

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
Form 10-Q  
April 14, 2008

FORM 10-Q  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

/X/ QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended February 29, 2008.

OR

/ / TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(D) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_.

Commission file number: 000-22893.

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

CALIFORNIA

94-2424084

-----  
(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

-----  
(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

400 KATO TERRACE  
FREMONT, CA

94539

-----  
(Address of principal  
executive offices)

-----  
(Zip Code)

(510) 623-9400

-----  
(REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE)

FORMER NAME, FORMER ADDRESS AND FORMER FISCAL YEAR, IF CHANGED SINCE  
LAST REPORT.

N/A

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports  
required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of  
1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period as the  
Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to  
such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

(Item 1)      YES    X      NO      ---      ---

(Item 2)      YES    X      NO      ---      ---

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated  
filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting  
company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated  
filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act  
(Check one):

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer  Accelerated filer   
Non-accelerated filer  Smaller reporting company   
(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

1

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

YES  NO

Number of shares of common stock, \$0.01 par value, outstanding at March 31, 2008 was 8,146,995.

2

FORM 10-Q

FOR THE QUARTER ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2008

INDEX

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of February 29, 2008 and May 31, 2007 . . . . .	4
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the three months and nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007. . . . .	5
Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007. . . . .	6
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. . . . .	7

ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. . . . . 16

ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk . . 22

ITEM 4. Controls and Procedures. . . . . 23

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings . . . . . 23

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors . . . . . 23

ITEM 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds. . 26

ITEM 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities . . . . . 26

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders . . . . . 26

ITEM 5. Other Information . . . . . 26

ITEM 6. Exhibits . . . . . 26

SIGNATURE PAGE . . . . . 27

Index to Exhibits . . . . . 28

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
 CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
 (in thousands, except per share data)  
 (Unaudited)

	February 29, 2008	May 31, 2007
	-----	-----
ASSETS		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents . . . . .	\$ 5,774	\$ 6,564
Short-term investments. . . . .	300	2,987
Accounts receivable, net of allowances for doubtful accounts of \$100 and \$87 at February 29, 2008 and May 31, 2007, respectively. . . . .	17,977	6,614
Inventories . . . . .	9,431	9,701
Prepaid expenses and other. . . . .	346	326
	-----	-----
Total current assets . . . . .	33,828	26,192
Property and equipment, net . . . . .	1,779	1,689
Goodwill. . . . .	274	274
Other assets. . . . .	531	520
	-----	-----
Total assets . . . . .	\$36,412	\$28,675
	=====	=====

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable. . . . .	\$ 2,522	\$ 2,517
Accrued expenses. . . . .	4,129	2,927
Deferred revenue. . . . .	167	378
	-----	-----
Total current liabilities . . . . .	6,818	5,822
Deferred lease commitment . . . . .	110	185
	-----	-----
Total liabilities . . . . .	6,928	6,007
	-----	-----
Shareholders' equity:		
Common stock, \$0.01 par value:		
Issued and outstanding: 8,054 shares and		
7,820 shares at February 29, 2008 and		
May 31, 2007, respectively. . . . .		
	81	78
Additional paid-in capital. . . . .	41,268	39,552
Accumulated other comprehensive income. . . . .	2,140	1,241
Accumulated deficit . . . . .	(14,005)	(18,203)
	-----	-----
Total shareholders' equity . . . . .	29,484	22,668
	-----	-----
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity. . . . .	\$36,412	\$28,675
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME  
(in thousands, except per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	February 29, 2008	February 28, 2007	February 29, 2008	February 28, 2007
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net sales. . . . .	\$10,792	\$5,687	\$28,127	\$19,072
Cost of sales. . . . .	5,262	2,369	13,532	9,542
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Gross profit . . . . .	5,530	3,318	14,595	9,530
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative	2,001	1,619	5,664	4,773
Research and development . . . . .	1,826	1,634	4,919	4,543
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total operating expenses . . . . .	3,827	3,253	10,583	9,316

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Income from operations . . . . .	1,703	65	4,012	214
Interest income . . . . .	55	134	213	390
Other income (expense), net. . . . .	38	70	(70)	937
Income before income tax expense (benefit). . . . .	1,796	269	4,155	1,541
Income tax expense (benefit) . . . . .	(130)	4	84	32
Net income . . . . .	\$1,926	\$ 265	\$ 4,071	\$ 1,509
Net income per share - basic . . . . .	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.20
Net income per share - diluted . . . . .	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.18
Shares used in per share calculations:				
Basic. . . . .	8,025	7,765	7,919	7,732
Diluted. . . . .	8,476	8,115	8,398	8,228

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements.

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(in thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended	
	February 29, 2008	February 28, 2007
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income.....	\$4,071	\$1,509
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash used in operating activities:		
Stock compensation expense.....	612	521
Provision for doubtful accounts.....	13	8
Loss on disposal of property and equipment..	6	41
Depreciation and amortization.....	332	233
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable.....	(11,376)	(1,542)

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Inventories.....	270	163
Deferred lease commitment non-current.....	(75)	(31)
Accounts payable.....	5	268
Accrued expenses and deferred revenue.....	1,052	(2,077)
Prepaid expenses and other.....	12	(72)
	-----	-----
Net cash used in operating activities...	(5,078)	(979)
	-----	-----
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Purchases of investments.....	(500)	(12,408)
Proceeds from sales and maturity of investments.....	3,188	10,697
Purchase of property and equipment .....	(417)	(584)
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities.....	2,271	(2,295)
	-----	-----
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock and exercise of stock options.....	1,053	666
	-----	-----
Net cash provided by financing activities.....	1,053	666
	-----	-----
Effect of exchange rates on cash.....	964	2
	-----	-----
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents.....	(790)	(2,606)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period..	6,564	9,405
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period.....	\$5,774	\$6,799
	=====	=====

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these  
condensed consolidated financial statements.

6

### AEHR TEST SYSTEMS NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial information has been prepared by Aehr Test Systems, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and therefore does not include all information and footnotes necessary for a fair presentation of financial position, results of operations and cash flows in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

In the opinion of management, the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements for the interim periods presented reflect all adjustments, consisting of only normal recurring adjustments, necessary for a fair presentation of the condensed consolidated financial position and results of operations as of and for such periods indicated. These condensed consolidated financial statements and notes thereto should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007. Results for the interim periods presented herein are not necessarily indicative of results which may be reported for any other interim period or for the entire fiscal year.

**PRINCIPLES OF CONSOLIDATION.** The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Aehr Test Systems and its subsidiaries (collectively, the "Company," "we," "us," and "our"). All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

**ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES.** The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates. To the extent that there are material differences between these estimates and our actual results, our future financial statements will be affected.

**RECENTLY ADOPTED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS.** In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN No. 48"). FIN No. 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in the Company's financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, "Accounting for Income Taxes." FIN No. 48 prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attribute for the financial statement recognition and measurement of a tax position taken or expected to be taken in a tax return. FIN No. 48 also provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure, and transition. The evaluation of a tax position in accordance with FIN No. 48 is a two-step process. The first step is recognition: the Company determines whether it is "more-likely-than-not" that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation processes, based on the technical merits of the position. In evaluating whether a tax position has met the "more-likely-than-not" recognition threshold, the Company presumes that the position will be examined by the appropriate taxing authority that would have full knowledge of all relevant information. The second step is measurement: a tax position that meets the "more-likely-than-not" recognition threshold is measured to determine the amount of benefit to recognize in the financial statements. The tax position is measured at the largest amount of benefit that is greater than

7

50 percent likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement. FIN No. 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006.

Upon adoption of FIN No. 48 on June 1, 2007, the Company recognized a cumulative effect adjustment of \$127,000, decreasing its income tax liability

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

for unrecognized tax benefits, and decreasing the May 31, 2007 accumulated deficit balance. For the three months and nine months ended February 29, 2008, the Company had recorded tax expenses (credits) of (\$130,000) and \$84,000, respectively. The Company does not expect any material change in its unrecognized tax benefits over the next twelve months. In accordance with FIN No. 48, the Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income taxes. The following table shows the changes in the accumulated deficit for the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended February 29, 2008	Nine Months Ended February 29, 2008
	-----	-----
Balance at beginning of period	\$(15,931)	\$(18,203)
FIN 48 adjustments to beginning balance	--	127
Net income during the period	1,926	4,071
	-----	-----
Balance at end of period	\$(14,005)	\$(14,005)
	=====	=====

Although the Company files U.S. federal, various state, and foreign tax returns, the Company's only major tax jurisdictions are the United States, California, Germany and Japan. Tax years 1993 - 2007 remain subject to examination by the appropriate governmental agencies due to tax loss carryovers from those years.

### 2. STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

Prior to June 1, 2006, the Company's stock-based employee compensation plans were accounted for under the recognition and measurement provisions of Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees" ("APB No. 25"), and related interpretations, as permitted by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation" ("SFAS No. 123"). The Company generally did not recognize stock-based compensation cost in its condensed consolidated statements of operations for periods prior to June 1, 2006 as most options granted had an exercise price equal to or higher than the market value of the underlying common stock on the date of the grant.

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 123 (revised 2004), "Share-Based Payment" ("SFAS No. 123(R)"), using the modified prospective transition method, which requires the application of the accounting standard as of June 1, 2006, the first day of the Company's fiscal year 2007. SFAS No. 123(R) establishes accounting for stock-based awards exchanged for employee services. Accordingly, stock-based compensation cost is measured at each grant date, based on the fair value of the award, and is recognized as expense over the employee's requisite service period. All of the Company's stock compensation is accounted for as an equity instrument. The Company's condensed consolidated financial statements for the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007 reflect the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). See Notes 9 and 10 in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for fiscal 2007 filed on August 29, 2007 for further information regarding the stock option plan and the employee stock purchase plan ("ESPP").

Under the modified prospective transition method, stock compensation cost



## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

has been recognized in the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007 in the condensed consolidated statements of income for stock awards granted or modified after May 31, 2006 and for stock awards granted prior to, but unvested as of, June 1, 2006. As required by SFAS No. 123(R), the Company has made an estimate of expected forfeitures and is recognizing

8

compensation costs only for those stock-based compensation awards expected to vest.

The following table summarizes compensation costs related to the Company's stock-based compensation for the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007, respectively (in thousands, except per share data):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007
Stock-based compensation in the form of employee stock options and ESPP shares, included in:				
Cost of sales . . . . .	\$ 25	\$ 10	\$ 56	\$ 27
Selling, general and administrative . . .	120	97	349	285
Research and development . . . . .	71	64	207	194
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total stock-based compensation . . . . .	216	171	612	506
Tax effect on stock-based compensation	4	3	12	9
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net effect on net income . . . . .	\$212	\$168	\$600	\$497
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Effect on net income per share:				
Basic . . . . .	\$0.03	\$0.02	\$0.08	\$0.06
Diluted . . . . .	\$0.03	\$0.02	\$0.07	\$0.06

During the three months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007, the Company recorded stock-based compensation related to stock options of \$181,000 and \$136,000, respectively. During the nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007, the Company recorded stock-based compensation related to stock options of \$507,000 and \$396,000, respectively.

As of February 29, 2008, the total compensation cost related to unvested stock-based awards under the Company's 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, but not yet recognized, was approximately \$1,899,000, which is net of estimated forfeitures of \$79,000. This cost will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average period of approximately 2.8 years.

During the three months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007, the Company recorded stock-based compensation related to our ESPP of \$35,000 and \$35,000, respectively. During the nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007, the Company recorded stock-based compensation related to our ESPP of \$105,000 and \$110,000, respectively.

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

As of February 29, 2008, the total compensation cost related to options to purchase the Company's common shares under the 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan but not yet recognized was approximately \$119,000. This cost will be amortized on a straight-line basis over a weighted average period of approximately 1.3 years.

### Valuation Assumptions

**Valuation and Amortization Method.** The Company estimates the fair value of stock options granted using the Black-Scholes option valuation method and a single option award approach for options granted after June 1, 2006. The multiple option approach has been used for all options granted prior to June 1, 2006. The fair value under the single option approach is amortized on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period of the awards, which is generally the vesting period. The fair value under the multiple option approach is amortized on a weighted basis over the requisite service period of the awards, which is generally the vesting period.

**Expected Term.** The Company's expected term represents the period that the Company's stock-based awards are expected to be outstanding and was determined

9

based on historical experience, giving consideration to the contractual terms of the stock-based awards, vesting schedules and expectations of future employee behavior as evidenced by changes to the terms of the Company's stock-based awards.

**Expected Volatility.** Volatility is a measure of the amounts by which a financial variable such as stock price has fluctuated (historical volatility) or is expected to fluctuate (expected volatility) during a period. The Company uses the historical volatility for the past five years, which matches the term of most of the option grants, to estimate expected volatility. Volatility for each of the ESPP's four time periods of six months, twelve months, eighteen months, and twenty-four months is calculated separately and included in the overall stock-based compensation cost recorded.

**Dividends.** The Company has never paid any cash dividends on its common stock and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends in the foreseeable future. Consequently, we use an expected dividend yield of zero in the Black-Scholes option valuation method.

**Risk-Free Interest Rate.** The Company bases the risk-free interest rate used in the Black-Scholes option valuation method on the implied yield in effect at the time of option grant on U.S. Treasury zero-coupon issues with a remaining term equivalent to the expected term of the stock awards including the ESPP.

**Estimated Forfeitures.** When estimating forfeitures, the Company considers voluntary termination behavior as well as analysis of actual option forfeitures.

**Fair Value.** The fair values of the Company's stock options granted to employees and ESPP shares for the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007 were estimated using the following weighted average assumptions in the Black-Scholes valuation method consistent with the provisions of SFAS No. 123(R) and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 107.

The fair value of our stock options granted to employees for the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007, respectively, was

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Three months ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007
Option Plan Shares				
Expected Term (in years).....	5	--	5	5
Volatility.....	0.71	--	0.74	0.75
Expected Dividend.....	\$0.00	--	\$0.00	\$0.00
Risk-free Interest Rates.....	2.87%	--	4.60%	4.76%
Estimated Forfeiture Rate.....	4%	--	4%	4%
Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value.....	\$4.24	--	\$3.93	\$5.19

No options were granted during the three months ended February 28, 2007.

The fair value of our ESPP shares for the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007, respectively, was estimated using the following weighted-average assumptions:

10

	Three months ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007
Employee Stock Purchase Plan Shares				
Expected Term (in years).....	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0	0.5-2.0
Volatility.....	0.51-0.63	0.61-0.74	0.43-0.69	0.61-0.74
Expected Dividend.....	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Risk-free Interest Rates.....	2.8%-4.4%	4.5%-5.1%	2.8%-4.9%	4.5%-5.1%
Estimated Forfeiture Rate.....	4%	4%	4%	4%
Weighted Average Grant Date Fair Value....	\$2.12	\$1.22	\$2.15	\$1.26

The following table summarizes the stock option transactions during the nine months ended February 29, 2008 (in thousands, except per share data):

Available	Outstanding Options		
	Number of	Weighted Average Exercise	Aggregate Intrinsic

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

	Shares	Shares	Price	Value
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balances, May 31, 2007.....	745	1,350	\$4.38	\$2,633
Options granted.....	(355)	355	\$6.05	
Options exercised.....	--	(14)	\$3.73	
	-----	-----		
Balances, August 31, 2007.....	390	1,691	\$4.74	\$3,898
Options granted.....	(43)	43	\$7.28	
Options cancelled.....	23	(23)	\$6.11	
Options exercised.....	--	(127)	\$4.17	
	-----	-----		
Balances, November 30, 2007...	370	1,584	\$4.83	\$2,355
Options granted.....	(22)	22	\$7.00	
Options cancelled.....	3	(3)	\$6.02	
Options exercised.....	--	(53)	\$4.52	
	-----	-----		
Balances, February 29, 2008...	351	1,550	\$4.87	\$3,948
	=====	=====		
Options exercisable and expected to be exercisable at February 29, 2008		1,488	\$4.87	\$3,790
		=====		

11

The options outstanding and exercisable at February 29, 2008 were in the following exercise price ranges:

Range of Exercise Prices	Options Outstanding at February 29, 2008			Options Exercisable at February 29, 2008			Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
	Number Outstanding Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Number Exercisable Shares (in thousands)	Weighted Average Exercise Price	Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years)	
\$2.49-\$3.63	514	3.56	\$3.11	410	\$3.14	3.40	
\$3.66-\$4.08	227	1.97	\$3.94	222	\$3.94	1.93	
\$4.35-\$4.95	186	1.43	\$4.51	180	\$4.52	1.37	
\$5.91-\$7.00	430	4.24	\$6.11	100	\$6.09	3.87	
\$7.28-\$9.30	193	5.16	\$8.24	68	\$8.36	5.22	
	-----			-----			
\$2.49-\$9.30	1,550	3.46	\$4.87	980	\$4.24	2.87	\$3,074
	=====			=====			

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

The total intrinsic value of options exercised for the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 was \$122,000 and \$317,000, respectively. The weighted average remaining contractual life of the options exercisable and expected to be exercisable was 3.5 years.

### 3. EARNINGS PER SHARE

Earnings per share is computed based on the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares (common stock options) outstanding, when dilutive, during each period using the treasury stock method.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007
	(in thousands, except per share amounts)			
Numerator: Net income .....	\$1,926	\$ 265	\$4,071	\$1,509
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Denominator for basic net income per share:				
Weighted-average shares outstanding .....	8,025	7,765	7,919	7,732
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Shares used in basic per share calculation.	8,025	7,765	7,919	7,732
Effect of dilutive securities.....	451	350	479	496
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Denominator for diluted net income per share.....	8,476	8,115	8,398	8,228
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Basic net income per share.....	\$ 0.24	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.51	\$ 0.20
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Diluted net income per share.....	\$ 0.23	\$ 0.03	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.18
	=====	=====	=====	=====

Stock options to purchase 252,635 and 248,938 shares of common stock were outstanding on February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007, respectively, but not included in the computation of diluted net income per share, because the inclusion of such shares would be anti-dilutive.

12

### 4. INVENTORIES

Inventories are comprised of the following (in thousands):

February 29,            May 31,

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

	2008	2007
	-----	-----
Raw materials and sub-assemblies.....	\$4,660	\$4,908
Work in process.....	3,424	4,587
Finished goods.....	1,347	206
	-----	-----
	\$9,431	\$9,701
	=====	=====

### 5. SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company operates in one reportable segment; the design, manufacture and marketing of advanced test and burn-in products to the semiconductor manufacturing industry.

The following presents information about the Company's operations in different geographic areas (in thousands):

	United States	Asia	Europe	Adjust-ments	Total
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
<b>Three months ended February 29, 2008:</b>					
Net sales.....	\$11,290	\$10,251	\$ 56	\$(10,805)	\$10,792
Portion of U.S. net sales from export sales, including sales to subsidiaries.....	10,766	--	--	--	10,766
Income (loss) from operations.....	2,736	(813)	(152)	(68)	1,703
Identifiable assets.....	42,679	18,741	1,139	(26,147)	36,412
Property and equipment, net.....	1,700	71	8	--	1,779
<b>Nine months ended February 29, 2008:</b>					
Net sales.....	\$26,479	\$17,769	\$ 155	(\$16,276)	\$28,127
Portion of U.S. net sales from export sales, including sales to subsidiaries.....	17,723	--	--	--	17,723
Income (loss) from operations.....	3,896	612	(442)	(54)	4,012
Identifiable assets.....	42,679	18,741	1,139	(26,147)	36,412
Property and equipment, net.....	1,700	71	8	--	1,779
<b>Three months ended February 28, 2007:</b>					
Net sales.....	\$ 4,773	\$ 541	\$746	\$ (373)	\$ 5,687
Portion of U.S. net sales from export sales, including sales to subsidiaries.....	1,172	--	--	--	1,172
Income (loss) from operations.....	(226)	(4)	199	96	65
Identifiable assets.....	34,464	1,388	997	(11,096)	25,753
Property and equipment, net.....	1,170	78	13	--	1,261
<b>Nine months ended February 28, 2007:</b>					
Net sales.....	\$17,276	\$2,503	\$891	\$(1,598)	\$19,072
Portion of U.S. net sales from export sales, including sales to subsidiaries.....	6,045	--	--	--	6,045
Income (loss) from operations.....	47	174	(5)	(2)	214

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Identifiable assets.....	34,464	1,388	997	(11,096)	25,753
Property and equipment, net.....	1,170	78	13	--	1,261

The Company's foreign operations are primarily those of its Japanese and German subsidiaries. Substantially all of the sales of the subsidiaries are made to unaffiliated Japanese or European customers. Net sales and income (loss) from operations from outside the United States include the operating results of Aehr Test Systems Japan K.K. and Aehr Test Systems GmbH. Adjustments consist of intercompany eliminations. Identifiable assets are all assets identified with operations in each geographic area.

13

Sales to the Company's five largest customers accounted for approximately 99.6% and 98.4% of its net sales in the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008, respectively. One customer, Spansion Inc., accounted for approximately 94.3% and 87.7% of the Company's net sales in the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008, respectively. Sales to the Company's five largest customers accounted for approximately 81.8% and 70.7% of its net sales in the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007, respectively. Two customers accounted for approximately 47.3% and 13.0% of the Company's net sales in the three months ended February 28, 2007, respectively. Two customers accounted for approximately 30.5% and 21.0% of the Company's net sales in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, respectively.

### 6. PRODUCT WARRANTIES

The Company provides for the estimated cost of product warranties at the time the products are shipped. While the Company engages in extensive product quality programs and processes, including actively monitoring and evaluating the quality of its component suppliers, the Company's warranty obligation is affected by product failure rates, material usage and service delivery costs incurred in correcting a product failure. Should actual product failure rates, material usage or service delivery costs differ from the Company's estimates, revisions to the estimated warranty liability would be required.

Following is a summary of changes in the Company's liability for product warranties during the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007 (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007
Balance at the beginning of the period....	\$271	\$137	\$153	\$169
Accruals for warranties issued during the period.....	198	26	559	201
Reversals of warranties issued during the period.....	--	(21)	--	(70)
Settlement made during the period (in cash or in kind).....	(149)	(26)	(392)	(184)

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Balance at the end of the period.....	\$320	\$116	\$320	\$116
	=====	=====	=====	=====

The accrued warranty balance is included in accrued expenses on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets.

### 7. OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

Other comprehensive income, net of tax is comprised of the following (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007
Net income .....	\$1,926	\$265	\$4,071	\$1,509
Foreign currency translation adjustments income (expense).....	460	9	898	(18)
Unrealized holding gains (losses) arising during period.....	(1)	(1)	1	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Comprehensive income.....	\$2,385	\$273	\$4,970	\$1,491
	=====	=====	=====	=====

14

### 8. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

In addition to the Company's 1996 Stock Option Plan and the 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan discussed in Notes 9 and 10 in the Company's 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company maintains the equity incentive plan and employee benefit plans under which its equity securities are authorized for issuance to the Company's employees, directors and consultants.

The purpose of these plans is to provide equity ownership and compensation opportunities in the Company by attracting and retaining the services of qualified and talented persons to serve as employees, directors and/or consultants of the Company. Those plans were approved by the Company's shareholders.

In October 2006, the Company's 2006 Equity Incentive Plan and the 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("2006 Plans") were approved by the Company's shareholders. The 2006 Plans replace the Company's Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan, which would otherwise have expired in 2006, and the Company's 1997 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, which would otherwise have expired in 2007. The Amended and Restated 1996 Stock Option Plan will continue to govern awards previously granted under that plan.

As of February 29, 2008, out of the 1,901,684 shares authorized for grant



## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

under the 1996 Stock Option Plan and 2006 Equity Incentive Plan, approximately 1,550,169 shares had been granted. As of February 29, 2008, 29,000 shares had been issued from the 200,000 shares authorized for grant under the 2006 Employee Stock Purchase Plan.

### 9. RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS No. 157"), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements; rather, it applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are to be applied prospectively as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it is initially applied, with any transition adjustment recognized as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007; therefore, the Company anticipates adopting SFAS No. 157 as of June 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS No. 159"). SFAS No. 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The objective of SFAS No. 159 is to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, that the adoption of SFAS No. 159 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51" ("SFAS No. 160"). The objective of SFAS No. 160 is to improve the relevance, comparability, and transparency of the financial information that a company provides in its consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 requires companies to clearly identify and present ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the company in the consolidated financial statements within the equity section but separate from the company's equity. It also requires the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the

15

parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented on the face of the consolidated statement of income; changes in ownership interest be accounted for similarly, as equity transactions; and when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained noncontrolling equity investment in the former subsidiary and the gain or loss on the deconsolidation of the subsidiary be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 160 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that the adoption of SFAS No. 160 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS No. 141(R)"). The objective of SFAS No. 141(R) is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

information that a company provides in its financial reports about a business combination and its effects. Under SFAS No. 141(R), a company is required to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, contractual contingencies, and contingent consideration measured at their fair value at the acquisition date. It further requires that research and development assets acquired in a business combination that have no alternative future use be measured at their acquisition-date fair value and then immediately charged to expense, and that acquisition-related costs are to be recognized separately from the acquisition and expensed as incurred. Among other changes, SFAS No. 141(R) also requires that "negative goodwill" be recognized in earnings as a gain attributable to the acquisition, and any deferred tax benefits resulted in a business combination are recognized in income from continuing operations in the period of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. SFAS No. 141 (R) will only impact us if the Company is involved in a business combination.

In December 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 110, extending the use, under certain circumstances, of the simplified method for developing an estimate of the expected term of share options. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of this issuance.

### Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion of the financial condition and results of operations of the Company should be read in conjunction with the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and the related notes that appear elsewhere in this document and with our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007 and the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto.

In addition to historical information, this quarterly report contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These statements typically may be identified by the use of forward-looking words or phrases such as "believe," "expect," "intend," "anticipate," "should," "planned," "estimated," and "potential," among others and include, but are not limited to, statements concerning our expectations regarding our operations, business, strategies, prospects, revenues, expenses, costs and resources. These forward-looking statements are subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual results to differ materially from those anticipated results or other expectations reflected in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this report and other factors beyond our control, and in particular, the risks discussed in "Part II, Item 1A. Risk Factors" and those discussed in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on our current expectations, and we undertake no obligation to revise or publicly release the results of any revision to these forward-looking statements. Given these risks and

16

uncertainties, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

The Company's discussion and analysis of its financial condition and results of operations are based upon the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The preparation of these financial statements requires the Company to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an ongoing basis, the Company evaluates its estimates, including those related to customer programs and incentives, product returns, bad debts, inventories, investments, intangible assets, income taxes, financing operations, warranty obligations, long-term service contracts, and contingencies and litigation. The Company bases its estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. For a discussion of the critical accounting policies, see "Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Critical Accounting Policies" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007.

Except for the adoption of the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued Interpretation No. 48, "Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes - an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109" ("FIN 48") as described in Note 1 of the notes to condensed consolidated financial statements in "Item 1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)," the Company's critical accounting policies have not changed materially from the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended May 31, 2007.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth items in the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated statements of operations as a percentage of net sales for the periods indicated.

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007	Feb. 29, 2008	Feb. 28, 2007
Net sales . . . . .	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %	100.0 %
Cost of sales . . . . .	48.8	41.7	48.1	50.0
Gross profit . . . . .	51.2	58.3	51.9	50.0
Operating expenses:				
Selling, general and administrative . .	18.5	28.5	20.1	25.0
Research and development . . . . .	16.9	28.7	17.5	23.8
Total operating expenses . . . . .	35.4	57.2	37.6	48.8
Income from operations . . . . .	15.8	1.1	14.3	1.2
Interest income . . . . .	0.5	2.4	0.8	2.0
Other income (expense), net . . . . .	0.3	1.3	(0.3)	4.9

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income before income tax expense (benefit)	16.6	4.8	14.8	8.1
Income tax expense (benefit) . . . . .	(1.2)	0.1	0.3	0.2
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net income . . . . .	17.8 %	4.7 %	14.5 %	7.9 %
	=====	=====	=====	=====

17

### THREE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2008 COMPARED TO THREE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2007

**NET SALES.** Net sales increased to \$10.8 million in the three months ended February 29, 2008 from \$5.7 million in the three months ended February 28, 2007, an increase of 89.8%. The increase in net sales in the three months ended February 29, 2008 resulted primarily from increases in net sales of the Company's wafer/die level products, partially offset by decreases in sales of the Company's MAX monitored burn-in products. Net sales of the Company's wafer/die level products for the three months ended February 29, 2008 were \$10.1 million, and increased approximately \$7.3 million from the three months ended February 28, 2007. Net sales of the Company's MAX monitored burn-in products for the three months ended February 29, 2008 were \$462,000, and decreased approximately \$2.1 million from the three months ended February 28, 2007. The Company expects that net sales in the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008 will be somewhat higher than those in the third quarter of fiscal 2008.

**GROSS PROFIT.** Gross profit consists of net sales less cost of sales. Cost of sales consists primarily of the cost of materials, assembly and test costs, and overhead from operations. Gross profit increased to \$5.5 million in the three months ended February 29, 2008 from \$3.3 million in the three months ended February 28, 2007, an increase of 66.7%. As a percentage of net sales, gross profit margin decreased to 51.2% in the three months ended February 29, 2008 from 58.3% in the three months ended February 28, 2007. The decrease in gross profit margin was primarily related to the fact that the Company's gross margin last year was positively impacted by a one-time non-recurring engineering fee, as well as higher than normal performance test board royalties, which contribute essentially 100% margin. On an ongoing basis, the Company continues to believe that typical gross profit margin will be approximately 50%.

**SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE.** Selling, general and administrative ("SG&A") expenses consist primarily of salaries and related costs of employees, commission expenses to independent sales representatives, product promotion and other professional services. SG&A expenses of \$2.0 million in the three months ended February 29, 2008 increased from \$1.6 million in the three months ended February 28, 2007, an increase of 23.6%. The increase in SG&A expense was primarily attributable to an increase in employment related expenses, as we added sales and support resources to address the anticipated growth in the Company's business. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses decreased to 18.5% in the three months ended February 29, 2008 from 28.5% in the three months ended February 28, 2007, resulting from higher net sales.

**RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT.** Research and development ("R&D") expenses consist primarily of salaries and related costs of employees engaged in ongoing research, design and development activities, costs of engineering materials and supplies, and professional consulting expenses. R&D expenses increased to \$1.8 million in the three months ended February 29, 2008 from \$1.6 million in the three months ended February 28, 2007, an increase of

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

11.8%. This increase was primarily due to increases in employment related expenses, as we added headcount to address the anticipated growth in the Company's business. As a percentage of net sales, R&D expenses decreased to 16.9% in the three months ended February 29, 2008 from 28.7% in the three months ended February 28, 2007, resulting from higher net sales.

INTEREST INCOME. Interest income decreased to \$55,000 in the three months ended February 29, 2008 from \$134,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2007. The decrease in net interest income for the three months ended February 29, 2008 was primarily related to lower average balances invested during the period.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET. Other income (expense), net decreased to \$38,000 in the three months ended February 29, 2008 from \$70,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2007. The decrease in other income (expense), net

18

was primarily due to a decrease in the dividends received from ESA Electronics Pte Ltd., a Singapore company.

INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT). Income tax benefit was \$130,000 in the three months ended February 29, 2008, compared with income tax expense of \$4,000 in the three months ended February 28, 2007. The income tax benefit in the three months ended February 29, 2008 is related to a shift in the mix of projected earnings between the US parent and its Japanese subsidiary for the fiscal year 2008. This shift in projections is due to appropriate application and adjustment of intercompany pricing between related subsidiaries. The Company's U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary have experienced significant cumulative losses and thus generated certain net operating losses available to offset future taxes payable in the United States and Japan. Primarily, as a result of the cumulative operating losses in the Company's U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary, a valuation allowance was established for the full amount of its net deferred tax assets for both its U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary.

NINE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 29, 2008 COMPARED TO NINE MONTHS ENDED FEBRUARY 28, 2007

NET SALES. Net sales increased to \$28.1 million in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from \$19.1 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, an increase of 47.5%. The increase in net sales in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 resulted primarily from increases in net sales of the Company's wafer/die level products, partially offset by decreases in sales of the Company's MAX monitored burn-in products and MTX products. Net sales of the Company's wafer/die level products for the nine months ended February 29, 2008 were \$24.5 million, and increased approximately \$17.4 million from the nine months ended February 28, 2007. Net sales of the Company's MAX monitored burn-in products for the nine months ended February 29, 2008 were \$3.1 million, and decreased approximately \$6.4 million from the nine months ended February 28, 2007. Net sales of the Company's MTX products for the nine months ended February 29, 2008 were \$559,000, and decreased approximately \$2.0 million from the nine months ended February 28, 2007.

GROSS PROFIT. Gross profit increased to \$14.6 million in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from \$9.5 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, an increase of 53.1%. Gross profit margin increased to 51.9% in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from 50.0% in the nine months ended February 28, 2007. The increase in gross profit margin was primarily related to the fact that wafer/die level products, with somewhat higher margins, represented a higher proportion of net sales.

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

SELLING, GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE. SG&A expenses increased to \$5.7 million in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from \$4.8 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, an increase of 18.7%. The increase in SG&A expenses was primarily due to an increase in employment related expenses. Employment related expenses increased primarily due to an increase in sales and support resources to address the anticipated growth in the Company's business. As a percentage of net sales, SG&A expenses decreased to 20.1% in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from 25.0% in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, resulting from higher net sales.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT. R&D expenses increased to \$4.9 million in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from \$4.5 million in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, an increase of 8.3%. The increase in R&D expenses was primarily due to an increase in employment related expense of \$698,000, partially offset by a decrease in project related professional service expense of approximately \$281,000. As a percentage of net sales, R&D expenses decreased to 17.5% in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from 23.8% in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, resulting from higher net sales.

INTEREST INCOME. Interest income decreased to \$213,000 in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from \$390,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, a decrease of 45.4%. The decrease in net interest income for the nine

19

months ended February 29, 2008 was primarily related to lower average balances invested during the period.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE), NET. Other income (expense), net decreased to (\$70,000) in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 from \$937,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2007. The decrease in other income (expense), net was primarily due to the receipt of an earn-out payment paid for a portion of the Company's investment in ESA Electronics Pte Ltd., a Singapore company, in the nine months ended February 28, 2007. No such earn-out payment was received in the nine months ended February 29, 2008.

INCOME TAX EXPENSE. Income tax expense increased to \$84,000 in the nine months ended February 29, 2008, from \$32,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2007. The income tax expenses in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007 were primarily attributable to alternative minimum tax requirements on the Company's U.S. operations. The Company's U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary have experienced significant cumulative losses and thus generated certain net operating losses available to offset future taxes payable in the United States and Japan. Primarily, as a result of the cumulative operating losses in the Company's U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary, a valuation allowance was established for the full amount of its net deferred tax assets for both its U.S. operations and its Japanese subsidiary.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Net cash used in operating activities was approximately \$5.1 million for the nine months ended February 29, 2008 and approximately \$979,000 for the nine months ended February 28, 2007, respectively. For the nine months ended February 29, 2008, net cash used in operating activities was primarily related to an increase in accounts receivable of \$11.4 million, partially offset by net income of \$4.1 million. The increase in accounts receivable in the nine month period was primarily attributable to the higher level of sales, as well as an increase in the proportion of receivables in Japan, which typically have

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

longer payment terms. For the nine months ended February 28, 2007, net cash used in operating activities was primarily due to a decrease in accrued expenses and deferred revenue of \$2.1 million, and an increase in accounts receivable of \$1.5 million, partially offset by net income of \$1.5 million and stock compensation expense of \$521,000.

Net cash provided by investing activities was approximately \$2.3 million for the nine months ended February 29, 2008 and net cash used in investing activities was approximately \$2.3 million for the nine months ended February 28, 2007. The net cash provided by investing activities during the nine months ended February 29, 2008 was primarily due to \$3.2 million in net proceeds from sales and maturity of investments, partially offset by \$500,000 in purchases of investments. The net cash used in investing activities during the nine months ended February 28, 2007 was primarily attributable to \$12.4 million in purchases of investments, partially offset by \$10.7 million in net proceeds from sales and maturities of investments.

Financing activities provided cash of approximately \$1.1 million in the nine months ended February 29, 2008 and approximately \$666,000 in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, respectively. Net cash provided by financing activities during the nine months ended February 29, 2008 and February 28, 2007 were primarily due to proceeds from issuance of common stock from the exercise of stock options.

As of February 29, 2008, the Company had working capital of \$27.0 million. Working capital consists of cash and cash equivalents, short-term investments, accounts receivable, inventory and other current assets, less current liabilities.

The Company announced in August 1998 that its board of directors had authorized the repurchase of up to 1,000,000 shares of its outstanding common shares. The Company may repurchase the shares in the open market or in

20

privately negotiated transactions, from time to time, subject to market conditions. The number of shares of common stock actually acquired by the Company will depend on subsequent developments and corporate needs, and the repurchase program may be interrupted or discontinued at any time. Any such repurchase of shares, if consummated, may use a portion of the Company's working capital. As of May 31, 2006, the Company had repurchased 523,700 shares at an average price of \$3.95. Shares repurchased by the Company are cancelled. The Company has not repurchased any of its outstanding common shares since May 31, 2006.

The Company leases most of its manufacturing and office space under operating leases. The Company entered into a non-cancelable operating lease agreement for its United States manufacturing and office facilities, which commenced in December 1999 and expires in December 2009. Under the lease agreement, the Company is responsible for payments of utilities, taxes and insurance.

From time to time, the Company evaluates potential acquisitions of businesses, products or technologies that complement the Company's business. Any such transactions, if consummated, may use a portion of the Company's working capital or require the issuance of equity. The Company has no present understandings, commitments or agreements with respect to any material acquisitions.

The Company anticipates that the existing cash balance together with cash provided by operations, if any, are adequate to meet its working capital and

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

capital equipment requirements through calendar year 2008. After calendar year 2008, depending on its rate of growth and profitability, the Company may require additional equity or debt financing to meet its working capital requirements or capital equipment needs. There can be no assurance that additional financing will be available when required, or, if available, that such financing can be obtained on terms satisfactory to the Company.

### OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

The Company has not entered into any off-balance sheet financing arrangements and has not established any variable interest entities.

### OVERVIEW OF CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

There have been no material changes in the composition, magnitude or other key characteristics of the Company's contractual obligations or other commitments as disclosed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended May 31, 2007.

### RECENT ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, "Fair Value Measurements" ("SFAS No. 157"), which defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 does not require any new fair value measurements; rather, it applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are to be applied prospectively as of the beginning of the fiscal year in which it is initially applied, with any transition adjustment recognized as a cumulative-effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The provisions of SFAS No. 157 are effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007; therefore, the Company anticipates adopting SFAS No. 157 as of June 1, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that the adoption of SFAS No. 157 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, "The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115" ("SFAS No. 159"). SFAS No. 159 provides companies with an option to report selected financial assets and liabilities at fair value. The

21

objective of SFAS No. 159 is to reduce both complexity in accounting for financial instruments and the volatility in earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after November 15, 2007. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact, if any, that the adoption of SFAS No. 159 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, "Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51" ("SFAS No. 160"). The objective of SFAS No. 160 is to improve the relevance, comparability, and transparency of the financial information that a company provides in its consolidated financial statements. SFAS No. 160 requires companies to clearly identify and present ownership interests in subsidiaries held by parties other than the company in the consolidated financials statements within the equity section but separate from the company's equity. It also requires the amount of consolidated net income attributable to the parent and to the noncontrolling interest be clearly identified and presented



## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

on the face of the consolidated statement of income; changes in ownership interest be accounted for similarly, as equity transactions; and when a subsidiary is deconsolidated, any retained noncontrolling equity investment in the former subsidiary and the gain or loss on the deconsolidation of the subsidiary be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 160 is effective as of the beginning of an entity's first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact, if any, that the adoption of SFAS No. 160 will have on its consolidated financial statements.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141 (revised 2007), "Business Combinations" ("SFAS No. 141(R)"). The objective of SFAS No. 141(R) is to improve the relevance, representational faithfulness, and comparability of the information that a company provides in its financial reports about a business combination and its effects. Under SFAS No. 141(R), a company is required to recognize the assets acquired, liabilities assumed, contractual contingencies, and contingent consideration measured at their fair value at the acquisition date. It further requires that research and development assets acquired in a business combination that have no alternative future use be measured at their acquisition-date fair value and then immediately charged to expense, and that acquisition-related costs are to be recognized separately from the acquisition and expensed as incurred. Among other changes, SFAS No. 141(R) also requires that "negative goodwill" be recognized in earnings as a gain attributable to the acquisition, and any deferred tax benefits resulted in a business combination are recognized in income from continuing operations in the period of the business combination. SFAS No. 141(R) is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. Early adoption is prohibited. SFAS No. 141 (R) will only impact us if the Company is involved in a business combination.

In December 2007, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 110, extending the use, under certain circumstances, of the simplified method for developing an estimate of the expected term of share options. The Company is currently evaluating the potential impact of this issuance.

### Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company considered the provisions of Financial Reporting Release No. 48, "Disclosures of Accounting Policies for Derivative Financial Instruments and Derivative Commodity Instruments, and Disclosures of Quantitative and Qualitative Information about Market Risk Inherent in Derivative Commodity Instruments." The Company had no holdings of derivative financial or commodity instruments at February 29, 2008.

The Company is exposed to financial market risks, including changes in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates. The Company invests excess cash in a managed portfolio of corporate and government bond

22

instruments with maturities of 18 months or less. The Company does not use any financial instruments for speculative or trading purposes. Fluctuations in interest rates would not have a material effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Historically, a majority of the Company's revenue and capital spending has been transacted in U.S. dollars. The Company, however, enters into transactions in other currencies, primarily Japanese Yen. Substantially all sales to Japanese customers are denominated in Yen. Since the price is

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

determined at the time a purchase order is accepted, the Company is exposed to the risks of fluctuations in the Yen-U.S. dollar exchange rate during the lengthy period from purchase order to ultimate payment. This exchange rate risk is partially offset to the extent that the Company's Japanese subsidiary incurs expenses payable in Yen. To date, the Company has not invested in instruments designed to hedge currency risks. In addition, the Company's Japanese subsidiary typically carries debt or other obligations due to the Company that may be denominated in either Yen or U.S. dollars. Since the Japanese subsidiary's financial statements are based in Yen and the Company's financial statements are based in U.S. dollars, the Japanese subsidiary and the Company recognize foreign exchange gain or loss in any period in which the value of the Yen rises or falls in relation to the U.S. dollar. A 10% change in the value of the Yen as compared with the U.S. dollar would not be expected to result in a significant change to our financial position or results of operations.

### Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

EVALUATION OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES. Our management evaluated, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer have concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective to ensure that information we are required to disclose in reports that we file or submit under the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission rules and forms.

CHANGES IN INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING. No change in our internal control over financial reporting occurred during the period covered by this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

## PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

### Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

None.

### Item 1A. RISK FACTORS

Set forth below and elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in other documents we file with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including without limitation our most recently filed Annual Report on Form 10-K, are risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from the results contemplated by the forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. We believe that these risks and uncertainties are the principal material risks facing the Company as of the date of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In the future, we may become subject to additional risks that are not currently known to us. If any of these risks actually occur, our business, financial condition and operating results could be seriously harmed. As a result, the trading price of our common stock could decline, and you could lose all or part of the value of your investment.

CUSTOMER CONCENTRATION. The semiconductor manufacturing industry is

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

highly concentrated, with a relatively small number of large semiconductor manufacturers and contract assemblers accounting for a substantial portion of the purchases of semiconductor equipment. Sales to the Company's five largest customers accounted for approximately 75.8% and 82.9% of its net sales in fiscal 2007 and 2006, respectively. Sales to the Company's five largest customers accounted for approximately 99.6% and 98.4% of its net sales in the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008, respectively. One customer, Spansion Inc., accounted for approximately 94.3% and 87.7% of the Company's net sales in the three and nine months ended February 29, 2008, respectively. Sales to the Company's five largest customers accounted for approximately 81.8% and 70.7% of its net sales in the three and nine months ended February 28, 2007, respectively. Two customers accounted for approximately 47.3% and 13.0% of the Company's net sales in the three months ended February 28, 2007, respectively. Two customers accounted for approximately 30.5% and 21.0% of the Company's net sales in the nine months ended February 28, 2007, respectively. During fiscal 2007, Spansion Inc. and Texas Instruments Incorporated accounted for 39.2% and 22.9% of the Company's net sales, respectively. During fiscal 2006, Texas Instruments Incorporated and Spansion Inc. accounted for 47.9% and 24.9% of the Company's net sales, respectively. No other customers represented more than 10% of the Company's net sales for either fiscal 2007 or fiscal 2006. The Company expects that sales of its products to a limited number of customers will continue to account for a high percentage of net sales for the foreseeable future. In addition, sales to particular customers may fluctuate significantly from quarter to quarter. The loss of or reduction or delay in an order or orders from a significant customer, or a delay in collecting or failure to collect accounts receivable from a significant customer could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and operating results.

**DEPENDENCE ON MARKET ACCEPTANCE OF FOX SYSTEM.** A principal element of the Company's business strategy is to capture an increasing share of the test equipment market through sales of its FOX wafer-level test and burn-in system. The FOX systems are newly designed to simultaneously burn-in and/or functionally test all of the die on a wafer in a single touchdown. The market for the FOX systems is in the very early stages of development. The FOX-14 full wafer contact burn-in and parallel test system was introduced in July 2001, the FOX-1 full wafer parallel test system was introduced in June 2005 and the FOX-15 full wafer contact test and burn-in system was introduced in October 2007. The Company's strategy depends, in part, upon its ability to persuade potential customers that the FOX system can successfully contact and functionally test all of the die on a wafer simultaneously, and that this method of testing is cost-effective for the customer. There can be no assurance that the Company's strategy will be successful. The failure of the FOX system to achieve market acceptance would have a material adverse effect on the Company's future operating results and long-term prospects. The Company's stock price may also decline.

Market acceptance of the FOX system is subject to a number of risks. Typically the Company must complete development of the FOX WaferPak contactor and validate its performance on the customer's wafer. Before a customer will incorporate the FOX system into a production line, lengthy qualification and correlation tests must be performed. The Company anticipates that potential customers may be reluctant to change their procedures in order to transfer burn-in and/or test functions to the FOX system. Initial purchases are expected to be limited to systems used for these qualifications and for engineering studies. Market acceptance of the FOX system also may be affected by a reluctance of IC manufacturers to rely on relatively small suppliers such as the Company. As is common with new complex products incorporating leading-edge technologies, the Company may encounter reliability, design and manufacturing issues as it begins volume production and initial installations of FOX systems at customer sites. While the Company places a high priority on addressing these issues as they arise, there can be no assurance that they can

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

be resolved to the customer's satisfaction or that the resolution of such problems will not cause the Company to incur significant development costs or warranty expenses or to lose significant sales opportunities.

24

**INTENSE COMPETITION.** In each of the markets it serves, the Company faces competition from established competitors and potential new entrants, many of which have greater financial, engineering, manufacturing and marketing resources than the Company. The Company expects its competitors will continue to improve the performance of their current products and to introduce new products with improved price and performance characteristics. In addition, continuing consolidation in the semiconductor equipment industry, and potential future consolidation, could adversely affect the ability of smaller companies, such as the Company, to compete with larger, integrated competitors. New product introductions by the Company's competitors or by new market entrants could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of the Company's existing products. Increased competitive pressure could also lead to intensified price-based competition, resulting in lower prices which could adversely affect the Company's business, financial condition and operating results. The Company believes that to remain competitive it must invest significant financial resources in new product development and expand its customer service and support worldwide. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully in the future.

The semiconductor equipment industry is intensely competitive. Significant competitive factors in the semiconductor equipment market include price, technical capabilities, quality, delivery lead-time, flexibility, automation, cost of ownership, reliability, throughput, product availability and customer service. In each of the markets it serves, the Company faces competition from established competitors and potential new entrants, many of which have greater financial, engineering, manufacturing and marketing resources than the Company.

Because the Company's MTX system performs burn-in and many of the functional tests performed by traditional memory testers, the MTX system faces intense competition from burn-in system suppliers and traditional memory tester suppliers. The market for burn-in systems is highly fragmented, with many domestic and international suppliers. Some users of such systems, such as independent test labs, build their own burn-in systems, while others, particularly large IC manufacturers in Asia, acquire burn-in systems from captive or affiliated suppliers. Competing suppliers of burn-in and functional test systems include Advantest Corporation and Dong-Il Corporation.

The Company's MAX monitored burn-in systems have faced and are expected to continue to face increasingly severe competition, especially from several regional, low-cost manufacturers and from systems manufacturers that offer higher power dissipation per device under test.

The Company's FOX full wafer contact system is expected to face competition from larger systems manufacturers that have sufficient technological know-how and manufacturing capability. Competing suppliers of full wafer contact systems include Matsushita Electric Industrial Co., Ltd. and Delta V Instruments, Incorporated.

The Company expects that its DiePak products will face significant competition. The Company believes that several companies have developed or are developing products which are intended to enable test and burn-in of bare die. As the bare die market develops, the Company expects that other competitors will emerge. The DiePak products also face severe competition

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

from other alternative test solutions. The Company expects that the primary competitive factors in this market will be cost, performance, reliability and assured supply. Competing suppliers of DiePak products include Yamaichi Electronics Co., Ltd.

The Company's test fixture products face numerous regional competitors. There are limited barriers to entry into the burn-in board ("BIB") market, and as a result, many companies design and manufacture BIBs, including BIBs for use with the Company's MAX system. The Company's strategy is to provide only certain high performance BIBs, and the Company generally does not compete to supply low cost, low performance BIBs. The Company has granted royalty-

25

bearing licenses to several companies to make performance test boards for use with the Company's MTX systems and BIBs for use with the Company's MAX4 systems, in order to assure customers of a second source of supply, and the Company may grant additional licenses as well. Sales of MTX performance test boards and MAX4 BIBs by licensees result in royalties to the Company.

The Company expects its competitors to continue to improve the performance of their current products and to introduce new products with improved price and performance characteristics. New product introductions by the Company's competitors or by new market entrants could cause a decline in sales or loss of market acceptance of the Company's products. The Company has observed price competition in the systems market, particularly with respect to its less advanced products. Increased competitive pressure could also lead to intensified price-based competition, resulting in lower prices which could adversely affect the Company's operating margins and results. The Company believes that to remain competitive it must invest significant financial resources in new product development and expand its customer service and support worldwide. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to compete successfully in the future.

DEPENDENCE ON SUBCONTRACTORS; SOLE OR LIMITED SOURCES OF SUPPLY. The Company relies on subcontractors to manufacture many of the components or subassemblies used in its products. The Company's MTX, MAX, FOX systems, WaferPak contactors and DiePak carriers contain several components, including environmental chambers, power supplies, wafer and die contactors, signal distribution substrates and certain ICs, which are currently supplied by only one or a limited number of suppliers. The Company's reliance on subcontractors and single source suppliers involves a number of significant risks, including the loss of control over the manufacturing process, the potential absence of adequate capacity and reduced control over delivery schedules, manufacturing yields, quality and costs. In the event that any significant subcontractor or single source supplier was to become unable or unwilling to continue to manufacture subassemblies, components or parts in required volumes, the Company would have to identify and qualify acceptable replacements. The process of qualifying subcontractors and suppliers could be lengthy, and no assurance can be given that any additional sources would be available to the Company on a timely basis. Any delay, interruption or termination of a supplier relationship could have a material and adverse effect on the Company's business, financial condition and operating results.

### Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

None.

Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

Item 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

None.

Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Item 6. EXHIBITS

The Exhibits listed on the accompanying "Index to Exhibits" are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this report.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Aehr Test Systems  
(Registrant)

Date: April 11, 2008

/s/ RHEA J. POSEDEL

-----  
Rhea J. Posedel  
Chief Executive Officer and  
Chairman of the Board of Directors

Date: April 11, 2008

/s/ GARY L. LARSON

-----  
Gary L. Larson  
Vice President of Finance and  
Chief Financial Officer

AEHR TEST SYSTEMS  
INDEX TO EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.      Description  
-----

## Edgar Filing: AEHR TEST SYSTEMS - Form 10-Q

- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rules 13a-14(a) and 15d-14(a) promulgated under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302(a) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32 Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.