

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

LITTLEFIELD CORP
Form 10-Q
November 13, 2008

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2008 or

Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number: 0-24805

LITTLEFIELD CORPORATION
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

74-2723809

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

2501 N Lamar Blvd
Austin, Texas

(address of principal executive offices)

78705

(zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (512) 476-5141

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer [] Accelerated filer []
Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller Reporting Company [X]

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes [] No [X]

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class	Outstanding at November 3, 2008
Common Stock - \$0.001 par value	16,754,901

Littlefield Corporation

FORM 10-Q

For the quarter ended September 30, 2008

INDEX

Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1	Financial Statements	
a)	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2008 (unaudited) and December 31, 2007	2
b)	Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the Three Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.....	3
c)	Consolidated Statements of Operations (unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.....	5
c)	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (unaudited) for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.....	7
d)	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	9
Item 2	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition And Results of Operations.....	19
Item 3	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	23
Item 4	Controls and Procedures	23

Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1	Legal Proceedings	24
Item 6	Exhibits	24
	Signatures and Certifications	24

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

1

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

Littlefield Corporation
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
	----- (unaudited)	-----
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 5,233,848	\$ 1,965,624
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$68,373 and \$148,734	427,525	519,845
Other current assets	299,098	554,297
	-----	-----
Total Current Assets	5,960,471	3,039,766
	-----	-----
Property and Equipment - at cost, net of accumulated depreciation and amortization	8,098,026	6,926,559
Other Assets:		
Goodwill	6,501,361	4,905,111
Intangible assets, net	912,324	699,196
Other non-current assets	213,424	217,615
	-----	-----
Total Other Assets	7,627,109	5,821,922
	-----	-----
TOTAL ASSETS	\$ 21,685,606	\$ 15,788,247
	=====	=====

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current Liabilities:		
Long term debt, current portion	\$ 725,505	\$ 195,517
Long term debt, legal settlements, current portion	253,762	231,272
Trade accounts payable	221,395	232,339
Accrued expenses	753,329	1,063,053
	-----	-----
Total Current Liabilities	1,953,991	1,722,181
	-----	-----
Long-term Liabilities:		
Long term debt, net of current portion	3,260,088	3,442,932
Long term debt, legal settlements, net of current portion	189,896	362,964
Other liabilities, related party	66,000	48,000
	-----	-----
Total Long-term Liabilities	3,515,984	3,853,896
	-----	-----

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Total Liabilities	5,469,975	5,576,077
<hr/>		
Stockholders' Equity:		
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, (authorized 40,000,000 shares, issued 17,534,707 shares, outstanding 16,754,901 shares)	17,535	12,344
Additional paid-in-capital	30,668,943	23,710,845
Treasury stock - 779,806 shares, at cost	(993,891)	(1,146,638)
Accumulated deficit	(13,476,956)	(12,364,381)
<hr/>		
Total Stockholders' Equity	16,215,631	10,212,170
<hr/>		
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	\$ 21,685,606	\$ 15,788,247
<hr/> <hr/>		

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

2

Littlefield Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	<hr/>	<hr/>
REVENUES:		
Entertainment	\$ 2,078,799	\$ 2,130,630
Hospitality	430,592	868,102
Other	24,422	14,534
<hr/>		
TOTAL REVENUES	2,533,813	3,013,266
<hr/>		
DIRECT COSTS AND EXPENSES:		
Direct salaries and other compensation	511,809	703,030
Rent and utilities	823,484	667,788
Other direct operating costs	961,189	823,092
Depreciation and amortization	235,939	168,058
License expense	14,437	34,660
<hr/>		
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	2,546,858	2,396,628
<hr/>		
GROSS MARGIN (LOSS)	(13,045)	616,638
<hr/>		
GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:		
Salaries and other compensation	376,830	301,799
Legal and accounting fees	97,743	182,957
Depreciation and amortization	31,217	29,079
Share-based compensation expense	12,964	14,311
Other general and administrative	156,363	167,927
<hr/>		
TOTAL GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	675,117	696,073
<hr/>		
GAIN ON DISPOSAL OF ASSETS	---	11,002
<hr/>		
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(688,162)	(68,433)

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES:		
Interest and investment income	42,290	24,324
Interest expense (\$0 and \$1,688 respectively to related parties)	(90,299)	(93,105)
	-----	-----
TOTAL OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES	(48,009)	(68,781)
	-----	-----
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	(736,171)	(137,214)
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	22,911	4,132
	-----	-----
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(759,082)	(141,346)
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	---	---
	-----	-----
NET COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	=====(759,082)=====	=====(141,346)=====

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

3

Littlefield Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	-----	-----
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE:		
Basic earnings (loss) per share	=====(\$ 0.045)=====	=====(\$ 0.012)=====
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	=====(\$ 0.045)=====	=====(\$ 0.012)=====
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	=====16,754,901=====	=====11,325,469=====
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	=====16,754,901=====	=====11,325,469=====

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

4

Littlefield Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

Nine Months Ended September 30,
2008 2007

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

REVENUES:		
Entertainment	\$ 6,392,907	\$ 6,646,077
Hospitality	2,073,476	3,486,253
Other	71,692	38,226
TOTAL REVENUES	8,538,075	10,170,556
DIRECT COSTS AND EXPENSES:		
Direct salaries and other compensation	1,866,017	2,277,071
Rent and utilities	2,137,556	1,927,928
Other direct operating costs	2,932,098	2,546,373
Depreciation and amortization	667,213	482,593
License expense	74,650	95,336
TOTAL COSTS AND EXPENSES	7,677,534	7,329,301
GROSS MARGIN	860,541	2,841,255
GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES:		
Salaries and other compensation	1,006,167	855,346
Legal and accounting fees	504,651	404,830
Depreciation and amortization	95,314	86,208
Share-based compensation expense	39,170	42,933
Other general and administrative	555,133	528,475
TOTAL GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES	2,200,435	1,917,792
GAIN ON DISPOSAL OF FIXED ASSETS	474,387	23,100
OPERATING INCOME (LOSS)	(865,507)	946,563
OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES:		
Interest and investment income	88,700	56,357
Interest expense (\$0 and \$11,813 respectively to related parties)	(268,513)	(345,275)
Other expense	---	(4,398)
TOTAL OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSES	(179,813)	(293,316)
NET INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	(1,045,320)	653,247
PROVISION FOR INCOME TAXES	67,255	54,335
NET INCOME (LOSS)	(1,112,575)	598,912
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	---	4,713
NET COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	(\$1,112,575)	\$ 603,625

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Littlefield Corporation CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended 2008	September 30, 2007
	-----	-----
EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE:		
Basic earnings (loss) per share	(\$ 0.074)	\$ 0.054
	=====	=====
Diluted earnings (loss) per share	(\$ 0.074)	\$ 0.053
	=====	=====
Weighted average shares outstanding - basic	15,083,201	11,187,178
	=====	=====
Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted	15,083,201	11,345,558
	=====	=====

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

6

Littlefield Corporation CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,112,575)	\$ 598,912
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash (used in) provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	762,527	568,801
Gain on sale of fixed assets	(474,387)	(23,100)
Bad debt allowance	(57,936)	---
Stock-based compensation expense	39,170	42,933
Increase (decrease) in cash flows as a result of changes in asset and liability account balances:		
Accounts receivable	120,024	413,449
Other assets	252,292	362,311
Trade accounts payable	(10,944)	20,031
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	(249,173)	(203,737)
	-----	-----
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY OPERATING ACTIVITIES	(731,002)	1,779,600
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Purchase of property and equipment	(1,991,615)	(1,336,673)
Purchase of goodwill and intangibles	(1,374,704)	---
Proceeds from the sale of property and		

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

equipment	250,000	29,252
Proceeds from the sale of investments	---	3,741
Proceeds from the collection of notes receivable	384,662	1,603
	-----	-----
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(2,731,657)	(1,302,077)
	-----	-----
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Payments on notes payable, legal settlements and capital leases	(303,433)	(1,223,066)
Proceeds from sale of common stock	7,000,000	476,560
Proceeds from note payable	---	401,958
Proceeds from options exercised	34,316	15,250
	-----	-----
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	6,730,883	(329,298)
	-----	-----
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	3,268,224	148,225
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	1,965,624	2,549,566
	-----	-----
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$ 5,233,848	\$ 2,697,791
	=====	=====

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

7

Littlefield Corporation
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007
	-----	-----
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION:		
Cash payments:		
Interest	\$ 268,513	\$ 331,460
	=====	=====
Income taxes	\$ 92,551	\$ 65,087
	=====	=====
Non-cash transactions:		
Issuance of treasury stock under deferred compensation plan	\$ 25,817	\$ 15,250
	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Issuance of treasury stock under employee stock purchase plan	\$ 16,734 =====	\$ 31,256 =====
Purchase of acquisition assets in exchange for note payable	\$ 500,000 =====	\$ --- =====

See notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

8

Littlefield Corporation
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
 September 30, 2008

 NOTE 1 - PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION.

The unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Littlefield Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries (the "Company"). The financial statements contained herein are unaudited and, in the opinion of management, contain all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amount of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities and the reported amount of revenue and expenses during the reported period. Actual results could differ from these estimates. Where appropriate, items within the consolidated financial statements have been reclassified to maintain consistency and comparability for all periods presented.

The operating results for the nine month period ended September 30, 2008, are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2008. Except for historical information contained herein, certain matters set forth in this report are forward looking statements that are subject to substantial risks and uncertainties, including the impact of government regulation and taxation, customer attendance and spending, competition, and general economic conditions, among others. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains "forward-looking" statements as such term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and information relating to the Company and its subsidiaries that are based on the beliefs of the Company's management. When used in this report, the words "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "expect," and "intend" and words or phrases of similar import, as they relate to the Company or its subsidiaries or Company management, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect the current risks, uncertainties and assumptions related to certain factors including, without limitations, competitive factors, general economic conditions, customer relations, relationships with vendors, the interest rate environment, governmental regulation and supervision, seasonality, distribution networks, product introductions and acceptance, technological change, changes in industry practices, onetime events and other factors described herein and in other filings made by the company with the Securities and Exchange Commission, based upon changing conditions, should any one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should any underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described herein as anticipated, believed, estimated, expected or intended. The Company does not intend to update these forward-looking statements.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

NOTE 2 - PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT.

Property and equipment at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, consists of the following:

	September 30, 2008	December 31, 2007
Land	\$ 740,467	\$ 740,467
Buildings	3,395,498	3,404,348
Leasehold improvements	5,763,284	4,756,267
Rental inventory and bingo equipment	2,093,596	1,989,605
Equipment, furniture and fixtures	3,171,476	2,604,406
Automobiles	385,144	468,626
	-----	-----
	15,549,465	13,963,719
Less: Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(7,451,439)	(7,037,160)
	-----	-----
Property and equipment, net	\$ 8,098,026	\$ 6,926,559
	=====	=====

Total depreciation expense, for owned and leased assets, charged to operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, was approximately \$739,000 and \$546,700, respectively.

9

Littlefield Corporation
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
September 30, 2008

NOTE 3 - GOODWILL & OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS.

Goodwill at September 30, 2008 is as follows:

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Total
	-----	-----	-----
Goodwill at December 31, 2007	\$ 6,704,375	\$ (1,799,264)	\$ 4,905,111
Goodwill acquired during period	1,638,618	---	1,638,618
Goodwill disposed of during period	(42,368)	---	(42,368)
	-----	-----	-----
Goodwill at September 30, 2008	\$ 8,300,625	\$ (1,799,264)	\$ 6,501,361
	=====	=====	=====
	Entertainment	Hospitality	Total
	-----	-----	-----
Balance at December 31, 2007	\$ 4,533,727	\$ 371,384	\$ 4,905,111

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Goodwill acquired during the year	1,638,618	---	1,638,618
Goodwill disposed of during period	---	(42,368)	(42,368)
	-----	-----	-----
Balance at September 30, 2008	\$ 6,172,345	\$ 329,016	\$ 6,501,361
	=====	=====	=====

Intangible assets at September 30, 2008 consists of the following:

	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Total
	-----	-----	-----
Intangible Assets with Indefinite Lives:			
Bingo licenses at December 31, 2007	\$ 694,719	(51,974)	\$ 642,745
Licenses acquired during the period	186,620		186,620
	-----	-----	-----
Bingo licenses at September 30, 2008	\$ 881,339	(51,974)	\$ 829,365
Intangible Assets with Finite Lives:			
Covenants not to compete at December 31, 2007	\$ 297,500	(241,039)	\$ 56,461
Change in covenants not to compete	50,000	(23,502)	26,498
	-----	-----	-----
Covenants not to compete at September 30, 2008	\$ 347,500	(264,541)	82,959
Intangible Assets, Net of Accumulated Amortization			\$ 912,324
			=====

Amortization expense charged to operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, was approximately \$23,500 and \$22,100, respectively.

NOTE 4 - SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY.

At September 30, 2008 the Company holds 779,806 treasury shares at an average purchase cost of \$1.27. In March 2008, the Company sold, at a ten percent (10%) premium, 5,190,568 shares of its common stock to an institutional investor for \$7,000,000.

Littlefield Corporation
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
September 30, 2008

NOTE 5 - SHARE BASED PAYMENTS.

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, which requires that the compensation cost relating to share-based payment transactions be recognized in financial statements based on the provisions of SFAS 123 issued in 1995. We have adopted this statement using the modified prospective method of implementation, whereby the prospective method records the compensation expense from the implementation date forward, but leaves prior periods unchanged.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

The Company recorded approximately \$39,200 and \$42,900 in compensation expense in the nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, related to options issued under its stock-based incentive compensation plans. This includes expense related to options issued in prior years for which the requisite service period for those options includes the current year. The fair value of these options was calculated using the Black-Scholes options pricing model. There were no options issued in the nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

NOTE 6 - EARNINGS PER SHARE.

A reconciliation of basic to diluted earnings per share is as follows:

Nine months ended September 30, -----	2008 Basic	2008 Diluted	2007 Basic	2007 Diluted
Numerator:				
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,112,575)	\$ (1,112,575)	\$ 598,912	\$ 598,912
Denominator:				
Weighted average shares outstanding	15,083,201	15,083,201	11,187,178	11,187,178
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Stock options and warrants	---	---	---	---
Weighted average shares outstanding	15,083,201	15,083,201	11,187,178	11,187,178
Earnings (loss) per share	\$ (0.074)	\$ (0.074)	\$ 0.054	\$ 0.054

Stock options to acquire 217,136 and 168,683 shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively were excluded in the computations of diluted EPS because the effect of including the stock options would have been anti-dilutive.

Littlefield Corporation
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
September 30, 2008

NOTE 7 - ACCOUNTING FOR STOCK BASED COMPENSATION

The Company applies FASB Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123R (Revised 2004), Share Based Payment, using the modified prospective method of implementation, whereby the prospective method records the compensation expense from the implementation date forward, however leaves prior periods recorded in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees ("APB 25") in accounting for its stock options. At September 30, 2008, the

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Company has implemented five shareholder approved stock option plans. These plans are intended to comply with Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended. The plans collectively provide for the total issuance of 3,600,000 common shares, as adjusted for the 20% stock dividend in 2006, over ten years from the date of each plan's approval. In addition, the plans allow for additional increases of 15% of the then outstanding shares each year through 2008.

Transactions under the stock option plans are summarized below. At September 30, 2008, a total of 445,410 options were outstanding under these plans.

Employee Stock Plans			
	Options	Weighted Average Exercise Price	
Outstanding at 12/31/07	617,910	\$ 0.74	
Granted	---	---	
Exercised	(67,500)	0.51	
Forfeited	(105,000)	1.78	
Outstanding at 09/30/08	445,410	\$ 0.53	

No options were issued during 2008.

Aggregate intrinsic value represents the value of the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the period in excess of the exercise price multiplied by the number of options outstanding or exercisable. The total intrinsic value of options exercised during 2008 was \$43,835. Total unrecognized stock-based compensation expense related to non-vested stock options was approximately \$18,100 as of September 30, 2008, related to approximately 73,500 shares with a per share weighted average fair value of \$0.48. We anticipate this expense to be recognized over a weighted average period of approximately 0.25 years.

The following table summarizes information about options outstanding at September 30, 2008 under the stock option plans adjusted for the 2006 stock dividend:

	Options Outstanding			Options Exercisable		
	Range of Exercise Prices	Number Outstanding	Weighted Avg. Remaining Contractual Life	Weighted Avg. Exercise Price	Number Exercisable	Weighted Avg. Exercise Price
2008:	\$1.26 - \$1.87	16,500	7.6 years	\$1.32	16,500	\$1.32
	\$0.00 - \$1.25	428,910	6.0 years	\$0.50	355,410	\$0.50
		445,410	6.1 years	\$0.53	371,910	\$0.54
	Aggregate intrinsic value	\$172,716			\$144,051	

The weighted average remaining contractual life of options exercisable as of September 30, 2008 was 6.0 years.

Littlefield Corporation
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
 September 30, 2008

 NOTE 8 - COMPREHENSIVE INCOME.

The Company has adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income. Statement No. 130 establishes new rules for the reporting and display of comprehensive income and its components; however, the adoption of this Statement has no impact on net income or shareholders' equity. Statement No. 130 requires unrealized gains or losses to be included in other comprehensive income.

The components of comprehensive income for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007 are as follows:

	2008	2007
	-----	-----
Net income (loss)	\$ (1,112,575)	\$ 598,912
Other comprehensive income		
Reclassification adjustment for loss included in net income	---	4,713
	-----	-----
	---	4,713
	-----	-----
Total comprehensive income (loss)	\$ (1,112,575)	\$ 603,625
	=====	=====

 NOTE 9 - INCOME TAXES.

The Company recorded approximately \$67,300 and \$54,300 of state income tax expense, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. The Company does not expect to incur material federal income tax charges until the depletion of its accumulated federal income tax loss carry-forwards, which totaled approximately \$6,700,000 at December 31, 2007, and begin expiring in the year 2015.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48) on January 1, 2007. FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an enterprise's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, by prescribing a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. If a tax position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination, then an enterprise would be required to recognize in its financial statements the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being realized upon ultimate settlement. As a result of our implementation of FIN 48 at the time of adoption and as of December 31, 2007, the Company did not recognize a liability for uncertain tax positions. We do not expect our unrecognized tax benefits to change significantly over the next twelve months.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

The tax years 2003 through 2007 remain open to examination by the taxing jurisdictions in which we file income tax returns.

13

Littlefield Corporation
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
September 30, 2008

NOTE 10 - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS.

In 2002, the President was awarded a \$300,000 bonus. In August 2007, the Board of Directors approved and payment was made to the President and CEO for the accrued bonus and accrued interest thereon. The Company accrued \$11,813 in interest in 2007 on this liability.

During 2006, the Company renewed the employment agreement with its President and CEO; in accordance with this agreement, the Company accrued \$18,000 and \$18,000 of deferred compensation in the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES.

Generally speaking, the Securities and Exchange Commission guidelines require a company to report any pending legal and/or regulatory proceedings that involves a claim for damages in excess of ten percent (10%) of its current assets. The litigation and proceedings discussed below do not necessarily meet this threshold, but are included in the interest of full disclosure. In general, the Company will vigorously defend itself against all claims to the fullest extent possible.

The Company is obligated to make payments over approximately the next three years in settlement of litigation that was concluded in prior periods. At September 30, 2008, the carrying value of these obligations was \$443,658. The Company is current in all its settlement payment obligations.

Littlefield Corporation f/k/a/ American Bingo and Gaming v. Philip Furtney, Case No.: 2001 CA 4000, Circuit Court of the Twelfth Judicial Circuit in and for Manatee County, Florida.

In this case, Littlefield initially sought recovery from Philip Furtney ["Furtney"] for fraud, negligent misrepresentations, and breach of guaranty. This litigation arises from the 1995 acquisition of three Florida bingo centers by a predecessor, American Bingo & Gaming Corporation, from two corporations controlled by Furtney - Pondella Hall for Hire, Inc., and 800438 Ontario. Several months after the acquisition of the three centers, the Florida Attorney General obtained an indictment for alleged racketeering against two American Bingo subsidiaries that operated two of the centers and brought a civil proceeding for racketeering against the same two subsidiaries and American Bingo based upon the same allegations. The indictment and civil litigation were the result of an investigation that had been ongoing for over one year prior to the acquisition of the centers. Furtney was aware of the investigation and its serious nature, but did not disclose the investigation to American Bingo. In fact, the agreements related to the sales specifically and falsely stated that there were not any ongoing governmental investigations. American Bingo settled the litigation brought by the Florida Attorney General and sold its Florida centers as a condition of the settlement. The resolution of this long pending

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

matter was substantially delayed when Furtney, a citizen of Canada and part time resident of Mexico, avoided service of the Complaint and would not permit his United States attorney to accept service of the Complaint. Littlefield was successful in finally serving Furtney when he was in the United States in 2005, to attend related litigation.

Furtney passed away in September 2007, several months before the scheduled trial date. In the event a defendant dies following the commencement of litigation, the Florida Rules of Civil Procedure provides that a plaintiff may substitute the defendant's estate as the defendant and continue to pursue the claim to judgment. Furtney's estate has now been substituted as the defendant and Littlefield intends to vigorously pursue the claim for all damages related to the purchase of the Florida centers from Furtney's estate, including all sums paid in the acquisition, all costs incurred by American Bingo in the litigation with the state of Florida, and judgments Littlefield was required to pay to Pondella and 800438 Ontario as a result of related litigation. Littlefield is now awaiting a trial date which has been delayed by the Estate's decision to change trial counsel. The Estate's new counsel has now entered an appearance and we expect that the Court will schedule a Case Management Conference in the near future, at which time a new trial date will be set.

14

Littlefield Corporation
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
September 30, 2008

NOTE 11 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES.

In South Carolina Department of Revenue v. Littlefield Corporation, Midlands Promotions, Inc., Low Country Promotions, Inc., and Gamecock Promotions, Inc., 05-ALJ-17-0413-CC,

The South Carolina Department of Revenue issued an administrative bingo violation against the above referenced parties alleging that the Company has an unlawful number of bingo promoter licenses. The Department of Revenue seeks to revoke all bingo promoter licenses held by the Company's South Carolina subsidiaries and seeks a \$5,000 penalty. The Department of Revenue is seeking to pierce the corporate veil of the Company to thereby attribute the promoter licenses to the Company. The Department of Revenue's theory is that the three South Carolina subsidiaries are sham corporations and that, as a matter of law, the Company should be deemed the holder of the 12 promoter licenses at issue. South Carolina law provides that a promoter may only have 5 licenses. The Company moved for summary judgment and it was denied. However, certain originally named charities were dismissed from the lawsuit. The case was stayed until co-counsel returned from active military duty, which occurred at the end of June 2008.

Additionally, in Littlefield Corporation, Gamecock Promotions Inc., Palmetto Upstate Promotions Inc., and Midlands Promotions Inc. v. South Carolina Department of Revenue, 07-ALJ-17-623-CC, the Company and its subsidiaries protested the South Carolina Department of Revenue's initial denial of six additional promoters licenses that the Department of Revenue denied on the same theories upon which they seek to revoke the other subsidiaries' promoter licenses, as described above. Although both parties' Motions for Summary Judgment were denied in this proceeding, in June 2008, the administrative law judge in this protest proceeding ordered that the six licenses be issued pending trial and resolution of the proceedings between the Department of Revenue and

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

the Company.

The Company and its subsidiaries will seek to consolidate both of the above proceedings for discovery and trial, because both proceedings involve the same legal and factual issues. The Company and its subsidiaries are vigorously defending the revocation proceeding and the right to hold the additional licenses for which the subsidiaries applied and assert that Littlefield Corporation is not the holder of these promoter licenses, but rather that its lawfully formed subsidiaries are separate corporations that each hold a lawful number of the promoter licenses.

Cause No. 8285-D; West Texas Bingo, Inc v. Rodger Hiatt, in the 350th Judicial District Court of Taylor County, Texas.

In this case, the Company is plaintiff. The Company filed suit against the Defendant alleging the Defendant interfered with the Company's bingo operations and/or business operations at Super Bingo, which is located in Abilene, Texas. The Defendant asserted counterclaims against the Company alleging that the Company's claims were harassing and constituted intentional infliction of emotional distress. Defendant's claims were dismissed by the Court via summary judgment in June 2008, and there are currently no pending claims being asserted by Defendant against West Texas Bingo, Inc. or Littlefield Corporation. The lawsuit is ongoing and the parties are currently engaged in discovery. The matter has not been set for trial, nor have the parties scheduled a pre-trial mediation.

Cause No.24, 182-B; West Texas Bingo, Inc. v. Janie Wall, in the 104th Judicial District Court of Taylor County, Texas.

In this case, the Company is plaintiff. The Company filed suit against the Defendant alleging the Defendant interfered with the Company's bingo operations and/or business operations at Super Bingo, which is located in Abilene, Texas. The Defendant asserted counterclaims against the Company alleging that the Company's claims were harassing and constituted intentional infliction of emotional distress. Defendant's claims were dismissed by the Court via summary judgment in June 2008, and there are currently no pending claims being asserted by Defendant against West Texas Bingo, Inc. or Littlefield Corporation. Although there are pending claims being asserted by Defendant, Defendant recently moved the Court for leave to assert additional claims against Littlefield Corporation and its CEO; the Court has not yet ruled upon Defendant's request. The lawsuit is ongoing and the parties are currently engaged in discovery. The matter has not been set for trial, nor have the parties scheduled a pre-trial mediation.

15

Littlefield Corporation
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
September 30, 2008

NOTE 12 - SALE AND ACQUISITION OF BUSINESSES.

On April 15, 2008, the Company sold the assets of its custom catering business unit reflecting the Company's focus on its charitable bingo business in Texas, South Carolina, Alabama and Florida. The asset sale resulted in a gain on sale of \$474,387 resulting from a \$650,000 sales price less \$175,613 of disposed assets, at net book value.

The assets of the catering business unit were sold for \$650,000 with payment consisting of \$250,000 in cash and a three year \$400,000 note receivable at

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

seven percent (7%). During the third quarter of 2008, the note was paid in full less a discount of \$22,000 granted for the early payoff of the note.

The amounts of sales, gross profit and gross profit on a basic per share basis of the catering business unit included in the third quarter and nine months to date of 2008 compared to the comparable prior year periods are as follows:

	Q308	Q307	Change	2008 Nine months year to date	2007 Nine months year to date
Revenue	\$ ---	\$ 396,745	\$ (396,745)	\$ 497,039	\$ 1,000,000
Gross profit	\$ ---	(\$106,918)	\$ (106,918)	\$ (33,263)	\$ 1,000,000
Gross profit per share	\$ 0.00	\$ (0.01)		\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.00

On July 14, 2008, the Company, through a wholly-owned South Carolina subsidiary, Columbia One Corp. entered into an asset purchase agreement with Kokomos Inc., a South Carolina corporation, and its sole owner, acquiring all of the assets of six (6) bingo halls located in Greer (2), Columbia (3) and Goose Creek (1) South Carolina. The assets were purchased for \$1.8 million, consisting of \$750,000 in cash paid at closing and a promissory note for \$1,050,000, secured by the assets being purchased. The original agreement allowed for the purchase price to be reduced by \$300,000 to \$1.5 million if a single, lump sum payment of \$750,000 was made on or before September 30, 2008, in full satisfaction of the promissory note. On September 26, 2008 the Company paid an additional \$250,000 on the note and amended the agreement to allow for the reduction in purchase price to \$1.5 million if \$500,000 is paid on the note by January 6, 2009. The promissory note bears interest of 6% per annum, matures October 1, 2013 and is payable in quarterly installments commencing on January 1, 2009.

Littlefield Corporation
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
 September 30, 2008

 NOTE 13 - SEGMENTS.

The Company's Chief Operating Decision Maker ("CODM"), the President and CEO, evaluates performance and allocates resources based on a measure of segment profit or loss from operations. The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in the summary of significant accounting policies except that depreciation and amortization are allocated to each segment from functional department totals based on certain assumptions which include, among other things, revenues. Also, the Company's CODM does not view segment results below gross profit (loss), therefore, general and administrative expenses, net interest income, other income, and the provision for income taxes are not broken out by segment below.

The entertainment segment encompasses charitable bingo hall operations in Texas, Alabama, South Carolina and Florida. The hospitality segment includes income from party and tent rentals, separating the catering business unit sold at the beginning of the second quarter. The entertainment and hospitality segments were identified based on the different nature of the services and legislative monitoring and, in general, the type of customers for those services.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

A summary of the segment financial information, separating the catering business unit sold at the beginning of the second quarter, reported to the CODM for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, is as follows:

September 30, 2008

	Entertainment	Hospitality	Catering	Adjustment
Revenue	\$6,393,000	\$1,576,000	\$497,000	\$72,000
Depreciation and Amortization	476,000	180,000	12,000	95,000
Segment profit (loss)	1,164,000	(342,000)	(33,000)	(1,902,000)
Segment Assets	33,014,000	919,000		(12,247,000)

September 30, 2007

	Entertainment	Hospitality	Catering	Adjustment
Revenue	\$6,646,000	\$1,813,000	\$1,673,000	\$39,000
Depreciation and Amortization	305,000	147,000	31,000	86,000
Segment profit (loss)	3,118,000	(257,000)	(57,000)	(2,206,000)
Segment Assets	27,552,000	1,487,000		(12,856,000)

The Adjustments generally represent other corporate expenses and revenue, other income, depreciation and amortization related to corporate assets, corporate gains and losses on disposition of assets, inter-company eliminations and corporate capital expenditures to reconcile segment balances to consolidated balances.

A summary of items included in the "Adjustment" follows:

	2008	2007
Gross profit - other revenue	\$ 72,000	\$ 37,000
General and administrative expense	(2,201,000)	(1,919,000)
Gain on disposal of assets	474,000	23,000
Other income and expenses	(180,000)	(293,000)
Provision for income taxes	(67,000)	(54,000)
Total "Adjustment"	\$ (1,902,000)	\$ (2,206,000)

17

Littlefield Corporation
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (unaudited)
September 30, 2008

NOTE 14 - RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS.

Recent Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This pronouncement applies under other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurements.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurement. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. However, SFAS 157 is amended by Financial Statement Position ("FSP") FAS 157-1, Application of FASB Statement 157 to FASB Statement 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13, which excludes from the scope of this provision arrangements accounted for under SFAS 13, Accounting for Leases. SFAS 157 is also amended by FSP FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). This FSP partially defers the effective date of Statement 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of this FSP. We adopted SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008, except as it applies to those nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities as noted in FSP FAS 157-2. The partial adoption of SFAS 157 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - including an amendment of FASB Statement 115. This standard permits an entity to measure many financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We adopted SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008, as required. The adoption of SFAS 159 did not have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 141(R), Business Combinations--a replacement of FASB Statement No. 141, which significantly changes the principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. The statement also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. This statement is effective prospectively, except for certain retrospective adjustments to deferred tax balances, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 141(R) and have not yet determined the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. This Statement changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This statement is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. Early application is encouraged. We are currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 161 and have not yet determined the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP No. FAS 142-3, Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets. FSP No. FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets. The intent of the position is to improve the consistency between the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142 and the period of expected cash flows used to measure the fair value of

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

the asset under SFAS No. 141R, and other U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The provisions of FSP No. FAS 142-3 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. Early adoption is prohibited. We are currently evaluating the requirements of FSP No. FAS 142-3 and have not yet determined the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

18

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The Company reported nine months year-to-date 2008 adjusted revenue declined 5% from the comparable prior year period. Adjusted revenue removes year-to-date revenue related to the Company's catering business which was sold during the second quarter of 2008. During the third quarter, revenue was affected by two hurricanes and the national economic crisis which lowered attendance levels at halls particularly in South Carolina and offset the contribution of new halls acquired in January and July of this year. The Company operated at above breakeven excluding the significant unfavorable impact on earnings of renovations, re-openings and the start-up of new halls in Texas and ongoing legal expenses. The halls we acquired in July and their historical financial performance are described in greater detail in the Reports on Form 8-K and 8-K/A filed with the SEC on July 14, 2008 and September 26, 2008.

Results in the first nine months of 2008 include the effect of approximately \$1,504,000 of notable items: \$1,426,000 from the effects of renovations, re-openings and start-ups of new halls at several halls in Texas, \$493,000 of legal and acquisition related expenses and \$39,000 for non cash expenses for compensation expense related to stock options which were partially offset by a \$454,000 net gain on sale of catering business unit assets after direct expenses. The Company has underway a broad program of renovations, re-openings and start-ups of new halls affecting nine locations within the Company's portfolio of 41 bingo centers including Pensacola, Florida, and San Angelo, Abilene, Odessa, El Paso, McAllen and Corpus Christi, Texas. The Company announced plans to open additional halls in McAllen and El Paso, Texas, later in the year. The San Angelo bingo hall opened during the first quarter while the Corpus Christi bingo hall opened in May of the second quarter of 2008. The legal expenses were mainly related to our expansion plans and operations in South Carolina, Texas legal items, our completed Florida acquisition and our litigation with Furtney seeking recovery of prior settlements and other damages.

Results in the first nine months of 2007 included approximately \$329,000 of notable items: \$313,000 from legal expenses related to South Carolina, Texas and our attempt to expand into Arkansas, and \$43,000 for non cash expenses for compensation expense related to stock options offset by \$27,000 related to start-up activities.

The Company has also increased the level of capital spending associated with the implementation of its entertainment destination strategy which encompasses bettering the infrastructure, interior environment, amenities and activities of the bingo centers in the Company's portfolio.

Revenues

The following table sets forth the Company's revenues by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, adjusted for the sale of the catering business unit at the beginning of the second quarter:

2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change
------	------	-----------	----------

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

	2008	2007	2008	2007
Total Revenues	\$8,538,000	\$ 10,171,000	\$(1,633,000)	(16%)
Less: Catering	497,000	1,673,000	(1,176,000)	(61%)
Adjusted revenue	8,041,000	8,498,000	(457,000)	(5%)
Entertainment	6,393,000	6,647,000	(254,000)	(4%)
Texas	3,858,000	4,112,000	(254,000)	(6%)
South Carolina	1,232,000	1,415,000	(183,000)	(13%)
Alabama / Florida	1,303,000	1,120,000	183,000	16%
Hospitality	1,576,000	1,813,000	(237,000)	(13%)
Other	\$ 72,000	\$ 38,000	\$ 34,000	NM

During the first nine months of 2008, total adjusted revenues for the Company decreased 5% from 2007 with both Entertainment and Hospitality segments contributing to the decline in revenue. Entertainment revenue decreased 4% with Texas being the most significant contributor mainly as a result of the effect on revenue of hall renovations and re-openings totaling \$364,000. Absent the effects of the strategic investments to strengthen its long-term position in certain markets by renovating then reopening and merging certain halls in Texas, the underlying performance of the Texas portfolio was up approximately 4% from the prior year. The Entertainment segment accounted for 79% of total adjusted revenues compared with 78% of total adjusted revenues in 2007. By state, Entertainment revenues for Texas, South Carolina and Alabama / Florida were 60%, 19% and 21% of total Entertainment revenue respectively compared to 62%, 21% and 17% in 2007. The increase in Alabama / Florida mainly represented the purchase of a new Florida hall in January 2008. Hospitality revenue decreased 13% from the prior year reflecting lower event activity. Hospitality accounted for 20% of total adjusted revenues in 2008, compared to 21% of total adjusted revenues in 2007. Other revenue includes other ancillary services and miscellaneous revenue not reported as segment revenue.

19

Gross profit and Costs and Expenses

The table below summarizes the Company's gross profit by segment for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, adjusted for the sale of the catering business unit at the beginning of the second quarter:

	2008	2007	\$ Change	% Change
Total Gross Profit	\$ 861,000	\$ 2,841,000	\$(1,980,000)	(70%)
Less: Catering gross profit (loss)	(33,000)	(57,000)	24,000	NM
Adjusted gross profit	894,000	2,898,000	(2,004,000)	(69%)
Entertainment	1,164,000	3,118,000	(1,954,000)	(63%)
Hospitality	(342,000)	(257,000)	(85,000)	(33%)
Other	\$ 72,000	\$ 37,000	\$ 35,000	NM

The decrease in adjusted gross profit was mainly attributed to the effects of: (1) renovations and openings at several halls in Texas in the amount of \$1,426,000, (2) higher Texas administrative expenses added to manage the new bingo centers and renovation activity of \$184,000, (3) increased legal and acquisition matters of \$180,000 and (4) lower hospitality revenue.

The nine month year-to-date direct costs and expenses for 2008 and 2007, adjusted for the sale of the catering business, are set forth in the following table:

2008	2007
Nine months	Nine months

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

	year to date	year to date	\$ Change	% Change
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Adjusted Revenue	\$8,041,000	\$ 8,498,000	\$ (457,000)	(5%)
Adjusted direct costs and expenses				
Direct salaries and other compensation	1,565,000	1,394,000	171,000	12%
Rent and utilities	2,101,000	1,846,000	255,000	14%
Other direct operating costs	2,752,000	1,823,000	929,000	51%
Depreciation and amortization	655,000	452,000	203,000	45%
License expense	74,000	85,000	(11,000)	5%
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total adjusted costs and expenses	7,147,000	5,600,000	1,547,000	28%
Adjusted Gross profit	\$ 894,000	\$ 2,898,000	\$(2,004,000)	(69%)

Adjusted cost of services increased 28% over the comparable nine-month prior year period mainly as a result of the costs associated with renovations, re-openings and start-ups of new bingo centers. This, in conjunction with lower revenues, resulted in a decline of gross profit percent (gross profit as a percent of sales) to 11% from 34% in 2007.

Direct salaries and other compensation were 12% above the prior year. The increase mainly represented increased staffing, travel and other expenses related to the renovations and start-ups of bingo centers.

Rent and utilities in 2008 were up approximately 14% over 2007, which largely reflected the addition of our new halls in Florida and Corpus Christi and El Paso Texas. In 2008 and 2007, we did not recognize lease costs on a straight-line basis as provided in SFAS 13, paragraph 15 and FTB 85-3. Instead, lease costs were recognized based on payments made or accrued during each month. If the Company had recognized lease expense on a straight-line basis in 2008 and 2007, total lease costs would not have materially changed the Company's financial results. In general, the Company enters into long term leases underlying its operations. At the same time, the Company generally enters into agreements which are renewed annually with its customers. This permits the Company to adjust its customer agreements in response to general price increases and limits the effect of lease escalation clauses. Generally, the Company's leases require payments of rent and a pro-rata share of real estate maintenance, taxes and insurance.

20

Other direct operating costs in 2008 were up 51% over the prior year, mainly due to costs such as advertising, promotions and development expenses of the new halls and re-opening after major renovations. The provision for doubtful accounts was reduced as a result of the payment of a settlement reached with certain customers.

Depreciation and amortization expense totaled approximately \$750,000 (\$655,000 Cost of Services plus \$95,000 G&A) in 2008 versus \$538,000 in the prior year. The increase was mainly attributed to capital spending on new halls, renovations and implementation of the Company's entertainment destination strategy.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

General and administrative expenses, excluding related depreciation expense, the noted legal fees and stock-based compensation totaled approximately \$1,553,000 in 2008, compared to approximately \$1,476,000 in 2007, an increase of about \$77,000. The increase mainly related to planned staff, compensation and travel related increases.

Other income and expense was a net expense of approximately \$180,000 for 2008, compared to approximately \$293,000 in 2007. The difference mainly stems from lower interest expense from the refinancing of legal settlements and certain notes payable during 2007 and higher interest income on higher average cash and cash equivalent balances.

Our income tax expense for 2008 was approximately \$67,000 compared to \$54,000 in 2007, all of which is related to the expected effective tax rate for state income taxes. As of December 31, 2007, the Company had a net operating loss available for carryover on its federal income taxes of approximately \$6,700,000.

Net Income (Loss)

During the first nine months of 2008, we realized a net loss of approximately \$1,113,000; \$(0.07) per basic share and \$(0.07) per fully diluted share. Net income for the first nine months of 2007 was approximately \$599,000; \$0.05 per basic share and \$0.05 per fully diluted share. The weighted average number of basic Common Stock shares outstanding totaled 15,083,201 in 2008 compared to 11,187,178 in 2007. The increase in shares outstanding mainly represents the sale of 5,190,568 shares of common stock on March 27, 2008.

Adjusted for the noted items above, the adjusted net income during the first nine months of 2008 was approximately \$392,000 and basic earnings per share were \$0.03 per share in 2008 versus an adjusted net income of \$932,000 and basic earnings per share of \$0.08 last year.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and cash equivalents at September 30, 2008, totaled approximately \$5,234,000 and represented 24% of total assets of approximately \$21,686,000. Current assets totaled approximately \$5,960,000. Current liabilities totaled approximately \$1,954,000 and include amounts expected to be paid for early payoff of the South Carolina acquisition note during the first quarter of 2009. Working capital was approximately \$4,006,000 with a current ratio of 3.1 to 1 compared to approximately 1.8 to 1 in December 2007.

Cash used by operating activities for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 totaled approximately \$731,000 compared to cash provided of \$1,780,000 during 2007. Cash flows from operating activities in 2008 were decreased by a net loss of approximately \$1,113,000, the gain on sale of the catering business of approximately \$474,000 and provided by non-cash depreciation expense of approximately \$763,000, stock based compensation of approximately \$39,000 and by other net changes in asset and liability accounts of approximately \$54,000.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled approximately \$2,732,000 for capital expenditures mainly for bingo hall renovations, leasehold improvements, the acquisition of halls in Florida and South Carolina and additional licenses during the nine months ended September 30, 2008. This compared to net cash used in investing activities of approximately \$1,302,000 in 2007 mainly for the purchase of capital assets.

Cash provided by financing activities in 2008 totaled approximately \$6,731,000, compared to net cash used in financing activities in 2007 of approximately \$329,000. During the first nine months of 2008, approximately \$7,000,000 of cash

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

proceeds were obtained through the sale of common stock, approximately \$34,000 was provided by exercised options and \$303,000 was used for the payment of notes payable and legal settlement obligations. In 2007, approximately \$477,000 of financing was obtained from the sale of common stock, exercise of stock options of \$15,000 and \$402,000 from notes payable and \$1,223,000 was used for the payment of notes payable and legal settlements.

21

At September 30, 2008, we had approximately \$21,686,000 in total assets with total liabilities of approximately \$5,470,000 and approximately \$16,216,000 of shareholders' equity. Total assets include approximately \$5,234,000 in cash, \$428,000 of net accounts receivable, other current assets of \$298,000, \$8,098,000 of net property and equipment, \$7,414,000 of intangible assets and \$214,000 of other assets. Total liabilities primarily consist of accounts payable of approximately \$221,000 and notes payable obligations of approximately \$3,986,000, legal settlement obligations of \$444,000 and accrued liabilities of \$753,000 and related-party liabilities of \$66,000.

In 2008, we plan to continue to use our cash generated from operations to make leasehold improvements and renovations in our bingo operations. We also plan to use advantageous combinations of bank financing, seller financing, treasury stock, and cash on new bingo hall acquisitions when favorable terms can be obtained.

Financial Risk Management

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements. We have no off-balance sheet debt.

Market Risk. In the normal course of business, we employ established procedures to manage our exposure to changes in the market value of our investments. There were no significant investments in marketable securities at September 30, 2008 or 2007. The Company holds its funds in cash and certificates of deposit generally insured by the FDIC with uninsured amounts setting off loans payable.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, and expands disclosure about fair value measurements. This pronouncement applies under other accounting standards that require or permit fair value measurements. Accordingly, this statement does not require any new fair value measurement. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007, and interim periods within those fiscal years. However, SFAS 157 is amended by Financial Statement Position ("FSP") FAS 157-1, Application of FASB Statement 157 to FASB Statement 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13, which excludes from the scope of this provision arrangements accounted for under SFAS 13, Accounting for Leases. SFAS 157 is also amended by FSP FAS 157-2, Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157, which delays the effective date of SFAS 157 for all nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). This FSP partially defers the effective date of Statement 157 to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of this FSP. We adopted SFAS 157 on January 1, 2008, except as it applies to those nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities as noted in FSP FAS 157-2. The partial adoption of SFAS 157 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial position or results of operations.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - including an amendment of FASB Statement 115. This standard permits an entity to measure many financial instruments and certain other assets and liabilities at fair value on an instrument-by-instrument basis. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We adopted SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008, as required. The adoption of SFAS 159 did not have a significant impact on our financial position or results of operations.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS 141(R), Business Combinations--a replacement of FASB Statement No. 141, which significantly changes the principles and requirements for how the acquirer of a business recognizes and measures in its financial statements the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. The statement also provides guidance for recognizing and measuring the goodwill acquired in the business combination and determines what information to disclose to enable users of the financial statements to evaluate the nature and financial effects of the business combination. This statement is effective prospectively, except for certain retrospective adjustments to deferred tax balances, for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. We are currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 141(R) and have not yet determined the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In March 2008, the FASB issued SFAS 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. This Statement changes the disclosure requirements for derivative instruments and hedging activities. Entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures about (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments, (b) how derivative instruments and related hedged items are accounted for under Statement 133 and its related interpretations, and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. This statement is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. Early application is encouraged. We are currently evaluating the requirements of SFAS 161 and have not yet determined the impact on our consolidated financial statements.

22

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Response to this item is included in "Item 2 - Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Conditions and Results of Operations - Market Risk" above.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls

The Company's management evaluated, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed with the objective of ensuring that (i) information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms and (ii) the information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures.

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

Based upon their evaluation, our management including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act) are effective, as of the end of the period covered by this report on Form 10-Q, to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms.

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the quarter ended September 30, 2008, that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal controls over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, but not absolute, assurance that the objectives of a control system are met. Further, any control system reflects limitations on resources, and the benefits of a control system must be considered relative to its costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Littlefield Corporation have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of a control. A design of a control system is also based upon certain assumptions about potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected.

23

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

For a discussion of material pending legal proceedings, see Note 11 to the unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements included in Part I hereof, which Note 11 is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit	Description
31.1	Rule 31a-14(a) / 15d-14(a) Certifications
32.1	Section 1350 Certifications

Edgar Filing: LITTLEFIELD CORP - Form 10-Q

SIGNATURES

In accordance with the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Littlefield Corporation

November 14, 2008

By:

/s/ JEFFREY L MINCH

Jeffrey L. Minch
President and Chief Executive Officer

/s/ RICHARD S. CHILINSKI

Richard S. Chilinski
Chief Financial Officer