NET 1 UEPS TECHNOLOGIES INC Form 10-O February 08, 2018

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE **ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended December 31, 2017

OR

[] TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES AND **EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from ______ to _____

Commission file number: 000-31203

NET 1 UEPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Florida

98-0171860

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(IRS Employer Identification No.) President Place, 4th Floor, Cnr. Jan Smuts Avenue and Bolton Road

Rosebank, Johannesburg 2196, South Africa

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: 27-11-343-2000

Not Applicable

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. YES [X] NO []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if

any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

YES [X] NO []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, a accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, and emerging growth company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

 [] Large accelerated filer
 [X] Accelerated filer

[] Non-accelerated filer [] Smaller reporting company (do not check if a smaller reporting company)

[] Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act. []

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). YES [] NO [X]

As of February 6, 2018 (the latest practicable date), 56,832,370 shares of the registrant s common stock, par value \$0.001 per share, net of treasury shares, were outstanding.

Form 10-Q

NET 1 UEPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.

Table of Contents

		Page No.
PART I. F	INANCIAL INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Financial Statements	
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2017 and June 30,	<u>2</u>
	2017	
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months	<u>3</u>
	ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three	<u>4</u>
	and six months ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the six months	<u>5</u>
	ended December 31, 2017	
	Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the three and six months	<u>6</u>
	ended December 31, 2017 and 2016	
	Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	<u>7</u>
<u>Item 2.</u>	Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	<u>30</u>
<u>Item 3.</u>	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	<u>48</u>
<u>Item 4.</u>	Controls and Procedures	<u>48</u>
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
<u>Item 1.</u>	Legal Proceedings	<u>49</u>
<u>Item 6.</u>	Exhibits	<u>49</u>
		<u>50</u>
Signatures		
EXHIB	<u>IT 10.79</u>	
EXHIB	<u>IT 31.1</u>	
EXHIB	<u>IT 31.2</u>	
EXHIB	<u>IT 32</u>	
	1	

Part I. Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements

NET 1 UEPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets

	Unaudited December 31, 2017	(A) June 30, 2017
	(In thousands	s, except share data)
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 64,896	\$ 258,457
Pre-funded social welfare grants receivable (Note 2)	3,300	2,322
Accounts receivable, net of allowances of December: \$1,251;	128,543	111,429
June: \$1,255		
Finance loans receivable, net of allowances of December:	105,697	80,177
\$17,213; June: \$7,469	10 100	
Inventory (Note 3)	12,482	8,020
Deferred income taxes (Note 1)	-	5,330
Total current assets before settlement assets	314,918	465,735
Settlement assets (Note 4)	412,177	640,455
Total current assets	727,095	1,106,190
PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT, net of accumulated depreciation of December: \$136,996; June: \$120,212	32,852	39,411
EQUITY-ACCOUNTED INVESTMENTS (Note 6)	147,392	27,862
GOODWILL (Note 7)	199,495	188,833
INTANGIBLE ASSETS, net (Note 7)	34,604	38,764
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES (Note 1)	3,342	-
OTHER LONG-TERM ASSETS, including reinsurance assets (Note	225,463	49,696
6 and Note 8)		
TOTAL ASSETS	1,370,243	1,450,756
LIABILITIES		
CURRENT LIABILITIES		
Short-term credit facilities (Note 9)	35,553	16,579
Accounts payable	16,971	15,136
Other payables	39,168	34,799
Current portion of long-term borrowings (Note 10)	50,530	8,738
Income taxes payable	5,311	5,607
Total current liabilities before settlement obligations	147,533	80,859
Settlement obligations (Note 4)	412,177	640,455
Total current liabilities	559,710	721,314
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES (Note 1)	9,866	11,139
LONG-TERM BORROWINGS (Note 10)	19,867	7,501
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES, including insurance policy	2,449	2,795
liabilities (Note 8)	501.000	
TOTAL LIABILITIES	591,892	742,749
COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Note 18)	105 (50	108 (50
REDEEMABLE COMMON STOCK (Note 1)	107,672	107,672
EQUITY		

COMMON STOCK (Note 11)			
Authorized: 200,000,000 with \$0.001 par value;			
Issued and outstanding shares, net of treasury - December:		80	80
56,832,370; June: 56,369,737			
PREFERRED STOCK			
Authorized shares: 50,000,000 with \$0.001 par value;			
Issued and outstanding shares, net of treasury: December: -; June	:	-	-
-			
ADDITIONAL PAID-IN-CAPITAL		274,961	273,733
TREASURY SHARES, AT COST: December: 24,891,292; June:		(286,951)	(286,951)
24,891,292			
ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE LOSS (Note 12)		(123,359)	(162,569)
RETAINED EARNINGS		802,381	773,276
TOTAL NET1 EQUITY		667,112	597,569
NON-CONTROLLING INTEREST		3,567	2,766
TOTAL EQUITY (Note 1)		670,679	600,335
TOTAL LIABILITIES, REDEEMABLE	\$	1,370,243	\$ 1,450,756
COMMON STOCK AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY			
(A) Derived from audited financial statements			

(A) Derived from audited financial statements

See Notes to Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

NET 1 UEPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations

			months e cember 3		Six months ended December 31,						
		2017		2016		2017		2016			
	(Iı	n thousands,	per share data)								
REVENUE	\$	148,416	\$	151,433	\$	300,974	\$	307,066			
EXPENSE											
Cost of goods sold, IT processing, servicing and support		73,994		73,518		148,646		148,298			
Selling, general and		49,392		41,703		93,326		80,171			
administration		49,392		41,705		95,520		00,171			
Depreciation and amortization	l	8,723		10,623		17,689		20,827			
OPERATING INCOME		16,307		25,589		41,313		57,770			
INTEREST INCOME		4,705		5,061		9,749		9,365			
INTEREST EXPENSE		2,325		510		4,446		1,306			
INCOME BEFORE INCOME TAX EXPENSE		18,687		30,140		46,616		65,829			
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (Note		10,062		10,984		20,339		22,087			
17)											
NET INCOME BEFORE		8,625		19,156		26,277		43,742			
EARNINGS FROM EQUITY-											
ACCOUNTED INVESTMENTS											
EARNINGS FROM		1,354		74		3,429		733			
EQUITY-ACCOUNTED											
INVESTMENTS											
NET INCOME		9,979		19,230		29,706		44,475			
LESS NET INCOME											
ATTRIBUTABLE TO NON-											
CONTROLLING INTEREST		357		589		601		1,202			
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE	\$	9,622	\$	18,641	\$	29,105	\$	43,273			
TO NET1											
Net income per share, in U.S.											
dollars (Note 14)											
Basic earnings attributable to	\$	0.17	\$	0.35	\$	0.51	\$	0.81			
Net1 shareholders											
Diluted earnings attributable	\$	0.17	\$	0.35	\$	0.51	\$	0.81			
to Net1 shareholders											
See Notes to Unaudited Condensed	Con	solidated Fir	nancial St	atements							

	De	e months ende ecember 31,	-	Six me Dec		
	2017 (In	thousands)	2016	2017 (In t	2016	
Net income \$	9,979	\$	19,230	\$ 29,706	\$	44,475
Other comprehensive income (loss) Movement in foreign currency translation reserve	53,517		(20,766)	39,637		1,536
Movement in foreign currency translation reserve related to equity-accounted investments	-		-	(227)		-
Total other comprehensive income (loss), net of taxes	53,517		(20,766)	39,410		1,536
Comprehensive income (loss)	63,496		(1,536)	69,116		46,011
Less comprehensive income attributable to non- controlling interest	(668)		(624)	(801)		(1,681)
Comprehensive \$ income (loss) attributable to Net1 See Notes to Unaudited Condensed C		\$ nancial Staten	(2,160) nents	\$ 68,315	\$	44,330

NET 1 UEPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

NET 1 UEPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the six months ended December 31, 2017 (dollar amounts in thousands)

	Net 1 UEPS Technologies, Inc. Shareholders Number									
	Number of Shares	Amount	of	Treasury Shares	Number of Shares, Net of Treasury	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulate Other Comprehensi (Loss) Incom		
Balance July 1, 2017	81,261,029 \$	6 80	(24,891,292)\$	(286,951)	56,369,737	\$ 273,733	\$ 773,276	\$ (162,5		
Restricted stock granted (Note 13)	588,594				588,594					
Stock-based compensation charge (Note 13)						1,477				
Reversal of stock compensation charge (Note 13)	(125,961)				(125,961)	·				
charge (Note 15)	(123,901)				(125,901)	(42)				
Reversal of stock based- compensation charge related to equity-accounted										
investment Net income						(207)	29,105			
Other comprehensive							_,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	20.0		
income (Note 12) Balance								39,2		
December 31, 2017	81,723,662 \$	5 80	(24,891,292)\$	(286,951)	56,832,370	\$ 274,961	\$ 802,381	\$ (123,3)		
See Notes to Unau				,				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		

NET 1 UEPS TECHNOLOGIES, INC. Unaudited Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Three r end Decemi 2017	led	Six D 20
	(In thou	isands)	(]
Cash flows from			
operating activities			
Net income \$	0 070 4	5 19,230 \$	29
Depreciation and	8,723	10,623	11
amortization	0,725	10,025	1
Earnings from	(1,354)	(74)	()
equity-accounted			Ì
investments			
Fair value	(372)	72	
adjustments			
Interest payable	(159)	(23)	
Facility fee	214	31	
amortized	16	(520)	
Loss (Profit) on disposal of	16	(539)	
property, plant			
and equipment			
Profit on disposal	(463)	_	
of business	()		
Stock-based	608	635	
compensation			
charge (reversal),			
net (Note 13)			
Dividends	1,253	-	4
received from			
equity accounted			
investments (Increase)			
(Increase) Decrease in			
accounts			
receivable,			
pre-funded social			
welfare grants	6,005	6,585	(33
receivable and			
finance loans			
receivable			
Increase in	(2,322)	(3,481)	(:
inventory			
(Decrease)	(481)	(5,940)	2
Increase in			
accounts payable			

and other payables			
Decrease in taxes payable	(9,754)	(11,815)	
Increase (Decrease) in deferred taxes	1,419	386	
Net cash provided by operating activities	13,312	15,690	12
Cash flows from			
investing activities			
Capital expenditures	(2,103)	(3,126)	(3
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment	99	945	
Investment in Cell C (Note 6)		-	(15)
Investment in equity of equity-accounted investments (Note 6)	(40,892)	-	(113
Acquisition of held to maturity investment (Note 6)	(9,000)	-	(9
Investment in MobiKwik	-	-	
Loans to equity accounted investments (Note 6)		(10,044)	
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	-	(4,651)	
Other investing activities	(154)	-	
Net change in settlement assets (Note 4)	24,519	258,166	231

Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities The Company recognizes accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense on a quarterly basis. As of January 1, 2007, we had accrued approximately \$5.7 million and \$12.6 million for the payment of tax-related interest and penalties, respectively.

With few exceptions, the Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal, state or local income tax examinations by tax authorities for years prior to 2003. Earlier years related to certain foreign jurisdictions remain subject to examination. Various state and foreign income tax returns are currently under examination. While we intend to contest any future tax assessments for uncertain tax positions, no assurance can be provided that we would ultimately prevail.

The liability for uncertain tax positions is included in long-term liabilities in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet as of June 30, 2007. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, we increased our FIN 48 liability for uncertain tax positions by \$2.1 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, for potential additional interest and penalties. As of June 30, 2007, we had accrued approximately \$8.5 million and \$13.1 million for the payment of potential tax-related interest and penalties, respectively. If our positions are sustained by the taxing authorities, approximately \$42.8 million would be treated as a reduction of goodwill and \$14.9 million would reduce the Company s effective tax rate. There were no significant changes to our uncertain tax positions during the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Prior to adopting FIN 48, our policy was to maintain tax contingency liabilities for potential audit issues. The tax contingency liabilities were based on our estimate of the probable amount of additional taxes that may be due in the future. Any additional taxes due would be determined only upon completion of current and future federal, state and international tax audits. At December 31, 2006, we had \$42.6 million of tax contingency liabilities included in long-term liabilities.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

During 2007 and 2006, we maintained a 100% valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets except with regard to our deferred tax assets related to AMT credit carryforwards. We will continue to maintain the valuation allowance until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal. If, in the future, we were to determine that we will be able to realize all or a portion of the benefit from our deferred tax assets, a reduction to the valuation allowance as of October 1, 2005 will first reduce goodwill, then other intangible assets with any excess treated as an increase to paid-in-capital. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, we utilized the benefits from approximately \$24.8 million of deferred tax assets from Old Loral to reduce our cash tax liability imposed on current year income, which created an excess valuation allowance of \$24.8 million that was reversed as a reduction to goodwill.

The income tax provision for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 also includes our current provision for foreign income taxes and adjustment, if required, to our FIN 48 liabilities for uncertain tax positions and tax contingency liabilities for potential audit issues. The provision for 2007 also includes certain changes to the valuation allowance required as a result of having reversed deferred tax liabilities from accumulated other comprehensive income.

Pensions and Other Employee Benefits

The following table provides the components of net periodic benefit cost for our qualified and supplemental retirement plans (the Pension Benefits) and health care and life insurance benefits for retired employees and dependents (the Other Benefits) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Pension Three M Ended J	Aon	ths		r Benefits e Months d June 30,		
	2007 2006				2007	2006	
Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets Amortization of prior service credits and net actuarial gain	\$ 2,412 5,432 (5,837) (700)	\$	3,375 5,700 (5,425)	\$	360 1,250 (10) (75)	\$	300 1,125
	\$ 1,307	\$	3,650	\$	1,525	\$	1,425

	Pension Six M Ended J	hs		efits hs e 30,			
	2007		2006		2007		2006
Service cost Interest cost Expected return on plan assets Amortization of prior service credits and net actuarial gain	\$ 4,824 10,864 (11,674) (1,400)	\$	6,750 11,400 (10,850)	\$	720 2,500 (20) (150)	\$	600 2,250
	\$ 2,614	\$	7,300	\$	3,050	\$	2,850

Effective July 1, 2006, we amended our pension plan to standardize the future benefits earned at all company locations. These amendments did not change any benefits earned through June 30, 2006. As a result of the amendments, all locations now have a career average plan that requires a contribution in order to receive the highest level of benefits. All current participants now earn future benefits under the same formula and have the same early retirement provisions. The amendments did not apply to certain employees under a bargaining unit arrangement.

⁷

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Additionally, employees hired after June 30, 2006, do not participate in the defined benefit pension plan, but participate in our defined contribution savings plan with an enhanced benefit.

Additional Cash Flow Information

The following represents non-cash activities and supplemental information to the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows (in thousands):

		ns 30, 2006		
Non-cash operating items:				
Equity losses in affiliates	\$	1,938	\$	3,304
Minority interest		13,473		12,000
Deferred taxes		25,808		
Depreciation and amortization		40,607		33,074
Stock based compensation		11,354		1,371
(Recoveries of) provisions for bad debts on billed				
receivables		(80)		499
Provisions for inventory obsolescence		380		1,678
Warranty expense accruals		(1,573)		1,351
Net gain on the disposition of an orbital slot				(1,149)
Amortization of prior service credits and net actuarial				
gain		(1,550)		
Gain on disposition of available for sale securities		(2,505)		
Withholding tax impact of cashless stock option				
exercises		(143)		
Non cash net interest and gain on foreign exchange				
contracts		(65,303)		
Other		(401)		27
Net non-cash operating items	\$	22,005	\$	52,155
Non-cash financing activities:				
Issuance of preferred stock by subsidiary as payment				
for dividend	\$	11,087	\$	
Issuance of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock as payment	<i>ф</i>	0.010	<i>ф</i>	
for dividend	\$	2,812	\$	

Accrued dividends on Series A-1 and Series B-1 preferred stock	\$ 4,920	\$
Supplemental information:		
Capital expenditures incurred not yet paid	\$ 1,780	\$ 586
Interest paid	\$ 10,335	\$ 3,030
Taxes paid, net of refunds	\$ 1,726	\$ 1,574
Cash paid for reorganization items: Professional fees	\$ (160)	\$ (9,177)

New Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS 157

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, (SFAS 157), to define fair value, establish a framework for measuring fair value in

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

accordance with U.S. GAAP and expand disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 requires quantitative disclosures using a tabular format in all periods (interim and annual) and qualitative disclosures about the valuation techniques used to measure fair value in all annual periods. We are required to adopt the provisions of this statement as of January 1, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 157.

SFAS 159

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 expands opportunities to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. We are required to adopt the provisions of this statement as of January 1, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 159.

4. Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The components of comprehensive income (loss) are as follows (in thousands):

		Months June 30, 2006			Six M Ended , 2007			
Net income (loss) Cumulative translation	\$ 20,627	\$	(11,395)	\$	3,804	\$ (27,235)		
adjustment Amortization of prior	29		97		59	137		
service credits and net actuarial gains, net of taxes Unrealized loss on	(469)				(938)			
available-for-sale securities, net of taxes Less: Reclassification	(1,618)				(3,959)			
adjustment for gains included in net income	1,475				1,475			
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 20,044	\$	(11,298)	\$	441	\$ (27,098)		

In the consolidated statement of shareholders equity for the year ended December 31, 2006 and in the related notes in our 2006 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we disclosed and included the \$30.0 million adjustment to initially apply SFAS 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans, in the caption Other Comprehensive Income. That caption includes changes in equity that are part of other comprehensive income for the period. We based that presentation on our interpretation of the principles in SFAS 130, Reporting Comprehensive Income, which requires accounting changes to be included in comprehensive income for the period. Subsequently, we became aware that transition provisions of SFAS 158 required that this cumulative effect be presented as a direct adjustment to the ending balance of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income rather than as part of comprehensive income for the period. Consequently, the amount reported under the caption Other Comprehensive Income for 2006 should have been \$10.1 million, rather than the \$40.1 million we reported. The difference, \$30.0 million, should have been reported as a direct increase of accumulated other comprehensive income within equity. The amount reported as Comprehensive Income for 2006 should have been \$(12.6) million rather than the \$17.3 million we reported. In our 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K, we will restate our presentation to correct this error. This correction only affects the display of the cumulative effect of the adoption of SFAS 158 within the consolidated statement of shareholders equity and does not otherwise affect our financial statements.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

5. Contracts-in-Process

	J	une 30, 2007	December 31 2006 iousands)			
		(III UI	ousan	ius)		
Amounts billed	\$	55,643	\$	18,289		
Unbilled receivables		54,741		22,144		
	\$	110,384	\$	40,433		

Unbilled amounts include recoverable costs and accrued profit on progress completed, which have not been billed. Such amounts are billed in accordance with the contract terms, typically upon shipment of the product, achievement of contractual milestones, or completion of the contract and, at such time, are reclassified to billed receivables. Fresh-start fair value adjustments relating to contracts-in-process are amortized on a percentage of completion basis as performance under the related contract is completed.

6. Financial Instruments and Foreign Currency

Derivatives

On December 16, 2006, a joint venture company formed by Loral and Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP) entered into a share purchase agreement with BCE Inc. and Telesat Canada for the acquisition of all the shares of Telesat Canada and certain other assets for CAD 3.25 billion (see Note 12). As part of the transaction, the acquisition company received financing commitments from a syndicate of banks for \$2.209 billion of senior secured credit facilities and \$910 million of a senior unsecured bridge facility (assuming an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654 as of June 30, 2007). The purchase price of Telesat Canada is in Canadian dollars, while most of the debt financing is in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, to insulate themselves from Canadian dollar versus US dollar fluctuations, Loral and PSP have entered into financial commitments to lock in exchange rates to convert some of the U.S. dollar denominated debt proceeds to Canadian dollars. To mitigate these risks, Loral entered into several transactions through its Loral Skynet subsidiary, pursuant to which Loral Skynet assumed certain exposures that would arise if the Telesat Canada acquisition does not close and the currency transactions are unwound. Any unrealized gain or loss on these transactions as a result of marking these investments to market, is recognized in the statement of operations and will be offset by a corresponding decrease or increase

in the US dollar purchase price equivalent to be paid to BCE by New Telesat.

A summary of these transactions is as follows:

1) In December 2006, Loral Skynet entered into a currency basis swap with a single bank counterparty converting \$1.054 billion of U.S. debt into CAD 1.224 billion of Canadian debt for a seven year period beginning December 17, 2007. This debt amortizes 1% per year with a final maturity of December 17, 2014. No cash payment was made by Loral to the counterparty for entering into this transaction. This agreement can be closed at any point prior to December 17, 2007 by simply moving all the terms forward to the closing date of the Telesat Canada acquisition without affecting terms. This agreement is assignable to the Canadian borrowing company on or prior to closing of the credit transaction. Loral Skynet s liability under this agreement shall not exceed \$10 million in the event of an early termination of this agreement resulting from an event of default or termination event. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, Loral recorded a gain of \$5.9 million and \$3.6 million respectively, reflecting the change in the fair value of the swap. Included in other current assets is \$1.2 million as of June 30, 2007 and \$2.4 million in other current liabilities as of December 31, 2006, reflecting the fair value of the swap.

2) In December 2006, Loral Skynet entered into forward foreign currency contracts with a single bank counterparty selling \$497.4 million for CAD 570.1 million (\$1.00/CAD 1.1461) with a settlement date of December 17, 2007. In January 2007, Loral Skynet entered into additional forward foreign currency contracts

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

with the same single bank counterparty selling \$200.0 million for CAD 232.8 million (\$1.00/CAD 1.1512) with a settlement date of December 17, 2007. No cash payments were made by Loral to the single bank counterparty for entering into these transactions. These agreements can be rolled forward to the closing date of the Telesat Canada acquisition with an adjustment in the exchange rate. These agreements are assignable to the Canadian borrowing company on or prior to closing of the credit transaction. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, Loral recorded a gain of \$55.6 million and \$61.9, respectively, reflecting the change in the fair value of the forward contracts. As of June 30, 2007, other current assets include \$58.6 million reflecting a mark-to-market exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654. As of December 31, 2006, other current liabilities include \$3.3 million reflecting a mark-to-market exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.1539. If the forward contracts were not used for the Telesat Canada transaction and had to be terminated, Loral Skynet could have a gain or loss on the termination depending upon the exchange rate at termination. Under the forward foreign currency contracts, Loral Skynet limited its maximum liability under these agreements to a maximum of \$107.5 million in the event of an early termination of these agreements resulting from an event of default or termination.

As detailed above, Loral Skynet assumed up to \$117.5 million of liability exposure which would arise only if the Telesat transaction does not close and the exchange rate moves against our position at the time we are required to settle these derivatives. Since these transactions were entered into, the Canadian dollar has strengthened and through June 30, 2007, Loral Skynet has a cumulative unrealized gain of \$59.8 million associated with these foreign exchange derivative transactions. If the Telesat transaction does not close and we had to settle these trades, there would need to be a weakening in the Canadian dollar from the June 30, 2007 exchange rate of US\$1.00/CAD 1.0654, of approximately 8% to eliminate our gains and in excess of 20%, for Loral Skynet to reach its full liability exposure.

Foreign Currency

We, in the normal course of business, are subject to the risks associated with fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

As of June 30, 2007, SS/L had the following amounts denominated in Japanese Yen and EUROs (which have been translated into U.S. dollars based on the June 30, 2007 exchange rates) that were unhedged (in millions):

> Foreign Currency U.S. \$

Future revenues Japanese Yen	¥	142.2	\$ 1.2
Future expenditures Japanese Yen	¥	3,781.7	\$ 30.7
Contracts-in-process, unbilled			
receivables/(customer advances) Japanese Yen	¥	(9.5)	\$ (0.1)
Future expenditures EUROs		3.7	\$ 5.0

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

7. Property, Plant and Equipment

	•	June 30, 2007	Dec	ember 31, 2006					
	(In thousands)								
Land and land improvements	\$	27,533	\$	27,533					
Buildings		54,134		53,572					
Leasehold improvements		8,519		6,434					
Satellites in-orbit, including satellite transponder									
rights of \$136.7 million		386,092		386,196					
Satellites under construction		93,526		59,085					
Earth stations		18,509		18,141					
Equipment, furniture and fixtures		89,141		76,787					
Other construction in progress		22,751		18,167					
		700,205		645,915					
Accumulated depreciation and amortization		(122,156)		(87,036)					
	\$	578,049	\$	558,879					

Depreciation and amortization expense for property, plant and equipment was \$17.9 million and \$17.0 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$35.2 million and \$33.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Accumulated depreciation and amortization as of June 30, 2007 and December 31, 2006 includes \$24.9 million and \$16.7 million, respectively, related to satellite transponders where Loral has the rights to the transponders for the remaining life of the related satellite.

8. Investment in and Advances to Affiliates

Investment in and advances to affiliates consists of (in thousands):

	June 30, 2007	De	cember 31, 2006
XTAR equity investment	\$ 92,310	\$	97,202

Equity income (losses) in affiliate, consists of (in thousands):

	Three M Ended J	-		Six M Ended J		
	2007		2006	2007		2006
XTAR Globalstar service provider	\$ (2,366)	\$	(1,884)	\$ (4,892)	\$	(3,304)
partnerships	2,853			2,954		
	\$ 487	\$	(1,884)	\$ (1,938)	\$	(3,304)

The condensed consolidated statements of operations reflect the effects of the following amounts related to transactions with or investments in affiliates (in thousands):

	Three MonthsEnded June 3020072006			ne 30,	Six M Ended , 2007			
Revenues Elimination of our proportionate share of profit relating to affiliate	\$	18	\$	5,638	\$	408	\$	8,454
transactions Profit relating to affiliate transactions		(20)		(53)		(31)		(287)
not eliminated		15		42		24		225
	12	2						

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

XTAR

We own 56% of XTAR, L.L.C. (XTAR), a joint venture between us and Hisdesat Servicios Estrategicos, S.A. (Hisdesat) of Spain. We account for our investment in XTAR under the equity method since we do not control certain of its significant operating decisions. Our interest in XTAR is currently held by Loral Skynet, however, this interest will be retained by Loral and not transferred to New Telesat (see Note 12).

XTAR and Loral Skynet have entered into agreements whereby Loral Skynet provides to XTAR (i) certain selling, general and administrative services, (ii) telemetry, tracking and control services for the XTAR satellite, (iii) transponder engineering and regulatory support services as needed and (iv) satellite construction oversight services. XTAR is currently not making payments under the agreements. We have agreed to defer amounts due from XTAR until March 31, 2008 and we have not recognized any revenue associated with providing these services to XTAR.

XTAR is currently not making payments under its lease agreement with Hisdesat. Hisdesat has agreed to defer amounts due from XTAR until March 31, 2008.

In connection with the Launch Services Agreement entered into between XTAR and Arianespace, S.A. (Arianespace) providing for launch of its satellite on Arianespace s Ariane 5 ECA launch vehicle, Arianespace provided a one-year, \$15.8 million, 10% interest paid-in-kind (i.e., paid in additional debt) loan for a portion of the launch price, secured by certain of XTAR s assets, including the XTAR-EUR satellite, ground equipment and rights to the orbital slot. The remainder of the launch price consists of a revenue-based fee to be paid over time by XTAR. Through a series of amendments to the loan agreement, XTAR and Arianespace agreed to extend the maturity date of the loan to September 30, 2007. As part of these amendments, XTAR agreed to make scheduled and excess cash payments, as well as foregoing the ability to incur secured debt with the Arianespace loan and the loan was paid in full on July 6, 2007.

The following table presents summary financial data for XTAR (in millions):

Statement of Operations Data:

Three MonthsSix MonthsEnded June 30,Ended June 30,2007200620072006

Revenues	\$ 4.6	\$ 3.8	\$ 9.3	\$ 7.2
Operating loss	(3.8)	(2.2)	(7.4)	(3.4)
Net loss	(4.1)	(3.3)	(8.3)	(5.4)

Balance Sheet Data:

	June 30, 2007			ember 31, 2006
Current assets	\$	6.6	\$	6.4
Total assets		127.4		132.1
Current liabilities		22.4		20.1
Long-term liabilities		34.4		33.1
Members equity		70.6		78.9

Other

On April 14, 2004, Globalstar, L.P. announced the completion of its financial restructuring following the formal acquisition of its main business operations and assets by Thermo Capital Partners LLC (Thermo), effectively resulting in Globalstar, L.P. exiting from bankruptcy. Thermo invested \$43 million in the newly formed Globalstar company (Globalstar Inc.) in exchange for an 81.25% equity interest, with the remaining 18.75% of the equity distributed to the creditors of Globalstar, L.P. Our share of the equity interest was approximately 2.7% of

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Globalstar Inc., to which we assigned no value at the time. On November 2, 2006, Globalstar, Inc., completed an initial public offering, at which time we owned 1,609,896 shares of Globalstar, Inc. We had agreed not to sell 70% of our Globalstar Inc. holdings for at least 180 days following the completion of its offering. As of May 5, 2007, the lock-up was no longer in effect. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, we received and recorded a gain of \$2.5 million (included in interest and investment income in the condensed consolidated statements of operations) for the sale of 230,086 shares of Globalstar stock. As of June 30, 2007, we owned 938,848 shares of Globalstar, Inc. which are accounted for as available-for-sale securities. Unrealized gains on these shares were \$2.5 million, net of taxes as of June 30, 2007.

We hold various indirect ownership interests in three foreign companies that currently serve as exclusive service providers for Globalstar service in Brazil, Mexico and Russia. We account for these ownership interests using the equity method of accounting. We have written-off our investments in these companies and because we have no future funding requirements relating to these investments, there is no requirement for us to provide for our allocated share of these companies net losses. We are considering whether to make an additional investment of up to \$14 million in one of these companies. In the three months ended June 30, 2007, Loral recognized earnings of \$3.0 million from our Globalstar investment partnerships, which were primarily attributable to a cash distribution from one of our investments.

9. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill

Goodwill was established in connection with our adoption of fresh-start accounting on October 1, 2005. Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted FIN 48. The cumulative effects of adopting FIN 48 has resulted in the Company recording an increase of \$7.5 million to goodwill as adjusted in June 2007, offset by a reduction to goodwill of \$24.8 million during the three months ended June 30, 2007, as a result of the reversal of an excess valuation allowance (see *Income Taxes* in Note 3), as follows (in thousands):

Goodwill December 31, 2006	\$ 305,691
Cumulative effect of adopting FIN 48 (see Note 3)	7,541
Reversal of excess valuation allowance on deferred tax assets	(24,760)
Goodwill June 30, 2006	\$ 288,472

Other Intangible Assets

Other Intangible Assets were established in connection with our adoption of fresh-start accounting and are included in Other Assets on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. Other Intangible Assets consists of (in millions, except years):

	Weighted Average Remaining mortization		June	30, 2	007	D	ecemb	er 31	, 2006
	Period				imulated				
	(Years)	A	mount	Amo	rtization	A	mount	Amo	rtization
Internally developed									
software and technology	4	\$	59.0	\$	(18.9)	\$	59.0	\$	(13.5)
Orbital slots	9		10.8		(2.6)		10.8		(1.8)
Trade names	18		13.2		(1.2)		13.2		(0.8)
Customer relationships	13		20.0		(2.3)		20.0		(1.7)
Customer contracts	8		33.0		(10.9)		33.0		(8.3)
Other intangibles	3		2.7		(1.1)		2.7		(0.8)
		\$	138.7	\$	(37.0)	\$	138.7	\$	(26.9)

14

Table of Contents

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Total amortization expense for other intangible assets was \$5.0 million and \$5.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$10.0 million and \$10.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Annual amortization expense for intangible assets for the five years ended December 31, 2011 is estimated to be as follows (in millions):

2007	\$ 19.8
2008	19.2
2009	18.2
2010	14.6
2011	7.4

In connection with our adoption of fresh-start accounting, we recorded fair value adjustments of \$66.9 million relating to contracts-in-process, long-term receivables, customer advances and billings in excess of costs and profits and long-term liabilities. Net amortization of these fair value adjustments as a credit to income was \$1.6 million and \$5.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively and \$4.9 million and \$12.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

10. Debt

	J	June 30, 2006 (In th	ecember 31, 2006 ands)
Loral Skynet 14.0% senior secured notes due 2015 (principal amount \$126 million)	\$	128,024	\$ 128,084

Loral Skynet Notes

On November 21, 2005, pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization, Loral Skynet issued \$126 million principal amount of 14% Senior Secured Cash/PIK Notes due 2015 (the Loral Skynet Notes) under an Indenture, dated as of November 21, 2005 (the Indenture), which notes are guaranteed on a senior secured basis by our subsidiary Loral Asia Pacific Satellite (HK) Limited and all of Loral Skynet s existing domestic, wholly-owned subsidiaries. Prior to November 22, 2009, we may redeem the notes at a redemption price of 110% plus accrued and unpaid interest (see below). After this period, the notes are redeemable at our option at a redemption price of 110%, declining over time to 100% in 2014, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The Loral Skynet Notes bear interest at a rate of 14% per annum

payable in cash semi-annually, except that interest will be payable in-kind to the extent that the amount of such interest would exceed certain adjusted EBITDA calculations for Loral Skynet, as detailed in the Indenture.

At June 30, 2007, accrued interest on the Loral Skynet Notes was \$8.2 million and is included in accrued interest and preferred dividends on our condensed consolidated balance sheet. Interest expense related to these notes was \$4.5 million for both the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006 and \$8.9 million for both the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. Loral Skynet paid cash of \$8.8 million for accrued interest on the Loral Skynet Notes on January 15, 2007 and July 16, 2007, respectively.

The limitations contained in the Indenture impose restrictions on our operations and limit our ability to enter into financial transactions that we may wish to pursue. These restrictions will affect, and in many respects limit, among other things, Loral Skynet s and its subsidiaries ability to pay dividends, make investments, sell assets, make loans, repurchase equity interests or engage in mergers or other like transactions.

The redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes is a condition to the consummation of our transfer of Loral Skynet s assets to New Telesat (see Note 12), and, at the request of Loral Skynet, the trustee (the Trustee) under the Indenture issued an unconditional Notice of Full Redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes. Pursuant to this notice, the

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Loral Skynet Notes will be redeemed on September 5, 2007. The redemption price will be 110% of the principal amount of the Loral Skynet Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest up to, but not including, the redemption date.

Certain holders of Loral Skynet Notes have commenced litigation with respect to the redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes (see Note 12).

SS/L Letter of Credit Facility

On October 31, 2006, SS/L entered into an amendment to its amended and restated letter of credit agreement with JP Morgan Chase Bank extending the maturity of the facility to December 31, 2007 and reducing the facility availability from \$20 million to \$15 million. Letters of credit are available until the earlier of the stated maturity of the letter of credit, the termination of the facility or December 31, 2007. Outstanding letters of credit are fully cash collateralized. As of June 30, 2007, \$6.1 million of letters of credit under this facility were issued and outstanding.

11. Shareholders Equity

Preferred Stock

On February 27, 2007 (the Issuance Date), Loral completed a \$300 million preferred stock financing pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement entered into with MHR Fund Management LLC (MHR) on October 17, 2006, as amended and restated on February 27, 2007 (the Securities Purchase Agreement). Pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement, Loral sold 136,526 shares of its Series A-1 cumulative 7.5% convertible preferred stock (the Series A-1 Preferred Stock) and 858,486 shares of its Series B-1 cumulative 7.5% convertible preferred stock (the

Series B-1 Preferred Stock and, together with the Series A-1 Preferred Stock, the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock) at a purchase price of \$301.504 per share to various funds affiliated with MHR. Each share of the Series A-1 Preferred Stock is convertible, at the option of the holder, into ten shares of Loral common stock at a conversion price of \$30.1504 per share. Prior to the Majority Ownership Date (as defined below) and following stockholder approval of the creation of a new class of Class B-1 non-voting common stock, each share of the Series B-1 Preferred Stock will be convertible, at the option of the holder, into ten shares of this Class B-1 non-voting common stock at a conversion price of \$30.1504 per share. From and after the Majority Ownership Date, the Series B-1 Preferred Stock and the Class B-1 non-voting common stock may be converted by the holder into Loral common stock, in the case of the Series B-1 Preferred Stock, at the same conversion price, and in the case of the Class B-1 non-voting common stock, on a share for share basis. The conversion price reflects a premium of 12% to the

closing price of Loral s common stock on October 16, 2006. The conversion price is subject to customary adjustments. Dividends on the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock will be paid in kind (i.e., in additional shares of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock) through April 2011. Thereafter, if Loral satisfies certain financial requirements, the dividends will be payable in cash or in kind at Loral s option. Pursuant to the terms of this financing, MHR has the right, which it has not exercised, to nominate one additional member to the Loral board of directors. Loral plans to use the proceeds from this financing, together with its existing resources, to pursue both internal and external growth opportunities in the satellite communications industry and strategic transactions or alliances, including completion of the Telesat Canada acquisition.

The terms of the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock are designed so that, prior to the Majority Ownership Date, any shares of common stock issuable to MHR or its affiliates upon conversion of the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock, when taken together with holdings by MHR or its affiliates of common stock at such time, will not represent more than 39.999% of the aggregate voting power of the securities of Loral. The Company and MHR agreed on August 8, 2007 that, in calculating the percentage of the aggregate voting power of Loral securities held by MHR or its affiliates, (a) the number of shares of Series A-1 Preferred Stock and/or common stock deemed to be held by MHR entities shall be increased by a number of shares (i) equal to the number of shares of restricted stock and the number of shares subject to stock options of the Company then personally held by Dr. Mark H. Rachesky (as of July 31, 2007, Dr. Rachesky held 10,000 such shares), and (ii) equal to 50% of the number of shares of common stock reserved for issuance pending resolution of certain disputed third party claims under the Plan of Reorganization of Old Loral, such number of reserved shares not to exceed 71,500 shares and (b) the number of outstanding shares of

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

common stock of the Company shall be decreased by a number of shares equal to 45% of the total number of shares of restricted stock (issued to persons other than directors pursuant to the Company s Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Incentive Plan) that are then subject to vesting but have not yet vested as of the date of the calculation, such numbers of shares of restricted stock not to exceed one million shares. See Exhibit 10.6 to this Quarterly Report for the full text of the agreement. The Majority Ownership Date means the earlier of the date that (i) the beneficial ownership of common stock by MHR and its affiliates, but not including any of the common stock issuable upon the conversion of the Loral Series-1 Preferred stock, represents more than 50% of the common stock on a fully diluted basis other than pursuant to certain prohibited transfers of the Series A-1 Preferred Stock from MHR or its affiliates. From and after the Majority Ownership Date, this restriction will no longer apply, and all shares of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock will be convertible into common stock.

In the event of a liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the holders of the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock are entitled to a liquidation preference per share equal to the greater of (i) the share purchase price plus accrued and unpaid dividends plus, during the first 66 months following the Issuance Date, a Make-Whole Amount (as defined below) and (ii) the amount that would be payable to a holder of the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock if such holder had converted such share into common stock immediately prior to such liquidation, dissolution or winding up. Loral will be able to cause the Loral Preferred Stock (as defined below) to be converted into common stock or Class B non-voting common stock after 5.5 years from the Issuance Date if the conversion price for twenty trading days in a 30-day trading day period, but only if the Class B-1 and Class B-2 non-voting stock has been authorized by stockholders (the Class B Non-Voting Stock Authorization).

In the event of a Change of Control (as defined in the certificates of designation relating to the Loral Preferred Stock), a holder of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock may at its option (i) redeem some or all of its shares of preferred stock for cash in an amount equal to the share purchase price plus accrued and unpaid dividends, (ii) convert some or all of its shares of Series-1 Preferred Stock, in the case of the Series A-1 Preferred Stock, into shares of common stock, and in the case of the Series B-1 Preferred Stock, into shares of Class B-1 non-voting common stock, or if on or after the Majority Ownership Date, shares of common stock, or (iii) if the holder of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock does not elect to so redeem or convert, such shares of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock will remain outstanding. In certain cases, a holder s option to redeem for cash is exercisable only following Board approval of the Change of Control event. Upon a Change of Control, a holder of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock is also entitled to receive a Make-Whole Amount,

provided that the Make-Whole Amount is not payable if the Change of Control involves either MHR acquiring more than 50% but less than 90% of the common stock or another person acquiring more than 50% of the common stock as a result of an acquisition of Loral shares from MHR, in either case so long as the Board has not approved such transaction. The Make-Whole Amount means an amount equal to all dividends that would have accrued and accumulated on each share of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock (assuming payment of all accrued dividends on each dividend payment date) from the date of a Change of Control through the date that is 66 months after the Issuance Date. The Make-Whole Amount will be paid in either cash (if the holder elects a cash redemption, or if so elected by the Company in the event the Company is then eligible to pay dividends in cash) or shares of Class B-2 non voting common stock (if the holder elects conversion). If on the Change of Control redemption date, the Class B Non-Voting Stock Authorization has not yet been obtained, then the Make-Whole Amount, if payable in shares, will be paid not in shares of Class B-2 non-voting common stock, but rather, in the case of the Series A-1 Preferred Stock, in shares of Series A-2 convertible preferred stock (the Series A-2 Preferred Stock) and in the case of the Series B-1 Preferred Stock, in shares of Series B-2 convertible preferred stock (the Series B-2 Preferred Stock).

Each share of the Series A-1 Preferred Stock, Series A-2 Preferred Stock, Series B-1 Preferred Stock and Series B-2 Preferred Stock (collectively, the Loral Preferred Stock) entitles the holder to 1/10,000 vote for each share of preferred stock. If the Company (i) fails to pay three quarterly dividend payments on the Loral Series-1

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Preferred Stock when due or (ii) fails to make any dividend payment when due and there exists at such time assets or funds available to pay such dividends, then the holders of the Preferred Stock may elect two directors to the Company s board of directors, which directors shall serve until such time as the Company is once again current on its dividend payments on the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock. In addition, there are certain actions that the Company may not undertake without the consent of the holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of the Loral Preferred Stock.

If the Class B Non-Voting Stock Authorization occurs at a time when no shares of Series A-2 Preferred Stock and Series B-2 Preferred Stock are issued and outstanding, the Series A-2 Preferred Stock and Series B-2 Preferred Stock will be eliminated from the authorized share capital of the Company.

The price of Loral s common stock on October 16, 2006, the day before we signed the Securities Purchase Agreement, was \$26.92 and the conversion price was \$30.1504. The price of Loral s common stock on February 27, 2007, when the financing closed was \$47.40. Because of the difference between the fair market value of the common stock on the date the financing closed, as compared to the conversion price, the Company is required to reflect a beneficial conversion feature of the Loral Series A-1 Preferred Stock as a component of its net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007. We will also reflect a beneficial conversion feature in a similar manner for the Series B-1 Preferred Stock, in the period in which shareholder approval of the creation of the new class of Class B-1 non-voting common stock is received. This beneficial conversion feature is recorded as an increase to net loss applicable to common shareholders and results in a reduction of both basic and diluted earnings per share results. Accordingly, in the three months ended March 31, 2007, we have recorded an increase to net loss applicable to common shareholders of \$24.5 million. In the period in which shareholder approval of the new class of Class B-1 non-voting common stock is received, we expect that our net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders will be reduced (increased), as applicable, by approximately \$154 million reflecting the beneficial conversion feature (less discount, if any, for the class B-1 non-voting common stock because of its non-voting status). To the extent that dividends on the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock are paid in additional shares of Loral Series A-1 Preferred Stock, we record an additional beneficial conversion feature that reduces our net income applicable to common shareholders. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded a beneficial conversion feature of \$0.9 million for the dividends in additional shares of Loral Series A-1 Preferred Stock. We will also record an additional beneficial conversion feature in a similar manner for dividends in additional shares of Loral Series B-1 Preferred Stock in the period in which shareholder approval of the class B-1 non-voting common stock is received, and thereafter. For dividends paid and accrued through June 30, 2007 on the Loral Series B-1 Preferred Stock, the beneficial conversion feature that will be recorded

when shareholder approval of the class B-1 non-voting common stock is received, is approximately \$4 million.

In connection with the preferred stock financing, Loral agreed to present certain proposals to its stockholders at its next annual meeting but requested that MHR waive such undertaking with regard to Loral s 2007 annual meeting. MHR has agreed to Loral s request. Loral intends to seek stockholder approval for these proposals at its annual meeting in 2008 or at a special meeting of stockholders.

Loral incurred issuance costs of \$9 million in connection with this preferred stock financing. In addition, Loral paid MHR a placement fee of \$6.75 million.

A complete description of the terms of the Loral Preferred Stock is contained in the certificates of designation related to the Loral Preferred Stock attached as Exhibits 3.2 and 3.3 to the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on February 28, 2007.

Stock Incentive Plan

On May 22, 2007, at the annual meeting of stockholders of Loral Space & Communications Inc., our stockholders approved the Company s Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (the Plan) to increase by 1,582,000 the number of shares available for grant thereunder. These amendments cover the following grants that

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

were all subject to stockholder approval of the plan amendments: (w) the grant in March 2006 of options to purchase 825,000 shares to our Chief Executive Officer in connection with his entering into an employment agreement with us (the CEO March 2006 Option Grant), (x) the grant in June 2006 of options to purchase 20,000 shares to our Chief Financial Officer in connection with his entering into an amendment to his employment agreement, (y) the grant in June 2006 of options to purchase 120,000 shares to a director in connection with his entering into a consulting agreement and (z) grants of approximately 175,000 shares of restricted stock to employees of SS/L. In addition, these amendments cover 31,000 shares of restricted stock granted to our directors as part of their compensation and approximately 410,300 shares available for future grant. The shares available for future grant will be used for awards to our employees, to fulfill existing contractual obligations and to cover the equity component of our directors compensation. As a result of the approval of the amendments, we recorded compensation cost for the period May 22, 2007 to June 30, 2007 related to the first three grants of \$8.1 million, based on the estimated fair value of these grants, and stock compensation costs of \$2.2 million were recorded for the grant of restricted shares for the period May 22, 2007 to June 30, 2007. The remaining stock based compensation as a result of these grants, totaling \$19.4 million, will be recognized over the next three to four years.

12. Commitments and Contingencies

Financial Matters

SS/L has deferred revenue and accrued liabilities for performance warranty obligations relating to satellites sold to customers, which could be affected by future performance of the satellites. These reserves for expected costs for warranty reimbursement and support are based on historical failure rates. However, in the event of a catastrophic failure of a satellite, which cannot be predicted, these reserves likely will not be sufficient. SS/L periodically reviews and adjusts the deferred revenue and accrued liabilities for warranty reserves based on the actual performance of each satellite and remaining warranty period. A reconciliation of such deferred amounts for the six months ended June 30, 2007, is as follows (in millions):

Balance of deferred amounts at January 1, 2007	\$ 53.9
Accruals for deferred amounts issued during the period	
Accruals relating to pre-existing contracts (including changes in	
estimates)	(1.6)
Balance of deferred amounts at June 30, 2007	\$ 52.3

Many of SS/L s satellite contracts permit SS/L s customers to pay a portion of the purchase price for the satellite over time subject to the continued performance of the satellite (orbitals), and certain of SS/L s satellite contracts require SS/L to provide vendor financing to its customers, or a combination of these contractual terms. Some of these arrangements are provided to customers that are start-up companies or companies in the early stages of building their businesses. There can be no assurance that these companies or their businesses will be successful and, accordingly, that these customers will be able to fulfill their payment obligations under their contracts with SS/L. We believe that these provisions will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or our results of operations, although no assurance can be provided. Moreover, SS/L s receipt of orbital payments is subject to the continued performance of its satellites generally over the contractually stipulated life of the satellites. Since these orbital receivables could be affected by future satellite performance, there can be no assurance that SS/L will be able to collect all or a portion of these receivables.

On July 30, 2007, SS/L entered into an Amended and Restated Customer Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement) with Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. (Sirius). The Credit Agreement amends and restates in its entirety the Customer Credit Agreement entered into by SS/L and Sirius on June 7, 2006 (the Original Credit Agreement). The purpose of the amendment and restatement is to make available to Sirius financing for the purchase of a second satellite under the Amended and Restated Satellite Purchase Agreement between Sirius and SS/L dated as of July 23, 2007 (the Amended Satellite Purchase Agreement). Under the Credit Agreement, SS/L has agreed to make loans to Sirius in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$100,000,000 to finance the purchase of the Sirius FM-5 and

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

FM-6 Satellites (the Sirius Satellites). Loans made under the Credit Agreement are secured by Sirius rights under the Amended Satellite Purchase Agreement, including its rights to the Sirius Satellites. The loans are also entitled to the benefits of a subsidiary guarantee from Satellite CD Radio, Inc., and, subject to certain exceptions, any future material subsidiary that may be formed by Sirius hereafter. The maturity date of the loans is the earliest to occur of (i) June 10, 2010, (ii) 90 days after the FM-6 Satellite becomes available for shipment and (iii) 30 days prior to the scheduled launch of the FM-6 Satellite. Loans made under the Credit Agreement generally bear interest at a variable rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus a margin. The Credit Agreement permits Sirius to prepay all or a portion of the loans outstanding without penalty, and, upon the occurrence of certain events, Sirius is required to prepay the loans. As of June 30, 2007, no loans were outstanding under the Original Credit Agreement and Sirius was eligible to borrow \$45 million under the Original Credit Agreement, representing reimbursement of payments previously made by Sirius under the Amended Satellite Purchase Agreement.

Loral Skynet has in the past entered into prepaid leases, sales contracts and other arrangements relating to transponders on its satellites. Under the terms of these agreements, as of June 30, 2007, Loral Skynet continues to provide for a warranty for periods of two to eight years for sales contracts and other arrangements (seven transponders), and prepaid leases (two transponders). Depending on the contract, Loral Skynet may be required to replace transponders which do not meet operating specifications. Substantially all customers are entitled to a refund equal to the reimbursement value if there is no replacement, which is normally covered by insurance. In the case of the sales contracts, the reimbursement value is based on the original purchase price plus an interest factor from the time the payment was received to acceptance of the transponder by the customer, reduced on a straight-line basis over the warranty period. In the case of prepaid leases, the reimbursement value is equal to the unamortized portion of the lease prepayment made by the customer. For other arrangements, in the event of transponder failure where replacement capacity is not available on the satellite, one customer is not entitled to reimbursement, and the other customer s reimbursement value is based on contractually prescribed amounts that decline over time.

Telesat Canada Transaction

On December 16, 2006, a joint venture company (Acquireco) formed by Loral and its Canadian partner, PSP entered into a definitive agreement with BCE Inc. and Telesat Canada to acquire 100% of the stock of Telesat Canada and certain other assets from BCE Inc. for CAD 3.25 billion (approximately \$3.05 billion based on an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654 as of June 30, 2007), which purchase price is not subject to adjustment for Telesat Canada s performance during the pre-closing period. Under the terms of this purchase agreement, Telesat Canada s

business is, subject to certain exceptions, being operated entirely for Acquireco s benefit beginning from December 16, 2006. Telesat Canada is the leading satellite services provider in Canada and earns its revenues principally through the provision of broadcast and business network services over eight in-orbit satellites. This transaction is subject to various closing conditions, including approvals of the relevant Canadian and U.S. government authorities, and is expected to close in the third quarter of 2007. Loral and PSP have agreed to guarantee 64% and 36%, respectively, of Acquireco s obligations under the Telesat share purchase agreement, up to CAD 200 million.

At the time of, or following the Telesat Canada acquisition, substantially all of Loral Skynet s assets and related liabilities are expected to be transferred to a subsidiary of Acquireco at an agreed upon enterprise valuation, subject to downward adjustment under certain circumstances (the Skynet Transaction). This subsidiary will be combined with Telesat Canada and the resulting new entity (New Telesat) will be a Canadian company that will be headquartered in Ottawa.

PSP has agreed to contribute approximately CAD 595.8 million in cash to the parent company of Acquireco (Holdings), of which \$150 million (or CAD 159.8 million based on an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654 as of June 30, 2007) will be for the purchase of a Holdings fixed rate senior non-convertible mandatorily redeemable preferred stock.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

We and PSP have arranged for Holdings to obtain \$3.1 billion of committed debt financing from a group of financial institutions, of which up to approximately \$2.8 billion is available to fund the purchase price of the Telesat Canada acquisition, if the acquisition were to close simultaneously with the Skynet Transaction, and \$2.4 billion in the event the Skynet Transaction is delayed. The remainder of the debt facilities would be available to fund New Telesat s post-closing capital expenditures and other requirements, including in the case of a delayed Skynet Transaction, up to \$386 million to fund a redemption of Loral Skynet s preferred stock and senior notes upon closing of the Skynet Transaction.

At closing of the Telesat Canada acquisition, assuming a simultaneous closing of the Skynet Transaction, we will hold equity interests in Holdings, the ultimate parent company of New Telesat, effectively representing 64% of the economic interests and 331/3% of the voting power, of New Telesat. PSP will in turn acquire the preferred stock described above, and equity interests effectively representing 36% of the economic interest, and together with two other Canadian investors, 662/3% of the voting power of New Telesat.

If the Telesat Canada acquisition and the Skynet Transaction were to occur at the same time, then on the closing date, Holdings will redeem the principal amount of Loral Skynet s outstanding 14% senior notes (\$126 million as of June 30, 2007) and Loral will redeem Loral Skynet s outstanding 12% preferred stock and accrued dividends thereon (approximately \$238 million as of June 30, 2007), as well as pay all interest and redemption premium (approximately \$21 million as of June 30, 2007) and any other amounts that may be due in respect of Loral Skynet s senior notes.

If the Skynet Transaction does not close simultaneously with the Telesat Canada acquisition, Loral will in place of funding the redemption of Loral Skynet s preferred stock and accrued dividends and interest and redemption premium on Loral Skynet s senior notes (approximately \$259 million as of June 30, 2007), make a cash equity contribution to Holdings of CAD 270.9 million (approximately \$254 million based on an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654) to acquire redeemable shares of Holdings. Upon the later closing of the Skynet Transaction, Holdings will draw upon its credit facilities to redeem the principal amount of Loral Skynet s senior notes and the redeemable shares issued to Loral. Loral will use the proceeds from Holdings to redeem Loral Skynet s preferred stock and pay the interest, premium and any other amounts due under the Loral Skynet Notes. Loral s economic interest in Holdings would be approximately 38%, assuming an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654, to reflect the fact that it has not contributed the Skynet Transaction.

We will have a year from the closing of the Telesat Canada acquisition to complete the Skynet Transaction. If we are unable to close the Skynet Transaction during that period, we will then be required, under the terms of our agreement with PSP, to contribute our rights to the Telstar 11N satellite as well as \$175 million in cash (the Alternative Contribution) to New Telesat, in order to bring our economic interest in Holdings to 64%.

To the extent necessary, upon closing of the Telesat Canada acquisition, the Skynet Transaction and/or the Alternative Contribution, as the case may be, there will be an appropriate cash true-up between us, PSP and Holdings to reflect the amount of our relative contributions, after giving effect to among other things, the exchange rate then in effect, gains and/or losses on hedging transactions, the spending on Telstar 11N, in the event of a material adverse change to Loral Skynet s business during the interim period, the resulting diminution in the agreed upon value of Loral Skynet, and in the event the Alternative Contribution is effected in place of the Skynet Transaction, the extent to which the value of the Alternative Contribution, is greater or less than the agreed upon value of the assets to be transferred in the Skynet Transaction. In July 2007, we increased our on-orbit insurance for Telstar 12 by \$150 million to \$340 million to provide us with sufficient liquidity to complete the Skynet Transaction in the event of a failure of that satellite.

Loral Skynet has adopted a retention plan for its key employees to facilitate the transition. Payments to these employees will be paid six months after the close of the Skynet Transaction. Costs relating to this plan will be borne by New Telesat. We have incurred \$10.8 million of transaction related costs (included in other current assets on our

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

condensed consolidated balance sheet), which will be reimbursed to us by New Telesat after the close of the transaction.

Satellite Matters

Satellites are built with redundant components or additional components to provide excess performance margins to permit their continued operation in case of component failure, an event that is not uncommon in complex satellites. Twenty-one of the satellites built by SS/L and launched since 1997, three of which are owned and operated by our subsidiaries or affiliates, have experienced losses of power from their solar arrays. There can be no assurance that one or more of the affected satellites will not experience additional power loss. In the event of additional power loss, the extent of the performance degradation, if any, will depend on numerous factors, including the amount of the additional power loss, the level of redundancy built into the affected satellite s design, when in the life of the affected satellite the loss occurred, how many transponders are then in service and how they are being used. It is also possible that one or more transponders on a satellite may need to be removed from service to accommodate the power loss and to preserve full performance capabilities on the remaining transponders. During the third quarter of 2006, due to power loss caused by solar array failures, Loral Skynet removed from service through the end of life certain unutilized transponders on one of its satellites and will remove additional transponders from service on this satellite in order to maintain sufficient power to operate the remaining transponders for its specified life. As of June 30, 2007, Loral Skynet does not believe the carrying value of this satellite has been impaired. Loral Skynet will, however, continue to evaluate the impact of the power loss caused by the solar array failures. A complete or partial loss of a satellite s capacity could result in a loss of orbital incentive payments to SS/L and, in the case of satellites owned by Loral Skynet and its affiliates, a loss of revenues and profits. With respect to satellites under construction and the construction of new satellites, based on its investigation of the matter, SS/L has identified and has implemented remediation measures that SS/L believes will prevent newly launched satellites from experiencing similar anomalies. SS/L does not expect that implementation of these measures will cause any significant delay in the launch of satellites under construction or the construction of new satellites. Based upon information currently available, including design redundancies to accommodate small power losses, and that no pattern has been identified as to the timing or specific location within the solar arrays of the failures, we believe that this matter will not have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or our results of operations, although no assurance can be provided.

In November 2004, Intelsat Americas 7 (formerly Telstar 7) experienced an anomaly which caused it to completely cease operations for several days before it was partially recovered. Four other satellites manufactured by SS/L for other

customers have designs similar to Intelsat Americas 7 and, therefore, could be susceptible to similar anomalies in the future. A partial or complete loss of these satellites could result in the incurrence of warranty payments by SS/L.

Certain of our satellites are currently operating using back-up components because of the failure of primary components. If the back-up components fail and we are unable to restore redundancy, these satellites could lose capacity or be total losses, which would result in a loss of revenues and profits. For example, in July 2005, in the course of conducting our normal operations, we determined that the primary command receivers on two of our satellites had failed. These satellites, which are equipped with redundant command receivers designed to provide full functional capability through the full design life of the satellite, continue to function normally and service to customers has not been affected. Moreover, on one of these satellites, SS/L has successfully completed implementation of a software workaround that fully restores the redundant command receiver functionality. On the other satellite, SS/L has successfully completed implementation of an interim software workaround that partially restores the redundant command receiver functionality, and SS/L expects to implement a permanent software workaround that will fully restore the redundant command receiver functionality, although no assurance can be provided.

Two satellites owned by us have the same solar array configuration as one other 1300-class satellite manufactured by SS/L that has experienced an event with a large loss of solar power. SS/L believes that this

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

failure is an isolated event and does not reflect a systemic problem in either the satellite design or manufacturing process. Accordingly, we do not believe that this anomaly will affect our two satellites with the same solar array configuration. The insurance coverage for these satellites, however, provides for coverage of losses due to solar array failures only in the event of a capacity loss of 75% or more for one satellite and 80% or more for the other satellite.

Loral currently insures the on-orbit performance of substantially all of the satellite capacity in its Satellite Services segment. Typically such insurance is for a policy period of one year subject to renewal. It has been difficult, however, to obtain full insurance coverage for satellites that have, or are part of a product line of satellites that have, experienced problems in the past. Insurers have required either exclusions of certain components or have placed limitations on coverage in connection with insurance renewals for such satellites in the future. We cannot assure, upon the expiration of an insurance policy, that we will be able to renew the policy on terms acceptable to us or that we will not elect to self-insure and forego commercial insurance for the satellite. The loss of a satellite would have a material adverse effect on Loral Skynet s financial performance and may not be adequately mitigated by insurance. In October 2006, we renewed our on-orbit performance policy under substantially the same terms as the previously expired policy.

SSL relies, in part, on patents, trade secrets and know-how to develop and maintain its competitive position. There can be no assurance that infringement of existing third party patents has not occurred or will not occur. In the event of infringement, we could be required to pay royalties to obtain a license from the patent holder, refund money to customers for components that are not useable or redesign our products to avoid infringement, all of which would increase our costs. We may also be required under the terms of our customer contracts to indemnify our customers for damages.

Regulatory Matters

To prevent frequency interference, the regulatory process requires potentially lengthy and costly negotiations with third parties who operate or intend to operate satellites at or near the locations of our satellites. For example, as part of our coordination efforts on Telstar 12, we agreed to provide four 54 MHz transponders on Telstar 12 to Eutelsat for the life of the satellite and have retained risk of loss with respect to those transponders. In the event of an unrestored failure, under Loral Skynet s related warranty obligation, Eutelsat would be entitled to compensation on contractually prescribed amounts that decline over time. We also granted Eutelsat the right to acquire, at cost, four transponders on the replacement satellite for Telstar 12. We continue to be in discussions with other operators on coordination issues. We may be required to make additional financial concessions in the future in connection with our coordination efforts. The failure to reach an appropriate arrangement with a third party having priority rights at or near one of our orbital slots may result in substantial restrictions on the use and operation of our satellite at that location.

Loral Skynet operates Telstar 10 and Telstar 18 pursuant to agreements with a third party that has licenses to use orbital slots controlled by China and Tonga, respectively. Although Loral Skynet s agreements with this third party provide Loral Skynet with renewal rights with respect to replacement satellites, because of the control of the orbital slots by foreign governments, there can be no assurance that renewal rights will be granted. Should Loral Skynet be unsuccessful in obtaining renewal rights for either or both of the orbital slots, or otherwise fail to enter into agreements with the third party with respect to such replacement satellites, all revenue obtained from the affected satellite or satellites would cease and Loral Skynet s Asian franchise would be seriously weakened.

SS/L is required to obtain licenses and enter into technical assistance agreements, presently under the jurisdiction of the State Department, in connection with the export of satellites and related equipment, and with the disclosure of technical data to foreign persons. Due to the relationship between launch technology and missile technology, the U.S. government has limited, and is likely in the future to limit, launches from China and other foreign countries. Delays in obtaining the necessary licenses and technical assistance agreements have in the past resulted in, and may in the future result in, the delay of SS/L s performance on its contracts, which could result in the

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

cancellation of contracts by its customers, the incurrence of penalties or the loss of incentive payments under these contracts.

Legal Proceedings

Informal SEC Inquiry

In June and July 2007, we received letters from the Staff of the Division of Enforcement of the SEC informing the Company that it is conducting an informal inquiry and requesting that the Company provide certain documents and information relating primarily to the Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2006, as amended and restated on February 27, 2007, between Loral and MHR Fund Management LLC and activities before and after its execution as well as documents and information relating to the redemption of Loral Skynet s Notes (see Note 10) and documents and information regarding the directors and officers of Loral. The letter advised that the informal inquiry should not be construed as an indication by the SEC or its staff that any violations of law have occurred, or as an adverse reflection upon any person or security. The Company is cooperating with the SEC staff. In addition, the Company has received requests for indemnification and advancement of expenses from certain of its advisors with respect to costs they may incur as a result of compliance with SEC document requests.

Skynet Noteholders Litigation

On November 21, 2005, Loral Skynet issued \$126 million principal amount of Loral Skynet Notes under the Indenture. The Loral Skynet Notes may be redeemed prior to October 15, 2009 (an Early Redemption) at a redemption price of 110% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest if two-thirds of the holders do not object to the redemption. On June 13, 2007, at the request of Loral Skynet, the trustee (the Trustee) under the Indenture issued a Notice of Provisional Redemption. The notice provided that, unless objections to the redemption from holders of more than two-thirds of the outstanding Loral Skynet Notes were received on or prior to July 12, 2007, the Loral Skynet Notes would be redeemed on September 5, 2007.

Also on June 13, 2007, GPC XLI L.L.C., Rockview Trading, Ltd., KS Capital Partners L.P., Murray Capital Management, Inc. Watershed Capital Institutional Partners L.P., Watershed Capital Partners (Offshore), Ltd. and Watershed Capital Partners L.P. (collectively, the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs) as holders of Loral Skynet Notes commenced an action in the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware in and for the County of New Castle against Loral, Loral Skynet and the subsidiaries of Loral Skynet that are obligors under the Indenture (collectively, Defendants) alleging that Defendants breached the Indenture and the implied

covenant of good faith and fair dealing in the Indenture and the Loral Skynet Notes.

Specifically, the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs complaint relates to the Securities Purchase Agreement, dated as of October 17, 2006, as amended and restated on February 27, 2007, between Loral and MHR, pursuant to which, in February 2007, funds affiliated with MHR purchased \$300 million of Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock from Loral as described in Note 11. In that agreement, among other things, MHR also agreed to cause its affiliated funds, which collectively hold more than one-third of the outstanding Loral Skynet Notes, not to object to a proposed Early Redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes in connection with a transaction such as the Skynet Transaction. The Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs assert that Loral bought the consent of MHR and its affiliated funds to the Early Redemption covenant by paying to MHR in excess of \$8.25 million in placement and legal and advisory fees resulting in an unequal exit consent payment not offered to other holders and that this covenant violates an implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing that the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs believe the Indenture should be deemed to contain. The Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs have proposed a number of theories of damages, including one in which they allege that the value of the Loral Skynet Notes if they are not redeemable prior to October 15, 2009 would be at least 126% of par value and that the difference between paying approximately 126% versus the proposed Early Redemption amount of 110% is an additional \$20.2 million. The portion of this amount that would be applicable to Loral Skynet Notes held by holders other than affiliates of MHR is approximately \$11 million, which the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs have described as a floor on their damage claim. The Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs also claim

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

to be entitled to a portion of the excess of the current value of the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock over its cost, to the extent it constitutes a consent fee paid to MHR.

In their complaint, the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs are seeking (i) a declaratory judgment that Defendants violated the terms of the Indenture by paying MHR for its consent to redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes below the make-whole value and not paying equal consideration to all holders; (ii) a declaratory judgment that Defendants pay equal redemption consideration to all holders, in an amount to be determined at trial; (iii) to enjoin Defendants from consummating the Early Redemption unless equal consideration is paid to all holders for their non-objection to, and redemption of, the Loral Skynet Notes; (iv) to enjoin Defendants from counting the Loral Skynet Notes held by funds affiliated with MHR in its calculation of whether the holders constituting two-thirds of the outstanding principal amount object to the redemption of, the Loral Skynet Notes; (v) an award of damages in an amount to be determined at trial; (vi) an award of pre-judgment interest, attorneys fees and costs; and (vii) the grant such other relief as the court deems proper.

The Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs also moved for a preliminary injunction and for expedited proceedings, including a hearing on their preliminary injunction motion in advance of any redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes or discharge of the Indenture. At a hearing on the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs motion to expedite proceedings held on July 16, 2007, Loral agreed to place \$12 million (representing the \$11 million incremental amount claimed by the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs in their complaint above the 110% Early Redemption amount plus an allowance for reasonable expenses) in escrow prior to the date the Indenture is discharged for the benefit of holders of Loral Skynet Notes other than funds affiliated with MHR, and the court declined to schedule a hearing on the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs motion for a preliminary injunction prior to the redemption date or any earlier discharge of the Indenture. At the hearing, the court also granted the motion for expedited proceedings and indicated that it would schedule a trial on the merits in coordination with the trial of a matter related to the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock pending before the court and currently scheduled for trial in October 2007.

On July 12, 2007, the Trustee reported that objections to the proposed redemption had been received from holders of Loral Skynet Notes representing less than two-thirds of the outstanding Loral Skynet Notes, and, on July 16, 2007, at the request of Loral Skynet, the Trustee issued an unconditional Notice of Full Redemption. Consequently, the Loral Skynet Notes will be redeemed on September 5, 2007, and the Indenture may be discharged at any time prior to that date by deposit of the redemption price with the Trustee. As a result, Loral does not believe that the litigation filed by the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs will cause a delay in the redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes which would have the effect of delaying the closing of the Skynet Transaction beyond the closing of the Telesat Transaction.

Although Loral believes that the September 5, 2007 Early Redemption is proper in accordance with the terms of the Indenture and intends to vigorously defend against the Skynet Noteholder Plaintiffs claims, the outcome of this litigation can not be determined at this time, and, as such, no liability, if any, is estimable or probable.

Rainbow DBS Litigation

In March 2001, Loral entered into an agreement (the Rainbow DBS Sale Agreement) with Rainbow DBS Holdings, Inc. (Rainbow Holdings) pursuant to which Loral agreed to sell to Rainbow Holdings its interest in Rainbow DBS Company, LLC (formerly R/L DBS Company, LLC, Rainbow DBS) for a purchase price of \$33 million plus interest at an annual rate of 8% from April 1, 2001. Loral s receipt of this purchase price was, however, contingent on the occurrence of certain events, including without limitation, the sale of substantially all of the assets of Rainbow DBS. At the time of the Rainbow DBS Sale Agreement, Loral s investment in Rainbow DBS had been recorded at zero and Loral did not record a receivable or gain from this sale. In November 2005, Rainbow DBS sold its Rainbow 1 satellite and related assets to EchoStar Communications Corporation. Rainbow Holdings, however, informed Loral that it did not believe that Loral was entitled to receive an immediate payment of the purchase price under the Rainbow DBS Sale Agreement as a result of the EchoStar sale transaction. Loral disputed Rainbow Holdings interpretation of the agreement and, in September 2005, commenced a lawsuit in the

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Supreme Court of the State of New York to enforce its rights thereunder. After a jury trial held in January 2007, the jury returned a verdict in favor of Loral, and a final judgment in the amount of \$52 million (representing the \$33 million purchase price plus interest at 8% from April 1, 2001 through the date of the judgment) was entered by the court on March 12, 2007. Rainbow DBS filed a motion to set aside the verdict or, in the alternative, a new trial, which motion was denied by the court by order dated March 30, 2007. Rainbow DBS has appealed the final judgment and the court s order denying Rainbow DBS s motion to set aside the verdict or for a new trial and, in connection with this appeal, has posted a bond in the full amount of the judgment. A third party has asserted a prepetition claim against the Company in the amount of \$3 million with respect to the purchase price.

New York Shareholder Litigation

On or about November 3, 2006, plaintiff Maxine Babus, derivatively on behalf of Loral Space & Communications Inc., filed a shareholder derivative complaint in the Supreme Court of the State of New York, County of New York, against all the members of the Loral board of directors and against Loral as a nominal defendant. On or about April 4, 2007, as contemplated by the Memorandum of Understanding described below, the plaintiff filed an amended shareholder class and derivative complaint against all members of the Loral board of directors, MHR and certain funds (the MHR Funds) and other entities affiliated with MHR (collectively, MHR, the MHR Funds and such other entities, the MHR Entities) and Loral as a nominal defendant. The amended complaint alleges, among other things, that, in connection with the Company s Securities Purchase Agreement dated October 17, 2006, as amended and restated on February 27, 2007, pursuant to which the Company sold to the MHR Funds \$300 million in new convertible preferred stock, the directors and the MHR Entities breached their fiduciary duties to the Company, including the fiduciary duties of care and loyalty, and that the MHR Entities and Dr. Mark H. Rachesky have aided and abetted the directors breach of fiduciary duty. The amended complaint seeks, among other things, both as to the derivative claims and the class action claims, preliminary and permanent injunctive relief, an award of compensatory damages in an amount to be determined, rescission of the Securities Purchase Agreement and plaintiff s costs and disbursements, including attorneys and experts fees and expenses.

On March 21, 2007, a Memorandum of Understanding (the MOU) was entered into providing for the settlement of the *Babus* lawsuit. Pursuant to the terms of the MOU, the MHR Funds will pay to Loral \$4 million in cash after entry of a court order approving the terms of the MOU that is finally approved on appeal or no longer subject to appeal. In addition, the MHR Funds may be obligated to pay to Loral between \$9.5 million and \$26.5 million depending on the amount of net cash or cash equivalent proceeds actually received from the sale by the MHR Funds of (i) the preferred stock, (ii) shares issued in respect of and pursuant to the terms of

the preferred stock or (iii) securities issued or delivered in exchange for the preferred stock or the shares referred to in clause (ii) above.

The parties to the *Babus* lawsuit have agreed to use their best efforts to agree upon and execute a stipulation of settlement and such other documentation as may be required to obtain court approval of the settlement and dismissal of the lawsuit (the

Settlement Documents). The consummation of the settlement is subject to: (a) the drafting and execution of the Settlement Documents; (b) the completion by the plaintiff of confirmatory discovery in the lawsuit reasonably satisfactory to plaintiff s counsel; and (c) a court order approving the settlement in accordance with the terms of the Settlement Documents and that such order is finally affirmed on appeal or is no longer subject to appeal and dismissal of the lawsuit in its entirety with prejudice and without awarding costs to any party (except for attorneys fees, costs and expenses to be awarded to plaintiff s counsel subject to approval by the court as provided in the MOU). To date, the parties have not engaged in negotiating the Settlement Documents, plaintiff s counsel has not engaged in confirmatory discovery, and court approval of the settlement has not been sought.

The terms of the MOU are more fully described in the Company s Report on Form 8-K filed on March 21, 2007 and the description herein and therein is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of the MOU, which was attached as Exhibit 10.1 to such Report on Form 8-K.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

On April 27, 2007, the plaintiffs in the Delaware shareholder litigation (discussed below) served a motion to intervene in the *Babus* lawsuit. In their intervention motion, the Delaware plaintiffs claimed that an automatic stay of the *Babus* lawsuit went into effect on November 12, 2006, by virtue of the death on that day of the New York plaintiff, Maxine Babus. Among other things, the prospective intervenors claimed that all developments in the *Babus* lawsuit subsequent to November 12, 2006, including the execution of the MOU, the filing of the amended complaint and the pursuit of confirmatory discovery, are null and void.

At a hearing in June 2007, the plaintiffs in the Delaware shareholder litigation withdrew their motion to intervene in the *Babus* lawsuit, and counsel for Maxine Babus produced a stipulation substituting Mrs. Babus son as plaintiff in place of his deceased mother. The court ruled that it would so order the substitution contingent upon Mr. Babus filing ancillary proceedings in New York, which he has not done to date.

In addition, the Company has received requests for indemnification and advancement of expenses from its directors pursuant to their indemnification agreements with the Company for any losses or costs they may incur as a result of the *Babus* lawsuit.

Delaware Shareholder Litigation

On or about May 14, 2007, the Court of Chancery of the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County entered an order consolidating two civil actions previously commenced by certain stockholders of the Company against the Company, the MHR Entities and the individual members of the Company s board of directors under the caption In re: Loral Space and Communications Inc. Consolidated Litigation. Plaintiffs in this action are certain stockholders of the Company who allege that they hold over 18% of the outstanding common stock of the Company (the Blackrock Plaintiffs) and Highland Crusader Offshore Partners, L.P. (Highland, and, together with the Blackrock Plaintiffs, the Delaware Plaintiffs), the purported owner of approximately 5% of Loral s outstanding common stock. The Blackrock Plaintiffs have brought the case derivatively on behalf of the Company and directly on behalf of the Blackrock Plaintiffs individually. The case has also been brought by Highland as a class action on behalf of a class of Loral stockholders consisting of all security holders of the Company (except the defendants and persons or entities related to or affiliated with the defendants) who, as alleged in the amended and consolidated complaint, are or will be threatened with injury arising from Defendants actions as described in the amended and consolidated complaint.

In the amended and consolidated complaint, the Blackrock Plaintiffs have brought derivative claims alleging, among other things, that, in connection with the

Securities Purchase Agreement, the directors and the MHR Entities breached their fiduciary duties to the Company, including the fiduciary duties of care and loyalty, the MHR Entities have aided and abetted the directors breach of fiduciary duty, and the directors have engaged in conduct, or intentionally or recklessly approved conduct, that has caused the Company to waste valuable corporate assets. In addition, the Blackrock Plaintiffs have brought a direct claim against the MHR Entities and Dr. Rachesky alleging breach of their fiduciary duties to the Blackrock Plaintiffs, and a claim alleging that, by approving, engaging in and closing the transactions contemplated by the Securities Purchase Agreement, defendants violated the restriction on transactions between companies and their interested stockholders contained in Section 203 of the Delaware General Corporation Law. The Blackrock Plaintiffs are seeking, among other things, rescission of the Securities Purchase Agreement, a judgment declaring that the Securities Purchase Agreement, and the process by which it was negotiated, approved and completed, violated Delaware law and constituted a breach of defendants fiduciary duties and awarding plaintiffs their expenses and costs, including reasonable legal fees.

In the amended and consolidated complaint, Highland has brought class claims alleging, among other things, that, in connection with the Securities Purchase Agreement pursuant to which the Company sold \$300 million of preferred stock to the MHR Funds, MHR and the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties in negotiating and approving the Securities Purchase Agreement, MHR and the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duties by failing to terminate and re-negotiate the Securities Purchase Agreement after it was announced, the individual defendants committed an ultra vires abdication of their statutory authority, MHR and the individual defendants breached their fiduciary duty of disclosure by stating publicly that they would seek to renegotiate the

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Securities Purchase Agreement after it was announced or to obtain an alternative and instead proceeding with the Securities Purchase Agreement, and MHR aided and abetted the individual defendants in their breach of fiduciary duty. Highland is seeking, among other things, rescission of the preferred stock transaction, imposition of a constructive trust on any profits MHR earned through the transaction, to compel MHR to distribute a portion of the preferred stock or resulting shares into which the preferred stock converts to the class, to invalidate a portion of the preferred stock or resulting shares into which the preferred stock converts, imposition of a permanent injunction on MHR s right to convert the preferred stock or to exercise the voting power conferred by the preferred stock or the shares into which it converts, an award of damages to the class in an amount to be determined at trial, an award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest and an award of costs and disbursements, including reasonable attorneys fees and experts fees.

Discovery in the consolidated action has commenced, and a trial has been set for October 2007.

In addition, the Company has received requests for indemnification and advancement of expenses from certain of its directors under their indemnification agreements with the Company for any losses or costs they may incur as a result of the *Blackrock* and *Highland* lawsuits.

Indemnification Claims of Directors and Officers of Old Loral

Old Loral was obligated to indemnify its directors and officers for any losses or costs they may incur as a result of the lawsuits described below in Class Action Securities Litigations, Class Action ERISA Litigation and Globalstar Related Class Action Securities Litigations. The Plan of Reorganization provides that the direct liability of New Loral post-emergence in respect of such indemnity obligation is limited to the In re: Loral Space ERISA Litigation and In re: Loral Space & Communications Ltd. Securities Litigation cases and then only in an aggregate amount of \$2.5 million (the Direct Indemnity Liability). In addition, most directors and officers have filed proofs of claim (the D&O Claims) in unliquidated amounts with respect to the prepetition indemnity obligations of the Debtors. The Debtors and these directors and officers, including Mr. Bernard L. Schwartz, Loral s Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer until his retirement effective March 1, 2006, with respect to all claims he may have other than the Globalstar settlement for which he has a separate indemnity claim of up to \$25 million as described below, have agreed that in no event will their indemnity claims against Old Loral and Loral Orion in the aggregate exceed \$25 million and \$5 million, respectively. If any of these claims ultimately becomes an allowed claim under the Plan of Reorganization, the claimant would be entitled to a distribution under the Plan of Reorganization of New Loral common stock based

upon the amount of the allowed claim. Any such distribution of stock would be in addition to the 20 million shares of New Loral common stock distributed under the Plan of Reorganization to other creditors. Instead of issuing such additional shares, New Loral may elect to satisfy any allowed claim in cash in an amount equal to the number of shares to which plaintiffs would have been entitled multiplied by \$27.75 or in a combination of additional shares and cash. We believe, although no assurance can be given, that New Loral will not incur any substantial losses as a result of these claims.

Class Action Securities Litigations

In August 2003, plaintiffs Robert Beleson and Harvey Matcovsky filed a purported class action complaint against Bernard L. Schwartz in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint seeks, among other things, damages in an unspecified amount and reimbursement of plaintiffs reasonable costs and expenses. The complaint alleges (a) that Mr. Schwartz violated Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act) and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, by making material misstatements or failing to state material facts about our financial condition relating to the sale of assets to Intelsat and our Chapter 11 filing and (b) that Mr. Schwartz is secondarily liable for these alleged misstatements and omissions under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as an alleged controlling person of Old Loral. The class of plaintiffs on whose behalf the lawsuit has been asserted consists of all buyers of Old Loral common stock during the period from June 30, 2003 through July 15, 2003, excluding the defendant and certain persons related to or affiliated

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

with him. In November 2003, three other complaints against Mr. Schwartz with substantially similar allegations were consolidated into the *Beleson* case. In February 2004, a motion to dismiss the complaint in its entirety was denied by the court. The defendant filed an answer in March 2004. In January 2006, the case was stayed, and after a status conference in March 2007, the stay was lifted and discovery is proceeding. Since this case was not brought against Old Loral, but only against one of its officers, we believe, although no assurance can be given, that, to the extent that any award is ultimately granted to the plaintiffs in this action, the liability of New Loral, if any, with respect thereto is limited solely to the D&O Claims as described above under Indemnification Claims.

In November 2003, plaintiffs Tony Christ, individually and as custodian for Brian and Katelyn Christ, Casey Crawford, Thomas Orndorff and Marvin Rich, filed a purported class action complaint against Bernard L. Schwartz and Richard J. Townsend in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. The complaint seeks, among other things, damages in an unspecified amount and reimbursement of plaintiffs reasonable costs and expenses. The complaint alleges (a) that defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, by making material misstatements or failing to state material facts about Old Loral s financial condition relating to the restatement in 2003 of the financial statements for the second and third quarters of 2002 to correct accounting for certain general and administrative expenses and the alleged improper accounting for a satellite transaction with APT Satellite Company Ltd. and (b) that each of the defendants is secondarily liable for these alleged misstatements and omissions under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as an alleged controlling person of Old Loral. The class of plaintiffs on whose behalf the lawsuit has been asserted consists of all buyers of Old Loral common stock during the period from July 31, 2002 through June 29, 2003, excluding the defendants and certain persons related to or affiliated with them. In October 2004, a motion to dismiss the complaint in its entirety was denied by the court. The defendants filed an answer to the complaint in December 2004. In January 2006, the case was stayed, and after a status conference in March 2007, the stay was lifted and discovery is proceeding. Since this case was not brought against Old Loral, but only against certain of its officers, we believe, although no assurance can be given, that to the extent that any award is ultimately granted to the plaintiffs in this action, the liability of New Loral, if any, with respect thereto is limited solely to the D&O Claims as described above under Indemnification Claims.

Class Action ERISA Litigation

In April 2004, two separate purported class action lawsuits filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by former employees of Old Loral and participants in the Old Loral Savings Plan (the Savings Plan) were consolidated into one action titled *In re: Loral Space ERISA Litigation*. In

July 2004, plaintiffs in the consolidated action filed an amended consolidated complaint against the members of the Loral Space & Communications Ltd. Savings Plan Administrative Committee and certain existing and former members of the Board of Directors of SS/L, including Bernard L. Schwartz. The amended complaint seeks, among other things, damages in the amount of any losses suffered by the Savings Plan to be allocated among the participants individual accounts in proportion to the accounts losses, an order compelling defendants to make good to the Savings Plan all losses to the Savings Plan resulting from defendants alleged breaches of their fiduciary duties and reimbursement of costs and attorneys fees. The amended complaint alleges (a) that defendants violated Section 404 of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA), by breaching their fiduciary duties to prudently and loyally manage the assets of the Savings Plan by including Old Loral common stock as an investment alternative and by providing matching contributions under the Savings Plan in Old Loral stock, (b) that the director defendants violated Section 404 of ERISA by breaching their fiduciary duties to monitor the committee defendants and to provide them with accurate information, (c) that defendants violated Sections 404 and 405 of ERISA by failing to provide complete and accurate information to Savings Plan participants and beneficiaries, and (d) that defendants violated Sections 404 and 405 of ERISA by breaching their fiduciary duties to avoid conflicts of interest. The class of plaintiffs on whose behalf the lawsuit has been asserted consists of all participants in or beneficiaries of the Savings Plan at any time between November 4, 1999 and the present and whose accounts included investments in Old Loral stock. In September 2005, the plaintiffs agreed in principle to settle this case for \$7.5 million payable

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

solely from proceeds of insurance coverage and without recourse to the individual defendants. The District Court has suspended further proceedings in this case pending the outcome of the insurance litigation referred to below and final approval of the settlement. Plaintiffs have also filed a proof of claim against Old Loral with respect to this case and have agreed that in no event will their claim against Old Loral with respect to this case exceed \$22 million. If the settlement of this case does not, for whatever reason, go forward and plaintiffs claim ultimately becomes an allowed claim under the Plan of Reorganization, plaintiffs would be entitled to a distribution under the Plan of Reorganization of New Loral common stock based upon the amount of the allowed claim. Any such distribution of stock would be in addition to the 20 million shares of New Loral common stock being distributed under the Plan of Reorganization to other creditors. Instead of issuing such additional shares, New Loral may elect to satisfy any allowed claim in cash in an amount equal to the number of shares to which plaintiffs would have been entitled multiplied by \$27.75 or in a combination of additional shares and cash.

In addition, two insurers under Old Loral s directors and officers liability insurance policies have denied coverage with respect to the case titled In re: Loral Space *ERISA Litigation*, each claiming that coverage should be provided under the other s policy. In December 2004, one of the defendants in that case filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York seeking a declaratory judgment as to his right to receive coverage under the policies. In March 2005, the insurers filed answers to the complaint and one of the insurers filed a cross claim against the other insurer which such insurer answered in April 2005. In August and October 2005, each of the two potentially responsible insurers moved separately for judgment on the pleadings, seeking a court ruling absolving it of liability to provide coverage of the ERISA action. In March 2006, the court granted the motion of one of the insurers and denied the motion of the other insurer. Discovery with regard to defenses to coverage asserted by the potentially responsible insurer has ended, and the defendant insurer has moved for summary judgment, which motion is fully briefed and pending before the court. We believe, although no assurance can be given, that the liability of New Loral, if any, with respect to the In re: Loral Space ERISA Litigation case or with respect to the related insurance coverage litigation is limited solely to the Direct Indemnity Liability and the D&O Claims as described above under Indemnification Claims and, to the extent that any award is ultimately granted to the plaintiffs in this action, to distributions under the Plan of Reorganization as described above.

Globalstar Related Class Action Securities Litigations

On September 26, 2001, the nineteen separate purported class action lawsuits filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by various holders of securities of Globalstar Telecommunications Limited (GTL) and Globalstar, L.P. (Globalstar) against GTL, Old Loral, Bernard L. Schwartz and

other defendants were consolidated into one action titled In re: Globalstar Securities Litigation. In November 2001, plaintiffs in the consolidated action filed a consolidated amended class action complaint against Globalstar, GTL, Globalstar Capital Corporation, Old Loral and Bernard L. Schwartz seeking, among other things, damages in an unspecified amount and reimbursement of plaintiffs costs and expenses. The complaints alleged (a) that all defendants (except Old Loral) violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, by making material misstatements or failing to state material facts about Globalstar s business and prospects, (b) that defendants Old Loral and Mr. Schwartz are secondarily liable for these alleged misstatements and omissions under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as alleged controlling persons of Globalstar, (c) that defendants GTL and Mr. Schwartz are liable under Section 11 of the Securities Act of 1933 (the Securities Act) for untrue statements of material facts in or omissions of material facts from a registration statement relating to the sale of shares of GTL common stock in January 2000, (d) that defendant GTL is liable under Section 12(2)(a) of the Securities Act for untrue statements of material facts in or omissions of material facts from a prospectus and prospectus supplement relating to the sale of shares of GTL common stock in January 2000, and (e) that defendants Old Loral and Mr. Schwartz are secondarily liable under Section 15 of the Securities Act for GTL s primary violations of Sections 11 and 12(2)(a) of the Securities Act as alleged controlling persons of GTL. The class of plaintiffs on whose behalf the lawsuit has been asserted consists of all buyers of securities of Globalstar, Globalstar Capital and GTL during the period from December 6, 1999 through October 27, 2000, excluding the defendants and certain

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

persons related to or affiliated with them. This case was preliminarily settled by Mr. Schwartz in July 2005 for \$20 million with final approval of the settlement in December 2005. In September 2006, two objectors to the settlement who had filed appeals concerning the attorneys fees awarded to the plaintiffs withdrew their appeals with prejudice. Mr. Schwartz has commenced a lawsuit against Globalstar s directors and officers liability insurers seeking to recover the full settlement amount plus legal fees and expenses incurred in enforcing his rights under Globalstar s directors and officers liability insurance policy. In January 2007, two of the four insurers settled with Mr. Schwartz and paid him the remaining limits under their policies and, after a jury trial, the jury returned a verdict against the other two insurers in favor of Mr. Schwartz awarding him the remaining \$9.1 million balance of his claim. The insurers motion to set aside the verdict or, in the alternative, for a new trial, was denied, and they have appealed the verdict. In addition, Mr. Schwartz has filed a proof of claim against Old Loral asserting a general unsecured prepetition claim for, among other things, indemnification relating to this case. Mr. Schwartz and Old Loral have agreed that in no event will his claim against Old Loral with respect to the settlement of this case exceed \$25 million. If Mr. Schwartz s claim ultimately becomes an allowed claim under the Plan of Reorganization and assuming he is not reimbursed by Globalstar s insurers, Mr. Schwartz would be entitled to a distribution under the Plan of Reorganization of New Loral common stock based upon the amount of the allowed claim. Any such distribution of stock would be in addition to the 20 million shares of New Loral common stock distributed under the Plan of Reorganization to other creditors. Instead of issuing such additional shares, New Loral may elect to satisfy any allowed claim in cash in an amount equal to the number of shares to which plaintiffs would have been entitled multiplied by \$27.75 or in a combination of additional shares and cash. We believe, although no assurance can be given, that New Loral will not incur any material loss as a result of this settlement.

On March 2, 2002, the seven separate purported class action lawsuits filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York by various holders of Old Loral common stock against Old Loral, Bernard L. Schwartz and Richard J. Townsend were consolidated into one action titled *In re: Loral Space & Communications Ltd. Securities Litigation.* On May 6, 2002, plaintiffs in the consolidated action filed a consolidated amended class action complaint seeking, among other things, damages in an unspecified amount and reimbursement of plaintiffs costs and expenses. The complaint alleged (a) that all defendants violated Section 10(b) of the Exchange Act and Rule 10b-5 promulgated thereunder, by making material misstatements or failing to state material facts about Old Loral s financial condition and its investment in Globalstar and (b) that Mr. Schwartz is secondarily liable for these alleged misstatements and omissions under Section 20(a) of the Exchange Act as an alleged controlling person of Old Loral. The class of plaintiffs on whose behalf the lawsuit has been asserted consists of all buyers of Old Loral common stock during the period from November 4, 1999

through February 1, 2001, excluding the defendants and certain persons related to or affiliated with them. After oral argument on a motion to dismiss filed by Old Loral and Messrs. Schwartz and Townsend, in June 2003, the plaintiffs filed an amended complaint alleging essentially the same claims as in the original amended complaint. In February 2004, a motion to dismiss the amended complaint was granted by the court insofar as Messrs. Schwartz and Townsend are concerned. Pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization, plaintiffs received no distribution with respect to their claims in this lawsuit.

In addition, the primary insurer under the directors and officers liability insurance policy of Old Loral has denied coverage under the policy for the *In re: Loral Space & Communications Ltd. Securities Litigation* case and, on March 24, 2003, filed a lawsuit in the Supreme Court of New York County seeking a declaratory judgment upholding its coverage position. In May 2003, Old Loral and the other defendants served an answer and filed counterclaims seeking a declaration that the insurer is obligated to provide coverage and damages for breach of contract and the implied covenant of good faith. In May 2003, Old Loral and the other defendants also filed a third party complaint against the excess insurers seeking a declaration that they are obligated to provide coverage. In April 2006, the primary insurer suggested that it may wish to reactivate this litigation, in which case, we would object to any attempt to do so. We believe that the insurers have wrongfully denied coverage and, although no assurance can be given, that the liability of New Loral, if any, with respect to the *In re: Loral Space & Communications Ltd. Securities Litigation* case or with respect to the related insurance coverage litigation is

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

limited solely to the Direct Indemnity Liability and the D&O Claims as described above under Indemnification Claims.

Reorganization Matters

In connection with our Plan of Reorganization, certain claims have been filed against Old Loral and its Debtor Subsidiaries, the validity or amount of which we dispute. We are in the process of resolving these disputed claims, which may involve litigation in the Bankruptcy Court. To the extent any disputed claims become allowed claims, the claimants would be entitled to distributions under the Plan of Reorganization based upon the amount of the allowed claim, payable either in cash for claims against SS/L or Loral SpaceCom or in New Loral common stock for all other claims. We have accrued only the amount we believe is valid for disputed claims payable in cash, although there can be no assurance that this amount will be sufficient to cover all such claims that ultimately become allowed claims. The remaining claims from the Plan of Reorganization payable in cash and the expenses associated with completing the reorganization activity aggregate approximately \$110,000 at June 30, 2007. As of June 30, 2007, we reserved approximately 107,000 of the 20 million shares of New Loral common stock distributable under the Plan of Reorganization for disputed claims that may ultimately be payable in common stock. To the extent that disputed claims do not become allowed claims, shares held in reserve on account of such claims will be distributed pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization pro rata to claimants with allowed claims.

Confirmation of our Plan of Reorganization was opposed by the Official Committee of Equity Security Holders (the Equity Committee) appointed in the Chapter 11 Cases and by the self-styled Loral Stockholders Protective Committee (LSPC). Shortly before the hearing to consider confirmation of the Plan of Reorganization, the Equity Committee also filed a motion seeking authority to prosecute an action on behalf of the estates of Old Loral and its Debtor Subsidiaries seeking to unwind as fraudulent, a guarantee provided by Old Loral in 2001, of certain indebtedness of Loral Orion, Inc. (the Motion to Prosecute). By separate Orders dated August 1, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court confirmed the Plan of Reorganization (the Confirmation Order) and denied the Motion to Prosecute (the

Denial Order). On or about August 10, 2005, the LSPC appealed (the Confirmation Appeal) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York (the District Court) the Confirmation Order and the Denial Order. On February 3, 2006, we filed with the District Court a motion to dismiss the Confirmation Appeal. On May 26, 2006, the District Court granted our motion to dismiss the Confirmation Appeal. The LSPC subsequently filed a motion for reconsideration of such dismissal, which the District Court denied on June 14, 2006 (the

Reconsideration Order). On or about July 12, 2006, a person purportedly affiliated with the LSPC appealed the dismissal of the Confirmation Appeal and the

Reconsideration Order to the United States Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. (the Second Circuit Confirmation Appeal). The Second Circuit Confirmation Appeal is currently fully briefed and awaiting decision by the Court of Appeals.

In November 2005, a shareholder of Old Loral on behalf of the LSPC filed with the FCC a petition for reconsideration of the FCC s approval of the transfer of our FCC licenses from Old Loral to New Loral in connection with the implementation of our Plan of Reorganization and a request for investigation by the FCC into the financial matters and actions of the Company (the FCC Appeal). In December 2005, we filed with the FCC our opposition to the FCC Appeal.

Other and Routine Litigation

We are subject to various other legal proceedings and claims, either asserted or unasserted, that arise in the ordinary course of business. Although the outcome of these legal proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, we do not believe that any of these other existing legal matters will have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position or our results of operations.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

13. Income (Loss) Per Share

A reconciliation of basic and diluted income (loss) per share is presented below (in thousands, expect per share data):

	Three MonthsEnded June 30,20072006				Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006				
Basic: Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$ 14,031	\$	(11,395)	\$	(29,332)	\$	(27,235)		
Weighted average common shares outstanding	20,070		20,000		20,055		20,000		
Basic income (loss) per share	\$ 0.70	\$	(0.57)	\$	(1.46)	\$	(1.36)		
	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006			Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006					
Diluted: Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders Plus: Dividends on Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock Beneficial conversion feature related to dividends on Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock	\$ 14,031 5,669 927	\$	(11,395)	\$	(29,332)	\$	(27,235)		
Net income (loss) for									

Common and potential				
common shares:				
Weighted average common	20.070	20.000	20.055	20.000
shares outstanding	20,070	20,000	20,055	20,000
Assumed exercise of stock	470			
options	473			
Unvested restricted stock				
awards	20			
Assumed conversion of				
Loral Series-1 Preferred				
Stock	10,031			
Common and potential				
common shares	30,594	20,000	20,055	20,000
Diluted income (loss) per				
share	\$ 0.67	\$ (0.57)	\$ (1.46)	\$ (1.36)

The assumed exercise of stock options and unvested restricted stock awards are not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share for the three months ended June 30, 2006 and the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, because their effect would have been antidilutive. Additionally, the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock, issued February 27, 2007, was not included in the calculation of diluted loss per share for the six months ended June 30, 2007, because their effect would have been antidilutive. As of June 30, 2007, there were 2,190,452 stock options outstanding, 198,700 shares of unvested restricted stock and the Loral Series A-1 Preferred Stock was convertible into 1,409,340 shares of common stock.

14. Segments

We are organized into two operating segments: Satellite Manufacturing and Satellite Services (see Note 1 regarding our operating segments). We use Adjusted EBITDA to evaluate operating performance of our segments, to allocate resources and capital to such segments, to measure performance for incentive compensation programs, and to evaluate future growth opportunities.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

The common definition of EBITDA is Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization. In evaluating financial performance, we use revenues and operating income (loss) before depreciation and amortization (including stock based compensation), and reorganization expenses due to bankruptcy (Adjusted EBITDA) as the measure of a segment s profit or loss. Adjusted EBITDA is equivalent to the common definition of EBITDA before: reorganization expenses due to bankruptcy; gain on discharge of pre-petition obligations and fresh-start adjustments; gain (loss) on investments; other income (expense); equity in net income (losses) of affiliates; and minority interest, net of tax.

Adjusted EBITDA allows us and investors to compare our operating results with that of competitors exclusive of depreciation and amortization, interest and investment income, interest expense, reorganization expenses due to bankruptcy, other income (expense), net losses of affiliates and minority interest. Financial results of competitors in our industry have significant variations that can result from timing of capital expenditures, the amount of intangible assets recorded, the differences in assets lives, the timing and amount of investments, the effects of other income (expense), which are typically for non-recurring transactions not related to the on-going business, and effects of investments not directly managed. The use of Adjusted EBITDA allows us and investors to compare operating results exclusive of these items. Competitors in our industry have significantly different capital structures. The use of Adjusted EBITDA maintains comparability of performance by excluding interest expense.

We believe the use of Adjusted EBITDA along with U.S. GAAP financial measures enhances the understanding of our operating results and is useful to us and investors in comparing performance with competitors, estimating enterprise value and making investment decisions. Adjusted EBITDA as used here may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by competitors. Adjusted EBITDA should be used in conjunction with U.S. GAAP financial measures and is not presented as an alternative to cash flow from operations as a measure of our liquidity or as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance.

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

Intersegment revenues primarily consists of satellites under construction by Satellite Manufacturing for Satellite Services and the leasing of transponder capacity by Satellite Manufacturing from Satellite Services. Summarized financial information concerning the reportable segments is as follows (in millions):

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007

	Satellite Manufacturing		Satellite Services		Corporate ⁽¹⁾		Total	
Revenues and Adjusted EBITDA:								
Revenues ⁽²⁾ Intersegment revenues	\$	191.3 18.9	\$	34.7 0.7			\$	226.0 19.6
Operating segment revenues	\$	210.2	\$	35.4				245.6
Eliminations ⁽³⁾								(19.6)
Operating revenues as reported	d						\$	226.0
Segment Adjusted EBITDA before eliminations	\$	12.8	\$	13.7	\$	(8.5)	\$	18.0
Eliminations ⁽³⁾								(0.9)
Adjusted EBITDA								17.1
Depreciation and amortization ⁽⁴⁾	\$	(10.1)	\$	(13.1)	\$	(9.0)		(32.2)
Operating loss Interest and investment incom Interest expense	e							(15.1) 10.6 (2.2)
Unrealized gain on foreign exchange contracts								61.5
Other income (expense)								0.2
Income tax provision Equity income in affiliates								(28.4) 0.5
Minority Interest								(6.5)
Net income							\$	20.6

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

	Satellite Satellite Manufacturing Service				Corporate ⁽¹⁾			Total
Revenues and Adjusted EBITDA: Revenues ⁽²⁾	\$	379.0	\$	67.5			\$	446.5
Intersegment revenues Operating segment revenues	\$	31.6 410.6	\$	1.4 68.9				33.0 479.5
Eliminations ⁽³⁾								(33.0)
Operating revenues as reported							\$	446.5
Segment Adjusted EBITDA before eliminations	\$	20.2	\$	25.6	\$	(17.2)	\$	28.6
Eliminations ⁽³⁾								(3.6)
Adjusted EBITDA Depreciation and amortization ⁽⁴⁾	\$	(16.2)	\$	(26.2)	\$	(9.5)		25.0 (51.9)
Operating loss Interest and investment income Interest expense								(26.9) 17.2 (5.0)
Unrealized gain on foreign exchange contracts Other income (expense) Income tax provision Equity loss in affiliates Minority Interest								65.5 0.2 (31.8) (1.9) (13.5)
Net income							\$	3.8
Other Data: Total assets ⁽⁵⁾	\$	964.9	\$	789.2	\$	301.8	\$	2,055.9

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) Three Months Ended June 30, 2006

		Satellite Manufacturing		tellite rvices	Corporate ⁽¹⁾		Total	
Revenues and Adjusted								
EBITDA:								
Revenues ⁽²⁾	\$	155.9	\$	37.0			\$	192.9
Intersegment revenues		7.4		0.9				8.3
Operating segment revenues	\$	163.3	\$	37.9				201.2
Eliminations ⁽³⁾								(8.3)
Operating revenues as reported	ł						\$	192.9
Segment Adjusted EBITDA								
before eliminations	\$	11.8	\$	14.1	\$	(7.3)	\$	18.6
Eliminations ⁽³⁾								(1.5)
Adjusted EBITDA								17.1
Depreciation and amortization	\$	(6.1)	\$	(10.9)	\$	(0.6)		(17.6)
Operating loss								(0.5)
Interest and investment income	e							5.0
Interest expense								(5.5)
Other income (expense)								(0.1)
Income tax provision								(2.4)
Equity loss in affiliates								(1.9)
Minority Interest								(6.0)
Net loss							\$	(11.4)

LORAL SPACE & COMMUNICATIONS INC.

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued) Six Months Ended June 30, 2006

		tellite facturing	atellite ervices	Cor	porate ⁽¹⁾	Total
Revenues and Adjusted EBITDA:						
Revenues ⁽²⁾	\$	292.3	\$ 72.6			\$ 364.9
Intersegment revenues		10.3	1.5			11.8
Operating segment revenues	s \$	302.6	\$ 74.1			376.7
Eliminations ⁽³⁾						(11.8)
Operating revenues as reported						\$ 364.9
Segment Adjusted EBITDA before eliminations	\$	17.6	\$ 26.7	\$	(14.2)	\$ 30.1
Eliminations ⁽³⁾						(2.3)
Adjusted EBITDA						27.8
Depreciation and amortization	\$	(11.6)	\$ (21.8)	\$	(1.1)	(34.5)
Operating loss from continuing operations Interest and investment						(6.7)
income						9.6
Interest expense						(10.7)
Other income (expense) Income tax provision						0.9 (5.0)
Equity loss in affiliates						(3.3)
Minority Interest						(12.0)
Net loss						\$ (27.2)
Other Data: Total assets ⁽⁵⁾	\$	951.2	\$ 722.1	\$	61.5	\$ 1,734.8

- ⁽¹⁾ Represents corporate expenses incurred in support of our operations and continuing expenses related to the remaining bankruptcy matters.
- ⁽²⁾ Includes revenues from affiliates of nil and \$5.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$8.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- (3) Represents the elimination of intercompany sales and intercompany Adjusted EBITDA, primarily for satellites under construction by SS/L for Satellite Services.
- ⁽⁴⁾ Includes non-cash stock based compensation of \$10.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively, as a result of shareholder approval of the Stock Incentive Plan amendments on May 22, 2007 (see Note 11).
- ⁽⁵⁾ Amounts are presented after the elimination of intercompany profit. Total assets include \$220.8 million and \$67.7 million of goodwill for Satellite Manufacturing and Satellite Services, respectively, as of June 30, 2007.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with our unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements (the financial statements) included in Item 1 and our latest Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Loral Space & Communications Inc. (New Loral), together with its subsidiaries is a leading satellite communications company with substantial activities in satellite manufacturing and satellite-based communications services. New Loral, a Delaware corporation, was formed on June 24, 2005, to succeed to the business conducted by its predecessor registrant, Loral Space & Communications Ltd. (Old Loral), which emerged from chapter 11 of the federal bankruptcy laws on November 21, 2005 (the Effective Date).

The terms Loral, the Company, we, our and us when used in this report with respect to the period prior to our emergence, are references to Old Loral, and when used with respect to the period commencing after our emergence, are references to New Loral. These references include the subsidiaries of Old Loral or New Loral, as the case may be, unless otherwise indicated or the context otherwise requires.

Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Except for the historical information contained in the following discussion and analysis, the matters discussed below are not historical facts, but are forward-looking statements as that term is defined in the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. In addition, we or our representatives have made and may continue to make forward-looking statements, orally or in writing, in other contexts. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as believes, plans, expects. may, will, would. could, should, intend, or anticipates, estimates, project, outlook or other variations of these words. These statements, including without limitation, those relating to New Telesat, are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties that are difficult to predict or quantify. Actual events or results may differ materially as a result of a wide variety of factors and conditions, many of which are beyond our control. For a detailed discussion of these and other factors and conditions, please refer to the Commitments and Contingencies section below and to our other periodic reports filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). We operate in an industry sector in which the value of securities may be volatile and may be influenced by economic and other factors beyond our control. We undertake no obligation to update any forward-looking statements.

Overview

Businesses

Loral is a leading satellite communications company organized into two operating segments: Satellite Manufacturing and Satellite Services.

Satellite Manufacturing

Our subsidiary, Space Systems/Loral, Inc. (SS/L), designs and manufactures satellites, space systems and space system components for commercial and government customers whose applications include fixed satellite services (FSS), direct-to-home (DTH) broadcasting, mobile satellite services (MSS), broadband data distribution, wireless telephony, digital radio, digital mobile broadcasting, military communications, weather monitoring and air traffic management.

Satellite manufacturers have high fixed costs relating primarily to labor and overhead. Based on its current cost structure, we estimate that SS/L covers its fixed costs, including depreciation and amortization, with an average of five to six satellite awards a year depending on the size, power, pricing and complexity of the satellite. Satellite manufacturing has relatively few programs (less than twenty five) under construction at any one time. These programs generally take from two to three years to complete and each represents a significant portion of the financial results of SS/L. The programs are accounted for on a percentage of completion basis, based on actual costs incurred compared with estimated costs to complete the program, which by its nature can produce uneven financial results during the period of performance. These factors when combined can yield fluctuating results in revenue and Adjusted EBITDA from quarter-to-quarter. Cash flow in the satellite manufacturing business tends to be uneven. It

takes two to three years to complete a satellite project and numerous assumptions are built into the estimated costs. SS/L s cash receipts are tied to the achievement of contract milestones that depend in part on the ability of its subcontractors to deliver on time. In addition, the timing of satellite awards is difficult to predict, contributing to the unevenness of revenue and making it more challenging to align the workforce to the workflow.

While its requirement for ongoing capital investment to maintain its current capacity is relatively low, SS/L estimates that, absent alternative arrangements with third parties, facilities expansion to enable the booking of, on average, seven to nine satellite awards per year could require incremental capital expenditures of up to \$150 million over the next three years and has initiated planning efforts to accomplish this. SS/L is currently exploring these alternative arrangements that could substantially reduce these expenditures. The satellite manufacturing industry is a knowledge-intensive business, the success of which relies heavily on its technological heritage and the skills of its workforce. The breadth and depth of talent and experience resident in SS/L s workforce of approximately 2,200 personnel, is one of our key competitive resources.

Satellites are extraordinarily complex devices designed to operate in the very hostile environment of space. This complexity may lead to unanticipated costs during the design, manufacture and testing of a satellite. SS/L establishes provisions for costs based on historical experience and program complexity to cover anticipated costs. As most of SS/L s contracts are fixed price, cost increases in excess of the provisions reduce profitability and may result in losses to SS/L, which may be material. The highly competitive satellite manufacturing industry has recently recovered from a several year period in the early part of this decade when order levels reached an unprecedented low level. Buyers, as a result, have had the advantage over suppliers in negotiating prices, terms and conditions resulting in reduced margins and increased assumptions of risk by SS/L. SS/L was further handicapped while it was in Chapter 11, because of buyers reluctance to purchase satellites from a company in bankruptcy.

Satellite Services

Our subsidiary, Loral Skynet Corporation (Loral Skynet), operates a global fixed satellite services business. Loral Skynet leases transponder capacity to commercial and governmental customers for video distribution and broadcasting, high-speed data distribution, Internet access and communications, as well as provides managed network services to customers using a hybrid satellite and ground-based system. Loral Skynet has four in-orbit satellites and has one satellite under construction at SS/L. It also provides professional services to other satellite operators such as fleet operating services. While we compete with fiber optic cable and other terrestrial delivery systems, primarily for point-to-point applications, Loral Skynet has been able to combine the inherent advantages of each technology to provide its customers with complete end-to-end services. Since FSS satellites remain in a fixed point above the earth s equator and can provide service to wide geographic regions, they provide inherent advantages over terrestrial systems for certain applications, such as broadcast or point-to-multipoint transmission of video

and broadband data. A satellite offers instant infrastructure. It can cover large geographic areas, sometimes entire hemispheres, and can not only provide services to populated areas, but also can better serve areas with inadequate terrestrial infrastructures, low-density populations or difficult geographic terrain.

The satellite services business is capital intensive and the build-out of a satellite fleet requires substantial time and investment. Once these investments are made, however, the costs to maintain and operate the fleet are relatively low. The upfront investments are earned back through the leasing of transponders to customers over the life of the satellite. Given the harsh and unpredictable environment in which the satellites operate, another major cost factor is in-orbit insurance. Annual receipts from this business are fairly predictable because they are derived from an established base of long-term customer contracts and high contract renewal rates.

Competition in the satellite services market has been intense in recent years due to a number of factors, including transponder over-capacity in certain geographic regions and increased competition from fiber. This competition puts pressure on prices, depending on market conditions in various geographic regions and frequency bands. A stronger economy and an increase in capital available for expanded consumer and enterprise-level services have more recently led to an improvement in demand in certain markets. Much of Loral Skynet s currently unleased capacity, however, is over geographic regions where the market is characterized by excess capacity, coupled with weak demand, or where regulatory obstacles are such that we find ourselves at a competitive disadvantage as compared to local operators.

On December 16, 2006, a joint venture formed by Loral and its Canadian partner, the Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP) entered into a definitive agreement with BCE Inc. to acquire 100 percent of the stock of Telesat Canada and certain other assets from BCE Inc. for CAD 3.25 billion (approximately \$3.05 billion based on an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654 at June 30, 2007). In connection with the Telesat Canada transaction, Loral will be responsible for funding certain cash requirements as well as, contributing substantially all of Loral Skynet s assets to Telesat Canada s business in return for a 64% economic interest in the ultimate parent company of New Telesat, which will hold both Telesat Canada and the Loral Skynet assets. See the Telesat Canada Transaction in Note 12 to the financial statements and Telesat Canada Transaction below.

Bankruptcy Reorganization

During the years 2001-2003, the sustained and unprecedented decline in demand for our satellites and the transponder over-capacity in our satellite services business exacerbated Old Loral s already strained financial condition brought on primarily by the investments we had previously made in Globalstar, L.P. (Globalstar) that we subsequently wrote-off. Globalstar filed voluntary bankruptcy petitions under Chapter 11 in February 2002. On July 15, 2003, Old Loral and certain of its subsidiaries (the Debtor Subsidiaries and collectively with Old Loral, the Debtors) filed voluntary petitions for reorganization under Chapter 11. During the ensuing two-and-a-half year period we further increased our emphasis on cash conservation by reducing operating expenses and closely monitoring capital expenditures.

On August 1, 2005, the Bankruptcy Court entered its confirmation order confirming the Plan of Reorganization. On September 30, 2005, the Federal Communications Commission (the FCC) approved the transfer of FCC licenses from Old Loral to New Loral, which represented satisfaction of the last material condition precedent to emergence. The Debtors emerged from their reorganization proceeding under Chapter 11 on November 21, 2005 pursuant to the Plan of Reorganization. Pursuant to Statement of Position No. 90-7, *Financial Reporting of Entities in Reorganization Under the Bankruptcy Code* (SOP 90-7) we adopted fresh-start accounting as of October 1, 2005.

Future Outlook

We have reorganized around SS/L s satellite manufacturing operations and Loral Skynet s fleet of satellites. Following our emergence from Chapter 11, we have focused primarily on taking advantage of the years of experience and superior expertise of our professional senior management team to capture opportunities in our markets and maintain an efficient stream-lined operation.

Construction of Telstar 11N, a powerful new multi-region Ku-band communications satellite for Loral Skynet, has begun at SS/L and upon completion will be launched into the 37.550 W.L. orbital location. Scheduled to enter service in late 2008, Telstar 11N will provide commercial and governmental customers with broadband connectivity within and among the American, European and African regions. Our customers will also use Telstar 11N for video distribution and

high-speed data and voice services. This satellite will be transferred to New Telesat as part of the Skynet Transaction (as detailed below).

Upon closing of the Telesat Canada acquisition and the Skynet Transaction, Loral will hold a 64% economic interest in the world s fourth largest satellite operator with more than CAD 5 billion of backlog. The integration of Loral Skynet s and Telesat Canada s operations and the combined satellite fleet of this new Telesat Canada and Loral Skynet company, comprised of 12 in-orbit satellites and three satellites under construction, will offer customers expanded satellite and terrestrial coverage and continue to offer superior customer service. We believe that this transaction will allow New Telesat to compete more effectively in the FSS industry.

Critical success factors for both of our segments include maintaining our reputation for reliability, quality and superior customer service. These factors are vital to securing new customers and retaining current ones. At the same time, we must continue to contain costs and maximize efficiencies. Loral Skynet is focused on planning the integration of Loral Skynet s and Telesat Canada s operations and identifying opportunities for cost reductions while managing Loral Skynet s on-going operations. SS/L is focused on increasing bookings and backlog, while maintaining the cost efficiencies and process improvements realized over the past several years. In addition, SS/L must continue to align its direct workforce with the level of awards. In order to complete construction of all the

satellites in backlog and to accommodate long-term growth, SS/L will need, and is in the process of hiring additional staff. Long-term growth at SS/L will also require expanded facilities, and working capital requirements, primarily for the orbital component of the satellite contract which is payable to SS/L over the life of the satellite.

We regularly explore and evaluate possible strategic transactions and alliances. We also periodically engage in discussions with satellite service providers, satellite manufacturers and others regarding such matters, which may include joint ventures and strategic relationships as well as business combinations or the acquisition or disposition of assets. In order to pursue certain of these opportunities, we would require additional funds. There can be no assurance that we will enter into any strategic transactions or alliances and, if so, on what terms or that we will be able to obtain such financing or favorable terms, if at all.

On February 27, 2007, Loral completed a \$300 million preferred stock financing pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement entered into with MHR Fund Management LLC (MHR) on October 17, 2006 (see Note 12 to the financial statements). Loral plans to use the proceeds from this financing, together with its existing resources, to pursue both internal and external growth opportunities in the satellite communications industry and strategic transactions or alliances, including completion of the Telesat Canada acquisition.

Consolidated Operating Results

See Critical Accounting Matters in our latest Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC and Note 3 to the financial statements.

Changes in Critical Accounting Policies There have been no changes in the company s critical accounting policies during the six months ended June 30, 2007, except for the treatment of tax contingency accruals.

Effective January 1, 2007, the company began to measure and record tax contingency accruals in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (*FIN 48*). The expanded disclosure requirements of FIN 48 are presented in Note 3 to the financial statements

FIN 48 prescribes a threshold for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. Only tax positions meeting the more-likely-than-not recognition threshold at the effective date may be recognized or continue to be recognized upon adoption of this Interpretation. FIN 48 also provides guidance on accounting for de-recognition, interest and penalties, and classification and disclosure of matters related to uncertainty in income taxes.

Prior to January 1, 2007, our policy was to maintain tax contingency liabilities for potential audit issues. The tax contingency liabilities were based on our estimate of the probable amount of additional taxes that may be due in the future. Any

additional taxes due would be determined only upon completion of current and future federal, state and international tax audits.

Consolidated Operating Results The following discussion of revenues and Adjusted EBITDA (see Note 14 to the financial statements) reflects the results of our operating business segments for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006. The balance of the discussion relates to our consolidated results, unless otherwise noted.

The common definition of EBITDA is Earnings Before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization. In evaluating financial performance, we use revenues and operating income (loss) before depreciation and amortization (including stock based compensation) and reorganization expenses due to bankruptcy (Adjusted EBITDA) as the measure of a segment s profit or loss. Adjusted EBITDA is equivalent to the common definition of EBITDA before: reorganization expenses due to bankruptcy; gain on discharge of pre-petition obligations and fresh-start adjustments; gain (loss) on investments; other income (expense); equity in net income (losses) of affiliates; and minority interest, net of tax.

Adjusted EBITDA allows us and investors to compare our operating results with that of competitors exclusive of depreciation and amortization, interest and investment income, interest expense, reorganization expenses due to bankruptcy, other income (expense), net losses of affiliates and minority interest. Financial results of competitors in our industry have significant variations that can result from timing of capital expenditures, the amount of intangible assets recorded, the differences in assets lives, the timing and amount of investments, the effects of other income

Table of Contents

(expense), which are typically for non-recurring transactions not related to the on-going business, and effects of investments not directly managed. The use of Adjusted EBITDA allows us and investors to compare operating results exclusive of these items. Competitors in our industry have significantly different capital structures. The use of Adjusted EBITDA maintains comparability of performance by excluding interest expense.

We believe the use of Adjusted EBITDA along with U.S. GAAP financial measures enhances the understanding of our operating results and is useful to us and investors in comparing performance with competitors, estimating enterprise value and making investment decisions. Adjusted EBITDA as used here may not be comparable to similarly titled measures reported by competitors. We also use Adjusted EBITDA to evaluate operating performance of our segments, to allocate resources and capital to such segments, to measure performance for incentive compensation programs and to evaluate future growth opportunities. Adjusted EBITDA should be used in conjunction with U.S. GAAP financial measures and is not presented as an alternative to cash flow from operations as a measure of our liquidity or as an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance.

Revenues:

						Six M	ont	hs	
	T	Three Months Ended June 30,				Ended J	June 30,		
		2007		2006	2007			2006	
		(In millions)				(In mi	ns)		
Satellite Manufacturing Satellite Services	\$	210.2 35.4	\$	163.3 37.9	\$	410.6 68.9	\$	302.6 74.1	
Segment revenues Eliminations ⁽¹⁾		245.6 (19.6)		201.2 (8.3)		479.5 (33.0)		376.7 (11.8)	
Revenues as reported ⁽²⁾	\$	226.0	\$	192.9	\$	446.5	\$	364.9	

Adjusted EBITDA:

	Three Months Ended June 30,]	hs e 30,				
	2	2007 2006 (In millions)			2	2007	2006		
						(In mi	llions)		
Satellite Manufacturing	\$	12.8	\$	11.8	\$	20.2	\$	17.6	
Satellite Services		13.7		14.1		25.6		26.7	
Corporate expenses ⁽³⁾		(8.5)		(7.3)		(17.2)		(14.2)	

Segment Adjusted EBITDA before eliminations Eliminations ⁽¹⁾	18.0 (0.9)	18.6 (1.5)	28.6 (3.6)	30.1 (2.3)
Adjusted EBITDA	\$ 17.1	\$ 17.1	\$ 25.0	\$ 27.8
	43			

Reconciliation of Adjusted EBITD	A to Net Income (Loss):
---	-------------------------

	Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006 (In millions)]	hs e 30, 2006 ns)		
Adjusted EBITDA Depreciation and amortization ⁽⁴⁾	\$	17.1 (32.2)	\$	17.1 (17.6)	\$	25.0 (51.9)	\$	27.8 (34.5)
Operating loss		(15.1)		(0.5)		(26.9)		(6.7)
Interest and investment income		10.6		5.0		17.2		9.6
Interest expense Unrealized gain on foreign exchange contracts (marked to		(2.2)		(5.5)		(5.0)		(10.7)
market)		61.5				65.5		
Other income (expense)		0.2		(0.1)		0.2		0.9
Income tax provision Equity in net income (losses) of		(28.4)		(2.4)		(31.8)		(5.0)
affiliates		0.5		(1.9)		(1.9)		(3.3)
Minority interest		(6.5)		(6.0)		(13.5)		(12.0)
Net income (loss)	\$	20.6	\$	(11.4)	\$	3.8	\$	(27.2)

- (1) Represents the elimination of intercompany sales and intercompany Adjusted EBITDA for satellites under construction by SS/L for Satellite Services and for Satellite Services leasing transponder capacity to SS/L.
- ⁽²⁾ Includes revenues from affiliates of nil and \$5.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively, and \$0.4 million and \$8.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.
- ⁽³⁾ Represents corporate expenses incurred in support of our operations and continuing expenses related to the remaining bankruptcy matters.
- ⁽⁴⁾ Includes non-cash stock based compensation of \$10.3 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively, as a result of shareholder approval of the Stock Incentive Plan amendments on May 22, 2007 (see Note 11 to the financial statements).

Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared With June 30, 2006

Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing

	Three Months Ended June 30,			% Increase/		
	2007		2006		(Decrease)	
		(In mil	lion	s)		
Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing Eliminations	\$	210 (19)	\$	163 (7)	29%	
Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing as reported	\$	191	\$	156	23%	

Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing before eliminations increased by \$47 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily as a result of increased revenues of \$95 million from new satellite orders received in 2006 and the six months ended June 30, 2007. This increase was partially offset by a reduction to revenues as a result of satellites completed and satellite programs nearing completion. Eliminations consist primarily of revenues from the construction of Telstar 11N, a satellite under construction by SS/L for Satellite Services. As a result, revenues from Satellite Manufacturing as reported increased \$35 million in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Revenues from Satellite Services

		Three I Inded . 007 (In mi	June 20	% Increase/ (Decrease)		
Revenues from Satellite Services Eliminations	\$	36 (1)	\$	38 (1)	(7)% (21)%	
Revenues from Satellite Services as reported	\$	35	\$	37	(6)%	

Revenues from Satellite Services decreased \$2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 2006. This reduction is driven by reduced revenues of \$3 million as a result of Boeing s termination of service on our Estrela do Sul satellite in late 2006, timing of cash revenue recognition of \$2 million and reduced revenues of \$2 million as a result of the restructuring of the Network Services business in late 2006. These reductions were offset by higher utilization of \$3 million including \$1 million on the Satmex 6 transponders that were added to the fleet in the fourth quarter of 2006. Eliminations primarily consist of revenues from leasing transponder capacity to Satellite Manufacturing.

Cost of Satellite Manufacturing

	,	Three N	%		
		Ended J 007 (In mil	2	006	Increase/ (Decrease)
Cost of Satellite Manufacturing includes: Cost of Satellite Manufacturing before the following specific identified charges Depreciation and amortization	\$	163 10	\$	132 6	23% 65%
Total cost of Satellite Manufacturing as reported	\$	173	\$	138	25%
Cost of Satellite Manufacturing as a % of Satellite Manufacturing revenues as reported		90%		89%	

Cost of Satellite Manufacturing as reported increased \$35 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. Cost of Satellite Manufacturing before specific identified charges shown above increased \$31 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily due to the increased sales and the related costs of new satellites under construction, partially offset by

an improvement in margins on existing programs. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006 as a result of amortization of stock based compensation of restricted stock grants made to employees during the quarter of \$2 million and reduced amortization of fair value credit adjustments of \$2 million in connection with the adoption of fresh start accounting.

Cost of Satellite Services

	E 20	`hree I nded J)07 (In mi	June 2(% Increase/ (Decrease)	
Cost of Satellite Services includes: Cost of Satellite Services before depreciation and amortization		12	\$	12	(1)%
Depreciation and amortization Total cost of Satellite Services as reported	\$	13 25	\$	11 23	21% 9%
Cost of Satellite Services as a % of Satellite Services revenues as reported		74%		63%	
15					

Cost of Satellite Services increased \$2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. This increase was primarily due to an increase of depreciation and amortization expense of \$2 million in 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily resulting from the net effect of increased depreciation of \$1 million due to accelerated depreciation on a satellite and the depreciation of the four Satmex 6 transponders, which we acquired the rights to in November 2006 and reduced amortization of fair value credit adjustments of \$1 million in connection with the adoption of fresh-start accounting.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

	Ended 2007	Months June 30, 2006 nillions)	% Increase/ (Decrease)
Selling, general and administrative expenses includes: Selling, general and administrative expenses			
before specific charges	\$ 31	\$ 30	1%
Litigation costs	3		
Stock based compensation	9	1	
Continuing expenses related to remaining bankruptcy matters		1	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ 43	\$ 32	34%
% of revenues as reported	19%	16%	

Selling, general and administrative expenses before specific charges increased by \$1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. This was due primarily to an increase in research and development of \$3 million at Satellite Manufacturing, partially offset by a decrease at Satellite Services of \$2 million due to reduced personnel and marketing and promotional expenses. Litigation costs were primarily for various shareholder suits (see Note 12 to the financial statements). The approval of stock option plan amendments at the stockholders meeting on May 22, 2007 resulted in a non-cash charge of \$9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 (see Note 11 to the financial statements). Continuing expenses for bankruptcy related matters decreased \$1 million as a result of minimal expenses incurred in the second quarter 2007 as compared to 2006.

Interest and Investment Income

Three Months Ended June 30,

	- `)07 In mil	200 lions	
Interest and Investment Income	\$	11	\$	5

Interest and investment income increased \$6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. Interest income increased \$4 million due to an increase in cash and short-term investment balances due to the proceeds from the \$300 million preferred stock financing completed February 27, 2007 and an increase in short-term interest rates. Investment income also increased \$2 million due to the partial sale of our holdings in Globalstar.

Interest Expense

	Three Months Ended June 30,				
	2007 (In milli			2006 ons)	
Interest cost before capitalized interest Capitalized interest	\$	5 (3)	\$	5	
Interest expense	\$	2	\$	5	

Interest cost before capitalized interest, primarily for the Loral Skynet 14% senior secured notes, remained relatively constant for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. Capitalized interest increased to \$3 million due to higher construction in process balances primarily for the Telstar 11N satellite.

Gain on Foreign Currency Contracts

In the three months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded an unrealized mark to market gain of \$61 million reflecting the change in the fair value of the currency swaps and the change in the fair value of the forward contracts entered into by Loral Skynet relating to the Telesat Canada acquisition. Any unrealized gain or loss on these transactions as a result of marking these investments to market, is recognized in the statement of operations and will be offset by a corresponding decrease or increase in the US dollar purchase price equivalent to be paid to BCE by New Telesat (see Note 6 to the financial statements).

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) primarily represents gains and (losses) on other foreign currency transactions.

Income Tax Provision

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). We adopted FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007. See *Income Taxes* in Note 3 to the financial statements.

During 2007 and 2006, we maintained a 100% valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets except with regard to our deferred tax assets related to AMT credit carryforwards. We will continue to maintain the valuation allowance until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal. If, in the future, we were to determine that we will be able to realize all or a portion of the benefit from our deferred tax assets, a reduction to the valuation allowance as of October 1, 2005 will first reduce goodwill, then other intangible assets with any excess treated as an increase to paid-in-capital.

The income tax provision was \$28.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$2.4 million for 2006 on a pre-tax income of \$55.0 million for 2007 and a pre-tax loss of \$1.1 million for 2006. The increase in our provision for 2007 is primarily attributable to a provision of \$25.1 million on income for the three months ended June 30, 2007 (which allowed us to realize \$24.8 million of deferred tax benefits from Old Loral thereby creating an excess valuation allowance of \$24.8 million that was reversed as a reduction to goodwill) and an additional valuation allowance of \$1.4 million required as a result of having reversed \$1.4 million of deferred tax liabilities from accumulated other comprehensive income during 2007. This increase was partially offset by lower foreign income taxes in 2007 of \$0.4 million, primarily in Brazil as a result of the termination of a customer lease contract in late 2006.

Equity Income (Losses) in Affiliates

	En	Months ded e 30,
	2007 (In m	2006 illions)
XTAR Globalstar	\$ (3) 3	\$ (2)
	\$	\$ (2)

The increase in equity losses in XTAR in 2007 represents our share of higher losses incurred by XTAR. During the three months ended June 30, 2007, Loral received a cash distribution of \$3.0 million from Globalstar de Mexico.

Minority Interest

Minority interest increased \$1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of outstanding shares of Loral Skynet preferred stock in 2007 as a result of dividends paid in kind during 2006 and 2007.

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Compared With June 30, 2006

Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing

		Aonths June 30,	% Increase/
	2007 (In m	2006 nillions)	(Decrease)
Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing Eliminations	\$ 411 (32)	\$ 303 (11)	36%
Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing as reported	\$ 379	\$ 292	30%

Revenues from Satellite Manufacturing before eliminations increased by \$108 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily as a result of increased revenues of \$193 million from new satellite orders received in 2006 and the six months ended June 30, 2007. This increase was partially offset by a reduction to revenues as a result of satellites completed and satellite programs nearing completion. Eliminations consist primarily of revenues from the construction of Telstar 11N, a satellite under construction by SS/L for Satellite Services. As a result, revenues from Satellite Manufacturing as reported increased \$87 million in 2007 as compared to 2006.

Revenues from Satellite Services

	Six Months Ended June 30,			% Increase/	
	200 (In)7 n mill)06 1s)	(Decrease)
Revenues from Satellite Services Eliminations	·	59 (1)	\$	74 (1)	(7)% (10)%
Revenues from Satellite Services as reported	\$ 6	58	\$	73	(7)%

Revenues from Satellite Services decreased \$5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 compared to 2006. This reduction is driven by reduced revenues of \$5 million as a result of Boeing s termination of service on our Estrela do Sul satellite in late 2006, timing of cash revenue recognition of \$2 million and reduced revenues of \$3 million as a result of the restructuring of the Network Services business in late 2006. These reductions were offset by higher utilization of \$5 million including \$1 million on the Satmex 6 transponders that were added to the fleet in the fourth quarter of 2006. Eliminations primarily consist of revenues from leasing transponder capacity to Satellite Manufacturing.

Cost of Satellite Manufacturing

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006 (In millions)			30, 006	% Increase/ (Decrease)
Cost of Satellite Manufacturing includes: Cost of Satellite Manufacturing before the following specific identified charges Depreciation and amortization	\$	331 16	\$	252 12	31% 40%
Total cost of Satellite Manufacturing as reported	\$	347	\$	264	31%
Cost of Satellite Manufacturing as a% of Satellite Manufacturing revenues as reported		92%		90%	

Cost of Satellite Manufacturing as reported increased \$83 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. Cost of Satellite Manufacturing before specific identified charges shown above increased \$79 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily due to the increased sales and the related costs of new satellites under construction, partially offset by an improvement in margins on existing programs. Depreciation and amortization expense increased \$4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006 as a result of amortization of stock based compensation of restricted stock grants made to employees during the quarter of \$2 million and reduced amortization of fair value credit adjustments of \$2 million in connection with the adoption of fresh start accounting.

Cost of Satellite Services

	Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006 (In millions)		Ended June 30,		30,)06	% Increase/ (Decrease)
			non	5)		
Cost of Satellite Services includes: Cost of Satellite Services before depreciation and amortization Depreciation and amortization	\$	24 26	\$	25 22	(5)% 20%	
Total cost of Satellite Services as reported	\$	50	\$	47	7%	
Cost of Satellite Services as a % of Satellite Services revenues as reported		75%		65%		

Cost of Satellite Services increased \$3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. This increase was primarily due to an increase of depreciation and amortization expense of \$4 million in 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily resulting from the net effect of increased depreciation of \$2 million due to accelerated depreciation on a satellite and the depreciation of the four Satmex 6 transponders, which we acquired the rights to in November 2006 and reduced amortization of fair value credit adjustments of \$2 million in connection with the adoption of fresh-start accounting. These increases were offset by a \$1 million reduction in personnel costs due to reduced headcount.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses

	E1 2(Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006 (In millions)			% Increase/ (Decrease)
Selling, general and administrative expenses					
includes:					
Selling, general and administrative expenses					
before specific charges	\$	60	\$	56	6%
Litigation costs		7			
Stock based compensation		9		1	
Continuing expenses related to remaining					
bankruptcy matters				3	
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$	76	\$	60	26%
% of revenues as reported		17%		16%	

Selling, general and administrative expenses before specific charges increased by \$4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. This was due primarily to an increase in research and development of \$5 million and marketing related expenses of \$2 million at Satellite Manufacturing, offset by a decrease of \$2 million in marketing related expenses and \$1 million for reduced personnel and other costs at Satellite Services. Litigation costs were primarily for various shareholder suits (see Note 12 to the financial statements). The approval of stock option plan amendments at the stockholders meeting on May 22, 2007 resulted in non-cash stock based compensation charges of \$9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 (see Note 11 to the financial statements). Continuing expenses for bankruptcy related matters decreased \$3 million as a result of minimal expenses incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006.

Interest and Investment Income

Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 2006 (In millions)

Interest and investment income

\$ 17 \$ 10

Interest and investment income increased \$7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. Interest income increased \$4 million due to an

increase in cash and short-term investment balances due to the proceeds from the \$300 million preferred stock financing completed February 27, 2007 and an increase in short-term interest rates, \$2 million due to the partial sale of our holdings in Globalstar and \$1 million due to higher SS/L interest income on orbital incentives.

Interest Expense

	En	Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2007 (In mi	2006 illions)			
Interest cost before capitalized interest Capitalized interest	\$ 11 (6)	\$ 11			
Interest expense	\$5	\$ 11			

Interest cost before capitalized interest, primarily for the Loral Skynet 14% senior secured notes, remained relatively constant for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006. Capitalized interest increased to \$6 million due to higher construction in process balances primarily for the Telstar 11N satellite.

Gain on Foreign Currency Contracts

In the six months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded an unrealized mark to market gain of \$65 million reflecting the change in the fair value of the currency swaps and the change in the fair value of the forward contracts entered into by Loral Skynet relating to the Telesat Canada acquisition. Any unrealized gain or loss on these transactions as a result of marking these investments to market, is recognized in the statement of operations and will be offset by a corresponding decrease or increase in the US dollar purchase price equivalent to be paid to BCE by New Telesat (see Note 6 to the financial statements).

Other Income (Expense)

Other income (expense) represents gains and (losses) on other foreign currency transactions and the gain recorded on the disposition of an orbital slot in 2006.

Income Tax Provision

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). We adopted FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007. See *Income Taxes* in Note 3 to the financial statements.

During 2007 and 2006, we maintained a 100% valuation allowance against our net deferred tax assets except with regard to our deferred tax assets related to AMT credit carryforwards. We will continue to maintain the valuation allowance until sufficient positive evidence exists to support its reversal. If, in the future, we were to determine that we will be able to realize all or a portion of the benefit from our deferred tax assets, a reduction to the valuation allowance as of October 1, 2005 will first reduce goodwill, then other intangible assets with any excess treated as an increase to paid-in-capital.

The income tax provision was \$31.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to \$5.0 million for 2006 on a pre-tax income of \$51.0 million for 2007 and a pre-tax loss of \$6.9 million for 2006. The increase in our provision for 2007 is primarily attributable to a provision of \$25.1 million on income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 (which allowed us to realize \$24.8 million of deferred tax benefits from Old Loral thereby creating an excess valuation allowance of \$24.8 million that was reversed as a reduction to goodwill) and an additional valuation allowance of \$3.2 million required as a result of having reversed \$3.2 million of deferred tax liabilities from accumulated other comprehensive income during 2007. This increase was partially offset by a reduced accrual for tax contingency reserves in 2007 (in accordance with FIN 48) of \$0.6 million and lower foreign income taxes in 2007 of \$0.7 million, primarily in Brazil as a result of the termination of a customer lease contract in late 2006.

Equity Income (Losses) in Affiliates

	Er	Aonths Ided Ie 30,
	2007	2006 nillions)
XTAR Globalstar	\$ (5) 3	\$ (3)
	\$ (2)	\$ (3)

The increase in equity losses in XTAR in 2007 represents our share of higher losses incurred by XTAR. . During the six months ended June 30, 2007, Loral received a cash distribution of \$3.0 million from Globalstar de Mexico.

Minority Interest

Minority interest increased \$1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as compared to 2006, primarily as a result of an increase in the number of outstanding shares of Loral Skynet preferred stock in 2007 as a result of dividends paid in kind during 2006 and 2007.

Backlog

Consolidated

Consolidated backlog was \$1,514 million at June 30, 2007 and \$1,347 million at December 31, 2006.

Satellite Manufacturing

As of June 30, 2007, backlog for SS/L was approximately \$1,233 million, including intercompany backlog of approximately \$84 million. Backlog at December 31, 2006 was \$1,118 million, including intercompany backlog of \$116 million.

Satellite Services

At June 30, 2007, Satellite Services backlog totaled approximately \$373 million, including intercompany backlog of approximately \$8 million. As of December 31, 2006, backlog was \$355 million, including intercompany backlog of \$10 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash and Available Credit

As of June 30, 2007, the Company had \$547.5 million of cash, short-term investments and restricted cash, of which \$104.7 million is in the form of short-term investments and \$21.2 million is in the form of restricted cash (\$8.9 million included in other current assets and \$12.3 million included in other assets on our consolidated balance sheet). During the next 12 months, we expect to use a significant portion of our available cash and short-term investments for the Telesat Canada acquisition, for working capital requirements, and capital expenditures, including the facilities expansion for the Satellite Manufacturing segment. We believe that cash and short-term investments as of June 30, 2007 and net cash provided by operating activities will be adequate to meet our expected cash requirement for activities in the normal course of business, planned capital expenditures and the Telesat Canada acquisition, through at least the next 12 months. It is likely, however, that we will further access the financial markets to meet our future growth objectives.

On December 16, 2006, a joint venture formed by the Company and its Canadian partner, PSP, entered into a definitive agreement with BCE Inc. to acquire 100% of the stock of Telesat Canada and certain other assets for CAD 3.25 billion (approximately \$3.05 billion based on an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654 as of June 30, 2007). Our net cash funding requirement for this transaction will be funded from cash and short-term investments and/or cash flow from operations. If the Telesat Canada acquisition and the Skynet Transaction had occurred on June 30, 2007, Loral s net cash funding requirements would have amounted to approximately \$219 million. See Telesat Canada Transaction below.

We are required under the terms of our agreement with PSP to have expended at least \$130 million towards the cost of construction, launch and insurance of Telstar 11N by the closing date of the Skynet Transaction or to make a cash capital contribution to Holdings for the amount of any difference.

In addition, if we are unable to close the Skynet Transaction during the one-year period following the closing of the Telesat Canada acquisition, we would then be required, under the terms of our agreement with PSP, to contribute our rights to the Telstar 11N satellite as well as \$175 million in cash to New Telesat (see Telesat Canada Transaction).

The Company has an investment program that increases return while maintaining a conservative risk profile. The Company s investment policy establishes conservative policies relating to and governing the investment of its surplus cash. The investment policy does not permit the Company to engage in speculative or leveraged transactions, nor does it permit the Company to hold or issue financial instruments for trading purposes. The investment policy was designed to preserve capital and safeguard principal, to meet all liquidity requirements of the Company and to provide a competitive rate of return. The investment policy addresses dealer qualifications, lists approved securities, establishes minimum acceptable credit ratings, sets concentration limits, defines a maturity structure, requires all firms to safe keep securities on our behalf, requires certain mandatory reporting activity and

discusses review of the portfolio. The Company operates its investment program under the guidelines of its investment policy.

On February 27, 2007, Loral completed a \$300 million preferred stock financing pursuant to the Securities Purchase Agreement entered into with MHR on October 17, 2006, as amended and restated on February 27, 2007 (the Securities Purchase Agreement). See Note 11 to the financial statements.

The price of Loral s common stock on October 16, 2006, the day before we signed the Securities Purchase Agreement, was \$26.92 and the conversion price was \$30.1504. The price of Loral s common stock on February 27, 2007, when the financing closed was \$47.40. Because of the difference between the fair market value of the common stock on the date the financing closed, as compared to the conversion price, the Company is required to reflect a beneficial conversion feature of the Loral Series A-1 Preferred Stock as a component of its net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders for the three and six months ended June 30. 2007. We will also reflect a beneficial conversion feature in a similar manner for the Series B-1 Preferred Stock, in the period in which shareholder approval of the creation of the new class of Class B-1 non-voting common stock is received. This beneficial conversion feature is recorded as an increase to net loss applicable to common shareholders and results in a reduction of both basic and diluted earnings per share results. Accordingly, in the three months ended March 31, 2007, we have recorded an increase to net loss applicable to common shareholders of \$24.5 million. In the period in which shareholder approval of the new class of Class B-1 non-voting common stock is received, we expect that our net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders will be reduced (increased), as applicable, by approximately \$154 million reflecting the beneficial conversion feature (less discount, if any, for the class B-1 non-voting common stock because of its non-voting status). To the extent that dividends on the Loral Series-1 Preferred Stock are paid in additional shares of Loral Series A-1 Preferred Stock, we record an additional beneficial conversion feature that reduces our net income applicable to common shareholders. For the three months ended June 30, 2007, we recorded a beneficial conversion feature of \$0.9 million for the dividends in additional shares of Loral Series A-1 Preferred Stock. We will also record an additional beneficial conversion feature in a similar manner for dividends in additional shares of Loral Series B-1 Preferred Stock in the period in which shareholder approval of the class B-1 non-voting common stock is received, and thereafter. For dividends paid and accrued through June 30, 2007 on the Loral Series B-1 Preferred Stock, the beneficial conversion feature that will be recorded when shareholder approval of the class B-1 non-voting common stock is received, is approximately \$4 million.

Cash requirements at Satellite Manufacturing are driven primarily by working capital requirements to finance long-term receivables associated with satellite contracts and capital spending required to maintain and expand the manufacturing facility. Capital requirements to expand the manufacturing facility beyond its current capabilities and offer customer financing terms beyond standard terms will be funded from some or all of the following: cash and short-term investments, cash flow from operations, or through additional financing activity. The incremental

cost of such expansions or upgrades could be up to \$150 million over the next three years. SS/L is currently exploring alternative arrangements that could substantially reduce these expenditures. Historically, a portion of Satellite Manufacturing revenues are paid to SS/L in the form of orbitals , receivable payments from its customers that are earned over the life of the satellite. These payments are contingent upon continued satellite performance. As of June 30, 2007, SS/L had orbital receivables of \$108 million, which will be received over 18 years, an increase of \$25 million from orbital receivables of \$83 million as of December 31, 2006. Continued growth in the Satellite Manufacturing business will result in a corresponding growth in the amount of such orbital receivables. To fund such growth, SS/L may be required to obtain additional financing.

Annual receipts from the existing Satellite Services business are fairly predictable because they are primarily derived from an established base of long-term customer contracts and high contract renewal rates. We believe that the Satellite Services cash flow from operations will be sufficient to provide for its maintenance capital requirements and to fund any cash portion of its interest and preferred dividend obligations through the closing of the Skynet Transaction. Cash required for the construction of the Telstar 11N satellite will be funded from some or all of the following: cash and short-term investments, cash flow from operations, or through additional financing activity.

On October 31, 2006, SS/L entered into an amendment to its amended and restated letter of credit agreement with JP Morgan Chase Bank extending the maturity of the facility to December 31, 2007 and reducing the facility availability from \$20 million to \$15 million. Letters of credit are available until the earlier of the stated maturity of

the letter of credit, the termination of the facility, or December 31, 2007. Outstanding letters of credit are fully cash collateralized. As of June 30, 2007, \$6.1 million of letters of credit under this facility were issued and outstanding.

On July 30, 2007, SS/L entered into an Amended and Restated Customer Credit Agreement (the Credit Agreement) with Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. (Sirius). The Credit Agreement amends and restates in its entirety the Customer Credit Agreement entered into by SS/L and Sirius on June 7, 2006 (the Original Credit Agreement). The purpose of the amendment and restatement is to make available to Sirius financing for the purchase of a second satellite under the Amended and Restated Satellite Purchase Agreement between Sirius and SS/L dated as of July 23, 2007 (the Amended Satellite Purchase Agreement). Under the Credit Agreement, SS/L has agreed to make loans to Sirius in an aggregate principal amount of up to \$100,000,000 to finance the purchase of the Sirius FM-5 and FM-6 Satellites (the Sirius Satellites). Loans made under the Credit Agreement are secured by Sirius rights under the Amended Satellite Purchase Agreement, including its rights to the Sirius Satellites. The loans are also entitled to the benefits of a subsidiary guarantee from Satellite CD Radio, Inc., and, subject to certain exceptions, any future material subsidiary that may be formed by Sirius hereafter. The maturity date of the loans is the earliest to occur of (i) June 10, 2010, (ii) 90 days after the FM-6 Satellite becomes available for shipment and (iii) 30 days prior to the scheduled launch of the FM-6 Satellite. Loans made under the Credit Agreement generally bear interest at a variable rate equal to three-month LIBOR plus a margin. The Credit Agreement permits Sirius to prepay all or a portion of the loans outstanding without penalty, and, upon the occurrence of certain events, Sirius is required to prepay the loans. As of June 30, 2007, no loans were outstanding under the Original Credit Agreement and Sirius was eligible to borrow \$45 million under the Original Credit Agreement, representing reimbursement of payments previously made by Sirius under the Amended Satellite Purchase Agreement.

On November 21, 2005, Loral Skynet completed the sale of \$126 million of Senior Secured Notes (the Loral Skynet Notes). The Loral Skynet Notes mature on November 15, 2015 and bear interest at 14% payable semi-annually beginning July 15, 2006. No principal payments prior to the maturity date are required. On July 16, 2007, Loral Skynet paid cash of \$8.8 million for accrued interest on the Loral Skynet Notes. The Loral Skynet Notes are guaranteed by certain of Loral Skynet s subsidiaries. The obligations of Loral Skynet and the subsidiary guarantors are secured by a first priority lien on certain specified assets of Loral Skynet and the guarantors pursuant to the security agreements entered into on November 21, 2005. The related indenture contains restrictive covenants that limit, subject to certain exceptions, Loral Skynet s and its subsidiaries ability to take certain actions, including restricted payments, as defined, incurrence of debt, incurrence of liens, payment of certain dividends or distributions, issuance or sale of capital stock of subsidiaries, sale of assets, affiliate transactions and sale/leaseback and merger transactions. Our ability to redeem these notes in the near-term is limited. Prior to November 22, 2009, we may redeem the notes at a redemption price of 110% plus accrued and unpaid interest, unless we receive an objection notice from holders of two-thirds of the principal amount of the notes. After this period, the notes are

redeemable at our option at a redemption price of 110%, declining over time to 100% in 2014, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes is a condition to the consummation of our transfer of Loral Skynet s assets to New Telesat (see Note 12), and, at the request of Loral Skynet, the trustee (the Trustee) under the Indenture issued an unconditional Notice of Full Redemption of the Loral Skynet Notes. Pursuant to this notice, the Loral Skynet Notes will be redeemed on September 5, 2007. The redemption price will be 110% of the principal amount of the Loral Skynet Notes, plus accrued and unpaid interest up to, but not including, the redemption date. See Telesat Canada Transaction and Notes 10 and 12 to the financial statements.

In connection with the Telesat Canada transaction, Loral Skynet entered into certain foreign exchange derivative transactions to lock in the conversion rate of US dollar denominated debt to Canadian dollars to be utilized to pay the purchase price to BCE. At the time we entered into these transactions, Loral Skynet assumed up to \$117.5 million of liability exposure which would arise only if the Telesat Canada transaction does not close and the exchange rate moves against our position at the time we are required to settle these derivatives. Since these transactions were entered into, the Canadian dollar has strengthened and through June 30, 2007, Loral Skynet has a cumulative unrealized gain of \$59.8 million associated with these foreign exchange derivative transactions. If the Telesat transaction does not close and we had to settle these trades, there would need to be a weakening in the Canadian dollar from the June 30, 2007 exchange rate of US\$1.00/CAD 1.0654, of approximately 8% to eliminate our gains and in excess of 20%, for Loral Skynet to reach its full liability exposure (see Note 6 to the financial statements).

Telesat Canada Transaction

On December 16, 2006, a joint venture company (Acquireco) formed by Loral and its Canadian partner, Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP) entered into a definitive agreement with BCE Inc. to acquire 100% of the stock of Telesat Canada and certain other assets from BCE Inc. for CAD 3.25 billion (approximately \$3.05 billion based on an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654 as of June 30, 2007), which purchase price is not subject to adjustment for Telesat Canada s performance during the pre-closing period. Under the terms of this purchase agreement, Telesat Canada s business is, subject to certain exceptions, being operated entirely for Acquireco s benefit beginning from December 16, 2006. Telesat Canada is the leading satellite services provider in Canada and earns its revenues principally through the provision of broadcast and business network services over eight in-orbit satellites. This transaction is subject to various closing conditions, including approvals of the relevant Canadian and U.S. government authorities, and is expected to close in the third quarter of 2007. Loral and PSP have agreed to guarantee 64% and 36%, respectively, of Acquireco s obligations under the Telesat share purchase agreement, up to CAD 200 million.

At the time of, or following the Telesat Canada acquisition, substantially all of Loral Skynet s assets and related liabilities are expected to be transferred to a subsidiary of Acquireco at an agreed upon enterprise valuation, subject to downward adjustment under certain circumstances (the Skynet Transaction). This subsidiary will be combined with Telesat Canada and the resulting new entity (New Telesat) will be a Canadian company that will be headquartered in Ottawa. Following the completion of the Skynet Transaction, New Telesat will be the world s fourth largest operator of telecommunications satellites, with a combined fleet of twelve in-orbit satellites and three additional satellites to be placed in service over the next four years. New Telesat will feature a management team to be drawn from both Telesat Canada and Loral Skynet.

This combined Telesat-Loral Skynet company will offer its customers expanded satellite and terrestrial coverage and continue to offer superior customer service. Loral Skynet s satellite fleet provides an array of video and data services primarily outside of North America, and will complement Telesat Canada s North American fleet, which hosts video and data distribution services across North America, as well as serving as the platform for Canada s two premier direct-to-home video services.

We and PSP have arranged for the parent company of Acquireco (Holdings) to obtain \$3.1 billion of committed debt financing from a group of financial institutions, of which up to approximately \$2.8 billion is available to fund the purchase price of the Telesat Canada acquisition. Based on the committed debt financing and market conditions as of the date of this report, we estimate that the weighted average interest rate for the Telesat debt financing is likely to be approximately 9.0% per year. PSP has agreed to contribute approximately CAD 595.8 million in cash to Holdings, of which \$150 million (or CAD 159.8 million based on an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654 as of June 30, 2007) will be for the purchase of a Holdings fixed rate senior non-convertible mandatorily

redeemable preferred stock. In addition to Loral s agreement to transfer the Loral Skynet assets to New Telesat, Loral will have net cash funding requirements in connection with the transaction, which, had the Telesat Canada acquisition and the Skynet Transaction occurred on June 30, 2007, would have amounted to approximately \$219 million. Loral Skynet s existing 12% preferred stock and 14% senior notes will be redeemed in connection with the Skynet Transaction. To the extent necessary, there will be an appropriate cash true-up at closing between us, PSP and Holdings to reflect the amount of our relative contributions, after giving effect to among other things, the exchange rate then in effect, gains and/or losses on hedging transactions, the spending on Telstar 11N, and in the event of a material adverse change to Loral Skynet s business during the interim period, the resulting diminution in the agreed upon value of Loral Skynet. In July 2007, we increased our on-orbit insurance for Telstar 12 by \$150 million to \$340 million to provide us with sufficient liquidity to complete the Skynet Transaction in the event of a failure of that satellite.

Upon the closings of the Telesat Canada acquisition and the Skynet Transaction, which closings we currently expect to occur simultaneously, we would hold equity interests in Holdings, the ultimate parent company of New Telesat, effectively representing 64% of the economic interests and 331/3% of the voting power of New Telesat. PSP would in turn acquire the preferred stock described above, and equity interests effectively representing 36% of the economic interest, and together with two other Canadian investors, 662/3% of the voting power, of New Telesat.

See Note 12 to the financial statements for further discussion for the Telesat Canada acquisition and the Skynet Transaction.

Contractual Obligations

There have not been any significant changes to the Contractual Obligations as previously disclosed in our latest Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. As of June 30, 2007, we have recorded liabilities under FIN 48 in the amount of \$64 million. We do not expect to make any significant payments regarding such liabilities during the next 12 months.

Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$5 million. This was primarily due to an increase of contracts-in-process of \$70 million, primarily resulting from progress on new satellite programs, an increase in inventories of \$11 million, which will accommodate the increased volume and a decrease in accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$24 million in part due to a vendor financing payment and employment cost payments, partially offset by a decrease in accounts receivable of \$64 million, net income adjusted for non-cash items of \$26 million and an increase in long-term liabilities of \$7 million, primarily due to the Company s adoption of FIN 48 (see Note 3 to the financial statements).

Net cash provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$80 million. This was primarily due to an increase in customer advances of \$73 million primarily from new satellite program receipts, the net loss adjusted for non-cash items of \$25 million and a decrease in accounts receivables of \$15 million due to collections, partially offset by an increase in contracts-in-process of \$14 million due to progress on new satellite programs and a reduction in accounts payable and accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$33 million primarily due to payment of claims from the Plan of Reorganization and the expenses associated with completing the reorganization activity.

Net Cash Used in Investing Activities

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$54 million, resulting from capital expenditures of \$53 million and by an increase in restricted cash in escrow of \$9 million, partially offset by distributions from an equity investment of \$3 million and the Company s net effect of cash management of short-term investments of \$4 million.

Net cash used in investing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2006 was \$14 million, resulting from capital expenditures of \$21 million, partially offset by proceeds received from the disposition of an orbital slot of \$6 million and a reduction in restricted cash in escrow of \$1 million.

Net Cash Provided by Financing Activities

Net cash provided by financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2007 was \$284 million, resulting from the proceeds, net of expenses, from the sale of preferred stock of \$284 million and proceeds from the exercise of stock options of \$2 million, partially offset by cash dividends paid on preferred stock of a subsidiary of \$2 million. There was no cash provided by (used in) financing activities for the three months ended June 30, 2006.

Affiliate Matters

Loral has made certain investments in joint ventures in the Satellite Services business that are accounted for under the equity method of accounting. See Note 8 to the financial statements for further information on affiliate matters.

Commitments and Contingencies

Our business and operations are subject to a number of significant risks, the most significant of which are summarized below in Item 1A Risk Factors and also in Note 12 to the financial statements, Commitments and Contingencies.

Other Matters

Accounting Pronouncements

SFAS 157

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*, (SFAS 157), to define fair value, establish a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with U.S. GAAP and expand disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 requires quantitative disclosures using a tabular format in all periods (interim and annual) and qualitative disclosures about the valuation techniques used to measure fair value in all annual periods. We are required to adopt the provisions of this statement as of January 1, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 157.

SFAS 159

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities* (SFAS 159). SFAS 159 expands opportunities to use fair value measurements in financial reporting and permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. We are required to adopt the provisions of this statement as of January 1, 2008. We are currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS 159.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Foreign Currency

We, in the normal course of business, are subject to the risks associated with fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

As of June 30, 2007, SS/L had the following amounts denominated in Japanese Yen and EUROs (which have been translated into U.S. dollars based on the June 30, 2007 exchange rates) that were unhedged (in millions):

	Foreign Currency			[.S. \$
Future revenues Japanese Yen	¥	142.2	\$	1.2
Future expenditures Japanese Yen	¥	3,781.7	\$	30.7
Contracts-in-process, unbilled				
receivables/(customer advances) Japanese Yen	¥	(9.5)	\$	(0.1)
Future expenditures EUROs		3.7	\$	5.0

Telesat Canada Transaction Derivatives

As described in Note 12, on December 16, 2006, a joint venture company formed by Loral and Public Sector Pension Investment Board (PSP) entered into a share

purchase agreement with BCE Inc. and Telesat Canada for the acquisition of all the shares of Telesat Canada and certain other assets for CAD 3.25 billion (see Note 12 to the financial statements). As part of the transaction, the acquisition company received financing commitments from a syndicate of banks for \$2.209 billion of senior secured credit facilities and \$910 million of a senior unsecured bridge facility (assuming an exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654 as of June 30, 2007). The purchase price of Telesat Canada is in Canadian dollars, while most of the debt financing is in U.S. dollars. Accordingly, Loral and PSP have entered into financial commitments to lock in exchange rates to convert some of the U.S. dollar denominated debt proceeds to Canadian dollars. As such, Loral entered into several transactions through its Loral Skynet subsidiary, pursuant to which Loral Skynet assumed certain exposures that would arise if the Telesat Canada acquisition does not close and the currency transactions are unwound.

In December 2006, Loral Skynet entered into a currency basis swap with a single bank counterparty converting \$1.054 billion of U.S. debt into CAD 1.224 billion of Canadian debt for a seven year period beginning December 17, 2007. This debt amortizes 1% per year with a final maturity of December 17, 2014. No cash payment was made by Loral to the counterparty for entering into this transaction. This agreement can be closed at any point prior to December 17, 2007 by simply moving all the terms forward to the closing date of the Telesat Canada acquisition

without affecting terms. This agreement is assignable to the Canadian borrowing company on or prior to closing of the credit transaction. Loral Skynet s liability under this agreement shall not exceed \$10 million for the early termination of this agreement resulting from an event of default or termination event. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, Loral recorded a gain of \$5.9 million and \$3.6 million respectively, reflecting the change in the fair value of the swap. Included in other current assets is \$1.2 million as of June 30, 2007 and \$2.4 million in other current liabilities as of December 31, 2006, reflecting the fair value of the swap.

In December 2006, Loral Skynet entered into forward foreign currency contracts with a single bank counterparty selling \$497.4 million for CAD 570.1 million (\$1.00/CAD 1.1461) with a settlement date of December 17, 2007. In January 2007, Loral Skynet entered into additional forward foreign currency contracts with the same single bank counterparty selling \$200.0 million for CAD 232.8 million (\$1.00/CAD 1.1512) with a settlement date of December 17, 2007. No cash payments were made by Loral to the single bank counterparty for entering into these transactions. These agreements can be rolled forward to the closing date of the Telesat Canada acquisition with an adjustment in the exchange rate. These agreements are assignable to the Canadian borrowing company on or prior to closing of the credit transaction. For the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, Loral recorded a \$55.6 million and \$61.9 gain, respectively, reflecting the change in the fair value of the forward contracts. As of June 30, 2007, other current assets include \$58.6 million reflecting a mark-to-market exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.0654. As of December 31, 2006, other current liabilities include \$3.3 million reflecting a mark-to-market exchange rate of \$1.00/CAD 1.1539. If the forward contracts were not used for the Telesat Canada transaction and had to be terminated, Loral Skynet could have a gain or loss on the termination depending upon the exchange rate at termination. Under the forward foreign currency contracts, Loral Skynet limited its maximum liability under these agreements to a maximum of \$107.5 million for the early termination of these agreements resulting from an event of default or termination.

Interest

The Company issued long-term fixed rate debt at its Loral Skynet Corporation subsidiary upon emergence from bankruptcy. As these instruments are at a fixed rate, the Company does not have any exposure to changes in interest rates with respect thereto. The Company does not actively manage its interest rate risk through the use of derivatives or other financial instruments.

As of June 30, 2007, the Company held \$125 million in marketable securities consisting of corporate bonds, Euro dollar bonds, certificates of deposits, commercial paper, federal agency notes and auction rate securities. We invest in marketable securities with the intent to hold them to maturity and classify them as such, except for the auction rate securities which we classify as available for sale. At June 30, 2007, the longest maturity date for one of these investments was 65 days and the weighted average maturity of our marketable securities was approximately 18 days. Due to the short-term maturity of our investments and our

intent to hold them to maturity, we believe that our exposure to interest rate risk is not significant. A hypothetical 1% movement in market interest rates on \$125 million for 18 days would equate to a \$62 thousand interest adjustment.

As of June 30, 2007, the carrying value of the Company s long-term debt was \$128.0 million with related debt issuance costs of \$5.6 million which is reflected in Other Assets on our Consolidated Balance Sheet. The fair value of such debt was \$140.6 million and is based on a market valuation provided to us by an outside financial institution for the Loral Skynet Corporation 14% Senior Notes. The Loral Skynet Notes have a scheduled maturity date in 2015 and have an effective interest rate of 14.6%.

Item 4. Disclosure Controls and Procedures

(a) *Disclosure controls and procedures.* Our chief executive officer and our chief financial officer, after evaluating the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act)) as of June 30, 2007, have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures were effective and designed to ensure that information relating to Loral and its consolidated subsidiaries required to be disclosed in our filings under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities Exchange Commission rules and forms.

(b) *Internal control over financial reporting*. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 Rules 13a-15(f) and 15-d-15(f)) during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

We discuss certain legal proceedings pending against the Company in the notes to the financial statements and refer the reader to that discussion for important information concerning those legal proceedings, including the basis for such actions and relief sought. See Note 12 to the financial statements of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for this discussion.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Our business and operations are subject to a significant number of risks. The most significant of these risks are summarized in, and the reader s attention is directed to, the section of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 in Item 1A. Risk Factors. There are no material changes to those risk factors except as set forth in Note 12 (Commitments and Contingencies) of the financial statements contained in this report, and the reader is specifically directed to those sections. The risks described in our Annual Report on Form 10-K, as updated by this report, are not the only risks facing us. Additional risks and uncertainties not currently known to us or that we currently deem to be immaterial also may materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and/or operating results.

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

We held our Annual Meeting of Stockholders on May 22, 2007. At the meeting, Messrs. John D. Harkey, Jr. (15,831,832 votes for and 2,963,702 votes against), Arthur L. Simon (16,066,137 votes for and 2,729,397 votes against) and John P. Stenbit (16,066,090 votes for and 2,729,44 votes against) were elected to continue to serve as Class I directors of the Company. In addition, stockholders approved the proposed amendment and restatement of the Loral Space & Communications Inc. 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, with 12,883,399 votes for the proposal, 2,891,652 against the proposal, 109,830 abstentions and 2,910,653 broker non-votes. Stockholders also approved the ratification of the appointment of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2007, with 18,413,742 votes for the proposal, 381,692 against the proposal and 100 abstentions.

Item 6. Exhibits

The following exhibits are filed as part of this report:

Exhibit 10.1 Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (Management compensation plan) (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007)

Exhibit 10.2 Form of Director 2006 Restricted Stock Agreement (Management compensation plan) (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007)

Exhibit 10.3 Form of Director 2007 Restricted Stock Agreement (Management compensation plan) (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007)

Exhibit 10.4 Form of Employee Restricted Stock Agreement (Management compensation plan) (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007)

Table of Contents

Exhibit 10.5 Amended and Restated Customer Credit Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2007, by and between Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. and Space Systems/Loral, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 2, 2007)

Exhibit 10.6 Letter Agreement dated August 8, 2007 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and MHR Fund Management LLC.

Exhibit 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Registrant

Loral Space & Communications Inc.

/s/ Richard J. Townsend Richard J. Townsend Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) and Registrant s Authorized Officer

Date: August 9, 2007

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit No.	Description
Exhibit 10.1	Amended and Restated 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (Management compensation plan) (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007).
Exhibit 10.2	Form of Director 2006 Restricted Stock Agreement (Management compensation plan) (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007).
Exhibit 10.3	Form of Director 2007 Restricted Stock Agreement (Management compensation plan) (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007).
Exhibit 10.4	Form of Employee Restricted Stock Agreement (Management compensation plan) (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on May 29, 2007).
Exhibit 10.5	Amended and Restated Customer Credit Agreement, dated as of July 30, 2007, by and between Sirius Satellite Radio Inc. and Space Systems/Loral, Inc. (Incorporated by reference from the Company s Current Report on Form 8-K filed on August 2, 2007).
Exhibit 10.6	Letter Agreement dated August 8, 2007 between Loral Space & Communications Inc. and MHR Fund Management LLC.
Exhibit 31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
Exhibit 32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.