

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.
Form 10-K
February 25, 2014
[Table of Contents](#)

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number 001-32319

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

20-1296886
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

120 Vantis, Suite 350
Aliso Viejo, California
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

92656
(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: **(949) 330-4000**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange
Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value	New York Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant based upon the closing sale price of the registrant's common stock on June 30, 2013 as reported on the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) was approximately \$1.9 billion. The registrant had no non-voting common equity outstanding on such date. This amount excludes 2,306,010 shares of the registrant's common stock held by the executive officers and directors. Exclusion of such shares should not be construed to indicate that any such person possesses the power, direct or indirect, to direct or cause the direction of the management or policies of the registrant or that such person is controlled by or under common control with the registrant.

The number of shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding as of February 14, 2014 was 182,860,469.

Documents Incorporated by Reference

Part III of this Report incorporates by reference information from the definitive Proxy Statement for the registrant's 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

Table of Contents

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

ANNUAL REPORT ON

FORM 10-K

For the Year Ended December 31, 2013

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page	
PART I		
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Business</u>	3
<u>Item 1A</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	11
<u>Item 1B</u>	<u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u>	28
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Properties</u>	28
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>Legal Proceedings</u>	29
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u>	29
PART II		
<u>Item 5</u>	<u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u>	30
<u>Item 6</u>	<u>Selected Financial Data</u>	31
<u>Item 7</u>	<u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	32
<u>Item 7A</u>	<u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	61
<u>Item 8</u>	<u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u>	61
<u>Item 9</u>	<u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u>	61
<u>Item 9A</u>	<u>Controls and Procedures</u>	61
<u>Item 9B</u>	<u>Other Information</u>	63
PART III		
<u>Item 10</u>	<u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u>	63
<u>Item 11</u>	<u>Executive Compensation</u>	63
<u>Item 12</u>	<u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u>	63
<u>Item 13</u>	<u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u>	63
<u>Item 14</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u>	63
PART IV		
<u>Item 15</u>	<u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u>	63
SIGNATURES		67

Table of Contents

The Company means Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., a Maryland corporation, and one or more of its subsidiaries, including Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, or the Operating Partnership, and Sunstone Hotel TRS Lessee, Inc., or the TRS Lessee, and, as the context may require, Sunstone Hotel Investors only or the Operating Partnership only.

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This report, together with other statements and information publicly disseminated by the Company, contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. The Company intends such forward-looking statements to be covered by the safe harbor provisions for forward-looking statements contained in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and includes this statement for purposes of complying with these safe harbor provisions. Forward-looking statements, which are based on certain assumptions and describe the Company's future plans, strategies and expectations, are generally identifiable by use of the words believe, expect, intend, anticipate, estimate, project or similar expressions. You should not rely on forward-looking statements because they involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that are, in some cases, beyond the Company's control and which could materially affect actual results, performances or achievements. Factors that may cause actual results to differ materially from current expectations include, but are not limited to the risk factors discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Accordingly, there is no assurance that the Company's expectations will be realized. Except as otherwise required by the federal securities laws, the Company disclaims any obligations or undertaking to publicly release any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement contained herein (or elsewhere) to reflect any change in the Company's expectations with regard thereto or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which any such statement is based.

Item 1. Business

Our Company

We were incorporated in Maryland on June 28, 2004. We are a real estate investment trust, or REIT, under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code). As of December 31, 2013, we had interests in 29 hotels (the 29 hotels). The 29 hotels are comprised of 13,744 rooms, located in 12 states and in Washington, D.C.

Our primary business is to acquire, own, asset manage and renovate full-service hotel properties in the United States. As part of our ongoing portfolio management strategy, we may also sell certain hotel properties from time to time. All but one (the Boston Park Plaza) of the 29 hotels are operated under nationally recognized brands such as Marriott, Hilton, Hyatt, Fairmont and Sheraton. Our portfolio primarily consists of upper upscale hotels in the United States. As of December 31, 2013, our 29 hotels include two luxury hotels, 26 upper upscale hotels and one upscale hotel. The classifications luxury, upper upscale and upscale are defined by Smith Travel Research, an independent provider of lodging industry statistical data. Smith Travel Research classifies hotel chains into the following segments: luxury; upper upscale; upscale; upper midscale; midscale; economy; and independent.

Our hotels are operated by third-party managers pursuant to long-term management agreements with our TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2013, our third-party managers included: subsidiaries of Marriott International, Inc. or Marriott Hotel Services, Inc. (collectively Marriott), managers of 10 of the Company's 29 hotels; a subsidiary of Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc. (IHR), manager of six of the Company's

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

29 hotels; Highgate Hotels L.P. and an affiliate (Highgate), manager of four of the Company s 29 hotels; Davidson Hotels & Resorts (Davidson), Hilton Worldwide (Hilton) and Hyatt Corporation (Hyatt), each a manager of two of the Company s 29 hotels; and Crestline Hotels & Resorts (Crestline), Dimension Development Company (Dimension) and Fairmont Hotels & Resorts (U.S.) (Fairmont), each a manager of one of the Company s 29 hotels. In addition, we own BuyEfficient, LLC (BuyEfficient), an electronic purchasing platform that allows members to procure food, operating supplies, furniture, fixtures and equipment.

Competitive Strengths

We believe the following competitive strengths distinguish us from other owners of lodging properties:

- **Strong Access to Capital.** As a publicly traded REIT, over the long-term, we may benefit from greater access to a variety of forms of capital as compared to non-public investment vehicles.
- **Low Cost of Capital.** As a publicly traded REIT, over the long-term, we may benefit from a lower cost of capital as compared to non-public investment vehicles as a result of our liquidity, professional management and portfolio diversification.

Table of Contents

- **Significant Cash Position.** As of December 31, 2013, we had total cash of \$193.7 million, including \$89.3 million of restricted cash. By minimizing our need to access external capital by maintaining higher than typical cash balances, our financial security and flexibility are meaningfully enhanced because we are able to fund our business needs and debt maturities partially with our cash. As we believe the lodging cycle is in the middle phase of a potentially prolonged cyclical recovery, we may deploy a portion of our excess cash balance in 2014 towards debt repayments and repurchases, selective acquisitions and capital investments in our portfolio. In addition, as we have made progress on our core objective to improve the quality and scale of our portfolio while gradually deleveraging our balance sheet, we have reinstated a cash quarterly dividend on our common shares.

- **Flexible Capital Structure.** We believe our capital structure provides us with appropriate financial flexibility to execute our strategy. As of December 31, 2013, the weighted average term to maturity of our debt is approximately four years, and 70.7% of our debt is fixed rate with a weighted average interest rate of 5.4%. Including our variable-rate debt obligations based on variable rates at December 31, 2013, the weighted average interest rate on our debt is 4.9%. All of our mortgage debt is in the form of single asset non-recourse loans rather than in cross-collateralized multi-property pools. We currently believe this structure is appropriate for the operating characteristics of our business as it isolates risk and provides flexibility for various portfolio management initiatives, including the sale of individual hotels subject to existing debt.

- **Low Leverage.** Over the past two years, we have been committed to thoughtfully and methodically reducing our leverage while maintaining a focus on creating and protecting stockholder value. As of December 31, 2013, our debt-to-EBITDA ratio (including our preferred equity as debt) is 5.4 to 1, down from 6.7 to 1 as of December 31, 2011. See Part II Item 7 for a reconciliation of net income to EBITDA. We believe that by achieving low leverage and high financial flexibility by the time the current cycle peaks, we will position the Company to create value during the next successive cyclical trough by acquiring distressed assets or securities.

- **High Quality Portfolio.**

Presence in Key Markets. We believe that our hotels are located in desirable markets with major demand generators and significant barriers to entry for new supply. In 2013, approximately 92% of the revenues generated by the 29 hotels were earned by hotels located in key gateway markets such as Boston, New York, Washington, D.C./Baltimore, Chicago, Orlando, New Orleans, San Francisco, Los Angeles, Orange County and San Diego. Over time, we expect the revenues of hotels located in key gateway markets to grow more quickly than the average for U.S. hotels as a result of stronger economic drivers as well as higher levels of international travel.

Upper Upscale and Upscale Concentration. The upper upscale and upscale segments, which represented approximately 94% of the hotel revenue generated by the 29 hotels during 2013, tend to outperform the lodging industry, particularly in the recovery phase of the lodging cycle. As of December 31, 2013, the hotels comprising our 29 hotel portfolio averaged 474 rooms in size, and our total 28 hotel Comparable Portfolio RevPAR was \$148.09 for the year ended December 31, 2013.

Nationally Recognized Brands. All but one (the Boston Park Plaza) of the 29 hotels are operated under nationally recognized brands, including Marriott, Hilton, Hyatt, Fairmont and Sheraton. We believe that affiliations with strong brands improve the appeal of our hotels to a broad set of travelers and help to drive business to our hotels.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Recently Renovated Hotels. From January 1, 2009 through December 31, 2013, we invested \$362.9 million in capital renovations throughout the 29 hotels. We believe that these capital renovations have improved the competitiveness of our hotels and have helped to position our portfolio for future growth.

- **Seasoned Management Team.** Each of our core disciplines, asset management, acquisitions and finance, are overseen by industry leaders with demonstrated track records.

Asset Management. Our asset management team is responsible for maximizing the long-term value of our real estate investments by achieving above average revenue and profit performance through proactive oversight of hotel operations. Our asset management team leads property-level innovation, benchmarks best practices and aggressively oversees hotel management teams and property plans. We work with our operators to develop hotel-level master plans, which include positioning and capital renovation plans. We believe that a proactive asset management program can help to grow the revenues of our hotel portfolio and maximize operational efficiency by leveraging best practices and innovations across our various hotels, and by initiating well-timed focused capital improvements aimed at improving the appeal of our hotels.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions. Our acquisitions team is responsible for enhancing our portfolio quality and scale by executing well-timed acquisitions and dispositions that maximize our risk-adjusted return on invested capital. We believe that our significant acquisition and disposition experience will allow us to continue to execute our cycle-appropriate strategy to redeploy capital from slower growth to higher growth hotels. From the date of our initial public offering through December 31, 2013, we acquired interests in 25 hotel properties and sold 42 hotel properties. Pursuant to our cycle-appropriate strategy, our focus shifted from acquisitions to dispositions in 2007, 2008 and for the majority of 2009 as the lodging cycle peaked and experienced a down cycle. Towards the later part of 2009 and into 2010 and 2011, our focus shifted to the selective acquisition of upper upscale hotels as we entered a recovery phase in the lodging cycle. In light of increasing demand for lodging and the generally limited supply of new hotel development, we believe we are currently in the middle phase of a cyclical lodging recovery. Hotels acquired during the early stages of past cyclical recoveries have benefited from multi-year increases in profitability. Accordingly, during the past three years, we selectively acquired interests in eight hotels: the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011; the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011; the Hilton San Diego Bayfront in April 2011; the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile in June 2012; the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile in July 2012; the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles in May 2013; the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013; and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco in December 2013. We plan to maintain this focus of selective acquisitions in 2014, as we believe our industry relationships may create opportunities for us to acquire individual hotel assets at attractive values. Meanwhile, we will also focus on capital recycling, and may selectively sell hotels that we believe have lower growth prospects, have significant ongoing capital needs or are encumbered with larger debt balances.

Finance. We have a highly experienced finance team focused on minimizing our cost of capital and maximizing our financial flexibility by proactively managing our capital structure and opportunistically sourcing appropriate capital for growth, while maintaining a best in class disclosure and investor relations program. We remain committed to thoughtfully and methodically reducing leverage while maintaining a focus on creating and protecting stockholder value. During 2013, we reduced our total mortgage debt by \$105.8 million through amortization, debt repayments and extinguishment. In addition, in March 2013, we redeemed all 7,050,000 shares of our 8.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series A preferred stock) for an aggregate redemption price of \$178.6 million, and in May 2013, we redeemed all 4,102,564 shares of our Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series C preferred stock) for an aggregate redemption price of \$101.1 million. The Series A preferred stock and Series C preferred stock redemptions effectively offset the leverage we took on pursuant to the Boston Park Plaza acquisition, which included the assumption of a \$119.2 million non-recourse 4.4% fixed-rate mortgage.

Business Strategy

Our mission is to create meaningful value for our stockholders by becoming the premier hotel owner. Our values include transparency, trust, ethical conduct, communication and discipline. As demand for lodging generally fluctuates with the overall economy (we refer to these changes in demand as the lodging cycle), we seek to employ a balanced, cycle-appropriate corporate strategy. Our strategy over the next several years, during what we believe will be the middle/mature phase of the lodging cycle, is to improve the quality and scale of our portfolio while gradually deleveraging our balance sheet. Our goal is to achieve low leverage and high financial flexibility by the time the current cycle peaks. We believe if we are successful in executing on this strategy, we will position the Company to create value during the next successive cyclical trough by acquiring distressed assets or securities. Our strategy encompasses several elements, including proactive portfolio management, intensive asset management, disciplined external growth and measured balance sheet improvement as detailed below:

- **Proactive Portfolio Management.** The leaders of each of our core disciplines function as a portfolio management team. The portfolio management team's purpose is to strategically maximize the long-term value of our assets by enhancing our portfolio quality and scale, optimizing our exposure to key markets, and improving the effectiveness and efficiency of our decision making. Accordingly, the team is responsible for developing a portfolio-wide strategy related to brand and operator relationships, asset quality and scale, target markets, capital investments, and portfolio capitalizations. Our portfolio strategy may also include the disposition of certain hotels.

- **Intensive Asset Management.** Through all phases of the lodging cycle, our strategy emphasizes internal growth and value enhancements through proactive asset management, which entails working closely with our third-party hotel operators to develop and implement long-term strategic plans for each hotel designed to enhance revenues, minimize operational expenses and asset risk, maximize the appeal of our hotels to travelers and maximize our return on invested capital. We also focus on improving the appeal and growth potential of our existing hotels through internally-managed hotel renovations.

Table of Contents

- **Disciplined External Growth.** By gradually increasing the scale and quality of our portfolio, we may provide our stockholders with greater exposure to key growth markets, improved liquidity and broader access to value-adding transactions. Accordingly, our strategy emphasizes disciplined external growth during the recovery phase of the lodging cycle. Our external growth plan is oriented around investing in institutional-quality hotels that generate returns in excess of our cost of capital, that are additive to the quality of our portfolio, that have attractive growth potential and that may benefit from our asset management competencies. We endeavor to structure our acquisitions in ways that will not only increase the value of our shares of common stock, but also will advance our other corporate objectives, such as improving our financial flexibility and reducing our leverage. During periods of cyclical decline, our strategy may emphasize opportunistically investing in distressed assets and the repurchase of our equity or debt securities. In addition to hotel acquisitions, we may seek to grow our portfolio by making investments in defaulted and/or distressed debt positions in loan-to-own hotel transactions, utilizing our REIT structure to effect strategic combinations with select property owners, effecting portfolio purchases from institutional and other owners seeking portfolio liquidity, and by providing capital solutions to illiquid owners facing debt maturities or capital requirements.
- **Measured Balance Sheet Improvement.** We believe that a low overall cost of capital and significant financial flexibility are very important to the successful execution of our strategy. Our balance sheet strategy is oriented toward maximizing financial flexibility especially during cyclical declines. Accordingly, our financial objectives include the measured improvement of our credit ratios and the maintenance of appropriate levels of liquidity throughout the cyclical recovery phase. Our financial objectives are integral to our overall corporate strategy and, accordingly, we have developed our financial objectives in conjunction with our portfolio management and growth objectives. The lodging industry is economically sensitive. Therefore, our financial objectives are aimed at reducing the potentially negative impact of combining high operating leverage with high financial leverage, while preserving access to multiple capital sources and minimizing our weighted-average cost of capital. We seek to capitalize our acquisitions in a way that will advance our financial objectives. During the mature phase of the lodging cycle, our financial objectives may include increasing our liquidity position as a means to enhance financial flexibility in the event of a subsequent period of cyclical decline. Our liquidity improvement objective may be accomplished through selective hotel dispositions, capital raises or by retaining excess cash generated by our operations.

Through our industry relationships, our knowledge and experience in both real estate and REIT issues, our extensive experience in acquiring and upgrading hotel properties, as well as our financial structuring capabilities, flexible capital structure, strong liquidity position and REIT structure, we believe we are well positioned to create meaningful value to our stockholders.

Competition

The hotel industry is highly competitive. Our hotels compete with other hotels for guests in each of their markets. Competitive advantage is based on a number of factors, including location, quality of accommodations, convenience, brand affiliation, room rates, service levels and amenities, and level of customer service. Competition is often specific to the individual markets in which our hotels are located and includes competition from existing and new hotels operated under brands in the luxury, upper upscale and upscale segments. Increased competition could harm our occupancy or revenues or may lead our operators to increase service or amenity levels, which may reduce the profitability of our hotels.

We believe that competition for the acquisition of hotels is fragmented. We face competition from institutional pension funds, private equity investors, other REITs and numerous local, regional and national owners, including franchisors, in each of our markets. Some of these entities may have substantially greater financial resources than we do and may be able and willing to accept more risk than we believe we can prudently manage. During the recovery phase of the lodging cycle, when we seek to acquire hotels, competition among potential buyers may increase the bargaining power of potential sellers, which may reduce the number of suitable investment opportunities available to us or increase pricing. Similarly, during times when we seek to sell hotels, competition from other sellers may increase the bargaining power of the potential property buyers.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2013, all but one (the Boston Park Plaza) of the 29 hotels are operated under nationally recognized brands such as Marriott, Hilton, Hyatt, Fairmont and Sheraton, which are among the most respected and widely recognized brands in the lodging industry. We believe the largest and most stable segment of travelers prefer the consistent service and quality associated with nationally recognized brands.

Table of Contents

Management Agreements

All of our 29 hotels are managed by third parties pursuant to management agreements with our TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries. As of December 31, 2013, Marriott managed 10 of our hotels, IHR managed six of our hotels, Highgate managed four of our hotels, Davidson, Hilton and Hyatt each managed two of our hotels, and the remaining three hotels were individually managed by Crestline, Dimension and Fairmont. The following is a general description of our third-party management agreements as of December 31, 2013.

Marriott. Our management agreements with Marriott require us to pay Marriott a base management fee between 2.31% and 3.0% of total revenue. These management agreements expire between August 2014 and 2055, absent prior termination by either party. Additionally, five of these aforementioned management agreements require payment of an incentive fee of 20.0% of the excess of gross operating profit over a certain threshold; one management agreement requires payment of an incentive fee of 20% of the excess of gross operating profit over a certain threshold, however the total base and incentive fees are capped at 4.25% of gross revenue for 2012 and 2013, 4.5% of gross revenue for 2014, 4.75% of gross revenue for 2015, 5.0% of gross revenue for the first seven months of 2016, and 5.25% of gross revenue for the remaining term of the agreement; one management agreement requires payment of an incentive fee of 35.0% of the excess of gross operating profit over a certain threshold; one management agreement requires payment of an incentive fee equal to a fixed sum if gross operating profit is over a certain threshold for years 2012 and 2013 and thereafter 20.0% of the excess of gross operating profit over a certain threshold; one management agreement requires payment of an incentive fee of 10.0% of adjusted gross operating profit, limited to 3.0% of gross revenue; and one management agreement does not require payment of an incentive fee. The management agreements with Marriott may be terminated earlier than the stated term if certain events occur, including the failure of Marriott to satisfy certain performance standards, a condemnation of, a casualty to, or force majeure event involving a hotel, the withdrawal or revocation of any license or permit required in connection with the operation of a hotel and upon a default by Marriott or us that is not cured prior to the expiration of any applicable cure periods. In certain instances, Marriott has rights of first refusal to either purchase or lease hotels, or to terminate the applicable management agreement in the event we sell the respective hotel.

IHR. Our management agreements with IHR require us to pay a management fee ranging from 2.0% to 2.1% of gross revenue; plus an incentive fee of 10.0% of the excess of net operating income over a certain threshold. The incentive fee, however, may not exceed a range of 1.5% to 1.9% of the total revenue for all the hotels managed by IHR for any fiscal year.

With the exception of the IHR management agreement at the Sheraton Cerritos (which agreement is subject to automatic two-year renewal terms, the first of which commenced in 2012), the IHR management agreements expire in 2024 and provide us the right to renew each management agreement for up to two additional terms of five years each, absent a prior termination by either party.

Highgate. Our Boston Park Plaza, Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, Hilton Times Square and Renaissance Westchester hotels are operated under management agreements with Highgate. The management agreement at the Boston Park Plaza requires us to pay Highgate a base management fee of 2.5% of gross revenue until July 1, 2014, 2.75% of gross revenue from July 2, 2014 to July 1, 2015, and 3.0% of gross revenue thereafter. The agreement expires in 2023, absent a prior termination by either party. In addition, the management agreement at the Boston Park Plaza requires us to pay an incentive fee of 15.0% of the excess of net operating income over a certain threshold.

The management agreements at the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the Hilton Times Square and the Renaissance Westchester require us to pay Highgate a base management fee of 3.0% of gross revenue. The management agreement at the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square expires in 2037, absent a prior termination by either party. In addition, the management agreement at the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square requires us to pay an incentive fee of 1.0% of annual gross receipts in the event that a certain return threshold is achieved. The management

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

agreement at the Hilton Times Square expires in 2021 and provides Highgate with the right to renew for two additional terms of five years upon the achievement of certain performance thresholds, absent a prior termination by either party. In addition, the management agreement at the Hilton Times Square requires us to pay an incentive fee of 50.0% of the excess of net operating income over a certain threshold, limited to 1.25% of total revenue. The management agreement at the Renaissance Westchester expires in 2022, absent early termination by either party, and does not require payment of an incentive fee.

Davidson. Our Embassy Suites Chicago and Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile hotels are operated under management agreements with Davidson. The management agreement at the Embassy Suites Chicago expires in 2015, and provides us with the right to renew for up to two additional terms of five years each, absent a prior termination by either party. The agreement requires us to pay 2.0% of total revenue as a base management fee and calls for an incentive fee of 15.0% of the excess of net operating income over a certain threshold (capped at 1.0% of total revenue) and an additional incentive fee in the amount of 1.0% of total revenue upon achieving a certain level of net operating income (capped at 1.0% of total revenue). The base and incentive management fees payable to Davidson under the Embassy Suites Chicago management agreement have an aggregate cap of 4.0% of total revenue. The management agreement at the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile expires in 2019, and provides us with the right to renew for up to two additional terms of five years each, absent a prior termination by either party. The agreement requires us to pay 2.5% of total revenue as a base management fee and calls for an incentive fee of 10.0% of the excess of net operating income over a certain threshold (capped at 1.5% of total revenue). The base and incentive management fees payable to Davidson under the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile management agreement have an aggregate cap of 4.0% of total revenue. In addition to the base and incentive management fees, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile management agreement required us to pay Davidson a development fee for their assistance in converting the hotel to a Hyatt equal to the lesser of 2.0% of the total development costs we incurred, or \$0.5 million. The development fee, which totaled \$0.5 million, was paid in full by the end of 2013.

Table of Contents

Hilton. Our Embassy Suites La Jolla and Hilton San Diego Bayfront hotels are operated under management agreements with Hilton. The management agreement at the Embassy Suites La Jolla expires in 2016 (absent early termination by either party), and provides no renewal options. The agreement requires us to pay a base management fee of 2.25% of gross revenue. The agreement includes an incentive fee of 15.0% of our net profit at the hotel in excess of certain net profit thresholds. The management agreement at the Hilton San Diego Bayfront expires in 2018 and provides Hilton with the right to renew for up to three additional terms of five years each, absent a prior termination by either party. The agreement requires us to pay a base fee of 2.5% of total revenue and an incentive fee of 15.0% of the excess of operating cash flow over a certain percentage.

Hyatt. Our Hyatt Regency Newport Beach hotel is operated under a management agreement with Hyatt. The agreement expires in 2019 and provides either party the right to renew for successive periods of 10 years (provided that the term of the agreement shall in no event extend beyond 2039), absent early termination by either party. The agreement requires us to pay 3.5% of total hotel revenue as a base management fee, with an additional 0.5% of total revenue payable to Hyatt based upon the hotel achieving specific operating thresholds. The agreement also requires us to pay an incentive fee equal to 10.0% of the excess of adjusted profit over \$2.0 million, and 5.0% of the excess of adjusted profit over \$6.0 million.

Our Hyatt Regency San Francisco hotel is operated by Hyatt under an operating lease with economics that follow a typical management fee structure. The lease expires in 2050, and provides no renewal options. Pursuant to the lease, Hyatt retains 3.0% of total revenue as a base management fee. The lease also provides for Hyatt the opportunity to earn an incentive fee if gross operating profit exceeds certain thresholds.

Crestline. Our Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile hotel is operated under a management agreement with Crestline. The agreement expires in 2022 (absent early termination by either party), and provides us with the right to renew for up to two additional terms of five years each. The agreement requires us to pay 2.0% of gross revenue as a base management fee, and requires us to pay an incentive fee of 10.0% of the excess of operating profit over a certain threshold.

Dimension. Our Hilton New Orleans St. Charles hotel is operated under a management agreement with Dimension. The agreement expires at the end of 2017 (absent early termination by either party), and provides for automatic month-to-month renewals thereafter. The agreement requires us to pay 3.0% of gross revenue as a base management fee. The agreement does not require payment of an incentive fee.

Fairmont. Our Fairmont Newport Beach hotel is operated under a management agreement with Fairmont. The agreement expires in 2015 (absent early termination by either party) and provides Fairmont with two extension options, the first option having a term of 20 years and the second option having a term of 10 years. The agreement requires us to pay 3.0% of total revenue as a base management fee and calls for payment of incentive fees ranging from 20.0% to 30.0% of the hotel's net profit above certain net profit thresholds. The base and incentive management fees payable to Fairmont under the management agreement have an aggregate cap of 6.0% of total revenue.

The existing management agreements with Marriott, Hilton, Hyatt and Fairmont require the manager to furnish chain services that are generally made available to other hotels managed by that operator. Costs for these chain services are reimbursed by us. Such services include: (1) the development and operation of computer systems and reservation services; (2) management and administrative services; (3) marketing and sales services; (4) human resources training services; and (5) such additional services as may from time to time be more efficiently performed on a national, regional or group level.

Franchise Agreements

As of December 31, 2013, 15 of the 29 hotels were operated subject to franchise agreements. Franchisors provide a variety of benefits to franchisees, including nationally recognized brands, centralized reservation systems, national advertising, marketing programs and publicity designed to increase brand awareness, training of personnel and maintenance of operational quality at hotels across the brand system.

The franchise agreements generally specify management, operational, record-keeping, accounting, reporting and marketing standards and procedures with which our subsidiary, as the franchisee, must comply. The franchise agreements obligate the subsidiary to comply with the franchisors' standards and requirements with respect to training of operational personnel, safety, maintaining specified insurance, the types of services and products ancillary to guest room services that may be provided by the subsidiary, display of signage and the type, quality and age of furniture, fixtures and equipment included in guest rooms, lobbies and other common areas. The franchise agreements for our hotels require that we reserve up to 5.0% of the gross revenues of the hotels into a reserve fund for capital expenditures.

Table of Contents

The franchise agreements also provide for termination at the franchisor's option upon the occurrence of certain events, including failure to pay royalties and fees or to perform other obligations under the franchise license, bankruptcy and abandonment of the franchise or a change in control. The subsidiary that is the franchisee is responsible for making all payments under the franchise agreements to the franchisors, however the Company guarantees certain obligations under a majority of the franchise agreements.

In June 2012, we purchased the Wyndham Chicago and immediately rebranded the hotel the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile. As incentive to rebrand the hotel to a Hyatt, Hyatt Franchising, L.L.C. paid us \$6.5 million in June 2013, once the conversion to Hyatt was complete. We are amortizing the \$6.5 million on a straightline basis over the remaining 25-years of our franchise agreement with Hyatt, which term ends in 2039.

Tax Status

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under Sections 856 through 859 of the Code, commencing with our taxable year ended December 31, 2004. Under current federal income tax laws, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our net taxable income to our stockholders. While REITs enjoy certain tax benefits relative to C corporations, as a REIT we may, however, be subject to certain federal, state and local taxes on our income and property. We may also be subject to federal income and excise tax on our undistributed income.

Taxable REIT Subsidiary

Subject to certain limitations, a REIT is permitted to own, directly or indirectly, up to 100% of the stock of a taxable REIT subsidiary, or TRS. The TRS may engage in businesses that are prohibited to a REIT. In particular, a hotel REIT is permitted to own a TRS that leases hotels from the REIT, rather than requiring the lessee to be an unaffiliated third party. However, a hotel leased to a TRS still must be managed by an unaffiliated third party in the business of managing hotels. The TRS provisions are complex and impose certain conditions on the use of TRSs. This is to assure that TRSs are subject to an appropriate level of federal corporate taxation.

A TRS is a fully taxable corporation that may earn income that would not be qualifying income if earned directly by us. A TRS may perform activities such as development, and other independent business activities. However, a TRS may not directly or indirectly operate or manage any hotels or provide rights to any brand name under which any hotel is operated.

We and the TRS Lessee must make a joint election with the IRS for the TRS Lessee to be treated as a TRS. A corporation of which a qualifying TRS owns, directly or indirectly, more than 35% of the voting power or value of the corporation's stock will automatically be treated as a TRS. Overall, no more than 25% of the value of our assets may consist of securities of one or more TRS, and no more than 25% of the value of our assets may consist of the securities of TRSs and other assets that are not qualifying assets for purposes of the 75% asset test. The 75% asset test generally requires that at least 75% of the value of our total assets be represented by real estate assets, cash, or government securities.

The rent that we receive from a TRS qualifies as rents from real property as long as the property is operated on behalf of the TRS by a person who qualifies as an independent contractor and who is, or is related to a person who is, actively engaged in the trade or business of operating qualified lodging facilities for any person unrelated to us and the TRS (an eligible independent contractor). A qualified lodging facility is a hotel,

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

motel or other establishment in which more than one-half of the dwelling units are used on a transient basis. A qualified lodging facility does not include any facility where wagering activities are conducted. A qualified lodging facility includes customary amenities and facilities operated as part of, or associated with, the lodging facility as long as such amenities and facilities are customary for other properties of a comparable size and class owned by other unrelated owners.

We have formed the TRS Lessee as a wholly owned TRS. We lease each of our hotels to the TRS Lessee or one of its subsidiaries. These leases provide for a base rent plus variable rent based on occupied rooms and departmental revenues. These leases must contain economic terms which are similar to a lease between unrelated parties. If they do not, the IRS could impose a 100% excise tax on certain transactions between our TRS and us or our tenants that are not conducted on an arm's-length basis. We believe that all transactions between us and the TRS Lessee are conducted on an arm's-length basis. Further, the TRS rules limit the deductibility of interest paid or accrued by a TRS to us to assure that the TRS is subject to an appropriate level of corporate taxation.

The TRS Lessee has engaged eligible independent contractors to manage the hotels it leases from Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC.

Table of Contents

Ground, Building and Air Lease Agreements

At December 31, 2013, 10 of the 29 hotels are subject to ground, building and/or air leases with unaffiliated parties that cover either all or portions of their respective properties. As of December 31, 2013, the remaining terms of these ground, building and air leases (including renewal options) range from approximately 30 to 113 years. These leases generally require us to make rental payments and payments for all or portions of costs and expenses, including real and personal property taxes, insurance and utilities associated with the leased property.

Any proposed sale of a property that is subject to a ground, building or air lease or any proposed assignment of our leasehold interest as ground, building or air lessee under the ground, building or air lease may require the consent of the applicable ground, building or air lessor. As a result, we may not be able to sell, assign, transfer or convey our ground, building or air lessee's interest in any such property in the future absent the consent of the ground, building or air lessor, even if such transaction may be in the best interests of our stockholders. Four of the 10 leases prohibit the sale or conveyance of the hotel and assignment of the lease by us to another party without first offering the lessor the opportunity to acquire our interest in the associated hotel and property upon the same terms and conditions as offered by us to the third party.

Four of the 10 leases allow us the option to acquire the ground or building lessor's interest in the ground or building lease subject to certain exercisability provisions. From time to time, we evaluate our options to purchase the ground lessor's interests in the leases. As such, we have entered into an agreement to purchase the land beneath the Fairmont Newport Beach for \$11.0 million, and expect this transaction to close by May 2014.

Offices

We lease our headquarters located at 120 Vantis, Suite 350, Aliso Viejo, California 92656 from an unaffiliated third party. We occupy our headquarters under a lease that terminates on August 30, 2018.

Employees

At February 1, 2014, we had 76 employees, including 30 employees at BuyEfficient. We believe that our relations with our employees are positive. All persons employed in the day-to-day operations of the hotels are employees of the management companies engaged by the TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries to operate such hotels.

Environmental

Environmental reviews have been conducted on all of our hotels. Environmental consultants retained by our lenders have conducted Phase I environmental site assessments on many of our properties. In certain instances, these Phase I assessments relied on older environmental

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

assessments prepared in connection with prior financings. Phase I assessments are designed to evaluate the potential for environmental contamination of properties based generally upon site inspections, facility personnel interviews, historical information and certain publicly available databases. Phase I assessments will not necessarily reveal the existence or extent of all environmental conditions, liabilities or compliance concerns at the properties. While some of these assessments have led to further investigation and sampling, none of the environmental assessments have revealed, nor are we aware of, any environmental liability (including asbestos-related liability) that we believe would harm our business, financial position, results of operations or cash flow.

Under various federal, state and local laws and regulations, an owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances on the property. These laws often impose such liability without regard to whether the owner knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of hazardous or toxic substances. Furthermore, a person that arranges for the disposal or transports for disposal or treatment of a hazardous substance at another property may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of hazardous substances released into the environment at that property. The costs of remediation or removal of such substances may be substantial, and the presence of such substances, or the failure to promptly remediate such substances, may adversely affect the owner's ability to sell such real estate or to borrow using such real estate as collateral. In connection with the ownership and operation of our properties, we or the TRS Lessee, as the case may be, may be potentially liable for such costs.

As an owner of real estate, we are not directly involved in the operation of our properties or other activities that produce meaningful levels of greenhouse gas emissions. As a result, we have not implemented a formal program to measure or manage emissions associated with our corporate office or hotels. Although we do not believe that climate change represents a direct material risk to our business, we could be indirectly affected by climate change and other environmental issues to the extent these issues negatively affect the broader economy, result in increased regulation or costs, or have a negative impact on travel.

Table of Contents

We have provided unsecured environmental indemnities to certain lenders. We have performed due diligence on the potential environmental risks including obtaining an independent environmental review from outside environmental consultants. These indemnities obligate us to reimburse the guaranteed parties for damages related to environmental matters. There is generally no term or damage limitation on these indemnities; however, if an environmental matter arises, we could have recourse against other previous owners. Although we have tried to mitigate environmental risk through insurance, this insurance may not cover all or any of the environmental risks we encounter.

ADA Regulation

Our properties must comply with various laws and regulations, including Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) to the extent that such properties are public accommodations as defined by the ADA. The ADA may require removal of structural barriers to access by persons with disabilities in certain public areas of our properties where such removal is readily achievable. We believe that our properties are in substantial compliance with the ADA; however, noncompliance with the ADA could result in capital expenditures, the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. The obligation to make readily achievable accommodations is an ongoing one, and we will continue to assess our properties and to make alterations as appropriate in this respect.

Seasonality and Volatility

As is typical of the lodging industry, we experience some seasonality in our business. Revenue for certain of our hotels is generally affected by seasonal business patterns (e.g., the first quarter is strong in Orlando, the second quarter is strong for the Mid-Atlantic business hotels, and the fourth quarter is strong for New York City). Quarterly revenue also may be adversely affected by renovations, our managers' effectiveness in generating business and by events beyond our control, such as extreme weather conditions, terrorist attacks or alerts, public health concerns, airline strikes or reduced airline capacity, economic factors and other considerations affecting travel.

Inflation

Inflation may affect our expenses, including, without limitation, by increasing costs such as labor, food, taxes, property and casualty insurance and utilities.

Securities Exchange Act Reports

Our internet address is www.sunstonehotels.com. Periodic and current Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) reports and amendments to those reports, such as our annual proxy statement, our annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and current reports on Form 8-K, are available, free of charge, through links displayed on our web site as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. In addition, the SEC maintains a website that contains these reports at www.sec.gov. Our website and the SEC website and the information on our and the SEC's website is not a part of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The statements in this section describe some of the significant risks to our business and should be considered carefully. In addition, these statements constitute our cautionary statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended.

Risks Related to Our Business

In the past, events beyond our control, including economic slowdowns and terrorism, harmed the operating performance of the hotel industry generally and the performance of our hotels, and if these or similar events occur again, our operating and financial results may be harmed by declines in average daily room rates and/or occupancy.

The performance of the lodging industry has traditionally been closely linked with the performance of the general economy. The majority of our hotels are classified as upper upscale hotels. In an economic downturn, this type of hotel may be more susceptible to a decrease in revenue, as compared to hotels in other categories that have lower room rates in part because upper upscale hotels generally target business and high-end leisure travelers. In periods of economic difficulties, business and leisure travelers may reduce travel costs by limiting travel or by using lower cost accommodations. In addition, operating results at our hotels in key gateway markets may be negatively affected by reduced demand from international travelers due to financial conditions in their home countries. Also, volatility in transportation fuel costs, increases in air and ground travel costs and decreases in airline capacity may reduce the demand for our hotel rooms. Accordingly, our financial results may be harmed if economic conditions worsen, or if travel-associated costs, such as transportation fuel costs, increase. In addition, the terrorist attacks of September 11, 2001 had a dramatic adverse effect on business and leisure travel, and on the occupancy and average daily rate, or ADR, of our hotels. Future terrorist activities could have a harmful effect on both the industry and us.

Table of Contents

Volatility in the debt and equity markets may adversely affect our ability to acquire or sell hotel assets.

Volatility in the global financial markets may have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations. Among other things, over time, the capital markets have experienced periods of extreme price volatility, dislocations and liquidity disruptions, all of which have exerted downward pressure on stock prices, widened credit spreads on debt financing and led to declines in the market values of U.S. and foreign stock exchanges. Future dislocations in the debt markets may reduce the amount of capital that is available to finance real estate, which, in turn may limit our ability to finance the acquisition of hotels or the ability of purchasers to obtain financing for hotels that we wish to sell, either of which may have a material adverse impact on revenues, income and/or cash flow.

We have historically used capital obtained from debt and equity markets, including secured mortgage debt, to acquire hotel assets. If these markets become difficult to access as a result of low demand for debt or equity securities, higher capital costs and interest rates, a low value for capital securities (including our common or preferred stock), and more restrictive lending standards, our business could be adversely affected. Similar factors could also adversely affect the ability of others to obtain capital and therefore could make it more difficult for us to sell hotel assets.

Changes in the debt and equity markets may adversely affect the value of our hotels.

The value of hotel real estate has an inverse correlation to the capital costs of hotel investors. If capital costs increase, real estate values may decrease. Capital costs are generally a function of the perceived risks associated with our assets, interest rates on debt and return expectations of equity investors. Interest rates for hotel mortgages had increased by several percentage points from 2007 to 2009 before moderating in 2010 and then decreasing from 2011 to 2013. Equity investor return expectations have risen during the same time period primarily due to increased volatility and global economic uncertainty. If capital costs increase from current levels, and if the income generated by our hotels does not increase by amounts sufficient to cover such higher capital costs, the market value of our hotel real estate may decline. In some cases, the value of our hotel real estate has previously declined, and may in the future decline, to levels below the principal amount of the debt securing such hotel real estate.

As of December 31, 2013, we had approximately \$1.4 billion of consolidated outstanding debt, and carrying such debt may impair our financial flexibility or harm our business and financial results by imposing requirements on our business.

Of our total debt outstanding as of December 31, 2013, approximately \$790.6 million matures over the next four years (none in 2014, \$137.2 million in 2015, \$411.6 million in 2016 and \$241.8 million in 2017). The \$790.6 million does not include \$23.3 million of scheduled loan amortization payments due in 2014, \$23.0 million due in 2015, \$14.2 million due in 2016, or \$10.7 million due in 2017. Carrying our outstanding debt may adversely impact our business and financial results by:

- requiring us to use a substantial portion of our funds from operations to make required payments on principal and interest, which will reduce the amount of cash available to us for our operations and capital expenditures, future business opportunities and other purposes, including distributions to our stockholders;

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

- making us more vulnerable to economic and industry downturns and reducing our flexibility in responding to changing business and economic conditions;
- limiting our ability to undertake refinancings of debt or borrow more money for operations or capital expenditures or to finance acquisitions; and
- compelling us to sell or deed back properties, possibly on disadvantageous terms, in order to make required payments of interest and principal.

We also may incur additional debt in connection with future acquisitions of real estate, which may include loans secured by some or all of the hotels we acquire. In addition to our outstanding debt, at December 31, 2013, we had \$0.8 million in outstanding letters of credit.

We anticipate that we will refinance our indebtedness from time to time to repay our debt, and our inability to refinance on favorable terms, or at all, could impact our operating results.

Because we anticipate that our internally generated cash will be adequate to repay only a portion of our indebtedness prior to maturity, we expect that we will be required to repay debt from time to time through refinancings of our indebtedness and/or offerings of equity or debt. The amount of our existing indebtedness may impede our ability to repay our debt through refinancings. If we are unable to refinance our indebtedness with property secured debt or corporate debt on acceptable terms, or at all, and are unable to negotiate an extension with the lender, we may be in default or forced to sell one or more of our properties on potentially disadvantageous terms, which might increase our borrowing costs, result in losses to us and reduce the amount of cash available to us for distributions to our stockholders. If prevailing interest rates or other factors at the time of any refinancing result in higher interest rates on new debt, our interest expense would increase, which would harm our operating results.

Table of Contents

During 2009, pursuant to a completed secured debt restructuring program, we voluntarily elected to cease the subsidization of debt service on mortgages securing some of our hotels. If operating fundamentals decline in the future, we may voluntarily elect to cease the subsidization of debt service on additional mortgages in the future, which could reduce the number of hotels we own and our revenues, and affect our ability to raise equity or debt financing.

In the context of determining whether to cease the subsidization of debt service on mortgages secured by some of our hotels, we balanced the interests of our stockholders against other considerations, including relationships with lenders with whom we may in the future seek to do business. During 2009, pursuant to secured debt restructuring negotiations with respect to certain of our mortgage loans (the 2009 secured debt restructuring program), we ultimately determined that it was in our best interest to voluntarily cease the subsidization of debt service shortfalls under four of our non-recourse mortgages totaling \$303.9 million, which were secured by 11 of our hotels. During 2010, we transferred the titles on 10 of these hotels to either the lenders or new owners, and the related debt was extinguished. Such historical title transfers could continue to have an adverse effect on our ability to raise equity or debt capital in the future, as well as increase the cost of such capital.

In addition to the foregoing loans, we may face issues with other loans in the future, some of which may be beyond our control, including our ability to service payment obligations from the cash flow of the applicable hotel, or the inability to refinance existing debt at the applicable maturity date. In such event, we may elect to default on the applicable loan and, as a result, the lenders would have the right to exercise various remedies under the loan documents, which would include foreclosure on the applicable hotels. Any such defaults, whether voluntary or involuntary, could result in a default under our other debt or otherwise have an adverse effect on our business, results of operations or financial condition.

If we were to default on our secured debt in the future, the loss of our property securing the debt may negatively affect our ability to satisfy other obligations.

All of our debt at December 31, 2013 is secured by first deeds of trust on our properties. Using our properties as collateral increases our risk of property losses because defaults on indebtedness secured by properties may result in foreclosure actions initiated by lenders and ultimately our loss of the property that secures any loan under which we are in default. For tax purposes, a foreclosure on any of our properties would be treated as a sale of the property. If the outstanding balance of the debt secured by the mortgage exceeds our tax basis in the property, we would recognize taxable income on foreclosure but would not necessarily receive any cash proceeds. As a result, we may be required to identify and utilize other sources of cash or employ a partial cash and partial stock dividend to satisfy our taxable income distribution requirements.

Financial covenants in our debt instruments may restrict our operating or acquisition activities.

Our credit facility contains, and other potential financings that we may incur or assume in the future may contain, restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur additional debt and make distributions to our shareholders, as well as financial covenants relating to the performance of our hotel properties. Our ability to borrow under these agreements is subject to compliance with these financial and other covenants. If we are unable to engage in activities that we believe would benefit our hotel properties or we are unable to incur debt to pursue those activities, our growth may be limited. Obtaining consents or waivers from compliance with these covenants may not be possible, or if possible, may cause us to incur additional costs.

Many of our existing mortgage debt agreements contain cash trap provisions that could limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Certain of our loan agreements contain cash trap provisions that may be triggered if the performance of the hotels securing the loans decline. If these provisions are triggered, substantially all of the profit generated by the secured hotel would be deposited directly into lockbox accounts and then swept into cash management accounts for the benefit of the lender. As of December 31, 2013, the cash trap provisions had been triggered at one hotel resulting in \$9.4 million being held by the respective lender as of December 31, 2013. In January 2014, this hotel was released from the cash trap, and the lender returned the \$9.4 million to us. In addition, the mortgage secured by one of the hotels we sold during 2012 (the Hilton Del Mar) is subject to cash trap provisions. Although this mortgage was assumed by the buyer of the hotel, our cash, totaling \$4.4 million as of December 31, 2013, remained trapped by the lender as of the end of the year. In February 2014, the lender released the cash, and the entire \$4.4 million was returned to us.

Table of Contents

Cash generated by our hotels that secure our existing mortgage debt agreements is distributed to us only after the related debt service and certain impound amounts are paid, which could affect our liquidity and limit our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Cash generated by our hotels that secure our existing mortgage debt agreements is distributed to us only after certain items are paid, including deposits into leasing and maintenance reserves and the payment of debt service, insurance, taxes, operating expenses, and extraordinary capital expenditures and leasing expenses. This limit on distributions could affect our liquidity and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Our organizational documents contain no limitations on the amount of debt we may incur, so we may become too highly leveraged.

Our organizational documents do not limit the amount of indebtedness that we may incur. If we were to increase the level of our borrowings, then the resulting increase in cash flow that must be used for debt service would reduce cash available for capital investments or external growth, and could harm our ability to make payments on our outstanding indebtedness and our financial condition.

One of our directors has direct and active economic interests in hotels, which may result in conflicts and competing demands on his time.

One of our directors, Lewis N. Wolff, is actively involved in the management of entities that invest in hotels. Accordingly, this director may have a conflict of interest in owning hotels that operate in similar markets or in evaluating hotel acquisition opportunities in which we and Mr. Wolff both have a potential interest. Our Code of Business Conduct and Ethics requires Mr. Wolff to obtain approval from our in-house counsel and/or the chair of our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee prior to engaging in any transaction or relationship that could reasonably be expected to give rise to a potential conflict of interest. We cannot assure you that these procedures will prevent any conflicts or mitigate the impact of such conflicts if they arise.

We face competition for hotel acquisitions and dispositions, and we may not be successful in completing hotel acquisitions or dispositions that meet our criteria, which may impede our business strategy.

Our business strategy is predicated on a cycle-appropriate approach to hotel acquisitions and dispositions. We may not be successful in identifying or completing acquisitions or dispositions that are consistent with our strategy. We compete with institutional pension funds, private equity investors, other REITs, owner-operators of hotels, franchise-owned hotels and others who are engaged in the acquisition of hotels, and we rely on such entities as purchasers of hotels we seek to sell. These competitors may affect the supply/demand dynamics and, accordingly, increase the price we must pay for hotels or hotel companies we seek to acquire, and these competitors may succeed in acquiring those hotels or hotel companies themselves. Furthermore, our potential acquisition targets may find our competitors to be more attractive suitors because they may have greater financial resources, may be willing to pay more, or may have a more compatible operating philosophy. In addition, the number of entities competing for suitable hotels may increase in the future, which would increase demand for these hotels and the prices we must pay to acquire them, which, although beneficial to dispositions of hotels, may materially impact our ability to acquire new properties. We are also unable to predict certain market changes including changes in supply of, or demand for, similar real properties in a particular area. If we pay higher prices for hotels, our profitability may be reduced. Also, future acquisitions of hotels or hotel companies may not yield the returns we expect and, if financed using our equity, may result in stockholder dilution. In addition, our profitability may suffer because of acquisition-related costs or amortization costs for acquired intangible assets, and the integration of such acquisitions may cause disruptions to our business and may strain management resources.

Delays in the acquisition and renovation of hotel properties may have adverse effects on our results of operations and returns to our stockholders.

Delays we encounter in the selection, acquisition, renovation and development of real properties could adversely affect investor returns. Our ability to commit to purchase specific assets will depend, in part, on the amount of our available cash at a given time. Renovation programs may take longer and cost more than initially expected. Therefore, we may experience delays in receiving cash distributions from such hotels. If our projections are inaccurate, we may not achieve our anticipated returns.

Accounting for the acquisition of a hotel property or other entity as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their estimated fair values. Should the allocation be incorrect, our assets and liabilities may be overstated or understated, which may also affect depreciation expense on our statement of operations.

Accounting for the acquisition of a hotel property or other entity as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving long-lived assets, such as property and equipment, intangible assets and

Table of Contents

capital lease obligations that are assumed as part of the acquisition of a leasehold interest. During 2011, 2012 and 2013, we used all available information to make these fair value determinations, and engaged an independent valuation specialist to assist in the fair value determination of the long-lived assets acquired and liabilities assumed in our purchases of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, the outside 50.0% equity interests in the BuyEfficient joint venture, the JW Marriott New Orleans, the purchase of a 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, the Boston Park Plaza and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco hotels. Should any of these allocations be incorrect, our assets and liabilities may be overstated or understated, which may also affect depreciation expense on our statement of operations.

The acquisition of a portfolio of hotels or a company presents more risks to our business and financial results than the acquisition of a single hotel.

We have acquired in the past, and may acquire in the future, multiple hotels in single transactions to seek to reduce acquisition costs per hotel and enable us to expand our hotel portfolio more rapidly. We may also evaluate acquiring companies that own hotels. Multiple hotel and company acquisitions, however, are generally more complex than single hotel acquisitions and, as a result, the risk that they will not be completed is greater. These acquisitions may also result in our owning hotels in new markets, which places additional demands on our ability to actively asset manage the hotels. In addition, we may be required by a seller to purchase a group of hotels as a package, even though one or more of the hotels in the package do not meet our investment criteria. In those events, we expect to attempt to sell the hotels that do not meet our investment criteria, but may not be able to do so on acceptable terms or may have to pay a 100% prohibited transactions tax on any gain. These hotels may harm our operating results if they operate below our underwriting or if we sell them at a loss. Also, a portfolio of hotels may be more difficult to integrate with our existing hotels than a single hotel, may strain our management resources and may make it more difficult to find one or more management companies to operate the hotels. Any of these risks could harm our operating results.

Joint venture investments could be adversely affected by our lack of sole decision-making authority, our reliance on a co-venturer's financial condition and disputes between us and our co-venturers.

We have co-invested, and may in the future co-invest, with third parties through partnerships, joint ventures or other entities, acquiring non-controlling interests in or sharing responsibility for managing the affairs of a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. For example, on April 15, 2011, we acquired a 75.0% majority equity interest in One Park Boulevard, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company (One Park), the joint venture that holds title to the 1,190-room Hilton San Diego Bayfront hotel located in San Diego, California. Hilton Worldwide, Inc. is the 25.0% minority equity partner in One Park. Accordingly, we are not in a position, and may not be in a position in the future to exercise sole decision-making authority regarding a property, partnership, joint venture or other entity. Investments in partnerships, joint ventures or other entities may, under certain circumstances, involve risks not present were a third party not involved, including the possibility that partners or co-venturers might become bankrupt or fail to fund their share of required capital contributions. Partners or co-venturers may have economic or other business interests or goals which are inconsistent with our business interests or goals, and may be in a position to take actions contrary to our policies or objectives. Such investments may also have the potential risk of impasses on decisions, such as a sale, because neither we nor the partner or co-venturer would have full control over the partnership or joint venture. Disputes between us and partners or co-venturers may result in litigation or arbitration that would increase our expenses and prevent our officers and/or trustees from focusing their time and effort on our business. Consequently, actions by, or disputes with, partners or co-venturers might result in subjecting properties owned by the partnership or joint venture to additional risk. In addition, we may in certain circumstances be liable for the actions of our third party partners or co-venturers.

The mortgage loan and preferred equity investment we currently own, or mortgage loans or preferred equity investments we may invest in at a future date, may be affected by unfavorable real estate market or other conditions, events or occurrences, which could decrease the value

of those loans and the return on our investment.

In 2010, we paid \$0.5 million for a \$5.0 million mortgage loan secured by the 101-room boutique hotel known as Twelve Atlantic Station in Atlanta, Georgia. As the expected cash flows from the loan have not been reasonably probable and estimable, we have accounted for this loan using the cost-recovery method, which reduced the carrying value of this loan to \$0.2 million as of December 31, 2012. The mortgage loan matured in November 2012, and is currently in default, causing us to our reserve the \$0.2 million balance on our balance sheet at December 31, 2012. The carrying value of this loan on our balance sheet is zero as of December 31, 2013. We are currently working with the borrower and the special servicer to restructure the loan, at which time we may reverse the bad debt expense we recorded in 2012 if and when collectability becomes reasonably assured.

In conjunction with our January 2013 sale of four hotels and a commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota, we retained a \$25.0 million preferred equity investment in the four hotels that yields an 11% dividend, resulting in a deferred gain on the sale of \$25.0 million. The \$25.0 million gain will be deferred until the preferred equity investment is redeemed. The preferred equity investment is recorded at face value on our consolidated balance sheet, net of the deferred gain, resulting in a net book value of zero on our consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

We do not know whether the value of the security for the mortgage loan and preferred equity investment we currently own, or any mortgage loans or preferred equity investments we may acquire in the future, will remain at the levels existing on the dates of origination of those mortgage loans or preferred equity investments. If the values of the underlying properties were to drop, our risk would increase because of the lower value of the security associated with such loans or preferred equity investments.

The mortgage loan we currently own, or mortgage loans we may invest in at a future date, may be subject to interest rate fluctuations that could reduce our returns as compared to market interest rates and reduce the value of the mortgage loans in the event we sell them.

With respect to the mortgage loan we currently own, or mortgage loans we may invest in at a future date, we could yield a return that is lower than the current rate in existence when the loans were acquired. If interest rates decrease, we will be adversely affected to the extent that mortgage loans are prepaid because we may not be able to make new loans at the higher interest rate. If we invest in variable-rate loans and interest rates decrease, our revenues will also decrease. Finally, if we invest in variable-rate loans and interest rates increase, the value of the loans we own at such time would decrease, which would lower the proceeds we would receive in the event we sell such assets. For these reasons, our returns on those loans and the value of your investment will be subject to fluctuations in interest rates.

The loans in which we invest may involve greater risks of loss than senior loans secured by income-producing real properties.

We have invested in hotel loans, and may invest in additional loans in the future, including mezzanine loans that take the form of subordinated loans secured by second mortgages on the underlying real property or loans secured by a pledge of the ownership interests of the entity owning the real property, the entity that owns the interest in the entity owning the real property or other assets. These types of investments involve a higher degree of risk than direct hotel investments because the investment may become unsecured as a result of foreclosure by the senior lender. In the event of a bankruptcy of the entity providing the pledge of its ownership interests as security, we may not have full recourse to the assets of such entity, or the assets of the entity may not be sufficient to satisfy our mezzanine loan. If a borrower defaults on our mezzanine loan or debt senior to our loan, or in the event of a borrower bankruptcy, our mezzanine loan will be satisfied only after the senior debt. As a result, we may not recover some or all of our investment. In addition, mezzanine loans may have higher loan-to-value ratios than conventional mortgage loans, resulting in less equity in the real property and increasing the risk of loss of principal.

If we make or invest in mortgage loans with the intent of gaining ownership of the hotel secured by or pledged to the loan, our ability to perfect an ownership interest in the hotel is subject to the sponsor's willingness to forfeit the property in lieu of the debt.

If we invest in a mortgage loan or note secured by the equity interest in a property with the intention of gaining ownership through the foreclosure process, the time it will take for us to perfect our interest in the property may depend on the sponsor's willingness to cooperate during the foreclosure process. The sponsor may elect to file bankruptcy which could materially impact our ability to perfect our interest in the property and could result in a loss on our investment in the debt or note.

Certain of our long-lived assets and goodwill have in the past become impaired and may become impaired in the future.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

We periodically review each of our hotels, BuyEfficient and goodwill for possible impairment. We did not identify any properties or other assets with indicators of impairment during either 2013 or 2012. In 2011, however, we recognized an impairment loss of \$1.5 million to discontinued operations to reduce the carrying value of our commercial laundry facility in Salt Lake City, Utah to its fair value based on proceeds received on the sale of the commercial laundry facility in July 2011. In addition, we recognized an impairment loss of \$10.9 million in 2011 to reduce the carrying value of a note receivable from the purchaser of the Royal Palm Miami Beach (the Royal Palm note) to its fair value based on proceeds received from the sale of the Royal Palm note in October 2011. Our other hotels, BuyEfficient and related goodwill may become impaired, or our hotels and related goodwill which have previously become impaired may become further impaired, in the future, which may adversely affect our financial condition and results of operations.

We own primarily upper upscale hotels, and the upper upscale segment of the lodging market is highly competitive and may be subject to greater volatility than other segments of the market, which could negatively affect our profitability.

The upper upscale segment of the hotel business is highly competitive. Our hotels compete on the basis of location, room rates and quality, service levels, reputation and reservations systems, among many other factors. There are many competitors in our hotel chain scale segments, and many of these competitors have substantially greater marketing and financial resources than we have. This

Table of Contents

competition could reduce occupancy levels and room revenue at our hotels, which would harm our operations. Over-building in the hotel industry may increase the number of rooms available and may decrease occupancy and room rates. We may also face competition from nationally recognized hotel brands with which we are not associated. In addition, in periods of weak demand, profitability is negatively affected by the relatively high fixed costs of operating upper upscale hotels when compared to other classes of hotels.

Rising operating expenses or low occupancy rates could reduce our cash flow and funds available for future distributions.

Our hotels, and any hotels we buy in the future, are and will be subject to operating risks common to the lodging industry in general. If any hotel is not occupied at a level sufficient to cover our operating expenses, then we could be required to spend additional funds for that hotel's operating expenses. In the future, our hotels will be subject to increases in real estate and other tax rates, utility costs, operating expenses, insurance costs, repairs and maintenance and administrative expenses, which could reduce our cash flow and funds available for future distributions.

A significant portion of our hotels are geographically concentrated in California, New York, Illinois, Massachusetts and the greater Washington D.C. area and, accordingly, we could be disproportionately harmed by economic downturns or natural disasters in these areas of the country.

As of December 31, 2013, nine of the 29 hotels are located in California, which is the largest concentration of our hotels in any state, representing 32% of our rooms and 34% of the revenue generated by the 29 hotels during 2013. In addition, as of December 31, 2013, three of the 29 hotels are located in each of the States of New York, Illinois and Massachusetts, as well as in the greater Washington D.C. area. The three hotels located in New York represented 9% of our rooms and 13% of the revenue generated by the 29 hotels during 2013. The three hotels located in Illinois represented 8% of our rooms and 7% of the revenue generated by the 29 hotels during 2013. The three hotels located in Massachusetts represented 14% of our rooms and 14% of the revenue generated by the 29 hotels during 2013. The three hotels located in the greater Washington D.C. area represented 13% of our rooms and 13% of the revenue generated by the 29 hotels during 2013. The concentration of our hotels in California, New York, Illinois, Massachusetts and the greater Washington D.C. area exposes our business to economic conditions, competition and real and personal property tax rates unique to these locales. In addition, natural disasters in California, New York, Illinois, Massachusetts or the greater Washington D.C. area would disproportionately affect our hotel portfolio. The California, New York, Illinois, Massachusetts and the greater Washington D.C. area economies and tourism industries, in comparison to other parts of the country, are negatively affected to a greater extent by changes and downturns in certain industries, including the entertainment, high technology, financial and government industries. It is also possible that because of our California, New York, Illinois, Massachusetts and the greater Washington D.C. area concentrations, a change in laws applicable to such hotels and the lodging industry may have a greater impact on us than a change in comparable laws in another geographical area in which we have hotels. Adverse developments in California, New York, Illinois, Massachusetts and the greater Washington D.C. area could harm our revenue or increase our operating expenses.

The operating results of some of our individual hotels are significantly impacted by group contract business and room nights generated by large corporate transient customers, and the loss of such customers for any reason could harm our operating results.

Group contract business and room nights generated by other large corporate transient customers can significantly impact the results of operations of our hotels. These contracts and customers vary from hotel to hotel and change from time to time. Such group contracts are typically for a limited period of time after which they may be put up for competitive bidding. The impact and timing of large events are not always easy to predict. As a result, the operating results for our individual hotels can fluctuate as a result of these factors, possibly in adverse ways, and these fluctuations can affect our overall operating results.

Because all but one of our hotels are operated under franchise agreements or are brand managed, termination of these franchise, management or operating lease agreements or circumstances that negatively affect the franchisor or the hotel brand could cause us to lose business at our hotels or lead to a default or acceleration of our obligations under certain of our notes payable.

As of December 31, 2013, all of the 29 hotels except the Boston Park Plaza were operated under franchise, management or operating lease agreements with international franchisors or hotel management companies, such as Marriott, Hilton, Hyatt, Fairmont and Sheraton. In general, under these arrangements, the franchisor or brand manager provides marketing services and room reservations and certain other operating assistance, but requires us to pay significant fees to it and to maintain the hotel in a required condition. If we fail to maintain these required standards, then the franchisor or hotel brand may terminate its agreement with us and obtain damages for any liability we may have caused. Moreover, from time to time, we may receive notices from franchisors or the hotel brands regarding our alleged non-compliance with the franchise agreements or brand standards, and we may disagree with these claims that we are not in compliance. Any disputes arising under these agreements could also lead to a termination of a franchise, management or operating lease agreement and a payment of liquidated damages. Such a termination may trigger a default or acceleration of our obligations under some of our notes payable. In addition, as our franchise, management or operating lease

Table of Contents

agreements expire, we may not be able to renew them on favorable terms or at all. If we were to lose a franchise or hotel brand for a particular hotel, it could harm the operation, financing, or value of that hotel due to the loss of the franchise or hotel brand name, marketing support and centralized reservation system. Moreover, negative publicity affecting a franchisor or hotel brand in general could reduce the revenue we receive from the hotels subject to that particular franchise or brand. Any loss of revenue at a hotel could harm the ability of the TRS Lessee, to whom we have leased our hotels as a result of certain federal income tax restrictions on lodging REITs, to pay rent to the Operating Partnership and could harm our ability to pay dividends on our common stock or preferred stock.

Our franchisors and brand managers may require us to make capital expenditures pursuant to property improvement plans, or PIPs, and the failure to make the expenditures required under the PIPs or to comply with brand standards could cause the franchisors or hotel brands to terminate the franchise, management or operating lease agreements.

Our franchisors and brand managers may require that we make renovations to certain of our hotels in connection with revisions to our franchise, management or operating lease agreements. In addition, upon regular inspection of our hotels, our franchisors and hotel brands may determine that additional renovations are required to bring the physical condition of our hotels into compliance with the specifications and standards each franchisor or hotel brand has developed. In connection with the acquisitions of hotels, franchisors and hotel brands may also require PIPs, which set forth their renovation requirements. If we do not satisfy the PIP renovation requirements, the franchisor or hotel brand may have the right to terminate the applicable agreement. In addition, in the event that we are in default under any franchise agreement as a result of our failure to comply with the PIP requirements, in general, we will be required to pay the franchisor liquidated damages, generally equal to a percentage of gross room revenue for the preceding two-, three- or five-year period for the hotel or a percentage of gross revenue for the preceding twelve-month period for all hotels operated under the franchised brand if the hotel has not been operating for at least two years.

Our franchisors and brand managers may change certain policies or cost allocations that could negatively impact our hotels.

Our franchisors and brand managers incur certain costs that are allocated to our hotels subject to our franchise, management or operating lease agreements. Those costs may increase over time or our franchisors and brand managers may elect to introduce new programs that could increase costs allocated to our hotels. In addition, certain policies may be altered resulting in reduced revenue or increased costs to our hotels. For example, a change in one of our third-party manager's frequent traveler reward program during 2013 negatively impacted revenue at both our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and Hilton Times Square hotels.

Because we are a REIT, we depend on third parties to operate our hotels, which could harm our results of operations.

In order to qualify as a REIT, we cannot directly operate our hotels. Accordingly, we must enter into management or operating lease agreements (together, management agreements) with eligible independent contractors to manage our hotels. Thus, independent management companies control the daily operations of our hotels.

As of December 31, 2013, our 29 hotels were managed as follows: Marriott 10 hotels; IHR six hotels; Highgate four hotels; Davidson two hotels; Hilton two hotels; Hyatt two hotels; and Crestline, Dimension and Fairmont one hotel each. We depend on these independent management companies to operate our hotels as provided in the applicable management agreements. Thus, even if we believe a hotel is being operated inefficiently or in a manner that does not result in satisfactory ADR, occupancy rates or profitability, we may not necessarily have

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

contractual rights to cause our independent management companies to change their method of operation at our hotels. We can only seek redress if a management company violates the terms of its applicable management agreement with us or fails to meet performance objectives set forth in the applicable management agreement, and then our remedies may be limited by the terms of the management agreement. Additionally, while our management agreements typically provide for limited contractual penalties in the event that we terminate the applicable management agreement upon an event of default, such terminations could result in significant disruptions at the affected hotels. If any of the foregoing occurs at franchised hotels, our relationships with the franchisors may be damaged, and we may be in breach of one or more of our franchise or management agreements.

Of these agreements, three were entered into during each of the years 2013 and 2012, and four of these agreements were entered into during 2011. If we were to terminate any of these agreements and enter into new agreements with different hotel operators, the day to day operations of our hotels may be disrupted. In addition, we cannot assure you that any new management agreement would contain terms that are favorable to us, or that a new management company would be successful in managing our hotels.

We also cannot assure you that our existing management companies will successfully manage our hotels. A failure by our management companies to successfully manage our hotels could lead to an increase in our operating expenses or a decrease in our revenue, or both, which would reduce the amount available for dividends on our common stock and our preferred stock. In addition, the management companies may operate other hotels that may compete with our hotels or divert attention away from the management of our hotels.

Table of Contents

We are subject to risks associated with the employment of hotel personnel.

Our third-party managers are responsible for hiring and maintaining the labor force at each of our hotels. Although we do not directly employ or manage employees at our consolidated hotels, we are still subject to many of the costs and risks generally associated with the hotel labor force. From time to time, hotel operations may be disrupted as a result of strikes, lockouts, public demonstrations or other negative actions and publicity. We also may incur increased legal costs and indirect labor costs as a result of contract disputes involving our third-party managers and their labor force or other events. The resolution of labor disputes or re-negotiated labor contracts could lead to increased labor costs, a significant component of our costs, either by increases in wages or benefits or by changes in work rules that raise hotel operating costs. We generally do not have the ability to affect the outcome of these negotiations.

System security risks, data protection breaches, cyber-attacks and systems integration issues could disrupt our internal operations or services provided to guests at our hotels, and any such disruption could reduce our expected revenue, increase our expenses, damage our reputation and adversely affect our stock price.

Experienced computer programmers and hackers may be able to penetrate our network security or the network security of our third-party managers and franchisors, and misappropriate or compromise our confidential information or that of our hotel guests, create system disruptions or cause the shutdown of our hotels. Computer programmers and hackers also may be able to develop and deploy viruses, worms, and other malicious software programs that attack our computer systems or the computer systems operated by our third-party managers and franchisors, or otherwise exploit any security vulnerabilities of our respective networks. In addition, sophisticated hardware and operating system software and applications that we and our third-party managers or franchisors may procure from outside companies may contain defects in design or manufacture, including bugs and other problems that could unexpectedly interfere with our internal operations or the operations at our hotels. The costs to us to eliminate or alleviate cyber or other security problems, bugs, viruses, worms, malicious software programs and security vulnerabilities could be significant, and our efforts to address these problems may not be successful and could result in interruptions, delays, cessation of service and loss of existing or potential business at our hotels.

Portions of our information technology infrastructure or the information technology infrastructure of our third-party managers and franchisors also may experience interruptions, delays or cessations of service or produce errors in connection with systems integration or migration work that takes place from time to time. We or our third-party managers and franchisors may not be successful in implementing new systems and transitioning data, which could cause business disruptions and be more expensive, time consuming, disruptive and resource-intensive. Such disruptions could adversely impact the ability of our third-party managers and franchisors to fulfill reservations for guestrooms and other services offered at our hotels. Delayed sales or bookings, lower margins or lost guest reservations resulting from these disruptions could adversely affect our financial results, stock price and reputation.

Our hotels have an ongoing need for renovations and potentially significant capital expenditures in connection with acquisitions and other capital improvements, some of which are mandated by applicable laws or regulations or agreements with third parties, and the costs of such renovations or improvements may exceed our expectations or cause other problems.

In addition to capital expenditures required by our management, franchise and loan agreements, from time to time we will need to make capital expenditures to comply with applicable laws and regulations, to remain competitive with other hotels and to maintain the economic value of our hotels. We also may need to make significant capital improvements to hotels that we acquire. During 2013, we invested \$117.5 million on capital improvements to our hotels, and we expect this amount to increase in 2014 driven by renovations at recently acquired hotels as part of our acquisition strategy. Occupancy and ADR are often affected by the maintenance and capital improvements at a hotel, especially in the event

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

that the maintenance or improvements are not completed on schedule or if the improvements require significant closures at the hotel. The costs of capital improvements we need or choose to make could harm our financial condition and reduce amounts available for distribution to our stockholders. These capital improvements may give rise to the following additional risks, among others:

- construction cost overruns and delays;
- a possible shortage of available cash to fund capital improvements and the related possibility that financing for these capital improvements may not be available to us on affordable terms;
- uncertainties as to market demand or a loss of market demand after capital improvements have begun;
- disruption in service and room availability causing reduced demand, occupancy and rates;
- possible environmental problems; and
- disputes with managers or franchisors regarding our compliance with the requirements under the relevant management, operating lease or franchise agreement.

Table of Contents

Because we are a REIT, we depend on the TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries to make rent payments to us, and their inability to do so could harm our revenue and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Due to certain federal income tax restrictions on hotel REITs, we cannot directly operate our hotel properties. Therefore, we lease our hotel properties to the TRS Lessee or one of its subsidiaries, which contracts with third-party hotel managers to manage our hotels. Our revenue and our ability to make distributions to our stockholders will depend solely upon the ability of the TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries to make rent payments under these leases. In general, under the leases with the TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries, we will receive from the TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries both fixed rent and variable rent based upon a percentage of gross revenues and the number of occupied rooms. As a result, we participate in the operations of our hotels only through our share of rent paid pursuant to the leases.

The ability of the TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries to pay rent is affected by factors beyond its control, such as changes in general economic conditions, the level of demand for hotels and the related services of our hotels, competition in the lodging and hospitality industry, the ability to maintain and increase gross revenue at our hotels and other factors relating to the operations of our hotels.

Although failure on the part of the TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries to materially comply with the terms of a lease (including failure to pay rent when due) would give us the right to terminate the lease, repossess the hotel and enforce the payment obligations under the lease, such steps may not provide us with any substantive relief since the TRS Lessee is our subsidiary. If we were to terminate a lease, we would then be required to find another lessee to lease the hotel or enter into a new lease with our TRS Lessee or its subsidiaries because we cannot operate hotel properties directly and remain qualified as a REIT. We cannot assure you that we would be able to find another lessee or that, if another lessee were found, we would be able to enter into a new lease on similar terms.

Because 10 of the 29 hotels are subject to ground, building or air leases with unaffiliated parties, termination of these leases by the lessors could cause us to lose the ability to operate these hotels altogether and incur substantial costs in restoring the premises.

Our rights to use the underlying land, building and/or air space of 10 of the 29 hotels are based upon our interest under long-term ground, building or air leases with unaffiliated parties. Pursuant to the terms of the ground, building and air leases for these hotels, we are required to pay all rent due and comply with all other lessee obligations. As of December 31, 2013, the terms of these ground, building and air leases (including renewal options) range from approximately 30 to 113 years. Any pledge of our interest in a ground, building or air lease may also require the consent of the applicable lessor and its lenders. As a result, we may not be able to sell, assign, transfer or convey our lessee's interest in any hotel subject to a ground, building or air lease in the future absent consent of such third parties even if such transactions may be in the best interest of our stockholders.

The lessors may require us, at the expiration or termination of the ground, building or air leases, to surrender or remove any improvements, alterations or additions to the land at our own expense. The ground, building or air leases also generally require us to restore the premises following a casualty and to apply in a specified manner any proceeds received in connection therewith. We may have to restore the premises if a material casualty, such as a fire or an act of nature, occurs and the cost thereof exceeds available insurance proceeds.

If we fail to maintain effective internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures in the future, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results, which could have an adverse effect on our business.

If our internal control over financial reporting and disclosure controls and procedures are not effective, we may not be able to provide reliable financial information. If we discover deficiencies in our internal controls, we will make efforts to remediate these deficiencies; however, there is no assurance that we will be successful either in identifying deficiencies or in their remediation. Any failure to maintain effective controls in the future could adversely affect our business or cause us to fail to meet our reporting obligations. Such non-compliance could also result in an adverse reaction in the financial marketplace due to a loss of investor confidence in the reliability of our financial statements. In addition, perceptions of our business among customers, suppliers, rating agencies, lenders, investors, securities analysts and others could be adversely affected.

Table of Contents

Risks Related to Our Organization and Structure

Provisions of Maryland law and our organizational documents may limit the ability of a third party to acquire control of our company and may serve to limit our stock price.

Provisions of Maryland law and our charter and bylaws could have the effect of discouraging, delaying or preventing transactions that involve an actual or threatened change in control of us, and may have the effect of entrenching our management and members of our board of directors, regardless of performance. These provisions include the following:

Aggregate Stock and Common Stock Ownership Limits. In order for us to qualify as a REIT, no more than 50% of the value of outstanding shares of our stock may be owned, actually or constructively, by five or fewer individuals at any time during the last half of each taxable year. To assure that we will not fail to qualify as a REIT under this test, subject to some exceptions, our charter prohibits any stockholder from owning beneficially or constructively more than 9.8% (in number or value, whichever is more restrictive) of the outstanding shares of our common stock or more than 9.8% of the value of the outstanding shares of our capital stock. Any attempt to own or transfer shares of our capital stock in excess of the ownership limit without the consent of our board of directors will be void and could result in the shares (and all dividends thereon) being automatically transferred to a charitable trust. The board of directors has granted waivers of the aggregate stock and common stock ownership limits to four look through entities such as mutual or investment funds. This ownership limitation may prevent a third party from acquiring control of us if our board of directors does not grant an exemption from the ownership limitation, even if our stockholders believe the change in control is in their best interests.

Authority to Issue Stock. Our charter authorizes our board of directors to cause us to issue up to 500,000,000 shares of common stock and up to 100,000,000 shares of preferred stock. Our charter authorizes our board of directors to amend our charter without stockholder approval to increase or decrease the aggregate number of shares of stock or the number of shares of any class or series of our stock that it has authority to issue, to classify or reclassify any unissued shares of our common stock or preferred stock and to set the preferences, rights and other terms of the classified or reclassified shares. Issuances of additional shares of stock may have the effect of delaying or preventing a change in control of our company, including change of control transactions offering a premium over the market price of shares of our common stock, even if our stockholders believe that a change of control is in their interest.

Number of Directors, Board Vacancies, Term of Office. Under our charter and bylaws, we have elected to be subject to certain provisions of Maryland law which vest in the board of directors the exclusive right to determine the number of directors and the exclusive right, by the affirmative vote of a majority of the remaining directors, to fill vacancies on the board even if the remaining directors do not constitute a quorum. Any director elected to fill a vacancy will hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders, and until his or her successor is elected and qualifies. As a result, stockholder influence over these matters is limited.

Limitation on Stockholder Requested Special Meetings. Our bylaws provide that our stockholders have the right to call a special meeting only upon the written request of the stockholders entitled to cast not less than a majority of all the votes entitled to be cast by the stockholders at such meeting. This provision makes it more difficult for stockholders to call special meetings.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Advance Notice Provisions for Stockholder Nominations and Proposals. Our bylaws require advance written notice for stockholders to nominate persons for election as directors at, or to bring other business before, any meeting of our stockholders. This bylaw provision limits the ability of our stockholders to make nominations of persons for election as directors or to introduce other proposals unless we are notified and provided certain required information in a timely manner prior to the meeting.

Authority of our Board to Amend our Bylaws. Our bylaws provide that our board of directors has the exclusive power to adopt, alter or repeal any provision of the bylaws or to make new bylaws, except with respect to amendments to the provision of our bylaws regarding our opt out of the Maryland Business Combination and Control Share Acquisition Acts. Thus, our stockholders may not effect any changes to our bylaws other than as noted in the preceding sentence.

Duties of Directors. Maryland law requires that a director perform his or her duties (1) in good faith, (2) in a manner he or she reasonably believes to be in the best interests of the corporation and (3) with the care that an ordinary prudent person in a like position would use under similar circumstances. The duty of the directors of a Maryland corporation does not require them to (1) accept, recommend or respond on behalf of the corporation to any proposal by a person seeking to acquire control of the corporation, (2) authorize the corporation to redeem any rights under, or modify or render inapplicable, a stockholders' rights plan, (3) elect on behalf of the corporation to be subject to or refrain from electing on behalf of the corporation to be subject to the unsolicited takeover provisions of Maryland law, (4) make a determination under the Maryland Business Combination Act or the Maryland Control Share Acquisition Act or (5) act or fail to act solely because of the effect the act or failure to act may have on an acquisition or potential acquisition of control of the corporation or the amount or type of consideration that may be offered or paid to the stockholders in an acquisition. Moreover, under Maryland law the act of the directors of a Maryland corporation relating to or affecting an acquisition or potential acquisition of control is not subject to any higher duty or greater scrutiny than is applied to any other act of a director. Maryland law also contains a statutory presumption that an act of a director of a Maryland corporation satisfies the applicable standards of conduct for directors under Maryland law. These provisions increase the ability of our directors to respond to a takeover and may make it more difficult for a third party to effect an unsolicited takeover.

Table of Contents

Unsolicited Takeover Provisions. Provisions of Maryland law permit the board of a corporation with a class of equity securities registered under the Exchange Act and at least three independent directors, without stockholder approval, to implement possible takeover defenses, such as a classified board or a two-thirds vote requirement for removal of a director. These provisions, if implemented, may make it more difficult for a third party to effect a takeover. In April 2013, however, we amended our charter to prohibit us from dividing directors into classes unless such action is first approved by the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast on the matter by stockholders entitled to vote generally in the election of directors.

We rely on our senior management team, the loss of whom could significantly harm our business.

Our continued success will depend to a significant extent on the efforts and abilities of our senior management team. These individuals are important to our business and strategy and to the extent that any of them departs and is not replaced with a qualified substitute, such person's departure could harm our operations and financial condition.

Risks Related to the Lodging and Real Estate Industries

A number of factors, many of which are common to the lodging industry and beyond our control, could affect our business, including the following:

- general economic and business conditions affecting the lodging and travel industry, internationally, nationally and locally, including a U.S. recession or global economic slowdown;
- threat of terrorism, terrorist events, airline strikes or other factors that may affect travel patterns and reduce the number of business and commercial travelers and tourists;
- volatility in the capital markets and the effect on the lodging demand or our ability to obtain capital on favorable terms or at all;
- increased competition from other hotels in our markets;
- new hotel supply in our markets, which could harm our occupancy levels and revenue at our hotels;
- unexpected changes in business, commercial and leisure travel and tourism;

- increases in operating costs due to inflation, labor costs, workers compensation and health-care related costs (including the impact of the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act), utility costs, insurance and unanticipated costs such as acts of nature and their consequences and other factors that may not be offset by increased room rates;
- changes in interest rates and in the availability, cost and terms of debt financing and other changes in our business that adversely affect our ability to comply with covenants in our debt financing;
- changes in our relationships with, and the performance and reputation of, our management companies and franchisors;
- changes in governmental laws and regulations, fiscal policies and zoning ordinances and the related costs of compliance with laws and regulations, fiscal policies and ordinances; and
- adverse effects of international market conditions, which may diminish the desire for leisure travel or the need for business travel, as well as national, regional and local economic and market conditions in which our hotels operate and where our customers live.

These factors could harm our financial condition, results of operations and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

The hotel business is seasonal and seasonal variations in revenue at our hotels can be expected to cause quarterly fluctuations in our revenue.

Quarterly revenue may also be harmed by events beyond our control, such as extreme weather conditions, terrorist attacks or alerts, contagious diseases, airline strikes, economic factors, natural disasters and other considerations affecting travel. Seasonal fluctuations in revenue may affect our ability to make distributions to our stockholders or to fund our debt service.

Table of Contents

The growth of internet reservation channels could adversely affect our business and profitability.

A significant percentage of hotel rooms for individual guests is booked through internet travel intermediaries. Many of our managers and franchisors contract with such intermediaries and pay them various commissions and transaction fees for sales of our rooms through their systems. If such bookings increase, these intermediaries may be able to obtain higher commissions, reduced room rates or other significant concessions from us or our franchisees. Although our managers and franchisors may have established agreements with many of these intermediaries that limit transaction fees for hotels, there can be no assurance that our managers and franchisors will be able to renegotiate such agreements upon their expiration with terms as favorable as the provisions that exist today. Moreover, hospitality intermediaries generally employ aggressive marketing strategies, including expending significant resources for online and television advertising campaigns to drive consumers to their websites. As a result, consumers may develop brand loyalties to the intermediaries' offered brands, websites and reservations systems rather than to brands of our managers and franchisors. If this happens, our business and profitability may be significantly negatively impacted.

In addition, in general, internet travel intermediaries have traditionally competed to attract individual consumers or transient business rather than group and convention business. However, hospitality intermediaries have recently grown their business to include marketing to large group and convention business. If that growth continues, it could both divert group and convention business away from our hotels, and it could also increase our cost of sales for group and convention business.

The illiquidity of real estate investments and the lack of alternative uses of hotel properties could significantly limit our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotels and harm our financial condition.

Because commercial real estate investments are relatively illiquid, our ability to promptly sell one or more of our hotels in response to changing economic, financial and investment conditions is limited. The real estate market, including our hotels, is affected by many factors, such as general economic conditions, availability of financing, interest rates and other factors, including supply and demand, that are beyond our control. We may not be able to sell any of our hotels on favorable terms. It may take a long time to find a willing purchaser and to close the sale of a hotel if we want to sell. Should we decide to sell a hotel during the term of that particular hotel's management agreement, we may have to pay termination fees, which could be substantial, to the applicable management company.

In addition, hotels may not be readily converted to alternative uses if they were to become unprofitable due to competition, age of improvements, decreased demand or other factors. The conversion of a hotel to alternative uses would also generally require substantial capital expenditures and may give rise to substantial payments to our franchisors, management companies and lenders.

We may be required to expend funds to correct defects or to make improvements before a hotel can be sold. We may not have funds available to correct those defects or to make those improvements and, as a result, our ability to sell the hotel would be restricted. In acquiring a hotel, we may agree to lock-out provisions that materially restrict us from selling that hotel for a period of time or impose other restrictions on us, such as a limitation on the amount of debt that can be placed or repaid on that hotel to address specific concerns of sellers. These lock-out provisions would restrict our ability to sell a hotel. These factors and any others that would impede our ability to respond to adverse changes in the performance of our hotels could harm our financial condition and results of operations.

Claims by persons relating to our properties could affect the attractiveness of our hotels or cause us to incur additional expenses.

We could incur liabilities resulting from loss or injury to our hotels or to persons at our hotels. These losses could be attributable to us or result from actions taken by a hotel management company. Claims such as these, whether or not they have merit, could harm the reputation of a hotel or cause us to incur expenses to the extent of insurance deductibles or losses in excess of policy limitations, which could harm our results of operations.

We have in the past and could in the future incur liabilities resulting from claims by hotel employees. While these claims are, for the most part, covered by insurance, some claims (such as claims for unpaid overtime wages) generally are not insured or insurable. These claims, whether or not they have merit, could harm the reputation of a hotel or cause us to incur losses which could harm our results of operations.

Uninsured and underinsured losses could harm our financial condition, results of operations and ability to make distributions to our stockholders.

Various types of litigation losses and catastrophic losses, such as losses due to wars, terrorist acts, earthquakes, floods, hurricanes, pollution or environmental matters, generally are either uninsurable or not economically insurable, or may be subject to insurance coverage limitations, such as large deductibles or co-payments.

Of the 29 hotels, nine are located in California, which has been historically at greater risk to certain acts of nature (such as fires, earthquakes and mudslides) than other states. In the event of a catastrophic loss, our insurance coverage may not be sufficient to cover the full current market value or replacement cost of our lost investment. Should an uninsured loss or a loss in excess of insured limits occur, we could lose all or a portion of the capital we have invested in a hotel, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the hotel. In that event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any notes payable or other financial obligations related to the property, in addition to obligations to our ground lessors, franchisors and managers. Inflation, changes in building codes and ordinances, environmental considerations and other factors might also keep us from using insurance proceeds to replace or renovate a hotel after it has been damaged or destroyed. Under those circumstances, the insurance proceeds we receive might be inadequate to restore our economic position on the damaged or destroyed hotel.

Table of Contents

Since September 11, 2001, it has generally become more difficult and expensive to obtain property and casualty insurance, including coverage for terrorism. When our current insurance policies expire, we may encounter difficulty in obtaining or renewing property or casualty insurance on our hotels at the same levels of coverage and under similar terms. Such insurance may be more limited and for some catastrophic risks (e.g., earthquake, fire, flood and terrorism) may not be generally available at current levels. Even if we are able to renew our policies or to obtain new policies at levels and with limitations consistent with our current policies, we cannot be sure that we will be able to obtain such insurance at premium rates that are commercially reasonable. If we are unable to obtain adequate insurance on our hotels for certain risks, it could cause us to be in default under specific covenants on certain of our indebtedness or other contractual commitments we have to our ground lessors, franchisors and managers which require us to maintain adequate insurance on our properties to protect against the risk of loss. If this were to occur, or if we were unable to obtain adequate insurance and our properties experienced damages which would otherwise have been covered by insurance, it could harm our financial condition and results of operations.

In addition, there are other risks, such as certain environmental hazards, that may be deemed to fall completely outside the general coverage limits of our policies or may be uninsurable or too expensive to justify coverage. We also may encounter challenges with an insurance provider regarding whether it will pay a particular claim that we believe to be covered under our policy. Should a loss in excess of insured limits or an uninsured loss occur, or should we be unsuccessful in obtaining coverage from an insurance carrier, we could lose all or a part of the capital we have invested in a property, as well as the anticipated future revenue from the hotel. In that event, we might nevertheless remain obligated for any mortgage debt or other financial obligations related to the property.

Terrorist attacks and military conflicts may adversely affect the hospitality industry.

The terrorist attacks on the World Trade Center and the Pentagon on September 11, 2001 underscore the possibility that large public facilities or economically important assets could become the target of terrorist attacks in the future. In particular, properties that are well-known or are located in concentrated business sectors in major cities may be subject to the risk of terrorist attacks. The occurrence or the possibility of terrorist attacks or military conflicts could:

- cause damage to one or more of our properties that may not be fully covered by insurance to the value of the damages;
- cause all or portions of affected properties to be shut down for prolonged periods, resulting in a loss of income;
- generally reduce travel to affected areas for tourism and business or adversely affect the willingness of customers to stay in or avail themselves of the services of the affected properties;
- expose us to a risk of monetary claims arising out of death, injury or damage to property caused by any such attacks; and
- result in higher costs for security and insurance premiums or diminish the availability of insurance coverage for losses related to terrorist attacks, particularly for properties in target areas, all of which could adversely affect our results.

We may not be able to recover fully under our existing terrorism insurance for losses caused by some types of terrorist acts, and federal terrorism legislation does not ensure that we will be able to obtain terrorism insurance in adequate amounts or at acceptable premium levels in the future.

We obtain terrorism insurance as part of our all-risk property insurance program. However, our all-risk policies have limitations such as per occurrence limits and sublimits that might have to be shared proportionally across participating hotels under certain loss scenarios. Also, all-risk insurers only have to provide terrorism coverage to the extent mandated by the Terrorism Risk Insurance Act (the TRIA) for certified acts of terrorism namely those which are committed on behalf of non-United States persons or interests. Furthermore, we do not have full replacement coverage for all of our properties for acts of terrorism committed on behalf of United States persons or interests (noncertified events), as well as for certified events, as our terrorism coverage for such incidents is subject to sublimits and/or annual aggregate limits. In addition, property damage related to war and to nuclear, biological and chemical incidents is excluded under our policies. To the extent we have property damage directly related to fire following a nuclear, biological or chemical incident, however, our coverage will extend to reimburse us for our losses. While the TRIA provides for the reimbursement of insurers for losses resulting from nuclear, biological and chemical perils, the TRIA does not require insurers to offer coverage for these perils and, to date, insurers are not willing to provide this coverage, even with government reinsurance. The TRIA is due to expire on December 31, 2014. There is no guaranty that terrorism insurance will be readily available or affordable before or after expiration of the TRIA in December 2014. As a result of the above, there remains considerable uncertainty regarding the extent and adequacy of terrorism coverage that will be available to protect our interests in the event of future terrorist attacks that impact our properties.

Laws and governmental regulations may restrict the ways in which we use our hotel properties and increase the cost of compliance with such regulations. Noncompliance with such regulations could subject us to penalties, loss of value of our properties or civil damages.

Our hotel properties are subject to various federal, state and local laws relating to the environment, fire and safety and access and use by disabled persons. Under these laws, courts and government agencies have the authority to require us, if we are the owner of a contaminated property, to clean up the property, even if we did not know of or were not responsible for the contamination. These laws also apply to persons who owned a property at the time it became contaminated. In addition to the costs of cleanup, environmental contamination can affect the value of a property and, therefore, an owner's ability to borrow funds using the property as collateral or to sell the property. Under such environmental laws, courts and government agencies also have the authority to require that a person who sent waste to a waste disposal facility, such as a landfill or an incinerator, pay for the clean-up of that facility if it becomes contaminated and threatens human health or the environment.

Furthermore, various court decisions have established that third parties may recover damages for injury caused by property contamination. For instance, a person exposed to asbestos while staying in or working at a hotel may seek to recover damages for injuries suffered. Additionally, some of these environmental laws restrict the use of a property or place conditions on various activities. For example, some laws require a business using chemicals (such as swimming pool chemicals at a hotel) to manage them carefully and to notify local officials that the chemicals are being used.

Table of Contents

We could be responsible for the types of costs discussed above. The costs to clean up a contaminated property, to defend against a claim, or to comply with environmental laws could be material and could reduce the funds available for distribution to our stockholders. Future laws or regulations may impose material environmental liabilities on us, or the current environmental condition of our hotel properties may be affected by the condition of the properties in the vicinity of our hotels (such as the presence of leaking underground storage tanks) or by third parties unrelated to us.

Our hotel properties are also subject to the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, or the ADA. Under the ADA, all public accommodations must meet various Federal requirements related to access and use by disabled persons. Compliance with the ADA's requirements could require removal of access barriers and non-compliance could result in the U.S. government imposing fines or in private litigants' winning damages. If we are required to make substantial modifications to our hotels, whether to comply with the ADA or other changes in governmental rules and regulations, our financial condition, results of operations and the ability to make distributions to our stockholders could be harmed. In addition, we are required to operate our hotel properties in compliance with fire and safety regulations, building codes and other land use regulations, as they may be adopted by governmental agencies and become applicable to our properties.

Tax and Employee Benefit Plan Risks

If we fail to qualify as a REIT, our distributions will not be deductible by us and our income will be subject to federal and state taxation, reducing our cash available for distribution.

We are a REIT under the Code, which affords us significant tax advantages. The requirements for qualifying as a REIT, however, are complex. If we fail to meet these requirements, our distributions will not be deductible by us and we will have to pay a corporate federal and state level tax on our income. This would substantially reduce our cash available to pay distributions and your yield on your investment in our common stock. In addition, such a tax liability might cause us to borrow funds, liquidate some of our investments or take other steps which could negatively affect our results of operations. Moreover, if our REIT status is terminated because of our failure to meet a technical REIT requirement or if we voluntarily revoke our election, we would generally be disqualified from electing treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year in which REIT status is lost.

Even as a REIT, we may become subject to federal, state or local taxes on our income or property, reducing our cash available for distribution.

Even as a REIT, we may become subject to federal income taxes and related state taxes. For example, if we have net income from a prohibited transaction, that income will be subject to a 100% tax. A prohibited transaction is, in general, the sale or other disposition of inventory or property, other than foreclosure property, held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business. We may not be able to make sufficient distributions to avoid excise taxes applicable to REITs. We may also decide to retain income we earn from the sale or other disposition of our property and pay federal income tax directly on that income. In that event, our stockholders would be treated as if they earned that income and paid the tax on it directly. However, stockholders that are tax-exempt, such as charities or qualified pension plans, would have no benefit from their deemed payment of that tax liability.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

We may also be subject to federal and/or state income taxes when using net operating loss carryforwards to offset current taxable income. During 2013 and 2012, our use of net operating loss carryforwards resulted in federal alternative minimum tax and state income tax expense (where our use of net operating loss carryforwards was either limited or unavailable) totaling \$1.9 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

We may also be subject to state and local taxes on our income or property at the level of our operating partnership or at the level of the other companies through which we indirectly own our assets. In the normal course of business, entities through which we own or operate real estate either have undergone, or are currently undergoing, tax audits. Although we believe that we have substantial arguments in favor of our positions in the ongoing audits, in some instances there is no controlling precedent or interpretive guidance on the specific point at issue. Should we receive a material tax deficiency notice in the future which requires us to incur additional expense, our earnings may be negatively impacted. There can be no assurance that future audits will not occur with increased frequency or that the ultimate result of such audits will not have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. We cannot assure you that we will be able to continue to satisfy the REIT requirements, or that it will be in our best interests to continue to do so.

If the leases of our hotels to our taxable REIT subsidiary are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to qualify as a REIT.

To qualify as a REIT, we must satisfy two gross income tests, under which specified percentages of our gross income must be passive income. Passive income includes rent paid pursuant to our operating leases between our TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries and our Operating Partnership. These rents constitute substantially all of our gross income. For the rent to qualify for purposes of the gross income tests, the leases must be respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes and not be treated as service contracts, joint ventures or some other type of arrangement. If the leases are not respected as true leases for federal income tax purposes, we would fail to qualify as a REIT.

Table of Contents

We may be subject to taxes in the event our operating leases are held not to be on an arm's-length basis.

In the event that leases between us and our taxable REIT subsidiaries are held not to have been made on an arm's-length basis, we or our taxable REIT subsidiaries could be subject to income taxes. In 2011, the Internal Revenue Service, or the IRS, notified us of their intent to audit our taxable REIT subsidiary, Sunstone Hotel TRS Lessee, Inc., and its subsidiaries. During 2013, the IRS issued a notice of proposed adjustment to us, challenging certain aspects of our leases with our TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries. Though we believe our leases comply with all Code requirements, we determined that the costs associated with defending our position were greater than the benefits that might result therefrom. As such, we recognized income tax expense of \$4.7 million related to the IRS's audit of tax years 2008, 2009 and 2010, including \$0.6 million in related interest expense. We recorded additional income tax expense of \$1.5 million during 2013 based on the ongoing evaluations of our uncertain tax positions related to 2012, and as a result of our recent resolution of outstanding issues with the IRS.

Our taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to special rules that may result in increased taxes.

Several Code provisions ensure that a taxable REIT subsidiary is subject to an appropriate level of federal income taxation. For example, a taxable REIT subsidiary, such as the TRS Lessee, is limited in its ability to deduct interest payments made to an affiliated REIT. In addition, the REIT has to pay a 100% penalty tax on some payments that it receives if the economic arrangements between us and the taxable REIT subsidiary are not comparable to similar arrangements between unrelated parties. The IRS may successfully assert that the economic arrangements of any of our inter-company transactions, including the hotel leases, are not comparable to similar arrangements between unrelated parties.

We may be required to pay a penalty tax upon the sale of a hotel.

The federal income tax provisions applicable to REITs provide that any gain realized by a REIT on the sale of property held as inventory or other property held primarily for sale to customers in the ordinary course of business is treated as income from a prohibited transaction that is subject to a 100% penalty tax. Under current law, unless a sale of real property qualifies for a safe harbor, the question of whether the sale of a hotel (or other property) constitutes the sale of property held primarily for sale to customers is generally a question of the facts and circumstances regarding a particular transaction. We may make sales that do not satisfy the requirements of the safe harbors or the IRS may successfully assert that one or more of our sales are prohibited transactions and, therefore we may be required to pay a penalty tax.

We also may be subject to corporate level income tax on certain built-in gains.

We hold certain properties acquired from C corporations (and may acquire additional such properties in the future), in which we must adopt the C corporation's tax basis in that asset as our tax basis. If we sell any such property within 10 years of the date on which we acquire it, then we will have to pay tax on the gain at the highest regular corporate tax rate.

Risks Related to Our Common Stock

The market price of our equity securities may vary substantially.

The trading prices of equity securities issued by REITs may be affected by changes in market interest rates and other factors. During 2013, our closing daily stock price fluctuated from a low of \$11.02 to a high of \$14.06. One of the factors that may influence the price of our common stock or preferred stock in public trading markets is the annual yield from distributions on our common stock or preferred stock, if any, as compared to yields on other financial instruments. An increase in market interest rates, or a decrease in our distributions to stockholders, may lead prospective purchasers of our stock to demand a higher annual yield, which could reduce the market price of our equity securities.

In addition to the risk factors discussed, other factors that could affect the market price of our equity securities include the following:

- a U.S. recession impacting the market for common equity generally;
- actual or anticipated variations in our quarterly or annual results of operations;

Table of Contents

- changes in market valuations of companies in the hotel or real estate industries;
- changes in expectations of our future financial performance, changes in our estimates by securities analysts or failures to achieve those expectations or estimates;
- the trading volumes of our stock;
- additional issuances of our common stock or other securities, including the issuance of our preferred stock;
- the addition or departure of board members or senior management;
- disputes with any of our lenders or managers or franchisors; and
- announcements by us or our competitors of acquisitions, investments or strategic alliances.

Our distributions to stockholders may vary.

We paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.50 per share to the stockholders of our Series A preferred stock through its redemption date in March 2013. We paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.393 per share to our Series C preferred stockholders through its redemption date in May 2013. In addition, we paid a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.50 per share to the stockholders of our Series D cumulative redeemable preferred stock, or the Series D preferred stock, in each of January, April, July and October 2013. We also paid a cash dividend of \$0.05 per share to the stockholders of our common stock in October 2013. In November 2013, our board of directors authorized the payment of a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.50 per share to our Series D preferred stockholders, and a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.05 per share to our common stockholders. We paid such dividends in January 2014. Distributions will be authorized and determined by our board of directors in its sole discretion from time to time and will be dependent upon a number of factors, including projected taxable income, restrictions under applicable law and our balance sheet and capital requirements. Furthermore, our board of directors may elect to pay dividends on our common stock by any means allowed under the Code, including a combination of cash and shares of our common stock. We cannot assure you as to the timing or amount of future dividends. We believe that investors consider the relationship of dividend yield to market interest rates to be an important factor in deciding whether to buy or sell shares of a REIT. If market interest rates increase, prospective purchasers of REIT shares may expect a higher dividend rate. Thus, higher market interest rates could cause the market price of our shares to decrease.

Distributions on our common stock may be made in the form of cash, stock, or a combination of both.

As a REIT, we are required to distribute at least 90% of our taxable income to our stockholders. Typically, we generate cash for distributions through our operations, the disposition of assets, or the incurrence of additional debt. We have elected in the past, and may elect in the future, to pay dividends on our common stock in cash, shares of common stock or a combination of cash and shares of common stock. Changes in our dividend policy could adversely affect the price of our stock.

The IRS may disallow our use of stock dividends to satisfy our distribution requirements.

We may elect to satisfy our REIT distribution requirements in the form of shares of our common stock along with cash. While we have received a private letter ruling from the IRS in the past, and plan to request another private letter ruling from the IRS regarding the treatment of these distributions for purposes of satisfying our REIT distribution requirements, we may make cash/common stock distributions prior to receiving such private letter ruling. Should the IRS disallow our future use of cash/common stock dividends, the distribution would not qualify for purposes of meeting our distribution requirements, and we would need to make additional all cash distributions to satisfy the distribution requirement through the use of the deficiency dividend procedures outlined in the Code.

Shares of our common stock that are or become available for sale could affect the share price.

Sales of a substantial number of shares of our common stock, or the perception that sales could occur, could adversely affect prevailing market prices for our common stock. In addition, a substantial number of shares of our common stock have been and will be issued or reserved for issuance from time to time under our employee benefit plans or pursuant to securities we may issue that are convertible into shares of our common stock or securities that are exchangeable for shares of our common stock.

Our earnings and cash distributions will affect the market price of shares of our common stock.

We believe that the market value of a REIT's equity securities is based primarily on the value of the REIT's owned real estate, capital structure, debt levels and perception of the REIT's growth potential and its current and potential future cash distributions, whether from operations, sales, acquisitions, development or refinancings. Because our market value is based on a combination of factors, shares of our common stock may trade at prices that are higher or lower than the net value per share of our underlying assets. To the extent we retain operating cash flow for investment purposes, working capital reserves or other purposes rather than distributing the cash flow to stockholders, these retained funds, while increasing the value of our underlying assets, may negatively impact the market price of our common stock. Our failure to meet our expectations or the market's expectation with regard to future earnings and cash distributions would likely adversely affect the market price of our common stock.

Table of Contents

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

The following table sets forth additional summary information with respect to our 29 hotels as of December 31, 2013:

Hotel	City	State	Chain Scale Segment (1)	Service Category	Rooms	Manager
Boston Park Plaza	Boston	Massachusetts	Upper Upscale	Full Service	1,053	Highgate
Courtyard by Marriott Los Angeles (2)	Los Angeles	California	Upscale	Select Service	185	IHR
Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square (2)	New York City	New York	Upper Upscale	Full Service	460	Highgate
Embassy Suites Chicago	Chicago	Illinois	Upper Upscale	Full Service	368	Davidson
Embassy Suites La Jolla	San Diego	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	340	Hilton
Fairmont Newport Beach (2)	Newport Beach	California	Luxury	Full Service	444	Fairmont
Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile	Chicago	Illinois	Upper Upscale	Full Service	357	Crestline
Hilton New Orleans St. Charles	New Orleans	Louisiana	Upper Upscale	Full Service	250	Dimension
Hilton North Houston	Houston	Texas	Upper Upscale	Full Service	480	IHR
Hilton San Diego Bayfront (2) (3)	San Diego	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	1,190	Hilton
Hilton Times Square (2)	New York City	New York	Upper Upscale	Full Service	460	Highgate
Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile (2)	Chicago	Illinois	Upper Upscale	Full Service	419	Davidson
Hyatt Regency Newport Beach (2)	Newport Beach	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	407	Hyatt
Hyatt Regency San Francisco	San Francisco	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	802	Hyatt
JW Marriott New Orleans (2)	New Orleans	Louisiana	Luxury	Full Service	496	Marriott
Marriott Boston Long Wharf	Boston	Massachusetts	Upper Upscale	Full Service	412	Marriott
Marriott Houston	Houston	Texas	Upper Upscale	Full Service	390	IHR
Marriott Park City	Park City	Utah	Upper Upscale	Full Service	199	IHR
Marriott Philadelphia	West Conshohocken	Pennsylvania	Upper Upscale	Full Service	289	Marriott
Marriott Portland	Portland	Oregon	Upper Upscale	Full Service	249	IHR
Marriott Quincy	Quincy	Massachusetts	Upper Upscale	Full Service	464	Marriott
Marriott Tysons Corner	Vienna	Virginia	Upper Upscale	Full Service	396	Marriott
Renaissance Harborplace (2)	Baltimore	Maryland	Upper Upscale	Full Service	622	Marriott
Renaissance Los Angeles Airport	Los Angeles	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	499	Marriott
Renaissance Long Beach	Long Beach	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	374	Marriott
Renaissance Orlando at SeaWorld® (4)	Orlando	Florida	Upper Upscale	Full Service	781	Marriott
Renaissance Washington DC	Washington, DC	District of Columbia	Upper Upscale	Full Service	807	Marriott
Renaissance Westchester	White Plains	New York	Upper Upscale	Full Service	348	Highgate
Sheraton Cerritos (2)	Cerritos	California	Upper Upscale	Full Service	203	IHR
Total number of rooms					13,744	

- (1) As defined by Smith Travel Research.
- (2) Subject to a ground, building or air lease with an unaffiliated third party.
- (3) 75% ownership interest.
- (4) 85% ownership interest.

In addition to the hotel properties listed above, as of December 31, 2013, we also owned one undeveloped parcel of land in Craig, Colorado.

Table of Contents

Geographic Diversity

We own a geographically diverse portfolio of hotels located in 12 states and in Washington, DC. The following tables summarize our 29 hotels by region as of December 31, 2013, and the operating statistics by region for 2013, 2012 and 2011, including prior ownership results for the 2013 acquisitions (Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, Boston Park Plaza and Hyatt Regency San Francisco), the 2012 acquisitions (Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile and Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile), and the 2011 acquisitions (Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, JW Marriott New Orleans and Hilton San Diego Bayfront).

Region	Number of Hotels	Number of Rooms	Percentage of 2013	
			Revenues	
California (1)	9	4,444	34.2%	
Other West (2)	4	1,318	6.0%	
Midwest (3)	3	1,144	7.0%	
East (4)	13	6,838	52.8%	
Total	29	13,744	100.0%	

Region	Occupancy	2013			2012			Change		
		ADR	RevPAR	Occupancy	ADR	RevPAR	Occupancy	ADR	RevPAR	
California (1)	83.4%	\$ 175.21	\$ 146.13	81.2%	\$ 169.45	\$ 137.59	220bps	3.4%	6.2%	
Other West (2)	79.5%	\$ 120.07	\$ 95.46	78.3%	\$ 113.80	\$ 89.11	120bps	5.5%	7.1%	
Midwest (3)	79.8%	\$ 179.57	\$ 143.30	81.7%	\$ 171.25	\$ 139.91	(190)bps	4.9%	2.4%	
East (4)	78.9%	\$ 201.18	\$ 158.73	78.8%	\$ 197.64	\$ 155.74	10bps	1.8%	1.9%	
Weighted Average	80.5%	\$ 183.05	\$ 147.36	79.8%	\$ 178.04	\$ 142.08	70bps	2.8%	3.7%	

Region	Occupancy	2012			2011			Change		
		ADR	RevPAR	Occupancy	ADR	RevPAR	Occupancy	ADR	RevPAR	
California (1)	81.2%	\$ 169.45	\$ 137.59	80.1%	\$ 161.26	\$ 129.17	110bps	5.1%	6.5%	
Other West (2)	78.3%	\$ 113.80	\$ 89.11	68.7%	\$ 112.69	\$ 77.42	960bps	1.0%	15.1%	
Midwest (3)	81.7%	\$ 171.25	\$ 139.91	77.8%	\$ 164.55	\$ 128.02	390bps	4.1%	9.3%	
East (4)	78.8%	\$ 197.64	\$ 155.74	76.8%	\$ 193.67	\$ 148.74	200bps	2.0%	4.7%	
Weighted Average	79.8%	\$ 178.04	\$ 142.08	77.2%	\$ 173.26	\$ 133.76	260bps	2.8%	6.2%	

-
- (1) All but one of these hotels are located in Southern California.
 - (2) Includes Oregon, Texas and Utah.
 - (3) Includes Illinois.
 - (4) Includes Florida, Louisiana, Maryland, Massachusetts, New York, Pennsylvania, Virginia and Washington, DC.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are involved from time to time in various claims and legal actions in the ordinary course of our business. We do not believe that the resolution of any such pending legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our financial position or results of operations when resolved.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

Our common stock is traded on the NYSE under the symbol SHO. On February 14, 2014, the last reported price per share of common stock on the NYSE was \$13.40. The table below sets forth the high and low closing price per share of our common stock as reported on the NYSE and the cash dividends per share of common stock we declared with respect to each period.

		High		Low		Dividends Declared
2012:						
First Quarter	\$	10.00	\$	8.06	\$	0.00
Second Quarter	\$	10.99	\$	9.27	\$	0.00
Third Quarter	\$	11.70	\$	9.68	\$	0.00
Fourth Quarter	\$	10.97	\$	9.34	\$	0.00
2013:						
First Quarter	\$	12.31	\$	11.02	\$	0.00
Second Quarter	\$	13.09	\$	11.14	\$	0.00
Third Quarter	\$	13.49	\$	11.87	\$	0.05
Fourth Quarter	\$	14.06	\$	12.50	\$	0.05

Subject to certain limitations, we intend to make dividends on our stock in amounts equivalent to 100% of our annual taxable income. The level of any future dividends will be determined by our Board of Directors after considering taxable income projections, expected capital requirements, risks affecting our business and in context of our leverage-reduction initiatives. As a result, any future common stock dividends may be comprised of cash only, or a combination of cash and stock, consistent with Internal Revenue Service guidelines.

As of February 14, 2014, we had approximately 24 holders of record of our common stock. However, because many of the shares of our common stock are held by brokers and other institutions on behalf of stockholders, we believe there are substantially more beneficial holders of our common stock than record holders. In order to comply with certain requirements related to our qualification as a REIT, our charter limits the number of common shares that may be owned by any single person or affiliated group to 9.8% of the outstanding common shares, subject to the ability of our board to waive this limitation under certain conditions.

Information relating to compensation plans under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance is set forth in Part III, Item 12 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Fourth Quarter 2013 Purchases of Equity Securities:

Period

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

		Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number (or Appropriate Dollar Value) of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1, 2013	October 31, 2013		\$		\$
November 1, 2013	November 30, 2013		\$		\$
December 1, 2013	December 31, 2013		\$		\$
Total					

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

The following table sets forth selected financial information for the Company that has been derived from the consolidated financial statements and notes. This information should be read in conjunction with Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011	Year Ended December 31, 2010	Year Ended December 31, 2009
Operating Data (\$ in thousands):					
REVENUES					
Room	\$ 653,955	\$ 576,146	\$ 501,183	\$ 351,039	\$ 336,981
Food and beverage	213,346	200,810	175,103	138,188	134,319
Other operating	56,523	52,128	45,508	26,373	30,241
Total revenues	923,824	829,084	721,794	515,600	501,541
OPERATING EXPENSES					
Room	170,361	147,932	128,225	92,101	85,879
Food and beverage	147,713	139,106	126,139	98,889	96,755
Other operating	16,819	16,162	14,004	11,535	11,786
Advertising and promotion	47,306	42,474	37,226	27,326	26,404
Repairs and maintenance	35,884	32,042	29,067	22,608	22,437
Utilities	27,006	25,596	25,537	19,117	18,879
Franchise costs	32,932	30,067	25,595	18,032	17,435
Property tax, ground lease and insurance	79,004	66,830	58,010	35,280	37,058
Property general and administrative	103,454	94,642	85,293	61,753	58,675
Corporate overhead	26,671	24,316	25,453	21,751	25,072
Depreciation and amortization	137,476	130,907	113,708	79,633	78,790
Impairment loss			10,862		2,823
Total operating expenses	824,626	750,074	679,119	488,025	481,993
Operating income	99,198	79,010	42,675	27,575	19,548
Equity in net earnings (losses) of unconsolidated joint ventures			21	555	(27,801)
Interest and other income	2,821	297	3,115	112	1,378
Interest expense	(72,239)	(76,821)	(74,195)	(58,931)	(62,137)
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	(44)	(191)			54,506
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests			69,230		
Income (loss) before income taxes and discontinued operations	29,736	2,295	40,846	(30,689)	(14,506)
Income tax provision	(8,145)	(1,148)			
Income (loss) from continuing operations	21,591	1,147	40,846	(30,689)	(14,506)
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	48,410	48,410	40,453	69,231	(255,102)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	70,001	49,557	81,299	38,542	(269,608)
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest	(4,013)	(1,761)	(312)		
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(32)	(31)	(30)		
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation	(201)				(447)
Preferred stock dividends, redemption charges and accretion	(19,013)	(29,748)	(27,321)	(20,652)	(20,749)

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation	(235)	(203)	(636)	(102)		
INCOME AVAILABLE (LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE) TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 46,507	\$ 17,814	\$ 53,000	\$ 17,788	\$ (290,804)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable) to common stockholders per diluted common share	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.11	\$ (0.52)	\$ (0.51)	
Cash dividends declared per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	\$ 0.00	
Balance Sheet Data (\$ in thousands):						
Investment in hotel properties, net (1)	\$ 3,231,382	\$ 2,681,877	\$ 2,532,232	\$ 1,666,180	\$ 1,670,164	
Total assets	\$ 3,508,798	\$ 3,136,675	\$ 3,101,240	\$ 2,436,106	\$ 2,513,530	
Total debt (1)	\$ 1,404,075	\$ 1,363,389	\$ 1,416,890	\$ 973,810	\$ 968,816	
Total liabilities	\$ 1,556,399	\$ 1,517,362	\$ 1,675,946	\$ 1,236,807	\$ 1,526,867	
Equity	\$ 1,952,399	\$ 1,519,313	\$ 1,325,294	\$ 1,099,299	\$ 886,767	

(1) Does not include hotels or debt which have been reclassified to discontinued operations, or which have been classified as held for sale.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this report.

Overview

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. is a Maryland corporation. We operate as a self-managed and self-administered real estate investment trust, or REIT. A REIT is a legal entity that directly or indirectly owns real estate assets. REITs generally are not subject to federal income taxes at the corporate level as long as they pay stockholder dividends equivalent to 100% of their taxable income. REITs are required to distribute to stockholders at least 90% of their taxable income. We own, directly or indirectly, 100% of the interests of Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (the Operating Partnership), which is the entity that directly or indirectly owns our hotel properties. We also own 100% of the interests of our taxable REIT subsidiary, Sunstone Hotel TRS Lessee, Inc., which leases all of our hotels from the Operating Partnership, and engages independent third-parties to manage our hotels. In addition, we own BuyEfficient, LLC (BuyEfficient), an electronic purchasing platform that allows members to procure food, operating supplies, furniture, fixtures and equipment.

We own primarily upper upscale hotels in the United States. As of December 31, 2013, we had interests in 29 hotels, which are currently held for investment (the 29 hotels). Of the 29 hotels, we classify 27 as upscale or upper upscale and two as luxury as defined by Smith Travel Research, Inc. All but one (the Boston Park Plaza) of our 29 hotels are operated under nationally recognized brands such as Marriott, Hilton, Hyatt, Fairmont and Sheraton, which are among the most respected and widely recognized brands in the lodging industry. While independent hotels may do well in strong market locations, we believe the largest and most stable segment of travelers prefer the consistent service and quality associated with nationally recognized brands.

We seek to own hotels primarily in urban locations that benefit from significant barriers to entry by competitors. All of our 29 hotels are considered business, convention, or airport hotels, as opposed to resort or leisure hotels. The hotels comprising our 29 hotel portfolio average 474 rooms in size.

Our mission is to create meaningful value for our stockholders by becoming the premier hotel owner. Our values include transparency, trust, ethical conduct, communication and discipline. As demand for lodging generally fluctuates with the overall economy (we refer to these changes in demand as the lodging cycle), we seek to employ a balanced, cycle-appropriate corporate strategy. Our strategy over the next several years, during what we believe will be the middle/mature phase of the lodging cycle, is to improve the quality and scale of our portfolio while gradually deleveraging our balance sheet. Our goal is to achieve low leverage and high financial flexibility by the time the current cycle peaks. We believe if we are successful in executing on this strategy, we will position the Company to create value during the next successive cyclical trough by aggressively acquiring distressed assets or securities. Our strategic plan encompasses several elements, including proactive portfolio management, intensive asset management, disciplined external growth and measured balance sheet improvement as detailed below:

- *Proactive Portfolio Management.* The leaders of each of our core disciplines function as a portfolio management team. The portfolio management team's purpose is to strategically maximize the long-term value of our assets by enhancing our portfolio quality and scale, optimizing our exposure to key markets, and improving the effectiveness and efficiency of our decision making. Accordingly, the team is

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

responsible for developing a portfolio-wide strategy related to brand and operator relationships, asset quality and scale, target markets, capital investments, and portfolio capitalizations. Our portfolio strategy may also include the disposition of certain hotels.

- *Intensive Asset Management.* Through all phases of the lodging cycle, our strategy emphasizes internal growth and value enhancements through proactive asset management, which entails working closely with our third-party hotel operators to develop and implement long-term strategic plans for each hotel designed to enhance revenues, minimize operational expenses and asset risk, maximize the appeal of our hotels to travelers and maximize our return on invested capital. We also focus on improving the appeal and growth potential of our existing hotels through internally-managed hotel renovations.
- *Disciplined External Growth.* By gradually increasing the scale and quality of our portfolio, we may provide our stockholders with greater exposure to key growth markets, improved liquidity and broader access to value-adding transactions. Accordingly, our strategy emphasizes disciplined external growth during the recovery phase of the lodging cycle. Our external growth plan is oriented around investing in institutional-quality hotels that generate returns in excess of our cost of capital, that are additive to the quality of our portfolio, that have attractive growth potential and that may benefit from our asset management competencies. We endeavor to structure our acquisitions in ways that will not only increase the value of our shares of common stock, but also will advance our other corporate objectives, such as improving our financial flexibility and reducing our leverage. During periods of cyclical decline, our strategy may emphasize opportunistically investing in distressed assets and the repurchase of our equity or debt securities. In addition to hotel acquisitions, we may seek to grow our portfolio by making investments in defaulted and/or distressed debt positions in loan-to-own hotel transactions, utilizing our REIT structure to effect strategic combinations with select property owners, effecting portfolio purchases from institutional and other owners seeking portfolio liquidity, and by providing capital solutions to illiquid owners facing debt maturities or capital requirements.

Table of Contents

- *Measured Balance Sheet Improvement.* We believe that a low overall cost of capital and significant financial flexibility are very important to the successful execution of our strategy. Our balance sheet strategy is oriented toward maximizing financial flexibility especially during cyclical declines. Accordingly, our financial objectives include the measured improvement of our credit ratios and the maintenance of appropriate levels of liquidity throughout the cyclical recovery phase. Our financial objectives are integral to our overall corporate strategy and, accordingly, we have developed our financial objectives in conjunction with our portfolio management and growth objectives. The lodging industry is economically sensitive. Therefore, our financial objectives are aimed at reducing the potentially negative impact of combining high operating leverage with high financial leverage, while preserving access to multiple capital sources and minimizing our weighted-average cost of capital. We seek to capitalize our acquisitions in a way that will advance our financial objectives. During the mature phase of the lodging cycle, our financial objectives may include increasing our liquidity position as a means to enhance financial flexibility in the event of a subsequent period of cyclical decline. Our liquidity improvement objective may be accomplished through selective hotel dispositions, capital raises or by retaining excess cash generated by our operations.

During the past four years, demand for lodging in the U.S. has increased, which has resulted in improved hotel revenues and profits. In light of increasing demand for lodging and generally muted supply of new hotel development, we believe we are currently in the middle phase of a cyclical lodging recovery. Hotels acquired during the early stages of past cyclical recoveries have benefited from multi-year increases in profitability. Accordingly, during the past three years, we selectively acquired interests in eight hotels: the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011; the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011; the Hilton San Diego Bayfront in April 2011; the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile in June 2012; the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile in July 2012; the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles in May 2013; the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013; and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco in December 2013. Based on our purchase prices, the combined asset value of these eight hotels totals \$1.5 billion, or \$299,000 per key. In addition, we purchased the outside 50.0% equity interest in our BuyEfficient joint venture in January 2011. Our acquisition program is aimed at generating attractive risk-adjusted returns on our investment dollars. We, therefore, may target lodging assets outside of the typical branded, urban, upper upscale profile represented by our existing portfolio in order to capitalize on opportunities which may arise. We intend to select the brands and operators for our hotels that we believe will lead to the highest returns.

On May 1, 2013, we purchased the 250-room Hilton New Orleans St. Charles for a net purchase price of \$59.1 million, including \$0.2 million of proration credits and unrestricted cash received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with \$53.2 million of proceeds generated by our January 2013 sale of four hotels and a commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota, as well as with proceeds received from our February 2013 issuance of common stock.

On July 2, 2013, we purchased the 1,053-room Boston Park Plaza for a net purchase price of \$248.0 million, including \$2.0 million of proration credits, unrestricted and restricted cash and other adjustments received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with \$92.3 million of proceeds generated by our January 2013 sale of four hotels and a commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota, the assumption of a \$119.2 million non-recourse loan secured by the hotel, as well as with proceeds received from the Company's February 2013 issuance of common stock and with cash on hand. The mortgage we assumed in conjunction with our purchase of the Boston Park Plaza bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.4%, and matures in February 2018.

On December 2, 2013, we purchased the 802-room Hyatt Regency San Francisco for a net purchase price of \$262.5 million, including \$5.5 million of purchase price adjustments comprised of restricted cash and other adjustments received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with proceeds generated by our November 2013 issuance of common stock.

The scope of our acquisitions program may include large hotel portfolios or hotel loans. Future acquisitions may be funded by our issuance of additional debt or equity securities, including our common and preferred OP units, or by draws on our \$150.0 million senior corporate credit facility. However, in light of our long-term financial objectives, we expect to fund the majority of our near-term acquisitions with a greater

proportion of equity capital than debt capital.

We have from time to time divested of assets that no longer fit our target profile, will not offer long-term returns in excess of our cost of capital, or that have high risk relative to their anticipated return expectations. In connection with this strategy, during the past three years, we sold 10 hotels: the Royal Palm Miami Beach in April 2011; the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon in October 2011; the Marriott Del Mar in August 2012; the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar, and the Marriott Troy in September 2012; and the Kahler Grand, the Kahler Inn & Suites, the Marriott Rochester and the Residence Inn by Marriott Rochester (the Rochester Hotels) in January 2013. Based on our sales prices, the combined asset value of these 10 hotels totals \$547.2 million, or \$182,000 per key. In addition, during the past three years, we sold the following non-hotel assets: a commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah in July 2011; an office building adjacent to the Marriott Troy in September 2012; and a commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota in January 2013.

Table of Contents

In January 2013, we sold the Rochester Hotels and a commercial laundry facility (together with the Rochester Hotels, the Rochester Portfolio) in Rochester, Minnesota, to an unaffiliated third party, for net proceeds of \$195.6 million, of which \$145.7 million was deposited with an accommodator in order to facilitate tax-deferred exchanges. During 2013, all of the cash held by an accommodator was utilized to partially fund the tax-deferred exchanges of the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles and the Boston Park Plaza. The Rochester Hotels include the 660-room Kahler Grand, the 271-room Kahler Inn & Suites, the 202-room Marriott Rochester and the 89-room Residence Inn by Marriott Rochester. We recognized a net gain on the sale of \$51.6 million. We retained a \$25.0 million preferred equity investment (the Preferred Equity Investment) in the Rochester Hotels that yields an 11% dividend, resulting in a deferred gain on the sale of \$25.0 million. The \$25.0 million gain will be deferred until the Preferred Equity Investment is repaid. We also provided a \$3.7 million working cash advance to the buyer, resulting in a deferred gain on the sale of \$3.7 million. The \$3.7 million gain will be deferred until we are repaid from the Rochester Portfolio's available cash flow. In addition, we retained a \$14.0 million liability related to the Rochester Portfolio's pension plan, which could be triggered in certain circumstances, including termination of the pension plan. The recognition of the \$14.0 million pension plan liability reduced our gain on the sale of the Rochester Portfolio. The \$14.0 million gain will be recognized, if at all, when and to the extent we are released from any potential liability related to the Rochester Portfolio's pension plan. Concurrent with the Rochester Portfolio sale, we extinguished the outstanding \$26.7 million mortgage secured by the Kahler Grand for a total cost of \$29.8 million, prepaid the \$0.4 million loan secured by the commercial laundry facility, and recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$3.1 million which is included in discontinued operations.

In January 2013, we repurchased \$42.0 million of our Operating Partnership's 4.60% exchangeable senior notes (the Senior Notes) pursuant to a tender offer, and redeemed the remaining \$16.0 million of the Senior Notes. We funded the total \$58.0 million in Senior Note redemptions with available cash, leaving no future amounts outstanding related to the Senior Notes. We recognized a loss of \$44,000 on this early extinguishment of debt.

In February 2013, we issued 25,300,000 shares of our common stock, including the underwriters' over-allotment of 3,300,000 shares, for net proceeds of \$294.9 million. We used these proceeds to redeem all of our Series A preferred stock and all of our Series C preferred stock, as well as to partially fund our acquisitions of the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles and the Boston Park Plaza.

In March 2013, we used a portion of the proceeds we received from our February 2013 common stock offering to redeem all 7,050,000 shares of our Series A preferred stock for an aggregate redemption price of \$178.6 million, including \$2.3 million in accrued dividends. An additional redemption charge of \$4.6 million was recognized related to the original issuance costs of the Series A preferred stock, which were previously included in additional paid in capital. After the redemption date, we have no outstanding shares of Series A preferred stock, and all rights of the holders of such shares were terminated. Because we redeemed the Series A preferred stock in full, trading of the Series A preferred stock on the New York Stock Exchange ceased after the redemption date.

In May 2013, in anticipation of our acquisition of the Boston Park Plaza and the \$119.2 million mortgage debt we assumed, we used a portion of the proceeds we received from our February 2013 common stock offering to redeem all 4,102,564 shares of our Series C preferred stock for an aggregate redemption price of \$101.1 million, including \$1.1 million in accrued dividends. An additional redemption charge of \$0.1 million was recognized related to the original issuance costs of the Series C preferred stock, which were previously included in additional paid in capital. After the redemption date, we have no outstanding shares of Series C preferred stock, and all rights of the holders of such shares were terminated.

In November 2013, we issued 20,000,000 shares of our common stock for net proceeds of \$270.9 million. We used a portion of the net proceeds from this offering to purchase the Hyatt Regency San Francisco, and intend to use the remaining proceeds for potential future acquisitions, capital investment in our portfolio and other general corporate purposes, including working capital.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

As of December 31, 2013, the weighted average term to maturity of our debt is approximately four years, and 70.7% of our debt is fixed rate with a weighted average interest rate of 5.4%. The weighted average interest rate on all of our debt, which includes our variable-rate debt obligations based on variable rates at December 31, 2013, is 4.9%.

Operating Activities

Operating Performance Indicators. The following performance indicators are commonly used in the hotel industry:

- *Occupancy;*
- *Average daily room rate, or ADR;*
- *Revenue per available room, or RevPAR, which is the product of occupancy and ADR, and does not include food and beverage revenue, or other operating revenue;*
- *Comparable RevPAR, which we define as the RevPAR generated by hotels we owned as of the end of the reporting period, but excluding those hotels that we classified as held for sale and those hotels whose room counts have materially changed during either the current or prior year. For hotels that were not owned for the entirety of the comparison periods, comparable RevPAR is calculated using RevPAR generated during periods of prior ownership. We refer to this subset of our hotels used to calculate comparable RevPAR as our Comparable Portfolio. Currently our Comparable Portfolio includes all hotels in which we have interests as of December 31, 2013, excluding the Boston Park Plaza due to the hotel adding 12 rooms in September 2012, and an additional 100 rooms in January 2013. In addition, our Comparable Portfolio includes prior ownership results for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans, the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco;*

Table of Contents

- *RevPAR index*, which is the quotient of a hotel's RevPAR divided by the average RevPAR of its competitors, multiplied by 100. A RevPAR index in excess of 100 indicates a hotel is achieving higher RevPAR than the average of its competitors. In addition to absolute RevPAR index, we monitor changes in RevPAR index;
- *Operating flow through*, which is the comparison between reporting periods of the change in hotel EBITDA divided by the change in hotel revenues;
- *EBITDA*, which is net income (loss) excluding: non-controlling interests; interest expense; provision for income taxes, including income taxes applicable to sale of assets; and depreciation and amortization;
- *Adjusted EBITDA*, which includes EBITDA but excludes: amortization of deferred stock compensation; the impact of any gain or loss from asset sales; impairment charges; prior year property tax assessments or credits; and any other identified adjustments;
- *Funds from operations*, or FFO, which includes net income (loss), excluding non-controlling interests, gains and losses from sales of property, plus real estate-related depreciation and amortization (excluding amortization of deferred financing costs) and real estate-related impairment losses, and after adjustment for unconsolidated partnerships and joint ventures; and
- *Adjusted FFO*, which includes FFO but excludes penalties, written-off deferred financing costs, non-real estate-related impairment losses and any other identified adjustments.

Revenues. Substantially all of our revenues are derived from the operation of our hotels. Specifically, our revenues consist of the following:

- *Room revenue*, which is the product of the number of rooms sold and the ADR;
- *Food and beverage revenue*, which is comprised of revenue realized in the hotel food and beverage outlets as well as banquet and catering events; and
- *Other operating revenue*, which includes ancillary hotel revenue and other items primarily driven by occupancy such as telephone, transportation, parking, spa, entertainment and other guest services. Additionally, this category includes, among other things, operating revenue from BuyEfficient (subsequent to our purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in January 2011), and hotel space leased by third parties.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Expenses. Our expenses consist of the following:

- *Room expense*, which is primarily driven by occupancy and, therefore, has a significant correlation with room revenue;
- *Food and beverage expense*, which is primarily driven by food and beverage sales and banquet and catering bookings and, therefore, has a significant correlation with food and beverage revenue;
- *Other operating expense*, which includes the corresponding expense of other operating revenue, advertising and promotion, repairs and maintenance, utilities, and franchise costs;
- *Property tax, ground lease and insurance expense*, which includes the expenses associated with property tax, ground lease and insurance payments, each of which is primarily a fixed expense, but property tax is subject to regular revaluations based on the specific tax regulations and practices of each municipality;
- *Property general and administrative expense*, which includes our property-level general and administrative expenses, such as payroll and related costs, contract and professional fees, credit and collection expenses, employee recruitment, relocation and training expenses, travel expenses, and management fees. Additionally, this category includes general and administrative expense for BuyEfficient (subsequent to our purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in January 2011);

Table of Contents

- *Corporate overhead expense*, which includes our corporate-level expenses, such as payroll and related costs, amortization of deferred stock compensation, acquisition and due diligence costs, legal expenses, contract and professional fees, bad debt, relocation, entity-level state franchise and minimum taxes, travel expenses and office rent;
- *Depreciation and amortization expense*, which includes depreciation on our hotel buildings, improvements, furniture, fixtures and equipment, along with amortization on our franchise fees and certain intangibles. Additionally, this category includes depreciation and amortization related to both our corporate office and BuyEfficient's fixtures, equipment and intangibles (subsequent to our purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in January 2011); and
- *Impairment loss*, which includes the charges we have recognized to reduce the carrying value of assets on our balance sheets to their fair value.

Other Revenue and Expense. Other revenue and expense consists of the following:

- *Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures*, which includes our portion of earnings from our two joint ventures, BuyEfficient and Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, prior to our acquisitions of the outside interests in both joint ventures in January 2011. Subsequent to these acquisitions, both entities are now presented on a consolidated basis;
- *Interest and other income*, which includes interest we have earned on our restricted and unrestricted cash accounts, the Preferred Equity Investment, and the Royal Palm note (which was sold in 2011), as well as any gains or losses we have recognized on sales of assets other than real estate investments;
- *Interest expense*, which includes interest expense incurred on our outstanding fixed and variable-rate debt, capital lease obligation, accretion of the Senior Notes, amortization of deferred financing fees, any write-offs of deferred financing fees, gains or losses on derivatives and any loan penalties and fees incurred on our debt;
- *Loss on extinguishment of debt*, which includes the loss we recognized on the repurchase and cancellation of the Senior Notes;
- *Gain on remeasurement of equity interests*, which includes the gain we recognized to mark up the equity interests in our BuyEfficient and Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint ventures to fair market value upon our purchases of the outside equity interests in these joint ventures, as well as our gain to mark up the mezzanine loan to its fair value in connection with the acquisition of the outside equity interest in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture;

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

- *Income tax provision*, which includes federal and state income taxes charged to the Company, and any adjustments to unrecognized tax positions, along with any related interest and penalties incurred;
- *Income from discontinued operations*, which includes the results of operations for any hotels or other real estate investments sold during the reporting period, along with the gain or loss realized on the sale of these assets and any extinguishments of related debt;
- *Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest*, which includes net income attributable to the outside 25.0% interest in the joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront;
- *Distributions to non-controlling interest*, which includes preferred dividends earned by investors from an entity that owns the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, including related administrative fees;
- *Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation*, which includes dividends earned on our unvested restricted stock awards;
- *Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges*, which includes dividends earned on our Series A preferred stock and Series C preferred stock up until their redemptions in March 2013 and May 2013, respectively, and 8.0% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series D preferred stock), as well as redemption charges for preferred stock redemptions made in excess of net carrying values; and
- *Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation*, which includes undistributed income allocated to unvested share-based payment awards that contain nonforfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) pursuant to the two-class method.

Table of Contents

Factors Affecting Our Operating Results. The primary factors affecting our operating results include overall demand for hotel rooms, the pace of new hotel development, or supply, the effectiveness of our operators in increasing revenue and controlling hotel operating expenses and the timing and scope of renovations.

- **Demand.** The demand for lodging generally fluctuates with the overall economy. Demand for our hotels has improved each year since 2010. In 2011, our Comparable Portfolio RevPAR increased 8.2% as compared to 2010, with a 290 basis point increase in portfolio occupancy. Demand continued to improve in 2012 and 2013. As a result, our Comparable Portfolio RevPAR increased 5.6% in 2012 as compared to 2011, and 4.3% in 2013 as compared to 2012. Comparable Portfolio occupancy increased 240 basis points in 2012 as compared to 2011, and increased an additional 80 basis points in 2013 as compared to 2012. Our operating statistics improved in 2013 as compared to both 2012 and 2011, even as several of our hotels were under major room renovations during 2013. Consistent with prior trends, we anticipate that lodging demand will continue to improve as the U.S. economy continues to strengthen. Historically, cyclical troughs are followed by extended periods of relatively strong demand, resulting in a cyclical lodging growth phase. While growth is not expected to be uniform, we expect hotel demand to remain strong over the next several years if the U.S. economy continues to grow and employment levels continue to improve.
- **Supply.** The addition of new competitive hotels affects the ability of existing hotels to drive RevPAR and profits. The development of new hotels is largely driven by construction costs and expected performance of existing hotels. The recession and credit crisis which occurred in 2008 and 2009, served to restrict credit and tighten lending standards, which resulted in a curtailment of funding for new hotel construction projects. Moreover, with same-property hotel profitability still below peak levels and hotel trading values generally well below replacement cost, new supply in many markets is difficult to justify economically. Accordingly, we believe hotel development will be constrained until such time as the construction financing markets recover, and operating trends and trading values of existing hotels improve to levels where developer return targets can be achieved. Given the one-to-three-year timeline needed to construct a typical hotel that would compete with our hotels, we expect a window of several years during which aggregate U.S. hotel supply, as indicated by the number of new hotel openings, will be below historical levels. On a market-by-market basis, some markets may experience new hotel room openings at or greater than historic levels, including in New York City, Washington D.C. and Chicago where there are currently higher-than-average supplies of new hotel room openings. In addition, lenders are seeking higher yielding instruments, which may lead to riskier lending practices, including lending on new hotel construction.
- **Revenues and expenses.** We believe that marginal improvements in RevPAR index, even in the face of declining revenues, are a good indicator of the relative quality and appeal of our hotels, and our operators' effectiveness in maximizing revenues. Similarly, we also evaluate our operators' effectiveness in minimizing incremental operating expenses in the context of increasing revenues or, conversely, in reducing operating expenses in the context of declining revenues.

With respect to improving RevPAR index, we continue to work with our hotel operators to optimize revenue management initiatives while taking into consideration market demand trends and the pricing strategies of competitor hotels in our markets. We also develop capital investment programs designed to ensure each of our hotels is well renovated and positioned to appeal to groups and individual travelers fitting target guest profiles. Increased capital investment in our properties may lead to short-term revenue disruption and negatively impact RevPAR index. Our revenue management initiatives are generally oriented towards maximizing ADR even if the result may be lower occupancy than may be achieved through lower ADR. Increases in RevPAR attributable to increases in ADR may be accompanied by minimal additional expenses, while increases in RevPAR attributable to higher occupancy may result in higher variable expenses such as housekeeping, labor and utilities expense. Thus, increases in RevPAR associated with higher ADR may result in higher hotel EBITDA margins. Increases in RevPAR associated with higher occupancy may result in more muted hotel EBITDA margin improvement. Our Comparable Portfolio RevPAR index was 111.0 in 2011, decreasing approximately 10 basis points in 2012 to 110.9 due to several capital investment programs at our hotels. Our 2013 Comparable Portfolio RevPAR index, which was also negatively impacted by several capital investment programs at our hotels, decreased 60 basis points to 110.3.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

With respect to maximizing operating flow through, we continue to work with our operators to identify operational efficiencies designed to reduce expenses while minimally affecting guest experience. Key asset management initiatives include optimizing hotel staffing levels, increasing the efficiency of the hotels, such as installing energy efficient management and inventory control systems, and selectively combining food and beverage outlets. Our operational efficiency initiatives may be difficult to implement, as most categories of variable operating expenses, such as utilities and housekeeping labor costs, fluctuate with changes in occupancy. Furthermore, our hotels operate with significant fixed costs, such as general and administrative expense, insurance, property taxes, and other expenses associated with owning hotels, over which our operators have little control. We have experienced either currently or in the past, increases in hourly wages, employee benefits (especially health insurance), utility costs and property insurance, which have negatively affected our operating margins. Moreover, there are limits to how far our operators can reduce expenses without affecting brand standards or the competitiveness of our hotels. Our Comparable Portfolio operating flow through was 48% in 2012 as compared to 2011, and 39% in 2013 as compared to 2012.

Table of Contents

Operating Results. The following table presents our operating results for our total portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, including the amount and percentage change in the results between the two periods. The table presents the results of operations included in the consolidated statements of operations, and includes the 29 hotels (13,744 rooms) as of December 31, 2013 and 26 hotels (11,632 rooms) as of December 31, 2012, as well as discontinued operations for 4 hotels (1,222 rooms) as of December 31, 2013 and 8 hotels (2,342 rooms) as of December 31, 2012. These amounts can be found in our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	2013	2012	Change \$	Change %
	(dollars in thousands, except statistical data)			
REVENUES				
Room	\$ 653,955	\$ 576,146	\$ 77,809	13.5%
Food and beverage	213,346	200,810	12,536	6.2%
Other operating	56,523	52,128	4,395	8.4%
Total revenues	923,824	829,084	94,740	11.4%
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Hotel operating	557,025	500,209	56,816	11.4%
Property general and administrative	103,454	94,642	8,812	9.3%
Corporate overhead	26,671	24,316	2,355	9.7%
Depreciation and amortization	137,476	130,907	6,569	5.0%
Total operating expenses	824,626	750,074	74,552	9.9%
Operating income	99,198	79,010	20,188	25.6%
Interest and other income	2,821	297	2,524	849.8%
Interest expense	(72,239)	(76,821)	4,582	6.0%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(44)	(191)	147	77.0%
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations	29,736	2,295	27,441	1,195.7%
Income tax provision	(8,145)	(1,148)	(6,997)	(609.5)%
Income from continuing operations	21,591	1,147	20,444	1,782.4%
Income from discontinued operations	48,410	48,410		0.0%
NET INCOME	70,001	49,557	20,444	41.3%
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest	(4,013)	(1,761)	(2,252)	(127.9)%
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(32)	(31)	(1)	(3.2)%
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation	(201)		(201)	(100.0)%
Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges	(19,013)	(29,748)	10,735	36.1%
Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation	(235)	(203)	(32)	(15.8)%
INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 46,507	\$ 17,814	\$ 28,693	161.1%

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table presents our operating results for our total portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, including the amount and percentage change in the results between the two periods. The table presents the results of operations included in the consolidated statements of operations, and includes continuing operations for 26 hotels (11,632 rooms) as of December 31, 2012 and 24 hotels (10,857 rooms) as of December 31, 2011, as well as discontinued operations for 8 hotels (2,342 rooms) as of December 31, 2012 and 10 hotels (3,017 rooms) as of December 31, 2011. These amounts can be found in our consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

	2012	2011	Change \$	Change %
	(dollars in thousands, except statistical data)			
REVENUES				
Room	\$ 576,146	\$ 501,183	\$ 74,963	15.0%
Food and beverage	200,810	175,103	25,707	14.7%
Other operating	52,128	45,508	6,620	14.5%
Total revenues	829,084	721,794	107,290	14.9%
OPERATING EXPENSES				
Hotel operating	500,209	443,803	56,406	12.7%
Property general and administrative	94,642	85,293	9,349	11.0%
Corporate overhead	24,316	25,453	(1,137)	(4.5)%
Depreciation and amortization	130,907	113,708	17,199	15.1%
Impairment loss		10,862	(10,862)	(100.0)%
Total operating expenses	750,074	679,119	70,955	10.4%
Operating income	79,010	42,675	36,335	85.1%
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures		21	(21)	(100.0)%
Interest and other income	297	3,115	(2,818)	(90.5)%
Interest expense	(76,821)	(74,195)	(2,626)	(3.5)%
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(191)		(191)	(100.0)%
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests		69,230	(69,230)	(100.0)%
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations	2,295	40,846	(38,551)	(94.4)%
Income tax provision	(1,148)		(1,148)	(100.0)%
Income from continuing operations	1,147	40,846	(39,699)	(97.2)%
Income from discontinued operations	48,410	40,453	7,957	19.7%
NET INCOME	49,557	81,299	(31,742)	(39.0)%
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest	(1,761)	(312)	(1,449)	(464.4)%
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(31)	(30)	(1)	(3.3)%
Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges	(29,748)	(27,321)	(2,427)	(8.9)%
Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation	(203)	(636)	433	68.1%
INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 17,814	\$ 53,000	\$ (35,186)	(66.4)%

Table of Contents

Operating Statistics. The following tables include comparisons of the key operating metrics for our portfolio, including prior ownership results as applicable for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans, the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, the Boston Park Plaza and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco.

	Occ%	2013 ADR	RevPAR	Occ%	2012 ADR	RevPAR	Occ%	Change ADR	RevPAR
Total Hotel Portfolio (29 hotels)	80.5%	\$ 183.05	\$ 147.36	79.8%	\$ 178.04	\$ 142.08	70bps	2.8%	3.7%
Comparable Hotel Portfolio (28 hotels) (1)	80.2%	\$ 184.65	\$ 148.09	79.4%	\$ 178.75	\$ 141.93	80bps	3.3%	4.3%
Comparable Hotel Portfolio adjusted for change in Marriott calendar (28 hotels) (2)	80.2%	\$ 184.79	\$ 148.20	79.4%	\$ 178.64	\$ 141.84	80bps	3.4%	4.5%

	Occ%	2012 ADR	RevPAR	Occ%	2011 ADR	RevPAR	Occ%	Change ADR	RevPAR
Total Hotel Portfolio (29 hotels)	79.8%	\$ 178.04	\$ 142.08	77.2%	\$ 173.26	\$ 133.76	260bps	2.8%	6.2%
Comparable Hotel Portfolio (28 hotels) (1)	79.4%	\$ 178.75	\$ 141.93	77.0%	\$ 174.51	\$ 134.37	240bps	2.4%	5.6%
Comparable Hotel Portfolio adjusted for change in Marriott calendar (28 hotels) (2)	79.4%	\$ 178.64	\$ 141.84	77.0%	\$ 174.49	\$ 134.36	240bps	2.4%	5.6%

(1) Includes all the hotels in which we have interests as of December 31, 2013, except the Boston Park Plaza due to the hotel adding 12 rooms in September 2012, and an additional 100 rooms in January 2013.

(2) Includes the 28 hotel Comparable Portfolio adjusted for the effects of converting the operating statistics for our ten Marriott-managed hotels from a 13-period basis as reported in 2012 and 2011 to a standard 12-month calendar basis.

Non-GAAP Financial Measures. We use the following non-GAAP financial measures that we believe are useful to investors as key supplemental measures of our operating performance: EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, FFO and Adjusted FFO. These measures should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for measures of performance in accordance with GAAP. EBITDA, Adjusted EBITDA, FFO and Adjusted FFO, as calculated by us, may not be comparable to other companies that do not define such terms exactly as the Company. These non-GAAP measures are used in addition to and in conjunction with results presented in accordance with GAAP. They should not be considered as alternatives to operating profit, cash flow from operations, or any other operating performance measure prescribed by GAAP. These non-GAAP financial measures reflect additional ways of viewing our operations that we believe, when viewed with our GAAP results and the reconciliations to the corresponding GAAP financial measures, provide a more complete understanding of factors and trends affecting our business than could be obtained absent this disclosure. We strongly encourage investors to review our financial information in its entirety and not to rely on a single financial measure.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

EBITDA is a commonly used measure of performance in many industries. We believe EBITDA is useful to investors in evaluating our operating performance because this measure helps investors evaluate and compare the results of our operations from period to period by removing the impact of our capital structure (primarily interest expense) and our asset base (primarily depreciation and amortization) from our operating results. We also believe the use of EBITDA facilitates comparisons between us and other lodging REITs, hotel owners who are not REITs and other capital-intensive companies. In addition, certain covenants included in our indebtedness use EBITDA as a measure of financial compliance. We also use EBITDA as a measure in determining the value of hotel acquisitions and dispositions.

Historically, we have adjusted EBITDA when evaluating our performance because we believe that the exclusion of certain additional items described below provides useful information to investors regarding our operating performance and that the presentation of Adjusted EBITDA, when combined with the primary GAAP presentation of net income, is beneficial to an investor's complete understanding of our operating performance. We adjust EBITDA for the following items, which may occur in any period, and refer to this measure as Adjusted EBITDA:

- *Amortization of deferred stock compensation:* we exclude the non-cash expense incurred with the amortization of deferred stock compensation as this expense does not reflect the underlying performance of our hotels.
- *Amortization of favorable and unfavorable contracts:* we exclude the non-cash amortization of the favorable management contract asset recorded in conjunction with our acquisition of the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, along with the favorable tenant lease assets recorded in conjunction with our acquisitions of the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco, and the unfavorable tenant lease liabilities recorded in conjunction with our acquisitions of the Boston Park Plaza, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco. The amortization of favorable and unfavorable contracts does not reflect the underlying performance of our hotels.
- *Ground rent adjustments:* we exclude the non-cash expense incurred from straightlining our ground lease obligations as this expense does not reflect the underlying performance of our hotels. We do however, include an adjustment for the cash ground lease expense recorded on the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile's building lease. Upon acquisition of this hotel, we determined that the building lease was a capital lease, and, therefore, we include a portion of the capital lease payment each month in interest expense. We include an adjustment for ground lease expense on capital leases in order to more accurately reflect the operating performance of the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile.

Table of Contents

- *Real estate transactions:* we exclude the effect of gains and losses on the disposition of depreciable assets because we believe that including them in Adjusted EBITDA is not consistent with reflecting the ongoing performance of our assets. In addition, material gains or losses from the depreciated value of the disposed assets could be less important to investors given that the depreciated asset value often does not reflect its market value.
- *Gains or losses from debt transactions:* we exclude the effect of finance charges and premiums associated with the extinguishment of debt, including the acceleration of deferred financing costs from the original issuance of the debt being redeemed or retired because, like interest expense, their removal helps investors evaluate and compare the results of our operations from period to period by removing the impact of our capital structure.
- *Acquisition costs:* under GAAP, costs associated with completed acquisitions are expensed in the year incurred. We exclude the effect of these costs because we believe they are not reflective of the ongoing performance of the Company.
- *Consolidated partnership adjustments:* we deduct the non-controlling partner's pro rata share of any EBITDA adjustments related to our consolidated Hilton San Diego Bayfront partnership.
- *Cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle:* from time to time, the FASB promulgates new accounting standards that require the consolidated statement of operations to reflect the cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle. We exclude these one-time adjustments because they do not reflect our actual performance for that period.
- *Impairment losses:* we exclude the effect of impairment losses because we believe that including them in Adjusted EBITDA is not consistent with reflecting the ongoing performance of our remaining assets. In addition, we believe that impairment charges, which are based off of historical cost account values, are similar to gains (losses) on dispositions and depreciation expense, both of which are also excluded from Adjusted EBITDA.
- *Other adjustments:* we exclude other adjustments such as lawsuit settlement costs (or the reversal of these costs), prior year property tax assessments and/or credits, management company transition costs, and departmental closing costs, including severance, because we do not believe these costs reflect our actual performance for that period and/or the ongoing operations of our hotels.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles our net income to EBITDA and Adjusted EBITDA for our hotel portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 70,001	\$ 49,557	\$ 81,299
Operations held for investment:			
Depreciation and amortization	137,476	130,907	113,708
Amortization of lease intangibles	4,112	4,113	3,979
Interest expense	72,239	76,821	74,195
Income tax provision	8,145	1,148	
Non-controlling interests:			
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest	(4,013)	(1,761)	(312)
Depreciation and amortization	(3,956)	(5,685)	(4,014)
Interest expense	(2,341)	(2,477)	(1,753)
Unconsolidated joint ventures:			
Depreciation and amortization			3
Discontinued operations:			
Depreciation and amortization		13,164	16,188
Amortization of lease intangibles		14	28
Interest expense	99	6,490	9,337
EBITDA	281,762	272,291	292,658
Operations held for investment:			
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	4,858	3,466	2,745
Amortization of favorable and unfavorable contracts, net	320	206	
Non-cash straightline lease expense	2,055	2,777	2,398
Capital lease obligation interest cash ground rent	(1,404)	(819)	
(Gain) loss on sale of other assets, net	(12)	18	(83)
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests			(69,230)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	44	191	
Closing costs completed acquisitions	1,678	1,965	3,403
Impairment loss			10,862
Lawsuit settlement costs, net	358	158	1,553
Prior year property tax and CAM adjustments, net	106	621	
Hotel laundry closing costs		623	
Non-controlling interests:			
Non-cash straightline lease expense	(450)	(450)	(354)
Prior year property tax adjustments, net		(202)	
Unconsolidated joint ventures:			
Amortization of deferred stock compensation			2
Discontinued operations:			
Gain on sale of assets, net	(51,620)	(38,292)	(14,912)
Impairment loss			1,495
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	3,115		(18,145)
Lawsuit settlement (reversal) costs		(48)	67
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 240,810	\$ 242,505	\$ 212,459

Adjusted EBITDA was \$240.8 million in 2013 as compared to \$242.5 million in 2012 and \$212.5 million in 2011. Adjusted EBITDA decreased \$1.7 million in 2013 as compared to 2012 as additional earnings generated by the three hotels we acquired during 2013 (the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, the Boston Park Plaza and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco), and the two hotels we acquired in 2012 (the Hyatt Chicago

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Magnificent Mile and the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile) were mostly offset by a decrease in earnings caused by major room renovations at four of our hotels: the Hilton Times Square; the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile; the Hyatt Regency Newport Beach; and the Renaissance Westchester. These renovations were substantially completed by June 30, 2013.

Adjusted EBITDA increased \$30.0 million in 2012 as compared to 2011 due to additional earnings generated by the two hotels we acquired in 2012 (the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile and the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile), and the three hotels we acquired or purchased interests in during 2011 (the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront), combined with increased earnings at our other hotels.

We believe that the presentation of FFO provides useful information to investors regarding our operating performance because it is a measure of our operations without regard to specified non-cash items such as real estate depreciation and amortization, amortization of lease intangibles, any real estate impairment loss and any gain or loss on sale of real estate assets, all of which are based on historical cost accounting and may be of lesser significance in evaluating our current performance. We believe the use of FFO facilitates comparisons between us and other lodging REITs.

Table of Contents

We also present Adjusted FFO when evaluating our operating performance because we believe that the exclusion of certain additional items described below provides useful supplemental information to investors regarding our ongoing operating performance, and may facilitate comparisons of operating performance between periods and our peer companies. We adjust FFO for the following items, which may occur in any period, and refer to this measure as Adjusted FFO:

- *Amortization of favorable and unfavorable contracts:* we exclude the non-cash amortization of the favorable management contract asset recorded in conjunction with our acquisition of the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, along with the favorable tenant lease assets recorded in conjunction with our acquisitions of the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco, and the unfavorable tenant lease liabilities recorded in conjunction with our acquisitions of the Boston Park Plaza, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco. The amortization of favorable and unfavorable contracts does not reflect the underlying performance of our hotels.
- *Non-cash ground rent adjustments:* we exclude the non-cash expense incurred from straightlining our ground lease obligations as this expense does not reflect the underlying performance of our hotels.
- *Gains or losses from debt transactions:* we exclude the effect of finance charges and premiums associated with the extinguishment of debt, including the acceleration of deferred financing costs from the original issuance of the debt being redeemed or retired. We also exclude the non-cash gains or losses on our derivatives, as well as the original issuance costs associated with the redemption of preferred stock. We believe that these items are not reflective of our ongoing finance costs.
- *Acquisition costs:* under GAAP, costs associated with completed acquisitions are expensed in the year incurred. We exclude the effect of these costs because we believe they are not reflective of the ongoing performance of the Company.
- *Impairment losses:* we exclude the effect of non-real estate impairment losses because we believe that including them in Adjusted FFO is not consistent with reflecting the ongoing performance of our remaining assets.
- *Consolidated partnership adjustments:* we deduct the non-controlling partner's pro rata share of any FFO adjustments related to our consolidated Hilton San Diego Bayfront partnership.
- *Other adjustments:* we exclude other adjustments such as lawsuit settlement costs (or the reversal of these costs), prior year property tax assessments and/or credits, management company transition costs, departmental closing costs, including severance, and income tax provisions associated with the application of net operating loss carryforwards because we do not believe these costs reflect our actual performance for that period and/or the ongoing operations of our hotels.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table reconciles our net income to FFO and Adjusted FFO for our hotel portfolio for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Net income	\$ 70,001	\$ 49,557	\$ 81,299
Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges	(19,013)	(29,748)	(27,321)
Operations held for investment:			
Real estate depreciation and amortization	136,047	129,668	112,539
Amortization of lease intangibles	4,112	4,113	3,979
(Gain) loss on sale of other assets, net	(12)	18	(83)
Non-controlling interests:			
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest	(4,013)	(1,761)	(312)
Real estate depreciation and amortization	(3,956)	(5,685)	(4,014)
Discontinued operations:			
Real estate depreciation and amortization		13,164	16,188
Amortization of lease intangibles		14	28
Gain on sale of assets, net	(51,620)	(38,292)	(14,912)
FFO	131,546	121,048	167,391
Operations held for investment:			
Amortization of favorable and unfavorable contracts, net	320	206	
Non-cash straightline lease expense	2,055	2,777	2,398
Write-off of deferred financing fees		3	21
Non-cash interest related to (gain) loss on derivatives, net	(525)	406	2,655
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests			(69,230)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	44	191	
Closing costs completed acquisitions	1,678	1,965	3,403
Lawsuit settlement costs, net	358	158	1,553
Prior year property tax and CAM adjustments, net	106	621	
Hotel laundry closing costs		623	
Impairment loss			10,862
Income tax provision	8,145	1,148	
Preferred stock redemption charges	4,770		
Non-controlling interests:			
Non-cash straightline lease expense	(450)	(450)	(354)
Non-cash interest related to loss on derivative, net	(3)	(1)	(31)
Prior year property tax adjustments, net		(202)	
Discontinued operations:			
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	3,115		(18,145)
Write-off of deferred financing fees		185	42
Impairment loss			1,495
Lawsuit settlement (reversal) costs		(48)	67
Adjusted FFO	\$ 151,159	\$ 128,630	\$ 102,127

Adjusted FFO was \$151.2 million in 2013 as compared to \$128.6 million in 2012 and \$102.1 million in 2011. Adjusted FFO increased \$22.5 million in 2013 as compared to 2012 due to additional earnings generated by the three hotels we acquired during 2013 (the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, the Boston Park Plaza and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco), and the two hotels we acquired in 2012 (the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile and the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile), combined with a decrease in preferred stock dividends and interest expense. These increases to Adjusted FFO were partially offset by a decrease in earnings caused by major room renovations at four of

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

our hotels: the Hilton Times Square; the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile; the Hyatt Regency Newport Beach; and the Renaissance Westchester. These renovations were substantially completed by June 30, 2013.

Adjusted FFO increased \$26.5 million in 2012 as compared to 2011 due to additional earnings generated by the two hotels we acquired in 2012 (the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile and the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile), and the three hotels we acquired or purchased interests in during 2011 (the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront), combined with increased earnings at our other hotels.

Table of Contents

Room revenue. Room revenue increased \$77.8 million, or 13.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. We acquired the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles in May 2013, the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013, the Hyatt Regency San Francisco in December 2013, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile in June 2012, and the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile in July 2012. These five hotels acquired in 2013 and 2012 (the five 2013-2012 acquired hotels) contributed additional room revenue of \$54.3 million during 2013. Room revenue at the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile was negatively impacted during 2013 by a major renovation, which caused 13,601 room nights to be out of service, displacing approximately \$2.4 million in room revenue based on the hotel achieving a potential 74.9% occupancy rate and RevPAR of \$127.70 without the renovation. In addition, room revenue during 2013 increased as compared to the same period in 2012 due to a change in the financial reporting calendar used by one of our third-party managers, subsidiaries of Marriott International, Inc. or Marriott Hotel Services, Inc. (collectively, Marriott), who manage 10 of our 29 hotels. Beginning in 2013, Marriott switched from using a 13-fiscal period accounting calendar to a standard 12-month calendar, which caused there to be an additional three days and approximately \$1.6 million more in room revenue for the Marriott-managed hotels during 2013 as compared to 2012. Room revenue generated by the 24 hotels we owned prior to January 1, 2012 (our existing portfolio) increased \$21.9 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to increases in both occupancy (\$7.7 million) and ADR (\$14.2 million). The increases in occupancy and ADR were driven by an additional 58,248 transient room nights, partially offset by 14,435 fewer group room nights. Room revenue in our existing portfolio was negatively impacted during 2013 by major room renovations at three hotels in our existing portfolio (the three renovation hotels): the Hilton Times Square; the Hyatt Regency Newport Beach; and the Renaissance Westchester. These major room renovations caused a total of 26,686 room nights to be out of service during 2013, displacing approximately \$5.2 million in room revenue based on the three renovation hotels achieving a combined potential 81.6% occupancy rate and RevPAR of \$169.76 without the renovations. This 2013 displacement compares to our 2012 displacement caused by major room renovations at the Renaissance Washington DC and the Hyatt Regency Newport Beach. The major room renovation at the Renaissance Washington DC caused 13,656 room nights to be out of service during the last six months of 2012, displacing approximately \$2.9 million in room revenue based on the hotel achieving a potential 72.7% occupancy rate and RevPAR of \$148.24 without the renovation. The major room renovation at the Hyatt Regency Newport Beach caused 4,333 room nights to be out of service during the last two months of 2012, displacing approximately \$0.5 million in room revenue based on the hotel achieving a potential 85.0% occupancy rate and RevPAR of \$110.96 without the renovation.

Room revenue increased \$75.0 million, or 15.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. We acquired the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile in June 2012 and the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile in July 2012. In addition, we acquired the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011 (resulting in our 100% ownership of the hotel) and the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011. We also purchased a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront in April 2011. These five hotels acquired in 2012 and 2011 (the five 2012-2011 acquired hotels) contributed additional room revenue of \$57.4 million during the year ended December 31, 2012. Room revenue in our five 2012-2011 acquired hotels was negatively impacted in 2012 by Hurricane Sandy, which caused a loss in room revenue of approximately \$0.3 million. Room revenue generated by the 21 hotels we owned prior to January 1, 2011 (our prior year existing portfolio) increased \$17.6 million during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to an increase in occupancy (\$14.8 million) combined with an increase in ADR (\$2.8 million). The increase in occupancy was driven by an additional 22,641 group room nights sold combined with an additional 72,391 transient room nights sold. As discussed above in the discussion regarding 2013 and 2012, room revenue in our prior year existing portfolio was impacted during 2012 by major room renovations at both the Renaissance Washington DC and the Hyatt Regency Newport Beach. Room revenue in our prior year existing portfolio was also negatively impacted in 2012 by Hurricane Sandy, which caused a loss in room revenue of approximately \$1.4 million.

Food and beverage revenue. Food and beverage revenue increased \$12.5 million, or 6.2%, for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The five 2013-2012 acquired hotels contributed an additional \$11.3 million to food and beverage revenue during 2013, though food and beverage revenue generated by the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile was negatively affected by the hotel's major renovation. Marriott's additional three days in 2013 generated approximately \$0.6 million in food and beverage revenue for the Marriott-managed hotels during 2013 as compared to 2012. Food and beverage revenue in our existing portfolio increased \$0.6 million during 2013 as compared to 2012, due to increased outlet and room service revenue caused by the increase in occupancy, partially offset by decreased banquet revenue at several of our hotels caused by 14,435 fewer group room nights, as well as the negative impact of the three renovation hotels. The decrease in group room nights during 2013 as compared to 2012 was further exaggerated by the fact that the type of group shifted from corporate and citywide business with a higher number of banquet functions during the first few months of 2012 to associations with fewer banquet functions during the first few months of 2013. The decrease in food and beverage revenue in our existing portfolio was partially offset by increased revenue generated during 2013 by the Renaissance Washington DC, which was under a major room renovation during 2012, causing revenue to decrease in outlets, banquets and room service during 2012.

Table of Contents

Food and beverage revenue increased \$25.7 million, or 14.7%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. The five 2012-2011 acquired hotels contributed an additional \$17.5 million to food and beverage revenue during 2012. Food and beverage revenue in our prior year existing portfolio increased \$8.2 million during 2012 as compared to 2011, primarily due to increased occupancy and group room nights in our hotels, which drove revenue growth in both outlets and banquets. In addition, outlet and banquet revenue increased during 2012 as compared to 2011 as many outlets and meeting spaces were under renovation during 2011. Food and beverage revenue in our prior year existing portfolio was negatively impacted by a major room renovation at the Renaissance Washington DC, which caused 13,656 room nights to be out of service during the last six months of 2012, decreasing revenue in both outlets and banquets. Food and beverage revenue in our prior year existing portfolio was also negatively impacted in 2012 by Hurricane Sandy, which caused a loss in food and beverage revenue of approximately \$0.8 million.

Other operating revenue. Other operating revenue increased \$4.4 million, or 8.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Our five 2013-2012 acquired hotels contributed an additional \$3.5 million to other operating revenue during 2013. In addition, BuyEfficient's revenue increased \$0.5 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to increased transaction and development fees. Other operating revenue in our existing portfolio increased \$0.4 million during 2013 as compared to 2012, due to Marriott's three additional days during 2013, combined with increased parking and spa revenue. These increases were partially offset by decreased telephone/internet revenue, cancellation, attrition, and third-party lease revenue.

Other operating revenue increased \$6.6 million, or 14.5%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Our five 2012-2011 acquired hotels contributed an additional \$4.8 million to other operating revenue during 2012. In addition, other operating revenue increased \$0.3 million in 2012 as compared to 2011 due to the consolidation of BuyEfficient with our operations due to the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in the joint venture in January 2011. Previously, our 50.0% portion of BuyEfficient's net income was included in equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. BuyEfficient contributed an additional \$0.3 million in other operating revenue during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to increased transaction and development fees. In addition, other operating revenue in our prior year existing portfolio increased \$1.2 million during 2012 as compared to 2011, due to increased cancellation, attrition, parking, spa and third-party lease revenue at our hotels, partially offset by decreased telephone/internet revenue.

Hotel operating expenses. Hotel operating expenses increased \$56.8 million, or 11.4%, during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The five 2013-2012 acquired hotels contributed \$46.3 million to hotel operating expenses during 2013. Hotel operating expenses in our existing portfolio increased \$10.5 million during 2013 as compared to 2012, primarily related to the corresponding increase in room revenue, combined with the Marriott-managed hotels' three additional days in 2013 as compared to 2012. In addition, hotel operating expenses in our existing portfolio increased during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to increases in property taxes, property and liability insurance premiums and ground lease expense.

Hotel operating expenses increased \$56.4 million, or 12.7%, during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Our five 2012-2011 acquired hotels contributed an additional \$45.0 million to hotel operating expenses during 2012. Hotel operating expenses in our prior year existing portfolio increased \$11.4 million during 2012 as compared to 2011. This increase in hotel operating expenses is primarily related to the corresponding increases in room, food and beverage, parking and spa revenue. In addition, hotel operating expenses in our prior year existing portfolio increased during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to increases in the following expenses: advertising and repairs and maintenance as the hotels increased spending due to the improved economy; franchise fees and assessments due to the increased revenue; property and liability insurance due to increased premiums; and ground lease due to increased contingent rent resulting from the increased revenue at several of our hotels. These increases were partially offset by decreases in the following expenses: utilities due to reduced consumption and lower rates at many of our hotels during 2012; real estate property taxes due to lower assessments received at several of our hotels; and common area maintenance charges due to a settlement received at one of our hotels.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Property general and administrative expense. Property general and administrative expense increased \$8.8 million, or 9.3%, during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The five 2013-2012 acquired hotels contributed \$7.6 million to property general and administrative expense during 2013. In addition, BuyEfficient contributed an additional \$0.2 million in property general and administrative expense during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to increases in payroll and related expenses, including deferred stock compensation expense. Property general and administrative expense in our existing portfolio increased \$1.0 million during 2013 as compared to 2012, primarily due to the Marriott-managed hotels three additional days in 2013 as compared to 2012, combined with increased management fees, and credit and collection expenses due to the increase in revenue. Property general and administrative expenses also increased due to higher costs related to licenses and permits, and security expenses, partially offset by decreased payroll and related costs, contract and professional fees, employee relations, recruitment, training, sales tax audit fees, operating supplies and travel.

Table of Contents

Property general and administrative expense increased \$9.3 million, or 11.0%, during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Our five 2012-2011 acquired hotels contributed an additional \$7.1 million to property general and administrative expense during 2012. In addition, property general and administrative expense increased \$0.2 million in 2012 as compared to 2011 due to the consolidation of BuyEfficient with our operations due to the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in the joint venture in January 2011. Previously, our 50.0% portion of BuyEfficient's net income was included in equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. BuyEfficient contributed an additional \$0.4 million in property general and administrative expense during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to the corresponding increase in revenue. Property general and administrative expense in our prior year existing portfolio increased \$1.6 million during 2012 as compared to 2011, primarily due to increased payroll and related costs, management fees, and credit and collection expenses due to the increase in revenue, combined with increased computer hardware/software costs, employee relocation, recruitment and training, partially offset by decreased contract and professional fees.

Corporate overhead expense. Corporate overhead expense increased \$2.4 million, or 9.7%, during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012, primarily due to the following increases: payroll and related expenses (\$1.0 million); deferred stock compensation (\$1.3 million); contract and professional fees (\$0.6 million); legal (\$0.3 million); conferences and travel (\$0.2 million); and entity-level state franchise and minimum taxes (\$0.2 million). These increases were partially offset by a \$1.1 million decrease in acquisition and due diligence costs and a \$0.1 million decrease in donations. During 2013, we incurred acquisition and due diligence costs of \$1.7 million related to our completed acquisitions, and an additional \$0.1 million related to in-process-or-abandoned projects. During 2012, we incurred acquisition and due diligence costs of \$2.0 million related to our completed acquisitions, and an additional \$0.9 million related to in-process-or-abandoned projects.

Corporate overhead expense decreased \$1.1 million, or 4.5%, during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011, primarily due to decreased legal costs combined with decreased acquisition and due diligence costs. Legal costs decreased \$1.5 million in 2012 as compared to 2011 primarily due to our accrual in 2011 of \$1.6 million for settlement costs related to litigation involving three separate claims by certain employees at three of the 26 hotels. Regarding acquisition and due diligence costs, during 2012 we incurred due diligence costs of \$2.0 million related to our completed acquisitions, and an additional \$0.9 million related to in-process or abandoned projects. During 2011 we incurred due diligence costs of \$3.4 million related to our completed acquisitions, and an additional \$0.3 million related to in-process or abandoned projects. Corporate overhead expense also decreased during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to a \$1.0 million decrease in entity-level state franchise and minimum tax expense and a \$0.2 million decrease in relocation expenses. These decreases were partially offset by a \$1.0 million increase in payroll and related costs, a \$0.5 million increase in amortization of deferred stock compensation, a \$0.5 million increase in contract and professional fees, a \$0.1 million increase in donations expense, a \$0.1 million increase in employee relations, and a \$0.2 million increase in bad debt expense. Bad debt expense increased in 2012 as compared to 2011 due to our reserving the entire \$0.2 million outstanding balance of a subordinate note secured by a boutique hotel known as the Twelve Atlantic Station in Atlanta, Georgia, as the note is currently in default. We are currently working with the borrower and the special servicer to bring the note current, at which time we may reverse the bad debt expense we recorded in 2012.

Depreciation and amortization expense. Depreciation and amortization increased \$6.6 million, or 5.0%, for the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The five 2013-2012 acquired hotels contributed \$11.3 million to depreciation and amortization during 2013. Depreciation and amortization expense in our existing portfolio decreased \$4.7 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 primarily due to advanced bookings recorded in conjunction with our purchases of the JW Marriott New Orleans and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront that were fully amortized as of February 2013 and April 2013, respectively. This decrease in amortization was partially offset by additional depreciation recognized on hotel renovations and purchases of furniture, fixtures and equipment (FF&E) for our existing portfolio.

Depreciation and amortization increased \$17.2 million, or 15.1%, for the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Our five 2012-2011 acquired hotels contributed an additional \$15.2 million to depreciation and amortization expense during 2012. Depreciation and amortization expense in our prior year existing portfolio increased \$2.0 million during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to additional depreciation recognized on hotel renovations and purchases of FF&E for our prior year existing portfolio.

Impairment loss. Impairment loss totaled zero for both of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and \$10.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2011, we recognized an impairment loss of \$10.9 million on our Royal Palm note due to its sale in October 2011.

Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures. Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures totaled zero for both of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and \$21,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011. In January 2011, we acquired 100% interests in both the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and BuyEfficient joint ventures. Post-acquisition, therefore, we present both of these investments on a consolidated basis. Prior to our January 14, 2011 acquisition date, we did not recognize any earnings on our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture during 2011 because the joint venture had cumulative losses in excess of our investment, and we reduced our interest in this partnership to zero at December 31, 2009. The excess cumulative losses resulted primarily from the hotel's fourth quarter 2009 impairment charge. Prior to our purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in the BuyEfficient joint venture on January 21, 2011, we recognized income of \$21,000 in 2011 on our BuyEfficient joint venture.

Table of Contents

Interest and other income. Interest and other income totaled \$2.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$3.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. In 2013, we recognized \$2.8 million in interest income, including \$2.6 million on the Preferred Equity Investment. In 2012, we recognized \$0.2 million in interest income, and \$0.1 million in other miscellaneous income. In 2011, we recognized \$2.9 million in interest income, including \$2.7 million related to the Royal Palm note. We sold this Royal Palm note in October 2011 for net proceeds of approximately \$79.2 million. In anticipation of this sale, we recorded an impairment loss of \$10.9 million in September 2011. In addition, during 2011, we recognized income of \$0.1 million on sales and dispositions of surplus FF&E located in several of our hotels and \$0.1 million in other miscellaneous income.

Interest expense. Interest expense is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Interest expense	\$ 69,806	\$ 71,664	\$ 67,319
(Gain) loss on derivatives, net	(525)	406	2,655
Accretion of Senior Notes	3	1,058	1,062
Amortization of deferred financing fees	2,955	3,690	3,138
Write-off of deferred financing fees		3	21
	\$ 72,239	\$ 76,821	\$ 74,195

Interest expense decreased \$4.6 million, or 6.0%, during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. The decrease in interest expense in 2013 as compared to 2012 is comprised of the following: a decrease in expense on our debt and capital lease obligations (\$1.9 million); a decrease in expense related to our derivatives (\$0.9 million); a decrease in accretion of Senior Notes (\$1.1 million); and a decrease in amortization of deferred financing fees (\$0.7 million). Interest expense on our debt and capital lease obligations decreased \$1.9 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to reduced loan balances related to scheduled amortization, a repayment of debt in April 2012 and a repurchase of debt in January 2013. In April 2012, we repaid a \$32.2 million loan secured by the Renaissance Long Beach, and in January 2013, we repurchased \$58.0 million of our Senior Notes. These decreases in our debt obligations and related decreases in interest expense were partially offset by an increase in capital lease obligations and related interest expense due to our acquisition of the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile in June 2012, which included the assumption of a building lease that we determined should be accounted for as a capital lease. Interest expense on our debt and capital lease obligations also increased during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to our assumption of a \$119.2 million loan in conjunction with our purchase of the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013. Interest expense related to our derivatives decreased \$0.9 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to our recording a net gain on our interest rate cap and swap agreements in 2013 as compared to a loss during 2012. Interest expense related to the accretion of our Senior Notes decreased \$1.1 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to the fact that the Senior Notes were fully accreted to their face value as of the first put date in January 2013. Interest expense related to amortization of deferred financing fees decreased \$0.7 million during 2013 as compared to 2012 due to the repayment of the loan secured by the Renaissance Long Beach in April 2012, combined with the fact that the deferred financing fees related to the Senior Notes were fully amortized as of the first put date in January 2013, partially offset by an increase in deferred financing fees incurred to amend our line of credit in September 2012 and to assume the Boston Park Plaza debt.

Interest expense increased \$2.6 million, or 3.5%, during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011. Interest expense incurred on our debt and capital lease obligations increased \$4.3 million during 2012 as compared to 2011 primarily due to increased loan balances as we assumed \$270.0 million of non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt in connection with our acquisition of the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011 (which loan we refinanced in October 2011 with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse loan), and a \$42.2 million loan in connection with our acquisition of the JW Marriott New Orleans. Our loan balances also increased due to a \$240.0 million loan entered into by our Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture in April 2011. These increases in our loan balances were partially offset by our repayment in April 2012 of a \$32.2 million loan secured by the Renaissance Long Beach. Interest expense on our debt obligations also increased due to increases in the variable interest rates on our non-recourse loans secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Interest expense on our capital lease

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

obligation also increased during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to our acquisition of the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, which included the assumption of a building lease that we determined should be accounted for as a capital lease. In addition, interest expense increased during 2012 as compared to 2011 due to a \$0.5 million increase in amortization of deferred financing fees related to additional fees paid in 2011 in association with our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, JW Marriott New Orleans and Hilton San Diego Bayfront acquisitions, as well as to fees incurred on our refinancing of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and to amend our line of credit. These increases were partially offset during 2012 as compared to 2011 by a \$2.2 million decrease in interest expense related to our interest rate cap and swap agreements due to a \$1.8 million reduction in loss on our interest rate swap agreement combined with a \$0.4 million decrease in losses on our interest rate cap agreements.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Our weighted average interest rate per annum on debt included in our continuing operations, including our variable-rate debt obligations, was approximately 4.9% at both December 31, 2013 and 2012, and 5.0% at December 31, 2011. At December 31, 2013, approximately 70.7% of the outstanding notes payable included in our continuing operations had fixed interest rates. At December 31, 2012, approximately 69.6% of the outstanding notes payable included in our continuing operations had fixed interest rates. At December 31, 2011, approximately 70.5% of the outstanding notes payable included in our continuing operations had fixed interest rates.

Loss on extinguishment of debt. Loss on extinguishment of debt totaled \$44,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and zero for the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2013, we recognized a loss of \$44,000 due to the repurchase and redemption of the remaining \$58.0 million aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes. During 2012, we recognized a loss of \$0.2 million due to the repurchase and cancellation of \$4.5 million in aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes.

Gain on remeasurement of equity interests. Gain on remeasurement of equity interests totaled zero for both the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and \$69.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. In January 2011, we purchased the outside interests in both our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture and our BuyEfficient joint venture, and became the sole owner of both entities. Previously, our investment in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture consisted of a 38.0% equity interest in the hotel and a \$30.0 million, 8.5% mezzanine loan maturing in January 2017 secured by the equity interests in the hotel. During the fourth quarter of 2009, the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square recorded an impairment loss, effectively reducing our investment in the partnership to zero as of December 31, 2009. In conjunction with the acquisition of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011, we adjusted both our investment in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture and the mezzanine loan to their fair market values, and recorded gains totaling \$60.5 million on the remeasurement. In addition, in conjunction with the acquisition of the outside 50.0% equity interest in the BuyEfficient joint venture in January 2011, we adjusted our investment up to its fair market value, and recorded a gain of \$8.7 million on the remeasurement.

Income tax provision. Income tax provision totaled \$8.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$1.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and zero for the year ended December 31, 2011. During 2013, we recognized income tax expense of \$4.7 million due to a resolution reached with the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). The Company leases its hotels to the TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries, which are subject to federal and state income taxes. In the first quarter of 2013, the IRS issued a notice of proposed adjustment to us, challenging certain aspects of our leases with our TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries. Though we believe our leases comply with all Code requirements, we determined that the costs associated with defending our position were greater than the benefits that might result therefrom. As such, we accrued \$4.7 million in 2013 related to the IRS' s audit of tax years 2008, 2009 and 2010, including \$0.6 million in accrued interest. We recorded additional income tax expense of \$1.5 million during 2013 based on the ongoing evaluations of our uncertain tax positions related to the year ended December 31, 2012, and as a result of our recent resolution of outstanding issues with the IRS. During 2013, we recorded additional tax expense of \$1.9 million related to estimated 2013 federal alternative minimum tax resulting from our use of net operating loss carryforwards, as well as state income tax where our use of net operating loss carryforwards was either limited or unavailable. During 2012, our federal alternative minimum tax resulting from our use of net operating loss carryforwards combined with our state income tax expense where the use of net operating loss carryforwards was either limited or unavailable to total \$1.1 million of income tax expense.

Income from discontinued operations. As described under Investing Activities Dispositions and in accordance with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC, income from discontinued operations included the results of operations, along with any gains on extinguishment of debt, gains or losses on sales and impairments recognized for the following properties:

Hotels and Other Assets	Rooms	Disposition Date
2013:		
Kahler Grand, Minnesota (1)	660	January 25, 2013

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Kahler Inn & Suites, Minnesota	271	January 25, 2013
Marriott Rochester, Minnesota (1)	202	January 25, 2013
Residence Inn by Marriott Rochester, Minnesota	89	January 25, 2013
Textile Care Services Rochester, Minnesota		January 25, 2013
2012:		
Marriott Del Mar, California	284	August 23, 2012
Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, Minnesota	229	September 14, 2012
Hilton Del Mar, California	257	September 14, 2012
Marriott Troy, Michigan	350	September 14, 2012
Office building adjacent to the Marriott Troy, Michigan		September 14, 2012
2011:		
Royal Palm Miami Beach, Florida	409	April 8, 2011
Textile Care Services Salt Lake City, Utah		July 8, 2011
Valley River Inn, Oregon	257	October 26, 2011
Total rooms	3,008	

(1) During 2012, the Company subtracted eight rooms from the Kahler Grand and one room from the Marriott Rochester, bringing the hotel room counts to 660 and 202, respectively.

Table of Contents

Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2013 includes activity for the four hotels and one commercial laundry facility sold in 2013. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2012 includes activity for the four hotels and one commercial laundry facility sold in 2013, and the four hotels and one office building sold in 2012. Income from discontinued operations for 2012 also includes property tax refunds and reimbursements for certain transaction related invoices for the Royal Palm Miami Beach, which we sold in April 2011. Income from discontinued operations for the year ended December 31, 2011 includes activity for the four hotels and one commercial laundry facility sold in 2013, the four hotels and one office building sold in 2012, and the two hotels and one commercial laundry facility sold in 2011. Income from discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013		Year Ended December 31, 2012		Year Ended December 31, 2011
Operating revenues	\$ 3,690	\$	100,861	\$	130,997
Operating expenses	(3,686)		(71,089)		(96,581)
Interest expense	(99)		(6,490)		(9,337)
Depreciation and amortization expense			(13,164)		(16,188)
Impairment loss					(1,495)
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	(3,115)				18,145
Gain on sale of hotels and other assets, net	51,620		38,292		14,912
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 48,410	\$	48,410	\$	40,453

Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest. Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest totaled \$4.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$1.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011. In April 2011, we purchased a 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Consistent with the Presentation Topic of the FASB ASC, our net income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 includes 100% of the net income generated during our ownership period by the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. The outside 25.0% interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront earned net income of \$4.0 million, \$1.8 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Distributions to non-controlling interest. Distributions to non-controlling interest totaled \$32,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$31,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$30,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011. We purchased the outside 62.0% common stock equity interest in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011, and, as a result, we became the sole common stockholder of the captive REIT that owns the hotel. Preferred dividends earned by investors from the entity that owns the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, net of related administrative fees, totaled \$32,000, \$31,000 and \$30,000 for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation. Common stock dividends earned on our unvested restricted stock awards totaled \$0.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, and zero for both the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges. Preferred stock dividends decreased \$10.7 million, or 36.1%, during the year ended December 31, 2013 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2012. Pursuant to our strategy of gradually reducing our leverage, during 2013 we redeemed all 7,050,000 shares of our Series A preferred stock and all 4,102,564 shares of our Series C preferred stock in March and May, respectively. As such, our total Series A and Series C preferred dividends decreased \$15.5 million in 2013 as compared to 2012. This decrease in our Series A and Series C preferred stock dividends was partially offset by a \$4.6 million redemption charge recognized on our Series A preferred stock and a \$0.1 million redemption charge recognized on our Series C preferred stock related to the original issuance costs of these shares, which were previously included in additional paid in capital.

Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges increased \$2.4 million, or 8.9%, during the year ended December 31, 2012 as compared to the year ended December 31, 2011 due to our issuance of Series D preferred stock in April 2011. Dividends paid on our Series D preferred stock increased \$2.4 million in 2012 to \$9.2 million, as compared to \$6.8 million in 2011.

Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation. In accordance with the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. As such, undistributed income of \$0.2 million for both the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and \$0.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2011 was allocated to the participating securities.

Table of Contents**Investing Activities**

Acquisitions. We believe we are in the middle phase of a potentially prolonged cyclical lodging industry recovery. Accordingly, we further believe that hotels acquired over the next several quarters are likely to benefit from a multi-year recovery in hotel profitability, and may create long-term value in excess of our investment hurdles. During 2011, 2012 and 2013, we made several hotel acquisitions as detailed below:

Hotels	Rooms	Acquisition Date
2013:		
Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, Louisiana	250	May 1, 2013
Boston Park Plaza, Massachusetts	1,053	July 2, 2013
Hyatt Regency San Francisco, California	802	December 2, 2013
2012:		
Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, Illinois (1)	417	June 4, 2012
Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, Illinois	357	July 19, 2012
2011:		
Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, New York	460	January 14, 2011
JW Marriott New Orleans, Louisiana (1)	494	February 15, 2011
Hilton San Diego Bayfront, California	1,190	April 15, 2011
Total rooms	5,023	

(1) Subsequent to these acquisitions, the Company added two additional rooms to both the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile and the JW Marriott New Orleans, increasing their room counts to 419 and 496, respectively.

The total cost for these eight hotel acquisitions was approximately \$1.5 billion, including shares of the Company's common stock valued at \$51.2 million for accounting purposes, or \$299,000 per room. Each of these acquisitions is discussed below.

In May 2013, we purchased the 250-room Hilton New Orleans St. Charles for a net purchase price of \$59.1 million, including \$0.2 million of proration credits and unrestricted cash received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with \$53.2 million of proceeds generated by our January 2013 sale of the Rochester Portfolio, as well as with proceeds received from our February 2013 issuance of common stock.

In July 2013, we purchased the 1,053-room Boston Park Plaza for a net purchase price of \$248.0 million, including \$2.0 million of proration credits, unrestricted and restricted cash and other adjustments received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with \$92.3 million of proceeds generated by our January 2013 sale of four hotels and a commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota, the assumption of a \$119.2 million non-recourse loan secured by the hotel, as well as with proceeds received from the Company's February 2013 issuance of common stock and with cash on hand. The mortgage we assumed in conjunction with our purchase of the Boston Park Plaza bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.4%, and matures in February 2018.

In December 2013, we purchased the 802-room Hyatt Regency San Francisco for a net purchase price of \$262.5 million, including \$5.5 million of purchase price adjustments comprised of restricted cash and other adjustments received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with proceeds generated by our November 2013 issuance of common stock.

In June 2012, we purchased the leasehold interest in the 417-room Wyndham Chicago located in Chicago, Illinois for a contractual purchase price of \$88.425 million. The acquisition was funded with \$29.7 million of cash on hand (including \$0.3 million of proration credits) and the issuance of 5,454,164 shares of our common stock, the Wyndham stock consideration. The Wyndham stock consideration was determined by dividing \$58.425 million by the product of (1) the closing price of \$10.40 on the NYSE of our common stock on May 2, 2012 and (2) 1.03. In connection with this acquisition, we entered into a registration rights agreement requiring us to register the Wyndham stock consideration. We prepared the registration statement on Form S-3, which we filed with the SEC as required on June 4, 2012. Based on the \$9.38 closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on June 4, 2012, the date the acquisition closed, the total purchase price of the Wyndham Chicago hotel for accounting purposes was \$81.16 million, excluding proration adjustments and closing costs. Upon closing, we terminated the existing management agreement and entered into a new management agreement with Davidson Hotels & Resorts. We rebranded the hotel the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile and immediately commenced planning for a \$25.0 million renovation program (a portion of which was funded by Hyatt Corporation).

Table of Contents

In July 2012, we purchased the 357-room Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile located in Chicago, Illinois for a net purchase price of \$90.3 million. The acquisition was funded with a portion of the \$126.2 million net proceeds we received from the issuance of 12,143,273 shares of our common stock in June 2012.

In January 2011, we purchased the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture for \$37.5 million, and, as a result, became the sole owner of the entity that owns the 460-room Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square located in New York City, New York. The hotel was encumbered by \$270.0 million of non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt which was to mature in January 2012, and which bore a blended interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points. We refinanced this debt in October 2011 with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage which matures in October 2018, and bears interest at a floating rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. We funded the remainder of the repayment of the prior loan with approximately \$90.0 million of our unrestricted cash. The hotel was encumbered by an additional \$30.0 million mezzanine loan that was owned by the Company, and, therefore, eliminated in consolidation on our balance sheets until the mezzanine loan was satisfied in conjunction with our refinancing of the debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in October 2011. In conjunction with the purchase of the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, we recognized a gain of \$30.1 million on the remeasurement of our equity interest in this joint venture to its fair market value, and a gain of \$30.4 million on the remeasurement of our investment in the \$30.0 million mezzanine loan, which we purchased in April 2010 for \$3.45 million, to its fair market value.

In February 2011, we purchased the 494-room JW Marriott New Orleans located in New Orleans, Louisiana for approximately \$51.6 million in cash and the assumption of a \$42.2 million floating-rate, non-recourse senior mortgage. The mortgage, which matures in September 2015, has been swapped to a fixed rate of 5.45%, and is subject to a 25-year amortization schedule. Subsequent to this acquisition, we added two additional rooms to this hotel, increasing the room count to 496.

In April 2011, we paid \$182.8 million to acquire a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the 1,190-room Hilton San Diego Bayfront hotel located in San Diego, California, which implied a gross value of approximately \$475.0 million. Concurrent with the acquisition, the joint venture entered into a new \$240.0 million mortgage financing secured by the hotel. The mortgage bears a floating rate of interest of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points, matures in April 2016 and is subject to a 30-year amortization schedule.

In addition to the above noted hotels, we deployed a portion of our excess cash in January 2011 towards the purchase of the outside 50.0% equity interest in our BuyEfficient joint venture for a gross purchase price of \$9.0 million. As a result, we are now the sole owner of BuyEfficient. In conjunction with this purchase, we recognized a gain of \$8.7 million on the remeasurement of our equity interest in this joint venture to its fair market value.

While our primary focus is on acquiring branded, urban, upper upscale hotels, our acquisition program is aimed at generating attractive risk-adjusted returns on our investment dollars, and therefore we may target lodging assets outside of the typical branded, urban, upper upscale profile represented by our existing portfolio in order to capitalize on opportunities which may arise. We intend to select the brands and operators for our hotels that we believe will lead to the highest returns. Additionally, the scope of our acquisitions program may include large hotel portfolios or hotel loans. Future acquisitions may be funded by our issuance of additional debt or equity securities, including our common and preferred OP units, or by draws on our \$150.0 million senior corporate credit facility entered into in November 2010 and amended in September 2012. However, in light of our current financial objectives, we expect to fund any near term acquisitions with a greater proportion of equity capital than debt capital.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Dispositions. We have from time to time divested of assets that no longer fit our target profile, will not offer long-term returns in excess of our cost of capital, or that have high risk relative to their anticipated returns. The following table sets forth the hotels we have sold since January 1, 2011:

Hotels	Rooms	Disposition Date
2013:		
Kahler Grand, Minnesota (1) (2)	660	January 25, 2013
Kahler Inn & Suites, Minnesota (1)	271	January 25, 2013
Marriott Rochester, Minnesota (1) (2)	202	January 25, 2013
Residence Inn by Marriott Rochester, Minnesota (1)	89	January 25, 2013
2012:		
Marriott Del Mar, California	284	August 23, 2012
Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, Minnesota (3)	229	September 14, 2012
Hilton Del Mar, California (3)	257	September 14, 2012
Marriott Troy, Michigan (3)	350	September 14, 2012
2011:		
Royal Palm Miami Beach, Florida	409	April 8, 2011
Valley River Inn, Oregon	257	October 26, 2011
Total rooms	3,008	

(1) The 2013 portfolio sale of the Rochester Hotels also included a commercial laundry facility in Rochester, Minnesota.

(2) During 2012, the Company subtracted eight rooms from the Kahler Grand and one room from the Marriott Rochester, bringing the hotel room counts to 660 and 202, respectively.

(3) The 2012 portfolio sale of the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar, and the Marriott Troy also included an office building adjacent to the Marriott Troy.

Table of Contents

The aggregate net sale proceeds for these 10 hotels was \$547.2 million, or \$182,000 per room. The results of operations of all of the properties identified above and the gains or losses on dispositions and extinguishments of debt are included in discontinued operations for all periods presented through the time of sale. The cash proceeds from the sales are included in our cash flows from investing activities for the respective periods. Each of these dispositions is discussed below.

In January 2013, we sold the Rochester Portfolio to an unaffiliated third party, for net proceeds of \$195.6 million, of which \$145.7 million was deposited with an accommodator in order to facilitate our tax-deferred exchanges for the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles and the Boston Park Plaza. We recognized a net gain on the sale of \$51.6 million. We retained a \$25.0 million Preferred Equity Investment in the Rochester Hotels that yields an 11% dividend, resulting in a deferred gain on the sale of \$25.0 million. The \$25.0 million gain will be deferred until the Preferred Equity Investment is repaid. We also provided a \$3.7 million working cash advance to the buyer, resulting in a deferred gain on the sale of \$3.7 million. The \$3.7 million gain will be deferred until we are repaid from the Rochester Portfolio's available cash flow. In addition, we retained a \$14.0 million liability related to the Rochester Portfolio's pension plan, which could be triggered in certain circumstances, including termination of the pension plan. The recognition of the \$14.0 million pension plan liability reduced our gain on the sale of the Rochester Portfolio. The \$14.0 million gain will be recognized, if at all, when and to the extent we are released from any potential liability related to the Rochester Portfolio's pension plan. Concurrent with the Rochester Portfolio sale, we extinguished the outstanding \$26.7 million mortgage secured by the Kahler Grand for a total cost of \$29.8 million, prepaid the \$0.4 million loan secured by the commercial laundry facility, and recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$3.1 million which is included in discontinued operations.

We sold four hotels and an office building adjacent to one of the hotels in 2012. In August 2012, we sold the Marriott Del Mar located in San Diego, California for net proceeds of \$17.7 million, including the assumption of the existing mortgage secured by the hotel which totaled \$47.1 million on the date of sale, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$25.5 million. In addition, we wrote off \$48,000 in deferred financing fees in conjunction with the buyer's assumption of the debt secured by the hotel. In September 2012, we sold a portfolio of assets that included the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar, the Marriott Troy (located in Minneapolis, Minnesota, San Diego, California, and Troy, Michigan, respectively) and an office building adjacent to the Marriott Troy for net proceeds of \$28.6 million, including the assumptions of three separate mortgages secured by the hotels totaling \$75.6 million, as well as a \$2.2 million liability for deferred management fees payable to the Marriott Troy's third-party manager. We recognized a net gain on the sale of \$12.7 million. In addition, we wrote off \$0.1 million in deferred financing fees in conjunction with the buyer's assumption of the debt secured by the three hotels.

The mortgages secured by the Marriott Del Mar, Hilton Del Mar and Marriott Troy contain "cash trap" provisions that were triggered in prior years due to the decline in the performance of these hotels. Once triggered, substantially all of the excess cash flow from operations generated by the three hotels was deposited directly into lockbox accounts and then swept into cash management accounts for the benefit of the lenders. Cash was distributed to us only after certain items were paid, including deposits into leasing and maintenance reserve accounts and the payment of debt service, insurance, taxes, operating expenses, and extraordinary capital expenditures and leasing expenses. In 2013, a total of \$3.8 million was returned to us related to the mortgages secured by the Marriott Del Mar and the Marriott Troy. As of December 31, 2013, a total of \$4.4 million of our cash remained trapped by the lender associated with the mortgage secured by the Hilton Del Mar. In February 2014, the lender released the cash, and the entire \$4.4 million was returned to us.

We sold two hotels in 2011. In April 2011, we sold the Royal Palm Miami Beach for net proceeds of \$129.8 million, including \$40.0 million in cash and the \$90.0 million Royal Palm note, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$14.0 million. We sold the Royal Palm note in October 2011 for net proceeds of approximately \$79.2 million. In anticipation of this sale, we recorded an impairment loss of \$10.9 million in September 2011. We retained an earn-out right on the Royal Palm hotel which will enable us to receive future payments of up to \$20.0 million in the event that the hotel achieves certain return hurdles. In October 2011, we sold the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon for net proceeds of \$16.1 million, including the assumption of the existing mortgage secured by the hotel which totaled \$11.5 million on the date of sale, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$0.9 million.

Table of Contents

In addition to the above noted hotel dispositions, in July 2011, we sold our commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah for net proceeds of \$0.1 million, and recognized a loss on the sale of \$0.1 million. In anticipation of this sale, we recorded an impairment loss of \$1.5 million in June 2011, which is included in discontinued operations.

The following table summarizes our portfolio and room data from January 1, 2011 through December 31, 2013, adjusted for the hotels acquired and sold during the respective periods.

	2013	2012	2011
Portfolio Data Hotels			
Number of hotels beginning of period	30	32	31
Add: Acquisitions	3	2	3
Less: Dispositions	(4)	(4)	(2)
Number of hotels end of period	29	30	32

	2013	2012	2011
Portfolio Data Rooms			
Number of rooms beginning of period	12,854	13,208	11,722
Add: Acquisitions	2,105	774	2,144
Add: Room (conversions) expansions	7	(8)	8
Less: Dispositions	(1,222)	(1,120)	(666)
Number of rooms end of period	13,744	12,854	13,208
Average rooms per hotel end of period	474	428	413

Renovations. During 2013, we invested \$117.7 million in capital improvements to our hotel and other real estate portfolio. Consistent with our cycle-appropriate strategy, this investment in capital improvements to our portfolio was \$8.4 million more than the amount we invested in 2012 and \$17.3 million more than the amount we invested in 2011.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historical. During the periods presented, our sources of cash included our operating activities, working capital, sales of hotel properties and other assets, proceeds from issuance of notes payable and our credit facility, and proceeds from our offerings of common and preferred stock. Our primary uses of cash were for acquisitions of hotel properties and other assets, capital expenditures for hotels, operating expenses, repayment of notes payable (including repurchases of Senior Notes) and our credit facility, dividends on our preferred and common stock and distributions to our joint venture partners. We cannot be certain that traditional sources of funds will be available in the future.

Operating activities. Our net cash provided by or used in operating activities fluctuates primarily as a result of changes in RevPAR and the operating cash flow of our hotels. Our net cash provided by or used in operating activities may also be affected by changes in our portfolio resulting from hotel acquisitions, dispositions or renovations. Net cash provided by operating activities was \$171.1 million for 2013 compared to \$171.5 million for 2012, and \$155.2 million for 2011. Operating cash decreased slightly in 2013 as compared to 2012 due to decreased cash generated by the four hotels undergoing major room renovations during the first half of 2013 (the Hilton Times Square, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, the Hyatt Regency Newport Beach and the Renaissance Westchester) as well as increased restricted cash, mostly offset by operating cash generated by the 2013-2012 acquired hotels.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Operating cash increased in 2012 as compared to 2011 primarily due to our 2012-2011 acquired hotels, combined with increased earnings at our existing hotels.

Investing activities. Our net cash provided by or used in investing activities fluctuates primarily as a result of acquisitions, dispositions and renovations of hotels. Net cash used in investing activities in 2013, 2012 and 2011 was as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Proceeds from sales of hotel properties and other assets	\$ 195,628	\$ 46,367	\$ 44,576
Restricted cash replacement reserve	1,272	(10,743)	(8,143)
Proceeds received from sale of note receivable			79,194
Acquisitions of hotel properties and other assets	(450,544)	(120,003)	(263,264)
Renovations and additions to hotel properties and other assets	(117,694)	(109,321)	(100,400)
Payments for interest rate derivatives	(12)		(1,082)
Net cash used in investing activities	\$ (371,350)	\$ (193,700)	\$ (249,119)

Table of Contents

Net cash used in investing activities was \$371.4 million in 2013, as compared to \$193.7 million in 2012, and \$249.1 million in 2011. During 2013, we received proceeds of \$195.6 million from the sale of the Rochester Portfolio. In addition, we purchased three hotels during 2013 for a total of \$450.5 million, including the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles in May 2013 (\$59.1 million), the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013 (\$128.9 million), and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco in December 2013 (\$262.5 million). During 2013, we also decreased our restricted cash by \$1.3 million, paid \$117.7 million for renovations and additions to our portfolio and paid \$12,000 for an interest rate cap agreement on our variable-rate mortgage secured by the Hilton San Diego Bayfront.

During 2012, we received total proceeds of \$46.4 million from the sales of four hotels and an office building adjacent to one of the sold hotels, including \$17.7 million for the Marriott Del Mar, \$28.6 million from the portfolio sale of the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar, the Marriott Troy, and an office building adjacent to the Marriott Troy, and an additional \$37,000 from the sale of surplus FF&E. This cash inflow was offset by the following cash outflows: \$10.7 million as we increased the balance in our restricted cash replacement reserve accounts; \$120.0 million to acquire two hotels, including \$29.7 million paid to acquire the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, partially offset by \$21,000 of unrestricted cash received upon acquisition and \$90.3 million paid to acquire the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, partially offset by \$11,000 of unrestricted cash received upon acquisition; and \$109.3 million for renovations and additions to our portfolio.

During 2011, we received net proceeds of \$39.8 million from our sale of the Royal Palm Miami Beach, \$0.1 million from our sale of the commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah, \$16.1 million from our sale of the Valley River Inn partially offset by \$11.5 million of debt assigned to the buyer of the hotel and an additional \$0.1 million from the sale of surplus FF&E, for a total cash inflow of \$44.6 million. In addition, during 2011, we received net proceeds of \$79.2 million from the sale of the Royal Palm note. These cash inflows were offset as we increased the balance in our restricted cash replacement reserve accounts by \$8.1 million, paid cash of \$263.3 million to acquire hotel properties and other assets, paid cash of \$100.4 million for renovations and additions to our portfolio, and paid cash of \$1.1 million for interest rate derivative agreements. The \$263.3 million total cash paid for acquisitions during 2011 is comprised of the following: \$37.5 million for the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, partially offset by \$13.0 million of unrestricted cash acquired upon acquisition; \$51.6 million for the JW Marriott New Orleans; \$182.8 million for the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, partially offset by \$3.7 million of unrestricted cash acquired upon acquisition; and \$9.0 million for the outside 50.0% equity interest in our BuyEfficient joint venture, partially offset by \$0.9 million of unrestricted cash acquired upon acquisition.

Financing activities. Our net cash provided by or used in financing activities fluctuates primarily as a result of our issuance of common stock and our issuance and repayment of notes payable (including the repurchase of Senior Notes) and our credit facility, and our issuance and repurchase of other forms of capital, including preferred equity. Net cash provided by financing activities was \$147.4 million in 2013, as compared to net cash provided of \$30.2 million in 2012, and net cash used of \$32.8 million in 2011. Net cash provided by financing activities during 2013 consisted of \$565.8 million in net proceeds received from our issuance of common stock and \$35.8 million in proceeds received from our credit facility, partially offset by the following cash outflows: a total of \$276.3 million paid to redeem all of our Series A and Series C preferred stock; \$141.5 million in principal payments on our notes payable and credit facility, including \$58.0 million to repurchase our Senior Notes, \$26.7 million to extinguish the existing mortgage on the Kahler Grand, \$0.4 million to prepay the existing mortgage on the commercial laundry facility included in the Rochester Portfolio, \$35.8 million to repay draws on our credit facility and \$20.6 million of principal payments on our notes payable; \$3.1 million in costs incurred on our repurchase of the Senior Notes, our extinguishment of the Kahler Grand mortgage and our repayment of the commercial laundry mortgage; \$27.5 million in common and preferred dividends to our stockholders; \$5.5 million in distributions to the non-controlling interests in our hotels; and \$0.2 million in deferred financing costs paid in connection with our purchase of the interest rate cap agreement on our variable-rate mortgage secured by the Hilton San Diego Bayfront and on our assumption of the Boston Park Plaza loan.

Net cash provided by financing activities during 2012 consisted of \$126.1 million in net proceeds received from the issuance of common stock, including \$126.2 million in net proceeds received from our common stock offering offset by \$0.1 million in fees related to shares issued to the seller of the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, and \$15.0 million in proceeds received from a draw on our credit facility. These cash inflows were

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

partially offset by \$68.8 million in principal payments on our notes payable and credit facility, including \$32.2 million to repay the existing mortgage secured by the Renaissance Long Beach, \$15.0 million to repay a draw on our credit facility and \$21.6 million of principal payments on our notes payable. In addition, we paid \$4.6 million to repurchase a portion of our Senior Notes, \$1.3 million in deferred financing costs to amend our credit facility, \$29.7 million in preferred dividends to our stockholders, and \$6.4 million in distributions to the non-controlling interests in our hotels.

Table of Contents

Net cash used in financing activities during 2011 consisted of \$568.3 million in principal payments on notes payable and our credit facility, including \$233.8 million to repay an existing mortgage upon the acquisition of our Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture, \$270.0 million to repay non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt upon our refinancing of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, \$40.0 million to repay a draw on our credit facility and \$24.5 million of principal payments on our notes payable. In addition, we paid \$9.0 million in deferred financing costs related to our assumptions of debt on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the JW Marriott New Orleans in connection with the acquisitions of these two hotels, the issuance of a note payable to our Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture, the refinancing of debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, as well as costs related to our credit facility. We also paid dividends totaling \$25.0 million to our stockholders and distributions totaling \$1.3 million to partners in our joint ventures. These cash outflows were partially offset during 2011 by the receipt of \$110.9 million in net proceeds from the issuance of our Series D preferred stock, and \$460.0 million in proceeds from the issuance of notes payable and a draw on our credit facility. The \$460.0 million includes \$240.0 million received from the issuance of a note payable to our Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture, \$180.0 million received from the refinancing of debt on our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and \$40.0 million received from a draw on our credit facility.

Future. We expect our primary uses of cash to be for acquisitions of hotels, including possibly hotel portfolios, capital investments in our hotels, operating expenses, repayment of principal on our notes payable and credit facility, interest expense, and dividends on our common and preferred stock. We expect our primary sources of cash will continue to be our operating activities, working capital, notes payable and our credit facility, dispositions of hotel properties, and proceeds from public and private offerings of debt securities and common and preferred stock. Our financial objectives include the measured improvement of our credit ratios, maintenance of appropriate levels of liquidity, and a gradual reduction in our financial leverage. In light of our leverage objectives, in the near-term, we expect to fund acquisitions largely through the issuance of equity in order to grow the quality and scale of our portfolio while reducing our leverage. Consistent with this strategy, we issued common stock in June 2012 to fund our acquisitions of both the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile in June 2012 and the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile in July 2012. In addition, we used a portion of the proceeds we received from our February 2013 common stock offering to fund our acquisitions of both the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles in May 2013 and the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013, and we used a portion of the proceeds we received from our November 2013 common stock offering to fund our acquisition of the Hyatt Regency San Francisco in December 2013. Our ability to raise funds through the issuance of equity securities depends on, among other things, general market conditions for hotel companies and REITs and market perceptions about us. We will continue to analyze alternate sources of capital in an effort to minimize our capital costs and maximize our financial flexibility, including pursuant to the Equity Distribution Agreements (the Agreements) we entered into in February 2014 with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (the Managers). Under the terms of the Agreements, we may issue and sell from time to time through or to the Managers, as sales agents and/or principals, shares of our common stock having an aggregate offering amount of up to \$150.0 million. However, when needed, the capital markets may not be available to us on favorable terms or at all.

We believe that our current cash balance, our cash flow from operations, our access to capital markets and our unencumbered properties will provide us with sufficient liquidity to meet our current operating expenses and other expenses directly associated with our business (including payment of cash dividends on our capital stock, if declared) for the foreseeable future, and in any event for at least the next 12 months.

Debt. Concurrent with the Rochester Portfolio sale in January 2013, we extinguished the outstanding \$26.7 million mortgage secured by the Kahler Grand for a total cost of \$29.8 million, prepaid the \$0.4 million loan secured by the commercial laundry facility, and recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$3.1 million which is included in discontinued operations.

In January 2013, we repurchased \$42.0 million of Senior Notes pursuant to a tender offer, and we redeemed the remaining \$16.0 million of the Senior Notes. We funded the total \$58.0 million in Senior Note redemptions with available cash, leaving no future amounts outstanding related to the Senior Notes. We recognized a loss of \$44,000 on this extinguishment of debt.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

In conjunction with our acquisition of the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013, we assumed a \$119.2 million non-recourse mortgage secured by the hotel. The mortgage bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.4%, and matures in February 2018.

Regarding our 2012 debt activities, in February 2012, we repurchased \$4.5 million in aggregate principal amount of our Senior Notes for \$4.57 million. After the repurchase, such Senior Notes were cancelled. We wrote off \$47,000 in deferred financing fees and \$0.1 million of the Senior Notes discount, and recognized a loss of \$0.2 million on this early extinguishment of debt.

In April 2012, we used existing cash to repay the remaining \$32.2 million balance of the non-recourse mortgage secured by the Renaissance Long Beach. In connection with this repayment, we wrote off \$3,000 in deferred financing fees.

In August 2012, we completed the sale of the Marriott Del Mar for a gross sales price of \$66.0 million, including the buyer's assumption of the \$47.1 million mortgage secured by the hotel, and wrote off \$48,000 in related deferred financing fees.

Table of Contents

In September 2012, we completed the portfolio sale of the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar, the Marriott Troy and an office building adjacent to the Marriott Troy for a gross sales price of \$105.0 million, including the buyer's assumption of the three mortgages secured by the hotels totaling \$75.6 million, and wrote off \$0.1 million in related deferred financing fees.

In September 2012, we amended and restated our \$150.0 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility, which was scheduled to mature in November 2013. The pricing on the amended revolving credit facility was reduced and the 1% LIBOR floor was eliminated. The maturity of the credit facility was extended to November 2015 with an option to extend to November 2016. The amended credit facility's interest rate is based on a pricing grid with a range of 175 to 350 basis points, which represents a reduction from the previous grid that ranged from 325 to 425 basis points over LIBOR depending on our leverage ratio. The credit facility also includes an accordion option that allows us to request additional lender commitments up to a total of \$350.0 million. We paid \$1.3 million in deferred financing fees in conjunction with this amendment, which will be amortized over the term of the amended credit facility. The credit facility currently has no outstanding borrowings; however, as of December 31, 2013, we had \$0.8 million in outstanding irrevocable letters of credit backed by the credit facility.

Our 2011 debt activities involved our acquisitions of the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture in January 2011, the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011, and a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront in April 2011. In connection with our purchase of the outside 62.0% equity interests in our Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011, we assumed \$270.0 million of non-recourse senior mortgage and mezzanine debt which was scheduled to mature in January 2012, and which bore a blended interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 115 basis points. We refinanced this debt in October 2011 with a new \$180.0 million non-recourse mortgage which matures in October 2018, and bears interest at a floating rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. The new mortgage required payments of interest only for the first 24 months of the term, and is subject to a 30-year amortization schedule. In conjunction with this refinancing, we entered into an interest rate protection agreement which caps the 3-month LIBOR rate on the new mortgage at 4.0% until October 2015. We funded the remainder of the repayment of the prior loan with approximately \$90.0 million of our unrestricted cash.

Our purchase of the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011 included the assumption of a \$42.2 million floating-rate, non-recourse senior mortgage. The mortgage, which matures in September 2015, has been swapped to a fixed rate of 5.45%, and is subject to a 25-year amortization schedule.

Concurrent with our acquisition in April 2011 of a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the joint venture entered into a new \$240.0 million mortgage secured by the hotel. The mortgage bears a floating rate of interest of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points, matures in April 2016 and is subject to a 30-year amortization schedule. The joint venture also entered into an interest rate protection agreement which capped the 3-month LIBOR rate on the mortgage at 3.75% until April 2013, at which time we purchased a new interest rate cap agreement on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront mortgage for a cost of \$12,000. The new interest rate cap agreement extends the maturity date from April 2013 to April 2015, and continues to cap the 3-month LIBOR rate at 3.75%.

As of December 31, 2013, we had \$1.4 billion of consolidated debt, \$193.7 million of cash and cash equivalents, including restricted cash, and total assets of \$3.5 billion. We believe that by controlling debt levels, staggering maturity dates and maintaining a highly flexible capital structure, we can maintain lower capital costs than more highly leveraged companies, or companies with limited flexibility due to restrictive corporate-level financial covenants.

As of December 31, 2013, all of our outstanding debt had fixed interest rates, except the \$231.5 million non-recourse mortgage on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront and the \$179.5 million non-recourse mortgage on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, both of which are subject to

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

interest rate cap agreements. The interest rate cap agreement on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront mortgage matures in April 2015, and caps the 3-month LIBOR rate at 3.75%. The interest rate cap agreement on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square mortgage matures in October 2015, and caps the 3-month LIBOR rate at 4.0%. All of our mortgage debt is in the form of single asset non-recourse loans rather than in cross-collateralized multi-property pools. We currently believe this structure is appropriate for the operating characteristics of our business as it isolates risk and provides flexibility for various portfolio management initiatives, including the sale of individual hotels subject to existing debt. As evidenced by our 2009 secured debt restructuring program, in instances where asset values have declined to levels below the principal amount of the associated mortgage, non-recourse single asset mortgages may limit the degradation in the value experienced by our stockholders by shifting a portion of the asset risk to our secured lenders.

As of December 31, 2013, the weighted average term to maturity of our debt is approximately four years, and 70.7% of our debt is fixed rate with a weighted average interest rate of 5.4%. Including our variable-rate debt obligations based on the variable rates at December 31, 2013, the weighted average interest rate on this debt is 4.9%.

Table of Contents

We may in the future seek to obtain mortgages on one or all of our 13 unencumbered hotels, all but four of which are currently held by subsidiaries whose interests are pledged to our credit facility at December 31, 2013: Courtyard by Marriott Los Angeles, Fairmont Newport Beach, Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile (not pledged to our credit facility), Hilton New Orleans St. Charles (not pledged to our credit facility), Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile (not pledged to our credit facility), Hyatt Regency Newport Beach, Hyatt Regency San Francisco (not pledged to our credit facility), Marriott Quincy, Marriott Portland, Renaissance Long Beach, Renaissance Los Angeles Airport, Renaissance Westchester and Sheraton Cerritos. These 13 hotels had an aggregate of 5,001 rooms as of December 31, 2013, and generated \$331.3 million in revenue during 2013, including revenue generated prior to our ownership, as applicable. Should we obtain secured financing on any or all of our 13 unencumbered hotels, the amount of capital available through our credit facility may be reduced.

Cash Balance. As of December 31, 2013, our unrestricted cash balance was \$104.4 million. By minimizing our need to access external capital by maintaining higher than typical cash balances, our financial security and flexibility are meaningfully enhanced because we are able to fund our business needs and debt maturities partially with our cash. As we believe the lodging cycle is in the middle phase of a potentially prolonged cyclical recovery, we deployed a portion of our excess cash balance in 2013 towards debt repayments and repurchases (such as the repurchase of our Senior Notes in January 2013, and our redemptions of all issued and outstanding shares of the Series A and Series C preferred stock in March 2013 and May 2013, respectively), selective acquisitions and capital investments in our portfolio. In addition, as we have made progress on our core objective to improve the quality and scale of our portfolio while gradually deleveraging our balance sheet, we have reinstated a cash quarterly dividend on our common shares. While our primary focus is on acquiring branded, urban, upper upscale hotels, our acquisition program is aimed at generating attractive risk-adjusted returns on our investment dollars. We, therefore, may target lodging assets outside of the typical branded, urban, upper upscale profile represented by our existing portfolio in order to capitalize on opportunities which may arise. Additionally, the scope of our acquisitions program may include large hotel portfolios or hotel loans.

Contractual Obligations

The following table summarizes our payment obligations and commitments as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

	Total	Payment due by period			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years (in thousands)	3 to 5 years	More than 5 years
Notes payable	\$ 1,404,075	\$ 23,289	\$ 585,998	\$ 538,147	\$ 256,641
Interest obligations on notes payable (1)	254,585	66,305	111,095	51,680	25,505
Capital lease obligations	15,621	35	10	2	15,574
Interest obligations on capital leases	102,253	1,402	2,804	2,804	95,243
Operating lease obligations	563,551	10,476	21,133	27,168	504,774
Construction commitments	43,508	43,508			
Employment obligations	3,707	1,435	2,272		
Total	\$ 2,387,300	\$ 146,450	\$ 723,312	\$ 619,801	\$ 897,737

(1) Interest on variable-rate debt obligations is calculated based on the variable rates at December 31, 2013 and includes the effect of our interest rate derivative agreements.

Capital Expenditures and Reserve Funds

We believe we maintain each of our hotels in good repair and condition and in general conformity with applicable franchise and management agreements, ground, building and air leases, laws and regulations. Our capital expenditures primarily relate to the ongoing maintenance of our hotels and are budgeted in the reserve accounts described in the following paragraph. We also incur capital expenditures for renovation and development. We invested \$117.7 million in our portfolio during 2013. As of December 31, 2013, we have contractual construction commitments totaling \$43.5 million. If we acquire, renovate or develop additional hotels in the future, our capital expenditures will increase.

With respect to our hotels that are operated under management or franchise agreements with major national hotel brands and for all of our hotels subject to first mortgage liens, we are obligated to maintain an FF&E reserve account for future planned and emergency-related capital expenditures at these hotels. The amount funded into each of these reserve accounts is determined pursuant to the management, franchise and loan agreements for each of the respective hotels, ranging between zero and 5.0% of the respective hotel's total annual revenue. As of December 31, 2013, \$45.9 million was held in FF&E reserve accounts for future capital expenditures at the 29 hotels. According to certain loan agreements, reserve funds are to be held by the lenders or managers in restricted cash accounts, and we are not required to spend the entire amount in such reserve accounts each year.

Table of Contents**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

Our off-balance sheet arrangement consists of our ownership interest in the Preferred Equity Investment. For further discussion of the Preferred Equity Investment and its effect on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows, see Note 4 to the consolidated financial statements.

Seasonality and Volatility

As is typical of the lodging industry, we experience some seasonality in our business as indicated in the table below. Revenue for certain of our hotels is generally affected by seasonal business patterns (*e.g.*, the first quarter is strong in Orlando, the second quarter is strong for the Mid-Atlantic business hotels, and the fourth quarter is strong for New York City). Quarterly revenue also may be adversely affected by renovations, our managers' effectiveness in generating business and by events beyond our control, such as extreme weather conditions, terrorist attacks or alerts, public health concerns, airline strikes or reduced airline capacity, economic factors and other considerations affecting travel. Quarterly revenues prior to 2013 were also impacted by the 13-fiscal period accounting calendar used by one of our third-party managers, subsidiaries of Marriott International, Inc. or Marriott Hotel Services, Inc. (collectively, *Marriott*). Beginning in 2013, Marriott, the third-party manager of 10 of our 29 hotels switched to a standard 12-month fiscal calendar, which shifted the operating results for 20 calendar days from the fourth quarter to the first three quarters. Revenues for our 28 hotel Comparable Portfolio by quarter for 2011, 2012 and 2013 were as follows (dollars in thousands):

Revenues	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter	Total
2011 Comparable Portfolio (1)	\$ 194,367	\$ 228,647	\$ 212,957	\$ 246,535	\$ 882,506
2011 Revenues as a percentage of total	22.0%	25.9%	24.2%	27.9%	100.0%
2012 Comparable Portfolio (1)	\$ 205,485	\$ 244,792	\$ 226,996	\$ 254,899	\$ 932,172
2012 Revenues as a percentage of total	22.0%	26.3%	24.4%	27.3%	100.0%
2013 Comparable Portfolio (1)	\$ 215,661	\$ 257,506	\$ 251,275	\$ 241,644	\$ 966,086
2013 Revenues as a percentage of total	22.3%	26.7%	26.0%	25.0%	100.0%

(1) Includes all of the hotels in which we have interests as of December 31, 2013, except the Boston Park Plaza due to the hotel adding 12 rooms in September 2012, and an additional 100 rooms in January 2013. Also includes the following prior ownership results: the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco for all of 2011 and 2012, and during the periods in 2013 before our acquisitions of the hotels; the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile and the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile for all of 2011, and during the periods in 2012 before our acquisitions of the hotels; and the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront during the periods in 2011 before our acquisitions of the hotels.

Inflation

Inflation may affect our expenses, including, without limitation, by increasing such costs as labor, food, taxes, property and casualty insurance and utilities.

Critical Accounting Policies

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP). The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenue and expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

We evaluate our estimates on an ongoing basis. We base our estimates on historical experience, information that is currently available to us and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We believe the following critical accounting policies affect the most significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

- **Impairment of long-lived assets and goodwill.** We periodically review each property and any related goodwill for possible impairment. Recoverability of assets to be held and used is measured by a comparison of the carrying amount of an asset to future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by the asset. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the impairment recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets. We perform a Level 3 analysis of fair value, using a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of our properties taking into account each property's expected cash flow from operations, holding period and proceeds from the disposition of the property. The factors addressed in determining estimated proceeds from disposition include anticipated operating cash flow in the year of disposition and terminal capitalization rate. Our judgment is required in determining the discount rate applied to estimated cash flows, growth rate of the properties, operating income of the properties, the need for capital expenditures, as well as specific market and economic conditions.

We account for goodwill in accordance with the Intangibles – Goodwill and Other Topic of the FASB ASC, which states that goodwill has an indefinite useful life that should not be amortized but should be reviewed annually for impairment, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that goodwill might be impaired, as well as the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC for financial and nonfinancial assets and liabilities, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements by establishing a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The review of any potential goodwill impairment requires estimates of fair value for our properties that have goodwill arising from unallocated acquisition costs. These estimates of fair value are prepared using Level 3 measurements.

- **Acquisition related assets and liabilities.** Accounting for the acquisition of a hotel property or other entity as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving long-lived assets, such as property, equipment, intangible assets and capital lease obligations that are assumed as part of the acquisition of a leasehold interest. During 2011, 2012 and 2013, we used all available information to make these fair value determinations, and engaged an independent valuation specialist to assist in the fair value determination of the long-lived assets acquired in our purchases of the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, the outside 50.0% equity interests in the BuyEfficient joint venture, the JW Marriott New Orleans, the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/ Magnificent Mile, the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, the Boston Park Plaza and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco. Due to the inherent subjectivity in determining the estimated fair value of long-lived assets, we believe that the recording of acquired assets and liabilities is a critical accounting policy.

- **Depreciation and amortization expense.** Depreciation expense is based on the estimated useful life of our assets. The life of the assets is based on a number of assumptions, including the cost and timing of capital expenditures to maintain and refurbish our hotels, as well as specific market and economic conditions. Hotel properties and other investments are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives primarily ranging from five to 35 years for buildings and improvements and three to 12 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. While we believe our estimates are reasonable, a change in the estimated lives could affect depreciation expense and net income or the gain or loss on the sale of any of our hotels. We have not changed the estimated useful lives of any of our assets during the periods discussed.

New Accounting Standards and Accounting Changes

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-10, *Property, Plant and Equipment: Derecognition of in Substance Real Estate – a Scope Clarification* (ASU No. 2011-10). Under the amendments in ASU No. 2011-10, when a parent (reporting entity) ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary that is in substance real estate as a result of default on the subsidiary's non-recourse debt, the reporting entity should apply the guidance in Subtopic 360-20 to determine whether it should derecognize the in substance real estate. Generally, a reporting entity would not satisfy the requirements to derecognize the in substance real estate before the legal transfer of the real estate to the

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

lender and the extinguishment of the related non-recourse indebtedness. That is, even if the reporting entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest under Subtopic 810-10, the reporting entity would continue to include the real estate, debt, and the results of the subsidiary's operations in its consolidated financial statements until legal title to the real estate is transferred to legally satisfy the debt. The adoption of ASU No. 2011-10 in the first quarter of 2013 did not have any effect on our financial statements.

Table of Contents

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

To the extent that we incur debt with variable interest rates, our future income, cash flows and fair values relevant to financial instruments are dependent upon prevailing market interest rates. Market risk refers to the risk of loss from adverse changes in market prices and interest rates. We have no derivative financial instruments held for trading purposes. We use derivative financial instruments to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks.

As of December 31, 2013, 70.7% of our debt obligations are fixed in nature, which largely mitigates the effect of changes in interest rates on our cash interest payments. If market rates of interest on our variable rate debt increase or decrease by 100 basis points, interest expense would increase or decrease, respectively, our future earnings and cash flows by approximately \$4.4 million based on the variable rates at December 31, 2013. This increase or decrease in interest expense would increase or decrease, respectively, our future earnings by \$3.8 million, after adjusting for the non-controlling interest in the Hilton San Diego Bayfront based on the variable rates at December 31, 2013. However, increases and decreases in LIBOR rates are sometimes correlated with increases and decreases in lodging operations, which may mean that any increases in our interest expense due to higher variable rates may coincide with increases in our revenue due to higher lodging demand.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

See index to financial statements included in this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based upon an evaluation of the effectiveness of disclosure controls and procedures, our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO) have concluded that as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) or 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the rules and forms of the SEC and is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

(b) Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles.

Due to its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate due to changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our CEO and CFO, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission in Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992 Framework). Based on its evaluation, our management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

Ernst & Young LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm, has audited the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and, as part of its audit, has issued its report, included herein at page 62, on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting.

(c) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the most recently completed fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.

We have audited Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 Framework) (the COSO criteria). Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013 of Sunstone Hotel

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Investors, Inc. and our report dated February 25, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Irvine, California
February 25, 2014

Table of Contents

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this Item is set forth under the caption "Election of Directors" in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this Item is set forth under the caption "Executive Officer Compensation" in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Except as set forth below, the information required by this Item is set forth under the caption "Security Ownership by Directors, Executive Officers and Five Percent Stockholders" in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act and is incorporated herein by reference. The following table sets forth certain information with respect to securities authorized for issuance under the equity compensation plan as of December 31, 2013:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

**Number of securities to
be issued upon exercise
of outstanding awards
(a)**

**Weighted-average
exercise price of
outstanding awards
(b)**

**Number of securities
remaining available
for future issuance
under the Long-term
Incentive Plan
(excluding securities
reflected in column a)**

(c)

Equity compensation plans approved by the Company's stockholders:

- 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan	200,000	\$	17.71(1)	1,211,566
---------------------------------	---------	----	----------	-----------

(1) The weighted-average exercise price is for the 200,000 options outstanding as of December 31, 2013.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence


The information required by this Item is set forth under the caption "Certain Relationships and Related Transactions" and "Corporate Governance" in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act and is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

The information required by this Item is set forth under the caption "Ratification of the Audit Committee's Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in our definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the SEC pursuant to Regulation 14A under the Exchange Act and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules



Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
3.1	Articles of Amendment and Restatement of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
3.2	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 5, 2008).
3.3	First Amendment to the Amended and Restated Bylaws of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., effective as of March 19, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K, filed by the Company on March 22, 2012).
3.5	Form of Articles Supplementary for Series D preferred stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.3 to the registration statement on Form 8-A filed by the Company on April 6, 2011).
3.6	Articles Supplementary Prohibiting the Company From Electing to be Subject to Section 3-803 of the Maryland General Corporation Law Absent Shareholder Approval (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K, filed by the Company on April 29, 2013).
4.1	Specimen Certificate of Common Stock of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
4.2	Letter furnished to Securities and Exchange Commission agreeing to furnish certain debt instruments (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.1	Form of Master Agreement with Management Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.2	Form of Hotel Management Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.3	Management Agreement Amendment dated as of July 1, 2005 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10.1 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 15, 2006).
10.3.1	Management Agreement Amendment dated as of January 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.2 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 12, 2009).
10.3.2	Management Agreement Letter Amendment dated as of June 1, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3.3 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 23, 2010).
10.4	Loan Agreement, dated January 22, 2013, as amended and assumed, between Boston 1927 Owner, LLC and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for Morgan Stanley Bank of America Merrill Lynch Trust 2013-C8, Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2013-C8 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q, filed by the Company on August 7, 2013).
10.4.1	Assumption Agreement, dated July 2, 2013, between Boston 1927 Owner, LLC and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee for Morgan Stanley Bank of America Merrill Lynch Trust 2013-C8, Commercial Mortgage Pass-Through Certificates, Series 2013-C8 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q, filed by the Company on August 7, 2013).
10.5	2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., as amended effective May 5, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to Form S-8 filed by the Company on November 4, 2010).
10.5.1	Amendment to the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., effective as of December 31, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6.1 to Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 12, 2009).
10.5.2	Second Amendment to the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., effective as of May 5, 2010 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on May 7, 2010).

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.5.3	Third Amendment to the 2004 Long-Term Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., effective as of February 16, 2012 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on February 22, 2012).
10.6	Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. Executive Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 5, 2008).
10.7	Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on February 23, 2005).
10.8	Form of Restricted Stock Award Certificate (Directors) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on February 23, 2005).
10.9	Form of TRS Lease (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.10	Credit Agreement, dated November 1, 2010, among Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., each lender from time to time party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent and L/C issuer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 5, 2010).
10.10.1	Second Amendment to Credit Agreement and Second Amendment to Pledge Agreement, dated September 5, 2012, among Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., certain subsidiaries of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., each lender party thereto and Bank of America, N.A., as administrative agent (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K, filed by the Company on September 10, 2012).
10.11	Form of Senior Management Incentive Plan of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the registration statement on Form S-11 (File No. 333-117141) filed by the Company).
10.12	Second Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on July 17, 2005).
10.12.1	Third Amended and Restated Limited Liability Company Agreement of Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on April 6, 2011).
10.13	Employment Agreement (including the Indemnification Agreement), dated as of August 4, 2010, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Kenneth E. Cruse (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 6, 2010).
10.13.1	Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated March 22, 2011, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Kenneth E. Cruse (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on March 25, 2011).
10.13.2	Amendment No. 2 to Employment Agreement, dated November 7, 2011, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Kenneth E. Cruse (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 7, 2011).
10.14	Form of Indemnification Agreement for Directors and Officers (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 7, 2012).
10.15	Employment Agreement (including the Indemnification Agreement), dated as of August 4, 2010, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and Marc A. Hoffman (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on August 6, 2010).
10.16	Employment Agreement (including the Indemnification Agreement), dated as of February 14, 2011, by and between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC and John Arabia (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Form 10-K filed by the Company on February 17, 2011).

- 10.16.1 Amendment No. 1 to Employment Agreement, dated November 8, 2013, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc., Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC, and John V. Arabia (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on November 12, 2013).

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Exhibit Number	Description
10.17	Employment Offer Letter to Robert Springer, dated as of April 14, 2011 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 6, 2011).
10.17.1	Termination and Change in Control Agreement, dated as of April 14, 2011, between Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. and Robert Springer (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 6, 2011).
10.18	Loan Agreement, dated as of April 15, 2011, among One Park Boulevard, LLC as Borrower, Sunstone Park Lessee, LLC as Operating Lessee, Aareal Capital Corporation as Agent for the Lenders, and Aareal Capital Corporation as Lender (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on May 6, 2011).
10.19	Loan Agreement, dated as of October 7, 2011, among Times Square Hotel Owner, LLC (as Borrower), Times Square Hotel Operating Lessee, LLC (as Operating Lessee), Eurohypo AG, New York Branch (as Agent for the Lenders), and Eurohypo AG, New York Branch and Aareal Capital Corporation (as Lenders) (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed by the Company on November 9, 2011).
12	Computation of Ratios of Earnings to Fixed Charges and Preferred Stock Dividends.
14.1	Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 14.1 of Form 8-K filed by the Company on November 10, 2008).
21.1	List of subsidiaries.
23.1	Consent of Ernst & Young LLP.
31.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer (S ection 302 Certification).
31.2	Certification of Principal Financial Officer (S ection 302 Certification).
32.1	Certification of Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer (S ection 906 Certification).
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document *
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document *
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document *
101.LAB	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document *
101.PRE	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document *
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document *

* Attached as Exhibit 101 to this Annual Report on Form 10-K are the following materials, formatted in XBRL (Extensible Business Reporting Language): (i) the Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2013 and December 31, 2012; (ii) the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011; (iii) the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011; (iv) the Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (v) the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011; and (vi) Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements that have been detail tagged.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.

Date: February 25, 2014

/S/ Bryan A. Giglia
Bryan A. Giglia
Chief Financial Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the date indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/S/ KEITH M. LOCKER Keith M. Locker	Non-Executive Chairman	February 25, 2014
/S/ KENNETH E. CRUSE Kenneth E. Cruse	Director and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	February 25, 2014
/S/ JOHN V. ARABIA John V. Arabia	Director and President	February 25, 2014
/S/ ANDREW BATINOVICH Andrew Batinovich	Director	February 25, 2014
/S/ Z. JAMIE BEHAR Z. Jamie Behar	Director	February 25, 2014
/S/ THOMAS A. LEWIS, JR. Thomas A. Lewis, Jr.	Director	February 25, 2014
/S/ DOUGLAS M. PASQUALE Douglas M. Pasquale	Director	February 25, 2014
/S/ KEITH P. RUSSELL Keith P. Russell	Director	February 25, 2014
/S/ LEWIS N. WOLFF Lewis N. Wolff	Director	February 25, 2014

Table of Contents

INDEX TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SCHEDULES

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.:	Page
<u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u>	F-2
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012</u>	F-3
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-4
<u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-5
<u>Consolidated Statements of Equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-6
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011</u>	F-8
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	F-9
<u>Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u>	F-36
<u>Schedule III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation</u>	F-37

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Stockholders

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. Our audits also included the financial statement schedules listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedules based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. at December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly in all material respects the information set forth therein.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (1992 Framework) and our report dated February 25, 2014 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Irvine, California
February 25, 2014

Table of Contents**SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(In thousands, except share data)**

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 104,363	\$ 157,217
Restricted cash	89,306	78,394
Accounts receivable, net	29,941	27,498
Inventories	1,464	1,377
Prepaid expenses	12,612	10,739
Assets held for sale, net		132,335
Total current assets	237,686	407,560
Investment in hotel properties, net	3,231,382	2,681,877
Deferred financing fees, net	9,219	11,931
Goodwill	9,405	9,405
Other assets, net	21,106	25,902
Total assets	\$ 3,508,798	\$ 3,136,675
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	\$ 25,116	\$ 22,646
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	29,933	26,738
Dividends payable	11,443	7,437
Other current liabilities	30,288	30,963
Current portion of notes payable	23,289	76,723
Notes payable of assets held for sale		27,270
Liabilities of assets held for sale		8,228
Total current liabilities	120,069	200,005
Notes payable, less current portion	1,380,786	1,286,666
Capital lease obligations, less current portion	15,586	15,621
Other liabilities	39,958	15,070
Total liabilities	1,556,399	1,517,362
Commitments and contingencies (<i>Note 14</i>)		
Preferred stock, Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value, 4,102,564 shares authorized, zero shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 4,102,564 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012, liquidation preference of \$24.375 per share		100,000
Equity:		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 100,000,000 shares authorized.		
8.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, zero shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 7,050,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012, stated at liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share		176,250
8.0% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock, 4,600,000 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 2012, stated at liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share	115,000	115,000
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 500,000,000 shares authorized, 180,858,699 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2013 and 135,237,438 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012	1,809	1,352

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Additional paid in capital	2,068,721	1,493,397
Retained earnings	224,364	158,376
Cumulative dividends	(511,444)	(475,144)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(5,335)
Total stockholders' equity	1,898,450	1,463,896
Non-controlling interest in consolidated joint ventures	53,949	55,417
Total equity	1,952,399	1,519,313
Total liabilities and equity	\$ 3,508,798	\$ 3,136,675

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-3

Table of Contents**SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS****(In thousands, except per share data)**

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
REVENUES			
Room	\$ 653,955	\$ 576,146	\$ 501,183
Food and beverage	213,346	200,810	175,103
Other operating	56,523	52,128	45,508
Total revenues	923,824	829,084	721,794
OPERATING EXPENSES			
Room	170,361	147,932	128,225
Food and beverage	147,713	139,106	126,139
Other operating	16,819	16,162	14,004
Advertising and promotion	47,306	42,474	37,226
Repairs and maintenance	35,884	32,042	29,067
Utilities	27,006	25,596	25,537
Franchise costs	32,932	30,067	25,595
Property tax, ground lease and insurance	79,004	66,830	58,010
Property general and administrative	103,454	94,642	85,293
Corporate overhead	26,671	24,316	25,453
Depreciation and amortization	137,476	130,907	113,708
Impairment loss			10,862
Total operating expenses	824,626	750,074	679,119
Operating income	99,198	79,010	42,675
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures			21
Interest and other income	2,821	297	3,115
Interest expense	(72,239)	(76,821)	(74,195)
Loss on extinguishment of debt	(44)	(191)	
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests			69,230
Income before income taxes and discontinued operations	29,736	2,295	40,846
Income tax provision	(8,145)	(1,148)	
Income from continuing operations	21,591	1,147	40,846
Income from discontinued operations	48,410	48,410	40,453
NET INCOME	70,001	49,557	81,299
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest	(4,013)	(1,761)	(312)
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(32)	(31)	(30)
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation	(201)		
Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges	(19,013)	(29,748)	(27,321)
Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation	(235)	(203)	(636)
INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	\$ 46,507	\$ 17,814	\$ 53,000
Basic and diluted per share amounts:			
Income (loss) from continuing operations available (attributable) to common stockholders	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.24)	\$ 0.11
Income from discontinued operations	0.30	0.38	0.34
Basic and diluted income available to common stockholders per common share	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.45
Basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	161,784	127,027	117,206

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

F-4

Table of Contents**SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME****(In thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Net income	\$ 70,001	\$ 49,557	\$ 81,299
Pension liability adjustment		(419)	(1,779)
Reclassification to income from discontinued operations	5,335		
Comprehensive income	75,336	49,138	79,520
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest	(4,013)	(1,761)	(312)
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(32)	(31)	(30)
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation	(201)		
Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges	(19,013)	(29,748)	(27,321)
Undistributed comprehensive income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation	(235)	(203)	(636)
Comprehensive income available to common stockholders	\$ 51,842	\$ 17,395	\$ 51,221

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EQUITY

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid in Capital	Retained Earnings	Cumulative Dividends	Comprehensive Loss	Non-Controlling Interest in Other Consolidated Joint Ventures		
	Number of Shares	Series A Amount	Number of Shares	Series D Amount					Number of Shares	Amount	Total
Balance at December 31, 2010	7,050,000	\$ 176,250		\$	116,950,504	\$ 1,170	\$ 1,313,498	\$ 29,593	\$ (418,075)	\$ (3,137)	\$ 1,099,299
Net proceeds from sale of preferred stock			4,600,000				(4,052)				110,948
Vesting of restricted common stock					314,586	3	3,120				3,123
Non-controlling interest assumed at acquisition									61,067		61,067
Distributions to non-controlling interests									(1,342)		(1,342)
Series A preferred dividends and dividends payable at \$2.00 per share									(14,100)		(14,100)
Series C preferred dividends and dividends payable at \$1.572 per share									(6,448)		(6,448)
Series D preferred dividends and dividends payable at \$1.472222 per share									(6,773)		(6,773)
Net income							80,987			312	81,299
Pension liability adjustment									(1,779)		(1,779)

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

	Preferred Stock		Common Stock		Additional Paid in Capital	Retained Earnings	Cumulative Dividends	Non-Controlling Accumulated Interest in Other Consolidated Joint		Total		
	Series A Number of Shares	Amount	Series D Number of Shares	Amount				Number of Shares	Amount		Loss	Ventures
Balance at December 31, 2011	7,050,000	\$ 176,250	4,600,000	\$ 115,000	117,265,090	\$ 1,173	\$ 1,312,566	\$ 110,580	\$ (445,396)	\$ (4,916)	\$ 60,037	\$ 1,325,294
Net proceeds from sale of common stock					12,143,273	121	126,058					126,179
Issuance of common stock in connection with hotel acquisitions, net					5,454,164	55	51,008					51,063
Vesting of restricted common stock					374,911	3	3,765					3,768
Distributions to non-controlling interests										(6,381)		(6,381)
Series A preferred dividends and dividends payable at \$2.00 per share								(14,100)				(14,100)
Series C preferred dividends and dividends payable at \$1.572 per share								(6,448)				(6,448)
Series D preferred dividends and dividends payable at \$2.00 per share								(9,200)				(9,200)
Net income							47,796			1,761		49,557
Pension liability adjustment										(419)		(419)
Balance at December 31, 2012	7,050,000	176,250	4,600,000	115,000	135,237,438	1,352	1,493,397	158,376	(475,144)	(5,335)	55,417	1,519,313
Net proceeds from sale of common stock					45,300,000	453	565,307					565,760
Vesting of restricted common stock					321,261	4	5,247					5,251
Redemptions of Series A and Series C preferred stock	(7,050,000)	(176,250)					4,770		(4,770)			(176,250)

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Distributions to non-controlling interests								(5,481)	(5,481)	
Common stock dividends and dividends payable at \$0.10 per share								(17,287)	(17,287)	
Series A preferred dividends at \$0.50 per share through redemption date								(2,350)	(2,350)	
Series C preferred dividends at \$0.786 per share through redemption date								(2,693)	(2,693)	
Series D preferred dividends and dividends payable at \$2.00 per share								(9,200)	(9,200)	
Net income						65,988		4,013	70,001	
Pension liability reclassification								5,335	5,335	
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$	4,600,000	\$ 115,000	180,858,699	\$ 1,809	\$ 2,068,721	\$ 224,364	\$(511,444)	\$ 53,949	\$ 1,952,399

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(In thousands)**

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES			
Net income	\$ 70,001	\$ 49,557	\$ 81,299
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Bad debt expense	294	410	630
Gain on sales of hotel properties and other assets, net	(51,632)	(38,274)	(14,995)
(Gain) loss on extinguishment of debt	3,159	191	(18,145)
Gain on remeasurement of equity interests			(69,230)
(Gain) loss on derivatives, net	(525)	406	2,655
Depreciation	131,793	128,206	118,834
Amortization of franchise fees and other intangibles	10,115	20,198	15,069
Amortization and write-off of deferred financing fees	2,957	3,952	3,305
Amortization of loan discounts	3	1,058	1,062
Amortization of deferred stock compensation	4,858	3,466	2,745
Impairment loss			12,357
Equity in earnings of unconsolidated joint ventures			(21)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:			
Restricted cash	(11,688)	(249)	13,322
Accounts receivable	1,740	4,587	(4,123)
Inventories	1,524	(271)	(316)
Prepaid expenses and other assets	2,724	(7,906)	2,558
Accounts payable and other liabilities	7,001	2,279	5,422
Accrued payroll and employee benefits	(1,637)	2,983	2,020
Discontinued operations	432	903	730
Net cash provided by operating activities	171,119	171,496	155,178
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES			
Proceeds from sales of hotel properties and other assets	195,628	46,367	44,576
Restricted cash replacement reserve	1,272	(10,743)	(8,143)
Proceeds received from sale of note receivable			79,194
Acquisitions of hotel properties and other assets	(450,544)	(120,003)	(263,264)
Renovations and additions to hotel properties and other assets	(117,694)	(109,321)	(100,400)
Payments for interest rate derivatives	(12)		(1,082)
Net cash used in investing activities	(371,350)	(193,700)	(249,119)
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES			
Redemptions of preferred stock	(276,250)		
Proceeds from preferred stock offering			115,000
Payment of preferred stock offering costs			(4,052)
Proceeds from common stock offerings	566,451	126,533	
Payment of common stock offering costs	(691)	(451)	
Proceeds from notes payable and credit facility	35,750	15,000	460,000
Payments on notes payable and credit facility	(141,527)	(73,328)	(568,308)
Payments for costs related to extinguishment of notes payable	(3,108)	(70)	
Payments of deferred financing costs	(243)	(1,332)	(9,049)
Dividends paid	(27,524)	(29,748)	(25,021)
Distributions to non-controlling interests	(5,481)	(6,381)	(1,342)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	147,377	30,223	(32,772)

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents	(52,854)	8,019	(126,713)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	157,217	149,198	275,911
Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$ 104,363	\$ 157,217	\$ 149,198
SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION			
Cash paid for interest	\$ 71,563	\$ 78,234	\$ 76,015
Cash paid for income taxes	\$ 7,143	\$ 1,023	\$
NONCASH INVESTING ACTIVITY			
Accounts payable related to renovations and additions to hotel properties and other real estate	\$ 7,842	\$ 5,897	\$ 8,304
Amortization of deferred stock compensation construction activities	\$ 393	\$ 302	\$ 376
Amortization of deferred stock compensation unconsolidated joint venture	\$	\$	\$ 2
NONCASH FINANCING ACTIVITY			
Issuance of note receivable	\$	\$	\$ 90,000
Issuance of common stock in connection with acquisition of hotel property	\$	\$ 51,160	\$
Assignment of debt in connection with dispositions of hotel properties	\$	\$ (122,622)	\$ (11,532)
Assumption of debt in connection with acquisitions of hotel properties	\$ 119,190	\$	\$ 545,952
Dividends payable	\$ 11,443	\$ 7,437	\$ 7,437

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****1. Organization and Description of Business**

Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated in Maryland on June 28, 2004 in anticipation of an initial public offering of common stock, which was consummated on October 26, 2004. The Company, through its 100% controlling interest in Sunstone Hotel Partnership, LLC (the Operating Partnership), of which the Company is the sole managing member, and the subsidiaries of the Operating Partnership, including Sunstone Hotel TRS Lessee, Inc. (the TRS Lessee) and its subsidiaries, is currently engaged in acquiring, owning, asset managing and renovating hotel properties. The Company may also sell certain hotel properties from time to time. The Company operates as a real estate investment trust (REIT) for federal income tax purposes.

As a REIT, certain tax laws limit the amount of non-qualifying income the Company can earn, including income derived directly from the operation of hotels. As a result, the Company leases all of its hotels to its TRS Lessee, which in turn enters into long-term management agreements with third parties to manage the operations of the Company's hotels. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had interests in 29 hotels (the 29 hotels) held for investment, and the Company's third-party managers included the following:

	Number of Hotels
Subsidiaries of Marriott International, Inc. or Marriott Hotel Services, Inc. (collectively, Marriott)	10
Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc.	6
Highgate Hotels L.P. and an affiliate	4
Davidson Hotels & Resorts	2
Hilton Worldwide	2
Hyatt Corporation	2
Crestline Hotels & Resorts	1
Dimension Development Company	1
Fairmont Hotels & Resorts (U.S.)	1
Total hotels held for investment	29

In addition, the Company owns BuyEfficient, LLC (BuyEfficient), an electronic purchasing platform that allows members to procure food, operating supplies, furniture, fixtures and equipment.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies***Basis of Presentation***

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

The accompanying consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, include the accounts of the Company, the Operating Partnership, the TRS Lessee and their subsidiaries. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The Company consolidates subsidiaries when it has the ability to direct the activities that most significantly impact the economic performance of the entity. The Company also evaluates its subsidiaries to determine if they should be considered variable interest entities (VIEs). Typically, the entity that has the power to direct the activities that most significantly impact economic performance would consolidate the VIE. The Company considers an entity a VIE if equity investors own an interest therein that does not have the characteristics of a controlling financial interest or if such investors do not have sufficient equity at risk for the entity to finance its activities without additional subordinated financial support. In accordance with the Consolidation Topic of the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC), the Company reviewed its subsidiaries to determine if (i) they should be considered VIEs, and (ii) whether the Company should change its consolidation determination based on changes in the characteristics of these entities. Based on its review, the Company determined that all of its subsidiaries were properly consolidated as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Non-controlling interests at both December 31, 2013 and 2012 represent the outside equity interests in various consolidated affiliates of the Company.

The Company has evaluated subsequent events through the date of issuance of these financial statements.

Table of Contents*Use of Estimates*

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ materially from those estimates.

Reporting Periods

The results the Company reports in its consolidated statements of operations are based on results reported to the Company by its hotel managers. Prior to 2013, Marriott used a fiscal year ending on the Friday closest to December 31 and reported twelve weeks of operations each for the first three quarters of the year, and sixteen or seventeen weeks of operations for the fourth quarter of the year. Beginning in 2013, Marriott switched its reporting to a standard monthly calendar; however, Marriott's 2013 calendar contains an additional three days, December 29, 2012 through December 31, 2012. The Company and its other hotel managers use a standard monthly calendar to report their financial information. The Company has elected to adopt quarterly close periods of March 31, June 30 and September 30, and an annual year end of December 31. As a result, the Company's 2013, 2012 and 2011 results of operations for the Marriott-managed hotels are reported using the following reporting periods:

	2013	2012	Number of days in 2013 versus 2012 (1)	2011	Number of days in 2013 versus 2011
First quarter	December 29 March 31	December 31 March 23	8 days	January 1 March 25	9 days
Second quarter	April 1 June 30	March 24 June 15	7 days	March 26 June 17	7 days
Third quarter	July 1 September 30	June 16 September 7	8 days	June 18 September 9	8 days
Fourth quarter	October 1 December 31	September 8 December 28	(20 days)	September 10 December 30	(20 days)
Full year	December 29, 2012 December 31, 2013	December 31, 2011 December 28, 2012	3 days	January 1, 2011 December 30, 2011	4 days

(1) Number of days in 2013 versus 2012 does not include the leap day, February 29, 2012, as this extra day was not caused by the Marriott calendar conversion.

The Company estimates that Marriott's fiscal calendar had the following effects on the Company's total revenue and net income based on the average daily revenues and income generated by its Marriott hotels during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

	2013 (1)	2012 (1)	2011 (1)
Total revenue	\$ 2,300	\$ (1,251)	\$ (1,048)
Net income	\$ 672	\$ (328)	\$ (273)

(1) Increases (decreases) to total revenue and net income based on the Marriott fiscal calendars for 2013 (368 days), 2012 (364 days) and 2011 (364 days) versus a standard 365 day year.

Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents are defined as cash on hand and in various bank accounts plus all short-term investments with an original maturity of three months or less.

The Company maintains cash and cash equivalents and certain other financial instruments with various financial institutions. These financial institutions are located throughout the country and the Company's policy is designed to limit exposure to any one institution. The Company performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of those financial institutions that are considered in the Company's investment strategy. At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company had amounts in banks that were in excess of federally insured amounts.

Restricted Cash

Restricted cash is comprised of reserve accounts for debt service, interest reserves, capital replacements, ground leases, and property taxes. These restricted funds are subject to supervision and disbursement approval by certain of the Company's lenders and/or hotel managers.

Table of Contents

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable primarily represents receivables from hotel guests who occupy hotel rooms and utilize hotel services. Accounts receivable also includes, among other things, receivables from customers who utilize purchase volume rebates through BuyEfficient, as well as tenants who lease space in the Company's hotels. The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts sufficient to cover potential credit losses. The Company's accounts receivable includes an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0.2 million at both December 31, 2013 and 2012.

Inventories

Inventories, consisting primarily of food and beverages at the hotels, are stated at the lower of cost or market, with cost determined on a method that approximates first-in, first-out basis.

Acquisitions of Hotel Properties and Other Entities

Accounting for the acquisition of a hotel property or other entity as a purchase transaction requires an allocation of the purchase price to the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the transaction at their respective estimated fair values. The most difficult estimations of individual fair values are those involving long-lived assets, such as property, equipment, intangible assets and any capital lease obligations that are assumed as part of the acquisition of a leasehold interest. During 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company used all available information to make these fair value determinations, and engaged independent valuation specialists to assist in the fair value determination of the long-lived assets acquired and the liabilities assumed in the Company's purchases of the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, the Boston Park Plaza, the Hyatt Regency San Francisco, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, the outside 62.0% equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, the outside 50.0% equity interests in the BuyEfficient joint venture, the JW Marriott New Orleans and the 75.0% majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. Due to the inherent subjectivity in determining the estimated fair value of long-lived assets, the Company believes that the recording of acquired assets and liabilities is a critical accounting policy.

Investments In Hotel Properties and Other Assets

Hotel properties and other investments are depreciated using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives primarily ranging from five to 35 years for buildings and improvements and three to 12 years for furniture, fixtures and equipment. Intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over their estimated useful life or over the length of the related agreement, whichever is shorter.

The Company's investment in hotel properties, net also includes initial franchise fees which are recorded at cost and amortized using the straight-line method over the lives of the franchise agreements ranging from 14 to 27 years. All other franchise fees that are based on the Company's results of operations are expensed as incurred.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

The Company follows the requirements of the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets to be held and used by the Company when indicators of impairment are present and the future undiscounted net cash flows expected to be generated by those assets are less than the assets' carrying amount. If such assets are considered to be impaired, the related assets are adjusted to their estimated fair value and an impairment is recognized. The impairment recognized is measured by the amount by which the carrying amount of the assets exceeds the estimated fair value of the assets. In computing fair value, the Company uses a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of its hotel properties and other assets, taking into account each property's expected cash flow from operations, holding period and estimated proceeds from the disposition of the property. The factors addressed in determining estimated proceeds from disposition include anticipated operating cash flow in the year of disposition and terminal capitalization rate. In both 2013 and 2012, the Company did not identify any properties or other assets with indicators of impairment. In 2011, the Company did not recognize any impairments on its hotel properties, but did recognize a \$1.5 million impairment on its commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah based on proceeds received from its sale in July 2011. Based on the Company's review, management believes that there were no other impairments on its long-lived assets, and that the carrying values of its hotel properties and other assets are recoverable at December 31, 2013.

Fair value represents the amount at which an asset could be bought or sold in a current transaction between willing parties, that is, other than a forced or liquidation sale. The estimation process involved in determining if assets have been impaired and in the determination of fair value is inherently uncertain because it requires estimates of current market yields as well as future events and conditions. Such future events and conditions include economic and market conditions, as well as the availability of suitable financing. The realization of the Company's investment in hotel properties and other real estate is dependent upon future uncertain events and conditions and, accordingly, the actual timing and amounts realized by the Company may be materially different from their estimated fair values.

Table of Contents*Assets Held for Sale*

The Company considers a hotel or other asset held for sale if it is probable that the sale will be completed within twelve months, among other requirements. A sale is determined to be probable once the buyer completes its due diligence of the asset, there is an executed purchase and sale agreement between the Company and the buyer, and the Company has received a substantial non-refundable deposit. Depreciation ceases when a property is held for sale. Should an impairment loss be required for assets held for sale, the related assets are adjusted to their estimated fair values, less costs to sell. Once a hotel or other asset is designated as held for sale, the hotel or other asset is included in discontinued operations, and operating results are removed from income from continuing operations and reported as discontinued operations. The operating results for any such assets for any prior periods presented must also be reclassified as discontinued operations. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had no hotels or other assets held for sale. As of December 31, 2012, the Company classified four hotels and a commercial laundry facility as held for sale due to their sale in January 2013.

Deferred Financing Fees

Deferred financing fees consist of loan fees and other financing costs related to the Company's outstanding indebtedness and credit facility commitments, and are amortized to interest expense over the terms of the related debt or commitment. Upon repayment or refinancing of the underlying debt, any related unamortized deferred financing fee is charged to interest expense. Upon any loan modification, any related unamortized deferred financing fee is amortized over the remaining terms of the modified loan.

During 2013, the Company paid deferred financing fees of \$0.2 million related to the assumption of a mortgage in connection with the acquisition of the Boston Park Plaza and the purchase of an interest rate cap derivative agreement on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront mortgage. During 2012, the Company incurred and paid deferred financing fees of \$1.3 million related to an amendment of its credit facility. During 2011, approximately \$9.0 million of deferred financing fees were incurred and paid related to the Company's assumptions of debt on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the JW Marriott New Orleans in connection with the acquisitions of these hotels, the issuance of a note payable to the Company's Hilton San Diego Bayfront joint venture, the refinancing of debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, as well as costs related to the Company's credit facility.

During 2012, the Company wrote-off \$0.2 million in deferred financing fees related to its sales of the Marriott Del Mar, the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar and the Marriott Troy, along with its repayment of the non-recourse mortgage secured by the Renaissance Long Beach. During 2011, the Company wrote-off \$0.1 million in deferred financing fees related to its sale of the Valley River Inn, along with its refinancing of debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square.

Total amortization and write-off of deferred financing fees for 2013, 2012 and 2011 was as follows (in thousands):

	2013		2012		2011
Continuing operations:					
Amortization of deferred financing fees	\$ 2,955	\$	3,690	\$	3,138
Write-off of deferred financing fees			3		21
	2,955		3,693		3,159

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Total deferred financing fees – continuing operations				
Discontinued operations:				
Amortization of deferred financing fees	2	74	104	
Write-off of deferred financing fees		185	42	
Total deferred financing fees – discontinued operations	2	259	146	
Total amortization and write-off of deferred financing fees	\$ 2,957	\$ 3,952	\$ 3,305	

Goodwill and BuyEfficient Intangibles

The Company follows the requirements of the Intangibles – Goodwill and Other Topic of the FASB ASC, which states that goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are subject to annual impairment tests. As a result, the carrying value of goodwill allocated to hotel properties and other assets is reviewed at least annually for impairment. In addition, when facts and circumstances suggest that the Company’s goodwill may be impaired, an interim evaluation of goodwill is prepared. Such review entails comparing the carrying value of the individual hotel property or other asset (the reporting unit) including the allocated goodwill to the fair value determined for that reporting unit (see Fair Value of Financial Instruments for detail on the Company’s valuation methodology). If the aggregate carrying value of the reporting unit exceeds the fair value, the goodwill of the reporting unit is impaired to the extent of the difference between the fair value and the aggregate carrying value, not to exceed the carrying amount of the allocated goodwill. The Company’s annual impairment evaluation is performed each year as of December 31.

Table of Contents

During 2011, the Company recorded goodwill of \$8.4 million related to its purchase of the outside equity interest in its BuyEfficient joint venture.

Based on its annual impairment evaluations for both 2013 and 2012, the Company determined that no adjustments to its goodwill were required.

The Company's other assets, net includes BuyEfficient's intangibles related to certain trademarks, customer and supplier relationships and intellectual property related to internally developed software. These intangibles are amortized using the straight-line method over their useful lives ranging between seven to 20 years.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated on the cost basis and includes computer equipment and other corporate office equipment and furniture. Property and equipment is depreciated on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives ranging from three to 12 years. The Company includes property and equipment, net of related accumulated depreciation, in its other assets, net on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying amount of certain financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, restricted cash, accounts receivable, accounts payable, and accrued expenses were representative of their fair values due to the short-term maturity of these instruments.

The Company follows the requirements of the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures Topic of the FASB ASC, which establishes a framework for measuring fair value and disclosing fair value measurements by establishing a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation techniques used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities (Level 1 measurements) and lowest priority to unobservable inputs (Level 3 measurements). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy are described below:

Level 1 Observable inputs that reflect quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2 Inputs reflect quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets; inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or the liability; or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

Level 3 Unobservable inputs reflecting the Company's own assumptions incorporated in valuation techniques used to determine fair value. These assumptions are required to be consistent with market participant assumptions that are reasonably available.

As discussed in Note 6, during 2011, the Company entered into interest rate protection agreements to manage, or hedge, interest rate risks in conjunction with its acquisitions of the outside equity interests in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the JW Marriott New Orleans, a majority interest in the entity that owns the Hilton San Diego Bayfront and the refinancing of the debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square. The Company records interest rate protection agreements on the balance sheet at their fair value. Changes in the fair value of derivatives are recorded each period in the consolidated statements of operations as they are not designated as hedges. In accordance with the Fair Value Measurements and Disclosure Topic of the FASB ASC, the Company estimates the fair value of its interest rate protection agreements based on quotes obtained from the counterparties, which are based upon the consideration that would be required to terminate the agreements. The Company has valued the derivative interest rate cap agreements related to the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront using Level 2 measurements as an asset of \$16,000 and \$48,000 as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The interest rate cap agreements are included in other assets, net, in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets. The Company has valued the derivative interest rate swap agreement related to the JW Marriott New Orleans using Level 2 measurements as a liability of \$1.1 million and \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The interest rate swap agreement is included in other liabilities in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

On an annual basis and periodically when indicators of impairment exist, the Company analyzes the carrying values of its hotel properties and other assets using Level 3 measurements, including a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of its hotel properties and other assets taking into account each property's expected cash flow from operations, holding period and estimated proceeds from the disposition of the property. The factors addressed in determining estimated proceeds from disposition include anticipated operating cash flow in the year of disposition and terminal capitalization rate. The Company did not identify any properties or other assets with indicators of impairment during either 2013 or 2012. In 2011, the Company recognized a \$1.5 million impairment on its commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah based on proceeds received from its sale in July 2011. Also in 2011, the Company recognized a \$10.9 million impairment on the \$90.0 million mortgage-secured purchase money loan received from the buyer of the Royal Palm Miami Beach (the Royal Palm note) due to its sale in October 2011.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

On an annual basis and periodically when indicators of impairment exist, the Company also analyzes the carrying value of its goodwill using Level 3 measurements, including a discounted cash flow analysis to estimate the fair value of its reporting units. The Company did not identify any properties with indicators of goodwill impairment in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, 70.7% and 69.6%, respectively, of the Company's outstanding debt included in continuing operations had fixed interest rates, including the effect of an interest rate swap agreement. The Company's carrying value of its debt secured by properties not classified as discontinued operations totaled \$1.4 billion as of both December 31, 2013 and 2012. Using Level 3 measurements, including the Company's weighted average cost of capital ranging from 5.0% to 5.5% the Company estimates that the fair market value of its debt included in continuing operations totaled \$1.4 billion and \$1.3 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The following table presents the Company's assets measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis at December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
December 31, 2013:				
Interest rate cap derivative agreements	\$ 16	\$	\$ 16	\$
Life insurance policy (1)	1,385		1,385	
Total assets at December 31, 2013	\$ 1,401	\$	\$ 1,401	\$
December 31, 2012:				
Interest rate cap derivative agreements	\$ 48	\$	\$ 48	\$
Life insurance policy (1)	1,494		1,494	
Total assets at December 31, 2012	\$ 1,542	\$	\$ 1,542	\$

(1) Includes the split life insurance policy for the Company's former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Robert A. Alter, which the Company has valued using Level 2 measurements. These amounts are included in other assets, net on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets, and will be used to reimburse the Company for payments made to Mr. Alter associated with a retirement benefit agreement.

The following table presents the Company's liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis at December 31, 2013 and 2012 (in thousands):

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date			
	Total	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
December 31, 2013:				
Interest rate swap derivative agreement	\$ 1,066	\$	\$ 1,066	\$
Retirement benefit agreement (1)	1,385		1,385	
Total liabilities at December 31, 2013	\$ 2,451	\$	\$ 2,451	\$
December 31, 2012:				

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Interest rate swap derivative agreement	\$	1,636	\$	1,636	\$
Retirement benefit agreement (1)		1,494		1,494	
Total liabilities at December 31, 2012	\$	3,130	\$	3,130	\$

(1) Includes the retirement benefit agreement for the Company's former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, Robert A. Alter, which the Company has valued using Level 2 measurements. The agreement calls for the balance of the retirement benefit agreement to be paid out to Mr. Alter in 10 annual installments, beginning in 2011. As such, the Company paid Mr. Alter a total of \$0.6 million through December 31, 2013, which was reimbursed to the Company using funds from the split life insurance policy. These amounts are included in accrued payroll and employee benefits in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the gains and impairment charges included in earnings as a result of applying Level 3 measurements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Gains:			
Investment in unconsolidated joint ventures (1)	\$	\$	\$ 69,230
Impairment charges:			
Other assets, net (2)			(10,862)
Assets held for sale, net (3)			(1,495)
Total impairment charges			(12,357)
Total Level 3 measurement charges included in earnings (4)	\$	\$	\$ 56,873

(1) Includes the gains recorded by the Company on the remeasurements of the Company's equity interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square and BuyEfficient joint ventures.

(2) Includes the impairment loss recorded by the Company on the Royal Palm note due to the note's sale in October 2011.

(3) Includes the \$1.5 million impairment loss recorded on a commercial laundry facility in Salt Lake City, Utah which the Company sold in July 2011.

(4) There were no transfers into or out of Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy during either of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Revenue Recognition

Room revenue and food and beverage revenue are recognized as earned, which is generally defined as the date upon which a guest occupies a room and/or utilizes the hotel's services. Additionally, some of the Company's hotel rooms are booked through independent internet travel intermediaries. Revenue for these rooms is booked at the price the Company sold the room to the independent internet travel intermediary less any discount or commission paid.

Other operating revenue consists of revenue derived from incidental hotel services such as telephone, transportation, parking, spa, entertainment and other guest services, along with sublease revenues relating to the restaurants and retail shops. Other operating revenue also includes revenue generated by BuyEfficient. Revenues from incidental hotel services and BuyEfficient are recognized in the period the related services are provided or the revenue is earned.

Advertising and Promotion Costs

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Advertising and promotion costs are expensed when incurred. Advertising and promotion costs represent the expense for advertising and reservation systems under the terms of the hotel franchise and brand management agreements and general and administrative expenses that are directly attributable to advertising and promotions.

Stock Based Compensation

Compensation expense related to awards of restricted shares and performance shares are measured at fair value on the date of grant and amortized over the relevant requisite service period or derived service period.

Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be treated as a REIT pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, as amended (the Code). Management believes that the Company has qualified and intends to continue to qualify as a REIT. Therefore, the Company is permitted to deduct distributions paid to its stockholders, eliminating the federal taxation of income represented by such distributions at the company level. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements. If the Company fails to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, the Company will be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on taxable income at regular corporate tax rates.

Table of Contents

With respect to taxable subsidiaries, the Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC. Accordingly, deferred tax liabilities and assets are determined based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse.

The Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC addresses how uncertain tax positions should be recognized, measured, presented, and disclosed in the financial statements. The guidance requires the accounting and disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken in the course of preparing the Company's tax returns to determine whether the tax positions are more-likely-than-not to be sustained by the applicable tax authority. Tax positions not deemed to meet the more-likely-than-not threshold would be recorded as a tax benefit or expense in the current year. The Company's management is required to analyze all open tax years, as defined by the statute of limitations, for all major jurisdictions, which includes federal and certain states.

Non-Controlling Interests

The Company's financial statements include entities in which the Company has a controlling financial interest. Non-controlling interest is the portion of equity (net assets) in a subsidiary not attributable, directly or indirectly, to a parent. Such non-controlling interests are reported on the consolidated balance sheets within equity, separately from the Company's equity. On the consolidated statements of operations, revenues, expenses and net income or loss from less-than-wholly-owned subsidiaries are reported at the consolidated amounts, including both the amounts attributable to the Company and non-controlling interests. Income or loss is allocated to non-controlling interests based on their weighted average ownership percentage for the applicable period. The consolidated statements of equity include beginning balances, activity for the period and ending balances for each component of shareholders' equity, non-controlling interests and total equity.

At December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, the non-controlling interest reported in the Company's financial statements includes Hilton Worldwide's 25.0% ownership in the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. In addition, the Company is the sole common stockholder of the captive REIT that owns the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square; however, there are also preferred investors in the captive REIT whose preferred dividends less administrative fees during 2013, 2012 and 2011 are represented as distributions to non-controlling interests on the Company's statements of operations.

Dividends

In August 2013, the Company's board of directors reinstated a quarterly dividend payable to the Company's common stockholders. In addition, the Company currently pays quarterly dividends to the preferred stockholders of its 8.0% Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series D preferred stock) as declared by the Company's board of directors. Prior to their redemption dates in March 2013 and May 2013, respectively, the Company also paid quarterly dividends to the preferred stockholders of its 8.0% Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series A preferred stock) and its Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock (Series C preferred stock) as declared by the Board of Directors. The Company's ability to pay dividends is dependent on the receipt of distributions from the Operating Partnership.

Earnings Per Share

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

The Company applies the two-class method when computing its earnings per share as required by the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires the net income per share for each class of stock (common stock and convertible preferred stock) to be calculated assuming all of the Company's net income is distributed as dividends to each class of stock based on their contractual rights. To the extent the Company has undistributed earnings in any calendar quarter, the Company will follow the two-class method of computing earnings per share.

The Company follows the requirements of the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, which states that unvested share-based payment awards that contain non-forfeitable rights to dividends or dividend equivalents (whether paid or unpaid) are participating securities and shall be included in the computation of earnings per share pursuant to the two-class method. During 2013, distributed earnings representing nonforfeitable dividends of \$0.2 million were allocated to the participating securities. There were no distributed earnings representing nonforfeitable dividends allocated to the participating securities during either 2012 or 2011. Undistributed earnings representing nonforfeitable dividends of \$0.2 million, \$0.2 million and \$0.6 million were allocated to the participating securities for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

In accordance with the Earnings Per Share Topic of the FASB ASC, basic earnings available (loss attributable) to common stockholders per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings available (loss attributable) to common stockholders per common share is computed based on the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during each period, plus potential common shares considered outstanding during the period, as long as the inclusion of such awards is not anti-dilutive. Potential common shares consist of unvested restricted stock awards and the incremental common shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options, using the more dilutive of either the two-class method or the treasury stock method.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share (in thousands, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Numerator:			
Net income	\$ 70,001	\$ 49,557	\$ 81,299
Income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest	(4,013)	(1,761)	(312)
Distributions to non-controlling interest	(32)	(31)	(30)
Dividends paid on unvested restricted stock compensation	(201)		
Preferred stock dividends and redemption charges	(19,013)	(29,748)	(27,321)
Undistributed income allocated to unvested restricted stock compensation	(235)	(203)	(636)
Numerator for basic and diluted earnings available to common stockholders	\$ 46,507	\$ 17,814	\$ 53,000
Denominator:			
Weighted average basic and diluted common shares outstanding	161,784	127,027	117,206
Basic and diluted earnings available to common stockholders per common share	\$ 0.29	\$ 0.14	\$ 0.45

The Company's unvested restricted shares associated with its long-term incentive plan and shares associated with common stock options have been excluded from the above calculation of earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive. Prior to their redemption in May 2013, the shares of the Company's Series C preferred stock issuable upon conversion were excluded from the above calculation of earnings per share for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, as their inclusion would have been anti-dilutive.

Segment Reporting

The Company reports its consolidated financial statements in accordance with the Segment Reporting Topic of the FASB ASC. Currently, the Company operates in one segment, operations held for investment.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2011, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-10, *Property, Plant and Equipment: Derecognition of in Substance Real Estate - a Scope Clarification* (ASU No. 2011-10). Under the amendments in ASU No. 2011-10, when a parent (reporting entity) ceases to have a controlling financial interest in a subsidiary that is in substance real estate as a result of default on the subsidiary's non-recourse debt, the reporting entity should apply the guidance in Subtopic 360-20 to determine whether it should derecognize the in substance real estate. Generally, a reporting entity would not satisfy the requirements to derecognize the in substance real estate before the legal transfer of the real estate to the lender and the extinguishment of the related non-recourse indebtedness. That is, even if the reporting entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest under Subtopic 810-10, the reporting entity would continue to include the real estate, debt, and the results of the subsidiary's operations in its consolidated financial statements until legal title to the real estate is transferred to legally satisfy the debt. The adoption of ASU

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

No. 2011-10 in the first quarter of 2013 did not have any effect on the Company's financial statements.

3. Investment in Hotel Properties

Investment in hotel properties, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Land	\$ 439,304	\$ 260,939
Buildings and improvements	2,977,458	2,541,024
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	414,192	329,770
Intangibles	171,889	167,467
Franchise fees	1,346	1,261
Construction in process	34,643	48,388
	4,038,832	3,348,849
Accumulated depreciation and amortization	(807,450)	(666,972)
	\$ 3,231,382	\$ 2,681,877

Table of Contents

Acquisitions - 2013

In May 2013, the Company purchased the 250-room Hilton New Orleans St. Charles for a net purchase price of \$59.1 million, including \$0.2 million of proration credits and unrestricted cash received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with \$53.2 million of proceeds generated by the Company's January 2013 sale of four hotels and a commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota (see Note 4), as well as with proceeds received from the Company's February 2013 issuance of common stock. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties and hotel working capital assets and liabilities. The Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$0.4 million during 2013, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of May 1, 2013 through the year ended December 31, 2013.

In July 2013, the Company purchased the 1,053-room Boston Park Plaza for a net purchase price of \$248.0 million, including \$2.0 million of proration credits, unrestricted and restricted cash and other adjustments received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with \$92.3 million of proceeds generated by the Company's January 2013 sale of four hotels and a commercial laundry facility located in Rochester, Minnesota (see Note 4), the assumption of a \$119.2 million non-recourse loan secured by the hotel, as well as with proceeds received from the Company's February 2013 issuance of common stock and with cash on hand. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties, hotel working capital assets, notes payable and hotel working capital liabilities. The Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$0.9 million during 2013, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the Boston Park Plaza have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of July 2, 2013 through the year ended December 31, 2013.

In December 2013, the Company purchased the 802-room Hyatt Regency San Francisco for a net purchase price of \$262.5 million, including \$5.5 million of purchase price adjustments comprised of restricted cash and other adjustments received from the seller. The acquisition was funded with proceeds generated by the Company's November 2013 issuance of common stock. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price and other adjustments allocated to investment in hotel properties, prepaid expenses and other current liabilities. The Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$0.5 million during 2013, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the Hyatt Regency San Francisco have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of December 2, 2013 through the year ended December 31, 2013.

The fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the dates of acquisition for the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, the Boston Park Plaza and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco were allocated based on an independent third-party analysis. The following table summarizes the fair values of assets acquired, liabilities assumed and adjustments recorded in these acquisitions (in thousands):

Assets:	
Investment in hotel properties	\$ 570,420
Accounts receivable	3,024
Inventory	160
Prepaid expenses	1,126
Total assets acquired	574,730
Liabilities:	
Accounts payable	295

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Accrued payroll and employee benefits	2,777
Other current liabilities	1,924
Note payable	119,190
Total liabilities assumed	124,186
Total cash paid for acquisitions	\$ 450,544

F-18

Table of Contents

Investment in hotel properties was allocated to land (\$178.4 million), buildings and improvements (\$355.6 million), furniture, fixtures and equipment (\$31.9 million), intangibles (\$4.4 million) related to advanced bookings and tenant lease agreements, and franchise fees (\$0.1 million) related to a franchise agreement. Details of the intangibles and the franchise agreement are as follows (in thousands):

	Value at acquisition	Expected Life
Advanced bookings	\$ 6,414	49 to 60 months
Above/(below) market lease agreements, net	(3,635)	12 to 215 months
In-place lease agreements	1,643	12 to 169 months
Franchise agreement	85	15 years
<hr/>		
Total intangibles and franchise fees related to 2013 acquisitions	4,507	
Accumulated amortization	(607)	
Net balance of intangibles at December 31, 2013	\$ 3,900	

During 2013, the Company recorded amortization expense related to its Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, Boston Park Plaza and Hyatt Regency San Francisco intangibles as follows (in thousands):

	2013
Advanced bookings	\$ 632
Above/(below) market lease agreements, net	(134)
In-place lease agreements	105
Franchise agreement	4
	\$ 607

Acquisitions - 2012

In June 2012, the Company purchased the leasehold interest in the 417-room Wyndham Chicago for a contractual purchase price of \$88.425 million. The Company funded the acquisition with \$29.7 million of cash on hand (including \$0.3 million of proration credits) and the issuance of 5,454,164 shares of the Company's common stock, the Wyndham stock consideration. The Wyndham stock consideration was determined by dividing \$58.425 million by the product of (1) the closing price of \$10.40 on the NYSE of the Company's common stock on May 2, 2012 and (2) 1.03. In connection with this acquisition, the Company entered into a registration rights agreement requiring the Company to register the Wyndham stock consideration. The Company prepared the registration statement on Form S-3, which was filed with the SEC as required on June 4, 2012. Based on the \$9.38 closing price of the Company's common stock on the NYSE on June 4, 2012, the date the acquisition closed, the total purchase price of the Wyndham Chicago hotel for accounting purposes was \$81.16 million, excluding proration adjustments and closing costs. Immediately upon acquisition, the Company rebranded the hotel the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties, hotel working capital assets and liabilities, obligations under capital lease and the Company's common stock. During 2012, the Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$1.3 million, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of June 4, 2012 through the year ended December 31, 2013.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

In July 2012, the Company purchased the 357-room Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile for a net purchase price of \$90.3 million, including \$1.45 million of proration credits. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties and hotel working capital assets and liabilities. The Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$0.7 million and \$0.2 million during 2012 and 2011, respectively, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of July 19, 2012 through the year ended December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Acquisitions - 2011

In January 2011, the Company purchased the outside 62.0% equity interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture for \$37.5 million and, as a result, became the sole owner of the entity that owns the 460-room Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square hotel located in New York City, New York. The purchase price included \$13.0 million of unrestricted cash held on the partnership's balance sheet. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties, notes payable and hotel working capital assets and liabilities. The Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$2.5 million during 2011, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of January 14, 2011 through the year ended December 31, 2013. Preferred dividends earned by investors from an entity that owns the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, less administrative fees, totaled \$32,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, \$31,000 for the year ended December 31, 2012, and \$30,000 for the year ended December 31, 2011, and are included in distributions to non-controlling interest on the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

In February 2011, the Company purchased the 494-room JW Marriott New Orleans located in New Orleans, Louisiana for approximately \$51.6 million in cash and the assumption of a \$42.2 million floating-rate, non-recourse senior mortgage. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties, notes payable and hotel working capital assets. The Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$0.4 million during 2011, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the JW Marriott New Orleans have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of February 15, 2011 through the year ended December 31, 2013.

In April 2011, the Company paid \$182.8 million to acquire a 75.0% majority interest in the joint venture that owns the 1,190-room Hilton San Diego Bayfront hotel located in San Diego, California, which implied a gross value of approximately \$475.0 million. The purchase price included \$3.7 million of unrestricted cash held on the joint venture's balance sheet. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to investment in hotel properties, notes payable and hotel working capital assets and liabilities. The Company incurred acquisition-related costs of \$0.5 million during 2011, which are included in corporate overhead on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The results of operations for the Hilton San Diego Bayfront have been included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations from the acquisition date of April 15, 2011 through the year ended December 31, 2013. The remaining 25.0% interest in the joint venture continues to be owned by Hilton Worldwide, and is included in non-controlling interest in consolidated joint ventures on the Company's consolidated balance sheets and in income from consolidated joint venture attributable to non-controlling interest in the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

Acquired properties are included in the Company's results of operations from the date of acquisition. The following unaudited pro forma results of operations reflect the Company's results as if the acquisitions of the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles in May 2013, the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013, the Hyatt Regency San Francisco in December 2013, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile in June 2012, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile in July 2012, the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in January 2011, the JW Marriott New Orleans in February 2011 and the Hilton San Diego Bayfront in April 2011 had occurred on January 1, 2011. In the Company's opinion, all significant adjustments necessary to reflect the effects of the acquisitions have been made (in thousands, except per share data):

	2013	2012	2011
Revenues	\$ 1,043,203	\$ 1,004,300	\$ 1,062,319
Income available (loss attributable) to common stockholders from continuing operations	\$ 7,509	\$ (23,741)	\$ 23,221

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Income (loss) per diluted share available (attributable) to common stockholders from continuing operations	\$	0.05	\$	(0.19)	\$	0.20
--	----	------	----	--------	----	------

For the year ended December 31, 2013, the Company included \$51.0 million of revenues, and net income of \$2.8 million in its consolidated statements of operations related to the Company's 2013 acquisitions. For the year ended December 31, 2012, the Company included \$27.7 million of revenues, and a net loss of \$1.1 million in its consolidated statements of operations related to the Company's 2012 acquisitions. For the year ended December 31, 2011, the Company included \$169.1 million of revenues, and a net loss of \$0.5 million in its consolidated statements of operations related to the Company's 2011 acquisitions.

Table of Contents**Intangible Assets**

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, intangible assets included in the Company's investment in hotel properties, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2013		2012	
Advanced bookings (1)	\$	35,154	\$	28,740
Easement agreement (2)		9,727		9,727
Ground/air lease agreements (3)		121,850		121,850
In-place lease agreements (4)		6,223		4,580
Above/(below) market lease agreements, net (5)		(3,915)		(280)
Below market management agreement (6)		2,850		2,850
		171,889		167,467
Accumulated amortization		(44,426)		(34,978)
	\$	127,463	\$	132,489

Amortization expense on these intangible assets for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2013		2012		2011	
Advanced bookings (1)	\$	4,560	\$	14,824	\$	9,988
Ground/air lease agreements (3)		4,113		4,113		3,978
In-place lease agreements (4)		454		348		299
Above/(below) market lease agreements, net (5)		(148)		(6)		
Below market management agreement (6)		469		212		
	\$	9,448	\$	19,491	\$	14,265

(1) Advanced bookings consist of advance deposits related to the purchases of the Boston Park Plaza, the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, the Hyatt Regency San Francisco, and the JW Marriott New Orleans. The contractual advance hotel bookings were recorded at a discounted present value based on estimated collectability, and are amortized using the straight-line method based over the periods the amounts are expected to be collected. The contractual advance hotel bookings for the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, the Hilton San Diego Bayfront, the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, and the JW Marriott New Orleans are fully amortized as of December 31, 2013. The contractual advance hotel bookings for the Boston Park Plaza and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco will be fully amortized by June 30, 2018.

(2) The Easement agreement at the Hilton Times Square was valued at fair value at the date of acquisition. The Hilton Times Square easement agreement has an indefinite useful life, and, therefore, is not amortized. This non-amortizable intangible asset is reviewed annually for impairment and more frequently if events or circumstances indicate that the asset may be impaired. If a non-amortizable intangible asset is subsequently determined to have a finite useful life, the intangible asset will be written down to the lower of its fair value or carrying amount and then amortized prospectively, based on the remaining useful life of the intangible asset.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

(3) Ground/air lease agreements at the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the Hilton Times Square and the JW Marriott New Orleans were valued at fair value at the dates of acquisition. The agreements are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the related agreements, which range from between approximately 23 and 77 years as of December 31, 2013.

(4) In-place lease agreements at the Boston Park Plaza, the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square, the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles, the Hilton San Diego Bayfront and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco, were valued at fair value at the dates of acquisition. The agreements are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the related agreements, which range from between approximately seven months and 14 years as of December 31, 2013.

(5) The above/(below) market lease agreements, net consist of unfavorable tenant lease liabilities at the Boston Park Plaza, the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco, and favorable tenant lease assets at the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles and the Hyatt Regency San Francisco. These agreements were valued at fair value at the dates of acquisition, and are amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the related agreements, which range from between approximately seven months and 18 years as of December 31, 2013.

(6) The below market management agreement at the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile was valued at fair value at the acquisition date. The agreement is comprised of two components, one for the management of the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile, and the other for the potential management of a future hotel. The agreement is amortized using the straight-line method over the remaining non-cancelable terms of the two components, approximately 4 and 9 years each as of December 31, 2013.

For the next five years, amortization expense for the intangible assets noted above is expected to be as follows, (in thousands):

2014	\$	6,344
2015	\$	6,167
2016	\$	6,110
2017	\$	5,884
2018	\$	4,994

Table of Contents**4. Discontinued Operations**

In January 2013, the Company sold a four-hotel, 1,222-room portfolio (the Rochester Hotels) and a commercial laundry facility (together with the Rochester Hotels, the Rochester Portfolio) in Rochester, Minnesota, to an unaffiliated third party, for net proceeds of \$195.6 million, of which \$145.7 million was deposited with an accommodator in order to facilitate tax-deferred exchanges. The Rochester Hotels include the 660-room Kahler Grand, the 271-room Kahler Inn & Suites, the 202-room Marriott Rochester and the 89-room Residence Inn by Marriott Rochester. The Company recognized a net gain on the sale of \$51.6 million. The Company retained a \$25.0 million preferred equity investment (the Preferred Equity Investment) in the Rochester Hotels that yields an 11% dividend, resulting in a deferred gain on the sale of \$25.0 million. The \$25.0 million gain will be deferred until the Preferred Equity Investment is redeemed. The Preferred Equity Investment is recorded at face value on the Company's consolidated balance sheet net of the deferred gain, resulting in a net book value of zero on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013. During 2013, the Company recognized \$2.6 million in dividends on the Preferred Equity Investment, which is included in interest and other income on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The Company also provided a \$3.7 million working cash advance to the buyer, resulting in a deferred gain on the sale of \$3.7 million. The \$3.7 million gain will be deferred until the Company is repaid from the Rochester Portfolio's available cash flow. The working cash advance is recorded at face value on the Company's consolidated balance sheet net of the deferred gain, resulting in a net book value of zero on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013. In addition, the Company retained a liability not to exceed \$14.0 million related to the Rochester Portfolio's pension plan, which could be triggered in certain circumstances, including termination of the pension plan. The \$14.0 million pension plan liability is included in other liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013. The recognition of the \$14.0 million pension plan liability reduced the Company's gain on the sale of the Rochester Portfolio. The \$14.0 million gain will be recognized, if at all, when and to the extent the Company is released from any potential liability related to the Rochester Portfolio's pension plan. Concurrent with the Rochester Portfolio sale, the Company extinguished the outstanding \$26.7 million mortgage secured by the Kahler Grand for a total cost of \$29.8 million, prepaid the \$0.4 million loan secured by the commercial laundry facility, and recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$3.1 million which is included in discontinued operations on the Company's consolidated statements of operations. The Company reclassified the Rochester Portfolio's results of operations for January 2013 and the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations.

Prior to the sale of the Rochester Portfolio, pension liability adjustments related to the Rochester Portfolio's defined benefit retirement plan were recorded as other comprehensive loss. The following table details the activity in accumulated other comprehensive loss in January 2013 due to the sale of the Rochester Portfolio (in thousands):

	One Month Ended January 31, 2013	Affected Line in the Company's Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income
Beginning balance of accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$ (5,335)	
Sale of Rochester Portfolio pension liability adjustment	5,335	Income from discontinued operations
Ending balance of accumulated other comprehensive loss	\$	

During 2012, the Company sold four hotels and an office building adjacent to one of the sold hotels. In August 2012, the Company sold the Marriott Del Mar located in San Diego, California for net proceeds of \$17.7 million, including the assumption of the existing mortgage secured by the hotel which totaled \$47.1 million on the date of sale, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$25.5 million. In addition, the Company wrote off \$48,000 in deferred financing fees in conjunction with the buyer's assumption of the debt secured by the hotel. The Company reclassified the hotel's results of operations for the first eight months of 2012, as well as for the year ended December 31, 2011 to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

In September 2012, the Company sold a portfolio of assets that included the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar, the Marriott Troy (located in Minneapolis, Minnesota, San Diego, California, and Troy, Michigan, respectively) and an office building adjacent to the Marriott Troy for net proceeds of \$28.6 million, including the assumptions of three separate mortgages secured by the hotels totaling \$75.6 million, as well as a \$2.2 million liability for deferred management fees payable to the Marriott Troy's third-party manager. The Company recognized a net gain on the sale of \$12.7 million. In addition, the Company wrote off \$0.1 million in deferred financing fees in conjunction with the buyer's assumption of the debt secured by the three hotels. The Company reclassified the results of operations for the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar, the Marriott Troy and the office building to discontinued operations for the first nine months of 2012, as well as for the year ended December 31, 2011 on its consolidated statements of operations.

During 2011, the Company sold two hotels and a commercial laundry facility. In April 2011, the Company sold the Royal Palm Miami Beach hotel for net proceeds of \$129.8 million, including \$39.8 million in cash and the \$90.0 million Royal Palm note, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$14.0 million. The Company reclassified the hotel's results of operations for the first four months of 2011 to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations. The Company retained an earn-out right on the Royal Palm hotel which will enable it to receive future payments of up to \$20.0 million in the event the hotel achieves certain return hurdles. In March 2012, the Company recorded additional gain of \$0.2 million on the sale of the Royal Palm Miami Beach for property tax refunds and reimbursements for certain transaction related invoices, which is included in discontinued operations on the Company's consolidated statements of operations.

In July 2011, the Company sold its commercial laundry facility located in Salt Lake City, Utah for net proceeds of \$0.1 million, and recognized a loss on the sale of \$0.1 million. In anticipation of this sale, the Company recorded an impairment loss of \$1.5 million to discontinued operations in June 2011. The Company reclassified the laundry's results of operations for the first seven months of 2011 to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations.

In October 2011, the Company sold the Valley River Inn located in Eugene, Oregon for net proceeds of \$16.1 million, including the assumption of the existing mortgage secured by the hotel which totaled \$11.5 million on the date of sale, and recognized a gain on the sale of \$0.9 million. The Company reclassified the hotel's results of operations for the first 10 months of 2011 to discontinued operations on its consolidated statements of operations.

The following sets forth the discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 for the four hotels and the commercial laundry facility sold in 2013, the four hotels and the office building sold in 2012, and the two hotel properties and the commercial laundry facility sold in 2011, which all met the held for sale and discontinued operations criteria in accordance with the Property, Plant and Equipment Topic of the FASB ASC (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Operating revenues	\$ 3,690	\$ 100,861	\$ 130,997
Operating expenses	(3,686)	(71,089)	(96,581)
Interest expense	(99)	(6,490)	(9,337)
Depreciation and amortization expense		(13,164)	(16,188)
Impairment loss			(1,495)
Gain (loss) on extinguishment of debt	(3,115)		18,145
Gain on sale of hotels and other assets, net	51,620	38,292	14,912
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 48,410	\$ 48,410	\$ 40,453

5. Investments in Unconsolidated Joint Ventures

In December 2006, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement to obtain a 38.0% interest in the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square located in New York City, New York. The Company accounted for its ownership interest in the hotel using the equity method, and its accounting policies were consistent with those of the unconsolidated joint venture. In January 2011, the Company purchased the outside 62.0% equity interests in its Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square joint venture, and, as a result, became the sole owner of the entity that owns the hotel. In conjunction with this purchase, the Company recognized a gain of \$30.1 million on the remeasurement of the Company's equity interest in this joint venture to its fair market value, and a gain of \$30.4 million on the remeasurement of the Company's investment in a \$30.0 million, 8.5% mezzanine loan secured by the hotel which it purchased in April 2010 to its fair market value. Subsequent to this acquisition, the Company has consolidated the results of operations of the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square with its continuing operations, and the mezzanine loan was eliminated in consolidation on the Company's balance sheet until the mezzanine loan was satisfied in conjunction with the Company's refinancing of the debt secured by the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square in October 2011.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the Company entered into a joint venture agreement with Strategic Hotels & Resorts (Strategic) to own and operate BuyEfficient. Under the terms of the agreement, Strategic acquired a 50.0% interest in BuyEfficient from the Company. The Company accounted for its ownership interest in BuyEfficient using the equity method, and its accounting policies were consistent with those of the unconsolidated joint venture. In January 2011, the Company repurchased Strategic's 50.0% share in BuyEfficient. The Company recorded the acquisition at fair value using an independent third-party analysis, with the purchase price allocated to intangibles (which are included in other assets, net on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2013 and 2012), goodwill and other working capital assets and liabilities. In conjunction with this purchase, the Company recognized a gain of \$8.7 million on the remeasurement of the Company's equity interest in this joint venture to its fair market value. Subsequent to this acquisition, the Company is the sole owner of BuyEfficient, and has consolidated BuyEfficient's results of operations with its continuing operations.

6. Interest Rate Derivative Agreements

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company held two interest rate cap agreements and one interest rate swap agreement to manage its exposure to the interest rate risks related to its floating rate debt. The first interest rate cap agreement is on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront mortgage, which mortgage bears an interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. The initial interest rate cap agreement, whose strike rate was 3.75%, matured in April 2013. In April 2013, the Company purchased a new interest rate cap agreement on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront mortgage for a cost of \$12,000, which extended the maturity date from April 2013 to April 2015. The new interest rate cap agreement on the Hilton San Diego Bayfront continues to cap the 3-month LIBOR rate at 3.75%. The notional amount of the related debt capped totaled \$117.0 million and \$120.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The second interest rate cap agreement is on the Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square mortgage, which mortgage bears an interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points. The Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square cap agreement caps the 3-month LIBOR rate at 4.0% until October 2015. The notional amount of the related debt capped totaled \$179.6 million and \$180.0 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The interest rate swap agreement is on the JW Marriott New Orleans mortgage. The interest rate swap agreement caps the LIBOR interest rate on the underlying debt at a total interest rate of 5.45%, and the maturity date is in September 2015. The notional amount of the related debt totaled \$39.8 million and \$40.7 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

None of the interest rate derivative agreements qualify for effective hedge accounting treatment. Accordingly, changes in the fair value of the Company's interest rate derivative agreements resulted in a net gain of \$0.5 million for the year ended December 31, 2013, and net losses of \$0.4 million and \$2.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, which have been reflected as a decrease in interest expense for 2013, and as increases in interest expense for 2012 and 2011. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the fair values of the interest rate cap agreements totaled an asset of \$16,000 and \$48,000, respectively. The interest rate cap agreements are included in other assets, net on the Company's consolidated balance sheets. The fair value of the interest rate swap agreement was a liability of \$1.1 million and \$1.6 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is included in other liabilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheets.

7. Other Assets

Other assets, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

	December 31,			
	2013		2012	
Property and equipment, net	\$	2,478	\$	2,529
Land held for development		188		188
Intangibles, net		7,277		7,877
Interest rate cap derivative agreements		16		48
Cash trap receivables		4,443		8,208
Other receivables		3,942		4,130
Other		2,762		2,922
	\$	21,106	\$	25,902

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, property and equipment, net consisted of the following (in thousands):

	2013		2012	
Cost basis	\$	10,933	\$	10,153
Accumulated depreciation		(8,455)		(7,624)
Property and equipment, net	\$	2,478	\$	2,529

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The Company's other assets, net as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, include BuyEfficient's intangible assets totaling \$7.3 million and \$7.9 million, respectively, net of accumulated amortization related to certain trademarks, customer and supplier relationships and intellectual property related to internally developed software. These intangibles are amortized using the straight-line method over their useful lives ranging between seven and 20 years. Accumulated amortization totaled \$1.8 million and \$1.2 million at December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Amortization expense totaled \$0.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011. For the next five years, amortization expense for the BuyEfficient intangible assets is expected to be as follows, (in thousands):

2014	\$	600
2015	\$	600
2016	\$	600
2017	\$	600
2018	\$	351

In conjunction with the Company's 2012 sales of the Marriott Del Mar, the Hilton Del Mar and the Marriott Troy, the mortgages secured by these hotels were assumed by the buyers of the hotels. These mortgages contain "cash trap" provisions that were triggered in prior years due to the decline in the performance of the three hotels. Once triggered, substantially all of the excess cash flow from operations generated by the three hotels was deposited directly into lockbox accounts and then swept into cash management accounts for the benefit of the lenders. Cash was distributed to the Company only after certain items were paid, including deposits into leasing and maintenance reserve accounts and the payment of debt service, insurance, taxes, operating expenses, and extraordinary capital expenditures and leasing expenses. During 2013, a total of \$3.8 million was returned to the Company related to the mortgages secured by the Marriott Del Mar and the Marriott Troy. As of December 31, 2013, the remaining \$4.4 million remained trapped by the lender associated with the mortgage secured by the Hilton Del Mar. In February 2014, the lender released the cash, and the entire \$4.4 million was returned to the Company.

8. Notes Payable

Notes payable consisted of the following at December 31 (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Notes payable requiring payments of interest and principal, with fixed rates ranging from 4.4% to 6.6%; maturing at dates ranging from May 2015 through May 2021. The notes are collateralized by first deeds of trust on 14 hotel properties at December 31, 2013, and 13 hotel properties at December 31, 2012.	\$ 993,164	\$ 890,668
Note payable requiring payments of interest and principal, bearing a blended rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points; maturing in April 2016. The note is collateralized by a first deed of trust on one hotel property.	231,451	234,724
Note payable requiring payments of interest only through October 2013, and interest and principal thereafter, with a blended interest rate of 3-month LIBOR plus 325 basis points; maturing in October 2018. The note is collateralized by a first deed of trust on one hotel property.	179,460	180,000
Senior Notes, with a fixed interest rate of 4.60%, maturing in July 2027. Repurchased and redeemed in January 2013. The notes were guaranteed by the Company and certain of its subsidiaries.		58,000
	1,404,075	1,363,392
Less: discount on Senior Notes		(3)
	1,404,075	1,363,389

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Less: current portion	(23,289)	(76,723)
	\$ 1,380,786	\$ 1,286,666

In January 2013, the Company repurchased \$42.0 million of the Senior Notes, and redeemed the remaining \$16.0 million of the Senior Notes. The Company funded the total \$58.0 million in Senior Note repurchases and redemptions with available cash, leaving no future amounts outstanding related to the Senior Notes.

Concurrent with the Rochester Portfolio sale in January 2013, the Company extinguished the outstanding \$26.7 million mortgage secured by the Kahler Grand for a total cost of \$29.8 million, prepaid the \$0.4 million loan secured by the commercial laundry facility, and recorded a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$3.1 million which is included in discontinued operations.

F-25

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

In conjunction with the Company's acquisition of the Boston Park Plaza in July 2013, the Company assumed a \$119.2 million non-recourse mortgage secured by the hotel. The mortgage bears interest at a fixed rate of 4.4%, and matures in February 2018.

In February 2012, the Company used its existing cash to repurchase \$4.5 million in aggregate principal amount of the Senior Notes for \$4.57 million. After the repurchase, such Senior Notes were cancelled. The Company wrote off \$47,000 in deferred financing fees and \$0.1 million of the Senior Notes discount, and recognized a loss of \$0.2 million on this early extinguishment of debt.

In April 2012, the Company used existing cash to repay the remaining balance on its \$32.2 million non-recourse mortgage secured by the Renaissance Long Beach, which was scheduled to mature in July 2012. The Company wrote off \$3,000 in deferred financing fees in connection with the repayment of this debt.

In August 2012, the buyer of the Marriott Del Mar assumed the \$47.1 million existing mortgage secured by the hotel, and the Company wrote off \$48,000 in related deferred financing fees.

In September 2012, the buyer of the portfolio that included the Doubletree Guest Suites Minneapolis, the Hilton Del Mar, the Marriott Troy and an office building adjacent to the Marriott Troy assumed \$75.6 million in existing mortgages secured by the three hotels in the portfolio, and the Company wrote off \$0.1 million in related deferred financing fees.

In September 2012, the Company amended and restated its \$150.0 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility, which was scheduled to mature in November 2013. The pricing on the amended revolving credit facility was reduced and the 1% LIBOR floor was eliminated. The maturity of the credit facility was extended by two years to November 2015 with an option to extend to November 2016. The amended credit facility's interest rate is based on a pricing grid with a range of 175 to 350 basis points, which represents a reduction from the previous grid that ranged from 325 to 425 basis points over LIBOR depending on the Company's leverage ratio. The credit facility also includes an accordion option that allows the Company to request additional lender commitments up to a total of \$350.0 million. The Company paid \$1.3 million in deferred financing fees in conjunction with this amendment, which will be amortized over the term of the amended credit facility.

Total interest incurred and expensed on the notes payable is as follows (in thousands):

	2013		2012		2011
Interest expense	\$ 69,806	\$	71,664	\$	67,319
(Gain) loss on derivatives, net	(525)		406		2,655
Accretion of Senior Notes	3		1,058		1,062
Amortization of deferred financing fees	2,955		3,690		3,138
Write-off of deferred financing fees			3		21
	\$ 72,239	\$	76,821	\$	74,195

Aggregate future principal maturities and amortization of notes payable at December 31, 2013, are as follows (in thousands):

2014	\$	23,289
2015		160,275
2016		425,723
2017		252,472
2018		285,675
Thereafter		256,641
Total	\$	1,404,075

9. Other Current Liabilities and Other Liabilities

Other Current Liabilities

Other current liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Property, sales, and use taxes payable	\$ 14,482	\$ 13,254
Income tax payable		125
Accrued interest	3,078	4,901
Advance deposits	8,259	6,938
Management fees payable	1,077	2,346
Other	3,392	3,399
	\$ 30,288	\$ 30,963

Table of Contents**Other Liabilities**

Other liabilities consisted of the following (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Deferred gain on sale of asset	\$ 14,000	\$ 1,636
Interest rate swap derivative agreement	1,066	1,491
Accrued income tax	1,491	6,918
Deferred revenue	6,918	1,089
Deferred rent	12,270	9,459
Deferred incentive management fees	1,714	2,886
Other	2,499	2,886
	\$ 39,958	\$ 15,070

In conjunction with the Rochester Portfolio sale, the Company retained a \$14.0 million liability related to the Rochester Portfolio's pension plan, which could be triggered in certain circumstances, including termination of the pension plan. Accordingly, the Company has deferred \$14.0 million of gain on the sale of the Rochester Portfolio, which \$14.0 million in gain will be recognized, if at all, when and to the extent the Company is released from any potential liability related to the Rochester Portfolio's pension plan.

The Company's other liabilities include accrued income tax of \$1.5 million. Based on the Company's ongoing evaluations of its uncertain tax positions related to the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, the Company adjusted for an unrecognized tax benefit of \$1.5 million during 2013, which is included in the Company's consolidated statement of operations.

In June 2013, the Company received a \$6.5 million incentive from Hyatt Franchising L.L.C. for rebranding the Company's Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile from a Wyndham to a Hyatt. The Company is amortizing this incentive on a straightline basis over the remaining 25-year term of its franchise agreement with Hyatt. The Company includes the \$0.3 million portion of the incentive to be recognized during 2014 in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and includes the long-term portion of \$6.1 million in other liabilities in its consolidated balance sheet for the year ended December 31, 2013.

The Company's other liabilities also include deferred incentive management fees of \$1.7 million related to one of its hotels. Per the Company's management agreement with the hotel's third-party manager, payment of the incentive management fees will be deferred until such time as the hotel's adjusted cash flow, as defined in the management agreement, surpasses a certain threshold.

10. Income Taxes

The Company has elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Code. As a REIT, the Company generally will not be subject to corporate level federal income taxes on net income it distributes to its stockholders. The Company may be subject to certain state and local taxes on its income and

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

property and to federal income and excise taxes on its undistributed taxable income. The Company may also be subject to federal and/or state income taxes when using net operating loss carryforwards to offset current taxable income. During 2013 and 2012, the Company's use of net operating loss carryforwards resulted in federal and state income tax expense of \$1.9 million and \$1.1 million, respectively.

The Company leases its hotels to the TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries, which are subject to federal and state income taxes. The Company accounts for income taxes in accordance with the provisions of the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC, which requires the Company to account for income taxes using the asset and liability method, under which deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between GAAP carrying amounts and their respective tax bases.

During the first quarter of 2013, the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) issued a notice of proposed adjustment to the Company, challenging certain aspects of the Company's leases with its TRS Lessee and its subsidiaries. Though the Company believes its leases comply with all applicable IRS requirements, the Company determined that the costs associated with defending its position were greater than the benefits that might result therefrom. As such, the Company accrued \$4.7 million in March 2013 related to the IRS's audit of tax years 2008, 2009 and 2010, including \$0.6 million in accrued interest, all of which is included in income tax provision on the Company's consolidated statement of operations. The Company recorded additional income tax expense of \$1.5 million during 2013 based on the ongoing evaluations of its uncertain tax positions related to the year ended December 31, 2012, and as a result of its recent resolution of outstanding issues with the IRS. No amounts were accrued under the Income Taxes Topic of the FASB ASC as of December 31, 2012, as the Company believed it had no uncertain tax positions that warranted accrual. During 2013, the Company

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

recorded additional tax expense of \$1.9 million related to estimated 2013 federal alternative minimum tax resulting from its use of net operating loss carryforwards, as well as state income tax where the Company's use of net operating loss carryforwards was either limited or unavailable. During 2012, the Company's federal alternative minimum tax resulting from its use of net operating loss carryforwards combined with the Company's state income tax expense where the use of net operating loss carryforwards was either limited or unavailable to total \$1.1 million of income tax expense. The Company recognizes penalties and interest related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. During 2013, the Company recognized \$0.6 million in interest expense related to its tax provisions. The Company recognized no penalties or interest related to its tax provisions for either 2012 or 2011.

The income tax provision for the Company is included in the consolidated financial statements as follows (in thousands):

	Year Ended December 31, 2013	Year Ended December 31, 2012	Year Ended December 31, 2011
Current:			
Federal	\$ 6,371	\$ 850	\$
State	1,774	298	
Total current income tax provision	\$ 8,145	\$ 1,148	\$
Deferred:			
Federal	\$ (9,488)	\$ 1,031	\$ 345
State	(2,426)	278	96
	(11,914)	1,309	441
Valuation allowance	11,914	(1,309)	(441)
Total deferred income tax provision	\$	\$	\$

The tax effects of temporary differences giving rise to the deferred tax assets (liabilities) are as follows (in thousands):

	December 31, 2013	December 31, 2012
NOL carryover	\$ 31,258	\$ 40,330
Other reserves	3,270	2,919
State taxes and other	(4,909)	(1,534)
Deferred tax asset before valuation allowance	29,619	41,715
Depreciation	133	(49)
Deferred tax liability before valuation allowance	133	(49)
Deferred tax assets, net	29,752	41,666
Valuation allowance	(29,752)	(41,666)
	\$	\$

The Company has provided a valuation allowance against its net deferred tax asset at December 31, 2013 and 2012. The valuation allowance is due to the uncertainty of realizing the Company's historical operating losses. Accordingly, no provision or benefit for income taxes related to the Company is reflected in the accompanying consolidated statements of operations.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

At December 31, 2013 and 2012, the net operating loss carryforwards for federal income tax purposes totaled approximately \$80.3 million and \$102.6 million, respectively. These losses, which begin to expire in 2024, are available to offset future income through 2032.

F-28

Table of Contents*Characterization of Distributions*

For income tax purposes, distributions paid consist of ordinary income, capital gains, return of capital or a combination thereof. For the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, distributions paid per share were characterized as follows (unaudited):

	2013		2012		2011	
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%
Common Stock:						
Ordinary income	\$ 0.100	100.000%	\$ 0.100	100.000%	\$ 0.100	100.000%
Capital gain						
Return of capital						
Total	\$ 0.100	100.000%	\$ 0.100	100.000%	\$ 0.100	100.000%
Preferred Stock Series A						
Ordinary income	\$ 0.330	100.000%	\$ 2.000	100.000%	\$ 2.500	100.000%
Capital gain						
Return of capital						
Total	\$ 0.330	100.000%	\$ 2.000	100.000%	\$ 2.500	100.000%
Preferred Stock Series C						
Ordinary income	\$ 0.656	100.000%	\$ 1.572	100.000%	\$ 1.965	100.000%
Capital gain						
Return of capital						
Total	\$ 0.656	100.000%	\$ 1.572	100.000%	\$ 1.965	100.000%
Preferred Stock Series D						
Ordinary income	\$ 2.000	100.000%	\$ 2.000	100.000%	\$ 1.472	100.000%
Capital gain						
Return of capital						
Total	\$ 2.000	100.000%	\$ 2.000	100.000%	\$ 1.472	100.000%

11. Series C Cumulative Convertible Redeemable Preferred Stock

In May 2013, the Company redeemed all 4,102,564 shares of its Series C preferred stock for an aggregate redemption price of \$101.1 million, including \$1.1 million in accrued dividends. In accordance with the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-42, an additional redemption charge of \$0.1 million was recognized related to the original issuance costs of the Series C preferred stock, which were previously included in additional paid in capital. The Company redeemed the Series C preferred shares using cash received from its February 2013 common stock offering. After the redemption date, the Company has no outstanding shares of Series C preferred stock, and all rights of the holders of such shares were terminated.

12. Stockholders' Equity*Series A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock*

In March 2013, the Company redeemed all 7,050,000 shares of its Series A preferred stock for an aggregate redemption price of \$178.6 million, including \$2.3 million in accrued dividends. In accordance with the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-42, an additional redemption charge of \$4.6 million was recognized related to the original issuance costs of the Series A preferred stock, which were previously included in additional paid in capital. The Company redeemed the Series A preferred shares using cash received from its February 2013 common stock offering. After the redemption date, the Company has no outstanding shares of Series A preferred stock, and all rights of the holders of such shares were terminated. Because the redemption of the Series A preferred stock is a redemption in full, trading of the Series A preferred stock on the New York Stock Exchange ceased after the redemption date.

Series D Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Stock

In April 2011, the Company sold an aggregate of 4,600,000 shares, including the full exercise of the underwriters' overallotment option, of Series D preferred stock with a liquidation preference of \$25.00 per share for net proceeds of \$110.9 million. The proceeds were used to partially fund the Company's acquisition of the Hilton San Diego Bayfront. On or after April 6, 2016, the Series D preferred stock will be redeemable at the Company's option, in whole or in part, at any time or from time to time, for cash at a redemption price of \$25.00 per share, plus accrued and unpaid dividends up to, but not including, the redemption date. Upon the occurrence of a change in control of the Company, (i) the Company may, at its option, redeem the Series D preferred stock in whole or in part and within 120 days after the first date on which such change of control occurred, by paying \$25.00 per share, plus any accrued and unpaid dividends up to, but not including, the redemption date, and (ii) holders of Series D preferred stock will have the right (unless, prior to the change of control conversion date, the Company has provided or provides notice of its election to redeem the Series D preferred stock) to convert some or all of their shares of Series D preferred stock into shares of the Company's common stock. Holders of Series D preferred stock generally have no voting rights. However, if the Company is in arrears on dividends on the Series D preferred stock for six or more quarterly periods, whether or not consecutive, holders of the Series D preferred stock will be entitled to vote at its next annual meeting and each subsequent annual meeting of stockholders for the election of two additional directors to serve on the Company's board of directors until all unpaid dividends and the dividend for the then-current period with

Table of Contents

respect to the Series D preferred stock have been paid or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof set aside for payment. The Series D preferred stock has no maturity date and the Company is not required to redeem the Series D preferred stock at any time, unless the Company decides, at its option, to exercise its redemption right or, under circumstances where the holders of Series D preferred stock decide to convert the Series D preferred stock. If the Company does not exercise its right to redeem the Series D preferred stock upon a change of control, holders of the Series D preferred stock have the right to convert some or all of their shares into a number of the Company's common shares based on a defined formula subject to a cap of 22,571,280 common shares.

Common Stock

In February 2013, the Company issued 25,300,000 shares of its common stock, including the underwriters' over-allotment of 3,300,000 shares, for net proceeds of approximately \$294.9 million. The Company used \$279.7 million of these proceeds to redeem all of its Series A preferred stock in March 2013, and its Series C preferred stock in May 2013, including accrued interest, and used portions of the remaining proceeds towards the acquisitions of the Hilton New Orleans St. Charles and the Boston Park Plaza.

In November 2013, the Company issued 20,000,000 shares of its common stock for net proceeds of \$270.9 million. The Company used the net proceeds from this offering to purchase the Hyatt Regency San Francisco, and will use the remaining proceeds for potential future acquisitions, capital investment in the Company's portfolio and other general corporate purposes, including working capital.

In June 2012, the Company issued 5,454,164 shares of its common stock to the seller of the Wyndham Chicago (which the Company rebranded the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile) in connection with the Company's acquisition of the hotel. The Company incurred offering costs of \$0.1 million related to this transaction.

In June 2012, the Company issued 12,143,273 shares of its common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$126.2 million. The Company used a portion of these proceeds to fund the purchase of the Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile in July 2012, and used the remaining proceeds for capital investment in the Company's portfolio, including the renovation of the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile, and other general corporate purposes, including working capital.

Dividends

The Company declared dividends per share on its Series A preferred stock, Series D preferred stock and common stock during 2013, 2012 and 2011 as follows:

	2013		2012		2011	
Series A preferred stock	\$	0.500	\$	2.000	\$	2.000
Series D preferred stock		2.000		2.000		1.472
Common stock - cash		0.100				
	\$	2.600	\$	4.000	\$	3.472

Operating Partnership Units

As of December 31, 2013, the Operating Partnership had 180,858,699 units outstanding, all of which are held by the Company.

13. Long-Term Incentive Plan

Stock Grants

The Company's Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP) provides for the granting to directors, officers and eligible employees incentive or nonqualified share options, restricted shares, deferred shares, share purchase rights and share appreciation rights in tandem with options, or any combination thereof. The Company has reserved 6,050,000 common shares for issuance under the LTIP, and 1,211,566 shares remain available for future issuance as of December 31, 2013.

Restricted shares granted pursuant to the Company's LTIP generally vest over periods from three to five years from the date of grant. In August 2011, the Company granted both time-based and performance-based shares to Kenneth E. Cruse upon Mr. Cruse's appointment as the Company's Chief Executive Officer. The time-based shares, representing 60.0% of the total shares granted, will vest on a pro-rata basis commencing on the third anniversary of the grant date, and will vest in equal amounts on each of the third, fourth and fifth anniversary of the grant date. The remaining 40.0% of the total shares granted to Mr. Cruse are subject to performance- or market-based, cliff vesting on the fifth anniversary of the grant date, depending on the satisfaction of the following measures: the Company's total stockholder return (TSR); the Company's TSR relative to companies in the NAREIT Equity Index; and the ratio of the Company's total net debt to the Company's adjusted EBITDA.

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Compensation expense related to awards of restricted shares and performance shares are measured at fair value on the date of grant and amortized over the relevant requisite service period or derived service period.

The Company's compensation expense and forfeitures related to restricted shares and performance awards for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Compensation expense, including forfeitures	\$ 7,189	\$ 5,139	\$ 4,135

The Company's total compensation expense differs from the vesting of restricted common stock amount presented in the Company's consolidated statements of equity due to the Company withholding and using a portion of its restricted shares granted pursuant to its LTIP for purposes of remitting statutory minimum withholding and payroll taxes in connection with the release of restricted common shares to plan participants (net-settle). In addition, the Company capitalizes all restricted shares granted to certain of those employees who work on the design and construction of its hotels. The Company's total compensation expense in relation to its vesting of restricted common stock presented in the Company's consolidated statements of equity for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 is as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Total compensation expense, including forfeitures	\$ 7,189	\$ 5,139	\$ 4,135
Net-settle adjustment	(2,331)	(1,673)	(1,390)
Amortization related to shares issued to design and construction employees	393	302	376
Amortization related to shares issued to unconsolidated joint venture			2
Vesting of restricted stock presented on statement of equity	\$ 5,251	\$ 3,768	\$ 3,123

The following is a summary of non-vested stock grant activity:

	2013		2012		2011	
	Shares	Weighted Average Price	Shares	Weighted Average Price	Shares	Weighted Average Price
Outstanding at beginning of year	1,539,992	\$ 9.11	1,407,152	\$ 8.55	668,934	\$ 7.70
Granted	975,711	11.82	647,171	9.51	1,260,845	9.10
Vested	(497,199)	9.89	(513,095)	8.08	(428,935)	8.85
Forfeited	(9,092)	10.89	(1,236)	9.38	(93,692)	8.43
Outstanding at end of year	2,009,412	10.23	1,539,992	9.11	1,407,152	8.55

At December 31, 2013, there were no deferred shares, share purchase rights, or share appreciation rights issued or outstanding under the LTIP.

Stock Options

In April 2008, the Compensation Committee of the Company's board of directors approved a grant of 200,000 non-qualified stock options (the Options) to Robert A. Alter, the Company's former Executive Chairman and Chief Executive Officer. The Options fully vested in April 2009, and will expire in April 2018. The exercise price of the Options is \$17.71 per share.

F-31

Table of Contents**14. Commitments and Contingencies***Management Agreements*

Management agreements with the Company's third-party hotel managers require the Company to pay between 2% and 3.5% of total revenue of the managed hotels to the third-party managers each month as a basic management fee. Total basic management fees incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Continuing operations – property general and administrative expense, and corporate overhead expense	\$ 25,218	\$ 22,807	\$ 19,549
Discontinued operations	65	2,061	2,643
	\$ 25,283	\$ 24,868	\$ 22,192

In addition to basic management fees, provided that certain operating thresholds are met, the Company may also be required to pay incentive management fees to certain of its third-party managers. Total incentive management fees incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Continuing operations – property general and administrative expense	\$ 3,025	\$ 2,738	\$ 2,916
Discontinued operations		587	675
	\$ 3,025	\$ 3,325	\$ 3,591

License and Franchise Agreements

The Company has entered into license and franchise agreements related to certain of its hotel properties. The license and franchise agreements require the Company to, among other things, pay monthly fees that are calculated based on specified percentages of certain revenues. The license and franchise agreements generally contain specific standards for, and restrictions and limitations on, the operation and maintenance of the hotels which are established by the franchisors to maintain uniformity in the system created by each such franchisor. Such standards generally regulate the appearance of the hotel, quality and type of goods and services offered, signage and protection of trademarks. Compliance with such standards may from time to time require the Company to make significant expenditures for capital improvements.

Total license and franchise fees incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 were included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

		2013		2012		2011
Continuing operations	franchise costs	\$ 32,932	\$	30,067	\$	25,595
Discontinued operations		73		2,996		3,520
		\$ 33,005	\$	33,063	\$	29,115

Total license and franchise costs included royalties of \$10.8 million, \$10.6 million and \$8.8 million incurred by the Company during the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The remaining costs included advertising, reservation and priority club assessments.

Renovation and Construction Commitments

At December 31, 2013, the Company had various contracts outstanding with third parties in connection with the renovation of certain of its hotel properties aimed at maintaining the appearance and quality of its hotels. The remaining commitments under these contracts at December 31, 2013 totaled \$43.5 million.

Capital Leases

The Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile is subject to a building lease which expires in December 2097 (see Note 3). The Company evaluated the terms of the lease agreement and determined the lease to be a capital lease pursuant to the Leases Topic of the FASB ASC. Upon acquisition of the hotel in June 2012, the Company recorded a capital asset related to its leasehold interest of \$58.8 million to buildings and improvements, and a capital lease obligation of \$15.6 million. In addition to minimum rent, the capital lease is subject to percentage rent equal to 4.0% of the hotel's gross room revenues over a certain threshold.

The Company leases certain printers and copiers which leases have been determined to be capital leases pursuant to the Leases Topic of the FASB ASC. All of the leases expire in December 2014.

Table of Contents

Assets under capital lease were included in investment in hotel properties, net on the Company's consolidated balance sheets as follows (in thousands):

	December 31,	
	2013	2012
Buildings and improvements	\$ 58,799	\$ 58,799
Furniture, fixtures and equipment	104	104
	58,903	58,903
Accumulated depreciation	(2,356)	(871)
	\$ 56,547	\$ 58,032

Future minimum lease payments under capital leases together with the present value of the net minimum lease payments as of December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

2014	\$ 1,437
2015	1,411
2016	1,403
2017	1,403
2018	1,403
Thereafter	110,817
Total minimum lease payments (1)	117,874
Less: Amount representing interest (2)	(102,253)
Present value of net minimum lease payments (3)	\$ 15,621

(1) Minimum lease payments do not include percentage rent which may be paid under the Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile building lease on the basis of 4.0% of the hotel's gross room revenues over a certain threshold. The Company recorded zero and \$3,000 in percentage rent during 2013 and 2012, respectively.

(2) Interest includes the amount necessary to reduce net minimum lease payments to present value calculated at the Company's incremental borrowing rate at lease inception.

(3) The present value of net minimum lease payments are reflected in the Company's consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2013 as a current obligation of \$35,000, which is included in accounts payable and accrued expenses, and as a long-term obligation of \$15.6 million, which is included in capital lease obligations, less current portion.

Ground, Building and Air Leases

During 2013, 2012 and 2011, certain of the Company's 29 hotels were obligated to unaffiliated third parties under the terms of ground, building and air leases as follows:

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

	2013	2012	2011
Number of hotels with ground, building and/or air leases	10	10	9
Number of ground leases	9	9	9
Number of building leases	1	1	
Number of air leases	3	3	3
Total number of ground, building and air leases	13	13	12

At December 31, 2013, the ground, building and air leases mature in dates ranging from 2037 through 2097, excluding renewal options. One of the air leases requires a payment of \$1.00 annually, which the Company has paid in full for the life of the lease. Total rent expense incurred pursuant to ground, building and air lease agreements for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011 was included in the Company's consolidated statements of operations as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012	2011
Continuing operations property tax, ground lease and insurance	\$ 17,359	\$ 16,950	\$ 14,787
Discontinued operations		14	52
	\$ 17,359	\$ 16,964	\$ 14,839

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2013, the Company was obligated to an unaffiliated party under the terms of a sublease on the corporate facility, which matures in 2018. Rent expense incurred pursuant to leases on the corporate facility totaled \$0.4 million for both of the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, and \$0.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2011, and was included in corporate overhead expense.

Future minimum payments under the terms of the 13 ground, building and air leases, as well as the sublease on the corporate facility, in effect at December 31, 2013 are as follows (in thousands):

2014	\$	10,476
2015		10,536
2016		10,597
2017		13,620
2018		13,548
Thereafter		504,774
Total	\$	563,551

Employment Agreements

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has employment agreements with certain executive employees, which expire in August 2016. The terms of the agreements stipulate payments of base salaries and bonuses.

Approximate minimum future obligations under employment agreements are as follows as of December 31, 2013 (in thousands):

2014	\$	1,435
2015		1,435
2016		837
	\$	3,707

Collective Bargaining Agreements

The Company is subject to exposure to collective bargaining agreements at certain hotels operated by its management companies. At December 31, 2013, approximately 30.2% of workers employed by the Company's third-party managers were covered by such collective bargaining agreements.

401(k) Savings and Retirement Plan

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

The Company's employees may participate, subject to eligibility, in the Company's 401(k) Savings and Retirement Plan (the "401(k) Plan"). Employees are eligible to participate in the 401(k) Plan after attaining 21 years of age and after the first of the month following the performance of six months of service. Three percent of eligible employee annual base earnings are contributed by the Company as a Safe Harbor elective contribution. Safe Harbor contributions made by the Company totaled \$0.2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, and were included in corporate overhead expense.

The Company is also responsible for funding various retirement plans at certain hotels operated by its management companies. Property general and administrative expense on the Company's consolidated statements of operations includes matching contributions into these various retirement plans of \$1.1 million, \$0.9 million and \$0.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Discontinued operations on the Company's consolidated statements of operations includes matching contributions into these retirement plans of \$3,000 for the year ended December 31, 2013, and \$0.1 million for both the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Table of Contents**Concentration of Risk**

The concentration of the Company's hotels in California, New York, Illinois, Massachusetts and the greater Washington DC area exposes the Company's business to economic conditions, competition and real and personal property tax rates unique to these locales. As of December 31, 2013, the Company's 29 hotels were concentrated in California, New York, Illinois, Massachusetts and the greater Washington DC area as follows:

	California	New York	Illinois	Massachusetts	Greater Washington DC Area
Number of hotels	9	3	3	3	3
Percentage of total rooms	32%	9%	8%	14%	13%
Percentage of total revenue for the year ended December 31, 2013	34%	13%	7%	14%	13%

Other

The Company has provided customary unsecured environmental indemnities to certain lenders. The Company has performed due diligence on the potential environmental risks, including obtaining an independent environmental review from outside environmental consultants. These indemnities obligate the Company to reimburse the indemnified parties for damages related to certain environmental matters. There is no term or damage limitation on these indemnities; however, if an environmental matter arises, the Company could have recourse against other previous owners or a claim against its environmental insurance policies.

At December 31, 2013, the Company had \$0.8 million of outstanding irrevocable letters of credit to guaranty the Company's financial obligations related to workers' compensation insurance programs from prior policy years. The beneficiaries of these letters of credit may draw upon these letters of credit in the event of a contractual default by the Company relating to each respective obligation. No draws have been made through December 31, 2013.

15. Quarterly Operating Results (Unaudited)

The consolidated quarterly results for the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, of the Company are as follows (in thousands):

	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Revenues - Continuing Operations				
2013	\$ 194,921	\$ 234,638	\$ 250,370	\$ 243,895
2012	\$ 178,234	\$ 212,842	\$ 205,041	\$ 232,967

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Operating income						
Continuing Operations						
2013	\$	3,568	\$	36,622	\$	24,640
2012	\$	5,151	\$	28,614	\$	28,997
Net income (loss)						
2013	\$	28,926	\$	20,009	\$	5,249
2012	\$	(12,968)	\$	11,855	\$	11,114
Income available (loss attributable) to common stockholders per share and diluted						
basic						
2013	\$	0.12	\$	0.09	\$	0.01
2012	\$	(0.18)	\$	0.03	\$	0.03

Income available (loss attributable) to common stockholders per share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented and therefore may not sum to the annual amount for the year.

16. Subsequent Events

In January 2014, the Company was notified of its successful appeal of the real estate valuation for the Hilton San Diego Bayfront with the San Diego County Assessor's office. Based on current property tax rates, the Company expects to receive a refund of approximately \$4.0 million related to current and prior year real estate taxes.

In February 2014, the Company entered into separate Equity Distribution Agreements (the "Agreements") with Wells Fargo Securities, LLC and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated (the "Managers"). Under the terms of the Agreements, the Company may issue and sell from time to time through or to the Managers, as sales agents and/or principals, shares of the Company's common stock having an aggregate offering amount of up to \$150.0 million.

Table of Contents

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

SCHEDULE II VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

DECEMBER 31, 2013

(In Thousands)

	Balance at Beginning of Year (1)	Additions Charged to Operations (1)	Uncollectible Accounts Written Off (1)	Balance at End of Year (1)
Year ended December 31, 2013				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 177	\$ (44)	\$ 2	\$ 135
Reserve for loan losses	\$ 217	\$	\$	\$ 217
Year ended December 31, 2012				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 222	\$ (29)	\$ (16)	\$ 177
Reserve for loan losses	\$	\$ 217	\$	\$ 217
Year ended December 31, 2011				
Allowance for doubtful accounts	\$ 114	\$ 129	\$ (21)	\$ 222

(1) Includes all hotel properties owned on December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents**SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.****SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION****DECEMBER 31, 2013****(In Thousands)**

	Encmbr	Initial costs		Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013 (1)		Totals	Accum. Depr.	Date Acq./Constr.	Depr. Life
		Land	Bldg. and Impr	Land	Bldg. and Impr.	Land	Bldg. and Impr.				
Boston Park Plaza	\$ 118,256	\$ 58,527	\$ 170,589	\$	\$ 247	\$ 58,527	\$ 170,836	\$ 229,363	\$ 3,294	2013	5-35
Courtyard by Marriott Los Angeles	(a)		8,446		12,354		20,800	20,800	8,475	1999	5-35
Doubletree Guest Suites Times Square	179,460	27,351	201,660		2,222	27,351	203,882	231,233	14,416	2011	5-35
Embassy Suites Chicago	70,967	79	46,886	6,348	20,273	6,427	67,159	73,586	21,633	2002	5-35
Embassy Suites La Jolla	67,908	27,900	70,450		7,832	27,900	78,282	106,182	20,570	2006	5-35
Fairmont Newport Beach	(a)		65,769		33,272		99,041	99,041	27,369	2005	5-35
Hilton New Orleans St. Charles		3,698	53,578		5	3,698	53,583	57,281	773	2013	5-35
Hilton North Houston	31,800	6,184	35,628		22,840	6,184	58,468	64,652	17,978	2002	5-35
Hilton San Diego Bayfront	231,451		424,992		6,643		431,635	431,635	21,267	2011	5-57
Hilton Times Square	88,139		221,488		24,039		245,527	245,527	63,543	2006	5-35
Hilton Garden Inn Chicago Downtown/Magnificent Mile		14,040	66,350		688	14,040	67,038	81,078	2,006	2012	5-50
Hyatt Chicago Magnificent Mile			91,964		16,357		108,321	108,321	5,415	2012	5-40
Hyatt Regency Newport Beach	(a)		30,549		24,620		55,169	55,169	15,575	2002	5-35
Hyatt Regency San Francisco		116,140	131,430			116,140	131,430	247,570	549	2013	5-35
JW Marriott New Orleans	39,703		73,420		3,923		77,343	77,343	6,299	2011	5-35
Marriott Boston Long Wharf	176,000	51,598	170,238		36,567	51,598	206,805	258,403	46,517	2007	5-35
Marriott Houston	21,623	4,167	19,155		13,325	4,167	32,480	36,647	9,864	2002	5-35
Marriott Park City	14,096	2,260	17,778		11,490	2,260	29,268	31,528	10,940	1999	5-35
Marriott Philadelphia	25,540	3,297	29,710		8,216	3,297	37,926	41,223	13,232	2002	5-35
Marriott Portland	(a)	5,341	20,705		6,776	5,341	27,481	32,822	10,607	2000	5-35
Marriott Quincy	(a)	14,375	97,875		4,306	14,375	102,181	116,556	23,859	2007	5-35
Marriott Tysons Corner	42,193	3,897	43,528	(250)	15,726	3,647	59,254	62,901	20,101	2002	5-35
Renaissance Harborplace	92,389	25,085	102,707		20,417	25,085	123,124	148,209	34,281	2005	5-35
Renaissance Los Angeles Airport	(a)	7,800	52,506		4,050	7,800	56,556	64,356	13,601	2007	5-35

Edgar Filing: Sunstone Hotel Investors, Inc. - Form 10-K

Renaissance Long Beach	(a)	10,437	37,300		12,795	10,437	50,095	60,532	13,848	2005	5-35
Renaissance Orlando at SeaWorld ®		78,105	119,733	30,716	31,976	30,716	151,709	182,425	41,495	2005	5-35
Renaissance Washington DC		126,445	14,563	132,800	36,854	14,563	169,654	184,217	44,468	2005	5-35
Renaissance Westchester (2)	(a)	5,751	17,069		13,546	5,751	30,615	36,366	3,449	2010	5-35
Sheraton Cerritos	(a)		24,737		7,059		31,796	31,796	8,590	2005	5-35
		\$ 1,404,075	\$ 402,490	\$ 2,579,040	\$ 36,814	\$ 398,418	\$ 439,304	\$ 2,977,458	\$ 3,416,762	\$ 524,014	

F-37

Table of Contents

SUNSTONE HOTEL INVESTORS, INC.

SCHEDULE III REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

DECEMBER 31, 2013

(In Thousands)

	Enclbr.	Initial costs		Cost Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition		Gross Amount at December 31, 2013 (1)		Totals	Accum. Depr.	Date Acq./Constr.	Depr. Life
		Land	Bldg. and Impr.	Land	Bldg. and Impr.	Land	Bldg. and Impr.				
Investments in Other Real Estate											
Land held for future development or sale	\$	\$ 4,500	\$	\$ (4,312)	\$	\$ 188	\$	\$ 188		1999	NA
	\$	\$ 4,500	\$	\$ (4,312)	\$	\$ 188	\$	\$ 188			

(1) The aggregate cost of properties for federal income tax purposes is approximately \$3.5 billion (unaudited) at December 31, 2013.

(2) Hotel originally acquired in 2005. Possession and control of the hotel transferred to a receiver in December 2009, and the Company reacquired the hotel in June 2010.

Table of Contents

	2013	Hotel Properties 2012	2011	2013	Other Real Estate Investments 2012	2011
(1) Reconciliation of land and buildings and improvements:						
Balance at the beginning of the year	\$ 2,801,963	\$ 2,904,975	\$ 2,239,125	\$ 188	\$ 12,437	\$ 12,253
Additions during year:						
Acquisitions	533,962	172,354	727,423			
Improvements	80,923	46,831	72,169		50	184
Changes in reporting presentation (b)		(163,455)			(9,793)	
Disposals during the year (b)	(86)	(158,742)	(133,742)		(2,506)	
Balance at the end of the year	\$ 3,416,762	\$ 2,801,963	\$ 2,904,975	\$ 188	\$ 188	\$ 12,437
(2) Reconciliation of accumulated depreciation:						
Balance at the beginning of the year	\$ 439,446	\$ 457,431	\$ 384,931	\$	\$ 2,865	\$ 2,561
Depreciation for the year	84,568	82,871	79,011		301	304
Changes in reporting presentation		(58,364)			(2,740)	
Retirement		(42,492)	(6,511)		(426)	
Balance at the end of the year	\$ 524,014	\$ 439,446	\$ 457,431	\$	\$	\$ 2,865

(a) Hotel is pledged as collateral by the credit facility entered into in November 2010. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has no outstanding indebtedness under its credit facility.

(b) 2012 changes in reporting presentation and 2013 disposals during the year represent the Rochester Portfolio classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2012 due to its sale in January 2013.