PLAINS ALL AMERICAN PIPELINE LP Form 8-K February 24, 2005

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

# FORM 8-K

#### CURRENT REPORT Pursuant to Section 13 OR 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported) February 24, 2005

# Plains All American Pipeline, L.P.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

<b>DELAWARE</b> (State or other jurisdiction	1-14569 (Commission File	<b>76-0582150</b> (IRS Employer				
of incorporation)	Number)	Identification No.)				
333 Clay Street, Suite 1600, Houston, Texas 77002						
(Address	of principal executive offices) (Z	ip Code)				
Registrant s telephone number, including area code 713-646-4100						

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report.)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions:

- o Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- o Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- o Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

#### Item 2.02 and 7.01. Regulation FD Disclosure; Results of Operations and Financial Condition

Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. (the Partnership ) today issued a press release reporting its fourth quarter and annual 2004 results. The Partnership is furnishing the press release, attached as Exhibit 99.1, pursuant to Item 2.02 and Item 7.01 of Form 8-K. The Partnership is also furnishing pursuant to Item 7.01 its projections of certain operating and financial results for the first quarter of 2005 and modifying certain aspects of our preliminary guidance for financial performance for the full year of calendar 2005. In accordance with General Instruction B.2. of Form 8-K, the information presented herein under Item 2.02 and Item 7.01 shall not be deemed filed for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Act of 1934, as amended, nor shall it be deemed incorporated by reference in any filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such a filing.

#### Item 9.01. Financial Statements and Exhibits

(c) Exhibit 99.1 Press Release dated February 24, 2005

#### Disclosure of First Quarter 2005 Estimates; Update of Full Year 2005 Guidance

EBIT and EBITDA (each as defined below in Note 1 to the Operating and Financial Guidance table) are non-GAAP financial measures. Net income and cash flows from operating activities are the most directly comparable GAAP measures for EBIT and EBITDA. In Note 11 below, we reconcile EBITDA and EBIT to net income for the periods presented. We also encourage you to visit our website at www.paalp.com, in particular the section entitled Non-GAAP Reconciliation, which presents a historical reconciliation of certain commonly used non-GAAP financial measures, including EBIT and EBITDA. We present EBIT and EBITDA because we believe they provide additional information with respect to both the performance of our fundamental business activities and our ability to meet our future debt service, capital expenditures and working capital requirements. We also believe that debt holders commonly use EBITDA to analyze partnership performance. In addition, we have highlighted the impact on EBITDA, Net Income and Net Income per Limited Partner Unit of our long-term incentive program and potential future revaluations of foreign currency.

The following guidance for the three months ending March 31, 2005 and twelve months ending December 31, 2005 is based on assumptions and estimates that we believe are reasonable given our assessment of historical trends, business cycles and currently available information. However, our assumptions and future performance are both subject to a wide range of business risks and uncertainties and also include projections for several recent acquisitions, so no assurance can be provided that actual performance will fall within the guidance ranges. Please refer to the information under the caption Forward-Looking Statements and Associated Risks below. These risks and uncertainties could cause our actual results to differ materially from those in the following table. The operating and financial guidance provided below is given as of the date hereof, based on information known to us as of February 23, 2005. We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements.

#### Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. Operating and Financial Guidance (in millions, except per unit data)

Guidance(1) Three Months Ended March 31, 2005 Low High			Twelve Months December 31, 2 Low	
Pipeline		8		8
Net revenues	\$ 87.5	\$ 89.5	\$ 359.8	\$ 365.3
Field operating costs	(34.5)	(33.5)	(140.7)	(138.8)
General and administrative expenses	(11.4)	(11.1)	(42.5)	(41.6)
	41.6	44.9	176.6	184.9
Gathering, Marketing, Terminalling & Storage				
Net revenues	61.1	64.4	240.3	246.1
Field operating costs	(28.9)	(28.3)	(110.1)	(108.6)
General and administrative expenses	(10.3)	(10.0)	(37.3)	(36.4)
-	21.9	26.1	92.9	101.1
Segment Profit	63.5	71.0	269.5	286.0
Depreciation and amortization expense	(18.3)	(17.8)	(76.0)	(74.0)
Interest expense	(14.3)	(13.9)	(61.0)	(60.0)
Other Income (Expense) / LTIP	(2.8)	(2.8)	(10.4)	(10.4)
Net Income	\$ 28.1	\$ 36.5	\$ 122.1	\$ 141.6
Net Income to Limited Partners	\$ 24.7	\$ 32.9	\$ 108.1	\$ 127.2
Basic:				
Average Units Outstanding	67.3	67.3	67.3	67.3
Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.49	\$ 1.61	\$ 1.89
Diluted:				
Average Units Outstanding	68.0	68.0	68.5	68.5
Net Income Per Limited Partner Unit	\$ 0.36	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.58	\$ 1.86
EBIT	\$ 42.4	\$ 50.4	\$ 183.1	\$ 201.6
EBITDA	\$ 60.7	\$ 68.2	\$ 259.1	\$ 275.6
Selected Items Impacting Comparability				
Non-cash LTIP charge	\$ (2.8 )	\$ (2.8)	\$ (10.4 )	\$ (10.4 )
Non-cash FX Gain (Loss)	(2.5)	(2.0)	(2.5)	(2.0)
	\$ (5.3 )	\$ (4.8 )	\$ (12.9 )	\$ (12.4 )
Excluding Selected Items Impacting Comparability	¢ (())	¢ 72.0	¢ 070.0	¢ 299.0
EBITDA	\$ 66.0	\$ 73.0	\$ 272.0	\$ 288.0
Net Income	\$ 33.4	\$ 41.3	\$ 135.0	\$ 154.0
Basic Net Income per Limited Partner Unit	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.56	\$ 1.79	\$ 2.07
Diluted Net Income per Limited Partner Unit	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.55	\$ 1.76	\$ 2.03

(1) The projected foreign exchange rate is \$1.30 CAD to \$1 USD.

Notes and Significant Assumptions:

1. *Definitions*.

Earnings before interest and taxes
Earnings before interest, taxes and depreciation and amortization expense
Barrels per day
Net revenues less purchases, field operating costs, and segment general and
administrative expenses
Long-Term Incentive Plan
Liquefied petroleum gas and other petroleum products
Foreign currency exchange

2. *Pipeline Operations*. Pipeline volume estimates are based on historical and anticipated future operating performance. Actual segment earnings could vary materially depending on the level of volumes transported. The following table summarizes our pipeline volumes and breaks out the major systems that are significant either in total volumes transported or in contribution to total net revenue.

	2005 Guidance Three Months Ended March 31	Twelve Months Ended December 31
Average Daily Volumes (000 s Bbl/d)		
All American	54	54
Basin	260	270
Capline	140	140
Cushing to Broom	25	65
West Texas / New Mexico area systems(1)	395	385
Other	501	546
	1,375	1,460
Canadian Pipelines(2)	265	265
	1,640	1,725

(1) The aggregate of 10 systems in the West Texas / New Mexico area.

(2) The aggregate of 7 systems.

Average volumes for the first quarter are expected to be in the range of 1,640,000 Bbl/d, compared to an average 1,725,000 Bbl/d for the year. The volume growth during the year is driven primarily by the start-up of the Cushing to Broom pipeline system in March, which is expected to exit the year at 80,000 Bbl/d.

Net revenues were forecasted using the above volume assumptions priced at tariff rates currently received, with adjustments where appropriate for estimated escalation rates as allowed by contractual terms. To illustrate the impact volume changes may have on segment profit, the following table provides a volume sensitivity analysis of three systems representing approximately 31% of total pipeline net revenues.

	Volume Sensiti	Volume Sensitivity Analysis				
System	Increase / (Decrease) in Volume (Bbls/d)	% of System Total	Increase / (Decrease) in Annualized Segment Profit (in millions)			
All American	5,000	9 %	\$ 3.2			
Basin	20,000	7 %	1.8			
Capline	10,000	7 %	1.5			

Pipeline operating expenses in the first quarter and for the year will be impacted by a crude oil release that occurred in early January 2005 as a result of an overflow from a temporary storage tank located in East Texas. Estimated costs for emergency response, site remediation and regulatory fines are expected to be approximately \$1.4 million.

3. *Gathering, Marketing, Terminalling and Storage Operations.* Our guidance is predicated on continued price volatility in the crude oil market, albeit less volatility than what was experienced in 2004. Volumes from crude oil lease gathering are projected to average 630,000 Bbl/d in the first quarter and increase to an average 640,000 Bbl/d for the year. LPG volumes are influenced by seasonal demands with volumes greater during the winter months than the remainder of the year; therefore, first quarter volumes are expected to average 70,000 Bbl/d versus the annual average of 50,000 Bbl/d.

	2005 Guidance Three Months Ended March 31	Twelve Months Ended December 31
Average Daily Volumes (000 s Bbl/d)		
Crude Oil Lease Gathering	630	640
LPG	70	50
	700	690

Segment profit is forecast using the volume assumptions stated above and estimates of unit margins, operating expenses and G&A based on current and anticipated market conditions. Realized unit margins for any given lease-gathered barrel could vary significantly based on a variety of factors including location, quality and contract structure. Based on our projected segment profit per barrel for the first quarter of 2005, a 15,000 Bbl/d variance in lease gathering volumes would impact segment profit by an approximate \$3.0 million on an annualized basis. A \$0.01 variance in the aggregate average per-barrel margin would impact segment profit by an approximate \$2.6 million on an annualized basis.

4. *Depreciation & Amortization.* Depreciation and amortization is forecast based on our existing depreciable assets and forecast capital expenditures. Depreciation is computed using the straight-line method over estimated useful lives, which range from 3 years (for office property and equipment) to 50 years (for certain pipelines, crude oil terminals and facilities).

5. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133 Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133). The forecast presented above does not include assumptions or projections with respect to potential gains or losses related to SFAS 133, as there is no accurate

way to forecast these potential gains or losses. The potential gains or losses related to SFAS 133 (primarily non-cash, mark-to-market adjustments) could cause actual net income to differ materially from our projections.

6. *Acquisitions, Capital Expenditures and Linefill Additions.* Although acquisitions constitute a key element of our growth strategy, the forecasted results and associated estimates do not include any assumptions or forecasts for any material acquisition that may be made after the date hereof. Capital expenditures for expansion projects are forecast to be approximately \$100 million during calendar 2005, of which approximately 90% will be spent in the first nine months of the year. Some of the more notable projects are:

- Capital projects associated with the Link acquisition \$18 million,
- Trenton pipeline expansion \$16 million,
- Cushing Phase V expansion \$13 million,
- Cal Ven fractionator \$16 million, and
- Shell South Louisiana Asset Acquisition \$8.0 million

Capital expenditures for maintenance projects are forecast to be approximately \$19 million during 2005. Linefill requirements in 2005 are expected to increase approximately 500,000 barrels and will be purchased at market prices.

) (8,045,605)

Proceeds from notes payable	
Repayments of notes payable	19,425,137 14,388,512
	(5,463,320) (6,616,344)
Option exercise	26,666 26,680
Repayments of advances to related parties	
Net cash provided by financing activities	
NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	4,556,872 8,676,551
	(294,630) 2,254,542
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	43,744 (11,665)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	7 754 166 219 207
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	7,754,166 218,207
SUPPLEMENTARY CASH FLOW INFORMATION	\$7,503,280 \$2,461,084
Income taxes paid	
Interest paid	\$127,772 \$201,598
SUPPLEMENTAL NON-CASH DISCLOSURE:	\$773,281 \$378,315

During the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, \$0 and \$0 were transferred from construction in progress to plant and equipment, respectively.

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

#### NOTE 1 - ORGANIZATION AND PRINCIPAL ACTIVITIES

Kandi Technologies, Corp. (the "Company" or "Kandi", formally known as Stone Mountain Resources Inc.) was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on March 31, 2004. On August 13, 2007, the Company changed its name from Stone Mountain Resources, Inc. to Kandi Technologies, Corp.

On June 29, 2007, Stone Mountain Resources, Inc. executed a share exchange agreement (the "Exchange Agreement") with Continental Development Limited, a Hong Kong corporation ("Continental") and Excelvantage Group Limited, a British Virgin Islands Company which owned 100% of Continental (the "Continental Shareholder"). Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, Stone Mountain issued 12,000,000 shares of its common stock to the Continental Shareholder, in exchange for 100% of the common stock of Continental. After the closing of the Exchange Agreement, Stone Mountain had a total of 19,961,000 shares of common stock outstanding, with the Continental Shareholder owning 60.12% of the total issued and outstanding shares of Stone Mountain's common stock.

As a result of this transaction, Continental became a wholly owned subsidiary of Stone Mountain. Thereafter, the business of the Company was that of Continental's wholly owned subsidiary, Zhejiang Kandi Vehicles Co., Ltd. ("Kandi Vehicles")

On May 9, 2008, the Company sold Zhejiang Yongkang Top Import & Export Co., Ltd. ("Dingji"), a subsidiary of the Company, to certain individuals.

On June 24, 2008, the Company closed its acquisition of 100% of the shares of Kandi Special Vehicles Co., Ltd. ("KSV"), after which KSV became a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company. The acquisition was accounted for as a purchase in accordance with Statements of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 141 "Business Combinations." The consolidated statements of income include the results of operations of KSV at the date of acquisition.

On December 31, 2010, the Company formed a joint venture with a subsidiary of China's largest power transmission company, State Grid Power Corporation, and China's leading lead motive battery maker, Tianneng Power International, Inc., to establish the first China EV battery replacement services company - Jinhua Three Parties New Energy Vehicles Service Co., Ltd. ("Jinhua Service"). Kandi owns 30% share of Jinhua Service.

On January 31, 2011, the Agreement of Establishment of Jinhua Kandi New Energy Vehicles Co., Ltd. ("Kandi New Energy") took effective, pursuant to the terms as executed by and between Kandi Vehicles, a subsidiary of the Company and Mr. Hu Xiaoming, a major shareholder of the Company on May 18, 2010. (the "Agreement")

The establishment of Kandi New Energy is to comply with Chinese regulation that foreign investor can own no more than 50% of an automobile manufacturing company in China with the objective to sell automobile products in China. Because Mr. Hu is a Chinese citizen and Kandi Vehicles is considered as a foreign investment entity, Kandi New Energy's 50-50 ownership between Mr. Hu and Kandi Vehicles meets the requirement of the Chinese regulation.

Under the Agreement, the Parties jointly invest 36 million RMB, approximately \$5,459,923, to establish Kandi New Energy and each party will contribute 50% of such investment. Kandi Vehicles makes its contribution in kind and Mr. Hu makes his contribution in cash. Kandi New Energy shall obtain the government license to produce vehicles.

On January 31, 2011, Kandi New Energy received the Chinese government's license for vehicle production and the Parties agreed the practical operation stage had been reached and declared the Agreement became effective in a supplemental agreement on January 31, 2011. A Share Escrow and Trust Agreement, a Loan Agreement and a Contractor Agreement between Kandi Vehicles and Mr. Hu became effective at the same time as the Agreement. As a result of these agreements, all profits of Kandi New Energy will be dividend to Kandi Vehicles. The details of these agreements were disclosed in 2010 10-K.

According to the Contractor Agreement entered between Kandi Vehicles and Mr. Hu effective on January 31, 2011, both the management and operation of Kandi New Energy is contracted out to Kandi Vehicles and Mr. Hu will not participate in the management and decision making as a shareholder or director of Kandi New Energy. Pursuant to the Share Escrow and Trust Agreement, Mr. Hu is the common shareholder of Kandi Vehicles and Kandi New Energy. Mr. Hu's entire equity in Kandi New Energy is put in escrow and trust with Kandi Vehicles effective from January 31, 2011. Therefore, Kandi Vehicles effective controls 100% of Kandi New Energy.

All profits of Kandi New Energy will be distributed to Kandi Vehicles.

On January 31, 2011, Kandi Vehicles and Mr. Hu also entered into a loan agreement ("the Loan Agreement") under which Kandi Vehicles will lend Mr. Hu, 18 million RMB, approximately \$2,729,961 for the sole use of his capital contribution to Kandi New Energy according to the Agreement. The loan is interest free and Mr. Hu can return the loan by cash or his entire equity in Kandi New Energy or other methods agreed by the Parties. The term of the loan is the earliest of the time when: (1) Kandi New Energy enters into bankruptcy procedure; or (2) due to re-structuring, Mr. Hu is no longer a shareholder of Kandi New Energy, or (3) both Parties agree to terminate the loan.

The primary operations of the Company are the design, development, manufacturing, and commercializing of all-terrain vehicles, go-karts, and specialized automobile related products for the PRC and global export markets. Sales are mainly made to trading companies in China, then distributed throughout the world.

## NOTE 2 – LIQUIDITY

The Company's working capital surplus is \$20,208,386 as of March 31, 2011.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company has credit lines from commercial banks for \$38,355,581, of which \$28,614,481 was used at March 31, 2011.

The Company believes that its cash flows generated internally may not be sufficient to support growth of future operations and repay short term bank loans for the next twelve months if needed. However, the Company believes its access to existing financing sources and established relationships with PRC banks will enable it to meet its obligations and fund its ongoing operations.

The Company has historically financed itself through short-term commercial bank loans from PRC banks. The term of these loans are typically for one year, and upon the payment of all outstanding principal and interest in a respective loan, the banks have typically rolled over the loans for additional one-year terms, with adjustments made to the interest rate to reflect prevailing market rates. The Company believes this situation has not changed and the short-term bank loan will be available on normal trade terms if needed.

## NOTE 3 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The Company maintains its general ledger and journals with the accrual method accounting for financial reporting purposes. The financial statements and notes are representations of management. Accounting policies adopted by the Company conform to generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America and have been consistently applied in the presentation of financial statements.

## NOTE 4 - PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION

The consolidated financial statements reflect the accounts of Kandi Technologies Corp., and the ownership in following subsidiaries:

- (i) Continental Development, Ltd. ("Continental") (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company)
- (ii) Zhejiang Kandi Vehicles Co., Ltd. ("Kandi Vehicles") (a wholly-owned subsidiary of "Continental")
- (iii)Kandi Special Vehicles Co., Ltd. ("KSV", formerly known as Kandi New Energy Vehicles Co., Ltd. "KNE") (a wholly-owned subsidiary of Kandi Vehicles)
- (iv)Jinhua Three Parties New Energy Vehicles Service Co., Ltd. ("Jinhua Service") (a 30% owned subsidiary of Kandi Vehicles)
- (v)Jinhua Kandi New Energy Vehicles Co., Ltd. ("Kandi New Energy") (a 50% owned subsidiary of Kandi Vehicles with effective 100% control and 100% profits and loss absorption due to contractual agreement, see Note 1 for details.)

Inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

#### NOTE 5 – USE OF ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements, and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period. Management makes these estimates using the best information available at the time the estimates are made; however actual results when ultimately realized could differ from those estimates.

#### NOTE 6 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

#### (a) Economic and Political Risks

The Company's operations are conducted in the PRC. Accordingly, the Company's business, financial condition and results of operations may be influenced by the political, economic and legal environments in the PRC, and by the general state of the PRC economy.

The Company's operations in the PRC are subject to special considerations and significant risks not typically associated with companies in North America and Western Europe. These include risks associated with, among others, the political, economic and legal environment and foreign currency exchange. The Company's results may be adversely affected by changes in the political and social conditions in the PRC, and by changes in governmental policies with respect to laws and regulations, anti-inflationary measures, currency conversion, remittances abroad, and rates and methods of taxation, among other things.

#### NOTE 6 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

(b) Fair Value of Financial Instruments

ASC 820 establishes a three-tier fair value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value. The hierarchy prioritizes the inputs into three levels based on the extent to which inputs used in measuring fair value are observable in the market.

These tiers include:

- Level 1—defined as observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets;
- •Level 2—defined as inputs other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable; and
- •Level 3—defined as unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions.

The assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis subject to the disclosure requirements of ASC 820 as of March 31, 2011 are as follows:

	Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date					
	Using Quoted					
		Significant				
	Carrying	Markets for	Other	Significant		
	value as of	Identical	Observable	Unobservable		
	March 31,	Assets	Inputs	Inputs		
	2011	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$7,503,280	\$7,503,280	-	-		
Restricted cash	26,967,828	26,967,828	-	-		
Trading security investment	303,801	303,801				
Conversion features	352	-	352	-		
Warrants	3,936,023	-	3,936,023	-		

Cash and cash equivalents consist primarily of highly rated money market funds at a variety of well-known institutions with original maturities of three months or less. Restricted cash represents time deposits on account to secure short-term bank loans and notes payable. The original cost of these assets approximates fair value due to their short term maturity.

Trading security investment is traded on the open active market, therefore its fair value is measured at each market trading date, and reflected by the quoted price on the market.

Warrants and conversion features embedded in the convertible notes, which are accounted as liabilities, are treated as derivative instruments, which will be measured at each reporting date for their fair value using Level 2 inputs. Also see Note 6 section (s) and (t).

The Company's non-financial assets are measured on a recurring basis. These non-financial assets are measured for impairment annually on the Company's measurement date at the reporting unit level using Level 3 inputs. For most assets, ASC 820 requires that the impact of changes resulting from its application be applied prospectively in the year in which the statement is initially applied.

The Company's non-financial assets measured on a non-recurring basis include the Company's property, plant and equipment and finite-use intangible assets which are measured for recoverability when indicators for impairment are present. ASC 820 requires companies to disclose assets and liabilities measured on a non-recurring basis in the period in which the remeasurement at fair value is performed. The Company has reviewed its long-lived assets as of March 31, 2011 and determined that there are no significant assets to be tested for recoverability under ASC 360 and as such, no fair value measurements related to non-financial assets have been made during the three months ended March 31, 2011.

#### NOTE 6 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### (c) Cash and Cash Equivalents

The Company considers highly liquid investments purchased with original maturities of three months or less to be cash equivalents.

Restricted cash on March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 represent time deposits on account to secure short-term bank loans and notes payable. Also see Notes 14 and 15.

#### (d) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value (market value). The cost of raw materials is determined on the basis of weighted average. The cost of finished goods is determined on the weighted average basis and comprises direct materials, direct labor and an appropriate proportion of overhead.

Net realizable value is based on estimated selling prices less any further costs expected to be incurred for completion and selling expense.

(e) Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable are recognized and carried at net realizable value. An allowance for doubtful accounts will be recorded in the period when a loss is probable based on an assessment of specific evidence indicating troubled collection, historical experience, accounts aging, ongoing business relation and other factors. Accounts are written off after exhaustive efforts at collection. If accounts receivable are to be provided for, or written off, they would be recognized in the consolidated statement of operations within operating expenses. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company has an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0, as per the management's judgment based on their best knowledge.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the longest credit term for certain customers are both 120 days.

(f) Note receivable

Notes receivable represents short-term loans to third parties with the maximum term of one year. Interest income is recognized according to each agreement between a borrower and the Company on an accrual basis. If notes receivable are to be provided for, or written off, they are recognized in the relevant year if the loan default is probable, reasonably sure and the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company recognizes income if the written-off loan is recovered at a future date. In case of foreclosure procedures or legal actions being taken, the Company provides accrual for the related foreclosure expense and related litigation expenses.

#### (g) Prepayments

Prepayments represent cash paid in advance to suppliers for raw materials purchases.

#### NOTE 6 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### (h) Plant and Equipment

Plant and equipment are carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided over their estimated useful lives, using the straight-line method. Leasehold improvements are amortized over the life of the asset or the term of the lease, whichever is shorter. Estimated useful lives are as follows:

Buildings	30 years
Machinery and equipment	10 years
Office equipment	5 years
Motor vehicles	5 years
Moulds	5 years

The cost and related accumulated depreciation of assets sold or otherwise retired are eliminated from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in the statement of income. The cost of maintenance and repairs is charged to expense as incurred, whereas significant renewals and betterments are capitalized.

#### (i) Construction in Progress

Construction in progress represents direct costs of construction or the acquisition cost of buildings or machinery and design fees. Capitalization of these costs ceases and the construction in progress is transferred to plant and equipment when substantially all the activities necessary to prepare the assets for their intended use are completed. No depreciation is provided until the assets are completed and ready for their intended use.

#### (j) Land Use Rights

According to the laws of China, land in the PRC is owned by the government and cannot be sold to an individual or a company. However, the government grants the user a "land use right" to use the land. The land use rights granted to the Company are being amortized using the straight-line method over the lease term of fifty years.

#### (k) Accounting for the Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company periodically evaluates the carrying value of long-lived assets to be held and used, including intangible assets subject to amortization, when events and circumstances warrant such a review, pursuant to the guidelines established in ASC No. 350. The carrying value of a long-lived asset is considered impaired when the anticipated undiscounted cash flow from such asset is separately identifiable and is less than its carrying value. In that event, a loss is recognized based on the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the fair market value of the long-lived asset. Fair market value is determined primarily using the anticipated cash flows discounted at a rate commensurate with the risk involved. Losses on long-lived assets to be disposed of are determined in a similar manner, except that fair market values are reduced for the cost to dispose.

During the reporting period, there was no impairment loss.

#### (1) Revenue Recognition

Revenues represent the invoiced value of goods sold, recognized upon the shipment of goods to customers. Revenues are recognized when all of the following criteria are met:

- Persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists;
- Delivery has occurred or services have been rendered;
- The seller's price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and
- Collectability is reasonably assured.

#### NOTE 6 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### (m) Research and Development

Expenditures relating to the development of new products and processes, including significant improvement to existing products are expensed as incurred. Research and development expenses were \$511,952 and \$343,407 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

#### (n) Government Grant

Grants received from the PRC Government for assisting in the Company's technical research and development efforts are netted against the relevant research and development costs incurred when the proceeds are received or collectible.

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010, \$7,588 and \$29,842, respectively, was received from the PRC government for the Company's contribution to the local economy.

#### (o) Income Taxes

The Company accounts for income tax using an asset and liability approach and allows for recognition of deferred tax benefits in future years. Under the asset and liability approach, deferred taxes are provided for the net tax effects of temporary differences between the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities for financial reporting purposes and the amounts used for income tax purposes. A valuation allowance is provided for deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not these items will either expire before the Company is able to realize their benefits, or that future realization is uncertain.

#### (p) Foreign Currency Translation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements are presented in United States dollars. The functional currency of the Company is the Renminbi (RMB). Capital accounts of the consolidated financial statements are translated into United States dollars from RMB at their historical exchange rates when the capital transactions occurred.

Assets and liabilities are translated at the exchange rates as of balance sheet date. Income and expenditures are translated at the average exchange rate of the year, which was obtained from website: http://www.oanda.com

	March 31,	December 31,	March 31,
	2011	2010	2010
Period end RMB : USD exchange rate	6.5701	6.6118	6.8361
Average quarterly RMB : USD exchange rate	6.5894	6.7788	6.8360

#### (q) Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income is defined to include all changes in equity except those resulting from investments by owners and distributions to owners. Among other disclosures, all items that are required to be recognized under current

accounting standards as components of comprehensive income are required to be reported in a financial statement that is presented with the same prominence as other financial statements. Comprehensive income includes net income and the foreign currency translation changes.

### NOTE 6 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

#### (r) Stock Option Cost

The Company's stock option cost is recorded in accordance with ASC 718 and ASC 505.

The fair value of stock options is estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton model. The Company's expected volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected life assumption is primarily based on the expiration date of the option. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

Stock option expense recognized is based on awards expected to vest, and there were no estimated forfeitures. ASC standards requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised in subsequent periods, if necessary, if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

The stock based compensation expense for the period ended March 31, 2011 is \$85,957. Also see Note 17.

#### (s) Warrant Cost

The Company's warrant costs are recorded in liabilities and equities respectively in accordance with ASC 480, ASC 505 and ASC 815.

The fair value of warrant is estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton model. The Company's expected volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected life assumption is primarily based on the expiration date of the warrant. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of measurement.

The Company determined that the equity based warrants are not considered derivatives under ASC 815, while the warrants, which are freestanding derivatives and are classified as liabilities on the balance sheet, will be measured at fair value on each reporting date, with changes in fair value recognized in earnings as interest expense.

(t) Fair Value of Conversion features

In accordance with ASC 815, the conversion feature of the Convertible Notes is separated from the debt instrument and accounted for separately as a derivative instrument. On the date the Convertible Notes are issued, the conversion feature was recorded as a liability at its fair value, and future decreases in fair value recognized in earnings while increases in fair values recognized in expenses as interest expense.

The Company used the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model to obtain the fair value of the conversion feature. The Company's expected volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected life assumption is primarily based on the expiration date of the conversion features. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the conversion features is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of measurement.

## NOTE 7 – NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

#### **Recently Implemented Standards**

ASC 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("ASC 105") (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162) reorganized by topic existing accounting and reporting guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") into a single source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") to be applied by nongovernmental entities. All guidance contained in the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") carries an equal level of authority. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative." ASC 105 is effective on a prospective basis for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company has implemented the guidance included in ASC 105 as of July 1, 2009. The implementation of this guidance changed the Company's references to GAAP authoritative guidance but did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations.

ASC 855, Subsequent Events ("ASC 855") (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 165, Subsequent Events) includes guidance that was issued by the FASB in May 2009, and is consistent with current auditing standards in defining a subsequent event. Additionally, the guidance provides for disclosure regarding the existence and timing of a company's evaluation of its subsequent events. ASC 855 defines two types of subsequent events, "recognized" and "non-recognized." Recognized subsequent events provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet and are required to be reflected in the financial statements. Non-recognized subsequent events provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after that date and, therefore, are not required to be reflected in the financial statements. However, certain non-recognized subsequent events may require disclosure to prevent the financial statements from being misleading. This guidance was effective prospectively for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company implemented the guidance included in ASC 855 as of July 1, 2009. The effect of implementing this guidance was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

## NOTE 7 - NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### **Recent Accounting Pronouncements**

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-01, Equity (Topic 505): Accounting for Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash. This update clarifies that the stock portion of a distribution to shareholders that allows them to elect to receive cash or stock with a potential limitation on the total amount of cash that all shareholders can elect to receive in the aggregate is considered a share issuance that is reflected in earnings per share prospectively and is not a stock dividend. This ASU codified the consensus reached in EITF Issue No. 09-E "Accounting for Stock Dividends, Including Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash". ASU 2010-01 is effective for interim and annual periods ending on or after December 15, 2009, and should be applied on a retrospective basis. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Updated ("ASU") No. 2010-02, Consolidation (Topics 810) – Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary - A Scope Clarification. This update provides guidance for non-controlling interests and changes in ownership interests of a subsidiary. An entity is required to deconsolidate a subsidiary when the entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest in the subsidiary. Upon deconsolidation of a subsidiary, an entity recognizes a gain or loss on the transaction and measures any retained investment in the subsidiary at fair value. The gain or loss includes any gain or loss associated with the difference between the fair value of the retained investment in the subsidiary and its carrying amount at the date the subsidiary is deconsolidated. In contrast, an entity is required to account for a decrease in its ownership interest of a subsidiary that does not result in a change of control of the subsidiary as an equity transaction. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This update requires some new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in Codification Subtopic 820-10. The FASB's objective is to improve these disclosures and, thus, increase the transparency in financial reporting. Specifically, ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to now require:

- A reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and
- In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements.

In addition, ASU 2010-06 clarifies the requirements of the following existing disclosures:

- For purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities; and
- A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements.

#### NOTE 7 - NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. This update is to remove the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both issued and revised financial statements. Revised financial statements include financial statements revised as a result of either correction of an error or retrospective application of U.S. GAAP. The FASB also clarified that if the financial statements have been revised, then an entity that is not an SEC filer should disclose both the date that the financial statements were issued or available to be issued and the date the revised financial statements were issued or available to be issued. The FASB believes these amendments remove potential conflicts with the SEC's literature.

In addition, the amendments in the ASU requires an entity that is a conduit bond obligor for conduit debt securities that are traded in a public market to evaluate subsequent events through the date of issuance of its financial statements and must disclose such date. All of the amendments in the ASU were effective upon issuance (February 24, 2010) except for the use of the issued date for conduit debt obligors. That amendment is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements. ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-10, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments for Certain Investment Funds. This update is to defer the effective date of certain amendments to the consolidation requirements of FASB Accounting Standards CodificationTM (Codification) Topic 810, Consolidation, resulting from the issuance of FASB Accounting Standard No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation 46(R). Specifically, the amendments to the consolidation requirements of the consolidation requirements of Topic 810 resulting from the issuance of Statement 167 are deferred for a reporting entity's interest in an entity:

- That has all the attributes of an investment company; or
- For which it is industry practice to apply measurement principles for financial reporting purposes that are consistent with those followed by investment companies.

The ASU does not defer the disclosure requirements in the Statement 167 amendments to Topic 810. The amendments in this ASU are effective as of the beginning of a reporting entity's first annual period that begins after November 15, 2009, and for interim for interim periods within that first annual reporting period. Early application is not permitted, The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

## NOTE 7 - NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS (CONTINUED)

#### Recent Accounting Pronouncements (Continued)

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-13, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades. This update is to codify the consensus reached in EITF Issue No. 09-J, "Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades." The amendments to the Codification clarify that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity shares trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance, or services condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as equity. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-21, Accounting for Technical Amendments to Various SEC Rules and Schedules. This update amends various SEC paragraphs in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification pursuant to SEC Final Rule, "Technical Amendments to Rules Forms, Schedules and Codification of Financial Reporting Policies". The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-22, Accounting for Various Topics. This update amends various SEC paragraphs in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification based on external comments received and the issuance of Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 112 which amends or rescinds portion of certain SAB topics. SAB 112 was issued to bring existing SEC guidance into conformity with ASC 805 "Business Combination" and ASC 810 "Consolidation". The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations. This update reflects the decision reached in EITF Issue No. 10-G. The amendments in this ASU affect any public entity as defined by Topic 805, Business Combinations, that enters into business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. The amendments in this ASU specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements upon adoption.

#### NOTE 8 - CONCENTRATIONS

#### (a) Customers

The Company's major customers for the period ended March 31, 2011 accounted for the following percentages of total sales and accounts receivable as follows:

	Sales			Accounts Receivable				
	Three Months		Three Months	5				
	Ended Ended							
	March 31	,	March 31,		March 31,		December 3	1,
Major Customers	2011		2010		2011		2010	
Company A	69	%	-		50	%	61	%
Company B	9	%	93	%	21	%	20	%
Company C	8	%	3	%	18	%	14	%
Company D	2	%	-		-		-	

(b) Suppliers

The Company's major suppliers for the three months ended March 31, 2011 accounted for the following percentage of total purchases and accounts payable as follows:

	Purchases				Accounts Payable			
	Three Months Three Months			ths				
	Ended Ended							
	March 31, March 31,		March 31,		December 31,			
Major Suppliers	2011		2010		2011		2010	
Company E	87	%	90	%	15	%	26	%
Company F	1	%	2	%	5	%	4	%
Company G	1	%	1	%	-		3	%
Company H	1	%	-		4	%	1	%
Company I	1	%	1	%	4	%	1	%

#### NOTE 9 - INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

The Company calculates earnings per share in accordance with ASC 260, Earnings Per Share, which requires a dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share are computed using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the fiscal year. Diluted earnings per share represents basic earnings per share adjusted to include the potentially dilutive effect of outstanding stock options, warrants and convertible note (using the if-converted method). For the three months ended March 31, 2011, there are 1,603,806 potentially dilutive common shares.

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted net income per common share:

Three months Ended March 31,	2011	2010
Net income (loss)	\$5,938,400	\$(177,895)
Weighted – average shares of common stock outstanding		
Basic	27,422,823	19,972,117
Dilutive shares	1,603,806	-
Diluted	29,026,629	19,972,117
Basic income (loss) per share	\$0.22	\$(0.01)
Diluted income (loss) per share	\$0.20	\$(0.01)

Also see Note 17.

NOTE 10 - INVENTORIES

Inventories are summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2011		]	December 31,
		(Unaudited)		2010
Raw material	\$	1,302,454	\$	1,754,216
Work-in-progress		8,020,634		3,668,104
Finished goods		457,994		464,186
		9,781,082		5,886,506
Less: reserve for slow moving inventories		-		-
Inventories, net	\$	9,781,082	\$	5,886,506

#### NOTE 11 - NOTES RECEIVABLE

Notes receivable are summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)		December 31, 2010
Notes receivable from unrelated companies:			
Due March 3, 2011, interest at 6.0% per annum 1	\$	-	\$ 1,205,026
Due March 5, 2011, interest at 6.0% per annum 2		-	423,168
Due April 13, 2011, interest at 9.6% per annum 3		-	1,512,448
Due April 29, 2011, interest at 5.31% per annum (subsequently settled			
on its due date) 4		761,023	756,224
Due September 30, 2011, interest at 9.6% per annum (collected part of			
principal during this reporting period) 5		16,764,657	20,969,123
Due May 31, 2011, interest at 6% per annum 6		2,724,464	-
		20,250,144	24,865,989
Bank acceptance notes:			
Bank acceptance notes		-	-
Notes receivable	\$	20,250,144	\$ 24,865,989

Details of Notes receivable from unrelated parties as of December 31, 2010

Index	Amount (\$)	Counter party	Relationship	Purpose of Loan	Manner of settlement
		Hangzhou YuanHai	No relationship	Receive interest	
1	1,205,026	Property Co., Ltd.	beyond loan	income	Repaid in cash
		Hangzhou YuanHai	No relationship	Receive interest	
2	423,168	Property Co., Ltd.	beyond loan	income	Repaid in cash
		Yongkang BoTao	No relationship	Receive interest	
3	1,512,448	Trading Co., Ltd.	beyond loan	income	Repaid in cash
		JiangXi De'er	No relationship	Receive interest	
4		Chemical Co., Ltd.	beyond loan	income	on due date
	756,224	(*)			Repaid in cash
		Yongkang HuiFeng	No relationship	Receive interest	
5	20,969,123	Guarantee Co., Ltd.	beyond loan	income	Not due

<sup>(\*)</sup>JiangXi De'er Chemical Co., Ltd. is 85% owned by Kandi Investment Group Co. ("KIGC"). KIGC is the guarantor of the Company's bank loan of \$4,234,853 and was also a lender of the note payable of \$134,305 as of December 31,

2010. Also see note 15 and note 16 of 2010 10-K.

Details of Notes receivable from unrelated parties as of March 31, 2011

Index	Amount (\$)	Counter party	Relationship	Purpose of Loan	Manner of settlement
		JiangXi De'er	No relationship	Receive interest	
4		Chemical Co., Ltd.	beyond loan	income	on due date
	761,023	(*)			Repaid in cash
		Yongkang HuiFeng	No relationship	Receive interest	
5	16,764,657	Guarantee Co., Ltd.	beyond loan	income	Not due
		Hangzhou YuanHai	No relationship	Receive interest	
6	2,724,464	Property Co., Ltd.	beyond loan	income	Not due

<sup>(\*)</sup>JiangXi De'er Chemical Co., Ltd. is 85% owned by Kandi Investment Group Co. ("KIGC"). KIGC is a guarantor of the Company for the Company's bank loan of \$4,261,730 and is also a lender to the Company for a note payable of \$135,157. Also see note 14 and note 15.

#### NOTE 11 - NOTES RECEIVABLE (CONTINUED)

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the interest income generated from the notes receivable issued to third parties was \$418,608.

#### NOTE 12 - LAND USE RIGHTS

Land use rights consist of the following:

	March 31, 2011			December 31,
		(Unaudited)		2010
Cost of land use rights	\$	11,649,849	\$	11,549,134
Less: Accumulated amortization		(810,915	)	(715,682)
Land use rights, net	\$	10,838,934	\$	10,833,452

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the net book value of land use rights pledged as collateral for the Company's bank loans was \$4,000,647 and \$3,998,555 respectively. Also see Note 15.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the net book value of land use rights pledged as collateral for bank loans borrowed by Zhejiang Mengdeli Electronic Co., Ltd. ("ZMEC"), an unrelated party of the Company was \$6,838,287 and \$6,834,897. Also see Notes 19.

It is a common business practice among companies in the region of China where Kandi is located to exchange guarantees for bank debt with no consideration given. It is considered a "favor for favor" business practice and is commonly required by the lending banks as in these cases. ZMEC has provided a guarantee for certain of the Company's bank loans. As of March 31, 2011, ZMEC had guaranteed bank loan of the Company for a total of \$15,220,470. In exchange, the Company provided guarantee for bank loans being borrowed by ZMEC and allowing ZMEC to pledge the Company's assets. The banks involved in these guarantee transactions typically allow a maximum loan amount based on a 30% to 70% discount on the net book value of the pledged collateral. ZMEC is also a supplier of the Company. Also see Note 14.

The amortization expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$63,091 and \$60,815 respectively.

Amortization expense for the next five years and thereafter is as follows:

2011 (nine months)	\$189,274
2012	252,366
2013	252,366
2014	252,366
2015	252,366
Thereafter	9,640,196
Total	\$10,838,934

#### NOTE 13 - PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

#### Plant and equipment consist of the following:

	March 31,	
	2011	December 31,
	(Unaudited)	2010
At cost:		
Buildings	\$ 13,269,971	\$ 13,073,777
Machinery and equipment	9,802,047	9,733,241
Office equipment	162,536	153,441
Motor vehicles	234,144	188,277
Moulds	14,398,379	14,307,730
	37,867,077	37,456,466
Less : Accumulated depreciation		
Buildings	\$ (1,556,303)	\$ (1,437,172)
Machinery and equipment	(7,039,376)	(6,755,599)
Office equipment	(112,508)	(108,034)
Motor vehicles	(138,467)	(129,113)
Moulds	(5,730,510)	(5,114,921)
	(14,577,164)	(13,544,840)
Plant and equipment, net	\$ 23,289,913	\$ 23,911,626

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the net book value of plant and equipment pledged as collateral for the bank loans was \$7,109,597 and \$7,002,375, respectively. Also see Note 14.

As of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the net book value of plant and equipment pledged as collateral for bank loans borrowed by Zhejiang Mengdeli Electronic Co., Ltd. ("ZMEC"), a supplier but unrelated party of the Company was \$4,604,071 and \$4,634,487. Also see Note 19.

Depreciation expense for three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$943,585 and \$881,722 respectively.

# NOTE 14 – SHORT TERM BANK LOANS

Short-term loans are summarized as follows:

	March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)	December 31, 2010
Loans from China Communication Bank-Jinhua Branch		
Monthly interest only payments at 5.84% per annum, due February 4,		
2011, guaranteed by Zhejiang Shuguang industrial Co., Ltd. Mr. Hu		
Xiaoming, and Mr. Yan Guanwei.	\$ -	\$ 756,224
Monthly interest only payments at 6.66% per annum, due August 18,		
2011, guaranteed by Zhejiang Shuguang industrial Co., Ltd. Mr. Hu		
Xiaoming, and Mr. Yan Guanwei.	761,023	-
Loans from Commercial Bank-Jiangnan Branch		
Monthly interest only payments at 5.84% per annum, due January 5,		
2011, guaranteed by Zhejiang Kangli Metal Manufacturing Company,		
Mr. Hu Xiaoming, Lv Qingjiang, Lv Qingbo, and Ms. Ling Yueping. and		
pledged by the assets of Jingdezheng Changzhou Export & Import		
Company	-	3,024,895
Monthly interest only payments at 5.84% per annum, due October 15,		
2011, guaranteed by Mr. Hu Xiaoming, and Ms. Ling Yueping. and		
pledged by Company's assets. Also see Note 12 and Note 13.	1,522,047	1,512,447
Monthly interest only payments at 5.84% per annum, due December 5,		
2011, guaranteed by Mr. Hu Xiaoming, and Ms. Ling Yueping. and		
pledged by Company's asset. Also see Note 12 and Note 13.	761,023	756,224
Monthly interest only payments at 5.81% per annum, due January 3,		
2012, guaranteed by Zhejiang Kangli Metal Manufacturing Company,		
Mr. Hu Xiaoming, Lv Qingjiang, and Ms. Ling Yueping. and pledged by		
the assets of Jingdezheng Changzhou Export & Import Company	3,044,094	
Loans from Huaxia Bank		
Monthly interest only payments at 5.73% per annum, due September 20,		
2011, secured by the assets of the Company, guaranteed by Mr.Hu		
Xiaoming, Ms.Ling Yueping, Zhejiang Kangli Metal Manufacturing		
Company and Kandi Investment Group Co.	4,261,730	4,234,853
Loans from China Ever-bright Bank		
Monthly interest only payments at 5.84% per annum, due April 7, 2011,		
secured by the assets of the Company, guaranteed by Mr. Hu Xiaoming,		
Ms. Ling Yueping, Nanlong Group Co., Ltd. and Zhejiang Mengdeli		
Electric Co., Ltd. (Subsequently repaid on its due date).	4,566,141	4,537,342
	4,566,141	4,537,342

Monthly interest only payments at 5.84% per annum, due October 11, 2011, secured by the assets of the Company, guaranteed byMr. Hu Xiaoming, Ms. Ling Yueping, Nanlong Group Co., Ltd. and Zhejiang Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd.		
Monthly interest only payments at 5.10% per annum, due November 1,		
2011, secured by the assets of the Company, guaranteed byMr. Hu		
Xiaoming, Ms. Ling Yueping, Nanlong Group Co., Ltd. and Zhejiang		
Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd.	-	3,024,895
Monthly interest only payments at 5.10% per annum, due September 30,		
2011, secured by the assets of the Company, guaranteed byMr. Hu		
Xiaoming, Ms. Ling Yueping, Nanlong Group Co., Ltd. and Zhejiang		
Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd.	3,044,094	-

#### NOTE 14 - SHORT TERM BANK LOANS (CONTINUED)

		h 31, 2011 naudited)	D	ecember 31, 2010
Loans from Shanghai Pudong Development Bank				
Monthly interest only payments at 6.10% per annum, due December 28,				
2011, secured by the property of Mr. Hu Xiaoming and Ms. Ling	_	044.004		2 02 4 00 5
Yueping, guaranteed by Nanlong Group Co., Ltd. and Mr. Hu Xiaoming	Ċ	3,044,094		3,024,895
Loans from China Ever-growing Bank				
Monthly interest only payments at 5.61% per annum, due April 27,				
2011, guaranteed by Zhejiang Shuguang industrial Co., Ltd. and				
Zhejiang Mengdeli Electric Company. (Subsequently repaid on its due				
date).	3	3,044,094		3,024,895
Total	\$ 2	28,614,481	\$	28,434,012

Interest expense for the three month ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 was \$412,056, and \$372,878, respectively.

As of March 31, 2011, the aggregate amount of short-term loans that are guaranteed by various third parties is \$28,614,481,

Of this amount, \$15,220,470 is guaranteed by Zhejiang Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd. whose bank loans of \$6,788,329 and bank note of \$1,217,637 are guaranteed by the Company, or secured by the Company's assets; the net book value of plant and equipment pledged as collateral is \$4,604,071, and the net book value of land use right pledged as collateral is \$6,838,287. Also see Note 19.

Of this amount, \$7,305,824 is guaranteed by Zhejiang Kangli Metal Manufacturing Company, whose bank loans of \$4,566,141 are guaranteed by the Company. Also see Note 19. \$3,044,094 is guaranteed by Lv Qingjiang, the major shareholder of Zhejiang Kangli Metal Manufacturing Company.

Of this amount ,\$3,805,117 is guaranteed by Zhejiang Shuguang industrial Co., Ltd. whose bank loans of \$6,088,187 are also guaranteed by the Company. Also see Note 19. \$761,023 is guaranteed by Mr. Yan Guanwei, who is also the major shareholder of Zhejiang Shuguang industrial Co., Ltd.

Of this amount, \$15,220,470 is guaranteed by Nanlong Group Co., Ltd. whose bank loans of \$3,044,094 are also guaranteed by the Company. Also see Note 19.

This is a common business practice among companies in the region of China where Kandi is located to exchange guarantees for bank debt with no consideration given. It is considered a "favor for favor" business practice and is commonly required by the lending banks as in these cases.

### NOTE 15 – NOTES PAYABLE

Notes payable are summarized as follows:

Bank acceptance notes:		arch 31, 2011 (Unaudited)		December 31, 2010
Due January 13, 2011	\$	_	\$	1,512,447
Due March 2, 2011	Ŷ	-	Ŷ	1,209,958
Due March 13, 2011		-		1,512,447
Due March 16, 2011		-		1,209,958
Due April 18, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)		1,141,535		1,134,336
Due April 18, 2011(subsequently repaid on its due date)		936,059		930,155
Due April 18, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)		966,500		960,404
Due April 20, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)		1,369,842		1,361,203
Due April 26, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)		2,283,070		2,268,671
Due May 5, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)		761,023		756,224
Due May 10, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)		3,044,094		3,024,895
Due May 16, 2011		3,044,094		3,024,895
Due July 17, 2011		9,132,281		-
Due August 23, 2011		1,522,047		-
Due September 9, 2011		3,044,094		-
Due September 15, 2011		1,522,047		-
Due September 21, 2011		1,522,047		-
Due September 22, 2011		1,522,047		-
Due September 23, 2011		1,217,637		-
Subtotal	\$	33,028,417	\$	18,905,593
Notes payable to unrelated companies:				
Due April 24, 2011 (Interest rate 6.0% per annum) (subsequently repaid				
on its due date)	\$	135,157	\$	134,305
Due January 20, 2012 (Interest rate 6.0% per annum)		1,000		1,000
Subtotal		136,157		135,305
Total	\$	33,164,574	\$	19,040,898

Kandi Investment Group Co. is a lender to the Company for a note payable of USD\$135,157, and is also a guarantor of the Company for the Company's bank loan of \$4,261,730. Also see note 14.

All the bank acceptance notes do not bear interest, but are subject to bank charges of 0.005% of the principal as commission on each transaction.

# NOTE 15 - NOTES PAYABLE (CONTINUED)

Restricted cash of \$26,940,229 is held as collateral for the following notes payable at March 31, 2011:

Due April 18, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)	\$1,141,535
Due April 18, 2011(subsequently repaid on its due date)	936,059
Due April 18, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)	966,500
Due April 20, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)	1,369,842
Due April 26, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)	2,283,070
Due May 5, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)	761,023
Due May 10, 2011 (subsequently repaid on its due date)	3,044,094
Due May 16, 2011	3,044,094
Due July 17, 2011	9,132,281
Due August 23, 2011	1,522,047
Due September 9, 2011	3,044,094
Due September 15, 2011	1,522,047
Due September 21, 2011	1,522,047
Due September 22, 2011	1,522,047
Due September 23, 2011	1,217,637
Total	\$33,028,417

Through issuing Bank note payable rather than paying cash to suppliers, the Company can defer the payments to the date bank note payable is due. Simultaneously, the Company needs to deposit restricted cash in banks to back up the bank note payable, while the restricted cash deposited in banks at the rate 3.05% per annual for this reporting period will generate interest income.

### NOTE 16 – TAX

#### (a) Corporation Income Tax ("CIT")

On March 16, 2007, the National People's Congress of China approved the Corporate Income Tax Law of the People's Republic of China (the "new CIT law"), which went into effect on January 1, 2008. In accordance with the relevant tax laws and regulations of the PRC, the applicable corporate income tax rate is 25%.

Prior to January 1, 2008, the CIT rate applicable to the Company was 33%. Kandi's first profitable tax year for income tax purposes as a foreign-invested company was 2007. As a foreign-invested company, the income tax rate of Kandi is entitled to a 50% tax holiday based on 25% for the years from 2009 through 2011. During the transition period, the above tax concession granted to the Company prior to the new CIT law will be grandfathered according to the interpretations of the new CIT law.

KSV and Kandi New Energy are subsidiaries of the Company and their applicable corporate income tax rates are both 25%.

According to the PRC corporation income tax ("CIT") reporting system, the CIT sales cut-off base is concurrent with the value added tax ("VAT") which will be reported to the State Administration of Taxation ("SAT") on a quarterly basis. Since the VAT and CIT are accounted for on a VAT tax basis that recorded all sales on a "State provided official invoices" reporting system, the Company is reporting the CIT according to the SAT prescribed tax reporting rules. Under the VAT tax reporting system, sales cut-off did not take the accrual basis but rather on a VAT taxable reporting basis. Therefore, when the company adopted US GAAP on accrual basis, the sales cut-off CIT timing difference which derived from the VAT reporting system will create a temporary sales cut-off timing difference and this difference is reflected in the deferred tax assets or liabilities calculations on the income tax estimation reported in the Form 10-K.

Effective January 1, 2007, the Company adopted ASC 740, Income Taxes. The interpretation addresses the determination of whether tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed on a tax return should be recorded in the financial statements.

Under ASC 740, the Company may recognize the tax benefit from an uncertain tax position only if it is more likely than not that the tax position will be sustained on examination by the taxing authorities, based on the technical merits of the position. The tax benefits recognized in the financial statements from such a position should be measured based on the largest benefit that has a greater than fifty percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement. ASC 740 also provides guidance on de-recognition, classification, interest and penalties on income taxes, accounting in interim periods and requires increased disclosures. As of March 31, 2011, the Company does not have a liability for unrecognized tax benefits. The Company files income tax returns to the Internal Revenue Services ("IRS") and states where the Company has operation. The Company is subject to U.S. federal or state income tax examinations by IRS and relevant state tax authorities for years after 2006. During the periods open to examination, the Company has net operating loss carry forwards ("NOLs") for U.S. federal and state tax purposes that have attributes from closed periods. Since these NOLs may be utilized in future periods, they remain subject to examination. The Company also files certain tax returns in China. As of March 31, 2011 the Company was not aware of any pending income tax examinations by China tax authorities. The Company's policy is to record interest and penalties on uncertain tax

provisions as income tax expense. As of March 31, 2011, the Company has no accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions. The Company has not recorded a provision for U.S federal income tax for the three months ended March 31, 2011 due to the net operating loss carry forward in the United States.

# NOTE 16 - TAX (CONTINUED)

Income tax expense (benefit) for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 is summarized as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, (Unaudited)		
	2011	2010	
Current:			
Provision for CIT	\$90,694	\$60,943	
Provision for Federal Income Tax	0	0	
Deferred:			
Provision for CIT	0	(10,617	)
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$90,694	\$50,326	

The Company's income tax expense (benefit) differs from the "expected" tax expense for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 (computed by applying the CIT rate of 25%, respectively to income before income taxes) as follows:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,		
	(Unaudited)		
	2011	2010	
Computed "expected" (benefit) expense	\$88,654	\$(31,892	)
Favorable tax rate	(90,694	) (60,943	)
Permanent differences	39,848	58,159	
Valuation allowance	52,886	85,002	
Income tax expense (benefit)	\$90,694	\$50,326	

#### NOTE 16 - TAX (CONTINUED)

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to the Company's net deferred tax assets and liabilities as of March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 are summarized as follows:

Current portion: Deferred tax assets:		March 31, 2011 (Unaudited)		December 31, 2010	
Expense	\$	(1,551	) \$	(10,042	
Subtotal	Ψ	(1,551	)	(10,042	
		()	,	( -)-	
Deferred tax liabilities:					
Sales cut-off (CIT tax reporting on VAT tax system)		(36,250	)	(24,041	)
Other		(299	)	_	
Subtotal		(36,549	)	(24,041	)
Total deferred tax liabilities – current portion		(38,100	)	(34,083	)
Non-current portion:					
Deferred tax assets:					
Depreciation		515,638		476,847	
Loss carried forward		6,671		3,524,145	
Valuation allowance		(6,671	)	(3,524,145	)
Subtotal		515,638		476,847	
Deferred tax liabilities:					
Accumulated other comprehensive gain		(220,899	)	(220,899	)
Subtotal		(220,899	)	(220,899	)
Total deferred tax assets – non-current portion		294,739		255,948	
Net deferred tax assets	\$	256,639	\$	221,865	

#### (b) Tax Holiday Effect

For the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 the PRC corporate income tax rate was 25%. Certain subsidiaries of the Company are entitled to tax holidays for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010.

The combined effects of the income tax expense exemptions and reductions available to the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

For the Three Months Ended March 31

	(Una	(Unaudited)		
	2011	2010		
Tax holiday credit	\$90,694	\$60,943		
Basic net income per share effect	\$0.00	\$0.00		

# NOTE 17 - STOCK OPTIONS, WARRANTS AND CONVERTIBLE NOTES

# (a) Stock Options

On February 11, 2009, the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors of the Company approved the grant of stock options for 2,600,000 shares of common stock to ten of the Company's employees and directors. The stock options vest ratably over three years and expire in ten years from the grant date. The Company valued the stock options at \$2,062,964 and amortizes the stock compensation expense using the straight-line method over the service period from February 11, 2009 through February 11, 2012. The value of the options was estimated using the Black Scholes Model with an expected volatility of 164%, expected life of 10 years, risk-free interest rate of 2.76% and expected dividend yield of 0.00%.

On October 6, 2009, the Company executed an agreement ("Cooperation Agreement") with Wang Rui and Li Qiwen, third-party consultants, whereby Mr. Wang and Mr. Li are to provide business development services in China to the Company in exchange for options to purchase 350,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$1.50 per share. Per the agreement, 250,000 of these options vested and became exercisable on March 6, 2011, and 100,000 will vest and become exercisable on June 6, 2011. The options will expire after ten years. The options are issued under and subject to the terms of the Company's 2008 Omnibus Long-Term Incentive Plan. No required dates of service are specified on the consulting agreement. No repurchase features or cash settlement provisions are specified in the terms and conditions of the Notice of Grant of Stock Option.

The following is a summary of the stock option activities of the Company:

	Activity	Weighted Average Exercise Price
Outstanding as of January 1, 2011	1,833,304	\$ 0.84
Granted	-	-
Exercised	33,333	0.80
Cancelled	-	-
Outstanding as of March 31, 2011	1,799,971	0.84

The following table summarizes information about stock options outstanding as of March 31, 2011:

Options Outstanding			Options <b>E</b>	Exercisable
		Remaining		
		Contractual		
Number of	Exercise	life	Number of	Exercise
shares	Price	(in years)	shares	Price
1,699,971	\$0.80	8	1,699,971	\$0.80
100,000	1.50	8.5	100,000	1.50

# NOTE 17 - STOCK OPTIONS, WARRANTS AND CONVERTIBLE NOTES (CONTINUED)

The fair value per share of the 2,600,000 options issued to the employees and directors is \$0.7934 per share. The fair value per share of the unexercised 100,000 options issued to Wang Rui and Li Qiwen, which became exercisable on June 6, 2010, is \$3.44.

#### (b) Warrants and Convertible Notes

On September 21, 2009, the Company executed an agreement ("Consulting Agreement") with a third-party consultant, whereby the consultant is to provide management consulting and advisory services for a period of 12 months, beginning on September 22, 2009, and ending on September 22, 2010. As compensation for the services provided, the Company agreed to issue 200,000 warrants to purchase the Company's common stock, with 100,000 of these warrants issued at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share and 100,000 of these warrants issued at an exercise price of \$2.00 per share and 100,000 of these warrants issued at an exercise price of \$2.50 per share. All of the warrants have a five year contractual term and were granted on October 22, 2009. The warrants vested in full and became exercisable on January 21, 2010, upon the closing of an initial round of financing. The fair value per share of the 100,000 warrants issued under the Consulting Agreement with an exercise price of \$2.00 is \$4.56, and the fair value per share of the 100,000 warrants issued under the Consulting Agreement with an exercise price of \$2.50 is \$4.48. As of March 31, 2011, the consultant had cashless exercised the 100,000 warrants with the exercise price of \$2.50 per share.

Under a Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of January 21, 2010, by and among the Company and certain investors thereto, the Company issued a total of \$10 million of senior secured convertible notes (the "Convertible Notes") and warrants exercisable for an aggregate of 800,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock (the "Investor Warrants"), for gross proceeds of \$10 million. The Convertible Notes, which accrue interest at a rate of 6% per annum, will mature in two years following the closing date of the offering and are initially convertible, at the option of the holders, into shares of Common Stock at \$6.25 per share. As of January 21, 2010, at the price of \$6.25 per share, the Convertible Notes were convertible into 1,600,000 shares of Common Stock. The Investor Warrants, which are exercisable for a period of three years following the closing date, are initially exercisable for shares of Common Stock at an exercise price of \$6.5625 per share as of January 21, 2010. Included in the associated issuance costs is the fair value of 80,000 warrants issued to a placement agent. These warrants have the same terms and conditions as the Investor Warrants issued to the investors.

Pursuant to the terms of the Convertible Notes and the Investor Warrants, on May 18, 2010, the conversion price of the Convertible Notes was adjusted to \$3.5924 per share and the exercise price of the Investor Warrants and warrants issued to the placement agent was adjusted to \$4.3907 per share. On August 19, 2010, the conversion price of the Convertible Notes was adjusted to \$3.1146 per share and the exercise price of the Investor Warrants and warrants issued to the placement agent was adjusted to \$3.8067 per share. As a result, the number of Investor Warrants and warrants issued to the placement agent were adjusted to 1,379,147 and 137,915 respectively. As of March 31, 2011, the investors had converted \$9,999,000 of the principal amount and \$159,507 of accrued interest of the Convertible Notes into an aggregate of 3,120,795 shares of Common Stock.

As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of the Investor Warrants and the warrants issued to the placement agent is \$1.43 per share, and the fair value of conversion features is \$1.10 per share.

On December 21, 2010, the Company agreed to sell to certain institutional investors up to 3,027,272 shares of the Company's common stock and warrants to purchase up to 1,210,912 shares of the Company's common stock in fixed combination, with each combination consisting of one share of common stock and a warrant to purchase 0.40 shares of common stock in a registered direct public offering ("Second round warrants"). The warrants became exercisable immediately following the closing date of the offering and remain exercisable for three years thereafter at an exercise price of \$6.30 per share. As of March 31, 2011, the fair value of Second round warrants is \$1.45 per share.

# NOTE 18 - STOCK AWARD

According to that certain Consulting Agreement dated as of September 21, 2009, the Company agreed to issue the consultant 100,000 shares of Company's Common Stock upon the achievement of certain conditions. Pursuant to the terms of the Consulting Agreement, the Company issued an aggregate of 100,000 restricted shares of Common Stock to the consultant and certain of its employees on April 14, 2010.

According to that certain consulting agreement dated as of March 1, 2010, between the Company and DGI Investor Relations, Inc., the Company agreed to compensate the consultant in payments of 2,000 shares of Company's Common Stock per quarter for the term of the agreement in exchange for the consultant providing investor relations services. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, as of March 31, 2011 the Company has issued 11,340 shares of Common Stock for services rendered from January 1, 2010 to the end of the agreement.

According to the employment agreement between the Company and Cathy Cao, Executive VP of Finance, as part of her compensation package, the Company agreed to compensate Cathy Cao's service in payments of 2,500 shares of Common Stock per quarter.

The fair value of stock awarded is determined by the closing price of the common stock on the date of stock awarded.

### KANDI TECHNOLOGIES, CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MARCH 31, 2011 (UNAUDITED)

### NOTE 19 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

(a)

Guarantees and Pledged collateral for third party bank loans

(1) Guarantees for third party bank loans

As of March 31, 2011, the Company provided guarantee for the following third parties:

Guarantee provided to	Amount
Zhejiang Kangli Metal Manufacturing Company.	\$4,566,141
Zhejiang Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd.	2,587,480
Zhejiang Shuguang industrial Co., Ltd.	6,088,187
Zhejiang Yiran Auto Sales Company	761,023
Zhejiang Taiping Trade Co., Ltd.	3,500,708
Zhejiang Taiping Shengshi Industrial Co., Ltd.	3,044,094
Nanlong Group Co., Ltd.	3,044,094
Zhejiang Chuangda industrial Co., Ltd.	1,826,456
Yongkang Angtai Trade Co., Ltd.	761,023
Total	\$26,179,206

On December 8, 2010, the Company entered into a guarantee contract to serve as the guarantor for the bank loan borrowed from Shanghai Bank Hangzhou branch in the amount of \$4,566,141 by Zhejiang Kangli Metal Manufacturing Company. ("ZKMMC") for the period from December 8, 2010 to December 8, 2011. ZKMMC is not related to the Company. Under this guarantee contract, the Company shall perform all obligations of ZKMMC under the loan contract if ZKMMC fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contract.

On August 24, 2010, the Company entered into a guarantee contract to serve as the guarantor for the bank loans borrowed from Huaxia Bank Hangzhou branch in the amount of \$2,587,480 by Zhejiang Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd. ("ZMEC") for the period from August 24, 2010 to August 24, 2011. ZMEC is a supplier of but not related to the Company. Under this guarantee contract, the Company shall perform all obligations of ZMEC under the loan contract if ZMEC fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contract.

On June 7, 2010 and December 7, 2010, the Company entered into two guarantee contracts to serve as the guarantor for the bank loans borrowed from Shenzhen Development Bank and Huaxia Bank Hangzhou branch in the amount of \$3,044,094 and \$3,044,094 respectively by Zhejiang Shuguang industrial Co., Ltd. ("ZHICL") for the period from June 7, 2010 to June 7, 2011 and December 7, 2010 to December 7, 2011 respectively. ZHICL is not related to the Company. Under these guarantee contracts, the Company shall perform all obligations of ZHICL under the loan contracts if ZHICL fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contracts.

On September 29, 2010, the Company entered into a guarantee contract to serve as the guarantor for the bank loans borrowed from Bank of Hangzhou in the amount of \$761,023 by Zhejiang Yiran Auto Sales Company ("ZYASC") for the period from September 29, 2010 to September 29, 2011 respectively. ZYASC is not related to the Company. Under these guarantee contracts, the Company shall perform all obligations of ZYASC under the loan contracts if ZYASC fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contracts.

On May 26, 2009, the Company entered into a guarantee contract to serve as the guarantor for the bank loans borrowed from ICBC Wuyi branch in the amount of \$3,500,708 by Zhejiang Taiping Trade Co., Ltd. ("ZTTCL") for the period from May 26, 2009 to May 26, 2011. ZTTCL is not related to the Company. Under this guarantee contract, the Company shall perform all obligations of ZTTCL under the loan contract if ZTTCL fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contract.

On December 8, 2010, the Company entered into a guarantee contract to serve as the guarantor for the bank loans borrowed from Shanghai Bank Hangzhou branch in the amount of \$3,044,094 by Zhejiang Taiping Shengshi Industrial Co., Ltd. ("ZTSICL") for the period from December 8, 2010 to December 8, 2011. ZTSICL is not related to the Company. Under this guarantee contract, the Company shall perform all obligations of ZTSICL under the loan contract if ZTSICL fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contract.

On September 13, 2010, the Company entered into a guarantee contract to serve as the guarantor for the bank loans borrowed from Shanghai Pudong Development Bank Hangzhou branch in the amount of \$3,044,094 by Nanlong Group Co., Ltd. ("NGCL") for the period from September 13, 2010 to September 12, 2010. NGCL is not related to the Company. Under this guarantee contract, the Company shall perform all obligations of NGCL under the loan contract if NGCL fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contract.

On January 25, 2011 and February 12, 2011, the Company entered into two guarantee contracts to serve as the guarantor for the bank loans borrowed from ICBC Jinhua Tieling branch in the amount of \$913,228 and \$\$913,228 respectively by Zhejiang Chuangda industrial Co., Ltd. ("ZCICL") for the period from January 25, 2011 to July 18, 2011 and February 12, 2011 to July 24, 2011 respectively. ZCICL is not related to the Company. Under these guarantee contracts, the Company shall perform all obligations of ZCICL under the loan contracts if ZCICL fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contracts.

On January 7, 2011, the Company entered into two guarantee contracts to serve as the guarantor for the bank loans borrowed from China Communication Bank Jinhua Branch in the amount of \$152,205 and \$608,819 respectively by Yongkang Angtai Trade Co., Ltd. ("YATCL") for the period from January 7, 2011 to December 31, 2012. YATCL is not related to the Company. Under these guarantee contracts, the Company shall perform all obligations of YATCL under the loan contracts if YATCL fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contracts.

(2) Guarantees for third party bank notes

	Guarantee provided to	Amount
Zhejiang Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd.	-	\$1,217,637
Total		\$1,217,637

On August 24, 2010, the Company entered into a guarantee contract to serve as guarantor for the bank note borrowed from Huaxia Bank Hangzhou branch in the amount of \$1,217,637 by Zhejiang Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd. ("ZMEC") for the period from August 24, 2010 to August 24, 2011. ZMEC is a supplier but not related to the Company. Under this guarantee contract, the Company shall perform all obligations of ZMEC under the loan contract if ZMEC fails to perform its obligations as set forth in the loan contract.

(3) Pledged collateral for a third party's bank loans

As of March 31, 2011, the Company provided the land use rights and plant and equipment pledged as collateral for the following third party:

Zhejiang Mengdeli Electric Co., Ltd.	
Land use rights net book value	\$6,838,287
Plant and equipment net book value	\$4,604,071

It is a common business practice among companies in the region of China where Kandi is located to exchange guarantees for bank debt with no consideration given. It is considered a "favor for favor" business practice and is commonly required by the lending banks as in these cases. These companies provided guarantees for the Company's bank loans as well. The banks involved in these guarantee transactions typically allow a maximum loan amount based on a 30% to 70% discount on the net book value of the pledged collateral. Also see Note 14.

(b)

# Pending litigations

There are two lawsuits currently pending in Ripley County, Missouri against the Company and its subsidiary Zhejiang Kandi Vehicles Co., Ltd.("Kandi Vehicles"), Kandi Investment Group, SunL and other parties, and they are related to two persons who died in an accident on March 3, 2006 while operating a go-cart allegedly manufactured by Kandi Vehicles. Kandi Investment Group was a major shareholder of Kandi Vehicles but it transferred all its equity in Kandi Vehicles to Continental Development Limited in November 2006. Since then, Kandi Investment Group has been unrelated to the Company or its affiliates.

The cases were filed in 2009 and are known as Elder vs. SunL Group and Griffen vs. SunL Group. In March, 2010, the local trial court entered two default judgments in the amount of \$20,000,000 each against Kandi Vehicles, Kandi Investment and other parties but not the Company. The lawsuit and default judgments didn't come to the Company or Kandi Vehicles' attention until May or June 2010. The Company had not been served or notified of the lawsuits and learned of their existence and of the default judgment in the course of commercial discussions with another of the defendants in the cases. Currently, the Company and Kandi Vehicles have filed answers to the complaint denying any culpability. The Company also moved for the default judgments against Kandi Vehicles to be set aside and on February 28, 2011, the Judge granted that motion and in the docket entry noted that the motion was granted because the court had no jurisdiction due to plaintiff's failing to obtain service on Kandi Vehicles. On March 3, 2011, the plaintiffs appealed this order vacating the default judgments. The court of appeals thereafter ordered the plaintiffs to show cause by April 1, 2011 why the appeal should not be dismissed. The Plaintiffs responded by voluntarily withdrawing their appeals.

### KANDI TECHNOLOGIES, CORP. AND SUBSIDIARIES NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS MARCH 31, 2011 (UNAUDITED)

The Company intends to defend these cases vigorously and believe it will prevail in this lawsuit since the Company including its subsidiaries did not manufacture the subject vehicle in the accident. The Company intends to propound discovery on the plaintiffs and will attempt to have the cases dismissed by summary judgment, if possible.

# NOTE 20 COMPARATIVE AMOUNTS

Prior year comparative amounts have been reclassified to conform to current year's presentation. In the condensed consolidated statements of Income (loss) and comprehensive income (loss) (unaudited), the amount of change in fair value of financial instruments, which is included in Interest expense, net in 2010, has been separated in this reporting.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

This report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws that relate to future events or our future financial performance. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology, such as "may," "will," "should," "could," "expect," "plan," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "project," "predict," "intend," "potential" or "continue" or the negative of such terms or other comparable terminology, although not all forward-looking statements contain such terms.

In addition, these forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements regarding implementing our business strategy; development and marketing of our products; our estimates of future revenue and profitability; our expectations regarding future expenses, including research and development, sales and marketing, manufacturing and general and administrative expenses; difficulty or inability to raise additional financing, if needed, on terms acceptable to us; our estimates regarding our capital requirements and our needs for additional financing; attracting and retaining customers and employees; sources of revenue and anticipated revenue; and competition in our market.

Forward-looking statements are only predictions. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. All of our forward-looking information is subject to risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results expected. Although it is not possible to identify all factors, these risks and uncertainties include the risk factors and the timing of any of those risk factors described in the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 and those set forth from time to time in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). These documents are available on the SEC's Electronic Data Gathering and Analysis Retrieval System athttp://www.sec.gov.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Policy affecting options, warrants and convertible notes

The Company's stock option cost is recorded in accordance with ASC 718 and ASC 505.

The fair value of stock options is estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton model. The Company's expected volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected life assumption is primarily based on the expiration date of the option. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. Stock option expense recognized is based on awards expected to vest, and there were no estimated forfeitures. ASC standards requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised in subsequent periods, if necessary, if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

The Company's warrant costs are recorded in liabilities and equities, respectively, in accordance with ASC 480, ASC 505 and ASC 815.

The fair value of warrants, which is classified as a liability, is estimated using a Black-Scholes-Merton model. The Company's expected volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected life assumption is primarily based on the expiration date of the warrant. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the warrant is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of measurement. The warrants, which are freestanding derivatives and are classified as liabilities on the balance sheet, will be measured at fair value on each reporting date, with decreases in fair value recognized in earnings and increases in fair values recognized in expenses as interest expense.

The Company determined that the fair value of equity based warrants, which are not considered derivatives under ASC 815, is estimated using the Black-Scholes-Merton model. The Company's expected volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected life assumption is primarily based on the expiration date of the warrant. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

In accordance with ASC 815, the conversion feature of the Convertible Notes is separated from the debt instrument and accounted for separately as a derivative instrument. On the date the Convertible Notes are issued, the conversion feature was recorded as a liability at its fair value, and future decreases in fair value recognized in earnings while increases in fair values recognized in expenses as interest expense. The Company used the Black-Scholes-Merton option-pricing model to obtain the fair value of the conversion feature. The Company's expected volatility assumption is based on the historical volatility of the Company's stock. The expected life assumption is primarily based on the expiration date of the conversion features. The risk-free interest rate for the expected term of the conversion features is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of measurement.

Estimates affecting accounts receivable and inventories

The preparation of our consolidated financial statements requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect our reporting of assets and liabilities (and contingent assets and liabilities). These estimates are particularly significant where they affect the reported net realizable value of the Company's accounts receivable and inventories.

Accounts receivable are recognized and carried at net realizable value. An allowance for doubtful accounts will be recorded in the period when a loss is probable based on an assessment of specific evidence indicating troubled collection, historical experience, accounts aging, ongoing business relation and other factors. Accounts are written off after exhaustive efforts at collection. If accounts receivable are to be provided for, or written off, they would be recognized in the consolidated statement of operations within operating expenses. At March 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010, the Company has an allowance for doubtful accounts of \$0 and \$0 respectively, as per the management's judgment based on their best knowledge.

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost, determined on a weighted average basis, or net realizable value. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less the estimated cost of completion and the estimated costs necessary to make the sale. When inventories are sold, their carrying amount is charged to expense in the year in which the revenue is recognized. Write-downs for declines in net realizable value or for losses of inventories are recognized as an expense in the year the impairment or loss occurs. There were no declines in net realizable value of inventory for the three months ended March 31, 2011.

While the Company currently believes that there is little likelihood that actual results will differ materially from these current estimates, if customer demand for our products decreases significantly in the near future, or if the financial condition of our customers deteriorates in the near future, the Company could realize significant write downs for slow-moving inventories or uncollectible accounts receivable.

# **Revenue Recognition**

Revenues represent the invoiced value of goods sold, recognized upon the shipment of goods to customers. Revenues are recognized when all of the following criteria are met:

•Delivery has occurred or services have been rendered;
The seller's price to the buyer is fixed or determinable; and

Collectability is reasonably assured.

#### New Accounting Pronouncements

#### **Recently Implemented Standards**

ASC 105, Generally Accepted Accounting Principles ("ASC 105") (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 168, The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162) reorganized by topic existing accounting and reporting guidance issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") into a single source of authoritative generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") to be applied by nongovernmental entities. All guidance contained in the Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") carries an equal level of authority. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. Accordingly, all other accounting literature will be deemed "non-authoritative." ASC 105 is effective on a prospective basis for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. The Company has implemented the guidance included in ASC 105 as of July 1, 2009. The implementation of this guidance changed the Company's references to GAAP authoritative guidance but did not impact the Company's financial position or results of operations.

ASC 855, Subsequent Events ("ASC 855") (formerly Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 165, Subsequent Events) includes guidance that was issued by the FASB in May 2009, and is consistent with current auditing standards in defining a subsequent event. Additionally, the guidance provides for disclosure regarding the existence and timing of a company's evaluation of its subsequent events. ASC 855 defines two types of subsequent events, "recognized" and "non-recognized." Recognized subsequent events provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet and are required to be reflected in the financial statements. Non-recognized subsequent events provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after that date and, therefore; are not required to be reflected in the financial statements. However, certain non-recognized subsequent events may require disclosure to prevent the financial statements from being misleading. This guidance was effective prospectively for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. The Company implemented the guidance included in ASC 855 as of July 1, 2009. The effect of implementing this guidance was not material to the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-01, Equity (Topic 505): Accounting for Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash. This update clarifies that the stock portion of a distribution to shareholders that allows them to elect to receive cash or stock with a potential limitation on the total amount of cash that all shareholders can elect to receive in the aggregate is considered a share issuance that is reflected in earnings per share prospectively and is not a stock dividend. This ASU codified the consensus reached in EITF Issue No. 09-E "Accounting for Stock Dividends, Including Distributions to Shareholders with Components of Stock and Cash". ASU 2010-01 is effective for interim and annual periods ending on or after December 15, 2009, and should be applied on a retrospective basis. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Updated ("ASU") No. 2010-02, Consolidation (Topics 810) – Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a Subsidiary - A Scope Clarification. This update provides guidance for non-controlling interests and changes in ownership interests of a subsidiary. An entity is required to deconsolidate a subsidiary when the entity ceases to have a controlling financial interest in the subsidiary. Upon deconsolidation of a subsidiary, an entity recognizes a gain or loss on the transaction and measures any retained investment in the subsidiary at fair value. The gain or loss includes any gain or loss associated with the difference between the fair value of the retained investment in the subsidiary and its carrying amount at the date the subsidiary is deconsolidated. In contrast, an entity is required to account for a decrease in its ownership interest of a subsidiary that does not result in a change of control of the subsidiary as an equity transaction. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements. This update requires some new disclosures and clarifies some existing disclosure requirements about fair value measurement as set forth in Codification Subtopic 820-10. The FASB's objective is to improve these disclosures and, thus, increase the transparency in financial reporting. Specifically, ASU 2010-06 amends Codification Subtopic 820-10 to now require:

A reporting entity should disclose separately the amounts of significant transfers in and out of Level 1 and Level 2 fair value measurements and describe the reasons for the transfers; and

In the reconciliation for fair value measurements using significant unobservable inputs, a reporting entity should present separately information about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements.

In addition, ASU 2010-06 clarifies the requirements of the following existing disclosures:

For purposes of reporting fair value measurement for each class of assets and liabilities, a reporting entity needs to use judgment in determining the appropriate classes of assets and liabilities; and

A reporting entity should provide disclosures about the valuation techniques and inputs used to measure fair value for both recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements.

ASU 2010-06 is effective for interim and annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2009, except for the disclosures about purchases, sales, issuances, and settlements in the roll forward of activity in Level 3 fair value measurements. Those disclosures are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2010, and for interim periods within those fiscal years. Early application is permitted. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-09, Subsequent Events (Topic 855): Amendments to Certain Recognition and Disclosure Requirements. This update is to remove the requirement for an SEC filer to disclose a date through which subsequent events have been evaluated in both issued and revised financial statements. Revised financial statements include financial statements revised as a result of either correction of an error or retrospective application of U.S. GAAP. The FASB also clarified that if the financial statements have been revised, then an entity that is not an SEC filer should disclose both the date that the financial statements were issued or available to be issued and the date the revised financial statements were issued or available to be issued. The FASB believes these amendments remove potential conflicts with the SEC's literature.

In addition, the amendments in the ASU requires an entity that is a conduit bond obligor for conduit debt securities that are traded in a public market to evaluate subsequent events through the date of issuance of its financial statements and must disclose such date. All of the amendments in the ASU were effective upon issuance (February 24, 2010) except for the use of the issued date for conduit debt obligors. That amendment is effective for interim or annual periods ending after June 15, 2010. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-10, Consolidation (Topic 810): Amendments for Certain Investment Funds. This update is to defer the effective date of certain amendments to the consolidation requirements of FASB Accounting Standards CodificationTM (Codification) Topic 810, Consolidation, resulting from the issuance of FASB Accounting Standard No. 167, Amendments to FASB Interpretation 46(R). Specifically, the amendments to the consolidation requirements of Topic 810 resulting from the issuance of Statement 167 are deferred for a reporting entity's interest in an entity:

That has all the attributes of an investment company; or

For which it is industry practice to apply measurement principles for financial reporting purposes that are consistent with those followed by investment companies.

The ASU does not defer the disclosure requirements in the Statement 167 amendments to Topic 810. The amendments in this ASU are effective as of the beginning of a reporting entity's first annual period that begins after November 15, 2009, and for interim periods within that first annual reporting period. Early application is not permitted. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-13, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades. This update is to codify the consensus reached in EITF Issue No. 09-J, "Effect of Denominating the Exercise Price of a Share-Based Payment Award in the Currency of the Market in Which the Underlying Equity Security Trades." The amendments to the Codification clarify that an employee share-based payment award with an exercise price denominated in the currency of a market in which a substantial portion of the entity's equity shares trades should not be considered to contain a condition that is not a market, performance, or services condition. Therefore, an entity would not classify such an award as a liability if it otherwise qualifies as equity. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-21, Accounting for Technical Amendments to Various SEC Rules and Schedules. This update amends various SEC paragraphs in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification pursuant to SEC Final Rule, "Technical Amendments to Rules Forms, Schedules and Codification of Financial Reporting Policies". The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-22, Accounting for Various Topics. This update amends various SEC paragraphs in the FASB Accounting Standards Codification based on external comments received and the issuance of Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 112 which amends or rescinds portions of certain SAB topics. SAB 112 was issued to bring existing SEC guidance into conformity with ASC 805 "Business Combination" and ASC 810 "Consolidation". The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

ASC Update ("ASU") No. 2010-29, Business Combinations (Topic 805): Disclosure of Supplementary Pro Forma Information for Business Combinations. This update reflects the decision reached in EITF Issue No. 10-G. The amendments in this ASU affect any public entity as defined by Topic 805, Business Combinations, that enters into business combinations that are material on an individual or aggregate basis. The amendments in this ASU specify that if a public entity presents comparative financial statements, the entity should disclose revenue and earnings of the combined entity as though the business combination(s) that occurred during the current year had occurred as of the beginning of the comparable prior annual reporting period only. The amendments also expand the supplemental pro forma disclosures to include a description of the nature and amount of material, nonrecurring pro forma adjustments directly attributable to the business combination included in the reported pro forma revenue and earnings. The amendments are effective prospectively for business combinations for which the acquisition date is on or after the beginning of the first annual reporting period beginning on or after December 15, 2010. Early adoption is permitted. The adoption of this update did not have any material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Other accounting standards that have been issued or proposed by the FASB or other standards-setting bodies that do not require adoption until a future date are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements upon adoption.

# **Results of Operations**

# Comparison of Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 and 2010

The following table sets forth the amounts and percentage relationship to revenue of certain items in our condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income

	For Three Months Ended			For Three Months Ended						
	March 31, 2011	% Of Revenue	;	March 31, 2010		% Of Revenue	•	Change In Amount	Change In %	1
REVENUES, NET	\$8,341,506	100	%	\$8,254,624		100	%	\$86,882	1.1	%
COST OF GOODS SOLD	(6,280,073)	(75.3	%)	(6,404,615	5)	(77.6	%)	(124,542)	(1.9	%)
GROSS PROFIT	2,061,433	24.7	%	1,850,009		22.4	%	211,424	11.4	%
Research and development	511,952	6.1	%	343,407		4.2	%	168,545	49.1	%
Selling and distribution										
expenses	56,936	0.7	%	852,326		10.3	%	(795,390)	(93.3	%)
General and administrative										
expenses	673,867	8.1	%	650,812		7.9	%	23,055	3.5	%
INCOME FROM										
OPERATIONS	818,678	9.8	%	3,464		0.0	%	815,214	23,533.9	%
Interest expense, net	(297,270)	(3.6	%)	(770,565	)	(9.3	%)	473,295	(61.4	%)
Change in fair value of										
financial instruments	5,385,178	64.6	%	562,639		6.8	%	4,822,539	857.1	%
Government grants	7,588	0.1	%	29,842		0.4	%	(22,254)	(74.6	%)
Investment income	1,214	0	%	-		-		1,214	100	%
Other income, net	113,706	1.4	%	47,051		0.6	%	66,655	141.7	%
(LOSS) INCOME FROM OPERATIONS BEFORE										
INCOME TAXES	6,029,094	72.3	%	(127,569	)	(1.5	%)	6,156,663	(4,826.1	%)
	0,029,094	12.5	70	(127,309	)	(1.)	70)	0,150,005	(4,020.1	70)
INCOME TAX (EXPENSE) BENEFIT	(90,694)	(1.1	%)	(50,326	)	(0.6	%)	(40,368)	80.2	%
NET (LOSS) INCOME	5,938,400	(71.2	%)	(177,895	)	(2.2	%)	6,116,295	(3,438.2	%)

# (a) Revenue

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, our revenue increased by 1.1% from \$8,254,624 to \$8,341,506 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2010.

The following table lists the number of vehicles sold, categorized by vehicle types, within the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended March 31			
	/ -	2011	2010	
	Unit	Sales	Unit	Sales
ATV	1,620	\$1,414,516	1,053	\$1,001,153
Super-mini car 1	209	1,032,763	372	1,679,458
Go-Kart	5,366	4,948,190	3,602	3,764,963
Utility vehicles ("UTVs")	233	490,245	567	1,295,573
Three wheeled motorcycle	191	455,792	217	513,477
Total	7,619	8,341,506	5,811	8,254,624

1) include the products called CoCo, EV and mini-car in the previous filing.

#### Off-Road Vehicles

During the three months ended March 31, 2011, the market condition for ATV products continues to recover. The Company developed some low price products to meet markets demands, which has caused good results and successfully increased the Company's sales. Revenues from our ATVs experienced a significant increase of \$413,363, or 41% in the three months ended March 31, 2011 over the comparable period, which was attributable to 54% increase from 1,053 units in the first three months of 2010 to 1,620 units in 2011, partially caused by an 8% unit price reduction.

In the first three months of 2011, our Go-Karts experienced a significant increase in revenue of \$1.18 million or 31.4% over the same period of last year, which was mainly attributable to a 49% increase in unit sales from 3,602 units in the three months ended March 31, 2010 to 5,366 units in 2011. Just as with ATVs, the Company's successful development of meet-market-demands low price products has achieved good results, and with the help of good market conditions, the Company realized a significant increase of revenue in Go-Karts.

Because the role of the three-wheeled motorcycle (TT) is changing from a recreational vehicle that is not allowed to run on the road to a formal vehicle, which needs to pass certain certifications, this role-changing period caused the revenues from our TT to drop by \$57,685, or 11.2%, from the three months ended 2010 to 2011, which was attributable to a decrease in unit sales of 12% from 217 units in the three months ended March 31, 2010 to 191 units in 2011, with the unit price flat.

Utility vehicles (UTVs) experienced a significant decrease in revenues from \$1,295,573 to \$490,245. This 62.2% decrease is due to an 8% decrease in average unit price and a 59% drop in unit sales from 567 units in the three months ended March 31, 2010 to 233 units for the same period of 2011. This significant drop is primarily because of the continuing high competition in this UTV market, while the UTV manufactured by the Company is relatively high end and more expensive, which effected the sales.

# Super-mini-Car Products

Because the Company has shifted its focus of super-mini cars from overseas market to the domestic Chinese market, and as governments at different levels are still in the process of determining the supporting policies, the Company has not realized mass unit sales during this reporting period. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, revenues from our Super-mini car, dropped significantly by \$646,695, or 38.5% from the same period of 2010, which was attributable to a decrease in unit sales of 43.8% from 372 units in the first three months of 2010 to 209 units in 2011.

The following table shows the breakdown of Kandi's revenues from its customers by geographical markets based on the location of the customer during the 3 months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010:

	Three Months Ended March 31				
	20	11	2010		
	Sales	Percentage	Sales	Percent	tage
North America	\$1,059,533	13	% \$1,021,999	12	%
China	7,034,824	84	% 7,128,516	86	%
Europe & other region	247,149	3	% 104,109	2	%
Total	\$8,341,506	100	% \$8,254,624	100	%

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, about 90% of sales in China are sales to Chinese export agents, who resell the company's products to markets around the world.

# (b) Cost of goods sold

Cost of goods sold during the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$6,280,073, representing a slight 1.9% decrease of \$124,542, or 1.9% from the three months ended March 31, 2010, reflecting the Company's more efficient cost control. Cost of goods sold was 75.3% of the total revenue for the period as compared to 77.6% for the comparable period, primarily because of the Company's increased operating efficiency and manufacturing cost management.

# (c) Gross profit

Gross profit for the first quarter of 2011 increased 11.4% to \$2,061,433 compared to the same period of last year, as a result of increased revenue. The Company has put more efforts and resources into developing and promoting products with higher gross margins, and more importantly, the Company has achieved more effective manufacturing cost management. As of March 31, 2011, the Company's gross margin increased to 24.7%, compared to 22.4% for the same period of 2010.

# (d) Selling and distribution expenses

Selling and distribution expenses were \$56,936 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$852,326 from the same period in 2010, representing a 93% decrease. The significant decrease is because the expense for three months ended March 31, 2010 included expense related to the options issued to consultants for their services assisting the Company in expanding within the Chinese market. Excluding the \$780,902 option related expense, the net Selling and distribution expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2010 was \$71,424. Excluding these cost, selling and distribution expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 would have decreased 20% from the same period of 2010, primarily because some customers paid for transportation expense, thus reducing the transportation expense borne by the Company.

#### (e) General and administrative expenses

General and administrative expenses were \$673,867 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$650,812 for the same period in 2010, representing a 3.5% increase. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the general and administrative expenses included \$85,957 in stock-based compensation cost for the options issued to the Company's executives and managerial level employees, while for the same period of last year, this stock based compensation cost was \$200,566. In addition, the general and administrative expenses also included \$17,792 in expenses for common stock awards to employees and consultants for financing and investor relations services. Excluding the effect of stock based compensation cost and stock award cost, the net general and administrative expenses for the three months ended March 31, 2011 was \$570,118, an increase of 26.6% from \$450,246 for the same period of 2010. This increase was primarily due to the increase of expenses incurred by the Company's increased activities in capital markets.

# (f) Research and development

Research and development expenses were \$511,952 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$343,407 from the same period in 2010, representing a 49.1% increase. This increase was primarily due to additional research and development efforts on new products and on quality improvement on existing products. In the first three months of 2011, the Company strengthened the research and development for electrical vehicles equipped with lithium battery (model 5010 XXYEV and 5011XXYEV) in order to seek the leading position in new energy vehicle market; in addition, the Company successfully developed some low price ATV products, which have received good feedback for the first three months of 2011.

#### (g) Government grants

Government grants totaled \$7,588 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, representing a 74.6% decrease over the same period in 2010. This decrease was mainly because the Company successfully implemented advanced information technology in its daily operation during three months ended March 31, 2010, which was awarded by the local government with a grant of one time subsidy. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the government grant is in subsidies for supporting technological innovation and patent application.

# (h) Net interest expense

Net interest expense was \$297,270 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to \$770,565 net interest expense for the same period last year, representing a decrease of 61.4%. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the interest expense for convertible notes was \$15, and the interest incurred by the amortization of debt discount was \$92 since only \$1,000 convertible notes were left for the three months ended March 31, 2011. While for the same period of last year, the interest for the convertible notes was \$117,742, and the interest incurred by the amortization of debt discount was \$239,366. Excluding the effects of interest expense related to convertible notes, the net interest expense for this reporting period was \$297,163, a decrease of 28% from \$413,457 for the same period of 2010, primarily due to the increase of interest income earned from the note receivables issued to third parties.

# (i) Change in fair value of financial instruments

For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the interest income, which was caused by the changes of fair value of warrants issued to investors and placement agents, and the changes of fair value of conversion features embedded in convertible notes, was \$5,385,178, while for the same period of last year, the interest income, which was caused by the changes of fair value of financial instruments, was \$562,639. This significant increase was due primarily to the lower stock price in the first quarter of 2011.

# (j) Other Income, Net

Net other income was \$113,706 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, compared to \$47,051 for the same period of last year, an increase of \$66,655 or 141.7%. This increase is primarily the result of a write off of other payables as a penalty for a construction contractor for its delayed schedule.

#### (k) Net (loss) income

The operating performance of the Company for the three months ended March 31, 2011 reflected a net income of \$5,938,400, significantly improved from a net loss of \$177,895 for the same period of last year, primarily due to the changes of fair value of warrants issued to investors and placement agents.

Excluding the effects of option related expenses, which was \$85,957 and \$981,468 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively, the stock award expense, which was \$17,792 and \$0 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively, the Convertible Note's interest expense, which was \$15 and \$117,742 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively, the effect caused by amortization of discount on Convertible Notes, which was \$92 and \$239,366 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively, and the change of the fair value of financial derivatives, which was \$5,385,178 and \$562,639 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company's net income was \$657,078, an increase 9.9% as compared with net income of \$598,041 for the same period of 2010 excluding the same effects. This increase is primarily due to the increase of gross profit and the increase of other income, although offset by the increase of research and development expense, and the increase of general and administrative expense.

As of the date of this Form 10-Q filing, all but \$1,000 of the Convertible Notes have already been converted.

# **Financial Condition**

# Working Capital

The Company had a working capital surplus of \$20,208,386 at March 31, 2011, an improvement from a working capital deficit of \$1,705,852 as of March 31, 2010, which was principally due to the Company's additional equity offering in December 2010, and most convertible notes issued in January 2010 have been converted to common stock. The Company used part of these proceeds in the Company's working capital and used part of these proceeds in the prepayment for purchasing fixed assets used for production.

As of March 31, 2011, the Company has credit lines from commercial banks for \$38,355,581, of which \$28,614,481 was used on March 31, 2011. The Company believes that its cash flows generated internally may not be sufficient to sustain operations and repay short term bank loans for the next twelve months. However, the Company believes its access to existing financing sources and established relationships with PRC banks will enable it to meet its obligations and fund its ongoing operations.

The Company has historically financed itself through short-term commercial bank loans from PRC banks. The term of these loans are typically for one year, and upon the payment of all outstanding principal and interest in a respective loan, the banks have typically rolled over the loans for additional one-year terms, with adjustments made to the interest rate to reflect prevailing market rates. The Company believes this situation has not changed and the short-term bank loans will be available on normal trade terms if needed.

Capital Requirements and Capital Provided

Capital requirements and capital provided for the three months ended March 31, 2011 are as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2011 (In thousands)	
Capital requirements		
Purchase of plant and equipment	\$	172
Issuance of notes receivable		2,716
Repayments of short-term bank loans		6,829
Repayments of notes payable		5,463
Increase in restricted cash		9,432
Internal cash used in operations		9,439
Total capital requirements	\$	34,051
Capital provided		
Decrease in cash		251
Proceeds from short-term bank loan		6,829
Proceeds from notes payable		19,425
Repayments of notes receivable		7,476
Other financing activities		27
Total capital provided	\$	34,008

For further information, see the Statement of Cash Flows.

The difference between capital provided and capital requirement is the effect of exchange rage changes over the past three months.

# Cash Flow

Net cash flow used in operating activities was \$9,438,803 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to net cash flow provided by operating activities of \$957,837 in the same period in 2010. The decrease of net cash flow by operating activities was mainly due to the prepayments and prepaid expenses. The account has changed to cash outflow of \$6,947,548 in the three months ended 2011 from cash inflow of \$641,890 for the same period of last year, which is mainly caused by the Company's having prepaid part of the model manufacturing payments to suppliers. Acquisition effect of \$97,793 for the three month ended March 31, 2011 is related to investments in Kandi New Energy, mainly because of the interest income accrued before Kandi New Energy was consolidated into Kandi Vehicles, which is not included in the net income for this reporting period, but included in the Company's consolidated assets.

Net cash flow provided by investing activities was \$4,587,301 for the three months ended March 31, 2011 as compared to net cash flow used in investing activities of \$7,379,846 for the same reporting period in 2010. For the three months ended March 31, 2011, the Company issued \$2,716,484 in notes receivable, and collected \$7,476,170 in repayment of note receivables, which caused a net cash inflow \$4,759,686 from notes receivable. For the same period of last year, the Company recorded a net cash outflow \$7,215,876 in notes receivable, due to the \$1,536,569 repayment of notes receivable and \$8,752,445 issuance of notes receivable.

Net cash flow provided by financing activities was \$4,556,872 for the three months ended March 31, 2011, as compared to net cash flow provided by financing activities of \$8,676,551 for the three months ended March 31, 2010. Cash flow provided by financing activities in this quarter was primarily due to net cash inflow from notes payable issued of \$13,961,817 although offset by the cash outflow of \$9,431,611 for the restricted cash, while for the same period of last year, the net cash inflow caused by notes payable was \$7,772,168, mainly caused by the issuing of convertible notes in January 2010. The increase of restricted cash is primarily due to the increase of note payable bank issued, please also refer to Note 15 of Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Recent Development Activities:

On March 14, 2011, the Company finalized a Strategic Cooperation Agreement with Share s.r.l corporation (the "SHARE"), a Rome, Italy based EV distributor. Under the terms of the strategic cooperation agreement, Kandi will provide 1,000 electric vehicles for export to Italy. To better protect and preserve the ancient city of Rome, its city government has planned to gradually employ electric vehicles to restrict gasoline cars' entrance into the city, which will substantially reduce pollution from automobile emissions. This commitment brings a unique opportunity for Kandi's pure electric vehicles in the European market. According to the cooperative agreement signed by the companies, Kandi and SHARE will cooperate to expand sales of Kandi's pure electric vehicles in the European market, with Rome as a starting point.

On March 29, Kandi Technologies' New Lithium-Ion Electric Vehicle unveiled at Hangzhou's First New Energy Vehicle Showroom. The KD 5011 is available for sale to consumers in Hangzhou. The new model KD 5011 EV is approved for a national subsidy of RMB60,000, provides average consumers with a low-cost, eco-friendly alternative for urban transportation.

On April 25, Vice Governor Mao of Zhejiang Province visits Kandi Technologies' facilities, along with other provincial and Jinhua city government officials. Mr. Mao encouraged Kandi to continue its innovative focus on pure EV business development while maintaining overall quality and competitiveness within the marketplace.

Recently, the major focus of EV commercialization has shifted to markets within China. Jinhua municipal city of Zhejiang Province has started a 3,000-car experimental program, which will use Kandi's electric vehicles. In addition, Kandi has taken further steps to expand into the markets of Hangzhou and other cities in China. This progress has laid a foundation for increased EV sales, and the Company expects further positive developments as the year progresses.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

The Company maintains a system of disclosure controls and procedures that is designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in this Form 10-Q, and in other reports required to be filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms for such filings. Management of the Company, under the direction of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, reviewed and performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15a(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act) as of March 31, 2011. Based on that review and evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, along with other key management of the Company, have determined that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of such date.

In connection with the evaluation described above, we identified no change in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended) during the quarter ended March 31, 2011 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

# PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

# Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

There are two lawsuits currently pending in Ripley County, Missouri against the Company and its subsidiary Zhejiang Kandi Vehicles Co., Ltd.("Kandi Vehicles"), Kandi Investment Group, SunL and other parties, and they are related to two persons died in an accident on March 3, 2006 while operating a go-cart allegedly manufactured by the Kandi Vehicles. Kandi Investment Group was a major shareholder of Kandi Vehicles but it transferred all its equity in Kandi Vehicles to Continental Development Limited in November 2006. Since then, Kandi Investment Group is unrelated to the Company or its affiliates.

The cases were filed in 2009 and are known as Elder vs. SunL Group and Griffen vs. SunL Group. In March, 2010, the local trial court entered two default judgments in the amount of \$20,000,000 each against Kandi Vehicles, Kandi Investment and other parties but not the Company. The lawsuit and default judgments didn't come to the Company or Kandi Vehicles' attention until May or June 2010. The Company had not been served or notified of the lawsuits and learned of their existence and of the default judgment in the course of commercial discussions with another of the defendants in the cases. Currently, the Company and Kandi Vehicles have filed answers to the complaint denying any culpability. The Company also moved for the default judgments against Kandi Vehicles to be set aside and on February 28, 2011, the Judge granted that motion and in the docket entry noted that the motion was granted because the court had no jurisdiction due to plaintiff's failing to obtain service on Kandi Vehicles. On March 3, 2011, the plaintiffs appealed this order vacating the default judgments. The court of appeals thereafter ordered the plaintiff to show cause by April 1, 2011 why the appeal should not be dismissed. The plaintiffs responded by voluntarily withdrawing their appeals.

The Company intends to defend these cases vigorously and has the confidence to prevail in this lawsuit since the Company including its subsidiaries did not manufacture the subject vehicle in the accident. The Company intends to propound discovery on the plaintiffs and will attempt to have the cases dismissed by summary judgment, if possible.

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

As of the date of this filing, there have been no material changes from the risk factors previously disclosed in our "Risk Factors" in the Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2010. An investment in our common stock involves various risks. When considering an investment in our company, you should consider carefully all of the risk factors described in our most recent Form 10-K. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones facing us and there may be additional matters that we are unaware of or that we currently consider immaterial. All of these could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows and, thus, the value of an investment in our company.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

None.

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

None.

Item 4. Removed and Reserved.

Item 5. Other Information.

None.

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit Number 10.1	Description Loan Agreement dated January 31, 2011, by and between Zhejiang Kandi Vehicles Co., Ltd. and Mr. Xiaoming Hu
31.1	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
31.2	Certification pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
32.1	Certification of CEO and CFO pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
55	

#### SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

	Kandi Technologies, Corp.		
Date: May 16, 2011	By:	/s/ Hu Xiaoming Hu Xiaoming President and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer)	
Date: May 16, 2011	Ву	: /s/ Zhu Xiaoying Zhu Xiaoying Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	