

Campus Crest Communities, Inc.  
Form 10-K  
April 01, 2015

**UNITED STATES**

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Washington, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-K**

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
<sup>x</sup>1934**

**For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2014**

**..TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF  
1934**

**For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_**

**Commission file number: 001-34872**

**CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES, INC.**

**(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

**Maryland** **27-2481988**  
**(State or other jurisdiction of** **(I.R.S. Employer**  
**incorporation or organization)** **Identification No.)**

**2100 Rexford Road, Suite 414, Charlotte, NC 28211**  
**(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)**

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (704) 496-2500**

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:**

| <b>(Title of Each Class)</b>  | <b>(Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered)</b> |
|---|--|
| Common Stock, \$0.01 par value                                      | New York Stock Exchange                            |
| 8% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value | New York Stock Exchange                            |

**Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:**

**None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.  
Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Exchange Act. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such report(s)), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

(§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and smaller reporting companies in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

There were 64,659,415 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding with a par value of \$0.01 per share as of the close of business on March 26, 2015. The aggregate market value of voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of June 30, 2014 was approximately \$563.1 million.

## **DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE**

Part II and III of this report incorporate certain information by reference to the registrant's definitive Proxy Statement to be filed with respect to the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders.



**FORM 10-K**

**FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2014**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

|   | <b>Page No.</b> |
|---|-----------------|
| <b><u>PART I</u></b>  | 4               |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u>   | 4               |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u>  | 9               |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>   | 31              |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u>   | 31              |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u>  | 33              |
| <br><b><u>PART II.</u></b>  | <br>34          |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>  | 34              |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 34              |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u>  | 35              |
| Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>                        | 40              |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>  | 63              |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>  | 64              |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>                         | 64              |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u>   | 64              |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u>   | 66              |
| <br><b><u>PART III.</u></b>   | <br>66          |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>  | 66              |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u>  | 66              |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>              | 66              |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>                                   | 66              |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>  | 66              |
| <br><b><u>PART IV.</u></b>  | <br>67          |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u>  | 67              |
| <br><b><u>SIGNATURES</u></b>  | <br>72          |

## CAUTIONARY NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act"), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). We intend such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and include this statement for the purpose of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements are generally identifiable by use of forward-looking terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "potential," "intend," "expect," "seek," "anticipate," "estimate," "approximately," "believe," "could," "project," "predict," "continue," "plan," "would" or other similar words or expressions. Forward-looking statements are based on certain assumptions, discuss future expectations, describe future plans and strategies, contain financial and operating projections or state other forward-looking information. Our ability to predict results or the actual effect of future events, actions, plans or strategies is inherently uncertain. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in such forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, our actual results and performance could differ materially from those set forth in, or implied by, the forward-looking statements. Factors that may cause our actual results, performance or achievements to differ materially from those expressed or implied by forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, the following:

the factors discussed in this report, including those set forth under the headings "Business," "Risk Factors" and "Management's Discussion of Financial Condition and Results of Operations";

the performance of the student housing industry in general;

decreased occupancy or rental rates at our properties resulting from competition or other factors;

the operating performance of our properties;

the availability of attractive acquisition opportunities in properties that satisfy our investment criteria and the success of our acquisition activities, including satisfaction of conditions to closing for pending acquisitions and, in some cases, the negotiation and execution of definitive documents and satisfaction of the conditions therein;

changes in the admissions or housing policies of the colleges and universities from which we draw student-tenants;

changes in our business and growth strategies and in our ability to consummate acquisitions or dispositions or additional joint venture transactions;

our ability to manage effectively our growth and expansion into new markets, including international markets, or to integrate acquisitions successfully, including our acquisition of the CB Portfolio (as defined herein);

changes in exchange rates for foreign currencies;

our capitalization and leverage level;

our capital expenditures;

the degree and nature of our competition, in terms of developing properties, consummating acquisitions and in obtaining student-tenants to fill our properties;

volatility in the real estate industry, interest rates and spreads, the debt or equity markets, the economy generally or the local markets in which our properties are located, including international markets, whether the result of market events or otherwise;

events or circumstances that undermine confidence in the financial markets or otherwise have a broad impact on financial markets, such as the sudden instability or collapse of large financial institutions or other significant corporations, terrorist attacks, natural or man-made disasters or threatened or actual armed conflicts;

the availability and terms of short-term and long-term financing;

our ability to extend the maturity of or refinance our existing debt, or comply with the financial and other covenants of the agreements that govern our existing debt;

the credit quality of our student-tenants and parental guarantors;

changes in personnel, including the departure of key members of our senior management, and lack of availability of, or our inability to attract and retain, qualified personnel;

unanticipated increases in financing and other costs, including a rise in interest rates;

estimates relating to our ability to make distributions to our stockholders in the future and our expectations as to the form of any such distributions;

- environmental costs, uncertainties and risks, especially those related to natural disasters;

differences in cultures, including adapting practices and strategies that have been successful in our domestic business to international markets;

- changes in governmental regulations, accounting treatment, tax rates and similar matters;

legislative and regulatory changes (including changes to laws governing the taxation of real estate investments trusts ("REIT")); and

limitations imposed on our business and our ability to satisfy complex rules in order for us to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes and the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to qualify as taxable REIT subsidiaries for U.S. federal income tax purposes, and our ability and the ability of our subsidiaries to operate effectively within the limitations imposed by these rules.

When considering forward-looking statements, keep in mind the risk factors and other cautionary statements in this report. Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on any of these forward-looking statements, which reflect our views as of the date of this report. The matters summarized in this report, including the factors set forth under the headings "Business," "Risk Factors," "Properties" and "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations," could cause our actual results and performance to differ materially from those set forth in, or implied by, our forward-looking statements. Accordingly, we cannot guarantee future results or performance. Furthermore, except as required by law, we are under no duty to, and we do not intend to, update any of our forward-looking statements after the date of this report, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

## **PART I**

### **Item 1. Business.**

#### **Our Company**

Campus Crest Communities, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, referred to herein as the "Company," "we," "us," "our," and "Campus Crest," is a self-managed, self-administered REIT focused on owning and managing a diversified portfolio of high-quality, residence life student housing properties. We were incorporated in the State of Maryland on March 1, 2010. On October 19, 2010, we completed an initial public offering (the "Offering") of our common stock.



As a result of the Offering and certain formation transactions entered into in connection therewith (the "Formation Transactions"), we currently own the sole general partner interest and own limited partner interests in Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP (the "Operating Partnership"). We hold substantially all of our assets, and conduct substantially all of our business, through the Operating Partnership. The Offering and Formation Transactions were designed to (i) continue the operations of Campus Crest Communities Predecessor (the "Predecessor"), (ii) reduce outstanding mortgage and construction loan indebtedness, (iii) enable us to acquire additional interests in certain of our student housing properties, (iv) fund joint venture capital requirements, and (v) establish sufficient working capital for general corporate purposes. The exchange of entities or interests in the Predecessor for units of limited partnership interests in the Operating Partnership ("OP units") has been accounted for as a reorganization of entities under common control. As a result, our assets and liabilities have been reflected at their historical cost basis.

In February 2013, we entered into purchase and sale agreements to acquire an approximate 48.0% interest in a portfolio of 35 student housing properties, one undeveloped land parcel and a corporate office building held by the members of Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC ("CBTC") and Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC (the "CB Portfolio"), and a fully integrated platform and brand with management, development and construction teams, for an initial purchase price of approximately \$230.2 million, including the repayment of \$106.7 million of debt. The remaining interests in the CB Portfolio are held by certain of the former members of CBTC and CBTC PA, (the "CB Investors"). In September 2013, we entered into an amendment to the above referenced purchase and sale agreements for consideration of \$4.0 million whereby we will transfer our 48.0% interest in five properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio back to the CB Investors and defer the acquisition of two development properties as consideration for an additional 19.0% interest in each of the remaining 30 properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. Subsequent to December 31, 2014, we along with certain of our affiliates completed the acquisition of the Copper Beech Portfolio. See Note 19 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

During the third quarter of 2014, we began to implement a strategic repositioning which includes, among other things:

- (1) Simplifying the business model by discontinuing all construction and development and focusing on organic growth;
- (2) Reducing the number of joint ventures through planned dispositions of certain assets within our joint ventures to simplify asset ownership structure and reduce exposure to off-balance sheet obligations;  
Disposing of land which was previously held for future development (held in land and property held for sale as of
- (3) December 31, 2014, some of which was disposed of subsequent to the year ended December 31, 2014 (see Note 19 to the accompanying consolidated financial statement) and the rest of which we expect to dispose of during 2015);
- (4) Identifying costs savings at our properties and at the corporate office; and

- (5) Focusing time and resources on recruiting new members of our management team.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company discontinued all construction and development operations (see Note 7 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

We believe that we are one of the largest owners and managers of high-quality student housing properties in the United States, based on beds owned and under management. As of December 31, 2014, we owned interests in 47 operating student housing *The Grove*® properties containing approximately 9,700 apartment units and 26,300 beds. Thirty-six of our operating *The Grove*® properties are wholly-owned and eleven of our *The Grove*® properties are owned through joint ventures with HSRE. As of December 31, 2014, we also owned interests in 36 operating student housing Copper Beech branded properties containing approximately 6,500 apartment units and 17,300 beds. Our Copper Beech branded properties are owned by the Company and the CB Investors. As of December 31, 2014, we also owned interests in three operating student housing *evo*® properties containing approximately 1,500 units and 3,000 beds and owned one wholly-owned redevelopment property containing approximately 170 units and 340 beds. As of December 31, 2014, our operating portfolio consisted of the following:

|  | Properties in<br>Operation | Ownership |                  | Number<br>of Units | Number<br>of Beds |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Wholly-owned Grove properties <sup>(1)</sup> | 36                         | 100.0     | %                | 7,305              | 19,945            |
| Joint venture Grove properties:              |                            |           |                  |                    |                   |
| HSRE I                                       | 3                          | 63.9      | % <sup>(2)</sup> | 544                | 1,508             |
| HSRE V                                       | 3                          | 10.0      | %                | 662                | 1,856             |
| HSRE VI                                      | 3                          | 20.0      | %                | 664                | 1,784             |
| HSRE X                                       | 2                          | 30.0      | %                | 468                | 1,240             |
| Total Grove properties                       | 47                         |           |                  | 9,643              | 26,333            |
| Joint venture evo properties:                |                            |           |                  |                    |                   |
| CSH Montreal                                 | 2                          | 47.0      | %                | 1,203              | 2,223             |
| HSRE IX                                      | 1                          | 30.0      | %                | 344                | 850               |
| Total evo properties                         | 3                          |           |                  | 1,547              | 3,073             |
| CB Portfolio                                 | 36                         | 48.0      | % <sup>(3)</sup> | 6,461              | 17,283            |
| Total Portfolio <sup>(4)</sup>               | 86                         |           |                  | 17,651             | 46,689            |

(1) In 2014, we acquired the remaining ownership interest in The Grove at Denton, Texas.

In 2014, we amended and restated our operating agreement with HSRE resulting in our previously held preferred (2) interest in The Grove at San Angelo, Texas, and The Grove at Conway, Arkansas, being converted to additional membership units of HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC.

(3) As of December 31, 2014, we had an effective interest in the CB Portfolio of 48%. See Note 8 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

(4) The redevelopment of our 100% owned property in Toledo, OH, which was acquired in March 2013, is excluded.

As of December 31, 2014, the average occupancy for our 47 *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> properties was approximately 87.2% and the average monthly total revenue per occupied bed was approximately \$527. Our operating properties are located in 23 states, contain modern apartment units with many resort-style amenities, and had an average age of approximately 4.0 years as of December 31, 2014. As of December 31, 2014, the average occupancy for our 36 Copper Beech branded properties was approximately 91.0%, and the average monthly total revenue per occupied bed was approximately \$487. Our properties are primarily located in medium-sized college and university markets, which we define as markets located outside of major U.S. cities that have nearby schools generally with overall enrollment of approximately 5,000 to 20,000 students. We believe such markets are underserved and are generally experiencing enrollment growth.

We have developed, built and managed substantially all of our wholly-owned properties and several of our unconsolidated joint venture properties, which are based upon a common prototypical residential building design. We believe that our use of this prototypical building design, which we have built more than 700 times at our student housing properties (approximately 15 of such residential buildings comprise one student housing property), allows us to efficiently deliver a uniform and proven student housing product in multiple markets. The majority of our operating properties (other than those in the CB Portfolio, *evo*<sup>®</sup> and Toledo) operate under *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> brand, and we believe that our brand and the associated lifestyle are effective differentiators that create higher visibility and appeal for our properties within their markets both with the students as well as the universities we serve.

We began building or redeveloping nine new student housing properties in 2013 that commenced operations in August 2014, one of which is owned by a joint venture with HSRE and Brandywine Realty Trust (“Brandywine”) in which we own a 30.0% interest and act as the co-developer, one of which is owned by a joint venture with Beaumont Partners SA (“Beaumont”) in which we owned a 47.0% interest at December 31, 2014, two of which are owned by a joint venture with HSRE in which we own a 30% interest, one of which is a Copper Beech branded property in which our ownership interest is commensurate with the remainder of the CB Portfolio, and four of which are wholly-owned by us. In 2014, we completed the redevelopment of one student housing property which is owned by a joint venture with Beaumont in which we own a 47.0% interest.

### **REIT Status and Taxable REIT Subsidiaries**

We have made an election to qualify, and we believe we are operating so as to qualify, as a REIT under Sections 856 through 859 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Internal Revenue Code"), commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2010. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax to the extent that we meet the organizational and operational requirements and our distributions equal or exceed 90% of our REIT taxable income. For all periods subsequent to the REIT election, we have met the organizational and operational requirements and distributions have exceeded 90% of our REIT taxable income.

We have elected to treat Campus Crest TRS Holdings, Inc. ("TRS Holdings"), our wholly-owned subsidiary, as a taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS"). TRS Holdings includes the management companies that provide services to entities in which we do not own 100% of the equity interests. As a TRS, the operations of TRS Holdings and its subsidiaries are generally subject to federal, state and local income and franchise taxes.

### **Property Management and Monitoring**

We maintain an on-site staff at each property, including a General Manager, Sales Manager and Maintenance Supervisor. The on-site staff is responsible for all aspects of the property's operations, including marketing, leasing administration, customer service, lifestyle, expense control, business administration, ongoing property maintenance, capital projects, residence life and student development. In addition, each property typically has 5 student-tenants that live on-site and work for us on a part-time basis. These individuals act as Community Assistants, or CA's, and Leasing Consultants, or LC's, that assist in developing lifestyle programming, building community, ensuring the needs of all tenants and guests are taken care of, and pursuing and closing all potential leads for continued leasing efforts. We also have a full time Senior Leasing Consultant that offers support to the Sales Manager and a Maintenance Tech that offers support to the Maintenance Supervisor. We provide oversight to each property on an area basis, with each "area" typically comprised of six to eight properties. Each area is staffed with an Area Manager and Area Sales Manager and our area team is supported by a Regional Manager and Regional Sales Manager respectively. The roles of our various staff members are described in greater detail below.

*General Managers, Sales Managers and Maintenance Supervisor.* The General Manager is responsible for all facets of a property's operation, including the development and implementation of student lifestyle programs, expense control, collection of rents, administration of accounts payable, customer service, implementation of the annual marketing plan, administration of all leasing and marketing functions, coordination of property maintenance, asset preservation and capital improvement projects. The General Manager also supervises the residence life program and conducts all hiring, termination, and staff development of on-site personnel. The Sales Manager supports the General Manager and focuses on the leasing and lifestyle programs at the property. The Maintenance Supervisor is responsible for coordinating all maintenance activity at the property and serving as a liaison for larger capital projects in concert with our in-house facilities group.

*Community Assistants and Leasing Consultants.* At each property we generally maintain a ratio of 50-70 students per employee living on site. Our CA's and LC's are selected by our management based upon a set of criteria, including interpersonal skills, leadership capabilities, responsibility, maturity and willingness to meet the challenges and expectations of the position. We use these positions to interface on a peer basis with our student-tenants and to assist with various duties at the properties. Further, we use this position as a feeder for us, which allows us to evaluate these part-time employees for potential full-time managerial positions with us after they graduate. It is a position that fits well with many students' academic goals while affording them opportunities for personal growth and leadership development. The CA's and LC's perform the duties of their position in exchange for their room and a stipend. CA's and LC's are trained to provide support and assistance to our student-tenants on a variety of issues. The CA's and LC's act as community facilitators by developing an atmosphere that promotes a sense of belonging, support and affiliation. At all times, our CA's and LC's are expected to be role models and maintain the highest standards of personal conduct. Through observation and interaction with the community, the CA's and LC's help to identify potential problems and make appropriate referrals so that students may overcome obstacles to their academic achievement. Through their efforts to provide timely, accurate and thorough information in the appropriate format, CA's and LC's contribute to the smooth and effective operations of our properties. We believe that these positions are important to the success of our properties.

*Senior Leasing Consultant.* Senior Leasing Consultants support the leasing efforts driven by the Sales Manager. The Senior Leasing Consultants are responsible for achieving sales performance goals for their respective site. They are actively engaged in gaining new prospects, following up with prospects, and closing on sales to meet or exceed set goals. This position also assists in hiring and developing leasing consultants and community assistant personnel. Much like the CA and LC position, the Senior Leasing Consultant position is one developed to be a feeder for us to use at Sales Manager openings within our portfolio.

*Area Managers and Area Sales Managers.* The Area Manager is responsible for all facets of the operations of properties in his or her area, typically six to eight properties per area. He or she monitors the performance of the properties and the compliance of each of the General Managers with our programs and policies to preserve operational standards across all of the properties in his or her area. The Area Manager is the conduit between centralized planning at our corporate level and decentralized execution at each of the properties. Similar to the property-level Sales Manager, the Area Sales Manager provides support for leasing and lifestyle programming at all the properties in his or her area. As the corporate marketing department's liaison to area and property operations, the Area Sales Manager monitors the consistency of our brands across the properties and collaborates with the Area Managers and General Managers to market each property effectively.

*Regional Managers and Regional Sales Managers.* The Regional Manager is responsible for all facets of the operations of properties in his or her region, typically 2 areas accounting for 12-16 properties. He or she monitors the performance of his or her area to ensure compliance of all operational standards across their region. The Regional Manager is part of the conduit between the centralized planning at our corporate level and decentralized execution within each of their areas. The Regional Manager is also someone who works on the training and development of each of their Area Managers to improve the performance metrics for the overall company. The Regional Sales Manager, much like the Regional Manager, is responsible to support the leasing and lifestyle planning within each of their respective areas.

## **Leasing and Marketing**

Student housing properties are typically leased by the bed on an individual lease liability basis, unlike multi-family housing where leasing is by the unit. Individual lease liability limits each student-tenant's liability to his or her own rent without liability for a roommate's rent. A parent or guardian is required to execute each lease as a guarantor unless the student-tenant provides adequate proof of income. The number of lease contracts that we administer is therefore equivalent to the number of beds occupied rather than the number of units occupied.

Unlike traditional multi-family housing, most of our leases commence and terminate on the same dates each year. In the case of our typical 11.5-month lease, these dates coincide with the commencement of the universities' fall academic term and typically terminate at the completion of the last summer school session. As such, we must re-lease each property in its entirety each year, resulting in significant turnover in our tenant population from year to year. As a result, we are highly dependent upon the effectiveness of our marketing and leasing efforts during the annual leasing season, which typically begins each October and ends in September of the following year. Approximately 37.6% and 41.7% of our current tenants renewed their lease as of the start of the fall term for the 2014-2015 and 2013-2014 academic years, respectively.

Each year we implement a marketing and leasing plan to re-lease each property. We advertise through various media, including print and internet advertising, social media, direct mailers, radio advertising, promotional events and public

relation campaigns. We typically compete in the off-campus student housing market on the basis of:

the quality of our facilities, including their proximity to college and university campuses, the size and layout of units and the types of amenities offered;

rental terms, including price, which vary based on the market in which the property is located, and per-bed rental (individual lease liability), which allows individual student-tenants to avoid responsibility for the rental of an entire apartment unit;

community environment, including community facilities, amenities and programming, which is overseen by our staff of CA's; and

our relationships with colleges and universities, which may result in our properties being recommended or listed in recruiting and admissions literature provided to incoming and prospective students.

### ***Student Programming***

We believe that our success has been driven, in part, by our focus on student lifestyle programming, which enhances the lifestyle of our student-tenants and helps to create an environment that is conducive to academic and social success. We do not approach our properties as simply a place for students to live, but rather we seek to assist our student-tenants in building connections with their fellow student-tenants, their communities and the colleges and universities that they attend. We believe that our focus on student lifestyle programming differentiates us from our competitors and makes our properties more attractive to prospective student-tenants and their parents.

## ***Business Segments***

We define business segments by their distinct customer base and services provided. We have identified two reportable business segments: (i) student housing operations and (ii) property management services. All construction and development activities are reported in discontinued operations at December 31, 2014. We evaluate the performance of our operating segments based on operating income (loss). All inter-segment sales pricing is based on current market conditions. Unallocated corporate amounts include general expenses associated with managing our two reportable operating segments. See Note 16 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

## **Competition**

### ***Competition from Universities and Colleges***

We are subject to competition for student-tenants from on-campus housing owned by universities and colleges. On-campus student housing has inherent advantages over off-campus student housing (such as the majority of our properties) in integrating with the academic community, which may cause student-tenants to prefer on-campus housing to off-campus housing. Additionally, colleges and universities may have financial advantages that allow them to provide student housing on more attractive terms than we are able to. For example, colleges and universities can generally avoid real estate taxes and borrow funds at lower interest rates than private, for profit real estate concerns, such as us. Residence halls owned and operated by the primary colleges and universities in the markets in which we operate typically charge lower rental rates but offer fewer amenities than those offered at our properties.

Despite the inherent advantages of on-campus housing, most universities are able to house only a small percentage of their overall enrollment, and are therefore highly dependent on the off-campus market to provide housing for their students. High-quality and well run off-campus student housing can therefore be a critical component of an institution's ability to attract and retain students. Accordingly, universities and colleges often have an interest in encouraging and facilitating the construction of modern off-campus housing alternatives.

### ***Competition from Private Owners***

We also compete with other regional and national owner-operators of off-campus student housing as well as with smaller local owner-operators. Currently, the industry is fragmented with no participant holding a dominant market share. There are a number of student housing properties that are located near or in the same general vicinity of many of our properties and that compete directly with our properties. We believe that a number of other large national



companies with substantial financial and marketing resources may be potential entrants in the student housing business. The activities of any of these companies could cause an increase in competition for student-tenants and for the acquisition, development and management of other student housing properties, which could reduce the demand for our properties.

## **Insurance**

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage, terrorism and rental loss insurance covering all of the properties in our portfolio. Our insurance includes coverage for earthquake damage to properties located in seismically active areas, windstorm damage to properties exposed to hurricanes, and terrorism insurance on all of our properties. Our insurance policies are subject to coverage limits and applicable deductibles, and if we suffer a substantial loss, our coverage may be insufficient. All insurance policies are also subject to coverage extensions that we believe are typical for our business. We do not carry insurance for generally uninsured losses such as loss from riots or acts of God.

## **Regulation**

### *General*

Student housing properties are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to common areas. We believe that each of our operating properties has the necessary permits and approvals to operate its business. In addition, apartment community properties are subject to various laws, ordinances and regulations, including regulations relating to recreational facilities, such as swimming pools, activity centers and other common areas.

### *Americans With Disabilities Act*

Our properties must comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended, or the ADA, to the extent that such properties are "public accommodations" as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable.

### *Fair Housing Act*

The Fair Housing Act, or the FHA, its state law counterparts and the regulations promulgated by the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, or HUD and various state agencies, prohibit discrimination in housing on the basis of race or color, national origin, religion, sex, familial status (including children under the age of 18 living with parents or legal custodians, pregnant women and people securing custody of children under 18) or handicap (disability) and, in some states, on financial capability.

### ***Environmental Matters***

Some of our properties contain, or may have contained, or are adjacent to or near other properties that have contained or currently contain storage tanks for the storage of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. These operations create a potential for the release of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. Third parties may be permitted by law to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury or property damages arising from releases from such tanks. Additionally, third parties may be permitted by law to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury or property damage associated with exposure to other contaminants that may be present on, at or under the properties, including, but not limited to, petroleum products and hazardous or toxic substances. Also, some of the properties include regulated wetlands on undeveloped portions of such properties and mitigated wetlands on or near our properties. Absent appropriate permits, we can be held responsible for restoring wetlands and be required to pay fines and penalties.

When excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, mold growth may occur, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Some molds may produce airborne toxins or irritants. Concern about indoor exposure to mold has been increasing as exposure to mold may cause a variety of adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. Some of our properties may contain microbial matter such as mold and mildew. The presence of significant mold at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold from the affected property. The presence of significant mold could expose us to liability from student-tenants, employees and others if property damage or health concerns arise.

If any property in our portfolio is not properly connected to a water or sewer system, or if the integrity of such systems is breached, microbial matter or other contamination can develop. If this were to occur, we could incur significant remedial costs and we may also be subject to private damage claims and awards, which could be material. If we become subject to claims in this regard, it could materially and adversely affect us and our insurability for such matters in the future.

### **Employees**

As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately 632 employees. Our employees are not represented by a labor union.

### **Offices and Website**

Our principal executive offices are located at 2100 Rexford Road, Suite 414, Charlotte, NC 28211. We also have management offices at each of our properties.

Our website is [www.campuscrest.com](http://www.campuscrest.com). We make available free of charge through our website our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and amendments to these reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). Our website also contains copies of our Corporate Governance Guidelines and Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as well as the charters of our Nominating and Corporate Governance, Audit, and Compensation Committees. The information on our website is not part of this report.

## **Item 1A. Risk Factors.**

Carefully consider the following risk factors, the occurrence of any of which may materially and adversely affect us. The risks described below are not the only ones we face. Additional risks not presently known to us or that we may currently deem immaterial also may impair our financial condition or operations or otherwise harm us.

### **Risks Related to Our Business and Properties**

*Adverse economic conditions and dislocation in the credit markets have had a material and adverse effect on us and may continue to materially and adversely affect us.*

We experienced unprecedented levels of volatility in the capital markets, a reduction in the availability of credit and intense recessionary pressures, which had an adverse effect on our results of operations and our ability to borrow funds from 2007 through 2010. For example, lenders were generally imposing more stringent lending standards and applying more conservative valuations to properties. This limited the amount of indebtedness we were able to obtain and impeded our ability to develop new properties and to replace construction financing with permanent financing. If these conditions were to develop again in the future, our business and our growth strategy may be materially and adversely affected. Although our business strategy contemplates access to debt financing (including our revolving credit facility and term loans) and working capital requirements, there can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain such financing on favorable terms or at all. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$167.5 million outstanding under our revolving credit facility and \$50.0 million outstanding under the related term loan. The amounts outstanding under our revolving credit facility and term loan will reduce the amount that we may be able to borrow under this facility for other purposes. We have approximately \$50.0 million in borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility, and amounts borrowed under the facility will be due at its maturity in January 2017 (subject to a one-year extension which we may exercise at our option, provided we comply with certain terms and conditions, including the payment of an extension fee). This indebtedness, as well as our mortgage, construction and other debt of approximately \$303.5 million as of December 31, 2014, and the \$100.0 million outstanding principal amount of the Operating Partnership's 4.75% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2018, will subject us to risks associated with debt

financing as described below under "Our indebtedness exposes us to a risk of default and reduces our free cash flow, which could materially and adversely affect us."

A subsequent recession or challenging economic environment may adversely affect us by, among other things, limiting or eliminating our access to financing, which would adversely affect our ability to develop and refinance properties and pursue acquisition opportunities. Significantly more stringent lending standards and higher interest rates may reduce our returns on investment and increase our interest expense, which could adversely affect our financial performance and liquidity. Additionally, limited availability of financing may reduce the value of our properties, limit our ability to borrow against such properties and, should we choose to sell a property, impair our ability to dispose of such property at an attractive price or at all, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

***Certain of our properties may be subject to liens or other claims, which could materially and adversely affect our profitability.***

We may be subject to liens or claims for materials or labor relating to disputes with subcontractors or other parties that are or were involved in the development and construction process. There can be no assurance that we will not be required to pay amounts greater than currently recorded liabilities in order to settle these claims.

***We rely on our relationships with the colleges and universities from which our properties draw student-tenants and on the policies and reputations of these schools; any deterioration in our relationships with such schools or changes in the schools' admissions or residency policies or reputations could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.***

We rely on our relationships with colleges and universities for referrals of prospective student-tenants or for mailing lists of prospective student-tenants and their parents. The failure to maintain good relationships with these schools could therefore have a material adverse effect on us. Many of these schools own and operate on-campus student housing which competes with our properties for student-tenants, and if schools refuse to provide us with referrals or to make lists of prospective student-tenants and their parents available to us or increase the cost of these lists, the lack of such referrals, lists or increased cost could have a material adverse effect on us.

Changes in admission and housing policies could adversely affect us. For example, if a school reduces the number of student admissions or requires that a certain class of students (*e.g.*, freshman) live in on-campus housing, the demand for beds at our properties may be reduced and our occupancy rates may decline. While we may engage in marketing efforts to compensate for any such policy changes, we may not be able to effect such marketing efforts prior to the commencement of the annual lease-up period, or our additional marketing efforts may not be successful, which could reduce the demand for our properties and materially and adversely affect us.

It is also important that the schools from which our properties draw student-tenants maintain good reputations and are able to attract the desired number of incoming students. Any degradation in a school's reputation could inhibit its ability to attract students and reduce the demand for our properties.

***Competition from other student housing properties, including on-campus housing and traditional multi-family housing located in close proximity to the colleges and universities from which we draw student-tenants may reduce the demand for our properties, which could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.***

Our properties compete with properties owned by universities, colleges, national and regional student housing businesses and local real estate concerns. On-campus student housing has inherent advantages over off-campus student housing (such as the majority of our properties), due to its physical location on the campus and integration into the academic community, which may cause student-tenants to prefer on-campus housing to off-campus housing. Additionally, colleges and universities may have financial advantages that allow them to provide student housing on terms more attractive than our terms. For example, colleges and universities can generally avoid real estate taxes and borrow funds at lower interest rates than private, for-profit real estate concerns, such as our company.

There are a number of student housing properties located near or in the same general vicinity of many of our properties that compete directly with our properties. Such competing student housing properties may be newer, located closer to campus, charge less rent, possess more attractive amenities, offer more services or offer shorter lease terms or more flexible lease terms than our properties. Competing properties could reduce demand for our properties and materially and adversely affect our rental income.

Revenue at a particular property could also be adversely affected by a number of other factors, including the construction of new on-campus and off-campus housing, decreases in the general levels of rents for housing at competing properties, decreases in the number of students enrolled at one or more of the colleges or universities from which the property draws student-tenants and other general economic conditions.

Although we believe no participant in the student housing industry holds a dominant market share, we compete with larger national companies, colleges and universities with greater resources and superior access to capital. Furthermore, a number of other large national companies with substantial financial and marketing resources may enter the student housing business. The activities of any of these companies, colleges or universities could cause an increase in competition for student-tenants and for the acquisition, development and management of other student housing properties, which could reduce the demand for our properties.

***Our results of operations are subject to risks inherent in the student housing industry, such as an annual leasing cycle and limited leasing period, which could materially and adversely affect us.***

We generally lease our properties for 11.5-month terms, and the related leases provide for 12 equal monthly payments of rent. Therefore, our properties must be entirely re-leased each year, exposing us to more leasing risk than property lessors that lease their properties for longer terms. Student housing properties are also typically leased during a limited leasing period that generally begins each October and ends in September of the following year. We are therefore highly dependent on the effectiveness of our marketing and leasing efforts and personnel during this leasing period. We will be subject to heightened leasing risk at properties we may acquire in the future due to our lack of experience leasing such properties. Any significant difficulty in leasing our properties would adversely affect our results of operations, financial condition and ability to pay distributions on our securities and would likely have a negative impact on the trading price of our securities. As of the start of the fall term for the 2014-2015 and 2013-2014 academic years, we had approximately 37.6% and 41.7%, respectively, of our current tenants renew their previous lease for the upcoming term.

Additionally, student-tenants may be more likely to default on their lease obligations during the summer months, which could further reduce our revenues during this period. Although we typically require a student-tenant's lease obligations to be guaranteed by a parent, we may have to spend considerable effort and expense in pursuing payment upon a defaulted lease, and our efforts may not be successful.

***Our future success is substantially dependent on our ability to attract and retain key management executives.***

Our future success depends in large part upon our ability to attract and retain key management executives and other key employees. In the last year, as part of our strategic repositioning, several members of our senior management team have departed, including our former chief executive officer, our former chief financial officer and other former senior members of our executive management team, and we are actively searching to fill several key roles. Aaron S. Halfacre, our chief investment officer, was recently elevated to the additional role as President, and Scott R. Rochon, chief accounting officer, is serving as our acting chief financial officer. The continued turnover of senior management and the loss of key members of our executive team could have a negative impact on our ability to manage and grow our business effectively. Turnover of executives and senior management can adversely impact our stock price, our results of operations and our client relationships and may make recruiting for future management positions more



difficult or may require us to offer more generous executive compensation packages to attract top executives. In addition, we must successfully integrate any newly hired management personnel within our organization in order to achieve our operating objectives. The key initiatives directed by these executives may take time to implement and yield positive results, if at all. If our new executives do not perform up to expectations, we may experience declines in our financial performance or delays in our long-term growth strategy.

***The current economic environment could reduce enrollments and limit the demand for our properties, which could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, profitability and results of operations.***

A continuation of ongoing economic conditions that adversely affect household disposable income, such as high unemployment levels, weak business conditions, reduced access to credit, increasing tax rates and high fuel and energy costs, could reduce overall student leasing or cause student-tenants to shift their leasing practices as students may determine to forego college or live at home and commute to college.

As a result of general economic weakness, many students may be unable to obtain student loans on favorable terms. In addition, despite the recent economic weakness, tuition and other costs associated with attending college have continued to rise. If student loans are not available or their costs are prohibitively high, enrollment numbers for schools from which we draw student-tenants may decrease, resulting in a decrease in the demand for, and consequently the occupancy rates at and rental revenue from, our properties. Accordingly, the continuation or deterioration of current economic conditions could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, profitability and results of operations.

***In the past, we have experienced significant net losses; if this trend continues, we could be materially and adversely affected.***

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, 2010 and 2009, we incurred significant net losses. These results have had a negative impact on our financial condition. While we experienced net income for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, and believe that we are adequately capitalized and able to continue to operate our business, there can be no assurance that our business will be profitable in the future and additional losses will not be incurred. If the trend of incurring significant net losses continues in the future, our financial performance, liquidity and our ability to operate our business as a going concern could be materially and adversely affected.

*If we are unable to acquire properties on favorable terms, our future growth could be materially and adversely affected.*

Our future growth will depend, in part, upon our ability to acquire new properties on favorable terms. Acquisition opportunities may not be available to us on terms that we deem acceptable, and we may be unsuccessful in consummating acquisition opportunities. Our ability to acquire properties on favorable terms and successfully operate them may be adversely affected by:

- an inability to obtain financing on attractive terms or at all;

- increased purchase prices and decreased expected yields due to competition from other potential acquirers and real estate investors;

- the need to make significant and unexpected capital expenditures to improve or renovate acquired properties;

- an inability to quickly and efficiently integrate acquisitions, particularly any acquisitions of portfolios of properties, into our existing operations;

- market conditions resulting in higher than expected vacancy rates and lower than expected rental rates at acquired properties; and

- acquisition of properties subject to liabilities but without any recourse, or with only limited recourse, to the sellers, or with liabilities that are unknown to us, such as liabilities for clean-up of undisclosed environmental contamination, claims by tenants, vendors or other persons dealing with the former owners of our properties.

Our failure to identify and consummate property acquisitions on attractive terms or the failure of any acquired properties to meet our expectations could materially and adversely affect our future growth.

*Our strategy of investing in properties located in medium-sized college and university markets may not be successful, which could materially and adversely affect us.*

Our business strategy involves investing in properties located in medium-sized college and university markets, which are smaller than larger educational markets. Larger educational markets, such as Boston, Massachusetts or Washington, D.C., often have multiple colleges and universities that have larger enrollments than schools located in

medium-sized college and university markets and attract students nationally and internationally. The colleges and universities that our properties draw student-tenants from typically have smaller enrollments than schools in larger educational markets and tend to attract students from within the region in which the school is located. If the schools in our markets experience reduced enrollment, for example due to adverse economic conditions or rising tuition costs, or are unable to attract sufficient students to achieve a desired class size, the pool of prospective student-tenants for our properties will be reduced. This could have the result of reducing our occupancy and lowering the revenue from our properties, which could materially and adversely affect our financial performance and liquidity.

***Our indebtedness exposes us to a risk of default and reduces our free cash flow, which could materially and adversely affect us.***

As of December 31, 2014, our total consolidated indebtedness was approximately \$618.4 million. Our debt service obligations expose us to the risk of default and reduced cash available to invest in our business or pay distributions that are necessary to qualify and remain qualified as a REIT. Our ability to meet the ongoing payment obligations of our indebtedness depends on our ability to generate significant cash flow in the future. Our ability to generate cash flow, to some extent, is subject to general economic, financial, competitive, legislative and regulatory factors, as well as other factors that are beyond our control. We cannot assure you that our business will generate cash flow from operations, or that capital will be available to us, in amounts sufficient to enable us to meet our payment obligations under our exchangeable senior notes, our credit agreements and our outstanding preferred stock and to fund our other liquidity needs. If we are not able to generate sufficient cash flow to service these obligations, we may need to refinance or restructure our debt, sell assets (which we may be limited in doing in light of the relatively illiquid nature of our properties), reduce or delay capital investments, or seek to raise additional capital. If we are unable to implement one or more of these alternatives, we may not be able to meet these payment obligations, which could materially and adversely affect our liquidity.

Our charter does not contain any limitation on the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. In the future, we may incur substantial indebtedness in connection with the development or acquisition of additional properties and for other working capital needs, or to fund the payment of distributions to our stockholders.

In addition, a tax protection agreement to which we are a party requires us to maintain a minimum level of indebtedness of \$56.0 million throughout a 10-year tax protection period, which ends in October 2020, in order to allow a sufficient amount of debt to be allocable to MXT Capital, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company ("MXT Capital"), which is wholly-owned and controlled by Ted Rollins, our former chief executive officer, and Michael S. Hartnett, our former vice chairman of special projects, and certain members of their families, to avoid certain adverse tax consequences. If we fail to maintain such minimum indebtedness throughout the 10-year tax protection period, we will be required to make indemnifying payments to MXT Capital, in an amount equal to the federal, state and local taxes, if any, imposed on its members as a result of any income or gain recognized by them by reason of such failure. The amount of such taxes will be computed based on the highest applicable federal, state and local marginal tax rates, as well as any "grossed up" taxes imposed on such payments. This requirement may restrict our ability to reduce leverage when we otherwise might wish to do so and generally reduce our flexibility in managing our capital structure.



Our indebtedness and the limitations imposed on us by our indebtedness could have significant adverse consequences, including the following:

- we may be unable to borrow additional funds as needed or on favorable terms;

we may be unable to renew, repay or refinance our indebtedness at maturity or the renewal or refinancing terms may be less favorable than the terms of the indebtedness being renewed or refinanced;

- we may be forced to dispose of certain of our properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms;

we may default on our payment or other obligations as a result of insufficient cash flow or otherwise, which may result in a cross-default on our other obligations, and the lenders or mortgagees may foreclose on our properties that secure their loans and receive an assignment of rents and leases;

- to the extent that we incur unhedged floating rate debt, we will have exposure to interest rate risk; and

foreclosures could create taxable income without accompanying cash proceeds, a circumstance which could hinder our ability to meet the distribution requirements necessary to enable us to qualify and remain qualified for taxation as a REIT.

Compliance with the provisions of our debt agreements, including financial and other covenants, such as the maintenance of specified financial ratios, could limit our flexibility, and a default under these agreements could result in a requirement that we repay indebtedness, which could severely affect our liquidity and increase our financing costs, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

In addition to our consolidated indebtedness, we are guarantor on construction and mortgage debt of our ventures with Harrison Street Real Estate Capital (“HSRE”) and Beaumont Partners SA (“Beaumont”), as described below in Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements.

***Our failure to comply with the financial and other covenants of the agreements that govern our existing debt could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.***

The documents that govern our outstanding indebtedness contain customary negative covenants and other financial and operating covenants that, among other things:

· restrict our ability to incur certain additional indebtedness;

· restrict our ability to make certain investments;

· restrict our ability to effect certain mergers;

· restrict our ability to make distributions to stockholders; and

· require us to maintain certain financial coverage ratios.

Pursuant to the terms of our credit facility, we may not pay distributions that exceed the greater of (i) 95.0% of our funds from operations, or (ii) the minimum amount required for us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT. If a default or event of default occurs and is continuing, we also may be precluded from making certain distributions (other than those required to allow the Company to qualify and maintain its status as a REIT). These limitations restrict our ability to engage in some business activities, which could adversely affect our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities. In addition, if we fail to comply with any of these covenants, including the financial coverage ratios, this could result in our having to seek an amendment or waiver from our lenders to avoid an event of default and/or the acceleration of some or all of our indebtedness, which would have a material adverse effect on us. From time to time, we have sought and received waivers of certain of these provisions, but there can be no guarantee that we will be able to obtain waivers of these provisions in future periods.

In April 2013, as a result of the CB Portfolio Acquisition, we received a waiver from our lender group allowing for increased distributions of our funds from operations for the remainder of 2013. In February 2013, we amended our amended credit facility to provide for certain exclusions related to our investments in joint ventures as well as the treatment of certain other investments within the compliance calculation of our secured debt ratio and certain negative covenants. In June 2013, in connection with our investment in a joint venture with Beaumont to acquire a property in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, we received a waiver from our lender group allowing us to guarantee debt incurred by our subsidiary, Campus Crest at Montreal I, LLC, to fund such investment. On September 30, 2014, we received a waiver with respect to the distribution payout ratio for each distribution payout date through the end of 2015. The waiver was expressly conditioned on the following: (i) no default or event of default shall have occurred and be continuing and (ii) as of each test date during 2015, the payout ratio shall be equal to or less than (A) 105% or (B) such greater amount as may be required by applicable law for us to maintain our status as a REIT. On February 25, 2015, we received a waiver under our amended credit facility that provides relief from certain financial covenants during a relief period that runs from December 31, 2014 until and including September 30, 2015. During the relief period the following new measurements will apply to covenant tests: Maximum Leverage Ratio of not greater than 0.65:1.00; Maximum Secured Debt Ratio of not greater than 47.5%; Minimum Fixed Charge Ratio of not less than 1.30:1.00; and a Dividend Payout Ratio of not more than 105.0% calculated on a pro forma basis that applies the current quarterly dividend of \$0.090 on a trailing twelve month basis.



The cost of our obtaining an amendment or waiver in the future could be significant, and further, there can be no assurance that we would be able to obtain an amendment or waiver if necessary in the future. If our lenders were unwilling to enter into an amendment or provide a waiver, all amounts outstanding under our credit facility could become immediately due and payable. Furthermore, the documents that govern our outstanding indebtedness contain certain cross-default provisions with respect to specified other indebtedness, giving the lenders the right to declare a default if we are in default under other loans in some circumstances.

***We may be unable to satisfy our debt obligations upon a change of control of us.***

Under the documents that govern our indebtedness, if we experience a change of control, we could be required to repay the entire principal balance of our outstanding indebtedness. Under our Exchangeable Senior Notes indenture, if we experience a fundamental change, as defined in the indenture, we must offer to purchase the notes at 100% of their principal amount, plus accrued interest. As defined in the indentures, fundamental change includes, among other things, the acquisition of more than 50% of our voting securities by any person or group, or the failure of a majority of the members of our board of directors to be continuing directors whose nomination or election were approved by the majority of the board. Under the credit agreement that governs our revolving credit facility, if we experience a change of control, as defined in the credit agreement, the lenders may declare an event of default and accelerate payment of the entire principal balance of the facility. As defined in the credit agreement, a change of control includes, among other things, the acquisition of more than 35% of our voting securities by any person or group, or a change in the majority of the members of our board of directors unless the new members were elected or nominated for election by a majority of the board. We might not have sufficient funds to repay the amounts due under the revolving credit facility or pay the required price for the notes following a change of control.

***Variable rate debt is subject to interest rate risk.***

As of December 31, 2014, approximately \$354.8 million of our aggregate indebtedness (approximately 57.4% of total indebtedness) was subject to variable interest rates. In addition, we may incur additional variable rate debt in the future. While we have entered into arrangements that hedge the risk of rising interest rates, there can be no assurance that such arrangements will adequately protect against rising interest rates or that we will be able to enter into interest rate hedging arrangements in the future. If we are unable to enter into arrangements that hedge the risk of rising interest rates, increases in interest rates on variable rate debt would increase our interest expense, which would adversely affect net income and cash available for payment of our debt obligations and distributions to stockholders.

***Joint venture investments could be materially and adversely affected by our lack of decision-making authority, our reliance on our co-venturers' financial condition and disputes between our co-venturers and us.***



Our properties located in Lawrence, Kansas, San Angelo, Texas, and Conway, Arkansas, comprising approximately 3.2% of our beds, are held in a joint venture with HSRE, in which we own a 63.9% interest. Our properties located in Fayetteville, Arkansas, Laramie, Wyoming and Stillwater, Oklahoma, comprising approximately 4.0% of our beds, are held in a joint venture with HSRE, in which we own a 10.0% interest. Our properties located in Indiana, Pennsylvania, State College, Pennsylvania and Norman, Oklahoma, comprising approximately 3.8% of our beds, are held in a joint venture with HSRE, in which we own a 20.0% interest. Our properties located in Louisville, Kentucky and Greensboro, North Carolina, comprising approximately 2.7% of our beds, are held in a joint venture with HSRE, in which we own a 30.0% interest. Our property located in Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, comprising 1.8% of our beds, is held in a joint venture with HSRE and Brandywine, in which we own a 30.0% interest with completion targeted for the 2014-2015 academic year. Our properties located in Montreal, Quebec, comprising 4.8% of our beds, are held in a joint venture with Beaumont Partners, in which we own a 47.0% interest as a limited partner. In addition, at December 31, 2014, we held an effective 48.0% investment in the CB Portfolio, comprising 37.0% of our beds, and, pursuant to the terms of the agreements governing this investment, share decision making authority with the CB Investors, though as of January 30, 2015, we increased our ownership to 100% for many of the properties in the CB Portfolio.

We may not have a controlling interest in a joint venture and may share responsibility with our co-venturer for managing the property held by the joint venture. Under such circumstances, we may not have sole decision-making authority regarding the joint venture's property. With regard to our joint venture in Canada with Beaumont Partners, we are a limited partner with no general partner representation and limited control rights. Investments in joint ventures, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present when we invest in a property without the involvement of a third party. For example, our co-venturer may have economic or other business interests or goals that are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our preferences, policies or objectives. Additionally, it is possible that our co-venturer might become bankrupt, fail to fund its share of required capital contributions or block or delay decisions that we believe are necessary. With regard to our joint venture in Canada with Beaumont Partners, our co-venturer may take, or fail to take, actions that could result in a loan default, which may further result in a cross-default of our other indebtedness. Joint venture investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as sales, because neither we nor our co-venturers may have full control over the joint venture. Disputes between us and our co-venturer may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and divert the attention of our officers and directors from other aspects of our business. Consequently, actions by or disputes with our co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third-party co-venturers. Any of the foregoing factors could materially and adversely affect the financial condition and results of operations of our joint-venture investments.

In addition, our operating agreements with our co-venturers contain provisions that upon the occurrence of various triggering events could allow our co-venturers to remove us from management control of the properties held by the venture, sell those properties without our consent at prices determined by our co-venturer, and acquire our interest in the venture at values that could be disadvantageous to us. These triggering events could include, among other things, a material breach of the terms of the operating agreement or other venture agreements, or change in control of the Company (in the case of certain of our ventures with HSRE) or of the Operating Partnership or of our various subsidiaries which are involved with such ventures. The agreements governing the ventures also contain various mutual covenants as to competition within a prescribed territory near the properties operated by the venture. We are guarantor on construction and mortgage debt of our ventures with HSRE, as described below in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations – Liquidity and Capital Resources – Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements, and those guarantees would continue in effect under most circumstances even if we are removed from management of the venture. As guarantor, if a property realizes proceeds upon sale that are insufficient to pay off the underlying debt, we could be obligated to pay off the remaining balance. For the reasons described in this paragraph, the removal of the Company from management or ownership of an interest in any venture, or the sale of any venture property at disadvantageous prices, could thus have a material adverse effect on us if it impacts any material number of properties which we currently manage. The terms of our operating agreements and the complexities of our venture arrangements may impact any potential third party acquisition proposal for us or delay, defer or prevent a change in control.

***We could be negatively impacted by the condition of Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac.***

Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac are a major source of secured financing to the student housing industry and we have used Freddie Mac for a portion of our financing needs. In February 2011, the U.S. Treasury along with the U.S.

Department of Housing and Urban Development released a report calling for the winding down of the role that Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac play in the mortgage market. In February 2012, the Federal Housing Finance Agency delivered a strategic plan to Congress to wind down Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac over the next several years. This proposal includes building a new infrastructure for the secondary mortgage market, continuing to shrink Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's operations by eliminating the direct funding of mortgages and shifting mortgage credit risk to private investors. In addition, in August 2012, the U.S. Treasury announced further steps to expedite the winding down of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac by accelerating the rate at which Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's investment portfolios will be reduced to target levels agreed to with the U.S. Treasury. Pursuant to these steps, Fannie Mae's and Freddie Mac's investment portfolios must be reduced to the agreed target four years earlier than previously scheduled. A final decision by the government to eliminate Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac or reduce their acquisitions or guarantees of student housing property loans may adversely affect interest rates, capital availability, and the value of student housing properties. If we are unable to react effectively and quickly to changes in the mortgage industry, our business could be harmed.

***We have a limited operating history as a REIT and as a publicly traded company and may not be able to successfully operate as a REIT or a publicly traded company.***

We have a limited operating history as a REIT and as a publicly traded company. We cannot assure you that the past experience of our senior management team will be sufficient to successfully operate our company as a REIT or a publicly traded company, including the requirements to timely meet disclosure requirements of the SEC and comply with the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Since our initial public offering, we have been subject to various requirements related to REITs and publicly traded companies, including requirements to develop and implement control systems and procedures in order to qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT and satisfy our periodic and current reporting requirements under applicable SEC regulations and comply with New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") listing standards. Our continued compliance with these requirements could place a significant strain on our management systems, infrastructure and other resources. Failure to operate successfully as a public company or qualify and maintain our qualification as a REIT would have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations, cash flow and the per share trading price of our securities.

***The material weakness in our internal control over financial reporting may adversely impact our business and financial results.***

Effective internal and disclosure controls are necessary for us to provide reliable financial reports and effectively prevent fraud and to operate successfully as a public company. If we cannot provide reliable financial reports or prevent fraud, our reputation and operating results would be harmed. As part of our ongoing monitoring of internal controls we may discover material weaknesses or significant deficiencies in our internal controls. As a result of weaknesses that may be identified in our internal controls, we may also identify certain deficiencies in some of our disclosure controls and procedures that we believe require remediation. If we discover weaknesses, we will make efforts to improve our internal and disclosure controls. However, there is no assurance that we will be successful.

In connection with management's evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting, management identified a material weakness in the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, which related to our control environment, risk assessment process, information and communication components and process-level controls. See "Part II—Item 9A—Controls and Procedures" for a discussion of our internal control over financial reporting, including a discussion of the material weakness. If the new controls being implemented to address the material weakness and to strengthen the overall internal control over financial reporting are not designed or do not operate effectively, if we are unsuccessful in implementing or following these new processes or are otherwise unable to remediate this material weakness, this may result in untimely or inaccurate reporting of our financial condition or results of operations.

Any failure to maintain effective controls or timely effect any necessary improvement of our internal and disclosure controls could harm operating results or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations, which could affect the eligibility of our stock to remain listed on the NYSE. Ineffective internal and disclosure controls could also cause investors to lose confidence in our reported financial information, which would likely have a negative effect on the per share trading price of our securities.

***Breaches of our data security could materially harm our business and reputation.***

We collect and retain certain personal information provided by our student-tenants and employees. While we have implemented a variety of security measures to protect the confidentiality of this information and periodically review and improve our security measures, there can be no assurance that we will be able to prevent unauthorized access to this information. Any breach of our data security measures and loss of this information may result in legal liability and costs (including damages and penalties), as well as damage to our reputation, that could materially and adversely affect our business and financial performance.

***Our investment in properties subject to ground leases with unaffiliated third parties exposes us to the potential loss of such properties upon the expiration or termination of the ground leases, and the realization of such loss could materially and adversely affect us. Our properties at the University of South Alabama and Colorado State University are also subject to a right of first refusal that may inhibit our ability to sell them.***

Our properties located near the campuses of the University of South Alabama and Colorado State University are subject to ground leases with unaffiliated third parties. In addition, we may invest in additional properties that are subject to ground leases with unaffiliated third parties. As the lessee under a ground lease with an unaffiliated third party, we are exposed to the possibility of losing our leasehold interest in the land on which our buildings are located. A ground lease may not be renewed upon the expiration of its current term or may be terminated by the lessor pursuant to the terms of the lease if we do not meet our obligations thereunder.

In the event of an unsecured default under any of our existing ground leases, the lessor may terminate our leasehold interest in the land on which our buildings are located. Any termination of our existing ground leases with unaffiliated third parties, unless in conjunction with the exercise of a purchase option, would also result in termination of our management agreement relating to the property. If we lose the leasehold interest in any of our properties, we could be materially and adversely affected.

Our properties located at the University of South Alabama and Colorado State University are also subject to a right of first refusal pursuant to which the ground lessor entity related to the land has a right to purchase our leasehold interest in the relevant property in the event we decide to accept an offer to sell either property to a third party. This may inhibit our ability to sell these properties. Further, our right to transfer one of the on-campus properties is subject to the consent of the ground lessor, which consent may not be unreasonably withheld.

***We may incur losses on hedging arrangements, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

We currently use, and may in the future enter into, hedging agreements. Although these agreements may partially protect against rising interest rates, they also may reduce the benefits to us if interest rates decline. If an arrangement is not indexed to the same rate as the indebtedness that is hedged, we may be exposed to losses to the extent the rate governing the indebtedness and the rate governing the hedging arrangement change independently of each other. Finally, nonperformance by the other party to the arrangement may subject us to increased credit risks. The occurrence of any of the foregoing could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

***Our inability to pass-through increases in taxes or other real estate costs to our student-tenants could materially and adversely affect our financial performance and liquidity.***

Each of our properties is subject to real and personal property taxes. These taxes may increase as tax rates change and as the properties are assessed or reassessed by taxing authorities. We generally are not able to pass through to our student-tenants under existing leases any increases in taxes, including real estate and income taxes, or other real estate related costs, such as insurance or maintenance. Consequently, unless we are able to off-set any such increases with sufficient revenues, we may be materially and adversely affected by any such increases.

***The prior performance of our properties may not be indicative of our future performance.***

All of our properties have been acquired or developed by us and/or our predecessor entities within the past ten years and have limited operating histories. Consequently, the historical operating results of our properties and the financial data we have disclosed may not be indicative of our future performance. The operating performance of the properties may decline and we could be materially and adversely affected.

***Reporting of on-campus crime statistics required of colleges and universities may negatively impact our properties.***

Federal and state laws require colleges and universities to publish and distribute reports of on-campus crime statistics, which may result in negative publicity and media coverage associated with crimes occurring in the vicinity of, or on the premises of, our on-campus properties. Reports of crime or other negative publicity regarding the safety of the students residing on, or near, our properties may have an adverse effect on both our on-campus and off-campus properties.

***We may be subject to liabilities from litigation, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.***

We have been involved in legal proceedings in connection with our business, and may become involved in additional legal proceedings, including consumer, employment, tort or commercial litigation that, if decided adversely to or settled by us and not adequately covered by insurance, could result in liabilities that could materially and adversely affect our financial condition or results of operations.

***We face risks associated with land holdings.***

We hold land for future development and may in the future acquire additional land holdings. The risks inherent in owning or purchasing and developing land increase as demand for student housing, or rental rates, decrease. As a result, we hold certain land and may in the future acquire additional land in our development pipeline at a cost we may not be able to recover fully or on which we cannot build and develop into a profitable student housing project. In addition, real estate markets are highly uncertain and, as a result, the value of undeveloped land has fluctuated significantly and may continue to increase as a result of changing market conditions. Further, carrying costs associated with land holdings can be significant and can result in losses or reduced margins in a poorly performing project. Under current market conditions, we may have impairments of our land held for sale.

***Our issuance of common stock under our At-The-Market offering program may be dilutive, and there may be future dilution of our common stock.***

After giving effect to the issuance of common stock under our At-The-Market offering program and the receipt of the expected net proceeds and the use of those proceeds, there may be a dilutive effect on our estimated earnings per share and funds from operations per share in years during which an offering is ongoing. The actual amount of potential dilution cannot be determined at this time and will be based on numerous factors. Additionally, we are not restricted by our organizational documents, contractual arrangements or otherwise from issuing additional common stock or preferred stock, including any securities that are convertible into or exchangeable or exercisable for, or that represent the right to receive, common stock or preferred stock or any substantially similar securities in the future. The market price of our common stock could decline as a result of issuances of a large number of shares of our common stock after this offering or the perception that such issuances could occur.

***Our management will have broad discretion with respect to the use of the proceeds resulting from the issuance of common stock under our At-The-Market offering program.***

Our management has significant flexibility in applying the net proceeds we expect to receive from the issuance of common stock under the Equity Distribution Agreements. We intend to use the net proceeds from this offering for general corporate purposes, which may include repaying debt, including our revolving credit facility. However, because the net proceeds are not required to be allocated to any specific investment or transaction, investors cannot determine at the time of issuance the value or propriety of our application of the net proceeds, and investors may not agree with our decisions. In addition, our use of the net proceeds from the offering may not yield a significant return or any return at all. The failure by our management to apply these funds effectively could have an adverse effect on our financial condition, results of operations or the trading price of our common stock.

*Our Canadian exposure may subject us to different or greater risk from those associated with our domestic operations, and we may recognize additional asset impairment charges with respect to our Canadian real estate assets in the future.*

We hold interests in two joint venture properties that operate in Canada. International development and ownership activities carry risks that are different from those we face with our domestic properties and operations. These risks include:

- adverse effects of changes in exchange rates for foreign currencies;
- changes in foreign political and economic environments, regionally, nationally, and locally;
- costs associated with complying with a wide variety of foreign laws including corporate governance, operations, taxes, and litigation;
- difficulties in managing international operations, including difficulties that arise from ambiguities in contracts written in foreign languages and difficulties that arise in enforcing such contracts;
- differing lending practices;
- uncertainties in estimating potential tax liabilities;
- differing employment and labor issues;
- changes in applicable laws and regulations in the United States that affect foreign operations;
- obstacles to the repatriation of earnings and cash;
- obstacles to hiring appropriately trained staff; and
- differences in cultures including adapting practices and strategies that have been successful in the U.S. student housing business to retail needs and expectations in new markets.



Management assesses whether there has been impairment in the value of our investment in real estate whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. The estimation of expected future undiscounted cash flows is inherently uncertain and relies on assumptions regarding current and future economics and market conditions. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an impairment of \$26.5 million related to our investment in CSH Montreal LP (“CSH Montreal”), as discussed in Note 11 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements. As a result of the uncertainty in the valuation, and in the stability of, the cash flows, discount rates and other factors related to such assets, we may be required to recognize additional asset impairment charges in the future.

Although our international activities currently are a relatively small portion of our business, these risks could increase in significance which in turn could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

***A currently threatened proxy contest and any other actions of activist stockholders could have a negative effect on our business.***

On March 5, 2015, a shareholder that currently holds approximately 1.4% of our common stock filed a preliminary proxy statement with the SEC to nominate four alternative director nominees for election to our board of directors at the Company's 2015 annual meeting of shareholders. Our board of directors has not endorsed such shareholder's director nominees. If a proxy contest or any other dissident stockholder activity ensues, then our business could be adversely affected because responding to proxy contests, litigation and other actions by dissident stockholders can be costly and time-consuming, disrupt our operations and divert the attention of management and our employees. In addition, perceived uncertainties as to our future direction may result in the loss of potential business opportunities and harm our ability to attract new investors, employees and clients. If the Company's current directors cease to constitute a majority of our board of directors due to the currently threatened proxy contest or otherwise, it may adversely affect our ability to effectively and timely implement our current initiatives, retain and attract experienced executives and employees, and execute on our long-term strategy, and, under certain circumstances, could require us to repay our outstanding indebtedness prior to maturity. See the Risk Factor entitled “We may be unable to satisfy our debt obligations upon a change of control of us.” Also, we may experience a significant increase in legal fees, administrative and associated costs incurred in connection with responding to a proxy contest or related action. These actions could also cause our stock price to experience periods of volatility or stagnation.

***We may not make distributions to our stockholders or be able to maintain our current distribution rate, and we may be required to fund the minimum distribution necessary to qualify for taxation as a REIT from sources that could reduce our cash flows.***

Our ability to fund any distributions will depend, in part, upon continued successful leasing of our existing portfolio and successful management services. To the extent these sources are insufficient, we may use our working capital or borrowings under our revolving credit facility to fund distributions, if and to the extent then permitted under the terms of our credit facility. If we need to fund future distributions with borrowings under our revolving credit facility or from working capital, or if we reduce our distribution rate, our stock price may be adversely affected. In addition, to the extent that we fund any distributions with borrowings under our revolving credit facility or from working capital,

our cash available for investment in our business, including for property development and acquisition purposes, will decrease.

In addition, in order to qualify for taxation as a REIT, among other requirements, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually to at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains. To the extent that, in respect of any calendar year, cash available for distribution to our stockholders is less than our REIT taxable income, we would be required to fund the minimum distribution necessary to qualify for taxation as a REIT from other sources, which could include asset sales or borrowings. Funding a distribution through asset sales or borrowings could reduce our cash flow from operations, increase our interest expense and decrease our cash available for investment in our business. We may also choose to meet this distribution requirement by distributing a combination of cash and shares of our common stock. See "Federal Income Tax Risk Factors—We may pay taxable dividends of our common stock and cash, in which case stockholders may sell shares of our common stock to pay tax on such dividends, placing downward pressure on the market price of our common stock."

Any distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits will not be taxable to a holder to the extent that they do not exceed the holder's adjusted basis in the shares of stock in respect of which the distributions were made, but rather, will reduce the adjusted basis of these shares. To the extent that such distributions exceed the adjusted basis of a stockholder's shares, they will generally be included in income as capital gains.

We have the right to accumulate and not pay dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. If dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock are not paid, holders of our Common Stock will not receive any dividend distributions. If we have REIT taxable income during 2015, and we do not distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains, then we will not be taxed as a REIT during calendar year 2015, which could have a material adverse effect on us and on the value of our securities.

## **Risks Related to the Real Estate Industry**

*Our performance and the value of our properties are subject to risks associated with real estate and with the real estate industry, which could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, financial condition and results of operations.*

Our ability to make distributions to our stockholders depends on our ability to generate cash revenues in excess of our expenses, including expenses associated with our development activities, indebtedness and capital expenditure requirements. The occurrence of certain events and conditions that are generally applicable to owners and operators of real estate, many of which are beyond our control, could materially and adversely affect us. These events and conditions include:



adverse national, regional and local economic conditions;

rising interest rates;

oversupply of student housing in our markets, increased competition for student-tenants or reduction in demand for student housing;

inability to collect rent from student-tenants;

vacancies at our properties or an inability to lease our properties on favorable terms;

inability to finance property development and acquisitions on favorable terms;

increased operating costs, including insurance premiums, utilities and real estate taxes;

the need for capital expenditures at our properties;

costs of complying with changes in governmental regulations;

the relative illiquidity of real estate investments; and

civil unrest, acts of God, including earthquakes, floods, hurricanes and other natural disasters, which may result in uninsured losses, and acts of war or terrorism.

In addition, periods of economic slowdown or recession, such as the one the global economy experienced from 2007 through 2011, rising interest rates or declining demand for real estate, or the public perception that any of these events may occur, could result in a general decline in occupancy rates and rental revenue or an increased incidence of defaults under our existing leases, which could impair the value of our properties or reduce our cash flow.

***Illiquidity of real estate investments could significantly impede our ability to sell our properties or otherwise respond to adverse changes in the performance of our properties, which could materially and adversely affect us.***

From time to time, we may determine that it is in our best interest to sell one or more of our properties. However, because real estate investments are relatively illiquid, we may encounter difficulty in finding a buyer in a timely manner should we desire to sell one of our properties, especially if market conditions are poor at such time. Selling real estate has been difficult recently, since the availability of credit has become more limited, and as lending standards have become more stringent. As a result, potential buyers have experienced difficulty in obtaining financing necessary to purchase a property. In addition, our properties are specifically designed for use as student housing, which could limit their marketability or affect their values for alternative uses. Consequently, should we desire to sell one or more of our properties, our ability to do so promptly or on terms that we deem to be acceptable may be limited, which could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions on our securities and would likely have a negative impact on the trading price of our securities.

We also may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a property can be sold. We cannot assure you that we will have funds available to correct any such defects or to make any such improvements. In connection with any future property acquisitions, we may agree to provisions that materially restrict our ability to sell the property for a period of time or impose other restrictions, such as a limitation on the amount of debt that can be secured by or repaid with respect to such property.

In addition, our ability to sell properties may be limited by our need to avoid the 100% prohibited transactions tax that is imposed on gain recognized by a REIT from the sale of property characterized as dealer property. Any such limitation may cause us to incur losses, thereby reducing our cash flows. See "Federal Income Tax Risk Factors—The tax imposed on REITs engaging in 'prohibited transactions' may limit our ability to engage in transactions which would be treated as sales for federal income tax purposes." These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of any of our properties or a need for liquidity could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions on our securities and would likely have a negative impact on the trading price of our securities.

***We could incur significant costs related to government regulation and private litigation over environmental matters, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

Under various environmental laws, including the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act ("CERCLA"), a current or previous owner or operator of real estate may be liable for contamination resulting from the release or threatened release of hazardous or toxic substances or petroleum at that property. Additionally, an entity that arranges for the disposal or treatment of a hazardous or toxic substance or petroleum at another property may be held jointly and severally liable for the cost of investigating and cleaning up such property or other affected property. Such parties are known as potentially responsible parties ("PRPs"). These environmental laws often impose liability regardless of whether the PRP knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the contaminants, and the costs of any required investigation or cleanup of these substances can be substantial. PRPs may also be liable to parties who have claims for contribution in connection with any such contamination, such as other PRPs or state and federal governmental agencies. The liability is generally not limited under such laws and therefore could easily exceed the property's value and the assets of the liable party.



The presence of contamination, hazardous materials or environmental issues, or the failure to remediate such conditions, at a property may expose us to third-party liability for personal injury or property damage, remediation costs or adversely affect our ability to sell, lease or develop the property or to borrow using the property as collateral, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

Environmental laws also impose ongoing compliance requirements on owners and operators of real estate. Environmental laws potentially affecting us address a wide variety of matters, including, but not limited to, asbestos-containing building materials ("ACBMs"), storage tanks, storm water and wastewater discharges, lead-based paint, radon, wetlands and hazardous wastes. Failure to comply with these laws could result in fines and penalties or expose us to third-party liability, which could materially and adversely affect us. Some of our properties may have conditions that are subject to these requirements and we could be liable for such fines or penalties or could be liable to third parties.

***The conditions at some of our properties may expose us to liability and remediation costs related to environmental matters, which could materially and adversely affect us.***

Certain of our properties may contain, or may have contained, ACBMs. Environmental laws require that ACBMs be properly managed and maintained, and regulators may impose fines and penalties on building owners and operators for failure to comply with these requirements. Also, some of our properties may contain, or may have contained, or are adjacent to or near other properties that may contain or may have contained storage tanks for the storage of petroleum products or other hazardous or toxic substances. Any of these conditions create the potential for the release of these contaminants. Third parties may be permitted by law to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury or property damage arising from such tanks. Additionally, third parties may be permitted by law to seek recovery from owners or operators for personal injury or property damage associated with exposure to these or other contaminants that may be present on, at or under the properties. Furthermore, some of our properties include regulated wetlands on undeveloped portions of such properties and mitigated wetlands on or near our properties, the existence of which can delay or impede development or require costs to be incurred to mitigate the impact of any disturbance. Absent appropriate permits, we can be held responsible for restoring wetlands and be required to pay fines and penalties, which could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions on our securities.

Over the past several years there have been an increasing number of lawsuits against owners and operators of properties alleging personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold in real estate. Mold growth can occur when excessive moisture accumulates in buildings or on building materials, particularly if the moisture problem remains undiscovered or is not addressed over a period of time. Concern about indoor exposure to mold has been increasing as some molds have been shown to produce airborne toxins and irritants and exposure to these and other types of molds may lead to adverse health effects and symptoms, including allergic or other reactions. Some of our properties may contain microbial matter such as mold and mildew. The presence of significant mold at any of our properties could require us to undertake a costly remediation program to contain or remove the mold from the affected property and could expose us to liability from student-tenants, employees and others if property damage or health

concerns arise, which could materially and adversely affect our cash flows, financial condition, results of operations and ability to pay distributions on our securities.

If any of our properties are not properly connected to a water or sewer system, or if the integrity of such systems is breached, microbial matter or other contamination can develop. If this were to occur, we could incur significant remedial costs and we could also be subject to private damage claims and awards, which could be material. If we become subject to claims in this regard, it could materially and adversely affect our business and our insurability for such matters in the future.

Independent environmental consultants have conducted Phase I environmental site assessments on all of our properties. These Phase I environmental site assessments are intended to evaluate information regarding the environmental condition of the surveyed property and surrounding properties based generally on visual observations, interviews and the review of publicly available information. These assessments do not typically take into account all environmental issues including, but not limited to, testing of soil or groundwater, a comprehensive asbestos survey or an invasive inspection for the presence of lead-based paint, radon or mold contamination. As a result, these assessments may have failed to reveal all environmental conditions, liabilities, or other compliance issues affecting our properties. Material environmental conditions, liabilities, or compliance issues may have arisen after the assessments were conducted or may arise in the future.

In addition, future laws, ordinances or regulations may impose material additional environmental liabilities. We cannot assure you that the cost of future environmental compliance or remedial measures will not affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders or that such costs or other remedial measures will not be material to us.



***We may incur significant costs complying with the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Fair Housing Act and similar laws, which could materially and adversely affect us.***

Under the Americans with Disabilities Act (“ADA”), all public accommodations must meet various federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA’s requirements may require modifications to our properties, such as the removal of access barriers or restrict our ability to renovate or develop our properties in the manner we desire. Additional federal, state and local laws may also require us to make similar modifications or impose similar restrictions on us. For example, the Fair Housing Act (“FHA”) requires apartment properties first occupied after March 13, 1990 to be accessible to the handicapped.

We have not conducted an audit or investigation of all of our properties to determine our compliance with present requirements of the ADA, FHA or any similar laws. Noncompliance with any of these laws could result in us incurring significant costs to make substantial modifications to our properties or in the imposition of fines or an award or damages to private litigants. We cannot predict the ultimate amount of the cost of compliance with the ADA, FHA or other legislation. If we incur substantial costs to comply with the ADA, FHA or any other legislation, our results of operations, financial condition and our ability to make distributions on our securities could be materially and adversely affected.

***We may incur significant costs complying with other regulatory requirements, which could materially and adversely affect us.***

Our properties are subject to various federal, state and local regulatory requirements, such as state and local fire and life safety requirements. If we fail to comply with these various requirements, we might incur governmental fines or private damage awards. Furthermore, existing requirements could change and require us to make significant unanticipated expenditures, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations or financial condition and our ability to make distributions on our securities.

***Uninsured losses or losses in excess of insured limits could materially and adversely affect us.***

We carry comprehensive liability, fire, extended coverage, terrorism and rental loss insurance covering all of the properties in our portfolio. Our insurance includes coverage for earthquake damage to properties located in seismically active areas, windstorm damage to properties exposed to hurricanes, and terrorism insurance on all of our properties. Our insurance policies are subject to coverage limits and applicable deductibles, and if we suffer a substantial loss, our coverage may be insufficient. All insurance policies are also subject to coverage extensions that we believe are typical for our business. We do not carry insurance for generally uninsured losses such as loss from riots or other acts of God.

In the event we experience a loss which is uninsured or which exceeds our policy limits, we could lose the capital invested in the damaged property as well as the anticipated future cash flows from such property. In addition, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might also keep us from using insurance proceeds to replace or renovate a property after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under such circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive might be inadequate to restore our economic position with respect to the damaged or destroyed property. Furthermore, in the event of a substantial loss at one or more of our properties that is covered by one or more policies, the remaining insurance under these policies, if any, could be insufficient to adequately insure our other properties. In such event, securing additional insurance policies, if possible, could be significantly more expensive than our current policies. Any loss of these types may materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***Future terrorist attacks in the United States or an increase in incidents of violence on college campuses could reduce the demand for, and the value of, our properties, which could materially and adversely affect us.***

Future terrorist attacks in the United States, such as the attacks that occurred in New York and Washington, D.C. on September 11, 2001, and acts of war, or threats of the same, could reduce the demand for, and the value of, our properties. Any such event in any of the markets in which our properties are located would make it difficult for us to maintain the affected property's occupancy or to re-lease the property at rates equal to or above historical rates, which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and the market price of our capital stock and could also materially adversely affect our ability to make distributions on our securities.

Incidents of violence on college campuses could pose similar problems, if such an incident were to occur on a college campus in one of our markets. Such an event in any of our markets could not only adversely affect our occupancy rates, but would also likely lead to increased operating expenses for such properties due to increased security costs, which would likely be necessary to reassure our student-tenants in the wake of such an incident. Any such increase in operating expenses may have a material adverse effect on the results of operations of the affected property.

In addition, terrorist attacks or violent incidents could directly impact the value of our properties through damage, destruction or loss and the availability of insurance for such acts may be limited or prohibitively expensive. If we receive casualty proceeds, we may not be able to reinvest such proceeds profitably or at all, and we may be forced to recognize taxable gain on the affected property, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

## Risks Related to Our Company and Structure

*Provisions of our charter allow our board of directors to authorize the issuance of additional securities, which may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us through a transaction that our stockholders believe to be in their best interest.*

Our charter authorizes our board of directors to issue up to 500,000,000 shares of common stock and up to 50,000,000 shares of preferred stock. In addition, subject to the rights of holders of Series A Preferred Stock to approve the classification or issuance of any class or series of stock ranking senior to the Series A Preferred Stock, our board of directors may, without stockholder approval, amend our charter to increase the aggregate number of our shares or the number of shares of any class or series that we have the authority to issue and to classify or reclassify any unissued common stock or preferred stock and to set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified stock. As a result, our board of directors may authorize the issuance of additional stock or establish a series of common or preferred stock that may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us, including through a transaction at a premium over the market price of our securities, even if our stockholders believe that a change in control through such a transaction is in their best interest.

*Provisions of Maryland law may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us, which, in turn, may negatively affect our stockholders' ability to realize a premium over the market price of our securities.*

Certain provisions of the Maryland General Corporation Law (the "MGCL") may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making a proposal to acquire us or of impeding a change in control under circumstances that otherwise could provide our stockholders with the opportunity to realize a premium over the market price of our securities, including:

*The Maryland Business Combination Act*, which, subject to limitations, prohibits certain business combinations between us and an "interested stockholder" (defined generally as any person who beneficially owns 10% or more of the voting power of our voting capital stock) or an affiliate of any interested stockholder for five years after the most recent date on which the stockholder becomes an interested stockholder, and thereafter imposes special appraisal rights and special stockholder voting requirements on these combinations; and

*The Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act*, which provides that our "control shares" (defined as shares which, when aggregated with other shares controlled by the stockholder, entitle the stockholder to exercise one of three increasing ranges of voting power in electing directors) acquired in a "control share acquisition" (defined as the direct or indirect acquisition of ownership or control of "control shares") have no voting rights except to the extent approved by our stockholders by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of all the votes entitled to be cast on the matter, excluding all interested shares.

By resolution of our board of directors, we have opted out of the business combination provisions of the MGCL and provided that any business combination between us and any other person is exempt from the business combination provisions of the MGCL, provided that the business combination is first approved by our board of directors (including a majority of directors who are not affiliates or associates of such persons). Pursuant to a provision in our bylaws, we have opted out of the control share provisions of the MGCL. However, our board of directors may by resolution elect to opt into the business combination provisions of the MGCL and we may, by amendment to our bylaws, opt into the control share provisions of the MGCL in the future.

Additionally, Title 3, Subtitle 8 of the MGCL permits our board of directors, without stockholder approval and regardless of what is currently provided in our charter or bylaws, to implement certain takeover defenses, such as a classified board, some of which we do not yet have. These provisions may have the effect of inhibiting a third party from making an acquisition proposal for us or of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of us that otherwise could provide our stockholders with the opportunity to realize a premium over the market price of our securities.

***The ownership limitations in our charter may restrict or prevent you from engaging in certain transfers of our securities, which may delay or prevent a change in control of us that our stockholders believe to be in their best interest.***

In order for us to qualify as a REIT, no more than 50% in value of the outstanding shares of our capital stock may be owned, directly or indirectly, by five or fewer individuals (as defined in the federal income tax laws to include various kinds of entities) during the last half of any taxable year. Attribution rules in the Internal Revenue Code determine if any individual or entity actually or constructively owns our capital stock under this requirement. Additionally, at least 100 persons must beneficially own shares of our capital stock during at least 335 days of each taxable year. To assist us in qualifying as a REIT, our charter contains a stock ownership limit which provides that, subject to certain exceptions, no person or entity may beneficially own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the applicable constructive ownership provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, more than 9.8% by vote or value, whichever is more restrictive, of either our outstanding common stock or our outstanding capital stock in the aggregate. In addition, the Series A Preferred Stock articles supplementary provide generally that no person may own, or be deemed to own by virtue of the attribution provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, more than 9.8% in value or in number of shares, whichever is more restrictive, of our outstanding Series A Preferred Stock. Generally, any of our shares of capital stock owned by affiliated owners will be added together for purposes of the stock ownership limits.

If anyone transfers shares of our stock in a way that would violate the stock ownership limits or prevent us from qualifying as a REIT under the federal income tax laws, those shares instead will be transferred to a trust for the benefit of a charitable beneficiary and will be either redeemed by us or sold to a person whose ownership of the shares will not violate the stock ownership limits or we will consider the transfer to be null and void from the outset, and the intended transferee of those shares will be deemed never to have owned the shares. Anyone who acquires securities in violation of the stock ownership limits or the other restrictions on transfer in our charter bears the risk of suffering a financial loss when the shares are redeemed or sold if their market price falls between the date of purchase and the date of redemption or sale.

The constructive ownership rules under the Internal Revenue Code are complex and may cause stock owned actually or constructively by a group of related individuals or entities to be owned constructively by one individual or entity. As a result, the acquisition of less than 9.8% of our stock (or the acquisition of an interest in an entity that owns, actually or constructively, our stock) by an individual or entity, could nevertheless cause that individual or entity, or another individual or entity, to own constructively in excess of 9.8% of our outstanding stock and therefore would subject the individual or entity to the stock ownership limits. However, under certain circumstances, our charter provides that our board of directors shall make an exception to this limitation if our board determines that such exception will not jeopardize our tax status as a REIT.

In addition, the stock ownership limits and the other restrictions on transfer in our charter may have the effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a third party from acquiring control of us, whether such a transaction involved a premium price for our securities or otherwise was in the best interest of our stockholders.

***Our rights and the rights of our stockholders to take action against our directors and officers are limited, which could limit the recourse available in the event actions are taken that are not in the best interest of our stockholders.***

Maryland law provides that a director has no liability in connection with the director's management of the business and affairs of a corporation if he or she performs his or her duties in good faith, in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in the best interests of the corporation and with the care that an ordinarily prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. In addition, our charter exculpates our directors and officers from liability to us and our stockholders for money damages except for liability resulting from actual receipt of an improper benefit in money, property or services or active and deliberate dishonesty established by a final judgment and which is material to the cause of action. Our charter authorizes us to indemnify our directors and officers for actions taken by them in those capacities to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law. Our bylaws require us to indemnify each director or officer, to the maximum extent permitted by Maryland law, in the defense of any proceeding to which he or she is made, or threatened to be made, a party by reason of his or her service to us. In addition, we may be obligated to fund the defense costs incurred by our directors and officers. As a result, we and our stockholders may have more limited rights against our directors and officers, which could limit the recourse available in the event actions are taken that are not in our stockholders' best interest.

***Our charter contains provisions that make removal of our directors difficult, which could make it difficult for our stockholders to effect changes to our management that our stockholders believe to be in their best interest.***

Our charter provides that a director may be removed only for cause (as defined in our charter) and then only by the affirmative vote of at least two-thirds of the votes entitled to be cast generally in the election of directors. Our charter also provides that vacancies on our board of directors may be filled only by a majority of the remaining directors in office, even if less than a quorum. These requirements prevent stockholders from removing directors except for cause and with a substantial affirmative vote and from replacing directors with their own nominees. As a result, a change in our management that our stockholders believe is in their best interest may be delayed, deferred or prevented.

***Our board of directors has approved very broad investment guidelines for us and does not review or approve each investment decision made by our management team.***

Our management team is authorized to follow broad investment guidelines and, therefore, has great latitude in determining which investments are proper for us, as well as in making the individual investment decisions. Our management team may make investments with lower rates of return than those anticipated under current market conditions and/or may make investments with greater risks to achieve those anticipated returns.

***The ability of our board of directors to change some of our policies without the consent of our stockholders may lead to the adoption of policies that are not in the best interest of our stockholders.***

Our major policies, including our policies with respect to investments, leverage, financing, growth, debt and capitalization, are determined by our board of directors or those committees or officers to whom our board of directors may delegate such authority. Our board of directors also establishes the form, timing and/or amount of any dividends or distributions that we may pay to our stockholders. Our board of directors or the committees or officers to which such decisions may be delegated have the ability to amend or revise these and our other policies at any time without stockholder vote. Accordingly, our stockholders may not have control over changes in our policies, and we may adopt policies that may not prove to be in the best interests of our stockholders.

***Members of our board of directors may in the future be holders of OP units, and the interests of holders of OP units may differ from those of our stockholders.***

Currently no members of our board of directors are holders of OP Units. However, members of our board of directors may in the future be direct or indirect holders of OP units. For example, the former members (the “Sellers”) of Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC (“CBTC”) and Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC (“CBTC PA”) and, together with CBTC, “Copper Beech”) are holders of OP units and may receive additional OP units in connection with a second closing for the Copper Beech acquisition (see Note 19 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for additional information regarding the Copper Beech acquisition). Pursuant to agreements with the Sellers in connection with the Copper Beech acquisition, we agreed to nominate John R. McWhirter, one of the Sellers who holds OP Units, for election to our board of directors at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders. Accordingly, if Dr. McWhirter is elected to our board of directors at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, one of the members of our board of directors will be a holder of OP Units. However, if Dr. McWhirter is not elected to our board of directors at the 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, we have no further obligation to nominate Dr. McWhirter to the board of directors.

As holders of OP units board members may have conflicting interests with our stockholders. For example, board members with OP units may have different tax positions from our stockholders, which could influence their decisions regarding whether and when to dispose of assets, whether and when to incur new indebtedness or refinance existing indebtedness and how to structure future transactions. As a result, if one or more of our board members are holders of OP units, our board of directors may implement policies or make decisions that are not in the best interest of our stockholders.

***We have entered into employment agreements with certain of our executive officers that require us to make payments in the event such officer’s employment is terminated by us without cause or by such officer for good reason. This may make it difficult for us to effect changes to our management or limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of us when it would otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders.***

The employment agreements that we entered into with certain of our executive officers provide benefits under certain circumstances that could make it more difficult for us to terminate these officers. Therefore, even if we sought to replace these officers, it may not be economically viable for us to do so. Furthermore, because an acquiring company would likely seek to replace these officers with their own personnel, these employment agreements could have the effect of delaying, deterring or preventing a change in control of us that would otherwise be in the best interest of our stockholders.

***Our primary assets are our general partnership interest in the Operating Partnership and OP units and, as a result, we depend on distributions from the Operating Partnership to pay dividends and expenses.***

We are a holding company and have no material assets other than our general partnership interest and OP units. We intend to cause the Operating Partnership to make distributions to its limited partners, including us, in an amount sufficient to allow us to qualify as a REIT for federal income tax purposes and to pay all our expenses. To the extent we need funds and the Operating Partnership is restricted from making distributions under applicable law, agreement or otherwise, or if the Operating Partnership is otherwise unable to provide such funds, the failure to make such distributions could adversely affect our liquidity and financial condition and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

*We operate through a partnership structure, which could materially and adversely affect us.*

Our primary property-owning vehicle is the Operating Partnership, of which we are the sole general partner. Our acquisition of properties through the Operating Partnership in exchange for OP units may permit certain tax deferral advantages to the sellers of those properties. If properties contributed to the Operating Partnership have unrealized gain attributable to the difference between the fair market value and adjusted tax basis in such properties prior to contribution, then the sale of such properties could cause material and adverse tax consequences to the partners who contributed such properties. Although we, as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, generally have no obligation to consider the tax consequences of our actions to any limited partner, in connection with our formation transactions, we agreed to indemnify MXT Capital for certain tax consequences related to our properties. We also have a tax indemnification agreement with Copper Beech. There can be no assurance that the Operating Partnership will not acquire properties in the future subject to material restrictions designed to minimize the adverse tax consequences to the partners who contribute such properties. Such restrictions could result in significantly reduced flexibility to manage our properties, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.



***We have fiduciary duties as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership which may result in conflicts of interest in representing your interests as our stockholders.***

Conflicts of interest could arise in the future as a result of the relationship between us, on the one hand, and the Operating Partnership or any partner thereof, on the other. We, as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership, have fiduciary duties to the other limited partners in the Operating Partnership under Delaware law. At the same time, our directors and officers have duties to us and our stockholders under applicable Maryland law in connection with their management of us. Our duties as the sole general partner of the Operating Partnership may come in conflict with the duties of our directors and officers to us and our stockholders. For example, those persons holding OP units will have the right to vote on certain amendments to the partnership agreement (which require approval by a majority in interest of the limited partners, including us) and individually to approve certain amendments that would adversely affect their rights. These voting rights may be exercised in a manner that conflicts with the interests of our stockholders. We are unable to modify the rights of limited partners to receive distributions as set forth in the partnership agreement in a manner that adversely affects their rights without their consent, even though such modification might be in the best interest of our stockholders. Our partnership agreement provides that if there is a conflict between the interests of our stockholders, on one hand, and the interests of the limited partners, on the other, we will endeavor in good faith to resolve the conflict in a manner not adverse to either our stockholders or the limited partners; provided, however, that for so long as we own a controlling interest in the Operating Partnership, we have agreed to resolve any conflict that cannot be resolved in a manner not adverse to either our stockholders or the limited partners in favor of our stockholders.

***Changes in accounting rules, assumptions and/or judgments could materially and adversely affect us.***

Accounting rules and interpretations for certain aspects of our operations are highly complex and involve significant assumptions and judgment. These complexities could lead to a delay in the preparation and public dissemination of our financial statements. Furthermore, changes in accounting rules and interpretations or in our accounting assumptions and/or judgments, such as those described in our summary of significant accounting policies in the notes to the consolidated and combined financial statements, could significantly impact the actual results included in our financial statements. Under any of these circumstances, our financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.

***We may not make distributions to our stockholders or be able to maintain our current distribution rate, and we may be required to fund the minimum distribution necessary to qualify for taxation as a REIT from sources that could reduce our cash flows.***

Our ability to fund any distributions will depend, in part, upon continued successful leasing of our existing portfolio and successful management services. To the extent these sources are insufficient, we may use our working capital or borrowings under our revolving credit facility to fund distributions, if and to the extent then permitted under the terms

of our credit facility. If we need to fund future distributions with borrowings under our revolving credit facility or from working capital, or if we reduce our distribution rate, our stock price may be adversely affected. In addition, to the extent that we fund any distributions with borrowings under our revolving credit facility or from working capital, our cash available for investment in our business, including for property development and acquisition purposes, will decrease.

In addition, in order to qualify for taxation as a REIT, among other requirements, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually to at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains. To the extent that, in respect of any calendar year, cash available for distribution to our stockholders is less than our REIT taxable income, we would be required to fund the minimum distribution necessary to qualify for taxation as a REIT from other sources, which could include asset sales or borrowings. Funding a distribution through asset sales or borrowings could reduce our cash flow from operations, increase our interest expense and decrease our cash available for investment in our business. We may also choose to meet this distribution requirement by distributing a combination of cash and shares of our common stock. See "Federal Income Tax Risk Factors—We may pay taxable dividends of our common stock and cash, in which case stockholders may sell shares of our common stock to pay tax on such dividends, placing downward pressure on the market price of our common stock."

Any distributions in excess of our current and accumulated earnings and profits will not be taxable to a holder to the extent that they do not exceed the holder's adjusted basis in the shares of stock in respect of which the distributions were made, but rather, will reduce the adjusted basis of these shares. To the extent that such distributions exceed the adjusted basis of a stockholder's shares, they will generally be included in income as capital gains.

We have the right to accumulate and not pay dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. If dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock are not paid, holders of our Common Stock will not receive any dividend distributions. If we have REIT taxable income during 2015, and we do not distribute at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains, then we will not be taxed as a REIT during calendar year 2015, which could have a material adverse effect on us and on the value of our securities.

***The market price of our securities may be volatile due to numerous circumstances, some of which are beyond our control.***

The market price of our securities may be highly volatile and subject to wide fluctuations. Our financial performance, government regulatory action, tax laws, interest rates and market conditions in general could have a significant impact on the market price of our securities. Some of the factors that could negatively affect the market price or result in fluctuations in the market price of our securities include:

· actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly operating results;

changes in our financial performance or earnings estimates;

increases in market interest rates (which, among other consequences, may lead purchasers of our securities to require a higher dividend yield to make or maintain an investment);

changes in market valuations of similar companies;

adverse market reaction to any indebtedness we incur in the future;

additions or departures of key personnel;

actions by our stockholders;

speculation in the press or investment community;

general market, economic and political conditions, including the recent economic slowdown and dislocation in the global credit markets;

our issuance of additional shares of common stock or other securities;

activist or proxy contests;

availability of outstanding shares of our common stock, including sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock in the public market (including shares held by our directors, officers or their affiliates);

the performance of other similar companies;

changes in accounting principles;

passage of legislation or other regulatory developments that adversely affect us or our industry; and

the potential impact of the recent economic slowdown on the student housing industry and related budgets of colleges and universities.

***Future offerings of debt securities, which would be senior to our common stock upon liquidation, or equity securities, which would dilute our existing stockholders and may be senior to our common stock for the purposes of***

***distributions, may limit our operating and financial flexibility and adversely affect the market price of our securities.***

Our common stock is ranked junior to our Series A Preferred Stock. Our outstanding Series A Preferred Stock also has a preference upon our dissolution, liquidation or winding up in respect of assets available for distribution to our stockholders. Holders of our common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights or other protections against dilution. In the future, we may attempt to increase our capital resources by making additional offerings of debt or equity securities, including commercial paper, medium-term notes, senior or subordinated notes and classes of preferred or common stock. Upon liquidation, holders of our debt securities and shares of preferred stock and lenders with respect to other borrowings will receive a distribution of our available assets prior to the holders of our common stock. Additional equity offerings may dilute the holdings of our existing stockholders or reduce the market price of our securities or both. In addition, it is possible that these securities or indebtedness will be governed by an indenture or other instrument containing covenants restricting our operating flexibility and limiting our ability to make distributions to our stockholders. Because our decision to issue debt or equity securities in any future offering or otherwise incur indebtedness will depend on then-current market conditions and other factors beyond our control, we cannot predict or estimate the amount, timing or nature of our future offerings or financings. Thus, our stockholders bear the risk of our future offerings reducing the market price of our securities and diluting their proportionate ownership.

***Our lack of a formal enterprise risk management framework could adversely affect our profitability, business, financial condition or results of operations.***

In the course of our senior management's efforts to identify, assess and manage our risks, they currently do not have the benefit of a formal enterprise risk management program to facilitate their efforts. While many of the risks that we monitor and manage are described in this section of this report, our business operations could also be affected by additional factors that are not presently described or known to us or that we currently consider immaterial to our operations. Without a formal enterprise risk management framework, we may not be able to effectively manage and mitigate the risks to which we are subject or effectively minimize any losses stemming from such risks. Even with a formal enterprise risk management framework, our efforts to identify, monitor and manage risks may not be fully effective. Failure to identify, prioritize and appropriately manage or mitigate these risks could adversely affect our profitability or our ability to retain or grow business and could adversely affect our business, financial condition or results of operations.

## Federal Income Tax Risk Factors

*Our failure to remain qualified as a REIT could have a material and adverse effect on us and on the value of our securities.*

We intend to continue to operate in a manner that will allow us to continue to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes under the Internal Revenue Code. If we lose our qualification as a REIT, we will face significant adverse tax consequences that would substantially reduce the funds available for distribution to our stockholders for each of the years involved because:

- we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to stockholders in computing our taxable income and we would be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates;
- we also could be subject to the U.S. federal alternative minimum tax and possibly increased state and local taxes; and
- unless we are entitled to relief under applicable statutory provisions, we could not elect to be taxed as a REIT for four taxable years following a year during which we were disqualified.

In addition, if we lose our qualification as a REIT, we will not be required to make distributions to stockholders, and all distributions to our stockholders will be subject to tax as regular corporate dividends to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits. This means that our U.S. stockholders that are individuals would be taxed on our dividends at the current maximum U.S. federal income tax rate currently of 20%, and our corporate stockholders generally would be entitled to the dividends received deduction with respect to such dividends, subject, in each case, to applicable limitations under the Internal Revenue Code.

Qualification as a REIT involves the application of highly technical and complex Internal Revenue Code provisions and regulations promulgated thereunder for which there are only limited judicial and administrative interpretations. Even a technical or inadvertent violation could jeopardize our ability to qualify as a REIT. The complexity of these provisions and of the applicable U.S. Treasury Department regulations ("Treasury Regulations") that have been promulgated under the Internal Revenue Code is greater in the case of a REIT that, like us, holds its assets through a partnership. The determination of various factual matters and circumstances not entirely within our control may affect our ability to qualify as a REIT. In order to continue to qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy a number of requirements on a continuing basis, including requirements regarding the composition of our assets, sources of our gross income and stockholder ownership. Also, we must make distributions to stockholders aggregating annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding net capital gains.

As a result of these factors, our failure to continue to qualify as a REIT could materially and adversely affect us and the market price of our securities.

*To remain qualified as a REIT, we will likely rely on the availability of equity and debt capital to fund our business.*

To remain qualified as a REIT, we generally must distribute to our stockholders at least 90% of our REIT taxable income each year, excluding net capital gains, and we will be subject to regular corporate income taxes to the extent that we distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income each year. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax on the amount, if any, by which distributions paid by us in any calendar year are less than the sum of 85% of our ordinary income, 95% of our capital gain net income and 100% of our undistributed income from prior years. Because of REIT distribution requirements, we may be unable to fund capital expenditures, such as our developments, future acquisitions or property upgrades or renovations from operating cash flow. Therefore, we may be dependent on the public equity and debt capital markets and private lenders to fund our growth and other capital expenditures. However, we may not be able to obtain this capital on favorable terms or at all. Our access to third-party sources of capital depends, in part, on:

- general market conditions;
- our current debt levels and the number of properties subject to encumbrances;
- our current performance and the market's perception of our growth potential;
- our cash flow and cash dividends; and
- the market price of our securities.

If we cannot obtain capital from third-party sources, we may not be able to acquire or develop properties when strategic opportunities exist, satisfy our debt service obligations or make the cash distributions to our stockholders, including those necessary to maintain our qualification as a REIT, which could materially and adversely affect us.

*Even if we remain qualified as a REIT, we may face other tax liabilities that have a material and adverse effect on us.*

Even if we continue to qualify for taxation as a REIT, we may be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and assets, including taxes on any undistributed income, taxes on income from some activities conducted as a result of a foreclosure, and state or local income, property and transfer taxes. Any of these taxes would cause our

operating costs to increase, and therefore our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected.



In particular, various services provided at our properties generally cannot be provided directly by the property owner, but must be provided through TRSs that are treated as fully taxable corporations.

***To remain qualified as a REIT, we may be forced to limit the activities of our TRSs, which could materially and adversely affect our business and results of operations.***

To remain qualified as a REIT, no more than 25% of the value of our total assets may consist of the securities of one or more TRSs. Certain of our activities, such as our third-party development, construction, management and leasing services, must be conducted through our TRSs for us to qualify or remain qualified as a REIT. In addition, certain non-customary services must be provided by a TRS or an independent contractor. If the revenues from such activities create a risk that the value of our TRSs, based on revenues or otherwise, approaches the 25% threshold, we will be forced to curtail such activities or take other steps to remain under the 25% threshold. Since the 25% threshold is based on value, it is possible that the IRS could successfully contend that the value of our TRSs exceeds the 25% threshold even if our TRSs account for less than 25% of our consolidated revenues, income or cash flow. Our third-party services generally are performed by our TRSs. Consequently, income earned from our third-party services and non-customary services will be subject to regular federal income taxation and state and local income taxation where applicable, thus reducing the amount of cash available for distribution to our stockholders.

A TRS is not permitted to directly or indirectly operate or manage a "hotel, motel or other establishment more than one-half of the dwelling units in which are used on a transient basis." We previously have been advised by counsel that the method of operating our TRSs will not be considered to constitute such an activity. However, future Treasury Regulations or other guidance interpreting the applicable provisions might adopt a different approach, or the IRS might disagree with the conclusion of our counsel. In such event we might be forced to change our method of operating our TRSs, or one or more of the TRSs could fail to qualify as a TRS, which could cause us to fail to qualify as a REIT. Any of the foregoing circumstances could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***If the Operating Partnership fails to qualify as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, we would cease to qualify as a REIT and we could be materially and adversely affected.***

We believe that the Operating Partnership qualifies to be treated as a partnership for federal income tax purposes. As a partnership, the Operating Partnership is not subject to federal income tax on its income. Instead, each of its partners, including us, is required to pay tax on its allocable share of the Operating Partnership's income. No assurance can be provided, however, that the IRS, will not challenge its status as a partnership for federal income tax purposes, or that a court would not sustain such a challenge. If the IRS were successful in treating the Operating Partnership as a corporation for tax purposes, we would fail to meet the gross income tests and certain of the asset tests applicable to REITs and, accordingly, cease to qualify as a REIT. The failure of the Operating Partnership to qualify as a partnership would also cause it to become subject to federal state and corporate income tax, which would reduce

significantly the amount of cash available for debt service and for distribution to its partners, including us.

***Dividends payable by REITs do not qualify for the reduced tax rates available for some dividends, which could materially and adversely affect the market price of our securities.***

The maximum tax rate applicable to "qualified dividend income" payable to U.S. stockholders that are taxed at individual rates is 20%. Dividends payable by REITs, however, generally are not eligible for the reduced rates on qualified dividend income. Although this does not adversely affect the taxation of REITs or dividends payable by REITs, the more favorable rates applicable to regular corporate qualified dividends could cause investors taxed at individual rates to perceive investments in REITs to be relatively less attractive than investments in the stocks of non-REIT corporations that pay dividends, which could materially and adversely affect the market price of the stock of REITs, including our securities.

***We may pay taxable dividends in the form our common stock and cash, in which case stockholders may sell shares of our common stock to pay tax on such dividends, placing downward pressure on the market price of our common stock.***

We may distribute taxable dividends that are payable in cash and common stock at the election of each stockholder. The IRS has issued private letter rulings to other REITs treating certain distributions that are paid partly in cash and partly in stock as taxable dividends that would satisfy the REIT annual distribution requirement and qualify for the dividends paid deduction for federal income tax purposes. Those rulings may be relied upon only by taxpayers to whom they were issued, but we could request a similar ruling from the IRS. Accordingly, it is unclear whether and to what extent we will be able to make taxable dividends payable in cash and common stock.

If we made a taxable dividend payable in cash and common stock, taxable stockholders receiving such dividends will be required to include the full amount of the dividend as ordinary income to the extent of our current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for federal income tax purposes. As a result, stockholders may be required to pay income tax with respect to such dividends in excess of the cash dividends received. If a U.S. stockholder sells the common stock that it receives as a dividend in order to pay this tax, the sales proceeds may be less than the amount included in income with respect to the dividend, depending on the market price of our common stock at the time of the sale. Furthermore, with respect to certain non-U.S. stockholders, we may be required to withhold federal income tax with respect to such dividends, including in respect of all or a portion of such dividend that is payable in common stock. If we made a taxable dividend payable in cash and our common stock and a significant number of our stockholders determine to sell shares of our common stock in order to pay taxes owed on such dividends, it may be viewed as economically equivalent to a dividend reduction and put downward pressure on the trading price of our common stock. We do not currently intend to pay taxable dividends in the form of our common stock and cash, although we may choose to do so in the future.

***Complying with REIT requirements may limit our ability to hedge effectively and may cause us to incur tax liabilities, which could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.***

The REIT provisions of the Internal Revenue Code substantially limit our ability to hedge our liabilities. Any income from a hedging transaction we enter into to manage risk of interest rate changes with respect to borrowings made or to be made to acquire or carry real estate assets will not constitute "gross income" for purposes of the 75% gross income test or the 95% gross income test, if certain requirements are not met. To the extent that we enter into other types of hedging transactions, the income from those transactions is likely to be treated as non-qualifying income for purposes of both of the gross income tests. As a result, we might have to limit our use of advantageous hedging techniques or implement those hedges through a TRS. This could increase the cost of our hedging activities because a domestic TRS would be subject to tax on gains or expose us to greater risks associated with changes in interest rates than we would otherwise want to bear. In addition, losses in our TRSs will generally not provide any tax benefit, except for being carried forward against future taxable income in the respective TRS. These increased costs could materially and adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

***The tax imposed on REITs engaging in "prohibited transactions" may limit our ability to engage in transactions which would be treated as sales or otherwise as taxable dispositions for federal income tax purposes.***

A REIT's net income from prohibited transactions is subject to a 100% penalty tax. In general, prohibited transactions are sales or other dispositions of property, other than foreclosure property, held in inventory primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. Although we do not intend to hold any properties that would be characterized as inventory held for sale to customers in the ordinary course of our business, subject to certain statutory safe harbors, such characterization is a factual determination and no guarantee can be given that the IRS would agree with our characterization of our properties or that we will always be able to make use of the available safe harbors.

***Re-characterization of sale-leaseback transactions may cause us to lose our REIT status.***

We may purchase properties and lease them back to the sellers of such properties. While we will use our best efforts to structure any such sale-leaseback transaction so that the lease will be characterized as a "true lease," thereby allowing us to be treated as the owner of the property for federal income tax purposes, the IRS could challenge such characterization. In the event that any sale-leaseback transaction is challenged and re-characterized as a financing transaction or loan for federal income tax purposes, deductions for depreciation and cost recovery relating to such property would be disallowed. If a sale-leaseback transaction were so re-characterized, we might fail to satisfy the REIT qualification "asset tests" or the "income tests" and, consequently, lose our REIT status effective with the year of re-characterization. Alternatively, the amount of our REIT taxable income could be recalculated, which might also cause us to fail to meet the distribution requirement for a taxable year.

***Liquidation of assets may jeopardize our REIT status.***

To continue to qualify as a REIT, we must comply with requirements regarding our assets and our sources of income. If we are compelled to liquidate our investments to satisfy our obligations to our lenders, we may be unable to comply with these requirements, ultimately jeopardizing our status as a REIT, or we may be subject to a 100% tax on any resultant gain if we sell assets treated as dealer property or inventory.

***Complying with REIT requirements may cause us to liquidate otherwise attractive investments or to forgo otherwise attractive investment opportunities, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.***

To continue to qualify as a REIT for U.S. federal income tax purposes, we continually must satisfy tests concerning, among other things, the sources of our income, the type and diversification of our assets, the amounts we distribute to our stockholders and the ownership of our stock. If we fail to comply with these requirements at the end of any calendar quarter, we must correct such failure within 30 days after the end of the calendar quarter to avoid suffering adverse tax consequences, including potentially losing our REIT status. As a result, we may be required to liquidate otherwise attractive investments, which could materially and adversely affect us. In addition, we may be unable to pursue investments that would be otherwise advantageous to us in order to satisfy the source-of-income, asset-diversification or distribution requirements for qualifying as a REIT. Thus, compliance with the REIT requirements may hinder our ability to make certain attractive investments, which could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

***The ability of our board of directors to revoke our REIT election without stockholder approval may cause adverse consequences to our stockholders.***

Our charter provides that our board of directors may revoke or otherwise terminate our REIT election, without the approval of our stockholders, if it determines that it is no longer in our best interests to continue to qualify as a REIT. If we cease to qualify as a REIT, we would become subject to federal income tax on our taxable income and would no longer be required to distribute most of our taxable income to our stockholders, which may have adverse consequences on the total return to our stockholders.

***New legislation, regulation or administrative or judicial action, in each instance potentially with retroactive effect, could make it more difficult or impossible for us to qualify as a REIT.***

The present U.S. federal income tax treatment of REITs may be modified, possibly with retroactive effect, by legislative, regulatory, administrative or judicial action at any time, which could affect the U.S. federal income tax treatment of an investment in our stock. The U.S. federal income tax rules that affect REITs are under constant review by persons involved in the legislative process, the IRS and the U.S. Treasury Department, which results in statutory changes as well as frequent revisions to regulations and interpretations. Revisions in U.S. federal tax laws and interpretations thereof could cause us to change our investments and commitments, which could also affect the tax considerations of an investment in our stock.

### **Risks Related to the Copper Beech Acquisition**

***If we are unable to successfully integrate the operations of the CB Portfolio, we could be materially and adversely affected.***

In February 2013, we entered into purchase and sale agreements to acquire interests in a portfolio of 35 student housing properties, one undeveloped land parcel and corporate office building held by the members of Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC ("CBTC") and Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC ("CBTC PA", together with CBTC, "Copper Beech" or the "Sellers") (the "CB Portfolio"). On January 30, 2015, we completed the acquisition of the Sellers' remaining interests in 29 of the student housing properties, one undeveloped land parcel, and one other student housing property. The CB Portfolio Acquisition represents the largest acquisition of a property portfolio that we have ever acquired. The transaction involves the integration of a portfolio of properties that has previously operated independently. Successful integration of these operations will depend primarily on our ability to consolidate standards, controls, procedures and policies. This transaction also poses other risks commonly associated with similar transactions, including unanticipated liabilities, unexpected costs and the diversion of management's attention to the integration of the operations of the CB Portfolio. We may not be able to integrate these operations

without encountering difficulties, including, but not limited to, the disruption of our ongoing businesses or possible inconsistencies in standards, controls, procedures and policies. If we have difficulties with any of these integrations, we might not achieve the economic benefits we expect to result from the transaction, and this may hurt our business and financial results. In addition, we may experience greater-than-expected costs or difficulties relating to the integration of the operations of the CB Portfolio. Additional risks include, but are not limited to, the following:

inability to effectively monitor and manage our expanded portfolio of properties, retain key employees or attract highly qualified new employees;

inability to compete in new markets;

increased costs or increases in taxable income due to restructuring or other steps required in connection with the integration of the CB Portfolio as a result of our compliance with the tax requirements applicable to REITs under the Code;

projections of estimated future revenues, cost savings or operating metrics that we developed during the due diligence and integration planning process may not be achieved;

the value of the acquired properties or the market price of our common stock may decline;

adverse impact on the effectiveness of our internal controls and compliance with the regulatory requirements under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002;

unanticipated issues, expenses and liabilities; diversion of our management's attention away from other business concerns;

unanticipated expenses and liabilities associated with the tax indemnification agreement which we entered into with the Sellers in connection with the transaction;

exposure to any undisclosed or unknown potential liabilities relating to the CB Portfolio; and

potential underinsured losses on the CB Portfolio.

We cannot assure you that we will be able to integrate the CB Portfolio without encountering difficulties or that any such difficulties will not have a material adverse effect on us. Additionally, we cannot assure you that the CB Portfolio Acquisition will be accretive to us in the near term or at all. Failure to realize the intended benefits of the CB Portfolio Acquisition could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations, financial condition, the market price of our common shares and our distributions to our shareholders. Furthermore, if we fail to realize the intended benefits of the CB Portfolio Acquisition, the market price of our common stock could decline to the extent that the market price reflects those benefits.

#### **Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments.**

None.

#### **Item 2. Properties.**

As of December 31, 2014, we owned interests in 50 *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> and *evo*<sup>®</sup> operating properties and 36 Copper Beech branded operating properties. All of *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> and *evo*<sup>®</sup> operating properties are less than ten years old and more than half are less than four years old. No single property accounted for more than 5% of our total assets or gross revenue as of December 31, 2014 or 2013 or for the years then ended.

We focus our investment activities on properties located in medium-sized college and university markets where we believe the overall market dynamics are favorable. Thirty-six of our operating properties are wholly-owned and are operated under the brand *The Grove*<sup>®</sup>. Additionally, fourteen of our joint venture operating properties operate under the brands *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> and *evo*<sup>®</sup>. Our brands provide an identity for our marketing and selling activities, our operations and other on-site activities. The brands figure prominently on our web site, promotional materials and local signage.

Amenities at our properties generally include a resort style swimming pool, basketball courts, beach volleyball courts, fire pits, barbeque areas and a large clubhouse featuring a 24-hour fitness center, library and computer center, tavern style game room with billiards and other games, tanning beds, coffee shop and study areas. All of our properties are furnished with upholstered couches, chairs and durable wood case goods.

Generally, each student-tenant at our properties executes an individual lease agreement with us that is guaranteed by a parent or guardian. Lease terms are generally 11.5 months, which provides us with approximately two weeks to prepare a unit for a new tenant if the current tenant is vacating upon the expiration of the lease. Rent is payable

monthly in 12 equal installments. In addition to unlimited use of all the property amenities listed above, each tenant is entitled to cable, water/sewer and a \$25 per month electricity allowance. Student-tenants are prohibited from subletting units without our prior written consent, which is conditioned on, among other things, the payment of a transfer fee. Student-tenants are responsible for the outstanding lease obligations in the event that they are denied admission to, withdraw from or are placed on academic suspension or dismissed by, the college or university that our property services.

At December 31, 2014, we owned a 48% effective ownership interest in 36 Copper Beech branded operating properties. The Copper Beech units are townhomes with 3 or 4 beds and may be rented by the unit or by the bed with leases that are generally guaranteed by a parent or guardian. Lease terms are generally 11.5 months, which provides approximately two weeks to prepare a unit for a new tenant if the current tenant is vacating upon the expiration of the lease. Rent is payable monthly in 12 equal installments. Student-tenants are prohibited from subletting units without our prior written consent, which is conditioned on, among other things, the payment of a transfer fee. Student-tenants are responsible for the outstanding lease obligations in the event that they are denied admission to, withdraw from or are placed on academic suspension or dismissed by, the college or university that our property services. Subsequent to December 31, 2014, we completed the acquisition on January 30, 2015, of the remaining interests in 29 of the Copper Beech student housing properties, one undeveloped land parcel, and one other Copper Beech student housing property.



The following table presents certain summary information about our *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> and *evo*<sup>®</sup> operating properties:

|     | Location  | Year Opened | Primary University Served          | Fall 2013 Overall Enrollment | Distance to Campus (miles) | Number of Units | Number of Beds | Occupancy as of December 31, 2014 <sup>(1)</sup> | Average Monthly Total Revenue Per Occupied Bed <sup>(2)</sup> |
|-----|---|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|---|
| 1   | The Grove at Asheville, NC                          | 2005        | UNC - Asheville                    | 3,784                        | 0.1                        | 154             | 448            | 98.2 %   | \$484   |
| 2   | The Grove at Carrollton, GA                         | 2006        | University of West Georgia         | 11,929                       | 0.1                        | 168             | 492            | 94.9 %   | \$463   |
| 3   | The Grove at Las Cruces, NM                         | 2006        | New Mexico State University        | 16,765                       | 0.4                        | 168             | 492            | 81.2 %   | \$456   |
| 4   | The Grove at Milledgeville, GA                      | 2006        | Georgia College & State University | 6,551                        | 0.1                        | 168             | 492            | 98.7 %   | \$599   |
| 5   | The Grove at Abilene, TX                            | 2007        | Abilene Christian University       | 4,461                        | 0.5                        | 192             | 504            | 92.5 %   | \$477   |
| 6   | The Grove at Ellensburg, WA                         | 2007        | Central Washington University      | 11,287                       | 0.5                        | 192             | 504            | 97.5 %   | \$531   |
| 7   | The Grove at Greeley, CO                            | 2007        | University of Northern Colorado    | 12,710                       | 1.0                        | 192             | 504            | 99.1 %   | \$519   |
| 8/9 | The Grove at Mobile, AL—Phase I & II <sup>(3)</sup> | 2007/2008   | University of South Alabama        | 15,065                       | 0.0                        | 384             | 1,008          | 82.2 %   | \$484   |
| 10  | The Grove at Nacogdoches, TX—Phase I & II           | 2007/2012   | Stephen F. Austin State University | 12,772                       | 0.4                        | 260             | 682            | 89.2 %   | \$573   |
| 11  | The Grove at Cheney, WA                             | 2008        | Eastern Washington University      | 12,791                       | 0.5                        | 192             | 512            | 93.5 %   | \$472   |
| 12  | The Grove at Lubbock, TX                            | 2008        | Texas Tech University              | 33,111                       | 1.2                        | 192             | 504            | 92.5 %   | \$497   |
| 13  | The Grove at Stephenville, TX                       | 2008        | Tarleton State University          | 13,307                       | 0.8                        | 192             | 504            | 89.4 %   | \$565   |
| 14  | The Grove at Troy, AL                               | 2008        | Troy University                    | 20,573                       | 0.4                        | 192             | 514            | 93.7 %   | \$517   |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|    |   |           |  |        |     |     |     |        |       |
|----|---|-----------|--|--------|-----|-----|-----|--------|-------|
| 15 | The Grove at Waco, TX                       | 2008      | Baylor University                      | 15,616 | 0.8 | 192 | 504 | 89.3 % | \$564 |
| 16 | The Grove at Murfreesboro, TN               | 2009      | Middle Tennessee State University      | 23,881 | 0.8 | 186 | 504 | 96.6 % | \$468 |
| 17 | The Grove at San Marcos, TX                 | 2009      | Texas State University                 | 35,546 | 1.7 | 192 | 504 | 97.3 % | \$565 |
| 18 | The Grove at Moscow, ID                     | 2009      | University of Idaho                    | 12,024 | 0.5 | 192 | 504 | 90.6 % | \$492 |
| 19 | The Grove at Huntsville, TX                 | 2010      | Sam Houston State University           | 19,210 | 0.2 | 192 | 504 | 99.3 % | \$502 |
| 20 | The Grove at Statesboro, GA                 | 2010      | Georgia Southern University            | 20,517 | 0.7 | 200 | 536 | 78.2 % | \$454 |
| 21 | The Grove at Ames, IA                       | 2011      | Iowa State University                  | 32,955 | 0.3 | 216 | 584 | 99.4 % | \$534 |
| 22 | The Grove at Clarksville, TN                | 2011      | Austin Peay State University           | 10,399 | 1.3 | 208 | 560 | 77.1 % | \$515 |
| 23 | The Grove at Columbia, MO                   | 2011      | University of Missouri                 | 34,616 | 0.9 | 216 | 632 | 67.0 % | \$483 |
| 24 | The Grove at Ft. Wayne, IN                  | 2011      | Indiana University / Purdue University | 13,459 | 1.1 | 204 | 540 | 84.9 % | \$432 |
| 25 | The Grove at Valdosta, GA                   | 2011      | Valdosta State University              | 11,885 | 1.9 | 216 | 584 | 87.7 % | \$490 |
| 32 | The Grove at Denton, TX                     | 2011      | University of North Texas              | 38,315 | 0.6 | 216 | 584 | 100.0% | \$584 |
| 26 | The Grove at Auburn, AL                     | 2012      | Auburn University                      | 24,864 | 0.0 | 216 | 600 | 99.6 % | \$604 |
| 27 | The Grove at Flagstaff, AZ—Phase I & II     | 2012/2013 | Northern Arizona University            | 26,594 | 0.2 | 270 | 776 | 99.7 % | \$651 |
| 28 | The Grove at Orono, ME                      | 2012      | University of Maine                    | 11,247 | 0.5 | 188 | 620 | 93.8 % | \$556 |
| 29 | The Grove at Ft. Collins, CO <sup>(3)</sup> | 2013      | Colorado State University              | 31,186 | 0.0 | 218 | 612 | 99.7 % | \$617 |
| 30 | The Grove at Muncie, IN                     | 2013      | Ball State University                  | 20,503 | 0.1 | 216 | 584 | 75.6 % | \$516 |
| 31 | The Grove at Pullman, WA                    | 2013      | Washington State University            | 27,642 | 0.0 | 216 | 584 | 99.9 % | \$573 |
| 33 | The Grove at Gainesville, FL                | 2014      | University of Florida                  | 49,878 | 0.3 | 256 | 682 | 54.7 % | \$548 |
| 34 | The Grove at Grand Forks, ND                | 2014      | University of North Dakota             | 15,143 | 0.1 | 224 | 600 | 99.6 % | \$549 |
| 35 | The Grove at Mt. Pleasant,                  | 2014      | Central Michigan University            | 26,841 | 0.9 | 224 | 584 | 75.8 % | \$508 |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|       |  |      |   |                       |                    |        |        |                       |         |  |
|-------|--|------|---|-----------------------|--------------------|--------|--------|-----------------------|---------|--|
|       | MI   |      |   |                       |                    |        |        |                       |         |  |
| 36    | The Grove at Slippy Rock, PA                   | 2014 | Slippy Rock University                  | 8,347                 | 0.3                | 201    | 603    | 85.3 %                | \$566   |  |
|       | Subtotal                                       |      |   | 19,594 <sup>(4)</sup> | 0.5 <sup>(4)</sup> | 7,305  | 19,945 | 89.6 % <sup>(5)</sup> | \$526   |  |
|       | <b>Joint Venture Properties <sup>(6)</sup></b> |      |   |                       |                    |        |        |                       |         |  |
| 37    | The Grove at Lawrence, KS                      | 2009 | Kansas University                       | 26,968                | 1.6                | 172    | 500    | 79.2 %                | \$444   |  |
| 38    | The Grove at San Angelo, TX                    | 2009 | Angelo State University                 | 6,536                 | 0.3                | 192    | 504    | 98.1 %                | \$520   |  |
| 39    | The Grove at Conway, AR                        | 2010 | University of Central Arkansas          | 11,534                | 0.4                | 180    | 504    | 68.3 %                | \$442   |  |
| 40    | The Grove at Fayetteville, AR                  | 2012 | University of Arkansas                  | 25,341                | 0.5                | 232    | 632    | 59.0 %                | \$489   |  |
| 41    | The Grove at Stillwater, OK                    | 2012 | Oklahoma State University               | 26,073                | 0.8                | 206    | 612    | 91.7 %                | \$470   |  |
| 42    | The Grove at Laramie, WY                       | 2012 | University of Wyoming                   | 12,778                | 0.3                | 224    | 612    | 84.6 %                | \$488   |  |
| 43    | The Grove at Indiana, PA                       | 2013 | Indiana University of Pennsylvania      | 14,925                | 0.6                | 224    | 600    | 78.6 %                | \$578   |  |
| 44    | The Grove at State College, PA                 | 2013 | Penn State University                   | 46,615                | 0.8                | 224    | 600    | 80.5 %                | \$642   |  |
| 45    | The Grove at Norman, OK                        | 2013 | University of Oklahoma                  | 27,292                | 0.6                | 216    | 584    | 79.2 %                | \$550   |  |
| 46    | The Grove at Greensboro, NC                    | 2014 | University of North Carolina Greensboro | 18,074                | 0.5                | 216    | 584    | 57.2 %                | \$546   |  |
| 47    | The Grove at Louisville, KY                    | 2014 | University of Louisville                | 21,444                | 0.3                | 219    | 660    | 62.3 %                | \$592   |  |
| 48    | evo at Centre South <sup>(3)</sup>             | 2014 | University of Pennsylvania              | 24,630                | 0.0                | 344    | 850    | 45.3 %                | \$1,310 |  |
|       |  |      | Drexel University                       | 26,132                | 0.2                | N/A    | N/A    | N/A                   | N/A     |  |
| 49/50 | evo à Square Victoria and evo à Sherbrooke     | 2014 | McGill University                       | 39,349                | 0.6                | 711    | 1200   | 13.8 %                | \$828   |  |
|       |  |      | Concordia University                    | 43,752                | 0.8                | N/A    | N/A    | N/A                   | N/A     |  |
|       |  |      | L'École de Technologie Supérieure (ÉTS) | 6,160                 | 0.3                | N/A    | N/A    | N/A                   | N/A     |  |
|       | Subtotal                                       |      |   | 23,600 <sup>(4)</sup> | 0.5 <sup>(4)</sup> | 3,360  | 8,442  | 64.0 % <sup>(5)</sup> | \$608   |  |
|       | Total Properties                               |      |   | 20,851 <sup>(4)</sup> | 0.5 <sup>(4)</sup> | 10,665 | 28,387 | 82.0 % <sup>(5)</sup> | \$548   |  |

- (1) Represents executed leases in place for the 2014-2015 academic year.
- (2) Total revenue (rental and service) for the year ended December 31, 2014 divided by the sum of leased beds at the properties per month.
- (3) Properties subject to a ground lease with an unaffiliated third-party.
- (4) Represents an average of the properties within the grouping.
- (5) Weighted average by number of leased beds as of December 31, 2014.
- Joint venture properties include three properties in which we own a 63.9% interest, three properties in which we own a 10% interest, three properties in which we own a 20% interest, three properties in which we own a 30% interest, and two properties in which we own a 47% interest.

The following table presents certain summary information about our Copper Beech branded operating properties:

|    | Location  | Year Opened | Primary University Served          | Fall 2013 Overall Enrollment | Distance to Campus (miles) | Number of Units | Number of Beds | Occupancy as of December 31, 2014 <sup>(1)</sup> | Average Monthly Total Revenue Per Occupied Bed <sup>(2)</sup> |
|----|---|-------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------|--|---|
| 1  | Copper Beech at State College, PA - CB I              | 1996        | Penn State University              | 46,615                       | 1.8                        | 59              | 177            | 91.5 %   | \$ 555  |
| 2  | Copper Beech at State College, PA - CB II             | 1998        | Penn State University              | 46,615                       | 1.7                        | 87              | 257            | 93.0 %   | \$ 568  |
| 3  | Copper Beech at State College, PA - Oakwood           | 2000        | Penn State University              | 46,615                       | 2.3                        | 48              | 144            | 77.1 %   | \$ 557  |
| 4  | Copper Beech at State College, PA - Northbrook Greens | 2003        | Penn State University              | 46,615                       | 1.9                        | 166             | 250            | 100.0 %  | \$ 787  |
| 5  | Copper Beech at State College, PA - Parkway Plaza     | 1967        | Penn State University              | 46,615                       | 1.1                        | 429             | 633            | 88.3 %   | \$ 718  |
| 6  | Copper Beech at Indiana, PA - IUP I                   | 1971        | Indiana University of Pennsylvania | 14,925                       | 0.6                        | 95              | 239            | 100.0 %  | \$ 474  |
| 7  | Copper Beech at Indiana, PA - IUP II                  | 1973        | Indiana University of Pennsylvania | 14,925                       | 0.6                        | 72              | 172            | 100.0 %  | \$ 494  |
| 8  | Copper Beech at Indiana, PA - IUP Buy                 | 1975        | Indiana University of Pennsylvania | 14,925                       | 0.6                        | 43              | 74             | 100.0 %  | \$ 572  |
| 9  | Copper Beech at Radford, VA                           | 2005        | Radford University                 | 9,928                        | 0.5                        | 222             | 500            | 99.6 %   | \$ 351  |
| 10 | Copper Beech at West Lafayette, IN - Klondike         | 2003        | Purdue University                  | 39,794                       | 2.2                        | 219             | 486            | 91.4 %   | \$ 446  |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|    |  |      |                                       |        |     |     |       |       |   |        |
|----|--|------|---------------------------------------|--------|-----|-----|-------|-------|---|--------|
| 11 | Copper Beech at West Lafayette, IN – Baywater    | 2004 | Purdue University                     | 39,794 | 0.8 | 137 | 488   | 98.8  | % | \$ 387 |
| 12 | Copper Beech at Bloomington, IN                  | 2005 | Indiana University                    | 46,817 | 2.7 | 107 | 297   | 83.8  | % | \$ 457 |
| 13 | Copper Beech at Mount Pleasant, MI - Phase I     | 2005 | Central Michigan University           | 26,841 | 0.7 | 204 | 632   | 100.0 | % | \$ 460 |
| 14 | Copper Beech at Fresno, CA                       | 2006 | California State University at Fresno | 23,060 | 2.7 | 178 | 506   | 91.3  | % | \$ 500 |
| 15 | Copper Beech at Bowling Green, OH - Phase I      | 2005 | Bowling Green University              | 16,958 | 1.2 | 128 | 400   | 98.8  | % | \$ 355 |
| 16 | Copper Beech at Bowling Green, OH - Phase II     | 2007 | Bowling Green University              | 16,958 | 1.2 | 72  | 216   | 99.5  | % | \$ 367 |
| 17 | Copper Beech at Allendale, MI - Phase I          | 2006 | Grand Valley State University         | 24,477 | 0.5 | 206 | 614   | 100.0 | % | \$ 454 |
| 18 | Copper Beech at Allendale, MI - Phase II         | 2007 | Grand Valley State University         | 24,477 | 0.5 | 82  | 290   | 100.0 | % | \$ 434 |
| 19 | Copper Beech at Columbia, MO                     | 2006 | University of Missouri                | 34,616 | 1.5 | 214 | 654   | 100.0 | % | \$ 464 |
| 20 | Copper Beech at Bloomington, IN - Colonial Crest | 1970 | Indiana University                    | 46,817 | 0.8 | 206 | 402   | 82.3  | % | \$ 371 |
| 21 | Copper Beech at Columbia, SC - Phase I           | 2007 | University of South Carolina          | 31,964 | 2.4 | 278 | 824   | 99.4  | % | \$ 532 |
| 22 | Copper Beech at Columbia, SC - Phase II          | 2008 | University of South Carolina          | 31,964 | 2.4 | 72  | 178   | 99.4  | % | \$ 546 |
| 23 | Copper Beech at Morgantown, WV                   | 2010 | West Virginia University              | 29,466 | 1.8 | 335 | 920   | 99.9  | % | \$ 485 |
| 24 | Copper Beech at Harrisonburg, VA                 | 2008 | James Madison University              | 20,181 | 1.2 | 414 | 1,218 | 99.7  | % | \$ 492 |
| 25 |  | 2008 | East Carolina University              | 26,887 | 1.9 | 439 | 1,232 | 97.6  | % | \$ 482 |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|    |   |      |                                |                       |                    |       |        |      |                  |        |
|----|---|------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------|--------|------|------------------|--------|
|    | Copper Beech<br>at Greenville,<br>NC                      |      |                                |                       |                    |       |        |      |                  |        |
| 26 | Copper Beech<br>at San Marcos,<br>TX - Phase I            | 2011 | Texas State University         | 35,546                | 0.5                | 273   | 840    | 90.1 | %                | \$ 557 |
| 27 | Copper Beech<br>at San Marcos,<br>TX - Phase II           | 2012 | Texas State University         | 35,546                | 0.6                | 142   | 410    | 92.4 | %                | \$ 563 |
| 28 | Copper Beech<br>at<br>Harrisonburg,<br>VA - Grand<br>Duke | 2001 | James Madison University       | 20,181                | 1.2                | 120   | 124    | 97.6 | %                | \$ 529 |
| 29 | Copper Beech<br>at Mount<br>Pleasant, MI -<br>Phase II    | 2013 | Central Michigan<br>University | 26,841                | 0.7                | 119   | 256    | 60.2 | %                | \$ 513 |
| 30 | Copper Beech<br>at Statesboro,<br>GA - Phase II           | 2013 | Georgia Southern<br>University | 20,517                | 0.3                | 82    | 262    | 66.6 | %                | \$ 464 |
| 31 | Copper Beech<br>at State<br>College, PA -<br>Oak Hill     | 2003 | Penn State University          | 46,615                | 1.7                | 106   | 318    | 85.0 | %                | \$ 574 |
| 32 | Copper Beech<br>at Kalamazoo,<br>MI - Phase I             | 2007 | Western Michigan<br>University | 24,294                | 2.4                | 256   | 784    | 80.7 | %                | \$ 419 |
| 33 | Copper Beech<br>at Kalamazoo,<br>MI - Phase II            | 2008 | Western Michigan<br>University | 24,294                | 2.4                | 115   | 340    | 71.0 | %                | \$ 448 |
| 34 | Copper Beech<br>at Auburn, AL                             | 2009 | Auburn University              | 24,864                | 1.8                | 271   | 754    | 84.2 | %                | \$ 453 |
| 35 | Copper Beech<br>at Statesboro,<br>GA - Phase I            | 2007 | Georgia Southern<br>University | 20,517                | 0.3                | 246   | 754    | 80.0 | %                | \$ 465 |
|    | Consolidated<br>Property: 48%<br>Ownership<br>Interest    |      |                                |                       |                    |       |        |      |                  |        |
| 36 | Copper Beech<br>at Ames, IA                               | 2014 | Iowa State University          | 32,955                | 0.2                | 219   | 636    | 79.5 | %                | \$ 557 |
|    | Total Copper<br>Beech<br>Properties                       |      |                                | 30,028 <sup>(3)</sup> | 1.3 <sup>(3)</sup> | 6,461 | 17,281 | 91.9 | % <sup>(4)</sup> | \$ 496 |

- (1) Represents executed leases in place for the 2014-2015 academic year.
- (2) Total revenue (rental and service) for the year ended December 31, 2014 divided by the sum of leased beds at the properties per month.
- (3) Represents an average of the properties within the grouping.
- (4) Weighted average by number of leased beds as of December 31, 2014.

### Item 3. Legal Proceedings.

In the normal course of business, we are subject to claims, lawsuits and legal proceedings. In addition to the matters described below, we are involved in various routine legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the outcomes of such routine legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, in the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of such routine matters will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

On July 3, 2012, we and certain of our subsidiaries were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed in the 250<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court in Travis County in Austin, Texas. The case arose from an accident at The Grove at Denton, located in Denton, Texas, in which a balcony of one of the units broke and three people were seriously injured. The claims in the lawsuit against Campus Crest and certain of its subsidiaries by the plaintiffs were settled in their entirety on July 29, 2014 without any admission of liability on the part of Campus Crest or its subsidiaries. The settlement, which is covered by our existing insurance coverage, will not have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations.

On January 21, 2015, we and certain of our subsidiaries were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed in the 7<sup>th</sup> Division of the Jefferson Circuit Court in Jefferson County in Louisville, Kentucky. The case arose from an individual who fell to his death at a construction site located at 2501 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky. Also named as co-defendants in the case are three other companies associated with the construction and/or employment of the deceased individual. The plaintiffs allege, among other things, we were negligent and/or allowed a dangerous or hazardous condition to exist on the premises. The plaintiffs' initial complaint did not specify the amount of damages sought. We are presently reviewing the complaint, reviewing applicable law and venue, and preparing our responsive pleadings. Based upon the totality of the circumstances, including the existence of insurance coverage and anticipated indemnity from third-parties, we do not believe that the lawsuit, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operation.



**Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures.**

Not applicable.

**PART II.****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.**

Information about our Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan is incorporated by reference to our definitive Proxy Statement for our 2014 annual meeting of stockholders (the "Proxy Statement").

*Market Information*

Our common stock has been listed and is traded on the NYSE under the symbol "CCG." The following table sets forth, for the quarterly periods indicated, the high and low sale prices per share reported on the NYSE and declared dividends per share for our common stock:

| Period         | Stock Price |          | Common<br>Stock<br>Dividends | Preferred<br>Series A<br>Dividends |
|----------------|-------------|----------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|
|                | High        | Low      |                              |                                    |
| 2013:          |             |          |                              |                                    |
| First Quarter  | \$ 14.11    | \$ 11.81 | \$ 0.165                     | \$ 0.50                            |
| Second Quarter | 14.36       | 10.73    | 0.165                        | 0.50                               |
| Third Quarter  | 12.43       | 10.10    | 0.165                        | 0.50                               |
| Fourth Quarter | 10.82       | 8.90     | 0.165 (1)                    | 0.50 (2)                           |
| 2014:          |             |          |                              |                                    |
| First Quarter  | \$ 9.54     | \$ 8.14  | \$ 0.165                     | \$ 0.50                            |
| Second Quarter | 9.00        | 8.50     | 0.165                        | 0.50                               |
| Third Quarter  | 9.19        | 6.13     | 0.165                        | 0.50                               |
| Fourth Quarter | 7.86        | 6.00     | 0.090 (3)                    | 0.50 (4)                           |

- (1) Paid January 8, 2014, to stockholders of record on December 23, 2013.
- (2) Paid January 15, 2014, to stockholders of record on December 23, 2013.
- (3) Paid January 29, 2015, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2014.
- (4) Paid January 15, 2015, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2014.

On December 19, 2014, our Board of Directors declared a fourth quarter 2014 dividend of \$0.09 per common share and OP Unit that was paid in cash on January 29, 2015, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2014. The common stock dividends of \$0.585 per share are classified for income tax purposes as 100% return of capital.

On December 19, 2014, our Board of Directors also declared a cash dividend of \$0.50 per share of Series A Preferred Stock for the fourth quarter of 2014 that was paid in cash on January 15, 2015, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2014. The Preferred Series A stock dividends of \$2.00 per share are classified for income tax purposes as 100% return of capital.

### ***Performance Graph***

The following graph provides a comparison of the cumulative total return on our common stock from October 19, 2010 (first day of trading for our common stock) to the NYSE closing price per share on December 31, 2014 with the cumulative total return on the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Stock Price Index, or the S&P 500 Index, and the FTSE EPRA/NAREIT United States Index, or the FTSE EPRA/NAREIT US Index. Total return values were calculated assuming a \$100 investment on October 19, 2010 with the reinvestment of all dividends in (i) our common stock, (ii) the S&P 500 Index and (iii) the FTSE EPRA/NAREIT US Index.

The actual returns on the graph above are as follows:

| Name                           | Initial Investment at<br>October 19, 2010 | Value of Initial Investment as of December 31, |         |          |         |         |
|--------------------------------|---|--|---------|----------|---------|---------|
|                                |   | 2010   | 2011    | 2012     | 2013    | 2014    |
| Campus Crest Communities, Inc. | \$ 100.00                                 | \$112.96                                       | \$85.76 | \$110.54 | \$90.13 | \$75.36 |
| S&P 500                        | 100.00                                    | 108.33   | 110.62  | 128.32   | 169.88  | 193.14  |
| FTSE EPRA/NAREIT US Index      | 100.00                                    | 103.06   | 111.05  | 131.01   | 134.24  | 175.09  |

### *Holdings*

As of December 31, 2014, there were approximately 41 holders of record of our common stock and 64,742,713 shares of common stock outstanding.

### *Distributions*

We intend to continue to declare quarterly distributions on our common stock. The actual amount, timing and form of payment of distributions, however, will be at the discretion of our Board of Directors and will depend upon our financial condition in addition to the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code, and no assurance can be given as to the amounts, timing or form of payment of future distributions. The payment of distributions is subject to restrictions under our corporate-level debt described in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Item 7 under "Liquidity and Capital Resources."

### **Item 6. Selected Financial Data.**

The following selected financial and operating data should be read in conjunction with the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 15 and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in Item 7.

**Statements of Operations Information:**

| (in thousands, except share data)                      | The Company                     |                 |                 |                 | Predecessor                                    |   |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|---|
|  | Year Ended December 31,<br>2014 | 2013            | 2012            | 2011            | October 19,<br>2010<br>Through<br>December 31, | January 1,<br>2010<br>Through<br>October 18<br>2010 |
| <b>Revenues:</b>                                       |                                 |                 |                 |                 |  |   |
| Student housing rental                                 | \$ 101,724                      | \$ 87,635       | \$ 71,211       | \$ 49,048       | \$ 8,784                                       | \$ 32,609   |
| Student housing services                               | 3,768                           | 3,615           | 2,880           | 2,062           | 254  | 1,254   |
| Development, construction and management services      | 1,249                           | 820             | 559             | 199             | -  | -   |
| <b>Total revenues</b>                                  | <b>106,741</b>                  | <b>92,070</b>   | <b>74,650</b>   | <b>51,309</b>   | <b>9,038</b>                                   | <b>33,863</b>                                       |
| <b>Operating expenses:</b>                             |                                 |                 |                 |                 |  |   |
| Student housing operations                             | 47,154                          | 40,726          | 33,013          | 23,696          | 4,658  | 17,921  |
| General and administrative                             | 14,303                          | 10,658          | 8,821           | 6,749           | 1,157  | 5,515   |
| Severance  | 6,159                           | -               | -               | -               | -  | -   |
| Impairment of land & pre-development costs             | 31,927                          | -               | -               | -               | -  | -   |
| Write-off of corporate other assets                    | 15,110                          | -               | -               | -               | -  | -   |
| Transaction costs                                      | 3,046                           | 1,121           | -               | -               | -  | -   |
| Ground leases  | 477                             | 249             | 217             | 209             | 42   | 214   |
| Depreciation and amortization                          | 29,426                          | 23,700          | 20,693          | 16,524          | 3,052  | 11,311  |
| <b>Total operating expenses</b>                        | <b>147,602</b>                  | <b>76,454</b>   | <b>62,744</b>   | <b>47,178</b>   | <b>8,909</b>                                   | <b>34,961</b>                                       |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities   | (5,510 )                        | (3,727 )        | 361             | (1,164 )        | (163 )   | (259 )  |
| Impairment of unconsolidated entities                  | (57,789 )                       | (312 )          | -               | -               | -  | -   |
| Effect of not exercising Copper Beech purchase option  | (33,375 )                       | -               | -               | -               | -  | -   |
| <b>Operating income (loss)</b>                         | <b>(137,535)</b>                | <b>11,577</b>   | <b>12,267</b>   | <b>2,967</b>    | <b>(34 )</b>                                   | <b>(1,357 )</b>                                     |
| <b>Nonoperating income (expense):</b>                  |                                 |                 |                 |                 |  |   |
| Interest expense                                       | (16,156 )                       | (12,969)        | (11,545)        | (6,888 )        | (2,149 )                                       | (19,379 )   |
| Other income (expense)                                 | 42                              | 1,414           | (410 )          | 720             | 190  | 914   |
| Gain on purchase of previously unconsolidated entities | -                               | -               | 6,554           | 3,159           | 577  | -   |
| <b>Total nonoperating expenses, net</b>                | <b>(16,114 )</b>                | <b>(11,555)</b> | <b>(5,401 )</b> | <b>(3,009 )</b> | <b>(1,382 )</b>                                | <b>(18,465 )</b>                                    |
| <b>Income (loss) before income taxes</b>               | <b>(153,649)</b>                | <b>22</b>       | <b>6,866</b>    | <b>(42 )</b>    | <b>(1,416 )</b>                                | <b>(19,822 )</b>                                    |
| Income tax benefit (expense)                           | (731 )                          | 727             | (356 )          | (464 )          | -  | -   |
| <b>Income (loss) from continuing operations</b>        | <b>(154,380)</b>                | <b>749</b>      | <b>6,510</b>    | <b>(506 )</b>   | <b>(1,416 )</b>                                | <b>(19,822 )</b>                                    |
| <b>Income (loss) from discontinued operations</b>      | <b>(9,576 )</b>                 | <b>489</b>      | <b>3,908</b>    | <b>3,907</b>    | <b>(569 )</b>                                  | <b>(830 )</b>                                       |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|  |             |           |         |         |           |            |
|--|-------------|-----------|---------|---------|-----------|------------|
| Net income (loss)  | (163,956)   | 1,238     | 10,418  | 3,401   | (1,985)   | (20,652)   |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests                           | (1,233)     | (34)      | 46      | 51      | (14)      | (7,479)    |
| Dividends on preferred stock   | 12,200      | 6,183     | 4,114   | -       | -         | -          |
| Net income (loss) attributable to Campus Crest Communities, Inc and Predecessor      | \$(174,923) | \$(4,911) | \$6,258 | \$3,350 | \$(1,971) | \$(13,173) |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders - basic and diluted: |             |           |         |         |           |            |
| Basic and diluted  | \$(2.69)    | \$(0.08)  | \$0.18  | \$0.11  | \$(0.07)  |            |
| Weighted-average common shares and OP Units outstanding:                             |             |           |         |         |           |            |
| Basic  | 65,102      | 59,984    | 34,781  | 30,717  | 29,877    |            |
| Diluted  | 65,102      | 60,418    | 35,217  | 31,153  | 29,877    |            |
| Distributions per common share   | \$0.59      | \$0.66    | \$0.64  | \$0.64  | \$0.13    |            |

**Balance Sheet Information:**

| (in thousands)                        | The Company        |                    |                  |                  |                  |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
|                                       | December 31,       |                    |                  |                  |                  |
|                                       | 2014               | 2013               | 2012             | 2011             | 2010             |
| <b>Assets</b>                         |                    |                    |                  |                  |                  |
| Investment in real estate, net:       |                    |                    |                  |                  |                  |
| Student housing properties            | \$935,962          | \$716,285          | \$669,387        | \$512,227        | \$372,746        |
| Accumulated depreciation              | (128,121 )         | (102,356 )         | (97,820 )        | (76,164 )        | (57,463 )        |
| Development in process                | -                  | 91,184             | 50,781           | 45,278           | 24,232           |
| Land held for sale                    | 38,105             | -                  | -                | -                | -                |
| Land held for investment              | 7,413              | -                  | -                | -                | -                |
| Investment in real estate, net        | 853,359            | 705,113            | 622,348          | 481,341          | 339,515          |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities | 259,740            | 324,838            | 22,555           | 21,052           | 13,751           |
| Other assets, net                     | 63,712             | 152,728            | 51,417           | 37,864           | 17,991           |
| <b>Total assets</b>                   | <b>\$1,176,811</b> | <b>\$1,182,679</b> | <b>\$696,320</b> | <b>\$540,257</b> | <b>\$371,257</b> |
| <b>Liabilities and equity</b>         |                    |                    |                  |                  |                  |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>                   |                    |                    |                  |                  |                  |
| Mortgage and construction loans       | \$300,673          | \$205,531          | \$218,337        | \$186,914        | \$60,840         |
| Line of credit and other debt         | 317,746            | 207,952            | 75,375           | 82,052           | 42,500           |
| Other liabilities                     | 76,389             | 76,115             | 57,706           | 40,156           | 21,127           |
| <b>Total liabilities</b>              | <b>694,808</b>     | <b>489,598</b>     | <b>351,418</b>   | <b>309,122</b>   | <b>124,467</b>   |
| <b>Equity:</b>                        |                    |                    |                  |                  |                  |
| Stockholders' and owner's equity      | 473,212            | 688,427            | 340,461          | 227,109          | 243,159          |
| Cumulative redeemable preferred stock | 61                 | 61                 | 23               | -                | -                |
| Noncontrolling interests              | 8,730              | 4,593              | 4,418            | 4,026            | 3,631            |
| <b>Total equity</b>                   | <b>482,003</b>     | <b>693,081</b>     | <b>344,902</b>   | <b>231,135</b>   | <b>246,790</b>   |
| <b>Total liabilities and equity</b>   | <b>\$1,176,811</b> | <b>\$1,182,679</b> | <b>\$696,320</b> | <b>\$540,257</b> | <b>\$371,257</b> |

**Other Data:**

|   | The Company        |                  |                  |                  | Predecessor     |                    |
|---|--------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------|
|   | Year Ended         | Year Ended       | Year Ended       | Year Ended       | October 19,     | January 1,         |
|   | December 31        | December 31      | December 31      | December 31      | Through         | Through            |
| (unaudited and in thousands)  | 2014               | 2013             | 2012             | 2011             | December 31     | October 18         |
|   |                    |                  |                  |                  | 2010            | 2010               |
| <b>Funds from operations (“FFO”)</b>  |                    |                  |                  |                  |                 |                    |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders   | \$(174,923)        | \$ (4,911 )      | \$ 6,258         | \$ 3,350         | \$ (1,971 )     | \$ (13,173 )       |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests  | (1,233 )           | (34 )            | 46               | 51               | (14 )           | (7,479 )           |
| Gain on purchase of joint venture properties <sup>(2)</sup>   | -                  | -                | (6,554 )         | (3,159 )         | (577 )          | -                  |
| Real estate related depreciation and amortization   | 27,858             | 25,503           | 23,521           | 19,832           | 3,911           | 14,660             |
| Real estate related depreciation and amortization unconsolidated entities   | 25,034             | 23,271           | 1,731            | 2,434            | 454             | 245                |
| <b>FFO</b> <sup>(3)</sup>   | <b>\$(123,263)</b> | <b>\$ 43,829</b> | <b>\$ 25,002</b> | <b>\$ 22,508</b> | <b>\$ 1,803</b> | <b>\$ (5,747 )</b> |
| FFO   | \$(123,263)        | \$ 43,829        | \$ 25,002        | \$ 22,508        | \$ 1,803        | \$ (5,747 )        |
| Elimination of change in fair value of interest rate derivatives <sup>(4)</sup>                                     | -                  | -                | -                | (337 )           | (139 )          | (5,002 )           |
| Elimination of Copper Beech dividend equivalency <sup>(5)</sup>   | 1,200              | -                | -                | -                | -               | -                  |
| Elimination of write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees   | -                  | 236              | 966              | -                | -               | -                  |
| Elimination of transaction costs <sup>(6)</sup>   | 4,801              | 2,027            | -                | -                | -               | -                  |
| Elimination of fair value debt and purchase accounting adjustments at our investment in Copper Beech <sup>(7)</sup> | (6,491 )           | (3,576 )         | -                | -                | -               | -                  |
| Elimination of write off of land, pre-development costs and asset held for sale <sup>(8)</sup>                      | 31,927             | 175              | -                | -                | -               | 537                |
| Elimination of impairment of disposed assets <sup>(9)</sup>   | -                  | 4,729            | -                | -                | -               | -                  |
| Elimination of impairment of unconsolidated entities <sup>(10)</sup>  | 57,789             | -                | -                | -                | -               | -                  |
| Elimination of effect of not exercising Copper Beach purchase option <sup>(11)</sup>                                | 33,375             | -                | -                | -                | -               | -                  |
| Elimination of write-off of corporate other assets <sup>(12)</sup>  | 15,110             | -                | -                | -                | -               | -                  |
| Elimination of severance <sup>(13)</sup>  | 6,159              | -                | -                | -                | -               | -                  |
|   | 9,576              | (489 )           | (3,908 )         | (3,907 )         | 569             | 830                |

|   |                 |                  |                  |                  |                 |                   |
|---|-----------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Elimination of discontinued operations <sup>(14)</sup>              |                 |                  |                  |                  |                 |                   |
| Elimination of change in valuation allowance for deferred tax asset | 731             | -                | -                | -                | -               | -                 |
| <b>Funds from operations adjusted (“FFOA”)</b>                      | <b>\$30,914</b> | <b>\$ 46,931</b> | <b>\$ 22,060</b> | <b>\$ 18,264</b> | <b>\$ 2,233</b> | <b>\$(9,382 )</b> |

FFO is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure for REITs. We calculate FFO in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts, or NAREIT. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP, excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP and gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated operating real estate assets, plus specified non-cash items, such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. In addition, in October 2011, NAREIT communicated to its members that the exclusion of impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate is consistent with the definition of FFO. We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate-related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating expenses. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of equity REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance

(1) with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially and adversely impact our results from operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited. While FFO is a relevant and widely used measure of operating performance of equity REITs, other equity REITs may use different methodologies for calculating FFO and, accordingly, FFO as disclosed by such other REITs may not be comparable to FFO published herein. Therefore, we believe that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of our historical operating results, FFO should be examined in conjunction with net income (loss) as presented in the consolidated and combined financial statements and the other financial statements accompanying this report. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of the properties’ financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

For 2010, gain was from the purchase of our joint venture partner’s interest in The Grove at San Marcos, Texas; for 2011, gain was from the purchase of our joint venture partner’s interests in The Grove at Huntsville, Texas, and The Grove at Statesboro, Georgia; for 2012, gain was from the purchase of our joint venture partner's interests in The Grove at Moscow, Idaho, and The Grove at Valdosta, Georgia.

The fair value debt and purchase accounting adjustments included in equity in earnings related to Copper Beech were approximately \$6.5 million and \$3.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. In addition, the immaterial correction described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements was not material to FFO.

- (2) Amount represents a one-time cash dividend equivalency payment made during the year ended December 31, 2014 to the CB Investors, per the CB Investors’ interpretation of the second amendment to the purchase and sale agreement of Copper Beech.
- (3) Includes costs incurred in connection with Copper Beech and CSH Montreal for the years presented, including our proportional share of costs incurred within the ventures.
- (4) Includes our proportionate share of non-cash fair value debt and other purchase accounting adjustments in our investment in Copper Beech.
- (5) Includes only the non-cash portion of the change in unhedged derivatives.
- (6) Amount represents a one-time cash dividend equivalency payment made during the year ended December 31, 2014 to the CB Investors, per the CB Investors’ interpretation of the second amendment to the purchase and sale agreement of Copper Beech.
- (7) Includes costs incurred in connection with Copper Beech and CSH Montreal for the years presented, including our proportional share of costs incurred within the ventures.
- (8) Includes our proportionate share of non-cash fair value debt and other purchase accounting adjustments in our investment in Copper Beech.



During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded impairment for land and pre-development costs of \$31.9 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss), based on our estimated fair values. The fair values were obtained from third-party appraisals based on comparable properties (market approach; which involved Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy).

(9) In 2013, we sold four unencumbered, wholly-owned properties: The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas, for a combined sales price of \$51.0 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$48.6 million. In connection with the disposition of these properties, we recorded an impairment of \$4.7 million.

(10) During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an impairment of \$57.8 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for certain unconsolidated entities, including \$31.3 million related to HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X (the "HSRE Investments") and \$26.5 million related to the investment in CSH Montreal.

(11) During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded expense of \$33.4 million due to the effect of not exercising the Copper Beech purchase option during August of 2014. See Note 6 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014.

(12) In 2014, we recorded \$15.1 million of impairments of other assets related to corporate infrastructure changes, as a result of the strategic repositioning. Assets impaired included the corporate aircraft and Enterprise Resource Planning system.

(13) We recognized severance expense of \$9.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, of which \$2.9 million is included in loss from discontinued operations and \$6.2 million is included in operating expenses (see Note 4 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

(14) In connection with the strategic repositioning initiatives announced in Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014, we discontinued all construction and development operations, which resulted in the income (loss) from discontinued operations of \$(9.6) million in 2014, \$3.5 million in 2013, \$3.2 million in 2012, \$3.8 million in 2011, and \$1.8 million for the full year ended December 31, 2010. Additionally, in December 2013, we sold four unencumbered, wholly-owned properties: The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas, for a combined sales price of \$51.0 million, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$48.6 million. In connection with the disposition of these properties, an impairment of \$4.7 million was recorded which is presented in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2013. This resulted in income (loss) from discontinued operations of \$(3.0) million in 2013, \$0.7 million in 2012, \$0.1 million in 2011, and \$(3.2) million in 2010. An additional impairment of \$1.4 million was recorded at December 31, 2014 related to settlement costs for these properties.

(15) When considering our FFO, we believe it is also a meaningful measure of our performance to adjust FFO to exclude the change in fair value of unhedged interest rate derivatives, Copper Beech dividend equivalency, write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees, transaction costs (including those within equity in earnings), fair value of debt adjustments within our investment in Copper Beech, the write-off of land and pre-development costs, impairment of disposed assets, impairment of unconsolidated entities, effect of not exercising Copper Beech purchase option, write-off of corporate other assets, severance, discontinued operations, and change in valuation for deferred tax asset. Excluding these items adjusts FFO to be more reflective of operating results prior to capital replacement or expansion, debt amortization of principal or other commitments and contingencies. This measure is referred to herein as FFOA. The immaterial correction described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements was not material to FFOA.

The following table represents our operating costs related to our leased aircrafts and our owned aircraft for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

|        | Year ended December 31, |       |       |
|--------|-------------------------|-------|-------|
|        | 2014                    | 2013  | 2012  |
| Leased | 2,502                   | 4,658 | 3,855 |
| Owned  | 2,184                   | 1,377 | -     |
|        | 4,686                   | 6,035 | 3,855 |

| (in thousands)             | The Company             |      |      |      | The Company and Predecessor<br>Year Ended<br>December 31,<br>2010 |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|------|------|------|---|
|                            | Year Ended December 31, |      |      |      |   |
|                            | 2014                    | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |   |
| Net cash flow information: |                         |      |      |      |   |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|   |           |           |           |           |            |   |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|---|
| Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities | \$66,322  | \$14,388  | \$29,470  | \$22,770  | \$ (6,923) | ) |
| Net cash used in investing activities               | (213,300) | (489,673) | (133,053) | (126,916) | (59,931)   | ) |
| Net cash provided by financing activities           | 130,164   | 501,369   | 98,818    | 112,554   | 66,279     | ) |

**Selected Property Information:**

|                      | The Company                                 |        |                     |        | The Company and Predecessor  |   |
|----------------------|---|--------|---------------------|--------|------------------------------|---|
|                      | Year Ended December 31, 2014 <sup>(1)</sup> |        | 2013 <sup>(1)</sup> |        | Year Ended December 31, 2010 |   |
| Operating Properties | 86  | 69     | 39                  | 33     | 27                           |   |
| Units                | 17,651                                      | 13,198 | 7,670               | 6,324  | 5,048                        |   |
| Beds                 | 46,689                                      | 35,480 | 20,884              | 17,064 | 13,580                       |   |
| Occupancy            | 84.9 %                                      | 92.3 % | 90.4 %              | 89.0 % | 89.0 %                       | % |

<sup>(1)</sup> Includes The Grove®, evo®, and Copper Beech properties for the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

**Item 7. Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.**

As used herein, references to “we,” “us,” “our,” the “Company” and “Campus Crest” refer to Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and our consolidated subsidiaries, including Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP (the “Operating Partnership”), except where the context otherwise requires.

**Overview*****Our Company***

We are a self-managed and self-administered REIT focused on owning and managing a high-quality student housing portfolio located close to college campuses in targeted markets. We operate our business through the Operating Partnership and our subsidiaries. We derive substantially all of our revenue from student housing rental, student housing services and management services. As of December 31, 2014, we owned (i) the sole general partnership interest, (ii) 99.3% of the outstanding common units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership, or OP Units, and (iii) 100% of the outstanding preferred units of limited partnership interest in the Operating Partnership.

We believe that we are one of the largest owners and managers of high-quality student housing properties in the United States, based on beds owned and under management. As of December 31, 2014, we owned interests in 47 operating student housing *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> properties containing approximately 9,700 apartment units and 26,300 beds. Thirty-six of our operating *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> properties are wholly-owned and eleven of our *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> properties are owned through joint ventures with HSRE. As of December 31, 2014, we also owned interests in 36 operating student housing Copper Beech branded properties containing approximately 6,500 apartment units and 17,300 beds. Our Copper Beech branded properties are owned by us and the CB Investors (see “CB Portfolio” below). On November 3, 2014, we entered into an agreement that will result in a restructuring of our ownership in Copper Beech branded properties. See “CB Portfolio” below. As of December 31, 2014, we also owned interests in three operating student housing *evo*<sup>®</sup> properties containing approximately 1,500 units and 3,000 beds and owned one wholly-owned redevelopment property containing approximately 170 units and 340 beds. As of December 31, 2014, our operating portfolio consisted of the following:

|  | Properties in<br>Operation | Ownership |                  | Number<br>of Units | Number<br>of Beds |
|--|----------------------------|-----------|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|
| Wholly-owned Grove properties <sup>(1)</sup> | 36                         | 100.0     | %                | 7,305              | 19,945            |
| Joint venture Grove properties:              |                            |           |                  |                    |                   |
| HSRE I                                       | 3                          | 63.9      | % <sup>(2)</sup> | 544                | 1,508             |
| HSRE V                                       | 3                          | 10.0      | %                | 662                | 1,856             |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|                                |    |      |                  |        |        |
|--------------------------------|----|------|------------------|--------|--------|
| HSRE VI                        | 3  | 20.0 | %                | 664    | 1,784  |
| HSRE X                         | 2  | 30.0 | %                | 468    | 1,240  |
| Total Grove properties         | 47 |      |                  | 9,643  | 26,333 |
| Joint venture evo properties:  |    |      |                  |        |        |
| CSH Montreal                   | 2  | 47.0 | %                | 1,203  | 2,223  |
| HSRE IX                        | 1  | 30.0 | %                | 344    | 850    |
| Total evo properties           | 3  |      |                  | 1,547  | 3,073  |
| CB Portfolio                   | 36 | 48.0 | % <sup>(3)</sup> | 6,461  | 17,283 |
| Total Portfolio <sup>(4)</sup> | 86 |      |                  | 17,651 | 46,689 |

(1) In January 2014, we acquired the remaining outstanding interests in The Grove at Denton, Texas.

In January 2014, we amended and restated our operating agreement with HSRE resulting in our previously held (2) preferred interest in The Grove at San Angelo, Texas, and The Grove at Conway, Arkansas, being converted to additional membership units of HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC.

As of September 30, 2014, we held an effective interest in the CB Portfolio of 48.0%, with 35 unconsolidated (3) properties and one consolidated property (Copper Beech at Ames). See Note 6 to the consolidated financial statements.

(4) Our 100% owned property in Toledo, Ohio, which was acquired in March 2013, is excluded as it is in re-development.

As of December 31, 2014, the average occupancy for our 47 operating *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> properties was approximately 87.2% and the average monthly total revenue per occupied bed was approximately \$527. Our operating *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> properties are located in 23 states, contain modern apartment units with many resort-style amenities, and have an average age of approximately 4.0 years as of December 31, 2014. Our properties are primarily located in medium-sized college and university markets, which we define as markets located outside of major U.S. cities that have nearby schools generally with overall enrollment of approximately 5,000 to 20,000 students. We believe such markets are underserved and are generally experiencing enrollment growth.

We have developed, built and managed substantially all of our wholly-owned properties and several of our unconsolidated, joint venture properties, which are based upon a common prototypical residential building design. We believe that our use of this prototypical building design, which we have built more than 700 times (approximately 15 of such residential buildings make up one student housing property), allows us to efficiently deliver a uniform and proven student housing product in multiple markets. All of our operating properties (other than those in the CB Portfolio as defined below, *evo*<sup>®</sup> and Toledo) operate under *The Grove*<sup>®</sup> brand, and we believe that our brand and the associated lifestyle are effective differentiators that create higher visibility and appeal for our properties within their markets both with the student as well as the universities we serve.

In connection with our strategic repositioning initiatives, we discontinued all construction and development operations during the year ended December 31, 2014. As a result, we recorded impairment for land and pre-development costs of \$31.9 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss), based on their estimated fair values. The fair values were obtained from third-party appraisals based on comparable properties (market approach; which involved Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy). As of December 31, 2014, we had no properties under development.

Additionally, we recorded \$15.1 million of impairments of other assets related to corporate infrastructure changes, as a result of the strategic repositioning. Assets impaired included the corporate aircraft and Enterprise Resource Planning system.

We eliminated positions via termination of the employment of certain employees and acceptance of the resignation of certain employees as part of the strategic repositioning. In connection with these terminations and resignations, the Company recognized severance expense of \$9.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, of which \$2.9 million is included in loss from discontinued operations and \$6.2 million is included in operating expenses in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Severance expense included \$2.7 million for the acceleration of the vesting conditions of restricted shares. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$5.7 million included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheet of which \$4.3 million and \$1.4 million is expected to be paid in 2015 and 2016, respectively.

### ***CB Portfolio Acquisition***

In February 2013, we entered into purchase and sale agreements to acquire an approximate 48.0% interest in a portfolio of 35 student housing properties, one undeveloped land parcel and a corporate office building held by the members of Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC ("CBTC") and Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC ("CBTC PA," together with CBTC, "Copper Beech" or the "Sellers") (the "CB Portfolio"), and a fully integrated platform and brand with management, development and construction teams, for an initial purchase price of approximately \$230.2 million, including the repayment of \$106.7 million of debt. The remaining interests in the CB Portfolio are held by certain of the former members of CBTC and CBTC PA, (the "CB Investors"). Pursuant to our

48.0% interest in the CB Portfolio, we entered into a purchase and sale agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”), and related transactions, with the members of CBTC and CBTC PA, to acquire in steps a 36.3% interest in the CB Portfolio. We also entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain investors in the CB Portfolio who are not members of Copper Beech (the “Non-Member Investors”) to acquire the interests in the CB Portfolio held by such Non-Member Investors (the “Non-Member Purchase Agreement”). Pursuant to the Non-Member Purchase Agreement, we acquired approximately an 11.7% interest in the CB Portfolio from the Non-Member Investors. We refer to this transaction as the “CB Portfolio Acquisition.”

Our \$230.2 million investment in the CB Portfolio entitled us to a preferred payment of \$13.0 million for the first year of our investment and 48.0% of remaining operating cash flows. In connection with the CB Portfolio Acquisition we loaned approximately \$31.7 million to the CB Investors. The loan had an interest rate of 8.5% per annum and a term of three years, and was secured by the CB Investors’ interests in six unencumbered properties in the CB Portfolio. This amount was repaid by year ended December 31, 2013. See below for further discussion.

We recognized approximately \$1.6 million and \$3.8 million in equity in losses of Copper Beech as well as no interest income and approximately \$1.4 million interest income from the loan to the CB Investors for the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Additionally, we recognized approximately \$3.0 million and \$1.1 million of transaction expenses related to the CB Portfolio Acquisition and incurred \$4.0 million and \$16.9 million of costs which were included in our investment basis in Copper Beech for the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

On January 30, 2015, we and certain of our affiliates completed the acquisition (the “Initial Closing”) of (i) the Sellers’ remaining interests in the CB Portfolio and (ii) the Sellers’ remaining interests in Copper Beech at Ames, Iowa, pursuant to that certain Amendment (the “Second Amendment”) to the Purchase Agreement with the Sellers.

Pursuant to the terms of the Second Amendment, we agreed to acquire the Sellers’ remaining interests in each of the properties comprising the CB Portfolio other than Copper Beech Kalamazoo Phase 1, Copper Beech Kalamazoo Phase 2, Copper Beech Morgantown, Copper Beech Harrisonburg, Copper Beech Greenville and Copper Beech Parkway Plaza. Following the consummation of the Initial Closing, we hold a 100% interest in 27 of the properties in the CB Portfolio including one land parcel and a corporate office, an 85% interest in one property in the CB Portfolio, an 86% interest in one property in the CB Portfolio and a 48% interest in 4 of the properties in the CB Portfolio and have no ownership interests in 2 of the properties in the CB Portfolio and have a 100% interest in Copper Beech at Ames, Iowa. We expect to complete the acquisition of the Sellers’ interests in the remaining 2 properties in the CB Portfolio – Copper Beech San Marcos Phase 1 and Copper Beech IUP Buy – at such time as we obtain the requisite lender consents. We currently expect to obtain all such consents and to complete the acquisition of Copper Beech San Marcos Phase 1 and Copper Beech IUP Buy on or before the end of the first quarter of 2015 (the date of completion of such acquisition is referred to herein as the “Second Closing Date”).

As consideration for the additional interests acquired in the Initial Closing, we paid to the Sellers aggregate cash consideration of approximately \$58.9 million and the Operating Partnership issued to the Sellers an aggregate of approximately 10.4 million limited partnership units of the Operating Partnership (“OP units”). The remaining consideration pursuant to the Second Amendment, consisting of approximately \$1.4 million in cash and approximately 2.0 million in OP units, will be payable to the Sellers on the Second Closing Date.

The following table presents certain summary information about the properties in the CB Portfolio:

|                                 |                                       | Units | Beds  |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|
| Copper Beech Properties         | Primary University                    |       |       |
| Copper Beech I—State College    | Penn State University                 | 59    | 177   |
| Copper Beech II—State College   | Penn State University                 | 87    | 257   |
| Oakwood—State College           | Penn State University                 | 48    | 144   |
| Northbrook Greens—State College | Penn State University                 | 166   | 250   |
| Parkway Plaza—State College     | Penn State University                 | 429   | 633   |
| IUP Phase I—Indiana             | Indiana University of Pennsylvania    | 95    | 239   |
| IUP Phase II—Indiana            | Indiana University of Pennsylvania    | 72    | 172   |
| IUP Buy—Indiana                 | Indiana University of Pennsylvania    | 43    | 76    |
| Radford, VA                     | Radford University                    | 222   | 500   |
| Klondike—Purdue                 | Purdue University                     | 219   | 486   |
| Baywater—Purdue                 | Purdue University                     | 137   | 488   |
| Bloomington, IN                 | Indiana University                    | 107   | 297   |
| CMU Phase I—Mount Pleasant, MI  | Central Michigan University           | 204   | 632   |
| Fresno, CA                      | California State University at Fresno | 178   | 506   |
| Bowling Green Phase I           | Bowling Green University              | 128   | 400   |
| Bowling Green Phase II          | Bowling Green University              | 72    | 216   |
| Allendale Phase I               | Grand Valley State University         | 206   | 614   |
| Allendale Phase II              | Grand Valley State University         | 82    | 290   |
| Columbia, MO                    | University of Missouri                | 214   | 654   |
| Colonial Crest—Bloomington, IN  | Indiana University                    | 206   | 402   |
| Columbia, SC Phase I            | University of South Carolina          | 278   | 824   |
| Columbia, SC Phase II           | University of South Carolina          | 72    | 178   |
| Morgantown, WV                  | West Virginia University              | 335   | 920   |
| Harrisonburg, VA                | James Madison University              | 414   | 1,218 |
| Grand Duke                      | James Madison University              | 120   | 124   |
| Greenville, NC                  | East Carolina University              | 439   | 1,232 |
| San Marcos, TX Phase I          | Texas State University                | 273   | 840   |
| San Marcos, TX Phase II         | Texas State University                | 142   | 410   |
| Oak Hill—State College          | Penn State University                 | 106   | 318   |
| CMU Phase II—Mount Pleasant, MI | Central Michigan University           | 119   | 256   |
| Statesboro, GA Phase I          | Georgia Southern University           | 246   | 754   |
| Statesboro, GA Phase II         | Georgia Southern University           | 82    | 262   |
| Kalamazoo Phase I               | Western Michigan University           | 256   | 784   |
| Kalamazoo Phase II              | Western Michigan University           | 115   | 340   |
| Auburn, AL                      | Auburn University                     | 271   | 754   |



|                                 |       |        |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------|
| Total - Copper Beech Properties | 6,242 | 16,647 |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------|

42

### *Our Relationship with HSRE*

We are a party to active joint venture arrangements with HSRE, a real estate private equity firm founded in 2005 that has significant real estate asset holdings, including student housing properties, senior housing/assisted living units, self-storage units, boat storage facilities and medical office space. As of December 31, 2014, we held 12 operating joint venture properties with HSRE, including one joint venture property where we are partners with both HSRE and Brandywine.

*HSRE I.* Our first joint venture with HSRE, HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC ("HSRE I"), indirectly owned 100% of the interests in the following three properties at December 31, 2014: The Grove at Conway, Arkansas, The Grove at Lawrence, Kansas, and The Grove at San Angelo, Texas. On July 5, 2012, we completed the purchase of HSRE's 50.1% interest in The Grove at Moscow, Idaho, which was included in HSRE I prior to that date. On December 29, 2011, we completed the purchase of HSRE's 50.1% interests in The Grove at Huntsville, Texas and The Grove at Statesboro, Georgia, which were included in HSRE I prior to that date. At December 31, 2014, we owned a 63.9% interest in HSRE I and HSRE owned the remaining 36.1%. At December 31, 2014, we are entitled to 50% of the net proceeds from a sale of a property owned by HSRE I. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an other than temporary impairment on our investment in HSRE I. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements regarding our write-down of the carrying value of our investment to fair value.

In general, we are responsible for the day-to-day management of HSRE I's business and affairs, provided that major decisions must be approved by us and HSRE. In addition to distributions to which we are entitled as an investor in HSRE I, we receive or have in the past received fees for providing services to the properties held by HSRE I pursuant to development and construction agreements and property management agreements. We granted to an entity related to HSRE I a right of first opportunity with respect to certain development or acquisition opportunities identified by us. This right of first opportunity was to terminate at such time as HSRE had provided at least \$40 million of equity funding to HSRE I and/or certain related ventures. This right of first opportunity was amended in conjunction with the formation of HSRE IV as discussed below. HSRE I will dissolve upon the disposition of substantially all of its assets or the occurrence of certain events specified in the agreement between us and HSRE.

*HSRE IV.* In January 2011, we entered into a joint venture with HSRE, HSRE-Campus Crest IV, LLC ("HSRE IV") to develop and operate additional purpose-built student housing properties. HSRE IV completed two new student housing properties in August 2011 for the 2011-2012 academic year. The properties, located in Denton, Texas, and Valdosta, Georgia, contain an aggregate of approximately 1,168 beds and cost approximately \$45.7 million. We owned a 20.0% interest in this venture and affiliates of HSRE own the balance. On July 5, 2012, we completed the purchase of HSRE's 80% interest in The Grove at Valdosta, which was included in HSRE IV prior to that date. In January 2014, we completed the purchase of HSRE's 80% interest in The Grove at Denton, Texas, which was included in HSRE IV prior to that date. Following the sale of The Grove at Denton, HSRE IV was dissolved.

*HSRE V.* In October 2011, we entered into a joint venture with HSRE, HSRE-Campus Crest V, LLC ("HSRE V"), to develop and operate additional purpose-built student housing properties. HSRE V completed three new student housing properties in August 2012 for the 2012-2013 academic year. The properties, located in Fayetteville, Arkansas, Laramie, Wyoming, and Stillwater, Oklahoma, contain an aggregate of approximately 1,856 beds and cost approximately \$72.1 million. We own a 10% interest in this venture and affiliates of HSRE own the balance. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an other than temporary impairment on our investment in HSRE V. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements regarding our write-down of the carrying value of our investment to fair value.

*HSRE VI.* In March 2012, we entered into a joint venture with HSRE, HSRE-Campus Crest VI, LLC ("HSRE VI"), to develop and operate additional purpose-built student housing properties. HSRE VI completed three new student housing properties in August 2013 for the 2013-2014 academic year. The properties, located in Norman, Oklahoma, State College, Pennsylvania and Indiana, Pennsylvania, contain an aggregate of approximately 1,784 beds and cost approximately \$83.3 million. We own a 20.0% interest in this venture and affiliates of HSRE own the balance. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an other than temporary impairment on our investment in HSRE VI. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements regarding our write-down of the carrying value of our investment to fair value.

*HSRE IX.* In January 2013, we entered into a joint venture with HSRE and Brandywine, HSRE-Campus Crest IX, LLC ("HSRE IX"), to develop and operate additional purpose-built student housing properties. HSRE IX has completed one new student housing property, *evo*<sup>®</sup> at Cira Centre South. The property, located in the University City submarket of Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, contains approximately 850 beds and cost approximately \$158.5 million. We own a 30.0% interest in this venture, Brandywine owns 30.0% and affiliates of HSRE own the balance.

In general, we, along with Brandywine, are responsible for the day-to-day management of HSRE IX's business and affairs, provided that major decisions (including deciding to pursue a particular development opportunity) must be approved by us, HSRE, and Brandywine. In addition to distributions to which we are entitled as an investor in HSRE IX, we, along with Brandywine, will receive fees for providing services to HSRE IX pursuant the property management agreement. In general, we, along with Brandywine, will earn management fees equal to approximately 3.0% of the gross revenues and 3.0% of the net operating income of operating properties held by HSRE IX.

*HSRE X*. In March 2013, we entered into a joint venture agreement with HSRE, HSRE-Campus Crest X, LLC ("HSRE X"), to develop and operate additional purpose-built student housing properties. HSRE X has completed two new student housing properties, The Grove at Louisville, Kentucky and The Grove at Greensboro, North Carolina. The properties, located in Louisville, Kentucky and Greensboro, North Carolina contain an aggregate of approximately 1,240 beds and cost approximately \$69.1 million. We own a 30.0% interest in this joint venture and affiliates of HSRE own the balance. During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an other than temporary impairment on our investment in HSRE X. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements regarding our write-down of the carrying value of our investment to fair value.

In general, we are responsible for the day-to-day management of HSRE I's, HSRE V's, HSRE VI's, and HSRE X's business and affairs, provided that major decisions (including deciding to pursue a particular development opportunity) must be approved by us and HSRE. In addition to distributions to which we are entitled as an investor in HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X, we will receive fees for providing services to HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X, pursuant to property management agreements. In general, we will earn management fees equal to approximately 3.0% of the gross revenues and 3.0% of the net operating income of operating properties held by HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI, and HSRE X.

We amended HSRE's right of first opportunity, originally granted with respect to HSRE I, to develop all future student housing development opportunities identified by us that are funded in part with equity investments by parties unaffiliated with us, until such time as affiliates of HSRE have invested an aggregate \$50 million in HSRE IV, HSRE V, HSRE VI, HSRE IX, and HSRE X or caused HSRE IV, HSRE V, HSRE VI, HSRE IX, and HSRE X to decline three development opportunities in any calendar year. As of December 31, 2014, HSRE had funded approximately all of the \$50 million right of first opportunity. The terms of this joint venture do not prohibit us from developing a wholly-owned student housing property for our own account.

#### ***Our Relationship with Beaumont***

In July 2013, we entered into a joint venture, DCV Holdings, LP ("DCV Holdings") with Beaumont Partners SA ("Beaumont") to acquire a 711 room, 33-story hotel in downtown Montreal, Quebec, Canada, for approximately CAD 51.0 million (\$44.9 million). During 2014, the joint venture converted the property into an upscale student housing tower featuring a mix of single and double units serving McGill University, Concordia University and L'Ecole de Technologie for the 2014-2015 academic year.

In December 2013, the joint venture partnership formed a holding company, CSH Montreal LP ("CSH Montreal"). With regard to CSH Montreal, we are a limited partner with no general partner representation and limited control rights. DCV Holdings was subsequently contributed to CSH Montreal, such that CSH Montreal became the sole limited partner in DCV Holdings. In addition, following the insertion of CSH Montreal as the holding company in the joint venture arrangement, CSH Montreal acquired ownership of HIM Holdings LP ("HIM Holdings"), an entity formed to

facilitate the acquisition of another property in Canada.

On January 15, 2014, through the newly formed HIM Holdings, the joint venture partnership acquired the 488-room, 22-story Holiday Inn Midtown in Montréal, Québec for approximately CAD 65 million (\$56.1 million). During 2014, the joint venture converted the property into an upscale *evo*<sup>®</sup> student housing tower near McGill University. In connection with the acquisition of the Holiday Inn property, we increased our ownership interest from 20.0% to 47.0% in CSH Montreal, the joint venture that holds the newest *evo*<sup>®</sup> and the previously announced *evo*<sup>®</sup> à Square Victoria. In connection with our investment in CSH Montreal, we guarantee up to 50% of the outstanding balance of the acquisition and development credit facility (“CSH Montreal Debt”) of CAD 112.0 million (\$96.8 million) at December 31, 2014 exchange rate. As of December 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of the CSH Montreal Debt was CAD 101.8 million (\$88.0 million) at December 31, 2014 exchange rate, of which we guaranteed CAD 50.9 (\$44.0 million) at December 31, 2014 exchange rate. The term of the guarantee follows the term of the underlying debt, which matures on January 13, 2016, unless the twelve month extension, which is subject to lender approval, is exercised. The CSH Montreal debt is secured by, among other things, a first mortgage position on the real estate and improvements owned by CSH Montreal.

In January 2014, with the acquisition of the Holiday Inn Midtown property, we provided CAD 16.0 million (\$13.8 million) of preferred bridge equity financing to CSH Montreal to be repaid to us on or before September 2, 2014. As of September 2, 2014, our preferred equity had not been repaid in full and, as a result, we are now entitled to 60.54% of all cash distributions from CSH Montreal with Beaumont and its partners being entitled to the remaining 39.46%. We currently retain an ownership interest of 47.0% in CSH Montreal and, as such, are responsible for funding only 47.0% of operating losses.

The occupancy levels and rental rates for the CSH Montreal properties for the year ended December 31, 2014, were significantly below our expectations when we entered into this joint venture and acquired the joint venture properties. We have determined that the initial performance results indicate that there has been a permanent impairment to our investment in this joint venture. As a result, during the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an other than temporary impairment on our investment in CSH Montreal. See Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

Set forth below is a summary of the accounting policies that management believes are critical to the preparation of the consolidated financial statements. Certain of these accounting policies are particularly important for an understanding of the financial position and results of operations presented in the consolidated financial statements set forth elsewhere in this report. These policies require the application of judgment and assumptions by management and, as a result, are subject to a degree of uncertainty. Actual results could differ as a result of such judgment and assumptions.

Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all investments, which include joint ventures in which we have a controlling interest and our consolidated subsidiaries. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in our historical consolidated financial statements and related notes. In preparing these financial statements, management has utilized all available information, including its past history, industry standards and the current economic environment, among other factors, in forming its estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the historical consolidated financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. Our estimates may not be ultimately realized. Application of the critical accounting policies below involves the exercise of judgment and use of assumptions as to future uncertainties and, as a result, actual results may differ from these estimates. In addition, other companies in similar businesses may utilize different estimation policies and methodologies, which may impact the comparability of our results of operations and financial condition to those companies.

### ***Valuation of Investment in Real Estate***

Investment in real estate is recorded at historical cost. Pre-development expenditures include items such as entitlement costs, architectural fees and deposits associated with the pursuit of partially-owned and wholly-owned development projects. These costs are capitalized until such time that management believes it is no longer probable that a contract will be executed and/or construction will commence. Management evaluates the status of projects where we have not yet acquired the target property or where we have not yet commenced construction on a periodic basis and writes off any pre-development costs related to projects whose current status indicates the commencement of construction is not probable. Such write-offs are included within discontinued operations for 2013 and 2012, and in a separate line for 2014 in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Management assesses whether there has been impairment in the value of our investment in real estate whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of investment in real estate is assessed by a comparison of the carrying amount of a student housing property to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the property. Impairment is recognized when estimated future undiscounted cash flows are less than the carrying value of the property. The estimation of expected future undiscounted cash flows is inherently uncertain and relies on assumptions regarding current and future economics and market conditions. If such conditions change, then an adjustment reducing the carrying value of our long-lived assets could occur in the future period in which conditions change. To the extent that a property is impaired, the excess of the carrying amount of the property over its estimated fair value is charged to operating earnings. Fair value is determined based upon the discounted cash flows of the property, quoted market prices or independent appraisals, as considered necessary.

### ***Investment in Unconsolidated Entities***

Under the equity method, investments in unconsolidated entities are initially recognized in the balance sheet at cost and are subsequently adjusted to reflect our proportionate share of net earnings or losses of the entity, distributions received, contributions and certain other adjustments, as appropriate. Any difference between the carrying amount of these investments on our balance sheet and the underlying equity in net assets is amortized as an adjustment to equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities. When circumstances indicate there may have been a loss in value of an equity method investment, and we determine the loss in value is other than temporary, we recognize an impairment charge to reflect the investment at fair value.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an other than temporary impairment of \$57.8 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for certain unconsolidated entities, including \$31.3 million related to HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X (the "HSRE Investments") and \$26.5 million related to the investment in CSH Montreal. Factors giving rise to the strategic repositioning including results below expectations in original underwriting transactions as well as communication from the venture partner about their desire to dispose of certain properties in the HSRE Investments in the near term, resulted in our determination that an other than temporary impairment existed during the year ended December 31, 2014. After the impairments were recorded, the carrying values of our HSRE investments and investment in CSH Montreal were \$15.1 million and \$6.9, respectively. We engaged third-party specialists to assist us with our valuation of certain of the underlying properties in the HSRE Investments. An income approach was used to determine the fair value of our HSRE Investments. Inputs and assumptions included in the determination of fair value included our expectation of projected net operating income to be earned and capital expenditures to be incurred at the underlying properties and capitalization rates ranging between 5.9% and 8.5%. The capitalization rates were determined based on the marketability of each of the properties and the extent to which the operations of the property has stabilized.

For our investment in CSH Montreal, we used a discounted cash flow valuation technique to estimate the fair value of the Company's investment. The discounted cash flows take into consideration current occupancy levels with revenue per available bed increasing in conjunction with occupancies growing up to 92% over a four year period, an expected exit value based on a 7.25% capitalization rate, and a 9.25% discount rate. The discount rate includes the Company's belief that the properties have not stabilized yet, given the occupancy levels of the properties owned by CSH Montreal during its first year of operations. These valuation techniques involve Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy, and the Company believes that the highest and best use of these properties continues to be for student housing.

The information above is provided to allow transparency into the assumptions used to calculate our impairment of unconsolidated entities. There is a significant level of subjectivity and judgment necessary to account for highly uncertain matters and there is susceptibility of such assumptions not being realized. The impact of these estimates and assumptions not being met would result in a material impact to our consolidated results of operations. In connection with the HSRE investments, for every one percent change in net operating income and for every 10 basis point change in the capitalization rate, the impairment would change by \$1.0 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, should the result of certain key assumptions be different than those used in the impairment calculations. In connection with our investment in CSH Montreal, for every one percent change in net operating income, the impairment would change by an immaterial amount. For every 10 basis point change in the capitalization rate, the impairment would change by \$2.1 million.

### ***Discontinued Development and Construction Services***

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we discontinued all construction and development activities. Development and construction service revenue is recognized using the percentage of completion method, as determined by construction costs incurred relative to total estimated construction costs. Any changes in significant judgments and/or estimates used in determining construction and development revenue could significantly change the timing or amount of construction and development revenue recognized.

Development and construction service revenues are recognized for contracts with entities we do not consolidate. For projects where revenue is based on a fixed price, any cost overruns incurred during construction, as compared to the original budget, will reduce the net profit ultimately recognized on those projects. Profit derived from these projects is eliminated to the extent of our interest in the unconsolidated entity. Any incentive fees, net of the impact of our ownership interest, are recognized when the project is complete and performance has been agreed upon by all parties, or when performance has been verified by an independent third party. When total development or construction costs at completion exceed the fixed price set forth within the related contract, such cost overruns are recorded as additional investment in the unconsolidated entity to the extent these amounts are determined to be realizable. Entitlement fees, where applicable, are recognized when earned based on the terms of the related contract.

### ***Property Management Services***

In addition to our wholly-owned properties, all but one of which are managed by us, we also provide management services to unconsolidated joint ventures in which we have an ownership interest. We recognize management fees from these entities as earned in accordance with the property management agreement with these entities, as adjusted to eliminate our proportionate ownership of each entity.



We have set forth a discussion comparing our consolidated results for the year ended December 31, 2014 to the consolidated results of our operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. Additionally, we have set forth a discussion comparing our consolidated results for year ended December 31, 2013 to the consolidated results for the year ended December 31, 2012. The historical results of operations presented below should be reviewed in conjunction with the notes to the consolidated financial statements accompanying this report.

### ***Allowance for Doubtful Accounts***

Allowances for student receivables are established when management determines that collections of such receivables are doubtful. Balances are considered past due when payment is not received on the contractual due date. When management has determined receivables are uncollectible, they are written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts.

### ***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

The carrying value of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, student receivables and accounts payable are representative of their respective fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair value of our revolving line of credit approximates the outstanding balance due to the frequent market based re-pricing of the underlying variable rate index. The estimated fair values of mortgages and construction loans are determined by comparing current borrowing rates and risk spreads offered in the market to the stated interest rates and spreads on our current mortgages, construction loans, and Exchangeable Senior Notes.

Fair value guidance for financial assets and liabilities that are recognized and disclosed in the consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis and nonfinancial assets on a nonrecurring basis establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and the lowest priority to measurements involving significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

*Level 1* - Observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

*Level 2* - Other inputs that are observable directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices in markets that are not active or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

*Level 3* - Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data and which we make our own assumptions about how market participants would price the asset or liability.

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received when selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price). In instances where inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety has been determined is based on the lowest level input significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Our assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement in its entirety requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

### ***Income Taxes***

We have made an election to qualify, and believe we are operating so as to qualify, as a REIT under Sections 856 through 859 of the Internal Revenue Code. Our qualification as a REIT depends upon our ability to meet on a continuing basis, through actual investment and operating results, various complex requirements under the Internal Revenue Code relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels and the diversity of ownership of our stock. We believe that we are organized in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code and that our intended manner of operation will enable us to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT.

As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal and state income tax on taxable income that we distribute currently to our stockholders. If we fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year and do not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, we will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates and generally will be precluded from qualifying as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years following the year during which we lost our REIT qualification. Accordingly, our failure to qualify as a REIT could materially and adversely affect us, including our ability to make distributions to our stockholders in the future.

We have made the election to treat TRS Holdings, our wholly-owned subsidiary as a TRS. TRS Holdings holds our management companies that provide services to entities in which we do not own 100% of the equity interests. As a TRS, the operations of TRS Holdings and its subsidiaries are generally subject to federal, state and local income and franchise taxes. Our TRS accounts for its income taxes in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which includes an estimate of the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in our financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax assets and

liabilities of the TRS entities are recognized based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to reverse.

We follow a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when we conclude that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not (a likelihood of more than 50 percent) to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. Derecognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when we subsequently determined that a tax position no longer met the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. The use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for derecognition of tax positions is prohibited.

### ***Property Acquisitions***

We allocate the purchase price of acquired properties to net tangible and identified intangible assets based on relative fair values. Fair value estimates are based on information obtained from independent appraisals, other market data, information obtained during due diligence and information related to the marketing and leasing at the specific property. The value of in-place leases is based on the difference between (i) the property valued with existing in-place leases adjusted to market rental rates and (ii) the property valued "as-if" vacant. As lease terms are typically one year or less, rates on in-place leases generally approximate market rental rates. Factors considered in the valuation of in-place leases include an estimate of the carrying costs during the expected lease-up period considering current market conditions, nature of the tenancy and costs to execute similar leases. Carrying costs include estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up period, net of variable operating expenses. The value of in-place leases is amortized over the remaining initial term of the respective leases, generally less than one year. The purchase price of property acquisitions is not expected to be allocated to tenant relationships, considering the terms of the leases and the expected levels of renewals. Acquisition-related costs such as due diligence, legal and accounting fees are expensed as incurred and not applied in determining the fair value of an acquired property.

## Changes in Financial Condition

In January 2013, we entered into the second amended and restated credit agreement (the "Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement"), which provides for a \$250 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility"), a \$50 million term loan (the "Term Loan", together with the "Revolving Credit Facility", the "Amended Credit Facility"), and an accordion feature that allows us, under certain circumstances, to request an increase in the total commitments by an additional \$300.0 million, increasing total commitments to \$600.0 million. The Second Amended and Restated Credit Facility will mature in January 2017 and contains a one-year extension option, subject to certain terms and conditions. For additional information regarding the Amended Credit Facility, please refer to "Liquidity and Capital Resources—Principal Capital Resources" below.

In March 2013, we completed an underwritten public offering of approximately 25.5 million shares of common stock, including approximately 3.3 million shares issued and sold pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$299.7 million. The net proceeds were used: (1) to fund our investment in the CB Portfolio and related transactional costs, including investment banking advisory fees (see Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements); and (2) for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of debt.

In June 2013, we implemented an At-The-Market offering program under which we may sell at market price up to \$100.0 million in shares of our common stock over the term of the program. As of December 31, 2014, we had not issued and sold any shares under this program.

In October 2013, we reopened our Series A Preferred Stock in an underwritten public offering of 3,800,000 shares, including 400,000 shares issued and sold pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of the Series A Preferred Stock. The shares of Series A Preferred Stock were issued at a public offering price of \$25.0611 per share, for net proceeds of approximately \$91.3 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and other estimated offering expenses of approximately \$4.0 million. We used the net proceeds, as well as the net proceeds from our issuance of Exchangeable Senior Notes (defined below), to repay approximately \$46.8 million of indebtedness outstanding under three construction loans, to repay amounts owed under the Amended Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes.

In October 2013, the Operating Partnership completed a private offering of \$100.0 million of unsecured 4.75% exchangeable senior notes (the "Exchangeable Senior Notes") due October 15, 2018. Interest on the Exchangeable Senior Notes is payable semi-annually on April 15 and October 15, beginning April 15, 2014. Upon exchange of the notes, the Operating Partnership will deliver cash, shares of Campus Crest common stock, or a combination of both at an initial exchange rate of 79.6020 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of Exchangeable Senior Notes (equivalent to an initial exchange price of approximately \$12.56 per share of our common stock). The Exchangeable Senior Notes may not be redeemed prior to the maturity date. At any time prior to July 15, 2018, the Operating Partnership may

irrevocably elect, in its sole discretion without the consent of the holders of the notes, to settle all of its future exchange obligation entirely in shares of our common stock. The Exchangeable Senior Notes rank equally in right of payment to all other unsecured debt and are subordinated in right of payment to all secured debt, liabilities, and preferred equity of our subsidiaries.

We used the net proceeds from the reopening of the Series A Preferred Stock and the Exchangeable Senior Notes offerings for the repayment of debt, development funding and working capital purposes.

### **REIT Qualification Requirements**

We have elected to be treated as a REIT under Sections 856 through 859 of the Internal Revenue Code. Our continued qualification as a REIT depends upon our ability to meet on a continuing basis, through actual investment and operating results, various complex requirements under the Internal Revenue Code relating to, among other things, the sources of our gross income, the composition and values of our assets, our distribution levels and the diversity of ownership of our stock. We believe that our intended manner of operation will enable us to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT. As a REIT, we generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax on taxable income that we distribute currently to our stockholders.

### **Factors Expected to Affect Our Operating Results**

#### *Unique Leasing Characteristics*

Student housing properties are typically leased by the bed on an individual lease liability basis, unlike multi-family housing where leasing is by the unit. Individual lease liability limits each student-tenant's liability to his or her own rent without liability for a roommate's rent. A parent or guardian is required to execute each lease as a guarantor unless the student-tenant provides adequate proof of income. The number of lease contracts that we administer is therefore equivalent to the number of beds occupied rather than the number of units.

Due to our predominantly private bedroom accommodations, the high level of student-oriented amenities offered at our properties and the individual lease liability for our student-tenants and their parents, we believe that we typically command higher per-unit and per-square foot rental rates than many multi-family properties located in the markets in which we operate. We are also typically able to charge higher rental rates than on-campus student housing, which generally offers fewer amenities.

Unlike traditional multi-family housing, most of our leases commence on the same date. In the case of our typical 11.5-month leases (which provide for 12 equal monthly payments), this date coincides with the commencement of the fall academic term and typically terminates at the completion of the last summer school session. As such, we must re-lease each property in its entirety each year, resulting in significant turnover in our tenant population from year to year. As a result, we are highly dependent upon the effectiveness of our marketing and leasing efforts during the annual leasing season, which typically begins each October and ends in September of the following year. As of the start of the fall term for the 2014-2015 and 2013-2014 academic years, we had approximately 37.6% and 41.7%, respectively; of our current tenants renew their lease for the upcoming academic year.

## Results of Operations

### *Our Business Segments*

We define business segments by their distinct customer base and services provided. We have identified two reportable business segments: (i) student housing operations and (ii) property management services. All construction and development activities are reported in discontinued operations at December 31, 2014. Management evaluates each segment's performance by reference to net operating income ("NOI"), which we define as operating income before depreciation and amortization. The accounting policies of our reportable business segments are described in more detail in the summary of significant accounting policies footnote (Note 2) to our consolidated financial statements. Intercompany fees are reflected at the contractually stipulated amounts, as adjusted to reflect our proportionate ownership of unconsolidated entities.

### *Student Housing Operations*

Our student housing operations are comprised of rental and other service revenues, such as application fees, pet fees and late payment fees. In 2014, we opened four wholly-owned properties and an additional six properties that are owned in a real estate ventures in which we have a noncontrolling interest.

Due to the continuous opening of new properties in consecutive years and annual lease terms that do not coincide with our reported fiscal (calendar) years, the comparison of our consolidated financial results from period to period may not provide a meaningful measure of our operating performance. For this reason, we divide the results of operations in our student housing operations segment between new property operations and "same-store" operations, which we believe provides a more meaningful indicator of comparative historical performance.

"Same store" properties are our wholly-owned operating properties acquired or placed in-service prior to the beginning of the earliest period presented and owned by us and remaining in service through the end of the latest period presented or period being analyzed. "New properties" are our wholly-owned operating properties that we acquired or placed in service after the beginning of the earliest period presented or period being analyzed.

We monitor NOI of our student housing properties, which is a non-GAAP financial measure. In general terms, we define NOI as student housing rental revenue less student housing operating expenses including real estate taxes related to our properties. We believe this measure provides an operating perspective not immediately apparent from GAAP operating income (loss) or net income (loss). We use NOI to evaluate performance on a

community-by-community basis because it allows management to evaluate the impact that factors such as lease structure, lease rates and tenant base, which vary by locality, have on our financial performance.

We specifically calculate NOI by adding back to (or subtracting from) net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders the following expenses or charges: income tax expense, other expense, interest expense, equity in loss of unconsolidated entities, depreciation and amortization, ground lease expense, general and administrative expense, property management services expenses and other non-recurring costs or expenses. The following income or gains are then deducted from net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders, adjusted for add backs of expenses or charges: other income, property management services revenues and non-recurring income or gains.

NOI excludes multiple components of net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders (computed in accordance with GAAP) and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially and adversely impact our results of operations. Therefore, the utility of NOI as a measure of our performance is limited. Additionally, other companies, including other equity REITs, may use different methodologies for calculating NOI and, accordingly, NOI as disclosed by such other companies may not be comparable to NOI published herein. We believe that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of our historical operating results, NOI should be examined in conjunction with net income (loss) as presented in the consolidated financial statements accompanying this report. NOI should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders as an indicator of our properties' financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distribution.

### *Management Services*

In addition to our wholly-owned properties, all but one of which are managed by us, we also provide management services to unconsolidated joint ventures in which we have an ownership interest. We recognize management fees from these entities as earned in accordance with the property management agreement with these entities, as adjusted to eliminate our proportionate ownership of each entity.



We have set forth a discussion comparing our consolidated results for the year ended December 31, 2014 to the consolidated results of our operations for the year ended December 31, 2013. Additionally, we have set forth a discussion comparing our consolidated results for year ended December 31, 2013 to the consolidated results for the year ended December 31, 2012. The historical results of operations presented below should be reviewed in conjunction with the notes to the consolidated financial statements accompanying this report.

### *Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013*

As of December 31, 2014, our property portfolio consisted of 37 consolidated operating properties, containing approximately 7,520 apartment units and 20,580 beds, and 49 operating properties held in seven unconsolidated joint ventures, containing approximately 10,130 apartment units and 26,110 beds. Four consolidated operating properties have been presented in discontinued operations.

As of December 31, 2013, our property portfolio consisted of 31 consolidated operating properties, containing approximately 6,065 apartment units and 16,570 beds, and 38 operating properties held in five unconsolidated joint ventures, containing approximately 7,135 apartment units and 18,910 beds. Four consolidated operating properties have been presented in discontinued operations.

The following table presents our results of operations for the periods presented, including the amount and percentage change in these results between the periods (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change (\$) | Change (%) |   |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|---|
|  | 2014                    | 2013      |             |            |   |
| Revenues:                                    |                         |           |             |            |   |
| Student housing rental                       | \$ 101,724              | \$ 87,635 | 14,089      | 16.1       | % |
| Student housing services                     | 3,768                   | 3,615     | 153         | 4.2        | % |
| Property management services                 | 1,249                   | 820       | 429         | 52.3       | % |
| Total revenues                               | 106,741                 | 92,070    | 14,671      | 15.9       | % |
| Operating expenses:                          |                         |           |             |            |   |
| Student housing operations                   | 47,154                  | 40,726    | 6,428       | 15.8       | % |
| General and administrative                   | 14,303                  | 10,658    | 3,645       | 34.2       | % |
| Severance                                    | 6,159                   | -         | 6,159       | N/A        |   |
| Impairment of land and pre-development costs | 31,927                  | -         | 31,927      | N/A        |   |
| Write-off of corporate other assets          | 15,110                  | -         | 15,110      | N/A        |   |
| Transaction costs                            | 3,046                   | 1,121     | 1,925       | 171.7      | % |
| Ground leases                                | 477                     | 249       | 228         | 91.6       | % |
| Depreciation and amortization                | 29,426                  | 23,700    | 5,726       | 24.2       | % |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|  |               |             |            |           |   |
|--|---------------|-------------|------------|-----------|---|
| Total operating expenses                                   | 147,602       | 76,454      | 71,148     | 93.1      | % |
| Equity in losses of unconsolidated entities                | (5,510 )      | (3,727 )    | (1,783 )   | 47.8      | % |
| Impairment of unconsolidated entities                      | (57,789 )     | (312 )      | (57,477 )  | 18422.1   | % |
| Effect of not exercising Copper Beech purchase option      | (33,375 )     | -           | (33,375 )  | N/A       |   |
| Operating income (loss)                                    | (137,535 )    | 11,577      | (149,112 ) | -1288.0   | % |
| Nonoperating income (expense):                             |               |             |            |           |   |
| Interest expense   | (16,156 )     | (12,969 )   | (3,187 )   | 24.6      | % |
| Other income   | 42            | 1,414       | (1,372 )   | -97.0     | % |
| Total nonoperating expense, net                            | (16,114 )     | (11,555 )   | (4,559 )   | 39.5      | % |
| Net income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense)      | (153,649 )    | 22          | (153,671 ) | -698504.5 | % |
| Income tax benefit (expense)                               | (731 )        | 727         | (1,458 )   | -200.6    | % |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations                   | (154,380 )    | 749         |            |           |   |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations                 | (9,576 )      | 489         |            |           |   |
| Net income (loss)  | (163,956 )    | 1,238       | (165,194 ) | -13343.6  | % |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | (1,233 )      | (34 )       | (1,199 )   | 3526.5    | % |
| Dividends on preferred stock                               | 12,200        | 6,183       | 6,017      | 97.3      | % |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders      | \$ (174,923 ) | \$ (4,911 ) | (170,012 ) | 3461.9    | % |

### *Student Housing Operations*

Revenues in the student housing operations segment (which include student housing rental and student housing service revenues) increased by approximately \$14.2 million and operating expenses in the student housing operations segment increased by approximately \$6.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase in revenues was primarily due to the opening of two new properties in August 2013 (The Grove at Muncie, Indiana, and The Grove at Fort Collins, Colorado), the opening of the undamaged portion of a new property in August 2013 (The Grove at Pullman, Washington), the acquisition of the remaining ownership interests in The Grove at Denton, Texas, in January 2014, the opening of five new properties in August 2014 (The Grove at Slippery Rock, Pennsylvania, The Grove at Grand Forks, North Dakota, The Grove at Mt. Pleasant, Michigan, The Grove at Gainesville, Florida and Copper Beech at Ames, Iowa), offset by the prior period reclassification of four properties disposed in December 2013 (The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas) to discontinued operations. The increase in student housing operations expenses was primarily due to the aforementioned activity.

*New Property Operations.* In March 2013, we acquired Campus Crest at Toledo, Ohio, which contributed approximately \$0.5 million of NOI (\$1.3 million of revenues and \$0.8 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$0.5 million of NOI (\$1.7 million of revenues and \$1.2 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2013. In August 2013, we began operations at The Grove at Muncie, Indiana, The Grove at Fort Collins, Colorado, The Grove at Flagstaff II, Arizona, and partial operations at The Grove at Pullman, Washington, which contributed approximately \$8.7 million of NOI (\$11.8 million of revenues and \$3.1 million of student housing operations expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to approximately \$3.8 million of NOI (\$4.1 million of revenues and \$0.3 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2013. In January 2014, we acquired the remaining ownership interests in The Grove at Denton, Texas, which contributed approximately \$2.1 million of NOI (\$3.9 million of revenues and \$1.8 million of student housing operations expenses) for the year ended December 31, compared to no contribution for the year ended December 31, 2013. Prior to the acquisition of these interests, we accounted for our ownership in The Grove at Denton, Texas under the equity method. In August 2014, we began operations at The Grove at Slippery Rock, Pennsylvania, The Grove at Grand Forks, North Dakota, The Grove at Mt. Pleasant, Michigan, The Grove at Gainesville, Florida, and Copper Beech at Ames, Iowa which contributed approximately \$4.5 million of NOI (\$6.8 million of revenues and \$2.3 million of student housing operations expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to no contribution for the year ended December 31, 2013.

*"Same-Store" Property Operations.* Our 28 "same-store" properties contributed approximately \$42.9 million of NOI (\$82.8 million of revenues and \$39.9 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to approximately \$46.6 million of NOI (\$85.6 million of revenues and \$39.0 million of student housing operations expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2013. The decrease in revenue at our "same-store" properties was primarily due to a decrease in average occupancy to approximately 90.5% for the year ended December 31, 2014 compared to approximately 92.5% for the year ended December 31, 2013. Operating expenses for the year ended December 31, 2014 stayed materially the same when compared to the year ended December 31, 2013.

The following is a reconciliation of our net income attributable to common stockholders to NOI for the periods presented, including our same store and new properties (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended   |            |
|--|--------------|------------|
|  | December 31, |            |
|  | 2014         | 2013       |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders                     | \$(174,923)  | \$(4,911 ) |
| Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests                | (1,233 )     | (34 )      |
| Preferred stock dividends  | 12,200       | 6,183      |
| Income tax (benefit) expense                                     | 731          | (727 )     |
| Other (income) expense, net                                      | (42 )        | (1,414 )   |
| Severance  | 6,159        | -          |
| (Income) loss on discontinued operations                         | 9,576        | (489 )     |
| Impairment of unconsolidated joint venture                       | 57,789       | 312        |
| Interest expense   | 16,156       | 12,969     |
| Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities                        | 5,510        | 3,727      |
| Depreciation and amortization                                    | 29,426       | 23,700     |
| Ground lease expense   | 477          | 249        |
| General and administrative expense                               | 14,303       | 10,658     |
| Effect of not exercising Copper Beech purchase option            | 33,375       | -          |
| Impairment of land, predevelopment costs and asset held for sale | 31,927       | -          |
| Write-off of corporate other assets                              | 15,110       | -          |
| Transaction costs  | 3,046        | 1,121      |
| Property management services revenues                            | (1,249 )     | (820 )     |
| Total NOI from Continuing Operations                             | \$58,338     | \$50,524   |
| Same store properties NOI  | \$42,552     | \$34,666   |
| New properties NOI   | \$11,408     | \$14,293   |
| The Grove at Pullman and Toledo NOI                              | \$4,378      | \$1,565    |

### ***Property Management Services***

Property management services revenues increased by approximately \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase was primarily due to an increase in the number of property management contracts (we added three property management contracts in August 2013 (The Grove at Norman, Indiana, The Grove at State College, Pennsylvania, and The Grove at Indiana, Pennsylvania) and five property management contracts in August 2014 (The Grove at Greensboro, North Carolina, The Grove at Louisville, Kentucky, *evo*<sup>®</sup> at Cira Centre South, Pennsylvania, *evo*<sup>®</sup> à Square Victoria, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, *evo*<sup>®</sup> à Sherbrooke, Montreal, Quebec, Canada)), partially offset by termination of the property management contract for The Grove at Denton, Texas, in January 2014.

### ***General and Administrative***

General and administrative expenses increased from approximately \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 to approximately \$14.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The increases were primarily due to an

increase in the number of full-time employees, professional fees, and travel expenses resulting from our growth and greater number of projects in 2014 when compared to 2013.

*Severance Costs*

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a total of approximately \$9.1 million in severance costs (\$2.9 million is included in loss from discontinued operations and \$6.2 million included in operating expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss)) relating to separation agreements for former executives as well as employees within our discontinued development and construction service companies. See Note 4 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014. There were no such charges for the year ended December 31, 2013.

### ***Write-off of Pre-Development Costs***

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded approximately \$31.9 million in write-offs related to pre-development costs, land and an asset held for sale due to the discontinuation of our development and construction service companies and our strategic repositioning initiative. Pre-development costs included \$8.9 million for locations in the United States and \$2.1 million for various international expansion projects. See Note 4 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014. There was no such charge for the year ended December 31, 2013.

### ***Write-off of Corporate Other Assets***

For the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded approximately \$15.1 million in write-offs of corporate assets in conjunction with our strategic repositioning initiative. These write-offs include \$9.5 million related to the impairment of an enterprise resource planning system that was abandoned as part of our strategic repositioning, \$2.3 million impairment related to a company owned aircraft, \$1.2 million of deferred offering costs and \$2.1 million in miscellaneous other items. See Note 4 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014. There was no such charge for the year ended December 31, 2013.

### ***Transaction Costs***

We recognized approximately \$3.0 million and approximately \$1.1 million in transaction costs related to the CB Portfolio, CSH Montreal and other transactions for the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. We capitalized approximately \$4.0 million of direct, incremental costs related to the CB Portfolio Acquisition into the basis of our investment for the year ended December 31, 2014.

### ***Depreciation and Amortization***

Depreciation and amortization expense increased from approximately \$23.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 to approximately \$29.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. This increase was primarily due to the increase in the number of operating properties.

### ***Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Entities***

Equity in loss of unconsolidated entities, which represents our share of the net loss from entities in which we have a non-controlling interest, increased from a loss of \$3.7 million in the year ended December 31, 2013 to a loss of \$5.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2014. The 2014 loss consists of \$3.7 million related to our Montreal joint venture compared to no such loss in 2013; \$1.6 million loss related our CB portfolio (which included a dividend equivalency payment of \$1.2 million based on the CB Investors' interpretation of the purchase agreement which closed in January 2015) compared to \$3.8 million loss for the same period for 2013; \$0.2 million loss related to our HSRE investments compared to \$0.1 million income for the same period in 2013.

Our investment in the CB Portfolio entitled us to a preferred payment of \$13.0 million over the first year of our investment, beginning March 18, 2013 and ending March 17, 2014. During the period from January 1, 2014 through March 17, 2014, we were entitled to approximately \$2.8 million of the preferred payment, of which, we recognized \$0.9 million of the \$2.8 million in equity in earnings. The remaining \$1.9 million of the \$2.8 million was not recognized in equity in earnings, as we are required to eliminate the portion of the preferred payment related to our ownership interest in the CB Portfolio.

#### ***Impairment of Unconsolidated Entities***

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded impairment of \$57.8 million for certain of our unconsolidated entities, including \$31.3 million related to HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X (the "HSRE Investments") and \$26.5 million related to the investment in CSH Montreal. See Note 4 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014.

#### ***Effect of not exercising CB purchase option***

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a non-cash charge of \$33.4 million due to the effect of not exercising the Copper Beech purchase option during August of 2014. See Note 6 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014. There was no such charge for the year ended December 31, 2013.

#### ***Interest Expense***

Interest expense increased approximately \$3.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to an increase in average outstanding indebtedness mainly associated with the acquisition of Denton, the consolidation of Copper Beech at Ames and draws from the line of credit.





***Other Income/(Expense)***

In connection with the CB Portfolio Acquisition, we recognized \$1.4 million of income for the year ended December 31, 2013, resulting from interest earned on our \$31.7 million notes receivable from the CB Investors. There was no such income for the year ended December 31, 2014. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

***Income Tax Benefit (Expense)***

Income tax benefit (expense) for the year ended December 31, 2014, was a \$(0.7) million expense as compared to a \$0.7 million benefit for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to the write-off of deferred taxes in conjunction with our strategic repositioning initiatives. See Note 4 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

***Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations***

In September 2014, we began unwinding our development and construction services companies due to restructuring activities and accordingly reclassified the results of these operations to "Income (loss) from discontinued operations" in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the periods presented resulting in a loss from discontinued operations of \$9.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 and income from discontinued operations of \$3.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013.

In December 2013, we sold four wholly-owned properties: The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas and classified \$(3.0) million of loss within income (loss) from discontinued operations in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) associated with the year ended December 31, 2013.

***Net Loss Attributable to Noncontrolling Interests***

Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests increased to \$1.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, when compared to \$0.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This is driven by increased losses due to write-offs and impairments in connection with our strategic repositioning initiatives.

### *Dividends on Preferred Stock*

Dividends on preferred stock increased to approximately \$12.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014, compared to \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, primarily due to an increase in the average number of shares of preferred stock outstanding in 2014. In October 2013, we reopened our Series A Preferred Stock in an underwritten public offering of 3,800,000 shares of preferred stock. See Note 13 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### *Cash Flows*

Net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$66.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to approximately \$14.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase of approximately \$51.9 million. Net income adjusted for non-cash items provided approximately \$26.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to approximately \$45.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of approximately \$18.9 million. This decrease is due in part to the losses associated with our strategic repositioning initiatives offset by the addition of properties placed into service in 2014 and 2013. Approximately \$39.4 million was provided by working capital purposes for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to approximately \$31.5 million used by working capital accounts for the year ended December 31, 2013, an increase of approximately \$70.9 million. The increase was primarily due to the timing of construction billings and vendor payments associated with the discontinuation of our construction and development business.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled approximately \$213.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to net cash used of approximately \$489.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of approximately \$276.4 million. This decrease was primarily due to the CB Portfolio Acquisition as well as the property acquisitions in Toledo, Ohio and Montreal, Quebec, Canada in 2013. No such transactions occurred in 2014. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled approximately \$130.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2014 as compared to net cash provided of approximately \$501.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, a decrease of approximately \$371.2 million. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we received net proceeds of approximately \$299.7 million from our common stock offering, which was used to fund the CB Portfolio Acquisition and net proceeds of \$91.3 million and \$100.0 million from our issuance of Series A Preferred Stock and Exchangeable Senior Notes, respectively, which were used in the repayment of debt, development funding and working capital purposes. No such offerings were made for the year ended December 31, 2014. Additionally, dividend payments were made on higher average shares during 2014 as compared to 2013.

*Comparison of Years Ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012*

As of December 31, 2013, our property portfolio consisted of 31 consolidated operating properties, containing approximately 6,065 apartment units and 16,570 beds, and 38 operating properties held in five unconsolidated joint ventures, containing approximately 7,135 apartment units and 18,910 beds. Four consolidated operating properties have been presented in discontinued operations.

As of December 31, 2012, our property portfolio consisted of 28 consolidated operating properties, containing approximately 5,480 apartment units and 14,920 beds, and seven operating properties held in three unconsolidated joint ventures, containing approximately 1,420 apartment units and 3,950 beds. Four consolidated operating properties have been presented in discontinued operations.

The following table presents our results of operations for the periods presented, including the amount and percentage change in these results between the periods (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended December 31, |           | Change (\$) | Change (%) |   |
|--|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|------------|---|
|  | 2013                    | 2012      |             |            |   |
| Revenues:  |                         |           |             |            |   |
| Student housing rental                               | \$ 87,635               | \$ 71,211 | 16,424      | 23.1       | % |
| Student housing services                             | 3,615                   | 2,880     | 735         | 25.5       | % |
| Property management services                         | 820                     | 559       | 261         | 46.7       | % |
| Total revenues                                       | 92,070                  | 74,650    | 17,420      | 23.3       | % |
| Operating expenses:                                  |                         |           |             |            |   |
| Student housing operations                           | 40,726                  | 33,013    | 7,713       | 23.4       | % |
| General and administrative                           | 10,658                  | 8,821     | 1,837       | 20.8       | % |
| Transaction costs                                    | 1,121                   | -         | 1,121       | N/A        |   |
| Ground leases  | 249                     | 217       | 32          | 14.7       | % |
| Depreciation and amortization                        | 23,700                  | 20,693    | 3,007       | 14.5       | % |
| Total operating expenses                             | 76,454                  | 62,744    | 13,710      | 21.9       | % |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities | (3,727 )                | 361       | (4,088 )    | -1132.4    | % |
| Impairment of unconsolidated entities                | (312 )                  | -         | (312 )      | N/A        |   |
| Operating income                                     | 11,577                  | 12,267    | (690 )      | -5.6       | % |
| Nonoperating income (expense):                       |                         |           |             |            |   |
| Interest expense                                     | (12,969 )               | (11,545 ) | (1,424 )    | 12.3       | % |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|  |             |          |           |        |   |
|--|-------------|----------|-----------|--------|---|
| Other income   | 1,414       | 6,144    | (4,730 )  | -77.0  | % |
| Total nonoperating expense, net                            | (11,555 )   | (5,401 ) | (6,154 )  | 113.9  | % |
| Net income before income tax benefit (expense)             | 22          | 6,866    | (6,844 )  | -99.7  | % |
| Income tax benefit (expense)                               | 727         | (356 )   | 1,083     | -304.2 | % |
| Income from continuing operations                          | 749         | 6,510    |           |        |   |
| Income from discontinued operations                        | 489         | 3,908    |           |        |   |
| Net income   | 1,238       | 10,418   | (9,180 )  | -88.1  | % |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests | (34 )       | 46       | (80 )     | -173.9 | % |
| Dividends on preferred stock                               | 6,183       | 4,114    | 2,069     | 50.3   | % |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders      | \$ (4,911 ) | \$ 6,258 | (11,169 ) | -178.5 | % |

***Student Housing Operations***

Revenues in the student housing operations segment (which include student housing rental and student housing service revenues) increased by approximately \$17.2 million and operating expenses in the student housing operations segment increased by approximately \$7.7 million during the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in revenues was primarily due to the opening of three new properties in August 2012 (The Grove at Auburn, Alabama, The Grove at Flagstaff, Arizona, and The Grove at Orono, Maine), our acquisitions in July 2012 (The Grove at Valdosta, Georgia, and The Grove at Moscow, Idaho), the acquisition of Campus Crest at Toledo, Ohio, in March 2013, the opening of two new properties in August 2013 (The Grove at Muncie, Indiana, and The Grove at Fort Collins, Colorado), the opening of the undamaged portion of a new property in August 2013 (The Grove at Pullman, Washington, see Note 3 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements) and an increase in our monthly revenue per occupied bed at our "same store" properties, offset by a decrease in our occupancy at our "same store" properties. The increase in operating expenses was primarily due to the aforementioned activity.

*New Property Operations.* In August 2012, we began operations at The Grove at Auburn, Alabama, The Grove at Flagstaff, Arizona, and The Grove at Orono, Maine, which contributed approximately \$8.2 million of NOI (\$13.2 million of revenues and \$5.0 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2013 compared to \$3.9 million of NOI (\$5.3 million of revenues and \$1.4 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2012. In July 2012, we acquired the remaining ownership interests in The Grove at Valdosta, Georgia, and The Grove at Moscow, Idaho, which contributed approximately \$3.4 million of NOI (\$6.0 million of revenues and \$2.6 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to approximately \$1.7 million of NOI (\$2.9 million of revenues and \$1.2 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2012. Prior to the acquisition of these interests, we accounted for our ownership in these properties under the equity method. In March 2013, we acquired Campus Crest at Toledo, Ohio, which contributed approximately \$0.5 million of NOI (\$1.7 million of revenues and \$1.2 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to no contribution for the year ended December 31, 2012. In August 2013, we began operation at The Grove at Muncie, Indiana, The Grove at Fort Collins, Colorado, The Grove at Flagstaff II, Arizona, and partial operations at The Grove at Pullman, Washington, which contributed, approximately \$3.8 million of NOI (\$4.1 million of revenues and \$0.3 million of operating expenses) for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to no contribution for the year ended December 31, 2012.

*"Same-Store" Property Operations.* Our 23 "same-store" properties contributed approximately \$35.0 million of NOI for the year ended December 31, 2013, as compared to approximately \$35.9 million of NOI for the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in revenue at our "same-store" properties was due a decrease in average occupancy to approximately 91.8% for the year ended December 31, 2013 from approximately 92.4% for the year ended December 31, 2012, partially offset by an increase in average monthly revenue per occupied bed ("RevPOB") to \$508 for the year ended December 31, 2013 from \$501 for the year ended December 31, 2012. The increase in operating expenses was primarily due to increases in property-level payroll and utilities.

The following is a reconciliation of our net income attributable to common stockholders to NOI for the periods presented, including our same store and new properties (in thousands):

|  | Year Ended December 31, |          |
|--|-------------------------|----------|
|  | 2013                    | 2012     |
| Net (loss) income attributable to common stockholders      | \$ (4,911 )             | \$ 6,258 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (34 )                   | 46       |
| Preferred stock dividends                                  | 6,183                   | 4,114    |
| Income tax expense   | (727 )                  | 356      |
| Other (income) expense                                     | (1,414 )                | 410      |
| Gain on purchase of previously unconsolidated entities     | -                       | (6,554 ) |
| Income from discontinued operations                        | (489 )                  | (3,908 ) |
| Impairment of unconsolidated entities                      | 312                     | -        |
| Interest expense   | 12,969                  | 11,545   |
| Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated entities       | 3,727                   | (361 )   |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|                                      |           |           |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Depreciation and amortization        | 23,700    | 20,693    |
| Ground lease expense                 | 249       | 217       |
| General and administrative expense   | 10,658    | 8,821     |
| Transaction costs                    | 1,121     | -         |
| Property management services         | (820 )    | (559 )    |
| Total NOI from Continuing Operations | \$ 50,524 | \$ 41,078 |
| Same store properties NOI            | \$ 34,666 | \$ 35,495 |
| New properties NOI                   | \$ 14,293 | \$ 5,583  |
| The Grove at Pullman and Toledo NOI  | \$ 1,565  | \$ -      |

***Property Management Services***

Property management services revenues increased from approximately \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 to approximately \$0.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The increase is primarily due to a larger number of property management contracts. In 2013, we added three new contracts at the following locations: The Grove at Norman, Indiana; The Grove at State College, Pennsylvania; and The Grove at Indiana, Pennsylvania.

### ***General and Administrative***

General and administrative expenses increased from approximately \$8.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 to approximately \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. The \$1.9 million increase was primarily due to an increase in the number of full-time employees and travel expenses resulting from our growth.

### ***Transaction Costs***

We recognized approximately \$1.0 million in transaction costs related to the CB Portfolio Acquisition and approximately \$0.1 million in transaction costs and travel related to the acquisition of the Toledo, Ohio property for the year ended December 31, 2013. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

We capitalized approximately \$16.9 million of direct, incremental costs related to the CB Portfolio Acquisition into the basis of our investment for the year ended December 31, 2013.

### ***Depreciation and Amortization***

Depreciation and amortization expense increased from approximately \$20.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 to approximately \$23.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013. This increase was primarily due to the increase in the number of operating properties.

### ***Equity in Earnings (Loss) of Unconsolidated Entities***

Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities, which represents our share of the net income (loss) from entities in which we have a noncontrolling interest, decreased from income of approximately \$0.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2012 to a loss of approximately \$(3.7) million for the year ended December 31, 2013 primarily due to the CB Portfolio Acquisition and associated depreciation and amortization. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### ***Impairment of Unconsolidated Joint Venture***



We recognized an impairment of approximately \$0.3 million in our investment in The Grove at Denton due to the difference between our purchase price in the acquisition of our remaining ownership interests in that joint venture as compared to its carrying value. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### ***Interest Expense***

Interest expense increased approximately \$1.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012 primarily due to an increase in average outstanding indebtedness, partially offset by a lower interest rate on our Revolving Credit Facility in 2013 and the write-off of approximately \$1.0 million of deferred financing costs for the year ended December 31, 2012.

### ***Other Income (Expense)***

In connection with the CB Portfolio Acquisition, we recognized \$1.4 million of income for the year ended December 31, 2013, resulting from interest earned on our \$31.7 million notes receivable from the CB Investors. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements. For the year ended December 31, 2012, \$6.6 million of gain on purchase of previously unconsolidated entities was recognized and included as other income offset by \$0.4 million of other expense.

### ***Income Tax Benefit (Expense)***

Income tax benefit (expense) for the year ended December 31, 2013, was a \$0.7 million benefit as compared to a \$0.4 million expense for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the recognition of current and deferred tax credits related to solar panels owned by our TRS entities. See Note 5 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### ***Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations***

Income (loss) from discontinued operations includes the results of our construction and development business as well as the results of four wholly owned properties which were sold in 2013 for the years ended December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012. For the year ending December 31, 2013, the income of \$0.5 million consists of a income related to our discontinued construction and development business \$3.5 million, which is offset by a loss of \$3.0 million related to discontinued properties driven by an impairment of approximately \$4.7 million we recorded in connection

with the sale of those four wholly-owned properties: The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas which offset their income for the year. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the construction and development income was \$3.2 million, while the income from the four properties was \$0.7 million.

***Dividends on Preferred Stock***

Dividends on preferred stock increased to approximately \$6.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, compared to \$4.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to an increase in the average number of shares of preferred stock outstanding in 2013. In October 2013, we reopened our Series A Preferred Stock in an underwritten public offering of 3,800,000 shares of preferred stock. See Note 13 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

### ***Cash Flows***

Net cash provided by operating activities was approximately \$14.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to approximately \$29.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, a decrease of approximately \$15.1 million. Net income adjusted for non-cash items provided approximately \$44.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to approximately \$34.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of approximately \$10.9 million. This increase is due to the addition of properties placed into service in 2013 and 2012 as well as non-cash equity in loss from the CB Portfolio results of operations. Approximately \$30.5 million was used by working capital accounts for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to approximately \$4.6 million used by working capital accounts for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of approximately \$25.9 million. The increase was primarily due to the timing of construction billings and vendor payments.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled approximately \$489.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to net cash used of approximately \$133.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of approximately \$356.6 million. This increase was primarily due to the CB Portfolio Acquisition as well as the property acquisitions in Toledo, Ohio and Montreal, Quebec, Canada. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

Net cash provided by financing activities totaled approximately \$501.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to net cash provided of approximately \$98.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, an increase of approximately \$402.6 million. For the year ended December 31, 2013, we received net proceeds of approximately \$299.7 million from our common stock offering, which was used to fund the CB Portfolio Acquisition and net proceeds of \$91.3 million and \$100.0 million from our issuance of Series A Preferred Stock and Exchangeable Senior Notes, respectively, which were used in the repayment of debt, development funding and working capital purposes, offset by dividend payments on higher average shares during 2013 as compared to 2012.

### **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Our capital resources include accessing the public debt and equity markets, when available, mortgage and construction loan financing and immediate access to the Amended Credit Facility (discussed below).

As a REIT, we generally must distribute annually at least 90% of our REIT taxable income, excluding any net capital gain, in order for corporate income tax not to apply to earnings that we distribute. To the extent that we satisfy this distribution requirement, but distribute less than 100% of our REIT taxable income, we will be subject to U.S. federal corporate income tax on our undistributed taxable income. In addition, we will be subject to a 4% nondeductible excise tax if the actual amount that we distribute to our stockholders in a calendar year is less than a minimum amount

specified under U.S. federal income tax laws. We intend to make distributions to our stockholders to comply with the requirements of the Internal Revenue Code and to avoid paying corporate tax on undistributed income; however, we do not currently expect that any such minimum distributions will be required for 2015, and do not currently intend to make distributions to our stockholders in 2015 unless we experience sufficient improvement in our operating results, including successfully completing the sale of certain assets and enhancing our liquidity position by raising additional capital and/or refinancing our existing credit facilities. Additionally, we would expect to make distributions in future periods only to the extent permitted by the terms of our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement and our projected ability to comfortably satisfy the financial covenants in that credit agreement for the next four quarters. To the extent we determine to make such distributions in future periods, we may need to obtain financing to meet our distribution requirements because:

our income may not be matched by our related expenses at the time the income is considered received for purposes of determining taxable income; and

non-deductible capital expenditures, creation of reserves or debt service requirements may reduce available cash but not taxable income.

In these circumstances, we may be forced to obtain third-party financing on terms we might otherwise find unfavorable, and we cannot provide assurance that we will be able to obtain such financing. Alternatively, if we are unable or unwilling to obtain third-party financing on the available terms, we could choose to pay a portion of our distributions in stock instead of cash, or we may fund distributions through asset sales. Although we are currently in compliance with the terms of our Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, our Board has determined, based on an evaluation by our management of the Company's ability to satisfy all financial covenants in the credit agreement for the next four quarters, not to declare or pay a dividend on our Common Stock or our Series A Preferred Stock for the first quarter of 2015. In addition, our Board does not currently intend to declare or pay dividends on our Common Stock or Series A Preferred Stock for the remainder of 2015 unless we experience sufficient improvement in our operating results, including successfully completing the sale of certain assets and enhancing our liquidity position by raising additional capital and/or refinancing our existing credit facilities. Whether or not we declare dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock, dividends will accrue on the Series A Preferred Stock at the effective rate of \$2.00 per share until paid, and we will not be permitted to pay dividends on our Common Stock until the accrued dividends on our Series A Preferred Stock are paid in full.

### ***Principal Capital Resources***

In January 2013, we entered into the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, which provides for a \$250.0 million senior unsecured Revolving Credit Facility, a \$50.0 million term loan, and an accordion feature that, under certain circumstances, allows us to request an increase in the total commitments by an additional \$300.0 million, increasing total commitments to \$600.0 million. The Second Amended and Restated Credit Facility will mature in January 2017 and contains a one-year extension option, subject to certain terms and conditions.

As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$167.5 million outstanding under our Revolving Credit Facility and \$50.0 million outstanding under the Term Loan. The amounts outstanding under our Revolving Credit Facility and

Term Loan, as well as outstanding letters of credit, will reduce the amount that we may be able to borrow under this facility for other purposes. As of December 31, 2014, we had approximately \$50.0 million in borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility, and amounts borrowed under the facility will be due at its maturity in January 2017, subject to a one-year extension, which we may exercise at our option, subject to the satisfaction of certain terms and conditions, including the payment of an extension fee. The amount available for us to borrow under the Amended Credit Facility is based on the sum of (a) the lesser of (i) 60.0% of the "as-is" appraised value of our properties that form the borrowing base of the Amended Credit Facility and (ii) the amount that would create a debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.5, and (b) 50% of the aggregate of the lesser of (i) the book value of each of our development assets (as such term is defined in the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement) and (ii) the "as-is" appraised value of each of our development assets, subject to certain limitations in the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

We incur an unused fee on the balance between the amount available under the Revolving Credit Facility and the amount outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility (i) of 0.30% per annum if our average borrowing is less than 50.0% of the total amount available or (ii) 0.25% per annum if our average borrowing is greater than 50.0% of the total amount available.

Additionally, the Amended Credit Facility has an accordion feature that allows us to request an increase in the total commitments from \$300.0 million to \$600.0 million, subject to conditions. Amounts outstanding under the Amended Credit Facility bear interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the Eurodollar Rate or the Base Rate (each as defined in the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement) plus a spread that depends upon our leverage ratio. The spread for borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility ranges from 1.75% to 2.50% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.75% to 1.50% for Base Rate based borrowings, and the spread for the Term Loan ranges from 1.70% to 2.45% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.70% to 1.45% for Base Rate based borrowings.

On February 25, 2015, we entered into the Second Amendment to the Revolving Credit Facility, which amended, among other things, certain of the financial covenants from and including December 31, 2014 until and including September 30, 2015 (the "Relief Period").

Our ability to borrow under the Amended Credit Facility is subject to our ongoing compliance with a number of customary financial covenants during the Relief Period, including:

- a maximum leverage ratio of not greater than 0.65:1.00;
- a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.30:1.00;
- a minimum ratio of fixed rate debt and debt subject to hedge agreements to total debt of not less than 66.67%;
- a maximum secured recourse debt ratio of not greater than 20.0%;
- a minimum tangible net worth of not less than the sum of \$330,788,250 plus an amount equal to 75.0% of the net proceeds of any additional equity issuances; and
- a maximum secured debt ratio of not greater than 47.5%

Pursuant to the terms of the Amended Credit Facility, we may not pay distributions that exceed the greater of (i) 95.0% of our funds from operations, or (ii) the minimum amount required for us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT. If a default or event of default occurs and is continuing, we also may be precluded from making certain distributions (other than those required to allow us to qualify and maintain our status as a REIT). In April 2013, as a result of the CB Portfolio Acquisition, we received a waiver from our lender group allowing for distributions up to 110.0% of our funds from operations for the remainder of 2013. Additionally, on February 25, 2015, the dividend payout ratio was amended to be calculated on a rolling twelve month pro forma basis based on an assumed quarterly dividend of \$0.09 per share on our Common Stock, which was the level established by our Board for the fourth quarter of 2014.

In February 2013, we amended the Amended Credit Facility to provide for certain exclusions related to our investments in joint ventures as well as the treatment of certain other investments within the compliance calculation of our secured debt ratio and certain negative covenants.

We and certain of our subsidiaries guarantee the obligations under the Amended Credit Facility, and we and certain of our subsidiaries have provided a negative pledge against specified assets (including real property), stock and other interests.

In June 2013, in connection with our investment in a joint venture with Beaumont to acquire a property in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, we received a waiver from our lender group allowing us to guarantee debt incurred by our subsidiary, Campus Crest at Montreal I, LLC, to fund such investment.

As of December 31, 2014, after receipt of waivers, we were in compliance with the above financial covenants with respect to our Amended Credit Facility. We intend to explore alternatives for reducing our leverage and maintaining compliance with our financial covenants, including the possible issuance of additional equity, sales of assets, cost controls or other measures.

In February 2012, we completed an underwritten public offering of approximately 2.3 million shares of our Series A Preferred Stock, including approximately 0.3 million shares issued and sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option in full (see Note 13 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

In July 2012, we issued approximately 7.5 million shares of common stock, including the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares (see Note 13 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

In March 2013, we completed an underwritten public offering of approximately 25.5 million shares of common stock, including the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares (see Note 13 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

In October 2013, we reopened our Series A Preferred Stock in an underwritten public offering of approximately 3.8 million shares, including approximately 0.4 million shares issued and sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of the Series A Preferred Stock (see Note 13 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

In October 2013, we issued \$100.0 million of Exchangeable Senior Notes due October 15, 2018 (see Note 9 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

#### ***Short-Term Liquidity Needs***

We believe that we will have sufficient capital resources as a result of operations and the borrowings in place to fund ongoing operations and distributions required to maintain REIT compliance. We anticipate using our cash flow from continuing operations, cash and cash equivalents, and Amended Credit Facility availability to fund our business operations, cash dividends and distributions, debt amortization, and recurring capital expenditures.

#### ***Recurring Capital Expenditures***

Our properties require periodic investments of capital for general maintenance. These recurring capital expenditures vary in size annually based upon the nature of the maintenance required for that time period. For example, recently developed properties typically do not require major maintenance such as the replacement of a roof. In addition, capital expenditures associated with newly acquired or developed properties are capitalized as part of their acquisition price or development budget, so that such properties typically begin to require recurring capital expenditures only following their first year of ownership.

We invested approximately \$179.2 million, \$126.2 million and \$104.0 million in wholly-owned developments for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. In 2014, we completed construction on five development projects and subsequently discontinued our development and construction operations. In 2013, we completed construction on three development projects, and in 2012, we completed construction on three development projects.



We invested approximately \$16.9 million, \$29.7 million and \$22.5 million in our operating properties for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. Capital improvements at our wholly-owned properties include betterments to buildings, clubhouse renovations, parking lots, solar panel installations and other capital improvements. We expect our capital improvements to increase over time as our portfolio expands as well as our average recurring capital expenditures per bed to increase as our portfolio ages.

### ***Long-Term Liquidity Needs***

Our long-term liquidity needs consist primarily of funds necessary to pay for non-recurring capital expenditures, potential acquisitions of properties and payments of debt at maturity. Long-term liquidity needs may also include the payment of unexpected contingencies, such as remediation of unknown environmental conditions at our properties or at additional properties that we acquire, or renovations necessary to comply with the ADA or other regulatory requirements. We do not expect that we will have sufficient funds on hand to cover all of our long-term liquidity needs. We will therefore seek to satisfy these needs through cash flow from operations, additional long-term secured and unsecured debt, including borrowings under our Revolving Credit Facility, the issuance of debt securities, the issuance of equity securities and equity-related securities (including OP units), property dispositions and joint venture transactions. We believe that we will have access to these sources of capital to fund our long-term liquidity requirements, but we cannot make any assurance that this will be the case, especially in difficult market conditions.

### ***Commitments***

The following table summarizes our future contractual commitments as of December 31, 2014 (including future interest payments) (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations                           | Total     | 2015     | 2016-2017 | 2018-2019 | Thereafter |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|------------|
| Long-Term Debt Obligations                        | \$621,000 | \$47,022 | \$372,872 | \$147,936 | \$53,170   |
| Interest Payments on Outstanding Debt Obligations | 81,948    | 23,042   | 42,470    | 10,343    | 6,093      |
| Operating Lease Obligations                       | 33,374    | 1,293    | 2,624     | 2,636     | 26,821     |
| Purchase Obligations <sup>(1)</sup>               | 14,539    | 14,140   | 399       | -         | -          |
| Total <sup>(2)(3)</sup>                           | \$750,861 | \$85,497 | \$418,365 | \$160,915 | \$86,084   |

<sup>(1)</sup> Obligations relate to subcontracts executed by Campus Crest Construction to wind down previous wholly-owned development projects that were under construction at December 31, 2014.

- (2) Excludes joint venture debt of approximately \$32.5 million due to mature in February 2015, of which we are a 63.9% owner, approximately \$49.6 million that matures January and April 2015, of which we are a 10.0% owner, approximately \$53.7 million that matures May 2015 and December 2015, of which we are a 20.0% owner, approximately \$88.0 million that matures in January 2016, of which we are a 40.7% owner, approximately \$90.2 million that matures in July 2016, of which we are a 30.0% owner, and approximately \$40.7 million that matures September 2016 and September 2018, of which we are a 30.0% owner. We are the guarantor of these debt obligations.
- (3) Excludes all debt from Copper Beech.

### ***Long-Term Indebtedness Outstanding***

See Note 9 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for our outstanding consolidated indebtedness.

The weighted average annual interest rate on our total long-term indebtedness as of December 31, 2014 was approximately 3.65%. At December 31, 2014, our ratio of debt to total market capitalization was approximately 56.66%, excluding indebtedness encumbering our current and future joint venture properties.

At December 31, 2014, after receipt of waivers, we were in compliance with all financial covenants with respect to our Amended Credit Facility.

### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

#### ***Joint Ventures***

We have investments in real estate ventures with CB Investors, HSRE, Brandywine and Beaumont which are not consolidated by us. These joint ventures are engaged primarily in developing, constructing, owning and managing student housing properties in the United States and Canada. Along with the joint venture partners, we hold joint approval rights for major decisions, including those regarding property acquisition and disposition as well as property operations. As such, we hold noncontrolling interests in these joint ventures and account for them under the equity method of accounting.

We are the guarantor of the construction and mortgage debt of our ventures with HSRE and Beaumont. Detail of our unconsolidated investments at December 31, 2014 is presented in the following table (in thousands):

|                               | Our                  | Year | Number<br>of<br>Properties<br>In | Total<br>Investment | Total <sup>(5)</sup><br>Impairment | Net Total<br>Investment | Debt                  |   | Maturity Date / Ra |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|------|----------------------------------|---------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|---|--------------------|
|                               |                      |      |                                  |                     |                                    |                         | Amount<br>Outstanding | Weighted<br>Average<br>Interest<br>Rate |                    |
| Unconsolidated Entities       |                      |      |                                  |                     |                                    |                         |                       |   |                    |
| HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC      | 63.9%                | 2009 | 3                                | \$10,380            | \$(10,168)                         | \$212                   | \$32,485              | 2.67% <sup>(1)</sup>                    | 5/9/2015           |
| HSRE-Campus Crest V, LLC      | 10.0%                | 2011 | 3                                | 4,093               | (4,093)                            | -                       | 49,614                | 2.89% <sup>(1)</sup>                    | 4/20/2015-5/05/20  |
| HSRE-Campus Crest VI, LLC     | 20.0%                | 2012 | 3                                | 15,089              | (8,274)                            | 6,815                   | 53,706                | 2.48% <sup>(1)</sup>                    | 5/08/2015 – 12/19/ |
| HSRE-Campus Crest IX, LLC     | 30.0%                | 2013 | 1                                | 18,975              | -                                  | 18,975                  | 90,204                | 2.37% <sup>(1)</sup>                    | 7/25/2016          |
| HSRE-Campus Crest X, LLC      | 30.0%                | 2013 | 2                                | 12,307              | (4,234)                            | 8,073                   | 40,739                | 2.36% <sup>(1)</sup>                    | 9/06/2016-9/30/20  |
| CB Portfolio                  | 48.0% <sup>(3)</sup> | 2013 | 35                               | 218,718             | -                                  | 218,718                 | 227,698               | 5.14% <sup>(2)</sup>                    | 9/01/2015 – 10/01/ |
| CSH Montreal                  | 47.0% <sup>(4)</sup> | 2013 | 2                                | 33,470              | (26,523)                           | 6,947                   | 87,970                | 6.39% <sup>(1)</sup>                    | 1/13/2016          |
| Total unconsolidated entities |                      |      | 49                               | \$313,032           | \$(53,292)                         | \$259,740               | \$582,416             | 4.13%                                   |                    |

(1)

Variable interest rates.

(2)

Comprised of fixed rate debt.

(3) As of December 31, 2014, we had a 48.0% ownership interest in the CB Portfolio.

(4) As of January 2014, our ownership increased from 20.0% to 47.0% due to the acquisition of Holiday Inn Midtown in Montreal, Quebec. See discussion above.

(5) Total impairment related to unconsolidated entities is \$57.8 million as included on the accompanying consolidated statements of operation and comprehensive income (loss). \$4.5 million of this amount, related to HSRE V, is not included in the above table as it is related to guarantee obligations and has instead been included in other liabilities on the consolidated balance sheet.

### Funds From Operations (FFO)

FFO is used by industry analysts and investors as a supplemental operating performance measure for REITs. We calculate FFO in accordance with the definition that was adopted by the Board of Governors of NAREIT. FFO, as defined by NAREIT, represents net income (loss) determined in accordance with GAAP, excluding extraordinary items as defined under GAAP and gains or losses from sales of previously depreciated operating real estate assets, plus specified non-cash items, such as real estate asset depreciation and amortization, and after adjustments for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures. In addition, in October 2011, NAREIT communicated to its members that the exclusion of impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate is consistent with the definition of FFO.



We use FFO as a supplemental performance measure because, in excluding real estate-related depreciation and amortization and gains and losses from property dispositions, it provides a performance measure that, when compared year over year, captures trends in occupancy rates, rental rates and operating expenses. We also believe that, as a widely recognized measure of the performance of equity REITs, FFO will be used by investors as a basis to compare our operating performance with that of other REITs. However, because FFO excludes depreciation and amortization and captures neither the changes in the value of our properties that result from use or market conditions nor the level of capital expenditures necessary to maintain the operating performance of our properties, all of which have real economic effects and could materially and adversely impact our results of operations, the utility of FFO as a measure of our performance is limited.

While FFO is a relevant and widely used measure of operating performance of equity REITs, other equity REITs may use different methodologies for calculating FFO and, accordingly, FFO as disclosed by such other REITs may not be comparable to FFO published herein. Therefore, we believe that in order to facilitate a clear understanding of our historical operating results, FFO should be examined in conjunction with net income (loss) as presented in the consolidated financial statements accompanying this report. FFO should not be considered as an alternative to net income (loss) (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our properties' financial performance or to cash flow from operating activities (computed in accordance with GAAP) as an indicator of our liquidity, nor is it indicative of funds available to fund our cash needs, including our ability to pay dividends or make distributions.

The following table presents a reconciliation of our FFO to our net income (loss) for the periods presented (in thousands):

| (in thousands)  | Year Ended December 31, |                 |                 |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013            | 2012            |
| Funds from operations ("FFO")   |                         |                 |                 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                     | \$(174,923)             | \$(4,911 )      | \$6,258         |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests                | (1,233 )                | (34 )           | 46              |
| Gain on purchase of joint venture properties <sup>(1)</sup>               | -                       | -               | (6,554 )        |
| Real estate related depreciation and amortization                         | 27,858                  | 25,503          | 23,521          |
| Real estate related depreciation and amortization unconsolidated entities | 25,034                  | 23,271          | 1,731           |
| <b>FFO</b> <sup>(2)</sup>   | <b>\$(123,263)</b>      | <b>\$43,829</b> | <b>\$25,002</b> |

(1) For 2012, gain was from the purchase of our joint venture partner's interests in The Grove at Moscow, Idaho, and The Grove at Valdosta, Georgia.

The fair value debt and purchase accounting adjustments included in equity in earnings related to Copper Beech were approximately \$6.5 million and \$3.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

(2) In addition, the immaterial correction described in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements was not material to FFO.

In addition to FFO, we believe it is also a meaningful measure of our performance to adjust FFO to exclude the change in fair value of unhedged interest rate derivatives, Copper Beech dividend equivalency, write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees, transaction costs (including those within equity in earnings), fair value of debt adjustments within our investment in Copper Beech and the write-off of development costs. Also excluded are write-offs and impairments, severance expense, discontinued operations, and change in valuation allowance for the deferred tax asset. Excluding these items adjusts FFO to be more reflective of operating results prior to capital replacement or expansion, debt amortization of principal, impairments, discontinued operations or other commitments and contingencies. This measure is referred to herein as "FFOA."

|   | Year Ended December 31, |          |          |
|---|-------------------------|----------|----------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013     | 2012     |
| FFO   | \$(123,263)             | \$43,829 | \$25,002 |
| Elimination of change in fair value of interest rate derivatives <sup>(4)</sup>                                     | -                       | -        | -        |
| Elimination of Copper Beech dividend equivalency <sup>(5)</sup>   | 1,200                   | -        | -        |
| Elimination of write-off of unamortized deferred financing fees   | -                       | 236      | 966      |
| Elimination of transaction costs <sup>(6)</sup>   | 4,801                   | 2,027    | -        |
| Elimination of fair value debt and purchase accounting adjustments at our investment in Copper Beech <sup>(7)</sup> | (6,491 )                | (3,576 ) | -        |
| Elimination of write off of land, pre-development costs and asset held for sale <sup>(8)</sup>                      | 31,927                  | 175      | -        |
| Elimination of impairment of disposed assets <sup>(9)</sup>   | -                       | 4,729    | -        |
| Elimination of impairment of unconsolidated entities <sup>(10)</sup>  | 57,789                  | -        | -        |
| Elimination of effect of not exercising Copper Beach purchase option <sup>(3)</sup>                                 | 33,375                  | -        | -        |
| Elimination of write-off of corporate other assets <sup>(11)</sup>  | 15,110                  | -        | -        |
| Elimination of severance <sup>(12)</sup>  | 6,159                   | -        | -        |
| Elimination of discontinued operations <sup>(13)</sup>  | 9,576                   | (489 )   | (3,908 ) |
| Elimination of change in valuation allowance for deferred tax asset   | 731                     | -        | -        |
| Funds from operations adjusted (“FFOA”)   | \$30,914                | \$46,931 | \$22,060 |

During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded a non-cash charge of \$33.4 million due to the effect of not (3) exercising the Copper Beech purchase option during August of 2014. See Note 6 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2014.

(4) Includes only the non-cash portion of the change in unhedged derivatives.

Amount represents a one-time cash dividend equivalency payment made during the year ended December 31, 2014 (5) to the CB Investors, per the CB Investors’ interpretation of the second amendment to the purchase and sale agreement of Copper Beech.

(6) Includes costs incurred in connection with Copper Beech and CSH Montreal for 2014 including our proportional share of costs incurred within the ventures.

(7) Includes our proportionate share of non-cash fair value debt and other purchase accounting adjustments in our investment in Copper Beech.

(8) During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded impairment for land and pre-development costs of \$31.9 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss), based on our estimated fair values. The fair values were obtained from third-party appraisals based on comparable properties (market approach; which involved Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy).

(9) In 2013, we sold four unencumbered, wholly-owned properties: The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas, for a combined sales price of \$51.0 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$48.6 million. In connection with the disposition of these properties, we recorded an impairment of \$4.7 million.

(10) During the year ended December 31, 2014, we recorded an impairment of \$57.8 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for certain unconsolidated entities, including \$31.3 million related to HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X (the “HSRE Investments”) and \$26.5 million related to the investment in CSH Montreal.

(11) In 2014, the Company recorded \$15.1 million of impairments of other assets related to corporate infrastructure changes, as a result of the strategic repositioning. Assets impaired included the corporate aircraft and Enterprise Resource Planning system.

(12)

We recognized severance expense of \$9.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, of which \$2.9 million is included in loss from discontinued operations and \$6.2 million is included in operating expenses (see Note 4 of the accompanying consolidated financial statements).

In connection with the strategic repositioning initiatives announced in Form 10-Q for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2014, we discontinued all construction and development operations, which resulted in the income (loss) from discontinued operations of \$(9.6) million in 2014, \$3.5 million in 2013, \$3.2 million in 2012, \$3.8 million in 2011, and \$1.8 million for the full year ended December 31, 2010. Additionally, in December 2013, we sold four unencumbered, wholly-owned properties: The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, (13) Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas, for a combined sales price of \$51.0 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$48.6 million. In connection with the disposition of these properties, an impairment of \$4.7 million was recorded which is presented in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2013. This resulted in income (loss) from discontinued operations of \$(3.0) million in 2013 and \$0.7 million in 2012, \$0.1 million in 2011, and \$(3.2) million in the year ended December 31, 2010.

## **Inflation**

Our student housing leases typically do not have terms that extend beyond 12 months. Accordingly, although on a short-term basis we would be required to bear the impact of rising costs resulting from inflation, we have the opportunity to raise rental rates at least annually to offset any rising costs. However, our ability to raise rental rates could be limited by a weak economic environment, declining student enrollment at our principal colleges and universities or competition in the marketplace.

## **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

See Note 2 in the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

## **Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.**

As of December 31, 2014, our Revolving Credit Facility bears interest at a floating rate equal to, at our election, the Eurodollar Rate or the Base Rate (each as defined in our Revolving Credit Facility) plus a spread. The spread depends upon our leverage ratio and ranges from 1.75% to 2.50% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.75% to 1.50% for Base Rate based borrowings. At December 31, 2014, the spread on our Revolving Credit Facility was 2.50%.



***Interest Rate Sensitivity***

The table below provides information about financial instruments that are sensitive to changes in interest rates, including mortgage obligations, bonds and lines of credit. For debt obligations, the table presents scheduled maturities, excluding debt discounts, and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates (in thousands, except interest rates):

|                                | 2015     | 2016     | 2017      | 2018      | 2019    | Thereafter | Total     |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|---------|------------|-----------|
| Fixed rate debt                | \$2,914  | \$46,091 | \$16,058  | \$146,583 | \$1,353 | \$53,170   | \$266,169 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 5.16 %   | 5.16 %   | 4.97 %    | 4.91 %    | 3.99 %  | 3.99 %     | 5.16 %    |
| Variable rate debt             | 44,108   | 16,288   | 294,435   | -         | -       | -          | 354,831   |
| Weighted average interest rate | 2.27 %   | 2.30 %   | 2.32 %    | -         | -       | -          | 2.53 %    |
| Total                          | \$47,022 | \$62,379 | \$310,493 | \$146,583 | \$1,353 | \$53,170   | \$621,000 |

The table above presents the principal amount of debt maturing each year through December 31, 2019 and thereafter and weighted average interest rates for the debt maturing in each specified period. This table reflects indebtedness outstanding as of December 31, 2014, excluding joint venture debt, and does not reflect indebtedness incurred after that date. Our ultimate exposure to interest rate fluctuations depends on the amount of indebtedness that bears interest at variable rates, the time at which the interest rate is adjusted, the amount of adjustment, the ability to prepay or refinance variable rate indebtedness and hedging strategies used to reduce the impact of any increases in rates.

We are exposed to market risk from changes in interest rates. We seek to limit the impact of interest rate changes on earnings and cash flows and to lower the overall borrowing costs by closely monitoring our variable rate debt and converting such debt to fixed rates when we deem such conversion advantageous. As of December 31, 2014, approximately \$354.8 million of our aggregate indebtedness (57.4% of total indebtedness) was subject to variable interest rates.

If market rates of interest on our variable rate long-term debt fluctuate by 1.0%, interest cost would increase or decrease, depending on rate movement, future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$3.1 million annually. This assumes that the amount outstanding under our variable rate debt remains at \$354.8 million, the balance as of December 31, 2014.

We do, and may in the future, continue to use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks related to such variable rate borrowings. We do not, and do not expect to, use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes, and we expect to enter into contracts only with major financial institutions.

**Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data.**

The information required herein is included as set forth in Item 15—Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.

**Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure.**

None.

**Item 9A. Controls and Procedures.**

*Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

As required by SEC Rule 13a-15(b), our management, as represented by our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures, which have been designed to permit us to effectively identify and timely disclose important information. Management concluded that the disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of December 31, 2014 and in prior periods due to the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described in “Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting” below.

*Management’s Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*

The Company is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) promulgated under the Exchange Act as amended. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with the policies may deteriorate.



In the course of preparing this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the consolidated financial statements included herein, our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 using the criteria issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in the *Internal Control-Integrated Framework* (1992). Based on that evaluation, management believes that the Company's internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2014 due to the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described below.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the Company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

As part of our evaluation of internal control over financial reporting as described above, management concluded that:

The Company did not maintain an effective control environment and risk assessment and information and communication processes, specifically the Company did not have:

- sufficient finance and accounting resources within the organization with adequate knowledge of the Company's processes and controls.
- an adequate risk assessment process to identify and analyze changes in the business and personnel and implement process level controls and monitoring activities that are responsive to those changes and aligned with the Company's financial reporting objectives.
- timely communication by senior management to those responsible for financial reporting regarding key terms of non-routine transactions.

The Company did not design and maintain effective process-level controls over:

- the completeness and accuracy of accrued property taxes, which are included in accounts payable and accrued expenses, specifically the review of terms related to ground leases entered into eight years ago;
- the completeness, existence, and accuracy of the Company's investments in and equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities and transactions between the Company and its investees, specifically, the Company did not obtain timely, quality information to effectively monitor the underlying business operations and financial risks related to the investees;
- the completeness, existence, accuracy, valuation and presentation of non-routine transactions, specifically, the Company did not have sufficient management review controls over the key assumptions and underlying data elements used in the acquisition and impairment of long-lived assets and investments in unconsolidated entities and sufficient knowledge of the contractual terms affecting the financial reporting for a consolidated variable interest entity;

- the authorization of cash expenditures in accordance with the Company's expenditure authorization matrix;
  - the completeness and accuracy of stock compensation expense and disclosures;
  - the recognition and measurement of other assets processed by manual journal entries; and
- effective information technology systems access controls supporting the processing and recording of student housing revenue and accounts receivables that are designed and implemented to restrict users' access commensurate with their job responsibilities.

The control deficiencies resulted in material and certain immaterial misstatements in the financial statement accounts described above that were corrected prior to the issuance of the annual consolidated financial statements. In addition, in some instances, no material misstatements were identified. The control deficiencies create a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement to the consolidated financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis, and therefore we concluded that the deficiencies represent material weaknesses in the Company's internal control over financial reporting and our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2014.

KPMG LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm who audited the consolidated financial statements included in this annual report on Form 10-K, has issued an adverse report on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014. This attestation report appears on Page 74 of this annual report on Form 10-K.

#### Plan for Remediation of Material Weaknesses

In connection with the recent steps taken by the Board of Directors to begin to implement a strategic repositioning of the Company, the Board and our management are focused on improving the Company's internal controls and processes. In order to remediate the aforementioned material weaknesses in the Company's control environment, risk assessment process, information and communication components and process-level controls, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company has directed management to:

provide training on key aspects of the Company's system of internal control over financial reporting, including Company policies and procedures, individuals' operational and control responsibilities and how individuals will be held accountable for those responsibilities.

evaluate and revise risk assessment process in order to effectively identify, analyze and determine how the Company will respond to operational, legal, regulatory and financial reporting risks affecting the Company and specifically, the Company's internal controls over financial reporting. In addition, the Board of Directors will establish a Risk Management Committee that will provide oversight and direction to management.

implement a process whereby senior management ensures the timely communication of the key terms of non-routine transactions to those responsible for financial reporting.

conduct a business process review to ensure that processes, information systems, personnel, internal controls and monitoring activities are aligned with financial reporting objectives, implement and monitor the recommendations from this review.

improve the documentation of business processes and internal controls over financial reporting including the redesign, communication and enforcement of an expenditure approval authority matrix.

establish a rigorous process to ensure that the design and maintenance of management review controls are implemented and executed on a timely basis, including a more rigorous process to ensure that information necessary for financial reporting is shared across the organization on a timely basis.

### ***Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting***

There were no material changes, other than those discussed in the following paragraphs, in our internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rule 13a-15(d) and 15d-15(d) of the Exchange Act that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting. In the course of preparing this Annual Report on Form 10-K and the consolidated financial statements included herein, our management conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014 as described above, and identified the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting described above. As described in the following paragraphs, based on that evaluation, our management believes that these material weaknesses developed during the fourth quarter of 2014 and prior periods.

During the third quarter of 2014, the Board of Directors began to implement a strategic repositioning of the Company with a renewed focus on the Company's internal controls. The Company affected the exit from the Company's construction and development initiatives and sought to simplify its balance sheet. In connection with the repositioning, the Company experienced significant turnover in the fourth quarter at its most senior executive positions, including its Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, and Chief Operating Officer, and other executives (or, in the case of the Chief Executive Officer, the Lead Outside Director) were appointed to fill these positions on an interim basis, in addition to their regular duties, while searches are conducted for permanent replacements. During the fourth quarter, the Company hired a new Director of Internal Audit tasked with evaluating, identifying and remediating internal

control deficiencies and weaknesses. The Company also spent significant effort to negotiate the Copper Beech transaction and effect the actions necessary to exit the construction and development initiatives.

The Company believes it is likely that many of the material weaknesses identified in management's evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal controls over financial reporting commenced or existed in prior periods but were not previously identified by management and remained unremediated as of December 31, 2014. Accordingly, disclosure controls and procedures were also not effective in prior periods. The Company believes that the significant senior management turnover and strained internal control processes led to and revealed the material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting identified in the report described above which remained unremediated at December 31, 2014.

#### **Item 9B. Other Information**

None.

### **PART III.**

#### **Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance**

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the material in the Proxy Statement.

#### **Item 11. Executive Compensation**

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the material in the Proxy Statement.

#### **Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters**

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the material in the Proxy Statement.

**Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence**

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the material in the Proxy Statement.

**Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services**

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference to the material in the Proxy Statement.



**PART IV.**

**Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

|   |             |
|---|-------------|
| <b>1. Financial Statements</b>  | <b>Page</b> |
| <u>Reports of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>   | 73          |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013</u>  | 75          |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income (Loss) for Campus Crest Communities, Inc. for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012</u> | 76          |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for Campus Crest Communities, Inc. for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012</u>                          | 78          |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for Campus Crest Communities, Inc. for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012</u>                                 | 79          |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>   | 81          |
| <b>2. Financial Statement Schedules</b>   |             |
| <u>Schedule III — Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2014</u>  | 116         |

All other schedules for which provision is made in Regulation S-X are either not required to be included herein under the related instructions or are inapplicable or the related information is included in the footnotes to the applicable financial statement and, therefore, have been omitted.

**3. Exhibits**

The following exhibits are filed as part of this annual report on Form 10-K:

| <b>Exhibit<br/>Number</b> | <b>Description of Document</b>   |
|---------------------------|--|
| 2.1                       | Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of February 26, 2013, by and among CB-Campus Crest, LLC, CB-Campus Crest PA, LLC, Campus Crest Communities, Inc., Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC, Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC and the sellers named therein (incorporated by |

- reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 27, 2013).
- 2.2 First Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of September 30, 2013, by and among CB-Campus Crest, LLC, CB-Campus Crest PA, LLC, Campus Crest Communities, Inc., Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC, Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC and the sellers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on October 2, 2013).
- 2.3 Purchase and Sale Agreement, dated as of March 15, 2013, by and among Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC, Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC, Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and the sellers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on March 21, 2013).
- 3.1 Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's registration statement on Form S-11 (No. 333-166834) initially filed on May 14, 2010).

- 3.2 Articles of Amendment to Articles of Amendment and Restatement of the Company, effective April 25, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on April 26, 2013).
- 3.3 Articles Supplementary designating Campus Crest Communities, Inc.'s 8.00% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on February 9, 2012).
- 3.4 Articles Supplementary establishing additional shares of Campus Crest Communities, Inc.'s 8.00% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 9, 2013).
- 3.5 Bylaws of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant's registration statement on Form S-11 (No. 333-166834) initially filed on May 14, 2010).
- 4.1 Form of Certificate for Common Stock of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the registrant's registration statement on Form S-11 (No. 333-166834) initially filed on May 14, 2010).
- 4.2 Form of Certificate for 8.00% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the registrant's registration statement on Form 8-A filed on February 7, 2012).
- 4.3 Indenture, dated October 9, 2013, among Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP, as issuer, Campus Crest Communities, Inc., as guarantor, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee, including the form of 4.75% Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2018 and the form of the related guarantee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 9, 2013).
- 4.4 Registration Rights Agreement, dated October 9, 2013, among Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP, Campus Crest Communities, Inc., Barclays Capital Inc., Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 9, 2013).
- 10.1 Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on February 9, 2012).
- 10.2 First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 9, 2013).
- 10.3 Campus Crest Communities, Inc. Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010).\*
- 10.4 Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the registrant's registration statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-169958) filed on October 15, 2010).\*
- 10.5 Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the registrant's registration statement on Form S-8 (No. 333-169958) filed on October 15, 2010).\*
- 10.6 Form of Indemnification Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2010).\*
- 10.7 Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated August 5, 2013, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Michael S. Hartnett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on August 8, 2013).\*
- 10.8 Employment Agreement by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Donald L. Bobbitt, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.9 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011).\*
- 10.9 First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated August 5, 2013, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Donald L. Bobbitt, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's current report on Form

8-K filed on August 8, 2013).\*

10.10 Employment Agreement by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Robert Dann (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the registrant's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011).\*

- 10.11 First Amendment to Employment Agreement, dated August 5, 2013, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Robert Dann (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on August 8, 2013).\*
- 10.12 Employment Agreement, dated August 5, 2013, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Brian Sharpe (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013).\*
- 10.13 Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Michael S. Hartnett (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.13 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011).\*
- 10.14 Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Donald L. Bobbitt, Jr. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011).\*
- 10.15 Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Robert Dann (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.5 to the registrant's quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2011).\*
- 10.16 Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Brian Sharpe (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.19 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013).\*
- 10.17 Tax Protection Agreement by and among Campus Crest Communities, Inc., Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP, and MXT Capital, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2010).
- 10.18 Registration Rights Agreement by and among Campus Crest Communities, Inc., Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP, MXT Capital, LLC and certain other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the registrant's registration statement on Form S-11 (No. 333-166834) initially filed on May 14, 2010).
- 10.19 Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, by and among Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP, Campus Crest Communities, Inc., Citibank, N.A. and the other parties thereto, dated as of January 8, 2013 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on January 10, 2013).
- 10.20 First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated as of February 22, 2013, among Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP, Citibank, N.A. and the other parties thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on February 27, 2013).
- 10.21 Waiver of Required Lenders and Administrative Agent, dated as of April 8, 2013, by and among Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP, Citibank, N.A. and the other parties thereto. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrants quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2013).
- 10.22 Waiver of Required Lenders and Administrative Agent, dated as of June 28, 2013, by and among Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP, Citibank, N.A. and the other parties thereto. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrants quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2013).
- 10.23 Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC, dated as of October 19, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 21, 2010).
- 10.24 Operating Agreement of HRSE-Campus Crest IV, LLC, dated as of January 20, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.68 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2010).
- 10.25 Amended and Restated Operating Agreement of HRSE-Campus Crest V, LLC, dated as of December 20, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.55 to the registrant's annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year

ended December 31, 2011).

10.26 Contribution and Distribution Agreement by and among HSRE-Campus Crest IA, LLC, Campus Crest Ventures III, LLC, HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC and Campus Crest Properties, LLC, dated as of December 29, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on January 5, 2012).

10.27 Form of Aircraft Lease (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.43 to the registrant's registration statement on Form S-11 (No. 333-166834) initially filed on May 14, 2010).

- 10.28 Employment Agreement, dated October 1, 2014, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Angel Herrera (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2014).\*
- 10.29 Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement, dated October 27, 2014, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Angel Herrera (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2014).\*
- 10.30 Employment Agreement, dated October 27, 2014, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Scott Rochon (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2014).\*
- 10.31 Confidentiality and Noncompetition Agreement, dated October 27, 2014, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Scott Rochon (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 31, 2014).\*
- 10.32 Second Amendment to Purchase and Sale Agreement dated November 3, 2014, between CB-Campus Crest, LLC, CB-Campus PA, LLC, Campus Crest Communities, Inc., Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC, Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC, CB-Campus Crest, CB-Campus Crest PA and CCG and the sellers named therein (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed on November 11, 2014).
- 10.33 Separation Agreement, dated November 3, 2014, by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Ted W. Rollins (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on November 11, 2014).
- 10.34 Separation Agreement, dated October 1, 2014, by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Brian Sharpe (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 7, 2014).
- 10.35 Separation Agreement, dated October 1, 2014, by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Robert Dann (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on October 7, 2014).
- 10.36 Campus Crest Communities, Inc. Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the registrant's current report on Form 8-K filed on April 23, 2014).\*
- 10.37 Separation Agreement, dated November 13, 2014, by and between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Donald L. Bobbitt, Jr.
- 10.38 Employment Agreement, dated July 31, 2014, between Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and Aaron S. Halfacre.\*
- 12.1 Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Combined Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries of the registrant
- 23.1 Consent of KPMG LLP.
- 31.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Acting Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Acting Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

The following materials from Campus Crest Communities, Inc.' Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 formatted in XBRL (eXtensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets of Campus Crest Communities, Inc., (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations of Campus Crest Communities, Inc., (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity and Comprehensive Income (Loss) of Campus Crest Communities, Inc., (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows of Campus Crest Communities, Inc., and (v) related notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements of Campus Crest Communities, Inc., tagged as blocks of text.

\* Represents management contract or compensatory plan or agreement.



**SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

March 31, 2015 **CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES,  
INC.**

By: /s/ Aaron S. Halfacre  
President and Chief Investment  
Officer

**POWER OF ATTORNEY**

KNOW ALL MEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that we, the undersigned officers and directors of Campus Crest Communities, Inc., hereby severally constitute Aaron S. Halfacre and Scott R. Rochon, and each of them singly, our true and lawful attorneys with full power to them, and each of them singly, to sign for us and in our names in the capacities indicated below, the Form 10-K filed herewith and any and all amendments to said Form 10-K, and generally to do all such things in our names and in our capacities as officers and directors to enable Campus Crest Communities, Inc. to comply with the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and all requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission, hereby ratifying and confirming our signatures as they may be signed by our said attorneys, or any of them, to said Form 10-K and any and all amendments thereto.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| <b>Name</b>  | <b>Title</b>  | <b>Date</b>    |
|--|---|----------------|
| /s/ Aaron S. Halfacre<br>Aaron S. Halfacre         | President and Chief Investment Officer<br>(Principal Executive Officer)   | March 31, 2015 |
| /s/ Scott R. Rochon<br>Scott R. Rochon             | Acting Chief Financial Officer and Chief Accounting Officer<br>(Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer) | March 31, 2015 |
| /s/ Lauro Gonzalez-Moreno<br>Lauro Gonzalez-Moreno | Director  | March 31, 2015 |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

/s/ Richard S. Kahlbaugh      Director      March 31, 2015  
Richard S. Kahlbaugh

/s/ James W. McCaughan      Director      March 31, 2015  
James W. McCaughan

/s/ Denis McGlynn      Director      March 31, 2015  
Denis McGlynn

/s/ Daniel L. Simmons      Director      March 31, 2015  
Daniel L. Simmons

## **Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm**

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Campus Crest Communities, Inc.:

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity, and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014. In connection with our audits of the consolidated financial statements, we also have audited financial statement Schedule III, real estate and accumulated depreciation. These consolidated financial statements and financial statement Schedule III are the responsibility of Campus Crest Communities, Inc.'s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements and financial statement Schedule III based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also in our opinion, the related financial statement Schedule III, real estate and accumulated depreciation, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control – Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated March 31, 2015, expressed an adverse opinion on the effectiveness of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. and subsidiaries' internal control over financial reporting.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has changed its method for reporting discontinued operations as of January 1, 2014.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

March 31, 2015

73

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Campus Crest Communities, Inc.:

We have audited Campus Crest Communities, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Campus Crest Community, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying *Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audit also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company's annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. The following material weaknesses have been identified and included in management's assessment:

The Company did not maintain an effective control environment and risk assessment and information and communication processes.

The Company did not design and maintain effective process-level controls over the completeness and accuracy of accrued property taxes; the completeness, existence, and accuracy of the Company's investments in and equity in earnings of the Company's unconsolidated entities and transactions between the Company and its investees; the completeness, existence, accuracy, valuation and presentation of non-routine transactions; the authorization of cash expenditures in accordance with the Company's expenditure authorization matrix; the completeness and accuracy of stock compensation expense and disclosures; the recognition and measurement of other assets processed by manual journal entries. And the Company did not maintain effective information technology systems access controls supporting the processing and recording of student housing revenue and accounts receivables.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Campus Crest Communities, Inc. as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), changes in equity and cash flows for each of the years in the three-year period ended December 31, 2014. These material weaknesses were considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2014 consolidated financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 31, 2015, which expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

In our opinion, because of the effect of the aforementioned material weaknesses on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, Campus Crest Communities, Inc. has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2014, based on criteria established in *Internal Control—Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO).

We do not express an opinion or any other form of assurance on management's statements referring to corrective actions taken after December 31, 2014, relative to the aforementioned material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ KPMG LLP

Charlotte, North Carolina

March 31, 2015



**CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except per share data)**

|   | December 31, |             |
|---|--------------|-------------|
|   | 2014         | 2013        |
| <b>ASSETS</b>   |              |             |
| Investment in real estate, net:   |              |             |
| Student housing properties (\$32,875 related to VIE)  | \$935,962    | \$716,285   |
| Accumulated depreciation ((\$318) related to VIE)   | (128,121 )   | (102,356 )  |
| Development in process  | -            | 91,184      |
| Land and properties held for sale   | 38,105       | -           |
| Land held for investment  | 7,413        | -           |
| Investment in real estate, net  | 853,359      | 705,113     |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities   | 259,740      | 324,838     |
| Cash and cash equivalents (\$670 related to VIE)  | 15,240       | 32,054      |
| Restricted cash   | 5,429        | 32,636      |
| Student receivables, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$459 and \$539, respectively (\$36, net of allowance of \$9 related to VIE)   | 1,587        | 2,825       |
| Cost and earnings in excess of construction billings  | 3,887        | 42,803      |
| Other assets, net (\$236 related to VIE)  | 37,569       | 42,410      |
| Total assets  | \$1,176,811  | \$1,182,679 |
| <b>LIABILITIES AND EQUITY</b>   |              |             |
| <b>Liabilities:</b>   |              |             |
| Mortgage and construction loans (\$21,170 related to VIE)   | \$300,673    | \$205,531   |
| Line of credit and other debt   | 317,746      | 207,952     |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses (\$534 related to VIE)  | 53,816       | 64,348      |
| Construction billings in excess of cost and earnings  | 481          | 600         |
| Other liabilities (\$607 related to VIE)  | 22,092       | 11,167      |
| Total liabilities   | 694,808      | 489,598     |
| <b>Commitments and contingencies</b>  |              |             |
| <b>Equity:</b>  |              |             |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 50,000,000 shares authorized: 8.00% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (liquidation preference \$25.00 per share), 6,100,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013 | 61           | 61          |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 500,000,000 and 500,000,000 shares authorized, 64,742,713 and 64,502,430 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively  | 648          | 645         |
| Additional common and preferred paid-in capital   | 773,998      | 773,896     |
| Accumulated deficit and distributions   | (298,818 )   | (86,043 )   |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss  | (2,616 )     | (71 )       |
| Total Campus Crest Communities, Inc. stockholders' equity   | 473,273      | 688,488     |



Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|                              |             |             |
|------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Noncontrolling interests     | 8,730       | 4,593       |
| Total equity                 | 482,003     | 693,081     |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,176,811 | \$1,182,679 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)****(In thousands, except per share data)**

|  | For the year ended December 31, |            |           |
|--|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|
|  | 2014                            | 2013       | 2012      |
| Revenues:  |                                 |            |           |
| Student housing rental   | \$ 101,724                      | \$ 87,635  | \$ 71,211 |
| Student housing services   | 3,768                           | 3,615      | 2,880     |
| Property management services   | 1,249                           | 820        | 559       |
| Total revenues   | 106,741                         | 92,070     | 74,650    |
| Operating expenses:  |                                 |            |           |
| Student housing operations   | 47,154                          | 40,726     | 33,013    |
| General and administrative   | 14,303                          | 10,658     | 8,821     |
| Severance  | 6,159                           | -          | -         |
| Impairment of land and pre-development costs                                 | 31,927                          | -          | -         |
| Write-off of corporate other assets  | 15,110                          | -          | -         |
| Transaction costs  | 3,046                           | 1,121      | -         |
| Ground leases  | 477                             | 249        | 217       |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 29,426                          | 23,700     | 20,693    |
| Total operating expenses   | 147,602                         | 76,454     | 62,744    |
| Equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities                         | (5,510 )                        | (3,727 )   | 361       |
| Impairment of unconsolidated entities  | (57,789 )                       | (312 )     | -         |
| Effect of not exercising Copper Beech purchase option                        | (33,375 )                       | -          | -         |
| Operating income (loss)  | (137,535 )                      | 11,577     | 12,267    |
| Nonoperating income (expense):   |                                 |            |           |
| Interest expense, net  | (16,156 )                       | (12,969 )  | (11,545 ) |
| Other income   | 42                              | 1,414      | 6,144     |
| Total nonoperating expense, net  | (16,114 )                       | (11,555 )  | (5,401 )  |
| Net income (loss) before income tax benefit (expense)                        | (153,649 )                      | 22         | 6,866     |
| Income tax benefit (expense)   | (731 )                          | 727        | (356 )    |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations                                     | (154,380 )                      | 749        | 6,510     |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations                                   | (9,576 )                        | 489        | 3,908     |
| Net income (loss)  | (163,956 )                      | 1,238      | 10,418    |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests                   | (1,233 )                        | (34 )      | 46        |
| Dividends on preferred stock   | 12,200                          | 6,183      | 4,114     |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                        | \$(174,923 )                    | \$(4,911 ) | \$6,258   |
| Per share data - basic and diluted   |                                 |            |           |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders | \$(2.54 )                       | \$(0.08 )  | \$0.07    |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|  |         |   |         |          |
|--|---------|---|---------|----------|
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common shareholders | (0.15   | ) | -       | 0.11     |
| Net income (loss) per share attributable to common stockholders                | \$(2.69 | ) | \$(0.08 | ) \$0.18 |
| Weighted-average common shares and OP units outstanding:                       |         |   |         |          |
| Basic  | 65,102  |   | 59,984  | 34,781   |
| Diluted  | 65,102  |   | 60,418  | 35,217   |

76

**CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (CONTINUED)****(In thousands, except per share data)**

|   | For the year ended December 31, |            |           |
|---|---------------------------------|------------|-----------|
|   | 2014                            | 2013       | 2012      |
| Consolidated statements of comprehensive income (loss):                                   |                                 |            |           |
| Net income (loss)   | \$(163,956 )                    | \$ 1,238   | \$ 10,418 |
| Foreign currency translation  | (2,564 )                        | (71 )      | -         |
| Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives   | -                               | 59         | 332       |
| Comprehensive income (loss)   | (166,520 )                      | 1,226      | 10,750    |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interests                                | (1,233 )                        | (34 )      | 46        |
| Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives attributable to noncontrolling interest | -                               | 1          | 3         |
| Foreign currency translation attributable to noncontrolling interest                      | (19 )                           | -          | -         |
| Dividends on preferred stock  | 12,200                          | 6,183      | 4,114     |
| Comprehensive income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                           | \$(177,468 )                    | \$(4,924 ) | \$ 6,587  |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

## CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

(In thousands)

|   | Series     | Additional | Accumulated |               | Total         | Stockholders' | Noncontrolling | Total     |
|---|------------|------------|-------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|-----------|
|   | A          |            | Common      | Accumulated   |               |               |                |           |
|   | Cumulative | Common     | and         | Deficit and   | Comprehensive | Equity        | Interests      | Equity    |
|   | Redeemable | Stock      | Preferred   | Distributions | Income        |               |                |           |
|   | Preferred  | Stock      | Paid-       |               | (Loss)        |               |                |           |
|   | Stock      | in Capital |             |               |               |               |                |           |
| Balance at December 31, 2011  | -          | 307        | 248,599     | (22,550 )     | (387 )        | 225,969       | 4,026          | 229,995   |
| Net proceeds of sale of preferred stock                                 | 23         | -          | 54,870      | -             | -             | 54,893        | -              | 54,893    |
| Net proceeds of sale of common stock                                    | -          | 75         | 72,087      | -             | -             | 72,162        | -              | 72,162    |
| Issuance of restricted stock  | -          | 4          | (4 )        | -             | -             | -             | -              | -         |
| Amortization of restricted stock awards and operating partnership units | -          | -          | 1,628       | -             | -             | 1,628         | 624            | 2,252     |
| Dividends on preferred stock  | -          | -          | -           | (4,114 )      | -             | (4,114 )      | -              | (4,114 )  |
| Dividends on common stock   | -          | -          | -           | (22,275 )     | -             | (22,275 )     | -              | (22,275 ) |
| Dividends to noncontrolling interests                                   | -          | -          | -           | -             | -             | -             | (281 )         | (281 )    |
| Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives                       | -          | -          | -           | -             | 329           | 329           | 3              | 332       |
| Net income  | -          | -          | -           | 10,372        | -             | 10,372        | 46             | 10,418    |
| Balance at December 31, 2012  | 23         | 386        | 377,180     | (38,567 )     | (58 )         | 338,964       | 4,418          | 343,382   |
| Net proceeds of sale of preferred stock                                 | 38         | -          | 91,244      | -             | -             | 91,282        | -              | 91,282    |
| Net proceeds of sale of common stock                                    | -          | 255        | 299,464     | -             | -             | 299,719       | -              | 299,719   |
| Equity portion of issuance of convertible notes                         | -          | -          | 3,207       | -             | -             | 3,207         | -              | 3,207     |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|   |       |        |            |               |             |            |          |            |
|---|-------|--------|------------|---------------|-------------|------------|----------|------------|
| Issuance of restricted stock  | -     | 4      | (4 )       | -             | -           | -          | -        | -          |
| Amortization of restricted stock awards and operating partnership units | -     | -      | 2,805      | -             | -           | 2,805      | 495      | 3,300      |
| Dividends on preferred stock  | -     | -      | -          | (6,183 )      | -           | (6,183 )   | -        | (6,183 )   |
| Dividends on common stock   | -     | -      | -          | (42,565 )     | -           | (42,565 )  | -        | (42,565 )  |
| Dividends to noncontrolling interests                                   | -     | -      | -          | -             | -           | -          | (287 )   | (287 )     |
| Change in fair value of interest rate derivatives                       | -     | -      | -          | -             | 58          | 58         | 1        | 59         |
| Foreign currency translation  | -     | -      | -          | -             | (71 )       | (71 )      | -        | (71 )      |
| Net income (loss)   | -     | -      | -          | 1,272         | -           | 1,272      | (34 )    | 1,238      |
| Balance at December 31, 2013  | 61    | 645    | 773,896    | (86,043 )     | (71 )       | 688,488    | 4,593    | 693,081    |
| Issuance of restricted stock  | -     | 3      | (3 )       | -             | -           | -          | -        | -          |
| Amortization of restricted stock awards and operating partnership units | -     | -      | 5,744      | -             | -           | 5,744      | -        | 5,744      |
| Dividends on preferred stock  | -     | -      | -          | (12,200 )     | -           | (12,200 )  | -        | (12,200 )  |
| Dividends on common stock   | -     | -      | -          | (37,852 )     | -           | (37,852 )  | -        | (37,852 )  |
| Dividends to noncontrolling interests                                   | -     | -      | -          | -             | -           | -          | (250 )   | (250 )     |
| Foreign currency translation  | -     | -      | -          | -             | (2,545 )    | (2,545 )   | (19 )    | (2,564 )   |
| Non-controlling interest in Copper Beech at Ames                        | -     | -      | (5,639 )   | -             | -           | (5,639 )   | 5,639    | -          |
| Net loss  | -     | -      | -          | (162,723 )    | -           | (162,723 ) | (1,233 ) | (163,956)  |
| Balance at December 31, 2014  | \$ 61 | \$ 648 | \$ 773,998 | \$ (298,818 ) | \$ (2,616 ) | \$ 473,273 | \$ 8,730 | \$ 482,003 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)**

|  | For the year ended December 31, |           |           |
|--|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|  | 2014                            | 2013      | 2012      |
| Operating activities:  |                                 |           |           |
| Net (loss) income  | \$(163,956)                     | \$1,238   | \$10,418  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to net cash provided by operating activities: |                                 |           |           |
| Depreciation and amortization  | 29,426                          | 23,700    | 20,693    |
| Depreciation included in discontinued operations   | -                               | 2,672     | 3,144     |
| Impairment of damaged assets   | -                               | 5,041     | -         |
| Impairment of land and pre-development costs   | 31,927                          | -         | -         |
| Write-off of corporate other assets  | 15,110                          | -         | -         |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount                               | 2,270                           | 1,969     | 2,838     |
| Gain on purchase of previously unconsolidated entities                                   | -                               | -         | (6,554 )  |
| Loss on disposal of assets   | 138                             | 350       | 154       |
| Proceeds received for business interruption insurance                                    | -                               | 400       | -         |
| Provision for bad debts  | 3,249                           | 3,432     | 1,728     |
| Deferred income tax expense (benefit)  | 731                             | (927 )    | -         |
| Severance expense  | 6,159                           | -         | -         |
| Severance expense included in discontinued operations                                    | 2,959                           | -         | -         |
| Impairment of unconsolidated entities  | 57,789                          | -         | -         |
| Equity in (earnings) loss of unconsolidated entities                                     | 5,510                           | 3,727     | (361 )    |
| Effect of not exercising Copper Beech purchase option                                    | 33,375                          | -         | -         |
| Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities                                   | 502                             | 17        | 766       |
| Share based compensation expense   | 1,729                           | 3,300     | 1,194     |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities:   |                                 |           |           |
| Restricted cash  | 505                             | (533 )    | (736 )    |
| Student receivables  | (2,174 )                        | (4,067 )  | (2,492 )  |
| Construction billings  | 38,797                          | (19,175 ) | (10,967 ) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses  | (3,470 )                        | 4,984     | 12,248    |
| Other  | 5,746                           | (11,740 ) | (2,603 )  |
| Net cash provided by operating activities  | 66,322                          | 14,388    | 29,470    |
| Investing activities:  |                                 |           |           |
| Investments in development in process  | (179,218)                       | (126,242) | (104,051) |
| Insurance proceeds received for damaged assets   | 590                             | 2,500     | -         |
| Investments in student housing properties  | (9,277 )                        | (15,925 ) | (7,116 )  |
| Acquisition of student housing properties  | -                               | (13,801 ) | -         |
| Investments in unconsolidated entities   | (45,765 )                       | (348,831) | (7,363 )  |
| Acquisition of previously unconsolidated entities  | (7,661 )                        | -         | (15,352 ) |
| Proceeds from the disposition of student housing properties                              | -                               | 48,577    | -         |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|  |           |           |           |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Issuance of notes receivable                       | -         | (31,700 ) | -         |
| Repayment of notes receivable                      | -         | 31,700    | -         |
| Capital distributions from unconsolidated entities | 7,455     | 7,286     | 3,355     |
| Corporate capital expenditures                     | (6,126 )  | (15,036 ) | (1,855 )  |
| Change in restricted cash                          | 26,702    | (28,201 ) | (671 )    |
| Net cash used in investing activities              | (213,300) | (489,673) | (133,053) |
| Financing activities:                              |           |           |           |
| Proceeds from mortgage and construction loans      | 80,656    | 47,924    | 97,220    |
| Repayments of mortgage and construction loans      | (2,353 )  | (60,730 ) | (93,096 ) |
| Proceeds from line of credit and other debt        | 124,000   | 267,274   | 59,400    |
| Repayments of line of credit and other debt        | (15,435 ) | (96,681 ) | (66,077 ) |
| Debt issuance costs                                | (735 )    | (4,273 )  | (1,219 )  |
| Payment of offering costs                          | (817 )    | (17,193 ) | (6,018 )  |
| Dividends paid to common stockholders              | (42,665 ) | (38,089 ) | (21,028 ) |
| Dividends paid to preferred stockholders           | (12,200 ) | (4,600 )  | (3,156 )  |
| Dividends paid to noncontrolling interest          | (287 )    | (287 )    | (281 )    |
| Proceeds from sale of common stock                 | -         | 312,742   | 75,573    |
| Proceeds from sale of preferred stock              | -         | 95,282    | 57,500    |
| Net cash provided by financing activities          | 130,164   | 501,369   | 98,818    |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents            | (16,814 ) | 26,084    | (4,765 )  |
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period   | 32,054    | 5,970     | 10,735    |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period         | \$15,240  | \$32,054  | \$5,970   |



**CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (CONTINUED)****(In thousands)**

|  | For the year ended<br>December 31, |          |         |
|--|------------------------------------|----------|---------|
|  | 2014                               | 2013     | 2012    |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:  |                                    |          |         |
| Cash paid for interest, net of capitalized interest of \$6.3 million, \$3.3 million and \$2.4 million        | \$6,959                            | \$7,794  | \$6,235 |
| Cash paid for income taxes   | 163                                | 173      | 571     |
| Non-cash investing and financing activity:   |                                    |          |         |
| Other debt assumed by investment in unconsolidated entity  | \$-                                | \$34,774 | \$-     |
| Contribution of land to investment in unconsolidated entities  | -                                  | 16,900   | 3,347   |
| Common and preferred stock dividends declared but not paid   | 8,916                              | 13,765   | 7,197   |
| Assumption of mortgage debt related to purchase of previously unconsolidated entities                        | 16,901                             | -        | 27,299  |
| Insurance proceeds receivable related to damaged assets  | 5,529                              | 1,029    | -       |
| Accounts payable related to capital expenditures   | 6,930                              | 25,107   | 10,588  |
| Conversion of costs and earnings in excess of construction billings to investment in unconsolidated entities | -                                  | -        | 898     |
| Equipment acquired under capital lease obligations   | 568                                | -        | -       |
| Share-based compensation capitalized to development in process   | 1,307                              | 900      | 600     |
| The Company acquired the remaining ownership in HSRE IV for approximately \$7.7 million                      |                                    |          |         |
| In conjunction with the acquisition liabilities assumed were as follows:                                     |                                    |          |         |
| Fair value of assets acquired  | \$26,854                           | \$-      | \$-     |
| Cash paid for 80% interest   | (7,661)                            | -        | -       |
| Fair value of Company's 20% interest owned prior to the acquisition  | (1,915)                            | -        | -       |
| Liabilities assumed  | 17,278                             | -        | -       |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

**CAMPUS CREST COMMUNITIES, INC.**

**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

**1. Organization and Description of Business**

Campus Crest Communities, Inc., together with its subsidiaries, referred to herein as the “Company” and “Campus Crest,” is a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust (“REIT”) focused on owning and managing a high-quality student housing portfolio located close to college campuses. The Company currently owns the sole general partner interest and owns limited partner interests in Campus Crest Communities Operating Partnership, LP (the “Operating Partnership”). The Company holds substantially all of its assets and conducts substantially all of its business, through the Operating Partnership.

Campus Crest has made an election to qualify, and the Company believes it is operating so as to qualify, as a REIT under Sections 856 through 859 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the “Internal Revenue Code”). As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax to the extent that the Company meets the organizational and operational requirements and its distributions equal or exceed 90.0% of REIT taxable income. For all periods subsequent to the REIT election, the Company has met the organizational and operational requirements and distributions have exceeded net taxable income.

The Company has made an election to treat Campus Crest TRS Holdings, Inc. (“TRS Holdings”), its wholly-owned subsidiary, as a taxable REIT subsidiary (“TRS”). TRS Holdings holds the development, construction and management companies (see Note 4 regarding the discontinuation of operations of the development and construction services companies) that provide services to entities in which the Company does not own 100% of the equity interests. As a TRS, the operations of TRS Holdings and its subsidiaries are generally subject to federal, state and local income and franchise taxes.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had ownership interests in 47 operating student housing Grove properties containing approximately 9,700 apartment units and 26,300 beds. Thirty-six of the Company’s operating Grove properties are wholly-owned and eleven of the Company’s operating Grove properties are owned through joint ventures with Harrison Street Real Estate Capital (“HSRE”). Additionally, the Company holds ownership interests in three *evo*<sup>®</sup> properties as joint ventures containing approximately 1,500 units and 3,000 beds, one with HSRE and Brandywine Realty Trust (“Brandywine”), and two with Beaumont Partners SA (“Beaumont”). As of December 31, 2014, the Company also owned interests in 36 (35 unconsolidated and one consolidated (Copper Beech at Ames)) operating student housing Copper Beech properties (“CB Portfolio”), containing approximately 6,500 units and 17,300 beds, and one wholly-owned redevelopment property containing approximately 170 units and 340 beds. The Company’s portfolio consists of the following:

|                                | Properties in<br>Operation |
|--------------------------------|----------------------------|
| Wholly owned Grove properties  | 36                         |
| Joint Venture Grove properties | 11                         |
| Total Grove Properties         | 47                         |
| Joint Venture evo properties   | 3                          |
| CB Portfolio                   | 36                         |
| Total Portfolio <sup>(1)</sup> | 86                         |

---

<sup>(1)</sup> The Company's 100% owned redevelopment property in Toledo, Ohio, which was acquired in March 2013 is excluded. As of December 31, 2014, this property was classified as an asset held for sale.

## 2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

### *Basis of Presentation*

The accompanying consolidated financial statements, presented in U.S. dollars, have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("U.S. GAAP") and represent the Company's financial position, results of operations and cash flows. Third-party equity interests in the Operating Partnership and a consolidated variable interest entity, Copper Beech at Ames, LLC, are reflected as non-controlling interests in the consolidated financial statements. The Company also has interests in unconsolidated real estate ventures which have ownership in several property owning entities that are accounted for under the equity method. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current period presentation, primarily related to discontinued operations associated with the asset dispositions discussed in Note 7.

### ***Reclassifications***

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company reclassified its development and construction services companies as discontinued operations due to its strategic repositioning initiatives (see Note 4). Accordingly, the Company has reclassified the results of these operations to “Income (loss) from discontinued operations” in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012. The development and construction services companies were included within the development, construction and management services segment in the Company’s prior year consolidated financial statements.

In December 2013, the Company sold four wholly-owned properties: The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas. These four properties were included within the student housing operations segment in the prior year consolidated financial statements. Prior period amounts related to the December 2013 asset dispositions have also been reclassified as discontinued operations in the Company’s consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

### ***Use of Estimates***

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Significant assumptions and estimates are used by management in recognizing construction and development revenue (which is included in income (loss) from discontinued operations) under the percentage of completion method, useful lives of student housing properties, valuation of investment in real estate and investments in unconsolidated entities and land and property held for sale, initial valuation and underlying allocation of purchase price to newly acquired student housing properties, valuation allowance on deferred tax assets, determination of fair value for impairment assessments, determination of the effect of not exercising the Copper Beech option, fair value of guarantee obligations related to unconsolidated entities, allowance for doubtful accounts, insurance proceeds receivable from damaged assets, fair value of the debt and equity components of the exchangeable notes at the date of issuances and the fair value of financial assets and liabilities, including derivatives. Actual results may differ from previously estimated amounts and such differences may be material to the consolidated financial statements. Estimates and assumptions are reviewed periodically and the effects of revisions are reflected prospectively in the periods in which they occur.

### ***Investment in Real Estate and Depreciation***

Investment in real estate is recorded at historical cost. Major improvements that extend the life of an asset are capitalized and depreciated over a period equal to the shorter of the life of the improvement or the remaining useful life of the asset. The cost of ordinary repairs and maintenance are charged to expense when incurred. Depreciation and amortization are recorded on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

|                                      |             |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|
| Land improvements                    | 15 years    |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 10-40 years |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment    | 5-10 years  |

The cost of buildings and improvements includes all pre-development, entitlement and project costs directly associated with the development and construction of a real estate project, which include interest, property taxes and the amortization of deferred financing costs recognized while the project is under construction, as well as certain internal costs related to the development and construction of the Company's student housing properties. All costs are capitalized as development in process until the asset is ready for its intended use, which is typically at the completion of the project. Interest totaling approximately \$6.3 million, \$3.3 million, and \$2.4 million was capitalized during the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012 respectively.

The Company capitalizes costs during the development of assets beginning with the determination that development of a future asset is probable until the asset, or a portion of the asset, is delivered and is ready for its intended use. During development efforts, the Company capitalizes all direct costs and indirect costs that have been incurred as a result of the development. These costs include interest and related loan fees, property taxes as well as other direct and indirect costs. The Company capitalizes interest costs for debt incurred for project specific financing and for capital contributions to equity method investees who utilize such funds for construction-related activities. Indirect project costs, which include personnel, office and administrative costs that are clearly associated with the Company's development and redevelopment efforts, are capitalized. Indirect costs not clearly related to the acquisition, development, redevelopment and construction activity, including general and administrative expenses, are expensed in the period incurred. Capitalized indirect costs associated with the Company's development activities were \$10.8 million, \$9.0 million, and \$7.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively. All such costs are capitalized as development in process until the asset is delivered and ready for its intended use, which is typically at the completion of the project. Upon completion, costs are transferred into the applicable asset category and depreciation commences.

Pre-development costs are capitalized when they are directly identifiable with the specific property and would be capitalized if the property were already acquired and acquisition of the property or an option to acquire the property is probable. Capitalized pre-development costs are expensed when management believes it is no longer probable that a contract will be executed and/or construction will commence. Because the Company frequently incurs these pre-development expenditures before a financing commitment and/or required permits and authorizations have been obtained, the Company will bear the risk of loss of these pre-development expenditures if financing cannot ultimately be arranged on acceptable terms or the Company is unable to successfully obtain the required permits and authorizations. As such, management evaluates the status of projects where the Company has not yet acquired the target property or where the Company has not yet commenced construction on a periodic basis and write-off any pre-development costs related to projects whose current status indicates the acquisition or commencement of construction is not probable. In 2013 and 2012, such write-offs are included within income (loss) from discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). In 2014, such write-offs were included within impairment of land and pre-development costs in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had no capitalized pre-development costs related to development projects for which construction had not commenced and as of December 31, 2013, the Company deferred approximately \$10.5 million in pre-development costs related to development projects for which construction had not commenced (see Note 4). As of December 31, 2014, the Company owned four strategically held land parcels that could be used for the development of four phase two properties with an aggregate bed count ranging from approximately 1,000 to 1,500 (unaudited), and twelve additional land parcels, six of which were sold in January 2015, with the remaining parcels expected to be sold during 2015. The costs associated with the four strategically held land parcels are included in land held for investment on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The costs associated with the parcels in which the Company intends to divest are included in land held for sale in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Management assesses whether there has been impairment in the value of the Company's investment in real estate whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of investment in real estate is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of a student housing property to the estimated future undiscounted cash flows expected to be generated by the property over the expected hold period. Impairment is recognized when estimated future undiscounted cash flows, including proceeds from disposition, are less than the carrying value of the property. The estimation of future undiscounted cash flows is inherently uncertain and relies on assumptions regarding current and future economic and market conditions. If such conditions change, then an adjustment reducing the carrying value of the Company's long-lived assets could occur in the future period in which conditions change. To the extent that a property is impaired, the excess of the carrying amount of the property over its estimated fair value is recorded as an impairment charge. Fair value is determined based upon the discounted cash flows of the property, quoted market prices or independent appraisals, as considered necessary.

### ***Property Acquisitions***

Campus Crest allocates the purchase price of acquired properties to tangible and identified intangible assets and liabilities based on the fair values of these assets and liabilities for both consolidated entities and investments in unconsolidated entities. Fair value estimates are based on information obtained from independent appraisals, market

data, information obtained during due diligence and information related to the marketing and leasing at the specific property. The value of in-place leases is based on the difference between (i) the property valued with existing in-place leases adjusted to market rental rates and (ii) the property valued “as-if” vacant. As lease terms are typically one year or less, rates on in-place leases generally approximate market rental rates. Factors considered in the valuation of in-place leases include an estimate of the carrying costs during the expected lease-up period considering current market conditions, nature of the tenancy and costs to execute similar leases. Carrying costs include estimates of lost rentals at market rates during the expected lease-up period, net of variable operating expenses. The value of in-place leases is amortized on a straight-line basis over the remaining initial term of the respective leases, generally less than one year. The purchase price of property acquisitions is not expected to be allocated to tenant relationships, considering the terms of the leases and the expected levels of renewals.

Additionally, mortgage debt premiums and discounts represent fair value adjustments for the difference between the stated rates and market rates of mortgage debt assumed in connection with the Company’s acquisitions. The mortgage debt premiums and discounts are amortized to interest expense of the respective investee over the term of the related mortgage loans using the effective-interest method. The fair value debt and purchase accounting adjustments included in equity in earnings (loss) related to Copper Beech were approximately \$6.5 million and \$3.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. Acquisition-related costs such as due diligence, legal, accounting and advisory fees are either expensed as incurred for acquisitions that are consolidated or capitalized for acquisitions accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

#### ***Long-Lived Assets – Held for Sale***

Long-lived assets to be disposed of are classified as held for sale in the period in which all of the following criteria are met:

- a. Management, having the authority to approve the action, commits to a plan to sell the assets.

b. The asset is available for immediate sale in its present condition subject only to terms that are usual and customary for sales of such assets.

c. An active program to locate a buyer and other actions required to complete the plan to sell the asset have been initiated.

d. The sale of the asset is probable, and transfer of asset is expected to qualify for recognition as a completed sale, within one year.

e. The asset is being actively marketed for sale at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value.

f. Actions required to complete the plan indicate that it is unlikely that significant changes to the plans will be made or that the plan will be withdrawn.

Concurrent with this classification, the land and property held for sale is recorded at the lower of cost or fair value less estimated selling costs, and depreciation ceases. As discussed in more detail in Note 4, the Company reduced the carrying amount of land and properties held for sale to their estimated fair value less estimated selling costs which resulted in an impairment charge.

### ***Ground Leases***

Ground lease expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the related lease.

### ***In-Place Lease Intangible Assets***

In-place lease intangible assets are amortized on a straight-line basis over the average remaining term of the underlying leases, typically one year or less. Amortization expense was approximately \$1.5 million, \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The amortization of intangible assets is included in depreciation and amortization expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

### ***Cash, Cash Equivalents, and Restricted Cash***



Campus Crest considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. Restricted cash is excluded from cash for the purpose of preparing the consolidated statements of cash flows. The Company maintains cash balances in various banks. At times the Company's balances may exceed the amount insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation ("FDIC"). The Company does not believe this presents significant exposure for the business.

Restricted cash includes escrow accounts held by lenders for the purpose of paying taxes, insurance and funding capital improvements. The Company's funds in escrow are typically held in interest bearing accounts covered under FDIC insurance with applicable limits. At December 31, 2013, we held approximately \$28.2 million with a qualified intermediary to facilitate a tax deferred Section 1031 like-kind exchange in conjunction with the disposition of four properties (see Note 7). Our funds in escrow are typically held in interest bearing accounts covered under FDIC insurance with applicable limits.

### ***Deferred Financing Costs***

Campus Crest defers costs incurred in obtaining financing and amortizes these costs using the straight-line method, which approximates the effective interest method, over the expected terms of the related loans. Deferred financing costs as of December 31, 2014 and 2013 were approximately \$11.7 million and \$11.0 million, respectively, and accumulated amortization was approximately \$4.8 million and \$2.6 million, respectively. Upon repayment of the underlying debt agreement, any unamortized costs are charged to earnings. Deferred financing costs, net of accumulated amortization, are included in other assets, net in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

### ***Noncontrolling Interests***

Noncontrolling interests represent the portion of equity in the Company's consolidated subsidiaries which are not attributable to the Company's stockholders. Accordingly, noncontrolling interests are reported as a component of equity, separate from stockholders' equity, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. On the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), operating results are reported at their consolidated amounts, including both the amount attributable to the Company and to noncontrolling interests. See also "Consolidated Variable Interest Entity."

### ***Real Estate Ventures***

Campus Crest holds interests in its properties, both under development and in operation, through interests in both consolidated and unconsolidated real estate ventures. The Company assesses its investments in real estate ventures to

determine if a venture is a variable interest entity (“VIE”). Generally, an entity is determined to be a VIE when either (1) the equity investors (if any) lack one or more of the essential characteristics of a controlling financial interest, (2) the equity investment at risk is insufficient to finance that entity’s activities without additional subordinated financial support or (3) the equity investors have voting rights that are not proportionate to their economic interests and substantially all of the activities of the entity involve or are conducted on behalf of an investor that has disproportionately fewer voting rights. The Company consolidates entities that are VIEs for which the Company is determined to be the primary beneficiary. In instances where the Company is not the primary beneficiary, the Company does not consolidate the entity for financial reporting purposes. The primary beneficiary is the entity that has both (1) the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact the VIE’s economic performance and (2) the obligation to absorb losses or the right to receive benefits of the VIE that could potentially be significant to the VIE. Entities that are not defined as VIEs are consolidated where the Company is the general partner (or the equivalent) and the limited partners (or the equivalent) in such investments do not have rights which would preclude control.

For entities where the Company is the general partner (or the equivalent), but do not control the real estate venture, and the other partners (or the equivalent) hold substantive participating rights, the Company uses the equity method of accounting. For entities where the Company is a limited partner (or the equivalent), management considers factors such as ownership interest, voting control, authority to make decisions and contractual and substantive participating rights of the partners (or the equivalent) to determine if the presumption that the general partner controls the entity is overcome. In instances where these factors indicate the Company controls the entity, the Company would consolidate the entity; otherwise the Company accounts for its investments using the equity method of accounting.

Under the equity method of accounting, investments are initially recognized in the consolidated balance sheet at cost and are subsequently adjusted to reflect the Company's proportionate share of net earnings or losses of the entity, distributions received, contributions and certain other adjustments, as appropriate. Any difference between the carrying amount of these investments on the Company's balance sheet and the underlying equity in net assets is amortized as an adjustment to equity in earnings (loss) of unconsolidated entities. When circumstances indicate there may have been a loss in value of an equity method investment, and the Company determines the loss in value is other than temporary, the Company recognizes an impairment charge to reflect the investment at fair value (see Note 4).

### *Segments*

The Company has identified two reportable business segments: (i) student housing operations and (ii) property management services. The Company evaluates the performance of its operating segments based on operating income (loss). All inter-segment sales pricing is based on current market conditions. Unallocated corporate amounts include general expenses associated with managing the Company's two reportable operating segments. Prior to the third quarter of 2014, the Company's segments consisted of student housing operations and construction, development and management services. Upon discontinuation of the construction and development operations of the business, the Company identified its two segments as student housing operations and property management services. All construction and development activities are reported in discontinued operations at December 31, 2014.

### *Student Housing Revenue*

Students are required to execute lease contracts with payment schedules that vary from annual to monthly payments. The Company recognizes revenue on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease contracts which for new tenants is typically 11.5 months and for renewing tenants is typically 12 months. Generally, unless sufficient income can be verified, each executed contract is required to be accompanied by a signed parental/guardian guaranty. Amounts received in advance of the occupancy period or prior to the contractual due date are recorded as deferred revenues and included in other liabilities on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

***Property Management Services***

Management fees are recognized when earned in accordance with each management contract. Incentive management fees are recognized when the incentive criteria are met.

***Development and Construction Services***

Development and construction service revenue is recognized using the percentage of completion method, as determined by construction costs incurred relative to total estimated construction costs for each property under development and construction. For the purpose of applying this method, significant estimates are necessary to determine the percentage of completion as of the balance sheet date. This method is used because management considers total cost to be the best measure of progress toward completion of the contract. Any changes in significant judgments and/or estimates used in determining construction and development revenue could significantly change the timing or amount of construction and development revenue recognized.

Development and construction service revenue is recognized for contracts with entities the Company does not consolidate. For projects where revenue is based on a fixed price, any cost overruns incurred during construction, as compared to the original budget, will reduce the net profit ultimately recognized on those projects. Profit derived from these projects is eliminated to the extent of the Company's interest in the unconsolidated entity. Any incentive fees, net of the impact of the Company's ownership interest if the entity is unconsolidated, are recognized when the project is complete and performance has been agreed upon by all parties, or when performance has been verified by an independent third party. When total development or construction costs at completion exceed the fixed price set forth within the related contract, such cost overruns are recorded as additional investment in the unconsolidated entity. Entitlement fees and arrangement fees, where applicable, are recognized when earned based on the terms of the related contracts.

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings represents the excess of construction costs and profits recognized to date using the percentage of completion method over billings to date on certain contracts. Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings represents the excess of billings to date over the amount of contract costs and profits recognized to date using the percentage of completion method on certain contracts. Total billings to date on such contracts totaled \$49.3 million and \$51.3 million as of December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The Company expects to bill and collect the cost and estimated earnings in excess of billings in 2015.

### *Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*

Allowances for student receivables are maintained to reduce the Company's receivables to the amount that management estimates to be collectible, which approximates fair value. The allowance is estimated based on past due balances not received on contractual terms, as well as historical collections experience and current economic and business conditions. When management has determined that receivables are uncollectible, they are written off against the allowance for doubtful accounts. Recoveries of accounts previously written off are recorded when received.

The allowance for doubtful accounts is summarized as follows (in thousands):

|                                | Year Ended December 31, |         |         |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
|                                | 2014                    | 2013    | 2012    |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$539                   | \$121   | \$246   |
| Charged to expense             | 3,249                   | 3,432   | 1,728   |
| Write-offs                     | (3,329)                 | (2,433) | (1,853) |
| Sale of properties             | -                       | (581)   | -       |
| Balance at end of period       | \$459                   | \$539   | \$121   |

### *Marketing and Advertising Costs*

Marketing and advertising costs are expensed during the period incurred and included in student housing and general and administrative expenses in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Marketing and advertising expenses were \$1.5 million, \$1.5 million, and \$1.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

### *Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*

Campus Crest enters into interest rate cap and interest rate swap agreements to manage floating interest rate exposure with respect to amounts borrowed, or forecasted to be borrowed, under credit facilities. These contracts effectively exchange existing or forecasted obligations to pay interest based on floating rates for obligations to pay interest based on fixed rates. The Company had no interest rate swaps as of December 31, 2014.

All derivative instruments are recognized as either assets or liabilities on the consolidated balance sheets at their respective fair values. Changes in fair value are recognized either in earnings or as other comprehensive income (loss), depending on whether the derivative has been designated as a cash flow hedge and whether it qualifies as part of a hedging relationship, the nature of the exposure being hedged and how effective the derivative is at offsetting movements in underlying exposure. The Company discontinues hedge accounting when: (i) it determines that the derivative is no longer effective in offsetting changes in the cash flows of a hedged item; (ii) the derivative expires or is sold, terminated or exercised; (iii) it is no longer probable that the forecasted transaction will occur; or (iv) management determines that designating the derivative as a hedging instrument is no longer appropriate. In situations in which hedge accounting is not initially designated, or is discontinued and a derivative remains outstanding, gains and losses related to changes in the fair value of the derivative instrument are recorded in current period earnings as a component of other income (expense) line item on the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the fair value of derivative contracts was insignificant.

### *Commitments and Contingencies*

Liabilities for loss contingencies, arising from claims, assessments, litigation, fines, penalties and other sources, are recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the assessment can be reasonably estimated. Legal costs incurred in connection with loss contingencies are expensed as incurred.

### *Income Taxes*

The Company has made an election to qualify, and believes it is operating so as to qualify, as a REIT under Sections 856 through 859 of the Internal Revenue Code. The Company's qualification as a REIT depends upon its ability to meet on a continuing basis, through actual investment and operating results, various complex requirements under the Internal Revenue Code relating to, among other things, the sources of the Company's gross income, the composition and values of the Company's assets, the Company's distribution levels and the diversity of ownership of its stock. The Company believes that it is organized in conformity with the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code and that the Company's intended manner of operation will enable it to meet the requirements for qualification and taxation as a REIT.

As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to U.S. federal and state income tax on taxable income that it distributes currently to its stockholders. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year and does not qualify for certain statutory relief provisions, the Company will be subject to U.S. federal income tax at regular corporate rates and generally will be precluded from qualifying as a REIT for the subsequent four taxable years following the year during which it lost its REIT qualification. Accordingly, the Company's failure to qualify as a REIT could materially and adversely affect the Company, including its ability to make distributions to its stockholders in the future.

Campus Crest has made the election to treat TRS Holdings, the Company's subsidiary which holds the Company's management companies (as well as the development and construction companies included within discontinued operations) that provide services to entities in which the Company does not own 100% of the equity interests, as a TRS. As a TRS, the operations of TRS Holdings and its subsidiaries are generally subject to federal, state and local income and franchise taxes. The Company's TRS accounts for its income taxes in accordance with U.S. GAAP, which includes an estimate of the amount of taxes payable or refundable for the current year and deferred tax liabilities and assets for the future tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company's financial statements or tax returns. Deferred tax assets and liabilities of the TRS entities are recognized based on the difference between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to reverse.

Campus Crest follows a two-step approach for evaluating uncertain tax positions. Recognition (step one) occurs when the Company concludes that a tax position, based solely on its technical merits, is more-likely-than-not (a likelihood of more than 50 percent) to be sustained upon examination. Measurement (step two) determines the amount of benefit that more-likely-than-not will be realized upon settlement. De-recognition of a tax position that was previously recognized would occur when the Company subsequently determines a tax position no longer met the more-likely-than-not threshold of being sustained. The use of a valuation allowance as a substitute for de-recognition of tax positions is prohibited.

### ***Comprehensive Income (Loss)***

Comprehensive income (loss) includes net income (loss) and other comprehensive income (loss), which consists of unrealized gains (losses) on derivative instruments and foreign currency translation adjustments. Comprehensive income (loss) is presented in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss), and accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) is displayed as a separate component of stockholders' equity.

### ***Common Stock Issuances and Costs***

Specific incremental costs directly attributable to the Company's equity offerings are deferred and charged against the gross proceeds of the offering. As such, underwriting commissions and other common stock issuance costs are reflected as a reduction of additional paid in capital. See Note 13 for an expanded discussion on common stock issuances and costs.

### ***Stock-Based Compensation***

The Company grants restricted stock and restricted Operating Partnership ("OP Unit") awards that typically vest over either a three or five year period. A restricted stock or OP Unit award is an award of shares of the Company's common stock or OP Units that are subject to restrictions on transferability and other restrictions determined by the Company's compensation committee at the date of grant. A grant date generally is established for a restricted stock award or restricted OP Unit award upon approval from the Company's compensation committee and Board of Directors. The restrictions may lapse over a specified period of employment or the satisfaction of pre-established criteria as the Company's compensation committee may determine. Except to the extent restricted under the award agreement, a participant awarded restricted stock or OP Units has all the rights of a stockholder or OP Unit holder as to these shares or units, including the right to vote and the right to receive dividends or distributions on the shares or units. The fair value of the award generally is determined based on the market value of the Company's common stock on the grant date and is recognized on a straight-line basis over the applicable vesting period for the entire award with cost recognized at the end of any period being at least equal to the shares that were then vested.





### ***Foreign Currency***

Transactions denominated in foreign currencies are recorded in local currency at actual exchange rates at the date of the transaction. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies at the balance sheet dates are reported at the rates of exchange prevailing at those dates. Any gains or losses arising on monetary assets and liabilities from a change in exchange rates subsequent to the date of the transaction have been included in discontinued operations, if resulting from operations within the Company's development or construction service company, or other income (expense) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had foreign currency exposure to the Canadian dollar. The aggregate transaction gains and losses included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 were not significant.

The financial statements of certain equity method investees and certain foreign subsidiaries are translated from their respective functional currencies into U.S. dollars using current and historical exchange rates. Translation adjustments resulting from this process are reported separately and included as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in stockholders' equity in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. Upon classification as held for sale, sale or liquidation of the Company's investments, the translation adjustment would be reported as part of the gain or loss on classification, sale or liquidation. During the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized a foreign currency translation loss of approximately \$2.6 million and \$0.1 million, respectively, related to its investment in CSH Montreal, LP ("CSH Montreal"). Foreign currency translation loss is included in accumulated other comprehensive loss on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets and in comprehensive income (loss) in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

### ***Insurance Recoveries***

Insurance recoveries are amounts due or received under the Company's applicable insurance policies for asset damage and business interruption relating to the previously disclosed fire at The Grove at Pullman, Washington and to the damage at The Grove at Wichita Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas. Business interruption recovery is recorded when realized and included as a reduction within student housing operations expenses within the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). For the year ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company recognized approximately \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively of business interruption recovery. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company had a receivable for property damage of \$5.5 million and \$1.0 million, respectively.

### ***Consolidated Variable Interest Entity***

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company entered into a variable interest entity ("VIE") with Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC ("CBTC") to develop, construct and manage a student housing property in Ames, Iowa ("Copper Beech at Ames"). The Company concluded that it is the primary beneficiary of Copper Beech at Ames as the Company funded all of the equity of this entity, resulting in the Copper Beech investor's interest being deemed a de facto agent of Campus Crest. Therefore, the Company has consolidated the financial position and the results of operations of Copper Beech at Ames in the accompanying balance sheet, consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). The Company recorded \$1.3 million and \$0.5 million in revenues and expenses, respectively, related to the VIE for the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company recorded \$33.5 million in assets, \$22.3 million in liabilities, and \$5.6 million of noncontrolling interests on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014. The creditors of the loan for Copper Beech at Ames do not have recourse to the assets of Campus Crest, nor is the Company required to provide financial support to Copper Beech at Ames. On January 30, 2015, in connection with the Copper Beech purchase transaction (see Note 19), the Company's ownership interest in Copper Beech at Ames increased to a 100% interest.

### ***Immaterial Correction***

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company was made aware of a tax liability at one of its properties that extends back to the year ended December 31, 2009. The total impact of the tax liability is approximately \$2.3 million, of which \$0.4 million relates to 2014. The Company has adjusted the prior year consolidated financial statements presented herein to reflect the impact of this liability. For both the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, student housing operations expense on the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) has been increased by approximately \$0.4 million, and accumulated deficit and distributions on the accompanying consolidated statement of changes in equity as of December 31, 2011 has been increased by \$1.1 million. In addition, accrued accounts payable on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013 was increased by \$1.9 million. No changes in net cash provided by operating activities in the accompanying consolidated statement of cash flows resulted from the immaterial correction to prior periods.

### ***Recent Accounting Pronouncements***

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-02, "Consolidation (Topic 810)", which amends the consolidation requirements in ASC 810, "Consolidation". ASU 2015-02 affects reporting entities that are required to evaluate whether they should consolidate certain legal entities. All legal entities are subject to reevaluation under the revised consolidation model. Specifically, the amendments: (i) modify the evaluation of whether limited partnerships and similar legal entities are variable interest entities ("VIEs") or voting interest entities, (ii) eliminate the presumption that a general partner should consolidate a limited partnership, (iii) affect the consolidated analysis of reporting entities that are involved with VIEs, particularly those that have fee arrangements and related party relationships and (iv) provide a scope exception for certain entities. ASU 2015-02 is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the provisions of this guidance and the impact is not known.

In April 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-08, "Presentation of Financial Statements (Topic 205) and Property, Plant and Equipment (Topic 360) - Reporting Discontinued Operations and Disclosures of Disposals of Components of an Entity" ("ASU 2014-08"). ASU 2014-08 changes the threshold for disclosing discontinued operations and the related disclosure requirements. Pursuant to ASU 2014-08, only disposals representing a strategic shift, such as a major line of business, a major geographical area or a major equity investment, should be presented as a discontinued operation. The guidance is to be applied prospectively to new disposals and new classifications of disposal groups as held for sale after the effective date. ASU 2014-08 is effective for annual periods beginning on or after December 15, 2014 with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted ASU 2014-08 as of January 1, 2014.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-09, "Revenue from Contracts with Customers". This ASU requires an entity to recognize the amount of revenue to which it expects to be entitled for the transfer of promised goods or services to customers. This ASU will replace most existing revenue recognition guidance in U.S. GAAP when it becomes effective. The new standard is effective for the Company on January 1, 2017. Early application is not permitted. The standard permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are evaluating the effect that ASU 2014-09 will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. The Company has not yet selected a transition method nor have we determined the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting.

### **3. Student Housing Properties**

The following is a summary of the Company's student housing properties, net for the periods presented (in thousands):

December 31,

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|                                   | 2014      | 2013      |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Land                              | \$76,043  | \$58,439  |
| Buildings and improvements        | 781,739   | 597,141   |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 78,180    | 60,705    |
|                                   | 935,962   | 716,285   |
| Less: accumulated depreciation    | (128,121) | (102,356) |
|                                   | \$807,841 | \$613,929 |

Included in depreciation expense in the statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) is \$1.5 million, \$0.7 million and \$1.1 million of amortization expense for in-place leases in 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

In August 2014, the Company began operations at The Grove at Slippery Rock, Pennsylvania, The Grove at Grand Forks, North Dakota, The Grove at Mt. Pleasant, Michigan, The Grove at Gainesville, Florida, and Copper Beech at Ames, Iowa.

In January 2014, the Company acquired the remaining 80% ownership interests in HSRE IV, which owns The Grove at Denton, Texas, thereby increasing its student housing properties (see Note 6).

In July 2013, the Company experienced a fire at The Grove at Pullman, Washington, a property under construction, which resulted in a partial loss of the property. Management has estimated the loss to be approximately \$7.5 million, of which we have received \$2.5 million in insurance proceeds in 2013 and expect to receive additional proceeds in the first quarter of 2015. While no assurances can be given, after taking into account the Company's existing insurance coverage, management believes that the damages sustained as a result of this fire will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

#### 4. Strategic Repositioning Initiatives

The Company has begun to implement a strategic repositioning which includes, among other things:

- (1) Simplifying the business model by discontinuing all construction and development and focusing on organic growth;
- (2) Reducing the number of joint ventures through planned dispositions of certain assets within its joint ventures to simplify asset ownership structure and reduce exposure to off-balance sheet obligations;

(3) Disposing of land which was previously held for future development (held in land and property held for sale as of December 31, 2014, some of which was disposed of subsequent to the year ended December 31, 2014 (See Note 19) and the rest of which we expect to dispose of during 2015);

(4) Identifying costs savings at the Company's properties and at the corporate office; and

(5) Focusing time and resources on recruiting new members of our management team.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company discontinued all construction and development operations (see Note 7).

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recorded an other than temporary impairment of \$57.8 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for certain of our unconsolidated entities, including \$31.3 million related to HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X (the "HSRE Investments") and \$26.5 million related to our investment in CSH Montreal LP. Factors giving rise to the strategic repositioning, including results below our expectations in original underwriting as well as our communications from the venture partner during the year ended December 31, 2014 about their desire to dispose of certain properties in the HSRE Investments in the near term, resulted in our determination that an other than temporary impairment existed. See Note 11 for additional information on impairments.

In connection with exiting the construction and development businesses during 2014, the Company recorded impairment for land and pre-development costs of \$31.9 million in the consolidated statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss), based on their estimated fair values. The fair values were obtained from third-party appraisals based on comparable properties (market approach; which involved Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy). The land held for sale is expected to be disposed of pursuant to a standard sale process during 2015. See

## Note 19.

Additionally, the Company recorded \$15.1 million of impairments of other assets related to corporate infrastructure changes, as a result of the strategic repositioning. Assets impaired included the corporate aircraft and certain enterprise resource planning related assets.

The Company also terminated the employment of certain employees and eliminated positions as part of the strategic repositioning. In connection with these terminations, the Company recognized severance expense of \$9.1 million during the year ended December 31, 2014, of which \$2.9 million is included in loss from discontinued operations and \$6.2 million is included in operating expenses in the consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss). Severance expense included \$2.7 million for the acceleration of the vesting conditions of restricted shares. As of December 31, 2014, there was \$5.7 million included in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the consolidated balance sheet of which \$4.3 million and \$1.4 million is expected to be paid in 2015 and 2016, respectively. Significant components of the severance accrual during 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

|                              |         |
|------------------------------|---------|
| Balance at January 1, 2014   | \$-     |
| New charges                  | 6,411   |
| Cash payments                | (668 )  |
| Balance at December 31, 2014 | \$5,743 |

## 5. Income Taxes

The Company believes it is operating so as to qualify as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code. Therefore, it is not subject to federal income tax as long as the Company distributes at least 90% of its REIT taxable income to its stockholders each year. As a result, no provision for federal income taxes has been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT, it will be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on its taxable income and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed income. The Company's TRSs are subject to federal, state, and local income taxes. As such, deferred income taxes result from temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities of the TRSs for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates in effect in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to reverse. Significant components of the deferred tax assets and liabilities of the TRSs are as follows (in thousands):

|                                      | 2014    | 2013    |
|--------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| Deferred tax assets:                 |         |         |
| Solar investment tax credit          | \$2,116 | \$1,924 |
| Federal and state net operating loss | 2,284   | 79      |
| Other                                | 22      | 23      |
| Less: valuation allowance            | (4,002) | (484 )  |
| Total deferred tax assets            | 420     | 1,542   |
| Deferred tax liabilities:            |         |         |
| Deferred revenue                     | -       | (260 )  |
| Depreciation and amortization        | (420 )  | (355 )  |
| Total deferred tax liabilities       | (420 )  | (615 )  |
| Net deferred tax assets              | \$-     | \$927   |

The Company has a federal net operating loss ("NOL") of approximately \$5.9 million at December 31, 2014, which will expire in 2034. Additionally, the Company has state NOLs of approximately \$7.8 million and \$1.3 million at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively, that will expire at various times between 2018 and 2034.

Significant components of the Company's income tax provision are as follows (in thousands):

|                              | December 31,<br>2014 | December 31,<br>2013 | December 31,<br>2012 |
|------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| Current:                     |                      |                      |                      |
| Federal                      | \$ -                 | \$ -                 | \$ 150               |
| State                        | -                    | 200                  | 206                  |
| Current expense              | -                    | 200                  | 356                  |
| Deferred:                    |                      |                      |                      |
| Federal                      | 815                  | (885 )               | -                    |
| State                        | (84 )                | (42 )                | -                    |
| Deferred expense (benefit)   | 731                  | (927 )               | -                    |
| Income tax expense (benefit) | \$ 731               | \$ (727 )            | \$ 356               |

Due to the Company's decision to discontinue construction and development operations, it believes it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize the value of its deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance. Therefore, an increase of the valuation allowance of approximately \$3.5 million was recorded during the year ended December 31, 2014. The Company had no unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company is not under an income tax examination by the Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") or by any state or local taxing authority. The Company is no longer subject to income tax examinations by the IRS for tax years before 2011, or by state or local income tax authorities for tax years before 2010.



## 6. Business Acquisitions

### *Denton, Texas Acquisition*

In January 2014, the Company acquired HSRE's 80% ownership interest in HSRE IV, in which the Company previously held a 20% interest and which owns The Grove at Denton, Texas, for approximately \$7.7 million. Prior to the acquisition of this interest, the Company accounted for its ownership interest in the property under the equity method. In connection with evaluating the Company's investment in HSRE IV for impairment as of December 31, 2013, the Company recognized a loss of approximately \$0.3 million for the other than temporary decline in value of its previously held equity interest in the property. The acquisition date fair value of the Company's equity interest in HSRE IV immediately before the acquisition of the remaining interest in HSRE IV was \$1.9 million based on the purchase price of the transaction. Subsequent to the Company's acquisition of this interest, the Company consolidated the balance sheet and results of operations of The Grove at Denton, Texas. For the year ended December 31, 2014, the acquired property contributed a total of \$3.9 million in revenue and \$1.0 million in expenses, which included \$1.5 million of amortization expense of in-place intangible assets.

The following table is an allocation of the purchase price (in thousands):

|  |          |
|--|----------|
| Land   | \$4,770  |
| Buildings and improvements                                       | 18,276   |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment                                | 2,284    |
| In-place leases  | 1,524    |
| Other  | (377 )   |
| Fair value of debt at acquisition                                | (16,901) |
|  | 9,576    |
| Less estimated fair value of interest owned prior to acquisition | (1,915 ) |
|  | \$7,661  |

### ***Acquisition of Properties Under Development***

During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company acquired land at nine project sites. The purchase price for these nine sites totaled approximately \$32.4 million. The project sites were located in Slippery Rock, Pennsylvania, Grand Forks, North Dakota, Mt. Pleasant, Michigan, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, Louisville, Kentucky, Greensboro, North Carolina, Gainesville, Florida, Bellingham, Washington, and Ames, Iowa. During 2013, the Company contributed its investment in the Philadelphia, Pennsylvania project to a joint venture with Brandywine and HSRE. The project sites in Louisville, Kentucky, and Greensboro, North Carolina, were contributed into a joint venture with HSRE during 2013. The investment in Ames, Iowa is a development project in conjunction with Copper Beech. The Company did not acquire land for development in 2014.

### ***Copper Beech Acquisition***

In February 2013, the Company entered into purchase and sale agreements to acquire a 48.0% interest in a portfolio of 35 student housing properties, one undeveloped land parcel and a corporate office building held by the members of Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC (“CBTC”) and Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC (“CBTC PA”, together with CBTC, “Copper Beech” or the “Sellers”) (the “CB Portfolio”), and a fully integrated platform and brand with a management team, for an initial purchase price of approximately \$230.2 million, including the repayment of \$106.7 million of debt, with the remaining 52.0% interest in the CB Portfolio to be held by certain of the current members of CBTC and CBTC PA, (the “CB Investors”). To effect the acquisition of its 48.0% interest in the CB Portfolio, the Company entered into a purchase and sale agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”), and related transactions, with the members of CBTC and CBTC PA, to acquire in steps an additional 36.3% interest in the CB Portfolio. The Company also entered into a purchase and sale agreement with certain investors in the CB Portfolio who are not members of Copper Beech (the “Non-Member Investors”) to acquire the interests in the CB Portfolio held by such Non-Member Investors (the “Non-Member Purchase Agreement”). Pursuant to the Non-Member Purchase Agreement, the Company acquired approximately an 11.7% interest in the CB Portfolio from the Non-Member Investors. The Company refers to this transaction as the “CB Portfolio Acquisition.”

The CB Portfolio consists of 36 student housing properties, one undeveloped land parcel, and Copper Beech's corporate office building in State College, Pennsylvania. The CB Portfolio consists primarily of townhouse units located in eighteen geographic markets in the United States across thirteen states, with 30 of the 36 student housing properties having been developed by Copper Beech. As of the date of the CB Portfolio Acquisition, the CB Portfolio comprised approximately 6,242 rentable units with approximately 16,645 rentable beds. As of the date of the CB Portfolio Acquisition, the student housing properties had an average age of approximately 8.2 years.

The Company's investment in the CB Portfolio entitled it to a preferred payment of \$13.0 million for the first year of its investment and 48% of remaining operating cash flows. In connection with the CB Portfolio Acquisition, the Company loaned approximately \$31.7 million at an interest rate of 8.5% per annum to the CB Investors, which was repaid in connection with the Amendment to the Purchase Agreement (as described below).

#### ***Amendment to Copper Beech Purchase Agreement***

On September 30, 2013 and effective subject to the receipt of required third party consents, the Company entered into an Amendment (the "Amendment") to the Purchase Agreement. As consideration for entering into the Amendment, the Company paid the CB Investors \$4.0 million.

Pursuant to the terms of the Amendment, following receipt of required third party consents, the Company will transfer its 48.0% interest in five properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio (Copper Beech Auburn, Copper Beech Kalamazoo Phase 1, Copper Beech Kalamazoo Phase 2, Copper Beech Oak Hill and Copper Beech Statesboro Phase 1) back to the CB Investors and defer the acquisition of the two Phase II development properties (Cooper Beech Mt. Pleasant Phase 2 and Cooper Beech Statesboro Phase 2) until August 18, 2014 as consideration for an additional 19.0% interest in each of the remaining 30 properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio (the "Initial Copper Beech Properties"). Following the transfer of such properties, the Company held a 67.0% interest in each of 30 properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio, with the CB Investors holding the remaining 33.0% interest.

In addition, under the terms of the Amendment, the Company has the option, exercisable from March 18, 2014 through August 18, 2014, to acquire an 18.0% interest in each of the seven properties whose acquisition is being deferred (collectively, the “Deferred Copper Beech Properties”), which will entitle the Company to 33.0% of the operating cash flows of such Deferred Copper Beech Properties. The purchase price for the exercise of this option is approximately \$16.9 million. In order to exercise this option, the Company must also exercise the option to acquire an additional 18.0% interest in the Initial Copper Beech Properties, which is described below.

The Amendment was accounted for as a nonmonetary exchange. The interests in the five properties transferred were accounted for by the Company as investments under the equity method prior to the exchange. No gain or loss was recognized as a result of the transaction.

The Amendment also amends the Company’s options to acquire additional interests in the Copper Beech Portfolio as follows:

- Beginning March 18, 2014 through August 18, 2014, the Company had the option to acquire an additional 18.0% interest in the Initial Copper Beech Properties, increasing its aggregate interest in such properties to 85.0%, which entitled the Company to 100% of the operating cash flows of the Initial Copper Beech Properties. The aggregate purchase price for the exercise of this purchase option was approximately \$93.5 million plus debt repayment of approximately \$21.0 million.
- Subsequent to December 31, 2014, the Company completed the acquisition of the Copper Beech Portfolio (see Note 19). Prior to the acquisition, the Company had the option to acquire an additional 3.9% interest in the Initial Copper Beech Properties and an additional 70.9% interest in the Deferred Copper Beech Properties through May 2015, which would have increased its aggregate interest in all 37 properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio to 88.9% and entitled the Company to 100% of the operating cash flows of the Initial Copper Beech Properties and the Deferred Copper Beech Properties. The aggregate purchase price for the exercise of this purchase option was approximately \$100.7 million plus debt repayment of approximately \$19.0 million. Through May 2016, the Company had the option to acquire an additional 11.1% interest in the Copper Beech Portfolio, which would have increased its aggregate interest to 100%. The aggregate purchase price for the exercise of this purchase option was approximately \$53.4 million.

In connection with the Amendment, in October 2013, the Sellers repaid the entire principal balance of \$31.7 million outstanding under the loans previously provided by us.

#### *Dilution of Ownership*

On August 18, 2014, the Company elected not to exercise the first purchase option to acquire additional interests in the properties comprising the CB Portfolio. As a result of this decision, the Company is entitled to 48.0% of the operating cash flows in the 36 operating properties, one office building and one parcel of land as well as 45.0% of the proceeds of any sale of any portion of the CB Portfolio. In addition, any future purchase options to acquire additional interests in the CB Portfolio are forfeited. During the three months ended September 30, 2014, and as a result in the change in ownership percentage in the CB Portfolio, the Company incurred a non-cash charge of \$33.4 million, which is included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

Both the Company and the CB Investors hold joint approval rights for major decisions, including those regarding property acquisition and disposition as well as property operation. As such, the Company holds a noncontrolling interest in the CB Portfolio and accordingly applies the equity method of accounting. As of December 31, 2014, the Company held a 48% effective interest in 36 operating properties and two non-operating properties in the CB Portfolio.

The Company recognized approximately \$1.6 million and \$3.8 million in equity in losses of Copper Beech as well as no interest income and approximately \$1.4 million interest income from the loan to the CB Investors for the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively. Additionally, the Company recognized approximately \$3.0 million and \$1.1 million of transaction expenses related to the CB Portfolio Acquisition and incurred \$4.0 million and \$16.9 million of costs which were included in its investment basis in Copper Beech for the years ended December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively.

### ***Copper Beech Purchase***

On January 30, 2015, the Company closed on a transaction to acquire the remaining interest in certain of the Copper Beech properties (see Note 19).

### ***Toledo, Ohio Acquisition***

On March 2, 2013, the Company acquired 100% of the ownership interests in Campus Crest at Toledo, Ohio, a 382 unit and 629 bed property on the campus of the University of Toledo for approximately \$13.8 million. The following table is an allocation of the purchase price (in thousands):

|                            |          |
|----------------------------|----------|
| Land                       | \$2,855  |
| In-place leases            | 469      |
| Buildings and improvements | 9,496    |
| Furniture and fixtures     | 102      |
| Other                      | 879      |
|                            | \$13,801 |

### *Montreal, Quebec Acquisitions*

In July 2013, the Company entered into a joint venture, DCV Holdings, LP (“DCV Holdings”) with Beaumont Partners SA (“Beaumont”) to acquire a 711 room, 33-story hotel in downtown Montreal, Quebec, Canada, for approximately CAD 60.0 million. The joint venture has since converted the property into an upscale student housing tower featuring a mix of single and double units serving McGill University, Concordia University and L’Ecole de Technologie.

In December 2013, the Company and Beaumont formed a holding company, CSH Montreal LP (“CSH Montreal”), and DCV Holdings was subsequently contributed to CSH Montreal, such that CSH Montreal became the sole limited partner in DCV Holdings. In addition, following the insertion of CSH Montreal as the holding company in the joint venture arrangement, CSH Montreal acquired ownership of HIM Holdings LP (“HIM Holdings”), an entity formed to facilitate the acquisition of the Holiday Inn property in Canada. In January 2014, HIM Holdings completed the acquisition of a hotel property, which has been converted into an upscale student housing property serving McGill University. As of December 31, 2014, the Company owned a 47.0% common interest in CSH Montreal, the holding company of DCV Holdings.

## **7. Asset Dispositions and Discontinued Operations**

In connection with the strategic repositioning initiatives, the Company discontinued all construction and development operations. See Note 4 for additional information related to the strategic repositioning. In connection with the discontinuation of these operations, the Company has presented the results of construction and development as discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for all years presented. These operations were previously included in the development, construction and management services segment in the prior year’s consolidated financial statements. See Note 16 for additional segment information.

Below is a summary of the consolidated balance sheet for the construction and development operations for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013 (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|   | December 31, |          |
|---|--------------|----------|
|   | 2014         | 2013     |
| Cash  | \$1,118      | \$331    |
| Other assets  | 2,461        | 4,408    |
| Costs and earnings in excess of construction billings | 3,887        | 42,803   |
| Total assets  | 7,466        | 47,542   |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses                 | 6,050        | 18,660   |
| Construction billings in excess of cost and earnings  | 481          | 600      |
| Total liabilities                                     | 6,531        | 19,260   |
| Total net assets                                      | \$935        | \$28,282 |

Below is a summary of the results of operations for the construction and development operations for all periods presented (in thousands):

|  | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2014</b>                    | <b>2013</b> | <b>2012</b> |
| Revenue                                      | \$24,383                       | \$50,249    | \$53,736    |
| Construction and development service expense | (29,650)                       | 46,759      | 50,493      |
| Severance                                    | (2,959 )                       | -           | -           |
| Operating income (loss)                      | (8,226 )                       | 3,490       | 3,243       |
| Depreciation and amortization                | -                              | -           | -           |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations   | \$(8,226 )                     | \$3,490     | \$3,243     |

All construction and development projects were substantially complete as of December 31, 2014.

In December 2013, the Company sold four unencumbered, wholly-owned properties: The Grove at Jacksonville, Alabama, The Grove at Jonesboro, Arkansas, The Grove at Wichita, Kansas, and The Grove at Wichita Falls, Texas, for a combined sales price of \$51.0 million resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$48.6 million. In connection with the disposition of these properties, an impairment of \$4.7 million was recorded which is presented in discontinued operations in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss) for the year ended December 31, 2013. In December 2014, the Company paid \$1.4 million to the purchaser of these properties to settle certain claims in connection with the sale which is included in loss on discontinued operations during the year ended December 31, 2014 in the accompanying statement of operations and comprehensive income (loss). These properties were included in the Company's student housing properties segment. These properties were disposed of as of December 31, 2013 and therefore have no activity for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Below is a summary of the results of operations for the properties through the date of disposition for all periods presented (in thousands):

|  | <b>Year Ended December 31,</b> |             |             |
|--|--------------------------------|-------------|-------------|
|  | <b>2014</b>                    | <b>2013</b> | <b>2012</b> |
| Revenue                                    | \$-                            | \$9,754     | \$8,993     |
| Operating expenses                         | -                              | 5,354       | 5,184       |
| Loss on sale of disposed assets            | (1,350)                        | -           | -           |
| Operating income                           | (1,350)                        | 4,400       | 3,809       |
| Depreciation and amortization              | -                              | 2,672       | 3,144       |
| Net income                                 | \$(1,350)                      | \$1,728     | \$665       |
| Impairment on discontinued operations      | -                              | (4,729)     | -           |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations | \$(1,350)                      | \$(3,001)   | \$665       |

## **8. Investment in Unconsolidated Entities**



The Company has investments in real estate ventures with HSRE, the former members (the “CB Investors”) of Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC (“CBTC”) and Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC (“CBTC PA,” together with CBTC, “Copper Beech”), and Beaumont Partners SA (“Beaumont”) that the Company does not consolidate. These joint ventures are engaged primarily in developing, constructing, owning and managing student housing properties. Both the Company and its joint venture partners hold joint approval rights for major decisions, including those regarding property acquisitions and dispositions as well as property operation. As such, the Company has significant influence but not control in these joint ventures and accounts for them under the equity method of accounting.

The Company acts as the operating member and day-to-day manager for its investments with HSRE and Beaumont and earns fees for these management services. Additionally, for the periods presented, the Company has provided development and construction services to the ventures with HSRE, Copper Beech and Beaumont and recognized fees as the services are performed. The fees related to development and construction services are included in “Income (loss) from discontinued operations” in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

In January 2014, CSH Montreal LP (“CSH Montreal”), the Company’s joint venture with Beaumont, formed HIM Holdings LP (“HIM Holdings”), to facilitate the acquisition of the Holiday Inn Midtown in Montréal, Québec for approximately CAD 65 million (\$56.2 million). In connection with the acquisition of the Holiday Inn property, the Company increased its ownership interest from 20.0% to 47.0% in CSH Montreal, the joint venture that ultimately owns the Holiday Inn Midtown. In January 2014, with the acquisition of the Holiday Inn Midtown property, the Company provided CAD 16.0 million (\$13.8 million) of preferred bridge equity financing to CSH Montreal to be repaid on or before September 2, 2014. As of September 2, 2014, the Company’s preferred equity had not been repaid in full and as a result it is now entitled to 60.5% of all cash distributions related to earnings from CSH Montreal with Beaumont and its partners being entitled to the remaining 39.5%. The Company retains its common ownership interest of 47.0% in CSH Montreal and recognizes 47.0% in all losses from CSH Montreal with Beaumont and its partners recognizing the remaining 53.0%. The Company’s maximum exposure to loss is its investment in CSH Montreal and the amount, if any, that could be due under its debt guarantee described in Note 17. While the Company is not obligated to provide additional capital to CSH Montreal, the Company may provide such funding. The Company estimates that it will fund approximately \$4.7 million in future operating commitments, taxes and future capital needs through the 2014/2015 academic year. The Company funds operating commitments up to its 47.0% common ownership in the partnership. See Note 17 for additional information on these guarantees.

In conjunction with the Holiday Inn Midtown acquisition, CSH Montreal entered into a CAD 112.0 million (\$96.8 million at December 31, 2014 exchange rate) acquisition and development credit facility to help fund the conversion of both hotels into upscale student housing towers. The credit facility provides for variable interest-only payments at the higher of the Canadian Prime rate, which was 3.00% at December 31, 2014, plus a weighted average spread of 3.39% or the Canadian Dealer Offered Rate (“CDOR”), which was 1.3% at December 31, 2014, plus 1%, plus a weighted average spread of 3.39% through its maturity date on January 13, 2016. This facility has one twelve-month extension option, subject to lender approval.

In January 2014, the Company amended and restated the HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC operating agreement, which had the effect of exchanging its preferred interests in The Grove at San Angelo, Texas, and The Grove at Conway, Arkansas, for additional membership interests in HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC, effectively increasing the Company’s equity investment in the joint venture to 63.9% from 49.9%. HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC owns The Grove at San Angelo, Texas, The Grove at Lawrence, Kansas, and The Grove at Conway, Arkansas. In the event the joint venture is sold, the partners will share equally in the net proceeds. There were no other material changes to the agreement. Subsequent to December 31, 2014, the Company entered into a binding agreement to sell two of these properties. See Note 19 for additional information.

On August 18, 2014, the Company elected not to exercise the first purchase option to acquire additional interests in the properties comprising the CB Portfolio. As a result of this decision, the Company is entitled to 48.0% of the operating cash flows in the 36 unconsolidated operating properties, one office building and one parcel of land as well as 45.0% of the proceeds of any sale of any portion of the CB Portfolio. In addition, any future purchase options to acquire additional interests in the CB Portfolio are forfeited. As a result in the change in ownership percentage in the CB Portfolio, the Company incurred a charge of \$33.4 million, which is included in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income (loss).

The Company is the guarantor of the construction and mortgage debt or credit facilities of its joint ventures with HSRE and Beaumont. See Note 17. Details of the Company's unconsolidated investments at December 31, 2014 are presented in the following table (dollars in thousands):

| Unconsolidated Entities       | Our Ownership        | Year Founded | Number of Properties Operative | Total Investment | Total <sup>(5)</sup> Impairment | Net Total Investment | Debt               |                                | Maturity Date / Range  |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|--------------|--------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|
|                               |                      |              |                                |                  |                                 |                      | Amount Outstanding | Weighted Average Interest Rate |                        |
| HSRE-Campus Crest I, LLC      | 63.9%                | 2009         | 3                              | \$10,380         | \$(10,168)                      | \$212                | \$32,485           | 2.67% <sup>(1)</sup>           | 5/9/2015               |
| HSRE-Campus Crest V, LLC      | 10.0%                | 2011         | 3                              | 4,093            | (4,093)                         | -                    | 49,614             | 2.89% <sup>(1)</sup>           | 4/20/2015-5/05/2015    |
| HSRE-Campus Crest VI, LLC     | 20.0%                | 2012         | 3                              | 15,089           | (8,274)                         | 6,815                | 53,706             | 2.48% <sup>(1)</sup>           | 5/08/2015 – 12/19/2015 |
| HSRE-Campus Crest IX, LLC     | 30.0%                | 2013         | 1                              | 18,975           | -                               | 18,975               | 90,204             | 2.37% <sup>(1)</sup>           | 7/25/2016              |
| HSRE-Campus Crest X, LLC      | 30.0%                | 2013         | 2                              | 12,307           | (4,234)                         | 8,073                | 40,739             | 2.36% <sup>(1)</sup>           | 9/06/2016-9/30/2018    |
| CB Portfolio                  | 48.0% <sup>(3)</sup> | 2013         | 35                             | 218,718          | -                               | 218,718              | 227,698            | 5.14% <sup>(2)</sup>           | 9/01/2015 – 10/01/2015 |
| CSH Montreal                  | 47.0% <sup>(4)</sup> | 2013         | 2                              | 33,470           | (26,523)                        | 6,947                | 87,970             | 6.39% <sup>(1)</sup>           | 1/13/2016              |
| Total unconsolidated entities |                      |              | 49                             | \$313,032        | \$(53,292)                      | \$259,740            | \$582,416          | 4.13%                          |                        |

(1)

Variable interest rates.

(2)

Comprised of fixed rate debt.

(3) As of December 31, 2014, the Company had a 48.0% ownership interest in the CB Portfolio.

(4) As of January 2014, the Company's ownership increased to 20.0% from 47.0% due to the acquisition of Holiday Inn Midtown in Montreal, Quebec. See discussion above.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recognized an impairment of unconsolidated entities of \$57.8 million, of which \$53.3 million reduced the carrying value of the investments and \$4.5 million was recorded as a guarantee obligation in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

The following is a summary of the combined financial position of the Company's unconsolidated entities with HSRE and Beaumont in their entirety, not only its interest in the entities, for the periods presented (in thousands):

|  | December 31, |           |
|--|--------------|-----------|
|  | 2014         | 2013      |
| <b>Assets</b>  |              |           |
| Student housing properties, net  | \$437,108    | \$289,797 |
| Development in process   | 7,429        | 81,994    |
| Other assets   | 12,947       | 15,341    |
| Total assets   | \$457,484    | \$387,132 |
| <b>Liabilities and Equity</b>  |              |           |
| Mortgage and construction loans  | \$354,759    | \$165,445 |
| Other liabilities  | 29,364       | 58,948    |
| Owners' equity   | 73,361       | 162,739   |
| Total liabilities and owners' equity   | \$457,484    | \$387,132 |
| Company's share of historical owners' equity   | \$30,481     | \$41,390  |
| Preferred investment <sup>(1)</sup>  | 7,322        | 16,468    |
| Net difference in carrying value of investment versus net book value of underlying net assets <sup>(2)</sup> | 3,219        | 5,568     |
| Carrying value of investment in HSRE and other non-Copper Beech entities                                     | \$41,022     | \$63,426  |

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had Class B membership interests in The Grove at Indiana, Pennsylvania, The Grove at Greensboro, North Carolina, and The Grove at Louisville, Kentucky, of approximately \$2.7 million, \$2.7 million and \$1.9 million, respectively, entitling the Company to a 9.0% return on its investment upon the respective property being operational. The Company also had, from January to September 2, 2014, a CAD 16 million (\$13.8 million at December 31, 2014 exchange rate) Class A interest in CSH Holdings entitling it to a commitment fee of 1.0% of the Class A interest each quarter and a 10.0% annual return on its investment.

This amount represents the aggregate difference between the Company's carrying amount and its underlying equity in the net assets of its investments, which is typically amortized over the life of the related asset. The basis differential occurs primarily due to the other than temporary impairments recorded during 2014 (see Note 4), the difference between the allocated value to acquired entity interests and the venture's basis in those interests, the capitalization of additional investment in the unconsolidated entity, and the elimination of service related revenue to the extent of the Company's percentage ownership.

ASC 323 Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures and Article 4.08(g) of Regulation S-X requires that summarized financial information of material investments accounted for under the equity method be provided of the investee's financial position and results of operations including assets, liabilities and results of operations under the investee's historical cost basis of accounting. Notwithstanding the extensive efforts of the Company and Copper Beech to compile the necessary financial information, the Company has determined that the information needed for the preparation of historical financial statements of the CB Portfolio to satisfy these requirements is not available or otherwise sufficiently reliable. As a result, the Company has elected to present financial information on its investment in Copper Beech on the Company's cost basis for its investment as of December 31, 2014 as it believes this

information is reliable and relevant to the users of its financial statements. Further, although the Company acknowledges that the information provided does not comply with all of the provisions of ASC 323 or Article 4.08(g) of Regulation S-X, it does not believe that the lack of the omitted disclosure, or the information of the financial position reflecting the cost basis of its investment provided results in a material omission or misstatement of the Company's consolidated financial statements taken as a whole.

The following is a summary of the financial position reflecting the cost basis of the Company's investments in the Copper Beech entities in their entirety for the 35 and 28 unconsolidated properties in the CB Portfolio as of December 31, 2014 and December 31, 2013, respectively (in thousands):

|  | December 31,     |                  |
|--|------------------|------------------|
|  | 2014             | 2013             |
| <b>Assets</b>  |                  |                  |
| Student housing properties, net  | \$906,614        | \$748,280        |
| Intangible assets  | 7,212            | 37,100           |
| Other assets   | 14,293           | 5,201            |
| <b>Total assets</b>  | <b>\$928,119</b> | <b>\$790,581</b> |
| <b>Liabilities and Equity</b>  |                  |                  |
| Mortgage and construction loans  | \$476,985        | \$421,239        |
| Other liabilities  | 15,541           | 13,112           |
| Owners' equity   | 435,593          | 356,230          |
| <b>Total liabilities and owners' equity</b>  | <b>\$928,119</b> | <b>\$790,581</b> |
| Company's share of historical owners' equity   | \$199,281        | \$244,964        |
| Net difference in carrying value of investment versus net book value of underlying net assets <sup>(1)</sup> | 19,437           | 16,628           |
| Carrying value of investment in unconsolidated entity  | \$218,718        | \$261,592        |

<sup>(1)</sup> This amount represents the aggregate difference between the historical cost basis and the basis reflected at the entity level, which is typically amortized over the life of the related asset. The basis differential occurs primarily due to the impairment recognized in connection with not exercising the Copper Beech purchase option offset by the capitalization of transaction costs incurred to acquire the Company's interests in the Copper Beech entities.

The following is a summary of the combined operating results for the Company's unconsolidated entities with HSRE and Beaumont in their entirety, not only its interest in the entities, for the periods presented (in thousands):

|                               | Year Ended December 31, |           |           |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
|                               | 2014                    | 2013      | 2012      |
| Revenues                      | \$30,811                | \$23,422  | \$17,934  |
| Expenses:                     |                         |           |           |
| Operating expenses            | 21,846                  | 17,434    | 9,665     |
| Interest expense              | 7,577                   | 5,025     | 4,962     |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,091                  | 6,304     | 4,807     |
| Total expenses                | 40,514                  | 28,763    | 19,434    |
| Net loss                      | \$(9,703)               | \$(5,341) | \$(1,500) |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

The following is a summary of the operating results for the Company's unconsolidated Copper Beech entities in their entirety, not only its interest in the entities. For the year ended December 31, 2014, this summary includes results for 28 properties from January 1, 2014 through August 18, 2014 and results for 35 unconsolidated properties from August 19, 2014 through December 31, 2014 (in thousands):

|                               | Year Ended<br>December 31, 2014 | Period from<br>March 18, 2013 to<br>December 31, 2013 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| Revenues                      | \$ 83,108                       | \$ 67,545   |
| Expenses:                     |                                 |   |
| Operating expenses            | 34,525                          | 28,316  |
| Interest expense              | 13,152                          | 11,852  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 35,827                          | 56,106  |
| Total expenses                | 83,504                          | 96,274  |
| Net loss                      | \$ (396                         | ) \$ (28,729 )  |

During the year ended December 31, 2014 the CB Portfolio had net cash provided by operating activities of approximately \$24.6 million (unaudited), net cash used in investing activities of approximately \$(4.4) million (unaudited), and net cash used in financing activities of approximately \$(17.7) million (unaudited). During the year ended December 31, 2013, the CB Portfolio had net cash provided by operating activities of \$16.4 million (unaudited), net cash provided by investing activities of \$24.2 million (unaudited), and net cash provided by financing activities of \$9.1 million (unaudited), respectively.

The following table is the calculation of the Company's equity in losses in the CB Portfolio for the year ended December 31, 2014 and for the period from March 18, 2013 to December 31, 2013. As there are several components of the calculation, set forth below are notes corresponding to the notes included in the Company's calculation to further explain these components (in thousands).

|  | Year Ended<br>December 31,<br>2014 | Period from<br>March 18, 2013 to<br>December 31, 2013 |
|--|------------------------------------|---|
| Net loss incurred by the CB Portfolio based on the Company's purchase price <sup>(1)</sup> | \$ (396 )                          | \$ (28,729 )  |
| Campus Crest's share of net loss <sup>(2)</sup>  | (606 )                             | (9,215 )  |
| Campus Crest percentage of preferred payment <sup>(3)</sup>                                | 911                                | 5,663   |
| Campus Crest earnings from Copper Beech  | 305                                | (3,552 )  |
| Amortization of basis difference <sup>(4)</sup>  | (557 )                             | (264 )  |
| Total equity in earnings (loss) in the CB Portfolio  | \$ (252 )                          | \$ (3,816 )   |

The basis of the financial statements of the CB Portfolio used in the calculation of the Company's equity in earnings for the year ended December 31, 2014 was computed using the cost basis for its investment in the CB Portfolio's <sup>(1)</sup>underlying assets and liabilities for its properties at the dates the Company acquired its interests in the CB Portfolio. The calculation includes the effects of purchase price adjustments determined at the date the Company acquired its interests in the CB Portfolio.

The Company consummated the acquisition of a 48.0% interest in 36 properties of the CB Portfolio. As a result of lender consents that were required to be obtained from various lenders to the CB Portfolio prior to its ownership, the Company completed its acquisition of the 48.0% interest in stages, which resulted in a variation in its ownership percentage from 25.3% to 48.0% in all 36 of the CB Portfolio operating properties from March 18, 2013 to September 30, 2013. Effective October 1, 2013, the Company and the CB Portfolio sellers amended the purchase and sale agreement, subject to receipt of all required third party consents, to increase the Company's ownership interest by 19.0% in 28 of the 35 properties (thereby increasing its ownership in the 28 properties to 67%) in exchange for the Company's 48.0% interest in seven of the properties (thereby reducing its ownership in the seven properties to 0%) and deferring the Company's acquisition of any interests in two of the properties, plus a \$20.2 million cash payment. Accordingly, the Company recognized its proportionate share of earnings of the CB Portfolio for the specific percentage of ownership interest it held during the applicable period, which was a 67.0% effective interest in the 28 properties during the first and second quarters of 2014 and through August 18, 2014. Due to the Company's decision not to exercise the first purchase option to acquire additional interests in the CB Portfolio, on August 19, 2014, the Company's ownership interest in all 35 unconsolidated operating properties in the CB Portfolio reverted to 48.0% and



remained at 48.0% through December 31, 2014.

For the year ended December 31, 2014, the losses incurred from January 1, 2014 through August 18, 2014 while the  
(2) Company held 67% ownership in 28 of the properties exceeded the income earned from August 19, 2014 through  
December 31, 2014 while the Company held 48% ownership in 36 properties.

The Company was entitled to a preferred payment for the first year of its investment and the proportionate share of  
the remaining operating cash flows in the CB Portfolio and it was currently entitled to its proportionate share of the  
(3) operating cash flows in the CB Portfolio. During the period from March 18, 2013 to March 17, 2014, the Company  
was entitled to \$13.0 million related to its preferred payment, all of which has been paid, and the Company received  
approximately \$1.0 million in other distributions, as of December 31, 2014.

The Company recorded in the calculation of equity in losses in the CB Portfolio the amortization of the net  
(4) difference in the Company's carrying value of investment as compared to the underlying equity in net assets of the  
investee.

## 9. Debt

The following is a summary of the Company's mortgage and construction notes payable, the Credit Facility (defined below), Exchangeable Senior Notes (defined below), and other debt (in thousands):

|                              | Year Ended December 31, |            |
|------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|
|                              | 2014                    | 2013       |
| Fixed-rate mortgage loans    | \$ 163,341              | \$ 165,393 |
| Variable-rate mortgage loans | 16,613                  | -          |
| Construction loans           | 120,719                 | 40,138     |
| Line of credit               | 217,500                 | 108,500    |
| Exchangeable senior notes    | 97,419                  | 96,758     |
| Other debt                   | 2,827                   | 2,694      |
|                              | \$ 618,419              | \$ 413,483 |

### *Mortgage and Construction Loans*

Mortgage and construction loans are collateralized by properties and their related revenue streams. Mortgage loans are not cross-defaulted or cross-collateralized with any other indebtedness. The Company's mortgage loans generally may not be prepaid prior to maturity; however, in certain cases, prepayment is allowed subject to prepayment penalties. The Company's construction note agreements contain representations, warranties, covenants (including financial covenants upon commencement of operations) and other terms that are customary for construction financing. Construction loans are generally secured by a first deed of trust or mortgage on each property, primary UCC filings, and an assignment of rents, leases and profits from the respective property. Mortgage and construction loans for the periods presented consisted of the following (in thousands):

|                            | Face Amount | Principal Outstanding 12/31/2014 | Principal Outstanding 12/31/2013 | Stated Interest Rate | Interest Rate 12/31/2014 <sup>(1)</sup> | Maturity Date | Amortization  |
|----------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------|---|---------------|---------------|
| Construction loans         |             |                                  |                                  |                      |   |               |               |
| The Grove at Muncie        | \$ 14,567   | \$ 13,892                        | \$ 12,237                        | LIBOR + 225 BPS      | 2.42 %                                  | 7/3/2015      | Interest only |
| The Grove at Slippery Rock | 17,961      | 16,031                           | -                                | LIBOR + 215 BPS      | 2.32 %                                  | 6/21/2016     | Interest only |
| The Grove at Fort Collins  | 19,073      | 19,073                           | 17,228                           | LIBOR + 190 BPS      | 2.07 %                                  | 7/13/2015     | Interest only |
| The Grove at Pullman       | 16,016      | 10,886                           | 10,673                           | LIBOR + 220 BPS      | 2.37 %                                  | 9/5/2015      | Interest only |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|   |        |            |            |                 |      |   |            |               |
|---|--------|------------|------------|-----------------|------|---|------------|---------------|
| The Grove at Grand Forks                            | 16,916 | 12,474     | -          | LIBOR + 200 BPS | 2.17 | % | 2/5/2017   | Interest only |
| The Grove at Gainesville                            | 30,069 | 22,836     | -          | LIBOR + 215 BPS | 2.32 | % | 3/13/2017  | Interest only |
| Copper Beech at Ames                                | 23,551 | 21,170     | -          | LIBOR + 225 BPS | 2.42 | % | 5/2/2017   | Interest only |
| Toledo Vivo Mortgage loans                          | 9,404  | 4,357      | -          | LIBOR + 215 BPS | 2.32 | % | 11/25/2017 | Interest only |
| The Grove at Denton                                 | 17,167 | 16,613     | -          | LIBOR + 215 BPS | 2.32 | % | 3/1/2017   | 30 years (2)  |
| The Grove at Milledgeville                          | 16,250 | 15,640     | 15,847     | 6.12%           | 6.12 | % | 10/1/2016  | 30 years (2)  |
| The Grove at Carrollton and The Grove at Las Cruces | 29,790 | 28,674     | 29,052     | 6.13%           | 6.13 | % | 10/11/2016 | 30 years (2)  |
| The Grove at Asheville                              | 14,800 | 14,304     | 14,500     | 5.77%           | 5.77 | % | 4/11/2017  | 30 years (2)  |
| The Grove at Ellensburg                             | 16,125 | 15,845     | 16,070     | 5.10%           | 5.10 | % | 9/1/2018   | 30 years (3)  |
| The Grove at Nacogdoches                            | 17,160 | 16,857     | 17,100     | 5.01%           | 5.01 | % | 9/1/2018   | 30 years (3)  |
| The Grove at Greeley                                | 15,233 | 14,945     | 15,194     | 4.29%           | 4.29 | % | 10/1/2018  | 30 years (3)  |
| The Grove at Clarksville                            | 16,350 | 16,238     | 16,350     | 4.03%           | 4.03 | % | 7/1/2022   | 30 years (4)  |
| The Grove at Columbia                               | 23,775 | 22,738     | 23,180     | 3.83%           | 3.83 | % | 7/1/2022   | 30 years (2)  |
| The Grove at Statesboro                             | 18,100 | 18,100     | 18,100     | 4.01%           | 4.01 | % | 1/1/2023   | 30 years (5)  |
|   |        | \$ 300,673 | \$ 205,531 |                 |      |   |            |               |

For the construction loans, the maturity date is the stated maturity date in the respective loan agreements, which can (1) be extended for an additional one to two years, subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, depending on the loan.

(2) Loan requires monthly payments of principal and interest, plus certain reserve and escrows, until maturity when all principal is due.

(3) Loan requires interest only payments plus certain reserves and escrows, payable monthly through September 2013. Thereafter, principal and interest, plus certain reserves and escrows, are payable monthly until maturity.

(4) Loan requires interest only payments plus certain reserves and escrows, payable monthly through August 2014. Thereafter, principal and interest, plus certain reserves and escrows, are payable monthly until maturity.

(5) Loan requires interest only payments plus certain reserves and escrows, payable monthly through January 2015. Thereafter, principal and interest, plus certain reserves and escrows, are payable monthly until maturity.

### *Line of Credit*

In January 2013, the Company entered into the second amended and restated credit agreement (the "Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement"), which provides for a \$250.0 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") and a \$50 million term loan (the "Term Loan", together with the "Revolving Credit Facility", the "Amended Credit Facility"). Additionally, under certain circumstances, there is an accordion feature that allows the Company to request an increase in the total commitments of an additional \$300.0 million to a total commitment of \$600.0 million. The Second Amended and Restated Credit Facility will mature in January 2017 and contains a one-year extension option, subject to certain terms and conditions. Amounts outstanding under the Second Amended and Restated Credit Facility bears interest at a floating rate equal to, at the Company's election, the Eurodollar Rate or the Base Rate (each as defined in the Amended Credit Facility) plus a spread. The spread for borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility ranges from 1.75% to 2.50% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.75% to 1.50% for Base Rate based borrowings, and the spread for the Term Loan ranges from 1.70% to 2.45% for Eurodollar Rate based borrowings and from 0.70% to 1.45% for Base Rate based borrowings. At December 31, 2014 and 2013, the interest rate was 2.68% on the Revolving Credit Facility borrowings and 2.63% on the Term Loan.

As of December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$167.5 million outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility and \$50.0 million outstanding under the Term Loan. The amounts outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan, as well as outstanding letters of credit of \$3.4 million, will reduce the amount that the Company may be able to borrow under this facility for other purposes. As of December 31, 2014, the Company had approximately \$50.0 million in borrowing capacity under the Revolving Credit Facility, and amounts borrowed under the facility are due at its maturity in January 2017, subject to a one-year extension, which the Company may exercise at its option, subject to the satisfaction of certain terms and conditions, including the payment of an extension fee. The amount available for the Company to borrow under the Amended Credit Facility is based on the sum of (a) the lesser of (i) 60.0% of the "as-is" appraised value of the Company's properties that form the borrowing base of the Amended Credit Facility and (ii) the amount that would create a debt service coverage ratio of not less than 1.5, and (b) 50% of the aggregate of the lesser of (i) the book value of each of the Company's development assets (as such term is defined in the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement) and (ii) the "as-is" appraised value of each of the Company's development assets, subject to certain limitations in the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

The Company incurs an unused fee on the balance between the amount available under the Revolving Credit Facility and the amount outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility of (i) 0.30% per annum if the Company's average borrowing is less than 50.0% of the total amount available or (ii) 0.25% per annum if the Company's average borrowing is greater than 50.0% of the total amount available.

On February 25, 2015, the Company entered into the Second Amendment to the Revolving Credit Facility, which amended, among other things, certain of the financial covenants from and including December 31, 2014 until and including September 30, 2015 (the "Relief Period").

The Company's ability to borrow under the Amended Credit Facility is subject to its ongoing compliance with a number of customary financial covenants during the Relief Period, including:

- a maximum leverage ratio of not greater than 0.65:1.00;
- a minimum fixed charge coverage ratio of not less than 1.30:1.00;
- a minimum ratio of fixed rate debt and debt subject to hedge agreements to total debt of not less than 66.67%;
- a maximum secured recourse debt ratio of not greater than 20%;
- a minimum tangible net worth of not less than the sum of \$330,788,250 plus an amount equal to 75% of the net proceeds of any additional equity issuances; and
- a maximum secured debt ratio of not greater than 47.5%

Pursuant to the terms of the Amended Credit Facility, the Company may not pay distributions that exceed the greater of (i) 95.0% of funds from operations, or (ii) the minimum amount required for the Company to qualify and maintain its status as a REIT. If a default or event of default occurs and is continuing, the Company also may be precluded from making certain distributions (other than those required to allow the Company to qualify and maintain its status as a REIT). On September 30, 2014, the Company received a waiver with respect to the distribution payout ratio for each distribution payout date through the end of 2015. The waiver was expressly conditioned on the following: (i) no default or event of default shall have occurred and be continuing and (ii) as each test date during 2015, the payout ratio shall be equal to or less than (A) 105% or (B) such greater amount as may be required by applicable law for the Company to maintain its status of a REIT. Additionally, on February 25, 2015, the dividend payout ratio was amended to be calculated on a rolling twelve month pro forma basis based on the current quarterly dividend of \$0.09 per share.

During 2013, there were several amendments to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement. In February 2013, the Company amended the Second Amended Credit Facility to provide for certain exclusions related to its investments in joint ventures as well as the treatment of certain other investments within the compliance calculation of its secured debt ratio and certain negative covenants.

In April 2013, as a result of the CB Portfolio Acquisition, the Company received a waiver from its lender group allowing for distributions up to, and not to exceed, 110.0% of funds from operations for the remainder of 2013.

In June 2013, in connection with the Company's investment in its joint venture with Beaumont to acquire a property in Montreal, Quebec, Canada, the Company received a waiver from its lender group allowing the Company to guarantee debt incurred by its subsidiary, Campus Crest at Montreal I, LLC, to fund such investment, as there were no assets held by the joint venture at the time the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement was entered into.

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries guarantee the obligations under the Amended Credit Facility and the Company and certain of its subsidiaries have provided a negative pledge against specified assets (including real property), stock and other interests.

### ***Exchangeable Senior Notes***

The Company has outstanding \$100.0 million of Exchangeable Senior Notes due 2018 (the "Exchangeable Senior Notes") which bear interest at 4.75% per annum. Interest is payable on April 15 and October 15 of each year beginning April 15, 2014 until the maturity date of October 15, 2018. The Operating Partnership's obligations under the Exchangeable Senior Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by the Company. The Exchangeable Senior Notes are senior unsecured obligations of the Operating Partnership and rank equally in right of payment with all other existing and future senior unsecured indebtedness of the Operating Partnership.

The Exchangeable Senior Notes contain an exchange settlement feature which allows the holder, under certain circumstances, to exchange its Exchangeable Senior Notes for cash, shares of the Company's common stock or a combination of cash and shares of common stock, at the option of the Operating Partnership, based on an initial exchange rate of 79.602 shares of common stock per \$1,000 principal amount of Exchangeable Senior Notes. At the initial exchange rate, the Exchangeable Senior Notes are exchangeable for common stock at an exchange price of approximately \$12.56 per share of common stock.

The Exchangeable Senior Notes will be exchangeable by the holder under the following circumstances on or prior to July 15, 2018: i) during any calendar quarter beginning after December 31, 2013 (and only during such quarter) if the closing sale price of the common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, of the Company is more than 130% of the then-current exchange price for at least 20 trading days (whether or not consecutive) in the period of the 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the previous calendar quarter; ii) during the five consecutive business-day period following any five consecutive trading-day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of notes for each trading day during such five trading day period was less than 98% of the closing sale price of the common stock of Campus Crest, or Campus Crest common stock, for each trading day during such five

trading-day period multiplied by the then current exchange rate; or iii) upon the occurrence of specified corporate transactions described in the indenture governing the Exchangeable Senior Notes. On or after July 15, 2018, and on or prior to the second scheduled trading day immediately preceding the maturity date, holders of the Exchangeable Senior Notes may exchange their notes without regard to the foregoing conditions. Following certain corporate transactions that occur prior to maturity of the Exchangeable Senior Notes and that also constitute a make-whole fundamental change, the Operating Partnership will increase the exchange rate for holders who elect to exchange notes in connection with such make-whole fundamental change in certain circumstances. If specified fundamental changes involving the Operating Partnership or the Company occur, holders may require the Operating Partnership to repurchase the Exchangeable Senior Notes for cash at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount of the Exchangeable Senior Notes to be purchased plus any accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the repurchase date.

The Operating Partnership may not redeem the Exchangeable Senior Notes prior to the maturity date. At any time prior to July 15, 2018, the Operating Partnership may irrevocably elect, in its sole discretion without the consent of the holders of the Exchangeable Senior Notes, to settle all of the future exchange obligation entirely in shares of the Company's common stock. On or after July 15, 2018, the Exchangeable Senior Notes will be exchangeable at any time prior to the close of business on the second business day immediately preceding the maturity date.

In connection with the issuance of the Exchangeable Senior Notes, the Company recorded approximately \$97.4 million within line of credit and other debt on the accompanying consolidated balance sheet, based on the fair value of the instrument at the time of issuance, and approximately \$2.6 million in additional paid-in-capital, net of offering costs, in the accompanying consolidated statements of changes in equity. Amortization related to the \$2.6 million in additional paid in capital was \$0.5 million and \$0.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

### ***Other Debt***

In June 2013, the Company entered into a \$33.4 million (CAD 35.0 million) unsecured note payable in connection with its acquisition of a hotel in Montreal, Quebec, Canada. The note payable provided for interest-only payments at a variable interest rate equal to the Canadian Dealer Offered Rate ("CDOR"), which was 1.30% at December 31, 2014, plus a spread of 2.50%. During the year ended December 31, 2014, this facility was assigned to and assumed by CSH Montreal, an unconsolidated joint venture, at which time the Company became the sole guarantor of the facility. The note was repaid in full during the year ended December 31, 2014.

***Schedule of Debt Maturities***

Scheduled debt maturities for each of the five years subsequent to December 31, 2014 and thereafter, are as follows (in thousands):

|   |           |
|---|-----------|
| 2015  | \$47,022  |
| 2016  | 62,379    |
| 2017  | 310,493   |
| 2018  | 146,583   |
| 2019  | 1,353     |
| Thereafter  | 53,170    |
|   | 621,000   |
| Debt discount   | (2,581 )  |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2014, net of debt discount | \$618,419 |

Amortization of deferred financing costs was approximately \$2.3 million, \$1.8 million and \$2.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, respectively.

**10. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities**

The Company used variable rate debt to finance the construction of student housing properties for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013. These debt obligations allow exposure to variability in cash flows due to fluctuations in interest rates. The Company utilizes derivative instruments (interest rate caps) to limit variability for a portion of the interest payments and to manage exposure to interest rate risk. The Company has two interest rate caps totaling a notional amount of \$200 million. Both instruments have a strike rate of 2.5% with maturity dates of January 22, 2015 and July 22, 2015. As of December 31, 2014, the fair value of derivative contracts was insignificant.

Derivatives not designated as hedges are not speculative and are used to manage the Company's exposure to interest rate movements and other identified risks, but do not meet the strict hedge accounting requirements. Changes in the fair value of derivatives not designated in hedging relationships are recorded directly into earnings. The Company recorded an insignificant loss related to derivatives not designated in hedging relationships in earnings for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012.

**11. Fair Value Disclosures**



Fair value guidance for financial assets and liabilities that are recognized and disclosed in the consolidated financial statements on a recurring basis and nonfinancial assets on a nonrecurring basis establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

*Level 1* — Observable inputs, such as quoted prices in active markets at the measurement date for identical, unrestricted assets or liabilities.

*Level 2* — Other inputs that are observable directly or indirectly, such as quoted prices in markets that are not active or inputs which are observable, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

*Level 3* — Unobservable inputs for which there is little or no market data and which the Company makes its own assumptions about how market participants would price the asset or liability.

As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company's financial assets and liabilities carried at fair value on a recurring basis consisted of interest rate caps. As of December 31, 2014 and 2013, the fair value of the Company's interest rate caps, valued using level 2 inputs, was approximately zero.

### ***Fair Value of Financial Instruments***

The fair value of a financial instrument represents the amount at which the instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between market participants at the measurement date (exit price), other than in a forced sale or liquidation. In instances where inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the level in the fair value hierarchy within which the fair value measurement in its entirety has been determined is based on the lowest level input significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. Management's assessment of the significance of a particular input to the fair value measurement requires judgment and considers factors specific to the asset or liability.

Financial instruments consist primarily of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, student receivables, interest rate caps, accounts payable, mortgages, construction loans, Exchangeable Senior Notes, the line of credit and other debt. The carrying value of cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, student receivables and accounts payable are representative of their respective fair values due to the short-term nature of these instruments. The estimated fair value of the Company's revolving line of credit approximates the outstanding balance due to the frequent market based re-pricing of the underlying variable rate index. The estimated fair values of the Company's mortgages, construction loans and Exchangeable Senior Notes were determined by comparing current borrowing rates and risk spreads to the stated interest rates and risk spreads. The weighted average interest rate for all borrowings was 3.65% and 4.23% at December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

The following is a summary of the fair value of the Company's mortgages, construction loans payable, other debt and Exchangeable Senior Notes aggregated by the level in the fair value hierarchy within which those measurements fall (in thousands):

| December 31, 2014            | Estimated Fair Value   |  |  | Carrying Value |
|------------------------------|--|--|--|----------------|
|                              | Quoted Prices in<br>Active Markets<br>for Identifiable<br>Assets and<br>Liabilities<br>(Level 1) | Significant<br>Observable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 2) | Significant<br>Unobservable<br>Inputs<br>(Level 3) |                |
| Fixed-rate mortgage loans    | \$ -   | \$ 164,808                                       | \$ -   | \$ 163,341     |
| Variable-rate mortgage loans | -  | 16,467   | -  | 16,613         |
| Construction loans           | -  | 119,952  | -  | 120,719        |
| Exchangeable Senior Notes    | -  | 101,793  | -  | 97,419         |
| Other Debt                   | -  | 3,014  | -  | 2,827          |
| December 31, 2013            |  |  |  |                |
| Fixed-rate mortgage loans    | -  | 161,379  | -  | 165,393        |
| Construction loans           | -  | 40,258   | -  | 40,138         |
| Exchangeable Senior Notes    | -  | 98,547   | -  | 96,758         |
| Other Debt                   | -  | 2,671  | -  | 2,694          |

All of the Company's nonrecurring valuations made in connection with property acquisitions in Note 6 and impairments in Note 4 used significant unobservable inputs and, therefore, fall under Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

***Fair Value Measurements of Investments in Unconsolidated Entities***

Assets measured at fair value on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets consist of joint venture investments related to HSRE I, HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X (the “HSRE Investments”) and to the Company’s investment in CSH Montreal and land parcels that were written-down to estimated fair value at December 31, 2014. The valuation techniques and inputs used to develop the fair value estimates of the HSRE investments and certain land parcels are described in Note 4. Factors giving rise to the strategic repositioning, including results below expectations in original underwriting transactions and communication from the venture partner during the year ended December 31, 2014 about their desire to dispose of certain properties in the HSRE Investments in the near term, resulted in the Company’s determination that an other than temporary impairment existed. After the impairments were recorded, the carrying values of the Company’s HSRE Investments and investment in CSH Montreal were \$15.1 million and \$6.9 million, respectively. The Company engaged third-party specialists to assist them with the Company’s valuation of certain of the underlying properties in the HSRE Investments. An income approach was used to determine the fair value of the Company’s HSRE Investments. Inputs and assumptions included in the determination of fair value included the Company’s expectation of projected net operating income to be earned ranging from \$1.0 million to \$2.6 million and capital expenditures to be incurred at the underlying properties and capitalization rates ranging between 5.9% and 8.5%. The capitalization rates were determined based on the marketability of each of the properties and the extent to which the operations of the property has stabilized. For the Company’s investment in CSH Montreal, the Company used a discounted cash flow valuation technique to estimate the fair value of the Company’s investment. The discounted cash flows take into consideration current occupancy levels with revenue per available bed increasing in conjunction with occupancies growing up to 92% over a four year period, an expected exit value based on a 7.25% capitalization rate, and a 9.25% discount rate. The discount rate includes the Company’s belief that the properties have not stabilized yet, given the occupancy levels of the properties owned by CSH Montreal during its first year of operations. These valuation techniques involve Level 3 inputs in the fair value hierarchy, and the Company believes that the highest and best use of these properties continues to be for student housing.

The table below aggregates the fair values of these assets by their level in the fair value hierarchy (in thousands):

|                         | December 31, 2014 |         |         |            |
|-------------------------|-------------------|---------|---------|------------|
|                         | Total             | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3    |
| HSRE JV - I             | \$212             | \$ -    | \$ -    | \$212      |
| HSRE JV - V             | -                 | -       | -       | -          |
| HSRE JV - VI            | 6,815             | -       | -       | 6,815      |
| HSRE JV - X             | 8,073             | -       | -       | 8,073      |
| CSH Montreal            | 6,947             | -       | -       | 6,947      |
| Land Parcels and Toledo | 45,518            | -       | -       | 45,518     |
| Total assets            | \$67,565          | \$ -    | \$ -    | \$67,565   |
| HSRE JV - V             | (4,500 )          | -       | -       | (4,500 )   |
| Total liabilities       | \$(4,500 )        | \$ -    | \$ -    | \$(4,500 ) |

## 12. Earnings per Share

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares of the Company's common stock outstanding during the period. All unvested stock-based payment awards are included in the computation of basic earnings per share. The computation of diluted earnings per share includes common stock issuable upon the conversion of Exchangeable Senior Notes and other potentially dilutive securities in the weighted average shares, unless the effect of their conversion is anti-dilutive in nature.

Computations of basic and diluted income (loss) per share for the periods presented are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

|   | Year Ended December 31, |          |         |
|---|-------------------------|----------|---------|
|   | 2014                    | 2013     | 2012    |
| Basic earnings:   |                         |          |         |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations  | \$(154,380)             | \$749    | \$6,510 |
| Preferred stock dividends   | 12,200                  | 6,183    | 4,114   |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to noncontrolling interests   | (1,166 )                | (37 )    | 19      |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders        | (165,414)               | (5,397 ) | 2,377   |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations  | (9,576 )                | 489      | 3,908   |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to noncontrolling interests | (67 )                   | 3        | 27      |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders      | (9,509 )                | 486      | 3,881   |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|  |  |  |  |             |           |         |
|--|--|--|--|-------------|-----------|---------|
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                          |  |  |  | \$(174,923) | \$(4,911) | \$6,258 |
| Weighted average common shares and OP Units outstanding:                       |  |  |  |             |           |         |
| Basic  |  |  |  | 65,102      | 59,984    | 34,781  |
| Incremental shares from assumed conversion <sup>(1)</sup>                      |  |  |  | -           | 434       | 436     |
| Diluted  |  |  |  | 65,102      | 60,418    | 35,217  |
| Basic and diluted earnings per share:  |  |  |  |             |           |         |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations attributable to common stockholders   |  |  |  | \$(2.54)    | \$(0.08)  | \$0.07  |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations attributable to common stockholders |  |  |  | (0.15)      | -         | 0.11    |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                          |  |  |  | \$(2.69)    | \$(0.08)  | \$0.18  |

The effect of the inclusion of all potentially dilutive securities for 2014 and 2013 would be anti-dilutive when computing diluted earnings per share. Therefore, the computation of both basic and diluted earnings per share is the <sup>(1)</sup>same. For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, shares issuable upon settlement of the exchange feature of the Exchangeable Senior notes were anti-dilutive and were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share based on the “if-converted” method.

### 13. Equity

#### *Preferred Stock*

The Company's 8.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred Stock") ranks senior to the Company's common stock with respect to dividend rights and rights upon the voluntary or involuntary liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company's affairs. The Company pays cumulative dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock from the date of original issue at a rate of 8.00% per annum of the \$25.00 liquidation preference per share (equivalent to the fixed annual rate of \$2.00 per share). Dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock are payable quarterly in arrears on or about the 15th day of January, April, July and October of each year.

The Company may not redeem the Series A Preferred Stock prior to February 9, 2017, except in limited circumstances relating to the Company's ability to qualify as a REIT. On or after February 9, 2017, the Company may, at its option, redeem the Series A Preferred Stock, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus all accrued and unpaid dividends on such Series A Preferred Stock to, but not including, the date of redemption. The Series A Preferred Stock has no maturity date and is not subject to mandatory redemption or any sinking fund. Holders of shares of the Series A Preferred Stock will generally have no voting rights except for limited voting rights if the Company fails to pay dividends for six or more quarterly periods (whether or not consecutive) and in certain other circumstances.

In February 2012, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of approximately 2.3 million shares of its Series A Preferred Stock, including approximately 0.3 million shares issued and sold pursuant to the exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option in full to purchase additional shares of the Series A Preferred Stock. The shares of Series A Preferred Stock were issued at a public offering price of \$25.00 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$54.9 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and other estimated offering expenses of approximately \$2.6 million. The Company used the net proceeds to repay approximately \$48.9 million of indebtedness outstanding under two construction loans which had been used as partial funding for the four properties that were delivered for the 2011-2012 academic year. The Company used the remaining proceeds for general corporate purposes, including funding properties currently under development.

In October 2013, the Company reopened its Series A Preferred Stock in an underwritten public offering of 3.8 million shares, including 0.4 million shares issued and sold pursuant to the partial exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares of the Series A Preferred Stock. The shares of Series A Preferred Stock were issued at a public offering price of \$25.0611 per share, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$91.3 million, after deducting the underwriting discount and other estimated offering expenses of approximately \$4.0 million. The Company used the net proceeds, as well as the net proceeds from its issuance of Exchangeable Senior Notes (see Note 9), to repay approximately \$46.8 million of indebtedness outstanding under three construction loans, to pay down the Credit Facility and for general corporate purposes.

*Common Shares and OP Units*

An OP Unit and a share of the Company's common stock have essentially the same economic characteristics as they share equally in the net income (loss) and distributions of the Operating Partnership. An OP Unit may be tendered for redemption for cash or share of common stock; however, the Company has sole discretion and must have a sufficient amount of authorized common stock to exchange OP Units for shares of common stock on a one-for-one basis.

In July 2012, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of approximately 7.5 million shares of common stock, including approximately 1.0 million shares issued and sold pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$72.2 million. The net proceeds were used to: (1) acquire the remaining ownership interests in The Grove at Moscow, Idaho and The Grove at Valdosta, Georgia that the Company did not already own, and to repay the mortgage debt secured by these properties; and (2) reduce borrowings outstanding under the Credit Facility. Remaining net proceeds were used for general corporate purposes.

In March 2013, the Company completed an underwritten public offering of approximately 25.5 million shares of common stock, including approximately 3.3 million shares issued and sold pursuant to the full exercise of the underwriters' option to purchase additional shares, resulting in net proceeds of approximately \$299.7 million. The net proceeds were used: (1) to fund the Company's investment in the CB Portfolio and related transactional costs, including investment banking advisory fees; and (2) for general corporate purposes, including the repayment of debt.

In April 2013, the Board of Directors of the Company approved Articles of Amendment to the Company's Articles of Amendment and Restatement to increase the number of authorized shares of the Company to 550 million shares of stock, consisting of 500 million shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, and 50 million shares of preferred stock, \$0.01 par value per share.

In June 2013, the Company implemented an At-The-Market offering program under which the Company may sell at market price up to \$100.0 million in shares of the Company's common stock over the term of the program. At December 31, 2014, the Company had not issued and sold any shares under this program.

As of December 31, 2014, there were approximately 65.1 million OP Units outstanding, of which approximately 64.7 million, or 99.3%, were owned by the Company and approximately 0.4 million, or 0.7%, were owned by other partners. As of December 31, 2014, the fair market value of the OP Units not owned by the Company was \$2.9 million, based on a market value of \$7.31 per unit, which was the closing price per share of the Company's common stock on the New York Stock Exchange on December 31, 2014.

The following is a summary of changes in the shares of the Company's common stock for the periods shown (in thousands):

|                                      | For the Year Ended   |                   |
|--------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|
|                                      | December<br>31, 2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| Common shares at beginning of period | 64,502               | 38,558            |
| Issuance of common shares            | 33                   | 25,530            |
| Issuance of restricted shares        | 357                  | 496               |
| Forfeiture of restricted shares      | (150 )               | (82 )             |
| Common shares at end of period       | 64,742               | 64,502            |

The following is a summary of changes in the number of OP Units for the periods shown (in thousands):

|                                 | For the Year Ended      |                   |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------|
|                                 | December<br>31,<br>2014 | December 31, 2013 |
| OP Units at beginning of period | 434                     | 436               |
| Redemption of OP Units          | (33 )                   | (2 )              |
| OP Units at end of period       | 401                     | 434               |

***Dividends and Distributions***



Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, the Company declared dividends per common share and OP Unit of \$0.585 totaling approximately \$38.1 million, \$0.66 totaling approximately \$42.9 million, and \$0.64 totaling approximately \$22.6 million, respectively.

For the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, the Company declared dividends per share of Series A Preferred Stock of \$2.00 totaling approximately \$12.2 million and \$2.00 totaling approximately \$6.5 million, respectively.

On December 19, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors declared a fourth quarter 2014 dividend of \$0.090 per share of common stock and OP Unit. The dividends were paid on January 29, 2015, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2014, the Company accrued approximately \$5.9 million related to its common stock dividend in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Company's accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

On December 19, 2014, the Company's Board of Directors also declared a cash dividend of \$0.50 per share of Series A Preferred Stock for the fourth quarter of 2014. The preferred stock dividend was paid on January 15, 2015, to stockholders of record on December 31, 2014. At December 31, 2014, the Company accrued approximately \$3.1 million related to its preferred stock dividend in accounts payable and accrued expenses in the Company's accompanying consolidated balance sheet.

The following is a summary of the taxable nature of the Company's dividends paid for the periods shown:

|                         | For the Years Ended December 31, |         |           |         |           |         |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|---------|
|                         | 2014                             |         | 2013      |         | 2012      |         |
|                         | Per Share                        | %       | Per Share | %       | Per Share | %       |
| <b>Common Stock:</b>    |                                  |         |           |         |           |         |
| Ordinary Dividend       | \$-                              | 0.0 %   | \$0.097   | 14.8 %  | \$0.018   | 2.8 %   |
| Qualified Dividend      | -                                | 0.0 %   | 0.008     | 1.2 %   | 0.001     | 0.2 %   |
| Capital Gain            | -                                | 0.0 %   | -         | 0.0 %   | -         | 0.0 %   |
| Unrecaptured Sec. 1250  | -                                | 0.0 %   | 0.019     | 2.9 %   | -         | 0.0 %   |
| Return of Capital       | 0.660                            | 100.0 % | 0.531     | 81.1 %  | 0.621     | 97.0 %  |
| Total                   | \$0.660                          | 100.0 % | \$0.655   | 100.0 % | \$0.640   | 100.0 % |
| <b>Preferred Stock:</b> |                                  |         |           |         |           |         |
| Ordinary Dividend       | \$-                              | 0.0 %   | \$1.565   | 78.3 %  | \$1.272   | 92.7 %  |
| Qualified Dividend      | -                                | 0.0 %   | 0.128     | 6.4 %   | 0.100     | 7.3 %   |
| Capital Gain            | -                                | 0.0 %   | -         | 0.0 %   | -         | 0.0 %   |
| Unrecaptured Sec. 1250  | -                                | 0.0 %   | 0.307     | 15.3 %  | -         | 0.0 %   |
| Return of Capital       | 2.000                            | 100.0 % | -         | 0.0 %   | -         | 0.0 %   |
| Total                   | \$2.000                          | 100.0 % | \$2.000   | 100.0 % | \$1.372   | 100.0 % |

The Company has the right to accumulate and not pay dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock. If dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock are not paid, holders of our Common Stock will not receive any dividend distributions.

#### 14. Incentive Plans

The Company has adopted the Amended and Restated Equity Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Incentive Plan") which permits the grant of incentive awards to executive officers, employees, consultants and non-employee directors. The aggregate number of awards approved under the Incentive Plan is 5.3 million. As of December 31, 2014, and December 31, 2013, approximately 2.5 million and 0.3 million shares, respectively, were available for issuance under the Incentive Plan.

##### *Restricted Stock Awards*

Awards to executive officers and employees vest over a three year period and are subject to restriction based upon employment in good standing with the Company. Awards to non-employee directors vest over a three or five year

period and are subject to restriction based upon continued service on the Board of Directors.

At December 31, 2014, total unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted stock awards was approximately \$2.9 million and is expected to be recognized over a remaining weighted average period of 1.3 years.

During the year ended December 31, 2014, the Company recognized stock compensation expense of approximately \$4.4 million (net of vesting forfeitures of approximately \$0.2 million) and capitalized stock compensation expense of approximately \$1.3 million. Included in this amount is stock compensation expense associated with accelerated vesting totaling \$2.7 million related to severance, of which \$2.2 million is included in severance expense and \$0.5 million is included in income (loss) from discontinued operations. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized stock compensation expense of approximately \$1.9 million (net of vesting forfeitures of approximately \$0.5 million) and capitalized stock compensation expense of approximately \$0.9 million. During the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company recognized stock compensation expense of approximately \$1.0 million (net of vesting forfeitures of approximately \$0.1 million) and capitalized stock compensation expense of approximately \$0.6 million.

#### ***Restricted OP Units***

At December 31, 2014, the Company had no remaining unrecognized compensation cost related to restricted OP Units. During the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company recognized stock compensation expense related to the vesting of restricted OP Units of approximately \$0.2 million and capitalized stock compensation expense of approximately \$0.3 million. There were no forfeitures of restricted OP Units during 2014 and 2013.

The following is a summary of the Company's plan activity for the periods shown (in thousands, except weighted average grant price):

|  | Restricted<br>Stock | Restricted<br>OP Unit | Total | Weighted Avg<br>Grant Price |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|-------|-----------------------------|
| Unvested shares at beginning of period (carry-forward) | 648                 | -                     | 648   | \$ 11.97                    |
| Granted  | 357                 | -                     | 357   | 9.01                        |
| Vested   | (613 )              | -                     | (613) | 8.75                        |
| Forfeited  | (104 )              | -                     | (104) | 9.66                        |
| Unvested shares at December 31, 2014                   | 288                 | -                     | 288   | 11.28                       |

## 15. Related Party Transactions

The Company leases aircraft from entities in which two of its former executive officers have an ownership interest. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, the Company incurred lease payments related to these entities of approximately \$0.4 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.2 million, respectively. In addition to the minimum lease payments, the Company incurred related operating expenses in connection to the running of the aircrafts. For the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013, and 2012, the Company incurred operating costs of \$2.5 million, \$4.7 million and \$3.9 million, respectively.

The Company is party to an agreement with an initial term of five years with a subsidiary of an entity affiliated with its Executive Chairman and interim Chief Executive Officer pursuant to which it offers its tenants a program of insurance services and products. Pursuant to the agreement, the Company received an upfront payment of \$100,000 and will receive fees for each tenant it referred that enrolls in the program. Additionally, the Company remits fees paid by the tenant for insurance services and products to the entity affiliated with its Executive Chairman and interim Chief Executive Officer. The Company is not the insurer for such insurance services and products sold. The Company remitted \$1.3 million and \$0.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2014 and 2013, respectively.

## 16. Segments

The operating segments in which management assesses performance and allocates resources are student housing operations and property management services. The Company's segments reflect management's resource allocation and performance assessment in making decisions regarding the Company. The Company's student housing rental and student housing services revenues are aggregated within the student housing operations segment. Upon discontinuation of the construction and development operations of the business, the Company identified its two segments as student housing operations and property management services. All construction and development activities are reported in discontinued operations at December 31, 2014.



The following tables set forth the Company's segment information for the periods presented (in thousands):

|   | For the Year Ended December 31, |             |            |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|------------|
|   | 2014                            | 2013        | 2012       |
| <b>Student Housing Operations:</b>                        |                                 |             |            |
| Revenues from external customers                          | \$105,492                       | \$91,250    | \$74,091   |
| Operating expenses  | 75,904                          | 63,351      | 53,856     |
| Income from wholly-owned student housing operations       | 29,588                          | 27,899      | 20,235     |
| Severance expense   | (700 )                          | -           | -          |
| Impairment of unconsolidated entities                     | (57,789 )                       | -           | -          |
| Effect of not exercising Copper Beech purchase option     | (33,375 )                       | -           | -          |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of unconsolidated entities    | (5,510 )                        | (3,727 )    | 361        |
| Operating income (loss)                                   | (67,786 )                       | 24,172      | 20,596     |
| Nonoperating expenses                                     | (10,200 )                       | (10,529 )   | (10,246 )  |
| Net income (loss)   | (77,986 )                       | 13,643      | 10,350     |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | (750 )                          | 136         | 106        |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders     | \$(77,236 )                     | \$13,507    | \$10,244   |
| Depreciation and amortization                             | \$28,273                        | \$22,356    | \$20,377   |
| Capital expenditures                                      | \$188,495                       | \$142,167   | \$111,167  |
| Investment in unconsolidated entities                     | \$259,740                       | \$324,838   | \$22,555   |
| Total segment assets at end of period                     | \$1,165,523                     | \$1,132,371 | \$691,671  |
| <b>Property Management Services:</b>                      |                                 |             |            |
| Revenues from external customers                          | \$1,249                         | \$820       | \$559      |
| Intersegment revenues                                     | 153                             | 272         | 256        |
| Total revenues  | 1,402                           | 1,092       | 815        |
| Operating expenses  | 3,983                           | 2,638       | 2,107      |
| Operating loss  | (2,581 )                        | (1,546 )    | (1,292 )   |
| Nonoperating expenses                                     | -                               | -           | -          |
| Net loss  | (2,581 )                        | (1,546 )    | (1,292 )   |
| Net income (loss) attributable to noncontrolling interest | (25 )                           | (15 )       | (12 )      |
| Net loss attributable to common stockholders              | \$(2,556 )                      | \$(1,531 )  | \$(1,280 ) |
| Depreciation and amortization                             | \$96                            | \$234       | \$14       |
| Total segment assets at end of period                     | \$-                             | \$-         | \$-        |
| <b>Reconciliations:</b>                                   |                                 |             |            |
| Total segment revenues                                    | \$106,894                       | \$92,342    | \$74,906   |
| Elimination of intersegment revenues                      | (153 )                          | (272 )      | (256 )     |
| Total consolidated revenues                               | \$106,741                       | \$92,070    | \$74,650   |
| Segment operating income (loss)                           | \$(70,367 )                     | \$22,626    | \$19,304   |
| Interest expense  | (16,156 )                       | (12,969 )   | (11,545 )  |
| Impairment of land & pre-development costs                | (31,927 )                       | -           | -          |
| Write-off of corporate other assets                       | (15,110 )                       | -           | -          |
| Severance expense   | (5,459 )                        | -           | -          |
| Corporate depreciation and amortization                   | (1,057 )                        | -           | -          |

Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|   |              |             |           |
|---|--------------|-------------|-----------|
| Net unallocated expenses related to corporate overhead                        | (13,615 )    | (11,049 )   | (7,037 )  |
| Other income (expense)  | 42           | 1,414       | 6,144     |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations, before income tax benefit (expense) | \$(153,649 ) | \$22        | \$6,866   |
| <br>  |              |             |           |
| Total segment assets  | \$1,165,523  | \$1,132,371 | \$691,671 |
| Unallocated corporate assets and eliminations                                 | 11,288       | 50,308      | 4,649     |
| Total assets at end of period   | \$1,176,811  | \$1,182,679 | \$696,320 |

## 17. Commitments and Contingencies

### *Commitments*

In the ordinary course of business, certain liens related to the construction of the student housing real estate property may be attached to the Company's assets by contractors or suppliers. Campus Crest Construction, LLC, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, is responsible as the general contractor for resolving these liens. There can be no assurance that the Company will not be required to pay amounts greater than currently recorded liabilities to settle these claims.

The Company has properties that are subject to long-term ground leases. Typically, these properties are located on the campuses of colleges or universities. The Company has the right to encumber its leasehold interests with specific property mortgages for the purposes of constructing, remodeling or making improvements on or to these properties. Title to all improvements paid for and constructed on the land remains with the Company until the earlier of termination or expiration of the lease, at which time the title of any buildings constructed on the land will revert to the landlord. Should the Company decide to sell its leasehold interests during the initial term or any renewal terms, the landlord has a right of first refusal to purchase the interests for the same purchase price under the same terms and conditions as contained in the Company's offer to sell its leasehold interests.

Campus Crest leases space for its corporate headquarters office. Rent is recognized on a straight-line basis. Future minimum payments over the life of the Company's corporate office lease and long-term ground leases subsequent to December 31, 2014 are as follows (in thousands):

|                                     |                       |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| 2015                                | \$ 1,293              |
| 2016                                | 1,304                 |
| 2017                                | 1,320                 |
| 2018                                | 1,309                 |
| 2019                                | 1,327                 |
| Thereafter                          | 26,821 <sup>(1)</sup> |
| Total future minimum lease payments | \$ 33,374             |

The Company's lease obligations total approximately \$1.3 million per year through the year 2023. In addition to <sup>(1)</sup>operating and office leases, the Company has ground leases that average \$0.4 million per year through the year 2081.



The Company paid rent related to its corporate headquarters office of \$1.2 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The Company guarantees certain mortgage and construction loans and revolving credit facilities related to the Company's unconsolidated joint ventures. As of December 31, 2014, the Company guarantees: up to 100% of \$32.5 million of debt through May 2015 for HSRE I; up to 50% of \$144.1 million of debt with varying maturity dates from March 2015 through September 2018 for HSRE V, HSRE VI and HSRE X; and up to 25% of \$90.2 million of debt maturing in July 2016 related to HSRE IX. Of the amounts guaranteed for HSRE V, \$13.3 million relates to a property that was sold on January 30, 2015, as described in Note 19. In connection with the guarantee for HSRE I, there is \$3.0 million held in escrow that could be used to satisfy a portion of the amount potentially paid under the guarantee. Should there be an event of default in connection with this debt, the Company could be required to fund under these guarantees a maximum amount up to the percentage of the guaranteed amount of the balance of the debt outstanding as of December 31, 2014. The Company estimated the fair value of the guarantees to be approximately \$9.4 million, \$3.2 million of which relates to the Company's HSRE I investment and is netted against its investment and \$6.2 million of which relates to the Company's HSRE V investment and is netted against the value of the investment to the extent the investment is reduced to zero. Following the reduction of the HSRE V investment to zero, a remaining accrual of \$4.5 million is presented in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014.

In connection with the Company's investment in CSH Montreal, the Company provides a guarantee of up to 50% of the outstanding balance of the acquisition and development credit facility ("CSH Montreal Debt") of CAD 112.0 million (\$96.8 million at December 31, 2014 exchange rate). As of December 31, 2014, the outstanding balance of the CSH Montreal Debt was CAD 101.8 million (\$88.0 million at December 31, 2014 exchange rate), of which the Company guaranteed CAD 50.9 (\$44.0 million at December 31, 2014 exchange rate). The term of the guarantee follows the term of the underlying debt, which matures on January 13, 2016, unless the twelve month extension, which is subject to lender approval, is exercised. The CSH Montreal debt is secured by, among other things, a first mortgage position on the real estate and improvements owned by CSH Montreal. The Company has estimated the fair value of this guarantee to be immaterial.

Except as disclosed above, the Company does not expect that the borrowers will default on the underlying debt arrangements and accordingly the Company does not expect to be required to perform under the guarantees. In the event that the Company is required to perform under one of the guarantees, it believes the borrower's assets collateralizing the debt would be sufficient to cover the maximum potential amount of future payments under the guarantee.

### *Contingencies*

In the normal course of business, the Company is subject to claims, lawsuits and legal proceedings. In addition to the matters described below, the Company is involved in various routine legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business. Although the outcomes of such routine legal proceedings cannot be predicted with certainty, in the opinion of management, the ultimate resolution of such routine matters will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

On July 3, 2012, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed with the 250<sup>th</sup> Judicial District Court in Travis County in Austin, Texas. The case arose from an accident at The Grove at Denton, located in Denton, Texas, in which a balcony of one of the units broke and three people were seriously injured. The claims in the lawsuit against Campus Crest and certain of its subsidiaries by the plaintiffs were settled in their entirety on July 29, 2014 without any admission of liability on the part of Campus Crest or its subsidiaries. The settlement, which is covered by the Company's existing insurance coverage, did not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

On January 21, 2015, the Company and certain of its subsidiaries were named as defendants in a lawsuit filed in the 7<sup>th</sup> Division of the Jefferson Circuit Court in Jefferson County in Louisville, Kentucky. The case arose from an individual who fell to his death at a construction site located at 2501 South 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Louisville, Jefferson County, Kentucky. Also named as co-defendants in the case are three other companies associated with the construction and/or employment of the deceased individual. The plaintiffs allege, among other things, the Company was negligent and/or allowed a dangerous or hazardous condition to exist on the premises. The plaintiffs' initial complaint did not specify the amount of damages sought. The Company is presently reviewing the complaint, reviewing applicable law and venue, and preparing its responsive pleadings. Based upon the totality of the circumstances, including the existence of insurance coverage and anticipated indemnity from third-parties, the Company does not believe that the lawsuit, if adversely determined, would have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operation.

The Company is not aware of any environmental liability with respect to the properties that could have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, assets or results of operations. However, there can be no assurance that such a material environmental liability does not exist. The existence of any such material environmental liability could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations and cash flows.



**18. Quarterly Financial Information (Unaudited)**

The information presented below represents the consolidated financial results for the periods presented. The results below differ from previously disclosed quarterly results due to certain reclassifications associated with discontinued operations during the periods presented. The sum of the quarterly income (loss) per share amounts may not equal the annual income per share amounts due primarily to changes in the number of common shares outstanding from quarter to quarter (in thousands, except per share data):

|  | Three Months Ended   |                  |                          |                         |
|--|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|-------------------------|
|  | March<br>31,<br>2014 | June 30,<br>2014 | September<br>30,<br>2014 | December<br>31,<br>2014 |
| Total revenues <sup>(1)</sup>  | \$24,711             | \$24,990         | \$ 24,839                | \$ 32,201               |
| Operating income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>   | 3,135                | 878              | (120,526 )               | (21,022 )               |
| Net income (loss) <sup>(1)</sup>   | 953                  | (486 )           | (130,843 )               | (33,580 )               |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                                  | (2,080 )             | (3,547 )         | (133,123 )               | (36,173 )               |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per share<br>- basic and diluted | \$ (0.03 )           | \$ (0.05 )       | \$ (2.06 )               | \$ (0.56 )              |

|  | Three Months Ended   |                  |                          |                          |
|--|----------------------|------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
|  | March<br>31,<br>2013 | June 30,<br>2013 | September<br>30,<br>2013 | December<br>31,<br>2013  |
| Total revenues   | \$21,751             | \$22,294         | \$ 23,257                | \$ 24,768                |
| Operating income   | 3,608                | 5,214            | 6,235                    | (3,480 )                 |
| Net income (loss)  | 2,072                | 3,845            | 4,758                    | (9,437 )                 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders                                  | 911                  | 2,676            | 3,582                    | (12,080 ) <sup>(2)</sup> |
| Net income (loss) attributable to common stockholders per<br>share - basic and diluted | \$0.02               | \$0.04           | \$ 0.06                  | \$ (0.19 )               |

<sup>(1)</sup> Total revenues, operating income (loss) and net income (loss) for the three months ended September 30, 2014 and December 31, 2014 have been adjusted for the Company's discontinuance of two immaterial non-GAAP policies. Effective August 2014, the Company recognizes revenue over an 11.5 month period to align with lease terms as opposed to a 12 month period. Effective August 2014, the Company recognizes turn costs as incurred as opposed to

accruing turn costs throughout the academic year.

(2) The purchase price allocation for the Company's Copper Beech acquisition was finalized and all required adjustments are reflected in the Company's fourth quarter information.

## **19. Subsequent Events**

In addition to certain matters discussed elsewhere in these notes, the Company noted the following subsequent events:

### ***Copper Beech Transaction***

On January 30, 2015, the Company and certain of its affiliates completed the acquisition (the "Initial Closing") of (i) the Sellers' remaining interests in 29 student housing properties of a portfolio consisting of 36 student housing properties, one undeveloped land parcel and a corporate office building (the "Copper Beech Portfolio") and (ii) the Sellers' remaining interests in Copper Beech at Ames, Iowa, pursuant to that certain Amendment (the "Second Amendment") to the Company's purchase and sale agreement with the former members (the "Sellers") of Copper Beech Townhome Communities, LLC ("CBTC") and Copper Beech Townhome Communities (PA), LLC ("CBTC PA" and, together with CBTC, "Copper Beech").

Pursuant to the terms of the Second Amendment, the Company agreed to acquire the Sellers' remaining interests in each of the properties comprising the Copper Beech Portfolio other than Copper Beech Kalamazoo Phase 1, Copper Beech Kalamazoo Phase 2, Copper Beech Morgantown, Copper Beech Harrisonburg, Copper Beech Greenville and Copper Beech Parkway. Following the consummation of the Initial Closing, the Company holds a 100% interest in 27 of the properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio, an 85% interest in one property in the Copper Beech Portfolio, an 86% interest in one property in the Copper Beech Portfolio and a 48% interest in 4 of the properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio and has no ownership interests in 2 of the properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio and has a 100% interest in Copper Beech at Ames, Iowa. The Company expects to complete the acquisition of the Sellers' interests in the remaining 2 properties in the Copper Beech Portfolio – Copper Beech San Marcos Phase 1 and Copper Beech IUP Buy – at such time as it obtains the requisite lender consents. The Company expects to obtain all such consents and to complete the acquisition of Copper Beech San Marco Phase 1 and Copper Beech IUP Buy on or before the end of the first quarter of 2015 (the date of completion of such acquisition is referred to herein as the “Second Closing Date”).

As consideration for the additional interests acquired in the Initial Closing, the Company paid to the Sellers aggregate cash consideration of approximately \$58.9 million and the Operating Partnership issued to the Sellers an aggregate of approximately 10.4 million limited partnership units of the Operating Partnership (“OP Units”). The remaining consideration pursuant to the Second Amendment, consisting of approximately \$1.4 million in cash and approximately 2.0 million in OP Units, will be payable to the Sellers on the Second Closing Date. As of the date of this filing, the Company is evaluating the financial statement impact of this transaction.

### *Sale of Assets*

On January 29, 2015, the Company sold a portfolio of six undeveloped land parcels to a leading student housing developer resulting in net sale proceeds of \$28.4 million. The portfolio included parcels located in Alabama, Arizona, California, Florida, Michigan and Washington. The sale was a part of the Company's previously announced strategic initiative to improve liquidity and simplify the balance sheet by selling certain properties previously held for development. The Company disposed of the parcels through a rigorous sale process which resulted in noticeable demand from a wide spectrum of bidders with numerous offers received – including multiple portfolio offers. As a result of this transaction, a gain of \$4.7 million was recognized during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

On January 30, 2015, the Company sold its interest in the joint venture property, The Grove at Stillwater, OK for net sale proceeds of \$2.9 million. No gain or loss was recognized.

On February 9, 2015, the Company completed the sale of the Falcon 900, the corporate aircraft, resulting in net sale proceeds of \$3.8 million. This asset is presented in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2014. No gain or loss was recognized.

The Company expects to complete the sale of its interest in two joint venture properties, The Grove at Conway, AR with a sales price of \$11 million, and The Grove at Lawrence, KS with a sales price of \$13 million during the quarter ending June 30, 2015. The Company expects to recognize a gain on these transactions.

### ***Changes in Management***

On February 15, 2015, the Board of Directors of the Company appointed Aaron S. Halfacre, the Company's Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer, to the additional role of President of the Company.

On February 20, 2015, Angel Herrera tendered his resignation as Chief Operating Officer of the Company, effective on February 28, 2015.

### ***Covenant Renegotiation***

On February 25, 2015, the Company received a unanimously approved waiver under its amended credit facility that provides relief from certain financial covenants during a relief period that runs from December 31, 2014 until and including September 30, 2015. During the relief period the following new measurements will apply to covenant tests: Maximum Leverage Ratio of not greater than 0.65:1.00; Maximum Secured Debt Ratio of not greater than 47.5%; Minimum Fixed Charge Ratio of not less than 1.30:1.00; and a Dividend Payout Ratio of not more than 105.0% calculated on a pro forma basis that applies the current quarterly dividend of \$0.090 on a trailing twelve month basis.

Although the Company is currently in compliance with the terms of its Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, the Company's Board has determined, based on an evaluation by management of the Company's ability to satisfy all financial covenants in the credit agreement for the next four quarters, not to declare or pay dividends on its Common Stock or Series A Preferred Stock for the first quarter of 2015. In addition, the Board does not currently intend to declare or pay dividends on its Common Stock or Series A Preferred Stock for the remainder of 2015 unless the Company experiences sufficient improvement in its operating results, including successfully completing the sale of certain assets and enhancing our liquidity position by raising additional capital and/or refinancing its existing credit facilities.

**Schedule III — Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2014**

Amounts below are presented in thousands.

|   | Initial<br>Cost | Total Costs  |                    | Student<br>Housing<br>Properties | <b>Total</b> <sup>(1)</sup><br><sup>(5)</sup> | Accum.<br>Depr. | Encumbranc |
|---|-----------------|--|--------------------|----------------------------------|---|-----------------|------------|
|   |                 | Costs<br>Capitalized<br>Subsequent<br>to<br>Development<br>or<br>Acquisition | Land               |                                  |   |                 |            |
| <b>Student Housing Properties</b>       |                 |  |                    |                                  |   |                 |            |
| The Grove at Asheville, NC              | \$12,604        | \$1,240  | \$51               | \$13,793                         | \$13,844                                      | \$(5,349)       | \$(14,304) |
| The Grove at Carrollton, GA             | 13,294          | 1,200  | 1,104              | 13,390                           | 14,494  | (5,305)         | (14,101)   |
| The Grove at Las Cruces, NM             | 16,025          | 5,648  | 1,098              | 20,575                           | 21,673  | (6,019)         | (14,573)   |
| The Grove at Milledgeville, GA          | 14,543          | 1,484  | 942                | 15,085                           | 16,027  | (5,808)         | (15,640)   |
| The Grove at Abilene, TX                | 16,962          | 785  | 1,361              | 16,386                           | 17,747  | (6,006)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Ellensburg, WA             | 20,827          | 532  | 1,483              | 19,876                           | 21,359  | (6,463)         | (15,845)   |
| The Grove at Greeley, CO                | 19,971          | 3,078  | 1,454              | 21,595                           | 23,049  | (5,993)         | (14,945)   |
| The Grove at Mobile I & II              | 33,094          | 1,608  | 150 <sup>(3)</sup> | 34,552                           | 34,702  | (10,754)        | (2)        |
| The Grove at Nacogdoches, TX            | 18,604          | 1,317  | 1,188              | 18,733                           | 19,921  | (6,146)         | (16,857)   |
| The Grove at Cheney, WA                 | 18,788          | 383  | 1,347              | 17,824                           | 19,171  | (5,443)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Lubbock, TX                | 18,229          | 640  | 1,520              | 17,349                           | 18,869  | (5,361)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Stephenville, TX           | 17,100          | 411  | 1,250              | 16,261                           | 17,511  | (5,355)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Troy, AL                   | 18,248          | 850  | 1,433              | 17,665                           | 19,098  | (5,637)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Waco, TX                   | 17,566          | 692  | 1,094              | 17,164                           | 18,258  | (5,549)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Murfreesboro, TN           | 19,994          | 865  | 2,678              | 18,181                           | 20,859  | (4,938)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at San Marcos, TX             | 24,126          | 622  | 1,791              | 22,957                           | 24,748  | (3,687)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Moscow, ID                 | 25,731          | 269  | 1,839              | 24,161                           | 26,000  | (1,932)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Huntsville, TX             | 23,444          | 370  | 2,157              | 21,657                           | 23,814  | (2,233)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Statesboro, GA             | 25,349          | 770  | 1,621              | 24,498                           | 26,119  | (2,492)         | (18,100)   |
| The Grove at Clarksville, TN            | 21,805          | 761  | 1,296              | 21,270                           | 22,566  | (2,626)         | (16,238)   |
| The Grove at Ames, IA                   | 22,834          | 417  | 1,919              | 21,332                           | 23,251  | (2,669)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Fort Wayne, IN             | 18,889          | 324  | 844                | 18,369                           | 19,213  | (2,387)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Columbia, MO               | 24,551          | 303  | 3,611              | 21,243                           | 24,854  | (2,694)         | (22,738)   |
| The Grove at Valdosta, GA               | 29,381          | 427  | 1,562              | 28,246                           | 29,808  | (2,385)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Denton, TX                 | 25,624          | -  | 4,756              | 20,868                           | 25,624  | (843)           | (16,613)   |
| The Grove at Auburn, AL                 | 26,267          | 249  | 4,423              | 22,093                           | 26,516  | (1,987)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Flagstaff, AZ              | 34,125          | 3,613  | 6,970              | 30,768                           | 37,738  | (2,616)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Nacogdoches, TX - Phase II | 7,718           | 163  | 401                | 7,480                            | 7,881   | (617)           | -          |
| The Grove at Orono, ME                  | 28,499          | 1,577  | 1,373              | 28,703                           | 30,076  | (2,199)         | (2)        |
| The Grove at Toledo, OH <sup>(4)</sup>  | 11,564          | 2,212  | 2,855              | 10,921                           | 13,776  | (923)           | (4,357)    |
| The Grove at Fort Collins, CO           | 35,496          | (51)   | 75 <sup>(3)</sup>  | 35,370                           | 35,445  | (1,575)         | (19,073)   |



Edgar Filing: Campus Crest Communities, Inc. - Form 10-K

|                                    |           |          |          |           |           |             |             |
|------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-------------|
| The Grove at Muncie, IN            | 24,708    | 160      | 2,458    | 22,410    | 24,868    | (1,125 )    | (13,892 )   |
| The Grove at Pullman, WA           | 15,622    | 21,983   | 1,842    | 35,763    | 37,605    | (805 )      | (10,886 )   |
| The Grove at Flagstaff II, AZ      | 15,407    | (190 )   | 3,322    | 11,895    | 15,217    | (481 )      | (2)         |
| The Grove at Grand Forks, ND       | 34,476    | -        | 2,196    | 32,280    | 34,476    | (359 )      | (12,474 )   |
| The Grove at Mt. Pleasant, MI      | 26,838    | -        | 473      | 26,365    | 26,838    | (311 )      | (2)         |
| The Grove at Slippery Rock, PA     | 30,448    | -        | 1,097    | 29,351    | 30,448    | (330 )      | (16,031 )   |
| The Grove at Gainesville, FL       | 41,293    | -        | 5,918    | 35,375    | 41,293    | (401 )      | (22,836 )   |
| Copper Beach at Ames, IA           | 31,206    | -        | 3,091    | 28,115    | 31,206    | (318 )      | (21,170 )   |
| Total - student housing properties | \$881,250 | \$54,712 | \$76,043 | \$859,919 | \$935,962 | \$(128,121) | \$(300,673) |

(1) Depreciable lives range from 5-40 years.

(2) Property is collateral for the Company's Amended Credit Facility.

(3) Property encumbered by a ground lease.

(4) Property is under re-development. See Note 6 to the accompanying consolidated financial statements.

(5) Total aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes is approximately \$994.1 million.

**NOTES TO SCHEDULE III****Schedule III — Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation as of December 31, 2014**

The changes in the Company's investment in real estate and related accumulated depreciation for each of the years ended December 31, 2014, 2013 and 2012 are as follows (in thousands):

|   | December 31, |           |           |
|---|--------------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 2014         | 2013      | 2012      |
| Investment in real estate:                |              |           |           |
| Balance, beginning of year                | \$716,285    | \$669,387 | \$512,227 |
| Acquisitions                              | 25,642       | 13,801    | -         |
| Improvements and development expenditures | 194,795      | 106,806   | 158,175   |
| Asset disposals                           | (760 )       | (1,283 )  | (1,015 )  |
| Disposition of student housing properties | -            | (67,702 ) | -         |
| Impairment of student housing properties  | -            | (4,724 )  | -         |
| Balance, end of year                      | \$935,962    | \$716,285 | \$669,387 |
| Accumulated depreciation:                 |              |           |           |
| Balance, beginning of year                | \$102,356    | \$97,820  | \$76,164  |
| Depreciation for the year                 | 26,387       | 25,183    | 22,472    |
| Asset disposals                           | (622 )       | (933 )    | (865 )    |
| Disposition of student housing properties | -            | (19,714 ) | -         |
| Other Reclassifications                   | -            | -         | 49        |
| Balance, end of year                      | \$128,121    | \$102,356 | \$97,820  |
| Development in process                    | -            | 91,184    | 50,781    |
| Land held for sale                        | 38,105       | -         | -         |
| Land held for investment                  | 7,413        | -         | -         |
| Investment in real estate, net            | \$853,359    | \$705,113 | \$622,348 |