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## PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES <br> CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

## (Dollars in Thousands, Except Par Value Data)

## (Unaudited)

|  | June 30, 2012 | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 97,499 | \$ | 92,342 |
| Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions | 25,970 |  | 203,275 |
| Total cash and cash equivalents | 123,469 |  | 295,617 |
| Securities available-for-sale, at fair value ( $\$ 44,053$ and $\$ 45,149$ covered by FDIC loss sharing at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively) <br> Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost | $\begin{array}{r} 1,351,701 \\ 41,736 \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 1,326,358 \\ 46,106 \end{array}$ |
| Total investment securities | 1,393,437 |  | 1,372,464 |
| Non-covered loans and leases, net of unearned income | 2,844,291 |  | 2,807,713 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | $(72,061)$ |  | $(85,313)$ |
| Non-covered loans and leases, net | 2,772,230 |  | 2,722,400 |
| Covered loans, net | 608,949 |  | 703,023 |
| Total loans and leases, net | 3,381,179 |  | 3,425,423 |
| Other real estate owned, net (\$31,090 and \$33,506 covered by FDIC loss sharing at June 30, 2012 and |  |  |  |
| December 31, 2011, respectively) | 72,832 |  | 81,918 |
| Premises and equipment, net | 21,565 |  | 23,068 |
| FDIC loss sharing asset | 76,401 |  | 95,187 |
| Cash surrender value of life insurance | 67,595 |  | 67,469 |
| Goodwill | 62,008 |  | 39,141 |
| Core deposit and customer relationship intangibles, net | 16,943 |  | 17,415 |
| Other assets | 106,193 |  | 110,535 |
| Total assets | \$ 5,321,622 | \$ | 5,528,237 |
| LIABILITIES |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ 1,872,459 | \$ | 1,685,799 |
| Interest-bearing deposits | 2,718,870 |  | 2,891,654 |
| Total deposits | 4,591,329 |  | 4,577,453 |
| Borrowings | 15,546 |  | 225,000 |
| Subordinated debentures | 108,250 |  | 129,271 |


| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities | 40,849 |  | 50,310 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total liabilities | 4,755,974 |  | 4,982,034 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |  |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 5,000,000 shares; none issued and outstanding |  |  |  |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value; authorized 75,000,000 shares; 37,749,531 shares issued at June 30, 2012 and 37,542,287 at December 31, 2011 (includes 1,703,936 and 1,675,730 shares of unvested restricted stock, respectively) | 377 |  | 375 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 1,074,996 |  | 1,084,691 |
| Accumulated deficit | $(535,517)$ |  | $(556,338)$ |
| Treasury stock, at cost 347,238 and 287,969 shares at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | $(6,702)$ |  | $(5,328)$ |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 32,494 |  | 22,803 |
| Total stockholders' equity | 565,648 |  | 546,203 |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 5,321,622 | \$ | 5,528,237 |

[^0]
## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF EARNINGS

## (Dollars in Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

|  | (Unaudited) |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |
| Interest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases | \$ | 63,312 |  |  | \$ | 64,752 | \$ | 68,331 | \$ | 128,064 | \$ | 135,112 |
| Investment securities |  | 9,558 |  | 9,580 |  | 8,782 |  | 19,138 |  | 16,601 |
| Deposits in financial institutions |  | 20 |  | 68 |  | 83 |  | 88 |  | 140 |
| Total interest income |  | 72,890 |  | 74,400 |  | 77,196 |  | 147,290 |  | 151,853 |
| Interest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits |  | 3,336 |  | 3,604 |  | 5,518 |  | 6,940 |  | 11,474 |
| Borrowings |  | 293 |  | 1,925 |  | 1,763 |  | 2,218 |  | 3,507 |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 848 |  | 1,191 |  | 1,226 |  | 2,039 |  | 2,445 |
| Total interest expense |  | 4,477 |  | 6,720 |  | 8,507 |  | 11,197 |  | 17,426 |
| Net interest income |  | 68,413 |  | 67,680 |  | 68,689 |  | 136,093 |  | 134,427 |
| Provision for credit losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-covered loans and leases |  |  |  | $(10,000)$ |  | 5,500 |  | $(10,000)$ |  | 13,300 |
| Covered loans |  | (271) |  | 3,926 |  | 5,890 |  | 3,655 |  | 8,800 |
| Total provision for credit losses |  | (271) |  | $(6,074)$ |  | 11,390 |  | $(6,345)$ |  | 22,100 |
| Net interest income after provision for credit losses |  | 68,684 |  | 73,754 |  | 57,299 |  | 142,438 |  | 112,327 |
| Noninterest income: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts |  | 3,328 |  | 3,353 |  | 3,400 |  | 6,681 |  | 6,958 |
| Other commissions and fees |  | 2,095 |  | 1,883 |  | 1,980 |  | 3,978 |  | 3,700 |
| Gain on sale of leases |  | 403 |  | 990 |  |  |  | 1,393 |  |  |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 295 |  | 365 |  | 368 |  | 660 |  | 747 |
| FDIC loss sharing (expense) income, net |  | (102) |  | $(3,579)$ |  | 5,316 |  | $(3,681)$ |  | 4,146 |
| Other income |  | (33) |  | 250 |  | 176 |  | 217 |  | 478 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 4,871 |  | 3,262 |  | 11,240 |  | 8,133 |  | 16,029 |
| Noninterest expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation |  | 23,699 |  | 24,187 |  | 21,717 |  | 47,886 |  | 43,646 |
| Occupancy |  | 7,088 |  | 7,288 |  | 7,142 |  | 14,376 |  | 14,125 |
| Data processing |  | 2,258 |  | 2,280 |  | 2,129 |  | 4,538 |  | 4,604 |
| Other professional services |  | 2,378 |  | 1,770 |  | 2,505 |  | 4,148 |  | 4,801 |
| Business development |  | 581 |  | 638 |  | 595 |  | 1,219 |  | 1,164 |
| Communications |  | 626 |  | 608 |  | 834 |  | 1,234 |  | 1,693 |
| Insurance and assessments |  | 1,323 |  | 1,293 |  | 1,603 |  | 2,616 |  | 3,940 |
| Non-covered other real estate owned, net |  | 130 |  | 1,821 |  | 2,300 |  | 1,951 |  | 3,003 |
| Covered other real estate owned expense (income), net |  | 2,130 |  | 822 |  | 1,205 |  | 2,952 |  | $(1,373)$ |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | 1,737 |  | 1,735 |  | 2,308 |  | 3,472 |  | 4,615 |
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| Acquisition costs |  | 871 |  | 25 | 896 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Debt termination | 22,598 |  |  |  | 22,598 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other expense |  | 4,764 |  | 3,830 |  | 4,200 | 8,594 |  | 7,719 |  |
| Total noninterest expense |  | 47,585 |  | 68,895 |  | 46,538 |  | 116,480 |  | 87,937 |
| Earnings before income taxes |  | 25,970 |  | 8,121 |  | 22,001 |  | 34,091 |  | 40,419 |
| Income tax expense |  | $(10,413)$ |  | $(2,857)$ |  | $(9,160)$ |  | $(13,270)$ |  | $(16,902)$ |
| Net earnings | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 5,264 | \$ | 12,841 | \$ | 20,821 | \$ | 23,517 |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.64 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.64 |
| Dividends declared per share | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.18 | \$ | 0.01 | \$ | 0.36 | \$ | 0.02 |

See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."
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## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

## (In Thousands)

## (Unaudited)

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2012 | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 15,557 |  |  | \$ | 5,264 | \$ | 12,841 | \$ | 20,821 | \$ | 23,517 |
| Other comprehensive income related to securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unrealized holding gains arising during the period |  | 8,185 |  | 7,409 |  |  |  | 9,974 |  | 15,594 |  | 11,154 |
| Income tax expense related to urealized |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| holding gains arising during the period |  | $(3,439)$ |  | $(3,111)$ |  | $(4,189)$ |  | $(6,550)$ |  | $(4,685)$ |
| Reclassification adjustment for loss included in net earnings |  | 1,115 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,115 |  |  |
| Income tax benefit related to reclassification adjustment |  | (468) |  |  |  |  |  | (468) |  |  |
| Other comprehensive income |  | 5,393 |  | 4,298 |  | 5,785 |  | 9,691 |  | 6,469 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ | 20,950 | \$ | 9,562 | \$ | 18,626 | \$ | 30,512 | \$ | 29,986 |

[^1]
## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

## (Dollars in Thousands, Except Share Data)

(Unaudited)

Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

|  | Common Stock |  |  |  | AccumulatedDeficit |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Shares | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Par } \\ & \text { Value } \end{aligned}$ |  | Additional Paid-in Capital |  |  | Treasury Stock | Con | Other rehensiv come |  | Total |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 37,254,318 | \$ 375 | \$ | 1,084,691 | \$ | $(556,338)$ | \$ $(5,328)$ | \$ | 22,803 | \$ | 546,203 |
| Net earnings |  |  |  |  |  | 20,821 |  |  |  |  | 20,821 |
| Other comprehensive income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9,691 |  | 9,691 |
| Restricted stock awarded and earned stock compensation, net of shares forfeited | 207,244 | 2 |  | 3,254 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,256 |
| Restricted stock surrendered | $(59,269)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,374)$ |  |  |  | $(1,374)$ |
| Tax effect from vesting of restricted stock |  |  |  | 156 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 156 |
| Cash dividends paid (\$0.36 per share) |  |  |  | $(13,105)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(13,105)$ |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | 37,402,293 | \$ 377 | \$ | 1,074,996 | \$ | $(535,517)$ | \$ (6,702) | \$ | 32,494 | \$ | 565,648 |

[^2]
## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## (In Thousands)

## (Unaudited)

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  | 2011 |  |
| Cash flows from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 20,821 | \$ | 23,517 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net earnings to net cash provided by operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization |  | 10,730 |  | 8,732 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | $(6,345)$ |  | 22,100 |
| Gain on sale of other real estate owned |  | $(2,479)$ |  | $(4,387)$ |
| Provision for losses on other real estate owned |  | 5,786 |  | 4,734 |
| Gain on sale of leases |  | $(1,393)$ |  |  |
| Loss (gain) on sale of premises and equipment |  | 160 |  | (18) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  | 1,115 |  |  |
| Restricted stock amortization |  | 3,256 |  | 4,392 |
| Tax effect included in stockholders' equity of restricted stock vesting |  | (156) |  | 183 |
| Decrease (increase) in accrued and deferred income taxes, net |  | 7,264 |  | $(5,428)$ |
| Decrease in FDIC loss sharing asset |  | 18,786 |  | 13,446 |
| Decrease in other assets |  | 10,500 |  | 2,498 |
| Decrease in accrued interest payable and other liabilities |  | $(17,932)$ |  | $(5,683)$ |
| Net cash provided by operating activities |  | 50,113 |  | 64,086 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net cash used in acquisitions |  | $(42,306)$ |  |  |
| Net decrease in loans and leases |  | 204,368 |  | 275,593 |
| Proceeds from sale of loans and leases |  | 22,693 |  | 2,495 |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from maturities and paydowns |  | 169,630 |  | 87,735 |
| Purchases |  | $(186,387)$ |  | $(262,173)$ |
| Net redemptions of FHLB stock |  | 4,370 |  | 4,449 |
| Proceeds from sales of other real estate owned |  | 26,213 |  | 37,559 |
| Purchases of premises and equipment, net |  | $(1,827)$ |  | $(3,398)$ |
| Proceeds from sales of premises and equipment |  | 691 |  | 21 |
| Net cash provided by investing activities |  | 197,445 |  | 142,281 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net increase (decrease) in deposits: |  |  |  |  |
| Noninterest-bearing |  | 186,660 |  | 133,848 |
| Interest-bearing |  | $(172,784)$ |  | $(297,051)$ |
| Restricted stock surrendered |  | $(1,374)$ |  | (302) |
| Tax effect included in stockholders' equity of restricted stock vesting |  | 156 |  | (183) |
| Net decrease in borrowings |  | $(225,220)$ |  |  |
| Redemption of subordinated debentures |  | $(18,558)$ |  |  |
| Repayment of acquired debt |  | $(175,481)$ |  |  |
| Cash dividends paid |  | $(13,105)$ |  | (726) |
| Net cash used in financing activities |  | $(419,706)$ |  | $(164,414)$ |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents |  | $(172,148)$ |  | 41,953 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period |  | 295,617 |  | 108,552 |
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| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | $\$$ | 123,469 | $\$$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Supplemental disclosures of cash flow information: | $\$$ | 12,778 | $\$ 17,623$ |
| Cash paid for interest | 6,229 | 2,315 |  |
| Cash paid for income taxes | 19,721 | 48,513 |  |
| Loans transferred to other real estate owned |  | 7,636 |  |

See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."
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# PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES 

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION

PacWest Bancorp is a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our principal business is to serve as a holding company for our banking subsidiary, Pacific Western Bank, which we refer to as Pacific Western or the Bank. When we say "we", "our" or the "Company", we mean the Company on a consolidated basis with the Bank. When we refer to "PacWest" or to the holding company, we are referring to the parent company on a stand-alone basis.

Pacific Western is a full-service commercial bank offering a broad range of banking products and services including: accepting demand, money market, and time deposits; originating loans, including commercial, real estate construction, SBA guaranteed and consumer loans; originating equipment finance leases; and providing other business-oriented products. Our operations are primarily located in Southern California extending from California's Central Coast to San Diego County; we also operate three banking offices in the San Francisco Bay area, a leasing operation based in Utah, and asset-based lending operations based in Arizona as well as San Jose and Santa Monica, California. The Bank focuses on conducting business with small to medium sized businesses in our marketplace and the owners and employees of those businesses. The majority of our loans are secured by the real estate collateral of such businesses. Our asset-based lending function operates in Arizona, California, Texas, Colorado, Minnesota, and the Pacific Northwest, and includes the operations of Celtic Capital Corporation, or Celtic, acquired April 3, 2012. Our equipment leasing function, added through the acquisition of Pacific Western Equipment Finance ("Equipment Finance" or "EQF") on January 3, 2012, has lease receivables in 45 states.

We generate our revenue primarily from interest received on loans and leases and, to a lesser extent, from interest received on investment securities, and fees received in connection with deposit services, extending credit and other services offered, including foreign exchange services. Our major operating expenses are the interest paid by the Bank on deposits and borrowings, compensation and general operating expenses. The Bank relies on a foundation of locally generated and relationship-based deposits. The Bank has a relatively low cost of funds due to a high percentage of noninterest-bearing and low cost deposits.

We have completed 24 acquisitions since May 2000, including Celtic and EQF. See Note 2, Aquisitions, for more information about the Celtic and EQF acquisitions and Note 15, Subsequent Events, for information regarding the acquisition of American Perspective Bank, which closed on August 1, 2012.

## Basis of Presentation

The accounting and reporting policies of the Company are in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, which we may refer to as GAAP. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated.

Our financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary to present a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented. Certain information and note disclosures normally included in consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with GAAP have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The interim operating results are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the full year.

# PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES 

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 1 BASIS OF PRESENTATION (Continued)

## Use of Estimates

Management of the Company has made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenue and expenses during the reporting period to prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates subject to change in the near term include, among other items, the allowances for credit losses, the carrying value of other real estate owned, the carrying value of intangible assets, the carrying value of the FDIC loss sharing asset and the realization of deferred tax assets.

Management made significant estimates and exercised significant judgment in estimating fair values and accounting for the acquired assets and assumed liabilities in the EQF and Celtic acquisitions.

## NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS

## Marquette Equipment Finance Acquisition

On January 3, 2012, Pacific Western Bank completed the acquisition of Marquette Equipment Finance (later renamed Pacific Western Equipment Finance, which we refer to as Equipment Finance or EQF), an equipment leasing company located in Midvale, Utah. Pacific Western Bank acquired all of the capital stock of EQF for $\$ 35$ million in cash. The acquisition diversified the Company's loan portfolio, expanded the Company's product lines, and deployed excess liquidity into higher yielding assets.

At January 3, 2012, EQF had $\$ 162.2$ million in gross leases and leases in process outstanding, with no leases on nonaccrual status. In addition, Pacific Western Bank assumed $\$ 154.8$ million in outstanding debt and other liabilities, which included $\$ 128.7$ million payable to EQF's former parent. Pacific Western Bank repaid EQF's intercompany debt on the closing date from its excess liquidity on deposit at the Federal Reserve Bank. EQF operates as a division of Pacific Western Bank and at June 30, 2012, had $\$ 166.1$ million in gross leases and leases in process outstanding.

## Celtic Capital Corporation Acquisition

On April 3, 2012, Pacific Western Bank completed the acquisition of Celtic Capital Corporation, or Celtic, an asset-based lending company based in Santa Monica, California. Celtic focuses on providing asset-based loans to borrowers in the $\$ 5$ million and under loan market in the United States. Pacific Western Bank acquired all of the capital stock of Celtic for $\$ 18$ million in cash. The acquisition diversified the Company's loan portfolio, expanded the Company's product lines, and deployed excess liquidity into higher yielding assets.

At April 3, 2012, Celtic had approximately $\$ 56$ million in gross loans outstanding. In addition, Pacific Western Bank assumed $\$ 47$ million in outstanding debt, which was repaid on the closing date. Celtic operates under the name Celtic Capital Corporation as a subsidiary of Pacific Western Bank and at June 30, 2012, had $\$ 60.6$ million in gross loans outstanding.

We completed the following acquisitions during the time period of January 1, 2012 to June 30, 2012, using the acquisition method of accounting, and accordingly, the operating results of the acquired

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 2 ACQUISITIONS (Continued)

entities have been included in our consolidated financial statements from their respective dates of acquisition. The balance sheets are presented at fair value as of their respective acquisition dates:

|  | Acquisition and Date Acquired |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CelticCapitalCorporationApril2012 |  | Pacific Western Equipment Finance January 2012 |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |
| Assets Acquired: |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 3,602 | \$ | 7,092 |
| Loans and leases |  | 54,433 |  | 142,989 |
| Leases in process |  |  |  | 19,162 |
| Customer relationship intangible |  | 1,300 |  | 1,700 |
| Other intangible assets |  | 670 |  | 1,420 |
| Goodwill |  | 5,864 |  | 17,003 |
| Other assets |  | 421 |  | 467 |
| Total assets acquired | \$ | 66,290 | \$ | 189,833 |
| Liabilities Assumed: |  |  |  |  |
| Borrowings from parent | \$ |  | \$ | 128,677 |
| Other borrowings |  | 46,804 |  | 15,839 |
| Accrued interest payable and other liabilities |  | 1,486 |  | 10,317 |
| Total liabilities assumed | \$ | 48,290 | \$ | 154,833 |
| Cash consideration paid | \$ | 18,000 | \$ | 35,000 |

## NOTE 3 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS

Goodwill arises from business combinations and represents the excess of the purchase price over the fair value of the net assets and other identifiable intangible assets acquired. Goodwill and other intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives generated from purchase business combinations are not subject to amortization and are instead tested for impairment no less than annually. Impairment is determined in accordance with ASC 350, "Intangibles Goodwill and Other" and is based on the reporting unit. Impairment exists when the carrying value of goodwill exceeds its implied fair value. An impairment loss would be recognized in an amount equal to that excess and would be included in noninterest expense in the consolidated statement of earnings. Our annual impairment test of goodwill resulted in no impact on our results of operations and financial condition.
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## NOTE 3 GOODWILL AND OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)

The following table presents the changes in the carrying amount of goodwill for the period indicated:

|  | Goodwill <br> (In thousands) |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | $\$$ | 39,141 |
| Tax deductible addition from the EQF acquisition | 17,003 |  |
|  |  | 56,144 |
| Balance, March 31, 2012 |  | 5,864 |
| Non tax deductible addition from the Celtic acquisition | $\$$ | 62,008 |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 |  |  |

Our intangible assets with definite lives are core deposit intangibles, or CDI, and customer relationship intangibles, or CRI. These intangible assets are amortized over their useful lives to their estimated residual values and reviewed for impairment at least quarterly. The amortization expense represents the estimated decline in the value of the underlying deposits or loan customers acquired.

The following table presents the changes in CDI and CRI and the related accumulated amortization for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \\ \text { (In thousands) } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | 2012 | $30$ | 2011 |
| Gross Amount of CDI and CRI: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 60,972 | \$ | 67,100 | \$ | 76,319 | \$ | 67,100 | \$ | 76,319 |
| Additions |  | 1,300 |  | 1,700 |  |  |  | 3,000 |  |  |
| Fully amortized portion |  |  |  | $(7,828)$ |  | $(2,690)$ |  | $(7,828)$ |  | $(2,690)$ |
| Balance, end of period |  | 62,272 |  | 60,972 |  | 73,629 |  | 62,272 |  | 73,629 |
| Accumulated Amortization: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period |  | $(43,592)$ |  | $(49,685)$ |  | $(52,783)$ |  | $(49,685)$ |  | $(50,476)$ |
| Amortization |  | $(1,737)$ |  | $(1,735)$ |  | $(2,308)$ |  | $(3,472)$ |  | $(4,615)$ |
| Fully amortized portion |  |  |  | 7,828 |  | 2,690 |  | 7,828 |  | 2,690 |
| Balance, end of period |  | $(45,329)$ |  | $(43,592)$ |  | $(52,401)$ |  | $(45,329)$ |  | $(52,401)$ |
| Net CDI and CRI, end of period | \$ | 16,943 | \$ | 17,380 | \$ | 21,228 | \$ | 16,943 | \$ | 21,228 |

The aggregate amortization expense related to the intangible assets is expected to be $\$ 6.5$ million for 2012. The estimated aggregate amortization expense related to these intangible assets for each of the subsequent four years is $\$ 5.1$ million for 2013, $\$ 3.5$ million for 2014, $\$ 3.2$ million for 2015, and $\$ 1.6$ million for 2016.
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## NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES

## Securities Available-for-Sale

The following tables present amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and carrying value, which is the estimated fair value, of securities available-for-sale as of the dates indicated. The private label collateralized mortgage obligations were acquired in the FDIC-assisted acquisition of Affinity in August 2009 and are covered by a FDIC loss sharing agreement. Other securities primarily consist of equity securities and an investment in overnight money market funds at a financial institution. See Note 10, Fair Value Measurements, for information on fair value measurements and methodology.

| Security Type | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amortized Cost |  | Gross Unrealized Gains |  | Gross Unrealized Losses |  | Carrying Value |  |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government and government-sponsored entity pass through securities | \$ | 949,984 | \$ | 39,096 | \$ | (90) | \$ | 988,990 |
| Government and government-sponsored entity collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 93,668 |  | 1,977 |  | (40) |  | 95,605 |
| Covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 37,944 |  | 6,609 |  | (500) |  | 44,053 |
| Municipal securities |  | 156,527 |  | 5,857 |  | (192) |  | 162,192 |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 51,162 |  | 61 |  | (204) |  | 51,019 |
| Other securities |  | 6,391 |  | 3,451 |  |  |  | 9,842 |
| Total securities available-for-sale | \$ | 1,295,676 | \$ | 57,051 | \$ | $(1,026)$ | \$ | ,351,701 |

$\left.\begin{array}{lrrrrrr} & & & \begin{array}{c}\text { December 31, 2011 } \\ \text { Gross } \\ \text { Gross } \\ \text { Unrealized } \\ \text { Gains } \\ \text { (In thousands) } \\ \text { Loses }\end{array} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Carrying } \\ \text { Value }\end{array} \\ \text { Security Type } & \begin{array}{c}\text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array} & 1,011,222 & \$ & 31,350 & \$ & (65)\end{array}\right)$

Mortgage-backed securities have contractual terms to maturity and require periodic payments to reduce principal. In addition, expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because
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## NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

obligors and/or issuers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

The following table presents the contractual maturity distribution of our available-for-sale securities portfolio based on amortized cost and carrying value as of the date indicated:

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { June 30, 2012 } \\ \text { Amortized } \\ \text { Cost }\end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Carrying } \\ \text { Value }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Maturity |  | (In thousands) |  |  |$)$

At June 30, 2012, the estimated fair value of debt securities and residential mortgage-backed debt securities issued by the Federal National Mortgage Association and the Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation was approximately $\$ 1.0$ billion.

As of June 30, 2012, securities available-for-sale with an estimated fair value of $\$ 79.8$ million were pledged as collateral for borrowings, public deposits and other purposes as required by various statutes and agreements.
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## NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

The following tables present, for those securities that were in a gross unrealized loss position, the carrying values and the gross unrealized losses on securities by length of time the securities were in an unrealized loss position as of the dates indicated:

| Security Type | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less Than <br> arrying <br> Value | $121$ | ths <br> ss <br> lized <br> ss |  | month <br> rrying alue (In th | or | ger <br> oss <br> lized <br> ses |  |  |  | lized <br> ses |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government and government- sponsored entity pass through securities | \$ | 29,288 | \$ | (89) | \$ | 23 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 29,311 | \$ | (90) |
| Government and government- sponsored entity collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 3,883 |  | (40) |  |  |  |  |  | 3,883 |  | (40) |
| Covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 882 |  | (77) |  | 6,861 |  | (423) |  | 7,743 |  | (500) |
| Municipal securities |  | 17,674 |  | (192) |  |  |  |  |  | 17,674 |  | (192) |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 35,936 |  | (204) |  |  |  |  |  | 35,936 |  | (204) |
| Total | \$ | 87,663 | \$ | (602) | \$ | 6,884 | \$ | (424) | \$ | 94,547 | \$ | 1,026) |


| Security Type | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Less Than <br> Carrying <br> Value | 12 Months Gross Unrealized Losses | 12 month <br> Carrying <br> Value <br> (In th | or | onger <br> ross <br> ealized <br> osses <br> ds) |  | $\text { T }$ Varrying Value | tal | ross <br> alized <br> sses |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government and government- sponsored entity pass through securities | \$ | 34,682 | \$ (64) | \$ 22 | \$ | (1) | \$ | 34,704 | \$ | (65) |
| Government and government- sponsored entity collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 10,790 | (21) | 1,530 |  | (15) |  | 12,320 |  | (36) |
| Covered private label collateralized mortgage obligations |  | 5,228 | (595) | 4,427 |  | $(1,560)$ |  | 9,655 |  | $(2,155)$ |
| Municipal securities |  | 7,755 | (56) |  |  |  |  | 7,755 |  | (56) |
| Corporate debt securities |  | 10,758 | (26) |  |  |  |  | 10,758 |  | (26) |
| Other securities |  | 2,445 | (135) |  |  |  |  | 2,445 |  | (135) |
| Total | \$ | 71,658 | \$ (897) | \$ 5,979 | \$ | $(1,576)$ |  | 77,637 | \$ | $(2,473)$ |

## Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 4 INVESTMENT SECURITIES (Continued)

We reviewed the securities that were in a continuous loss position less than 12 months and longer than 12 months at June 30, 2012, and concluded that their losses were a result of the level of market interest rates relative to the types of securities and not a result of the underlying issuers' abilities to repay. Accordingly, we determined that the securities were temporarily impaired and we did not recognize such impairment in the consolidated statements of earnings. Additionally, we have no plans to sell these securities and believe that it is more likely than not we would not be required to sell these securities before recovery of their amortized cost.

During the second quarter, however, we determined that one covered private label collateralized mortgage obligation security was impaired due to deteriorating cash flows and the depletion of the credit support from the subordinated classes of the securitization. We recorded an other-than-temporary impairment loss of $\$ 1.1$ million, which was entirely credit-related, in the consolidated statement of earnings. This loss was offset by FDIC loss sharing income of $\$ 892,000$, which represented the FDIC's $80 \%$ share of the loss.

FHLB Stock

At June 30, 2012, the Company had a $\$ 41.7$ million investment in Federal Home Loan Bank of San Francisco ("FHLB") stock carried at cost. In January 2009, the FHLB announced that it suspended excess FHLB stock redemptions and dividend payments. Since this announcement, the FHLB has declared and paid cash dividends in 2010, 2011and 2012, though at rates less than those paid in the past, and repurchased certain amounts of our excess stock at carrying value. We evaluated the carrying value of our FHLB stock investment at June 30, 2012, and determined that it was not impaired. Our evaluation considered the long-term nature of the investment, the current financial and liquidity position of the FHLB, the actions being taken by the FHLB to address its regulatory situation, repurchase activity of excess stock by the FHLB at its carrying value, and our intent and ability to hold this investment for a period of time sufficient to recover our recorded investment.
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## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES

## Non-Covered Loans and Leases

When we refer to non-covered loans and leases we are referring to loans and leases not covered by our FDIC loss sharing agreements.

The following table presents the composition of non-covered loans and leases by portfolio segment as of the dates indicated:

| Loan Segment | June 30, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | \% of <br> Total <br> (In thousa | Amount <br> ands) | \% of <br> Total |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 1,828,777 | 64\% \$ | \$ 1,982,464 | 70\% |
| Real estate construction |  | 129,107 | 4\% | 113,059 | 4\% |
| Commercial |  | 701,044 | 25\% | 671,939 | 24\% |
| Leases ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 153,793 | 5\% |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 17,151 | 1\% | 23,711 | 1\% |
| Foreign |  | 17,017 | 1\% | 20,932 | 1\% |
| Total gross non-covered loans and leases |  | 2,846,889 | 100\% | 2,812,105 | 100\% |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unearned income |  | $(2,598)$ |  | $(4,392)$ |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(72,061)$ |  | $(85,313)$ |  |
| Total net non-covered loans and leases | \$ | 2,772,230 |  | \$ 2,722,400 |  |

(1)

Does not include leases in process of $\$ 12.3$ million.
The following tables present a summary of the activity in the allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans by portfolio segment for the periods indicated:

|  | Real Estate Mortgage | $\begin{array}{r} \text { I } \\ \text { Con } \end{array}$ | Real <br> Estate <br> struction | Cor | Months E <br> mmercial <br> (In th | End | d Ju <br> ases <br> ands) | Co | , 2012 | Foreign |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses on Non-Covered Loans and Leases: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 42,210 | \$ | 6,475 | \$ | 23,556 | \$ | 458 | \$ | 1,908 | \$ | 160 |  | 74,767 |
| Charge-offs | $(2,583)$ |  |  |  | $(1,352)$ |  |  |  | (34) |  |  |  | $(3,969)$ |
| Recoveries | 43 |  | 14 |  | 190 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  | 263 |
| Provision | 2,566 |  | (993) |  | (415) |  | 40 |  | (155) |  | (43) |  | 1,000 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 42,236 | \$ | 5,496 | \$ | 21,979 | \$ | 498 | \$ | 1,735 |  | 117 |  | 72,061 |
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## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)



|  | Real <br> Estate Mortgage | Three <br> Real <br> Estate <br> Construction |  | Commercial Consumer (In thousands) |  |  |  | Foreign |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Loan Losses on Non-Covered Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 51,858 | \$ | 11,053 | \$ | 31,564 | \$ | 3,455 | \$ | 634 | \$ | 98,564 |
| Charge-offs | $(4,354)$ |  | $(1,193)$ |  | $(2,609)$ |  | $(1,165)$ |  |  |  | $(9,321)$ |
| Recoveries | 27 |  | 896 |  | 308 |  | 890 |  | 13 |  | 2,134 |
| Provision | 6,009 |  | 429 |  | $(1,004)$ |  | (270) |  | (114) |  | 5,050 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 53,540 | \$ | 11,185 | \$ | 28,259 | \$ | 2,910 | \$ | 533 | \$ | 96,427 |
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## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

|  | Real <br> Estate <br> Mortgage |  | Real <br> Estate <br> Construction |  | Commercial (In thousa |  | Consumer <br> nds) |  | Foreign |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Allowance for Loan Losses on Non-Covered Loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ | 51,657 | \$ | 8,766 | \$ | 33,229 | \$ | 4,652 | \$ | 349 | \$ | 98,653 |
| Charge-offs |  | $(5,566)$ |  | $(5,838)$ |  | $(5,730)$ |  | $(1,325)$ |  |  |  | $(18,459)$ |
| Recoveries |  | 124 |  | 988 |  | 925 |  | 1,301 |  | 45 |  | 3,383 |
| Provision |  | 7,325 |  | 7,269 |  | (165) |  | $(1,718)$ |  | 139 |  | 12,850 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ | 53,540 | \$ | 11,185 | \$ | 28,259 | \$ | 2,910 | \$ | 533 | \$ | 96,427 |
| The ending balance of the allowance is composed of amounts applicable to loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 4,659 | \$ | 2,484 | \$ | 8,657 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 15,800 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment | \$ | 48,881 | \$ | 8,701 | \$ | 19,602 | \$ | 2,910 | \$ | 533 | \$ | 80,627 |
| Non-Covered Loan Balances: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ending balance | \$ | 2,073,868 | \$ | 160,254 | \$ | 640,805 | \$ | 22,248 | \$ | 20,075 | \$ | ,917,250 |
| The ending balance of the non-covered loan portfolio is composed of loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Individually evaluated for impairment | \$ | 98,860 | \$ | 26,069 | \$ | 22,113 | \$ | 745 | \$ |  | \$ | 147,787 |
| Collectively evaluated for impairment |  | 1,975,008 | \$ | 134,185 | \$ | 618,692 | \$ | 21,503 | \$ | 20,075 | \$ | ,769,463 |

The following table presents the credit risk rating categories for non-covered loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated. Nonclassified loans and leases are those with a
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## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

credit risk rating of either pass or special mention, while classified loans and leases are those with a credit risk rating of either substandard or doubtful.

|  | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Nonclassified |  | Classified |  |  | Total <br> (In tho | Nonclassified usands) |  | Classified |  | Total |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 118,534 | \$ | 19,087 |  | \$ | 137,621 | \$ | 123,071 | \$ | 21,331 | \$ | 144,402 |
| SBA 504 |  | 50,041 |  | 6,684 |  | 56,725 |  | 51,522 |  | 6,855 |  | 58,377 |
| Other |  | 1,577,843 |  | 56,588 |  | 1,634,431 |  | 1,690,830 |  | 88,855 |  | 1,779,685 |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 1,746,418 |  | 82,359 |  | 1,828,777 |  | 1,865,423 |  | 117,041 |  | 1,982,464 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 28,365 |  | 2,888 |  | 31,253 |  | 14,743 |  | 2,926 |  | 17,669 |
| Commercial |  | 78,869 |  | 18,985 |  | 97,854 |  | 64,667 |  | 30,723 |  | 95,390 |
| Total real estate construction |  | 107,234 |  | 21,873 |  | 129,107 |  | 79,410 |  | 33,649 |  | 113,059 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 354,370 |  | 16,487 |  | 370,857 |  | 395,041 |  | 18,979 |  | 414,020 |
| Unsecured |  | 73,142 |  | 2,902 |  | 76,044 |  | 75,017 |  | 3,920 |  | 78,937 |
| Asset-based |  | 226,278 |  | 1,801 |  | 228,079 |  | 149,947 |  | 40 |  | 149,987 |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 16,175 |  | 9,889 |  | 26,064 |  | 18,045 |  | 10,950 |  | 28,995 |
| Total commercial |  | 669,965 |  | 31,079 |  | 701,044 |  | 638,050 |  | 33,889 |  | 671,939 |
| Leases |  | 150,124 |  | 3,669 |  | 153,793 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 16,221 |  | 930 |  | 17,151 |  | 22,730 |  | 981 |  | 23,711 |
| Foreign |  | 17,017 |  |  |  | 17,017 |  | 20,932 |  |  |  | 20,932 |
| Total non-covered loans and leases |  | 2,706,979 |  | 139,910 | \$ | 2,846,889 | \$ | 2,626,545 |  | 185,560 | \$ | 2,812,105 |

In addition to our internal risk rating process, our federal and state banking regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's loan risk rating classifications. Our regulators may require the Company to recognize rating downgrades based on their judgments related to information available to them at the time of their examinations. Risk rating downgrades generally result in higher provisions for credit losses.
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## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following tables present an aging analysis of our non-covered loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \& \& 30-59 Days Past Due \& \& \begin{tabular}{l}
60-89 \\
Days \\
Past Due
\end{tabular} \& \& Greater Than 0 Days ast Due (In th \& 30
P

cous \& | , 2012 |
| :--- |
| Total |
| ast Due |
| sands) | \& \& Current \& \& Total <br>

\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Real estate mortgage:} <br>
\hline Hospitality \& \$ \& \& \$ \& \& \$ \& 6,200 \& \$ \& 6,200 \& \$ \& 131,421 \& \$ \& 137,621 <br>
\hline SBA 504 \& \& 2,948 \& \& \& \& 1,044 \& \& 3,992 \& \& 52,733 \& \& 56,725 <br>
\hline Other \& \& 2,621 \& \& 1,996 \& \& 4,972 \& \& 9,589 \& \& 1,624,842 \& \& 1,634,431 <br>
\hline Total real estate mortgage \& \& 5,569 \& \& 1,996 \& \& 12,216 \& \& 19,781 \& \& 1,808,996 \& \& 1,828,777 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Real estate construction:} <br>
\hline Residential \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 31,253 \& \& 31,253 <br>
\hline Commercial \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 97,854 \& \& 97,854 <br>
\hline Total real estate construction \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 129,107 \& \& 129,107 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{13}{|l|}{Commercial:} <br>
\hline Collateralized \& \& 310 \& \& \& \& 2,005 \& \& 2,315 \& \& 368,542 \& \& 370,857 <br>
\hline Unsecured \& \& \& \& \& \& 247 \& \& 247 \& \& 75,797 \& \& 76,044 <br>
\hline Asset-based \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 228,079 \& \& 228,079 <br>
\hline SBA 7(a) \& \& 933 \& \& 598 \& \& 2,952 \& \& 4,483 \& \& 21,581 \& \& 26,064 <br>
\hline Total commercial \& \& 1,243 \& \& 598 \& \& 5,204 \& \& 7,045 \& \& 693,999 \& \& 701,044 <br>
\hline Leases \& \& 148 \& \& \& \& \& \& 148 \& \& 153,645 \& \& 153,793 <br>
\hline Consumer \& \& 216 \& \& \& \& 52 \& \& 268 \& \& 16,883 \& \& 17,151 <br>
\hline Foreign \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& \& 17,017 \& \& 17,017 <br>
\hline Total non-covered loans and leases \& \$ \& 7,176 \& \$ \& 2,594 \& \$ \& 17,472 \& \$ \& 27,242 \& \$ \& 2,819,647 \& \$ \& 2,846,889 <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

At June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, the Company had no non-covered loans and leases that were greater than 90 days past due and still accruing interest. It is the Company's policy to discontinue accruing interest when principal or interest payments are past due 90 days or when, in the opinion of management, there is a reasonable doubt as to the collectibility of a loan or lease in the normal course of business. At June 30, 2012, nonaccrual loans and leases totaled $\$ 52.8$ million. Nonaccrual loans and leases include $\$ 3.3$ million of loans 30 to 89 days past due and $\$ 32.0$ million of current loans and leases which have been placed on nonaccrual status based on management's judgment regarding the collectibility of such loans and leases.
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## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

|  |  | 59 Days <br> ast Due |  | 60-89 Days Past Due |  | Decemb Greater Than 90 Days Past Due (In th | Pr | $\text { er 31, } 2011$ <br> Total Past Due usands) |  | Current |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | \$ | \$ | 144,402 | \$ | 144,402 |
| SBA 504 |  | 718 |  |  |  | 842 |  | 1,560 |  | 56,817 |  | 58,377 |
| Other |  | 12,953 |  | 191 |  | 13,205 |  | 26,349 |  | 1,753,336 |  | 1,779,685 |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 13,671 |  | 191 |  | 14,047 |  | 27,909 |  | 1,954,555 |  | 1,982,464 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  |  |  | 475 |  |  |  | 475 |  | 17,194 |  | 17,669 |
| Commercial |  | 2,290 |  |  |  | 2,182 |  | 4,472 |  | 90,918 |  | 95,390 |
| Total real estate construction |  | 2,290 |  | 475 |  | 2,182 |  | 4,947 |  | 108,112 |  | 113,059 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 275 |  | 423 |  | 1,701 |  | 2,399 |  | 411,621 |  | 414,020 |
| Unsecured |  | 4 |  |  |  | 151 |  | 155 |  | 78,782 |  | 78,937 |
| Asset-based |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 149,987 |  | 149,987 |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 996 |  | 646 |  | 274 |  | 1,916 |  | 27,079 |  | 28,995 |
| Total commercial |  | 1,275 |  | 1,069 |  | 2,126 |  | 4,470 |  | 667,469 |  | 671,939 |
| Consumer |  | 72 |  | 40 |  | 17 |  | 129 |  | 23,582 |  | 23,711 |
| Foreign |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20,932 |  | 20,932 |
| Total non-covered loans | \$ | 17,308 | \$ | 1,775 | \$ | 18,372 |  | \$ 37,455 | \$ | 2,774,650 | \$ | 2,812,105 |

Nonaccrual loans totaled $\$ 58.3$ million at December 31, 2011, of which $\$ 2.5$ million were 30 to 89 days past due and $\$ 37.4$ million were current.
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## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following table presents our nonaccrual and performing non-covered loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

\left.|  | June 30, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Nonaccrual | Performing | Total | Nonaccrual |  |  |  |
| Performing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$\right)$ Total

Nonaccrual loans and leases and performing restructured loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes. Impaired loans and leases by portfolio segment are as follows as of the dates indicated:
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| Leases | 244 |  | 244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consumer | 479 |  | 479 | 585 | 143 | 728 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | $\$$ | 52,763 | $\$$ | 103,815 | $\$$ | 156,578 | $\$$ | 58,260 | $\$$ | 116,$791 \quad \$ \quad 175,051$
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## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following tables present information regarding our non-covered impaired loans and leases by portfolio segment and class for the dates indicated:
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## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

|  | hree Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Weighted Average Recorded Investment ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Interest <br> Income <br> Recognized |  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Recorded Investment ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Interest <br> Income <br> Recognized |  |
| With An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 9,144 | \$ | 107 | \$ | 17,749 | \$ | 127 |
| SBA 504 |  | 563 |  | 7 |  | 206 |  | 1 |
| Other |  | 54,310 |  | 545 |  | 38,411 |  | 161 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 1,289 |  | 6 |  | 2,076 |  | 13 |
| Commercial |  | 13,528 |  | 131 |  | 14,555 |  | 38 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 4,101 |  | 29 |  | 4,295 |  | 3 |
| Unsecured |  | 2,348 |  | 39 |  | 6,312 |  | 4 |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 2,666 |  | 49 |  | 2,083 |  | 6 |
| Consumer |  | 276 |  | 4 |  | 34 |  |  |
| With No Related Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 6,200 | \$ | (70) | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| SBA 504 |  | 1,873 |  | 29 |  | 3,304 |  |  |
| Other |  | 29,371 |  | 163 |  | 21,650 |  | 244 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 778 |  | 17 |  | 617 |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 16,187 |  | 166 |  | 8,821 |  | 45 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 5,075 |  | 73 |  | 1,973 |  | (1) |
| Unsecured |  | 636 |  | 10 |  | 670 |  |  |
| Asset-based |  | 174 |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 5,686 |  | 272 |  | 5,062 |  | 6 |
| Leases |  | 244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 203 |  | 5 |  | 688 |  |  |
| Total Non-Covered Loans and Leases With and Without An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate construction |  | 31,782 |  | 320 |  | 26,069 |  | 96 |
| Commercial |  | 20,686 |  | 472 |  | 20,410 |  | 18 |
| Leases |  | 244 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 479 |  | 9 |  | 722 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 154,652 | \$ | 1,582 | \$ | 128,521 | \$ | 647 |

[^3]For the loans and leases reported as impaired during the periods presented, amounts were calculated based on the period of time such loans and leases were impaired during the reporting period.
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## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

|  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |
|  | Weighted <br> Average <br> Recorded <br> Investment ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | Interest <br> Income <br> Recognized |  | Weighted Average Recorded Investment ${ }^{(1)}$ isands) |  | Interest <br> Income <br> Recognized |  |
| With An Allowance Recorded: | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 9,144 | \$ | 208 | \$ | 17,448 | \$ | 176 |
| SBA 504 |  | 352 |  | 5 |  | 103 |  | 2 |
| Other |  | 52,640 |  | 1,068 |  | 29,382 |  | 249 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 1,289 |  | 16 |  | 2,076 |  | 18 |
| Commercial |  | 13,528 |  | 270 |  | 10,260 |  | 56 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 3,803 |  | 70 |  | 3,816 |  | 11 |
| Unsecured |  | 2,341 |  | 80 |  | 6,283 |  | 6 |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 2,652 |  | 74 |  | 1,994 |  | 8 |
| Consumer |  | 276 |  | 8 |  | 34 |  |  |
| With No Related Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 6,200 | \$ | 47 | \$ |  | \$ |  |
| SBA 504 |  | 1,873 |  | 79 |  | 3,304 |  |  |
| Other |  | 28,874 |  | 854 |  | 20,501 |  | 251 |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 778 |  | 33 |  | 617 |  |  |
| Commercial |  | 15,878 |  | 331 |  | 8,821 |  | 60 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 4,974 |  | 135 |  | 1,855 |  |  |
| Unsecured |  | 636 |  | 18 |  | 668 |  |  |
| Asset-based |  | 87 |  | 2 |  | 15 |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 5,670 |  | 403 |  | 4,944 |  | 8 |
| Leases |  | 203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 203 |  | 12 |  | 652 |  |  |
| Total Non-Covered Loans and Leases With and Without An Allowance Recorded: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage | \$ | 99,083 | \$ | 2,261 | \$ | 70,738 | \$ | 678 |
| Real estate construction |  | 31,473 |  | 650 |  | 21,774 |  | 134 |
| Commercial |  | 20,163 |  | 782 |  | 19,575 |  | 33 |
| Leases |  | 203 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 479 |  | 20 |  | 686 |  |  |
| Total | \$ | 151,401 | \$ | 3,713 | \$ | 112,773 | \$ | 845 |

For the loans and leases reported as impaired during the periods presented, amounts were calculated based on the period of time such loans and leases were impaired during the reporting period.
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## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The following tables present non-covered new troubled debt restructurings and defaulted troubled debt restructurings for the periods indicated:

| Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Number } \\ \text { of } \\ \text { Loans } \end{gathered}$ | Pre- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment | Post- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment <br> (Dollars in | Number of Loans thousands) | Pre- <br> Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment |  | stication anding orded tment |
|  | \$ | \$ | 1 | 563 | \$ | 563 |
| 1 | 1,446 | 1,446 | 1 | 1,446 |  | 1,446 |
| 3 | 568 | 568 | 5 | 1,174 |  | 1,174 |
| 2 | 23 | 23 | 3 | 38 |  | 38 |
| 1 | 120 | 120 | 2 | 229 |  | 229 |
| 7 | \$ 2,157 | \$ 2,157 | 12 | \$ 3,450 | \$ | 3,450 |


|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of Loans |  | rded <br> ment ${ }^{(1)}$ | Number of Loans |  | orded tment ${ }^{(1)}$ |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Troubled Debt Restructurings That Subsequently Defaulted ${ }^{(2)}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | 1 | \$ | 6,200 | 1 | \$ | 6,200 |
| Other |  |  |  | 1 |  | 1,725 |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized | 7 |  | 828 | 7 |  | 828 |
| Unsecured | 2 |  | 99 | 2 |  | 99 |
| SBA 7(a) | 3 |  | 1,987 | 4 |  | 2,021 |
| Total | 13 | \$ | 9,114 | 15 | \$ | 10,873 |

[^4]
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## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

## Covered Loans

We refer to the loans acquired in the Los Padres and Affinity acquisitions that are subject to loss sharing agreements with the FDIC as "covered loans" as we will be reimbursed for a substantial portion of any future losses on them under the terms of the agreements.

The following table reflects the carrying values of covered loans as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mount | $\%$ of Total <br> llars in | hou | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Amount } \\ & \text { ssands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\%$ of Total |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 2,916 |  | \$ | 2,944 |  |
| Other |  | 648,081 | 93\% |  | 733,414 | 91\% |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 650,997 | 93\% |  | 736,358 | 91\% |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 7,658 | 1\% |  | 21,521 | 3\% |
| Commercial |  | 24,467 | 3\% |  | 25,397 | 3\% |
| Total real estate construction |  | 32,125 | 4\% |  | 46,918 | 6\% |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 18,229 | 3\% |  | 24,808 | 3\% |
| Unsecured |  | 725 |  |  | 802 |  |
| Total commercial |  | 18,954 | 3\% |  | 25,610 | $3 \%$ |
| Consumer |  | 659 |  |  | 735 |  |
| Total gross covered loans |  | 702,735 | 100\% |  | 809,621 | 100\% |
| Discount |  | $(62,323)$ |  |  | $(75,323)$ |  |
| Allowance for loan losses |  | $(31,463)$ |  |  | $(31,275)$ |  |
| Covered loans, net | \$ | 608,949 |  | \$ | 703,023 |  |

The following table summarizes the changes in the carrying amount of covered acquired impaired loans and accretable yield on those loans for the period indicated:

\left.|  | Covered Acquired |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Impaired Loans |  |  |
| Carrying | Accretable |  |
| Amount | Yield |  |$\right)$ (In thousands)
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| Accretion | 26,246 | 26,246 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Payments received | $(116,376)$ | 13,148 |
| Decrease in expected cash flows, net <br> Provision for credit losses | $(3,656)$ |  |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | $\$$ | 583,228 |
| $\$$ | $(219,871)$ |  |

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES
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## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 5 LOANS AND LEASES (Continued)

The table above excludes the covered loans from the Los Padres acquisition which are accounted for as non-impaired loans and totaled $\$ 25.7$ million and $\$ 26.0$ million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The following table presents the credit risk rating categories for covered loans by portfolio segment as of the dates indicated. Nonclassified loans are those with a credit risk rating of either pass or special mention, while classified loans are those with a credit risk rating of either substandard or doubtful. It should be noted, however, that all of these loans are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC.

|  | June 30, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Nonclassified | Classified | Total <br> (In thousands) | Nonclassified | Classified |  |  | Total

In addition to our internal risk rating process, our federal and state banking regulators, as an integral part of their examination process, periodically review the Company's loan risk rating classifications. Our regulators may require the Company to recognize rating downgrades based on their judgments related to information available to them at the time of their examinations.

## NOTE 6 OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED (OREO)

The following tables summarize OREO by property type at the dates indicated:

| Property Type | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Non-Covered OREO |  | Covered OREO |  |  |  | Non-Covered OREO |  | Covered OREO |  | Total OREO |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | 17,630 | \$ | 17,896 | \$ | 35,526 | \$ | 23,003 | \$ | 15,053 | \$ | 38,056 |
| Construction and land development |  | 24,112 |  | 10,011 |  | 34,123 |  | 24,788 |  | 15,461 |  | 40,249 |
| Single family residence |  |  |  | 3,183 |  | 3,183 |  | 621 |  | 2,992 |  | 3,613 |
| Total OREO, net | \$ | 41,742 |  | 31,090 | \$ | 72,832 | \$ | 48,412 |  | 33,506 | \$ | 81,918 |
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## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 6 OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED (OREO) (Continued)

The following table presents a rollforward of OREO, net of the valuation allowance, for the periods indicated:

|  | Non-Covered OREO |  | Covered OREO |  | Total OREO |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OREO Activity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | \$ | 48,412 | \$ | 33,506 | \$ | 81,918 |
| Foreclosures |  | 1,839 |  | 7,241 |  | 9,080 |
| Payments to third parties ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 622 |  |  |  | 622 |
| Provision for losses |  | (752) |  | $(2,229)$ |  | $(2,981)$ |
| Reductions related to sales |  | $(3,915)$ |  | $(8,630)$ |  | $(12,545)$ |
| Balance, March 31, 2012 |  | 46,206 |  | 29,888 |  | 76,094 |
| Foreclosures |  | 684 |  | 9,957 |  | 10,641 |
| Payments to third parties ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 91 |  |  |  | 91 |
| Provision for losses |  | (101) |  | $(2,704)$ |  | $(2,805)$ |
| Reductions related to sales |  | $(5,138)$ |  | $(6,051)$ |  | $(11,189)$ |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | \$ | 41,742 | \$ | 31,090 | \$ | 72,832 |

(1)

Represents amounts due to participants and for guarantees, property taxes or other prior lien positions.

## NOTE 7 FDIC LOSS SHARING ASSET

The FDIC loss sharing asset was initially recorded at fair value, which represented the present value of the estimated cash payments to be received from the FDIC for future losses on covered assets. The ultimate collectibility of this asset is dependent upon the performance of the underlying covered assets, the passage of time and claims paid by the FDIC. The following table presents the changes in the FDIC loss sharing asset for the period indicated:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { FDIC } \\
\text { Loss Sharing } \\
\text { Asset }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(In thousands)\end{array}\right]\)|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | 6,514 |
| FDIC share of additional losses, net of recoveries | $(18,196)$ |
| Cash received from FDIC | $(7,104)$ |
| Net amortization |  |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 | $\$$ |

## NOTE 8 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS

Debt Termination Expense FHLB Advances and Subordinated Debentures

In March 2012, the Company incurred $\$ 22.6$ million in debt termination expense related to the prepayment of $\$ 225.0$ million in fixed-rate term FHLB advances and the early redemption of $\$ 18.6$
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## NOTE 8 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS (Continued)

million in fixed-rate subordinated debentures. The Company used a combination of excess cash and collateralized overnight FHLB advances to repay these debt instruments. The FHLB advances were composed of $\$ 200$ million maturing in December 2017 with a fixed rate of $3.16 \%$ and $\$ 25$ million due in January 2018 with a fixed rate of $2.61 \%$. The agreements for these FHLB advances had an early prepayment penalty or fee for payoffs before maturity. The subordinated debentures were composed of a $\$ 10.3$ million debenture, due in March 2030 and bearing a fixed rate of $11.00 \%$, which was referred to as "Trust CI," and an $\$ 8.3$ million debenture due in September 2030 and bearing a fixed rate of $10.6 \%$, which was referred to as "Trust I."

## Borrowings

As of June 30, 2012, there were no outstanding FHLB advances. Our aggregate remaining borrowing capacity under the FHLB secured lines of credit was $\$ 1.2$ billion at June 30, 2012. As of June 30, 2012, our FHLB advances facility was secured by all of our loans and leases under a blanket lien, in addition to securities with a carrying value of $\$ 25.3$ million. Additionally, the Bank had secured borrowing capacity from the Federal Reserve discount window of $\$ 337.1$ million at June 30, 2012. The Bank also maintains unsecured lines of credit of $\$ 45.0$ million with correspondent banks for the purchase of overnight funds; these lines are subject to availability of funds.

Included in borrowings are $\$ 15.5$ million of non-recourse debt, in which the payment stream of certain leases have been sold to third parties. The debt is secured by the equipment in the leases and all interest rates are fixed. As of June 30, 2012, the weighted average interest rate of the debt was $6.72 \%$ with a weighted average remaining maturity of 2.84 years.

## Subordinated Debentures

The following table summarizes the terms of each issuance of the subordinated debentures outstanding as of June 30, 2012:

| Series |  | e 30, <br> 012 <br> ount <br> In <br> sands) | Issuance <br> Date | Maturity Date | Rate Index | Current <br> Rate ${ }^{(1)}$ | Next <br> Reset <br> Date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Trust V | \$ | 10,310 | 8/15/03 | 9/17/33 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { month } \\ \text { LIBOR + } 3.10 \end{gathered}$ | 3.57\% | 9/14/12 |
| Trust VI |  | 10,310 | 9/3/03 | 9/15/33 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { month } \\ \text { LIBOR }+3.05 \end{gathered}$ | 3.52\% | 9/13/12 |
| Trust CII |  | 5,155 | 9/17/03 | 9/17/33 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { month } \\ \text { LIBOR }+2.95 \end{gathered}$ | 3.42\% | 9/14/12 |
| Trust VII |  | 61,856 | 2/5/04 | 4/23/34 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { month } \\ \text { LIBOR }+2.75 \end{gathered}$ | 3.20\% | 10/26/12 |
| Trust CIII |  | 20,619 | 8/15/05 | 9/15/35 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { month } \\ \text { LIBOR + } 1.69 \end{gathered}$ | 2.16\% | 9/13/12 |

Total subordinated debentures $\quad \$ \quad 108,250$
(1)

The Company had an aggregate amount of $\$ 108.3$ million in subordinated debentures outstanding at June 30 , 2012. These subordinated debentures were issued in five separate series. Each issuance had

## Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

## PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)
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## NOTE 8 BORROWINGS, SUBORDINATED DEBENTURES AND BROKERED DEPOSITS (Continued)

a maturity of thirty years from its date of issue. The subordinated debentures are variable-rate instruments and are each callable at par with no prepayment penalty. The subordinated debentures were issued to trusts established by us or entities we have acquired, which in turn issued trust preferred securities, which totaled $\$ 105.0$ million at June 30, 2012. The proceeds of the subordinated debentures were used primarily to fund several of our acquisitions and to augment regulatory capital.

The Company includes in Tier 1 capital an amount of trust preferred securities equal to no more than $25 \%$ of the sum of all core capital elements, which is generally defined as shareholders' equity less goodwill, net of any related deferred income tax liability. At June 30, 2012, the amount of trust preferred securities included in Tier I capital was $\$ 105.0$ million. While our existing trust preferred securities are currently grandfathered as Tier 1 capital under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, proposed regulatory capital guidelines would phase them out of Tier 1 capital over a period of 10 years, until they are fully-phased out on January 1, 2022. New issuances of trust preferred securities will not qualify as Tier 1 capital. We remain "well capitalized" excluding the trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital.

Notification to the Federal Reserve Board, or FRB, is required prior to our declaring and paying a dividend to our stockholders during any period in which our quarterly and/or cumulative twelve-month net earnings are insufficient to fund the dividend amount. Interest payments made by the Company on subordinated debentures are considered dividend payments under FRB regulations. This notification requirement is included in regulatory guidance regarding safety and soundness surrounding capital and includes other non-financial measures such as asset quality and credit concentrations. Should the FRB object to our dividend payments, we would be precluded from paying interest on our subordinated debentures. Payments would not commence until approval is received or we no longer need to provide notice under applicable guidance.

## Brokered Deposits

Brokered deposits totaled $\$ 35.6$ million at June 30, 2012 and are included in the interest-bearing deposits balance on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Such amount represented customer deposits that were subsequently participated with other FDIC-insured financial institutions through the CDARS program as a means to provide FDIC deposit insurance coverage for the full amount of our customers' deposits.

## NOTE 9 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

## Lending Commitments

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated balance sheets. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Company has in particular classes of financial instruments.
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## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 9 COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (Continued)

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses and may require payment of a fee. Since many of the commitments are expected to expire without being drawn upon, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. Commitments to extend credit totaled $\$ 709.8$ million and $\$ 691.5$ million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Company to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support private borrowing arrangements. Most guarantees expire within one year from the date of issuance. The Company generally requires collateral or other security to support financial instruments with credit risk. Standby letters of credit totaled $\$ 29.5$ million and $\$ 32.0$ million at June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively.

The Company has investments in low income housing project partnerships, which provide the Company income tax credits, and in a few small business investment companies. As of June 30, 2012, the Company had commitments to contribute capital to these entities totaling $\$ 15.6$ million.

## Legal Matters

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. The outcome of such legal actions and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict. In the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, any resulting liability, in addition to amounts already accrued, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements of operations.

## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

ASC 820, "Fair Value Measurement," defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value including a three-level valuation hierarchy, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. Fair value is defined as the exchange price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date reflecting assumptions that a market participant would use when pricing an asset or liability. The hierarchy uses three levels of inputs to measure the fair value of assets and liabilities as follows:

Level 1: Quoted prices (unadjusted) for identical assets or liabilities in active markets.

Level 2: Observable inputs other than Level 1, including quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in less active markets, or other observable inputs that can be corroborated by observable market data, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. This category generally includes U.S. government and agency securities.

Level 3: Inputs to a valuation methodology that are unobservable, supported by little or no market activity, and significant to the fair value measurement. These valuation methodologies generally include pricing models, discounted cash flow models, or a determination of fair value that requires significant management judgment or estimation. This category includes our covered
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## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

private label collateralized mortgage obligations ("CMOs"), which we refer to as private label CMOs.

We use fair value to measure certain assets on a recurring basis, primarily securities available-for-sale; we have no liabilities being measured at fair value. For assets measured at the lower of cost or fair value, the fair value measurement criteria may or may not be met during a reporting period and such measurements are therefore considered "nonrecurring" for purposes of disclosing our fair value measurements. Fair value is used on a nonrecurring basis to adjust carrying values for impaired loans and other real estate owned and also to record impairment on certain assets, such as goodwill, core deposit intangibles and other long-lived assets.

The following table presents information on the assets measured and recorded at fair value on a recurring basis as of the date indicated:

|  | Fair Value Measurement as of June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Level 1 <br> (In thou |  |  | Level 2 | Level 3 |  |
| Measured on a Recurring Basis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Government and government-sponsored entity residential mortgage-backed securities | \$ | 1,084,595 | \$ | \$ | \$ | 1,084,595 | \$ |  |
| Covered private label CMOs |  | 44,053 |  |  |  |  |  | 44,053 |
| Municipal securities |  | 162,192 |  |  |  | 162,192 |  |  |
| Corporate securities |  | 51,019 |  |  |  | 51,019 |  |  |
| Other securities |  | 9,842 |  | 8,068 |  | 1,774 |  |  |
|  | \$ | 1,351,701 |  | \$ 8,068 | \$ | 1,299,580 |  | \$ 44,053 |

There were no transfers of assets between Level 1 and Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy for assets measured on a recurring basis during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

The following table presents information about quantitative inputs and assumptions used to evaluate the fair values provided by our third party pricing service for our Level 3 private label CMOs measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2012:

| Unobservable Inputs | Range of Inputs | Weighted <br> Average <br> Input |
| :--- | :---: | ---: |
| Voluntary prepayment speeds | $1.5 \%-31.6 \%$ | $8.6 \%$ |
| Monthly default rates | $0.1 \%-18.4 \%$ | $3.5 \%$ |
| Loss severity rates | $9.2 \%-81.6 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ |
| Discount rates | $2.7 \%-13.3 \%$ | $7.0 \%$ |
|  |  | 33 |
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## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

The following table summarizes activity for assets measured at fair value on a recurring basis that are categorized as Level 3 for the period indicated:
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lcc} & \begin{array}{c}\text { Covered } \\
\text { Private } \\
\text { Label CMOs } \\
\text { (Level 3) }\end{array}
$$ <br>

(In thousands)\end{array}\right]\)| $\$ 5,149$ |
| :--- |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 |
| Total realized in earnings ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Total unrealized gain in comprehensive income |
| Net settlements |
| Balance, June 30, 2012 |

(1) Includes other-than-temporary impairment loss of $\$ 1.1$ million.

There were no transfers of assets in or out of Level 3 during the six months ended June 30, 2012.
The following tables present assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and gains and (losses) for the periods indicated:

|  | Fair Value Measurement as of June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total |  | Level 1$\quad$ (In thous |  | Level 2 | Level 3 |  |
| Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-covered impaired loans | \$ | 100,918 | \$ | \$ | 15,263 | \$ | 85,655 |
| Non-covered other real estate owned |  | 552 |  |  | 552 |  |  |
| Covered other real estate owned |  | 17,194 |  |  | 15,028 |  | 2,166 |
| SBA loan servicing asset |  | 1,118 |  |  |  |  | 1,118 |
|  | \$ | 119,782 | \$ | \$ | 30,843 | \$ | 88,939 |


|  | Three Months <br> Ended <br> June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> (In thousands) | Six Months <br> Ended <br> June 30, <br> 2012 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Gain (Loss) on Assets Measured on a Non-Recurring Basis: | $\$$ | 631 | $\$$ |
| Non-covered impaired loans | $(1,617)$ |  |  |
| Non-covered other real estate owned | $(2,461)$ | $(3,44)$ |  |
| Covered other real estate owned |  | 1 |  |
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## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

The following table presents the valuation methodology and unobservable inputs for Level 3 assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis as of June 30, 2012:

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Asset } \\ & \text { Impaired loans }{ }^{(1)} \end{aligned}$ | Fair Value (in 000's) |  | Valuation Methodology | Unobservable Inputs | Range | Weighted Average |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \$ | 74,060 | Discounted cash flow | Discount rates | 3.08\%-8.75\% | 6.59\% |
|  | \$ | 9,721 | Appraisals | Discount | 2.00\% - 8.00\% | 7.00\% |
| OREO | \$ | 2,166 | Appraisals | Discount, including 8\% for selling costs | 11\%-13\% | 11\% |
| SBA loan servicing asset | \$ | 1,118 | Discounted cash flow | Prepayment speeds | $\begin{aligned} & 3.69 \% \text { - } \\ & 17.04 \% \end{aligned}$ | (2) |
|  |  |  |  | Discount rates | $\begin{aligned} & 9.68 \%- \\ & 12.58 \% \end{aligned}$ | (2) |

(1)

Excludes $\$ 1.9$ million of impaired loans with balances of $\$ 250,000$ or less.
(2)

Not readily available.

ASC Topic 825, "Financial Instruments," requires disclosure of the estimated fair value of certain financial instruments and the methods and significant assumptions used to estimate such fair values. Additionally, certain financial instruments and all nonfinancial instruments are excluded from the applicable disclosure requirements.
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## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

The following tables present a summary of the carrying values and estimated fair values of certain financial instruments as of the dates indicated:

June 30, 2012

|  | Carrying or Contract Amount | Total |  | Estimated Fair Value |  |  |  | Level 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  | Level 2 |  |
| Financial Assets: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash and due from banks | \$ 97,499 | \$ | 97,499 | \$ | 97,499 | \$ |  |  |
| Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions | 25,970 |  | 25,970 |  | 25,970 |  |  |  |
| Securities available-for-sale | 1,351,701 |  | 1,351,701 |  | 8,068 |  | 1,299,580 | 44,053 |
| Investment in FHLB stock | 41,736 |  | 41,736 |  | 41,736 |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases, net | 3,381,179 |  | 3,419,289 |  |  |  | 15,263 | 3,404,026 |
| SBA loan servicing asset | 1,118 |  | 1,118 |  |  |  |  | 1,118 |
| Financial Liabilities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Deposits: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand, money market and savings deposits | 3,726,307 |  | 3,726,307 |  | 3,726,307 |  |  |  |
| Time deposits | 865,022 |  | 871,663 |  |  |  | 871,663 |  |
| Borrowings | 15,546 |  | 15,560 |  |  |  | 15,560 |  |
| Subordinated debentures | 108,250 |  | 108,193 |  |  |  | 108,193 |  |
|  |  | 36 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

December 31, 2011

|  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Carrying or } \\ \text { Contract } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array}$ | Total |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Estimated Fair Value } \\ \text { Level 1 }\end{array}$ | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |$)$

The following is a description of the valuation methodologies used to measure our assets recorded at fair value (under ASC Topic 820) and for estimating fair value for financial instruments not recorded at fair value (under ASC Topic 825).

Cash and due from banks. The carrying amount is assumed to be the fair value because of the liquidity of these instruments.

Interest-earning deposits in financial institutions. The carrying amount is assumed to be the fair value given the short-term nature of these deposits.

Securities available-for-sale. Securities available-for-sale are measured and carried at fair value on a recurring basis. Unrealized gains and losses on available-for-sale securities are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income on the condensed consolidated balance sheets. See Note 4, Investment Securities, for further information on unrealized gains and losses on securities available-for-sale.

Fair value for securities categorized as Level 1, which are primarily equity securities, are based on readily available quoted prices. In determining the fair value of the securities categorized as Level 2 , we obtain a report from a nationally recognized broker-dealer detailing the fair value of each investment security we hold as of each reporting date. The broker-dealer uses observable market information to value our securities, with the primary source being a nationally recognized pricing service. We review the market prices provided by the broker-dealer for our securities for reasonableness based on our understanding of the marketplace and we consider any credit issues related to the securities. As we have not made any adjustments to the market quotes provided to us and they are based on observable market data, they have been categorized as Level 2 within the fair value hierarchy.

# Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q 

# PACWEST BANCORP AND SUBSIDIARIES 

## Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Continued)

## (Unaudited)

## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

Our private label CMOs are categorized as Level 3 due in part to the inactive market for such securities. There is a wide range of prices quoted for private label CMOs among independent third party pricing services and this range reflects the significant judgment being exercised over the assumptions and variables that determine the pricing of such securities. We consider this subjectivity to be a significant unobservable input and have concluded the private label CMOs should be categorized as a Level 3 measured asset. Our fair value estimate was based on prices provided to us by a nationally recognized pricing service which we also use to determine the fair value of the majority of our securities portfolio. We determined the reasonableness of the fair values by reviewing assumptions at the individual security level about prepayment, default expectations, estimated severity loss factors, and discount rates, all of which are not directly observable in the market. Significant increases (decreases) in default expectations, severity loss factors, or discount rates, which occur all together or in isolation, would result in lower (higher) fair value measurements.

FHLB stock. The fair value of FHLB stock is based on our recorded investment. FHLB stock is held at par value consistent with the value at which the FHLB has repurchased shares from its members during the first six months of 2012. In January 2009, the FHLB announced that it had suspended excess FHLB stock redemptions and dividend payments. Since this announcement, the FHLB has declared and paid cash dividends in 2010, 2011 and 2012, though at rates less than those paid in the past, and repurchased certain amounts of our excess stock. As a result of these actions, we evaluated the carrying value of our FHLB stock investment. Based on the FHLB's most recent publicly available financial results, its capital position and its bond ratings, we concluded such investment was not impaired at June 30, 2012.

Non-covered loans and leases. As non-covered loans and leases are not measured at fair value, the following discussion relates to estimating the fair value disclosures under ASC Topic 825. Fair values are estimated for portfolios of loans and leases with similar financial characteristics. Loans are segregated by type and further segmented into fixed and adjustable rate interest terms and by credit risk categories. The fair value estimates do not take into consideration the value of the loan portfolio in the event the loans are sold outside the parameters of normal operating activities. The fair value of performing fixed-rate loans is estimated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity using estimated market prepayment speeds. The fair value of equipment leases is estimated by discounting scheduled lease and expected lease residual cash flows over their remaining term. The estimated market discount rates used for performing fixed-rate loans and equipment leases are the Company's current offering rates for comparable instruments with similar terms. The fair value of performing adjustable-rate loans is estimated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the next repricing date. As these loans reprice frequently at market rates and the credit risk is not considered to be greater than normal, the market value is typically close to the carrying amount of these loans. These methods and assumptions are not based on the exit price concept of fair value.

Non-covered impaired loans. Nonaccrual loans and performing restructured loans are considered impaired for reporting purposes and are measured and recorded at fair value on a non-recurring basis. Non-covered nonaccrual loans with an unpaid principal balance over $\$ 250,000$ and all performing restructured loans are reviewed individually for the amount of impairment, if any. Non-covered nonaccrual loans with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 250,000$ or less are evaluated for impairment collectively.
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## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

To the extent a loan is collateral dependent, we measure such impaired loan based on the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral. The fair value of each loan's collateral is generally based on estimated market prices from an independently prepared appraisal, which is then adjusted for the cost related to liquidating such collateral; such valuation inputs result in a nonrecurring fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 2 measurement. The Level 2 measurement is based on appraisals obtained within the last 12 months and for which a charge-off was recognized or a change in the specific valuation allowance was made during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

When adjustments are made to an appraised value to reflect various factors such as the age of the appraisal or known changes in the market or the collateral, such valuation inputs are considered unobservable and the fair value measurement is categorized as a Level 3 measurement. The impaired loans categorized as Level 3 also include unsecured loans and other secured loans whose fair values are based significantly on unobservable inputs such as the strength of a guarantor, including an SBA government guarantee, cash flows discounted at the effective loan rate, and management's judgment.

The non-covered impaired loan balances shown above represent those nonaccrual and restructured loans for which impairment was recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. The amounts shown as losses represent, for the loan balances shown, the impairment recognized during the three and six months ended June 30, 2012. Of the $\$ 52.8$ million of nonaccrual loans at June 30, 2012, $\$ 9.1$ million were written down to their fair values through charge-offs during the quarter.

Other real estate owned. The fair value of foreclosed real estate, both non-covered and covered, is generally based on estimated market prices from independently prepared current appraisals or negotiated sales prices with potential buyers, less estimated costs to sell; such valuation inputs result in a fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 2 measurement on a nonrecurring basis. As a matter of policy, appraisals are required annually and may be updated more frequently as circumstances require in the opinion of management. The Level 2 measurement is based on appraisals obtained within the last 12 months and for which a write-down was recognized during the six months ended June 30, 2012.

When a current appraised value is not available or management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value as a result of known changes in the market or the collateral and there is no observable market price, such valuation inputs result in a fair value measurement that is categorized as a Level 3 measurement. To the extent a negotiated sales price or reduced listing price represents a significant discount to an observable market price, such valuation input would result in a fair value measurement that is also considered a Level 3 measurement. The OREO losses disclosed are write-downs based on either a recent appraisal obtained after foreclosure or an accepted purchase offer by an independent third party received after foreclosure.

SBA servicing asset. In accordance with ASC Topic 860, "Transfers and Servicing," the SBA servicing asset, included in other assets in the condensed consolidated balance sheets, is carried at its implied fair value. The fair value of the servicing asset is estimated by discounting future cash flows using market-based discount rates and prepayment speeds. The discount rate is based on the current US Treasury yield curve, as published by the Department of the Treasury, plus a spread for the marketplace risk associated with these assets. We utilize estimated prepayment vectors using SBA
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## NOTE 10 FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS (Continued)

prepayment information provided by Bloomberg for pools of similar assets to determine the timing of the cash flows. These nonrecurring valuation inputs are considered to be Level 3 inputs.

Deposits. Deposits are carried at historical cost. The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as noninterest bearing demand deposits, interest checking, money market, and savings accounts, is equal to the amount payable on demand as of the balance sheet date and considered Level 1. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows and considered Level 2. The discount rate is estimated using the rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. No value has been separately assigned to the Company's long-term relationships with its deposit customers, such as a core deposit intangible.

Borrowings. Borrowings are carried at amortized cost. The fair value of overnight FHLB advances is equal to the carrying value and considered Level 1. The fair value of fixed-rate borrowings is calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows through the estimated maturity dates or call dates, if applicable, using estimated market discount rates that reflect current rates offered for borrowings with similar remaining maturities and characteristics.

Subordinated debentures. Subordinated debentures are carried at amortized cost. The fair value of subordinated debentures with variable rates is deemed to be the carrying value.

Commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. The majority of our commitments to extend credit carry current market interest rates if converted to loans. Because these commitments are generally unassignable by either the borrower or us, they only have value to the borrower and us. The estimated fair value approximates the recorded deferred fee amounts and is not disclosed as it is not material.

## Limitations

Fair value estimates are made at a specific point in time and are based on relevant market information and information about the financial instrument. These estimates do not reflect income taxes or any premium or discount that could result from offering for sale at one time the Company's entire holdings of a particular financial instrument. Because no market exists for a portion of the Company's financial instruments, fair value estimates are based on what management believes to be conservative judgments regarding expected future cash flows, current economic conditions, risk characteristics of various financial instruments, and other factors. These estimated fair values are subjective in nature and involve uncertainties and matters of significant judgment and therefore cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions could significantly affect the estimates. Since the fair values have been estimated as of June 30, 2012, the amounts that will actually be realized or paid at settlement or maturity of the instruments could be significantly different.
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## NOTE 11 EARNINGS PER SHARE

The following is a summary of the calculation of basic and diluted net earnings per share for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { une 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{arch} 31, \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ <br> (In thousan | ds, | une 30, <br> 2011 <br> except per | ar | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June } \\ & \text { data) } \end{aligned}$ | $30$ | 2011 |
| Basic Earnings Per Share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 5,264 | \$ | 12,841 | \$ | 20,821 | \$ | 23,517 |
| Less: earnings allocated to unvested restricted stock ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | (538) |  | (122) |  | (600) |  | (602) |  | (976) |
| Net earnings allocated to common shares | \$ | 15,019 | \$ | 5,142 | \$ | 12,241 | \$ | 20,219 | \$ | 22,541 |
| Weighted-average basic shares and unvested restricted stock outstanding |  | 37,359.2 |  | 37,284.0 |  | 37,239.8 |  | 37,321.6 |  | 37,021.9 |
| Less: weighted-average unvested restricted stock outstanding |  | $(1,669.2)$ |  | $(1,654.0)$ |  | $(1,768.2)$ |  | $(1,661.6)$ |  | $(1,559.0)$ |
| Weighted-average basic shares outstanding |  | 35,690.0 |  | 35,630.0 |  | 35,471.6 |  | 35,660.0 |  | 35,462.9 |
| Basic earnings per share | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.64 |
| Diluted Earnings Per Share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings allocated to common shares | \$ | 15,019 | \$ | 5,142 | \$ | 12,241 | \$ | 20,219 | \$ | 22,541 |
| Weighted-average basic shares outstanding |  | 35,690.0 |  | 35,630.0 |  | 35,471.6 |  | 35,660.0 |  | 35,462.9 |
| Diluted earnings per share | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.64 |

(1)

Represents cash dividends paid to holders of unvested restricted stock, net of estimated forfeitures, plus undistributed earnings amounts available to holders of unvested restricted stock, if any.

## NOTE 12 STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS

## Restricted Stock

At June 30, 2012, there were outstanding 853,936 shares of unvested time-based restricted common stock and 850,000 shares of unvested performance-based restricted common stock. The awarded shares of time-based restricted common stock vest over a service period of three to five years from the date of the grant. The awarded shares of performance-based restricted common stock vest in full on the date the Compensation, Nominating and Governance, or CNG, Committee of the Board of Directors, as Administrator of the Company's 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, or the 2003 Plan, determines that the Company achieved certain financial goals established by the CNG Committee as set forth in the grant documents. Both time-based and performance-based restricted common stock vest immediately upon a change in control of the Company as defined in the 2003 Plan and upon death of the employee.
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Compensation expense related to time-based restricted stock awards is based on the fair value of the underlying stock on the award date and is recognized over the vesting period using the straight-line method. Restricted stock amortization totaled $\$ 1.3$ million, $\$ 1.6$ million and $\$ 2.1$ million for the three months ended June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, and June 30, 2011, respectively and $\$ 2.9$ million and $\$ 4.1$
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## NOTE 12 STOCK COMPENSATION PLANS (Continued)

million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Such amounts are included in compensation expense on the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of earnings.

Currently no compensation expense is being recognized for any performance-based restricted stock awards as management has concluded that it is improbable that the respective financial targets for any outstanding performance-based restricted stock awards will be met. If and when the attainment of such financial targets is deemed probable in future periods, a catch-up adjustment will be recorded and amortization of such performance-based restricted stock will begin again. The total amount of unrecognized compensation expense related to all performance-based restricted stock for which amortization was suspended or has not commenced totaled $\$ 33.8$ million at June 30, 2012 as presented in the following table.

|  | Number of <br> Shares <br> Outstanding | June 30, 2012 <br> Unrecognized <br> Compensation <br> Expense <br> (in thousands) | Expiration <br> Year of <br> Award |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Performance-based restricted stock awarded in: | 275,000 | $\$$ | 14,924 | 2013 |
| 2006 | 205,000 | 11,259 | 2017 |  |
| 2007 | 20,000 | 453 | 2013 |  |
| 2008 | 350,000 |  | 7,161 | 2016 |
| 2011 | 850,000 | $\$$ | 33,797 |  |
| Outstanding performance-based restricted stock awards |  |  |  |  |

The Company's 2003 Plan permits stock based compensation awards to officers, directors, key employees and consultants. As of June 30, 2012, the 2003 Plan authorized grants of stock-based compensation instruments to purchase or issue up to $6,500,000$ shares of authorized but unissued Company common stock, subject to adjustments provided by the 2003 Plan. As of June 30, 2012, there were 1,818,971 shares available for grant under the 2003 Plan.

## NOTE 13 BUSINESS SEGMENTS

PacWest Bancorp is a bank holding company with the principal business to serve as the holding company for our banking subsidiary, Pacific Western Bank. Pacific Western Bank is a full service commercial bank offering a broad range of banking products and services, including equipment leasing and asset-based commercial loans. At June 30, 2012 the Company's reportable segments consist of "Banking", "Asset Financing", and "Other". Other consists of the PacWest Bancorp holding company and other elimination and reconciliation entries.

The Bank's asset-based lending products are offered primarily through 3 business units: (1) First Community Financial ("FCF"), a division of the Bank, based in Phoenix, Arizona; (2) BFI Business Finance ("BFI"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, based in San Jose, California; and (3) Celtic Capital Corporation ("Celtic"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank acquired on April 3, 2012, based in Santa Monica, California. The Bank's leasing products are offered through Pacific Western Equipment Finance ("EQF"), a division of the Bank acquired on January 3, 2012, based in Midvale,
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## NOTE 13 BUSINESS SEGMENTS (Continued)

Utah. The operations of these divisions and subsidiaries represent the Company's "Asset Financing" segment.

With the acquisitions of EQF in January 2012 and Celtic in April 2012, we expanded our asset-based lending operations, both in terms of size and product diversification by adding equipment leasing, and determined that our asset financing operations met the threshold to be a reportable segment.

All prior period balances have been reclassified to reflect the change in structure.

The accounting policies of the reported segments are the same as those of the Company described in Note 1, "Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." Transactions between segments consist primarily of borrowed funds. Intersegment borrowing transactions that resulted in intersegment profits were eliminated for reporting consolidated results of operations. The Company allocated certain centrally provided services to the operating segments based upon estimated usage of those services.

The following tables present information regarding our business segments as of and for the periods indicated:

|  |  | Banking |  | June 30 Asset nancing <br> (In thou | 2 | 12 <br> Other <br> ds) |  | nsolidated Company |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-covered loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ | 2,461,803 | \$ | 382,488 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,844,291 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(69,296)$ |  | $(2,765)$ |  |  |  | $(72,061)$ |
| Non-covered loans and leases, net |  | 2,392,507 |  | 379,723 |  |  |  | 2,772,230 |
| Covered loans, net |  | 608,949 |  |  |  |  |  | 608,949 |
| Total loans and leases, net | \$ | 3,001,456 | \$ | 379,723 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,381,179 |
| Goodwill and other intangibles ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 53,245 | \$ | 25,706 | \$ |  | \$ | 78,951 |
| Total assets | \$ | 4,876,271 | \$ | 428,899 | \$ | 16,452 | \$ | 5,321,622 |
| Total deposits | \$ | 4,604,387 | \$ |  | \$ | $(13,058)$ | \$ | 4,591,329 |

(1)

Other intangibles include only core deposit and customer relationship intangibles. Non-compete agreements, tradenames, and favorable lease rights intangibles are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.
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|  | Banking |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } 2011 \\ & \text { Asset } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Consolidated Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-covered loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ | 2,758,979 | \$ | 154,157 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,913,136 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(93,767)$ |  | $(2,660)$ |  |  |  | $(96,427)$ |
| Non-covered loans and leases, net |  | 2,665,212 |  | 151,497 |  |  |  | 2,816,709 |
| Covered loans, net |  | 805,952 |  |  |  |  |  | 805,952 |
| Total loans and leases, net | \$ | 3,471,164 | \$ | 151,497 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,622,661 |
| Goodwill and other intangibles ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 60,369 | \$ |  | \$ |  | \$ | 60,369 |
| Total assets | \$ | 5,218,978 | \$ | 159,310 | \$ | 16,437 | \$ | 5,394,725 |
| Total deposits | \$ | 4,506,739 | \$ |  | \$ | $(20,244)$ | \$ | 4,486,495 |

(1)

Other intangibles include only core deposit and customer relationship intangibles. Tradenames and favorable lease rights intangibles are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banking |  | Asset <br> Financing |  | Other |  | Consolidated Company |  |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 62,045 | \$ | 10,845 | \$ |  | \$ | 72,890 |
| Intersegment interest expense |  | 630 |  | (630) |  |  |  |  |
| Other interest expense |  | $(3,404)$ |  | (225) |  | (848) |  | $(4,477)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 59,271 |  | 9,990 |  | (848) |  | 68,413 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | 371 |  | (100) |  |  |  | 271 |
| Noninterest income |  | 4,133 |  | 713 |  | 25 |  | 4,871 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | $(1,603)$ |  | (134) |  |  |  | $(1,737)$ |
| Other noninterest expense ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | $(38,088)$ |  | $(6,444)$ |  | $(1,316)$ |  | $(45,848)$ |
| Total noninterest expense |  | $(39,691)$ |  | $(6,578)$ |  | $(1,316)$ |  | $(47,585)$ |
| Earnings (loss) before income taxes |  | 24,084 |  | 4,025 |  | $(2,139)$ |  | 25,970 |
| Income taxes |  | $(9,563)$ |  | $(1,750)$ |  | 900 |  | $(10,413)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ | 14,521 | \$ | 2,275 | \$ | $(1,239)$ | \$ | 15,557 |
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|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banking |  | Asset <br> Financing |  | Other |  | Consolidated Company |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 72,603 | \$ | 4,593 | \$ |  | \$ | 77,196 |
| Intersegment interest expense |  | 317 |  | (317) |  |  |  |  |
| Other interest expense |  | $(7,281)$ |  |  |  | $(1,226)$ |  | $(8,507)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 65,639 |  | 4,276 |  | $(1,226)$ |  | 68,689 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | $(11,340)$ |  | (50) |  |  |  | $(11,390)$ |
| Noninterest income |  | 11,023 |  | 177 |  | 40 |  | 11,240 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | $(2,226)$ |  | (82) |  |  |  | $(2,308)$ |
| Other noninterest expense ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | $(39,144)$ |  | $(2,872)$ |  | $(2,214)$ |  | $(44,230)$ |
| Total noninterest expense |  | $(41,370)$ |  | $(2,954)$ |  | $(2,214)$ |  | $(46,538)$ |
| Earnings (loss) before income taxes |  | 23,952 |  | 1,449 |  | $(3,400)$ |  | 22,001 |
| Income taxes |  | $(10,043)$ |  | (618) |  | 1,501 |  | $(9,160)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ | 13,909 | \$ | 831 |  | $(1,899)$ | \$ | 12,841 |

(1)

The Asset Financing segment includes $\$ 24,000$ for amortization of non-compete agreements.

|  | Banking |  | Asset <br> Financing |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Other } \\ & \text { ssands) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Consolidated } \\ & \text { Company } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest income | \$ | 127,528 | \$ | 19,762 | \$ | \$ | 147,290 |
| Intersegment interest expense |  | 1,153 |  | $(1,153)$ |  |  |  |
| Other interest expense |  | $(8,715)$ |  | (443) | $(2,039)$ |  | $(11,197)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 119,966 |  | 18,166 | $(2,039)$ |  | 136,093 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | 6,445 |  | (100) |  |  | 6,345 |
| Noninterest income |  | 6,191 |  | 1,879 | 63 |  | 8,133 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | $(3,311)$ |  | (161) |  |  | $(3,472)$ |
| Debt termination expense |  | $(24,195)$ |  |  | 1,597 |  | $(22,598)$ |
| Other noninterest expense ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | $(76,440)$ |  | $(11,256)$ | $(2,714)$ |  | $(90,410)$ |
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| Total noninterest expense | $(103,946)$ | $(11,417)$ | $(1,117)$ | $(116,480)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Earnings (loss) before income taxes | 28,656 | 8,528 | $(3,093)$ | 34,091 |
| Income taxes | $(10,921)$ | $(3,650)$ | 1,301 | $(13,270)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) | $\$$ | 17,735 | $\$$ | 4,878 |

(1)

The Asset Financing segment includes $\$ 644,000$ for amortization of non-compete agreements and tradenames.
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|  | Six Months Ended June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Banking |  | Asset <br> Financing |  | Other |  | Consolidated Company |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 142,863 | \$ | 8,990 | \$ |  | \$ | 151,853 |
| Intersegment interest expense |  | 609 |  | (609) |  |  |  |  |
| Other interest expense |  | $(14,981)$ |  |  |  | $(2,445)$ |  | $(17,426)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 128,491 |  | 8,381 |  | $(2,445)$ |  | 134,427 |
| Provision for credit losses |  | $(22,050)$ |  | (50) |  |  |  | $(22,100)$ |
| Noninterest income |  | 15,581 |  | 369 |  | 79 |  | 16,029 |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | $(4,451)$ |  | (164) |  |  |  | $(4,615)$ |
| Other noninterest expense ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | $(73,088)$ |  | $(5,664)$ |  | $(4,570)$ |  | $(83,322)$ |
| Total noninterest expense |  | $(77,539)$ |  | $(5,828)$ |  | $(4,570)$ |  | $(87,937)$ |
| Earnings (loss) before income taxes |  | 44,483 |  | 2,872 |  | $(6,936)$ |  | 40,419 |
| Income taxes |  | $(18,664)$ |  | $(1,226)$ |  | 2,988 |  | $(16,902)$ |
| Net earnings (loss) | \$ | 25,819 | \$ | 1,646 | \$ | $(3,948)$ | \$ | 23,517 |

(1)

The Asset Financing segment includes $\$ 47,000$ for amortization of non-compete agreements.

## NOTE 14 RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") 2011-05, "Comprehensive Income (Topic 220): Presentation of Comprehensive Income." Under ASU 2011-05, an entity will have the option to present the components of net earnings and comprehensive income in either one or two consecutive financial statements. This standard eliminates the option in U.S. GAAP to present other comprehensive income in the statement of changes in equity. ASU 2011-05 should be applied retrospectively and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2011 and early adoption was permitted. Adoption of this standard did not have a material effect on our financial statements. In December 2011, the FASB issued ASU 2011-12, "Deferral of the Effective Date for Amendments to the Presentation of Reclassification of Items Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income in Accounting Standards Update No. 2011-05." ASU 2011-12 defers the effective date of those changes in ASU 2011-05 that relate to the presentation of reclassification adjustments to provide the FASB with more time to redeliberate whether to present the effects of reclassifications out of accumulated other comprehensive income on the face of the financial statements for all periods presented.

## NOTE 15 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

## Sale of Branches

On July 9, 2012, the Company announced that Pacific Western Bank had entered into a definitive agreement whereby Pacific Western Bank will sell 10 branches to Opus Bank. The branches are located in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Riverside, and San Diego Counties.
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## NOTE 15 SUBSEQUENT EVENTS (Continued)

The transaction will result in the transfer of deposits to Opus Bank in exchange for a blended deposit premium of $2.5 \%$ applied to the deposit balances transferred at closing. The deposits of the offices to be sold total approximately $\$ 145$ million as of June 30, 2012. Although certain other immaterial assets related to the branches will be included in the transaction, no loans will be transferred. The transaction is expected to be completed before the end of the year subject to regulatory approval and other customary terms. Although the sale of these branches will not result in any material gain, the annual cost savings, representing noninterest expense less noninterest income, are estimated to be $\$ 2.0$ million after tax.

## American Perspective Bank Acquisition

On August 1, 2012, Pacific Western Bank completed the acquisition of American Perspective Bank ("APB") located in San Luis Obispo, California. Pacific Western Bank acquired all of the capital stock of APB for $\$ 58.1$ million in cash, or $\$ 13.00$ per share for each share of common stock of APB.

At June 30, 2012, APB had $\$ 271.0$ million in assets, two operating branches located in San Luis Obispo and Santa Maria, California, and a loan production office located in Paso Robles, California. APB serves small-to-medium sized businesses and professionals through those locations. This acquisition is expected to strengthen the Company's presence in the Central Coast region and the loan production office is expected to provide opportunity for expansion and additional growth in that region.

## Dividend Approval

On August 8,2012 , the Company announced that the Board of Directors had declared a quarterly cash dividend of $\$ 0.18$ per common share payable on August 31, 2012, to stockholders of record at the close of business on August 20, 2012.

We have evaluated events that have occurred subsequent to June 30,2012 and have concluded there are no subsequent events that would require recognition or disclosure in the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements.
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## ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

## Forward-Looking Information

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking information about the Company and its subsidiaries, which statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor for "forward-looking statements" provided by the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. All statements other than statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Such statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, many of which are difficult to predict and are generally beyond the control of the Company. We caution readers that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed in, implied or projected by, such forward-looking statements. Risks and uncertainties include, but are not limited to:
lower than expected revenues;
credit quality deterioration or pronounced and sustained reduction in real estate market values could cause an increase in the allowance for credit losses and a reduction in earnings;
increased competitive pressure among depository institutions;
the Company's ability to complete future acquisitions and to successfully integrate such acquired entities or achieve expected benefits, synergies and/or operating efficiencies within expected time frames or at all;
the possibility that personnel changes will not proceed as planned;
the cost of additional capital is more than expected;
a change in the interest rate environment reduces interest margins;
asset/liability repricing risks and liquidity risks;
pending legal matters may take longer or cost more to resolve or may be resolved adversely to the Company;
general economic conditions, either nationally or in the market areas in which the Company does or anticipates doing business, are less favorable than expected;
environmental conditions, including natural disasters, may disrupt our business, impede our operations, negatively impact the values of collateral securing the Company's loans or impair the ability of our borrowers to support their debt obligations;
the economic and regulatory effects of the continuing war on terrorism and other events of war, including the conflicts and uncertainties in the Middle East;
legislative or regulatory requirements or changes adversely affecting the Company's business;
changes in the securities markets; and
regulatory approvals for any capital activities cannot be obtained on the terms expected or on the anticipated schedule.

## Overview

We are a bank holding company registered under the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956, as amended. Our principal business is to serve as the holding company for our subsidiary bank, Pacific Western Bank, which we refer to as Pacific Western or the Bank.

Pacific Western is a full-service commercial bank offering a broad range of banking products and services including: accepting demand, money market, and time deposits; originating loans, including
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commercial, real estate construction, SBA guaranteed and consumer loans; originating equipment finance leases; and providing other business-oriented products. Our operations are primarily located in Southern California extending from California's Central Coast to San Diego County; we also operate three banking offices in the San Francisco Bay area, a leasing operation based in Utah, and asset-based lending operations based in Arizona as well as San Jose and Santa Monica, California. The Bank focuses on conducting business with small to medium sized businesses in our marketplace and the owners and employees of those businesses. The majority of our loans are secured by the real estate collateral of such businesses. Our asset-based lending function operates in Arizona, California, Texas, Colorado, Minnesota, and the Pacific Northwest, and includes the operations of Celtic Capital Corporation, or Celtic, acquired April 3, 2012. Our equipment leasing function, added through the acquisition of Pacific Western Equipment Finance (formerly Marquette Equipment Finance), and which we refer to as Equipment Finance, or EQF, on January 3, 2012, has lease receivables in 45 states.

Pacific Western competes actively for deposits, and emphasizes solicitation of noninterest-bearing deposits. In managing the top line of our business, we focus on loan growth, loan yield, deposit cost, and net interest margin, as net interest income, on a year-to-date basis, accounted for $94 \%$ of our net revenues (net interest income plus noninterest income).

Total assets decreased $\$ 126.5$ million, or $2.3 \%$, during the second quarter due to lower balances in cash and cash equivalents, securities available-for-sale, loans and leases, and other assets. During the second quarter, cash and cash equivalents declined $\$ 10.3$ million, including the use of $\$ 65$ million to buy Celtic and repay its assumed debt. Securities available-for-sale decreased $\$ 29.2$ million due mostly to paydowns, net of purchases of $\$ 50.3$ million. The non-covered gross loan and lease portfolio declined $\$ 21.3$ million. However, excluding the loans gained in the Celtic acquisition, non-covered gross loans declined $\$ 81.9$ million; such decline is centered in the real estate mortgage and other commercial loan portfolios. The covered loan portfolio declined $\$ 51.3$ million due to repayments and resolution activities. At June 30, 2012, non-covered gross loans and leases totaled $\$ 2.8$ billion and the covered loan portfolio was $\$ 608.9$ million.

Total liabilities declined $\$ 142.5$ million during the second quarter due primarily to lower borrowings. Borrowings declined $\$ 177.6$ million during the second quarter due to the payoff of overnight FHLB advances. At June 30, 2012, there were no FHLB advances outstanding. Total deposits increased $\$ 34.7$ million during the second quarter to $\$ 4.6$ billion at June 30, 2012. Core deposits increased $\$ 90.2$ million due to an increase of $\$ 86.8$ million in noninterest-bearing demand deposits. Time deposits decreased $\$ 55.5$ million during the second quarter to $\$ 865.0$ million at June 30, 2012. At June 30, 2012, core deposits totaled $\$ 3.7$ billion, or $81 \%$ of total deposits at that date, and noninterest-bearing demand deposits were $\$ 1.9$ billion, or $41 \%$ of total deposits at that date.

## Celtic Capital Corporation Acquisition

On April 3, 2012, Pacific Western Bank completed the acquisition of Celtic Capital Corporation, or Celtic, an asset-based lending company based in Santa Monica, California. Celtic focuses on providing asset-based loans to borrowers in the $\$ 5$ million and under loan market in the United States. Pacific Western acquired all of the capital stock of Celtic for $\$ 18$ million in cash. In addition, the Bank assumed $\$ 47$ million in outstanding debt, which was repaid on the closing date. At June 30, 2012, Celtic's loan portfolio totaled $\$ 60.6$ million. The acquisition diversified the Company's loan portfolio, expanded the Company's product lines, and deployed excess liquidity into higher yielding assets. Celtic is operating under the name Celtic Capital Corporation as a subsidiary of Pacific Western Bank.
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## American Perspective Bank Acquisition

On August 1, 2012, Pacific Western Bank completed the acquisition of American Perspective Bank ("APB") located in San Luis Obispo, California. Pacific Western Bank acquired all of the capital stock of APB for $\$ 58.1$ million in cash, or $\$ 13.00$ per share for each share of common stock of APB.

At June 30, 2012, APB had $\$ 271.0$ million in assets, two operating branches located in San Luis Obispo and Santa Maria, California, and a loan production office located in Paso Robles, California. APB serves small-to-medium sized businesses and professionals through those locations. This acquisition is expected to strengthen the Company's presence in the Central Coast region and the loan production office is expected to provide opportunity for expansion and additional growth in that region.

## Sale of Branches

On July 9, 2012, the Company announced that Pacific Western and Opus Bank had entered into a definitive agreement whereby Pacific Western will sell 10 branches to Opus Bank. The branches are located in Los Angeles, San Bernardino, Riverside, and San Diego Counties.

The transaction will result in the transfer of deposits to Opus Bank in exchange for a blended deposit premium of $2.5 \%$ applied to the deposit balances transferred at closing. The deposits of the offices to be sold total approximately $\$ 145$ million at June 30, 2012. Although certain other immaterial assets related to the branches will be included in the transaction, no loans will be transferred. The transaction is expected to be completed before the end of the year subject to regulatory approval and other customary terms. Although the sale of these branches will not result in any material gain, the annual cost savings, representing noninterest expense less noninterest income, are estimated to be $\$ 2.0$ million after tax.

## Key Performance Indicators

Among other factors, our operating results depend generally on the following key performance indicators:

## The Level of Our Net Interest Income

Net interest income is the excess of interest earned on our interest-earning assets over the interest paid on our interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. A sustained low interest rate environment combined with low loan growth and high levels of marketplace liquidity may lower both our net interest income and net interest margin going forward.

Our primary interest-earning assets are loans and investments. Our primary interest-bearing liabilities are deposits. We attribute our high net interest margin to our high level of noninterest-bearing deposits and low cost of deposits. While our deposit balances will fluctuate depending on deposit holders' perceptions of alternative yields available in the market, we attempt to minimize these variances by attracting a high percentage of noninterest-bearing deposits, which have no expectation of yield.

## Loan and Lease Growth

We generally seek new lending opportunities in the $\$ 500,000$ to $\$ 15$ million range, try to limit loan maturities for commercial loans to one year, for construction loans up to 18 months, and for commercial real estate loans up to ten years, and to price lending products so as to preserve our interest spread and net interest margin. We sometimes encounter strong competition in pursuing lending opportunities such that potential borrowers obtain loans elsewhere at lower rates than those we offer. Our ability to make new loans is dependent on economic factors in our market area, borrower
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qualifications, competition, and liquidity, among other items. Loan growth remains tepid, as new loan volume is not replacing maturities. We attribute this to the competition for new and maturing loans from money center banks, regional banks and community banks that operate in our market areas. Such competition centers on unreasonably low interest rates and unrestrictive loan terms. Excluding acquired loans, during the second quarter gross loans declined $\$ 81.9$ million. We continue to retain, however, maturing lending relationships that contribute positively to our profitability and net interest margin, and selectively add new loans that meet our credit and pricing standards.

We have expanded our commercial loan and lease portfolio through the January 3, 2012 acquisition of Pacific Western Equipment Finance, an equipment leasing provider, and the April 3, 2012 acquisition of Celtic, an asset-based lender. As of June 30, 2012, Equipment Finance had $\$ 153.8$ million of leases and $\$ 12.3$ million of leases in process, and Celtic had $\$ 60.6$ million in gross loans.

## The Magnitude of Credit Losses

We stress credit quality in originating and monitoring the loans we make and measure our success by the levels of our nonperforming assets, net charge-offs and allowance for credit losses. We maintain an allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans and leases which is the sum of our allowance for loan and lease losses and our reserve for unfunded loan commitments. Provisions for credit losses are charged to operations as and when needed for both on and off balance sheet credit exposure. Loans and leases which are deemed uncollectible are charged off and deducted from the allowance for loan and lease losses. Recoveries on loans and leases previously charged off are added to the allowance for loan and lease losses. The provision for credit losses on the non-covered loan and lease portfolio was based on our allowance methodology and reflected net charge-offs, the levels and trends of nonaccrual and classified loans, and the migration of loans into various risk classifications. A provision for credit losses on the covered loan portfolio may be recorded to reflect decreases in expected cash flows on covered loans compared to those previously estimated.

We regularly review our loans to determine whether there has been any deterioration in credit quality stemming from economic conditions or other factors which may affect collectibility of our loans. Changes in economic conditions, such as inflation, unemployment, increases in the general level of interest rates, declines in real estate values and negative conditions in borrowers' businesses could negatively impact our customers and cause us to adversely classify loans and increase portfolio loss factors. An increase in classified loans generally results in increased provisions for credit losses. Any deterioration in the real estate market may lead to increased provisions for credit losses because of our concentration in real estate loans.

## The Level of Our Noninterest Expense

Our noninterest expense includes fixed and controllable overhead, the major components of which are compensation, occupancy, data processing, and other professional services. It also includes costs that tend to vary based on the volume of activity, such as OREO expense. We measure success in controlling both fixed and variable costs through monitoring of the efficiency ratio. We calculate the base efficiency ratio by dividing noninterest expense by net revenues (the sum of net interest income plus noninterest income). We also calculate a non-GAAP measure called the "adjusted efficiency ratio." The adjusted efficiency ratio is calculated in the same manner as the base efficiency ratio except that noninterest income is reduced by FDIC loss sharing income and other-than-temporary loss on a covered security and noninterest expense is reduced by OREO expenses and debt termination expense.

During the three months ended March 31, 2012, the Company incurred $\$ 22.6$ million of debt termination expense in connection with the repayment of FHLB advances and the early redemption of subordinated debentures; there was no similar debt termination expense in any other quarterly period presented. See calculations in "Results of Operations Non-GAAP Measurements" contained herein.
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The consolidated base and adjusted efficiency ratios have been as follows:

|  | Base <br> Efficiency <br> Ratio | Adjusted <br> Efficiency <br> Ratio |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Three Months Ended | $64.9 \%$ | $60.8 \%$ |
| June 30, 2012 | $97.1 \%$ | $58.6 \%$ |
| March 31, 2012 | $60.4 \%$ | $59.9 \%$ |
| December 31, 2011 | $67.9 \%$ | $58.7 \%$ |
| September 30, 2011 | $58.2 \%$ | $57.7 \%$ |

The base efficiency ratio fluctuations shown in the above table result mostly from the volatility of FDIC loss sharing income (expense) and OREO expenses and, for the second quarter of 2012, from an other-than-temporary impairment loss on a covered security, and for the first quarter of 2012, from the debt termination expense. The adjusted efficiency ratio eliminates (a) the volatility of FDIC loss sharing income (expense) and OREO expenses and (b) an other-than-temporary impairment loss on a covered security and debt termination expense and shows the trend in overhead-related noninterest expense relative to net revenues. See "Results of Operations Non-GAAP Measurements" for the calculations of the base and adjusted efficiency ratios.

## Critical Accounting Policies

The Company's accounting policies are fundamental to understanding management's discussion and analysis of results of operations and financial condition. The Company has identified several policies as being critical because they require management to make particularly difficult, subjective and/or complex judgments about matters that are inherently uncertain and because of the likelihood that materially different amounts would be reported under different conditions or using different assumptions. These policies relate to the allowance for credit losses and the carrying values of intangible assets and deferred income tax assets. For further information, refer to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011.

## Results of Operations

## Non-GAAP Measurements

Certain discussion in this Form 10-Q contains non-GAAP financial disclosures for tangible common equity, adjusted earnings before income taxes, and adjusted efficiency ratios. The Company uses certain non-GAAP financial measures to provide meaningful supplemental information regarding the Company's operational performance and to enhance investors' overall understanding of such financial performance. Given the use of tangible common equity amounts and ratio is prevalent among banking regulators, investors and analysts, we disclose our tangible common equity ratio in addition to the equity-to-assets ratio. Also, as analysts and investors view adjusted earnings before income taxes as an indicator of the Company's ability to absorb credit losses, we disclose this amount in addition to net earnings. The methodology of determining tangible common equity and adjusted earnings before income taxes may differ among companies. We disclose the adjusted efficiency ratio as it eliminates (a) the volatility of FDIC loss sharing income and OREO expenses and (b) an other-than-temporary impairment loss on a covered security recognized in the second quarter of 2012 and debt termination expense recognized in the first quarter of 2012 from the base efficiency ratio and shows the trend in overhead-related noninterest expense relative to net revenues.

These non-GAAP financial measures are presented for supplemental informational purposes only for understanding the Company's operating results and should not be considered a substitute for financial information presented in accordance with United States generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). The following table presents performance amounts and ratios in accordance with
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GAAP and a reconciliation of the non-GAAP financial measurements to the GAAP financial measurements.

| Adjusted Earnings Before Income Taxes |  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 30,$2012$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net earnings |  | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 5,264 | \$ | 12,841 | \$ | 20,821 | \$ | 23,517 |
| Plus: | Total provision for credit losses |  | (271) |  | $(6,074)$ |  | 11,390 |  | $(6,345)$ |  | 22,100 |
|  | Non-covered OREO expense, net |  | 130 |  | 1,821 |  | 2,300 |  | 1,951 |  | 3,003 |
|  | Covered OREO expense (income), net |  | 2,130 |  | 822 |  | 1,205 |  | 2,952 |  | $(1,373)$ |
|  | Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  | 1,115 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,115 |  |  |
|  | Debt termination expense |  |  |  | 22,598 |  |  |  | 22,598 |  |  |
|  | Income tax expense |  | 10,413 |  | 2,857 |  | 9,160 |  | 13,270 |  | 16,902 |
| Less: | FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net |  | (102) |  | $(3,579)$ |  | 5,316 |  | $(3,681)$ |  | 4,146 |
|  | Adjusted earnings before income taxes | \$ | 29,176 | \$ | 30,867 | \$ | 31,580 | \$ | 60,043 | \$ | 60,003 |


| Adjusted Efficiency Ratio |  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 30, 2012 |  | March 31, 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 2012 | 2011 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |
| Noninterest expense |  | \$ | 47,585 |  |  | \$ | 68,895 | \$ | 46,538 | \$ | 116,480 | \$ | 87,937 |
| Less: | Non-covered OREO expense |  | 130 |  | 1,821 |  | 2,300 |  | 1,951 |  | 3,003 |
|  | Covered OREO expense (income), net |  | 2,130 |  | 822 |  | 1,205 |  | 2,952 |  | $(1,373)$ |
|  | Debt termination expense |  |  |  | 22,598 |  |  |  | 22,598 |  |  |
|  | Adjusted noninterest expense | \$ | 45,325 | \$ | 43,654 | \$ | 43,033 | \$ | 88,979 | \$ | 86,307 |
| Net interest income |  | \$ | 68,413 | \$ | 67,680 | \$ | 68,689 | \$ | 136,093 | \$ | 134,427 |
| Noninterest income |  |  | 4,871 |  | 3,262 |  | 11,240 |  | 8,133 |  | 16,029 |
|  | Net revenues |  | 73,284 |  | 70,942 |  | 79,929 |  | 144,226 |  | 150,456 |
| Less: | FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net |  | (102) |  | $(3,579)$ |  | 5,316 |  | $(3,681)$ |  | 4,146 |
|  | Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |
|  | Adjusted net revenues | \$ | 74,501 | \$ | 74,521 | \$ | 74,613 | \$ | 149,022 | \$ | 146,310 |
| Base efficiency ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | 64.9\% |  | 97.1\% |  | 58.2\% |  | 80.8\% |  | 58.4\% |
| Adjusted efficiency ratio ${ }^{(2)}$ |  |  | 60.8\% |  | 58.6\% |  | 57.7\% |  | 59.7\% |  | 59.0\% |
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| Tangible Common Equity |  | June 30, 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| PacWest Bancorp Consolidated: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stock | ders' equity | \$ | 565,648 | \$ | 549,645 | \$ | 546,203 |
| Less: | Intangible assets |  | 78,951 |  | 73,524 |  | 56,556 |
|  | Tangible common equity | \$ | 486,697 | \$ | 476,121 | \$ | 489,647 |
| Total |  | \$ | 5,321,622 | \$ | 5,448,108 | \$ | 5,528,237 |
| Less: | Intangible assets |  | 78,951 |  | 73,524 |  | 56,556 |
|  | Tangible assets | \$ | 5,242,671 | \$ | 5,374,584 | \$ | 5,471,681 |
|  | Equity to assets ratio |  | 10.63\% |  | 10.09\% |  | 9.88\% |
|  | Tangible common equity ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 9.28\% |  | 8.86\% |  | 8.95\% |
| Book | lue per share | \$ | 15.12 | \$ | 14.74 | \$ | 14.66 |
| Tang | book value per share | \$ | 13.01 | \$ | 12.77 | \$ | 13.14 |
| Share | utstanding |  | 37,402,293 |  | 37,298,138 |  | 37,254,318 |
| Pacific Western Bank: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity |  | \$ | 642,553 | \$ | 627,792 | \$ | 625,494 |
| Less: | Intangible assets |  | 78,951 |  | 73,524 |  | 56,556 |
|  | Tangible common equity | \$ | 563,602 | \$ | 554,268 | \$ | 568,938 |
| Total assets |  | \$ | 5,305,170 | \$ | 5,430,107 | \$ | 5,512,025 |
| Less: | Intangible assets |  | 78,951 |  | 73,524 |  | 56,556 |
|  | Tangible assets | \$ | 5,226,219 | \$ | 5,356,583 | \$ | 5,455,469 |
| Equity to assets ratio <br> Tangible common equity ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  | 12.11\% |  | 11.56\% |  | 11.35\% |
|  |  |  | 10.78\% |  | 10.35\% |  | 10.43\% |

(1)

Calculated as tangible common equity divided by tangible assets.
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## Earnings Performance

Summarized financial information for the periods indicated are as follows:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { une } 30 \text {, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | arch 31, 2012 lars in thou | usa | une 30, 2011 <br> ds, except $p$ | per | June <br> 2012 <br> hare data) | 30, | $2011$ |
| Earnings Summary: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest income | \$ | 72,890 | \$ | 74,400 | \$ | 77,196 | \$ | 147,290 | \$ | 151,853 |
| Interest expense |  | $(4,477)$ |  | $(6,720)$ |  | $(8,507)$ |  | $(11,197)$ |  | $(17,426)$ |
| Net interest income |  | 68,413 |  | 67,680 |  | 68,689 |  | 136,093 |  | 134,427 |
| Provision for credit losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Non-covered loans and leases |  |  |  | 10,000 |  | $(5,500)$ |  | 10,000 |  | $(13,300)$ |
| Covered loans |  | 271 |  | $(3,926)$ |  | $(5,890)$ |  | $(3,655)$ |  | $(8,800)$ |
| Total provision |  | 271 |  | 6,074 |  | $(11,390)$ |  | 6,345 |  | $(22,100)$ |
| FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net |  | (102) |  | $(3,579)$ |  | 5,316 |  | $(3,681)$ |  | 4,146 |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |
| Other noninterest income |  | 6,088 |  | 6,841 |  | 5,924 |  | 12,929 |  | 11,883 |
| Total noninterest income |  | 4,871 |  | 3,262 |  | 11,240 |  | 8,133 |  | 16,029 |
| Non-covered OREO costs, net |  | (130) |  | $(1,821)$ |  | $(2,300)$ |  | $(1,951)$ |  | $(3,003)$ |
| Covered OREO costs, net |  | $(2,130)$ |  | (822) |  | $(1,205)$ |  | $(2,952)$ |  | 1,373 |
| Debt termination expense |  |  |  | $(22,598)$ |  |  |  | $(22,598)$ |  |  |
| Other noninterest expense |  | $(45,325)$ |  | $(43,654)$ |  | $(43,033)$ |  | $(88,979)$ |  | $(86,307)$ |
| Total noninterest expense |  | $(47,585)$ |  | $(68,895)$ |  | $(46,538)$ |  | $(116,480)$ |  | $(87,937)$ |
| Income tax expense |  | $(10,413)$ |  | $(2,857)$ |  | $(9,160)$ |  | $(13,270)$ |  | $(16,902)$ |
| Net earnings | \$ | 15,557 | \$ | 5,264 | \$ | 12,841 | \$ | 20,821 | \$ | 23,517 |
| Profitability Measures: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Earnings per share: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Basic | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.64 |
| Diluted | \$ | 0.42 | \$ | 0.14 | \$ | 0.35 | \$ | 0.57 | \$ | 0.64 |
| Annualized return on: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Average assets |  | 1.16\% |  | 0.38\% |  | 0.94\% |  | 0.77\% |  | 0.87\% |
| Average equity |  | 11.23\% |  | 3.83\% |  | 10.31\% |  | 7.54\% |  | 9.65\% |
| Net interest margin |  | 5.60\% |  | 5.41\% |  | 5.57\% |  | 5.50\% |  | 5.45\% |
| Base efficiency ratio |  | 64.9\% |  | 97.1\% |  | 58.2\% |  | 64.6\% |  | 58.5\% |
| Adjusted efficiency ratio ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 60.8\% |  | 58.6\% |  | 57.7\% |  | 59.7\% |  | 59.0\% |

(1)

Excludes FDIC loss sharing income (expense), other-than-temporary impairment loss on a covered security, OREO expense, and debt termination expense.
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Net earnings for the second quarter of 2012 were $\$ 15.6$ million, or $\$ 0.42$ per diluted share, compared to $\$ 5.3$ million, or $\$ 0.14$ per diluted share, for the first quarter of 2012.The $\$ 10.3$ million increase in net earnings for the linked quarters was due primarily to $\$ 22.6$ million ( $\$ 13.1$ million after tax) of debt termination expense incurred in the first quarter of 2012 on the repayment of $\$ 225$ million of fixed-rate term FHLB advances and the early redemption of $\$ 18.6$ million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities; such debt termination expense was not repeated in the second quarter of 2012.
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Contributing to the increase in net earnings, the provision for credit losses on covered loans declined $\$ 4.2$ million ( $\$ 2.4$ million after tax) and FDIC loss sharing income increased $\$ 3.5$ million ( $\$ 2.0$ million after tax). Offsetting these factors were the zero provision for credit losses on non-covered loans and leases in the second quarter compared to the $\$ 10.0$ million negative provision in the first quarter ( $\$ 5.8$ million after tax) and the other-than-temporary impairment ("OTTI") loss on a covered security of $\$ 1.1$ million in the second quarter ( $\$ 647,000$ after tax). Our portion of the OTTI loss is $\$ 223,000$ ( $\$ 129,000$ after tax) after the $80 \%$ loss coverage by the FDIC. The operations of Celtic Capital Corporation, or Celtic, which have been included since the April 3, 2012 acquisition date, contributed $\$ 226,000$ to second quarter net earnings.

## Second Quarter of 2012 Compared to Second Quarter of 2011

Net earnings for the second quarter of 2012 were $\$ 15.6$ million, or $\$ 0.42$ per diluted share, compared to net earnings of $\$ 12.8$ million, or $\$ 0.35$ per diluted share, for the second quarter of 2011. The $\$ 2.8$ million increase in net earnings was due mostly to an $\$ 11.7$ million ( $\$ 6.8$ million after tax) decline in the provision for credit losses, the $\$ 1.6$ million after-tax contribution of the operations of EQF and Celtic, which have been included since their respective acquisition dates in 2012, and a decrease in noninterest expense, excluding EQF and Celtic, of $\$ 2.6$ million ( $\$ 1.5$ million after tax). These increases in net earnings were offset by a decrease in FDIC loss sharing income of $\$ 5.4$ million ( $\$ 3.1$ million after tax), OTTI loss on a covered security of $\$ 1.1$ million ( $\$ 647,000$ after tax), and a decrease in net interest income, excluding EQF and Celtic, of $\$ 6.1$ million ( $\$ 3.6$ million after tax).

Six Months of 2012 Compared to Six Months of 2011

Net earnings were $\$ 20.8$ million, or $\$ 0.57$ per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to net earnings of $\$ 23.5$ million, or $\$ 0.64$ per diluted share, for the six months ended June 30, 2011. The $\$ 2.7$ million decline in net earnings for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period last year was due primarily to the combination of the following:
$\$ 22.6$ million ( $\$ 13.1$ million after tax) of debt termination expense incurred in the first quarter of 2012. There was no such item during the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Lower FDIC loss sharing income of $\$ 7.8$ million ( $\$ 4.5$ million after tax) and higher net covered OREO expense of $\$ 4.3$ million ( $\$ 2.5$ million after tax).

Lower provision for credit losses on non-covered loans of $\$ 23.3$ million ( $\$ 13.5$ million after tax) and lower provision for credit losses on covered loans of $\$ 5.1$ million ( $\$ 3.0$ million after tax).

The $\$ 3.3$ million contribution of the operations of Celtic and Equipment Finance which have been included since their respective 2012 acquisition dates.

## Net Interest Income

Net interest income, which is our principal source of revenue, represents the difference between interest earned on interest-earning assets and interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest margin is net interest income expressed as a percentage of average interest-earning assets. Net interest income is affected by changes in both interest rates and the volume of average interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities.
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The following tables present, for the periods indicated, the distribution of average assets, liabilities and stockholders' equity, as well as interest income and yields earned on average interest-earning assets and interest expense and rates paid on average interest-bearing liabilities:

|  | June <br> Average <br> Balance | 30, 2012 <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | Yields and Rates | Three M <br> Marc <br> Average <br> Balance <br> (Dollars | h 31, 2012 <br> Interest Income/ Expense <br> in thousand | ed <br> Yields <br> and Rates <br> ds) | June <br> Average <br> Balance | 30, 2011 <br> Interest <br> Income/ <br> Expense | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yields } \\ & \text { and } \\ & \text { Rates } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ 3,499,056 | \$ 63,312 | 7.28\% \$ | \$ 3,562,766 | \$ 64,752 | 7.31\% \$ | \$ 3,815,414 | \$ 68,331 | 7.18\% |
| Investment securities ${ }^{(2)}$ | 1,390,080 | 9,558 | 2.77\% | 1,363,067 | 9,580 | 2.83\% | 1,006,008 | 8,782 | 3.50\% |
| Deposits in financial institutions | 28,478 | 20 | 0.28\% | 103,557 | 68 | 0.26\% | 126,568 | 83 | 0.26\% |
| Federal funds sold | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest-earning assets | 4,917,624 | \$ 72,890 | 5.96\% | 5,029,390 | \$ 74,400 | 5.95\% | 4,947,990 | \$ 77,196 | 6.26\% |
| Other assets | 463,962 |  |  | 471,177 |  |  | 505,632 |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ 5,381,586 |  |  | \$ 5,500,567 |  |  | \$ 5,453,622 |  |  |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Interest checking deposits | \$ 514,969 | \$ 68 | 0.05\% \$ | \$ 513,190 | \$ 65 | 0.05\% \$ | \$ 489,952 | \$ 245 | 0.20\% |
| Money market deposits | 1,172,050 | 555 | 0.19\% | 1,199,226 | 567 | 0.19\% | 1,217,406 | 1,529 | 0.50\% |
| Savings deposits | 160,937 | 12 | 0.03\% | 160,958 | 13 | 0.03\% | 149,553 | 72 | 0.19\% |
| Time deposits | 889,705 | 2,701 | 1.22\% | 942,501 | 2,959 | 1.26\% | 1,092,614 | 3,672 | 1.35\% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits | 2,737,661 | 3,336 | 0.49\% | 2,815,875 | 3,604 | 0.51\% | 2,949,525 | 5,518 | 0.75\% |
| Borrowings | 113,233 | 293 | 1.04\% | 239,779 | 1,925 | 3.23\% | 225,044 | 1,763 | 3.14\% |
| Subordinated debentures | 108,250 | 848 | 3.15\% | 123,393 | 1,191 | 3.88\% | 129,469 | 1,226 | 3.80\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities | 2,959,144 | \$ 4,477 | 0.61\% | 3,179,047 | \$ 6,720 | 0.85\% | 3,304,038 | \$ 8,507 | 1.03\% |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits | 1,824,278 |  |  | 1,719,003 |  |  | 1,608,455 |  |  |
| Other liabilities | 40,984 |  |  | 49,731 |  |  | 41,683 |  |  |
| Total liabilities | 4,824,406 |  |  | 4,947,781 |  |  | 4,954,176 |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity | 557,180 |  |  | 552,786 |  |  | 499,446 |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ 5,381,586 |  |  | \$ 5,500,567 |  |  | \$ 5,453,622 |  |  |
| Net interest income |  | \$ 68,413 |  |  | \$ 67,680 |  |  | \$ 68,689 |  |
| Net interest rate spread |  |  | 5.35\% |  |  | 5.10\% |  |  | 5.23\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  | 5.60\% |  |  | 5.41\% |  |  | 5.57\% |
| Total deposits | 4,561,939 |  |  | 4,534,878 |  |  | 4,557,980 |  |  |
| All-in deposit $\operatorname{cost}^{(3)}$ |  |  | 0.29\% |  |  | 0.32\% |  |  | 0.49\% |

[^6]|  | Average Balance |  |  |  | Months End | d June 30, |  |  | Yields and Rates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 012 <br> Interest Income/ Expense | Yields <br> and <br> Rates | Average Balance usands) |  | 11 <br> Interest Income/ Expense |  |
| ASSETS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Loans and leases, net of unearned income ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | \$ | 3,530,911 | \$ | 128,064 | 7.29\% \$ | 3,903,321 | \$ | 135,112 | 6.98\% |
| Investment securities ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 1,376,573 |  | 19,138 | 2.80\% | 960,066 |  | 16,601 | 3.49\% |
| Deposits in financial institutions |  | 66,017 |  | 88 | 0.27\% | 108,011 |  | 140 | 0.26\% |
| Federal funds sold |  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total interest-earning assets |  | 4,973,506 | \$ | 147,290 | 5.96\% | 4,971,398 | \$ | 151,853 | 6.16\% |
| Other assets |  | 467,571 |  |  |  | 510,647 |  |  |  |
| Total assets | \$ | 5,441,077 |  |  |  | 5,482,045 |  |  |  |

## LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

| Interest checking deposits | \$ | 514,079 | \$ | 133 | 0.05\% \$ | 492,935 | \$ | 513 | 0.21\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Money market deposits |  | 1,185,638 |  | 1,122 | 0.19\% | 1,228,901 |  | 3,264 | 0.54\% |
| Savings deposits |  | 160,947 |  | 25 | 0.03\% | 145,314 |  | 142 | 0.20\% |
| Time deposits |  | 916,103 |  | 5,660 | 1.24\% | 1,129,834 |  | 7,555 | 1.35\% |
| Total interest-bearing deposits |  | 2,776,767 |  | 6,940 | 0.50\% | 2,996,984 |  | 11,474 | 0.77\% |
| Borrowings |  | 176,506 |  | 2,218 | 2.53\% | 226,077 |  | 3,507 | 3.13\% |
| Subordinated debentures |  | 115,821 |  | 2,039 | 3.54\% | 129,507 |  | 2,445 | 3.81\% |
| Total interest-bearing liabilities |  | 3,069,094 | \$ | 11,197 | 0.73\% | 3,352,568 | \$ | 17,426 | 1.05\% |
| Noninterest-bearing demand deposits |  | 1,771,641 |  |  |  | 1,595,658 |  |  |  |
| Other liabilities |  | 45,359 |  |  |  | 42,588 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities |  | 4,886,094 |  |  |  | 4,990,814 |  |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity |  | 554,983 |  |  |  | 491,231 |  |  |  |
| Total liabilities and stockholders' equity | \$ | 5,441,077 |  |  | \$ | 5,482,045 |  |  |  |
| Net interest income |  |  | \$ | 136,093 |  |  | \$ | 134,427 |  |
| Net interest rate spread |  |  |  |  | 5.23\% |  |  |  | 5.11\% |
| Net interest margin |  |  |  |  | 5.50\% |  |  |  | 5.45\% |
| Total deposits |  | 4,548,408 |  |  |  | 4,592,642 |  |  |  |
| All-in deposit $\operatorname{cost}^{(3)}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.31\% |  |  |  | 0.50\% |

[^7]
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The net interest margin has been impacted by the accelerated accretion of purchase discounts on covered loan payoffs and loans being placed on or removed from nonaccrual status. The effects of such items on the net interest margin are shown in the following table for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2011 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Net interest margin as reported | 5.60\% | 5.41\% | 5.57\% | 5.50\% | 5.45\% |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Accelerated accretion of purchase discounts on covered loan payoffs | 0.19\% | 0.20\% | 0.38\% | 0.19\% | 0.30\% |
| Nonaccrual loan interest | (0.02)\% | 0.00\% | 0.02\% | (0.01)\% | 0.01\% |
| Net interest margin as adjusted | 5.43\% | 5.21\% | 5.17\% | 5.32\% | 5.14\% |

## Second Quarter of 2012 Compared to First Quarter of 2012

Net interest income was $\$ 68.4$ million for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 67.7$ million for the first quarter of 2012. The $\$ 733,000$ increase was due mostly to a $\$ 2.2$ million decrease in interest expense, offset by a $\$ 1.4$ million decrease in loan and lease interest income Interest income on loans and leases declined due mainly to lower average loans and leases attributable to repayments and resolution activities, offset in part by the additional interest income on the Celtic portfolio. Interest expense on deposits decreased $\$ 268,000$ due to lower average time deposits and a lower rate on time deposits. Interest expense on borrowings declined $\$ 1.6$ million due to lower average borrowings and a lower average rate on such borrowings; we repaid fixed-rate term FHLB advances at the end of the first quarter and replaced a portion of those advances with lower cost overnight FHLB advances. Interest expense on subordinated debentures decreased $\$ 343,000$ due to the March 2012 redemption of $\$ 18.6$ million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities.

Our net interest margin ("NIM") for the second quarter of 2012 was $5.60 \%$, an increase of 19 basis points from the $5.41 \%$ reported for the first quarter of 2012. This increase is due mostly to a $\$ 111.8$ million decrease in average interest-earning assets and lower interest expense. During the second quarter, average loans and leases declined $\$ 63.7$ million due to repayments and resolution activities and average interest-earning deposits in financial institutions decreased $\$ 75.1$ million due to the March 2012 repayment of $\$ 225.0$ million of fixed-rate term FHLB advances, the March 2012 redemption of $\$ 18.6$ million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities and the April 2012 Celtic acquisition.

The NIM is impacted by changes in interest income from nonaccrual loans and accelerated accretion on covered loans. Quarter-over-quarter, nonaccrual interest decreased the NIM by 2 basis points and accelerated accretion decreased the NIM by 1 basis point. Accelerated accretion had a 19 basis point positive impact on the second quarter NIM ( 20 basis points on the first quarter NIM) and nonaccrual interest had a negative impact of 2 basis points on second quarter NIM (no effect on the first quarter NIM). When the effects of nonaccrual loan interest and accelerated accretion are excluded, our core NIMs were $5.43 \%$ for the second quarter and $5.21 \%$ for the first quarter.

The yield on average loans and leases decreased 3 basis points to $7.28 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 from $7.31 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012. Quarter-over-quarter, accelerated accretion decreased the loan and lease yield by 4 basis points and nonaccrual loans decreased the loan and lease yield by 2 basis points. Accelerated accretion had a 25 basis point positive impact on the second quarter loan and lease yield (29 basis points for the first quarter loan and lease yield) and nonaccrual interest had a negative impact of 2 basis points on the second quarter loan and lease yield (no effect on the first quarter). When the effects of nonaccrual loan interest and accelerated accretion are excluded, our core loan and lease yield was $7.05 \%$ for the second quarter and $7.02 \%$ for the first quarter.
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All-in deposit cost declined three basis points to $0.29 \%$. The cost of interest-bearing deposits declined two basis points to $0.49 \%$ due to the lower rate on average time deposits. The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities declined 24 basis points to $0.61 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 due to the first quarter repayment of $\$ 225.0$ million of fixed-rate term FHLB advances and the redemption of $\$ 18.6$ million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities.

Second Quarter of 2012 Compared to Second Quarter of 2011
Net interest income was $\$ 68.4$ million for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 68.7$ million for the second quarter of 2011. The $\$ 276,000$ decline was due primarily to a $\$ 5.0$ million decline in interest income on loans and leases, offset by a $\$ 4.0$ million decrease in interest expense and a $\$ 776,000$ increase in interest income on investment securities. Interest income on loans and leases declined due to lower average loans and leases from repayments and resolution activities, offset partially by a higher yield. Interest income on investment securities increased due mostly to a higher average balance attributable to purchases. Interest expense on deposits declined $\$ 2.2$ million due mainly to lower rates on all interest-bearing deposits and lower average time deposits. Interest expense on borrowings declined $\$ 1.5$ million due to lower average borrowings and a lower average rate on such borrowings; we repaid fixed-rate term FHLB advances at the end of the first quarter of 2012 and replaced a portion of those advances with lower cost overnight FHLB advances. Interest expense on subordinated debentures decreased $\$ 378,000$ due to the March 2012 redemption of $\$ 18.6$ million of fixed-rate trust preferred securities.

The NIM increased 3 basis points to $5.60 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $5.57 \%$ for the same period last year due to lower average interest-earning assets, coupled with a higher yielding loan and lease portfolio and lower funding costs. During the second quarter of 2012, average loans and leases declined $\$ 316.4$ million due to repayments and resolution activities and average interest-earning deposits in financial institutions decreased $\$ 98.1$ million due to purchases of investment securities, the January 2012 EQF acquisition, the March 2012 repayment of fixed-rate term FHLB advances, the March 2012 redemption of fixed-rate trust preferred securities and the April 2012 Celtic acquisition. These decreases in average interest-earning assets were offset partially by a $\$ 384.1$ million increase in average investment securities attributable mainly to purchases during the second half of 2011 with the use of excess liquidity.

The yield on average loans and leases increased 10 basis points to $7.28 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 from $7.18 \%$ from the second quarter of 2011, due mostly to the relatively higher yields earned on the Celtic and EQF loan and lease portfolios which were added in 2012. All-in deposit cost declined 20 basis points to $0.29 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period last year. The cost of interest-bearing deposits declined 26 basis points to $0.49 \%$ due to lower rates on all interest-bearing deposits. The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities declined 42 basis points to $0.61 \%$ due to the reduction in the cost of interest-bearing deposits and lower borrowing costs due to the repayment of higher cost fixed-rate debt in the first quarter of 2012.

## Six Months of 2012 Compared to Six Months of 2011

Net interest income increased by $\$ 1.7$ million to $\$ 136.1$ million during the six months ended June 30,2012 compared to $\$ 134.4$ million for the same period last year. This change was due to a $\$ 6.2$ million decrease in interest expense and a $\$ 2.5$ million increase in interest on investment securities, offset by a $\$ 7.0$ million decrease in loan and lease interest income. Interest expense on deposits decreased $\$ 4.5$ million due to lower rates on all interest-bearing deposits and lower average time deposits. Interest expense on borrowings declined $\$ 1.3$ million due to lower average borrowings and a lower average rate on such borrowings and interest expense on subordinated debentures decreased $\$ 406,000$ due to the March 2012 redemption of the trust preferred securities. Interest income on investment securities increased $\$ 2.5$ million due to purchases. Interest income on loans and leases
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declined due to lower average loans and leases from repayments and resolution activities, offset partially by a higher yield. The higher loan and lease yield is attributed to the relatively higher yields earned on the Celtic and EQF loan and lease portfolios which were added in 2012.

The NIM for the first six months of 2012 was $5.50 \%$, an increase of five basis points from $5.45 \%$ for the same period last year. The increase was due to lower funding costs and a higher yield on loans and leases, offset by a lower return on the securities portfolio. The accelerated accretion decreased the NIM 11 basis points while the impact of nonaccrual loans decreased the NIM 2 basis points for six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to the same period last year. When the effects of nonaccrual loan interest and accelerated accretion are excluded, our core NIMs were $5.32 \%$ for the first half of 2012 and $5.14 \%$ for the first half of 2011.

The yield on average loans and leases increased 31 basis points to $7.29 \%$ for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to $6.98 \%$ for the same period last year. The addition of Celtic's and Equipment Finance's loan and lease portfolios added 24 basis points to the loan and lease yield. All-in deposit cost declined 19 basis points to $0.31 \%$ for the first six months of 2012 compared to the same period last year. The cost of interest-bearing deposits declined 27 basis points to $0.50 \%$ due to lower rates on all interest-bearing deposits. The cost of total interest-bearing liabilities declined 32 basis points to $0.73 \%$ due to the reduction in the cost of interest-bearing deposits and the first quarter of 2012 repayment of FHLB advances and the early redemption of trust preferred securities.

## Provision for Credit Losses

The following table sets forth the details of the provision for credit losses and allowance for credit losses data for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision For Credit Losses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Addition (reduction) to allowance for loan and lease losses | \$ | 1,000 |  |  |  | \$ |  |  | $(8,500)$ | \$ | 5,050 | \$ | $(7,500)$ | \$ | 12,850 |
| Addition (reduction) to reserve for unfunded loan commitments |  | $(1,000)$ |  | $(1,500)$ |  | 450 |  | $(2,500)$ |  | 450 |
| Total provision for non-covered loans and leases |  |  |  | $(10,000)$ |  | 5,500 |  | $(10,000)$ |  | 13,300 |
| Provision for covered loans |  | (271) |  | 3,926 |  | 5,890 |  | 3,655 |  | 8,800 |
| Total provision for credit losses | \$ | (271) | \$ | $(6,074)$ | \$ | 11,390 | \$ | $(6,345)$ | \$ | 22,100 |
| Allowance for Credit Losses Data: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net charge-offs on non-covered loans and leases | \$ | 3,706 | \$ | 2,046 | \$ | 7,187 | \$ | 5,752 | \$ | 15,076 |
| Annualized net charge-offs to non-covered average loans and leases |  | 0.52\% |  | 0.29\% |  | 0.97\% |  | 0.40\% |  | 1.00\% |
| At Period End: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses | \$ | 72,061 | \$ | 74,767 | \$ | 96,427 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses | \$ | 78,031 | \$ | 81,737 | \$ | 102,552 |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses to non-covered loans and leases, net of unearned income |  | 2.74\% |  | 2.85\% |  | 3.52\% |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses to non-covered nonaccrual loans and leases |  | 147.9\% |  | 169.7\% |  | 157.0\% |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Provisions for credit losses are charged to earnings as and when needed for both on and off balance sheet credit exposures. We have a provision for credit losses on our non-covered loans and leases and a provision for credit losses on our covered loans. The provision for credit losses on our non-covered loans and leases is based on our allowance methodology and is an expense, or contra-expense, that, in our judgment, is required to maintain the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments. Our allowance methodology reflects net charge-offs, the levels and trends of nonaccrual and classified loans and leases, and the migration of loans and leases into various risk classifications. The provision for credit losses on our covered loans reflects decreases in expected cash flows on covered loans compared to those previously estimated.

The Company recorded a negative provision for credit losses of $\$ 271,000$ in the second quarter of 2012, compared to a negative provision for credit losses of $\$ 6.1$ million for the first quarter of 2012 and $\$ 11.4$ million for the second quarter of 2011. The provision related to non-covered loans was zero for the second quarter of 2012; this compares to a $\$ 10.0$ million negative provision for the first quarter of 2012 and a $\$ 5.5$ million provision for the second quarter of 2011. Net non-covered loan charge-offs were $\$ 3.7$ million for the second quarter of 2012; this compares to $\$ 2.0$ million for the first quarter of 2012 and $\$ 7.2$ million for the second quarter of 2011.

The allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans was $\$ 78.0$ million as of June 30, 2012 and represented $2.74 \%$ of the non-covered loan balances at that date. This compares to an allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans of $\$ 81.7$ million, or $2.85 \%$ of non-covered loans, as of March 31, 2012 and an allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans of $\$ 102.6$ million, or $3.52 \%$ of non-covered loans, as of June 30 , 2011.

During the second quarter of 2012, we recorded a $\$ 271,000$ negative provision for credit losses on the covered loan portfolio based on a current analysis of covered loans, which reflected an increase in actual and expected cash flows from previous estimates. The provisions for credit losses on covered loans for the first quarter of 2012 and second quarter of 2011 were $\$ 3.9$ million and $\$ 5.9$ million, respectively. The FDIC absorbs $80 \%$ of losses on covered loans under the terms of our loss-sharing agreements.

We made a negative provision for credit losses totaling $\$ 6.3$ million during the first six months of 2012 compared to a provision of $\$ 22.1$ million for the same period last year. The Company recorded a negative provision for non-covered loans of $\$ 10.0$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to a provision of $\$ 13.3$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011. Net non-covered charge-offs were $\$ 5.8$ million during the first six months of 2012 compared to $\$ 15.1$ million for the same period last year. The provision for covered loans was $\$ 3.7$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 8.8$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2011.

Increased provisions for credit losses may be required in the future based on loan and unfunded commitment growth, the effect that changes in economic conditions, such as inflation, unemployment, market interest rate levels, and real estate values, may have on the ability of our borrowers to repay their loans, and other negative conditions specific to our borrowers' businesses. See further discussion in "Balance Sheet Analysis Allowance for Credit Losses on Non-Covered Loans" and "Balance Sheet Analysis Allowance for Credit Losses on Covered Loans" contained herein.
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## Noninterest Income

The following table summarizes noninterest income by category for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012, \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ | 3,328 |  |  | \$ | 3,353 | \$ | 3,400 | \$ | 6,681 | \$ | 6,958 |
| Other commissions and fees |  | 2,095 |  | 1,883 |  | 1,980 |  | 3,978 |  | 3,700 |
| Gain on sale of loans and leases |  | 403 |  | 990 |  |  |  | 1,393 |  |  |
| Other-than-temporary impairment loss on covered security |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,115)$ |  |  |
| Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance |  | 295 |  | 365 |  | 368 |  | 660 |  | 747 |
| FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net |  | (102) |  | $(3,579)$ |  | 5,316 |  | $(3,681)$ |  | 4,146 |
| Other income |  | (33) |  | 250 |  | 176 |  | 217 |  | 478 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | 4,871 | \$ | 3,262 | \$ | 11,240 | \$ | 8,133 | \$ | 16,029 |

The following table presents the details of FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2012 |  |  |  | 2011 |  |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |
| FDIC Loss Sharing Income, Net: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gain (loss) on FDIC loss sharing asset ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 575 |  |  | \$ | (867) | \$ | 8,883 | \$ | (292) | \$ | 12,817 |
| Net amortization |  | $(1,917)$ |  | $(2,513)$ |  | $(1,248)$ |  | $(4,430)$ |  | $(1,765)$ |
| Loan recoveries shared with FDIC |  | $(1,246)$ |  | (839) |  | $(3,242)$ |  | $(2,085)$ |  | $(5,513)$ |
| Net reimbursement from FDIC for covered OREO write-downs and sales |  | 1,589 |  | 634 |  | 896 |  | 2,223 |  | $(1,426)$ |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses on covered security |  | 892 |  |  |  |  |  | 892 |  |  |
| Other |  | 5 |  | 6 |  | 27 |  | 11 |  | 33 |
| Total FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net | \$ | (102) | \$ | $(3,579)$ | \$ | 5,316 | \$ | $(3,681)$ | \$ | 4,146 |

[^8]Second Quarter of 2012 Compared to First Quarter of 2012 and Second Quarter of 2011

Noninterest income for the second quarter of 2012 totaled $\$ 4.9$ million compared to $\$ 3.3$ million for the first quarter of 2012 and $\$ 11.2$ million for the second quarter of 2011. The $\$ 1.6$ million increase for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the prior quarter was due to lower net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 3.5$ million, offset partially by a $\$ 1.1$ million OTTI loss on one covered private label collateralized mortgage obligation ("CMO") and a $\$ 587,000$ decline in gain on sale of leases. All of the OTTI loss was credit-related. The second quarter includes net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 102,000$ compared to first quarter net FDIC loss sharing expense of $\$ 3.6$ million; such change was due mostly to higher gains on the FDIC loss sharing asset, higher covered OREO write-downs, and the OTTI loss on a covered private label CMO security. After the $80 \%$ loss share on the covered private label CMO, our share of the loss was $\$ 223,000$. Other noninterest income includes a $\$ 160,000$ loss on sale of a former branch office building in Arizona.
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The $\$ 6.4$ million decline in noninterest income for the second quarter of 2012 compared to the same period last year was due primarily to a $\$ 5.4$ million decrease in net FDIC loss sharing income and a $\$ 1.1$ million OTTI loss on the covered security recorded in the second quarter of 2012. The decrease in net FDIC loss sharing income reflects a lower provision for credit losses on covered loans and higher amortization of the FDIC loss sharing asset, offset partially by lower loan recoveries shared with the FDIC, higher covered OREO write-downs, and the OTTI loss on the covered security.

Six Months of 2012 Compared to Six Months of 2011

Noninterest income for the six months ended June 30, 2012 totaled $\$ 8.1$ million compared to $\$ 16.0$ million for the same period last year. This $\$ 7.9$ million reduction was attributable primarily to a decrease in net FDIC loss sharing income of $\$ 7.8$ million and a $\$ 1.1$ million OTTI loss on one covered private label CMO, offset partially by a $\$ 1.4$ million gain on sale of leases attributable to the operations of Equipment Finance. FDIC loss sharing income (expense), net, decreased due to lower net write-downs on covered assets and higher amortization of the FDIC loss sharing asset.

## Noninterest Expense

The following table summarizes noninterest expense by category for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2012 | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Compensation | \$ | 23,699 |  |  | \$ | 24,187 | \$ | 21,717 | \$ | 47,886 | \$ | 43,646 |
| Occupancy |  | 7,088 |  | 7,288 |  |  |  | 7,142 |  | 14,376 |  | 14,125 |
| Data processing |  | 2,258 |  | 2,280 |  | 2,129 |  | 4,538 |  | 4,604 |
| Other professional services |  | 2,378 |  | 1,770 |  | 2,505 |  | 4,148 |  | 4,801 |
| Business development |  | 581 |  | 638 |  | 595 |  | 1,219 |  | 1,164 |
| Communications |  | 626 |  | 608 |  | 834 |  | 1,234 |  | 1,693 |
| Insurance and assessments |  | 1,323 |  | 1,293 |  | 1,603 |  | 2,616 |  | 3,940 |
| Non-covered other real estate owned, net |  | 130 |  | 1,821 |  | 2,300 |  | 1,951 |  | 3,003 |
| Covered other real estate owned expense (income), net |  | 2,130 |  | 822 |  | 1,205 |  | 2,952 |  | $(1,373)$ |
| Intangible asset amortization |  | 1,737 |  | 1,735 |  | 2,308 |  | 3,472 |  | 4,615 |
| Acquisition costs |  | 871 |  | 25 |  |  |  | 896 |  |  |
| Debt termination |  |  |  | 22,598 |  |  |  | 22,598 |  |  |
| Other expense |  | 4,764 |  | 3,830 |  | 4,200 |  | 8,594 |  | 7,719 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ | 47,585 | \$ | 68,895 | \$ | 46,538 | \$ | 116,480 | \$ | 87,937 |
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The following tables present the components of OREO expense, net for the periods indicated

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ch } 31, \\ & 112 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | 2011 |  |
| Non-Covered OREO Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for losses | \$ | 101 | \$ | 752 | \$ | 1,897 | \$ | 853 | \$ | 2,279 |
| Maintenance costs |  | 357 |  | 1,027 |  | 400 |  | 1,384 |  | 873 |
| (Gain) loss on sale |  | (328) |  | 42 |  | 3 |  | (286) |  | (149) |
| Total non-covered OREO expense, net | \$ | 130 | \$ | 1,821 | \$ | 2,300 | \$ | 1,951 | \$ | 3,003 |
| Covered OREO Expense: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Provision for losses | \$ | 2,704 | \$ | 2,229 | \$ | 1,565 | \$ | 4,933 | \$ | 2,455 |
| Maintenance costs |  | 143 |  | 69 |  | 86 |  | 212 |  | 410 |
| Gain on sale |  | (717) |  | $(1,476)$ |  | (446) |  | $(2,193)$ |  | $(4,238)$ |
| Total covered OREO expense, net | \$ | 2,130 | \$ | 822 | \$ | 1,205 | \$ | 2,952 | \$ | $(1,373)$ |

## Second Quarter of 2012 Compared to First Quarter of 2012

Noninterest expense decreased $\$ 21.3$ million to $\$ 47.6$ million during the second quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 68.9$ million for the first quarter of 2012. The decrease was due mostly to $\$ 22.6$ million in debt termination expense incurred in the first quarter for the early repayments of FHLB advances and trust preferred securities; there was no such expense during the second quarter. Excluding the debt termination expense, noninterest expense increased $\$ 1.3$ million, of which $\$ 1.2$ million relates to the Celtic acquisition that closed on April 3, 2012. Covered OREO expense increased $\$ 1.3$ million due mostly to lower gains on sales of $\$ 759,000$ and higher write-downs of $\$ 475,000$. Other expense includes a $\$ 595,000$ lawsuit settlement charge; there is no similar expense in the other periods presented. Acquisition costs increased $\$ 846,000$ and relate to due diligence costs, success fees and other professional fees for the Celtic and American Perspective Bank acquisitions. Other professional services increased $\$ 573,000$ due primarily to higher legal costs on loan workouts. These increases were offset by a decline in non-covered OREO expense of $\$ 1.7$ million due to lower maintenance costs of $\$ 670,000$, lower write-downs of $\$ 651,000$, and higher gains on sales of \$370,000.

Noninterest expense includes the following non-cash items: (a) amortization of time-based restricted stock, which is included in compensation, and (b) intangible asset amortization. Amortization of restricted stock totaled $\$ 1.3$ million, $\$ 1.6$ million, and $\$ 2.1$ million for the second quarter of 2012, first quarter of 2012, and second quarter of 2011, respectively. Intangible asset amortization totaled $\$ 1.7$ million, $\$ 1.7$ million, and $\$ 2.3$ million for the second quarter of 2012, first quarter of 2012 , and second quarter of 2011, respectively.

## Second Quarter of 2012 Compared to Second Quarter of 2011

Noninterest expense increased $\$ 1.1$ million to $\$ 47.6$ million for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $\$ 46.5$ million for the same period of 2011, of which $\$ 3.6$ million related to the Celtic and EQF acquisitions in 2012. Excluding the Celtic and EQF overhead costs and other acquisition costs, noninterest expense declined $\$ 3.5$ million. This decline was due to lower non-covered OREO expense of $\$ 2.2$ million, intangible asset amortization of $\$ 705,000$, compensation expense of $\$ 579,000$, and insurance and assessments of $\$ 290,000$. Non-covered OREO expense declined due mostly to lower write-downs of $\$ 1.8$ million and higher gains on sales of $\$ 331,000$. Intangible asset amortization declined due to certain intangibles being fully amortized. Compensation cost declined due to lower incentive costs, including restricted stock and other incentives, and higher deferred loan origination
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costs. The decrease in insurance and assessments resulted primarily from the revised deposit insurance assessment formula. These factors were offset partially by a $\$ 925,000$ increase in covered OREO expense due mostly to higher write-downs of $\$ 1.1$ million offset partially by higher gains on sales of $\$ 271,000$.

## Six Months of 2012 Compared to Six Months of 2011

Noninterest expense increased $\$ 28.6$ million to $\$ 116.5$ million during the six months ended June 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 87.9$ million for the same period last year. The increase was due mostly to $\$ 22.6$ million in debt termination expense incurred in the first quarter of 2012 for the early repayments of FHLB advances and trust preferred securities. No such expense was incurred in the prior year period. Excluding the debt termination expense, noninterest expense increased $\$ 6.0$ million, due entirely to the Celtic and EQF acquisitions in 2012. Excluding debt termination expense, the Celtic and EQF overhead costs and other acquisition costs, noninterest expense declined $\$ 858,000$. Covered OREO expense increased $\$ 4.3$ million due mostly to higher write-downs of $\$ 2.5$ million and lower gains on sales of $\$ 2.0$ million. The majority of the other expense categories declined. Insurance and assessments decreased $\$ 1.3$ million, non-covered OREO expense decreased $\$ 1.1$ million and CDI amortization decreased $\$ 1.3$ million. The decrease in insurance and assessments resulted primarily from the revised deposit insurance assessment formula. The decrease in non-covered OREO expense was due to lower write-downs of $\$ 1.4$ million, offset partially by higher maintenance costs of $\$ 511,000$. The decrease in CDI amortization relates to certain intangibles being fully amortized.

Amortization of restricted stock totaled $\$ 2.9$ million and $\$ 4.1$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Intangible asset amortization totaled $\$ 3.5$ million and $\$ 4.6$ million for the same year-to-date periods, respectively.

## Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the second quarter of 2012 was $40.1 \%$ compared to $35.2 \%$ for the first quarter of 2012 and $41.6 \%$ in the second quarter of 2011. The effective tax rates for the six months ended June 30,2012 and 2011 were $38.9 \%$ and $41.8 \%$, respectively. The lower rates in the first quarter of 2012 and first six months of 2012 resulted from a higher proportion of tax credits and tax exempt income to pre-tax income. The Company operates primarily in the Federal and California jurisdictions and the blended statutory tax rate for Federal and California is $42 \%$.

## Business Segments

PacWest Bancorp is a bank holding company with the principal business to serve as the holding company for our banking subsidiary, Pacific Western Bank. Pacific Western Bank is a full service commercial bank offering a broad range of banking products and services, including equipment leasing and asset-based commercial loans. At June 30, 2012 the Company's reportable segments consist of "Banking", "Asset Financing", and "Other". Other consists of the PacWest Bancorp holding company and other elimination and reconciliation entries.

The Bank's asset-based lending products are offered primarily through 3 business units: (1) First Community Financial ("FCF"), a division of the Bank, based in Phoenix, Arizona; (2) BFI Business Finance ("BFI"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank, based in San Jose, California; and (3) Celtic Capital Corporation ("Celtic"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Bank acquired on April 3, 2012, based in Santa Monica, California. The Bank's leasing products are offered through Pacific Western Equipment Finance ("EQF"), a division of the Bank acquired on January 3, 2012, based in Midvale, Utah. The operations of these divisions and subsidiaries represent the Company's "Asset Financing" segment.
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With the acquisitions of EQF in January 2012 and Celtic in April 2012, we expanded our asset-based lending operations, both in terms of size and product diversification by adding equipment leasing, and determined that our asset financing operations met the threshold to be a reportable segment.

All prior period balances have been reclassified to reflect the change in structure.

The accounting policies of the reported segments are the same as those of the Company described in Note 1, "Nature of Operations and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies." Transactions between segments consist primarily of borrowed funds. Intersegment borrowing transactions that resulted in intersegment profits were eliminated for reporting consolidated results of operations. The Company allocated certain centrally provided services to the operating segments based upon estimated usage of those services.

The following tables present information regarding our business segments as of and for the periods indicated:

|  | Banking |  |  | June 30 Asset nancing <br> (In thou | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } 2012 \\ & \text { Asset } \end{aligned}$ | Other <br> s) | Consolidated Company |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Non-covered loans and leases, net of unearned income | \$ | 2,461,803 | \$ | 382,488 | \$ |  | \$ | 2,844,291 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses |  | $(69,296)$ |  | $(2,765)$ |  |  |  | $(72,061)$ |
| Non-covered loans and leases, net |  | 2,392,507 |  | 379,723 |  |  |  | 2,772,230 |
| Covered loans, net |  | 608,949 |  |  |  |  |  | 608,949 |
| Total loans and leases, net | \$ | 3,001,456 | \$ | 379,723 | \$ |  | \$ | 3,381,179 |
| Goodwill and other intangibles ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 53,245 | \$ | 25,706 | \$ |  | \$ | 78,951 |
| Total assets | \$ | 4,876,271 | \$ | 428,899 | \$ | 16,452 | \$ | 5,321,622 |
| Total deposits | \$ | 4,604,387 | \$ |  | \$ | $(13,058)$ | \$ | 4,591,329 |

(1)

Other intangibles include only core deposit and customer relationship intangibles. Non-compete agreements, tradenames, and favorable lease rights intangibles are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.

(1)

Other intangibles include only core deposit and customer relationship intangibles. Tradenames and favorable lease rights intangibles are included in other assets on the consolidated balance sheets.
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\left.|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Asset |  |  |  |  |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |
| Company |  |  |  |  |$\right)$ Banking \(\left.\begin{array}{l}Financing <br>

(In thousands)\end{array}\right)\)
(1) The Asset Financing segment includes $\$ 348,000$ for amortization of non-compete agreements and tradenames.

\left.|  | Three Months Ended June 30, 2011 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consolidated |  |  |  |  |
| Company |  |  |  |  |$\right)$

The Asset Financing segment includes $\$ 24,000$ for amortization of non-compete agreements.
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(1)

The Asset Financing segment includes $\$ 644,000$ for amortization of non-compete agreements and tradenames.


The Asset Financing segment includes $\$ 47,000$ for amortization of non-compete agreements.
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## Second Quarter 2012 Compared to Second Quarter of 2011

Net earnings for the Banking segment increased $\$ 612,000$ for the second quarter of 2012 to $\$ 14.5$ million, compared to $\$ 13.9$ million. The increase in net earnings was due mostly to an $\$ 11.7$ million ( $\$ 6.8$ million after tax) decline in provision for credit losses and a $\$ 1.7$ million ( $\$ 974,000$ after tax) decrease in noninterest expense, offset by a decrease in net interest income of $\$ 6.4$ million ( $\$ 3.7$ million after tax), a decrease in FDIC loss sharing income of $\$ 5.4$ million ( $\$ 3.1$ million after tax) , and an OTTI loss on a covered security of $\$ 1.1$ million ( $\$ 647,000$ after tax). The second quarter 2012 net interest income for the Banking segment deceased due to lower average interest-earning assets and a lower yield on such assets. Average interest-earning assets declined $\$ 248.3$ million due to lower average loans. The yield on average interest-earning assets was $5.48 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $6.07 \%$ for the same quarter last year. The net interest margin attributed to the Banking segment was $5.24 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $5.48 \%$ for the same quarter last year. The decrease in noninterest expense is due mostly to lower non-covered OREO costs, higher acquisition costs and higher other costs. Other costs in the second quarter of 2012 include a legal settlement of $\$ 595,000$; there is no similar amount in the 2011 period.

For further information on the Banking segment regarding results of operations, loans, credit quality, deposits and liquidity, see the sections titled "Results of Operations" and "Balance Sheet Analysis" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Net earnings for the Asset Financing segment increased $\$ 1.4$ million for the second quarter of 2012 to $\$ 2.3$ million, compared to $\$ 831,000$ for the second quarter of 2011. The 2012 EQF and Celtic acquisitions accounted for $\$ 1.1$ million of this increase. The remaining increase in net earnings was mainly due to fully amortized intangibles and lower compensation expense in 2012. The second quarter 2012 net interest income for the Asset Financing segment increased $\$ 5.7$ million, to $\$ 10.0$ million, compared to $\$ 4.3$ million for the same period in 2011. The EQF and Celtic acquisitions accounted for $\$ 5.5$ million of this increase, as average earning assets increased $\$ 217.9$ million to $\$ 365.6$ million in the second quarter of 2012 from $\$ 147.7$ million for the second quarter of 2011 . The yield on average earning assets was $11.93 \%$ for the second quarter of 2012 compared to $12.47 \%$ for the same quarter last year. Noninterest income increased $\$ 536,000$, of which $\$ 403,000$ represented gain on sale of leases; EQF occasionally sells newly originated leases that do not meet its credit standards. Noninterest expense for the Asset Financing segment increased $\$ 3.6$ million. EQF and Celtic combined added $\$ 4.0$ million of noninterest expense, while compensation and intangible asset amortization in the other financing units decreased.

The net loss for the Other segment decreased $\$ 660,000$ for the second quarter of 2012 as compared to second quarter 2011. Such decrease was the result of reduced interest expense from the pay down of subordinated debentures, as well as reduced compensation and other noninterest expense. The Other segment consists principally of holding company operations which result in expenses principally for compensation, facilities, professional services and interest on subordinated debentures.

## Six Months of 2012 Compared to Six Months of 2011

Net earnings for the Banking segment decreased $\$ 8.1$ million for the first six months of 2012 to $\$ 17.7$ million, compared to $\$ 25.8$ million. The decrease in net earnings was due mostly to a $\$ 24.2$ million ( $\$ 14.0$ million after tax) debt termination expense recognized in 2012 with no similar charge in 2011, lower net interest income of $\$ 8.5$ million ( $\$ 5.0$ million after tax), lower FDIC loss sharing income of $\$ 7.8$ million ( $\$ 4.5$ million after tax), and higher covered OREO expense of $\$ 4.3$ million ( $\$ 2.5$ million after tax), offset by lower provision for credit losses on covered and non-covered loans of $\$ 28.5$ million ( $\$ 16.5$ million after tax).
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For further information on the banking segment regarding results of operations, loans, credit quality, deposits and liquidity, see the sections titled "Results of Operations" and "Balance Sheet Analysis" in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Net earnings for the Asset Financing segment increased $\$ 3.2$ million for the first six months of 2012 compared to 2011. The 2012 EQF and Celtic acquisitions account for $\$ 2.6$ million of this increase. The remaining increase in net earnings was due in part to increased interest income and lower intangible asset amortization and compensation expense in 2012. Net interest income for the Asset Financing segment increased $\$ 9.8$ million, of which $\$ 9.4$ million related to the EQF and Celtic acquisitions. Noninterest income increased $\$ 1.5$ million, all of which related to EQF and Celtic, including a $\$ 1.4$ million gain on sale of leases. Total noninterest expense for the Asset Financing segment increased by $\$ 5.6$ million. EQF and Celtic combined added $\$ 6.3$ million of noninterest expense, while compensation and intangible asset amortization in the other financing units decreased.

The net loss for the Other segment decreased $\$ 2.2$ million for the first half of 2012 as compared to the same period in 2011. This decrease was the result of reduced interest expense of $\$ 227,000$ after taxes from the pay down of subordinated debentures, gain on debt termination of $\$ 894,000$ after taxes, and lower compensation and other professional services in 2012.

## Balance Sheet Analysis

## Total Gross Loans and Leases

The following table presents the balance of our total gross loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2012 |  |  | March 31, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amount Total(Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  | Amount | \% of Total <br> ollars in th | hous | Amount <br> sands) | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 140,537 | 4\% | \$ | 146,422 | 4\% | \$ | 147,346 | 4\% |
| SBA 504 |  | 56,725 | 2\% |  | 57,560 | 2\% |  | 58,377 | 2\% |
| Other |  | 2,282,512 | 65\% |  | 2,391,723 | 66\% |  | 2,513,099 | 69\% |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 2,479,774 | 71\% |  | 2,595,705 | 72\% |  | 2,718,822 | 75\% |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 38,911 | 1\% |  | 41,367 | 1\% |  | 39,190 | 1\% |
| Commercial |  | 122,321 | 3\% |  | 118,128 | 3\% |  | 120,787 | 3\% |
| Total real estate construction |  | 161,232 | 4\% |  | 159,495 | 4\% |  | 159,977 | 4\% |
| Total real estate loans |  | 2,641,006 | 75\% |  | 2,755,200 | 76\% |  | 2,878,799 | 79\% |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 389,086 | 11\% |  | 442,145 | 12\% |  | 438,828 | 12\% |
| Unsecured |  | 76,769 | 2\% |  | 69,284 | 2\% |  | 79,739 | 2\% |
| Asset-based |  | 228,079 | 6\% |  | 147,181 | 4\% |  | 149,987 | 4\% |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 26,064 | 1\% |  | 27,721 | 1\% |  | 28,995 | 1\% |
| Total commercial |  | 719,998 | 20\% |  | 686,331 | 19\% |  | 697,549 | 19\% |
| Leases |  | 153,793 | 4\% |  | 153,845 | 4\% |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 17,810 | 1\% |  | 16,511 |  |  | 24,446 | 1\% |
| Foreign |  | 17,017 |  |  | 18,752 | 1\% |  | 20,932 | 1\% |
| Total gross loans and leases | \$ | 3,549,624 | 100\% | \$ | 3,630,639 | 100\% | \$ | 3,621,726 | 100\% |
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The following table presents the composition of our total real estate mortgage loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

| Loan Category | June 30, 2012 |  |  | March 31, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | \% of <br> Total |  | Amount <br> Dollars in tho | $\%$ of Total <br> sands) |  | Amount | $\% \text { of }$ Total |
| Commercial real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial/warehouse | \$ | 371,960 | 15.0\% | \$ | 383,975 | 14.8\% | \$ | 401,249 | 14.8\% |
| Retail |  | 353,148 | 14.2\% |  | 376,065 | 14.5\% |  | 401,166 | 14.8\% |
| Office buildings |  | 326,484 | 13.2\% |  | 362,468 | 14.0\% |  | 366,655 | 13.4\% |
| Owner-occupied |  | 224,502 | 9.1\% |  | 234,718 | 9.1\% |  | 251,144 | 9.2\% |
| Hotel |  | 140,537 | 5.7\% |  | 146,422 | 5.7\% |  | 147,346 | 5.4\% |
| Healthcare |  | 131,964 | 5.3\% |  | 132,850 | 5.1\% |  | 148,476 | 5.5\% |
| Mixed use |  | 55,866 | 2.3\% |  | 60,186 | 2.3\% |  | 61,588 | 2.3\% |
| Gas station |  | 36,269 | 1.5\% |  | 36,517 | 1.4\% |  | 39,716 | 1.5\% |
| Self storage |  | 72,789 | 2.9\% |  | 75,565 | 2.9\% |  | 75,941 | 2.8\% |
| Restaurant |  | 18,559 | 0.7\% |  | 24,162 | 0.9\% |  | 25,081 | 0.9\% |
| Land acquisition/development |  | 22,051 | 0.9\% |  | 13,953 | 0.5\% |  | 14,015 | 0.5\% |
| Unimproved land |  | 13,250 | 0.5\% |  | 13,880 | 0.5\% |  | 3,121 | 0.1\% |
| Other |  | 204,647 | 8.3\% |  | 207,860 | 8.0\% |  | 221,391 | 8.1\% |
| Total commercial real estate mortgage |  | 1,972,026 | 79.6\% |  | 2,068,621 | 79.7\% |  | 2,156,889 | 79.3\% |
| Residential real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-family |  | 309,345 | 12.5\% |  | 329,128 | 12.7\% |  | 344,499 | 12.7\% |
| Single family owner-occupied |  | 124,695 | 5.0\% |  | 121,094 | 4.7\% |  | 127,457 | 4.7\% |
| Single family nonowner-occupied |  | 32,773 | 1.3\% |  | 34,687 | 1.3\% |  | 44,965 | 1.7\% |
| Mixed use |  | 2,879 | 0.1\% |  | 2,900 | 0.1\% |  | 2,918 | 0.1\% |
| HELOCs |  | 38,056 | 1.5\% |  | 39,275 | 1.5\% |  | 42,094 | 1.5\% |
| Total residential real estate mortgage |  | 507,748 | 20.4\% |  | 527,084 | 20.3\% |  | 561,933 | 20.7\% |
| Total gross real estate mortgage loans | \$ | 2,479,774 | 100.0\% | \$ | 2,595,705 | 100.0\% | \$ | 2,718,822 | 100.0\% |
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The following table presents the balance of our total gross loans and leases by portfolio segment and class, showing the non-covered and covered components, at the date indicated:

|  | June 30, 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Loans |  |  | Non-Covered Loans |  |  | Covered Loans |  |  |
|  |  | Amount | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |  | Amount <br> ollars in thou | \% of Total <br> nds) |  | Amount | \% of <br> Total |
| Real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospitality | \$ | 140,537 | 4\% | \$ | 137,621 | 5\% | \$ | 2,916 |  |
| SBA 504 |  | 56,725 | 2\% |  | 56,725 | $2 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Other |  | 2,282,512 | 65\% |  | 1,634,431 | 57\% |  | 648,081 | 93\% |
| Total real estate mortgage |  | 2,479,774 | 71\% |  | 1,828,777 | 64\% |  | 650,997 | 93\% |
| Real estate construction: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential |  | 38,911 | 1\% |  | 31,253 | 1\% |  | 7,658 | 1\% |
| Commercial |  | 122,321 | 3\% |  | 97,854 | 3\% |  | 24,467 | 3\% |
| Total real estate construction |  | 161,232 | 4\% |  | 129,107 | 4\% |  | 32,125 | 4\% |
| Total real estate loans |  | 2,641,006 | 75\% |  | 1,957,884 | 68\% |  | 683,122 | 97\% |
| Commercial: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Collateralized |  | 389,086 | 11\% |  | 370,857 | 13\% |  | 18,229 | $3 \%$ |
| Unsecured |  | 76,769 | 2\% |  | 76,044 | 3\% |  | 725 |  |
| Asset-based |  | 228,079 | 6\% |  | 228,079 | 8\% |  |  |  |
| SBA 7(a) |  | 26,064 | 1\% |  | 26,064 | 1\% |  |  |  |
| Total commercial |  | 719,998 | 20\% |  | 701,044 | 25\% |  | 18,954 | $3 \%$ |
| Leases |  | 153,793 | 4\% |  | 153,793 | 5\% |  |  |  |
| Consumer |  | 17,810 | 1\% |  | 17,151 | 1\% |  | 659 |  |
| Foreign |  | 17,017 |  |  | 17,017 | 1\% |  |  |  |
| Total gross loans and leases | \$ | 3,549,624 | 100\% |  | 2,846,889 | 100\% |  | 702,735 | 100\% |
| Less: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unearned income |  |  |  |  | $(2,598)$ |  |  |  |  |
| Discount |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $(62,323)$ |  |
| Allowance |  |  |  |  | $(72,061)$ |  |  | $(31,463)$ |  |
| Total net loans and leases |  |  |  | \$ | 2,772,230 |  | \$ | 608,949 |  |
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## Non-Covered Loans and Leases

The following table presents the balance of our non-covered loans and leases by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:


With the acquisition of EQF on January 3, 2012, we added the class category of "leases." We are accounting for the leases in accordance with the accounting requirements for purchased non-impaired loans.

## Edgar Filing: PACWEST BANCORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

The following table presents the composition of our non-covered real estate mortgage loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

| Loan Category | June 30, 2012 |  |  | March 31, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | $\%$ of <br> Total |  | Amount <br> Dollars in tho | $\%$ of Total sands) |  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \text { \% of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |
| Commercial real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial/warehouse | \$ | 344,380 | 18.8\% | \$ | 352,033 | 18.6\% | \$ | 367,494 | 18.5\% |
| Retail |  | 253,201 | 13.8\% |  | 266,411 | 14.1\% |  | 286,691 | 14.5\% |
| Office buildings |  | 257,703 | 14.1\% |  | 288,105 | 15.2\% |  | 290,074 | 14.6\% |
| Owner-occupied |  | 204,179 | 11.2\% |  | 210,055 | 11.1\% |  | 226,307 | 11.4\% |
| Hotel |  | 137,621 | 7.5\% |  | 143,491 | 7.6\% |  | 144,402 | 7.3\% |
| Healthcare |  | 117,418 | 6.4\% |  | 117,440 | 6.2\% |  | 131,625 | 6.7\% |
| Mixed use |  | 48,915 | 2.7\% |  | 52,510 | 2.8\% |  | 53,855 | 2.7\% |
| Gas station |  | 30,328 | 1.7\% |  | 30,545 | 1.6\% |  | 33,715 | 1.7\% |
| Self storage |  | 19,602 | 1.1\% |  | 23,036 | 1.2\% |  | 23,148 | 1.2\% |
| Restaurant |  | 16,795 | 0.9\% |  | 21,670 | 1.1\% |  | 22,549 | 1.1\% |
| Land acquisition/development |  | 22,051 | 1.2\% |  | 13,953 | 0.7\% |  | 14,015 | 0.7\% |
| Unimproved land |  | 11,516 | 0.6\% |  | 12,137 | 0.6\% |  | 1,369 | 0.1\% |
| Other |  | 190,761 | 10.4\% |  | 193,920 | 10.2\% |  | 206,504 | 10.4\% |
| Total commercial real estate mortgage |  | 1,654,470 | 90.4\% |  | 1,725,306 | 91.0\% |  | 1,801,748 | 90.9\% |
| Residential real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-family |  | 93,586 | 5.1\% |  | 95,263 | 5.0\% |  | 93,866 | 4.7\% |
| Single family owner-occupied |  | 39,483 | 2.2\% |  | 33,749 | 1.8\% |  | 32,209 | 1.6\% |
| Single family nonowner-occupied |  | 8,862 | 0.5\% |  | 8,314 | 0.4\% |  | 19,341 | 1.0\% |
| HELOCs |  | 32,376 | 1.8\% |  | 33,420 | 1.8\% |  | 35,300 | 1.8\% |
| Total residential real estate mortgage |  | 174,307 | 9.6\% |  | 170,746 | 9.0\% |  | 180,716 | 9.1\% |
| Total gross non-covered real estate mortgage loans | \$ | 1,828,777 | 100.0\% | \$ | 1,896,052 | 100.0\% |  | 1,982,464 | 100.0\% |

The largest subset of the "Other" commercial real estate mortgage category is for fixed base operators at airports with a balance of $\$ 39.4$ million, or $20.6 \%$ of the total in "Other".
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## Covered Loans

The following table presents the composition of our covered loans as of the dates indicated:
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The following table presents our gross covered real estate mortgage loan portfolio as of the dates indicated:

| Loan Category | June 30, 2012 |  |  | March 31, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | mount | Total |  | mount <br> ollars in th | Total <br> sands) |  | mount | Total |
| Commercial real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Industrial/warehouse | \$ | 27,580 | 4.2\% | \$ | 31,942 | 4.6\% | \$ | 33,755 | 4.6\% |
| Retail |  | 99,947 | 15.4\% |  | 108,477 | 15.5\% |  | 113,289 | 15.4\% |
| Office buildings |  | 68,781 | 10.6\% |  | 75,540 | 10.8\% |  | 77,767 | 10.6\% |
| Owner-occupied |  | 20,323 | 3.1\% |  | 24,663 | 3.5\% |  | 24,837 | 3.4\% |
| Hotel |  | 2,916 | 0.4\% |  | 2,931 | 0.4\% |  | 2,944 | 0.4\% |
| Healthcare |  | 14,546 | 2.2\% |  | 15,410 | 2.2\% |  | 16,851 | 2.3\% |
| Mixed use |  | 6,951 | 1.1\% |  | 7,676 | 1.1\% |  | 7,733 | 1.1\% |
| Gas station |  | 5,941 | 0.9\% |  | 5,972 | 0.9\% |  | 6,001 | 0.8\% |
| Self storage |  | 53,187 | 8.2\% |  | 52,529 | 7.5\% |  | 52,793 | 7.2\% |
| Restaurant |  | 1,764 | 0.3\% |  | 2,492 | 0.4\% |  | 2,532 | 0.3\% |
| Unimproved land |  | 1,734 | 0.3\% |  | 1,743 | 0.2\% |  | 1,752 | 0.2\% |
| Other |  | 13,886 | 2.1\% |  | 13,940 | 2.0\% |  | 14,887 | 2.0\% |
| Total commercial real estate mortgage |  | 317,556 | 48.8\% |  | 343,315 | 49.1\% |  | 355,141 | 48.3\% |
| Residential real estate mortgage: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multi-family |  | 215,759 | 33.1\% |  | 233,865 | 33.4\% |  | 250,633 | 34.0\% |
| Single family owner-occupied |  | 85,212 | 13.1\% |  | 87,345 | 12.5\% |  | 95,248 | 12.9\% |
| Single family nonowner-occupied |  | 23,911 | 3.7\% |  | 26,373 | 3.8\% |  | 25,624 | 3.5\% |
| Mixed use |  | 2,879 | 0.4\% |  | 2,900 | 0.4\% |  | 2,918 | 0.4\% |
| HELOCs |  | 5,680 | 0.9\% |  | 5,855 | 0.8\% |  | 6,794 | 0.9\% |
| Total residential real estate mortgage |  | 333,441 | 51.2\% |  | 356,338 | 50.9\% |  | 381,217 | 51.7\% |
| Total gross covered real estate mortgage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| loans | \$ | 650,997 | 100.0\% | \$ | 699,653 | 100.0\% | \$ | 736,358 | 100.0\% |

The loans acquired in the Los Padres and Affinity acquisitions are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC and we will be reimbursed for a substantial portion of any future losses. Through June 30, 2012, gross losses for Los Padres covered assets totaled $\$ 52.8$ million and gross losses for Affinity covered assets totaled $\$ 152.3$ million. Of this total of $\$ 205.1$ million in losses, we have received payment from the FDIC of $\$ 158.2$ million, which represented $80 \%$ of our losses, and we expect to receive $\$ 5.9$ million for recently submitted claims.

Under the terms of the Los Padres loss sharing agreement, the FDIC will absorb $80 \%$ of losses and receive $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the covered assets. The Los Padres loss sharing provisions expire in the third quarters of 2015 and 2020 for non-single family and single family covered assets, respectively, while the related loss recovery provisions expire in the third quarters of 2018 and 2020, respectively.

Under the terms of the Affinity loss sharing agreement, the FDIC will (a) absorb $80 \%$ of losses and receive $80 \%$ of loss recoveries on the first $\$ 234$ million of losses on covered assets and (b) absorb $95 \%$ of losses and receive $95 \%$ of loss recoveries on covered assets exceeding $\$ 234$ million. The Affinity loss sharing provisions expire in the third quarters of 2014 and 2019 for non-single family covered assets and single family covered assets, respectively, while the related loss recovery provisions expire in the third quarters of 2017 and 2019, respectively.
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## Allowance for Credit Losses on Non-Covered Loans and Leases

The allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans and leases is the combination of the allowance for loan and lease losses and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments. The allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans and leases relates only to loans and leases which are not subject to loss sharing agreements with the FDIC. The allowance for loan and lease losses is reported as a reduction of outstanding loan and lease balances and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is included within other liabilities. Generally, as loans are funded, the amount of the commitment reserve applicable to such funded loans is transferred from the reserve for unfunded loan commitments to the allowance for loan and lease losses based on our allowance methodology. The following discussion is for non-covered loans and leases and the allowance for credit losses thereon. Refer to "Balance Sheet Analysis Allowance for Credit Losses on Covered Loans" for the policy on covered loans.

At June 30, 2012, the allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans and leases totaled $\$ 78.0$ million, a $\$ 3.7$ million decrease from the allowance at March 31, 2012, and was comprised of the allowance for loan and lease losses of $\$ 72.0$ million and the reserve for unfunded loan commitments of $\$ 6.0$ million. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company recorded $\$ 3.7$ million in net charge-offs and a zero provision for credit losses.

The allowance for loan and lease losses is maintained at a level deemed appropriate by management to adequately provide for known and inherent risks in the loan and lease portfolio and other extensions of credit at the balance sheet date. The allowance is based upon a continuing review of the portfolio, past loan loss experience, current economic conditions which may affect the borrowers' ability to pay, and the underlying collateral value of the loans. Loans and leases which are deemed to be uncollectible are charged off and deducted from the allowance. The provision for loan and lease losses and recoveries on loans and leases previously charged off are added to the allowance.

The methodology we use to estimate the amount of our allowance for credit losses is based on both objective and subjective criteria. While some criteria are formula driven, other criteria are subjective inputs included to capture environmental and general economic risk elements which may trigger losses in the loan and lease portfolios, and to account for the varying levels of credit quality in the loan and lease portfolios of the entities we have acquired that have not yet been captured in our objective loss factors.

Specifically, our allowance methodology contains three key elements: (i) amounts based on specific evaluations of impaired loans and leases; (ii) amounts of estimated losses on several pools of loans categorized by risk rating and loan type; and (iii) amounts for environmental and general economic factors that indicate probable losses were incurred but were not captured through the other elements of our allowance process. For loans and leases measured at fair value on the acquisition date, our allowance methodology captures deterioration in credit quality of such acquired assets experienced after the purchase date.

Impaired loans and leases are identified at each reporting date based on certain criteria and the majority of which are individually reviewed for impairment. Non-covered nonaccrual loans and leases with an unpaid principal balance over $\$ 250,000$ and all performing restructured loans are reviewed individually for the amount of impairment, if any. Non-covered nonaccrual loans and leases with an unpaid principal balance of $\$ 250,000$ or less are evaluated for impairment collectively. A loan or lease is considered impaired when it is probable that a creditor will be unable to collect all amounts due according to the original contractual terms of the agreement. We measure impairment of a loan based upon the fair value of the loan's collateral if the loan is collateral-dependent or the present value of cash flows, discounted at the loan's effective interest rate, if the loan is not collateral-dependent. The impairment amount on a collateral-dependent loan is charged-off to the allowance and the impairment amount on a loan that is not collateral-dependent is set up as a specific reserve. We measure impairment of a lease based upon the present value of the scheduled lease and lease residual cash
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flows, discounted at the lease's effective interest rate. Increased charge-offs or additions to specific reserves generally result in increased provisions for credit losses.

Our loan and lease portfolio, excluding impaired loans and leases which are evaluated individually, is categorized into several pools for purposes of determining allowance amounts by pool. The pools we currently evaluate are: commercial real estate construction, residential real estate construction, SBA real estate, hospitality real estate, real estate other, commercial collateralized, commercial unsecured, SBA commercial, consumer, foreign, asset-based and leasing. Within these loan pools, we then evaluate loans not adversely classified, which we refer to as "pass" credits, separately from adversely classified loans. The adversely classified loans are further grouped into three credit risk rating categories: "special mention," "substandard" and "doubtful," which we define as follows:

Special Mention: Loans and leases classified as special mention have a potential weakness that requires management's attention. If not addressed, these potential weaknesses may result in further deterioration in the borrower's ability to repay the loan.

Substandard: Loans and leases classified as substandard have a well-defined weakness or weaknesses that jeopardize the collection of the debt. They are characterized by the possibility that we will sustain some loss if the weaknesses are not corrected.

Doubtful: Loans and leases classified as doubtful have all the weaknesses as those classified as Substandard, with the additional trait that the weaknesses make collection or repayment in full highly questionable and improbable.

In addition, we may refer to the loans and leases classified as "substandard" and "doubtful" together as "criticized loans." For additional information on classified loans, see Note 5, Loans and Lease, in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) contained in "Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)."

The allowance amounts for "pass" rated loans and leases and those loans and leases adversely classified, which are not reviewed individually, are determined using historical loss rates developed through migration analysis. The migration analysis is updated quarterly based on historic losses and movement of loans between ratings. As a result of this migration analysis and its quarterly updating, the decreases we experienced in both charge-offs and adverse classifications generally resulted in lower loss factors.

Finally, in order to ensure our allowance methodology is incorporating recent trends and economic conditions, we apply environmental and general economic factors to our allowance methodology including: credit concentrations; delinquency trends; economic and business conditions; the quality of lending management and staff; lending policies and procedures; loss and recovery trends; nature and volume of the portfolio; nonaccrual and problem loan trends; usage trends of unfunded commitments; and other adjustments for items not covered by other factors.

Management believes that the allowance for loan and lease losses is adequate and appropriate for the known and inherent risks in our non-covered loan portfolio. In making its evaluation, management considers certain quantitative and qualitative factors including the Company's historical loss experience, the volume and type of lending conducted by the Company, the results of our credit review process, the levels of classified and criticized loans, the levels of impaired loans, including nonperforming loans and performing restructured loans, regulatory policies, general economic conditions, underlying collateral values, and other factors regarding collectibility and impairment. To the extent we experience, for example, increased levels of documentation deficiencies, adverse changes in collateral values, or negative changes in economic and business conditions which adversely affect our borrowers, our classified loans may increase. Higher levels of classified loans generally result in higher allowances for loan losses.
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We recognize that the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses is sensitive to the assigned credit risk ratings and inherent loss rates at any given point in time. Therefore, we perform sensitivity analyses to provide insight regarding the impact adverse changes in credit risk ratings may have on our allowance for loan losses. The sensitivity analyses have inherent limitations and are based on various assumptions as of a point in time and, accordingly, it is not necessarily representative of the impact loan risk rating changes may have on the allowance for loan losses.

At June 30, 2012, in the event that $1 \%$ of our non-covered loans and leases were downgraded one credit risk rating category for each category (e.g., $1 \%$ of the "pass" category moved to the "special mention" category, $1 \%$ of the "special mention" category moved to "substandard" category, and $1 \%$ of the "substandard" category moved to the "doubtful" category within our current allowance methodology), the allowance for credit losses would have increased by approximately $\$ 1.2$ million. In the event that $5 \%$ of our non-covered loans and leases were downgraded one credit risk category, the allowance for credit losses would increase by approximately $\$ 5.8$ million. Given current processes employed by the Company, management believes that the credit risk ratings and inherent loss rates currently assigned are appropriate. It is possible that others, given the same information, may at any point in time reach different conclusions that could be significant to the Company's financial statements. In addition, current credit risk ratings are subject to change as we continue to review loans within our portfolio and as our borrowers are impacted by economic trends within their market areas.

Although we have established an allowance for loan and lease losses that we consider adequate, there can be no assurance that the established allowance for loan and lease losses will be sufficient to offset losses on loans and leases in the future. Management also believes that the reserve for unfunded loan commitments is adequate. In making this determination, we use the same methodology for the reserve for unfunded loan commitments as we do for the allowance for loan and lease losses and consider the same quantitative and qualitative factors, as well as an estimate of the probability of advances of the commitments correlated to their credit risk rating.

The following table presents information regarding the allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans and leases as of the dates indicated:
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The following table presents the changes in our allowance for credit losses on non-covered loans and leases for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2012 | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for credit losses, beginning of period | \$ | 81,737 |  |  | \$ | 93,783 | \$ | 104,239 | \$ | 93,783 | \$ | 104,328 |
| Provision for credit losses |  |  |  | $(10,000)$ |  | 5,500 |  | $(10,000)$ |  | 13,300 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(3,706)$ |  | $(2,046)$ |  | $(7,187)$ |  | $(5,752)$ |  | $(15,076)$ |
| Allowance for credit losses, end of period | \$ | 78,031 | \$ | 81,737 | \$ | 102,552 | \$ | 78,031 | \$ | 102,552 |

The following table presents the changes in our allowance for loan and lease losses on non-covered loans and leases for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 2012 |  |  | 2011 |  |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses, beginning of period | \$ | 74,767 |  |  | \$ | 85,313 | \$ | 98,564 | \$ | 85,313 | \$ | 98,653 |
| Loans charged off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage |  | $(2,583)$ |  | $(2,190)$ |  | $(4,354)$ |  | $(4,773)$ |  | $(5,566)$ |
| Real estate construction |  |  |  |  |  | $(1,193)$ |  |  |  | $(5,838)$ |
| Commercial |  | $(1,352)$ |  | (871) |  | $(2,609)$ |  | $(2,223)$ |  | $(5,730)$ |
| Consumer |  | (34) |  | (199) |  | $(1,165)$ |  | (233) |  | $(1,325)$ |
| Total loans charged off |  | $(3,969)$ |  | $(3,260)$ |  | $(9,321)$ |  | $(7,229)$ |  | $(18,459)$ |
| Recoveries on loans charged off: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Real estate mortgage |  | 43 |  | 329 |  | 27 |  | 372 |  | 124 |
| Real estate construction |  | 14 |  | 10 |  | 896 |  | 24 |  | 988 |
| Commercial |  | 190 |  | 824 |  | 308 |  | 1,014 |  | 925 |
| Consumer |  | 16 |  | 31 |  | 890 |  | 47 |  | 1,301 |
| Foreign |  |  |  | 20 |  | 13 |  | 20 |  | 45 |
| Total recoveries on loans charged off |  | 263 |  | 1,214 |  | 2,134 |  | 1,477 |  | 3,383 |
| Net charge-offs |  | $(3,706)$ |  | $(2,046)$ |  | $(7,187)$ |  | $(5,752)$ |  | $(15,076)$ |
| Provision for loan and lease losses |  | 1,000 |  | $(8,500)$ |  | 5,050 |  | $(7,500)$ |  | 12,850 |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses, end of period | \$ | 72,061 | \$ | 74,767 | \$ | 96,427 | \$ | 72,061 | \$ | 96,427 |
| Ratios ${ }^{(1)}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to loans and leases, net (end of period) |  | 2.53\% |  | 2.61\% |  | 3.31\% |  | 2.53\% |  | 3.31\% |
| Allowance for loan and lease losses to nonaccrual loans and leases (end of period) |  | 136.57\% |  | 155.24\% |  | 147.67\% |  | 136.57\% |  | 147.67\% |
| Annualized net charge-offs to average loans and leases |  | 0.52\% |  | 0.29\% |  | 0.97\% |  | 0.40\% |  | 1.00\% |

(1) Ratios apply only to non-covered loans.
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The following table presents the changes in our reserve for unfunded loan commitments for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months Ended June 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30,$2011$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | 2012 | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Reserve for unfunded loan commitments, beginning of period | \$ | 6,970 |  |  | \$ | 8,470 | \$ | 5,675 | \$ | 8,470 | \$ | 5,675 |
| Provision |  | $(1,000)$ |  | $(1,500)$ |  |  |  | 450 |  | $(2,500)$ |  | 450 |
| Reserve for unfunded loan commitments, end of period | \$ | 5,970 | \$ | 6,970 | \$ | 6,125 | \$ | 5,970 | \$ | 6,125 |

## Allowance for Credit Losses on Covered Loans

The loans acquired in the Los Padres and Affinity acquisitions are covered by loss sharing agreements with the FDIC and we will be reimbursed for a substantial portion of any future losses as described in " Covered Loans."

We evaluated the acquired covered loans and elected to account for them under Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") Subtopic 310-30, "Loans and Debt Securities Acquired with Deteriorated Credit Quality" ("ASC 310-30"), which we refer to as acquired impaired loan accounting.

The covered loans are subject to our internal and external credit review. If deterioration in the expected cash flows results in a reserve requirement, a provision for credit losses is charged to earnings without regard to the FDIC loss sharing agreement. The portion of the estimated loss reimbursable from the FDIC is recorded in FDIC loss sharing income and increases the FDIC loss sharing asset. For acquired impaired loans, the allowance for loan losses is measured at the end of each financial reporting period based on expected cash flows. Decreases (or increases) in the amount and changes in the timing of expected cash flows on the acquired impaired loans as of the financial reporting date compared to those previously estimated are usually recognized by recording a provision (or a negative provision) for credit losses on such covered loans.

Certain home equity lines of credit acquired in the Los Padres acquisition are not eligible for acquired impaired loan accounting and are therefore accounted for as performing acquired loans. Such acquired loans were initially recorded at a discount and are subject to our quarterly allowance for credit losses methodology. We record a provision for such loan losses only when the reserve requirement exceeds any remaining credit discount on these covered loans.

The following table presents the changes in our allowance for credit losses on covered loans for the periods indicated:

|  | Three Months Ended |  |  |  |  |  | Six Months EndedJune 30, |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { une 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { rech 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | n t | me 30, 2011 ousands) |  |  | $\mathbf{3 0},$ | 2011 |
| Allowance for credit losses on covered loans and leases, beginning of period | \$ | 35,810 | \$ | 31,275 | \$ | 29,438 | \$ | 31,275 | \$ | 33,264 |
| Provision |  | (271) |  | 3,926 |  | 5,890 |  | 3,655 |  | 8,800 |
| Recoveries (charge-offs), net |  | $(4,076)$ |  | 609 |  | $(2,440)$ |  | $(3,467)$ |  | $(9,176)$ |
| Allowance for credit losses on covered loans and leases, end of period | \$ | 31,463 | \$ | 35,810 | \$ | 32,888 | \$ | 31,463 | \$ | 32,888 |
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## Non-Covered Nonperforming Assets and Performing Restructured Loans

The following table presents non-covered nonperforming assets and performing restructured loans information as of the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 52,763 | \$ | 48,162 | \$ | 58,260 | \$ | 65,300 |
| Other real estate owned ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 41,742 |  | 46,206 |  | 48,412 |  | 52,194 |
| Total nonperforming assets | \$ | 94,505 | \$ | 94,368 | \$ | 106,672 | \$ | 117,494 |
| Performing restructured loans ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$ | 103,815 | \$ | 110,062 | \$ | 116,791 | \$ | 82,487 |
| Nonaccrual loans and leases to loans and leases, net of unearned income ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 1.86\% |  | 1.68\% |  | 2.07\% |  | 2.24\% |
| Nonperforming assets ratio ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ |  | 3.27\% |  | 3.24\% |  | 3.73\% |  | 3.96\% |

(1)

Excludes covered loans and covered OREO from the Los Padres and Affinity acquisitions.
(2)

Nonperforming assets ratio is calculated as nonperforming assets divided by the sum of total loans and leases and OREO.

Non-covered nonperforming assets include non-covered nonaccrual loans and leases and non-covered OREO and totaled $\$ 94.5$ million at June 30, 2012 compared to $\$ 94.4$ million at March 31, 2012. The $\$ 100,000$ growth in non-covered nonperforming assets is due to an increase of $\$ 4.6$ million in nonaccrual loans and leases and a $\$ 4.5$ million decrease in OREO. The non-covered nonperforming assets ratio increased to $3.27 \%$ at June 30, 2012 from 3.24\% at March 31, 2012.

## Nonaccrual Loans and Leases

The $\$ 4.6$ million increase in non-covered nonaccrual loans and leases during the second quarter was attributable to (a) additions of $\$ 10.7$ million, (b) foreclosures of $\$ 684,000$, (c) other reductions, payoffs and returns to accrual status of $\$ 1.8$ million, and (d) charge-offs of $\$ 3.6$ million. The additions include a $\$ 7.2$ million loan secured by a hotel located in Riverside County of which $\$ 1.0$ million was subsequently charged off; the borrower repaid the balance of this loan on July 25, 2012.
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The following table presents our non-covered nonaccrual loans and leases and accruing loans and leases past due between 30 and 89 days by portfolio segment and class as of the dates indicated:

(1)

Excludes covered loans acquired from the Los Padres and Affinity acquisitions.
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The following lending relationships, excluding SBA-related loans, were on nonaccrual status at June 30, 2012:

June 30, 2012
Nonaccrual Amount (In thousands)

## Description

Two loans, each secured by a hotel in San Diego County, California. The borrower is paying according to the restructured terms of each loan. ${ }^{(1)}$

6,200 This loan is secured by a hotel in Riverside County, California. The borrower repaid the balance of this loan on July $25,2012$.

3,662 Four loans, each secured by an industrial warehouse building in Riverside County, California. The loans were restructured at the end of July 2012. The restructured loan will be accruing. ${ }^{(1)}$

3,400 This loan is unsecured. The borrower is paying according to the restructured terms of the loan. ${ }^{(1)}$

2,432 This loan is secured by a strip retail center in Riverside County, California. The borrower is paying according to the restructured terms of the loan. ${ }^{(1)}$

1,843 This loan is unsecured and has a specific reserve for $96 \%$ of the balance. The borrower is paying according to the restructured terms of the loan. ${ }^{(1)}$

1,725 This loan is secured by a single family residence in Riverside County, California. The collateral for this loan was acquired by the Bank in July 2012 through a deed-in-lieu of foreclosure. ${ }^{(1)}$

1,446 This loan is secured by a medical-related office building in Los Angeles County, California. The borrower is paying according to the restructured terms of the loan. ${ }^{(1)}$

1,404 This loan is secured by a multi-tenant industrial building in Riverside County, California. The borrower is not paying currently. ${ }^{(1)}$

1,287 This loan is secured by three industrial buildings in Riverside County, California. The borrower is not paying currently. ${ }^{(1)}$
\$ 30,478 Total
(1)

On nonaccrual status at March 31, 2012
OREO
The following table presents the components of non-covered OREO by property type as of the dates indicated:

| Property Type | June 30, 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | June 30, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial real estate | \$ | 17,630 | \$ | 20,885 | \$ | 23,003 |  | 23,408 |
| Construction and land development |  | 24,112 |  | 25,321 |  | 24,788 |  | 26,446 |
| Single family residence |  |  |  |  |  | 621 |  | 2,340 |
| Total non-covered OREO | \$ | 41,742 | \$ | 46,206 | \$ | 48,412 | \$ | 52,194 |

Non-covered OREO declined $\$ 4.5$ million during the second quarter of 2012 due mainly to sales of $\$ 5.1$ million, offset partially by foreclosures of $\$ 775,000$. The Bank sold a commercial real estate
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OREO asset having a carrying value of $\$ 4.4$ million at June 30, 2012 for $\$ 5.0$ million in cash on July 31, 2012. The sale resulted in a pre-tax gain of approximately $\$ 600,000$.

## Performing Restructured Loans

Non-covered performing restructured loans declined by $\$ 6.2$ million during the second quarter of 2012 to $\$ 103.8$ million at June 30, 2012. The decline was attributable primarily to $\$ 8.4$ million in loans transferred to nonaccrual status, offset partially by $\$ 1.9$ million in additions. At June 30, 2012, we had $\$ 72.5$ million in real estate mortgage loans, $\$ 27.1$ million in real estate construction loans, and $\$ 4.2$ million in commercial loans that were accruing interest under the terms of troubled debt restructurings.

The majority of the performing restructured loans was on accrual status prior to the loan modifications and has remained on accrual status after the loan modifications due to the borrowers making payments before and after the restructurings. In these circumstances, generally, a borrower may have had a fixed-rate loan that they continued to repay, but may be having cash flow difficulties. In an effort to work with certain borrowers, we have agreed to interest rate reductions to reflect the lower market interest rate environment and/or interest-only payments for a period of time. In these cases, we do not forgive principal as part of the loan modification. As a result of the current economic environment in our market areas, we anticipate loan restructurings to continue.

## Covered Nonperforming Assets

Loans accounted for under ASC 310-30 are generally considered accruing and performing loans as the loans accrete interest income over the estimated life of the loan when cash flows are reasonably estimable. Accordingly, acquired impaired loans that are contractually past due are still considered to be accruing and performing loans. If the timing and amount of future cash flows is not reasonably estimable, the loans may be classified as nonaccrual loans and interest income is not recognized until the timing and amount of future cash flows can be reasonably estimated.

The following table presents a summary of covered loans that would be considered nonaccrual except for the accounting requirements regarding acquired impaired loans and other real estate owned covered by the loss sharing agreement ("covered nonaccrual loans" and "covered OREO"; collectively, "covered nonperforming assets") as of the dates indicated:

|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { June 30, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March 31, } \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { June 30, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (In thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Covered nonaccrual loans | \$ | 137,142 | \$ | 140,555 | \$ | 152,062 | \$ | 146,359 |
| Covered OREO |  | 31,090 |  | 29,888 |  | 33,506 |  | 40,949 |
| Total covered nonperforming assets | \$ | 168,232 | \$ | 170,443 | \$ | 185,568 | \$ | 187,308 |
| Covered performing restructured loans | \$ | 27,263 | \$ | 16,652 | \$ | 16,047 | \$ | 12,404 |

## Loan Portfolio Risk Elements

The negative trends throughout the Southern California economy have affected certain industries and collateral types more than others. Our real estate loan portfolio is predominantly commercial and as such does not expose us to higher risks generally associated with residential mortgage loans such as option ARM, interest-only or subprime mortgage loans. Our portfolio does include mortgage loans on commercial property. Commercial mortgage loan repayments typically do not rely on the sale of the underlying collateral and instead rely on the income producing potential of the collateral as the source of repayment. Ultimately, though, due to the loan amortization period being greater than the contractual loan term, the borrower may be required to refinance the loan, either with us or another lender, or sell the underlying collateral in order to pay off the loan.
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At June 30, 2012, we had $\$ 173.1$ million of commercial real estate mortgage loans maturing over the next 12 months. In the event we refinance any of these loans because the borrowers are unable to obtain financing elsewhere due to the inability of banks in our market area to make loans, such loans may be considered troubled debt restructurings even though they were performing throughout their terms. Higher levels of troubled debt restructurings may lead to increased classified assets and credit loss provisions.

## Deposits

The following table presents the balance of each major category of deposits at the dates indicated:

| Deposit Category | June 30, 2012 |  |  | March 31, 2012 |  |  | December 31, 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | $\begin{aligned} & \% \text { of } \\ & \text { Total } \end{aligned}$ |  | Amount <br> Dollars in tho | $\begin{gathered} \% \text { of } \\ \text { Total } \\ \text { ands) } \end{gathered}$ |  | Amount | $\%$ of Total |
| Noninterest-bearing deposits | \$ | 1,872,459 | 41\% | \$ | 1,785,678 | 39\% | \$ | 1,685,799 | 37\% |
| Interest checking deposits |  | 518,330 | 11 |  | 516,360 | 11 |  | 500,998 | 11 |
| Money market deposits |  | 1,174,915 | 26 |  | 1,170,960 | 26 |  | 1,265,282 | 28 |
| Savings deposits |  | 160,603 | 3 |  | 163,102 | 4 |  | 157,480 | 3 |
| Total core deposits |  | 3,726,307 | 81 |  | 3,636,100 | 80 |  | 3,609,559 | 79 |
| Time deposits under \$100,000 |  | 298,980 | 7 |  | 310,007 | 7 |  | 324,521 | 7 |
| Time deposits $\$ 100,000$ and over |  | 566,042 | 12 |  | 610,563 | 13 |  | 643,373 | 14 |
| Total time deposits |  | 865,022 | 19 |  | 920,570 | 20 |  | 967,894 | 21 |
| Total deposits | \$ | 4,591,329 | 100\% | \$ | 4,556,670 | 100\% | \$ | 4,577,453 | 100\% |

Total deposits increased $\$ 34.7$ million during the second quarter to $\$ 4.6$ billion at June 30, 2012. Core deposits increased $\$ 90.2$ million during the second quarter due mostly to an increase of $\$ 86.8$ million in noninterest-bearing demand deposits. Core deposits totaled $\$ 3.7$ billion, or $81 \%$ of total deposits, at June 30, 2012. Time deposits decreased $\$ 55.5$ million during the second quarter to $\$ 865.0$ million at June $30,2012$. Noninterest-bearing demand deposits were $\$ 1.9$ billion at June 30, 2012 and represented $41 \%$ of total deposits at that date.

The following table summarizes the maturities of time deposits as of the date indicated:


## Regulatory Matters

## Capital

Actual capital amounts and ratios for the Company and the Bank as of June 30, 2012 are presented in the following table. Regulatory capital requirements limit the amount of deferred tax
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assets that may be included when determining the amount of regulatory capital. Deferred tax asset amounts in excess of the calculated limit are deducted from regulatory capital. At June 30, 2012, such amount was $\$ 1.6$ million for the Company and none for the Bank. No assurance can be given that the regulatory capital deferred tax asset limitation will not increase in the future.

|  | Well <br> Capitaized <br> Requirement | June 30, 2012 <br> Pacific <br> Western <br> Bank | PacWest <br> Bancorp <br> Consolidated |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 leverage capital ratio | $5.00 \%$ | $10.13 \%$ | $10.57 \%$ |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio | $6.00 \%$ | $15.03 \%$ | $15.67 \%$ |
| Total risk-based capital ratio | $10.00 \%$ | $16.31 \%$ | $16.94 \%$ |
| Tangible common equity ratio | N/A | $10.78 \%$ | $9.28 \%$ |

## Subordinated Debentures

The Company issued subordinated debentures to trusts that were established by us or entities we have acquired, which, in turn, issued trust preferred securities, which totaled $\$ 105.0$ million at June 30, 2012. The Company includes in Tier 1 capital an amount of trust preferred securities equal to no more than $25 \%$ of the sum of all core capital elements, which is generally defined as shareholders' equity less goodwill, net of any related deferred income tax liability. At June 30, 2012, the amount of trust preferred securities included in Tier I capital was $\$ 105.0$ million. While our existing trust preferred securities are currently grandfathered as Tier 1 capital under the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, proposed regulatory capital guidelines would phase them out of Tier 1 capital over a period of 10 years, until they are fully-phased out on January 1, 2022. New issuances of trust preferred securities will not qualify as Tier 1 capital. We remain "well capitalized" excluding the trust preferred securities as Tier 1 capital.

## Dividends on Common Stock and Interest on Subordinated Debentures

Notification to the FRB is required prior to our declaring and paying a dividend to our stockholders during any period in which our quarterly and/or cumulative twelve-month net earnings are insufficient to fund the dividend amount. Interest payments made by the Company on subordinated debentures are considered dividend payments under FRB regulations. This notification requirement is included in regulatory guidance regarding safety and soundness surrounding capital and includes other non-financial measures such as asset quality and credit concentrations. Should the FRB object to our dividend payments, we would be precluded from paying interest on our subordinated debentures. Payments would not commence until approval is received or we no longer need to provide notice under applicable guidance.

## Liquidity Management

## Liquidity

The goals of our liquidity management are to ensure the ability of the Company to meet its financial commitments when contractually due and to respond to other demands for funds such as the ability to meet the cash flow requirements of customers who may be either depositors wanting to withdraw funds or borrowers who may need assurance that sufficient funds will be available to meet their credit needs. We have an Executive Asset/Liability Management Committee, or Executive ALM Committee, which is comprised of members of senior management and is responsible for managing balance sheet and off-balance sheet commitments to meet the needs of customers while achieving our financial objectives. Our Executive ALM Committee meets regularly to review funding capacities, current and forecasted loan demand, and investment opportunities.
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The Company manages its liquidity by maintaining pools of liquid assets on-balance sheet, consisting of cash and due from banks, interest-earning deposits in other financial institutions and unpledged investment securities available-for-sale, which we refer to as our primary liquidity. In addition, we also maintain available borrowing capacity under secured borrowing lines with the FHLB and the FRB, which we refer to as our secondary liquidity. In addition to its secured lines of credit, the Company also maintains unsecured lines of credit, subject to availability, of $\$ 45.0$ million with correspondent banks for purchase of overnight funds.

The following table provides a summary of the Bank's primary and secondary liquidity levels at the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, 2012 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } 31, \\ 2012 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { December 31, } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Dollars in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Liquidity On-Balance Sheet: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cash | \$ | 97,499 | \$ | 99,471 | \$ | 92,342 |
| Interest-earning deposits at financial institutions |  | 25,970 |  | 34,290 |  | 203,275 |
| Investment securities available-for-sale |  | 1,351,701 |  | 1,380,878 |  | 1,326,358 |
| Less pledged securities |  | $(79,790)$ |  | $(71,960)$ |  | $(69,623)$ |
| Total primary liquidity | \$ | 1,395,380 | \$ | 1,442,679 | \$ | 1,552,352 |
| Ratio of primary liquidity to total deposits |  | 30.4\% |  | 31.7\% |  | 33.9\% |
| Secondary Liquidity Off-Balance Sheet Available Secured Borrowing Capacity: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total secured borrowing capacity with the FHLB | \$ | 1,180,168 | \$ | 1,224,806 | \$ | 1,273,927 |
| Less secured letters of credit outstanding |  | $(1,244)$ |  | $(2,002)$ |  | $(2,002)$ |
| Less secured advances outstanding |  |  |  | $(179,500)$ |  | $(225,000)$ |
| Net secured borrowing capacity with the FHLB |  | 1,178,924 |  | 1,043,304 |  | 1,046,925 |
| Secured credit line with the FRB |  | 337,109 |  | 375,405 |  | 347,407 |
| Total secondary liquidity | \$ | 1,516,033 | \$ | 1,418,709 | \$ | 1,394,332 |

During the three months ended June 30, 2012, the Company's primary liquidity decreased $\$ 47.3$ million because of the acquisition of Celtic in April 2012, which utilized $\$ 65$ million of cash, and because of the $\$ 179.5$ million reduction in FHLB advances. These decreases were partially offset by positive cash flow from loan portfolio payoffs, resolutions and amortization of $\$ 134.6$ million, a decrease in investment securities available-for-sale of $\$ 29.2$ million, and an increase in deposits of $\$ 34.7$ million. Our total liquidity and the ratio of primary liquidity to total deposits remain at historically high levels even after the decreases during the current quarter. We expect to continue to maintain higher levels of on-balance sheet liquidity during the remainder of 2012 compared to historical levels until we are able to effectively increase loan portfolio balances. At June 30, 2012, $\$ 431.6$ million of our loans and leases were specifically pledged as collateral for the secured borrowing line maintained with the FRB. The remainder of our loans and leases are pledged to the FHLB under a blanket lien to secure the borrowing line that the Bank maintains with the FHLB.

In addition to our primary liquidity, we generate liquidity from cash flow from our amortizing loan portfolio and from our large base of core customer deposits, defined as non-interest bearing demand, interest checking, savings and money market accounts. At June 30, 2012, such deposits totaled $\$ 3.7$ billion and represented $81 \%$ of the Company's total deposits. These core deposits are normally less volatile, often with customer relationships tied to other products offered by the Company promoting long-standing relationships and stable funding sources. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, total core deposits increased $\$ 90.2$ million, mainly in non-interest bearing demand deposits from our small to medium sized business customer base. Some of the growth in our core
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deposits is attributed to businesses having a tendency to maintain higher cash balances because of current economic conditions and low rate investment alternatives. Deposits from our customers may decline if interest rates increase significantly or if corporate customers move funds from the Company generally. In order to address the Company's liquidity risk as deposit balances may fluctuate, the Company maintains adequate levels of available liquidity.

The following table provides a summary of the Bank's core deposits at the dates indicated:

|  | June 30, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | March 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ <br> (In thousands) | December 31, <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core Deposits: | $1,872,459$ | $\$$ | $1,785,678$ | $\$$ | $1,685,799$ |
| Non-interest bearing demand | $1,174,915$ | $1,170,960$ | $1,265,282$ |  |  |
| Interest checking | 160,603 |  | 163,102 | 157,480 |  |
| Money market deposits | $\$$ | $3,726,307$ | $\$$ | $3,636,100$ | $\$$ |
| Savings deposits |  | $3,609,559$ |  |  |  |

Our asset/liability policy establishes various liquidity guidelines for the Company. The policy includes guidelines for On-Balance Sheet Liquidity (a measurement of primary liquidity to total deposits), Coverage and Crisis Coverage Ratios (measurements of liquid assets to expected short-term liquidity required for the loan and deposit portfolios under normal and stressed conditions), Loan to Funding Ratio, Wholesale Funding Ratio, and other guidelines developed for measuring and maintaining liquidity. As of June 30, 2012, the Company was in compliance with all liquidity guidelines established in the ALCO policy.

We may use large denomination brokered time deposits, the availability of which is uncertain and subject to competitive market forces, for liquidity management purposes. At June 30, 2012, the Bank had none of these brokered deposits. In addition, we have $\$ 35.6$ million of customer deposits that were subsequently participated with other FDIC insured financial institutions through the CDARS program as a means to provide FDIC deposit insurance coverage for the full amount of our participating customers' deposits.

## Holding Company Liquidity

The primary sources of liquidity for the Company, on a stand-alone basis, include dividends from the Bank and our ability to raise capital, issue subordinated debt and secure outside borrowings. The ability of the Company to obtain funds for the payment of dividends to our stockholders and for other cash requirements is largely dependent upon the Bank's earnings. Pacific Western is subject to restrictions under certain federal and state laws and regulations which limit its ability to transfer funds to the Company through intercompany loans, advances or cash dividends.

Dividends paid by state banks, such as Pacific Western, are regulated by the California Department of Financial Institutions ("DFI") under its general supervisory authority as it relates to a bank's capital requirements. A state bank may declare a dividend without the approval of the DFI as long as the total dividends declared in a calendar year do not exceed either the retained earnings or the total of net profits for three previous fiscal years less any dividends paid during such period. During the three months ended June 30, 2012, PacWest received $\$ 8.0$ million in dividends from the Bank. For the foreseeable future, any dividends from the Bank to the Company require DFI approval.

At June 30, 2012, the Company had, on a stand-alone basis, $\$ 13.1$ million in cash on deposit at the Bank. Management believes that this amount of cash, along with anticipated dividends from the Bank, will be sufficient to fund the Company's 2012 cash flow needs.
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## Contractual Obligations

The following table presents the known contractual obligations of the Company as of the date indicated:

|  |  | Due Within ne Year |  | Due in One to ree Years | Ju | 30, 201 <br> ue in ree to <br> Years <br> in thous |  | Due After e Years |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Time deposits | \$ | 567,621 | \$ | 258,800 | , | 38,494 | \$ | 107 | \$ | 865,022 |
| Debt obligations |  | 216 |  | 8,756 |  | 6,574 |  | 108,250 |  | 123,796 |
| Operating lease obligations |  | 16,100 |  | 27,154 |  | 16,452 |  | 11,727 |  | 71,433 |
| Other contractual obligations |  | 13,455 |  | 12,649 |  | 1,186 |  | 162 |  | 27,452 |
| Total | \$ | 597,392 | \$ | 307,359 | \$ | 62,706 | \$ | 120,246 | \$ | 1,087,703 |

Time deposits include $\$ 35.6$ million of customer deposits that were subsequently participated with other FDIC insured financial institutions through the CDARS program as a means to provide FDIC deposit insurance coverage for the full amount of our customers' deposits.

Long-term debt obligations include $\$ 108.3$ million of subordinated debentures. Debt obligations are also discussed in Note 8, Borrowings, Subordinated Debentures and Brokered Deposits, in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited) contained in "Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)." Operating lease obligations are discussed in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011. The other contractual obligations relate to our minimum liability associated with our data and item processing contract with a third-party provider and commitments to contribute capital to investments in low income housing project partnerships.

We believe that we will be able to meet our contractual obligations as they come due through the maintenance of adequate cash levels. We expect to maintain adequate cash levels through profitability, loan and securities repayment and maturity activity, and continued deposit gathering activities. We believe we have in place various borrowing mechanisms for both short-term and long-term liquidity needs.

## Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Our obligations also include off-balance sheet arrangements consisting of loan-related commitments, of which only a portion are expected to be funded. At June 30, 2012, our loan-related commitments, including standby letters of credit, totaled $\$ 739.3$ million. The commitments, which result in funded loans, increase our profitability through net interest income. We manage our overall liquidity taking into consideration funded and unfunded commitments as a percentage of our liquidity sources. Our liquidity sources have been and are expected to be sufficient to meet the cash requirements of our lending activities.

## Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Sensitivity

## Interest Rate Risk

Our market risk arises primarily from credit risk and interest rate risk inherent in our lending and financing activities. To manage our credit risk, we rely on adherence to our underwriting standards and loan policies, internal loan monitoring and periodic credit review as well as our allowance for credit losses methodology, all of which are administered by the Bank's credit administration department and overseen by the Company's Credit Risk Committee. To manage our exposure to changes in interest
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rates, we perform asset and liability management activities which are governed by guidelines pre-established by our Executive ALM Committee, and approved by our Asset/Liability Management Committee of the Board of Directors, which we refer to as our Board ALCO. Our Executive ALM Committee monitors our compliance with our asset/liability policies. These policies focus on providing sufficient levels of net interest income while considering capital constraints and acceptable levels of interest rate exposure and liquidity.

Market risk sensitive instruments are generally defined as derivatives and other financial instruments, which include investment securities, loans, deposits, and borrowings. At June 30, 2012, we had not used any derivatives to alter our interest rate risk profile or for any other reason. However, both the repricing characteristics of our fixed-rate loans and floating-rate loans and the significant percentage of noninterest-bearing deposits compared to interest-earning assets may influence our interest rate risk profile. Our financial instruments include loans receivable, Federal funds sold, interest-bearing deposits in financial institutions, Federal Home Loan Bank stock, investment securities, deposits, borrowings and subordinated debentures.

We measure our interest rate risk position on at least a quarterly basis using two methods: (i) net interest income simulation analysis, and (ii) market value of equity modeling. The results of these analyses are reviewed by the Executive ALM Committee and the Board ALCO quarterly. If hypothetical changes to interest rates cause changes to our simulated net present value of equity and/or net interest income outside our pre-established limits, we may adjust our asset and liability mix in an effort to bring our interest rate risk exposure within our established limits.

We evaluated the results of our net interest income simulation and market value of equity models prepared as of June 30,2012 , the results of which are presented below. Our net interest income simulation indicates that our balance sheet is liability sensitive as rising interest rates would result in a decline in our net interest margin. This profile is primarily a result of (a) the increased origination of fixed-rate loans and variable-rate loans with initial fixed-rate terms over the last several years and (b) declining floating-rate construction loans. Our market value of equity model indicates an asset sensitive profile in the up 100 and 200 basis points scenarios, switching to liability sensitive in the up 300 basis point scenario. An asset sensitive profile would suggest that a sudden sustained increase in rates would result in an increase in our estimated market value of equity, while a liability sensitive profile would suggest that our estimated market value of equity would decrease when rates increase. In general, we view the net interest income model results as more relevant to the Company's current operating profile and manage our balance sheet based on this information. Given the historically low market interest rates as of June 30, 2012, the "down" scenarios at June 30, 2012 are not considered meaningful and are excluded from the following discussion.

## Net Interest Income Simulation

We used a simulation model to measure the estimated changes in net interest income that would result over the next 12 months from immediate and sustained changes in interest rates as of June 30, 2012. This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our future net interest income. This model has inherent limitations and these results are based on a given set of rate changes and assumptions at one point in time. We have assumed no growth in either our interest-sensitive assets or liabilities over the next 12 months; therefore, the results reflect an interest rate shock to a static balance sheet.

This analysis calculates the difference between net interest income forecasted using both increasing and decreasing interest rate scenarios and net interest income forecasted using a base market interest rate derived from the U.S. Treasury yield curve at June 30, 2012. In order to arrive at the base case, we extend our balance sheet at June 30, 2012 one year and reprice any assets and liabilities that would contractually reprice or mature during that period using the products' pricing as of June 30, 2012. Based on such repricings, we calculate an estimated net interest income and net interest margin.
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The repricing relationship for each of our assets and liabilities includes many assumptions. For example, many of our assets are floating-rate loans, which are assumed to reprice to the same extent as the change in market rates according to their contracted index except for floating-rate loans tied to our base lending rate which are assumed to reprice upward only after the first 75 basis point increase in market rates. This assumption is due to the fact that we reduced our base lending rate 100 basis points when the Federal Reserve lowered the Federal Funds benchmark rate by 175 basis points in the fourth quarter of 2008. Some loans and investment vehicles include the opportunity of prepayment (imbedded options) and the simulation model uses a prepayment model to estimate these prepayments and reinvest these proceeds at current simulated yields. Our deposit products reprice at our discretion and are assumed to reprice more slowly in a rising or declining interest rate environment and usually reprice at a rate less than the change in market rates. The effects of certain balance sheet attributes, such as fixed-rate loans, floating-rate loans that have reached their floors, and the volume of noninterest-bearing deposits as a percentage of earning assets, impact our assumptions and consequently the results of our interest rate risk management model. Changes that could vary significantly from our assumptions include loan and deposit growth or contraction, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, and future asset/liability management decisions, all of which may have significant effects on our net interest income.

The simulation analysis does not account for all factors that impact this analysis, including changes by management to mitigate the impact of interest rate changes or the impact a change in interest rates may have on our credit risk profile, loan prepayment estimates and spread relationships which can change regularly. In addition, the simulation analysis does not make any assumptions regarding loan fee income, which is a component of our net interest income and tends to increase our net interest margin. Management reviews the model assumptions for reasonableness on a quarterly basis.

The following table presents as of June 30, 2012, forecasted net interest income and net interest margin for the next 12 months using a base market interest rate and the estimated change to the base scenario given immediate and sustained upward and downward movements in interest rates of 100,200 and 300 basis points.

|  | Estimated <br> Net Interest <br> Income | Percentage <br> Change <br> From Base <br> (Dollars in thousands) | Estimated <br> Net Interest <br> Margin | Estimated <br> Net Interest <br> Margin Change <br> From Base |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interest Rate Scenario | $\$$ | 262,054 | $(3.5) \%$ | $5.32 \%$ | $(0.19) \%$ |
| Up 300 basis points | $\$$ | 262,264 | $(3.4) \%$ | $5.32 \%$ | $(0.19) \%$ |
| Up 200 basis points | $\$$ | 263,840 | $(2.8) \%$ | $5.35 \%$ | $(0.16) \%$ |
| Up 100 basis points | $\$$ | 271,471 |  | $5.51 \%$ |  |
| BASE CASE | $\$$ | 263,829 | $(2.8) \%$ | $5.36 \%$ | $(0.15) \%$ |
| Down 100 basis points | $\$$ | 260,639 | $(4.0) \%$ | $5.29 \%$ | $(0.22) \%$ |
| Down 200 basis points | $\$$ | 260,754 | $(3.9) \%$ | $5.29 \%$ | $(0.22) \%$ |
| Down 300 basis points |  |  |  |  |  |

The net interest income simulation model prepared as of June 30, 2012 suggests our balance sheet is liability sensitive. Liability sensitivity indicates that in a rising interest rate environment, our net interest margin would decrease. Due to the historically low market interest rates as of June 30, 2012 the "down" scenarios are not considered meaningful and are excluded from the following discussion. The liability sensitive profile is due mostly to the mix of fixed-rate loans to total loans in the loan portfolio relative to our amount of interest-bearing deposits that would reprice as interest rates change. Although $\$ 1.7$ billion of the $\$ 3.5$ billion of total loans in the portfolio have variable interest rate terms, only $\$ 505$ million of those variable-rate loans will immediately reprice at June 30, 2012. Of the remaining variable-rate loans, $\$ 931$ million will not immediately reprice because the loans' fully indexed
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rates are below their floor rates. Of these $\$ 931$ million of loans at their floors, the fully indexed rates will rise off of the floors and reprice as follows:

| Cumulative <br> Amount of <br> Loans | Rate <br> Increase <br> Needed to <br> Reprice |
| :---: | :---: |
| (Dollars in thousands) |  |
| $\$ 273,000$ | 100 bps |
| $\$ 420,000$ | 200 bps |
| $\$ 661,000$ | 300 bps |

An additional $\$ 282$ million of hybrid ARM loans are not immediately repricing because the loans contain an initial fixed-rate period before they become adjustable. The cumulative amounts of hybrid ARM loans that will switch from being fixed-rate to floating-rate because the initial fixed-rate term will expire is approximately $\$ 73$ million, $\$ 110$ million and $\$ 174$ million in the next one, two, and three years, respectively.

In comparing the June 30, 2012 simulation results to March 31, 2012, our profile has remained relatively unchanged while our overall estimated net interest income has increased for all scenarios. The increase in the simulated net interest income is a result of higher earning assets due to the Celtic acquisition, partially offset by the decreases in legacy loans, covered loans and investments.

## Market Value of Equity

We measure the impact of market interest rate changes on the net present value of estimated cash flows from our assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items, defined as the market value of equity, using a simulation model. This simulation model assesses the changes in the market value of our interest sensitive financial instruments that would occur in response to an instantaneous and sustained increase or decrease in market interest rates of 100,200 and 300 basis points. This analysis assigns significant value to our noninterest-bearing deposit balances. The projections are by their nature forward looking and therefore inherently uncertain, and include various assumptions regarding cash flows and interest rates. This model is an interest rate risk management tool and the results are not necessarily an indication of our actual future results. Actual results may vary significantly from the results suggested by the market value of equity table. Loan prepayments and deposit attrition, changes in the mix of our earning assets or funding sources, and future asset/liability management decisions, among others, may vary significantly from our assumptions. The base case is determined by applying various current market discount rates to the estimated cash flows from the different types of assets, liabilities and off-balance sheet items existing at June 30, 2012.

The following table shows the projected change in the market value of equity for the set of rate shocks presented as of June 30, 2012:

|  | Estimated <br> Market <br> Value | Dollar <br> Change <br> From Base <br> Interest Rate Scenario | Percentage <br> Change <br> From Base | Percentage <br> of Total <br> Assets | Ratio of <br> Estimated <br> Market Value <br> to Book Value |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up 300 basis points | $\$ 720,966$ | $\$$ | $(13,397)$ | $(1.8) \%$ | $13.5 \%$ | $127.5 \%$ |  |
| Up 200 basis points | $\$ 743,724$ | $\$$ | 9,361 | $1.3 \%$ | $14.0 \%$ | $131.5 \%$ |  |
| Up 100 basis points | $\$ 757,271$ | $\$$ | 22,908 | $3.1 \%$ | $14.2 \%$ | $133.9 \%$ |  |
| BASE CASE | $\$ 734,363$ |  |  |  | $13.8 \%$ | $129.8 \%$ |  |
| Down 100 basis points | $\$ 670,062$ | $\$$ | $(64,301)$ | $(8.8) \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $118.5 \%$ |  |
| Down 200 basis points | $\$ 672,263$ | $\$$ | $(62,100)$ | $(8.5) \%$ | $12.6 \%$ | $118.8 \%$ |  |
| Down 300 basis points | $\$$ | 686,160 | $\$$ | $(48,203)$ | $(6.6) \%$ | $12.9 \%$ | $121.3 \%$ |
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In comparing the June 30, 2012 simulation results to March 31, 2012, our base case estimated market value of equity has decreased modestly while our overall profile has shifted to a more neutral market risk profile in the rising rate scenarios. The change in the results in the current period resulted from the addition of the floating-rate Celtic loan portfolio combined with a decrease in the estimated market sensitivity of the securities portfolio and an increase in the estimated appreciation of deposits in rising interest rate scenarios. Base case market value of equity decreased $\$ 6.2$ million compared to March 31, 2012. The decrease was due to a $\$ 15.1$ million decrease in the fair value of deposits (due to the lower levels of market interest rates at June 30, 2012 as compared to the previous quarter) and a $\$ 7.1$ million decrease in the market value of loans, offset by a $\$ 16.0$ million increase in stockholders' equity due to the combination of $\$ 15.6$ million of net earnings, a $\$ 5.4$ million increase in the net unrealized gain on investments and $\$ 6.6$ million of dividends paid to stockholders during the quarter.

Our MVE profile is affected by the assumed floors in the Company's base lending rate and the significant value placed on the Company's noninterest-bearing deposits for purposes of this analysis. Static balances of noninterest-bearing deposits do not impact the net interest income simulation, while at the same time the value of these deposits increases substantially in the market value of equity model when market rates are assumed to rise.

## Gap Analysis

As part of the interest rate management process, we use a gap analysis. A gap analysis provides information about the volume and repricing characteristics and relationship between the amounts of interest-sensitive assets and interest-bearing liabilities at a particular point in time. An effective interest rate strategy attempts to match the volume of interest sensitive assets and interest-bearing liabilities repricing over different time intervals.

The following table illustrates the volume and repricing characteristics of our balance sheet at June 30, 2012 over the indicated time intervals:


All amounts are reported at their contractual maturity or repricing periods, except for $\$ 41.7$ million in FHLB stock which is shown as non-interest rate sensitive as the redemption and/or return on such stock is not reliant on market interest rates. This analysis makes certain assumptions as to interest rate sensitivity of savings and NOW accounts which have no stated maturity and have had minimal rate fluctuation in the past three years. Money market accounts are repriced at management's discretion and are generally more rate sensitive.

The preceding table indicates that we had a negative one-year cumulative gap of $\$ 900.9$ million at June 30,2012 , a decrease of $\$ 178.6$ million from the $\$ 1.1$ billion negative one-year gap position at March 31, 2012. The decline in the negative gap was attributable to an increase in one-year assets of $\$ 96.6$ million and a reduction in one-year liabilities of $\$ 82.0$ million. The growth in one-year assets was due mostly to increases of $\$ 70.7$ million in one-year loans from the Celtic acquisition and $\$ 34.2$ million in one-year investment securities. The reduction in one-year liabilities was due mostly to the payoff of $\$ 179.5$ million in overnight FHLB advances, offset partially by an increase of $\$ 94.1$ million in time deposits maturing in one year.

This negative one-year cumulative gap of $\$ 900.9$ million suggests that we are liability sensitive and if rates were to increase, our net interest margin would most likely decrease. Conversely, if rates were to decrease, our net interest margin would most likely increase. The ratio of interest-earning assets to interest-bearing liabilities maturing or repricing within one year at June 30,2012 , is $64.4 \%$. This one-year gap position indicates that interest expense is likely to be affected to a greater extent than interest income for any changes in interest rates within one year from June 30, 2012.
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The gap table has inherent limitations and actual results may vary significantly from the results suggested by the gap table. The gap table is unable to incorporate certain balance sheet characteristics or factors. The gap table assumes a static balance sheet, and accordingly, looks at the repricing of existing assets and liabilities without consideration of new loans and deposits that reflect a more current interest rate environment. Unlike the net interest income simulation, however, the interest rate risk profile of certain deposit products and floating-rate loans that have reached their floors cannot be captured effectively in a gap table. Although the table shows the amount of certain assets and liabilities scheduled to reprice in a given time frame, it does not reflect when or to what extent such repricings may actually occur. For example, interest-bearing demand, money market and savings deposits are shown to reprice in the first three months, but we may choose to reprice these deposits more slowly and incorporate only a portion of the movement in market rates based on market conditions at that time. Alternatively, a loan which has reached its floor may not reprice even though market interest rates change causing such loan to act like a fixed-rate loan regardless of its scheduled repricing date. The gap table as presented cannot factor in the flexibility we believe we have in repricing either deposits or the floors on our loans.

We believe the estimated effect of a change in interest rates is better reflected in our net interest income and market value of equity simulations which incorporate many of the factors mentioned.

## ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure about Market Risk

Please see the section above titled "Asset/Liability Management and Interest Rate Sensitivity" in "Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" which provides an update to our quantitative and qualitative disclosure about market risk. This analysis should be read in conjunction with text under the caption "Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosure About Market Risk" in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2011, which text is incorporated herein by reference. Our analysis of market risk and market-sensitive financial information contains forward-looking statements and is subject to the disclosure at the beginning of Item 2 regarding such forward-looking information.

## ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures

As of the end of the period covered by this report, an evaluation was carried out by the Company's management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and the Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this report, these disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during our most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
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## PART II OTHER INFORMATION

## ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

In the ordinary course of our business, we are party to various legal actions, which we believe are incidental to the operation of our business. The outcome of such legal actions and the timing of ultimate resolution are inherently difficult to predict. In the opinion of management, based upon information currently available to us, any resulting liability, in addition to amounts already accrued, would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial statements of operations.

## ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

There have been no material changes with respect to the risk factors described in Item 1A. to Part I of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, which Item 1A. is incorporated herein by reference.

## ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

(c) Issuer Repurchases of Common Stock

The following table presents stock purchases made during the second quarter of 2012:

| Purchase Dates | Total <br> Number of Shares Purchased ${ }^{(1)}$ | Average <br> Price Paid <br> Per Share |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| April 1 - April 30, 2012 |  | \$ |  |
| May 1 - May 31, 2012 | 2,233 |  | 24.05 |
| June 1 - June 30, 2012 | 856 |  | 22.42 |
| Total | 3,089 | \$ | 23.60 |

(1)

Shares repurchased pursuant to net settlement by employees, in satisfaction of financial obligations incurred through the vesting of the Company's restricted stock.
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## ITEM 6. Exhibits

## Exhibit

Number

## Description

3.1 Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, of PacWest Bancorp, a Delaware corporation (Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008 and incorporated herein by this reference).
3.2 Certificate of Amendment, dated May 14, 2010, to Certificate of Incorporation of PacWest Bancorp (Exhibit 3.1 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2010 and incorporated herein by this reference).
3.3 Bylaws of PacWest Bancorp, a Delaware corporation, dated April 22, 2008 (Exhibit 3.2 to Form 8-K filed on May 14, 2008 and incorporated herein by this reference).
31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chief Financial Officer.
32.1 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Executive Officer.
32.2 Section 1350 Certification of Chief Financial Officer.

101 Interactive data files pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T: (i) the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2012 and December 31, 2011, (ii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Earnings for the three months ended June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, and June 30, 2011 and the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, (iii) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three months ended June 30, 2012, March 31, 2012, and June 30, 2011 and the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, (iv) Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2012, (v) the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2012 and 2011, and (vi) the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. (Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, this information is deemed furnished and not filed for purposes of Sections 11 and 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.)

## SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 9, 2012
PACWEST BANCORP
/s/ VICTOR R. SANTORO

Victor R. Santoro
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
100


[^0]:    See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

[^1]:    See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

[^2]:    See "Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements."

[^3]:    (1)

[^4]:    (1)

    Represents the balance at June 30, 2012 and is net of charge-offs of $\$ 531,000$ and $\$ 855,000$ for the three months and six months ended June $30,2012$.
    (2)

    The population of defaulted restructured loans for the period indicated includes only those loans restructured during the preceding 12-month period. The table excludes defaulted troubled debt restructurings in those classes for which the recorded investment was zero at June 30, 2012.

[^5]:    (1)

    Noninterest expense divided by net revenues.
    (2)

    Adjusted noninterest expense divided by adjusted net revenues.

[^6]:    (1)

    Includes nonaccrual loans and leases and loan fees.
    (2)

    The tax-equivalent yield on investment securities was $3.03 \%$ for June 30, 2012 and $3.20 \%$ for March 31, 2012; the tax-equivalent yield adjustment was not material for June 30, 2011.

[^7]:    (1)

    Includes nonaccrual loans and loan fees.
    (2)

    The tax-equivalent yield on investment securities was $3.06 \%$ for June 30, 2012; the tax-equivalent yield adjustment was not material for June $30,2011$.
    (3)

    All-in deposit cost is calculated as annualized interest expense on deposits divided by average total deposits.

[^8]:    (1)

    Includes increases related to covered loan loss provisions and decreases for write-offs for covered loans resolved or expected to be resolved at amounts higher than their carrying value.

