

INTEST CORP  
Form 10-Q  
August 14, 2009

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

☒ **QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2009 or

☐ **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from \_\_\_\_\_ to \_\_\_\_\_

Commission File Number 0-22529

inTEST Corporation

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Delaware

22-2370659

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

7 Esterbrook Lane  
Cherry Hill, New Jersey 08003

(Address of principal executive offices, including zip code)

(856) 424-6886

(Registrant's Telephone Number, including Area Code)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

YES ☒ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (SS 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 month (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). YES ☐ NO ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

Large accelerated filer \_\_\_\_  
Non-accelerated filer \_\_\_\_

Accelerated filer \_\_\_\_

(Do not check if a smaller reporting company)

Smaller reporting company X

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).  
YES \_\_\_\_ NO X

Number of shares of Common Stock, \$.01 par value, outstanding as of the close of business on July 31, 2009:

10,053,956

---

inTEST CORPORATION

INDEX

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
		<u>Page</u>
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	
	Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008	1
	Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	2
	Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Loss for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	3
	Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity for the six months ended June 30, 2009	4
	Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008	5
	Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	6 - 20
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	21 - 30
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	30
Item 4T.	Controls and Procedures	

PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	32
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	32
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	32
Item 3.	Defaults Upon Senior Securities	32
Item 4.	Submission of Matters to a Vote of Securities Holders	32
Item 5.	Other Information	32
Item 6.	Exhibits	32
Signatures		33
Index to Exhibits		34

---

## PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

### Item 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

inTEST CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except share and per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	June 30, 2009	Dec. 31, 2008
	-----	-----
ASSETS:		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 4,606	\$ 7,137
Trade accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$159 and \$148, respectively	2,884	3,758
Inventories	3,272	4,193
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	<u>314</u>	<u>816</u>
Total current assets	<u>11,076</u>	<u>15,904</u>
Property and equipment:		

# Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

Machinery and equipment	3,814	3,869
Leasehold improvements	<u>576</u>	<u>576</u>
	4,390	4,445
Less: accumulated depreciation	<u>(3,967)</u>	<u>(3,828)</u>
Net property and equipment	<u>423</u>	<u>617</u>
Goodwill	1,656	1,656
Intangible assets, net	1,279	1,346
Other assets	<u>263</u>	<u>969</u>
Total assets	<u>\$14,697</u>	<u>\$20,492</u>
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Current liabilities:		
Accounts payable	\$ 1,391	\$ 1,830
Accrued wages and benefits	1,230	1,569
Accrued professional fees	358	391
Accrued warranty	257	281
Accrued sales commissions	214	160
Accrued restructuring and other charges	42	141
Other accrued expenses	539	553
Domestic and foreign income taxes payable	44	173
Deferred rent	118	118
Capital lease obligations	<u>5</u>	<u>8</u>
Total current liabilities	<u>4,198</u>	<u>5,224</u>
Notes payable to stockholder	1,525	1,525
Deferred rent, net of current portion	216	275
Capital lease obligations, net of current portion	<u>-</u>	<u>1</u>
Total liabilities	<u>5,939</u>	<u>7,025</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 10 and 12)		
Stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued or outstanding	-	-
Common stock, \$0.01 par value; 20,000,000 shares authorized; 10,193,255 and 10,209,005 shares issued, respectively	102	102
Additional paid-in capital	25,731	25,665
Accumulated deficit	(17,665)	(12,958)
Accumulated other comprehensive earnings	1,451	1,519
Treasury stock, at cost; 139,299 and 139,299 shares, respectively	<u>(861)</u>	<u>(861)</u>
Total stockholders' equity	<u>8,758</u>	<u>13,467</u>
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	<u>\$14,697</u>	<u>\$20,492</u>
	=====	=====

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 1 -

## inTEST CORPORATION CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(In thousands, except share and per share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
Net revenues	\$ 4,672	\$11,497	\$ 9,067	\$22,801
Cost of revenues	3,256	6,974	6,848	13,825

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

Gross margin	1,416	4,523	2,219	8,976
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating expenses:				
Selling expense	1,036	2,223	2,173	4,317
Engineering and product development expense	576	1,417	1,333	2,827
General and administrative expense	1,374	2,040	3,058	4,288
Restructuring and other charges	269	200	329	200
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total operating expenses	3,255	5,880	6,893	11,632
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Operating loss	(1,839)	(1,357)	(4,674)	(2,656)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Other income (expense):				
Interest income	16	47	33	156
Interest expense	(17)	-	(34)	-
Other	(120)	-	(39)	(74)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total other income (expense)	(121)	47	(40)	82
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Loss before income tax expense (benefit)	(1,960)	(1,310)	(4,714)	(2,574)
Income tax expense (benefit)	(8)	47	(7)	109
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (1,952)	\$ (1,357)	\$ (4,707)	\$ (2,683)
	=====	=====	=====	=====
Net loss per common share - basic	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.29)
Weighted average common shares outstanding-basic	9,973,225	9,323,975	9,965,152	9,315,823
Net loss per common share - diluted	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.15)	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.29)
Weighted average common and common share equivalents outstanding-diluted	9,973,225	9,323,975	9,965,152	9,315,823

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 2 -

inTEST CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
-----	-----	-----	-----
2009	2008	2009	2008

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

	-----	-----	-----	-----
Net loss	\$ (1,952)	\$ (1,357)	\$ (4,707)	\$ (2,683)
Foreign currency translation adjustments	235	(113)	(68)	475
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Comprehensive loss	\$ (1,717)	\$ (1,470)	\$ (4,775)	\$ (2,208)
	=====	=====	=====	=====

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 3 -

inTEST CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

(In thousands, except share data)  
(Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Addt'l		Accumulated			Total
	Shares	Amount	Paid-In Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Other Comprehensive Earnings	Treasury Stock	Stockholders' Equity
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance, January 1, 2009	10,209,005	\$ 102	\$25,665	\$ (12,958)	\$1,519	\$ (861)	\$13,467
Net loss	-	-	-	(4,707)	-	-	(4,707)
Other comprehensive loss	-	-	-	-	(68)	-	(68)
Forfeiture of non-vested shares of restricted stock	(15,750)	-	-	-	-	-	-
Amortization of deferred compensation related to restricted stock	-	-	66	-	-	-	66
	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
Balance, June 30, 2009	10,193,255	\$ 102	\$25,731	\$ (17,665)	\$1,451	\$ (861)	\$ 8,758
	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====	=====

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

- 4 -

inTEST CORPORATION  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

# Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

(In thousands)  
(Unaudited)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008
<hr/>		
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net loss	\$ (4,707)	\$ (2,683)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	265	488
Foreign exchange loss	101	162
Amortization of deferred compensation related to restricted stock	66	222
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	33	-
Proceeds from sale of demonstration equipment, net of gain	3	7
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts and notes receivable	911	(513)
Inventories	914	(70)
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	483	554
Other assets	664	9
Accounts payable	(436)	713
Accrued wages and benefits	(306)	(21)
Accrued professional fees	(33)	118
Accrued warranty	(24)	(27)
Accrued sales commissions	54	82
Accrued restructuring and other charges	(97)	200
Other accrued expenses	(10)	5
Domestic and foreign income taxes payable	(125)	(24)
Deferred rent	(59)	(59)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net cash used in operating activities	(2,303)	(837)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Purchase of property and equipment	(41)	(241)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net cash used in investing activities	(41)	(241)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Repayment of capital lease obligations	(4)	(3)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net cash used in financing activities	(4)	(3)
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Effects of exchange rates on cash	(183)	249
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Net cash used in all activities	(2,531)	(832)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	7,137	12,215
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$ 4,606	\$11,383
	<hr/>	<hr/>
SUPPLEMENTAL SCHEDULE OF NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES:		
Forfeitures of non-vested shares of restricted stock	\$ (64)	\$ -
	<hr/>	<hr/>
Cash payments (refunds) for:		
Domestic and foreign income taxes	\$ 77	\$ (89)
Interest	-	-

inTEST CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except for share and per share data)

**(1) NATURE OF OPERATIONS**

We are an independent designer, manufacturer and marketer of mechanical, thermal and electrical products that are primarily used by semiconductor manufacturers in conjunction with automatic test equipment ("ATE") in the testing of integrated circuits ("ICs" or "semiconductors").

The consolidated entity is comprised of inTEST Corporation (parent) and our wholly-owned subsidiaries. We have three reportable segments which are also our reporting units: Mechanical Products (formerly known as Manipulator and Docking Hardware), Thermal Products (formerly known as Temperature Management) and Electrical Products (formerly known as Tester Interface). We manufacture our products in the U.S and Singapore. Marketing and support activities are conducted worldwide from our facilities in the U.S., Germany, Japan and Singapore.

During the fourth quarter of 2008, we closed our manufacturing facility in Amerang, Germany, and our engineering and sales office in the U.K., as discussed further in Note 5. On April 8, 2009, we approved the closure of our Japanese operation, and, on April 14, 2009, we approved reductions in workforce in our Singaporean operation, as discussed further in Note 5. In connection with these actions, we plan to centralize manufacturing of mechanical products in our Cherry Hill, New Jersey operation. All of these operations are included in our Mechanical Products segment.

During 2008, we acquired Diamond Integration L.L.C. ("Diamond") and Sigma Systems Corp. ("Sigma"), as discussed further in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008 (our "2008 Form 10-K"). The results of Diamond from the date of acquisition are included in our Mechanical Products segment. The results of Sigma from the date of acquisition are included in our Thermal Products segment.

The semiconductor industry in which we operate is characterized by rapid technological change, competitive pricing pressures and cyclical market patterns. This industry is subject to significant economic downturns at various times. Our financial results are affected by a wide variety of factors, including, but not limited to, general economic conditions worldwide or in the markets in which we operate, economic conditions specific to the semiconductor industry, our ability to safeguard patents and intellectual property in a rapidly evolving market, downward pricing pressures from customers, and our reliance on a relatively few number of customers for a significant portion of our sales. In addition, we are exposed to the risk of obsolescence of our inventory depending on the mix of future business and technological changes within the industry. As a result of these or other factors, we may experience significant period-to-period fluctuations in future operating results.

## (2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

### Going Concern

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern, which contemplates the realization of assets and the liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. We have incurred significant losses in three of the last five years, including losses in 2007 and 2008 and the first half of 2009. These losses were attributable to operations as well as to charges for impairments and restructurings. We have managed our liquidity during this time primarily through a series of cost reduction initiatives. However, the continuing weakness and turmoil of the macroeconomic environment that began in 2008 has worsened in 2009, and has resulted in a significant reduction in equipment utilization rates in the semiconductor industry. While we presently see some positive indicators in certain of our segments, and although our bookings for the second quarter of 2009 increased to \$4,563 as compared to \$3,760 for the first quarter of the year, we continue to remain focused on methods to reduce our cash burn. As a result of our continued operating losses in 2009, however, as of June 30, 2009, our cash and cash equivalents declined to \$4,606 as compared to \$5,236 as of March 31, 2009 and \$7,137 as of December 31, 2008. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we received approximately \$944, which represented the cash surrender value of several life insurance policies which were cancelled in connection with the closure of our Japanese operation. Of the amount received, approximately \$494 represents retirement assets which will be paid out to the former managing director of this operation. We expect to pay this amount prior to December 31, 2009. This amount is included in Accrued Wages and Benefits on our balance sheet as of June 30, 2009. We do not currently have any available credit facilities under which we can borrow to help fund our working capital requirements.

- 6 -

## inTEST CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

## (2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)

In light of deteriorating conditions in the semiconductor industry and the global economy, we initiated a series of restructuring and cost reduction programs during the fourth quarter of 2008, which have continued in the first half of 2009, in order to conserve cash and reduce costs. In addition, in April 2009, we retained a financial advisor to explore strategic alternatives to enhance operating performance and stockholder value. Under present market conditions and with our present resources, our goals remain to conserve cash, reduce costs and generate sales of our products. We also continue to consider other alternatives, however, if we are not successful in accomplishing these goals or alternatives, we may be forced to seek relief through a filing under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or liquidate and dissolve our business. We will likely incur additional restructuring charges in future periods; however, we cannot predict the amount of such charges at this time.

As a result of these conditions, in connection with the audit of our financial statements as of December 31, 2008, we received a report from our independent registered public accounting firm expressing substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on many events, some of which may be outside of our direct control, including, among other things, the success and timeliness of our cost reduction initiatives and the availability of financing, if needed, to fund our working capital requirements. The accompanying consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

### Basis of Presentation and Use of Estimates

The accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include our accounts and those of our wholly-owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated upon consolidation. The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Certain of our accounts, including inventories, long-lived assets, goodwill, identifiable intangibles, deferred income tax valuation allowances and product warranty reserves, are particularly affected by estimates.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated financial statements include all adjustments (consisting only of normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations, and changes in cash flows for the interim periods presented. Certain footnote information has been condensed or omitted from these consolidated financial statements. Therefore, these consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying footnotes included in our 2008 Form 10-K

### **Inventories**

Inventory is valued at standard cost, which approximates actual cost computed on a first-in, first-out basis, not in excess of market value. Cash flows from the sale of inventory are recorded in operating cash flows. On a quarterly basis, we review our inventories and record excess and obsolete inventory charges based upon our established objective excess and obsolete inventory criteria. These criteria identify material that has not been used in a work order during the prior twelve months and the quantity of material on hand that is greater than the average annual usage of that material over the prior three years. In certain cases, additional excess and obsolete inventory charges are recorded based upon current industry conditions, anticipated product life cycles, new product introductions and expected future use of the inventory. The charges for excess and obsolete inventory we record establish a new cost basis for the related inventory. We incurred excess and obsolete inventory charges of \$635 and \$384 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively.

### **Goodwill, Intangible and Long-Lived Assets**

We account for our goodwill and intangible assets in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. Finite-lived intangible assets are amortized over their estimated useful economic life and are carried at cost less accumulated amortization. Goodwill is assessed for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter, on a reporting unit basis, or more frequently when events and circumstances occur indicating that the recorded goodwill may be impaired. If the book value of a reporting unit exceeds its fair value, the implied fair value of goodwill is compared with the carrying amount of goodwill. If the carrying amount of goodwill exceeds the implied fair value, an impairment loss is recorded in an amount equal to that excess. Indefinite-lived intangible assets are assessed for impairment at least annually in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the asset might be

- 7 -

## inTEST CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

**(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

impaired. The impairment test consists of a comparison of the fair value of an intangible asset with its carrying amount. If the carrying amount of an intangible asset exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in an amount equal to that excess.

In accordance with SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*, we review long-lived assets, which consist of finite-lived intangible assets and property and equipment, for impairment whenever events or changes in business circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the assets may not be fully recoverable or that the useful lives of these assets are no longer appropriate. Each impairment test is based on a comparison of the estimated undiscounted cash flows to the recorded value of the asset. If impairment is indicated, the asset is written down to its estimated fair value. The cash flow estimates used to determine the impairment, if any, contain management's best estimates using appropriate assumptions and projections at that time.

**Revenue Recognition**

We recognize revenue in accordance with Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 104 ("SAB 104"), *Revenue Recognition*. We recognize revenue when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price is fixed or determinable, and collectibility is reasonably assured. Sales of our products are made through our sales employees, third-party sales representatives and distributors. There are no differences in revenue recognition policies based on the sales channel. We do not provide our customers with rights of return or exchanges. Revenue is generally recognized upon product shipment. Our sales agreements do not typically contain any customer-specific acceptance criteria, other than that the product performs within the agreed upon specifications. We test all products manufactured as part of our quality assurance process to determine that they comply with specifications prior to shipment to a customer. To the extent that any sales agreements contain customer-specific acceptance criteria, revenue recognition is deferred until customer acceptance.

With respect to sales tax collected from customers and remitted to governmental authorities, we use a net presentation in our consolidated statement of operations. As a result, there are no amounts included in either our net revenues or cost of revenues related to sales tax.

**Product Warranties**

We generally provide product warranties and record estimated warranty expense at the time of sale based upon historical claims experience. Warranty expense is included in selling expense in the consolidated financial statements.

**Restructuring and Other Charges**

We recognize a liability for restructuring costs at fair value only when the liability is incurred. The three main components of our restructuring plans have been related to workforce reductions, the consolidation of excess facilities and asset impairments. Workforce-related charges are accrued when it is determined that a liability has been incurred, which is generally after individuals have been notified of their termination dates and expected severance benefits. Plans to consolidate excess facilities result in charges for lease termination fees and future commitments to pay lease charges, net of estimated future sub-lease income. We recognize charges for consolidation of excess facilities when we have vacated the premises. Assets that may be impaired consist of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets. Asset impairment charges are based on an estimate of the amounts and timing of future cash flows related to the expected future remaining use and ultimate sale or

disposal of the asset. These estimates are derived using the guidance of SFAS No. 146, *Accounting for Exit or Disposal Activities*, and SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*.

### **Income Taxes**

The asset and liability method is used in accounting for income taxes. Under this method, deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for operating loss and tax credit carryforwards and for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the periods in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in the results of operations in the period that includes the enactment date. A valuation allowance is recorded to reduce the carrying amounts of deferred tax assets if it is more likely than not that such assets will not be realized.

- 8 -

## **inTEST CORPORATION** **NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS** (Unaudited)

### **(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$7 compared to income tax expense of \$109 for the same period in 2008. On a quarterly basis, we record income tax expense or benefit based on the expected annualized effective tax rate for the various taxing jurisdictions in which we operate our businesses. Due to our history of operating losses in our domestic and certain of our foreign operations, we have recorded a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets of these operations, including net operating loss carryforwards, where we believe it is more likely than not that we will not have sufficient taxable income to utilize these assets before they expire.

### **Net Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share**

Net earnings (loss) per common share is computed in accordance with SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*. Basic earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during each period. Diluted earnings (loss) per common share is computed by dividing net earnings (loss) by the weighted average number of common shares and common share equivalents outstanding during each period. Common share equivalents represent stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock and are calculated using the treasury stock method. Common share equivalents are excluded from the calculation if their effect is anti-dilutive.

The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, a reconciliation of weighted average common shares outstanding - basic to weighted average common shares and common share equivalents outstanding - diluted and the average number of potentially dilutive securities and their respective weighted average exercise prices that were excluded from the calculation of diluted earnings per share because their effect was anti-dilutive:

Three Months Ended	Six Months Ended
<u>June 30,</u>	<u>June 30,</u>

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
	9,973,225	9,323,975	9,965,152	9,315,823
Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic				
Potentially dilutive securities:				
Employee stock options and unvested shares of restricted stock	<u>9,973,225</u>	<u>9,323,975</u>	<u>9,965,152</u>	<u>9,315,823</u>
Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted				
Average number of potentially dilutive securities excluded from calculation	504,749	667,219	517,842	675,873
Weighted average exercise price of excluded securities	\$3.57	\$3.76	\$3.58	\$3.77

Recently Adopted Accounting Standards

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (the "FASB") issued SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements*. SFAS No. 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and expands disclosure of fair value measurements. SFAS No. 157 applies under other accounting pronouncements that require or permit fair value measurements and accordingly, does not require any new fair value measurements. In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position ("FSP") FAS 157-1, *Application of FASB Statement No. 157 to FASB Statement No. 13 and Other Accounting Pronouncements That Address Fair Value Measurements for Purposes of Lease Classification or Measurement under Statement 13*. FSP FAS 157-1 amends SFAS No. 157 to exclude certain leasing transactions accounted for under previously existing accounting guidance. This exclusion, however, does not apply to assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination, regardless of whether those assets and liabilities are related to leases. In February 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-2, *Effective Date for FASB Statement No. 157*, which delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157 for nonfinancial assets and nonfinancial liabilities until January 1, 2009, except for items that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually). We adopted SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008 for assets and liabilities not subject to the deferral. We adopted SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2009 for assets and liabilities that were subject to the deferral. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008 and January 1, 2009, respectively, did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 3 for further information on fair value measurements.

- 9 -

inTEST CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

**(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (Continued)**

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 141(R), *Business Combinations*. SFAS 141(R) significantly changes the accounting for business combinations in a number of areas, including the treatment of contingent consideration, pre-acquisition contingencies, transaction costs, in-process research and development and restructuring costs. In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 141(R)-1, *Accounting for Assets Acquired and Liabilities Assumed in a Business Combination That Arise from Contingencies*. FSP FAS 141(R)-1 amends and clarifies SFAS 141(R) to address application issues raised by preparers, auditors, and members of the legal profession on initial recognition and measurement, subsequent measurement and accounting, and disclosure of assets and liabilities arising from contingencies in a business combination. SFAS 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 are effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2008, and early adoption is prohibited. We adopted SFAS 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 as of January 1, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 141(R) and FSP FAS 141(R)-1 will change our accounting for business combinations on a prospective basis for any business combination completed on or after January 1, 2009.

In April 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 142-3, *Determination of the Useful Life of Intangible Assets*. FSP FAS 142-3 amends the factors that should be considered in developing renewal or extension assumptions used to determine the useful life of a recognized intangible asset under SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets*. FSP FAS 142-3 also adds certain disclosures to those already prescribed in SFAS No. 142. FSP FAS 142-3 is effective as of the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after December 15, 2008, and early adoption is prohibited. The guidance for determining useful lives must be applied prospectively to intangible assets acquired after the effective date. The disclosure requirements must be applied prospectively to all intangible assets recognized as of the effective date. We adopted FSP FAS 142-3 as of January 1, 2009. FSP FAS 142-3 will change our accounting for intangible assets acquired after the adoption date.

In September 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4, *Disclosures about Credit Derivatives and Certain Guarantees: An Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 and FASB Interpretation No. 45; and Clarification of the Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 161*. FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4 amends SFAS No. 133 to require disclosures by entities that assume credit risk through the sale of credit derivatives including credit derivatives embedded in a hybrid instrument. The intent of these enhanced disclosures is to enable users of financial statements to assess the potential effect on its financial position, financial performance, and cash flows from these credit derivatives. This FSP also amends FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor's Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others*, to require an additional disclosure about the current status of the payment/performance risk of a guarantee. FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4 is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years and interim periods ending after November 15, 2008, with early application encouraged. FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4 encourages, but does not require, comparative disclosures. We adopted FSP FAS 133-1 and FIN 45-4 at the beginning of the fourth quarter of fiscal 2008. The adoption of FSP 133-1 and FIN 45-4 for reporting as of December 31, 2008, did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements.

In October 2008, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-3, *Determining the Fair Value of a Financial Asset When the Market for That Asset Is Not Active*. FSP FAS 157-3 clarifies the application of SFAS No. 157 when the market for a financial asset is not active. FSP FAS 157-3 was effective upon issuance, including reporting for prior periods for which financial statements have not been issued. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-3 for reporting as of September 30, 2008 did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 3 for further information on fair value measurements.

In April 2009, the FASB issued FSP FAS 157-4, *Determining Fair Value When the Volume and Level of Activity for the Asset or Liability Have Significantly Decreased and Identifying Transactions That Are Not*

*Orderly*. FSP FAS 157-4 relates to determining fair values when there is no active market or where the price inputs being used represent distressed sales. It reaffirms the need to use judgment to ascertain if a formerly active market has become inactive and in determining fair values when markets have become inactive. FSP FAS 157-4 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ended after March 15, 2009. We adopted FSP FAS 157-4 effective as of the beginning of the second fiscal quarter of 2009. The adoption of FSP FAS 157-4 did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 3 for further information on fair value measurements.

In April 2009, the FASB also issued FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1, *Interim Disclosures about Fair Value of Financial Instruments*. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 relates to fair value disclosures for financial instruments that are not currently reflected on the balance sheet at fair value. Prior to issuing this FSP, fair values for these assets and liabilities were only disclosed once a year. The FSP now requires these disclosures on a quarterly basis, providing qualitative and quantitative

- 10 -

inTEST CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(2) SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

(Continued)

information about fair value estimates for all those financial instruments not measured on the balance sheet at fair value. FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 is effective for interim and annual periods ending after June 15, 2009, with early adoption permitted for periods ended after March 15, 2009. We adopted FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 effective as of the beginning of the second fiscal quarter of 2009. The adoption of FSP FAS 107-1 and APB 28-1 did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements. See Note 3 for further information on fair value measurements.

In May 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 165, *Subsequent Events*. SFAS 165 provides authoritative accounting guidance regarding subsequent events that was previously addressed in auditing literature. SFAS 165 modifies the guidance in AU Section 560 to name the two types of subsequent events as either recognized subsequent events (previously referred to in practice as, "Type I subsequent events") or non-recognized subsequent events (previously referred to in practice as, "Type II subsequent events"), and to require companies to disclose the date through which it has evaluated subsequent events, which for public companies should be the date the financial statements are issued. SFAS 165 is effective on a prospective basis for interim or annual financial periods ending after June 15, 2009. The adoption of SFAS 165 did not have any impact on our consolidated financial statements. We have evaluated subsequent events through August 14, 2009. We have determined there were no material subsequent events requiring disclosure in our consolidated financial statements for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

**New Accounting Standards**

In June 2009, the FASB issued SFAS No. 168, *The FASB Accounting Standards Codification and the Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles - a replacement of FASB Statement No. 162*. SFAS 168 establishes the FASB Accounting Standards Codification ("Codification") as the source of authoritative U.S. generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") recognized by the FASB to be applied by

nongovernmental entities. Rules and interpretive releases of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") under authority of federal securities laws are also sources of authoritative GAAP for SEC registrants. All guidance contained in the Codification carries an equal level of authority. On the effective date of SFAS 168, the Codification will supersede all then-existing non-SEC accounting and reporting standards. All other non-grandfathered, non-SEC accounting literature not included in the Codification will become nonauthoritative. SFAS 168 is effective for financial statements issued for interim and annual periods ending after September 15, 2009. Subsequent to the effective date of SFAS 168, the FASB will not issue new standards in the form of Statements, FASB Staff Positions, or Emerging Issues Task Force Abstracts. Instead, the FASB will issue Accounting Standards Updates. The FASB will not consider Accounting Standards Updates as authoritative in their own right. Accounting Standards Updates will serve only to update the Codification, provide background information about the guidance, and provide the bases for conclusions on the change(s) in the Codification. We will adopt SFAS 168 as of the beginning of the third fiscal quarter of 2009. We do not expect the adoption of FAS 168 to have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

### (3) FAIR VALUE

As discussed in Note 2, on January 1, 2008, we adopted SFAS No. 157 for our financial assets and financial liabilities, which are carried at fair value on a recurring basis. These assets and liabilities consist of cash and cash equivalents, a note receivable and notes payable to stockholder. On January 1, 2009 we adopted SFAS No. 157 for our nonfinancial assets which are measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

SFAS No. 157 defines fair value as the price that would be received from selling an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in an orderly transaction in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability between market participants at the measurement date. SFAS No. 157 also establishes a three-tiered fair value hierarchy that requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. A financial instrument's categorization within the fair value hierarchy is based upon the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement. The three levels of inputs within the fair value hierarchy are as follows:

- ◆ Level 1 inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- ◆ Level 2 inputs are quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data by correlation or other means.

- 11 -

## inTEST CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

### (3) FAIR VALUE

(Continued)

- ◆ Level 3 inputs are prices or valuations that require inputs that are both significant to the fair value measurement and unobservable. This includes inputs that reflect the reporting entity's own assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability

# Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

(including assumptions about risk), which are developed based on the best information available in the circumstances.

The following table presents our assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2009, consistent with the fair value hierarchy provisions of SFAS No. 157:

	<u>Level 1</u>	<u>Level 2</u>	<u>Level 3</u>	<u>Total</u>
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$4,606	\$ -	\$ -	\$4,606
Note receivable	<u>-</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>26</u>	<u>26</u>
Total assets measured at fair value at June 30, 2009	<u>\$4,606</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 26</u>	<u>\$4,632</u>
Liabilities:				
Notes payable to stockholder	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$1,525</u>	<u>\$1,525</u>
Total liabilities measured at fair value at June 30, 2009	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$1,525</u>	<u>\$1,525</u>

Our financial assets, which are measured and recorded at fair value using Level 3 inputs, consist of a note receivable. This note receivable is included in Other Assets on our consolidated balance sheet and is more fully discussed in Note 16 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K. Interest accrued on this note receivable is included in Interest Income on our Statement of Operations. This note receivable is denominated in British Pounds Sterling. Any foreign currency transaction gains or losses recorded related to this note receivable are recorded in Other Income (Expense) on our Statement of Operations. A reconciliation of the beginning and ending balance of this note receivable is presented below:

Balance - January 1, 2009	\$23
Interest accrued	-
Foreign currency translation gains	3
Repayment of principal and interest	<u>-</u>
Balance - June 30, 2009	<u>\$26</u>

Our financial liabilities, which are measured and recorded at fair value using Level 3 inputs, consist of notes payable to stockholder. These notes payable are a result of our acquisition of Sigma in October 2008 and are more fully discussed in Notes 3 and 10 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K.

Interest accrued on the notes payable is included in Interest Expense on our Statement of Operations. Any accrued but unpaid interest is included in Other Accrued Expenses on our Balance Sheet. During the first six months of 2009, we recorded \$34 of interest expense related to these notes payable, none of which was paid during 2009. Total accrued and unpaid interest on these notes payable was \$54 at June 30, 2009.

#### (4) GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, our goodwill totaled \$1,656 and \$1,656, respectively, and our indefinite-lived intangible asset totaled \$510 and \$510, respectively. The goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible asset are both a result of our acquisition of Sigma in October 2008 and are allocated to our Thermal Products reporting unit, as discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K.

As of June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had finite-lived intangible assets which totaled \$769 and \$836, net of accumulated amortization of \$101 and \$34, respectively. At June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, we had three finite-lived intangible assets which consisted of customer relationships, software and patents held by Sigma at the time of our acquisition

- 12 -

### inTEST CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### (4) GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS (Continued)

of this operation in October 2008, as discussed further in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K. These intangible assets are being amortized on a straight-line basis over estimated useful lives of 72 months, 120 months and 60 months, respectively. As of June 30, 2009, these assets had remaining estimated useful lives of 63 months, 111 months, and 51 months, respectively. These intangible assets are allocated to our Thermal Products segment. We assess our finite-lived intangible assets for impairment in accordance with the requirements of SFAS 144.

The following table sets forth changes in the amount of the carrying value of finite-lived intangible assets for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

	\$836
Balance - January 1, 2009	
	<u>(67</u>
Amortization	
	)
	<u>\$769</u>
Balance - June 30, 2009	

The following table sets forth the estimated annual amortization expense for our finite-lived intangible assets for each of the next five years:

2009	\$135
2010	\$135
2011	\$135
2012	\$135
2013	\$123

(5)

## RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER CHARGES

In response to the significant decline in our orders and net revenues during 2008 and the first six months of 2009, we have taken actions to reduce our cost structure. The actions we have taken are described below. The review of our operations is on-going. We continue to explore methods to further reduce our costs and we will likely incur additional restructuring charges in future periods; however, we cannot predict the amount of such charges at this time.

### 2009

#### ***Thermal Products Segment Restructuring***

During the first six months of 2009, we approved three separate workforce reductions in our Thermal Products segment. On January 15, 2009, we approved a reduction of 5 employees, representing approximately 6% of the total employees in this segment and on February 19, 2009, we approved a reduction in workforce of 6 employees, representing approximately 8% of the total employees in this segment (collectively the "Q1 2009 TP Workforce Reduction"). We completed the communications of these actions to our employees on January 22, 2009 and February 19, 2009, respectively. We incurred \$60 in total costs related to these actions for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the first quarter of 2009. On April 8, 2009, we approved a reduction of 11 employees, representing approximately 15% of the total employees in this segment (the "Q2 2009 TP Workforce Reduction"). We completed the communication of this action to our employees on April 15, 2009. We incurred approximately \$62 in total costs related to these actions for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the second quarter of 2009.

These actions were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to current business conditions. We expect that the completed actions in this segment will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$1,256.

#### ***Mechanical Products Segment Restructuring***

On April 8, 2009, we approved a reduction in workforce in our Mechanical Products segment of 13 employees which represented approximately 20% of the employees in this segment. We incurred approximately \$71 in total costs related to this action for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the second quarter of 2009. We completed the communication of this action to our employees on April 15, 2009.

inTEST CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

**(5) RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER CHARGES** (Continued)

Also on April 8, 2009, we approved the closure of our Japanese operation, which is part of our Mechanical Products segment. The four full-time and one part-time employees were notified of this planned closure on April 24, 2009. Three full-time employees were terminated on May 31, 2009. No one-time termination benefits were paid to these employees or will be paid to the current employees when they are terminated. We currently expect to terminate the final two employees by the end of the third quarter of 2009. We currently estimate facility closure costs of approximately \$28 for our Japanese operation. We expect to vacate our Japanese facility at the end of the third quarter of 2009.

On April 14, 2009, we approved reductions in workforce in our Singaporean operation, which is also part of our Mechanical Products segment, of 8 employees and notified these employees of their planned termination on April 20, 2009. We terminated four employees on May 15, 2009, one employee on May 31, 2009, and three employees on June 30, 2009. We incurred approximately \$51 in total costs related to this action for one-time termination benefits. We incurred these costs during the second quarter of 2009. In connection with this action, we plan to centralize manufacturing of all mechanical products in our Cherry Hill, New Jersey operation.

The reductions in force noted above for our Japanese and Singaporean operations totaled 13 employees, representing 26% of the employees in our Mechanical Products segment.

These actions (collectively, the "Q2 2009 MP Plan") were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to current business conditions. We expect that the completed actions in this segment will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$1,538.

***Electrical Products Segment Restructuring***

On April 27, 2009, we approved workforce reductions in our Electrical Products segment (the "Q2 2009 EP Workforce Reduction"). On April 30, 2009, we terminated 10 employees and an additional staff person was terminated on May 15, 2009, which represented 61% of the employees in this segment. We incurred approximately \$77 in total costs related to these actions for one-time termination benefits. We incurred these costs during the second quarter of 2009.

These actions were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to current business conditions. We expect that the completed actions in this segment will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$779.

***Corporate Segment Restructuring***

On April 8, 2009, we approved a reduction in workforce in our Corporate segment of one employee which represented approximately 20% of the employees in this segment (the "Q2 2009 Corporate Workforce Reduction"). We incurred approximately \$6 in total costs related to this action for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the second quarter of 2009. We also approved a reduction in the base salary of our Executive Chairman of approximately \$152. We completed the communications of these actions to our employees on April 15, 2009. These actions were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to current business conditions. We expect that the completed actions in this segment will

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$202.

Our restructuring costs for the six months ended June 30, 2009 are summarized as follows:

	Q3 2008 MP <u>Plan</u>	Q4 2008 MP <u>Plan</u>	Q3 2008 EP <u>Plan</u>	Q4 2008 EP <u>Plan</u>	Sub-Total <b><u>2008</u></b> <b><u>Plans</u></b>
Balance - January 1, 2009	\$ 72	\$ 28	\$ 3	\$ 38	\$141
Accruals for (reversals of) one-time termination benefits	10	-	(3)	(5)	2
Severance and other cash payments related to one-time termination benefits	<u>(65)</u>	<u>(23)</u>	<u>-</u>	<u>(33)</u>	<u>(121)</u>
)	)	)	)	)	)
Balance - June 30, 2009	<u>\$ 17</u>	<u>\$ 5</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ -</u>	<u>\$ 22</u>

- 14 -

inTEST CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

**(5) RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER CHARGES** (Continued)

	Q1 2009 TP <u>Workforce</u> <u>Reduction</u>	Q2 2009 TP <u>Workforce</u> <u>Reduction</u>	Q2 2009 MP <u>Plan</u>	Q2 2009 EP <u>Workforce</u> <u>Reduction</u>	Q2 2009 Corporate <u>Workforce</u> <u>Reduction</u>	Sub-Total <b><u>2009</u></b> <b><u>Plans</u></b>	Total <b><u>All Plans</u></b>
Balance - January 1, 2009	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 141
Accruals for one-time termination benefits	60	62	122	77	6	327	329
Severance and other cash payments related to one-time termination benefits	<u>(60)</u>	<u>(62)</u>	<u>(113)</u>	<u>(66)</u>	<u>(6)</u>	<u>(307)</u>	<u>(428)</u>
)	)	)	)	)	)	)	)

Balance - June 30, 2009	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 9	\$ 11	\$ -	\$ 20	\$ 42
-------------------------	------	------	------	-------	------	-------	-------

**2008*****Mechanical Products Segment Restructuring***

On June 30, 2008, we announced that we were reducing the workforce in our Mechanical Products segment by 18 employees, representing 18% of the total employees in this segment, and implementing a reduced work week for our manufacturing facility in Amerang, Germany (the "Q2 2008 MP Workforce Reduction"). The total costs incurred related to this action were \$200. These costs represented one-time termination benefits. This action was completed in the third quarter of 2008. We expect that the completed Q2 2008 MP Workforce Reduction will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$1,378.

On September 12, 2008, we approved a restructuring plan for our Mechanical Products segment (the "Q3 2008 MP Plan"). As a part of this plan, we permanently closed our manufacturing facility in Amerang, Germany and our engineering and sales office in the U.K. In addition to these facility closures, we reduced our domestic workforce by 4 employees, which represented approximately 7% of the total employees in this segment. We also implemented temporary salary reductions for certain employees of this segment, temporarily reduced the fees paid to members of our Board of Directors and implemented permanent reductions for expenses related to our use of third-party vendors. Effective January 1, 2009, we implemented additional temporary and permanent cost reductions associated with our employee benefit plans. This includes the temporary suspension of our 401(k) matching contributions and the implementation of an employee contribution of a portion of the cost of medical coverage for our domestic employees in this segment. The total costs incurred related to these actions were \$331 which is made up of \$140 for one-time termination benefits and \$191 for facility closure costs. These actions were completed during the fourth quarter of 2008. We expect that the completed Q3 2008 MP Plan will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$2,176.

On December 9, 2008, we approved a further reduction in workforce in our Mechanical Products segment of 9 employees, representing approximately 11% of the total employees in this segment (the "Q4 2008 MP Plan"). We incurred approximately \$101 in total costs related to this action for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the fourth quarter of 2008. In addition to the reduction in workforce, we reduced by 40% the hours worked by three employees and reduced by 25% the salary of two employees. These actions were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to continued operating losses. These actions were completed during the fourth quarter of 2008. We expect that the completed Q4 2008 MP Plan will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$798.

***Electrical Products Segment Restructuring***

On September 12, 2008, we approved a restructuring plan for our Electrical Products segment (the "Q3 2008 EP Plan"). As a part of this plan, we reduced our workforce by 3 employees, which represented approximately 9% of the total employees in this segment. We also implemented temporary salary reductions for certain employees of this segment, and, effective January 1, 2009, we implemented additional temporary and permanent cost reductions associated with our employee benefit plans, similar to those discussed above for the Q3 2008 MP Plan. The total costs incurred related to this action were \$8, which represented one-time termination benefits. This action was completed in the third quarter of 2008. We expect that the completed Q3 2008 EP Plan will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$546.

inTEST CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

**(5) RESTRUCTURING AND OTHER CHARGES** (Continued)

On November 19, 2008, we approved a further reduction in workforce in our Electrical Product segment of 10 employees, representing approximately 36% of the total employees in this segment (the "Q4 2008 EP Plan"). We incurred \$77 in total costs related to this action for one-time termination benefits. This action was taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to continued operating losses. These actions were completed during the fourth quarter of 2008. We expect that the completed Q4 2008 EP Plan will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$646.

Our restructuring costs for the six months ended June 30, 2008 are summarized as follows:

	Q2 2008 MP Workforce <u>Reduction</u>
	\$ -
Balance - January 1, 2008	
	200
Accruals in the six months ended June 30, 2008	
	<u>—</u>
Severance and other cash payments	
	<u>\$200</u>
Balance - June 30, 2008	

**(6) MAJOR CUSTOMERS**

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, no customer accounted for 10% or more of our consolidated net revenues. Texas Instruments Incorporated accounted for 18% of our consolidated net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008. While all three of our operating segments sold products to this customer, these revenues were primarily generated by our Mechanical Products segment. Teradyne, Inc. accounted for 11% of our consolidated net revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008. While all three of our operating segments sold products to this customer, these revenues were primarily generated by our Electrical Products and Mechanical Products segments. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, no other customer accounted for 10% or more of our consolidated net revenues.

**(7) INVENTORIES**

Inventories held at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008 were comprised of the following:

	June 30,	Dec. 31,
	2009	2008
Raw materials	\$2,470	\$3,145
Work in process	303	298
Inventory consigned to others	150	165
Finished goods	<u>349</u>	<u>585</u>
	<u>\$3,272</u>	<u>\$4,193</u>

#### (8) NOTES PAYABLE TO STOCKHOLDER

As a result of our acquisition of Sigma, as more fully discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K, we have non-negotiable promissory notes in an aggregate principal amount of \$1,525 and \$1,525 outstanding at June 30, 2009 and December 31, 2008, respectively. The notes bear interest at the prime rate plus 1.25% and are secured by the assets of Sigma. Interest is payable annually on the anniversary of closing. Principal is payable in four equal annual installments beginning on October 6, 2010. During the first six months of 2009, we recorded \$34 of interest expense related to these notes payable, none of which was paid during 2009.

- 16 -

#### inTEST CORPORATION NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

#### (9) LEASEHOLD IMPROVEMENTS AND DEFERRED RENT

In accordance with FASB Technical Bulletin No. 88-1, *Issues Relating to Accounting for Leases*, we record tenant improvements made to our leased facilities based on the amount of the total cost to construct the improvements regardless of whether a portion of that cost was paid through an allowance provided by the facility's landlord. The amount of the allowance, if any, is recorded as deferred rent. We amortize deferred rent on a straight-line basis over the lease term and record the amortization as a reduction of rent expense. Amortization of deferred rent was \$59 for the six months ended June 30 during both 2009 and 2008. In addition, certain of our operating leases contain predetermined fixed escalations of minimum rentals during the original lease terms. For these leases, we recognize the related rental expense on a straight-line basis over

the life of the lease and record the difference between the amounts charged to operations and amounts paid as accrued rent which is included in other accrued expenses on our balance sheet.

## (10) GUARANTEES

### Product Warranties

Warranty expense for the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008 was \$35 and \$57, respectively. The level of our product warranty charges both in absolute dollars and as a percentage of net revenues is affected by a number of factors including the cyclical nature of demand in the ATE industry, the prototype nature of much of our business, the complex nature of many of our products, and, at our discretion, providing warranty repairs or replacements to customers after the contracted warranty period has expired in order to promote strong customer relations.

The following table sets forth the changes in the liability for product warranties for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

	\$281
Balance - January 1, 2009	
	(59)
Payments made under product warranty	
	<u>35</u>
Accruals for product warranty	
	<u>\$257</u>
Balance - June 30, 2009	

### U.K. Lease Guarantee

In connection with the closure of our U.K. manufacturing operation, as more fully discussed in Note 13 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K, we have entered into a sub-leasing arrangement for the facility which was occupied by this operation prior to its closure. As a condition of the sub-lease, the landlord of this facility has required that we guarantee the performance of the sub-lessee with respect to the lease payments. We have performed a credit analysis of the sub-lessee and believe that a default by the sub-lessee with regard to its obligations under the sub-lease agreement is remote. However, as of June 30, 2009, there was approximately \$136 of future payments that we would be obligated to make if the sub-lessee were to default and we were unable to enter into a new sub-lease agreement with another party. Our original lease on this facility extends through December 31, 2010. As of June 30, 2009, we have not recorded any amounts in our financial statements related to this guarantee.

## (11) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

As of June 30, 2009, we have outstanding stock options and unvested restricted stock awards granted under stock-based employee compensation plans that are described more fully in Note 17 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K.

As of June 30, 2009, total compensation expense to be recognized in future periods was \$255. The weighted average period over which this expense is expected to be recognized is 1.9 years. All of this expense is related

to nonvested shares of restricted stock.

- 17 -

**inTEST CORPORATION**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
(Unaudited)

**(11) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION (Continued)**

Restricted Stock Awards

We record compensation expense for restricted stock awards (nonvested shares) based on the quoted market price of our stock at the grant date and amortize the expense over the vesting period. Restricted stock awards generally vest over four years. The following table shows the allocation of the compensation expense we recorded during the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively, related to nonvested shares:

	Three Months Ended <u>June</u> <u>30,</u> <u>2009</u>		Six Months Ended <u>June</u> <u>30,</u> <u>2009</u>	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Cost of revenues	\$ 2	\$ 6	\$ 3	\$ 12
Selling expenses	2	8	5	16
Engineering and product development expense	3	12	11	25
General and administrative expenses	<u>15</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>169</u>
	<u>\$22</u>	<u>\$111</u>	<u>\$66</u>	<u>\$222</u>

There was no compensation expense capitalized in the six months ended June 30, 2009 or 2008.

The following table summarizes the activity related to nonvested shares for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

<u>Number</u> <u>of Shares</u>	<u>Weighted</u> <u>Average</u> <u>Grant</u> <u>Date</u> <u>Fair Value</u>
-----------------------------------	---

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

Nonvested shares outstanding, January 1, 2009	119,000	\$4.14
	-	-
Granted	(23,000)	4.05
Vested		
	<u>(15,750)</u>	4.09
Forfeited		
	)	
	<u>80,250</u>	4.17
Nonvested shares outstanding, June 30, 2009		

Stock Options

The following table summarizes the stock option activity for the six months ended June 30, 2009:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average <u>Exercise</u> <u>Price</u>
Options outstanding, January 1, 2009 (420,000 exercisable)	420,000	\$3.44
	-	-
Granted		
	-	-
Exercised		
	<u>(7,000)</u>	3.25
Forfeited/Expired		
	)	
	<u>413,000</u>	3.45
Options outstanding, June 30, 2009 (413,000 exercisable)		

**(12) EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS**

We have a defined contribution 401(k) plan (the "inTEST 401(k) Plan") for our employees who work in the U.S. As a part of this plan, we match a portion of employee contributions. This plan, including our employer matching contributions, is more fully discussed in Note 18 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K.

(Unaudited)

(12)

**EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS (Continued)**

In addition to the employer matching contributions for which employees of our Temptronic subsidiary are eligible, upon the termination of the Temptronic Equity Participation Plan ("EPP"), we acknowledged that it was our intention to contribute \$3,000 in the aggregate to the inTEST 401(k) Plan as a form of profit sharing (not to exceed \$300 per year) for the benefit of Temptronic employees. The amount of these profit sharing contributions approximates the amount that we had been committed to contribute to the EPP as of its termination date. All such profit sharing contributions are at the discretion of management, and will be allocated to employees annually in essentially the same manner in which the shares held by the EPP had been allocated. The vesting provisions for these contributions will be the same as those of the inTEST 401(k) Plan. Accruals for profit sharing contributions totaling \$0 and \$150 were made during the six month periods ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, respectively. Through December 31, 2008, we had made a total of \$1,328 in profit sharing contributions. Effective January 1, 2009, we temporarily suspended profit sharing contributions due to operating losses being incurred by Temptronic. We have historically funded these contributions through the use of treasury shares during the quarter subsequent to the quarter in which we record the profit sharing liability, although management has the discretion to use cash to fund these contributions. Our current intention is to use cash to fund these contributions when our stock price is below \$3.00 per share.

**(13) SEGMENT INFORMATION**

We have three reportable segments, which are also our reporting units: Mechanical Products (formerly known as Manipulator and Docking Hardware), Thermal Products (formerly known as Temperature Management) and Electrical Products (formerly known as Tester Interface).

The Mechanical Products segment includes the operations of our Cherry Hill, New Jersey manufacturing facility as well as the operations of three of our foreign subsidiaries: inTEST KK (Japan), inTEST PTE, Limited (Singapore) and Intestlogic GmbH (Germany). As discussed more fully in Note 5, we closed Intestlogic GmbH during the fourth quarter of 2008 and we are in the process of closing inTEST KK. In addition, we reduced our workforce significantly at inTEST Pte and are in the process of centralizing the manufacturing of all Mechanical Products in our Cherry Hill, New Jersey manufacturing facility. Diamond, which we acquired in July 2008, as more fully discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K, is included in the operations of our Cherry Hill, NJ manufacturing facility. Sales of this segment consist primarily of manipulator and docking hardware products, which we design, manufacture and market, as well as certain other related products which we design and market, but which are manufactured by third parties. In addition, this segment provides post warranty service and support for various ATE equipment.

The Thermal Products segment includes the operations of Temptronic Corporation in Sharon, Massachusetts, Temptronic GmbH (Germany) and Sigma, which we acquired in October 2008, as more fully discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K. Sales of this segment consist primarily of temperature management systems which we design, manufacture and market under our Temptronic and Sigma Systems product lines. In addition, this segment provides post warranty service and support.

The Electrical Products segment includes the operations of inTEST Silicon Valley Corporation. Sales of this segment consist primarily of tester interface products which we design, manufacture and market.

We operate our business worldwide, and all three segments sell their products both domestically and internationally.

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

All three segments sell to semiconductor manufacturers, third-party test and assembly houses and ATE manufacturers. Our Thermal Products segment also sells into a variety of industries outside of the semiconductor industry, including the aerospace, automotive, communications, consumer electronics, defense and medical industries. Intercompany pricing between segments is either a multiple of cost for component parts or list price for finished goods.

	Three Months Ended <u>June</u> <u>30,</u>		Six Months Ended <u>June 30,</u>	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Net revenues from unaffiliated customers:				
	\$2,124	\$ 4,156	\$3,135	\$ 9,182
Mechanical Products				
	2,466	4,753	5,719	9,465
Thermal Products				
	442	2,871	943	4,818
Electrical Products				
	<u>(360)</u>	<u>(283)</u>	<u>(730)</u>	<u>(664)</u>
Intersegment sales	)	)	)	)
	<u>\$4,672</u>	<u>\$11,497</u>	<u>\$9,067</u>	<u>\$22,801</u>

- 19 -

inTEST CORPORATION  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(Unaudited)

(13) SEGMENT INFORMATION

(Continued)

	Three Months Ended <u>June</u> <u>30,</u>		Six Months Ended <u>June 30,</u>	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Intersegment sales:				
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Mechanical Products				
	118	109	386	442
Thermal Products				
	<u>242</u>	<u>174</u>	<u>344</u>	<u>222</u>
Electrical Products				

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

	<u>\$360</u>	<u>\$283</u>	<u>\$730</u>	<u>\$664</u>
Earnings (loss) before income taxes:				
	\$ (902)	\$(2,026)	\$(2,523)	\$(3,227)
Mechanical Products	(659)	314	(1,260)	459
Thermal Products	(415)	458	(870)	361
Electrical Products	<u>16</u>	<u>(56)</u>	<u>(61)</u>	<u>(167)</u>
Corporate	)	)	)	)
	<u>\$(1,960)</u>	<u>\$(1,310)</u>	<u>\$(4,714)</u>	<u>\$(2,574)</u>
	)	)	)	)
Net earnings (loss):				
	\$ (894)	\$(2,072)	\$(2,516)	\$(3,336)
Mechanical Products	(659)	313	(1,260)	459
Thermal Products	(415)	458	(870)	361
Electrical Products	<u>16</u>	<u>(56)</u>	<u>(61)</u>	<u>(167)</u>
Corporate	)	)	)	)
	<u>\$(1,952)</u>	<u>\$(1,357)</u>	<u>\$(4,707)</u>	<u>\$(2,683)</u>
	)	)	)	)
			June 30,	Dec. 31,
			<u>2009</u>	2008
Identifiable assets:				
			\$ 5,107	\$ 7,128
Mechanical Products			8,886	12,018
Thermal Products			<u>704</u>	<u>1,346</u>
Electrical Products			<u>\$14,697</u>	<u>\$20,492</u>

The following table provides information about our geographic areas of operation. Net revenues from unaffiliated customers are based on the location of the selling entity.

	Three Months Ended <u>June 30,</u> <u>2009</u>		Six Months Ended <u>June 30,</u> <u>2009</u>	
	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2008</u>
Net revenues from unaffiliated customers:				
U.S.	\$3,739	\$ 9,063	\$7,110	\$17,093
Europe	267	1,018	695	2,642
	<u>666</u>	<u>1,416</u>	<u>1,262</u>	<u>3,066</u>
Asia-Pacific	<u>\$4,672</u>	<u>\$11,497</u>	<u>\$9,067</u>	<u>\$22,801</u>

	June 30, Dec. 31, <u>2009</u> 2008	
Long-lived assets:		
U.S.	\$289	\$474
Europe	121	125
	<u>13</u>	<u>18</u>
Asia-Pacific	<u>\$423</u>	<u>\$617</u>

- 20 -

---

inTEST CORPORATION

Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

## OPERATIONS

Overview

This MD&A should be read in conjunction with the accompanying consolidated financial statements which have been prepared assuming that we will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, our recurring losses from operations and deteriorating cash flow raise substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments that might result from the outcome of this uncertainty. See, also, Part I, Item 1A - "Risk Factors" of our 2008 Form 10-K.

Our business and results of operations are substantially dependent upon the demand for ATE by semiconductor manufacturers and companies that specialize in the testing of ICs. Demand for ATE is driven by semiconductor manufacturers that are opening new, or expanding existing, semiconductor fabrication facilities or upgrading existing equipment, which in turn is dependent upon the current and anticipated market demand for semiconductors and products incorporating semiconductors. In the past, the semiconductor industry has been highly cyclical with recurring periods of oversupply, which often have a severe impact on the semiconductor industry's demand for ATE, including the products we manufacture. This can cause wide fluctuations in both our orders and net revenues and, depending on our ability to react quickly to these shifts in demand, can significantly impact our results of operations. These industry cycles are difficult to predict and in recent years have become more volatile and, in most cases, shorter in duration. Because the industry cycles are generally characterized by sequential periods of growth or declines in orders and net revenues during each cycle, year over year comparisons of operating results may not always be as meaningful as comparisons of periods at similar points in either up or down cycles. In addition, during both downward and upward cycles in our industry, in any given quarter, the trend in both our orders and net revenues can be erratic. This can occur, for example, when orders are canceled or currently scheduled delivery dates are accelerated or postponed by a significant customer or when customer forecasts and general business conditions fluctuate during a quarter.

During the first half of 2009, the deterioration in the global economy that began in 2008, continued to have significant negative impact on the demand for ATE. While all of our product segments experienced declines in the demand for their products during these periods, some experienced greater reductions in demand than others. The declines in bookings between the first quarter and the fourth quarter of 2008 were 73% in the Mechanical Products segment and 85% in the Electrical Products segment. These declines continued into the first quarter of 2009, with Mechanical Products and Electrical Products segment's bookings down 79% and 93%, respectively, from the first quarter of 2008 or 24% and 48%, respectively, from the fourth quarter of 2008 to the first quarter of 2009. We believe the significant declines in the bookings of both of these segments is due to the reduction in demand for ATE resulting from falling ATE utilization rates at our customers, who have experienced weakened demand for their products due to the global economic recession. While we presently see some positive indicators in both our Mechanical Products and our Electrical Products segments and while our bookings for the second quarter of 2009 in these segments increased 90% and 25%, respectively, as compared to the first quarter of 2009, our net revenues in both of these segments are still at levels that are significantly lower than those experienced in the comparable period in 2008. Consequently, we remain focused on methods to reduce both our operating losses and our cash burn.

Our Thermal Products (formerly known as Temperature Management) segment experienced increased bookings in the first half of 2008 and then reduced bookings in the second half of the year. The fourth quarter 2008 bookings for the Thermal Products segment, adjusted to eliminate the impact of the acquisition of Sigma Systems which was acquired in October 2008, declined 39% from the second quarter of 2008. This trend continued into the first quarter of 2009, with Thermal Products segment bookings, adjusted to eliminate the impact of Sigma, down 68% from the second quarter of 2008. We believe the lower level of declines experienced by the Thermal Products segment reflect the

diversification of industries outside semiconductor served by the Thermal Products segment. However, while we have experienced the aforementioned increases in bookings in our other two segments during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the first quarter of the year, total bookings for our Thermal Products segment, declined 9% during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the first quarter of the year. The decline in bookings in the first half of 2009 in our Thermal Products segment impacted both their semiconductor and non-semiconductor bookings, although the decline in the non-semiconductor bookings exceeded the decline in the semiconductor bookings. In the second quarter of 2009, our Thermal Products segment's semiconductor bookings increased over the level achieved in the first quarter, while its non-semiconductor bookings declined significantly from the level achieved in the first quarter. We believe this trend reflects that many of our non-semiconductor customers are continuing to see declines in demand resulting from the global economic recession, while many of our semiconductor customers are seeing growth in demand.

We believe that purchases of most of our products are typically made from semiconductor manufacturers' capital expenditure budgets. Certain portions of our business, however, are generally less dependent upon the capital expenditure budgets of the end users. For example, purchases of certain related ATE interface products, such as sockets and interface boards, which must

- 21 -

#### inTEST CORPORATION

#### Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

. (Continued)

be replaced periodically, are typically made from the end users' operating budgets. In addition, purchases of certain of our products, such as docking hardware, for the purpose of upgrading or improving the utilization, performance and efficiency of existing ATE, tend to be counter cyclical to sales of new ATE. Moreover, we believe a portion of our sales of thermal products results from the increasing need for temperature testing of circuit boards and specialized components that do not have the design or quantity to be tested in an electronic device handler. In addition, in recent years we have begun to market our Thermostream temperature management systems in industries outside semiconductor test, such as the automotive, aerospace, medical and telecommunications industries. We believe that these industries usually are less cyclical than the ATE industry.

While the majority of our orders and net revenues are derived from the ATE market, our operating results do not always follow the overall trend in the ATE market in any given period. We believe that these anomalies may be driven by a variety of changes within the ATE market, including, for example, changing product requirements, longer time periods between new product offerings by OEMs and changes in customer buying patterns. In particular, demand for our mechanical and electrical products, which are sold exclusively within the ATE industry, and our operating margins in these product segments have been affected by shifts in the competitive landscape, including (i) customers placing heightened emphasis on shorter lead times (which places increased demands on our available engineering and production capacity increasing unit costs) and ordering in smaller quantities (which prevents us from acquiring component materials in larger volumes at lower cost and increasing unit costs), (ii) the increasing practice of OEM manufacturers to specify other suppliers as primary vendors, with less frequent opportunities to compete for such designations, (iii) the increased role of third-party test and assembly houses in the ATE market and their requirement of products with a greater range of use at the lowest cost, and (iv) customer supply line management groups demanding lower prices and spreading purchases across multiple vendors. These shifts in market practices have had, and may continue to have, varying levels of impact on our operating results, which are difficult to quantify from

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

period to period. Management has taken, and will continue to take, such actions it deems appropriate to adjust our strategies, products and operations to counter such shifts in market practices as they become evident.

Net Revenues and Orders

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, a breakdown of the net revenues from unaffiliated customers both by product segment and geographic area (based on the location of the selling entity).

	(in 000's)				
	Three Months			Six Months	
	Ended			Ended	
	June 30,		March	June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2009	2008
Net revenues from unaffiliated customers:					
	\$2,124	\$ 4,156	\$1,011	\$3,135	\$ 9,182
Mechanical Products					
	2,466	4,753	3,253	5,719	9,465
Thermal Products					
	442	2,871	501	943	4,818
Electrical Products					
	<u>(360)</u>	<u>(283)</u>	<u>(370)</u>	<u>(730)</u>	<u>(664)</u>
Intersegment sales	)	)	)	)	)
	<u>\$4,672</u>	<u>\$11,497</u>	<u>\$4,395</u>	<u>\$9,067</u>	<u>\$22,801</u>
Intersegment sales:					
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
Mechanical Products					
	118	109	268	386	442
Thermal Products					
	<u>242</u>	<u>174</u>	<u>102</u>	<u>344</u>	<u>222</u>
Electrical Products					
	<u>\$360</u>	<u>\$283</u>	<u>\$370</u>	<u>\$730</u>	<u>\$664</u>
Net revenues from affiliated customers (net of intersegment sales)					
	\$2,124	\$ 4,156	\$1,011	\$3,135	\$ 9,182
Mechanical Products					
	2,348	4,644	2,985	5,333	9,023
Thermal Products					

	<u>200</u>	<u>2,697</u>	<u>399</u>	<u>599</u>	<u>4,596</u>
Electrical Products					
	<u>\$4,672</u>	<u>\$11,497</u>	<u>\$4,395</u>	<u>\$9,067</u>	<u>\$22,801</u>
Net revenues from unaffiliated customers:					
	\$3,739	\$ 9,063	\$3,371	\$7,110	\$17,093
U.S.					
	267	1,018	428	695	2,642
Europe					
	<u>666</u>	<u>1,416</u>	<u>596</u>	<u>1,262</u>	<u>3,066</u>
Asia-Pacific					
	<u>\$4,672</u>	<u>\$11,497</u>	<u>\$4,395</u>	<u>\$9,067</u>	<u>\$22,801</u>

- 22 -

## inTEST CORPORATION

**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.** (Continued)

Our consolidated net revenues for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 decreased \$6.8 million or 59% as compared to the same period in 2008. During the second quarter of 2009, we continued to experience reduced levels of demand in all of our product segments. Our net revenues (net of intersegment sales) of our Mechanical, Thermal and Electrical Product segments declined \$2.0 million or 49%, \$2.3 million or 50% and \$2.5 million or 93%, respectively, as compared to the second quarter of 2008. Adjusted to exclude the net revenues of Sigma Systems Corp. ("Sigma") which we acquired in October 2008 as further discussed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K, our consolidated net revenues would have declined \$7.6 million or 66% and the net revenues (net of intersegment sales) of our Thermal Products segment in which Sigma is included, would have declined \$3.1 million or 67% from the comparable period in 2008. We believe these declines reflect many of the factors discussed in the Overview.

Total orders for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 were \$4.6 million compared to \$3.8 million for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 and \$10.0 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2008. Adjusted to exclude the orders of Sigma, total orders for the quarters ended June 30, 2009 and March 31, 2009 would have been \$3.6 million and \$2.9 million, respectively. We cannot be certain what the level of our orders or net revenues will be in any future period.

**Backlog**

At June 30, 2009, our backlog of unfilled orders for all products was approximately \$1.7 million compared with approximately \$1.8 million at March 31, 2009 and \$3.9 million at June 30, 2008. Our backlog includes customer orders which we have accepted, substantially all of which we expect to deliver in 2009. While backlog is calculated on the basis of firm purchase orders, a customer may cancel an order or accelerate or

postpone currently scheduled delivery dates. Our backlog may be affected by the tendency of customers to rely on short lead times available from suppliers, including us, in periods of depressed demand. In periods of increased demand, there is a tendency towards longer lead times that has the effect of increasing backlog. As a result, our backlog at a particular date is not necessarily indicative of sales for any future period.

### Business Restructuring Initiatives

In early 2008, we commenced a review of our operations to more aggressively streamline our cost structure in line with the current business environment. The review of our operations is on-going. As part of this process, we are focusing on methods to increase our profitability worldwide, including pursuing other types of revenue streams and additional growth opportunities. The actions we have taken to date in 2009 are described in detail below. Please refer to "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" in our 2008 Form 10-K for a detailed description of the actions taken during 2008.

In addition, in April 2009, we retained a financial advisor to assist us in assessing our strategic alternatives to enhance operating performance and shareholder value. Under present market conditions and with our present resources, our goals remain to conserve cash, reduce costs and generate sales of our products. We also continue to consider other alternatives, however, if we are not successful in accomplishing these goals or alternatives, we may be forced to seek relief through a filing under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or liquidate and dissolve our business. See "Risk Factors" in our 2008 Form 10-K. We will likely incur additional restructuring charges in future periods, however, we cannot predict the amount of such charges at this time.

### 2009 Restructuring Actions to Date

Effective March 1, 2009, we implemented salary reductions in each of our three product segments by means of a one-week furlough out of every four weeks in the case of the Mechanical Products and Electrical Products segments (a 25% reduction) and a one-week furlough each month in the case of the Thermal Products segment (a 23% reduction). In May 2009, we modified the one-week furloughs we implemented on March 1, 2009. In our Mechanical Products and Electrical Products segments, for employees earning over \$75,000 annually, we changed from a one-week furlough in every four weeks to a 25% temporary salary reduction. In our Thermal Products segment, for employees earning over \$70,000 annually, we changed from a one-week furlough per month to a 23% temporary salary reduction. In cases where the 25% temporary salary reduction would reduce an employee's annual salary below \$75,000 (or where the 23% temporary salary reduction would reduce an employee's annual salary below \$70,000), we did not reduce the employee's annual salary below \$75,000 (or \$70,000). In addition to these temporary salary reductions and periods of furlough, we have also reduced our workforce and made decisions about facility closures in each of our three product segments as described below.

- 23 -

## inTEST CORPORATION

### Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

. (Continued)

#### Thermal Products Segment

- During the first six months of 2009, we approved three separate workforce reductions in our Thermal Products segment. On January 15, 2009, we approved a reduction of 5 employees, representing approximately 6% of the total employees in this segment and on February 19, 2009, we approved a reduction in workforce of 6 employees, representing approximately 8% of the total employees in this segment (collectively the "Q1 2009 TP Workforce Reduction"). We completed the communications of these actions to our employees on January 22, 2009 and February 19,

## Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

2009, respectively. We incurred approximately \$60,000 in total costs related to these actions for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the first quarter of 2009. On April 8, 2009, we approved a reduction of 11 employees, representing approximately 15% of the total employees in this segment (the "Q2 2009 TP Workforce Reduction"). We completed the communication of this action to our employees on April 15, 2009. We incurred approximately \$62,000 in total costs related to these actions for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the second quarter of 2009.

These actions were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to current business conditions. We expect that the completed actions in this segment will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$1.3 million.

*Mechanical Products Segment* - On April 8, 2009, we approved a reduction in workforce in our Mechanical Products segment of 13 employees which represented approximately 20% of the employees in this segment. We incurred approximately \$71,000 in total costs related to this action for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the second quarter of 2009. We completed the communication of this action to our employees on April 15, 2009.

Also on April 8, 2009, we approved the closure of our Japanese operation, which is part of our Mechanical Products segment. The four full-time and one part-time employees were notified of this planned closure on April 24, 2009. Three full-time employees were terminated on May 31, 2009. No one-time termination benefits were paid to these employees or will be paid to the current employees when they are terminated. We currently expect to terminate the final two employees by the end of the third quarter of 2009. We currently estimate facility closure costs of approximately \$28,000 for our Japanese operation. We expect to vacate our Japanese facility at the end of the third quarter of 2009.

On April 14, 2009, we approved reductions in workforce in our Singaporean operation, which is also part of our Mechanical Products segment, of 8 employees and notified these employees of their planned termination on April 20, 2009. We terminated four employees on May 15, 2009, one employee on May 31, 2009, and three employees on June 30, 2009. We incurred approximately \$51,000 in total costs related to this action for one-time termination benefits. We incurred these costs during the second quarter of 2009. In connection with this action, we plan to centralize manufacturing of mechanical products in our Cherry Hill, New Jersey operation.

The reductions in force noted above for our Japanese and Singaporean operations totaled 13 employees, representing 26% of the employees in our Mechanical Products segment.

These actions (collectively, the "Q2 2009 MP Plan") were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to current business conditions. We expect that the completed actions in this segment will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$1.5 million.

*Electrical Products Segment* - On April 27, 2009, we approved workforce reductions in our Electrical Products segment (the "Q2 2009 EP Workforce Reduction"). On April 30, 2009, we terminated 10 employees and an additional staff person was terminated on May 15, 2009, which represented 61% of the employees in this segment. We incurred approximately \$77,000 in total costs related to these actions for one-time termination benefits. We incurred these costs during the second quarter of 2009.

These actions were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to current business conditions. We expect that the completed actions in this segment will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$779,000.

*Corporate Segment* - On April 8, 2009, we approved a reduction in workforce in our Corporate segment of one employee which represented approximately 20% of the employees in this segment (the "Q2 2009 Corporate Workforce Reduction"). We incurred approximately \$6,000 in total costs related to this action for one-time termination benefits. These costs were incurred in the second quarter of 2009. We also approved a reduction in the base salary of our Executive Chairman of approximately \$152,000. We completed the communications of these actions to our employees on April 15, 2009. These actions were taken to reduce the operating expenses of this segment in response to current business conditions. We expect that the completed actions in this segment will reduce our annual operating expense structure by approximately \$202,000.

- 24 -

### inTEST CORPORATION

## Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS. (Continued)

### Product/Customer Mix

Our three product segments each have multiple products that we design, manufacture and sell to our customers. The gross margin on each product we offer is impacted by a number of factors including the amount of intellectual property (such as patents) utilized in the product, the number of units ordered by the customer at one time, or the amount of inTEST designed and fabricated material included in our product compared with the amount of third-party designed and fabricated material included in our product. The weight of each of these factors, as well as the current market conditions, determines the ultimate sales price we can obtain for our products and the resulting gross margin.

The mix of products we sell in any period is ultimately determined by our customers' needs. Therefore, the mix of products sold in any given period can change significantly from the prior period. As a result, our consolidated gross margin can be significantly impacted in any given period by a change in the mix of products sold in that period.

We sell most of our products to semiconductor manufacturers and third-party test and assembly houses (end user sales) and to ATE manufacturers (OEM sales) who ultimately resell our equipment with theirs to semiconductor manufacturers. Our Thermal Products segment also sells into a variety of other industries including the aerospace, automotive, communications, consumer electronics, defense, and medical industries. The mix of customers during any given period will affect our gross margin due to differing sales discounts and commissions. For the six months ended June 30, 2009 and 2008, our OEM sales as a percentage of net revenues were 6% and 21%, respectively.

OEM sales generally have a lower gross margin than end user sales, as OEM sales historically have had a more significant discount. Our current net operating margins on most OEM sales, however, are only slightly less than margins on end user sales because of the payment of third party sales commissions on most end user sales. We have also continued to experience demands from our OEM customers' supply line managers to reduce our sales prices to them. If we cannot further reduce our manufacturing and operating costs, these pricing pressures will continue to reduce our gross and operating margins.

#### *Risk Factors and Forward-Looking Statements*

In addition to historical information, this discussion and analysis contains statements relating to possible future events and results that are considered "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements can often be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology such as "believes," "expects," "intends," "may," "will," "should" or "anticipates" or similar terminology. These statements involve risks and uncertainties and are based on various assumptions. Although we believe that our expectations are based on reasonable assumptions, investors and prospective investors are cautioned that such statements are only projections, and there cannot be any assurance that these events or results will occur.

Information about the primary risks and uncertainties that could cause our actual future results to differ materially from our historic results or the results described in the forward-looking statements made in this report or presented elsewhere by Management from time to time are included in Part I, Item 1A - "Risk Factors" of our 2008 Form 10-K. Material changes to such risk factors may be reported in subsequent Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q in Part II, Item 1A. There have been no such changes from the risk factors set forth in our 2008 Form 10-K.

#### **Results of Operations**

All of our products are used by semiconductor manufacturers in conjunction with ATE in the testing of ICs. Consequently, the results of operations for each product segment are generally affected by the same factors. Separate discussions and analyses for each product segment would be repetitive and obscure any unique factors that affected the results of operations of our different product segments. The discussion and analysis that follows, therefore, is presented on a consolidated basis and includes discussion of factors unique to each product segment where significant to an understanding of that segment.

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, the principal items included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as a percentage of total net revenues.

- 25 -

inTEST CORPORATION

## Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

. (Continued)

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

	Percentage of Net Revenues			
	Quarters Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2009	2008	2009	2008
	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Net revenues				
	<u>69.7</u>	<u>60.7</u>	<u>75.5</u>	<u>60.6</u>
Cost of revenues				
	<u>30.3</u>	<u>39.3</u>	<u>24.5</u>	<u>39.4</u>
Gross margin				
	22.2	19.3	24.0	18.9
Selling expense				
	12.3	12.3	14.7	12.4
Engineering and product development expense				
	29.4	17.7	33.7	18.8
General and administrative expense				
	<u>5.8</u>	<u>1.7</u>	<u>3.6</u>	<u>0.9</u>
Restructuring and other charges				
	(39.4)	(11.7)	(51.5)	(11.6)
Operating loss				
	<u>(2.6)</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>(0.4)</u>	<u>0.4</u>
Other income (expense)				
	)	)		
	(42.0)	(11.3)	(51.9)	(11.2)
Loss before income tax expense (benefit)				
	<u>(0.2)</u>	<u>0.4</u>	<u>(0.0)</u>	<u>0.5</u>
Income tax expense (benefit)				
	)	)		
	<u>(41.8)</u>	<u>(11.7)</u>	<u>(51.9)</u>	<u>(11.7)</u>
Net loss				
	)%	)%	)%	)%

Quarter Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Quarter Ended June 30, 2008

*Net Revenues.* Net revenues were \$4.7 million for the quarter ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$11.5 million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of \$6.8 million or 59%. Our net revenues during the second quarter of 2009 included \$798,000 of net revenues attributable to Sigma, which we acquired in October 2008, as previously discussed. We believe the significant decline in our net revenues during the second quarter of 2009 primarily reflects the impact which the current global economic recession has had on the demand for ATE, as discussed in the Overview.

During the second quarter of 2009, our net revenues from customers in the U.S., Europe and Asia decreased 59%, 74% and 53%, respectively, over the comparable period in 2008. Adjusted to eliminate the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the decrease in net revenues from customers in Europe and Asia would have been 68% and 53%, respectively. The higher percentage decrease from our customers in Europe primarily reflects the closure of our Intestlogic subsidiary which occurred during the fourth quarter of 2008. Sales that would have been made by our Intestlogic subsidiary are now handled by our Cherry Hill operation in the U.S.

*Gross Margin.* Gross margin was 30% for the second quarter of 2009 compared to 39% for the same period in 2008. The decrease in gross margin is primarily the result of an increase in our fixed operating costs as a percentage of net revenues. Although our fixed operating costs decreased \$670,000 in absolute dollar terms during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, these costs were not as fully absorbed due to the significantly lower net revenues levels. As a result, these costs increased to 30% of net revenues in the second quarter of 2009 from 18% of net revenues in the second quarter of 2008. The \$670,000 decrease in the absolute dollar amount of our fixed operating costs primarily represents lower salaries and benefits expense as a result of our reductions in workforce and the other compensation and benefits adjustments we have implemented as a part of our restructuring initiatives which are more fully discussed in the Overview. To a lesser extent, depreciation expense also decreased in the second quarter of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 as a result of the impairment of long-lived assets in both our Mechanical Products and Electrical Products segments during the fourth quarter of 2008.

In addition to the increase in our fixed operating costs as a percentage of net revenues, our charges for excess and obsolete inventory increased both in absolute dollar terms and as a percentage of net revenues for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. Our charges for excess and obsolete inventory were \$286,000 or 6.1% of net revenues for the second quarter of 2009 as compared to \$195,000 or 1.7% of net revenues for the comparable period in 2008. As a result of the significant and rapid decline in demand for our products which occurred in the second half of 2008, as more fully discussed in the Overview, more of our inventory now meets our objective criteria which resulted in the increase in the absolute dollar amount of these charges during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. The increases as a percentage of net revenues in our fixed operating costs and charges for excess and obsolete inventory were partially offset by a decrease in our component material costs as a percentage of net revenues during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, reflecting changes in product and customer mix.

- 26 -

## inTEST CORPORATION

### Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

. (Continued)

#### Selling Expense.

Selling expense was \$1.0 million for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$2.2 million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of \$1.2 million or 53%. The decrease in selling expense primarily reflects both a reduction in salaries and benefits expense, reflecting the aforementioned restructuring initiatives we implemented, and lower levels of commissions, as a result of the significant decline in net revenues experienced in the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2008. To a lesser extent, there was also a reduction in travel costs reflecting fewer trips, particularly to trade shows, during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the second quarter of 2008.

*Engineering and Product Development Expense.* Engineering and product development expense was \$576,000 for the

second quarter of 2009 compared to \$1.4 million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of \$841,000 or 59%. The decrease in engineering and product development expense primarily reflects lower salaries and benefits expense as a result of the aforementioned restructuring initiatives. To a lesser extent, there were also decreases in spending on legal fees related to our intellectual property, research and development materials and the use of third-party consultants during the second quarter of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. During the second quarter of 2008, we had a higher level of ongoing development efforts related to a new family of manipulators which we introduced during the latter part of 2008.

*General and Administrative Expense.* General and administrative expense was \$1.4 million for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$2.0 million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of \$666,000 or 33%. This decrease was primarily a result of lower levels of salaries and benefits expense reflecting the impact of our restructuring initiatives. To a lesser extent, there was also a reduction in professional fees for third-party consultants who assist us with the documentation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting procedures and related compliance matters. These reductions were partially offset by increased legal expense and professional fees related to our restructuring actions and the retention of our financial advisor.

*Restructuring and Other Charges.* Restructuring and other charges were \$269,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to \$200,000 for the same period in 2008, an increase of \$69,000 or 35%. As a result of the aforementioned significant decline in demand, which began in 2008 and has worsened in 2009, during the second quarter of 2009, we implemented restructuring plans in all three of our segments as discussed in more detail in the Overview. The charges incurred during the second quarter of 2009 represented one-time termination benefits related to these actions. During the second quarter of 2008, when the reduction in demand for ATE was becoming apparent, we implemented a restructuring plan in our Mechanical Products segment which included a reduction in the workforce in this segment by 18 employees, representing 18% of the total employees in this segment. The charges incurred during the second quarter of 2008 represented one-time termination benefits related to this action.

*Other Income (Expense).* Other expense was \$121,000 for the second quarter of 2009 compared to other income of \$47,000 for the comparable period in 2008, a decrease of \$168,000. The shift from other income to other expense is primarily a result of an increase in foreign exchange losses combined with a reduction in interest income as a result of a lower average cash balance during the quarter.

*Income Tax Expense (Benefit).* For the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$8,000 compared to income tax expense of \$47,000 for the same period in 2008. On a quarterly basis, we record income tax expense or benefit based on the expected annualized effective tax rate for the various taxing jurisdictions in which we operate our businesses. Due to our history of operating losses in both our domestic and certain of our foreign operations, we have recorded a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets of these operations, including net operating loss carryforwards, where we believe it is more likely than not that we will not have sufficient taxable income to utilize these assets before they expire.

#### Six Months Ended June 30, 2009 Compared to Six Months Ended June 30, 2008

*Net Revenues.* Net revenues were \$9.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$22.8 million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of \$13.7 million or 60%. Our net revenues during the first six months of 2009 included \$1.8 million of net revenues attributable to Sigma, which we acquired in October 2008, as previously discussed. We believe the significant decline in our net revenues during the first six months of 2009 primarily reflects the impact which the current global economic recession has had on the demand for ATE, as previously discussed in the Overview.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, our net revenues from customers in the U.S., Europe and Asia decreased 58%, 74% and 59%, respectively, over the comparable period in 2008. Adjusted to eliminate the impact of changes in foreign currency exchange rates, the decrease in net revenues from customers in Europe and Asia would have been

69% and 58%,

- 27 -

inTEST CORPORATION

**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.** (Continued)

respectively. The higher percentage decrease from our customers in Europe primarily reflects the closure of our Intestlogic subsidiary which occurred during the fourth quarter of 2008. Sales that would have been made by our Intestlogic subsidiary are now handled by our Cherry Hill operation in the U.S.

*Gross Margin.* Gross margin was 25% for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to 39% for the same period in 2008. The decrease in gross margin is primarily the result of an increase in our fixed operating costs as a percentage of net revenues. Although our fixed operating costs decreased \$1.1 million in absolute dollar terms during the first six months of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, these costs were not as fully absorbed due to the significantly lower net revenues levels. As a result, these costs increased to 34% of net revenues in the first six months of 2009 from 19% of net revenues in the first six months of 2008. The \$1.1 million decrease in the absolute dollar amount of our fixed operating costs primarily represents lower salaries and benefits expense reflecting the impact of our restructuring initiatives. To a lesser extent, depreciation expense also decreased in the first six months of 2009 compared to the same period in 2008 as a result of the impairment of long-lived assets in both our Mechanical and Electrical Products segments during the fourth quarter of 2008.

In addition to the increase in our fixed operating costs as a percentage of net revenues, our charges for excess and obsolete inventory increased both in absolute dollar terms and as a percentage of net revenues for the first six months of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. Our charges for excess and obsolete inventory were \$635,000 or 7.0% of net revenues for the first six months of 2009 as compared to \$384,000 or 1.7% of net revenues for the comparable period in 2008. As a result of the significant and rapid decline in demand for our products which occurred in the second half of 2008, as more fully discussed in the Overview, more of our inventory now meets our objective criteria which resulted in the increase in the absolute dollar amount of these charges during the first six months of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. The increases as a percentage of net revenues in our fixed operating costs and our charges for excess and obsolete inventory were partially offset by a decrease in our component material costs as a percentage of net revenues during the first six months of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008, reflecting changes in product and customer mix.

*Selling Expense.* Selling expense was \$2.2 million for six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$4.3 million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of \$2.1 million or 50%. The decrease in selling expense primarily reflects both a reduction in salaries and benefits expense, reflecting the aforementioned restructuring initiatives we have implemented and lower levels of commissions, as a result of the significant decline in net revenues experienced in the first six months of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. To a lesser extent there was also a reduction in travel costs, freight costs and other advertising and trade show related expenditures primarily reflecting reduced attendance at trade shows during the first six months of 2009 as compared to the first six months of 2008.

*Engineering and Product Development Expense.* Engineering and product development expense was \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$2.8 million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of \$1.5 million or 53%. The decrease in engineering and product development expense primarily

reflects lower salaries and benefits expense as a result of the aforementioned restructuring initiatives we have implemented. To a lesser extent, there were also decreases in spending on legal fees related to our intellectual property, research and development materials and the use of third-party consultants during the first six months of 2009 as compared to the same period in 2008. During the first six months of 2008, we had a higher level of ongoing development efforts related to a new family of manipulators which we introduced during the latter part of 2008.

*General and Administrative Expense.* General and administrative expense was \$3.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$4.3 million for the same period in 2008, a decrease of \$1.2 million or 29%. This decrease was primarily a result of lower levels of salaries and benefits expense reflecting the impact of our restructuring initiatives. To a lesser extent, there was also a reduction in professional fees for third-party consultants who assist us with the documentation and testing of our internal control over financial reporting procedures and related compliance matters.

*Restructuring and Other Charges.* Restructuring and other charges were \$329,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to \$200,000 for the same period in 2008, an increase of \$129,000 or 65%. As a result of the aforementioned significant decline in demand, which began in 2008 and has worsened in 2009, during the second quarter of 2009, we implemented restructuring plans in all of our segments as discussed in more detail in the Overview. The charges incurred during the first six months of 2009 represented one-time termination benefits related to these actions. During the second quarter of 2008, when the reduction in demand for ATE was becoming apparent, we implemented a restructuring plan in our Mechanical Products segment which included a reduction in the workforce in this segment by 18 employees, representing 18% of the total employees in this segment. The charges incurred during the first six months of 2008 represented one-time termination benefits related to this action.

- 28 -

inTEST CORPORATION

**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.** (Continued)

**Other Income (Expense).**

Other expense was \$40,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009 compared to other income of \$82,000 for the comparable period in 2008, a decrease of \$122,000. The shift from other income to other expense is primarily a result of a reduction in interest income as a result of a lower average cash balance during the first six months of 2009.

*Income Tax Expense (Benefit).* For the six months ended June 30, 2009, we recorded an income tax benefit of \$7,000 compared to income tax expense of \$109,000 for the same period in 2008. On a quarterly basis, we record income tax expense or benefit based on the expected annualized effective tax rate for the various taxing jurisdictions in which we operate our businesses. Due to our history of operating losses in both our domestic and certain of our foreign operations, we have recorded a full valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets of these operations, including net operating loss carryforwards, where we believe it is more likely than not that we will not have sufficient taxable income to utilize these assets before they expire.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Net cash used in operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$2.3 million compared to \$837,000 for the same period in 2008. The increase in net cash used in operations primarily reflects our higher net loss for the first six

months of 2009 as compared to the first six months of 2008. During the first six months of 2009, trade accounts and notes receivable decreased \$911,000, inventories decreased \$914,000 and accounts payable decreased \$436,000, all of which reflect the reduction in the level of business during the first six months of 2009. Accrued wages and benefits decreased \$306,000 during the first six months of 2009 reflecting the significant reductions in workforce and other salary and benefits adjustments that have been implemented as a part of our restructuring initiatives. Other assets decreased \$664,000 during the six months ended June 30, 2009 primarily as a result of the termination of several life insurance policies held by our Japanese subsidiary. The cash surrender value of these policies was included in other assets on our balance sheet. These policies were terminated in connection with the decision to close this operation, as the policies served as the retirement assets for the employees of this operation. The amount of the cash surrender value that will be paid out to our remaining employees in Japan is recorded as a liability and is included in accrued wages and benefits on our balance sheet at June 30, 2009.

Purchases of property and equipment were \$41,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2009. We have no significant commitments for capital expenditures for the balance of 2009, however, depending upon changes in market demand, we may make such purchases as we deem necessary and appropriate.

Net cash used in financing activities for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was \$4,000, which represents payments made under capital lease obligations.

We have a secured credit facility that provides for maximum borrowings of \$250,000. This credit facility is secured by all the assets of inTEST Corporation, Temptronic Corporation and inTEST Silicon Valley Corporation, excluding all patents, trademarks and applications for same. We have not utilized this facility to borrow any funds. Our usage consists of the issuance of letters of credit in the face amount of \$250,000. We pay a quarterly fee of 1.5% per annum on the total amount of the outstanding letters of credit. The terms of the loan agreement require that we maintain a minimum level of \$200,000 of domestic cash. The loan agreement also contains certain negative covenants regarding, among other things, acquisitions and additional debt. We have notified the lender that certain aspects of our recent acquisitions may have violated these covenants. We are in discussions with our lender to terminate this line and to place \$250,000 of our cash into a certificate of deposit which we will pledge to the lender as collateral for the outstanding letters of credit. The credit facility expiration was extended shortly after the close of the second quarter to September 30, 2010. If we do not replace the existing line with the pledged certificate of deposit, or if the violation of the negative covenants is not waived, the letters of credit may be drawn upon or the facility may be revoked and any amounts so drawn or otherwise due under the facility may be deducted from our accounts with the lender.

As of June 30, 2009, we had cash and cash equivalents of \$4.6 million. During the quarter ended June 30, 2009, we received approximately \$944,000 which represented the cash surrender value of several life insurance policies which were cancelled in connection with the closure of our Japanese operation, as discussed in the Overview. Of the amount received, approximately \$494,000 represents retirement assets which will be paid out to the former managing director of this operation. We expect to pay out this amount prior to December 31, 2009. This amount is included in Accrued Wages and Benefits on our balance sheet as of June 30, 2009. In light of deteriorating conditions in the semiconductor industry and the global economic recession, we initiated a series of restructuring and cost reduction programs during the fourth quarter of 2008, which have continued into the first and second quarters of 2009, as previously discussed, in order to conserve cash and reduce costs. In April 2009, we retained the services of a financial advisor to assist us in assessing our strategic alternatives to enhance operating performance

- 29 -

inTEST CORPORATION

**Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.** (Continued)

and stockholder value. Under present market conditions and with our present resources, our goals remain to conserve cash, reduce costs and generate sales of our products. We also continue to consider other alternatives, however, if we are not successful in accomplishing these goals or alternatives, we may be forced to seek relief through a filing under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or liquidate and dissolve our business.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements in our 2008 Form 10-K, we received a report from our independent registered public accounting firm expressing substantial doubt about our ability to continue as a going concern. Our ability to continue as a going concern is dependent on many events, some of which may be outside of our direct control, including, among other things, the success and timeliness of our cost reduction initiatives and the availability of financing, if needed, to fund our working capital requirements. We have incurred significant losses in three of the last five years including losses in 2007 and 2008 and the first half of 2009. These losses were attributable to operations as well as to charges for impairments and restructurings. We have managed our liquidity during this time primarily through a series of cost reduction initiatives. However, the continuing weakness and turmoil of the macroeconomic environment that began in 2008, and has worsened in 2009, has resulted in a significant reduction in equipment utilization rates in the semiconductor industry, which has had a significant negative impact on our bookings. Our bookings for the first quarter of 2009 decreased to \$3.8 million compared to \$6.3 million for the fourth quarter of 2008 and \$8.2 million for the third quarter of 2008. While we presently see some positive indicators in certain of our segments, and although our bookings for the second quarter of 2009 increased to \$4.6 million, we cannot be certain that the downturn is reversing or that we will have sufficient cash to continue to operate. Consequently, we continue to remain focused on methods to restructure our business and reduce our cash burn or to identify appropriate strategic alternatives. However, if we are not successful in accomplishing these goals or alternatives, we may be forced to seek relief through a filing under the U.S. Bankruptcy Code or liquidate and dissolve our business. We do not currently have any available credit facilities under which we can borrow to help fund our working capital requirements.

#### **New or Recently Adopted Accounting Standards**

See the Notes to the consolidated financial statements for information concerning the implementation and impact of new or recently adopted accounting standards.

#### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to inventories, long-lived assets, goodwill, identifiable intangibles, deferred income tax valuation allowances and product warranty reserves. We base our estimates on historical experience and on appropriate and customary assumptions that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Some of these accounting estimates and assumptions are particularly sensitive because of their significance to our consolidated financial statements and because of the possibility that future events affecting them may differ markedly from what had been assumed when the financial statements were prepared. As of June 30, 2009, there have been no significant changes to the accounting policies that we have deemed critical. These policies are more fully described in our 2008 Form 10-K.

Item 3.

#### **QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

This disclosure is not required for a smaller reporting company.

#### Item 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

##### CEO and CFO Certifications

. Included with this Quarterly Report as Exhibits 31.1 and 31.2 are two certifications, one by each of our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer (the "Section 302 Certifications"). This Item 4T contains information concerning the evaluations of our disclosure controls and procedures that are referred to in the Section 302 Certifications. This information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 Certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics addressed therein.

- 30 -

#### inTEST CORPORATION

#### Item 4T. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES (Continued)

##### Evaluation of Our Disclosure Controls and Procedures

. The SEC requires that as of the end of the quarter covered by this Report, our CEO and CFO must evaluate the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures and report on the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures.

"Disclosure controls and procedures" mean the controls and other procedures that are designed with the objective of ensuring that information required to be disclosed in our reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Exchange Act"), such as this Report, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the rules and forms promulgated by the SEC. Disclosure controls and procedures are also designed with the objective of ensuring that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

**Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls.** Our management, including the CEO and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and procedures or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, as opposed to absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within an entity have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, a system of controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Accordingly, our management has designed the disclosure controls and procedures to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of the

control system were met.

**CEO/CFO Conclusions about the Effectiveness of the Disclosure Controls and Procedures.** As required by Rule 13a-15(b), inTEST management, including our CEO and CFO, conducted an evaluation as of the end of the period covered by this Report, of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, our CEO and CFO concluded that, the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report in all regards other than ensuring that our Annual Report on Form 10-K and our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 were timely filed. Due to the Company's continued losses and the global economy's ongoing financial crisis during the first half of 2009, management determined that additional time was necessary for the Company's reduced and furloughed staff to prepare and file the Annual Report on Form 10-K so it could consider and implement further strategic alternatives for conserving cash. The delay in preparing and filing the Annual Report necessitated a delay in our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009, until the Annual Report was finalized and filed, which was completed on July 10, 2009. Our Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2009 was finalized and filed on July 17, 2009. Other than requiring additional time due to reduced staff hours and management's determination that other activities required priority attention, the disclosure controls and procedures that were in effect at June 30, 2009 were the same controls and procedures relied upon for determination of our results for the period ended June 30, 2009 and were effective in determining such results and other information at the time they were determined.

- 31 -

---

## **inTEST CORPORATION**

### **PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**

#### **Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

From time to time we may be a party to legal proceedings occurring in the ordinary course of business. We are not currently involved in any material legal proceedings.

#### **Item 1A. Risk Factors**

Information regarding the primary risks and uncertainties that could materially and adversely affect our future performance or could cause actual results to differ materially from those expressed or implied in our forward-looking statements, appears in Part I, Item 1A -- "Risk Factors" of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

None

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities

None

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders

None

Item 5. Other Information

None

Item 6. Exhibits

A list of the Exhibits which are required by Item 601 of Regulation S-K and filed with this Report is set forth in the Index to Exhibits immediately following the signature page, which Index to Exhibits is incorporated herein by reference.

- 32 -

---

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

inTEST Corporation

Date: August 14, 2009      /s/ Robert E. Matthiessen

Edgar Filing: INTEST CORP - Form 10-Q

Robert E. Matthiessen  
*President and Chief Executive Officer*

Date: August 14, 2009      /s/ Hugh T. Regan, Jr.  
Hugh T. Regan, Jr.  
*Secretary, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer*

- 33 -

---

Index to Exhibits

- 3.1\* Articles of Incorporation: Previously filed by the Company as an Exhibit to the Company's Registration Statement on Form S-1, File No. 333-26457, and incorporated herein by reference.
- 3.2\* ByLaws of inTEST Corporation, as amended on October 30, 2007: Previously filed as Exhibit 3.2 of the Company's Form 8-K on November 5, 2007 and incorporated herein by reference.
- 10.1 Amendment to Change of Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between the Company and Robert E. Matthiessen.
- 10.2 Amendment to Change of Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between the Company and Hugh T. Regan, Jr.
- 10.3 Amendment to Change of Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between the Company and Daniel J. Graham.
- 10.4 Amendment to Change of Control Agreement dated December 31, 2008 between the Company and James Pelrin.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a).
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

\* Indicates document previously filed.

- 34 -