CROWN ENERGY CORP Form 10-O August 14, 2002

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-0

(Mark (One)
---------	------

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE [x] SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2002

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE [] SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

_			_		
For th	e transition	period	from	† O	

Commission	file	number	0-19365

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Utah 87-0368981 _____ (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation (I.R.S. Employer or organization) Identification No.)

215 South State Street, Suite 650, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84111 ______

(Address of principal executive offices, zip code)

(801) 537-5610 ______

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Not applicable

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year,

if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes [X] No []

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

There were 27,428,684 shares of \$.02 par value common stock outstanding as of June 30, 2002.

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION

INDEX

	PAGE(S)
PART I. Financial Information	
ITEM 1. Financial Statements	
Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2002 (unaudited) and December 31, 2001	3
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Three Months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	5
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations for the Six Months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	6
Condensed Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Six Months ended June 30, 2002 and 2001 (unaudited)	7
Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	9
ITEM 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	13
ITEM 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk	17
PART II. Other Information	
ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings	18
ITEM 2. Changes in Securities	19
ITEM 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities	19
ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders	19
ITEM 5. Other Information	19
ITEM 6. Exhibits and Report on Form 8-K	20
PART III. Signatures	21

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

	June 30, 2002 [unaudited]
CURRENT ASSETS: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable, net of allowance for uncollectible accounts of 874,736 and \$1,722,482 respectively Inventory Prepaid and other current assets Deposits on Settlement Option Related Party Receivable	\$ 538,469 4,142,048 1,986,182 341,573 300,000 25,700
Total Current Assets	7,333,972
PROPERTY PLANT, AND EQUIPMENT, Net	9,778,032
OTHER INTANGIBLE ASSETS, Net	315,430
OTHER ASSETS	306,036
TOTAL	\$ 17,733,470 =======

3

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' DEFICIT

	June 30, 2002 [unaudited]
CURRENT LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	\$ 3,554,623
Preferred stock dividends payable	1,200,000
Accrued expenses	55 , 298
Accrued Arbitration costs	2,609,519
Accrued interest	8,810,229
Long-term debt - current portion	380,040
Line-of-credit to related party	14,935,222

Total current liabilities	31,544,931
MINORITY INTEREST IN CONSOLIDATED	
JOINT VENTURES	490,326
CAPITALIZATION:	
Long-term debt principally due to related party	7,959,483
Redeemable preferred stock	4,981,133
Stockholders' deficit:	
Common Stock \$0.02 per value 50,000,000 shares authorized 27,428,684	
and 13,635,581 shares outstanding respectively.	548 , 573
Additional paid in Capital	4,611,206
Stock subscription receivable from officers	(549,166)
Stock warrants	243,574
Accumulated deficit	(32,096,590)
Stockholders' deficit	(27,242,403)
TOTAL	\$ 17,733,470

4

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS [Unaudited]

	For the Thr	
		2002
SALES, Net of demerits	\$	6,184,136
COST OF SALES		5,685,865
GROSS PROFIT (LOSS)		498,271
GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Bad debt recovery on accounts previously allowed for		(654,438) 847,746
INCOME FROM OPERATIONS		691,579
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES): Interest income and other income Interest and other expense Gain on Divestiture of Affiliate		1,379 (694,408) 2,998,176

Total other income (expense), net	2,305,147
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND MINORITY INTERESTS	2,996,726
DEFERRED INCOME TAX BENEFIT	
MINORITY INTEREST IN EARNINGS OF CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURE	12,135
NET INCOME (LOSS)	3,008,861
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE- Basic and diluted	\$ 0.11

5

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS [Unaudited]

	For the Six June
	2002
SALES, Net of demerits	\$ 6,652,457
COST OF SALES	6,998,165
GROSS PROFIT (LOSS)	(345,708)
GENERAL AND ADMINISTRATIVE EXPENSES Gain on bad debt recovery	(1,277,340) 847,746
INCOME (LOSS) FROM OPERATIONS	(775,302)
OTHER INCOME (EXPENSES): Interest income and other income Gain on Insurance Settlement Interest and other expense Gain on Divestiture of Affiliate	3,534 0 (1,466,832) 2,998,176
Total other income (expense), net	1,534,878
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	

AND MINORITY INTERESTS	 759 , 576
DEFERRED INCOME TAX BENEFIT	
MINORITY INTEREST IN EARNINGS OF CONSOLIDATED JOINT VENTURE	 20,502
NET INCOME (LOSS)	 780 , 078
NET INCOME (LOSS) PER COMMON SHARE- Basic and diluted	\$ 0.02

6

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS [Unaudited]

	For the Six June
	2002
Cash flows from operating activities: Net income (loss) Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash used by operating activities: Amortization, depreciation and depletion Provision for doubtful accounts receivable Gain on divestiture of affiliate Minority interest Change in assets and liabilities: Accounts receivable Inventory Prepaid and other assets Deposits on settlement option Accounts payable	780,078 385,839 (847,746) (2,998,176) (20,502) (1,915,692) (628,160) (276,751) (300,000) 3,144,059
Accrued expenses and interest	1,216,221
Total adjustments	(2,240,908)
Net cash used in operating activities	(1,460,830)
Cash flows from investing activities: Purchase of property and equipment	(524 , 457)

Net cash used by investing activities	(524,457)
Cash flows from financing activities: Capital contributions from partners Payments on long-term debt	34,035 (163,137)
Net cash used in financing activities	(129,102)

7

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS [Unaudited] [Continued]

	For the Six June	
	2002	
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash:	\$ (2,114,389) =======	
Cash at Beginning of Period	\$ 2,652,858 =======	
Cash at End of Period	\$ 538,469 ========	
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information Cash paid during the period: Interest	\$ 108,957 =======	
Income taxes		

Supplemental Schedule of Non-cash Investing and Financing Activities:

For the period ended June 30, 2002:

The Company acquired \$23,627 of equipment through term financing.

The Company issued 13,793,103 shares of its common stock as payment for \$200,000 of preferred stock dividends.

The Company acquired preferred stock dividends payable of \$200,000 and

The Company accrued preferred stock dividends payable of \$200,000 and accretion of \$28,302.

For the period ended June 30, 2001:

The Company acquired \$187,038 of equipment in exchange for capital

The Company accrued preferred stock dividends payable of \$200,000 and accretion of \$28,302.

8

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared by the Company without audit. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations and changes in stockholders' equity and cash flows at June 30, 2002 and for all periods presented have been made.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted. It is suggested that these condensed financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's December 31, 2001 Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results of operations for the period ended June 30, 2002 are not necessarily indicative of the operating results for the full year.

Summary of Disputes - The Company and its joint venture partner in Crown Asphalt Distribution, L.L.C. ("Crown Distribution") and Crown Asphalt Ridge, L.L.C. ("Crown Ridge"), MCNIC Pipeline and Processing Company ("MCNIC"), executed a Settlement Agreement ("the Settlement Agreement") March 8, 2002. The Settlement Agreement is discussed in detail in the Company's December 31, 2001 Annual Report on Form 10-K. On or before April 30, May 31, June 30, and July 31, 2002 the Company paid the amount described in its Annual Report to extend the option period. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain the requisite financing to satisfy the Settlement Agreement on terms which are acceptable to the Company. Interested persons should note the significant and material risks facing the Company, and the negative impacts the Company would experience in the event the Company does not or is not able to exercise the settlement option.

Organization - Crown Energy Corporation ("CEC") and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, Crown Asphalt Corporation ("CAC") and Crown Asphalt Products Company ("CAPCO") and Crown Distribution, an entity in which CAPCO owns a majority interest (collectively referred to as the "Company"), are engaged in the production, manufacturing, distribution and selling of asphalt products.

Majority Owned Subsidiaries - CAPCO is the majority-owner of Crown Distribution, Crown Distribution is a joint venture formed on July 2, 1998 between CAPCO and MCNIC for the purpose of acquiring certain assets of Petro Source Asphalt Company ("Petro Source"). CAPCO owns 50.01% and MCNIC owns 49.99% of Crown Distribution. CAPCO is the operator of Crown Distribution. Crown Distribution owns a majority interest in Cowboy Asphalt Terminal, L.L.C. ("CAT, LLC"). CAT, LLC is a joint venture formed on June 16, 1998 between CAPCO and Foreland Asphalt Corporation ("Foreland"), which owns an asphalt terminal and storage facility. Crown Distribution owns 66.67% and Foreland owns 33.33% of CAT, LLC.

Principles of Consolidation - The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its wholly or majority-owned

subsidiaries. All significant inter-company transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

NOTE 2 - WORKING CAPITAL CREDIT FACILITY

As described in detail in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2001, MCNIC, pursuant to its rights granted under the Crown Distribution Operating Agreement, elected to loan Crown Distribution amounts to cover its working capital requirements in lieu of it obtaining a line of credit from a third party financial institution. As of June 30, 2002, MCNIC had loaned Crown Distribution approximately \$14,935,222. Pursuant to a decision rendered in final arbitration, it was concluded that all of the foregoing

9

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

principal amounts, together with accrued interest of \$5,808,012 as of June 30, 2002 are now due and payable. A judgment for this amount was entered in favor of MCNIC against Crown Distribution which is secured by the majority of Crown Distribution's assets. As noted elsewhere, significant and materially adverse impacts on the Company would result from MCNIC's foreclosure on Crown Distribution's assets.

NOTE 3 - CAPITAL TRANSACTIONS

Preferred Stock - The Company is authorized to issue 1,000,000 preferred shares, par value \$.005 per share. The Company issued and has outstanding 500,000 shares of its Series A Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock ("Series A Preferred"). Each share of Series A Preferred is convertible at the option of its holder, at any time, into 8.57 shares of common stock of the Company. Dividends accrue on the outstanding Series A Preferred at the rate of 8% per annum and may be paid through cash or common shares of the Company at the option of the holder. Subject to the holder's right to convert the Series A Preferred, the Company may redeem the Series A Preferred at any time from the date on which it is issued at a percentage of the Series A Preferred's stated value of \$10 per share; 130% of stated value if redemption occurs within thirty-six months of the date of issuance, 115% of stated value if redemption occurs between thirty-six and forty-eight months after the date of issuance, 110% of stated value if redemption occurs between forty-eight and sixty months after the date of issuance, and 100% if redemption occurs thereafter. The holder of the Series A Preferred may also require the Company to redeem the Series A Preferred after the eighth anniversary of the Series A Preferred's issuance. The holders of the Series A Preferred shall have the right, but shall not be obligated, to appoint 20% of the Company's Board of Directors. The Company may not alter the rights and preferences of the Series A Preferred, authorize any security having liquidation preference, redemption, voting or dividend rights senior to the Series A Preferred, increase the number of Series A Preferred, reclassify its securities or enter into specified extraordinary events without obtaining written consent or an affirmative vote of at least 75% of the holders of the outstanding shares of the Series A Preferred stock. All voting rights of the Series A Preferred expire upon the issuance by the Company of its notice to redeem such shares. The shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Preferred are

subject to adjustment upon the issuance of additional shares of the Company's common stock resulting from stock splits, share dividends, and other similar events as well as upon the issuance of additional shares or options which are issued in connection with the Company's equity investment or as compensation to any employee, director, consultant, or other service provider of the Company or any subsidiary, other than options to acquire up to 5% of the Company's common stock at or less than fair market value. In conjunction with the issuance of the preferred stock described above, the Company issued a warrant to the holders of the preferred stock. The fair value of the warrant at the date of issuance was estimated to be \$283,019 and was recorded to additional paid-in capital and as a reduction to the stated value of the preferred stock. The reduction in preferred stock is being accreted over the five-year period from the date of issuance to the earliest exercise date of the warrant. Upon the fifth anniversary of the issuance of the preferred stock, the warrant becomes exercisable, at \$.002 per share, into the number of common shares of the Company equal to (a) \$5,000,000 plus the product of (i) \$5,000,000 multiplied by (ii) 39% (internal rate of return) multiplied by (iii) 5 years (\$14,750,000), minus (b) the sum of (i) all dividends and other distributions paid by the Company on the preferred stock or on the common stock received upon conversion of the preferred stock plus (ii) the greater of the proceeds from the sale of any common stock received by the holder upon the conversion of the preferred stock prior to the fifth anniversary date or the Terminal Value (as defined) of such common stock sold before the fifth anniversary plus (iii) the terminal value of the preferred stock and common stock received upon conversion of the preferred stock then held, divided by (c) the fair market value of the Company's common stock on a weighted average basis for the 90 days immediately preceding the fifth anniversary date of the issuance of the preferred stock. Terminal Value is defined as the sum of (i) the shares of common stock into which the preferred stock then held is convertible, plus (ii) shares of common stock received upon conversion of preferred stock, multiplied by the fair market value of the Company's common stock on a weighted average basis for the 90 days immediately preceding the fifth anniversary date of the issuance of the preferred stock. The warrants will expire

10

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

in 2007. As disclosed in the Company's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2001, the Series A Preferred Stock was purchased in November 2001 by Manhattan Goose, L.L.C. ("Manhattan Goose"), an entity in which Messrs. Jay Mealey and Andrew W. Buffmire own interests. Mr. Mealey is Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. Mr. Buffmire is a director of the Company. Also, as disclosed in the Annual Report, Manhattan Goose was issued \$200,000 of accrued dividends in the form of share dividends amounting to 13,793,103 shares of common stock.

NOTE 4 - COMMON STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK

At June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, common stockholders' equity and redeemable preferred stock consists of the following:

Redeemable preferred stock - \$.005 par value; 1,000,000 shares authorized;
\$10.00 stated value; 500,000 Series A cumulative convertible shares issued
and outstanding; original estimated fair value of \$4,716,981, accretion of
\$28,302 and \$56,604 for the periods ended June 30, 2002 and December 31,
2001, respectively, toward the stated value of \$5,000,000

\$ 4,981,133

Common stockholders' equity:
Common stock, \$.02 par value; 50,000,000 shares
authorized; 27,428,684 and 13,635,581 shares issued
and outstanding at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively
Additional paid-in capital
Stock warrants outstanding; 683,750 at
June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively

\$548,573 4,611,206

June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, respectively Common stock subscription receivable from officers Retained deficit

243,574 (549,166 (32,096,590

Total

\$ (27,242,403

NOTE 5 - LOSS PER SHARE

The following table is a reconciliation of the net loss numerator of basic and diluted net loss per common share for the years ended June 30, 2002 and June 30, 2001:

	2002		
	Profit (Loss)	Per Share	Loss
Net Profit (Loss)	\$ 780 , 078		\$(2 , 445
Redeemable preferred stock dividends and accretion	(228,302)		(228

11

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 5 - LOSS PER SHARE (continued)

	2002			
	Profit (Loss)	Per Share	Loss	
Net profit (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$ 551,776 	\$0.02 ====	\$(2,673 =====	
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic and diluted	22,932,590		13,635	

=======

The Company had at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001, incremental options and warrants to purchase, computed under the treasury stock method, 3,163,148 shares of common stock that were not included in the computation of diluted earnings (loss) per share because their effect was anti-dilutive. The Company also has preferred stock outstanding at June 30, 2002 and December 31, 2001 which is convertible into approximately 4,300,000 shares of common stock that was not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share as its effect was anti-dilutive. Accordingly, diluted earnings per share does not differ from basic earnings. As of June 30, 2002, there were dividend arrearages in the amount of \$1,200,000 on the Company's preferred stock. Pursuant to the designations and preferences of the preferred stock, the foregoing arrearages could be satisfied by the issuance of shares of the Company's common stock in lieu of cash payments at the "fair market value" of the common stock as defined in the designations and preferences. As of June 30, 2002, approximately 52,173,913 shares of common stock could have been issued at the then "fair market value" in satisfaction of the preferred stock dividend arrearages.

NOTE 6 - BAD DEBT RECOVERY ON ACCOUNTS PREVIOUSLY ALLOWED FOR

During the three months ended June 30, 2002 the Company collected on significant receivables it had provided an allowance for in a prior year in the amount of \$847,746. The Company has recognized this collection through operations as a bad debt recovery.

NOTE 7 - GAIN ON DIVESTITURE OF INTEREST IN AFFILIATE

Pursuant to the settlement agreement with MCNIC the Company assigned to MCNIC all of its interest in Crown Ridge. In return, the Company's wholly owned subsidiary, CAC, received certain overriding royalty interests in Crown Ridge's properties and MCNIC relieved CAC of its promissory note obligation of \$2,998,176 which the Company has recognized as a gain in the statement of operations.

12

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis of the Company's financial condition, results of operations and related matters includes a number of forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Forward-looking statements include, by way of illustration and not limitation, statements containing the words "anticipates", "believes", "expects", "intends", "future" and words of similar import which express, either directly or by implication, management's beliefs, expectations or intentions regarding the Company's future performance or future events or trends which may affect the Company or its results of operations.

Forward-looking statements are subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors, including but not limited to changes in economic conditions generally or with respect to the Company's asphalt products market in particular, new or increased governmental regulation, increased competition, shortages in labor or materials, delays or other difficulties in

shipping or transporting the Company's products, continued or additional technical or operational uncertainties and difficulties at the facility of Crown Asphalt Ridge, L.L.C. ("Crown Ridge"), difficulties in integrating the Company's joint venture and acquisition related businesses and other similar risks inherent in the Company's operations or in business operations generally. Any such risks or uncertainties, either alone or in combination with other factors, may cause the actual results, performance or achievements of the Company to differ materially from its anticipated future results, performance or achievements (which may be expressed or implied by such forward looking statements). Consequently, the following management's discussion and analysis, including all forward-looking statements contained therein, is qualified and limited by the foregoing cautionary factors. Interested persons are advised to consider all forward-looking statements within the context of such cautionary factors.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

On June 30, 2002, the Company had cash and other current assets of \$7,333,972 as compared to cash and other current assets of \$5,502,842 at December 31, 2001. The increase of \$1,831,130 was generally due to the increase in accounts receivable attributable to asphalt sales in the second quarter of 2002. However, on June 30, 2002, the Company's working capital deficit (the excess of current liabilities over current assets) increased to \$24,210,959, as compared to \$21,648,922 on December 31, 2001. The Company's wholly owned subsidiary, CAPCO, is the majority owner of Crown Distribution, and also conducts asphalt distribution independent of Crown Distribution. Together CAPCO and Crown Distribution accounted for most of the Company's cash and other current assets. As of June 30, 2002, CAPCO and Crown Distribution had cash and other current assets of approximately \$6,893,639, consisting primarily of \$466,007 in cash, \$1,986,182 in inventory and \$4,138,187 in accounts receivable, excluding related party balances. The Company's business is capital intensive and requires a working capital credit facility to operate efficiently. The Company has not had such a credit facility since 1999, which has resulted in lowered profitability. Until 1999, MCNIC provided loans to Crown Distribution for inventory purchases and general working capital requirements. As of June 30, 2002, those loans had a principal balance of \$14,935,222.

As previously disclosed in the Company's public filings, the Company and MCNIC and certain of its affiliated corporations and officers were engaged in litigation and/or arbitration concerning the collection of MCNIC's loans to the Company and other matters since March of 2000. As previously disclosed in the Company's Annual Report for the year ended December 31, 2001, Crown Distribution was found to owe MCNIC the amount of \$14,953,222 plus accrued interest (the "Damages Award") and the Company and its subsidiaries were found to owe \$2,609,519 (the "Fee Award").

Crown Distribution also owed MCNIC an additional \$5,325,723 at June 30, 2002, with respect to the preferential capital contribution (the "Preferential Capital Contribution") that funded Crown Distribution's acquisition of the assets of PSAC. The Preferential Capital Contribution requires payment solely from 50% of the cash flow from Crown Distribution's operations, if any, until repayment of the face amount plus a 15% rate of return.

13

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

On March 8, 2002, the Company and MCNIC entered into an agreement ("Settlement Agreement") in which the execution of the Damages Award judgment

and the proceedings to confirm the Fee Award in the Third Judicial Court, Salt Lake County, Utah were both stayed. The terms of the Settlement Agreement also provide that the Company will have the option to purchase all of MCNIC's interest in Crown Distribution by the payment of certain amounts on or before September 30, 2002, and that the stay of the execution of the Damages Award judgment and the proceeding relating to the Fee Award will continue as long as the option to purchase remains valid. After April 30, 2002, the Company is required to pay \$100,000 each month to renew the option for 30 days. The payment for the extensions through August 2002 have been made. Upon execution of the option to purchase and closing by the Company, both MCNIC and the Company will mutually release the other party from and against all claims, obligations and liabilities (including the Damages Award, Fee Award and Preferential Capital Contribution). See Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings. The Company will be required to raise substantial additional capital to extend and to exercise the option to purchase MCNIC's interest in Crown Distribution. This may require the Company to sell all or part of its assets to finance the capital requirements. There is no assurance that the Company will be able to raise the necessary capital or that the Company will be able to complete the purchase of MCNIC's interest. This could result in the foreclosure by MCNIC of substantially all of the assets of Crown Distribution. Although the Company would vigorously defend against confirmation of the Fee Award against Crown, CAPCO and CAC, there can be no assurance that the Company would prevail due to the inherent risks of litigation. If MCNIC were to prevail and receive a joint and several judgment for the Fee Award, substantially all of the remaining assets of the Company would be at risk of loss to satisfy such a judgment. This would place the Company at serious risk of insolvency and interested parties are encouraged to note the significant risk of complete loss.

Crown Distribution has continued to accrue interest expense on the loans owed to MCNIC and Preferred Contributions for its asphalt distribution business. For the six months ended June 30, 2002, \$1,319,756 of interest expense has been recorded. If the Company is able to complete the purchase of MCNIC's interest in Crown Distribution this amount would no longer be an expense to the Company.

As part of the Settlement Agreement, the Company assigned to MCNIC all of its interest in Crown Ridge. In return the Company received: (i) the assignment to CAC of a one percent (1%) non-cost bearing overriding royalty interest in the "A" tract at Asphalt Ridge; (ii) the assignment to CAC of a three percent (3%) non-cost bearing overriding royalty interest in Crown Ridge's other properties at Asphalt Ridge; (iii) the elimination of the promissory note from CAC to MCNIC which has been recognized as a gain on divestiture of affiliate in the statement of operations; and (iv) the payment by MCNIC of a judgment obtained by Morrison Knudsen (the "MK Judgment") against CAC in its capacity as operator of Crown Ridge and indemnification of the Company against the MK Judgment. The Company will have no further costs in Crown Ridge. See Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

The Company remains open to other asphalt related business opportunities to complement its existing asphalt distribution capabilities. There can be no assurance that the Company can obtain additional capital financing required to finance such transactions on acceptable terms and conditions.

The Company has a portion of its accounts receivable subject to the risks and uncertainties of litigation (see Part II, Item 1. Legal Proceedings) and subject to related collection risks. The Company is seeking other ways to finance its working capital requirements, but there can be no assurance that such working capital financing can be secured by the Company. In the event that the Company is unable to collect its current accounts receivables, or the Company is unable to secure the necessary working capital line of credit for its operations from third party sources, or if the Company's operating losses and

working capital deficits continue, or if the Company is unable to recoup the losses, the Company may not have sufficient capital to operate through 2002.

14

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS
OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Accounting Policies

Inventory consists principally of refined products and chemical supplies, which are valued at the lower of cost (computed on a first in, first out basis) or market.

Revenue recognition for sales of product is recognized when a contract is executed or a valid purchase order has been received, product has been shipped, the selling price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured.

Property, plant and equipment are recorded at cost and are depreciated over the estimated useful lives of the related assets. Depreciation is computed using the straight - line method for financial reporting purposes. The estimated useful lives of property, plant, and equipment are as follows:

Plant and improvements and tankage	10-30 years
Equipment	7 years
Vehicles	5 years
Computer equipment, furniture and fixtures	3 years

Financial instruments which potentially subject the Company to concentration of credit risk consist primarily of receivables. In the normal course of business, the Company performs ongoing credit evaluations of its customers and maintains allowances for possible losses which, when realized, have been within the range of management's expectations.

The Company maintains its cash in bank deposit accounts which, at times, may exceed federally insured limits. The Company has not experienced any losses in such accounts and believes it is not exposed to any significant credit risk on cash and cash equivalents.

Results of Operations

For the three month period ending June 30, 2002 compared to the three month period ending June 30, 2001

Total revenue decreased from \$7,362,337 for the three month period ended June 30, 2001 to \$6,184,136 for the three month period ended June 30, 2002, a decrease of \$1,178,201. Cost of sales decreased from \$6,497,765 for the same period in 2001 to \$5,685,865 for the same period in 2002, a decrease of \$811,900. The decrease in revenues was primarily the result of a decrease in sales volume of approximately 7,490 tons. This was partially offset by increased revenue per ton on the sales volume. The decrease in cost of sales is primarily the result of the reduced volume of asphalt sold.

General and administrative expenses decreased from \$848,638 for the three month period ended June 30, 2001 to \$654,438 for the three month period ended June 30, 2002, a decrease of \$194,200. This decrease is primarily due to two factors: (i) decreased legal expenses; and (ii) efficiencies in reducing labor costs. \$847,746 of bad debt recovery was recorded as described in Note 6.

Net other income/expenses decreased from an expense of \$603,207 for the three month period ended June 30, 2001 to income of \$2,305,147 for the three month period ended June 30, 2002, an expense decrease of \$2,908,354. This increase is primarily made up of \$2,998,176 gain on divestiture of the Crown Ridge interest to MCNIC as described in Note 7. Interest included in other income/expense that is related to the Company's Credit Facility and Preferred Contribution for its asphalt distribution business is \$663,524 for the three months ending June 30, 2002. This amount is partially offset by interest income and other income of \$1,379.

15

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (continued)

Minority interest of \$12,135 represents Foreland's approximate 33% interest in the loss of CAT, LLC.

For the six month period ended June 30, 2001, compared to the six months ended June 30, 2000 $\,$

Total revenue decreased from \$7,645,590 for the period ended June 30, 2001 to \$6,652,457 for the period ended June 30, 2002, a decrease of \$993,133. Cost of sales also decreased from \$7,573,662 for the period ended June 30, 2001 to \$6,998,165 for the period ended June 30, 2002, a decrease of \$575,497. The decrease in revenues was primarily due to a reduction in sales volume of approximately 5,980 tons offset partially by increased revenue per ton. The decrease in cost of sales is primarily the result of lower asphalt sales volume.

General and administrative expenses decreased from \$1,592,061 for the period ended June 30, 2001 to \$1,277,340 for the period ended June 30, 2002, a decrease of \$314,721. This decrease is primarily due to decreased legal expenses and labor efficiencies. \$847,746 of bad debt recovery was recorded as described in Note 6.

Net other income/expenses decreased from an expense of \$936,626 for the period ended June 30, 2001 to income of \$1,534,878 for the period ended June 30, 2002, an expense decrease of \$2,471,504. The 2002 total was comprised of \$1,319,756 interest related to the Company's credit facility and the Preferential Capital Contribution for its asphalt distribution business, and other interest costs of \$147,076. This amount is offset by a gain on divestiture of the Crown Ridge interest to MCNIC as described in Note 7 of \$2,998,176, and interest income and other income of \$3,534.

Minority interest of \$20,502 represents Foreland's approximate 33% interest in the loss of CAT, LLC.

16

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company does not believe it is subject to the material risks of loss related to certain market risks, such as interest rate risks, foreign currency exchange rate risks or similar risks, and therefore the Company does not engage in transactions, such as hedging or similar transactions in derivative financial instruments, intended to reduce its exposure to such risks. However, the Company is subject to general market fluctuations related to the

purchase of its basestock asphalt and may suffer reduced operating margins to the extent its increased costs are not passed through to its customers. Such prices generally fluctuate with the price of crude oil. The Company is prevented in certain contracts with MCNIC from utilizing any hedging strategies to minimize any market price changes. The Company believes the inability to protect itself from market fluctuations may negatively impact its profit margins.

The Company is also subject to certain price escalation and de-escalation clauses in its asphalt distribution sales contracts. The Company supplies asphalt to projects in certain states where regulations provide for escalation and de-escalation of the price for such asphalt relative to the price difference from the time the project is awarded to the successful bidding company and the time the project is completed. The Company includes such de-escalation risk into its bid process and does not believe it has material exposure to risk resulting from these regulations.

17

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. Legal Proceedings

In late July and August, 2001, the Company participated in a binding arbitration proceeding (the "Arbitration") in Salt Lake City, Utah against MCNIC, its related entities and certain of their officers. The Arbitration addressed all claims previously asserted between the parties either in the Third Judicial District Court of Salt Lake County in a proceeding entitled MCNIC Pipeline & Processing Company v. Crown Asphalt Distribution Civil No. 00904867 (the "State Action") and the proceeding filed in the United States District Court for the District of Utah Central Division entitled Crown Energy Corporation, et al. v. MCN Energy Group, Inc. et al., Civil No. 2CV-0583ST (the "Federal Action"). In summary, in the State Action, MCNIC alleged that funds previously advanced by it to Crown Distribution in an amount in excess of \$14 million, plus interest, were immediately due and payable. MCNIC also sought the appointment of a receiver for Crown Distribution's assets and sought to foreclose on security interests in the assets of Crown Distribution.

In contrast, the Company asserted that the funds previously advanced to Crown Distribution by MCNIC were part of a revolving credit facility which was not due and payable at that time and from which Crown Distribution should be able to make additional draws. Further, the Company sought recovery against MCNIC, its related entities and certain of its officers under other causes of action, including breach of fiduciary duties, economic duress, breach of implied covenants of good faith and fair dealing, breach of contracts and intentional interference with business relations.

On October 31, 2001, the Arbitrator issued a damages award (the "Damages Award") in which he held that MCNIC's loans were due and payable with interest accruing on such loans from 8% to 18%, depending upon the particular loan involved. The decision also failed to find for the Company on its claims against MCNIC, its related entities and officers. The Damages Award was subsequently confirmed by the Third Judicial District Court of Salt Lake County, State of Utah on February 7, 2002. The amount of the Damages Award as of June 30, 2002, is \$20,743,231.22, with interest accruing daily in the amount of \$5,102.84.

In addition, the Arbitrator awarded \$2,609,518.69 in fees and costs (the "Fee Award") to MCNIC against the Company and its related entities on a joint and several basis. The Fee Award has yet to be confirmed by the appropriate Utah state court and proceedings regarding it have been stayed as

further explained below.

On March 8, 2002, the Company and MCNIC, its related entities and certain of its officers executed the Settlement Agreement. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Company transferred all of its interests in Crown Ridge and the leases relating to the Asphalt Ridge properties to MCNIC. In addition, the Company and its officers agreed not to compete with Crown Ridge in the Western United States and Western Canada in any way with regard to tar sands leasing, mining, extraction or processing for a period of three years. However, the Settlement Agreement provides that the Company may continue to conduct its present business of buying, storing, blending and selling asphalt.

In exchange for the assignment of the Crown Ridge interest, the Company received (i) MCNIC's commitment to pay the MK Judgment and its indemnification of the Company from the MK Judgment, (ii) the assignment from Crown Ridge of a 1% non-cost bearing, overriding royalty interest in the sales proceeds received by Crown Ridge or its successors and assigns from any products produced on the assigned leases of "Tract A" at Asphalt Ridge and a 3% non-cost bearing, overriding royalty interest in proceeds received by Crown Ridge or its successors and assigns from any other lands which are currently leased by Crown Ridge or the Company, and (iii) the mutual release between the parties of any known or unknown claims between them relating to Crown Ridge, including the obligation of the Company to pay the CAC Loan.

Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Company also acquired an option to purchase all of MCNIC's rights, title and interests in, or relating to, Crown Distribution (including its right to receive the Damages Award and the Fee Award) for an amount equal to \$5,500,000 (the "Purchase Price"). The Settlement Agreement provides that the Purchase Price shall be paid through the

18

payment of \$200,000 at execution with the balance due upon the closing of the Option (if such closing occurs on or before April 30, 2002). After April 30, 2002, the Company has the right to extend the Option until September 30, 2002, by making an additional \$100,000 payment for each 30 days by which the Option is extended. On or before the last day of April, May, June and July 2002, the Company did in fact pay the \$100,000 payment to extend the option for a additional period of 30 days. If the Company closes under the Option, then all payments made to MCNIC shall be credited against the Purchase Price. If, however, the Company does not exercise the Option, the initial \$200,000 payment shall be credited against the Company's ultimate liability under the Fee Award.

Promptly following the execution of the Settlement Agreement, the Company and MCNIC stayed all pending litigation relating to the Arbitration or any enforcement of its conclusion issued as a result of it. The Settlement Agreement provides that if the Company does not exercise the Option, MCNIC may execute on the Damages Award and that the parties may either move to confirm or appeal, as the case may be, the Fee Award.

Management of the Company believes that under the severe legal and financial constraints facing the Company as a result of the Arbitration's outcome, the negotiation and execution of the Settlement Agreement were in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders. Management of the Company is presently taking actions intended to permit it to exercise the Option but cannot assure that it will be successful in obtaining the requisite financing on terms which are acceptable to the Company. Further, the Company cannot describe what form future financing might take.

On June 13, 2002, Geneva Rock Products, Inc. ("Geneva") filed an action, Civ.# 020904488, against CAPCO in the Third Judicial District Court in

and for Salt Lake County - State of Utah. In its Complaint, Geneva seeks monetary damages stated to be in excess of \$1,600,000 allegedly caused by defects in asphalt binder purchased by Geneva from CAPCO.

On August 2, 2002, CAPCO filed its Answer to Geneva's Complaint. In addition to answering Geneva's allegations, CAPCO counterclaimed \$57,000 in unpaid invoices. Although CAPCO intends to vigorously contest Geneva's claims, and believes that they are without merit, due to the inherent uncertainty in litigation and the fact that the proceedings are in such an early stage, CAPCO cannot predict with any certainty whether CAPCO will prevail in this litigation.

ITEM 2. Changes in Securities

None.

ITEM 3. Defaults upon Senior Securities

The Decision in the arbitration of the dispute between the Company and MCNIC received on November 5, 2001 held that loans from MCNIC to Crown Distribution were due and payable, along with accrued interest, and are in default. As of September 30, 2001, the Company asserts that these loans had an outstanding principal balance of \$14,935,222 and accrued interest of \$4,414,942. See Part I - Item 2: Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations; Part II - Item 1: Legal Proceedings.

As noted elsewhere in this Report, As of June 30, 2002, there were arrearages in the amount of \$1,200,000 on the Company's preferred stock. Pursuant to the designations and preferences of the preferred stock, the foregoing arrearages could be satisfied by the issuance of shares of the Company's common stock in lieu of cash payments at the "fair market value" of the common stock as defined in the designations and preferences. As of June 30, 2002, approximately 52,173,913 shares of common stock could have been issued at the then "fair market value" in satisfaction of the preferred stock dividend arrearages.

ITEM 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None.

ITEM 5. Other Information

None.

19

ITEM 6. Exhibits and Report on Form 8-K

A. Exhibits:

Exhibit A Certification of Jay A. Mealey the President and Chief Executive Officer of Crown Energy Corporation.

Exhbiit B Certification of Alan L. Parker the Controller of Crown Energy Corporation.

B. Report on Form 8-K

None.

PART III - SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

CROWN ENERGY CORPORATION (Registrant)

Date: August 14, 2002 By: /s/ Jay Mealey

Jay Mealey,

Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 14, 2002 By: /s/ Alan Parker

Alan Parker, Controller

2.1

Exhibit A

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER
IN COMPLIANCE WITH
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Jay A. Mealey, President and Chief Executive Officer of Crown Energy Corporation, hereby certify that the accompanying Form 10-Q of Crown Energy Corporation fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or section 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the accompanying Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Crown Energy Corporation.

/s/ Jay Mealey

Name: Jay Mealey

Title: President and Chief Executive

Officer of Crown Energy Corporation

22

Exhibit B

CERTIFICATION OF CHIEF FINANCIAL OFFICER
IN COMPLIANCE WITH
SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002

I, Alan L. Parker, Controller of Crown Energy Corporation, hereby certify that the accompanying Form 10-Q of Crown Energy Corporation fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or section 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 and that the information contained in the accompanying Form 10-Q fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Crown Energy Corporation.

/s/ Alan Parker

Name: Alan Parker

Title: Controller of Crown Energy Corporation

23