1, 2010 to determine if the interests are variable and that the entities are reflected properly in the financial statements as investments or consolidated entities. As a result of the qualitative and quantitative analysis performed, the Company determined that the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture is a variable interest entity and that the Company has a controlling financial interest in the variable interest entity.

During the first quarter 2010, a loan to the joint venture from a third party lender was reduced by \$3,900 to \$4,605. As a result of the reduction in third-party financing and additional costs incurred in development, the Company increased the mezzanine loan balance to the joint venture significantly to \$18,100 and became responsible for providing the substantial majority of the entity's capital. The combination of the reduction of the third party loan, the need for the Company to advance additional funds to the joint venture, and the inability of the entity to obtain additional independent construction or mezzanine financing, shifted the responsibility of financial control to the Company. The Company concluded that the joint venture entity met the criteria of a variable interest entity under the current accounting definition.

The Company also determined that it had the obligation to absorb losses that could potentially be significant through its equity interest and its mezzanine loan to the joint venture entity and the power to direct the significant activities of the entity, and was therefore the primary beneficiary of the variable interest entity. As the primary beneficiary, the Company was required to consolidate the joint venture entity in its consolidated financial statements. The Company consolidated the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture prospectively, effective January 1, 2010.

Included in consolidated balances after appropriate eliminations were amounts related to the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture VIE at June 30, 2010 as follows:

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Assets | |
| Investment in real estate, net | \$ 25,761 |
| Other assets | 142 |
| Total Assets | \$ 25,903 |
| Liabilities and Members' Equity | |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$ 4,605 |
| Other liabilities | 312 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 1,658 |
| Total Liabilities and Members' Equity | \$ 6,575 |

The mortgage note payable of \$4,605 at June 30, 2010, is non-recourse, subject to certain exceptions. Therefore, the lender would not have recourse to the general credit of the Company if any loan losses were to be incurred.

Investment in real estate of \$25,761 related to the consolidated VIE comprises approximately 2.5% of the Company's consolidated total assets at June 30, 2010. Mortgages and notes payable of \$4,605 and noncontrolling interest of \$1,658 related to the consolidated VIE comprise less than 1.0% of the Company's consolidated total debt and total equity, respectively at June 30, 2010.

6. Other Assets, Net

Other assets consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Deferred leasing costs | \$ 42,237 | \$ 40,922 |
| Deferred financing costs | 11,528 | 10,525 |
| Intangible assets | 5,433 | 5,836 |
| Other | 6,265 | 6,162 |
| | 65,463 | 63,445 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (40,953) | (37,766) |
| | 24,510 | 25,679 |
| Prepaid expenses and other | 13,770 | 13,373 |
| Other assets, net | \$ 38,280 | \$ 39,052 |

Intangible assets at June 30, 2010 included \$4,095 of lease origination costs and \$1,228 of above market leases. These assets are being amortized over the lives of the applicable leases as reductions to minimum rent revenue, as appropriate, over the initial terms of the respective leases.

At June 30, 2010 and 2009, \$1,152 and \$1,750, respectively, of intangible assets, net of accumulated amortization of \$4,171 and \$4,004, respectively, were included in other assets in the consolidated condensed balance sheets. Included in net intangible assets at June 30, 2010 and 2009, were approximately \$885 and \$1,361, respectively, of lease origination costs and \$267 and \$389, respectively, of above market leases. Included in accounts payable and accrued expenses at June 30, 2010 and 2009 were intangible liabilities related to below market leases of \$296 and \$623, respectively. The lease-related intangible assets and liabilities are being amortized or accreted over the terms of the acquired leases, which resulted in additional expense of approximately \$61 and \$61, respectively, and an increase in revenue of \$256 and \$83, respectively, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

The average amortization period for intangible assets attributable to lease origination costs and for above market leases is 5.3 years and 4.5 years, respectively.

Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization were \$7,870 at June 30, 2010, compared to \$8,056 at December 31, 2009. The Company recorded amortization of deferred financing costs of \$1,175 and \$345, respectively, during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. This amortization has been recorded as interest expense in the Company's consolidated condensed statements of operations.

The following table represents estimated future amortization expense related to other assets as of June 30, 2010 (unaudited):

Year Ending December 31,

| | |
|-----------------------------|----------|
| 2010 (July 1 - December 31) | \$ 3,694 |
| 2011 | 6,571 |
| 2012 | 5,626 |
| 2013 | 2,785 |
| 2014 | 1,909 |

| | | |
|------------|----|--------|
| Thereafter | | 3,925 |
| Total | \$ | 24,510 |

7. Mortgages and Notes Payable

Mortgages and notes payable consisted of the following:

| | June 30, 2010 (Unaudited) | December 31, 2009 |
|---|---------------------------------|----------------------|
| Fixed rate mortgages with interest rates ranging from 4.8% to 7.6%, due at various dates from November 2010 through April 2020 | \$ 359,045 | \$ 330,963 |
| Floating rate mortgages with interest rates ranging from 5.3% to 6.0%, due at various dates from June 2011 through December 2011 | 22,707 | 14,427 |
| Revolving Credit Facility, securing The Town Center at Aquia, with an interest rate at LIBOR plus 350 basis points with a 2.0% LIBOR floor, due December 2010. The effective rate at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was 5.5% | - | 20,000 |
| Secured Term Loan Facility, with an interest rate at LIBOR plus 350 basis points with a 2.0% LIBOR floor, due June 2011, maximum borrowings \$67,000. The effective rate at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was 6.6% and 6.5%, respectively | 30,000 | 67,000 |
| Secured Revolving Credit Facility, with an interest rate at LIBOR plus 350 basis points with a 2.0% LIBOR floor, due December 2012, maximum borrowings \$150,000. The effective rate at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was 6.6% and 5.5%, respectively | 60,000 | 92,036 |
| Junior subordinated notes, unsecured, due January 2038, with an interest rate fixed until January 2013 when the notes are redeemable or the interest rate becomes LIBOR plus 330 basis points. The effective rate at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was 7.9% | 28,125 | 28,125 |
| | \$ 499,877 | \$ 552,551 |

The mortgage notes, both fixed rate and floating rate, are secured by mortgages on properties that have an approximate net book value of \$473,475 as of June 30, 2010.

The Company has a \$180,000 secured credit facility, (the "Credit Facility") consisting of a \$150,000 secured revolving credit facility and a \$30,000 secured term loan facility. The Credit Facility provides that the secured revolving credit facility may be increased by up to \$50,000 at the Company's request, dependent upon there being one or more lenders willing to fund the additional commitments, for a total secured credit facility commitment of \$230,000. The secured

revolving credit facility matures in December 2012 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 350 basis points with a 2% LIBOR floor. The secured term loan facility matures in June 2011 and bears interest at LIBOR plus 350 basis points with a 2% LIBOR floor. The Credit Facility is secured by mortgages on various properties that have an approximate net book value of \$277,558 as of June 30, 2010.

The revolving credit facility secured by The Town Center at Aquia bears interest at LIBOR plus 350 basis points with a 2% LIBOR floor and matures in December 2010. The balance of this facility was zero at June 30, 2010. However, the Company retains availability under the facility but at a reduced commitment of \$4,050 dependent upon lender approval.

In May 2010, the Company completed an equity offering of 6.9 million common shares, which included 0.9 million shares purchased pursuant to an over-allotment option granted to the underwriters. The offering generated net proceeds of approximately \$75,600. The net proceeds from the offering were used to reduce the outstanding balance of its secured term loan facility by \$37,000, to pay off two fixed rate mortgages of \$15,800 in aggregate and to reduce outstanding borrowings under the secured revolving credit facility.

Also in May 2010, the Company closed on a \$14,700 loan secured by the newly-constructed office building occupied by Northrop Grumman at The Town Center at Aquia. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 5.8% and matures in June 2015. Net proceeds from the loan were used primarily to pay down Company's revolving lines of credit.

It is anticipated that funds borrowed under the aforementioned credit facilities will be used for general corporate purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, the repayment of indebtedness or other corporate activities.

At June 30, 2010, outstanding letters of credit issued under the Credit Facility, not reflected in the accompanying consolidated condensed balance sheets, were \$1,563. These letters of credit reduce the availability under the Credit Facility.

The Credit Facility contains financial covenants relating to total leverage, fixed charge coverage ratio, tangible net worth and various other calculations. As of June 30, 2010, the Company was in compliance with the covenant terms.

The mortgage loans encumbering the Company's properties, including properties held by its unconsolidated joint ventures, are generally non-recourse, subject to certain exceptions for which the Company would be liable for any resulting losses incurred by the lender. These exceptions vary from loan to loan but generally include fraud or a material misrepresentation, misstatement or omission by the borrower, intentional or grossly negligent conduct by the borrower that harms the property or results in a loss to the lender, filing of a bankruptcy petition by the borrower, either directly or indirectly, and certain environmental liabilities. In addition, upon the occurrence of certain events, such as fraud or filing of a bankruptcy petition by the borrower, the Company would be liable for the entire outstanding balance of the loan, all interest accrued thereon and certain other costs, including penalties and expenses. At June 30, 2010, the mortgage debt of \$11,000 at Peachtree Hill, a shopping center owned by Ramco 450 Venture LLC, a joint venture in which the Company has 20% ownership interest, is recourse debt. The loan is secured by unconditional guarantees of payment and performance by Ramco 450 Venture LLC, the Company, and the Operating Partnership.

We have entered into mortgage loans which are secured by multiple properties and contain cross-collateralization and cross-default provisions. Cross-collateralization provisions allow a lender to foreclose on multiple properties in the event that we default under the loan. Cross-default provisions allow a lender to foreclose on the related property in the event a default is declared under another loan.

Under terms of various debt agreements, the Company may be required to maintain interest rate swap agreements to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on its floating rate debt. The Company had interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$90,000 at June 30, 2010. Based on rates in effect at June 30, 2010, the agreements provide for fixed rates ranging from 6.4% to 6.7% and expire December 2010.

The following table presents scheduled principal payments on mortgages and notes payable as of June 30, 2010 (unaudited):

Year Ending December 31,

| | | |
|-----------------------------|----|---------|
| 2010 (July 1 - December 31) | \$ | 7,192 |
| 2011 | | 83,318 |
| 2012 | | 94,854 |
| 2013 | | 34,435 |
| 2014 | | 33,086 |
| Thereafter | | 246,992 |
| Total | \$ | 499,877 |

With respect to the various fixed rate mortgages due in 2010 and 2011, the Company is pursuing several options to repay or refinance these mortgages and notes payable, including, but not limited to using availability under the Company's secured revolving credit facility or using proceeds from the financings of unencumbered assets. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to refinance its debt on commercially reasonable or any other terms.

8. Fair Value

The Company utilizes fair value measurements to record fair value adjustments to certain assets and liabilities and to determine fair value disclosures. Derivative instruments (interest rate swaps) are recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Additionally, the Company, from time to time, may be required to record certain assets, such as impaired real estate assets, at fair value on a nonrecurring basis.

Fair Value Hierarchy

As required by accounting guidance for fair value measurements, the Company groups assets and liabilities at fair value in three levels, based on the markets in which the assets and liabilities are traded and the reliability of the assumptions used to determine fair value.

These levels are:

- Level 1 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for identical instruments traded in active markets.
- Level 2 Valuation is based upon quoted prices for similar instruments in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, and model-based valuation techniques for which all significant assumptions are observable in the market.
- Level 3 Valuation is generated from model-based techniques that use at least one significant assumption not observable in the market. These unobservable assumptions reflect estimates of assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability.

The following is a description of valuation methodologies used for the Company's assets and liabilities recorded at fair value.

Derivative Assets and Liabilities

All derivative instruments held by the Company are interest rate swaps for which quoted market prices are not readily available. For those derivatives, the Company measures fair value on a recurring basis using valuation models that use primarily market observable inputs, such as yield curves. The Company classifies derivative instruments as recurring Level 2. Refer to Note 9 of the notes to the consolidated condensed financial statements for additional information on the Company's derivative financial instruments.

Investments in Real Estate

The Company's investments in real estate, including any identifiable intangible assets, are subject to impairment testing on a nonrecurring basis. To estimate fair value, the company uses discounted cash flow models that include assumptions of the discount rates that market participants would use in pricing the asset. To the extent impairment has occurred, the Company charges to expense the excess of the carrying value of the property over its estimated fair value. The Company classifies impaired real estate assets as nonrecurring Level 3.

Equity Investments in Unconsolidated Entities

The Company's equity investments in unconsolidated joint venture entities are subject to impairment testing on a nonrecurring basis if a decline in the fair value of the investment below the carrying amount is determined to be a decline that is other-than-temporary. To estimate the fair value of properties held by unconsolidated entities, the

company uses cash flow models, discount rates, and capitalization rates based upon assumptions of the rates that market participants would use in pricing the asset. To the extent other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, the Company charges to expense the excess of the carrying value of the equity investment over its estimated fair value. The Company classifies other-than-temporarily impaired equity investments in unconsolidated entities as nonrecurring Level 3.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The table below presents the recorded amount of liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 (in thousands). The Company did not have any material assets that were required to be measured at fair value on a recurring basis at June 30, 2010.

| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------|------------|---------|
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Derivative liabilities (1) | (\$1,181) | \$- | (\$1,181) | \$- |

(1) Interest rate swaps.

Assets and Liabilities Recorded at Fair Value on a Nonrecurring Basis

The table below presents the recorded amount of assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2010 (in thousands). The Company did not have any material liabilities that were required to be measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis at June 30, 2010.

| | Total Fair Value | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
|---|---------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| Assets | | | | |
| Equity investments in unconsolidated entities (2) | \$78,789 | \$- | \$- | \$78,789 |

(2) Other-than-temporarily impaired equity investments in unconsolidated entities.

9. Derivative Financial Instruments

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$90,000. Under the terms of certain debt agreements, the Company is required to maintain interest rate swap agreements in an amount necessary to ensure that the Company's variable rate debt does not exceed 25% of its assets, as computed under the agreements, to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on its variable rate debt. Based on rates in effect at June 30, 2010, the agreements provide for fixed rates ranging from 6.4% to 6.7% on a portion of the Company's secured credit facility and expire December 2010.

On the date the Company enters into an interest rate swap agreement for risk management purposes, the derivative is designated as a hedge against the variability of cash flows that are to be paid in connection with a recognized liability. Subsequent changes in the fair value of a derivative designated as a cash flow hedge that is determined to be highly effective are recorded in other comprehensive income ("OCI") until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows of the hedged transaction. The differential between fixed and variable rates to be paid or received is accrued, as interest rates change, and recognized currently as interest expense in the consolidated condensed statements of operations. Refer to Note 8 of the notes to the consolidated condensed financial statements for additional information on the fair value measurement of the Company's derivative financial instruments.

The following table summarizes the notional values and fair values of the Company's derivative financial instruments as of June 30, 2010:

| Underlying Debt | Hedge Type | Notional Value | Fixed Rate | Fair Value | Expiration Date |
|-----------------|------------|----------------|------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Credit Facility | Cash Flow | \$ 20,000 | 6.4% | \$ (248) | 12/2010 |
| Credit Facility | Cash Flow | 10,000 | 6.6% | (130) | 12/2010 |
| Credit Facility | Cash Flow | 10,000 | 6.6% | (130) | 12/2010 |
| Credit Facility | Cash Flow | 10,000 | 6.6% | (123) | 12/2010 |
| Credit Facility | Cash Flow | 20,000 | 6.7% | (275) | 12/2010 |
| Credit Facility | Cash Flow | 20,000 | 6.7% | (275) | 12/2010 |
| | | \$ 90,000 | | \$ (1,181) | |

The following table presents the fair values of derivative financial instruments in the Company's consolidated condensed balance sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively:

| Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments | Liability Derivatives | | | |
|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|
| | June 30, 2010 | | December 31, 2009 | |
| | Balance Sheet Location | (Unaudited) Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Interest rate contracts | Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ (1,181) | Accounts payable and accrued expenses | \$ (2,517) |
| Total | | \$ (1,181) | | \$ (2,517) |

The effect of derivative financial instruments on the Company's consolidated condensed statements of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is summarized as follows:

| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationship | Amount of Gain (Loss) Recognized in OCI on Derivative (Effective Portion) | | Location of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | Amount of Gain (Loss) Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion) | |
|---|---|--------|---|---|-------------|
| | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | 2009 | | Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 | 2009 |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ 1,336 | \$ 700 | Interest Expense | \$ (1,541) | \$ (1,390) |
| Total | \$ 1,336 | \$ 700 | | \$ (1,541) | \$ (1,390) |

10. Earnings Per Common Share

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share ("EPS") (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|----------|------------------------------|----------|
| | 2010 (Unaudited) | 2009 | 2010 (Unaudited) | 2009 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations before noncontrolling interest | \$ 280 | \$ 1,889 | \$ (1,086) | \$ 4,379 |
| Noncontrolling interest from continuing operations | 613 | (396) | 1,284 | (766) |
| Income from continuing operations available to RPT common shareholders | 893 | 1,493 | 198 | 3,613 |
| Discontinued operations, net of noncontrolling interest: | | | | |
| Loss on sale of real estate | (1,905) | - | (1,905) | - |
| Income (loss) from operations | (29) | 70 | (17) | 200 |
| Net income (loss) available to RPT common shareholders | \$ (1,041) | \$ 1,563 | \$ (1,724) | \$ 3,813 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted-average common shares for basic EPS | 34,371 | 18,699 | 32,706 | 18,654 |
| Dilutive effect of securities: | | | | |
| Options outstanding | - | - | - | - |
| Weighted-average common shares for diluted EPS | 34,371 | 18,699 | 32,706 | 18,654 |
| Basic EPS: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.20 |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to RPT common shareholders | (0.06) | - | (0.06) | - |
| Net income (loss) attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.20 |
| Diluted EPS: | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ 0.03 | \$ 0.08 | \$ 0.01 | \$ 0.20 |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to RPT common shareholders | (0.06) | - | (0.06) | - |
| Net income (loss) attributable to RPT common shareholders | \$ (0.03) | \$ 0.08 | \$ (0.05) | \$ 0.20 |

11. Shareholders' Equity

Edgar Filing: RAMCO GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST - Form 10-Q

In May 2010, the Company completed an equity offering of 6.9 million common shares, which included 0.9 million shares purchased pursuant to an over-allotment option granted to the underwriters. The offering price was \$11.50 per common share (par value \$0.01 per share) generating net proceeds of approximately \$75,600. The net proceeds from the offering were used to reduce the outstanding balance of its secured term loan facility by \$37,000, to pay off two mortgages of \$15,800 in aggregate and to reduce outstanding borrowings under its secured revolving credit facility.

The following table presents a reconciliation of beginning and ending shareholders' equity, including amounts attributable to noncontrolling interests for the second quarter 2010:

| | Common Shares Par Value | Additional Paid-In Capital | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Cumulative Distributions in Excess of Net Income | Noncontrolling Interest in Subsidiaries | Total Shareholders' Equity |
|---|-------------------------------|----------------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------------------|
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 309 | 486,731 | (2,149) | (117,663) | 39,337 | 406,565 |
| Cash distributions declared | - | - | - | (11,180) | (948) | (12,128) |
| Restricted stock dividends | - | - | - | (82) | - | (82) |
| Share-based compensation expense | - | 112 | - | - | - | 112 |
| Issuance of common shares | 70 | 75,541 | - | - | - | 75,611 |
| Consolidation of variable interest entity | - | - | - | - | 2,900 | 2,900 |
| Net loss | - | - | - | (1,724) | (1,431) | (3,155) |
| Gain on interest rate swaps | - | - | 1,233 | - | 103 | 1,336 |
| Balance, June 30, 2010 | \$379 | \$562,384 | \$ (916) | \$ (130,649) | \$ 39,961 | \$ 471,159 |

12. Restructuring Costs and Other Items

The following table presents a summary of the charges recorded in restructuring costs and other items:

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------|---------------------|
| | 2010 (Unaudited) | 2009 (Unaudited) | 2010 (Unaudited) | 2009 (Unaudited) |
| Strategic review and proxy contest expenses | \$ - | \$ 836 | \$ - | \$ 836 |
| Restructuring expense | - | - | - | 380 |
| Total | \$ - | \$ 836 | \$ - | \$ 1,216 |

In 2009, the Company's Board of Trustees completed their review of financial and strategic alternatives. Also during 2009, the Company resolved a proxy contest. Costs incurred for the strategic review and proxy contest were \$836 for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009.

Restructuring expense included severance and other benefit-related costs related to employees who were terminated during the quarter ended March 31, 2009. The Company's liability for restructuring costs consisted of the following for the six months ended June 30, 2010:

| | 2010 |
|---|----------|
| Liability for restructuring costs at January 1, | \$ 1,112 |
| Restructuring expenses incurred during the period | - |
| Severance payments made to employees | (382) |
| Liability for restructuring costs at June 30, | \$ 730 |

13. Leases

Approximate future minimum revenues from rentals under noncancelable operating leases in effect at June 30, 2010, assuming no new or renegotiated leases or option extensions on lease agreements, were as follows (unaudited):

Year Ending December 31,

| | |
|-----------------------------|------------|
| 2010 (July 1 – December 31) | \$ 39,460 |
| 2011 | 75,947 |
| 2012 | 68,932 |
| 2013 | 59,461 |
| 2014 | 51,609 |
| Thereafter | 223,799 |
| Total | \$ 519,208 |

The Company has an operating lease for its corporate office space in Michigan for a term expiring in 2014. The Company also has operating leases for office space in Florida and land at one of its shopping centers. In addition, the Company has a capitalized ground lease. Total amounts expensed relating to these leases were \$799 and \$787 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Approximate future minimum rental payments under the Company's noncancelable office leases and land, assuming no options extensions, and a capital ground lease at one of its shopping centers, are as follows (unaudited):

| Year Ending December 31, | Operating Leases | Capital Lease |
|-------------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 2010 (July 1 – December 31) | \$453 | \$339 |
| 2011 | 916 | 677 |
| 2012 | 938 | 677 |
| 2013 | 961 | 677 |
| 2014 | 698 | 5,955 |
| Thereafter | 819 | 0 |
| Total minimum lease payments | 4,785 | 8,325 |
| Less: amounts representing interest | - | (1,541) |
| Total | \$4,785 | \$6,784 |

14. Commitments and Contingencies

Construction Costs

In connection with the development and redevelopment of various shopping centers, as of June 30, 2010 the Company had entered into agreements for construction costs of approximately \$1,455.

Litigation

We are currently involved in certain litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company believes that this litigation will not have a material adverse effect on its consolidated condensed financial statements.

Additionally, in December 2008, John Carlo, Inc. ("Carlo") filed a lawsuit against the Company and J. Raymond Construction Company ("JRCC") in the Circuit Court of the Fourth Judicial Circuit in Duval, Florida related to concrete and road work for a development project in Florida. In July 2009, Carlo transmitted a "Claim" submission to JRCC and the Company seeking additional compensation and damages for purported impacts to Carlo's work on the project.

In February 2009, JRCC and the Company each filed motions seeking the dismissal of all or portions of the litigation, which both remain pending.

In July 2010, the case was moved from the Circuit Court to the Business Court in Florida. On July 15, 2010, the Company filed a motion with the court for dismissal. A trial date has been set for September of 2011.

Management of the Company is currently unable to predict the outcome of this litigation proceeding, but believes the current status of the litigation proceeding against the Company and JRCC does not warrant accrual under the guidance of ASC 450-20 "Loss Contingencies", since the amount of any liability is neither probable nor reasonably estimable. As such, no amounts have been accrued in the financial statements. The Company intends to vigorously defend the claims asserted against the Company and JRCC and certain of its subsidiaries by Carlo and its affiliates.

15. Subsequent Events

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date that the consolidated condensed financial statements were issued.

In July 2010, RLV Village of Oriole Plaza LP, an entity in the Ramco/Lion Venture LP joint venture in which the Company has a 30% ownership interest, paid off a \$11,529 loan. The Company's share of the debt was \$3,459 at the time of the payoff.

On August 4, 2010, the Company obtained a letter of credit in the amount of \$3,060 for the benefit of one of its consolidated land held for development properties.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of the financial condition and results of operations should be read in conjunction with the consolidated condensed financial statements, including the respective notes thereto, which are included in this Form 10-Q.

Overview

We are a fully integrated, self-administered, publicly-traded REIT which owns, develops, acquires, manages and leases community shopping centers and one enclosed regional mall in the Midwestern, Southeastern and Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States. At June 30, 2010, we owned interests in 87 shopping centers, comprised of 64 community centers, 21 power centers, one single tenant property, and one enclosed regional mall, totaling approximately 19.8 million square feet of gross leaseable area ("GLA"). We and our joint venture partners own approximately 15.3 million square feet of such GLA, with the remaining GLA owned by various anchor stores.

The Company intends to maximize shareholder value through a well-defined business strategy that incorporates the following elements:

- Leasing and managing our shopping centers to increase occupancy, maximize rental income, and control operating expenses and capital expenditures
- Redeveloping our centers to increase gross leasable area, reconfigure space for credit tenants, create outparcels, profit from excess land, and generally make the centers more desirable for our tenants and their shoppers
 - Acquiring new shopping centers that are located in targeted metropolitan markets and that provide opportunities to add value through intensive leasing, management, or redevelopment
- Developing our land held for development into income-producing investment property, subject to market demand and adequate returns on our incremental capital
- Maintaining a strong and flexible balance sheet by capitalizing our Company with a moderate ratio of debt to equity and by financing our investment activities with various forms and sources of capital
- Managing our overall enterprise to create an efficient organization with a strong corporate culture and transparent disclosure for all stakeholders

The Company periodically reviews its performance on these endeavors and adjusts its operational and financial tactics accordingly.

Second Quarter 2010 Highlights include:

Significant Transactions

On May 18, 2010, the Company completed an equity offering of 6.9 million common shares, which included 0.9 million shares purchased pursuant to an over-allotment option granted to the underwriters. The offering price was \$11.50 per common share (par value \$0.01 per share) generating net proceeds of approximately \$75.6 million. The net proceeds from the offering were used to reduce the outstanding balance of its secured term loan facility by \$37.0 million, to pay off two mortgages of \$15.8 million in aggregate and to reduce outstanding borrowings under its secured revolving credit facilities.

During the second quarter 2010, the Company sold the Ridgeview Crossing Shopping Center in Elkin, North Carolina for \$0.9 million in net proceeds. The sale resulted in a net loss of \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. Income from operations and the loss on sale relating to Ridgeview Crossing are classified in discontinued operations on the consolidated condensed statements of operations and comprehensive income for all the periods

presented.

Also during the second quarter 2010, the Company sold an outparcel in Jacksonville, Florida for \$1.0 million in net proceeds. The sale resulted in a net gain of \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010.

The Company had no shopping center acquisition activity during the second quarter of 2010.

21

For the second quarter of 2010, the Company's net income attributable to common shareholders was \$0.9 million, excluding the loss on sale of the Ridgeview Crossing shopping center.

Leasing Activity

During the second quarter of 2010, the Company signed three new anchor leases including Total Wine and More in 20,000 square feet, The Fresh Market in 21,782 square feet and Golfsmith in 30,986 square feet. Total Wine and More will occupy the former Circuit City space at Vista Plaza in Jensen Beach, Florida. The Fresh Market and Golfsmith will occupy the former Albertsons space at Mission Bay Plaza in Boca Raton, Florida. These three new anchor leases were signed at an average base rent of \$13.56 per square foot, an increase of 19.7% over previous rents paid. Additionally, the Company signed 25 new non-anchor leases in the second quarter of 2010 for new tenancies that will take occupancy in subsequent periods. All new signed leases, including anchor and non-anchor leases, totaled 152,024 square feet, and represented a decrease of 2.6% over prior rents paid.

The Company renewed 38 non-anchor leases, at an average base rent of \$18.39 per square foot, an increase of 2.1% over prior rental rates. In the second quarter of 2010, the Company also renewed eight anchor leases, at an average base rent of \$5.59 per square foot as compared to prior rents paid of \$5.86 per square foot. Compared to the same period in the prior year, the combination of new leases, renewals and contractual rent escalations increased the Company's overall portfolio average base rents to \$10.90 per square foot in the second quarter of 2010 from \$10.79 per square foot for the second quarter of 2009.

The Company's overall portfolio, which includes joint venture properties and properties under redevelopment, was 89.7% occupied at June 30, 2010, as compared to 91.3% occupied at June 30, 2009.

Redevelopment Activity

We currently have four redevelopments in progress, all with signed leases for the expansion or addition of an anchor. The Company estimates the total project costs of the four redevelopment projects in progress to be \$29.7 million. Two of the redevelopments involve core operating properties included on our balance sheet and are expected to cost approximately \$16.4 million of which \$13.6 million has been spent as of June 30, 2010. For the two redevelopment projects at properties held by joint ventures, the Company estimates off-balance sheet project costs of approximately \$13.3 million (our share is estimated to be \$3.7 million) of which \$10.8 million has been spent as of June 30, 2010 (our share is \$3.1 million). Of the four redevelopment projects presently in progress, all have anchor tenants in place generating revenue for the Company. The four redevelopment projects in progress were approximately 75% to 80% complete at June 30, 2010. The majority of remaining work on these projects involves the leasing up of small shop space, which includes costs for tenant and site improvements. The Company expects that the redevelopment projects will be substantially completed in the second half of 2010.

Land Held for Development

At June 30, 2010, the Company had four named projects under pre-development. In the first quarter of 2010, after a thorough review of the opening schedules for the proposed anchors, the Company determined it was appropriate to discontinue the capitalization of interest costs and real estate taxes at its development projects. As a result of slower anchor leasing, activities at the four development projects were curtailed in the first quarter 2010 and are not expected to resume in earnest until substantial pre-leasing and other hurdles to moving forward with construction have been met. As of June 30, 2010, we and one of our joint ventures have spent \$98.5 million on the developments, including certain land parcels we own through taxable REIT subsidiaries.

At June 30, 2010, the Company's land held for development consisted of:

| Development Project/Location | Costs Incurred to Date | |
|--|------------------------------|-----|
| Hartland Towne Square - Hartland Twp., MI | \$ 37,400 | |
| The Town Center at Aquia - Stafford, VA | 16,100 | (1) |
| Gateway Commons - Lakeland, FL | 24,100 | |
| Parkway Shops - Jacksonville, FL | 13,600 | |
| Other | 7,300 | |
| Total Land Held for Development | \$ 98,500 | |

(1) Excludes \$21,500 of carrying value of buildings and other assets that the Company intends to redevelop or demolish when the project commences.

The Company owned a controlling 20% interest in the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture that owned a portion of Hartland Towne Square. In the first quarter of 2010, the Company consolidated the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture in accordance with accounting guidance for variable interest entities. For further information on the consolidation of the Ramco RM Hartland SC LLC joint venture, refer to Note 5 of the consolidated condensed financial statements.

In the second quarter of 2010, the Company acquired its partner's 95% interest in a parcel of land located in Jacksonville, Florida for \$0.5 million.

It is the Company's policy to start vertical construction on new development projects only after the project has received entitlements, significant anchor commitments, construction financing and joint venture partner commitments, if appropriate. We are in the entitlement and pre-leasing phases at the development projects listed above. The Company does not expect to secure financing and to identify joint venture partners until the entitlement and pre-leasing phases are complete.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is based upon our consolidated condensed financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). The preparation of these condensed financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. Management bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which forms the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Management has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of these estimates with the Audit Committee of our Board of Trustees. Actual results could differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Critical accounting policies are those that are both significant to the overall presentation of our financial condition and results of operations and require management to make difficult, complex or subjective judgments. For example, significant estimates and assumptions have been made with respect to useful lives of assets, capitalization of

development and leasing costs, recoverable amounts of receivables and initial valuations and related amortization periods of deferred costs and intangibles. The following discussion relates to what we believe to be our most critical accounting policies that require our most subjective or complex judgment.

Allowance for Bad Debts

We provide for bad debt expense based upon the allowance method of accounting. We continuously monitor the collectibility of our accounts receivable (billed and unbilled, including straight-line) from specific tenants, analyze historical bad debts, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in tenant payment terms when evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for bad debts. When tenants are in bankruptcy, we make estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition and post-petition claims. The period to resolve these claims can exceed one year. Management believes the allowance is adequate to absorb currently estimated bad debts. However, if we experience bad debts in excess of the allowance we have established, our operating income would be reduced.

Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Investments in Real Estate

The Company reviews its investment in real estate, including any related intangible assets, for impairment on a property-by-property basis whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the remaining estimated useful lives of those assets may warrant revision or that the carrying value of the property may not be recoverable. For operating properties, these changes in circumstances include, but are not limited to, changes in occupancy, rental rates, tenant sales, net operating income, geographic location, real estate values, and management's intentions related to the operating properties. For development projects, including land held for development, these changes in circumstances include, but are not limited to, changes in construction costs, absorption rates, market rents, the market for land sales, real estate values, and management's intentions related to the projects.

The Company recognizes an impairment of an investment in real estate when the estimated undiscounted cash flow is less than the net carrying value of the property. If it is determined that an investment in real estate is impaired, then the Company's carrying value is reduced to the estimated fair value as determined by cash flow models and discount rates or comparable sales in accordance with our fair value measurement policy.

Determining whether an investment in real estate is impaired and, if so, the amount of the impairment requires considerable management judgment. In the event that management changes its intended holding period for an investment in real estate, an impairment may result even without any other event or change in circumstances related to that investment. For example, a determination to sell land held for development rather than to develop the land and hold the developed asset may result in impairment. Under certain circumstances, management may use probability-weighted scenarios related to an investment in real estate, and the use of such analysis may also result in impairment. Impairments resulting from any event or change in circumstances, including changes in management's intentions or management's analysis of varying scenarios, could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

At June 30, 2010, the Company prepared undiscounted cash flow projections for seven shopping center properties that met management's criteria for possible impairment testing. In all instances, the undiscounted cash flows exceeded the recorded carrying amounts of those individual properties on average by approximately 129% of the carrying value. Therefore, none of the properties met the standards for impairment of long-lived assets.

In determining the estimated useful lives of intangible assets with finite lives, we consider the nature, life cycle position, and historical and expected future operating cash flows of each asset, as well as our commitment to support these assets through continued investment.

Revenue Recognition

Shopping center space is generally leased to retail tenants under leases which are accounted for as operating leases. We recognize minimum rents using the straight-line method over the terms of the leases commencing when the tenant takes possession of the space. Certain of the leases also provide for additional revenue based on contingent percentage income which is recorded on an accrual basis once the specified target that triggers this type of income is achieved. The leases also provide for recoveries from tenants of common area maintenance, real estate taxes and other operating expenses. These recoveries are estimated and recognized as revenue in the period the applicable costs are incurred or accrued. Revenues from fees and management income are recognized in the period in which the services have been provided and the earnings process is complete. Lease termination income is recognized when a lease termination agreement is executed by the parties and the tenant vacates the space.

Share-Based Compensation

All share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, are recognized in the financial statements as compensation expense based upon the fair value on the grant date. We determine fair value of stock option awards using the Black-Scholes option pricing model. The Black-Scholes option pricing model incorporates certain assumptions such as risk-free interest rate, expected volatility, expected dividend yield and expected life of options, in order to arrive at a fair value estimate. Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of the price of our common shares. Expected lives of options are based on an allowable assumption of the holding period which is typically less than the term of the options granted. The risk-free interest rate is based upon quoted market yields for U.S. Treasury securities of comparable terms. The expected dividend yield is based on our common share dividend rate at the time options are granted.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has eight equity investments in unconsolidated joint venture entities in which we own 50% or less of the total ownership interest. Because the Company can influence but not control these joint ventures, these investments are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. The Company provides leasing, development, asset and property management services to these joint ventures for which we are paid fees. Refer to Note 4 of the notes to the consolidated condensed financial statements for further information.

The Company reviews its equity investments in unconsolidated entities for impairment on a venture-by-venture basis whenever events of changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value of the equity investment may not be recoverable. These changes in circumstances include, but are not limited to, declines in real estate values, interest rates, or net operating income and occupancy of the properties held in the unconsolidated joint venture. The Company records an impairment charge when it determines that a decline in value is other than temporary.

In testing for impairment of equity investments in unconsolidated entities, the Company uses cash flow models, discount rates, and capitalization rates to estimate fair values of properties held in joint ventures, and marks the debt of the joint ventures to market. Determining whether an equity investment in an unconsolidated entity is impaired and, if so, the amount of the impairment requires considerable management judgment. Changes to assumptions regarding cash flows, discount rates, or capitalization rates could be material to our consolidated financial statements.

Comparison of Three Months Ended June 30, 2010 to Three Months Ended June 30, 2009

For purposes of comparison between the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, "Same Center" refers to the shopping center properties owned by consolidated entities as of April 1, 2009 and June 30, 2010. Included in "Same Center" in 2010 is the impact of the sales of two net leased Wal-Mart stores in the third quarter of 2009.

For purposes of comparison between the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, "Redevelopments" refers to any shopping center properties under redevelopment during the period from April 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010.

Revenues

Total revenues decreased \$0.9 million, or 2.8%, to \$30.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$31.3 million in 2009. The decrease in total revenues was primarily the result of a \$0.6 million decrease in minimum rents and a \$0.3 million decrease in recoveries from tenants, partially offset by an increase of \$0.3 million in other property income.

Minimum rents decreased \$0.6 million, or 2.9%, to \$20.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as follows:

| | Increase (Decrease) | |
|----------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage |
| | (in millions) | |
| Same Center | \$ (0.9) | (4.1 %) |
| Redevelopments | 0.3 | 1.2 % |
| | \$ (0.6) | (2.9 %) |

The decrease in Same Center minimum rents from the comparable period in the prior year was primarily attributable to approximately \$0.7 million in decreases related to tenant vacancies, approximately \$0.2 million in decreases related to tenant bankruptcies, rent relief and other concessions granted of \$0.2 million, and the impact of the sale of the two

net leased Wal-Marts in the third quarter of 2009 of \$0.5 million. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$0.7 million due to increased rental rates on new or renewal leases.

Bankruptcies impact our allowance for doubtful accounts and the related bad debt expense at the time the tenant files for bankruptcy protection. When tenants are in bankruptcy, the Company makes estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition and post-petition claims and adjusts the allowance for doubtful accounts to the appropriate estimated amount. For the three months ended June 30, 2010, there were no material adjustments made to the allowance for doubtful accounts due to tenant bankruptcies.

Recoveries from tenants decreased \$0.4 million, or 4.5%, to \$7.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as follows:

| | Increase (Decrease) | |
|----------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage |
| | (in millions) | |
| Same Center | \$ (0.4) | (4.4 %) |
| Redevelopments | (0.0) | (0.1 %) |
| | \$ (0.4) | (4.5 %) |

The decrease in recoveries from tenants for the Same Center properties was due primarily to lower contracted operating services, including the Company's electricity resale program, at certain of our shopping centers in the second quarter 2010 as compared to the same period in the prior year, and the impact of the sales of two net leased Wal-Mart stores in the third quarter of 2009. The overall property operating expense recovery ratio was 95.0% for the three months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 96.7% for the same period in the prior year.

Recoverable operating expenses, which includes real estate tax and insurance expense, are a component of our recovery ratio. These expenses decreased \$0.2 million, or 2.8%, to \$7.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as follows:

| | Increase (Decrease) | |
|----------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage |
| | (in millions) | |
| Same Center | \$ (0.6) | (8.0 %) |
| Redevelopments | 0.4 | 5.2 % |
| | \$ (0.2) | (2.8 %) |

Other property income increased \$0.4 million to \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$0.8 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase was primarily due to \$0.7 million in income earned from a tenant bankruptcy claim in the second quarter of 2010. This increase was partially offset by a \$0.2 million decrease in lease termination fees, as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Fees and management income decreased \$0.4 million to \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$1.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease is primarily due to lower development and leasing fees in the second quarter of 2010, as compared to the same period in the prior year.

Expenses

Total operating expenses were \$21.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Decreases in recoverable operating expenses of \$0.2 million and depreciation expense of \$0.3 million, were offset by an increase in

other property operating expenses of \$0.6 million.

Other property operating expenses increased \$0.6 million, to \$1.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$0.5 million for the same period in 2009. The increase in other operating expenses from the second quarter 2009 was primarily due to an increase in bad debt expense of \$0.3 million.

Depreciation and amortization decreased \$0.3 million, or 3.4%, to \$7.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$7.8 million for the same period in 2009. The decrease is due primarily to disposals in the second quarter of 2009 for tenant vacancies.

General and administrative expenses of \$4.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 were relatively unchanged from the same period in 2009. An increase in legal costs of \$0.5 million related to our defense against a lawsuit with a subcontractor was offset by a decrease of \$0.2 million from positive business tax adjustments, and a decrease of \$0.3 million from lower salaries and other expenses in the second quarter of 2010.

Other Income and Expenses

Other expense was \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010, compared to other income of \$0.2 million for the same period in the prior year. The decrease of \$0.5 million was primarily due to the expensing of real estate taxes on the Company's ground-up development projects in the second quarter of 2010. Similar costs were capitalized in the second quarter of 2009.

Gain on sale of real estate increased \$0.4 million due to the sale of an outparcel in Jacksonville, Florida in the second quarter of 2010.

Earnings (loss) from unconsolidated entities represents our proportionate share of the earnings of various joint ventures in which we have an ownership interest. Earnings (loss) from unconsolidated entities decreased approximately \$0.5 million from approximately \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2009 to a loss of \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, earnings from unconsolidated entities decreased approximately \$0.5 million from the Ramco/Lion Venture LP joint venture. The decrease in the Ramco/Lion Venture LP joint venture was mainly attributable to an increase in bad debt expense. Additionally, interest expense increased related to penalty interest associated with a loan that was in default by the joint venture. The loan was paid off by the joint venture in the second quarter.

Interest expense increased \$1.0 million, or 12.5%, to \$8.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$7.9 million in 2009. The summary below identifies the components of the net increase (dollars in thousands):

| | Three Months Ended | | | | Increase (Decrease) |
|--------------------------------------|--------------------|------------|--|--|------------------------|
| | June 30, | | | | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | |
| Average total loan balance | \$ 535,034 | \$ 665,905 | | | \$ (130,871) |
| Average rate | 6.4 % | 4.9 % | | | 1.5 % |
| Total interest on debt | \$ 8,552 | \$ 8,142 | | | \$ 410 |
| Amortization of loan fees | 652 | 176 | | | 476 |
| Interest on capital lease obligation | 99 | 103 | | | (4) |
| Capitalized interest and other | (411) | (517) | | | 106 |
| | \$ 8,892 | \$ 7,904 | | | \$ 988 |

Restructuring costs and other items included \$0.8 million related to the Company's strategic review and proxy contest in the three months ended June 30, 2009.

Noncontrolling interest represents the portions of the Operating Partnership and the joint venture developing Hartland Towne Square not owned by the Company. The loss attributable to noncontrolling interest for the second quarter of 2010 of \$0.8 million compares to income of \$0.4 million for the second quarter of 2009. The decrease of \$1.2 million reflects the noncontrolling interest's proportionate share of the Company's net loss in the second quarter of 2010 as compared to net income in the same period in 2009, as well as the noncontrolling interest's share of the net loss related to the joint venture developing a portion of Hartland Towne Square.

Comparison of Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 to Six Months Ended June 30, 2009

For purposes of comparison between the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, "Same Center" refers to the shopping center properties owned by consolidated entities as of January 1, 2009 and June 30, 2010. Included in "Same Center" in 2010 is the impact of the sales of two net leased Wal-Mart stores in the third quarter of 2009.

For purposes of comparison between the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, "Redevelopments" refers to any shopping center properties under redevelopment during the period from January 1, 2009 to June 30, 2010.

Revenues

Total revenues decreased \$1.9 million, or 3.0%, to \$61.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to \$63.0 million for the same period in 2009. The decrease in total revenues was primarily the result of a \$1.3 million decrease in minimum rents and a \$1.6 million decrease in recoveries from tenants, partially offset by an increase of \$1.4 million in other property income.

Minimum rents decreased \$1.3 million, or 3.0%, to \$40.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as follows:

| | Increase (Decrease) | |
|----------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage |
| | (in millions) | |
| Same Center | \$ (1.8) | (4.2 %) |
| Redevelopments | 0.5 | 1.2 % |
| | \$ (1.3) | (3.0 %) |

The decrease in Same Center minimum rents from the comparable period in the prior year was primarily attributable to approximately \$1.4 million in decreases related to tenant vacancies, approximately \$0.2 million in decreases related to tenant bankruptcies, rent relief and other concessions granted of \$0.3 million, and the impact of the sale of the two net leased Wal-Marts in the third quarter of 2009 of \$1.0 million. These decreases were partially offset by an increase of \$1.1 million due to increased rental rates on new or renewal leases.

Bankruptcies impact our allowance for doubtful accounts and the related bad debt expense at the time the tenant files for bankruptcy protection. When tenants are in bankruptcy, the Company makes estimates of the expected recovery of pre-petition and post-petition claims and adjusts the allowance for doubtful accounts to the appropriate estimated amount. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company increased the allowance for doubtful accounts due by approximately \$0.2 million as a result of Linens 'n Things changing from Chapter 11 to Chapter 7 bankruptcy status.

Recoveries from tenants decreased \$1.6 million, or 9.5%, to \$15.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as follows:

| | Increase (Decrease) | |
|----------------|---------------------|------------|
| | Amount | Percentage |
| | (in millions) | |
| Same Center | \$ (1.4) | (8.5 %) |
| Redevelopments | (0.2) | (1.0 %) |
| | \$ (1.6) | (9.5 %) |

The decrease in recoveries from tenants for the Same Center properties was due primarily to lower contracted operating services, including the Company's electricity resale program, and adjustments to prior year recoveries at certain of our shopping centers in the first six months of 2010, as well as the impact of the sales of two net leased Wal-Mart stores in the third quarter of 2009, as compared to the same period in the prior year. The overall property operating expense recovery ratio was 93.4% for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to 98.1% for the same period in the prior year. During the year, the Company estimates the recovery ratio, by property, based on current facts and circumstances. In the first quarter of the subsequent year, the final billings to our tenants are calculated and any required adjustments are recorded at that time.

Recoverable operating expenses, which includes real estate tax and insurance expense, are a component of our recovery ratio. These expenses decreased \$0.9 million, or 4.9%, to \$16.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as follows:

| | Increase (Decrease) | |
|----------------|----------------------------|------------|
| | Amount (in millions) | Percentage |
| Same Center | \$ (1.6) | (9.2 %) |
| Redevelopments | 0.7 | 4.3 % |
| | \$ (0.9) | (4.9 %) |

Other property income increased \$1.4 million to \$2.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to \$1.0 million for the same period in the prior year. The increase was primarily due to a \$0.8 million increase in lease termination fees and \$0.7 million in income earned from a tenant bankruptcy claim in the second quarter of 2010.

Fees and management income decreased \$0.3 million to \$2.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease is mostly attributable to a decrease in development fees of \$0.3 million as compared to the same period in 2009.

Expenses

Total operating expenses decreased \$0.6 million, or 1.2%, to \$42.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$43.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decrease was primarily due to a decrease in recoverable operating expenses of \$0.9 million and a decrease in depreciation and amortization expense of \$0.3 million, partially offset by an increase in other property operating expenses of \$0.7 million.

Other property operating expenses increased \$0.6 million, to \$2.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$1.5 million for the same period in 2009. The increase in other operating expenses from the same period in 2009 was primarily due to an increase in bad debt expense of \$0.4 million.

Depreciation and amortization decreased \$0.3 million, or 1.6%, to \$15.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$15.6 million for the same period in 2009. The decrease is due primarily to disposals in the first six months of 2009 for tenant vacancies.

General and administrative expenses were \$8.6 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. An increase in legal costs of \$0.5 million related to our defense against a lawsuit with a subcontractor was offset by a decrease of \$0.5 million from a positive business tax adjustment in the first six months of 2010.

Other Income and Expenses

Other expense was \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010, compared to other income of \$0.3 million for the same period in the prior year. The decrease of \$0.9 million was primarily due to the expensing of real estate taxes on the Company's ground-up development projects in the first six months of 2010. Similar costs were capitalized in the first six months of 2009. Additionally, interest income decreased \$0.3 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 due to the consolidation of the joint venture variable interest entity developing a portion of the Hartland Towne Square shopping center in the first quarter of 2009. In 2010, the interest income is properly eliminated in consolidation.

Gain on sale of real estate increased \$0.1 million due primarily to the sale of an outparcel in Jacksonville, Florida in the second quarter of 2010.

Earnings from unconsolidated entities represents our proportionate share of the earnings of various joint ventures in which we have an ownership interest. Earnings from unconsolidated entities decreased approximately \$0.2 million to approximately \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 from approximately \$0.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, earnings from unconsolidated entities decreased approximately \$0.9 million from the Ramco 450 Venture LLC joint venture. The decrease in the Ramco 450 Venture LLC joint venture was mainly attributable to lower rental revenues due to higher vacancies and rent relief and other concessions granted to tenants.

Interest expense increased \$1.6 million, or 10.1%, to \$17.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$16.0 million in 2009. The summary below identifies the components of the net increase (dollars in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended | | | | Increase | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|------------|--|--|---------------|--|
| | June 30, | | | | (Decrease) | |
| | 2010 | 2009 | | | | |
| Average total loan balance | \$ 544,717 | \$ 665,587 | | | \$ (120,870) | |
| Average rate | 6.3 % | 4.9 % | | | 1.4 % | |
| Total interest on debt | \$ 17,092 | \$ 16,378 | | | \$ 714 | |
| Amortization of loan fees | 1,175 | 345 | | | 830 | |
| Interest on capital lease obligation | 199 | 207 | | | (8) | |
| Capitalized interest and other | (840) | (922) | | | 82 | |
| | \$ 17,626 | \$ 16,008 | | | \$ 1,618 | |

In the first quarter 2010, the Company recorded a non-cash impairment charge of \$2.7 million resulting from other-than-temporary declines in the fair market value of various equity investments in unconsolidated joint ventures.

Restructuring costs and other items included \$0.8 million related to the Company's strategic review and proxy contest in the second quarter of 2009 and \$0.4 million of severance and other compensation-related costs associated with employees who were terminated in the first quarter of 2009.

Noncontrolling interest represents the portions of the Operating Partnership and the joint venture developing Hartland Towne Square not owned by us. The loss attributable to noncontrolling interest for the first six months of 2010 of \$1.4 million compares to income of \$0.8 million for the first six months of 2009. The decrease of \$2.2 million is primarily attributable to the noncontrolling interest's proportionate share of the Company's net loss for the first six months of 2010 when compared to the same period in 2009, as well as the noncontrolling interest's share of the net loss related to the joint venture developing a portion of Hartland Towne Square.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The principal uses of our liquidity and capital resources are for operations, developments, redevelopments, including expansion and renovation programs, selective acquisitions, and debt repayment, as well as dividend payments in accordance with REIT requirements. We anticipate that the combination of cash on hand and cash retained from operations, the availability under our credit facilities, additional financings, equity offerings, and the sale of existing properties will satisfy our expected working capital requirements through at least the next 12 months. Although we believe that the combination of factors discussed above will provide sufficient liquidity, no such assurance can be given.

On May 18, 2010, the Company issued 6.9 million common shares of beneficial interest (par value \$0.01 per share), at \$11.50 per share. The Company received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$75.6 million after deducting underwriting discounts, commissions and estimated transaction expenses payable by the Company. The net proceeds from the offering were used to reduce the outstanding balance of its secured term loan facility by \$37.0 million, to pay off two mortgages of \$15.8 million in aggregate and to reduce outstanding borrowings under its secured revolving credit facilities.

As opportunities arise and market conditions permit, we will continue to pursue the strategy of selling mature properties or non-core assets that have less potential for increase cash flow or are not viable for redevelopment. Our ability to obtain acceptable selling prices and satisfactory terms and financing will impact the timing of future sales. The Company expects any net proceeds from the sale of properties would be used to reduce outstanding debt.

The following is a summary of our cash flow activities (dollars in thousands):

| | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (Unaudited) | |
| Cash provided from operations | \$ 21,646 | \$ 26,671 |
| Cash used in investing activities | (19,924) | (13,369) |
| Cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 2,156 | (10,779) |

For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company generated \$21.6 million in cash flows from operating activities, as compared to \$26.7 million for the same period in 2009. Cash flows from operating activities were lower during the six months ended June 30, 2010 mainly due to higher net cash outflows for accounts payable and accrued expenses. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, investing activities used \$19.9 million of cash flows, as compared to \$13.4 million used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009. Cash flows used in investing activities were higher in the first six months of 2010, due to higher investments in real estate and investments in unconsolidated entities primarily made to pay off a joint venture loan. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, cash flows provided by financing activities were \$2.2 million, as compared to cash used of \$10.8 million during the six months ended June 30, 2009. For the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company generated \$75.6 million in proceeds from the issuance of common shares, which it used primarily to pay down debt. As a result, the Company had higher net paydowns on mortgage and notes payable as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

Dividends

Under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (“the Code”), as a REIT we must distribute annually to our shareholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gain. Distributions paid are at the discretion of our Board of Trustees and depend on our actual net income available to common shareholders, cash flow, financial condition, capital requirements, restrictions in financing arrangements, the annual distribution requirements under REIT provisions of the Code and such other factors as our Board of Trustees deems relevant.

We declared a quarterly cash dividend distribution of \$0.16325 per common share paid to shareholders of record on June 20, 2010, as compared to the dividend paid of \$0.23125 per share in the same quarter of 2009. To strengthen the Company’s liquidity position, the Board of Trustees elected to keep the aggregate distribution dollars constant when additional common shares were issued in September 2009. Therefore, the distribution per common share was reduced in proportion to the new common shares issued, to \$0.16325 per common share in the third quarter of 2009. Our dividend policy has not changed in that we expect to continue making distributions to shareholders of at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gain, in order to maintain qualification as a REIT. On an annualized basis, our current dividend is above our estimated minimum required distribution.

Distributions paid by the Company are funded from cash flows from operating activities. To the extent that cash flows from operating activities were insufficient to pay total distributions for any period, alternative funding sources are used as shown in the following table. Examples of alternative funding sources may include proceeds from sales of

real estate and bank borrowings. Although the Company may use alternative sources of cash to fund distributions in a given period, we expect that distribution requirements for an entire year will be met with cash flows from operating activities.

| | Six Months Ended | |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| | June 30, (Unaudited) | |
| Cash provided by operating activities | \$ 21,646 | \$ 26,671 |
| Cash distributions to common shareholders | (10,109) | (8,640) |
| Cash distributions to operating partnership unit holders | (950) | (1,350) |
| Distributions to noncontrolling partners | - | (54) |
| Total distributions | (11,059) | (10,044) |
| Surplus (deficiency) | \$ 10,587 | \$ 16,627 |
| Alternative sources of funding for distributions: | | |
| Net borrowings on mortgages and notes payable | n/a | n/a |
| Total sources of alternative funding for distributions | n/a | n/a |

n/a - Not applicable

Debt

In May 2010, the Company used the net proceeds from its equity offering to reduce the balance of its secured term loan facility by \$37.0 million, to pay off two fixed rate mortgages of \$15.8 million in aggregate and to reduce outstanding borrowings under the secured revolving credit facilities.

Also in May 2010, the Company closed on a \$14.7 million loan secured by the newly-constructed office building occupied by Northrop Grumman at The Town Center at Aquia. The loan bears interest at a fixed rate of 5.8% and matures in June 2015. Net proceeds from the loan were used primarily to pay down Company's revolving lines of credit.

It is anticipated that funds borrowed under the Company's credit facilities will be used for general corporate purposes, including working capital, capital expenditures, the repayment of indebtedness or other corporate activities. For further information on the credit facilities and other debt refer to Note 7 of the consolidated condensed financial statements.

Under terms of various debt agreements, we may be required to maintain interest rate swap agreements to reduce the impact of changes in interest rates on our floating rate debt. We have interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$90.0 million at June 30, 2010. Based on rates in effect at June 30, 2010, the agreements provide for fixed rates ranging from 6.4% to 6.7% and expire December 2010.

After taking into account the impact of converting our variable rate debt into fixed rate debt by use of the interest rate swap agreements, at June 30, 2010 our variable rate debt accounted for approximately \$22.7 million of outstanding debt with a weighted average interest rate of 5.6%. Variable rate debt accounted for approximately 4.5% of our total debt and 2.5% of our total capitalization.

We have \$471.8 million of mortgage loans, both fixed and floating rate, encumbering our consolidated properties, including \$90.0 million of loans under the Company's secured credit facilities. Such mortgage loans are generally non-recourse, subject to certain exceptions for which we would be liable for any resulting losses incurred by the lender. These exceptions vary from loan to loan but generally include fraud or a material misrepresentation,

misstatement or omission by the borrower, intentional or grossly negligent conduct by the borrower that harms the property or results in a loss to the lender, filing of a bankruptcy petition by the borrower, either directly or indirectly, and certain environmental liabilities. In addition, upon the occurrence of certain of such events, such as fraud or filing of a bankruptcy petition by the borrower, we would be liable for the entire outstanding balance of the loan, all interest accrued thereon and certain other costs, penalties and expenses.

Off Balance Sheet Debt

The unconsolidated joint ventures in which the Operating Partnership owns an interest, and which are accounted for by the equity method of accounting, are subject to mortgage indebtedness, which in most instances is non-recourse. At June 30, 2010, mortgage debt for the unconsolidated joint ventures was \$499.8 million, of which our pro rata share was \$129.6 million with a weighted average interest rate of 6.4%. Fixed rate debt for the unconsolidated joint ventures was \$497.5 million at June 30, 2010. Our pro rata share of fixed rate debt for the unconsolidated joint ventures amounted to \$125.7 million. Fixed rate debt represented 97.0% of our total pro rata share of debt from unconsolidated entities at June 30, 2010. The mortgage debt of \$11.0 million at Peachtree Hill, a shopping center owned by our Ramco 450 Venture LLC, is recourse debt. The loan is secured by unconditional guarantees of payment and performance by Ramco 450 Venture LLC, the Company, and the Operating Partnership.

Contractual Obligations

The following are our contractual cash obligations as of June 30, 2010 due within the next 12 months (dollars in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations | Total |
|---|-----------|
| Mortgages and notes payable, principal | \$ 62,882 |
| Interest on mortgages and notes payable | 28,129 |
| Employment contracts | 842 |
| Capital lease | 677 |
| Operating leases | 910 |
| Unconditional construction cost obligations | 1,455 |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 94,895 |

We anticipate that the combination of cash on hand, cash provided from operating activities, the availability under the Credit Facility (\$88.4 million at June 30, 2010, plus up to an additional \$50 million dependent upon there being one or more lenders willing to fund the additional commitment), our access to the capital markets and the sale of existing properties will satisfy our expected working capital requirements through at least the next 12 months. Although we believe that the combination of factors discussed above will provide sufficient liquidity, no assurance can be given.

Planned Capital Spending

The Company is focusing on its core strengths of enhancing the value of our existing portfolio of shopping centers through successful leasing efforts and completing those redevelopment projects in 2010 that are currently in process. In addition, during the first six months of 2010, there was no shopping center acquisition activity.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we spent approximately \$12.6 million on capital expenditures including tenant allowances, leasing commissions paid to third-party brokers, legal costs related to lease documents, capitalized leasing and construction costs, renovations, and roof and parking lot repairs.

For the remainder of 2010, we anticipate spending approximately \$13.2 million for capital expenditures, including approximately \$3.0 million for redevelopment projects.

Capitalization

At June 30, 2010, our market capitalization amounted to \$899.6 million. Our market capitalization consisted of \$500.0 million of debt (including property-specific mortgages, a secured Credit Facility consisting of a secured term loan credit facility and a secured revolving credit facility, the secured revolving credit facility on The Town Center at Aquia, and Junior Subordinated Notes), and \$412.5 million of common shares (based on the closing price of \$10.10 per share at June 30, 2010) and Operating Partnership Units at market value. Our net debt to total market capitalization was 54.2% at June 30, 2010, as compared to 62.8% at December 31, 2009. The decrease in total net debt to market capitalization was due primarily to the impact of the May 18, 2010 equity offering and the increase in the price per common share from \$9.54 at December 31, 2009 to \$10.10 at June 30, 2010. After taking into account the impact of converting our variable rate debt into fixed rate debt by use of interest rate swap agreements, our outstanding debt at June 30, 2010 had a weighted average interest rate of 6.1%, and consisted of \$477.2 million of fixed rate debt and \$22.7 million of variable rate debt. Outstanding letters of credit issued under the Credit Facility totaled approximately \$1.6 million at June 30, 2010.

At June 30, 2010, the noncontrolling interest in the Operating Partnership represented a 7.1% ownership in the Operating Partnership. The OP Units may, under certain circumstances, be exchanged for our common shares of beneficial interest on a one-for-one basis. We, as sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, have the option, but not the obligation, to settle exchanged OP Units held by others in cash based on the current trading price of our common shares of beneficial interest. Assuming the exchange of all OP Units, there would have been 40,922,225 of our common shares of beneficial interest outstanding at June 30, 2010, with a market value of approximately \$413.3 million.

Inflation

Inflation has been relatively low in recent years and has not had a significant detrimental impact on the results of our operations. Should inflation rates increase in the future, substantially all of our tenant leases contain provisions designed to partially mitigate the negative impact of inflation in the near term. Such lease provisions include clauses that require our tenants to reimburse us for real estate taxes and many of the operating expenses we incur. Also, many of our leases provide for periodic increases in base rent which are either of a fixed amount or based on changes in the consumer price index and/or percentage rents (where the tenant pays us rent based on a percentage of its sales). Significant inflation rate increases over a prolonged period of time may have a material adverse impact on our business.

Funds from Operations

We consider funds from operations, also known as “FFO,” an appropriate supplemental measure of the financial performance of an equity REIT. Under the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT) definition, FFO represents net income attributable to common shareholders, excluding extraordinary items (as defined under GAAP) and gains (losses) on sales of depreciable property, plus real estate related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of financing costs), and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. FFO is intended to exclude GAAP historical cost depreciation and amortization of real estate investments, which assumes that the value of real estate assets diminishes ratably over time. Historically, however, real estate values have risen or fallen with market conditions and many companies utilize different depreciable lives and methods. Because FFO adds back depreciation and amortization unique to real estate, and excludes gains and losses from depreciable property dispositions and extraordinary items, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, reflects the impact on operations from trends in occupancy rates, rental rates, operating costs, acquisition and development activities and interest costs, which provides a perspective of our financial performance not immediately apparent from net income attributable to common shareholders determined in accordance with GAAP. In addition, FFO does not include the cost of capital improvements, including capitalized interest.

For the reasons described above we believe that FFO provides us and our investors with an important indicator of our operating performance. This measure of performance is used by us for several business purposes and for REITs it provides a recognized measure of performance other than GAAP net income attributable to common shareholders, which may include non-cash items. Other real estate companies may calculate FFO in a different manner.

We recognize FFO’s limitations when compared to GAAP net income attributable to common shareholders. FFO does not represent amounts available for needed capital replacement or expansion, debt service obligations, or other commitments and uncertainties. In addition, FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs, including the payment of dividends. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income attributable to common shareholders (computed in accordance with GAAP) or as an alternative to cash flow as a measure of liquidity. FFO is simply used as an additional indicator of our operating performance.

The following table illustrates the calculation of FFO (in thousands, except per share data):

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|---|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2010 | 2009 | |
| | (Unaudited) | | (Unaudited) | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to RPT common shareholders (1) | \$(1,041 |) \$1,563 | \$(1,724 |) \$3,813 | |
| Add: | | | | | |
| Rental property depreciation and amortization expense | 7,366 | 7,699 | 14,951 | 15,309 | |
| Pro rata share of real estate depreciation from unconsolidated joint ventures | 1,704 | 1,622 | 3,380 | 3,295 | |
| Loss on sale of depreciable real estate | 2,050 | - | 2,050 | - | |
| Noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership | (105 |) 401 | (174 |) 781 | |
| Funds from operations | \$9,974 | \$11,285 | \$18,483 | \$23,198 | |
| Weighted average common shares | 34,371 | 18,699 | 32,706 | 18,654 | |
| Shares issuable upon conversion of Operating Partnership Units | 2,902 | 2,919 | 2,902 | 2,919 | |
| Dilutive effect of securities | - | - | - | - | |
| Weighted average equivalent shares outstanding, diluted | 37,273 | 21,618 | 35,608 | 21,573 | |
| Net income per diluted share to FFO per diluted share reconciliation: | | | | | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to RPT common shareholders per diluted share | \$(0.03 |) \$0.08 | \$(0.05 |) \$0.20 | |
| Add: | | | | | |
| Rental property depreciation and amortization expense | 0.20 | 0.36 | 0.42 | 0.71 | |
| Pro rata share of real estate depreciation from unconsolidated joint ventures | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.09 | 0.15 | |
| Loss on sale of depreciable real estate | 0.05 | - | 0.06 | - | |
| Noncontrolling interest in Operating Partnership | - | 0.02 | - | 0.04 | |
| Less: | | | | | |
| Assuming conversion of OP Units | - | (0.02 |) - | (0.02 |) |
| Funds from operations per diluted share | \$0.27 | \$0.52 | \$0.52 | \$1.08 | |
| (1) Includes gain on sale of nondepreciable real estate | \$499 | \$53 | \$499 | \$401 | |

Forward Looking Statements

This document contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements represent our expectations, plans or beliefs concerning future events and may be identified by terminology such as “may,” “will,” “should,” “believe,” “expect,” “estimate,” “anticipate,” “continue,” “predict” or similar terms. Although the forward-looking statements made in this document are based on our good faith beliefs, reasonable assumptions and

our best judgment based upon current information, certain factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including: our success or failure in implementing our business strategy; economic conditions generally and in the commercial real estate and finance markets specifically; our cost of capital, which depends in part on our asset quality, our relationships with lenders and other capital providers; our business prospects and outlook; changes in governmental regulations, tax rates and similar matters; our continuing to qualify as a REIT; and other factors discussed elsewhere in this document and our other filings with the SEC, including our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009. Given these uncertainties, you should not place undue reliance on any forward-looking statements. Except as required by law, we assume no obligation to update these forward-looking statements, even if new information becomes available in the future.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We have exposure to interest rate risk on our variable rate debt obligations. We are not subject to any foreign currency exchange rate risk or commodity price risk, or other material rate or price risks. Based on our debt and interest rates and the interest rate swap agreements in effect at June 30, 2010, a 100 basis point change in interest rates would affect our annual earnings and cash flows by between approximately \$0.2 million and \$0.8 million.

Under the terms of various debt agreements, we may be required to maintain interest rate swap agreements to reduce the impact of changes in interest rate on our floating rate debt. We have interest rate swap agreements with an aggregate notional amount of \$90.0 million at June 30, 2010. Based on rates in effect at June 30, 2010, the agreements provide for fixed rates ranging from 6.4% to 6.7% and expire December 2010.

The following table presents information as of June 30, 2010 concerning our long-term debt obligations, including principal cash flows by scheduled maturity, weighted average interest rates of maturing amounts and fair market value (dollars in thousands).

| | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | Thereafter | Total | Estimated Fair Value |
|-----------------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------|-----------|----------------------|
| Fixed-rate debt | \$6,956 | \$60,847 | \$94,854 | \$34,436 | \$33,086 | \$246,991 | \$477,170 | \$475,650 |
| Average interest rate | 6.9 % | 7.0 % | 6.6 % | 5.6 % | 5.5 % | 5.8 % | 6.1 % | |
| Variable-rate debt | \$236 | \$22,471 | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$- | \$22,707 | \$22,707 |
| Average interest rate | 5.4 % | 5.6 % | - | - | - | - | 5.6 % | |

We estimated the fair value of fixed rate mortgages using a discounted cash flow analysis, based on our incremental borrowing rates for similar types of borrowing arrangements with the same remaining maturity. Considerable judgment is required to develop estimated fair values of financial instruments. The table incorporates only those exposures that existed at June 30, 2010 and does not consider those exposures or positions which could arise after that date or firm commitments as of such date. Therefore, the information presented therein has limited predictive value. Our actual interest rate fluctuations will depend on the exposures that arise during the period and interest rates.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in our reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (“Exchange Act”), such as this report on Form 10-Q, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC’s rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the designed control objectives, and therefore management is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

We carried out an assessment as of June 30, 2010 of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures. This assessment was done under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. Based on such evaluation, our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, concluded that such disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level as of June 30, 2010.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, there were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a description of the litigation with a subcontractor, see to Note 14 of the notes to the consolidated condensed financial statements. There are no material pending governmental proceedings, other than the IRS Examination, against or involving us or our properties. For a description of the IRS Examination, see our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009 (Note 21 to the consolidated financial statements).

Item 1A. Risk Factors

You should review our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, which contains a detailed description of risk factors that may materially affect our business, financial condition or results of operations in addition to the following risk factor:

We are engaged in the development of new properties, and this activity is subject to various risks.

We pursue development activities as opportunities arise, and these activities are subject to the following risks:

- the pre-construction phase for a development project typically extends over several years, and the time to obtain anchor commitments, zoning and regulatory approvals, and financing can vary significantly from project to project;
- we may not be able to obtain the necessary zoning or other governmental approvals for a project, or we may determine that the expected return on a project is not sufficient. If we abandon our development activities with respect to a particular project, we may incur an impairment loss on our investment;
- construction and other project costs may exceed our original estimates because of increases in material and labor costs, delays and costs to obtain anchor and other tenant commitments;
- we may not be able to obtain financing or to refinance construction loans, which are generally recourse to us; and
- occupancy rates and rents, as well as occupancy costs and expenses, at a completed project may not meet our projections, and the costs of development activities that we explore but ultimately abandon will, to some extent, diminish the overall return on our completed development projects.

If any of these events occur, our development activities may have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

Item 6. Exhibits

| Exhibit No. | Description |
|-------------|--|
| 31.1* | Certification of CEO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2* | Certification of CFO pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1* | Certification of CEO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.2* | Certification of CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |

* filed herewith

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

RAMCO-GERSHENSON PROPERTIES TRUST

Date: August 6, 2010

By: /s/ Dennis Gershenson
Dennis Gershenson
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 6, 2010

By: /s/ Gregory R. Andrews
Gregory R. Andrews
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)