

GOLDSRING INC
Form 10KSB
April 11, 2008

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-KSB

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission File No. 000-32429

GOLDSRING, INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer as specified in its charter)

FLORIDA
(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

7389
(Primary Standard Industrial
Classification Code Number)

65-0955118
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

P.O. Box 1118
Virginia City, NV 89440
(775) 847-5272

(Address, including zip code, and telephone number,
including area code, of registrant's principal executive offices)

Check whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the issuer was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Check if there is no disclosure of delinquent filers in response to Item 405 of Regulation S-B contained in this form, and no disclosure will be contained, to the best of the issuer's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-KSB or any amendment to this Form 10-KSB

State issuer's revenues for the most recent fiscal year: \$395,451

Edgar Filing: GOLDSRING INC - Form 10KSB

State the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates based on the average bid and asked price as of April 3, 2008: \$56,200,000

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common equity, as of the last practicable date: 2,990,552,847 shares of Common Stock, \$0.000666 Par Value, as of April 3, 2008.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

ITEM 1	DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS	3
ITEM 2	DESCRIPTION OF PROPERTY	12
ITEM 3	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS	15
ITEM 4	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	16

PART II

ITEM 5	MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON EQUITY AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	16
ITEM 6	MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OR PLAN OF OPERATIONS	17
ITEM 7	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	26
ITEM 8	CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE	26
ITEM 8A	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	26
ITEM 8B	OTHER INFORMATION	27

PART III

ITEM 9	DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT	27
ITEM 10	EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	28
ITEM 11	SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS	30
ITEM 12	CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	31
ITEM 13	EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K	31
ITEM 14	PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANT FEES AND SERVICES	32
	SIGNATURES	33
	INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS	F-1

Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

The statements contained in this report on Form 10-KSB that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of applicable securities laws. Forward-looking statements include statements regarding our "expectations," "anticipation," "intentions," "beliefs," or "strategies" regarding the future. Forward looking statements also include statements regarding fluctuations in the price of gold or certain other commodities, (such as silver, copper, diesel fuel, and electricity); changes in national and local government legislation, taxation, controls, regulations and political or economic changes in the United States or other countries in which we may carry on business in the future; business opportunities that may be presented to or pursued by us; our ability to integrate acquisitions successfully; operating or technical difficulties in connection with exploration or mining activities; the speculative nature of gold exploration, including risks of diminishing quantities or grades of reserves; and contests over our title to properties.

All forward-looking statements included in this report are based on information available to us as of the filing date of this report, and we assume no obligation to update any such forward-looking statements. Our actual results could differ materially from the forward-looking statements. Among the factors that could cause actual results to differ materially are the factors discussed in Item 1, "Description of Business - Risk Factors."

PART I

Item 1. Description of Business

Overview

We are a North American precious metals mining company with extensive, contiguous property in the Comstock Lode District and an operating gold and silver mine. In the Company's relatively short history, it secured permits, built an infrastructure and brought the Comstock Lode project into production. Since 2005, the Company has been acquiring additional properties around its Comstock Lode project in northern Nevada, expanding its footprint and creating opportunities for exploration. The Company's objectives are to optimize production, increase reserves through exploration and acquisitions, expand its footprint in the Comstock, and maximize shareholder value.

2007 began with the temporary closure of mining operation. This shutdown allowed the Company to focus on the geology to gain a comprehensive understanding of the mineralization at the Hartford complex. In addition, the company commenced a drilling program in December 2007 to further delineate the ore body and to determine the most effective process for mining operations. The goal is to define and map the ore body and to prepare geologic cross sections to be utilized in mine planning and as a result, to be able to build a new mine model using geostatistics and extensive drill hole data.

There is also ongoing metallurgic testing to attempt to maximize recovery of the high grade fraction of the ore and to determine optimum size to continue heap leaching. The Company is also assessing whether if a small mill could be added to increase overall recovery and to determine if previously leached ore has been adequately leached and to determine optimum heap height.

The exploration drilling program commenced in December 2007 with 4 drill holes. The Company intends to continue the exploration drilling program throughout 2008; however, lack of sufficient funds could impact the pace of the drilling program.

The Company turned a corner in the first quarter of 2007 with the final settlement of the Parent litigation as continued financial and human resource drain which all but consumed the Company is finally over. Given the end of this litigation, change in Board composition and continued challenges in capital raising efforts, the Company's management has determined that there is a need to reevaluate the Company's business plan with a view toward the best way to maximize shareholder value and protection of our secured creditors. In addition, in December 2007 the Company settled its litigation with N.A. Degerstrom. The lawsuit originated out of a dispute as to how much the Company owed Degerstrom for services provided. Pursuant to a December 27, 2005 agreement, the parties agreed that the amount to be paid by the Company to Degerstrom would be subject to volume reconciliation by aerial survey. GoldSpring, pursuant to prepared aerial and ground surveys, asserted the Company had been over-billed for amounts of ore and waste which had been hauled by Degerstrom. Degerstrom, according to the original lawsuit, claimed in excess of \$806,000 plus interest for services provided, totaling approximately \$1,000,000. Under the settlement agreement, GoldSpring will pay Degerstrom \$250,000 and both parties agree to dismiss their claims against the other. The agreement is subject to GoldSpring remitting \$100,000 by December 11, 2007 and the balance of \$150,000 by January 31, 2008. These payments were made in a timely manner.

We are actively seeking financing to meet our working capital needs and fuel our growth. If we are unable to secure such financing, we may be unable to continue as a going concern.

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our current projects.

Name	Location	Type
Comstock Lode Properties	Storey and Lyon County, Nevada	Gold and silver lode claims- open pit test mining
Como	Lyon County, Nevada	Gold and silver lode claims
Gold Canyon	Lyon County, Nevada	Placer gold claims
Spring Valley	Lyon County, Nevada	Placer gold claims

Our Comstock Lode exploration project is located between Carson City and Virginia City, Nevada, about 30 miles southeast of Reno in an area known as American Flat. Our Gold Canyon and Spring Valley placer claims are located in Lyon County, Nevada, five miles south of our Plum property, in American Flat / Gold Hill, Nevada. Our Big Mike Copper property is located approximately two hours east of Reno near Winnemucca, Nevada.

Our Plum exploration activities include open pit gold and silver test mining. As defined by SEC Industry Guide 7, we have not yet established any proven or probable reserves at this project. Therefore, all of our activities are considered test mining and exploratory in nature. Test mining at Plum commenced in the third quarter of 2004. We have not as yet explored or developed our Como claims. We also have not completed any exploratory activities on our Gold Canyon, Spring Valley, or Big Mike properties. We have not established reserves on any of these properties. Therefore, there can be no assurance that we will be able to produce sufficient gold to cover our investment and operating costs.

Employees

We approximately have 10 employees, including our managers, administrative staff, engineers, geologists, lab technicians, and process operators. We use consultants with specific skills to assist with various aspects of our operation, including project evaluation, due diligence, and acquisition initiatives.

Principal Markets

We plan to sell our production on world markets at prices established by market forces. These prices are not within our control.

Government Regulation

Mining operations and exploration activities are subject to various national, state, and local laws and regulations in the United States, which govern prospecting, development, mining, production, exports, taxes, labor standards, occupational health, waste disposal, protection of the environment, mine safety, hazardous substances, and other matters. We have obtained or have pending applications for those licenses, permits, and other authorizations currently required to conduct our exploration and other programs. We believe that we are in compliance in all material respects with applicable mining, health, safety, and environmental statutes and regulations.

Reclamation

We are generally required to mitigate long-term environmental impacts by stabilizing, contouring, resloping, and revegetating various portions of a site after mining and mineral processing operations are completed. These reclamation efforts are conducted in accordance with detailed plans, which must be reviewed and approved by the appropriate regulatory agencies.

The Nevada Revised Statutes and regulations promulgated thereunder by the Nevada State Environmental Commission and the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Mining and Reclamation require a surety bond to be posted for mining projects to assure we will leave the site safe, stable and capable of providing for a productive post-mining land use. Pursuant to the approved Reclamation Plan for Billie the Kid, we posted a surety bond in the amount of \$553,000, of which \$377,000 was in the form of a cash deposit and the balance was secured from a surety agent.

Competition

We compete with other mineral exploration and mining companies in connection with the acquisition of gold and other mineral properties. There may be competition for gold acquisition opportunities, some of which may involve other companies having substantially greater financial resources than we do.

Officers of our Company

Robert T. Faber, CPA* has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of our company since September 2004 and Chief Financial Officer since June 2003. Mr. Faber is an executive with 20 years of diverse senior financial and operational management, business and acquisition experience, including 10 years of international experience. Mr. Faber was named Chief Executive Officer and President of GoldSpring in September 2004. Prior to his appointment, he had served as Chief Financial Officer since June 2003. Mr. Faber served from 2002 until 2003 as Vice President of United Site Services, Inc., a privately held service consolidator in the waste service industry. Additionally, Mr. Faber served as an executive with Allied Waste Industries from 2001 until 2002, overseeing a \$1.2 billion multi-state area and served as Chief Financial Officer with Frontier Waste Services, LLC from 1999 until 2001. Prior to Frontier Waste, Mr. Faber spent 17 years with Waste Management, Inc., a publicly traded environmental services company, during which time he served in senior positions both internationally and domestically. Mr. Faber's positions included Director of Finance of Waste Management's \$1.4 billion multi-country International operations based in London, England and Vice President and Controller for several \$100 million plus multi-state market areas. (*Not licensed to practice)

Jim Golden has served as the Company's COO since May 2006. Mr. Golden, who is a mining engineer, has over twenty-five years of experience in the mining industry, including ten years with Peter Kiewit's mining division, where he was a district manager. A graduate of Montana Tech, Mr. Golden has owned his own consulting firm since 1990, where he has provided consulting services throughout the world for over 50 mining companies.

Financing Events and Restructuring

In February 2006, we completed an additional financing transaction, which provided us with \$250,000 in funding. In consideration for the funding, we issued a promissory note with a term of ninety (90) days and an interest rate of sixteen percent (16%) per annum. The default interest rate on the note is twenty-two percent (22%). The funds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes. In March 2006, we completed an additional financing transaction, which provided us with \$150,000 in funding under the same terms and conditions as the February 2006 financing.

\$2,200,000 Convertible Debenture Financing

On August 23 - 24, 2006, the Company formally entered into an agreement with several investors to loan \$1,900,000 to the Company. In March 2007, the Company amended the agreement increasing the loan amount to \$2,200,000. The notes evidencing the loan bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum, payable monthly on the first of each month commencing October 1, 2006, along with 1/24 of the principal amount of such notes on each repayment date and were issued between May 18, 2006 - August 24, 2006, with the second quarter notes being treated as "bridge debt" until the loan agreement was formally signed.. The notes are also convertible into Common Stock at a 50% discount to market until a registration statement registering the Common Stock underlying the notes is effective and at a 15% discount to market thereafter. As additional consideration, the investors are to be issued a total of 20,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock at exercise prices based upon the same formulas as for conversion of the amounts due under the notes. The notes are secured by a lien on the assets of Goldspring, Inc. and a pledge of all of the interests in Plum Mine Special Purpose, LLC, which owns the Plum Mine operation. In connection with this loan, one of the lenders has agreed to acquire the existing mortgage on the Plum Mine property from the Brockbank Trust. As of December 31, 2007, \$2,170,000 of the \$2,200,000 has been funded by the investors.

The notes issued are as follows:

	Issued date	Face amount
Winfield Debenture Payable	5/15/2006	\$ 300,000
Winfield Debenture Payable	6/21/2006	300,000
Winfield Debenture Payable	8/23/2006	300,000
Longview Debenture Payable	8/24/2006	300,000
Winfield Debenture Payable	12/12/2006	100,000
Winfield Debenture Payable	First Quarter 2007	331,120
Winfield Debenture Payable	Second Quarter 2007	288,880
Longview Debenture Payable	4/1/07	250,000
Total		\$ 2,170,000

In July 2007, we completed an additional financing transaction, which provided us with \$300,000 in funding. In consideration for the funding, we issued a promissory note with a term of one hundred and twenty (120) days and an interest rate of sixteen percent (16%) per annum. The default interest rate on the note is twenty-two percent (22%). The funds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

On October 9, 2007, we completed a financing transaction, which provided us with \$137,500 in funding. In consideration for the financing, we issued promissory notes with a face value of \$200,000, reflecting an original issue discount of thirty-one and a quarter (31.25%) percent. The term of the notes is one year. The note does not bear interest. These funds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

On December 11, 2007, the Company formally entered into an agreement with several investors to loan \$1,000,000 to the Company. In consideration for the financing, we issued promissory notes with a face value of \$1,200,000, reflecting an original discount of sixteen and seventeen hundredths (16.17%) percent. The notes evidencing the loan bear interest at the rate of 4.9% per annum, payable on or prior to the one year anniversary of the respective loan date. As of December 31, 2007, \$500,000 of the \$1,000,000 has been funded by the investors.

The aggregate total of 2007 financing transactions was \$1,970,000.

Risk Factors

An investment in our common stock involves risk. You should carefully consider the following risk factors, in addition to those discussed elsewhere in this report, in evaluating our company, its business, and prospects. The following risks could cause our business, financial condition, and operating results to be materially and adversely affected.

We have limited resources and our inability to obtain additional financing could negatively affect our growth and success.

We have incurred substantial losses since our inception, and we are currently experiencing a cash flow deficiency from operations. Our current cash flow and capital resources are limited, and we may require additional funds to pursue our business. We may not be able to secure further financing in the future. If we are not able to obtain additional financing on reasonable terms, we may not be able to execute our business strategy, conduct our operations at the level desired, or even to continue business.

We have received a qualified report from our independent auditors

The report by the independent auditors on our financial statements indicates that our financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. The report indicates that our recurring losses from operations and working capital deficit raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

Inability to raise sufficient funds to increase growth

Our recent financings have only provided capital to continue existing operations but not to continue significant exploration and growth. Without the ability to attract sufficient amounts of capital at any one time, it is unlikely that we can achieve profitability in the foreseeable future.

We have invested capital in high-risk mineral projects where we have not conducted sufficient exploration and engineering studies.

We have invested capital in various mineral properties and projects in North America where we may not have conducted sufficient exploration and engineering studies to minimize the risk of project failure to the extent that is typical in the mining industry. Our mineral projects involve high risks because we have not invested substantial sums in the characterization of mineralized material, geologic analysis, metallurgical testing, mine planning, and economic analysis to the same extent that other mining companies might deem reasonable. Standard industry practice calls for a mining company to prepare a formal mine plan and mining schedule and have these documents reviewed by a third party specialist. We do not have a formal mine plan that has been reviewed by a third party specialist. Because we have not established proven or probable reserves, there can be no assurance that we will be able to produce sufficient gold to recover our investment and operating costs.

Our corporate officers lack sufficient technical training and mining experience.

Our corporate officers lack technical training and experience in operating a mine. Although Jim Golden, our COO, is a licensed mining engineer, with substantial mining experience we may lack sufficient qualified support personnel to effectively manage our mining operation. Without sufficient training or experience in all areas, our corporate officers may not be fully aware of all of the specific requirements related to working within the mining industry. The decisions of our corporate officers may not take into account standard engineering or managerial approaches that operating mining companies commonly use. Consequently, our operations, earnings, and ultimate financial success could suffer irreparable harm due to corporate officers' lack of experience in the mining industry.

We will not be successful unless we recover precious metals and sell them for a profit.

Our success depends on our ability to recover precious metals, process them, and successfully sell them for more than the cost of production. The success of this process depends on the market prices of metals in relation to our costs of production. We may not always be able to generate a profit on the sale of gold or other minerals because we can only maintain a level of control over our costs and have no ability to control the market prices. The total cash costs of production at any location are frequently subject to great variation from year to year as a result of a number of factors, such as the changing composition of ore grade or mineralized material production, and metallurgy and exploration activities in response to the physical shape and location of the ore body or deposit. In addition costs are affected by the price of commodities, such as fuel and electricity. Such commodities are at times subject to volatile price movements, including increases that could make production at certain operations less profitable. A material increase in production costs or a decrease in the price of gold or other minerals could adversely affect our ability to earn a profit on the sale of gold or other minerals.

We do not have proven or probable reserves, and there is no assurance that the quantities of precious metals we produce will be sufficient to recover our investment and operating costs.

Our success depends on our ability to produce sufficient quantities of precious metals to recover our investment and operating costs. We do not have proven or probable reserves. There can be no assurance that our exploration activities will result in the discovery of sufficient quantities of mineralized material to lead to a commercially successful operation.

The cost of our exploration and acquisition activities are substantial, and there is no assurance that the quantities of minerals we discover or acquire will justify commercial operations or replace reserves established in the future.

Mineral exploration, particularly for gold and other precious metals, is highly speculative in nature, involves many risks, and frequently is nonproductive. There can be no assurance that our exploration and acquisition activities will be commercially successful. Once gold mineralization is discovered, it may take a number of years from the initial phases of drilling until production is possible, during which time the economic feasibility of production may change. Substantial expenditures are required to acquire existing gold properties, to establish ore reserves through drilling and analysis, to develop metallurgical processes to extract metal from the ore, and in the case of new properties, to develop the processing facilities and infrastructure at any site chosen for mineral exploration. There can be no assurance that any gold reserves or mineralized material that may be discovered or acquired in the future will be in sufficient quantities or of adequate grade to justify commercial operations or that the funds required for mineral production operation can be obtained on a timely or reasonable basis. Mineral exploration companies must continually replace mineralized material or reserves depleted by production. As a result, there can be no assurance that we will be successful in replacing any reserves or mineralized material acquired or established in the future.

The price of gold fluctuates on a regular basis and a downturn in price could negatively impact our operations and cash flow.

Our operations are significantly affected by changes in the market price of gold. Gold prices can fluctuate widely and may be affected by numerous factors, such as expectations for inflation, levels of interest rates, currency exchange rates, central bank sales, forward selling or other hedging activities, demand for precious metals, global or regional political and economic crises, and production costs in major gold-producing regions, such as South Africa and the former Soviet Union. The aggregate effect of these factors, all of which are beyond our control, is impossible for us to predict. The demand for and supply of gold affect gold prices, but not necessarily in the same manner as supply and demand affect the prices of other commodities. The supply of gold consists of a combination of new mineral production and existing stocks of bullion and fabricated gold held by governments, public and private financial institutions, industrial organizations, and private individuals. As the amount produced in any single year constitutes a

small portion of the total potential supply of gold, normal variations in current production do not have a significant impact on the supply of gold or on its price. If gold prices decline substantially, it could adversely affect the realizable value of our assets and potential future results of operations and cash flow.

The use of hedging instruments may not prevent losses being realized on subsequent price decreases or may prevent gains being realized from subsequent price increases.

We may from time to time sell some future production of gold pursuant to hedge positions. If the gold price rises above the price at which future production has been committed under these hedge instruments, we will have an opportunity loss. However, if the gold price falls below that committed price, our revenues will be protected to the extent of such committed production. In addition, we may experience losses if a hedge counterparty defaults under a contract when the contract price exceeds the gold price. As of the date of filing of this report, we have no open hedge positions.

Since our business consists of exploring for or acquiring gold prospects, the drop in the price of gold will negatively affect our asset values, cash flows, potential revenues and profits.

We plan to pursue opportunities to acquire properties with gold mineralized material or reserves with exploration potential. The price that we pay to acquire these properties will be influenced, in large part, by the price of gold at the time of the acquisition. Our potential future revenues are expected to be derived from the production and sale of gold from these properties or from the sale of some of these properties. The value of any gold reserves and other mineralized material, and the value of any potential mineral production therefrom, will vary in direct proportion to variations in those mineral prices. The price of gold has fluctuated widely as a result of numerous factors beyond our control. The effect of these factors on the price of gold, and therefore the economic viability of any of our projects, cannot accurately be predicted. Any drop in the price of gold would negatively affect our asset values, cash flows, potential revenues, and profits.

We compete with other mineral exploration and mining companies

We compete with other mineral exploration and mining companies or individuals, including large, established mining companies with substantial capabilities and financial resources, to acquire rights to mineral properties containing gold and other minerals. There is a limited supply of desirable mineral lands available for claim staking, lease, or other acquisition. There can be no assurance that we will be able to acquire mineral properties against competitors with substantially greater financial resources than we have.

Our activities are inherently hazardous and any exposure may exceed our insurance limits or may not be insurable.

Mineral exploration and operating activities are inherently hazardous. Operations in which we have direct or indirect interests will be subject to all the hazards and risks normally incidental to exploration and production of gold and other metals, any of which could result in work stoppages, damage to property, and possible environmental damage. The nature of these risks is such that liabilities might exceed any liability insurance policy limits. It is also possible that the liabilities and hazards might not be insurable, or we could elect not to insure ourselves against such liabilities because of the high premium costs, in which event, we could incur significant costs that could have a material adverse effect on our financial condition.

We do not have proven or probable reserves, and our mineral calculations are only estimates; any material change may negatively affect the economic viability of our properties.

Substantial expenditures are required to acquire existing gold properties with established reserves or to establish proven or probable reserves through drilling and analysis. We do not anticipate expending sums for additional drilling and analysis to establish proven or probable reserves on our properties. We drill in connection with our mineral exploration activities and not with the purpose of establishing proven and probable reserves. Therefore, our activity must be called exploration or test mining. While we estimate the amount of mineralized material we believe exists on our properties, our calculations are estimates only, subject to uncertainty due to factors, including the quantity and grade of ore, metal prices, and recoverability of minerals in the mineral recovery process. There is a great degree of uncertainty attributable to the calculation of any mineralized material, particularly where there has not been significant drilling, mining, and processing. Until the mineralized material located on our properties is actually mined and processed, the quantity and quality of the mineralized material must be considered as an estimate only. In addition, the quantity of mineralized material may vary depending on metal prices. Any material change in the quantity of mineralized material may negatively affect the economic viability of our properties. In addition, there can be no assurance that we will achieve the same recoveries of metals contained in the mineralized material as in small-scale laboratory tests or that we will be able to duplicate such results in larger scale tests under on-site conditions or during production.

Our operations are subject to strict environmental regulations, which result in added costs of operations and operational delays.

Our operations are subject to environmental regulations, which could result in additional costs and operational delays. All phases of our operations are subject to environmental regulation. Environmental legislation is evolving in some countries and jurisdictions in a manner that may require stricter standards and enforcement, increased fines and penalties for non-compliance, more stringent environmental assessments of proposed projects, and a heightened degree of responsibility for companies and their officers, directors, and employees. There is no assurance that any future changes in environmental regulation will not negatively affect our projects.

We have no insurance for environmental problems.

Insurance against environmental risks, including potential liability for pollution or other hazards as a result of the disposal of waste products occurring from exploration and production, has not been available generally in the mining industry. We have no insurance coverage for most environmental risks. In the event of a problem, the payment of environmental liabilities and costs would reduce the funds available to us for future operations. If we are unable to fund fully the cost of remedying an environmental problem, we might be required to enter into an interim compliance measure pending completion of the required remedy.

We are subject to federal laws that require environmental assessments and the posting of bonds, which add significant costs to our operations and delays in our projects.

The Bureau of Land Management requires that mining operations on lands subject to its regulation obtain an approved plan of operations subject to environmental impact evaluation under the National Environmental Policy Act. Any significant modifications to the plan of operations may require the completion of an environmental assessment or Environmental Impact Statement prior to approval. Mining companies must post a bond or other surety to guarantee the cost of post-mining reclamation. These requirements could add significant additional cost and delays to any mining project undertaken by us. Our mineral exploration operations are required to be covered by reclamation bonds deemed adequate by regulators to cover these risks. We believe we currently maintain adequate reclamation bonds for our operations.

Changes in state laws, which are already strict and costly, can negatively affect our operations by becoming stricter and costlier.

At the state level, mining operations in Nevada are regulated by the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection, or NDEP. Nevada state law requires our Nevada projects to hold Nevada Water Pollution Control Permits, which dictate operating controls and closure and post-closure requirements directed at protecting surface and ground water. In addition, we are required to hold Nevada Reclamation Permits required under Nevada law. These permits mandate concurrent and post-mining reclamation of mines and require the posting of reclamation bonds sufficient to guarantee the cost of mine reclamation. Other Nevada regulations govern operating and design standards for the construction and operation of any source of air contamination and landfill operations. Any changes to these laws and regulations could have a negative impact on our financial performance and results of operations by, for example, requiring changes to operating constraints, technical criteria, fees or surety requirements.

Title claims against our properties could require us to compensate parties, if successful, and divert management's time from operations.

There may be challenges to our title in the properties in which we hold material interests. If there are title defects with respect to any of our properties, we might be required to compensate other persons or perhaps reduce our interest in the effected property. The validity of unpatented mineral claims, which constitute most of our holdings in the United States, is often uncertain and may be contested by the federal government and other parties. The validity of an unpatented mineral claim, in terms of both its location and its maintenance, depends on strict compliance with a complex body of federal and state statutory and decisional law. Although we have attempted to acquire satisfactory title to our properties, we have not obtained title opinions or title insurance with respect to the acquisition of the unpatented mineral claims. While we have no pending claims or litigation pending contesting title to any of our properties, there is nothing to prevent parties from challenging our title to any of our properties. While we believe we have satisfactory title to our properties, some risk exists that some titles may be defective or subject to challenge. Also, in any such case, the investigation and resolution of title issues would divert management's time from ongoing exploration programs.

We have never paid a cash dividend on our common stock and do not expect to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future.

We have never paid cash dividends, and we do not plan to pay cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Consequently, your only opportunity to achieve a return on your investment in us will be if the market price of our common stock appreciates and you sell your shares at a profit. There is no assurance that the price of our common stock that will prevail in the market after this offering will ever exceed the price that you pay.

Our business depends on a limited number of key personnel, the loss of whom could negatively affect us.

Robert Faber, Chief Executive Officer, President and acting-Chief Financial Officer is important to our success. If he becomes unable or unwilling to continue in his present position, our business and financial results could be materially negatively affected.

If we fail to adequately manage our growth, we may not be successful in growing our business and becoming profitable.

We plan to expand our business and the number of employees over the next 12 months. In particular, we intend to hire additional operational personnel. Our inability to hire and retain additional qualified employees could have a negative impact on our chances of success.

The issuance of securities by us may not have complied with or violated federal and state securities laws and, as a result, the holders of these shares and warrants may have rescission rights.

Securities issued by us may not have complied with applicable federal and state securities laws, the result of which is that the holders of these securities may have rescission rights that could require us to reacquire the securities.

Outstanding convertible securities and warrants may result in substantial dilution.

At December 31, 2007, we had outstanding 2,743,508,248 shares of common stock. In addition, we had outstanding convertible notes and various common stock purchase warrants. At December 31, 2007, these notes and warrants were convertible into or exercisable for a total of approximately 1.164 billion additional shares of our common stock, subject to further anti-dilution provisions.

Our stock is a penny stock and trading of our stock may be restricted by the SEC's penny stock regulations, which may limit a stockholder's ability to buy and sell our stock.

Our stock is a penny stock. The Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted Rule 15c-2-06, which generally defines "penny stock" to be any equity security that has a market price (as defined) less than \$5.00 per share or an exercise price of less than \$5.00 per share, subject to certain exceptions. Our securities are covered by the penny stock rules, which impose additional sales practice requirements on broker-dealers that sell to persons other than established customers and "accredited investors." The term "accredited investor" refers generally to institutions with assets in excess of \$5,000,000 or individuals with a net worth in excess of \$1,000,000 or annual income exceeding \$200,000 or \$300,000 jointly with their spouse. The penny stock rules require a broker-dealer, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from the rules, to deliver a standardized risk disclosure document in a form prepared by the SEC, which provides information about penny stocks and the nature and level of risks in the penny stock market. The broker-dealer also must provide the customer with current bid and offer quotations for the penny stock, the compensation of the broker-dealer and its salesperson in the transaction, and monthly account statements showing the market value of each penny stock held in the customer's account. The bid and offer quotations, and the broker-dealer and salesperson compensation information, must be given to the customer orally or in writing prior to effecting the transaction and must be given to the customer in writing before or with the customer's confirmation. In addition, the penny stock rules require that, prior to a transaction in a penny stock not otherwise exempt from these rules, the broker-dealer must make a special written determination that the penny stock is a suitable investment for the purchaser and receive the purchaser's written agreement to the transaction. These disclosure requirements may have the effect of reducing the level of trading activity in the secondary market for the stock that is subject to these penny stock rules. Consequently, these penny stock rules may affect the ability of broker-dealers to trade our securities. We believe that the penny stock rules discourage investor interest in and limit the marketability of our common stock. NASD sales practice requirements may also limit a stockbroker's ability to buy or sell our stock.

In addition to the "penny stock" rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission, the NASD has adopted rules that require that in recommending an investment to a customer, a broker-dealer must have reasonable grounds for believing that the investment is suitable for that customer. Prior to recommending speculative low priced securities to their non-institutional customers, broker-dealers must make reasonable efforts to obtain information about the customer's financial status, tax status, investment objectives, and other information. Under interpretation of these rules, the NASD believes that there is a high probability that speculative low priced securities will not be suitable for at least some customers. The NASD requirements make it more difficult for broker-dealers to recommend that their customers buy our common stock, which may limit your ability to buy or sell our stock and have an adverse effect on the market for our shares.

Item 2. Description of Property

Plum (Billie the Kid/Lucerne)

Location, Access, and Title to the Property

12

We own the following mineral exploration projects: The Plum Mine gold and silver exploration and test mining project and the Como mineral Claims. The Plum project is located in Storey and Lyon Counties, Nevada. The Plum property is physically situated roughly three miles south of Virginia City, Nevada. Paved state highways from Reno, Carson City, and Virginia City provide access to the property. The Como mineral Claims are located in Lyon County, Nevada, approximately 15 miles east of Carson City, and have not been explored or developed by us.

Our property rights to the mineral properties consist of several mineral leases, unpatented mineral claims, and fee ownership of real property. We have a mineral exploration and mining lease agreement with Claire Obester and the Estate of Dorothy Obester dated January 1, 1997 covering mineral rights to five patented claims located in both Storey and Lyon Counties, including the Billie the Kid and Lucerne patented lode claims. The lease remains in effect for as long as exploration, development, mining, or processing operations are conducted on a continuous basis, without a lapse of activity for more than 180 days. We pay a royalty to the lessor equal to the greater of \$500 per month or a royalty percentage on the amount received by us on the sale of the mineral products less the costs incurred for marketing, distribution, processing and sales, commonly referred to as a Net Smelter Return. The royalty percentage varies based on the price of gold: 3% if gold is less than \$400 per ounce, 4% if gold is at least \$400 per ounce but less than \$500 per ounce, and 5% if gold is \$500 or greater per ounce. We are also responsible for payment and filing of annual maintenance fees, if any, and taxes for these claims.

We have a second mineral exploration and mining lease agreement with the Donovan Silver Hills, LLC dated September 1, 1999 covering seven patented claims and 13 unpatented claims located in Storey and Lyon Counties. The lease remains in effect for as long as exploration, development, mining, or processing operations are conducted on a continuous basis, without a lapse of activity for more than 180 days. We pay a royalty to the lessor amounting to the greater of \$500 per month or a royalty percentage of the Net Smelter Returns. The royalty percentage varies based on the price of gold: 3% if gold is less than \$400 per ounce, 4% if gold is at least \$400 per ounce but less than \$500 per ounce, and 5% if gold is \$500 or greater per ounce. We are also responsible for payment and filing of annual maintenance fees, if any, and taxes for these claims.

In addition to the mineral leases, we hold 100 unpatented mineral claims in Storey County, hold eight unpatented mineral claims in Lyon County, and own title to 40 acres of land in Storey County. The W. Hughes Brockbank Living Trust has a lien against and a security interest in these unpatented mineral claims and the 40 acres of land pursuant to a Deed of Trust dated October 31, 2003, entered into with W. Hughes Brockbank Living Trust. The Deed of Trust was granted to secure a promissory note, dated October 31, 2003, in the amount of \$1 million for the balance of the purchase price for the property. The non-interest bearing promissory note requires ten quarterly payments of \$100,000 each. As of December 31, 2007, the outstanding balance of the note was \$250,000.

Present Condition of Property and Work Performed

We have not completed extensive characterization of mineralized material, geologic analysis, metallurgical testing, mine planning, or economic analysis on the Plum mineral assets. We have not established reserves on this property. Therefore, any activity we perform on the property is considered exploratory in nature. Part of our exploration includes operating a test mine. The purpose of the test mine is to determine our capital and operating costs, metallurgical recoveries, and other mining factors, and demonstrate that we can make a profit over and above our capital and operating costs.

Description of Equipment and other Infrastructure Facilities

Up until the shutdown in mining in February 2007, GoldSpring used a mining contractor to dig material from the Billie the Kid pit. The contractor used 50 ton Euclid haul trucks to haul the mineralized materials from the Billie the Kid/Lucerne open pit to the crushing and process facility located in the northeast corner of the property. The mineralized material is crushed, and agglomerated in a self-contained portable crushing plant. The mineralized material is fed to a vibrating plate feeder by a front-end loader. The feeder provides a steady feed to a Pioneer jaw crusher where material is crushed to -3" minus. Prior to agglomeration, 10 pounds of Type II Portland Cement is added for every ton of mineralized material and metered on to the pug mill feed conveyor which is then transported to the leach pads. A dilute cyanide solution is then applied to the mineralized material on the leach pads. Pregnant solution is accumulated from the leach pad and is then pumped to the 300 gpm Merrill-Crowe recovery plant. The resulting zinc precipitate collected in the presses is dried and smelted on the property using an electric furnace to produce gold dore.

Our third-party contract mining company owns and provided the haul trucks, front end shovel, loaders and blade. We own the Merrill-Crowe gold precipitation plant, the agglomerator, crushers, screen, water truck, generators, dozers, cement silo with a screw feeder, and conveyors. The Merrill-Crowe gold precipitation plant and the mineral processing equipment are less than four years old. The total book value of our equipment associated with the Billie the Kid and the Lucerne facilities is approximately \$1,500,000.

Power Utilization at the Plum Property:

We completed the installation of the grid power line replacing a Caterpillar 3516 (1000 kilowatt) diesel generator. The change has reduced our crushing costs and directly attributed to expanding our permit for tons crushed.

Geology, Structure and Mineralization

Several large low angle brecciated structural zones (faults) dominate the geology of the Billie the Kid/Lucerne deposit. The thickness of these structural zones ranges from 20 to 30 feet. Gold mineralization within the Billie the Kid/Lucerne deposit is closely associated with dikes and sills that are composed of Alta Andesite, a dark-colored, fine-grained volcanic rock, but these rocks are rarely or weakly mineralized. Hartford Rhyolite, a fine-grained volcanic rock, hosts approximately 70% to 80% of the gold mineralization and the remaining 20% to 30% is associated with Alta Andesite.

Mineralized Material

We have not established any proven or probable reserves that meet the requirements of SEC Industry Guide 7. Therefore, all of our activities are considered exploratory in nature. Part of our exploration includes operating a test mine. The purpose of the test mine is to determine our capital and operating costs, metallurgical recoveries, and other mining factors, and demonstrate that we can make a profit over and above our capital and operating cost. These test mining activities may provide us with sufficient data to prepare a formal mine plan and establish reserves.

It should also be noted that the above-stated tonnage of mineralized material does not reflect waste dilution during test mining or metal value losses in processing. Nor do the above numbers reflect the drilling and exploration work performed in 2004, 2005 and 2006. We have established procedures to recalculate and update our mineral inventory annually, and we plan do so in the first half of 2008.

Future Exploration Potential

We are conducting an exploration program to test surface mineral targets as well as deep underground bonanza targets by using geological mapping, geochemical/geophysical investigations and drilling.

Gold Canyon and Spring Valley (Placer Claims)

We own a 100% interest in the 25 federal unpatented placer claims located in Lyon County, Nevada known as the Gold Canyon and Spring Valley claims. The 25 unpatented placer claims cover approximately 850 acres and are located about 30 miles southeast of Reno and six miles south of Virginia City, Nevada. We have not completed any exploration activity on the Gold Canyon or Spring Valley properties. The properties are undeveloped and do not contain any open-pit or underground mines. We have not established any proven or probable reserves on the mineral claims. All of our activities associated with these properties are exploratory in nature. The processing plant is stored at our Plum Mining property in American Flat, Nevada. We have no plans to begin test mining operations on these properties in the near-term.

The “Big Mike” Copper Project

We own a 100% interest in the 17 unpatented lode claims and one placer claim covering a total of 310 acres in Pershing County, Nevada that comprise the Big Mike Copper property. The Big Mike Copper property is located approximately 32 miles south of Winnemucca in Pershing County, Nevada. Access to this site is available by way of Grass Valley Road, a county maintained paved and gravel road, for 30 miles and then two miles on a BLM gravel road. The property is situated at an elevation of 5,000 to 5,500 feet. We have not completed any exploration activity or undertaken any geologic, engineering or economic studies on the Big Mike Copper property. The property includes an open pit, mineralized material in a stockpile, and waste dumps. As the site was previously mined, there are also roads and graded areas on the property. Two cased water wells with rights to two cubic feet per second are also present on the property.

At the end of September 2006, the Company entered into a ten year lease with a local company, controlled by a former GoldSpring director, for a 10 year lease of all of its mining claims for its Big Mike copper mine. The Lease calls for a \$50,000 initial payment, to cover royalty payments due for the first two years of the lease term. Additionally, the lessee must pay Goldspring \$75,000 when a production permit is awarded, and \$125,000 when commercial mining commences. Additionally, the lessee agrees to a work expenditure of \$300,000 for environmental and engineering matters in the first thirty-six months of the lease. During the term of the lease, Goldspring will also receive a production royalty of between 3% and 5% of net returns from copper mining, dependent on the price of copper.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

The Settlement of the Parent Litigation

On March 23, 2007, the Company and related parties entered into a global settlement with Stephen B. Parent, Goldspring’s former CEO and related parties, which brought final resolution in the following cases: (i) GoldSpring, Inc. v. Stephen B. Parent, et al., Case No. CV2004-021755, Maricopa County Superior Court (“the State Court Action”); and (ii) Robert T. Faber, et al. v. Stephen B. Parent, et al., Case No. CV04-2960-PHX-EHC, the United States District Court, District of Arizona (“the Federal Court Action”). The settlement agreement contains the following terms, among others:

- Settlement without payment of cash consideration by either side in either case
- Dismissal of both the Federal Court Action and the State Court Action with prejudice (including dismissal by Parent of the pending Federal Court appeal)
- Mutual release of liability and covenants not to sue

- Invalidity of Consent Resolutions described in the Federal Court's April 18, 2006 Order Granting Preliminary Injunction in the Federal Court Action and agreement by the Parents and Medhi further to not further contest or challenge in any way the election of GoldSpring's current Board of Directors or any of the actions taken by GoldSpring's Board of Directors or its officers at any time up to the date of this Agreement, including, but not limited to the approval and/or implementation of the November 30, 2004 financial restructuring.

- No admission of liability by any party to either the Federal Court Action or the State Court Action.

Degerstrom Suit

On April 11, 2006, in the First Judicial District Court, Storey County, Nevada, wherein N.A. Degerstrom, Inc. (“Degerstrom”) sued the Company on various counts, including breach of contract, *quantum merit*, foreclosure of mechanic's lien, and assertion that the Degerstrom lien has priority over all other liens on the Plum Mine property. The plaintiff claims damages in excess of \$806,000 plus interest. The Company subsequently answered the Complaint and counterclaimed for breach of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, and for negligence, alleging damages in excess of \$10,000.

The lawsuit arises out of a dispute as to how much the Company owes the Degerstrom for services provided. Pursuant to a December 27, 2005 agreement, the parties agreed that the amount to be paid by the Company to Degerstrom would be subject to volume reconciliation by aerial survey. According to Company management, pursuant to prepared aerial and ground surveys, the Company has been over-billed for amounts of ore and waste which have been hauled by Degerstrom. The results of the surveys are to be presented to the Court as evidence as to the Company's affirmative defenses that it does not owe the amounts claimed by Degerstrom.

Degerstrom filed a mechanic's lien against the property of Plum, and at the time of filing of the Complaint, filed and recorded a *lis pendens* against the property of Plum based upon Degerstrom's claim.

The litigation was settled in early December 2007. Under the settlement agreement, Under the settlement agreement, GoldSpring paid Degerstrom \$250,000 and both parties agree to dismiss their claims against the other. The agreement was subject to GoldSpring remitting \$100,000 by December 11, 2007 and the balance of \$150,000 by January 31, 2008. The Company made both payments in a timely manner.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Small Business Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

PRICE RANGE OF COMMON STOCK

Our common stock is currently traded on the OTC Bulletin Board under the symbol “GSPG:OB”. The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the high and low inter-dealer closing prices per share of our common stock as reported on the Over The Counter Bulletin Board, without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not represent actual transactions. As of December 31, 2007, we had over 5,000 holders of our common stock. That does not include the number of beneficial holders whose stock is held in the name of broker-dealers or banks. At December 31, 2007, we had 2,743,508,245 shares of common stock outstanding.

The following table sets forth the high and low bid prices for our common stock since for the last two years.

Year	Quarter	High	Low
2005	First	0.23	0.06
2005	Second	0.10	0.03
2005	Third	0.11	0.05
2005	Fourth	0.06	0.02
2006	First	.043	.014
2006	Second	.02	.009
2006	Third	.014	.003
2006	Fourth	.012	.003
2007	First	.0047	.0028
2007	Second	.0035	.001
2007	Third	.001	.0005
2007	Fourth	.01538	.0005

EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION

The following table sets forth information with respect to our common stock that may be issued upon the exercise of stock options under our incentive stock option plans as of December 31, 2007

Plan Category	(a)	(b)	(c)
	Number of Securities to Be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	Weighted-Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options, Warrants, and Rights	Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a))
Equity Compensation Plans Approved by Stockholders	100,000,000	\$.00963	0
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved by Stockholders	0	\$ 0	800,000,000
Total	100,000,000	\$.00963	0

Pursuant to the Employment Agreement, Mr. Golden shall be granted 10,000,000 stock options currently and will be eligible for an additional 10,000,000 options for each addition one hundred thousand ounces of gold reserves up to 90,000,000 options. Options will vest over 36 months from the date of the grant. All earned and vested options have an exercise price of \$0.00963

Dividend Policy

We have never declared or paid any dividends on our common stock. We do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock in the foreseeable future. We currently intend to retain future earnings, if any, to finance operations and the expansion of our business. Any future determination to pay cash dividends will be at the discretion of the board of directors and will depend upon our financial condition, operating results, capital requirements and other factors the board of directors deems relevant, including the provisions of any applicable credit agreements. We

are restricted from declaring dividends under the terms of the senior secured convertible debentures.

Item 6. Management's Discussion and Analysis or Plan of Operations

The following discussion provides information that we believe is relevant to an assessment and understanding of the consolidated results of operations and financial condition of our company. It should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and accompanying Notes.

The following discussion addresses matters we consider important for an understanding of our financial condition and results of operations as of and for the year ended December 31, 2007, as well as our future results.

Overview

We are a North American precious metals mining company with an operating gold and silver test mine in northern Nevada. Our Company was formed in mid-2003, and we acquired the Plum property in November 2003. In our relatively short history, we secured permits, built an infrastructure and brought the Plum exploration project into test mining production. Beginning in 2005, we started acquiring additional properties around the Plum project in Northern Nevada, expanding our footprint and creating opportunities for exploration. We are an emerging company, looking to build on our success through the acquisition of other mineral properties in North America with reserves and exploration potential that can be efficiently put into near-term production. Our objectives are to increase production; increase reserves through exploration and acquisitions; expand our footprint at the Plum Mine; and maximize value for our shareholders.

We started to reap the benefits of the operational improvement program that we initiated in 2005, including our first reported net profit for the first quarter of 2006. This program began with a complete review of every facet of the operation to insure maximum efficiency. We have nearly completed our review of the various processes and have implemented several changes, which have increased efficiencies. Most recently, we have made the decision to take over our mining operations, which are currently being performed by an outside contractor. Although we had planned to have our mining operation completely in place by the end of May 2006, delays in financing have dictated that we rely on contract mining assistance through the end of November 2006. However, when we do take over operations, based on our mine plan and internal calculations and reach our targeted production numbers, we had expected our production cost to be less than \$400 per ounce but due to shutdown of Plum Mine in February 2007, we have not been able to continue production.

Our first quarter 2006 production was hampered by inclement weather in northern Nevada in late 2005 and early 2006. Our Plum Mine received fourteen inches of rain between mid-December and the end of February, filling our leach ponds, including our one hundred year storm pond, nearly to capacity. The high levels of effluents in the ponds prevented us from adding additional reagents to our leach pads. Because we were unable to add new material to the pad and put it under leach, we ceased mining operations in early-January. Our team at the mine did an excellent job, in a challenging situation, to insure the environmental integrity of our operation. The team worked closely with the regulatory authorities throughout this process. In order to resume mining and processing with the necessary reagents, the level of effluents in the ponds must be reduced through evaporation. The necessary level was reached in late September 2006.

Due to the nine month cessation of mining activities, there was little ore to produce in the second quarter, and therefore revenues in the second quarter were markedly lower in the first quarter, when there was still a supply of ore, mined in the fourth quarter of 2005, to process and sell. A lapse in mining typically leads to reduced saleable materials in the next fiscal quarter as the mining-processing-sales cycle is approximately 60 - 90 days. The third quarter of 2006 marked the recommencement of mining activities. Recent focus has included (i) ramping up of mining and processing on a continued basis; (ii) stockpiling of ore for processing during inclement weather; and (iii) transition preparation for December 1, 2006 takeover of mining operations by the Company's own staff. Furthermore, significant fluid management steps had been taken to avoid another shut down of activity as experienced during the first five months of 2006. The mine was shut down again in the first quarter of 2007 due to insufficient funds to run operations.

In the first quarter of 2007 three of our four remaining directors resigned to pursue other opportunities which leaves the Company with the opportunity to seek a new Board well experienced in the mining industry.

The Company turned a corner during 2007 with the final settlement of the Parent litigation and settlement of the Degerstrom litigation which have continued financial and human resource drain which all but consumed the Company are finally over. Given the end of this litigation, change in Board composition and continued challenges in capital raising efforts, the Company's management determined that there is a need to reevaluate the Company's business plan

with a view toward the best way to maximize shareholder value and protection of our secured creditors.

In detail, this evaluation is covering the following matters:

- Expanding our footprint in the Comstock Region and other acquisition opportunities

18

- Further exploration in the Comstock Region to accomplish the above, including a decision to review the geology of the Hartford complex in a more detailed manner
- Completion of the Plum Mine reserve report
- Strategic acquisitions in other areas of North America

Adjustments to this analysis have been made over the past few months, all with the goal to best utilize the Company's limited financial resources to increase shareholder value and to focus on raising additional capital to reinstate operations.

Despite the mine shutdown in February 2007, the Company has had activity in ore body delineation, metallurgic testing and exploration. Ore body delineation included plans to commence developmental drilling in December 2007, with drilling to be completed in three phases of 100 holes per phase. The goal is to define and map the ore body and to prepare geologic cross sections to be utilized in mine planning and as a result, to be able to build a new mine model using geostatistics and extensive drill hole data.

There is also ongoing exhaustive metallurgic testing to attempt to maximize recovery of the high grade fraction of the ore and to determine optimum size to continue heap leaching. The Company is also assessing if whether a small mill could be added to increase overall recovery.

The exploration drilling program, which is heavily dependent on funds availability, commenced in December 2007. The Company is scheduled to continue with the exploration drilling program throughout 2008.

Assuming sufficient funds are raised in a timely manner, the Company's goal would be to reopen the Mine during the second half of 2008 if it can complete a reserve report with a qualified third party and complete a comprehensive mine plan and schedule, all of which is dependent upon ability to secure sufficient funds to procure the mining fleet.

In conjunction with the business plan reconsideration, the Company has taken steps to minimize operations in order to conserve cash flow and has presented a temporary Mine Closure Plan to the NDEP, which if approved, would call for closure through March 2008, at the latest.

There are also several specific risk factors attendant to operation of a gold mining concern which bear repetition here due to events in 2006, although this is not intended to be a full blown list of risk factors (and we encourage you to review our October 11, 2005 424(b)(3) for a further discussion of risk factors attendant to our business):

- Weather - As disclosed in this Quarterly Report, excessive rains has caused material delays in our ability to operate as high levels of water in our leaching ponds and flooding have prevented us from being able to leach materials, a necessary part of the gold production process. Excessive snows, which can occur in the area in which the Plum Mine is located, would also hamper mining as the Plum Mine is an open pit mine.
- Current Political Instability in the Middle East - Commodities such as gold tend to have widely fluctuating markets, and the current problems in areas such as Lebanon and Iraq, which are causing much political and economic instability internationally, may very well be a contributing factor to the volatile gold market.

There are also risks involved in the fact that one individual and his affiliates, as of September 30, 2007, beneficially own in excess of 50% of our voting stock. Pursuant to our recent financing agreement, this convertible debt holder and his affiliates with a 61 day notice can waive the 4.9% ownership restriction, allowing him to convert 100% of his convertible debt and related interest, which totals \$8,721,478 at December 31, 2007, into our common shares. This group, if they waive the ownership restriction and convert all convertible debt and related interest into our voting common stock, may take actions that could conflict with your interests. This includes the election of Company directors, approval of actions generally requiring the approval of the holders of our voting stock, including adopting amendments to our articles of incorporation and bylaws and approving mergers, certain acquisitions or sales of all or substantially all of our assets, which could delay or prevent someone from acquiring or merging with us or limit the ability of our other stockholders to approve transactions that they may deem to be in their best interests.

Results of Operations and Operational Plan

Our Plum Mine, which is located in Storey County, Nevada, went into test mining production in late third quarter 2004. We have not established reserves on this exploration project. Therefore, all of our activities on this property are considered test mining or exploratory in nature. One of our top priorities in 2005 was to improve efficiencies and increase test mining production at our Plum Mine. In March 2005, we initiated a program to improve the operational efficiency of our mining operation. As part of this program, we consolidated our corporate office with the Plum Mine office. We also made improvements to our processing plant and took over crushing operations from our third-party contractor, reducing costs and increasing our control over the crushing process. Our improvement program continued throughout the year. In November 2005, we retained licensed mining engineer Jim Golden, who became our COO in 2006, to conduct a comprehensive review of all aspects of the Plum Mine operation, including the overall mine plan, with the objective of further improving efficiency, increasing production, and reducing costs. Furthermore Mine Development and Associates of Reno, Nevada is expected to complete a detailed mine plan and a reserve report for the Plum Mine by the end of the third quarter 2007. Recent changes have included revising the mine plan to reflect the current higher gold prices; adding various efficiencies in the processing area; and re-positioning personnel to maximize overall performance. The mine plan and reserve report are the culmination of a twelve-month undertaking by our Company and Mine Development & Associates. We believe that these improvements, including the updated mine plan, will improve our overall performance at the Plum Mine.

Inclement weather in northern Nevada in late 2005 and early 2006 presented a challenge to our Plum Mine operation. The mine received twelve inches of rain between mid-December and mid-January, filling our leach ponds nearly to capacity. This situation impacted our ability to mine and to process at our normal capacity, thus decreasing production. Our team at the mine did an excellent job, in a challenging situation, to insure the environmental integrity of our operation. The team worked closely with the regulatory authorities throughout this process. In mid-January, we ceased mining operations to allow time for our crew to stabilize the leach ponds and the processing plant. During this interruption from mining, we took steps to implement additional process modifications identified through our operational improvement plan.

We also had planned to continue our exploration program in 2007 if capital resources allowed; however, due to insufficient funds, this was delayed until the first quarter of 2008. In March 2006, we retained Larry Martin, a registered geologist, to oversee our exploration program at the Plum Mine and in the Comstock Lode district. Mr. Martin has over twenty-five years of diverse geological and exploration experience in the mining industry. He has worked for several major mining enterprises, including Peter Kiewit, where he served as manager of geological services. We have allocated a budget of \$500,000 to explore and develop our claims at the Plum Mine. We hoped to begin exploration in late spring or early summer of 2006 but due to inability to obtain a large enough capital investment this exploration is substantially delayed into sometime in 2007 (assuming the Company is able to secure adequate financing). We intend to target our exploration toward replenishing and expanding our mineralized material inventory at our existing mine and toward developing new mineral properties. The successful location of additional mineralized material on the existing property would allow us to expand the size and the lifespan of the Plum mining

project, exclusive of new property acquisitions. It is our belief that we possess an advantage with our status as likely the only heap leach gold mining permit holder in the area. This permit is relatively difficult to obtain, and it is one that we can expand to include new areas in the event we locate and wish to process new deposits.

In December 2005, we initiated a review of the invoices of our mining contractor. Specifically, we sought to reconcile the volume of material for which we were billed with the volume of material that was actually mined. We used an outside surveyor to conduct a comprehensive analysis of bank cubic yards mined. The results of the survey indicated that we had been over-billed by over \$500,000. We met with the mining contractor in early 2006 to discuss this issue and presented our proposed billing adjustment. The mining contractor has contracted an engineering firm to perform an independent analysis of the data generated from our surveys to determine the accuracy of our calculations. We anticipated a resolution of this issue by September of 2006 but due to continuing litigation this issue is still outstanding.

In all 2006 proved to be another challenging year for the Company although some advances were made. In the first half of 2006, weather conditions caused delays which prevented any ore processing and mining activity ceased. Additionally, the Company undertook substantial corrective measures to ensure proper water management and continuation of mining activity during future heavy moisture periods. Additionally, an aerial survey was completed which confirmed over billing by Degerstrom Inc, the former mining contractor. The Company took advantage of the "down time" in 2006 to improve the overall operation of the mine with solid results in reduced costs and improved efficiencies.

In the second half of 2006, a new mining contractor was hired, with mining activities recommencing in July 2006 and again suspended in early 2007.

On a positive note is the resolution, without liability to the Company, of the 2-½ year legal battle with a former director thus stopping the ongoing drain of litigation on the human and financial resources of the Company. To date, over \$1,000,000 was spent on legal fees in that litigation. With the litigation settled, all diverted human and financial resources can be refocused on readjusting the business plan of the Company with the goal of restarting and revamping operations no later than early 2008.

Among the exploration and business development activities that are in process:

- Ore body delineation
- Reserve definition
- Completion of reserve report
- Development of comprehensive mine plan from exploration results
- Increase of ore reserves
- Augment ability to mine and operate at more efficient levels
- Intent to resume mine operations after completion of the 43101 reserve report and the comprehensive mine plan.
- Expansion of existing footprint in the Comstock region
 - Expansion of team of experts to study geology and metallurgy, as well as develop mine plan, define reserves and complete reserve report
 - Secure funds to commence drilling

Due to our current plan to realign our operations, we have not yet been able to and may not be able to meet any or all of the above goals (as stated for each goal, where not completed in 2007).

Notwithstanding the foregoing, November and December 2007 were productive months. The Company hired Orbit Garant Drilling to perform exploration and developmental drilling at the Comstock project, and four holes were drilled by the end of December, with third party laboratory testing yielding encouraging ore grades from samples tested from the first four holes. The Company also hired two mining engineers and a Ph. D. geologist as consultants to its team to further augment its expertise in exploration. In order to fund its exploration efforts, the Company raised \$1,000,000 in capital to finance the commencement in drilling.

Early 2008 Developments

The Company has drilled a total of 25 holes in its exploratory program through March 2008, with better assay results than the grades yielded during 2005 and 2006 production. With the appointment of two new directors in 2008 (Rob Faber, the Company's CEO, and Scott Jolcover (a former Company employee with significant mining experience in the region), the Company has commenced the task of rebuilding its Board, which lost 4 of 5 Directors in early 2007. The Company looks to continue expanding its Board during 2008, to include independent directors. In early March 2008, the Company appointed a new metallurgical team with resources and expertise geared toward efficiency maximization in anticipation of recommencement of production, which is scheduled for the second quarter of 2008 (subject to expert advice). The Company has secured an additional \$1,000,000 for further drilling and general corporate expenses.

Comparative Financial Information

	Twelve Months ended December 31, 2007	Twelve Months ended December 31, 2006	Difference
Revenue	\$ 395,541	\$ 1,255,013	\$ (859,472)
Reclamation, Exploration and Test Mining Expenses	473,594	1,985,611	(1,512,017)
Consulting and professional	297,531	468,951	(171,420)
General and administrative	535,739	573,683	(37,944)
Interest Expense	2,868,455	2,779,420	89,035
Net Loss	\$ (4,416,527)	\$ (4,416,527)	\$ (359,171)

We sold 531 ounces of gold at an average price of \$744 per ounce during the twelve-month period ended December 31, 2007 compared to gold sales of 2,116 ounces at an average price of \$ 578 per ounce during the same period of 2006. In February 2007 the Company shutdown mining operation to focus on the geology and exploration drilling. Reclamation, Exploration and Test Mining Expenses were \$ 1,512,017 less for the year ended December 31, 2007 than for the year ended December 31, 2006. This 2007 expense decrease reflects the suspension of mining activity in February 2007. Our Company is an Exploration Stage enterprise as defined by SEC Industry Guide 7, and, in accordance with SEC Industry Guide 7, infrastructure expenditures such as haul roads, leach pads and start-up costs were expensed.

	Quarter ended December 31, 2007	Quarter ended December 31, 2006	Difference
Revenue	\$ 44,946	\$ 263,908	\$ (218,962)
Reclamation, Exploration and Test Mining Expense	(197,356)	601,384	(798,740)
Consulting and professional	116,865	63,613	(53,252)
General and administrative	231,373	204,516	(53,252)
Interest Expense	486,729	681,769	(195,040)
Net Loss	\$ (644,665)	\$ (1,211,206)	\$ 566,541

During the fourth quarter of 2007 we sold 74 ounces of gold at an average price of \$607 per ounce compared to gold sales of 337 ounces at an average price of \$ 545 per ounce during the same period of 2006. Reclamation, Exploration and Test Mining Expenses in the fourth quarter of 2007 were \$787,740 less than the fourth quarter of 2006. This variance reflects the shutdown of mining since February 2007. As detailed above, our Company is an Exploration Stage enterprise and in accordance with Industry Guide 7 infrastructure expenditures such as haul roads, leach pads and start-up costs were expensed.

At December 31, 2007, our Company had approximately \$13,552,000 of outstanding debt bearing an average interest rate of 20% of which \$7,398,239 originated from our November 2004 restructuring of the March 2004 private placement. (See "Recent Financing Events and Restructuring," above) Prior to November 2004, our Company had no outstanding interest-bearing debt.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We recognize that our cash resources are limited. Our continued existence and plans for future growth depend on our ability to obtain the capital necessary to operate, through the generation of revenue or the issuance of additional debt or equity. In 2007, we raised an aggregate of \$1,970,000 through three financing transactions. Through March 30, 2008, we received \$1,500,000 in additional funding. While this additional funding may meet our immediate working capital needs, if we are not able to generate sufficient revenues and cash flows or obtain additional or alternative funding, we will be unable to continue as a going concern. We have yet to realize an operating profit at our Company. As disclosed in the report of our independent registered public accounting firm in our financial statements included in this Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2007, our recurring losses and negative cash flow from operations raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern.

In connection with our acquisition of the Plum Mining Company, LLC, we issued a promissory note to the seller for \$1 million (the balance of the purchase price). At December 31, 2007, the outstanding balance on the Note was \$250,000. We are in default on this Note.

Under the terms of our November 2004 subscription agreement, we issued 8% convertible notes in the aggregate principal amount of \$11.1 million to an investor group. Under the terms of the notes, our first principal and interest repayment was scheduled for April 1, 2005. We are in default on these notes. The default interest rate is 15%.

In March 2005, we issued a secured convertible note in the aggregate amount of \$6,885,184 with a 12% interest rate for the 29,573,803 shares and accrued interest due under the mandatory redemption payment provisions of our November 2004 subscription agreement. Payments on this note were scheduled to begin on April 1, 2005. We are in default on this note, causing the interest rate to increase to the default rate of 18%.

On July 15, 2005, we completed a financing transaction, which provided us with \$800,000 in funding. In consideration for the financing, we issued promissory notes with a face value of \$1.2 million, reflecting an original issue discount of thirty-three and one-third (33.3%) percent. The term of the notes is two years, with an optional extension of one year at the option of the investor. The annual interest rate on the notes is 15% of the face value and is payable monthly. On September 28, 2005, we completed another financing transaction under the same terms and conditions as the July 2005 financing. The September 2005 financing provided us with \$200,000 in funding. We have not made the monthly interest payments on these notes, and thus we are in default. The default interest rate on these notes is 22%.

We are working with the above-referenced note holders to cure the defaults. The above referenced notes have a total value of approximately 11,726,000 at December 31, 2006. While failure to reach a resolution would likely cause us to seek external funding in order to meet our obligations, there can be no assurance that such funding would be available.

\$2,200,000 Convertible Debenture Financing

On August 23 - 24, 2006, the Company formally entered into an agreement with several investors to loan \$1,900,000 to the Company. In March 2007, the Company amended the agreement increasing the loan amount to \$2,200,000. The notes evidencing the loan bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum, payable monthly on the first of each month commencing October 1, 2006, along with 1/24 of the principal amount of such notes on each repayment date and were issued between May 18, 2006 - August 24, 2006, with the second quarter notes being treated as "bridge debt" until the loan agreement was formally signed.. The notes are also convertible into Common Stock at a 50% discount to market until a registration statement registering the Common Stock underlying the notes is effective and at a 15% discount to market thereafter. As additional consideration, the investors are to be issued a total of 20,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock at exercise prices based upon the same formulas as for conversion of the amounts due under the notes. The notes are secured by a lien on the assets of Goldspring, Inc. and a pledge of all of the interests in Plum Mine Special Purpose, LLC, which owns the Plum Mine operation. In connection with this loan, the lender has agreed to acquire the existing mortgage on the Plum Mine property from the Brockbank Trust . To date, \$2,170,000 of the \$2,200,000 has been funded by the investors.

The notes issued are as follows:

	Issued date	Face amount
Winfield Debenture Payable	5/15/2006	\$ 300,000
Winfield Debenture Payable	6/21/2006	300,000
Winfield Debenture Payable	8/23/2006	300,000
Longview Debenture Payable	8/24/2006	300,000
Winfield Debenture Payable	12/12/2006	100,000
	First	
	Quarter	
Winfield Debenture Payable	2007	331,120
	Second	
	Quarter	
Winfield Debenture Payable	2007	288,880
Longview Debenture Payable	4/1/07	250,000
Total		\$ 2,170,000

In July 2007, we completed an additional financing transaction, which provided us with \$300,000 in funding. In consideration for the funding, we issued a promissory note with a term of one hundred and twenty (120) days and an interest rate of sixteen percent (16%) per annum. The default interest rate on the note is twenty-two percent (18%). The funds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

On October 9, 2007, we completed a financing transaction, which provided us with \$137,500 in funding. In consideration for the financing, we issued promissory notes with a face value of \$200,000, reflecting an original issue discount of thirty-one and a quarter (31.25%) percent. The term of the notes is one. The note does not bear interest. The funds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

On December 11, 2007, the Company formally entered into an agreement with several investors to loan \$1,000,000 to the Company. In consideration for the financing, we issued promissory notes with a face value of \$1,200,000, reflecting an original discount of sixteen and seventeen hundredths (16.17%) percent. The notes evidencing the loan bear interest at the rate of 4.9% per annum, payable on or prior to the one year anniversary of the respective loan date. As of December 31, 2007, \$600,000 of the \$1,200,000 has been funded by the investors.

The aggregate total of 2007 financing transactions was \$1,970,000.

Accordingly, at December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, we classified the following convertible debentures as current liabilities as follows:

	Dec. 31, 2007	Dec. 31, 2006
Convertible Debentures Payable-Investors	\$ 1,570,237	\$ 2,600,812
Convertible Debentures Payable- Mandatory Redemption payment	5,737,058	5,886,059
Convertible Debentures Payable- Failure to Deliver Shares	90,944	356,348
Convertible Notes Payable – 2006	2,170,000	1,300,000
Total	\$ 9,568,239	\$ 10,143,219

The aggregate proceeds from 2007 financing transactions totaled \$1,970,000.

We expect to initiate a comprehensive exploration program during 2008 and expand our footprint at our Plum mine. We intend to finance our exploration project, acquisitions and any other capital expenditures in 2008 through the issuance of debt or equity instruments to existing shareholders and other parties. There can be no assurance that such financing will be available.

Item 7. Financial Statements

See index to Financial Statements and Financial Statements Schedules beginning on page F-1 of this Form 10-KSB.

Item 8. Changes and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

Not applicable.

ITEM 8A(T). CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting.

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting for the company in accordance with as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that:

- (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements; and
- (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized transactions.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Except as stated below, Management's assessment of the effectiveness of the small business issuer's internal control over financial reporting is as of the year ended December 31, 2007. We believe that internal control over financial reporting is effective. We have not identified any, current material weaknesses considering the nature and extent of our current operations and any risks or errors in financial reporting under current operations.

This annual report does not include an attestation report of the company's registered public accounting firm regarding internal control over financial reporting. Management's report was not subject to attestation by the Company's registered public accounting firm pursuant to temporary rules of the SEC that permit the Company to provide only management's report in this annual report.

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2007, that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

We have identified conditions as of December 31, 2007 that we believe are significant deficiencies in internal controls that include: 1) a lack of segregation of duties in accounting and financial reporting activities; and 2) the lack of a sufficient number of qualified accounting personnel. We have taken corrective measures to remedy these deficiencies. These measures include our consolidation of the corporate office with the office at the Plum Mine operation. This consolidation has provided the corporate office with additional accounting personnel. We believe that the presence of additional qualified accounting personnel will allow us to effectively correct the lack of segregation of duties in accounting and financial reporting activities.

Our former Chief Financial Officer became our Chief Executive Officer in September 2004. Our Company has not hired another individual to act as Chief Financial Officer. We believe the absence of a full-time Chief Financial Officer or Chief Accounting Officer has resulted in a significant deficiency with respect to the lack of qualified accounting personnel. We have been able to mitigate this deficiency by engaging outside consultants to assist the Company in its accounting activities, but believe that the only effective long-term solution to our accounting needs is to hire a qualified CFO. Due to our budgetary constraints and the small size of our company we are uncertain as to when we will be able to accomplish this.

We do not believe that these deficiencies constitute material weaknesses because of (i) additional accounting support through the office consolidation with Plum Mine and (ii) the use of outside consultants.

We are also in the process of taking additional corrective measures to further remedy the deficiencies in future periods.

There have been no changes during the quarter ended December 31, 2007 in our Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(d) and 15d-15(d) that have material affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal controls over our financial reporting.

Item 8B. Other Information

Not applicable.

Item 9. Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant

The following table sets forth certain information regarding our directors and officers:

Name	Age	Position
William J. Nance	64	Director

William J. Nance has been a director of our Company since October 2005. Mr. Nance is a Certified Public Accountant and private consultant to the real estate and banking industries. He is also President of Century Plaza Printers, Inc. Mr. Nance is also a Director of Intergroup Corporation, Santa Fe and Portsmouth.

Scott Jolcover and Robert Faber were elected to the Board of Directors on January 17, 2008 to fill vacancies on the board. Mr. Faber has served as the Company's President and Chief Financial Officer since September 2004 and the Chief Financial Officer since June 2003. Mr. Jolcover served as General Manager of the Plum Mine prior to and after it was acquired by GoldSpring in November 2003. Since leaving GoldSpring in 2006, Mr. Jolcover has worked as a consultant. Mr. Faber has served as the Company's President and Chief Financial Officer since September 2004 and the Chief Financial Officer since June 2003

Executive Officers

Robert T. Faber has served as President and Chief Executive Officer of our Company since September 2004 and Chief Financial Officer since June 2003. Mr. Faber served from 2002 until 2003 as Vice President of United Site Services, Inc., a privately held service consolidator in the waste service industry. Additionally, Mr. Faber served as an executive with Allied Waste Industries from 2001 until 2002, overseeing a \$1.2 billion multi-state area and served as Chief Financial Officer with Frontier Waste Services, LLC from 1999 until 2001. Prior to joining Frontier Waste, Mr. Faber spent 17 years with Waste Management, Inc., a publicly traded environmental services company, during which time he served in senior positions both internationally and domestically. Mr. Faber's positions included Director of Finance of Waste Management's \$1.4 billion multi-country International operations based in London, England and Vice President and Controller for several \$100 million plus multi-state market areas. Mr. Faber is a certified public accountant.(not licensed to practice).

Jim Golden has served as the Company's COO since May 2006. Mr. Golden, who is a licensed mining engineer, has over twenty years of experience in the mining industry, including ten years with Peter Kiewit's mining division, where he was a district manager. A graduate of Montana Tech, Mr. Golden has owned his own consulting firm since 1990, where he has provided consulting services throughout the world for over 50 mining companies.

Information Relating to Corporate Governance and the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors has determined, after considering all the relevant facts and circumstances, that Mr. William J. Nance is an independent director, as "independence" is defined by Nasdaq, because they have no relationship with us that would interfere with their exercise of independent judgment.

Our Board of Directors had established three standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, and a Nominations and Corporate Governance Committee. Due to the fact that Mr. Nance, who is not independent, was the sole Director during most of 2007, those Committees have been inactive. Once a full slate of Directors is appointed, the Company will review and update charters and reconstitute its Committees, as practicable.

Item 10. Executive Compensation

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the total compensation for services provided to us in all capacities by our Chief Executive Officer. No other executive officer received aggregate compensation exceeding \$100,000 during 2007.

SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE

Name and Principal Position	Year	Annual Compensation(1)		Long-Term Compensation Awards		TOTAL
		Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$)	Securities Underlying Options (#)	All Other Compensation (\$)	
Robert T. Faber(1)(2)(4)	2007	180,000	0			\$ 180,000
Robert T. Faber(2)(3)(4)	2006	\$ 147,500	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	\$ 147,500
Robert T. Faber, President and Chief Executive Officer;	2005	\$ 120,000	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	\$ 120,000
Chief Financial Officer	2004	\$ 115,000	\$ 10,000	0	\$ 0	\$ 125,000
Jim Golden, COO(3)(4)	2007	150,000	0	100,000	0	\$ 150,000
Jim Golden, COO (3)(4)	2006	\$ 150,000	\$ 0	0	\$ 0	\$ 150,000

- (1) Mr. Faber has served as President and Chief Executive Officer since September 2004 and Chief Financial Officer since June 2003.
- (2) \$90,000 of Mr. Faber's 2005 - 2007 salary has not yet been paid. We intend to pay this amount in 2008.
- (3) Mr. Golden has served as Chief Operating Officer since October 2006. Prior to October 2006, Mr. Golden served as a consultant to our Company
- (4) \$90,000 of Mr. Faber's 2005 - 2007 salary and \$10,000 of Mr. Golden's 2006 salary remains unpaid. Mr. Golden was paid the pending \$10,000 in January and February 2008.

Stock Options

We did not grant stock options to directors, officers, or employees in 2006. There were no shares of common stock underlying unexercised stock options at December 31, 2006. This information is summarized hereinbelow.

OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR END FOR 2007

Name and Principal Position	Option Awards			Option Exercise Price	Option Expiration Date	Stock Awards			
	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#)			Number of Shares or Units That Have Not	Market Value of Unearned Shares or Units	Number of Shares or Rights That Have Not	Market Value of Unearned Payout or Value of Unearned Rights

					Vested	Have Not Vested	That Have Not Vested
Robert T. Faber	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Jim Golden	10,000,000	0	90,000,000	.00963	-	-	-

Employment Agreements

Effective as of November 27, 2006, the Company entered into an Executive Employment Agreement with Robert T. Faber, its CEO. The Agreement carries a three year term from August 15, 2006 and is retroactive to that date. During the term of the Agreement, Mr. Faber’s base salary shall be \$180,000 per year, with such increases as may be determined by the Company’s Compensation Committee, with a bonus not to exceed 50% of the base salary then in effect. Also pursuant to the Agreement, Mr. Faber shall be granted 80,000,000 stock options currently with exercise price per the terms of the Company’s 2006 Stock Option and Incentive Plan. In the case of a termination not for cause, Mr. Faber shall continue to receive his full base salary for a period of one year from date of termination and upon a sale of the Company, he shall receive a one time lump sum payment equal to 100% of his then in effect base salary, with all options vesting immediately.

Effective as of December 13, 2007, the Company entered into an Executive Employment Agreement with Jim Golden, its COO. The Agreement carries a three year term from December 13, 2007. During the term of the Agreement, Mr. Golden’s base salary shall be \$150,000 per year, with such increases as may be determined by the Company’s Compensation Committee, with a bonus not to exceed 50% of the base salary then in effect. Also pursuant to the Agreement, Mr. Golden shall be granted 10,000,000 stock options currently and will be eligible for an additional 10,000,000 options for each addition one hundred thousand ounces of gold reserves up to 90,000,000 options. Options will vest over 36 months from the date of the grant. All earned and vested options have an exercise price of \$0.00963. Mr. Golden shall continue to receive his full base salary for a period of one year from date of termination and upon a sale of the Company, he shall receive a one time lump sum payment equal to 100% of his then in effect base salary, with all options vesting immediately.

Compensation of Directors

During the fiscal year ended, our Directors were/were not given compensation for services rendered as Directors. The following table summarizes any compensation given in 2007:

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION TABLE

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in		Stock Awards	Option Awards	Non-Equity Incentive Compensation	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total
	Cash							
William Nance	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Item 11. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our common stock as of December 31, 2007 by (1) each person who is known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of our issued and outstanding shares of common stock, (2) each of our directors and executive officers, and (3) all directors and officers as a group.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Shares Beneficially Owned	
	Number(1)	Percent(2)
Directors and Executive Officers:		
Robert T. Faber (1) (2) (4)	1,990,000	0.1%
Jim Golden(1) (2)	10,000,000	.4%
William Nance	0	0.0%
All directors and executive officers as a group (four persons)	11,990,000	0.5%
5% Shareholders:		
John V. Winfield(5)	1,025,319,501	28.3%
Merriman Curhan Ford(6)	343,747,233	12.5%

(1) Includes, when applicable, shares owned of record by such person's minor children and spouse and by other related individuals and entities over whose shares of common stock such person has custody, voting control, or power of disposition. Also includes shares of common stock that the identified person had the right to acquire within 60 days of December 31, 2007 by the exercise of vested stock options.

(2) The percentages shown include the shares of common stock that the person will have the right to acquire within 60 days of December 31, 2007. In calculating the percentage of ownership, all shares of common stock which the identified person will have the right to acquire within 60 days of December 31, 2007 upon the conversion of convertible notes or the exercise of warrants or stock options are deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of shares of common stock owned by such person, but are not deemed to be outstanding for the purpose of computing the percentage of shares of common stock owned by any other person.

(3) .Includes shares beneficially owned by John W. Winfield, Santa Fe Financial Corp., Portsmouth Square, Inc. and InterGroup Corporation. Mr. Winfield's address is 820 Moraga Drive, Los Angeles, California 90049.

(4) Appointed as Director on January 17, 2008

- (5) Includes shares beneficially owned by John V. Winfield, Santa Fe Financial Corp, Portsmouth Square, Inc. and Intergroup Corporation. Mr. Winfield's address is 820 Moraga Drive, Los Angeles, California 90049.
- (6) Merriman Curhan and Ford's address is 600 California Street, 9th Floor, San Francisco, California 94108.

Item 12. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

Not applicable.

Item 13. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

(1) Financial statements filed as part of this Report:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F - 2
Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2007	F - 3
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	F - 5
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	F - 6
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	F - 7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8-26

(2) Exhibits filed as part of this Report:

Exhibit Number	Exhibit
3.1	Borrower Security Agreement
23.1	Consent of Jewett, Schwartz Wolfe & Associates
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a), promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.2	Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002

(b) Reports filed on Form 8-K during the quarter ended December 31, 2007:

None.

Item 14. Principal Accountants Fees and Services

The aggregate fees billed to our company by Jewett Schwartz, for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2007, are as follows:

	2006	2007
Audit fees	\$ 37,500	\$ 37,500
Audit-related fees	\$ 11,700	\$ 15,000
Tax fees	\$ 10,000	\$ 10,000
All other fees	\$ 0	\$ 0

Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policies

The charter of our Audit Committee provides that the duties and responsibilities of our Audit Committee include the pre-approval of all audit, audit-related, tax, and other services permitted by law or applicable SEC regulations (including fee and cost ranges) to be performed by our independent auditor. Any pre-approved services that will involve fees or costs exceeding pre-approved levels will also require specific pre-approval by the Audit Committee. Unless otherwise specified by the Audit Committee in pre-approving a service, the pre-approval will be effective for the 12-month period following pre-approval. The Audit Committee will not approve any non-audit services prohibited by applicable SEC regulations or any services in connection with a transaction initially recommended by the independent auditor, the purpose of which may be tax avoidance and the tax treatment of which may not be supported by the Internal Revenue Code and related regulations.

To the extent deemed appropriate, the Audit Committee may delegate pre-approval authority to the Chairman of the Audit Committee or any one or more other members of the Audit Committee provided that any member of the Audit Committee who has exercised any such delegation must report any such pre-approval decision to the Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The Audit Committee will not delegate to management the pre-approval of services to be performed by the independent auditor.

Our Audit Committee requires that our independent auditor, in conjunction with our Chief Financial Officer, be responsible for seeking pre-approval for providing services to us and that any request for pre-approval must inform the Audit Committee about each service to be provided and must provide detail as to the particular service to be provided. Our Audit Committee Chair and Audit Committee Financial Expert is Bill Nance.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Goldspring, Inc.

/s/ Robert T. Faber
Robert T. Faber
President, Chairman and Director
(Principal Executive Officer and
Principal Financial Officer)

Date: April 11, 2008

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 12 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

/s/ Robert T. Faber
Robert T. Faber
President, Chairman and Director
(Principal Executive Officer and
Principal Financial and Accounting
Officer)

Date: April 11, 2008

GOLDSRING, INC.

**CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND 2006**

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheet as of December 31, 2007	F-3
Con Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	F-5
Con Consolidated Statements of Changes in Stockholders' Deficiency for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	F-6
Con Consolidated Statements of Changes in Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006	F-7
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8-25

F-1

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

To the board of directors and shareholders of
Goldspring, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of Goldspring, Inc. as of December 31, 2007 and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders' deficiency and cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provided a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Goldspring, Inc. as of December 31, 2007, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the years then ended 2007 and 2006 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States.

These financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the financial statements, the Company has operating and liquidity concerns, has incurred in net losses approximating \$32,000,000 as of December 31, 2007. These factors raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. In this regard, Management is proposing to raise any necessary additional funds through loans and additional sales of its common stock. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising additional capital.

/s/ Jewett, Schwartz, Wolfe & Associates
Jewett, Schwartz, Wolfe & Associates

Hollywood, Florida
April 11, 2008

F-2

GOLDSRING, INC.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

December
31, 2007

<u>ASSETS</u>	
Current Assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	174,996
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	-
Deferred financing fees, net	185,417
Total Current Assets	360,413
<u>PLANT, EQUIPMENT, AND MINERAL PROPERTIES</u>	
Mineral properties	1,619,837
Plant and equipment	411,040
Total property and equipment	2,030,877
Reclamation deposit	377,169
Other – embedded derivatives	906,989
Total Assets	\$ 3,675,448

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GOLDSRING, INC.
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY

	December 31, 2007
<u>CURRENT LIABILITIES</u>	
Accounts payable	305,638
Accrued expenses	683,575
Accrued liquidated damages	1,745,751
Accrued interest payable	3,205,813
Lease obligations	42,459
Convertible debentures	9,568,239
Promissory notes	3,575,000
Other notes payable & current portion of long-term debt	408,800
Total Current Liabilities	19,535,275
<u>LONG-TERM DEBT AND OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES</u>	
Long-term debt obligation, net of current portion	11,612
Derivative liability	776,385
Long-term reclamation liability	553,190
Total long-term debt and other Long-term Liabilities	1,341,187
Total Liabilities	20,876,462
<u>STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT</u>	
C Common stock, \$.000666 par value, 3,950,000,000 shares authorized, and 2,743,508,248 shares issued and outstanding	1,827,177
Additional paid-in capital	12,969,210
Accumulated deficit - prior years	(27,940,045)
Accumulated deficit - current year	(4,057,356)
Total Stockholders' Deficiency	(17,201,014)
Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Deficiency	\$ 3,675,448

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GOLDSRING, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
Revenue from gold sales, net	\$ 395,541	\$ 1,255,013
Cost and expenses		
Costs applicable to sales (exclusive of depreciation and amortization shown separately below)	-	-
Depreciation and amortization	277,578	288,305
Reclamation, exploration and test mining expenses	473,594	1,985,611
General and administrative	535,739	573,683
Consultants and professional fees	297,531	468,951
	1,584,442	3,316,550
Operating Loss	(1,188,901)	(2,061,537)
Other Income (Expense):		
Liquidated damages expense (See Note 11)	-	-
Gain on Sale of Fixed Assets	-	91,953
Other -Settlement Agreement	-	85,000
Derivative Change in Fair Value	-	247,477
Interest income	-	-
Interest expense	(2,868,455)	(2,779,420)
	(2,868,455)	(2,354,990)
Net Loss:	(4,057,356)	(4,416,527)
Net loss per common share - basic	(0.002)	(0.006)
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	1,590,580,692	701,486,485

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GOLDSRING, INC.
STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIENCY
For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

(Common Stock Par value, \$.000666 per share; 3,950,000,000 shares authorized
Preferred Stock Par Value, per share; 50,000,000 shares authorized)

	Common Shares Issued	Par value \$.000666 per share	Additional Paid-in Capital	Treasury Stock (at cost)	Accumulated Deficit	Total
Balance - December 31, 2005,	325,047,122	\$ 216,482	\$ 5,398,330	(67)	\$ (23,524,302)	\$ (17,909,557)
Retirement of treasury shares	(100,000)	(67)	-	67	-	-
Common stock issued for debenture principal	433,316,756	288,589	4,321,082	-	-	4,609,671
Common stock issued for debenture interest	200,529,058	133,552	1,884,148	-	-	2,017,700
Other	146,004	97	-	-	-	97
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(4,416,527)	(4,416,527)
Balance - December 31, 2006,	\$ 958,938,940	\$ 638,653	\$ 11,603,560	-	\$ (27,940,829)	\$ (15,698,616)
Liquidated damages	50,000,000	33,300	121,047	-	-	154,347
Common stock issued for debenture principal	898,776,970	598,585	846,362	-	-	1,444,947
Common stock issued for debenture interest	835,792,338	556,639	398,241	-	-	954,880
Other	-	-	-	-	784	784
Net loss	-	-	-	-	(4,057,356)	(4,057,356)
Balance, December 31, 2007	\$ 2,743,508,248	\$ 1,827,177	\$ 12,969,210	-	\$ (31,997,401)	\$ (17,201,015)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GOLDSRING, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2007	2006
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES:		
Net loss	\$ (4,057,356)	\$ (4,416,527)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	277,578	739,348
LiLiquidated damages from March 2004 financing and November 2004 restructuring		
Interest paid through the issuance of company stock	954,877	2,017,700
Changes in operating assets and liabilities		
Inventories		52,000
Prepaid and other current assets	(24,607)	2,893
Other current assets	(60,064)	(37,500)
Bank overdraft		15,491
Accounts payable	(214,033)	(750,670)
Accrued expenses	1,160,389	1,046,195
Net Change - derivative change fair value	378,073	(247,477)
Other	87,078	102,521
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,498,065)	(1,476,026)
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES:		
Equipment / acquisition deposit		
Acquisition of plant and equipment	20,000	10,969
Net used in investing activities	20,000	10,969
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Principal payments on Note Payable	(44,439)	(154,308)
Proceeds from the issuance of note payable to related party	1,697,500	1,592,500
Net cash provided by financing activities	1,653,061	1,438,192
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents		
	174,996	(26,865)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year		26,865
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 174,996	\$
Supplemental disclosure of non-cash investing and financing activities:		
Issuance of company stock for interest	\$ 954,877	\$ 2,017,700
Issuance of company stock for liquidated damages	\$ 154,346	\$
Conversion of debt into company's common shares	\$ 1,444,947	\$ 4,609,671

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 1 — Nature of Business

We were incorporated in the state of Florida effective October 19, 1999 under the name of Click and Call, Inc. On June 7, 2000, we filed an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation changing our name to STARTCALL.COM, INC. On March 10, 2003, we changed our name to Goldspring, Inc. (“we” “Goldspring,” or the “Company”). The primary nature of our business is the exploration and development of mineral producing properties.

Note 2 — Going Concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America which contemplate continuation of the Company as a going concern. However, the Company has year end losses from operations and had minimal revenues from operations in 2007. For the year ended December 31, 2007 the Company incurred net loss of \$4,057,356. Further, the Company has inadequate working capital to maintain or develop its operations, and is dependent upon funds from private investors and the support of certain stockholders.

These factors raise substantial doubt about the ability of the Company to continue as a going concern. The financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of these uncertainties. In this regard, Management is proposing to raise any necessary additional funds through loans and additional sales of its common stock. There is no assurance that the Company will be successful in raising additional capital.

Note 3 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Summarized below are the significant accounting policies of Goldspring, Inc.

Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of our company and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All material inter-company transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

We consider all highly liquid debt securities purchased with original or remaining maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The carrying value of cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses approximate fair market value because of the short maturity of those instruments. Furthermore, convertible debenture and other notes payable amounts approximate fair value at December 31, 2007 and 2006.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 3 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies - Continued

Credit Risk

It is our practice to place our cash equivalents in high-quality money market securities with a major banking institution. Certain amounts of such funds are not insured by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation. However, we consider our credit risk associated with cash and cash equivalents to be minimal.

Impairment of Long Lived Assets and Long Lived Assets to be Disposed Of

In August 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (“SFAS”) No. 144 “Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets,” which supersedes both SFAS No. 121, “Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets and for Long-Lived Assets to Be Disposed Of” and the accounting and reporting provisions of Accounting Practice Bulletin (“APB”) Opinion No. 30, “Reporting the Results of Operations — Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions,” for the disposal of a segment of a business (as previously defined in that opinion). This statement establishes the accounting model for long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale and applies to all long-lived assets, including discontinued operations. This statement requires those long-lived assets be measured at the lower of carrying amount or fair value less cost to sell, whether reported in continuing operations or discontinued operations.

SFAS No. 144 retains the fundamental provisions of SFAS No. 121 for recognizing and measuring impairment losses on long-lived assets held for use and long-lived assets to be disposed of by sale, while also resolving significant implementation issues associated with SFAS No. 121. We adopted SFAS No. 144 in our evaluation of the fair value of certain assets described in Notes 2 and 3.

Revenue Recognition

Sales of gold and silver dore are recorded when title and risk of loss transfer to the refiner at current spot metals prices. Sales are calculated based upon assay of the dore’s precious metal content and its weight. Recorded values are adjusted upon final settlement from the refiner which usually occurs within 24 days of delivery. If we have reason to believe that the final settlement will materially affect our recognition of revenue because of a difference between the refiner’s assay of precious metals contained in the dore and ours, we establish a reserve against the sale.

Stock Issued For Services

We base the value of stock issued for services on the market value of our common stock at the date of issue or our estimate of the fair value of the services received, whichever is more reliably measurable.

Deferred Financing Charges

During 2007 we recorded deferred financing charges associated with the issue of promissory notes payable totaling \$110,000. We amortize the charges over the respective lives of the promissory notes payable as interest expense. During the year ended December 31, 2007 we recognized \$166,939 of interest expense related to the amortization of deferred financing fees.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 3 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies — Continued

Plant and Equipment

We state plant and equipment at cost. We provide depreciation and amortization in amounts sufficient to relate the cost of depreciable assets to operations over their estimated service lives or productive value.

We capitalize expenditures for renewals and improvements that significantly extend the useful life of an asset. We charge expenditures for maintenance and repairs to operations when incurred. When assets are sold or retired, the cost of the asset and the related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is recognized at such time. We use the straight-line method of depreciation for financial reporting purposes, depreciating assets over useful lives ranging from 3 to 7 years.

We review the carrying value of our plant and equipment assets on a quarterly basis. Where information and conditions suggest impairment, we write-down these assets to net recoverable amount, based on estimated future cash flows that may be attained from them.

Mineral Properties

We defer acquisition costs until we determine the viability of the property. Since we do not have proven and probable reserves as defined by Industry Guide 7, exploration expenditures are expensed as incurred.

We expense holding costs to maintain a property on a care and maintenance basis as incurred.

We review the carrying value of our interest in each property on a quarterly basis to determine whether an impairment has incurred in accordance with the SFAS No. 144, "Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets."

Where information and conditions suggest impairment, we write-down these properties to net recoverable amount, based on estimated future cash flows. Our estimate of gold price, mineralized materials, operating capital, and reclamation costs are subject to risks and uncertainties affecting the recoverability of our investment in property, plant, and equipment. Although we have made our best estimate of these factors based on current conditions, it is possible that changes could occur in the near term that could adversely affect our estimate of net cash flows expected to be generated from our operating properties and the need for possible asset impairment write-downs.

Where estimates of future net operating cash flows are not available and where other conditions suggest impairment, we assess if carrying value can be recovered from net cash flows generated by the sale of the asset or other means.

We carry our property acquisition and capitalized plant and equipment costs at cost less accumulated amortization and write-downs.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 3 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies — Continued

Reclamation Liabilities and Asset Retirement Obligations

Minimum standards for site reclamation and closure have been established by various government agencies that affect certain of our operations. We calculate our estimates of reclamation liability based on current laws and regulations and the expected undiscounted future cash flows to be incurred in reclaiming, restoring, and closing our operating mine sites. When we incur reclamation liabilities that are not be related to asset retirements we recognize the obligations in accordance with Statement of Position (SOP) No. 96-1.

In August 2001, the FASB issued SFAS No. 143, "Accounting for Asset Retirement Obligations." SFAS No. 143 established a uniform methodology for accounting for estimating reclamation and abandonment costs. The Standard requires that the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation be recognized in the period in which it is incurred. SFAS No. 143 requires us to record a liability for the present value of our estimated environmental remediation costs and the related asset created with it when a recoverable asset (long-lived asset) can be realized. In our case, the long-lived asset is directly related to the mining infrastructure costs being expensed by our Company. Since we do not yet have proven or probable reserves as defined by Industry Guide 7, and in accordance with SFAS No. 143 our asset retirement obligation was expensed directly to reclamation expense.

Earnings Per Common Share

In calculating earnings per common share, we compute basic earnings per share by dividing net loss by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, excluding the dilutive effects of common stock equivalents. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we had net losses for which the affect of common stock equivalents would be anti-dilutive. Accordingly only basic and dilutive loss per share is presented.

Use of Estimates

In preparing financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles, we are required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and revenues and expenditures during the reported periods. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates. Estimates may include those pertaining to the estimated useful lives of property and equipment and software, determining the estimated net realizable value of receivables, and the realization of deferred tax assets.

Risks and Uncertainties

We regularly evaluate risks and uncertainties and, when probable that a loss or expense will be incurred, record a charge to current period operations.

Income Taxes

We recognize deferred tax assets and liabilities based on differences between the financial reporting and tax bases of assets and liabilities using the enacted tax rates and laws that are expected to be recovered. We provide a valuation allowance for deferred tax assets for which we do not consider realization of such assets to be more likely than not.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 3 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies — Continued

Recent Authoritative Pronouncements

Recent accounting pronouncements that the Company has adopted or will be required to adopt in the future are summarized below.

In May 2005, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections" (SFAS No. 154), which replaces Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 20, "Accounting Changes," and SFAS No. 3, "Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements - An Amendment of APB Opinion No. 28." SFAS No. 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections, and it establishes retrospective application, or the latest practicable date, as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle and the reporting of a correction of an error. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company adopted SFAS No. 154 in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007 and does not expect it to have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" (SFAS No. 157). SFAS No. 157 provides guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 157 addresses the requests from investors for expanded disclosure about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, and does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. SFAS No. 157 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and will be adopted by the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2009. The Company is unable at this time to determine the effect that its adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In July 2006, the FASB issued FASB Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes by prescribing the recognition threshold a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements. It also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. The cumulative effects, if any, of applying FIN 48 will be recorded as an adjustment to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006, and the Company is required to adopt it in the first quarter of fiscal year 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of FIN 48 will have on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition and is not currently in a position to determine such effects, if any.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 3 — Summary of Significant Accounting Policies — Continued

Recent Authoritative Pronouncements-Continued

In September 2006, the Security and Exchange Commission (SEC) issued SAB No. 108, "Considering the Effects of Prior Year Misstatements when Quantifying Misstatements in Current Year Financial Statements" (SAB 108). SAB No. 108 provides guidance on the consideration of the effects of prior year misstatements in quantifying current year misstatements for the purpose of a materiality assessment. SAB No. 108 establishes an approach that requires quantification of financial statement errors based on the effects of each on a company's balance sheet and statement of operations and the related financial statement disclosures. Early application of the guidance in SAB 108 is encouraged in any report for an interim period of the first fiscal year ending after November 15, 2006, and will be adopted by the Company in the first quarter of fiscal year 2007. The Company does not expect the adoption of SAB No. 108 to have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

FSP SFAS 123(R)-5 was issued on October 10, 2006. The FSP provides that instruments that were originally issued as employee compensation and then modified, and that modification is made to the terms of the instrument solely to reflect an equity restructuring that occurs when the holders are no longer employees, then no change in the recognition or the measurement (due to a change in classification) of those instruments will result if both of the following conditions are met: (a). There is no increase in fair value of the award (or the ratio of intrinsic value to the exercise price of the award is preserved, that is, the holder is made whole), or the antidilution provision is not added to the terms of the award in contemplation of an equity restructuring; and (b). All holders of the same class of equity instruments (for example, stock options) are treated in the same manner. The provisions in this FSP shall be applied in the first reporting period beginning after the date the FSP is posted to the FASB website. The Company does not expect the adoption of FSP SFAS 123(R)-5 to have a material impact on its consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

In February 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 155, "Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments, and Amendment of FASB No. 133 and 140" (SFAS No. 155), which establishes the accounting for certain derivatives embedded in other instruments. It simplifies accounting for certain hybrid financial instruments by permitting fair value remeasurement for any hybrid instrument that contains an embedded derivative, that otherwise would require bifurcation under SFAS No. 133, as well as eliminating a restriction on the passive derivative instruments that a qualifying special-purpose entity ("SPE") may hold under SFAS No. 140. This statement allows a public entity to irrevocably elect to initially, and subsequently, measure a hybrid instrument that would be required to be separated into a host contract and derivative in its entirety at fair value (with changes in fair value recognized in earnings) so long as that instrument is not designated as a hedging instrument pursuant to the statement. SFAS No. 140 previously prohibited a qualifying special-purpose beneficial interest, other than another derivative financial instrument. The statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after September 15, 2006, with early adoption permitted as of the beginning of an entity's fiscal year. Management believes the adoption of this statement will have no immediate impact on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" (FAS 159). FAS 159 permits companies to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value and establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to facilitate comparisons between companies that choose different measurement attributes for similar types of assets and liabilities. The provisions of FAS 159 become effective as of the beginning of our 2009 fiscal year. We are currently evaluating the impact that FAS 159 will have on our financial statements.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 4 — Mineral Properties

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, our mineral properties consisted of the following:

MINERAL PROPERTIES:	2007	2006
Placer Gold Properties	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000
Big Mike Copper Property	69,138	69,138
Plum Gold Properties	1,360,699	1,360,699
Water rights	90,000	90,000
Balance	\$ 1,619,837	\$ 1,619,837

Placer Gold Properties and Big Mike Copper Property

In June 2003, we acquired GoldSpring, LLC (the Placer Gold properties) and Ecovat Copper Nevada, LLC (the Big Mike Copper property). Total consideration paid for the Placer Gold mineral properties and Big Mike Copper property was \$100,000 cash and the issuance of 90,000,000 shares of common stock to Ecovery's 128 shareholders, valued at \$119,138 (Ecovery's book value for the Big Mike Copper property), respectively.

We are required to pay a 2% net smelter royalty for gold production at the Placer Gold properties once the \$4,650,000 20% net proceeds contingent production royalty obligation has been satisfied.

In September 2006, we entered into a ten year lease with a local company, controlled by a former GoldSpring director, for 17 unpatented lode claims and one placer claim covering a total of 310 acres in Pershing County Nevada that comprise our Big Mike Copper property. The lease included an initial \$50,000 payment to cover royalty payments due for the first two years. Additionally, the lessee must pay Goldspring \$75,000 when a production permit is awarded, and \$125,000 when commercial mining commences. Additionally, the lessee agrees to a work expenditure of \$300,000 for environmental and engineering matters in the first thirty-six months of the lease. During the term of the lease, Goldspring will also receive a production royalty of between 3% and 5% of net returns from copper mining, dependent on the price of copper.

Plum Gold Properties

We acquired the Plum Mining Company, LLC ("Plum LLC") in November of 2003 for a total of \$1,400,000, consisting of a cash payment of \$200,000, 549,177 restricted common shares valued at \$200,000, and a non-interest bearing promissory note payable (See Note 5) for \$1,000,000. The Plum LLC's primary assets were the Plum Gold Properties.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 4 — Mineral Properties -Continued*Plum Gold Properties-Continued*

We are required to pay royalties to the two lessors of our Billie the Kid/Lucerne project, totaling the greater of \$1,000 per month or a percentage of the Net Smelter Returns. The percentage varies based on the price of gold: 3% if gold is less than \$400 per ounce, 4% if gold is in the \$400's per ounce, and 5% if gold is \$500 or greater per ounce.

Note 5 — Plant, Property and Equipment

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, plant, property and equipment consisted of the following (See Note 1):

	2007	2006
Plant, property and equipment at Plum Mine location	\$ 1,473,340	\$ 1,543,340
Equipment, corporate	-	18,195
Less accumulated depreciation	(1,062,300)	(803,393)
Balance	\$ 411,040	\$ 758,142

Included in plant, property and equipment at our Plum Mine location at December 31, 2007, is equipment under capital lease of \$156,292. Future minimum lease payments for the related obligation under capital lease are \$42,459 for 2008 and \$0 for 2009. We recorded depreciation expense on equipment under capital lease totaling \$31,258 during the year ended December 31, 2007.

Note 6 — Reclamation Liability

The Nevada Revised Statutes and regulations promulgated by the Nevada State Environmental Commission and Division of Environmental Protection require a surety bond to be posted for mining projects to assure that a site is left safe, stable, and capable of providing for a productive post-mining land use. Pursuant to the approved Reclamation Plan for Billie the Kid, we posted a surety bond in the amount of \$553,190, of which \$377,000 was in the form of a cash deposit and the balance was secured from a surety agent.

We have accrued a long-term liability of \$553,190 as of December 31, 2007, with regard to our obligations to reclaim our Plum Mine facility based on our reclamation plan submitted and approved by the Nevada State Environmental Commission and Division of Environmental Protection. Costs of future expenditures for environmental remediation are not discounted to their present value unless subject to a contractually obligated fixed payment schedule. Such costs are based on management's current estimate of amounts expected to be incurred when the remediation work is performed within current laws and regulations. It is reasonably possible that, due to uncertainties associated with the application of laws and regulations by regulatory authorities and changes in reclamation or remediation technology, the ultimate cost of reclamation and remediation could change in the future. We periodically review accrued liabilities for such reclamation and remediation costs as evidence becomes available indicating that our liabilities have potentially changed.

GOLDSRING, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 7 — Notes Payable — Mineral Property Purchases

We have a non-interest bearing note payable to the Brockbank Trust related to our purchase of the Plum Mining property. The note does include, however, a clause for 5% annual interest on all past due balances. The note was payable in ten quarterly payments through June 2006. As of December 31, 2007 we still had a \$250,000 note balance due to the Brockbank Trust.

During 2005 we purchased certain mining claims that were near or contiguous to our Plum Gold Property (See Note 4). In connection with the purchase we issued a note payable of \$160,000. The note was payable in quarterly installments of \$16,000 and is payable in its entirety on or before June 2008. We have not been making schedule payments on this note due to some unresolved issues with the title on the property. Since we are in technical default on this loan, the entire outstanding balance has been recorded as short-term notes payable. At December 31, 2007 the note balance was \$147,200.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, our balance owing on mineral property notes payable was as follows:

	2007	2006
Balance	\$ 397,200	\$ 397,200
Less current portion	397,200	397,200
Non-current portion	\$ -	\$ -

Note 8 — Convertible Debentures and Notes PayableConvertible Debentures-Investors

We completed a private placement of securities transaction during March 2004 (the “March Offering”). In connection with the offering, we received gross proceeds of \$10 million from a group of accredited institutional and individual investors. Subsequent to the closing of the March Offering, we failed to meet certain provisions of the offering that required for us to provide for an effective registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As a result, and effective November 30, 2004, we restructured the private placement transaction and entered into a new subscription agreement. In connection with the restructuring, we exchanged 8% convertible notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$11.1 million and four-year warrants to purchase approximately 27.8 million shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.20 per share, subject to anti-dilution adjustments, for 21,739,129 shares of common stock and 21,739,129 warrants to purchase shares of common stock issued in the March Offering. The principal amount of the convertible notes consist of the original \$10.0 million investment plus approximately \$1.1 million of accrued penalties associated with the delay in effectiveness of our registration statement covering the resale of the shares of common stock held by the investors. (See Notes 11).

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 8 —Convertible Debentures and Notes Payable - Continued

The 8% convertible notes mature in November 2006. We must make monthly payments of 102% of 1/20th of the initial principal amount, together with accrued interest. We have the option to repay such amounts in shares of our common stock at a conversion rate equal to 85% of the average of the five lowest closing bid prices of our common stock during the 20 trading days preceding each payment date. We may prepay the outstanding principal amount by paying the holders of the notes 115% of the then-outstanding principal amount. Each holder of notes may convert the notes into shares of common stock at an initial conversion price of \$0.20 per share, which is subject to anti-dilution adjustments. During the first 20 days following the closing date, the conversion price may be reduced to a price equal to 70% of the average of the five lowest closing prices of our common stock during the 20 trading days preceding the closing date.

On April 1, 2005, we failed to make our first payment on the notes and were in default of the terms of the convertible notes. On December 20, 2004, we received notice from holders of approximately \$3.8 million of convertible notes of their intention to convert into shares of our common stock. The applicable conversion rate was approximately \$0.11 per share, and we were obligated to issue 33,817,594 shares of our common stock. Under the terms of the subscription agreement, we had three business days following receipt of the notice of conversion of notes to deliver to the note holders' free-trading common stock certificates (the "Delivery Date"). Although the shares were due to be delivered in December 2004, they were not delivered until 2005. As a result of our failure to deliver shares, we were subject to liquidated damages that were settled by the issuance of notes payable to the investors.

Convertible Debentures-Mandatory Redemption Payment

The failure to deliver the shares by the Delivery Date resulted in liquidated damages of 1% of the note principal amount being converted per business day after the Delivery Date. We did not deliver the share certificates within the period required in the subscription agreement and as a result, in March of 2005, John V. Winfield, a major shareholder and note holder elected to demand payment of approximately \$6.9 million pursuant to the mandatory redemption payment provisions of the subscription agreement and forfeit his right to receive the shares in favor of the payment.

On March 31, 2005, we entered into a Settlement Agreement ("Settlement") with the Mr. Winfield and agreed to convert the mandatory redemption payment into six Convertible Debentures ("the Debentures"). Accordingly, we accrued a liability for approximately \$6.9 million and reduced our paid-in-capital account for approximately \$3.5 million. The Debentures are subject to various covenants and conditions, including, but not limited to anti-dilution rights and protective rights.

The Debentures accrue interest at 12% per annum and are payable in monthly installments of principal and interest over a 24 month period with the remaining entire balance of unpaid principal and interest due on March 31, 2007. The debentures are subject to the following terms:

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 8 —Convertible Debentures and Notes Payable - Continued

Conversion Rights

The Debentures are convertible, in all or in part, into shares of our common stock (“Conversion Shares”) at any time. The conversion price shall be equal to the lesser of: (i) eighty-five percent (85%) of the average of the five (5) lowest closing bid prices of the common stock as reported by Bloomberg L.P. for the twenty (20) trading days preceding the date the Company was obligated to pay the mandatory redemption Payment; and (ii) eighty-five percent (85%) of the average of the five (5) lowest closing bid prices of the common stock as reported by Bloomberg L.P. for the twenty (20) trading days preceding the date of any such conversion; provided, however, until the effective date of the registration statement (see below), the conversion price shall be fifty-percent (50%) of the average of the five (5) lowest closing bid prices of the Common Stock as reported by Bloomberg L.P. for the twenty (20) trading days preceding the date of any such conversion. In no event shall the conversion price be higher than (i) \$0.1131 and (ii) the conversion price of the convertible notes (See Note 6), as adjusted from time to time, whichever is lower.

Security Agreement

Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the Debentures are granted a priority collateralized position, second only to our note payable to the Brockbank Trust (See Note 7) in substantially all of our assets.

Mandatory Registration Rights

The terms of the Debenture agreement require that we must file with the Securities and Exchange Commission on a Form SB-2 registration statement, or such other form that we are eligible to use, to register the Conversion Shares, together with any other shares of Common stock issuable hereunder for resale and distribution under the 1933 and cause to be filed not later than April 30, 2005 and declared effective not later than June 30, 2005. If we fail to make effective a registration statement we are subject to liquidated damages, an amount equal to two percent (2%) for each thirty (30) days or part thereof, thereafter of the principal amount of the Debenture remaining unconverted and purchase price of Conversion Shares issued upon conversion of the Debenture owned of record by the holder. The Company must pay the liquidated damages in cash or an amount equal to two hundred percent of such cash liquidated damages if paid in additional shares of registered un-legended free trading shares of common stock. As of December 31, 2006 we had failed to make any monthly payments on the debentures and are in default.

On December 20, 2004, we received notice from holders of approximately \$500,000 of convertible notes payable of their intention to convert into shares of our common stock. As a result, we recorded the issuance of 4,243,791 shares on December 20, 2004. We were required to deliver certificates representing unrestricted, free-trading stock within three business days of our receipt of the notices of conversion (the “Delivery Date”). The failure to deliver the shares by the Delivery Date resulted in liquidated damages of 1% of the Note principal amount being converted per business day after the Delivery Date. Our former Chief Executive Officer did not deliver the stock certificates within the required period. On March 18, 2005 we delivered the certificates representing the shares of common stock to these converting note holders. The 84 -day delay in delivering the shares resulted in liquidated damages of \$403,175. We recognized these damages during the fourth quarter of 2004 and the first quarter of 2005. We issued convertible notes for the amount of liquidated damages due.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 8 —Convertible Debentures and Notes Payable - Continued**2,200,000 Convertible Debenture Financing**

On August 23 and 24, 2006, the Company formally entered into an agreement with several investors to loan \$1,900,000 to the Company. In March 2007, the Company amended the agreement increasing the loan amount to \$2,200,000. The notes evidencing the loan bear interest at the rate of 12% per annum, payable monthly on the first of each month commencing October 1, 2006, along with 1/24 of the principal amount of such notes on each repayment date and were issued between May 18, 2006 - August 24, 2006, with the second quarter notes being treated as “bridge debt” until the loan agreement was formally signed.. The notes are also convertible into Common Stock at a 50% discount to market until a registration statement registering the Common Stock underlying the notes is effective and at a 15% discount to market thereafter. As additional consideration, the investors are to be issued a total of 20,000,000 warrants to purchase common stock at exercise prices based upon the same formulas as for conversion of the amounts due under the notes. The notes are secured by a lien on the assets of Goldspring, Inc. and a pledge of all of the interests in Plum Mine Special Purpose, LLC, which owns the Plum Mine operation. In connection with this loan, the lender has agreed to acquire the existing mortgage on the Plum Mine property from the Brockbank Trust . To date, \$1,300,000 of the \$2,200,000 has been funded by the investors.

The notes issued as of December 31, 2007 are as follows:

	Issued date	Face amount
Windfield Debenture Payable	5/15/2006	\$ 300,000
Windfield Debenture Payable	6/21/2006	300,000
Windfield Debenture Payable	8/23/2006	300,000
Longview Debenture Payable	8/24/2006	300,000
Windfield Debenture Payable	12/12/2006	100,000
Windfield Debenture Payable	Q1 2007	-
Windfield Debenture Payable	Q2 2007	-
Windfield Debenture Payable	4/1/07	250,000
Total		\$ 1,350,000

Accordingly, at December 31, 2006 and 2005, we classified the following convertible debentures as current liabilities as follows:

	2007	2006
Convertible Debentures Payable-Investors	\$ 1,570,237	\$ 2,600,812
Convertible Debentures Payable- Mandatory Redemption payment	5,737,058	5,886,059
Convertible Debentures Payable- Failure to Deliver Shares	90,944	356,348
Convertible Notes Payable - 2006	2,170,000	1,300,000
Total	\$ 9,568,239	10,143,219

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 9 —Promissory Notes Payable

Promissory Notes Payable—July Financing

In July of 2005, we borrowed \$1.2 million from companies controlled by John V. Winfield, a major shareholder. Proceeds from the notes were reduced by a 33.3% original issue discount and other origination fees. Net proceeds received by the Company from the borrowing were \$740,000. The notes accrue interest at 15% per annum and are payable in monthly installments of principal and interest over a 24 month period with the remaining entire balance of unpaid principal and interest due on July 15, 2007.

The notes are collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets subject to the security interest of the Brockbank Trust (See Note 7). As of December 31, 2006 we had failed to make any monthly payments on the notes and are in default.

Promissory Notes Payable—September Financing

In September of 2005, we borrowed \$300,000 from Longview Fund L.P., a major shareholder. Proceeds from the notes were reduced by a 33.3% original issue discount and other origination fees. Net proceeds received by the Company from the borrowing were \$165,500. The notes accrue interest at 15% per annum and are payable in monthly installments of principal and interest over a 24 month period with the remaining entire balance of unpaid principal and interest due on July 15, 2007. The notes are collateralized by substantially all of the Company's assets subject to the security interest of the Brockbank Trust (See Note 7) and the Winfield convertible debentures of March 2005.

The notes share a security interest with the Winfield notes issued in July 2004. As of December 31, 2006 we had failed to make any monthly payments on the notes and are in default.

Promissory Notes Payable: December 2005 through March 2006 Financing

In December of 2005, we borrowed \$375,000 from Longview Fund L.P., a major shareholder and \$200,000 from MCF Corporation. The notes accrue interest at 16% per annum, are uncollateralized and are payable including accrued interest on or before March 15, 2006. The Longview notes were amended extending the term of the loans to June 2007.

In February 2006, we completed a financing transaction, which provided us with \$250,000 in funding. In consideration for the funding, we issued a promissory note with a term of ninety (90) days and an interest rate of sixteen percent (16%) per annum. The default interest rate on the note is twenty-two percent (22%). The funds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes. In March 2006, we completed an additional financing transaction, which provided us with \$150,000 in funding under the same terms and conditions as the February 2006 financing. In December 2006 the term on the note was extend until June 2007.

In July 2007, we completed an additional financing transaction, which provided us with \$300,000 in funding. In consideration for the funding, we issued a promissory note with a term of one hundred and twenty (120) days and an interest rate of sixteen percent (16%) per annum. The default interest rate on the note is twenty-two percent (18%). The funds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 9 —Promissory Notes Payable continued

On October 9, 2005, we completed a financing transaction, which provided us with \$137,500 in funding. In consideration for the financing, we issued promissory notes with a face value of \$200,000, reflecting an original issue discount of thirty-one and a quarter (31.25%) percent. The term of the notes is one. The note does not bear interest. The funds were used for working capital and general corporate purposes.

On December 11, 2007, the Company formally entered into an agreement with several investors to loan \$1,000,000 to the Company. In consideration for the financing, we issued promissory notes with a face value of \$1,200,000, reflecting an original discount of sixteen and seventeen hundredths (16.17%) percent. The notes evidencing the loan bear interest at the rate of 4.9% per annum, payable on or prior to the one year anniversary of the respective loan date. As of December 31, 2007, \$600,000 of the \$1,200,000 has been funded by the investors.

The aggregate total of 2007 financing transactions was \$1,970,000.

Accordingly, at December 31, 2007 and 2006 we classified the following notes payable as current liabilities as follows:

	2007	2006
Promissory Notes Payable-July 2005 Financing	\$ 1,200,000	\$ 1,200,000
Promissory Notes Payable-September 2005 Financing	300,000	300,000
Promissory Notes Payable-December 2005 Financing	575,000	575,000
Promissory Notes Payable-February 2006 Financing	250,000	250,000
Promissory Notes Payable-March 2006 Financing	150,000	150,000
Promissory Notes Payable-July 2007 Financing	300,000	-
Promissory Notes Payable-October 2007 Financing	200,000	-
Promissory Notes Payable-December 2007 Financing	600,000	-
Total	\$ 3,575,000	\$ 2,475,000

Note 10 —Other Long-term DebtNotes Payable- Plum Mine

We have a non-interest bearing note payable to a shareholder related to our purchase of the Plum Mining property. The note is payable in ten quarterly payments through June 2006.

Notes Payable- Seller Note

In connection with our acquisition of the Justice, Woodville and Keystone patented claims we issued a promissory note to the seller for \$160,000. The note is payable in ten quarterly payments through June 2008.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 10 —Other Long-term Debt continuedNotes Payable- Equipment Financing

During 2004, we purchased certain equipment and financed our purchases through GMAC and Ford Motor Company credit agencies. Aggregated principal and interest due pursuant to the financings is due monthly in equal installments of \$1,054, at an averaged interest rate of 7.2%. The equipment purchased is pledged as collateral for the debt. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, we had the following amounts due under the financings as follows:

	2007		2006
Long-term Debt-Current Plum Mine	\$ 250,000	\$	250,000
Long-term Debt-Current Seller Note	147,200		147,200
Other Long-term Debt-Current	11,600		9,758
Total	\$ 408,800	\$	406,958

	2007		2006
Long-term Debt-non current Plum Mine	\$ -	\$	-
Long-term Debt-non current Seller Note	-		-
Other Long-term Debt -Non-current	11,612		23,336
Total	\$ 11,612	\$	23,336

Principal payments on other long-term debt related to equipment financing for the next four years are as follows:

2008	\$ 11,441
2009	\$ 10,977
2010	\$ -
2011 and thereafter	\$ -
Total	\$ 22,418

Convertible Notes Payable-Failure to Deliver Shares

In March of 2005, and pursuant to our settlement with investors for our failure to deliver shares of our common stock upon their conversion of debentures during 2004 (See above), we issued convertible notes payable that accrue interest at 8% and are payable in equal monthly installments including interest beginning April 1, 2006. In the event of our default on the notes the interest rate increased to 15%.

GOLDSRING, INC.
 NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
 For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 10 — Other Long-term Debt - Continued*Conversion Rights*

The notes are convertible, in all or in part, into shares of our common stock at any time at an initial conversion price of \$0.20, subject to certain anti-dilution provisions that include the sale of assets, reclassifications of our equity, issuance of additional shares and stock splits and dividends.

Borrower's Repayment Election.

The monthly amount due on a repayment date shall be paid by the Company at its election (i) in cash at the rate of 102% of such monthly amount otherwise due on such repayment date within three (3) business days of the applicable repayment date, or (ii) with registered, freely transferable common stock at an applied conversion rate equal to eighty-five percent (85%) of the average of the five (5) lowest closing bid prices of the common stock as reported by Bloomberg L.P. for the twenty (20) trading days preceding such repayment date.

On April 1, 2005, we failed to make our first payment on the convertible debentures and were in default of the terms of the convertible notes. At December 31, 2007 and 2006 we classified the following notes payable as current liabilities as follows:

	2006		2005
Convertible Notes Payable	\$ 9,568,239	\$	10,143,219
Promissory notes	3,575,000		2,475,000
Total	\$ 13,143,239	\$	12,618,219

Note 11 — Income Taxes

The provision (benefit) for income taxes from continued operations for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 consist of the following:

	2007	2006
Current:		
Federal	\$ -	-
Deferred:		
Federal	(1,500,000)	(1,500,000)
Increase in valuation allowance	1,500,000	1,500,000
Benefit for income taxes, net	\$ -	-

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 11 — Income Taxes*continued*

The difference between income tax expense computed by applying the federal statutory corporate tax rate and actual income tax expense is as follows:

	December 31, 2007 and 2006
Statutory federal income tax rate	35.0%
Increase in valuation allowance	(35.0)%
Effective tax rate	-%

Deferred income taxes result from temporary differences in the recognition of income and expenses for the financial reporting purposes and for tax purposes. The tax effect of these temporary differences representing deferred tax asset and liabilities result principally from the following:

	December 31, 2007
Net operating loss carry-forwards	\$ (6,900,000)

At December 31, 2006 the Company has a net operating loss carry-forward of approximately \$27.9 millions that begin to expire in 2024 available to offset future taxable income, may be subject to certain limitations under section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and other limitations under state tax laws.

Note 12 — Stockholders' Equity*Common Stock issued for Convertible Debenture Principal and Interest*

During 2007 the Company issued 898,776,970, 835,792,338 and 200,529,058 shares of its unrestricted common stock in connection with the conversion of \$1,444,947, \$954,880 and \$154,347 of debenture principal, interest and liquidated damages, respectively.

March 2004 Offering

We completed a private placement of securities transaction during March 2004. In connection with the offering, we received gross proceeds of \$10 million from a group of accredited institutional and individual investors through the issuance of 21,739,129 shares of unregistered restricted common stock at a price of \$0.46 per share. The investors also received two forms of warrants in this transaction. The Green Shoe Warrants allowed the investor group to purchase an additional 10,869,575 shares of common stock under the same terms and conditions at a price of \$0.46 per share. The Green Shoe Warrants are exercisable for a period of 180 days from the effective date of the registration statement.

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 12 — Stockholders' Equity continued

The series A warrants allow the investor group to purchase 10,869,575 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.86 per share and are exercisable during the four-year period ending March 2008. Subsequent to the completion of the offering, we failed to meet certain provisions of the offering that required us to provide for an effective registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

As a result, and effective November 30, 2004, we restructured our \$10.0 million private placement transaction. In connection with the restructuring, we exchanged 8% convertible notes in the aggregate principal amount of approximately \$11.1 million and four-year warrants to purchase approximately 27,800,000 shares of common stock at an exercise price of \$0.20 per share subject to anti-dilution adjustments for 21,739,129 shares of common stock and 21,739,129 warrants to purchase shares of common stock issued in the March Offering. The principal amount of the convertible notes consist of the original \$10.0 million investment plus approximately \$1.1 million of accrued penalties associated with the delay in effectiveness of our registration statement covering the resale of the shares of common stock held by the investors. (See Note 6).

We exchanged the securities in reliance upon the exemptions provided by (a) Section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the "Securities Act") as securities exchanged by the issuer with its existing security holders exclusively where no commission or other remuneration is paid or given directly or indirectly for soliciting such exchange; and (b) Section 4(2) of the Securities Act as a transaction by an issuer not involving a public offering.

Stock Purchase Warrants

In connection with our November 30, 2004 restructuring agreement, we agreed to issue (detachable) 50 Class B Stock Purchase Warrants ("the Warrants") for each one-hundred shares that the convertible notes could be converted into, assuming they were converted immediately after closing of the restructuring agreement. The Warrants are exercisable at \$0.20 within four years of their issue date and are subject to registration rights. We estimated the fair value of the warrants at the time of issuance as nil and have not reflected any discount on the convertible notes relating to them.

Common Stock Retired to Treasury

During 2006, 100,000 common shares of treasury stock were retired.

Common Stock issued for Liquidated Damages

During April of 2005 we issued 59,203,484 shares of our common stock to investors in connection with satisfying liquidated damages totaling \$1,776,104 related to a registration statement filed to register shares of our common stock issued in our March private placement. In addition, during November 2005 we issued an additional 35,103,534 shares of our common stock to investors in connection with satisfying liquidated damages totaling \$1,053,106 pursuant to the terms and conditions of the November 30, 2004 Subscription Agreement (See Note 9).

GOLDSRING, INC.
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006

Note 12 — Stockholders' Equity - Continued

Common Stock issued for Mining Properties

During 2005 we acquired mining claims near or contiguous to our Plum Mining Property in exchange for a cash payment of \$10,000, a note payable of \$100,000 and 3,000,000 restricted shares of our common stock valued at \$150,000. We valued the shares based upon our estimate of their market value at the time of issuance.

During October of 2005 the Company issued 444,444 shares of its restricted common stock to an individual as consideration for the purchase of an entity controlled by the individual owning property near our Plum Mining property. If we are unable to consummate the transaction the shares will be retained the individual. We valued the shares at \$20,000 based on our estimate of the common stock's fair value at the time of issuance.

Note 13 - Subsequent Events

On January 17, 2008, the Company's Board of Directors elected Robert T. Faber and Scott Jolcover as directors of the Company to fill vacancies on the Board. Mr. Faber has served as the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer since September 2004 and Chief Financial Officer since June 2003. Mr. Jolcover served as Manager of the Plum Mine prior to and after it was acquired by GoldSpring in November 2003. Since leaving GoldSpring in 2006, Mr. Jolcover has worked as a consultant. There was no arrangement or understanding pursuant to which Mr. Faber and Mr. Jolcover were elected as directors, and there are no related party transactions between Mr. Faber or Mr. Jolcover and the Company.

Pursuant to the terms of various financing instruments between Goldspring and some of its lenders have certain "favored nations" rights to either receive shares or have certain note conversion exercise prices reduced so that the overall equity issuance pricing is reduced to the same price as paid by any subsequent purchasers of equity and/or convertible note. On February 20, 2008, Goldspring sold 50,000,000 shares of its Common Stock at \$0.01 per share purchase price. In lieu of triggering any and all "favored nations" rights, the lenders have agreed to accept \$.01 per share as the new maximum conversion price all convertible notes owned by them.