Meritage Homes CORP Form 10-Q November 06, 2009 <u>Table of Contents</u>

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

FORM 10-Q

X

0

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2009

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 1-9977

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION

6

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Maryland (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

17851 North 85th Street, Suite 300 Scottsdale, Arizona (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

(480) 515-8100

(Registrant s Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Not Applicable

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by a checkmark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Date File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes o No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by a checkmark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

Common shares outstanding as of November 4, 2009: 31,789,108

86-0611231 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

> **85255** (Zip Code)

Accelerated filer x

Smaller reporting company o

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION

FORM 10-Q FOR THE QUARTER ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

	<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements	3
		<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of</u> September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	3
		<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the</u> <u>Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008</u>	4
		<u>Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the</u> Nine Months Ended September 30, 2009 and 2008	5
		Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	6
	<u>Item 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	23
	<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	35
	<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	36
<u>PART II.</u>	OTHER INFORMATION		36
	<u>Item 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings	36
	<u>Item 1A.</u>	Risk Factors	37
	<u>Item 2.</u>	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	37
	Item 3.	Not Applicable	
	Item 4.	Not Applicable	37
	Item 5.	Not Applicable	
	<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	38
<u>SIGNATURES</u>			39
INDEX OF EXHIBITS			40

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except share amounts)

	:	September 30, 2009		December 31, 2008
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	346,951	\$	205,923
Restricted cash		18,604		
Income tax receivables		2,125		111,508
Other receivables		27,063		31,046
Real estate		718,438		859,305
Real estate not owned		11,588		5,762
Deposits on real estate under option or contract		12,309		51,658
Investments in unconsolidated entities		12,311		17,288
Property and equipment, net		17,454		22,692
Intangibles, net		3,942		5,023
Prepaid expenses and other assets		12,854		16,044
Total assets	\$	1,183,639	\$	1,326,249
Liabilities:				
Accounts payable	\$	29,956	\$	31,655
Accrued liabilities	Ψ	86,463	Ψ	125,101
Home sale deposits		11,641		8,486
Liabilities related to real estate not owned		10.052		4,833
Senior and senior subordinated notes		604,968		628,968
Total liabilities		743,080		799,043
Stockholders Equity:				
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01. Authorized 10,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008				
Common stock, par value \$0.01. Authorized 125,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding 39,672,025 and 38,588,536 shares at September 30, 2009 and December 31,				
2008, respectively		397		386
Additional paid-in capital		459,823		436,739
Retained earnings		169,112		278,854
Treasury stock at cost, 7,891,250 shares at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008		(188,773)		(188,773)
Total stockholders equity		440,559		527,206
Total Stockholders equility		440,339		527,200

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q							
Total liabilities and stockholders	equity	\$	1,183,639 \$	1,326,249			
	See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated fina	ancial statement	ïs				

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(in thousands, except per share amounts)

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Mon Septem	ed	
		2009		2008		2009		2008
Home closing revenue	\$	231,816	\$	372,907	\$	683,208	\$	1,118,486
Land closing revenue				1,859		1,285		5,007
Total closing revenue		231,816		374,766		684,493		1,123,493
Cost of home closings		(198,279)		(325,172)		(594,816)		(973,541)
Cost of land closings				(1,846)		(1,195)		(4,930)
Real estate impairments		(10,354)		(40,949)		(86,943)		(113,810)
Land impairments		(281)		(13,063)		(540)		(19,693)
Total cost of closings and impairments		(208,914)		(381,030)		(683,494)		(1,111,974)
Home closing gross profit		23,183		6,786		1,449		31,135
Land closing loss		(281)		(13,050)		(450)		(19,616)
Total closing gross profit/(loss)		22,902		(6,264)		999		11,519
Commissions and other sales costs		(18,382)		(33,840)		(55,625)		(101,274)
General and administrative expenses		(14,269)		(20,735)		(41,913)		(52,481)
(Loss)/earnings from unconsolidated entities,								
net		(899)		1,322		1,350		(14,296)
Interest expense		(8,853)		(5,835)		(28,515)		(17,034)
Other income, net		1,862		3,770		6,512		7,040
Gain on extinguishment of debt						9,390		
Loss before income taxes		(17,639)		(61,582)		(107,802)		(166,526)
Provision for income taxes		(146)		(82,431)		(1,940)		(46,260)
Net loss	\$	(17,785)	\$	(144,013)	\$	(109,742)	\$	(212,786)
I								
Loss per common share:	¢	(0.5()	¢	(1 (0)	ተ	(2.50)	¢	(7.27)
Basic and diluted	\$	(0.56)	\$	(4.69)	Э	(3.52)	\$	(7.37)
Weighted average number of shares:								
Basic and diluted		31,718		30,690		31,197		28,872

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(in thousands)

	Nine Montl Septemb	2008	
	2009		2008
Cash flows from operating activities:			
Net loss	\$ (109,742)	\$	(212,786)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash provided by operating activities:			
Depreciation and amortization	6,547		9,785
Real estate and land impairments	87,483		133,503
Decrease in deferred taxes			1,496
Deferred tax asset valuation allowance			106,225
Stock-based compensation	4,512		4,045
Gain on early extinguishment of senior subordinated debt, net of transaction costs	(9,390)		
Equity in (earnings)/losses from unconsolidated entities (includes \$2.8 million and			
\$20.8 million of impairments to joint ventures in 2009 and 2008, respectively)	(1,350)		14,296
Distributions of earnings from unconsolidated entities	5,341		7,724
Changes in assets and liabilities:			
Decrease in real estate	87,589		87,206
Decrease in deposits on real estate under option or contract	7,131		8,612
Decrease in income tax receivables	107,573		80,543
Decrease/(increase) in receivables and prepaid expenses and other assets	9,576		(53,326)
Decrease in accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(40,991)		(76,117)
Increase/(decrease) in home sale deposits	3,155		(5,054)
Net cash provided by operating activities	157,434		106,152
Cash flows from investing activities:			
Investments in unconsolidated entities	(1,218)		(14,293)
Distributions of capital from unconsolidated entities	1,153		2,326
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,128)		(5,216)
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	128		704
Increase in restricted cash	(18,604)		
Net cash used in investing activities	(20,669)		(16,479)
Cash flows from financing activities:			
Net repayments under Credit Facility			(82,000)
Purchase of treasury stock			(3)
Proceeds from issuance of common stock, net of transaction fees			82,775
Proceeds from stock option exercises	4,263		905
Net cash provided by financing activities	4,263		1,677
Net increase in cash and cash equivalents	141,028		91,350
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	205,923		27,677
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 346,951	\$	119,027

See supplemental disclosures of cash flow information at Note 11.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

MERITAGE HOMES CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2009 AND 2008

NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Organization. Meritage Homes is a leading designer and builder of single-family detached homes in the historically high-growth regions of the western and southern United States based on the number of home closings. We offer first-time, move-up, active adult and luxury homes to our targeted customer base, although our current emphasis is the first time and first move-up segment of the market, as we believe they represent the largest demographic of buyers. We have operations in three regions: West, Central and East, which are comprised of 12 metropolitan areas in Arizona, Texas, California, Nevada, Colorado and Florida. Through our predecessors, we commenced our homebuilding operations in 1985. Meritage Homes Corporation was incorporated in 1988 in the State of Maryland.

Our homebuilding and marketing activities are conducted under the name of Meritage Homes in each of our markets, except for Arizona, where we also operate under the name of Monterey Homes, and in Texas, where we also operate as Legacy Homes and Monterey Homes. At September 30, 2009, we were actively selling homes in 162 communities, with base prices ranging from approximately \$100,000 to \$967,000.

Basis of Presentation. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States and include the accounts of Meritage Homes Corporation and those of our consolidated subsidiaries, partnerships and other entities in which we have a controlling financial interest, and of variable interest entities (see Note 3) in which we are deemed the primary beneficiary (collectively, us , we , our and the Company). Intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. In the opinion of management, the accompanying financial statements include all routine and recurring adjustments necessary for the fair presentation of our results for the interim periods presented. Certain reclassifications related to the change in income tax receivables have been made to the prior year s consolidated statement of cash flows in order to conform to the current year presentation.

Subsequent Events. We evaluated subsequent events through the time of filing this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q on November 6, 2009. We are not aware of any significant events that occurred subsequent to the balance sheet date but prior to the filing of this report that would have a material impact on our condensed consolidated financial statements.

Restricted Cash. Restricted cash consists of amounts held in restricted accounts as collateral for our letter of credit arrangements that were established to replace those previously available under our Credit Facility. See Note 5 for additional discussion.

Real Estate. Real estate is stated at cost unless the community or land is determined to be impaired, at which point the inventory is written down to fair value as required by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Accounting Standards Codification (ASC) Subtopic 360-10, *Property, Plant and Equipment*. Inventory includes the costs of land acquisition, land development, home construction, capitalized interest, real estate taxes, direct overhead costs incurred during development and home construction that benefit the entire community and impairments, if

any. Land and development costs are typically allocated and transferred to homes under construction when home construction begins. Home construction costs are accumulated on a per-home basis. Cost of home closings includes the specific construction costs of the home and all related land acquisition, land development and other common costs (both incurred and estimated to be incurred) that are allocated based upon the total number of homes expected to be closed in each community or phase. Any changes to the estimated total development costs of a community or phase are allocated to the remaining homes in the community or phase. When a home closes, we may have incurred costs for goods and services that have not yet been paid. Therefore, an accrual to capture such obligations is recorded in connection with the home closing and charged directly to cost of sales.

Typically, a community s life cycle ranges from three to five years, commencing with the acquisition of the entitled land and continuing through the land development phase and concluding with the sale, construction and closing of the homes. Actual community lives will vary based on the size of the community, the sales absorption rate and whether the land purchased was raw or finished lots. Master-planned communities encompassing several phases and super-block land parcels may have significantly longer lives and projects involving smaller finished lot purchases may be significantly shorter.

All of our land inventory and related real estate assets are reviewed for recoverability when impairment indicators are present, as our inventory is considered long-lived in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Impairment charges are recorded if the fair value of an asset is less than its carrying amount. Our determination of fair value is based on projections and estimates. Changes in these expectations may lead to a change in the outcome of our impairment analysis. Our analysis is completed on a quarterly basis at a community level with each community or land parcel evaluated individually. For those assets deemed to be impaired, the impairment recognized is measured as the amount by which the assets carrying value exceeds their fair value.

Existing and continuing communities. When projections for the remaining income expected to be earned from existing communities are no longer positive, the underlying real estate assets are deemed not fully recoverable, and further analysis is performed to determine the required impairment. The fair value of the community s assets is determined using either a discounted cash flow model for projects we intend to build out or a market-based approach for projects we intend to sell or that are in the preliminary development stage and product types have not yet been finalized. Impairments are charged to cost of home closing in the period during which it is determined that the fair value is less than the assets carrying amount. If a market-based approach is used, we determine fair value based on recent comparable purchase and sale activity in the local market, adjusted for known variances as determined by our knowledge of the region and general real estate expertise. Our key estimates in deriving fair value under our cash flow model are (i) home selling prices in the community adjusted for current and expected sales discounts and incentives, (ii) costs related to the community - both land development and home construction - including costs spent to date and budgeted remaining costs to spend, (iii) projected sales absorption rates, reflecting any product mix change strategies implemented to stimulate the sales pace, (iv) alternative land uses including disposition of all or a portion of the land owned and (v) our discount rate, which is currently 14-16% and varies based on the perceived risk inherent in the community s other cash flow assumptions. These assumptions vary widely across different communities and geographies and are largely dependent on local market conditions. Community-level factors that may impact our key estimates include:

- The presence and significance of local competitors, including their offered product type and competitive actions;
- Economic and related demographic conditions for the population of the surrounding community; and
- Desirability of the particular community, including unique amenities or other favorable or unfavorable attributes.

These local circumstances may significantly impact our assumptions and the resulting computation of fair value. We typically do not project market improvements in our discounted cash flow models, but may do so in limited circumstances in the latter years of a long-lived master planned community. The models are also evaluated by regional and corporate personnel for consistency and integration, as decisions that affect pricing or absorption at one community may have resulting consequences for neighboring communities. Impairments are allocated on a straight-line basis to all lots within a project.

Option deposits and pre-acquisition costs. We also evaluate assets associated with future communities for impairments on a quarterly basis. Using similar techniques described in the existing and continuing communities section above, we determine if the contribution margins to be generated by our future communities are acceptable to us. If the projections indicate that a community is still meeting our internal investment guidelines and is generating a profit, those assets are determined to be fully recoverable and no impairments are required. In cases where we decide to abandon a project, we will fully impair all assets related to such project and will expense and accrue any additional costs that we are contractually obligated to incur. We may also elect to continue with a project because it has positive cash flows, even though it may not be generating an accounting profit, or due to other strategic factors. In such cases, we will impair our pre-acquisition costs and deposits, as necessary, to record an impairment to bring the book value to fair value. Refer to Note 2 of these consolidated financial statements for further information regarding our impairments.

Deposits. Deposits paid related to land options and contracts to purchase land are capitalized when incurred and classified as deposits on real estate under option or contract until the related land is purchased. Deposits are reclassified to a component of real estate at the time the deposit is used to offset the acquisition price of the lots based on the terms of the underlying agreements. To the extent they are non-refundable, deposits

are charged to expense if the land acquisition is terminated or no longer considered probable. As the Company s liability associated with these non-refundable deposits is limited to the deposit amount, the Company does not consider the options a contractual obligation. The review of the likelihood of the acquisition of contracted lots is completed in conjunction with the real estate impairment analysis noted above. Our deposits were \$12.3 million and \$51.7 million as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 respectively.

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements Joint Ventures. Historically, we have participated in land development joint ventures as a means of accessing larger parcels of land and lot positions, expanding our market opportunities, managing our risk profile and leveraging our capital base. We currently have three such active ventures. We also participate in seven mortgage and title business joint ventures. The mortgage joint ventures are engaged in mortgage brokerage activities, and they originate and provide services to both our customers and other homebuyers. See Note 4 for additional information.

Table of Contents

Off-Balance-Sheet Arrangements Other. We often acquire lots from various development entities pursuant to option and purchase agreements. The purchase price typically approximates the market price at the date the contract is executed. See Note 3 for further discussion.

We provide letters of credit and performance, maintenance and other bonds in support of our related obligations with respect to the development of both our on- and off-balance-sheet projects. These letters of credit and bonds are typically provided to government agencies in which certain of our communities are being developed to guarantee our performance of the construction and development activities for those projects and are in lieu of cash deposits. The amount of these obligations outstanding at any time varies depending on the stage and level of our development activities. In the event a letter of credit or bond is drawn upon, we would be obligated to reimburse the issuer of the letter of credit or bond. Although a majority of the work may have been performed, these bonds and letters of credit are typically not released until all development specifications have been met. At September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$11.3 million in outstanding letters of credit and \$104.4 million of surety bonds outstanding subject to these indemnity arrangements, of which only \$37.0 million of work remains to be completed. We believe it is unlikely that any significant amounts of these letters of credit or bonds will be drawn upon.

Accrued Liabilities. Accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

	At September 30, 2009	At December 31, 2008
Accruals related to real estate development and construction activities	\$ 22,218	\$ 35,494
Payroll and other benefits	6,957	13,702
Accrued taxes	3,026	2,913
Warranty reserves	29,502	28,891
Other accruals	24,760	44,101
Total	\$ 86,463	\$ 125,101

Warranty Reserves. We have certain obligations related to post-construction warranties and defects for closed homes. With the assistance of an actuary, we have estimated these reserves based on the number of home closings, historical data and trends with respect to similar product types and geographic areas. We regularly review our warranty reserves and adjust them, as necessary, to reflect changes in trends as information becomes available. A summary of changes in our warranty reserves follows (in thousands):

	Three Mon Septem	led	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	2009	2008	2009		2008
Balance, beginning of period	\$ 28,596	\$ 34,276 \$	28,891	\$	36,633
Additions to reserve from new home					
deliveries	716	3,390	2,105		8,180
Warranty claims	(1,549)	102	(3,617)		(5,091)
Adjustments to pre-existing reserves	1,739	(3,649)	2,123		(5,603)
Balance, end of period	\$ 29,502	\$ 34,119 \$	29,502	\$	34,119

Warranty reserves are included in accrued liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets, and additions to the reserves are included in cost of sales within the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

There has recently been publicity about homes constructed with allegedly defective drywall manufactured in China (Chinese drywall). During the first quarter of 2009, we became aware (from customer inquiries) that a limited number of the homes we constructed in the Ft. Myers, Florida area in 2005 and 2006 are exhibiting symptoms typical of the potentially defective Chinese drywall. As of September 30, 2009, Meritage has been named as a defendant in a single lawsuit with three home owner plaintiffs regarding Chinese drywall in their homes. It is possible that we may in the future become subject to additional litigation.

Currently we have confirmed that approximately 60 of the homes we constructed in the Ft. Myers, Florida area have exhibited symptoms of and thus are suspected of containing defective Chinese drywall. The defective drywall was delivered and installed in those homes during 2006. We have notified these home owners of our intent to repair these homes. We believe there may be up to an additional 30 homes in the Ft. Myers area that had drywall installed during the same time period that we are still inspecting and believe it is possible that some of those homes may also contain defective Chinese drywall. We are continuing our investigation of homes constructed during the relevant time period to determine whether there are homes that we have not yet inspected that contain defective Chinese drywall. We will offer to repair any additional homes found to contain the defective Chinese drywall. Based on our investigation to date, we do not believe defective Chinese drywall was used in homes constructed by us in other areas of Florida or in our other markets outside of Florida.

The \$29.5 million of warranty reserves available at September 30, 2009 represent reserves for post-construction warranties and defects for closed homes. These reserves are intended to cover costs associated with our contractual and statutory warranty obligations, which include, among other items, claims involving defective workmanship and materials. We maintain general liability insurance, subject to a self-insured retention obligation, that we believe would cover a portion of certain of our warranty claims, including the defective Chinese drywall exposure. We believe that these reserves are sufficient to cover the costs associated with the repair of the homes identified with defective Chinese drywall after taking into account anticipated insurance proceeds from our insurance carrier and those of other responsible parties and reserving for our insurance deductible. As of September 30, 2009, we have not established any reserves for any additional homes that may contain the defective drywall, although based on the number of homes ultimately identified with Chinese drywall, our net insurance proceeds and our final determination of our required warranty accrual based on management s review and our actuary s analysis, we may have additional capacity under our warranty reserves or our insurance proceeds to absorb at least a portion of such costs, if any.

We are currently unable to reasonably estimate our total possible loss or exposure relating to Chinese drywall because, among other reasons: determining the number of homes that contain potentially defective Chinese drywall is difficult as we are still in the process of doing so; we did not directly purchase the drywall materials used to construct our Ft. Myers area homes, rather, our subcontractors purchased and provided that drywall; the possible means and related costs to remedy any defective conditions is still being evaluated; the group of other potentially responsible parties, including, but not limited to, manufacturers, subcontractors, retailers, wholesalers, distributors, and their legal obligations for the problem and remedy, together with their financial resources, have not been determined; and the extent of our insurance coverage for defective Chinese drywall resulting charges and related costs and claims is still being determined.

The outcome of our investigation and analysis may result in the need to establish additional warranty reserves; however, we do not currently expect the total charge to have a material adverse effect on our operations as we believe our exposure is limited due to the relatively limited number of our homes that appear to be impacted, our existing warranty reserves and available insurance.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements. In August 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update (ASU) 2009-05 to provide guidance on measuring the fair value of liabilities under ASC Subtopic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure*. This guidance is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after issuance. We do not expect the adoption of ASU 2009-05 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 105-10, *Generally Accepted Accounting Principles* (ASC 105-10). This Statement establishes FASB Accounting Standards Codification as the source of authoritative accounting principles to be applied by all non-governmental entities. ASC 105-10 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The adoption of this subtopic did not have a material impact on our financial records and only resulted in modifications in accounting references in our footnotes and disclosures.

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 810-10, *Consolidation* (ASC 810-10). This Statement amends prior guidance and revises accounting and reporting requirements for entities involvement with variable interest entities. The provisions of ASC 810-10 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009. We are currently evaluating what impact, if any, the adoption of the subtopic will have on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 860-10, *Transfers and Servicing* (ASC 860-10). This Statement amends prior guidance and revises accounting and reporting requirements for the transfers of financial assets, the transferor s continuing involvement (if any) in the transferred financial assets and how such transfers affect the transferor s financial position, financial performance and cash flows. The provisions of ASC 860-10 are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2009. We do not

believe the adoption of ASC 860-10 will have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 855-10, *Subsequent Events* (ASC 855-10), to establish general standards of accounting for and disclosure of events that occur after the balance sheet date but before financial statements are issued or are available to be issued. The new disclosure requirement is effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this subtopic resulted in additional quarterly disclosures only.

In April 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 825-10-50, *Financial Instruments* (ASC 825-10-50) to require disclosures about the fair value of financial instruments during interim reporting periods. The new disclosure requirements are effective for interim reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this subtopic resulted in additional quarterly disclosures only.

In April 2009, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 820-10, *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosures* (ASC 820-10), which provides further clarification for prior guidance regarding measurement of fair values of assets and liabilities when the market activity has significantly decreased and in identifying transactions that are not orderly. ASC 820-10 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of this subtopic did not have a material impact on our financial results.

Table of Contents

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 810-10, *Consolidation* (ASC 810-10). This statement amends prior guidance and revises accounting and reporting requirements for noncontrolling interests (formerly minority interests) in a subsidiary and for the deconsolidation of a subsidiary. Upon its adoption, noncontrolling interests will be classified as equity, and income attributed to the noncontrolling interest will be included in the Company s income. The provisions of this standard are applied retrospectively upon adoption. We adopted this pronouncement on January 1, 2009, and it did not have a material impact on our consolidated results.

In December 2007, the FASB issued ASC Subtopic 805-10, *Business Combinations* (ASC 805-10). ASC 805-10 clarifies and amends the accounting guidance for how an acquirer in a business combination recognizes and measures the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree. The provisions of ASC 805-10 are effective for us for any business combinations occurring on or after January 1, 2009, and the adoption did not have a material impact on our financial statements.

NOTE 2 REAL ESTATE AND CAPITALIZED INTEREST

Real estate consists of the following (in thousands):

	Se	At eptember 30, 2009	At December 31	1, 2008
Homes under contract under construction (1)	\$	191,699	\$	170,347
Unsold homes, completed and under construction (1)		67,917		158,378
Model homes (1)		37,552		48,608
Finished home sites and home sites under development		364,716		455,048
Land held for development or sale		56,554		26,924
	\$	718,438	\$	859,305

⁽¹⁾ Also includes the allocated land and land development costs associated with each lot for these homes.

As previously noted, each of our land inventory and related real estate assets is reviewed for recoverability when impairment indicators are present, as our inventory is considered long-lived in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Due to the current economic environment, we evaluate all of our real estate and joint venture assets for impairment on a quarterly basis. If an asset is deemed not recoverable, impairment charges are recorded if the fair value of such assets is less than their carrying amounts. Our determination of fair value is based on projections and estimates. Based on these reviews of all our communities, we recorded the following real-estate and joint-venture impairment charges during the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008 (in thousands):

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,		
		2009		2008		2009		2008
Terminated option/purchase contracts and related pre-acquisition costs:								
West	\$		\$	838	\$	5,922	\$	16,318
Central		3,22	4	5,441		59,213		7,625
East						544		1,303
Total	\$	3,22	4 \$	6,279	\$	65,679	\$	25,246
Real estate inventory impairments (1):								
West	\$	2,38	5 \$	10,681	\$	10,882	\$	40,644
Central	Ψ	2,30		19,755	Ψ	6,807	Ψ	34,966
East		2,19		4,234		3,575		12,954
Total	\$	7,13		34,670	\$	21,264	\$	88,564
Impairments of joint venture investments:								
West	\$	5.	5 \$	1,070	\$	274	\$	2,692
Central		2,55	5			2,556		14,762
East								3,305
Total	\$	2,61	1 \$	1,070	\$	2,830	\$	20,759
Impairments of land held for sale:								
West	\$		\$	2,843	\$	323	\$	8,394
Central	Ŷ	28		10,220	Ŷ	217	Ŷ	11,299
East			-	- • , •				,
Total	\$	28	1 \$	13,063	\$	540	\$	19,693
Total impairments:	¢	0.44	ი ი	15 422	¢	17 401	¢	69.049
West	\$	2,44		15,432	\$	17,401	\$	68,048
Central		8,51		35,416		68,793		68,652
East	¢	2,29		4,234	¢	4,119	¢	17,562
Total	\$	13,24	5 \$	55,082	\$	90,313	\$	154,262

(1) Included in the real estate inventory impairments are impairments of individual homes in a community where the underlying community was not also impaired, as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2009		2008		2009		2008
Individual home impairments (in thousands):								
West	\$	535	\$	7,713	\$	7,410	\$	26,259
Central		1,420		9,648		5,503		18,337

Edgar Filing: Meritage Homes CORP - Form 10-Q								
East 876 2,551 2,159 9,172							9,172	
Total	\$	2,831	\$	19,912 \$	15,072	\$	53,768	

The tables below reflect the number of communities with real estate inventory impairments for the three- and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, excluding home-specific impairments (as noted above) and the fair value of these communities as of September 30, 2009 and 2008 (dollars in thousands):

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2009									
	Number of Communities Impaired		pairment Charges	Fair Value of Communities Impaired (Carrying Value less Impairments)							
West	4	\$	1,850	\$	11,750						
Central	6		1,033		6,482						
East	3		1,416		9,505						
Total	13	\$	4,299	\$	27,737						

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2008								
	Number of Communities Impaired		pairment Charges		lue of Communities Impaired Yalue less Impairments)					
West	7	\$	2,968	\$	69,174					
Central	10		10,107		43,500					
East	3		1,683		15,631					
Total	20	\$	14,758	\$	128,305					

	Number of Communities Impaired	npairment Charges		alue of Communities Impaired Value less Impairments)
West	6	\$ 3,472	\$	12,599
Central	8	1,304		7,868
East	3	1,416		9,505
Total	17	\$ 6,192	\$	29,972

		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008									
	Number of Communities Impaired	I	mpairment Charges		Value of Communities Impaired ng Value less Impairments)						
West	20	\$	14,385	\$	161,526						
Central	27		16,629		108,904						
East	5		3,782		22,208						
Total	52	\$	34,796	\$	292,638						

Subject to sufficient qualifying assets, we capitalize interest incurred during the development and construction of real estate. All non-capitalized interest is expensed directly to the statement of operations during the periods incurred. Capitalized interest is allocated to qualified real estate assets as incurred and charged to the statement of operations as a component of closing costs. A summary of our capitalized interest is as follows (in thousands):

		Three Mon Septem	led	Nine Mont Septem	ed
		2009	2008	2009	2008
Capitalized interest, beginning of period	\$	19,584	\$ 36,835	\$ 29,779	\$ 41,396
Interest incurred		11,414	13,103	36,419	37,568
Interest expensed		(8,853)	(5,835)	(28,515)	(17,034)
Interest amortized to cost of home, land closings	5				
and impairments		(4,540)	(7,233)	(20,078)	(25,060)
Capitalized interest, end of period	\$	17,605	\$ 36,870	\$ 17,605	\$ 36,870

At September 30, 2009, approximately \$0.8 million of the capitalized interest is related to our joint venture investments and is a component of Investments in unconsolidated entities on our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

NOTE 3 - VARIABLE INTEREST ENTITIES AND CONSOLIDATED REAL ESTATE NOT OWNED

ASC Subtopic 810-10, *Consolidation*, requires the consolidation of entities in which an enterprise absorbs a majority of the entity s expected losses, or receives a majority of the entity s expected residual returns if no party absorbs the majority of expected losses, as a result of ownership, contractual or other financial interests in the entity.

Based on the provisions of the relevant accounting guidance, we have concluded that when we enter into an option or purchase agreement to acquire land or lots from an entity and pay a non-refundable deposit, a variable interest entity, or VIE, may be created because we are deemed to have provided subordinated financial support that will absorb some or all of an entity s expected losses if they occur. For each VIE created, we compute expected losses and residual returns based on the probability of future cash flows. If we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE, based on the entity s expected profits and losses and the cash flows associated with changes in the fair value of land under contract, we will consolidate the VIE in our financial statements and reflect such assets and liabilities as Real estate not owned. The liabilities related to consolidated VIEs are computed as the purchase price of the asset less the cash deposits for such land and are excluded from our debt covenant calculations.

We have developed a methodology to determine whether we are the primary beneficiary of the VIE. Part of this methodology requires the use of estimates in assigning probabilities to various future cash flow possibilities relative to changes in the fair value and in the development costs associated with the property. Although we believe that our accounting policy properly identifies our primary beneficiary status with these VIEs, changes in the probability and other estimates could produce different conclusions.

In most cases, creditors of the entities with which we have option agreements have no recourse against us and the maximum exposure to loss in our option agreements is limited to non-refundable option deposits and any capitalized pre-acquisition costs. Often, we are at risk for items over

budget related to land development on property we have under option. In these cases, we have contracted to complete development at a fixed cost on behalf of the land owner. Some of our option deposits may be refundable to us if certain contractual conditions are not performed by the party selling the lots.

The table below presents a summary of our lots under option or contract at September 30, 2009 (dollars in thousands):

	Number of Lots	Purchase Price	Option/Earn Money Depos Cash	sits	Letters of Credit
Option contracts recorded on balance sheet as real					
estate not owned (1), (2)	377	\$ 11,588	\$ 1,536 5	\$	
Option contracts not recorded on balance sheet					
non-refundable deposits, committed (1)	2,983	140,097	10,734		4,978
Purchase contracts not recorded on balance sheet					
non-refundable deposits, committed (1)	1,424	28,900	1,250		
Total committed (on and off balance sheet)	4,784	180,585	13,520		4,978
Option contracts not recorded on balance sheet					
non-refundable, uncommitted (1)(3)	250	3,120	50		
Purchase contracts not recorded on balance sheet					
refundable deposits, uncommitted (4)	134	10,344	275		
Total uncommitted	384	13,464	325		
Total lots under option or contracts	5,168	194,049	13,845		4,978
•	-,	. ,	,		
Total option contracts not recorded on balance sheet	4,791	\$ 182,461	\$ 12,309 (5)	\$	4,978

(1)

Deposits are non-refundable except if certain contractual conditions are not performed by the selling party.

(2) The purpose and nature of these consolidated lot option contracts (VIEs) is to provide us the option to purchase these lots in the future, in anticipation of building homes on these lots in the future. Specific performance contracts, if any, are included in this balance. All contracts that are not specific performance contracts are not considered to be firm contractual obligations.

(3) Although we have made minimal non-refundable deposits, we have not completed our acquisition evaluation process and we have not internally committed to purchase these lots.

(4) Deposits are refundable at our sole discretion. We have not completed our acquisition evaluation process and we have not internally committed to purchase these lots.

(5) Amount is reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheet in the line item Deposits on real estate under option or contract as of September 30, 2009.

Generally, our options to purchase lots remain effective so long as we purchase a pre-established minimum number of lots each month or quarter, as determined by the respective agreement. Although the pre-established number is typically structured to approximate our expected rate

of home construction starts, during a weakened homebuilding market, as we are currently experiencing, we may elect to purchase lots at an absorption level that exceeds our sales and home starts pace needed to meet the pre-established minimum number of lots or to restructure our original contract terms that more accurately reflect our current sales pace expectations.

NOTE 4 - INVESTMENTS IN UNCONSOLIDATED ENTITIES

Historically, we have entered into homebuilding and land development joint ventures as a means of accessing larger parcels of land and lot positions, expanding our market opportunities, managing our risk profile and leveraging our capital base. Based on the structure of these joint ventures, they may or may not be consolidated into our results. Our joint venture partners generally are other homebuilders, land sellers or other real estate investors. We generally do not have a controlling interest in these ventures, which means our joint venture partners could cause the venture to take actions we disagree with, or fail to take actions we believe should be undertaken, including the sale of the underlying property to repay debt or recoup all or part of the partners investments. As of September 30, 2009, we had three active land ventures. Due to the current homebuilding environment, although we view our involvement with land joint ventures to be beneficial, we do not view such involvement as critical to the success of our homebuilding operations.

We also participate in seven mortgage and title business joint ventures. The mortgage joint ventures are engaged in mortgage brokerage activities and they originate and provide services to both our clients and other homebuyers. The mortgages originated by these ventures are primarily funded by third-party mortgage lenders with limited recourse back to our joint ventures. Our investments in mortgage and title joint ventures as of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were \$1.2 million and \$1.4 million, respectively.

For land development joint ventures, we, and in some cases our joint venture partners, usually receive an option or other similar arrangement to purchase portions of the land held by the joint venture. Option prices are generally negotiated prices that approximate market value when we enter into the option contract. For these ventures, our share of the joint venture profit relating to lots we purchase from the joint ventures is deferred until homes are delivered by us and title passes to a homebuyer. Therefore, we allocate the portion of such joint venture profit to the land acquired by us as a reduction in the basis of the property.

Repayment Guarantees. We and/or our land development joint venture partners occasionally provide limited repayment guarantees on a pro rata basis on the debt of the land development joint ventures. If such a guarantee were ever to be called, the maximum exposure to Meritage would generally be only our pro-rata share of the amount of debt outstanding that was in excess of the fair value of the underlying land securing the debt. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our share of these limited pro rata repayment guarantees was approximately \$8.5 million, of which \$7.1 million are bad boy guarantees (see below for a discussion about bad boy guarantees); however, as the other joint venture partners could trigger such guarantees without our consent, we have classified this \$7.1 million as repayment guarantees.

Bad Boy Guarantees. In addition, we and/or our joint venture partners occasionally provide guarantees that are only applicable if and when the joint venture directly, or indirectly through agreement with its joint venture partners or other third parties, causes the joint venture to voluntarily file a bankruptcy or similar liquidation or reorganization action or take other actions that are fraudulent or improper (commonly referred to as bad boy guarantees). These types of guarantees typically are on a pro rata basis among the joint venture partners and are designed to protect the secured lender s remedies with respect to its mortgage or other secured lien on the joint venture or the joint venture s underlying property. To date, no such guarantees have been invoked and we believe that the actions that would trigger a guarantee would generally be disadvantageous to the joint venture and to us, and therefore are unlikely to occur; however, there can be no assurances that certain of our ventures will not elect to take actions that could trigger a bad boy guarantee, as it may be considered in their economic best interest to do so. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had outstanding guarantees of this type totaling approximately \$60.9 million and \$72.5 million, respectively. We believe these guarantees, as defined, unless invoked as described above, are not considered guarantees of indebtedness under our senior and senior subordinated indentures.

Other Guarantees. We and our joint venture partners are also typically obligated to the project lender(s) to complete construction of the land development improvements if the joint venture does not perform the required development. Provided we and the other joint venture partners are in compliance with these completion obligations, the project lenders are generally obligated to fund these improvements through any financing commitments available under the applicable joint venture development and construction loans. In addition, we and our joint venture partners have from time to time provided unsecured indemnities to joint venture project lenders. These indemnities generally obligate us to reimburse the project lenders only for claims and losses related to matters for which such lenders are held responsible and our exposure under these indemnities is limited to specific matters such as environmental claims. As part of our project acquisition due diligence process to determine potential environmental risks, we generally obtain, or the joint venture entity generally obtains, an independent environmental review. Per guidance of ASC Subtopic 460-10, *Guaranties*, we believe these other guarantees are either not applicable or not material to our financial results.

Surety Bonds. We and our joint venture partners also indemnify third party surety providers with respect to performance bonds issued on behalf of certain of our joint ventures. If a joint venture does not perform its obligations, the surety bond could be called. If these surety bonds are called and the joint venture fails to reimburse the surety, we and our joint venture partners would be obligated to make such payments. These surety indemnity arrangements are generally joint and several obligations with our joint venture partners. Although a majority of the required work may have been performed, these bonds are typically not released until all development specifications have been met. As of September 30, 2009, we had approximately \$1.6 million of surety bonds outstanding subject to these indemnity arrangements, of which approximately \$52,000 of work remains to be completed. At December 31, 2008, we had approximately \$2.4 million of surety bonds with \$0.5 million of work remaining to be completed. None of these bonds have been called to date and we believe it is unlikely that any of these bonds will be called or if called, that any such amounts would be material to us.

The joint venture obligations, guarantees and indemnities discussed above are generally provided by us or one or more of our subsidiaries. In joint ventures involving other homebuilders or developers, support for these obligations is generally provided by the parent companies of the joint venture partners. In connection with our periodic real estate impairment reviews, we may accrue for any such commitments where we believe our obligation to pay is probable and can be reasonably estimated. In such situations, our accrual represents the portion of the total joint venture obligation related to our relative ownership percentage. In the limited cases where our venture partners, some of whom are homebuilders or developers who may be experiencing financial difficulties as a result of current market conditions, may be unable to fulfill their pro rata share of a joint venture obligation, we may be fully responsible for these commitments if such commitments are joint and several. We continue to monitor these matters and reserve for these obligations if and when they become probable and can be reasonably estimated. As of September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we did not have any such reserves.

Summarized condensed financial information related to unconsolidated joint ventures that are accounted for using the equity method follows (in thousands):

	Septemb	At oer 30, 2009	At December 31, 2008
Assets:			
Cash	\$	7,875	\$ 6,817
Real estate		510,058	528,433
Other assets		4,731	11,356
Total assets	\$	522,664	\$ 546,606
Liabilities and equity:			
Accounts payable and other liabilities	\$	8,308	\$ 11,166
Notes and mortgages payable		359,563	381,228
Equity of:			
Meritage (1)		37,328	47,871
Others		117,465	106,341
Total liabilities and equity	\$	522,664	\$ 546,606

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,			
		2009		2008	2009		2008
Revenues	\$	6,527	\$	5,993 \$	14,510	\$	17,614
Costs and expenses		(24,552)		(3,698)	(28,796)		(11,200)
Net (loss)/earnings of unconsolidated entities	\$	(18,025)	\$	2,295	(14,286)	\$	6,414
Meritage s share of pre-tax (loss)/earnings							
(2) (3)	\$	(3,259)	\$	2,392 \$	(1,162)	\$	6,463

(1) Balance represents Meritage s interest, as reflected in the financial records of the respective joint ventures. This balance may differ from the balance reflected in our condensed consolidated balance sheets due to the following reconciling items: (i) timing differences for revenue and distributions recognition, (ii) step-up basis and corresponding amortization, (iii) income deferrals as discussed in Note (3) below and (iv) differences in timing or amounts of joint-venture asset impairments recorded by us and the joint venture.

(2) The joint venture financial statements above represent the most recent information available to us. For joint ventures where we have impaired our investment, the joint venture partners may have not yet reached a consensus or finalized the write-down amount or reached that conclusion in a different accounting period than us and, therefore, the financial statements of the ventures may not yet reflect any real estate impairment charges or reflect them in a different quarter or fiscal year. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, we recorded \$2.6 million and \$2.8 million, respectively, of such impairments. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2008, we recorded \$1.1 million and \$20.8 million, respectively, of impairments related to our joint venture investments. The majority of the 2009 losses reported by the joint venture is related to one joint venture that had disposed of its land holdings through a trustee sale during the current quarter. Our investment in this joint venture was fully impaired during 2007. As our portion of pre-tax earnings is recorded on the accrual basis and included both actual earnings reported to us as well as accrued expected earnings for the period noted above not yet provided to us by our joint venture partners, our relative portion of total net earnings of the unconsolidated joint ventures in the table may reflect a different time frame than that represented by the joint venture financials. See Note 2, Real Estate and Capitalized Interest , for detail of our joint venture-related impairments.

(3) Our share of pre-tax earnings is recorded in (Loss)/earnings from unconsolidated entities, net on our consolidated statements of operations and excludes joint venture profit related to lots we purchased from the joint ventures. Such profit is deferred until homes are delivered by us and title passes to a homebuyer.

Our investments in unconsolidated entities includes \$1.4 million and \$1.6 million at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively, related to the difference between the amounts at which our investments are carried and the amount of underlying equity in net assets. These amounts are amortized as the assets of the respective joint ventures are sold. We wrote off approximately \$0.5 million of such investments in the first nine months of 2009 with no such write offs during 2008. We also amortized approximately \$71,000 and \$104,000 of these assets in the first nine months of 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Table of Contents

Of the balance of joint venture assets and liabilities, \$464.9 million and \$338.3 million, respectively, relate to three joint ventures in which we have interests ranging from 20% - 50%. Of our bad boy debt guarantees, the entire \$60.9 million is related to one of these ventures. These ventures own assets in difficult markets and are currently in default of their debt agreements. Although we and our joint venture partners continue to work with the respective lenders to renegotiate the debt or reach other satisfactory alternatives, all debt for these ventures is non-recourse to the partners and the investment in these ventures has been fully impaired as of September 30, 2009. At this time we believe there is limited exposure to us from these investments.

The other venture assets and liabilities noted in the table above primarily represent our other active land ventures, financial ventures and various inactive ventures in which we have a total investment of \$12.3 million. As of September 30, 2009, the debt of these ventures is in compliance with their respective agreements, and except for \$1.4 million of our limited repayment guarantees, the debt is non-recourse to us. These ventures have no bad boy guarantees.

In addition to joint ventures accounted for under the equity method summarized in the above table, our investments in unconsolidated entities include two joint ventures recorded under the cost method. These joint ventures were formed to acquire large parcels of land, to perform off-site development work and to sell lots to the joint venture members and other third parties. Our ownership percentage in these ventures is between 3% - 4%. Both ventures have been notified of default events on their debt, and one has since dispossessed its assets in a trustee sale and, accordingly, its debt was extinguished. The lenders of both ventures have filed suit against the joint ventures and/or their members alleging liability under the completion guarantees executed severally by each of the members and their parent companies, including Meritage. We dispute the allegations contained in each of the lawsuits and intend to vigorously defend our position that no amounts are due under these completion guarantees. We continue to believe that the debt obligations of the remaining venture with land holdings are non-recourse to the partners and are only payable by the partners if a bad boy guarantee is triggered. We have fully impaired our investment in these joint ventures as of September 30, 2009. As of December 31, 2008, we had fully impaired our investment in the joint venture that has lost its property to foreclosure and had an investment balance of \$0.9 million in the other venture. The one venture that still has land holdings and corresponding debt has a \$7.1 million bad boy guaranty that could be triggered upon events beyond our control and, accordingly, is reported as a limited repayment guaranty.

At September 30, 2009, our total investment in unconsolidated joint ventures of \$12.3 million is comprised primarily of \$11.7 million in our Central Region, \$0.2 million in our West Region and \$0.1 million in our East Region. At December 31, 2008, our total investment in unconsolidated joint ventures of \$17.3 million is primarily comprised of \$15.9 million in our Central Region and \$1.1 million in our West Region.

NOTE 5 - LOANS PAYABLE AND OTHER BORROWINGS

In September 2009, we voluntarily terminated our Senior Unsecured Credit Facility (the Credit Facility). There were no penalties or costs associated with the termination, although we recorded a non-cash charge in the third quarter of 2009 of approximately \$800,000 to write off previously capitalized origination fees that were scheduled to amortize through May 2011. Prior to the date of termination, we were in compliance with all of the covenants, limitations and restrictions of the Credit Facility.

On the effective date of the termination, we had letters of credit outstanding under the Credit Facility totaling approximately \$19 million. In connection with the Credit Facility termination, we entered into secured letter of credit arrangements with the three banks that had issued outstanding letters of credit under the Credit Facility. The aggregate capacity of these secured letters of credit facilities is approximately \$53 million, of which \$18.6 million is currently outstanding and is reflected as restricted cash on our consolidated balance sheets, representing the

collateral deposits with and pledges to the issuing banks.

In May 2009, we had amended our Credit Facility, reducing the availability to \$150 million from \$500 million.

NOTE 6 - SENIOR AND SENIOR SUBORDINATED NOTES

Senior and senior subordinated notes consist of the following (in thousands):

	Septe	At ember 30, 2009	D	At ecember 31, 2008
7.0% senior notes due 2014. At September 30, 2009, and December 31, 2008, there was				
approximately \$41 and \$47 in unamortized premium, respectively	\$	130,041	\$	130,047
6.25% senior notes due 2015. At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, there was				
approximately \$0.9 and \$1.1 million in unamortized discount, respectively		349,052		348,921
7.731% senior subordinated notes due 2017		125,875		150,000
	\$	604,968	\$	628,968

Table of Contents

The indentures for our senior and senior subordinated notes contain covenants that require maintenance of certain levels of tangible net worth and compliance with certain minimum financial ratios, place limitations on the payment of dividends and redemptions of equity, and limit the incurrence of additional indebtedness, asset dispositions, mergers, certain investments and creations of liens, among other items. As of and for the quarter ended September 30, 2009, we were in compliance with our covenants.

Obligations to pay principal and interest on the senior and senior subordinated notes are guaranteed by all of our subsidiaries (collectively, the Guarantor Subsidiaries), each of which is directly or indirectly 100% owned by Meritage Homes Corporation. Such guarantees are full and unconditional, and joint and several. We do not provide separate financial statements of the Guarantor Subsidiaries because Meritage (the parent company) has no independent assets or operations, the guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several and there are no non-guarantor subsidiaries. There are no significant restrictions on the ability of the Company or any Guarantor Subsidiary to obtain funds from their respective subsidiaries, as applicable, by dividend or loan.

During the first two quarters of 2009, we retired \$24.1 million of our 7.731% senior subordinated notes maturing in 2017 by issuing approximately 783,000 shares of our common stock in a privately negotiated transaction. The transaction was completed at an average discount of 41% from the face value of the notes, resulting in a net \$9.4 million gain on early extinguishment of debt which is reflected in our statement of operations for the nine-month period ending September 30, 2009. There were no such transactions during the three months ending September 30, 2008.

NOTE 7 FAIR VALUE DISCLOSURES

Effective January 1, 2009, we adopted ASC 820-10 *Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure* for non-recurring fair value measurements of our non-financial assets and liabilities. This guidance defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. This standard establishes a three-level hierarchy for fair value measurements based upon the significant inputs used to determine fair value. Observable inputs are those which are obtained from market participants external to the company while unobservable inputs are generally developed internally, utilizing management s estimates, assumptions and specific knowledge of the assets/liabilities and related markets. The three levels are defined as follows:

Level 1 Valuation is based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets and liabilities.

• Level 2 Valuation is determined from quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active, or by model-based techniques in which all significant inputs are observable in the market.

• Level 3 Valuation is derived from model-based techniques in which at least one significant input is unobservable and based on the company s own estimates about the assumptions that market participants would use to value the asset or liability.

If the only observable inputs are from inactive markets or for transactions which the company evaluates as distressed , the use of Level 1 inputs should be modified by the company to properly address these factors, or the reliance of such inputs may be limited, with a greater weight attributed to Level 3 inputs.

A summary of our assets re-measured at fair value on September 30, 2009 is as follows (in thousands):

	As of September 30,			Fair Value Measurements of Reporting Date Using						
	2009			Level 1	Level 2	Level 3				
Description:										
Long-lived assets held and used	\$	49,013	\$	\$	\$	49,013				
Joint Venture investments	\$		\$	\$	\$					

During the three months ended September 30, 2009, long-lived assets and joint venture investments with an initial basis of \$53.7 million were impaired and written down to their fair value of \$49.0 million, resulting in an impairment of \$4.7 million, which is included in our consolidated statement of operations for the quarter ended September 30, 2009.

Financial Instruments. The value of our fixed-rate debt is derived from quoted market prices by independent dealers.

The estimated fair value of our 7.0% senior notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$125.8 and \$78.7 million, respectively. The aggregate principal amount of these notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$130.0 million.

Table of Contents

The estimated fair value of our 6.25% senior notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$330.8 and \$197.8 million, respectively. The aggregate principal amount of these notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$350.0 million.

The estimated fair value of our 7.731% senior subordinated notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$120.4 and \$71.2 million, respectively. The aggregate principal amount of these notes at September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 was \$125.9 million and \$150.0 million, respectively.

Due to the short-term nature of other financial assets and liabilities, we consider the carrying amounts of our other short-term financial instruments to approximate fair value.

NOTE 8 LOSS PER SHARE

Basic and diluted loss per common share is presented in conformity with ASC 260-10, *Earnings per Share*. The following table presents the calculation of basic and diluted loss per common share (in thousands, except per share amounts):

	Three Mon Septem	 	Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2009	2008	2009		2008	
Basic weighted average number of shares outstanding	31,718	30,690	31,197		28,872	
Effect of dilutive securities:						
Stock options and restricted stock (1)						
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	31,718	30,690	31,197		28,872	
Net loss	\$ (17,785)	\$ (144,013) \$	(109,742)	\$	(212,786)	
Basic loss and diluted loss per share (1)	\$ (0.56)	\$ (4.69) \$	(3.52)	\$	(7.37)	
Total antidilutive stock options outstanding not included in the calculation of diluted loss per						
share	1,704	2,300	1,704		2,300	

(1) For periods with a net loss, basic weighted average shares outstanding are used for diluted calculations as required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States because all options and non-vested shares outstanding are considered anti-dilutive.

NOTE 9 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

We have two stock compensation plans (together, the Plans). The Plans, which have been amended from time to time, were approved by our stockholders and are administered by our Board of Directors. The Plans authorize awards to officers, key employees, non-employee directors and consultants for up to 7,500,000 shares of common stock, of which 958,934 shares remain available for grant at September 30, 2009. We believe that such awards provide a means of performance-based compensation to attract and retain qualified employees and better align the interests of our employees with those of our stockholders. Option awards are generally granted with an exercise price equal to the closing market price of Meritage stock on the date of grant, a five-year ratable vesting period and a seven-year contractual term.

The fair values of option awards are estimated using a Black-Scholes option pricing model using the following assumptions:

		Nine Months Ended September 30,						
	20)09	2008					
Expected volatility		86.51%	55.36%					
Expected dividends		0%	0%					
Expected term (in years)		3.68	4.50					
Risk-free interest rate		1.60%	3.06%					
Weighted average grant date fair value of options granted	\$	8.69 \$	8.03					

Table of Contents

As of September 30, 2009, we had \$9.6 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based compensation arrangements granted under the Plans that will be recognized on a straight-line basis over the remaining respective vesting periods a weighted-average period of 3.32 years. For the three months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, our total stock-based compensation expense was \$2.2 million (\$1.4 million net of tax) and \$2.5 million (\$1.9 million net of tax), respectively. For the nine months ended September 30, 2009 and 2008, our total stock-based compensation expense was \$4.5 million (\$2.8 million net of tax) and \$4.0 million (\$2.7 million net of tax), respectively. We granted 361,500 options and 234,000 non-vested shares during the first nine months of 2009. We also granted an additional 202,500 non-vested shares that will only vest if certain performance criteria are met. The expense associated with these performance-based non-vested shares will only be recognized when it is determined to be likely that the target performance thresholds will be met and the shares will vest.

NOTE 10 - INCOME TAXES

Components of the income tax provision are (in thousands):

	Three Mo Septen	nths End nber 30,	led	Nine Months Ended September 30,				
	2009		2008	2009	2008			
Federal	\$ 138	\$	81,270	\$ 1,916	\$	45,810		
State	8		1,161	24		450		
Total	\$ 146	\$	82,431	\$ 1,940	\$	46,260		

Our unrecognized tax benefits were \$3.0 million at September 30, 2009 and include interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions. There have been no material changes in unrecognized tax benefits during the quarter ended September 30, 2009. The total amount of interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions included in income tax expense for the three months ended September 30, 2009 was \$37,000 of interest accrued on continuing positions. We currently have approximately \$2.3 million in unrecognized tax benefits related to the deduction of executive compensation that will be affected by expiring statutes of limitations within the next twelve months.

In accordance with ASC 740-10, *Income Taxes*, we evaluate our deferred tax assets, including the benefit from net operating losses (NOLs), to determine if a valuation allowance is required. Companies must assess whether a valuation allowance should be established based on the consideration of all available evidence using a more likely than not standard with significant weight being given to evidence that can be objectively verified. This assessment considers, among other matters, the nature, frequency and severity of current and cumulative losses, forecasts of future profitability, the length of statutory carryforward periods, our experience with operating losses and our experience of utilizing tax credit carryforwards and tax planning alternatives. Given the downturn in the homebuilding industry over the past several years, the degree of the economic recession, the instability and deterioration of the financial markets, and the resulting uncertainty in projections of our future taxable income, we recorded a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets during 2008. We have determined that the weight of the negative evidence continues to exceed that of the positive evidence and that it is more likely than not that we will not be able to utilize all of our deferred tax assets. Therefore, we continue to maintain a full valuation allowance on our deferred tax asset balance by recording additional valuation reserves against any tax benefit from NOLs, as there is no available taxable income to offset our losses in the two-year carryback period under current law.

At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had a valuation allowance of \$168.6 million and \$127.1 million, respectively, against deferred tax assets which include the tax benefit from NOL carryovers. Our future deferred tax asset realization depends on sufficient taxable income in the carryforward periods under existing tax laws, which currently would allow us to offset future taxable income generated through

2029. On an ongoing basis, we will continue to review all available information to determine if and when we expect to realize our deferred tax assets and NOL carryovers.

At September 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, the income tax receivable of \$2.1 million and \$111.5 million, respectively, consists of net tax refunds that we expect to receive within one year. We collected \$107.7 million of our December 31, 2008 receivable in the first half of 2009.

We conduct business and are subject to tax in the U.S. and several states. With few exceptions, we are no longer subject to U.S. federal, state, or local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2005. In 2008, the IRS commenced an audit of our consolidated U.S. tax return and refund claim for 2007. The audit is still in progress and there are no adjustments to report at this time. During the first quarter of 2009, the State of California commenced an audit of our 2005 and 2006 California tax returns. The audit is complete and, as a result, we made a nominal payment for additional taxes and interest.

At our Special Meeting of Stockholders held on February 16, 2009, our stockholders approved an amendment to our Articles of Incorporation that will help preserve the value of our NOLs and our ability to use our NOLs to offset future taxable income. The amendment restricts certain transfers of our common stock in order to avoid the limitations imposed by Internal Revenue Code (the Code) §382, which addresses the use of NOL carryforwards subsequent to an ownership change, as defined by that Code Section.

NOTE 11 - SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The following presents certain supplemental cash flow information (in thousands):

	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
		2009		2008		
Cash paid during the period for:						
Interest, net of interest capitalized	\$	27,935	\$	38,022		
Non-cash operating activities increase:						
Real estate not owned	\$	5,826	\$	599		
Non-cash investing activities:						
Distributions from unconsolidated entities	\$	261	\$	7,580		
Non-cash financing activities:						
Equity issued for debt extinguishment	\$	14,312	\$			
Changes in model home lease program	\$		\$	(18,281)		

NOTE 12 OPERATING AND REPORTING SEGMENTS

As defined in ASC 280-10, *Segment Disclosures*, we have six operating segments (the states in which we have actively selling communities). We have aggregated our operating segments into three reporting segments based on similar long-term economic characteristics and geographical proximity. Our reporting segments are as follows:

West:	California and Nevada
Central:	Texas, Arizona and Colorado
East:	Florida

Management s evaluation of segment performance is based on segment operating (loss)/income, which we define as homebuilding and land revenue less cost of home construction, commissions and other sales costs, land development and other land sales costs and other costs incurred by or allocated to each segment, including impairments. Each reportable segment follows the same accounting policies described in Note 1,

Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K. Operating results for each segment may not be indicative of the results for such segment had it been an independent, stand-alone entity. The following is our segment information (in thousands):

	Three Mon Septem	 led		Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2009	2008	2009		2008		
Revenue (1):							
West	\$ 26,954	\$ 71,587 \$	s 99,766	\$	242,594		
Central	192,246	276,450	548,885		805,995		
East	12,616	26,729	35,842		74,904		
Consolidated total	231,816	374,766	684,493		1,123,493		
Operating (loss)/income (2):							
West	(4,307)	(20,272)	(23,053)	(83,079)		
Central	2,661	(28,058)	(52,466)	(31,059)		
East	(2,620)	(5,724)	(4,963)	(16,896)		
Segment operating loss	(4,266)	(54,054)	(80,482)	(131,034)		
Corporate and unallocated (3)	(5,483)	(6,785)	(16,057)	(11,202)		
(Loss)/earnings from unconsolidated entities,							
net	(899)	1,322	1,350		(14,296)		
Interest expense	(8,853)	(5,835)	(28,515)	(17,034)		
Other income, net	1,862	3,770	6,512		7,040		
Gain on extinguishment of debt, net of							
transaction costs			9,390				
Loss before income taxes	\$ (17,639)	\$ (61,582) \$	6 (107,802) \$	(166,526)		

	At September 30, 2009									
		West		Central		East		rporate and allocated (4)		Total
Deposits on real estate under option or										
contract	\$	481	\$	11,657	\$	171	\$		\$	12,309
Real estate		143,638		538,174		36,626				718,438
Investments in unconsolidated entities		260		11,726		79		246		12,311
Other assets		10,990		58,788		4,983		365,820		440,581
Total assets	\$	155,369	\$	620,345	\$	41,859	\$	366,066	\$	1,183,639

	At December 31, 2008									
		West Central				East Corporate and Unallocated (4)			Total	
Deposits on real estate under option or										
contract	\$	268	\$	49,944	\$	1,446	\$		\$	51,658
Real estate		184,437		631,015		43,853				859,305
Investments in unconsolidated entities		1,157		15,659		200		272		17,288
Other assets		9,264		54,529		2,247		331,958		397,998
Total assets	\$	195,126	\$	751,147	\$	47,746	\$	332,230	\$	1,326,249

(1) Revenue includes the following land closing revenue, by segment (in thousands): three months ended September 30, 2008 - \$1,859 in Central Region; nine months ended September 30, 2009 - \$1,285 in the Central Region; nine months ended September 30, 2008 - \$63 in the West Region and \$4,944 in Central Region.

(2) See Note 2 of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for a breakout of real estate-related impairments by region.

(3) Balance consists primarily of corporate costs and shared service functions such as finance, legal and treasury that are not allocated to the reporting segments.

(4) Balance consists primarily of cash and other corporate assets not allocated to the reporting segments.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Overview, Industry Outlook and Company Actions

During the first three quarters of 2009, our operations continued to be impacted by the economic recession resulting in difficult year-over-year comparisons of our operational results. Competition for home buyers remained intense due to an excess supply of re-sale and foreclosure homes on the market. In addition, the selection of mortgage financing product is still limited and underwriting standards are more restrictive. Therefore, even though home affordability has significantly improved over the past several years, benefiting from both low prices and low interest rates, we have not yet seen a significant market recovery, although we are beginning to see signs of stabilization in many of our markets as our sales pace strengthened during the spring selling season, an early indicator of a potential shift in consumer confidence. Furthermore, our home orders increased 8.4% in the current quarter as compared to the same period in the prior year and our absorptions per active community increased, with 6.5 sales per community for the third quarter of 2009 as compared to 4.8 in the third quarter of 2008. We believe this improvement indicates bottoming of the housing market and the beginning of a recovery. We reduced our active community count by 21.7%, or 45 communities, over a year ago. Therefore, although our average sales per community during the first nine months of 2009 was 19.0, almost flat with 19.3 for the prior comparable period, our home orders declined 21.6% for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 as compared to the prior year.

During 2009, we continued to focus on our goals to return to profitability, generate positive cash flow and strengthen our balance sheet. We grew our cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash balance to \$365.6 million at September 30, 2009, including the collection of \$107.7 million in income tax refunds in the first three quarters of 2009. We also increased gross margins excluding impairments to 14.5%, a record-high for the past eight quarters. Additionally, we have begun to rebuild our lot positions with well located low-cost lots to supplement and replace our older communities as they close out. We believe our strategy provides us with flexibility given the current difficult market conditions but also allows us to take advantage of unique opportunities to continue to purchase deeply-discounted lots in select markets.

During this downturn, we have conducted an in-depth market review of each one of our submarkets and have repositioned and redesigned much of our product to increase affordability to appeal to customers at lower price points. Our lower cost structure is enabling us, in most cases, to decrease the selling price of these new homes below the FHA pricing cap to successfully compete with foreclosures. We are designing smaller and more efficient floor plans, reducing or eliminating certain standard features from our base home models to re-align them with current market demands and reducing the number of floor plans offered, while continuing to provide a wide selection of upgrade options, allowing our customers to personalize their new homes with the features they consider most important. All of our divisions have been working with their trades to achieve additional price concessions through both materials and labor bid renegotiations, but also through reviews of our entire construction cycle, including even-flow scheduling and process improvement initiatives.

To appeal to our target customers in the first-time and first-time move-up demographic, we are also planning to temporarily increase our spec starts to ensure we have a sufficient supply of completed homes for buyers looking for an immediate move-in. Approximately half of our sales during the third quarter of 2009 were from spec sales, which contributed to the decline in our unsold inventory to \$67.9 million, or 407 homes, at September 30, 2009, as compared to \$158.4 million at December 31, 2008, comprised of 768 homes. During the current quarter, the decline in our unsold inventory was partly due to the demand for our started unsold homes as they were being sold faster than we were starting them, as well as our improved cycle times, which allow us to maintain a lower level of inventory to meet demand as we are able to turn the inventory faster.

Total home closing revenue was \$231.8 and \$683.2 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009, respectively, decreasing 37.8% and 38.9% from the same periods last year, due to slower sales and closings volumes, lower average selling prices and a planned decrease in our actively-selling communities. Net loss for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2009 decreased \$126.2 and \$103.0 million to a loss of \$(17.8) and \$(109.7) million, respectively. The quarter-over-quarter net loss improvement is primarily due to a reduced level of impairments, with \$13.2 million (pre-tax) of real estate-related impairments recorded in the third quarter of 2009 as compared to \$55.1 million in the same period of 2008. Impairments for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 were \$90.3 million as compared to \$154.3 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2009 include a \$55.4 million write-off related to the termination of our last significant optioned project. The project in North Phoenix was no longer projected to generate profits sufficient to justify the additional investment needed to continue development.

At September 30, 2009, our backlog of \$404.8 million reflects a decrease of 34.0% or \$208.1 million when compared to the backlog at September 30, 2008 but improved \$22.5 million from our June 30, 2009 balance of \$382.3 million and has improved sequentially each quarter since December 31, 2008. The year-over-year decreases are due to the declines in demand and average sales price which decreased from \$270,100 at September 30, 2008 to \$241,500 at September 30, 2009. The price decreases are due to both the continued downward pressure on pricing as well as the execution of our strategy to re-align our product offerings to target the entry-level and first-time move-up payment levels. In the third quarter of 2009, our cancellation rate on sales orders improved to 20% of gross orders, back to our historical average, as compared to 40% in the same period a year ago.

Critical Accounting Policies

The accounting policies we deem most critical to us and that involve the most difficult, subjective or complex judgments include revenue recognition, real estate, warranty reserves, off-balance-sheet arrangements, valuation of deferred tax assets and share-based payments. There have been no significant changes to our critical accounting policies during the nine months ended September 30, 2009 compared to those disclosed in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, included in our 2008 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The tables below present operating and financial data that we consider most critical to managing our operations (dollars in thousands):

Home Closing Revenue

		Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Mon Septem	ed	
		2009	<i>.</i>	2008		2009	,	2008
Total								
Dollars	\$	231,816	\$	372,907	\$	683,208	\$	1,118,486
Homes closed		1,015		1,423		2,837		4,139
Average sales price	\$	228.4	\$	262.1	\$	240.8	\$	270.2
West Region								
California								
Dollars	\$	20,319	\$	52,530	\$	76,042	\$	187,357
Homes closed		62		131		218		456
Average sales price	\$	327.7	\$	401.0	\$	348.8	\$	410.9
Nevada	<u>,</u>		.	10.055	<i>.</i>		<i>.</i>	
Dollars	\$	6,635	\$	19,057	\$	23,724	\$	55,174
Homes closed	^	33	<i>.</i>	71	<i>•</i>	112	<i>.</i>	205
Average sales price	\$	201.1	\$	268.4	\$	211.8	\$	269.1
West Region Totals	^		<i>.</i>		<i>•</i>	00 - 44	<i>.</i>	
Dollars	\$	26,954	\$	71,587	\$	99,766	\$	242,531
Homes closed	<i>.</i>	95	٨	202		330	<i>•</i>	661
Average sales price	\$	283.7	\$	354.4	\$	302.3	\$	366.9
Central Region								
Arizona Dollars	¢	38,617	¢	75,226	¢	111,063	¢	205,094
	\$		\$	75,226	\$		\$	
Homes closed	¢	213	¢	253.3	\$	563	¢	772 265.7
Average sales price Texas	\$	181.3	\$	255.5	\$	197.3	\$	205.7
Dollars	¢	142 607	¢	186,023	¢	402 525	¢	560,634
Homes closed	\$	142,697 611	\$	783	\$	403,535 1.679	\$	2,311
	\$	233.5	\$	237.6	\$	240.3	\$	2,311 242.6
Average sales price Colorado	ð	255.5	Ф	257.0	Э	240.5	Э	242.0
Dollars	\$	10,932	\$	13,342	\$	33,002	\$	35,323
Homes closed	φ	36	φ	13,342	φ	105	φ	101
Average sales price	\$	303.7	\$	360.6	\$	314.3	\$	349.7
Central Region Totals	Ψ	505.7	ψ	500.0	ψ	514.5	ψ	549.7
Dollars	\$	192,246	\$	274,591	\$	547,600	\$	801,051
Homes closed	Ψ	860	ψ	1,117	ψ	2,347	ψ	3,184
Average sales price	\$	223.5	\$	245.8	\$	233.3	\$	251.6
Average sales price	ψ	223.3	Ψ	245.0	Ψ	255.5	Ψ	231.0
East Region								
Florida								
Dollars	\$	12,616	\$	26,729	\$	35,842	\$	74,904
Homes closed		60	*	104		160	<i>.</i>	294
Average sales price	\$	210.3	\$	257.0	\$	224.0	\$	254.8

Home Orders

		Three Mor Septem		ed	Nine Months Ended September 30,					
		2009		2008		2009		2008		
Total										
Dollars	\$	254,347	\$	254,381	\$	749,963	\$	1,061,394		
Homes ordered		1,098		1,013		3,232		4,120		
Average sales price	\$	231.6	\$	251.1	\$	232.0	\$	257.6		
West Region										
California										
Dollars	\$	40,483	\$	32,768	\$	93,688	\$	177,913		
Homes ordered		130		85		287		451		
Average sales price	\$	311.4	\$	385.5	\$	326.4	\$	394.5		
Nevada		< <a>=			.	10 7 10	•			
Dollars	\$	6,637	\$	11,780	\$	19,549	\$	50,833		
Homes ordered	<i>.</i>	33	٨	41	¢	99	¢	193		
Average sales price	\$	201.1	\$	287.3	\$	197.5	\$	263.4		
West Region Totals	¢.	47.100	¢	44.540	¢	112 227	¢	220 746		
Dollars	\$	47,120	\$	44,548	\$	113,237	\$	228,746		
Homes ordered	¢	163	¢	126	¢	386	¢	644		
Average sales price	\$	289.1	\$	353.6	\$	293.4	\$	355.2		
Central Region										
Arizona										
Dollars	\$	40,490	\$	49,314	\$	119,295	\$	170,216		
Homes ordered	φ	212	φ	220	φ	621	φ	765		
Average sales price	\$	191.0	\$	220	\$	192.1	\$	222.5		
Texas	ψ	191.0	ψ	224.2	ψ	172,1	ψ	222.3		
Dollars	\$	134,948	\$	145,463	\$	431.725	\$	581,280		
Homes ordered	Ψ	597	ψ	609	Ψ	1,899	Ψ	2,410		
Average sales price	\$	226.0	\$	238.9	\$	227.3	\$	2,110		
Colorado	Ψ	220.0	Ψ	250.7	Ψ	227.5	Ψ	211.2		
Dollars	\$	10,342	\$	7,943	\$	32,910	\$	35,493		
Homes ordered	Ŷ	35	Ŷ	25	Ŷ	107	Ŷ	102		
Average sales price	\$	295.5	\$	317.7	\$	307.6	\$	348.0		
Central Region Totals										
Dollars	\$	185,780	\$	202,720	\$	583,930	\$	786,989		
Homes ordered		844		854		2,627		3,277		
Average sales price	\$	220.1	\$	237.4	\$	222.3	\$	240.2		
East Region										
Florida										
Dollars	\$	21,447	\$	7,113	\$	52,796	\$	45,659		
Homes ordered		91		33		219		199		
Average sales price	\$	235.7								