

COPART INC
Form 10-K
September 23, 2010

Use these links to rapidly review the document

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART IV

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

**ANNUAL REPORTS PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

(Mark One)

**ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the fiscal year ended: **July 31, 2010**

OR

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
For the transition period from _____ to _____
Commission file number **0-23255**

Copart, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

California

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

4665 Business Center Drive Fairfield, California

(Address of principal executive offices)

94-2867490

(I.R.S. Employer
Identification Number)

94534

(Zip code)

**Registrant's telephone number, including area code:
(707) 639-5000**

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| Title of Each Class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|---|--|
| Common Stock, no par value (Including associated Preferred Stock Rights) | The NASDAQ Stock Market LLC (NASDAQ Global Select Market) |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large Accelerated Filer Accelerated Filer Non-Accelerated Filer Smaller Reporting Company
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting Common Stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant as of January 31, 2010 (the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter) was \$2,837,917,860 based upon the closing sales price reported for such date on the NASDAQ Global Select Market (formerly the NASDAQ National Market). For purposes of this disclosure, shares of Common Stock held by persons who hold more than 5% of the outstanding shares of Common Stock and shares held by officers and directors of the registrant have been excluded in that such persons may be deemed to be affiliates. This determination of affiliate status is not necessarily conclusive for other purposes.

At September 22, 2010, registrant had 84,367,430 outstanding shares of Common Stock.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Items 10, 11, 12, 13, and 14 of Part III incorporate certain information by reference from the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (Proxy Statement) to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A within 120 days after the registrant's fiscal year end of July 31, 2010. Except with respect to the information specifically incorporated by reference, the Proxy Statement is not deemed to be filed as a part hereof.

Table of Contents

**Annual Report on Form 10-K
for the Fiscal Year Ended July 31, 2010**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|-----------------|---|-------------|
| | <u>Information concerning forward-looking statements used in this Form 10-K</u> | 2 |
| | <u>Corporate Information</u> | 2 |
| | PART I | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>Business</u> | 3 |
| | <u>General</u> | 3 |
| | <u>Industry Overview</u> | 5 |
| | <u>Operating and Growth Strategy</u> | 6 |
| | <u>Our Competitive Advantages</u> | 8 |
| | <u>Our Service Offerings</u> | 9 |
| | <u>Sales</u> | 12 |
| | <u>Members</u> | 12 |
| | <u>Competition</u> | 13 |
| | <u>Management Information Systems</u> | 13 |
| | <u>Employees</u> | 13 |
| | <u>Environmental Matters</u> | 14 |
| | <u>Governmental Regulations</u> | 15 |
| | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 15 |
| | <u>Intellectual Property and Proprietary Rights</u> | 16 |
| | <u>Seasonality</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> | <u>Risk Factors</u> | 16 |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 2.</u> | <u>Properties</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 3.</u> | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | 27 |
| <u>Item 4.</u> | <u>Reserved</u> | 27 |
| | PART II | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | 28 |
| <u>Item 6.</u> | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | 31 |
| <u>Item 7.</u> | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 32 |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | 46 |
| <u>Item 8.</u> | <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | 47 |
| <u>Item 9.</u> | <u>Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | 47 |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | 47 |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> | <u>Other Information</u> | 51 |
| | PART III | |
| <u>Item 10.</u> | <u>Directors, Executive Officers of the Registrant and Corporate Governance</u> | 52 |
| <u>Item 11.</u> | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | 52 |
| <u>Item 12.</u> | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u> | 52 |
| <u>Item 13.</u> | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | 52 |
| <u>Item 14.</u> | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | 52 |
| | PART IV | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | 53 |

Table of Contents

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended July 31, 2010, or this Form 10-K, including the information incorporated by reference herein, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act), and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "forecast," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "continue" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. The forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and situations that may cause our or our industry's actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these statements. These forward-looking statements are made in reliance upon the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These factors include those listed in Item 1A Business under the caption entitled "Risk Factors" in this Form 10-K and those discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-K. Unless the context otherwise requires, references in this Form 10-K to "Copart," the "Company," "we," "us," or "our" refer to Copart, Inc. We encourage investors to review these factors carefully together with the other matters referred to herein, as well as in the other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). We may from time to time make additional written and oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in the Company's filings with the SEC. We do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company.

Although we believe that, based on information currently available to Copart and its management, the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Corporate Information

We were incorporated in California in 1982 and became a public company in 1994. Our principal executive offices are located at 4665 Business Center Drive, Fairfield, California 94534 and our telephone number at that address is (707) 639-5000. Our website is www.copart.com. The contents of our website are not incorporated by reference into this Form 10-K. We provide free of charge through a link on our website access to our Annual Reports on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K, as well as amendments to those reports, as soon as reasonably practical after the reports are electronically filed with, or furnished to, the SEC.

Copart , VB , CopartDirect , BID4U , CoPartfinder and CI & Design are trademarks of Copart, Inc. This Form 10-K also includes other trademarks of Copart and of other companies.

Table of Contents

PART I

Item 1. Business

General

Copart, Inc. is a leading provider of online auctions and vehicle remarketing services in the United States (US), Canada and the United Kingdom (UK).

We provide vehicle sellers with a full range of services to process and sell vehicles over the Internet through our Virtual Bidding Second Generation Internet auction-style sales technology, which we refer to as VB². Sellers are primarily insurance companies but also include banks and financial institutions, charities, car dealerships, fleet operators, vehicle rental companies and the general public. We sell principally to licensed vehicle dismantlers, rebuilders, repair licensees, used vehicle dealers and exporters and, at certain locations, we sell directly to the general public. The majority of the vehicles sold on behalf of the insurance companies are either damaged vehicles deemed a total loss or not economically repairable by the insurance companies or are recovered stolen vehicles for which an insurance settlement with the vehicle owner has already been made. We offer vehicle sellers a full range of services that expedite each stage of the vehicle sales process, minimize administrative and processing costs and maximize the ultimate sales price.

In the US and Canada (North America), we sell vehicles primarily as an agent and derive revenue primarily from fees paid by vehicle sellers and vehicle buyers as well as related fees for services such as towing and storage. In the UK, we operate both on a principal basis, purchasing the salvage vehicle outright from the insurance companies and reselling the vehicle for our own account, and as an agent.

During fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2008, we converted all of our North American and UK sales, respectively, to VB². VB² opens our sales process to registered buyers (whom we refer to as members) anywhere in the world who have Internet access. This technology and model employs a two-step bidding process. The first step is an open preliminary bidding feature that allows a registered member to enter bids either at a bidding station at the storage facility or over the Internet during the preview. To improve the effectiveness of bidding, the VB² system lets members see the current high bids on the vehicles they want to purchase. The preliminary bidding step is an open bid format similar to eBay. Members enter the maximum price they are willing to pay for a vehicle and VB²'s BID4U feature will incrementally bid on the vehicle on their behalf during all phases of the auction. Preliminary bidding ends one hour prior to the start of a second bidding step, an Internet-only virtual auction. This second step allows bidders the opportunity to bid against each other and the high preliminary bidder. The bidders enter bids via the Internet in real time while BID4U submits bids for the high preliminary bidder, up to their maximum bid. When bidding stops, a countdown is initiated. If no bids are received during the countdown, the vehicle sells to the highest bidder.

We believe the implementation of VB² has increased the pool of available buyers for each sale and the added competition has increased the amount buyers are willing to pay for vehicles. We also believe that it has improved the efficiency of our operations by eliminating the expense and capital requirements associated with live auctions. For fiscal 2010, sales of North American vehicles, on a unit basis, to members registered outside the state where the vehicle is located accounted for 50.2% of total vehicles sold; 27.5% of vehicles were sold to out of state members and 22.7% were sold to out of country members, based on registration. For fiscal 2010, sales of UK vehicles, on a unit basis, to members registered outside the country where the vehicle is located accounted for 17.7% of total vehicles sold.

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

We believe that we offer the highest level of service in the auction and vehicle remarketing industry and have established our leading market position by:

providing coverage that facilitates seller access to buyers around the world, reducing towing and third-party storage expenses, offering a local presence for vehicle inspection stations, and providing prompt response to catastrophes and natural disasters by specially-trained teams;

providing a comprehensive range of customer services that include merchandising services, efficient title processing, timely pick-up and delivery of vehicles, and Internet sales;

establishing and efficiently integrating new facilities and acquisitions;

increasing the number of bidders that can participate at each sale through the ease and convenience of Internet bidding;

applying technology to enhance operating efficiency through Internet bidding, web-based order processing, salvage value quotes, electronic communication with members and sellers, vehicle imaging, and an online used vehicle parts locator service; and

providing the venue for insurance customers through our Virtual Insured Exchange (VIX) product to contingently sell a vehicle through the auction process to establish its true value, allowing the insurance customer to avoid dealing with estimated values when negotiating with owners who wish to retain their damaged vehicles.

Historically, we believe our business has grown as a result of (i) acquisitions, (ii) increases in the overall volume in the salvage car market, (iii) growth in market share, (iv) increases in amount of revenue generated per sales transaction resulting from increases in the gross selling price and the addition of value-added services for both members and sellers, and (v) the growth in non-insurance company sellers. For fiscal year 2010, which ended July 31, 2010, our revenues were approximately \$772.9 million and our operating income was approximately \$239.1 million.

On June 14, 2007, we entered the UK salvage market through the acquisition of Universal Salvage Plc (Universal). In fiscal 2008, we made the following additional acquisitions: Century Salvage Sales Limited (Century) on August 1, 2007; AG Watson Auto Salvage & Motors Spares (Scotland) Limited (AG Watson) on February 29, 2008; Simpson Bros. (York) Holdings Limited (Simpson) on April 4, 2008. In fiscal 2010, we acquired D Hales Limited (D Hales) on January 22, 2010. Universal, Century, AG Watson and D Hales were all leading providers of vehicle auctions and services to the motor insurance and automotive industries. Simpson was primarily an auto dismantler and was acquired primarily for its real estate holdings.

In fiscal 2008, we initiated two new programs using VB², (i) Copart Dealer Services (CDS), by which we sell dealer-trade-ins and (ii) CopartDirect, whereby we sell cars on behalf of the general public. Our goal through these two programs is to expand VB²'s application beyond traditional salvage in order to expand our customer base. CDS targets franchise and independent dealerships while CopartDirect targets the general public.

In fiscal 2009, we opened our website to the public, initiated our Registered Broker program by which the public can purchase vehicles through a member, and initiated our Market Maker program by which members can open Copart storefronts with Internet kiosks that enable the general public to browse and view our inventory and purchase vehicles from us through the Market Maker.

In fiscal 2010, we initiated two additional programs using VB²: (i) 2nd chance bidding, which allows the second highest bidder of a vehicle the opportunity to purchase the vehicle for the seller's current minimum bid after the high bidder declines and (ii) Night Cap Sales, which provides sellers an additional opportunity to have members bid on their vehicles, increasing exposure and minimizing cycle time.

Table of Contents

In North America, we opened one new facility located in Scranton, Pennsylvania. As of July 31, 2010, we had 134 facilities in the US, 2 facilities in Canada and 16 facilities in the UK.

Industry Overview

The auction and vehicle remarketing services industry provides a venue for sellers to dispose of or liquidate vehicles to a broad domestic and international buyer pool. In North America, sellers generally auction or sell their vehicles on consignment either for a fixed fee or a percentage of the sales price. On occasion in North America and on a primary basis in the UK, companies in our industry will purchase vehicles from the largest segment of sellers, insurance companies, and resell the vehicles for their own account. The vehicles are usually purchased at a price based either on a percentage of the vehicles' estimated pre-accident cash value and/or based on the extent of damage. Vehicle remarketers typically operate from multiple facilities where vehicles are processed, viewed, stored and delivered to the buyer. While most companies in this industry remarket vehicles through a physical auction, Copart sells all of its vehicles on its Internet selling platform, VB², thus eliminating the requirement for buyers to travel to an auction location to participate in the sales process.

Although there are other sellers of vehicles, such as banks and financial institutions, charities, car dealerships, fleet operators, vehicle rental companies and the general public, the primary sellers of vehicles are insurance companies.

Automobile manufacturers are incorporating new standard features, including unibody construction, passenger safety cages with surrounding crumple zones to absorb impacts, plastic components, airbags, xenon lights, computer systems, heated seats, and navigation systems. We believe that one effect of these additional features is that newer vehicles involved in accidents are more costly to repair and, accordingly, more likely to be deemed a total loss for insurance purposes.

The primary buyers of the vehicles are vehicle dismantlers, rebuilders, repair licensees, used vehicle dealers, exporters and in some states, the general public. Vehicle dismantlers, which we believe are the largest group of vehicle buyers, either dismantle a salvage vehicle and sell parts individually or sell the entire vehicle to rebuilders, used vehicle dealers, or the public. Vehicle rebuilders and vehicle repair licensees generally purchase salvage vehicles to repair and resell. Used vehicle dealers generally purchase recovered stolen or slightly damaged vehicles for resale.

The majority of our vehicles are sold on behalf of insurance companies and are usually vehicles involved in an accident. Typically the damaged vehicle is towed to a storage facility or a vehicle repair facility for temporary storage pending insurance company examination. The vehicle is inspected by the insurance company's adjuster, who estimates the costs of repairing the vehicle and gathers information regarding the damaged vehicle's mileage, options and condition in order to estimate its pre-accident value (PAV), or actual cash value (ACV). The adjuster determines whether to pay for repairs or to classify the vehicle as a total loss based upon the adjuster's estimate of repair costs, vehicle's salvage value, and the PAV or ACV, as well as customer service considerations. If the cost of repair is greater than the pre-accident value less the estimated salvage value, the insurance company generally will classify the vehicle as a total loss. The insurance company will thereafter assign the vehicle to a vehicle auction and remarketing services company, settle with the insured and receive title to the vehicle.

We believe the primary factors that insurance companies consider when selecting an auction and vehicle remarketing services company include:

the anticipated percentage return on salvage (i.e., gross salvage proceeds, minus vehicle handling and selling expenses, divided by the actual cash value);

the services provided by the company and the degree to which such services reduce administrative costs and expenses;

Table of Contents

the price the company charges for its services;

national coverage;

the ability to respond to natural disasters;

the ability to provide analytical data to the seller; and

in the UK, the actual amount paid for the vehicle.

In the UK, insurance companies generally tender periodic contracts for the purchase of salvaged vehicles. The insurance company will generally award the contract to the company that is willing to pay the highest price for the vehicles.

Generally, upon receipt of the pick up order (the assignment), we arrange for the transport of a vehicle to a facility. As a service to the vehicle seller, we will customarily pay advance charges (reimbursable charges paid on behalf of vehicle sellers) to obtain the vehicle's release from a towing company, vehicle repair facility or impound facility. Advance charges paid on behalf of the vehicle seller are either recovered upon sale of the vehicle or invoiced separately to the seller.

The salvage vehicle then remains in storage at one of our facilities until ownership documents are transferred from the insured vehicle owner and the title to the vehicle is cleared through the appropriate state's motor vehicle regulatory agency, or DMV. In the US, total loss vehicles may be sold in most states only after obtaining a salvage title from the DMV. Upon receipt of the appropriate documentation from the DMV, which is generally received within 45 to 60 days of vehicle pick-up, the vehicle is sold either on behalf of the insurance company or for our own account, depending on the terms of the contract. In the UK, upon release of interest by the vehicle owner, the insurance company notifies us that the vehicle is available for sale.

Generally, sellers of non-salvage vehicles will arrange to deliver the vehicle to one of our locations. At that time, the vehicle information will be uploaded to our system and made available for buyers to review online. The vehicle is then sold either at a live auction or, in our case, on VB² typically within 7 days. Proceeds are then collected from the member, seller fees are subtracted and the remainder is remitted to the seller.

Operating and Growth Strategy

Our growth strategy is to increase our revenues and profitability by, among other things, (i) acquiring and developing new facilities in key markets including foreign markets, (ii) pursuing national and regional vehicle supply agreements, (iii) expanding our online auctions and vehicle remarketing service offerings to sellers and members, and (iv) expanding the application of VB² into new markets and to new sellers within the vehicle market. In addition, to maximize gross sales proceeds and cost efficiencies at each of our acquired facilities we introduce our (i) pricing structure, (ii) selling processes, (iii) operational procedures, (iv) management information systems, and (v) when appropriate, redeploy existing personnel.

As part of our overall expansion strategy, our objective is to increase our revenues, operating profits, and market share in the vehicle sales industry. To implement our growth strategy, we intend to continue to do the following:

Acquire and Develop New Vehicle Storage Facilities in Key Markets Including Foreign Markets

Our strategy is to offer integrated services to vehicle sellers on a national or regional basis by acquiring or developing facilities in new and existing markets. We integrate our new acquisitions into our global network and capitalize on certain operating efficiencies resulting from, among other things, the reduction of duplicative overhead and the implementation of our operating procedures.

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth facilities that we have acquired or opened from August 1, 2007 through July 31, 2010:

| Locations | Acquisition or Greenfield | Date | Geographic Service Area |
|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Peterlee, England | Acquisition | August 2007 | Northern England |
| Wisbech, England | Acquisition | August 2007 | Eastern England |
| Rochford, England | Acquisition | August 2007 | Southeast England |
| London, Canada | Greenfield | September 2007 | Southern Ontario |
| Windsor, New Jersey | Greenfield | November 2007 | Central New Jersey |
| Walton, Kentucky | Greenfield | January 2008 | Northern Kentucky |
| Birmingham, Alabama | Greenfield | February 2008 | Central Alabama |
| Inverkeithing, Scotland | Acquisition | March 2008 | Central Scotland |
| Whitburn, Scotland | Acquisition | March 2008 | Central Scotland |
| Featherstone, England | Acquisition | * March 2008 | Northeast England |
| Doncaster, England | Acquisition | * March 2008 | Northeast England |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota | Greenfield | March 2008 | Central Minnesota and Wisconsin |
| Sikeston, Missouri | Acquisition | March 2008 | Southeast Missouri |
| York, England | Acquisition | April 2008 | Northern England |
| Prairie Grove, Arkansas | Greenfield | July 2008 | Northwest Arkansas |
| Louisville, Kentucky | Greenfield | September 2008 | Northwest Kentucky and Southern Indiana |
| Richmond, Virginia | Greenfield | ** October 2008 | Central Virginia |
| Montgomery, Alabama | Greenfield | February 2009 | Central Alabama |
| Greer, South Carolina | Greenfield | February 2009 | Northwest South Carolina |
| Warren, Massachusetts | Greenfield | June 2009 | Central Massachusetts |
| Bristol, England | Acquisition | January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Bedford, England | Acquisition | January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Colchester, England | Acquisition | January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Gainsborough, England | Acquisition | *** January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Luton, England | Acquisition | January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Scranton, Pennsylvania | Greenfield | February 2010 | Pennsylvania |

*
Closed in fiscal 2008

**
Former Motors Auction Group (MAG) facility

Closed in fiscal 2010

Pursue National and Regional Vehicle Supply Agreements

Our broad national presence enhances our ability to enter into local, regional or national supply agreements with vehicle sellers. We actively seek to establish national and regional supply agreements with insurance companies by promoting our ability to achieve high net returns and broader access to buyers through our national coverage and electronic commerce capabilities. By utilizing our existing insurance company seller relationships, we are able to build new seller relationships and pursue additional supply agreements in existing and new markets.

Expand Our Service Offerings to Sellers and Members

Over the past several years, we have expanded our available service offerings to vehicle sellers and members. The primary focus of these new service offerings is to maximize returns to our sellers and maximize product value to our members. This includes, for our sellers, real-time access to sales data over the Internet, national coverage, the ability to respond on a national scale and, for our members, the implementation of VB² real-time bidding at all of our facilities, permitting members at any location worldwide to participate in the sales at all of our yards. We plan to continue to refine

Table of Contents

and expand our services, including offering software that can assist our sellers in expediting claims and salvage management tools that help sellers integrate their systems with ours.

Our Competitive Advantages

We believe that the following attributes and the services that we offer position us to take advantage of many opportunities in the online vehicle auction and services industry.

National Coverage and Ability to Respond on a National Scale

Since our inception in 1982, we have expanded from a single facility in Vallejo, California to an integrated network of 152 facilities located in the United States, Canada and the UK as of July 31, 2010. We are able to offer integrated services to our vehicle sellers, which allow us to respond to the needs of our sellers and members with maximum efficiency. Our coverage provides our sellers with key advantages, including:

a reduction in administrative time and effort;

a reduction in overall vehicle towing costs;

convenient local facilities;

improved access to buyers throughout the world;

a prompt response in the event of a natural disaster or other catastrophe; and

consistency in products and services.

Value-Added Services

We believe that we offer the most comprehensive range of services in our industry, including:

Internet bidding, Internet proxy bidding, and virtual sales powered by VB², which enhance the competitive bidding process;

online payment capabilities via our ePay product and credit cards;

e-mail notifications to potential buyers of vehicles that match desired characteristics;

sophisticated vehicle processing at storage sites, including ten-view digital imaging of each vehicle and the scanning of each vehicle's title and other significant documents such as body shop invoices, all of which are available from us over the Internet;

CoPartfinder, our Internet-based used vehicle parts locator that provides vehicle dismantlers with greater resale opportunities for their purchases;

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

specialty sales, which allow buyers the opportunity to focus on select types of vehicles: i.e. motorcycles, heavy equipment, boats, recreational vehicles and rental cars;

Interactive Online Counter-bidding, which allows sellers who have placed a minimum bid or a bid to be approved on a vehicle to directly counter-bid the current high bidder;

2nd chance bidding which allow the second highest bidder the opportunity to purchase the vehicle for the seller's current minimum bid; and

Night Cap sales which include vehicles that did not achieve their minimum bid during the virtual sale, counter bidding, or 2nd chance bidding.

Proven Ability to Acquire and Integrate Acquisitions

We have a proven track record of successfully acquiring and integrating vehicle storage facilities. Since becoming a public company in 1994, we have completed the acquisition of 78

Table of Contents

facilities in North America and the UK. As part of our acquisition and integration strategy, we seek to:

expand our global presence;

strengthen our networks and access new markets;

utilize our existing corporate and technology infrastructure over a larger base of operations; and

introduce our comprehensive services and operational expertise.

We strive to integrate all new facilities, when appropriate, into our existing network without disruption of service to vehicle sellers. We work with new sellers to implement our fee structures and new service programs. We typically retain existing employees at acquired facilities in order to retain knowledge about, and respond to, the local market. We also assign a special integration team to help convert newly acquired facilities to our own management information and proprietary software systems, enabling us to ensure a smooth and consistent transition to our business operating and sales systems.

Technology to Enhance and Expand Our Business

We have developed management information and proprietary software systems that allow us to deliver a fully integrated service offering. Our proprietary software programs provide vehicle sellers with online access to data and reports regarding their vehicles being processed at any of our facilities. This technology allows vehicle sellers to monitor each stage of our vehicle sales process, from pick up to sale and settlement by the buyer. Our full range of Internet services allows us to expedite each stage of the vehicle sales process and minimizes the administrative and processing costs for us as well as our sellers. We believe that our integrated technology systems generate improved capacity and financial returns for our clients, resulting in high client retention, and allow us to expand our national supply contracts.

Our Service Offerings

We offer vehicle sellers a full range of vehicle services, which expedite each stage of the vehicle sales process, maximizing proceeds and minimizing costs. Not all service offerings are available in all markets.

Online Seller Access

Through Copart Access, our Internet-based service for vehicle sellers, we enable sellers to assign vehicles for sale, check sales calendars, view vehicle images and history, view and reprint body shop invoices and towing receipts and view the historical performance of the vehicles sold at our sales.

Salvage Estimation Services

We offer Copart ProQuote, a proprietary service that assists sellers in the vehicle claims evaluation process by providing online salvage value estimates, which help sellers determine whether to repair a particular vehicle or deem it a total loss.

Estimating Services

We offer vehicle sellers in the UK estimating services for vehicles taken to our facilities. Estimating services provide our insurance company sellers repair estimates which allow the insurance company to determine if the vehicle is a total loss vehicle. If the vehicle is determined to be a total loss, it is generally assigned to inventory.

Table of Contents

End-of-Life Vehicle Processing

In the UK, we are an authorized treatment facility, or ATF, for the disposal of End-of-Life vehicles, or ELVs.

Virtual Insured Exchange (VIX)

We provide the venue for insurance customers to enter a vehicle into a sealed bid sale to establish its true value, thereby allowing the insurance customer to avoid dealing with estimated values when negotiating with owners who wish to retain their damaged vehicles.

Transportation Services

We maintain contracts with third-party vehicle transport companies, which enable us to pick up most of our sellers' vehicles within 24 hours. Our national network and transportation capabilities provide cost and time savings to our vehicle sellers and ensure on-time vehicle pick up and prompt response to catastrophes and natural disasters in North America. In the UK, we perform transportation services through a combination of our fleet of over 100 vehicles and third party vehicle transport companies.

Vehicle Inspection Stations

We offer some of our major insurance company sellers office and yard space to house vehicle inspection stations on-site at our facilities. We have over 60 vehicle inspection stations at our facilities. An on-site vehicle inspection station provides our insurance company sellers with a central location to inspect potential total loss vehicles, which reduces storage charges that otherwise may be incurred at the initial storage or repair facility.

On-Demand Reporting

We provide vehicle sellers with real time data for vehicles that we process for the particular seller. This includes vehicle sellers' gross and net returns on each vehicle, service charges, and other data that enable our vehicle sellers to more easily administer and monitor the vehicle disposition process. In addition, we have developed a database containing over 240 fields of real-time and historical information accessible by our sellers allowing for their generation of custom ad hoc reports and customer specific analysis.

DMV Processing

We have extensive expertise in DMV document and title processing for salvage vehicles. We have developed a computer system which provides a direct link to the DMV computer systems of several states, allowing us to expedite the processing of vehicle title paperwork.

Flexible Vehicle Processing Programs

At the election of the seller, we sell vehicles pursuant to our Percentage Incentive Program (PIP), Consignment Program or Purchase Program.

Percentage Fee Consignment. Our Percentage Incentive Program is an innovative processing program designed to broadly serve the needs of vehicle sellers. Under PIP, we agree to sell all of the vehicles of a seller in a specified market, usually for a predetermined percentage of the vehicle sales price. Because our revenues under PIP are directly linked to the vehicle's sale price, we have an incentive to actively merchandise those vehicles to maximize the net return. We provide the vehicle seller, at our expense, with transport of the vehicle to our nearest facility, and DMV document and title processing. In addition, we provide merchandising services such as covering or taping openings to protect vehicle interiors from weather, washing vehicle exteriors, vacuuming

Table of Contents

vehicle interiors, cleaning and polishing dashboards and tires, making keys for drivable vehicles, and identifying drivable vehicles. We believe our merchandising efforts increase the sales prices of the vehicles, thereby increasing the return on salvage vehicles to both vehicle sellers and us.

Consignment Program. Under our consignment program, we sell vehicles for a fixed consignment fee. Although sometimes included in the consignment fee, we may also charge additional fees for the cost of transporting the vehicle to our facility, storage of the vehicle, and other incidental costs.

Purchase Program. Under the purchase program, we purchase vehicles from a vehicle seller at a formula price, based on a percentage of the vehicles' estimated pre-accident value (PAV), or actual cash value (ACV), and sell the vehicles for our own account. We have no purchase programs in North America.

Member Network

We maintain a database of thousands of members in the vehicle dismantling, rebuilding, repair licensee, used vehicle dealer, export industries, and the general public as we sell directly to the general public at certain locations. Our database includes each member's vehicle preference and purchasing history. This data enables us to notify via e-mail prospective buyers throughout the world of vehicles available for bidding that match their vehicle preferences. Listings of vehicles to be sold on a particular day and location are also made available on the Internet.

Sales Process

We offer a flexible and unique sales process designed to maximize the sale prices of the vehicles utilizing VB². VB² opens our sales process to registered members anywhere in the world who have Internet access. The VB² technology and model employs a two-step bidding process. The first step is an open preliminary bidding feature that allows a member to enter bids either at a bidding station at the storage facility during the preview days or over the Internet. To improve the effectiveness of bidding, the VB² system lets a member see the current high bid on the vehicle they want to purchase. The preliminary bidding step is an open bid format similar to eBay. Members enter the maximum price they are willing to pay for a vehicle and VB²'s BID4U feature will incrementally bid the vehicle on their behalf during all steps of the auction. Preliminary bidding ends one hour prior to the start of a second bidding step, an Internet-only virtual auction. This second step allows bidders the opportunity to bid against each other and the highest preliminary bidder. The bidders enter bids via the Internet in real time, then BID4U submits bids for the highest preliminary bidder, up to their maximum bid. When bidding stops, a countdown is initiated. If no bids are received during the countdown, the vehicle sells to the highest bidder.

CoPartfinder

CoPartfinder is our unique Internet "search engine" that enables users to locate used vehicle parts quickly and efficiently. CoPartfinder is accessible by the public through a Copart-sponsored website. CoPartfinder lists vehicles recently sold through VB² and identifies certain purchasers. This allows vehicle dismantlers and other resellers to streamline their parts sale process and access a large pool of potential buyers. Parts buyers can use CoPartfinder to search for specific vehicle makes and models and view digital images of vehicles that meet their requirements. Once a specific parts seller is identified for a specific part requirement, buyers have the option to call, fax, or e-mail the dismantler/seller. We believe that CoPartfinder provides an incentive for vehicle dismantlers to purchase their salvage vehicles through our sales process.

Table of Contents

Copart Dealer Services

We provide franchise and independent dealers with a convenient method to sell their trade-ins through any of our facilities. We have engaged agents in North America that target these dealers and work with them throughout the sales process.

CopartDirect

We provide the general public with a fast and convenient method to sell vehicles through any of our North American facilities. Anyone can call 1-888-Sell-it-1 and arrange to drop off their vehicle and transferable title at any of our North American facilities. We sell the vehicle by listing it on VB², monitoring the sale, handling the title processing, collecting payment from the buyer, and remitting the balance less our fees to the seller.

U-Pull-It

In the UK, we have two facilities from which the public can purchase parts from salvaged and end-of-life vehicles. In general, the buyer is responsible for detaching the parts from the vehicle and any associated hauling or transportation of the parts after detachment. After the valuable parts have been removed by the buyer, the remaining parts and car body are sold for their scrap value.

Sales

We process vehicles from hundreds of different vehicle sellers. No customer accounted for more than 10% of our total revenues during fiscal years 2010, 2009 or 2008. Of the total number of vehicles processed during fiscal years 2010, 2009 and 2008, we obtained approximately 80%, 83% and 83%, respectively, from insurance company sellers. Our arrangements with our sellers are typically subject to cancellation by either party upon 30 to 90 days notice.

We typically contract with the regional or branch office of an insurance company or other vehicle sellers. The agreements are customized to each vehicle seller's particular needs and often provide for the disposition of different types of salvage vehicles by differing methods. Our arrangements generally provide that we will sell total loss and recovered stolen vehicles generated by the vehicle seller in a designated geographic area.

We market our services to vehicle sellers through an in-house sales force and independent agents that utilize a variety of sales techniques, including targeted mailing of our sales literature, telemarketing, follow-up personal sales calls, Internet search engines, employee referrals, tow shop referrals, participation in trade shows and vehicle and insurance industry conventions. We market our services to the general public under CopartDirect by utilizing an in-house sales force and we market our services to franchise and independent dealerships through a group of independent agents. We may, when appropriate, provide vehicle sellers with detailed analysis of the net return on vehicles and a proposal setting forth ways in which we believe that we can improve net returns on vehicles and reduce administrative costs and expenses.

Please see Note 14 "Segments and Other Geographical Information" in our Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for information regarding the geographic location of our sales and our long-lived assets.

Members

We maintain a database of thousands of registered members in the vehicle dismantling, rebuilding, repair licensee, used vehicle dealer and export industries. We believe that we have established a broad international and domestic buyer base by providing members with a variety of programs and services. To become a registered member and gain admission to one of our sales, prospective members must first pay an initial registration fee and an annual fee, provide requested

Table of Contents

personal and business information, and have, in most states, a vehicle dismantler's, dealer's, resale, repair or export license. In certain venues we may sell to the general public. Registration entitles a member to transact business at any of our sales subject to local licensing and permitting requirements. However, non-registered buyers may transact business at any of our sales via a registered broker who meets the local licensing and permitting requirements. A member may also bring guests to a facility for a fee to preview vehicles for sale. Strict admission procedures are intended to prevent frivolous bids that would invalidate the sale. We market to members on the Internet and via e-mail notifications, sales notices, telemarketing, and participation in trade show events.

Competition

We face significant competition from other remarketers of both salvage and non-salvage vehicles. We believe our principal competitors include vehicle auction and sales companies and vehicle dismantlers. These national, regional and local competitors may have established relationships with vehicle sellers and buyers and may have financial resources that are greater than ours. The largest national or regional vehicle auctioneers in North America include KAR Holdings, Inc. (formerly Adesa, Inc. and Insurance Auto Auctions, Inc.), Auction Broadcasting Company, and Manheim Auctions. The largest national dismantler is LKQ Corporation (LKQ). LKQ, in addition to trade groups of dismantlers such as the American Recycling Association and the United Recyclers Group, may purchase salvage vehicles directly from insurance companies, thereby bypassing vehicle remarketing companies entirely. In the UK, our principal competitors are privately held independent remarketers.

Management Information Systems

Our primary management information system consists of an IBM AS/400 mainframe computer system, integrated computer interfaces, and proprietary business operating software that we developed and which tracks salvage sales vehicles throughout the sales process. We have implemented our proprietary business operating software at all of our storage facilities. In addition, we have integrated our mainframe computer system with Internet and Intranet systems in order to provide secure access to our data and images in a variety of formats.

Our auction-style service product, VB², is served by an array of identical high-density, high-performance servers. Each individual sale is configured to run on an available server in the array and can be rapidly provisioned to any other available server in the array as required.

We have invested in a production data center that is designed to run the business in the event of an emergency. The facility's electrical and mechanical systems are continually monitored. This facility is located in an area considered to be free of weather-related disasters and earthquakes.

As of July 31, 2008, our UK operations were completely migrated to our proprietary business operating software and servers described above.

Employees

As of July 31, 2010, we had 2,834 full-time employees, of whom approximately 643 were engaged in general and administrative functions and approximately 2,191 were engaged in yard operations. As of July 31, 2010, we had 2,316 and 518 employees located in North America and the UK, respectively. We are not currently subject to any collective bargaining agreements and believe our relationships with our employees are good.

Table of Contents**Environmental Matters**

Our operations are subject to various laws and regulations regarding the protection of the environment. In the salvage vehicle remarketing industry, large numbers of wrecked vehicles are stored at facilities and, during that time, spills of fuel, motor oils and other fluids may occur, resulting in soil, surface water or groundwater contamination. Certain of our facilities store petroleum products and other hazardous materials in above-ground containment tanks and some of our facilities generate waste materials such as solvents or used oils that must be disposed of as non-hazardous or hazardous waste, as appropriate. We have implemented procedures to reduce the amount of soil contamination that may occur at our facilities, and we have initiated safety programs and training of personnel on the safe storage and handling of hazardous materials. We believe that we are in compliance, in all material respects, with all applicable environmental regulations and we do not anticipate any material capital expenditures to remain in environmental compliance. If additional or more stringent requirements are imposed on us in the future, we could incur additional capital expenditures.

In connection with the acquisition of the Dallas, Texas facility in 1994, we set aside \$3.0 million to cover the costs of environmental remediation, stabilization and related consulting expenses for a six-acre portion of the facility that contained elevated levels of lead due to the activities of the former operators. We began the stabilization process in 1996 and completed it in 1999. We paid all remediation and related costs from the \$3.0 million fund and, in accordance with the acquisition agreement, distributed the remainder of the fund to the seller of the Dallas facility, less \$0.2 million which was held back to cover the costs of obtaining the no-further-action letter. In September 2002, our environmental engineering consultant issued a report, which concludes that the soil stabilization has effectively stabilized the lead-impacted soil, and that the concrete cap should prevent impact to storm water and subsequent surface water impact. Our consultant thereafter submitted an Operations and Maintenance Plan (Plan) to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) providing for a two-year inspection and maintenance plan for the concrete cap, and a two-year ground and surface water monitoring plan. In January of 2003, the TCEQ approved the Plan, subject to the additions of upstream (background) surface water samples from the intermittent stream adjacent to the facility and documentation of any repairs to the concrete cap during the post closure-monitoring period. The first semi-annual water sampling was conducted in April 2003, which reflected that the lead-impacted, stabilized soil is not impacting the ground and/or surface water. The second round of semi-annual water samples collected in October and November 2003 reported concentration of lead in one storm water and one surface water sample in excess of the established upstream criteria for lead. In correspondence, which we received in July 2004, the TCEQ approved with comment our water monitoring report dated February 24, 2004. The TCEQ instructed us to continue with post-closure monitoring and maintenance activities and submit the next report in accordance with the approved schedules. In February 2005, a report from our environmental engineering consultant was transmitted to the TCEQ containing the results of annual monitoring activities consisting of two (2) semi-annual sampling events which occurred in April/June 2004 and October/November 2004. Laboratory analytical results indicated no lead concentrations exceeding the target concentration level set in the Corrective Measures Study for the site, but some results were in excess of Texas surface water quality standards. Our environmental engineering consultant concluded in the February 2005 report to the TCEQ that it is unlikely that lead concentrations detected in the storm water runoff samples are attributable to the lead impacted soils. Based on the results of the 2004 samplings, we requested that no further action be taken and that a closure letter be issued by the TCEQ. In September 2007, the TCEQ notified us that they did not concur with our consultant's conclusions and recommendations. The TCEQ said it would not provide a closure letter until additional sampling of surface water is performed which reflects concentrations of lead below Texas surface water quality standards. In February 2008, the TCEQ provided comments to our proposal for surface water

Table of Contents

sampling. In March 2008, our environmental engineer submitted to the TCEQ an addendum to the surface water sampling plan, which was approved by the TCEQ in June 2008. Sampling was performed in November 2008. In December 2008, a report was submitted to the TCEQ indicating that lead levels were below Texas surface water quality standards. In May of 2009, the TCEQ approved the Surface Water Sampling Report, as well as the Concrete Cap Inspection Report submitted in December 2008. We have made the necessary repairs to the concrete cap and provided a survey map of the cap. Annual inspections of the cap are required to ensure its maintenance. There is no assurance that we may not incur future liabilities if the stabilization process proves ineffective, or if future testing of surface or ground water reflects concentrations of lead which exceed Texas surface or ground water quality standards.

We do not believe that the above environmental matter will, either individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Governmental Regulations

Our operations are subject to regulation, supervision and licensing under various federal, national, international, provincial, state and local statutes, ordinances and regulations. The acquisition and sale of damaged and recovered stolen vehicles is regulated by various state, provincial and international motor vehicle departments. In addition to the regulation of sales and acquisitions of vehicles, we are also subject to various local zoning requirements with regard to the location of our storage facilities. These zoning requirements vary from location to location. At various times, we may be involved in disputes with local governmental officials regarding the development and/or operation of our business facilities. We believe that we are in compliance in all material respects with applicable regulatory requirements. We may be subject to similar types of regulations by federal, national, international, provincial, state, and local governmental agencies in new markets.

Legal Proceedings

We are involved in litigation and damage claims arising in the ordinary course of business, such as actions related to injuries, property damage, and handling or disposal of vehicles. This litigation includes the following matter:

On November 20, 2007, Car Auction & Reinsurance Solutions, Inc. (CARS) filed suit against Copart in the Superior Court in the County of New Castle, Delaware. CARS is seeking in excess of \$2 million in damages, punitive damages, and prejudgment interest related to allegations involving breach of contract and misrepresentation. We believe the claim is without merit and are defending the lawsuit vigorously.

On December 16, 2008, Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company filed suit against Copart in the US District Court, Northern District of California. Liberty Mutual's complaint sought reformation of an insurance contract and specific performance in relation to a policy issued to us with a \$50,000 self-insured retention. After settlement of a claim under the subject policy for \$3.95 million, Liberty Mutual sought to reform the contract and charge us for a \$2 million self-insured retention which it claimed was the original intent. Pursuant to a settlement agreement between the parties, the case was dismissed in January 2010.

We provide for costs relating to these matters when a loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. The effect of the outcome of these matters on our future results of operations cannot be predicted because any such effect depends on future results of operations and the amount and timing of the resolution of such matters. We believe that any ultimate liability will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

However, the amount of the liabilities associated with these claims, if any, cannot be determined with certainty. We maintain insurance which may or may not provide coverage for claims made against us. There is no assurance that there will be insurance coverage available when and if needed. Additionally, the insurance that we carry requires that we pay for costs and/or claims exposure up to the amount of the insurance deductibles negotiated when insurance is purchased.

Intellectual Property and Proprietary Rights

In June 2003, we filed a provisional US patent application on VB² in the United States. This provisional patent application was followed by a US utility application filed in July 2003 and a concurrent international application that has since been nationalized and is pending in the Netherlands, Canada, Australia, China, the European Union, Mexico and Japan. The patent was issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office on January 1, 2008. Generally, patents issued in the US are effective for 20 years from the earliest asserted filing date of the patent application. The duration of foreign patents varies in accordance with the provisions of applicable local law. We are not dependent upon any single patent application and there can be no assurance that any patents will be issued from pending applications or that any patents that are issued will provide meaningful protection or other commercial advantages to us.

We also rely on a combination of trade secret, copyright and trademark laws, as well as contractual agreements to safeguard our proprietary rights in technology and products. In seeking to limit access to sensitive information to the greatest practical extent, we routinely enter into confidentiality and assignment of invention agreements with each of our employees and consultants and nondisclosure agreements with our key customers and vendors.

Seasonality

Historically, our operating results have been subject to quarterly variations based on a variety of factors, of which the primary influence is the seasonal change in weather patterns. During the winter months we tend to have higher demand for our services because there are more weather related accidents.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

Investing in our common stock involves a high degree of risk. You should consider carefully the risks and uncertainties described below before making an investment decision. Our business could be harmed if any of these risks, as well as other risks not currently known to us or that we currently deem immaterial, materialized. The trading price of our common stock could decline due to the occurrence of any of these risks, and you may lose all or part of your investment. In assessing the risks described below, you should also refer to the other information contained in this Form 10-K, including our consolidated financial statements and the related notes and schedules, and other filings with the SEC.

We depend on a limited number of major vehicle sellers for a substantial portion of our revenues. The loss of one or more of these major sellers could adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition, and an inability to increase our sources of vehicle supply could adversely affect our growth rates.

Although no single customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenue during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2010, historically, a limited number of vehicle sellers have collectively accounted for a substantial portion of our revenues. Seller arrangements are either written or oral agreements typically subject to cancellation by either party upon 30 to 90 days notice. Vehicle sellers have terminated agreements with us in the past in particular markets, which has affected the pricing for sales services in those markets. There can be no assurance that our existing agreements will not be

Table of Contents

cancelled. Furthermore, there can be no assurance that we will be able to enter into future agreements with vehicle sellers or that we will be able to retain our existing supply of salvage vehicles. A reduction in vehicles from a significant vehicle seller or any material changes in the terms of an arrangement with a significant vehicle seller could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition. In addition, a failure to increase our sources of vehicle supply could adversely affect our earnings and revenue growth rates.

Our acquisitions in the UK expose us to risks arising from the acquisitions and risks associated with operating in markets outside North America. We may acquire additional companies in the UK or other countries or seek to establish new yards or facilities to complement the acquired companies' operations. Any failure to successfully integrate businesses acquired outside of North America into our operations could have an adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

During fiscal 2007, we completed the acquisition of Universal Salvage plc, or Universal, our first acquisition in the UK. In fiscal 2008, we completed the acquisitions of Century Salvage Sales Limited, (Century), Simpson Bros. (York) Holdings, Limited and AG Watson Auto Salvage & Motor Spares (Scotland) Limited (AG Watson), all located within the UK. In fiscal 2010, we completed the acquisition of D Hales Limited (D Hales) which is also located in the UK. We may continue to acquire additional companies or operations in the UK or other countries in Europe or may seek to establish new yards or operations in the UK or Europe now that we have established a presence in these markets.

Our acquisitions in the UK and continued expansion of our operations outside North America pose substantial risks and uncertainties that could have an adverse effect on our future operating results. In particular, we may not be successful in realizing anticipated synergies from these acquisitions, or we may experience unanticipated costs or expenses integrating the acquired operations into our existing business. For example, in the second quarter of fiscal 2008, we experienced losses associated with credit card fraud in the UK. In addition, our operating expenses were adversely affected in the second quarter of fiscal 2008 by incremental integration expenses. We have and may continue to incur substantial expenses establishing new yards or operations in the UK or Europe. Among other things, we have deployed VB² technologies at all of our operations in the UK and we cannot predict whether this deployment will be successful or will result in increases in the revenues or operating efficiencies of any acquired companies relative to their historic operating performance. Integration of our respective operations, including information technology integration and integration of financial and administrative functions, may not proceed as we currently anticipate and could result in presently unanticipated costs or expenses (including unanticipated capital expenditures) that could have an adverse effect on our future operating results. We cannot provide any assurances that we will achieve our business and financial objectives in connection with these acquisitions or our strategic decision to expand our operations internationally.

As we continue to expand our business internationally, we will need to develop policies and procedures to manage our business on a global scale. Operationally, the businesses of Universal, Century, AG Watson and D Hales have depended on key seller relationships, and our failure to maintain those relationships would have an adverse effect on our operating objectives for the UK and could have an adverse effect on our future operating results.

In addition, we anticipate our international operations will subject us to a variety of risks associated with operating on an international basis, including:

the difficulty of managing and staffing foreign offices and the increased travel, infrastructure and legal compliance costs associated with multiple international locations;

the need to localize our product offerings, particularly with respect to VB²;

Table of Contents

tariffs and trade barriers and other regulatory or contractual limitations on our ability to operate in certain foreign markets;
and

exposure to foreign currency exchange rate risk, which may have an adverse impact on our revenues and revenue growth rates.

As we continue to expand our business globally, our success will depend, in large part, on our ability to anticipate and effectively manage these and other risks associated with our international operations. Our failure to manage any of these risks successfully could harm our international operations and have an adverse effect on our operating results.

Certain acquisitions in the United Kingdom may be reviewed by the Office of Fair Trade (OFT) and/or Competition Commission (UK Regulators). If an inquiry is made by the UK Regulators, we may be required to demonstrate our acquisitions will not result, or be expected to result, in a substantial lessening of competition in a UK market. Although we believe that there will not be a substantial lessening of competition in a UK market, based on our analysis of the relevant UK markets, there can be no assurance that the UK Regulators will agree with us if they decide to make an inquiry. If the UK Regulators determine that by our acquisitions of certain assets, there is or likely will be a substantial lessening of competition in a UK market, we could be required to divest some portion of our UK assets. In the event of a divestiture order by the UK Regulators, the assets disposed may be sold for substantially less than their carrying value. Accordingly, any divestiture could have a material adverse effect on our operating results in the period of the divestiture.

In the UK, a significant portion of our business is conducted on a principal basis, purchasing the salvage vehicle outright from the insurance companies and reselling the vehicle to buyers. Continued operations on a principal basis will have a negative impact on our future consolidated gross margin percentages and exposes us to additional inventory risks.

The period-to-period comparability of our operating results and financial condition is substantially affected by business acquisitions during such periods. In particular, the UK acquisitions, because of their size and, because the UK operates primarily on the principal model versus the agency model employed in North America, will have a significant impact on the comparability of revenues, margins and margin percentages in future periods. Continued operations on a principal basis will have a negative impact on our future consolidated gross margin percentages, and exposes us to inventory risks including losses from theft, damage, and obsolescence.

Our strategic shift from live sales to an entirely Internet-based sales model presents risks, including substantial technology risks.

During 2004 in North America and during 2008 in the UK we converted all of our sales from a live auction process to an entirely Internet-based auction-style model based on technology developed internally by us. The conversion represented a significant change in the way we conduct business and presents numerous risks, including our increased reliance on the availability and reliability of our network systems. In particular, we believe the conversion presents the following risks, among others:

Our operating results in a particular period could be adversely affected in the event our networks are not operable for an extended period of time for any reason, as a result of Internet viruses, or as a result of any other technological circumstance that makes us unable to conduct our virtual sales.

Our business is increasingly reliant on internally developed technology, and we have limited historic experience developing technologies or systems for large-scale implementation and use.

Table of Contents

Our general and administrative expenses have tended to increase as a percentage of revenue as our information technology payroll has increased.

The change in our business model may make it more difficult for management, investment analysts, and investors to model or predict our future operating results until sufficient historic data is available to evaluate the effect of the VB² implementation over a longer period of time and in different economic environments.

Our increasing reliance on proprietary technology subjects us to intellectual property risks, including the risk of third party infringement claims or the risk that we cannot establish or protect intellectual property rights in our technologies. We have filed patent applications for VB² in the Netherlands, Canada, Australia, China, the European Union, Mexico and Japan, but we cannot provide any assurances that the patents will actually be issued, or if issued that the patents would not later be found to be unenforceable or invalid.

Our results of operations may not continue to benefit from the implementation of VB² to the extent we have experienced in recent periods.

We believe that the implementation of our proprietary VB² sales technologies across our operations has had a favorable impact on our results of operations by increasing the size and geographic scope of our buyer base and increasing the average selling price for vehicles sold through our sales. VB² was implemented across all of our North American and UK salvage yards beginning in fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2008, respectively. We do not believe, however, that we will continue to experience improvements in our results of operations at the same relative rates we have experienced in the last few years. In addition, we cannot predict whether we will experience the same initial benefits from the implementation of VB² in the UK market, or in future markets we may enter, that we experienced in North America.

Failure to have sufficient capacity to accept additional cars at one or more of our storage facilities could adversely affect our relationships with insurance companies or other sellers of vehicles.

Capacity at our storage facilities varies from period to period and from region to region. For example, following adverse weather conditions in a particular area, our yards in that area may fill and limit our ability to accept additional salvage vehicles while we process existing inventories. As discussed below, Hurricanes Katrina and Rita had, in certain quarters, an adverse effect on our operating results, in part because of yard capacity constraints in the Gulf Coast area. We regularly evaluate our capacity in all our markets and, where appropriate, seek to increase capacity through the acquisition of additional land and yards. We may not be able to reach agreements to purchase independent storage facilities in markets where we have limited excess capacity, and zoning restrictions or difficulties obtaining use permits may limit our ability to expand our capacity through acquisitions of new land. Failure to have sufficient capacity at one or more of our yards could adversely affect our relationships with insurance companies or other sellers of vehicles, which could have an adverse effect on our operating results.

Because the growth of our business has been due in large part to acquisitions and development of new facilities, the rate of growth of our business and revenues may decline if we are not able to successfully complete acquisitions and develop new facilities.

We seek to increase our sales and profitability through the acquisition of additional facilities and the development of new facilities. There can be no assurance that we will be able to:

continue to acquire additional facilities on favorable terms;

expand existing facilities in no-growth regulatory environments;

Table of Contents

increase revenues and profitability at acquired and new facilities;

maintain the historical revenue and earnings growth rates we have been able to obtain through facility openings and strategic acquisitions; or

create new vehicle storage facilities that meet our current revenue and profitability requirements.

As we continue to expand our operations, our failure to manage growth could harm our business and adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

Our ability to manage growth depends not only on our ability to successfully integrate new facilities, but also on our ability to:

hire, train and manage additional qualified personnel;

establish new relationships or expand existing relationships with vehicle sellers;

identify and acquire or lease suitable premises on competitive terms;

secure adequate capital; and

maintain the supply of vehicles from vehicle sellers.

Our inability to control or manage these growth factors effectively could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Our annual and quarterly performance may fluctuate, causing the price of our stock to decline.

Our revenues and operating results have fluctuated in the past and can be expected to continue to fluctuate in the future on a quarterly and annual basis as a result of a number of factors, many of which are beyond our control. Factors that may affect our operating results include, but are not limited to, the following:

fluctuations in the market value of salvage and used vehicles;

the impact of foreign exchange gain and loss as a result of our recently acquired companies in the UK;

our ability to successfully integrate our newly acquired operations in the UK and any additional international markets we may enter;

the availability of salvage vehicles;

variations in vehicle accident rates;

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

member participation in the Internet bidding process;

delays or changes in state title processing;

changes in international, state or federal laws or regulations affecting salvage vehicles;

changes in local laws affecting who may purchase salvage vehicles;

our ability to integrate and manage our acquisitions successfully;

the timing and size of our new facility openings;

the announcement of new vehicle supply agreements by us or our competitors;

the severity of weather and seasonality of weather patterns;

the amount and timing of operating costs and capital expenditures relating to the maintenance and expansion of our business, operations and infrastructure;

the availability and cost of general business insurance;

labor costs and collective bargaining;

Table of Contents

acceptance of buyers and sellers of our Internet-based model deploying VB², a proprietary Internet auction-style sales technology;

changes in the current levels of out of state and foreign demand for salvage vehicles;

the introduction of a similar Internet product by a competitor; and

the ability to obtain necessary permits to operate.

Due to the foregoing factors, our operating results in one or more future periods can be expected to fluctuate. As a result, we believe that period-to-period comparisons of our results of operations are not necessarily meaningful and should not be relied upon as any indication of future performance. In the event such fluctuations result in our financial performance being below the expectations of public market analysts and investors, the price of our common stock could decline substantially.

Our strategic shift to an Internet-based sales model has increased the relative importance of intellectual property assets to our business, and any inability to protect those rights could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, or results of operations.

Implementation of VB² in our operations has increased the relative importance of intellectual property rights to our business. Our intellectual property rights include a patent for VB² as well as trademarks, trade secrets, copyrights and other intellectual property rights. In addition, we may enter into agreements with third parties regarding the license or other use of our intellectual property in foreign jurisdictions. Effective intellectual property protection may not be available in every country in which our products and services are distributed, deployed, or made available. We seek to maintain certain intellectual property rights as trade secrets. The secrecy could be compromised by third parties, or intentionally or accidentally by our employees, which would cause us to lose the competitive advantage resulting from those trade secrets. Any significant impairment of our intellectual property rights, or any inability to protect our intellectual property rights, could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

We have in the past been and may in the future be subject to intellectual property rights claims, which are costly to defend, could require us to pay damages, and could limit our ability to use certain technologies in the future.

Litigation based on allegations of infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights are common among companies who rely heavily on intellectual property rights. Our reliance on intellectual property rights has increased significantly in recent years as we have implemented our VB² auction-style sales technologies across our business and ceased conducting live auctions. As we face increasing competition, the possibility of intellectual property rights claims against us grows. Litigation and any other intellectual property claims, whether with or without merit, can be time-consuming, expensive to litigate and settle, and can divert management resources and attention from our core business. An adverse determination in current or future litigation could prevent us from offering our products and services in the manner currently conducted. We may also have to pay damages or seek a license for the technology, which may not be available on reasonable terms and which may significantly increase our operating expenses, if it is available for us to license at all. We could also be required to develop alternative non-infringing technology, which could require significant effort and expense.

If we experience problems with our trucking fleet operations, our business could be harmed.

We rely solely upon independent subhaulers to pick up and deliver vehicles to and from our North American storage facilities. We also utilize, to a lesser extent, independent subhaulers in the UK. Our failure to pick up and deliver vehicles in a timely and accurate manner could harm our reputation and brand, which could have a material adverse effect on our business. Further, an

Table of Contents

increase in fuel cost may lead to increased prices charged by our independent subhaulers, which may significantly increase our cost. We may not be able to pass these costs on to our sellers or buyers.

In addition to using independent subhaulers, in the UK, we utilize a fleet of company trucks to pick up and deliver vehicles from our UK storage facilities. In connection therewith, we are subject to the risks associated with providing trucking services, including inclement weather, disruptions in transportation infrastructure, availability and price of fuel, any of which could result in an increase in our operating expenses and reduction in our net income.

We are partially self-insured for certain losses and if our estimates of the cost of future claims differ from actual trends, our results of our operations could be harmed.

We are partially self-insured for certain losses related to medical insurance, general liability, workers' compensation and auto liability. Our liability represents an estimate of the ultimate cost of claims incurred as of the balance sheet date. The estimated liability is not discounted and is established based upon analysis of historical data and actuarial estimates. While we believe these estimates are reasonable based on the information currently available, if actual trends, including the severity of claims and medical cost inflation, differ from our estimates, our results of operations could be impacted. Further, we rely on independent actuaries to assist us in establishing the proper amount of reserves for anticipated payouts associated with these self-insured exposures.

Our executive officers, directors and their affiliates hold a large percentage of our stock and their interests may differ from other shareholders.

Our executive officers, directors and their affiliates beneficially own, in the aggregate, approximately 15% of our common stock as of July 31, 2010. If they were to act together, these shareholders would have significant influence over most matters requiring approval by shareholders, including the election of directors, any amendments to our articles of incorporation and certain significant corporate transactions, including potential merger or acquisition transactions. In addition, without the consent of these shareholders, we could be delayed or prevented from entering into transactions that could be beneficial to us or our other investors. These shareholders may take these actions even if they are opposed by our other investors.

We have a shareholder rights plan, or poison pill, which could affect the price of our common stock and make it more difficult for a potential acquirer to purchase a large portion of our securities, to initiate a tender offer or a proxy contest, or to acquire us.

In March 2003, our board of directors adopted a shareholder rights plan, commonly known as a poison pill. The poison pill may discourage, delay, or prevent a third party from acquiring a large portion of our securities, initiating a tender offer or proxy contest, or acquiring us through an acquisition, merger, or similar transaction. Such an acquirer could be prevented from consummating one of these transactions even if our shareholders might receive a premium for their shares over then-current market prices.

If we lose key management or are unable to attract and retain the talent required for our business, we may not be able to successfully manage our business or achieve our objectives.

Our future success depends in large part upon the leadership and performance of our executive management team, all of whom are employed on an at-will basis and none of whom are subject to any agreements not to compete. If we lose the service of one or more of our executive officers or key employees, in particular Willis J. Johnson, our Chairman; A. Jayson Adair, our Chief Executive Officer; and Vincent W. Mitz, our President, or if one or more of them decides to join a competitor or otherwise compete directly or indirectly with us, we may not be able to successfully manage our business or achieve our business objectives.

Table of Contents

Our cash investments are subject to numerous risks.

We may invest our excess cash in securities or money market funds backed by securities, which may include US treasuries, other federal, state and municipal debt, bonds, preferred stock, commercial paper, insurance contracts and other securities both privately and publicly traded. All securities are subject to risk, including fluctuations in interest rates, credit risk, market risk and systemic economic risk. Changes or movements in any of these risk factors may result in a loss or an impairment to our invested cash and may have a material affect on our consolidated financial statements.

The impairment of capitalized development costs could adversely affect our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

We capitalize certain costs associated with the development of new software products, new software for internal use and major software enhancements to existing software. These costs are amortized over the estimated useful life of the software beginning with its introduction or roll out. If, at any time, it is determined that capitalized software provides a reduced economic benefit, the unamortized portion of the capitalized development costs will be expensed, in part or in full, as an impairment, which may have a material impact on our consolidated results of operations and financial condition.

Increased investment in advertising and marketing could adversely impact our operating results.

In fiscal year 2010, we increased and in fiscal year 2011, we may increase our spending on advertising and marketing relative to 2009. These amounts may be material to our overall general and administrative expenses and we cannot predict what future benefit, if any, will be derived.

New member programs could impact our operating results.

We have or will initiate programs to open our auctions to the general public. These programs include the Registered Broker program through which the public can purchase vehicles through a registered member and the Market Maker program through which registered members can open Copart storefronts with Internet kiosks enabling the general public to search our inventory and purchase vehicles. Initiating programs that allow access to our online auctions to the general public may involve material expenditures and we cannot predict what future benefit, if any, will be derived.

Factors such as mild weather conditions can have an adverse effect on our revenues and operating results as well as our revenue and earnings growth rates by reducing the available supply of salvage vehicles. Conversely, extreme weather conditions can result in an oversupply of salvage vehicles that requires us to incur abnormal expenses to respond to market demands.

Mild weather conditions tend to result in a decrease in the available supply of salvage vehicles because traffic accidents decrease and fewer automobiles are damaged. Accordingly, mild weather can have an adverse effect on our salvage vehicle inventories, which would be expected to have an adverse effect on our revenue and operating results and related growth rates. Conversely, our inventories will tend to increase in poor weather such as a harsh winter or as a result of adverse weather-related conditions such as flooding. During periods of mild weather conditions, our ability to increase our revenues and improve our operating results and related growth will be increasingly dependent on our ability to obtain additional vehicle sellers and to compete more effectively in the market, each of which is subject to the other risks and uncertainties described in these sections. In addition, extreme weather conditions, although they increase the available supply of salvage cars, can have an adverse effect on our operating results. For example, during the year ended July 31, 2006, we recognized substantial additional costs associated with the impact of Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in Gulf Coast states. These additional costs, characterized as "abnormal" under FASB ASC 330, *Inventory*, were recognized during the year ended July 31, 2006, and included the additional

Table of Contents

subhauling, payroll, equipment and facilities expenses directly related to the operating conditions created by the hurricanes. In the event that we were to again experience extremely adverse weather or other anomalous conditions that result in an abnormally high number of salvage vehicles in one or more of our markets, those conditions could have an adverse effect on our future operating results.

Macroeconomic factors such as high fuel prices, declines in commodity prices, and declines in used car prices may have an adverse effect on our revenues and operating results as well as our earnings growth rates.

Macroeconomic factors that affect oil prices and the automobile and commodity markets can have adverse effects on our revenues, revenue growth rates (if any), and operating results. Significant increases in the cost of fuel could lead to a reduction in miles driven per car and a reduction in accident rates. A material reduction in accident rates could have a material impact on revenue growth. In addition, under our percentage incentive program contracts, or PIP, the cost of towing the vehicle to one of our facilities is included in the PIP fee. We may incur increased fees, which we may not be able to pass on to our vehicle sellers. A material increase in tow rates could have a material impact on our operating results. Volatility in fuel, commodity, and used car prices could have a material adverse effect on our revenues and revenue growth rates in future periods.

The salvage vehicle sales industry is highly competitive and we may not be able to compete successfully.

We face significant competition for the supply of salvage vehicles and for the buyers of those vehicles. We believe our principal competitors include other auction and vehicle remarketing service companies with whom we compete directly in obtaining vehicles from insurance companies and other sellers, and large vehicle dismantlers, who may buy salvage vehicles directly from insurance companies, bypassing the salvage sales process. Many of the insurance companies have established relationships with competitive remarketing companies and large dismantlers. Certain of our competitors may have greater financial resources than us. Due to the limited number of vehicle sellers, particularly in the UK, the absence of long-term contractual commitments between us and our sellers and the increasingly competitive market environment, there can be no assurance that our competitors will not gain market share at our expense.

We may also encounter significant competition for local, regional and national supply agreements with vehicle sellers. There can be no assurance that the existence of other local, regional or national contracts entered into by our competitors will not have a material adverse effect on our business or our expansion plans. Furthermore, we are likely to face competition from major competitors in the acquisition of vehicle storage facilities, which could significantly increase the cost of such acquisitions and thereby materially impede our expansion objectives or have a material adverse effect on our results of operations. These potential new competitors may include consolidators of automobile dismantling businesses, organized salvage vehicle buying groups, automobile manufacturers, automobile auctioneers and software companies. While most vehicle sellers have abandoned or reduced efforts to sell salvage vehicles directly without the use of service providers such as us, there can be no assurance that this trend will continue, which could adversely affect our market share, results of operations and financial condition. Additionally, existing or new competitors may be significantly larger and have greater financial and marketing resources than us; therefore, there can be no assurance that we will be able to compete successfully in the future.

Government regulation of the salvage vehicle sales industry may impair our operations, increase our costs of doing business and create potential liability.

Participants in the salvage vehicle sales industry are subject to, and may be required to expend funds to ensure compliance with a variety of governmental, regulatory and administrative rules,

Table of Contents

regulations, land use ordinances, licensure requirements and procedures, including those governing vehicle registration, the environment, zoning and land use. Failure to comply with present or future regulations or changes in interpretations of existing regulations may result in impairment of our operations and the imposition of penalties and other liabilities. At various times, we may be involved in disputes with local governmental officials regarding the development and/or operation of our business facilities. We believe that we are in compliance in all material respects with applicable regulatory requirements. We may be subject to similar types of regulations by federal, national, international, provincial, state, and local governmental agencies in new markets. In addition, new regulatory requirements or changes in existing requirements may delay or increase the cost of opening new facilities, may limit our base of salvage vehicle buyers and may decrease demand for our vehicles.

Changes in laws affecting the importation of salvage vehicles may have an adverse effect on our business and financial condition.

Our Internet-based auction-style model has allowed us to offer our products and services to international markets and has increased our international buyer base. As a result, foreign importers of salvage vehicles now represent a significant part of our total buyer base. Changes in laws and regulations that restrict the importation of salvage vehicles into foreign countries may reduce the demand for salvage vehicles and impact our ability to maintain or increase our international buyer base. For example, in March 2008, a decree issued by the president of Mexico became effective that placed restrictions on the types of vehicles that can be imported into Mexico from the United States. The adoption of similar laws or regulations in other jurisdictions that have the effect of reducing or curtailing our activities abroad could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition by reducing the demand for our products and services.

The operation of our storage facilities poses certain environmental risks, which could adversely affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Our operations are subject to federal, state, national, provincial and local laws and regulations regarding the protection of the environment in the countries which we have storage facilities. In the salvage vehicle remarketing industry, large numbers of wrecked vehicles are stored at storage facilities and, during that time, spills of fuel, motor oil and other fluids may occur, resulting in soil, surface water or groundwater contamination. In addition, certain of our facilities generate and/or store petroleum products and other hazardous materials, including waste solvents and used oil. In the UK, we provide vehicle de-pollution and crushing services for End-of-Life program vehicles. We could incur substantial expenditures for preventative, investigative or remedial action and could be exposed to liability arising from our operations, contamination by previous users of certain of our acquired facilities, or the disposal of our waste at off-site locations. Environmental laws and regulations could become more stringent over time and there can be no assurance that we or our operations will not be subject to significant costs in the future. Although we have obtained indemnification for pre-existing environmental liabilities from many of the persons and entities from whom we have acquired facilities, there can be no assurance that such indemnifications will be adequate. Any such expenditures or liabilities could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial condition.

Volatility in the capital and credit markets may negatively affect our business, operating results, or financial condition.

The capital and credit markets have experienced extreme volatility and disruption, which has led to an economic downturn in the US and abroad. As a result of the economic downturn, the number of miles driven may decrease, which may lead to fewer accident claims, a reduction of vehicle repairs, and fewer salvage vehicles. Adverse credit conditions may also affect the ability of members

Table of Contents

to secure financing to purchase salvaged vehicles which may adversely affect demand. In addition if the banking system or the financial markets deteriorate or remain volatile our credit facility may be affected.

If we determine that our goodwill has become impaired, we could incur significant charges that would have a material adverse effect on our consolidated results of operations.

Goodwill represents the excess of cost over the fair market value of assets acquired in business combinations. In recent periods, the amount of goodwill on our balance sheet has increased substantially, principally as a result of a series of acquisitions we have made in the UK since 2007. As of July 31, 2010, the amount of goodwill on our balance sheet subject to future impairment testing was approximately \$175.9 million.

Pursuant to FASB ASC 350, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*, we are required to annually test goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives to determine if impairment has occurred. Additionally, interim reviews must be performed whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that impairment may have occurred. If the testing performed indicates that impairment has occurred, we are required to record a non-cash impairment charge for the difference between the carrying value of the goodwill or other intangible assets and the implied fair value of the goodwill or other intangible assets in the period the determination is made. The testing of goodwill and other intangible assets for impairment requires us to make significant estimates about our future performance and cash flows, as well as other assumptions. These estimates can be affected by numerous factors, including changes in the definition of a business segment in which we operate, changes in economic, industry or market conditions, changes in business operations, changes in competition or potential changes in the share price of our common stock and market capitalization. Changes in these factors, or changes in actual performance compared with estimates of our future performance, could affect the fair value of goodwill or other intangible assets, which may result in an impairment charge. For example, continued deterioration in worldwide economic conditions could affect these assumptions and lead us to determine that a goodwill impairment is required with respect to our acquisitions in the UK. We cannot accurately predict the amount or timing of any impairment of assets. Should the value of our goodwill or other intangible assets become impaired, it could have a material adverse effect on our operating results and could result in our incurring net losses in future periods.

New accounting pronouncements or new interpretations of existing standards could require us to make adjustments to accounting policies that could adversely affect the consolidated financial statements.

The Financial Accounting Standards Board, or the FASB, the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, and the SEC, from time to time issue new pronouncements or new interpretations of existing accounting standards that require changes to our accounting policies and procedures. To date, we do not believe any new pronouncements or interpretations have had a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations, but future pronouncements or interpretations could require a change or changes in our policies or procedures.

Fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates could result in declines in our reported revenues and earnings.

Our reported revenues and earnings are subject to fluctuations in currency exchange rates. We do not engage in foreign currency hedging arrangements and, consequently, foreign currency fluctuations may adversely affect our revenues and earnings. Should we choose to engage in hedging activities in the future we cannot be assured our hedges will be effective or that the costs of the hedges will exceed their benefits. Fluctuations in the rate of exchange between the US dollar

Table of Contents

and foreign currencies, primarily the British Pound and Canadian Dollar, could adversely affect our financial results.

Fluctuations in the US unemployment rates could result in declines in revenue from processing insurance cars.

Increases in unemployment may lead to an increase in the number of uninsured motorists. Uninsured motorists are responsible for disposition of their vehicle if involved in an accident. Disposition generally is either the repair or disposal of the vehicle. In the situation where the owner of the wrecked vehicle, and not an insurance company, is responsible for its disposition, we believe it is more likely that vehicle will be repaired or, if disposed, disposed through channels other than us.

Item 1B. *Unresolved Staff Comments*

Not applicable.

Item 2. *Properties*

Our corporate headquarters are located in Fairfield, California. This facility consists of approximately 100,000 square feet of office space owned by Copart. We also own or lease an additional 152 operating facilities. In the US, we have facilities in every state except Delaware, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Vermont and Wyoming. In Canada, we have facilities only in the province of Ontario. In the UK, as of July 31, 2010, we owned or leased 16 operating facilities. We believe that our existing facilities are adequate to meet current requirements and that suitable additional or substitute space will be available as needed to accommodate any expansion of operations and additional offices on commercially acceptable terms.

Item 3. *Legal Proceedings*

We are involved in litigation and damage claims arising in the ordinary course of business, such as actions related to injuries, property damage, and handling or disposal of vehicles. This litigation includes the following matter:

On November 20, 2007, Car Auction & Reinsurance Solutions, Inc. (CARS) filed suit against Copart in the Superior Court in the County of New Castle, Delaware. CARS is seeking in excess of \$2 million in damages, punitive damages, and prejudgment interest related to allegations involving breach of contract and misrepresentation. We believe the claim is without merit and are defending the lawsuit vigorously.

On December 16, 2008, Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company filed suit against Copart in the US District Court, Northern District of California. Liberty Mutual's complaint sought reformation of an insurance contract and specific performance in relation to a policy issued to us with a \$50,000 self-insured retention. After settlement of a claim under the subject policy for \$3.95 million, Liberty Mutual sought to reform the contract and charge us for a \$2 million self-insured retention which it claimed was the original intent. Pursuant to a settlement agreement between the parties, the case was dismissed in January 2010.

We provide for costs relating to these matters when a loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. The effect of the outcome of these matters on our future results of operations cannot be predicted because any such effect depends on future results of operations and the amount and timing of the resolution of such matters. We believe that any ultimate liability will not have a material effect on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, the amount of the liabilities associated with these claims, if any, cannot be determined with certainty. We maintain insurance which may or may not provide coverage for claims made against us. There is no assurance that there will be insurance coverage available when and if needed. Additionally, the insurance that we carry requires that we pay for costs and/or claims exposure up to the amount of the insurance deductibles negotiated when insurance is purchased.

Item 4. *Reserved*

Table of Contents**PART II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Shareholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities****Market Information**

The following table summarizes the high and low sales prices per share of our common stock for each quarter during the last two fiscal years. As of July 31, 2010, there were 84,363,063 shares outstanding. Our common stock has been quoted on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol "CPRT" since March 17, 1994. As of July 31, 2010, we had approximately 1,755 shareholders of record. On July 31, 2010, the last reported sale price of our common stock on the Nasdaq Global Select Market was \$36.44 per share.

| Fiscal Year 2010 | High | Low |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Fourth Quarter | 37.83 | 33.96 |
| Third Quarter | 37.01 | 32.77 |
| Second Quarter | 37.10 | 31.63 |
| First Quarter | 38.47 | 31.93 |

| Fiscal Year 2009 | High | Low |
|-------------------------|-------------|------------|
| Fourth Quarter | 36.00 | 29.02 |
| Third Quarter | 32.78 | 23.48 |
| Second Quarter | 36.57 | 22.54 |
| First Quarter | 46.96 | 30.21 |

Dividend Policies

We have not paid a cash dividend since becoming a public company in 1994. We currently intend to retain any earnings for use in our business.

We expect to continue to use cash flows from operations to finance our working capital needs and to develop and grow our business. In addition to our stock repurchase program, we are considering a variety of alternative potential uses for our remaining cash balances and our cash flow from operations. These alternative potential uses include additional stock repurchases, the payment of dividends and acquisitions.

Repurchase of Our Common Stock

In October 2007, our Board of Directors approved a 20 million share increase in our stock repurchase program bringing the total current number of shares authorized for repurchase to 29 million shares. The repurchases may be effected through solicited or unsolicited transactions in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. No time limit has been placed on the duration of the share repurchase program. Subject to applicable securities laws, such repurchases will be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate and may be discontinued at any time. For the year ended July 31, 2010, we repurchased 121,251 shares of our common stock at a price of \$36.76. For the year ended July 31, 2009, we did not repurchase any shares under our stock repurchase program. For the year ended July 31, 2008, we repurchased 6,615,764 shares of our common stock at a weighted average price of \$40.70. As of July 31, 2010, the total number of shares repurchased under the program was 13,770,720 and 15,229,280 shares were available for repurchase under our program.

In the second and fourth quarters of fiscal year 2009 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, Mr. Jay Adair, Chief Executive Officer (and then President), exercised stock options through cashless

Table of Contents

exercises. In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010, Mr. Willis J. Johnson, Chairman of the Board, exercised stock options through a cashless exercise. A portion of the options exercised were net settled in satisfaction of the exercise price and federal and state minimum statutory tax withholding requirements. We remitted approximately \$17.2 million to the proper taxing authorities in satisfaction of the employees' minimum statutory withholding requirements. In fiscal year 2008 no stock options were exercised through the cashless exercise method. The exercises are summarized in the following table:

| Period | Options Exercised | Exercise Price | Shares Net Settled for Exercise | Shares Withheld for Taxes(1) | Net Shares to Employee | Share Price for Withholding | Tax Withholding (in 000's) |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| FY 2009 Q2 | 600,000 | \$ 4.47 | 96,929 | 222,817 | 280,254 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 6,000 |
| FY 2009 Q4 | 361,035 | \$ 11.12 | 116,741 | 109,595 | 134,699 | \$ 34.39 | \$ 3,769 |
| FY 2010 Q1 | 323,631 | \$ 13.03 | 114,354 | 95,746 | 113,531 | \$ 36.89 | \$ 3,532 |
| FY 2010 Q4 | 350,000 | \$ 12.91 | 122,922 | 105,827 | 121,251 | \$ 36.76 | \$ 3,890 |

- (1) Shares withheld for taxes are treated as a repurchase of shares for accounting purposes but do not count against our repurchase program.

The number and average price of shares purchased in each fiscal year are set forth in the table below:

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid Per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program | Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program |
|--------------------|----------------------------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| <i>Fiscal 2008</i> | | | | |
| First Quarter | | | | 21,966,295* |
| Second Quarter | 982,655 | \$ 41.67 | 982,655 | 20,983,640 |
| Third Quarter | 2,779,509 | \$ 38.77 | 2,779,509 | 18,204,131 |
| Fourth Quarter | 2,853,600 | \$ 42.24 | 2,853,600 | 15,350,531 |
| <i>Fiscal 2009</i> | | | | |
| First Quarter | | | | 15,350,531 |
| Second Quarter | | | | 15,350,531 |
| Third Quarter | | | | 15,350,531 |
| Fourth Quarter | | | | 15,350,531 |
| <i>Fiscal 2010</i> | | | | |
| First Quarter | | | | 15,350,531 |
| Second Quarter | | | | 15,350,531 |
| Third Quarter | | | | 15,350,531 |
| Fourth Quarter | 121,251 | \$ 36.76 | 121,251 | 15,229,280 |

*

Includes 20 million share increase in our stock repurchase program authorized by the Board of Directors in October 2007.

Issuances of Unregistered Securities

There were no issuances of unregistered securities in the quarter ended July 31, 2010.

Table of Contents**Performance Graph**

Notwithstanding any statement to the contrary in any of our previous or future filings with the SEC, the following information relating to the price performance of our common stock shall not be deemed "filed" with the SEC or "Soliciting Material" under the Exchange Act, or subject to Regulation 14A or 14C, or to liabilities of Section 18 of the Exchange Act except to the extent we specifically request that such information be treated as soliciting material or to the extent we specifically incorporate this information by reference.

The following is a line graph comparing the cumulative total return to shareholders of our common stock at July 31, 2010 since July 31, 2005, to the cumulative total return over such period of (i) the NASDAQ Composite Index, (ii) the NASDAQ Industrial Index, and (iii) the NASDAQ Q-50 (NXTQ).

COMPARISON OF 5 YEAR CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN*
Among Copart, Inc., the NASDAQ Composite Index,
the NASDAQ Industrial Index, and the NASDAQ Q-50 (NXTQ)

| | 7/05 | 7/06 | 7/07 | 7/08 | 7/09 | 7/10 |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Copart, Inc. | \$ 100.00 | \$ 108.91 | \$ 115.04 | \$ 179.31 | \$ 144.36 | \$ 148.98 |
| NASDAQ Composite | \$ 100.00 | \$ 97.54 | \$ 120.58 | \$ 107.55 | \$ 92.26 | \$ 106.16 |
| NASDAQ Industrial | \$ 100.00 | \$ 99.18 | \$ 122.73 | \$ 100.96 | \$ 78.83 | \$ 94.92 |
| NASDAQ Q-50 (NXTQ) | \$ 100.00 | \$ 103.86 | \$ 129.89 | \$ 103.07 | \$ 97.22 | \$ 134.84 |

*

Assumes that \$100.00 was invested on July 31, 2005 in our common stock, in the NASDAQ Composite Index, the NASDAQ Industrial Index and the NASDAQ Q-50 (NXTQ), and that all dividends were reinvested. No dividends have been declared on our common stock. Shareholder returns over the indicated period should not be considered indicative of future shareholder returns.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data**

You should read the following selected consolidated financial data in conjunction with "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" and the Company's consolidated financial statements and the related notes appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

The following selected consolidated statements of income data for the years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 and the consolidated balance data at July 31, 2010 and 2009, are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements appearing elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The following selected consolidated statements of income data for the years ended July 31, 2007 and 2006 and the consolidated balance sheet data at July 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements that are not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The historical results are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected in any future period.

| | Fiscal Year Ending July 31, | | | | | |
|---|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | 2007 | 2006 | |
| | (in thousands, except per share and other data) | | | | | |
| Operating Data | | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$ 772,879 | \$ 743,082 | \$ 784,848 | \$ 560,680 | \$ 528,571 | |
| Operating income | 239,070 | 225,325 | 237,917 | 203,145 | 171,562 | |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 239,495 | 227,732 | 249,650 | 217,421 | 174,522 | |
| Income tax expense | (87,868) | (88,186) | (92,718) | (81,083) | (61,862) | |
| Income from continuing operations | 151,627 | 139,546 | 156,932 | 136,338 | 112,660 | |
| Income (loss) from discontinued operations, net of income tax effects | | 1,557 | | | (15,713) | |
| Net income | 151,627 | 141,103 | 156,932 | 136,338 | 96,947 | |
| Basic per share amounts: | | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.67 | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.50 | \$ 1.24 | |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.02 | | | (0.17) | |
| Net income per share | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.50 | \$ 1.07 | |
| Weighted average shares | 84,165 | 83,537 | 87,412 | 90,651 | 90,372 | |
| Diluted per share amounts: | | | | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.78 | \$ 1.64 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 1.21 | |
| Discontinued operations | | 0.02 | | | (0.17) | |
| Net income per share | \$ 1.78 | \$ 1.66 | \$ 1.75 | \$ 1.46 | \$ 1.04 | |
| Weighted average shares | 85,027 | 84,930 | 89,858 | 93,455 | 92,925 | |
| Balance Sheet Data | | | | | | |
| Cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments | \$ 268,188 | \$ 162,691 | \$ 38,954 | \$ 210,246 | \$ 279,850 | |
| Working capital | 330,191 | 212,349 | 84,501 | 247,850 | 328,017 | |
| Total assets | 1,228,812 | 1,058,032 | 956,247 | 1,014,600 | 899,240 | |
| Total debt | 975 | 1,457 | 2,240 | 2,793 | | |
| Shareholders' equity | 1,087,234 | 921,459 | 798,996 | 880,866 | 809,970 | |
| Other Data | | | | | | |
| Number of storage facilities | 152 | 147 | 143 | 131 | 122 | |

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

SPECIAL NOTE REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the information incorporated by reference herein, contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act, and Section 21E of the Exchange Act. All statements other than statements of historical facts are statements that could be deemed forward-looking statements. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terms such as "may," "will," "should," "expect," "plan," "intend," "forecast," "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "predict," "potential," "continue" or the negative of these terms or other comparable terminology. The forward-looking statements contained in this Form 10-K involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and situations that may cause our or our industry's actual results, level of activity, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements expressed or implied by these statements. These forward-looking statements are made in reliance upon the safe harbor provision of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These factors include those listed in Part I, Item 1A. "Risk Factors" of this Form 10-K and those discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-K. We encourage investors to review these factors carefully together with the other matters referred to herein, as well as in the other documents we file with the SEC. The Company may from time to time make additional written and oral forward-looking statements, including statements contained in the Company's filings with the SEC. The Company does not undertake to update any forward-looking statement that may be made from time to time by or on behalf of the Company.

Although we believe that, based on information currently available to the Company and its management, the expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot guarantee future results, levels of activity, performance or achievements. You should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements.

Overview

We provide vehicle sellers with a full range of services to process and sell vehicles primarily over the Internet through our Virtual Bidding Second Generation Internet auction-style sales technology, which we refer to as VB². Sellers are primarily insurance companies but also include banks and financial institutions, charities, car dealerships, fleet operators, vehicle rental companies and the general public. We sell principally to licensed vehicle dismantlers, rebuilders, repair licensees, used vehicle dealers and exporters; however at certain locations, we sell directly to the general public. The majority of the vehicles sold on behalf of the insurance companies are either damaged vehicles deemed a total loss or not economically repairable by the insurance companies or are recovered stolen vehicles for which an insurance settlement with the vehicle owner has already been made. We offer vehicle sellers a full range of services that expedite each stage of the salvage vehicle sales process and minimize administrative and processing costs. In the United States and Canada, or North America, we sell vehicles primarily as an agent and derive revenue primarily from fees paid by vehicle sellers and vehicle buyers as well as related fees for services such as towing and storage. In the United Kingdom, or UK, a significant portion of our business is conducted on a principal basis, purchasing salvage vehicles outright from insurance companies and reselling the vehicles for our own account.

Our revenues consist of sales transaction fees charged to vehicle sellers and vehicle buyers, transportation revenue, purchased vehicle revenues, and other remarketing services. Revenues from sellers are generally generated either on a fixed fee contract basis where we collect a fixed amount for selling each vehicle regardless of the selling price of the vehicle or, under our Percentage Incentive Program, or PIP program, where our fees are generally based on a predetermined percentage of the vehicle sales price. Under the consignment, or fixed fee, program, we generally

Table of Contents

charge an additional fee for title processing and special preparation. Although sometimes included in the consignment fee, we may also charge additional fees for the cost of transporting the vehicle to our facility, storage of the vehicle, and other incidental costs. Under the consignment programs, only the fees associated with vehicle processing are recorded in revenue, not the actual sales price (gross proceeds). Sales transaction fees also include fees charged to vehicle buyers for purchasing vehicles, storage, loading and annual registration. Transportation revenue includes charges to sellers for towing vehicles under certain contracts. Transportation revenue also includes towing charges assessed to buyers for delivering vehicles. Purchased vehicle revenue includes the gross sales price of the vehicle which we have purchased or are otherwise considered to own and is primarily generated in the UK.

Operating costs consist primarily of operating personnel (which includes yard management, clerical and yard employees), rent, contract vehicle towing, insurance, fuel, equipment maintenance and repair, and costs of vehicles we sold under purchase contracts. Costs associated with general and administrative expenses consist primarily of executive management, accounting, data processing, sales personnel, human resources, professional fees, research and development and marketing expenses.

During fiscal 2004 and fiscal 2008, we converted all of our North American and UK sales, respectively, to an Internet-based auction-style model using our VB² Internet sales technology which employs a two-step bidding process. The first step, called the preliminary bid, allows members to submit bids up to one hour before a real time virtual auction begins. The second step allows members to bid against each other, and the high bidder from the preliminary bidding process, in a real-time process over the Internet.

Acquisitions and New Operations

We have experienced significant growth in facilities as we have acquired fourteen facilities and established twelve new facilities since the beginning of fiscal 2008. All of these acquisitions have been accounted for using the purchase method of accounting.

As part of our overall expansion strategy of offering integrated services to vehicle sellers, we anticipate acquiring and developing facilities in new regions, as well as the regions currently served by our facilities. We believe that these acquisitions and openings strengthen our coverage as we have 152 facilities located in North America and the UK as of July 31, 2010 and are able to provide national coverage for our sellers.

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table sets forth facilities that we have acquired or opened from August 1, 2007 through July 31, 2010:

| Locations | Acquisition or Greenfield | Date | Geographic Service Area |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------|---|
| Peterlee, England | Acquisition | August 2007 | Northern England |
| Wisbech, England | Acquisition | August 2007 | Eastern England |
| Rochford, England | Acquisition | August 2007 | Southeast England |
| London, Canada | Greenfield | September 2007 | Southern Ontario |
| Windsor, New Jersey | Greenfield | November 2007 | Central New Jersey |
| Walton, Kentucky | Greenfield | January 2008 | Northern Kentucky |
| Birmingham, Alabama | Greenfield | February 2008 | Central Alabama |
| Inverkeithing, Scotland | Acquisition | March 2008 | Central Scotland |
| Whitburn, Scotland | Acquisition | March 2008 | Central Scotland |
| Featherstone, England | Acquisition | *March 2008 | Northeast England |
| Doncaster, England | Acquisition | *March 2008 | Northeast England |
| Minneapolis, Minnesota | Greenfield | March 2008 | Central Minnesota and Wisconsin |
| Sikeston, Missouri | Acquisition | March 2008 | Southeast Missouri |
| York, England | Acquisition | April 2008 | Northern England |
| Prairie Grove, Arkansas | Greenfield | July 2008 | Northwest Arkansas |
| Louisville, Kentucky | Greenfield | September 2008 | Northwest Kentucky and Southern Indiana |
| Richmond, Virginia | Greenfield | **October 2008 | Central Virginia |
| Montgomery, Alabama | Greenfield | February 2009 | Central Alabama |
| Greer, South Carolina | Greenfield | February 2009 | Northwest South Carolina |
| Warren, Massachusetts | Greenfield | June 2009 | Central Massachusetts |
| Bristol, England | Acquisition | January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Bedford, England | Acquisition | January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Colchester, England | Acquisition | January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Gainsborough, England | Acquisition | ***January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Luton, England | Acquisition | January 2010 | United Kingdom |
| Scranton, Pennsylvania | Greenfield | February 2010 | Pennsylvania |

*
Closed in fiscal 2008

**
Former MAG facility

Closed in fiscal 2010

In January 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of D Hales Limited (D Hales) which operated five locations in the United Kingdom. This acquisition was undertaken because of its strategic fit with our business in the United Kingdom.

In April 2008, we completed the acquisition of Simpson Bros. (York) Holdings Limited, a UK limited liability company (Simpson), which operated one location in York, England. Simpson's primary business activity was the dismantling of automobiles and the sales of salvaged auto parts. In the same month, we also completed the acquisition of Bob Lowe Salvage Pool, Inc., which operated one location in Sikeston, Missouri. In February 2008, we completed the purchase of the assets and business of AG Watson Auto Salvage & Motors Spares (Scotland) Limited (AG Watson), which operated two salvage locations in Scotland and two salvage locations in northern England. In August 2007, we completed the acquisition of Century Salvage Sales Limited (Century), a vehicle salvage disposal company with three facilities located in the UK. The total consideration paid for these acquisitions consisted of approximately \$38.2 million in cash, net of cash acquired.

On June 14, 2007, we acquired all the issued share capital of Universal Salvage plc, (Universal), for £2.00 per share (approximately \$3.94 based on currency exchange rates on June 14, 2007). Universal, based in the UK and operating exclusively within the UK, is a service provider to the motor insurance and automotive industries. The aggregate acquisition consideration

Table of Contents

paid by us totaled approximately £60.7 million (approximately \$120.0 million based on currency exchange rates on June 14, 2007) and was funded from our available cash resources. We also assumed outstanding indebtedness of Universal totaling approximately £2.3 million (\$4.5 million as of June 14, 2007). The acquisition was our first acquisition outside North America.

The period-to-period comparability of our operating results and financial condition is substantially affected by business acquisitions, new openings, weather and product introductions during such periods. In particular, we have certain contracts inherited through our UK acquisitions that require us to act as a principal, purchasing vehicles from the insurance companies and reselling them for our own account. It is our intention, where possible, to migrate these contracts to the agency model in future periods. Changes in the amount of revenue derived in a period from principal transactions relative to total revenue will impact revenue growth and margin percentages.

In addition to growth through acquisitions, we seek to increase revenues and profitability by, among other things, (i) acquiring and developing additional vehicle storage facilities in key markets, (ii) pursuing national and regional vehicle seller agreements, (iii) expanding our service offerings to sellers and members, and (iv) expanding the application of VB² into new markets. In addition, we implement our pricing structure and auction procedures and attempt to introduce cost efficiencies at each of our acquired facilities by implementing our operational procedures, integrating our management information systems and redeploying personnel, when necessary.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated below, certain information derived from our consolidated statements of income presented in absolute dollars and as a percentage of revenues. There can be no assurance that any trend in operating results will continue in the future.

Fiscal 2010 Compared to Fiscal 2009

Revenues

The following sets forth information on revenue by class (in thousands, except percentages):

| | 2010 | Percentage of Revenue | 2009 | Percentage of Revenue |
|------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Service revenues | \$ 642,134 | 83% | \$ 615,352 | 83% |
| Vehicle sales | 130,745 | 17% | 127,730 | 17% |
| | \$ 772,879 | 100% | \$ 743,082 | 100% |

Service Revenues. Service revenues were approximately \$642.1 million during fiscal 2010 compared to \$615.4 million for fiscal 2009, an increase of \$26.8 million, or 4.4%, above fiscal 2009. The increase in service revenue was due primarily to an increase in the average revenue per car sold. The increase in the revenue per car sold was driven by increased selling prices as over 50% of our service revenue is tied in some manner to the ultimate selling price of the vehicle at the auction. We believe the increase in the average selling price was primarily due to: (i) an increase in commodity pricing, particularly the per ton price for crushed car bodies which has an impact on the ultimate selling price of vehicles sold for scrap and vehicles sold for dismantling; and (ii) the general increase in used car pricing, which has an impact on the average selling price of vehicles that are either repaired and retailed or purchased by the end user. We cannot determine the movement of these influences nor can we determine which vehicles are sold directly to the end user or for scrap, dismantling, retailing, or export and, accordingly, cannot quantify the specific impact that commodity pricing and used car pricing had on the selling price of vehicles. Unit volume grew by over one percent resulting in an increase in revenue of \$7.1 million. The average dollar to pound exchange rate was 1.57 dollars to the pound and 1.59 dollars to the pound for fiscal 2010 and fiscal 2009, respectively, and led to a reduction in service revenue of \$0.2 million.

Table of Contents

Vehicle Sales. We have assumed certain contracts through our UK acquisitions that require us to act as a principal, purchasing vehicles from the insurance companies and reselling them for our own account. Vehicle sales revenues were approximately \$130.7 million during fiscal 2010 compared to \$127.7 million for fiscal 2009, an increase of \$3.0 million, or 2.4%, above fiscal 2009. The increase in vehicle sales revenue was due to the rise in the average selling price of vehicles which resulted in increased revenue of \$30.0 million. The rise in the average selling price per unit was primarily due to: (i) the increase in commodity pricing, particularly the per ton price for crushed car bodies, which has an impact on the ultimate selling price of vehicles sold for scrap and vehicles sold for dismantling, (ii) the general increase in used car pricing, which has an impact on the average selling price of vehicles that are either repaired and retailed or purchased by the end user; and (iii) in the UK, the continuing beneficial impact of VB² which we introduced to the UK in 2008 and which expands our buyer base by opening vehicle sales to buyers worldwide. We cannot determine which vehicles are sold directly to the end user or for scrap, dismantling, retailing, or export and, accordingly, cannot quantify the specific impact of commodity pricing and used car pricing, nor can we isolate the impact that VB² had on the ultimate selling price of vehicles sold in the UK. The change in volume reflects the migration of certain contracts in the UK from the principal model to the agency model and resulted in a reduction in vehicle sales revenue of \$25.0 million. The negative impact on recorded vehicle sales revenue due to the change in the GBP to USD exchange rate was \$2.0 million.

Yard Operation Expenses. Yard operation expenses were approximately \$320.2 million during fiscal 2010 compared to \$324.8 million for fiscal 2009, a decline of approximately \$4.6 million, or 1.4%, below fiscal 2009. The decline was driven primarily by operational efficiencies and by reductions in subhauling costs relative to the first two quarters of fiscal 2009 when the cost of diesel fuel peaked. Included in yard operation costs were depreciation and amortization expenses which were \$34.9 million and \$32.8 million for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Cost of Vehicle Sales. The cost of vehicles sold was approximately \$104.7 million during fiscal 2010 compared to \$106.0 million for fiscal 2009, a decline of approximately \$1.4 million, or 1.3%. Unit volume decline led to a reduction of \$18.0 million and was primarily due to the migration of certain contracts in the UK from a principal basis to a fee basis. Cost per unit sold was up and represented a \$17.1 million increase relative to last year. The negative impact on the cost of sales due to the change in the GBP to USD exchange rate was \$0.5 million.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses were approximately \$108.9 million for fiscal 2010 compared to \$86.9 million for fiscal 2009, an increase of approximately \$22.0 million, or 25.3%. The growth in general and administrative costs was due primarily to: (i) increased advertising costs as we invested in events and media promotions, including NASCAR and NHRA sponsorships, to generate new member activity; (ii) the additional costs associated with the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer's non-cash compensation package approved by the shareholders in April 2009 and (iii) increased headcount. These changes increased general and administrative expenses by \$8.6 million, \$6.1 million, and \$4.8 million, respectively. Also included in general and administrative expenses were depreciation and amortization expenses which were \$8.3 million and \$9.0 million for the years ended July 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

Other Income (Expense). Total other income was approximately \$0.4 million during fiscal 2010 compared to \$2.4 million for fiscal 2009, a decline of approximately \$2.0 million, or 82.3%. Net interest income declined \$1.4 million due primarily to reduced interest yields. Other income, net, declined \$0.6 million primarily due a decline in rental income of \$1.7 million and the loss of \$0.8 million on the sale of an airplane in the current year and was offset by a \$1.1 million impairment of a note receivable, relating to the disposal of the assets of a discontinued business, and a \$1.0 million loss on the sale of an airplane in the prior year.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes. Our effective income tax rates for fiscal 2010 and 2009 were approximately 36.7% and 38.7%, respectively. The decrease was driven primarily by the reduction of state income taxes and the favorable tax treatment we received relating to certain interest expenses in the UK.

Net Income. Due to the foregoing factors, we realized net income of approximately \$151.6 million for fiscal 2010, compared to net income of approximately \$141.1 million for fiscal 2009.

*Fiscal 2009 Compared to Fiscal 2008***Revenues**

The following sets forth information on customer revenue by class (in thousands, except percentages):

| | 2009 | Percentage of Revenue | 2008 | Percentage of Revenue |
|------------------|------------|--------------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Service revenues | \$ 615,352 | 83% | \$ 619,728 | 79% |
| Vehicle sales | 127,730 | 17% | 165,120 | 21% |
| | \$ 743,082 | 100% | \$ 784,848 | 100% |

Service Revenues. Service revenues were approximately \$615.4 million during fiscal 2009 compared to \$619.7 million for fiscal 2008, a decline of \$4.4 million, or 0.7%, below fiscal 2008. The decline in service revenue was due to the negative impact on recorded service revenues due to the change in the GBP to USD exchange rate and was offset by growth in unit volume and a marginal growth in revenue per transaction. The average dollar to pound exchange rate was 1.59 dollars to the pound and 2.00 dollars to the pound for fiscal 2009 and fiscal 2008, respectively, and led to a reduction in service revenue of \$9.5 million. Growth in unit volume was driven primarily by an increase in the units sold on behalf of insurance companies and units sold on behalf of franchise and independent car dealerships. The growth resulted from market wins and, with respect to insurance company cars, from what we believe to be an increase in salvage frequency. Salvage frequency is the percentage of cars involved in accidents that are deemed total economic losses by the insurance company. We believe the increased availability of such cars was as a result of lower average used car pricing. The growth in unit volume generated \$4.9 million in additional revenue relative to last year. Revenue yield per transaction was marginally higher as the decline in the average selling price was offset by higher yield on services provided and generated \$0.2 million in additional revenue compared to last year. Over 50% of our service revenue is tied in some manner to the ultimate selling price of the vehicles. We believe the decline in the average selling price was primarily due to: (i) the recent declines in commodity and used car pricing as we believe that commodity pricing, particularly the per ton price for crushed car bodies, has an impact on the ultimate selling price of vehicles sold for scrap and vehicles sold for dismantling; (ii) the decline in used car pricing because we believe used car pricing has an impact on the ultimate selling price of vehicles sold to rebuilders and retailers; and (iii) the strengthening of the dollar as we believe a stronger dollar increases the purchase price of US vehicles paid for in our international buyers' local currencies. However, we do not have sufficient information to determine which vehicles are sold for scrap, dismantling, retailing or export and, accordingly, cannot quantify the impact that commodity pricing, used car pricing and foreign currency exchange rates had on the selling price of vehicles.

Vehicle Sales. We have assumed certain contracts through our UK acquisitions that require us to act as a principal, purchasing vehicles from the insurance companies and reselling them for our own account. Vehicle sales revenues were approximately \$127.7 million during fiscal 2009 compared to \$165.1 million for fiscal 2008, a decline of \$37.4 million, or 22.6%, below fiscal 2008. The decline in vehicle sales revenue was due to the negative impact on recorded vehicle sales revenue due to the change in the GBP to USD exchange rate, the decline in unit volume, and

Table of Contents

the decline in revenue per transaction. The decline in the average USD to GBP exchange rate led to a reduction in vehicle sales revenue of \$29.7 million. The decline in unit volume led to a reduction in revenue of \$5.3 million and was due primarily to the migration of certain contracts in the UK from a principal basis to a fee basis. The decline in the average revenue per transaction led to a reduction of revenue of \$2.3 million. We believe the decline in the average selling price was primarily due to: (i) the declines in commodity pricing as we believe that commodity pricing, particularly the price per ton for crushed car bodies, has an impact on the ultimate selling price of vehicles sold for scrap and vehicles sold for dismantling; (ii) the decline in used car pricing because we believe used car pricing has an impact on the ultimate selling price of vehicles sold to rebuilders and retailers; and (iii) the strengthening of the dollar as we believe a stronger dollar increases the purchase price of US vehicles paid for in our international buyers' local currencies. However, we do not have sufficient information to determine which vehicles are sold for scrap, dismantling, retailing or export and, accordingly, cannot quantify the impact that commodity pricing, used car pricing and foreign currency exchange rates had on the selling price of vehicles.

Yard Operation Expenses. Yard operation expenses were approximately \$324.8 million during fiscal 2009 compared to \$328.9 million for fiscal 2008, a decline of approximately \$4.1 million, or 1.3%, below fiscal 2008. The beneficial impact on yard operating expenses due to the change in GBP to USD exchange rate was \$10.6 million and was offset by an increase in the cost to process each car which was driven primarily by an increase in subhauling costs in the US and the additional costs associated with five new facilities. Included in yard operation costs were depreciation and amortization expenses which were \$32.8 million and \$32.2 million for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Cost of Vehicle Sales. The cost of vehicles sold was approximately \$106.0 million during fiscal 2009 compared to \$133.7 million for fiscal 2008, a decline of approximately \$27.6 million, or 20.7%. The beneficial impact on the cost of sales due to the change in the GBP to USD exchange rate was \$23.8 million. Unit volume decline was responsible for \$4.3 million of the total decline and was due primarily to the migration of certain contracts in the UK from a principal basis to a fee basis. Cost per unit sold was up marginally and represented a \$0.4 million increase relative to last year.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses were approximately \$86.9 million for fiscal 2009 compared to \$84.3 million for fiscal 2008, an increase of approximately \$2.6 million, or 3.1%. The beneficial impact on general and administrative expenses due to the change in GBP to USD exchange rate was approximately \$3.0 million. The growth in general and administrative costs was due primarily to: i) increased IT payroll and technology costs as we expanded our development and network departments, ii) increased advertising costs as we invested in events and media promotions to generate public awareness, and iii) the additional costs associated with the CEO and President's non-cash compensation package approved by the shareholders in April 2009. Also included in general and administrative expenses were depreciation and amortization expenses which were \$9.0 million and \$10.6 million for the years ended July 31, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Other Income (Expense). Total other income was approximately \$2.4 million during fiscal 2009 compared to \$11.7 million for fiscal 2008, a decline of approximately \$9.3 million, or 79.5%. Net interest income declined \$6.1 million due to reductions in both the average cash balances and the interest yield. Other income, net, declined \$3.2 million primarily due to the impairment of a note receivable relating to the sale of assets of the public auction business which we exited in 2006 and the loss on the sale of an airplane in the UK, together totaling \$2.2 million, currency exchange losses on certain intercompany obligations and losses on the disposition of other certain assets.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes. Our effective income tax rates for fiscal 2009 and 2008 were approximately 38.7% and 37.1%, respectively. The increase was driven primarily by the decline in tax exempt interest income.

Discontinued Operations. During fiscal 2009, we received a \$12 million payment for a note receivable resulting from the sale of certain MAG business assets and real estate. We exited the MAG business in our 2006 fiscal year. The gain on the sale of the real estate was deferred until payment on the note receivable was received. The \$1.6 million of income from discontinued operations represents that gain, net of taxes.

Net Income. Due to the foregoing factors, we realized net income of approximately \$141.1 million for fiscal 2009, compared to net income of approximately \$156.9 million for fiscal 2008.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Our primary source of working capital is cash generated through operations. Potential internal sources of additional working capital are the sale of assets or the issuance of equity through option exercises and shares issued under our Employee Stock Purchase Plan. A potential external source of additional working capital is the issuance of debt and equity. However, with respect to the issuance of equity or debt, we cannot predict if these sources will be available in the future and, if available, if they can be issued under terms commercially acceptable to us.

Historically, we have financed our growth through cash generated from operations, public offerings of common stock, the equity issued in conjunction with certain acquisitions and debt financing. Our primary source of cash generated by operations is from the collection on sellers' fees, members' fees and reimbursable advances from the proceeds of auctioned salvage vehicles. Our business is seasonal as inclement weather during the winter months increases the frequency of accidents and, consequently, the number of cars totaled by the insurance companies. During the winter months, most of our facilities process 10% to 30% more vehicles than at other times of the year. This increased volume requires the increased use of our cash to pay out advances and handling costs of the additional business.

Our primary source of working capital is net income. Accordingly, factors affecting net income are the principal factors affecting the generation of working capital. Those primary factors: (i) seasonality, (ii) market wins and losses, (iii) supplier mix, (iv) accident frequency, (v) salvage frequency, (vi) change in market share of our existing suppliers, (vii) commodity pricing, (viii) used car pricing, (ix) foreign currency exchanges rates, (x) product mix, and (xi) contract mix to the extent appropriate, are discussed in the Results of Operations and Risk Factors sections in this Form 10-K.

As of July 31, 2010, we had working capital of approximately \$330.2 million, including cash, and cash equivalents of approximately \$268.2 million. Cash and cash equivalents consisted primarily of US Treasury Bills and funds invested in money market accounts, which bear interest at a variable rate. Cash and cash equivalents increased by approximately \$105.5 million from fiscal 2009 to fiscal 2010.

We believe that our currently available cash and cash equivalents and cash generated from operations will be sufficient to satisfy our operating and working capital requirements for at least the next 12 months. However, if we experience significant growth in the future, we may be required to raise additional cash through the issuance of new debt or additional equity.

Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by approximately \$3.9 million to \$199.4 million during fiscal 2010 when compared to fiscal 2009, due to the timing of routine changes in working capital items.

Table of Contents

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by approximately \$9.3 million to \$203.3 million during fiscal 2009 when compared to fiscal 2008. The increase was driven primarily by a reduction in income taxes receivable of \$18.0 million which was offset by a decrease in net income of \$15.8 million. The remaining increase of \$7.1 million is due to the timing of routine changes in working capital items.

Net cash provided by operating activities increased by approximately \$12.0 million to \$194.1 million during fiscal 2008 when compared to fiscal 2007, due to the increase in net income which was offset in part by the timing of routine changes in working capital items. The increase in net income is primarily the result of increased revenue in fiscal 2008.

Investing Activities

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2008, we purchased approximately \$154.4 million of short-term investments, which was offset by the sale of \$257.0 million of short-term investments. We historically invested our cash in auction rate notes with ratings of AAA. By the end of the first quarter of fiscal 2008, we converted our entire balance of short-term investments to cash and cash equivalents.

Capital expenditures related to continuing operations (excluding those associated with fixed assets attributable to acquisitions) were approximately \$75.8 million, \$78.9 million and \$113.4 million for fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Our capital expenditures are primarily related to lease buyouts of certain facilities, opening and improving facilities and acquiring yard equipment. We continue to expand and invest in new and existing facilities and standardize the appearance of existing locations. We have no material commitments for future capital expenditures as of July 31, 2010.

During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2010, we used approximately \$21.4 million in cash for the acquisition of D Hales. We made no acquisitions during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2009. During the fiscal year ended July 31, 2008, we used approximately \$38.2 million in cash for the acquisitions of Century; Simpson; AG Watson; and Bob Lowe's Salvage Pool.

Financing Activities

In fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, we generated approximately \$6.3 million, \$3.1 million and \$12.7 million, respectively, through the exercise of stock options.

In fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, we generated approximately \$2.0 million, \$1.9 million and \$1.7 million, respectively, through the issuance of shares under the Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

In fiscal 2009, we used approximately \$17.5 million and in 2008 we generated approximately \$8.2 million through changes in our book overdraft.

In February 2003, our Board of Directors authorized us to repurchase up to 9.0 million shares of our common stock. In October 2007, our Board of Directors approved a 20 million share increase in our stock repurchase program bringing the total current number of shares authorized for repurchase to 29 million shares. The repurchases may be effected through solicited or unsolicited transactions in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. No time limit has been placed on the duration of the stock repurchase program. Subject to applicable securities laws, such repurchases will be made at such times and in such amounts as we deem appropriate and may be discontinued at any time. For the year ended July 31, 2010, we repurchased 121,251 shares of our common stock at a price of \$36.76. For the year ended July 31, 2009, we did not repurchase any shares under our stock repurchase program. For the year ended July 31, 2008, we repurchased 6,615,764 shares of our common stock at a weighted average price of \$40.70. As of July 31, 2010, the total number of shares repurchased under the program was 13,770,720 and 15,229,280 shares were available for repurchase under our program.

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

In the second and fourth quarters of fiscal year 2009 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, Mr. Adair, Chief Executive Officer (and then President), exercised stock options through cashless exercises. In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010, Mr. Johnson, Chairman of the Board, exercised stock options through a cashless exercise. A portion of the options exercised were net settled in satisfaction of the exercise price and federal and state minimum statutory tax withholding requirements. We remitted approximately \$17.2 million to the proper taxing authorities in satisfaction of the employees' minimum statutory withholding requirements. In fiscal year 2008 no stock options were exercised through the cashless exercise method. The exercises are summarized in the following table:

| Period | Options Exercised | Exercise Price | Shares Net Settled for Exercise | Shares Withheld for Taxes(1) | Net Shares to Employee | Share Price for Withholding | Tax Withholding (in 000's) |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| FY 2009 Q2 | 600,000 | \$ 4.47 | 96,929 | 222,817 | 280,254 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 6,000 |
| FY 2009 Q4 | 361,035 | \$ 11.12 | 116,741 | 109,595 | 134,699 | \$ 34.39 | \$ 3,769 |
| FY 2010 Q1 | 323,631 | \$ 13.03 | 114,354 | 95,746 | 113,531 | \$ 36.89 | \$ 3,532 |
| FY 2010 Q4 | 350,000 | \$ 12.91 | 122,922 | 105,827 | 121,251 | \$ 36.76 | \$ 3,890 |

- (1) Shares withheld for taxes are treated as a repurchase of shares for accounting purposes but do not count against our stock repurchase program.

Contractual Obligations

We lease certain domestic and foreign facilities, and certain equipment under non-cancelable operating leases. In addition to the minimum future lease commitments presented, the leases generally require the company to pay property taxes, insurance, maintenance and repair costs which are not included in the table because we have determined these items are not material. The following table summarizes our significant contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of July 31, 2010 (in thousands):

| Contractual Obligations | Total | Payments Due By Period | | | | Other |
|-------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-----------|
| | | Less than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | More than 5 Years | |
| Operating leases(1) | \$ 77,108 | \$ 19,916 | \$ 28,617 | \$ 11,553 | \$ 17,022 | \$ |
| Capital leases(1) | 986 | 421 | 443 | 122 | | |
| Tax liabilities(2) | 23,369 | | | | | 23,369 |
| Total contractual obligations | \$ 101,463 | \$ 20,337 | \$ 29,060 | \$ 11,675 | \$ 17,022 | \$ 23,369 |

| Commercial Commitments(3) | Total | Amount of Commitment Expiration Per Period | | | | Other |
|---------------------------|----------|--|-----------|-----------|-------------------|-------|
| | | Less than 1 Year | 1-3 Years | 3-5 Years | More than 5 Years | |
| Letters of credit | \$ 7,768 | \$ 7,768 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |

- (1) Contractual obligations consist of future non-cancelable minimum lease payments under capital and operating leases, used in the normal course of business.
- (2) Tax liabilities include the long-term liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet for unrecognized tax positions. At this time we are unable to make a reasonably reliable estimate of the timing of payments in individual years beyond 12 months due to uncertainties in the timing of tax audit outcomes.
- (3) Commercial commitments consist primarily of letters of credit provided for insurance programs and certain business transactions.

Table of Contents

Credit Facilities

On March 6, 2008, we entered into an unsecured credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A. (the Credit Agreement) providing for a \$200 million revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility). Currently available under the Credit Agreement is \$150 million, subject to a \$100 million foreign currency borrowing sublimit and a \$50 million letter of credit sublimit. Amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility may be used for repurchases of stock, capital expenditures, working capital and other general corporate purposes. The Credit Facility matures and all outstanding borrowings are due on the fifth anniversary of the Credit Agreement (the Maturity Date), with annual reductions in availability of \$25 million on each of the first three anniversaries of the Credit Agreement. Amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility may be repaid and re-borrowed until the Maturity Date and bear interest, at our option, at either Eurocurrency Rate plus 0.5% to 0.875%, depending on the leverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, at the end of the previous quarter or at the US prime rate. A default interest rate applies on all obligations during an event of default under the Credit Facility at a rate per annum equal to 2.0% above the otherwise applicable interest rate. The Credit Facility requires us to pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Credit Facility. The commitment fee ranges from 0.075% to 0.15% depending on the leverage ratio as of the end of the previous quarter. The Credit Facility contains customary representations and warranties and places certain business operating restrictions on us relating to, among other things, indebtedness, liens and other encumbrances, investments, mergers and acquisitions, asset sales, and dividends, distributions and redemptions of capital stock. In addition, the Credit Agreement provides for a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. The Credit Facility contains events of default that include, among others, non-payment of principal, interest or fees, violation of covenants, inaccuracy of representations and warranties, cross-defaults to certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and insolvency defaults, material judgments, invalidity of the loan documents and events constituting a change of control. The Credit Facility is guaranteed by our material domestic subsidiaries. The Credit Facility contains restrictions with respect to investments, mergers and acquisitions, dividends and distributions and redemptions of capital stock, these restrictions become effective only after our debt to EBITDA ratio exceeds 1.0:1.0. At July 31, 2010, the debt to EBITDA ratio was less than 1.0:1.0. As of July 31, 2010 and July 31, 2009, we did not have an outstanding balance under the Credit Facility.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

As of July 31, 2010, we had no off-balance sheet arrangements (as defined in Item 303(a)(4)(ii) of Regulation S-K).

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The preparation of consolidated financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and the related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to vehicle pooling costs, self-insured reserves, allowance for doubtful accounts, income taxes, revenue recognition, share-based compensation, long-lived asset impairment calculations and contingencies. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe are reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Management has discussed the selection of critical accounting policies and estimates with the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee has reviewed our disclosure relating to critical accounting policies and estimates in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our

Table of Contents

significant accounting policies are described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements for fiscal 2010. The following is a summary of the more significant judgments and estimates included in our critical accounting policies used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements. Where appropriate, we discuss sensitivity to change based on other outcomes reasonably likely to occur.

Revenue Recognition

We provide a portfolio of services to our sellers and buyers that facilitate the sale and delivery of a vehicle from seller to buyer. These services include the ability to use our Internet sales technology and vehicle delivery, loading, title processing, preparation and storage. We evaluate multiple-element arrangements relative to our member and seller agreements in accordance with FASB ASC 605-25, *Revenue Recognition, Multiple Element Arrangements*, which addresses accounting for multiple-element arrangements and revenue recognition for units of accounting.

The services we provide to the seller of a vehicle involve disposing of a vehicle on the seller's behalf and, under most of our current North American contracts, collecting the proceeds from the member. We are not entitled to any such seller fees until we have collected the sales proceeds from the member for the seller and, accordingly, we recognize revenue for seller services after service delivery and cash collection, net of applicable rebates or allowances.

Vehicle sales, where we purchase and remarket vehicles on our own behalf, are recognized in accordance with ASC 605-25 on the sale date, which is typically the point of high bid acceptance. Upon high bid acceptance, a legal binding contract is formed with the member, and we record the gross sales price as revenue.

In certain cases, seller fees are not contingent upon collection of the seller proceeds from the member. However, we determined that we are not able to separate the services into separate units of accounting because we do not have fair value for undelivered items. As a result, we do not recognize seller fees until the final seller service has been delivered, which generally occurs upon collection of the sales proceeds from the member for the seller.

We provide a number of services to the buyer of the vehicle, charging a separate fee for each service. Each of these services has been assessed under the criteria of ASC 605-25 to determine whether we have met the requirements to separate them into units of accounting within a multi-element arrangement. We have concluded that the sale and the post-sale services are separate units of accounting. The fees for sale services are recognized upon completion of the sale, and the fees for the post-sale services are recognized upon successful completion of those services using the residual method.

We also charge members an annual registration fee for the right to participate in our vehicle sales program, which is recognized ratably over the term of the arrangement, and relist and late-payment fees, which are recognized upon receipt of payment by the member. No provision for returns has been established, as all sales are final with no right of return, although we provide for bad debt expense in the case of non-performance by our members or sellers.

Vehicle Pooling Costs

We defer in vehicle pooling costs certain yard operation expenses associated with vehicles consigned to and received by us, but not sold as of the balance sheet date. We quantify the deferred costs using a calculation that includes the number of vehicles at our facilities at the beginning and end of the period, the number of vehicles sold during the period and an allocation of certain yard operation expenses of the period. The primary expenses allocated and deferred are certain facility costs, labor, transportation, and vehicle processing. If our allocation factors change, then yard operation expenses could increase or decrease correspondingly in the future. These costs

Table of Contents

are expensed as vehicles are sold in the subsequent periods on an average cost basis. Given the fixed cost nature of our business there is not a direct correlation in an increase in expenses or units processed on vehicle pooling costs.

We apply the provisions of FASB ASC 330-10-35, *Inventory Subsequent Measurement*, to our vehicle pooling costs. ASC 330-10-35 requires that items such as idle facility expense, excessive spoilage, double freight and rehandling costs be recognized as current period charges regardless of whether they meet the criteria of "so abnormal" as provided in ASC 330-10-35. In addition, ASC 330-10-35 requires that the allocation of fixed production overhead to the costs of conversion be based on the normal capacity of production facilities.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts in order to provide for estimated losses resulting from disputed amounts billed to sellers or members and the inability of our sellers or members to make required payments. If billing disputes exceed expectations and/or if the financial condition of our sellers or members were to deteriorate, additional allowances may be required. The allowance is calculated by taking both seller and buyer accounts receivables written off during the previous 12 month period as a percentage of the total accounts receivable balance, i.e. total write-offs/total accounts receivable (write-off percentage). We note that a one percentage point deviation in the write-off percentage would have resulted in an increase or decrease to the allowance for doubtful accounts balance of less than \$0.1 million.

Valuation of Goodwill

We evaluate the impairment of goodwill of our North America and UK operating segments annually (or on an interim basis if certain indicators are present) by comparing the fair value of the operating segment to its carrying value. Future adverse changes in market conditions or poor operating results of the operating segments could result in an inability to recover the carrying value of the investment, thereby requiring impairment charges in the future.

Income Taxes and Deferred Tax Assets

We account for income taxes in accordance with FASB ASC 740, *Income Taxes*. We are subject to income taxes in the US, Canada and UK. In arriving at a provision of income taxes, we first calculate taxes payable in accordance with the prevailing tax laws in the jurisdictions in which we operate; we then analyze the timing differences between the financial reporting and tax basis of our assets and liabilities, such as various accruals, depreciation and amortization. The tax effects of the timing difference are presented as deferred tax assets and liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. We assess the probability that the deferred tax assets will be realized based on our ability to generate future taxable income. In the event that it is more likely than not the full benefit would not be realized from the deferred tax assets we carry on our consolidated balance sheet, we record a valuation allowance to reduce the carrying value of the deferred tax assets to the amount expected to be realized. As of July 31, 2010, we had approximately \$0.8 million of valuation allowance arising from the net operating losses in states where we had discontinued certain operations in prior years and the potential losses if certain capital assets are sold in the UK. To the extent we establish a valuation allowance or change the amount of valuation allowance in a period, we reflect the change with a corresponding increase or decrease in our income tax provision in the consolidated income statement.

Historically, our income taxes have been sufficiently provided to cover our actual income tax liabilities among the jurisdictions in which we operate. Nonetheless, our future effective tax rate could still be adversely affected by several factors, including (i) the geographical allocation of our

Table of Contents

future earnings, (ii) the change in tax laws or our interpretation of tax laws, (iii) the changes in governing regulations and accounting principles, (iv) the changes in the valuation of our deferred tax assets and liabilities and (v) the outcome of the income tax examinations. As a result, we routinely assess the possibilities of material changes resulting from the aforementioned factors to determine the adequacy of our income tax provision.

Based on our results for the twelve months ended July 31, 2010, a one percentage point change in our provision for income taxes as a percentage of income before taxes would have resulted in an increase or decrease in the provision of approximately \$2.4 million.

Effective August 1, 2007, we adopted FASB ASC 740-10-25, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, which contains a two-step approach to recognizing and measuring uncertain tax positions. The first step is to evaluate the tax position for recognition by determining if the weight of available evidence indicates that it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained on audit, including resolution of related appeals or litigation processes, if any. The second step is to measure the tax benefit as the largest amount that is more than 50% likely of being realized upon settlement.

Although we believe we have adequately reserved for our uncertain tax positions, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different. We adjust these reserves in light of changing facts and circumstances, such as the closing of a tax audit or the refinement of an estimate. To the extent that the final tax outcome of these matters is different than the amounts recorded, such differences will impact the provision for income taxes in the period in which such determination is made. The provision for income taxes includes the impact of reserve provisions and changes to reserves that are considered appropriate, as well as the related net interest settlement of any particular position, could require the use of cash. In addition, we are subject to the continuous examination of our income tax returns by various taxing authorities, including the Internal Revenue Service and US states. We regularly assess the likelihood of adverse outcomes resulting from these examinations to determine the adequacy of our provision for income taxes.

Long-lived Asset Valuation, Including Intangible Assets

We evaluate long-lived assets, including property and equipment and certain identifiable intangibles for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. Recoverability of assets is measured by comparing the carrying amount of an asset to the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated by the use of the asset. If the estimated undiscounted cash flows change in the future, we may be required to reduce the carrying amount of an asset.

Stock-Based Compensation

We account for our stock-based awards to employees and non-employees using the fair value method as required by ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*. ASC 718 requires that the compensation cost related to share-based payment transactions, measured based on the fair value of the equity or liability instruments issued, be recognized in the financial statements. Determining the fair value of options using the Black-Scholes model, or other currently accepted option valuation models, requires highly subjective assumptions, including future stock price volatility and expected time until exercise, which greatly affect the calculated fair value on the grant date. If actual results are not consistent with our assumptions and judgments used in estimating the key assumptions, we may be required to record additional compensation or income tax expense, which could have a material impact on our consolidated financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

Retained Insurance Liabilities

We are partially self-insured for certain losses related to medical, general liability, workers' compensation and auto liability. Our insurance policies are subject to a \$250,000 deductible per claim, with the exception of our medical policy which is \$150,000 per claim. In addition, each of our policies contains an aggregate stop loss which limits our ultimate exposure. Our liability represents an estimate of the ultimate cost of claims incurred as of the balance sheet date. The estimated liability is not discounted and is established based upon analysis of historical data and actuarial estimates. The primary estimates used in the actuarial analysis include total payroll and revenue. Our estimates have not materially fluctuated from actual results. While we believe these estimates are reasonable based on the information currently available, if actual trends, including the severity of claims and medical cost inflation, differ from our estimates, our consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be impacted. The process of determining our insurance reserves requires estimates with various assumptions, each of which can positively or negatively impact those balances. The total amount reserved for all policies is approximately \$4.8 million as of July 31, 2010. If the total number of participants in the medical plan changed by 10% we estimate that our medical expense would change by approximately \$1.0 million and our medical accrual would change by approximately \$200,000. If our total payroll changed by 10% we estimate that our workers' compensation expense would change by approximately \$100,000 and our accrual for workers' compensation expenses would change by \$100,000. A 10% change in revenue would change our insurance premium for the general liability and umbrella policy by less than \$25,000.

Segment Reporting

Our North American and UK regions are considered two separate operating segments, which have been aggregated into one reportable segment because they share similar economic characteristics.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

For a description of the new accounting standards that affect us, refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 7A. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Our principal exposures to financial market risk are interest rate risk, foreign currency risk and translation risk.

Interest Rate Risk

The primary objective of our investment activities is to preserve principal while secondarily maximizing yields without significantly increasing risk. To achieve this objective in the current uncertain global financial markets, as of July 31, 2010, all of our total cash and cash equivalents were held in bank deposits, US Treasury Bills, and money market funds. As the interest rates on a material portion of our cash and cash equivalents are variable, a change in interest rates earned on our investment portfolio would impact interest income along with cash flows, but would not materially impact the fair market value of the related underlying instruments. As of July 31, 2010, we held no direct investments in auction rate securities, collateralized debt obligations, structured investment vehicles or mortgaged-backed securities. Based on the average cash balance held during the twelve months ended July 31, 2010, a 10% change in our interest yield would not materially affect our operating results. We do not hedge interest rate fluctuation risks.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency and Translation Exposure

Fluctuations in the foreign currencies create volatility in our reported results of operations because we are required to consolidate the results of operations of our foreign currency denominated subsidiaries. International net revenues result from transactions by our Canadian and UK operations and are typically denominated in the local currency of each country. These operations also incur a majority of their expenses in the local currency, the Canadian dollar and the British pound. Our international operations are subject to risks associated with foreign exchange rate volatility. Accordingly, our future results could be materially adversely impacted by changes in these or other factors. A hypothetical uniform 10% strengthening or weakening in the value of the US dollar relative to the Canadian dollar and British pound in which our revenues and profits are denominated would result in a decrease/increase to revenue of approximately \$17.1 million for the twelve months ended July 31, 2010. There are inherent limitations in the sensitivity analysis presented, due primarily to the assumption that foreign exchange rate movements are linear and instantaneous. As a result, the analysis is unable to reflect the potential effects of more complex market changes that could arise, which may positively or negatively affect income.

Fluctuations in the foreign currencies create volatility in our reported consolidated financial position because we are required to remeasure substantially all assets and liabilities held by our foreign subsidiaries at the current exchange rate at the close of the accounting period. At July 31, 2010, the cumulative effect of foreign exchange rate fluctuations on our consolidated financial position was a net translation loss of approximately \$32.7 million. This loss is recognized as an adjustment to stockholders' equity through accumulated other comprehensive income. A 10% strengthening or weakening in the value of the US dollar relative to the Canadian dollar or the British pound will not have a material affect on our consolidated financial position.

We do not hedge our exposure to translation risks arising from fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates.

Item 8. *Financial Statements and Supplementary Data*

The response to this item is submitted as a separate section of this Annual Report on Form 10-K in Item 15. See Part IV, Item 15(a) for an index to the financial statements and supplementary financial information.

Item 9. *Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure*

None.

Item 9A. *Controls and Procedures*

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures, or "Disclosure Controls," as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This evaluation, or "Controls Evaluation," was performed under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Director (our CEO) and our Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (our CFO). Disclosure Controls are controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act, such as this Annual Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the US Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms. Disclosure Controls include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and

Table of Contents

communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, or persons performing similar functions, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our Disclosure Controls include some, but not all, components of our internal control over financial reporting.

Based upon the Controls Evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that, as of the end of the period covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, our Disclosure Controls were effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in our Exchange Act reports is accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure, and that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Table of Contents

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

Our management is responsible for establishing and maintaining internal control over financial reporting (as such item is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management and directors; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Management assessed our internal control over financial reporting as of July 31, 2010, the end of our fiscal year. Management based its assessment on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Management's assessment included evaluation of such elements as the design and operating effectiveness of key financial reporting controls, process documentation, accounting policies, and our overall control environment. This assessment is supported by testing and monitoring performed by our Finance department.

Based on our assessment, management has concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of the end of the fiscal year to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. We reviewed the results of management's assessment with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors.

Our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young LLP, independently assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of July 31, 2010. Ernst & Young LLP has issued an attestation report which appears on the following page of this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Copart, Inc.

We have audited Copart, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of July 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Copart, Inc.'s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying "Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting". Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Copart, Inc. maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of July 31, 2010, based on the COSO criteria.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Copart, Inc. as of July 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2010 of Copart, Inc. and our report dated September 23, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Sacramento, California
September 23, 2010

Table of Contents

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within Copart have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with associated policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) of the Exchange Act), during the most recent fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. *Other Information*

None.

Table of Contents

PART III

Certain information required by Part III is omitted from this Annual Report on Form 10-K because we intend to file a definitive proxy statement for our 2010 Annual Meeting of Shareholders (the Proxy Statement) not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and certain information to be included therein is incorporated herein by reference.

Item 10. *Directors, Executive Officers of the Registrant and Corporate Governance*

Information required by this item concerning our Board of Directors, the members of our Audit Committee, our Audit Committee Financial Expert, and compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated by reference to the sections entitled "Election of Directors and Director Biographies," "Board of Directors Information" and "General Compliance with Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Requirements" in our Proxy Statement.

Information required by this item concerning our Executive Officers is incorporated by reference to the section entitled "Executive Officers" in our Proxy Statement.

Information required by this item with respect to material changes to the procedures by which our shareholders may recommend nominees to our Board of Directors is incorporated herein by reference from the information provided under the heading "The Nominating and Governance Committee" of our Proxy Statement.

Code of Ethics

We have adopted the Copart, Inc. Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Senior Financial Officers (Code of Ethics). The Code of Ethics applies to our principal executive officer, our principal financial officer, our principal accounting officer or controller, and persons performing similar functions and responsibilities who shall be identified by our Audit Committee from time to time.

The Code of Ethics is available at our website, located at <http://www.copart.com>. It may be found at our website as follows:

1. From our main web page, click on "Company Info."
2. Next, click on "Investor Relations."
3. Finally, click on "Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Senior Financial Officers."

We intend to satisfy disclosure requirements under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of the Code of Ethics by posting such information on our website, at the address and location specified above, or as otherwise required by the Nasdaq Global Market.

Item 11. *Executive Compensation*

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the Proxy Statement under the heading "Executive Compensation."

Item 12. *Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters*

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the Proxy Statement under the headings "Security Ownership" and "Equity Compensation Plan Information."

Item 13. *Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence*

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the Proxy Statement under the heading "Related Party Transactions."

Item 14. *Principal Accountant Fees and Services*

The information required by this item is incorporated herein by reference from the section captioned "Proposal Two Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in the Proxy Statement.

52

Table of Contents**PART IV****Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules**

The following documents are filed as part of this Form 10-K:

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| (a) 1. Financial Statements: Index to Consolidated Financial Statements | |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>59</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at July 31, 2010 and 2009</u> | <u>60</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Income for the years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | <u>61</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income for the years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | <u>62</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008</u> | <u>63</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>64</u> |
| 2. Financial Statement Schedules: All schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto. | |
| 3. Exhibits: The following Exhibits are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into this report. | |

| Exhibit Number | Description | Incorporated by reference herein | |
|-----------------------|---|---|-------------------|
| | | Form | Date |
| 3.1 | Amended and restated Articles of Incorporation | Annual Report on Form 10-K, (File No. 000-23254), Exhibit No. 3.1 | October 26, 2000 |
| 3.1b | Certificate of Amendment of Articles of Incorporation | Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-23254), Exhibit No. 3.1b | October 26, 2000 |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant | Annual Report on Form 10-K, Exhibit No. 3.2 | October 21, 1995 |
| 3.2b | Certificate of Amendment of Bylaws | Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 3.4 | December 15, 2003 |
| 3.2c | Certificate of Amendment of Bylaws | Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 3.2b | October 14, 2004 |
| 3.2d | Amendment to Section 3.2 to the Bylaws of Copart, Inc. effective as of January 13, 2009 | Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 3.1 | December 5, 2008 |

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description | Incorporated by reference herein Form | Date |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------|
| 3.3 | Certificate of Determination of Rights, Preferences and Privileges of Series A Participating Preferred Stock of Copart, Inc. | 8/A-12/G (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 3.3 | March 11, 2003 |
| 4.1 | Preferred Stock Rights Agreement, dated as of March 6, 2003, between Copart and Equiserve Trust Company N.A., including the Certificate of Determination, the form of Rights Certificate and the Summary of Rights attached thereto as Exhibits A, B and C, respectively | 8/A-12/G (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 4.1 | March 11, 2003 |
| 4.2 | Amendment to Preferred Stock Rights Agreement, as of March 14, 2006, between Copart and Computershare Trust Company, N.A. (formerly Equiserve Trust Company, N.A.) | 8/A-12G/A (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit 4.2 | March 15, 2006 |
| 10.1* | Copart Inc. 1992 Stock Option Plan, as amended, and form of stock option agreement | Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-93887), Exhibit No. 10.1 | December 30, 1999 |
| 10.2* | 1994 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (as amended December 8, 2003) with form of subscription agreement | Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-112597), Exhibit No. 4.1 | February 6, 2004 |
| 10.3* | 1994 Director Option Plan with form of subscription agreement | Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-74250) | January 19, 1994 |
| 10.4* | Copart Inc. 2001 Stock Option Plan | Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-90612), Exhibit No. 4.1 | June 17, 2002 |
| 10.5* | Form of Indemnification Agreement signed by executive officers and directors | Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-23254), Exhibit No. 10.5 | October 29, 2002 |
| 10.6 | General lease dated as of December 29, 1997 between Robert Arthur Gomes and Robert Paul Gomes and Copart of Connecticut, Inc. | Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-23254), Exhibit No. 10.6 | October 29, 2002 |
| 10.7 | Standard Industrial/Commercial single tenant lease-net dated December 23, 1998 between Wickland Oil Martinez and the Registrant | Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-23254), Exhibit No. 10.7 | October 29, 2002 |

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description | Incorporated by reference herein Form | Date |
|----------------|--|---|-------------------|
| 10.8* | Copart Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (2007 EIP) | Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 10.1 | December 12, 2007 |
| 10.9* | Form of Performance Share Award Agreement for use with 2007 EIP | Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 10.2 | December 12, 2007 |
| 10.10* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for use with 2007 EIP | Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 10.3 | December 12, 2007 |
| 10.11* | Form of Stock Option Award Agreement for use with 2007 EIP | Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 10.5 | December 12, 2007 |
| 10.12* | Form of Restricted Stock Award Agreement for use with 2007 EIP | Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 10.4 | December 12, 2007 |
| 10.13 | Credit Agreement dated as of March 6, 2008 by and between Copart Inc. and Bank of America, N.A. | Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 10.1 | March 7, 2008 |
| 10.14* | Copart, Inc. Executive Bonus Plan | Current Report on Form 8-K (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 10.13 | August 3, 2006 |
| 10.15* | Amended and Restated Executive Officer Employment Agreement between the Company and William E. Franklin, dated September 25, 2008 | Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (File No. 000-23255), Exhibit No. 10.1 | December 10, 2008 |
| 10.16* | Form of Copart, Inc. Stand-Alone Stock Option Award Agreement for grant of options to purchase 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock to each of Willis J. Johnson and A. Jayson Adair | Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-159946), Exhibit No. 4.1 | June 12, 2009 |
| 10.17* | Amendment dated June 9, 2010 to Option Agreements dated June 6, 2001, October 21, 2002 and August 19, 2003 between the Company and Willis J. Johnson | | Filed herewith |
| 14.01 | Code of Ethics for Principal Executive and Senior Financial Officers | Annual Report on Form 10-K (File No. 000-23254), Exhibit No. 14-01 | October 17, 2003 |

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Description | Incorporated by reference herein Form | Date |
|---------------------------|--|--|----------------|
| 21.1 | List of subsidiaries of Registrant | | Filed herewith |
| 23.1 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | Filed herewith |
| 24.1 | Power of Attorney (included on signature page) | | Filed herewith |
| 31.1 | Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | Filed herewith |
| 31.2 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | Filed herewith |
| 32.1 | Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | Filed herewith |
| 32.2 | Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | | Filed herewith |

*

Management contract, plan or arrangement

Table of Contents**POWER OF ATTORNEY**

KNOWN ALL PERSONS BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below constitutes and appoints A. Jayson Adair and William E. Franklin, and each of them, as his true and lawful attorneys-in-fact and agents, each with full power of substitution and resubstitution, for him and in his name, place and stead, in any and all capacities, to sign any and all amendments to this Annual Report on Form 10-K, and to file the same, with all exhibits thereto, and other documents in connection therewith, with the Securities and Exchange Commission, granting unto said attorneys-in-fact and agents, full power and authority to do and perform each and every act and thing requisite and necessary to be done in connection therewith, as fully to all intents and purposes as he might or could do in person, hereby ratifying and confirming all that said attorney-in-fact and agent, or his substitute or substitutes, may lawfully do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Capacity in Which Signed | Date |
|--------------------------------|---|--------------------|
| <u>/s/ A. JAYSON ADAIR</u> | Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer and Director) | September 23, 2010 |
| A. Jayson Adair | | |
| <u>/s/ WILLIAM E. FRANKLIN</u> | Senior Vice President of Finance and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial and Accounting Officer) | September 23, 2010 |
| William E. Franklin | | |
| <u>/s/ WILLIS J. JOHNSON</u> | Chairman of the Board | September 23, 2010 |
| Willis J. Johnson | | |
| <u>/s/ JAMES E. MEEKS</u> | Director | September 23, 2010 |
| James E. Meeks | | |
| <u>/s/ STEVEN D. COHAN</u> | Director | September 23, 2010 |
| Steven D. Cohan | | |
| <u>/s/ DANIEL ENGLANDER</u> | Director | September 23, 2010 |
| Daniel Englander | | |
| | Director | September 23, 2010 |
| <u>Thomas W. Smith</u> | Director | September 23, 2010 |
| <u>/s/ MATT BLUNT</u> | | |
| Matt Blunt | | |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Copart, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Copart, Inc. as of July 31, 2010 and 2009, and the related consolidated statements of income, shareholders' equity and comprehensive income, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2010. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Copart, Inc. at July 31, 2010 and 2009, and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended July 31, 2010, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Copart, Inc.'s internal control over financial reporting as of July 31, 2010, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated September 23, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion thereon.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

Sacramento, California
September 23, 2010

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS****(in thousands, except share amounts)**

| | July 31, 2010 | July 31, 2009 |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Current assets: | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 268,188 | \$ 162,691 |
| Accounts receivable, net | 109,061 | 109,248 |
| Vehicle pooling costs | 29,890 | 28,685 |
| Inventories | 4,976 | 4,667 |
| Income taxes receivable | 10,958 | 5,426 |
| Prepaid expenses and other assets | 14,342 | 5,216 |
| Total current assets | 437,415 | 315,933 |
| Property and equipment, net | 573,514 | 530,886 |
| Intangibles, net | 13,016 | 15,212 |
| Goodwill | 175,870 | 166,327 |
| Deferred income taxes | 10,213 | 7,759 |
| Other assets | 18,784 | 21,915 |
| Total assets | \$ 1,228,812 | \$ 1,058,032 |
| LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Current liabilities: | | |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | \$ 93,740 | \$ 82,773 |
| Deferred revenue | 10,642 | 13,165 |
| Income taxes payable | 1,314 | 5,269 |
| Deferred income taxes | 1,154 | 1,948 |
| Other current liabilities | 374 | 429 |
| Total current liabilities | 107,224 | 103,584 |
| Deferred income taxes | 9,748 | 10,997 |
| Income taxes payable | 23,369 | 20,266 |
| Other liabilities | 1,237 | 1,726 |
| Total liabilities | 141,578 | 136,573 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | |
| Shareholders' equity: | | |
| Common stock, no par value 180,000,000 shares authorized; 84,363,063 and 83,938,814 shares issued and outstanding at July 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively | 365,507 | 334,440 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (32,741) | (27,082) |
| Retained earnings | 754,468 | 614,101 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 1,087,234 | 921,459 |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 1,228,812 | \$ 1,058,032 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME****(in thousands, except per share amounts)**

| | Years Ended July 31, | | |
|--|----------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Service revenues and vehicle sales: | | | |
| Service revenues | \$ 642,134 | \$ 615,352 | \$ 619,728 |
| Vehicle sales | 130,745 | 127,730 | 165,120 |
| Total service revenues and vehicle sales | 772,879 | 743,082 | 784,848 |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | |
| Yard operations | 320,212 | 324,793 | 328,919 |
| Cost of vehicle sales | 104,673 | 106,029 | 133,670 |
| General and administrative | 108,924 | 86,935 | 84,342 |
| Total operating expenses | 533,809 | 517,757 | 546,931 |
| Operating income | 239,070 | 225,325 | 237,917 |
| Other income (expense): | | | |
| Interest expense | (216) | (274) | (209) |
| Interest income | 205 | 1,692 | 7,761 |
| Other income, net | 436 | 989 | 4,181 |
| Total other income | 425 | 2,407 | 11,733 |
| Income from continuing operations before income taxes | 239,495 | 227,732 | 249,650 |
| Income taxes | 87,868 | 88,186 | 92,718 |
| Income from continuing operations | 151,627 | 139,546 | 156,932 |
| Discontinued operations: | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations, net of income tax effects | | 1,557 | |
| Net income | \$ 151,627 | \$ 141,103 | \$ 156,932 |
| Earnings per share basic | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.67 | \$ 1.80 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 0.02 | |
| Basic net income per share | \$ 1.80 | \$ 1.69 | \$ 1.80 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 84,165 | 83,537 | 87,412 |
| Earnings per share diluted | | | |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 1.78 | \$ 1.64 | \$ 1.75 |
| Income from discontinued operations | | 0.02 | |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 1.78 | \$ 1.66 | \$ 1.75 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 85,027 | 84,930 | 89,858 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(in thousands, except share amounts)

| | Common Stock | | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) | Retained Earnings | Shareholders' Equity |
|---|-----------------------|------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------|
| | Outstanding Shares | Amount | | | |
| Balances at July 31, 2007 | 88,333,677 | \$ 206,126 | \$ 4,447 | \$ 670,293 | \$ 880,866 |
| Net income | | | | 156,932 | 156,932 |
| Currency translation adjustment | | | (3,614) | | (3,614) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | 153,318 |
| Exercise of stock options, net of repurchased shares | 1,500,632 | 12,675 | | | 12,675 |
| Employee share-based compensation and related tax benefit | | 23,298 | | | 23,298 |
| Shares issued for Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 56,450 | 1,711 | | | 1,711 |
| Share repurchase adjustment | | 95,449 | | (95,449) | |
| Shares repurchased | (6,615,764) | (22,586) | | (246,665) | (269,251) |
| Adoption of ASC 740-10-25 | | | | (3,621) | (3,621) |
| Balances at July 31, 2008 | 83,274,995 | 316,673 | 833 | 481,490 | 798,996 |
| Net income | | | | 141,103 | 141,103 |
| Currency translation adjustment | | | (27,915) | | (27,915) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | 113,188 |
| Exercise of stock options, net of repurchased shares | 580,985 | 1,842 | | (8,492) | (6,650) |
| Employee share-based compensation and related tax benefit | | 13,983 | | | 13,983 |
| Shares issued for Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 82,834 | 1,942 | | | 1,942 |
| Balances at July 31, 2009 | 83,938,814 | 334,440 | (27,082) | 614,101 | 921,459 |
| Net income | | | | 151,627 | 151,627 |
| Currency translation adjustment | | | (5,659) | | (5,659) |
| Comprehensive income | | | | | 145,968 |
| Exercise of stock options, net of repurchased shares | 477,465 | 5,351 | | (7,315) | (1,964) |
| Employee share-based compensation and related tax benefit | | 24,184 | | | 24,184 |
| Shares issued for Employee Stock Purchase Plan | 68,035 | 2,044 | | | 2,044 |
| Shares repurchased | (121,251) | (512) | | (3,945) | (4,457) |
| Balances at July 31, 2010 | 84,363,063 | \$ 365,507 | \$ (32,741) | \$ 754,468 | \$ 1,087,234 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS****(in thousands)****Years Ended July 31,**

| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 151,627 | \$ 141,103 | \$ 156,932 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Income from discontinued operations | | (2,440) | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 43,242 | 41,354 | 42,804 |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | 442 | (174) | 349 |
| Deferred rent | (440) | (1,171) | (505) |
| Share-based compensation | 17,955 | 9,413 | 6,356 |
| Excess benefit from share-based compensation | (5,643) | (4,570) | (16,942) |
| Loss on sale of property and equipment | 659 | 647 | 123 |
| Deferred income taxes | (4,512) | (2,393) | 5,690 |
| Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effects from acquisitions: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 2,436 | 982 | 270 |
| Vehicle pooling costs | (1,210) | 1,361 | (784) |
| Inventories | (256) | (54) | 1,489 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (8,896) | 1,376 | (991) |
| Other assets | 311 | (6,386) | (7,795) |
| Accounts payable and accrued liabilities | 8,098 | (2,479) | 1,208 |
| Deferred revenue | (2,527) | (1,324) | 619 |
| Income taxes receivable | 861 | 18,021 | (4,732) |
| Income taxes payable | (2,740) | 10,073 | 9,972 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 199,407 | 203,339 | 194,063 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | | |
| Purchases of short-term investments | | | (154,360) |
| Sales of short-term investments | | | 256,985 |
| Restricted cash and purchases of short-term investments | | | 9,148 |
| Principal payments from (issuance of) notes receivable | (1,300) | 12,000 | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (75,840) | (78,912) | (113,364) |
| Proceeds from sale of property and equipment | 2,477 | 7,008 | 7,220 |
| Purchase of assets and liabilities in connection with acquisitions, net of cash acquired | (21,362) | | (38,229) |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (96,025) | (59,904) | (32,600) |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | | |
| Proceeds from the exercise of stock options | 6,285 | 3,119 | 12,675 |
| Proceeds from the issuance of Employee Stock Purchase Plan shares | 2,044 | 1,942 | 1,711 |
| Repurchases of common stock | (12,706) | (9,769) | (269,251) |
| Excess tax benefit from share-based payment arrangements | 5,643 | 4,570 | 16,942 |
| Change in book overdraft | | (17,502) | 8,246 |
| Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities | 1,266 | (17,640) | (229,677) |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | 849 | (2,058) | (453) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 105,497 | 123,737 | (68,667) |

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|
| Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period | 162,691 | 38,954 | 107,621 |
| Cash and cash equivalents at end of period | \$ 268,188 | \$ 162,691 | \$ 38,954 |
| Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information: | | | |
| Interest paid | \$ 216 | \$ 353 | \$ 117 |
| Cash paid for income taxes | \$ 93,989 | \$ 71,908 | \$ 85,010 |

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Basis of Presentation and Description of Business

Copart, Inc. was incorporated under the laws of the State of California in 1982. The consolidated financial statements of Copart, Inc. (the Company) include the accounts of the parent company and its wholly owned subsidiaries, including its foreign wholly owned subsidiaries Copart Canada, Inc. (Copart Canada) and Copart UK Limited (Copart UK). Significant intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation. Copart Canada was incorporated in January 2003 and Copart UK was incorporated in June 2007. Investments in companies in which the Company exercises significant influence but does not control (generally 20% to 50% ownership interest), are accounted for under the equity method of accounting.

The Company provides vehicle sellers with a full range of services to process and sell vehicles over the Internet through the Company's Virtual Bidding Second Generation (VB²) Internet auction-style sales technology. Sellers are primarily insurance companies but also include banks and financial institutions, charities, car dealerships, fleet operators, vehicle rental companies and the general public. The Company sells principally to licensed vehicle dismantlers, rebuilders, repair licensees, used vehicle dealers and exporters; however at certain locations, the Company sells directly to the general public. The majority of vehicles sold on behalf of insurance companies are either damaged vehicles deemed a total loss or not economically repairable by the insurance companies or are recovered stolen vehicles for which an insurance settlement with the vehicle owner has already been made. The Company offers vehicle sellers a full range of services that expedite each stage of the vehicle sales process, minimize administrative and processing costs and maximize the ultimate sales price. In the United States and Canada, or North America, the Company sells vehicles primarily as an agent and derives revenue primarily from fees paid by vehicle sellers and vehicle buyers as well as related fees for services such as towing and storage. In the United Kingdom, or UK, the Company operates both on a principal basis, purchasing the salvage vehicle outright from the insurance company and reselling the vehicle for its own account, and as an agent.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with US generally accepted accounting principles requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Estimates are used for, but not limited to, vehicle pooling costs, self-insured reserves, allowance for doubtful accounts, income taxes, revenue recognition, share-based compensation, long-lived asset and goodwill impairment calculations and contingencies. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Foreign Currency Translation

The functional currency of the Company is the US dollar. The Canadian dollar and the British pound are the functional currencies of the Company's foreign subsidiaries, Copart Canada and Copart UK, respectively, as they are the primary currencies within the economic environment in which each subsidiary operates. The original equity investment in the respective subsidiaries is translated at historical rates. Assets and liabilities of the respective subsidiary's operations are translated into US dollars at period-end exchange rates, and revenues and expenses are translated

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

into US dollars at average exchange rates in effect during each reporting period. Adjustments resulting from the translation of each subsidiary's financial statements are reported in other comprehensive income.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The amounts recorded for financial instruments in the Company's consolidated financial statements, which include cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair values as of July 31, 2010 and 2009 due to the short-term nature of those instruments.

Revenue Recognition

The Company provides a portfolio of services to its sellers and buyers that facilitate the sale and delivery of a vehicle from seller to buyer. These vehicle services include the ability to use its Internet sales technology and vehicle delivery, loading, title processing, preparation and storage. The Company evaluates multiple element arrangements relative to the Company's member and seller agreements in accordance with ASC 605-25, *Revenue Recognition, Multiple Element Arrangements*, which addresses accounting for multiple element arrangements, and revenue recognition for units of accounting.

The services the Company provides to the seller of a vehicle involve disposing of a vehicle on the seller's behalf and, under most of the Company's current North American contracts, collecting the proceeds from the member. The Company is not entitled to any seller fees until the Company has collected the sales proceeds from the member for the seller and, accordingly, the Company recognizes revenue for seller services after service delivery and cash collection, net of any applicable rebates or allowances.

In certain cases, seller fees are not contingent upon collection of the seller proceeds from the buyer. However, the Company has determined that it is not able to separate the services into separate units of accounting because the Company does not have fair value for undelivered items. As a result, the Company does not recognize seller fees until the final seller service has been delivered, which occurs upon collection of the sales proceeds from the member for the seller.

Vehicle sales, where the Company purchases and remarkets vehicles on its own behalf, are recognized in accordance with SAB 104 on the sale date, which is typically the point of high bid acceptance. Upon high bid acceptance, a legal binding contract is formed with the member, and the Company records the vehicle sales price, net of sales allowances, as revenue.

The Company provides a number of services to the buyer of the vehicle, charging a separate fee for each service. Each of these services has been assessed under the criteria of ASC 605-25 to determine whether the Company has met the requirements to separate the services into units of accounting within a multi-element arrangement. The Company has concluded that the sale service and the post-sale services are separate units of accounting. The fees for the auction service are recognized upon completion of the sale, and the fees for the post-sale services are recognized upon successful completion of those services using the residual method.

The Company also charges members an annual registration fee for the right to participate in its vehicle sales program, which is recognized ratably over the term of the arrangement, and relist and

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

late-payment fees, which are recognized upon receipt of payment by the member. No provision for returns has been established, as all sales are final with no right of return, although the Company provides for bad debt expense in the case of non-performance by its members or sellers.

Cost of Vehicle Sales

Cost of vehicle sales includes the purchase price of vehicles sold for the Company's own account.

Yard Operations

Yard operations consist primarily of operating personnel (which includes yard management, clerical and yard employees), rent, contract vehicle towing, insurance, fuel and equipment maintenance and repair.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses consist primarily of executive, accounting and data processing, sales personnel, professional services, system maintenance and enhancements and marketing expenses.

Advertising

All advertising costs are expensed as incurred and are included in general and administrative expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Income. Advertising expenses were approximately \$12.7 million, \$2.6 million and \$1.7 million in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

Other income

Other income consists primarily of interest income, gains and losses from the disposal of fixed assets and rental income.

Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax basis and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company adopted the provisions of FASB ASC 740-10-25, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes*, as of August 1, 2007. For benefits to be realized, a tax position must be more likely than not to be sustained upon examination. The amount recognized is measured as the largest amount of benefit that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

As a result of the Company's adoption of ASC 740-10-25, the Company recognized a \$3.6 million cumulative decrease to retained earnings. The Company also recognized a liability for unrecognized tax benefits of \$13.3 million, of which \$9.1 million (net of tax) would reduce the Company's effective tax rate if recognized in future periods. The interest and penalties, if any, related to unrecognized tax benefits are recorded in income tax expense. As of August 1, 2007, the Company had \$2.6 million of accrued interest and penalties included in unrecognized tax benefits.

Net Income Per Share

Basic net income per share amounts were computed by dividing consolidated net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income per share amounts were computed by dividing consolidated net income by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding plus dilutive potential common shares calculated for stock options outstanding during the period using the treasury stock method.

Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities

The Company considers all highly liquid investments purchased with original maturities of three months or less at the time of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents include cash held in checking and money market accounts. The Company periodically invests its excess cash in money market funds and US Treasury Bills. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are placed with high credit quality financial institutions. The Company has classified its entire investment portfolio as available-for-sale. The Company views its available-for-sale securities as available for use in its current operations. Available-for-sale securities are reported at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses reported as a component of Shareholders' Equity and Comprehensive Income. Unrealized losses are charged against income when a decline in the fair market value of an individual security is determined to be other than temporary. Realized gains and losses on investments are included in interest income.

On August 1, 2008, the Company adopted Statement of FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, which clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows: (Level I) observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; (Level II) inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or indirectly; and (Level III) unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop its own assumptions. This hierarchy requires the Company to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. On a recurring basis, the Company measures its investments, cash equivalents or marketable securities at fair value. Cash and cash equivalents are classified within Level I of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Inventory

Inventories of purchased vehicles are stated at the lower of cost or estimated realizable value. Cost includes the Company's cost of acquiring ownership of the vehicle. The cost of vehicles sold is charged to cost of vehicle sales as sold.

Vehicle Pooling Costs

The Company defers in vehicle pooling costs certain yard operation expenses associated with vehicles consigned to and received by the Company but not sold as of the end of the period. The Company quantifies the deferred costs using a calculation that includes the number of vehicles at its facilities at the beginning and end of the period, the number of vehicles sold during the period and an allocation of certain yard operation costs of the period. The primary expenses allocated and deferred are certain facility costs, labor, transportation, and vehicle processing. If the allocation factors change, then yard operation expenses could increase or decrease correspondingly in the future. These costs are expensed as vehicles are sold in the subsequent periods on an average cost basis.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable, which consist primarily of advance charges due from insurance companies and the gross sales price of the vehicle due from members, are recorded when billed, advanced or accrued and represent claims against third parties that will be settled in cash.

Allowance for Doubtful Accounts

The Company maintains an allowance for doubtful accounts in order to provide for estimated losses resulting from disputed amounts billed to sellers or members and the inability of sellers or members to make required payments. If billing disputes exceed expectations and/or if the financial condition of sellers or members were to deteriorate, additional allowances may be required. The allowance is calculated by considering both seller and member accounts receivables written off during the previous 12 month period as a percentage of the total accounts receivable balance.

Concentration of Credit Risk

Financial instruments, which subject the Company to potential credit risk, consist of its cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments and accounts receivable. The Company adheres to its investment policy when placing investments. The investment policy has established guidelines to limit the Company's exposure to credit expense by placing investments with high credit quality financial institutions, diversifying its investment portfolio, limiting investments in any one issuer or pooled fund and placing investments with maturities that maintain safety and liquidity. The Company places its cash and cash equivalents with high credit quality financial institutions. Deposits with these financial institutions may exceed the amount of insurance provided; however, these deposits typically are redeemable upon demand and, therefore, the Company believes that the financial risks associated with these financial instruments are minimal.

The Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers, and generally does not require collateral on its accounts receivable. The Company estimates its allowances for doubtful

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

accounts based on historical collection trends, the age of outstanding receivables and existing economic conditions. If events or changes in circumstances indicate that specific receivable balances may be impaired, further consideration is given to the collectability of those balances and the allowance is adjusted accordingly. Past-due account balances are written off when the Company's internal collection efforts have been unsuccessful in collecting the amount due. The Company does not have off-balance sheet credit exposure related to its customers and to date, the Company has not experienced significant credit related losses.

No single customer accounted for more than 10% of our revenues in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008. At July 31, 2010 no single customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's accounts receivables. At July 31, 2009 State Farm Insurance accounted for 11% of accounts receivable.

Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Leasehold improvements are amortized on a straight-line basis over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful lives of the respective improvements, which is between 5 and 10 years. Significant improvements, which substantially extend the useful lives of assets are capitalized. Expenditures for maintenance and repairs are charged to expense as incurred. Depreciation and amortization is computed on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of: 3 to 7 years for transportation and other equipment; 3 to 10 years for office furniture and equipment; and 15 to 40 years or the lease term, whichever is shorter, for buildings and improvements.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates long-lived assets, including property and equipment for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recoverable. In accordance with FASB ASC 360, *Property, Plant, and Equipment*, a long-lived asset is initially measured at the lower of its carrying amount or fair value. An impairment loss is recognized when the estimated undiscounted future cash flows expected to be generated from the use of the asset are less than the carrying amount of the asset. The impairment loss is then calculated by comparing the carrying amount with its fair value, which is usually estimated using discounted cash flows expected to be generated from the use of the asset.

Goodwill and Other Identifiable Intangible Assets

In accordance with FASB ASC 350-30-35, *Intangibles - Goodwill and Other*, goodwill is not amortized but is tested for potential impairment, at a minimum on an annual basis, or when indications of potential impairment exist. The Company performed its annual impairment test for goodwill during the fourth quarter of its 2010 fiscal year utilizing a market value and discounted cash flow approach. The impairment test for identifiable intangible assets not subject to amortization is also performed annually or when impairment indicators exist, and consists of a comparison of the fair value of the intangible asset with its carrying amount. Identifiable intangible assets that are subject to amortization are evaluated for impairment using a process similar to that used to evaluate other long-lived assets.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Retained Insurance Liabilities

The Company is partially self-insured for certain losses related to medical, general liability, workers' compensation and auto liability. The Company's insurance policies are subject to a \$250,000 deductible per claim, with the exception of its medical policy which is \$150,000 per claim. In addition, each of the Company's policies contains an aggregate stop loss which limits its ultimate exposure. The Company's liability represents an estimate of the ultimate cost of claims incurred as of the balance sheet date. The estimated liability is not discounted and is established based upon analysis of historical data and actuarial estimates. The primary estimates used in the actuarial analysis include total payroll and revenue. The Company's estimates have not materially fluctuated from actual results. While the Company believes these estimates are reasonable based on the information currently available, if actual trends, including the severity of claims and medical cost inflation, differ from the Company's estimates, the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows could be impacted. The process of determining the Company's insurance reserves requires estimates with various assumptions, each of which can positively or negatively impact those balances. As of July 31, 2010 and 2009 the total amount reserved for all policies is approximately \$4.8 million and \$5.8 million, respectively.

Stock Compensation

The Company accounts for our stock-based awards to employees and non-employees using the fair value method as required by FASB ASC 718, *Compensation - Stock Compensation*, which requires the measurement and recognition of compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees, consultants and directors based on estimated fair value. The Company adopted ASC 718 using the modified-prospective transition method. Under this transition method, share-based compensation cost recognized in the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 includes share-based compensation expense for all share-based payment awards granted prior to, but not yet vested as of August 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provisions of ASC 718, and share-based compensation expense for all share-based payment awards granted subsequent to August 1, 2005, based on the grant-date fair value estimated in accordance with the provisions of ASC 718. ASC 718 requires companies to estimate the fair value of share-based payment awards on the date of grant using an option-pricing model. The value of the portion of the award that is ultimately expected to vest is recognized in expense over the requisite service periods. ASC 718 requires forfeitures to be estimated at the time of grant and revised, if necessary, in subsequent periods if actual forfeitures differ from those estimates.

Option valuation models require the input of highly subjective assumptions including the expected stock price volatility. Because the Company's employee stock options have characteristics significantly different from those of traded options and because changes in the input assumptions can materially affect their fair value estimate, it is the Company's opinion that the existing models do not necessarily provide a reliable single measure of the fair value of the employee stock options.

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)**

The fair value of each option was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model utilizing the following assumptions:

| | July 31, 2010 | July 31, 2009 | July 31, 2008 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Expected life (in years) | 5.2 - 7.1 | 5.2 - 7.1 | 4.7 - 6.7 |
| Risk-free interest rate | 2.1 - 3.3% | 1.4 - 3.1% | 3.4 - 4.4% |
| Estimated volatility factor | 28 - 36% | 33 - 37% | 31 - 32% |
| Expected dividends | 0% | 0% | 0% |
| Weighted-average fair value at grant date | \$ 13.21 | \$ 13.09 | \$ 13.81 |

Expected life The Company's expected life represents the period that the Company's share-based awards are expected to be outstanding and was determined based on historical experience of similar awards, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the share-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior as influenced by changes to the terms of its share-based awards.

Estimated volatility factor The Company uses the trading history of its common stock in determining an estimated volatility factor when using the Black-Scholes option-pricing formula to determine the fair value of options granted.

Expected dividend The Company has not declared dividends. Therefore, the Company uses a zero value for the expected dividend value factor when using the Black-Scholes option-pricing formula to determine the fair value of options granted.

Risk-free interest rate The Company bases the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option-pricing formula on the implied yield currently available on US Treasury zero-coupon issues with the same or substantially equivalent remaining term.

Estimated forfeitures When estimating forfeitures, the Company considers voluntary and involuntary termination behavior as well as analysis of actual option forfeitures.

Net cash proceeds from the exercise of stock options were approximately \$6.3 million, \$3.1 million and \$12.7 million for the years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively. The Company realized an income tax benefit of approximately \$5.6 million, \$4.6 million and \$16.9 million from stock option exercises during the years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively. In accordance with ASC 718, the Company presents excess tax benefits from disqualifying dispositions of the exercise of incentive stock options, vested prior to August 1, 2005, if any, as financing cash flows rather than operating cash flows.

Comprehensive Income

Comprehensive income includes all changes in shareholders' equity during a period from non-shareholder sources. For the years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 the only item in accumulated other comprehensive loss was the effect of foreign currency translation adjustments.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

Segment Reporting

The Company's North American and UK regions are considered two separate operating segments, which have been aggregated into one reportable segment because they share similar economic characteristics.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, was effective for the Company in the first quarter ended October 31, 2009. The Company adopted ASC 820 for its non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, which include assets and liabilities acquired in connection with business combinations and intangible assets. The adoption of ASC 820 for non-financial assets and liabilities did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial condition.

FASB ASC 810-10-65, *Consolidation*, which was effective for the Company in the first quarter ended October 31, 2009, provides guidance on the presentation of minority interests in the financial statements. This standard requires that minority interest be presented as a component of equity rather than as a "mezzanine" item between liabilities and equity, and also requires that minority interests be presented as a separate caption in the income statement. This standard also requires all transactions with minority interest holders, including the issuance and repurchase of minority interests, be accounted for as equity transactions unless a change in control of the subsidiary occurs. The adoption of ASC 810-10-65 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

FASB ASC 815-10-50, *Derivatives and Hedging*, which was effective for the Company in the first quarter ended October 31, 2009, requires enhanced disclosure related to derivatives and hedging activities and thereby seeks to improve the transparency of financial reporting. Under ASC 815-10-50, entities are required to provide enhanced disclosures relating to: (a) how and why an entity uses derivative instruments; (b) how derivative instruments and related hedge items are accounted for and its related interpretations; and (c) how derivative instruments and related hedged items affect an entity's financial position, financial performance, and cash flows. ASC 815-10-50 must be applied prospectively to all derivative instruments and non-derivative instruments that are designated and qualify as hedging instruments and related hedged items accounted for under ASC 815-10-50. The adoption of ASC 815-10-50 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

FASB ASC 350-30-35, *Intangibles Goodwill and Other*, which was effective for the Company in the first quarter ended October 31, 2009, amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset. The adoption of ASC 350-30-35 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

FASB ASC 810-15-13, *Consolidation Variable Interest Entities*, which was effective for the Company in the first quarter ended October, 31, 2009, requires an enterprise to perform an analysis:

to determine whether the enterprise's variable interest or interests give it a controlling financial interest in a variable interest entity;

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(1) Summary of Significant Accounting Policies (Continued)

to require ongoing reassessments of whether an enterprise is the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity;

to eliminate the quantitative approach previously required for determining the primary beneficiary of a variable interest entity;

to add an additional reconsideration event for determining whether an entity is a variable interest entity when any changes in facts and circumstances occur such that holders of the equity investment at risk, as a group, lose the power from voting rights or similar rights of those investments to direct the activities of the entity that most significantly impact the entity's economic performance; and

to require enhanced disclosures that will provide users of financial statements with more transparent information about an enterprise's involvement in a variable interest entity.

The adoption of ASC 810-15-13 did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In October 2009, the FASB issued ASU No. 2009-13, *Revenue Recognition (Topic 605): Multiple-Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*. ASU 2009-13 addresses the accounting for multiple-deliverable arrangements to enable vendors to account for products or services separately rather than as a combined unit and modifies the manner in which the transaction consideration is allocated across the separately identified deliverables. ASU 2009-13 significantly expands the disclosure requirements for multiple-deliverable revenue arrangements. ASU 2009-13 will be effective for the first annual reporting period beginning on or after June 15, 2010, and may be applied retrospectively for all periods presented or prospectively to arrangements entered into or materially modified after the adoption date. Early adoption is permitted, provided that the guidance is retroactively applied to the beginning of the year of adoption. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of ASU 2009-13 on the Company's consolidated results of operations or financial position, however the Company does not believe the impact will be material.

In June 2009, the FASB established the "FASB Accounting Standards Codification" (Codification) which was effective for the Company in the first quarter ended October 31, 2009. The codification became the single official source of authoritative US GAAP (other than guidance issued by the SEC), superseding existing FASB, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF), and related literature. After that date, only one level of authoritative US GAAP exists. All other literature is considered non-authoritative.

(2) Acquisitions

Fiscal 2010 Transactions

In January 2010, the Company completed the acquisition of D Hales Limited (D Hales) which operated five locations in the United Kingdom through a stock purchase. This acquisition was undertaken because of its strategic fit with the United Kingdom business and has been accounted for using the purchase method in accordance with FASB ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, which has resulted in the recognition of goodwill in the Company's consolidated financial statements. This goodwill arises because the purchase price for D Hales reflects a number of factors including its future earnings and cash flow potential; the multiple to earnings, cash flow and other factors at which similar businesses have been purchased by other acquirers, the competitive nature of the process by which the Company acquired the business; and because of the complementary strategic

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(2) Acquisitions (Continued)

fit and resulting synergies it brings to existing operations. In accordance with ASC 805, D Hales' assets acquired and liabilities assumed have been recorded at their estimated fair values. The Company has not finalized the valuations of purchased net assets, however the Company believes the potential changes to its preliminary purchase price allocation will not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated results of operations and financial position.

Pro-forma Financial Information

Pro forma financial information for the fiscal 2010 acquisition does not result in a significant change from actual results.

Fiscal 2009 Transactions

None.

Fiscal 2008 Transactions

In April 2008, the Company completed the acquisition of Simpson Bros. (York) Holdings Limited, a UK limited liability company (Simpson), which operated one location in York, England. Simpson's primary business activity was the dismantling of automobiles and the sales of salvaged auto parts. In February 2008, the Company completed the purchase of AG Watson Auto Salvage & Motors Spares (Scotland) Limited (AG Watson) which operated two salvage locations in Scotland and two salvage locations in northern England. In August 2007, the Company completed the acquisition of Century Salvage Sales Limited (Century), a vehicle salvage disposal company with three facilities located in the UK. In April 2008, the Company acquired Bob Lowe Salvage Pool, Inc. Bob Lowe Salvage Pool, Inc. operated one salvage location near Sikeston, Missouri. These acquisitions were completed because of their strategic fit and have been accounted for using the purchase method in accordance with ASC 805, *Business Combinations*, which has resulted in the recognition of goodwill in the Company's consolidated financial statements. This goodwill arises because the purchase price for the acquisitions reflect a number of factors including future earnings and cash flow potential; the multiple to earnings, cash flow and other factors at which similar businesses have been purchased by other acquirers; the competitive nature of the process by which the Company acquired the businesses; and because of the complementary strategic fit and resulting synergies they bring to existing operations. In accordance with ASC 805, the assets acquired and liabilities assumed have been recorded at their estimated fair values. The consideration paid for these acquisitions consisted of approximately \$38.2 million in cash, net of cash acquired. The acquired net assets consisted principally of accounts receivable, inventories and vehicle pooling costs, property and equipment, goodwill, accounts payable, deferred tax liabilities, taxes payable, and covenants not to compete. The acquisitions were accounted for using the purchase method of accounting, and the operating results subsequent to the acquisition dates are included in the Company's consolidated statements of income. The excess of the purchase price over the fair market value of the net identifiable assets acquired of \$13.9 million has been recorded as goodwill. The entire goodwill balance relating to these acquisitions will be deductible for tax purposes. In addition, the Company paid \$0.6 million for covenants not to compete relating to these acquisitions, which are being amortized over five years.

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(2) Acquisitions (Continued)**

The accompanying consolidated financial statements reflect the final combined allocation of the purchase price for these acquisitions, which is summarized as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--------------------------------------|------------------|
| Tangible assets: | |
| Cash | \$ 18,417 |
| Accounts receivable | 2,951 |
| Inventories and vehicle pooling cost | 2,579 |
| Property and equipment | 21,968 |
| Other tangible assets | 437 |
| Total tangible assets | 46,352 |
| Total intangible assets | 4,848 |
| Goodwill | 13,903 |
| Liabilities assumed: | |
| Accounts payable | (3,093) |
| Deferred tax liability | (2,964) |
| Taxes payable | (2,400) |
| Total liabilities assumed | (8,457) |
| Net assets acquired | \$ 56,646 |

Pro-forma Financial Information

Pro forma financial information for the fiscal 2008 acquisitions does not result in a significant change from actual results.

(3) Discontinued Operations

During fiscal 2006, the Company discontinued the operations of Motors Auction Group (MAG) and sold or converted the related assets, which included real estate. A note receivable issued in 2006 was the sole consideration for the sale of certain MAG business assets and related real estate. Under the original terms of the note, interest only payments were due in 59 consecutive monthly installments, calculated at 7% per year, followed by one final payment due on April 28, 2011. The portion of the consideration allocated to the real estate sold totaled \$7.1 million and originally resulted in a deferred gain of approximately \$1.6 million, net of taxes. During the third quarter of fiscal 2009, the Company received \$12 million from the early payment of the note receivable. The deferred gain was recognized during the fiscal year ended July 31, 2009 upon payment of the note.

(4) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities

On August 1, 2008, the Company adopted FASB ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, which clarifies that fair value is an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. As such, fair value is a market based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, ASC 820 establishes a three-tier value hierarchy, which prioritizes

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(4) Cash, Cash Equivalents and Marketable Securities (Continued)**

the inputs used in measuring fair value as follows: (Level I) observable inputs such as quoted prices in active markets; (Level II) inputs other than the quoted prices in active markets that are observable either directly or indirectly; and (Level III) unobservable inputs in which there is little or no market data, which requires the Company to develop its own assumptions. This hierarchy requires the Company to use observable market data, when available, and to minimize the use of unobservable inputs when determining fair value. On a recurring basis, the Company measures its investments, cash equivalents or marketable securities at fair value. Cash and cash equivalents are classified within Level I of the fair value hierarchy because they are valued using quoted market prices.

As of July 31, 2010, cash and cash equivalents include the following (in thousands):

| | Cost | Unrealized Gains | Unrealized Losses Less Than 12 Months | Unrealized Losses 12 Months or Longer | Estimated Fair Value |
|---------------------------------------|------------|---------------------|--|--|-------------------------|
| Cash | \$ 131,070 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 131,070 |
| Money market funds | 107,118 | | | | 107,118 |
| Cash equivalents US Treasury Bills | 30,000 | | | | 30,000 |
| Total | \$ 268,188 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 268,188 |

The Company invests its excess cash in money market funds and US Treasury Bills. The Company's cash and cash equivalents are placed with high credit quality financial institutions.

(5) Accounts Receivable, Net

Accounts receivable consists of the following (in thousands):

| | July 31, | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Advance charges receivable | \$ 72,841 | \$ 72,730 |
| Trade accounts receivable | 37,904 | 37,742 |
| Other receivables | 1,157 | 1,181 |
| | 111,902 | 111,653 |
| Less allowance for doubtful accounts | (2,841) | (2,405) |
| | \$ 109,061 | \$ 109,248 |

Advance charges receivable represents amounts paid to third parties on behalf of insurance companies for which the Company will be reimbursed when the vehicle is sold. Trade accounts receivable includes fees and gross proceeds to be collected from insurance companies and members.

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(5) Accounts Receivable, Net (Continued)**

The movements in the allowance for doubtful accounts are as follows (in thousands):

| Description and Fiscal Year | Balance at Beginning of Year | Charged to Costs And Expenses | Deductions to Bad Debt | Balance at End of Year |
|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| Allowance for doubtful accounts: | | | | |
| July 31, 2010 | \$ 2,405 | \$ 1,591 | \$ (1,155) | \$ 2,841 |
| July 31, 2009 | \$ 2,600 | \$ 783 | \$ (978) | \$ 2,405 |
| July 31, 2008 | \$ 2,251 | \$ 1,174 | \$ (825) | \$ 2,600 |

(6) Property and Equipment, Net

Property and equipment consists of the following (in thousands):

| | July 31, | |
|--|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Transportation and other equipment | \$ 61,245 | \$ 58,509 |
| Office furniture and equipment | 53,930 | 52,583 |
| Land | 306,251 | 285,282 |
| Buildings and leasehold improvements | 411,642 | 362,262 |
| | 833,068 | 758,636 |
| Less accumulated depreciation and amortization | (259,554) | (227,750) |
| | \$ 573,514 | \$ 530,886 |

Depreciation expense on property and equipment was approximately \$39.3 million, \$37.7 million and \$37.2 million for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 respectively.

(7) Goodwill

The change in carrying amount of goodwill is as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|--|------------|
| Balance as of July 31, 2008 | \$ 177,164 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | (10,837) |
| Balance as of July 31, 2009 | \$ 166,327 |
| Goodwill recorded during the period | 12,599 |
| Effect of foreign currency translation | (3,056) |
| Balance as of July 31, 2010 | \$ 175,870 |

In accordance with the guidance in FASB ASC 350, goodwill is not amortized. Instead, it is tested for impairment on an annual basis or more frequently upon the occurrence of circumstances that indicate that goodwill may be impaired. The Company's annual impairment tests were performed in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2010 and 2009. The annual results of these tests indicated

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(7) Goodwill (Continued)**

that goodwill was not impaired. As of July 31, 2010, the cumulative amount of goodwill impairment losses recognized totaled \$21.8 million.

(8) Intangibles, Net

Intangible assets consists of the following (in thousands, except remaining useful life):

| | July 31 , 2010 | | Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life (in years) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Amortized intangible assets: | | | |
| Covenants not to compete | \$ 10,697 | \$ (10,233) | 1 |
| Supply contracts | 22,365 | (10,521) | 4 |
| Software | 626 | (626) | 0 |
| Licenses and databases | 1,317 | (609) | 4 |
| | \$ 35,005 | \$ (21,989) | |

| | July 31 , 2009 | | Weighted Average Remaining Useful Life (in years) |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| | Gross Carrying Amount | Accumulated Amortization | |
| Amortized intangible assets: | | | |
| Covenants not to compete | \$ 10,697 | \$ (9,808) | 2 |
| Supply contracts | 20,963 | (7,571) | 4 |
| Software | 712 | (681) | 1 |
| Licenses and databases | 1,322 | (422) | 5 |
| | \$ 33,694 | \$ (18,482) | |

Aggregate amortization expense on intangible assets was approximately \$3.9 million, \$4.1 million and \$5.8 million for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Intangible amortization expense for the next five fiscal years based upon July 31, 2010 intangible assets is expected to be as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|----------|
| 2011 | \$ 4,203 |
| 2012 | 4,110 |
| 2013 | 3,489 |
| 2014 | 546 |

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(9) Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities**

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following (in thousands):

| | July 31, | |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Trade accounts payable | \$ 14,923 | \$ 9,948 |
| Accounts payable to sellers | 40,370 | 35,048 |
| Accrued insurance | 4,831 | 5,818 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 14,298 | 17,082 |
| Other accrued liabilities | 19,318 | 14,877 |
| | \$ 93,740 | \$ 82,773 |

The Company is partially self-insured for certain losses related to general liability, workers' compensation and auto liability. Accrued insurance liability represents an estimate of the ultimate cost of claims incurred as of the balance sheet date. The estimated liability is not discounted and is established based upon analysis of historical data, including the severity of our frequency of claims, actuarial estimates and is reviewed periodically by management to ensure that the liability is appropriate.

(10) Long-Term Debt

On March 6, 2008, the Company entered into an unsecured credit agreement with Bank of America, N.A. (the Credit Agreement) providing for a \$200 million revolving credit facility (the Credit Facility). Currently available under the Credit Agreement is \$150 million, subject to a \$100 million foreign currency borrowing sublimit and a \$50 million letter of credit sublimit. Amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility may be used for repurchases of stock, capital expenditures, working capital and other general corporate purposes. The Credit Facility matures and all outstanding borrowings are due on the fifth anniversary of the Credit Agreement (the Maturity Date), with annual reductions in availability of \$25 million on each of the first three anniversaries of the Credit Agreement. Amounts borrowed under the Credit Facility may be repaid and re-borrowed until the Maturity Date and bear interest, at the Company's option, at either Eurocurrency Rate plus 0.5% to 0.875%, depending on the leverage ratio, as defined in the Credit Agreement, at the end of the previous quarter or at the U.S. prime rate. A default interest rate applies on all obligations during an event of default under the Credit Facility at a rate per annum equal to 2.0% above the otherwise applicable interest rate. The Credit Facility requires the Company to pay a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Credit Facility. The commitment fee ranges from 0.075% to 0.15% depending on the leverage ratio as of the end of the previous quarter. The Credit Facility contains customary representations and warranties and places certain business operating restrictions on the Company relating to, among other things, indebtedness, liens and other encumbrances, investments, mergers and acquisitions, asset sales, and dividends, distributions and redemptions of capital stock. In addition, the Credit Agreement provides for a maximum total leverage ratio and a minimum interest coverage ratio. The Credit Facility contains events of default that include, among others, non-payment of principal, interest or fees, violation of covenants, inaccuracy of representations and warranties, cross-defaults to certain other indebtedness, bankruptcy and insolvency defaults, material judgments, invalidity of the loan documents and events constituting a change of control. The Credit Facility is guaranteed by the Company's material domestic subsidiaries. The Credit Facility

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(10) Long-Term Debt (Continued)

contains restrictions with respect to investments, mergers and acquisitions, dividends and distributions and redemptions of capital stock, these restrictions become effective only after the Company's debt to EBITDA ratio exceeds 1.0:1.0. At July 31, 2010, the debt to EBITDA ratio was less than 1.0:1.0. As of July 31, 2010 and July 31, 2009, the Company did not have an outstanding balance under the Credit Facility.

(11) Shareholders' Equity

General

The Company has authorized the issuance of 180 million shares of common stock, no par value, of which 84,363,063 shares were issued and outstanding at July 31, 2010. As of July 31, 2010 and 2009, the Company has reserved 2,910,031 and 3,899,068 shares of common stock, respectively, for the issuance of options granted under the Company's stock option plans and 775,306 and 843,341 shares of common stock, respectively, for the issuance of shares under the Copart, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). The Company has authorized the issuance of 5 million shares of preferred stock, no par value, none of which were issued or outstanding at July 31, 2010.

Stock Repurchase

In October 2007, the Company's Board of Directors approved a 20 million share increase in its stock repurchase program bringing the total current number of shares authorized for repurchase to 29 million shares. The repurchases may be effected through solicited or unsolicited transactions in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. No time limit has been placed on the duration of the stock repurchase program. Subject to applicable securities laws, such repurchases will be made at such times and in such amounts as the Company deems appropriate and may be discontinued at any time. For the year ended July 31, 2010, the Company repurchased 121,251 shares of our common stock at a price of \$36.76. For the year ended July 31, 2009 the Company did not repurchase any shares under our stock repurchase program. For the year ended July 31, 2008, the Company repurchased 6,615,764 shares at a weighted average price of \$40.70. As of July 31, 2010, the total number of shares repurchased under the program was 13,770,720 and 15,229,280 shares were available for repurchase under the program.

In the second and fourth quarters of fiscal year 2009 and the first quarter of fiscal year 2010, Mr. Adair, Chief Executive Officer (and then President), exercised stock options through cashless exercises. In the fourth quarter of fiscal year 2010, Mr. Johnson, Chairman of the Board, exercised stock options through a cashless exercise. A portion of the options exercised were net settled in satisfaction of the exercise price and federal and state minimum statutory tax withholding requirements. The Company remitted approximately \$17.2 million to the proper taxing authorities in satisfaction of the employees' minimum statutory withholding requirements. In fiscal year 2008 no

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(11) Shareholders' Equity (Continued)**

stock options were exercised through the cashless exercise method. The exercises are summarized in the following table:

| Period | Options Exercised | Exercise Price | Shares Net Settled for Exercise | Shares Withheld for Taxes(1) | Net Shares to Employee | Share Price for Withholding | Tax Withholding (in 000's) |
|------------|-------------------|----------------|---------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|
| FY 2009 Q2 | 600,000 | \$ 4.47 | 96,929 | 222,817 | 280,254 | \$ 26.93 | \$ 6,000 |
| FY 2009 Q4 | 361,035 | \$ 11.12 | 116,741 | 109,595 | 134,699 | \$ 34.39 | \$ 3,769 |
| FY 2010 Q1 | 323,631 | \$ 13.03 | 114,354 | 95,746 | 113,531 | \$ 36.89 | \$ 3,532 |
| FY 2010 Q4 | 350,000 | \$ 12.91 | 122,922 | 105,827 | 121,251 | \$ 36.76 | \$ 3,890 |

(1)

Shares withheld for taxes are treated as a repurchase of shares for accounting purposes but do not count against the Company's stock repurchase program.

(11) Shareholders' Equity (Continued)*Employee Stock Purchase Plan*

The ESPP provides for the purchase of up to an aggregate of 2.5 million shares of common stock of the Company by employees pursuant to the terms of the ESPP. The Company's ESPP was adopted by the Board of Directors and approved by the shareholders in 1994. The ESPP was amended and restated in 2003 and again approved by the shareholders. Under the ESPP, employees of the Company who elect to participate have the right to purchase common stock at a 15 percent discount from the lower of the market value of the common stock at the beginning or the end of each six month offering period. The ESPP permits an enrolled employee to make contributions to purchase shares of common stock by having withheld from their salary an amount up to 10 percent of their compensation (which amount may be increased from time to time by the Company but may not exceed 15% of compensation). No employee may purchase more than \$25,000 worth of common stock (calculated at the time the purchase right is granted) in any calendar year. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors administers the ESPP. The number of shares of common stock issued pursuant to the ESPP during each of fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 was 68,035, 82,834 and 56,450, respectively. As of July 31, 2010, 1,724,694 shares of common stock have been issued pursuant to the ESPP and 775,306 shares remain available for purchase under the ESPP.

Stock Options

In December 2007, the Company adopted the Copart, Inc. 2007 Equity Incentive Plan (Plan), presently covering an aggregate of 4.0 million shares of the Company's common stock. The Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other equity-based awards to employees and non-qualified stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units and other equity-based awards to employees, officers, directors and consultants at prices not less than 100% of the fair market value for incentive and non-qualified stock options, as determined by the Board of Directors at the grant date. Incentive and non-qualified stock options may have terms of up to ten years and vest over periods determined by the Board of Directors. Options generally vest ratably over a five-year period. The Plan replaced the Company's 2001 Stock Option Plan. At July 31, 2010, 2,578,981 shares were available for future grant under the Plan.

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(11) Shareholders' Equity (Continued)**

In April 2009, the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, following shareholder approval of proposed grants at a special meeting of shareholders, approved the grant to each of Willis J. Johnson, the Company's Chairman (and then Chief Executive Officer), and A. Jayson Adair, the Company's Chief Executive Office (and then President), of nonqualified stock options to purchase 2,000,000 shares of the Company's common stock at an exercise price of \$30.21 per share, which equaled the closing price of the Company's common stock on April 14, 2009, the effective date of grant. Such grants were made in lieu of any cash salary or bonus compensation in excess of \$1.00 per year or the grant of any additional equity incentives for a five-year period. Each option will become exercisable over five years, subject to continued service by the executive, with twenty percent (20%) vesting on April 14, 2010, and the balance vesting ratably over the subsequent four years. Each option will become fully vested, assuming continued service, on April 14, 2014, the fifth anniversary of the date of grant. If, prior to a change in control, either executive's employment is terminated without cause, then one hundred percent (100%) of the shares subject to that executive's stock option will immediately vest. If, upon or following a change in control, either the Company or a successor entity terminates the executive's service without cause, or the executive resigns for good reason, then one hundred percent (100%) of the shares subject to his stock option will immediately vest. The total compensation expense to be recognized by the Company over the five year service period is approximately \$26.1 million dollars per grant. The Company recognized approximately \$10.1 million in compensation expense in fiscal 2010 relating to these grants.

The following table sets forth stock-based compensation expense included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Income (in thousands):

| | Years Ended July 31, | | |
|----------------------------|----------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Yard operations | \$ 1,109 | \$ 1,220 | \$ 1,063 |
| General and administrative | 16,846 | 8,193 | 5,293 |

There were no material compensation costs capitalized as part of the cost of an asset as of July 31, 2010 and 2009.

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested shares as of July 31, 2010 and changes during fiscal 2010 is as follows:

| | Number of Shares (in 000's) | Weighted- Average Grant- date Fair Value |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Non-vested shares at July 31, 2009 | 5,256 | \$ 13.08 |
| Grants of options | 928 | 13.29 |
| Vested | (1,527) | 12.82 |
| Forfeitures or expirations | (24) | 8.86 |
| Non-vested shares at July 31, 2010 | 4,633 | \$ 13.23 |

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(11) Shareholders' Equity (Continued)**

Option activity for the year ended July 31, 2010 is summarized as follows:

| | Shares (in 000's) | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term | Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in 000's) |
|--|----------------------|--|---|---|
| Outstanding at July 31, 2009 | 8,005 | \$ 26.97 | | |
| Grants of options | 928 | 33.47 | | |
| Exercises | (857) | 14.67 | | |
| Forfeitures or expirations | (24) | 26.34 | | |
| Outstanding at July 31, 2010 | 8,052 | \$ 29.07 | 7.49 | \$ 60,151 |
| Exercisable at July 31, 2010 | 3,419 | \$ 25.76 | 5.99 | \$ 36,896 |
| Vested and expected to vest at July 31, 2010 | 7,663 | \$ 29.09 | 7.51 | \$ 56,284 |

As required by ASC 718, the Company made an estimate of expected forfeitures and is recognizing compensation cost only for those equity awards expected to vest.

The aggregate intrinsic value in the table above represents the total pretax intrinsic value (i.e., the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the year ended July 31, 2010 and the exercise price, times the number of shares) that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on July 31, 2010. The aggregate intrinsic value of options exercised was approximately \$19.0 million, \$29.8 million and \$52.4 million in the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and represents the difference between the exercise price of the option and the estimated fair value of the Company's common stock on the dates exercised. As of July 31, 2010, the total compensation cost related to non-vested stock-based awards granted to employees under the Company's stock option plans but not yet recognized was \$55.5 million, net of estimated forfeitures. This cost will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average term of 3.52 years and will be adjusted for subsequent changes in estimated forfeitures. The fair value of options vested in fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008 is \$19.6 million, \$7.8 million and \$3.6 million, respectively.

A summary of stock options outstanding and exercisable at July 31, 2010 follows:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Options Outstanding | | | Options Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|---|--|---|--|---|
| | Number Outstanding at July 31, 2010 (in 000's) | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Life | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Number Exercisable at July 31, 2010 (in 000's) | Weighted- Average Exercise Price |
| \$7.75 - \$23.73 | 922 | 2.79 | \$ 15.10 | 920 | \$ 15.09 |
| \$24.03 - \$29.89 | 983 | 5.43 | \$ 25.28 | 889 | \$ 25.18 |
| \$30.21 - \$32.86 | 4,625 | 8.77 | \$ 30.57 | 1,000 | \$ 30.21 |
| \$34.39 - \$40.44 | 1,522 | 7.79 | \$ 35.44 | 610 | \$ 35.42 |
| | 8,052 | 7.49 | \$ 29.07 | 3,419 | \$ 25.76 |

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(11) Shareholders' Equity (Continued)**

On March 6, 2003, the Company's Board of Directors declared a dividend of one right (a Right) to purchase one-thousandth share of the Company's Series A Participating Preferred Stock for each outstanding share of Common Stock of the Company. Each Right entitles the registered holder to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$120.48.

In general, subject to certain limited exceptions, the Rights become exercisable when a person or group acquires 15% or more of the Company's common stock or a tender offer or exchange offer for 15% or more of the Company's common stock is announced or commenced. After any such event, the Company's other shareholders may purchase an additional \$120.48 worth of additional shares of the Company's common stock at 50% of the then-current market price. The Rights will cause substantial dilution to a person or group that attempts to acquire us on terms not approved by the Company's Board of Directors. The Rights may be redeemed by the Company at \$0.001 per Right at any time before any person or group acquires 15% or more of our outstanding common stock.

(12) Income Taxes

Income from continuing operations before taxes consists of the following (in thousands):

| | Years Ended July 31, | | |
|---------------------------|----------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| US | \$ 217,947 | \$ 220,005 | \$ 247,719 |
| Non US | 21,548 | 7,727 | 1,931 |
| Total income before taxes | \$ 239,495 | \$ 227,732 | \$ 249,650 |

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(12) Income Taxes (Continued)**

The Company's income tax expense (benefit) from continuing operations consists of (in thousands):

| | Years Ended July 31, | | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|------------------|------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Federal: | | | |
| Current | \$ 83,791 | \$ 78,817 | \$ 80,554 |
| Deferred | (3,714) | 168 | 4,683 |
| | 80,077 | 78,985 | 85,237 |
| State: | | | |
| Current | 6,664 | 8,151 | 6,906 |
| Deferred | 473 | (2) | 215 |
| | 7,137 | 8,149 | 7,121 |
| Foreign: | | | |
| Current | 1,916 | 1,651 | 313 |
| Deferred | (1,262) | (599) | 47 |
| | 654 | 1,052 | 360 |
| | \$ 87,868 | \$ 88,186 | \$ 92,718 |

A reconciliation by year of the expected US statutory tax rate (35% of income before income taxes) to the actual effective income tax rate is as follows:

| | Years Ended July 31, | | |
|---|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Federal statutory rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| State income taxes, net of federal income tax benefit | 2.0 | 3.5 | 2.9 |
| Foreign | (1.7) | (0.8) | (0.1) |
| Compensation and fringe benefits | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 |
| Other differences | 1.2 | 0.7 | (0.9) |
| Effective tax rate | 36.7% | 38.7% | 37.1% |

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(12) Income Taxes (Continued)**

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to significant portions of the deferred tax assets and deferred tax liabilities are presented below. (in thousands):

| | July 31, | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Deferred tax assets: | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$ 982 | \$ 858 |
| Accrued compensation and benefits | 13,898 | 7,422 |
| State taxes | 1,358 | 1,489 |
| Accrued other | 1,884 | 2,147 |
| Deferred revenue | 1,910 | 1,861 |
| Property and equipment | 8,693 | 9,319 |
| State net operating losses | 327 | 312 |
| Long-term note write off | 423 | 506 |
| Federal tax benefit | 4,348 | 3,457 |
| Total gross deferred tax assets | 33,823 | 27,371 |
| Less valuation allowance | (787) | (312) |
| Net deferred tax assets | 33,036 | 27,059 |
| Deferred tax liabilities: | | |
| Vehicle pooling costs | (9,414) | (9,510) |
| Prepaid insurance | (671) | (657) |
| Intangibles and goodwill | (23,640) | (22,078) |
| Total gross deferred tax liabilities | (33,725) | (32,245) |
| Net deferred tax liability | \$ (689) | \$ (5,186) |

The above net deferred tax liability has been reflected in the accompanying balance sheets as follows (in thousands):

| | July 31, | |
|---|---------------|-----------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| North America current liabilities | \$ 1,154 | \$ 1,948 |
| North America non-current liabilities (assets) | (10,213) | (7,759) |
| UK non-current liabilities | 9,748 | 10,997 |
| Net deferred tax liability | \$ 689 | \$ 5,186 |

The Company's ability to realize deferred tax assets is dependent on its ability to generate future taxable income. Accordingly, the Company has established a valuation allowance in taxable jurisdictions where the utilization of the tax assets is uncertain. Additional timing differences or future tax losses may occur which could warrant a need for establishing additional valuation allowances against certain deferred tax assets. The valuation allowance for the years ended July 31, 2010 and 2009 was approximately \$0.8 million and \$0.3 million, respectively, which is a net increase of \$0.5 million.

Edgar Filing: COPART INC - Form 10-K

The Company adopted FASB ASC 740-10-25 as of August 1, 2007. The cumulative effect of adopting ASC 740-10-25 was a decrease to the Company's retained earnings of approximately

86

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(12) Income Taxes (Continued)**

\$3.6 million. At July 31, 2010, if recognized, the portion of liabilities for unrecognized tax benefits that would favorably affect the Company's effective tax rate was \$9.9 million. It is possible that the amount of unrecognized tax benefits will change in the next twelve months; however an estimate of the range of the possible change cannot be made at this time.

The following table summarizes the activities related to the Company's unrecognized tax benefits (in thousands):

| | Years Ended July 31, | |
|---|-----------------------------|---------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| Balance as of August 1 | \$ 15,965 | \$ 9,662 |
| Increases related to current year tax positions | 4,514 | 3,973 |
| Prior year tax positions: | | |
| Prior year increase | 74 | 5,051 |
| Prior year decrease | (532) | (1,653) |
| Cash settlement | (302) | 311 |
| Lapse of statute of limitations | (1,575) | (1,379) |
| Balance at July 31 | \$ 18,144 | \$ 15,965 |

It is the Company's continuing practice to recognize interest and penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. As of July 31, 2010, the Company had accrued interest and penalties related to the unrecognized tax benefits of \$5.2 million.

The Company is currently under audit by the states of New Jersey and Georgia for fiscal years 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009. The Company is no longer subject to US federal and state income tax examination for fiscal years prior to 2007, with the exception of New Jersey and Georgia.

In fiscal 2010, 2009 and 2008, the Company recognized a tax benefit of approximately \$6.2 million, \$4.6 million and \$16.9 million, respectively, upon the exercise of certain stock options which is reflected in shareholders' equity.

The Company has not provided for US federal income and foreign withholding taxes on its foreign subsidiaries' undistributed earnings as of July 31, 2010, because the Company intends to reinvest such earnings indefinitely in the operations and potential acquisitions related to its foreign operations. Upon distribution of those earnings in the form of dividends or otherwise, the Company would be subject to US income taxes (subject to an adjustment for foreign tax credits). It is not practical to determine the income tax liability that might be incurred if these earnings were to be distributed.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(13) Net Income Per Share

The table below reconciles weighted average shares outstanding to weighted average shares and dilutive potential share outstanding (in thousands):

| | Years Ended July 31, | | |
|--|----------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 84,165 | 83,537 | 87,412 |
| Effect of dilutive securities-stock options | 862 | 1,393 | 2,446 |
| Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding | 85,027 | 84,930 | 89,858 |

There were no adjustments to net income required in calculating diluted net income per share. Excluded from the dilutive earnings per share calculation were approximately 5,892,641, 1,225,000 and 40,000 options to purchase our common stock that were outstanding at July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, because their effect would have been anti-dilutive.

(14) Segments and Other Geographic Information

The Company's North American region and its UK region are considered two separate operating segments, which have been aggregated into one reportable segment because they share similar economic characteristics.

The following geographic data is provided in accordance with ASC 280, *Segment Reporting*. Revenues are based upon the geographic location of the selling facility and are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| | Years Ended July 31, | | |
|----------------|----------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| United States | \$ 602,794 | \$ 591,284 | \$ 591,600 |
| Canada | 5,635 | 4,733 | 5,365 |
| North America | 608,429 | 596,017 | 596,965 |
| United Kingdom | 164,450 | 147,065 | 187,883 |
| | \$ 772,879 | \$ 743,082 | \$ 784,848 |

Long-lived assets based upon geographic location are summarized in the following table (in thousands):

| | July 31, | |
|----------------|------------|------------|
| | 2010 | 2009 |
| United States | \$ 635,734 | \$ 595,355 |
| Canada | 5,044 | 5,427 |
| North America | 640,778 | 600,782 |
| United Kingdom | 150,619 | 141,317 |
| | \$ 791,397 | \$ 742,099 |

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(15) Commitments and Contingencies***Leases*

The Company leases certain facilities and certain equipment under noncancelable capital and operating leases. In addition to the minimum future lease commitments presented below, the leases generally require the Company to pay property taxes, insurance, maintenance and repair costs which are not included in the table because the Company has determined these items are not material. Certain leases provide the Company with either a right of first refusal to acquire or an option to purchase a facility at fair value. Certain leases also contain escalation clauses and renewal option clauses calling for increased rents. Where a lease contains an escalation clause or a concession such as a rent holiday, rent expense is recognized on a straight-line basis over the lease term in accordance with ASC 840-20-25 *Operating Leases*.

At July 31, 2010, future minimum lease commitments under noncancelable capital and operating leases with initial or remaining lease terms in excess of one year are as follows (in thousands):

| Years Ending July 31, | Capital Leases | Operating Leases |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2011 | \$ 421 | \$ 19,916 |
| 2012 | 260 | 16,167 |
| 2013 | 183 | 12,450 |
| 2014 | 122 | 7,044 |
| 2015 | | 4,509 |
| Thereafter | | 17,022 |
| | 986 | \$ 77,108 |
| Less amount representing interest | 91 | |
| | \$ 895 | |

Facilities rental expense for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 aggregated approximately \$16.8 million, \$16.8 million and \$16.9 million, respectively. Yard operations equipment rental expense for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 aggregated approximately \$4.1 million, \$3.8 million and \$3.8 million, respectively.

*Commitments**Letters of Credit*

The Company had outstanding letters of credit of \$7.8 million at July 31, 2010 which are primarily used to secure certain insurance obligations.

Purchase Commitments

The Company has obligations under certain UK seller contracts for the purchase of vehicles at pre-determined prices, which typically are based upon a percentage of the pre-accident value, as defined in the contracts.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(15) Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)

Contingencies

Legal Matters

The Company is involved in litigation and damage claims arising in the ordinary course of business, such as actions related to injuries, property damage, and the handling or disposal of vehicles. Legal fees and other costs associated with such actions are expensed as incurred and were not material in any period reported. In addition, the Company assesses, in conjunction with its legal counsel, the need to record a liability for litigation and contingencies. The Company reserves for costs relating to these matters when a loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. The Company believes that the ultimate disposition of these matters will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, the amount of future reserves required associated with these claims, if any, cannot be determined with certainty. This litigation includes the following matters:

On November 20, 2007, Car Auction & Reinsurance Solutions, Inc. (CARS) filed suit against Copart in the Superior Court in the County of New Castle, Delaware. CARS is seeking in excess of \$2 million in damages, punitive damages, and prejudgment interest related to allegations involving breach of contract and misrepresentation. The Company believes the claim is without merit and is defending the lawsuit vigorously.

On December 16, 2008, Liberty Mutual Fire Insurance Company filed suit against Copart in the US District Court, Northern District of California. Liberty Mutual's complaint sought reformation of an insurance contract and specific performance in relation to a policy issued to Copart with a \$50,000 self-insured retention. After settlement of a claim under the subject policy for \$3.95 million, Liberty Mutual sought to reform the contract and charge Copart for a \$2 million self-insured retention which it claimed was the original intent. Pursuant to a settlement agreement between the parties, the case was dismissed in January 2010.

The Company accrues for costs relating to these matters when a loss is probable and the amount can be reasonably estimated. The effect of the outcome of these matters on the Company's future results of operations cannot be predicted because any such effect depends on future results of operations, the amount and timing of the resolution of such matters. The Company believes that any ultimate liability will not have a material effect on its financial position, results of operations or cash flows. However, the amount of the liabilities associated with these claims, if any, cannot be determined with certainty.

Environmental Matters

In connection with the acquisition of the Dallas, Texas facility in 1994, the Company set aside \$3.0 million to cover the costs of environmental remediation, stabilization and related consulting expenses for a six-acre portion of the facility that contained elevated levels of lead due to the activities of the former operators. The Company began the stabilization process in 1996 and completed it in 1999. The Company paid all remediation and related costs from the \$3.0 million fund and, in accordance with the acquisition agreement, distributed the remainder of the fund to the seller of the Dallas facility, less \$0.2 million which was held back to cover the costs of obtaining the no-further-action letter. In September 2002, the Company's environmental engineering consultant issued a report, which concludes that the soil stabilization has effectively stabilized the

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(15) Commitments and Contingencies (Continued)**

lead-impacted soil, and that the concrete cap should prevent impact to storm water and subsequent surface water impact. The Company's consultant thereafter submitted an Operations and Maintenance Plan (Plan) to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) providing for a two-year inspection and maintenance plan for the concrete cap, and a two-year ground and surface water monitoring plan. In January of 2003, the TCEQ approved the Plan, subject to the additions of upstream (background) surface water samples from the intermittent stream adjacent to the facility and documentation of any repairs to the concrete cap during the post closure-monitoring period. The first semi-annual water sampling was conducted in April 2003, which reflected that the lead-impacted, stabilized soil is not impacting the ground and/or surface water. The second round of semi-annual water samples collected in October and November 2003 reported concentration of lead in one storm water and one surface water sample in excess of the established upstream criteria for lead. In correspondence, which the Company received in July 2004, the TCEQ approved with comment the Company's water monitoring report dated February 24, 2004. The TCEQ instructed the Company to continue with post-closure monitoring and maintenance activities and submit the next report in accordance with the approved schedules. In February 2005, a report from the Company's environmental engineering consultant was transmitted to the TCEQ containing the results of annual monitoring activities consisting of two (2) semi-annual sampling events which occurred in April/June 2004 and October/November 2004. Laboratory analytical results indicated no lead concentrations exceeding the target concentration level set in the Corrective Measures Study for the site, but some results were in excess of Texas surface water quality standards. The Company's environmental engineering consultant concluded in the February 2005 report to the TCEQ that it is unlikely that lead concentrations detected in the storm water runoff samples are attributable to the lead impacted soils. Based on the results of the 2004 samplings, the Company requested that no further action be taken and that a closure letter be issued by the TCEQ. In September 2007, the TCEQ notified the Company that they did not concur with their consultant's conclusions and recommendations. The TCEQ said it would not provide a closure letter until additional sampling of surface water is performed which reflects concentrations of lead below Texas surface water quality standards. In February 2008, the TCEQ provided comments to the Company's proposal for surface water sampling. In March 2008, the Company's environmental engineer submitted to the TCEQ an addendum to the surface water sampling plan, which was approved by the TCEQ in June 2008. Sampling was performed in November 2008. In December 2008 a report was submitted to the TCEQ indicating that lead levels were below Texas surface water quality standards. In May of 2009, the TCEQ approved the Surface Water Sampling Report, as well as the Concrete Cap Inspection Report submitted in December 2008. The Company made the necessary repairs to the concrete cap and provided a survey map of the cap. Annual inspections of the cap are required to ensure its maintenance. There is no assurance that the Company may not incur future liabilities if the stabilization process proves ineffective, or if future testing of surface or ground water reflects concentrations of lead which exceed Texas surface or ground water quality standards.

The Company does not believe that the above environmental matter will, either individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on the Company's consolidated financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Table of Contents

COPART, INC.

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008

(16) Guarantees Indemnifications to Officers and Directors

The Company has entered into indemnification agreements, a form of which is incorporated by reference in the exhibits of the Company's fiscal 2010 annual report on Form 10-K, with the members of its Board of Directors to indemnify them to the extent permitted by law against any and all liabilities, costs, expenses, amounts paid in settlement and damages incurred by the directors as a result of any lawsuit, or any judicial, administrative or investigative proceeding in which the directors are sued as a result of their service as members of its Board of Directors.

(17) Related Party Transactions

The Company leases certain of its facilities from officers and/or directors of the Company under various lease agreements. Rental payments under these leases aggregated approximately \$0.2 million, \$0.2 million, and \$0.3 million for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, and expire on various dates through 2012. The Company leases certain of its facilities from other employees of the Company under various lease agreements. Rental payments under these leases aggregated approximately \$0.3 million for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008.

On February 15, 2008, the Company exercised its option to purchase land that had been leased from the estate of James P. Meeks, the deceased father of James E. Meeks who is the former Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of the Company and a current member of its Board of Directors. The purchase price was established through two appraisals and the transaction was approved by the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors.

On June 5, 2008, the Company entered into an agreement with Willis J. Johnson, the Company's Chairman of the Board (then its Chief Executive Officer) and a member of the Board of Directors, pursuant to which the Company acquired 600,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$47.55 per share, or an aggregate purchase price of \$28,530,000. The settlement date for the acquisition of the common stock was on or about June 12, 2008, and the purchase was made pursuant to the Company's existing stock repurchase program. The per share purchase price for the common stock to be acquired was based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on June 5, 2008 (as reported by The NASDAQ Stock Market), less \$0.25 per share. The repurchase was approved by the independent members of the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

On July 2, 2008, the Company entered into an agreement with Willis J. Johnson, the Company's Chairman of the Board (then its Chief Executive Officer) and a member of the Board of Directors, pursuant to which the Company acquired 1,500,000 shares of its common stock at a price of \$40.00 per share, or an aggregate purchase price of \$60,000,000. The settlement date for the acquisition of the common stock was July 11, 2008, and the purchase was made pursuant to the Company's existing stock repurchase program. The per share purchase price for the common stock to be acquired was based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on July 1, 2008 (as reported by The NASDAQ Stock Market), less 5.5% or \$2.35 per share. The members of the Board of Directors had independent discussions among themselves and agreed in principle to the terms of the repurchase on July 1, 2008. On July 2, 2008, this repurchase was formally approved by the independent members of the Company's Board of Directors and the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors.

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(17) Related Party Transactions (Continued)**

On June 10, 2010, the Company entered into an agreement with Willis J. Johnson, the Company's Chairman of the Board and a member of the Board of Directors, pursuant to which the Company acquired 121,251 shares of its common stock at a price of \$36.76 per share, or an aggregate purchase price of \$4,457,186.76. The settlement date for the acquisition of the common stock was on or about June 10, 2010, and the purchase was made pursuant to the Company's existing stock repurchase program. The per share purchase price for the common stock to be acquired was based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on June 10, 2010 (as reported by The NASDAQ Stock Market). The repurchase was approved by the independent members of the Board of Directors and the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors.

There were no amounts due or from related parties at July 31, 2010 and 2009.

(18) Employee Benefit Plan

The Company sponsors a 401(k) defined contribution plan covering its eligible employees. The plan is available to all US employees who meet minimum age and service requirements and provides employees with tax deferred salary deductions and alternative investment options. The Company matches 20% of employee contributions up to 15% of employee salary deferral. The Company recognized an expense of approximately \$0.5 million, \$0.5 million and \$0.4 million for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to this plan.

The Company also sponsors an additional defined contribution plan for most of its UK employees, which is available to all UK employees who meet minimum service requirements. The Company matches up to 5% of employee contributions. The Company recognized an expense of approximately \$0.3 million, \$0.7 million, and \$0.3 million for the fiscal years ended July 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to this plan.

(19) Quarterly Information (in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)(1)

| Fiscal Year 2010 | Fiscal Quarter | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| Revenues | \$ 185,461 | \$ 176,601 | \$ 220,349 | \$ 190,468 |
| Operating income | \$ 56,492 | \$ 52,232 | \$ 72,126 | \$ 57,220 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 57,052 | \$ 53,172 | \$ 71,584 | \$ 57,687 |
| Net income | \$ 35,270 | \$ 35,733 | \$ 44,390 | \$ 36,234 |
| Basic net income per share | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.53 | \$ 0.43 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.42 | \$ 0.52 | \$ 0.43 |

Table of Contents**COPART, INC.****NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)****JULY 31, 2010, 2009 AND 2008****(19) Quarterly Information (in thousands, except per share data) (Unaudited)(1) (Continued)**

| Fiscal Year 2009 | Fiscal Quarter | | | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|------------|------------|------------|
| | First | Second | Third | Fourth |
| Revenues | \$ 191,569 | \$ 169,855 | \$ 197,326 | \$ 184,332 |
| Operating income | \$ 59,485 | \$ 45,569 | \$ 64,880 | \$ 55,391 |
| Income from continuing operations | \$ 61,320 | \$ 44,178 | \$ 65,896 | \$ 56,338 |
| Net income | \$ 37,254 | \$ 27,150 | \$ 42,069 | \$ 34,630 |
| Basic net income per share | \$ 0.45 | \$ 0.33 | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.41 |
| Diluted net income per share | \$ 0.44 | \$ 0.32 | \$ 0.50 | \$ 0.41 |

-
- (1) Earnings per share were computed independently for each of the periods presented; therefore, the sum of the earnings per share amounts for the quarters may not equal the total for the year.