

Edgar Filing: EchoStar CORP - Form SC 13G/A

EchoStar CORP
Form SC 13G/A
February 14, 2014

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 13G

Under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No. 5)

EHOSTAR CORP-A

(Name of Issuer)

Common

(Title of Class of Securities)

278768106

(CUSIP Number)

December 31, 2013

(Date of Event Which Requires Filing Of This Statement)

Check the appropriate box to designate the rule pursuant to which this
Schedule is filed:

- Rule 13d-1(b)
- Rule 13d-1(c)
- Rule 13d-1(d)

Edgar Filing: EchoStar CORP - Form SC 13G/A

Page 1

13G

CUSIP 278768106

1. Name of reporting person
S.S. or I.R.S. identification no. of above person

Putnam Investments, LLC. d/b/a/ Putnam Investments
26-1080669

2. Check the appropriate box if a member of a group
(a) (b)

3. SEC use only

4. Citizenship or place of organization
Delaware

Number of shares beneficially owned by each reporting person with:

5. Sole Voting Power
62,670

6. Shared Voting Power
NONE

7. Sole Dispositive
8,786,720

8. Shared Dispositive
NONE

9. Aggregate amount beneficially owned by each reporting person
8,786,720

10. Check box if the aggregate amount in row (9) excludes certain
shares

11. Percent of class represented by amount in row 9
20.7%

12. Type of Reporting person
HC

13G

CUSIP 278768106

1. Name of reporting person
S.S. or I.R.S. identification no. of above person

Putnam Investment Management, LLC.
04-3542621

2. Check the appropriate box if a member of a group
(a) () (b) ()

3. SEC use only

4. Citizenship or place of organization
Delaware

Number of shares beneficially owned by each reporting person with:

5. Sole Voting Power
1,430

6. Shared Voting Power
NONE

7. Sole Dispositive
8,713,955

8. Shared Dispositive
NONE

9. Aggregate amount beneficially owned by each reporting person
8,713,955

10. Check box if the aggregate amount in row (9) excludes certain
shares ()

11. Percent of class represented by amount in row 9
20.5%

12. Type of Reporting person
IA

Page 3

CUSIP 278768106 13G

1. Name of reporting person
S.S. or I.R.S. identification no. of above person

The Putnam Advisory Company, LLC.
04-3543039

2. Check the appropriate box if a member of a group
(a) () (b) ()

3. SEC use only

4. Citizenship or place of organization
Delaware

Number of shares beneficially owned by each reporting person with:

5. Sole Voting Power
61,240

6. Shared Voting Power
NONE

7. Sole Dispositive
72,765

8. Shared Dispositive
NONE

9. Aggregate amount beneficially owned by each reporting person
72,765

10. Check box if the aggregate amount in row (9) excludes certain
shares ()

11. Percent of class represented by amount in row 9
0.2%

12. Type of Reporting person
IA

Page 4

13G

CUSIP 278768106

1. Name of reporting person
S.S. or I.R.S. identification no. of above person

Putnam Capital Spectrum Fund
26-4376599

2. Check the appropriate box if a member of a group
(a) () (b) ()

3. SEC use only

4. Citizenship or place of organization
Massachusetts

Number of shares beneficially owned by each reporting person with:

5. Sole Voting Power
5,690,360

6. Shared Voting Power
NONE

7. Sole Dispositive
5,690,360

8. Shared Dispositive
NONE

9. Aggregate amount beneficially owned by each reporting person
5,690,360

10. Check box if the aggregate amount in row (9) excludes certain
shares ()

11. Percent of class represented by amount in row 9
13.4%

12. Type of Reporting person
IV

Page 5

13G

CUSIP 278768106

1. Name of reporting person
S.S. or I.R.S. identification no. of above person

Putnam Equity Spectrum Fund
26-4376696

2. Check the appropriate box if a member of a group
(a) (b)

3. SEC use only

4. Citizenship or place of organization
Massachusetts

Number of shares beneficially owned by each reporting person with:

5. Sole Voting Power
2,911,326

6. Shared Voting Power
NONE

7. Sole Dispositive
2,911,326

8. Shared Dispositive
NONE

9. Aggregate amount beneficially owned by each reporting person
2,911,326

10. Check box if the aggregate amount in row (9) excludes certain
shares

11. Percent of class represented by amount in row 9
6.9%

12. Type of Reporting person
IV

Page 6

Item 1(a) Name of Issuer:

ECHOSTAR CORP-A

Item 1(b) Address of Issuer's Principal Executive Offices:

100 Inverness Terrace E
Englewood, CO 80112

Item 2(a)

Item 2(b)

Name of Person Filing:

Address or principal business office or,
if none, residence:

Putnam Investments, LLC d/b/a
Putnam Investments ("PI")
on behalf of itself and:

One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Putnam Investment Management
Management, LLC. ("PIM")

One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

The Putnam Advisory
Company, LLC. ("PAC")

One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts 02109

**Putnam Capital Spectrum Fund

One Post Office Square

**Putnam Equity Spectrum Fund

Boston, Massachusetts 02109

Item 2(c) Citizenship:

PI, PIM and PAC are limited liability companies organized under Delaware law.
The citizenship of other persons identified in Item 2(a) is designated as
follows:

**Voluntary association known as Massachusetts business trust -
Massachusetts law

Item 2(d) Title of Class of Securities:

Edgar Filing: EchoStar CORP - Form SC 13G/A

Common

Item 2(e) Cusip Number:
278768106

Page 7

Item 3. If this statement is filed pursuant to 240.13d-1(b) or 240.13d-2(b) or (c), check whether the person filing is a:

- (a) Broker or dealer registered under section 15 of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78o);
- (b) Bank as defined in section 3(a)(6) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78c);
- (c) Insurance company as defined in section 3(a)(19) of the Act (15 U.S.C. 78c);
- (d) Investment company registered under section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C 80a-8);
- (e) An investment adviser in accordance with 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(E);
- (f) An employee benefit plan or endowment fund in accordance with 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(F);
- (g) A parent holding company or control person in accordance with 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(G);
- (h) A savings associations as defined in Section 3(b) of the Federal Deposit Insurance Act (12 U.S.C. 1813);
- (i) A church plan that is excluded from the definition of an investment company under section 3(c)(14) of the Investment Company Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80a-3);
- (j) A non-U.S. institution in accordance with 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(J);
- (k) Group, in accordance with 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(K). If filing as a non-U.S. institution in accordance with 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii)(J), please specify the type of institution: _____

Page 8

Item 4. Ownership

	PIM*	+	PAC*	=	PI**
	----		----		----
(a) Amount Beneficially Owned:	8,713,955		72,765		8,786,720
(b) Percent of Class:	20.5%		0.2%		20.7%
(c) Number of shares as to which the person has:					
(1) Sole power to vote or to direct the vote; (but see Item 7)	1,430		61,240		62,670
(2) Shared power to vote or to direct the vote; (but see Item 7)	NONE		NONE		NONE
(3) Sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of; (but see Item 7)	8,713,955		72,765		8,786,720
(4) Shared power to dispose or to direct the disposition of; (but see Item 7)	NONE		NONE		NONE

* Investment adviser subsidiary of PI

** Parent company to PIM and PAC

Note: as part of the Putnam Family of Funds, and the 8,713,955 shares held

Edgar Filing: EchoStar CORP - Form SC 13G/A

by PIM, the Putnam Capital Spectrum Fund held 5,690,360 shares, or 13.4%
Note: as part of the Putnam Family of Funds, and the 8,713,955 shares held
by PIM, the Putnam Equity Spectrum Fund held 2,911,326 shares, or 6.9%

Page 9

Item 5. Ownership of 5 Percent or Less of a Class

If this statement is being filed to report the fact that as of the date hereof the reporting person has ceased to be the beneficial owner of more than 5 percent of the class of securities, check the following ()

Item 6. Ownership of More than Five/Ten Percent on Behalf of Another Person:

No persons other than the persons filing this Schedule 13G have an economic interest in the securities reported on which relates to more than five percent of the class of securities. Securities reported on this Schedule 13G as being beneficially owned by PI consist of securities beneficially owned by subsidiaries of PI which are registered investment advisers, which in turn include securities beneficially owned by clients of such investment advisers, which clients may include investment companies registered under the Investment Company Act and/or employee benefit plans, pension funds, endowment funds or other institutional clients.

Item 7. Identification and Classification of the Subsidiary Which Acquired the Security Being Reported on By the Parent Holding Company

PI, wholly owns two registered investment advisers: Putnam Investment Management, LLC, which is the investment adviser to the Putnam family of mutual funds and the Putnam Advisory Company, LLC, which is the investment adviser to Putnam's institutional clients. Both subsidiaries have dispositive power over the shares as investment managers. In the case of shares held by the Putnam mutual funds managed by Putnam Investment Management, LLC, the mutual funds, through their boards of trustees, have voting power. The Putnam Advisory Company, LLC has shared voting power over the shares held by its institutional clients.

Pursuant to Rule 13d-4, PI declares that the filing of this Schedule

Edgar Filing: EchoStar CORP - Form SC 13G/A

13G shall not be deemed an admission for the purposes of Section 13(d) or 13(g) that it is the beneficial owner of any securities covered by this Schedule 13G, and further states that it does not have any power to vote or dispose of, or direct the voting or disposition of, any of the securities covered by this Schedule 13G.

Item 8. Identification and Classification of Members of the Group:

Not applicable.

Item 9. Notice of Dissolution of Group:

Not applicable

Page 10

Item 10. Certification.

By signing below I certify that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the securities referred to above were acquired and are held in the ordinary course of business and were not acquired and are not held for the purpose of or with the effect of changing or influencing the control of the issuer of the securities and were not acquired and are not held in connection with or as a participant in any transaction having that purpose or effect, other than activities solely in connection with a nomination under 240.14a-11.

After reasonable inquiry and to the best of my knowledge and belief, I certify that the information set forth in this statement is true, complete and correct.

Putnam Investments, LLC

BY: /s/ Harold P. Short Jr.

Signature

Name/Title: Harold P. Short Jr.
Director of Trade Oversight
and International Compliance

Date: February 14, 2014

Edgar Filing: EchoStar CORP - Form SC 13G/A

For this and all future filings, reference is made to Power of Attorney dated February 15, 2011, with respect to duly authorized signatures on behalf of Putnam Investments LLC., Putnam Investment Management, LLC., The Putnam Advisory Company, LLC. and any Putnam Fund wherever applicable.

For this and all future filings, reference is made to an Agreement dated June 28, 1990, with respect to one filing of Schedule 13G on behalf of said entities, pursuant to Rule 13d-1(f)(1).

Page 11

t;font-size:9pt;">49

110

91

Provision for litigation settlement
20

—

20

—

Total operating expenses
846

782

1,604

1,447

Operating income

974

885

1,974

1,721

Other Income (Expense)

Investment income

9

11

18

23

Interest expense

(3

)

(2

)

(9

)

(12

)

Other income (expense), net

(7
)

(2
)

(11
)

(4
)

Total other income (expense)

(1
)

7

(2
)

7

Income before income taxes

973

892

1,972

1,728

Income tax expense

273

284

591

558

Net income

700

608

1,381

1,170

Loss attributable to non-controlling interests

—

—

1

—

Net Income Attributable to MasterCard

\$

700

\$

608

\$

1,382

\$

1,170

Basic Earnings per Share

\$

5.56

\$

4.77

\$
10.95

\$
9.08

Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding
126

127

126

129

Diluted Earnings per Share
\$
5.55

\$
4.76

\$
10.91

\$
9.05

Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding
126

128

127

129

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(UNAUDITED)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in millions)			
Net Income	\$700	\$608	\$1,381	\$1,170
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(127)	43	(50)	148
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans	—	—	2	—
Income tax effect	—	—	(1)	—
Defined benefit pension and other postretirement plans, net of income tax effect	—	—	1	—
Investment securities available-for-sale	3	5	6	6
Income tax effect	(1)	(2)	(2)	(2)
Investment securities available-for-sale, net of income tax effect	2	3	4	4
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax	(125)	46	(45)	152
Comprehensive Income	575	654	1,336	1,322
Loss attributable to non-controlling interests	—	—	1	—
Comprehensive Income Attributable to MasterCard	\$575	\$654	\$1,337	\$1,322

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
(UNAUDITED)

	Total	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss, Net of Tax	Common Stock Class A	Common Stock Class B	Additional Paid-In Capital	Class A Treasury Stock	Non-Controlling Interests
	(in millions, except per share data)							
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$5,877	\$4,745	\$ (2)	\$—	\$—	\$ 3,519	\$(2,394)	\$ 9
Net income (loss)	1,381	1,382	—	—	—	—	—	(1)
Contribution by non-controlling interest	3	—	—	—	—	—	—	3
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(45)	—	(45)	—	—	—	—	—
Cash dividends declared on Class A and Class B common stock, \$0.60 per share	(75)	(75)	—	—	—	—	—	—
Purchases of treasury stock	(919)	—	—	—	—	—	(919)	—
	—	—	—	—	—	(2)	2	—

Issuance of treasury stock for share-based compensation									
Share-based payments	41	—	—	—	—	41	—	—	
Stock units withheld for taxes (38)	—	—	—	—	—	(38)	—	—	
Tax benefit for share-based compensation	33	—	—	—	—	33	—	—	
Exercise of stock options	18	—	—	—	—	18	—	—	
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$6,276	\$6,052	\$ (47)	\$—	\$—	\$ 3,571	\$(3,311)	\$ 11	

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS
(UNAUDITED)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
	(in millions)	
Operating Activities		
Net income	\$1,381	\$1,170
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	110	91
Share-based payments	41	36
Stock units withheld for taxes	(38)	(32)
Tax benefit for share-based compensation	(33)	(10)
Deferred income taxes	(55)	94
Other	24	13
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	(51)	(22)
Income taxes receivable	(31)	—
Settlement due from customers	(213)	42
Prepaid expenses	(140)	(73)
Obligations under litigation settlements	20	(300)
Accounts payable	(27)	34
Settlement due to customers	112	(127)
Accrued expenses	(50)	(84)
Net change in other assets and liabilities	18	61
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,068	893
Investing Activities		
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired	—	(460)
Purchases of investment securities available-for-sale	(1,054)	(39)
Purchases of property, plant and equipment	(40)	(35)
Capitalized software	(67)	(37)
Proceeds from sales of investment securities available-for-sale	104	30
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities available-for-sale	386	28
Proceeds from maturities of investment securities held-to-maturity	—	301
Investment in nonmarketable equity investments	(19)	(2)
Net cash used in investing activities	(690)	(214)
Financing Activities		
Purchases of treasury stock	(919)	(1,041)
Dividends paid	(57)	(39)
Payment of debt	—	(21)
Tax benefit for share-based compensation	33	10
Cash proceeds from exercise of stock options	18	8
Contribution by non-controlling interest	3	—
Net cash used in financing activities	(922)	(1,083)
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents	(37)	101
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents	(581)	(303)
Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period	3,734	3,067

Cash and cash equivalents - end of period	\$3,153	\$2,764
Non-Cash Investing and Financing Activities		
Fair value of assets acquired, net of cash acquired	\$—	\$549
Fair value of liabilities assumed related to an acquisition	\$—	\$89

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

7

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

Note 1. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Organization

MasterCard Incorporated and its consolidated subsidiaries, including MasterCard International Incorporated (“MasterCard International” and together with MasterCard Incorporated, “MasterCard” or the “Company”), is a global payments and technology company that connects consumers, financial institutions, merchants, governments and businesses worldwide, enabling them to use electronic forms of payment instead of cash and checks. MasterCard primarily (1) offers a wide range of payment solutions, which enable its customers (which include financial institutions and other entities that act as “issuers” and “acquirers”) to develop and implement credit, debit, prepaid and related payment programs for their customers (which include individual consumers, businesses and government entities); (2) manages a family of well-known, widely-accepted payment brands, including MasterCard®, Maestro® and Cirrus®, which it licenses to its customers for use in their payment programs; (3) processes payment transactions over the MasterCard Worldwide Network; and (4) provides support services to its customers and, depending upon the service, merchants and other clients.

Consolidation and basis of presentation

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of MasterCard and its majority-owned and controlled entities, including any variable interest entities for which the Company is the primary beneficiary. Intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Certain prior period amounts have been reclassified to conform to the 2012 presentation. The Company follows accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”).

On April 15, 2011, MasterCard acquired the prepaid card program management operations of Travelex Holdings Ltd., since renamed Access Prepaid Worldwide (“Access”), for 295 million U.K pound sterling, or \$481 million, including adjustments for working capital, and contingent consideration. The consolidated financial statements include the results of Access since the date of its acquisition on April 15, 2011. See Note 2 (Acquisitions) in Part II, Item 8 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for further discussion.

The balance sheet as of December 31, 2011 was derived from the audited consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2011. The consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 and as of June 30, 2012 are unaudited, and in the opinion of management, include all normal recurring adjustments that are necessary to present fairly the results for interim periods. Due to seasonal fluctuations and other factors, the results of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the full year.

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements are presented in accordance with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission requirements of Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and, consequently, do not include all of the disclosures required by GAAP. Reference should be made to the MasterCard Incorporated Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for additional disclosures, including a summary of the Company's significant accounting policies.

Recent accounting pronouncements

Fair value measurement and disclosure - The Company measures certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis by estimating the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Company classifies these recurring fair value measurements into a three-level hierarchy ("Valuation Hierarchy") and discloses the significant assumptions utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value.

In May 2011, the fair value accounting guidance was amended to change fair value measurement principles and disclosure requirements. The key changes in measurement principles include limiting the concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise to nonfinancial assets, providing a framework for considering whether a premium or discount can be applied in a fair value measurement, and aligning the fair value measurement of instruments classified within an entity's shareholders' equity with the guidance for liabilities. Disclosures are required for all transfers between Levels 1 and 2 within the Valuation Hierarchy, the use of a nonfinancial asset measured at fair value if its use differs from its highest and best use, the level in the Valuation Hierarchy of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value but for which fair value is required to be disclosed, and for Level 3 measurements, quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used, and qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements. The Company adopted the revised accounting standard effective January 1, 2012 via

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

prospective adoption, as required. The adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Comprehensive income - In June 2011, a new accounting standard was issued that amends existing guidance by allowing only two options for presenting the components of net income and other comprehensive income: (1) in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) in two separate but consecutive financial statements, consisting of an income statement followed by a separate statement of other comprehensive income. Also, items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income must be presented on the face of the financial statements. In December 2011, a new accounting standard was issued that indefinitely deferred the effective date for the requirement to present the reclassification of items from comprehensive income to net income on the face of the financial statements. Both standards require retrospective application, and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted the revised accounting standards effective January 1, 2012. The adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Note 2. Earnings Per Share

The components of basic and diluted earnings per share (“EPS”) for common shares were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in millions, except per share data)			
Numerator:				
Net income attributable to MasterCard	\$700	\$608	\$1,382	\$1,170
Denominator:				
Basic EPS weighted average shares outstanding	126	127	126	129
Dilutive stock options and stock units	—	—	—	—
Diluted EPS weighted average shares outstanding *	126	128	127	129
Earnings per Share				
Total Basic	\$5.56	\$4.77	\$10.95	\$9.08
Total Diluted	\$5.55	\$4.76	\$10.91	\$9.05

Note that table may not sum due to rounding.

* For the periods presented, the calculation of diluted EPS excluded a minimal amount of antidilutive share-based payment awards.

Note 3. Fair Value and Investment Securities

Financial Instruments

In accordance with accounting requirements for financial instruments, the Company is disclosing the estimated fair values as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 of the financial instruments that are within the scope of the accounting guidance, as well as the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value of those financial instruments. Furthermore, the Company classifies its fair value measurements in the Valuation Hierarchy. No transfers were made among the three levels in the Valuation Hierarchy during the three and six months ended June

30, 2012.

9

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

The distribution of the Company's financial instruments which are measured at fair value on a recurring basis within the Valuation Hierarchy was as follows:

	June 30, 2012			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Municipal securities ¹	\$—	\$422	\$—	\$422
U.S. Government and Agency securities	—	248	—	248
Taxable short-term bond funds	206	—	—	206
Corporate securities	—	711	—	711
Asset-backed securities	—	188	—	188
Auction rate securities	—	—	41	41
Other	—	43	—	43
Total recurring fair value measurements	\$206	\$1,612	\$41	\$1,859
	December 31, 2011			
	Quoted Prices in Active Markets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Municipal securities ¹	\$—	\$393	\$—	\$393
U.S. Government and Agency securities	—	205	—	205
Taxable short-term bond funds	203	—	—	203
Corporate securities	—	325	—	325
Asset-backed securities	—	69	—	69
Auction rate securities	—	—	70	70
Other	—	22	—	22
Total recurring fair value measurements	\$203	\$1,014	\$70	\$1,287

¹ Available-for-sale municipal securities are carried at fair value and are included in the above tables. However, a held-to-maturity municipal bond is carried at amortized cost and excluded from the above tables.

The fair value of the Company's short-term bond funds are based on quoted prices for identical investments in active markets and are therefore included in Level 1 of the Valuation Hierarchy.

The fair value of the Company's available-for-sale municipal securities, U.S. Government and Agency securities, corporate securities, asset-backed securities and other fixed income securities are based on quoted prices for similar assets in active markets and are therefore included in Level 2 of the Valuation Hierarchy. The Company's foreign currency derivative contracts have also been classified within Level 2 in the other category of the Valuation Hierarchy, as the fair value is based on broker quotes for the same or similar derivative instruments. See Note 13

(Foreign Exchange Risk Management) for further details.

The Company's auction rate securities (“ARS”) investments have been classified within Level 3 of the Valuation Hierarchy as their valuation requires substantial judgment and estimation of factors that are not currently observable in the market due to the lack of trading in the securities. This valuation may be revised in future periods as market conditions evolve. The Company has considered the lack of liquidity in the ARS market and the lack of comparable, orderly transactions when estimating the fair value of its ARS portfolio. Therefore, the Company used the income approach, which included a discounted cash flow analysis of the estimated future cash flows adjusted by a risk premium for the ARS portfolio, to estimate the fair value of its ARS portfolio. The Company estimated the fair value of its ARS portfolio to be a 10% discount to the par value as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The decrease in the value of ARS outstanding from December 31, 2011 to June 30, 2012 is due to issuer calls of the securities at par value. The Company did not realize any material losses on its ARS portfolio during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. When a determination is made to classify a financial instrument within Level 3, the determination is based upon the significance of the unobservable parameters to the overall fair value measurement. However, the fair value determination for Level 3 financial instruments may include observable components.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

Financial Instruments - Non-Recurring Measurements

Certain financial instruments are carried on the consolidated balance sheet at cost, which approximates fair value due to their short-term, highly liquid nature. These instruments include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, settlement due from customers, restricted security deposits held for customers, prepaid expenses, accounts payable, settlement due to customers and accrued expenses.

Settlement and Other Guarantee Liabilities

The Company estimates the fair value of its settlement and other guarantees using the market pricing approach which applies market assumptions for relevant though not directly comparable undertakings, as the latter are not observable in the market given the proprietary nature of such guarantees. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the carrying value and fair value of settlement and other guarantee liabilities were not material. Settlement and other guarantee liabilities are classified as Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy as their valuation requires substantial judgment and estimation of factors that are not currently observable in the market. For additional information regarding the Company's settlement and other guarantee liabilities, see Note 12 (Settlement and Other Risk Management).

Refunding Revenue Bonds

The Company holds refunding revenue bonds with the same payment terms, and which contain the right of set-off with a capital lease obligation related to the Company's global technology and operations center located in O'Fallon, Missouri. The Company has netted the refunding revenue bonds and the corresponding capital lease obligation in the consolidated balance sheet and estimates that the carrying value approximates the fair value for these bonds.

Non-Financial Instruments

Certain assets and liabilities are measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis for purposes of initial recognition and impairment testing. The Company's non-financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis include property, plant and equipment, goodwill and other intangible assets. These assets are not measured at fair value on an ongoing basis; however, they are subject to fair value adjustments in certain circumstances, such as when there is evidence of impairment.

The valuation methods for goodwill and other intangible assets involve assumptions concerning comparable company multiples, discount rates, growth projections and other assumptions of future business conditions. The Company uses a weighted income and market approach for estimating the fair value of its reporting unit, when necessary. As the assumptions employed to measure these assets on a nonrecurring basis are based on management's judgment using internal and external data, these fair value determinations are classified in Level 3 of the Valuation Hierarchy.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

Amortized Costs and Fair Values – Available-for-Sale Investment Securities:

The major classes of the Company's available-for-sale investment securities, for which unrealized gains and losses are recorded as a separate component of other comprehensive income on the consolidated statement of comprehensive income, and their respective amortized cost basis and fair values as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 were as follows:

	June 30, 2012			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss ¹	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Municipal securities	\$411	\$ 11	\$—	\$422
U.S. Government and Agency securities	248	—	—	248
Taxable short-term bond funds	207	—	(1) 206
Corporate securities	710	1	—	711
Asset-backed securities	188	—	—	188
Auction rate securities ²	46	—	(5) 41
Other	28	—	—	28
Total	\$1,838	\$ 12	\$(6) \$1,844
	December 31, 2011			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gain	Gross Unrealized Loss ¹	Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Municipal securities	\$382	\$ 11	\$—	\$393
U.S. Government and Agency securities	205	—	—	205
Taxable short-term bond funds	206	—	(3) 203
Corporate securities	325	—	—	325
Asset-backed securities	69	—	—	69
Auction rate securities ²	78	—	(8) 70
Other	20	—	—	20
Total	\$1,285	\$ 11	\$(11) \$1,285

¹ The unrealized losses primarily relate to ARS, which have been in an unrealized loss position longer than 12 months, but have not been deemed other-than-temporarily impaired.

² Included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. See Note 4 (Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets).

The municipal securities are primarily comprised of tax-exempt bonds and are diversified across states and sectors. The U.S. Government and Agency securities are primarily invested in U.S. Government Treasury bills and bonds and U.S. government sponsored Agency bonds and discount notes. Short-term bond funds are invested in corporate bonds, mortgage-backed securities and asset-backed securities. Corporate securities are comprised of commercial paper and corporate bonds. The asset-backed securities are investments in bonds which are collateralized primarily by automobile loan receivables. The ARS are exempt from U.S. federal income tax and are fully collateralized by student loans with guarantees (ranging from approximately 95% to 98% of principal and interest) by the U.S. government via the Department of Education.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

Investment Maturities:

The maturity distribution based on the contractual terms of the Company's investment securities at June 30, 2012 was as follows:

	Available-For-Sale Amortized Cost (in millions)	Fair Value
Due within 1 year	\$754	\$754
Due after 1 year through 5 years	770	780
Due after 5 years through 10 years	58	59
Due after 10 years	49	45
No contractual maturity	207	206
Total	\$1,838	\$1,844

The majority of the securities due after ten years are ARS. Taxable short-term bond funds have been included in the table above in the "no contractual maturity" category, as these investments do not have a stated maturity date; however, the short-term bond funds have daily liquidity.

Investment Income:

Investment income was \$9 million and \$11 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Investment income was \$18 million and \$23 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Investment income primarily consisted of interest income generated from cash, cash equivalents, investment securities available-for-sale and investment securities held-to-maturity. Dividend income and gross realized gains and losses were not significant.

Note 4. Prepaid Expenses and Other Assets

Prepaid expenses and other current assets consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2012 (in millions)	December 31, 2011
Customer and merchant incentives	\$235	\$190
Investment securities held-to-maturity	36	—
Prepaid income taxes	90	35
Income taxes receivable	50	35
Other	181	144
Total prepaid expenses and other current assets	\$592	\$404

Other assets consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2012 (in millions)	December 31, 2011
Customer and merchant incentives	\$422	\$409
Nonmarketable equity investments	165	160
Auction rate securities available-for-sale, at fair value	41	70
Investment securities held-to-maturity	—	36

Income taxes receivable	31	15
Other	59	46
Total other assets	\$718	\$736

Certain customer and merchant business agreements provide incentives upon entering into the agreement. Customer and merchant incentives represent payments made or amounts to be paid to customers and merchants under business agreements. Amounts to be paid for these incentives and the related liability were included in accrued expenses and other liabilities. Once the payment is made, the liability is relieved. Costs directly related to entering into such an agreement are deferred and amortized over the life of the agreement.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

Investments for which the equity method or historical cost method of accounting are used are recorded in other assets on the consolidated balance sheet. The Company accounts for investments in common stock or in-substance common stock under the equity method of accounting when it has the ability to exercise significant influence over the investee, generally when it holds 20% or more of the common stock in the entity. MasterCard's share of net earnings or losses of entities accounted for under the equity method of accounting is included in other income (expense) on the consolidated statement of operations. The Company accounts for investments under the historical cost method of accounting when it does not exercise significant influence, generally when it holds less than 20% ownership in the common stock of the entity.

Note 5. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in millions)	
Property, plant and equipment	\$843	\$819
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization	(385) (370
Property, plant and equipment, net	\$458	\$449

Note 6. Accrued Expenses

Accrued expenses consisted of the following:

	June 30, 2012	December 31, 2011
	(in millions)	
Customer and merchant incentives	\$908	\$889
Personnel costs	223	345
Advertising	86	144
Income and other taxes	135	82
Other	123	150
Total accrued expenses	\$1,475	\$1,610

As of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had an accrued liability of \$790 million and \$770 million, respectively, related to the U.S. merchant litigations. MasterCard and the other defendants had been participating in separate court-recommended mediation sessions with the individual merchant plaintiffs and the class plaintiffs. MasterCard recorded a \$770 million pre-tax charge in the fourth quarter of 2011. This charge represented MasterCard's estimate for the financial portion of a settlement in these cases. On July 13, 2012, MasterCard entered into a memorandum of understanding to settle the merchant class litigation, and separately agreed in principle to settle all claims brought by the individual merchant plaintiffs. MasterCard's financial portion of the settlements is estimated to total \$790 million on a pre-tax basis, with the additional \$20 million pre-tax charge having been recorded in the second quarter of 2012. This amount is not included in the accrued expense table above and is separately reported as accrued litigation on the consolidated balance sheet. See Note 11 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) for further discussion.

Note 7. Stockholders' Equity

In June 2012, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase program authorizing the Company to repurchase up to \$1.5 billion of its Class A common stock (the "New Program"). The New Program became effective in June 2012 at the completion of the Company's previously announced \$2 billion Class A share repurchase program (the "Prior Program"). The Company's Board of Directors approved \$1 billion of the Prior Program in September 2010 and the additional \$1 billion in April 2011. The cumulative repurchases by the Company under the Prior Program totaled approximately 6.5 million shares of Class A common stock, for an aggregate cost of approximately \$2 billion and at an average price of \$305.60 per share of Class A common stock. See Note 15 (Stockholders' Equity) in Part II, Item 8 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for further discussion of the Prior Program.

During the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company repurchased a total of approximately 1.6 million shares and 1.5 million shares of its Class A common stock for approximately \$671 million and \$387 million, respectively, under these

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

share repurchase programs. During the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, the Company repurchased a total of approximately 2.3 million shares and 4.1 million shares of its Class A common stock for approximately \$0.9 billion and \$1.0 billion, respectively. Under the New Program, the Company had repurchased a total of approximately 0.2 million shares of its Class A common stock in June 2012 for approximately \$66 million. As of July 26, 2012, the cumulative repurchases by the Company under the New Program totaled approximately 0.3 million shares of its Class A common stock for an aggregate cost of approximately \$123 million at an average price of \$423.66 per share of Class A common stock.

Note 8. Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

The changes in the balances of each component of accumulated comprehensive income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, Net of Tax	Investment Securities Available-for-Sale, Net of Tax	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
	(in millions)			
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$30	\$(32)	\$ —	\$(2)
Current period other comprehensive (loss) income	(50)	1	4	(45)
Balance at June 30, 2012	\$(20)	\$(31)	\$ 4	\$(47)

Note 9. Share-Based Payment and Other Benefits

During the six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company granted approximately 152 thousand restricted stock units, 133 thousand stock options and 21 thousand performance stock units under the MasterCard Incorporated 2006 Long Term Incentive Plan, as amended and restated (“LTIP”). The weighted average fair value of the restricted stock units and performance stock units, based on the closing price of the Class A common stock, par value \$0.0001 per share, on the New York Stock Exchange on the dates of the grants was \$420.44. The weighted average fair value of the stock options estimated on the dates of the grants using a Black-Scholes option pricing model was \$148.45. Vesting of the shares underlying the restricted stock units and performance stock units will generally occur three years after the date of the grant. The stock options vest in four equal annual installments beginning one year after the date of the grant, and have a term of ten years. Compensation expense is recorded net of estimated forfeitures over the shorter of the vesting period or the date the individual becomes eligible to retire under the LTIP. The Company uses the straight-line method of attribution over the requisite service period for expensing equity awards.

Note 10. Income Taxes

The effective income tax rates were 28.0% and 31.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 30.0% and 32.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The tax rates for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were lower than the tax rates in each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 due primarily to discrete benefits relating to additional export incentives and the conclusion of

tax examinations in certain jurisdictions. A more favorable geographic mix of earnings also contributed to the lower tax rates in each period.

The Company conducts operations in multiple countries and, as a result, is subjected to tax examinations in various jurisdictions, including the United States. Uncertain tax positions are reviewed on an ongoing basis and are adjusted after considering facts and circumstances, including progress of tax audits, developments in case law and closing of statute of limitations. The Company has effectively settled its U.S. federal income tax obligations through 2008. With limited exception, the Company is no longer subject to state and local or non-U.S. audits by taxing authorities for years through 2002. It is possible that the amount of unrecognized benefit with respect to the Company's uncertain tax positions may change within the next twelve months. An estimate of the range of the possible change cannot be made until the issues are further developed, the examinations close, or the statutes expire.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

Note 11. Legal and Regulatory Proceedings

MasterCard is a party to legal and regulatory proceedings with respect to a variety of matters in the ordinary course of business. Some of these proceedings involve complex claims that are subject to substantial uncertainties and unascertainable damages. In some instances, the probability of loss and an estimation of damages are not possible to be determined at present. While these proceedings are generally resolved over long periods of time, the probability of loss or an estimation of damages can change due to a number of developments. Accordingly, except as discussed below, MasterCard has not established reserves for any of these proceedings.

Except as described below, MasterCard does not believe that any existing legal or regulatory proceedings to which it is a party would have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, financial position, or cash flows. Moreover, MasterCard believes that it has strong defenses for the pending legal and regulatory proceedings described below. Notwithstanding MasterCard's belief, however, in the event it were found liable in a large class-action lawsuit or on the basis of a claim in the United States entitling the plaintiff to treble damages or under which it were jointly and severally liable, charges it may be required to record could be significant. In addition, an adverse outcome in a regulatory proceeding could result in fines and/or lead to the filing of civil damage claims and possibly result in damage awards in amounts that could be significant. Furthermore, MasterCard could in the future incur judgments and/or fines, enter into settlements of claims or be required to change its business practices, and any of these events could have a material adverse effect on MasterCard's results of operations, financial position or cash flows (or, in the event of liability in a large class-action lawsuit, could even cause MasterCard to become insolvent).

Department of Justice Antitrust Litigation and Related Private Litigations

In October 1998, the U.S. Department of Justice (“DOJ”) filed suit against MasterCard International, Visa U.S.A., Inc. and Visa International Corp. in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York alleging that both MasterCard's and Visa's governance structure and policies violated U.S. federal antitrust laws. The DOJ challenged (1) “dual governance”, where a financial institution has a representative on the Board of Directors of MasterCard or Visa while a portion of its card portfolio is issued under the brand of the other association, and (2) both MasterCard's Competitive Programs Policy (“CPP”) and a Visa bylaw provision that prohibited financial institutions participating in the respective associations from issuing competing proprietary payment cards (such as American Express or Discover). In October 2001, the judge issued an opinion upholding the legality and pro-competitive nature of dual governance. However, the judge also held that MasterCard's CPP and the Visa bylaw constituted unlawful restraints of trade under the federal antitrust laws. The judge subsequently issued a final judgment that ordered MasterCard to repeal the CPP and enjoined MasterCard from enacting or enforcing any bylaw, rule, policy or practice that prohibits its issuers from issuing general purpose credit or debit cards in the United States on any other general purpose card network.

In April 2005, a complaint was filed in California state court on behalf of a putative class of consumers under California unfair competition law (Section 17200) and the Cartwright Act (the “Attridge action”). The claims in this action seek to piggyback on the portion of the DOJ antitrust litigation discussed above with regard to the District Court's findings concerning MasterCard's CPP and Visa's related bylaw. The Court granted the defendants' motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' Cartwright Act claims but denied the defendants' motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' Section 17200 unfair competition claims. The parties have proceeded with discovery. In September 2009, MasterCard executed a settlement agreement that is subject to court approval in the separate California consumer litigations (see “-U.S. Merchant and Consumer Litigations”). The agreement includes a release that the parties believe encompasses the claims asserted in the Attridge action. In August 2010, the Court in the California consumer actions granted final

approval to the settlement. The plaintiff from the Attridge action and three other objectors filed appeals of the settlement approval. In January 2012, the Appellate Court reversed the trial court's settlement approval and remanded the matter to the trial court for further proceedings. At this time, it is not possible to determine the outcome of, or estimate the liability related to, the Attridge action and no incremental provision for losses has been provided in connection with it.

U.S. Merchant and Consumer Litigations

Commencing in October 1996, several class action suits were brought by a number of U.S. merchants against MasterCard International and Visa U.S.A., Inc. challenging certain aspects of the payment card industry under U.S. federal antitrust law. The plaintiffs claimed that MasterCard's "Honor All Cards" rule (and a similar Visa rule), which required merchants who accept MasterCard cards to accept for payment every validly presented MasterCard card, constituted an illegal tying arrangement in violation of Section 1 of the Sherman Act. In June 2003, MasterCard International signed a settlement agreement to settle the claims brought by the plaintiffs in this matter, which the Court approved in December 2003. Pursuant to the settlement, MasterCard agreed, among other things, to create two separate "Honor All Cards" rules in the United States - one for debit cards and one for credit cards.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

In addition, individual or multiple complaints have been brought in 19 states and the District of Columbia alleging state unfair competition, consumer protection and common law claims against MasterCard International (and Visa) on behalf of putative classes of consumers. The claims in these actions largely mirror the allegations made in the U.S. merchant lawsuit and assert that merchants, faced with excessive interchange fees, have passed these overhead charges to consumers in the form of higher prices on goods and services sold. MasterCard has successfully resolved the cases in 18 of the jurisdictions. However, there are outstanding cases in New Mexico and California. MasterCard's motion to dismiss the complaint in the New Mexico action was granted by the trial court and this dismissal was affirmed by the appellate court in April 2012. With respect to the California state actions, and as discussed above under "Department of Justice Antitrust Litigation and Related Private Litigations," in September 2009, the parties to the California state court actions executed a settlement agreement which required a payment by MasterCard of \$6 million, subject to approval by the California state court. In August 2010, the court granted final approval of the settlement, subsequent to which MasterCard made the payment required by the settlement agreement. The plaintiff from the Attridge action described above under "Department of Justice Antitrust Litigation and Related Private Litigations" and three other objectors filed appeals of the settlement approval order. In January 2012, the Appellate Court reversed the trial court's settlement approval and remanded the matter to the trial court for further proceedings. In July 2012, the trial court instructed the parties to submit a motion seeking approval of the settlement by August 20, 2012.

At this time, it is not possible to determine the outcome of, or, except as indicated above in the California consumer actions, estimate the liability related to, the remaining consumer cases and no provision for losses has been provided in connection with them. The consumer class actions are not covered by the terms of the settlement agreement in the U.S. merchant lawsuit.

ATM Non-Discrimination Rule Surcharge Complaints

In October 2011, a trade association of independent Automated Teller Machine ("ATM") operators and 13 independent ATM operators filed a complaint styled as a class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia against both MasterCard and Visa (the "ATM Operators Complaint"). Plaintiffs seek to represent a class of non-bank operators of ATM terminals that operate ATM terminals in the United States with the discretion to determine the price of the ATM access fee for the terminals they operate. Plaintiffs allege that MasterCard and Visa have violated Section 1 of the Sherman Act by imposing rules that require ATM operators to charge non-discriminatory ATM surcharges for transactions processed over MasterCard's and Visa's respective networks that are not greater than the surcharge charged for transactions over other networks accepted at the same ATM. Plaintiffs seek both injunctive and monetary relief equal to treble the damages they claim to have sustained as a result of the alleged violations and their costs of suit, including attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs have not quantified their damages although they allege that they expect damages to be in the tens of millions of dollars.

Subsequently, multiple related complaints were filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia alleging both federal antitrust and multiple state unfair competition, consumer protection and common law claims against MasterCard and Visa on behalf of putative classes of users of ATM services (the "ATM Consumer Complaints"). The claims in these actions largely mirror the allegations made in the ATM Operators Complaint described above, although these complaints seek damages on behalf of consumers of ATM services who pay allegedly inflated ATM fees at both bank and non-bank ATM operators as a result of the defendants' ATM rules. Plaintiffs seek both injunctive and monetary relief equal to treble the damages they claim to have sustained as a result of the alleged violations and their costs of suit, including attorneys' fees. Plaintiffs have not quantified their damages although they allege that they expect damages to be in the tens of millions of dollars.

In January 2012, the plaintiffs in the ATM Operators Complaint and the ATM Consumer Complaints filed amended class action complaints that largely mirror their prior complaints. MasterCard has moved to dismiss the complaints for failure to state a claim. Oral argument on the motion is scheduled for September 2012.

At this time, and at this early stage of the cases, it is not possible to determine the outcome of, or, estimate the liability related to, the cases and no provision for losses has been provided in connection with them.

Interchange Litigation and Regulatory Proceedings

Interchange fees represent a sharing of payment system costs among the financial institutions participating in a four-party payment card system such as MasterCard's. Typically, interchange fees are paid by the acquirer to the issuer in connection with purchase transactions initiated with the payment system's cards. These fees reimburse the issuer for a portion of the costs incurred by it in providing services which are of benefit to all participants in the system, including acquirers and merchants. MasterCard or its customer financial institutions establish default interchange fees in certain circumstances that apply when there is no other

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

interchange fee arrangement between the issuer and the acquirer. MasterCard establishes a variety of interchange rates depending on such considerations as the location and the type of transaction, and collects the interchange fee on behalf of the institutions entitled to receive it and remits the interchange fee to eligible institutions. MasterCard's interchange fees and related practices are subject to regulatory and/or legal review and/or challenges in a number of jurisdictions, including the proceedings described below. At this time, it is not possible to determine the ultimate resolution of, or estimate the liability related to, any of these interchange proceedings (except as otherwise indicated below), as the proceedings involve complex claims and/or substantial uncertainties and, in some cases, could include unascertainable damages or fines. Except as described below, no provision for losses has been provided in connection with them. Some of the proceedings described below could have a significant impact on our customers in the applicable country and on MasterCard's level of business in those countries. The proceedings reflect the significant and increasingly intense legal, regulatory and legislative scrutiny worldwide that interchange fees and related practices have been receiving. When taken as a whole, the resulting decisions, regulations and legislation with respect to interchange fees and related practices may have a material adverse effect on the Company's revenues, its prospects for future growth and its overall business, financial condition and revenue.

United States. In June 2005, the first of a series of complaints were filed on behalf of merchants (the majority of the complaints are styled as class actions, although a few complaints are filed on behalf of individual merchant plaintiffs) against MasterCard International Incorporated, Visa U.S.A., Inc., Visa International Service Association and a number of customer financial institutions. Taken together, the claims in the complaints are generally brought under both Section 1 of the Sherman Act and Section 2 of the Sherman Act, which prohibits monopolization and attempts or conspiracies to monopolize a particular industry, and some of these complaints contain unfair competition law claims under state law. The complaints allege, among other things, that MasterCard, Visa, and certain of their customer financial institutions conspired to set the price of interchange fees, enacted point of sale acceptance rules (including the no surcharge rule) in violation of antitrust laws and engaged in unlawful tying and bundling of certain products and services. The cases have been consolidated for pre-trial proceedings in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of New York in MDL No. 1720. The plaintiffs have filed a consolidated class action complaint that seeks treble damages, as well as attorneys' fees and injunctive relief. The district court has dismissed the plaintiffs' pre-2004 damage claims. The plaintiffs have filed a motion for class certification. The court heard oral argument on the motion in November 2009 and the parties are awaiting a decision on the motion.

In July 2006, the group of purported merchant class plaintiffs filed a supplemental complaint alleging that MasterCard's initial public offering of its Class A Common Stock in May 2006 (the "IPO") and certain purported agreements entered into between MasterCard and its customer financial institutions in connection with the IPO: (1) violate U.S. antitrust laws and (2) constitute a fraudulent conveyance because the customer financial institutions are allegedly attempting to release, without adequate consideration, MasterCard's right to assess them for MasterCard's litigation liabilities. In November 2008, the district court granted MasterCard's motion to dismiss the plaintiffs' supplemental complaint in its entirety with leave to file an amended complaint. The class plaintiffs replied their complaint. The causes of action and claims for relief in the complaint generally mirror those in the plaintiffs' original IPO-related complaint although the plaintiffs have attempted to expand their factual allegations based upon discovery that has been garnered in the case. The class plaintiffs seek treble damages and injunctive relief including, but not limited to, an order reversing and unwinding the IPO. MasterCard has moved to dismiss this complaint. The court heard oral argument on the motion in November 2009 and the parties are awaiting a decision on the motion.

In July 2009, the class plaintiffs and individual plaintiffs served confidential expert reports detailing the plaintiffs' theories of liability and alleging damages in the tens of billions of dollars. The defendants served their expert reports in December 2009 rebutting the plaintiffs' assertions both with respect to liability and damages. In February 2011,

both the defendants and the plaintiffs served a number of dispositive motions seeking summary judgment on all or portions of the claims in the complaints. The parties are awaiting decision on the motions.

In February 2011, MasterCard and MasterCard International Incorporated entered into each of: (1) an omnibus judgment sharing and settlement sharing agreement with Visa Inc., Visa U.S.A. Inc. and Visa International Service Association and a number of customer financial institutions; and (2) a MasterCard settlement and judgment sharing agreement with a number of customer financial institutions. The agreements provide for the apportionment of certain costs and liabilities which MasterCard, the Visa parties and the customer financial institutions may incur, jointly and/or severally, in the event of an adverse judgment or settlement of one or all of the cases in the interchange merchant litigations. Among a number of scenarios addressed by the agreements, in the event of a global settlement involving the Visa parties, the customer financial institutions and MasterCard, MasterCard would pay 12% of the monetary portion of the settlement. In the event of a settlement involving only MasterCard and the customer financial institutions with respect to their issuance of MasterCard cards, MasterCard would pay 36% of the monetary portion of such settlement.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

MasterCard and the other defendants had been participating in separate court-recommended mediation sessions with the individual merchant plaintiffs and the class plaintiffs. Based on progress in the mediation, MasterCard recorded a \$770 million pre-tax charge, or \$495 million on an after-tax basis, in the fourth quarter of 2011. This charge represented MasterCard's estimate for the financial portion of a settlement in these cases. The charge did not represent an estimate of a loss if the parties to the matter were to litigate, in which case MasterCard would not have been able to estimate any potential liability. MasterCard's estimate involved significant judgment and was subject to change depending on progress in settlement negotiations, or if the case was not settled, if the matter was to be litigated.

On July 13, 2012, MasterCard entered into a Memorandum of Understanding (“MOU”) to settle the merchant class litigation, and separately agreed in principle to settle all claims brought by the individual merchant plaintiffs. The MOU sets out a binding obligation to enter into a settlement agreement, and is subject to: (1) the successful completion of certain appendices, (2) the successful negotiation of a settlement agreement with the individual merchant plaintiffs, (3) final court approval of the class settlement, and (4) any necessary internal approvals for the parties. MasterCard's financial portion of the settlements is estimated to total \$790 million on a pre-tax basis, with an additional \$20 million pre-tax charge recorded in the second quarter of 2012. In addition to the financial portion of the settlement, U.S. merchant class members would also receive a 10 basis point reduction in default credit interchange fees for a period of eight months, funded by a corresponding reduction in the default credit interchange fees paid by acquirers to issuers. MasterCard would also be required to modify its No Surcharge Rule to permit U.S. merchants to surcharge MasterCard credit cards, subject to certain limitations set forth in the class settlement agreement. The court has set October 19, 2012 as the date for filing a motion of preliminary approval of the settlement. All business and rule practice changes will occur after preliminary approval, most likely early 2013. In the event conditions to the MOU are not ultimately met and the litigations are not settled, a negative outcome in the litigation could materially and adversely affect MasterCard's results of operations, cash flow and financial condition.

Canada. In December 2010, the Canadian Competition Bureau (the “CCB”) filed an application with the Canadian Competition Tribunal to strike down certain MasterCard rules related to point-of-sale acceptance, including the “honor all cards” and “no surcharge” rules. The hearing on the matter was held before the Canadian Competition Tribunal and was completed in June 2012. The parties are awaiting a decision from the Canadian Competition Tribunal. In December 2010, a complaint styled as a class action lawsuit was commenced against MasterCard in Quebec on behalf of Canadian merchants. That suit essentially repeated the allegations and arguments of the CCB application to the Canadian Competition Tribunal and sought compensatory and punitive damages in unspecified amounts, as well as injunctive relief. In March 2011, a second purported class action lawsuit was commenced in British Columbia against MasterCard, Visa and a number of large Canadian financial institutions, and in May 2011 a third purported class action lawsuit was commenced in Ontario against the same defendants. These suits allege that MasterCard, Visa and the financial institutions have engaged in a conspiracy to increase or maintain the fees paid by merchants on credit card transactions and establish rules which force merchants to accept all MasterCard and Visa credit cards and prevent merchants from charging more for payments with MasterCard and Visa premium cards. The British Columbia suit seeks compensatory damages in unspecified amounts, and the Ontario suit seeks compensatory damages of \$5 billion. The British Columbia and Ontario suits also seek punitive damages in unspecified amounts, as well as injunctive relief, interest and legal costs. In April 2012, the Quebec suit was amended to include the same defendants and similar claims as in the British Columbia and Ontario suits. With respect to status of the proceedings: (1) the Quebec suit was stayed in June 2012 until June 2013, subject to an ongoing obligation to report to the case management judge, (2) the Ontario suit is being temporarily suspended while the British Columbia suit proceeds, and (3) the British Columbia court has scheduled a class certification hearing for April 2013. In July 2012, an additional complaint styled as a class action was filed in Saskatchewan. The claims in the complaint largely mirror the claims in the British Columbia and Ontario suits. If the CCB's challenges and/or the class action law suits are ultimately successful, negative decisions

could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's Canadian customers and on MasterCard's overall business in Canada and, in the case of the private lawsuits, could result in substantial damage awards.

European Union. In September 2003, the European Commission issued a Statement of Objections challenging MasterCard Europe's cross-border default interchange fees and, in June 2006, it issued a supplemental Statement of Objections covering credit, debit and commercial card fees. In December 2007, the European Commission announced a decision that applies to MasterCard's default cross-border interchange fees for MasterCard and Maestro branded consumer payment card transactions in the European Economic Area ("EEA") (the European Commission refers to these as "MasterCard's MIF"), but not to commercial card transactions (the European Commission stated publicly that it has not yet finished its investigation of commercial card interchange fees). The decision required MasterCard to stop applying the MasterCard MIF, to refrain from repeating the conduct, and not apply its then recently adopted (but never implemented) Maestro SEPA and Intra-Eurozone default interchange fees to debit card payment transactions within the Eurozone. The decision did not impose a fine on MasterCard, but provides for a daily penalty of up to 3.5% of MasterCard's daily consolidated global turnover in the preceding business year (which MasterCard estimates to be approximately \$0.6 million U.S. per day) in the event that MasterCard fails to comply. To date, MasterCard has not been assessed

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

any such penalty. In March 2008, MasterCard filed an application for annulment of the European Commission's decision with the General Court of the European Union.

Following discussions with the European Commission, MasterCard announced that, effective June 21, 2008, MasterCard would temporarily repeal its then current default intra-EEA cross-border consumer card interchange fees in conformity with the decision. In October 2008, MasterCard received an information request from the European Commission in connection with the decision concerning certain pricing changes that MasterCard implemented as of October 1, 2008. In March 2009, MasterCard gave certain undertakings to the European Commission and, in response, in April 2009, the Commissioner for competition policy and DG Competition informed MasterCard that, subject to MasterCard's fulfilling its undertakings, they do not intend to pursue proceedings for non-compliance with or circumvention of the December 2007 decision or for infringing the antitrust laws in relation to the October 2008 pricing changes, the introduction of new cross-border consumer default interchange fees or any of the other MasterCard undertakings. MasterCard's undertakings include: (1) repealing the October 2008 pricing changes; (2) adopting a specific methodology for the setting of cross-border consumer default interchange fees; (3) establishing new default cross-border consumer card interchange fees as of July 1, 2009 such that the weighted average interchange fee for credit card transactions does not exceed 30 basis points and for debit card transactions does not exceed 20 basis points; (4) introducing a new rule prohibiting its acquirers from requiring merchants to process all of their MasterCard and Maestro transactions with the acquirer; and (5) introducing a new rule requiring its acquirers to provide merchants with certain pricing information in connection with MasterCard and Maestro transactions. The undertakings were effective until a final decision by the General Court of the European Union regarding MasterCard's application for annulment of the European Commission's December 2007 decision.

In May 2012, the General Court of the European Union issued a judgment dismissing the Company's appeal and upholding the European Commission's decision. The Company intends to appeal the judgment to the European Union Court of Justice prior to the August 6, 2012 deadline. Subject to ongoing discussion with the European Commission, MasterCard intends to continue to comply with the terms of the interim agreement with the European Commission, even though that agreement, by its terms, formally ended on the day of the judgment.

Although MasterCard believes that any other business practices it would implement in response to the decision would be in compliance with the December 2007 decision, the European Commission may deem any such practice not in compliance with the decision, or in violation of European competition law, in which case MasterCard may be assessed fines for the period that it is not in compliance. Furthermore, because a balancing mechanism like default cross-border interchange fees constitutes an essential element of MasterCard Europe's operations, the December 2007 decision could also significantly impact MasterCard International's European customers' and MasterCard Europe's business. The European Commission decision could also lead to additional competition authorities in European Union member states commencing investigations or proceedings regarding domestic interchange fees or initiating regulation. The possibility of such actions has increased due to the judgment of the General Court. The judgment also increases the possibility of an adverse outcome for the Company in related and pending matters (such as the interchange proceedings in Hungary, Italy and Poland, as further described below). In addition, the European Commission's decision could, and in the case of the United Kingdom has, lead to the filing of private actions against MasterCard Europe by merchants and/or consumers which, if MasterCard is unsuccessful in its appeal of the General Court decision, could result in MasterCard owing substantial damages.

Additional Litigations in Europe - United Kingdom. In May 2012, the Company learned that five retailers have filed claims for unspecified damages in the High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Commercial Court, with respect to MasterCard's U.K. interchange fees.

Interchange Proceedings. Regulatory authorities and central banks in a number of other jurisdictions around the world have commenced proceedings or inquiries into interchange fees and related practices. These matters include:

Hungary. In December 2009, the Hungarian Competition Authority (“HCA”) issued a formal decision that MasterCard's (and Visa's) historic domestic interchange fees violated Hungarian competition law and fined each of MasterCard Europe and Visa Europe approximately \$3 million, which was paid during the fourth quarter of 2009. MasterCard appealed the decision to the Hungarian courts. In October 2010, the Hungarian appeals court stayed the proceeding until MasterCard's appeal to the General Court of the European Union of the European Commission's December 2007 cross-border interchange fee decision is finally decided. If the HCA's decision is not reversed on appeal, it could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's Hungarian customers and on MasterCard's overall business in Hungary. In June 2012, MasterCard was informed that the HCA has commenced a separate investigation of MasterCard's alleged abuse of dominant position in what it refers to as the domestic bankcard market during the period beginning in December 2010.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

Italy. In November 2010, the Italian Competition Authority (“ICA”) adopted a decision in which it determined that MasterCard Europe's domestic interchange fees violate European Union competition law, fined MasterCard 2.7 million euro (approximately \$4 million) and ordered MasterCard to refrain in the future from maintaining interchange fees that are not based on economic justifications linked to efficiency criteria and to eliminate any anticompetitive clauses from its licensing agreements. MasterCard appealed the ICA's infringement decision to the Administrative Court, and the decision was annulled by the Administrative Court in July 2011. The ICA has appealed the Administrative Court's judgment to the Council of State. If the ICA's infringement decision ultimately stands, it could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's Italian customers and on MasterCard's overall business in Italy.

Poland. In January 2007, the Polish Office for Protection of Competition and Consumers (the “PCA”) issued a decision that MasterCard's (and Visa Europe's) domestic credit and debit default interchange fees are unlawful under Polish competition law, and imposed fines on MasterCard's (and Visa Europe's) licensed financial institutions the entities responsible for setting the fees. As part of this decision, the PCA decided that MasterCard (and Visa Europe) had not violated the law because they were not responsible for setting the fees. The decision is currently being appealed. If on appeal the PCA's decision is ultimately allowed to stand, it could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's Polish customers and on MasterCard's overall business in Poland.

United Kingdom. In February 2007, the Office for Fair Trading of the United Kingdom (the “OFT”) commenced an investigation of MasterCard's current U.K. default credit card interchange fees and so-called “immediate debit” cards to determine whether such fees contravene U.K. and European Union competition law. The OFT had informed MasterCard that it did not intend to issue a Statement of Objections or otherwise commence formal proceedings with respect to the investigation prior to the judgment of the General Court of the European Union with respect to MasterCard's appeal of the December 2007 cross-border interchange fee decision of the European Commission. If the OFT ultimately determines that any of MasterCard's U.K. interchange fees contravene U.K. and European Union competition law, it may issue a new decision and possibly levy fines accruing from the date of its first decision. MasterCard would likely appeal a negative decision by the OFT in any future proceeding to the Competition Appeals Tribunal. Such an OFT decision could lead to the filing of private actions against MasterCard by merchants and/or consumers which, if its appeal of such an OFT decision were to fail, could result in an award or awards of substantial damages and could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard International's U.K. customers and MasterCard's overall business in the U.K.

Interchange Regulatory Activity.

Central banks and regulators in several jurisdictions have initiated efforts to affect MasterCard and/or Visa's respective interchange rates outside of commencing regulatory proceedings. These efforts include (1) activity in 2012 by the Polish Central Bank to effectively compel MasterCard and Visa to lower their respective interchange rates (or be faced with the possibility of interchange fee legislation) and (2) an information request sent to MasterCard by the French Competition Authority (the “FCA”) in 2009 concerning its domestic interchange rates (which the FCA subsequently suspended and, as MasterCard understands, was intending to wait until the judgment of the General Court of the European Union with respect to MasterCard's appeal of the December 2007 cross-border interchange fee decision of the European Commission before deciding whether to re-engage as to these rates.)

In September 2010, the South African Reserve Bank informed MasterCard that it intends to appoint an independent consultant to make a recommendation on a simplified interchange structure for all payment systems in South Africa,

including MasterCard's. Such an interchange structure, if adopted, could have a significant adverse impact on the revenues of MasterCard's South African customers and on MasterCard's overall business in South Africa.

MasterCard is aware that regulatory authorities and/or central banks in certain other jurisdictions including Austria, Brazil, Chile, Colombia, Germany, Israel, Latvia, Lithuania and Russia are reviewing MasterCard's and/or its customers' interchange fees and/or related practices (such as the "honor all cards" rule) and may seek to regulate the establishment of such fees and/or such practices.

Other Regulatory Proceedings

In addition to challenges to interchange fees, MasterCard's standards and operations are also subject to regulatory and/or legal review and/or challenges in a number of jurisdictions from time to time. At this time, it is not possible to determine the ultimate resolution of, or estimate the liability related to, any of the proceedings described below, as the proceedings involve

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

substantial uncertainties. No provision for losses has been provided in connection with them. The proceedings reflect the increasing global regulatory focus to which the payments industry is subject and, when taken as a whole with other regulatory and legislative action, such actions could result in the imposition of costly new compliance burdens on MasterCard and its customers and may lead to increased costs and decreased transaction volumes and revenues.

Switzerland. In July 2010, MasterCard received a notice from the Swiss Competition Authority (the “WEKO”) that based upon complaints, the WEKO had opened a pre-investigation of certain of MasterCard's domestic debit acquirer fees to determine whether to open a formal investigation with respect to these fees. In September 2010, the WEKO denied immediate action and interim relief based on the complaints, and in July 2012, MasterCard was informed that the WEKO had closed its pre-investigation and has decided not to open a formal investigation of the fees.

Note 12. Settlement and Other Risk Management

MasterCard's rules guarantee the payment of certain MasterCard, Cirrus and Maestro branded transactions between its customers ("settlement risk"). Settlement exposure is the outstanding settlement risk to customers under MasterCard's rules due to the difference in timing between the payment transaction date and subsequent settlement. While the term and amount of the guarantee are unlimited, the duration of settlement exposure is short term and typically limited to a few days. Settlement exposure is primarily estimated using the average daily card volume during the quarter multiplied by the estimated number of days to settle. The Company has global risk management policies and procedures, which include risk standards, to provide a framework for managing the Company's settlement risk. Customer-reported transaction data and the transaction clearing data underlying the settlement exposure calculation may be revised in subsequent reporting periods.

In the event that MasterCard effects a payment on behalf of a failed customer, MasterCard may seek an assignment of the underlying receivables. Subject to approval by the Board of Directors, customers may be charged for the amount of any settlement loss incurred during these ordinary course activities of the Company.

The Company's global risk management policies and procedures are aimed at managing the settlement exposure. These risk management procedures include interaction with the bank regulators of countries in which we operate, requiring customers to make adjustments to settlement processes, and requiring collateral from customers. MasterCard requires certain customers that are not in compliance with the Company's risk standards in effect at the time of review to post collateral, typically in the form of cash, letters of credit, or guarantees. This requirement is based on management's review of the individual risk circumstances for each customer that is out of compliance. In addition to these amounts, MasterCard holds collateral to cover variability and future growth in customer programs. The Company may also hold collateral to pay merchants in the event of merchant bank/acquirer failure. Although we are not contractually obligated under our rules to effect such payments to merchants, we may elect to do so to protect brand integrity. MasterCard monitors its credit risk portfolio on a regular basis and the adequacy of collateral on hand. Additionally, from time to time, the Company reviews its risk management methodology and standards. As such, the amounts of estimated settlement exposure are revised as necessary.

The Company's estimated settlement exposure from MasterCard, Cirrus and Maestro branded transactions was as follows:

	June 30, 2012 (in millions)	December 31, 2011
Gross settlement exposure	\$40,171	\$39,102

Collateral held for settlement exposure ¹	(4,087) (3,482)
Net uncollateralized settlement exposure	\$36,084	\$35,620	

¹ Represents collateral held against MasterCard-branded exposure.

MasterCard-branded travelers cheques are no longer being issued. For previously issued travelers cheques, MasterCard guarantees the payment of MasterCard-branded travelers cheques in the event of issuer default. The term of the guarantee is unlimited, while the exposure is limited to the cheques issued but not yet cashed. The notional amount of cheques issued, but not yet cashed was \$548 million and \$564 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, of which \$441 million and \$455 million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, is mitigated by collateral arrangements.

Beginning in 2008, many of the Company's financial institution customers were directly and adversely impacted by the unprecedented events that occurred in the financial markets around the world. The ongoing economic turmoil presents increased risk that the Company may have to perform under its settlement and travelers cheque guarantees. General economic conditions

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

and political conditions in countries in which MasterCard operates also affect the Company's settlement risk. For example, the European sovereign debt crisis introduces a heightened level of risk to the Company. The Company's aggregate gross settlement exposures to Italy, Greece, Portugal and Spain, four of the countries significantly impacted by the eurozone crisis, are less than 5% of MasterCard's total gross settlement exposure as of June 30, 2012 and are being managed through various planning and mitigation practices. The Company's global risk management policies and procedures, which are revised and enhanced from time to time, continue to be effective as evidenced by the historically low level of losses that the Company has experienced from customer financial institution failures.

MasterCard also provides guarantees to customers and certain other companies indemnifying them from losses stemming from failures of third parties to perform duties. In addition, the Company enters into business agreements in the ordinary course of business under which the Company agrees to indemnify third parties against damages, losses and expenses incurred in connection with legal and other proceedings arising from relationships or transactions with the Company. Certain indemnifications do not provide a stated maximum exposure. As the extent of the Company's obligations under these agreements depends entirely upon the occurrence of future events, the Company's potential future liability under these agreements is not determinable. Historically, payments made by the Company under these types of contractual arrangements have not been material.

Note 13. Foreign Exchange Risk Management

The Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts to manage risk associated with anticipated receipts and disbursements which are either transacted in a non-functional currency or valued based on a currency other than its functional currencies. The Company also enters into foreign currency forward contracts to offset possible changes in value due to foreign exchange fluctuations of assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. The objective of this activity is to reduce the Company's exposure to transaction gains and losses resulting from fluctuations of foreign currencies against its functional currencies. The notional value of commitments to sell foreign currency increased to \$1.1 billion at June 30, 2012 compared to \$279 million at December 31, 2011 due to the hedging of an increase in foreign currency denominated assets and an expanded hedging program to offset the Company's foreign currency exposures arising from anticipated receipts and disbursements.

The Company does not designate foreign currency derivatives as hedging instruments pursuant to the accounting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities. The Company records the change in the estimated fair value of the outstanding derivatives at the end of the reporting period to its consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statement of operations.

As of June 30, 2012, all contracts to purchase and sell foreign currency had been entered into with customers of MasterCard. MasterCard's derivative contracts are summarized below:

	June 30, 2012		December 31, 2011	
	Notional	Estimated Fair Value	Notional	Estimated Fair Value
	(in millions)			
Commitments to purchase foreign currency	\$51	\$1	\$21	\$—
Commitments to sell foreign currency	1,135	14	279	2
Balance Sheet Location: ¹				
Accounts Receivable		\$17		\$4
Other Current Liabilities		(2)		(2)

¹ Amounts are presented on a net basis on the balance sheet. The gross fair value of foreign currency derivative assets and liabilities are \$20 million and \$5 million, respectively, at June 30, 2012. The gross fair value of foreign currency derivative assets and liabilities were \$4 million and \$2 million, respectively, at December 31, 2011.

Table of Contents

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED) – (Continued)

The amount of gain (loss) recognized in income for the contracts to purchase and sell foreign currency are summarized below:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011	2012	2011
	(in millions)			
Foreign currency derivative contracts ¹				
General and administrative	\$(4) \$(1) \$(10) \$(12
Revenues	1	(2) (3) (4
Total	\$(3) \$(3) \$(13) \$(16

¹ Derivatives are not designated as hedging instruments pursuant to the accounting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities.

The fair value of the foreign currency forward contracts generally reflects the estimated amounts that the Company would receive (or pay), on a pre-tax basis, to terminate the contracts at the reporting date based on broker quotes for the same or similar instruments. The terms of the foreign currency forward contracts are generally less than 18 months. The Company had no deferred gains or losses related to foreign exchange in accumulated other comprehensive income as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011 as there were no derivative contracts accounted for under hedge accounting.

The Company's derivative financial instruments are subject to both credit and market risk. Credit risk is the risk of loss due to failure of the counterparty to perform its obligations in accordance with contractual terms. Market risk is the risk of loss due to the potential change in an instrument's value caused by fluctuations in interest rates and other variables related to currency exchange rates. The effect of a hypothetical 10% adverse change in foreign currency rates could result in a fair value loss of approximately \$129 million on the Company's foreign currency derivative contracts outstanding at June 30, 2012. Credit risk related to derivative instruments was not material at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. Generally, the Company does not obtain collateral related to derivatives because of the high credit ratings of the counterparties.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes of MasterCard Incorporated and its consolidated subsidiaries, including MasterCard International Incorporated ("MasterCard International") (together, "MasterCard" or the "Company"), included elsewhere in this Report. Percentage changes provided throughout "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" were calculated on amounts rounded to the nearest thousand.

Overview

MasterCard is a global payments and technology company that connects billions of consumers, thousands of financial institutions, millions of merchants, governments and businesses worldwide, enabling them to use electronic forms of payment instead of cash and checks. We envision an environment where electronic payment is the predominant means of payment. We use our technology and expertise to make payments more convenient, secure and efficient to enable consumers to meet their needs and to provide value to all stakeholders in the payments system.

We offer a wide range of payment solutions that enable our customers and partners to develop and implement credit, debit, prepaid and related payment programs and solutions to deliver value to consumers. Our customers and partners include financial institutions and other entities that act as "issuers" and "acquirers", merchants, government entities, telecommunications companies and other businesses. We manage a family of well-known, widely-accepted payment brands, including MasterCard, Maestro and Cirrus, which we license to our customers for use in their payment programs and solutions. We process payment transactions over the MasterCard Worldwide Network and provide support services to our customers and other partners. As part of managing our brands, we establish and enforce a common set of standards for adherence by our customers for the efficient and secure use of our payments network. We generate revenues from the fees that we charge our customers for providing transaction processing and other payment-related services and by assessing our customers based primarily on the dollar volume of activity on the cards and other devices that carry our brands. As cardholder and merchant relationships are managed principally by our customers, we do not issue cards, extend credit to cardholders, determine the interest rates (if applicable) or other fees charged to cardholders by issuers, or establish the merchant discount charged by acquirers in connection with the acceptance of cards and other devices that carry our brands.

We analyze our ability to grow based on three drivers:

- we track trends in personal consumption expenditures;
- we focus on the trend within the global payments industry from paper-based forms of payment, such as cash and checks, toward electronic forms of payment (such as payment card transactions); and
- we seek to grow our share in electronic payments, including with innovative solutions and new technology.

We support our focus on these drivers by continuing to:

- grow our core businesses globally, including credit, debit, prepaid, commercial and processing payment transactions over the MasterCard Worldwide Network,
- diversify our business by seeking new areas of growth in markets around the world, expanding points of acceptance for our brands throughout the world, seeking to maintain unsurpassed acceptance, and working with new partners such as merchants, government agencies and telecommunications companies, and
- build new businesses through technology and continued strategic efforts and alliances with respect to innovative payment methods, such as electronic commerce (e-Commerce) and mobile capabilities.

See "-Business Environment" for a discussion of considerations related to our long-term strategic objectives.

We recorded net income of \$700 million, or \$5.55 per diluted share, and \$1.4 billion, or \$10.91 per diluted share, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus net income of \$608 million, or \$4.76 per diluted share, and net income of \$1.2 billion, or \$9.05 per diluted share for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively. In addition, for the six months ended June 30, 2012, we generated cash flows from operations of \$1.1 billion.

Our net revenues increased 9% and 13% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to the increased dollar volume of activity on cards carrying our brands and increased transactions, partially offset by the impact of foreign currency translation. The net effects of pricing actions contributed approximately 2 and 3

25

Table of Contents

percentage points to our net revenue growth for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of revenues from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced net revenues by approximately 4 and 3 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. Rebates and incentives as a percentage of gross revenues were 27% and 26% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus 24% for the comparable periods in 2011.

Our revenues depend heavily upon the overall level of consumer, business and government spending. Changes in cardholder spending behavior, influenced by economic conditions, impact our ability to grow our revenues. Our revenues are primarily impacted by the dollar volume of activity on cards and other devices that carry our brands, and the number of transactions. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, volume-based revenues (domestic assessments and cross-border volume fees) and transaction-based revenues (transaction processing fees) increased from the comparable periods in 2011. For each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, our processed transactions increased 29% and our volumes increased 15% and 17% respectively, on a local currency basis compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. This compares to increased processed transactions of 17% and 14% and increased volumes of 17% and 15% on a local currency basis for the three and six months ended June 30, 2011, respectively, compared to the three and six months ended June 30, 2010.

Our operating expenses increased 8% and 11% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to greater general and administrative expenses and an increase in provision for litigation settlement. The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of expenses from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced operating expenses by approximately 3 and 2 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Our ratios of operating income as a percentage of net revenues, or operating margins, were 53.5% and 55.2% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus operating margins of 53.1% and 54.3% in the comparable periods of 2011. The effective income tax rates were 28.0% and 30.0% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus effective income tax rates of 31.8% and 32.3% for the comparable periods of 2011.

Business Environment

We process transactions from more than 210 countries and territories and in more than 150 currencies. Net revenue generated in the United States was approximately 40% of total revenue for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. No individual country, other than the United States, generated more than 10% of total revenues in either period, but differences in market growth, economic health, and foreign exchange fluctuations in certain countries have increased the proportion of revenues generated outside the United States over time. While the global nature of our business helps protect our operating results from adverse economic conditions in a single or a few countries, the significant concentration of our revenues generated in the United States makes our business particularly susceptible to adverse economic conditions in the United States.

The competitive and evolving nature of the global payments industry provides both challenges to and opportunities for the continued growth of our business. Unprecedented events which began during 2008 continue to impact the financial markets around the world, including continued distress in the credit environment, continued equity market volatility and additional government intervention. The economies of the United States and numerous countries around the world have been significantly impacted by this economic turmoil. Countries have experienced credit ratings actions by ratings agencies, including several in Europe as well as the United States. In addition, some existing customers have been placed in receivership or administration or have a significant amount of their stock owned by their governments. Many financial institutions are facing increased regulatory and governmental influence, including potential further changes in laws and regulations. Many of our financial institution customers, merchants that accept our brands and cardholders who use our brands have been directly and adversely impacted.

MasterCard's financial results may be adversely impacted by actions taken by individual financial institutions or by governmental or regulatory bodies. The condition of the economic environments may accelerate the timing of or increase the impact of risks to our financial performance. As a result, our revenue may be impacted, or the Company may be impacted in several ways. For a full discussion see "Risk Factors - Business Risks - Unprecedented global economic events in financial markets around the world have directly and adversely affected, and may continue to affect, many of our customers, merchants that accept our brands and cardholders who use our brands, which could

result in a material and adverse impact on our prospects, growth, profitability, revenue and overall business" in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and Part II, Item 1A, (Risk Factors) of this report for further discussion.

In addition, our business and our customers' businesses are subject to regulation in many countries. Regulatory bodies may seek to impose rules and price controls on certain aspects of our business and the payments industry. See Note 11 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report for further discussion.

Table of Contents

MasterCard continues to monitor the extent and pace of economic recovery around the world to identify opportunities for the continued growth of our business and to evaluate the evolution of the global payments industry. Despite mixed economic indicators, we continue to see growth in all of our regions. Notwithstanding recent trends, the extent and pace of economic recovery in various regions remains uncertain and the overall business environment may present challenges for MasterCard to grow its business.

Financial Results

Our operating results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Percent Increase (Decrease)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Percent Increase (Decrease)
	2012	2011		2012	2011	
	(in millions, except per share data, percentages and GDV amounts)					
Revenues, net	\$1,820	\$1,667	9%	\$3,578	\$3,168	13%
Total operating expenses	846	782	8%	1,604	1,447	11%
Operating income	974	885	10%	1,974	1,721	15%
Total other income (expense)	(1) 7	*	(2) 7	*
Income before income taxes	973	892	9%	1,972	1,728	14%
Income tax expense	273	284	(4)%	591	558	6%
Net income	700	608	15%	1,381	1,170	18%
Loss attributable to non-controlling interests	—	—	*	1	—	*
Net Income Attributable to MasterCard	\$700	\$608	15%	\$1,382	\$1,170	18%
Basic Earnings per Share	\$5.56	\$4.77	17%	\$10.95	\$9.08	21%
Basic Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	126	127	(1)%	126	129	(2)%
Diluted Earnings per Share	\$5.55	\$4.76	17%	\$10.91	\$9.05	21%
Diluted Weighted Average Shares Outstanding	126	128	(1)%	127	129	(2)%
Effective Income Tax Rate	28.0	% 31.8	% *	30.0	% 32.3	% *
Gross Dollar Volume (“GDV”) on a U.S. dollar Converted Basis (in billions) ¹	\$890	\$813	9%	\$1,739	\$1,542	13%
Processed transactions ²	8,537	6,601	29%	16,254	12,572	29%

* Not Meaningful

¹ The data for GDV is provided by MasterCard customers and includes information with respect to MasterCard-branded transactions that are not processed by MasterCard and for which MasterCard in some instances does not earn significant revenues. GDV may be revised by MasterCard's customers after its original submission and these revisions may be material. GDV generated by Maestro and Cirrus cards is not included.

² Represents total number of transactions processed by MasterCard and growth from the comparable periods.

Impact of Foreign Currency Rates

Our overall operating results are impacted by changes in foreign currency exchange rates, especially the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the euro and Brazilian real. The functional currency of MasterCard Europe, our

principal European operating subsidiary, is the euro, and the functional currency of our Brazilian subsidiary is the Brazilian real. Accordingly, the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar versus the euro and Brazilian real impacts the translation of our European and Brazilian subsidiaries' operating results into the U.S. dollar. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the same periods in 2011, the U.S. dollar strengthened against both the euro and the Brazilian real. Accordingly, the net foreign currency impact of changes in the U.S. dollar average exchange rates against the euro and Brazilian real reduced net revenues by approximately 4 and 3 percentage points and operating expenses by approximately 3 and 2 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

In addition, changes in foreign currency exchange rates directly impact the calculation of gross dollar volume ("GDV") and gross euro volume ("GEV"), which are used in the calculation of our domestic assessments, cross-border volume fees and volume related rebates and incentives. In most non-European regions, GDV is calculated based on local currency spending volume

Table of Contents

converted to U.S. dollars using average exchange rates for the period. In Europe, GEV is calculated based on local currency spending volume converted to euros using average exchange rates for the period. As a result, our domestic assessments, cross-border volume fees and volume related rebates and incentives are impacted by the strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar and euro. The strengthening or weakening of the U.S. dollar is evident when GDV growth on a U.S. dollar converted basis is compared to GDV growth on a local currency basis. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, as compared to the same periods in 2011, GDV on a U.S. dollar converted basis increased 9% and 13%, respectively, versus GDV growth on a local currency basis of 15% and 17%.

During 2011 and the first half of 2012, approximately 60% of our revenue was generated from activities outside the United States. Some of the revenue we generate outside the United States is subject to unpredictable currency fluctuations (including devaluations of currencies). Our hedging activities attempt to protect against adverse changes in the value of only a limited number of currencies and are based on estimates of exposures to these currencies.

Furthermore, we may become subject to exchange control regulations that might restrict or prohibit the conversion of currencies into U.S. dollars. The occurrence of any of these factors could impact the value of revenues we receive from our international operations and could have a material adverse impact on our business. We manage these foreign currency risks by entering into foreign currency derivative contracts. To manage our anticipated cash flow exposures for certain currencies, we utilize a rolling hedge program to reduce the anticipated foreign exchange risk. Our foreign currency risk management program reduces, but does not entirely eliminate, the impact of foreign currency exchange rate movements over time. Changes in foreign exchange rates affect the fair value of foreign currency derivatives entered into pursuant to our hedging activities. Since the Company does not designate foreign currency derivatives as hedging instruments pursuant to the accounting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activity, any changes in the fair value of the foreign currency derivatives could result in gains or losses as the Company records these derivatives at fair value on a current basis. Foreign exchange gains and losses are included within our net income. See Note 13 (Foreign Exchange Risk Management) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report for further discussion.

Revenues

Revenue Descriptions

MasterCard's business model involves four participants in addition to us: cardholders, merchants, issuers (the cardholders' financial institutions) and acquirers (the merchants' financial institutions). Our gross revenues are typically based on the volume of activity on cards and other devices that carry our brands, the number of transactions we process for our customers or the nature of other payment-related services we provide to our customers. Our revenues are based upon transactional information accumulated by our systems or reported by our customers. Our primary revenue billing currencies are the U.S. dollar, euro and Brazilian real.

We process transactions denominated in more than 150 currencies through our global system, providing cardholders with the ability to utilize, and merchants to accept, MasterCard cards across multiple country borders. We process most of the cross-border transactions using MasterCard, Maestro and Cirrus-branded cards and process the majority of MasterCard-branded domestic transactions in the United States, United Kingdom, Canada, Brazil and a select number of other smaller countries.

Our pricing is complex and is dependent on the nature of the volumes, types of transactions and other products and services we offer to our customers. A combination of the following factors determines the pricing:

- Domestic or cross-border

- Signature-based (credit and debit) or PIN-based (debit, including automated teller machine ("ATM") cash withdrawals and retail purchases)

- Tiered pricing, with rates decreasing as customers meet incremental volume/transaction hurdles

- Geographic region or country

- Retail purchase or cash withdrawal

- Processed or not processed by MasterCard

In general, cross-border transactions generate higher revenue than domestic transactions since cross-border fees are higher than domestic fees, and in most cases also include fees for currency conversion.

We review our pricing and implement pricing changes on an ongoing basis. In addition, standard pricing varies among our regional businesses, and such pricing can be modified for our customers through incentive and rebate agreements. The Company classifies its net revenues into the following five categories:

Domestic assessments: Domestic assessments are fees charged to issuers and acquirers based primarily on the volume of activity on cards that carry our brands where the acquirer country and the issuer country are the same. A portion of these assessments is estimated based on aggregate transaction information collected from our systems and

Table of Contents

projected customer performance and is calculated by converting the aggregate volume of usage (purchases, cash disbursements, balance transfers and convenience checks) from local currency to the billing currency and then multiplying by the specific price. In addition, domestic assessments include items such as card assessments, which are fees charged on the number of cards issued or assessments for specific purposes, such as acceptance development or market development programs. Acceptance development fees are charged primarily to U.S. issuers based on components of volume, and support our focus on developing merchant relationships and promoting acceptance at the point of sale. Market development fees are charged primarily to issuers and acquirers based on components of volume, and support our focus on building brand awareness and card activation, increasing purchase volumes, cross-border card usage, and other general marketing purposes.

Cross-border volume fees: Cross-border volume fees are charged to issuers and acquirers based on the volume of activity on cards that carry our brands where the merchant country and the issuer country are different. Cross-border volume fees are calculated by converting the aggregate volume of usage (purchases and cash disbursements) from local currency to the billing currency and then multiplying by the specific price. Cross-border volume fees also include fees charged to issuers for performing currency conversion services.

Transaction processing fees: Transaction processing fees are charged for both domestic and cross-border transactions and are primarily based on the number of transactions. These fees are calculated by multiplying the number and type of transactions by the specific price for each service. Transaction processing fees include charges for the following:

Transaction Switching – Authorization, Clearing and Settlement.

Authorization refers to the process by which a transaction is routed to the issuer for approval and then a decision whether or not to approve the transaction is made by the issuer or, in certain circumstances such as when the issuer's systems are unavailable or cannot be contacted, by MasterCard or others on behalf of the issuer in accordance with either the issuer's instructions or applicable rules (also known as "stand-in"). Our standards, which may vary across regions, establish the circumstances under which merchants and acquirers must seek authorization of transactions. Fees for authorization are primarily paid by issuers.

Clearing refers to the exchange of financial transaction information between issuers and acquirers after a transaction has been successfully conducted at the point of interaction. MasterCard clears transactions among customers through our central and regional processing systems. MasterCard clearing solutions can be managed with minimal system development, which has enabled us to accelerate our customers' ability to develop customized programs and services. Fees for clearing are primarily paid by issuers.

Settlement. Once transactions have been authorized and cleared, MasterCard helps to settle the transactions by facilitating the exchange of funds between parties. Once clearing is completed, a daily reconciliation is provided to each customer involved in settlement, detailing the net amounts by clearing cycle and a final settlement position. Fees for settlement are primarily paid by issuers.

Connectivity fees are charged to issuers and acquirers for network access, equipment and the transmission of authorization and settlement messages. These fees are based on the size of the data being transmitted through and the number of connections to the Company's network.

Other revenues: Other revenues for other payment-related services are primarily dependent on the nature of the products or services provided to our customers but are also impacted by other factors, such as contractual agreements. Examples of other revenues are fees associated with the following:

Fraud products and services used to prevent or detect fraudulent transactions. This includes warning bulletin fees which are charged to issuers and acquirers for listing invalid or fraudulent accounts either electronically or in paper form and for distributing this listing to merchants.

Cardholder services fees are for benefits provided with MasterCard-branded cards, such as insurance, telecommunications assistance for lost cards and locating automated teller machines.

Consulting and research fees are primarily generated by MasterCard Advisors, the Company's professional advisory services group.

Program management services provided to prepaid card issuers. This primarily includes foreign exchange margin, commissions, load fees, and ATM withdrawal fees charged to cardholders on the sale and encashment

Table of Contents

of prepaid cards.

The Company also charges for a variety of other payment-related services, including rules compliance, account and transaction enhancement services, holograms and publications.

Rebates and incentives (contra-revenue): Rebates and incentives are provided to certain MasterCard customers and are recorded as contra-revenue in the same period that performance occurs. Performance periods vary depending on the type of rebate or incentive, including commitments to the agreement term, hurdles for volumes, transactions or issuance of new cards, launch of new programs, or the execution of marketing programs. Rebates and incentives are calculated based on estimated performance, the timing of new and renewed agreements and the terms of the related business agreements.

Revenue Analysis

Gross revenues increased \$280 million and \$649 million, or 13% and 16%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to increased dollar volume of activity on cards carrying our brands and increased transactions. Rebates and incentives increased \$127 million and \$239 million, or 24% for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011. Our net revenues increased 9% and 13% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011.

Our revenues are primarily based on transactions and volumes, which are impacted by the number of transactions and the dollar volume of activity on cards and other devices carrying our brands. For each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, our processed transactions increased 29% and our volumes increased 15% and 17% on a local currency basis, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011.

The effects of various pricing actions implemented in 2012 and 2011 contributed approximately 2 and 3 percentage points to our net revenue growth for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of revenues from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced net revenues by approximately 4 and 3 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. The significant components of our net revenues for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended		Percent	Six Months Ended		Percent
	June 30,		Increase	June 30,		Increase
	2012	2011	(Decrease)	2012	2011	(Decrease)
	(in millions, except percentages)					
Domestic assessments	\$893	\$809	10%	\$1,733	\$1,532	13%
Cross-border volume fees	566	511	11%	1,097	973	13%
Transaction processing fees	753	630	19%	1,467	1,220	20%
Other revenues	269	251	7%	531	454	17%
Gross revenues	2,481	2,201	13%	4,828	4,179	16%
Rebates and incentives (contra-revenues)	(661)	(534)	24%	(1,250)	(1,011)	24%
Net revenues	\$1,820	\$1,667	9%	\$3,578	\$3,168	13%

Domestic assessments – There was an increase in domestic assessments of 10% and 13% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to:

GDV increased 15% and 17% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when measured in local currency terms, and increased 9% and 13%, respectively, when measured on a U.S. dollar-converted basis versus the comparable periods in 2011.

Pricing changes implemented in 2012 and 2011 increased domestic assessments by approximately 1 and 2 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011.

The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of domestic assessments from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced domestic assessments revenue growth by approximately 4 and 3 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Cross-border volume fees – There was an increase in cross-border volume fees of 11% and 13% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to: Cross-border volumes increased 17% and 18% during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, when measured in local currency terms, and increased 9% and 12%, respectively, when measured on a U.S. dollar-converted basis versus the comparable periods in 2011.

The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of cross-border volume fees from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced cross-border volume fees revenue growth by approximately 4 and 2 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Transaction processing fees – There was an increase in transaction processing fees of 19% and 20% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to:

Processed transactions increased 29% for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, versus the comparable periods in 2011. The growth included the effects of new processing deals entered into over the past year and the impact of debit regulation in the United States. As a result, the mix of processed transactions has shifted to more PIN debit transactions which typically have a lower revenue yield than our historic average.

Various pricing changes implemented in 2012 and 2011 increased transaction processing fees revenue by approximately 3 and 4 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011.

The effects of connectivity fees and other non-switching transactions also contributed to the growth in transaction processing fees for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of transaction processing fees from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced transaction processing fees revenue growth by approximately 5 and 3 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Other revenues – Other revenues increased 7% and 17% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to:

Increases in other payment-related services for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and revenues from the April 2011 acquisition of Access Prepaid Worldwide (“Access”), without comparable revenues in the first quarter of 2011.

Pricing changes implemented in 2012 and 2011 increased other revenues by approximately 2 and 3 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011.

The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of other revenues from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced other revenues growth by approximately 3 percentage points for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

Rebates and incentives – Rebates and incentives increased 24% for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, versus the comparable periods in 2011. Rebates and incentives as a percentage of gross revenues were 27% and 26% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively. Rebates and incentives as a percentage of gross revenue were 24% for both the three and six months ended June 30, 2011. The amount of rebates and incentives increased primarily due to the following:

• New and renewed agreements and increased volumes.

The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of rebates and incentives from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced rebates and incentives growth by approximately 6 and 4 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Table of Contents

Operating Expenses

Operating expenses increased \$64 million, or 8%, and \$157 million, or 11%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to higher general and administrative expenses and an increase in provision for litigation settlement. Our operating expenses are comprised of general and administrative, advertising and marketing, depreciation and amortization and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, a provision for litigation settlement. The components of operating expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Percent Increase (Decrease)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Percent Increase (Decrease)
	2012	2011		2012	2011	
	(in millions, except percentages)					
General and administrative	\$591	\$540	10%	\$1,170	\$1,034	13%
Advertising and marketing	179	193	(7)%	304	322	(5)%
Depreciation and amortization	56	49	13%	110	91	21%
Provision for litigation settlement	20	—	*	20	—	*
Total operating expenses	\$846	\$782	8%	\$1,604	\$1,447	11%
Total operating expenses as a percentage of net revenues	47	% 47	%	45	% 46	%

* Not Meaningful

General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses increased \$51 million, or 10%, and \$136 million, or 13%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011, primarily due to an increase in personnel and other expenses. The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of general and administrative expenses from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced general and administrative expenses by approximately 2 percentage points for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012.

The major components of general and administrative expenses for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were as follows:

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Percent Increase (Decrease)	Six Months Ended June 30,		Percent Increase (Decrease)
	2012	2011		2012	2011	
	(in millions, except percentages)					
Personnel	\$388	\$347	12%	\$765	\$680	12%
Professional fees	60	62	(4)%	108	114	(5)%
Data processing and telecommunications	49	42	15%	96	82	17%
Foreign exchange activity	(8) (6) 27%	5	(4) *
Other	102	95	9%	196	162	22%
General and administrative expenses	\$591	\$540	10%	\$1,170	\$1,034	13%

* Not Meaningful

Personnel expense increased 12% for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, versus the comparable periods in 2011. The increase was primarily due to higher salary and benefits costs, including increased compensation related to an increase in the number of employees to support the Company's strategic initiatives.

Foreign exchange activity includes gains and losses on foreign exchange derivative contracts and the impact of remeasurement of assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies. See Note 13 (Foreign Exchange Risk

Management) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report. Since the Company does not designate foreign currency derivatives as hedging instruments pursuant to the accounting standards for derivative instruments and hedging activities, it records gains and losses on foreign exchange derivatives on a current basis in general and administrative expenses, with the associated offset being recognized as the exposures materialize.

Table of Contents

Other expenses include travel and entertainment expenses, rental expense for our facilities, cardholder services expenses, certain operational expenses associated with the operations of Access, and other miscellaneous operating expenses. Other expenses increased for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 versus the comparable periods in 2011 primarily due to the increased expenses associated with the Access operations, for which the Company did not have comparable expenses in the first quarter of 2011, and other increased operating expenses associated with expanding business operations.

Advertising and Marketing

Our brands, principally MasterCard, are valuable strategic assets that drive card acceptance and usage and facilitate our ability to successfully introduce new service offerings and access new markets globally. Our advertising and marketing strategy is to increase global MasterCard brand awareness, preference and usage through integrated advertising, sponsorship, promotional, interactive media and public relations programs on a global scale. We will continue to invest in marketing programs at the regional and local levels and sponsor diverse events aimed at multiple target audiences. Advertising and marketing expenses decreased \$14 million, or 7%, and \$18 million, or 5%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011 mainly due to the impact of foreign currency and the timing of promotional initiatives. The net impact of foreign currency relating to the translation of advertising and marketing expenses from our functional currencies to U.S. dollars reduced advertising and marketing expenses by approximately 5 and 3 percentage points for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization expenses increased \$7 million, or 13%, and \$19 million, or 21%, for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, versus the comparable periods in 2011. The increases in depreciation and amortization expenses reflected increased capitalized software associated with strategic projects and the amortization of intangible assets from the 2011 acquisition of Access.

Provision for Litigation Settlement

On July 13, 2012, the Company announced that it had agreed to a memorandum of understanding to settle the U.S. merchant litigations. During the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, the Company accrued an additional \$20 million pre-tax charge related to the U.S. merchant litigations. MasterCard previously recorded a \$770 million pre-tax charge in the fourth quarter of 2011 related to the U.S. merchant litigations. The total accrued liability of \$790 million represents an estimate of the Company's financial liability to settle the U.S. merchant litigations. There were no comparable charges in the first half of 2011. See Note 11 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report for further discussion.

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) is comprised primarily of investment income, interest expense, our share of income (losses) from equity method investments and other gains and losses. The decrease of \$8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 in other net expenses versus the comparable period in 2011 was primarily due to incremental expenses from equity method investments, a decrease in interest income and an increase in interest expense from changes in uncertain tax positions, partially offset by a decrease in the interest expense resulting from non-recurring interest accretion on litigation settlements.

The decrease of \$9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 in other net expenses versus the comparable period in 2011 was primarily due to incremental expenses from equity method investments and a decrease in interest income, partially offset by a decrease in the interest expense resulting from non-recurring interest accretion on litigation settlements.

Income Taxes

The effective income tax rates were 28.0% and 31.8% for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and 30.0% and 32.3% for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The tax rates for each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 were lower than the tax rates in each of the three and six months ended June 30, 2011 due primarily to discrete benefits relating to additional export incentives and the conclusion of tax examinations in certain jurisdictions. A more favorable geographic mix of earnings also contributed to the lower tax rates in each period.

Table of Contents

In 2010, in connection with the expansion of the Company's operations in the Asia Pacific, Middle East and Africa region, the Company's subsidiary in Singapore, MasterCard Asia Pacific Pte. Ltd. ("MAPPL"), received an incentive grant from the Singapore Ministry of Finance at the recommendation of the Singapore Economic Development Board. The incentive had provided MAPPL with, among other benefits, a reduced income tax rate for the 10-year period commencing January 1, 2010 on taxable income in excess of a base amount. The Company continued to explore business opportunities in this region, resulting in an expansion of the incentives being granted by the Ministry of Finance, including a further reduction to the income tax rate on taxable income in excess of a revised fixed base amount commencing July 1, 2011 and continuing through December 31, 2025. Without the incentive grant, MAPPL would be subject to the statutory income tax rate on its earnings.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

We need liquidity and access to capital to fund our global operations, to provide for credit and settlement risk, to finance capital expenditures, to make continued investments in our business and to service our potential litigation obligations. At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, we had \$5.0 billion and \$4.9 billion, respectively, of cash and cash equivalents and current available-for-sale securities to use for our operations.

In June 2012, the Company's Board of Directors approved a new share repurchase program authorizing the Company to repurchase up to \$1.5 billion of its Class A common stock (the "New Program"). The New Program became effective in June 2012 at the completion of the Company's previously announced \$2 billion Class A share repurchase program. During the six months ended June 30, 2012, MasterCard repurchased a total of approximately 2.3 million shares for \$919 million at an average price of \$404.65 per share of Class A common stock. These repurchased shares are considered treasury stock. The timing and actual number of additional shares repurchased will depend on a variety of factors, including legal requirements, price, and economic and market conditions. See Note 7 (Stockholders' Equity) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report and Note 15 (Stockholders' Equity) in Part II, Item 8 of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 for further discussion.

The Company believes that its existing cash balances, its cash flow generating capabilities, its borrowing capacity and its access to capital resources are sufficient to satisfy its future operating cash needs, capital asset purchases, outstanding commitments and other liquidity requirements associated with its existing operations and potential litigation obligations. Cash and cash equivalents and current available-for-sale investment securities held by our foreign subsidiaries (i.e., any entities where earnings would be subject to U.S. tax upon repatriation) was \$2.2 billion and \$1.8 billion at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively, or 45% and 37% of our total cash and cash equivalents and current available-for-sale investment securities as of such dates. It is our present intention to permanently reinvest the undistributed earnings associated with our foreign subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 outside of the United States (as disclosed in Note 19 (Income Taxes) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011), and our current plans do not require repatriation of these earnings. If these earnings are needed for U.S. operations or can no longer be permanently reinvested outside of the United States, the Company would be subject to U.S. tax upon repatriation.

Our liquidity and access to capital could be negatively impacted by global credit market conditions and the outcome of any of the legal or regulatory proceedings to which we are a party. See Item 1A (Risk Factors) in Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 and Part II, Item 1A, (Risk Factors) of this report. See also Note 11 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report; and "-Business Environment" for additional discussion of these and other risks facing our business. Additionally, our liquidity could be affected by the failure of customers to meet their settlement obligations. See Note 12 (Settlement and Other Risk Management) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report and "-Business Environment" for further discussion.

Table of Contents

Cash Flow

The table below shows a summary of the cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities for the six months ended June 30:

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2012	2011
	(in millions)	
Cash Flow Data:		
Net cash provided by operating activities	\$1,068	\$893
Net cash used in investing activities	(690)	(214)
Net cash used in financing activities	(922)	(1,083)

The table below shows a summary of the balance sheet data at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011:

	June 30,	December
	2012	31, 2011
	(in millions)	
Balance Sheet Data:		
Current assets	\$8,283	\$7,741
Current liabilities	4,307	4,217
Long-term liabilities	657	599
Equity	6,276	5,877

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was \$1.1 billion versus \$893 million for the comparable period in 2011. Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was primarily due to net income, partially offset by the net change in customer settlements and an increase in prepaid expenses. Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 was primarily due to net income, partially offset by litigation settlement payments.

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 primarily related to the purchases of investment securities, partially offset by net proceeds from sales and maturities of investment securities. Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2011 primarily related to the acquisition of Access, partially offset by proceeds from maturities of investment securities.

Net cash used in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011 primarily related to the repurchase of the Company's Class A common stock and dividend payments to our stockholders.

Dividends

On June 5, 2012, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.30 per share payable on August 9, 2012 to holders of record on July 9, 2012 of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock. The aggregate amount of this dividend is estimated to be \$37 million. On February 7, 2012, our Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.30 per share payable on May 9, 2012 to holders of record on April 9, 2012 of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock. The aggregate amount of this dividend was \$38 million. This represents an increase in our historical quarterly cash dividend of \$0.15 per share, with aggregate payments for quarterly dividends having totaled \$38 million and \$19 million for the three months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Aggregate payments for quarterly dividends totaled \$57 million and \$39 million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Subject to legally available funds, we intend to continue to pay a quarterly cash dividend on our outstanding Class A common stock and Class B common stock. However, the declaration and payment of future dividends is at the sole

discretion of our Board of Directors after taking into account various factors, including our financial condition, operating results, available cash and current and anticipated cash needs.

Credit Availability

On November 22, 2011, the Company extended its committed unsecured revolving credit facility, dated as of November 22, 2010 (the "Credit Facility"), for an additional year. The expiration date of the Credit Facility is November 21, 2014. The available

Table of Contents

funding under the Credit Facility will remain at \$2.75 billion through November 22, 2013 and then decrease to \$2.35 billion during the final year of the Credit Facility agreement. Other terms and conditions in the Credit Facility remain unchanged. The Company's option to request that each lender under the Credit Facility extend its commitment was provided pursuant to the original terms of the Credit Facility agreement. MasterCard had no borrowings under the Credit Facility at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011.

Borrowings under the Credit Facility are available to provide liquidity for general corporate purposes, including providing liquidity in the event of one or more settlement failures by the Company's customers. In addition, for business continuity planning and related purposes, we may borrow and repay amounts under the Credit Facility from time to time. The facility fee and borrowing cost under the Credit Facility are contingent upon the Company's credit rating. At June 30, 2012, the applicable facility fee was 20 basis points on the average daily commitment (whether or not utilized). In addition to the facility fee, interest on borrowings under the Credit Facility would be charged at the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin of 130 basis points or an alternate base rate plus 30 basis points.

The Credit Facility contains customary representations, warranties and affirmative and negative covenants, including a maximum level of consolidated debt to earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) financial covenant and events of default. MasterCard was in compliance with the covenants of the Credit Facility at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011. The majority of Credit Facility lenders are customers or affiliates of customers of MasterCard.

On November 4, 2009, the Company filed a universal shelf registration statement to provide additional access to capital, if needed. Pursuant to the shelf registration statement, the Company may from time to time offer to sell debt securities, preferred stock or Class A common stock in one or more offerings.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than settlement guarantees issued in the normal course of business, it is not our business practice to enter into off-balance sheet arrangements, see Note 12 (Settlement and Other Risk Management) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report. MasterCard has no off-balance sheet debt other than lease arrangements and other commitments as presented in the future obligations table in Item 7 (Liquidity and Capital Resources) in Part II of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Fair value measurement and disclosure - The Company measures certain assets and liabilities at fair value on a recurring basis by estimating the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The Company classifies these recurring fair value measurements into a three-level hierarchy ("Valuation Hierarchy") and discloses the significant assumptions utilized in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value.

In May 2011, the fair value accounting guidance was amended to change fair value measurement principles and disclosure requirements. The key changes in measurement principles include limiting the concepts of highest and best use and valuation premise to nonfinancial assets, providing a framework for considering whether a premium or discount can be applied in a fair value measurement, and aligning the fair value measurement of instruments classified within an entity's shareholders' equity with the guidance for liabilities. Disclosures are required for all transfers between Levels 1 and 2 within the Valuation Hierarchy, the use of a nonfinancial asset measured at fair value if its use differs from its highest and best use, the level in the Valuation Hierarchy of assets and liabilities not recorded at fair value but for which fair value is required to be disclosed, and for Level 3 measurements, quantitative information about unobservable inputs used, a description of the valuation processes used, and qualitative discussion about the sensitivity of the measurements. The Company adopted the revised accounting standard effective January 1, 2012 via

prospective adoption, as required. The adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Comprehensive income - In June 2011, a new accounting standard was issued that amends existing guidance by allowing only two options for presenting the components of net income and other comprehensive income: (1) in a single continuous statement of comprehensive income or (2) in two separate but consecutive financial statements, consisting of an income statement followed by a separate statement of other comprehensive income. Also, items that are reclassified from other comprehensive income to net income must be presented on the face of the financial statements. In December 2011, a new accounting standard was issued that indefinitely deferred the effective date for the requirement to present the reclassification of items from comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements. Both standards require retrospective application, and are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011, with early adoption permitted. The Company adopted the revised accounting standards effective January 1, 2012. The adoption had no impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Market risk is the potential for economic losses to be incurred on market risk sensitive instruments arising from adverse changes in market factors such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and equity price risk. Our exposure to market risk from changes in interest rates, foreign exchange rates and equity price risk is limited. Management establishes and oversees the implementation of policies governing our funding, investments and use of derivative financial instruments. We monitor risk exposures on an ongoing basis. The effect of a hypothetical 10% adverse change in foreign currency rates could result in a fair value loss of approximately \$129 million on our foreign currency derivative contracts outstanding at June 30, 2012. There were no material changes in our market risk exposures related to interest rates and equity price risk at June 30, 2012 as compared to December 31, 2011. See Note 12 (Settlement and Other Risk Management) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report for further discussion. The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act in the United States includes provisions related to derivative financial instruments and the Company is determining what impact, if any, such provisions will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

MasterCard Incorporated's management, including the President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, carried out an evaluation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) as of the end of the period covered by this Report. Any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives. Based on that evaluation, the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that MasterCard Incorporated's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this Report at the reasonable assurance level to accomplish their objectives of (i) recording, processing, summarizing and reporting information that is required to be disclosed in its reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and (ii) ensuring that information required to be disclosed in such reports is accumulated and communicated to MasterCard Incorporated's management, including its President and Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

In connection with the evaluation by the Company's President and Chief Executive Officer and its Chief Financial Officer of changes in internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the Company's last fiscal quarter, no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting was identified that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Other Financial Information

With respect to the unaudited consolidated financial information of MasterCard Incorporated and its subsidiaries as of June 30, 2012 and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP reported that they have applied limited procedures in accordance with professional standards for a review of such information. However, their report dated August 1, 2012 appearing below, states that they did not audit and they do not express an opinion on that unaudited financial information. Accordingly, the degree of reliance on their report on such information should be restricted in light of the limited nature of the review procedures applied.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is not subject to the liability provisions of Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Act") for their report on the unaudited consolidated financial information because that report is not a "report" or a "part" of a registration statement prepared or certified by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP within the meaning of Sections 7 and 11 of the Act.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm
To the Board of Directors and Shareholders
of MasterCard Incorporated:

We have reviewed the accompanying consolidated balance sheet of MasterCard Incorporated and its subsidiaries (the "Company") as of June 30, 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive income for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and the consolidated statement of changes in equity for the six-month period ended June 30, 2012, and the consolidated statement of cash flows for the six-month periods ended June 30, 2012 and 2011. These interim financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the accompanying consolidated interim financial information for them to be in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

We previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, and the related consolidated statement of operations, of comprehensive income, of changes in equity, and of cash flows for the year then ended (not presented herein), and in our report dated February 16, 2012, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the information set forth in the accompanying consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, is fairly stated in all material respects in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
New York, New York
August 1, 2012

Table of Contents

PART II

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

Refer to Note 11 (Legal and Regulatory Proceedings) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following risk factor supplements the risk factors set forth in Item 1A (Risk Factors) in Part I of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011 (the "Form 10-K"):

Our recent Memorandum of Understanding to settle the current U.S. merchant class litigation sets out a binding obligation to enter into a settlement agreement that would, among other things, require the Company and Visa to permit U.S. merchants, subject to certain conditions, to surcharge credit cards, which could impact the use of electronic payments and result in a decrease in the Company's overall transaction volumes and could in turn materially and adversely impact the Company's profitability.

MasterCard has historically implemented policies, referred to as no-surcharge rules, in certain regions, including the United States, that prohibit merchants from charging higher prices to consumers who pay using MasterCard products instead of other means. As part of the terms of the settlement of the U.S. merchant class litigation attached to a Memorandum of Understanding entered into by the Company in July 2012, the Company and Visa would be required to modify their no-surcharge or comparable rules to permit U.S. merchants to surcharge credit cards, subject to certain limitations (including ensuring that MasterCard or Visa cardholders are not unfairly subject to surcharging relative to cardholders of competing credit card networks such as American Express, Discover and PayPal, should those networks enforce rules that restrict surcharging). It is possible that over time, and following the settlement becoming final, U.S. merchants in some or all merchant categories may choose to surcharge as permitted by the rule change, which could make credit card programs less desirable to consumers in the United States. In the event that such merchants surcharge credit cards, this could result in consumers using alternative means of payment instead of electronic products, which could result in a decrease in the Company's overall transaction volumes, and which in turn could materially and adversely impact the Company's profitability.

For a discussion of the Company's additional risk factors, see Item 1A (Risk Factors) in Part I of the Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

During the second quarter of 2012, MasterCard repurchased a total of approximately 1.6 million shares for \$671 million at an average price of \$414.72 per share of Class A common stock. See Note 7 (Stockholders' Equity) to the consolidated financial statements included in Part I, Item 1 of this Report for further discussion with respect to the Company's share repurchase programs. The Company's repurchase activity during the second quarter of 2012 consisted of open market share repurchases and is summarized in the following table:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share (including commission cost)	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Dollar Value of Shares that may yet be Purchased under the Plans or Programs ¹
April 1 – 30	121,582	\$432.25	121,582	\$ 552,195,930

Edgar Filing: EchoStar CORP - Form SC 13G/A

May 1 – 31	681,188	\$414.71	681,188	\$ 269,698,600
June 1 – 30	815,268	\$412.11	815,268	\$ 1,433,722,196
Total	1,618,038	\$414.72	1,618,038	

¹ Dollar value of shares that may yet be purchased under the Repurchase Programs is as of the end of the period.

Item 6. Exhibits

Refer to the Exhibit Index included herein.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

MASTERCARD INCORPORATED
(Registrant)

Date: August 1, 2012

By: /S/ AJAY BANGA
Ajay Banga
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

Date: August 1, 2012

By: /S/ MARTINA HUND-MEJEAN
Martina Hund-Mejean
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)

Date: August 1, 2012

By: /S/ ANDREA FORSTER
Andrea Forster
Corporate Controller
(Principal Accounting Officer)

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Exhibit Description
10.1+	MasterCard Incorporated 2006 Long Term Plan, amended and restated effective June 5, 2012.
10.2+	2006 Non-Employee Director Equity Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective June 5, 2012.
10.3+	Schedule of Non-Employee Directors' Annual Compensation (effective as of June 5, 2012).
10.4+	Form of Restricted Stock Agreement for awards under 2006 Non-Employee Director Equity Compensation Plan, amended and restated effective June 5, 2012.
10.5+	Amended and Restated MasterCard International Incorporated Executive Severance Plan, amended and restated as of June 5, 2012.
10.6+	Amended and Restated MasterCard International Incorporated Change in Control Severance Plan, amended and restated as of June 5, 2012.
10.7	Memorandum of Understanding, dated July 13, 2012, by and among Counsel for MasterCard Incorporated and MasterCard International Incorporated; Counsel for Visa, Inc., Visa U.S.A. Inc. and Visa International Service Association; Co-Lead Counsel for Class Plaintiffs; and Attorneys for the Defendant Banks (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Company's Current Report on Form 8-K filed July 16, 2012 (File No. 001-32877)).
12.1	Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
15	Awareness Letter from the Company's Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certification of Ajay Banga, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Martina Hund-Mejean, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a), as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Ajay Banga, President and Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Martina Hund-Mejean, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Scheme Document
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document

101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document

+ Management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements.

Any agreements or other documents filed as exhibits to this report are not intended to provide factual information or other disclosure other than with respect to the terms of the agreements or other documents themselves, and should not be relied upon for that purpose. In particular, any representations and warranties made by the Company in these agreements or other documents were made solely within the specific context of the relevant agreement or document and may not describe the actual state of affairs as of the date they were made or at any other time.