RLI CORP Form DEF 14A March 21, 2013 Table of Contents

## Schedule 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant /X/
Filed by a party other than the Registrant //
Check the appropriate box:
// Preliminary Proxy Statement
// Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)
/ X/ Definitive Proxy Statement
// Definitive Additional Materials
// Soliciting Material Pursuant to Section 240.14a-12
RLI CORP.
(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
/X/ No fee required
// Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11
(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5) Total fee paid:
// Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
// Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

<u>Tab</u>	le of Contents
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

## Table of Contents

Table of Contents	
	9025 N. Lindbergh Drive Peoria, IL 61615-1431
n RLI Corp.	P: 309-692-1000   F: 309-692-1068   www.rlicorp.com
RLI Corp.	
9025 North Lindbergh Drive	
Peoria, Illinois 61615	
Marris 04, 0040	
March 21, 2013	
Dear Shareholders:	
Please consider this letter your personal invitation to attend the 2013 RLI Corp. Mt. Hawley Country Club, 7724 North Knoxville Avenue, Peoria, Illinois, 61614,	
Business scheduled to be considered at the meeting includes the election of direct registered public accounting firm for the current year, and an advisory vote on exaddition, we will review significant events of 2012 and their impact on you and you	xecutive compensation (the Say-on-Pay vote). In
Again this year we are furnishing our proxy materials via the Internet. Sharehold instructions on how to view our proxy materials over the Internet and other inform	
Thank you for your interest in RLI as well as your confidence in and support of o	ur future.
Sincerely,	

Jonathan E. Michael

Chairman & Chief Executive Officer

Table of	<u>Contents</u>

RLI Corp. | 9025 N. Lindbergh Drive | Peoria, Illinois 61615

### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS May 2, 2013

To the Shareholders of RLI Corp.:
NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Shareholders of RLI Corp. ( Company ) will be held at the Mt. Hawley Country Club, 7724 North Knoxville Avenue, Peoria, Illinois, 61614, on Thursday, May 2, 2013, at 2 p.m. Central Daylight Time for the following purposes:
<ol> <li>to elect ten (10) directors for a one-year term expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting;</li> </ol>
2. to ratify the selection of KPMG LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the current year;
3. to hold an advisory vote on executive compensation (the Say-on-Pay vote); and
4. to transact such other business as may properly be brought before the meeting.
Only holders of Common Stock of the Company of record at the close of business on March 4, 2013, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting.
By Order of the Board of Directors
Daniel O. Kennedy
Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
Peoria, Illinois

March 21, 2013

It is important, regardless of the number of shares you hold, that you personally be present or be represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. Eve	n
if you expect to attend, it is important that you submit your proxy by any method described below:	

- By Internet: by submitting your proxy over the Internet in accordance with the instructions provided on your proxy card or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials;
- By Phone: by submitting your proxy by telephone, toll-free, in accordance with the instructions provided on your proxy card or Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or
- By Mail: if you received your proxy card by mail, by completing the proxy card and signing, dating and returning it as promptly as possible.

You have the right to revoke your proxy at any time prior to its use by filing a written notice of revocation with the Corporate Secretary of the Company prior to the convening of the Annual Meeting, or by presenting another proxy card with a later date or voting by telephone or over the Internet at a later date. If you attend the Annual Meeting and desire to vote in person, your proxy may be withdrawn upon request.

## Table of Contents

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

GENERAL INFORMATION	4
Voting	4
Shareholders Entitled to Vote	5
Proxy Solicitation	5
Electronic Access to Proxy Materials and Annual Report to Shareholders	5
SHARE OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS	6
Principal Shareholders	6
Directors and Officers	7
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	8
PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	c
General	8
Nominees	2
Director Nominee Information	9
Director Nominee information	_
PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	13
Fees Paid to Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	13
PROPOSAL THREE: ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	14
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS	15
Corporate Governance Principles	15
Director Independence	15
Board Independence Status	16
Director Evaluation Process	17
Director Nomination Policy	17
Code of Conduct	17
Shareholder and Interested Parties Communications	17
Company Policy on Related Party Transactions	18
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	18
COMMITTEES OF THE DOADD OF DIDECTORS	4.0
COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS  Audit Committee	18
Audit Committee  Function Page 1997 (Augustine Page 1997)	18 18
Executive Resources Committee  Finance and Investment Committee	_
Finance and Investment Committee  Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee	19 19
Strategy Committee	19
Committee Membership	19
Committee Membership	18
BOARD MEETINGS AND COMPENSATION	20
<u>Meetings</u>	20
<u>Director Compensation</u>	20
Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors	21
Nonemployee Director Deferred Compensation Plan	21
<u>Director Share Ownership</u>	21
Board Leadership Structure	21
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	22
Members of the Audit Committee	23
EXECUTIVE RESOURCES COMMITTEE REPORT	23
Members of the Executive Resources Committee	23

## Table of Contents

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	23
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION & ANALYSIS	23
Introduction	23
Executive Summary	23
HOW THE ERC OPERATES	25
ERC Members	25
ERC Responsibilities	25
ERC Meetings	25
Input From Management	25
Compensation Consultant	25
<u>OVERVIEW OF RLI EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION</u>	26
<u>Objectives</u>	26
Guiding Principles	26
Elements of Company Executive Compensation	26
Balance of Short-Term and Long-Term Compensation	26
ANNUAL COMPENSATION Page Selection	27 27
Base Salary Market Value Potential Executive Incentive Program	27 27
Management Incentive Program	31
LONG-TERM COMPENSATION	32
Deferred Compensation Plan	32
Omnibus Stock Plan	32
Long-Term Incentive Plan	32
Employee Stock Ownership Plan	33
401(k) Plan	33
Key Employee Excess Benefit Plan	33
Elements of Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits	33
Stock Ownership/Retention Guideline	34
EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT	35
Executive Officers	35
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	35
Summary Compensation Table	35
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	38
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end	39
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	40
Non-qualified Deferred Compensation	41
SAFEGUARDS AGAINST UNNECESSARY OR EXCESSIVE RISK	41
Senior Management Compensation	42
<u>Underwriting Compensation</u>	42
Investment Compensation	42
Employee and Executive Equity Ownership	43
BOARD S ROLE IN RISK OVERSIGHT	43
EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION	44
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS	44
OTHER BUSINESS	45

2 RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

## Table of Contents

INVESTOR INFORMATION	46
Annual Shareholders Meeting	46
Internet Voting	46
<u>Shareholder Inquiries</u>	46
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	46
Requests for Additional Information	46
Multiple Shareholders Having the Same Address	46
Contacting RLI	46
RLI on the web	46

#### **Table of Contents**

RLI Corp. | 9025 N. Lindbergh Drive | Peoria, Illinois 61615

## PROXY STATEMENT

Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 2, 2013

#### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

This Proxy Statement is furnished to the shareholders of RLI Corp., an Illinois corporation ( Company ), in connection with the solicitation, by the Board of Directors of the Company ( Board or Board of Directors ), of proxies to be used at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders ( Annual Meeting ) to be held at 2 p.m. Central Daylight Time on Thursday, May 2, 2013, at the Mt. Hawley Country Club, 7724 North Knoxville Avenue, Peoria, Illinois, 61614, and at any adjournments of the Annual Meeting.

This year, we are pleased to again be taking advantage of a Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rule that allows companies to furnish their proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we are mailing to our shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (E-Proxy Notice) instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials. The E-Proxy Notice contains instructions that will enable shareholders receiving the E-Proxy Notice to access these materials over the Internet and, if so desired, to request a paper copy of these proxy materials by mail. Shareholders who do not receive the E-Proxy Notice will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail. The Company intends to mail the E-Proxy Notice to shareholders on or about March 21, 2013.

#### **VOTING**

Because many shareholders cannot attend the Annual Meeting in person, it is necessary that a large number of our voting shares be represented at the Annual Meeting by proxy to achieve a quorum. Pursuant to the Company s By-Laws, at least a majority of the outstanding voting shares must be present (in person or by proxy) at the Annual Meeting to conduct the meeting, which is known as a quorum of shares. Even if you expect to attend, it is important that you vote your shares in advance.

Whether you hold your shares directly as the shareholder of record or through a broker, trustee, or other nominee ( in street name ), you may vote by proxy without attending the Annual Meeting in three different ways:

• Internet: Shareholders may submit their proxy over the Internet by following the instructions provided on the proxy card or on the E-Proxy Notice. Shareholders will need to have the control number appearing on their proxy card or E-Proxy Notice available in order to submit their proxy over the Internet.

- <u>Telephone:</u> Shareholders may submit their proxy by telephone, toll-free, by following the instructions provided on the proxy card or on the E-Proxy Notice. Shareholders will need to have the control number appearing on their proxy card or E-Proxy Notice available in order to submit their proxy by telephone.
- <u>Mail:</u> Shareholders who receive a paper copy of a proxy card by mail may submit their proxy by signing, dating and returning the proxy card as promptly as possible in the envelope enclosed for that purpose.

Shareholders can save the Company expense by submitting their proxy by telephone or over the Internet. If you submit your proxy by telephone or over the Internet, you do not need to also submit a proxy card, although you may do so as one method of changing your vote as described below. The method of voting will not limit a shareholder s right to attend the Annual Meeting.

Each proxy will be voted in accordance with the shareholder s specifications. If you return a signed proxy card without providing voting instructions, or do not designate a voting preference when using the other methods, your shares will be voted as recommended by the Board of Directors. All proxies delivered pursuant to this solicitation are revocable at any time prior to the meeting at the option of the shareholder either by giving written notice to the Corporate Secretary at 9025 North Lindbergh Drive, Peoria, Illinois, 61615, or by timely delivery of a properly completed proxy, whether by proxy card or by Internet or telephone vote, bearing a later date, or by voting in person at the Annual Meeting. All shares represented by valid, unrevoked proxies will be voted at the Annual Meeting.

4 RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

#### **Table of Contents**

Assuming the presence, in person or by proxy, of a quorum, the election of directors requires the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote. With respect to the election of directors, shareholders may vote in favor of all nominees, or withhold their votes as to all nominees, or withhold their votes as to specific nominees. Votes withheld are deemed present at the meeting and thus will be counted for quorum purposes and have the effect of a vote against the director.

Assuming the presence, in person or by proxy, of a quorum, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares represented in person or by proxy at the meeting and entitled to vote shall be required to approve Proposal Two. The Proposal Three (Say-on-Pay) vote is advisory (not binding) in nature so there is no specified voting requirement for approval. However, the Board of Directors will consider that the shareholders have approved executive compensation on an advisory basis if this agenda item receives the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast (in person or by proxy).

With respect to Proposals Two and Three, shareholders may vote For, Against or Abstain on each proposal. Abstentions are deemed present at the meeting, and thus will be counted for quorum purposes, but will have the same effect as a vote against the matters respectively set forth in Proposals Two and Three.

Brokers who hold shares for the accounts of their clients in street name may vote such shares either as directed by their clients or at their own discretion if permitted by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) and other organizations of which they are members. If an executed proxy is returned by a broker on behalf of its client that indicates the broker does not have discretionary authority as to certain shares to vote on one or more matters (a broker non-vote), such shares will be considered present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining a quorum, but are not considered entitled to vote on that matter. Therefore, broker non-votes will not have any effect on any of the proposals being voted upon at the meeting. If your broker holds your shares in street name and you do not instruct your broker how to vote, your broker will have discretion to vote your shares on routine matters, such as Proposal Two, the ratification of the selection of the Company is independent public accounting firm.

Your broker will not, however, have discretion to vote on non-routine matters absent direction from you. Among other matters, brokers are not entitled to use their discretion to vote uninstructed proxies in director elections or executive compensation matters. As a result, your broker will not be able to vote your shares on Proposals One and Three without your direction. **Therefore, it is important that you provide your broker with voting instructions on all proposals.** If your shares are held by your broker in street name, you will receive a voting instruction form from your broker or the broker s agent asking you how your shares should be voted. Please complete the form and return it as instructed by the broker or agent.

#### SHAREHOLDERS ENTITLED TO VOTE

Shareholders of record at the close of business on March 4, 2013, the record date, shall be entitled to vote at the 2013 Annual Meeting. As of the record date, the Company had 21,272,949 shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Common share ownership entitles the holder to one vote per share upon each matter to be voted at the 2013 Annual Meeting.

#### **PROXY SOLICITATION**

The Company will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies. In addition to the use of the mail, proxies may be solicited in person or by telephone, facsimile or other electronic means, by directors, officers or employees of the Company. No additional compensation will be paid to such persons for their services. In accordance with the regulations of the SEC and the NYSE, the Company will reimburse banks, brokerage firms, investment advisors and other custodians, nominees, fiduciaries and service bureaus for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding soliciting material to beneficial owners of the Company s Common Stock and obtaining their proxies or voting instructions.

#### ELECTRONIC ACCESS TO PROXY MATERIALS AND ANNUAL REPORT TO SHAREHOLDERS

This Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement and the Company	s 2012 Annual Report to Shareholders are available on the Company s
website at www.rlicorp.com and at www.proxyvote.com.	

#### **Table of Contents**

#### SHARE OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS

#### **PRINCIPAL SHAREHOLDERS**

The following are the persons or entities known to the Company who beneficially own more than 5 percent of the Company s Common Stock as of December 31, 2012:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Outstanding Common Stock
State Street Corporation (1) One Lincoln Street Boston, Massachusetts 02111	2,142,073	10.1%
Neuberger Berman Group LLC (2) 605 Third Avenue New York, New York 10158	1,923,077	9.06%
BlackRock, Inc.(3) 40 East 52nd Street New York, New York 10022	1,476,412	6.96%
Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management LLC(4) 1800 Avenue of the Stars 2nd Floor Los Angeles, California 90067	1,624,651	7.56%
Gerald D. Stephens (5) 493 East High Point Drive Peoria, Illinois 61614	1,133,592	5.3%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. (6) 100 Vanguard Boulevard Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355	1,226,414	5.77%

<sup>(1)</sup> The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G February 8, 2013, filed with the SEC by State Street Corporation (State Street), which filing indicates that State Street Bank and Trust Company (Trustee), a subsidiary of State Street, in its capacity as trustee of the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP), held 1,704,450 shares on behalf of participants in such plan. State Street further disclosed no sole voting or sole dispositive power with respect to the shares, and shared voting and shared dispositive power with respect to 2,142,073 shares. Each ESOP participant or beneficiary may direct the Trustee as to the manner in which the shares allocated to each participant under the ESOP are to be voted. The Trustee has sole voting power with respect to all unallocated shares and sole investment power as to all allocated and unallocated shares. With respect to allocated shares for which no votes are received, the Trustee will vote such shares in proportion to the votes cast on behalf of allocated shares for which votes are received.

<sup>(2)</sup> The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G dated February 14, 2013, filed with the SEC by Neuberger Berman Group LLC (Neuberger). According to the Schedule 13G, Neuberger is the beneficial owner of 1,923,077 shares, has shared voting power with respect to

1,880,477 shares and shared dispositive power with respect to 1,923,077 shares.

- (3) The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G dated February 4, 2013, filed with the SEC by BlackRock, Inc. ( BlackRock ). According to the Schedule 13G, BlackRock is the beneficial owner of 1,476,412 shares, and has sole voting and sole dispositive power with respect to 1,476,412 shares.
- (4) The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G dated February 5, 2013, filed with the SEC by Kayne Anderson Rudnick Investment Management LLC (Kayne). According to the Schedule 13G, Kayne is the beneficial owner of 1,624,651 shares, and has sole voting and sole dispositive power with respect to 1,624,651 shares.
- (5) The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G dated January 24, 2013, filed with the SEC by Gerald D. Stephens, the retired Chairman of the Company s Board of Directors. According to the Schedule 13G, Mr. Stephens is the beneficial owner of 1,133,592 shares, and has sole voting and sole dispositive power with respect to 1,133,592 shares.

6 RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

Excludes 175,812 shares owned by Mr. Stephens spouse, over which Mr. Stephens has no voting or investment power, as to which Mr. Stephens disclaims beneficial ownership.

(6) The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G dated February 7, 2013, filed with the SEC by The Vanguard Group, Inc. (Vanguard). According to the Schedule 13G, Vanguard is the beneficial owner of 1,226,414 shares, and has sole voting with respect to 30,413 shares, sole dispositive power with respect to 1,196,901 shares, and shared dispositive power with respect to 29,513 shares.

#### **DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS**

The following is information regarding beneficial ownership of the Company s Common Stock by each director and named executive officer (whose compensation is disclosed in this Proxy Statement), and the directors and executive officers of the Company as a group, as of December 31, 2012.

Name of Individual or	Number of Shares	Percent of Outstanding		
Number of Persons in Group	Beneficially Owned (1)	Common Stock		
Kaj Ahlmann	741	*		
Barbara R. Allen	10,080	*		
John T. Baily (2) (3)	22,935	*		
Thomas L. Brown(4) (6)	3,194	*		
David B. Duclos(2)(12)	229	*		
Jordan W. Graham (2)	18,155	*		
Daniel O. Kennedy (4) (5)(6)	26,980	*		
Craig W. Kliethermes (4) (5) (6)	47,816	*		
Gerald I. Lenrow (2) (7)	74,498	*		
Charles M. Linke (2)	31,112	*		
F. Lynn McPheeters (2)	37,993	*		
Jonathan E. Michael (4) (5) (6) (9) (10)	614,244	2.9%		
Michael J. Stone (4) (5) (6) (11)	264,238	1.2%		
Robert O. Viets (2) (8)	93,811	*		
Directors and executive officers				
as a group (16 persons) (4)(6)(12)	1,264,738	5.9%		

<sup>\*</sup>Less than 1% of Class.

- (1) Unless otherwise noted, each person has sole voting power and sole investment power with respect to the shares reported.
- (2) Includes shares held by a bank trustee under an irrevocable trust established by the Company pursuant to the RLI Corp. Nonemployee Director Deferred Compensation Plan ( Deferred Plan ) for the benefit of the following: Mr. Baily 9,216 shares; Mr. Duclos 229 shares; Mr. Graham 16,541 shares; Mr. Lenrow 67,318 shares; Mr. Linke 15,001 shares; Mr. McPheeters 17,282 shares; and Mr. Viets 51,963 shares. Each participating director has no voting or investment power with respect to such shares.
- (3) Includes 3,000 shares held by Mr. Baily s spouse.
- (4) Includes shares allocated to the named persons under the ESOP with respect to which such persons have sole voting power and no investment power. As of December 31, 2012, the following shares were allocated under the ESOP: Mr. Brown 2 shares; Mr. Kennedy 3,470 shares; Mr. Kliethermes 3,473 shares; Mr. Michael 92,623 shares; and Mr. Stone 21,754 shares. During 2012, Messrs. Michael and Stone were eligible to elect to diversify their respective ESOP shares.
- (5) Includes shares allocated to the named persons which shares are held by a bank trustee under an irrevocable trust established by the Company pursuant to the Deferred Agreement for the benefit of the following: Mr. Kennedy 544 shares; Mr. Kliethermes 5,896 shares; Mr. Michael 20,354 shares; and Mr. Stone 24,958 shares. Each participant has no voting or investment power with respect to such shares.

#### **Table of Contents**

- (6) Includes shares that may be acquired by the named persons within 60 days after December 31, 2012, under the Omnibus Stock Plan and the Long-Term Incentive Plan, upon the exercise of outstanding stock options as follows: Mr. Brown 2,000 shares; Mr. Kennedy 9,820 shares; Mr. Kliethermes 32,300 shares; Mr. Michael 211,600 shares; and Mr. Stone 81,100 shares.
- (7) Includes 193 shares held by Mr. Lenrow s daughter, as to which Mr. Lenrow disclaims any beneficial interest.
- (8) Includes shares that may be acquired by the named persons within 60 days after December 31, 2012, under the Directors Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors ( Director Plan ), upon the exercise of outstanding stock options as follows: Mr. Viets 3,600 shares.
- (9) Includes 50,435 shares allocated under the Key Plan, over which Mr. Michael has no voting or investment power; 14,315 shares owned by the Jonathan E. Michael Grantor Retained Annuity Trusts, over which Mr. Michael, as Trustee, has sole voting and sole investment power; and 5,060 shares owned by the Michael Charitable Fund, over which Mr. Michael has no voting power and sole investment power.
- (10) Includes shares held in margin securities or pledged asset accounts at brokerage firms. At December 31, 2012, the following number of shares were held in such accounts: Mr. Michael 190,838 shares.
- (11) Includes 40,446 shares owned by the Michael J. Stone Grantor Retained Annuity Trusts, over which Mr. Stone, as Trustee, has sole voting and sole investment power.
- (12) Mr. Duclos was appointed to the Board on August 16, 2012, and resigned effective February 26, 2013, in connection with accepting employment with another company in the property and casualty insurance market.

The information with respect to beneficial ownership of Common Stock of the Company is based on information furnished to the Company by each individual included in the table.

#### SECTION 16(A) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires the Company's directors, executive officers and beneficial owners of more than 10 percent of the Common Stock of the Company to file with the SEC certain reports regarding their ownership of Common Stock or any changes in such ownership.

Based solely on its review of the copies of such reports received by it, and/or written representations from certain reporting persons, the Company believes that, during the year ended December 31, 2012, the reporting persons have complied with all filing requirements of Section 16(a).

#### PROPOSAL ONE: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

#### GENERAL

At this year s Annual Meeting, all (ten) directors are to be elected, each to hold office for a one-year term expiring at the 2014 Annual Meeting unless that director dies, resigns or is removed prior to that time. Unless otherwise instructed, the shares represented by a signed proxy card will be voted for the election of the ten nominees named below. The affirmative vote of a majority of the shares of common stock of the Company present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required for the election of directors. Votes will be tabulated by an Inspector of Election appointed at the Annual Meeting. Shares may be voted for, or withheld from, each nominee. Cumulative voting for the directors is not permitted under the Company s Articles of Incorporation.

#### **NOMINEES**

Messrs. David B. Duclos and Michael J. Stone were appointed to the Board on August 16, 2012. Mr. Duclos resigned from the Board effective February 26, 2013, in connection with accepting employment with another company in the property and casualty insurance market, and will not be standing for election. Messrs. Kaj Ahlmann, John T. Baily, Jordan W. Graham, Gerald I. Lenrow, Charles M. Linke, F. Lynn McPheeters, Jonathan E. Michael J. Stone and Robert O. Viets and Ms. Barbara R. Allen, each a current director, are standing for election. Each is nominated to serve for a one-year term expiring in 2014.

The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve if elected. In the event that any nominee shall become unavailable for election, the shares represented by a proxy will be voted for the election of a substitute nominee selected by the persons appointed as proxies and recommended by the Board, unless the Board should determine to reduce the number of directors pursuant to the Company s By-Laws or allow the vacancy to stay open until a replacement is designated by the Board.

The Board of Directors r	ecommends the shareholders vote	For	election of all ten nominees lister	1 helow
THE DUALG OF DIFECTORS I	econnicios the shareholders vote	1 01	election of an ten nominees used	A DEIOW.

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **DIRECTOR NOMINEE INFORMATION**

Below are specific qualifications, skills, attributes and experience with respect to the director nominees to the Board of Directors furnished to the Company by such individuals, summarized herein and more fully detailed in the individual professional history below, which information led to the conclusion that they are qualified to serve as a director and are beneficial to the Company. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and the Board considered, in particular, the following with respect to each director: Mr. Ahlmann his broad reinsurance and insurance expertise, as well as his global experience. Ms. Allen her extensive executive management skills, as well as her strategy background. Mr. Baily his extensive experience in accounting and auditing in the insurance and reinsurance industries. Messrs. McPheeters and Viets their significant experience, expertise and background regarding accounting matters, together with their various executive management experience. Mr. Graham his strong financial services, strategy, merger/acquisition and advisory experience, as well as deep information technology and internet background.

Mr. Lenrow his significant experience, expertise and knowledge of the insurance industry, including accounting matters and insurance taxation.

Mr. Linke his many years of experience in the financial field, including the broad perspective brought by Mr. Linke s experience in consulting to clients in many diverse industries. The Board also considered the over 30 years of experience with the Company represented by Mr. Michael (our Chairman, President & Chief Executive Officer) and over 35 years of insurance industry experience (17 years at the Company) represented by Mr. Stone.

NAME	AGE	DIRECTOR SINCE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND BACKGROUND
Kaj Ahlmann(1)	62	2009	Joined Deutsche Bank in October 2009 as Global Head, Strategic Services and Chair, Advisory Board after having provided independent services to the Council of Global Insurance Asset Management, Deutsche Asset Management, since 2006. Mr. Ahlmann brings nearly 35 years of experience with various companies related to the reinsurance and insurance industries and asset management. From 2001 to 2003, Mr. Ahlmann was the Chairman and CEO of <i>inreon</i> , a global electronic reinsurance venture created by Munich Re, Swiss Re, Internet Capital Group and Accenture. He was Vice Chairman and Executive Officer of E.W. Blanch Holdings, Inc., a provider of integrated risk management and distribution services, from 1999 to 2001. Prior to that, from 1993 to 1999, he was Chairman, President and CEO of Employers Reinsurance Corporation, a global reinsurance company and served as a director of the parent organization, GE Capital Services. He served on the boards of Erie Indemnity Company, Erie Insurance Group from 2003 to 2008 and SCPIE Holdings, Inc., from 2006 to 2008. Mr. Ahlmann, with his family, owns and operates the Six Sigma Ranch & Winery in Lower Lake, California, which produces artisanal wines for retail distribution. Mr. Ahlmann currently serves on the boards of the American Institute for CPCU and the Advisory Board of Six Sigma Academy. He has a Bachelor s degree in Mathematics and a Master s degree in Mathematical Statistics and Probability and Actuarial Science, both from the University of Copenhagen.
Barbara R. Allen(2)	60	2006	Retired after serving from November 2005 through August 2008 as President of Proactive Partners, a division of Tennis Corporation of America, which owns and operates athletic

Retired after serving from November 2005 through August 2008 as President of Proactive Partners, a division of Tennis Corporation of America, which owns and operates athletic facilities in North America. Former Partner with The Everest Group, a strategy and general management consulting firm, from 2003 through October 2005. For 23 years, Ms. Allen held various executive management positions with The Quaker Oats Company including Executive Vice President, International Foods responsible for Quaker s food business outside the United States; Vice President, Corporate Strategic Planning responsible for development of worldwide strategic plans and annual operating budgets; and, President, Frozen Foods Division and Vice President Marketing. Additionally, Ms. Allen served as President of the Corporate Supplier Division for Corporate Express and as CEO for the women s pro-soccer league start-up, the WUSA. Ms. Allen is a former director for Maytag Corporation, Tyson Foods, Inc., Converse Inc., Chart House Enterprises, Inc., Lance, Inc., and Coty, Inc., serving on audit and compensation committees. She has a Bachelor s degree in Psychology from the University of Illinois-Champaign and a Master s degree in Marketing and Finance from the University of Chicago.

### **Table of Contents**

NAME	AGE	DIRECTOR SINCE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND BACKGROUND
John T. Baily (3)	69	2003	Retired after serving as President of Swiss Re Capital Partners from 1999 through 2002. In this role, he was involved in investments and acquisitions in the insurance industry. Previously National Insurance Industry Chairman and Partner of the accounting firm of Coopers & Lybrand LLP (C&L) (now known as PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) retiring in 1999 after 33 years, 23 years as a partner. He served as Chairman of the C&L insurance practice for 13 years, where he was responsible for all of the firms services to the insurance industry (including audit, tax, actuarial, management consulting). He was also past Chairman of C&L s International Insurance Companies Committee. He was also a member of C&L s governing body, U.S. Board of Partners. He is a past Chairman of the AICPA Insurance Companies committee. He served on the Investment Committee of both Securitas Capital and Conning Capital Partners. Mr. Baily serves on the boards of Endurance Specialty Holdings, Inc., Golub Capital BDC, Inc., CIFG Holdings, Ltd., and its affiliates, and is Chairman of the Board of Albright College. He previously served on the board of Erie Indemnity Company and NYMagic, Inc. He has served as the Chair of the Audit Committee of both private and public companies, and currently serves as the Audit Committee Chair of Endurance Specialty Holdings, Inc. and CIFG Holdings, Ltd. He has a Bachelor s degree in Economics from Albright College and a Master s degree in Business Administration from the University of Chicago.
Jordan W. Graham	52	2004	Managing Director with Quotient Partners since May 2011, providing business strategy and merger/acquisition advisory services to financial services, social media, internet and information services companies. Mr. Graham has over 30 years of experience working both in and providing information technology based products and services to the financial services industry globally. From 2010 to 2011, President of FICO Consumer Services and Executive Vice President of Credit Scoring and Predictive Analytics at Fair Isaac, Inc., the leading provider of credit, analytics, and decision management technologies. From 2007 to 2010, Mr. Graham was Managing Director and Head of North America Business Development for the Global Transaction Services (GTS) Division of Citigroup responsible for strategic planning, global partnerships and acquisitions. For the preceding two years, he was retained as a full-time consultant to the CEO of Citigroup GTS and provided strategy and acquisition advisory services. From 1998 to 2004, he was an executive with Cisco Systems, serving as Vice President of the Internet Business Solutions Group, Services Industries Strategy Consulting, leading internet business strategy consulting practices for the financial services, healthcare, energy and media/entertainment industries globally.

Previously he was Managing Director and Global Head of Cisco s Financial Services Industry Consulting Practice providing internet business strategy services to CXO level executives in Global 500 insurance, banking and securities firms. He has also been the CEO of two successful venture capital-backed businesses, a financial services technology company and an internet cloud-based solutions provider, as well as a board director and member of the Investment Committee for Securitas Capital, a SwissRe and Credit Suisse backed private equity fund investing in insurance and risk related ventures. Mr. Graham has a Bachelor s

degree in Business Entrepreneurship from the University of Southern California.

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

NAME	AGE	DIRECTOR SINCE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND BACKGROUND
Gerald I. Lenrow	85	1993	Currently in his own legal practice serving a select group of insurers. At the end of 1998, he concluded three years of consulting with General Reinsurance Corp and its affiliate, Cologne Life Re. Previously, he was a partner with Coopers & Lybrand LLP (C&L) (now known as PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) retiring after 30 years with the firm. While at C&L, he formed and led their national insurance tax group. While widely recognized as an authority in insurance taxation, Mr. Lenrow has been involved in all facets of the industry. Over the years, he provided strategic counsel to senior management in all sectors of the industry. An integral part of his activities included mergers and acquisitions and handling disputes through the IRS administrative appeals procedures. He monitors legislative activities and developments emanating from the IRS national office affecting the insurance industry. He worked with congressional tax writing committees, the Treasury and the IRS on tax legislation. For approximately 20 years, Mr. Lenrow was an advisor to the Property Casualty Insurance Association of America (formerly known as National Association of Independent Insurers) and the National Association of Mutual Insurance Companies.  Mr. Lenrow is past chair of the American Bar Association s Torts & Insurance Practice Section Committee on the Taxation of Insurance Companies and was on the Advisory Board for the Insurance Tax Review. He has a Bachelor s degree in Accounting from the City College of New York and a Law degree from Fordham University.
Charles M. Linke	75	2003	Professor Emeritus of Finance at the University of Illinois (Urbana-Champaign) since 1998. Professor Linke has held various positions with the University of Illinois since 1966. CEO of Economics Et Cetera, Inc., a consulting firm specializing in financial economics since 1981. Professor Linke joined the University of Illinois faculty in 1966, serving as IBE Distinguished Professor of Finance, Chairperson of the Department of Finance, Associate Dean for Graduate Studies and Associate Dean of Executive Education. He has also served as visiting scholar at Senshu University in Tokyo, Japan, and visiting professor at Nankai University, People s Republic of China. He has a Bachelor s degree and has Master s and Doctorate degrees in Business Administration, all from Indiana University.
F. Lynn McPheeters	70	2000	Retired as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Caterpillar Inc., the world s leading manufacturer of construction, mining and related equipment in February 2005.  Mr. McPheeters joined Caterpillar Inc. in 1964 and held various financial positions and spent 15 years overseas. In his global assignments, he served as Distribution Finance Manager in Japan, Finance Manager in Europe and Secretary-Treasurer for Caterpillar Far East in Hong Kong. He went on to serve as Executive Vice President of Caterpillar Financial Services Corporation in Nashville and returned to Peoria to become Caterpillar s Corporate Treasurer in 1996 until November 1998. He was responsible for the company s banking and funding relationships, trade financing policy, foreign exchange and interest risk

management, pension fund administration and Caterpillar Investment Management Limited, the company s investment advisory group, which had its own group of mutual funds and managed the company s employee 401(k) plan. He was also responsible for a division managing the placement of all corporate insurance coverages. Mr. McPheeters was appointed Vice President and Chief Financial Officer in 1998. He was responsible for the Corporate Services Division, which included accounting, tax, treasury, and investor relations functions. For three of his six years as CFO, he also had responsibility for the company s IT operations. Mr. McPheeters serves on the board of Microlution Inc. and Crosslink. He is a past Chairman of the OSF Saint Francis Medical Center Foundation Council and is former President and current member of the Southern Illinois University Carbondale Foundation

Board. He has a Bachelor s degree in Accounting from Southern Illinois

University-Carbondale, and later attended the Advanced Management Program at Duke University and Finance Management Program at Stanford University.

## Table of Contents

NAME	AGE	DIRECTOR SINCE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION AND BACKGROUND
Jonathan E. Michael(4)	59	1997	Chairman of the Board since May 5, 2011. President & Chief Executive Officer of the Company since January 1, 2001. He was elected Chairman of the Board & Chief Executive Officer of the Company s principal insurance subsidiaries January 1, 2002. Mr. Michael joined the Company in 1982 and has held various managerial and executive officer positions, including Controller, Vice President, Finance/ Chief Financial Officer. Additionally, as Executive Vice President he was responsible for running the Company s insurance operations for several years before becoming Chief Operating Officer in 1994. Prior to 1982, Mr. Michael was associated with Coopers & Lybrand LLP, and is a certified public accountant. He serves on the Board of Directors of investment management software maker SS&C Technologies Holdings, Inc. and sunglass manufacturer Maui Jim, Inc. He is currently a member of the Marshall County State Bank Board, the Chair of the Property Casualty Insurers Association Board of Governors, a member of the OSF St. Francis Medical Center Community Advisory Board, a member of the Illinois Neurological Institute Advisory Board, Vice Chairman and member of Central Illinois Easter Seals Foundation Board, a Trustee of Ohio Dominican University, and a member of the Peoria CEO Council Board. He is a former director of First Capital Bank (Peoria), member of the Board of Easter Seals Peoria/Bloomington, and the Eureka College Board of Trustees. He has a Bachelor s degree in Business Administration from Ohio Dominican College.
Michael J. Stone	64	2012	President, Chief Operating Officer of the Company s principal insurance subsidiaries since January 2002, which responsibilities include the overall direction of the companies. Mr. Stone joined the Company in May 1996 and has held various executive officer positions, including Vice President, Claim, Senior Vice President and Executive Vice President. From 1977 to May 1996 Mr. Stone held various managerial and executive officer positions with Travelers Insurance Group. Mr. Stone serves on the Board of Directors of Methodist Health Services Corporation, sunglass manufacturer Maui Jim, Inc., South Side Trust & Savings Bank and the lowa Health System. He has a Bachelor s degree in Business Administration from Bellarmine College, and received his Law degree, magna cum laude, from the University of Louisville.
Robert O. Viets (5)	69	1993	Since 1999, President of ROV Consultants, LLC., providing consulting services to regulated energy and communication businesses. Former President, CEO and director from 1988 until 1999 of CILCORP Inc., a holding company in Peoria, Illinois, whose principal subsidiary was a utility company. He served as a consultant to AES Corporation, a global power company. After working for several years in St. Louis with Arthur Andersen & Co., a national accounting firm, Mr. Viets joined Central Illinois Light Company (CILCO) in Peoria to manage the company s regulatory and financial activities. CILCO was a regulated electric and natural gas utility serving central Illinois. In 1985, Mr. Viets led the formation of CILCORP, Inc., a non-regulated holding company that became the parent company of CILCO. The corporate restructuring was designed to prepare the company for competition in the retail electric and natural gas energy markets. Mr. Viets is a director for Patriot Coal Corporation as well as a former director for Consumers Water Company, Philadelphia Suburban Corporation (now Aqua America, Inc.), Lincoln Office Supply Co., Inc. and Methodist Health Services Corporation. He has also chaired the Bradley University board of trustees. Mr. Viets has a Bachelor s degree in Economics from Washburn University and a Law degree from Washburton University School of Law He is a certified public accountant.

Law degree from Washington University School of Law. He is a certified public accountant.

12 RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

#### **Table of Contents**

The following footnotes reflect directorships held within the past five years at publicly traded companies:

- (1) Mr. Ahlmann previously served as a director of Erie Indemnity Company and its subsidiary, Erie Family Life Insurance Company, as well as SCPIE Holdings, Inc.
- (2) Ms. Allen previously served as a director of Lance, Inc.
- (3) Mr. Baily currently serves as a director of Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd. and Golub Capital BDC, Inc. Mr. Baily previously served as a director of NYMagic, Inc., Erie Indemnity Company and its subsidiary, Erie Family Life Insurance Company.
- (4) Mr. Michael currently serves as a Director of SS&C Technologies Holdings, Inc. Mr. Michael previously served as a director of Fieldstone Investment Corp.
- (5) Mr. Viets currently serves as a director of Patriot Coal Corporation.

#### PROPOSAL TWO: RATIFICATION OF SELECTION OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee has selected KPMG LLP (KPMG), the Company s independent registered public accounting firm since 1983, for fiscal 2013, and the Board is asking shareholders to ratify that selection. Although current law, rules and regulations, as well as the Charter of the Audit Committee, require our independent auditor to be appointed, retained and supervised by the Audit Committee, the Board considers the selection of an independent auditor to be an important matter of shareholder concern and considers a proposal for shareholders to ratify such selection to be an important opportunity for shareholders to provide direct feedback to the Board on an important issue of corporate governance. If the appointment of KPMG is not ratified by shareholders, the Audit Committee will take such action, if any, with respect to the appointment of the independent auditor as the Audit Committee deems appropriate.

Representatives of KPMG are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting with the opportunity to make a statement, if they desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from the shareholders.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the shares of Common Stock of the Company present and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required for adoption of this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends the shareholders vote FOR Proposal Two and the ratification of selection of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the current fiscal year.

FEES PAID TO THE INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

Fees for services rendered by KPMG, the Company s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, for the past two fiscal years for each of the following categories of services, are set forth below:

	Fiscal Year 2012		Fiscal Year 2011	
Audit Fees	\$	893,600	\$	970,100(1)
Audit-Related Fees	\$	36,500	\$	0
Tax Fees				
Tax Compliance	\$	0	\$	0
Other Tax Services	\$	0	\$	0
All Other Fees	\$	0	\$	17,500
Total Fees	\$	930,100	\$	987,600

<sup>(1)</sup> Audit Fees in 2011 included fees for audit procedures performed over the purchase of Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company through an acquisition of its holding company, Data and Staff Service Co.

#### **Table of Contents**

Audit fees relate to professional services rendered for the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the Company, audits of the financial statements of certain subsidiaries and certain statutory audits, review of quarterly consolidated financial statements and assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC, including attestation as required under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Audit-Related Fees consist of a review of a Form 8-K filed with the SEC updating the Company s 2011 10-K for the retrospective application of the adoption of Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2010-26: *Accounting for Costs Associated with Acquiring or Renewing Insurance Contracts* and a review of a Form S-3 registration statement filed with the SEC utilizing a shelf registration process.

All Other Fees relate to professional services and expenses in assisting management with technical accounting advice regarding the acquisition of Contractors Bonding and Insurance Company.

# PROPOSAL THREE: NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE REGARDING THE COMPENSATION OF THE COMPANY S NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICERS ( SAY-ON-PAY )

The Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act requires that we seek a non-binding vote from our shareholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the Compensation Discussion & Analysis ( CD&A ), compensation tables and related disclosures in this Proxy Statement.

As discussed in our CD&A starting on page 23, our executive compensation programs have been designed to provide a competitive total executive compensation program linked to Company performance that will attract, retain and motivate talented executives critical to the Company s long-term success.

Our Executive Resources Committee developed an overall compensation philosophy that is built on a foundation of the following principles:

- The focus is on the linkage between long-term shareholder value creation and executive pay;
- Incentives for executives directly involved in underwriting are based on underwriting profit measured over a period of years consistent with the income and risk to the Company:
- Compensation should reflect both the Company s and individual s performance;
- A meaningful element of equity-based compensation and significant executive equity holdings are important to ensure alignment of management and shareholder interests;
- The Company s overall executive pay levels must be competitive in the marketplace for executive talent to enable the Company to attract, motivate and retain the best talent; and
- Appropriate safeguards must be in place to ensure annual incentives are aligned with long-term risk and value creation to protect against unnecessary and excessive risk to the Company.

We are asking you to indicate your support for our executive compensation programs as described in this Proxy Statement. This proposal, commonly known as a Say-on-Pay proposal, gives you the opportunity to express your views on our 2012 executive compensation policies and

procedures for named executive officers. This non-binding vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation, but rather the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the policies and procedures described in this Proxy Statement. Accordingly, we ask the shareholders to vote FOR the following resolution at the Annual Meeting:

RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company s named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the CD&A, compensation tables and any related material disclosed in the Company s Proxy Statement is hereby APPROVED.

Your vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the Executive Resources Committee or the Board. However, we value your opinions and to the extent there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this Proxy Statement, we will consider our shareholders concerns. The Executive Resources Committee will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

The Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote FOR the proposal to approve the compensation of the Company s named executive officers as described in this Proxy Statement.

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE PRINCIPLES

The Company is committed to having sound corporate governance principles that are designed to ensure that the Board exercises reasonable business judgment in discharging its obligations to the Company and its shareholders. Corporate governance practices also help to ensure that full and transparent disclosures are made to the Company s shareholders and the SEC.

The Company s published Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are publicly available on the Company s website under the Investors section at www.rlicorp.com, outline the directors responsibilities, which include attendance at shareholder, Board and committee meetings. All members of the Board attended the 2012 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and were available to respond to appropriate questions from the shareholders.

The Company has developed an orientation process for new directors and also encourages new directors to attend a director seminar in their first year as a director. Each incumbent director is expected to attend an accredited director education seminar at least once a year, and each Audit Committee member is expected to attend an audit committee forum/conference at least once a year.

#### **DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE**

The Board is required to affirmatively determine the independence of each director and to disclose such determination in the proxy statement for each Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company. The Board has established guidelines, which are set forth below, to assist it in making this determination, which incorporate all of the NYSE independence standards. Only independent directors serve on the Company s Audit Committee, Executive Resources Committee and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee.

It is the policy of the Board of Directors of the Company that a majority of its members be independent. To be considered independent under the NYSE Listing Standards, the Board must affirmatively determine that a director or director nominee (collectively referred to as director) has no material relationship with the Company (directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company), and also meets other specific independence tests. The Board examines the independence of each of its members once per year, and again if a member s outside affiliations change substantially during the year. With the exception of the Messrs. Michael and Stone, the Board has affirmatively determined that each director is independent within the meaning of the NYSE Listing Standards and the Company s Director Independence Standards

The Board has established the following categorical standards, incorporating the NYSE s independence standards to assist it in determining director independence:

- (a) A Director will not be independent if:
- (i) the Director is, or has been within the last three years, an employee of RLI, or an immediate family member of the Director is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of RLI;
- (ii) the Director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, during any 12-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from RLI, other than Director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service);
- (iii) (A) the Director is a current partner or employee of a firm that is RLI s internal or external auditor; (B) the Director has an immediate family member who is a current partner of such firm; (C) the Director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of such firm and personally works on RLI s audit; or (D) the Director or an immediate family member was within the last three years a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on RLI s audit within that time;

- (iv) the Director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of RLI s present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company s compensation committee; or
- (v) the Director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, RLI for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such other company s consolidated gross revenues.

### **Table of Contents**

- (b) The following commercial and charitable relationships will not be considered to be material relationships that would impair a Director s independence:
- (i) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is an executive officer, director, employee or holder of an equity interest of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, RLI for property or services in an amount which, in the last fiscal year, does not exceed the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such other company s consolidated gross revenues;
- (ii) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is an executive officer, director, employee or holder of an equity interest of a company that is indebted to RLI, or to which RLI is indebted, and the total amount of either company s indebtedness to the other does not exceed the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such other company s total consolidated assets;
- (iii) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is an executive officer, director or employee of a company in which RLI owns an equity interest, and the amount of RLI is equity interest in such other company does not exceed the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such other company is total shareholders equity;
- (iv) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is a holder of an equity interest of a company of which a class of equity security is registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and in which RLI owns an equity interest;
- (v) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is an executive officer, director, employee or holder of an equity interest of a company that owns an equity interest in RLI; and
- (vi) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, serves as an officer, director or trustee of a tax exempt organization, and the contributions from RLI to such tax exempt organization in the last fiscal year do not exceed the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such tax exempt organization is consolidated gross revenues. (RLI is automatic matching of employee charitable contributions will not be included in the amount of RLI is contributions for this purpose.)
- (c) For relationships not covered by the standards in subsection (b) above, the determination of whether the relationship is material or not, and therefore whether the Director would be independent or not, shall be made by the Directors who satisfy the independence standards set forth in subsections (a) and (b) above. RLI is required to explain in its proxy statement the basis for any Board determination that a relationship was immaterial, despite the fact that it did not meet the categorical standards of immateriality set forth in subsection (b) above.

### **BOARD INDEPENDENCE STATUS**

Director	Independent	Management
Kaj Ahlmann	Х	
Barbara R. Allen	X	
John T. Baily	Х	
David B. Duclos	X*	
Jordan W. Graham	X	
Gerald I. Lenrow	Х	

Charles M. Linke	Х	
F. Lynn McPheeters	Х	
Jonathan E. Michael		Χ
Michael J. Stone		Χ
Robert O. Viets	X	

\*On February 26, 2013, Mr. Duclos resigned from the Board.

The following relationships were reviewed in connection with determining director independence but were determined to not be material relationships and that they do not affect such person sindependence under the Board independence standards:

Mr. Baily is a director of Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd., affiliates of which include reinsurance companies. From time to time the Company enters into reinsurance arrangements with the Endurance companies. Mr. Baily also is a former partner with a predecessor firm to PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ( PwC ), retired from PwC in 1999, and receives a pension payment from PwC. From time to time, the Company engages PwC for special projects and services in actuarial, tax and other areas.

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

#### **DIRECTOR EVALUATION PROCESS**

To ensure that thorough attention is given to individual and collective Directors performance and optimizing the composition of our Board, the Board and Committees utilize an annual evaluation process. Each Director self-evaluates the performance of the Committees on which he/she serves, as well as the Board as a whole. Detailed composites are completed to obtain perspective on each Committee s performance in relationship to its respective Charter, effectiveness, functionality, areas of improvement and overall performance. The Annual Board Evaluation focuses on board processes, policies, effectiveness, committee composition, strategy, as well as performance whereby establishing activities to maximize shareholder value. This process is handled by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee ( NCG Committee ).

Further, each Director participates in a robust evaluation process, wherein annually all Directors provide a written peer evaluation on a variety of director characteristics. Those written evaluations are reviewed by the NCG Committee, and each reviewed Board member meets with the Chairman of the Board and/or Lead Director to discuss the consolidated comments.

Based on the cumulative results of each Director soverall performance, the NCG Committee reviews and evaluates the Board candidates and their respective qualifications in detail, to determine if it is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders to nominate each Director to stand for election.

### **DIRECTOR NOMINATION POLICY**

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee of the Company considers director candidates based upon a number of qualifications. A nominee should have:

- A reputation for the highest professional and personal ethics and values, fairness, honesty and good judgment;
- A significant breadth of experience, knowledge and abilities to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities;
- Been in a generally recognized position of leadership in his or her field of endeavor; and
- A commitment to enhancing shareholder value.

Nominees with insurance and accounting backgrounds are particularly desirable. A nominee should not have a conflict of interest that would impair the nominee s ability to represent the interests of the Company s shareholders and fulfill the responsibilities of a director.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee conducts an annual assessment of the composition of the Board and its committees. The Committee reviews the appropriate skills and characteristics required of Board members with a view toward establishing a diversity of backgrounds in areas of core competencies, including experience in the following: business development, insurance industry, senior management, operational, technical, compensation and finance. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee relies upon recommendations from a wide variety of its business contacts, including current executive officers, directors, community leaders and shareholders, as sources for potential director candidates, and may also utilize third party search firms.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will consider qualified director candidates as properly nominated by shareholders as further set forth under SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS on page 44. In addition, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will consider shareholder recommendations for director candidates, but the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee has no obligation to recommend such candidates. Assuming that appropriate biographical and background material (including qualifications) is provided for candidates recommended by shareholders, the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will evaluate those candidates by following substantially the same process and applying substantially the same criteria as for candidates recommended by other sources.

### **CODE OF CONDUCT**

The Company has adopted a Code of Conduct, which is designed to help directors, officers and employees maintain ethical behavior and resolve ethical issues in an increasingly complex global business environment. The Code of Conduct applies to all directors, officers and employees, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Controller, the General Counsel and any other employee with any responsibility for the preparation and filing of documents with the SEC. The Code of Conduct covers topics including, but not limited to, ethical behavior, conflicts of interest, corporate opportunities, confidentiality of information and compliance with laws and regulations. A copy of our Code of Conduct is available at the Company s website under the Investors section at www.rlicorp.com. Any amendments to the Code of Conduct will be posted on the website, and any waiver that applies to a director or executive officer will be disclosed in accordance with the Rules of the NYSE.

#### SHAREHOLDER AND INTERESTED PARTIES COMMUNICATIONS

Any shareholder or other interested party who desires to communicate with the Board's Lead Director of the Board's independent directors or any of the other members of the Company's Board of Directors may do so electronically by sending an email to the following address:

Lead.Director@rlicorp.com. Alternatively, a shareholder or other interested party may

### **Table of Contents**

communicate with the Lead Director or any of the other members of the Board by writing to: Lead Director, RLI Corp. 9025 N. Lindbergh Drive, Peoria, Illinois 61615. Communications may be addressed to the Lead Director, an individual director, a Board Committee, the independent directors or the full Board. Communications received by the Lead Director will then be distributed to the appropriate directors. Solicitations for the sale of merchandise, publications or services of any kind will not be forwarded to the directors.

### **COMPANY POLICY ON RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

The Company recognizes that related party transactions present a heightened risk of conflicts of interest and/or improper valuation (or the perception thereof) and therefore has adopted a written policy which shall be followed in connection with all related party transactions involving the Company. The policy generally requires approval by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee for all transactions above \$10,000 between the Company and its directors, officers, shareholders owning in excess of 5 percent of the Common Stock of the Company, and their family members and affiliates. No proposed transactions falling within the purview of the policy were presented or approved in 2012.

#### CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

In 2012, there were no transactions or series of similar transactions to which the Company was or is to be a party in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000 and in which any director, executive officer or holder of more than 5 percent of the Common Stock of the Company (or any of their immediate family members) had or will have a direct or indirect material interest nor is any such transaction currently proposed.

### **COMMITTEES OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS**

The Board has five standing committees: Audit, Executive Resources, Finance and Investment, Nominating/Corporate Governance and Strategy. The Audit, Executive Resources and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees are composed solely of independent directors in compliance with the Company s requirements and the NYSE Listing Standards. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee annually evaluates both Committee members and Committee Chairs, and rotates as necessary. In his discretion, the Chairman of the Board may attend any or all Committee meetings. All committees meet at least quarterly and also hold informal discussions from time to time. Charters for each committee are available on the Company s website under the Investors section at www.rlicorp.com.

### **AUDIT COMMITTEE**

The Company s Audit Committee, composed exclusively of independent directors, met nine times in 2012 to consider various audit and financial reporting matters, including the Company s outside audit firm relationship and to discuss the planning of the Company s annual outside audit and its results. The committee also monitored the Company s management of its exposures to risk of financial loss, assessed the auditors performance, reviewed the adequacy of the Company s internal controls, reviewed the extent and scope of audit coverage, reviewed quarterly financial results, monitored selected financial reports and selected the Company s independent registered public accounting firm. The committee also meets in executive session, with no members of management present, after its regular meetings, as well as private executive sessions with KPMG and

various members of management.

The Audit Committee is responsible for approving every engagement of KPMG to perform audit or non-audit services on behalf of the Company or any of its subsidiaries before KPMG is engaged to provide those services. The Audit Committee evaluated the effects that the provision of non-audit services may have on the Company s independent registered public accounting firm services.

The Board of Directors annually determines the financial literacy of the members of the Audit Committee pursuant to the NYSE required standards. The Board has determined that based on those standards, each member of the Audit Committee is independent and financially literate, and that each member possesses accounting or related financial management expertise. The Board of Directors has further determined that each of Messrs. Baily, Lenrow, and Viets, and Ms. Allen qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC.

Members of the committee were Messrs. Baily (Chair), Lenrow and Viets, and Ms. Allen.

### **EXECUTIVE RESOURCES COMMITTEE**

The Company s Executive Resources Committee, composed exclusively of independent directors, met six times in 2012 to evaluate and recommend compensation of the President & Chief Executive Officer and certain key executive officers of the Company, discuss and evaluate the Company s Market Value Potential Executive Incentive Program (MVP Program)

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

and to develop objective criteria for the selection and ongoing management of the Company's compensation peer group and to enhance the overall effectiveness of the executive compensation programs. The committee also reviews and evaluates the President & Chief Executive Officer's goals and objectives, management development and succession planning, and the Company's deferred compensation, stock option, retirement and medical programs.

Members of the committee were Ms. Allen (Chair) and Messrs. Graham, Lenrow and McPheeters.

### FINANCE AND INVESTMENT COMMITTEE

The Company s Finance and Investment Committee oversees the Company s investment and corporate finance transactions, policies and guidelines, which includes reviewing investment performance, investment risk management exposure and the Company s capital structure. This committee met four times in 2012 to discuss ongoing financial, investment and capital matters.

Until the August 2012 Board meeting, the members of the committee were Messrs. McPheeters (Chair), Ahlmann and Linke. After the August 2012 Board meeting, Mr. Stone became a member of the committee.

#### NOMINATING/CORPORATE GOVERNANCE COMMITTEE

The Company s Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, composed exclusively of independent directors, met five times in 2012 to guide the Company s corporate governance program and to monitor and discuss current and emerging corporate governance principles and procedures. The committee also counsels the Board with respect to Board and Committee organization, compensation, membership, function and Board and Committee performance assessments, individually and collectively. The committee identifies and reviews qualified individuals as potential new director candidates.

Until the August 2012 Board meeting, members of the committee were Messrs. Linke (Chair), Baily and Viets. After the August 2012 Board meeting, Mr. Duclos became a member of the committee. On February 26, 2013, Mr. Duclos resigned from the Board.

### STRATEGY COMMITTEE

The Company s Strategic Committee met four times in 2012 to oversee the Company s strategic plan and its implementation.

Until the August 2012 Board meeting, the members of the Strategy Committee were Messrs. Ahlmann (Chair) and Graham. After the August Board meeting, Messrs. Duclos and Stone became members of the committee. On February 26, 2013, Mr. Duclos resigned from the Board.

### **COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP**

Executive Nominating/ Finance and

Director	Audit	Resources	Corporate Governance	Investment	Strategy
Kaj Ahlmann				X	X*
Barbara R. Allen	Χ	X*			
John T. Baily	X*		X		
David B. Duclos**			X		X
Jordan W. Graham		Χ			X
Gerald I. Lenrow	Х	Χ			
Charles M. Linke			X*	X	
F. Lynn McPheeters		Χ		X*	
Jonathan E. Michael					
Michael J. Stone				Χ	X
Robert O. Viets	Χ		X		

<sup>\*</sup> Chair of Committee

<sup>\*\*</sup> On February 26, 2013, Mr. Duclos resigned from the Board.

### **Table of Contents**

### **BOARD MEETINGS AND COMPENSATION**

### **MEETINGS**

During 2012, six meetings of the Board of Directors were held with all directors in attendance. No director attended fewer than 75 percent of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and Board committees on which he or she served. In connection with each Board meeting, the independent directors meet in executive session with no members of management present. Effective May 5, 2011, the Lead Director position was established and the Chairman of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee was elected Lead Director of the Board and presides at the Board s executive sessions.

### **DIRECTOR COMPENSATION**

During 2012, the Independent Directors were compensated as follows:

Annual Board Retainer: Annual Committee Retainer:	\$ 95,000
Audit	\$ 15,000
All Other Committees	\$ 10,000
Additional Annual Committee Chair Retainer:	
Audit	\$ 20,000
Executive Resources	\$ 20,000
All Other Committees	\$ 10,000
Non-Executive Chairman of the Board Fee	\$ 85,000

Effective February 6, 2013, the Independent Directors will receive an annual award of \$10,000 in Company stock, which vests on the first to occur of: the one year anniversary from date of issuance, or when a Director leaves the Board.

Directors are also reimbursed for actual travel and related expenses incurred and are provided a travel accident policy funded by the Company.

The following table provides the compensation of the Company s Board of Directors earned for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

Name	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1)	Stock Awards (\$)	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation (\$)	Total (\$)
(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)	(f)	(g)	(h)
Kaj Ahlmann	125,000						125,000

Barbara R. Allen John T. Baily David B. Duclos Jordan W. Graham Gerald I. Lenrow Charles M. Linke	140,000 140,000 43,242(2) 115,000 120,000 125,000		140,000 140,000 43,242 115,000 120,000 125,000
F. Lynn McPheeters Jonathan E.	125,000		125,000
Michael (3) Michael J. Stone			
(4) Robert O. Viets	120,000	(5)	120,000

20

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

Outside directors elect the form of their Annual Board Retainer, Annual Committee Retainer and Annual Committee Chair Retainer, if applicable, which may be received either in cash or in Company stock, or a combination of both, in accordance with the Directors Deferred Compensation Plan ( Deferred Plan ). Amounts shown include the value of fees taken in the form of Company stock.

### **Table of Contents**

- (2) Mr. Duclos was appointed to the Board on August 16, 2012. He resigned from the Board effective February 26, 2013.
- (3) Mr. Michael, as Chairman of the Board and a management director, does not receive director fees. His compensation as President and CEO is disclosed under the Executive Compensation Summary Compensation Table.
- (4) Mr. Stone, as a management director, does not receive director fees. His compensation as President, Chief Operating Officer of the Company's principle insurance subsidiaries is disclosed under the Executive Compensation Summary Compensation Table.
- (5) Effective May 7, 2004, no further options were granted to outside directors under the Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors ( Director Plan ). The aggregate number of outstanding stock options under the Director Plan as of December 31, 2012, were as follows: Mr. Viets 3,600 options.

### STOCK OPTION PLAN FOR OUTSIDE DIRECTORS (DIRECTOR PLAN)

Prior to May 7, 2004, the Director Plan provided for the grant of an option to purchase 3,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock to each newly elected or appointed outside director. In addition, effective the first business day in February of each year, each outside director was annually granted an option to purchase 1,800 shares of the Company s Common Stock under the Director Plan. If the Company earned more than its cost of capital as provided under its MVP Program in each respective year, each outside director was granted an option to purchase 1,800 additional shares of the Company s Common Stock under the Director Plan, effective the first business day in February of the succeeding year. The exercise price of each option granted is an amount equal to the fair market value of such option share on the grant date, and all options granted provided for one-third annual vesting over a period of three years. In the event of an outside director s death, disability or termination of status as an outside director, all options granted become fully vested. Effective May 7, 2004, no future options were granted to outside directors under the Director Plan.

#### NONEMPLOYEE DIRECTOR DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN (DEFERRED PLAN)

Prior to the beginning of each year, an outside director may elect to defer the compensation otherwise payable or awarded to the director during the succeeding year pursuant to the Deferred Plan. Under the Deferred Plan, the Company transfers to a bank trustee, under an irrevocable trust established by the Company, such number of shares of the Company as are equal to the compensation deferred by the director during the relevant year. The deferred compensation is used to purchase an equivalent amount of Company Common Stock. Dividends on these shares are reinvested quarterly under the Company s Dividend Reinvestment Plan. In general, Deferred Plan benefits are distributable, in the form of Company Common Stock, beginning when the director s status terminates.

#### **DIRECTOR SHARE OWNERSHIP**

Outside Directors are encouraged to, within three years of their initial appointment as a Company director, own shares of the Common Stock of the Company having a value of not less than 500 percent of such director s Annual Board Retainer, which Retainer was \$85,000 in 2009 and \$95,000 in 2010 through 2012. Shares held directly and in Company benefit plans are counted to satisfy the guideline, but options, whether vested or not, are not counted.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee monitors directors share ownership and may make allowances to accommodate periodic adjustments to the Annual Board Retainer, and other factors affecting a director s share ownership level.

#### **BOARD LEADERSHIP STRUCTURE**

Immediately following the 2012 Annual Shareholders Meeting, Mr. Michael was re-appointed Chairman of the Board in addition to his current position of President and Chief Executive Officer.

The Company does not have a formal policy regarding separation of the offices of chairman of the board and chief executive officer. The Board believes that the decision whether to combine or separate such positions will vary from company to company and depends upon a company s particular circumstances at a given point in time.

The Board believes that a joint board chairman and chief executive officer position is advisable and in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders given our current Board and Lead Director configuration. This structure promotes unified leadership, continuity and direction for the Company. This combined position also provides a clear focus for management to execute the Company's strategy and business plan, while fostering clear accountability and decision-making in such roles. The Board believes the designation of an empowered Lead Director provides a counterbalancing governance structure and enables an appropriate balance between strategic execution and independent oversight of management.

The Lead Director (an independent director) is the Chairperson of the Board s Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and is elected/confirmed by the Board s independent directors. The Lead Director (a) presides over executive sessions of the independent directors, (b) serves as liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors, (c) assists in setting Board meeting agendas and schedules, (d) assists in determining information sent to directors for meetings. (e) may

### **Table of Contents**

call meetings of the independent directors, (f) may consult with major shareholders if requested by the Chairman or the Board, and (g) consults with the Chairman/CEO regarding results of annual performance reviews of the Board Committees, Board members and CEO, all as set forth in the charter for the Lead Director position.

Several factors promote a strong and independent Board at our Company. Currently, all directors except for Messrs. Michael and Stone are independent as defined in the applicable NYSE listing standards (as adopted by the Company). The Audit, Executive Resources, and Nominating/Corporate Governance committees of our Board are comprised entirely of independent directors. Also, our independent directors meet quarterly in executive session without management present. Consequently, with our Lead Director position, we believe our Board continues to be strong and independent, and provides appropriate counterbalance to a combined Chairman/CEO position.

### **AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT**

The following report by the Audit Committee (the Committee ) of the Company s Board of Directors is required by the rules of the SEC to be included in this Proxy Statement and shall not be considered incorporated by reference in other filings by the Company with the SEC.

The Committee is composed of four independent directors and operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors.

The primary role of the Committee is to assist the Board of Directors in its oversight of (a) the Company s corporate accounting and reporting practices, (b) the quality and integrity of the Company s financial statements, (c) the performance of the Company s system of internal accounting and financial controls, (d) the Company s compliance with related legal and regulatory requirements, (e) the Company s risk management program, (f) the qualifications, independence and performance of the independent registered public accounting firm ( Auditor ), and (g) the performance of the Company s internal audit function. In addition to those primary roles, the Committee also performs other roles and functions as outlined in its charter, including preliminary review of earnings releases and other activities. The Committee also acts as the audit committee for each of the Company s insurance company subsidiaries. A more detailed description of the Committee s roles, functions and activities is set forth in the description of Board committees elsewhere in this Proxy Statement and in the Committee s charter which is available on our corporate website.

The Board of Directors has determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee qualifies as independent within the meaning of the NYSE Listing Standards and the rules of the SEC. The Board of Directors has further determined that each of Ms. Allen and Messrs. Baily, Lenrow and Viets is an audit committee financial expert within the meaning of the SEC rules.

The Committee reviews the internal audit function of the Company, including the independence and authority of its reporting obligations, the proposed audit plans for the coming year, and the coordination of such plans with the Auditor.

The Committee appoints and annually evaluates the performance of the Company s Auditor and provides assistance to the members of the Board of Directors in fulfilling their oversight functions of the financial reporting practices, including satisfying obligations imposed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002, and financial statements of the Company. It is not the duty of the Committee, however, to plan or conduct audits or to determine that the Company s financial statements are complete and accurate and are in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Company s Auditor is responsible for planning and conducting audits of the financial statements and internal controls over financial reporting; and the Company s management is responsible for preparing the financial statements, designing and assessing the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting and determining that the Company s financial statements are complete and accurate and in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and applicable laws and regulations.

The Committee received reports and reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management and the Auditor. The Committee also discussed with the Auditor the Section 404 obligations and matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended (AICPA AU Section 380) as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ( PCAOB ) in Rule 3200T. The Committee received from the Company s Auditor the written disclosures and letter required by the applicable PCAOB requirements for independent registered public accounting firm s communications with the Audit Committee concerning auditor independence. The Committee discussed with the Auditor that firm s independence and any relationships that may impact that firm s objectivity and independence, including audit and non-audit fees.

Based on the Committee s discussion with and review of reports from management, the Company s internal auditors and the Company s Auditor and the Committee s reliance on the representation of management that the Company s consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements of the Company be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, as filed with the SEC.

The foregoing report has been approved by all members of the Audit Committee.

22

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

Table of Contents
MEMBERS OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE
John T. Baily (Chair)
Barbara R. Allen
Gerald I. Lenrow
Robert O. Viets
EXECUTIVE RESOURCES COMMITTEE REPORT
The Executive Resources Committee has reviewed and discussed with management of the Company the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement. Based on the Executive Resources Committee s review and discussions, it recommended to the Board, and the Board approved, that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company s 2013 Proxy Statement.
MEMBERS OF THE EXECUTIVE RESOURCES COMMITTEE
Barbara R. Allen (Chair)
Jordan W. Graham
Gerald I. Lenrow
F. Lynn McPheeters
COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION
No member of the Executive Resources Committee is a current or former employee or officer of the Company or otherwise had any relationships to be disclosed within the scope of SEC regulations.

#### INTRODUCTION

The Executive Resources Committee ( ERC ) of the Company s Board of Directors is responsible for reviewing, determining and administering specific compensation programs for senior executive officers, as well as overseeing other executive compensation programs and management succession and development processes.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The Company is a specialty insurance company that offers a diverse portfolio of property and casualty coverage and surety bonds. We are always focused on achieving an underwriting profit and growing book value to create security for our policyholders, long-term value for our shareholders, and career opportunities for our employees. As a result, we have structured our compensation programs to provide incentives for achieving those objectives.

At the May 2012 annual shareholder s meeting, we held a shareholder advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, referred to as a Say-on-Pay vote, with 85.5 percent of shareholder votes cast in favor of our executive compensation programs. We considered this vote to represent strong support by shareholders for our long-standing executive compensation policies and practices. In 2012, therefore, the ERC continued its general approach to executive compensation as follows:

- Performance-based compensation: Total executive compensation is directly linked to Company performance. As in prior years, all executives participate in an incentive plan through which they are eligible to earn compensation based on achievement of Company financial objectives and personal objectives that are aligned with shareholder value creation.
- Conservative long-term incentive equity awards: In 2012, we continued our conservative approach to long-term incentives, awarding individual stock option grants sufficient to align the interests of the management team with shareholders, but not at a level which, in our view, would provide a windfall to recipients. Stock option awards in 2012 generally were at or below median levels for comparable positions based upon publicly available incentive surveys.
- Reasonably competitive base salary increases: The average increase in base salaries for the Named Executive Officers ( NEOs ) in May 2012 when salaries were reviewed was 5.4 percent, compared to 2.7 percent in 2011 and 0 percent in 2010, representing a conservative three year average of 2.7 percent. The NEOs in May 2012 were Jonathan Michael,

### **Table of Contents**

Chairman & CEO; Michael Stone, President & COO, RLI Insurance Company; Thomas L. Brown, VP, CFO & Treasurer; Craig Kliethermes, Senior Vice President, Risk Services; and Daniel Kennedy, Vice President, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary.

- Significant executive stock ownership: Our compensation programs encourage employees to build and maintain an ownership interest in the Company. We have established specific executive stock ownership guidelines and our NEOs and other executives currently maintain significant share ownership in the Company.
- Peer company comparisons: Each year we conduct a review of executive compensation within an insurance peer group (1) to evaluate the quality of RLI s performance in the context of its competition; and (2) to ensure that the Company s executive compensation remains fair, competitive, and consistent with Company absolute and relative performance. The Committee periodically retains a compensation consulting firm to assist in the evaluation and selection of peer companies, last retaining the Pay Governance consulting firm in 2011 to provide such consultation. The peer companies selected for 2012 were the same as those selected in 2011, except for CNA Surety Corporation which was removed as a result of its acquisition. In 2012, the MVP Executive Incentive Program for senior management was revised, as explained further beginning on page 27, to include an adjustment factor that can reduce or increase award calculations from 80 to 125 percent by comparing the Company s five year growth in book value to that of these peer companies, a metric the Committee believes is strongly correlated with shareholder value creation.

The ERC believes that the Company s overall compensation approach provided meaningful incentives for the talented management team at the Company to provide outstanding results for shareholders again this year. In 2012, the Company had solid financial performance, delivering the 17th consecutive year of underwriting profit; achieving an excellent combined ratio of 89.0; and returning capital to our shareholders through a \$5.00 per share special dividend and our 37th consecutive year of paying regular dividends. The following graph from the Company s 2012 Annual Report to Shareholders compares the Company s performance to that of the S&P 500 and the S&P P&C Index.

24

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

#### **HOW THE ERC OPERATES**

#### **ERC MEMBERS**

In 2012, the members of the ERC were Ms. Allen (Chair) and Messrs. Graham, Lenrow and McPheeters. ERC members are nominated by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, elected by the Board, and may be removed from the ERC by the Board at any time, with or without cause. The members of the ERC are independent directors under the independence standards developed by the Board, which incorporate all of the NYSE independence standards which are applicable to directors generally, and which are set out under the section entitled CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND BOARD MATTERS on page 15. The Board annually determines the independence of each member of the ERC under those independence standards.

#### **ERC RESPONSIBILITIES**

The ERC operates under a Charter, which can be found on the Company s website at www.rlicorp.com. The ERC Charter is reviewed annually by the ERC and any proposed changes to the Charter are submitted to the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee for recommendation to the full Board for approval. The ERC is responsible to the Board for (1) reviewing and providing advice regarding the Company s executive compensation; (2) reviewing and providing advice regarding the Company s management succession and development processes; (3) monitoring compensation actions by management below the executive level; (4) producing an annual report on executive compensation for approval by the Board for inclusion in the Company s proxy statement, and (5) reviewing the Company s employee benefit plans.

### **ERC MEETINGS**

The ERC held six meetings in 2012. The agenda for each ERC meeting is established by the Chair of the ERC in consultation with other ERC members, and with Mr. Michael and Jeffrey Fick, the Company s Vice President, Human Resources. ERC materials are prepared by Messrs. Michael and Fick and are reviewed and approved by the ERC Chair in advance of distribution to ERC members. The ERC meetings are attended by Messrs. Michael and Fick.

### INPUT FROM MANAGEMENT

Mr. Michael plays an important role in the ERC s consideration of executive compensation levels and the design of executive compensation plans and programs for other senior executive officers. For these individuals, Mr. Michael recommends the following components of executive compensation to the ERC for review and recommendation to the Board:

- annual base salary levels;
- annual incentive targets and financial and personal goals; and

the form and amount of long-term incentives.

Mr. Michael makes such compensation recommendations based on external market data; achievement of respective performance criteria by each executive; and his judgment related to internal pay equity among Company executives, potential for advancement, and contribution to team initiatives. Mr. Michael also relies upon the input of Messrs. Stone, Kliethermes and Fick when making such recommendations.

### **COMPENSATION CONSULTANT**

The ERC Charter specifically provides that if a compensation consultant is to assist in the evaluation of CEO or senior executive compensation, the ERC has sole authority to retain and terminate the consulting firm, including sole authority to approve the firm s fees and retention terms. Management also has authority to retain a compensation consultant, but may not retain the same compensation consulting firm retained by the ERC without approval in advance by the ERC. Neither the Committee nor management retained a compensation consultant in 2012.

### **Table of Contents**

#### **OVERVIEW OF RLI EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

#### **OBJECTIVES**

The objective of the Company s executive compensation program is to provide a competitive total executive compensation program linked to Company performance that will attract, retain and motivate talented executives critical to the Company s long-term success.

### **GUIDING PRINCIPLES**

The ERC is guided by a number of principles in its oversight of the design and administration of the Company s executive compensation programs:

- (1) The focus is on the linkage between long-term shareholder value creation and executive pay;
- (2) Incentives for executives directly involved in underwriting are based on underwriting profit measured over a period of years consistent with the income and risk to the Company;
- (3) Compensation should reflect both the Company s and individual s performance;
- (4) A meaningful element of equity-based compensation and significant executive equity holdings are important to ensure alignment of management and shareholder interests;
- (5) The Company s overall executive pay levels must be competitive in the marketplace for executive talent to enable the Company to attract, motivate and retain the best talent; and
- (6) Appropriate safeguards must be in place to ensure annual incentives are aligned with long-term risk and value creation to protect against unnecessary and excessive risk to the Company.

### **ELEMENTS OF COMPANY EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

The Company s total executive compensation program is comprised of the following components, each of which is described in greater detail below:

- (1) Total annual cash compensation consisting of:
- (a) Base salary;
- (b) Incentive awards under the MVP Program, which incorporates annual and long-term design features, for the CEO; COO; CFO; and Senior Vice President, Risk Services;
- (c) Annual incentive awards under the Management Incentive Program (MIP) for other home office executives;

- (d) Annual incentive awards under the Underwriter Profit Program for product group executives;
- (2) Long-term incentive compensation granted under the Long-Term Incentive Plan ( LTIP ); and
- (3) Limited perquisites. All Company executives are provided with travel accident insurance and are reimbursed for out of pocket costs for an annual health examination not covered by the Company s health plan. The CEO and COO are permitted to use the Company s aircraft pursuant to a time share agreement and hourly lease rate approved by the Board of Directors, with maximum annual use limited to total lease charges of 6.5 percent of annual base salary. The Company does not provide any income tax gross-ups for these limited perquisites.

### **BALANCE OF SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM COMPENSATION**

The ERC works to balance short-term and long-term elements of total compensation, as described in the following sections. The goal is to provide a meaningful level of long-term compensation to align with long-term value creation and mitigate the risk that management make decisions or take actions solely to increase short-term compensation while adding excessive risk to the Company. In that regard, the ERC believes that a greater percentage of total compensation should be in the form of long-term compensation the more senior the role is.

Name	(Salary and Annual Incentive Earned and Paid in 2012) (Payment from Bonus Bank for Prior Years and Stock Options)				
Jonathan E. Michael	45%	55%			
Michael J. Stone	47%	53%			
Thomas L. Brown	76%	24% (1)			
Craig W.	58%	42% (2)			

Long-Term as % of Total Compensation

Kliethermes

Daniel O. Kennedy 75% 25%

**Short-Term as % of Total Compensation** 

26

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

- (1) Mr. Brown joined the company in 2011 and has less long-term compensation than the other NEOs because of fewer contributions to his bonus bank which pays out over time.
- (2) Mr. Kennedy does not participate in the MVP Program, but instead participates in the MIP which does not have a bonus bank or long-term payout feature and consequently his long-term percentage is less than the other NEOs.

### **ANNUAL COMPENSATION**

#### **BASE SALARY**

Executive base salaries are targeted to be at the median base salary for comparable positions in the insurance industry, taking into account performance, experience, potential and the level of base salary necessary to attract and retain top executive talent.

In 2012, the ERC set base salary ranges for the CEO, CFO and COO based on publicly available executive compensation data for 2011 from the following peer companies: Alleghany Corp.; AmTrust Financial Services; Argonaut Group, Inc; Baldwin & Lyons Inc.; Endurance Specialty Holdings LTD.; Global Indemnity; HCC Insurance Holdings, Inc.; Markel Corp.; Meadowbrook Insurance Group Inc.; National Interstate Corp.; Navigators Group Inc.; Old Republic International Corp.; and OneBeacon Insurance Group Ltd. The ERC selected these peer companies based on their judgment and input from management. Each of the peer companies competes within the property and casualty insurance industry and sells a variety of insurance products that serve both commercial entities and individuals that can generally be defined as specialty in nature, or targeted toward niche markets. The peer companies have established records of financial performance, and most have been publicly traded for at least five years, facilitating the comparison of the Company s financial performance to that of the peer companies. The ERC also reviews the market capitalization of the Company compared to the peer companies to ensure that the Company is at or near the median market capitalization among those companies.

Each year, the ERC compares the relative ranking among the Company and peer companies based on the most recently available public data for base salaries and total compensation for the CEO, COO and CFO positions to the relative performance ranking for the following performance metrics for the prior year: price-to-book ratio; return on equity; combined ratio; and total return to shareholders for one, three, and five-year time frames to determine the overall competitiveness of the Company s executive compensation. Consistent with the Company s ranking in the performance metrics listed above, which were above the median for every metric, the total compensation for each of the NEOs, with the exception of Mr. Brown who joined the company in 2011, was above the median among peer companies.

Base salaries and total compensation for other executive positions are established by reference to the publicly available survey data, including median base salary levels, for comparable executives in the insurance industry.

At the May 2012 Board meeting, when the annual review of base salaries was conducted by the ERC, the ERC recommended and the Board approved that Mr. Michael s salary, which had been set at \$728,000 since 2006, be increased to \$750,000.

### MARKET VALUE POTENTIAL EXECUTIVE INCENTIVE PROGRAM (MVP PROGRAM)

As discussed in further detail below, the MVP Program provides a mechanism with which the ERC can correlate cash compensation to long-term shareholder value creation. The MVP Program uses an economic profit measure called Market Value Potential (MVP), which measures the after-tax returns earned by the Company above its cost of capital, as a gauge of shareholder value creation. MVP is defined as (1) the Actual Return (the increase in U.S. generally accepted accounting principles GAAP book value), less (2) the Required Return (beginning capital multiplied by the blended cost of capital). If the Company does not earn the Required Return in a given year and MVP is negative, no incentive award is made pursuant to the MVP Program for that year.

For the purposes of the MVP Program, the increase or decrease in GAAP book value is calculated as ending capital less beginning capital. Ending capital is defined as ending GAAP book value, less unrealized gains or losses net of tax on available-for-sale fixed maturity investments, plus outstanding long-term debt instruments at the end of the period; plus adjustments for capital transactions during the year. Beginning capital is defined as beginning GAAP book value, less unrealized gains or losses net of tax on available-for-sale fixed maturity investments, plus outstanding long-term instruments at the beginning of the period. Company s blended cost of capital is defined as the weighted average of the cost of equity capital and the cost of debt capital. The cost of equity capital is the average ten year U.S. Treasury Note rate, plus a market risk premium multiplied by the Company s beta. The Company s cost of debt capital is the coupon or interest rate actually incurred on the outstanding long-term debt.

Although the MVP Program is included in this annual compensation section of the CD&A, the MVP Program also has a significant long-term element. A maximum of 53 percent of an MVP Program incentive award calculated for a given year can be paid out in that same year, with the remainder placed in a bonus bank, an unfunded account for each participant. Amounts

### **Table of Contents**

in the MVP Program bonus bank are, in turn, paid out over a period of time at a rate of 33 percent of the remaining balance, meaning that it will take more than ten years to completely pay out an incentive award for a given year. Until paid out, all amounts in the MVP Program bonus bank are subject to a risk of forfeiture if future financial performance results in a negative MVP calculation. Therefore, we believe that the MVP Program is a hybrid program with short-term and long-term aspects and take this into account when balancing elements of short-term and long-term compensation for executives participating in that program. Moreover, the banking feature in our view operates as a performance-based clawback mechanism since amounts earned in one year will be forfeited if future financial performance of the Company results in negative MVP from a decrease in Company book value.

The ERC has considered implementing broader clawback provisions for the MVP Program and long-term incentives in anticipation of expected regulations implementing the federal Dodd-Frank Act clawback requirements. However, given the complexity inherent in creating such provisions and the uncertainty created by the lack of a regulatory framework, the ERC has decided to wait to implement broader clawback provisions until after such regulations are promulgated.

For 2012 the MVP Program was revised to broaden the range of factors used to measure success and to incorporate market standards of performance by assessing performance against that of the selected peer companies. The MVP Program continues to use annual MVP created as the foundation for MVP awards, which are calculated for each participant as a percentage of MVP created. However, 20 percent of the preliminary annual MVP award so calculated for each participant is now evaluated against personal objectives and an achievement rating of 0 to 100 percent is assigned to that portion of the award. For 2012, Messrs. Michael, Stone, Brown, and Kliethermes had shared personal objectives related to the creation of a company-wide executive succession and development planning process; and growth initiatives. The personal objectives component of an MVP award will only be paid if personal objectives are achieved and if positive MVP is created for shareholders. If MVP is positive and personal objectives are achieved, the personal objectives component of the award will be paid annually, instead of being placed in each participant s bonus bank, to provide direct linkage of annual incentive compensation for the achievement of annual goals. If MVP is negative for a year, no MVP award will be made for that year with respect to the personal objectives component.

Eighty percent of the preliminary annual MVP award will now be subject to an assessment of Company performance compared to peer companies (the financial component. ) The financial component will be adjusted from 80 percent (minimum) to 125 percent (maximum) based on the Company s performance relative to peers with respect to five-year growth in book value per share according to the following schedule:

Achievement Rating	RLI s Relative Five-Year Book Value Per Share Growth	
125% (maximum)	90th percent of peers or greater	
100%	60th percent of peers	
80% (minimum)	33rd percent of peers or less	
00 /0 (111111111111111)	pord percent or peers or ress	

(Results between the stated values for relative performance will be interpolated to determine the achievement rating.)

The financial component of an MVP award will continue to be credited (if positive) or charged (if negative) to each participant s bonus bank, with 33 percent of a positive bank balance paid to participants annually as described above.

The ERC s goal in making these revisions was to build on the strengths and success of the former MVP Program and continue to support the strong underwriting profit culture of the Company. Incorporating personal objectives and a peer company comparison supports one of the guiding principles of the Company s executive compensation program enumerated above at page 27 that compensation should reflect both the Company s and individual s performance. Ultimately, the ERC s purpose in revising the MVP Program was to improve the long-term alignment between MVP Program incentive compensation and shareholder returns.

### **Table of Contents**

#### Summary of key elements of the MVP Program for 2012 include the following:

- Annual preliminary bonus awards for each participant are expressed as a percentage of MVP created in that year.
- Twenty percent of a positive preliminary bonus award is evaluated against personal objectives; which are rated at 0 to 100 percent achievement; and, if positive, will be paid to the participant in the year earned (personal objectives component).
- Eighty percent of the preliminary bonus award will be evaluated against peer company performance for five-year growth in book value and will
  be rated and adjusted from 80 to 125 percent achievement based on relative performance (financial component).
- The financial component of annual bonus awards, if positive, are credited to a bonus bank, with 33 percent of the total bank balance paid out annually, and the remaining amount in the bonus bank at risk depending on future Company results.
- Bonus banks can either be positive or negative.
- Bonus awards can be negative if MVP for the year is negative and are charged to the bonus bank, reducing prior year award balances in the
  bonus bank.
- The financial component of annual bonus awards in excess of 300 percent of a participant s base salary requires approval of the independent Directors.

Participation in the MVP Program, percentage incentive awards and the formula to calculate MVP are recommended by the ERC and approved annually by the independent Directors of the Board for Mr. Michael and by the entire Board for other participants. In 2012, participation in the MVP Program was limited to Messrs. Michael, Stone, Brown and Kliethermes. The Board has concluded based on the position responsibilities and ongoing assessment of individual performance against operational and financial goals that the senior executive management team (the CEO, COO, CFO and Senior Vice President, Risk Services) is most responsible for the operating and investment decisions and actions that directly impact the creation of long-term shareholder value, and, therefore, should be rewarded with a portion of their incentive compensation being directly and exclusively tied to the creation of MVP. Mr. Brown joined the Company in September 2011 and did not participate in the MVP Program for 2011, but instead participated in the MIP, described below. Mr. Brown was a participant in the MVP Program beginning in 2012.

For 2012, each participant in the MVP Program received an annual MVP incentive award expressed as a percentage of MVP created by the Company in that calendar year. Each year the ERC confirms that the percentage awards remain appropriate by reviewing historical incentive award payouts, projected future payouts and resulting total compensation for MVP Program participants, which in turn, is compared to the performance of the Company necessary to achieve such payouts. The ERC compares the performance of the Company and total compensation of the MVP Program participants with comparable performance metrics and compensation at companies in the peer group. The MVP percentage award, expressed as a percentage of MVP, for each participant for 2012 was as follows: 3.0 percent for Mr. Michael, 1.9 percent for Mr. Stone and 1.0 percent for each of Messrs. Brown and Kliethermes. The ERC set the percentage incentive awards for 2012 based on the factors described above and based on the range of expected MVP to be created by the Company in 2012 and the projected incentive awards and incentive payouts that would result. Individual annual MVP Award payments, including the amount credited to a bonus bank, are capped at \$7.5 million under the terms of the RLI Corp. Annual Incentive Compensation Plan approved by Shareholders in 2011.

# Table of Contents

Formula for

Formula for Total

2012 MVP Payout:

The Company s MVP in 2012 was \$82.7 million, compared to MVP of \$98.4 million in 2011, and MVP of \$101.7 million in 2010. The following table shows the manner in which 2012 MVP payouts and remaining at-risk bank balances were calculated for Messrs. Michael, Stone, Brown and Kliethermes.

### 2012 MVP Program Incentive Awards and Payouts

Personal Objectives Achieved	100.00%
------------------------------	---------

Peer Company Adjustment Factor RLI Rank 3/14 120.51%

(A)

 $(E = D \times \%)$ 

(K = J + E)

**Total 2012** 

2012 MVP Achieved (after tax) \$82,710,000

2012 MVP Award:	(B)	$(C = A \times B)$	$(D = C \times 20\%)$	Achieved)	(F = C x 80%)	Factor)
Participant	MVP %	Preliminary MVP Award (\$)	Personal Objectives Component (\$)	Personal Objectives Award (\$)	Financial Component (\$)	Financial Award (\$)
Jonathan E. Michael Michael J. Stone Thomas L. Brown Craig W. Kliethermes	3.000 1.900 1.000 1.000	2,481,300 1,571,490 827,100 827,100	496,260 314,298 165,420 165,420	496,260 314,298 165,420 165,420	1,985,040 1,257,192 661,680 661,680	2,392,172 1,515,042 797,391 797,391
Formula for 2012 Payout from MVP Ban	k:	(H)	(G) (from above)	(I = G + H)	(J = 1 )	x 33%)
Participant	_	nning Bank ance (\$)(1)	2012 Award Credited to Bank (\$)	Total Pre-pay Balance (\$)		out nk (\$)
Jonathan E. Michael Michael J. Stone Thomas L. Brown Craig W. Kliethermes	3,1	16,451 22,091 0 39,800	2,392,172 1,515,042 797,391 797,391	7,408,623 4,637,133 797,391 1,737,191	2,444,84 1,530,25 263,13 573,23	54 39

(E)

(from above)

Payout of 2012

**Personal Objectives** 

(J)

(from above)

**Payout** 

(G = F x Peer

Edgar Filing: RLI CORP - Form DEF 14A

Participant	of Bank (\$)	Component (\$)	Payout (\$)
Jonathan E. Michael	2,444,846	496,260	2,941,106
Michael J. Stone	1,530,254	314,298	1,844,552
Thomas L. Brown	263,139	165,420	428,559
Craig W. Kliethermes	573,273	165,420	738,693

<sup>(1)</sup> Under the terms of the MVP Program, interest at the three-year U.S. Government Treasury Note rate (0.355 percent) was accrued on the unpaid bonus bank balance on December 31, 2012. The following interest was accrued to the December 31, 2012 bonus bank balance as follows: Mr. Michael, \$17,745; Mr. Stone, \$11,044; and Mr. Kliethermes, \$3,324.

30

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

### **MANAGEMENT INCENTIVE PROGRAM (MIP)**

Participants in the MIP include home office vice presidents, assistant vice presidents, and other senior managers. Awards are granted annually and expressed as a percentage of year-end base pay. Actual awards are based on Company performance against three financial goals: operating return on equity (ROE), combined ratio and MVP goals; and personal objectives for each participant. ROE and combined ratio are used as financial goals to provide an incentive to increase annual profitability. MVP is used as a financial goal as a proxy for shareholder value creation. Actual awards for a year are paid in the first quarter of the following year. The ERC approves award levels for MIP participants at the vice president level who are designated as executive officers under Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The CEO approves award levels for other MIP participants.

For 2012, Mr. Michael recommended, and the ERC approved, a MIP maximum annual incentive opportunity for Mr. Kennedy of 75 percent of his respective year-end base salary, 60 percent of which was based on the achievement of financial goals of MVP, ROE and combined ratio and 15 percent of which was based on personal objectives related to strategic legal projects.

Achievement levels for financial goals are measured according to the following schedules.

#### MIP Maximum 90 Percent

	ROE %	Bonus %		MVP	Bonus %		Combined Ratio	Bonus %
Creater than	6.0	0.000	Creater than f		0.000	Greater than	100.0	0.000
Greater than	6.0		Greater than \$			Greater than		0.000
	8.0	4.000		15,000,000	4.000		99.0	1.212
	10.0	8.000		25,000,000	6.667		97.0	3.636
	12.0	12.000		35,000,000	9.333		95.0	6.061
	13.0	14.000		45,000,000	12.000		92.6	8.970
	14.0	16.000		55,000,000	14.667		90.0	12.121
	15.0	18.000		65,000,000	17.334		87.6	15.030
	16.0	20.000		75,000,000	20.000		85.0	18.182
	17.0	22.000		85,000,000	22.667		82.6	21.091
Max	18.0	24.000	Max	90,000,000	24.000	Max	80.0	24.000

### **MIP Maximum 75 Percent**

						Combined	1
ROE %	Bonus %		MVP	Bonus %		Ratio	Bonus %
6.0	0.000	Cracter than f		0.000	Crostor than	100.0	0.000
0.0		Greater than \$			Greater than		0.000
8.0	3.333		15,000,000	3.333		99.0	1.010
10.0	6.667		25,000,000	5.556		97.0	3.030
12.0	10.000		35,000,000	7.778		95.0	5.051
13.0	11.667		45,000,000	10.000		92.6	7.475
14.0	13.333		55,000,000	12.222		90.0	10.101
15.0	15.000		65,000,000	14.444		87.6	12.526
16.0	16.667		75,000,000	16.667		85.0	15.152
17.0	18.333		85,000,000	18.889		82.6	17.576
18.0	20.000	Max	90,000,000	20.000	Max	80.0	20.000
	6.0 8.0 10.0 12.0 13.0 14.0 15.0 16.0	6.0 0.000 8.0 3.333 10.0 6.667 12.0 10.000 13.0 11.667 14.0 13.333 15.0 15.000 16.0 16.667 17.0 18.333	6.0 0.000 Greater than \$ 8.0 3.333 10.0 6.667 12.0 10.000 13.0 11.667 14.0 13.333 15.0 15.000 16.0 16.667 17.0 18.333	6.0 0.000 Greater than \$ 8.0 3.333 15,000,000 10.0 6.667 25,000,000 12.0 10.000 35,000,000 13.0 11.667 45,000,000 14.0 13.333 55,000,000 15.0 15.000 65,000,000 16.0 16.667 75,000,000 17.0 18.333 85,000,000	6.0 0.000 Greater than \$ 0.000 8.0 3.333 15,000,000 3.333 10.0 6.667 25,000,000 5.556 12.0 10.000 35,000,000 7.778 13.0 11.667 45,000,000 10.000 14.0 13.333 55,000,000 12.222 15.0 15.000 65,000,000 14.444 16.0 16.667 75,000,000 16.667 17.0 18.333 85,000,000 18.889	6.0 0.000 Greater than \$ 0.000 Greater than 8.0 3.333 15,000,000 5.556 12.0 10.000 35,000,000 7.778 13.0 11.667 45,000,000 10.000 14.0 13.333 55,000,000 12.222 15.0 15.000 65,000,000 14.444 16.0 16.667 75,000,000 18.889	ROE %         Bonus %         MVP         Bonus %         Ratio           6.0         0.000 Greater than \$         0.000 Greater than 100.0         10.00         3.333         15,000,000 3.333         99.0           10.0         6.667         25,000,000 5.556         97.0         95.0           12.0         10.000 35,000,000 7.778         95.0         95.0           13.0         11.667 45,000,000 10.000 92.6         92.6         90.0           14.0         13.333 55,000,000 12.222 90.0         90.0           15.0         15.000 65,000,000 14.444 87.6         87.6           16.0         16.667 75,000,000 16.667 85.0         85.0           17.0         18.333 85,000,000 18.889 82.6

In 2012, the Company achieved ROE of 11.0 percent, MVP of \$82.7 million, and a combined ratio of 89.0. Mr. Kennedy received a 2012 MIP award of \$165,997.71, reflective of 8.337 percent of year-end base salary for the ROE goal, 18.376 percent for the MVP goal, 11.111 percent for the combined ratio goal and 14.213 percent for personal objectives.

### **Table of Contents**

#### LONG-TERM COMPENSATION

### **DEFERRED COMPENSATION PLAN (DEFERRED PLAN)**

Under the Company s Deferred Plan, an executive officer may elect to defer up to 100 percent of total cash compensation after payroll deductions. Upon an election by an executive officer to defer compensation, the Company transfers cash equal to the amount deferred to a bank trustee under an irrevocable trust established by the Company, and the trustee purchases a number of shares of Common Stock of the Company representing an amount equal to the compensation deferred by the executive officer. Pursuant to the Deferred Plan, dividends paid on the shares in such trust are used by the trustee to purchase additional shares of Common Stock of the Company which are placed in the trust. The trust is considered to be a Rabbi Trust or grantor trust for tax purposes. The assets of the trust are subject to claims by the Company's creditors. The Deferred Plan generally provides that the shares credited to the participant is account will be transferred to the participant upon termination of employment over five years. Mr. Kliethermes deferred income under the Deferred Plan in 2012. Each of the named executive officers other than Mr. Brown has deferred income under the Deferred Plan in prior years and receives dividends on shares held in the Deferred Plan, which are used to purchase additional shares.

### **OMNIBUS STOCK PLAN (OMNIBUS PLAN)**

Under the Company s Omnibus Plan, which was adopted in 2005, certain employees, officers, consultants and directors of the Company were eligible to receive long-term incentive compensation in a variety of forms including non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance units, restricted stock awards and other equity awards. The Long-Term Incentive Plan, described immediately below, was adopted in 2010 and replaced the Omnibus Plan. Messrs. Michael, Stone, and Kliethermes have outstanding stock option awards under the Omnibus Plan.

### **LONG-TERM INCENTIVE PLAN (LTIP)**

The purpose of the LTIP is to promote the interests of the Company and its shareholders by providing key personnel of the Company with an opportunity to acquire an equity interest in the Company and rewarding them for achieving or exceeding the Company s performance goals The grant of equity awards, the value of which is related to the value of the Company s Common Stock, aligns the interests of the Company s executive officers with that of the shareholders. The ERC believes this arrangement develops a strong incentive for Company executives to put forth maximum effort for the continued creation of shareholder value and long-term growth of the Company.

Under the Company s LTIP, certain employees, officers and directors of the Company are eligible to receive equity awards in a variety of forms including non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance units, restricted stock awards and other equity awards. All executives at the Company are required to own a significant level of Company stock, stated as a multiple of base salary. Equity grants provide a means for executives to meet their ownership requirement. As explained further on page 34, executives are required to hold all net shares from an equity grant until their stock ownership level is met.

The ERC believes equity awards serve as incentives to executives to maximize long-term growth and profitability of the Company, an arrangement that benefits both the executives and shareholders. Equity awards also provide a means to attract and retain key employees. The ERC establishes and recommends to the independent directors of the Board the annual equity award for Mr. Michael, which is established based on a review of long-term incentive compensation of CEO positions among the peer companies described above, an assessment of his performance and initiatives underway, and a comparison of his equity awards compared to awards to other officers. A target range of the value of annual equity awards, expressed as a percentage of base salary, has been established for all other Company executives.

In 2012, the Company awarded long-term incentives in the form of non-qualified stock option grants to Company executives in amounts recommended by the ERC and approved by the Board of Directors (independent directors with respect to Mr. Michael s award). The ERC believes that non-qualified stock options provide an effective form of compensation to align the interests of executive management and shareholders. In reaching that conclusion, the ERC considered the following: stock options provide more leverage than equity awards such as restricted stock; are directly aligned with shareholder interests since they provide rewards only with share price appreciation; and, are understood and supported by recipients. The Company targets long-term incentives at approximately the median of competitive market data. Mr. Michael recommends to the ERC proposed stock option awards within the target range for each executive officer based on the executive officer s position, and a subjective assessment of the executive officer s individual performance and anticipated future contributions to the Company. The ERC considers Mr. Michael s recommendations and then recommends stock option awards to the Board for approval. Options granted prior to May 2009 expire ten years after grant, options awarded from May 2009 and after expire eight years after grant. The change in 2009 to an eight-year term for stock options was implemented to reduce the expense of option grants. Stock options vest over five years at the rate of 20 percent per year, or upon termination of employment due to the death, disability,

32

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

### **Table of Contents**

or qualified retirement of the recipient. Upon termination of employment (other than due to death, disability or retirement), vested options must be exercised within the earlier of 90 days of termination or expiration of the option award, except that all unexercised options granted in May 2006 and thereafter are forfeited in the event the employment of an option recipient is terminated for cause. Stock options awarded by the Company do not include provisions that would automatically vest stock options in the event of a change in control of the Company (single trigger), although the Board has discretion pursuant to the LTIP in the event of a change in control to make adjustments to the number, kind, and exercise price of options to prevent inappropriate dilution or enlargement of the rights of an optionee.

#### **EMPLOYEE STOCK OWNERSHIP PLAN (ESOP)**

The Company s ESOP offers another performance-based means of retaining and motivating employees, including executive officers, who work 1,000 or more hours per year, by offering ownership in the Company on a long-term basis. The Board may approve an annual contribution to the ESOP based on the profitability of the Company that is used by the ESOP to purchase Common Stock on behalf of participating employees, including executive officers. All ESOP participants, including executive officers, may receive an annual contribution expressed as a percentage of eligible compensation (limited for an individual employee to an annual cap of \$250,000 in earnings in 2012). ESOP contributions vest 100 percent after three years of qualifying service. For 2012, the ERC recommended and the Board approved a discretionary profit sharing contribution to the ESOP of 6.35 percent of participants eligible compensation. In addition, plan forfeitures equal to 0.069 percent of eligible compensation were added to all participants accounts.

### 401(k) PLAN

The Company sponsors a 401(k) Plan in which all employees, including executive officers, scheduled to work 1,000 or more hours per year, are entitled to participate. All participants receive a safe harbor annual contribution by the Company to their 401(k) accounts of 3 percent of eligible compensation (limited for an individual employee to an annual cap of \$250,000 in earnings in 2012), which is immediately vested. The Board may also approve discretionary profit sharing contributions to the 401(k) Plan, which are allocated in proportion to the eligible compensation paid to each participant, subject to statutory maximums. Profit sharing contributions vest after three years of qualifying service. Participants are entitled to make their own elective deferrals to the 401(k) Plan through payroll deduction. For 2012, in addition to the safe harbor 3 percent annual contribution, the ERC recommended and the Board approved a discretionary profit sharing contribution to the 401(k) of 1.05 percent of participants eligible compensation and plan forfeitures equal to 0.017 percent of eligible compensation were added to all participants accounts.

### KEY EMPLOYEE EXCESS BENEFIT PLAN (KEY PLAN)

The purpose of the Key Plan is to restore benefits lost to certain executive officers under the ESOP and 401(k) Plan due to limitations on benefits contained in the Internal Revenue Code. The Company transfers to a bank trustee under an irrevocable trust established by the Company such number of shares of Common Stock of the Company representing an amount equal to the benefits the participant would have earned in the 401(k) and ESOP but for the limitation in the Internal Revenue Code on the maximum compensation on which those benefits may be calculated. The trust is considered to be a Rabbi Trust or grantor trust for tax purposes. The assets of the trust are subject to claims by the Company s creditors. The Key Plan generally provides that dividends are credited to the participant s account and reinvested in shares of Common Stock of the Company. The shares credited to the participant s account pursuant to the Key Plan will be paid upon termination of employment in five annual installments. Mr. Michael ceased active participation in the Key Plan in 2005. Dividends on his shares held in the Key Plan continue to be credited to his account in the Key Plan. No other employee participates or has participated in the Key Plan and the plan is now frozen.

### **ELEMENTS OF POST-TERMINATION COMPENSATION AND BENEFITS**

Except as described below, the Company has not entered into any employment or severance agreements or arrangements with any of its executive officers that would compensate the executive officers for or after departing the Company. The Company does not have change in control agreements with its executives and does not provide any additional benefits for executives in the event of a change in control.

Messrs. Michael, Stone, Brown and Kliethermes were participants in the MVP Program in 2012, which is described in more detail on page 27. Upon termination of employment of an MVP Program participant for any reason other than retirement (defined as the date at which a participant has attained combined age and service with the Company of 75), death, or disability, all unpaid positive MVP bonus bank balances of the participant are forfeited unless the ERC deems otherwise. Upon the termination of employment of a participant qualifying as retirement, a positive MVP bonus bank calculated on the last day of the quarter during which the participant is employment ended will be paid to a participant in a lump sum within 90 days of termination of employment if the participant is age 65 or older, and as a quarterly annuity to age 65 using the interest rate for

### **Table of Contents**

the five-year Treasury Note in effect at the date of retirement if the Participant's age is less than 65. A bonus bank balance will also be calculated at the end of the quarter prior to a participant's termination of employment and the Company may, in its discretion, pay the lower of the calculated bonus banks. All such payments upon a termination of employment qualifying as retirement are subject to ongoing restrictions on: the participant's employment in the insurance industry; solicitation of Company employees; solicitation of business away from the Company; and disclosure of confidential information of the Company.

At year-end 2012, Messrs. Michael and Stone qualified for retirement under the MVP Program; while Messrs. Brown and Kliethermes did not qualify for retirement. Had Messrs. Michael s and Stone s employment ended on December 31, 2012, they would have been entitled to the payment of their respective MVP bonus bank on that date, including the MVP Program award for 2012, in the amount of \$4,963,777 for Mr. Michael and \$3,106,879 for Mr. Stone in the form of a quarterly annuity at an interest rate of .724 percent until age 65.

Under the terms of the LTIP, stock option grants vest upon the death or disability of an optionee, and may vest upon the retirement of an optionee provided that the underlying stock option agreement so provides. The awards of stock options to the Named Executive Officers, and all other stock option recipients at the Company, provide for the immediate vesting of outstanding unvested stock options in the event of a recipient stermination of employment qualifying as a retirement. Retirement is defined under the LTIP as the termination of employment of a participant with combined age and years of service of 75 or greater. Stock options must be exercised within the earlier of one year of the death of an optionee, or three years of the termination of employment due to the disability or retirement of an optionee, and the original expiration date of the stock option award. In the event of the termination of employment of an optionee for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement, vested options must be exercised within the earlier of 90 days of the termination of employment or the original expiration of the option award.

In 2012, Messrs. Michael s and Stone s respective age and years of service exceeded 75. Accordingly, upon Mr. Michael s or Mr. Stone s termination of employment with the Company, all of their respective unvested stock option grants will immediately vest, expiring on the earlier of the original expiration date or three years. Therefore, had Messrs. Michael or Stone left the employment of the Company on December 31, 2012, their respective unvested stock options would have immediately vested on that date. The in-the-money value of such options that would have vested on December 31, 2012, using the closing stock price on that date of \$64.66, would have been \$7,847,077.50 for Mr. Michael and \$3,470,567.00 for Mr. Stone.

Under the Company s self-funded health plan for employees, coverage may be maintained at retirement, defined as termination of employment at age 55 or older and at least 20 years of service, until age 65, by paying the full amount of the employee and Company premium. In 2012 Mr. Michael qualified for such continuation of coverage, while none of the other named executive officers qualified.

### STOCK OWNERSHIP/RETENTION GUIDELINE

It is the Company s belief that key executives should hold significant amounts of Company stock. The value of all shares owned, including those held outright and in benefit plans, but excluding the value of stock options held, must equal or exceed a multiple of their annual base salary, as shown below:

### Position Value of Shares \$

 CEO
 6.0 x Base Salary

 COO
 4.0 x Base Salary

 CFO
 3.0 x Base Salary

 Sr. VP, Risk Services
 2.0 x Base Salary

 Other Officers
 1.5 x Base Salary

Executives to whom this Guideline applies are encouraged to reach their respective stock ownership level within five years of the date on which an individual assumes an executive position covered by this Guideline. Until an executive reaches the required ownership level, all net shares obtained from the exercise of stock options or other long-term incentive awards must be retained and may not be sold. The ERC reviews the progress of executives, to whom the Guideline applies, toward their stock ownership goal each year. With the exception of Mr. Brown (who has until 2016 to meet his goal), all NEOs have met their respective stock ownership goals and Messrs. Michael and Stone have greatly exceeded their respective stock ownership goal. The Company does not have a formal policy restricting executives from using financial instruments to reduce their risk of holding Company stock or using the stock for margin trading or collateral purposes.

34

RLI Corp. 2013 Proxy Statement

# **Table of Contents**

#### **EXECUTIVE MANAGEMENT**

### **EXECUTIVE OFFICERS**

The following information is provided as to each current executive officer of the Company:

Name	Age	Position with Company	<b>Executive Officer Since</b>
Jonathan E. Michael	59	President & Chief Executive Officer	1985
Michael J. Stone	64	President & Chief Operating Officer of the Company s principal insurance subsidiaries	1997
Thomas L. Brown	56	Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and Treasurer	2011
Craig W. Kliethermes	48	Senior Vice President, Risk Services of the Company s principal insurance subsidiaries	2007
Daniel O. Kennedy	48	Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary	2006
Todd W. Bryant (1)	44	Vice President, Controller	2009
Aaron P. Diefenthaler (2)	39	Vice President, Chief Investment Officer	2012

- (1) Mr. Bryant was promoted to Vice President, Controller of the Company in February 2009. Prior to his promotion, Mr. Bryant had been Assistant Vice President, Financial Reporting since August 2006, and previously held various managerial and accounting positions since he joined the Company in 1993.
- (2) Mr. Diefenthaler joined the Company as Vice President, Chief Investment Officer on January 23, 2012. Mr. Diefenthaler was Principal and Portfolio Manager with AAM Insurance Investment Management from 2002 to January 2012.

### **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

### **SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE**

The aggregate compensation earned from the Company and its subsidiaries during the last fiscal year is set forth below for the Company s
President & Chief Executive Officer, Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer, and the other three most highly compensated executive
officers, referred to herein collectively as named executive officers ( NEOs ). None of the NEOs have an employment contract with the Company.

The key elements of compensation presented in the summary compensation table include base salary (column c); payouts under annual incentive programs (column g); and stock option awards (column f). Amounts reflected in the column titled Non-Equity Incentive Plan for Messrs. Michael,

Stone, and Kliethermes reflect payouts from each of their respective MVP Program bonus bank accounts of amounts earned in prior years based on financial performance of the Company in those years. As described in greater detail on page 27, annual payouts under the MVP Program are reflective of amounts earned in prior years which are banked and paid out over a period of time of up to ten years.